IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Electronically Filed Sep 03 2010 09:04 a.m. Tracie K. Lindeman

ONECAP PARTNERS MM, INC., a Nevada corporation; VINCENT W. HESSER, an individual,

Appellants,

VS.

KENNEDY FUNDING, INC., a New Jersey Corporation,

Respondent.

Supreme Court Case No.: 55654

District Court Case No.: A582746

On Appeal from Department XI, the Honorable Elizabeth Gonzalez, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada

RESPONDENT KENNEDY FUNDING, INC.'S APPENDIX

SANTORO, DRIGGS, WALCH, KEARNEY, HOLLEY & THOMPSON

RICHARD F. HOLLEY, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 3077 OGONNA M. ATAMOH, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7589 400 South Fourth Street, Third Floor

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 791-0308

Facsimile: (702) 791-1912

Attorneys for Respondent Kennedy Funding, Inc.

RESPONDENT KENNEDY FUNDING, INC.'S APPENDIX

Index of Exhibits

No.	Title	Date	Document Nos.
6.	Order Awarding Damages Pursuant to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment	2/18/2010	00213 - 00216
7.	Judgment Against OneCap Partners MM, Inc. and Vincent W. Hesser	2/18/2010	00217 - 00225
8.	Ex Parte Order Terminating Automatic Stay	3/29/2010	00226 - 00227
9.	Notice of Trustee's Sale	5/27/2010	00228 - 00229
10.	Trustee's Deed Upon Sale	7/16/2010	00230 - 00234
11.	Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment	10/6/2009	00235 - 00244

TAB 6

TAB 6

SANTORO, DRIGGS, WALCH, KEARNEY, HOLLEY & THOMPSON

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ORIGINAL

Electronically Filed 02/18/2010 02:59:38 PM

ORDR
RICHARD F. HOLLEY, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 3077
OGONNA M. ATAMOH, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 7589
SANTORO, DRIGGS, WALCH,
KEARNEY, HOLLEY & THOMPSON
400 South Fourth Street, Third Floor
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

CLERK OF THE COURT

Telephone: 702/791-0308 Facsimile: 702/791-1912

Attorneys for Kennedy Funding, Inc.

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

KENNEDY FUNDING, INC., a New Jersey corporation,

Plaintiff,

Case No.:

A582746

Dept. No.:

XI

ONECAP PARTNERS MM, INC, a Nevada corporation; VINCENT W. HESSER, an individual; DOE INDIVIDUALS I through X; and ROE CORPORATIONS I through X.

Defendants.

ORDER AWARDING DAMAGES PURSUANT TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Plaintiff KENNEDY FUNDING, INC.'s ("Plaintiff's") evidentiary hearing for damages arising from Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment ("Motion for Summary Judgment") came before the Court on November 5, 2009, at 9:00 a.m. Harold P. Gewerter, Esq. of the law firm Harold P. Gewerter, Esq., Ltd., appeared on behalf of Defendants ONECAP PARTNERS MM, INC. ("Onecap") and VINCENT W. HESSER ("Hesser") (collectively "Defendants"), and Ogonna M. Atamoh, Esq. of the law firm of Santoro, Driggs, Walch, Kearney, Holley & Thompson appeared on behalf of Plaintiff, with no other appearances having been made.

06209-09/562765.doc

them summarian the History of

00213

l

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

[1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

26

27

28

06209-09/562765.doc

Kim Vaccarella testified at the evidentiary hearing on behalf of Plaintieff regarding Plaintiff's calculations of damages, and Defendants cross-examined Ms. Vaccarella. Matthew Lubawy testified on behalf of Defendants and Plaintiff cross-examined Mr. Lubawy. During oral argument at the hearing, Defendants raised for the first time the propriety of Plaintiff's authorization to commence the above-captioned action on behalf of the Co-Lenders. At the conclusion of the hearing, this Court ordered further briefing on the issue of Plaintiff sauthority to proceed on behalf of the Co-Lenders and ordered supplemental briefing on that issue to be filed by Plaintiff no later than November 19, 2009, and any responsive pleading can that issue from Defendants no later than December 3, 2009. This Court scheduled an in-chambers hearing for December 4, 2009, to address the issue of Plaintiff's authority to proceed on behalf of the Co-Lenders.

On November 19, 2009, Plaintiff filed the Supplemental Declaration of Kewin Wolfer in support of its position that Plaintiff had authority to proceed on behalf of the Co-Lerwiers, and on or about December 2, 2009, Defendants filed their Clarified Supplemental Damages Submission.

The Court having heard the argument of counsel and testimony of witnesses, and having reviewed and examined the papers, pleadings and records on file in the above-entitled matter, including Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment and the supporting Affidavit of Kevin Wolfer, filed September 22, 2009, Defendants' Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment, filed on or about October 6, 2009, Plaintiff's Reply in Support of the Motion for Summary Judgment, filed October 20, 2009, the Affidavit of Ogonna M. Atamoh, Esq., filed November 3, 2009, the Declaration of Kim Vaccarella filed November 3, 2009, the memorandum of Costs and Disbursements filed November 3, 2009, the Supplemental Declaration of Kevin Wolfer filed November 19, 2009, and Defendants Clarified Supplemental Damages Submission. Eled on or about December 2, 2009, and good cause appearing therefore;

Pursuant to the findings of fact and conclusions of law placed on the record at the Evidentiary Hearing and incorporated herein pursuant to Rule 52 of the Nevada Rates of Civil Procedure, and good cause appearing, and this Court having previously entered an Order

Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment as to liability only on November 4, 2009, this Court enters summary judgment against Defendants as to damages and rules as follows:

FINDINGS OF FACTS

- 1. The Court makes these findings of fact by construing the pleadings and proof in the light most favorable to the non-moving party, drawing all reasonable inference in their favor.
- 2. This order incorporates by reference the Findings of Undisputed Facts previously entered by this Court on November 4, 2009, pursuant to the Order Granting Motion for Summary Judgment in favor of Plaintiff.
- 3. There is no genuine issue of material fact that Plaintiff has the express authority to act on behalf of the Co-Lenders pursuant to the respective Co-Lenders Agreements as referenced in and submitted with the Supplemental Declaration of Ken Wolfer filed on November 19, 2019, and that Plaintiff has kept the Co-Lenders apprised of the status of the Borrower's bankruptcy case and the above-captioned Guarantor Action.
- 4. This order incorporates the Court's previous determination that the above-captioned action against the Defendants is not limited due to the inability of the lender to proceed with foreclosure as a result of the settlement in the bankruptcy proceeding with Debtor Nevada Ueno Mita, LLC ("Debtor in bankruptcy"), Bankruptcy Case No. 08-25487-BAM.
- 5. There is no genuine issue of material fact that the deficiency damages to be awarded to plaintiff consist of general damages in the amount of \$16,802,025.64, attorneys fixs in the amount of \$39,755.00 and costs in the amount of \$2,131.45, for a total judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants in the amount of \$16,843,912.09.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Plaintiff established the amount of damages due and owing from Defendants in the amount of \$16,802,025.64, attorneys fees in the amount of \$39,755.00 and costs in the amount of \$2,131.45, for a total amount of \$16,843,912.09.
- 2. Plaintiff has the express authority to act on behalf of the Co-Lenders pursuant to the Co-Lenders Agreement as referenced in the Supplemental Declaration of Ken Wolfer filed on November 19, 2009.

06209-09/562765.doc

ORDER GRANTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT

- 1. Based upon the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment is GRANTED in its entirety.
- 2. IT IS FURTHBER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT Plaintiff is awarded monetary damages against Defendants and in favor of Plaintiff consisting of damages in the amount of \$16,802,025.64, attorneys fees in the amount of \$39,755.00 and costs in the amount of \$2,131.45, for a total judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants in the amount of \$16,843,912.09.
- 3. IT IS FURTHBER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT in the event the Debtor in bankruptcy satisfies the indebtedness owing to Plaintiff, Plaintiff will cease its efforts to enforce the judgment against Defendants.
- 4. IT IS FURTHBER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT Defendants' ability to make a claim against the Debtor in bankruptcy is not impeded as a result of the Defendants' satisfaction of the obligation to Plaintiff.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 17 day of February, 2010.

DISTRUCT COURT JUDGE

TKO.

Submitted by:

SANTORO, DRIGGS, WALCH, KEARNEY, HOLLEY & THOMPSON

22

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

23

24

25

26

27 28 Richard F. Hølley, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 3077 Ogonna M. Atamoh, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 7589 400 S. Fourth Street, Third Floor

Las Vegas, NV 89101 Attorneys for Plaintiff

06209-09/562765.doc

-4.

TAB 7

TAB 7

ORIGINAL

JUDG

1

2

3

5

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

SANTORO, DRIGGS, WALCH, KEARNEY, HOLLEY & THOMPSON

RICHARD F. HOLLEY, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 3077 OGONNA M. ATAMOH, ESO. Nevada Bar No. 7589

SANTORO, DRIGGS, WALCH, KEARNEY, HOLLEY & THOMPSON

400 South Fourth Street, Third Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Telephone: Facsimile:

702/791-0308 702/791-1912

Attorneys for Kennedy Funding, Inc.

