1	IN THE SUPREME COURT	OF THE STATE OF NEVADA				
2	* * * * * * * *					
3	SAMUEL HOWARD	Electronically Filed				
4	Appellant,	May 12 2011 04:32 p.m Case No. 57469 Tracie K. Lindeman				
5	VS.	Case No. 5/469 Tracie K. Linderhan				
6 7 8	E.K. McDANIEL, WARDEN, and CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO, ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE STATE OF NEVADA,					
9	Respondents.					
10	APPELLANT	'S APPENDIX				
11	Appeal from Order Denying Petition					
12	for Writ of Habeas Cor Eighth Judicial Distric	rpus (Post-Conviction) et Court, Clark County				
13	VOLUME 2 OF 14					
14	FRANNY A. FORSMAN					
15	Federal Public Defender MIKE CHARLTON Assistant Federal Public Defender					
16	Nevada Bar No. 11025C 411 E. Bonneville Ave., Suite 250					
17	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 388-6577					
18	Mike Charlton@fd.org					
19 20	Atte	orneys for Appellant				
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

1	Q O.K.
2	AND FROM WHICH DIRECTION WAS HE RUNNING?
3	A FROM THE ESCALATOR TOWARD THE FRONT DOOR BY
4	THE HARDWARE DEPARTMENT AND THEN THE CAFETERIA. I'M NOT GOOD AT
5	DIRECTIONS. I'M SORRY.
6	Q O.K. THAT'S ALL RIGHT.
, 7	HOW CLOSE TO YOU DID HE COME WHEN HE
8	WAS RUNNING BY?
9	A HE WAS STANDING AT THE CASH WRAP AGAIN. IT'
10	NOT TOO FAR FROM THE DOOR.
11	MR. SEATON: I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.
12	COURT'S INDULGENCE FOR ONE MINUTE.
13	THE COURT: CROSS?
14	MR. SEATON: ONE FURTHER QUESTION, YOUR HONOR, IF
15	I MAY?
16	THE COURT: PROCEED.
17	
18	BY MR. SEATON:
19	
20	Q MRS. WILSON, FROM THE TIME, CONSIDER IF YOU
21	WOULD FOR A MINUTE, FROM THE TIME THAT YOU SENT THE GENTLEMAN
22	DOWNSTAIRS UNTIL WHEN YOU SAW HIM AGAIN, CAN YOU GIVE US AN
23	ESTIMATE AS TO HOW MUCH TIME HAD TRANSPIRED BETWEEN THOSE TWO
24	INCIDENCES?
25 26	A I'LL SAY IT WAS BETWEEN 15 BUT NO MORE THAN
27	30.
28	MR. SEATON: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER.
29	THE COURT: CROSS
30	MR. COOPER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
31	
32	
	-358-

1		CROSS EXAMINATION
2		·
3	BY MR. COOPER:	
4		
5	Q	WHAT WAS BETWEEN 15 AND 30 MINUTES, MRS.
6	WILSON?	
7	. A	FROM THE TIME I SENT THE CUSTOMER DOWNSTAIRS
8	'TIL HE REAPPEARED (ON THE SALESFLOOR RUNNING.
9	Q	HOW OLD ARE YOU, MA'AM?
10	A	1'M 33.
11	Q	YOU FIRST OBSERVED THIS MAN YOU'VE DESCRIBED
12	WHEN HE APPROACHED	YOUR REGISTER; IS THAT CORRECT?
13	A	THAT'S CORRECT.
14	Q	YOU'VE NEVER SEEN HIM BEFORE?
15	Α	NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE.
16	Q	HE WALKED UP TO YOU. DID HE TALK TO YOU?
17	A	NO, HE DIDN'T WALK UP TO ME. HE WALKED UP
18	TO SOMEONE ELSE FIR	ST.
19	Q	ALL RIGHT,
20		HAD YOU- NOTICED HIM PRIOR TO THE TIME
21	THAT YOU WERE ASKED	TO ASSIST IN THIS MATTER?
22	A	NO, I HADN'T.
23	Q	IS IT A CHECK REGISTER THAT HE CAME TO?
24	A	YES, IT IS.
25	Q	I ASSUME YOU WERE BUSY DOING SOMETHING ELSE
26	WHEN THIS MAN APPRO	ACHED THAT REGISTER?
27	A	THAT'S RIGHT.
28	Q	DID THE OTHER WOMAN, WHO WAS WORKING THERE
29	AT THE TIME, DID SH	E TELL YOU THAT SHE NEEDED ASSISTANCE?
30	A	NO, SHE DIDN'T TELL ME.
31	Q	WHAT ALERTED YOU TO THE SITUATION?
32	A	BECAUSE SHE WAS LOOKING AROUND FOR SOMEONE
		-359-

1	TO HELP HER BECAUSE SHE DID NOT KNOW HOW TO HANDLE THE SITUATION.
2	Q I SEE.
3	AND YOU ASSISTED HER?
4	A THAT'S RIGHT.
5	Q AT LEAST AT THAT POINT IN TIME THERE WAS
6	ANOTHER CUSTOMER WHO WAS BRINGING IN AN ITEM PURCHASED, LEGALLY
7	PURCHASED, FOR REFUND; ISN'T THAT RIGHT?
8	A TO THAT POINT, YES.
9	Q AND CAN YOU TELL US WHAT YOU DID TO ASSIST
10	HER?
11	A I I WENT TO THE CASHIER WRAP AND ASSISTED
12	HER. I TOOK OVER THE SITUATION.
13	Q ALL RIGHT. FINE.
14	WAS IT AT THAT POINT THAT MR. KINSEY
15	MOTIONED TO YOU?
16	A YES, IT WAS.
17	Q ALMOST IMMEDIATELY?
18	A WHEN I WAS TALKING WHEN I THE CUSTOMER
19	HAD ALREADY TALKED TO THE OTHER SALESCLERK, I BELIEVE, I ASKED
20	HIM TO REPEAT THE STORY, AND WHEN HE STARTED TO TALK I SAW
21 22	MR. KINSEY MOTIONING, YES.
23	Q DID THIS MAN TALK TO YOU, THE MAN AT THE COUNTER?
24	A YES, HE DID.
25	Q WHAT DID HE SAY?
26	A I CAN'T QUOTE HIM.
27	Q YOU DON'T REMEMBER WHAT HE SAID?
28	A NO. I CAN'T QUOTE HIM, NO.
29	Q WELL, AS NEAR AS YOU CAN RECALL, WHAT DID
30	HE SAY?
31	A HE APPROACHED THE CASH WRAP WITH THE MER-
32	CHANDISE AND HE WANTED TO RETURN IT. HE SAID THAT HIS WIFE OR
	-360-

	İ
SOMEONE HAD BOUGHT IT FOR HIM AND HE DIDN'T WANT IT.	
Q I SEE.	
A AND HE WANTED TO GET THE MONEY BACK.	
Q I SEE.	
DO YOU RECALL ANYTHING DISTINCTIVE ABOUT	
THIS INDIVIDUAL'S VOICE?	
A NO, I DON'T.	l
Q YOU DIDN'T NOTICE ANY KIND OF ACCENTS OR	
DIALECTS?	
A NO, I ĐIDN¹T.	
Q WAS IT AT THAT POINT THEN THAT MR. KINSEY	
MOTIONED TO YOU?	
A YES, IT WAS.	
Q AND YOU WENT TO HIM; IS THAT RIGHT?	
A THAT'S CORRECT.	
Q WHERE WAS MR. KINSEY IN RELATION TO YOUR	
COUNTER THERE, YOUR REGISTER?	
A HE WAS A COUPLE AISLES BACK, YOU KNOW, BEHIN	þ
SOME MERCHANDISE. WE HAVE AISLES OF MERCHANDISE.	l
·	
HERE (INDICATING), THE ENTRANCE TO THE COURTHOUSE IS FROM YOU?	١
A NO.	l
Q CLOSER THAN THAT?	l
	l
·	l
	1
A TO GIVE HIM TO GIVE HIM BACK THE CASH.	l
-301-	
ll en	
	Q I SEE. A AND HE WANTED TO GET THE MONEY BACK. Q I SEE. DO YOU RECALL ANYTHING DISTINCTIVE ABOUT THIS INDIVIDUAL'S VOICE? A NO, I DON'T. Q YOU DIDN'T NOTICE ANY KIND OF ACCENTS OR DIALECTS? A NO, I DIDN'T. Q WAS IT AT THAT POINT THEN THAT MR. KINSEY MOTIONED TO YOU? A YES, IT WAS. Q AND YOU WENT TO HIM; IS THAT RIGHT? A THAT'S CORRECT. Q WHERE WAS MR. KINSEY IN RELATION TO YOUR COUNTER THERE, YOUR REGISTER? A HE WAS A COUPLE AISLES BACK, YOU KNOW, BEHIN SOME MERCHANDISE. WE HAVE AISLES OF MERCHANDISE. Q WOULD YOU SAY HE'S AS FAR AS THIS BACK DOOR HERE (INDICATING), THE ENTRANCE TO THE COURTHOUSE IS FROM YOU? A NO. Q CLOSER THAN THAT? A YES. Q DID THIS MAN HAVE HIS BACK TO MR. KINSEY, THE MAN AT THE COUNTER? A I BELIEVE HE DID, YES. Q SO YOU WENT TO MR. KINSEY. WHAT DID YOU ASK MIM, IF ANYTHING? A I ASKED HIM WHAT HE WANTED ME TO DO. Q AND HE TOLD YOU WHAT?

1	Q IS THAT ALL HE TOLD YOU?	
2	A THAT WAS ALL HE TOLD ME.	
3	Q HE DIDN'T TELL YOU THAT THIS MAN WAS SHOP-	
4	LIFTING OR THAT HE SUSPECTED HIM OF SHOPLIFTING?	
5	A HE DIDN'T TELL ME THAT, NO.	
6	Q I SEE.	
7	A BUT I PICKED THAT UP ON MY OWN.	
8	Q WHAT CAUSED YOU TO PICK THAT UP?	
9	A BECAUSE YOU NEVER GIVE CASH ON THE RETAIL	
10	FLOOR, AND HE TOLD ME TO GIVE HIM BACK THE CASH.	
11	Q GIVE HIM BACK THE CASH?	
12	A THAT'S CORRECT.	l
13	Q DID YOU DO AS MR	l
14	A NO. I WROTE A RETAIL CREDIT CHECK.	
15	Q WHY DIDN'T YOU DO AS MR. KINSEY REQUESTED?	
16	A BECAUSE YOU ARE INFORMED WHEN YOU WORK AT	l
17	SEARS THAT YOU NEVER GIVE CASH BACK, AND I WROTE THE RETAIL CREDI	r
18	CHECK, WHICH IS IN TURN AS GOOD AS CASH IN THE SEARS STORE.	
19	THAT'S WHY.	
20	Q HOW LONG WOULD YOU SAY THIS MAN WAS STANDING	ŀ
21	AT YOUR REGISTER BEFORE HE LEFT?	l
22	A 15 TO 20 MINUTES.	
23	Q THAT LONG?	
24	A WELL, I DID HAVE SOME CONVERSATION WITH HIM.	١
25	Q DID HE TELL YOU THAT HIS WIFE OR GIRLFRIEND	١
26	PURCHASED THIS ITEM AND HE DIDN'T WANT IT?	
27	A THAT'S RIGHT.	
28	BUT HE WANTED THE FULL SALES PRICE BACK	l
29	FOR IT.	
30	Q UH-HUH.	
31	A AND I WASN'T GOING TO GIVE IT TO HIM BECAUSE	1
32	THE MERCHANDISE HAD JUST BEEN ON SALE. SO I TOLD HIM ALL I WAS	
	-362-	
		١

-363-

1	Q	DID HE HAVE A MOUSTACHE?
2	A	I DON'T RECALL.
3	Q	DID HE HAVE A BEARD?
4	A	I DON'T REMEMBER.
5	Q	DID YOU NOTICE ANY JEWELRY ON THIS MAN?
6	A	NO, I DON'T REMEMBER.
7	/ Q	DO YOU REMEMBER WHETHER HE HAD A-JACKET ON
8	OR ANY-KIND OF COAT?	·
9.	Α .	THE ONLY THING I RECALL IS THE SHOULDER BAG.
10	Q	ин-нин. о.к.
11		WHEN WERE YOU FIRST CONTACTED, MRS.
12	WILSON, AND INFORMED	THAT YOU WOULD BE CALLED TO TESTIFY IN THIS
13	CASE?	
14	A	MONDAY EVENING.
15	Q	WHO WERE YOU CONTACTED BY?
16	Ä	THE INVESTIGATOR.
17	Q	DO YOU KNOW WHO THE INVESTIGATOR WAS?
18	A	NO, I DON'T RECALL HIS NAME.
19	Q	DO YOU KNOW IF IT WAS AN INVESTIGATOR WITH
20	THE DISTRICT ATTORNE	Y'S OFFICE?
21		YES, I DO.
22	Q	DID YOU GO IN FOR A MEETING WITH HIM OR DID
23	HE COME TO YOU?	
24	A	HE CAME IN FOR A MEETING YESTERDAY AT NOON.
25	Q	UH-HUH. O.K.
26		WERE YOU ASKED TO DESCRIBE THIS MAN YOU
27	SAW BY THE INVESTIGA	
28	•	NO, I WASN'T.
29	`	HAVE YOU HAD OCCASION TO LOOK AT ANY POLICE
30 31		ALING WITH THIS CASE
32		NO, I HAVEN'T. (CONTINUING) BEFORE COMING INTO COURT TO
- -	Q	(CONTINUING) DETOKE CONTING THIS COURT TO
		-364-

1	TEST1FY?
2	A NO, 1 HAVEN'T.
з	Q DID YOU EVER TELL THE POLICE THAT YOU COULD
4	IDENTIFY THIS MAN IF YOU SAW HIM AGAIN?
5	A NO, I DIDN'T.
6	Q IS THAT BECAUSE YOU COULDN'T?
7	A I WAS NEVER ASKED.
8	Q WHEN YOU WERE ASKED TO LOOK ABOUT THE COURT-
9	ROOM AND SEE IF YOU COULD RECOGNIZE THE MAN THAT YOU SAW IN THE
10	STORE THAT DAY, IT APPEARED TO ME THAT YOU LOOKED DIRECTLY AT
11	THE GENTLEMAN SEATED TO MY LEFT; DIDN'T YOU?
12	A YES, I DID.
13	Q WERE YOU TOLD THAT THAT'S WHERE THE DEFEN-
14	DANT WOULD BE SEATED?
15	A I BEG YOUR PARDON?
16	Q WHEN YOU WERE ASKED TO LOOK ABOUT THE COURT-
17	ROOM, LET ME ASK YOU WHY DID YOU LOOK TO THE MAN TO MY LEFT?
18	A WHY WOULDN'T I?
19	Q WELL, DO YOU SEE OTHER BLACK MEN IN THE
20	COURTROOM?
21	A I SURE DO.
23	Q WERE YOU TOLD THAT THE DEFENDANT WOULD BE
24	SEATED AT THAT PARTICULAR TABLE?
25	A NO, I WASN'T TOLD. I DIDN'T NEED TO SE.
26	The same same same same same same same sam
27	A WELL, HE'S NOT SITTING OVER THERE (INDICA-
28	Q IN FACT, YOU DON'T KNOW WHETHER THE MAN WHO
29	YOU SAW AT THE SEARS STORE IS SEATED IN THE COURTROOM AT ALL, DO
30	You?
31	A I BELIEVE I SAID THAT.
32	Q COURT'S INDULGENCE, PLEASE.
	-365~
	li e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e

1			WHEN YOU GAVE THIS GENTLEMAN THE SLIP
2	TO TAKE TO THE	CASH:	IER'S CAGE, YOU DIDN'T FOLLOW HIM DOWN TO THE
3	CASHIER'S CAGE		
4		A	NO, I DIDN'T.
5		Q	AND THE EXHIBIT THAT YOU WERE SHOWN, YOU
6	IDENTIFIED THAT	AS '	THE SLIP THAT YOU GAVE TO HIM; IS THAT RIGHT?
7	,,	Α.	YES, 1 DID.
8	٠,	Q	DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THAT MAN MADE THE SIGNA-
9	TURE THAT APPE	ARS O	N THAT SLIP?
10	i	A	NO, I DON'T.
11		Q	WHEN YOU LAST SAW HIM, THIS MAN WAS RUNNING
12	FROM THE STORE		
13		A	YES.
14		Q	(CONTINUING) IS THAT RIGHT?
15		A	YES.
16		Q	AT WHAT POINT DID YOU FIRST OBSERVE HIM
17	AFTER HE HAD L	EFT Y	OUR STATION THERE?
18		Α	ALMOST IMMEDIATELY.
19		Q	WAS HE COMING OFF THE ESCALATOR?
—20		Α	THAT'S RIGHT.
21		Q	DID HE RUN BY YOUR STATION?
22		A	HE RAN DOWN THE MAIN AISLE.
23		Q	IS THAT BY YOUR STATION?
24		Α	IT'S BY MY STATION, YES.
25			· _
26		MR.	COOPER: 1 PASS THE WITNESS AT THIS TIME.
27		MR.	SEATON: ONE BRIEF QUESTION.
28		THE	COURT: PROCEED.
29	••		
30	••		
31	••		
32	••		
			-366-
	II		

- 11			
1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION		
2			
3	BY MR. SEATON:		
4			
5	Q MRS. WILSON, DID THERE COME A TIME, AFTER		
6	YOU LAST SAW THE INDIVIDUAL RUN BY, THAT YOU BECAME AWARE OF WHAT		
7	WENT ON DOWNSTAIRS?		
8	A YES.		
9	Ø MHO TOFD AONS		
10	A I BELIEVE TOM MAJOR IS THE ONE THAT TOLD ME		
11	EXACTLY.		
12	Q HE DESCRIBED TO YOU EVERYTHING THAT OCCURRED		
13	DOWN THERE?		
14	A NO. HE JUST TOLD ME THAT A GUN WAS PULLED.		
15	Q I SEE.		
16	DID THAT MAKE AN IMPRESSION ON YOU?		
17	A YES, IT CERTAINLY DID.		
18	MR. SEATON: THANK YOU.		
19	I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER.		
20	MR. COOPER: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.		
21	THE COURT: YOU ARE EXCUSED.		
23	(WHEREUPON, THE WITNESS WAS		
24	EXCUSED.) THE COURT: 'CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS.		
25	MR. SEATON: BRAD FRANK.		
26	THE COURT: MAY I SEE COUNSEL AT THE BENCH.		
27	(WHEREUPON, SIDE BAR CONFERENCE		
28	WAS HELD AT THE BENCH; NOT		
29	REPORTED.)		
30	THE COURT: CALL THE OFFICER.		
31	THE CLERK: RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND.		
32	••		
	-367 -		
	-30/-		

1	WHEREUPON,
2	
а	BRADLEY FRANK,
4	
5	CALLED AS A WITNESS HEREIN BY THE PLAINTIFF WAS FIRST DULY SWORN,
. 6	EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
7	
8	THE COURT: PROCEED.
9	
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 11	
12	BY MR. SEATON:
13	
14	Q PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME.
15	A BRAD FRANK, F-R-A-N-K.
16	Q WHAT IS YOUR OCCUPATION?
17	A POLICE, PATROLMAN.
18	Q HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN SO EMPLOYED?
19	A TWELVE AND A HALF YEARS.
20	Q WHAT ARE YOUR DUTIES AS A POLICE PATROLMAN?
21	A RESPOND TO CALLS FROM THE PUBLIC IN REGARD
22	TO POLICE MATTERS, SOME TRAFFIC DUTIES.
23	Q ON MARCH THE 26TH, 1980, WERE YOU SO
25	A YES.
26	Q AND WERE YOU CALLED TO SEARS ROEBUCK ON THAT
27	DAY?
28	A YES.
29	Q APPROXIMATELY WHAT TIME DID YOU ARRIVE THERE
30	A OH, BETWEEN 12:30 AND 1:00.
31	Q AND WHERE DID YOU GO WHEN YOU GOT THERE?
32	A TO THE SECURITY OFFICE.
	-368-
	il '

1	. Q	WHO DID YOU SEE?
2	A	KEITH KINSEY, WHO WORKED SECURITY THERE.
3	Q	WERE THERE ANY OTHER PEOPLE PRESENT?
4	A	THERE WAS TWO OTHER SEARS EMPLOYEES, AS I
5	RECALL.	
6	Q	DO YOU RECALL THEIR NAMES AT THIS TIME?
7	A	I THINK IT WAS TOM MAJOR AND LINDA SOMETHING
8	Q	DID YOU HAVE AN OCCASION TO TAKE REPORTS
9	FROM ANY OF THE WITH	ESSES?
10	A	YES, I DID.
11	Q	DID YOU, PURSUANT TO YOUR DUTIES THERE,
12	IMPOUND ANY EVIDENCE	?
13	. A	YES. I IMPOUNDED A YEL YELLOW I-D
14	BRACELET.	
15	Q	HOW DID YOU COME INTO CONTACT WITH THE
16	YELLOW I.D. BRACELET	
17		SECURITY OFFICER KEITH KINSEY ADVISED ME
18		BY THE PERSON WHO HAD BEEN DETAINED THERE
19	1	T HAPPENED THERE IN THE SECURITY OFFICE.
20		LET ME SHOW YOU WHAT HAS BEEN MARKED AS
21	STATE'S PROPOSED EXH	IBIT 40-A, CAN YOU TELL ME IF YOU CAN RECOG-
22	NIZE THAT ITEM?	·
23	A	YES.
24	Q	AND WHAT IS THE BASIS OF YOUR RECOGNITION?
25	A	IT SHOWS MY INITIALS ON THE INSIDE OF THE
26	BRACELET.	
27	Q	HOW ARE THEY WRITTEN?
28 29		B F. DID YOU PLACE THOSE ON THERE?
30	1	YES, 1 DID.
31		WHEN DID YOU DO THAT?
32		AT THE TIME I IMPOUNDED THE BRACELET, ON THE
		-369-
	• •	

i ii	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	26TH OF MARCH.
2	Q AFTER YOU TOOK POSSESSION OF THE BRACELET,
3	WHAT DID YOU THEN DO WITH 1T?
4	A I TOOK IT TO THE SUB-STATION, PLACED IT INTO
5	EVIDENCE IMPOUND, AND PUT IT INTO THE EVIDENCE VAULT.
6	Q FROM THE TIME THAT YOU TOOK THE 1.D. BRACE-
7	LET, MARKED AS STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 40-A, AT SEARS, UNTIL YOU
в	PUT IT IN THE EVIDENCE ENVELOPE, WAS IT IN YOUR SOLE CARE AND
9	CUSTODY?
10	A YES, IT WAS.
11	Q AND IS IT IN SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME CONDI-
12	TION TODAY AS IT WAS AT THAT TIME?
13	A YES.
14	Q NOW, LET ME SHOW YOU WHAT HAS BEEN MARKED
15	FOR IDENTIFICATION AS STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 40, WOULD YOU LOOK
16	THAT OVER AND TELL ME IF YOU ARE ABLE TO RECOGNIZE IT?
17	A YES, I AM. IT HAS MY INITIALS WRITTEN ON
18	IT, MY HANDWRITING, ALSO MY NAME IS WRITTEN ON IT.
19	Q WHAT IS IT?
20	A PARDON ME?
21	Q WHAT IS IT?
22	A THIS IS AN EVIDENCE IMPOUND ENVELOPE.
23	Q AND WHEN DID YOU FIRST SEE STATE'S PROPOSED
24	EXHIBIT 40?
25	A ' ~ON THE 26TH OF MARCH 1980.
26	Q WHERE WERE YOU?
27	A IN REGARD TO THE INFORMATION?
28	Q YES.
29	A AT THE SUB-STATION AT ATLANTIC AND ST. LOUIS
30	WHERE I IMPOUNDED THE BRACELET.
31	Q 15 THAT THE IMPOUND 15 THAT THE EVIDENCE
32	THAT YOU PLACED IT INTO?
	-370-

1	A YES, IT IS.
2	Q AND ONCE YOU PLACED THE BRACELET INTO THE
3	ENVELOPE WHAT DID YOU DO WITH THE ENVELOPE?
4	A 1 PUT IT IN THE EVIDENCE VAULT AT THE SOUTH
5	SUB-STATION, WHERE IT'S LOCKED.
6	Q DID YOU PUT ANY SEALS ON IT?
. 7	A YES.
8	Q AND ARE THOSE SEALS ON THERE TODAY?
. 9	A YES, THEY ARE.
10	Q AND DO THEY HAVE YOUR INITIALS ON THEM?
11	A YES.
12	Q ANYTHING ELSE?
13	A NO. IT DOES NOT HAVE MY INITIALS ON IT,
14	PART OF IT IS COVERED UP. I BELIEVE THE DATE WOULD BE ON THE
15	OTHER SIDE. BUT THIS IS MY INITIALS AND MY HANDWRITING.
16	Q 0.K.
17	AND THEN ONCE YOU FILLED IT OUT AND
18	FILLED THE EVIDENCE ENVELOPE OUT AND SEALED IT, WHAT DID YOU THEN
19	DO WITH IT?
20	A I PUT IT IN THE LOST EVIDENCE VAULT AT THE
21	SOUTH SUB-STATION.
22	Q AND FROM THE TIME THAT YOU FIRST SAW STATE'S
23	PROPOSED EXHIBIT 40 UNTIL THE TIME THAT YOU PUT IT IN THE
24	EVIDENCE VAULT, WAS IT IN YOUR SOLE CARE AND CUSTODY?
25	A YES, IT WAS.
26	Q WOULD YOU LOOK AT STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT
27	40, THE EVIDENCE ENVELOPE NOW, AND TELL ME IF IT'S SUBSTANTIALLY
28	IN THE SAME CONDITION NOW AS IT WAS THEN. IF THERE ARE ANY
29	DIFFERENCES WOULD YOU TELL US WHAT THEY ARE?
30	A SAME CONDITION.
31	Q IS THE EVIDENCE ENVELOPE IN THE SAME CONDI-
32	TION NOW THAT IT WAS WHEN YOU PLACED IT IN THE
	-371-

	·
1	A YES, IT IS. THIS IS THE SEAL THAT I PUT
2	ACROSS IT THERE.
3	Q ARE THERE ANY ARE THERE ANY SEALS THERE
4	THAT YOU DID NOT PUT ON?
5	A THIS RED SEAL HERE (INDICATING). I PUT THE
6	YELLOW ONE ON HERE, THE ONE WITH MY INITIALS ON IT (INDICATING).
. 7	Q YES. I SEE.
8	DID YOU PUT THE YELLOW SEAL ON?
9	A YES.
10	Q I'M SORRY. I MEANT TO SAY DID YOU PUT THE
11	RED SEAL ON?
12	A NO.
13	Q THERE APPEARS TO BE A TEAR HERE IN THE LOWER
14	RIGHT HAND CORNER. DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH THAT?
15	Ä NO.
16	MR. SEATON: COURT'S INDULGENCE.
17	1 HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS OF THIS WITNESS,
18	YOUR HONOR. BUT I WOULD OFFER INTO EVIDENCE STATE'S PROPOSED
19	EXHIBITS 40 AND 40-A.
20	MR. FRANZEN: NO OBJECTION.
21	THE COURT: SAME WILL BE RECEIVED.
22	CROSS?
23	MR. FRANZEN: YES, YOUR HONOR.
24	22022 EVANTUETION
25 26	CROSS EXAMINATION
27	BY MR. FRANZÉN:
28	DI PRO PROMECE.
29	Q WERE YOU THE RESPONDING OFFICER, OFFICER
30	FRANK, THE FIRST OFFICER AT THE SCENE?
31	A YES.
32	Q DID YOU GET A STATEMENT FROM THE PEOPLE
	-372-

	•
1	INVOLVED, REGARDING THE TIME OF THE OCCURRENCE?
2	A YES. IT'S ON THE CRIME REPORT I COMPLETED
з	AT THE LOCATION AT THE SEARS SECURITY OFFICE.
4	Q DO YOU REMEMBER THE TIME, HAVE YOU LOOKED
5	AT THE REPORT?
6	A THE TIME OF THE OCCURRENCE?
. 7	Q YES, SIR.
8	A I BELIEVE IT WAS 12:20 P.M. TO 12:30 P.M.
9	Q 12:20 TO 12:30?
10	' A I BELIEVÉ SO, YES.
11	Q AND WHAT TIME WAS IT BEFORE IT WAS
12	A WHAT TIME WAS IT WHAT?
13	Q (CONTINUING) REPORTED? WOULD THAT BE
14	THE 12:30 TIME OR WOULD THAT BE LATER?
15	A IT WOULD BE RIGHT AT THAT TIME. I DON'T
16	HAVE THE EXACT TIME THAT IT WAS CALLED INTO OUR DISPATCHER, BUT
17	IT WOULD BE VERY SHORTLY AFTER THAT, IF IT WASN'T EXACTLY 12:30.
18	Q DID YOU GET A DESCRIPTION OF THE BLACK MAN
19	THAT WAS SUPPOSED TO HAVE BEEN THE PERPETRATOR?
20	A AFTER 1 GOT TO THE STORE I GOT QUITE A GOOD
21	DESCRIPTION, YES.
22	Q WAS HE DESCRIBED AS BEING IN HIS EARLY OR
23	MID-TWENTIES?
24	A I COULD CHECK MY REPORT THERE. I REMEMBER
25	MORE IN REGARD TO THE CLOTHING AND HEIGHT AND WEIGHT AND RACE
26	AND HAIR STYLE.
27	Q 0.K.
28	WOULD IT HELP YOU IF I SHOWED YOU YOUR
29	REPORT?
30	A 1 HAVE COPIES, SIR, WITH ME.
31) WILL CHECK ON THE AGE. MID-TWENTIES.
32	Q AND MEDIUM BUILD?
	-373-

1	A RIGHT.
2	Q WITH A SHORT AFRO, CLEAR COMPLEXION?
3	A UH-HUH. YES.
4	MR. FRANZEN: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.
5	THE COURT: THE STATE?
6	MR. SEATON: YES.
, 7	
8	- REDIRECT EXAMINATION
9	·
10	BY MR. SEATON:
11	
12	Q WERE YOU INSIDE THE WHERE WERE YOU AT
13	SEARS WHEN YOU RECEIVED POSSESSION OF THE BRACELET?
14	A IN THE SECURITY OFFICE AT SEARS ON THE LOWER
15	LEVEL.
16	Q AND DO YOU RECALL FROM WHOM YOU RECEIVED IT?
17	A THE SECURITY OFFICER THERE, OR SECURITY
18	SERGEANT KEITH KINSEY, EITHER HANDED IT TO ME OR POINTED IT OUT
19	TO ME.
20	MR. SEATON: THANK YOU.
21	1 HAVE NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.
22	TECORES EVANIATION
23	RECROSS EXAMINATION
24 25	BY MR. FRANZEN:
26	DI CIN I NOMERO.
27	O WHEN YOU SAY HE POINTED IT OUT TO YOU
28	A I SAID HE EITHER HANDED IT TO ME OR POINTED
29	IT OUT TO ME IN THAT ROOM, YES.
30	Q WHERE WOULD IT HAVE BEEN IF HE POINTED IT
31	OUT TO YOU?
32	A ON THE FLOOR.
	-374-
	II

_	Q ON A TABLE?	
1	A IT'S A SMALL ROOM.	
2	Q SOMEBODY'S POSSESSION?	
3	A I DON'T REMEMBER.	
4	LIKE 1 SAY, I DON'T RECALL 1F HE DID	
5 6	POINT IT OUT AS OPPOSED TO HAND IT TO ME.	
7	MR. FRANZEN: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.	
8	MR. SEATON: NOTHING BY THE STATE, YOUR HONOR.	
9	THE COURT: YOU'RE EXCUSED.	
10	THE WITNESS: THANK YOU.	
11	` (WHEREUPON, THE WITNESS WAS	
12	EXCUSED.)	
13	THE COURT: COUNSEL, APPROACH THE BENCH.	
14	(WHEREUPON, SIDE BAR CONFERENCE	
15	WAS HELD AT THE BENCH; NOT	
16	REPORTED.)	
17	THE COURT: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, WE ARE GOING TO	
18	TAKE OUR MORNING RECESS AT THIS TIME. WE WILL RECONVENE THIS	
19	AFTERNOON AT 1:15.	
20	DURING THIS RECESS YOU ARE ADMON-	
21	ISHED NOT TO CONVERSE AMONG YOURSELVES	
22	OR WITH ANYONE ELSE ON ANY SUBJECT CON-	
23	NECTED WITH THIS TRIAL, OR READ, WATCH	
24	OR LISTEN TO ANY REPORT OF OR COMMENTARY	
25	ON THIS TRIAL WITH ANY PERSON CONNECTED	
26	WITH THIS TRIAL BY ANY MEDIUM OF INFORMA~	
27	TION, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, NEWS-	
28	PAPER, TELEVISION OR RADIO OR FORM OR	
29	EXPRESS ANY OPINION ON ANY SUBJECT CON-	
30	NECTED WITH THIS TRIAL UNTIL THE CASE IS	
31	FINALLY SUBMITTED TO YOU.	
32	WE WILL BE IN RECESS UNTIL 1:30 THIS AFTERNO	ľ'n

-375-

<u> </u>	
0 0 0	
P P	
m	
80 60 71	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, WEDNESDAY, APRIL 13, 1983, AT 1:30 P.M.
\$\frac{1}{5}	ж ж ж ж ж ж ж ж
3	-
	(WHEREUPON, FROM THE HOUR OF
4	11:15 A.M. UNTIL 1:30 P.M., THE
5	NOON RECESS WAS HAD IN THE PRO-
6	CEEDINGS, AT THE CONCLUSION OF
. 7	WHICH THE FOLLOWING WAS HAD:)
8	THE COURT: COUNSEL, STIPULATE TO THE PRESENCE
9	OF THE JURY?
10	MR. COOPER: YES, YOUR HONOR.
11	MR. SEATON: YES, YOUR HONOR.
12	THE COURT: CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS.
13	MR. HARMON: STEVE HOUCHIN.
14	THE COURT: COME FORWARD, SIR.
15	THE CLERK: RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND.
16	
17	WHEREUPON,
18	
19	STEPHEN DOUGLAS HOUCHIN,
. 20	
21	CALLED AS A WITNESS HEREIN BY THE PLAINTIFF WAS FIRST DULY SWORN.
. 22	EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
23	
24	THE CLERK: YOU MAY BE SEATED.
25 /	THE COURT: PROCEED.
26	
27	
28	
29	•••
30	
31	
32	l
	-376-
	-3/0-
	•

1	· <u>-</u>	DIRECT EXAMINATION
2		
3	BY MR. HARMON:	
4		
5	Q	WILL YOU STATE YOUR NAME, PLEASE.
6	A	STEPHEN DOUGLAS HOUCHIN.
7	Q	SPELL YOUR NAMES FOR THE RECORD.
8	A	MY FIRST NAME IS STEPHEN S-T-E-P-H-E-N.
9	SECOND NAME DOUGLAS,	D-O-U-G-L-A-S. LAST NAME HOUCHIN, H-O-U-C-
10	H-I-N.	
11	Q	MR. HOUCHIN, DO YOU LIVE IN LAS VEGAS,
12	NEVADA, TODAY?	
13	A	NO, 1 DON'T.
14	Q	DO YOU LIVE IN CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF
15	NEVADA?	
16	A	YES, 1 DO.
17	Q	HOW LONG HAVE YOU LIVED IN THIS COMMUNITY?
18	A	SINCE 1968.
19	Q	WERE YOU RESIDING IN CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA,
20	ON MARCH 26TH, 1980?	
21	· A	YES, I WAS.
22	Q	DID YOU AT SOME TIME ON THAT DATE HAVE
23	OCCASION TO BE AT THE	E INTERSECTION OF DUMONT AND CAMBRIDGE?
24	A	YES, SIR, I DID.
25	Q	DO YOU REMEMBER ABOUT WHAT TIME IT WAS?
26	A	12:30, RIGHT AFTER NOON.
27	Q	YOUR BEST ESTIMATE IS ABOUT 12:30?
28	A	RIGHT IN THERE, 12:30, YES.
29	Q	WHAT WERE YOU DOING AT THE INTERSECTION OF
30	DUMONT AND CAMBRIDGE	STREETS?
31	A	I WAS ON A LUNCH BREAK FROM WHERE I WORKED.
32	T LIVED AT 1100 DUMO	NT. I WAS LEAVING MY RESIDENCE, STOPPED AT
	·	-377-

	THE STOP SIGN.
1	AND
2	· ·
3	CAMBRIDGE STREETS FROM THE BOULEVARD MALL, AND MORE SPECIFICALLY
4	THE SEARS ROEBUCK STORE?
5	A CAMBRIDGE AND DUMONT IS STRAIGHT OUT THE
6	EXIT OF THE BOULEVARD MALL AND STRATGHT WEST ACROSS MARYLAND
7	PARKWAY.
8	Q SO THAT INTERSECTION WOULD BE WHERE IN
9	RELATION TO SOUTH MARYLAND PARKWAY?
10	A SOUTH MARYLAND PARKWAY, DUMONT AND MARYLAND
11	PARKWAY, AND THAT'S ABOUT I THINK 1T'S ABOUT 30 HUNDRED SLOCK.
12	I'M NOT REALLY SURE ABOUT THE
13	Q BUT DUMONT GOES IN WHICH DIRECTION?
14	A EAST/WEST.
15	Q AND DOES IT INTERSECT MARYLAND PARKWAY?
16	A YES, IT DOES.
17	Q IS THERE SOME TYPE OF ACCESS OUT OF THE
18	PARKING LOT AREA AT THE BOULEVARD ACROSS MARYLAND PARKWAY TO
19	DUMONT?
20	A YES, THERE IS, STRAIGHT ON THROUGH.
21	Q AND HOW FAR WEST OF MARYLAND PARKWAY IS THE
22	INTERSECTION OF CAMBRIDGE AND DUMONT?
23	A APPROXIMATELY TWO BLOCKS.
24	Q DID SOMETHING UNUSUAL HAPPEN AT ABOUT 12:30
25	ON MARCH 26TH, 1980, INVOLVING YOU AND CERTAIN OTHER PERSON OR
26	PERSONS AT THE INTERSECTION OF CAMBRIDGE AND DUMONT?
27	· A YES, IT DID.
28	Q EXPLAIN WHAT HAPPENED, SIR.
29	A WE WERE SITTING AT A STOP LIGHT OR A STOP
30	SIGN WESTBOUND TO MAKE A LEFT TURN GOING SOUTH IN A 1966 WHITE
31	CORVETTE. A CAR CAME UP FROM BEHIND AND HIT IT. I LOOKED IN THE
32	REAR VIEW MIRROR TO SEE THE CAR BACK UP TO GO AROUND TO THE RIGHT
	

-378-

- 11	
1	SIDE OF ME AND ROLLED THE WINDOW DOWN IN THE CORVETTE TO HOLLER
2	AT HIM TO STOP.
. з	Q WHY DID YOU WANT THIS PERSON TO STOP?
4	A HE HIT MY CAR.
5	Q YOU WERE ACTUALLY PARKED GOING WESTBOUND
6	ON WHICH STREET?
, 7	A I WAS STILL AT A STOP SIGN WESTBOUND.
8	Q ON WHICH STREET?
9	A ON DUMONT TO MAKE A LEFT TURN TO GO SOUTH
10	ON CAMBRIDGE.
11	Q AND AS YOU SAT THERE, COMPLETELY STOPPED,
12	SOMETHING HAPPENED?
13	A THE CAR THE CAR THAT I SAW IN MY REAR
14	VIEW MIRROR HIT ME. I WAS JUST SITTING THERE AND IT HIT ME AND
15	1 LOOKED.
16	Q IT HIT YOU IN THE BACK OF YOUR CAR?
17	A YES.
18	AND I LOOKED IN THE REAR VIEW MIRROR AND
19	HE WAS BACKING UP.
20	Q DID YOU SEE WHO THE DRIVER WAS?
21	A AT THAT TIME I SAW THAT IT WAS A MALE DRIVER
22	I DIDN'T REALLY PAY ANY ATTENTION TO WHO HE WAS EXACTLY.
23	Q DID YOU AT THAT TIME NOTICE WHETHER THERE
24	WAS ANOTHER OCCUPANT IN THE VEHICLE?
25	A AT THAT TIME, NO.
26	Q SO WHAT HAPPENED AFTER THIS VEHICLE HIT YOU
27	IN THE BACK OF YOUR CAR?
28	A AS I SAID, I LOOKED IN THE REAR VIEW MIRROR
29	TO SEE HIM BACK UP AND GO AROUND THE RIGHTHAND SIDE OF ME AND I
30	ROLLED THE WINDOW DOWN IN MY CAR, THE RIGHT WINDOW OF THE RIGHT
31	PASSENGER DOOR, AND HOLLERED AT HIM TO STOP.
32	Q 15 THAT EXACTLY WHAT YOU SAID, "STOP"?
	-379-
	ii.

