9

12

11

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0051: Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. But yeah, I do try to break about every hour and a half, but if there's ever a time when you need a break, just raise your hand, get someone's attention and I'll be happy to take a break. Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0051: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, is there somebody else? All right. Go ahead. Okay. Just a minute. Those are cell phones. When you hear that buzz then that means someone's cell phone's ringing. Go ahead.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0063: Badge number 0063.

THE COURT: Go ahead, Ms. Chin.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0063: I would -- I'd love to stay and help but I just want to -- the Court understand, I might have same problem of the other lady. I mean, I -- I might have a problem to understand when they talking in the Court.

THE COURT: Do you understand English?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0063: I understand simple language, you know, when you get into like -- I lie -- I say: I wish I can talk, but I just want to mention: This is my limitation.

THE COURT: Okay. How long have you lived in the United States?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0063: 30 years.

THE COURT: Okay. What do you do for a living?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0063: Restaurant.

THE COURT: What do you do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0063: What?

THE COURT: What do you do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0063: I own a restaurant. 1 THE COURT: You own a restaurant? 2 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0063: Yeah. THE COURT: Okay. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0063: With my parents. 5 THE COURT: What kind of restaurant? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0063: Chinese Restaurant. 7 THE COURT: All right. And what -- what are your duties in owning this 8 restaurant? I mean, you're a business owner; correct? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0063: Well, we do everything -- waitress, 10 you know. 11 THE COURT: Right. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0063: Everything, except; I don't cook. 13 THE COURT: All right. So you have to speak English at work; correct? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0063: Yes. Oh no, I'm not try to get excuse 15 not to be here. I just want -- I just say my -- I want to tell you my limitation of 16 17 education; that's all. THE COURT: Your limitations on your education? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0063: Yeah; I only -- I'm not really a high 19 20 school graduate. THE COURT: Okay. How far did you go in school? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0063: Sixth grade in Hong Kong. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, ma'am. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0063: Thanks. 24 THE COURT: Anyone else that wishes to address the Court? The record 25

will reflect no further response. Okay. Are there any of you who believe that, for any other reason, you'd be unable to serve as a juror in this particular case? The record will reflect, no further response from the panel.

Is there anyone on the panel that's ever been engaged in law enforcement work or have a spouse or close relative who has ever been engaged in law enforcement work? Okay. We'll start over here, sir. Your name and badge number.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0019: Robert Welch, 0019. I have two brother-in-laws that were police officers. One's still a police officer; one's retired. In Pennsylvania.

THE COURT: Anything about their employment that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0019: I don't think so.

THE COURT: Okay. You don't think so or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0019: No.

THE COURT: No? Okay. Thank you. Ma'am.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0003: Sierra Perks, badge number 0003. I know someone who works downstairs, she's my brother's girlfriend. She's a clerk or something on the 3rd floor. I don't know exactly what she does.

THE COURT: Okay. What's her name?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0003: Amanda Garrison [phonetic].

THE COURT: And she's a clerk?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0003: She works in the Clerk's Office.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything about that that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0003: No.
2	THE COURT: You understand that while you're in the Courthouse, you
3	would not be able to communicate with her about what you're doing here?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0003: Right.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Have you gone to visit her at all?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0003: No.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Does she know you're here?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0003: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Okay. But she obviously understands what the rules are.
10	Do you understand, you cannot talk about this case with her?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0003: Right, but I can like see her talk
12	about other things; right?
13	THE COURT: Right.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0003: Okay.
15	THE COURT: Just as long as you don't talk about anything that has to
16	do with this case. Okay?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0003: Uh huh.
18	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anyone else? Okay. First row right
19	there, ma'am.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: Joy Ellis. I used to work with Orange
21	County Sheriff Department back in 60's or something like that.
22	THE COURT: What did you do?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: I was a fingerprint clerk.
24	THE COURT: Anything about your past employment that would affect
25	your ability to be fair and impartial?
11	

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, ma'am. Go ahead, ma'am.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Vickie Fort. My son-in-law is a Metro officer and he's been undercover for narcotics. He's also worked in the gang unit. And if it involves an officer, I don't know -- it depends on what the charges would be.

THE COURT: Okay. So you have a son-in-law that's in an undercover capacity with Metro?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Right now he's on a motorcycle. He's a motorcycle officer, but he's been in all of those.

THE COURT: Okay. So now he's a traffic officer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Uh huh.

THE COURT: Yes?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. How long has he been your son-in-law?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: 24 years.

THE COURT: All right. We -- the District Attorney's told you what the charges are.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And you said something to the effect that if a police officer was involved, what do you mean?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Well, it would depend on if it's charges with an officer or not. I do feel that I am prejudiced. I feel our officers put their lives on the line.

THE COURT: Okay. Just a minute. Okay. Before you go any further,

what I am going to do is, I'm going to take a recess. I'm going to ask the rest of the jury panel to wait out in the hallway.

I do have to read you an admonishment. I have to read this admonishment every time you go in the hallway, and so, I'm going to read it slowly, so I ask that you pay attention.

During this recess, you're admonished not to talk or converse amongst yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial, or read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspapers, television, the internet or radio, form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you. And again, that also includes twittering, blogging, e-mailing and texting.

Thank you very much. With the exception of this particular juror, you're excused. The officer will tell you when we're ready to come back in.

[Recess taken at 11:42 a.m.]

THE COURT: The record reflect that this hearing is taking place outside the presence of the jury panel.

[Outside the presence of the prospective jury panel]

Ms. Fort, if you'll please stand. Ms. Vickie Fort, badge number 0035. She's in the courtroom, in the absence of the other jurors. Ms. Fort, I just wanted you to tell me what your issues were, outside the presence of the other jurors. And I also want to tell you that anything that we discuss in here, you're not permitted to discuss with any of the other jurors.

If anyone insists on speaking to you about anything that we've

discussed in here, I ask you not to respond and make that fact known to me immediately by contacting the Court Marshal. Now, go ahead and say whatever it is you want to say.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Well, I just feel like -- that officers put their life on the line and I know some of the stories. I listen to the news and yes; I don't know all the facts when I listen to the news, but I feel that there's a lot of crime in our -- in our city. A lot of it's from out-of-state and I -- I mean, my son-in-law was hurt by a DUI. He's had surgeries and I'm sort of partial to lean to the police officers.

THE COURT: Okay. I don't think anyone has a problem with your opinion officers put their life on the line. Okay. You -- I'm concerned about your comment: You listen to the news and you hear all the stories. What stories are you talking about?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Well, like the news when they broadcasting that -- like that -- the couple officers that got -- went to the -- and got shot. One that -- that was in a car accident. It was a DUI, and I feel like our city is not hard enough on the people for the DUI's. If they get more than once chance, well --

THE COURT: Okay. You're going to -- back up. What are you talking about?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: I'm talking about, like, what I hear on the news.

THE COURT: Are you talking about something about an officer got shot? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Yeah; like when officers get shot. THE COURT: Okay. Generally, when officers get shot?

	li di
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Right.
2	THE COURT: Okay. And then you went into something else?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: That this is what I hear on the news. I
4	know I don't know all the facts
5	THE COURT: What was the
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: when I listen to the news.
7	THE COURT: What was the other thing? You said something about a car
8	accident and a DUI?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: I feel like our laws are not strict
10	enough on our criminals. I feel like that
11	THE COURT: Okay. What laws are not strict enough?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: For the DUI's. For murder.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: For the rapist.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Child molesters.
17	THE COURT: Do you know what the laws are?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: A little bit; not all of them.
19	THE COURT: Okay. I'm just trying to get an idea of what it is that's not
20	strict enough.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: I just feel that our laws should be
22	stricter against the criminal.
23	THE COURT: Okay. For for DUI's, for instance?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: DUI's, child molesters, rapists.
25	THE COURT: What do you think they should be that they already aren't?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: I think that if they're a child molester or they hurt children, I think that they should get life.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: I feel they should be off the street?

THE COURT: All right. And do you have any idea what the sentence is for someone who commits a sexual assault against a child?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: It's -- depends on what the jury or the judge orders.

THE COURT: Actually, the jury does not determine that, but do you know a person that's convicted of sexually assaulting a child --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: No; just what I hear on the news --

THE COURT: -- the sentence is?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: -- Channel 8. What they say the verdicts and all that was.

THE COURT: The sentence is life?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: No; I feel it should be a lot longer.

THE COURT: I'm just --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Life?

THE COURT: -- I'm telling you, that is the sentence of a person that's convicted of sexual assault on a child. The sentence is life.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Okay.

THE COURT: So what other laws do you believe are not strict enough?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: I just feel that our laws -- that people come to this city. I mean, I've been here 39 years and you see so many people that get out of things.

THE COURT: Like?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Right now, I knew you'd ask and I can't think of any, right now, off hand.

THE COURT: Okay. Because I'm just trying to get a feel for where your opinions come from, because -- can you -- it doesn't sound like you -- it sounds like you think the laws should be stricter, but you're not really sure what they are for people that commit certain crimes?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Right.

THE COURT: Okay. Is there something, in particular, that you have in mind where you think someone wasn't treated strict enough?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: I feel that anyone that does any danger or does any harm to children, I feel like that they shouldn't be let back out.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: These children didn't ask to be born to these, you know, these parents they have or get into a relationship; they're innocent children.

THE COURT: Okay. What is your son-in-law's name? What, you know, is he undercover -- I'm sorry. Is he in undercover capacity, still?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: No; he's on a motorcycle. He just got out of the other. I don't remember his badge number because I asked my daughter.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you mind stating his name?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: I would rather not. I could tell him and he could tell you.

THE COURT: Okay. Why would you not want to tell us? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: He's always just said: Don't -- you don't let where -- you don't let people know where you live and all that kind of stuff because --THE COURT: I would agree with that. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Yeah. THE COURT: Okay. But has he said, if you're asked in Court, during jury duty --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: No; I haven't talked to him about this. THE COURT: -- what his name is? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Eric Grimacy [phonetic]. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anything about the fact that he's employed with Metro that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Honestly -- I don't know. THE COURT: Okay. Well you are under oath. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Right. THE COURT: So we do want to hear your honest answer and you're the only one who can tell us, and I need to know now 'cause we can't wait until you go back --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Right. THE COURT: -- into jury deliberations to decide: Hey, I decided I can't be fair because my son-in-law works for Metro? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: I feel like I'm a fair person.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: I feel like I've got an open mind.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: I think it just depends on the circumstances.

THE COURT: Okay. So you can only be fair under certain circumstances?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: I'm very -- yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. You believe that you can only be fair under certain circumstances?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Yes.

THE COURT: Can you tell us what those circumstances are?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: If children are involved. I've done volunteer work with my daughter, Diane Grimacy [phonetic]. She worked in the burn -- burn unit for 12 years. I did volunteer work there with her and to help raise money for the burn camp where the kids go to burn camp.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me stop you. If children are involved, you can be fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: No; I cannot be fair.

THE COURT: You cannot be fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: You're automatically -- I'm sorry, but if kids are involved; I do jump to conclusions. I may not know all the facts. You hear what's on the news. You read what's in the paper. You're not in the courtroom to hear what was actually said.

THE COURT: Right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: You're hearing it from a third party that is --

it.

25

THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: -- objective. THE COURT: Well, if you're selected to be on the panel, you'd be in a different position, because you'd be sitting in the jury box and you'd actually see and hear --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Right. THE COURT: -- everything that's going on. You would not be getting your information in a 30 second, you know --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Interview on tv. THE COURT: -- yeah, a 30-second segment from a reporter who maybe sat in for a small portion of a court proceeding; right? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Right. THE COURT: Okay. Could you just this case based solely upon what you see and hear in the courtroom --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: I think so. THE COURT: -- and nothing else? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: I think so.

THE COURT: Okay. And can I count on you not to read the newspaper, listen --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Yeah; I brought one but I can't open

THE COURT: Let me finish. Can I count on you to comply with the admonishment not to read the newspaper --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Yes.

THE COURT: -- listen to any news stories regarding this case if there are

any? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Yes. 2 THE COURT: Okay. You'll follow that admonishment? 3 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Yes. THE COURT: I also have to ask you -- you understand, you would not be 5 able to discuss this case with your son-in-law --6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Correct. 7 THE COURT: -- or your daughter. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Correct. 9 THE COURT: The only thing you'd be permitted to tell your family is that 10 you've been selected as a juror in a criminal case; that's it. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Right. 12 THE COURT: Nothing else. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Right. 14 THE COURT: Until you've been discharged by myself; do you understand 15 that? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Would you comply with that order? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Even if they insisted upon --20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: They wouldn't --21 THE COURT: -- wondering what you were here for. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: No; they wouldn't. 23 THE COURT: Okay. That's good. You understand that there will be 24 police officers that are going to come in and testify. 25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Right.

THE COURT: And did you hear the names?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: I don't recognize any of them.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: I might by sight if I, you know --

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: -- but I did not recognize any of the officers names.

THE COURT: All right is there -- is either side going to have any problem with you, based, just solely, upon the fact that a police officer's going to come in and testify?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Are you going to give a police officers testimony more credence or less credence just because they're a police officer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: I don't think so.

THE COURT: Okay. You're the only one who can tell us.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: No.

THE COURT: Okay. And can you wait until you've heard all of the evidence before reaching -- I'm sorry, let me start over. Can you wait until you hear all of the evidence, from both sides, and after you've been instructed on the applicable law in this case, before reaching a decision?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. So I don't have to worry about you jumping to conclusions after you hear one witness?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: No; I would be --

25

THE COURT: Okay. You'll keep an open mind?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Yes.

THE COURT: And you'll listen to all the evidence?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm required to give you the instructions on the law. Am I going to have a problem if you don't agree with some of the instructions on the law?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: No.

THE COURT: All right. What if there's an instruction on the law I give you and you just don't agree with it because it's not strict enough? Or it's not what you think it should be?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: I think I'd have to listen to what the evidence -- and discuss it with the juror. You know, when you're in there, you're discussing, you know, well, did you hear this? What do you think -- I think that's how I -- we have to come to the decision.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask it again. I will be required to give you the instructions on the law; do you understand that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Yeah. Yeah.

THE COURT: And as a juror, you're required to follow the instructions on the law.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Yes.

THE COURT: Whether you like it or not.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Can you do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Yes.

25

THE COURT: Okay. What if there's a law I give you and it's one of those that you think stinks. You don't like it -- it's not strict enough -- all the things you told me earlier. Are you going to follow that law or are you going to discard it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: No; I'd follow the law --

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: -- what you would say.

THE COURT: You'll follow it even if you don't think it's strict enough or you don't like it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. Because you understand, this is not the forum to --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Right.

THE COURT: -- determine you don't like laws; right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Correct.

THE COURT: Okay. Can you be fair and impartial to both sides here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: I think so.

THE COURT: Okay. You're the only one who can tell me.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Yes.

THE COURT: Can the State of Nevada get a fair trial if you're selected?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Yes.

THE COURT: Can Mr. Collins get a fair trial if you're selected?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. You can step outside and when we're done with our break you can come back in and you can just sit in that same seat --

 THE COURT: Okay.

MS. PAROLISE: Okay.

THE COURT: Parties stipulate?

MR. TOMSHECK: Yes.

MS. JONES: Yes, Your Honor.

[In the presence of the prospective jury panel]

THE COURT: Okay. At this time, is there anyone on the panel who may not be able to follow all the instructions of the Court, on the law, even if the instructions differ from your personal conceptions of what the law ought to be? The record will reflect, no response from the panel.

As a follow-up to the previous question, I must tell you, that, in any criminal trial, the members of the jury, seen collectively, are the judges of the question of fact in this case. As Judge in this case, I'm the judge of the questions of law and it's my responsibility to be sure that I give instructions on the law that apply to this particular case. It would be a violation of a jurors duty if he or she tried to render a judgment based upon what he or she believed the law to be if that differed from my instructions.

With that in mind, is there anyone who feels that they cannot be fact finders, follow my instructions on the applicable law in this case? The record will reflect, no response from the panel. Under our system, there are certain principles of law that apply in every criminal trial.

They are that the Indictment filed in this case is a mere accusation and is not evidence of guilt. That as Mr. Collins sits here today, he is innocent. That the State has a burden to prove each of the essential elements of the charges against Mr. Collins by a proof beyond a reasonable doubt. Is there

24

25

anyone who does not understand or believe in these basic precepts of American Justice? The record will reflect no response from the panel.

Is there anybody who knows anything about this case other than what has been stated in the courtroom here today? The record will reflect, no response from the panel. At this time, I'm going to see the attorneys out in the hallway for a few minutes and then we will come join you again.

[Court and Attorneys sidebar in the hallway at 12:23 p.m.]

[Court and Attorneys return to the courtroom at 12:29 p.m.]

THE COURT: Do the parties stipulate to the presence of the jury panel?

MS. JONES: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. TOMSHECK: Yes, Your Honor.

[In the presence of the prospective jury panel]

THE COURT: Okay. At this time, the Clerk is going to call our first 23 names using the list that's been provided to us by the Jury Commissioner.

When your name is called, the Court Marshal will tell you where to sit. Most of you are not going to need to adjust where you are seated, very much.

[Court Clerk calls the names of the first 23 of the prospective jury panel]
THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Jonas, can you tell us how long you've lived in

Clark County?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0001: You need me to state my number or something?

THE COURT: I -- I'll state it for now.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0001: Okay.

THE COURT: How long have you lived in Clark County?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0001: Seven years.

THE COURT: Your employment, training, educational background?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0001: I'm a -- I have a bachelor's. I'm a software developer.

THE COURT: Okay. You have a bachelor's in software development?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0001: Computer science; yeah.

THE COURT: I'm sorry.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0001: Computer science.

THE COURT: Okay. And what do you do for a living?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0001: Software development.

THE COURT: Software --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0001: Development.

THE COURT: Okay. And I'm sorry, I just can't hear you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0001: Okay. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: So if I can't hear you, that means the recording's probably having a hard time picking you up. I also want to tell you that, apparently when we were out in the hallway, you heard something that was going on in another courtroom. We had our wireless mike on, so I apologize. We picked up something going on from another courtroom. I'm not done with you yet.

Can you tell me your marital status?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0001: I'm married.

THE COURT: Is your wife employed?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0001: Yes; she is.

THE COURT: What does she do for a living?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0001: Director of marketing and sales.

THE COURT: For?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0001: Global payments. THE COURT: Do you have any children? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0001: No. THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you could not be a completely fair and impartial juror if you were selected to serve on this panel? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0001: Nothing comes to mind. I did have a question --THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0001: -- if that's okay. Friday night, I have a flight at 5:30, is that -- does that affect anything? THE COURT: For? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0001: What's the flight for? THE COURT: Uh huh. Where you going? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0001: Oh, San Francisco -- my friend's wedding in the morning. It's okay. I can move it later; I'm just curious. THE COURT: I hope not. I mean, like I said, I always -- I try to be done when I say I'm going to be done, but sometimes things happen and I can't anticipate them --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0001: Okay. THE COURT: -- 'cause I don't have a crystal ball, so I hesitate to say --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0001: Okay. THE COURT: -- I mean, I hesitate to make any promises, but you need to be in San Francisco on Saturday morning? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0001: Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. Thank you for being here.

	Ms. Perks, can you tell me how long you've lived in Clark County?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0003: Nine years.
	THE COURT: Your employment, training, educational background?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0003: I graduated from Southeast Vocationa
	Technical Center. I work at Supercuts. I have my cosmetology license. Also
	employed at Van's at the gallery.
	THE COURT: Your marital status?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0003: Single.
	THE COURT: Do you have any children?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0003: Yeah.
	THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you could not be a
	completely fair and impartial juror if you were selected to serve on this panel?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0003: No.
	THE COURT: Thank you; thank you for appearing.
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0003: Okay.
	THE COURT: Mr. Charles Kajkowski, how long have you lived in Clark
	County?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: 27 years.
	THE COURT: Your employment, training, educational background?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: I have an associate's of general
•	science. Trying to currently go to school.
	THE COURT: Right. And you're also in school now and you're studying
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: Engineering civil engineering.
	THE COURT: Civil engineering?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: Yeah.

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to approach the bench and talk about it or are you comfortable --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: I don't -- I don't care.

THE COURT: Okay. Why don't we approach the bench. The Court Marshal will escort you over here.

[Bench Conference -- Transcribed]

THE COURT: Let the record reflect that Mr. Matassa [sic] is present at the bench and both District Attorneys and both Defense Lawyers are present at the bench as well. And you indicated you've been arrested for DUI but -- sorry. I didn't have the noise on, sorry. You indicated you'd been arrested for a DUI but you have not been charged yet?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: Uh huh; yes.

THE COURT: Do you know the difference? What that means?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: They're going to charge me but I have case law.

THE COURT: Okay. First of all, when did you get arrested for the DUI?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: July.

MR. TOMSHECK: Have you been to Court there?

THE COURT: I said Anthony Matassa, you're Matthew Suiter?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: I'm Matthew Suiter.

THE COURT: Okay. So the record needs to reflect --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: I'm sorry, I thought --

THE COURT: -- it's Matthew Suiter that's present at the bench.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: Yes.

THE COURT: I apologize, I said --

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: No; they did a continuance my
2	lawyer did.
3	MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. Do you know which judge you're in front of?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: No.
5	THE COURT: Okay. So you've hired a lawyer?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: Yes.
7	THE COURT: All right. And the lawyer went and made an appearance
8	for you?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: I think no, he just did a continuance.
10	He hasn't gone yet. I think they just motioned for 'cause it was scheduled
11	for August.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Did you get anything in the mail or anything that
13	told you you had to appear in Court?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: Yeah, and that they made a
15	continuance.
16	THE COURT: Okay. What did you receive in the mail that told you had
17	to come to Court?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: At first, I got my thing for the DMV
19	Court.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: And then they proved yeah, that I
22	had to
23	THE COURT: The administrative hearing?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: Yeah, and they took away my license.
25	THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: And then for the criminal trial, my lawyer said they haven't -- they haven't pressed charges yet.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: But I -- I was supposed to have a date and he pushed the date back.

THE COURT: Okay. Which probably means they haven't filed thecharging document yet; they haven't officially charged you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: Okay.

THE COURT: Is that your understanding?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: Yeah; yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And you feel like you weren't treated fairly; is that accurate?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And why don't you tell me -- it was by Metro?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: They would say about CCDC.

THE COURT: Oh, you feel like CCDC didn't treat you very nice?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: Yeah.

THE COURT: You didn't have any problems with the way the Metro officers treated you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: No; I didn't.

THE COURT: Okay. When you got to CCDC, you didn't like that? Okay, tell me what happened.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: I train martial arts.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: And I had all my gear in my car.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: And when I got there -- or when I got pulled over, he asked me why I had that and I told him I trained. And --

THE COURT: The Metro officer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: Yes, the Metro officer.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: When I got into jail, they -- two of the officers were twisting up my arms and stuff, and I actually have a little bit of nerve damage on my thumb from them keeping me in cuffs for two and-a-half hours. They bound me for two and-a-half hours. I didn't cause any problems; I didn't --

THE COURT: Okay. So the officers at CCDC weren't very nice to you? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: No.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: I just -- I'm real hesitant about saying anything because my lawyer told me --

THE COURT: No.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: -- you know, we don't want to do anything about the officers 'cause we're trying and basically maintain a low profile for my criminal trial.

THE COURT: Yeah; I mean, I'm --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: Yeah.

THE COURT: And you're not --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: Uh huh.

THE COURT: -- no one else is hearing this. It's part of the public record.

of Sahara.

THE COURT: Then it's the County. Okay. Then it's the County.

There's no concurrent jurisdiction. If it was south of Sahara, then it's County so | can -- | feel pretty comfortable telling you that it's going to be the District Attorney's Office that's going to prosecute you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: Uh huh.

THE COURT: Now knowing that, do you have a problem with the fact that these people work in the District Attorney's Office and they -- I'm not saying they're going to prosecute you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: Why not?

THE COURT: Okay. They -- you're not part of the DUI Team.

MR. TOMSHECK: No.

THE COURT: Okay. They're not going to prosecute you, but maybe it's their fellow colleagues.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: Uh huh.

THE COURT: Are you going to have any problem with the fact that the person they work for, David Roger, would be the person bringing charges, if any, are brought against you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Can you be fair and impartial to the DA's even though they may be charging you with a criminal offense?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. And as far as you know, the only thing it would be is a misdemeanor?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: Yeah.

THE COURT: There was no injuries alleged?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: No.

THE COURT: Okay. And you were arrested for a misdemeanor?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Does either side have any questions?

MS. PAROLISE: No.

MR. TOMSHECK: We're good.

THE COURT: Okay. Again, I'm sorry I had to ask you that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: That's all okay. I don't know why --

THE COURT: Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: I'm just -- I'm more concerned about not saying anything about being roughed up in jail, you know, because he -- my lawyer just told me not to really bring it -- because we're not going to press charges or anything 'cause I was, at first, and then we're not. Just keep your own --

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: -- you know.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I always tell people to listen to what their lawyers say.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: Uh huh.

THE COURT: But you can tell your lawyer what happened here.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: Uh huh.

THE COURT: -- that it was at the bench, and nobody in the courtroom heard it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: Okav.

THE COURT: Okay?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: All right. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: Okay. [Bench Conference concluded] THE COURT: Okay. Anthony Matassa? Good after --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0010: Good afternoon. THE COURT: Good morning, still. Is it morning or afternoon? It's almost afternoon. Thank you for being here. Can you tell me how long you've lived in Clark County? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0010: 23 years. THE COURT: Your employment, training, educational background? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0010: Shipping associate with Davis Vision. I have my GED. I'm not currently looking for any kind of majors right now. THE COURT: You're not currently looking for any what? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0010: I don't have majors or anything like that right now. THE COURT: Okay. Are you currently enrolled in college? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0010: No. THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0010: Married. THE COURT: Is your wife employed? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0010: No. THE COURT: Do you have any children? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0010: Yes.

THE COURT: Oh, that's right, you have 5 children.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0010: I've got 3 children.	
2	THE COURT: And you have I'm sorry, 3 children, 5 in your family?	
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0010: Yes.	
4	THE COURT: But you have a brand new baby; right?	
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0010: Uh huh.	
6	THE COURT: Okay. So she really does work?	
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0010: Yes.	
8	THE COURT: Okay. And none of your children are old enough to be	
9	employed?	
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0010: No.	
11	THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you could not be a	
12	completely fair and impartial juror if you were selected to serve on this panel?	
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0010: No.	
14	THE COURT: Thank you, sir.	
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0010: Welcome.	
16	THE COURT: Ms. Alvarez. Good morning. How long have you lived in	
17	Clark County?	
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0012: 2 years, 4 months.	
19	THE COURT: Where'd you move from?	
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0012: Arizona Phoenix.	
21	THE COURT: Your educational background?	
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0012: High school diploma and some college	
23	THE COURT: How much college?	
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0012: I just got a business certificate.	
25	THE COURT: Okay. So you got a degree from college?	