Electronically Filed 02/18/2010 03:05:18 PM

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

KENNEDY FUNDING, INC., a New Jersey corporation,

Plaintiff,

Case No.:

Dept. No.:

ONECAP PARTNERS MM, INC, a Nevada corporation; VINCENT W. HESSER, an individual; DOE INDIVIDUALS I through X; and ROE CORPORATIONS I through X,

Defendants.

JUDGMENT AGAINST ONECAP PARTNERS MM, INC. AND VINCENT W. HESSER

This matter came before the Court on Plaintiff Kennedy Funding, Inc.'s ("Plaintiff") evidentiary hearing on damages arising from the Motion for Summary Judgment Against Defendants ONECAP PARTNERS MM, INC. ("Onecap") and VINCENT W. HESSER ("Defendants") (the "Motion"), filed with the Court on September 22, 2009, and came on for evidentiary hearing as to damages on November 5, 2009, at 9:30 a.m. before the Homerable Elizabeth Gonzalez.

The Court having read and considered the papers and pleadings on file herein and having heard the testimony of Kim Vaccarella, Controller for Plaintiff, and the testimony of Manthew Lubway, appraiser for Defendants, and consistent with the Order Granting Motion for Surremany

06209-09/563899.doc

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

 Π

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that Plaintiff shall recover from DEFENDANTS ONECAP PARTNERS MM, INC. and VINCENT W. HESSER, jointly and severally, the amount of \$16,802,025.64, excluding attorney's fees and costs, consisting of the principle balance of \$12,000,000.00 due under the Loan and Security Agreement, accrusing interest as of October 31, 2009 in the amount of \$4,768,000,00, foreclosure costs in the amount of \$19,024.50, appraisal fees in total amount of \$9,500.00 (CBRE in the amount of \$7,500.00) and Vernon Martin \$2,000.00), miscellaneous costs in the amount of \$5,501.14. Post-judgment interest continues to accrue on the principal balance at a default rate of twenty-five percent (25%) per annum, or \$8,333.33 per diem.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that Plaintiff shall recover from DEFENDANTS ONECAP PARTNERS MM, INC. and VINCENT W. HESSER attorney's fees as of November 3, 2009 in the amount of \$39,755.00, and costs as of November 3, 2009 in the amount of \$2,131.45 incurred by Santoro, Driggs, Walch, Kearney, Holley & Thompson.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff is entitled to recover post-judgment attornew's fees and costs incurred in executing and enforcing the Judgment.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff is entitled to recover post-judgment interess on the principal balance of \$16,802,025.64 at the rate of 25% per annum or \$8,333.33 per diema.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that to the extent the real property securing Plaintiff's Locan is sold or refinanced and such proceeds are paid to Plaintiff, any such proceeds shall be deducated from the judgment amount and accruing interest entered herein against DEFENDANTS ONECAP PARTNERS MM, INC. and VINCENT W. HESSER in favor of Plaintiff.

28

06209-09/563899.doc

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court expressly directs the entry of a final judgment, as there is no just reason for delay.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 17 day of Celovory 2009.

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Submitted by:

б

. 9

SANTORO, DRIGGS, WALCH, KEARNEY, HOLLEY & THOMPSON

Richard F. Holley, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 3077
Ogonna M. Atamoh, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 7589
400 S. Fourth Street, Third Floor
Las Vegas, NV 89101
Attorneys for Plaintiff

- 3 -

EXHIBIT 66199



ORDR
RICHARD F. HOLLEY, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 3077
OGONNA M. ATAMOH, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 7589
SANTORO, DRIGGS, WALCH,
KEARNEY, HOLLEY & THOMPSON
400 South Fourth Street, Third Floor
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Telephone: 702/791-0308
Facsimile: 702/791-1912

Attorneys for Kennedy Funding, Inc.

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

KENNEDY FUNDING, INC., a New Jersey corporation,

Plaintiff,

Case No.: Dept. No.: A582746

ONECAP PARTNERS MM, INC, a Nevada corporation; VINCENT W. HESSER, an individual; DOE INDIVIDUALS I through X; and ROE CORPORATIONS I through X.

Defendants,

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Plaintiff KENNEDY FUNDING, INC.'s, ("Plaintiff") Motion for Summary Judgment ("Motion for Summary Judgment"), having come on for hearing on October 27, 2009, at 9:000 a.m. Harold P. Gewerter, Esq. of the law firm Harold P. Gewerter, Esq., Ltd., appeared one behalf of Defendants ONECAP PARTNERS MM, INC. ("Onecap") and VINCENT W. HESSER ("Defendants"), and Ogonna M. Atamoh, Esq. of the law firm of Santoro, Driggs, Walch, Kearney, Holley & Thompson appeared on behalf of Plaintiff, with no other appearances having been made. The Court having heard the argument of counsel and having reviewed and examined the papers, pleadings and records on file in the above-entitled matter, including Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment and the supporting Affidavit of Kevin Wolfer, filest

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

September 22, 2009, Defendants' Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment, filed on or about October 6, 2009, and Plaintiff's Reply in Support of the Motion for Summary Judgment, filed October 20, 2009, and good cause appearing therefore;

Pursuant to the findings of fact and conclusions of law placed on the record at the hearing and incorporated herein pursuant to Rule 52 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure, and good cause appearing, this Court enters summary judgment against Defendants and rules as follows:

FINDINGS OF UNDISPUTED FACTS

- The Court makes these finelings of fact by construing the pleadings and proof in 1. the light most favorable to the non-moving party, drawing all reasonable inference in their favor.
- There is no genuine issue of material fact that there was a binding contract 2. between Plaintiff Kennedy Funding, Inc. and OneCap Partners 2, LLC ("OneCap Partners"), entitled the "Loan and Security Agreement" (the "Loan Agreement") dated June 15, 2006, for OneCap Partners' purchase of unimproved real property consisting of 78.74+ acres of raw land located along Casino Drive and the Colorado River in Laughlin, Nevada 89029, Clark County Assessor Parcel Numbers 264-25-101-001 and 264-25-201-001 (the "Property") for a purchase price of TWELVE MILLION DOLLARS (\$12,000,000.00).
- There is no genuine issue of material fact that the Loan Agreement is evidenced 3. by a Promissory Note dated June 15, 2006, in the amount of \$12,000,000.00, made by OneCap Partners payable to Kennedy Funding as agent of the Lenders.
- There is no genuine issue of material fact that OneCap Partners executed and delivered to Kennedy Funding a Deed of Trust with Security Agreement, Financing Statement for Fixture Filing and Assignment of Rents ("Deed of Trust") against the Property, which was recorded on June 15, 2006, with the Clark County Recorder's Office as Instrument No. 20060615-0005324.
- There is no genuine issue of material fact that Kennedy Funding, Gary Owen II, 5. LLC' ("Option Holder") and OneCap Partners executed a Subordination and Attonument Agreement ("Subordination Agreement") in which the Option Holder agreed to subordinate its limited option to purchase the Property to Kennedy Funding's Deed of Trust.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- There is no genuine issue of material fact that as additional security for the loan, 6. OneCap Partners executed and delivered to Kennedy Funding, an Assignment of Leases and Rents dated June 14, 2006 and recorded June 15, 2006, with the Clark County Recorder's Office as Instrument No. 20060615-0005325, and an Assignment of Licenses, Contracts, Plans, Specifications, Surveys, Drawings and Report dated June 15, 2006 (Assignment of Licenses").
- There is no genuine issue of material fact that to further secure payment of the Note, on June 14, 2006, Defendant Vincent Hesser ("Hesser") and Defendant OneCap Partners MM, Inc. ("OneCap Partners MM") ("collectively "Defendants") executed personal unconditional guaranties of the loan to Kennedy Funding.
- There is no genuine issue of material fact that at the time of the transaction between OneCap Partners, Hesser was the President of OneCap Partners and OneCap Partners MM.
- 9. There is no genuine issue of material fact that OneCap Partners also granted a properly perfected security interest to Kennedy Funding by way of a UCC-1 Financing Statement filed with the Clark County Recorder's Office on June 15, 2006 as Instrument No. 20060615-0005326.
- There is no genuine issue of material fact that OneCap Partners and Defendants 10. executed an Environmental Indemnity Agreement in favor of Kennedy Funding, under which they agreed to indemnify Kennedy Funding for noncompliance of environmental laws.
- There is no genuine issue of material fact that OneCap Partners defaulted under 11. the Note and Deed of Trust by failing to make its monthly installment payment of \$250,000.00.
- There is no genuine issue of material fact that OneCap Partners is in default under 12. the Deed of Trust for failure to provide Kennedy Funding with current proof of liability insurance and for failure to timely pay its tax obligations relating to the Property.
- There is no genuine issue of material fact that OneCap Partners transferred its 13. interest in the Property to Nevada Ueno Mita, LLC ("Nevada Ueno"), and under the Deed of Trust and Loan Agreement, OneCap Partner's transfer of the Property to Nevada Ueno was a default.