		ı	
,		A	WELL, I THINK I MAY HAVE SAID SOMETHING IN
1 2	PROFANITY, BUT		
3	, KOLANIII, GOI		MAYBE SOMETHING LIKE
4		•	AND I DID LET HIM KNOW I WANTED HIM TO STOP.
5		•	WHAT HAPPENED THEN, SIR?
6		•	1 NOTICED ! NOTICED THERE WAS A PISTOL
. 7	AND HE HAD HIS		STUCK ACROSS HIS ARM AND OUT THE DOOR OF
8			(INDICATING), AND HE TOLD ME TO MIND MY OWN
9	BUSINESS.	÷	
10		Q	WHEN YOU SAY PISTOL ARE YOU REFERRING TO A
11	HANDGUN?		
12	·	A	YEAH, A HANDGUN, APPROXIMATELY A .38 OR .357
13	MAGNUM.		
14		Q	WHY DO YOU SAY IT WAS APPROXIMATELY A .38 OR
15	A .357 MAGNUM?	-	·
16		A	BECAUSE I'M NOT VERY WELL FAMILIAR WITH
17	HANDGUNS OR GUI	NS, PE	RIOD.
18		Q	IT WAS NOT A SMALL CALIBER WEAPON?
19		A	NO, IT WAS NOT.
20		Q	DID YOU SEE IN WHAT HAND THE PERSON HAD THE
21	GUN?		
22		A	IT WAS IT WAS THE RIGHT HAND, LIKE THIS
23	(INDICATING).	IT WA	S OVER HIS LEFT HAND OVER THE DOOR WINDOW.
24		Q	NOW, ARE YOU REFERRING TO THE DRIVER OF THE
25	VEH1CLE?		·
26		A	YES, I AM.
27		Q	IN WHAT DIRECTION DID THE DRIVER OF THE
28 29	VEHICLE POINT		
30		A	STRAIGHT DIRECTLY AT ME, MY FACE.
31		Q •	ABOUT HOW FAR AWAY WERE YOU AT THAT TIME?
32	I HAD MY UEAR	A	OUT THE DOOR OF THE CAR AND IT WAS PROBABLY
	I HAD AT HEAD	31ULK	·
			-380-
			ļ

1	A FEW FEET.
2	Q FROM YOUR FACE?
3	A MY FACE, AND BY THAT TIME, I REALIZED WHAT
4	IT WAS AND I HEADED BACK IN THE CAR AND DOWN.
5	Q MR. HOUCHIN, WILL YOU LOOK AROUND THE COURT-
6	ROOM TODAY AND STATE WHETHER YOU SEE THAT PERSON IN COURT?
7	A YEAH, I SEE HIM.
В	Q POINT TO HIM AND DESCRIBE HOW HE'S DRESSED.
9	A (INDICATING) THE GENTLEMAN RIGHT THERE 1H
10	THE BLUE SWEATER AND THE BLUE I GUESS IT'S A COAT, SITTING ON
11	THE END OF THIS TABLE RIGHT THERE (INDICATING).
12	MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, MAY THE RECORD SHOW THAT
13	THE WITNESS HAS IDENTIFIED THE DEFENDANT SAMUEL HOWARD.
14	THE COURT: THE RECORD MAY SO SHOW.
15	MR. HARMON: THANK YOU,
16	
17	BY MR. HARMON:
- 1	I and the second se
18	
18 19	Q IS MR. HOWARD THE PERSON WHO POINED A GUN AT
	YOUR FACE ON MARCH 26TH, 1980?
19	YOUR FACE ON MARCH 26TH, 1980? A IF THAT MAN RIGHT THERE IS MR. HOWARD (IND1-
19 20	YOUR FACE ON MARCH 26TH, 1980?
19 20 21 22 23	YOUR FACE ON MARCH 26TH, 1980? A IF THAT MAN RIGHT THERE IS MR. HOWARD (IND1-CATING) HE'S THE ONE THAT POINTED THE GUN AT MY FACE THE FIRST TIME.
19 20 21 22 23 24	YOUR FACE ON MARCH 26TH, 1980? A IF THAT MAN RIGHT THERE IS MR. HOWARD (IND1-CATING) HE'S THE ONE THAT POINTED THE GUN AT MY FACE THE FIRST TIME. MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, MAY THE RECORD AGAIN SHOW
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	YOUR FACE ON MARCH 26TH, 1980? A IF THAT MAN RIGHT THERE IS MR. HOWARD (IND1-CATING) HE'S THE ONE THAT POINTED THE GUN AT MY FACE THE FIRST TIME. MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, MAY THE RECORD AGAIN SHOW THAT THE WITNESS HAS POINTED AT DEFENDANT HOWARD.
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	YOUR FACE ON MARCH 26TH, 1980? A IF THAT MAN RIGHT THERE IS MR. HOWARD (IND1-CATING) HE'S THE ONE THAT POINTED THE GUN AT MY FACE THE FIRST TIME. MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, MAY THE RECORD AGAIN SHOW
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	YOUR FACE ON MARCH 26TH, 1980? A IF THAT MAN RIGHT THERE IS MR. HOWARD (IND1-CATING) HE'S THE ONE THAT POINTED THE GUN AT MY FACE THE FIRST TIME. MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, MAY THE RECORD AGAIN SHOW THAT THE WITNESS HAS POINTED AT DEFENDANT HOWARD.
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	YOUR FACE ON MARCH 26TH, 1980? A IF THAT MAN RIGHT THERE IS MR. HOWARD (IND1-CATING) HE'S THE ONE THAT POINTED THE GUN AT MY FACE THE FIRST TIME. MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, MAY THE RECORD AGAIN SHOW THAT THE WITNESS HAS POINTED AT DEFENDANT HOWARD. THE COURT: THE RECORD MAY SO SHOW.
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	YOUR FACE ON MARCH 26TH, 1980? A IF THAT MAN RIGHT THERE IS MR. HOWARD (IND1-CATING) HE'S THE ONE THAT POINTED THE GUN AT MY FACE THE FIRST TIME. MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, MAY THE RECORD AGAIN SHOW THAT THE WITNESS HAS POINTED AT DEFENDANT HOWARD. THE COURT: THE RECORD MAY SO SHOW.
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	YOUR FACE ON MARCH 26TH, 1980? A IF THAT MAN RIGHT THERE IS MR. HOWARD (INDI- CATING) HE'S THE ONE THAT POINTED THE GUN AT MY FACE THE FIRST TIME. MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, MAY THE RECORD AGAIN SHOW THAT THE WITNESS HAS POINTED AT DEFENDANT HOWARD. THE COURT: THE RECORD MAY SO SHOW. MR. HARMON: THANK YOU. BY MR. HARMON:
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	YOUR FACE ON MARCH 26TH, 1980? A IF THAT MAN RIGHT THERE IS MR. HOWARD (INDI- CATING) HE'S THE ONE THAT POINTED THE GUN AT MY FACE THE FIRST TIME. MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, MAY THE RECORD AGAIN SHOW THAT THE WITNESS HAS POINTED AT DEFENDANT HOWARD. THE COURT: THE RECORD MAY SO SHOW. MR. HARMON: THANK YOU. BY MR. HARMON: Q MR. HOUCHIN, AT THAT TIME DID THE DEFENDANT
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	YOUR FACE ON MARCH 26TH, 1980? A IF THAT MAN RIGHT THERE IS MR. HOWARD (INDI- CATING) HE'S THE ONE THAT POINTED THE GUN AT MY FACE THE FIRST TIME. MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, MAY THE RECORD AGAIN SHOW THAT THE WITNESS HAS POINTED AT DEFENDANT HOWARD. THE COURT: THE RECORD MAY SO SHOW. MR. HARMON: THANK YOU. BY MR. HARMON:

.	
1	A OTHER THAN "JUST MIND YOUR OWN BUSINESS",
2	PERIOD.
3	Q HE SAID, "MIND YOUR OWN BUSINESS"?
4	A YES. IT WAS VERY BLUNT. "MIND YOUR OWN
5	BUSINESS."
6	Q WHAT HAPPENED THEN, SIR?
, 7	A I STUCK MY HEAD DOWN IN THE SEAT, DOWN INTO
8	THE CAR WINDOW, AND WHEN I LOOKED UP HE WAS TURNING IN FRONT OF
9	ME SOUTHBOUND ON CAMBRIDGE.
10	Q BUT WHEN YOU SAY HE TURNED IN FRONT OF YOU
11	A I WAS STILL STOPPED.
12	. Q (CONTINUING) HE IS ON THE RIGHT SIDE OF
13	YOUR VEHICLE?
14	A YES. HE WAS ON THE RIGHT SIDE OF MY VEHICLE
15	I WAS STILL STOPPED. HE PROCEEDED ACROSS THE STREET AND TURNED
16	LEFT AND WENT SOUTH ON CAMBRIDGE.
17	Q SO HE TURNED LEFT ON CAMBRIDGE FROM THE
18	RIGHT SIDE OF YOUR CAR?
19	A YES.
20	Q DID HE ACTUALLY PULL IN FRONT OF YOU?
21	A OH, YES.
22	Q WHAT TYPE OF DESCRIPTION HAD YOU BEEN ABLE
23	TO OBTAIN AT THAT POINT OF THIS VEHICLE, WHICH HAD STRUCK YOU
24	FROM THE REAR?
25	A AT THIS POINT IT WAS JUST IT WAS A 1979
26	EITHER PONTIAC GRAND PRIX OR A BIG SKYLARK, SOMETHING OF THAT
27	THAT BODY STYLE ANYWAY, AND IT WAS BLACK. I DIDN'T GET THE
28	LICENSE NUMBER AT THAT TIME.
29	Q AFTER THE VEHICLE DRIVEN BY THE DEFENDANT
30	MR. HOWARD PULLED IN FRONT OF YOU AND PROCEEDED SOUTHBOUND ON
31	CAMBRIDGE, WHAT IF ANYTHING DID YOU DO?
32	A WHEN I GOT OVER BEING SCARED I GOT RATHER
	-382-

- 1	
1	MAD. THREE YEARS AGO I GUESS WAS A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT THAN
2	NOW. I GOT MAD AND DECIDED I WAS GOING TO CHASE HIM DOWN.
3	Q DID YOU PURSUE THE VEHICLE?
4	A YES, I DID. THERE WAS
5	Q EXPLAIN WHAT HAPPENED.
6	A WHEN I PULLED FROM THE INTERSECTION THERE
7	HAD BEEN A CAR. HE PULLED IN FRONT OF A CAR ALREADY GOING SOUTH-
8	BOUND, NOT CAUSING ANY ACC YOU KNOW, ANY ACCIDENT LIKE THAT.
9	Q HE, MEANING THE PERSON YOU'VE ALREADY
10	IDENTIFIED IN COURT?
11	A YES. I'M SORRY. YES. THE MAN I IDENTIFIED
12	DROVE HIS CAR INTO THE LANE OF ANOTHER SOUTHBOUND CAR, NOT
13	CAUSING AN ACCIDENT, AND SO THERE WAS AND AFTER I PROCEEDED
14	FROM THE INTERSECTION TO FOLLOW HIM THERE WAS A CAR BETWEEN HE
15	AND I.
16	Q DID YOU NOTICE AT THAT TIME HOW MR. HOWARD
17	WAS DRIVING?
18	A AT THAT TIME JUST VERY FAST, YOU KNOW, IT
19	WAS IT WAS VERY FAST. IT'S ONLY ABOUT ANOTHER TWO BLOCKS
20	DOWN TO TWAIN AND THERE WERE CARS I SAY CARS, THÈRE WAS ONE
21	CAR IN FRONT OF HIM. YOU JUST DON'T GO BARRELLING ACROSS TWAIN
22	STREET, MOST PEOPLE DON'T.
23	Q SO YOU OBSERVED THE DEFENDANT DRIVE VERY
24	FAST SOUTHBOUND ON CAMBRIDGE FROM DUMONT TO TWAIN AVENUE?
25	A TO TWAIN, YES.
26	Q WHAT HAPPENED AT THE INTERSECTION OF
27	CAMBRIDGE AND TWAIN?
28	A AT THAT POINT I THOUGHT HE WAS JUST GOING TO
29	GO ACROSS TWAIN FOR, YOU KNOW, CAMBRIDGE; AND I WENT AHEAD AND
30	PULLED ON THE RIGHTHAND SIDE OF HIM BETWEEN HIS CAR AND THE CURB
31	TO GET A TAG NUMBER.
32	Q WHAT DO YOU MEAN "TO GET A TAG NUMBER"?

-383-

!!	THE THE THE THE THE TAKE WAS
1	A BECAUSE THAT'S SOMETHING I DIDN'T HAVE, WAS
2	A TAG NUMBER.
3	Q THE LICENSE PLATE ARE YOU REFERRING TO?
4	A THE LICENSE PLATE NUMBER ON THE VEHICLE,
5	THE BLACK CAR THAT HIT ME.
6	Q WERE YOU ABLE TO GET IT AT THAT POINT?
7	A AT THAT TIME I GOT THE FIRST THREE NUMBERS.
8	AS MY CAR WAS ROLLING FORWARD I LOOKED TO THE LEFT AND NOTICED
9	ANOTHER OCCUPANT IN THE CAR, NOTICED HIS SAME RIGHT ARM WAS
10	EXTENDED ACROSS HER CHEST AND HER WINDOW WAS COMING DOWN AND THAT
11	PISTOL WAS POINTED TO ME AGAIN AND I DIDN'T STOP FOR THE STOP
12	SIGN OR ANYTHING ELSE. I JUST
13	Q THIS IS THE SAME PERSON THAT POINTED THE
14	GUN?
15	A YES.
16	Q DID IT APPEAR TO BE THE SAME GUN?
17	A IT WAS THE SAME GUN.
18	Q WAS IT POINTED AT YOU?
19	A WHEN HE STARTED PUTTING HIS HAND OUT IT WAS
20	COMING IN THAT DIRECTION. I DIDN'T STOP TO WAIT FOR HIM TO POINT
21	IT AT ME, BUT AT ONE POINT WHEN I WAS PASSING HIM IT WAS.
22	Q WAS IT AT THIS POINT THAT YOU FIRST NOTICED
23	THAT THERE WAS SOME OTHER OCCUPANT IN THE BLACK CAR?
24	A YES.
25	Q DESCRIBE THAT PERSON.
26	A FEMALE, NEGRO, LIGHT LIGHT COMPLECTED
27	NEGRO SHE HAD BRAIDED HAIR.
28	Q WHERE WAS SHE SEATED IN THE CAR?
29	A PASSENGER'S SIDE OF THE FRONT SEAT.
30	Q NOW, YOU SAY SOMEONE APPEARED TO BE ROLLING
31	THE WINDOW DOWN IN THE PASSENGER'S SIDE AT THE INTERSECTION OF
32	TWAIN AND CAMBRIDGE?
	-384-
	II

- 11	· •
,	A THE WINDOW WAS COMING DOWN. THE WINDOW WAS
2	COMING DOWN.
3	Q DO YOU KNOW WHO WAS CAUSING THE WINDOW TO
4	COME DOWN?
5	A 1 DON'T KNOW. IT IT WAS GOING DOWN. IT
6	REALLY APPEARED SMOOTHLY AND ON A MECHANIC END IT LOOKED AS
7	THOUGH IT WAS ELECTRIC WINDOWS. SO I DIDN'T THINK ANYBODY WOULD
8	HAVE TO BE CRANKING THEM.
9	MR. FRANZEN: I OBJECT TO THAT AS SPECULATIVE AND
10	CONCLUSIONARY.
11	THE COURT: OVERRULED.
12	
13	BY MR. HARMON:
14	
15	Q MR. HOUCHIN, WERE YOU ABLE TO GET ANYTHING
16	MORE AT THAT POINT THAN THE FIRST THREE LETTERS ON THE LICENSE
17	PLATE?
18	A AT THAT TIME, NO, I WAS I HAD JUST GONE
19	AROUND THE CORNER.
20	Q WHAT DID YOU DO AFTER YOU SAW THE DEFENDANT
21	START TO COME AROUND WITH THE GUN AGAIN?
22	A GOT RIGHT AROUND THE RIGHT AROUND THE
23	CORNER OF CAMBRIDGE AND TWAIN, JUST WHERE I WAS ON TWAIN WEST-
24	BOUND AND STOPPED THE CAR AND AND AT THAT TIME HE WAS PASSING
25	ME THEN.
26	SEE, HE HAD PULLED OUT AND TURNED RIGHT
27	ON TWAIN,
28	Q GOING WESTBOUND ON TWAIN?
29	A WESTBOUND ON TWAIN.
30	Q AFTER THE BLACK CAR, DRIVEN BY THE DEFENDANT
31	IN THE COMPANY OF THE FEMALE YOU'VE JUST DESCRIBED, HAD PASSED
32	YOU?
	11

-385-

1	A un-Huh.	
2	Q WHAT DID YOU DO?	
3	A AFTER HE PASSED, I PROCEEDED TO FOLLOW THEM,	
4	AND AT THAT TIME I GOT THE TAG NUMBER, WROTE IT DOWN, BUT I	
5	CONTINUED TO FOLLOW THEM DOWN TWAIN. AND HE JUST HE DROVE	
6	FROM THE FAR RIGHTHAND LANE WESTBOUND ALL THE WAY ACROSS THE	
7	TURNING LANE AND THEN INTO THE ON-COMING TRAFFIC LANE AND BACK	
8	INTO THE LANES IN WHICH WE WERE GOING WEST 111.	
9	Q HOW WOULD YOU CHARACTERIZE THE MANNER IN	ļ
10	WHICH THE DEFENDANT WAS DRIVING THE BLACK CAR WESTBOUND ON TWAIN	
11	A VERY, VERY ERRATIC; VERY.	
12	. Q WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY "VERY ERRATIC"?	
13	A HE DIDN'T CARE ABOUT HIMSELF OR WHOEVER ELSE	1
14	WAS WITH HIM OR NOTHING. JUST, I MEAN	ļ
15	Q WAS HE GOING IN AND OUT	١
16	A YES.	
17	Q (CONTINUING) ~- OF THE OTHER VEHICLES?	
18	A IN AND OUT, ZIGZAGGING ALL THE WAY BETWEEN	١
19	TRAFFIC, NOT ONLY IN THE LANES IN WHICH WE WERE IN BUT LANES IN	
20	WHICH ON-COMING CARS WERE COMING.	t
21	Q HOW FAR DID YOU FOLLOW THE VEHICLE?	
22	A RIGHT JUST BEFORE THE INTERSECTION OF	
23	PARADISE AND TWAIN.	l
24	Q WHAT HAPPENED THEN?	l
25	A WELL, THE LIGHT WAS RED AND HE JUST KEPT	
26	GOING AND I DIDN'T WANT TO GO THAT FAR.	١
27	Q HE WENT THROUGH THE LIGHT?	l
28	A YES. AND I DIDN'T.	
29	Q AT TWAIN AND PARADISE?	1
30 31	A AND AT THAT TIME I DON'T HAVE ANY IDEA WHIC	ᅦ
32	WAY HE WENT.	
	Q YOU SAID YOU WROTE THE LICENSE NUMBER DOWN?	
	-386-	
	••	

	·	
1	A YES, 1 DID.	
2	Q DID YOU HAVE OCCASION SOMETIME AFTER THIS	
3	INCIDENT TO FILL OUT A REPORT FOR REPRESENTATIVES OF THE LAS	
4	VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT?	
5	A OH, YES. YES, SIR.	
6	Q DID YOU ALSO WRITE DOWN THE LICENSE NUMBER	
7	ON THE STATEMENT YOU PREPARED?	
8	A YES, 1 DID.	
9	Q HAVE YOU HAD OCCASION TO REVIEW THAT STATE-	
10	MENT AGAIN RECENTLY?	
11	A YES, I HAVE.	
12	Q DOES THAT REFRESH YOUR MEMORY AS TO WHAT THE	
13	LICENSE NUMBER WAS THAT YOU WROTE DOWN?	
14	A YES, SIR.	
15	Q WHAT WAS THE LICENSE NUMBER?	
16	A 614 XHQ ZHQ, I'M SORRY.	
17	Q 614 ZHQ?	
18	A YES.	
19	Q WAS THAT A NEVADA LICENSE PLATE?	
20	A NEW YORK.	
21	Q NOW, AT THE TIME YOU OBSERVED THE VEHICLE,	
22	DID YOU ESTABLISH FOR SURE IN YOUR MIND WHAT TYPE OF CAR IT WAS?	
23	A YES. JUST JUST THE BODY STYLES ON THOSE	
24	ARE REAL CLOSE TO IT, BUT AS FAR AS THE PONTIAC GRAND PRIX, IT	
25	COULD HAVE BEEN A BUICK SKYLARK. THEY JUST THEY BOTH HAVE THE	
26	SAME BODY STYLES.	
27	Q DO YOU RECALL WHETHER IN ONE OF THOSE STATE-	
28	MENTS THAT YOU MADE TO THE POLICE YOU ALSO MENTIONED AN OLDSMOBIL	E
29	CUTLASS	
30	A YES, I DID.	:
31	Q (CONTINUING) AS A POSSIBILITY?	
32	A YES, I DID. IN FACT, I BELIEVE I MENTIONED	
	-387-	
l	II .	

1	JUST AN OLDSMOBILE CUTLASS AND JUST A PONTIAC GRAND PRIX.		
2	Q BUT YOU DID FEEL IT WAS A LATE MODEL OLDS		
3	CUTLASS OR PONTIAC GRAND PRIX?		
4	A YES, AT THAT TIME IT WAS.		
5	Q WHAT COLOR WAS THE VEHICLE?		
6	A BLACK.		
7	Q CAN YOU ESTIMATE WHAT TIME IT WAS APPROXI-		
8	MATELY WHEN YOU LOST SIGHT OF THE VEHICLE BEING DRIVEN BY THE		
9	DEFENDANT IN THE AREA OF TWAIN AND PARADISE?		
10	A I WOULD ASSUME ABOUT 12:45. I REALLY DON'T		
11	THINK IT WOULD HAVE TAKEN 15 MINUTES, BUT I'M JUST ASSUMING.		
12	MR. HARMON: MAY WE HAVE THE COURT'S INDULGENCE.		
13	THAT CONCLUDES DIRECT, YOUR HONOR.		
14	THE COURT: CROSS.		
15	MR, FRANZEN: YES.		
16	, and the second		
- 1	 		
17	CROSS EXAMINATION		
17 18	CROSS EXAMINATION		
	CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. FRANZEN:		
18 19 20	BY MR. FRANZEN:		
18 19 20 21	BY MR. FRANZEN: Q SIR, BACK WHEN THIS HAPPENED, DID THE POLICE		
18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. FRANZEN:		
18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. FRANZEN: Q SIR, BACK WHEN THIS HAPPENED, DID THE POLICE EVER ASK YOU TO LOOK AT A NON-SUGGESTIVE LINEUP OF SEVERAL BLACK MEN TO SEE IF YOU COULD PICK THEM OUT?		
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	BY MR. FRANZEN: Q SIR, BACK WHEN THIS HAPPENED, DID THE POLICE EVER ASK YOU TO LOOK AT A NON-SUGGESTIVE LINEUP OF SEVERAL BLACK		
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	BY MR. FRANZEN: Q SIR, BACK WHEN THIS HAPPENED, DID THE POLICE EVER ASK YOU TO LOOK AT A NON-SUGGESTIVE LINEUP OF SEVERAL BLACK MEN TO SEE IF YOU COULD PICK THEM OUT? A NO, THEY DID NOT. Q SO THIS WAS ACTUALLY THE FIRST TIME YOU'VE		
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	BY MR. FRANZEN: Q SIR, BACK WHEN THIS HAPPENED, DID THE POLICE EVER ASK YOU TO LOOK AT A NON-SUGGESTIVE LINEUP OF SEVERAL BLACK MEN TO SEE IF YOU COULD PICK THEM OUT? A NO, THEY DID NOT. Q SO THIS WAS ACTUALLY THE FIRST TIME YOU'VE SEEN THE MAN THAT YOU SAY WAS IN THE AUTOMOBILE TODAY IN COURT		
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	BY MR. FRANZEN: Q SIR, BACK WHEN THIS HAPPENED, DID THE POLICE EVER ASK YOU TO LOOK AT A NON-SUGGESTIVE LINEUP OF SEVERAL BLACK MEN TO SEE IF YOU COULD PICK THEM OUT? A NO, THEY DID NOT. Q SO THIS WAS ACTUALLY THE FIRST TIME YOU'VE		
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	BY MR. FRANZEN: Q SIR, BACK WHEN THIS HAPPENED, DID THE POLICE EVER ASK YOU TO LOOK AT A NON-SUGGESTIVE LINEUP OF SEVERAL BLACK MEN TO SEE IF YOU COULD PICK THEM OUT? A NO, THEY DID NOT. Q SO THIS WAS ACTUALLY THE FIRST TIME YOU'VE SEEN THE MAN THAT YOU SAY WAS IN THE AUTOMOBILE TODAY IN COURT		
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	BY MR. FRANZEN: Q SIR, BACK WHEN THIS HAPPENED, DID THE POLICE EVER ASK YOU TO LOOK AT A NON-SUGGESTIVE LINEUP OF SEVERAL BLACK MEN TO SEE IF YOU COULD PICK THEM OUT? A NO, THEY DID NOT. Q SO THIS WAS ACTUALLY THE FIRST TIME YOU'VE SEEN THE MAN THAT YOU SAY WAS IN THE AUTOMOBILE TODAY IN COURT NEXT TO HIS LAWYER? A PHYSICALLY? Q YES, SIR.		
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	BY MR. FRANZEN: Q SIR, BACK WHEN THIS HAPPENED, DID THE POLICE EVER ASK YOU TO LOOK AT A NON-SUGGESTIVE LINEUP OF SEVERAL BLACK MEN TO SEE IF YOU COULD PICK THEM OUT? A NO, THEY DID NOT. Q SO THIS WAS ACTUALLY THE FIRST TIME YOU'VE SEEN THE MAN THAT YOU SAY WAS IN THE AUTOMOBILE TODAY IN COURT NEXT TO HIS LAWYER? A PHYSICALLY? Q YES, SIR. A I CAN SAY PHYSICALLY IT IS, YES.		
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	BY MR. FRANZEN: Q SIR, BACK WHEN THIS HAPPENED, DID THE POLICE EVER ASK YOU TO LOOK AT A NON-SUGGESTIVE LINEUP OF SEVERAL BLACK MEN TO SEE IF YOU COULD PICK THEM OUT? A NO, THEY DID NOT. Q SO THIS WAS ACTUALLY THE FIRST TIME YOU'VE SEEN THE MAN THAT YOU SAY WAS IN THE AUTOMOBILE TODAY IN COURT NEXT TO HIS LAWYER? A PHYSICALLY? Q YES, SIR. A I CAN SAY PHYSICALLY IT IS, YES. Q YOU SAY PHYSICALLY. WHEN WAS THE LAST TIME		
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	BY MR. FRANZEN: Q SIR, BACK WHEN THIS HAPPENED, DID THE POLICE EVER ASK YOU TO LOOK AT A NON-SUGGESTIVE LINEUP OF SEVERAL BLACK MEN TO SEE IF YOU COULD PICK THEM OUT? A NO, THEY DID NOT. Q SO THIS WAS ACTUALLY THE FIRST TIME YOU'VE SEEN THE MAN THAT YOU SAY WAS IN THE AUTOMOBILE TODAY IN COURT NEXT TO HIS LAWYER? A PHYSICALLY? Q YES, SIR. A I CAN SAY PHYSICALLY IT IS, YES.		

1	A YOU JUST DON'T FORGET AND YOU HAVE DREAM	ıś
2	ABOUT A MAN POINTING A GUN AT YOUR FACE.	
3	Q THAT'S NOT MY QUESTION, SIR.	Ì
4	WHEN DID YOU LAST PHYSICALLY SEE HIM	1?
5	YOU EMPHASIZED IT.	
6	A THE DATE OF THE OF THE INCIDENT.	
7	Q MARCH 26TH, 1980?	
8	1	
9	Q. DO YOU RECALL WHAT TYPE OF CLOTHING THE	MAN
10	WAS WEARING?	
11	A THEY WERE HE WAS WELL DRESSED, BUT T	HAT -
12	I DON'T HAVE ANY IDEA.	
13	Q YOU DON'T RECALL IF HE HAD A JACKET OR	WAS
14	- 11	
15	A AH, NO. JUST WELL DRESSED IS WHAT I CA	и
16	RECALL AT THIS TIME.	
17	1	
18		
19	HAD YOU FORGOTTEN THE LICENSE NUMBER UP UNTIL THE TIME THAT	YOUR
20	· il	
21		
22	Q THAT'S NOT MY QUESTION, SIR.	
23		
24	Q YES, SIR.	
25	5 A NOT IN ITS ENTIRETY.	1
26		
27	1	
28		
29		CEAT
30	1	i
31		17
32	A I I DON'T KNOW.	
	-389-	
	II .	•

H			
1		Q	YOU DON'T KNOW. IT COULD HAVE BEEN BUT
2	MIGHT NOT HAVE	BEEN	TO YOUR
3		A	I DON'T KNOW, SIR.
4		Q	YOU DON'T KNOW?
5		A	YES, SIR.
6		Q	WHAT DO YOU MEAN, SIR, BY A LIGHT COMPLEXION
7	NEGRO?		·
8		Α	I'M NOT YERY WELL VERSED IN THE COMPLEXION
9	OF NEGROES. BU)T 1 -	- ALL I KNOW IS THERE'S DARK AND THERE'S
10	LIGHT, AND HE'S	A DA	RK COMPLECTED NEGRO AND THE LADY THAT WAS IN
11	THE CAR WAS LIG	HT CO	MPLECTED, AS MAYBE MIXED BREED SOMEWHERE.
12	•	Q	HOW ARE YOU EMPLOYED, SIR?
13		A	I'M A MECHANIC.
14		Q	SIR, WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A .38
15	BULLET AND A .	357 MA	GNUM BULLET?
16	,	A	A .357 MAGNUM AND A .38?
17		Q	YES, SIR.
18		A	IT'S THE FACT OF IMPACT. YOU CAN PUT A .38
19	BULLET IN A .3	57 AND	FIRE IT.
20		Q	DOES A .357 HAVE A HARDER IMPACT?
21		A	IT HAS SURE IT HAS A HARDER IMPACT.
22		Q	IT MAKES A BIGGER HOLE?
23		A	YES. IF IT HAD THE RIGHT GRAIN IN IT IT
24	WOULD.		
25	·	Q	WHEN YOU LOOKED AT THE GUN, COULD YOU TELL
26	WHAT COLOR IT	WAS?	WAS IT A BLUE OR SILVER GUN?
27		A	BLUISH BLACK.
28 29		Q	BLUISH BLACK?
30		A	UH-HUH.
31		Q	DID YOU GET A LOOK AT THE GRIPS?
32		A	NO, SIR.
- -		Q	WHEN WERE YOU FIRST CONTACTED TO BE A
			-390-

1	WITNESS HERE TODAY, SIR?
2	A MONDAY EVENING.
3	Q THIS IS LAST MONDAY?
4	A (NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE.)
5	MR. FRANZEN: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.
6	MR. HARMON: NO REDIRECT, YOUR HONOR.
. 7	THE COURT: YOU'RE EXCUSED.
8	(WHEREUPON, THE WITNESS WAS
9	EXCUSED.)
_ 10	THE COURT: CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS.
11	MR. HARMON: MAY WE APPROACH THE BENCH, YOUR HONOR
12	THE COURT: YOU MAY.
13	(WHEREUPON, SIDE BAR CONFERENCE
14	WAS HELD AT THE BENCH; NOT
15	REPORTED.)
16	THE COURT: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE JURY, 1T
17	WILL BE NECESSARY THAT WE TAKE TESTIMONY OUTSIDE OF YOUR PRESENCE
18	SO DURING THIS RECESS YOU
19	ARE ADMONISHED NOT TO CONVERSE
20	AMONG YOURSELVES OR WITH ANYONE
21	ELSE ON ANY SUBJECT CONNECTED
22	WITH THIS TRIAL, OR READ, WATCH
23	OR LISTEN TO ANY REPORT OF OR
24	COMMENTARY ON THIS TRIAL WITH
25	ANY PERSON CONNECTED WITH THIS
26	TRIAL BY ANY MEDIUM OF INFORMA-
27	TION, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITA-
28	TION, NEWSPAPER, TELEVISION OR
29	RADIO OR FORM OR EXPRESS ANY
30	OPINION ON ANY SUBJECT CONNEC-
31	TED WITH THIS TRIAL UNTIL THE
32	CASE IS FINALLY SUBMITTED TO YOU.
	-391-
	li e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e

. . . 1 2

3

6

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

> 26 27

28

29 30

31

32

WE WILL BE IN RECESS PROBABLY FOR ABOUT 20

MINUTES. YOU ARE EXCUSED AND MAY LEAVE THE COURTROOM. WE ARE STILL IN SESSION. YOU ARE EXCUSED AT THIS TIME.

> (WHEREUPON, AT THE HOUR OF 1:50 P.M., THE JURY LEFT THE COURT-ROOM AND THE FOLLOWING PROCEED-: INGS WERE HAD OUTSIDE OF THEIR PRESENCE.)

THE COURT: OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY, COUNSEL, PROCEED.

MR. HARMON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

MR. SEATON: YOUR HONOR, THE STATE WISHES NOW TO

CALL DETECTIVE JOE MCGUCKIN TO THE STAND FOR THE PURPOSE OF SHOW-ING THAT THE AUTOMOBILE FROM WHICH THE LEATHER JACKET, BEING STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 44, THAT THAT JACKET WAS TAKEN FROM AN AUTOMOBILE WHICH HAD BEEN STOLEN AND THE AUTOMOBILE WAS IN DOWNEY, CALIFORNIA, A FEW DAYS AFTER THE INCIDENTS IN QUESTION IN THIS TRIAL. THE DETECTIVE WILL BE ABLE TO INDICATE TO THE COURT THAT HE HAD DISCUSSIONS WITH THE DETECTIVE HANDLING THE INVESTI-GATIONS OF SAMUEL HOWARD IN THE NEW YORK CITY AREA, THAT DETEC-TIVE TOLD HIM THAT THE CAR WAS IN FACT STOLEN A COUPLE OF WEEKS PRIOR TO THIS TIME. THE CAR HAD BEEN RENTED BY A HAROLD STANBACK. THE OWNER OF THE USED -- THE RENTAL AGENCY WENT AND REPOSSESSED THE CAR -- MY NOTES MAY NOT BE EXACTLY CORRECT AS TO THE DATES, BUT THIS WOULD BE APPROXIMATE -- HE REPOSSESSED THE CAR ON MARCH THE 23RD OF 1980. ON THAT EVENING, ON MARCH THE 23RD, THE CAR WAS STOLEN BY WHOM THE OWNER DOES NOT KNOW. THAT INFORMATION WAS RELAYED TO DETECTIVE MCGUCKIN, WHO IN TURN, RELAYED IT TO DETECTIVE LEAVITT PRIOR TO DETECTIVE LEAVITT GOING TO DOWNEY,

-392-

THE DEFENDANT. AND IT WAS SUBSEQUENT TO THAT INTERVIEW THAT DETECTIVE LEAVITT SEARCHED THE CAR AND FOUND THE ITEMS THAT ARE

CALIFORNIA, ON APRIL THE 2ND, 1980, AT WHICH TIME HE INTERVIEWED

1	CONTAINED IN THE STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT.
2	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
3	IS HE GOING TO TESTIFY TO THIS, COUNSEL?
4	MR. SEATON: PARDON ME?
5	THE COURT: IS THE DETECTIVE GOING TO TESTIFY ON
6	THIS?
7	MR. SEATON: YES, HE IS.
8	THE COURT: COUNSEL, YOU HAD CITED TO ME A CASE
9	THAT YOU THOUGHT MIGHT BE RIVAL TO THIS ISSUE.
10	MR. FRANZEN: YES, YOUR HONOR. I'M SORRY, I DON'
11	HAVE THE CASE WITH ME. IT'S WHITLEY VERSUS WARDEN.
12	THE COURT: WHITLEY?
13	MR. FRANZEN: W+H-I-T-L-E-Y.
14	THE COURT: EXCUSE ME. WHAT IS THE SPELLING OF
15	THE OTHER?
16	MR. FRANZEN: AS IN WARDEN OF THE PRISON, YOUR
17	HONOR.
18	THE COURT: WARDEN?
19	MR. FRANZEN: YES, SIR.
20	THE COURT: VERSUS
21	MR. COOPER: EXCUSE ME, YOUR HONOR, IT'S WHITLEY,
22	YOUR HONOR, W-H-I-T-L-E-Y, I THINK IS THE SPELLING, VERSUS
23	WARDEN, AS IN PRISON WARDEN.
24	THE COURT: WHAT YEAR IS THAT, DO YOU KNOW APPROX
25	IMATELY?
26	MR. FRANZEN: I FOR
27	THE COURT: IN THE LAST FIVE YEARS?
28	MR. HARMON: IT'S OLDER THAN THAT, YOUR HONOR.
29	IT'S AT LEAST TEN YEARS.
30	THE COURT: TEN YEARS?
31	MR. FRANZEN: IT'S A UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
32	DECISION, YOUR HONOR.
•	-393-
	II .

[.	
1	I DIDN'T REALIZE THAT THIS WAS GOING TO
2	COME UP AT THE MOMENT. IF I COULD HAVE A FEW MOMENTS DURING THE
3	RECESS I COULD BRING BACK TO THE COURT THE CITATION.
4	THE COURT: WHO IS GOING TO BE DOING THE EXAMINA-
5	TION ON THIS?
6	MR. SEATON: I WILL DO THE EXAMINATION OF
7	DETECTIVE MCGUCKIN.
8	THE COURT: WHO WILL BE DOING CROSS?
9	MR. FRANZEN: I WILL, YOUR HONOR.
10	THE COURT: WELL THEN, WHY DON'T WE ALLOW YOU TO
11	EXCUSE YOURSELF AND CALL AND SEE IF YOU CAN GET ME A COPY OF THE
12	CASE WHILE THIS IS GOING ON. IT WILL JUST TAKE A FEW MINUTES TO
13	CALL.
14	MR. BAILIFF FIRST OF ALL, LET'S GET
15	THE WITNESS IN HERE. WHO IS THE NEXT WITNESS AGAIN?
16	MR. SEATON: DETECTIVE JOE MCGUCKIN.
17	MR. COOPER: MAY I BE EXCUSED, YOUR HONOR?
18	THE COURT: YOU MAY.
19	THE CLERK: PLEASE RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND.
20	
21	WHEREUPON,
22	
23	JOSEPH P. MCGUCKIN,
24	
25	CALLED AS A WITNESS HEREIN BY THE PLAINTIFF WAS FIRST DULY SWORN,
26	EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
27	7
28	THE COURT: PROCEED.
29	••
30	••
31	••
32	••

-394-

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SEATON:

Q PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND SPELL YOUR LAST NAME FOR THE RECORD.

A MCGUCKIN, M-C- CAP G-U-C-K-I-N, JOSEPH P.

Q HOW ARE YOU EMPLOYED?

A HOMICIDE DETECTIVE, LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN

POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Q HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN SO EMPLOYED?

A I WAS COMMISSIONED WITH THE CLARK COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE IN NOVEMBER OF '68, RECOMMISSIONED WITH METRO POLICE IN JULY OF '73.

