27

28

1

2

3

intimidating, possessive, and controlling. <u>Id</u>. When Ms. Eddins told the Defendant that she no longer wanted to be in a dating relationship with him the Defendant was not happy about that and, "would not take no for an answer." GJT p. 10.

On September 29, 2008, Ms. Eddins was at her home with her children. This home is located at 1519 Laguna Palms in Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada. Id. The Defendant came over that day and became furious at the fact that he was no longer allowed access to Ms. Eddins home. GJT p. 11. In fact, Ms. Eddins had gone as far as unplugging the garage so that the Defendant could not use a garage opener to get into the home. Id. When asked why Ms. Eddins unplugged the garage she responded, "Because I didn't want to be with him and at that point I was definitely getting scared and fearing for my life because his behavior had changed." Id. Therefore, after Ms. Eddins unplugged the garage, the Defendant had no access to gain entry into the residence, and he no longer had permission to enter. GJT p. 11. When the Defendant realized that Ms. Eddins had unplugged the garage he became furious and began calling the house phone demanding that Ms. Eddins open the door. He then jumped the wall in the backyard and listened at the window in an attempt to figure out who Ms. Eddins was speaking with on the phone. GJT p. 12. When Ms. Eddins realized that the Defendant was outside her window she told him that she was going to call the police and the Defendant responded, "Go ahead, call the police." Id. The Defendant then went to the front door and began to bang on it, eventually Ms. Eddins opened the door. Id. When the Defendant entered the house he began asking where the house key was, he then went into the backpack of one of the children and grabbed the keys to the home and then left. Id. Ms. Eddins then went out front to see where the Defendant was and she realized that two of her tires on her vehicle had been damaged. Id. Ms. Eddins then went inside and called 911. Id. Ms. Eddins had her tires repaired that evening. GJT p. 14.

The next morning, September 30, 2008, Ms. Eddins received a phone call from the Defendant. The Defendant was enraged at the fact that Ms. Eddins had called the police and had gotten a restraining order against him. <u>Id</u>. She then went to work at around 11:30 in the morning. While at work the Defendant continuously called Ms. Eddins and then ultimately

C:\Program Files\Neevia.Com\Document Converter\temp\590735-665282.DOC

///

showed up at her place of employment at 4:15 pm. GJT p. 15. The Defendant came inside Ms. Eddins work, stole her cell phone, and then slashed all four of her vehicle's tires again. GJT p. 16. Ms. Eddins then called the police. <u>Id</u>. The Defendant continued to call Ms. Eddins and threaten her with comments such as, "Give me my mother fucking shit. I know you got my shit. I want my shit. If you don't give me my mother fucking shit I'm going to knock all this shit off." GJT p. 17.

After Ms. Eddins called the police she immediately called her children and told them to leave the house and go to the neighbors. <u>Id</u>. This occurred at 4:45 pm. GJT p. 18.

Vivian Furlow is a close friend of Shalana Eddins and has known her for about ten years. GJT p. 23. On September 30, 2008 Ms. Furlow received a call from Shalana Eddins father asking her to go pick up Shalana's children at the neighbor's house. Ms. Furlow got to the neighborhood around 6:15 – 6:30 pm. As she was approaching the neighborhood of Laguna Palms she saw the Defendant driving in a rush out of the neighborhood. Id. Ms. Furlow then went to the neighbor's house to pick up the children. After picking up the children she approached Ms. Eddins house and learned that it was on fire. Id.

Shortly after this incident, Ms. Furlow called the Defendant's cell number and listened to a rap he had personally composed and recorded in his own voice which had been downloaded as his ringtone. During the rap the Defendant talks about his "baby's mama" and states that "if you can't stand the heat you got to get out of the kitchen or you'll burn up just like her house." GJT p. 26.

Robert Eddins is Shalana Eddins father. He also had telephonic contact with the Defendant on the day the fire occurred. GJT p. 44. In the first conversation the Defendant told Mr. Eddins that he could tell his daughter "we're even now." GJT p. 45. Then around 6:15 – 6:30 pm, Mr. Eddins received another phone call from the Defendant, where the Defendant told Mr. Eddins that his daughter's house was on fire. GJT p. 46. Mr. Eddins stated that the Defendant notified him of the fire before the police even knew about it. GJT. P. 48.

C:\Program Files\Neevia.Com\Document Converter\temp\590735-665282.DOC

Jeff Lomprey is the investigations captain for the North Las Vegas Fire Department's fire arson unit. GJT p. 30. During his investigation Captain Lomprey found that there were multiple fires set within the house, in three separate and distinct areas. GJT p. 34. The three locations were the master bed which had female clothing on the bed; the master bedroom closet with female clothing in the closet on the floor that was piled up and also burned; and the living room couch. GJT p. 35. After Captain Lomprey's investigation he determined the cause of the fires to be arson which Captain Lomprey testified means, "an intentional act, willful and malicious, set with an open flame with a human hand with the intent to destroy the house and its contents." GJT p. 37.

ARGUMENT

A. THE GRAND JURY WAS PROPERLY INSTRUCTED AS TO THE EXTENT TO WHICH THE STATEMENTS TESTIFIED TO AT THE GRAND JURY COULD BE CONSIDERED

During her testimony before the Grand Jury, Ms. Eddins did state that her and the Defendant's relationship stopped shortly after he was released from prison. GJT p. 9. However, right after Ms. Eddins statement the Grand Jury was admonished by the District Attorney to completely disregard the statement. Id. In this case the State correctly and promptly instructed the members of the Grand Jury to disregard the statement of Ms. Eddins. The Grand Jury, as are all finders of fact, is assumed to follow the instructions as given. In this case the comment was a passing one, the jurors were immediately instructed to disregard the comment and questioning along another line resumed.

Assuming however, that this Court were to find that the statement referred to by the Petitioner was improperly admitted, the remedy in this case would clearly not be a dismissal of the Indictment as the Petitioner is requesting. In fact, the Nevada Supreme Court has previously addressed exactly such an issue. In <u>Franklin v. State</u>, 89 Nev. 382, (1973), the Court cites the decision in <u>State v. Logan</u>, 1 Nev. 509 (1865), in which the Court said: "[t]hat a grand jury should receive none but legal proof, is an old and well-established rule, but that the admission of evidence not strictly legal will authorize a setting aside of an indictment, is a proposition which seems to have no authority to sanction it, and, if adopted, would only be

///

an impediment to the execution of criminal justice, but where there is the slightest legal evidence, the court cannot inquire into its sufficiency, or set it aside, because some illegal evidence was received with it." Nevada is not alone in this holding. In fact, a number of other jurisdictions adhere to a similar rule. Clearly in this case, there was more than the "slightest legal evidence" and the Indictment should stand.

B. THE STATE PRESENTED SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE AS TO THE CHARGES OF BURGLARY AND ARSON

The petitioner claims that, "The State provided no evidence that Mr. Collins entered the residence, located at 1519 Laguna Palms, with the intent to commit the crime of arson, and therefore, failed to meet its burden of proving each element of the offense charged by 'slight or marginal evidence." Petitioner also claims that the State, "provided no evidence that Mr. Collins set fire to the residence."

The Nevada Supreme Court has set forth the standard of review for purposes of supporting a charging document:

In Grand Jury proceedings, the State need only show that a crime has been committed and that the accused probably committed it. The finding of probable cause to support a criminal charge may be based on "slight, even 'marginal' evidence . . . because it does not involve a determination of the guilt or innocence of the accused." Sheriff v. Hodges, 96 Nev. 184, 186, 606 P.2d 178, 180 (1980). "To commit an accused for trial, the State is not required to negate all inferences which might explain his conduct, but only to present enough evidence to support a reasonable inference that the accused committed the offense." Kinsey v. Sheriff, 87 Nev. 361, 363, 487 P.2d 340, 341 (1971).

In the case at hand there were many things that the Defendant did and said to show his intent while entering the house that day.

¹ See Coppedge v. United States, 114 U.S.App.D.C. 79, 311 F.2d 128 (1962); United States v. Doe, 455 F.2d 1270 (1st Cir. 1972); People v. Freudenberg, 121 Cal.App.2d 564, 263 P.2d 875 (1953); People v. Edwards, 42 Misc.2d 930, 249 N.Y.S.2d 325 (Orleans County Ct. 1964); Silbert v. State, 12 Md.App. 516, 280 A.2d 55 (1971); Wickline v. Alvis, 103 Ohio App. 1, 144 N.E.2d 207 (1957); State v. McDonald, 231 Or. 24, 361 P.2d 1001 71962); Burton v. State, 214 Term. 9, 377 S.W.2d 900 (1964).

a. Defendant's increasing acts of threats and violence against the victim, Shalana Eddins

Ms. Eddins testified before the grand jury that in the fall of 2008, after she had told the Defendant she no longer wanted to be with him he became, "intimidating, possessive, and controlling." GJT p. 9. The night before the fire was set the Defendant showed up at the residence demanding to be let in. When he wasn't immediately allowed access he continuously called the home and ultimately jumped the wall into the backyard. GJT p. 12. When the Defendant was finally let in, he got what he wanted and then left the home angry. After the Defendant left, Ms. Eddins immediately walked outside of her home to find that the tires on her vehicle had been slashed. GJT p. 14

Furthermore, the next day, in the early morning hours the Defendant again began continuously calling Ms. Eddins. The Defendant was angry that Ms. Eddins had called the police on him and was also angry that Ms. Eddins had gotten a restraining order. GJT p. 14. Later on in the day, just hours before the setting of the fire, the Defendant showed up at Ms. Eddins place of employment where he went inside and stole her cell phone. He then again slashed all four of Ms. Eddins tires and continuously called her and repeatedly threatened her. GJT p. 17.

It is obvious from both the Defendant's threats and his behavior that he was very angry with the victim Shalana Eddins because she no longer wanted to be in a relationship with him, therefore, the Defendant's motive is evident. In the days leading up to the fire the Defendant's behavior became more and more volatile and his threats became more serious until he ultimately carried them out on the 30th.

b. The Defendant's access to the home

During her testimony to the grand jury Ms. Eddins stated that the night before the fire was set the Defendant was trying to gain access to the house. When she finally allowed him in, he started asking where the house key was. When Ms. Eddins would not give him the key, he went into the backpack of one of the children and grabbed the keys to the house. He then left. GJT p. 12.

welcome there. When the Defendant was no longer welcome he repeatedly tried to find ways to gain access to the house such as using a garage opener, jumping the back fence etc. If the Defendant wanted something inside of the house he could have gotten it on the night before the fire was set, which was September 29, 2008. However, the Defendant did not get anything out of the house except for the key to gain access to the house when nobody else was there. If the Defendant did not have the intention of committing a crime upon entry on the 30th of September, why did he not get whatever he needed out of the house when he was there the night before? The State submits that it was the Defendant's intention to get a key so could gain access to the home on the 30th to set the fire.

The defendant did not have permission to access the home because he was no longer

c. The Defendant was seen leaving the scene of the crime in a hurry by witness Vivian Furlow

Shortly before picking up the children from their neighbor's house, Ms. Furlow saw the Defendant driving out of the neighborhood in a hurry. GJT p. 24.

d. Defendant's statements to the Robert Eddins

Mr. Eddins testified that he had multiple conversations with the Defendant on the day the fire was set. During one of these conversations the Defendant told Mr. Eddins that he could tell his daughter that "they were even now." GJT p. 45. In another conversation the Defendant notified Mr. Eddins that his daughter's house was on fire. GJT 46. The second of these statements occurred before even the police were aware the house had been burned.

These comments to Mr. Eddins illustrate that the Defendant did have the intent to enter the home to commit the crime of arson. He specifically tells Mr. Eddins to tell his daughter that they are "now even." Furthermore, he notifies Mr. Eddins about the fire within minutes of the fire being set. In fact he notified Mr. Eddins about the fire before the police had even been called. GJT p. 48.

e. Defendant's ringtone on his cellular phone

Vivian Furlow testified that shortly after the fire was set, she attempted to call the Defendant's cell phone. Instead of receiving a normal ring when calling the Defendant's cell

phone, he had recorded a rap which he made as his ring tone. In this rap he referred to his baby's mama and stated, "If you can't stand the heat you got to get out of the kitchen or you'll burn up just like her house." GJT p. 26.

Not only is there circumstantial evidence illustrating that the Defendant committed the crimes that he has been charged with, but he also admitted to the crimes he committed by recording a rap as his ringtone describing what he had done to the house of his "baby's mama" Shalana Eddins.

The Petitioner's position is that the State failed to meet the requisite burden of proof to show that both the crimes of Burglary and Arson were committed. However, the points discussed above illustrate that the Petitioner is incorrect.

The Defendant entered 1519 Laguna Palms on September 30, 2008 with the intent to commit Arson therein. His actions both the day before and the day of the fire illustrate how angry and volatile the Defendant was towards the victim. When the Defendant learned Ms. Eddins no longer wanted to be with him he could not handle it anymore. The Defendant was in the house the night before the fire was set, anything he wanted to get in the house, he could have gotten that night but he didn't. The only thing he did get was the key to gain entry to the house later, once he had the key he immediately left.

Furthermore, testimony by Shalana Eddins, Vivian Furlow, and Robert Eddins all illustrated that the children were sent to their neighbor's house immediately after the Defendant left Ms. Eddins place of employment around 4:45 pm. Therefore, the Petitioner's suggestion that the children let the Defendant into the home is incorrect.

Most importantly, the Defendant by his "lyrics", on his own phone illustrates his intentions on September 30th. In that ringtone he discusses both his baby's mama and burning up her house.

The Defendant's volatile behavior both the day before and the day of the incident illustrate the Defendant's intentions and motive in this case. That, coupled with the fact that he was seen leaving the crime scene, notified the victim's father that the house had been set on fire and stated that they were now even, and the Defendant's own admissions on his

cellular phone ringtone illustrate that the State had more than the requisite slight and 1 2 marginal evidence to have an indictment returned. 3 CONCLUSION 4 Based on the foregoing, the State respectfully requests that this Honorable Court deny 5 Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus. 6 DATED this 29th day of May, 2009. 7 Respectfully submitted, 8 DAVID ROGER 9 Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar # 002781 10 11 BY /s/JOSHUA TOMSHECK 12 JOSHUA TOMSHECK Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #009210 13 14 BY /s/JACQUELINE M. JEANNEY 15 JACQUELINE M. JEANNEY Deputy District Attorney 16 Nevada Bar #010625 17 CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC FILING 18 I hereby certify that service of the above and foregoing, was made this 29th day of 19 May, 2009, by Electronic Filing to: 20 21 PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE 22 Email: pdclerk@co.clark.nv.us 23 24 /s/A. HARDY Secretary for the District Attorney's 25 Office 26 27 08FN2225X/GCU:abh 28 C:\Program Files\Neevia.Com\Document Converter\temp\590735-665282.DOC 10

EXHIBIT "1"

CLERK OF THE COURT

S and there the property of SHALANA EDDINS, by use of open flame and flammable and/or 1 2 combustible materials, and/or by manner and means unknown. 3 **COUNT 2 - BURGLARY** did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously enter, with intent to commit 4 arson, that certain building occupied by SHALANA EDDINS, located at 1519 Laguna Palms 5 Avenue, North Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada. 6 COUNT 3 - MALICIOUS INJURY TO VEHICLE 7 8 did wilfully, unlawfully, and maliciously break, injure, or tamper with that certain motor vehicle owned by SHALANA EDDINS, to-wit: a FORD EXPEDITION, without the 9 consent of the owner thereof, for the purpose of injuring, defacing, or destroying such 10 vehicle, or temporarily or permanently preventing its useful operation, or for any purpose 11 against the will or without the consent of the owner thereof, by slashing and/or stabbing 12 and/or cutting into tires of said vehicle, the value of said damage being over \$250.00, and 13 less than \$5,000.00. 14 DATED this day of April, 2009. 15 lő DAVID ROGER DISTRICT ATTORNEY 17 Nevada Bar #00278 18 19 RY 20 Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #009210 21 22 23 ENDORSEMENT: A True Bill .24 25 Foreperson, Clark County Grand Jury 26 27 28 2 PAWPDOCS\IND\OUTLYING\8N3\8N222501.doc

Ş				
1	Names of witnesses testifying before	ore the Grand Jury:		
2	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	176 Judy Ct #B, Henderson, NV		
.3		8429 Vast Horizon, LVN		
4	LOMPREY, JEFFREY	2626 E Carey Ave, NLVN		
.5	EDDINS, ROBERT	9012 Alpine Peaks Ave, LVN		
6	Additional witnesses known to the District Attorney at time of filing the Indictment:			
7	COLLINS, TYSARS	176 Judy Crt #B, Henderson, NV		
8	COLLINS, TYSEAN	176 Judy Crt #B, Henderson, NV		
9	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS	NLV FIRE DEPARTMENT		
10	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS	NLV DETENTION CENTER		
11	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS	NLV PD COMMUNICATIONS		
12	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS	NLV PD RECORDS		
13	HARDY, KENNETH	LVMPD #3031		
14	HEER, DARLENE	PO Box 750754, LVN		
15	VITAL, MANUEL	NLV PD #1923		
16	WATKINS, ANTHONY	NLV PD #959		
17				
18		Andrews		
19	est de la company de la compan			
20	Name of the state	TOTAL CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRA		
21				
22				
23				
24				
25	,			
26				
27	08AGJ112X/08FN2225X/sam NLVFD EV# 0825792			
28	(TK3)			
		3 PENMPDOCSIINDAOLITE, YINGIRINZIRNZZZ501, doc		
1		The second secon		



PHILIP J. KOHN, PUBLIC DEFENDER **NEVADA BAR NO. 0556** 309 South Third Street, Suite 226 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 455-4685 Attorney for Defendant

FILED

2009 JUL -8 ₱ 2: 44

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. C253455X

DEPT. NO. XI

LESEAN TARUS COLLINS,

DATE: July 22, 2009 TIME: 9:00 a.m.

Defendant.

DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE OF EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE

COMES NOW, the Defendant, LESEAN TARUS COLLINS, by and through TIERRA D. JONES, Deputy Public Defender and hereby requests that, pursuant to Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83, 83 S.Ct. 1194 (1963), this Court order the State to produce any and all exculpatory evidence in its actual or constructive possession.

This Motion is made and based upon all the papers and pleadings on file herein, the attached Declaration of Counsel, and oral argument at the time set for hearing this Motion.

DATED this _ day of July, 2009.

PHILIP J. KOHN CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER

MERRA D. JONES, #1009

Deputy Public Defender

22

27



DECLARATION

TIERRA D. JONES makes the following declaration:

1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the State of Nevada; I am the Deputy Public Defender assigned to represent the Defendant in the instant matter, and the Defendant has represented the following facts and circumstances of this case.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. (NRS

53.045).

XECUTED this _____day of July, 2009

TIERRA D. JONE

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The Defendant seeks to compel the State to produce any and all exculpatory information in the State's possession.

ARGUMENT

Prior to trial, the State must provide to the defense any and all exculpatory evidence in its actual or constructive possession. Failure to do so violates the Due Process Clauses of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution. Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83, 83 S.Ct. 1194 (1963); Kyles v. Whitley, 514 U.S. 419, 115 S.Ct. 1555 (1995). Hereinafter this type of exculpatory evidence will be referred to as "Brady material." The State's duty to provide Brady material to the defense applies regardless of how the State has chosen to structure its overall discovery process. Strickler v. Greene, 527 U.S. 263, 119 S.Ct. 1936 (1999).

Brady material is evidence which is (1) material, (2) relevant to guilt or punishment, (3) favorable to the accused, and (4) within the actual or constructive possession of anyone acting on behalf of the State. Brady, supra.

I. Materiality

When the defense makes a specific request for <u>Brady</u> material and the State does not provide such material, the Nevada Supreme Court has held that there are grounds for reversal of a conviction "...if there exists a reasonable possibility that the claimed evidence would have affected the judgment of the trier of fact." <u>Roberts v. State</u>, 110 Nev. 1121 (1994); <u>Jiminez v. State</u>, 112 Nev. 610 (1996); <u>State v. Bennett</u>, 119 Nev. 589 (2003).

Even if a specific request has not been made, reversal is warranted "...if there exists a reasonable probability that, had the evidence been disclosed, the result of the proceeding would have been different." U.S. v. Bagley, 473 U.S. 667 (1985), Pennsylvania v. Ritchie, 480 U.S. 39 (1986). A "reasonable probability" is a probability sufficient to undermine confidence in the outcome of the proceeding. Bagley at 682.

Therefore, where, as here, a specific request for certain evidence is made, the evidence is considered "material" if there is a reasonable possibility that it could affect the factfinder's judgment.

1 2

II. Relevancy to guilt or punishment

Brady material encompasses not only evidence which might affect the defendant's guilt, but also includes evidence which could serve to mitigate a defendant's sentence upon conviction.

Jimenez v. State, 112 Nev. 610 (1996).

An example of this kind of evidence might be where the victim of a robbery who identified the defendant as one of two people who robbed him, also indicated that the defendant tried to keep the co-defendant from further injuring him. Although the victim's statements would actually help establish the defendant's guilt for the charged offense, they would also be <u>Brady</u> material, since they could help mitigate the defendant's sentence. Essentially, anything which could convince the Court to impose something less than a maximum sentence, or rebut alleged aggravating circumstances, would be relevant to punishment, and must be provided to the defense pursuant to <u>Brady v. Maryland</u>.

III. Favorability to the accused

The Nevada Supreme Court has defined what evidence is considered "favorable to the accused" and therefore proper <u>Brady</u> material. In <u>Mazzan v. Warden</u>, 116 Nev. 48 (2000), the Court stated:

Due process does not require simply the disclosure of "exculpatory" evidence. Evidence also must be disclosed if it provides grounds for the defense to attack the reliability, thoroughness, and good faith of the police investigation, to impeach the credibility of the state's witnesses, or to bolster the defense case against prosecutorial attacks. Furthermore, "discovery in a criminal case is not limited to investigative leads or reports that are admissible in evidence." Evidence "need not have been independently admissible to have been material." Mazzan at 67. (citations omitted)

Therefore, <u>Brady</u> material under this standard, would include, but not be limited to, the following examples: forensic testing which was ordered, but not done, or which was completed but did not inculpate the defendant; criminal records or other evidence concerning State's witnesses which might show their bias (e.g., civil litigation), or otherwise impeach their credibility; evidence that the alleged victim has been the alleged victim of an unusual number of crimes; investigative leads or ordinarily appropriate investigation which were not followed-up on or completed by law enforcement; and, of course, anything which is inconsistent with any prior or present statements of

 a State's witness, including the failure to previously make a statement which is later made or testified to. Of course, traditionally exculpatory evidence such as that which could show that someone else committed the charged crime or that no crime occurred, would also be included as Brady material.

IV. Actual or constructive possession of the State

It is anticipated that the prosecution may assert that it has an "open file" policy, and that if the requested material is not available in its file, the State is under no obligation to produce it. This argument is unavailing. In Strickler v. Greene. 527 U.S. 263, 119 S.Ct. 1936 (1999), the United States Supreme Court explicitly held that a prosecutor's open file policy does not in any way substitute for or diminish the State's obligation to turn over *Brady* material. The Nevada Supreme Court is in accord. "It is a violation of due process for the prosecutor to withhold exculpatory evidence, and his motive for doing so is immaterial." Jimenez v. State, 112 Nev. 610, 618 (1996).

Furthermore, "...even if the detectives withheld their reports without the prosecutor's knowledge, 'the state attorney is charged with constructive knowledge and possession of evidence withheld by other state agents, such as law enforcement officers." Id., 112 Nev. at 620 (citation omitted) (emphasis added). Defendant would submit that other state agents, such as probation and parole officers, welfare workers, employees of Child Protective Services, jail personnel, and similar agents of the State are also State agents from whom the prosecution must affirmatively collect <u>Brady</u> material.

In Kyles v. Whitley, 514 U.S. 419, 115 S.Ct. 1555 (1995), the United States Supreme Court made it clear that the prosecutor has an affirmative obligation to obtain Brady material and provide it to the defense, even if the prosecutor is initially unaware of its existence. In so finding, the Supreme Court noted that "[t]he prosecution's affirmative duty to disclose evidence favorable to a defendant can trace its origins to early 20th century strictures against misrepresentation and is of course most prominently associated with this Court's decision in Brady v. Maryland. . ." Id. at 432. The Kyles Court also made clear that this obligation exists even where the defense does not make a request for such evidence. Id.

3

1

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

The Kyles Court additionally made the following observations in finding that the State had breached its duty to Kyles and discussing the prosecutor's obligations.

> This in turn means that the individual prosecutor has a duty to learn of any favorable evidence known to the others acting on the government's behalf in the case, including the police. But whether the prosecutor succeeds or fails in meeting this obligation (whether, that is, a failure to disclose is in good faith or bad faith), the prosecution's responsibility for failing to disclose known, favorable evidence rising to a material level of importance is inescapable.

The State of Louisiana would prefer an even more lenient rule. It pleads that some of the favorable evidence in issue here was not disclosed even to the prosecutor until after trial, and it suggested below that it should not be held accountable under Bagley and Brady for evidence known only to police investigators and not to the prosecutor. To accommodate the State in this manner would, however, amount to a serious change of course from the Brady line of cases. In the State's favor it may be said that no one doubts that police investigators sometimes fail to inform a prosecutor of all they know.

But neither is there any serious doubt that "procedures and regulations can be established to carry [the prosecutor's] burden and to insure communication of all relevant information on each case to every lawyer who deals with it." Since then, the prosecutor has the means to discharge the government's Brady responsibility if he will, any argument for excusing a prosecutor from disclosing what he does not happen to know about boils down to a plea to substitute the police for the prosecutor, and even for the courts themselves, as the final arbiter's of the government's obligation to ensure fair trials. Kyles at 437-438 (citations omitted).

There can be little question, therefore, that despite its "open file policy," the prosecution has an affirmative duty to seek out the previously discussed Brady material, regardless of whether such material is in the hands of the prosecutor or in the hands of some other entity acting on behalf of the State.

V. Brady requests

Based on the foregoing law and analysis, the Defendant requests that the following Brady material be produced by the State:

- Disclosures of any and all compensation, express or implied promises of favorable treatment or leniency, or any other benefit that any of the State's witnesses received in exchange for their cooperation with this prosecution, including, but not limited to, any express or implied promise made to any witness.
- Complete criminal histories of all State witnesses, including, but not limited to, out-of-state arrests and convictions, outstanding arrest warrants or bench warrants, and cases which were dismissed or not pursued by the prosecuting agency.
- Disclosures of any and all records generated by the Las Vegas Metropolitan
 Police Department, the Clark County District Attorney's Office and/or any other
 state entity which are exculpatory as described above to either the issue of guilt or
 penalty.
- Any and all exculpatory evidence relating to Lashonda Eddin's arrest on the date
 of the alleged offense.
- Disclosures of any and all statements made by any State witness, or any other
 person, at any time that are in any manner inconsistent with the written and/or
 recorded statements previously provided to the defense.
- Requests for and/or results of any and all crime scene analysis and/or testing performed on any of the physical or biological evidence in this case.
- Photocopies or other reproduction of any and all handwritten or otherwise memorialized notes kept by the investigating police officers in this case, including, but not limited to, any notes documenting alternate suspects, investigative leads that were not followed up on, or any other matter bearing on the credibility of any State witness.

Any statements made by the Defendant (recorded - audio and transcribed versions).

DATED this

_ day of July, 2009.

PHILIP J. KOHN

CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER

TIERRA D. JONES, #10094 Deputy Public Defender

NOTICE OF MOTION TO: CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY, Attorney for Plaintiff: YOU WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Public Defender's Office will bring the above and foregoing Motion on for hearing before the Court on the 22nd day of July, 2009, at 9:00 a.m. DATED this day of July, 2009. PHILIP J. KOHN CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER

RECEIPT OF COPY

RECEIPT OF COPY of the above and foregoing DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO day of July, 2009.

CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY

Deputy Public Defender

09/15/2009 02:08:32 PM

			4 . 40	
1	OPPS DAVID ROGER		Alm & Chim	
2	Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #002781		CLERK OF THE COURT	
3	JACOUELINE M. JEANNEY			
4	Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #010625 200 Lewis Avenue			
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212			
6	(702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff			
7				
8	DISTRICT COURT			
9	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA			
10	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)		
11	Plaintiff,	S CASE NO:	C253455	
12	-VS-	DEPT NO:	VIII	
13	LESEAN COLLINS, #0857181	}		
14	Defendant.)		
15	STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO PRECLUDE TESTIMONY			
16	OF MINOR CHILD, TYSEAN COLLINS			
17	DATE OF HEARING: September 16, 2009			
18	TIME OF HEAD	TIME OF HEARING: 8:30 A.M.		
19	COMES NOW, the State of Nevada, by DAVID ROGER, District Attorney, through			
20	Deputy District Attorneys JOSHUA TOMSHECK and JACQUELINE JEANNEY, and			
21	hereby submits the attached Points and Authorities in Opposition to DEFENDANT'S			
22	MOTION TO PRECLUDE TESTIMONY OF	MINOR CHILD, T	YSEAN COLLINS.	
23	This Opposition is made and based upon all the papers and pleadings on file herein,			
24	the attached points and authorities in support hereof, and oral argument at the time of			
25	hearing, if deemed necessary by this Honorable Court.			
26	111			
27	111			
28	111			

2

4 5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

STATEMENT OF THE FACTS

Shalana Eddins and the Defendant in this case, Lesean Tarus Collins, share five (5) children together. GJT p. 9. The Defendant and Shalana Eddins had previously been in a dating relationship, however, in the Summer of 2008 that dating relationship ended. Id. Shortly thereafter in the Fall of 2008 the Defendant's behavior towards Ms. Eddins became intimidating, possessive, and controlling. GJT Id. When Ms. Eddins told the Defendant that she no longer wanted to be in a dating relationship with him the Defendant was not happy about that and, "would not take no for an answer." GJT p. 10.