 14. Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment was properly served on September 23, 2009, Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment was properly served on or about October 6, 2009, and Plaintiff's Reply in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment was properly served on October 20, 2009.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Nevada law requires that to show a breach of contract, one must show (1) the existence of a valid contract, (2) a breach, and (3) damages as a result of the breach. See Richardson v. Jones, 1 Nev. 405 (Nev. 1865); see also Saini v. Int'l Game Tech, 434 F.Supp.2d 913, 923 (D. Nev. 2006) (holding that "the failure to perform one's obligations within the express terms of an agreement constitutes a literal breach of contract.").
- 2. In this case, the contract was clear and unambiguous, and Defendants breached the contract entered into with Defendants OneCap Partners MM and Hesser.
- 3. The contract between Plaintiff and Defendants was valid, binding, and enforceable.
- 4. Defendants breached the contract by failing to make the April 2008 payment, and failing to make any payments since defaulting on the Note in satisfaction of the Loan Agreement..
- 5. Defendants' conduct was a material breach of the contract and Plaintiff has been damaged by said breaches.

ORDER GRANTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT

 Based upon the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment is GRANTED as to liability only.

28 ...

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 4 day of Movember, 2009.

ELIZABETH GOFF GONZALEZ DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Submitted by:

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

15

16 17

18 19 20

212223

2425

262728

SANTORO, DRIGGS, WALCH, KEARNEY, HOLLEX & THOMPSON

Rickard F. Holley, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 3077
Ogonna M. Atamoh, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 7589
400 S. Fourth Street, Third Floor

Las Vegas, NV 89101 Attorneys for Plaintiff

TAB 8

TAB 8

Case 08-25487-bam

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Entered on Docket March 29, 2010 Bur a. Ward

Doc 96 Entered 03/29/10 10:04:50 Page 1 of 2

Hon. Bruce A. Markell United States Bankruptcy Judge

Ogonna M. Atamoh, Esq. (NV Bar No. 7589) Email: oatamoh@nevadafirm.com Dean S. Bennett, Esq. (NV Bar No. 6221) Email: dbennett@nevadafirm.com SANTORO, DRIGGS, WALCH, KEARNEY, HOLLEY & THOMPSON 400 South Fourth Street, Third Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: 702/791-0308

702/791-1912

Attorney for Kennedy Funding, Inc.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

In re:

Facsimile:

NEVADA UENO MITA, LLC.,

Debtor.

Case No. BK-S-08-25487-BAM Chapter 11

EX PARTE ORDER TERMINATING AUTOMATIC STAY

Date of Hearing: Time of Hearing: September 15, 2009 3:00 p.m.

On March 26, 2010, an Affidavit of Ogonna M. Atamoh, Esq. was filed [Dkt. No. 95]. Pursuant to the terms of the Order Granting Motion to Approve Compromise and Settlement Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9019 Between Debtor and Kennedy Funding, Inc. [Dkt. No. 91], which incorporates by reference the Motion to Approve Compromise and Settlement Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9019 Approving Settlement Between Debtor and Kennedy Funding, Inc. [Dkt. No. 82], the Automatic Stay in effect pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362 as to the 78.74+ acres of raw land located along Casino Drive and the Colorado River in Laughlin, Nevada 89029, Clark

06209-08/578380.doc

Case 08-25487-bam Doc 96 Entered 03/29/10 10:04:50 Page 2 of 2

County Assessor Parcel Numbers 264-25-101-001 and 264-25-201-001 (the "Property"), is hereby terminated as to Creditor Kennedy Funding, Inc. ("Kennedy Funding").

Kennedy Funding may exercise its contractual and state law remedies with respect to the Property including, but not limited to, Kennedy Funding's foreclosure and sale of the Property.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Prepared and submitted by:

SANTORO, DRIGGS, WALCH, KEARNEX, HOLLEY & THOMPSON

Ogonna M. Atamoh, Esq. (NV Bar No. 7589) Email oatamoh@nevadafirm.com

Dean S. Bernett, Esq. (NV Bar No. 6221) Email: albennett@nevadafirm.com

400 South Fourth Street, Third Floor

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Attorneys for Kennedy Funding, Inc.

###

[06209-08/578380.doc

TAB 9

TAB 9

Inst #: 201005270000200

Fees: \$15.00 N/C Fee: \$0.00

05/27/2010 08:02:32 AM

Receipt #: 36.7277 CONFORM COPY NEVADA TITLE LAS VEGAS

Recorded By: CDE Pgs: 2

DEBBIE CONWAY

CLARK COUNTY RECORDER

APN: 264-25-101-001, 264-25-201-001

When recorded return to: Nevada Title Company 2500 N. Buffalo Drive, #150 Las Vegas, NV 89128

ORDER NUMBER: A8-08-0014 FCL DATED: May 25, 2010

On JUNE 17, 2010 at 9:30 AM, Nevada Title Company, as duly appointed or substituted Trustee under and pursuant to Deed of Trust dated JUNE 15, 2006 recorded in book 20060615, as document number 0005324, in the Office of the County Recorder of CLARK County, Nevada executed by ONECAP PARTNERS 2, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY in favor of KENNEDY FUNDING, INC., A NEW JERSEY CORPORATION, AS AGENT FOR THE LENDERS IDENTIFIED IN THE NOTE by reason of now continuing default in the payment of performance of obligations secured by said Deed of Trust, including the Breach of Default Notice which was recorded in the Office of the County Recorder of CLARK County, Nevada, by the beneficiaries and the undersigned more than three months prior to the date thereof, WILL CAUSE TO BE SOLD AT PUBLIC AUCTION TO THE HIGHEST BIDDER FOR CASH (payable at the time of sale in lawful money of the United States of America) at the front entrance to Nevada Legal News located at 930 S. Fourth Street, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89101, all right, title and interest conveyed to and now held by it under said Deed of Trust in the property situated in said County and State described as:

PARCEL ONE (1):

LOT TWO (2) AS SHOWN ON THAT CERTAIN PARCEL MAP IN FILE 53 OF PARCEL MAPS, PAGE 93, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA.

APN No.: 264-25-101-001

PARCEL TWO (2):

THE SOUTH 1000 FEET OF THE NORTH 2000 FEET (MEASURED AT A RIGHT ANGLE TO THE NORTH LINE) OF SECTION 25, TOWNSHIP 32 SOUTH, RANGE 66 EAST, M.D.B. &

APN No.: 264-25-201-001

Page 1 of 2

Together with any and all improvements, personal property and fixtures located thereon or otherwise described in the Deed of Trust and in any other instruments in favor of the Beneficiary, and all singular tenements, hereditaments and appurtenances thereunto belonging or appertaining, rents, issues and profits thereof. All special declarant's rights now held by Trustor and any and all other declarant's rights encumbered by the Deed of Trust and now held by Trustor to be sold in connection with, and at the same time and place as, the real property described above.

Commonly known as: 264-25-101-001, 264-25-201-001 If a street address or common designation of property is shown, no warranty is given as to its completeness or correctness.

Said sale will be made, but without covenant or warranty, expressed or implied, regarding title, possession, or encumbrances, to pay the remaining principal sum of the note secured by said Deed of Trust, to wit: \$12,000,000.00 with interest thereon, as provided in said note, advances, if any, under the terms of said Deed of Trust, fees, charges and expenses of Trustee and of the Trusts created by said Deed of Trust.

NEVADA TITLE COMPANY, A NEVADA CORPORATION

By: Michele Dobar, Trustee Sale Officer

Published in Nevada Legal News on the following dates: MAY 27, 2010, JUNE 3, 2010, JUNE 10, 2010

STATE OF: NEVADA COUNTY OF: CLARK

This instrument was acknowledged before me on May 25, 2010 by Michele Dobar as Trustee Sale Officer of Nevada Title Company.

