Electronically Filed 06/04/2010 08:24:18 AM

i NOTC FRANNY A. FORSMAN CLERK OF THE COURT 2 Federal Public Defender Nevada Bar No. 000014 Electronically Filed GARY A. TAYLOR Nevada Bar No. 11031C Jun 08 2010 10:34 a.m. 4 NISHA N. BROOKS-WHITTINGTON Tracie K. Lindeman Nevada Bar No. 11032C 411 E. Bonneville Avenue, Suite 250 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 388-6577 (Fax) 388-5819 7 Attorneys for Petitioner CLARK COUNTY 8 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 9 WILLIAM CASTILLO, Case No. C133336 10 Dept. No. XVIII Petitioner, 11 VS. 12 (Death Penalty Habeas Corpus Case) E.K. McDANIEL, , Warden and 13 CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO, **NOTICE OF APPEAL** Attorney General of the State of Nevada, 14 Respondents. 15 16 NOTICE is hereby given that petitioner, William Castillo appeals to the 17 Nevada Supreme Court from the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Order 18 denying the Petition for Post-Conviction Relief entered in this action on May 12, 2010. 19 Notice of Entry of Decision and Order of the foregoing order was filed and mailed on May 20 21, 2010. 21 Respectfully submitted this 4th day of June, 2010. 22 FRANNY A. FORSMAN 23 Federal Public Defender 24 /s/ Gary A. Taylor Gary A. Taylor 25 Assistant Federal Public Defender 26 27 /s/ Nisha N. Brooks-Whittington Nisha N. Brooks-Whittington

28

Assistant Federal Public Defender

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

In accordance with Rule 5(b) of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure, the undersigned hereby certifies that on this 4th day of June, 2010, she caused to be deposited for mailing in the United States mail, first-class postage prepaid, a true and correct copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF APPEAL addressed to the parties as follows:

David Roger Clark County District Attorney Steven S. Owens Chief Deputy District Attorney Office of the District Attorney Regional Justice Center, Third Floor 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155

Catherine Cortez Masto
Attorney General
Victor Hugo Schulze II
Deputy Attorney General
Attorney General's Office
555 E. Washington Ave., #3900
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

William Castillo Id No. 51918 Ely State Prison P.O. Box 1989 Ely, Nevada 89301

/s/ Katrina Manzi

An employee of the Federal Public Defender

Electronically Filed 06/04/2010 08:25:05 AM

1 **ASTA** FRANNY A. FORSMAN CLERK OF THE COURT Federal Public Defender Bar No. 000014 3 GARY A. TAYLOR Nevada Bar No. 11024C NISHA N. BROOKS-WHITTINGTON Nevada Bar No. 11032C 411 E. Bonneville Avenue, Suite 250 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 6 (702) 388-6577 (Fax) 388-5819 7 Attorneys for Petitioner 8 **CLARK COUNTY** 9 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 10 WILLIAM CASTILLO, 11 Case No. C133336 Dept. No. XVIII 12 Petitioner. 13 CASE APPEAL STATEMENT VS. 14 E.K. McDANIEL, Warden of Ely State Prison, and CATHERINE CORTEZ 15 MASTO, Attorney General of the State of Nevada, 16 Respondents. 17 CASE APPEAL STATEMENT 18 Name of petitioner filing this case appeal statement: 1. 19 William Castillo 20 Identify the judge issuing the order appealed from: 2. 21 Honorable David Barker 22 All parties to the proceedings in the district court: 3. 23 Same as in caption; State of Nevada is real party in interest. 24 All parties involved in this appeal: 4, 25 Same as in caption; State of Nevada is real party in interest. 26 27 1111 28 1111

1 Set forth the name, law firm, address and telephone number of all counsel 5. on appeal and party or parties whom they represent: 2 Franny Forsman 3 Federal Public Defender Gary A. Taylor 4 Assistant Federal Public Defender Nisha N. Brooks-Whittington 5 Assistant Federal Public Defender 411 E. Bonneville, Ste. 250 6 Las Vegas, NV 89101 (702) 388-6577 7 Counsel for Petitioner, William Castillo 8 David Roger 9 Clark County District Attorney Steven S. Owens 10 Chief Deputy District Attorney Office of the District Attorney 11 Regional Justice Center, Third Floor 200 Lewis Avenue 12 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 671-2750 13 Catherine Cortez Masto 14 Attorney General of Nevada Victor Hugo Schulze II 15 Deputy Attorney General Criminal Division 16 Attorney General's Office 555 E. Washington Avenue #3900 17 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 486-3110 18 Counsel for Warden and State of Nevada 19 Whether petitioner/appellant was represented by appointed or retained 6. 20 counsel in the district court: 21 The United States District Court appointed the Federal Public Defender for the District of Nevada on July 7, 2004. See Castillo v. McDaniel, No. 2:04-cv-22 00868, Docket No. 4. The Federal Public Defender made their first appearance on behalf of Petitioner/Appellant William Castillo in this case on 23 November 9, 2009. 24 Whether petitioner/appellant was granted leave to proceed in forma 7. pauperis, and the date of entry of the district court order granting such 25 leave: 26 The United States District Court granted Mr. Castillo leave to proceed in 27 forma pauperis on July 7, 2004. The Nevada courts previously held Mr.

Castillo was indigent.

8. Date proceedings commenced in the district court (e.g., date complaint, indictment, information or petition was filed): Petition for writ of habeas corpus was filed on September 18, 2009. Respectfully submitted this 4th day of June, 2010. FRANNY A. FORSMAN Federal Public Defender <u>/s/ Gary A. Taylor</u> GARY A. TAYLOR Nevada Bar No. 11024C Assistant Federal Public Defender /s/ Nisha N. Brooks-Whittington NISHA N. BROOKS-WHITTINGTON Assistant Federal Public Defender Nevada Bar No. 11032C Attorneys for Petitioner/Appellant

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

The undersigned hereby certifies that on the 4th day of June, 2010, she deposited a true and correct copy of the foregoing CASE APPEAL STATEMENT, in the United States mail, postage prepaid, addressed to the parties as follows:

David Roger Clark County District Attorney Steven S. Owens Chief Deputy District Attorney Office of the District Attorney Regional Justice Center, Third Floor 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155

Catherine Cortez Masto
Attorney General
Victor Hugo Schulze II
Deputy Attorney General
Criminal Division
Attorney General's Office
555 E. Washington Avenue #3900
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

/s/ Katrina Manzi
An employee of the Federal Public Defender

TIME 2:48 PM JUDGE:Barker, David

CASE NO. 96-C-133336-C

STATE OF NEVADA [] vs Castillo, William P

0001 D1 William P Castillo Pro Se

0002 D Michelle C Platou 4370 Smiley Rd Las Vegas, NV 89115 ?????? ## UNKNOWN ##

[E]

| NO. | FILED/REC | CODE REASON/DESCRIPTION | FOR (| oc sc | H/PER C | |
|------|------------|--|---------------|-------|----------|---|
| 0001 | 01/19/96 | IND /(GRAND JURY) INDICTMENT Fee \$0.00 | | | | |
| | | HEAR/GRAND JURY INDICTMENT | AL | | 01/19/96 | |
| | | ARRN/INITIAL ARRAIGNMENT | ΑL | | 01/13/36 | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | BNCH/NO BAIL BENCH WARRANT ISSUED | 0002 | | 01/19/96 | |
| | | ORDR/ORDER OF INTENT TO FORFEIT | 0002 | | 01/19/96 | |
| | | BNCH/NO BAIL BENCH WARRANT ISSUED | 0001 | | 01/19/96 | |
| | | ORDR/ORDER OF INTENT TO FORFEIT | 0001 | | 01/19/96 | |
| | | NOTC/NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK DEATH PENALTY | 0001 | | | |
| | | CALC/CALENDAR CALL | 0001 | | 08/21/96 | |
| 0010 | 01/24/96 | JURY/TRIAL BY JURY | 0001 | | 09/04/96 | |
| 0011 | 01/24/96 | CALC/CALENDAR CALL | 0002 | | 08/21/96 | |
| 0012 | 01/24/96 | JURY/TRIAL BY JURY (VJ 8-21-96) | 0002 | VC | 08/26/96 | |
| 0013 | 01/24/96 | CALC/CALENDAR CALL JURY/TRIAL BY JURY (VJ 8-21-96) OCAL/STATUS CHECK: TRIAL STATUS | \mathtt{AL} | OC | 07/22/96 | |
| 0014 | 01/24/96 | REQT/MEDIA REQUEST | | | | |
| | | ORDR/ORDER GRANTING PERMISSION OF MEDIA ENTRY | | | | |
| | | REQT/MEDIA REQUEST | | | | |
| | | ORDR/ORDER GRANTING PERMISSION OF MEDIA ENTRY | | | | |
| | | NOTC/NOTICE OF GRAND JURY EXHIBITS IN | | | 01/19/96 | |
| OOT | 02/00/90 | THE VAULT | | | 01/13/30 | |
| 0010 | 02/14/06 | TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT GRAND JURY 1/11/96 | 7\ T . | | 01/11/96 | |
| 0013 | 02/14/90 | VOLUME I | AL | | 01/11/00 | |
| 0027 | 02/14/06 | TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT GRAND JURY 1/18/96 | | | 01/18/96 | |
| 0020 | 02/14/96 | | | | 01/10/90 | |
| 0001 | 00/00/06 | VOLUME II | 0001 | αn | 02/12/06 | |
| 0021 | 1 02/29/96 | MOT /DEFT'S MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF | 0001 | GR | 03/13/96 | |
| | | CO-COUNSEL | 0001 | | | |
| 0022 | 2 02/28/96 | ORDR/STIPULATION AND ORDER THAT PETITION FOR | AL | | | Y |
| | | WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS MAY BE FILED UP | \mathtt{AL} | | | |
| | | ING MARCH 14 1996 | | | | |
| | | ORDR/ORDER FOR CONTACT VISIT | 0002 | | | |
| | | ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY | 0001 | | 03/01/96 | |
| 0029 | 5 03/14/96 | PET /DEFT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS | 0002 | DN | 05/29/96 | |
| | | CORPUS | 0002 | | | |
| | | ORDR/ORDER OF APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL | 0001 | | | |
| 0027 | 7 03/20/96 | ORDR/STIPULATION AND ORDER | 0001 | | | |
| 0028 | 3 03/29/96 | MOT /STATE'S MOTION TO AMEND INDICTMENT | \mathtt{AL} | GR | 05/29/96 | |
| 0029 | 03/29/96 | WRIT/RETURN TO WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS | 0002 | | | |
| 0030 | 03/29/96 | ORDR/ORDER | 0002 | | | |
| 0031 | 04/01/96 | PET /DEFT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS | 0001 | DN | 05/29/96 | |
| | | CORPUS | 0001 | | | |
| 0032 | 03/29/96 | REQT/NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO AMEND | AL | | 04/03/96 | |
| | ,, | INDICTMENT | AL | | -,, | |
| 0033 | 3 04/01/96 | WRIT/WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS | 0002 | | | |
| | | OTTE/ORDER TO TRANSPORT | 0002 | | 03/29/96 | |
| 0007 | . 00,20,00 | (Continued to page 2) | 0002 | | 00/20/00 | • |
| | | (concinaca to page 2) | | | | |