[phonetic] Auto Parts. I'm an inventory control and automotive buyer for Import Auto Parts. THE COURT: Okay. Did you make that phone call I asked you to make? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: You didn't ask me to but I did --THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: -- make the call as well, and I was correct; they do not pay for jury services. THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: Single. THE COURT: Do you have any children? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: Yes. THE COURT: You have one child; right? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: I have one at home; yes. THE COURT: Okay. Because I think you told me about --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: Daycare. Yeah. THE COURT: -- child care and you forgot how expensive it is. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. But that child's obviously not school age? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: No; he's three. THE COURT: Okay. Any reason why you could not be a completely fair and impartial juror if selected to serve on this panel? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. Thank you for appearing.

Ms. Victoriano, how long have you lived in Clark County?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0016: Oh, it'll be my fourth year next

December -- this December. THE COURT: Your employment, training, educational background? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0016: I'm an associate manager at Big Lots and it's my fourth year in college at UNLV. I'm majoring in psychology. THE COURT: What do you want to do with your degree? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0016: I'm going to enter into human resource, so I'm going for my graduate training in industrial psychology. THE COURT: Are you going to do that graduate program here at UNLV as well? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0016: No; they don't have one, so I might have to go to another state. THE COURT: Okay. So have you applied for a post graduate program vet? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0016: Not yet. THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0016: I'm still thinking. THE COURT: That's just what you want to do? But you're going to graduate this year with your degree in psychology? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0016: Yes. THE COURT: And then you want to go into human resources? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0016: Uh huh. THE COURT: Is that a yes? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0016: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0016: Single.

THE COURT: Do you have any children? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0016: No. THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you could not be a completely fair and impartial juror if selected to serve? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0016: No. THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you for appearing. Mr. Lampton, how long have you lived in Clark County? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0017: About 9 years. THE COURT: Your employment, training, educational background? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0017: I'm a network engineer for Bally Technologies. I have a bachelor's degree in computers -- security systems. THE COURT: Your marital status? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0017: Single. THE COURT: Do you have any children? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0017: No. THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you could not be a completely fair and impartial juror --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0017: No. THE COURT: -- if selected to serve? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0017: No. THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you for appearing. Mr. Welch, good morning. How long have you lived in Clark County? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0019: 12 years. THE COURT: Your employment, training, educational background?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0019: I work with the City of Las Vegas as a

	II .
1	professional engineer. I have a bachelor's of science
2	THE COURT: In
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0019: In civil engineering.
4	THE COURT: Okay. How long have you worked for the City?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0019: Almost 5 years.
6	THE COURT: Your marital status?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0019: Married.
8	THE COURT: Is your wife employed?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0019: Yeah; she's a high school art teacher.
10	THE COURT: Do you have any children?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0019: No.
12	THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you could not be a
13	completely fair and impartial juror if you were selected to serve on this panel?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0019: No.
15	THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you for appearing. Ms. Perkins, how
16	long have you lived in Clark County?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0021: Six years.
18	THE COURT: Your education background?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0021: High school.
20	THE COURT: Okay. And you told us a little bit about your employment
21	before? You're at the Bellagio?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0021: Bellagio, uh huh.
23	THE COURT: Bellagio. And you are in what you call fire safety?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0021: Uh huh.
25	THE COURT: And I'll let you say it: You're a dispatcher?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0022: No; she's not.

THE COURT: Do you have any children? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0022: I have three. THE COURT: Are they old enough to be employed? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0022: They're in school. THE COURT: Any reason why you could not be a completely fair and impartial juror if you were selected to serve on this panel? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0022: No. THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Ms. Joy Ellis, how long have you lived in Clark County? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: Four years. THE COURT: Three years? Four years? Your educational background? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: Associates degree in liberal arts and a CNA, CHA, and medical assisting license and a manicure license. THE COURT: You're going to have to speak up, ma'am. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: A medical assisting license, a CNA, CHA, and also a manicurist license. THE COURT: And you currently work at Wal-Mart? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: Wal-Mart on North and Sunset. THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: Single. THE COURT: Do you have any children? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: No. THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you could not be a completely fair and impartial juror if selected to serve? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: No.

THE COURT: What teacher do you work with?

lab manager.

THE COURT: Are you married?

1

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0028: Ms. Lance. THE COURT: I'm sorry. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0028: The teacher I work with? THE COURT: Uh huh. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0028: Mrs. Lance. THE COURT: Okay. Any reason why you could not be a completely fair and impartial juror --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0028: No. THE COURT: -- if you were selected to serve on this panel? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0028: I have no reason. THE COURT: Let me ask the question and then you can answer. Is there any reason -- is there any reason you could not be a completely fair and impartial juror if you were selected to serve on this panel? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0028: I have no reason. THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. John Blizard, how long have you lived in Clark County? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0029: 19 years in Nevada THE COURT: Your employment, training, educational background? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0029: I work at the College of Southern Nevada. I have an associates degree in integrated avionics in the Air Force. THE COURT: What do you do at CSN? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0029: Two main jobs. I repair all the equipment in the science departments at all three campuses and I'm a physics

THE COURT: So how many years of college did you take?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0031: Off and on a couple of years.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0031: Because I was in the entertainment
world so
THE COURT: And you studied music? Yes?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0031: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0031: Yes.
THE COURT: You're married?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0031: Yes; I am.
THE COURT: Okay. Is your husband employed?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0031: Yes; he is.
THE COURT: What does he do?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0031: He works at the MGM.
THE COURT: What does he do at the MGM?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0031: He's an engineer.
THE COURT: Do you have any children?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0031: No.
THE COURT: Any reason why you could not be a completely fair and
impartial juror if you were selected to serve on this panel?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0031: I don't think so.
THE COURT: Okay. Well, you're the only one who can tell me. Is there
any reason why you could not be a completely fair and impartial juror?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0031: I would say: There's no reason other

bit.

THE COURT: Lexapro makes you have panic attacks?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0031: Well, I don't know if it's in the hypertension or what, but I've been on -- I've been on -- I would say 15 years, I've been on the high blood pressure medication.

THE COURT: Okay. So you have high blood pressure, you're on medication for that, and you're on medication for Lexapro. Does the Lexapro or the high blood pressure medication interfere with your ability to pay attention and listen to the evidence?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0031: I wouldn't -- I'm okay as long as I'm taking it.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0031: You know, but --

THE COURT: As long as you're taking what?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0031: Again, I do have panic attacks.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0031: And --

THE COURT: But as long as you're taking your Lexapro, you don't have the panic attacks?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0031: Right.

THE COURT: Okay. So you'll continue to take your Lexapro?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0031: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And if you'll have any problems you'll let me know; right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0031: Yes; absolutely.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else that would impede your ability to be

1	THE COURT: Is your wife employed?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0032: No.
3	THE COURT: Do you have any children?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0032: Yes. She's not living in the home but
5	she works at the Golden Nugget.
6	THE COURT: Okay. I'm sorry.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0032: She works at the Golden Nugget, my
8	daughter. I have one daughter.
9	THE COURT: Oh, you have one daughter and she's old enough to be
10	employed and she works at the Golden Nugget?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0032: Right. Yes.
12	THE COURT: Okay. What does she do there?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0032: She's a poker dealer.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Do you know of any reason why you could not be a
15	completely fair and impartial juror if you were selected to serve?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0032: No, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Thank you very much for being here.
18	Katelyn Kraut. How long have you lived in Clark County?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0033: 15 years.
20	THE COURT: Your employment, training, educational background?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0033: I am a junior at UNLV majoring in
22	events and convention management and I'm a returns cashier for the Home
23	Depot.
24	THE COURT: Your marital status?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0033: Single.

1	THE COURT: Do you have any children?	
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0033: No.	
3	THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you could not be a	
4	completely fair and impartial juror?	
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0033: No.	
6	THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you for being here.	
7	Kathleen Paris. Good morning. How long have you lived in Clark	
8	County?	
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0034: 10 years.	
10	THE COURT: Your employment, training, educational background?	
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0034: School graduate.	
12	THE COURT: What do you do for a living?	
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0034: I'm an office manager at Friendly Ford	
14	THE COURT: Office manager for?	
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0034: Friendly Ford.	
16	THE COURT: Your marital status?	
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0034: Married.	
18	THE COURT: Is your husband employed?	
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0034: Yes; he's a plumber.	
20	THE COURT: Do you have any children?	
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0034: Four.	
22	THE COURT: Are any of those children old enough to be employed?	
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0034: Yes.	
24	THE COURT: Can you tell us what they do for a living?	
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0034: Two of them work at Camelback Inn	

1	in Phoenix, one works at a convenience store, and one is in the Army.	
2	THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you could not be a	
3	completely fair and impartial juror if you were selected to serve on this pane	
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0034: No.	
5	THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you for being here.	
6	Ms. Fort, how long have you lived in Clark County?	
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: 39 and-a-half years.	
8	THE COURT: Your employment, training, educational background?	
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Ninth grade, divorced, cocktail	
10	waitress.	
11	THE COURT: Okay. Just a minute. Ninth grade education and you wor	
12	as a cocktail waitress?	
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Yes.	
14	THE COURT: You're not married?	
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Yes.	
16	THE COURT: Do you have any children?	
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Yes.	
18	THE COURT: And	
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Two.	
20	THE COURT: Two children? Are they old enough to be employed?	
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Yes.	
22	THE COURT: Can you tell us what they do for a living?	
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: My son's a floor manager at Red Rock	
24	Casino. My daughter is a manager at Sunrise Hospital.	
25	THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you could not be a	

completely fair and impartial juror if you were selected to serve on this panel? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Fort.

Okay. These questions are going to be for the panel as a whole, for the first 23, so if you'd like to answer this question -- although I wish I had all your names memorized; I don't. So, you'll need to state your name and your badge number. Are there any of you that have ever served as a juror before and you have not already told the Court of that?

Anyone on the first 23 that has ever served as a juror before? Sir, your name and badge number.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: Charles Kajkowski --

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: -- 0004. I've been -- I've been to this portion of the jury selection but I've never sat on a trial --

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: -- like this.

THE COURT: Anything about that last process that would affect your ability to be impartial if selected to serve in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: No.

THE COURT: Thank you. Anyone else that's ever served as a juror before? The record will reflect, no response from the panel. Have you or anyone close to you, such as a family member or friend, ever been the victim of a crime? Okay, we'll start over here.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0021: Gloria Perkins, 0021. I've had my car stolen a couple times.

1	THE COURT: Here in Clark County?	
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0021: Yes.	
3	THE COURT: What was the result of having your car stolen a couple of	
4	times? Did they find it	
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0021: Yes; it's found.	
6	THE COURT: Okay. Did they find who did it?	
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0021: No.	
8	THE COURT: Okay. Anything about those experiences that would affec	
9	your ability to be fair and impartial?	
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0021: No.	
11	THE COURT: Thank you. Go ahead, ma'am.	
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0008: Ms. Jolene Parmer and it my badge	
13	number is 0008. My sister has had her car broken into. They didn't find out	
14	who it was and she also had her car stolen. They also don't know who did	
15	that. They eventually found it.	
16	THE COURT: Okay. And did both of these things happen here in Clark	
17	County?	
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0008: Yeah.	
19	THE COURT: Anything about what happened to your sister that would	
20	affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?	
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0008: No.	
22	THE COURT: Thank you. Anyone else? Go ahead, ma'am.	
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0012: Mayra, my badge number is 04-0012.	
24	Also, my mom's car was stolen; that was in Arizona in Phoenix.	

THE COURT: Anything about that that would affect your ability to be fair

25

and impartial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0012: No.

THE COURT: Thank you. Anyone else over here? Go ahead, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0017: Robert Lampton, 0017. I had a car broken into many years ago in California. It was deemed by the police not high priority enough to take a report or anything.

THE COURT: Okay. So your car was broken into but they won't even take a police report?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0017: Right.

THE COURT: Okay. That make you mad?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0017: At the time.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything about that that would make -- that would interfere with your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0017: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir. Anyone else over here? Over here? Go ahead, Ms. Ellis.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: 0025, Joy Ellis. I've been burglarized.

THE COURT: Okay. You're going to have to speak up.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: Oh, I've been burglarized.

THE COURT: What does that mean to you; you've been burglarized?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: Well, I trusted this person as a roommate and he stole a lot of things from me and sold them to the pawn shop and I'm waiting for it.

THE COURT: Okay. Just a minute. You had a roommate that stole things from you and sold them to a pawn shop?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: Uh huh. 1 THE COURT: Okay. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: And I'm waiting to be subpoenaed into 3 Court so it's, you know, it's in the process. 4 THE COURT: Okay. So you reported it to police? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: Oh yes. 6 THE COURT: And they arrested your roommate? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: Well, he's up for arrest. 8 THE COURT: Okay. He hasn't been arrested. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: They have a warrant out for him 10 already. 11 THE COURT: Okay. He's not your roommate anymore? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: No. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: He was a friend, you know, but, you 15 know. I trusted him. 16 THE COURT: How long ago was that? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: Within this year. 18 THE COURT: Were you happy with the way the police investigated it? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: Yes. 20 THE COURT: And if they arrest him and they go to trial, you plan on 21 cooperating? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: Yes; I'll be subpoenaed and they 23 already told me -- from the DA's office -- they already sent me a letter. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Somebody from the DA's office sent you a letter?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: Uh huh.

THE COURT: Okay. What did the letter say?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: It said: Just, anytime, that I might be subpoensed in for the trial.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything about the fact that you have an ongoing case that affects you personally that would interfere with your inability to be fair and impartial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: Not really.

THE COURT: Okay. And you've also said the District Attorney is prosecuting your roommate, to which, you're contending you were the victim; correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: Oh, yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything about that that would cause you to not be fair to Mr. Collins cause these prosecutors are handling the case --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: No.

THE COURT: -- against your roommate?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: This -- mine is totally different.

THE COURT: Okay. So you can separate the two?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: Yeah.

THE COURT: And you can judge this case based solely upon the evidence that you hear in this case and the instructions on the law and nothing else?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: Correct.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Anyone else? Go ahead.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0031: Yes; I have a question.

1	THE COURT: Your name and badge number.	
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0031: It is 04-0031.	
3	THE COURT: Ms. Griner. Go ahead.	
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0031: Yeah, I did have a home invasion in	
5		
6	THE COURT: How long ago was that?	
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0031: It was actually about 8 in the about	
8	1984.	
9	THE COURT: Okay. What happened?	
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0031: I came up from my basement and	
11	children were in my house, one in the kitchen and one at the stairway; and they	
12	had guns pointed at me.	
13	THE COURT: Ooh.	
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0031: And I was fortunate enough to get out	
15	of my house; I ran	
16	THE COURT: Okay.	
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0031: to my next door neighbor's so, you	
18	know, that was pretty traumatizing.	
19	THE COURT: Oh, sure. Did the police get involved?	
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0031: Yes.	
21	THE COURT: Okay. And did they investigate it?	
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0031: They investigated it and I went to the	
23	line-up. I wasn't able to identify anybody on the line-up so	
24	THE COURT: Okay. So it was never solved?	
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0031: It was never solved.	

THE COURT: Okay. To this day it wasn't -- it's not solved? No? Is that a no?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0031: It is not solved.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Anything about that that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial if selected in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0031: I don't -- no. It won't affect me.

THE COURT: Okay. You can separate the two?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0031: I can.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Anyone else? Go ahead, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0032: Joseph Sands, 0032. My wife was held up at gunpoint in California in the 80's. I can't remember what year, '84, '85; somewhere around there. And my brother, several months ago, had his vehicle vandalized.

THE COURT: Anything about those two incidents that would affect your ability --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0032: You know --

THE COURT: -- to be fair and impartial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0032: Not really. The only -- the vandalism was slashed tires and I recall that being mentioned in the -- at the beginning here, so, I just wanted -- thought you should know that.

THE COURT: Okay. I appreciate that because, you know, the State has made certain allegations and you've heard them; correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0032: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: The State has made certain allegations.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0032: Yes; right.

25

THE COURT: And you heard them; correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0032: Yes.

THE COURT: Is the fact that your brother had something similar happen to his car -- you said his vehicle had the tires slashed; is that correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0032: Yes.

THE COURT: Is that correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0032: Yes; that's correct.

THE COURT: Is that going to affect your ability to be fair and impartial in any way?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0032: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir. Anyone else?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: 0035. I was in --

THE COURT: Ms. Fort.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Vickie Fort.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: I was in an abusive relationship --

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: -- in California. They did try to go after him. He lit the house on fire. I had to get permission to come from California, Orange County, and La Habra Police Department to get permission from the Court to come here from Los Angeles -- to come here to Las Vegas with my children. They were taken away and it was because of him, and they tried to prosecute him but we got divorced. His second wife killed him.

THE COURT: Och.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: It was a very bad relation.

leq?

THE COURT: Okay.	So you were in an abusive relationship in Californ	าia-
PROSPECTIVE JURO	R NO. 0035: Yes.	

THE COURT: -- which resulted in you asking the Court for permission to come here to Nevada?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Right.

THE COURT: Which is why you came to Nevada?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And you also contended your former husband burned your house down?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. But he was not prosecuted for that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: No, because when they took the children, he threatened me that if I testified against him, my children would pay and my son end up in the hospital with a broken leg, and I wouldn't testify against him.

THE COURT: Okay. He threatened you that if he went to Court and testified against him, he would harm your children?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: And my son was hospitalized. They were taken away. He broke my son's leg. This was in La Habra in Orange County.

THE COURT: Oh.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: And I wouldn't testify against him.

THE COURT: Okay. So during this same timeframe, he broke your son's

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: As a warning.
THE COURT: As a warning to you?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Then he got married to somebody else and she
killed him?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: His second wife married somebody
else and she shot him.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: For the same thing, abusive.
THE COURT: Okay. Anything about all that that would affect your
ability to be fair and impartial in this case?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: No.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: No.
THE COURT: You can separate the two?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Yes.
THE COURT: I mean, 'cause that's a lot of issues that you have
discussed with us.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Yeah.
THE COURT: Okay. And you can separate the two and be fair and
impartial to the State and Mr. Collins?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0035: Yes.

have you or anyone close to you such as a family member, friend, ever been accused of a crime -- ever been accused of a crime? The record will reflect -- other than if you've discussed it with the Court. The record will reflect, no response from the panel.

Is there anyone on this panel who would have a tendency to give

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. At this time -- let me see --

Is there anyone on this panel who would have a tendency to give more weight or credence or less weight or credence to the testimony of a police officer simply because he or she is a police officer? The record will reflect, no response from the panel.

Is there anyone on this panel who believes that they cannot wait in forming their opinion as to whether the State of Nevada has met their burden of proof, as to the Defendant, until you've heard all of the evidence. And after considering the instructions I will give you on the law; is there anyone that cannot do that? The record will reflect, no response from the panel. At this time, I'm turning the panel over to the State of Nevada.

MS. JONES: Your Honor, may we approach?

MR. TOMSHECK: She beat me to it.

[Bench Conference -- Transcribed]

THE COURT: Okay. It's 12:30 so you'll have 30 minutes.

MR. TOMSHECK: 12:30. I only got 12:15.

MS. JONES: I have 12:15.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm confused because I'm looking at my watch which I pushed back. This clock says: 1:21, so it must be 12:21. [Daylight savings time was not modified in the JAVS system at the time of this trial, so the actual time shown on the video reflects an hour ahead. The video clock

time was used, throughout this transcript, in order to coincide with the transcript].

MR. TOMSHECK: We're 20 minutes over for lunch then.

THE COURT: Okay. I mean, what the heck, you know, I'm so confused because I keep looking at that clock and it's adjusted for --

MS. JONES: It's daylight saving.

THE COURT: Okay. So are you good with that? You can --

MR. TOMSHECK: Sure.

THE COURT: You might be done even.

MR. TOMSHECK: I'll be done.

THE COURT: Are you voir diring?

MR. TOMSHECK: I'm trying not to.

THE COURT: Okay. Maybe both sides will be done and then when we come back, you can just do your challenges. We do have to stop at 1 though.

MS. JONES: Okay.

MS. PAROLISE: And, Your Honor, we move to challenge Ms. Fort again-recalls now she's saying --

THE COURT: I'm sorry.

MS. PAROLISE: -- she had her house burned down.

THE COURT: I don't mean to laugh, but, can you believe it?

MS. JONES: No.

THE COURT: I don't know what to say because I just almost could hardly believe what she was saying to me and I know -- I was noticing your expressions as well. But she hasn't said anything that would allow me to excuse her for cause unless the State wants to stipulate at this point.

THE COURT: And so -- just a minute before you go. The record reflect,

both DA's and both Defense counsel are present at the bench. The challenge for cause was made as to Vickie Fort. There's no objection by the State; the State stipulates. The challenge for cause is granted.

MS. JONES: Thank you Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

[Bench Conference concluded]

THE COURT: Ms. Fort, at this time, I'm going to ask that you sit where the Court Marshal asked you to sit. Mr. Humphries, I'm going to ask you to sit over here in this seat. Mr. Humphries, you're going to become number 23. And I just have a few questions for you, Mr. Humphries, and I'm sure you've listened to them all.

Can you tell me how long you've lived in Clark County?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: I've been -- 13 years.

THE COURT: Your education background?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: High school grad with about a year of college.

THE COURT: What did you study in college?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Business.

THE COURT: Okay. And you told us what you do for a living? How long have you worked at the Mandalay Bay?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Seven years.

THE COURT: And you're married?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Yes.

THE COURT: Is your wife employed?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Yes; she works for the Clark County

School District as a temporary teacher's aide for handicapped children. 1 THE COURT: And do you have any children? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: I have three stepchildren. 3 THE COURT: Are they old enough to be employed? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Yes; the oldest is unemployed and 5 living off his girlfriend. The next one is special ed and he does set-ups for 6 Planet Hollywood, and my daughter works at Circus Circus as a ride attendant 7 at thunderdome or -- whatever it's called. 8 THE COURT: Any reason why you could not be a completely fair and 9 impartial juror if selected to serve on this panel? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: No. 11 THE COURT: I'm sorry. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: No. 13 THE COURT: Have you ever served as a juror before? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: I was an alternate at one time. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Was that a civil or criminal? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: That's criminal. 17 THE COURT: Was that here in Clark County? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Yes; it was. 19 THE COURT: Okay. So you did not deliberate? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: No. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Anything about that experience that would affect 22 your ability to be fair and impartial? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: No. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Has anyone close to you such as a family member 25

or friend ever been the victim of a crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Yes.

THE COURT: Can you tell us about that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Well, I've been a victim of several crimes at work: assault and battery.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Back in late '92, early '93, I was robbed at gunpoint. My wife had her purse stolen. My daughter just -- for the past year, got attacked by a group of girls and had her purse and wallet stolen.

THE COURT: At work or someplace else?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Nah, by the house.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything about those incidents you just told us about that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Have you or anyone close to you such as a family member or friend ever been accused of a crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Would you have a tendency to give more weight or credence or less weight or credence to the testimony of a police officer simply because he or she is a police officer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: That's a trick question. No.

THE COURT: Okay. Can you wait in forming your opinion as to whether the State of Nevada has met their burden of proof as to each of the material elements of the charges against Mr. Collins, and after you've heard all of the evidence and considering the instructions that I will give you on the law?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. At this time, I'm going to turn the panel over to the State of Nevada.

MR. TOMSHECK: Thanks, Judge. Just going to move a little furniture over here. You guys all get here at 7 o'clock this morning? You're about all ready for lunch? Well, I promise I won't take long and I think we're going to break pretty soon. I just have a few questions to ask you. Kind of, as a whole, when I talk to the panel, I'm just talking to the first 23, just like the Judge -- you people are kind of off the hook for now.

I'm assuming that when you all showed up at 7 o'clock this morning it was because -- not that you woke up today and thought it would be a great idea to come down and be a juror, but you got one of those summons' in the mail; right? Which is kind of like the County's invitation to bring all of you down here. And I guess the question I have for you is -- I mean, we've heard a lot of factual things about you. I mean, I'd like to know how you felt about getting those summons.

Was there anyone -- when they got the summons in the mail that thought -- and I know there will be a lot of hands for this one, but that thought that it would be great to be a juror? You're really excited about it, you wanted to come down and serve in this process? Okay. More than normal.

I'm just going to ask each of you that raised your hand, I'm going to go -- through you one by one and ask why? The back row who raised their hand?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0008: I did.

MR. TOMSHECK: And for the record, that's -- is it Ms. Parmer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0008: Yes.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. And what's your badge number?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0008: It is 0008.

MR. TOMSHECK: You don't have to stand up. If you can just remember to talk loud. There's microphones in front of all of you. And I'm a mumbler, so I got to remind myself to talk loud. If you can do that, you're fine.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0008: Okay.

MR. TOMSHECK: I might take notes while you're talking to me. I'm not ignoring you, I'm just keeping tabs on what you say. Why did you have that feeling when you got your summons in the mail?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0008: Because I've only seen stuff like this on tv and I've always just wondered what it was really like.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. When you say stuff like this on tv; what are you talking about?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0008: Courtroom.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. Are you talking about like, fictional shows, like Hollywood shows, or real courtroom stuff?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0008: Hollywood.

MR. TOMSHECK: What kind of shows?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0008: Law and Order. I've seen some CSI stuff. I've watched -- I guess they're not Hollywood -- the ones -- I can't think of any judges. Like Judge Judy kind of -- those, I guess, aren't fictional.

MR. TOMSHECK: More entertainment type shows than real life type things; right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0008: Yeah; those aren't entertaining to me though.

MR. TOMSHECK: And I'm sure, you know, the stuff you see on Law and Order isn't at all real; right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0008: Yeah.

MR. TOMSHECK: You know these cases don't take an hour.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0008: Yeah; I know that.

MR. TOMSHECK: And if you've seen CSI, you know that that's about as far from reality as you can get; right? Yeah?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0008: Uh huh; yes.

MR. TOMSHECK: They don't have big computers that pull things out of the sky and get people's name's in two minutes and things like that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0008: Yes.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. Can you separate what you see on to with what you actually see in the courtroom, this week?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0008: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. You won't hold us to that high of a standard?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0008: No.

MR. TOMSHECK: You won't expect us to be really good looking and smooth all the time?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0008: No.

MR. TOMSHECK: All right. Anyone else in the back row that is really excited about getting a summons to come down here? And I believe in the second row here, is it Ms. Gulder? Golden?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0014: Gulder.