On September 29, 2008, Ms. Eddins was at her home with her children. This home is located at 1519 Laguna Palms. Id. The Defendant came over that day and became furious at the fact that he was no longer allowed access to Ms. Eddins home. GJT p. 11. In fact, Ms. Eddins had gone as far as unplugging the garage so that the Defendant could not use a garage opener to get into the home. GJT Id. When asked why Ms. Eddins unplugged the garage she responded, "Because I didn't want to be with him and at that point I was definitely getting scared and fearing for my life because his behavior had changed." GJT Id. Therefore, after Ms. Eddins unplugged the garage, the Defendant had no access to gain entry into the residence, and he no longer had permission to enter. GJT p. 11. When the Defendant realized that Ms. Eddins had unplugged the garage he became furious and began calling the house phone demanding that Ms. Eddins open the door. He then jumped the wall in the backyard and listened at the window in an attempt to figure out who Ms. Eddins was speaking with on the phone. GJT p. 12. When Ms. Eddins realized that the Defendant was outside her window she told him that she was going to call the police and the Defendant responded, "Go ahead, call the police." GJT Id. The Defendant then went to the front door and began to bang on it, eventually Ms. Eddins opened the door. GJT Id. When the Defendant entered the house he began asking where the house key was, he then went into the backpack of one of the children and grabbed the keys to the home and then left. GJT Id. Ms. Eddins then went out front to see where the Defendant was and she realized that two of ///

6:15 – 6:30 pm, Mr. Eddins received another phone call from the Defendant, where the Defendant told Mr. Eddins that his daughter's house was on fire. GJT p. 46. Mr. Eddins stated that the Defendant notified him of the fire before the police even knew about it. GJT. P. 48.

Jeff Lomprey is the investigations captain for the North Las Vegas Fire Department's fire arson unit. GJT p. 30. During his investigation Captain Lomprey found that there were multiple fires set within the house, in three separate and distinct areas. GJT p. 34. The three locations were the master bed which had female clothing on the bed; the master bedroom closet with female clothing in the closet on the floor that was piled up and also burned; and the living room couch. GJT p. 35. After Captain Lomprey's investigation he determined the cause of the fires to be arson which Captain Lomprey testified means, "an intentional act, willful and malicious, set with an open flame with a human hand with the intent to destroy the house and its contents." GJT p. 37.

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. THE STATEMENT OF TYSEAN COLLINS SHOULD NOT BE EXCLUDED FROM TESTIMONY

It is important to initially note that nine year old Tysean Collins was never formally interviewed. While on scene, Officer Manuel Vital briefly spoke to Tysean Collins and Tysean did state that he saw his father drive up to their house and heard his father say he was going to, "burn the house down." However, the Defendant's attempt to make it look like the child was formally sat down, held against his will, and interviewed is false.

In viewing the police report it is clear that there were others at the scene that were "interviewed." In those situations the word "interview" or "interviewed" was used, however, in the five (5) lines used to discuss what Tysean Collins said, never once is the word "interview" used but merely the term "spoke" to, when discussing, the brief encounter the Officer had with Tysean Collins.

27 ///

28 ///

4

5

6 7

9 10

8

12 13

11

15

14

17

16

18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

26

2728

It is the State's position that that Tysean Collins was never interviewed in the first place, notwithstanding that, the State still believes that even if the child was interviewed, the Defendant's position on this motion lacks authority.

a. Field Interviews

The Defendant's attempt to analogize the case at hand to <u>Somee v. State</u> fails as the two cases have nothing in common.

In <u>Somee</u>, the Defendant was a gang member who was also a suspect in an attempt murder case. He was ultimately convicted and then appealed his conviction on several grounds, one of those being that his Constitutional rights were violated because of the field interviews that were conducted.

The State fails to see how the Somee case is relatable to this case at all. Here the Defendant's nine (9) year old son merely spoke to an Officer by telling him what he observed his father doing and what his father told him he was going to do. The child never was a suspect in this case in any way shape or form. Therefore, it never became necessary for the police officer to advise Tysean of his constitutional rights. It is not essential that every individual who was a witness to a crime be notified of their constitutional rights. The Nevada Supreme Court made clear that it was addressing the rights of Defendant's in the Somee case when it stated, "Unless a recognized exception applies, both physical evidence and a defendant's statements obtained as a result of an illegal search or seizure should be suppressed. Furthermore, involuntary statements should be suppressed as well as incriminating statements made by a suspect under custodial interrogation unless Miranda warnings have been given or other procedural safeguards have been followed." Somee v. State, 187 P.3d 152, 159 (2008). In issuing its decision it is clear that the Supreme Court, when addressing the issue of filed interviews, was addressing the issues as to Defendant's rights, not every individual that is interviewed. In the excerpt above the Court used the word "suspect" and "Defendant" when discussing the issue, making it even more evident as to which individuals are affected by this decision.

///

 As aforementioned, it is the State's position that Tysean Collins was never formally interviewed, instead he briefly stated to Officer Vital what he observed. However, even if Tysean was interviewed he is not and was never a suspect in this case, and therefore, the safeguards put in place by the Nevada Supreme Court in <u>Somee</u> are not applicable here.

b. Safeguards for Child Interviews

Again, the State fails to see the connection between the facts in <u>Mack-Manley</u> and the facts here. In <u>Mack-Manley</u>, the parents of minor children were in a bitter fight over custody. During that divorce preceding the Mother of the child hired a private investigator who interviewed, photographed, and videotaped the child. The father then contested these actions. The court ruled that although the investigator was a private investigator and not a "therapist, counselor, psychologist, or similar profession" as names in EDCR 5.12, their "role is sufficiently similar to that of a therapist or psychologist to fall within the purview of EDCR 5.12. Thus, under EDCR 5.12, an investigator may investigate child custody cases without a court order but must obtain court approval to interview the child." <u>Mack-Manley v. Manley</u>, 122 Nev. 849, 859 (2006).

After reviewing both the facts and the ruling in the Mack-Manley case it is clear that the issue in that case has nothing to do with the facts at hand. Here, there was no claim being made that Tysean Collins had been abused, therefore, EDCR 5.12 does not become applicable. If the Defendant's position were true, it would make it impossible for police officers to do their job. If every police officer who came upon a crime scene had to obtain court approval before even speaking to any minor that may have seen or heard anything, a plethora of crimes would go unsolved.

The Defendant's attempt to equate the position of a police officer to an investigator, therapist, counselor, or psychologist is unfounded, and therefore, the motion should be denied.

c. Safeguards for Children in Custody

After the Nevada Supreme Court's ruling in Shaw vs. State, 104 Nev. 100 (1988), the Court clarified it's jurisprudence concerning parental notification as a prerequisite to

interrogating juveniles suspected of criminal offenses in Ford v. State, 122 Nev. 796 1 (2006). It should be mentioned that the last sentence is what's most important, it states 2 "interrogating juveniles suspected of criminal offenses." Again, these cases the Defendant 3 continuously brings up are not analogous in any way, shape, or form to the facts at hand. 4 Tysean Collins was never a suspect. Notwithstanding, that point, when the Court clarified 5 it's position it stated, "Our review of the parental notification requirement contained in NRS 6 62C.010 indicates that its purpose is to accomplish parental awareness of a child's custody 7 status, not to impose a legislative mandate precluding interrogations of juveniles without 8 parental notification." Id. at 504. The Court went on to state that, "NRS 62C.010 does not 9 impose a duty on law enforcement to notify a juvenile's parents as a condition to obtaining a 10 voluntary statement from the juvenile, regardless of the nature of the crime being 11 12 investigated. Rather, that statute serves only to notify parents that their child is in the custody of the police, and it offers no remedy when police fail to do so." Id. Tysean Collins 13 was never in custody, so this case really has no bearing on these facts, however, even if he 14 was in custody, the Court makes clear in Ford, that the officer had no duty to notify Tysean's 15 parents as a condition to obtaining a voluntary statement from him. Therefore, the 16 17 Defendant's Motion should be denied.

CONCLUSION

In each section of the Defendant's motion, the Defendant continuously uses facts from cases that in no way compare to the facts in this case. Tysean Collins was never in custody in this case and was never a suspect, therefore, it was never necessary to make him aware of

22 ///

18

19

20

21

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

his Constitutional rights. Furthermore, the Ford case makes it clear that even if he were in 1 custody parental notification was never necessary. Therefore, the State respectfully requests 2 that the Defendant's motion be denied. 3 4 DATED this 15th day of September, 2009. 5 Respectfully submitted. 6 DAVID ROGER Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #002781 7 8 9 BY /s/JACQUELINE J. JEANNEY JACQUELINE M. JEANNEY 10 Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #0010625 11 12 13 14 CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION I hereby certify that service of STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S 15 MOTION TO PRECLUDE TESTIMONY OF MINOR CHILD, TYSEAN COLLINS, was 16 made this 15th day of September, 2009, by facsimile transmission to: 17 18 TIERRA D. JONES DEPUTY PUBLIC DEFENDER 19 PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE FAX #366-1808 20 21 /s/P. Manis Secretary for the District Attorney's 22 Office 23 24 25 26 27 28 JMJ/pm C:\Program Files\Neevia.Com\Document Converter\temp\644037-722385.DOC

	10/25/2009 01.52.40 PM	
1 2 3	DAVID ROGER Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #002781 JACQUELINE M. JEANNEY Deputy District Attorney	
5	200 Lewis Avenue	
6	(702) 671-2500	
7	DISTRICT COURT	
8	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	
10	Plaintiff, CASE NO: C253455	
11	-vs- DEPT NO: XI	
12	LESEAN TARUS COLLINS,	
13	#0857181	
14)	
15	NOTICE OF WITNESSES [NRS 174.234(1)(a)]	
16		
17	TO: LESEAN TARUS COLLINS, Defendant; and	
18	TO: PUBLIC DEFENDER, Counsel of Record:	
19	YOU, AND EACH OF YOU, WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the STATE OF	
20	NEVADA intends to call the following witnesses in its case in chief:	
21	NAME <u>ADDRESS</u>	
22	KOLSTAD, BRYAN NLVPD	
23	RYAN, SGT. NLVPD	
24	WHITE, CARALYN NLVPD	
25	WINGATE, BRUCE NLVFD	
26	These witnesses are in addition to those witnesses endorsed on the Indictment and any	
27		
28		
	C:\Program Files\Neevia.Com\Document Converter\temp\667317-747586.DOC	

other witness for which a separate Notice has been filed. BY DISTRICT ATTORNEY Nevada Bar #002781 CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION I hereby certify that service of NOTICE OF WITNESSES [NRS 174.234(1)(a)], was made this 29th day of October, 2009, by facsimile transmission to: PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT FAX #366-1808 /s/P. Manis Secretary for the District Attorney's Office pm C:\Bogram Files\Neevia.Com\Document Converter\temp\667317-747586.DOC

O UNIUIITAL C

1 2 3 4	PHILIP J. KOHN, PUBLIC DEFENDER NEVADA BAR NO. 0556 309 South Third Street, Suite #226 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 455-4685 Attorney for Defendant	FILED NOV - 2 2009 CLERK OF COURT
5	DISTRIC	CT COURT
6	CLARREOU	JNTY, NEVADA
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)
8	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C253455X
9	v.	DEPT. NO. XII
10 11	LESEAN TARUS COLLINS,) DATE: November 4, 2009
11	Defendant.) TIME: 9:00 a.m.
13		
13	11	ESSES, PURSUANT TO NRS 174.234
15	District Million Million	
16		take notice that the Defendant, LESEAN TARUS
17	COLLINS, intends to call the following witness in his case in chief:	
18	<u>NAME</u>	ADDRESS
19	SHALANA EDDINS	6059 HIDDEN ROCK DRIVE
20		NORTH LAS VEGAS, NV 89031
21	DONITA STARKS AKA DONITA BEASLEY	2902 FERRET FALL NORTH LAS VEGAS, NV 89030
RECEIVED NOV 2 2009 CLERK OF THE COURT 252 262 262 263 263 263 263 263 263 263 26	CATRELL MELTON	200 OXFORD AVENUE NORTH LAS VEGAS, NV 89030
NOV \$ 20 NOV \$ 24 NOV \$ 25 NOV \$ 25	PATRICIA BREWER	5161 HOLLY STREET PAHRUMP, NV 89060
l l	ROBERT EDDINS	11 GULF PINES AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NV 89148
27	TANIA GREEN	5161 HOLLY STREET PAHRUMP, NV 89060

	1 NAME	ADDRESS		
2	DESHAWN B. FLOYD	ADDRESS UNKNOWN		
3	KIM MADDOX Investigator	309 S. THIRD STREET		
4	Clark Co. Public Defender's Office	LAS VEGAS, NV 89155		
5	JANE EVERITT	309 S. THIRD STREET		
6	Clark Co. Public Defender's Office	LAS VEGAS, NV 89155		
7 8	ROGER HOSFORD	309 S. THIRD STREET		
9	investigator	LAS VEGAS, NV 89155		
10				
11	DATED this 35 day of October, 2009.			
12	1			
13	PHILIP J. K CLARK CO	UNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER		
14	By TIERRA D. JONES,#10094 Deputy Public Defender			
15 16				
17	Deputy Pt	Deputy Public Defender		
18				
19				
20				
21				
22		,		
23				
24				
25 26		;		
27		ŀ		
28		<u> </u>		
H		•		

day of **Garden**, 2009.

RECEIPT OF COPY RECEIPT OF COPY of the above and foregoing Notice is hereby acknowledged this CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY

Case Name: Lesean Tarus Collins

Case No.: C253455X

Dept. No.: XII

1 **NOTC** PHILIP J. KOHN, PUBLIC DEFENDER 2 NEVADA BAR NO. 0556 309 South Third Street, Suite #226 3 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 455-4685 4 Attorney for Defendant 5 DISTRICT COURT 6 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 7 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 8 Plaintiff, CASE NO. C253455X 9 V. DEPT. NO. XII 10 LESEAN TARUS COLLINS, DATE: November 4, 2009 IIDefendant. TIME: 9:00 a.m. 12 13 DEFENDANT'S NOTICE OF ALIBI, PURSUANT TO NRS 174.087 14 CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY: TO: Pursuant to NRS 174.087, the Defendant, LESEAN COLLINS, by and through his attorney, 15 TIERRA D. JONES, Deputy Public Defender, hereby files this Notice of Alibi. 16 The witnesses intended to be used by the defendant are: 17 18 PATRICIA BREWER 5161 Holly St. Pahrump, NV 89060 19 The pertinent testimony that the witnesses will furnish is that the defendant could not have 20 been at 1519 Laguna Palms Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada at the time of the alleged arson, on **⊵** 21 September 30, 2008 because he was with her. **B** 22 **里**23 DATED this day of October, 2009. PHILIP J. KOHN

26

27

28

CLARK COUNTY PLIBLIC DECEMBER

TIERRA D. JONES, #1009

Deputy Public Defender

Ì RECEIPT OF COPY of the above and foregoing Notice is hereby acknowledged this day of October, 2009.

CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY

RECEIPT OF COPY

Case Name: Lesean Tarus Collins

Case No.: C253455X

Dept. No.: XII

UNIUNAL

1 2 3 4 5 6	O001 DAVID ROGER Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #002781 JOSHUA TOMSHECK Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #009210 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff FILED IN OPEN COURT NOV 0 2 2009 STEVEN D. GRIERSON CLERK OF THE COURT BY. DEPUTY APRIL WATKINS	
7	DISTRICT COURT	
8	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	
10	Plaintiff, CASE NO: C253455	
11	-vs- } DEPT NO: XII	
12	LESEAN COLLINS,	
13	ID# 0857181	
14	Defendant.	
15	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO CONDUCT VIDEOTAPED	
16	DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF MATERIAL WITNESS VIVIAN FURLOW	
17	DATE OF HEARING: 11/02/09	
18	TIME OF HEARING: 11:00 A.M.	
19	TO: LESEAN COLLINS, Defendant; and	
20	TO: TIERRA JONES, Deputy Public Defender, Counsel of Record; and	
21	COMES NOW, the State of Nevada, by DAVID ROGER, District Attorney, through	
22	JOSHUA TOMSHECK, Deputy District Attorney, and files this Notice of Motion and	
23	Motion for Videotaped Deposition Testimony of Material Witness Vivian Furlow.	
24	This Motion is made and based upon all the papers and pleadings on file herein, the	
25	attached points and authorities in support hereof, and oral argument at the time of hearing, if	
26	deemed necessary by this Honorable Court.	
27	///	
28		

NOTICE OF HEARING

YOU, AND EACH OF YOU, WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the undersigned will bring the foregoing motion on for setting before the above entitled Court, in Department XII thereof, on Monday, the 2nd Day of November, 2009, at the hour of 11:00 AM, or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard.

DATED this 2nd day of November, 2008.

DAVID ROGER

Clark County District Attorney

Nevada Bar #002781

BY

JOSMUA TOMSHECK Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #009210

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

STATEMENT OF THE FACTS

Shalana Eddins and the Defendant in this case, Lesean Tarus Collins, share five (5) children together. GJT p. 9. The Defendant and Shalana Eddins had previously been in a dating relationship, however, in the Summer of 2008 that dating relationship ended. Id. Shortly thereafter in the Fall of 2008 the Defendant's behavior towards Ms. Eddins became intimidating, possessive, and controlling. GJT Id. When Ms. Eddins told the Defendant that she no longer wanted to be in a dating relationship with him the Defendant was not happy about that and, "would not take no for an answer." GJT p. 10.

On September 29, 2008, Ms. Eddins was at her home with her children. This home is located at 1519 Laguna Palms. Id. The Defendant came over that day and became furious at the fact that he was no longer allowed access to Ms. Eddins home. GJT p. 11. In fact, Ms. Eddins had gone as far as unplugging the garage so that the Defendant could not use a garage opener to get into the home. GJT Id. When asked why Ms. Eddins unplugged the

garage she responded, "Because I didn't want to be with him and at that point I was definitely getting scared and fearing for my life because his behavior had changed." GJT Id. Therefore, after Ms. Eddins unplugged the garage, the Defendant had no access to gain entry into the residence, and he no longer had permission to enter. GJT p. 11. When the Defendant realized that Ms. Eddins had unplugged the garage he became furious and began calling the house phone demanding that Ms. Eddins open the door. He then jumped the wall in the backyard and listened at the window in an attempt to figure out who Ms. Eddins was speaking with on the phone. GJT p. 12. When Ms. Eddins realized that the Defendant was outside her window she told him that she was going to call the police and the Defendant responded, "Go ahead, call the police." GJT Id. The Defendant then went to the front door and began to bang on it, eventually Ms. Eddins opened the door. GJT Id. When the Defendant entered the house he began asking where the house key was, he then went into the backpack of one of the children and grabbed the keys to the home and then left. GJT Id. Ms. Eddins then went out front to see where the Defendant was and she realized that two of her tires on her vehicle had been damaged. GJT p. 13. Ms. Eddins then went inside and called 911. GJT Id. Ms. Eddins had her tires repaired that evening. GJT p. 14.

The next morning, September 30, 2008, Ms. Eddins received a phone call from the Defendant. The Defendant was enraged at the fact that Ms. Eddins had called the police and had gotten a restraining order against him. GJT Id. She then went to work at around 11:30 in the morning. While at work the Defendant continuously called Ms. Eddins and then ultimately showed up at her place of employment at 4:15 pm. GJT p. 15. The Defendant came inside Ms. Eddins work, stole her cell phone, and then slashed all four of her vehicle's tires again. GJT p. 16. Ms. Eddins then called the police. GJT Id. The Defendant continued to call Ms. Eddins and threaten her with comments such as, "Give me my mother fucking shit. I know you got my shit. I want my shit. If you don't give me my mother fucking shit I'm going to knock all this shit off." GJT p. 17.

After Ms. Eddins called the police she immediately called her children and told them to leave the house and go to the neighbors. GJT Id. This occurred at 4:45 pm. GJT p. 18.

///

Vivian Furlow is a close friend of Shalana Eddins and has known her for about ten years. GJT p. 23. On September 30, 2008 Ms. Furlow received a call from Shalana Eddins father asking her to go pick up Shalana's children at the neighbor's house. Ms. Furlow got to the neighborhood around 6:15 – 6:30 pm. As she was approaching the neighborhood of Laguna Palms she saw the Defendant driving in a rush out of the neighborhood. GJT p. 24. Ms. Furlow then went to the neighbor's house to pick up the children. After picking up the children she approached Ms. Eddins house and learned that it was on fire. GJT p. 26.

Shortly after this incident, Ms. Furlow called the Defendant's cell number and listened to a rap he had composed and recorded as his ringtone. During the rap the Defendant talks about his "baby's mama" and states that "if you can't stand the heat you got to get out of the kitchen or you'll burn up just like her house." GJT p. 26.

Robert Eddins is Shalana Eddins father. He also had telephonic contact with the Defendant on the day the fire occurred. GJT p. 44. In the first conversation the Defendant told Mr. Eddins that he could tell his daughter "we're even now." GJT p. 45. Then around 6:15 – 6:30 pm, Mr. Eddins received another phone call from the Defendant, where the Defendant told Mr. Eddins that his daughter's house was on fire. GJT p. 46. Mr. Eddins stated that the Defendant notified him of the fire before the police even knew about it. GJT. P. 48.

Jeff Lomprey is the investigations captain for the North Las Vegas Fire Department's fire arson unit. GJT p. 30. During his investigation Captain Lomprey found that there were multiple fires set within the house, in three separate and distinct areas. GJT p. 34. The three locations were the master bed which had female clothing on the bed; the master bedroom closet with female clothing in the closet on the floor that was piled up and also burned; and the living room couch. GJT p. 35. After Captain Lomprey's investigation he determined the cause of the fires to be arson which Captain Lomprey testified means, "an intentional act, willful and malicious, set with an open flame with a human hand with the intent to destroy the house and its contents." GJT p. 37.

ARGUMENT

At the time the State announced ready for Trial, the State was unaware that Vivian Furlow had travel plans which would conflict with the time currently scheduled for Trial to begin, Wednesday, November 4, 2009. Ms. Furlow has non refundable tickets to fly to the State of Florida on a "red-eye" flight late in the evening of Monday, November 2, 2009. Ms. Furlow is then taking a cruise to Mexico. She will not return to Las Vegas until Thursday, November 12, 2009. These tickets would have allowed her to testify on the date originally set for Trial to commence, Monday, November 2, 2009. Given the fact that the Defendant requested additional days to locate and notice witnesses in this case, the "overflow" Judge, the Hon. David Barker, set the Trial, which should only last two (2) days, to begin on Wendesday, November 4, 2009.

The State requests that this Court permit the taking of the videotaped testimony of Vivian Furlow, who is an important, material witness in the above-entitled case. Given the non-refundable nature of her tickets and the inconvenience it would cause her to miss her vacation, the State submits to this Court that it is necessary to take her videotaped deposition in order to prevent a failure of justice.

This Motion is made pursuant to NRS 174.175, which provides:

If it appears that a prospective witness may be unable to attend or prevented from attending a trial or hearing, that his testimony is material and that it is necessary to take his deposition in order to prevent a failure of justice, the court at any time after the filing of an indictment, information or complaint may upon motion of a defendant or of the state and notice to the parties order that his testimony be taken by deposition and that any designated books, papers, documents or tangible objects, not privileged, be produced at the same time and place. If the deposition is taken upon motion of the state, the court shall order that it be taken under such conditions as will afford to each defendant the opportunity to confront the witnesses against him.

Here, Furlow is a prospective witness and her testimony is material. Furlow is a percipient witness to actions and statements of Defendant Collins regarding his culpability in the crimes charged in the Indictment. Additionally, she is the only witness to the testimony

she would provide at Trial. Because of the above, it is necessary to take the deposition of Furlow in order to prevent a failure of justice.

Additionally, it does not prejudice the Defendant for this Court to permit a videotaped deposition of Vivian Furlow. In the event that the Court allows her video-taped deposition, the defense will have the advantage of hearing her testimony prior to Trial commencing. Moreover, she will be subject to cross examination just as if she was testifying live in front of the Jury.

CONCLUSION

For the above reasons, the State respectfully requests this Court order the videotaped deposition of Vivian Furlow before this Court to be held in a manner in accordance with NRS 174.175.

DATED this 2nd day of November, 2009.

DAVID ROGER DISTRICT ATTORNEY Nevada Bar #002781

BY

JOSMUA TOMSHECK Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #009210

08FN2225X/GCU:abh

FILED IN OPEN COURT NOV 0 6 2009 1 **INST** STEVEN D. GRIERSON CRIGINAL CLERK OF THE COURT 2 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVAL 3 4 APRIL WATKINS THE STATE OF NEVADA, 5 6 Plaintiff, CASE NO: C253455 7 -VS-DEPT NO: XII 8 LESEAN COLLINS. 9 Defendant. 10 INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JURY (INSTRUCTION NO. I) 11 MEMBERS OF THE JURY: 12 It is now my duty as judge to instruct you in the law that applies to this case. It is your duty as jurors to follow these instructions and to apply the rules of law to the facts as 13 14 you find them from the evidence. You must not be concerned with the wisdom of any rule of law stated in these 15 instructions. Regardless of any opinion you may have as to what the law ought to be, it 16 would be a violation of your oath to base a verdict upon any other view of the law than that 17 18 given in the instructions of the Court. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

If, in these instructions, any rule, direction or idea is repeated or stated in different ways, no emphasis thereon is intended by me and none may be inferred by you. For that reason, you are not to single out any certain sentence or any individual point or instruction and ignore the others, but you are to consider all the instructions as a whole and regard each in the light of all the others.

The order in which the instructions are given has no significance as to their relative importance.

 An Indictment is but a formal method of accusing a person of a crime and is not of itself any evidence of his guilt.

In this case, it is charged in an Indictment that on or about the 30th day of September, 2008, within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form, force and effect of statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada:

COUNT 1 - FIRST DEGREE ARSON

did then and there willfully, unlawfully, maliciously, and feloniously set fire to, and thereby cause to be burned, a certain residence, the master bedroom therein, located at 1519 Laguna Palms Avenue, North Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada, said property being then and there the property of SHALANA EDDINS, by use of open flame and flammable and/or combustible materials, and/or by manner and means unknown.

COUNT 2 - BURGLARY

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously enter, with intent to commit arson, that certain building occupied by SHALANA EDDINS, located at 1519 Laguna Palms Avenue, North Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada.

COUNT 3 - MALICIOUS INJURY TO VEHICLE

did wilfully, unlawfully, and maliciously break, injure, or tamper with that certain motor vehicle owned by SHALANA EDDINS, to-wit: a FORD EXPEDITION, without the consent of the owner thereof, for the purpose of injuring, defacing, or destroying such vehicle, or temporarily or permanently preventing its useful operation, or for any purpose against the will or without the consent of the owner thereof, by slashing and/or stabbing and/or cutting into tires of said vehicle, the value of said damage being over \$250.00, and less than \$5,000.00.

It is the duty of the jury to apply the rules of law contained in these instructions to the facts of the case and determine whether or not Defendant is guilty of the offenses charged. Each charge and the evidence pertaining to it should be considered separately. The fact that

you may find a defendant guilty or not guilty as to one of the offenses charged should not control your verdict as to any other defendant or offense charged.

Any person who willfully and maliciously sets fire to or burns or causes to be burned, or who aids, counsels or procures the burning of any dwelling house or other structure, whether occupied or vacant, or other personal property which is occupied by one or more persons, whether the property of himself or of another, is guilty of arson in the first degree.

If you find the State has failed to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant willfully and maliciously set fire to or burned or caused to be burned, or who aided, counseled, or procured the burning of any dwelling house or other structure, whether occupied or vacant, or other personal property which is occupied by one or more persons, whether the property of himself or of another, you must return a verdict of not guilty.

As used in these instructions the word "willfully" means the doing of an act purposely and intentionally, not accidentally. The word "maliciously" means wrongfully, intentionally and without just cause or excuse.

It is not necessary that the building, object or articles of property involved be completely destroyed. Any person shall be deemed to have set fire to a building, structure or any property, whenever any part thereof or anything therein shall be scorched, charred or burned,

It is not a defense to a charge of arson that the defendant was not present at the time the fire was discovered.

Every person who, by day or night, enters any house, room, apartment, or other building with the intent to commit a felony therein is guilty of Burglary.

You are instructed that the crime of Arson is a felony.

Every person who, in the commission of a burglary, commits any other crime, may be prosecuted for each crime separately.

It is not necessary that the State prove the defendant actually committed an arson inside the house, room, apartment, or other building after he entered in order for you to find him guilty of burglary. The gist of the crime of burglary is the unlawful entry with criminal intent. Therefore, a burglary was committed if the defendant entered the house, room, apartment, or other building with the intent to commit an arson regardless of whether or not that crime occurred.

Any person who willfully breaks, injures, tampers with, or removes any part or parts of any vehicle for the purpose of injuring, defacing or destroying such vehicle, or temporarily or permanently preventing its useful operation, or for any purpose against the will or without the consent of the owner of such vehicle or who shall in any manner willfully or maliciously interfere with or prevent the running or operation of such vehicle which results in damage of \$250 or more, but less than \$5,000, is guilty of Malicious Injury to Vehicle.

Any person who willfully breaks, injures, tampers with, or removes any part or parts of any vehicle for the purpose of injuring, defacing, or destroying such vehicle, or temporarily or permanently preventing its useful operation, or for any purpose against the will or without the consent of the owner of such vehicle or who shall in any manner willfully or maliciously interfere with or prevent the running or operation of such vehicle which results in damage of \$249.99 or less is guilty of Malicious Injury to Vehicle, Value Less Than \$250.

To constitute the crime charged, there must exist a union or joint operation of an act forbidden by law and an intent to do the act.

The intent with which an act is done is shown by the facts and circumstances surrounding the case.

Do not confuse intent with motive. Motive is what prompts a person to act. Intent refers only to the state of mind with which the act is done.

Motive is not an element of the crime charged and the State is not required to prove a motive on the part of the Defendant in order to convict. However, you may consider evidence of motive or lack of motive as a circumstance in the case.

The Defendant is presumed innocent until the contrary is proved. This presumption places upon the State the burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt every material element of the crime charged and that the Defendant is the person who committed the offense.

A reasonable doubt is one based on reason. It is not mere possible doubt but is such a doubt as would govern or control a person in the more weighty affairs of life. If the minds of the jurors, after the entire comparison and consideration of all the evidence, are in such a condition that they can say they feel an abiding conviction of the truth of the charge, there is not a reasonable doubt. Doubt to be reasonable must be actual, not mere possibility or speculation.

If you have a reasonable doubt as to the guilt of the Defendant, he is entitled to a verdict of not guilty.

INSTRUCTION NO. 16

The evidence which you are to consider in this case consists of the testimony of the witnesses, the exhibits, and any facts admitted or agreed to by counsel.

There are two types of evidence; direct and circumstantial. Direct evidence is the testimony of a person who claims to have personal knowledge of the commission of the crime which has been charged, such as an eyewitness. Circumstantial evidence is the proof of a chain of facts and circumstances which tend to show whether the Defendant is guilty or not guilty. The law makes no distinction between the weight to be given either direct or circumstantial evidence. Therefore, all of the evidence in the case, including the circumstantial evidence, should be considered by you in arriving at your verdict.

