## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CHRISTINA CALDERON STIPP, Appellant/Cross-Respondent, vs. MITCHELL DAVID STIPP, Respondent/Cross-Appellant. No. 57327

FILED

APR 2 4 2012

CLERK OF SUPPLEME COURT

BY DEPUTY CERK

## ORDER INVITING AMICUS CURIAE PARTICIPATION

This is an appeal and cross-appeal from a district court post-divorce decree order concerning child custody. It appears that this court's resolution of the issues presented in this appeal and cross-appeal may be assisted by the participation of, and briefing by, amicus curiae. Thus, the court requests that the Family Law Section of the State Bar of Nevada participate in this appeal as amicus curiae by filing a brief or briefs addressing whether, in light of the circumstances presented in this case, this court should revisit its decision in Rivero v. Rivero, 125 Nev. 410, 216 P.3d 213 (2009). In inviting amicus participation, we recognize that there may be competing views within the Family Law Section and encourage it to submit any competing views in either the same or jointly filed briefs.

Accordingly, the parties to this appeal shall have 11 days from the date of this order to serve the Family Law Section with copies of their respective notices of appeal, docketing statements, fast track filings, and appendices. Within that same time, the parties shall file in this court properly completed certificates of service that comply with NRAP 25(d) for the documents served on the Family Law Section. Thereafter, should the Family Law Section consent to participate as amicus curiae, it shall have

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

12 - 13008

45 days from the date of service of the parties' appellate documents to file and serve any amicus brief or briefs, or notify this court that it intends to decline the invitation to participate as amicus curiae in this matter.<sup>1</sup>

It is so ORDERED.

Saitta, C.J.

cc: Vaccarino Law Office Smith & Taylor Mitchell D. Stipp

Robert Cerceo, Chair, Family Law Section, State Bar of Nevada

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>To the extent that the Family Law Section requires additional time to file any amicus briefs, it should file a motion to that effect in this court.