MR. TOMSHECK: Golden? I can't read my own writing, just want to get started. Did you raise your hand?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0014: Yes; I did.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. Why?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0014: Well, being in education and just because I love to learn. I've always thought it was an honor, and in the past, I've always gotten jury summons and then, like the night before, my number wasn't called, and so, it just became kind of habit where I was expecting it again.

And although I've always looked forward to doing jury duty, I was kind of caught off guard, this time, and I wasn't prepared at work to -- to not be there; but I'm glad I'm here.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. You got work covered now; right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0014: Yes.

MR. TOMSHECK: Not a classroom that's full of kids with no teacher there?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0014: Yes.

MR. TOMSHECK: What grade do you teach?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0014: I'm K through 5th. I'm the reading specialist. I don't have a specific class. I help everyone.

MR. TOMSHECK: And do you work with individual students or more in a classroom setting?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0014: Both.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. Kind of, in that capacity, helping people with reading problems?

. •

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0014: Yeah.

MR. TOMSHECK: Helping them to learn to read at the level they should? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0014: Yes.

MR. TOMSHECK: Thank you. Anyone else on the second row here? Ms. Young?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: Yes.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. And what's your badge number, for the record? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: 0015.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. Why did you have that feeling?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: Well, I'm addicted to forensics. That show -- I love it. I love the facts. Everything is so interesting, how everybody can figure it all out, and then you just get to see it right before you, and I thought: Hey, that would be pretty cool to go and do it. And then I had that feeling of: Oh man, this sucks, you know. You always have a good feeling or a bad feeling. I just like the crime shows and not the ones that are not reality but the ones are -- they take a cold case and they solve it.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. You're talking about kind of like the A & E type shows --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: Yes.

MR. TOMSHECK: -- where they have like a 1985 murder and they -- PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: Yes.

MR. TOMSHECK: -- find a hair and link it to somebody sometime later? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: Yes.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. And obviously, the facts, if you're picked to be on a jury, you're going to hear what the facts are. But from what I briefly told

you earlier, you understand this isn't that type of case. 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: Correct. 2 MR. TOMSHECK: You still think it can be interesting? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: Yeah. 4 MR. TOMSHECK: And if you're picked, will you do your best to be 5 conscientious and listen to all the facts? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: Absolutely. 7 MR. TOMSHECK: You think you can be fair to both sides? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: Yes. 9 MR. TOMSHECK: Anybody else in the jury box here? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0008: I wanted to answer that. 11 MR. TOMSHECK: And for the record, it's Ms. Parmer again. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0008: Yes, Ms. Parmer. 13 MR. TOMSHECK: And your jury number? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0008: 0008. 15 MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. Thank you. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0008: I'm thinking about switching my major 17 to criminal justice, like, in that field, so learning this is something that'll help me 18 decide if I really want to go in that area. 19 MR. TOMSHECK: What's your major now? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0008: I don't have a major right now; I'm 21 just going for my associate's of arts. 22 MR. TOMSHECK: Okav. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0008: Just like my general studies, basically. 24 MR. TOMSHECK: Yeah, once you get those -- couple years under your 25

belt, you'll pick a major and go with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0008: Yeah.

MR. TOMSHECK: That's the way I did it too. In terms of -- when you talk about picking that major, are you talking about, like, a criminal justice type thing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0008: I'm not sure if it's technically that.

I've thought about working in a forensics lab or working with sexually exploited children.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. So more law enforcement or supportive law enforcement.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0008: More psychology, but it's kind of in that -- it's -- to me, it's still kind of in that area.

MR. TOMSHECK: As opposed to legal in a courtroom setting?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0008: Yeah. It's still interesting to know how this aspect works too.

MR. TOMSHECK: Thank you for raising your hand. Anyone else in the front row here? I think it was Ms. Paris.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0034: Paris.

MR. TOMSHECK: Did I get that right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0034: Uh huh.

MR. TOMSHECK: Why did you look forward to getting your jury summons?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0034: Well, I can't say I was really excited, but I just think it would be an honor and it would really be interesting.

MR. TOMSHECK: And you're one of those people that has to talk a little

bit louder because Kerry's going to have to type all of this down at some point; okay?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0034: I'm sorry.

MR. TOMSHECK: That's all right. When you say it would be an honor, you mean, to do your civic duty to be a juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0034: Yes.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. And that's something you understand is important?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0034: Yes.

MR. TOMSHECK: All right. So I trust that you're given that honor and if you're picked to be one of the people that sit up here in the jury, you'd do your best to be fair to both sides?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0034: Yes.

MR. TOMSHECK: You think you could do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0034: Yes.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. Thank you for your response. Anybody else that wanted to answer that question? Just in the first 23. All right. See, this is how I trick all of you. I'm going to get all you to answer the question one way or another. This is the moment the hands always go up. Who, when they got their jury summons, thought the exact opposite?

I really don't want to go to Court; I don't want to be a juror; it's going to waste my time; it's going to cost me money. Okay. You don't have to be reluctant to raise your hands. I think, probably, all of us understand why that feeling is. Just that -- I just want to ask a couple of you why? Is it Ms. Perks?

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0003: Yes.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. Why?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0003: Just never been in and it would be a long process. Don't know what to expect.

MR. TOMSHECK: Do you understand, like Ms. Paris was talking about, that this is an important thing. That we need jurors like yourself, out in the community, to make these types of decisions? You understand that if you're picked to do that that's a very important responsibility?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0003: Yes.

MR. TOMSHECK: And if we do pick you to do that, you don't think you'd have any problems intellectually or philosophically, sitting in that seat;

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0003: Right.

MR. TOMSHECK: If you're picked to do it, you'll do your best?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0003: Correct.

MR. TOMSHECK: And you'll be fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0003: Yeah.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. You're going to have to help me with your last

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: Kajkowski.

MR. TOMSHECK: Kajkowski?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: That's far enough.

MR. TOMSHECK: I have one of those last names too so --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: Okay.

MR. TOMSHECK: And you kind of told us why; right?

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

17

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: Well, you know, work is a problem and all that, but what -- this time has just really kind of set me over the edge to where, I just didn't want to do it all is -- first I got the questionnaire from the Federal Court System for jury duty and then they wanted me to do the whole selection, and so, I had to call the night before. And they're like: Okay, you're postponed -- reschedule to another day. Get that next one. Okay. You've been rescheduled or you've been excused. Okay. Fine.

Same thing happened with this one. I got summoned before. They had to reschedule me to this one, so it's been like this ongoing process where I've received like five of these things since last year. So this time, it's just like: Please, just leave me alone, you know, it's -- it's just -- it's going on forever it seems.

It's like, summons me to a jury, put me on there, do the selection; that's fine. But when it's like every other month you're opening up something from the court system -- when will it end, you know?

MR. TOMSHECK: Well, this might help alleviate things for you. There's kind of a rule that you're picked to be in a county jury, you get a time period where you don't have to come back for awhile; do you understand that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: Yeah.

MR. TOMSHECK: And if you understand that everybody's going to eventually get one of those summons, let me ask you, wouldn't you rather be on a case that takes two or three days rather than two or three weeks or two or three months?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: Yeah; of course.

MR. TOMSHECK: And you sound like the type of guy who's got some

life experience, you work full time, you have a -- you know, you're married, you have -- you're going through an educational process. You have the college degree.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: It's an associate's degree. I still need the bachelor's though.

MR. TOMSHECK: Would you agree that you're the type of person that we'd like to have on the jury? Someone who's got a life experience that can offer a clean mind to the process?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: Probably.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. All that being said, can you put that aside that-PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: Absolutely. I mean, it's frustrating -life is frustrating; everything's going to be at some point and time.

Something's going to -- so yeah, it's -- we got to deal with that, but yeah, it's-- I could separate the two. It's not a problem.

MR. TOMSHECK: And you understand, like, your neighbor, Ms. Perks, there said that this is an important process and you could be a part of it if you're picked?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: Right.

MR. TOMSHECK: And you'd do your best?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: Uh huh.

MR. TOMSHECK: And you'd be fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: Yes.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. Anyone else in the box up here that had that feeling -- they really dreaded getting a jury summons that hasn't talked already?

Mr. Suiter, why?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0010: 0010.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0010: When I first got it in the mail, I thought I was in trouble. I thought I was like -- happened to -- it -- tell by the marshal or something. But other than that, it just -- I didn't really want to be here at the time because of having to take care of my family and, you know, just, hardship really, and not having much time, you know.

MR. TOMSHECK: You have a guilty conscious? You did something wrong? Should we worry about you? Once you figured out what it really was and that it was a summons and everybody gets to come to Court. I mean, you understand that that's an important thing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0010: Yes.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. How old are your kids?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0010: I have a newborn and I have a 9-year-old son. He's actually my stepson and then I have a 3-year-old boy.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. The 3-year-old and the newborn, are they sleeping through the night?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0010: Yes.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. So we don't have to worry about you coming in here zombie like; right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0010: No.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. So you'd -- if you're picked, you think you could listen to the evidence and make a fair, impartial decision, based on what you hear?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0010: Yes.

missing shifts or things like that, weighing on your mind while you're here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0021: No. MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. And provided you can get used to that -- being awake during the day part of it. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0021: Right. I'm a vampire. MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. You'll do your best if you're picked to stay awake and pay attention? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0021: Of course. MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate that. Mr. Lampton, you had raised your hand before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0017: Yeah; it's mostly kind of a sequence. I got a summons back in September. Called 5 days before -- called the day before it was postponed. Got a failure to appear notice after that, had to call up and schedule to be here now, and it's just kind of turned into the most busy time year, it was. MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. And you said you work at Bally's; is that it? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0017: At Bally Technologies. MR. TOMSHECK: Okav. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0017: It's different from the casino. MR. TOMSHECK: Is that -- okay. What does Bally Technologies do? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0017: We make the games. MR. TOMSHECK: Which games? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0017: Casino games. MR. TOMSHECK: Like slot machines and --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0017: Slot machines, tables.

MR. TOMSHECK: So your job, on an everyday basis, is what?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0017: I'm a network engineer, so I design,
maintain the global network.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. That sounds computerish to me.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0017: Yeah; very. I don't actually do much with the games.

MR. TOMSHECK: That's -- might as well be talking French; I don't know what you're talking about. Do you spend time in the casinos or are you in an office somewhere?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0017: Mostly in the office.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. Do you have any interaction with the employees at casinos and the people that install the games and run the games on the floor of the casinos?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0017: That work for the casinos; no. Our company does have some stacks and people go out and do installs. Coworkers work on the network aspect of this, at times, but not typically.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. You had also talked about -- you had also had your car broken into in the past?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0017: Yeah; it was probably about 10 years ago.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. That was somewhere else, not here; right? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0017: That was in California.

MR. TOMSHECK: And you called the cops and they didn't even bother coming out?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0017: Right. They just said it wasn't --

wasn't something that they could send somebody out for. 1 MR. TOMSHECK: I have to imagine that was probably pretty frustrating? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0017: Oh, yeah. I had to replace a window. 3 MR. TOMSHECK: And the fact that the police didn't really give you the 4 time of day; was that frustrating too? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0017: Oh, yeah; yeah. 6 MR. TOMSHECK: You understand that the cops in California probably do 7 things a little bit different than the cops in Las Vegas? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0017: Very much so; yeah. 9 MR. TOMSHECK: And it might sound like a silly question but I have to 10 ask. You certainly wouldn't hold it against the State or the police here because 11 of your frustration with the police in California? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0017: No; no. 13 MR. TOMSHECK: Can you be fair to both sides? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0017: Yeah. 15 MR. TOMSHECK: And you can promise us you'll do that? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0017: Absolutely. 17 MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. Is it Mr. Welch? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0019: Yes. 19 MR. TOMSHECK: You work for the City of Las Vegas? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0019: City of Las Vegas. 21 MR. TOMSHECK: Do you have any other interaction with the other 22 municipalities in Clark County? Like North Las Vegas, Henderson? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0019: 1 do. 24 MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. Through that role, are you familiar with any 25

police officers that might work for any of those jurisdictions? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0019: I do know a police officer from City of 2 North Las Vegas that's married to a friend of mine. 3 MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. Not one of the names I read --4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0019: Not one of the names. 5 MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. And you understand that if a police officer from 6 North Las Vegas comes in and testifies, you couldn't talk to your friend's wife 7 or husband or whoever it is about that person? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0019: I do. 9 MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. You'd just have to listen to the evidence that 10 you hear in Court and make a determination based on what you see and hear. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0019: Correct. 12 MR. TOMSHECK: And you can do that? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0019: Yes. 14 MR. TOMSHECK: And you could promise us you'd be fair? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0019: Yes. 16 MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. Ms. Victorino? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0016: Victoriano. 18 MR. TOMSHECK: Victoriano? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0016: Yeah. 20 MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. You mentioned before that you have a little bit 21 22 left at UNLV; right? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0016: Uh huh. 23 MR. TOMSHECK: Is that a yes? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0016: Yes. 25

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. And you're dealing with a psychology major? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0016: Yes.

MR. TOMSHECK: Can I ask you why?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0016: Well, I started psychology in order to actually get into the FBI, but I changed my mind later on when I started working at Big Lots and there was a lot of human resource conflicts, so I decided to change.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. What was your interest with the FBI?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0016: Actually, I just wanted to get into the core investigations and actually being an agent, but I thought maybe I could do forensics.

MR. TOMSHECK: Do you have an interest in law enforcement and that type of thing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0016: Actually, I do. During my college prep school back in New York, I took a lot of criminal justice classes and we had to do mock trials. We had to watch real trials and understand the procedures.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. So I would imagine this would probably be interesting for someone who has that kind of interest.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0016: Yes.

MR. TOMSHECK: And why did you come from New York to that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0016: I moved here with my family because they bought a house here, and so, I just decided to go to UNLV here instead of John G. College over there.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. So how did you feel when you got your summons in the mail?

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0016: Mutual. I was [indiscernible due to cough of another person] in how it would go.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. It's kind of a good segue. My last question I always ask to get everyone to answer is -- who got their jury summons and felt completely neutral about it? I just got about everybody. Is it Mr. Jonas?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0001: Yeah.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. Why?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0001: Oh, I was a little surprised. I've been here seven years and never got one but I just -- I don't know, figured it would happen sooner or later.

MR. TOMSHECK: Must have had to stay in front of your mail or something.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0001: No; I didn't.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. Let me ask you this, when I stood up a little while ago and I read the charges: Arson and burglary. A charge dealing with an injury to a vehicle. Malicious Injury to a Vehicle is the term of it. Did that make you feel any way, think anything, or was that just words that I was saying?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0001: Well, not anything in particular.

MR. TOMSHECK: So nothing about that made you think this could be a fun case to sit on? Those charges sound bad?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0001: Well, nah, I mean nothing -- it sounds like it's going to be a short case but that's --

MR. TOMSHECK: That's good; right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0001: Yeah. Sure.

MR. TOMSHECK: Thank you. Ms. Alvarez, you raised your hand to that question as well?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0012: Yes.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. Why is it you were indifferent to the jury summons?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0012: Well, it doesn't affect me personal or businesswise.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. You mentioned you work for Citibank? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0012: Yes.

MR. TOMSHECK: And you're the person that, when, I call on my credit card bill and I don't agree with what that person's saying, you get on the phone?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0012: Yes.

MR. TOMSHECK: I think I've talked to you before. The work that you do, you kind of have to deal with conflict resolution a little bit?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0012: Yes.

MR. TOMSHECK: Yes? Should you be picked as a juror, at some point, you're going to have to walk out the back door and go into a jury room and deliberate with other jurors. Do you think your professional experience would help or hurt you with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0012: No; I mean, I think it would help.

MR. TOMSHECK: Why?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0012: Well, I mean, I deal -- my deal -- I deal with all kinds of people. I mean, happy people, angry people. And people tell me they love you; people tell me they hate me when I'm done with the call. So

I -- I cannot answer that yet, because I don't know, you know, about the case. I don't know how it's going to end until I get in a situation with the jurors. 2 MR. TOMSHECK: Do you think you'd be a good juror? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0012: Yes; absolutely. 4 5 MR. TOMSHECK: Would you be a fair juror? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0012: Oh, yes. 6 MR. TOMSHECK: Thank you, Ms. Alvarez. Mr. Reed, you haven't had a 7 lot to say back there. How did you feel when you got your jury summons? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0022: Well, I moved from Chicago. I lived 9 there 40 years and never got summoned. I've lived here 7 years and they sent 10 it three times so it's --11 MR. TOMSHECK: How long have you been in Las Vegas? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0022: About 7 years. 13 MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. And you came straight from Chicago? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0022: That's correct. 15 MR. TOMSHECK: This question's going to tell me a lot about you, are 16 you a Cubs fan or Sock's fan? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0022: South side Socks. 18 MR. TOMSHECK: Oh oh. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0022: We won the series -- kinda challenge 20 21 so --22 MR. TOMSHECK: What's that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0022: We won the series, the Cubs are still 23 waiting so --24 MR. TOMSHECK: Yeah; I watched; I know. Do you think you would be 25

a good juror if you were picked?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0022: I believe so.

THE COURT: Okay. What makes you think that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0022: Well, currently in my role at U. S. Bank, I manage 120 people from the age of 19 to 56, so different nationalities and genders and I make it all come together for my district.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. Did you work for U. S. Bank in Chicago? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0022: No; just when I got here.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. So you've been with them for seven years? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0022: Five years.

MR. TOMSHECK: Five years? Do you miss the snow?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0022: No; I can go to the snow in Mt. Charleston and I can see it.

MR. TOMSHECK: I hear you. Anyone else in the front row that -- starting with Mr. Reed and working down this way that felt that indifferent feeling about getting a summons? Okay. Ms. Ellis?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: Correct.

MR. TOMSHECK: How do you feel, now, having sat through this process, up to this point? Are you still indifferent or -- are you looking forward to it or regretting it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: Well, I don't know yet. I have mixed feelings because I've been burglarized. I've been -- I had -- when I had cars. I had one stole and one smashed. I've been through a lot of stuff; a lot of mugging and everything, so it's just being at the wrong place at the wrong time; I guess.

And then when I was living in California, I got two jury summons. The first one I didn't have to go in. The second one, I went in and then didn't get picked, and then, as soon as I moved here, I go: Are they following me here or what? The next thing you know, I get a jury summons, so I can relate to --

MR. TOMSHECK: To both sides?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: Yeah.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: Because I've been through a lot. A lot of trauma, a lot of, you know --

MR. TOMSHECK: I can certainly empathize with all that. I guess the question I have for you, and it may seem like a really obvious one, you wouldn't hold your personal experiences, one way or another, either against the State or against Mr. Collins; would you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: No, because we have to weigh the evidence.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. Can you promise us you'll do that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: Yes.

MR. TOMSHECK: There's a couple other hands, is it Mr. Sands?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0032: Yes, sir.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. You already told us you got a lot going on professionally that's going to have your mind elsewhere; right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0032: Right.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. And I guess, understanding that we need people like yourself to be in a jury, could you -- would you agree with me that

that's an important role that if you're picked to do, you should take seriously?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0032: I could agree with that.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. And understanding that you're going to have to put aside your professional responsibilities for a couple of days as opposed to a couple of months, would you agree that this is, maybe, a better case for someone like you than, for instance, a three month long construction defect case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0032: If I have to do one, yes; that would be true.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. And I think everybody in the room understands the frustration that you got to be feeling to have to deal with that. But if you're picked and you wind up being one of the people that has to make the call in this case, would you do your best at that job?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0032: Yes.

MR. TOMSHECK: And would you do your best to be fair to both sides? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0032: Yes, sir.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. Thank you for your response. Is it Ms. Kraut? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0033: Yes.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. Why did you have that indifferent feeling?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0033: I guess I see both sides of it, like

when I got the summons, I've never had one before, so I was kind of interested

to see what happens, but I also thought that I would be sitting outside all day.

So --

MR. TOMSHECK: You thought what?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0033: I thought I'd be like sitting outside all

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0033: -- I wouldn't get called.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. So you're glad you got a chair? All right. If you get to be a juror, we promise you a chair the whole time; how is that? You said you're studying event and --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0033: Events and convention management.

MR. TOMSHECK: I've got to learn to write better. What exactly does that mean? Like, what does someone with that degree do, professionally?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0033: There's a lot of different things that you can go into. You can do weddings or special events. I'm looking more towards trade shows and conventions.

MR. TOMSHECK: That's probably a good degree to have in Las Vegas, I would imagine?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0033: Yes.

MR. TOMSHECK: Is that -- did you move here to do that or are you from here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0033: My dad was in the military so I've lived a little bit of everywhere, but we've spent the most time here.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. And how much do you have left on that degree?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0033: Two years.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. Have you ever gotten a jury summons before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0033: I had one earlier and they didn't call my number and then they sent me a failure to appear notice, so then I aiready

scheduled for this one.

MR. TOMSHECK: Okay. So after you got that notice you made sure you came; right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0033: Yes.

MR. TOMSHECK: All right. Should you be picked, can you be fair to both sides?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0033: Uh huh.

MR. TOMSHECK: Can I have the Court's indulgence for one second, please?

THE COURT: You bet.

MR. TOMSHECK: I'll pass the panel for cause, Judge.

THE COURT: You pass this panel for cause? Okay.

At this time, we are going to recess for lunch. We're going to start again at 2:15. During this recess, you're admonished not to talk or converse amongst yourselves with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial or read, watch, or listen to any report or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspapers, television, the internet or radio, form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial till the case is finally submitted to you.

When you're -- when you come back from lunch, come up to the 14th floor, the Court Marshal will bring you in when we're ready. And if you'll please, the first 23, if you'll please sit in the same seats that you are sitting in now. Thank you very much for your courtesy, we'll see you this afternoon.

[Lunch break taken at 1:55 p.m.]

[Resumed voir dire proceeding at 3:37 p.m.]

THE COURT: Do the parties stipulate to the presence of the jury panel?

MS. JONES: Yes, Your Honor.

MS. JEANNEY: Yes, Your Honor.

[In the presence of the prospective jury panel]

THE COURT: Okay. You can address the jury panel.

MS. JONES: Good afternoon, Ladies and Gentlemen. We're going to try to get you guys through this. I know it's been a long day and you guys have been here for a long time, but there are just a couple things I want to talk to you guys about. Does anybody here watch CSI? Okay. Starting off with you ma'am, if you could state your badge number just so she can write that down.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0014:

MS. JONES: Okay.

THE COURT: Your name?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0014: Michell Gulder.

MS. JONES: And you watch CSI?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0014: Yes.

MS. JONES: And you understand that the things that you see on CSI, all of those things can't be done in real life?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0014: Yes.

MS. JONES: But you understand that everything that's done on CSI is not fake?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0014: Everything's not fake?

MS. JONES: There's some things that are done on CSI that could be done.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0014: Yes.

MS. JONES: Okay. And you understand that different police departments have different resources available to them?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0014: Yes.

MS. JONES: And would you expect Metro to bring you the things that they have that are available to them?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0014: No.

MS. JONES: Why not?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0014: Because most of what's on CSI Las Vegas is CSI Las Vegas; it's Hollywood and --

MS. JONES: I understand that and I'll rephrase the question.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0014: Okay.

MS. JONES: The Las Vegas Metropolitan Police -- I apologize, it's not Las Vegas Metropolitan -- the police department that you're going to hear from in this case which is actually North Las Vegas. Would you expect them to have done the things that were -- that they could have done in the investigation of this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0014: Do I expect the police to do all that they could do?

MS. JONES: In investigating this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0014: Yes.

MS. JONES: Okay. But you understand they can't do everything that's on CSI?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0014: Correct.

MS. JONES: But some things they can do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0014: Yes.

MS. JONES: And if they did those things, you would expect to see those things?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0014: If they did what things? I'm sorry.

MS. JONES: The investigation that they could do in this case, if that was done, would you expect to see that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0014: Yes; I don't know. I don't know how to answer that question.

MS, JONES: It's okay. There's no right or wrong answer. Do you believe they should do a thorough investigation?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0014: Yes; I do.

MS. JONES: And everything that was done, you believe it should be brought to you as a juror in this case so you can see --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0014: If they found evidence, they should bring -- bring it forth; yes.

MS. JONES: Correct. Okay. I'm so sorry that was so confusing. Okay. Is there anybody else who feels different about that? Is there anybody else who doesn't understand that there are things that they can do but things that they can't? All right.

THE COURT: And the record reflect, no response to the last two questions.

MS. JONES: I apologize, Your Honor.

THE COURT: That's okay.

MS. JONES: Is there anyone here who has a neighbor that they don't really care for? And I apologize, is it Ms. Young?

for?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: Yes.

MS. JONES: Ms. Young. You have a neighbor that you don't really care

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: Absolutely.

THE COURT: Okay. And does that create issues?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: Not for me.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: No.

THE COURT: And in the fact that you don't care for this neighbor, you -is it because you guys don't agree about certain things?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: Yes.

MS. JONES: Okay. And is there a particular reason that you don't care for them?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: I don't care for them, doesn't mean I don't like them.

MS. JONES: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: It's just, you know, when your kid can't ride a bike and the lady wants to complain about it, that creates a problem for me.

MS. JONES: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: But other than that she's a fine citizen. She stays -- minds her own business. I do my own business.

MS. JONES: But the fact that your kids can't ride that -- is it a kid that can't ride their bike?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: Correct.

MS. JONES: The fact that the kids can't ride their bike in the neighborhood is upsetting to you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: It was, but now that I moved into a new unit, we go out front.

MS. JONES: Okay. But it created a little tension?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: It did.

MS. JONES: Because something that upset one neighbor may not upset another neighbor?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: Correct.

MS. JONES: Okay. And you understand that just because you have the -- your neighbor has those issues with you, that doesn't mean you committed any crimes?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: Correct.

MS. JONES: Okay. Anybody else have issues with their neighbors? [No response]. Okay.

The State talked to you guys a little bit about the fact that you guys were going to hear testimony from police officers in this case. Is there anybody here who would give police officers testimony more weight than they would anybody who's not a police officer?

THE COURT: I don't know if you know or not, but I asked that question.

MS. JONES: Oh, I apologize, Your Honor.

THE COURT: That's okay. And you know what, in all fairness, is there anyone that wants to respond to that question after having an opportunity to think about it?

MS. JONES: And for the record, there was no response to that.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. JONES: And is there anybody here who doesn't understand that the State carries the burden in this case? Does anybody here who doesn't understand exactly what that means? [No response]. Is there anybody here who has a problem with that concept? [No response].

THE COURT: And the record reflect there's been no response to the last three questions.

MS. JONES: Is there anybody here who doesn't understand that Defense does not have any burden in this case. They don't have to put on any witnesses; they don't have to show anything? The record will reflect there was no response to that question.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Jones.

MS. JONES: And is there anybody here that -- if they were Mr. Collins sitting where he's sitting now, would not feel comfortable having themselves on the jury?