| | 96-C-133336-C CODE F | (Continger | nuation Page F | e 2) OR OC S | CH/PER C |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|--------------------|----------------------|
| 0036 04/03/96 0037 04/18/96 | WRIT/WRIT OF HORDR/STIPULATI | ON AND ORDER | | AL 0001 0001 | 04/03/96 |
| | OPPOSITIO | PLATOUS RESPONSE TO NO TO PLATOUS PETITION | | 0002 0002 | Y |
| OF HABEAS CORE | | NG MOTIONS 5-1-96 | | AL | 05/01/96 |
| | TRAN/REPORTER' | S TRANSCRIPT DEFENDA | | AL | 05/01/96 Y |
| | ANT'S PLATOU'S | S PETITION FOR WRIT PETITION FOR WRIT OF | | AL PUS STATE | 'S MOTION |
| TO AMEND INDIC | | ING MOTIONS 5-15-96 | | AL | 05/15/96 |
| | OTTE/ORDER TO | | | 0001 | 05/28/96 |
| | | APPLICATION TO TRANS | SPORT | 0001 | 00,20,00 |
| | DEFENDANT | | | 0001 | |
| | | AMEND INDICTMENT | | AL | |
| | IND /AMENDED I | | | AL | 05/29/96 |
| 0046 06/03/96 | SUBP/SUBPOENA | DUCES TECUM | | | 06/14/96 |
| 0047 06/05/06 | MOR /ALL DEMO | ING MOTIONS 5-29-96 | | 0001 SV AL | 05/01/96 05/29/96 |
| | | APPLICATION FOR EXCE | CC FYDFPT | 0001 | 05/29/96 |
| 0040 00/03/30 | FEES | AFFIICATION FOR EXCE | SOS ENTERT | 0001 | |
| 0049 06/05/96 | | EXCESS EXPERT FEES | | 0001 | |
| | SUBP/SUBPOENA | | | | 06/14/96 |
| , , | · | | | 0001 SV | 06/06/96 |
| 0051 06/12/96 | | YYING PETITION FOR WE | RIT OF | 0002 | |
| | HABEAS CO | | | 0002 | / / |
| 0052 06/26/96 | | S TRANSCRIPT OF PROC | CEEDINGS IN | 0001 | 05/29/96 Y |
| DEBETTAN BOD I | | IDANT CASTILLO'S CORPUS AQND DEFENDAN | ייי איייטוול ד | 0001 | EOD WDIT |
| OF HABEAS COR | | CORPOS AQNO DEFENDAN | NI PLATOU 5 P | EIIIION | FOR WRIT |
| | | TION FOR DISCOVERY C | OF POTENTIAL | 0001 GR | 08/12/96 |
| 0033 07730730 | • | HEARING EVIDENCE | ,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | 0001 | 00/22/20 |
| 0054 07/30/96 | | TION TO EXCLUDE OTHE | ER BAD ACTS | | 08/12/96 |
| , , | | LEVANT PRIOR CRIMINAL | | 0001 | |
| 0055 07/30/96 | | OTION TO EXCLUDE OTHE | | | 08/12/96 |
| | | MINAL ACTIVITY WHERE | | 0001 | |
| 0056 07/30/96 | | F MOTION AND MOTION F | | 0001 | 08/12/96 Y |
| EVIDENCE | DISCOVERY | OF POTENTIAL PENALT | TY HEARING | 0001 | |
| | PEOT/MOTION TO | EXCLUDE OTEHR BAD A | \ CTS | 0001 | 08/12/96 |
| 0037 07730730 | | LEVANT PRIOR CRIMINAL | | 0001 | 00/12/50 |
| 0058 07/30/96 | | EXCLUDE OTHER BAD A | | 0001 | 08/12/96 Y |
| , , | | T PRIOR CRIMINAL ACT | | 0001 | |
| | | rweighs probative val | LUE | | |
| | ROC /RECEIPT O | | | 0001 | 08/01/96 |
| 0060 08/06/96 | | OTION TO LIMIT STATE | 'S PENALTY | | 08/12/96 |
| 0001 00/00/00 | HEARING A | | DÉCLIME | 0001 CD | 00/10/06 |
| 0001 08/06/96 | | OTION IN LIMINE TO PE PHOTOGRAPHS | CUCTODE | 0001 GP 0001 | 08/12/96 |
| 0062 08/06/96 | | OTION TO ALLOW JURY | | | 08/12/96 |
| 0002 00/00/90 | QUESTION | | | 0001 GR | 00/12/50 |
| | <u> </u> | (Continued to page | 3) | _ | |
| | | _ | | | |

| | 96-C-133336-C (Cont | inuation Page | e 3) | | |
|---------------|--|----------------|--------------|------------|---|
| | CODE REASON/DESCRIPTION | | | SCH/PER C | |
| 0063 08/12/96 | MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS 8-12-96 | | 0001 | 08/12/96 | |
| 0064 08/09/96 | ANSW/ANSWER TO MOTION FOR DISCOV | | 0001 | | |
| 0065 00/00/06 | POTENTIAL PENALTY HEARING E ANSW/ANSWER IN OPPOSITION TO MOT | | 0001 0001 | | Y |
| 0065 06/09/96 | EXCLUDE OTHER BAD ACTS AND | | 0001 | | 4 |
| PRIOR CRIMINA | | | ••• | | |
| 0066 08/09/96 | ANSW/ANSWER IN OPPOSITION TO MOT | | | | |
| | TO PRECLUDE AUTOPSY PHOTOGR | | 0001 | | |
| 0067 08/09/96 | ANSW/ANSWER TO MOTION TO ALLOW J QUESTIONNAIRE | URY | 0001 0001 | | |
| 0068 08/09/96 | ANSW/ANSWER IN OPPOSITION TO MOT | ION TO LIMIT | 0001 | | |
| 0000 00,00,00 | STATES PENALTY HEARING ARGU | | 0001 | | |
| 0069 08/09/96 | ANSW/ANSWER IN OPPOSITION TO MOT | | 0001 | | Y |
| | EXCLUDE OTHER BAD ACTS AND | | 0001 | | |
| VALUE | L ACTIVITY AND EVIDENCE WHERE PRE | JUDICE OUTWEIG | HS PROB | ATIVE | |
| | MOT /DEFT'S MOTION TO CONTINUE T | RIAL | 0001 DI | 08/21/96 | |
| 0071 08/15/96 | REQT/MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL AN | D ORDER | 0001 | 08/21/96 | |
| | SHORTENING TIME | | 0001 | | |
| 0072 08/16/96 | LIST/LIST OF GUILT PHASE WITNESS | ES | AL | | |
| | MOT /DEFT'S MOTION TO VACATE RES LIST/LIST OF PENALTY PHASE WITNE | | 0002 DI | 1 08/21/96 | |
| | LIST/LIST OF GUILT PHASE WITNESS | | 0001 | | |
| | ANSW/ANSWER IN OPPOSITION TO MOT | | 0001 | | |
| • | CONTINUE TRIAL | | 0001 | | |
| | TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT PROCE | | 0001 | 08/12/96 | |
| | MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS 8-21-96 | | | 08/21/96 | |
| 00/9 08/2//96 | TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JU VOLUME I - FULL SESSION | RI IRIAL | 0001 0001 | 08/26/96 | |
| 0080 08/26/96 | ORDR/ORDER FOR TRANSCRIPT | | 0001 | | |
| | TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JU | RY TRIAL | 0001 | 08/27/96 | |
| , , | VOLUME II - EARLY AFTERNOON | | 0001 | | |
| 0082 08/28/96 | TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JU | RY TRIAL | 0001 | 08/27/96 | |
| 0083 08/29/96 | VOLUME II - LATE AFTERNOON TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JU | זמדמיד. | 0001 0001 | 08/28/96 | |
| 0003 00/25/50 | VOLUME III - MORNING SESSIO | | 0001 | 00/20/30 | |
| 0084 08/29/96 | TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JU | | 0001 | 08/28/96 | |
| | VOLUME III - AFTERNOON SESS | ION | 0001 | | |
| | LIST/JURY LIST | DV MDIXI | 0001 | 00/00/00 | |
| 0086 08/30/96 | TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JU VOLUME IV - MORNING SESSION | | 0001 0001 | 08/29/96 | |
| 0087 08/30/96 | TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JU | | 0001 | 08/29/96 | |
| 000, 00,00,00 | VOLUME IV - AFTERNOON SESSI | | 0001 | ,, | |
| | EXPT/EX PARTE MOTION FOR RELEASE | OF EVIDENCE | 0001 | | |
| | ORDR/ORDER RELEASING EVIDENCE | DI MDIAI | 0001 | 00/02/06 | |
| 0090 09/04/96 | TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JU VOLUME V - MORNING SESSION | RY TRIAL | 0001 0001 | 09/03/96 | |
| 0091 09/04/96 | TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JU | RY TRIAL | 0001 | 09/03/96 | |
| , , | VOLUME V - AFTERNOON SESSIC | | 0001 | ,, | |
| | HEAR/PENALTY HEARING (VK) | | | 09/18/96 | |
| | SENT/SENTENCING | | 0001 G | 21/04/96 | |
| 0094 09/05/96 | NOEV/NOTICE OF EXHIBIT(S) IN THE (Continued to page | | | 08/26/96 | |
| | (concinued to page | | | | |

| NO. FILED/REC | 96-C-133336-C (Continuation Page CODE REASON/DESCRIPTION | | SCH/PER C |
|---------------|---|--------------|----------------------|
| 0095 09/05/96 | TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL AND | 0001 | 09/04/96 |
| | VERDICT VOLUME VI | 0001 | |
| | INST/INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JURY | 0001 | 00/01/05 |
| | VER /VERDICT VER /VERDICT | 0001 0001 | 09/04/96 09/04/96 |
| | VER / VERDICT | 0001 | 09/04/96 |
| | VER /VERDICT | 0001 | 09/04/96 |
| | VER /VERDICT | 0001 | 09/04/96 |
| | VER /VERDICT | 0001 | 09/04/96 |
| | VER /VERDICT | 0001 | 09/04/96 |
| | OTTE/ORDER FOR TRANSPORTATION OF INMATE FOR | 0002 | 09/10/96 |
| • | PURPOSES OF HAIR TREATMENT | 0002 | . , |
| | REQT/MEDIA REQUEST | | |
| | ORDR/ORDER GRANTING PERMISSION OF MEDIA ENTRY | | |
| | HEAR/PENALTY HEARING | 0001 | 09/25/96 |
| | TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT | AL | 07/22/96 |
| | TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT | AL | 04/03/96 |
| | TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT | AL | 05/13/96 |
| | TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT | AL | 01/24/96 |
| | TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT PENALTY HEADING | AL | 08/21/96 |
| 0113 09/20/96 | TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT PENALTY HEARING VOLUME I - MORNING SESSION | 0001 0001 | 09/19/96 |
| 0114 00/22/06 | TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT PENALTY HEARING | 0001 | 09/20/96 |
| 0114 09/23/90 | VOLUME II - MORNING SESSION | 0001 | 09/20/96 |
| 0115 09/23/96 | TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT PENALTY HEARING | 0001 | 09/20/96 |
| 0113 03/23/30 | VOLUME II - AFTERNOON SESSION | 0001 | 03/20/30 |
| 0116 09/20/96 | TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT PENALTY HEARING | 0001 | 09/19/96 |
| V | VOLUME I - AFTERNOON SESSION | 0001 | 05/25/50 |
| 0117 09/24/96 | JURY/TRIAL BY JURY | 0002 | 09/25/96 |
| 0118 09/25/96 | MEMO/GUILTY PLEA MEMORANDUM/AGREEMENT | 0002 | |
| 0119 09/25/96 | TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT PENALTY HEARING | 0001 | 09/24/96 |
| | VOLUME III - MORNING SESSION | 0001 | |
| | NOEV/NOTICE OF EXHIBIT(S) IN THE VAULT | | 09/19/96 |
| 0121 09/26/96 | TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT PENALTY HEARING | 0001 | 09/24/96 |
| 0100 00/05/05 | VOLUME III - AFTERNOON SESSION | 0001 | 00/07/00 |
| 0122 09/26/96 | TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT PENALTY HEARING | 0001 | 09/25/96 |
| 0122 00/25/06 | VOLUME IV - VERDICT SENT/SENTENCING - COUNTS II, III & IV / | 0001 | R 11/04/96 |
| 0123 09/25/90 | DISMISSAL COUNTS - I, V, VI & VII | 0002 GI | K 11/04/96 |
| 0124 09/25/96 | INST/INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JURY | 0002 | |
| | VER /VERDICT | 0001 | 09/25/96 |
| | VER /SPECIAL VERDICT | 0001 | 09/25/96 |
| | VER /SPECIAL VERDICT | 0001 | 09/25/96 |
| 0128 11/04/96 | MOT /ALL PENDING MOTIONS 11-4-96 | AL | 11/04/96 |
| 0129 11/04/96 | NOTC/NOTICE OF APPEAL | 0001 A | |
| | TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING | AL | 11/04/96 |
| | EMO /ENTRY OF MINUTE ORDER | 0001 | |
| | WARR/WARRANT OF EXECUTION | 0001 | |
| | ORDR/ORDER OF EXECUTION | 0001 | |
| | ORDR/ORDER OF APPOINTMENT | 0001 | |
| | EXPT/EX PARTE MOTION FOR STAY OF EXECUTION ORDR/ORDER TO STAY EXECUTION | 0001 | |
| 0130 11/00/30 | (Continued to page 5) | 0001 | |
| | (conclinaca to page 3) | | |

