

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON,
Petitioner,

vs.

THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR CARSON CITY, AND THE
HONORABLE JAMES TODD RUSSELL,
DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

THE STATE OF NEVADA,
DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 55228

FILED

SEP 24 2010

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR LEAVE TO SUBMIT
SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITIES

Petitioner has filed a motion requesting leave to submit as supplemental authority a district court order from an unrelated case, which petitioner asserts is factually related to the present petition. Having reviewed the motion and proposed supplemental authority, we deny the motion. Petitioner has not demonstrated that the proposed supplement provides any additional legal authority or precedential value. See NRAP 31(e); accord Towbin v. Bd. of Exam. of Psychologists, 801 A.2d 851, 867 (Conn. App. Ct. 2002) (recognizing that trial court decisions are not precedents binding on appellate courts). Accordingly, we direct the clerk of this court to return, unfiled, petitioner's supplemental authority, provisionally received on August 11, 2010.

It is so ORDERED.

, C.J.

cc: Norman J. Azevedo
Jones Day
O'Melveny & Myers LLP
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney/Civil Division
Legislative Counsel Bureau Legal Division
McDonald Carano Wilson LLP/Las Vegas