Signature

(Notary Public)

JOY K. HEARN
Notary Public State of Nevada
No. 96-3887-1
My appt. exp. Nov. 21, 2012

TAB 10

TAB 10

APN: 264-25-101-001 264-25-201-001

RETURN/MAIL TAX STATEMENTS TO:

ONE CAP LOAN ASSOCIATES, LLC TWO UNIVERSITY PLAZA SUITE 402 HACKENSACK, NJ. 07601 Inst #: 201007160000364
Fees: \$17.00 N/C Fee: \$0.00
RPTT: \$5.10 Ex: #
07/16/2010 08:04:05 AM
Receipt #: 427906
Requestor:
NEVADA TITLE LAS VEGAS
Recorded By: BGN Pgs: 5
DEBBIE CONWAY
CLARK COUNTY RECORDER

TRUSTEE'S DEED UPON SALE

FCL NO. A8-08-0014 FCL R.P.T.T \$ 5.10

THIS INDENTURE, made JUNE 17, 2010 between NEVADA TITLE COMPANY a Nevada corporation, as Trustee as hereinafter stated, herein called Trustee, and

ONE CAP LOAN ASSOCIATES, LLC, A NEW JERSEY LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY herein called Grantee, WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, ONECAP PARTNERS 2, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY , by Deed of Trust dated JUNE 15, 2006, and recorded on JUNE 15, 2006, in Book 20060615, as Document No. 0005324, in the Office of the County Recorder of CLARK County, State of Nevada as modified or amended, if applicable (the "Deed of Trust"), did grant and convey to said Trustee, upon the trusts therein expressed, the property hereinafter described, among other uses and purposes to secure the payment of that certain promissory note and interest according to the terms thereof, and other sums of money advanced, with interest thereon, to which reference is hereby made; and,

WHEREAS, breach and default was made under the terms of said Deed of Trust in the particulars set forth in the Notice of Breach and Election to Sell Under Deed of Trust hereinafter referred to, to which reference is hereby made; and,

WHEREAS, the Beneficiary or holder of said note did execute and deliver to the Trustee written Declaration of Default and made demand for sale and thereafter there was filed for record on AUGUST 20, 2008 in the Office of the County Recorder of CLARK County, Nevada, a Notice of Breach and Election to Sell Under Deed of Trust to cause the Trustee to sell said property to satisfy the obligation secured by said Deed of Trust, which said Notice was recorded as Instrument No. 200808200000597 in the Office of the County Recorder of CLARK County, Nevada; and,

WHEREAS, Trustee, in consequence of said election, declaration of default, and demand for sale, and in compliance with said

Deed of Trust and with the statutes in such cases made and provided, made and published three (3) times, once each week for three (3) consecutive weeks, before the date of sale therein fixed in a newspaper of general circulation in the county and state in which the premises to be sold are situated, Notice of Sale as required by law, containing a correct description of the property to be sold and stating that the Trustee would under the provisions of said Deed of Trust sell the property therein and herein described at public auction to the highest bidder for cash in lawful money of the United States on JUNE 17, 2010, at the hour of 9:30 AM of said day, at the front entrance to Nevada Legal News, 930 S. Fourth Street, in the city of Las Vegas, County of Clark, State of Nevada; and.

WHEREAS, three (3) true and correct copies of said Notice of Sale were posted in three (3) public places in the township or city where the property is situated and where the property is to be sold, for not less than twenty (20) days successively before the date of sale therein fixed; and,

WHEREAS, compliance having been made with all of the statutory provisions of the State of Nevada and with all of the provisions of said Deed of Trust as to the acts to be performed and notices to be given, and in particular, full compliance having been made with all requirements of law regarding the service of notices required by statute, and with the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act (108 P.L. 189; 117 Stat. 2835; 2003 Enacted H.R. 100), said Trustee, at such time and place did then and there at public auction sell the property hereinafter described to the said Grantee for the sum of ONE THOUSAND AND NO/100 DOLLARS (\$1,000.00), said Grantee being the highest and best bidder therefore.

NOW, THEREFORE, Trustee, in consideration of the premises recited and the sum herein mentioned bid and paid by the Grantee, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, and by virtue of these premises, does GRANT AND CONVEY, but without warranty or covenants, express or implied, unto said Grantee all right and interest under said Deed of Trust in that certain property situated in the County of CLARK, State of Nevada, described as follows:

SEE EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED HERETO AND BY REFERENCE MADE A PART HEREOF

Together with any and all singular improvements, fixtures, tenements, hereditaments and appurtenances thereunto belonging or in anywise appertaining.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF the said NEVADA TITLE COMPANY, has this day, caused its corporate name to be affixed hereto and this instrument to be executed by its authorized officers.

DATED: JUNE 17, 2010

NEVADA TITLE COMPANY, Trustee

BY: SUE DUDZINSKI, SR VICE PRESIDENT

TROY LOCHHEAD, SR VICE PRESIDENT

STATE OF: NEVADA

COUNTY OF: CLARK

This instrument was acknowledged before me on JUNE 17, 2010 by SUE DUDZINSKI AND TROY LOCHHEAD AS SR VICE PRESIDENTS OF NEVADA TITLE COMPANY

Signature: M. Michele Lobar

(Notary Public)

N. MICHELE DOBAR Notary Public State of Nevada No. 99-50932-1 My appt. exp. Nov. 7, 2010

n.michele: Dobar no. 99-50932-1 Exp. nov. 7, 2010

(A8-08-0014 FCL / TRUSTEE'S DEED)

Escrow No.: A8-08-0014-FCL

EXHIBIT "A"

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

PARCEL ONE (1):

LOT TWO (2) AS SHOWN ON THAT CERTAIN PARCEL MAP IN FILE 53 OF PARCEL MAPS, PAGE 93, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA.

APN No.: 264-25-101-001

PARCEL TWO (2):

THE SOUTH 1000 FEET OF THE NORTH 2000 FEET (MEASURED AT A RIGHT ANGLE TO THE NORTH LINE) OF SECTION 25, TOWNSHIP 32 SOUTH, RANGE 66 EAST, M.D.B. & M.

APN No.: 264-25-201-001

TOGETHER WITH ANY AND ALL IMPROVEMENTS, PERSONAL PROPERTY AND FIXTURES LOCATED THEREON OR OTHERWISE DESCRIBED IN THE DEED OF TRUST AND IN ANY OTHER INSTRUMENTS IN FAVOR OF THE BENEFICIARY, AND ALL SINGULAR TENEMENTS, HEREDITAMENTS AND APPURTENANCES THEREUNTO BELONGING OR APPERTAINING, RENTS, ISSUES AND PROFITS THEREOF. ALL SPECIAL DECLARANT'S RIGHTS NOW HELD BY TRUSTOR AND ANY AND ALL OTHER DECLARANT'S RIGHTS ENCUMBERED BY THE DEED OF TRUST AND NOW HELD BY TRUSTOR

State of Nevada Declaration of Value Form Assessor Parcel Number(s) a) 264-25-101-001, 264-25-201-001 b) c) Type of Property: FOR RECORDERS'S OPTIONAL USE ONLY **Vecant Land** Sgl. Fam. Residence b. 2-4 Plex Date of Recording: Condo/Twnhse đ, f. Comm'l/Ind'l Notes: Apt. Bldg. Agricultural Mobile Home Other 3. Total Value/Sales Price of Property \$1,000.00 Deed in Lieu of Foreclosure Only (value of property) Transfer Tax Value: \$1,000.00 c. \$5.10 Real Property Transfer Tax Due If Exemption Claimed: Transfer Tax Exemption, per NRS 375.090, Section: Explain Reason for Exemption: 5. Partial Interest: Percentage being transferred: 100 % The undersigned declares and acknowledges, under penalty of perjury, pursuant to NRS 375.060 and NRS 375.110. that the information provided is correct to the best of their information and belief, and can be supported by documentation if called upon to substantiate the information provided herein. Furthermore, the parties agree that disallowance of any claimed exemption, or other determination of additional tax due, may result in a penalty of 10% of the tax due plus interest at 1% per month. Pursuant to NRS 375.030, the Buyer and Seller shall be jointly and severally liable for any additional amount owed. Capacity: Trustee Sale Officer Signature: Vucle Signature: Capacity: <u>Foreclosing Beneficiary</u> SELLER (GRANTOR) INFORMATION **BUYER (GRANTEE) INFORMATION** (REQUIRED) (REQUIRED) One Cap Loan Associates, LLC Nevada Title Company Print Name: Print Name: Address: Two University Plaza Suite 402 2500 N. Buffalo Drive, Suite 150 Address: City: Hackensack Las Vegas, City: 89128 State: <u>IN</u> 07601 State: ΝV Zip: COMPANY/PERSON REQUESTING RECORDING (required if not seller or buyer) A8-08-0014-FCL

Esc. #:

Nevada Title Company

Las Vegas

2500 N. Buffalo Drive, Suite 150

State: NV

(AS A PUBLIC RECORD THIS FORM MAY BE RECORDED/MICROFILMED)

Print Name:

Address: City:

TAB 11

TAB 11

- 1		
1	OPPS	
2	HAROLD P. GEWERTER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 499	
3	HAROLD P. GEWERTER, ESQ. LTD.	
4	2705 Airport Drive	
	North Las Vegas, Nevada 89032 Telephone: (702) 382-1714	
5	Fax: (702) 382-1759	
6	Attorney for Defendants	CT COURT
7		
8	CLARK COU	NTY, NEVADA
9	KENNEDY FUNDING, INC., a New Jersey corporation,	CASE NO.: A582746 DEPT. NO.: XI
10	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO
11	,	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY
12	vs.	JUDGMENT
13	ONECAP PARTNERS MM, INC., a Nevada corporation; VINCENT W. HESSER, an	Date of Hearing: October 27, 2009 Time of Hearing: 9:00 a.m.
14	individual; DOE INDIVIDUALS I through X;	Time of from the stood minutes
15	and ROE CORPORATIONS I through X,	
16	Defendants.	
	Defendants, ONECAP PARTNERS M	M, INC. and VINCENT W. HESSER, by an
17	through their attorney of record, HAROLD P. O	SEWERTER, ESQ., of the law firm of HAROLI
18	P. GEWERTER, ESQ., LTD., hereby files the	ir Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summar
19	Judgment. This Opposition is based upon the	attached points and authorities, all pleadings an
20	papers on file herein, attached hereto, and any	arguments that may be adduced at the time of
21	hearing on this matter, if any.	•
22	DATED this \mathcal{L}_{Q} day of October, 2009	9.
23		
24	HA	RØLD P/GEWERTER, ESQ., LTD.
25	Z	/// Land
26	- //* HA	ROLD P. GEWERTER, ESQ.
27		vada Bar No. 499
		05 Airport Drive rth Las Vegas, Nevada 89032
28		orney for Defendants

FACTS

On June 15, 2006, OneCap Partners 2, LLC (alternatively "Borrower" or "OneCap") and Kennedy Funding, Inc. ("Kennedy Funding") entered into a Loan and Security Agreement ("Loan Agreement"), pursuant to which Kennedy Funding (sometimes "Lender") made the Loan to OneCap to facilitate the purchase of the Property. The Loan is evidenced by a Promissory Note dated June 15, 2006 in the original principal sum of Twelve Million and 00/100 Dollars (\$12,000,000.00) ("Note"), from Lender to Borrower.

Pursuant to the Note, OneCap agreed to pay all principal, interest and other sums due under the Note in full on the Maturity Date of June 30, 2009, with a late charge equal to ten percent (10%) of the overdue payment, and a default rate of twenty five percent (25%) per annum. As further security for the Loan, Borrower executed and delivered to Lender that certain unimproved real property consisting of 78.74+ acres of raw land located in and along Casino Drive and the Colorado River in Laughlin, Nevada 89029, Clark County Assessor Parcel Number 264-25-101-001 and 264-25-201-001 (the "Property"), dated June 15, 2006, and recorded on June 15, 2006, with the Clark County Recorder's Office ("Recorder") as Instrument No. 20060615-0005324.

As security for the Loan, Borrower executed and delivered to Lender that certain Assignment of Leases and Rents on the Property dated June 14, 2006, and recorded on June 15, 2006, with the Recorder as Instrument No. 20060615-0005325. As additional security for the Loan, OneCap executed in favor of Kennedy Funding that certain Assignment of Licenses, Contracts, Plans, Specifications, Surveys, Drawings and Reports ("Assignment of Licenses") dated June 15, 2006. To further secure payment of the Note, on June 14, 2006, Vincent Hesser and OneCap Partners MM, Inc. (the "Guarantors") executed a personal unconditional guaranty of the Note to Kennedy Funding (the "Guaranties").

On April 1, 2008, OneCap defaulted under the Loan and Deed of Trust, including, but not limited to, OneCap's failure to make monthly installment payments under the Note in the amount of Two Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000.00) due April 1, 2008. OneCap subsequently transferred its interest in the Property to Nevada Ueno Mita, LLC. On December 26, 2008

Nevada Ueno Mita, LLC filed a Chapter 11 Bankruptcy Petition in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Nevada, Case No. BK-S-08-25487-BAM.

On August 7, 2009, Nevada Ueno Mita, LLC and Kennedy Funding entered into a Settlement Agreement (see Exhibit "1" attached hereto) which states in Section 1.01 (f) that "Kennedy Funding agrees to give Debtor up through and until six (6) months after entry of the Order approving this Settlement Agreement (the "Deadline") to pay off Kennedy Funding in full before Kennedy Funding forecloses on the property. Section 1.01 (g) states "The Parties agree that this Settlement Agreement shall not preclude, limit or abridge Kennedy Funding's rights to pursue any deficiency actions against the Guarantors of Debtor's obligations owing to Kennedy Funding.

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

1. Standard for Summary Judgment. There remain Triable Facts in Dispute in Plaintiff's Case.

Motions for Summary Judgment are governed by NRCP 56, which states in pertinent part:

(c) Motions for summary judgment and responses thereto shall include a concise statement setting forth each fact material to the disposition of the motion which the party claims is or is not genuinely in issue, citing the particular portions of any pleading, affidavit, deposition, interrogatory, answer, admission, or other evidence upon which the party relies. The judgment sought shall be rendered forthwith if the pleadings, depositions, answers to interrogatories, and admissions on file, together with the affidavits, if any, show that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and that the moving party is entitled to a judgment as a matter of law. A summary judgment, interlocutory in character, may be rendered on the issue of liability alone although there is a genuine issue as to the amount of damages. An order granting summary judgment shall set forth the undisputed material facts and legal determinations on which the court granted summary judgment.

The burden of proving the absence of triable facts allowing the entry of a summary judgment is upon the party moving for summary judgment. NRCP Rule 56(a). In addition, in deciding whether summary judgment is appropriate, evidence must be viewed in light most favorable to party against whom summary judgment is sought, and factual allegations, evidence,

and all reasonable inferences in favor of that party must be presumed correct. NGA #2, LLC v. Robert O. Rains, 113 Nev. 1151, 946 P.2d 163 (1997). In considering a motion for summary judgment, district courts must construe evidence presented in light most favorable to the party against whom summary judgment is sought; all of non-movant's statements must be accepted as true, and district courts may not pass on credibility of affidavits. Walker v. American Bankers Ins. Group, 108 Nev. 533, 836 P.2d 59 (1992).

The central factual issues of this case remain unresolved, and therefore, this matter is not a proper subject for summary judgment.

2. The Bankruptcy Petition and Settlement Agreement create genuine issues of Material Fact.

On August 7, 2009, Nevada Ueno Mita, LLC and Kennedy Funding entered into a Settlement Agreement (see Exhibit "1" attached hereto) which states in Section 1.01 (f) that "Kennedy Funding agrees to give Debtor up through and until six (6) months after entry of the Order approving this Settlement Agreement (the "Deadline") to pay off Kennedy Funding in full before Kennedy Funding forecloses on the property. Section 1.01 (g) states "The Parties agree that this Settlement Agreement shall not preclude, limit or abridge Kennedy Funding's rights to pursue any deficiency actions against the Guarantors of Debtor's obligations owing to Kennedy Funding.

Thus with the automatic bankruptcy stay in place on the property and the six (6) month hold period of the Settlement Agreement, Summary Judgment cannot be granted against the Guarantors at this time as there remain issues as to whether there will be a deficiency upon the sale of the Property which sale cannot be held for at least six (6) months under the Settlement Agreement. As the terms of the Settlement Agreement, namely 1.01(g), limit the actions against the Guarantors to deficiency actions, the Guaranties are no longer unconditional and are subject to the prior sale of the property which may or may not result in a deficiency.

Therefore, there remain material genuine issues of fact in this matter and Summary Judgment is not appropriate in this matter.

CONCLUSION For the foregoing reasons, the Court should deny the Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment in its entirety and allow this case to be tried before the trier of fact. DATED this / day of October, 2009. HAROLD P. GEWERTER, ESQ., LTD. HAROLDA. GEWERTER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 499 2705 Airport Drive North Las Vegas, Nevada 89032 Attorney for Defendants **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING** Certification is hereby made that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment was served this UM day of October, 2009, in the following manner: X By being placed into an envelope bearing First Class Postage and placed into the U.S. Mails, this same date, addressed to the following individuals; and/or By being hand delivered to the following individuals at their last known address, this same date, as follows; and/or X By being served via facsimile to the following individuals at their last know facsimile number, this same date, as follows: Richard F. Holley, Esq. Ogonna M. Atamoh, Esq. Santoro, Driggs, Walch, Kearney, Holley & Thompson 400 South Fourth Street, Third Floor

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Las Vegas, NV 89101

Fax: (702) 791-1912

An employee of Harold P. Gewerter, Esq., Ltd.

0005225. A true and correct copy of the Acelonment of Leasus and Rents is altached as Exhibit 4.

This SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT (the "AGREEMENT"), dated Auguste made and entered his by and between NEVADA UEND MITA, LLC (in and KENNEDY FUNDING, INC. ("Kennady Funding") (all collectively, the "Pa

RECITALS

WHEREAS, on June 15, 2008, OneCap Panhars 2; LLC (ellemething "Boltower" OneCap") and Keinholy, Funding enhance into a Loan and Sobully Agreement Class Agreements, pressent to which Kennedy Euriding male the Usan in OneCap to scalling the purchase of the Property. Altue and correct popy of the Kean Agreement is ellipsed as Exhibit "#".

WHEREAS, the Loun is authenread by a Pramissory Note dated June 15, 2008 in construct principal; aum of Treatve, Million; and Ott/60 Dollars (\$12,000,000,00). ("Note), from Lander to Borower. A true and correct popy of the Note is allacted as Exhibit "2":

• ₫.