THE COURT: Okay. You have -- over here, sir, your name and badge number.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Badge number's 0036, name's Bret Humphries.

THE COURT: Okay. And do you understand the question?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Yes.

THE COURT: The question is, if you were sitting where Mr. Collins is --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Yes.

THE COURT: -- would you want someone seated, like yourself, sitting as a juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: No.

THE COURT: And you would not. Okay. Why not?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: I'm a security officer. It's like one -- one of the police officers sitting on the jury. I arrest people. I've sent people to jail.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: I mean --

THE COURT: Well, you don't send people to jail.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Well --

THE COURT: You don't have that authority?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: I mean, I have done citizen's arrest and sent people to the Detention Center.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: And I've cited people or signed the citations.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you think that would impede your ability to be fair and impartial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: As of -- well, no, not really, but the question from her is: Would you want somebody like me? I said: No; I wouldn't want -- like I said, I wouldn't want the police officers. I wouldn't want somebody like me. I wouldn't want somebody like you on the jury.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, if I was sitting there, I'd want someone exactly like me sitting on the panel because I believe I could be fair and impartial, so that's the question we're getting at. We're just asking it a different way.

The fact that you're a security officer doesn't automatically disqualify you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: I understand that.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Because I think I could be fair and impartial.

THE COURT: Are you, maybe -- are you trying to say that if you were sitting there, just because somebody was a security officer, you'd be apprehensive and you wouldn't want that person?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Yes.

THE COURT: Regardless of what they said?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Regardless.

THE COURT: Okay. But you're not suggesting, to any of us, that you don't think you can be fair and impartial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: No.

THE COURT: Okay. I misunderstood, so I'm sorry. You want to follow-up?

MS. JONES: And when you say that because you're a security officer, are you saying that -- if you were Mr. Collins, you wouldn't want any security officer sitting on a jury?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: No.

MS. JONES: No; you wouldn't want any security officer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: No; I would not want any security officers.

MS. JONES: And do you believe that that's because they are a law

enforcement officer, and basically, your idea is, any law enforcement officer, you wouldn't want sitting on a jury?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Right.

MS. JONES: Because of their law enforcement background?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Right.

MS. JONES: Okay. But as the Judge just asked you, you're not saying that that means you can't be fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: No.

MS. JONES: And in this case, would you be willing to put aside all -everything that you -- that has previously happened to you, your occupation
and all that stuff, and not make a decision until all the evidence has been
presented to you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Yes.

MS. JONES: And you would be willing to sit with your fellow jurors and deliberate?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Yes.

MS. JONES: Okay. And, if, at the end of the deliberations, the rest of the jury's going one way and you have a completely opposite opinion, what would you do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: I would try and persuade them to my opinion.

MS. JONES: And what if you couldn't persuade them to your side?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Well, it depends upon how strongly convicted I am to my opinion as to whether or not I'll exceed and join their opinion.

MS. JONES: And if this -- this were Friday at 5 o'clock and the rest of the jurors are wondering.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: It would have nothing to do with the time, day, or anything else; it would have to do with my own personal conviction.

MS. JONES: Okay. And would you rush to some sort of decision just so you could go home and get this over with?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: No.

MS. JONES: Okay. You would be willing to talk it out with them?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Yes.

MS. JONES: Okay. And do you have any specific problems with the State has the burden in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: No.

MS. JONES: Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: Ms. Jones, do you just mind if I ask a couple more followup --

MS. JONES: Sure.

THE COURT: -- questions. Mr. Humphries, and usually I would have asked this before. You obviously have involvement with the police entities and agencies in Clark County; correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Yes.

THE COURT: And so, you work with them -- I have to presume, on a daily basis?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Yes.

THE COURT: Based upon what you just said.

1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
2	
3	LESEAN TARUS COLLINS, No. 55716
4	Appellant,)
5) vi.)
6	THE STATE OF NEVADA,
7	, in the second of the second
8	Respondent.)
9	APPELLANT'S APPENDIX – VOLUME II – PAGES 248-490
10	DIM ID I WOUNT
11	Clark County Public Defender Clark County District Attorney
12	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 200 Lewis Avenue, 3rd Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
13	Attorney for Appellant CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO
14	Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Novada 20701, 4717
15	Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538
16	Counsel for Respondent
17	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>
18	I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada
19	Supreme Court on the day of This day of Th
20	document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:
21	CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO P. DAVID WESTBROOK STEVEN S. OWENS HOWARD S. BROOKS
22	I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and correct
23	copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to:
24	LESEAN TARUS COLLINS NDOC No. 85039
25	c/o High Desert State Prison
26	P.O. Box 650 Indian Springs, NV 89018
27	BY Chenje Bergia
28	Employee, Clark County Public
Į.	Defender's Office

1	IN THE SUPREME (COURT OF THE STAT	E OF NEVADA
2			
3	LESEAN TARUS COLLINS,) No. 55716	Electronically Filed
4	Appellant,)	Aug 02 2011 08:15 a.m. Tracie K. Lindeman
5	v.)	Clerk of Supreme Court
6	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)	
7	Respondent.)	
8	Respondent.)	
9	<u>APPELLANT'S APPE</u>	NDIX – VOLUME II – 1	PAGES 248-490
10	Dim in t vicen		
11	PHILIP J. KOHN Clark County Public Defender	DAVID ROG Clark County	ER District Attorney
12	309 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610	200 Lewis Av Las Vegas, No	enue, 3rd
13	Attorney for Appellant		
14	Actionicy for Appenant	Attornev Gene	CORTEZ MASTO
15		100 North Car Carson City, N	Nevada 89701-4717
16		(702) 687-353	
17		Counsel for Ro	espondent
18			
19			
20 21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
ll l			

INDEX

Collins, Lesean C253455

PAGE NO.

5	
6	
7	Defendant's Motion to Compel Disclosure of Exculpatory Evidence (DOH 7/22/09) filed 7/8/09141-149
8	Defendant's Notice of Alibi, Pursuant to NRS 174.087
9	filed 11/2/09 163-164
10	Defendant's Notice of Witnesses filed 11/2/09 160-162
11	
12	Defense Offer of Proof Regarding Denial of Defense Motion to Continue
13	(DOH 11/6/09) filed 11/6/09 195-198
14	Ex Parte Application and Order to Prepare Transcripts
15	filed 2/2/10 204-207
16	Indictment filed 4/8/09
17	Instructions to the Jury
18	filed 11/6/09 171-192
19	Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial) filed 3/4/10
20	
21	Minutes through 3/2/10
22	Motion to Continue Sentencing Date (DOH 1/12/10) filed 12/29/09
23	
24	Notice of Appeal filed 3/25/10
25	Notice of Habitual Criminality filed 11/6/09 199-200
26	
27	Notice of Motion and Motion to Conduct Videotaped Deposition Testimony of Material Witness Vivian Furlow
8 8	(DOH 11/2/09) filed 11/2/09 165-170

	i l
1	
2	Notice of Witnesses filed 10/29/09 158-159
3	Order filed 5/15/09 123-124
4	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus
5	(DOH 6/1/09) filed 5/12/09
6	Return to Writ of Habeas Corpus (DOH 6/1/09) filed 5/29/09127-140
7	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Preclude Testimony
8	Not Minor Child. Tysean Collins
9	(DOH 9/16/09) filed 9/15/09
10	Verdict filed 11/6/09 193-194
11	Writ of Habeas Corpus (DOH 6/1/09) filed 5/18/09
12	(boil 6/1/03) Tried 3/16/09
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	

1 TRANSCRIPTS: 2 Transcript: Calendar Call 3 (DOH 8/12/09) filed 4/30/10......248-251 4 Transcript: Calendar Call 5 6 Transcript: Defendant's Motion to Compel Disclosure of Exculpatory Evidence (DOH 7/22/09) filed 4/27/10......245-247 8 Transcript: Defendant's Motion to Preclude Testimony of Minor 9 Child, Tysean Collins 10 11 Transcript: Defendant's Writ of Habeas Corpus (DOH 6/10/09) filed 4/27/10......238-244 12 Transcript: Grand Jury 13 14 Transcript: Grand Jury Volume 2 15 16 Transcript: Grand Jury Indictment Return 17 18 Transcript: Initial Arraignment (DOH 5/6/09) filed 4/27/10......233-237 19 Transcript: Jury Trial - Day 1 Split Transcript 20 (DOH 11/4/09) filed 7/21/10...... 328-603 21 Part One, Page 328 to Page 490, Volume II Part Two, Page 491 to Page 603, Volume III 22 Transcript: Jury Trial - Day 2 23 24 Transcript: Jury Trial - Day 3 25 26 Transcript: Overflow Calendar Call 27 28

1	Transcript: Sentencing
2	(DOH 2/18/10) filed 6/18/10 810-813
3	Transcript: Sentencing (Continued)
4	(DOH 3/2/10) filed 6/18/10
5	Transcript: Videotaped Testimony of Vivian Furlow (DOH 11/2/09) filed 7/12/10
6	, ==, ==, 200=327
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
27	
28	
	ı

1	TRAN CO	PY	FILED
2			
3			APR 30 26 AM 10
4			CLER TOURT
5	DISTRI	CT COURT	CLEP: TOURT
6	CLARK COL	JNTY, NEVADA	
7)	
8	STATE OF NEVADA,) CASE NO.	C253455
9	Plaintiff,) DEPT. VIII	
10	vs.	}	
11		}	
12	LESEAN TARUS COLLINS,) }	
13	Defendant.	_}	
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLA		
15		AUGUST 12, 20	
16		<i>)F PROCEEDIN(</i> DAR CALL	GS
17			
18	APPEARANCES:		
19	For the State:		OMSHECK, ESQ.
20		Deputy Distric	M. JEANNEY, ESQ. t Attorneys
21	For the Defendant:	TIERRA D. JO	ONES, ESQ.
22		Deputy Public	
23			
24			
25	RECORDED BY: JILL JACOBY, COURT	RECORDER	

24

25

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 12, 2009 AT 8:39 A.M.

THE COURT: C253455, State of Nevada versus Lesean Collins.

MS. JONES: Good morning, Judge. I think we're waiting for Mr. Tomsheck from the DA's office.

MR. ISCAN: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Is it resolved?

MS. JONES: It is not, Judge, but it is not going forward.

Judge, it's my under -- this is going to be the defense's request for a continuance. It's my understanding the State is going to announce ready, but the State will have no opposition to the continuance.

THE COURT: Okay. This will be the second setting. We would be going forward the next one. We do firm settings in here.

MS. JONES: Is this the second setting?

THE COURT: This will be the second setting, yeah.

MS. JONES: Okay.

THE COURT: All right. We'll wait till he gets here.

MS. JONES: Okay.

THE COURT: Let me know.

[Matter trailed at 8:40 a.m.]

[Matter recalled at 9:41 a.m.]

THE COURT: C253455, State of Nevada versus Lesean Collins.

MS. JONES: Judge, he's present and in custody. This is going to be our request for a continuance. I just want to mention the State has no opposition. Judge, if the court's inclined, we would request the date in November.

MR. TOMSHECK: Good morning, Judge, Josh Tomsheck and Jacqueline Jeanney for the State. Just for the record, the State is prepared to go forward. We only have about ten witnesses. Ms. Jones notified us that she'd be requesting continuance. We don't oppose it, however, we are prepared.

THE COURT: All right. November what? This is a firm setting. It's going.

MR. TOMSHECK: Just for the Court's edification, he does have a first-degree murder trial set in November as well, I believe, for Monday, the 16th.

THE COURT: Not Monday, the 16th, then. We'll pass it until the first week of November.

THE CLERK: Do you want the trial the first week of November?

THE COURT: Yeah. How long will it take to try the case?

MR. TOMSHECK: Depending on how fast this department goes, not having tried a case in front of you. From what I've heard, pretty quickly.

THE COURT: It's almost 9:30. I'm pretty fast.

MR. TOMSHECK: Couple of days.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. TOMSHECK: Two and a half days, three days.

THE COURT: All right. We'll block off three days.

THE CLERK: Calendar call, November 28th at 8:30. Trial, November -- I'm sorry, that was October 28th at 8:30. Trial, November 2nd at 10 a.m.

. .

22 || .

20

21

23 || . .

24 || . .

25 |

1	TRAN COP	YFILED
2		Ann 20 II ac AM U.A
3		Apr 30 26 AM '10
4		CLERK TOURT
5	DISTRICT	
6	CLARK COUNT	Y, NEVADA
7)	
8	STATE OF NEVADA,	CASE NO. C253455
9	Plaintiff,	DEPT. VIII
10	vs.	
11)	
12	LESEAN TARUS COLLINS,	
13	Defendant.	
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLAS E	E. SMITH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
15	WEDNESDAY, SEPT	EMBER 16, 2009
16	TRANSCRIPT OF P	
17	DEFENDANT'S MOTIC TESTIMONY OF MINOR CH	
18		
19	APPEARANCES:	
20		OSHUA L. TOMSHECK, ESQ.
21		ACQUELINE M. JEANNEY, ESQ. Deputy District Attorneys
22	For the Defendant:	TERRA D. JONES, ESQ.
23		eputy Public Defender
24		
25	RECORDED BY: JILL JACOBY, COURT RE	ECORDER

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 16, 2009 AT 8:41 A.M.

THE COURT: C253455, State of Nevada versus Lesean Collins.

MS. JONES: Good morning, Judge.

THE COURT: Hi. It's a defense motion to preclude testimony of a minor child, Tysean.

MS. JONES: Tysean. Judge, this is our motion -- Tierra Jones from the public defender's office, bar number 10094.

Judge, this is our motion. I did receive the State's opposition yesterday so I would just like a brief opportunity to respond to that orally.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. JONES: Judge, it's never our contention that the child was formally sat down, cuffed, and interviewed and held at suspect. That's not our contention, we're very well aware of that. It's an encounter with police where the child was questioned and he was talked to by the police officer and there is no direct case on the point. Based upon the case law they cited, there are safeguards in place wherein children are interviewed about sexual assault cases and about things like that and there has been no indication that the Supreme Court intended that not to apply children being interviewed just because it hasn't been an issue that they've addressed previously.

This child was talked to by a police officer when he know for a fact that neither of his parents were present. His dad was not on the scene when the police officers were there, and his mother was arrested when she arrived to the scene, so she wasn't present as well. So this child was being talked to by a police officer. We — all we know is what the child said in relation to what he said about his father. We don't know if there was anything else brought out in this conversation. We don't

know how long the conversation lasted. We don't know the circumstances surrounding this conversation. We don't know if the child was sat down, where he was talked to. We don't know if he was inside the house, we don't know if he was sitting on the curb. There's a lot of things that we do not know that would lead to the reliability of whether or not the child gave the statement voluntarily. And I don't think he has the authority to give a statement voluntarily when he's nine and neither of his parents were present. And based on that, I don't think that this child's statement should be allowed to come in against his dad.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. JEANNEY: Good morning, Your Honor, Jacqueline Jeanney for the State. Well, if the stipulation as Ms. Jones said, this wasn't a sit-down formal interview. The police arrived, the mother had actually not been arrested but she was on her way home from work because she had been told, hey, her house is on fire. And the little boy just said: Yeah, I saw my dad. He was walking in the house with a gas can and he told me he was going to burn -- and then some cuss words -- the house up.

So it wasn't a formal interview. It was something very brief that the child said. There actually was someone who was taking care of the child. The mother -- basically step-mother was there taking care of the child while the mother was on her way to see what was going on with the house.

So I don't think that the safeguards that are in place, it's actually like a sexual assault, sexual abuse cases are for cases like this.

THE COURT: I think based on totality of circumstances, reading both the motion and the opposition, that there is a reliability to that child's statement and I'm going to allow it in. Thank you.

1	TRAN COP	FILED			
2		Apr 30 11 26 AM /16			
3					
4		CLERIC CURT			
5	DISTRIC	CT COURT			
6	CLARK COU	NTY, NEVADA			
7)			
8	STATE OF NEVADA,)) CASE NO. C253455			
9	Plaintiff,) DEPT. VIII			
10	vs.))			
11					
12	LESEAN TARUS COLLINS,				
13	Defendant.				
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLA	S E. SMITH, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE			
15	WEDNESDAY, O	CTOBER 28, 2009			
16		F PROCEEDINGS AR CALL			
17	CALEND	AR CALL			
18	APPEARANCES:				
19	For the State:	JOSHUA L. TOMSHECK, ESQ.			
20		Deputy District Attorney			
21	For the Defendant:	TIERRA D. JONES, ESQ.			
22		ABIGAIL L. PAROLISE, ESQ. Deputy Public Defenders			
23					
24					
25	RECORDED BY: JILL JACOBY, COURT	RECORDER			

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 28, 2009 AT 8:54 A.M.

THE COURT: C253455, State of Nevada versus Lesean Collins.

MS. JONES: Good morning, Judge.

THE COURT: Hi. How long will it take to try this case?

MS. JONES: Well, Judge, this is the position we're in. Judge, we're in trial right now and basically Mr. Collins has not been a hundred percent cooperate with our investigator so there's still some things, some witnesses that she needs to contact, but he has their contact information.

THE COURT: That's his problem, not yours. If he's not going to cooperate, then you get to try the case from the police report. If that's what he wants, you know, you don't have -- you don't have any control over that.

So, four days?

MS. PAROLISE: Well --

THE COURT: Five days to try this case?

MS. PAROLISE: How --

MR. TOMSHECK: State's ready. It's only about seven witnesses. I think probably --

THE COURT: All right. You can try it faster than that.

MR. TOMSHECK: -- closer to three days.

MS. PAROLISE: Well, however, Your Honor, the other problem is because we've been in trial this week, we haven't put any attention on his trial right now. We're not ready to go, aside -- investigation issues aside.

THE COURT: Well, you're going to have to go next week in overflow. We're just going to have to send it to overflow.

THE CLERK: Tomorrow? THE COURT: Tomorrow. THE CLERK: October 28th, 9 a.m., Department 18. THE COURT: It can come back if we don't have an overflow department. [Proceeding concluded at 8:56 a.m.] I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual recording in the above-entitled case.

case.

Jill Øacoby *✓* Court Recorder

	FILED			
1	TRAN ORIG	INAL MAY 17 4 53 PH 10		
2	DISTRIC	T COURT		
3	CLARK COUN	TY, NEVADA CLER		
4	STATE OF NEVADA,	CASE NO. C253455		
5	Plaintiff,	DEPT. NO. XII		
6	vs.			
7	LESEAN TARUS COLLINS,			
8	Defendant.			
9	}			
10				
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DAVID B. BARKER, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE			
12	RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT RE: OVERFLOW CALENDAR CALL			
13				
14	THURSDAY, OCTOBER 29, 2009			
15				
16				
17				
18				
19	APPEARANCES:			
20	FOR THE STATE:	JOSHUA TOMSHECK, ESQ.		
21	FOR IND STATE:	JACQUELINE M. JEANNEY, ESQ.		
2 22		Deputy District Attorneys		
22 \$\sum_22	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	TIERRA D. JONES, ESQ.		
で 1 1 1 2 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3		Deputy Public Defender		
MAY 17 2010	RECORDER/TRANSCRIBER:	RICHARD L. KANGAS		

CLERK OF THE COURT

LAS VEGAS, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA THURSDAY, OCTOBER 29, 2009, 9:22 A.M.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. Next on calendar is C251385, State of Nevada versus Anastacio Diaz. The record should reflect the presence of - Ms. Jones, do you have the case?

MS. JONES: No, this isn't my case, Judge; I'm on page 4.

THE COURT: You're just moving through the aisle. The record should reflect the presence of a representative of the State -

MR. TOMSHECK: Actually, Judge, we have the case 14 | with Ms. Jones.

MS. JONES: We have Collins.

THE COURT: C253455, State of Nevada versus - is it Lesean [Le-SHEEN] Collins?

MS. JONES: Lesean [Le-SHAWN].

THE COURT: Lesean Collins. The record should |reflect the presence of Mr. Collins in custody with counsel, a representative of the State. This is the time set for overflow call. It looks like, the information reported to me is three or four days, no out-of - one out-of-state, ten to thirteen witnesses. Does that all remain accurate?

MR. TOMSHECK: No. I think you can count the

witnesses on one hand, Judge, and I think it will probably only take a day or two to try this, at the most.

THE COURT: A day or two to try. First on the stack -

MS. JONES: And, Judge -

(Off-record colloquy, the Court and JEA)

THE COURT: Well, I'm sending you to XII, since you can get it done in -

MS. JONES: And, Your Honor -

THE COURT: - you can do it with Judge Leavitt -

MS. JONES: - we are not ready to try this case.

We informed Department VIII yesterday that we were not ready to try this case. Your Honor, I have been in trial this week. As you can see, my investigator is here still speaking to Mr. Collins about the investigation that's still left for us to do. Therefore, we are not prepared to go forward in this case.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. TOMSHECK: Judge -

THE COURT: So you're telling me that you - this has been continued many times, have these issues been issued been addressed by Judge - where did this come from?

MS. JONES: Smith.

MS. JEANNEY: It came from Smith, Judge.

THE COURT: Smith.

MR. TOMSHECK: Can I make a record on that, Judge?
THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. TOMSHECK: This is a case that, I mean, it dates back some time; there was a couple different prelim dates set. I am prosecuting the defendant on another case, it's a murder case, and I think that there's probably a little bit of intent to have this one trail behind that on the part of the defense. There's no reason to do that from the State's perspective.

This was back on for calendar call back in August, August 12th in front of Judge Smith, and the defense moved to continue it. Judge Smith wasn't happy about it at the time and said, look, I'm giving you a trial date the first week of November, you will be ready to go.

THE COURT: So this was already -

MR. TOMSHECK: And -

THE COURT: - Judge Smith has already made a decision on the - on the motion to continue. It was opposed. It was denied by Judge Smith, and that's how it got to me in Overflow.

MR. TOMSHECK: That's what - right, he allowed them to have the continuance back in August. We were on for calendar call this week. Ms. Jones represented that she wouldn't be ready because she'd been in trial.

THE COURT: Oh, it's a firm setting from Judge

Smith, at least that's what the minute entry from October 28th reflects.

MR. TOMSHECK: And they made the same representation in front of Judge Smith; he said - and essentially one of the reasons was the defendant wouldn't cooperate, hadn't given 'em information. And Judge Smith said, look, I've given you this time to be prepared, if he doesn't want to cooperate, that's kind of on him.

THE COURT: All right.

Anything else, Ms. Jones?

MS. JONES: And, Judge, this is our position.

Judge, while we understand that, as the Court is aware, from the defense perspective it would be better for this case to trail the murder; but that is not the reason for the continuance of this case. That murder is set out in March.

Even if this case is continued, we are fully aware this case will not be continued out past a March trial date.

This case is not ready to go to trial because, as I pointed out to the Court, my lead investigator is here right now still speaking with Mr. Collins about the investigation that has to be done. Mr. Tomsheck -

THE COURT: What additional investigation needs to be done?

MS. JONES: Into the witnesses that he has for his case. And the State can acknowledge that we haven't even

noticed any witnesses, because we started - Mr. Collins indicated that he did want to resolve this case, as Mr. Tomsheck will acknowledge; he and I had spoke about that.

The murder case involves the Special Public

Defender; therefore it creates a conflict with me talking to him about that case. We did receive an offer to resolve both cases yesterday at 4 o'clock. Me and Mr. Maningo from the Public Defender's office do intend to go speak with him about that, but after 4 o'clock we can't go into the jail so could not speak with him about that yesterday. We have an appointment set up today at 2 to go speak with him about the offer; but the things that are involved in that other case I can't talk to him about because our office clearly has a conflict, which is the reason the Special Public Defender has it.

And based upon our intent to resolve this case, Mr. Collins was not - we did not have his witness information; we now have that information. My investigator is still working on that; she's talking to him right now to get that information so we can start investigating this case.

By me being in trial this whole week, I have not had an opportunity to follow up with him on that. Yesterday in court was the first time I had seen him 'cause I haven't had the opportunity to follow up with that. So we are not prepared to try this case next week.

o u a diskus

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Collins, you have your hand up.

(Off-record colloguy, defendant and counsel)

THE DEFENDANT: Can I talk to her about our case?

MS. JONES: Yeah.

MS. JONES: And, Your Honor, Mr. Collins just informed me that he was getting those addresses, and he still doesn't have all of the addresses to all of his witnesses to provide to my investigator.

THE COURT: All right. Why don't - why don't you anticipate starting trial on Wednesday? That'll give you a few extra days. It'll make your investigator's weekend harder, but you guys can get this case tried next week.

MS. JEANNEY: We have to get a witness in from Florida.

THE COURT: It's a firm set; Judge Smith has already made some decisions on this. My - you know, I'm basically a resources - my job is to allocate resources, and so I'm gonna support Judge Smith's decision that the case is trial-ready, even though the minutes reflect, again, defense motion to continue.

So you've got some additional information.

Obviously the defendant should have an opportunity to prepare their defense. So don't you - why don't we go for a Wednesday start? Make sense, get it done?

That's fine with the State, Judge. 1 MR. TOMSHECK: 2 THE COURT: All right. 3 THE CLERK: Department XII? 4 THE COURT: Yeah, Department XII is where it's 5 gonna head, Wednesday. You said what time? She works full 6 Wednesdays? 7 THE CLERK: Wednesday will be November 4th, and 8 it'll be at 8:30 a.m. 9 THE COURT: 8:30 a.m. for jury selection. 10 THE CLERK: If that changes, I'll notify all 11 parties. 12 MS. JEANNEY: Thank you. 13 THE COURT: I'd also ask that you head up to Department XII now, just to coordinate with Judge Leavitt. 14 We'll have my law clerk send the file up so she can look at 15 16 it as well. And, you know, everybody needs to do their job. 17 MS. JONES: So we need to go speak with her right 18 now? 19 THE COURT: I would. 20 MS. JONES: Okay. 21 THE COURT: I don't know if she's - frankly, I 22 don't know; she should be in. 23 MR. TOMSHECK: She is; I just did a sentencing in 24 there. 25 THE COURT: All right, she's -

MS. JEANNEY: She's on the -1 2 THE COURT: She's on the bench. 3 MS. JONES: Okay. Then put your heads together and figure 4 THE COURT: out a time that you can all talk to Judge Leavitt and make 5 sure that she's comfortable with - 'cause she said she's 6 available all week and that we could do a Monday start, but 7 8 I'm trying to give you a little time to do what you need to do to get up to speed with those witnesses, so that's why I 9 set a Wednesday. All right? 10 11 MS. JONES: Thank you, Your Honor. Thanks, Judge. 12 MS. JEANNEY: THE COURT: Thank you. 13 PROCEEDING CONCLUDED AT 9:29 A.M. 14 15 16 17 ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have transcribed the audio-18 video recording of this proceeding in the above-entitled case to 19 20 the best of my ability.