Q IN MARCH OF 1960 DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH A PENDING CASE AGAINST A SAMUEL HOWARD?

A YES, SIR.

Q HOW DID YOU FIRST BECOME AWARE OF THAT

PARTICULAR CASE?

A DURING THE EARLY AFTERNOON HOURS OF THE 27TH,
MY PARTNER AT THE TIME, DETECTIVE AL LEAVITT AND MYSELF, WENT TO
THE RESIDENCE OF DR. GEORGE MONAHAN AND OBTAINED A MISSING PERSONS
REPORT FROM HIS WIFE.

Q WAS THERE ANOTHER INCIDENT SIMULTANEOUSLY TAKING PLACE THAT YOU WERE AWARE OF, ANOTHER CASE REGARDING THE SAME DEFENDANT.

THERE WAS A CASE EARLIER. I BELIEVE IT WAS

Q AND WHAT DID THAT HAVE TO DO WITH THIS?

A THAT INVOLVED AN INCIDENT AT THE SEARS

ROEBUCK STORE AT THE MARYLAND PARKWAY STORE.

AND WHO WAS THE DETECTIVE IN CHARGE OF THAT

-395-

- 11	
1	CASE?
2	A I BELIEVE IT WAS DETECTIVE CHARLES GORDON.
3	Q AND DID YOU AND DETECTIVE GORDON HAVE AN
4	OPPORTUNITY TO DISCUSS THE TWO CASES?
5	A YES. THAT OCCURRED ON THE MORNING OF THE
- 6 ∥	28TH OF MARCH.
7	Q AND WHAT DID YOU LEARN FROM DETECTIVE GORDON
В	AT THAT TIME?
9	A THAT AS A RESULT OF THE INITIAL INCIDENT AT
10	SEARS, THE SUSPECT HAD FLED THE AREA IN A VEHICLE WHICH WAS
11	INVOLVED IN A TRAFFIC ACCIDENT. DUE TO THE TRAFFIC ACCIDENT, A
12	LICENSE PLATE WAS OBTAINED.
13	Q DO YOU
14	A DETECTIVE GORDON THEN ADVISED ME OF THE
15	CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE VEHICLE DESCRIPTION OF THE VEHICLE.
16	Q DID YOU MAKE A NOTE OF THAT LICENSE PLATE
17	NUMBER?
18	A IT WASN'T SO MUCH THAT I MADE A HOTE OF IT.
19	HE GAVE ME A COPY OF A TELETYPE, WHICH WAS AN INQUIRY TO AN NCIC
20	ON THIS LICENSE PLATE.
21	Q AND WHERE WAS THAT LICENSE PLATE FROM?
22	A NEW YORK.
23	Q AND DO YOU KNOW THE LICENSE NUMBER AT THIS
24	TIME?
25	A 614, AND I DON'T RECALL THE LAST THREE LETTERS
26	IN THE SEQUENCE THAT THEY OCCUR.
27	Q DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING WITH YOU TO REFRESH
28	YOUR MEMORY? .
29	A YES.
30	Q COULD I SEE IT PLEASE.
31	A YEAH.
32	Q WOULD YOU TELL ME WHAT IT IS THAT YOU ARE
	-396-

			,
1	REFERRING TO?		
2		A	THIS IS WHAT WE REFER TO AS A NCIC HIT ON
3	A STOLEN VEHICE	E.	
4		Q	AND
5		MR. F	RANZEN: YOUR HONOR, COULD I SEE THIS? YOUR
6	HONOR, I CAN'T	SEE O	VER THE LAP OF THE WITNESS.
. 7			÷
8	BY MR. SEATON:		
9			
10		Q	WHERE DID YOU GET THIS NCIC HIT FROM?
11		A	FROM DETECTIVE GORDON.
12		Q	AND DOES IT CONTAIN THE LICENSE NUMBER OF
13	THE VEHICLE IN	QUEST	10N?
14		Α	YES, IT DOES.
15		Q	WHAT WAS THE MAKE AND MODEL OF THE VEHICLE,
16	IF YOU KNOW?		
17		A	IT WAS A 1980 OLDSMOBILE CUTLASS, TWO-DOOR.
18		Q	DO YOU KNOW THE COLOR?
19		A	BLACK.
20		Q	WOULD YOU LOOK OVER THE NCIC HIT AND JUST
21	READ IT TO YOUR	RSELF.	
22		Α	YEAH.
23		Q	DDES READING THAT REFRESH YOUR MEMORY AS TO
24	THE LICENSE NUM	1BER?	
25		A	YES.
26		Q	WOULD YOU PLEASE TELL US WHAT IT IS?
27		A	614 ZERO HENRY QUEEN.
28	·	Q	IS THAT ZHQ?
29		Α	RIGHT.
30		Q	SUBSEQUENT TO YOUR DISCUSSIONS WITH DETEC-
31	TIVE GORDON, WH		
32	1	Α	HE EVENTUALLY CONTACTED A DETECTIVE ARRIOLD
			-397-
- 1			

- 11	
1	HENDRIX OF THE NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT, IN THE QUEENS
2	PRECINCT STATION.
3	Q DID YOU CALL HIM?
4	A YES.
5	Q AND WHAT DID HE TELL YOU?
6	A BASICALLY THAT THE VEHICLE HAD BEEN RENTED
. 7	FROM W.H.R. AUTO RENTAL ON MARCH THE 12TH, 1980. THE VEHICLE
8	HAD BEEN RENTED FROM A WILLIAM REYNOLDS.
9	Q WAS
10	MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, FOR THE RECORD 1 THINK
11	AT THIS POINT I WOULD MAKE AN OBJECTION ON HEARSAY GROUNDS.
12	THE COURT: I WILL NOTE THE OBJECTION.
13	YOU MAY PROCEED, COUNSEL.
14	MR. SEATON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
15	
16	BY MR. SEATON:
17	
18	Q WOULD YOU STATE THE NAME OF THE INDIVIDUAL
19	AGAIN?
20	A WILLIAM REYNOLDS.
- 1	
21	Q WAS MR. REYNOLDS THE OWNER OF THE RENTAL
21 22	Q WAS MR. REYNOLDS THE OWNER OF THE RENTAL AGENCY?
	AGENCY?
22	AGENCY? A YES. Q AND BY WHOM WAS THE AUTOMOBILE RENTED?
22 23	AGENCY?
22 23 24	AGENCY? A YES. Q AND BY WHOM WAS THE AUTOMOBILE RENTED? A BY A PERSON THAT IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS HAROLD STANBACK.
22 23 24 25	AGENCY? A YES. Q AND BY WHOM WAS THE AUTOMOBILE RENTED? A BY A PERSON THAT IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS
22 23 24 25 26	AGENCY? A YES. Q AND BY WHOM WAS THE AUTOMOBILE RENTED? A BY A PERSON THAT IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS HAROLD STANBACK. Q AND DID MR. WILLIAMS (SIC) RECEIVE THE CAR BACK?
22 23 24 25 26 27	AGENCY? A YES. Q AND BY WHOM WAS THE AUTOMOBILE RENTED? A BY A PERSON THAT IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS HAROLD STANBACK. Q AND DID MR. WILLIAMS (SIC) RECEIVE THE CAR BACK? A YES. ONCE HE HAD OBTAINED INFORMATION AS
22 23 24 25 26 27 28	A YES. Q AND BY WHOM WAS THE AUTOMOBILE RENTED? A BY A PERSON THAT IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS HAROLD STANBACK. Q AND DID MR. WILLIAMS (SIC) RECEIVE THE CAR BACK? A YES. ONCE HE HAD OBTAINED INFORMATION AS TO HAROLD STANBACK, THE PERSON THAT RENTED THE VEHICLE WAS NOT
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	A YES. Q AND BY WHOM WAS THE AUTOMOBILE RENTED? A BY A PERSON THAT IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS HAROLD STANBACK. Q AND DID MR. WILLIAMS (SIC) RECEIVE THE CAR BACK? A YES. ONCE HE HAD OBTAINED INFORMATION AS TO HAROLD STANBACK, THE PERSON THAT RENTED THE VEHICLE WAS NOT WHO HE SAID HE WAS, HE ATTEMPTED TO LOCATE THE VEHICLE ON HIS
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	A YES. Q AND BY WHOM WAS THE AUTOMOBILE RENTED? A BY A PERSON THAT IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS HAROLD STANBACK. Q AND DID MR. WILLIAMS (SIC) RECEIVE THE CAR BACK? A YES. ONCE HE HAD OBTAINED INFORMATION AS TO HAROLD STANBACK, THE PERSON THAT RENTED THE VEHICLE WAS NOT

- 11	t ,
1	WAS IN NEW YORK CITY.
2	Q THE "HE" YOU ARE REFERRING TO 15
3	A THAT'S MR, REYNOLDS.
4	Q (CONTINUING) MR. REYNOLDS?
5	A I THINK I SAID MR. WILLIAMS BEFORE, BUT I
6	MEANT MR. REYNOLDS.
7	MR. REYNOLDS LOCATED HIS VEHICLE ON OR
8	ABOUT THE 23RD OF MARCH, 1980.
9	Q AND WHAT DID HE DO WITH IT AT THAT TIME?
10	A HE BROUGHT IT BACK TO HIS AUTO RENTAL AGENCY
11	LOT.
12	Q WAS THAT IN THE FORM OF A REPOSSESSION?
13	A YES, SIR. BASICALLY, YES.
14	DURING THE EVENING HOURS AFTER CLOSING
15	ON THE 23RD OR THE MORNING OF THE 24TH, SOMEONE HAD REMOVED THE
16	VEHICLE FROM THE CAR RENTAL AGENCY. MR. REYNOLDS THEN CONTACTED
17	THE NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT AND REPORTED THE THEFT OF
18	THE VEHICLE, AND I BELIEVE HE USED THE POSSIBILITY OF THE NAME OF
19	A SUSPECT AS HAROLD STANBACK.
20	Q WOULD YOU GIVE US THE DATE AGAIN AND THE
21	MONTH MR. REYNOLDS DETERMINED THAT THE CAR WAS MISSING?
22	A IT WOULD HAVE BEEN MARCH 23RD, THE EVENING
23	OF THE 23RD, OR THE EARLY MORNING HOURS OF THE 24TH.
24	Q OF 1980?
25	A OF 1980.
26	Q THAT WAS THE SAME DAY OR THE NEXT MORNING
27	THAT HE HAD REPOSSESSED THE CAR?
28	A YES.
29	MR. FRANZEN: SORRY. WHICH WAS IT?
30	THE COURT: COUNSEL, YOU WILL HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY
31	ON CROSS TO FIND THAT OUT.
32	MR. FRANZEN: I WOULD OBJECT THEN, YOUR HONOR, TO
	-399-

1	THE COMPOUND NATURE OF THE QUESTION, BECAUSE I CAN'T COMPREHEND
2	THE ANSWER. YES TO WHICH PART OF IT?
3	THE COURT: OVERRULED.
4	PROCEED.
5	
6	BY MR. SEATON:
7	
8	Q DID MR. REYNOLDS TURN IN A STOLEN VEHICLE
9	REPORT?
10	A YES, HE DID.
11	Q TO WHOM?
12	A TO THE NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT.
13	Q TO THE SAME DETECTIVE TO WHOM YOU WERE
14	SPEAKING?
15	A I DON'T KNOW IF IT WAS HE HIMSELF THAT TOOK
16	THE REPORT. I DOUBT IT.
17	Q WAS HE AWARE OF 1T?
18	A HE WAS AWARE OF IT, YES.
19	Q AND HE PASSED THAT INFORMATION ON TO YOU?
20	·····A YES.
21	Q TO HIS KNOWLEDGE WAS HE ABLE TO TELL YOU
22	WHETHER OR NOT MR. REYNOLDS EVER RETURNED RECEIVED THE CAR
23	BACK PRIOR TO THE 2ND OF APRIL, 1980?
24	A NO. IN CONVERSATION HE HAD, OR I HAD WITH
25	HIM LATER, HE TOLD ME THAT THE VEHICLE WHICH I HAD ALREADY KNOWN,
26	THE VEHICLE HAD BEEN RECOVERED IN SAN BERNARDING, CALIFORNIA,
27	SOMEWHERE AROUND APRIL THE 1ST OR 2ND.
28	Q DID YOU EVER HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO TALK TO
29	DETECTIVE LEAVITT REGARDING THE INFORMATION WHICH YOU HAVE JUST
30	TOLD US?
31	A YES.
32	Q AND WHEN DID YOU TALK TO HIM ABOUT THAT
	-400-
	i

INFORMATION? 1 SOON AFTER I OBTAINED THE INFORMATION. 2 I'M SORRY. WOULD YOU GIVE THAT ANSWER AGAIN 3 SOON AFTER I OBTAINED THE INFORMATION. MR. SEATON: MAY WE HAVE THIS MARKED, YOUR HONOR? 5 THE COURT: IT MAY BE MARKED. MR. SEATON: AS THE STATE'S NEXT IN ORDER. MR. FRANZEN: WHAT NUMBER WOULD THAT BE? 8 THE COURT: WHAT'S THE NUMBER AGAIN? ... 9 10 THE CLERK: FORTY NINE. 11 12 BY MR. SEATON: 13 14 SHOWING YOU NOW WHAT HAS BEEN MARKED AS STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 49, IS THIS THE NCIC HIT WHICH YOU'VE 15 BEEN REFERRING TO THROUGHOUT YOUR TESTIMONY? 16 YES, IT IS. 17 18 CAN YOU EXPLAIN A LITTLE BIT ABOUT AN NCIC 0 HIT, WHAT THAT IS? 19 AN NCIC IS NATIONAL CRIME INFORMATION CENTER 20 21 THE POLICE AGENCY, SUCH AS METRO, HAVING A LICENSE PLATE OF A 22 VEHICLE THAT THEY WANT TO RUN FOR LOSS, STOLEN, OR WHATEVER, THEY 23 RUN IT THROUGH NCIC WITH THE APPLICABLE CODE. IN THIS PARTICULAR 24 INSTANCE, A HIT WAS RECEIVED. IN OTHERWORDS, THERE WAS A REPORTED 25 STOLEN AUTO VEHICLE, AND IT DESCRIBES WHICH AGENCY ENTERED THE 26 VEHICLE INTO NCIC. 27 AND IN THIS CASE, WHICH AGENCY DID ENTER IT? 28 IT WOULD HAVE BEEN THE NEW YORK CITY POLICE 29 DEPARTMENT. 30 I SEE. 31 THE LICENSE NUMBER IS NOTED, THE LICENSING 32 STATE, THE LICENSING YEAR, AND THE TYPE OF VEHICLE WHICH HAS BEEN

-401-

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

31

32

REPORTED. THERE'S ALSO THE VIN NUMBER, VEHICLE IDENTIFICATION NUMBER, WHICH IS LISTED. THEN IT GIVES THE DESCRIPTION OF THE VEHICLE ITSELF: VEHICLE MAKE, OLDSMOBILE; VEHICLE MODEL, CUTLASS THAT IT'S A TWO-DOOR SEDAN; AND THE VEHICLE COLOR, BLACK. IT ALSO LISTS THE DATE OF THE THEFT, 24-80; AND ALSO INCLUDES THE CASE NUMBER OR THE CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT CASE NUMBER, THE AGENCY CASE NUMBER. THE NEW YORK POLICE CASE NUMBER? Q. YES. THEN IT ADVISES OF THE NCIC ENTRY NUMBER, WHICH IS MAINTAINED BY THE NCIC ITSELF. THEN THE AGENCY, 11 OR ORI IN THIS CASE, IS IDENTIFIED AS A NEW YORK CITY POLICE 12 DEPARTMENT. THEN THE SECOND PART OF THE MESSAGE IS THE LEGAL 13 ALDER OR THE PERSON OR THE AGENCY, WHATEVER, THAT REPORTED THE 14 THEFT, WHICH IS W.H.R. AUTO RENTALS, 4122 220TH STREET, BAY SIDE, 15 NEW YORK. 16 AND ALL OF THE THINGS THAT YOU HAVE JUST 17 Q TESTIFIED TO, WITH REGARD TO THIS 1980 OLDS CUTLASS THAT WE'VE 18 BEEN REFERRING TO, IS ALL OF THAT INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS 19 NCIC HIT? 20 YES, IT IS. 21 NOW, YOU INDICATED I BELIEVE THAT DETECTIVE 22 Q LEAVITT AND YOU WERE PARTNERS AT THIS TIME. 23 24 YES. WHO WAS THE, IF I MAY, THE LEAD DETECTIVE ON 25 26 THE SAMUEL HOWARD CASE? DETECTIVE LEAVITT. 27 AND THIS NCIC HIT, WHICH HAS BEEN MARKED AS 28 STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 49, WAS THAT IN THE FILE THAT YOU KEPT, 29 30 THE DETECTIVE FILE?

YES.

-402-

Q

AND DID DETECTIVE LEAVITT HAVE ACCESS TO

THAT FILE? ı YES, HE DID. 2 AND DO YOU KNOW AS A MATTER OF FACT WHETHER 3 OR NOT DETECTIVE LEAVITT HAS EVER SEEN STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 4 5 49? I WOULD IMAGINE HE HAS. 6 MR. FRANZEN: OBJECTION, SPECULATION, YOUR HONOR. 7 WE ARE NOT PROBABLE CAUSING ON HEARSAY. WE ARE GOING ON WHAT HE 8 KNOWS. 9 MR. SEATON: I CAN ASK IT A DIFFERENT WAY, YOUR 10 HONOR. 11 THE COURT: PROCEED. 12 13 BY MR. SEATON: 14 15 HAVE YOU HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO DISCUSS WITH 16 DETECTIVE LEAVITY IN THE PAST, THE INFORMATION THAT IS CONTAINED 17 IN THE NOIC HIT, WHICH IS NOTED AS STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 49? 18 19 MR. FRANZEN: COULD I HAVE A FOUNDATION, YOUR 20 21 HONOR, AS TO WHEN? WHERE? 22 THE COURT: COUNSEL. 23 24 BY MR. SEATON: 25 26 CAN YOU TELL US WHEN THIS CONVERSATION WOULD 27 HAVE TAKEN PLACE? THE ORIGINAL CONVERSATION I HAD WITH DETECTIVE 28 29 GORDON, WHEN HE BROUGHT IT TO MY ATTENTION, WAS ON THE MORNING OF THE 28TH, SOMETIME BETWEEN SEVEN AND EIGHT. DETECTIVE LEAVITT 31 ARRIVES IN THE OFFICE AT APPROXIMATELY 9:00 A.M., SO AT THAT TIME 32 I PROBABLY WOULD HAVE MADE HIM AWARE OF 1T.

-403-

1	Q WHAT IS THE DATE OF THE PRINTOUT ON THIS
2	STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 49? WHEN DID YOU RECEIVE 1T?
3	A THIS I DIDN'T MAKE THE REQUEST. THIS WAS
4	PROBABLY MADE EITHER BY COMMUNICATIONS OR DETECTIVE GORDON HIM-
5	SELF. THE REQUEST WAS MADE ON 3-27-80 AT 1522 HOURS, 22 MINUTES
6	PAST THREE P.M.
7	. Q DOES IT INDICATE WHEN IT CAME BACK?
8	A THIS TIME AND DATE THAT I GAVE YOU IS THE
9	DATE THAT THE MACHINE PRINTED IT.
10	Q IN LAS VEGAS?
11	A IN LAS VEGAS.
12	Q I SEE. THANK YOU.
13	MR. FRANZEN: I DIDN'T CATCH THAT DATE.
14	
15	BY MR. SEATON:
16	
17	Q WOULD YOU REPEAT THAT THEN FOR COUNSEL?
18	A MARCH 27TH, 1980, 1522 HOURS IS THE TIME
19	THAT IT WAS RECEIVED IN LAS VEGAS ACROSS THE COMPUTER.
20	Q MARCH 27TH.
21	WHEN DID YOU HAVE THE DISCUSSION REGARD-
22	ING THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 49 WITH
23	DETECTIVE LEAVITT?
24	A THE FOLLOWING MORNING APPROXIMATELY 9:00 A.M
25	Q AND WHAT DID YOU LET ME ASK YOU THIS:
26	DID YOU TELL HIM ALL OF THE INFORMATION THAT IS CONTAINED ON THAT
27	NCIC HIT?
28	A I DON'T SPECIFICALLY REMEMBER HANDING HIM
29	THAT, BUT I WOULD IMAGINE I DID SO THAT HE COULD READ IT HIMSELF.
30	Q AND DO YOU KNOW WHEN IT WAS THAT DETECTIVE
31	LEAVITT WENT TO DOWNEY, CALIFORNIA, TO FURTHER INVESTIGATE THIS
32	CASE?
	-404-

I BELIEVE IT WAS APRIL 1ST OR 2ND, 1980. 1 2 MR. SEATON: I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS, YOUR 3 HONOR, BUT I WOULD OFFER STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 49 FOR THE PURPOSES OF THIS HEARING AT THIS TIME, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION, COUNSEL? 6 MR. FRANZEN: NO. 7 THE COURT: SAME WILL BE RECEIVED. 8 CROSS7 9 MR. FRANZEN: YES, SIR. 10 11 CROSS EXAMINATION 12 13 BY MR. FRANZEN: 14 15 DETECTIVE MCGUCKIN, YOU SAID THAT MR. 16 REYNOLDS EFFECTED A REPOSSESSION IN THE NATURE OF A REPOSSESSION. 17 WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY THAT, SIR? 18 IN OTHERWORDS, HE WENT OUT AND FOUND HIS OWN 19 VEHICLE. 20 HE DIDN'T USE COURT PROCESS THEN? 21 NO. I DON'T KNOW. ALL I KNOW IS WHAT I 22 WAS TOLD BY DETECTIVE HENDRIX THAT THE VEHICLE WAS LOCATED BY 23 MR, REYNOLDS. JUST EXACTLY HOW HE ACCOMPLISHED THAT I DON'T 24 KNOW. 25 Q 0.K. 26 WHEN THE FIRST RENTAL OCCURRED ON 3-12-80, 27 DO YOU KNOW THE PERIOD OF TIME THAT RENTAL WAS GOOD FOR? 28 I HAVE NO 1DEA. 29 WHICH MEANS IT COULD HAVE BEEN FOR ONE DAY, 30 IT COULD HAVE BEEN FOR A YEAR OR MORE. YOU JUST DON'T KNOW. 31 I DON'T KNOW. 32 AND YOU DON'T KNOW IF, ON WHATEVER DATE IT Q -405-

i	
1	WAS THAT HE IN THE NATURE OF EFFECT WHAT WAS IN THE NATURE OF
2	A REPOSSESSION, WHETHER THAT WAS COVERED WITHIN THE RENTAL
3	PERIOD?
4	A I HAVE
5	Q YOU JUST DON'T KNOW?
6	A AGAIN, I HAVE NO IDEA.
7	Q AND YOU DON'T KNOW WHETHER STRIKE THAT.
8	DID YOU EVER TALK WITH MR. REYNOLDS?
9	A NO, I HAVE NOT.
10	. Q DO YOU KNOW WHERE THIS VEHICLE IS NOW?
11	A NO.
12	Q NOW, YOU SAY THAT YOU DON'T REMEMBER GIVING
13	DETECTIVE LEAVITT STATE'S EXHIBIT 49, BUT YOU THINK YOU DID, I
14	GEL1EVE?
15	À THAT'S CORRECT.
16	Q BUT YOU'RE NOT SURE?
17	A I OON'T SPECIFICALLY REMEMBER HANDING IT TO
18	нім.
19	Q DO YOU KNOW THE NAME OF THIS RENTAL AGENCY
20	THAT MR. REYNOLDS IS ASSOCIATED WITH?
21	A YES. IT'S A COMBINATION OF HIS INITIALS.
22	Q WHAT IS IT, SIR?
23	A W.H.R. AUTO RENTAL.
24	Q IS THAT ON THIS HIT, STATE'S 49?
25	A YES, AT THE BOTTOM.
26	Q YOU DIDN'T GET THAT INFORMATION THEN FROM
27	EITHER THE QUEENS DETECTIVE OR MR. REYNOLDS, FOR WHOM YOU SPOKE?
28	THIS ALL CAME FROM THE HIT, EXHIBIT 49?
29	A WHEN I SPOKE WITH DETECTIVE HENDRIX HE CON-
30 31	FIRMED WHAT WAS ON IT.
32	MR. FRANZEN: I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.
. J.	MR. SEATON: COURT'S INDULGENCE.
	-406-

2 HONOR.

1

THE STATE HAS NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR

THE COURT: YOU'RE EXCUSED, SIR.

THE WITNESS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

(WHEREUPON, THE WITNESS WAS

EXCUSED.)

THE COURT: ANY FURTHER WITNESSES ON THIS MOTION,

COUNSEL?

MR. SEATON: NO, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: ARGUMENT?

MR. SEATON: YOUR HONOR, IT'S THE STATE'S POSITION NOW. IN LIGHT OF THE EVIDENCE THAT HAS BEEN PRESENTED, THAT THE CAR WAS STOLEN ON APRIL THE 2ND, 1980. AND I SHOULD REFER MOMENTARILY TO STATE'S PROPOSED 44, WHICH, FOR THE RECORD, SHOWS THAT AL LEAVITT BOOKED THE ITEMS IN ON APRIL THE 5TH, 1980. PRIOR TO THOSE DATES THE CAR HAS BEEN RENTED BY A PERSON UNDER THE NAME OF HAROLD STANBACK. WHEN MR. REYNOLDS FOUND OUT THAT WAS AN IMPROPER NAME, HE WENT AND REPOSSESSED THE AUTO. IT HAS NO BEARING WHATSOEVER ON THIS AS TO WHETHER OR NOT THE DEFENDANT WAS EVEN A PART OF THIS TRANSACTION. THE FACT OF THE MATTER IS THAT MR. REYNOLDS WENT AND REPOSSESSED HIS AUTOMOBILE AND WHETHER OR NOT THAT WAS DONE LEGITIMATELY. IT WAS TAKEN FROM HIS POSSES-SION FROM THE CAR LOT ON THE NIGHT OF APRIL -- OF MARCH 23RD, 1980, OR THE MORNING HOURS OF MARCH 24TH. THE SEARCH TOOK PLACE DOWN IN -- OR NOT THE SEARCH, IT WASN'T A SEARCH. THE RECOVERY TOOK PLACE IN DOWNEY, CALIFORNIA, IN APRIL, AFTER THE DEFENDANT WAS LOCATED THERE. SO IT'S SIMPLY THE STATE'S CONTENTION THAT

I WOULD LIKE TO CITE THE CASE OF GEBERT,
G-E-B-E-R-T, VERSUS THE STATE OF NEVADA. I'M SORRY BUT I DON'T
HAVE A CITE. 1T WAS FILED MAY 27TH, 1969. GEBERT IS A CASE

ING TO OBJECT TO THE SEARCH.

THIS WAS A STOLEN AUTOMOBILE AND THAT THE DEFENDANT HAS NO STAND-

-407-

30

31

WHERE THE DEFENDANT HAD ITEMS IN AN AUTOMOBILE WITH HIM AND THEY WERE SEIZED. IT WAS UPON THAT THAT PART OF THE CONVICTION WAS BASED. AND THE COURT, AND I'M NOT GOING TO QUOTE HERE, SAID THAT IN ORDER TO HAVE THE RIGHT TO CLAIM AN UNLAWFUL INVASION OF PRIVACY, WE SET IN DEAN VERSUS FOGIANI, THE PERSON MAKING THE CLAIM, AND I'LL GD DOWN TO THREE, MUST BE ANYONE WHO IS LEGITIMATELY ON THE PREMISES WHERE A SEARCH OCCURS AND THE FRUITS OF THE SEARCH ARE PROPOSED TO BE USED AGAINST HIM. IN GEBERT, THE APPELLATE MET THE FIRST TWO REQUIREMENTS, WHICH THE DEFENDANT PROBABLY DOES IN THIS CASE ALSO. BUT THE COURT WENT ON TO SAY AS FOLLOWS THAT THIS COURT HELD THAT SINCE THE CAR IN WHICH THE DEFENDANT WAS RIDING WAS A STOLEN CAR WITHIN THE HOLDING OF JONES VERSUS U.S. SUPRA HARPER WAS ONE OF THE CLASS WHO, QUOTE, "BY VIRTUE OF THEIR WRONGFUL PRESENCE CANNOT INVOKE THE PRIVACY OF THE PREMISE SEARCHED."

SO, GEBERT TELLS US IN 1969, AND I DON'T KNOW THAT THE LAW HAS CHANGED SINCE THAT TIME, THAT A DEFENDANT THAT HAS ITEMS IN A STOLEN CAR AND ULTIMATELY HAS THEM SEIZED BY THE POLICE HAS NO STANDING TO OBJECT, BECAUSE THAT CAR WAS NOT LEGITIMATELY HIS. IT WAS SOMEONE ELSE'S. THEREFORE, THE POLICE, AT LEAST AS THIS DEFENDANT IS CONCERNED, ARE AT LIBERTY TO GO INTO THAT CAR AND TAKE A LOOK AT IT. SOMEONE ELSE MAY HAVE THE STANDING TO OBJECT, BUT NOT THIS PARTICULAR DEFENDANT.

FURTHER, ALTHOUGH IT ISN'T IN FRONT OF THE COURT YET, I CAN MAKE AN OFFER OF PROOF AND A REPRESENTATION TO THE COURT THAT THE ITEMS THAT WE PROPOSE TO SHOW -- AND WITHOUT GOING INTO THE BAG, I CANNOT STATE THIS FOR SURE, BUT I THINK IT'S ONLY A LEATHER JACKET -- THAT ITEM AT LEAST AND THE OTHER ITEMS IN THE BAG, EXHIBIT 44, WERE FOUND IN THE BACKSEAT OF THE AUTOMOBILE, NOT IN THE TRUNK OF THE CAR. THAT BEING THE CASE, WE WOULD ALSO BE ABLE TO CITE THE HARPER CASE, HARPER VERSUS THE STATE OF NEVADA, 84 NEV. 233, A 1968 NEVADA SUPREME

-408-

COURT CASE. IT'S ONE OF A LONG LINE OF CASES WHICH INDICATES
THAT EVIDENCE WHICH IS IN PLAIN VIEW MAY BE RIGHTFULLY SEIZED
BY THE POLICE, AND BEING IN THE BACKSEAT OF THE CAR THAT WILL BE
FOUND TO BE THE CASE IN THIS PARTICULAR SITUATION.

ON THOSE GROUNDS AND WITH FACTUAL PREDICATE, THE STATE FEELS THERE COULD BE NO STANDING TO OBJECT TO THE SEIZURE OF THE COAT AND THAT IT SHOULD BE PROPERLY SHOWN TO WITNESSES TO MAKE A DETERMINATION FOR THE JURY'S PURPOSE AS TO WHETHER OR NOT IT MIGHT HAVE BEEN THE SAME JACKET THAT THE DEFENDANT WAS WEARING AT THE TIME THE CRIMES WERE COMMITTED.

THE COURT: COUNSEL?

MR. FRANZEN: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE WHITLEY VERSUS WARDEN CITATION, WHICH IS 401 US 460, I BELIEVE THE PERTINENT LANGUAGE WOULD BE --

THE COURT: 401 WHAT?

MR. FRANZEN: 460, YOUR HONOR.

MR. SEATON: 15 THAT THE SUPREME COURT?

MR. FRANZEN: YES.

I BELIEVE THE PERTINENT LANGUAGE WOULD BE

BUT THE PERTINENT LANGUAGE I BELIEVE AT

OF 568 LAWYERS CITATION OF 28 EDSEC --

THE COURT: YEAR?

MR. FRANZEN: 1971. 28 LAWYERS EDSEC 306.

PAGE 313 -- AND ANOTHER CASE INTERRUPTING THAT IS RODRIQUEZ VERSUS STATE, 559 SOUTHWEST 2ND 925 -- BUT AGAIN THE PERTINENT LANGUAGE I BELIEVE BEING FOUND AT PAGES 926 AND 927. THE THRUST OF THESE CASES IS THAT WHEN A DEFENDANT CAN TEST THE VALIDITY OF THE STATE'S ALLEGED PROBABLE CAUSE ON THE GROUNDS OF A RADIO BROADCAST, IN THIS CASE WE HAVE A TELEPHONE CONVERSATION AND AN NCIC HIT, STATE'S 49, SIMILARLY HEARSAY, BUT ONCE THE ISSUE IS RAISED, THE STATE MAY NO LONGER PLACE MERE RELIANCE UPON AN UNSUBSTANTIATED HEARSAY COMMUNICATION. IT IS THEIR OBLIGATION

-409-

5

6 7

8 9 10

11 12

13

14 15

> 16 17

> > 18 19

> > > 20

21 22

23 24

26

25

29 30

32

27 28 31 TO PRESENT EVIDENCE TO YOUR HONOR FROM THE SENDING AGENCY -- NOT HEARSAY, BUT THE SENDING AGENCY -- TO SHOW THAT THIS WAS IN TRUTH AND IN FACT ACCURATE.

YOUR HONOR, THE OTHER -- I HAVE ANOTHER CITATION, CHRIS VERSUS STATE, 376 ATLANTIC 2ND, 1158, A 1977 DECH SION. AND I HAVE ANOTHER DECISION, AND I APOLOGIZE AS IT IS NOT A PUBLISHED DECISION. IT WAS BY JUDGE FOLEY INVOLVING THE POLICE STOPPING A YOUNG MAN WHO WAS HITCHHIKING AND ASKING HIM TO IDENTIFY HIMSELF. HE IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AND THEY GET A FALSE NCIC HIT, FALSE IN THAT THE NCIC HIT SAID A WARRANT IS ACTIVE FOR THIS YOUNG MAN, AND THE POLICE ARRESTED HIM AND SEARCHED HIM AND HIS BAG, WHEREIN I BELIEVE THEY FIND FIREARMS. THE COURT, AGAIN I BELIEVE -- YES. I'M SORRY. IT'S NOT JUDGE FOLEY. IT WAS THE HONORABLE THOMAS J. CLAIRLY, SENIOR JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, RULED THAT ALTHOUGH THE POLICE HAD AN NCIC HIT, IT WAS A FALSE HIT AND THE POLICE CANNOT RELY UPON FALSE HITS. IT'S UP TO THEM TO PUT ACCURATE INFORMATION INTO THESE COMPUTERS AND TO MAKE SURE THAT THE INFORMATION IS MAINTAINED ACCURATELY FOR OBVIOUS REASONS. OTHERWISE, CITIZENS AROUND THE COUNTRY ARE GOING TO BE BEING PICKED UP ON INACCURATE HITS.

THE COURT: WELL, WHERE OOES THAT APPLY HERE? THERE ISN'T ANYTHING IN THIS RECORD --

MR. FRANZEN: WE WILL --

THE COURT: EXCUSE ME.

THERE ISN'T ANYTHING IN THIS RECORD TO

SHOW THAT, QUOTE, "IT WAS A FALSE HIT".

MR. FRANZEN: THE PLACE IT SHOWS HERE, YOUR HONOR, IS THAT THE MAN WHO WAS SUPPOSED TO HAVE REN- -- I BELIEVE, CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG -- WHO IS SUPPOSED TO HAVE RENTED THIS CAR IS HAROLD STANBACK. I BELIEVE THE EVIDENCE, FROM BOTH MR. KINSEY AND MISS WILSON -- MRS. WILSON AND FROM ONE OF THE STATE'S EXHIBITS, WAS THAT MY CLIENT IS THAT MAN.

-410-

> 21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

NOW. IF HE RENTED THIS CAR AND THIS MR. REYNOLDS USED NON-JUDICIAL PROCESS JUST TO GO OUT ON THE STREET AND STEAL IT BACK, AND I HAVE THE IMPRESSION FROM THE WAY OFFICER MCGUCKIN TESTIFIED THAT THAT IS EXACTLY WHAT HAPPENED. THIS CAR IS LAWFULLY IN THE POSSESSION OF MR. STANBACK PURSUANT TO A RENTAL AGREEMENT OF UNKNOWN DURATION.

NOW, YOUR HONOR, THE BURDEN OF PROOF FOR A WARRANTLESS SEARCH IS UPON THE STATE. I WOULD SUSPECT THAT BASED ON THIS, SINCE MY CLIENT LEASED THE CAR FROM THE EVIDENCE PRODUCED BY THE STATE, HE HAD VALID POSSESSION OF THE CAR. YOU CANNOT INTERRUPT VALID POSSESSION BY GOING OUT AND STEALING IT BACK. YOU AT LEAST HAVE TO GIVE THE PARTY SOME TYPE OF NOTICE, I WOULD SUSPECT.

ON THOSE GROUNDS, YOUR HONOR, AND ONE OTHER GROUND, THERE HAS BEEN NO TESTIMONY AS OF YET AS TO WHERE THIS CLOTHING CAME FROM. I THOUGHT IT WOULD COME OUT OF THE TRUNK OF AN AUTOMOBILE ALTHOUGH I HEARD MR. SEATON SAY IT CAME OUT OF THE BACKSEAT.

THE COURT: HIS OFFER OF PROOF WAS THAT IT WAS IN

THE BACKSEAT.

MR. FRANZEN: PARDON ME, YOUR HONOR?

THE COURT: HIS OFFER OF PROOF WAS THAT IT WAS IN

THE BACKSEAT.

MR. FRANZEN: BACKSEAT. WELL, I THOUGHT 1T WAS

IN THE TRUNK.

BUT IN ANY EVENT, WE ARE AGAIN GETTING INTO THE AREA OF TESTIMONY ABOUT EVIDENCE THAT HAS NOT YET BEEN INTRODUCED INTO EVIDENCE. I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHERE THAT JACKET CAME FROM FROM DETECTIVE LEAVITT. I THOUGHT I READ ON THE BAG -- COULD I APPROACH THE EVIDENCE BAG, YOUR HONOR?

THE COURT: SURE, GO AHEAD.

MR. FRANZEN: ON THAT, I WILL REST, YOUR HONOR.

1

6 7 8

5

10 11

A

12 13

15 16

14

17 18

19

20

21 22

> 23 24 25

27 28

26

30 31

32

29

THE COURT: COUNSEL, THE CASE OF HARPER VERSUS
THE STATE I THINK IS DISPOSITIVE OF MOST OF THE ARGUMENTS THAT
WERE MADE HERE. IN THAT CASE, THAT WAS THE CASE THAT I SAT ON
IN 1968 I BELIEVE. IT WENT UP TO THE NEVADA SUPREME COURT. IN
THAT CASE, I RULED ON THE VERY SAME ARGUMENT, THAT 1F YOU WERE
IN POSSESSION OF A STOLEN VEHICLE YOU DON'T LACK STANDING TO
RAISE THE FOURTH AMENDMENT PROTECTION BECAUSE YOU MUST HAVE SOME
LEGAL OWNERSHIP OR LEGAL RIGHT TO BE WHERE YOU ARE AT...