| | C-133336-C DE REASON/DESCRIE | (Continuation Page | e 5) FOR OC S | CH/PER C | |
|------------------|--|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---|
| | DG/JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION DR/STIPULATION AND ORDER ATTORNEYS FEES IN EXCE | FOR PAYMENT OF | 0001 0001 0001 | 11/12/96 11/12/96 | Y |
| STATUTORY ALLOWA | NCE | | | | |
| | RT/CERTIFICATE OF MAILING AN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT | • | 0001 *D | 11/13/96 11/04/96 | |
| | TC/NOTICE OF APPEAL | | 0002 AP | | |
| | AT/CASE APPEAL STATEMENT | | 0001 | | |
| | AT/CASE APPEAL STATEMENT | | 0002 | / / | |
| | EV/NOTICE OF TRANSCRIPT OF | | 0001 0001 GR | 08/26/96 12/20/96 | |
| 0146 12/05/96 MO | T /STEVEN G. MCGUIRE'S MC AS COUNSEL OF RECORD | OTION TO WITHDRAW | 0001 GR | . 12/20/96 | |
| 0147 12/06/96 MO | T /DEFT'S PRO PER MOTION | TO CONTINUE WAIVER | | 12/18/96 | |
| | OF COSTS & FEES MTN TO | | 0002 | | |
| | AS/DESIGNATION OF RECORD | | 0002 | 10/10/06 | |
| | QT/MOTION TO APPOINT COUN QT/MOTION TO CONTINUE WAI | | 0002 0002 | 12/18/96 12/18/96 | |
| 0130 12/03/90 RE | AND FEES | IVER OF COSTS | 0002 | 12/10/50 | |
| 0151 01/03/97 AS | SG/Reassign Case From Jud Judge GIBBONS | dge MAUPIN TO | | | |
| 0152 01/07/97 OR | DR/ORDER DENYING DEFENDAN | | 0002 | | Y |
| DEEC AND MORTON | MOTION TO CONTINUE WAI | IVER OF COSTS AND | 0002 | | |
| | TO APPOINT COUNSEL AR/STATE'S REQUEST APPOIN | ITMENT OF COUNSEL | 0002 MR | 02/19/97 | |
| | DG/JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION | | 0002 | . 02/15/57 | |
| | NT/ADMINISTRATION/ASSESSM | | 0002 | 01/31/97 | |
| 0156 01/28/97 JM | NT/JUDGMENT OF RESTITUTION | N | 0002 | 01/31/97 | |
| 0157 01/17/97 TR | AN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT | OF MOTION TO | 0001 | 12/16/96 | |
| 0158 03/05/97 NO | WITHDRAW TC/NOTICE OF APPOINTMENT | OF COINSEL | 0001 0002 | | |
| | AN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT | or coonside | 0002 | 09/25/96 | |
| | TF/CERTIFICATE ACKNOWLEDG | GING DELIVERY OF | 0002 | , | Y |
| | CERTIFIED TRANSCIRPT T | | 0002 | | |
| OF THE SUPREME C | COURT AND CERTIFICATE ACK | NOWLEDGING DELIVERY | OF CERTI | FIED | |
| | SSG/Reassign Case From Juc | age GIBBONS to | | | |
| | Judge PAVLIKOWSKI | . | | | |
| | JA/NEVADA SUPREME COURT (JUDGMENT - AFFIRMED | | 0001 | 04/29/98 | |
| 0163 06/10/98 NS | CO/NEVADA SUPREME COURT C | JUDGMENT / ORDERED | 0002 | 06/10/98 | |
| 0164 11/18/98 CA | APPEAL DISMISSED ASO/CASE (RE)ACTIVATED ON | | 0002 | | |
| | T /DEFT'S MTN FOR INTERIN | M PAYMENT OF ATTY | 0001 GR | 12/01/98 | |
| · | ATTORNEY FEES IN EXCES | SS OF THE STATUTORY | 0001 | | |
| , , | QT/MOTION FOR INTERIM PAY ATTORNEY FEES IN EXCES | SS OF THE | 0001 0001 | 12/01/98 | Y |
| | AND COSTS ON A CAPITAL AND COUNTY OF THE AND T | | 0000 00 | 10/02/00 | |
| | T /PUBLIC DEFENDER'S MOTI | ION IO WITHDRAW AS | 0002 | 12/03/98 | |
| | OC /RECEIPT OF COPY CDR/ORDER GRANTING MOTION | ₽∩Ο ΤΝΨΦΌΤΜ | 0001 0001 | 11/19/98 | Y |
| 0109 12/01/90 OR | PAYMENT OF EXCESS ATTO | | 0001 | | 1 |
| | (Continued to | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| NO. FILEI | 96-C-133336-C D/REC CODE | (Continuation REASON/DESCRIPTION | | 6) OC SCH/PER C |
|-----------|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| COSTS ON | CAPITAL APPEAL | | | |
| 0170 04/0 | | PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS | 0001 | |
| | | MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COL | | |
| | | CATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL | 0001 | |
| | 20/99 HEAR/CONFIRM 23/99 ARGU/ARGUMEN | MATION OF COUNSEL (BUCKELY J) | 0001 0001 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | APPOINTING COUNSEL | 0001 | |
| | | SUPREME COURT JUDGMENT / ORDE | | |
| | | DISMISSED | 0001 | • |
| 0176 05/ | 18/99 OCAL/STATE'S | REQUEST STATUS CHECK PTN FOR | 0002 | 05/20/99 |
| | WRIT | | 0002 | |
| 0177 05/2 | | NT: DEFT'S PETITION FOR WRIT O | | |
| 0170 05/ | HABEAS | | 0002 | |
| | 20/99 ROC /RECEIPT 20/99 CERT/CERTIFT | | 0001 AL | 04/29/99 04/29/99 |
| | | ATION AND ORDER FOR PAYMENT OF | | 05/14/99 |
| 0100 05/. | | ATTORNEYS FEES AND EXPENSES | AL | 03/14/33 |
| 0181 06/ | | OF DISPOSITION OF FILES | 0001 | |
| | | TION TO DEFENDANTS PROPER PERS | | |
| · | PETITIO | ON FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS | 0002 | |
| POST-CON | | | | |
| | 20/99 CERT/CERTIF | | 0001 | |
| | 26/99 CSCL/CASE CI | | | 08/26/99 |
| 0185 09/. | AND ORI | GS OF FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LA DER | AW 0002 0002 | • • |
| | | OF ENTRY OF ORDER | 0002 | 09/10/99 |
| | 22/99 CASO/CASE (F | | | |
| 0188 11/2 | | SNMENT OF JUDGE Pavlikowski TO |) | |
| 0100 11/ | JUDGE (| MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF | 0001 | GR 12/06/99 |
| 0189 11/ | • | ATRIST AND CO-COUNSEL | 0001 0001 | |
| 0190 11/3 | 22/99 ROC /RECEIPT | | 0001 | |
| | 18/00 ORDR/ORDER | | 0001 | |
| | | ER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS | | |
| · | | FOR APPOINTMENT OFPSYCHIATRIS | | |
| AND CO-CO | | | | |
| | | MOTION TO PLACE ON CALENDAR | 0001 | |
| | 12/00 CERT/CERTIF | | 0001 | • |
| | 23/00 HEAR/CONFIRM 26/00 HEAR/HEARING | | 0001 0001 | |
| • | · · · | JDING MOTIONS 10/26/00 | 0001 | • • |
| | | In Case From Judge Gibbons To | 0001 | 10/20/00 |
| 0130 127 | Judge S | | | |
| 0199 12/3 | 19/00 ORDR/ORDER (| | 0001 | |
| 0200 03/0 | 01/01 MOT /DEFT'S | MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME | TO 0001 | GR 03/12/01 |
| | | EFT'S SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SU | | |
| 0201 05/ | · | ER'S TRANSCRIPT RE:DEFENDANT'S | | • |
| M | | KTENSION OF TIME TO FILE | 0001 | |
| | | BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT | S PETITION | N FOR WRIT OF |
| HABEAUS (| | ER'S TRANSCRIPT OF STATE'S MOT | TION 0001 | 10/23/00 |
| 0202 007. | | CE ON CALENDAR | 0001 | |
| | 10 1 114 | (Continued to page 7) | 0001 | |
| | | , | | |