Statements, arguments and opinions of counsel are not evidence in the case. However, if the attorneys stipulate to the existence of a fact, you must accept the stipulation as evidence and regard that fact as proved.

You must not speculate to be true any insinuations suggested by a question asked a witness. A question is not evidence and may be considered only as it supplies meaning to the answer.

You must disregard any evidence to which an objection was sustained by the court and any evidence ordered stricken by the court.

Anything you may have seen or heard outside the courtroom is not evidence and must also be disregarded.

INSTRUCTION NO.

The credibility or believability of a witness should be determined by his manner upon the stand, his relationship to the parties, his fears, motives, interests or feelings, his opportunity to have observed the matter to which he testified, the reasonableness of his statements and the strength or weakness of his recollections.

If you believe that a witness has lied about any material fact in the case, you may disregard the entire testimony of that witness or any portion of his testimony which is not proved by other evidence.

Although you are to consider only the evidence in the case in reaching a verdict, you must bring to the consideration of the evidence your everyday common sense and judgment as reasonable men and women. Thus, you are not limited solely to what you see and hear as the witnesses testify. You may draw reasonable inferences from the evidence which you feel are justified in the light of common experience, keeping in mind that such inferences should not be based on speculation or guess.

A verdict may never be influenced by sympathy, prejudice or public opinion. Your decision should be the product of sincere judgment and sound discretion in accordance with these rules of law.

When you retire to consider your verdict, you must select one of your number to act as foreperson who will preside over your deliberation and will be your spokesperson here in court.

During your deliberation, you will have all the exhibits which were admitted into evidence, these written instructions and forms of verdict which have been prepared for your convenience.

Your verdict must be unanimous. As soon as you have agreed upon a verdict, have it signed and dated by your foreperson and then return with it to this room.

Now you will listen to the arguments of counsel who will endeavor to aid you to reach a proper verdict by refreshing in your minds the evidence and by showing the application thereof to the law; but, whatever counsel may say, you will bear in mind that it is your duty to be governed in your deliberation by the evidence as you understand it and remember it to be and by the law as given to you in these instructions, with the sole, fixed and steadfast purpose of doing equal and exact justice between the Defendant and the State of Nevada.

GIVEN

5 6

INSTRUCTION NO.

It is a constitutional right of a defendant in a criminal trial that he may not be compelled to testify. Thus, the decision as to whether he should testify is left to the defendant on the advice and counsel of his attorney. You must not draw any inference of guilt from the fact that he does not testify, nor should this fact be discussed by you or enter into your deliberations in any way.

1	VER ORIGINAL FILED IN OPEN COURT				
2	DISTRICT COURT NOV 0 6 2009 4:53 M.				
3	STEVEN D. GRIERSON CLARK COUNTY, NEVADACLERK OF THE COURT				
4					
5	DEBITY				
6					
7					
8	LESEAN COLLINS, Defendant.				
9	 /				
10	VERDICT				
11	We, the jury in the above entitled case, find the Defendant LeSean Collins, as				
12	follows:				
13	<u>COUNT 1</u> – FIRST DEGREE ARSON				
14	(please check the appropriate box, select only one)				
15	Guilty of First Degree Arson				
16	Not Guilty				
17					
18	<u>COUNT 2</u> – BURGLARY				
19	(please check the appropriate box, select only one)				
20	Guilty of Burglary				
21	Not Guilty				
22	///				
23	///				
24	///				
25	///				
26	///				
27	///				
28	///				

1	COUNT 3 – MALICIOUS INJURY TO VEHICLE
2	(please check the appropriate box, select only one)
3	Guilty of Malicous Injury to Vehicle, value \$250.00 or greater
4	Guilty of Malicous Injury to Vehicle, value \$249.99 or less
5	☐ Not Guilty
6	
7	DATED this 6 th day of November, 2009
8	
9	FOREPERSON
10	FUREPERSON
11	
12	
13	
14 15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

	ORIGINAL		
i	FILED IN OPEN COUNTY		
2	PHILIP J. KOHN, PUBLIC DEFENDER NEVADA BAR NO. 0556 309 South Third Street, Suite 226 STEVEN D. GRIERSON		
3	309 South Third Street, Suite 226 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 CLERK OF THE COURT (702) 455-4685		
4	Attorney for Defendant BY Wathurs		
5	APRIL WATKINS DEPUTY		
6	DISTRICT COURT		
7	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)		
9	Plaintiff, CASE NO. C253455X		
10	DEFT. NO. All		
11	LESEAN TARUS COLLINS,) DATE: November 6, 2009 TIME: 10:00 a.m.		
12	Defendant.		
13	DEFENSE OFFER OF PROOF REGARDING DENIAL OF DEFENSE MOTION TO		
14	CONTINUE		
15	COMES NOW, the Defendant, LESEAN TARUS COLLINS, by and through		
16	TIERRA D. JONES and ABBIE L. PAROLISE, Deputy Public Defenders to make the following		
17	offer of proof.		
18	This Motion is made and based upon all the papers and pleadings on file herein, the		
19	attached Declaration of Counsel, and oral argument at the time set for hearing this Motion.		
20	DATED this day of November, 2009.		
21	PHILIP J. KOHN		
22	CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER		
23	-1 //// / ////		
24	TIERRA D. JONES, #10094		
25	Deputy Public Defender		
26	A D. O		
27	By: () . PAROLISE, #10710		
28	Deputy Public Defender		
- 11			

- 1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the State of Nevada; I am the Deputy Public Defender assigned to represent the Defendant in the instant matter, and the Defendant has represented the following facts and circumstances of this case.
- 2. We are currently experiencing extremely high caseloads that interfered with our trial preparation in this case. Ms. Jones is currently assigned 164 active felony cases, not in bench warrant and Ms. Parolise is currently assigned 179 active felony cases, not in bench warrant.
 - 3. We announced that we were not ready to proceed with trial at this time. If afforded more time to prepare, we would have done the following:
 - Subpoenaed any police report for the alleged tire slashing on September 29, 2008.
 - Subpoenaed the video surveillance at Shalana Eddin's place or business from September 30, 2008.
 - Subpoenaed Officer Jaramillo as a rebuttal witness.
 - Driven the routes described by Shalana Eddins and Vivian Furlow to see the time required to make those journeys.
 - Subpoenaed the narrative from the temporary protective order sought by Shalana Eddins on September 30, 2008.
 - We would have looked into any recent arrests of Shalana Eddins.
 - Subpoenaed phone records for the following people: Vivian Furlow, Robert, Eddins,
 Shalana Eddins, and Shalana's place of business.
 - Attempted to speak with Darlene Heers husband and daughter.
 - Attempted to speak with Anetra, the woman with whom Vivian Furlow said she obtained
 Lesean Collins' phone number.
 - Fully interviewed Patricia Brewer and any other witnesses the Defense intended to call.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. (NRS 53.045). EXECUTED this 6 day of November, 2009.

DATED this day of November, 2009.	ATED this <u>6</u> d	ATED	DA
PHILIP J. KOHN CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER By			
TIERRA D. JONES, #10094 Deputy Public Defender			

By:
ABBIE L. PAROLISE, #10710
Deputy Public Defender

1 2 3 4 5 6	NOTC DAVID ROGER Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #002781 JOSHUA TOMSHECK Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #009210 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2211 (702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff		
7	DISTRICT COURT		
8	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,		
10	Plaintiff, CASE NO: C253455		
11	-vs- } DEPT NO: XII		
12	LESEAN COLLINS, 8		
13	\(\text{\tinc{\tint{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tint{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tin}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tint{\text{\tinit}\\ \text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tinit}\\ \text{\te}\tint{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tetx{\text{\text{\texi}\tint{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\text{\tin\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\tint{\text{\tin}\tint{\text{\ti}\tint{\text{\texi}\tint{\text{\ti}\text{\texit{\text{\tet		
14	Defendant.		
15	NOTICE OF HABITUAL CRIMINALITY		
16	COMES NOW, the STATE OF NEVADA, through DAVID ROGER, District		
17	Attorney, by and through JOSHUA TOMSHECK, Deputy District Attorney, and hereby		
18	places Defendant LESEAN COLLINS on notice of the State's intent to enhance the		
19	Defendant's punishment pursuant to the provisions of NRS 207.010 in the event of		
20	conviction of some or all of the counts charged in the Indictment. This notice is filed		
21	pursuant to the provisions of NRS 207.010 and 173.095.		
22	DATED this 6 th day of November, 2009.		
23	DAVID ROGER DISTRICT ATTORNEY		
24	Nevada Bar #002781		
25	4. Tour hand		
26	JOSHUA TOMSHECK		
27	Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #009210		
28			

P:\WPDOCS\NOTICE\Outlying\8N2\8N222502.doc

NOTICE OF HABITUAL CRIMINALITY

The instant notice is filed pursuant to the provisions of NRS 173.095(2) which provides "if an Indictment is found charging a primary offense upon which a charge of habitual criminality may be based, the District Attorney may file a notice of habitual criminality with the court." In addition, NRS 207.010(2) provides in relevant part, "It is within the discretion of the District Attorney whether or not to . . . file a notice of habitual criminality if an Indictment is found."

The procedure to be followed at the time of sentencing is set forth at NRS 207.016.

Defendant LESEAN COLLINS has suffered the following prior felony convictions,

to-wit:

- 1. That in 2001, the Defendant was convicted in the County of Clark, State of Nevada for the crime of Possession of Controlled Substance With Intent to Sell, in Case No. C154516.
- 2. That in 2001, the Defendant was convicted in County of Clark, State of Nevada for the crime of Possession of Controlled Substance, in Case No. C166115.
- 3. That in 2005, the Defendant was convicted in County of Clark, State of Nevada for the crime of Stop Required On Signal Of Police Officer, in Case No. C184264.

DATED this 6th day of November, 2009.

DAVID ROGER DISTRICT ATTORNEY Nevada Bar #002781

BY

JØSHUA TOMSHECK Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #009210

P:\WPDOCS\NOTICE\Outlying\8N2\8N222502.doc

्र

0026
PHILIP J. KOHN, PUBLIC DEFENDER
NEVADA BAR NO. 0556
309 South Third Street, Suite 226
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
(702) 455-4685
Attorney for Defendant

FILED DEC 2 9 2009

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. C253455X

DEPT. NO. XII

LESEAN TARUS COLLINS,

DATE: January 12, 2010

Defendant.

TIME: 8:30 a.m.

MOTION TO CONTINUE SENTENCING DATE

COMES NOW the Defendant, LESEAN TARUS COLLINS, by and through his attorney, TIERRA D. JONES, Deputy Public Defender, and respectfully moves this court for an order vacating the January 28, 2010 sentencing date and requesting a new sentencing date of February 2, 2010.

This Motion is made based upon all the papers and pleadings on file herein, the attached Declaration of Counsel, Memorandum of Points and Authorities in support hereof, and oral argument at the time set for hearing this Motion.

DATED this day of December, 2009.

PHILIP J. KOHN

CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER

TIERRA D. JONES, #10094 Deputy Public Defender

26

27

DECLARATION

TIERRA D. JONES makes the following declaration:

- 1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the State of Nevada; I am the Deputy Public Defender assigned to represent the Defendant in the instant matter, and I am familiar with the facts and circumstances of this case.
- 2. Mr. Collins currently has a sentencing date set for January 28, 2010, in this Honorable Court.
- 3. His attorney is unavailable to make that sentencing date because of Justice Court preliminary hearings. Therefore, Ms. Jones is requesting that the sentencing date b continued until February 3, 2010, if that date is convenient for the Court and the State of Nevada.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. (NRS

53.045).

EXECUTED this

_ day of December, 2009.

TIERRA D. JONEŚ

	NOTICE OF MOTION
	MOTICE OF MOTION
	TO: CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY, Attorney for Plaintiff:
	YOU WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the foregoing Motion to Continue
4	Sentencing Date will be heard on January 12, 2010, at 8:30 am in Department No. XII of the District
5	Court.
6	DATED this day of December, 2009.
7	PHILIP J. KOHN / /
8	CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER
9	$\Lambda \Lambda (X)$
10	
11	TIERRA D. JONES, #10094 Deputy Public Defender
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	RECEIPT OF COPY
21	RECEIPT OF COPY of the above and foregoing Motion to Continue Sentencing
22	Date is hereby acknowledged this <u>39</u> day of December, 2009.
23	CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY
24	
25	By
26	
27	
28	

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

.18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MERCEN EN

Attorneys for Lesean Collins

ORIGINAL

1 **EXPR** FILED DAVID M. SCHIECK 2 SPECIAL PUBLIC DEFENDER Nevada Bar #0824 3 IVETTE A. MANINGO Deputy Special Public Defender 4 Nevada Bar #7076 SCOTT BINDRUP 5 Deputy Special Public Defender Nevada Bar #2537 330 So. Third Street, Suite #800 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 7 (702) 455-6265 FAX: (702) 455-6273 E-MAIL: imaningo@co.clark.nv.us E-MAIL: sbindrup@co.clark.nv.us

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff

vs.

LESEAN COLLINS, ID 0857181,

Defendant.

CASE NO. C253455
DEPT. NO. 12

EX PARTE APPLICATION AND ORDER TO PREPARE TRANSCRIPTS

COMES NOW, DAVID M. SCHIECK, Special Public Defender, IVETTE A. MANINGO, Deputy Special Public Defender, and SCOTT BINDRUP, Deputy Special Public Defender, attorneys for Defendant LESEAN COLLINS in Case No. C252804 (State v. Collins, District Court Department 9) and requests this Honorable Court for an Order instructing the Court Reporter/Recorder to provide transcripts of the trial in the above entitled matter as and for preparation in their trial in Case No. C252804.

After a jury trial, Mr. Collins was convicted on November 6, 2009 of Count 1 First Degree Arson, Count 2 Burglary, and Count 3 Malicious Injury to vehicle. The sentencing was

RECEIVED

JAN 2 9 2010

DEPARTMENT 12

continued until February 9, 2010. Mr. Collins is charged in Case No. C252804 with Murder (non-capital) and Robbery and his trial is set to commence on March 1, 2010. It is anticipated that some of the same witnesses will testify in his Murder trial that testified in the Arson trial. Counsel requests that transcripts be prepared of the trial proceedings in the instant case at the Court Reporter/Recorder's earliest convenience in order to assist in preparation of the Murder trial.

DATED: January 27, 2010.

DAVID M. SCHIECK SPECIAL PUBLIC DEFENDER

IVETTE A. MANINGO Deputy Special Public Defender Nevada Bar #7076 330 So. Third Street, Suite #800 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 455-6265

ORDER TO PREPARE TRANSCRIPTS

TO: KERRY ESPARZA, Reporter/Recorder:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED based on the foregoing Application that the Court Reporter/Recorder will prepare a transcript of trial proceedings as follows:

November 2, 2009, Videotaped Trial Testimony of Vivian Furlow

November 4, 2009, Trial by Jury

November 5, 2009, Trial by Jury

November 6, 2009, Trial by Jury

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the transcript will be prepared as soon as possible as the defense has indicated a need for expedited service.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Court Reporter/Recorder will provide a copy of the filed transcript to the Special Public Defender's Office and the State of Nevada.

DATED AND DONE this day of tohuary, 2010.

Malinull Cultural DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

	50
1	ROC DAVID M. SCHIECK SPECIAL PUBLIC DEFENDER Nevada Bar #0824 FILED
3 4	IVETTE A. MANINGO Deputy Special Public Defender Nevada Bar #7076 FEB 3 3 07 PM '10
5 6	SCOTT BINDRUP Deputy Special Public Defender Nevada Bar #2537 CLERK OF THE CUURT
7	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 455-6265
8 9	FAX: (702) 455-6273 E-MAIL: imaningo@co.clark.nv.us E-MAIL: sbindrup@co.clark.nv.us Attorneys for Lesean Collins
10 11	DISTRICT COURT
12	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
13	THE STATE OF NEVADA,) CASE NO. C253455
14	Plaintiff DEPT. NO. 12
15	VS.
16	LESEAN COLLINS, ID 0857181, Defendant.
17)
18	RECEIPT OF COPY
19	RECEIPT of a copy of the Ex Parte Application and Order to Prepare Transcripts is
20 21	hereby acknowledged this 2 Nd day of February, 2010.
22	
23	KERRY #SPARZA/)
24	COURT REPORTER/RECORDER DISTRICT COURT, DEPT. 12
25	Diorider Cooki, BEF 1. 12
26	
27	RECEIVED
28	FEB 0 3 2010
	CLERK OF THE COURT
	•
	l I

JOC

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ORIGINAL

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

-VS-

LESEAN TARUS COLLINS #0857181

Defendant.

CASE NO. C253455

DEPT. NO. XII

JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION (JURY TRIAL)

The Defendant previously entered a plea of not guilty to the crimes of COUNT 1 FIRST DEGREE ARSON (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 205.010, COUNT 2 - BURGLARY (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 205.060, COUNT 3 -MALICIOUS INJURY TO VEHICLE (Gross Misdemeanor) in violation of NRS 205.274, 193.155; and the matter having been tried before a jury and the Defendant having been found guilty of the crimes of COUNT 1 - FIRST DEGREE ARSON (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 205.010, 207.010; COUNT 2 - BURGLARY (Category B Felony) in violation of NRS 205.060, 207.010; and COUNT 3 - MALICIOUS INJURY TO VEHICLE (Gross Misdemeanor) in violation of NRS 205.274, 193.155, 207.010; thereafter, on the

2ND day of March, 2010, the Defendant was present in court for sentencing with his counsel TIERRA D. JONES, Deputy Public Defender, and good cause appearing,

THE DEFENDANT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED guilty of said offenses under the LARGE HABITUAL CRIMINAL STATUTE and, in addition to the \$25.00 Administrative Assessment Fee and a \$150.00 DNA Analysis Fee including testing to determine genetic markers, the Defendant is SENTENCED to the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC) as follows: AS TO COUNT 1 - TO LIFE with a MINIMUM parole eligibility after TEN (10) YEARS; AS TO COUNT 2 - TO LIFE with a MINIMUM parole eligibility after TEN (10) YEARS, COUNT 2 to run CONCURRENT with COUNT 1; AS TO COUNT 3 - to TWELVE (12) MONTHS in the Clark County Detention Center (CCDC), COUNT 3 to run CONCURRENT with COUNTS 1 & 2; with FIVE HUNDRED SIXTEEN (516) DAYS credit for time served.

DATED this ____ day of March, 2010.

MICHELLE LEAVI DISTRICT JUDGE

d

NOAS 1 PHILIP J. KOHN, PUBLIC DEFENDER FILED 2 NEVADA BAR No. 0556 309 South Third Street, Suite 226 3 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 Har 25 3 02 PH 110 (702) 455-46854 Attorney for Defendant 5 JRT Cii 6 7 8 DISTRICT COURT 9 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 10 11 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 12 Plaintiff. CASE NO. C253455X 13 v. DEPT. NO. XII 14 LESEAN TARUS COLLINS, 15 Defendant. 16 NOTICE OF APPEAL 17 TO: THE STATE OF NEVADA 18 DAVID ROGER, DISTRICT ATTORNEY, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA and DEPARTMENT NO. XII OF THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT 19 OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK. 20 NOTICE is hereby given that Defendant, Lesean Tarus 21 Collins, presently incarcerated in the Nevada State Prison, 22 appeals to the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada from the 23 judgment entered against said Defendant on the 4th day of March, 24 2010, whereby he was convicted of Ct. 1 - First Degree Arson; Ct. 25 2 - Burglary; Ct. 3 - Malicious Injury to Vehicle and sentenced

under the Large Habitual Criminal Statute to \$25 Admin. Fee; \$150

DNA analysis fee; genetic testing; Cts. 1 & 2 - 10 years to Life

RECEIVED

26

27

28

MAR 2 5 2010

CHEMIC OF THE COURT

in prison; Ct. 2 to run concurrent with Ct. 1; Ct. 3 - 12 months in CCDC; Ct. 3 to run concurrent with Cts. 1 & 2; 516 days CTS.

DATED this day of March, 2010.

PHILIP J. KOHN
CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER

P. DAVID WESTBROOK, #9278
Deputy Public Defender
309 S. Third Street, Ste. 226

Las Vegas, Nevada 89155

(702) 455-4685

DECLARATION OF MAILING

Carrie Connolly, an employee with the Clark County Public Defender's Office, hereby declares that she is, and was when the herein described mailing took place, a citizen of the United States, over 21 years of age, and not a party to, nor interested in, the within action; that on the day of March, 2010, declarant deposited in the United States mail at Las Vegas, Nevada, a copy of the Notice of Appeal in the case of the State of Nevada v. Lesean Tarus Collins, Case No. C253455X, enclosed in a sealed envelope upon which first class postage was fully prepaid, addressed to Lesean Tarus Collins, c/o High Desert State Prison, P.O. Box 650, Indian Springs, NV 89018. That there is a regular communication by mail between the place of mailing and the place so addressed.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

EXECUTED on the day of March, 2010.

An employee of the Clark County Public Defender's Office

RECEIPT OF COPY of the foregoing Notice of Appeal is hereby acknowledged this 25 day of March, 2010.

DAVID ROGER
CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY

By: Novincha Hiller

09-C-253455-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Collins, Lesean T

04/08/09 01:15 PM 00 GRAND JURY INDICTMENT

HEARD BY: David Barker, Judge; Dept. 18

OFFICERS: Tina Hurd, Court Clerk

Richard Kangas, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA 000346 Mitchell, Scott S.

009210 Tomsheck, Joshua L.

Walter Olenderski, Grand Jury Foreman, stated to the Court that at least twelve members had concurred in the return of the true bill during deliberation, but had been excused for presentation to the Court. presented Grand Jury Case Number 08AGJ112X to the Court. COURT ORDERED, the indictment may be filed and is assigned Case Number C253455, Department 11. Mr. Tomsheck advised Deft. Collins is currently in custody with no bail on a Murder charge and was on a no-bail hold in Justice Court for these charges. Colloquy. COURT ORDERED, BENCH WARRANT WILL ISSUE, \$301,000.00 BAIL. Matter set for initial arraignment. Exhibit(s) 1, 1a & 2 lodged with Clerk of District Court.

B.W. (COC)

4-15-09 9:00 AM INITIAL ARRAIGNMENT (DEPT. XI)

04/13/09 01:30 PM 00 INDICTMENT WARRANT RETURN

HEARD BY: Kevin V Williams, Hearing Master; Dept. AA

OFFICERS: Sandy Harrell/Michele Tucker/mlt, Court Clerk

Sharon Coffman, Relief Clerk Kiara Schmidt, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA

009210 Tomsheck, Joshua L.

Υ

Υ

0001 D1 Collins, Lesean T

005620 Dickson, Dianne

Ms. Dixon advised this matter is on calendar for 4/15 for arraignment. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED to that date.

CUSTODY (B.W. (COC)

CONTINUED TO: 04/15/09 01:30 PM 01

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 002

MINUTES DATE: 04/13/09

09-C-253455-C	STATE OF 1	NEVADA				vs C	ollin	ıs,	Lesean	т		
									TINUED		PAGE:	001
	04/15/09	09:00 A	M 00	ALI	PEN	DING	MOTI	ONS	04/19	5/09)		
	HEARD BY:	Elizabe	th Gor	nzale	z, Jı	udge	; Dep	t.	11			
	OFFICERS:	Kathy K Jill Ha	lein, wkins,	Cour Rep	t Cle	erk r/Re	corde	r				
	PARTIES:	007849	STATE O'Bri									Y Y
		0001 D1 PUBDEF 010458	Publi	.c De	fende	ean :	r					Y Y Y

BENCH WARRANT RETURN...INITIAL ARRAIGNMENT

State noted Mr. Tomsheck is the Attorney on this case. Mr. Trauth advised Deft. is in custody on other charges and requested a continuance and noted the Special Public Defender may take this case. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED.

CUSTODY (COC)

04/29/09 9:00 AM BENCH WARRANT RETURN...INITIAL ARRAIGNMENT

04/29/09 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS (04/29/09)

HEARD BY: Elizabeth Gonzalez, Judge; Dept. 11

OFFICERS: Kathy Klein, Court Clerk

Jill Hawkins, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

009210 Tomsheck, Joshua L.

0001 D1 Collins, Lesean T PUBDEF Public Defender 010094 Jones, Tierra D.

010710 Parolise, Abigail

INDICTMENT WARRANT RETURN...INITIAL ARRAIGNMENT

Ms. Jones requested matters be continued, and noted the they did not receive the transcript. State noted the transcript was filed. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED.

CUSTODY (COC)

05/06/09 9:00 AM INDICTMENT WARRANT RETURN...INITIAL ARRAIGNMENT

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 003 PRINT DATE: 03/22/10 PAGE: 002 MINUTES DATE: 04/29/09

Y

Υ

Y

Y

		CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES					
09-C-253455-C	STATE OF						
		CONTINUED FROM PAGE:	002				
	05/06/09	09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS (05/06/09)_					
	HEARD BY	: Elizabeth Gonzalez, Judge; Dept. 11					
	OFFICERS	: Kathy Klein, Court Clerk Jill Hawkins, Reporter/Recorder					
	PARTIES:	STATE OF NEVADA 009210 Tomsheck, Joshua L.	Y Y				
		0001 D1 Collins, Lesean T PUBDEF Public Defender 010094 Jones, Tierra D.	Y Y Y				
INDICTMENT WA	RRANT RETU	RNINITIAL ARRAIGNMENT					
Mr. Jones advised Deft. is ready to proceed. DEFT. COLLINS ARRAIGNED, PLED NOT GUILTY and WAIVED THE 60-DAY RULE. COURT ORDERED, matter set for trial. CUSTODY (COC) 08/12/09 9:00 AM CALENDAR CALL 08/17/09 10:00 AM JURY TRIAL							
	06/01/09	09:00 AM 00 PTN FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS					
	HEARD BY:	Elizabeth Gonzalez, Judge; Dept. 11					
	OFFICERS:	Kathy Klein, Court Clerk Jill Hawkins, Reporter/Recorder					
	PARTIES:	STATE OF NEVADA 009210 Tomsheck, Joshua L.	Y Y				
		0001 D1 Collins, Lesean T PUBDEF Public Defender 010094 Jones, Tierra D.	Y Y Y				
At the request	of Counse	el, COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED.					
CUSTODY (COC)							

CONTINUED TO: 06/10/09 09:00 AM 01

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 004 MINUTES DATE: 06/01/09

PRINT DATE: 03/22/10

PAGE: 003

09-C-253455-C	STATE OF	NEVADA vs Collins, Lesean T	
		CONTINUED FROM PAGE:	003
	06/10/09	09:00 AM 01 PTN FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	
	HEARD BY:	: Elizabeth Gonzalez, Judge; Dept. 11	
	OFFICERS:	: Kathy Klein, Court Clerk Jill Hawkins, Reporter/Recorder	
	PARTIES:	STATE OF NEVADA 009210 Tomsheck, Joshua L.	Y Y
		0001 Dl Collins, Lesean T PUBDEF Public Defender 010094 Jones, Tierra D.	Y Y Y
Arguments by ODENIED.	Counsel. C	OURT stated its findings and ORDERED, Petition	
CUSTODY (COC)			
	07/22/09	09:00 AM 00 DEFT'S MTN TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE OF EXCULPATORY EVID/12	
	HEARD BY:	Elizabeth Gonzalez, Judge; Dept. 11	
	OFFICERS:	Kathy Klein, Court Clerk Jill Hawkins, Reporter/Recorder	
	PARTIES:	STATE OF NEVADA 009210 Tomsheck, Joshua L.	Y Y
		0001 D1 Collins, Lesean T PUBDEF Public Defender 010094 Jones, Tierra D.	Y Y Y
Mr Tomeheak n	otod this	tion Mr. Transcript	•

Mr. Tomsheck noted this was Ms. Jeanneys' case and further noted the State had no objection. COURT ORDERED, Deft's Motion to Compel Disclosure of Exculpatory Evidence, GRANTED.

CUSTODY (COC)

PRINT DATE: 03/22/10

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 005 MINUTES DATE: 07/22/09

PAGE: 004

09-C-253455-C	STATE OF	NEVADA		vs	Colli	ns, Les	ean	Т		
						CONTIN	UED	FROM	PAGE:	004
	08/12/09	.08:30	M 00 CA	LENDAR (CALL					
	HEARD BY:	Doug St	ith, Judge	e; Dept.	. 8					
	OFFICERS:	Melissa	ne Streube Benson/ml coby, Repo	o, Relie	ef Cler	ck				
	PARTIES:	009210	STATE OF Tomsheck,		L.					Y Y
		PUBDEF	Collins, Public De Jones, Ti	efender						Y Y Y
Counsel stated State had no d trial VACATED	Obbosicion	Dut ala	ot been re note read	solved liness t	and re o proc	quested eed. CO	l a)URT	conti ORDE	nuance RED,	
CUSTODY										
10/28/09 8:30	AM CALEN	DAR CALL	(FIRM SE	TTING)						
11/02/09 10:00	O AM TRIAL	BY JURY	(FIRM SE	TTING)						
	09/16/09	08:30 A	1 00 DEF MIN	T'S MTN OR CHILI	TO PR	ECLUDE AN COLL	TEST	TIMON 15	Y OF	
	HEARD BY:	Doug Sm.	th, Judge	; Dept.	8					
	OFFICERS:	Melissa	e Streube Benson/mb attery, Re	, Relief	Clerk	τ				
	PARTIES:	010625	STATE OF I		.ne					Y Y

Counsel advised of receipt of States opposition. Arguments by counsel. COURT ORDERED, motion DENIED. Trial STANDS.

0001 D1 Collins, Lesean T

PUBDEF Public Defender

010094 Jones, Tierra D.

CUSTODY (COC)

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 006 MINUTES DATE: 09/16/09

PRINT DATE: 03/22/10

PAGE: 005

Y

Y

09-C-253455-C	STATE OF	NEVADA			vs	Colli	ทธ	Lesean	т		
						00111		TINUED		PAGE:	005
	10/28/09	08:30 A	00 M	CALEN	IDAR C	ALL	(FIF	RM SETT	ING)		
	HEARD BY:	Doug Sm	ith, J	Tudge;	Dept.	8					
	OFFICERS:	Katheri Jill Ja	ne Str coby,	euber, Report	Cour er/Red	t Cle corde	rk r				
	PARTIES:	009210		OF NE		L.					Y Y
		0001 D1 PUBDEF 010094	Publi	c Defe	nder	T					Y Y V

Ms. Jones advised Deft. had not been cooperating with their investigator and noted they are currently in another trial are not prepared to go forward. COURT ORDERED, trial VACATED and matter REFERRED to Overflow.