THE NEVADA SUPREME COURT WAS FACED WITH

THAT SITUATION. IN THAT CASE, THERE WERE TWO YOUNG MEN THAT WERE IN POSSESSION OF A STOLEN VEHICLE. AT THE TIME THEY WERE INI-TIALLY ARRESTED THE ONLY REASON THAT THEY WERE PULLED OVER WAS THERE WAS NO DEALERS REPORT OF SALE AFFIXED TO THE VEHICLE AND THERE WERE NO PLATES. SO WHEN THEY WERE PULLED OVER THE OFFICERS HAD THE PASSENGER STEP OUT OF THE CAR AND SIT ON THE CURB WHEN HE TALKED TO THE DRIVER. AND THE ISSUE WAS WHETHER OR NOT THEY HAD THE RIGHT TO OBJECT TO THE TAKING OF ITEMS OUT OF THE TRUNK AND TAKING OF ITEMS IN THE CAR. WHAT OCCURRED WAS WHILE THEY WERE CHECKING OUT THE DRIVER BY CONVERSATION, THE OFFICER DECIDED TO CALL BACK TO POLICE CONTROL. SO HE WENT BACK TO HIS POLICE CAR, AND THIS IS HOW THE POLICE CONTROL DESCRIBED IT: IT TOOK TEN OR FIFTEEN MINUTES FOR THE CALL TO COME BACK FROM POLICE CONTROL, AT WHICH TIME THE PATROLMEN LEARNED THAT THE CAR HAD NOT BEEN SOLD BY THE AUTO AGENCY AND THAT NO ONE HAD BEEN COMMISSIONED OR BEEN GIVEN PERMISSION TO TAKE IT FROM THEIR LOT. IT WAS BY RADIO COMMUNICATION FROM POLICE CONTROL. OBVIOUSLY, ALL THE INFORMATION RECEIVED BY THIS OFFICER WAS HEARSAY. IT WAS BASED ON INFORMATION THAT HAD BEEN SECURED FROM SOMEONE ELSE THROUGH POLICE CONTROL TO THE OFFICER IN THE FIELD. OUR SUPREME COURT HELD THAT SUFFICIENT. THE QUESTION THEN AROSE AS TO THE ISSUE OF STANDING. ON PAGES 236 OF THAT SUPREME COURT DECISION OUR NEVADA SUPREME COURT SAID THAT WE FIND THE

4

1

5 6 7

8

10

13 14

15

16

12

17 18

19 20

21 22

24 25

23

26 27

28

29 30 31

32

APPELLANT HARPER, THIS IS THE PASSENGER, IS WITHOUT STANDING TO CLAIM THE PROTECTION OF THE FOURTH AMENDMENT.

ON TWO PREVIOUS OCCASIONS THIS COURT HAS RULED ON THE MATTER OF STANDING. AND I CITE THE DEAN VERSUS FOGIANI CASE AND OSBOURNE VERSUS THE STATE. OSBOURNE INVOLVED THE SEARCH OF A STOLEN AUTOMOBILE. IN THAT CASE WE HELD THAT SINCE THE ACCUSED DID NOT OWN NOR HAVE ANY RIGHT TO POSSESS THE AUTOMOBILE, HE WAS WITHOUT STANDING TO CLAIM THE PROTECTION OF THE FOURTH AMENDMENT. THIS RULE ANNOUNCED THAT OSBOURNE IS CONTROLLING IN THIS CASE.

WELL, WHAT IS THE SITUATION HERE? THE OFFICER HERE RECEIVED HIS INFORMATION WITH REGARDS TO THE STAND-ING, IN REGARD TO THE OWNERSHIP OF THIS, BY MAKING A CALL TO A POLICE DETECTIVE IN THE STATE OF NEW YORK, WHO STATED THAT THE VEHICLE HAD BEEN RENTED BY ONE HAROLD STANBACK AND THAT THE OWNER OF THE VEHICLE, MR. REYNOLDS, HAD ASCERTAINED THAT MR. STANBACK WAS REALLY NOT THE INDIVIDUAL WHO HE BELIEVED HIM TO BE AND THAT HE THEREUPON REPOSSESSED OR TOOK THE CAR BACK INTO HIS CUSTODY, PLACED IT BACK ON HIS LOT, AND SEVERAL DAYS OR THE FOLLOWING DAY OR WHATEVER, SHORTLY THEREAFTER, HE FOUND THAT THE CAR HAD BEEN STOLEN FROM HIS LOT. AT THAT TIME HE REPORTED THE VEHICLE STOLEN, BEING EITHER MARCH 23RD OR MARCH 24TH. MR. REYNOLDS HAD TURNED IN A STOLEN VEHICLE REPORT TO THE NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT. THEY LISTED IT ON THE NCIC COMPUTER. AND WHEN INQUIRED ABOUT THIS, THE COMPUTER CAME BACK WITH A HIT, INDICATING THAT THE CAR IS STOLEN.

NOW, THAT'S THE STATE OF THE RECORD AT THIS POINT. AND THAT'S THE INFORMATION THE OFFICERS RECEIVED. THAT IS THE STATE OF THE RECORD AS FAR AS THE VEHICLE IS CONCERNED AS IT EXISTED AT THE TIME AND WAS APPARENTLY TAKEN BACK INTO POSSESSION IN SAN BERNARDING, CALIFORNIA, WHERE IT HAD BEEN APPARENTLY, I WOULD HAVE TO ASSUME THAT BASED ON THE OFFER OF

-413-

PROOF, IN THE POSSESSION OF THIS DEFENDANT. 1 NOW, IT IS TRUE THAT THE DEFENDANT HAD 2 REPRESENTED HIMSELF TO BE HAROLD STANBACK TO SEARS, BUT THAT 3 DOESN'T PROVE THAT HE WAS THE SAME HAROLD STANBACK THAT LEASED THE VEHICLE. THERE'S NOTHING TO INDICATE THAT WHATSOEVER. 5 THERE'S NOTHING IN THIS RECORD TO INDICATE THAT HE HAS EITHER 6 STANDING BY WAY OF RIGHT OF POSSESSION OR BY WAY OF RIGHT OF 7 OWNERSHIP. THE RECORD BEING DEVOID OF THAT, STANDING CAN'T BE 8 ASSUMED, IT MUST BE SHOWN AFFIRMATIVELY. THERE BEING NO SHOWING, 9 YOUR OBJECTION IS OVERRULED. YOU MAY PROCEED WITH YOUR PROOF. 10 WE'LL BE IN RECESS FOR ABOUT 15 MINUTES 11 HAVE YOUR WITNESS READY TO PROCEED AT THAT TIME. 12 MR. SEATON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 13 (WHEREUPON, FROM 2:30 P.M. UNTIL 14 2:50 P.M., A RECESS WAS HAD IN 15 THE PROCEEDINGS, WHEREUPON THE 16 JURY ENTERED THE COURTROOM AND 17 THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE 18 HAD:) 19 THE COURT: COUNSEL, STIPULATE TO THE PRESENCE 20 OF THE JURY. 21 MR. SEATON: YES, YOUR HONOR, THE STATE WILL. 22 THE COURT: COUNSEL. 23 MR. COOPER: YES, SIR. 24 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS. 25 MR. HARMON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 26 27 MARY LOU MONAHAN. 28

-414-

WHEREUPON,
MARY LOU MONAHAN,
CALLED AS A WITNESS HEREIN BY THE PLAINTIFF WAS FIRST DULY SWORN
EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
THE COURT: YOU MAY PROCEED.
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. HARMON:
DI PIK, DARPUN.
Q WILL YOU STATE YOUR NAME, PLEASE?
A MARY LOU MONAHAN.
Q SPELL YOUR LAST NAME.
A M-O-N-A-H-A-N.
Q IS IT MRS. MONAHAN?
A YES, IT IS.
Q MRS. MONAHAN, WERE YOU ACQUAINTED WITH
GEORGE STEVEN MONAHAN? A HE'S MY LATE HUSBAND.
Q HOW LONG WERE YOU MARRIED TO GEORGE MONAHAN
A AH, I WAS I WAS GOING ON THREE YEARS, I
BELIEVE.
Q HOW OLO WAS YOUR HUSBAND ON MARCH 27TH,
1980?
A HE WOULD HAVE BEEN +- WOULD HAVE BEEN 40
YEARS OLD.
Q DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION TO MARCH 26TH,
1980, ON THAT DATE WERE YOU AND YOUR HUSBAND, GEORGE STEVEN MONAHAN, THE OWNERS OF A 1977 DODGE VAN?
-415-

Q WOULD YOU STATE WHAT COLOR IT WAS AND WHAT DISTINCTIVE MARKINGS, IF ANY, IT HAD ON IT? A IT WAS BLACK; IT HAD A SCENE PAINTED ON
_
A IT WAS BLACK; IT HAD A SCENE PAINTED ON
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
BOTH SIDES; AND IT HAD THE WORDS "BLACK OAK" IN A GOLD-FOIL
TYPE PAINTING ON BOTH SIDES.
Q WHAT WERE YOUR INTENTIONS ON MARCH 26TH,
1980, REGARDING THIS 1977 DODGE VAN?
A WE HAD THE VAN UP FOR SALE.
Q HAD YOU RUN ADVERTISEMENTS IN ANY NEWSPAPERS
A YES. WE HAD RUN AN AD TWO DIFFERENT TIMES
IN THE REVIEW JOURNAL.
Q THE LAS VEGAS REVIEW JOURNAL NEWSPAPER?
A YES.
Q DID YOU HAVE ANY TYPE OF FOR SALE SIGNS
POSTED ON THE VEHICLE?
A YES, WE DID.
Q WILL YOU DESCRIBE WHAT WAS PLACED ON THE
VEHICLE WHICH INDICATED THAT IT WAS FOR SALE?
A WE HAD TWO PLASTIC FOR SALE SIGNS, STORE-
BOUGHT TYPE FOR SALE SIGNS, ONE IN THE FRONT WINDOW AND THE OTHER
IN ONE OF THE SIDE WINDOWS. IT STATED, "FOR SALE", AND ON THE
BOTTOM WE HAD WRITTEN 1977 DODGE VAN, HAD OUR OFFICE NUMBER AND
OUR HOME NUMBER WRITTEN DOWN ON THAT. WE ALSO
Q WHEN YOU SAY YOUR OFFICE NUMBER, WHERE WAS
THAT?
A ON EAST DESERT INN, 1700 EAST DESERT INN
ROAD.
Q WAS THERE A PARTICULAR SUITE NUMBER AT 1700
EAST DESERT INN ROAD?
A YES, THERE IS, BUT I DON'T RECALL WHAT IT
IS RIGHT NOW.
-416-

	,
1	Q IS 1700 EAST DESERT INN ROAD THE WINCHESTER
2	PLAZA COMPLEX?
3	A YES, IT IS.
4	Q IS THAT IN LAS VEGAS, CLARK COUNTY, STATE
5	OF NEVADA?
6	A YES, IT IS.
7	Q DID YOUR HUSBAND, GEORGE STEVEN MONAHAN,
8	HAVE AN OFFICE IN THE WINCHESTER PLAZA OFFICE BUILDING AT 1700
9	EAST DESERT INN ROAD?
10	A YES, HE DID.
11	Q WHAT WAS HIS PROFESSION?
12	. A HE WAS A DENTIST.
13	Q DID YOU ASSIST HIM IN HIS WORK IN SOME
14	FASHION?
15	A I WAS A DENTAL HYGIENIST.
16	Q SO YOU INDICATED THAT ON THE FOR SALE SIGN
17	THERE WERE TWO SEPARATE TELEPHONE NUMBERS LISTED?
18	A YES, THERE WERE.
19	Q WAS ONE OF THESE TO THE OFFICE AT 1700 EAST
20_	DESERT INN ROAD?
21	A YES, IT WAS.
22	Q AND THE OTHER WAS A RESIDENTIAL PHONE NUMBER?
23	A YES, SIR. IT WAS OUR HOME NUMBER.
24	Q WHEN YOU SAY "OUR HOME NUMBER" YOU'RE
25	REFERRING TO WHERE YOU AND MR. MONAHAN LIVED; IS THAT CORRECT?
26 27	A YES, SIR.
	Q BESIDES ON TWO OCCASIONS RUNNING ADVERTISE-
28 29	MENTS IN THE LAS VEGAS REVIEW JOURNAL AND PLACING FOR SALE SIGNS
30	IN THE AREA YOU HAVE INDICATED ON THE VAN, WHAT OTHER EFFORTS
31	WERE UNDERTAKEN TO SECURE A SALE FOR THE VEHICLE.
32	A WE OR MY HUSBAND PARKED THE VAN A NUMBER
	OF TIMES ON THE CORNER OF MARYLAND PARKWAY AND DESERT INN, AT
	-417-

THE CORNER OF THE BOULEVARD SHOPPING CENTER JUST UP FROM THE OFFICE. HE USED TO PARK IT THERE AND WALK TO WORK, LEAVE IT THERE FOR EXPOSURE.

Q AND WHEN YOU SAY THE VAN WOULD BE LEFT AT THE INTERSECTION OF DESERT INN ROAD AND MARYLAND PARKWAY FOR EXPOSURE, YOU MEAN BECAUSE OF THE LARGE AMOUNT OF TRAFFIC THAT WOULD GO BY THAT INTERSECTION?

A YES, SIR.

Q DO YOU KNOW OVER WHAT PERIOD OF TIME YOUR HUSBANO, GEORGE MONAHAN, LEFT THE VEHICLE ADVERTISING IT FOR SALE AT THAT LOCATION, NEAR THE INTERSECTION OF MARYLAND PARKWAY AND DESERT INN ROAD?

A HE WOULD LEAVE IT THERE AT -- A LITTLE BIT AFTER 7:00 IN THE MORNING WHEN HE WENT TO WORK, AND THEN HE WOULD PICK IT UP AT 5:00 ON HIS WAY HOME.

Q WHERE EXACTLY IS THE LOCATION WHERE YOUR HUSBAND WOULD ROUTINELY LEAVE THE VAN NEAR THE INTERSECTION OF MARYLAND PARKWAY AND DESERT INN ROAD FROM THE SEARS STORE, WHICH IS PART OF THE SHOPPING CENTER KNOWN AS THE BOULEVARD MALL.

PARKING LOT OUTSIDE OF SEARS, THE SAME END OF THE BOULEVARD
SHOPPING CENTER,

Q HOW FAR FROM THE INTERSECTION OF MARYLAND PARKWAY AND DESERT INN ROAD WAS YOUR HUSBAND'S OFFICE AT 1700 EAST DESERT INN ROAD?

A • IT WAS APPROXIMATELY FIVE BLOCKS FROM MARY-

MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, MAY I HAVE THE COURT'S INDULGENCE.

YOUR HONOR, MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS? THE COURT: YOU MAY.

-418-

BY MR. HARMON: 2 3 MRS. MONAHAN, 1 AM SHOWING YOU A SERIES OF PHOTOGRAPHS THAT HAVE BEEN MARKED AS PROPOSED EXHIBITS ONE THROUGH FOUR AND 22 AND 23. WILL YOU EXAMINE EACH OF THE PHOTO-5 GRAPHS AND AFTER YOU HAVE DONE SO, WILL YOU STATE WHETHER YOU 6 7 RECOGNIZE THE VEHICLES SHOWN IN THESE PICTURES. 8 YES, I'DO. 9 EXCUSE ME? 10 YES, I DO. WHAT IS THE VEHICLE, WHICH IS SHOWN IN 11 PROPOSED EXHIBITS ONE THROUGH FOUR AND 22 AND 23? 12 IT'S THE VAN THAT WE HAD FOR SALE. 13 YOUR 1977 DODGE VAN? 14 15 YES. DO THESE PHOTOGRAPHS SHOW CERTAIN FOR SALE 16 SIGNS WHICH WERE POSTED ON THE VEHICLE ON OR ABOUT MARCH 26TH, 17 18 1980? 19 YES, THEY DO. MRS. MONAHAN, IN ADDITION TO HAVING VARIOUS 20 FOR SALE SIGNS, WAS THERE ANY TYPE OF SHEET OF PAPER POSTED ANY-21 22 WHERE THAT GAVE OTHER DETAILS REGARDING THE VEHICLE? 23 YES, THERE WAS. WILL YOU DESCRIBE WHAT THAT WAS AND WHERE 24 25 IT WAS LOCATED? IT WAS A YELLOW SHEET OF PAPER FROM A LEGAL 26 27 PAD. IT WAS SITTING ON THE DASHBOARD SO THAT IT COULD BE VIEWED 28 FROM THE PASSENGER'S SIDE FROM THE FRONT OF THE VAN, AND IT 29 DESCRIBED ALL THE DETAILS OF THE VAN AND IT HAD THE ASKING PRICE 30 ON THAT SHEET OF PAPER. 31 WHAT WAS THE ASKING PRICE?

1 BELIEVE IT WAS AROUND 7- OR \$8000, BUT

-419-

 }	
1	I'M NOT SURE.
2	Q MRS. MONAHAN, DURING THE AFTERNOON HOURS
3	OF MARCH 26TH, 1980, WERE YOU AT YOUR RESIDENCE?
4	A YES, I WAS.
5	Q SOMETIME DURING THE AFTERNOON HOURS OF THAT
6	DAY, WEDNESDAY, MARCH 26TH, 1980, DID YOU RECEIVE A TELEPHONE
7	CALL FROM A PERSON WHO EXPRESSED AN INTEREST IN PURCHASING YOUR
8	1977 DODGE VAN?
9	A YES, I DID.
10	Q DO YOU REMEMBER ABOUT WHEN THAT WAS?
11	A IT WAS AROUND 4:00 O'CLOCK IN THE AFTERNOON
12	Q HOW DO YOU PLACE THE TIME AS BEING AROUND
13	4:00 O'CLOCK IN THE AFTERNOON?
14	A BECAUSE MY HUSBAND HAD LEFT ABOUT A HALF
15	AN HOUR BEFORE THAT TO PICK UP HIS DAUGHTER AT SCHOOL.
16	Q AND WHAT IS THE NAME OF YOUR HUSBAND'S
17	DAUGHTER?
18	A MARY CATHERINE MONAHAN.
19	Q WAS SHE A DAUGHTER OF YOUR HUSBAND'S BY A
20	PREVIOUS MARRIAGE?
21	A YES, SHE WAS.
22	Q DO YOU KNOW WHERE YOUR HUSBAND WENT TO PICK
23	MARY CATHERINE UP AFTER
24	MR. FRANZEN: OBJECTION ON RELEVANCE, YOUR HONOR.
-25	WE ARE NOT GOING TO THE CHARACTER AS TO WHY THIS
26	MR. HARMON: WELL, THAT IS TRUE, YOUR HONOR. IT'S
27	JUST A MATTER OF ESTABLISHING HOW LONG HE WAS GONE.
28	THE COURT: OVERRULED.
29	THE WITNESS: HE PICKED HER UP AT GORMAN HIGH
30	SCHOOL,
31 32	••
	-420-

-421-

6 7 В

10 11

13

15

17

18

21

26 27

28

30

- 11	
1	Q WHAT, AS YOU REMEMBER IT NOW, WAS THE SUB-
2	STANCE OF THE TELEPHONE CONVERSATION YOU HAD WITH THE PERSON
3	IDENTIFYING HIMSELF AS KEITH?
4	A HE SAID HE WAS CALLING ABOUT THE VAN, THAT
5	HE LIKED THE VAN FROM THE OUTSIDE BUT HE COULDN'T REALLY TELL
6	WHAT THE INSIDE LOOKED LIKE. AND I BRIEFLY DESCRIBED WHAT WAS
7	ON THE INSIDE OF THE VAN.
8	HE SAID THAT HE HAD SOLD HIS CAR AND
9	THAT HE NEEDED SOMETHING RIGHT AWAY AND THAT HE WAS VERY INTER-
10	ESTED IN IT. HE SAID THAT THE PRICE SOUNDED REASONABLE AND THAT
11	HE WAS INTERESTED IN LOOKING AT IT.
12	. Q DID THIS MAN TELL YOU THAT HE HAD SEEN THE
13	VAN ALREADY?
14	A YES, HE DID.
15	Q DID HE TELL YOU WHERE IT WAS WHEN HE SAW
16	IT?
ı	
17	A NO, HE DID NOT.
17 18	A NO, HE DID NOT. Q NOW, DID YOU JUST SAY THAT HE EXPRESSED AN
- 1	·
18	Q NOW, DID YOU JUST SAY THAT HE EXPRESSED AN
18	Q NOW, DID YOU JUST SAY THAT HE EXPRESSED AN INTEREST IN SEEING MORE OF THE VEHICLE?
18 19 20	Q NOW, DID YOU JUST SAY THAT HE EXPRESSED AN INTEREST IN SEEING MORE OF THE VEHICLE? A YES, HE DID.
18 19 20 21	Q NOW, DID YOU JUST SAY THAT HE EXPRESSED AN INTEREST IN SEEING MORE OF THE VEHICLE? A YES, HE DID. Q WHAT IF ANYTHING WAS SAID AFTER THE MAN
18 19 20 21 21	Q NOW, DID YOU JUST SAY THAT HE EXPRESSED AN INTEREST IN SEEING MORE OF THE VEHICLE? A YES, HE DID. Q WHAT IF ANYTHING WAS SAID AFTER THE MAN EXPRESSED AN INTEREST IN SEEING THE VEHICLE AGAIN?
18 19 20 21 21 22 23	Q NOW, DID YOU JUST SAY THAT HE EXPRESSED AN INTEREST IN SEEING MORE OF THE VEHICLE? A YES, HE DID. Q WHAT IF ANYTHING WAS SAID AFTER THE MAN EXPRESSED AN INTEREST IN SEEING THE VEHICLE AGAIN? A 1 ASKED HIM WHEN A GOOD TIME WOULD BE TO
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q NOW, DID YOU JUST SAY THAT HE EXPRESSED AN INTEREST IN SEEING MORE OF THE VEHICLE? A YES, HE DID. Q WHAT IF ANYTHING WAS SAID AFTER THE MAN EXPRESSED AN INTEREST IN SEEING THE VEHICLE AGAIN? A I ASKED HIM WHEN A GOOD TIME WOULD BE TO SHOW IT TO HIM.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Q NOW, DID YOU JUST SAY THAT HE EXPRESSED AN INTEREST IN SEEING MORE OF THE VEHICLE? A YES, HE DID. Q WHAT IF ANYTHING WAS SAID AFTER THE MAN EXPRESSED AN INTEREST IN SEEING THE VEHICLE AGAIN? A I ASKED HIM WHEN A GOOD TIME WOULD BE TO SHOW IT TO HIM. Q ALL RIGHT.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Q NOW, DID YOU JUST SAY THAT HE EXPRESSED AN INTEREST IN SEEING MORE OF THE VEHICLE? A YES, HE DID. Q WHAT IF ANYTHING WAS SAID AFTER THE MAN EXPRESSED AN INTEREST IN SEEING THE VEHICLE AGAIN? A I ASKED HIM WHEN A GOOD TIME WOULD BE TO SHOW IT TO HIM. Q ALL RIGHT. A HE SAID THAT HE WAS A SECURITY GUARD AT
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Q NOW, DID YOU JUST SAY THAT HE EXPRESSED AN INTEREST IN SEEING MORE OF THE VEHICLE? A YES, HE DID. Q WHAT IF ANYTHING WAS SAID AFTER THE MAN EXPRESSED AN INTEREST IN SEEING THE VEHICLE AGAIN? A I ASKED HIM WHEN A GOOD TIME WOULD BE TO SHOW IT TO HIM. Q ALL RIGHT. A HE SAID THAT HE WAS A SECURITY GUARD AT CAESARS PALACE AND THAT HE COULD TAKE A BREAK SOMETIME IN THE
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	Q NOW, DID YOU JUST SAY THAT HE EXPRESSED AN INTEREST IN SEEING MORE OF THE VEHICLE? A YES, HE DID. Q WHAT IF ANYTHING WAS SAID AFTER THE MAN EXPRESSED AN INTEREST IN SEEING THE VEHICLE AGAIN? A I ASKED HIM WHEN A GOOD TIME WOULD BE TO SHOW IT TO HIM. Q ALL RIGHT. A HE SAID THAT HE WAS A SECURITY GUARD AT CAESARS PALACE AND THAT HE COULD TAKE A BREAK SOMETIME IN THE EVENING, AROUND 8:00 O'CLOCK; IF HE COULD BRING IT OVER TO CAESARS PALACE AND SHOW IT TO HIM. Q WHAT DID YOU SAY?
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	Q NOW, DID YOU JUST SAY THAT HE EXPRESSED AN INTEREST IN SEEING MORE OF THE VEHICLE? A YES, HE DID. Q WHAT IF ANYTHING WAS SAID AFTER THE MAN EXPRESSED AN INTEREST IN SEEING THE VEHICLE AGAIN? A I ASKED HIM WHEN A GOOD TIME WOULD BE TO SHOW IT TO HIM. Q ALL RIGHT. A HE SAID THAT HE WAS A SECURITY GUARD AT CAESARS PALACE AND THAT HE COULD TAKE A BREAK SOMETIME IN THE EVENING, AROUND 8:00 O'CLOCK; IF HE COULD BRING IT OVER TO CAESARS PALACE AND SHOW IT TO HIM. Q WHAT DID YOU SAY? A AT THAT POINT I TOLD HIM THAT HE WOULD HAVE
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	Q NOW, DID YOU JUST SAY THAT HE EXPRESSED AN INTEREST IN SEEING MORE OF THE VEHICLE? A YES, HE DID. Q WHAT IF ANYTHING WAS SAID AFTER THE MAN EXPRESSED AN INTEREST IN SEEING THE VEHICLE AGAIN? A I ASKED HIM WHEN A GOOD TIME WOULD BE TO SHOW IT TO HIM. Q ALL RIGHT. A HE SAID THAT HE WAS A SECURITY GUARD AT CAESARS PALACE AND THAT HE COULD TAKE A BREAK SOMETIME IN THE EVENING, AROUND 8:00 O'CLOCK; IF HE COULD BRING IT OVER TO CAESARS PALACE AND SHOW IT TO HIM. Q WHAT DID YOU SAY?

-422-

- 11	i de la companya de
1	AND WOULD YOU PLEASE CALL BACK IN ABOUT A HALF AN HOUR.
2	Q DID YOU THEN CONCLUDE THE TELEPHONE CONVER-
3	SATION WITH KEITH?
4	A THAT'S WHEN I ASKED HIM FOR HIS NAME AND HE
5	SAID KEITH.
6	Q DID HE GIVE YOU A LAST NAME?
7	A NO, HE DID NOT.
8	. Q MRS. MONAHAN, SOMETIME AFTER THIS FIRST
9	TELEPHONE CONVERSATION WITH KEITH, TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, DID A
10	PERSON CALL AGAIN AND CONVERSE WITH YOUR HUSBAND, GEORGE MONAHAN?
11	A YES, HE DID.
12	Q ABOUT HOW MUCH AFTER 4:00 O'CLOCK P.M. WAS
13	IT?
14	A IT WAS ABOUT 4:30.
15	Q HAD YOUR HUSBAND RETURNED BY THEN FROM PICK-
16	ING UP HIS DAUGHTER FROM GORMAN HIGH SCHOOL?
17	A YES, HE HAD.
18	Q WHAT HAPPENED AT ABOUT 4:30 P.M.?
19	A WE RECEIVED ANOTHER PHONE CALL. I ANSWERED
-20 	THE PHONE AND GAVE IT TO MY HUSBAND AND TOLD HIM THAT WAS THE
21	SAME INDIVIDUAL THAT HAD CALLED THE FIRST TIME.
22	Q DID YOU CONCLUDE IT WAS THE SAME PERSON?
23	A YES.
24	Q HOW DID YOU MAKE THAT CONCLUSION?
25	A BECAUSE I RECOGNIZED HIS VOICE.
26	Q DID YOUR HUSBAND THEN HAVE A SHORT CONVERSA-
27	TION WITH THIS SAME INDIVIDUAL?
28	A YES, HE DID.
29	Q PRIOR TO RECEIVING THE TWO CALLS FROM THIS
30 31	INDIVIDUAL WHAT HAD BEEN THE PLANS OF YOUR FAMILY FOR THE EVENING?
. 32	A WE WERE PLANNING ON GOING OUT TO HENDERSON
	TO VISIT MY HUSBAND'S PARENTS FOR DINNER.
	-423-

- 11	+
ı	Q DID YOU IN FACT KEEP THAT APPOINTMENT?
2	A YES, WE DID.
3	Q WHAT PERSONS WENT TO HENDERSON TO HAVE DINNER
4	WITH YOUR HUSBAND'S PARENTS?
5	A MY HUSBAND AND MYSELF, MY SISTER BARBARA
6	AND MARY CATHERINE.
, 7	Q DO YOU REMEMBER ABOUT WHEN IT WAS THAT YOU
8	LEFT FOR YOUR DINNER ENGAGEMENT?
9	A TO THE BEST OF MY RECOLLECTION, I BELIEVE
10	IT WAS AROUND 6:00 O'CLOCK.
11	Q DID THE FOUR OF YOU GO IN THE SAME VEHICLE?
12	A YES, WE DID.
13	Q WHAT VEHICLE DID YOU TAKE FROM YOUR RESIDENCE?
14	A WE TOOK MY CAR FROM MY RESIDENCE OVER TO MY
15	HUSBAND'S OFFICE TO PICK UP THE VAN.
16	Q AND WHEN YOU SAY OVER TO YOUR HUSBAND'S
17	OFFICE ARE YOU REFERRING TO THE WINCHESTER PLAZA AREA, 1700 EAST
18	DESERT INN ROAD?
19	A YES, I AM.
20	Q WHAT HAPPENED AT THAT LOCATION?
21	A WE LEFT BY CAR AT THAT LOCATION AND TOOK
22	THE VAN TO HENDERSON SO THAT WE COULD GO BY CAESARS PALACE ON
23	OUR WAY BACK FROM HENDERSON.
24	Q DID YOU IN FACT ARRIVE AT HENDERSON AND KEEP
25	YOUR ENGAGEMENT FOR DINNER WITH YOUR IN-LAWS?
26	A YES, WE DID.
27	Q SOMETIME AFTER THAT DID YOU IN FACT HAVE
28	OCCASION TO GO TO THE AREA OF CAESARS PALACE?
29	A YES, WE DID.
30	Q FOR WHAT PURPOSE?
31	A TO MEET KEITH AND SHOW HIM THE VAN.
32	Q DO YOU REMEMBER AT ABOUT WHAT TIME YOU
	-424-
	· ·

1	ARRIVED IN THE AREA OF CAESARS PALACE ON MARCH 26TH, 1980?
2	A IT WAS 8:00 O'CLOCK.
3	Q 8:00 0'CLOCK P.M.?
4	A YES, SIR.
5	Q WHAT PERSONS WERE PRESENT IN THE VAN WHEN
6	YOU WENT TO CAESARS PALACE?
7	A MY HUSBAND, MYSELF, BARBARA ZEMAN, AND MARY
8	CATHERINE MONAHAN.
9	Q WILL YOU INDICATE, PLEASE, WHERE THE VARIOUS
10	PERSONS WERE LOCATED INSIDE THE VAN.
11	A MY HUSBAND WAS DRIVING; I WAS SITTING IN THE
12	FRONT PASSENGER'S SEAT; AND BARBARA AND MARY CATHERINE WERE IN
13	THE BACK OF THE VAN, ON THE COUCH, IN THE BACK.
14	Q NOW, IS THE VAN TO WHICH YOU REFER NOW THE
15	SAME VEHICLE DEPICTED IN STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBITS ONE THROUGH
16	FOUR AND 22 AND 23 WHICH I HAVE PREVIOUSLY SHOWN YOU?
17	A YES, IT IS.
18	Q TELL US WHAT HAPPENED WHEN YOU GOT IN FRONT
19	OF CAESARS PALACE.
20	A WE TURNED INTO CAESARS PALACE, WENT APPROXI-
21	MATELY 100 FEET AND SOMEBODY WAS STANDING THERE ON THE RIGHT SIDE
22	AND FLAGGED US DOWN, AT THAT POINT WE STOPPED.
23	Q HOW HAD YOU EXCUSE ME.
24	HOW HAD YOU MADE YOUR APPROACH TO
25	CAESARS PALACE?
26	A WE CAME NORTH ON LAS VEGAS BOULEVARD AND
27	TURNED LEFT INTO CAESARS PALACE.
28	Q AT WHAT POINT AS YOU TURNED OFF OF LAS VEGAS
29	BOULEVARD INTO THE CAESARS PALACE COMPLEX DID YOU SEE SOMEONE
30	STANDING?
31 32	A APPROXIMATELY 100 FEET AFTER WE MADE THE
4	TURN.
	-425-

1	Q ABOUT A HUNDRED FEET AWAY FROM LAS VEGAS
2	BOULEVARD SOUTH?
3	A YES.
4	Q WOULD THAT BE TO THE WEST OF THE BOULEVARD?
5	A YES, IT WOULD.
6	Q EXPLAIN WHAT HAPPENED AFTER YOU SAW AN
, 7	INDIVIDUAL STANDING ABOUT A HUNDRED FEET WEST OF LAS VEGAS BOULE-
8	VARD.
9	A HE FLAGGED US DOWN, WAVED.
10	Q WHAT DO YOU MEAN THAT HE FLAGGED YOU DOWN?
11	A HE WAVE WAVED TO US TO STOP. AND WE
12	DROVE JUST A LITTLE BIT PAST HIM AND STOPPED. AT THAT POINT HE
13	CAME AROUND THE BACK OF THE VAN OVER TO MY HUSBAND'S SIDE AND
14	SPOKE TO MY HUSBAND.
15	Q WERE YOU STILL IN THE FRONT SEAT AREA,
16	PASSENGER SEAT?
17	A YES, I WAS.
18	Q DID YOU HEAR THE CONVERSATION THEN THAT
19	OCCURRED BETWEEN THIS INDIVIDUAL AND YOUR HUSBAND?
20	A YES, I DID.
21	Q WHAT WAS THE CONVERSATION?
22	A MY HUSBAND ASKED, "ARE YOU KEITH?" AND HE
23	SAID, "YES." AND HE SAID 1 WOULD RECOGNIZE THAT VAN SOMETHING
24	TO THE EFFECT THAT I WOULD RECOGNIZE THIS VAN ANYWHERE.
25	Q KEITH SAID THAT?
26	A YES, HE DID.
27	Q WHAT HAPPENED THEN?
28	A HE TOLD US TO PULL UP JUST A LITTLE BIT.
29	AND WE DROVE FORWARD, REALIZING THAT THERE WASN'T ANYPLACE TO
30	PULL OVER ALONG THERE. IT WAS ALL VALET PARKING TO OUR RIGHT.
31	SO WE TURNED AND WENT THROUGH A COUPLE OF BARRICADES INTO THE
32	VALET PARKING BECAUSE IT WAS THE ONLY PLACE WE COULD STOP,
	-426-

ľ	
1	THINKING THAT SINCE HE DID WORK THERE HE WOULD COME OVER AND ASK
2	THEM TO LET US STOP THERE FOR A LITTLE WHILE.
3	Q WHAT HAPPENED WHEN YOU PULLED TO THE SECOND
4	LOCATION?
5	A WE STOPPED AND A GENTLEMAN CAME UP TO THE -
6	MY HUSBAND'S SIDE OF THE CAR AND TOLD HIM THAT WE COULDN'T STOP
7	THERE.
В	Q YOU'RE NOT TALKING ABOUT KEITH NOW, BUT
9	SOMEONE ELSE?
10	A NO. SOMEONE ELSE.
11	Q DID YOU HEAR HIS REPLY TO THIS SECOND
12	PERSON?
13	A AT THIS POINT HE TOLD HIM THAT WE WERE
14	MEETING KEITH, AND THE GENTLEMAN SAID AGAIN I'M SORRY YOU CAN'T
15	STOP HERE.
16	Q DID YOUR HUSBAND, GEORGE MONAHAN, THEN MOVE
17	THE VAN TO A THIRD LOCATION?
18	A YES, HE DID.
19	. Q WHERE WAS KEITH AT THIS TIME?
20	A AT THAT TIME HE WAS JUST APPROACHING THE
21	VA THE VAN. AS MY HUSBAND STARTED TO BACK UP AND TURN
22	AROUND, HE DIDN'T COME ALL THE WAY UP TO THE VAN. HE JUST
23	MOTIONED FOR US TO PULL OVER NEAR THE SIDE ENTRANCE OF CAESARS
24	PALACE.
25	Q DID YOUR HUSBAND FOLLOW THAT INSTRUCTION?
26	A YES, HE DID.
27	Q IN FACT, WAS THE VAN PARKED AT THIS THIRD
28	LOCATION?
29	A YES, IT WAS.
30	Q WILL YOU EXPLAIN WHAT HAPPENED THEN?
31	. A WE PULLED UP ALONG THE CURB AND STOPPED THE
32	VAN. MY HUSBAND AND I BOTH GOT OUT OF THE VAN. HE WALKED
	-427-

1	AROUND AND OPENED UP BOTH THE SIDE DOORS TO THE VAN.
2	Q WHEN YOU SAY "HE WALKED AROUND" WHO ARE
3	YOU REFERRING TO?
4	A MY HUSBAND.
5	Q ALL RIGHT.
6	SO HE GOT OUT FIRST; IS THAT WHAT YOU
7	ARE SAYING?
8	A YES, HE DID.
9	Q YOUR HUSBAND GEORGE MONAHAN?
10	A YES, SIR.
11	Q AND THEN YOU GOT OUT?
12	A YES, SIR.
13	Q WHERE DID YOUR HUSBAND GO AFTER HE GOT OUT
14	OF THE VAN?
15	A HE WALKED AROUND THE VAN AND OPENED UP BOTH
16	THE SIDE DOORS WIDE OPEN SO IT WOULD BE VISIBLE INSIDE, TURNED
17	THE LIGHT ON ON THE INSIDE OF THE VAN.
18	Q HOW MANY DOORS WERE THERE ON THE PASSENGER'S
19	SIDE OF YOUR 1977 DODGE VAN?
20	A THERE WERE THREE DOOR'S.
21	Q WILL YOU DESCRIBE THOSE THREE DOORS?
22	A THERE'S A PASSENGER DOOR, WHICH I LEFT
23	STANDING OPEN AS I GOT OUT.
24	Q YOU OPENED THAT AND LEFT IT STANDING OPEN?
25	A YES, I DID.
26	AND THEN THE TWO DOUBLE DOORS THAT MY
27	HUSBAND OPENED THAT OPEN OUT AWAY FROM THE VAN.
28	Q YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT SOME TYPE OF CARGO
29	DOORS?
30	A YES.
31	Q EXPLAIN HOW THEY WERE OPENED BY YOUR HUSBAND
32	A YOU HAVE TO OPEN THE RIGHTHAND DOOR WITH
	-428-

- 11	i
. 1	THE HANDLE FROM THE OUTSIDE OF THE VAN, THEN REACH AROUND ON
2	THE INSIDE, PULL THE LATCH DOWN, AND THEN OPEN THE SECOND DOOR.
3	Q DO YOU KNOW WHAT YOUR HUSBAND'S PURPOSE WAS
4	FOR OPENING ALL THE DOORS ON THE PASSENGER'S SIDE?
5	A YES. SO THAT HE COULD VIEW THE INSIDE OF
6	THE VAN EASIER.
7	Q HE, BEING KEITH?
8	· · · · · A YES, SIR.
9	Q AT SOME POINT IN TIME DID KEITH ARRIVE AT
10	THIS THIRD LOCATION?
11	A YES, HE DID.
12	Q WHEN?
13	A AT APPROXIMATELY THAT TIME, AFTER MY HUSBAND
14	OPENED THE DOORS. I WAS ALREADY OUT OF THE VAN AND I SAW HIM
15	APPROACHING FROM THE SIDEWALK. AT THAT POINT I TOLD THE GIRLS
16	SUGGESTED THAT THEY GET OUT OF THE VAN.
17	Q DID YOUR SISTER AND MARY CATHERINE ALSO GET
18	OUT OF THE VAN AT THAT TIME?
19	A YES, THEY DID.
20	Q WHAT HAPPENED AFTER KEITH GOT UP TO THE VAN
21	A HE JUST STARTED TALKING TO MY HUSSAND ABOUT
22	THE VAN AND HE LOOKED INSIDE THROUGH THE TWO DOUBLE DOORS, LEANED
23	INTO THE VAN TO LOOK AROUND.
24	Q DID HE EVER ACTUALLY ENTER THE VAN?
25	A NO, HE DID NOT.
26	Q NOW, AT THE TIME KEITH APPROACHED THE VAN
27	ON THE PASSENGER'S SIDE, WHAT WAS THE CONDITION OF ALL THE DOORS?
28	A THEY WERE ALL WIDE OPEN.
29	Q MRS. MONAHAN, WILL YOU LOOK AROUND THE COURT
30	ROOM AT THIS TIME AND STATE WHETHER THE PERSON WHO IDENTIFIED
31 32	HIMSELF AS KEITH ON MARCH 26TH, 1980, AT ABOUT 8:00 O'CLOCK P.M.
32	IN THE AREA OF CAESARS PALACE, IS PRESENT IN COURT.