| | Ć | 96-C-133336-C | (Continuation | Page | 7) | | |
|--------|-------------|--|--------------------------|---------|----|----------|----------|
| NO. E | FILED/REC | | ESCRIPTION | FOR | | CH/PER C | |
| | , | | | | | , | |
| | | ORDR/STIPULATION AND | ORDER | 000 | 1 | 06/21/01 | - |
| | | ARGU/ARGUMENT | | 000 | l | 03/04/02 | <u>}</u> |
| | | ORDR/STIPULATION AND | | | | | |
| 0206 | 08/01/01 | TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANS | CRIPT CONFIRMATION OF | | | 10/26/00 |) |
| | | COUNSEL | | 000 | | | |
| | | ORDR/STIPULATION AND | | 000 | | 09/05/01 | |
| | | ORDR/STIPULATION AND | | 000 | | 09/13/01 | |
| 0209 | 10/12/01 | BREF/SUPPLEMENTAL BRI | | 000 | | | Y |
| CODDI | 10 | DEFENDANTS PETIT | ION FOR WRIT OF HABEA | AS 000: | L | | |
| CORPU | | DOC /DECEIPT OF CODY | | 000 | 1 | 11/16/00 | |
| | | ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY OPPS/OPPOSITION TO DE | PENDANTO CIIDDI EMENTONI | | | 11/16/00 | Y |
| 0211 | 12/12/01 | | ' OF DEFENDANT PETITIC | | | | 1 |
| ז מסים | אסדיי אם עז | ABEAS CORPUS (POST CON | | N 000. | L | | |
| | | ORDR/STIPULATION AND | | 000 | 1 | 01/18/02 | |
| | | ORDR/STIPULATION AND | | 000 | | 02/19/02 | |
| | | HEAR/AT THE REQUEST C | | 000 | | 05/08/02 | |
| | | ORDR/STIPULATION AND | | 000 | | 03/05/02 | |
| | | RPLY/REPLY TO STATES | | | | 03/03/02 | Y |
| 0210 | 03,03,02 | | EF IN SUPPORT OF | 000 | | | • |
| ISTEC | NDANTS PE | CITION FOR WRIT OF HAB | | 000. | _ | | |
| | | ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY | | 000 | 1 | 03/06/02 | |
| | | HEAR/EVIDENTIARY HEAR | ING VE 09/16 | 000 | | 10/11/02 | |
| | | TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANS | | | | 05/08/02 | |
| | , , | COURT: ARGUMENT | | 000 | | ,, | |
| 0220 | 06/11/02 | TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANS | | | | 04/10/02 | |
| | , , | COURT: ARGUMENT | | 000 | | , , | |
| 0221 | 08/09/02 | TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANS | CRIPT EVIDENTIARY | 000 | L | 08/02/02 | |
| | | HEARING | | 000 | L | | |
| | | HEAR/HEARING: ARGUMENT | | 000 | | 01/22/03 | |
| | | ORDR/STIPULATION AND | | 000 | | 12/04/02 | |
| 0224 | 09/27/02 | BREF/SECOND SUPPLEMEN | | | | | Y |
| | | | CONVICTION PETITION F | FOR 000 | L | | |
| | OF HABEAS | | | | _ | | |
| | | ROC /RECEIPT OF COPY | | 000 | | 09/27/02 | |
| 0226 | 11/26/02 | RSPN/STATES RESPONSE | | 000 | | | Y |
| | | | EF IN SUPPORT OF | 000 | L | | |
| | | ST CONVICTION PETITION | | | , | 00/10/00 | |
| | | NOAS/NOTICE OF APPEAL | | 000 | | 02/19/03 | |
| | | STAT/CASE APPEAL STAT | | 000 | | 02/24/02 | |
| | | ORDR/ORDER OF APPOINT | | 000 | | 02/24/03 | |
| 0230 | 03/1//03 | EXPR/EX PARTE ORDER G ATTORNEYS FEES | RANTING EXCESSIVE | 000: | | 03/17/03 | |
| 0231 | 04/10/03 | TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANS | רסדסי סבי אסמוואבאיי | 000 | | 03/04/02 | |
| | | TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANS | | 000 | | 01/22/03 | |
| 0232 | 04,10,03 | HEARING | CALLE OF BAIDDMITMA | 000: | | 01/22/03 | |
| 0233 | 04/11/03 | ORDR/ORDER FOR TRANSC | RIPT | 000 | | 01/22/03 | |
| | | CASO/CASE (RE) OPENED | | 300. | - | 05/07/03 | |
| | | OCAL/STATUS CHECK: FI | LING OF JUDGMENT | 000 | 1 | 06/11/03 | |
| | | JUDG/FINDINGS OF FACT | | | | 01/22/03 | |
| | . , - | AND ORDER | · | 000 | | | |
| 0237 | 06/11/03 | CSCL/CASE CLOSED | | | | 06/11/03 | ı |
| | | (Contin | ued to page 8) | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| | 96-C-133336-C (Continuation Page | 3 | 8) | | |
|---------------|--|--------------|------|----------------------|---|
| NO. FILED/REC | CODE REASON/DESCRIPTION | FOR | OC S | CH/PER C | |
| 0238 02/10/04 | APCL/APPEAL TO SUPREME COURT: CLOSED 40982 | | | 02/05/04 | |
| 0239 06/11/04 | JMNT/CLERK'S CERTIFICATE JUDGMENT AFFIRMED | 0001 | | 06/14/04 | |
| | CCJA/NEVADA SUPREME COURT CLERKS CERTIFICATE/ | 0001 | - | 06/11/04 | |
| | JUDGMENT - AFFIRMED | 0001 | , | | |
| 0241 11/01/04 | APCL/APPEAL TO SUPREME COURT: CLOSED 40982 | 0001 | . GR | 10/27/04 | |
| 0242 11/01/04 | CCJA/NEVADA SUPREME COURT CLERKS CERTIFICATE/ | 0001 | | 11/01/04 | |
| | JUDGMENT - AFFIRMED | 0001 | | | |
| | JMNT/CLERK'S CERTIFICATE JUDGMENT AFFIRMED | 0001 | | 11/04/04 | |
| 0244 02/01/05 | EXPR/EX PARTE ORDER GRANTING EXCESSIVE | 0001 | | 02/01/05 | |
| | ATTORNEYS FEES | 0001 | | | |
| | CASO/CASE (RE)OPENED | | | 02/15/05 | |
| 0246 02/15/05 | MOT /STATE'S MOTION COURT TO ISSUE SUPPLE- | 0001 | | 03/02/05 | |
| | MENTAL WARRANT OF EXECUTION (VC 2/23/05) | 0001 | , | 00/00/05 | |
| | CCPD/CASE CLOSED PER DEPARTMENT | 0000 | | 03/30/05 | |
| 0248 08/18/05 | MOT /DEFT'S PRO PER MTN FOR TRANSCRIPTS AT | 0002 | | 08/29/05 | |
| 0040 00/10/05 | STATE EXPENSE /58 | 0002 | | 00/10/05 | |
| | CASO/CASE (RE)OPENED | 0002 | 1 | 08/18/05 08/29/05 | |
| 0250 08/25/05 | OPPS/STATES OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR TRANSCRIPTS AT STATE EXPENSE | 0002 | | 08/29/05 | |
| 0051 00/00/05 | | 0002 | | 09/02/05 | |
| 0251 09/02/05 | ORDR/ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR TRANSCRIPTS AT STATE EXPENSE | 0002 | | 09/02/05 | |
| 0252 00/20/05 | CSCL/CASE CLOSED | 0002 | | 08/29/05 | |
| | ASSG/Reassign Case From Judge Saitta To | | | 06/29/05 | |
| 0253 12/16/06 | Judge HALVERSON | | | | |
| 0254 04/29/07 | ASSG/Reassign Case From Judge Halverson To | | | | |
| 0234 04/29/07 | Judge Barker | | | | |
| 0255 09/08/07 | CASO/CASE (RE)OPENED | | | 09/07/07 | |
| | MOT /STATES MTN FOR THE COURT TO ISSUE | 0001 | мн | 09/24/07 | |
| 0230 03,00,0, | SUPPLEMENTAL WARRANT OF EXECUTION/59 | 0001 | | 03,21,0. | |
| 0257 09/24/07 | WARR/SUPPLEMENTAL WARRANT OF EXECUTION | 0001 | | | |
| | ORDR/SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER OF EXECUTION | 0001 | | 09/24/07 | |
| 0259 09/24/07 | | 0001 | | | |
| 0260 11/08/07 | CCPD/CASE CLOSED PER DEPARTMENT | | | 11/08/07 | |
| 0261 11/21/07 | ORDR/ORDER RELEASING EVIDENCE | 0001 | | 11/21/07 | |
| | PET /PETITION FOR RELEASE OF EVIDENCE | 0001 | | | |
| | ROP /RECEIPT OF EXHIBITS | 0001 | • | 01/02/07 | |
| | CASO/CASE (RE)OPENED | | | 09/18/09 | |
| | PET /PTN FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS | 0001 | | 04/09/10 | |
| 0266 09/18/09 | EXH /EXHIBITS TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS | 0001 | | | Y |
| | CORPUS - DEATH PENALTY HABEAS CORPUS | 0001 | • | | |
| CASE - VOLUME | | | | | |
| 0267 09/18/09 | EXH /EXHIBITS TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS | 0001 | | | Y |
| HADDAG GODDHG | CORPUS - VOLUME 1 OF 15 - DEATH PENALTY | 0001 | | | |
| HABEAS CORPUS | | 0001 | | | |
| 0268 09/18/09 | EXH /EXHIBITS TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS | 0001 0001 | | | |
| 0260 00/10/00 | CORPUS - VOLUME 14 OF 15 EXH /EXHIBITS TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS | | | | Y |
| 0203 03/10/03 | CORPUS - VOLUME 5 OF 15 - DEATH PENALTY | 0001 | | | Ţ |
| CASE | CORPOS - VOLUME J OF 13 - DEATH PENALTI | OOOT | * | | |
| | EXH /EXHIBITS TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS | 0001 | | | Y |
| 3270 05/10/05 | CORPUS - VOLUME 6 OF 15 - DEATH PENALTY | 0001 | | | 1 |
| CASE | COLUCTION OF TO DUNIN I DIVINI | 2001 | • | | |
| J | (Continued to page 9) | | | | |
| | (| | | | |

| | 96-C-133336-C CODE I | · | | SCH/PER C | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--------------|--|----|
| | | TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS VOLUME 11 OF 15 - DEATH PENALTY | | | Y |
| | CORPUS - | TO PETITION FOR WRIT FO HABEAS VOLUME 7 OF 15 - DEATH PENALTY | 0001 0001 | | Y |
| HABEAS CORPUS | | | | | |
| | CORPUS - | TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS VOLUME 9 OF 15 - DEATH PENALTY | 0001 0001 | | Y |
| HABEAS CORPUS 0274 09/18/09 | EXH /EXHIBITS | TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS VOLUME 13 OF 15 - DEATH PENALTY | | | Y |
| CORPUS CASE | CORPUS - | VOLUME 13 OF 13 - DEATH FEMALIT | 0001 | | |
| | | TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS VOLUME 10 OF 15 - DEATH PENALTY | 0001 0001 | | Y |
| HABEAS CORPUS | | | | | |
| | CORPUS - | TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS VOLUME 12 OF 15 - DEATH PENALTY | 0001 0001 | | Y |
| HABEAS CORPUS | | TO DESCRIPTION FOR UNDER OF UNDERSO. | 0001 | | 37 |
| · | CORPUS - | TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS VOLUME 3 OF 15 - DEATH PENALTY | 0001 0001 | | Y |
| HABEAS CORPUS | | TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS | 0001 | | Y |
| | CORPUS - | VOLUME 4 OF 15 - DEATH PENALTY | 0001 | | Ŧ |
| HABEAS CORPUS | | TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS | 0001 | | Y |
| | CORPUS - | VOLUME 8 OF 15 - DEATH PENALTY | 0001 | | 1 |
| HABEAS CORPUS 0280 09/18/09 | EXH /EXHIBITS | TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS VOLUME 2 OF 15 - DEATH PENALTY | 0001 0001 | | Y |
| HABEAS CORPUS | | | | | |
| 0281 09/23/09 | | ATE OF MAILING - DEATH PENALTY | 0001 | | |
| 0000 11/01/00 | | ORPUS CASE | 0001 | 0.4.40.0.44.0 | |
| | ARGU/ARGUMENT | DECISION ESPONSE AND MTN TO DISMISS DEFTS | 0001 | 04/09/10 | v |
| CORPUS - POST | SECOND P | ETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS | 0001 | | Y |
| | | MTN TO DISMISS DEFT'S SECOND PET | 0001 | 04/09/10 | |
| 1201 22,00,00 | • | R WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS | 0001 | 0 = 1 0 5 / 1 0 | |
| 0285 01/04/10 | ORDR/STIPULAT | OPPOSITION TO RESPONDENTS | 0001 0001 | 01/22/10 | Y |
| | | FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS AND HE | | | |
| | | ON TO MOTION TO DISMISS | 0001 | | |
| 0287 02/22/10 | EXH /EXHIBITS DISMISS | TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO | 0001 0001 | | |
| 0288 03/18/10 | RPLY/STATES R | EPLY TO DEFTS OPPOSITION TO DTION TO DISMISS | 0001 | | |
| 0289 04/12/10 | | ING MOTIONS (4-09-10) | 0001 | 04/09/10 | |
| • | ORDR/ORDER FO | | 0001 | -, -, -, -0 | |
| | TRAN/RECORDER | 'S TRANSCRIPT RE PETITION FOR | 0001 | | Y |
| | | HABEAS CORPUS - STATES MTN | 0001 | | |
| | | ITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS | | and the second s | |
| 0292 05/12/10 | ORDER | OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND | 0001 | 05/12/10 | |
| | OILDLIK | (Continued to page 10) | 0001 | | |

96-C-133336-C (Continuation Page 10)
NO. FILED/REC CODE REASON/DESCRIPTION FOR OC SCH/PER C

0293 05/24/10 EIE /ENTRY IN ERROR
0294 05/21/10 NOED/NOTICE OF ENTRY OF DECISION AND ORDER 0001
0295 05/28/10 TRAN/REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT RE PETITION FOR 0001
WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS HEARING 11-01-09 0001

| 1 | ORDR | | FILED |
|----|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| 2 | DAVID ROGER | | y y / Seem Degar |
| 3 | Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #002781 STEVEN S. OWENS | | May 12 8 45 AM '10 |
| 4 | Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #004352 | | Control of the contro |
| 5 | 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff | | CLA. CONT |
| 6 | Attorney for Plaintiff | | |
| 7 | | DISTRICT COURT RK COUNTY, NEVADA | |
| 8 | THE STATE OF NEVADA, | A COUNTY, NEVADA | |
| 9 | Plaintiff, | CASE NO: | C133336 |
| 10 | -vs- | DEPT NO: | XVIII |
| 11 | WILLIAM CASTILLO, #1153209 | } DEFINO: | Aviii |
| 12 | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | } | |
| 13 | Defendant. | }} | |
| 14 | FINDINGS (| OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS | S OF |

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

DATE OF HEARING: 4/9/10 TIME OF HEARING: 10:00 A.M.

THIS CAUSE having come on for hearing before the Honorable DAVID B. BARKER, District Judge, for argument on the 9th day of April, 2010, WILLIAM CASTILLO being present in custody, represented by attorneys NISHA BROOKS and GARY TAYLOR of the Federal Public Defender's Office, the Respondent being represented by DAVID ROGER, District Attorney, by and through STEVEN S. OWENS, Chief Deputy District Attorney, and the Court having considered the matter, including pleadings, transcripts, arguments of counsel, and documents on file herein, this Court now makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law.