010710 Parolise, Abigail

COURT ORDERED, trial VACATED and matter REFERRED to Overflow.
CUSTODY
10-29-09 9:00 AM OVERFLOW (8) - J. TOMSHECK/T. JONES/3-4 DAYS/10-13 WITNESSES/1 OUT OF STATE
10/29/09 09:00 AM 00 OVERFLOW(8) - J. TOMSHECK/T. JONES/3-4DAY 10-13 WITNESSES/1 OUT OF STATE
HEARD BY: David Barker, Judge; Dept. 18
OFFICERS: Tia Everett/te, Relief Clerk Richard Kangas, Reporter/Recorder
PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA Y 009210 Tomsheck, Joshua L. Y 010625 Jeanney, Jacqueline Y
0001 D1 Collins, Lesean T PUBDEF Public Defender 010094 Jones, Tierra D.

Ms. Jones advised she has been in trial all week and there is additional investigation which needs to be done in this case before trial. Mr. Tomsheck informed the Court when Judge Smith continued the trial last time he told parties will be ready to go on this trial date. Ms. Jones advised an offer has been received in this case as well as the Defendant's other case which she and Ms. Maningo will discuss with Defendant about today. COURT ORDERED, Request to continued DENIED and matter REFERRED to Department 12 for trial.

PRINT DATE: 03/22/10 PAGE: 006 CONTINUED ON PAGE: 007
PAGE: 006 MINUTES DATE: 10/29/09

09-C-253455-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Collins, Lesean T

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 006

Y

Y

Y

Y

CUSTODY (COC)

11/4/09 9:00 AM JURY TRIAL

11/02/09 11:00 AM 00 MOTION CONDUCT VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION

TESTIMONY OF MATERIAL WITNESS

HEARD BY: Michelle Leavitt, Judge; Dept. 12

OFFICERS: April Watkins, Court Clerk

Kerry Esparza, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

009210 Tomsheck, Joshua L. 010625 Jeanney, Jacqueline

0001 D1 Collins, Lesean T PUBDEF Public Defender 010094 Jones, Tierra D. 010710 Parolise, Abigail

State's Motion to Conduct Videotaped Deposition Testimony of Material Witness Vivian Furlow FILED IN OPEN COURT.

Mr. Tomsheck advised State announced ready, sent to overflow, case was suppose to start today, subpoenas went out and now Ms. Furlow advised she is leaving tonight to go to Florida on a cruise until the 12th of this month. Ms. Jones stated Deft's counsel announced not ready and argued counsel will not even be ready to go on Wednesday but can be if the Court wants counsel to be. Further, Ms. Jones argued her investigator is not done with investigation as well as counsel being in trial last week and counsel is not ready. Colloquy. Ms. Parolise objected to motion filed by the State and argued not one judicial day notice. Further, Ms. Parolise does not believe counsel has had time to respond and argued witness not permanently unavailable, only not available for next two weeks. Offer of proof by the State as to Ms. Furlow. Court stated standard met as being material. Additional argument by Ms. Jones. Mr. Tomsheck argued Ms. Furlow is a material witness. COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED.

CUSTODY (COC)

PRINT DATE: 03/22/10

219

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 008 PAGE: 007 MINUTES DATE: 11/02/09

09-C-253455-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Collins, Lesean T

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 007

Y

Y

Y

Y

Y

11/02/09 01:00 PM 00 VIDEOTAPED TRIAL TESTIMONY OF VIVIAN FURLOW

HEARD BY: Michelle Leavitt, Judge; Dept. 12

OFFICERS: April Watkins, Court Clerk

Kerry Esparza, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

009210 Tomsheck, Joshua L. 010625 Jeanney, Jacqueline

0001 D1 Collins, Lesean T PUBDEF Public Defender 010094 Jones, Tierra D. 010710 Parolise, Abigail

Court stated she did go to the custody door attempting to speak to Deft. about his refusal to come into the courtroom. Further, Deft. stated he doe snot want to come in, believes his rights have been violated and advised the Deft. he had to come in and make a record of it.

Dianne Dickson, present and speaking to Deft. now.

Ms. Parolise inquired as to the Court's ruling regarding videotaped deposition of witness. Court stated she was advised through her staff that the State was seeking a request to take witness testimony as witness was leaving on vacation. Additionally, Court advised the State was advised to contact defense counsel immediately of the pending motion and defense would of had plenty of time to prepare cross examination. Ms. Parolise stated they were advised Thursday afternoon. Court stated she did not require the State to file an order shortening time (OST). Ms. Parolise inquired as to it not being one judicial day notice. Again Court stated she did not require the State to file OST but did instruct the State to advise Deft's counsel immediately. Further argument by Ms. Parolise. Court stated let the record reflect Deft. is now present. Court inquired of Deft's counsel as to what prevented Defts' counsel from preparing for cross examination. Ms. Parolise argued Defts' counsel not ready to go, investigation not completed and believes counsel is limited as to cross examination. counsel argued she will be ineffective and advised she can provide an affidavit to the Court for in camera review. Mr. Tomsheck gave brief history of this case and advised Deft. has murder trial set for next year in March and argued it is obvious counsel wants this case to trail that case. Further, Mr. Tomsheck stated there is less then 100 pages of discovery in this case and Judge Smith told counsel this was a firm setting. Additionally, it was represented to Judge Smith Deft. was not cooperating with counsel and Judge Smith sent matter to overflow with the same representations being made there and Judge Barker gave counsel until Wednesday of this week to prepare.

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 009

MINUTES DATE: 11/02/09

09-C-253455-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Collins, Lesean T

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 008

State's attorneys have exited the courtroom and this part of the record ORDERED SEALED.

THIS PORTION SEALED BY THE COURT.

State's attorney's back in courtroom.

Vivian Furlow, sworn and testified.

CUSTODY (COC)

11/04/09 09:00 AM 00 TRIAL BY JURY

HEARD BY: Michelle Leavitt, Judge; Dept. 12

OFFICERS: April Watkins, Court Clerk

Kerry Esparza, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA 009210 Tomsheck, Joshua L. 010625 Jeanney, Jacqueline

0001 D1 Collins, Lesean T Υ PUBDEF Public Defender Y 010094 Jones, Tierra D. Y 010710 Parolise, Abigail

PROSPECTIVE JURORS PRESENT:

Jury and one alternate selected and sworn.

OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:

Ms. Jones requested the Court admonish witness Eddins not to testify as to Deft's prior criminal history. Mr. Tomsheck stated witness has been instructed not to mention it. Court stated she will admonish witness if Deft's counsel request it. Ms. Jones stated she believes the State has admonished witness appropriately. Also, Ms. Jones stated when Deft. was arrested in this case, he was also wanted by North Las Vegas in a murder case and request this not be mentioned as well. Mr. Tomsheck stated he has instructed Ms. Eddins and other witnesses they are only suppose to talk about this case and not the murder case. COURT ORDERED, both request GRANTED. Ms. Jones stated on September 29, 2009, there was another tire slashing and request it not be talked about as well as Deft. was never charged with it. Mr. Tomsheck argued course and conduct by Deft. Ms. Jones stated she is o.k. with her saying something was wrong with her tires, just don't want it referenced Deft. did not because Deft. was not charged with

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 010

MINUTES DATE: 11/04/09

Y

Y

09-C-253455-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Collins, Lesean T

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 009

it. Court stated witness can testify her tires were flat and that her father came over to help have them repaired. Additional argument by Mr. Tomsheck. Court FINDS it probative and relevant. Ms. Parolise argued Deft's counsel did not receive expert witness notice as to Detective Longpre. Mr. Tomsheck advised he is not a detective, he is the Arson Investigator for North Las Vegas and he investigated, made determinations in this case. Further, Mr. Tomsheck advised he will not give any opinion testimony. Further argument by Ms. Parolise. Court noted he has been identified and prepared report which was given to Deft's counsel. Mr. Tomsheck stated he will not ask any opinionated questions. Ms. Parolise argued it will be opinion testimony. Mr. Tomsheck further argued at time of Grand Jury he gave and recited his education and training experience. Court noted in transcripts, he talks about his 20 plus years experience. Additional arguments by counsel. Court FINDS State has met their burden and ORDERED, request DENIED.

JURY PRESENT:

Clerk read the Indictment to the jury and stated the Deft's plea thereto. Opening statements by counsel.

OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:

Court held hearing per State vs. Fernando Hernandez and Deft. agreed to concede to guilt freely, voluntarily and knowingly that he understands trial strategy and consented thereto.

State of Nevada present in the courtroom and advised by the Court determination was made Deft. has conceded to Count 3, each and every element except for the amount of damage being over \$250.00 and under \$5,000.00. Court also advised, the Court ordered the proceedings that just took place to be sealed and will not be unsealed except for an order from the Court.

JURY PRESENT:

Continuation of opening statements. Testimony and exhibits presented. (See worksheets.)

Court recessed.

CONTINUED TO: 11/05/09 11:00 AM 01

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 011 MINUTES DATE: 11/04/09

09-C-253455-C	STATE OF	NEVADA		V	s Collir	ıs, Lesean	T		
						CONTINUED		PAGE:	010
	11/05/09	11:00 A	M 01	TRIAL BY	JURY				
	HEARD BY:	Michell	e Leavi	tt, Judge	e; Dept.	12			
	OFFICERS:	Tia Eve	rett/te	Court Cl , Relief Reporter	Clerk	ler			
	PARTIES:	009210 010625	Tomshe	OF NEVADA ck, Joshu y, Jacque	a L.				Y Y Y
		0001 D1 PUBDEF	Colli Public	ns, Lesea Defender	n T				Y Y

JURY PRESENT:

Tia Everett, Court Clerk present. CONFERENCE AT BENCH. Testimony and exhibits presented. (See worksheets.)

010094 Jones, Tierra D.

010710 Parolise, Abigail

April Watkins, Court Clerk present. Further testimony and exhibits. State rests.

OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:

Ms. Jones moved for a mistrial as to witnesses statements made during testimony and argued counsel believes jury knows Deft. was in custody doing time. Court stated the State's question did not call for her to respond as to Deft. being in custody. Further argument by Ms. Jones. Opposition by the State. Court stated witness called as an alibi witness who did not know him for a long time. Additional argument by Ms. Jones. Court FINDS statement does not rise to the level of mistrial and ORDERED, motion DENIED.

Deft. advised of his right not to testify.

Ms. Parolise stated as to denial of the trial continuance, request to file affidavit under seal as to what trial counsel would have done. Court stated counsel can file affidavit.

Court recessed.

CONTINUED TO: 11/06/09 10:30 AM 02

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 012 MINUTES DATE: 11/05/09

PAGE: 011

Y

09-C-253455-C	STATE OF NEVADA	vs Collins,	Lesean T

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 011

Y

Y

Y

Y

Y

11/06/09 10:30 AM 02 TRIAL BY JURY

HEARD BY: Michelle Leavitt, Judge; Dept. 12

OFFICERS: April Watkins, Court Clerk

Kerry Esparza, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

> 009210 Tomsheck, Joshua L. 010625 Jeanney, Jacqueline

0001 D1 Collins, Lesean T PUBDEF Public Defender 010094 Jones, Tierra D.

010710 Parolise, Abigail

OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:

Defense Offer of Proof Regarding Denial of Defense Motion to Continue FILED IN OPEN COURT AND FILED UNDER SEAL.

Notice of Habitual Criminality FILED IN OPEN COURT.

Upon Court's inquiry, Deft. advised he will be testifying.

Instructions settled.

Ms. Jones objected to the playing of the video when Deft. is being interviewed and argued prejudicial to Deft. Mr. Tomsheck stated he is not sure what will happen as counsel does not know how Deft. will testify. Court stated counsel will have to approach and request to play video. Ms. Jones further argued interview also talks about pending murder charge. Court stated she will rule if issue comes up, will clear the courtroom and will watch video.

Court inquired of Deft. as to why he is back in Clark County Detention Center (CCDC) clothing. Deft. stated he does not want to testify anymore. Court made a record as to the Court's practice when a Deft. who is in custody testifies. Further statement by Deft. Court stated there is no prejudice to the Deft. as to the Court's policy regarding in custody Deft's testifying. Additional statement by Deft. Court further stated there are times that there are witnesses that are already in witness box before jury comes in, depends on situation and not jut in custody Deft's. Deft. again advised he wants to now testify. Ms. Jones stated it is her understanding, if Deft. testifies, State will ask him questions as to the fire, advised it may lead into the pending murder charges and requested the State not get into that. Court stated she is not sure what questions counsel will ask and cannot make that ruling yet. Deft. now advised he no longer wants to testify.

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 013

PAGE: 012 MINUTES DATE: 11/06/09

09-C-253455-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Collins, Lesean T

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 012

Court advised Juror #1, David Jones, has airline tickets to leave tonight, has to leave at 3:30 p.m., and he will be replaced with alternate juror #1, Katelyn Kraut. There being no objection, COURT ORDERED, Juror #1, David Jones, EXCUSED and Alternate Juror #1, Katelyn Kraut, SEATED as Juror #1.

Ms. Parolise argued Deft. being forced to choose between his Fifth and Sixth Amendment rights. Opposition by the State. Court stated if Deft. wants to testify, counsel knows how to limit direct so that would limit cross. Additional arguments by counsel. Court stated she will not make any type of ruling until after the Court hears direct examination.

JURY PRESENT:

Court instructed the jury. Closing arguments by counsel. At the hour of 3:09 p.m., the jury retired to deliberate. At the hour of 4:50 p.m., jury returned with a verdict of GUILTY of COUNT 1 FIRST DEGREE ARSON (F), GUILTY of COUNT 2 BURGLARY (F) and GUILTY of COUNT 3 MALICIOUS INJURY TO VEHICLE, VALUE \$250.00 OR GREATER (F).

Jury polled.

Court thanked and excused the jury.

OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:

Mr. Tomsheck requested bail be revoked and Deft. held without bail. Ms. Jones requested to have bail at it's current setting stand. COURT ORDERED, BAIL REVOKED; DEFT. HELD WITHOUT BAIL; matter REFERRED to the Division of Parole and Probation (P & P) and SET for sentencing.

CUSTODY

1/28/10 8:30 AM SENTENCING

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 014

MINUTES DATE: 11/06/09

09-C-253455-C STATE OF	NEVADA vs Collins, Lesean T	
	CONTINUED FROM PAGE:	013
01/12/10	08:30 AM 00 DEFT'S MTN TO CONTINUE SENTENCING DATE/22	
HEARD BY	: Michelle Leavitt, Judge; Dept. 12	
OFFICERS	: April Watkins, Court Clerk Tia Everett/te, Relief Clerk Kerry Esparza, Reporter/Recorder	
PARTIES:	STATE OF NEVADA 010435 Schifalacqua, Marc M.	Y Y
	0001 D1 Collins, Lesean T PUBDEF Public Defender 010094 Jones, Tierra D.	Y Y Y
COURT ORDERED, Motion G		ĭ
CUSTODY		
2/9/10 8:30 AM SENTENCI	NG	
02/18/10	08:30 AM 02 SENTENCING	
HEARD BY:	Michelle Leavitt, Judge; Dept. 12	
OFFICERS:	Kristen Brown/kb, Relief Clerk Kerry Esparza, Reporter/Recorder	
PARTIES:	STATE OF NEVADA 009210 Tomsheck, Joshua L.	Y Y
	0001 D1 Collins, Lesean T PUBDEF Public Defender 010094 Jones, Tierra D.	N Y Y

Mr. Tomsheck advised the Court that the deft. refused to be transported to court and requested a written order be prepared so that the deft. will be transported. Ms. Jones requested the deft. be given one chance and if he does not appear at the next hearing, then an order can be prepared. Court stated it will give the deft. one last opportunity to come and if the deft. refuses, and order will issue. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED.

CUSTODY

RINT DATE: 03/22/10

CONTINUED TO: 02/25/10 08:30 AM 03

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 015 PAGE: 014 MINUTES DATE: 02/18/10

09-C-253455-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Collins, Lesean T

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 014

Y

Υ

Y

Y

03/02/10 08:30 AM 04 SENTENCING

HEARD BY: Michelle Leavitt, Judge; Dept. 12

OFFICERS: April Watkins, Court Clerk

Kerry Esparza, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

009210 Tomsheck, Joshua L. 010625 Jeanney, Jacqueline

0001 D1 Collins, Lesean T PUBDEF Public Defender 010094 Jones, Tierra D.

DEFT. COLLINS ADJUDGED GUILTY as to COUNT 1 FIRST DEGREE ARSON (F), as to COUNT 2 BURGLARY (F) and as to COUNT 3 MALICIOUS INJURY TO VEHICLE (GM). Ms. Jones advised Deft. is requesting to be excused from proceedings. Colloquy between Court and Deft. COURT ORDERED, request DENIED. Exhibits presented. (See worksheet.) Arguments by counsel. Statement by Deft. COURT ORDERED, in addition to the \$25.00 Administrative Assessment fee and \$150.00 DNA Analysis fee including testing to determine genetic markers, Deft. SENTENCED UNDER THE LARGE HABITUAL CRIMINAL STATUTE as to COUNT 1 to LIFE in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC) with the possibility of parole after a MINIMUM of TEN (10) YEARS has been served, as to COUNT 2 to LIFE in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC) with the possibility of parole after a MINIMUM of TEN (10) YEARS has been served, CONCURRENT with COUNT 1 and as to COUNT 3 to the Clark County Detention Center (CCDC) for TWELVE (12) MONTHS, CONCURRENT with COUNTS 1 & 2 with FIVE HUNDRED SIXTEEN (516) DAYS credit for time served.

BOND, if any, EXONERATED.

PRINT DATE: 03/22/10

PAGE: 015

MINUTES DATE: 03/02/10

			FILED"	
1	TRAN ORIG	SINAL	May 17 4 53 PM 10	
2		DISTRICT COURT		
3	CLARK COUN	TY, NEVADA	CLE? YURT	
4	STATE OF NEVADA,	CASE NO. C253	5 . 5	
5		DEPT. NO. XI		
6	vs.	DEFI, NO. AI		
7	LESEAN TARUS COLLINS,			
8	Defendant.			
9)			
10				
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DAVID B.	BARKER, DISTR	ICT COURT JUDGE	
12	RECORDER'S TR	RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT RE:		
13	GRAND JURY INDICTMENT RETURN			
14	WEDNESDAY, APRIL 8, 2009			
15				
16				
17				
18	APPEARANCES:			
19 20 21 7 7010 24	FOR THE STATE:	SCOTT S. MITO JOSHUA TOMSHI Deputy Distri	ECK, ESQ.	
MAY 1 7 227	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	None		
7010	ALSO PRESENT:	WALTER OLENDI Grand Jury Fo		
	,			
25	RECORDER/TRANSCRIBER:	RICHARD L. KA	NGAS	

LAS VEGAS, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
WEDNESDAY, APRIL 8, 2009, 1:12 P.M.

* * * * * * *

MR. MITCHELL: Also, Your Honor, yesterday the Grand Jury met in Grand Jury Case Number 08AGJ112X, and by a vote of twelve or more returned an indictment charging Defendant Lesean Tarus Collins with one count of first degree arson, one count of burglary, and one count of malicious injury to vehicle.

May I approach the bench with the Indictment? THE COURT: You may.

Mr. Olenderski, did twelve or more members of the Grand Jury meet and return A True Bill as to Defendant Collins on the counts outlined?

MR. OLENDERSKI: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, very well. We'll receive of the Indictment, give it - assign it Case Number C253455, track it to Department Number XI.

Mr. - it looks like Mr. Tomsheck.

MR. TOMSHECK: Correct, Judge.

MR. MITCHELL: Yes, Judge. He's here to address the Court on the bail issue.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. TOMSHECK: Judge, this is a defendant who's currently in custody with a no bail hold on a first degree

murder case. In addition to that he's a multi-time, previously-convicted felon. And I just represent to the Court I think his SCOPE is approximately thirteen pages long.

Based on all of his criminal history, the fact that he's in on other serious charges and he's [inaudible due to someone sneezing], we'd ask for bail in the amount of a hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000).

THE COURT: And you got a no bail out of Justice Court, is that correct?

MR. TOMSHECK: Correct, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. At this point we'll continue that no bail hold, and you'll address bail with the assigned Department, Number XI, at the time of initial arraignment.

MR. TOMSHECK: There was - on this particular case, Judge, it was dismissed already at the Justice Court level, so there's currently no bail outstanding at this time.

THE COURT: Oh, so we need to set a bail.

MR. TOMSHECK: We do.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. TOMSHECK: Would you rather have me do that in front of the assigned judge, I have no problem with that.

THE COURT: Well, he's in custody on other charges, you say.

MR. TOMSHECK: He is.

THE COURT: Is the first degree arson a residential?

MR. TOMSHECK: It's a residential; it's a house
that he shared with the mother of his five children. The
total damage to the house was I think approximately two
hundred and fifty dollars.

THE COURT: All right. The bail set: Count 1, two hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$250,000); Count 2, burglary fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000; Count 3, the gross misdemeanor, a thousand dollars (\$1,000). And you can address bail, if necessary, at the time of initial arraignment in Department Number XI.

MR. TOMSHECK: Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Set it one week, felony arraignment.

MR. MITCHELL: Yes. And that would be in the Court that it's going to, instead of lower level; is that correct?

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. MITCHELL: All right.

THE COURT: Does the State wish to lodge Exhibits 1 and 2 with the clerk of the Court?

MR. TOMSHECK: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. MITCHELL: Yes.

THE CLERK: April 15, 9:00 a.m., Department XI.

Sold of the state of the state

THE COURT: Anything else?

MR. MITCHELL: Judge, just for the record, could that be Exhibits 1, 1A, and 2? Because we've got three exhibits, but one of them is 1A. You said 1 and 2. THE COURT: 1, 1A, and 2. MR. MITCHELL: Yes. Thank you. THE COURT: You got it. MR. MITCHELL: And also, Judge, could we get your signature on a document here? THE COURT: Sure. PROCEEDING CONCLUDED AT 1:15 P.M. ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have transcribed the audio-video recording of this proceeding in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability. RICHARD L. KANGAS Court Recorder/Transcriber ORIGINAL

	COP			
1	1 TRAN	FILED		
2	2	APR 27 27 PM '10		
3	3			
4	1	CLERY COBURT		
5	DISTRICT COURT			
6	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA			
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	CASE NO COSO 455		
8	∦ Plaintiff,)	CASE NO. C253455 DEPT. XI		
9	}	DEFT. XI		
11	LESEAN TARUS COLLINS,			
12	Defendant.			
13	BEFORE THE HONORABI F FI IZABETH	GONZALEZ DISTRICT COLURT HUDOS		
14		BEFORE THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH GONZALEZ, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE WEDNESDAY, MAY 6, 2009		
15	TRANSCRIPT OF	PROCEEDINGS		
16	INITIAL ARR	AIGNMENT		
17	APPEARANCES:			
18	For the State:	JOSHUA I TOMSHECK ESO		
19		JOSHUA L. TOMSHECK, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney		
20	For the Defendant:	TIEDDA		
21	To the beleficable.	TIERRA D. JONES, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender		
22				
23				
24				
25	RECORDED BY: JILL HAWKINS, COURT RECORDER			
11	1			

1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: How old are you?
3	
4	THE COURT: Tell me a little bit about your education.
5	
6	li de la companya de
7	language?
8	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Have you received a copy of the Indictment which was
10	filed in this case on April 8 th ?
11	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have, ma'am.
12	THE COURT: Have you had a chance to discuss it with your counsel?
13	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have.
14	THE COURT: Do you feel like you understand the charges that are
15	included in the Indictment?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
17	THE COURT: Do you waive the formal reading of the Indictment, any
18	list of witnesses that are attached thereto?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: How do you plead to the charge of first degree arson, a
21	felony; burglary, a felony; and malicious injury to vehicle, a gross misdemeanor?
22	THE DEFENDANT: Not guilty, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: You have a right to trial within 60 days. Do you wish to
24	invoke or waive that right?
25	THE DEFENDANT: Wish to waive, Your Honor.

	THE COURT: Thank you.
:	(Proceedings concluded at 9:09 a.m.)
;	3
4	4
5	5
6	;
7	,
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the
22	audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.
23	Leel Uniobiois
24	JILL HAWKINS Court Recorder/Transcriber
25	Obuit Necoldel/ Hanscriper

	COP	Υ
	TRAN	FILED
2		APR 27 28 PM '10
3	3	\mathcal{A}_{2}
4		CLERK COURT
5	DISTRIC	CT COURT
6	CLARK COL	INTY, NEVADA
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)
8	Plaintiff,) CASE NO. C253455)
9	vs.	DEPT. XI 04460
10	LESEAN TARUS COLLINS,	DEPT. XI F-09-04460 HSB
11	Defendant.) [[5]
12		
13		H GONZALEZ, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
14		JUNE 10, 2009
15	DEFENDANT'S WRIT	F PROCEEDINGS OF HABEAS CORPUS
16		
17	APPEARANCES:	
18	For the State:	JOSHUA L. TOMSHECK, ESQ.
19		Deputy District Attorney
20	For the Defendant:	TIERRA D. JONES, ESQ.
21		Deputy Public Defender
22		
23		
25		
25	RECORDED BY: JILL HAWKINS, COURT	RECORDER
(+

 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, WEDNESDAY, JUNE 10, 2009, 9:19 A.M.

THE COURT: Lesean Collins.

Good morning, sir. How are you?

THE DEFENDANT: Good morning, Your Honor.

MS. JONES: Good morning, Judge.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MS. JONES: Judge, we're ready.

THE COURT: It's your petition.

MS. JONES: Judge, this is our petition. And basically the State's argument on the petition is that the grand jury was instructed to disregard the statements that Ms. Eddins made. If this case were in trial at the time that Ms. Eddins made the statement about my client doing prison time, that would have been the point where we asked for a mistrial with this case. I don't believe that the State cured the prejudice that my client suffered by saying: Hey, disregard those statements.

She testified that they got back together after my client went to prison which lets the grand jury know on the second or third page of the transcript that my client is a convicted felon, 'cause that's the only way for you to have done prison time is to be a convicted felon.

My client was prejudiced by that because the grand jury before they deliberated and through the rest of the testimony that they heard at these proceedings, they knew that my client was a convicted felon coming out of the gate.

The State's argument is that they had enough other evidence to put forth that says that my client committed these crimes, that this case shouldn't be

dismissed. I disagree with that. I think the evidence the State brought out is speculation at best. They brought out testimony from Shalana Eddins that -- they're arguing that my client's actions that he was angry demonstrate a motive. Yes, the things that Shalana Eddins testified to, which we plan to question those things at trial in this case, demonstrate that my client was angry, but my client was not angry about a restraining order, because he didn't even know that there was a restraining order on him. Shalana Eddins testified that he was never ever served with the restraining order. So it was impossible for him to have known that she had a restraining order against him.

The State did not -- there was testimony from Shalana Eddins that her tires were damaged the night of September 29th while they were at the house. But the State doesn't have any proof that my client committed those crimes so there's only speculation. She says that she -- he came over to the house, she went outside, he was out there, and then she went outside and her tires were damaged. That's mere speculation. They didn't charge him with that because they don't have enough proof to prove that up. That's exactly what they have as to all the other allegations here.

They're saying he was angry. Just because someone is angry does not show that they burned down someone's house. The State also alleges that my client left her a message -- left her father a message stating that now we're even. My client left -- the father testified that my client left him a message stating: Tell your daughter, now we're even for my car that got towed. That was the testimony.

Basically, the detective testified that my client admitted to slashing the tires of her car. Then he calls and says: Now that we're even for my car that got towed. My client never ever admitted to the arson. My client told Shalana Eddins'

1 2 3

father he did not commit the arson. The State also intends to prove this up by allegations that my client was in -- stole the key the night before so he could get into the house. There was no proof provided for that. Detective Lomprey had an interview with my client where my client testified that his son let him in the house.

At the grand jury there was no testimony from the son. The son was not there. He did not testify that I did not let my dad in the house. There was testimony that my client is seen leaving the house at -- Vivian -- Vivian Furlow shows up at the house at 6:30. There was testimony that Shalana Eddins called the house and told the children to leave at 4:30, but there was never any testimony from the neighbor about what time the children arrived at her house. There was nobody there who testifies as to what time the children arrived at the house. So there was no testimony to dispute the fact that my client's son is the one that let him in the house.

The State wants to use my client's words to prove up everything else, but then they want to discredit his words for the fact that he says that his son let him in the house. Shalana Eddins testifies that he left her a message saying, basically, I want my stuff back. So if there was any entry into the residence, the entry was so he could get his stuff back.

The State hasn't given this Court any proof that the entry was so that he could commit arson on this house to prove off the burglary. My client denies the arson. There's no proof that my client set the fire. The -- he's seen leaving the neighborhood at approximately six, but there's no -- Vivian Furlow sees the fire at 6:30. There was never any testimony from Detective Lomprey as to what time the fire started, from anybody else from the fire department. We don't even know what time the fire got started. So the State has not shown probable cause that my client

committed -- slight or marginal evidence that my client committed the arson, that my client entered the residence to commit the arson for the burglary. Therefore, the State doesn't have enough evidence to substantiate the prejudice that my client suffered by Shalana Eddins testifying that he had just got out of prison when they got back together.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Tomsheck.

MR. TOMSHECK: To take those arguments piece by piece, Judge, the first issue having to do with the witness testifying about the Defendant having been in prison, and just so we're clear about this, I don't think the members of the grand jury necessarily have the same understanding that we, as lawyers do, that prison means felony conviction. I think they understand it means incarceration. I don't necessarily know that they can differentiate between jail and prison time.

That being said, it was an unsolicited statement. There was a question asked that didn't have to do with him being in prison, it was about a particular period of time and the witness chose to express that point in time by relating it to when he had been released from prison. It wasn't solicited. It was a passing reference and there was no other questions about it. The jury was admonished -- the grand jury was admonished to disregard it and to not pay any heed to it. They are assumed to follow the instructions that they're given, the same as jurors in a jury trial are assumed to follow the instructions they are given.