-429-

- {	
1	A YES, HE IS.
2	Q WILL YOU POINT TO HIM AND DESCRIBE HOW HE'S
3	DRESSED.
4	A HE'S SITTING OVER THERE IN A DENIM JACKET
5	AT THE END OF THAT TABLE (INDICATING).
6	MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, MAY THE RECORD SHOW THAT
7	THE WITNESS HAS IDENTIFIED THE DEFENDANT SAMUEL HOWARD.
8	THE COURT: THE RECORD MAY SO SHOW.
9	MR. HARMON: THANK YOU.
10	
11	BY MR. HARMON:
12	
13	Q MRS. MONAHAN, AT ANY OF THE THREE LOCATIONS
14	THAT YOU DESCRIBED WHERE THE VAN WAS PARKED IN THE AREA OF
15	CAESARS PALACE ON MARCH 26TH, 1980, DID THE DEFENDANT MR. HOWARD
16	EVER HAVE OCCASION TO TOUCH ANY OF THE DOOR HANDLES OR DOOR KNOB
17	ON THE PASSENGER'S SIDE?
18	A NO, HE DID NOT.
19	Q WHY DO YOU SAY THAT?
20	A BECAUSE WE SPECIFICALLY LEFT ALL THE DOORS
21	WIDE OPEN SO THAT HE COULD LOOK INTO THE VAN EASILY.
22	Q CAN YOU ESTIMATE NOW HOW LONG THE VAN
23	REMAINED PARKED IN THE AREA WHILE THE DEFENDANT MR. HOWARD,
24	KNOWN THEN AS KEITH, EXAMINED THE INTERIOR OF THE VEHICLE?
25	A APPROXIMATELY TEN MINUTES.
26	Q WHAT DID YOU AND YOUR SISTER, BARBARA ZEMAN
27	AND MARY CATHERINE MONAHAN DO DURING THAT PERIOD OF TIME?
28	A WE WERE STANDING OFF TO THE SIDE, JUST A
29	LITTLE BIT NEAR ONE OF THE PILLARS ON THE SIDE OF THE BUILDING
30	THERE, WHILE MY HUSBAND WAS TALKING TO KEITH.
31	Q DID YOU OVERHEAR ANY OF THE CONVERSATION?
32	A YES, I DID.

-430-

- 11	
1	Q DESCRIBE WHAT YOU HEARD SAID BETWEEN YOUR
2	HUSBAND, GEORGE MONAHAN, AND THE DEFENDANT SAMUEL HOWARD, ALSO
3	KNOWN AS KEITH.
4	A MY HUSBAND WAS JUST DESCRIBING THE INTERIOR
5	OF THE VAN TO HIM A LITTLE BIT, EXPLAINING TO HIM THAT IT WAS OAK
6	INTERIOR, THERE WAS A COOLER AND THEY DISCUSSED THE SKI RACK ON
7	THE TOP OF THE VAN.
8	Q WHAT WAS THE DISCUSSION REGARDING THE SKI
9	RACK?
10	A HE SAID THAT
11	Q WHO SAID?
12	A MY HUSBAND STATED THAT IF HE WANTED THE SKI
13	RACK LEFT ON THE VAN THIS IS ONE PART OF THE SALE.
14	Q WHAT DID MR. HOWARD SAY?
15	A HE SAID THAT HE DIDN'T SKI BUT HE LIKED THE
16	LOOKS OF IT SO HE WOULD WANT IT ON THE TOP.
17	Q DID MR. HOWARD INDICATE A CONTINUED INTERES
18	IN PURCHASING THE VEHICLE?
19	A YES, HE DID.
-20	C WHAT DID HE SAY ABOUT THAT?
21	A HE MENTIONED A NUMBER OF TIMES HOW MUCH HE
22	LIKED THE VAN. HE SAID HE'D LIKE TO TAKE IT FOR A JEST RIDE.
23	HE STATED AGAIN THAT HE WAS IN A HURRY TO FIND SOMETHING AND HET
24	LIKE TO TAKE A TEST RIDE, IF IT RODE WELL THAT HE WOULD BE VERY
25	INTERESTED IN BUYING IT.
26	Q WAS THERE ANY DISCUSSION AT THAT POINT AS
27	TO WHEN HE WOULD TAKE A TEST RIDE OF THE VEHICLE, AND BY "HE"
28	I'M SPEAKING OF THE DEFENDANT.
29	A HE THE DEFENDANT ASKED IF HE COULD TAKE
30	A TEST RIDE THE NEXT DAY.
31	Q DID HE GIVE ANY REASON FOR WAITING UNTIL
32	THE NEXT DAY?
	11

-431-

	1
1	A BECAUSE HE WAS WORKING RIGHT THEN AND HAD
2	TO GET BACK TO WORK.
з	Q HE MAINTAINED HE WAS ON DUTY WHERE?
4	A AT CAESARS PALACE.
5	Q IN WHAT CAPACITY?
6	A AS A SECURITY GUARD.
7	Q DID YOU ASSUME AT THAT TIME THAT THIS WAS
8	TRUE, THAT HE WAS IN FACT EMPLOYED AS A SECURITY GUARD AT CAESAR
9	PALACE?
10	A YES, WE DID.
11	Q DID YOU SEE ANYTHING THAT HE WAS CARRYING,
12	THAT THE DEFENDANT MR. HOWARD WAS CARRYING ON HIS PERSON THE
13	NIGHT OF MARCH 26TH, 1980, WHICH SUPPORTED THAT CONCLUSION?
14	A HE HAD A WALKIE-TALKIE TYPE RADIO.
15	Q WHERE DID YOU SEE HIM HAVE A WALKIE-TALKIE
16	TYPE RADIO?
17	A WHEN WE FIRST SAW HIM I BELIEVE HE WAS
18	CARRYING IT IN HIS HAND, LATER ON, HE WAS WEARING IT ON HIS BELT
19	Q AFTER MR. HOWARD INDICATED THAT HE WOULD
20	LIKE TO TEST DRIVE THE VEHICLE THE FOLLOWING DAY, WAS THERE ANY
21	CONVERSATION BETWEEN YOUR HUSBAND AND MR. HOWARD AS TO WHEN THAT
22	WOULD OCCUR THE FOLLOWING DAY?
23	A MY HUSBAND TOLD HIM THAT HE WOULD BE IN THE
24	OFFICE ALL DAY ON THURSDAY. HE TOLD HIM THAT IT WOULD BE BETTER
25	IF HE WOULD COME BY SOMETIME DURING THE MORNING BECAUSE HIS
. 26	SCHEDULE WAS LIGHTER.
27	Q THURSDAY, MEANING MARCH 27TH, 1980?
28	A YES.
29	Q DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT MR. HOWARD, THE
30	DEFENDANT, ALSO KNOWN AS KEITH, SAID TO THAT?
31	A HE TOLD HIM THAT HE WOULD BE BY.
32	Q AT SOME POINT DURING THE CONVERSATION, WAS
	11

-432-

l!	,
1	MR. HOWARD TOLD WHERE THE OFFICE OF YOUR HUSBAND WAS LOCATED?
2	A YES, HE WAS.
3	Q IN WHAT MANNER?
4	A MY HUSBAND TOLD HIM WHERE THE OFFICE WAS
5	AND THEN I HANDED HIM ONE OF MY HUSBAND'S BUSINESS CARDS THAT
6	HAD THE SUITE NUMBER ON IT.
7	Q YOU PERSONALLY HANDED TO THE DEFENDANT YOUR
8	HUSBAND'S BUSINESS CARD?
9	A YES, SIR.
10	Q DID THAT BUSINESS CARD CONTAIN THE ADDRESS
11	OF THE OFFICE?
12	A YES, IT DID.
13	Q 1700 EAST DESERT INN ROAD?
14	A YES, SIR.
15	Q NOW, YOU STATED YOU DON'T RECALL NOW FOR
16	SURE WHAT THE SUITE NUMBER OF THE OFFICE WAS.
17	A NO, I DO NOT. IT WAS ON THE SECOND FLOOR.
18	Q DID THE CARD, THE BUSINESS CARD, CONTAIN
19	THE SUITE NUMBER?
20	A YES, IT DID.
21	Q WHAT DID MR. HOWARD SAY AFTER YOU GAVE HIM
22	THE BUSINESS CARD?
23	A HE JUST TOLD MY HUSBAND THAT HE WOULD SEE
24	HIM THE NEXT DAY.
25	Q WHAT HAPPENED THEN?
26	A THEN MR. HOWARD WALKED AWAY FROM THE VAN
27	AND AROUND THE CORNER IN THE BUILDING.
28	Q BY THE "BUILDING" ARE YOU REFERRING TO PART
29	OF THE CAESARS PALACE HOTEL COMPLEX?
30	A YES, 1 AM.
31	Q WHAT DID YOU AND YOUR HUSBAND AND YOUR COM-
32	PANIONS DO THEN?
	-433-

- 11	
1	A AT THAT POINT, THE GIRLS GOT BACK IN THE
2	BACK OF THE VAN, I GOT IN THE PASSENGER'S SEAT, MY HUSBAND SHUT
3	THE DOORS OF THE VAN, CAME AROUND AND GOT IN THE VAN, AND WE
4	LEFT.
5	Q MRS. MONAHAN, DO YOU HAVE ANY RECOLLECTION
6	OF HOW THE DEFENDANT, MR. HOWARD, WAS DRESSED WHEN YOU SAW HIM
7	AROUND 8:00 O'CLOCK P.M., ON MARCH 26TH, 1980?
8	A YES, 1 DO.
9	Q DESCRIBE HOW HE WAS DRESSED TO YOUR MEMORY
10	NOW.
11	A HE HAD ON A BROWN LEATHER JACKET; HE HAD
12	CASUAL-TYPE PANTS ON, EITHER JEANS OR DENIM-TYPE LEVIS; AND TENNI
13	SHOES.
14	Q DO YOU RECALL THE COLOR OF THE TENNIS SHOES?
15	A NO, I DO NOT.
16	Q ASIDE FROM CLOTHING, DO YOU HAVE A RECOLLEC-
17	TION OF THE PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION OF THE DEFENDANT AS YOU
18	REMEMBER HIM FROM WEDNESDAY, MARCH 26TH, 1980?
19	A YES, I DO.
20	Q DESCRIBE HIM, PLEASE.
21	A HE'S APPROXIMATELY FIVE FEET EIGHT; 170
22	POUNDS; HE WAS DARK SKINNED, NEGRO; HE HAD LONG RATHER BUSHY
23	SIDEBURNS AND SOME FACIAL HAIR, THE BEGINNINGS OF IT, AND A SHORT
24	BEARD.
25	Q DID YOU FORM AN OPINION AS TO HOW OLD
26	APPROXIMATELY HE WAS?
27	A HE APPEARED TO ME TO BE AROUND 25 YEARS OLD
28	Q HOW LONG WOULD YOU SAY THE CONVERSATION
29	LASTED IN THE AREA OF CAESARS PALACE?
30	A IT WAS ABOUT TEN MINUTES, POSSIBLY FIFTEEN.
31	Q BETWEEN TEN TO FIFTEEN MINUTES?
32	A YES, SIR.
	1 1

-434-

AA000327

1	Q HOW CLOSE DID YOU GET TO THE DEFENDANT,
2	MR. HOWARD, ON MARCH 26TH, 1980?
3	A I WAS VERY CLOSE WHEN I HANDED HIM I WAS
4	WITHIN ARMS LENGTH WHEN I HANDED HIM MY HUSBAND'S BUSINESS CARD.
5	Q WITHIN A FOOT OR TWO?
6	A YES, SIR.
. 7	Q DID YOU GET A GOOD LOOK AT HIM AT THAT TIME?
8	A YES, I DID.
9	MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, WITH THE COURT'S PERMIS-
10	SION, I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE THE WITNESS STEP DOWN TO THE BOARD.
11	THE COURT: SHE MAY.
12	MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, MAY I MOVE OVER TO THE
13	CLERK SO I CAN SEE WHAT SHE'S DOING?
14	THE COURT: YES.
15	MR. FRANZEN: THANK YOU.
16	MR. HARMON: WHAT WOULD BE THE NEXT NUMBER, YOUR
17	HONOR? MAY WE HAVE THE DIAGRAM OF WHICH THE WITNESS IS ABOUT TO
18	DRAW MARKED AS STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 55?
19	THE COURT: YOU MAY.
20	
21	BY MR. HARMON:
22	
23	Q MRS. MONAHAN, WILL YOU PICK UP THE BLACK
24	MARKER, PLEASE. 1 WOULD LIKE, IF YOU WILL, TO BEGIN BY SHOWING
25	THE RELATIONSHIP OF THE CAESARS PALACE COMPLEX TO LAS VEGAS
26	BOULEVARD AND ALSO INDICATE THE AREA-WHERE YOUR HUSBAND TURNED
27	LEFT AND WENT IN AND PARKED YOUR VAN.
28	A WELL
29	Q NO. INDICATE ALSO, JUST GENERALLY SPEAKING
30	WHERE CAESARS PALACE COMPLEX WOULD BE FROM THERE.
31	A IT'S ALL THE SAME.
32	THE COURT: WOULD YOU PLEASE DRAW IT FIRST AND
	II

-435-

THEN EXPLAIN WHERE IT IS, BECAUSE YOU WILL HAVE TO TURN AROUND AND EXPLAIN IT TO THE JURY. 2 MR. HARMON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 3 THE WITNESS: (INDICATING) IT'S IN THIS AREA RIGHT HERE. 6 BY MR. HARMON: 7 В MRS. MONAHAN, I WANT YOU, BY USING A SQUARE 9 IN EACH LOCATION, TO INDICATE THE THREE SPOTS WHERE, FOR A SHORT 10 PERIOD OF TIME THE VAN WAS PARKED --11 (INDICATING). 12 (CONTINUING) -- OR STOPPED. 13 0.K. 14 NOW, IF YOU WILL, I WOULD LIKE YOU TO PLACE, 15 AND PERHAPS WE CAN USE THE RED MARKER TO DO THIS, THE LETTERS 16 "SH" TO INDICATE WHERE THE DEFENDANT WAS STANDING WHEN YOU FIRST 17 SAW HIM AS YOUR HUSBAND TURNED LEFT INTO THE CAESARS PALACE AREA. 18 WILL YOU CIRCLE THAT PLEASE, AND PUT YOUR INITIALS OUTSIDE OF 19 THE CIRCLE. 20 (INDICATING). 21 I ALSO WANT YOU TO WRITE IN ONE, TWO, THREE, 22 INDICATING THE VARIOUS PDINTS THE VEHICLE WAS STOPPED. 23 YEAH. 24 NOW, NUMBER THREE INDICATES THE POINT AT 25 WHICH THE DEFENDANT FINALLY EXAMINED THE INTERIOR OF THE VAN? 26 YES, SIR. 27 NOW, WILL YOU INDICATE WITH A DOTTED LINE 28 WHERE YOU REMEMBER THE DEFENDANT WALKING FROM THE ORIGINAL POINT 29 YOU HAVE MARKED AS "S.H." AND ON OVER TO THE POINT WHERE HE 30 31 EXAMINED THE VEHICLE.

(INDICATING).

-436-

32

AA000329

1	Q MRS. MONAHAN, DURING ANY PERIOD OF TIME AT
2	THOSE THREE LOCATIONS, DID THE DEFENDANT HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY
з	TO TOUCH ANY OF THE DOOR HANDLES OR DOOR KNOBS ON THE PASSENGER'S
4	SIDE OF THE VAN?
5	A NO, HE DIDN'T.
6	Q WHY IS IT THAT YOU SAY HE DIDN'T HAVE AN
7	OPPORTUNITY?
8	A WHEN WE STOPPED AT POINT ONE HE IMMEDIATELY
9	CAME AROUND TO MY HUSBAND'S SIDE OF THE VAN. WHEN WE STOPPED AT
10	POINT TWO, HE DID NOT.
11	Q NOW, BEFORE WE LEAVE POINT ONE, WAS IT YOUR
12	TESTIMONY BEFORE THAT WHEN HE FLAGGED YOU DOWN, YOUR HUSBAND
13	DROVE A LITTLE PAST HIM?
14	A YES, HE DID.
15	.Q SO DID HE GO IN FRONT OR COME BEHIND THE
16	VAN TO COME AROUND AND TALK TO YOUR HUSBAND?
17	A HE CAME BEHIND.
18	Q SO IN FACT, IF YOU WERE TO TRACE WITH A
19	DOTTED LINE THE DOTS WOULD COME BEHIND THE VAN; IS THAT CORRECT?
20	A YES. YES, SIR.
21	Q WHAT HAPPENED AT POINT TWO, AFTER HE
22	STRIKE THAT FOR THE TIME BEING.
23	AT POINT ONE, WAS HE EVER BY "HE"
24	AGAIN I'M REFERRING TO THE DEFENDANT ON THE PASSENGER'S SIDE
25	OF THE VEHICLE WHERE HE WAS IN A POSITION TO TOUCH THE VEHICLE?
26	A NO, HE WASN'T.
27	Q THE CONVERSATION WAS ON THE DRIVER'S SIDE;
28	1S THAT CORRECT?
29	A THAT'S CORRECT.
30	Q NOW, WHAT HAPPENED WHEN MR. HOWARD CAUGHT
31	UP TO WHERE THE VAN WAS AT POINT TWO?
32	A HE DIDN'T COME ALL THE WAY UP TO THE VAN AT
	-437-

POINT TWO. HE SAW, I ASSUME, THAT THEY WEREN'T GOING TO LET US STOP THERE. AND AT SOME POINT OVER IN THIS AREA (INDICATING), THAT'S WHEN HE MOTIONED FOR US TO PULL OVER TO THE SIDE OF THE HOTEL. ARE YOU POSITIVE AT POINT TWO THAT THE DEFENDANT, MR. HOWARD, TOUCHED NO PART WHATSOEVER OF THE YAN? YES. I AM. WHAT HAPPENED THEN AT POINT THREE? AT --AT POINT NUMBER THREE. POINT THREE IS WHERE WE STOPPED THE VAN. HE CAME UP THE SIDEWALK THERE TO LOOK AT IT. WERE THE VAN DOORS ON THE PASSENGER'S SIDE COMPLETELY OPEN? YES, THEY WERE. BY THE TIME MR. HOWARD ARRIVED AT THE VAN? YES, SIR. ARE YOU POSITIVE AT POINT THREE THAT THE DEFENDANT, MR. HOWARD, DIDN'T TOUCH ANY DOOR HANDLE OR DOOR KNOB ON THE PASSENGER'S SIDE OF THE VAN? YES, 1 AM. THANK YOU. YOU MAY RETURN TO THE WITNESS STAND. MRS. MONAHAN, WHERE DID YOUR HUSBAND AND OTHERS IN YOUR PARTY GO AFTER YOU LEFT CAESARS PALACE, SHORTLY AFTER 8:00 O'CLOCK P.M.? WE TOOK THE VAN BACK TO MY HUSBAND'S OFFICE TO LEAVE THERE AND PICK UP MY CAR. DID YOU IN FACT LEAVE THE VAN IN THE AREA OF YOUR HUSBAND'S OFFICE?

YES, WE DID.

-438-

WHAT TYPE OF CAR WAS IT THAT YOU WERE

- 1	
1	DRIVING WHICH YOU REFER TO AS YOUR CAR?
2	A IT WAS A WHITE OLDSMOBILE CUTLASS.
3	Q DO YOU REMEMBER WHERE THE VAN WAS PARKED IN
4	RELATION TO 1700 EAST DESERT INN ROAD?
5	A IT WAS ON THE WEST SIDE OF THE BUILDING IN
6	THE PARKING LOT FACING THE BUILDING.
7	Q WHAT WAS THE CONDITION OF THE INSIDE OF THE
8	VAN WHEN YOU PARKED IT AT THAT LOCATION NEAR YOUR HUSBAND'S
9	OFFICE THE NIGHT OF MARCH 26TH, 1980? WAS IT DISTURBED IN ANY
10	WAY?
11	A NO, IT WAS NOT.
12	Q COULD YOU DESCRIBE GENERALLY THE APPEARANCE
13	OF THE INTERIOR OF THE VAN?
14	A IT WAS VERY CLEAN. WE HAD WORKED ON IT A
15	NUMBER OF TIMES PREVIOUS TO THAT TO GET IT READY TO SELL. SO
16	TO THE BEST OF MY RECOLLECTION THERE WAS NOTHING OUT OF ORDER.
17	Q WERE ANY CUSHIONS OUT OF PLACE?
18	A I WOULD NOT TO THE BEST OF MY RECOLLEC-
19	TION, NO.
20	Q WERE THERE ANY DESCRIBE WHAT TYPE OF
21	FURNISHINGS OR FURNITURE THERE WERE ON THE INSIDE OF THE VAN.
22	A IN THE BACK THERE WAS A COUCH THAT GOES ALL
23	THE WAY ACROSS THE BACK. IT'S THE TYPE THAT MAKES INTO A BED,
24	BUT JUST BECAUSE OF THE CABINETS THAT WE HAVE IN THERE, YOU CAN-
25	NOT PULL IT DOWN. IT HAS REMOVABLE CUSHIONS ON THE BACK AND THE
26	SIDES OF THE COUCH.
27	MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, MAY I HAVE THE COURT'S
28	INDULGENCE AGAIN, PLEASE.
29	YOUR HONOR, MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS.
30	THE COURT: YOU MAY.
31	
32	

BY MR. HARMON:

Q MRS. MONAHAN, I AM SHOWING YOU TWO PHOTO-GRAPHS MARKED AS PROPOSED EXHIBITS 10 AND 11. DO THOSE APPEAR TO BE PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE INTERIOR OF YOUR 1977 DODGE VAN, THE SAME VEHICLE DEPICTED IN PROPOSED EXHIBITS ONE THROUGH FOUR AND 22 AND 23?

A YES, THEY DO.

Q CAN YOU, FROM EXAMINATION OF THESE PHOTO-GRAPHS, TELL WHETHER THE CONDITION OF THE INTERIOR OF THE VAN HAD CHANGED AT THE TIME PROPOSED EXHIBITS 10 AND 11, THE PHOTO-GRAPHS, WERE TAKEN FROM THE CONDITION THE VAN WAS IN THE NIGHT OF MARCH 26TH, 1980, WHEN IT WAS PARKED OUTSIDE YOUR HUSBAND'S OFFICE?

A YES. THERE'S A GREAT DEAL OF DIFFERENCE.

Q WHAT CHANGES HAVE OCCURRED?

THERE IS THAT -- IT APPEARS THAT THE TABLE -IT APPEARS THAT THE TABLE THAT CAN BE SET UP IN THE BACK OF THE
VAN HAS BEEN PULLED OUT FROM UNDERNEATH THE COUCH WHERE IT WAS IN
THE BACK; CUSHIONS HAD BEEN PULLED OFF THE COUCH; THE STAINED
GLASS DOOR FROM THE CABINET IS STANDING OPEN, WHICH IT WASN'T;
AND IT APPEARS THAT THE -- SOMETHING FROM ACROSS THE FRONT OF THE
VAN HAS BEEN PULLED OUT, A PANEL OF SOME SORT.

O THANK YOU.

MRS. MONAHAN, DID YOUR 1977 DODGE VAN HAVE SOME TYPE OF C.B. RADIO WHICH WAS BUILT INTO THE HEADLINE?

A YES, IT DID.

Q WILL YOU DESCRIBE THAT RADIO, PLEASE.

A IT WAS BUILT-IN ABOVE THE DRIVER'S SEAT,

NOT INTO THE DASH BUT ABOVE THE FRONT PANEL OF THE VAN, AND IT

HAD QUITE A FEW SWITCHES ACROSS THAT PANEL.

DO YOU KNOW HOW LONG THE C.B. RADIO HAD BEEN

-440-

1	BUILT-IN THE HEADLIN	E AT THAT LOCATION?
2	Α	IT WAS BUILT-IN WHEN WE BOUGHT THE VAN.
3	Q	HOW LONG HAD YOU AND YOUR HUSBAND, GEORGE,
4	HAD THE VAN?	
5	A	OH, I DON'T RECALL RIGHT OFF HAND WHEN IT
6	WAS PURCHASED.	
. 7	Q	CAN YOU GIVE A ROUGH ESTIMATE? ARE WE TALK
8	ING ABOUT SIX WEEKS?	SIX MONTHS?
9	A	NO.
10	Q	A YEAR?
11	A	1T WOULD HAVE BEEN OVER A YEAR.
12	Q	AND TO YOUR RECOLLECTION, THE C.B. RADIO HAD
13	BEEN IN THE VAN DURI	NG THAT PERIOD OF TIME?
14	A	YES, IT WAS.
15	- Q	DID YOU ALSO HAVE SOME TYPE OF CASSETTE TAPE
16	PLAYER THAT WAS ALSO	BUILT INTO THE HEADLINE?
17	A	YES, WE DID.
18	Q	DO YOU REMEMBER HOW LONG IT WAS ONE OF THE
19	ACCESSORIES INSIDE T	HE VAN?
20	A	IT WAS ALSO THERE WHEN WE PURCHASED THE VAN.
21	Q	MRS. MONAHAN, DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION NOW
22	TO MARCH 27TH, 1980,	DO YOU RECALL WHAT TIME IT WAS YOUR HUSBAND,
23	GEORGE STEVEN MONAHA	N, LEFT FOR THE OFFICE?
24	Α .	HE LEFT APPROXIMATELY TEN MINUTES TO 7:00
25	A.M.	
26	Q	WHAT CAUSES YOU TO ESTIMATE IT WAS ABOUT
27	TEN MINUTES TO SEVEN	IN THE MORNING?
28	А	BECAUSE THAT'S THE TIME HE LEFT EVERY
29	MORNING WHEN HE HAD	A 7:00 O'CLOCK PATIENT SCHEDULED.
30	Q	DID HE FOLLOW A ROUTINE PROCEDURE?
31 32	A	YES, HE DID.
32	Q	DO YOU REMEMBER HOW YOUR HUSBAND WAS DRESSED
		-441-
	1	

- 11		
1	WHEN HE LEFT FOR WORK AT ABOUT 6:50 A.M.?	
2	A YES, I DO.	
3	Q HOW WAS HE DRESSED?	
4	A HE HAD ON GREEN PLAID KNIT PANTS; A LIGHT	
5	COLORED SHIRT, 1 BELIEVE IT WAS YELLOW; A LIGHTWEIGHT JACKET;	
6	AND BROWN LEATHER SHOES.	
7	Q DO YOU KNOW WHAT TYPE OF PERSONAL AFFECTS	
8	HE HAD WITH HIM WHEN HE LEFT FOR WORK ON MARCH 27TH, 1980?	
9	A YES, I DO.	
10	Q DESCRIBE WHAT HE HAD WITH HIM.	
11	A HE HAD ON A GOLD SEIKO WATCH. HE WAS	
12	Q HOW SURE ARE YOU THAT YOUR HUSBAND HAD ON	
13	A GOLD SEIKO WATCH?	
14	A I'M POSITIVE.	
15	Q WHY ARE YOU POSITIVE?	
16	A BECAUSE HE WORE IT EVERYDAY.	
17	Q DO YOU RECALL ON WHICH WRIST HE WORE THE	Ì
18	WATCH?	
19	A HE WORE IT ON HIS LEFT WRIST.	l
20	Q WHAT ELSE DID HE HAVE IN THE WAY OF PERSONA	4
21	AFFECTS?	
22	A HE HAD A LEATHER WALLET, A MONEY CLIP, AND	
23	A SET OF KEYS.	
24	Q DO YOU KNOW WHERE HE TYPICALLY CARRIED HIS	
25	LEATHER WALLET?	
26	A IN ONE OF HIS BACK POCKETS.	
27	Q ARE YOU ABLE TO GIVE ANY FURTHER DESCRIPTION	"
28	OF THE LEATHER WALLET?	1
29	A IT WAS BROWN LEATHER, JUST A SMALL WALLET.	
30	Q WHAT CAUSES YOU TO SAY YOUR HUSBAND WOULD	
31	HAVE HAD THIS BROWN LEATHER WALLET WITH HIM?	
32	A 'CUZ HE ALWAYS CARRIED IT WITH HIM.	
	-442-	

1	Q DID HE TYPICALLY CARRY CREDIT CARDS OR OTHER
2	CONTENTS INSIDE THE WALLET?
3	A YES, HE DID.
4	Q WHAT TYPES OF CONTENTS WOULD HE TYPICALLY
5	HAVE?
6	A HE HAD A NUMBER OF CREDIT CARDS, A NUMBER
7	OF OTHER TYPES OF CARDS IN HIS WALLET, MEMBERSHIP CARDS AND
8	CERTAIN PICTURES.
9	Q WHAT KIND OF PICTURES?
10	A FAMILY PICTURES.
11	Q CAN YOU BE MORE SPECIFIC? IN FACT, DO YOU
12	KNOW WHAT TYPES OF FAMILY PICTURES YOUR HUSBAND CARRIED IN THE
13	WALLET?
14	A HE HAD A NUMBER OF PICTURES OF HIS CHILDREN.
15	Q WHICH CHILDREN SPECIFICALLY ARE YOU REFER-
16	RING TO?
17 18	A MARY CATHERINE MONAHAN AND JAMES MONAHAN,
19	HIS SON.
20	HE ALSO HAD PICTURES OF HIS TWO NEPHEWS.
21	Q HOW OLD WERE THEY? A HOW OLD ARE THEY NOW?
22	Q EXCUSE ME. LET ME REPHRASE THE QUESTION.
23	DO YOU KNOW HOW OLD THEY WOULD HAVE BEEN
24	WHEN THE PICTURES WERE TAKEN THAT HE CARRIED IN HIS WALLET?
25	MR, COOPER: I AM GOING TO OBJECT, YOUR HONOR, ON
26	RELEVANCY.
27	THE COURT: SUSTAINED, UNLESS YOU CAN SHOW SOME
28	RELEVANCY SIR.
29	MR. HARMON: WELL, IT MAY BE RELEVANT, YOUR HONOR,
30	IN TERMS OF WHAT A CONSENT WITNESS IS EXPECTED TO TESTIFY REGARD-
31	ING ITEMS SHE SAW THE DEFENDANT WITH.
32	THE COURT: YOU CAN CONNECT THEM UP THEN.
	-443-

- 11	
1	MR. HARMON: I CAN ONLY SAY AT THIS POINT PERHAPS,
2	YOUR HONOR, IT'S NOT IT'S NOT SOMETHING THAT WE ARE JUST
3	TRYING OUT TO TRY TO ENGENDER SYMPATHY. THERE DEFINITELY MAY BE
4	SOME TIE THROUGH AN INDEPENDENT WITNESS.
5	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE OBJECTION IS OVER-
6	RULED.
7	MR. HARMON: THANK YOU.
8	THE COURT: SUBJECT TO BEING STRICKEN, COUNSEL,
9	IF HE DOESN'T TIE IT.
10	MR. COOPER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
11	
12	BY MR. HARMON:
13	·
14	Q HOW OLD, IF YOU KNOW, WERE THE TWO NEPHEWS
15	WHEN THE PHOTOGRAPHS WERE TAKEN THAT YOUR HUSBAND CARRIED IN HIS
16	WALLET?
17	A THEY WERE ONE IN PARTICULAR WAS ABOUT
18	FOUR MONTHS AND THE OTHERS WERE ALL I WOULD SAY LESS THEY
19	WERE LESS THAN A YEAR OLD, POSSIBLY A YEAR.
20	Q NOW, YOU SAID THAT YOUR HUSBAND HAD CREDIT
21	CARDS?
22	A YES, HE DID.
23	Q DO YOU REMEMBER SPECIFICALLY WHAT TYPES OF
24	CREDIT CARDS HE CARRIED IN HIS WALLET?
25	A HE HAD AN AMERICAN EXPRESS CARD AND A NUMBER
26	OF GASOLINE CREDIT CARDS.
27	Q DO YOU REMEMBER THE NAMES OF THE COMPANIES?
28	A HE WOULD HAVE HAD A PHILLIPS 66, A UNION,
29	CHEVRON, AND SHELL CARDS, POSSIBLY OTHERS.
30	Q DO YOU KNOW IF HE HAD ANY MONEY WHEN HE
31	Let I tak wake an interest and in the second and
32	A YES. HE HAD ABOUT \$2.00 AND SOME CHANGE.
	II .

1	Q WHAT CAUSES YOU TO SAY THAT HE HAD MONEY IN		
2	APPROXIMATELY THAT AMOUNT?		
3	A I JUST HAPPEN TO KNOW THAT DAY HOW MUCH		
4	MONEY HE HAD WITH HIM.		
5	Q DO YOU KNOW IF YOUR HUSBAND LEFT THE HOUSE		
6	WITH ANY TYPE OF DEPOSIT BAG WITH DAILY RECEIPTS FROM HIS		
- 7	BUSI NESS?		
8	A YES, HE DID.		
9	Q WHAT DID HE LEAVE THE HOUSE WITH?		
10	A HE HAD A GREEN ZIPPER DEPOSIT BAG FROM THE		
11	BANK OF NEVADA WITH A DEPOSIT IN IT FROM THE DAY BEFORE; AND HE		
12	ALSO HAD THE TITLE TO THE VAN.		
13	Q THE TITLE TO THE VAN WAS INSIDE THE GREEN		
14	DEPOSIT BAG?		
15	A YES, IT WAS.		
16	Q DID YOU KNOW WHY YOUR HUSBAND WAS TAKING THE		
17	TITLE TO THE VAN WITH HIM THAT MORNING?		
18	A BECAUSE THE MAN THAT WE SHOWED THE VAN TO		
19	THE NIGHT BEFORE SAID THAT HE WOULD BE READY TO BUY THE VAN		
20	AFTER HE RODE IT, IF HE LIKED THE WAY IT RODE THAT HE WOULD HAVE		
21	THE MONEY WITH HIM AND BE READY TO BUY THE VAN THAT DAY.		
22	Q BY THE MAN WHO SAID HE'D BE READY TO BUY		
23	THE VAN THAT DAY, ARE YOU REFERRING TO THE DEFENDANT, MR. HOWARD?		
24	A YES, I AM.		
25	Q MRS. MONAHAN, DO YOU KNOW WHAT VEHICLE YOUR		
26	HUSBAND USED WHEN HE LEFT FOR THE OFFICE AT ABOUT TEN MINUTES TO		
27	7:00 ON MARCH 27TH, 1980?		
28	A YES, I DO		
29	Q WHAT CAR WAS HE USING?		
30	A HE DROVE HIS HIS ORANGE CHEVROLET TRUCK.		
31	Q DID YOU SEE YOUR HUSBAND AS HE LEFT FOR THE		
32	OFFICE THAT MORNING, MARCH 27TH, 1980?		
	-445-		

1	A YES, 1 DID.	
2		
3	Q HAVE YOU EVER SEEN HIM ALIVE SINCE THEN? A NO, I HAVE NOT.	
4	Q DID YOU ALSO GO TO THE OFFICE AT 700 EAST	
5	DESERT INN ROAD ON MARCH 27TH, 1980?	
6	A YES, 1 DID.	-
7	Q ABOUT WHAT TIME DID YOU GO THERE?	
8	A I WENT APPROXIMATELY 8:30 A.M.	Ì
9	O WHAT WAS YOUR PURPOSE FOR GOING TO THE OFF	ICE
10	ON THAT DAY AT THAT TIME?	
11	A BECAUSE I WAS WORKING THAT DAY.	
12	Q WHEN YOU GOT THERE DID YOU FIND YOUR HUSBA	HD
13	GEORGE NONAHAN?	
14	A NO, I DID NOT.	
15	.Q DID THAT CONCERN YOU?	
16	A YES, IT DID.	
17	Q WHY?	
18	A WHEN I WALKED INTO THE OFFICE THROUGH HIS	-
19	PRIVATE OFFICE INTO THE HALLWAY, HIS DENTAL ASSISTANT ASKED ME	
20	WHERE IS DR. MONAHAN. I SAID, ASSUMING THAT SHE MEANT SOMEWHER	Ε
21	THERE IN THE OFFICE, I SAID I DON'T KNOW. I HAVEN'T SEEN HIM	ł
22	YET.	
23	Q YOU ASSUMED HE WAS ALREADY THERE?	
24	A YES, I DID.	
25	Q WHAT HAPPENED THEN?	
26	A AT THAT POINT SHE TOLD ME THAT HE HAD NOT	
27	ARRIVED YET AND THAT HIS PATIENT WAS SITTING IN THE CHAIR.	
28	Q WHAT PERSON IS THE DENTAL ASSISTANT TO WHO	м
29	YOU REFER NOW, THAT TOLD YOU THAT?	
30	A HER NAME IS HEIDI EVANS.	
31	· Q H-E-1-D-1?	
32	A YES, SIR.	
	-446-	

A EVANS.
Q MRS. MONAHAN, WHAT DID YOU DO WHEN YOU
FOUND THAT AT ABOUT 8:30 IN THE MORNING, YOUR HUSBAND WAS STILL
NOT IN THE OFFICE?
A I WAS CONCERNED RIGHT AWAY. I WENT BACK
OUTSIDE TO SEE IF HIS TRUCK WAS THERE PARKED ON THE OTHER SIDE
OF THE BUILDING.
Q AND WAS HIS CRANGE CHEVROLET TRUCK PARKED
THERE?
A YES, IT WAS. IT WAS ON THE WESTSIDE OF THE
BUILDING WHERE THE VAN HAD BEEN.
Q WHERE THE VAN HAD BEEN?
A AND THE VAN WAS NOT THERE.
Q DID YOU OBSERVE ANY PERSONAL EFFECTS STILL
INSIDE YOUR HUSBAND'S CHEVROLET TRUCK?
A I DIDN'T GO DOWN AND LOOK AT THAT POINT.
I WENT BACK INTO THE OFFICE AND A LITTLE WHILE LATER I WENT BACK
DOWN, ALL THE WAY DOWNSTAIRS TO THE PARKING LOT, AND LOOKED TO
DOWN, ALL THE WAY DOWNSTAIRS TO THE PARKING LOT, AND LOOKED TO
SEE IF THE BANK BAG WAS STILL IN THE TRUCK, AND IT WAS STILL IN
SEE IF THE BANK BAG WAS STILL IN THE TRUCK, AND IT WAS STILL IN THE TRUCK IN THE FRONT SEAT.
SEE IF THE BANK BAG WAS STILL IN THE TRUCK, AND IT WAS STILL IN THE TRUCK IN THE FRONT SEAT. Q THE BANK BAG WHICH CONTAINED THE DAILY
SEE IF THE BANK BAG WAS STILL IN THE TRUCK, AND IT WAS STILL IN THE TRUCK IN THE FRONT SEAT. Q THE BANK BAG WHICH CONTAINED THE DAILY RECEIPTS?
SEE IF THE BANK BAG WAS STILL IN THE TRUCK, AND IT WAS STILL IN THE TRUCK IN THE FRONT SEAT. Q THE BANK BAG WHICH CONTAINED THE DAILY RECEIPTS? A YES, SIR.
SEE IF THE BANK BAG WAS STILL IN THE TRUCK, AND IT WAS STILL IN THE TRUCK IN THE FRONT SEAT. Q THE BANK BAG WHICH CONTAINED THE DAILY RECEIPTS? A YES, SIR. Q THAT WOULD BE FROM THE PRECEDING DAY'S
SEE IF THE BANK BAG WAS STILL IN THE TRUCK, AND IT WAS STILL IN THE TRUCK IN THE FRONT SEAT. Q THE BANK BAG WHICH CONTAINED THE DAILY RECEIPTS? A YES, SIR. Q THAT WOULD BE FROM THE PRECEDING DAY'S BUSINESS?
SEE IF THE BANK BAG WAS STILL IN THE TRUCK, AND IT WAS STILL IN THE TRUCK IN THE FRONT SEAT. Q THE BANK BAG WHICH CONTAINED THE DAILY RECEIPTS? A YES, SIR. Q THAT WOULD BE FROM THE PRECEDING DAY'S BUSINESS? A THAT'S RIGHT.
SEE IF THE BANK BAG WAS STILL IN THE TRUCK, AND IT WAS STILL IN THE TRUCK IN THE FRONT SEAT. Q THE BANK BAG WHICH CONTAINED THE DAILY RECEIPTS? A YES, SIR. Q THAT WOULD BE FROM THE PRECEDING DAY'S BUSINESS? A THAT'S RIGHT. Q DID YOU SUBSEQUENTLY EXAMINE THAT BANK BAG:
SEE IF THE BANK BAG WAS STILL IN THE TRUCK, AND IT WAS STILL IN THE TRUCK IN THE FRONT SEAT. Q THE BANK BAG WHICH CONTAINED THE DAILY RECEIPTS? A YES, SIR. Q THAT WOULD BE FROM THE PRECEDING DAY'S BUSINESS? A THAT'S RIGHT. Q DID YOU SUBSEQUENTLY EXAMINE THAT BANK BAG: A YES, I DID. Q WERE THE RECEIPTS ALL THERE? A YES, THEY WERE.
SEE IF THE BANK BAG WAS STILL IN THE TRUCK, AND IT WAS STILL IN THE TRUCK IN THE FRONT SEAT. Q THE BANK BAG WHICH CONTAINED THE DAILY RECEIPTS? A YES, SIR. Q THAT WOULD BE FROM THE PRECEDING DAY'S BUSINESS? A THAT'S RIGHT. Q DID YOU SUBSEQUENTLY EXAMINE THAT BANK BAG: A YES, I DID. Q WERE THE RECEIPTS ALL THERE?