21

22

23

24

25

ORIGINAL

RICHARD L. KANGAS

Court Recorder/Transcriber

1	TRAN	FILED
2		OPY JUL 12 3 36 PN 118
3	7	
4	DISTRICT	COURT CURT
5	CLARK COUN	TY, NEVADA
6		
7	STATE OF NEVADA,	
8	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C-253455
9) vs.)	DEPT. XII
10)	
11	LESEAN T. COLLINS,	
12	Defendant.	
13	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHELLE	LEAVITT, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
14	MONDAY, NOVI	EMBER 2, 2009
15	TRANSCRIPT OF VIDEOTAPED TESTIMON	
16	VIDEOTATED TESTIMOT	VI OI VIVIAIVI ONLOW
17	APPEARANCES:	
18	For the State:	JOSHUA L. TOMSHECK, ESQ.
19		JACQUELINE JEANNEY, ESQ. Deputy District Attorneys
20	For the Defendant	. ,
21	For the Defendant:	DIANNE DICKSON, ESQ. TIERRA D. JONES, ESQ.
22		ABIGAIL PAROLISE, ESQ. Deputy Public Defenders
23		Doputy I ubilo Deleliuela
24		
25	RECORDED BY: KERRY ESPARZA, COU	RT RECORDER

WITNESS LIST

_	
•	
_	

NAME OF WITNESS	<u>PAGE NO.</u>	
VIVIAN FURLOW		
Direct Examination by Mr. Tomsheck	31	
Cross Examination by Ms. Jones	43	
Redirect Examination by Mr. Tomsheck	53	
Recross Examination by Ms. Jones	57	

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 2009, AT 12:57 P.M.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

[Outside the presence of the jury]

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Collins is here.

THE DEFENDANT: Good morning.

THE COURT: What happened to your eye, Mr. Collins?

THE DEFENDANT: Huh?

THE COURT: What happened to your eye?

THE DEFENDANT: Accident, when I was 16. You don't remember me?

THE COURT: Of course, I remember you, but your eye was fine the last time I saw you.

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: You had an accident?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE DEFENDANT: I'd rather not talk about it. Good morning, though.

THE COURT: What?

THE DEFENDANT: I'd rather not talk about it, but good morning.

THE COURT: You don't have to; you don't have to.

THE DEFENDANT: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: I just noticed that you had a problem with your eye. Okay. The State has filed a motion. It's my -- I haven't even had a chance to read it. It's my understanding -- I know we were closed on Friday, so there was a flurry of phone calls back and forth that the State has -- has a witness that has -- I

can't remember where they're going, but it sounded someplace great.

MR. TOMSHECK: It does.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. TOMSHECK: Judge, I can fill you in on the facts. I don't know if you want to take a moment to read it, but essentially, what happened is, we announced ready at calendar call.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. TOMSHECK: It was sent to the overflow judge. The case was originally scheduled to start today.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. TOMSHECK: The subpoenas went out for today's date. One of the State's essential witnesses is a lady by the name of Vivian Furlow. Ms. Furlow, it's my assumption, let us know that she could be here today because it was a day on the subpoena. What we didn't figure out, until after we had actually been set to start trial on Wednesday of this week, which, just for the record is November 4th, would be Wednesday -- let us know that she is leaving tonight on a red-eye flight. She's flying to Florida and taking a cruise to Mexico where she will be for a week. She's not set to return to the jurisdiction until the 12th of this month.

So obviously, it would be a great inconvenience for her. We actually made an attempt to say: Hey, if we start on Wednesday, can we fly you back? But she's going to be on a boat, so we wouldn't be able to do that. And the tickets that she purchased for the vacation are non-refundable.

THE COURT: Okay. And you've discussed this with Defense Counsel?

MS. JONES: He has, Your Honor, and if the Court would allow, I would just have a couple of things to add to the fact.

25

1

THE COURT: Sure. MS. JONES: Your Honor, when we appeared in front of Judge Smith last --THE COURT: I'm sorry. THE CLERK: We need her name. THE COURT: Well --MS. JONES: I apologize. Tierra Jones from the Public Defender's Office. THE COURT: Thank you. MS. JONES: Bar Number 10094. THE COURT: Thank you. MS. JONES: Did you want her to say her name now? MS. PAROLISE: Oh, I already gave it. THE COURT: Probably, Okay, COURT CLERK: I got her name. MS. JONES: And Your Honor, when we appeared in front of Judge Smith, the Defense did announce: Not ready. The Defense was not ready to try this case this week. The State did say that they would be ready. We appeared in front of Judge Barker on Thursday and the Defense, again, indicated to Judge Barker that we were not ready.

The reason we announced ready -- Judge Barker. It was Judge Barker's decision to set this case on a Wednesday. Both parties agreed to that. The State was there. They agreed to setting this case on Wednesday, but it's the Defense's position that we --

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. JONES: -- will not be ready to go today. We will, technically, will

 not be ready to go Wednesday, but if the Court was going to force us to go, we would be prepared to go to trial. So as far as the facts --

THE COURT: Okay. Let me back up, because you can talk faster than me.

MS. JONES: I'm sorry, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. When you went in front of Judge Smith, he was doing overflow. You said: I'm not ready. He said: Too bad, you're going?

MS. JONES: No, Your Honor, actually this --

THE COURT: Or he's the original --

MS. JONES: -- was a Department 8 case --

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. JONES: -- and we appeared in front of Judge Smith on Wednesday for calendar call. We announced, not ready, and he sent us to overflow.

THE COURT: Why, if you announced not ready? Just give me --

MS. JONES: He didn't give a reason. We gave him the reasons why we were not ready and he sent us to overflow and said: If there wasn't a department, the case could come back to him.

THE COURT: What were the reasons?

MS. JONES: Your Honor, our investigator has not finished the investigation in this case, as the Court will note and the State will note. I e-mailed witness lists to them on Saturday, as my investigator is still completing the investigation in this case.

And Ms. Parolise and myself were in trial last week, which is the reason why a lot of this stuff wasn't done, and therefore, we are not prepared to go forward on this case.

THE COURT: Okay. And you went to Judge Barker, you said the same thing. You went there --

MS. JONES: We made --

THE COURT: -- Friday?

MS. JONES: Thursday.

THE COURT: Thursday.

MS. JONES: Because Friday was the holiday. We made representations and Judge Barker -- Mr. Tomsheck did acknowledge to Judge Barker that we made those representations to Doug Smith. Judge Barker asked if that was true. I said: Yes, we made those representations and he basically said: As overflow judge, his only -- his only decision is to assign the case; it is not to determine continuances. And since Judge Smith sent it there, he would set it.

And I think, basically, as somewhat of a concession to us is why he asked for the Wednesday start date, because he did indicate that this Court would have been available to start trying this case today --

THE COURT: That's true.

MS. JONES: -- when this Court indicated it's availability to overflow. I think it was somewhat of a concession for us -- for him to give us the Wednesday start date. We announced ready. The State announced ready.

MS. PAROLISE: Announced ready?

MS. JONES: We can -- I mean, we have announced not ready; I apologize. We came in and spoke with your JEA in the back and she indicated that we could start on Wednesday. Mr. Tomsheck contacted me Thursday afternoon about 4:00 or a little after 4:00 with this issue.

THE COURT: Okay. So, obviously, Judge Smith made a decision that,

regardless of the representations that were made, that you were ready to go; is that fair to say?

MS. PAROLISE: I don't believe that he made the determination that we were ready. He definitely denied our continuance.

THE COURT: Okay. Then that to me is -- he listened to everything; right?

MS. JONES: Well, we made those representations --

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. JONES: -- to him and he didn't indicate one, you know -- he never said: I deny your continuance. He just said: We'll set it for overflow. If we don't have a department, then it can come back.

THE COURT: Okay. He obviously denied the continuance because he sent it to overflow. And listening to the reasons, he decided they weren't valid enough, at that time, and said: You're going to go to trial and you're going to go to overflow, and obviously, that's what happened. If you can't find a judge, you always come back. So he listened to all this, made a determination.

Judge Barker listened to all this, made a determination, sent it to me, and I've said: I'll make whatever accommodation. So is there -- we're here just to hear about the videotape deposition?

MS. JONES: Correct, Your --

THE COURT: Because I'm ready to take a videotape deposition of Vivian Furlow. Is there a problem with that? And I know that you've known, since last week, because when it was being conveyed to me, I said: This has to be conveyed to Defense Counsel, immediately, so if it does go forward; they know they have to be prepared for cross examination. So that was conveyed to you

_

immediately; right?

MS. JONES: That is correct.

THE COURT: Okay. Good.

MS. JONES: I spoke with Mr. Tomsheck a little after 4 on Thursday and then the motion was e-mailed to us a little after that.

THE COURT: Okay. And do you have any objection?

MS. JONES: We do.

MS. PAROLISE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. What's the objection?

MS. PAROLISE: Well, we -- we object, Your Honor, on procedural and substantive grounds. First and foremost, the Defense is afforded a certain number of days to even respond to a motion. If the State wanted to circumvent that notice requirement, then they would have to file a Motion for an Order to Shorten Time. I don't believe that was done in this case.

THE COURT: Well, I can tell you that I granted permission for the motion to be filed.

MS. PAROLISE: Additionally, Your Honor, the District Court rules hold that in no event may the Notice of a Hearing of a Motion be shortened to less than one full judicial day and that's what we have here. We were told at 4:30 on Thursday.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. PAROLISE: Friday was not a judicial day and we don't have a full judicial day here, so we don't think we've been given adequate time to even fully respond to this motion, at this point.

THE COURT: Okay. Then do you have an objection?

 MS. PAROLISE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The objection is: You haven't had adequate time to respond?

MS. PAROLISE: That the State has not complied with the procedural requirements for filing a motion, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. PAROLISE: Additionally, Your Honor, substantively, the State has the burden of showing that this is a witness that can only testify to certain facts, that they would be essential in that way. That there would be -- that not allowing her testimony would precipitate a failure of justice.

And, additionally, Your Honor, I think this can be easily solved since she's not permanently unavailable. She's just unavailable over the next few weeks. And perhaps the State would like to respond to that issue first.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, why, as an officer of the Court, Mr.

Tomsheck, why don't you tell me -- make a proffer of what she would testify to and why it's so essential that she testify and her testimony be preserved.

MR. TOMSHECK: Judge, this is a case where there are a very few number of witnesses. I anticipate we'll probably call about five, at trial, is all. This is a witness who is very familiar with the victim, with the circumstances related to the crime itself and, in fact, goes to the area where the arson occurs on September 30th of 2008 -- when the arson occurs, and sees the Defendant driving away from the neighborhood. And she describes it as if he's in a rush; he's leaving the scene. She's the only witness that can testify to that fact.

THE COURT: She's the only witness that can place him at the scene of the arson?

MR. TOMSHECK: She's the only witness that can testify to him driving away from the scene of the crime. Additionally, Judge, she calls the Defendant subsequent to that. The Defendant and the victim have a long relationship --

THE COURT: She calls him --

MR. TOMSHECK: She -- she --

THE COURT: -- not the same day -- the next day?

MR. TOMSHECK: She calls him a few days later, I believe. I'm not sure on the exact date. And when she does, the Defendant's prerecorded his own kind of ring tone answering system on his phone where he raps out some lyrics about burning down his baby's mama [sic] house.

So, I mean -- she's the only one that can testify -- provide that information that she made that phone call -- heard his voice saying that. And she actually sees him in the neighborhood of the crime, at the time of the crime, on the day of the crime.

THE COURT: Okay. So the cell phone, the ring --

MS. JONES: I think --

THE COURT: -- that you listened to.

MS. JONES: -- it's a call tone, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. JONES: I think that's what they called it.

THE COURT: Okay. Because sometimes when I call younger people, instead of it ringing, you hear something.

MR. TOMSHECK: That's what you get if you call Ms. Jeanney's phone.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So you -- instead of -- because I have a niece where she always changes the songs, so you hear a song playing. Is that

what you're talking about?

MR. TOMSHECK: That's what it is, except --

THE COURT: And so instead of a song --

MR. TOMSHECK: His own voice.

THE COURT: -- she's going to testify it's Mr. Lesean Collins' voice and he's rapping a song?

MR. TOMSHECK: Correct.

THE COURT: And that song is about burning down his baby's mama's house?

MR. TOMSHECK: Essentially.

THE COURT: Well, give me a little --

MR. TOMSHECK: I don't know the exact words -- something about: If you can't stand the heat, get out the kitchen or you'll get burned down like my baby mama's house or something like that. That's what she testified to in front of the Grand Jury.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else?

MR. TOMSHECK: No, Judge, other than, I think NRS 174.175, allows for exactly this type of testimony be conducted. I don't think we even need to file a written motion. I could have waited till the first day of trial and said: Hey, you know, she's out of the jurisdiction starting tomorrow, except that she already would have been gone.

So it had to be done in this fashion -- had to be brought in front of the Court today, and I think procedurally, that's precisely the type of situation that the statute allows for.

THE COURT: Well, I can tell you, and I have done it without filing a

motion where the parties come in, either side comes in, tells me there's a problem, we take the testimony. We just wait until trial and then we play that person's testimony during trial. So do you want to respond?

MS. JONES: Your Honor, we would like to be --

THE COURT: Really, it's material. They've met their standard of it being material if she's the only one that can place him driving away from the scene.

MS. JONES: And Your Honor, we actually dispute that fact. We dispute that fact. There is a neighbor, Ms. Darlene Heer's. Ms. Darlene Heer's is Shalana Eddins' neighbor. Ms. Darlene Heer's calls the police. There's a 9-1-1 call where she calls the police and she says that she saw Mr. Collins leaving the area, as well as Ms. Vivian Furlow.

She did testify to what Mr. Tomsheck represented at the Grand Jury, but none of that stuff is included in her statement. Her statement differs as to seeing Lesean Collins.

According to her written statement that she wrote at the time of the incident, Lesean Collins had been gone for 10 minutes and she was notified by Darlene Heer's that Lesean Collins had been there. By the time she gets to Grand Jury, her testimony has become: She saw him there.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. JONES: So it's our position -- we don't even know if she saw him there and Ms. Darlene Heer's can put Lesean Collins in the neighborhood, driving away. She testifies on the 9-1-1 call. She tells the 9-1-1 operator a description of the car and says that he's driving away. By the time the call ends, she tells the 9-1-1 operator that he is gone. So it's our position that she can put him at the scene.

MR. TOMSHECK: And Judge, just so the record's abundantly clear on this issue, the statute doesn't require that only one witness be able to testify to it. There could be five people testifying to the same thing as long as it's material.

THE COURT: That's immaterial --

MR. TOMSHECK: Correct.

MS. PAROLISE: But Your Honor, that goes to the --

THE COURT: -- to prevent a failure of justice.

MS. PAROLISE: That's what it goes to, Your Honor. If there's other witnesses that can testify to these facts, then her not testifying would not precipitate a failure of justice.

THE COURT: Well, anyone else testify to the fact that the ring tone, getting out of the house, or your house will be burned down like my baby's mama's house? I'm assuming the victim is -- has a child with the Defendant?

MR. TOMSHECK: Five.

THE COURT: The victim's home?

MR. TOMSHECK: Yeah; they have five children together.

THE COURT: Okay. And it was her home that was burnt down?

MR. TOMSHECK: Correct.

THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else that can testify about this ring tone?

MS. JONES: Judge, her father has made mention of the ring tone at the Grand Jury. He did make mention of contacting Mr. Collins on that same cell phone, so I believe that Robert Eddins would be able to give that testimony.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else?

MR. TOMSHECK: No, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm inclined to allow this to happen, so when can you be ready to do it? Can you be ready at 1 o'clock?

MR. TOMSHECK: State can, Judge.

MS. JONES: We can, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Be ready at 1 o'clock? You have her here?

MR. TOMSHECK: She's here, local. We can have her here at 1 o'clock.

THE COURT: And I'm told -- I have two children with doctors appointments. One has to get a cast off, so it's an important one. So if you want me to do it before or after, just tell me. I don't want to rush anybody; I don't want to put time constraints. I just need to know so I can arrange accordingly.

MR. TOMSHECK: Realistically, Judge, if we actually get started at 1 o'clock, I would think we'd be done by 1:30. I don't -- I can't anticipate it would go anywhere close to an hour. In front of the Grand Jury, her testimony was only six or seven pages.

THE COURT: Well, there's not cross examination at the Grand Jury.

MS. JONES: And that's our point, Your Honor, I don't want to make promises to this Court and prevent this Court from attending your child's doctors appointment, but there --

THE COURT: Well, you won't because I'll get up and leave.

MS. JONES: Okay. There is some cross examination that I would like to have with Ms. Vivian and I -- I just don't want to promise the Court that we'll be finished in 30 minutes and not live up to that.

THE COURT: Right. You don't have to make any promise. I just want to make sure that you know I'm not going to put any time limits but that I will

have to break if it's not done, and then we'll come back and we'll conclude it.

THE DEFENDANT: Well, I ain't gotta be here; right?

THE COURT: What?

THE DEFENDANT: I don't have to be here; do I?

THE COURT: Well, you absolutely have the right to be here.

THE DEFENDANT: No, I -- I know I have a right to be here. I don't wanna be here.

THE COURT: Okay. You have -- I mean, the reason why we do it this way is because you have the right to confront and cross examine the witnesses, so --

MS. JONES: Correct.

THE COURT: -- this is just as though we're in trial. I mean, the jury will see me; they'll see everybody; they'll see it's a court proceeding. If you're not here, that might be strange to the jury.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay. Well, I don't want to be here. They already know what's going on and stuff. They already -- I don't know -- I already told them exactly what really happened so they can handle that. I don't wanna --

THE COURT: Well, it sounds like this is a pretty important witness. I think you should be here.

THE DEFENDANT: Nah.

MS. JONES: And Your --

THE COURT: I think you should be here.

MS. JONES: And I apologize, Your Honor, Ms. Parolise and myself will speak to him regarding that after this Court takes a break, but in reference to that, Your Honor, if the jury is going to see Mr. Collins, we would like to make

a motion that he get dressed before 1 o'clock so that he is not in the jail --1 2 THE COURT: He can get dressed or if he can't get dressed --3 THE DEFENDANT: I can't get dressed; I don't have my clothes. THE COURT: What? Calm down. What do you mean you can't get 4 dressed? 5 THE DEFENDANT: I don't even have my -- I didn't even have my -- I 6 didn't even know we was going to Court today. 7 THE COURT: They'll provide you clothes. 8 9 THE DEFENDANT: I didn't even know that. When they come and get me 10 out of here. I've been sitting in there --THE COURT: They have clothes. 11 THE DEFENDANT: -- for four hours, ma'am. Judge, I --12 THE COURT: 1 --13 14 THE DEFENDANT: -- been sitting here for four hours. THE COURT: Well, it was a long morning. 15 THE DEFENDANT: This was put on at 11 o'clock. 16 THE COURT: I've been sitting here for four hours, too. 17 THE DEFENDANT: I've been sitting in a room, freezing. I've been sitting 18 in a room freezing for four hours -- freezing for four hours. And I've been 19 sitting there for another 30 minutes. 20 THE COURT: You can get changed, great, if not --21 THE DEFENDANT: I don't even have my clothes. 22 THE COURT: -- I can do a camera lock 23 24 THE DEFENDANT: I ain't wearing shit. THE COURT: -- and he won't be -- he won't be shown. But he does 25

have the right to confront and cross examine. He absolutely has the right to be 1 able to assist you in any cross examination. 2 THE DEFENDANT: Can't do that without my shit. 3 THE COURT: I think you should be here, Mr. Collins. 4 THE DEFENDANT: Well, I can't do that if I'm not allowed to get my 5 6 clothes; so, you understand me? THE COURT: We'll get your clothes; right? We'll get him clothes, get 7 him dressed out. Okay. 8 THE DEFENDANT: I got my own goddamn clothes. 9 THE COURT: They have an hour to get you dressed out; we'll get you 10 dressed out. What? Okay. We'll see you back here in --11 MS. PAROLISE: And Your Honor --12 THE COURT: What? 13 MS. PAROLISE: I'm sorry. There's one other issue with regards to the 14 trial that we wanted to address. Would you prefer we address it when we 15 come back or --16 THE COURT: Probably, because I want him to be able to get dressed 17 out. 18 19 MS. PAROLISE: Okay. MS. JONES: Thank you, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Does it have anything to do with his testimony before we 21 22 start our testimony? 23 MS. PAROLISE: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Then we can do it after the fact. Let's just get him 24 25 dressed out.

should come in. Well, you don't have to come in. I'm not going to force you

to come in, but I'm telling you, I think it's in your best interest to come in so

19

20

21

22

23

24

that hyomass tendpyon levyor you bab. Research voice now it something happens in here the voiceon't like If the cool back so said I the over the comes. Do you understand that the Yell course I'm not going to wait around all day. You're not going to delay me.

want to come in? You want to talk to your lawyer? You want to talk tel Dianne Dickson / Do you know who Dianne Dickson is ?...

ve got things to do. You got plenty of time, but I don't. Do you want to come in or not?. Why are you acting like this? You're always a gentleman: when you come to Court, so why are you acting like this now? Why don't you want to come in?

THE DEFENDANT: [Indiscernible]...

THE COURT: Then if you want to make a record of that then you've got to come in here because we're being recorded. The recording can hear me, but they can't hear you.

MSE JONESE Carrect.

10

11

12

THE COURT: So if there's something you want to put on the record, I suggest you come in or you've waived it. What a Okaye I'm going to start. Your understand you have the right to come in controls, cross examine, and sit there with your rewyers? buriffs you choice to sit in here. And your dues 20 Come to the hater till were cone co very inderstant that

Apellicather at the action of the action of the This per the word new reproduction to the confidence in the The Continue of the Continue o

A SE THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE

翻阅的智慧的描写描述 医胸膜外膜 经成本的 医二种甲状腺 医二氏征

MS. JONES: Thank you, Dianne.

THE COURT: You've got about 30 seconds --

MS. DIXON: Thank you.

THE COURT: -- and then we're going to start.

[Judge returns to the bench]

THE COURT: We were on the whole time, right, Kerry?

COURT RECORDER: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: Could you hear me?

COURT RECORDER: I could hear you, but --

THE COURT: Okay. The record reflect --

COURT RECORDER: -- not him.

THE COURT: -- that I did go to the door and try to speak to Mr. Collins, who indicated he just did not want to come in here. I don't know why he doesn't want to come in here. He looks perfectly fine. I told him I would not put the camera on him because, apparently, he did not want to dress out.

I said: I would lock the camera on the witness only, which I have the ability to do and I've already told Kerry to do that. I told him that. He doesn't want to come in. He feels like his rights have been violated, and I told him that the only way he could come in and make a record of his rights being violated is if he came in here, told his lawyers, and made a record of it. And I told him he was going to be waiving any rights that he thought were violated if he didn't come in here and make a record of it.

He said: That was fine. I asked him if he wanted to talk to you guys. He said: It was okay. The record reflect: Ms. Dickson is in there right now attempting to talk to him. But I did tell him I'm not going to allow

him to delay the proceedings, any further.

You know, I don't know if he's the one that wanted a continuance or what this is, but it seems like he's going way out of his way to try to get a continuance or try to prevent the Court from proceeding any further. And I volunteered to take this case.

COURT MARSHAL: One of you guys want --

MS. PAROLISE: Thank you, Your Honor. I just wanted to make -- while we're waiting, if we can make a few records.

THE COURT: Okay. Only a couple minutes. Ms. Dickson is going to have a couple minutes and then we're starting.

MS. PAROLISE: Thank Your Honor. I realize that when we left that I didn't -- I don't know that we got on the record, what your -- exactly what -- specifically, what your ruling was. It was my understanding that you did not believe that the State had to provide a written motion.

THE COURT: The statute says they do.

MS. PAROLISE: Okay. So --

THE COURT: I reviewed the statute and I'm sure you did too. The statute says they did. I did -- just so it's clear. I did say, last week, through a staff member, as the information came to me, that the State was seeking to take somebody's -- you have to understand -- it came to me that I was getting a case from overflow.

I don't know anything about it, but that the State was going to be seeking a request to take somebody's testimony because they were going on a great vacation. And I said -- immediately -- immediately, I said: Have the State inform Defense Counsel, immediately, of the request so that, in the event the

5 6

7 8

9

11

12

10

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

MS. PAROLISE: Okav.

Court does grant the request, that you will have known -- and we know, we all had a three-day weekend --- you would have had plenty of time in order to prepare for this witness' cross examination. And so, I'm told that that did happen; correct?

MS. PAROLISE: We were told on Thursday afternoon, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. And that would have been -- yes, that would have been the time -- about the time that I was told. And I -- I said he'd -- I did not--I did not require the State to file an OST, but my request was, I guess, similar to that as I said: Make it known to the Defense, immediately.

MS. PAROLISE: Okay.

THE COURT: And that I would hear it -- I would hear both sides Monday morning. But, quite frankly, I couldn't understand why there would be an objection. And I guess the only reason I can think you're making an objection today is to seek a continuance. I haven't heard anything else, except, she'd be available in three weeks and you want a continuance.

I get you want a continuance. Judge Smith made the decision. You don't get a continuance. We got to proceed on the third judge. We're beyond that.

MS. PAROLISE: Okay. And I appreciate that, Your Honor, and just -what was your ruling on our argument that even when an Order Shortening Time is granted, it cannot be granted on anything shorter than one full judicial day?

THE COURT: I told them they did not have to file an Order Shortening Time. So an Order Shortening Time was not requested.

THE COURT: I told them to make it known to you right away. You knew Thursday. Have you had a -- I mean, Friday, Saturday, Sunday, Monday. This is the fourth day, and you know, I hate to say it, but I work every weekend, so I just assume, if you have something to do, you work and you get it done. That we don't say: Well, it's the weekend so, I just didn't do it. I assume you've had a full opportunity to prepare for this cross examination because you were given notice to get prepared; correct?

MS. PAROLISE: No, Your Honor. We don't think that we had an adequate opportunity in which to prepare and it was compounded by the fact that we announced not ready last week.

And I think there's another issue that we might want to talk about because we have a new ground to ask for either a continuance or a Motion to Strike a Witness because the State just noticed us. We didn't receive the notice this morning until -- that there was going to be --

THE COURT: Now don't go on to something else. We're just -- I mean -- MS. PAROLISE: Okay.

THE COURT: I mean, if he's not going to come in, let's get started.

MS. PAROLISE: Okay.

THE COURT: Tell Ms. Dickson: Let's go. Ms. Dickson, I appreciate you coming over here. It doesn't appear as though you -- oh, did you convince him?

MS. DICKSON: Yes.