In order for the Indictment to be dismissed based upon that fact, the Court would have to believe there was no other evidence, because the case law in the *Franklin Logan* case are clear that even if there's some improper evidence brought forward, if there's still proper evidence brought forward that satisfies the

slight or marginal burden that we have in a grand jury proceeding, then the Indictment should still stand. So the dismissal of the Indictment isn't appropriate.

And Ms. Jones arguments about they say this, we say that, precisely proves that State's point, and that's this, if there's a question of fact that we can argue about, that means it goes to a jury. That means the grand jury's purpose has been fulfilled. And all of the arguments she just made are trial arguments, they're not arguments having to do with sufficiency of the evidence. I just have to present slight or marginal evidence to the grand jury. We did that.

Unless there be any doubt about what the Defendant knew or did at the time, it was clearly brought out at the grand jury that he made statements to individuals involved in the case that testified to the grand jury that the house was on fire prior to the police and the fire department being aware it. But for the fact he set the fire, no one would know that information. So, I think clearly there's been slight or marginal evidence raised in front of the grand jury and the writ should be denied.

THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Jones, anything else?

MS. JONES: And, Judge, I would like to just point out the fact that even if the grand jury is not aware that you have to be a convicted felon to go to prison, the grand jury was notified in the very beginning of those proceedings that my client had been to prison. That testimony was followed up with a lot of testimony about horrible allegations about threats, about threats of violence, about a horrible relationship that went on between my client and Ms. Eddins. I think even though it was unsolicited, putting it together with the other testimony that they heard, I think it portrayed my client in a prejudicial light and he suffered prejudice in this case.

I don't believe the State has shown any slight or marginal evidence.

The State has shown some sort of speculation that my client -- that the witnesses

believe my client may have been involved. I don't think they met the slight or marginal evidence burden and, therefore, I believe this Indictment should be dismissed.

THE COURT: Thank you.

I'm going to deny the petition. The State showed slight or marginal evidence and the comment regarding the prison time is harmless and the grand jury was admonished not to consider that testimony. For that reason, I see no basis to dismiss the Indictment.

MR. TOMSHECK: Thank you, Judge.

MS. JONES: Thank you, Judge.

(Proceedings concluded at 9:27 a.m.)

I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the ATTEST: audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

Court Recorder/Transcriber

	COF		
1	TRAN	FILED	
2			
3		APR 27 28 PM '10	
4		Da Ilain	
5	DISTRIC	CLERK TOURT	
6	CLARK COL	JNTY, NEVADA	
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)	
8	Plaintiff,) CASE NO. C253455)	
9	vs.) DEPT. XI = 09-41460 Appeal HSB PDW	
10	LESEAN TARUS COLLINS,) Appen the PDW	
11	Defendant.))	
12			
13	BEFORE THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH GONZALEZ, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
14		, JULY 22, 2009	
15	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE OF		
16	EXCULPATO	RY EVIDENCE	
17	APPEARANCES:		
18			
19	For the State:	JOSHUA L. TOMSHECK, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney	
20	For the Defendant:	TIERRA D. JONES, ESQ.	
21		Deputy Public Defender	
23			
24			
25			
20	RECORDED BY: JILL HAWKINS, COURT	RECORDER	
]]	1	İ	

1 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, WEDNESDAY, JULY 22, 2009, 9:44 A.M. 2 3 THE COURT: All right. Anybody else need a case pulled? Mr. 4 Tomsheck? 5 MR. TOMSHECK: Do you mind going back to Lesean Collins on eight? 6 Ms. Jones is now present. 7 THE COURT: I'd be happy to. 8 This is the defense's discovery motion? 9 MS. JONES: Yes it is, Judge. 10 And Judge, basically in speaking with Mr. Tomsheck and Ms. Jeanney, they have no objection to any of the things if they have them in their possession. So 11 basically, if anything else comes up and becomes an issue, we can put it back on. 12 13 THE COURT: Okay, 14 The motion's granted since the State has recognized those items are to 15 be provided. Do you want me to set a status check on the delivery of those items? 16 MR. TOMSHECK: Judge, just so the record's clear, Ms. Jeanney is counsel of record as the prosecutor on this case. She's got the actual physical file. 17 18 She's told me in discussions about the discovery in this case that the defense has everything. I don't think that there's anything in particular that they don't have that 19 20 they're requesting. If there is, we'll certainly provide it to them and Ms. Jones knows 21 how to get ahold of us and she's welcome to anything we have. 22 THE COURT: Do you want me to set it for a status check or not? 23 24 25

MS. JONES: I don't think we need a status check, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Have a lovely day. MS. JONES: Thank you, Your Honor. (Proceedings concluded at 9:45 a.m.) ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability. Court Recorder/Transcriber

1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
2	- THE STATE OF NEVADA
3	LESEAN TARUS COLLINS, No. 55716
4	Appellant,
5	vi,
6	THE STATE OF NEVADA,
7)
8	Respondent.
9	APPELLANT'S APPENDIX - VOLUME I - PAGES 001-247
10	PHILIP I VOIN
11	Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street Clark County District Attorney
12	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
13	Attorney for Appellant CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO
14	Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City Name 1 2020
15	Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538
16	Counsel for Respondent
17	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
18	I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada
19	Supreme Court on the day of the foregoing, 2000. Electronic Service of the foregoing
20	CATHERINE CORTEZ MACENO
21	STEVEN S. OWENS P. DAVID WESTBROOK HOWARD S. BROOKS
22	I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and correct
23	copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to:
24	LESEAN TARUS COLLINS NDOC No. 85039
25	c/o High Desert State Prison P.O. Box 650
26	Indian Springs, NV 89018
27	Employee, Clark County Public
28	Employee, Clark County Public
ı	Defender's Office

INDEX

1

Collins, Lesean 2 C253455 3 4 PAGE NO 5 6 Defendant's Motion to Compel Disclosure of Exculpatory Evidence (DOH 7/22/09) filed 7/8/09..... 141-149 7 8 Defendant's Notice of Alibi, Pursuant to NRS 174.087 filed 11/2/09..... 163-164 9 Defendant's Notice of Witnesses 10 filed 11/2/09..... 160-162 11 Defense Offer of Proof Regarding Denial of Defense Motion to 12 (DOH 11/6/09) filed 11/6/09..... 195-198 13 14 Ex Parte Application and Order to Prepare Transcripts filed 2/2/10..... 204-207 15 16 17 Instructions to the Jury filed 11/6/09..... 171-192 18 Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial) 19 filed 3/4/10..... 208-209 20 21 Motion to Continue Sentencing Date 22 23 Notice of Appeal filed 3/25/10..... 210-212 24 Notice of Habitual Criminality 25 filed 11/6/09..... 199-200 26 Notice of Motion and Motion to Conduct Videotaped Deposition 27 Testimony of Material Witness Vivian Furlow (DOH 11/2/09) filed 11/2/09..... 165-170 28

1	
2	Notice of Witnesses filed 10/29/09 158-159
3	Order filed 5/15/09 123-124
4	1 == +
5	(DOH 6/1/09) filed 5/12/09
6	Return to Writ of Habeas Corpus (DOH 6/1/09) filed 5/29/09 127-140
7	- 11
8	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Preclude Testimony of Minor Child, Tysean Collins
9	(DOH 9/16/09) filed 9/15/09
10	Verdict filed 11/6/09 193-194
11	Writ of Habeas Corpus
12	(DOH 6/1/09) filed 5/18/09
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
- []	

1 TRANSCRIPTS: Transcript: Calendar Call 3 (DOH 8/12/09) filed 4/30/10......248-251 4 Transcript: Calendar Call 5 6 Transcript: Defendant's Motion to Compel Disclosure of Exculpatory Evidence 7 (DOH 7/22/09) filed 4/27/10..... 245-247 8 Transcript: Defendant's Motion to Preclude Testimony of Minor Child, Tysean Collins 10 11 Transcript: Defendant's Writ of Habeas Corpus (DOH 6/10/09) filed 4/27/10..... 238-244 12 Transcript: Grand Jury 13 14 Transcript: Grand Jury Volume 2 15 16 Transcript: Grand Jury Indictment Return (DOH 4/8/09) filed 5/17/10......228-232 17 18 Transcript: Initial Arraignment 19 Transcript: Jury Trial - Day 1 Split Transcript 20 (DOH 11/4/09) filed 7/21/10...... 328-603 21 Part One, Page 328 to Page 490, Volume II Part Two, Page 491 to Page 603, Volume III 22 Transcript: Jury Trial - Day 2 23 24 Transcript: Jury Trial - Day 3 25 (DOH 11/6/09) filed 7/9/10...... 734-809 26 Transcript: Overflow Calendar Call 27 28

	<u> </u>	
1		
2		3
3	Transcript: Sentencing (Continued) (DOH 3/2/10) filed 6/18/10814-83	
4	<u> </u>	1
5	Transcript: Videotaped Testimony of Vivian Furlow (DOH 11/2/09) filed 7/12/10	7
6		,
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
27		
28		
20		

, -	
13 1	F. C.
_	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
2	ORIGINAL CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA (LED
3	AFR 16 1 32 PH 109
4	BEFORE THE GRAND JURY IMPANELED BY THE AFORESAID
5	DISTRICT COURTERK OF THE COURT
6	20001155
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA, ,
8) Case No. 08AGJ112X
9	Plaintiff,)
10	-vs-
11	LESEAN TARUS COLLINS,
12	Defendant.)
13	
14	Taken at Las Vegas, Nevada
15	Tuesday, February 24, 2009
16	3:40 p.m.
17	
18	
19	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
20	
21	
22	VOLUME 1
23	
24	
25	Reported by: Danette L. Antonacci, C.C.R. No. 222
	CE15

3	
13 1	GRAND JURORS PRESENT ON FEBRUARY 24, 2009:
2	
3	WALTER R. OLENDERSKI, Foreman
4	KARL MACDONALD, Deputy Foreman
5	TOMMY URIBE, Secretary
6	DEIDRA MARLEY, Assistant Secretary
7	MICHELLE ANDERTON
8	PAUL BACA
9	JAMES DUPLISEA
10	JOHN EATON
11	RUBYMIRA GERNHUBER
12	PATRICIA KELLY
13	JOAN MCMAKEN
14	JAMES PROVENZANO
15	THOMAS QUINLAN
16	JOHN SHIPP
17	LUIS SIMONEDI
18	JAMES TAYLOR
19	
20	
21	Algo property at the
22	Also present at the request of the Grand Jury: Joshua Tomsheck,
23	Jacqueline Jeanney, Deputy District Attorneys
24	
25	

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 24, 2009

* * * * *

3

4

5

2

1

DANETTE L. ANTONACCI,

having been first duly sworn to faithfully and accurately transcribe the following proceedings to the best of her ability.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. TOMSHECK: Good afternoon ladies and gentlemen of the Grand Jury. My name is Joshua Tomsheck and with me is Jacquelyn Jeanney. We are the deputy district attorneys that are assigned to prosecute this case which is the case of State of Nevada versus Lesean Tarus Collins, the defendant. The record should reflect that a copy of the proposed Indictment has been marked as Grand Jury Exhibit 1 and that all members of the Grand Jury have a copy of it. The defendant in this case is charged with two felony counts as follows: Count 1, first degree arson, and Count 2, burglary. These crimes were committed within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, on or about September 30th of last year, 2008. I think the proposed Indictment in front of you may have the incorrect date. We'll obviously ask you to make the appropriate amendments determined by what the testimony is today.

25

24

As you are aware we are required by law

to advise you of the elements of these charges and I will read the instructions that pertain to them now.

The elements of the charges are as follows: Count 1, first degree arson. Any person who, willfully and maliciously, sets fire to or burns or causes to be burned, or who aids, counsels or procures the burning of any dwelling, house or other structure, whether occupied or vacant, or a mobile home, whether occupied or vacant, or other personal property which is occupied by one or more persons, whether the property of himself or of another, is guilty of first degree arson.

As used in these instructions, the word willfully means the doing of an act purposely and intentionally, not accidently.

The word maliciously means wrongfully, intentionally and without just cause or excuse.

It is not necessary that the building, object or articles of property involved be completely destroyed. Any person shall be deemed to have set fire to a building, structure or any property whenever any part thereof or anything therein shall be scorched, charred or burned.

Count 2, burglary. Any person who, by day or night, enters any house, room, apartment, tenement or other buillding, with the intent to commit a felony

3	
13 1	therein, is guilty of burglary.
2	You are instructed that first degree
3	arson is a felony offense.
4	Are there any questions with regards to
5	the elements of the charged offenses?
6	THE FOREPERSON: None.
7	MR. TOMSHECK: Seeing none, the State's first
8	witness will be Shalana Eddins.
9	THE FOREPERSON: Please raise your right hand.
10	You do solemnly swear the testimony you
11	are about to give upon the investigation now pending before
12	this Grand Jury shall be the truth, the whole truth, and
13	nothing but the truth, so help you God?
14	MS. EDDINS: Yes.
15	THE FOREPERSON: Please be seated.
16	You are advised that you are here today
17	to give testimony in the investigation pertaining to the
18	offenses of first degree arson and burglary involving
19	Lesean Tarus Collins.
20	Do you understand this advisement?
21	MS. EDDINS: Yes.
22	THE FOREPERSON: Please state your first and
23	last name and spell both for the record.
24	MS. EDDINS: Shalana Eddins. Shalana,
25	S-h-a-l-a-n-a, Eddins, E-d-d-i-n-s.

\$	
13 1	THE FOREPERSON: Thank you.
2	
3	SHALANA EDDINS,
4	having been first duly sworn by the Foreperson of the Grand
5	Jury to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
6	the truth, testified as follows:
7	
8	EXAMINATION
9	
10	BY MS. JEANNEY:
11	Q Hi, Shalana. Pretty soon I'm going to ask you
12	to turn your attention to Wednesday, September 29, 2008 and
13	then Thursday, September 30, 2008. But before that I'd
14	like to ask you some questions.
15	During that time period in October of
16	2008 were you involved in a relationship with someone?
17	A Yes.
18	Q I'm showing you what is marked for purposes of
19	identification as Grand Jury Exhibit Number 2. Do you
20	recognize this individual?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Okay. Can you please tell me who this is?
23	A Lesean Tarus Collins.
24	Q Okay. Is this the individual you were
25	involved in a relationship with?

13	1	A Yes.
	2	Q What type of relationship was it?
	3	A Me and Lesean, we share five children
	4	together.
	5	Q And at that point were you a couple?
	6	A No.
14	7	Q When did you stop being a couple?
11	8	A Shortly after he had came, excuse me, shortly
	9	after he was released from prison, which was July.
	10	Q And ladies and gentlemen of the jury, I just
	11	ask that you disregard that comment about Mr. Collins being
	12	celeased from prison.
	13	So about July
	14	A Yes.
	15	Q you would say you stopped being a couple?
	16	A Yes.
	17	Q And that's July of 2008?
	18	A Yes.
	19	Q All right. And how would you explain Lesean's
	20	ehavior to you in October of 2008?
	21	A Very intimidating, very possessive,
	22	ontrolling.
	23	Q At that point did you want to be engaged in
	24	ore than a friend relationship with him?
	25	A No.
	1	

DANETTE L. ANTONACCI, C.C.R. 222 (702) 361-1947

•			
14	1	Q	Did he know that?
	2	A	Yes, he did.
	3	Q	What was his reaction when you had told him
	4	that?	
	5	A	He wasn't happy about it. He wouldn't take no
	6	for an answe	er.
	7	Q	What do you mean he wouldn't take no as an
	8	answer?	
	9	A	I told him I did not want to be in a
1	0	relationship	with him, I just wanted us to be parents to
1	1	our children	, and he refused to leave. So at that point,
1	2	because I fe	ared for my life and my children's life, we
1:	3	were packing	up and we were leaving my home and were
1	4	staying with	friends.
19	5	Q	And this was your home?
16	5	A	Yes.
17	7	Q	And what was the location of that home?
18	3	A	1519 Laguna Palms.
19	,	Q	That's here in Las Vegas, Clark County?
20)	A	Yes, North Las Vegas, Nevada.
21		Q	Okay. And so now when you were packing up,
22	W	hat day was	that that you were packing up your belongings?
23		Α	I started packing up in August.
24		Q	But yet you were still living there in
25	0	ctober?	

DANETTE L. ANTONACCI, C.C.R. 222 (702) 361-1947

1	A Yes, I was still residing in the home, but we
2	were packing up and we were leaving for days at a time and
3	I would come back to the house and just repack up more
4	clothes and do laundry.
5	Q Okay. And I'm sorry, I said October but I
6	meant September.
7	Let's turn your attention to Wednesday,
8	which would be September 29th of 2008, did you have any
9	type of contact with the defendant Lesean Collins that day
10	or that evening?
11	A Yes.
12	Q How did that contact begin?
13	A Lesean was furious. He would keep the garage
14	opener so that he would have access to get into the home,
15	and because he had the garage opener I unplugged the garage
16	so that he was no longer able to get into the home while me
17	and the children were there at the home.
18	Q Why did you do that?
19	A Because I didn't want to be with him and at
20	that point I was definitely getting scared and fearing for
21	my life because his behavior had changed.
22	Q Okay. So you undid the garage so he had no
23	access into the home?
24	A That's correct.
25	Q Did he have any permission to be in your home

during this time period?

A No, he didn't.

Q Okay. So what happened after you undid the garage?

A He was very furious, he began calling me on the house phone asking me to open the door and I refused to open the door. He had jumped the wall in the backyard and was listening in at the window to see who I was talking to on the phone and I told Lesean when I was on the phone with him, I said I hear a noise outside, I'm going to call the police, and he said go ahead, call the police. So I then, I did not call the police at that time, I just waited and waited, and then about ten minutes later Lesean appeared at the front door banging on the door and wanted me to let him in and I told him no.

Q Did he ever make entrance into the house?

A Yes. I did eventually open the door because he --

Q What did he do once he was inside?

A He was asking where was the house key and I told him I don't know, and then our oldest son Tysean (phonetic), his backpack was on the floor, and he picked up the backpack, went through the backpack and grabbed the keys to the house and walked out the front door.

Q Did you see where he went after he walked out

DANETTE L. ANTONACCI, C.C.R. 222 (702) 361-1947

police came that evening?

A While the police were there on the premises
Lesean kept calling the house and the police was hearing
him on the phone. And he kept trying, he called to see if
the police were there at the house and I would never let
him know that the police were there at the house, but the
police, they had heard him talking to me on the phone.

Q All right. Let's move onto the next day which would be Thursday, September 30th of 2008. What was around the first time that you had contact with him on that day?

A About eight o'clock in the morning Lesean had called me while I was on my way taking the kids to school that morning. I had stopped by the store and went and got another copy of the house keys made for the children.

Q Okay. And what type of conversation were you having with him in the morning?

A He just kept raving on and on about me calling the police on him the night before and at that time the conversation just continued about me calling the police on him. And I had went and put a restraining on him that morning before I went to work.

Q Now before we go into the next day, your tires were slashed the night before, did you fix those or --

A Yes, the night -- on Wednesday the 29th, by then it was probably about two o'clock or so in the

;	
15 1	morning, my dad had followed me and the kids in my vehicle
2	to go and replace the tires and after that we went and
3	stayed at a friend's house.
4	Q So the tires were repaired that night or maybe
5	earlier in the morning?
6	A Yes.
7	Q So at some point did you go to work on the
8	30th?
9	A Yes. After I put the retraining order on
10	Lesean I had arrived to work at about, about 11:30.
11	Q At any time while you were at work did you
12	come into contact with the defendant Lesean Collins?
13	A Yes. Lesean, he had continuously called my
14	cell phone and he kept asking me how am I going to pick up
15	my kids, I have to pick up my kids from school, and I told
16	him he didn't need to worry about the children, they would
17	be okay.
18	Q Did you ever see him on that day?
19	A Yes, I did.
20	Q Where did you see him at?
21	A Lesean came to my job that day at about 4:15
22	in the afternoon.
23	Q And where were you when you saw him?
24	A I was at my desk working.
25	Actually, no, I seen Lesean on video

camera at my job standing outside my job's door watching 1 me, where he entered into the company and he stole my cell 2 phone and from there he went to the parking lot and slashed 3 four of my tires. 4 Okay. And after you realized -- when did you 5 Q realize that your tires were slashed? 6 7 Immediately after I noticed that my cell phone was missing I ran outside to the parking lot and that's 8 where I seen all four tires slashed. 9 10 Did you personally witness him slash the 11 tires? 12 No, but I personally witnessed him coming to the office and taking the cell phone because all that was 13 14 caught on videotape. Okay. All right. Now after you realized that 15 your tires are slashed what do you do next? 16 17 I immediately go back upstairs and I call the 18 police. Did you have anymore conversations with Lesean 19 Q 20 after that? Lesean had called on my company phone and I 21 had, excuse me, an associate of mine, a co-worker answered 22 my desk phone and she -- he asked may I speak to Shalana, 23 she asked who was calling and he gave an officer's name and 24 she wrote down the officer's name, I don't recall what the 25

23

24

25

A My whole closet was set on fire. I have no clothes, I didn't have any shoes left. He set my bed on fire. My children's -- because I have three bedrooms, it was a three bedroom home, and my two older boys, they shared a room, all of their clothes were burnt, their TV,

16 1	their computer. And in the living room he attempted to se
2	the couch on fire but it didn't burn, he just made cuts
3	into the couch.
4	Q After this happened did you ever hear Lesean
5	make any type of admissions to this?
6	A On his voice mail he had, when you called his
7	cell phone he left a personal message that said "yeah, my
8	babies' mama's house is on fire, that bitch is burning."
9	Q Okay. Did you ever have the four tires on
10	your car, when they were slashed on the 30th, did you have
11	those repaired?
12	A That evening on the 30th I had the vehicle
13	towed to one of my friend's house and from there we had it
14	towed to the tire shop and I had them replaced.
15	Q What was the cost of that replacement?
16	A Seven hundred and fifty-two dollars.
17	Q Does that include the towing costs?
18	A No.
19	Q And do you know how much that is?
20	A I'm not quite sure exactly what the towing
21	cost is because I have it on my insurance service.
22	MS. JEANNEY: Okay. That's all my questioning
23	for Miss Eddins. Does anyone have any questions for her?
24	BY A JUROR:
25	Q Where were you working on September 29th and

	20
16 1	30th.
_	
2	A Bergman Walls and Associates, 2920 South
3	Jones, Las Vegas, Nevada.
4	Q Thank you.
5	A You're welcome.
6	MS. JEANNEY: Anyone else?
7	BY A JUROR:
8	Q I have one quick question. I'm sorry.
9	So my chronology may be a little mixed
10	up, was it the 1st that you came home and you found the
11	house on fire, October 1st?
12	A No, September 29th.
13	Q When you came home and found the house
14	burning?
15	A Excuse me, September 30th.
16	Q Okay. So it was the evening of September
17	30th?
18	A It was the evening of September 30th at about
19	seven p.m. I had finally made it from work.
20	Q Can I ask what age range are the children?
21	A My children are, at the time my children were
22	five excuse me, five, seven and nine and eleven.
23	Q Thank you.
24	MS. JEANNEY: If I could clarify something
25	real quick just because the dates got a little bit

DANETTE L. ANTONACCI, C.C.R. 222 (702) 361-1947

```
information obtained by the Grand Jury.
 1
 2
                         Failure to comply with this admonition
 3
     is a gross misdemeanor punishable by a year in the Clark
     County Detention Center and a $2,000 fine. In addition,
 5
     you may be held in contempt of court punishable by an
 6
     additional $500 fine and 25 days in the Clark County
 7
     Detention Center.
 8
                         Do you understand this admonition?
                   THE WITNESS: Yes.
10
                   THE FOREPERSON: Thank you for your testimony.
11
     You are excused, ma'am.
12
                  MS. JEANNEY: State's next witness is Vivian
13
     Furlow.
14
                  THE FOREPERSON: Please raise your right hand.
                         You do solemnly swear the testimony you
15
     are about to give upon the investigation now pending before
16
     this Grand Jury shall be the truth, the whole truth, and
17
18
     nothing but the truth, so help you God?
19
                  MS. FURLOW: Yes.
20
                  THE FOREPERSON: Please be seated.
21
                        You are advised that you are here today
     to give testimony in the investigation pertaining to the
22
     offense of first degree arson and burglary involving Lesean
23
     Tarus Collins.
24
25
                        Do you understand this advisement?
```

17 1	MS. FURLOW: Yes.
2	THE FOREPERSON: Please state your first and
3	last name and spell both for the record.
4	MS. FURLOW: Vivian Furlow. V-i-v-i-a-n,
5	F-u-r-l-o-w.
6	
7	VIVIAN FURLOW,
8	having been first duly sworn by the Foreperson of the Grand
9	Jury to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
10	the truth, testified as follows:
11	
12	EXAMINATION
13	
14	BY MS. JEANNEY:
15	Q Vivian, do you know an individual by the name
16	of Shalana Eddins?
17	A Yes, I do.
18	Q How do you know her?
19	A She's somewhat like my stepdaughter. Her dad
20	and I dated for a long time.
21	Q How long have you known her for?
22	A Approximately ten years.
23	Q I'm showing you what has been marked for
24	purposes of identification as Grand Jury Exhibit Number 2.
25	Do you recognize the person in this photograph?

DANETTE L. ANTONACCI, C.C.R. 222 (702) 361-1947

•		
17 1	A	Yes, I do.
2	Q	Who is this person?
3	A	His name is Lesean Collins.
4	Q	How do you know him?
5	A	He was the boyfriend of Shalana Eddins, was
6	the ex-boyfr	riend at the time.
7	Q	Now I'd like to turn your attention to
8	September 30	oth of 2008. On that day did you see Lesean
9	Collins?	•
10	A	Yes, I did.
11	Q	What time did you see him at?
12	A	It was approximately about between 6:15 and
13	6:30 in the	evening.
14	Q	Where did you see him at?
15	A	At her residence. Leaving her residence
16	actually as	I was approaching.
17	Q	How was he leaving?
18	A	In a car. Heading west.
19	Q	What type of car was it?
20	A	It was like a blue four door sedan.
21	Q	How was he driving?
22	A	He was in a rush. He was going away.
23	Q	And why were you in that area at that time?
24	A	Because I received a phone call from Shalana's
25	father askin	g me to go pick up the children because Lesean

DANETTE L. ANTONACCI, C.C.R. 222 (702) 361-1947

```
17
         1
              had went up to her job and slashed her tires and they were
              fearing for the children and he asked me if I would go pick
         2
         3
              up the grandkids.
         4
                     Q
                           At this point, ladies and gentlemen of the
         5
              Grand Jury, I just ask that any other statements are
         6
              hearsay, you can disregard those.
         7
                                 So you were in the area to pick up the
         8
              children?
         9
                     Α
                           Right. Uh-huh.
        10
                           And what time do you think you got to the
              children at?
        11
        12
                     Α
                           That was approximately about 6:15, between
        13
              6:15 or 6:30.
        14
                           So the same time period?
        15
                           Uh-huh.
        16
                           At any point in time did you approach the
             house that Shalana and the children live at?
        17
                           Yes, I did.
        18
                     Α
                           What time did you get to the house?
        19
        20
                           That was probably about -- that I actually
             went to her house? Because I went to the neighbor's house
        21
             to get the children first.
        22
        23
                           Yeah. What time did you get to the house
             where Shalana and the children reside?
        24
        25
                           I went over to that house, it was probably
```

1	about 7:15.	
2	Q	And when you approached the house did you
3	think anythi:	ng seemed different or peculiar about it?
4	A	Yes. And actually when I went to the house I
5	was actually	with two other police officers and when we
6	approached th	he house to go near the house it was, the door
7	was hot and	the officer wouldn't let me in.
8	Q	And after this incident occurred did you ever
9	have any type	e of concerns with Lesean Collins?
10	A	After this, no.
11	Q	Okay. Did you ever call his phone?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Did you speak to him on his phone?
14	A	I did not speak to him, I just heard his ring.
15	Q	Did you hear his voice mail?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	What did his voice mail say?
18	A	Well, he had composed what they call a rap and
19	he was callin	g a lot of things, one of which he was
20	referring to	his babies' mama, stating that if you can't
21	stand the hea	t you got to get out of the kitchen or you'll
22	burn up just	like her house.
23	Q	How did you know it was Lesean's voice on the
24	voice mail?	
25	A	Because I know his voice and also he had

called one of the other girls while we were there at the 1 2 fire. Okay. So when you called his, when you call 3 Q his phone --5 Α Uh-huh. 6 -- it will ring and then his voice mail will 7 pick up? Well, that's the actual ring. You don't hear 8 That's the ring. It's like a, what they call 9 a ringing. ring tones. Instead of hearing the phone ring you hear 10 whatever message they can leave for you. So if it's a 11 particular record you hear that song or whatever. So he 12 13 composed his own. So instead of the phone actually ringing 14 you hear him wrapping. MS. JEANNEY: Okay. I don't have anymore 15 questions for Miss Furlow. Does anyone? 16 BY A JUROR: 17 Yes. Was the fire department there when you 18 0 19 got to the house? 20 No, they were not. 21 But the police were there? No. I was actually there for almost an hour 22 before the police got there. 23 Did you call the police? 24

17

18

25

Α

DANETTE L. ANTONACCI, C.C.R. 222 (702) 361-1947

No, the neighbors had called the police where

```
the kids were at.
 1
                  Oh, okay. Thank you.
 2
            0
            A
                  Uh-huh.
 3
                   THE FOREPERSON: Anyone else? No?
                         By law these proceedings are secret and
 5
     you are prohibited from disclosing to anyone anything that
 6
     has transpired before us, including evidence and statements
 7
     presented to the Grand Jury, any event occurring or
 8
     statement made in the presence of the Grand Jury, and
 9
10
     information obtained by the Grand Jury.
                         Failure to comply with this admonition
1.1
     is a gross misdemeanor punishable by a year in the Clark
12
     County Detention Center and a $2,000 fine. In addition,
13
     you may be held in contempt of court punishable by an
14
     additional $500 fine and 25 days in the Clark County
15
16
     Detention Center.
                        Do you understand this admonition?
17
                  THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
18
19
                  THE FOREPERSON: Thank you for your testimony.
20
     You are excused.
                  MS. JEANNEY: State's next witness is Robert
21
22
     Eddins.
                        Actually the State's next witness is
23
     going to be Detective Lomprey. Mr. Eddins is putting some
24
25
     money in the meters.
```

18 1	THE FOREPERSON: Sir, please raise your right
2	hand.
3	Do you solemnly swear the testimony you
4	are about to you give upon the investigation now pending
5	before this Grand Jury shall be the truth, the whole truth,
6	and nothing but the truth, so help you God?
7	MR. LOMPREY: Yes.
8	THE FOREPERSON: Please be seated.
9	You are advised that you are here today
10	to give testimony in the investigation pertaining to the
11	offense of first degree arson and burglary involving Lesean
12	Tarus Collins.
13	Do you understand this advisement?
14	MR. LOMPREY: Yes.
15	THE FOREPERSON: Please state your first and
16	last name and spell both for the record.
17	MR. LOMPREY: Jeffrey Lomprey. J-e-f-f-r-e-y,
18	L-o-m-p-r-e-y.
19	THE FOREPERSON: Thank you.
20	
21	JEFFREY LOMPREY,
22	having been first duly sworn by the Foreperson of the Grand
23	Jury to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
24	the truth, testified as follows:
25	
	l l

DANETTE L. ANTONACCI, C.C.R. 222 (702) 361-1947

•		
18	1	A Certainly. I teach at the College of Southern
	2	Nevada. I teach the Fire Cause Determination class and the
	3	Advanced Fire Arson Investigation class. I attended UNLV,
	4	I attended Community College. I'm one of the only
	5	certified fire investigators in the State of Nevada through
	6	the IWAI which is the International Association of Arson
	7	Investigators.
	8	Q And is there certain classroom requirements,
	9	craining, testing, in order to get the certification you
=	LO	just mentioned?
1	L1	A Yes, sir.
1	.2	Q In addition to that, have you worked in the
1	.3	capacity of a fire investigator in fire investigation for
1	.4	the City of North Las Vegas for a period of time?
1	.5	A Yes, sir.
1	.6	Q In total how long have you worked
1	. 7	nvestigating fires and their causes?
1	.8	A Public and private approximately twenty years.
1	.9	Q In addition to your work investigating fires
2	0	o you also have a background in law enforcement?
2	1	A I do. I am also a police officer.
2	2	Q Where are you a police officer?
2	3	A City of North Las Vegas.
2	4	Q Prior to working as a police officer in the
2	5	ity of North Las Vegas, did you work for another

```
jurisdiction here in Southern Nevada?
18
         1
                           I did.
          2
          3
                           Where was that?
                           City of Boulder City, sir.
                     Α
          5
                           What types of, what was your assignment with
                     Q
         6
              Boulder City?
         7
                           I was the senior police detective over the
              detective bureau.
         8
         9
                           What types of crimes did you investigate as
                     Q
              the senior detective?
        10
        11
                           Homicides, sexual assault and arson.
        12
                           When is it that you became the captain in your
        13
              current role in North Las Vegas on a full time basis?
        14
                           I lateraled to that agency in October of 2007.
                           Okay. So were you working in that same
        15
        16
              capacity on or about the 30th day of September of last year
              2008?
        17
                           Yes, sir.
        18
                     Α
                           Were you called out to investigate a fire that
        19
19
        20
              had occurred at 1519 Laguna Palms Avenue in North Las
        21
              Vegas?
                     Α
                           I was.
        22
        23
                           Can you describe for us how it was that you
        24
              arrived on scene, who were you with and what were the
        25
             circumstances?
```

DANETTE L. ANTONACCI, C.C.R. 222 (702) 361-1947

education and experience that was where the fire was

propagating to or, I'm sorry, going towards. We want to

find out the seat of the fire and I noticed several areas

23

24

```
of different origins, basically different fires,
19
         1
         2
              unconnected.
         3
                     0
                           Okay. When you investigate a fire is it safe
         4
              to say that one of the things you do is look for the area
         5
              where it's most badly burned?
                           Yes.
         6
                     Α
         7
                           Why do you do that?
                     Q
                           That's normally where it starts.
         8
                     A
                     Q
                           Because if it starts there that's probably
        10
              where it burns the longest, that's where the most damage
        11
              would be?
        12
                           Yes. Localized damage, yes.
                           Within the residence at 1519 Laguna Palms
        1.3
              Avenue, were you able to determine separate and distinct
        14
              fires apart from one another?
        15
        16
                     Α
                           I was.
                                   That's called non-communicative fires
        17
              and there was three of them.
                           And you can do that by determining that one
        18
             fire has only burned out to a particular location and then
        19
              it stops and there is other areas where you find something
        20
        21
             similar in the residence?
        22
                     Α
                           Yes, sir, they're called multiple sets.
                           How many multiple sets did you find within the
        23
                     Q
             residence of 1519 Laguna Palms?
        24
        25
                    Α
                           Three.
```

Q Can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the Gand Jury where they were located?