In 1996, Castillo was convicted and sentenced to death for beating an 86-year old woman in the head with a tire iron and then smothering her as she lay sleeping in her bed while Castillo and an accomplice burglarized her home, robbed her of a VCR, money, and

silverware, and then set fire to the house in order to destroy evidence. Castillo v. State, 114 Nev. 271, 956 P.2d 103 (1998). The convictions and sentence were affirmed on direct appeal and Remittitur issued on April 28, 1999. Id. Castillo timely filed his first state post-conviction petition on April 2, 1999, which was denied by this court after an evidentiary hearing with written findings filed on February 5, 2004. That decision was affirmed on appeal. (SC #40982). Six years after the findings of fact were filed in the first post-conviction proceeding and five years after issuance of Remittitur in the subsequent appeal, Castillo filed another state post-conviction petition on September 18, 2009, which the state has responded to and moved to dismiss.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The instant post-conviction petition is filed more than ten (10) years after issuance of Remittitur from direct appeal in violation of the one-year time bar of NRS 34.726. Additionally, the current petition is Castillo's second attempt at state post-conviction relief and is barred as a successive petition per NRS 34.810. The state also affirmatively pleads laches and invokes the five-year time bar of NRS 34.800. This Court finds the allegations of good cause and prejudice are insufficient to overcome each of these bars and orders the petition dismissed.

Allegations of ineffective assistance of counsel at trial and on appeal were capable of being raised in the first post-conviction proceedings and do not constitute good cause for filing of a second petition. Likewise, any errors of first post-conviction counsel Chris Oram occurred seven to ten years ago between 2000 and 2003 and do not provide good cause for the entire length of delay. This Court finds that no alleged error of first post-conviction counsel between 2000 and 2003 can account for the subsequent six year delay in filing the instant petition in 2009.

After his first state post-conviction proceedings concluded in 2003, Castillo elected to pursue federal relief by filing a pro per federal habeas petition on June 22, 2004, which he could have filed in state court instead. Castillo litigated his federal claims for five years from 2004 to 2009 in Case #2:04-cv-00868-RCJ-GWF. During that time, Castillo

affirmatively waived his federal rights, dismissed his federal petition, and agreed to be executed. Although Castillo later changed his mind and reinstituted his federal petition, such federal litigation and waiver of rights do not constitute good cause for the delay in returning to state court.

This Court finds that as an intervening change in law, the McConnell decision does not afford Castillo good cause for his delay. McConnell was decided in 2004 and yet Castillo delayed five years before raising the claim in state court. Even once McConnell was held to be retroactive in Bejarano in 2006, Castillo still delayed another three years before raising the claim. Because a McConnell claim is untimely at this point and Castillo has failed to offer a good cause explanation for the entire length of the delay, his claim is procedurally barred.

Review of the <u>McConnell</u> claim is not warranted even under the fundamental miscarriage of justice doctrine. <u>McConnell</u>-type error is an instructional error and concerns only alleged legal innocence, not factual innocence. Furthermore, in a <u>McConnell</u> claim there is no allegation of new evidence which is necessary to support a genuine claim of actual innocence or fundamental miscarriage of justice.

Even applying McConnell, this Court finds that only the felony-burglary and felony-robbery aggravators would be stricken and that two valid aggravators would remain, namely being convicted of a prior crime of violence, and murder committed to avoid or prevent a lawful arrest. This Court finds the evidence in aggravation to be compelling but the evidence in mitigation to be relatively weak. After reweighing the remaining aggravating and mitigating evidence, this Court concludes beyond a reasonable doubt that the jury still would have imposed death absent the erroneous aggravating circumstances. Accordingly, Castillo has failed to show by clear and convincing evidence that, but for a constitutional error, no reasonable juror would have found petitioner eligible for the death penalty under the applicable statute, and he is not actually innocent of the death penalty.

This Court finds that as an intervening change in law, <u>Polk</u> does not constitute good cause for Castillo's second petition because it was published in 2007 and not timely raised

two years later in a 2009 petition. Furthermore, <u>Polk</u> did not address retroactivity of <u>Byford</u> and <u>Nika</u> remains the current law that <u>Byford</u> is not retroactive. Castillo's conviction was final upon issuance of Remittitur in 1999, well before the <u>Byford</u> decision. Accordingly, Castillo is not entitled to application of the <u>Byford</u> decision and therefore <u>Polk</u> as intervening case law does not constitute good cause for raising the claim in a second and untimely petition.

Even if <u>Baze v. Rees</u> were timely raised as an intervening change in law, which it is not, a challenge to the constitutionality of Nevada's lethal injection protocol is not cognizable in a post-conviction petition for writ of habeas corpus in a death penalty case. This claim is therefore dismissed.

Assuming all of Castillo's factual allegations are true, he still would not be entitled to relief on this second petition and thus there is no need for an evidentiary hearing. Castillo has failed to offer good cause explanations which account for the entire length of delay in filing the instant successive petition. Therefore, the State's motion to dismiss the petition is granted.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

NRS 34.726(1) states that "unless there is good cause shown for delay, a petition that challenges the validity of a judgment or sentence *must* be filed within one (1) year after entry of the judgment of conviction or, if an appeal has been taken from the judgment, within one (1) year after the Supreme Court issues its remittitur."

NRS 34.800 recognizes that a post-conviction petition should be dismissed when delay in presenting issues would prejudice the State in responding to the petition or in conducting a retrial. NRS 34.800(1)(a) and (b). Furthermore, NRS 34.800(2) creates a rebuttable presumption of prejudice to the State if "[a] period of five years [elapses] between the filing of a judgment of conviction, an order imposing sentence of imprisonment or a decision on direct appeal of a judgment of conviction and the filing of a petition challenging the validity of a judgment of conviction." To invoke the presumption, the statute requires that the State plead laches in its motion to dismiss the petition. NRS 34.800(2).

 NRS 34.810(1)(b) states that the court *shall* dismiss a petition if the court determines that: "The petitioner's conviction was the result of a trial and the grounds for the petition could have been: (1) Presented to the trial court; (2) Raised in a direct appeal or a prior petition for writ of habeas corpus or postconviction relief; or (3) Raised in any other proceeding that the petitioner has taken to secure relief from his conviction and sentence, unless the court finds both cause for the failure to present the grounds and actual prejudice to the petitioner."

NRS 34.810(2) reads: "A second or successive petition *must* be dismissed if the judge or justice determines that it fails to allege new or different grounds for relief and that the prior determination was on the merits or, if new and different grounds are alleged, the judge or justice finds that the failure of the petitioner to assert those grounds in a prior petition constituted an abuse of the writ."

To establish good cause, a defendant *must* demonstrate that some impediment external to the defense prevented compliance with the mandated statutory default rules. Clem v. State, 119 Nev. 615, 621, 81 P.3d 521, 525 (2003); Lozada v. State, 110 Nev. 349, 353, 871 P.2d 944, 946 (1994); see also Hathaway 119 Nev. at 252, 71 P.3d at 506 (citing Pellegrini v. State, 117 Nev. 860, 886-87, 34 P.3d 519, 537 (2001)); Passanisi v. Dir. of Prisons, 105 Nev. 63, 769 P.2d 72 (1989); Crump v. Warden, 113 Nev. 293, 295, 934 P.2d 247, 252 (1997); Phelps, 104 Nev. at 659, 764 P.2d at 1305. Further, "appellants cannot attempt to manufacture good cause[.]" Id. at 621, at 526. Valid impediments external to the defense giving rise to "good cause" could be "that the factual or legal basis for a claim was not reasonably available to counsel, or that 'some interference by officials' made compliance impracticable." Hathaway v. State, 119 Nev. 248, 252, 71 P.3d 503, 506 (2003) (quoting Murray v. Carrier, 477 U.S. 478, 488 (1986)); see also Gonzalez, 118 Nev. at 595, 53 P.3d at 904, (citing Harris v. Warden, 114 Nev. 956, 959-60, 964 P.2d 785, 787 n.4 (1998)).

To find good cause there must be a "substantial reason; one that affords a legal excuse." Hathaway, 119 Nev. at 252, 71 P.3d at 506 (quoting Colley v. State, 105 Nev. 235, 236, 773 p.2d 1229, 1230 (1989)). A defendant can show good cause only in those rare

situations where a failure to entertain the issue would result in "a fundamental miscarriage of justice." Hogan v. Warden, 109 Nev. 952, 959, 860 P. 2d 710, 715 (1993), (quoting McClesky v. Zant, 499 U.S. 467 (1991)).

The Nevada Supreme Court has held that a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel that is procedurally barred cannot constitute good cause for excusing the procedural bars for itself or any other claim. State v. District Court (Riker), 121 Nev. 225, 112 P.3d 1070 (2005). See also Edwards v. Carpenter, 529 U.S. 446, 453 (2000) (procedurally barred ineffective assistance of counsel claim is not good cause).

Even where a petitioner may file a successive petition in order to allege the ineffectiveness of first post-conviction counsel, he must still raise these matters in a reasonable time to avoid application of procedural default rules. See Pellegrini v. State, 117 Nev. 860, 869-70, 34 P.3d 519, 525-26 (2001) (holding that the time bar in NRS 34.726 applies to successive petitions); see generally Hathaway v. State, 119 Nev. 248, 252-53, 71 P.3d 503, 506-07 (2003) (stating that a claim reasonably available to the petitioner during the statutory time period did not constitute good cause to excuse a delay in filing). "A claim of ineffective assistance of counsel may also excuse a procedural default if counsel was so ineffective as to violate the Sixth Amendment. However, in order to constitute adequate cause, the ineffective assistance of counsel claim itself must not be procedurally defaulted. In other words, a petitioner must demonstrate cause for raising the ineffective assistance of counsel claim in an untimely fashion." State v. District Court (Riker), 121 Nev. 225, 112 P.3d 1070 (2005).

Pursuit of federal remedies does not constitute good cause to overcome state procedural bars. Colley v. State, 105 Nev. 235, 773 P.2d 1229 (1989). In Colley, the defendant argued that he appropriately refrained from filing a state habeas petition during the four years he pursued a federal writ of habeas corpus. The Nevada Supreme Court disagreed: "Should we allow Colley's post-conviction relief proceeding to go forward, we would encourage offenders to file groundless petitions for federal habeas corpus relief, secure in the knowledge that a petition for post-conviction relief remained indefinitely

 available to them. This situation would prejudice both the accused and the State since the interest of both the petitioner and the government are best served if post-conviction claims are raised while the evidence is still fresh." <u>Id</u>.

McConnell was decided in 2004, and yet Castillo delayed five years before raising the claim in state court. McConnell v. State, 120 Nev. 1043, 102 P.3d 606 (2004). Additionally, even once McConnell was held to be retroactive, Castillo still delayed another three years before raising the claim. Bejarano v. State, 122 Nev. 1066, 146 P.3d 265 (2006).

This Court "may excuse the failure to show cause where the prejudice from a failure to consider the claim amounts to a 'fundamental miscarriage of justice.' "Pellegrini v. State, 117 Nev. 860, 887, 34 P.3d 519, 537 (2001). In this context, the fundamental miscarriage of justice standard is met if Castillo "makes a colorable showing he is ... ineligible for the death penalty." Pellegrini, 117 Nev. at 887, 34 P.3d at 537. He must show by clear and convincing evidence that, but for a constitutional error, no reasonable juror would have found him death eligible. Hogan v. Warden, 109 Nev. 952, 960, 860 P.2d 710, 716 (1993), citing Sawyer v. Whitely, 505 U.S. 333, 112 S.Ct. 2514 (1992).

The reweighing analysis under McConnell is limited to the trial record. See Rippo v. State, 122 Nev. 1086, 1093-94, 146 P.3d 279, 284 (2006); Archanian v. State, 122 Nev. 1019, 1040-41, 145 P.3d 1008, 1023 (2006); see also Bridges v. State, 116 Nev. 752, 766, 6 P.3d 1000, 1010 (2000) (stating that the court "elected to explicitly reweigh the aggravating and mitigating circumstances based upon our independent review of the trial record"). In Haberstroh v. State, 119 Nev. 173, 184 n.23, 69 P.3d 6767, 683 n.23 (2003), the court emphasized that its reweighing did not involve factual findings "other than those of the jury at the original penalty hearing." Because the reweighing analysis asks whether it is "clear beyond a reasonable doubt that absent the invalid aggravators the jury still would have imposed a sentence of death," Bejarano, 122 Nev. at 1081, 146 P.3d at 276, the analysis, by its very nature, addresses only the evidence considered by the jury.

The Nevada Supreme Court has held that the change in law announced in <u>Byford</u> is not retroactive to cases that were already final. <u>Nika v. State</u>, 124 Nev. Adv. Op. 103, 198

P.3d 839 (Dec. 31, 2008). The Ninth Circuit's case did not discuss state retroactivity rules and did not apply its reasoning to cases that are already final. Polk v. Sandoval, 503 F.3d 903 (9th Cir. 2007).