II	
1	WAS THAT ALSO STILL INSIDE THE BANK DEPOSIT BAG?
2	A YES, IT WAS.
3	Q YOU REFERRED EARLIER IN YOUR TESTIMONY TO
4	THE FACT THAT YOUR HUSBAND ROUTINELY CARRIED A MONEY CLIP. WAS
5	THAT SEPARATE FROM THE BROWN LEATHER WALLET?
6	± A YES, IT WAS. ✓ ಈ
7	Q WHERE DID HE USUALLY CARRY THE MONEY CLIP?
8	A IN ONE OF HIS SIDE FRONT POCKETS.
9	Q OTHER THAN THE SEIKO WRISTWATCH THAT YOU
10	HAVE ALREADY REFERRED TO, DID YOUR HUSBAND, GEORGE MONAHAN, HAVE
11	ANY TYPE OF RINGS OR CHAINS OR ANY OTHER TYPE OF JEWELRY THAT HE
12	WORE THAT DAY?
13	A NO, HE DID NOT.
14	Q CAN YOU THINK OF ANY OTHER PERSONAL EFFECTS
15	HE WOULD HAVE HAD ON HIS PERSON, OTHER THAN ITEMS THAT YOU HAVE
16	ALREADY DESCRIBED?
17	A NOT THAT I CAN RECALL.
18	Q WHAT DID YOU DO AFTER YOU DISCOVERED THAT
19	THE ORANGE TRUCK WAS PARKED OUTSIDE OF THE OFFICE AND THE VAN WAS
20	GONE?
21	A I WENT BACK INTO THE OFFICE AND DISCUSSED
22	THE SITUATION WITH DR. MAGDALL.
23	Q WILL YOU SPELL MAGDALL FOR THE RECORD,
24	PLEASE?
25	A M-A-G-D-A-L-L.
26	Q IS IT DR. BOYD MAGDALL?
27	A YES, IT IS.
28	Q WAS HE AN ASSOCIATE OF YOUR HUSBAND'S IN
29	THEIR BUSINESS?
30	A HE WAS A PARTNER.
31 32	Q DID YOU DISCUSS THE SITUATION WITH ANYONE
34	ELSE?
	-448-

1	A WITH HIS WIFE, LORIE, AND SEVERAL OTHER
2	GIRLS IN THE OFFICE.
3	Q AS A RESULT OF THAT CONVERSATION, WHAT IF
4	ANYTHING DID YOU TAKE?
5	A ONE OF THE GIRLS I TOLD FIRST OF ALL,
6	I TOLD MR. MAGDALL THAT THE VAN WAS GONE, THAT HE MUST HAVE
7	TAKEN KEITH FOR A RIDE. ONE OF THE GIRLS IN THE OFFICE HAD SAID
8	THAT SOMEBODY CAME INTO THE OFFICE
9	MR. COOPER: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR, AS HEARSAY.
10	MR. HARMON: I THINK IT IS, YOUR HONOR.
11	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
12	
13	BY MR. HARMON:
14	
15	Q ONE OF THE OTHER GIRLS TOLD YOU SOMETHING.
16	WITHOUT GOING INTO WHAT SHE SAID
17	A YES, SIR.
18	Q (CONTINUING) WHAT IS THE IDENTITY OF
19	THAT GIRL?
20	A SHE WAS A DENTAL ASSISTANT TO DR. MAGDALL.
21	Q WHAT IS HER NAME?
22	A HELENE ZUCKERMAN.
23	Q WILL YOU SPELL THE NAMES FOR THE RECORD,
24	PLEASE?
25 26	A I'M NOT EXACTLY SURE OF THE SPELLING, IT
26 27	BELIEVE IT'S Z-U-C-K-E-R-M-A-N.
28	Q AND THE HELENE WOULD BE H-E-L-E-N-E?
28	A THAT'S CORRECT
30	Q AFTER YOUR DISCUSSION WITH DR. MAGDALL AND
31	OTHERS IN THE OFFICE, WHAT DID YOU DO? A LORIE MAGDALL CALLED CAESARS PALACE, ASKED
32	FOR SOMEONE IN THE SECURITY DEPARTMENT AND ASKED THEM TO CHECK
	-449-

•		
1	THEIR RECORDS AND SEE IF THEY HAD A SECURITY GUARD WORKING FOR	
2	THEM BY THE FIRST NAME OF KEITH.	
3	Q ALL RIGHT.	
4	SO YOU ATTEMPTED TO VERIFY WHETHER THERE	
5	WAS A KEITH WHO WAS WORKING AS A SECURITY GUARD AT CAESARS PALACI	
6	A THAT IS CORRECT.	
7	Q WERE YOU ABLE TO VERIFY THAT?	
8	MR. COOPER: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. IT WOULD BE	
9	BASED ON HEARSAY.	
10	MR. HARMON: IT SIMPLY CALLS FOR A YES OR NO,	
11	YOUR HONOR.	
12	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
13	THE WITNESS: YES, THEY TOLD LORIE THAT	
14	MR. COOPER: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.	
15 i 16	MR. FRANZEN: THAT'S	
17	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
18		
19	BY MR. HARMON:	
20	O YOU CAN'T GO INTO WHAT THEY TOLD YOU.	
21	A I'M SORRY.	
22	Q YOU WERE ABLE TO GET AN ANSWER TO YOUR	
23	QUESTION?	
24	A YES, SIR.	
25	Q AS A RESULT OF THAT, WHAT DID YOU DO?	
26	A THEN I KNEW SOMETHING DEFINITELY WAS WRONG.	
27	WE TRIED TO ANALYZE THE SITUATION. DR. MAGDALL, AT THAT POINT,	
28	SUGGESTED POSSIBLY THEY HAD DRIVEN OUT OF TOWN A LITTLE BIT AND	
29	RAN OUT OF GAS OR HAD SOME TYPE OF PROBLEM. SO WE TRIED TO	
30	REMAIN CALM AND WAIT FOR A LITTLE WHILE.	
31 32	Q AT SOME POINT, WAS THE POLICE DEPARTMENT	
J.E	NOTIFIED?	
	-450-	
	"	

1	A YES, THEY WERE.
2	Q DO YOU REMEMBER ABOUT WHEN THAT WAS?
3	A TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE IT WAS PROBABLY
4	ABOUT 9:45, 9:30.
5	Q MRS. MONAHAN, DID YOU HAVE OCCASION THERE-
6	AFTER TO SPEAK WITH REPRESENTATIVES OF THE LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN
7	POLICE DEPARTMENT?
.8	A YES, I DID.
9	Q DID YOU IN FACT GIVE THEM A STATEMENT
10	RELATING INFORMATION YOU HAD?
11	A YES, I DID.
12	Q WHEN DID YOU FIRST BECOME AWARE THAT YOUR
13	HUSBAND WAS IN FACT DECEASED?
14	A IT WASN'T UNTIL THE EVENING OF THE 27TH.
15	Q MARCH 27TH, 1980?
16	A YES, SIR.
17	Q ABOUT WHAT TIME WAS IT?
18	A I BELIEVE IT WAS ABOUT 7:00 O'CLOCK.
19	Q HOW DID THAT FACT COME TO YOUR ATTENTION?
20	A THERE WAS A POLICE OFFICER THAT CAME TO MY
21	HOME.
22	Q DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION NOW TO ON OR ABOUT
23	MARCH THE 29TH, 1980, ON THAT DATE WERE YOU CONTACTED BY REPRE-
24	SENTATIVES OF THE LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT AND
25	SHOWN CERTAIN PHOTOGRAPHS?
26	A YES, I WAS.
27	Q DO YOU REMEMBER WHERE IT WAS?
28	A IT WAS IN THE DINING ROOM OF MY HOME.
29	Q DO YOU RECALL WHAT THE NAME OR NAMES OF THE
30	OFFICERS WERE WHO RESPONDED TO SHOW YOU PICTURES?
31	A I BELIEVE THAT IT WAS OFFICER AL LEAVITT,
32	BUT I'M NOT SURE. THERE WERE QUITE A FEW OFFICERS IN AND OUT OF
	·

-451-

2	Q HE AND OFFICER CONNER AND
3	A AND A NUMBER OF OTHERS.
4	Q WERE IN AND OUT?
5	A YES.
6	Q IS IT YOUR BEST RECOLLECTION THAT OFFICER
7	AL LEAVITT SHOWED YOU CERTAIN PHOTOGRAPHS?
8	A YES, IT IS.
9	Q ON MARCH 29TH, 1980?
10	A YES, IT IS.
11	Q DO YOU REMEMBER ABOUT WHAT TIME IT WAS?
12	A IT WAS EARLY EVENING, POSSIBLY 6:00 O'CLOCK
13	Q WHAT OTHER PERSONS WERE THERE IN THE HOUSE,
14	IF ANY, AT THE TIME OFFICER LEAVITT SHOWED YOU PHOTOGRAPHS?
15	A MY SISTER BARBARA WAS THERE, MARY CATHERINE
16	Q BARBARA ZEMAN WAS THERE?
17	A YES.
18	Q AND MARY CATHERINE MONAHAM?
19	A MARY CATHERINE MONAHAN AND A NUMBER OF
20	OTHER PEOPLE FROM MY FAMILY.
21	Q DESCRIBE THE MANNER IN WHICH CERTAIN PHOTO-
22	GRAPHS WERE SHOWN TO YOU BY OFFICER LEAVITT.
23	A HE BROUGHT THEM TO THE HOME TO MY HOUSE
24	AND SET THEM OUT ON THE DINING ROOM TABLE.
25	Q DID YOU KNOW AHEAD OF TIME THAT OFFICER
26	LEAVITT WAS COMING BY?
27	A YES, I DID.
28	Q DID HE TELL YOU WHY HE WAS COMING BY YOUR
29	HOUSE?
30	A HE HAD PHONED AND I BELIEVE HE TOLD ME THAT
31	HE WAS COMING BY TO SHOW ME SOME PICTURES.
32	Q WHAT IS YOUR BEST RECOLLECTION NOW OF WHAT
	-452-

MY HOME ALL DAY. HE AND OFFICER CONNER.

		ļ
1	OFFICER LEAVITT SAID ABOUT COMING BY TO S	HOW YOU SOME PICTURES?
2	2 A AS FAR AS I CAN REME	MBER, THAT'S ALL HE
3	3 SAID.	
4	Q DID HE IN FACT SHOW	YOU SOME PICTURES?
5	5 A YES, HE DID.	
6	Q DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT	AREA OF THE HOUSE YOU
7	WERE IN?	
8	8 A I WAS SITTING IN THE	DINING ROOM.
9	Q HOW MANY PERSONS WER	E PRESENT?
10	10 A I DON'T RECALL. 1 H	AVE MEMBERS OF MY FAMILY
11	IN AND OUT ALL WEEK-END AND I DON'T REMEM	BER EXACTLY WHO WAS SIT-
12	12 TING IN THE ROOM AT THE TIME.	
13	Q WERE YOU INDEPENDENT	LY VIEWING THE PICTURES?
14	A YES, I WAS.	
15	Q HOW DID OFFICER LEAV	ITT GO ABOUT SHOWING
16	THEM TO YOU?	
17	A HE HAD ASKED BARBARA	AND MARY CATHERINE TO
18	18 GO OUT OF THE ROOM AND HE LAID THEM OUT O	N THE DINING ROOM TABLE.
19	19 Q WERE YOU ABLE AT THA	T TIME TO SEE THE BACK
20	OF ANY OF THE PHOTOGRAPHS?	
21	A NO, I WAS NOT.	
22	Q SO YOU, SIMPLY SAW TH	E FACES ON THE VARIOUS
23	PICTURES?	
24	A YES, 1 DID.	
25	Q AT THAT TIME DID OF	FICER LEAVITT SUGGEST TO
26	26 YOU IN ANYWAY WHAT PERSON OR PERSONS WERE	PERHAPS SUSPECTS?
27	A NO, HE DID NOT.	
28	Q DID YOU MAKE AN IDE	NTIFICATION?
29	A YES, I DID.	
30	MR. HARMUN: TOUR HUNUR, I	MAY I HAVE THE COURT'S
31	INDULGENCE?	
32	THE COURT: YOU MAY.	
	-453-	

	• 1		
1	MR. HARMON: MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR		
2	HONOR?		
3	THE COURT: YOU MAY.		
4			
5	BY MR. HARMON:		
6			
7	Q MRS. MONAHAN, I AM SHOWING YOU NOW PROPOSED		
8	EXHIBITS 26A THROUGH 26G. I WOULD LIKE YOU TO LOOK AT ALL OF		
9	THOSE PHOTOGRAPHS AND AFTER YOU HAVE DONE SO WILL YOU STATE		
10	WHETHER THESE APPEAR TO BE THE PICTURES THAT OFFICER LEAVITT		
11	SHOWED YOU ON MARCH 29TH, 1980, AT YOUR RESIDENCE?		
12	A YES, THEY DO.		
13	Q DO THESE PHOTOGRAPHS, PROPOSED EXHIBITS 26A		
14	THROUGH 26G, APPEAR TO BE IN SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME CONDITION NO.		
15	AS THEY WERE WHEN YOU VIEWED THEM MARCH 29TH, 1980?		
16	A YES, THEY DO.		
17	Q DO THEY ALL CARRY NUMBERS AS THEY HAVE NOW		
18	IN COURT?		
19	A I BET THEY DID, YES.		
20	Q DO YOU SEE THE PICTURE AT THIS TIME WHICH		
21	YOU IDENTIFIED ON MARCH 29TH, 1980?		
22	A YES, I DO.		
23	Q WHICH NUMBER IS 1T?		
24	A NUMBER FIVE.		
25	Q WHAT HAPPENED AFTER YOU SELECTED PHOTOGRAPH		
26	NUMBER FIVE?		
27	A THE OFFICER TURNED IT OVER AND HAD ME SIGN		
28	THE BACK OF THE PICTURE.		
29	Q WILL YOU TURN OVER PHOTOGRAPH NUMBER FIVE		
30	AT THIS TIME.		
31 32	FOR THE RECORD, IS THAT MARKED AS		
J-6	PROPOSED EXHIBIT 26E?		
	-454-		

		·
1	A	YES, IT IS.
2		ON MARCH 29TH, 1980, DID YOU SIGN THE BACK
3	OF PROPOSED EXHIB	
4		
5	A	YES, I DID.
6	Q	WHAT DID YOU WRITE? MARY L. MONAHAN, THE DATE AND THE TIME.
- 7		
8	•	AND WHAT DATE DID YOU PLACE THERE?
9		3-29-80.
-	•	AND WHAT TIME DID YOU PUT THERE?
10	A	8:30 0'CLOCK.
11	Q	NOW, YOU SAID EARLIER THAT YOU THOUGHT IT
12	WAS THERE IN THE MORNING. WOULD THE 8:30 O'CLOCK BE IN THE	
13	MORNING OR EVENIN	
14	A	IT WAS IN THE EVENING.
15	Q	MRS. MONAHAN, IS THE SAME PERSON WHOSE
16	PHOTOGRAPH YOU ID	ENTIFIED ON MARCH 29TH, 1980, PRESENT IN COURT
17	TODAY?	
18		YES, HE IS.
19		WHO IS IT?
20	А	IT'S THE DEFENDANT SITTING OVER THERE (IND)
21	CATING).	
22	Q	WHEN YOU SAW THE PHOTOGRAPH, WHICH IS NUM-
23	BERED FIVE, AND W	HICH IS MARKED FOR THE PURPOSES OF IDENTIFICATION
24	ì .	BIT 26E, ON MARCH 29TH, 1980, HOW SURE WERE YOU
25	OF YOUR IDENTIFICATION?	
26	Α.	I WAS VERY SURE.
27	Q	WHEN YOU MADE THAT IDENTIFICATION, WHEN
28	WERE YOU SAYING	OU HAD SEEN THIS PERSON BEFORE WHO WAS SHOWN
29	IN PROPOSED EXHIE	
30	A	I HAD SEEN HIM AT CAESARS PALACE ON MARCH 26
31		
32	A	1980.
		-455-

ı	Q AT ABOUT 8:00 O'CLOCK P.M.?
2	A YES, SIR.
3	THE COURT: WE WILL TAKE A RECESS AT THIS TIME
4	FOR ABOUT TEN MINUTES.
5	LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, DURING
6	THIS RECESS YOU ARE ADMONISHED NOT
7	TO CONVERSE AMONG YOURSELVES OR
8	WITH ANYONE ELSE ON ANY SUBJECT
9	CONNECTED WITH THIS TRIAL, OR READ,
10	WATCH OR LISTEN TO ANY REPORT OF OR
11	COMMENTARY ON THIS TRIAL WITH ANY
12	PERSON CONNECTED WITH THIS TRIAL BY
13	ANY MEDIUM OF INFORMATION, INCLUDING
14	WITHOUT LIMITATION, NEWSPAPER, TELE-
15	VISION OR RADIO OR FORM OR EXPRESS
16	ANY OPINION ON ANY SUBJECT CONNECTED
17	WITH THIS TRIAL UNTIL THE CASE IS
18	FINALLY SUBMITTED TO YOU.
19	WE WILL BE IN RECESS FOR TEN MINUTES.
20	(WHEREUPON, FROM 4:10 P.M.,
21	UNTIL 4:30 P.M., A RECESS WAS
22	HAD IN THE PROCEEDINGS, AT THE
23	CONCLUSION OF WHICH THE FOLLOW-
24	ING WAS HAD:)
25	THE COURT: COUNSEL, STIPULATE TO THE PRESENCE
26 27	OF THE JURY?
28	MR. SEATON: YES, YOUR HONOR.
29	MR. FRANZEN: YES, YOUR HONOR.
30	MR. COOPER: YES, YOUR HONOR.
31	THE COURT: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE JURY, I
32	UNDERSTAND YOUR DISCOMFORT, YESTERDAY WE WERE BURNING UP AND TODAY WE'RE FREEZING, SO I WOULD SUGGEST THAT YOU BRING COATS
	TODAL RE PREEZING. SO I WOOLD SUGGEST TANT TOO BRING CONTY

-456-

II.	t.
1	AND TRY TO OUT THINK THE ENGINEERS IN THIS BUILDING, SINCE
2	APPARENTLY THEY KEEP PUTTING US IN THIS POSITION.
3	COUNSEL, CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS.
4	MR. HARMON: THANK YOU.
5	I WOULD LIKE TO RECALL MARY LOU MONAHAN.
6	THE COURT: YES. COME FORWARD, PLEASE.
7	MR. HARMON: MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR
8	HONOR?
9	THE COURT: YOU MAY.
10	
11	BY MR. HARMON:
12	
13	Q MRS. MONAHAN, 1 AM SHOWING YOU AN OBJECT
14	WHICH HAS BEEN MARKED AS STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 248. I WOULD
15	LIKE YOU TO LOOK AT THAT AND STATE WHETHER YOU CAN SAY THAT THAT
16	LOOKS LIKE ANY OBJECT THAT YOU SAW ON OR ABOUT MARCH THE 26TH,
17	1980, IN THE AREA OF CAESARS PALACE.
18	A YES, IT DOES.
19	Q WHEN DID YOU SEE AN OBJECT IN THE AREA OF
20	CAESARS PALACE ON MARCH 26TH, 1980, WHICH LOOKS LIKE STATE'S
21	PROPOSED EXHIBIT 24B?
22	A IT LOOKS LIKE THE ONE THAT WAS CARRIED BY
23	THE DEFENDANT KEITH, OR THE MAN THAT WE KNEW AS KEITH AT THAT
24	TIME.
25	Q YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT A WALKIE-TALKIE RADIO
26	A YES.
27	Q WAS IT YOUR TESTIMONY THAT YOU BELIEVE YOU
28	FIRST SAW THAT OBJECT IN HIS HAND?
29	A YES, I DID.
30	Q AND THEN LATER ATTACHED TO HIS WAISTLINE
31	SOMEWHERE?
32	A THAT'S CORRECT.
	11

-457-

1	Q WHY DO YOU SAY THAT PROPOSED EXHIBIT 24B
2	LOOKS LIKE THAT SAME WALKIE-TALKIE RADIO?
з	A JUST LOOKS LIKE THE SAME COLOR, SAME TYPE
4	OF ANTENNA THAT IT HAD ON IT.
5	MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, MAY I AGAIN HAVE THE
6	COURT'S INDULGENCE.
7	MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR HONOR?
8	THE COURT: YOU MAY.
9	
10	BY MR. HARMON:
11	
12	· Q MRS. MONAHAN, 1 AM SHOWING YOU NOW WHAT
13	APPEARS TO BE SOME TYPE OF EVIDENCE BAG FOR IDENTIFICATION. IT'S
14	MARKED AS PROPOSED EXHIBIT 44. IS IT IN A SEALED CONDITION AT
15	THIS TIME?
16	A YES, IT IS.
17	Q WITHOUT DISPLAYING THE CONTENTS OF THE BAG
18	TO THE JURY
19	MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, COULD WE APPROACH THE
20	BENCH?
21	THE COURT: YES.
22	(WHEREUPON, SIDE BAR CONFERENCE
23	WAS HELD AT THE BENCH; NOT
24	REPORTED.)
25	THE COURT: PROCEED.
26 27	
28	BY MR. HARMON:
29	
30	Q MRS. MONAHAN, I AM HANDING YOU A PAIR OF
31	SCISSORS, AND I WOULD LIKE YOU TO CUT THE EVIDENCE BAG, PROPOSED
32	EXHIBIT 44, OPEN AT THE BOTTOM, PLEASE.
	A YEAH.
	-458-

- 1	
1	Q ALL RIGHT. THAT'S FINE.
2	PERHAPS YOU CAN CUT IT OVER HERE (INDI-
3	CATING).
4	MRS. MONAHAN, AT THIS POINT, WITHOUT
5	DISPLAYING THE CONTENTS OF THE BAG TO THE JURY, I WOULD LIKE
6	YOU TO EXAMINE THE CONTENTS AND STATE WHETHER YOU SEE ANY TYPE
7	OF ARTICLE OF CLOTHING THAT LOOKS SIMILAR TO SOMETHING YOU HAVE
8	SEEN BEFORE.
9	A YES, 1 DO.
10	Q WILL YOU REMOVE THAT ITEM, PLEASE.
11	MR. FRANZEN: MAY THE RECORD REFLECT THE WITNESS
12	HAS REMOVED A BROWN LEATHER JACKET WITH A FUR COLLAR.
13	THE COURT: THE RECORD MAY SO SHOW.
14	
15	BY MR. HARMON:
16	
17	Q ARE THERE ALSO OTHER CONTENTS?
18	· A YES, THERE ARE.
19	Q WELL, PERHAPS BEFORE YOU PUT IT BACK, DO
20	YOU SEE ANY OTHER CONTENTS?
21	A YES, I DO.
22	Q DOES THE OTHER CONTENTS ALSO APPEAR TO BE
23	A JACKET?
24	A YES, IT DOES.
25	Q NOW, HAVE YOU REMOVED THE ITEM WHICH LOOKS
26	LIKE THE ITEM YOU SAW BEFORE?
27	A NO, IT DOES NOT. IN IN COLOR IT RESEM-
26	BLED IT, BUT I DON'T REMEMBER THAT IT HAD A FUR COLLAR ON IT.
29	Q WILL YOU EXAMINE THE OTHER JACKET, PLEASE.
30 31	A 0.K.
32	Q DO EITHER OF THESE JACKETS LOOK LIKE ITEMS
	THAT YOU'VE SEEN BEFORE?
	-459-

1	A YES. THE SECOND ONE DOES.
2	Q WHAT IS THERE ABOUT THE SECOND JACKET THAT
3	LOOKS SIMILAR?
4	A THE COLOR, AND I RECALL THAT IT WAS A SHORT
5	WAIST-TYPE JACKET.
6	Q NOW, ORIGINALLY YOU REMOVED THE FIRST
7	JACKET WHICH IS A LITTLE DARKER IN COLOR; 15 THAT CORRECT?
8	A YES, IT IS.
9	Q WHEN IS IT YOU BELIEVE THAT YOU SAW THE
10	JACKET WHICH I HAVE IN MY HAND AT THIS TIME?
11	A IT WAS BEING WORN BY THE DEFENDANT WHEN WE
12	SHOWED HIM THE VAN ON MARCH 26TH AT CAESARS PALACE.
13	Q WILL YOU PLACE THE OTHER JACKET, THE ONE
14	THAT HAS THE FUR COLLAR, BACK INTO THE EVIDENCE BAG, PLEASE?
15	A UH-HUH.
16	MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, MAY WE HAVE THIS ITEM
17	MARKED AS PROPOSED EXHIBIT, I BELIEVE 11'S 41A?
18	THE COURT: IT MAY BE MARKED.
19	THE CLERK: 44.
20	MR. HARMON: 44, EXCUSE ME. 44.
21	MR. FRANZEN: THAT'S THE BAG, 41A, OR IS THAT THE
22	JACKET?
23	MR. HARMON: THE BAG 15 44.
24	MAY I HAVE THE COURT'S INDULGENCE AGAIN?
25	THE COURT: YOU MAY.
26	MR. HARMON: MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR
27	HONOR?
28	THE COURT: YOU MAY.
29	
30	BY MR. HARMON:
31	
32	Q NOW, MRS. MONAHAN, WHAT IS IT ABOUT PROPOSED
	-460-
	11

- 1	
1	EXHIBIT 44A THAT CAUSES YOU TO SAY THIS LOOKS LIKE THE JACKET
2	WORN BY THE DEFENDANT ON MARCH 26TH, 1980, IN THE AREA OF
3	CAESARS PALACE?
4	A THE COLOR OF THE JACKET AND THE FACT THAT
5	IT IS A SHORT JACKET, IT COMES DOWN TO ABOUT THE WAIST LENGTH.
6	Q DOES THIS JACKET APPEAR TO BE MADE OUT OF
7	THE SAME MATERIAL?
8	A YES, IT'S LEATHER.
9	Q DO YOU RECALL WHETHER THE JACKET WORN BY
10	THE DEFENDANT ON MARCH 26TH, 1980, HAD ANY TYPE OF FUR COLLAR?
11	A TO MY BEST RECOLLECTION IT DID NOT.
12	Q WHAT CAUSES YOU, AFTER VIEWING BOTH JACKETS
13	WHICH WERE IN PROPOSED EXHIBIT 44, TO DECIDE THAT THE JACKET
14	LOOKING MORE LIKE THE ONE WORN BY THE DEFENDANT IS THE ITEM NOW
15	MARKED AS PROPOSED EXHIBIT 44A?
16	A HAS A LITTLE BIT MORE OF A YELLOWISH TINT
	A LITTLE BY HOLD OF A LIBERTY
17	TO IT AND THE FACT THAT I DID NOT REMEMBER ANY FUR AROUND THE
- 1	
17	TO IT AND THE FACT THAT I DID NOT REMEMBER ANY FUR AROUND THE
17 18	TO IT AND THE FACT THAT I DID NOT REMEMBER ANY FUR AROUND THE
17 18 19	TO IT AND THE FACT THAT I DID NOT REMEMBER ANY FUR AROUND THE COLLAR. MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, AGAIN MAY I HAVE THE
17 18 19 20	TO IT AND THE FACT THAT I DID NOT REMEMBER ANY FUR AROUND THE COLLAR. MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, AGAIN MAY I HAVE THE COURT'S INDULGENCE?
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	TO IT AND THE FACT THAT I DID NOT REMEMBER ANY FUR AROUND THE COLLAR. MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, AGAIN MAY I HAVE THE COURT'S INDULGENCE? THE COURT: YOU MAY.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	TO IT AND THE FACT THAT I DID NOT REMEMBER ANY FUR AROUND THE COLLAR. MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, AGAIN MAY I HAVE THE COURT'S INDULGENCE? THE COURT: YOU MAY. MR. HARMON: MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	TO IT AND THE FACT THAT I DID NOT REMEMBER ANY FUR AROUND THE COLLAR. MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, AGAIN MAY I HAVE THE COURT'S INDULGENCE? THE COURT: YOU MAY. MR. HARMON: MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR HONOR?
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	TO IT AND THE FACT THAT I DID NOT REMEMBER ANY FUR AROUND THE COLLAR. MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, AGAIN MAY I HAVE THE COURT'S INDULGENCE? THE COURT: YOU MAY. MR. HARMON: MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR HONOR?
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	TO IT AND THE FACT THAT I DID NOT REMEMBER ANY FUR AROUND THE COLLAR. MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, AGAIN MAY I HAVE THE COURT'S INDULGENCE? THE COURT: YOU MAY. MR. HARMON: MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR HONOR? THE COURT: YOU MAY.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	TO IT AND THE FACT THAT I DID NOT REMEMBER ANY FUR AROUND THE COLLAR. MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, AGAIN MAY I HAVE THE COURT'S INDULGENCE? THE COURT: YOU MAY. MR. HARMON: MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR HONOR? THE COURT: YOU MAY.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	TO IT AND THE FACT THAT I DID NOT REMEMBER ANY FUR AROUND THE COLLAR. MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, AGAIN MAY I HAVE THE COURT'S INDULGENCE? THE COURT: YOU MAY. MR. HARMON: MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR HONOR? THE COURT: YOU MAY. BY MR. HARMON: Q MRS. MONAHAN, I AM SHOWING YOU NOW A SERIES OF PHOTOGRAPHS MARKED AS PROPOSED EXHIBITS 50 THROUGH 54. I
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	TO IT AND THE FACT THAT I DID NOT REMEMBER ANY FUR AROUND THE COLLAR. MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, AGAIN MAY I HAVE THE COURT'S INDULGENCE? THE COURT: YOU MAY. MR. HARMON: MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR HONOR? THE COURT: YOU MAY. BY MR. HARMON: Q MRS. MONAHAN, I AM SHOWING YOU NOW A SERIES OF PHOTOGRAPHS MARKED AS PROPOSED EXHIBITS 50 THROUGH 54. I WOULD LIKE YOU TO EXAMINE THE PHOTOGRAPHS AND AFTER YOU'VE
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	TO IT AND THE FACT THAT I DID NOT REMEMBER ANY FUR AROUND THE COLLAR. MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, AGAIN MAY I HAVE THE COURT'S INDULGENCE? THE COURT: YOU MAY. MR. HARMON: MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR HONOR? THE COURT: YOU MAY. BY MR. HARMON: Q MRS. MONAHAN, I AM SHOWING YOU NOW A SERIES OF PHOTOGRAPHS MARKED AS PROPOSED EXHIBITS 50 THROUGH 54. I

-461-

1	TAKEN OF YOUR 1977 DODGE VAN OR AT LEAST IS A SIMILAR VEHICLE.
2	A YES, IT IS.
3	Q HOW DO YOU RECOGNIZE PROPOSED EXHIBITS 50
4	THROUGH 54?
5	A PRIMARILY BY THE OUTSIDE OF THE VAN.
6	Q IT'S THE SAME TYPE OF OUTSIDE AS EXISTED
7	ON YOUR 1977 DODGE VAN?
8	A WITHOUT IT DOES NOT HAVE THE LETTERS
9	"BLACK OAK" ON IT ANY LONGER, BUT IT DOES APPEAR THE SAME OTHER-
10	WISE.
11	Q BUT THE PAINT IS NOT DIFFERENT; IS THAT
12	RIGHT; IN PROPOSED EXHIBITS 50 THROUGH 54?
13	MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, AGAIN I AM GOING TO
14	HAVE TO OBJECT. THERE'S BEEN NO FOUNDATION FOR THIS. THEY HAVE
15	NOT BEEN ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE AND THE WITNESS IS TESTIFYING
16	ABOUT THEM.
17	MR. HARMON: WELL, THIS IS PART OF THE FOUNDATION
18	I DON'T MEAN TO HAVE HER TESTIFYING FROM THE EXHIBIT.
19	THE COURT: IT THINK IT'S PRELIMINARY AT THIS
20	POINT, COUNSEL. THERE'S BEEN NO TIE AT THIS POINT.
21	PROCEED.
22	MR. HARMON: THANK YOU.
23	
24	BY MR. HARMON:
25	·
26	Q DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION SPECIFICALLY TO
27	THE PASSENGER'S SIDE DOORS, AS ARE DEPICTED IN PROPOSED EXHIBITS
28	50 THROUGH 54, DO THEY APPEAR TO BE IDENTICAL TO THE CONDITION
29	OF THE PASSENGER'S DOORS AS THEY WOULD HAVE EXISTED ON YOUR 1977
30	DODGE VAN ON MARCH 26TH AND 27TH, 1980?
31	MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, THE WITNESS IS NOW
32	TESTIFYING FROM IT, RATHER THAN MERELY IDENTIFYING IT. AGAIN WE
l	

ì

INDEED WHAT VAN THEY DEPICT. 2 THE COURT: STILL PRELIMINARY. THE OBJECTION IS 3 OVERRULED. 4 MR. HARMON: THANK YOU. THE COURT: PROCEED. 6 8 BY MR. HARMON: . 9 DO YOU RECALL THE QUESTION? 10 NO. 11 1 AM DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION SPECIFICALLY 12 TO THE PASSENGER DOORS. I AM NOT TALKING ABOUT COLOR. 1 AM 13 REFERRING TO THE POSITION OF THE DOORS, DOOR HANDLES, DOOR KNOBS 14 DOES THE APPEARANCE OF THE PASSENGER'S 15 SIDE OF THE VEHICLE SHOWN IN PROPOSED EXHIBITS 50 THROUGH 54 16 APPEAR TO BE IDENTICAL TO THE CONDITION OF YOUR 1977 DODGE VAN 17 AS 1T EXISTED MARCH 26TH AND MARCH 27TH, 1980? 18 YES, THEY DO. 19 DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION SPECIFICALLY TO 20 0 PROPOSED -- EXCUSE ME, EXHIBIT 54. DO YOU RECALL HOW YOUR 1977 21 DODGE VAN LOOKED WHEN ALL OF THE DOORS WERE OPEN ON THE PASSENGER'S 22 23 SIDE, AS OF MARCH 26TH, 1980? 24 YES, I DO. DOES THE VEHICLE DEPICTED IN PROPOSED 25 EXHIBIT 54, WHICH SHOWS ALL THE DOORS OPEN ON THE PASSENGER'S 26 27 SIDE, LOOK THE SAME? 28 MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, AGAIN I FEEL I MUST INTERPOSE AN OBJECTION. THIS IS -- THIS ISN'T PART OF THE 29 30 FOUNDATION. THIS IS PART OF THE QUESTIONING REGARDING WHAT IS DEPICTED IN THESE PHOTOGRAPHS AND MAKING COMPARISONS. AND I 31 WOULD LIKE TO KNOW THE FOUNDATION FOR THESE PHOTOGRAPHS.

-463-

STILL HAVE NO FOUNDATION AS TO WHERE THESE PICTURES CAME FROM OR

1	THE COURT: OVERRULED. PROCEED.
2	THE WITNESS: YES. THEY WERE STANDING WIDE OPEN
3	LIKE THEY ARE IN THIS PHOTOGRAPH (INDICATING).
4	
5	BY MR. HARMON:
6	·
, 7	Q AND BY "THIS PHOTOGRAPH" YOU'RE REFERRING
8	TO PROPOSED EXHIBIT 54?
9	A THAT'S CORRECT.
10	MR. HARMON: THANK YOU.
11	YOUR HONOR, THAT CONCLUDES DIRECT EXAMINA-
12	TION.
13	THE COURT: CROSS.
14	MR. COOPER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
15	COURT'S INDULGENCE, PLEASE.
16	
17	CROSS EXAMINATION
18	<u> </u>
19	BY MR. COOPER:
20	B) MK, COOPER.
21	O MOS MONADAM THE BHOTOGRAPHS THAT YOU WERE
22	Q MRS. MONAHAN, THE PHOTOGRAPHS THAT YOU WERE SHOWN THAT WERE MARKED STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBITS 50 THROUGH 54
23	EXCUSE ME, 50 50 THROUGH 54, THEY ALL DEPICT A VAN VEHICLE;
24	IS THAT RIGHT?
25	
26	
27	Q IS THIS THE SAME VAN THAT YOUR HUSBAND OWNED?
28	. A YES, IT IS.
	Q YOU HAVE TESTIFIED THAT THE VAN HAD BEEN UP
29	FOR SALE FOR SOME TIME; IS THAT RIGHT?
30	A THAT IS CORRECT.
31	Q HOW LONG HAD IT BEEN UP FOR SALE ON THE DAY
32	YOU AND YOUR HUSBAND AND YOUR SISTER AND STEP-DAUGHTER MET THIS
	-464-
	1

11	
2	A FOR APPROXIMATELY TWO MONTHS, I BELIEVE.
3	Q TWO MONTHS?
4	A YES, SIR.
5	Q HOW OFTEN DURING THAT TWO-MONTH PERIOD WOULD
6	YOU SAY THAT YOUR HUSBAND PARKED THE VAN AT THE CORNER OF MARY-
7	LAND PARKWAY AND DESERT INN ROAD?
8	A HE PARKED IT THERE HE HAD JUST STARTED
9	PARKING IT THERE FOR ABOUT TWO WEEKS, AND IT WOULD HAVE BEEN
10	TUESDAYS THROUGH FRIDAYS. " '
11	Q IN FACT, HE WOULD PARK THE VAN THERE ON
12	OCCASION AND WALK TO HIS OFFICE WHICH IS NEARBY; IS THAT RIGHT?
13	A WHEN THE WEATHER WAS RIGHT HE DID THAT, YES
14	Q SO THAT ANYONE COULD HAVE ANY INTERESTED
15	PARTY COULD HAVE COME TO THE VAN, TOUCHED THE VAN, TRIED TO OPEN
16	THE VAN DOORS, EXAMINED IT FROM THE EXTERIOR; IS THAT RIGHT?
17	A THAT IS CORRECT.
18	Q DURING THE TWO-MONTH PERIOD THAT YOUR HUSBA
19	HAD BEEN TRYING TO SELL THE VAN, DO YOU KNOW IF THERE WERE A
20	NUMBER OF PERSPECTIVE BUYERS OF THAT VAN?
21	A NO, THERE WERE NOT.
22	Q HE HAD NEVER TALKED, TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, TO
23	ANYONE WHO EXPRESSED AN INTEREST IN BUYING THAT VAN PRIOR TO THE
24	EVENING YOU MET THIS GENTLEMAN AT CAESARS PALACE?
25	A NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE.
26	Q DID HE EVER TALK TO YOU ABOUT PERSPECTIVE
27	PURCHASERS?
28	A WE HAD RUN AN AD IN THE PAPER, IN THE REVIE
29	JOURNAL, TWO DIFFERENT TIMES. WE MADE TO THE BEST OF MY
30	KNOWLEDGE WE MAY HAVE GOTTEN A COUPLE CALLS ON IT BUT WE HAD NOT
31	SHOWN IT TO ANYONE OR TALKED TO ANYONE AT LENGTH ABOUT IT.
32	Q EXCUSE ME.

-465-

MAN AT CAESARS PALACE?