A Of course. One was on the master bed with female clothing on the middle of the bed. The second one was in the master bedroom in the closet with female clothing in the closet on the floor that was piled up, it was also burned. And the third area of origin was in the living room on a couch.

Q Apart from those three particular locations was there also heat and smoke damage within the rest of the residence?

A Yes, sir.

Q When you conduct an investigation like this do you attempt to, process of elimination, to eliminate different ways in which the fire could have started?

A And that's what fire investigation is, it's a process of elimination.

Q Did you do that in this case?

A I did, sir.

Q Can you tell us what you looked at?

A In each area of origin we look at the seat of the fire and we want to see what's able for, what can be a competent heat source and fuel source, and you have to eliminate electrical, mechanical, lightning. Once those potential competent heat sources are eliminated you have

	_
what's left	is arson which is incendiary.
Q	And in this particular case did you go through
the differer	nt sources of naturally caused fires to
determine if	there was any evidence of that?
A	I did.
Q	And if I were to give you some specific areas
within the h	ouse, can you tell me if you made a
determinatio	on if the fire could have been caused by one of
the followin	g things?
A	Yes.
Q	The HVAC unit, did you investigate that?
A	Yes, that was ruled out.
Q	The gas range.
А	That was ruled out.
Q	Hot water heater.
A	Ruled out.
Q	Television systems.
A	Ruled out.
Q	Any stereo systems in the house.
A	That was ruled out.
Q	Microwave oven.
A	Ruled out.
Q	Candles.
A	Ruled out.
Q	Was there any evidence that the fire started
	the different determine is A Q within the F determination the following A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A

DANETTE L. ANTONACCI, C.C.R. 222 (702) 361-1947

•	•	
19	1	by some type of tobacco or smoking product?
	2	A No, sir.
	3	Q Based on that did you reach an opinion about
	4	the origin and cause of this fire?
	5	A I did.
2.0	6	Q Can you tell us what that was?
20	7	A Incendiary.
	8	Q What does that mean?
	9	A Arson. Basically that means it is an
	10	intentional act, willful and malicious, set with an open
	1.1	flame with a human hand with the intent to destroy the
	12	house and its contents.
	13	Q Okay. In this particular case did you
	14	document some of the work you did through photographs?
	15	A I did.
	16	Q After you completed your investigation did you
	17	do an analysis of a property damage amount that was caused
	18	by the actual burning of the fire?
	19	A I did. We have a set formula that we use.
	20	Q What is that?
	21	A A hundred eighty-five dollars per square foot
	22	and that's of actual charred damage.
	23	Q Actual burned area within the house?
	24	A Yes, sir.
	25	Q Above and beyond that is the actual damage
		i

DANETTE L. ANTONACCI, C.C.R. 222 (702) 361-1947

•		
20	1	caused by soot associated with the fire?
	2	A Of course.
	3	Q Smoke damage, things like that?
	4	A Yes.
	5	Q Okay. The damage of the actual burned area
	6	within the house was how many square feet?
	7	A Approximately seven hundred.
	8	Q And so at seven hundred square feet, I believe
	9	you said a hundred eighty-five dollars per square foot,
	10	would that amount to roughly a hundred twenty-nine thousand
	11	five hundred dollars?
	12	A Yes.
	13	Q After conducting let me ask you one other
	14	thing about the inside of the house. When you did your
	15	investigation did you look to see if there were smoke
	16	alarms within the house?
	17	A I did.
	18	Q And were there smoke alarms within that
	19	residence?
	20	A Yes.
	21	Q Did you check to see if there was an electric
	22	breaker associated with the smoke alarm?
	23	A I did and it was turned off.
	24	Q Meaning to you that someone had turned it off?
	25	A Deliberately turned it off.
	I	l l

•		
20 1	Q	The other breakers in the house, were they in
2	the appropr	ciate position?
3	A	Yes, sir.
4	Q	Following your investigation let me ask you
5	this. What	day did you get there and investigate?
6	A	The 1st.
7	Q	The 1st of October?
8	A	Yes, sir.
9	Q	So did you have an understanding that the fire
10	occurred on	the 30th of September?
11	A	In the evening.
12	Q	Following the investigation on the 1st of
13	October, di	d you eventually come into contact with an
14	individual	by the name of Lesean Collins?
15	A	I did.
16	Q	I'm going to show you Grand Jury Exhibit
17	Number 2.	For the record do you recognize the person
18	depicted in	Grand Jury Exhibit Number 2?
19	A	That's the defendant.
20	Q	Lesean Collins?
21	A	Yes, sir.
22	Q	Did you contact him and attempt to contact him
23	about his in	nvolvement in the burning of the house on the
24	30th of Sept	ember?
25	A	Yes.

DANETTE L. ANTONACCI, C.C.R. 222 (702) 361-1947

	-	
1	Q	And what day was it that you made contact with
2	him?	
3	A	The 2nd.
4	Q	Of October?
5	A	Yes, sir.
6	Q	Did you provide to him what are commonly
7	referred to	as his Miranda rights, Miranda warnings?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Did he agree to waive those and talk to you?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Did you ask him about whether or not he had
12	been at the	residence at the time the fire was started?
13	A	I did.
14	Q	What did he tell you?
15	A	He said he was there.
16	Q	Did he tell you how he got into the house?
17	A	He said that his older son let him in.
18	Q	Did he tell you which older son or which, how
19	it was that	the older son let him into the house?
20	A	Through the door, but he wasn't supposed to be
21	there.	
22	Q	Did Mr. Collins admit to you he didn't have
23	permission t	o be in the residence?
24	A	He did.
25	Q	Did you talk to him about an allegation that
1		

DANETTE L. ANTONACCI, C.C.R. 222 (702) 361-1947

20 1	he had cut s	some tires belonging to Shalana Eddins' vehicle
2	prior to bei	ng at the house?
3	A	Yes, sir.
4	Q	Did he admit that he had in fact done that?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Following that did you talk to him about
7	whether or n	ot he had set the fire within the residence?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	What did he tell you about that?
10	A	He denied it.
11	Q	Did you discuss with him the fact that there
12	were witness	es that had seen him at the residence?
13	A	I did.
14	Q	What was his response to that?
15	A	Very he became extremely agitated.
16	Q	Did you ever talk to him about whether or not
17	anyone had se	een what he had done inside the house?
18	A	I did.
19	Q	What did he tell you?
20	A	He said it was impossible for them to know
21	what he has o	done.
22	Q	Inside the house?
23	A	Yes, sir.
24	Q	Specifically he said that, specifically inside
25	the house dur	ring that

DANETTE L. ANTONACCI, C.C.R. 222 (702) 361-1947

20 1	A Yes, there was no witnesses, he was in the
2	house alone.
3	MR. TOMSHECK: I have no additional questions
4	of this witness.
5	THE FOREPERSON: Does anyone from the Grand
6	Jury? None?
7	By law these proceedings are secret and
8	you are prohibited from disclosing to anyone anything that
9	has transpired before us, including evidence and statements
10	presented to the Grand Jury, any event occurring or
11	statement made in the presence of the Grand Jury, and
12	information obtained by the Grand Jury.
13	Failure to comply with this admonition
14	is a gross misdemeanor punishable by a year in the Clark
15	County Detention Center and a \$2,000 fine. In addition,
16	you may be held in contempt of court punishable by an
17	additional \$500 fine and 25 days in the Clark County
18	Detention Center.
19	Do you understand this admonition?
20	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
21	THE FOREPERSON: Thank you for your testimony.
22	You are excused, sir.
23	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
24 1	MS. JEANNEY: State's next witness is Robert
25	Eddins.

```
1
                   THE FOREPERSON: Please raise your right hand.
 2
                         You do solemnly swear the testimony you
      are about to give upon the investigation now pending before
 3
      this Grand Jury shall be the truth, the whole truth, and
 4
 5
      nothing but the truth, so help you God?
 6
                   MR. EDDINS: I do.
 7
                   THE FOREPERSON: Please be seated.
 8
                         You are advised that you are here today
      to give testimony in the investigation pertaining to the
 9
      offenses of first degree arson and burglary involving
10
11
     Lesean Tarus Collins.
12
                         Do you understand this advisement?
13
                   MR. EDDINS:
                                Yes.
14
                   THE FOREPERSON: Please state your first and
15
     last name and spell both for the record.
16
                   MR. EDDINS: My name is Robert Eddins,
17
     E-d-d-i-n-s.
18
19
                            ROBERT EDDINS,
     having been first duly sworn by the Foreperson of the Grand
20
21
     Jury to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
22
     the truth, testified as follows:
23
     111
24
     111
25
```

DANETTE L. ANTONACCI, C.C.R. 222 (702) 361-1947

```
1
                               EXAMINATION
  2
  3
      BY MS. JEANNEY:
             0
                   Good afternoon Mr. Eddins.
  5
                          I'm showing you what has been marked for
      purposes of identification as Grand Jury Exhibit Number 2.
  6
      Do you recognize the individual in this photograph?
 7
 8
                   Yes, I do.
 9
                   Okay. Who is that person?
10
                   That's Lesean Collins.
             Α
11
                   How do you know Mr. Collins?
12
             Α
                   I've been knowing him for about twelve years.
      He's my daughter's babies' father.
13
14
                   You said you've known him for about twelve
             Q
15
      years?
16
                   About twelve years.
17
                   I'd like to turn your attention to September
     30th of 2008. On that day did you have any type of contact
18
19
     with Mr. Collins?
20
                   Yes, he made about two or three phone calls to
21
     me.
22
                   What time did those phone calls start at?
             0
23
            Α
                   They probably started between five and six.
                   Let's talk about the first conversation first.
24
25
     Who called who?
```

DANETTE L. ANTONACCI, C.C.R. 222 (702) 361-1947

1	A Lesean called me on my cell phone.	
2	Q And how did you know it was Lesean?	
3	A His number came up.	
4	Q So you've had his number previously?	
5	A Yes.	
6	Q Do you also recognize his voice?	
7	A Oh, yes, I do.	
8	Q What was said in the first conversation? What	
9	did he say to you?	
10	A He told me, he described to me that you can	
11	tell your daughter, which is Shalana, that we're even now	
12	for my car that was towed away, I mean that was damaged.	
13	Q Okay. Is that, that was the first	
14	conversation?	
15	A Right. Uh-huh.	
16	Q Did he say anything else?	
17	A Yes. He started talking about well, when I	
18	told him that he doesn't need to be telling me that, then I	
19	let him talk to Metro. Metro was sitting there, because I	
20	was there on Shalana Eddins' job because apparently someone	
21	had flattened all four of her tires, so I was there and the	
22	Metro police were there, so I handed the phone to the Metro	
23	police and let them talk to him.	
24	Q What time was the second telephone call?	
25	A It was between six and 6:30.	

DANETTE L. ANTONACCI, C.C.R. 222 (702) 361-1947

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q What was said in that conversation?

A That conversation he was telling me that he heard my daughter's house is on fire.

Q Did he say anything else?

Yes. He told me, I said oh, how did the house catch on fire, and he said well, he had told me that, prior to that conversation I had already called Vivian Furlow to pick up the grandkids from next door so I had already asked Vivian to go next door to see if the house was okay and at that particular time when she went outside, the police officers were there, and that's when Lesean called me, he called me to tell me that my daughter's house was on fire and I told him, I said well, you just left there, how did the house get caught on fire, he said I don't know, I just heard that, it wasn't nothing of me. Then he started telling me it wasn't him that did something like that. said at that particular time you had just left there and you had already expressed to the kids what your intention was, that's why they were next door and I had Vivian come over to pick them up.

Q Was that conversation over -- and there was a third one -- or did anything else go on in that second conversation?

A No, I don't -- I don't remember the third conversation if there was one, but we talked quite often,

```
but that those were the two that I mainly remember on that
1
        1
        2
             particular --
                          Did he make any admissions to you in the
        3
        4
             second phone call?
        5
                          About the fire?
        6
                         Correct.
                          Yeah, he said he knew about the fire but he
        7
            tried to tell me that he didn't start the fire.
        8
        9
                          And that was the last time you have spoken to
                    Q
            him since then?
       10
       11
                          Yes.
                                Uh-huh.
       12
                          When you spoke to Lesean these two times that
       13
            day, was it September 30th of 2008?
                   Α
                          Uh-huh.
       14
       15
                          MS. JEANNEY: I have no further questions for
       16
            this witness.
       17
            BY A JUROR:
                         Did Lesean tell you where he was when the fire
       18
            started?
       19
       20
                         No. He said he had just left the house but he
       21
            didn't start the fire.
       22
                   Q
                         Okay. Thank you.
                         THE FOREPERSON: Anyone else?
       23
       24
                               Go ahead.
            111
      25
```

DANETTE L. ANTONACCI, C.C.R. 222 (702) 361-1947

```
1
     BY A JUROR:
 2
                   What time did he tell you this, that the house
             0
 3
      was on fire?
 4
             A
                   This had to be between six and 6:30, somewhere
 5
      along -- I don't really have the correct time because I
 6
      was, like I say I was there talking to Metro and he just
      happened to call.
 8
                   But that was before the police discovered the
      fire?
 9
10
                   Right. He told me about the fire before the
11
      police even -- because I had Vivian go next door to check
      on the house, she hadn't gone there by the time that he had
12
13
     actually called me to tell me that there was a fire.
14
                   So he knew about it before the police did?
15
                   Right.
16
     BY A JUROR:
17
                  Mr. Eddins, Lesean had said that the oldest
     boy had left him in the house. But all of the children
18
19
     were over at the neighbor's, correct?
20
            Α
                  Beg your pardon?
21
            0
                  Lesean had stated that the oldest boy had let
     him in the house, but all of the children were at the
22
     neighbor's; is that correct?
23
24
                  I don't really have any knowledge of that part
     of the evening because by the time that I had contact with
25
```

```
any of the kids all of them were over to the neighbor's
 1
 2
     house and I was trying to find somebody to pick them up
     because I was down there at my daughter's job dealing with
 3
     her car issues.
 4
 5
                   MS. JEANNEY: Anybody else?
 6
                   THE FOREPERSON: All right. Anybody else?
 7
     No?
 8
                         By law these proceedings are secret and
 9
     you are prohibited from disclosing to anyone anything that
     has transpired before us, including evidence and statements
10
     presented to the Grand Jury, any event occurring or
11
     statement made in the presence of the Grand Jury, and
12
     information obtained by the Grand Jury.
13
                         Failure to comply with this admonition
14
15
     is a gross misdemeanor punishable by a year in the Clark
16
     County Detention Center and a $2,000 fine. In addition,
     you may be held in contempt of court punishable by an
17
18
     additional $500 fine and 25 days in the Clark County
     Detention Center.
19
                         Do you understand this admonition?
20
21
                  THE WITNESS:
                                 Yes, I do.
                  THE FOREPERSON: Thank you for your testimony.
22
23
     You are excused, sir.
                  THE WITNESS:
24
                                 Thanks.
     111
25
```

DANETTE L. ANTONACCI, C.C.R. 222 (702) 361-1947

2 1 AFFIRMATION 2 Pursuant to NRS 239B.030 3 4 The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding TRANSCRIPT filed in GRAND JURY CASE NUMBER 5 08AGJ112X: 6 Does not contain the social security number of any 7 person, 8 9 -OR-___ Contains the social security number of a person as 10 required by: 11 A specific state or federal law, to-12 wit: NRS 656,250 13 -or-14 For the administration of a public program or for an application for a federal or state grant. 15 16 17 Signature 18 19 Danette L. Antonacci Print Name 20 Official Court Reporter 21 Title 22 23 24 25

S and there the property of SHALANA EDDINS, by use of open flame and flammable and/or 1 2 combustible materials, and/or by manner and means unknown. 3 **COUNT 2 - BURGLARY** did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously enter, with intent to commit 4 arson, that certain building occupied by SHALANA EDDINS, located at 1519 Laguna Palms 5 6 Avenue, North Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada. 7 **COUNT 3** - MALICIOUS INJURY TO VEHICLE 8 did wilfully, unlawfully, and maliciously break, injure, or tamper with that certain 9 motor vehicle owned by SHALANA EDDINS, to-wit: a FORD EXPEDITION, without the consent of the owner thereof, for the purpose of injuring, defacing, or destroying such 10 11 vehicle, or temporarily or permanently preventing its useful operation, or for any purpose against the will or without the consent of the owner thereof, by slashing and/or stabbing 12 13 and/or cutting into tires of said vehicle, the value of said damage being over \$250.00, and 14 less than \$5,000.00. DATED this day of April, 2009. 15 16 DAVID ROGER 17 DISTRICT ATTORNEY Nevada Bar #00278 18 19 BY 20 Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #009210 21 22 23 ENDORSEMENT: A True Bill 24 25 26 27 28

2

P:\WPDOCS\IND\OUTLYING\8N2\8N222501.doc

Ş	* *				
1	Names of witnesses testifying before the	Names of witnesses testifying before the Grand Jury:			
2	EDDINS, SHALANA	DINS, SHALANA 176 Judy Ct #B, Henderson, NV			
3	FURLOW, VIVIAN	8429 Vast Horizon, LVN			
4	LOMPREY, JEFFREY	2626 E Carey Ave, NLVN			
5	EDDINS, ROBERT	9012 Alpine Peaks Ave, LVN			
6	Additional witnesses known to the Dist	Additional witnesses known to the District Attorney at time of filing the Indictment:			
7	COLLINS, TYSARS	176 Judy Crt #B, Henderson, NV			
8	COLLINS, TYSEAN	176 Judy Crt #B, Henderson, NV			
9	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS	F RECORDS NLV FIRE DEPARTMENT			
10	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS	ODIAN OF RECORDS NLV DETENTION CENTER			
11	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS	TODIAN OF RECORDS NLV PD COMMUNICATIONS			
12	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS	NLV PD RECORDS			
13	HARDY, KENNETH	HARDY, KENNETH LVMPD #3031			
14	HEER, DARLENE	HEER, DARLENE PO Box 750754, LVN			
15	VITAL, MANUEL	VITAL, MANUEL NLV PD #1923			
16	WATKINS, ANTHONY NLV PD #959				
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25	•				
26					
27	08AGJ112X/08FN2225X/sam NLVFD EV# 0825792				
28	(TK3)				
li li					

1	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURFILED
2	COUNTY OF CLARK, STATE OF NEVADA
3	APR 14 1 33 PM *08
4	BEFORE THE GRAND JURY IMPANELED BY THE ABORESAID
5	DISTRICT COURT
6	
7	STATE OF NEVADA , ORIGINAL
8	Plaintiff,
9	vs.) CASE NO. 08AGJ112X
10	LESEAN TARUS COLLINS,)
11	Defendant.)
12)
13	
14	Taken at Las Vegas, Nevada
15	TUESDAY, APRIL 7, 2009
16	11:01 A.M.
17	
18	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
19	
20	
21	VOLUME 2
22	
23	
24	
25	REPORTED BY: DONNA J. McCORD, CCR #337
	Donna J. McCord CCR #337 (702) 671-3365

1	EXHIBITS	
2		
3	GRAND JURY EXHIBITS	IDENTIFIED
4	1 - proposed Indictment	4
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, APRIL 7, 2009
2	* * * * *
3	
4	DONNA J. McCORD,
5	having been first duly sworn to faithfully
6	and accurately transcribe the following
7	proceedings to the best of her ability.
8	
9	MR. TOMSHECK: Good morning, ladies and
10	gentlemen of the Grand Jury. My name is Josh
11	Tomsheck and I'm a Deputy District Attorney with the
12	Clark County District Attorney's office. We are
13	back on the record in case number 08AGJ112X, State
14	of Nevada versus Lesean Tarus Collins, the
15	defendant.
16	The record should reflect that
17	previously back on Tuesday, February 24th of 2009,
18	we presented evidence in this case consisting of the
19	testimony of four witnesses, Shalana Eddins, Vivian
20	Furlow, Jeffrey Lomprey and Robert Eddins.
21	At this time we have no additional
22	witnesses or testimony to put before the Grand Jury
23	so at this time we would submit the matter for your
24	deliberation on the proposed Indictment that has
25	been provided to you this morning.
	Donna J. McCord

CCR #337 (702) 671-3365

1	(At this time, all persons, except			
2	for members of the Grand Jury, exited the room at			
3	11:02 a.m. and returned at 11:07 a.m.)			
4				
5	THE FOREPERSON: Mr. District Attorney, by			
6	a vote of 12 or more Grand Jurors a true bill has			
7	been returned against defendant Lesean Collins			
8	charging the crimes of first degree arson, burglary			
9	and malicious injury to a vehicle in Grand Jury case			
10	number 08AGJ112X.			
11	We instruct you to prepare an			
12	Indictment in conformance with the proposed			
13	Indictment previously submitted to us.			
14	MR. TOMSHECK: Thank you very much.			
15				
16	(Proceedings concluded.)			
17	000			
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25	·			

Donna J. McCord CCR #337 (702) 671-3365

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3.	STATE OF NEVADA)
4	COUNTY OF CLARK)
5	
6	I, Donna J. McCord, CCR #337, do
7	hereby certify that I took down in Shorthand
8	(Stenotype) all of the proceedings had in the
9	before-entitled matter at the time and place
10	indicated and thereafter said shorthand notes were
11	transcribed at and under my direction and
12	supervision and that the foregoing transcript
13	constitutes a full, true, and accurate record of the
14	proceedings had.
15	Dated at Las Vegas, Nevada, Saturday,
16	April 11, 2009.
17	
18	alma Macad
19	DONNA J. McCORD, CCR #337
20	bonini or negotiby cont #357
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Donna J. McCord CCR #337 (702) 671-3365

0014
PHILIP J. KOHN, PUBLIC DEFENDER
NEVADA BAR NO. 0556
309 South Third Street, Suite #226
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
(702) 455-4685
Attorney for Defendant

FILED

2009 HAY 12 P 2: 43

DISTRICT COURT

CLERK OF THE COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

In the Matter of the Application of,)	
)	CASE NO. C253455X
)	DEPT. NO. XI
Lesean Tarus Collins, for a Writ of Habeas Corpus.	}	DATE: June 1, 2009 TIME: 9:00 a.m.

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

TO: The Honorable Judge of the Eighth Judicial District Court of The State of Nevada, in and for the County of Clark

The Petition of Lesean Tarus Collins submitted by TIERRA D. JONES, Deputy Public Defender, as attorney for the above-captioned individual, respectfully affirms:

- 1. That she is a duly qualified, practicing and licensed attorney in the City of Las Vegas, County of Clark, State of Nevada.
- 2. That Petitioner makes application for a Writ of Habeas Corpus; that the place where the Petitioner is imprisoned actually or constructively imprisoned and restrained of his liberty is the Clark County Detention Center; that the officer by whom he is imprisoned and restrained is Doug Gillespie, Sheriff.
 - 3. That the imprisonment and restraint of said Petitioner is unlawful in that:
 - (A) Improper evidence was presented to the Grand Jury to inexplicably alert them that Mr. Collins had just been released from prison, and is therefore a convicted felon.
 - (B) There was insufficient evidence adduced at the Grand Jury proceedings upon which to hold Mr. Collins to answer to the charge of Burglary.

- (C) There was insufficient evidence adduced at the Grand Jury proceedings upon which to hold Mr. Collins to answer to the charge of First Degree Arson.
 - 4. That Petitioner waives his right to be brought to trial within 60 days.
- 5. That Petitioner consents that if Petition is not decided within 15 days before the date set for trial, the Court may, without notice of hearing, continue the trial indefinitely to a date designated by the Court.
- 6. That Petitioner personally authorized his aforementioned attorney to commence this action.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner prays that this Honorable Court make an order directing the County of Clark to issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus directed to the said Doug Gillespie, Sheriff, commanding him to bring the Petitioner before your Honor, and return the cause of his imprisonment.

DATED this of May, 2009.

PHILIP J. KOHN

CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDÉR

By: TERRAD, JONES, #100

Deputy Public Defender

DECLARATION

TIERRA D. JONES makes the following declaration:

- 1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the State of Nevada; I am the Deputy Public Defender assigned to represent the Defendant in the instant matter, and I am familiar with the facts and circumstances of this case.
- 2. That I am the attorney of record for Petitioner in the above matter; that I have read the foregoing Petition, know the contents thereof, and that the same is true of my own knowledge, except for those matters therein stated on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true; that Petitioner, LESEAN TARUS COLLINS, personally authorizes me to commence this Writ of Habeas Corpus action.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. (NRS

53.045).

EXECUTED this _.

_day of May, 2009.

TIERRA D. JONES

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

COMES NOW the Petitioner, LESEAN TARUS COLLINS, by and through his counsel, TIERRA D. JONES, the Clark County Public Defender's Office, and submits the following Points and Authorities in Support of Defendant's Petition for a pre-trial Writ of Habeas Corpus.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

By way of Indictment, the State charges Mr. Collins with First Degree Arson (Count 1), Burglary (Count 2), and Malicious Injury to Vehicle (Count 3). All of these charges arise out of incidents that occurred on September 30, 2008.

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

A. IMPROPER EVIDENCE WAS PRESENTED TO THE GRAND JURY TO INEXPLICABLY ALERT THEM THAT MR. COLLINS WAS A CONVICTED FELON.

The State of Nevada requires that "the grand jury can receive none but legal evidence, and the best evidence in degree, to the exclusion of hearsay or secondary evidence." Nev. Rev Stat. § 172.135(2). Generally, this requires that grand juries consider only legally admissible evidence.

Similarly, one of the most important elements of a criminal proceeding is that the "jury consider only relevant and competent evidence bearing on issue of guilt or innocence." Bruton v. U.S., 391 U.S. 123, 131 (1968). While not all admissions of inadmissible evidence constitute reversible error "there are some contexts in which the risk that jury will not, or cannot, follow [limiting] instructions is so great and consequences of failure so vital to a defendant in a-criminal case that practical and human limitations of jury system cannot be ignored." Id. at 135-136.

While merely persuasive authority, in <u>State v. Emery</u>, the Arizona Supreme Court stated that when "a state resorts to the grand jury procedure, the due process and equal protection clause of the Fourteenth Amendment require utilization of an unbiased grand jury and the presentation of evidence in a fair and impartial manner." 642 P.2d 838, 851 (1982), See also U.S.C.A. Const.