THE COURT: Well, hallelujah. Guess who we're going to call from now on? Thank you very much.

MS. DICKSON: You're welcome.

 [Defendant enters courtroom]

THE COURT: Okay. Let the record reflect: Mr. Collins has entered the courtroom. Thank you very much for joining us, and I also want to make sure you -- see your screen right there, Mr. Collins?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: That's what will be recorded and that's the only thing that the jury will see, so that they won't ever see you, because it's my understanding you didn't want to dress out today either. That's fine.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: We'll keep the camera locked on the witness. I think it's a good thing you came in. I think you made the right decision and I thank -- I thank Ms. Dickson.

Okay. Now, Counsel, you're saying that even though you were informed that you should prepare for cross examination, you didn't have a chance. So what prevented you from preparing for cross examination knowing that there's a real possibility this would happen today?

MS. PAROLISE: Thank you, Your Honor, and the issue here is that we announce not ready, so we actually have been working since Wednesday, furiously, since we got out of our other trial. We did come in and work on this weekend, but it is our position, Your Honor, that we're just --

THE COURT: You did work on this weekend?

MS. PAROLISE: We did work this weekend --

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. PAROLISE: -- Your Honor, and we just are not ready to go, at this point, because we have not completed our investigation. Which means that,

our ability to cross examine Ms. Vivian Furlow, effectively, is limited at this point. At this point, it's our position that --

THE COURT: Okay. When you go I'm going to -- because I'm going through here because now I have -- I've been given more information. You were given a firm date by Judge Smith; is that correct?

MS. PAROLISE: That is correct.

MS. JONES: Correct.

THE COURT: You were given a firm setting of October 28th, 2009, and it appears to me that you were given that firm setting -- let's see --

MR. TOMSHECK: August 12th.

THE COURT: -- August 12th, 2009.

MR. TOMSHECK: And Judge --

THE COURT: Okay. Counsel stated: Matter has not been resolved -request a continuance. State had no opposition. Did note readiness. Court
ordered trial date, vacated and reset, however, it is very clear that the Court
set it for a firm setting. So I'm sure you know what a firm setting is, and I'm
assuming Judge Smith made that known to you that a firm setting meant a firm
setting.

MS. PAROLISE: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. PAROLISE: Actually I wasn't there. Ms. Jones could probably talk about that more. However, at the end of the day -- because we were in -- at a different trial, we just weren't ready to go forward at that time. I appreciate the Court did inform us it was a firm setting, but it -- we're ineffective at this point, Your Honor, because we were not -- we were not able to completely

prepare for this trial.

THE COURT: Okay. Then you're going to have to give me -- if you're going to say that you're ineffective, you're going to need to give me very specific things of what you would have done that you didn't get an opportunity to do.

MS. PAROLISE: And we'd be happy to do that, in camera, Your Honor.

MR. TOMSHECK: Judge. Here's --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. TOMSHECK: Here's the situation. That's fine. I just want to make a brief record because this is about the fifth time they brought it up today since they were told it had already been litigated and addressed. This was a case that was set for trial back in August. On August 12th, I believe it was, we appeared for calendar call in front of Judge Smith.

The State announced: Ready. Said at that time, we only had a handful of witnesses; we're prepared to go. Judge Smith granted them a continuance. We didn't oppose it, but it was with the understanding that it would be a firm setting for the next trial setting.

What the thrust of this is Judge, is that, the Defendant has a murder trial that's currently set for next year, had been set at around this same time. It's pretty obvious they're attempting to get this case to trail that case, and I understand why they may want to do that, but that's not a legal basis for a continuance. And just for the record --

THE COURT: He has a murder trial set for next year.

MR. TOMSHECK: In March.

THE COURT: In March of 2010.

MR. TOMSHECK: That's in front of Judge Togliatti, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. TOMSHECK: And just for the record, I'm holding up the entirety of the North Las Vegas Police Department discovery in this case. It's about maybe 15 pages. If you include all the discovery, including the Grand Jury transcripts, you've got less than a hundred pages of discovery in this entire case.

Judge Smith said, in August: Look, I'll give you your continuance now. And it's very clear on the record, he said: You will be going to trial November 2nd. There would be no more continuances. That was cut and dry, set in stone.

And I understand that these particular attorneys may have been in trial last week, but I guarantee you they weren't in trial since August 12th through last week. And I can tell the Court that there's only a very limited amount of information in this case that they would have to prepare for. What they represented at the last calendar call in front of Judge Smith, is that, the Defendant wasn't cooperating and hadn't given them the names of witnesses and that's why they couldn't be ready.

Judge Smith said: Well, look, if he's not willing to cooperate with his lawyers, that's on him. I told you guys you're going to be ready to go, you're going to be ready to go, and sent us to overflow. In front of Judge Barker last --

THE COURT: He said that last -- at the last time they sought a continuance?

MR. TOMSHECK: Wednesday of last week.

THE COURT: They said: Mr. Collins was not speaking to them?

MR. TOMSHECK: That just that day -- began to give them information about witnesses to their investigator who is present in Court.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. TOMSHECK: Judge Smith set it the next morning for calendar call in front of Judge Barker on the overflow calendar. The Defense, again, said they weren't ready.

Judge Barker said -- we're made aware of the record Judge Smith had made, looked at his calendar and indicated it was, in fact, a firm setting. Said, as an accommodation to the Defense: I will give you a couple days to prepare those witnesses that the Defendant's now giving you information on.

And I told Ms. Jones, that day: You need to notice those witnesses because we're coming up on a deadline, so, apparently, they did that because over the weekend, I got a list of witnesses that they wanted to prepare for their case.

But in terms of this particular witness today and, in fact, the entirety of this whole trial, there's very limited amount of preparation that would need to be done -- a very small case.

THE COURT: Okay. But I've just been told that even though they were given four days notice for one witness that apparently took six -- six minutes at the Grand Jury, that they haven't been given an opportunity to cross exam -- given an opportunity to prepare for cross examination; so I'd like to hear it. So if the State doesn't mind stepping outside.

MR. TOMSHECK: Not at all, Judge.

THE COURT: I will let them make their record. I will order that this part

7
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

	of the record be sealed, obviously, so the State does not have access to this
I	during trial.
	[Counsel for the State exit courtroom]
	[Portion of Proceedings sealed from 2:34:15 to 2:48:33 p.m.]
	[Proceedings resumed from 2:48:33 p.m.]
	THE COURT: Okay. Bring the State back in and call our witness.
	[Counsel for the State returns to courtroom]
l	THE COURT: You can call your witness.
	MR. TOMSHECK: State calls Vivian Furlow.
	THE COURT: Okay. You know, I said earlier, the Grand Jury of April 7th
l	it appears as though the Grand Jury took place on February 24th and April 7th;
ĺ	correct?
	MS. JONES: Correct, Your Honor.
	THE COURT: Okay.
	COURT CLERK: Ma'am, I need you to remain standing please. Raise
	your right hand.
	VIVIAN FURLOW,
	being first duly sworn as a witness, testified as follows:
l	THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. Will you please state your
	full name, spelling your first and last name for the record

THE WITNESS: Vivian Furlow, V-I-V-I-A-N, Furlow, F-U-R-L-O-W.

MR. TOMSHECK: May I proceed, Judge?

THE COURT: You may.

DIRECT EXAMINATION Ms. Furlow, did you previously have a relationship with somebody named Robert Eddins? And can you describe that relationship? For approximately how long? About nine years. During the course of those nine years that you dated Robin Eddins -- Robert Eddins, did you meet his daughter, Shalana? And did you know Shalana the entirety of the time you were

Α Yes: I did.

dating Robert Eddins?

BY MR. TOMSHECK:

Yes: I did.

Dating.

Yes; I did.

Q

Α

 \mathbf{Q}

Α

Q

Α

Q

Α

Q

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Did you get to know Shalana and her children? Q
- Α Yes; I did.
- \mathbf{Q} And did you get to know the father of those children?
- Α Yes: I did.
- What was that individual's name? Q
- Α Lesean Collins.
- And did you know Lesean Collins, well, in the time he was Q dating Shalana Eddins?
 - Α For the most part; yes.
 - You see Mr. Collins in the courtroom today? Q

	11	
1	a	And after your relationship with Robert Eddins ended, did you
2	maintain a c	close relationship with his daughter, Shalana?
3	A	Yes.
4	<u> </u>	And did you maintain a close relationship with Shalana's
5	children that	t she had with the Defendant?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	The phone call that you received from Robert Eddins, what time
8	of day was i	it that you received that phone call on September 30 th of 2008?
9	Α	It was in the evening on about after 6:30, 6:40, something
10	like that.	
11	a	Where were you when you received that phone call?
12	A	I was at home.
13	Q	And for the record, what part of town, by major cross street
14	intersection v	were you living in back in September of last year?
15	Α	Cheyenne and Durango.
16	a	When you received that phone call from Mr. Eddins, did he
17	make a reque	est of you?
18	А	Yes; he did.
19	Q	What did he ask you to do?
20	Α	He asked if I would go pick up the boys
21	MS. JO	NES: Objection, Your Honor, hearsay.
22	THE CO	OURT: It's being offered for the truth of the matter?
23	MR. TO	MSHECK: It's just being offered for what the effect was on the
24	receiver of the	e phone call to show what she did next, Judge.

1	Α	Yes; he did.
2	a	And do you have an understanding that the ride that they were
3	going to ge	t wasn't going to be able to pick them up?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	The area of town you were asked to go to was, where?
6	A	Simmons and Ann.
7	a	Is that the area where Shalana Eddins lived?
8	A	Yes.
9	a	Had you previously been to her house?
10	А	Yes.
11	□	And for the record, was that 1519 Laguna Palms?
12	Α	Yes; it was.
13	Q Q	And is that in North Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada?
14	A	Yes; it is.
15	a	Did you have an understanding of whether or not the children
16	were home a	at the time or were they somewhere else?
17	А	Somewhere else.
18	a	Where was the somewhere else?
19	Α	The next door neighbor's.
20	Q	So next door to 1519 Laguna Palms?
21	A	Yes.
22	a	For the record, do you remember the house number of the
23	house next d	oor?
24	Α	No; I don't.
25	a	Something in the 1500 block of that same street?
l l		

1	A	Yes.
2	<u>a</u>	Did Mr. Eddins give you directions to that location?
3	A	No.
4	∥ •	Did he tell you, essentially, it's next door to Shalana's house?
5	A	Yes.
6	<u>a</u>	Did you drive there?
7	A	Yes; I did.
8	a	And when you drove there, can you describe the route you
9	would take to	go into that neighborhood?
10	А	Yes. On my way home, I would take Craig Road heading east,
11	get on the 95	exit Ann Road, take Ann Road just past Simmons.
12	a	And then you'd turn into a residential neighborhood?
13	А	Yes.
14	Q	The homes in that neighborhood, are they freestanding homes?
15	Is it an apartm	ent complex?
16	A	Freestanding homes.
17	Q	And as you were driving into that neighborhood, did you see
18	someone that	you recognized?
19	Α	Yes.
20	a	Who did you see?
21	Α	Lesean.
22	Q	And where did you see Lesean?
23	Α	He was leaving the home going the opposite direction. I was
24	heading east; h	ne was heading west.
25	Q	Was he on foot or in a vehicle?

1	A	în a vehicle.
2	<u>a</u>	I'm assuming, since you knew him, you'd seen him before and
3	could recog	nize him?
4	A	Yes.
5	a	The vehicle he was in was he driving the vehicle?
6	Α	Yes.
7	a	Approximately how long did it take you, from the time you got
8	that phone of	call, a little after 6:30, to get over to the neighborhood where you
9	1	
10	A	About 15 minutes.
11	<u>a</u>	As you saw the Defendant, can you describe his rate of speed
12	as he was d	riving away?
13	A	It was pretty fast.
14	a	After you saw him, did you then go to where the children were
15	next door at	Shalana's house?
16	A	Yes; I did.
17	a	And did you park the car?
18	Α	Yes; I did.
19	Ω	Did you come into contact with someone there?
20	А	Yes; I did.
21	Q	Who was that?
22	Α	Darlene, which was the neighbor.
23	a	Okay. Was the neighbor watching Shalana and the Defendant's
24	children at th	e time?
25	Α	She was watching the children; yes.

1	Q When you saw her, can you describe her demeanor?
2	A She was very franticky [sic] frantic, upset, antsy, you know
3	constantly going back and forth, pacing.
4	Q When you say she was frantic and upset, what things did you
5	see her do that led you to believe that she was frantic and upset?
6	A She had the phone in her hands. She was calling the police.
7	She was back and forth to the windows, to the door, because when I came up
8	on knocked on the door, you know, she's peeping out to see who I was,
9	because she had never met me before and I explained to her who I was and
10	what I was there for.
11	Q Okay. Did you speak with her at that time?
12	A Yes; I did.
13	Q And when you spoke to her, was she still doing those types of
14	things you described?
15	A Yes.
16	Q What did she tell you?
17	MS. JONES: Objection, Your Honor, hearsay.
18	MR. TOMSHECK: Judge, as I
19	THE COURT: Overruled. It comes in under the excited utterance.
20	MR. TOMSHECK: Thank you, Judge.
21	BY MR. TOMSHECK:
22	Q What did she tell you?
23	A She had explained to me that she had got the kids she was
24	upset that she had to take the kids because she had had a confrontation with
25	Lesean. He was there at the house.

	11	
1	∥ A	Yes; I was going to go and get clothing for them and take them
2	to their gra	ndmother's house.
3	a	Okay. Did you attempt to do that?
4	Α	Yes; I did.
5	Q	When you talked to Darlene, was it inside or outside?
6	A	Both.
7	a	Of her house?
8	A	Of yes, Darlene's house.
9	a	Okay. After you went outside of Darlene's house, where did
10	you go?	
11	A	When I went to Darlene's house, I was going over to Shalana's
12	house and t	hen, that's when the police had arrived.
13	Q	Okay. And you mentioned that you had seen Darlene call the
14	police?	
15	A	Yes.
16	a	While you were talking to Darlene, was anyone else present at
17	Darlene's ho	use?
18	A	Her husband.
19	Q	And while you were talking to Darlene and her husband, did her
20	ľ	on't tell us what he said yet, but did her husband say anything as
21	he was perce	eiving, seeing, hearing, smelling something?
22	А	Yes.
23	a	What did he say?
24	Α	He said that he had smelled smoke.
25	Q	Okay. Did you eventually smell smoke?

]]	
1	<u>a</u>	Did you dial the number?
2	A	Yes; I did.
3	a	And did you actually talk to someone on the other end?
4	Α	No; I did not.
5	a	What happened?
6	A	I heard the recording had a recording.
7	a	Okay. Let me ask you about the recording that you heard, did
8	you recognize	e the voice?
9	A	Yes.
10	<u>a</u>	And whose voice was it?
11	A	Lesean's.
12	Q	And had you obviously heard his voice in the past?
13	Α	Yes.
14	Q	And so you recognized that as his voice?
15	A	Yes.
16	a	Can you describe what you heard in the Defendant's voice
17	when you ma	de that phone call?
18	А	It was him rapping singing.
19	a	Okay. What do you mean?
20	Α	He was rapping a tune talking about various things. And
21	then, towards	the end, there was a reference: If you can't stay if you can't
22	take the heat,	get out of the kitchen or you'll burn just like my baby's mama's
23	house.	
24	a	Okay. In other words, was it was it a voice recording? Was
25	it a ring tone?	Are you familiar with the terminology for that?

1	A	Yes; when I called, that's what I heard. I didn't hear a ring. I
2	heard that the recording.	
3	∥ a	Okay. From your experience, have you called numbers before
4	where you h	ear music playing as opposed to the sound of a phone ringing?
5	Α	Yes.
6	a	And did it appear to you to be that kind of recording?
7	Α	Yes.
8	a	In the Defendant's own voice?
9	Α	Yes.
10	a	Were there multiple topics discussed on that?
11	Α	Yes.
12	a	And the last one that you mentioned was what?
13	Α	Was: If you can't take the heat, stay out of the kitchen or
14	you'll burn lik	ke my baby's mama's house.
15	MR. TOMSHECK: I'll pass the witness, Judge.	
16	THE CO	OURT: Cross?
17		CROSS EXAMINATION
18	BY MS. JONE	ES:
19	a	Ms. Furlow, you testified that you knew about the relationship
20	between Lese	ean and Shalana; correct?
21	Α	Yes.
22	a	Yes. But you were never present during all of the times?
23	Α	All of the what?
24	a	l apologize; I'll rephrase. You said you knew that their
25	relationship, b	pasically, was having some problems as it was ending?

1	A	Yes.
2	a .	And you knew that they had been fighting?
3	A	Yes.
4	a	But you were never present during all of the fights?
5	A	Not all of the fights; no.
6	Q	So you can't describe everything that was going on?
7	Α	No.
8	Q	And your testimony today is that you received a call from
9	Robert Eddi	ns at approximately 6:40 to pick up the kids?
10	A	Uh-huh.
11	a	Yes.
12	THE	COURT: I'm sorry, 6:30. I thought you said 6:30.
13	THE V	VITNESS: At 6:30, 6:40? Uh-huh.
14	THE C	OURT: Between 6:30 and
15	THE V	VITNESS: 6:40, uh-huh
16	THE C	OURT: Okay.
17	BY MS. JON	IES:
18	Q	You received a call between 6:30 and 6:40?
19	А	Uh huh.
20	Q	And your testimony today is that it took you 15 minutes to get
21	to the location	on from where you were?
22	A	Approximately; yes.
23	a	Do you remember testifying that you you remember testifying
24	before the G	rand Jury; correct?
25	Α	Yes.
- 1		

1	a	And when you testified before the Grand Jury, do you
2	remember s	aying that you picked up the kids at 6:15 or 6:30?
3	A	It was an approximate; yes.
4	a	Did you remember saying that?
5	Α	Yeah; now; yes. Recollected; yes.
6	a	So you said you picked them up at 6:15 or 6:30, but your
7	testimony to	oday is, you didn't get the call until 6:00 between 6:30 and 6:40?
8	A	Right.
9	<u>a</u>	And do you remember writing a statement in this case?
10	Α	Yes; I did.
11	a	And you filled that out and you signed the bottom that
12	everything ir	there is accurate?
13	Α	Yes.
14	a	And do you remember saying, in a statement, that you received
15	the call at 6:	40?
16	Α	Yes.
17	a	And after you received the call from Robert Eddins, you proceed
18	to the neighb	orhood?
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	Correct. All right. And when you arrive at the neighborhood;
21	the police officers were already there?	
22	Α	No.
23	α	So they were not already there?
24	Α	No; they were not.
25	Q	So they came after you arrived?
- 11		1

1	A	Yes.
2	a	Okay. How long had you been at you were at Ms. Heer's
3	residence; co	rrect?
4	A	Darlene?
5	a	Darlene.
6	A	Yes.
7	a	How long were you at Darlene's residence before the police
8	officers arrive	d?
9	Α	About maybe 30, 40 minutes.
10	Q.	And that was the time that the police officers arrive?
11	A	Right.
12	a	Do you remember testifying in front of the Grand Jury on this
13	matter?	
14	A	Yes.
15	a	Do you remember testifying that you had been at Darlene Heer's
16	residence for	an hour before the police arrived?
17	A	I think I said approximately, or about an hour.
18	Q	Would looking at that transcript from the Grand Jury refresh
19	your recollecti	on?
20	A	Sure.
21	MS. JON	IES: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?
22	THE COL	JRT: You may. Volume 1 or 2?
23	MS. JON	IES: It's in Volume 1, Your Honor, page 27. Can you start
24	reading at line	20 and go through 21.
25	THE COL	JRT: Just read to yourself, ma'am.

1	 TH	IE WITNESS: Okay.
2	BY MS	JONES:
3		Do you remember saying that you had almost been there for an
4	hour?	
5	A	Almost an hour.
6		And then that's when the police officers arrived?
7	A	Yes.
8	a	And so, the police officers arrived at approximately 7:15?
9	A	Yes; I'm assuming.
10	<u>a</u>	And when you reach Shalana's residence, or when you reach
11	Darlene's	residence, Lesean was not present at Shalana's residence?
12	A	He was not in the house; no.
13	<u>a</u>	And Darlene indicated to you he had left 10 minutes before
14	that?	
15	A	She had seen him about ten minutes prior to.
16	a	And is your testimony today that, you did not see him when
17	you arrive	ed at the residence?
18	A	I saw him in the car, leaving. I did not see him at the residence.
19	Q	Where did you see him leaving?
20	A	The street at the Laguna Hills. He was heading west on Laguna
21	Hills.	
22	a	Okay. And when you testified in front of the Grand Jury, do
23	you remen	nber saying that you saw him at her residence?
24	Α	No; I did not say I don't remember saying: I saw him at the
25	residence.	I said: leaving.

	11	
1	a	Okay. Would looking at a copy of the Grand Jury transcript
2	refresh your	recollection?
3	A	Sure.
4		MS. JONES: Your Honor, it's going to be page 24 in Volume 1,
5	on line 15.	
6	BY MS. JON	IES:
7	a	If you could just start reading from here on line 15. Let me
8	know when	you're finished.
9	A	Okay.
10	Q	Looking at a copy of that transcript, does it refresh your
11	recollection	that you said you saw him at her residence?
12	Α	No; it says that I was I saw him leaving the residence.
13	O.	But does it say: At her residence, before leaving the residence?
14	A	Leaving her
15	a	Does that line start: At her residence?
16	Α	At her residence leaving her residence.
17	a	Okay. And Ms. Darlene Heers, was that the first time you had
18	ever met Ms.	Darlene Heers?
19	Α	Yes; it was.
20	a	So you don't you had no previous contact with her?
21	Α	No.
22	α	So you don't know what Ms. Darlene Heer's demeanor is on an
23	everyday bas	is?
24	А	No; I do not.
25	a	So you don't know if her demeanor was abnormal?

	<u> </u>	
1	Α	I know it was a frantic she was excited. I mean, she was
2	upset about v	what was going on. She expressed that to me.
3	<u>a</u>	But you don't know if that was abnormal because you have no
4	relationship w	vith her; correct?
5	Α	No; correct.
6	a	And you said that you called Lesean's phone?
7	Α	Yes; I did.
8	a	At what time did you call the phone?
9	A	This would probably be probably after 8 o'clock. It was after
10	the fire depart	ment was there putting the fire out.
11	Q	So the fire had already been reported; correct?
12	A	Yes.
13	٥	And the fire department, to the best of your recollection, had
14	actually prob	pably put the fire out by then?
15	A	They were still in the house.
16	Q	And when you arrived at the residence, was it ever brought to
17	your attention	that, previously, the children had been at home?
18	Α	Yes.
19	Q	But by the time you arrived on the scene, they were at Darlene
20	Heer's house?	
21	А	Yes.
22	Q	But they had been home alone?
23	Α	They had been home. I don't know if they were home alone or
24	not.	
25		

1	<u>a</u>	But to your knowledge, there was no adult there? You don't
2	know of an	adult being present?
3	A	Well, I was told that someone else was there at the house with
4	them.	
5	a	Okay. That's fine.
6	A	Uh-huh.
7	Q	And you said that when you called Lesean, you had gotten his
8	phone numb	er from Shalana's friend?
9	A	Yes.
10	<u>a</u>	Who is this friend?
11	A	Nene [phonetic].
12	a	l apologize.
13	A	Nene [phonetic].
14	a	Is Nene [phonetic] her real name or does
15	A	I think it's Anitra's [phonetic] her real name.
16	a	Anitra [phonetic]?
17	Α	Uh-huh.
18	۵	And she gave you his phone number?
19	THE C	OURT: Is that a yes?
20	THE W	ITNESS: Anitra. Yes.
21	THE CO	OURT: You have to say yes or no, not uh-huh.
22	THE W	ITNESS: Yes.
23	THE CO	DURT: Okay. Thank you.
24	BY MS. JONI	ES:
25	Q	And she gave you Shalana's phone number?
ľ		\

	-	
1	Α	Right. And she had to give you the number because normally
2	you didn	't have contact with him?
3	A	No.
4	a	Do you remember the number that she gave to you?
5	A	No; I do not.
6		And Mr. Tomsheck asked you a couple of questions but just to
7	be sure.	What you heard on the cell phone is not the voicemail; correct?
8	A	When I dialed the number, it started playing it so, I don't know if
9	it was a	voicemail I can't say that it was a voicemail or a recording, because
10	it went s	traight to the so it could have been a ringtone, because it went
11	straight 1	to I didn't hear any ringing. It went straight to the singing.
12	a	And did you did it give you an opportunity to leave a message?
13	A	Yes
14	a	It did?
15	А	Uh-huh.
16	a	Did you leave a message?
17	Α	No; I did not.
18	Q	So you never left him a message?
19	А	No.
20	a	What was the purpose of you calling him?
21	Α	The reason why I called was because Lesean's friend who was
22	there, he	had called. She had got a phone call and she said
23	THE	E COURT: Shalana's friend who? Anitra [phonetic]?
24	TH	E WITNESS: Uh-huh.
25	THE	COURT: Okay.

1	THE	WITNESS: Last received a whom a self
		WITNESS: I got received a phone call.
2		JONES: Okay. Before you get into that, don't tell us anything that
3		onetic] said.
4	THE	WITNESS: Okay.
5	THE	COURT: Okay. So the question is, why did you call Mr. Collins?
6	THE	WITNESS: Because Anitra [phonetic] asked me to dial the number
7	MS.	JONES: Okay.
8	THE	WITNESS: Because of what she had heard.
9	BY MS. JO	NES:
10	<u> </u>	Okay. But you had not previously spoken with Mr. Collins?
11	A	No.
12	a a	And you never actually spoke with him?
13	A	No.
14	a	When Robert Eddins contacted you to go pick up the children,
15	where was	he calling you from?
16	A	Shalana's employment.
17	a	l apologize.
18	А	Shalana's place of employment.
19	Ω	And do you know the address over there?
20	Α	No; I do not.
21	α	Okay. Do you know where she works?
22	А	I know it's for an architecture firm.
23	a	And when you made the call to Mr. Collins, were you calling
24	 from your pl	hone or were you calling from someone else's phone?
25	А	I called from my phone.
ŀ		

1	Q Okay. And what's the number to your phone?
2	A My phone number?
3	Q Yes.
4	A 496-1931.
5	THE COURT: Was that your number back on the date of this incident?
6	THE WITNESS: Yes; it is.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	MS. JONES: Nothing further, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Any redirect?
10	MR. TOMSHECK: Just real quick, Judge.
11	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. TOMSHECK:
13	Q The Defense attorney had asked you some questions about
14	what you testified to in a previous proceeding in this case; do you remember
15	testifying then?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And that was back in February of this year?
18	A Yes.
19	O There was a question about what you recall testifying to at that
20	time; do you remember saying 6:15 or 6:30?
21	A Yeah; it was like an approximate, because I don't remember the
22	exact time. It was an approximate.
23	Q Okay. The night this occurred, did you fill out a voluntary
24	statement?
25	A Yes; I did for the fire department and the police department.
11	

]]		
1	MR. TO	OMSHECK: May I approach the witness, Judge?	
2	THE CO	THE COURT: You may.	
3	BY MR. TOM	BY MR. TOMSHECK:	
4	Q.	As I hand you that statement, do you recognize the handwriting	
5	on it?		
6	A	Yes.	
7	Q	Do you recognize the signature at the bottom?	
8	Α	Yes.	
9	α	Do you see a time of date, down at the bottom, right above	
10	your signatur	e?	
11	A	Yes.	
12	<u>0</u>	What time does it say?	
13	A	7:46 p.m.	
14	a	Okay. On September 30 th of 2008?	
15	A	Yes.	
16	a	And in that statement, did you indicate to the police when the	
17	phone call fro	m Robert Eddins came to you?	
18	Α	Yes.	
19	Ω	What time did you say?	
20	A	6:40 p.m.	
21	a	Okay. So on that night at 7:46, you indicated the time of 6:40;	
22	is that right?		
23	А	Yes.	
24	a	When you were in Darlene Heer's, presence, on the evening of	
25	September 30 ^t	h, do you remember speaking to her both outside and inside?	