A challenge to the constitutionality of Nevada's lethal injection protocol is not cognizable in a post-conviction petition for writ of habeas corpus in a death penalty case. McConnell v. State, 125 Nev.Adv.Op. 24, 212 P.3d 307 (July 23, 2009).

A defendant is entitled to an evidentiary hearing if his petition is supported by specific factual allegations, which, if true, would entitle him to relief, unless the factual allegations are belied by the record. Marshall v. State, 110 Nev. 1328, 1331, 885 P.2d 603, 605 (1994). "The judge or justice, upon review of the return, answer, and all supporting documents which are filed, shall determine whether an evidentiary hearing is required." NRS 34.770(1). However, "[a] defendant seeking post-conviction relief is not entitled to an evidentiary hearing on factual allegations belied or repelled by the record." Hargrove v. State, 100 Nev. 498, 503, 686 P.2d 222, 225 (1984); citing Grondin v. State, 97 Nev. 454, 634 P.2d 456 (1981).

ORDER

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Petition for Post-Conviction Relief shall be, and it is, hereby denied.

DATED this // day of May, 2010.

DISTRICT/JUDGE

23

24

25

26

BY

27 28 EVEN S. OWENS

Chief Deputy District Attorney

Nevada Bar #004352

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that service of the foregoing document, was made this <u>ith</u> day of May, 2010, by facsimile transmission to:

> **GARY TAYLOR NISHA BROOKS** FAX #(702) 355-5819

Employee for the District Attorney's Office

SSO/ed

TX REPORT **************

TRANSMISSION OK

TX/RX NO CONNECTION TEL 2268

3885819

CONNECTION ID

ST. TIME USAGE T PGS. SENT

05/11 14:07

01'41 11

OK

RESULT

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY **CRIMINAL APPEALS UNIT**

DAVID ROGER District Attorney

CHRIS OWENS Assistant District Attorney

TERESA M. LOWRY Assistant District Attorney

MARY-ANNE MILLER County Coursel

STEVEN S. OWENS Chief Deputy District Attorney

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Fax No. (702) 382-5815

Telephone No. (702) 671-2750

TO:

Gary Taylor / Nisha Brooks

FAX#: (702) 388-5819

FROM:

Steven S. Owens

SUBJECT: William Castillo, C133336, Findings

DATE:

May 11, 2010

TX REPORT **************

TRANSMISSION OK

TX/RX NO

3885819

CONNECTION TEL

CONNECTION ID ST. TIME USAGE T

05/04 15:17 01'28

2252

PGS. SENT

OK

RESULT



OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY CRIMINAL APPEALS UNIT

DAVID ROGER District Attorney

CHRIS OWENS Assistant District Attorney

TERESA M. LOWRY Assistant District Attorney

MARY-ANNE MILLER County Counsel

STEVEN S. OWENS Chief Deputy District Attorney

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Fax No. (702) 382-5815

Telephone No. (702) 671-2750

TO:

Gary Taylor / Nisha Brooks

FAX#: (702) 388-5819

FROM:

Steven S. Owens

SUBJECT: William Castillo, C133336, Findings

DATE:

May 4, 2010

Gary & Nisha:

The following Findings will be submitted to the Judge on May 11, 2010.

Sincerely,

Steven S Owens

ORIGINAL

NOED

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

FILED MAY 2 1 2010

DISTRICT COURT **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

WILLIAM CASTILLO,

Petitioner.

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent,

Case No: C133336 Dept No: XVIII

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF **DECISION AND ORDER**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on May 12th, 2010, the court entered a decision or order in this matter, a true and correct copy of which is attached to this notice.

You may appeal to the Supreme Court from the decision or order of this court. If you wish to appeal, you must file a notice of appeal with the clerk of this court within thirty-three (33) days after the date this notice is mailed to you. This notice was mailed on May 21, 2010.

By: <u>Angie Calville</u>
Angie Calvillo. Denury Clerk

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on this 21" day of May 2010, I placed a copy of this Notice of Entry of Decision and

Order in:

The bin(s) located in the Office of the District Court Clerk of:

Clark County District Attorney's Office

Attorney General's Office - Appellate Division

☑ The United States mail addressed as follows:

WILLIAM CASTILLO ID#51918

ELY STATE PRISON

P.O. BOX 1989

Ely, Nevada 89301

Attorneys: Nisha Brooks & Gary Taylor

Federal Public Defenders

411 E. Bonneville Ave #250

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

28

| 1 | | | 19 14 1 1 1 15 |
|-----|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | ORDR | | FILED |
| 2 | DAVID ROGER Clark County District Attorney | | H 12 5 10 |
| 3 | Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #002781 STEVEN S. OWENS | | May 12 8 40 AH '10 |
| 4 | Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #004352 | | OLFR PURT |
| 5 | 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff | | OLFR: JOYAT |
| . 6 | Attorney for Plaintiff | | |
| 7 | | RICT COURT OUNTY, NEVADA | |
| 8 | THE STATE OF NEVADA, | \ \ | |
| 9 | Plaintiff, |) | 0122226 |
| 10 | -vs- | CASE NO: | |
| 11 | WILLIAM CASTILLO, | S DEPT NO: | XVIII |
| 12 | #1153209 | } | |
| 13 | Defendant. | } | |
| 14 | | ACT, CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER | SOF |
| 15 | LAW | AND UKDEK | |
| 16 | DATE OF | HEARING: 4/9/10 | |
| | | | |

THIS CAUSE having come on for hearing before the Honorable DAVID B. BARKER, District Judge, for argument on the 9th day of April, 2010, WILLIAM CASTILLO being present in custody, represented by attorneys NISHA BROOKS and GARY TAYLOR of the Federal Public Defender's Office, the Respondent being represented by DAVID ROGER, District Attorney, by and through STEVEN S. OWENS, Chief Deputy District Attorney, and the Court having considered the matter, including pleadings, transcripts, arguments of counsel, and documents on file herein, this Court now makes the

TIME OF HEARING: 10:00 A.M.

In 1996, Castillo was convicted and sentenced to death for beating an 86-year old woman in the head with a tire iron and then smothering her as she lay sleeping in her bed while Castillo and an accomplice burglarized her home, robbed her of a VCR, money, and

following findings of fact and conclusions of law.

silverware, and then set fire to the house in order to destroy evidence. Castillo v. State, 114 Nev. 271, 956 P.2d 103 (1998). The convictions and sentence were affirmed on direct appeal and Remittitur issued on April 28, 1999. Id. Castillo timely filed his first state post-conviction petition on April 2, 1999, which was denied by this court after an evidentiary hearing with written findings filed on February 5, 2004. That decision was affirmed on appeal. (SC #40982). Six years after the findings of fact were filed in the first post-conviction proceeding and five years after issuance of Remittitur in the subsequent appeal, Castillo filed another state post-conviction petition on September 18, 2009, which the state has responded to and moved to dismiss.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The instant post-conviction petition is filed more than ten (10) years after issuance of Remittitur from direct appeal in violation of the one-year time bar of NRS 34.726. Additionally, the current petition is Castillo's second attempt at state post-conviction relief and is barred as a successive petition per NRS 34.810. The state also affirmatively pleads laches and invokes the five-year time bar of NRS 34.800. This Court finds the allegations of good cause and prejudice are insufficient to overcome each of these bars and orders the petition dismissed.

Allegations of ineffective assistance of counsel at trial and on appeal were capable of being raised in the first post-conviction proceedings and do not constitute good cause for filing of a second petition. Likewise, any errors of first post-conviction counsel Chris Oram occurred seven to ten years ago between 2000 and 2003 and do not provide good cause for the entire length of delay. This Court finds that no alleged error of first post-conviction counsel between 2000 and 2003 can account for the subsequent six year delay in filing the instant petition in 2009.

After his first state post-conviction proceedings concluded in 2003, Castillo elected to pursue federal relief by filing a pro per federal habeas petition on June 22, 2004, which he could have filed in state court instead. Castillo litigated his federal claims for five years from 2004 to 2009 in Case #2:04-cv-00868-RCJ-GWF. During that time, Castillo

Y

Y

PAGE: 017

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

| 96-C-133336-C | STATE OF NEVADA | vs Castillo, William P |
|---------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| | | CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 016 |

09/24/96 10:00 AM 02 PENALTY HEARING

HEARD BY: A. William Maupin, Judge; Dept. 7

OFFICERS: TINA HURD, Court Clerk

PATSY SMITH, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

000477 Bell, Stewart L. 000862 Harmon, Melvyn T.

0001 D1 Castillo, William P Y
ST PD State Public Defender Y
003754 Laporta, Peter R. Y
000824 Schieck, David M. Y

10:26 A.M. -- OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY, Court admonished deft. Castillo as to his right to testify or not testify and as to his right to allocution without being sworn. Court advised allocution must be limited to expressions of remorse, pleas for leniency and plans or hopes for the future. If it goes beyond that, the Court may take action including allowing the State to re-open and cross-exam. Deft. acknowledged. 10:32 a.m.--Counsel stipulated to the presence of the Jury. Further testimony and exhibits per worksheets. 10:49 a.m. -- OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY, Mr. Harmon requested the Court direct witness Tammy Jo Bryant to re-appear Thursday morning at 10:00 a.m. to testify in the Platou case. Further, this witness was served with a subpoena a few moments ago as to same and they are requesting she appear in the District Attorney's office at 9:30 a.m. Thursday morning for a pre-trial conference as well. Mr. Harmon advised Ms. Bryant spoke with his secretary last week and advised she would not honor a subpoena as this is to stressful and is going to send her to Charter Hospital, however, Ms. Bryant needs to be made to understand the importance of her appearing and testifying. Colloquy between Court and Ms. Bryant. COURT ORDERED, Ms. Bryant to appear at 10:30 a.m. on Thursday, September 26 to testify in the Michelle Platou matter. Upon Court's inquiry, Ms. Bryant advised she will be here. 10:54 a.m. -- Counsel stipulated to the presence of the Jury. Further testimony and exhibits presented. Unsworn statement in allocution by deft. Castillo. Defense rested. State advised they have no rebuttal. Court advised he and counsel will now retire to settle Instructions. Court admonished the Jury and excused them for lunch at 11:31 a.m. to reconvene at 1:30 p.m. at which time the Jury will be instructed and hear closing arguments. 11:55 a.m. -- OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY, Instructions settled on the record.

1:47 P.M.--Counsel stipulated to the presence of the Jury. Court instructed the Jury. Closing arguments by counsel. COURT ORDERED, with the permission of the parties, the alternates are released so they do not have to remain in the Courthouse during deliberations. Alternates admonished not to discuss the case. Court directed the alternates to leave information on how to contact them with the bailiff and they will be notified when there is a

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 018

PAGE: 018

MINUTES DATE: 09/24/96

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

96-C-133336-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Castillo, William P

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 017

Y

Y

Y

verdict. At the hour of 4:23 p.m. this date, Jury retired to deliberate. OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY, Mr. Schieck clarified his objection to Mr. Harmon's rebuttal argument in which he stated if the Jury did not give the deft. the death penalty they would be sentencing some unknown victim to death. Court acknowledged and advised he believes the language was in compliance with the Supreme Court's decision. Court adjourned at 4:25 p.m.

5:00 P.M.--Jury admonished and excused for the evening at 5:00 p.m. to return at 9:00 a.m. tomorrow morning to continue deliberations.

CUSTODY

CONTINUED TO: 09/25/96 09:00 AM 03

09/25/96 09:00 AM 03 PENALTY HEARING

HEARD BY: A. William Maupin, Judge; Dept. 7

OFFICERS: TINA HURD, Court Clerk

PATSY SMITH, Reporter/Recorder

STATE OF NEVADA PARTIES:

> 000477 Bell, Stewart L. 000862 Harmon, Melvyn T.

0001 D1 Castillo, William P 000824 Schieck, David M.

Jury retired to continue deliberations at the hour of 9:00 a.m. this date.

11:09 A.M.--Readback of Dr. Etcoff's testimony as requested by the Jury. Mr. Bell and Mr. Schieck present. Deft. Castillo's presence waived. Readback concluded at 12:19 p.m. Jury retired to continue deliberations.