1	THE FOR SALE SIGN THAT WAS IN THE VAN,					
2	IT INCLUDED YOUR HUSBAND'S BUSINESS PHONE NUMBER; IS THAT RIGHT?					
3	A THAT'S RIGHT.					
4	Q DID IT ALSO INCLUDE THE BUSINESS ADDRESS?					
5	A NO, IT DID NOT					
6	Q I SEE.					
7	SO THAT ANYONE WHO CALLED YOUR HUSBAND'S					
8	BUSINESS NUMBER WOULD COULD FIND OUT THAT IT WAS A DENTIST'S					
9	OFFICE?					
10	A YES, THEY COULD.					
11	Q AND WHERE IT WAS LOCATED?					
12	A THAT'S CORRECT.					
13	Q 0.K.					
14	NOW, YOU TESTIFIED THAT AFTER RECEIVING					
15	THE PHONE CALL FROM THIS INDIVIDUAL WHO IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS					
16	KEITH, SOMETIME LATER YOU, YOUR HUSBAND, YOUR SISTER AND YOUR					
17	STEP-DAUGHTER WENT TO CAESARS PALACE; IS THAT CORRECT?					
18	A THAT'S CORRECT.					
19	Q HOW OLD WAS YOUR STEP-DAUGHTER AT THE TIME?					
20	A I BELIEVE SHE WAS 14.					
21	Q AND THE FOUR OF YOU WENT TO CAESARS PALACE?					
22	A , YES, WE DID.					
23	Q WHEN YOU ARRIVED AT THAT LOCATION, YOU					
24	TESTIFIED THAT BEFORE THE DEFENDANT REACHED THE VAN ALL OF THE					
25	DOORS WERE OPEN; IS THAT RIGHT?					
26	A THAT IS CORRECT.					
27	Q WHERE IN RELATION TO THE TO THE VAN, DID					
28	YOU, YOUR SISTER AND YOUR STEP-DAUGHTER STAND WHEN YOU GOT OUT					
29	OF THE VAN?					
30	A WE WERE APPROXIMATELY WE STOOD NEAR A					
31	COLUMN ON THE SIDE OF THE BUILDING, PROBABLY ABOUT 51X FEET AWAY					
32	FROM THE VAN.					
	-466-					
	II .					

1	Q I SEE. 1 SEE.
2	WOULD YOU HAVE BEEN TO THE REAR OF THE
3	VAN? TO THE RIGHT SIDE? THE LEFT SIDE?
4	A NO. WE WERE TOWARDS THE FRONT.
5	Q TOWARDS THE FRONT. I SEE.
6	HOW LONG DID THE MEETING WITH THIS MAN,
7	WHO IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS KEITH, LAST THERE AT CAESARS PALACE,
8	AT AT CAESARS PALACE?
9	A APPROXIMATELY TEN OR FIFTEEN MINUTES.
10	Q DID YOU DID YOU KEEP THIS PERSON WITHIN
11	YOUR WITHIN YOUR VISION THAT ENTIRE PERIOD OF TIME?
12	A YES, I DID.
13	Q YOU NEVER LOOKED AWAY, OUT TOWARDS THE
14	STRIP OR LOOKED BEHIND YOU?
15	A OH, I CAN'T SAY THAT I STARED AT HIM THE
16	WHOLE TIME, BUT HE WAS DEFINITELY IN FRONT OF ME THE ENTIRE TIME.
17	Q YOU'VE TESTIFIED, I BELIEVE EARLIER, THAT
18	HE INSPECTED THE VAN FOR ABOUT TEN MINUTES OR SO.
19	A HE DIDN'T INSPECT THE VAN THAT LONG. THAT
20	WAS HOW LONG WE SPENT OR MY HUSBAND SPENT SPEAKING WITH HIM. HE
21	LOOKED AT THE VAN VERY BRIEFLY.
22	Q IN YOUR STATEMENT THAT YOU GAVE THE POLICE
23	DID YOU SAY IN YOUR STATEMENT THAT HE LOOKED AT THIS VAN FOR
24	ABOUT FIVE OR SEVEN MINUTES?
25	A APPROXIMATELY THAT LENGTH OF TIME, YES.
26	Q TELL ME WHAT HE DID DURING THAT FIVE OR
27	SEVEN-MINUTE PERIOD WHEN HE WAS LOOKING AT THE VAN.
28	A HE LOOKED INTO THE VAN THROUGH THE TWO OPEN
29	DOORS ON THE SIDE, LEANED IN A LITTLE BIT, JUST KNELT ON ONE
30	KNEE AND LOOKED INTO THE VAN SO THAT HE COULD SEE BOTH THE REAR
31	AND THE FRONT OF THE VAN FROM THE INSIDE. THEN HE GOT BACK OUT
32	OF THE VAN AND SPOKE TO MY HUSBAND FOR A LITTLE WHILE.

-467-

1	Q SO HE PUT HIS KNEE, YOU BELIEVE, INSIDE THE
2	VAN AND LOOKED INSIDE?
3	A YES, SIR.
4	Q CAN YOU BE CERTAIN THAT HE DID THAT, THAT
5	HE KNELT INSIDE?
6	A THAT HE LEANED INSIDE. HE DIDN'T GET ALL
7	THE WAY IN.
8	Q THAT - WHICH SIDE WOULD THE PASSENGER DOORS
9	HAVE BEEN ON THAT HE WHERE HE LEANED INTO THE VAN? WOULD THAT
10	HAVE BEEN ON THE PASSENGER'S SIDE OR THE DRIVER'S SIDE OF THE
11	VAN?
12	A ON THE PASSENGER'S SIDE.
13	Q ALL RIGHT.
14	AND YOU WOULD HAVE BEEN STANDING TO THE
15	FRONT OF THE VAN?
16	A WE WERE ON THE SIDE OF THE VAN, STILL EVEN
17	WITH THE VAN BUT TOWARDS THE FRONT, YES.
18	Q AND YOU OBSERVED HIM DO THIS; IS THAT RIGHT?
19	AYES, 1 DID.
20	Q DID THAT TAKE ALL OF FIVE TO SEVEN MINUTES?
21	A APPROXIMATELY, Y.ES.
22	. Q FOR FIVE TO SEVEN MINUTES HE LEANED INSIDE
23	THE VAN LOOKING?
24	A NO. THAT'S HE LEANED INSIDE THE VAN
25	JUST BRIEFLY AND GOT RIGHT BACK OUT.
26	Q DID HE WALK AROUND THE VAN AT ALL?
27	A I DON'T BELIEVE THAT HE WALKED ALL THE WAY
28	AROUND THE VAN WHILE WE WERE PARKED THERE, NO.
29	Q YOU SAY YOU DON'T BELIEVE THAT HE DID. DO
30	YOU RECALL WHETHER OR NOT HE DID?
31	A TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, HE DID NOT WALK
32	AROUND THE VAN.
	-468-

	,
1	Q IS IT POSSIBLE THAT HE COULD HAVE AND YOU
2	JUST DON'T RECALL THAT?
3	A IT'S POSSIBLE.
4	Q WHEN THE VAN FIRST PULLED UP, I THINK IT
5	WAS YOUR TESTIMONY, THAT THIS MAN WENT TO THE DRIVER'S SIDE OF
6	THE VAN; IS THAT RIGHT?
7	A THAT'S CORRECT.
8	Q ALL RIGHT.
9	AND YOUR HUSBAND THEN GOT OUT OF THE
10	VAN OR WAS HE ALREADY OUT?
11	A NO. WHEN HE WENT AROUND THE DRIVER'S SIDE
12	THAT WAS WHEN WE FIRST PULLED INTO CAESARS PALACE AND NOBODY GOT
13	OUT OF THE VAN AT THAT TIME.
14	Q I SEE.
15	A THAT'S WHEN HE STOPPED, JUST IDENTIFIED
16	HIMSELF, AND THEN WE PULLED FORWARD.
17	Q I SEE.
18	HE DID TELL YOU, EITHER AT THAT TIME OR
19	WHEN HE TALKED TO YOU ON THE PHONE, THAT HE HAD SEEN THE VAN ON
20	A PRIOR OCCASION?
21	A YES, HE DID.
22	WE THE THE LAR CEEN IT ONCE
	Q DID HE INDICATE THAT HE HAD SEEN IT ONCE
23	OR MORE THAN ONCE?
24	
24 25	OR MORE THAN ONCE?
24 25 26	OR MORE THAN ONCE? A NO, HE DID NOT. HE JUST SAID HE LIKED IT FROM THE OUTSIDE BUT COULD NOT SEE EXACTLY WHAT IT WAS LIKE INSIDE.
24 25 26 27	OR MORE THAN ONCE? A NO, HE DID NOT. HE JUST SAID HE LIKED IT FROM THE OUTSIDE BUT COULD NOT SEE EXACTLY WHAT IT WAS LIKE
24 25 26 27 28	OR MORE THAN ONCE? A NO, HE DID NOT. HE JUST SAID HE LIKED IT FROM THE OUTSIDE BUT COULD NOT SEE EXACTLY WHAT IT WAS LIKE INSIDE. Q HE SAID HE COULDN'T SEE EXACTLY WHAT IT WAS LIKE INSIDE?
24 25 26 27 28 29	OR MORE THAN ONCE? A NO, HE DID NOT. HE JUST SAID HE LIKED IT FROM THE OUTSIDE BUT COULD NOT SEE EXACTLY WHAT IT WAS LIKE INSIDE. Q HE SAID HE COULDN'T SEE EXACTLY WHAT IT WAS LIKE INSIDE? A SAID SOMETHING TO THAT EFFECT, YES.
24 25 26 27 28 29	OR MORE THAN ONCE? A NO, HE DID NOT. HE JUST SAID HE LIKED IT FROM THE OUTSIDE BUT COULD NOT SEE EXACTLY WHAT IT WAS LIKE INSIDE. Q HE SAID HE COULDN'T SEE EXACTLY WHAT IT WAS LIKE INSIDE? A SAID SOMETHING TO THAT EFFECT, YES. Q WERE YOU EVER ASKED, MRS. MONAHAN, TO GIVE
24 25 26 27 28 29 30	OR MORE THAN ONCE? A NO, HE DID NOT. HE JUST SAID HE LIKED IT FROM THE OUTSIDE BUT COULD NOT SEE EXACTLY WHAT IT WAS LIKE INSIDE. Q HE SAID HE COULDN'T SEE EXACTLY WHAT IT WAS LIKE INSIDE? A SAID SOMETHING TO THAT EFFECT, YES. Q WERE YOU EVER ASKED, MRS. MONAHAN, TO GIVE A PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS INDIVIDUAL YOU SAW PRIOR TO THE
24 25 26 27 28 29	OR MORE THAN ONCE? A NO, HE DID NOT. HE JUST SAID HE LIKED IT FROM THE OUTSIDE BUT COULD NOT SEE EXACTLY WHAT IT WAS LIKE INSIDE. Q HE SAID HE COULDN'T SEE EXACTLY WHAT IT WAS LIKE INSIDE? A SAID SOMETHING TO THAT EFFECT, YES. Q WERE YOU EVER ASKED, MRS. MONAHAN, TO GIVE
24 25 26 27 28 29 30	OR MORE THAN ONCE? A NO, HE DID NOT. HE JUST SAID HE LIKED IT FROM THE OUTSIDE BUT COULD NOT SEE EXACTLY WHAT IT WAS LIKE INSIDE. Q HE SAID HE COULDN'T SEE EXACTLY WHAT IT WAS LIKE INSIDE? A SAID SOMETHING TO THAT EFFECT, YES. Q WERE YOU EVER ASKED, MRS. MONAHAN, TO GIVE A PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS INDIVIDUAL YOU SAW PRIOR TO THE
24 25 26 27 28 29 30	OR MORE THAN ONCE? A NO, HE DID NOT. HE JUST SAID HE LIKED IT FROM THE OUTSIDE BUT COULD NOT SEE EXACTLY WHAT IT WAS LIKE INSIDE. Q HE SAID HE COULDN'T SEE EXACTLY WHAT IT WAS LIKE INSIDE? A SAID SOMETHING TO THAT EFFECT, YES. Q WERE YOU EVER ASKED, MRS. MONAHAN, TO GIVE A PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS INDIVIDUAL YOU SAW PRIOR TO THE TIME YOU WERE SHOWN THE PHOTOGRAPH INSIDE THE POLICE OFFICE?

	į
1	A YES, 1 WAS.
2	Q CAN YOU GIVE ME THE DESCRIPTION YOU GAVE THE
3	POLICE?
4	A TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, I TOLD THEM HE
5	WAS MEDIUM STATURE; APPROXIMATELY FIVE FOOT EIGHT; I DON'T RECALL
6	EXACTLY THE WEIGHT THAT I GAVE THEM AT THE TIME, BUT SOMEWHERE
7	AROUND 170 POUNDS; I TOLD THEM THAT I THOUGHT HE HAD SOME TYPE
8	OF FACIAL HAIR, LONG SIDEBURNS.
9	Q DO YOU RECALL WHETHER HE HAD A MOUSTACHE?
10	A NO, I DO NOT.
11	Q IS THERE ANYTHING IN THE DESCRIPTION THAT
12	YOU GAVE THE POLICE DID YOU COMPLETE THAT? WAS THERE ANYTHING
13	ELSE YOU TOLD THEM?
14	A I TOLD THEM THAT HE WAS WEARING A LEATHER
15	JACKET; SOME TYPE OF CASUAL PANTS, EITHER JEANS OR LEVI-TYPE
16	PANTS; TENNIS SHOES; AND WAS CARRYING A WALKIE-TALKIE.
17	Q THIS WALKIE-TALKIE THAT YOU SAW HIM CARRY-
18	ING, YOU RECOGNIZED IT AS A WALKIE-TALKIE AT THE TIME?
19	A YES, I DID.
20	Q I SEE.
21	AND IT WAS IN HIS HAND; IS THAT RIGHT?
22	A YES, IT WAS.
23	Q I SEE.
24	AT WHAT POINT DID HE PUT IT IN HIS
25	WAISTBAND OR ON HIS BELT?
26	A ! DON'T RECOLLECT SEEING HIM DO THAT, BUT
27	I BELIEVE HE WAS WEARING IT ON HIS BELT.
28	Q YOU DIDN'T SEE HIM PUT IT ON HIS WAISTBAND?
29	A NO, I DID NOT. HE WAS CARRYING IT WHEN WE
30	FIRST PULLED INTO THE PARK WHEN WE FIRST PULLED IN AND HE
31	FLAGGED US DOWN. LATER ON WHILE THE VAN WAS PARKED AND HE WAS
32	LOOKING AT IT HE WAS WEARING IT ON HIS BELT OR WAISTBAND.
	11

-470-

28

29

30 31

32

0 1 SEE. I SEE.

AFTER YOU HAD -- YOUR HUSBAND HAD PARKED THE VAN AND THE DOORS HAD BEEN OPENED AND THE GENTLEMAN CAME UP TO THE VAN, INTRODUCED HIMSELF, WHATEVER, DID HE STILL HAVE THE WALKIE-TALKIE IN HIS HAND?

A NO. HE HAD IT ON HIS WAISTBAND.

Q I SEE.

WOULD YOU -- HOW DID HIS HAIR COMPARE

THEN TO NOW AS YOU SEE HIM IN COURT TODAY?

A I WOULD SAY --

Q WOULD YOU SAY THAT --

A I WOULD SAY THAT IT MAY HAVE BEEN A LITTLE

BIT LONGER.

Q WOULD YOU SAY CONSIDERABLY LONGER OR JUST A FRACTION OF AN INCH LONGER, PERHAPS?

A I'D SAY IT JUST APPEARED A LITTLE BIT BUSHIER, BUT I DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH LONGER IT WOULD HAVE TO BE TO
LOOK LIKE THAT. IT WASN'T LONG, YOU KNOW, OVER HIS, YOU KNOW,
HIS SHOULDER OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT.

O WOULD YOU SAY THAT HE HAD AN AFRO AT THE

TIME THAT YOU SAW HIM?

A I'D SAY A SHORT AFRO.

O A SHORT AFRO. J SEE.

THIS C.B. RADIO THAT WAS IN THE VAN,

CAN YOU -- IN DESCRIBING IT, I THINK YOU -- YOUR DESCRIPTION

CONSISTED OF IT HAVING SEVERAL -- I THINK YOU SAID IT HAD SEVERAL

SWITCHES OR SOMETHING TO THAT EFFECT. COULD YOU GIVE US A LITTLE

MORE DETAIL ON THAT C.B.? DO YOU RECALL THE MODEL?

A NO. I DON'T RECALL THE UNIT ITSELF BEING -HAVING ANY -- LOOKING UNUSUAL AT ALL. IT WAS MOUNTED IN AN OAK
PANEL AND NEXT TO IT THERE WERE APPROXIMATELY FOUR SWITCHES
MOUNTED NEXT TO THAT.

-471-

- 1	
1	Q YOU DON'T RECALL THE BRAND NAME?
2	A NO, I DO NOT.
3	Q WHAT COLOR WAS 1T?
4	A I DON'T RECALL.
5	Q HOW LONG HAD YOU HAD YOUR HUSBAND OWNED
6	THIS VAN FOR OVER A YEAR?
7	A YES, HE DID.
8	Q YOU DON'T RECALL THE COLOR OF THAT C.B.
9	RADIO?
10	A NO. WE DIDN'T USE IT VERY OFTEN. I DID
11	NOT DRIVE VERY SELDOM DID I DRIVE THE VAN. SO I NEVER PAID
12	THAT MUCH ATTENTION TO IT.
13	Q WHEN YOU TESTIFIED PREVIOUSLY BEFORE THE
14	GRAND JURY DO YOU RECALL TESTIFYING THAT KEITH GOT INTO THE VAN
15	WITH YOUR HUSBAND WHERE THEY DISCUSSED THE POSSIBLE PURCHASE OF
16	THAT VAN?
17	A NO, I DO NOT.
18	Q DID HE GET INSIDE THE VAN?
19	A NO, HE DID NOT.
20	Q WERE YOU WITHIN I ASSUME YOU WERE IN
21	VERY CLOSE PROXIMITY TO YOUR HUSBAND AND THIS MAN KEITH DURING
22	THE TIME YOU MET HIM AT CAESARS PALACE; IS THAT CORRECT?
23	A THAT'S CORRECT.
24	Q ALL RIGHT, I SEE.
25	WERE YOU CLOSE ENOUGH TO HEAR WHAT THEY
26	WERE TALKING ABOUT AT ALL TIMES?
27	A YES, I WAS.
28	Q AND THEY DISCUSSED, I THINK YOUR TESTIMONY
29	WAS, THAT THIS GENTLEMAN KEITH EXPRESSED HIS INTEREST IN THE VAN
30	A YES. THAT'S CORRECT.
31	Q ALL RIGHT. O.K.
32	DO YOU RECALL, DURING THE TIME THAT
	-472+

YOUR HUSBAND WAS TAKING TO THIS MAN, DO YOU EVER RECALL YOUR HUSBAND TELLING THIS GENTLEMAN THAT HE HAD, YOUR HUSBAND, HAD A 7:30 APPOI -- DENTAL APPOINTMENT -- EXCUSE ME, STRIKE THAT. I WILL TRY AND REPHRASE IT.

DO YOU RECALL YOUR HUSBAND TELLING THIS MAN THAT HE, YOUR HUSBAND, HAD A 7:30 APPOINTMENT AT HIS OFFICE ON THE FOLLOWING MORNING?

A I DON'T RECALL HIM SAYING 7:30 SPECIFICALLY
HE TOLD HIM THAT HE WOULD BE IN THE OFFICE ALL DAY AND THAT HE
STARTED AT 7:00 O'CLOCK.

Q IN FACT, HE TOLD HIM -- YOUR HUSBAND TOLD

THIS MAN THAT IT WOULD BE BETTER IF HE CAME IN DURING THE EARLY

MORNING HOURS: IS THAT CORRECT?

A HE TOLD HIM IT WOULD BE BETTER TO COME IN IN THE MORNING.

Q I SEE.

A HE HAD SEVERAL OPENINGS IN HIS SCHEDULE AND HE WAS BOOKED RATHER LIGHT IN THE MIDDLE OF THE MORNING. BUT I DON'T THINK HE MADE ANY SPECIFIC MID-MORNING/EARLY-MORNING. HE SAID COME BY IN THE MORNING SOMETIME.

THE COURT: COUNSEL, APPROACH THE BENCH.

(WHEREUPON, SIDE BAR CONFERENCE WAS HELD AT THE BENCH; NOT

REPORTED.)

THE COURT: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE JURY, WE WILL TAKE OUT AFTERNOON RECESS AT THIS TIME AND WE WILL BE IN RECESS IN THIS MATTER UNTIL 9:45 TOMORROW MORNING.

-473-

> 30 31

32

DURING THIS RECESS YOU ARE ADMONISHED NOT TO CONVERSE AMONG YOURSELVES OR WITH ANYONE ELSE ON ANY SUBJECT CONNECTED WITH THIS TRIAL, OR READ, WATCH OR LISTEN TO ANY REPORT OF OR COM-MENTARY ON THIS TRIAL WITH ANY PERSON CONNECTED WITH THIS TRIAL BY ANY MEDIUM OF INFORMATION, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, NEWSPAPER, TELEVISION OR RADIO OR FORM OR EXPRESS ANY OPINION ON ANY SUBJECT CONNECTED WITH THIS TRIAL UNTIL THE CASE IS FINALLY SUBMITTED TO YOU.

WE WILL BE IN RECESS UNTIL 9:45 T

MORNING.

(WHEREUPON, AT THE HOUR OF 5:00 P.M., THE EVENING RECESS

WAS HAD IN THE PROCEEDINGS.)

CERTIFIED COPY

The document to which this certificate is of tached is a full, from and current copy of the original on file and of result in my affice.

DATE:

LUM 1 8 1991

LOATITA BELLEVAL Leave Cherk and Clerk of the Lighan Judiciel stattlet Court, in and for the County en Clerk. State of Revade by Deputy

-474-

LAS VEGAS; NEVADA, THURSDAY, APRIL 14, 1983, AT 10:10 A.M.

* * * * * * * *

THE COURT: WILL COUNSEL STIPULATE TO THE

PRESENCE OF THE JURY?

MR. SEATON: YES, YOUR HONOR, THE STATE WILL.

MR. COOPER: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE WITNESS YOU HAD ON

THE STAND.

MR. HARMON: MRS. MONAHAN WAS ON THE STAND.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MRS. MONAHAN, COME FORWARD

PLEASE.

YOU'VE BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN. YOU ARE STILE

UNDER OATH.

PROCEED, COUNSEL.

MR. COOPER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

CROSS EXAMINATION CONTINUED

BY MR. COOPER:

Q MRS. MONAHAN, I THINK WHEN WE CONCLUDED YESTERDAY I WAS INQUIRING OF YOU WHETHER YOU OVERHEARD CONVERSATIONS BETWEEN YOUR HUSBAND AND MR. -- THE GENTLEMAN WHO IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS KEITH. AND I THINK YOUR ANSWER WAS THAT, YES, YOU DID; IS THAT RIGHT?

A YES, IT IS.

Q AND YOU AT NO TIME HEARD YOUR HUSBAND TELL THIS MAN THAT YOUR HUSBAND HAD A 7:30 APPOINTMENT THE FOLLOWING MORNING; IS THAT RIGHT?

A NOT 7:30 SPECIFICALLY, NO.

Q . DO YOU RECALL YOUR HUSBAND TELLING THIS MAN THAT HE HAD AN APPOINTMENT SCHEDULED AT ANY TIME THE FOLLOWING.

MORNING?

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

ATTORNEY'S OFFICE?

A I HEARD MY HUSBAND SAY THAT HE WOULD BE IN THE OFFICE STARTING AT 7:00 THE NEXT MORNING.

Q AND, IN FACT, YOUR HUSBAND SUGGESTED THAT
THE MAN COME IN EARLY IN THE MORNING BECAUSE YOUR HUSBAND WOULD
BE FREE AT THAT TIME?

A HE SUGGESTED THAT HE COME IN IN THE MORNING NOT NECESSARILY EARLY MORNING.

Q DID HE SAY WHY HE PREFERRED HIM COMING IN IN THE MORNING?

A BECAUSE HE SAID HIS SCHEDULE WOULD BE LIGHTER IN THE MORNING.

Q I SEE.

DO YOU KNOW OF YOUR OWN KNOWLEDGE WHETHER YOUR HUSBAND HAD APPOINTMENTS SCHEDULED FOR 7:00 O'CLOCK AND 7:30 THE FOLLOWING MORNING?

A TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, YES HE DID.

Q I SEE.

NOW, YOU'VE -- DID YOU HAVE OCCASION TO DISCUSS YOUR TESTIMONY, WHAT YOU WOULD RECALL, WHAT YOU WOULD RECALL WHAT YOU TESTIFIED WHEN YOU CAME TO TRIAL? DID YOU HAVE AN OCCASION TO DISCUSS THAT WITH ANYONE FROM THE DISTRICT

A I'M SORRY. WOULD YOU REPEAT THAT QUESTION?

Q DID YOU HAVE OCCASION TO DISCUSS YOUR TESTI-MONY WITH ANYONE IN THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE BEFORE YOU CAME TO COURT TO TESTIFY YESTERDAY?

A YES. I TALKED TO THEM ON A NUMBER OF OCCASIONS.

Q I SEE.

WHO DID YOU TALK TO?

A MR. MEL HARMON AND DAN SEATON.

-476-

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

and the same of the same of the same of

	Q	ON DIRECT	EXAMINATION	YESTERDAY	YOU WEKE
ASKED A	SERIES OF	QUESTIONS RE	GARDING WHET	HER EXC	USE ME,
WHETHER	THIS MAN	KEITH HAD BEE	N IN A POSIT	ION TO PLA	CE TO GRAB
THE DOOP	R KNOBS AN	TOUCH OTHER	PARTS OF TH	E VAN; DO	YOU RECALL
THAT?					

YES, SIR.

YOU STATED THAT HE WAS NOT IN A POSITION TO, OR EXCUSE ME, YOU TESTIFIED THAT AT NO TIME DID YOU SEE THIS MAN TOUCH THE DOOR HANDLES ON THE VAN; IS THAT RIGHT? THE DOOR KNOBS

THAT'S CORRECT.

I SEE. Q

NOW, THIS WAS AT ABOUT 8:00 O'CLOCK IN THE EVENING; IS THAT RIGHT?

THAT'S RIGHT.

LET ME ASK YOU, MRS. MONAHAN, DID IT APPEAR TO YOU THAT THIS MAN WAS GENUINELY INTERESTED IN PURCHASING THE VAN?

YES. VERY MUCH SO.

I MEAN YOU HAD NO REASON AT THAT TIME TO

SUSPECT THAT THIS MAN, THAT HIS INTENTIONS WERE ANYTHING BUT HONORABLE, DID YOU?

THAT'S CORRECT.

IS IT TRUE TO SAY THEN THAT YOU DIDN'T KEEP YOUR EYES RIVETED ON THIS MAN DURING THE ENTIRE TIME THAT HE WAS THERE WITH YOUR HUSBAND?

EXCUSE ME. TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE HE WAS ALWAYS WITHIN MY SIGHT. I WAS, YOU KNOW, STANDING ASIDE AND TALKING, YOU KNOW, TO THE GIRLS A LITTLE BIT ALSO, AT THE SAME TIME ALSO LISTENING IN ON THE CONVERSATION OF HE AND MY HUSBAND. I CAN'T SAY THAT I ACTUALLY STARED AT HIM THE WHOLE TIME WE WERE SHOWING THE VAN.

> SO IT'S SAFE TO SAY -- IT'S TRUE TO SAY THEN 1501 Q

RECALL?

THAT THERE WERE TIMES, EVEN FOR JUST A BRIEF SECOND, THAT YOU WEREN'T LOOKING AT THIS MAN; IS THAT RIGHT?

A THAT'S RIGHT.

Q WHEN THE VAN STOPPED AND THE DOORS WERE

OPENED AND THIS MAN CAME OUT, I GATHER FROM THE DIAGRAM THAT WAS

DRAWN YESTERDAY THAT YOU WERE STOPPED RIGHT AT -- ON THE -- ON

THE ROADWAY THAT GOES INTO CAESARS PALACE THERE; IS THAT RIGHT?

A NO. WE WERE NOT. WE WERE STOPPED AT THE CURB AT THE SIDE ENTRANCE OF CAESARS PALACE.

Q WAS THERE TRAFFIC COMING BACK AND FORTH PAST WHERE YOU WERE STOPPED THERE?

A YES, THERE WAS.

Q WAS THE TRAFFIC HEAVY OR LIGHT; DO YOU

A I DON'T RECALL THAT IT WAS FAIRLY HEAVY.

Q BUT YOU WERE ENGAGING IN CONVERSATION WITH YOUR SISTER-IN-LAW, OR EXCUSE ME, YOUR SISTER AND YOUR STEP-DAUGHTER, WEREN'T YOU?

THAT'S CORRECT.

Q DID YOU HAVE OCCASION TO GLANCE TOWARDS THE STRIP AWAY FROM CAESARS PALACE WHILE THIS WAS GOING ON?

A I DON'T RECALL THAT I WOULD HAVE. WE WERE AT THAT POINT STANDING PRETTY FAR AWAY FROM THE STRIP ITSELF.

IN FACT, WE WERE STANDING RIGHT NEXT TO A COLUMN ON THE SIDE OF CAESARS PALACE THERE.

Q WHICH COLUMN WAS THAT THAT YOU WERE STAND+

A JUST THE SIDE.

Q WOULD THAT BE NEAR THE NORTH ENTRANCE OF CAESARS PALACE?

A IT WAS AT THAT NORTH SIDE ENTRANCE, BUT IT WAS, UH, NOT RIGHT IN FRONT OF THE ENTRYWAY.

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

Q I SEE. I SEE.

AND IT WAS DARK OUTSIDE, WASN'T IT?

A I DON'T REALLY RECALL. WE'RE UNDER A LOT.

OF LIGHTS AT THE TIME.

Q WELL, IT WAS DARK ENOUGH TO TURN THE LIGHT
ON IN THE VAN SO THAT THIS MAN COULD SEE WHAT THE VAN LOOKED LIKE
INSIDE, WASN'T IT?

A WELL, THE LIGHT'S ON -- IN THE VAN ARE SPOT-LIGHT-TYPE LIGHTS THAT REALLY LIGHT IT UP. I THINK WE WOULD HAVE TURNED THAT ON REGARDLESS WHETHER IT WAS DARK OR LIGHT.

Q IS THAT YOU SAY THAT YOU WOULD HAVE TURNED IT ON IN BROAD DAYLIGHT?

- A POSSIBLY TO SEE THE INSIDE OF THE VAN BETTER.
- Q EVEN WITH THE DOORS OPEN?
- A CORRECT.
- Q CAN YOU STATE, MRS. MONAHAN, WITH ABSOLUTE CERTAINTY THAT THIS MAN NEVER TOUCHED SAY THE RIGHT DOOR -- THE DOOR KNOB ON THE RIGHT SIDE OF THE VAN?
 - A YES, I CAN.
- Q CAN YOU STATE WITH EQUAL CERTAINTY THAT HE NEVER TOUCHED THE EXTERIOR DRIVER'S REAR VIEW MIRROR ON THE VAN?
 - A I'M SORRY., TOUCH THE --
 - Q THE REAR -- THE DRIVER'S --
 - A ON THE DRIVER'S SIDE?
 - Q " YES.

WOULD BE THE FIRST TIME WE STOPPED WHEN HE FLAGGED US DOWN. BUT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, HE DID NOT.

Q SO YOU AREN'T SURE THEN THAT HE DID NOT

TOUCH THE -- THAT MIRROR, AS YOU WEREN'T -- YOU AREN'T EQUALLY

SURE THEN. YOU MEAN YOU STATED THAT YOU'RE CERTAIN THAT HE DIDN'T

TOUCH THE DOOR KNOB ON THE RIGHT SIDE DOOR; IS THAT CORRECT?

150°

THAT'S CORRECT.

BUT YOU AREN'T AS CERTAIN THAT HE DIDN'T TOUCH THE DRIVER'S REAR VIEW MIRROR, ARE YOU?

THAT'S CORRECT.

WHAT ABOUT THE FRONT BUMPER ON THAT VAN? CAN YOU STATE WITH ABSOLUTE CERTAINTY THAT HE NEVER TOUCHED THE FRONT BUMPER ON THAT VAN?

YES. I CAN.

WHAT ABOUT THE INTERIOR OF THE DRIVER'S DOOR WINDOW, CAN YOU STATE WITH EQUAL CERTAINTY THAT HE NEVER TOUCHED THAT?

MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, MAY WE HAVE CLARIFICATION. ARE THESE QUESTIONS DIRECTED TO THE TIME SPAN OF MARCH 26TH, 1980, AT CAESARS PALACE IN HER PRESENCE?

MR. COOPER: YES, YOUR HONOR, THEY ARE.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

THE WITNESS: WILL YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION.

BY MR. COOPER:

29

30

31

32

CAN YOU STATE WITH ABSOLUTE CERTAINTY THAT Q HE NEVER TOUCHED THE INTERIOR OF THE DRIVER'S DOOR WINDOW?

I WOULD SAY WITH CERTAINTY HE DID NOT WHILE WE WERE PARKED AT THE CURB.

IN YOUR DISCUSSIONS YOU HAD WITH MEMBERS OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE BEFORE COMING IN COURT TO TESTIFY. WERE YOU TOLD, MRS. MONAHAN, OF HOW THIS WHOLE BUSINESS OF WHERE THE DEFENDANT MIGHT HAVE TOUCHED AND SO FORTH, HOW THAT MIGHT TIE INTO THIS CASE?

I'D SAY -- I'D SAY I WAS MADE AWARE AT SOME TIME OR ANOTHER PREVIOUS -- AFTER I MADE AN OFFICIAL STATEMENT TO THE POLICE.

l l			
1	Q	UH-HUH.	
2	A	THREE YEARS AGO.	
3	Q	SO YOU WERE TOLD THEN HOW THIS MIGHT BE	
4	SIGNIFICANT IN THIS C	ASE?	
5	A	YES, I WAS.	
6	Q	YOU OF COURSE DON'T KNOW WHETHER THIS MAN	
7	KEITH KEPT THE APPOIN	TMENT THAT WAS SCHEDULED WITH YOUR HUSBAND	
8	OR NOT, DO YOU?		•
9	A	NO, I DO NOT.	
10	Q	AND OF COURSE YOU DON'T KNOW THAT THE	
11	GENTLEMAN SEATED TO M	Y LEFT WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DEATH OF	
12	YOUR HUSBAND, DO YOU?	•	
13	A	I DO NOT.	,
14	Q	REGARDING THE PHOTOGRAPHIC LINEUP THAT YOU	
15	WERE SHOWN, MRS. MONA	HAN, IT'S YOUR TESTIMONY THAT YOU WERE	
16	SHOWN THAT LINEUP AT	YOUR HOME; IS THAT RIGHT?	
17	A	THAT'S CORRECT.	
18	Q	AND THAT YOUR SISTER AND YOUR STEP-DAUGHTER	
19	WERE PRESENT AT THE T	IME?	
20	A	THEY WERE NOT PRESENT IN THE ROOM. THE	
21	OFFICER ASKED THEM TO	LEAVE THE ROOM.	
22	Q	WERE YOU PRESENT WHEN THE PHOTOGRAPHIC LINE-	
23	UP WAS SHOWN TO YOUR	SISTER?	
24	A	NO, I WAS NOT.	
25	Q .	DID YOU HAVE OCCASION TO TALK TO YOUR SISTER	
26	AFTER YOU, YOUR SISTE	R AND YOUR STEP-DAUGHTER HAD VIEWED THIS	
27	PHOTOGRAPHIC LINEUP?		•
28	A	AFTER ALL OF US HAD VIEWED 1T, YES.	· !
29	Q	AND YOUR STEP-DAUGHTER VIEWED IT AS WELL;	
30	IS THAT RIGHT?		
31	A	THAT'S CORRECT.	
32	Q	WHEN THE POLICE OFFICER CALLED YOU AND TOLD	1505
		-481-	

YOU THAT HE WANTED TO COME OVER AND WANTED YOU TO LOOK AT SOME 1 2 PHOTOGRAPHS, IS THAT ALL THAT HE TOLD YOU? Α YES, IT IS. 3 DID HE TELL YOU WHAT KIND OF PHOTOGRAPHS HE HAD THAT HE WAS GOING TO SHOW YOU? 5 NO, HE DID NOT. HE DIDN'T TELL YOU THAT -- THAT THEY HAD A POSSIBLE SUSPECT, THAT THEY WANTED YOU TO TAKE A LOOK AT SOME 8 9 PHOTOGRAPHS? 10 NO, HE DID NOT. DID HE MAKE ANY SUCH STATEMENT WHEN HE CAME 11 12 TO YOUR HOME, PRIOR TO SHOWING YOU THE PHOTOGRAPHS? 13 I'M SORRY. WHEN HE CAME TO YOUR HOME, TELL ME WHAT 14 HAPPENED WHEN HE ARRIVED, THE POLICE OFFICER. 15 16 HE JUST ARRIVED, ASKED MY SISTER BARBARA AND MARY CATHERINE TO LEAVE THE ROOM, TOLD ME HE HAD SOME PHOTO-17 18 GRAPHS HE WANTED ME TO LOOK AT. HE LAID THEM OUT ON THE DINING 19 ROOM TABLE AND THAT'S WHEN I VIEWED THEM. AND YOU SELECTED PHOTOGRAPH NUMBER FIVE? 20 Q 21 THAT'S CORRECT. 22 WERE THOSE PHOTOGRAPHS NUMBERED AT THE TIME 23 THEY WERE SHOWN TO YOU? 24 I DON'T RECALL WHETHER THEY WERE OR NOT. 25 THE PHOTOGRAPHS THAT YOU WERE SHOWN YESTER-26 DAY, THEY ALL HAVE A NUMBER ON THEM, ON THE FRONT OF THEM; IS 27 THAT RIGHT? 28 YES, THEY DO. 29 AND THEY ALL HAVE THE NUMBERS ON SOME WHITE 0 30 TAPE, ADHESIVE TAPE, TO THE PHOTOGRAPHS; IS THAT CORRECT? 31 THAT'S CORRECT. 32 WERE THESE WHITE STRIPS THAT THE NUMBERS Q 1506

-482-

5

8

13 14

15 16

18 19

17

20

21 22

> 23 24

25 26

27

28 29

30 31

32

WERE WRITTEN ON, WERE THEY ON THESE PHOTOGRAPHS WHEN THEY WERE SHOWN TO YOU?

I DON'T RECALL WHETHER THEY WERE OR NOT. THE FIRST TIME I WAS AWARE OF THE NUMBER ON THAT PARTICULAR PHOTOGRAPH WAS WHEN I VIEWED THEM AGAIN IN FRONT OF THE GRAND JURY.

YOU DON'T RECALL THOSE NUMBERS BEING ON THE PHOTOGRAPHS WHEN THEY WERE SHOWN TO YOU AT YOUR HOME BY THE POLICE OFFICER?

I DON'T RECALL WHETHER THEY WERE OR NOT.

WHEN YOU WERE LOOKING AT THESE PHOTOGRAPHS DID YOU THINK THAT THESE WERE PHOTOGRAPHS OF MEN WHO MIGHT HAVE HAD SOME INVOLVEMENT WITH THE POLICE AT SOME TIME OR ANOTHER?

I ASSUMED THAT THEY WOULD BE.

AND IT'S TRUE THAT IN THE PHOTOGRAPHS THAT YOU WERE SHOWN, PHOTOGRAPH NUMBER FIVE, THE PHOTOGRAPH OF MR. HOWARD, IS THE ONLY ONE THAT -- THAT HE'S THE ONLY -- THAT HIS PHOTOGRAPH IS THE ONLY ONE WHEREIN HE'S GOT ON SOME KIND OF ORANGISH- OR RUST-COLORED OUTER GARMENT; ISN'T IT?

YES, I BELIEVE IT IS.

AND THE MAN YOU MET AT CAESARS PALACE WAS WEARING A RUST-COLORED OUTER GARMENT, WASN'T HE?

HE WAS WEARING A LEATHER JACKET.

RUST-COLORED? Q

YES.

THE WATCH THAT YOU TESTIFIED YOUR HUSBAND WORE ON THE MORNING THAT YOU LAST SAW HIM, CAN YOU DESCRIBE THAT WATCH FOR US, MRS. MONAHAN?