	#	
1	Α	Yes.
2	<u>a</u>	Do you remember seeing her with a phone?
3	A	Yes.
4	<u>a</u>	And do you remember her actually calling the police while you
5	were there?	
6	Α	Yes, she had called. Yeah. She called the police while I was
7	there. That w	as her she said that was her second time calling.
8	a	Opposing Counsel also asked you a couple questions about
9	whether or no	t you saw the Defendant or didn't see the Defendant at Shalana
10	Eddins' reside	nce; do you remember those questions?
11	Α	Yes.
12	۵	And you remember she showed you page 24 from your previous
13	testimony?	
14	Α	Yes.
15	MR. TO	MSHECK: Can I approach the witness one more time, Judge?
16	THE CO	JRT: You may.
17	BY MR. TOMS	HECK:
18	a	Do you remember what was actually asked of you, at that
19	previous proce	eding, in this case?
20	THE COL	JRT: What page are you referring to
21	MR. TON	ISHECK: For the record, it's page 24, line 14.
22	THE COL	JRT: Thank you.
23	MR. TON	ISHECK: It's the question that was referred to by Defense
24	counsel.	
25	THE COL	JRT: Okay.

1 MR. TOMSHECK: I just wanted her to read the entire answer. THE WITNESS: It was asked: Where did I see him at? 2 MR. TOMSHECK: And how did you respond? 3 THE COURT: Where did you see him at? 4 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. 5 THE COURT: Correct? 6 THE WITNESS: Correct. 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 BY MR. TOMSHECK: 9 Q 10 And what was your response? I said: At her residence -- leaving her residence, actually, as I Α 11 was approaching. 12 Q And the next question was what? 13 How was he leaving? 14 Α Q And what was your answer? 15 Α 16 In a car, heading west. Okay. So as you sit here today, do you recall seeing him in a 17 Ω car leaving or do you recall seeing him at the residence? 18 Α Leaving in a car. He was in the car, actually leaving. 19 \mathbf{O} As you previously testified today? 20 Α Yes. 21 22 MR. TOMSHECK: Nothing else, Judge. 23 THE COURT: Any recross? MS. JONES: Briefly, Your Honor. 24 25

1		RECROSS EXAMINATION	
2	BY MS. JO	NES:	
3	<u>a</u>	Ms. Furlow, before, when you gave your written statement, that	
4	was on Sep	was on September 30 th ; correct?	
5	A	Yes.	
6	a	And then, when you were called to testify in front of the Grand	
7	Jury, you were admonished that everything you said had to be the truth and		
8	nothing but the truth?		
9	А	Yes.	
10	a	Okay. And before you appeared here today, did you review	
11	either of those statements?		
12	А	Yes.	
13	a	Which statement did you review?	
14	A	Both.	
15	Q	You reviewed both of them before you appeared here today?	
16	Α	Yes.	
17	O.	When did you review them?	
18	Α	Right before I came.	
19	THE COURT: You mean, the Grand Jury transcript and a voluntary?		
20	MS. JONES: Yes; I apologize, Your Honor.		
21	THE COURT: Okay.		
22	THE WITNESS: Yes, at about 12:30.		
23	BY MS. JON	JES:	
24	a	And the State gave you those statements?	
25	Α	Yes.	

 \mathbf{Q} And you read them over? 1 Α Yes. 2 MS. JONES: Okay. Thank you. Nothing further, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Anything else for this witness? 4 MR. TOMSHECK: No, Judge. 5 THE COURT: Thank you very much for your testimony here today. You 6 7 may step down. You are excused from your subpoena. THE WITNESS: Okay. 8 THE COURT: Thank you for the testimony that you gave to this jury. 9 10 THE WITNESS: Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. 11 The record will reflect that the witness has left the 12 courtroom. Anything else before we conclude? 13 MS. JONES: Nothing, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Wednesday. What time can you start on 15 Wednesday? Oh, you know what, I think I had already decided. Okay. I have 16 an 8:30 hearing, but I was going to ask that the jury panel be here at 8 o'clock 17 since it takes them such a long time to get up here. 18 I'd ask the attorneys to be here at 8:45 in hopes that we'll be able 19 to start at 9 o'clock, but the jury panel will be here at 8 o'clock. And do you 20 want Mr. Collins brought over at 8:30, 8:45? 21 MS. JONES: 8:30 would be fine, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: 8:30? 23 CORRECTIONS OFFICER: Yes, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: He'll be brought over? 25

CORRECTIONS OFFICER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And, Mr. Collins, you're going to dress out when you come on Tuesday -- I mean, Wednesday?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. Because the jury will be here. If you choose to dress like that, that's what they will see you in; it'll be your choice.

MS. JONES: And Your Honor --

THE COURT: Okay?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. JONES: -- just for the record. On his dress, he was requesting to be dressed in his own clothes. He wasn't refusing to completely dress out. He did not want to be dressed in the jail clothes. He does have clothes which a friend will provide for him on Wednesday, but today he was refusing -- he wanted to be dressed in his own clothes.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. JONES: So he wasn't declining to get dressed altogether. He did not want to be dressed in the jail clothes, but he was not notified that he was going to be here today, so he did not contact his family friend to bring his clothes.

THE COURT: Okay. But you know that there's been no prejudice because I had the camera locked on the witness the entire time. So the camera was never on you, Mr. Collins.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

	ll en		
1	THE COURT: Okay. So even when the jury views this testimony, they		
2			
3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. Thank you, ma'am.		
4	THE COURT: Okay? Anything else?		
5	MS. JEANNEY: Judge, on Thursday, you have a morning calendar; right	:?	
6	THE COURT: 1 do.		
7	MS. JEANNEY: We'll have a half-day?		
8	THE COURT: 10:30.		
9	MS. JEANNEY: 10:30.		
10	THE COURT: Uh-huh.		
11	MS. JEANNEY: Thank you.		
12	THE COURT: 10:30. Thank you.		
13			
14	[Proceeding concluded at 3:16 p.m.]		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21	ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the		
22	audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.		
23	Kerry Copang		
24	Kerry Esparza, Court Recorder/Transcriber District Court, Dept. XII		
25	(702) 671-4368		
	,		

1	TRAN			
2		Jul 21 8 13 AH 10		
3	COPY			
4		CLEB! 111		
5	DISTRIC	T COURT		
6	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA			
7	CLARK COUNTT, INLVADA			
8	STATE OF NEVADA,			
9	Plaintiff,) CASE NO. C-253455		
10	vs.)) DEPT. XII		
11				
12	LESEAN T. COLLINS,			
13	Defendant.			
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHELLE LEAVITT, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE			
15	WEDNESDAY, NO	OVEMBER 4, 2009		
16		F PROCEEDINGS URY - DAY 1		
17	APPEARANCES:	UNT - DAT T		
18	For the State:	JOSHUA L. TOMSHECK, ESQ. JACQUELINE JEANNEY, ESQ. Deputy District Attorneys		
19				
20				
21	For the Defendant:	TIERRA D. JONES, ESQ. ABIGAIL PAROLISE, ESQ.		
22		Deputy Public Defenders		
23				
24				
25	RECORDED BY: KERRY ESPARZA, CO	URT RECORDER		

<u>.</u>

1	OPENING STATEMENTS AND WITNESS LIS		
2			
3	<u>TITLE NAME</u>	<u>PAGE</u>	
4	OPENING STATEMENT BY THE STATE	206	
5	OPENING STATEMENT BY THE DEFENSE	225	
6	<u>WITNESS NAME</u>		
7	SHALANA EDDINS		
8	Direct Examination by Ms. Jeanney	229	
9	Cross Examination by Ms. Jones	250	
10	Redirect Examination by Ms. Jeanney	271	
11	Recross Examination by Ms. Jones	274	
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
7			
0			

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 2009 AT 10:33 A.M.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

[Outside the presence of the jury]

[Note: The time shown on the video was used even though it was an hour behind. It had not been changed for daylight savings time on this date].

COURT MARSHAL: Department XII is back in session.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MS. JONES: Good morning, Your Honor.

MR. TOMSHECK: Good Morning, Judge.

MS. PAROLISE: Good morning.

THE COURT: State of Nevada versus Lesean Collins. Will the parties please state their appearances?

MR. TOMSHECK: Good morning, Judge. Josh Tomsheck and Jacqueline Jeanney for the State.

MS. JONES: Good morning, Your Honor, Tierra Jones and Abbie Parolise for the Defense.

THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Collins is present; he's in custody, and he is dressed out. And I'm presuming those are the clothes you wanted to wear?

THE DEFENDANT: No, ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay. But you look nice.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay. And is there somebody's that's going to arrange for you to have other clothes?

MS. PAROLISE: We're working on that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You guys working on that?

MS. JONES: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. What color are your socks?

THE DEFENDANT: They're brown.

THE COURT: Okay. Just wanted to make sure -- sometimes they're orange and that's -- I don't like that. So --

MS. PAROLISE: Thank Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- C/O knows I don't like it. He gave me the nod. I didn't do that. Okay. Do you know how I select a jury in here?

MS. PAROLISE: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You've tried a case.

MS. PAROLISE: We didn't -- it wasn't a jury trial. He wanted a bench trial.

THE COURT: Oh, that's right. Okay. Oh my word. Okay. So I'm going to qualify 23. So what that means is, we have 45 members of the panel. You can have a seat if you'd like.

MS. PAROLISE: Thank Your Honor.

MS. JONES: Thank Your Honor.

THE COURT: And I'm assuming everyone's been given a copy of our jury panel sheet?

MS. JEANNEY: Yes, Judge.

MS. JONES: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So they'll just be in the order, 1 through 45.

Because we have so many, we will use the jury box. When they come in, I will question the panel as a whole. When I'm done questioning the panel as a whole, I will call the first 23 names, using the order provided to us by the jury

commissioner to fill the jury box.

I will refer to those 23 as being in the box, so they will fill the jury box and they will go -- where will they stop, Matt? 14 -- the 23rd person will be in that first seat right behind Defense counsel and then what I'll do is, I'll -- if I can, I'll leave the rest of that row empty or there'll be a big enough space so that you will know what your 23 is and who you're dealing with.

After that, I will individually voir dire 1 through 23. When I'm done individually voir diring 1 through 23, I will turn the panel over to the State who will have an opportunity to question the panel as a whole, which means, that you're not permitted to go 1 through 23 and ask each juror the same question over and over.

You're permitted to ask a question, however, many jurors raise their hand and want to respond; those are the jurors that you're permitted to speak to. Please make sure that you make a record. Like, for instance, if you ask a question and the jury doesn't respond. Please say: The record reflect: No one responded, or when they do start to respond, if there's lots of them, please make sure that they state their name and badge number so we have a clear record of who's responding at all times.

After the State's done, I'll turn the panel, I'll ask them to pass the panel for cause. The panel will be turned over to the Defense. You'll have the same opportunity. When you're done, I'll ask you to pass the panel for cause. Remember, all of your challenges have to be done privately, so if you have a challenge for cause, I ask that you approach the bench.

I report bench conferences. I should say: They're always recorded.

I have them transcribed, so there's always a record being made, so it's

important that you state your name before you start talking.

Kerry's pretty good, but sometimes I think it's just better if you state your name so she doesn't have to try to guess who was speaking, so if you have a challenge for cause, make it immediately so that I can rule on it immediately. If I do grant a challenge for cause, for instance, if I -- if someone makes a challenge for cause as to the person sitting in the first seat and I grant it, that person will just step down.

They'll be asked to wait in the back of the courtroom and then the very next person -- it'll be the 24th person on the list, will be the person that goes into that seat and we will just keep going from there. I'll bring that juror up to speed and I will let both sides question that juror and then we will continue.

Peremptory challenges, when both sides have passed the entire panel for cause, the Clerk will prepare what's been marked as Court's Exhibit Number 1. It will have all the jurors. It will look just like this, except, it will have all the jurors listed 1 through 23. It will have their badge number, their name. There's a column for Plaintiff, Defense.

You will get to exercise your peremptory challenges, obviously, in private. The Court Marshal just passes the paper back and forth. You use numbers 1 through 4. When both sides have exercised their four peremptory challenges, I will just count down 1 through 12. I'll mark it off. We will have our panel, then both sides will have one final peremptory challenge for the alternate. Any questions?

Just make sure you understand, you cannot use that 5th challenge to bump somebody that's already on the panel.

MS. PAROLISE: And maybe I didn't hear, Your Honor. Is the alternate -- is there a particular seat that the alternate's going to be in?

THE COURT: Seat number 13.

MS. PAROLISE: Seat number 13.

THE COURT: They -- I just don't call them the alternate.

MS. PAROLISE: Okay.

THE COURT: When April calls the jury panel, she'll just call jurors 1 through 13, however, when you get -- if both sides have exercised all their peremptory challenges, there should be three jurors left. And if both sides exercise your challenges to the alternate, there'll be one person left. That'll be juror number 13. If you waive, I just go in order, 1 through 12, and the next person after the 12th person will be number 13.

MS. PAROLISE: Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay? If you have any questions during any of the rounds just ask to approach the bench. The Court Marshal brings this list back to me after each round so I can make sure that there's not any problems. So you -- whatever number of challenge you're using, if you put the number in the correct column when you're exercising it.

[Court confers with Clerk]

THE COURT: I don't have my jury list.

COURT CLERK: Did you give Sue her copies already?

COURT MARSHAL: No; the ones I sat in front of you, I did not give to the Judge. Sorry.

THE COURT: Okay. I use both.

COURT CLERK: Okay.

[Court confers with Clerk]

COURT MARSHAL: Ready?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Everybody ready? Okay.

MS. JEANNEY: We're ready, Judge.

MS. PAROLISE: Yes, Your Honor.

COURT CLERK: Josh, are we going by the original Information?

MR. TOMSHECK: Yes.

THE COURT: The original Indictment?

MR. TOMSHECK: Indictment; yes.

THE COURT: The original Indictment? Okay.

MR. TOMSHECK: Spell Lesean's name right?

THE COURT: Was there an Amended Indictment?

MR. TOMSHECK: Just the original.

THE COURT: Okay.

COURT MARSHAL: All right. Ready for the panel.

[Prospective jury panel enters the courtroom]

THE COURT: Do the parties stipulate to the presence of the jury panel?

MR. TOMSHECK: Yes, Judge.

MS. JONES: Yes, Your Honor.

[In the presence of the prospective jury panel]

THE COURT: Okay. Good morning, Ladies and Gentlemen, and welcome to Department XII of the Eighth Judicial District Court. My name is Michelle Leavitt. I'm the presiding Judge in this Department. You have been summoned here today to serve as a jury panel in a criminal case entitled: State of Nevada versus Lesean Collins.

 Before I do allow the attorneys to address you and give you a brief statement of the facts, I'm going to introduce some of the members of the staff in Department XII and tell you what they do.

In front of me is Kerry, Kerry's a Court Recorder. She records everything that is said in the courtroom. At some point, she may be required to prepare a written transcript, which means, she'll have to listen to the recording and prepare a written transcript of everything that is said. Therefore, if you're asked to respond to the Court or to the lawyers, I ask that you please state your name, the badge number that's been provided to you by the Jury Commissioner, prior to responding, so we have a clear record of who was speaking at all times.

To my right is April. April is a Clerk of the Court in Department XII. It's her job to take charge of all of the evidence that will be introduced. She keeps the official record. She keeps the official minutes of the Court. To her right is Patrick. Patrick is a lawyer. He's also the Law Clerk in Department XII.

You've already met Officer Trammell. He is the Court Marshal in Department XII. He is the person that you will have the most contact with. Throughout this process of selecting a jury panel, myself, the staff, the attorneys, the parties, with the exception of the Court Marshal, are not permitted to have any communication with you, whatsoever, outside of the courtroom.

That means that if we see you in the hallway, if we see you in the elevator, if we see you coming in and out of the Courthouse, we will ignore you. We will not talk to you. We will not acknowledge you. We're not being rude; we're all just maintaining our ethical obligation not to have any

 communication with any member of the jury panel outside of the courtroom during jury selection or after a jury is selected.

l also wanted to tell you that during this process, you are not permitted to discuss anything that goes on in this courtroom, the facts and circumstances of this case -- anything that goes on with anyone, including your fellow jurors.

That means that, if you go out on a recess, you cannot get together with your jurors and say: Hey, what did you think about those questions they were asking us? You're not permitted to do that. What I like to tell you is -- and so you don't get yourself in trouble, talk about anything other than what is going on in this courtroom.

Do not get on the phone and talk to anybody about anything that's going on in this courtroom. You're not permitted to do that either. I also have to tell you, you're not permitted to communicate by any type of technological device, a phone, laptop, through e-mail, Twitter, My Space, any type of social network.

You can't blog, you can't e-mail, you can't text message. And again, I don't mean to offend anybody, but this has actually happened, that's why I have to inform you that you're not permitted to do that, especially during jury selection. You're allowed to keep your phones. The only thing I ask is that they be turned off or they be on silent. You're not permitted to use them during jury selection or to text message or to e-mail or do anything during jury selection.

Again, it's very, very important that you comply with that admonishment. That you do not discuss this case or anything that goes on in

this courtroom with anyone including your fellow jurors. With that, I'm going to allow the State of Nevada to address the panel.

They're going to give you a brief statement of the facts. They're also going to tell you the witnesses that they intend to call at the time of trial. Please play close attention to the names of the witnesses, because at some point, I will ask you if you are familiar with any of the witnesses that were called to testify in this matter.

THE COURT: Mr. Tomsheck, you can address the jury panel.

MR. TOMSHECK: Thank you, Judge. Good morning, Ladies and Gentlemen, my name is Josh Tomsheck. Here at the counsel table is Jacqueline Jeanney. We are both Deputy District Attorneys in the Clark County DA's Office and we are the Deputy District Attorneys who are assigned to prosecute this case, which is State of Nevada versus this Defendant, Lesean Collins.

This case arises out of a series of events which took place about a year ago in and around an address known as 1519 Laguna Palms Drive. By point of reference, that's in North Las Vegas, essentially near the intersection of Ann and Simmons, if you're familiar with those major cross streets. The State has alleged that on September 30th of last year, this Defendant went to the place of employment of an individual whom he had had a long dating relationship with and actually had children with by the name of Shalana Eddins.

At her place of employment, he slashed her tires on her vehicle. Following that, The State's alleged, he went to the residence that he previously shared with Shalana Eddins at 1519 Laguna Palms in North Las Vegas, entered that residence and set three separate fires within the house. As a result of

those incidents, the State has charged the Defendant with three counts: First Degree Arson, Burglary, and Malicious Injury to Vehicle. Over the course of the next couple of days, maybe three days, Ms. Jeanney and I, on behalf of the State, will prove to you, the Defendant committed those acts, and we'll do so by calling some or all of the following witnesses.

We will call Ms. Shalana Eddins to the stand, the victim in this case. We may call some potential percipient eyewitnesses and people that saw and heard things on September 30th of last year, including Robert Eddins. Vivian Furlow will actually testify on a recording, previously recorded testimony and Darlene Heer.

We may call some of the police officers from the North Las Vegas
Police Department who responded to the call for service that night, including
Manuel Vital, Caroline White, and Anthony Watkins. And we will call the arson
investigations captain from the North Las Vegas Police Department and Fire
Department, an individual by the name of Jeffrey Lomprey.

We expect that this trial will last maybe two, possibly three days. I can assure you that Ms. Jeanney and I will do everything in our power to make sure it finishes in that time, but we thank you, in advance, for your attention, your participation, and your patience.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Tomsheck.

MS. PAROLISE: Thank you, Your Honor. My name is Abbie Parolise, this is Ms. Jones, and we have the pleasure of representing Lesean Collins in this case. Please bear in mind that everything you just heard are just allegations and have not been proved at this point; thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. At this time, the clerk is going to call the roll of the

prospective jurors. If your name is called, if you'll please say: Present or here.

[Clerk called roll of the prospective jury panel]

THE COURT: Okay. Is there anyone whose name was not called by the Clerk? The record will reflect, no response from the panel. If you'll all please stand and raise your right hand so you can be sworn in by the Clerk.

[Prospective jury panel sworn in by the Court Clerk]

THE COURT: Ladies and Gentlemen, we are about to commence what is called voir dire examination. The term, voir dire, means to tell the truth.

During this process, you'll be asked questions bearing upon your ability to sit as fair and impartial jurors. To accomplish this result, various questions will be asked of you by myself or counsel for the parties.

On occasion, some of these questions will seem somewhat personal. While we do not wish to unnecessarily pry into your personal lives, the questions are necessary so that Counsel and the Court can make an intelligent determination as to your capabilities to serve fairly and impartially.

I want you to know that myself and the attorneys and all other persons involved in this case are concerned with having the matter tried by jurors who are completely open minded, neutral, objective, and unbiased in their thinking. Wide discretion is vested in the trial judge as to the method of examination of jurors.

As I stated previously, I will personally conduct the voir dire, but I'll give the attorneys the opportunity to participate in the questioning. It is important that you know the significance of full, complete, and honest answers to all the questions we are about to ask you.

I caution you not to try to hide or withhold anything which might

indicate bias or prejudice of any sort, by any of you, should you fail to answer truthfully. Of if you hide or withhold anything touching upon your qualifications, that fact may tend to contaminate your verdict and subject you to further inquiry even after you're discharged as jurors.

Your decision should be based upon all of the evidence presented during the trial and not based on preconceived prejudice or bias. I will conduct a general voir dire examination of all of you while you're seated in the audience. After those general questions, the clerk will call the first 23 names using the order provided to us by the Jury Commissioner to fill the jury box.

At some point, during the process of selecting the jury, the attorneys for both sides will have the right to ask that a particular person not serve as a juror. These requests are called challenges. There are two types of challenges: Challenges for cause and peremptory challenges. Challenge for cause means that a jury's been excused because his or her answers to some of the voir dire questions indicate that he or she have a difficult time in giving a fair and impartial hearing to the case.

I will ask the attorneys to pass or weigh the prospective jurors for a cause challenge when they are done questioning the jury panel. A peremptory challenge means that a juror can be excused from duty without counsel having to give a reason for the excusal. Please do not be offended should you be excused by either of the challenge procedures.

They are simply a part of the procedures designed to protect the rights of the parties under our system of government. Again, if you wish to respond to a question individually and in the affirmative, please raise your hand, state your name and the badge number that's been provided to you by the Jury

4 5

6 7

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

Commissioner. Is there anyone on the panel who's ever been convicted of a felony?

The record will reflect, no response from the panel. Is there anyone on the panel who is not a United States citizen? The record will reflect, no response from the panel. Is there anyone who has such a sympathy, prejudice, or bias relating to age, religion, race, gender, or national origin, that you feel would affect your ability to be open minded, fair, and impartial? The record will reflect, no response from the panel.

Are there any of you who are acquainted with Mr. Lesean Collins in this case? The record will reflect, no response from the panel. Are there any of you who are acquainted with his attorneys? Okay. Sir, will you state your name and badge number?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0058: Ron Kebschull.

THE COURT: Okay. The Court Marshal's going to escort you up to the bench and where the attorneys can join me.

[Bench Conference -- Transcribed]

THE COURT: Okay. The record reflect that all attorneys are present -- all four are present at the bench and we have Mr. Kebschull -- you can come up here -- 0058. And I'm just going to ask you to speak into the microphone.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0058: Okay.

THE COURT: And we're up here so that everybody doesn't hear.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0058: Okay.

THE COURT: And so, I'm going to ask you -- get up here and speak into the microphone. You're familiar with one of these attorneys?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0058: The gentlemen here.

THE COURT: Okay. He -- he's the prosecutor. 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0058: Yes. 2 THE COURT: Okay. How do you know Mr. Tomsheck. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0058: We were in a jury in January 29th, 4 2007, and there was a gal that was -- killed her husband in Loveland. 5 MR. TOMSHECK: I tried that case. Were you on the jury? 6 THE COURT: You were on --7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0058: Yes; I was on the jury. 8 THE COURT: You were on the jury panel when Mr. Tomsheck was the 9 attorney? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0058: Yes, ma'am; I just --11 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0058: -- Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Anything -- so you don't know him --14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0058: No. 15 THE COURT: -- other than you sat on a jury panel where he was the 16 lawyer? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0058: That's correct. 18 THE COURT: Anything about that that would affect your ability to be fair 19 and impartial? 20 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0058: No. THE COURT: Okay. You didn't do anything during that trial that made 22 you upset, that would cause you to have a problem seeing this juror in this 23 24 case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0058: No; not at all.

25

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else you want to tell me?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0058: Not at that time.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0058: I mean, that's all.

THE COURT: But you served as a juror two years ago and you aiready got called back?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0058: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much for appearing.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0058: Okay.

THE COURT: And thank you. Anyone else that's familiar with the Defense Attorneys in this case, Mr. Collins' Attorneys? The record will reflect, no further response from the panel.

Is there anyone on this panel who is familiar with the Deputy District Attorneys that have been assigned to prosecute this action? The record will reflect, no response from the panel.

The District Attorney's Office employs many deputies and other personnel, is there anyone who has such a close relationship with either the DA, David Roger, his deputies, or other members of his staff, that you feel might affect your ability to serve as a fair and impartial juror in this particular case? The record will reflect, no response from the panel.

Are there any of you who are acquainted with any of the witnesses whose names were previously mentioned by the attorneys? The record will reflect no response from the panel. Again, as you heard from both sides, we expect this case to last two to three days.