WHEREKS, under the Note, CheCarphonissed to pay Kangady Funding monthly integratings of accused interest and a state of Earner and One half (11%%) percent from July 1, 2006 through July 1, 2006 through July 1, 2007 to be paid monthly on the legit (ay of the month prorto-when the Interest is dies.

WEEREAS, under the Note, OneCap promised to pay Kernedy Furding months insulations of account fellorest only 4s a rate of Editionen (NEX) persons per source; account for the LES of the Cap of the Ca

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Note, CheCop surrent to pay all principal, interest and other teams the under the Note in full on the Maturity Date. Pursuant to set and surrentments to the Loan Documents; the Maturity Date is Jule 30, 2009, the "Maturity Date").

WHEREAS, the Noise provided that in the swart of a late psyrient imper the storiet ground to pay a late charge squal to lan percent (10%) of the overdoe want. Nole.

WHEREAS, on March 24, 2009, Doctor fled the Debter's Plan of Represented Ports. No. 391.

WHEREAS, on May 27, 2009, Kennedy Funding fliad a Motion to Terminate. Automato Stoy Parauant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(4)(3) and Walvar of the 10-Day Stay, under FRIBP 400 (3)(3), of In the altermative, Modor to Convett or Dismas Caso.

2 : C. ×. Y, 3

~

WHEREAS; the Parties have negotiated and reached this Agreement in good

WHEREAS, if is the dealer of the Parties to reache and settle to the contested confirmed on of the Plan of Reorganization and this Modern to tentilitate Stay, both set for hearing on August 18, 2009, at 3:00 p.m.; and

WHEREAS, the Note provided that in the event of a school OneGen agreed to pay a delend tale of twenty fire parcent (25.0%) for empring

WHEREAS, as further security for the Loan, Borrower axeculed and delivered to it that cortain Deed of Trust with Security Agreement, Financing Statement for finite and Assignment of Renia (fire Trust and Assignment) of Statement for the Colorador and Trust and Board of Trust and Assignment of Statement for the Colorador River, in Langfuln, as 80/29, Cleric Colorador Statement of Principles and assignment of Trust and Statement of Trust and Statement policies; 20/08, with that Caris County Richard County Richard County Richard County Richard Statement policies; 20/08, with that Caris County Richard Statement Program Sta 2 9 8 8 X

WRENEAS, as further security for the Loan, Borrower executed and delivered to Landle that contain Assignment of Loases and Rente on the Property dated June 14, 2006, and necotided on June 15, 2006, with the Reconder as Instituting IT No. 20060615

5 2

CHARTER STREET

BREMAINDIER OF PACE LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY

WHEREAS, as authlonal security for the Loan, OneCap executed in face of Kennedy Principalities beginned Assignment of Leaneset, Confuder, Plants Specifications, Surveys, Drawings and Report ("Assignment of Leaneset") dated June 16, 2006. A frue and coreat copy of the Assignment of Leanese is attachable as Exhibit "0". WHEREAS, on April 1, 2008, OneCap defaulted under the Loan and Deep of Trust, Including, that not limited to, OneCap's father to make, monthly installment payment tabler the Note in this amount of \$250,000 due April 1, 2008. WHENEAS: GreCep renserred its interest in the Roberty to the Debtor and the Clark County Records Records the Debtor as holding a fee simple interest in the Property: WHEREAS, on December 28, 2008 (Paletan Dals), three (3) days before the December 29, 2005 (order), Nevada Usop filed a Chapter 11 Bankantoy Palition in the United States Bankantoy for the Dalifich of Nevada Case No. Bic. 26487-88, the Pankantop (Office No. 1). Writing, on official committee of unsecured orgalities (the "Committee") has been appointed by the Office of the Middle States Musica (the "List Trustee"). WHEREAS, Debtoots bankingtoy asse to a single-asset test extate case constituting of the Property. WHEREAS as of the date of the Bankrupky, the outstanding balance Debtor every to Kengey, Funding under the Loan Agreement and Note was 815,011,11162; WHEREAS, the Debtor maintains control of its affairs, as debtor in possession pursuant to Sections, 1107 and 1108 of the Bankingtoy Cado, WHENEAS, at March 25, 2009, Debtor filed the Debtor's Disclosure Statement (Dist, No. 40), and attracted Disclosure Statement on May 18, 2009 (Dist, No. 40). r-a v. ¢ = 2 2 2 ಲ್ಲ ಆ . 2 5

éc ≘. 2 SDW REPRES PRINCH SON

SEMM SERVICES AND SERVICES WALCH

* 2

2 × ×

<u>:</u> 'n 'n



2 8 -

THE AGREEMENT

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY AGREED AS FOLLOWS:

1.01 TERMINATION OF AUTONATIC STAY: AS TO KENNEDY FUNDIN automatic stay shaft terminate as to Kennedy Funding II no sale or payal trists.

Ē

va.

in the event the Property is not said within six (6) months of the entry of the Outst approximation that Southern Appearation, or in this event Kennedy Funding its not past within as (6) months of the entry of the Outst approximation is Selected to the Conference of Property of the Outst and the Southern Selected to the Outst and Funding and the American Color at Estable Amount of the Selected to 15 With 1975 Funding and the Amount of State Outst Amount of Intervals State Outst Amount of State Outst Amount of Intervals State Outst Outst

Could shist not benefor title to the Property absent payment in full Kenriety Funding of its outstanding obligation

3 3

2 = 2 2

Debtor shall not charge, like name of the Debtor with the Nevade Secretary of State or otherwise absent payment in 148 to Kennedy Funding of Debtor couldenging obligation.

The Bankspitcy Court shall enter an Order toynedlately terminating the automatic stay as to Kennedy Funding without hard. Fx futher, hearing upon the filling of an Affidavif of the Salie of the Property alballed by Kennedy-Funding with the Benkruptcy Court, at the Sapiration six of the Management Agreement.

Ö

uş. 4 Upon termination of the automatic sity pursuant to this settlement Agreement, the Petites Service that Knamody, Eurising may left the Petites Service on the Ricopaury immediately after the research stage to show for forecasting on the Propury immediately after the edge to the order of the manualing the Stay, and the Parlies agrees to well as the order of the minded to the stage of the stage o

Keinbeld, Puntang, agrees to give Delifor, up incough and und soir. [8] months after entry or his Order approving this Selforneut-Agreenent (the Geneditor) to pay of Kennedy Funding in 10th hatties Kennedy Funding in 10th hatties Kennedy Funding in 10th hatties Kennedy Funding.

S

8 8 র The Paries agree that the Settement Agreement shell not predude. Intri-or abridge Kennedy Funding's rights to pureue any deliblency actions against the Operatorics of Debots obligations owing to Kennedy Funding. 3 3

The Pattles agree that the August 14, 2009, decovery desdiline that is stayed, and the hearings set for confintation of the Plan of Reorganization and the Molion to Terminate Stay; both socion August 18, 2009, at 3:00 and the Molion to Terminate Stay; both socion August 18, 2009, at 3:00

8 8 8 6

p.m., shall be talken off calendar and reset for hearing pending Bankruptoy Court, approver of the likeliber to Approve Settlement Appending and that the friending on the challerination of the Plan of Reorganization, be confinited to a plais set by the Court six (6) neother after entry of the Order approving the Settlement.

1.02 KENNEDY EUNDING'S REPRESENTATIONS. IIS to Deltor as follows.

and fully expects That no promise or inducement has been offered except as sel forth herein; That it has antered this title AGREEMENT in good faith on to perform inder this AGREEMENT to the best of its ability.

3 3 Ð.

That this AGREEMENT has boar carefully read in the entirety by Kennedy Fighting, within hee had the benefit and educes of counsel of the choosing

That in elitering into this AGPEENENT and this seatlement contains fission. Kerviedy Flitting is acting freely and voluntarity, without influence computation of disease of any thin, from any source, including but in finding to, any other party of perflas, their attorneys representations of envisore signing or putporting is est on bettealf of env. party. Ē

0 - nn (1) 103 DEBLORS REPRESENTATIONS. Deutor represents and vientants to dy Funding. That if has entered into this AGREBNENT in good talm and fully expedis-to perform under this AGREEINENT to the best of its ability. 3

2 2 2

SOM SANDER DESCES WALET

That it is comparent to sign this AGREEMENT.

2 5

8 ø.