4:47 P.M.--Counsel stipulated to the presence of the Jury. Deft. Castillo present with Mr. Schieck. Mr. Bell and Mr. Harmon present for the State. At the hour of 4:47 p.m. this date, Jury returned with a VERDICT OF DEATH. Jury polled at request of Mr. Schieck. Court thanked and excused the Jury at 4:54 p.m. COURT ORDERED, the November 4 sentencing date STANDS. Court adjourned at 4:55 p.m.

CUSTODY

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 019

MINUTES DATE: 09/25/96

Y

Y

PAGE: 019

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

96-C-133336-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Castillo, William P
CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 018

11/04/96 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS 11-4-96

HEARD BY: A. William Maupin, Judge; Dept. 7

OFFICERS: TINA HURD, Court Clerk

PATSY SMITH, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

000477 Bell, Stewart L.

0001 D1 Castillo, William P 000824 Schieck, David M.

0002 D Platou, Michelle C PUBDEF Public Defender 003374 Brooks, Howard S.

Richard Nall of the Division of Parole & Probation present.

DEFT. PLATOU ADJUDGED GUILTY OF COUNT II - BURGLARY (F), COUNT III - ROBBERY (F) AND COUNT IV - FIRST DEGREE MURDER (F). Statements in mitigation of sentencing. COURT ORDERED, in addition to the \$25.00 Administrative Assessment Fee, deft. is SENTENCED to a MAXIMUM term of ONE HUNDRED TWENTY (120) MONTHS with a MINIMUM parole eligibility of TWENTY SIX (26) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Prisons and PAY \$48,033.96 RESTITUTION jointly and severally with co-deft. for Count II; SENTENCED to a MAXIMUM term of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS with a MINIMUM parole eligibility of FORTY (40) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Prisons for Count III; SENTENCED to LIFE WITH THE POSSIBILITY OF PAROLE commencing when 20 years have been served in the Nevada Dept. of Prisons for Count IV. All counts to be served CONCURRENTLY with each other, with 320 DAYS Credit for Time Served. REMAINING COUNTS DISMISSED. BOND EXONERATED, if any.

DEFT CASTILLO ADJUGED GUILTY OF COUNT I - CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT BURGLARY AND/ OR ROBBERY (F), COUNT II - BURGLARY (F), COUNT III - ROBBERY, VICTIM SIXTY-FIVE YEARS, OR OLDER (F), COUNT IV - MURDER OF THE FIRST DEGREE WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (F), COUNT V - CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT BURGLARY AND ARSON (F), COUNT VI - BURGLARY (F) AND COUNT VII - FIRST DEGREE ARSON (F). Statements in mitigation of sentencing. Statement by the Court. COURT ORDERED, in addition to the \$25.00 Administrative Assessment Fee, deft. is SENTENCED to a MAXIMUM term of SEVENTY TWO (72) MONTHS with a MINIMUM parole eligibility of TWENTY EIGHT (28) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Prisons for Count I: SENTENCED to a MAXIMUM term of ONE HUNDRED TWENTY MONTHS (120) MONTHS with a MINIMUM parole eligibility of FORTY EIGHT (48) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Prisons for Count II; SENTENCED to a MAXIMUM term of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS with a MINIMUM parole eligibility of SEVENTY TWO (72) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Prisons plus an EQUAL AND CONSECUTIVE MAXIMUM term of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS with a MINIMUM parole eligibility of SEVENTY TWO (72) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Prisons for Count III; SENTENCED to DEATH BY LETHAL INJECTION for Count IV;

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 020

MINUTES DATE: 11/04/96

PAGE: 020 MINUTES DATE: 11/04/96

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

96-C-133336-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Castillo, William P

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 019

SENTENCED to a MAXIMUM term of SEVENTY TWO (72) MONTHS with a MINIMUM parole eligibility of TWENTY EIGHT (28) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Prisons for Count V; SENTENCED to a MAXIMUM term of ONE HUNDRED TWENTY (120) MONTHS with a MINIMUM parole eligibility of FORTY EIGHT (48) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Prisons for Count VI; SENTENCED to a MAXIMUM term of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS with a MINIMUM parole eligibility of SEVENTY TWO (72) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Prisons for Count VII. All counts to be served CONSECUTIVELY to each other with 320 DAYS Credit for Time Served. BOND EXONERATED, if any. Warrant of Execution and Order of Execution signed and FILED IN OPEN COURT. Mr. Schieck requested he be served with a copy of the Warrant of Execution to prepare the appeal and advised he has spoken with Mr. LaPorta and deft. and requested to be appointed counsel to handle the appeal. No objection by the State. COURT SO ORDERED. Court advised he would like to see counsel in chambers later. Counsel acknowledged.

> 12/16/96 09:00 AM 00 STEVEN G. MCGUIRE'S MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORD

HEARD BY: Lee A Gates, Judge; Dept. 8

OFFICERS: TINA HURD, Court Clerk

MARY BETH COOK, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA

004739 Rutledge, Brian S.

State advised Mr. Bell spoke with him about this case and advised Mr. LaPorta was already allowed to withdraw and Mr. Schieck was appointed. Court advised the minutes show Mr. Schieck has confirmed. State advised he believes the State Public Defender just needs an order. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED to Friday and Mr. Schieck to be present; Clerk to notify Mr. Schieck.

LATER: Matter recalled with all present as before. Nancy Lemcke present for the State Public Defender's office. Court advised, according to the minute order, Mr. Schieck was allowed to substitute in and the Court has continued the matter to Friday to confirm same. Ms. Lemcke acknowledged.

NDP

CLERK'S NOTE: Mr. Schieck's office notified at 3:02 p.m. this date. th

CONTINUED TO: 12/20/96 09:00 AM 01

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 021

PRINT DATE: 06/07/10 PAGE: 020 MINUTES DATE: 12/16/96 PAGE: 021

MINUTES DATE: 12/20/96

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

96-C-133336-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Castillo, William P

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 020

Y

Υ

12/20/96 09:00 AM 01 STEVEN G. MCGUIRE'S MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORD

HEARD BY: Lee A Gates, Judge; Dept. 8

OFFICERS: TINA HURD, Court Clerk

YVONNE VALENTIN, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

0001 D1 Castillo, William P N
000824 Schieck, David M. Y

000824 Schieck, David M. ST PD State Public Defender 003754 Laporta, Peter R.

Mr. LaPorta advised he was co-counsel for the trial and Mr. Schieck is going to handle the appeal. Mr. LaPorta advised he thought it best to file a motion. Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Schieck advised he will be continuing with the appeal and has already been appointed by Judge Maupin. COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED.

004739 Rutledge, Brian S.

NDP

12/01/98 08:30 AM 00 DEFT'S MTN FOR INTERIM PAYMENT OF ATTY ATTORNEY FEES IN EXCESS OF THE STATUTORY

HEARD BY: Joseph S. Pavlikowski, Senior Judge; Dept. VJ30

OFFICERS: LINDA SKINNER, Court Clerk

JAMES HELLESO, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

STATE OF NEVADA Y
006240 Brown, Philip H. Y

0001 D1 Castillo, William P N
000824 Schieck, David M. Y

There being no objection, COURT ORDERED, Mr. Schieck's Motion is GRANTED. ORDER SIGNED IN OPEN COURT.

NDP

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 022

MINUTES DATE: 12/01/98

PAGE: 022

MINUTES DATE: 04/20/99

Υ

Y

Y

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

96-C-133336-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Castillo, William P

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 021

04/20/99 08:30 AM 00 DEFT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS
CORPUS MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

HEARD BY: Joseph S. Pavlikowski, Senior Judge; Dept. VJ30

OFFICERS: LINDA SKINNER, Court Clerk

JAMES HELLESO, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

0001 D1 Castillo, William P N

0001 D1 Castillo, William P
000824 Schieck, David M.

Court ALLOWED Mr. Schieck to WITHDRAW; APPOINTED Joan Buckley and ORDERED, matter set for confirmation of counsel.

006240 Brown, Philip H.

NDP

4/23/99 8:30 AM CONFIRMATION OF COUNSEL (BUCKLEY J)

04/23/99 08:30 AM 00 CONFIRMATION OF COUNSEL (BUCKELY J)

HEARD BY: Joseph S. Pavlikowski, Senior Judge; Dept. VJ30

OFFICERS: LINDA SKINNER, Court Clerk

JAMES HELLESO, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

006432 Nelsen, Kristen

0001 D1 Castillo, William P N 001785 Buckley, Joan D. Y

Upon Court's inquiry, Ms. Buckley confirmed as counsel. Court directed Ms. Buckley to get the file from Mr. Schieck and set the following briefing schedule: Ms. Buckley will have until 6/11/99 at 5 PM to file any additional briefs and State will have until 7/23/99 at 5 PM to file their answer. COURT ORDERED, matter set for argument.

NDP

8/19/99 8:30 AM ARGUMENT

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 023

MINUTES DATE: 04/23/99

MINUTES DATE: 08/19/99

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

96-C-133336-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Castillo, William P CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 022 08/19/99 08:30 AM 00 ARGUMENT HEARD BY: Joseph S. Pavlikowski, Senior Judge; Dept. VJ30 OFFICERS: CAROL GREEN, Relief Clerk JAMES HELLESO, Reporter/Recorder STATE OF NEVADA PARTIES: 005927 De La Garza, Melisa COURT ORDERED, OFF CALENDAR. NDP

12/06/99 09:00 AM 00 DEFT'S MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF

PSYCHIATRIST AND CO-COUNSEL

HEARD BY: Mark Gibbons, Chief Judge

OFFICERS: AMBER FARLEY, Court Clerk

RENEE SILVAGGIO, Reporter/Recorder

STATE OF NEVADA PARTIES:

> 0001 D1 Castillo, William P Ν 001785 Buckley, Joan D.

COURT ORDERED, Motion GRANTED; Dr. Franklin Masters appointed as psychiatrist and Lizzie Hatcher appointed as co-counsel. Court noted Defendant has indicated he wants to be executed, necessitating the need for

003801 Robinson, Lynn M.

NDP

PRINT DATE: 06/07/10

the psychiatrist to be appointed.

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 024

PAGE: 023 MINUTES DATE: 12/06/99

MINUTES DATE: 10/23/00

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

96-C-133336-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Castillo, William P

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 023

10/23/00 09:00 AM 00 STATE'S MOTION TO PLACE ON CALENDAR

HEARD BY: Mark Gibbons, Chief Judge

OFFICERS: AMBER FARLEY, Court Clerk

RENEE SILVAGGIO, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA

000411 Simon, H. L.

Y

Colloquy regarding counsel for Defendant. COURT ORDERED, matter set for confirmation of counsel, and this matter CONTINUED. Court requested that Mr. Leon contact Mr. Siegal and apprise him of this case and next court date.

NDP

10/26/00 9:00 AM CONFIRMATION OF COUNSEL (J. SIEGAL)

CONTINUED TO:

10/26/00 09:00 AM 01

10/26/00 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS 10/26/00

HEARD BY: Mark Gibbons, Chief Judge

OFFICERS: AMBER FARLEY, Court Clerk

RENEE SILVAGGIO, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA

000411 Simon, H. L.

Y

Y

0001 D1 Castillo, William P 004349 Oram, Christopher R.

N

CONFIRMATION OF COUNSEL

Mr. Oram CONFIRMED as counsel.

STATE'S MOTION TO PLACE ON CALENDAR

Parties requested a briefing schedule. Schedule set as follows:

3/1/01 Petition

5/25/01 Response

6/22/01 Reply

Matter set for hearing.

NDP

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 025

MINUTES DATE: 10/26/00

PAGE: 025 MINUTES DATE: 10/26/00

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

96-C-133336-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Castillo, William P

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 024

7/5/01 9:00 AM HEARING: WRIT

03/12/01 09:00 AM 00 DEFT'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO

FILE DEFT'S SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPOR

HEARD BY: Nancy M Saitta, Judge; Dept. 18

OFFICERS: AMBER FARLEY, Court Clerk

KRISTINE CORNELIUS, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA

Y

000411 Simon, H. L.

--

0001 D1 Castillo, William P 004349 Oram, Christopher R.

N Y

Mr. Oram stated the file is just now ready to be picked up, and requested 90 days to file his opening brief. Mr. Simon stated no objection thereto, and suggested a briefing schedule. Matter set as follows:

6/11/01 Opening brief due 8/6/01 Response due 9/10/01 Reply due

and matter set for hearing.