Amend. 14. In <u>U.S. v. Hogan</u>, the Second Circuit Court of Appeals held that "dismissal of an indictment is justified to . . . to eliminate prejudice to a defendant." 712 F.2d 757, 761 (Conn.1983).

Here, the introduction of wholly unrelated and irrelevant prior bad acts was so prejudicial that it undoubtedly and unfairly prejudiced the grand jury and deprived Mr. Collins his Due Process of law. The State called Shalana Eddins as their first witness. Ms. Eddins was questioned about her personal relationship with Mr. Collins and in response she testified that she and Mr. Collins stopped being a couple after he was released from prison, alerting the jury that Mr. Collins is a convicted felony, who has been to prison. See Exhibit A, Grand Jury Transcript, hereinafter "GJ" at 9.

In short, the statement made by Ms. Eddins, that Mr. Collins recently got out of prison, and is therefore a convicted felon, was powerfully prejudicial and wholly irrelevant to the instant case. The great prejudicial force of this non-legal evidence, as required by N.R.S. § 172.135(2), particularly when presented so early in the Grand Jury proceeding, tainted the juror's view of Mr. Collins, depriving him of a fair and impartial Grand Jury proceeding and therefore deprived him his Due Process of Law. As such, this indictment must be quashed to afford Mr. Collins his constitutionally guaranteed Due Process.

B. THE STATE PROVIDED NO EVIDENCE THAT MR. COLLINS ENTERED THE RESIDENCE, LOCATED AT 1519 LAGUNA PALMS, WITH THE INTENT TO COMMIT THE CRIME OF ARSON, AND THEREFORE FAILED TO MEET ITS BURDEN OF PROVING EACH ELEMENT OF THE OFFENSE CHARGED BY "SLIGHT OR MARGINAL EVIDENCE."

The State's burden at the Grand Jury is to prove each element of the charged offense by slight or marginal evidence. In the instant case, Mr. Collins is charged with 3 counts; one count of First Degree Arson, one count of Burglary and one count of Malicious Injury to Vehicle. In order to prove the Burglary count, the State is required to prove that Mr. Collins entered the residence, located at 1519 Laguna Palms, with the intent to commit the crime of arson. NRS 205.060.

Nevada Revised Statute 205.060 defines Burglary as the entering a dwelling with the intent to commit larceny or any felony. NRS 205.060. Pursuant to the statute, in order to prove Burglary, the State must prove entry with the intent to commit a crime.

At the Grand Jury proceedings, the State called Shalana Eddins as a witness. Ms. Eddins testified that Mr. Collins left several messages on her phone saying "Give me my motherfucking shit. I know you got my shit. I want my shit." GJ at 17. Ms. Eddins further testified that Mr. Collins did not have permission to be in her home on September 30, 2008; but Ms. Eddins was not home at the time that Mr. Collins is alleged to have been inside the house and did not prove any testimony regarding her knowledge of whether or not the children let Mr. Collins into the home. GJ at 11-12. Detective Lomprey testified that Mr. Collins told him that his older son let him in the house. GJ at 40. Vivian Furlow testified that she picked up the children from the neighbor at 6:15 or 6:30. GJ at 25. But, there was no testimony presented regarding the time that the children arrived at the neighbor's home and Robert Eddins could not confirm that the children were at the neighbor's home when Mr. Collins said that his son let him in the house. GJ at 48.

It was the State's burden to provide evidence that Mr. Collins entered the residence with the intent to commit arson, to prove the charged Burglary offense. The State failed to carry its burden. The testimony from Shalana Eddins, Detective Lomprey, Vivian Furlow, and Robert Eddins only established that Mr. Collins was let into the house by his older son and that he was inside the home to retrieve his personal belongings. This testimony does not prove that Mr. Collins entered the residence with the intent to commit arson; but instead that he entered the residence to retrieve his belongings. Therefore, the State has not proven any of the elements of a Burglary, pursuant to NRS 205.060. Thus, the Grand Jury erred in returning an Indictment without sufficient evidence, in violation of Mr. Collins' Due Process rights under the 5th and 14th Amendments to the United States Constitution.

C. THE STATE PROVIDED NO EVIDENCE THAT MR. COLLINS SET FIRE TO THE RESIDENCE AT 1519 LAGUNA PALMS, AND THEREFORE FAILED TO MEET ITS BURDEN OF SHOWING "SLIGHT OR MARGINAL" EVIDENCE THAT THE CRIMES CHARGED WERE COMMITTED.

The State's burden at the Grand Jury proceedings is to show, by slight or marginal evidence, probable cause that the crimes charged were committed. In the instant case, in order to prove the Arson count, the State is required to prove that Mr. Collins willfully and maliciously set fire to the master bedroom, located at 1519 Laguna Palms Avenue. NRS 205.010.

Nevada Revised Statute 205.010 defines First Degree Arson as willfully and maliciously setting fire to or burning or causing to be burned a (1) dwelling house or other structure of mobile home, whether occupied or vacant; or (2) personal property which is occupied by one or more persons. NRS 205.010. Pursuant to the statute, in order to prove Arson, the State must prove a willful and malicious burning of personal property.

At the Grand Jury proceedings, the State presented testimony from Shalana Eddins that a portion of her home was burned on September 30, 2008. GJ at 18. Vivian Furlow testified that she saw Mr. Collins leaving the residence, on September 30th, in a blue sedan. GJ at 24. But there was no testimony that anyone monitored the home and knew whether or not anyone else was at the home, before the fire started. There was also no evidence that anyone witnessed Mr. Collins setting the fire, or that Lesean Collins was even at the residence when the fire was started. But there was testimony from two witnesses that Mr. Collins denied having anything to do with the fire. Detective Lomprey testified that Mr. Collins denied setting the fire inside the residence, and Robert Eddins testified that Mr. Collins denied setting the fire inside the residence, and Robert Eddins testified that Mr. Collins told him that he didn't know how the house caught fire and that it wasn't him who set the fire. GJ at 41, 46-47.

It was the State's burden to provide evidence that Mr. Collins set fire to the residence located at 1519 Laguna Palms, to prove the charged offense. Again, the State filed to carry its burden. The testimony of Shalana Eddins, Detective Lomprey, Vivian Furlow, and Robert Eddins only established that Mr. Collins was outside the house on September 30, 2008, before the fire was reported and that he denied setting the fire. This testimony does not prove that Mr. Collins set fire to

the residence, and there was no testimony that anyone witnessed him starting the fire, or that he was present at the house when the fire was started. The only conclusion that can be drawn from the testimony is that Mr. Collins was at the residence on September 30, 2008 and that he told a Detective and Mr. Eddins that he had nothing to do with the fire. Therefore, the State has not proven the crime of Arson, by slight or marginal evidence, pursuant to NRS 205.010. Thus, the Grand Jury erred in returning an Indictment without sufficient evidence, in violation of Mr. Collins' Due Process rights under the 5th and 14th Amendments to the United States Constitution.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons listed in section A, the entire Indictment against Mr. Collins should be dismissed. Further, in considering the fact that the State didn't provide sufficient evidence to support the Burglary or the Arson count; those must be dismissed.

DATED this Date of May, 2009

PHILIP J. KOHN
CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER

By:

Deputy Public Defender

i	NOTICE
2	TO: CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY, Attorney for Plaintiff:
3	YOU WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the foregoing PETITION FOR WRIT OF
4	HABEAS CORPUS will be heard on 15th day of June, 2009, at 9:00 a.m. in Department No. XI
5	District Court.
6	DATED this day of May, 2009.
7	PHILIP J. KOHN CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER
8	$\lambda \lambda $
9	By Cliffa / Y Mills
10	TIERRA D. JONES, #10094 Deputy Public Defender
11	
12	
13	
14	
15 16	
17	
18	
19	RECEIPT OF COPY
20	RECEIPT OF COPY of the above and foregoing PETITION FOR WRIT OF
21	HABEAS CORPUS is hereby acknowledged this day of May, 2009.
22	CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY
23	I NI MOOde and a
24	By: UN UN OCALO MOCALO
25	
26	
27	
28	

in the second se	
13 • 1	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
2	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA ED
3	FR 16 1 32 PH 109
4	BEFORE THE GRAND JURY IMPANELED BY THE AFORESAID
5	DISTRICT COURT OF THE COURT
6	WED(11-C)
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,) Case No. 08AGJ112X
8	Plaintiff,)
9) -vs-
10	LESEAN TARUS COLLINS,
11	
12	Defendant.)
13	
14	Taken at Las Vegas, Nevada
15	Tuesday, February 24, 2009
16	3:40 p.m.
17	
18	
19	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
20	
21	
22	VOLUMÉ 1)
23	Exhibit_"A"
24	
25	Reported by: Danette L. Antonacci, C.C.R. No. 222
	<u></u>

•			
13 ' 1	GRAND JURORS PRESENT ON FEBRUARY 24, 2009:		
2			
3	WALTER R. OLENDERSKI, Foreman		
4	KARL MACDONALD, Deputy Foreman		
5	TOMMY URIBE, Secretary		
6	DEIDRA MARLEY, Assistant Secretary		
7	MICHELLE ANDERTON		
8	PAUL BACA		
9	JAMES DUPLISEA		
10	JOHN EATON		
11	RUBYMIRA GERNHUBER		
12	PATRICIA KELLY		
13	JOAN MCMAKEN		
14	JAMES PROVENZANO		
15	THOMAS QUINLAN		
16	JOHN SHIPP		
17	LUIS SIMONEDI		
18	JAMES TAYLOR		
19			
20			
21	Also present at the request of the Grand Jury:		
22	Joshua Tomsheck, Jacqueline Jeanney,		
23	Deputy District Attorneys		
24			
25			
Į			

	_		
13 ' 1		INDEX OF WITNESSES	
2			
3			<u>Examined</u>
4	SHALANA EDDINS	·	8
5	VIVIAN FURLOW		23
6	JEFFREY LOMPREY		30
7	ROBERT EDDINS		44
8			
9			
10		·	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
1,9			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

•			
13 ' 1	INDEX OF EXHIBITS		
2			
3	Grand Jury Exhibits	dentified	
4			
5	1 - proposed Indictment	5	
6	2 - photograph	8	
7			
8			
9			
10			
11	·		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
. 22			
23			
24			
25			
Ĺ			

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 24, 2009

* * * * * *

3

4

5

6

7

2

<u>DANETTE L. ANTONACCI</u>,

having been first duly sworn to faithfully and accurately transcribe the following proceedings to the best of her ability.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. TOMSHECK: Good afternoon ladies and gentlemen of the Grand Jury. My name is Joshua Tomsheck and with me is Jacquelyn Jeanney. We are the deputy district attorneys that are assigned to prosecute this case which is the case of State of Nevada versus Lesean Tarus Collins, the defendant. The record should reflect that a copy of the proposed Indictment has been marked as Grand Jury Exhibit 1 and that all members of the Grand Jury have a copy of it. The defendant in this case is charged with two felony counts as follows: Count 1, first degree arson, and Count 2, burglary. These crimes were committed within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, on or about September 30th of last year, 2008. I think the proposed Indictment in front of you may have the incorrect date. We'll obviously ask you to make the appropriate amendments determined by what the testimony is today.

As you are aware we are required by law

to advise you of the elements of these charges and I will 13 • read the instructions that pertain to them now. The elements of the charges are as follows: Count 1, first degree arson. Any person who, willfully and maliciously, sets fire to or burns or causes to be burned, or who aids, counsels or procures the burning of any dwelling, house or other structure, whether occupied or vacant, or a mobile home, whether occupied or vacant, or other personal property which is occupied by one or more persons, whether the property of himself or of another, is guilty of first degree arson.

As used in these instructions, the word willfully means the doing of an act purposely and intentionally, not accidently.

The word maliciously means wrongfully, intentionally and without just cause or excuse.

It is not necessary that the building, object or articles of property involved be completely destroyed. Any person shall be deemed to have set fire to a building, structure or any property whenever any part thereof or anything therein shall be scorched, charred or burned.

Count 2, burglary. Any person who, by day or night, enters any house, room, apartment, tenement or other buillding, with the intent to commit a felony

13 . 1	therein, is guilty of burglary.
2	You are instructed that first degree
3	arson is a felony offense.
4	Are there any questions with regards to
5	the elements of the charged offenses?
6	THE FOREPERSON: None.
7	MR. TOMSHECK: Seeing none, the State's first
8	witness will be Shalana Eddins.
9	THE FOREPERSON: Please raise your right hand.
10	You do solemnly swear the testimony you
11	are about to give upon the investigation now pending before
12	this Grand Jury shall be the truth, the whole truth, and
13	nothing but the truth, so help you God?
14	MS. EDDINS: Yes.
15	THE FOREPERSON: Please be seated.
16	You are advised that you are here today
17	to give testimony in the investigation pertaining to the
18	offenses of first degree arson and burglary involving
19	Lesean Tarus Collins,
20	Do you understand this advisement?
21	MS. EDDINS: Yes.
22	THE FOREPERSON: Please state your first and
23	last name and spell both for the record.
24	MS. EDDINS: Shalana Eddins. Shalana,
25	S-h-a-l-a-n-a, Eddins, E-d-d-i-n-s.
i	

•	
13 . 1	THE FOREPERSON: Thank you.
2	
3	SHALANA EDDINS,
4	having been first duly sworn by the Foreperson of the Grand
5	Jury to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
6	the truth, testified as follows:
7	
8	<u>EXAMINATION</u>
9	
10	BY MS. JEANNEY:
11	Q Hi, Shalana. Pretty soon I'm going to ask you
12	to turn your attention to Wednesday, September 29, 2008 and
13	then Thursday, September 30, 2008. But before that I'd
14	like to ask you some questions.
15	During that time period in October of
16	2008 were you involved in a relationship with someone?
17	A Yes.
18	Q I'm showing you what is marked for purposes of
19	identification as Grand Jury Exhibit Number 2. Do you
20	recognize this individual?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Okay. Can you please tell me who this is?
23	A Lesean Tarus Collins.
24	Q Okay. Is this the individual you were
25	involved in a relationship with?
i	, I

13 -	1	A Yes.
	2	Q What type of relationship was it?
	3	A Me and Lesean, we share five children
	4	together.
	5	Q And at that point were you a couple?
	6	A No.
14	7	Q When did you stop being a couple?
• 1	8	A Shortly after he had came, excuse me, shortly
	9	after he was released from prison, which was July.
	10	Q And ladies and gentlemen of the jury, I just
	11	ask that you disregard that comment about Mr. Collins being
	12	released from prison.
	13	So about July
	14	A Yes.
	15	Q you would say you stopped being a couple?
	16	A Yes.
	17	Q And that's July of 2008?
	18	A Yes.
	19	Q All right. And how would you explain Lesean's
	20	pehavior to you in October of 2008?
	21	A Very intimidating, very possessive,
	22	controlling.
	23	Q At that point did you want to be engaged in
	24	ore than a friend relationship with him?
	25	A No.
	ľ	<u> </u>

• •		
14 ' 1	Q	Did he know that?
2	A	Yes, he did.
3	Q	What was his reaction when you had told him
4	that?	
5	A	He wasn't happy about it. He wouldn't take no
6	for an answe	r.
7	Q	What do you mean he wouldn't take no as an
8	answer?	
9	A	I told him I did not want to be in a
10	relationship	with him, I just wanted us to be parents to
11	our children	, and he refused to leave. So at that point,
12	because I fe	ared for my life and my children's life, we
13	were packing	up and we were leaving my home and were
14	staying with	friends.
15	. Q	And this was your home?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	And what was the location of that home?
18	A	1519 Laguna Palms.
19	Q	That's here in Las Vegas, Clark County?
20	A	Yes, North Las Vegas, Nevada.
21	Q	Okay. And so now when you were packing up,
22	what day was	that that you were packing up your belongings?
23	A	I started packing up in August.
24	Q	But yet you were still living there in
25	October?	
		1

• ;	
14 · 1	A Yes, I was still residing in the home, but we
2	were packing up and we were leaving for days at a time and
3	I would come back to the house and just repack up more
4	clothes and do laundry.
5	Q Okay. And I'm sorry, I said October but I
6	meant September.
7	Let's turn your attention to Wednesday,
8	which would be September 29th of 2008, did you have any
0	
9	type of contact with the defendant Lesean Collins that day
10	or that evening?
11	A Yes.
12	Q How did that contact begin?
13	A Lesean was furious. He would keep the garage
14	opener so that he would have access to get into the home,
15	and because he had the garage opener I unplugged the garage
16	so that he was no longer able to get into the home while me
17	and the children were there at the home.
18	Q Why did you do that?
19	A Because I didn't want to be with him and at
20	that point I was definitely getting scared and fearing for
21	my life because his behavior had changed.
22	Q Okay. So you undid the garage so he had no
23	access into the home?
24	A That's correct.
25	Q Did he have any permission to be in your home

1 during this time period? 2 No, he didn't. 3 Okay. So what happened after you undid the 4 garage? 5 He was very furious, he began calling me on Α the house phone asking me to open the door and I refused to 6 open the door. He had jumped the wall in the backyard and 7 was listening in at the window to see who I was talking to 8 on the phone and I told Lesean when I was on the phone with 9 him, I said I hear a noise outside, I'm going to call the 10 police, and he said go ahead, call the police. So I then, 11 I did not call the police at that time, I just waited and 12 waited, and then about ten minutes later Lesean appeared at 13 the front door banging on the door and wanted me to let him 14 in and I told him no. 15 16 Did he ever make entrance into the house? Yes. I did eventually open the door because 17 Α 18 he --19 What did he do once he was inside? Q 20 He was asking where was the house key and I told him I don't know, and then our oldest son Tysean 21 (phonetic), his backpack was on the floor, and he picked up 22 the backpack, went through the backpack and grabbed the 23 keys to the house and walked out the front door. 24 25 Did you see where he went after he walked out

	·	
14	1	the front door?
	2	A No.
	3	Q And after he left did you notice any damage to
	4	the house or to anything around the house?
	5	A Nothing was damaged inside the house. I then,
	6	my instinct told me just to go outside and look at my car
	7	because when Lesean would get mad he would always tamper
	8	with my vehicle. So then
	9	Q Go ahead.
	10	A So then at that time I went outside and I
	11	noticed that one of my, excuse me, two of my tires were on
	12	flat.
15	13	Q Had there been any problems with your tires
	14	when you had driven to your home that evening?
	15	A No.
	16	Q And what did you do after you realized that
	17	your tires had been slashed?
	18	A I then went into the home and I grabbed the
	19	house phone and I called the police and after I had made
	20	the 911 call I then went back outside to try to argue with
	21	Lesean to keep him there on the premises for the police to
	22	arrive because Lesean would always just run.
	23	Q Okay. And did the police eventually come?
	24	A Yes, they did.
	25	Q Did you have any contact with Lesean after the
	Į	

police came that evening?

A While the police were there on the premises

Lesean kept calling the house and the police was hearing

him on the phone. And he kept trying, he called to see if

the police were there at the house and I would never let

him know that the police were there at the house, but the

police, they had heard him talking to me on the phone.

Q All right. Let's move onto the next day which would be Thursday, September 30th of 2008. What was around the first time that you had contact with him on that day?

A About eight o'clock in the morning Lesean had called me while I was on my way taking the kids to school that morning. I had stopped by the store and went and got another copy of the house keys made for the children.

Q Okay. And what type of conversation were you having with him in the morning?

A He just kept raving on and on about me calling the police on him the night before and at that time the conversation just continued about me calling the police on him. And I had went and put a restraining on him that morning before I went to work.

Q Now before we go into the next day, your tires were slashed the night before, did you fix those or --

A Yes, the night -- on Wednesday the 29th, by then it was probably about two o'clock or so in the

•		
15 · 1	morning, my	dad had followed me and the kids in my vehicle
2	to go and re	eplace the tires and after that we went and
3 stayed at a fr		friend's house.
4	Q	So the tires were repaired that night or maybe
5	earlier in	che morning?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	So at some point did you go to work on the
8	30th?	
9	A	Yes. After I put the retraining order on
10	Lesean I had	l arrived to work at about, about 11:30.
11	Q	At any time while you were at work did you
12	come into co	entact with the defendant Lesean Collins?
13	A	Yes. Lesean, he had continuously called my
cell phone and he kept asking me how am I going to my kids, I have to pick up my kids from school, and him he didn't need to worry about the children, the		nd he kept asking me how am I going to pick up
		ave to pick up my kids from school, and I told
		t need to worry about the children, they would
17	be okay.	
18	Q	Did you ever see him on that day?
19	A	Yes, I did.
20	Q	Where did you see him at?
21	A	Lesean came to my job that day at about 4:15
22	in the after	
23	Q	And where were you when you saw him?
24	A	I was at my desk working.
25		Actually, no, I seen Lesean on video

camera at my job standing outside my job's door watching 15 · me, where he entered into the company and he stole my cell 2 phone and from there he went to the parking lot and slashed 3 4 four of my tires. 5 0 Okay. And after you realized -- when did you 6 realize that your tires were slashed? 7 Immediately after I noticed that my cell phone was missing I ran outside to the parking lot and that's 8 where I seen all four tires slashed. 9 Did you personally witness him slash the 10 Q tires? 11 12 No, but I personally witnessed him coming to the office and taking the cell phone because all that was 13 14 caught on videotape. 15 Okay. All right. Now after you realized that Q your tires are slashed what do you do next? 16 17 I immediately go back upstairs and I call the 18 police. 19 Did you have anymore conversations with Lesean after that? 20 21 Α Lesean had called on my company phone and I had, excuse me, an associate of mine, a co-worker answered 22 23 my desk phone and she -- he asked may I speak to Shalana, 24 she asked who was calling and he gave an officer's name and

DANETTE L. ANTONACCI, C.C.R. 222 (702) 361-1947

she wrote down the officer's name, I don't recall what the

15	1	officer's name is, and she asked is there a number or a		
	2	badge number and he made up a badge number and then he gave		
	3	a number to call back which is 788-7790, and that is my		
	4	cell phone number.		
	5	Q Did you ever speak to him again that day?		
	6	A No. Not after that incident, no.		
	7	Q At any point on that day did he make any		
16	8	threats towards you, on September 30th?		
	9	A He had called my cell phone and left several		
	10	messages and the message that he had left before he had		
	11	came to my job and took the cell phone was "Give me my		
	12	mother fucking shit. I know you got my shit. I want my		
	13	shit. If you don't give me my mother fucking shit I'm		
	14	going to knock all this shit off."		
A And that was left on my voice mail Q Now after you said you called the did you do after you did that? A After I had called the police I im phoned home to the children and I told the chil leave the house immediately, put on shoes, leav		Q And that was left on your voice mail?		
		A And that was left on my voice mail.		
		Q Now after you said you called the police, what		
		did you do after you did that?		
		A After I had called the police I immediately		
		phoned home to the children and I told the children to		
		leave the house immediately, put on shoes, leave the house		
		immediately and go to the next door neighbor's house.		
	23	Q Why did you feel the like that was necessary?		
	24	A Because I knew that me and Lesean have been		
	25	having problems the night before and when I seen that he		
	-			

16 '	1	was still on that same mode I just, my intuition just told
	2	me to call my children. I didn't feel safe with the
	3	children being there and Lesean arriving there at the
	4	house.
	5	Q Do you know what time it was when you made
	6	that phone call to have your children leave the house?
	7	A Probably it was about 4:30, 4:35.
	8	Q At any time did you get to the house?
	9	A No, I was unable to make it to the house
	10	probably until about seven p.m. that night.
	11	Q What was the status at the house at that point
	12	about seven o'clock?
	13	A The house was burning. The fire department
	14	was outside and they were putting the fire out.
	15	Q So you could tell that the house was on fire?
	16	A Yes.
	17	Q Did the defendant Lesean Collins have
	18	permission to enter your house on the 30th?
	19	A No, he didn't.
	20	Q What type of damage was done to your house?
	21	A My whole closet was set on fire. I have no
	22	clothes, I didn't have any shoes left. He set my bed on
	23	fire. My children's because I have three bedrooms, it
	24	was a three bedroom home, and my two older boys, they

shared a room, all of their clothes were burnt, their TV,

16 -	1	their comput	er. And in the living room he attempted to set
	2	the couch on	fire but it didn't burn, he just made cuts
	3	into the cou	ch.
	4	Q	After this happened did you ever hear Lesean
	5	make any typ	e of admissions to this?
	6	A	On his voice mail he had, when you called his
	7	cell phone h	e left a personal message that said "yeah, my
	8	babies' mama	's house is on fire, that bitch is burning."
	9	Q	Okay. Did you ever have the four tires on
	10	your car, wh	en they were slashed on the 30th, did you have
	11	those repair	ed?
	12	A	That evening on the 30th I had the vehicle
	13	towed to one	of my friend's house and from there we had it
	14	towed to the	tire shop and I had them replaced.
	15	Q	What was the cost of that replacement?
	16	A	Seven hundred and fifty-two dollars.
	17	Q	Does that include the towing costs?
	18	A	No.
	19	Q	And do you know how much that is?
	20	A	I'm not quite sure exactly what the towing
	21	cost is becau	ase I have it on my insurance service.
	22		MS. JEANNEY: Okay. That's all my questioning
	23	for Miss Eddi	ns. Does anyone have any questions for her?
	24	BY A JUROR:	
	25	Q	Where were you working on September 29th and

•		•
16 . 1	30th.	
2	A	Bergman Walls and Associates, 2920 South
3	Jones, Las V	egas, Nevada.
4	Q	Thank you.
5	A	You're welcome.
6		MS. JEANNEY: Anyone else?
7	BY A JUROR:	
8	Q	I have one quick question. I'm sorry.
9		So my chronology may be a little mixed
10	up, was it th	ne 1st that you came home and you found the
11	house on fire	e, October 1st?
12	A	No, September 29th.
13	Q	When you came home and found the house
14	burning?	
15	A	Excuse me, September 30th.
16	Q	Okay. So it was the evening of September
17	30th?	
18	A	It was the evening of September 30th at about
19	seven p.m. I	had finally made it from work.
20	Q	Can I ask what age range are the children?
21	A	My children are, at the time my children were
22	five excus	e me, five, seven and nine and eleven.
23	Q	Thank you.
24		MS. JEANNEY: If I could clarify something
25	real quick ju	st because the dates got a little bit
		i

16 .	1	confusing in the beginning and that was my fault.
	2	MS. JEANNEY:
	3	Q I just want to clarify with you, Shalana,
	4	really quick, the incident with the two tires on the
	5	evening before the fire, that date is September 29th?
	6	A Yes, September 29th, Wednesday evening, yes.
	7	Q Wednesday evening. And then the next day when
	8	the events occurred at your work with the four tires and
	9	then the fire, that would be Thursday, September 30, 2008?
	10	A Yes. Yes.
17	11	BY A JUROR:
1,	12	Q The house that you were living in at the time,
	13	was his name on the lease or was it an owned home?
	14	A No. Me and my name was on the lease. He
	15	didn't have any part.
	16	Q The TPO that you obtained on the morning of
	17	September 30th, that hadn't been served on him at that time
	18	yet?
	19	A No, not at that time.
	20	THE FOREPERSON: Anyone else? No?
21		By law these proceedings are secret and
	22	you are prohibited from disclosing to anyone anything that
	23	has transpired before us, including evidence and statements
	24	presented to the Grand Jury, any event occurring or
	25	statement made in the presence of the Grand Jury, and
	ı	

1	information obtained by the Grand Jury.
2	Failure to comply with this admonition
3	is a gross misdemeanor punishable by a year in the Clark
4	County Detention Center and a \$2,000 fine. In addition,
5	you may be held in contempt of court punishable by an
6	additional \$500 fine and 25 days in the Clark County
7	Detention Center.
8	Do you understand this admonition?
9	THE WITNESS: Yes.
10	THE FOREPERSON: Thank you for your testimony.
11	You are excused, ma'am.
12	MS. JEANNEY: State's next witness is Vivian
13	Furlow.
14	THE FOREPERSON: Please raise your right hand.
15	You do solemnly swear the testimony you
16	are about to give upon the investigation now pending before
17	this Grand Jury shall be the truth, the whole truth, and
18	nothing but the truth, so help you God?
19	MS. FURLOW: Yes.
20	THE FOREPERSON: Please be seated.
21	You are advised that you are here today
22	to give testimony in the investigation pertaining to the
23	offense of first degree arson and burglary involving Lesean
24	Tarus Collins.
25	Do you understand this advisement?

17 . 1	MS. FURLOW: Yes.	
2	THE FOREPERSON: Please state your first and	
3	last name and spell both for the record.	
4	MS. FURLOW: Vivian Furlow. V-i-v-i-a-n,	
5	F-u-r-l-o-w.	
. 6		
7	<u>VIVIAN FURLOW</u> ,	
8	having been first duly sworn by the Foreperson of the Grand	
9	Jury to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but	
10	the truth, testified as follows:	
11		
12	EXAMINATION BY MS. JEANNEY:	
13		
14		
15	Q Vivian, do you know an individual by the name	
16	of Shalana Eddins?	
17	A Yes, I do.	
18	Q How do you know her?	
19	A She's somewhat like my stepdaughter. Her dad	
20	and I dated for a long time.	
21	Q How long have you known her for?	
22	A Approximately ten years.	
23	Q I'm showing you what has been marked for	
24	purposes of identification as Grand Jury Exhibit Number 2.	
25	Do you recognize the person in this photograph?	