8

That no promise of inducement has been offered except as sol totil basein. **& 3**

Thei this AGREENENT copyained harain has book carefully read in its sentiaty by Detror, Which has led the boneff and advice of course of its choosing. Ê

That in antadna into this AGREENENT and the settlement contained herein. Debtor is acting freely and voluntarily, without influence, particulation or divises of early fact from any source, including but not limited the, any cline; party or jobbas; the attaments representations or anyone actings; popperfloat or behalf of any party. 3

2.01 ATIORNEY FEES, If any action is commenced to enforce the terms of this AGREEMENT, this prevailing party shall be entitling to recover all of the expension relating to such solicing without limitation, the reasonable automays here and

2.02 INTEGRATION AND AMENDMENT. This AGREENENT reprosents the full and complete integration of the AGREEMENT between the parties and is the complete expression thereof. a a a a a 7 E

2.03. ANGRONENTS. This AGREENENT may not be modified or amended except in writing algued by the Parlies.

100 ch. 50 ä אגישונג אטריב, ב אוטאיפטא פעאנטטט סטומפפ אערכא

3

2.64. SEVERABILITIC. It airy portions of this AGNEEMENT or the application literary to any person or distinstance belief to be application or distinstance belief to be application the including of this AGNEEMENT and the application thereof shall not be articoded and analytic periodicipate to the full and existing permitted by law.

2.15. AGREGABINT VOLUNTARILY AND QLEARLY UNDERSTOOD. Each park to this agregment acknowledges and declarabilities if:

(p) Enjars into this Agreement voluntarity, fees from undue fullbence, coercion or durees of any find: (a) in july and completely informed as to the lade reteing to the subject matter of this Agreement and as to the rights, duties sput labilities of all partes.

2.05 COVERNING LAW. This Selfement Agreement shell be poverted by and construed in accordance with the laws of the Stele of Verieds, except as such laws may, be preempted of supersaded by the laws of the United Stries.

2.00 SETTLENENT AGREEMENT AS DEFENSES TO FUTURE ACTIONS. This Settlement Agreement may be plad as a list and complete version that It may be used as the basis for an injurision squitti any scillori, suit, or other proceeding beased on the deline indicated by the Settlement Agreement.

2.07 COUNTERPARTS. This Settlement Agricoments may be unactived in one on more counterparts, confirmed by tecsted signatures an instituted by telephone, sech of which is half be desired at supplicate original, but all clayfully logarities will constitute but one and the same instrument. A fearingle signature shall also constitute an original signature of this Settlement.

2:08 HEADINGS FOR CONVENENCE ONLY. The Parties achowledge that the paragraph headings contained heapin analytic for the convenience of the Parties. The substance and problems hereof control without fregard to the freedings.

2

**

=

173

2

2.09 RREPARATION OF SEIDLEMENT AGRETIMENT. The Parties revoked that this Salidoment Agretiment has been negatived and propered in an inscinction for any that all Parties and delained to pay trained this Salidoment and this Salidoment and this Salidoment and this Salidoment and the salidoment and t

2.10 AUTHORITY TO EXECUTE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT. Each Farty represents and versions that the the authority to execute this Settlement Agreement and background has the same assigned such rights, for any other statums released hards, to any other Porty by well of explicit assignment, subriggillon, operation of law, or otherwise.

1 0

~

2.11 OTHER ACTS AND DOCUMENTS. The Parities to undefine such other acts and execute such other accurants as may be responditly necessary to effect the purpose and intential fulls. Solliement Agreement. គ គ

ä a 6 7 S. 3 33

> 2.12 SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS, This AGREEMENT shall be binding upon and shall have 10 the bendin of the patiens have and their respective personal representatives, accessors as a segon, such as the case may be: 2 2 2

2.13 WANYER OF BREACH. The waven by lone party of any breach of this annothing the breach of the provision of a future breach of the provision of a water of any other provision of this Agreement. Xį.

× A 20

= ~ 12 Ť 3 2 20 <u>ء</u> 9 KEYMEN HOLLER B DIONISON

IN WITHERS WHEREOF, the Parties execute this Sottlement Agriculant as of the cisy and year first written above.

Dated this 7th day of August, 2009.

2.16 REPRESENTATION BY COUNSEL. The Parless soch warmin and acknowledge that (I) they have read and undurational the faithe of this Settlement Agreement (II) they have hed the opportunity identication like faithe of their bedoe incomplicate the importance of their bedoe incomplicate the ingredent which proceded the against of his Settlement Agreement and refu scoay, on their counsel is representable to.

2

(a) Fully and comoletaly understands, each provision of this Agreement.

d) Has carefully read each of the provisions of this Agreement. (c) Has given careful thought to the making of this Agreement.