NDP

9/24/01 9:00 AM HEARING - DEFT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

09/24/01 09:00 AM 01 HEARING

HEARD BY: Nancy M Saitta, Judge; Dept. 18

OFFICERS: Denise Husted, Court Clerk

PARTIES: NO PARTIES PRESENT

COURT ORDERED, OFF CALENDAR per stipulation of parties.

NDC

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 026

MINUTES DATE: 09/24/01

MINUTES DATE: 03/04/02

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

96-C-133336-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Castillo, William P

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 025

Υ

Υ

Y

03/04/02 09:00 AM 02 ARGUMENT

HEARD BY: Nancy M Saitta, Judge; Dept. 18

OFFICERS: Keith Reed, Relief Clerk

Kristine Cornelius, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

006316 Goettsch, Becky S. Y

0001 D1 Castillo, William P 004349 Oram, Christopher R.

Mr. Oram advised the Court Mr. Simon is requesting a continuance, there is a conflict that will require briefing. He requested the matter be taken off calendar. There being no opposition, COURT ORDERED, matter OFF CALENDAR.

NDC

04/10/02 09:00 AM 00 AT THE REQUEST OF THE COURT ARGUMENT

HEARD BY: Nancy M Saitta, Judge; Dept. 18

OFFICERS: Amber Farley, Court Clerk

Kristine Cornelius, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

002805 Wall, David T.

0001 D1 Castillo, William P N 004349 Oram, Christopher R. Y

Mr. Oram stated he and Ms. Robinson have agreed to continue this matter and COURT SO ORDERED.

NDC

CONTINUED TO: 05/08/02 09:00 AM 01

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 027

MINUTES DATE: 04/10/02

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

96-C-133336-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Castillo, William P

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 026

Y

Y

Υ

05/08/02 09:00 AM 01 AT THE REQUEST OF THE COURT ARGUMENT

HEARD BY: Nancy M Saitta, Judge; Dept. 18

OFFICERS: Amber Farley, Court Clerk

Kristine Cornelius, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA

003801 Robinson, Lynn M.

0001 D1 Castillo, William P N
004349 Oram, Christopher R. Y

COURT ORDERED, matter set for a brief evidentiary hearing on the issue of ineffective assistance of counsel. Court stated the examination will be limited to one hour.

NDC

7/12/02 10:00 AM EVIDENTIARY HEARING: INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL

08/02/02 09:00 AM 01 EVIDENTIARY HEARING VE 09/16

HEARD BY: Nancy M Saitta, Judge; Dept. 18

OFFICERS: Amber Farley, Court Clerk

Kristine Cornelius, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA

003801 Robinson, Lynn M.

0001 D1 Castillo, William P N 004349 Oram, Christopher R. Y

David Schieck SWORN and TESTIFIED. Pursuant to same, Mr. Oram requested additional time to supplement his briefs with regard to the Evans case and the evidence presented today. There being no opposition, COURT ORDERED, Motion to continue GRANTED. Defendant's supplement due by 9/6/02; State's response due 10/4/02.

NDC

CONTINUED TO: 10/11/02 01:30 AM 02

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 028

MINUTES DATE: 08/02/02

PAGE: 028

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

96-C-133336-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Castillo, William P
CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 027

12/04/02 09:00 AM 00 HEARING:ARGUMENT ON WRIT

HEARD BY: John S. McGroarty, Judge; Dept. 16

OFFICERS: Amber Farley, Court Clerk
Peggy Isom, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA
006088 Peterson, Clark A.

Y

0001 D1 Castillo, William P

Mr. Oram requested the matter be passed for Judge Saitta to hear argument and COURT SO ORDERED.

004349 Oram, Christopher R.

NDC

CONTINUED TO: 01/22/03 09:00 AM 01

01/22/03 09:00 AM 01 HEARING: ARGUMENT ON WRIT

HEARD BY: Nancy M Saitta, Judge; Dept. 18

OFFICERS: Amber Farley, Court Clerk

Kristine Cornelius, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

006088 Peterson, Clark A. Y

0001 D1 Castillo, William P 004349 Oram, Christopher R.

Court noted the cites of Evans, and that it specifically mandates that Judges are to use it after the ruling, and noted that the instant case precedes Evans.

Mr. Oram argued for a new penalty phase. Argument by Mr. Peterson. Court stated it was clear that Mr. Schieck was troubled by what he may have failed to do on behalf of the Defendant. Court stated the Nevada Supreme Court has become quite specific about what remarks would constitute such a significant error as to warrant a new penalty phase, however, further noted, the high court was also very careful in Evans to be succinct in using "after" and "subsequent" in its language. Court stated concern with regard to a retrospective application of Evans in that it would open a floodgate of cases to reconsider. Based on the law and the Court's findings, COURT ORDERED, Petition is DENIED.

Mr. Peterson is to prepare the Order. COURT ORDERED, Mr. Oram is APPOINTED

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 029

Y

Ν

MINUTES DATE: 01/22/03

PAGE: 029

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

96-C-133336-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Castillo, William P

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 028

to handle the appeal.

NDC

05/12/03 09:00 AM 00 STATUS CHECK: FILING OF JUDGMENT

HEARD BY: Nancy M Saitta, Judge; Dept. 18

OFFICERS: Amber Farley, Court Clerk

Debbie Vanblaricom, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA

006088 Peterson, Clark A.

Y

Mr. Peterson stated Mr. Oram may not know about today's court date. Mr. Peterson stated he will prepare the necessary order pursuant to the order of the Nevada Supreme Court. Status check CONTINUED.

NDC

CLERK'S NOTE: Message regarding above left with Layla at Mr. Oram's office. /af 5/13/03

CONTINUED TO:

05/28/03 09:00 AM 01

05/28/03 09:00 AM 01 STATUS CHECK: FILING OF JUDGMENT

HEARD BY: Stewart L. Bell, Judge; Dept. 7

OFFICERS: Carole D'Aloia, Court Clerk

JoAnn Orduna, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES:

STATE OF NEVADA

006088 Peterson, Clark A.

Y

Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Peterson advised he was unable to have this completed and filed by today and assured Court it would be done by this afternoon or first thing in the morning. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED and instructed Mr. Peterson to notify Mr. Oram.

NDC

CLERK'S NOTE: CLERK CALLED MR. ORAM'S OFFICE AND SPOKE TO HIS SECRETARY, SIMONE, AND GAVE HER THE 6/2/03 CONTINUANCE DATE. CD

CONTINUED TO: 06/02/03 09:00 AM 02

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 030

MINUTES DATE: 05/28/03

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

96-C-133336-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Castillo, William P CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 029 06/02/03 09:00 AM 02 STATUS CHECK: FILING OF JUDGMENT HEARD BY: Stewart L. Bell, Judge; Dept. 7 OFFICERS: Amber Farley, Court Clerk Kristine Cornelius, Reporter/Recorder PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA Y 007480 Pate, Susan Υ 0001 D1 Castillo, William P Ν 004349 Oram, Christopher R. Υ Court advised it could not hear this matter. Mr. Oram advised he's waiting for the document(s) to be filed. Matter CONTINUED. NDC 06/11/03 09:00 AM 03 CONTINUED TO: 06/11/03 09:00 AM 03 STATUS CHECK: FILING OF JUDGMENT HEARD BY: Nancy M Saitta, Judge; Dept. 18 OFFICERS: Amber Farley, Court Clerk Kristine Cornelius, Reporter/Recorder PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA 006088 Peterson, Clark A. 0001 Dl Castillo, William P N 004349 Oram, Christopher R.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law FILED IN OPEN COURT.

Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Oram advised this case is now on appeal.

NDC

PRINT DATE: 06/07/10

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 031

PAGE: 030 MINUTES DATE: 06/11/03

MINUTES DATE: 08/29/05

PAGE: 031

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

96-C-133336-C STATE OF NEVADA vs Castillo, William P
CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 030

08/29/05 09:00 AM 00 DEFT'S PRO PER MTN FOR TRANSCRIPTS AT
STATE EXPENSE /58

HEARD BY: Nancy M Saitta, Judge; Dept. 18

OFFICERS: Kristen Brown, Court Clerk
Jo Anne Pierpont, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA Y
007295 Saragosa, Melissa A.

COURT ORDERED, Motion DENIED.

NDC

09/24/07 09:00 AM 00 STATES MTN FOR THE COURT TO ISSUE SUPPLEMENTAL WARRANT OF EXECUTION/59

HEARD BY: David Barker, Judge; Dept. 18

OFFICERS: Sandra Anderson, Court Clerk

Richard Kangas, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

004352 Owens, Steven S.

0001 D1 Castillo, William P N 002437 Pescetta, Michael L. Y

Gary Taylor also present on behalf of the Deft. Court disclosed pursuant to Cannon 3, eleven years ago Court appeared in this case, parties had no objections. Court signed the Supplemental Warrant of Execution IN OPEN COURT.

NDC

CONTINUED ON PAGE: 032

Y

PAGE: 031 MINUTES DATE: 09/24/07

PAGE: 032 MINUTES DATE: 11/04/09

CRIMINAL COURT MINUTES

96-C-133336-C STATE OF NEVADA

vs Castillo, William P

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 031

Υ

Y

11/04/09 08:15 AM 00 PTN FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

HEARD BY: David Barker, Judge; Dept. 18

OFFICERS: Sharon Chun, Court Clerk

Richard Kangas, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

004352 Owens, Steven S.

0001 D1 Castillo, William P N
SPD Special Public Defender Y

Special Public Defender, Nisha Brooks, present for Deft Castillo. Mr. Sweetin requested thirty days continuance to allow Response to the Petition. COURT ORDERED, briefing schedule set: State to respond by 12/2/09; Defense to Reply by 1/6/10; and matter CONTINUED to 1/22/10 for ARGUMENT/DECISION.

NDC

1/22/09 8:15 AM ARGUMENT/DECISION RE PETITION

CONTINUED TO: 01/22/10 08:15 AM 01

04/09/10 10:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS (4-09-10)

HEARD BY: David Barker, Judge; Dept. 18

OFFICERS: Phyllis Irby/pi, Relief Clerk

Richard Kangas, Reporter/Recorder

PARTIES: STATE OF NEVADA

004352 Owens, Steven S.

0001 D1 Castillo, William P

SPD Special Public Defender

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS...STATE'S MOTION TO DISMISS DEFENDANT'S SECOND PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS...ARGUMENT/DECISION

Deft is not present. Ms. Nisha Brooks, Esq and Mr. Gary Taylor, Esq. present for Deft. Ms. Brooks argued Deft received ineffective assistance of counsel in violation of the 6th and 14th Amendment, Deft is entitled to a new trial and penalty based upon failure of trial counsel to present psychological defense to the trial phase of the case. Following further argument of counsel, COURT ORDERED, DEFT'S PETITION DENIED, STATE'S MOTION GRANTED. Counsel to submit Order to Public Defender's for review.

NDC

PRINT DATE: 06/07/10 PAGE: 032 MINUTES DATE: 04/09/10

2:50 PM

06/07/10 CASE NO. 96-C-133336-C

EXHIBITS

CASE STATUS: REOPENED

STATE OF NEVADA

[] vs Castillo, William P

[E]

| NO. | CODE | EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION | SUB | OF/OB | DATE S | _ |
|------|--------------|--|----------------|-------|--|---|
| 0001 | P P/ D | /GRAND JURY EXHIBITS /SEE LIST FILE/VAULT /SEE LIST FILE/VAULT | S S 0001 | / / | 01/19/96 V 08/26/96 V 08/26/96 V | |

Certification of Copy

| State of Nevada | 7 | 88. |
|-----------------|----------|-----|
| County of Clark | <u>}</u> | SS: |

I, Steven D. Grierson, the Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct copy of the hereinafter stated original document(s):

NOTICE OF APPEAL; CASE APPEAL STATEMENT; DISTRICT COURT DOCKET ENTRIES; FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER; NOTICE OF ENTRY OF DECISION AND ORDER; DISTRICT COURT MINUTES; EXHIBITS LIST

|) |
|--|
|) Case N <u>o</u> : C133336) Dept N <u>o</u> : XVIII |
| <u> </u> |
| { |
|)) |
| |

now on file and of record in this office.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto Set my hand and Affixed the seal of the Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada This 7 day of June 2010.

Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court

Heather Lofquist Deputy