Is there anyone, that serving for that amount of time would be such

 a -- such a horrible hardship for you, such that it would make it impossible for you to serve?

And before you all raise your hand, I just want to make sure everyone understands. I know it's a hardship for everyone to be here. I do understand that. I know you had to leave your job. You have to leave your families; everybody has obligations. I understand that, and so, I want to make sure you know I understand that. I also am currently under a jury summons. I've called in, I've reported, and I will report on the day that I'm required to report.

There's nobody that is exempt from jury duty, with a few exceptions. The Legislature passed the law that said: No one is exempt. And I think there's -- there's a couple of exemptions -- minor exemptions, and it does not include me. So I can tell you, I've received a jury summons, I've reported and I will report on the day that I've been assigned.

And, in fact, it's short -- it's not too far away. Hopefully, I won't be assigned to this department. With that in mind, is there anyone who would like to respond to that question? And what I'll do is, I'll start over here and I'll give everybody an opportunity to address the Court.

So we start over here, and if you'll stand up and state your badge number, that'll be very helpful for me.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0002: My name's Joe Goss, badge number is 0002.

THE COURT: Good morning.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0002: Good morning. I have a chronic back problem that requires medication that does not allow me to focus properly and

also, when necessary, I am in the State of Nevada Medical Marijuana Program.

THE COURT: Okay. Okay. So far today, have you had any problem sitting there?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0002: What I did was -- stood out in the hallway knowing that I'd be looking at a day of mixed sitting and standing.

THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything -- is there anything -- are you uncomfortable now? Are you uncomfortable, all the time, pretty much?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0002: Pretty much, but today is especially not a good day. Through my work, I also have FMLA and if this was a work day for me; I would be calling in.

THE COURT: Okay. Are you okay to sit here, at all, right now? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0002: For the time being, certainly.

THE COURT: Okay. And at any time, if you feel like you've become so uncomfortable, will you raise your hand and let me know or if you need a break?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0002: Certainly.

THE COURT: Will you do that? Okay. Thank you, sir. And I appreciate you being here. Okay. Anyone else on that first row? Go -- right here, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: Charlie Kajkowski or Charles Kajkowski. Currently, I'm a student. My wife's a student and we're living on only one income, so this time away from work -- I understand that, you know, in Court you're supposed to provide some, but it's really not enough. We have bills piling up and I called the Court --

THE COURT: Let me ask you this.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: What's that?

20 21

22

23

25

THE COURT: Who -- who works?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: I do.

THE COURT: Do you work?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: Full-time.

THE COURT: You work full-time and go to school?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: Right.

THE COURT: Okay. Where do you work?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: I work for a consulting company.

THE COURT: And what's it called?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: Terracon [phonetic].

THE COURT: Terracon [phonetic]?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: Yes.

THE COURT: Do they pay you for being here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: They pay the 8 hours, but considering I've worked over 950 hours of overtime for the year, my straight pay is not exactly what we're living on.

THE COURT: Okay. Are you guaranteed overtime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: Since we're down to, basically, a skeleton crew, you don't mind me saying, but if the -- you know, the economic downturn --

THE COURT: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: -- and everything else, basically. I mean, even when we're slow I still -- 50 hours a week is, basically a casual week.

THE COURT: Okay. But you'll be paid for a 40 hour week?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: I'll be paid for 8 hours today and then still probably be required to come into work so --

THE COURT: Okay. And if you're here for a couple days, is that going to financially devastate you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: Well, if I'm only working 8 hours when I would normally be working 16 hours, I would say: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Would you be able to pay your bills?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: I would have to look a little more deeper into that. It's just more stress.

THE COURT: Okay. I mean, do you always get overtime? I guess I'm trying to determine because it sounds like to me you've become used to living off your overtime --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: Well --

THE COURT: -- but that it's not guaranteed?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: Of course, overtime is never exactly always guaranteed.

THE COURT: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: -- but I mean, if I am telling you that I've worked over 900 hours of overtime, I'm probably going to definitely go over a thousand in this year, it's something that has been, you know, that you come to expect.

Now, that's fine but, you know, with this extra income that I'm getting, now I've signed up for school, which, I don't know if I'm going to be able to finish with time lost from not being -- going to be able to make my last payment on the school.

25

My wife's also a student; she's trying to finish, so we're both taking a full load with bills and everything else, so it's -- right now --

THE COURT: Your --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: -- it's just becoming stressful.

THE COURT: Where are you a student?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: The University.

THE COURT: UNLV?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: Uh huh.

THE COURT: And what year are you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: Uh, like 2nd or 3rd.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: She's going to graduate.

THE COURT: And so --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: Which I also called a few days before and I asked if they could just postpone it to the spring because she's supposed to graduate this spring, and they already said that that could only come from you. So --

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: -- at which case, I would gladly reschedule to a later date and I'd glady come in here. It's just, right now, like crunch time for both of us.

THE COURT: What's the crunch time in school because it's November.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: Her graduation.

THE COURT: Her --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: She's had to lessen up an extra

4

5

6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

\$3,000 a semester.

THE COURT: Okay. But you had to have paid for your tuition already?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: We do payments.

THE COURT: Oh.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: We can't afford to do a lump sum.

THE COURT: Oh, okay. They allow you to make payments.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0004: Yeah, which has a steep interest rate but still --

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir. Thank you for being here. Anyone else? Go ahead, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: My name's Matt Suiter, badge number: 0009. I'm the operations manager of a small construction company in town and me not being there right now and we have all the guys not getting their jobs done. Also, we're preparing for an audit. This was supposed to happen on Friday. And I have a suspended driver's license, so it's hard to get down here.

THE COURT: Okay. But you figured out a way to get here today? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: I got a ride today. My girlfriend gave me a ride; she had the day off.

THE COURT: All right. You're an operations manager for a construction company, so you obviously don't stay in one place?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: Yes -- no; there I do.

THE COURT: Oh, you do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: I run -- I run the warehouse.

THE COURT: You don't go to different construction sites?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: No; I have mechanics doing that.

THE COURT: Okay. What does that mean: You run the warehouse?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: I run the whole area in there. I do the accounts. I do the service work, like I manage the service mechanics.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: Currently, like I said: We're being audited so I'm getting that all prepared for our audit on Friday.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, how does your being here prevent the other people from not working?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: I -- I run them. It's hard to get, you know, everybody to do the right thing without someone in charge of them.

THE COURT: Okay. So you think they might just kind of goof off 'cause you're not there?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: Yeah --

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: -- and they don't have the authorization to talk to customers and schedule what needs to be -- what jobs they need to be out on.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: And also the audit stuff. Nobody there knows how to get. I'm working with the CFO of my sister company right now to get all of our stuff ready for our audit.

THE COURT: Okay. So it's your company that's auditing you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: No; no; it's the IRS auditing our company.

THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. The IRS is auditing your company?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: Yeah.

THE COURT: And it's this Friday?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: It was actually today but they rescheduled for --

THE COURT: All right. But it sounds like you usually know about an IRS audit for a long time? They usually don't say: We'll see you tomorrow.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: No; it was -- it was two -- or a month ago, maybe.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: They scheduled it.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: And the driver's license is a real hardship too, not having a driver's license.

THE COURT: Okay. But why is your driver's license suspended?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: I had a DUI.

THE COURT: Okay. But if you're required to be here, you'll get here, because obviously you make your way to work and other places.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: I get a ride from one of my mechanics.

THE COURT: Okay. But if you're required to be here, you'll find a way to get here; right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0009: Taking the bus. It's going to be hard.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Anyone else on that first row? Okay.

The second row? Go ahead, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0010: Anthony Matassa, 0010, badge number. My reason is, I'm supporting a family of five. I'm the only income in my family. I have three kids, one newborn, 3-year-old boy, and a 9-year-old son. It's a real hardship right now and it's hard to make payments, bills, rents, and what not.

THE COURT: Do you get paid for being here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0010: I'm not quite sure.

THE COURT: Where do you work?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0010: Davis Vision.

THE COURT: What do you do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0010: We manufacture and ship out eyeglasses.

THE COURT: Okay. At some point when we take a break, you're going to need to call and let me know that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0010: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay? You didn't ask before you came?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0010: No; I didn't.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0010: Thank you.

THE COURT: Anyone else on the second row? Go ahead, ma'am.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: Amanda Young, 0015.

THE COURT: Good morning.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: Good morning. I'm a single mom. I have a 3-year-old son that I pay \$600 a month in child care for. We live by ourselves. I'm just not going to be able to financially be able to take the hit for coming in here because I don't -- my work's not going to pay me for it and I'm kind of concerned about that because I could make more -- not to be mean, at work, than coming and sitting in here.

THE COURT: Well, I would hope so because I'm thinking --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: No.

THE COURT: -- yeah, I mean, I know they pay, but it's not a real great amount.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: Right.

THE COURT: I mean, it's better than nothing. But I know it's not a real--

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: Yeah.

THE COURT: -- lot of money. Where do you work?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: Meyers Auto Parts.

THE COURT: What do you do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: Inventory control and buying.

Purchasing parts to put on the shelves for the customers to buy.

THE COURT: And do you know whether you're paid if you're here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: No; I will not.

THE COURT: You will not get paid?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: No.

THE COURT: They told you: We will not pay you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: Correct.

THE COURT: Can you tell me how much you make a day?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: 13.22 an hour so, about -- about a hundred bucks, 80-85-90 bucks.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0015: Thank you.

THE COURT: Anyone else on the second row? Okay. This row right here? Go ahead, you can -- if you'll please stand. The person -- I like to kind of go in order so we all can get a chance. If you'll -- you're going to have to speak up because that area is very difficult for me to hear.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0021: Okay. Can you hear me now? Gloria Perkins, 0021. My only issue is that I --

THE COURT: You're going to have to speak up.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0021: I work the graveyard shift and I just got off work this morning and I'm hard pressed, even right now, to stay awake.

THE COURT: Okay. Where do you work?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0021: Bellagio Hotel.

THE COURT: All right. What do you do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0021: Fire safety.

THE COURT: What does that mean?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0021: Well, for emergencies, fire alarms, smoke alarms, floods, generators breaking down -- stuff like that.

THE COURT: So you fix those things?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0021: Actually, no, but I send people out to fix them.

THE COURT: Okay. So what's your job title?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0021: Fire safety command, dispatcher.

THE COURT: Okay. So you're a dispatcher, so if a fire alarm goes off, you would get that call?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0021: I'd get that call.

THE COURT: And what training do you have in this area?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0021: They trained us there at the job.

THE COURT: Okay. And you don't go out and fix anything; you just basically dispatch everybody?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0021: Yeah; I find out where the problem is and dispatch someone out to it.

THE COURT: Okay. One of the things, if you're asked to serve on this panel, I will order you not to work the graveyard shift. You would comply with that order; is that correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0021: I don't know what you mean.

THE COURT: I would order you not to work the graveyard shift. I would tell you to tell your boss, the Judge has me under court order to not work the graveyard shift, and you couldn't work the dayshift because you'd be here.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0021: Right.

THE COURT: You understand why I couldn't have you work the graveyard shift because we have Court during the day?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0021: Right.

THE COURT: And I can't have you working all night and coming to court during the day.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0021: Right.

THE COURT: Do you understand that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0021: I do.

23

24

25

you. It's Joy Ellis?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: Correct, 0025. I work at Wal-Mart and I work second shift.

THE COURT: Can you please speak up.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: I work at Wal-Mart. I'm a cashier at the garden center and it's hard for me to be here, like two or three days, because of the money situation. And I catch buses, so I had to catch a bus down here and I had to walk from the downtown terminal, and it's a bad area, and coming, you know, I had to ask certain people on the street. They didn't even know where this building was and one was a drunk. I didn't know that at the time to find out where --

THE COURT: Now you know where the Regional Justice Center is --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: Yes.

THE COURT: -- you wouldn't have any problem getting here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: But it's still a bad area and --

THE COURT: Downtown?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: -- the money situation. From the bus depot down here.

THE COURT: Okay. So is -- is it the bus depot -- the one right by City Hall, that you're referring to?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: The main one down -- downtown.

THE COURT: By City Hall?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: I don't know exactly.

THE COURT: Okay. Does Wal-Mart pay you for being here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: I don't know. They didn't say. I just told 'em I had jury duty, so I don't know if they make up the difference or if they don't, at all, and just \$70 a day. And that's hard on me because I'm the only one, you know, I'm the head of the household.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0025: I'm by myself, you know, I'm a senior citizen.

THE COURT: And when we take a break, can you call the person in charge and find out that information for me? Okay. Thank you. Go ahead, ma'am. Ms. Corey-Trott.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0026: Saundra Corey. And my badge number's 0026. I have a problem with vision. I am totally blind at night so I would have to leave here by 3 to 3:30 to make sure that I am home because I cannot see anything at night. I'm also on Loritabs pain medication. I smashed my finger and had surgery on it two days ago and --

THE COURT: You had surgery on your finger two days ago? Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0026: I actually did it on Friday evening. It was just set on Monday -- Monday. Dr. Taylor, hand surgeon. And -- but my biggest problem is my night vision. I'm actually blind in this eye. I have very little vision. And the side vision. I can drive, but not when it's dark, at all.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0026: That's my only problem.

THE COURT: Okay, so I'm assuming your drivers license is restricted as well?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0026: Acutally, I haven't had a driver's renewal and I will, but I mean, I just don't drive at night. I can drive during the day, but I just do not drive at night; I can't.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0026: You're welcome.

THE COURT: Anyone else over here? Over here? Go ahead, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0032: Joseph Sands, badge number 0032.

THE COURT: Good morning.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0032: I'm the owner of a small company that maintains broadcast transmitters and equipment for radio stations, locally, and I also have events that are contracted later this week and all of next week that I can't get anybody else to do.

THE COURT: Okay. What does that mean? Events?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0032: Well, I'm setting up broadcasts for the Latin Grammy's this week over at Mandalay Bay and next week, the MGM Grand for the boxing match, and so, I set up all the equipment for the radio stations to broadcast to their respective places. Also, I'm on 24-hour call for radio stations if the transmitters go off, and I'm here, then they're off the air and there's nobody else that I could get to do it.

THE COURT: Okay. Are you the only one that works in your company? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0032: I have an employee but he has no access to the remote sites.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0032: And the events that I'm talking about require two or three people -- I actually have a third person that I'd have to

bring in to do that. If I don't work then I have to pay somebody a lot of money to work my job.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir. Thank you for being here. Anyone else on this row? Go ahead, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: My name is Bret Humphries, 0036. Mine's maybe a financial one also because the -- I make about \$22,000 less, this year, so far, than last year, and survive on overtime. And without overtime, I just call -- kind of fall farther and farther behind. I work security at Mandalay Bay, and right now, they have the Latin Grammy's there this week.

THE COURT: So you -- all right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Ma'am, I don't know --

THE COURT: What he was just referring to? No; I didn't say you knew him but --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Okay.

THE COURT: -- he just referred to those?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Yeah.

THE COURT: You work at Mandalay Bay in security?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Yes, ma'am. And -- this -- today is costing me 8 hours overtime. If I'm here the next two days, it's going to cost me another 14 hours overtime. And as I said: Without the overtime, we just fall steadily behind on the bills. And the way this year has been, as I said: You gotta take advantage of it when it's here.

THE COURT: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: And this is a big week for overtime this week because of the Latin Grammy's.

THE COURT: When are they?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: They're actually tomorrow night.

THE COURT: Okay. What shift do you work?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: I work graveyard. I just got off work at 7:30 this morning.

THE COURT: Okay. And you heard what I said previously, that if you are asked to serve on this panel, you will be ordered not to work the graveyard shift?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Right. And then I won't be able to get paid, period, or overtime.

THE COURT: Well, actually, I'm pretty sure Mandalay Bay pays you to be here.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: I asked my boss, this morning, about that and he said that they do not do that no more unless it interferes with your shift.

THE COURT: I'm sorry -- they don't do it anymore?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Unless it interferes with your shift.

THE COURT: It would interfere --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: It doesn't -- it doesn't interfere with my shift because I work graveyard, so they would expect me to work my shift and then come to jury duty.

THE COURT: No, it would interfere with your shift and I'd be happy to give you a Court Order.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay. Because you would be under court order not to

work graveyard, because that's ridiculous to expect someone to work all night and then come here all day. Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: All right.

THE COURT: I mean you -- that's not humanly possible to do; I'm assuming. You can't --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Well --

THE COURT: -- continue to stay up.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: -- I do it all the time when I work overtime but --

THE COURT: You just don't sleep?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Well, this year is not like last year. I mean, for the first 16 years I worked at Mandalay Bay, I averaged 76 hours overtime a pay period in two weeks.

THE COURT: Okay. But clearly, this would interfere with your shift so, based on that, you would get paid? It's your overtime you're worried about?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: Yes, there's been overtime, that's --

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: It's essential to me; really.

THE COURT: All right. And remember I told you, I don't think we'll be here more than two to three days.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: I understand.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0036: That overtime for this week is, basically, was last week and then this week 'cause, basically, the show is over with on Thursday. Everything gets shut down on Friday, and after that, who

knows if and when there'll be anymore overtime. So today, tomorrow, and the next day are the primary days of overtime -- were the primary days of overtime for me this year.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir. Thank you for being here. Anyone else on this row? You know what, I'll get to you, sir, I promise, when I get over there. Go ahead.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0038: Hi, Shannon Wollam, 0038. Mine is just a health issue. I need to have my left hip replaced, and so, I'm up and down, all the time, shifting a lot; that's my only concern. I didn't know if that would disrupt the Court.

THE COURT: How have you been today?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0038: Fussy.

THE COURT: Fussy?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0038: Fussy. Always.

THE COURT: Have you had -- I mean, if -- you can stand up, you can move around.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0038: 1 -- yeah.

THE COURT: It doesn't bother me.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0038: Oh, all right. Oh, that's fine.

THE COURT: Anything that makes you comfortable --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0038: Great.

THE COURT: -- that's fine.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0038: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay. If you have to stand up and adjust that's fine.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0038: Great.

THE COURT: Okay. Your name and badge number?

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0052: James Nelson, 0052. And I'm the only mode of transportation my son has to get to and from work, which is a distance of about 30 miles; he doesn't drive.

THE COURT: Okay. How old is your son?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0052: 29.

THE COURT: All right. And you're required to take him to and from work?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0052: I'm not required -- he's just a little bit challenged; he doesn't drive.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0052: He's a little spaced out. He had ADD when he was a kid and he just, you know, he can drive a little bit around the neighborhood, but as far as -- I live on Sunrise Mountain --

THE COURT: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0052: -- Washington and Sloan. He works at Town Square and Rome [phonetic], so we're talking one end of the valley to the other.

THE COURT: Okay. Does he work today?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0052: Yeah, his cousin was going to take him today. He gets off at 2. I don't know how he's going to get picked up and he works tomorrow. He works at Banana Republic. He works like from -- could be anywhere from 7 in the morning to 9 or 10 at night, various shifts, and different days. I know he works tomorrow.

THE COURT: Okay. But if you were selected to serve, you'd be able to find a way for him to get to work?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0052: I don't know how he -- really, Your Honor; I don't know he would.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0052: His mother works -- she's a --

THE COURT: Sounds like today you did; right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0052: Yeah; I found his cousin today 'cause--

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0052: He's not -- he's a flake. I mean, excuse my French.

THE COURT: Cousin's a flake?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0052: His cousin is.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0052: And all the other family members work. Everybody else is, you know -- and he's worked there three years. He's a good kid; he's just a little spaced out.

THE COURT: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0052: I don't want -- you know, I don't want to jeopardize his job. I want to serve.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0052: It sounds great; it sounds interesting but, I don't want to put him in a position.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir. Thank you for being here.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0052: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Anyone else on that row? Over here. Go ahead, ma'am.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0062: Maria Valdes-Deduarte, 0062. My

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0062: Yeah; I understand --THE COURT: Have you been employed the entire time? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0062: -- but sometimes | -- | don't know -- | don't understand what you're talking about -- it's -- I got idea but no -- I don't know what -- talking about -- about the case; I don't know. THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0062: Some problems, but I don't know what is --THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Thank you for being here. Anyone else on that row? Third row back there; go ahead, ma'am. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0067: My name is Nancy Ang. My badge number's 0067. I cannot spell. I can read some, but I can speak. That's it. THE COURT: Okay. I'm sorry. You -- you need to stand up because you -- you can't read; is that what you said? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0067: I can read some but I cannot spell. THE COURT: All right. Can you read well? I mean, what's your level of reading? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0067: So --THE COURT: What does so, so mean to you? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0067: I study three hour a night, off and on, adult school, like maybe a year -- so, so; that's it. But I can read all the street name. I can read some thing, but some thing difficult, I cannot read. THE COURT: Okay. What's difficult to you?

don't read like -- something like difficult paperwork -- like Court paperwork; I

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0067: Like something I never read before. I

1	rights with a Court order to be excused from that meaning probably do.
2	THE COURT: Okay. First of all, where do you work?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: Bally's Las Vegas.
4	THE COURT: All right. And what do you do there?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: Poker dealer.
6	THE COURT: I'm sorry.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: Poker dealer.
8	THE COURT: Okay. And you heard what I told some of the other
9	individuals?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: Yes.
11	THE COURT: And it's not uncommon for me to have people on the pane
12	that work graveyard because this is a 24-hour town. I tell everyone
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: Right.
14	THE COURT: you cannot work graveyard
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: Right.
16	THE COURT: and come do serve on a panel during the day.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: So
18	THE COURT: You understand that; correct?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: Yes; yes; I do.
20	THE COURT: If you're selected to serve on this panel, you'll be under
21	Court order not to go to work and work graveyard shift.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: 1
23	THE COURT: Will you comply with that order?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And now, it sounds like you'd want me to send a

25

letter of some sort to your --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: Something -- is that --

THE COURT: -- employer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: -- do I have some sort of protection from my boss to not be penalized because I'm following a court order? 'Cause if, you know --

THE COURT: Oh yeah --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: Oh yeah. Okay. So if he tries to hit me with some --

THE COURT: -- if you got fired --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: Well there are just some points that could end up getting me fired in the future. I could probably take that up --

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: -- with HR and say --

THE COURT: What I can tell you, is that, your employer can take no adverse action against you for complying with your duty as an American citizen to come here and perform jury duty.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: You can put that --

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: -- in a letter for me if he wants --

THE COURT: It's -- I can give him a copy of the Constitution of the United States of America.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: He might be a little busy.

THE COURT: I mean, I'm just joking. I'll be happy.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: If you would, that would be great

THE COURT: I would be happy to put that --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: 'Cause I --

THE COURT: -- in a letter. Also, in the back of your jury summons, it also cites to some statutes that indicate, your employer is not permitted to encourage you not to attend or tell you you're going to be in trouble if you attend. That's against the law.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay. And it's a criminal offense to try to dissuade someone from coming and serving on a jury panel; do you understand that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: Yes.

THE COURT: But it sounds like you're more concerned if you get selected, you want me to send something to your boss or your --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: Just to make sure I'm cleared. I mean I could call in --

THE COURT: -- Human Resources.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: -- and say something, but the points could end up getting me fired in the future, which would be a disastrous situation.

THE COURT: Okay. I always wonder, do your bosses really think people lie about being on jury duty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: They -- yeah; I'm sure they do.

THE COURT: Okay. Because I always think that's funny. Who would --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: Yeah.

THE COURT: -- say they were on jury duty, when it's so easy to check,

because everything we do is a matter of public record. But I'd be happy to give that to you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: Okay. There's also an issue of financial hardship as well, as I'm the only earner in my household and I work for tips.

THE COURT: Okay. Other than that, everything okay?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: That's good. Yeah, that doesn't --

THE COURT: Okay. You get paid to be here, though?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: A minimum wage that's highly taxed. I don't know if you're familiar with the sharing agreement the IRS has with certain -- different --

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: So it's not a -- it's not a livable wage. It's effectively all tips --

THE COURT: Okay. But if you're not --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: -- that we go by.

THE COURT: -- there making tips -- they still tax your tips?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: You know, I don't know; I should find

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: But it would -- but it would still -- it's a significant drop.

THE COURT: Right. Because they tax your tips in your regular pay; correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: There's a -- it's called a tip compliance

24

25

agreement.

THE COURT: Uh huh.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: We're taxed on a certain wage they assume we make.

THE COURT: Right. But if you're not there, they don't assume you made it; right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: Yeah; right, so we would be making minimum wage --

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: -- which is --

THE COURT: Like, for instance, what if you're sick and you don't get paid?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: I would get minimum --

THE COURT: Or what if it's like time off without pay?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: I get time off. I get minimum wage for time.

THE COURT: Okay. They don't tax your tips if you're not there?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: That's true, so I would not make less than minimum wage. I wouldn't go into debt, but I would make my mortgage payment.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Thank you for being here.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0068: Thank you.

THE COURT: Anyone else in this back row? Go ahead, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0071: Monty Warrington, 0071. I'm a firefighter at the Nevada Test Site, and I'm in the middle of a training course

and promotional testing, at work, all through this week and next.

THE COURT: Okay. So you're a firefighter at Nevada Test Site and you're doing some type of training testing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0071: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Okav.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0071: For a promotion.

THE COURT: What if you're here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0071: Then I will miss the training.

THE COURT: Okay. How will that affect you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0071: I would miss out on a certification needed for the promotion that I'm also testing for.

THE COURT: Even if you were here pursuant to a Court order?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0071: Yes.

THE COURT: When will the next training be?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0071: It's not a scheduled training course.

THE COURT: What is it then?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0071: It's a training course they put on for people that need a certification, as of right now, so there's a few people that need it so -- if I'm the only one without the certification; they're not going to schedule another course.

THE COURT: Okay. And they told you that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0071: I'll miss out on this course, which I need the certification for the promotional list.

THE COURT: And they told you that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0071: Yes.

THE COURT: That they would not do the course for you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0071: No; they could -- they can't schedule a course for a single person.

THE COURT: Okay. Who told you that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0071: The department.

THE COURT: Your -- can you say a person? I mean, somebody --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0071: Actually a fire --

THE COURT: -- actually told you, if you go do jury duty, you're going to miss out on this certification and this promotion, and we won't do the training, again, for you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0071: Eventually, I'd have the training again, I'm sure, whenever they schedule the test, but it might be after the promotion.

THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Thank you, sir. Thank you for being here. Anyone else that wishes to address the Court? Go ahead, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0051: Joseph Valletti, badge number 0051. I'm not asking to get out but I've got a medical problem. I have the go to disease. Every -- about an hour to an hour and a half -- okay, I have to go to the bathroom.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0051: Would I be accorded that?

THE COURT: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0051: Okay.

THE COURT: Do you need a break now?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 0051: No; I'm okay right now.

THE COURT: Okay.