* 2

Thereby confity that La. Thompson, and that of the opys of WOTION TO APPR BANKRUPTCY RULE-2019 W MANICHUPTCY RULE-2019 W MANICHUPTCY RULE-2019 W MAS altertronically filed on the automatically genericle by that of the automatically genericle by that occurrent for mailing in the Newda, to the parties sisted on Newda, to the parties sisted on Sevenation of Comment for over maintained for such purpose, a construction of the delivery address, on the comment vist faccingles, to the attached service list, on the data attached service list, on the data occurrent.	Case 98-25487-barn Doc 82 Entered 08/18/09/15/17:09 Page 17 of 17	
Thompson, and that I at Thompson, and that I at the copy of MCHUTCY RULE 5013 W. BANKRUITCY RULE 5013 W. S. (REECTRONIC) To APPRE BANKRUITCY RULE 5013 W. S. (REECTRONIC) To APPRE BANKRUITCY RULE 5013 W. (United States Bankruitcy C was antertrainically generation of the automatically generation of the automatically generation of the automatical for multiple in the 1 Newada, to the parties listed on the determined for such purpose, a content and for such purpose, a content of the	CENTRICATE OF SERVICE	
Sopy of WOTHON TO APPROVE COMPROMER AND SEITLEMENT FURBLENT BRANCE in the following manning the United States Bankengies Court for the District of Newday, the Addingstradus Court for the District of Newday, the Notice of Electronia and maintained States Bankengies Court for the District of Newday, the Notice of Electronia and maintained preparation of the Addingstradus and the STATES MAIL) By depositing a copy of the above-yellow document for mailing in the United States Mail, first class postage preparat at Las Newday, to the parties fisted on the attached service list, at their last known malling address. (UNITED STATES MAIL) By depositing a copy of the above-yellow document for mailing in the United States Mail, first class to sopy of the above-yellow mailing in the United States and the states on the attached service is at the transmitted for such ranges, on the date above-yellow. (RACSIMALE) That I service is time and correct copy of the above-yellow of the signification of the service is to the fasting windows to define the periods. The fasting the service is the service is to the fasting the service is the service is the service is the service is to the fasting the service is th		e de ce
BANKRUPRCYRULE 5019 WITH CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE in the following mann STETECTRONIC SERVICE). Under Administrative Order 427-1 (Rev. 8-3) in thinicil States Bankauptey Court for the District of Nevalin, the above-referenced donormatically generated by that Court's faultities. UNITED STATES MAIL) By depositing a copy of the above-referenced document for meiling in the United States Mail, fort class posinge primari, at Las Nevada, to the parties fisted on the atherited service list, at their last known mailing address the object of the above-referenced document for overlight, delivery via Fielderal Express, at a collection for maintained for such expresses, and decreased to the garries on the attended service list, at the known delivery address, on the date above-refer document via facilities, on the date above-refer decreased service list, and facilities above-refer document via facilities they written. (FACSIMILE) That I served a true and correct copy of the above-refer decreased service list, on the facilities above-refer decreased service list, and facilities above-refer decreased service list, and facilities above-refer decreased service list, and facilities above written. (FACSIMILE) That I served a true and correct copy of the above-refer decreased service list, and facilities above written. (FACSIMILE) That I served a true and correct copy of the above-refer decreased service list, and facilities above written. (FACSIMILE) That I served a true and correct copy of the above-refer decreased service list, and facilities above written.	SOW OF WOTION TO APPROVE COMPROMISE AND SETTLEMENT FURSUAN	2
In thirse States Bankengricy Court for the District of Nevola, the above-referenced dos was alectronically fluid on the data beset and served through the Notice or Electronic autominically genericated by that Court's had lines. □ (UNITED STATES MAIL) By depositing a copy of the above-referenced dos unterinationally genericated by that Court's had lines. □ (UNITED STATES MAIL) By depositing a copy of the above-referenced document for mailing in the United States Mail, first class posinge prepaid, at Lass Newada, to the parties listed on the attached service list, at the district on the attached service list, at the translational for such purpose, addressed to the gardes on the attached service list, at the known delivery address, on the date above-refer document via faceimile, to the date above-refer document via faceimile, to the faceimile tumbers indiffaced, to flow persons listed attached service list, on the date above-refer document via faceimile to the faceimile tumbers indiffaced, to flow persons listed attached service list, on the date above written. □ (#ACSIMILE) That I served a true indicated to flow persons listed attached service list, on the date above written. □ (#ACSIMILE) That I served a true indicated to flow persons listed attached service list, on the date above written.	BANKRUPTCY RULE 9019 WITH CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE In the following means	1:
no United States Bankauptoy Court for the District of Nevering, the above-referenced dos was electronically filled on the data bereef and served through the Notice of Electronic automatically gamented by that Court's hallities. (UNITED STATES MAIL) By depositing a copy of the above-rule document for mailing in the United States Mail, first class possage prepaid, at Las Nevada, to the parties listed on the attached service list, at their last known mailing address the document for court-purpose, addressed to the sparties on the attached service list, at the known delivery address, on the date above-viritien. (PACSIMILE) That I served a true and correct copy of the above-refer document via faceinale, to the face above-viritien. (PACSIMILE) That I served a true and correct copy of the above-refer document via faceinale, to the faceinale numbers indiffaced, to do persons listed attached sprivice list, on the date above written. (PACSIMILE) That I served a true and correct copy of the above-refer document via faceinale, to the faceinale numbers indiffaced, to do persons listed attached sprivice list, on the date above written. (PACSIMILE) That I served a true and correct copy of the above-refer deciment via faceinale approach to the faceinale numbers and faceinale to the faceinale and correct copy of the above-refer deciment. And demanded approaches the faceinale and correct copy of the above-refer deciment. And demanded approaches the faceinale and correct copy of the above-refer deciment. And demanded approaches the faceinale and correct copy of the above refer deciment. And demanded attached a true and correct copy of the above refer deciment. And demanded and demanded attached a true and correct copy of the above refer deciment. And demanded and demanded and demanded attached a true and correct copy of the above refer demanded and demanded attached a true and correct copy of the above refer demanded and demanded attached a true and demanded attached a true and demanded attached attached attached attached at		4) of
was alrectronically filled out the date beeset and served iteration the Notice of Electronic anticinationally gargerised by that Gout's hallings. (UNITED STATES MAIL) By depositing a copy of the attorerated document for meiling far that United States Mail, fars, class postage prépaid, at Los Newada, to the parties fisited on the athorised service list, at their last known malling adquess the date above written. (QVERNIGHT COURIER) By depositing a true and correct copy of the archeracy address, on the date above written. (PACSIMILIS) That I served a true and correct copy of the above refer document via the signality. That I served a true and correct copy of the above refer document via the signality. That I served a true and correct copy of the above refer document via the signality. That I served a true and correct copy of the above refer document via the signal date dove written. (FACSIMILIS) That I served a true and correct copy of the above refer document via the signal date dove written. (FACSIMILIS) That I served a true and correct copy of the above refer document via the signal date of the above refer demonstrate of Sandry. Diffuse, and the factore of Sandry. Holley & Thompson.	the United States Bankauptey Court for the District of Nevedu, the above-referenced des	ment
automatically generated by flat Court's halflitte. (UNITED STATES MAIL) By depositing a copy of the attove-rule document for mailing in the Utilico States Mail, first slass postage prepaid, at Lass Newada, to the parties fissed on the althoried service list, at their last known malfing address the document, for overnight delivery via Redexal Express, at a collection in maintained for such purpose, addressed to the parties on the attached service list, at the known delivery address, on the date above-viritien. (PACSIMILE) That I served a true and correct copy of the above-refer document: yis, faceimile, to the faceimile numbers indefined; to fidus power-refer document: yis, faceimile, to the faceimile numbers indefined; to fidus persons listed estrates list, on the date above written. **An femployse of Station Dings.** **An femployse of Statio	was alterionically flied on the date befeet and served through the Notice of Electronic	Sail.
CONTRED STATES MAIL, By depositing a copy of the attoversible decomment for mailing in the United States Mail, first class postage prepaid, at Lass Newda, to the parties listed on the attached service list, at their last known mailing address. The discussion of the attached service copy of the a referenced document for overnight delivery via Releval Equess, at a collection in maintained for such purpose, address on the discussion of the attached service list, at the known delivery address, on the discussion in the attached service list, on the discussion is numbers independent of the above-refer decimals, to the facilities in manufact, to page persons listed attached service list, on the discussion written. Comment via facilities above written. Compose of Sandrey, Mailes, All pages (Comment via the above refer of the above refer attached service list, on the distret above written.) Compose of Sandrey, Holley & Thompson (Compose of Sandrey, Holley & Thompson (Compose of Sandrey) Compose of Sandrey (Compose of Sandrey (Compose of Sandrey) Compose of Sandrey (Compose of Sandrey) Compose of Sandrey (Compose of Sandrey (Compose of Sandrey (Compose of Sandrey (Compose of Sandrey (automatically generated by that Court's facilities.	
decoment for mailing in the United States Mail, first slass postage prepaid, at Lass Newada, to the parties listed on the almorted service list, at their last known mailing address the side above written. OVERNIGHT COURIER) By depositing a tree and correct copy of the arabide document, for overnight delivery was referenced document, for overnight delivery was referred express, at a collection if maintained for such entroses, addressed to the parties on the attached service list, at the known delivery address, on the date above virities. (#ACSIMILE) That I served a true and correct copy of the above refer document was faceimals, to the facinities in tumbers indicated, to days persons listed estached service list, on the date above written. Anything of the above written.	(UNITED STATES MAIL) By depositing a copy of the above rule	pack
Newde, to the parties listed on the almoned service, list, at their last known mailing address the doise above written. (OVERMICHT COURIER) By depositing a true and contect copy of the analysed document for overlight delivery will reparties on the attached service list, at the known delivery address, an the date above written. (FACSIMILE) That I served a true and correct copy of the above-refer document via facsimile, to the facsimile numbers indicated to persons listed attached service list, on the date above written. An /employee of Santotry, Directs, May and the above refer when the service list, on the date above written.	decement for mailing in the United States Mall, first class postage prepaid, at Las	C833
the dole above written. [OVERNIGHT COURIER) By depositing a true and sorrect copy of the a referenced document for overnight delivery via Redecial Express, at a collection, in maintained for such rurpose, addressed to the parties on the attached service list, at the known delivery address, on the date above viritien. [(RACSINILE) That I served a true and correct copy of the above refer document, via facsimile, to the facsimile, but the above written. [(RACSINILE) That I served a true and correct copy of the above refer document, via facsimile, to the facsimile numbers, independent, to flags persons listed attached service list, on the date above written. [(A)	Nevada, to the parties listed on the atnothed service list, at their last known malling address	S St
referenced document for overnight delivery via Rederal Express, at a collection if mealintained for such purpose, addressed to the sparties on the stracked service list, at the known delivery address, on the date show-viritien. (FACSIMILE) That I served a true and correct copy of the above-refer document was facsimile, to the facsimile, to the facsimile, to the facsimile, to the facsimile than the correct copy of the above-refer stracked service list, on the date chove written. (Any Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark	the date above written.	
referenced document for overnight delivery via Rederal Express, at a collection, in maintained for such purpose, addressed to the parties on the attached service list, at the known delivery address, on the date shows written. (FACSIMILE) That I served a true und correct copy of the above-refer document via facsimile, to the facsimile numbers indicated to persons listed estrached survice list, on the date above written. An employee of Santory, Driggs, Nearth of the changes.	COVERMICHT COURIER). By depositing a trio and course copy of the	DOX.C.
maintained for such raupose, addressed to the parties on the attached service list, at the known delivery address, on the date above written. (RACSINGLE) That I served a true tan correct copy of the above refer deciming, to the fastimile numbers independ; to flow persons listed attached service list, on the date above written. Antemployee of Sandory, Diggs, Nedmey, Holley & Thompson 100.	reserved document for overright delivery via Roderal Express, at a collection i	cillio.
known delivery address, on the date above vritten. (#ACSIMILE) That I served a true and correct copy of the above refer deciment, via facsimils, to the facsimils, the facsimilar of Sander, Diggs, Kadmey, Holley & Thompson.	maintained for such mypose, addressed to the parties on the attached service list, at the	r Jast
(RACSIMILE) That I served a true and correct copy of the above-refer effective facinitie, to the facinitie pumbers indicated, to discovered isted entracted earwise list, on the date above written. An / An	brown delivery address, on the date above written.	
decimient, via facsimile, to the fassimile numbers indepent; to flow persons listed affacing spritter list, on the date above written. Antemployee of Sandors, Driges, Sedmey, Holley & Thompson newspeaking spritters.		sices
estached survice list, on the date above written. An /employee of Statisty, Diggs, Kedmey, Holley & Thompson. 16.	document the incimile, to the incimile immeas indicated to ados	n the
An Jemployee of Statistic, Diggs, Kedrancy, Holley & Thompson, to company the state of the state	**	
Andernopologica of Sandory, Driggs, Nearmer, Holley & Thompson, 16.		
201.	ולגע	, (C)
**************************************	-	
***pataisi.ken-enzoo		i,
***pataisi.iven-cozoo		<u></u>
onesta istinati eccono.	· ·	
	on the state of th	 .
. ,.	·	
•		deor-d-I

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I HEREBY CERTIFY that, on the 21 day of September, 2010, and pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I deposited for mailing in the U.S. Mail a true and correct copy of the foregoing RESPONDENT KENNEDY FUNDING, INC.'S APPENDIX, postage prepaid and addressed to:

Harold P. Gewerter, Esq. HAROLD P. GEWERTER, ESQ., LTD 2705 Airport Drive North Las Vegas, NV 89032 Attorneys for Appellants

An employee of Santoro, Driggs, Walch,

Kearney, Holley & Thompson