17	1	A Ye	s, I do.
	2	Q Wh	o is this person?
	3	A Hi	s name is Lesean Collins.
	4	Q Ho	w do you know him?
	5	А не	was the boyfriend of Shalana Eddins, was
	6	the ex-boyfrien	d at the time.
	7	Q No	w I'd like to turn your attention to
	8	September 30th	of 2008. On that day did you see Lesean
	9	Collins?	
	10	A Ye	s, I did.
	11	Q Wha	at time did you see him at?
	12	A It	was approximately about between 6:15 and
	13	6:30 in the ever	ning.
	14	Q Whe	ere did you see him at?
	15	A At	her residence. Leaving her residence
	16	actually as I wa	s approaching.
	17	Q How	was he leaving?
	18	A In	a car. Heading west.
	19	Q Wha	t type of car was it?
	20	A It	was like a blue four door sedan.
	21	Q How	was he driving?
	22	A He	was in a rush. He was going away.
	23	Q And	why were you in that area at that time?
	24	A Bec	ause I received a phone call from Shalana's
	25	father asking me	to go pick up the children because Lesean
	1		I

DANETTE L. ANTONACCI, C.C.R. 222 (702) 361-1947

1	had went up to her job and slashed her tires and they were
2	fearing for the children and he asked me if I would go pick
3	up the grandkids.
4	Q At this point, ladies and gentlemen of the
5	Grand Jury, I just ask that any other statements are
6	hearsay, you can disregard those.
7	So you were in the area to pick up the
8	children?
9	A Right. Uh-huh.
10	Q And what time do you think you got to the
11	children at?
12	A That was approximately about 6:15, between
13	6:15 or 6:30.
14	Q So the same time period?
15	A Uh-huh.
16	Q At any point in time did you approach the
17	house that Shalana and the children live at?
18	A Yes, I did.
19	Q What time did you get to the house?
20	A That was probably about that I actually
21	went to her house? Because I went to the neighbor's house
22	to get the children first.
23	Q Yeah. What time did you get to the house
24	where Shalana and the children reside?
25	A I went over to that house, it was probably

1	about 7:15.
2	Q And when you approached the house did you
3	think anything seemed different or peculiar about it?
4	A Yes. And actually when I went to the house I
5	was actually with two other police officers and when we
6	approached the house to go near the house it was, the door
7	was hot and the officer wouldn't let me in.
8	Q And after this incident occurred did you ever
9	have any type of concerns with Lesean Collins?
10	A After this, no.
11	Q Okay. Did you ever call his phone?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Did you speak to him on his phone?
14	A I did not speak to him, I just heard his ring.
15	Q Did you hear his voice mail?
16	A Yes.
17	Q What did his voice mail say?
18	A Well, he had composed what they call a rap and
19	he was calling a lot of things, one of which he was
20	referring to his babies' mama, stating that if you can't
21	stand the heat you got to get out of the kitchen or you'll
22	burn up just like her house.
23	Q How did you know it was Lesean's voice on the
24	voice mail?
25	A Because I know his voice and also he had
į	

DANETTE L. ANTONACCI, C.C.R. 222 (702) 361-1947

	-	
17 18	1	called one of the other girls while we were there at the
	2	fire.
	3	Q Okay. So when you called his, when you call
	4	his phone
	5	A Uh-huh.
	6	Q it will ring and then his voice mail will
	7	pick up?
	8	A Well, that's the actual ring. You don't hear
	9	a ringing. That's the ring. It's like a, what they call
	10	ring tones. Instead of hearing the phone ring you hear
	11	whatever message they can leave for you. So if it's a
	12	particular record you hear that song or whatever. So he
	13	composed his own. So instead of the phone actually ringing
	14	you hear him wrapping.
	15	MS. JEANNEY: Okay. I don't have anymore
	16	questions for Miss Furlow. Does anyone?
	17	BY A JUROR:
	18	Q Yes. Was the fire department there when you
	19	got to the house?
	20	A No, they were not.
	21	Q But the police were there?
	22	A No. I was actually there for almost an hour
	23	before the police got there.
	24	Q Did you call the police?
	25	A No, the neighbors had called the police where
	}	

1	the kids were at.
2	Q Oh, okay. Thank you.
3	A Uh-huh.
4	THE FOREPERSON: Anyone else? No?
5	By law these proceedings are secret and
6	you are prohibited from disclosing to anyone anything that
7	has transpired before us, including evidence and statements
8	presented to the Grand Jury, any event occurring or
9	statement made in the presence of the Grand Jury, and
10	information obtained by the Grand Jury.
11	Failure to comply with this admonition
12	is a gross misdemeanor punishable by a year in the Clark
13	County Detention Center and a \$2,000 fine. In addition,
14	you may be held in contempt of court punishable by an
15	additional \$500 fine and 25 days in the Clark County
16	Detention Center.
17	Do you understand this admonition?
18	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
19	THE FOREPERSON: Thank you for your testimony.
20	You are excused.
21	MS. JEANNEY: State's next witness is Robert
22	Eddins.
23	Actually the State's next witness is
24	going to be Detective Lomprey. Mr. Eddins is putting some
25	money in the meters.
l	

18	1	THE FOREPERSON: Sir, please raise your right
	2	hand.
	3	Do you solemnly swear the testimony you
	4	are about to you give upon the investigation now pending
	5	before this Grand Jury shall be the truth, the whole truth,
	6	and nothing but the truth, so help you God?
	7	MR. LOMPREY: Yes.
	8	THE FOREPERSON: Please be seated.
	9	You are advised that you are here today
	10	to give testimony in the investigation pertaining to the
	11	offense of first degree arson and burglary involving Lesean
	12	Tarus Collins.
	13	Do you understand this advisement?
	14	MR. LOMPREY: Yes.
	15	THE FOREPERSON: Please state your first and
	16	last name and spell both for the record.
	17	MR. LOMPREY: Jeffrey Lomprey. J-e-f-f-r-e-y,
	18	L-o-m-p-r-e-y.
	19	THE FOREPERSON: Thank you.
	20	
	21	JEFFREY LOMPREY,
	22	naving been first duly sworn by the Foreperson of the Grand
	23	Jury to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
	24 t	the truth, testified as follows:
	25	
	1	1

1	<u>EXAMINATION</u>
2	
3	BY MR. TOMSHECK:
4	Q Good afternoon. Do you go by Jeff?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Jeff, can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of
7	the Grand Jury what it is you do for a living?
8	A I'm the investigations captain for the North
9	Las Vegas Fire Department's fire arson unit.
10	Q Can you tell us in a nutshell what that means?
11	A I investigate non-criminal and criminal fires.
12	Q When you say you investigate fires, do you
13	respond to locations where police or fire have responded to
14	a 911 call?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Do you conduct an investigation to determine
17	things like cause and origin of a fire?
18	A Origin and cause, yes.
19	Q Do you have certain education, training and
20	experience that allows you to make determinations about how
21	a fire started, where it started and things of that nature?
22	A I do.
23	Q Can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the
24	Grand Jury what some of that education, training and
25	experience is?
	·

18 1	A Certainly. I teach at the College of Southern
2	Nevada. I teach the Fire Cause Determination class and the
3	Advanced Fire Arson Investigation class. I attended UNLV,
4	I attended Community College. I'm one of the only
5	certified fire investigators in the State of Nevada through
6	the IWAI which is the International Association of Arson
7	Investigators.
8	Q And is there certain classroom requirements,
9	training, testing, in order to get the certification you
10	just mentioned?
11	A Yes, sir.
12	Q In addition to that, have you worked in the
13	capacity of a fire investigator in fire investigation for
14	the City of North Las Vegas for a period of time?
15	A Yes, sir.
16	Q In total how long have you worked
17	investigating fires and their causes?
18	A Public and private approximately twenty years.
19	Q In addition to your work investigating fires
20	do you also have a background in law enforcement?
21	A I do. I am also a police officer.
22	Q Where are you a police officer?
23	A City of North Las Vegas.
24	Q Prior to working as a police officer in the
25	City of North Las Vegas, did you work for another
	1

18	1	jurisdiction here in Southern Nevada?
	2	A I did.
	3	Q Where was that?
	4	A City of Boulder City, sir.
	5	Q What types of, what was your assignment with
	6	Boulder City?
	7	A I was the senior police detective over the
	8	detective bureau.
	9	Q What types of crimes did you investigate as
	10	the senior detective?
	11	A Homicides, sexual assault and arson.
	12	Q When is it that you became the captain in your
	13	current role in North Las Vegas on a full time basis?
	14	A I lateraled to that agency in October of 2007.
	15	Q Okay. So were you working in that same
	16	capacity on or about the 30th day of September of last year
	17	2008?
	18	A Yes, sir.
19	19	Q Were you called out to investigate a fire that
	20	nad occurred at 1519 Laguna Palms Avenue in North Las
	21	/egas?
	22	A I was.
	23	Q Can you describe for us how it was that you
	24	errived on scene, who were you with and what were the
	25	rircumstances?

19 1	A I was with Metropolitan Police Department.
2	They were doing a follow-up on another investigation. They
3	had a search warrant for the premises. I went in and did
4	an origin and cause investigation in a sealed property.
5	Q When you say sealed, does that mean the house
6	was closed so no one could get inside other than law
7	enforcement?
8	A Yes, sir.
9	Q You mentioned they had a search warrant at the
10	time and you accompanied them inside.
11	A Yes, sir.
12	Q While you were inside the house did you do an
13	investigation as to origin and cause as you just described?
14	A I did.
15	Q Can you tell us what it is exactly that you
16	did?
17	A We started our investigation from the least
18	amount of damage to the most amount of damage.
19	Q Why is it that you do that?
20	A We want to go from well, obviously to show
21	the area of origin, like in the living room for example
22	there was light soot so that told me from my training and
23	education and experience that was where the fire was
24	propagating to or, I'm sorry, going towards. We want to
25	find out the seat of the fire and I noticed several areas

19 1	of different origins, basically different fires,
2	unconnected.
3	Q Okay. When you investigate a fire is it safe
4	to say that one of the things you do is look for the area
5	where it's most badly burned?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Why do you do that?
8	A That's normally where it starts.
9	Q Because if it starts there that's probably
10	where it burns the longest, that's where the most damage
11	would be?
12	A Yes. Localized damage, yes.
13	Q Within the residence at 1519 Laguna Palms
14	Avenue, were you able to determine separate and distinct
15	fires apart from one another?
16	A I was. That's called non-communicative fires
17	and there was three of them.
18	Q And you can do that by determining that one
19	fire has only burned out to a particular location and then
20	it stops and there is other areas where you find something
21	similar in the residence?
22	A Yes, sir, they're called multiple sets.
23	Q How many multiple sets did you find within the
24	residence of 1519 Laguna Palms?
25	A Three.

19 1	Q Can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the
2	Gand Jury where they were located?
3	A Of course. One was on the master bed with
4	female clothing on the middle of the bed. The second one
5	was in the master bedroom in the closet with female
6	clothing in the closet on the floor that was piled up, it
7	was also burned. And the third area of origin was in the
8	living room on a couch.
9	Q Apart from those three particular locations
10	was there also heat and smoke damage within the rest of the
11	residence?
12	A Yes, sir.
13	Q When you conduct an investigation like this do
14	you attempt to, process of elimination, to eliminate
15	different ways in which the fire could have started?
16	A And that's what fire investigation is, it's a
17	process of elimination.
18	Q Did you do that in this case?
19	A I did, sir.
20	Q Can you tell us what you looked at?
21	A In each area of origin we look at the seat of
22	the fire and we want to see what's able for, what can be a
23	competent heat source and fuel source, and you have to
24	eliminate electrical, mechanical, lightning. Once those
25	potential competent heat sources are eliminated you have

•		
19 . 1	what's left	is arson which is incendiary.
2	Q	And in this particular case did you go through
3	the differe	nt sources of naturally caused fires to
4	determine i	f there was any evidence of that?
5	A	I did.
6	Q	And if I were to give you some specific areas
7	within the 1	house, can you tell me if you made a
8	determination	on if the fire could have been caused by one of
9	the following	ng things?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	The HVAC unit, did you investigate that?
12	A	Yes, that was ruled out.
13	Q	The gas range.
14	A	That was ruled out.
15	Q	Hot water heater.
16	A	Ruled out.
17	Q	Television systems.
18	A	Ruled out.
19	Q	Any stereo systems in the house.
20	A	That was ruled out.
21	Q	Microwave oven.
22	A	Ruled out.
23	Q	Candles.
24	Α	Ruled out.
25	Q	Was there any evidence that the fire started
!		

19 .	1	by some type of tobacco or smoking product?
	2	A No, sir.
	3	Q Based on that did you reach an opinion about
	4	the origin and cause of this fire?
	5	A I did.
20	6	Q Can you tell us what that was?
2.0	7	A Incendiary.
	8	Q What does that mean?
	9	A Arson. Basically that means it is an
	10	intentional act, willful and malicious, set with an open
	11	flame with a human hand with the intent to destroy the
	12	house and its contents.
	13	Q Okay. In this particular case did you
	14	document some of the work you did through photographs?
	15	A I did.
	16	Q After you completed your investigation did you
	17	do an analysis of a property damage amount that was caused
	18	by the actual burning of the fire?
	19	A I did. We have a set formula that we use.
	20	Q What is that?
	21	A A hundred eighty-five dollars per square foot
	22	and that's of actual charred damage.
	23	Q Actual burned area within the house?
	24	A Yes, sir.
	25	Q Above and beyond that is the actual damage

20 ' 1	caused by so	oot associated with the fire?
2	A	Of course.
. 3	Q	Smoke damage, things like that?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Okay. The damage of the actual burned area
6	within the h	ouse was how many square feet?
7	A	Approximately seven hundred.
8	Q	And so at seven hundred square feet, I believe
9	you said a h	undred eighty-five dollars per square foot,
10	would that a	mount to roughly a hundred twenty-nine thousand
11	five hundred	dollars?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	After conducting let me ask you one other
14	thing about	the inside of the house. When you did your
15	investigation	n did you look to see if there were smoke
16	alarms within	n the house?
17	A	I did.
18	Q	And were there smoke alarms within that
19	residence?	
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	Did you check to see if there was an electric
22	breaker assoc	eiated with the smoke alarm?
23	A	I did and it was turned off.
24	Q	Meaning to you that someone had turned it off?
25	A	Deliberately turned it off.
Ī		

•	
20 1	Q The other breakers in the house, were they in
2	the appropriate position?
3	A Yes, sir.
4	Q Following your investigation let me ask you
5	this. What day did you get there and investigate?
6	A The 1st.
7	Q The 1st of October?
8	A Yes, sir.
9	Q So did you have an understanding that the fire
10	occurred on the 30th of September?
11	A In the evening.
12	Q Following the investigation on the 1st of
13	October, did you eventually come into contact with an
14	individual by the name of Lesean Collins?
15	A I did.
16	Q I'm going to show you Grand Jury Exhibit
17	Number 2. For the record do you recognize the person
18	depicted in Grand Jury Exhibit Number 2?
19	A That's the defendant.
20	Q Lesean Collins?
21	A Yes, sir.
22	Q Did you contact him and attempt to contact him
23	about his involvement in the burning of the house on the
24	30th of September?
25	A Yes.

DANETTE L. ANTONACCI, C.C.R. 222 (702) 361-1947

20 1	Q	And what day was it that you made contact with
2	him?	
3	A	The 2nd.
4	Q	Of October?
5	A	Yes, sir.
6	Q	Did you provide to him what are commonly
7	referred to	as his Miranda rights, Miranda warnings?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Did he agree to waive those and talk to you?
10	A	Yes.
11	. Q	Did you ask him about whether or not he had
12	been at the	residence at the time the fire was started?
13	A	I did.
14	Q	What did he tell you?
15	A	He said he was there.
16	Q	Did he tell you how he got into the house?
17	A	He said that his older son let him in.
18	Q	Did he tell you which older son or which, how
19	it was that	the older son let him into the house?
20	A	Through the door, but he wasn't supposed to be
21	there.	
22	Q	Did Mr. Collins admit to you he didn't have
23	permission t	o be in the residence?
24	Α	He did.
25	Q	Did you talk to him about an allegation that

1	he had cut s	some tires belonging to Shalana Eddins' vehicle			
2	prior to be	ing at the house?			
3	A Yes, sir.				
4	Q	Did he admit that he had in fact done that?			
5	A	Yes.			
6	Q	Following that did you talk to him about			
7	whether or n	not he had set the fire within the residence?			
8	A	Yes.			
9	Q	What did he tell you about that?			
10	A	He denied it.			
11	Q	Did you discuss with him the fact that there			
12	were witness	es that had seen him at the residence?			
13	А	I did.			
14	Q	What was his response to that?			
15	A	Very he became extremely agitated.			
16	Q	Did you ever talk to him about whether or not			
17	anyone had s	een what he had done inside the house?			
18	A	I did.			
19	Q	What did he tell you?			
20	A He said it was impossible for them to				
21	what he has o	done.			
22	Q	Inside the house?			
23	A	Yes, sir.			
24	Q	Specifically he said that, specifically inside			
25	the house dur	ring that			
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	2 prior to be: 3 A 4 Q 5 A 6 Q 7 whether or r 8 A 9 Q 10 A 11 Q 12 were witness 13 A 14 Q 15 A 16 Q 17 anyone had s 18 A 19 Q 20 A 21 what he has a 22 Q 23 A			

DANETTE L. ANTONACCI, C.C.R. 222 (702) 361-1947

20	1	A Yes, there was no witnesses he was in the
	2	A Yes, there was no witnesses, he was in the house alone.
	3	MR. TOMSHECK: I have no additional questions
	4	of this witness.
	5	THE FOREPERSON: Does anyone from the Grand
	6	Jury? None?
	7	By law these proceedings are secret and
	8	you are prohibited from disclosing to anyone anything that
	9	has transpired before us, including evidence and statements
:	10	presented to the Grand Jury, any event occurring or
:	11	statement made in the presence of the Grand Jury, and
:	12	information obtained by the Grand Jury.
:	13	Failure to comply with this admonition
1	14	is a gross misdemeanor punishable by a year in the Clark
3	15	County Detention Center and a \$2,000 fine. In addition,
נ	16	you may be held in contempt of court punishable by an
1	17	additional \$500 fine and 25 days in the Clark County
1	18	Detention Center.
1	19	Do you understand this admonition?
2	20	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
2	21	THE FOREPERSON: Thank you for your testimony.
2	22	You are excused, sir.
2	3	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
	4	MS. JEANNEY: State's next witness is Robert
1 2	5 E	Eddins.

1	THE FOREPERSON: Please raise your right hand.
2	You do solemnly swear the testimony you
3	are about to give upon the investigation now pending before
4	this Grand Jury shall be the truth, the whole truth, and
5	nothing but the truth, so help you God?
6	MR. EDDINS: I do.
7	THE FOREPERSON: Please be seated.
8	You are advised that you are here today
9	to give testimony in the investigation pertaining to the
10	offenses of first degree arson and burglary involving
11	Lesean Tarus Collins.
12	Do you understand this advisement?
13	MR. EDDINS: Yes.
14	THE FOREPERSON: Please state your first and
15	last name and spell both for the record.
16	MR. EDDINS: My name is Robert Eddins,
17	E-d-d-i-n-s.
18	
19	ROBERT EDDINS,
20	having been first duly sworn by the Foreperson of the Grand
21	Jury to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
22	the truth, testified as follows:
23	
24	///
25	///
	I

1	EXAMINATION				
2					
3	BY MS. JEANNEY:				
4	Q Good afternoon Mr. Eddins.				
5	I'm showing you what has been marked for				
6	purposes of identification as Grand Jury Exhibit Number 2.				
7	Do you recognize the individual in this photograph?				
8	A Yes, I do.				
9	Q Okay. Who is that person?				
10	A That's Lesean Collins.				
11	Q How do you know Mr. Collins?				
12	A I've been knowing him for about twelve years.				
13	He's my daughter's babies' father.				
14	Q You said you've known him for about twelve				
15	years?				
16	A About twelve years.				
17	Q I'd like to turn your attention to September				
18	30th of 2008. On that day did you have any type of contact				
19	with Mr. Collins?				
20	A Yes, he made about two or three phone calls to				
21	me.				
22	Q What time did those phone calls start at?				
23	A They probably started between five and six.				
24	Q Let's talk about the first conversation first.				
25	Who called who?				

1	A Lesean called me on my cell phone.
2	Q And how did you know it was Lesean?
3	A His number came up.
4	Q So you've had his number previously?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Do you also recognize his voice?
7	A Oh, yes, I do.
8	Q What was said in the first conversation? What
9	did he say to you?
10	A He told me, he described to me that you can
11	tell your daughter, which is Shalana, that we're even now
12	for my car that was towed away, I mean that was damaged.
13	Q Okay. Is that, that was the first
14	conversation?
15	A Right. Uh-huh.
16	Q Did he say anything else?
17	A Yes. He started talking about well, when I
18	told him that he doesn't need to be telling me that, then I
19	let him talk to Metro. Metro was sitting there, because I
20	was there on Shalana Eddins' job because apparently someone
21	had flattened all four of her tires, so I was there and the
22	Metro police were there, so I handed the phone to the Metro
23	police and let them talk to him.
24	Q What time was the second telephone call?
25	A It was between six and 6:30.
	ı

1 What was said in that conversation? 2 That conversation he was telling me that he heard my daughter's house is on fire. 3 4 Did he say anything else? 5 He told me, I said oh, how did the house catch on fire, and he said well, he had told me that, prior 6 to that conversation I had already called Vivian Furlow to 7 pick up the grandkids from next door so I had already asked 8 Vivian to go next door to see if the house was okay and at 9 that particular time when she went outside, the police 10 officers were there, and that's when Lesean called me, he 11 called me to tell me that my daughter's house was on fire 12 and I told him, I said well, you just left there, how did 13 the house get caught on fire, he said I don't know, I just 14 heard that, it wasn't nothing of me. Then he started 15 telling me it wasn't him that did something like that. 16 17 said at that particular time you had just left there and you had already expressed to the kids what your intention 18 was, that's why they were next door and I had Vivian come 19 20 over to pick them up.

Q Was that conversation over -- and there was a third one -- or did anything else go on in that second conversation?

21

22

23

24

25

A No, I don't -- I don't remember the third conversation if there was one, but we talked quite often,

•		
1 .	1	but that those were the two that I mainly remember on that
	2	particular
	3	Q Did he make any admissions to you in the
	4	second phone call?
	5	A About the fire?
	6	Q Correct.
	7	A Yeah, he said he knew about the fire but he
	8	tried to tell me that he didn't start the fire.
	9	Q And that was the last time you have spoken to
	10	him since then?
	11	A Yes. Uh-huh.
	12	Q When you spoke to Lesean these two times that
	13	day, was it September 30th of 2008?
	14	A Uh-huh.
	15	MS. JEANNEY: I have no further questions for
	16	this witness.
	17	BY A JUROR:
	18	Q Did Lesean tell you where he was when the fire
	19	started?
	20	A No. He said he had just left the house but he
2	21	didn't start the fire.
_	22	Q Okay. Thank you.
	23	THE FOREPERSON: Anyone else?
	24	Go ahead.
	25	///
	İ	

DANETTE L. ANTONACCI, C.C.R. 222 (702) 361-1947

```
BY A JUROR:
                    What time did he tell you this, that the house
  2
              Q
  3
       was on fire?
                    This had to be between six and 6:30, somewhere
      along -- I don't really have the correct time because I
  5
      was, like I say I was there talking to Metro and he just
  6
  7
      happened to call.
                   But that was before the police discovered the
  8
  9
      fire?
 10
                   Right. He told me about the fire before the
             Α
      police even -- because I had Vivian go next door to check
 11
      on the house, she hadn't gone there by the time that he had
12
      actually called me to tell me that there was a fire.
13
14
                   So he knew about it before the police did?
15
                   Right.
     BY A JUROR:
16
17
                   Mr. Eddins, Lesean had said that the oldest
     boy had left him in the house. But all of the children
18
     were over at the neighbor's, correct?
19
20
                   Beg your pardon?
            Α
21
                  Lesean had stated that the oldest boy had let
     him in the house, but all of the children were at the
22
23
     neighbor's; is that correct?
24
                  I don't really have any knowledge of that part
            Α
     of the evening because by the time that I had contact with
25
```

	•
1	any of the kids all of them were over to the neighbor's
2	house and I was trying to find somebody to pick them up
3	because I was down there at my daughter's job dealing with
4	her car issues.
5	MS. JEANNEY: Anybody else?
6	THE FOREPERSON: All right. Anybody else?
7	No?
8	By law these proceedings are secret and
9	you are prohibited from disclosing to anyone anything that
10	has transpired before us, including evidence and statements
11	presented to the Grand Jury, any event occurring or
12	statement made in the presence of the Grand Jury, and
13	information obtained by the Grand Jury.
14	Failure to comply with this admonition
15	is a gross misdemeanor punishable by a year in the Clark
16	County Detention Center and a \$2,000 fine. In addition,
17	you may be held in contempt of court punishable by an
18	additional \$500 fine and 25 days in the Clark County
19	Detention Center.
20	Do you understand this admonition?
21	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
22	THE FOREPERSON: Thank you for your testimony.
23	You are excused, sir.
24	THE WITNESS: Thanks.
25	///

2 . 1	MR. TOMSHECK: Ladies and gentlemen, we have
2	no additional witnesses at this time, however we would ask
3	that you reserve your deliberation for future evidence and
4	testimony or amendments to the proposed Indictment
5	
6	(Proceedings adjourned, to reconvene
7	at a later, undetermined time.)
8	00000
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

3 STATE

STATE OF NEVADA

שמ

4 COUNTY OF CLARK

ì

SS

I, Danette L. Antonacci, C.C.R. 222, do hereby certify that I took down in Shorthand (Stenotype) all of the proceedings had in the before-entitled matter at the time and place indicated and thereafter said shorthand notes were transcribed at and under my direction and supervision and that the foregoing transcript constitutes a full, true and accurate record of the proceedings had.

Dated at Las Vegas, Nevada, March 11, 2009.

Danette L. Antonacci, C.C.R. No. 22

AFFIRMATION

Pursuant to NRS 239B.030

3

4

1

2

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding TRANSCRIPT filed in GRAND JURY CASE NUMBER

5

08AGJ112X:

6

7

Does not contain the social security number of any person,

-OR-

8

9

10

__ Contains the social security number of a person as required by:

11

A specific state or federal law, towit: NRS 656.250

13

12

-or-

14

For the administration of a public program or В. for an application for a federal or state grant.

15

16

17

18

Signature

19

Danette L. Antonacci Print Name

20

21

22

23

24

25

Official Court Reporter Title

-13-09A10:25 RCVD

RECEIPT OF COPY

RECEIPT OF COPY of the foregoing Order is hereby acknowledged this 15th day of May, 2009.

CLARK COUNTY-DISTRICT ATTORNEY

Case No.: Dept. No.:

Case Name: LESEAN TARUS COLLINS

C253455X

ΧI

MILITA

WRTH 1 PHILIP J. KOHN, PUBLIC DEFENDER 2 NEVADA BAR NO. 0556 309 South Third Street, Suite #226 3 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 2009 MAY 18 A ♀ 23 (702) 455-4685 4 Attorney for Defendant 5 **DISTRICT COURT** LERK OF THE COURT 6 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 7 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 8 Plaintiff, CASE NO. C253455X 9 DEPT. NO. XI 10 LESEAN TARUS COLLINS, DATE: June 1, 2009 11 Defendant. TIME: 9:00 a.m. 12 13 WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS 14 To: Clark County Sheriff Clark County, Nevada 15 16 **GREETINGS:** We command that you have the body of the above-captioned person, by you 17 imprisoned and detained, as it is alleged, together with the time and cause of such imprisonment and 18 detention, by whatever name said above-captioned person shall be called or charged, before the 19 Honorable Elizabeth Gonzalez, District Court Judge, at her chambers or her courtroom in the County 20 Courthouse Building in the City of Las Vegas, County of Clark, State of Nevada, on June 1, 2009 at 21 the hour of 9:00 a.m., to do and receive that which shall then and there be considered concerning the said above-captioned person; and have you then and there this Writ.

> DATED AND DONE this _____ of May, 2009. EDWARD_S. FRIEDLAND

FILED

27

1			RECEI	PT OF COP	Y				
2		RECEIPT OF	COPY of the	foregoing	Writ o	f Habeas	Corpus	is	hereby
3	acknowled	ged this 15 ⁺¹ day	of May, 2009.				F		
4	11	OUNTY SHERIFF		CLARK CO	UNTY I	DISTRICT	ATTORN	IEY	
5								•	
6	Ву:	Anth		Ву:	6	HO)		
7		•				/			
8	;								
9									
10									
11			٠						
12									
13									
14									
15) 								
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									
26	Case Name:	LESEAN TARUS	COLLINS						
27	Case No.:	C253455X							
28	Dept. No.	XI							- 1

05/29/2009 01:10:18 PM

1 **RWHC** DAVID ROGER 2 Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #002781 3 JOSHUA TOMSHECK Deputy District Attorney 4 Nevada Bar #009210 JACQUELINE M. JEANNEY 5 Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #0010625 6 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 7 (702) 671-2500 State of Nevada 8 9 DISTRICT COURT 10 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 11 In the Matter of Application, 12 of 13 Case No. C253455 14 Dept No. XI LESEAN COLLINS 15 ID #0857181 16 for a Writ of Habeas Corpus. 17 18 RETURN TO WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

> DATE OF HEARING: June 1, 2009 TIME OF HEARING: 9:00 A.M.

COMES NOW, DOUGLAS C. GILLESPIE, Sheriff of Clark County, Nevada, Respondent, through his counsel, DAVID ROGER, District Attorney, through JOSHUA TOMSHECK and JACQUELINE M. JEANNEY, Deputy District Attorneys, in obedience to a writ of habeas corpus issued out of and under the seal of the above-entitled Court on the 18th day of May, 2009, and made returnable on the 1st day of June, 2009, at the hour of 9:00 o'clock A.M., before the above-entitled Court, and states as follows:

27 | ///

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

C:\Program Files\Neevia.Com\Document Converter\temp\590735-665282.DOC

- 1. Respondent admits the allegations of Paragraph(s) 1 and 2 of the Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus.
- 2. Respondent denies the allegations of Paragraph 3 of the Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus.
 - 3. Paragraph(s) 4, 5, 6 do not require admission or denial.
- 4. The Petitioner is in the actual custody of DOUGLAS C. GILLESPIE, Clark County Sheriff, Respondent herein, pursuant to a Criminal Information, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and incorporated by reference herein.

Wherefore, Respondent prays that the Writ of Habeas Corpus be discharged and the Petition be dismissed.

DATED this 29th day of May, 2009.

Respectfully submitted,

DAVID ROGER Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar # 002781

BY /s/JOSHUA TOMSHECK

JOSHUA TOMSHECK

Deputy District Attorney
Nevada Bar #009210

JACQUELINE M. JEANNEY

JACQUELINE M. JEANNEY

Deputy District Attorney

Nevada Bar #010625

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

FACTUAL HISTORY

Shalana Eddins and the Defendant in this case, Lesean Tarus Collins, share five (5) children together. GJT p. 9. The Defendant and Shalana Eddins had previously been in a dating relationship, however, in the Summer of 2008, that dating relationship ended. <u>Id.</u> Shortly thereafter in the Fall of 2008, the Defendant's behavior towards Ms. Eddins became

C:\Program Files\Neevia.Com\Document Converter\temp\590735-665282.DOC