IT'S A GOLD SEIKO WATCH, HAS A HEAVY MESH-TYPE LITTLE GOLD BAND ON IT, AND I BELIEVE A SILVER FACING. .

DOES IT HAVE ROMAN NUMERALS OR YOUR STANDARD?

I BELIEVE IT HAD THE ROMAN NUMERALS, TO THE 1507

-485-

1	POINT?		
2	A Y	ES, HE DID.	
3	a p	ID YOU SEE THE WALKIE-TALKIE IN HIS HAND?	
4	A Y	ES, I DID.	
5	. Q W	AS HE CARRYING IT UP ABOVE HIS ABOVE	
6	HIS WAIST?	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
7	н а	E WAS FAIRLY ACTIVE WITH HIS HANDS. I	
8	CAN'T SAY HE WAS HOLDI	NG IT UP IN THE AIR, BUT HE WAS MOVING	-
9	AROUND ALOT, WHICH MAD	E ME AWARE THAT HE HAD IT IN HIS HAND.	
10	Q D	ID HE LEAN AGAINST THE VAN AT THAT TIME?	
11	A N	OT THAT I CAN RECALL.	
12	, q ı	T'S POSSIBLE THEN THAT HE COULD?	
13	A 1	T'S POSSIBLE.	
14	Q A	ND 1 THINK YOUR TESTIMONY IS THAT 1T WAS	
15	FOR ABOUT TEN SECONDS	OR SO THAT HE WAS RIGHT AT THE VAN THERE	
16	WHEN YOU STOPPED INITE	ALLY; IS THAT RIGHT?	
17	A 1	T WAS LONG ENOUGH FOR MY HUSBAND TO SAY,	
18	"ARE YOU KEITH?" AND H	E SAID, "YES. I WOULD RECOGNIZE THAT VAN	
19	ANYWHERE. WHY DON'T Y	OU PULL UP A LITTLE BIT."	
20	Q O	.K.	
21		NOW, YOU DIDN'T SEE THAT THIS	
22	WHAT YOU'VE DESCRIBED	AS A RADIO, TWO-WAY RADIO, UNTIL HE CAME	
23	UP TO THE VAN AFTER YO	U STOPPED THE LAST TIME; IS THAT RIGHT?	
24	A I	¹M SORRY.	
25	· ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` `	OU DIDN'T SEE THE RADIO AGAIN UNTIL YOU	
26	STOPPED THE VAN FOR TH	E LAST TIME; IS THAT RIGHT?	
27		HAT'S CORRECT.	
28	1	T THAT POINT IT WAS IN HIS WAISTBAND; IS	
29	THAT CORRECT?		
30 31		ES.	
32		AS IT IN FRONT OR TO THE LEFT SIDE OR THE	1 5 1.0
	RIGHT SIDE OR IN THE R	CAR WAISIBANU!	151.0
	The state of the s	-486-	

-489-

1	A YES, I DID.
2	Q WHAT WERE YOU REFERRING TO?
3	A WHEN THEY WERE TALKING ABOUT TEST RIDING IT
4	THE NEXT DAY AND MY HUSBAND WAS TELLING HIM THAT HE WOULD BE IN
5	THE OFFICE. THAT'S WHEN I STEPPED FORWARD AND GAVE HIM ONE OF
6	MY HUSBAND'S BUSINESS CARDS THAT I ALWAYS CARRIED IN MY WALLET.
7	Q MRS. MONAHAN, WHAT MAKES YOU POSITIVE THAT
8	THE DEFENDANT, MR. HOWARD, AT NO TIME TOUCHED ANY OF THE DOOR
9	HANDLES OR DOOR KNOBS ON THE PASSENGER'S SIDE OF THE VAN THE
10	EVENING OF MARCH THE 26TH, 1980?
11	A BECAUSE THE DOORS WERE WIDE OPEN ON THE
12	PASSENGER'S SIDE WHEN HE APPROACHED THE VAN, AND HE WALKED AWAY
13	FROM US AROUND THE CORNER OF THE HOTEL BEFORE WE GOT BACK INTO
14	THE VAN.
15	Q WHAT IS THE EFFECT IN REGARDS TO THE DOOR
16	HANDLES AND DOOR KNOBS WHEN ALL OF THE DOORS ARE SWUNG WIDE OPEN
17	ON THE PASSENGER'S SIDE OF THE VAN?
18	A THEN THERE WOULD BE NO WAY HE WOULD TOUCH
19	ANY OF THEM WHILE HE WAS OBSERVING THE VAN.
20	Q NOW, YOU'VE INDICATED ON CROSS EXAMINATION
21	THAT WHEN YOU FIRST BECAME AWARE THAT THE DEFENDANT HAD A RADIO
22	YOU WERE ABOUT 50 FEET AWAY PERHAPS WHEN HE FIRST WAVED.
23	A THAT'S CORRECT.
24	Q YOU AND YOUR PARTY DOWN 3
25	A = THAT'S CORRECT. 導
26	Q NOW, ONCE THE DEFENDANT HAD WALKED TO THE
27	REAR OF THE VAN AND AROUND TO THE PASSENGER AROUND TO THE
28	DRIVER'S SIDE WHERE HE HAD THE SHORT CONVERSATION WITH YOUR
29	HUSBAND GEORGE, HOW CLOSE WERE YOU TO HIM THEN?
30	A APPROXIMATELY FOUR - TO FIVE FEET AWAY.
31 32	Q YOU SAID AT THAT TIME THAT HE WAS FAIRLY
04	ACTIVE WITH HIS HANDS.
	-490-

OPEN

1	A YES, HE WAS.	
2	Q DID YOU HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY THEN TO SEE THE	
3	TWO-WAY RADIO?	
4	A YES, I DID.	
5	Q COULD YOU SEE ITS COLOR AT THAT TIME?	
6	A YES, 1 COULD.	
7	Q COULD YOU SEE THE SHAPE OF THE RADIO?	
8	A YES.	
9	Q DO YOU REMEMBER SEEING ANY TYPE OF ANTENNA?	
10	A YES, I DID. I REMEMBER THAT IT HAD	
11	DEFINITELY HAD THE SHORT TYPE OF ANTENNA ON IT.	
12	Q REFERENCE HAS BEEN MADE TO PREVIOUS TESTI-	
13	MONY GIVEN IN THIS MATTER; IS THAT CORRECT?	
14	A I'M SORRY. WOULD YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION?	
15	Q YOU'VE BEEN ASKED QUESTIONS ABOUT TESTIMONY	
16	BEFORE THE GRAND JURY?	
17	A THAT'S CORRECT.	
18	Q IN CONNECTION WITH THIS MATTER?	
19	A THAT'S CORRECT.	_
20	Q DID YOU TESTIFY BEFORE THE CLARK COUNTY	
21	GRAND JURY, ON OR ABOUT MAY THE 20TH, 1981?	
22	A YES, I DID.	
23	Q ON THAT DATE WERE YOU ASKED QUESTIONS ABOUT	
24	WHETHER THE PERSON YOU ENCOUNTERED WHO SAID HIS NAME WAS KEITH,	
25	WHETHER THE PERSON TOO ENCOUNTERED WHO SAID THIS NAME WAS RETURN	
-00	HAD TOUCHED ANY OF THE DOORS ON THE PASSENGER'S SIDE OF THE VAN?	
26	HAD TOUCHED ANY OF THE DOORS ON THE PASSENGER'S SIDE OF THE VAN? A YES, I WAS.	
27	HAD TOUCHED ANY OF THE DOORS ON THE PASSENGER'S SIDE OF THE VAN?	
27 28	HAD TOUCHED ANY OF THE DOORS ON THE PASSENGER'S SIDE OF THE VAN? A YES, I WAS. Q WAS YOUR TESTIMONY THE SAME ON MAY THE 20TH, 1981, AS IT IS TODAY?	
27 28 29	HAD TOUCHED ANY OF THE DOORS ON THE PASSENGER'S SIDE OF THE VAN? A YES, I WAS. Q WAS YOUR TESTIMONY THE SAME ON MAY THE 20TH, 1981, AS IT IS TODAY? A YES, IT IS.	
27 28 29 30	HAD TOUCHED ANY OF THE DOORS ON THE PASSENGER'S SIDE OF THE VAN? A YES, I WAS. Q WAS YOUR TESTIMONY THE SAME ON MAY THE 20TH, 1981, AS IT IS TODAY? A YES, IT IS. Q THAT HE HAD NOT?	
27 28 29 30 31	HAD TOUCHED ANY OF THE DOORS ON THE PASSENGER'S SIDE OF THE VAN? A YES, I WAS. Q WAS YOUR TESTIMONY THE SAME ON MAY THE 20TH, 1981, AS IT IS TODAY? A YES, IT IS. Q THAT HE HAD NOT? A THAT'S CORRECT.	
27 28 29 30	HAD TOUCHED ANY OF THE DOORS ON THE PASSENGER'S SIDE OF THE VAN? A YES, I WAS. Q WAS YOUR TESTIMONY THE SAME ON MAY THE 20TH, 1981, AS IT IS TODAY? A YES, IT IS. Q THAT HE HAD NOT? A THAT'S CORRECT.	

 BACK, ABOUT WHAT ACTIVITY THERE WAS BY YOU, YOUR HUSBAND, YOUR SISTER BARBARA ZEMAN, AND YOUR STEP-DAUGHTER MARY CATHERINE MONAHAN, IN CONNECTION WITH GETTING IN AND OUT OF YOUR 1977 DODGE VAN, MARCH 26TH, 1980? CAN YOU THINK HOW MANY TIMES YOU WOULD HAVE GOT IN AND OUT OF THE VAN?

A ON THAT PARTICULAR DAY?

Q MARCH 26TH, 1980, DURING THE AFTERNOON AND EVENING HOURS, UP UNTIL THE VAN WAS PARKED OUTSIDE OF YOUR HUSBAND'S OFFICE IN THE AREA OF 1700 EAST DESERT INN ROAD?

A WE WOULD HAVE BEEN GETTING INTO THE VAN AT THE OFFICE THAT EVENING. WE DROVE TO HENDERSON. WE WOULD HAVE BEEN GETTING IN THE VAN AGAIN IN HENDERSON TO DRIVE TO CAESARS PALACE; THEN GETTING INTO THE VAN AT CAESARS PALACE TO DRIVE IT BACK TO MY HUSBAND'S OFFICE ON DESERT INN ROAD.

Q NOW, ON EACH OF THOSE OCCASIONS WOULD SOMEONE HAVE BEEN GETTING IN BOTH OF THE PASSENGER'S DOORS ON THE
PASSENGER'S SIDE OF THE VAN?

A YES.

Q WHAT PERSONS?

A AT EACH OF THOSE TIMES MY SISTER BARBARA
ZEMAN AND MARY CATHERINE WERE GETTING IN THE TWO CARGO-TYPE DOORS
TO GET INTO THE BACK OF THE VAN. AND EACH OF THOSE TIMES I GOT
INTO THE PASSENGER'S SEAT IN THE FRONT.

Q WOULD THEY HAVE TO GET IN THROUGH THE PASSENGER'S SIDE?

A YES, THEY WOULD.

WHY IS THAT?

A BECAUSE, THE SEAT -- THE FRONT SEATS WERE THE TALL, VERY WIDE-TYPE SEATS AND IT'S DIFFICULT TO GET IN THE FRONT AND CRAWL IN THE BACK. THAT WAS THE ONLY WAY.

Q THROUGH THE DRIVER'S DOOR?

A CORRECT.

INTO THE VAN?	Q	THERE'S ONLY ONE DOOR ON THE DRIVER'S S	IDE
	A	YES, THERE WAS.	
	Q	AND THAT'S LOCATED WHERE?	

AT THE DRIVER'S SEAT.

Q ON EACH OF THE OCCASIONS THEN, WHEN SOMEONE HAD TO EITHER GET IN OR OUT OF THE VAN, THE AFTERNOON OR EVENING OF MARCH 26TH, 1980, IS IT LIKELY THAT AT LEAST ONE PERSON OR PERHAPS PERSONS, TOUCHED THE VARIOUS DOOR HANDLES OR DOOR KNOBS

A YES, IT IS.

ON THE PASSENGER'S SIDE OF THE VAN?

Q DURING THE CONVERSATION THAT OCCURRED WITH DEFENDANT, MR. HOWARD, THE EVENING OF MAY -- OF MARCH 26TH, 1980, IN THE AREA OF CAESARS PALACE, DID HE GIVE ANY INDICATION OF BEING A PERSON WHO HAD CASH AVAILABLE TO BE USED IN THE PURCHASE OF THE VAN?

A YES, HE DID. HE STATED HE HAD ALREADY SOLD HIS CAR, THAT HE NEEDED SOMETHING RIGHT AWAY, AND THAT HE DID HAVE MONEY TO PAY FOR IT.

Q MRS. MONAHAN, YOU HAVE INDICATED THE AGE OF YOUR HUSBAND. DO YOU RECALL HIS HEIGHT AND APPROXIMATE WEIGHT ON MARCH 26TH AND 27TH, 1980?

A HE WAS APPROXIMATELY SIX FEET TALL, WEIGHED APPROXIMATELY 170 POUNDS.

Q WAS YOUR HUSBAND, GEORGE STEVEN MONAHAN, IN GOOD PHYSICAL CONDITION ON MARCH 25TH AND MARCH 27TH, 1980?

A YES, HE WAS.

Q WAS HE PHYSICALLY ACTIVE IN HIS DAILY LIFE?

A YES, HE WAS.

Q YOU HAVE IDENTIFIED IN THIS COURTROOM THE DEFENDANT, MR. HOWARD, AS THE PERSON WHO IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS KEITH IN THE AREA OF CAESARS PALACE ON MARCH 26TH, 1980. HOW

RECROSS EXAMINATION

· ||

BY MR. COOPER:

5

3

1

6 7

9 10

8

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29 30

THAT --

31 32 Q MRS. MONAHAN, PRIOR TO YOUR TESTIFYING BEFORE
THE GRAND JURY IN THIS CASE YOU WERE MADE AWARE OF THE SIGN1FICANCE OF THIS WHOLE LINE OF QUESTIONING HAVING TO DO WITH WHERE
THE MAN MIGHT HAVE TOUCHED THE VAN AND SO FORTH, WEREN'T YOU?

A YES, I WAS.

Q WHO MADE YOU AWARE OF THAT?

A OFFICER LEAVITT, I BELIEVE, AFTER I MADE MY INITIAL STATEMENT TO THE POLICE.

Q IN YOUR INITIAL STATEMENT TO THE POLICE DID YOU MENTION ANYWHERE IN YOUR STATEMENT ABOUT WHERE THIS MAN MIGHT HAVE TOUCHED THE VAN AND WHERE HE DIDN'T TOUCH THE VAN AND SO FORTH?

A YES, I DID.

Q ARE YOU SURE OF THAT?

A THEY ASKED ME --

Q I MEAN IN YOUR WRITTEN STATEMENT THAT YOU GAVE TO THE POLICE.

A YES.

Q YOU WERE ASKED QUESTIONS ABOUT WHETHER THIS MAN KEITH OPENED ANY OF THE DOORS; IS THAT RIGHT?

A THAT'S CORRECT.

Q NOW, IN YOUR STATEMENT YOU SAY THAT THIS.

MAN LOOKED IN THE VAN FOR ABOUT PROBABLY BETWEEN FIVE AND SEVEN

MINUTES, DIDN'T YOU?

A HE WASN'T -- THAT WAS THE LENGTH OF TIME

Q WELL, DIDN'T YOU SAY THAT IN YOUR STATEMENT,
THAT HE LOOKED IN THE VAN PROBABLY BETWEEN FIVE AND SEVEN

-495-

-496-

: 			
	1	A LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT.	
1 1	2	Q WHAT ARE THE NATURE OF YOUR DUTIES WITH THAT	
i I	3	DEPARTMENT?	
	4	A I'M IN CHARGE OF HOMICIDE.	
	5	Q HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN IN CHARGE OF THE	
	6	HOMICIDE DEPARTMENT OF THE LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPART-	
	7	MENT?	
	8	A FOUR YEARS AND FOUR MONTHS.	-
	9	Q WERE YOU SO EMPLOYED ON MARCH 27TH, 1980?	
	10	A YES, I WAS.	
	11	Q AT ANY POINT ON THAT DATE, DID YOU BECOME	
	12	INVOLVED IN INVESTIGATING THE DISAPPEARANCE OF A DENTIST, GEORGE	
	13	STEVEN MONAHAN?	
	14	A YES, I DID.	
	15	Q AT WHAT POINT DID HIS DISAPPEARANCE COME TO	
	16	YOUR ATTENTION?	
	17	A ABOUT 9:00 A.M. IN THE MORNING.	
	18	Q DID YOU, IN CONNECTION WITH THE INFORMATION	
	19	ABOUT THE DISAPPEARANCE OF MR. MONAHAN, GET A DESCRIPTION OF A	
	20	VAN THAT HE PURPORTEDLY WAS IN?	
	21	A YES, 1 DID.	
	22	Q DO YOU RECALL AT THIS TIME WHAT DESCRIPTION	
-	23	YOU HAD?	
	24	A YES, I'DO.	
	25	Q WHAT WAS THE DESCRIPTION?	
	26	A IT WAS A 1977 DODGE VAN, BLACK IN COLOR,	
	27	WITH GOLD LETTERING OF "BLACK OAK" ON THE SIDE PANELS. THE	·
	28	LICENSE NUMBER WAS CVK 693.	
	29	Q DID YOU ALSO HAVE A PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION OF	
	30	MR. MONAHAN?]
	31	A YES.	
	32	Q LIEUTENANT CONNER, SOMETIME DURING THE DAY	
		-499-	1523

OF MARCH 27TH, 1980, OR INTO THE EVENING, DID YOU RECEIVE INFOR-MATION AS TO THE WHEREABOUTS OF THE 1977 DODGE VAN, FITTING THE DESCRIPTION YOU HAVE JUST GIVEN?

A YES. I DID.

Q WHEN DID YOU RECEIVE THAT INFORMATION AND WHAT ACTION DID YOU TAKE?

A I RECEIVED THE INFORMATION JUST PRIOR TO 6:45 P.M. IN THE EVENING, INDICATING THAT THE VAN HAD BEEN -- A VAN MATCHING THE DESCRIPTION HAD BEEN OBSERVED BY THE DEW DROP INN AT 4200 BOULDER HIGHWAY.

I PROCEEDED TO THAT LOCATION ALONG WITH OTHER LAW ENFORCEMENT PEOPLE, AND DID IN FACT FIND THE VAN WHICH I DESCRIBED TO THE REAR OF THE DEW DROP INN.

Q LIEUTENANT CONNER, IS THIS AREA TO THE REAR OF THE DEW DROP INN AT 4200 BOULDER HIGHWAY, IS THAT IN CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA?

A YES, IT IS.

Q DO YOU RECALL ABOUT WHEN YOU ARRIVED AT THE LOCATION TO THE REAR OF THE DEW DROP INN ON BOULDER HIGHWAY?

A 6:45 P.M.

Q WERE YOU EITHER THE FIRST OR ONE OF THE
FIRST REPRESENTATIVES OF THE LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT TO THAT LOCATION?

A ONE OF THE FIRST. THE FIRST FROM HOMICIDE.

Q LIEUTENANT CONNER, WILL YOU DESCRIBE WHERE EXACTLY THE 1977 DODGE VAN WAS LOCATED AND WHAT YOU OBSERVED IN CONNECTION WITH IT?

A THE DEW DROP INN IS LOCATED NEAR THE CORNER OF DESERT INN AND THE BOULDER HIGHWAY. THE DEW DROP INN FACES THE BOULDER HIGHWAY, HOWEVER, THE ACTUAL ENTRANCE TO THE DEW DROP INN IS AROUND THE CORNER ON WHAT WOULD BE THE SOUTH SIDE. THE VAN WAS BACKED IN ON WHAT WOULD BE THE WEST SIDE OF THE DEW

li li	
1	DROP INN, IF MY DIRECTIONS ARE CORRECT, AND IS NOT VISIBLE FROM
2	THE HIGHWAY PROPER.
3	Q DID YOU AT SOME POINT, HAVE OCCASION TO
4	EXAMINE THE INSIDE
5	A YES.
6	Q _ (CONTINUING) OF THE VAN YOU OBSERVED?
7	A YES.
8	Q HOW DID YOU MAKE ENTRY INTO THE VAN AND WHAT
9	DID YOU OBSERVE INSIDE?
10	A IT WAS NECESSARY FOR ENTRY TO BE MADE SINCE
11	WE DID NOT HAVE A KEY. I.D. SPECIALIST, DAN CONNELL, MADE ENTRY
12	THROUGH I BELIEVE THE RIGHT FRONT DOOR.
13	Q IS IT YOUR TESTIMONY THEN THAT THE VAN WAS
14	LOCKED AT THE LOCATION YOU OBSERVED IT AT 6:45 P.M., MARCH 27TH,
15	1980, TO THE REAR OF THE DEW DROP INN?
16	A. YES, IT IS.
17	Q AFTER ENTRY WAS MADE INTO THE VAN BY I.D.
18	SPECIALIST, DAN CONNELL, DID YOU THEN HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO VIEW
19	THE INSIDE OF THE VAN?
20	A YES.
21	Q WHAT DID YOU OBSERVE?
22	A THE BODY OF A WHITE MALE LYING FACE DOWN
23	WITH FEET FORWARD, THE HEAD ON THE LEFT SIDE FACING THE RIGHT
24	REAR OF THE VAN.
25	Q DO YOU RECALL WHETHER ITEMS INSIDE THE VAN
26	APPEARED TO HAVE BEEN DISTURBED?
27	A YES. THERE WAS A PEDESTAL-TYPE TABLE THAT
28	WOULD NORMALLY HAVE BEEN SET UP IN THE VAN, AND THIS WAS ON TOP
29	OF THE BODY.
30	MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, MAY I HAVE THE COURT'S
31	INDULGENCE.
32	MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR HONOR?

-501-

BY MR. HARMON:

 Q LIEUTENANT CONNER, I AM GOING TO SHOW YOU A SERIES OF PHOTOGRAPHS. THEY ARE MARKED AS PROPOSED EXHIBITS

1 THROUGH 16. I'D LIKE YOU TO LOOK AT THEM ALL AND AFTER YOU'VE DONE SO, WILL YOU STATE WHETHER YOU RECOGNIZE WHAT IS SHOWN IN THE PICTURES?

- A THIS -- THIS IS THE LEFT REAR --
- Q WOULD YOU VIEW ALL OF THE PICTURES?
- A O.K. AND THEN TELL YOU IF --
- Q YES.
- A O.K. SURE.

THE COURT: YES.

MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, SINCE THE WITNESS IS GOING TO BE TESTIFYING AS TO WHAT THESE DEPICT, WE OBJECT TO THE TESTIMONY UNTIL THEY HAVE BEEN ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.

MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, HE'S NOT NECESSARILY GOING TO BE DOING THAT. THIS IS GOING TO BE FOUNDATIONAL.

MR. FRANZEN: THAT'S WHAT HE WAS ASKED TO DO, YOUR HONOR, OR I BELIEVE HE WAS ASKED TO DO.

THE COURT: WELL, I THINK IT'S RATHER ANTICIPATORY
AT THIS TIME, COUNSEL. LET'S SEE WHAT HE'S GOING TO DO AFTERWARDS.

BY MR. HARMON:

Q LIEUTENANT CONNER, DO YOU RECOGNIZE WHAT IS DEPICTED IN STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBITS 1 THROUGH 16?

A YES.

Q WITHOUT GOING INTO SPECIFICS AS TO WHAT IS SHOWN IN EACH PHOTOGRAPH, WHAT GENERALLY IS PORTRAYED IN THESE

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

INN?

PHOTOGRAPHS?

A THE VAN IN WHICH WE WERE SEEKING AND FOUND THE BODY OF THE VICTIM.

Q AT ITS LOCATION TO THE REAR OF THE DEW DROP

A THAT'S CORRECT.

Q DO THESE PHOTOGRAPHS, PROPOSED EXHIBITS 1
THROUGH 16, TRULY AND ACCURATELY PORTRAY THE ORIGINAL LOCATION
WHERE YOU SAW THE VAN AT 6:45 P.M., MARCH 27TH, 1980?

MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, WE IMPOSE THE SAME

MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, WE IMPOSE THE SAME

OBJECTION.

THE COURT: OVERRULED.

BY MR. HARMON:

Q DO THE PHOTOGRAPHS ALSO TRULY AND ACCURATELY PORTRAY, AT LEAST UNTIL THE BODY WAS REMOVED, THE CONDITION OF THE INSIDE OF THE VAN AS YOU OBSERVED IT SHORTLY AFTER 6:45 P.M. ON MARCH 27TH, 1980?

A YES. THEY DO.

Q IS THE CONDITION OF THE VICTIM TRULY AND ACCURATELY PORTRAYED?

A YES.

Q NOW, I DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION TO PROPOSED EXHIBIT 16. THAT APPEARS TO BE A PICTURE AFTER THE DECEASED WAS REMOVED FROM THE VAN; IS THAT CORRECT?

A (NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE.)

Q NEVERTHELESS, DOES THAT APPEAR TO TRULY AND ACCURATELY SHOW HIS CONDITION AS IT WOULD HAVE BEEN MARCH 27TH, 1980, AT ABOUT 6:45 P.M.?

A YES.

MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, AT THIS TIME I OFFER

9

12 13

15

16

14

17 18

-- 19 20

> 21 22

23 24

> 25 26

27

28 29

30

31 32 PROPOSED EXHIBITS 1 THROUGH 16.

MR. COOPER: YOUR HONOR, MAY WE EXAMINE THOSE.

YOUR HONOR, WE HAVE NO OBJECTION TO THE

INTRODUCTION OF A NUMBER OF THESE PHOTOGRAPHS.

THE COURT: WHICH ONES DON'T YOU OBJECT TO. LET'S
GET THOSE NUMBERS IN THE RECORD, SIR.

MR. COOPER: WE HAVE NO OBJECTION TO STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBITS 1,2,3,4,5,8,10,11,12,14 AND 15.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MAY I SEE THOSE, PLEASE.

SAME WILL BE RECEIVED.

MR. COOPER: YOUR HONOR, AS TO THE REMAINING EXHIBITS, THOSE BEING STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBITS 6,7,9,13 AND 16, I THINK THAT THE ONLY -- THESE PHOTOGRAPHS CAN ONLY SERVE TO INFLAME THE JURY. THEY ARE DUPLICATES OF WHAT IS DEPICTED IN A NUMBER OF THE OTHER EXHIBITS OF WHICH WE HAVE NO OBJECTION TO.

THE COURT: LET ME SEE THEM, COUNSEL.

COUNSEL, YOUR OBJECTION AS TO 6 IS OVER-RULED. IT DOES APPEAR TO BE MATERIAL THAT SHOWS A PORTION OF THE BODY COVERED BY THE COUCH WHICH I THINK IS MATERIAL AND NOT DEPICTED IN ANY OF THE OTHER PICTURES WHICH HAVE BEEN SUBMITTED.

MR. COOPER: YOUR HONOR, I THINK THAT SOME OF THE OTHER PHOTOGRAPHS IN WHICH WE HAVE NO OBJECTION TO, DO DEPICT THE BODY COVERED BY, I COULD BE WRONG, BUT I SEEM TO RECALL THAT IT BEING INCLUDED AMONG THOSE PHOTOGRAPHS.

THE COURT: NOT THAT SPECIFIC ANGLE, SIR. THE STATE, RESPOND TO 7,9,13 --

MR. HARMON: AND 16, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: (CONTINUING) -- AND 16.

MR. HARMON: MAY I HAVE THE PHOTOGRAPHS AGAIN,

YOUR HONOR. MAY I ALSO SEE THOSE WHICH WERE ADMITTED. THANK YOU.

THE COURT: COUNSEL, WE WILL GIVE YOU AN OPPORTU-

NITY TO GET YOUR ARGUMENT TOGETHER HERE. WE WILL TAKE A BRIEF

_ -

 RECESS AT THIS TIME.

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, DURING
THIS RECESS YOU ARE ADMONISHED NOT
TO CONVERSE AMONG YOURSELVES OR
WITH ANYONE ELSE ON ANY SUBJECT
CONNECTED WITH THIS TRIAL, OR READ,
WATCH OR LISTEN TO ANY REPORT OF OR
COMMENTARY ON THIS TRIAL WITH ANY
PERSON CONNECTED WITH THIS TRIAL BY
ANY MEDIUM OF INFORMATION, INCLUDING
WITHOUT LIMITATION, NEWSPAPER, TELEVISION OR RADIO OR FORM OR EXPRESS
ANY OPINION ON ANY SUBJECT CONNECTED
WITH THIS TRIAL UNTIL THE CASE IS
FINALLY SUBMITTED TO YOU.

WE WILL BE IN RECESS FOR TEN MINUTES.

(WHEREUPON, FROM 11:05 A.M.,

UNTIL 11:20 A.M., A RECESS WAS

HAD IN THE PROCEEDINGS, AT THE

CONCLUSION OF WHICH THE FOLLOW
ING WAS HAD:)

THE COURT: WILL COUNSEL STIPULATE TO THE PRESENCE

OF THE JURY?

MR. SEATON: YES, YOUR HONOR, THE STATE WOULD.

MR. FRANZEN: YES, YOUR HONOR!

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU MAY PROCEED.

MR. HARMON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

REGARDING THE OFFER OF PHOTOGRAPHS AS TO

PROPOSED EXHIBITS 7,9 AND 16, AFTER REVIEWING THEM WE ARE CON-VINCED THAT PERHAPS THEY ARE DUPLICITAS. SO WE WILL NOT PERSIST

IN OUR OFFER OF PROPOSED EXHIBITS 6,7 AND 16.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, BUT AS TO PROPOSED -THE COURT: SO YOU ARE WITHDRAWING YOUR OFFERS
SO THERE'S NO NEED TO WORRY ABOUT THEM.

MR. HARMON: YES.

AS TO PROPOSED 13, WE ALSO TEMPORARILY WITHDRAW THE OFFER. WE EXPECT THAT THE NEXT WITNESS WILL LAY ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE, AND SUGGEST THE RELEVANCE AT THAT POINT.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. PROCEED.

MR. HARMON: MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR

HONOR?

THE COURT: YOU MAY.

BY MR. HARMON:

Q LIEUTENANT CONNER, I AM SHOWING YOU NOW
STATE'S EXHIBIT NUMBER 12. DID YOU, ON MARCH THE 27TH, 1980,
OBSERVE TRAMATIC INJURY TO THE INDIVIDUAL DEPICTED IN THAT PHOTOGRAPH?

A YES.

Q WHAT DID YOU OBSERVE?

A APPEARED TO BE A GUNSHOT TO THE LEFT REAR OF THE HEAD.

Q DID YOU, DURING THAT PERIOD OF TIME,

MARCH 27TH, 1980, TO THE REAR OF THE DEW DROP INN WHEN YOU WERE

EXAMINING THE INSIDE OF THE 1977 DODGE VAN, OBSERVE ANY TYPE OF

DAMAGE TO THE HEADLINER AREA OF THE VEHICLE?

A ABOVE THE DRIVER'S COMPARTMENT, WIRES WERE HANGING AS THOUGH THERE HAD BEEN A RADIO, C.B., STEREO-TYPE INSTRUMENT MOUNTED THERE AND REMOVED FORCIBLY.

Q WAS THERE A C.B. RADIO OR CASSETTE PLAYER
STILL PRESENT IN THE HEADLINER OF THE VEHICLE WHEN YOU SAW IT
MARCH 27TH, 1980, SHORTLY AFTER 6:45 P.M.?

R

Ω

40
١

Q LIEUTENANT CONNER, ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE LOCATION OF A BUSINESS KNOWN AS THE WESTERN SIX MOTEL IN RELATION TO THE DEW DROP INN AT 4200 BOULDER HIGHWAY?

A YES.

Q WHERE IS THE WESTERN SIX MOTEL FROM THE DEW DROP INN AT 4200 BOULDER HIGHWAY?

A IT WOULD BE ON THE OPPOSITE SIDE OF THE BOULDER HIGHWAY AND APPROXIMATELY HALF TO THREE-QUARTERS OF A BLOCK NORTH. IT'S ADJACENT TO THE SIZZLER, WHICH IS ON THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF BOULDER HIGHWAY AND DESERT INN.

Q WHAT ESSENTIALLY IS THE RELATIONSHIP THEN

OF THIS LOCATION WHERE THE 1977 DODGE VAN WAS LOCATED SHORTLY

AFTER 6:45 P.M., ON MARCH 27TH, 1980, TO THE WINCHESTER PLAZA

OFFICE COMPLEX AT 1700 EAST DESERT INN ROAD?

A UH, ABOUT 17 -- 15 BLOCKS DUE EAST, MAYBE

A BIT FURTHER, BUT DUE EAST ON THE SAME MAIN THOROUGHFARE, WHICH

IS DESERT INN ROAD, AND A COUPLE MILES.

Q SO WHEN YOU REFERRED TO THE WESTERN SIX

MOTEL BEING NEAR THE INTERSECTION OF BOULDER HIGHWAY AND DESERT

INN ROAD, IS THAT THE SAME DESERT INN ROAD THAT THE WINCHESTER

PLAZA IS LOCATED ON?

A THAT'S CORRECT. DESERT INN RUNS EAST AND WEST, AND IT WOULD BE EAST OF THE WINCHESTER PLAZA.

Q WHERE SPECIFICALLY IS THE DEW DROP INN LOCATED IN RELATIONSHIP TO THE INTERSECTION OF DESERT INN ROAD AND BOULDER HIGHWAY?

A AT THE INTERSECTION OF DESERT INN AND BOULDER HIGHWAY IS A EXXON STATION, NEXT TO THAT IS A MINI WARE-HOUSE, AND NEXT TO THAT IS THE DEW DROP INN. SO IT'S ALMOST IMMEDIATELY ADJACENT TO D.I. AND BOULDER.

MR. HARMON: THANK YOU.

2

3

R

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

WAS IN CHARGE. LIEUTENANT CONNER WAS AT THE SCENE. HE AT THAT TIME TOLD ME HE HAD A BODY IN A VAN ON THE BACK PARKING LOT OF THE DEW DROP INN.

Q DID YOU SEE THE VAN AT THAT TIME?

A YES, I DID.

MR. SEATON: MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR

HONOR?

THE COURT: YOU MAY.

BY MR. SEATON:

11

Q LET ME SHOW YOU STATE'S EXHIBIT 2, MR.

DERRICK, AND ASK IF YOU ARE ABLE TO IDENTIFY THAT PHOTOGRAPH.

A YES, SIR. THIS IS THE VAN THAT I APPROACHED
BEHIND THE DEW DROP INN BAR.

Q ON MARCH THE 27TH, 1980?

A THAT'S CORRECT.

Q AND WHAT DID YOU SUBSEQUENTLY DO WITH REGARD

TO THAT VAN?

A O.K. WITH PERMISSION OF HOMICIDE INVESTI-GATION TEAM, I ENTERED THE VAN AND TOUCHED THE DECEDENT, FOUND HIM WITHOUT ANY LIFE SIGNS, AND THEN EXITED THE VAN.

Q HOW DID YOU TOUCH THE DECEDENT TO MAKE THAT DETERMINATION?

A I GRABBED HIS WRIST. I BELIEVE I HAD THE RIGHT HAND. THE LEFT ONE WAS DOWN INSIDE THE WHEELWELL OF THE VAN, BETWEEN THE WHEELS ON THE LEFT -- ON THE RIGHT SIDE. SO I TOUCHED THE RIGHT HAND. IT WAS COLD. THE WRIST WAS STIFF. THE ARM WAS STIFF. LEGALLY PRONOUNCED HIM DEAD AT THE TIME.

Q I SEE.

WOULD YOU ALSO LOOK AT THIS TIME AT STATE'S EXHIBIT, I'M NOT SURE IF THAT'S PROPOSED OR EXHIBIT 12.

15.34

IT'S IN EVIDENCE.

TAKE A LOOK AT STATE'S EXHIBIT 12. ARE YOU ABLE TO RECOGNIZE THAT PARTICULAR PHOTOGRAPH?

A THIS PARTICULAR PHOTOGRAPH CORRESPONDS WITH
THE DESCRIPTION IN MY REPORT I MADE AT THE SCENE AND THE CORONER S
OFFICE.

Q IS STATE'S EXHIBIT 12 A FAIR AND ACCURATE REPRESENTATION OF THE SCENE AT THE TIME THAT YOU LATER SAW IT?

A YES, SIR, IT IS.

Q WHEN AND WHERE WAS THIS PICTURE TAKEN?

A THIS PICTURE HERE WAS TAKEN AT THE CRIME LAB OF METRO CRIME DEPARTMENT.

Q THANK YOU.

NOW, LET ME SHOW YOU WHAT'S BEEN MARKED AS STATE'S EXHIBIT 8, AND ASK IF YOU ARE ABLE TO IDENTIFY THAT SCENE DEPICTED IN THAT PHOTOGRAPH?

A YES, SIR. THIS IS THE WAY THE BODY WAS LAYING WITH THE DEBRIS ON TOP OF IT BEFORE EVERYTHING WAS MOVED. THIS WAS STILL TAKEN AT THE CRIME LAB AT METRO.

Q THANK YOU.

IS THAT A FAIR AND ACCURATE REPRESENTA-

TION?

SIR, IT IS. ش

Q THEN LET ME SHOW YOU STATE'S EXHIBIT -PROPOSED EXHIBIT 17, AND ASK IF YOU ARE ABLE TO RECOGNIZE THAT:
PHOTOGRAPH?

A BY THE DESCRIPTION OF CLOTHING AND MOUSTACHE,
THE DECEDENT, HIS HAIR AND GENERAL DESCRIPTION THAT I WAS GIVEN
PRIOR TO ENTERING THE VAN AND AFTER WE REMOVED HIM FROM THE VAN.

YES. THIS IS A ACCURATE DESCRIPTION.

Q IS THAT THE SAME INDIVIDUAL YOU SAW IN THE VAN AT 4200 BOULDER HIGHWAY ON MARCH THE 27TH, 1980, AT 7:40 P.M.?

1	. A YES, SIR, IT IS.
2	Q THANK YOU.
3	AND LET ME SHOW YOU WHAT HAS BEEN MARKED
4	FOR PURPOSES OF IDENTIFICATION AS STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 13,
5	AND ASK IF YOU ARE ABLE TO IDENTIFY WHAT IS DEPICTED IN THAT
6	PHOTOGRAPH?
7	A YES, SIR. THIS IS THE DECEDENT DEPICTED
8	HERE AS HE WAS LAYING AFTER THE DEBRIS WAS MOVED.
9	Q AFTER THE DEBRIS WAS MOVED.
10	IS THAT A FAIR AND ACCURATE REPRESENTA-
11	TION OF THE SCENE AT THE TIME THAT PHOTOGRAPH WAS TAKEN?
12	A YES, SIR, IT IS.
13	Q WHEN YOU OBSERVED IT?
14	A YEAH.
15	Q AND DO YOU KNOW IF THIS PHOTOGRAPH WAS TAKE
16	AT THE SCENE OR THE I.D. LAB?
17	A THIS WAS TAKEN AT THE I.D. LAB.
18	Q WERE YOU PRESENT WHEN THAT PHOTOGRAPH WAS
19	TAKEN?
20	A YES, SIR, I WAS.
21	Q WHEN YOU PRONOUNCED THE VICTIM DEAD AT 4200
22	BOULDER HIGHWAY, DID YOU IN ANY OTHER WAY TOUCH THE BODY AT THAT
23	TIME?
24	A NO, SIR, I DID NOT.
25	Q DID YOU TOUCH THE BODY AT ANY TIME WHILE TH
26	VAN AND THE BODY IN IT WERE STILL PRESENT AT 4200 BOULDER HIGH-
27	WAY?
28	A NO, I DID NOT.
29	Q DID YOU TOUCH ANY PART OF THE CLOTHING AT
30	THAT TIME?
31	A NO, SIR, I DID NOT.
32	Q WITH PARTICULAR RESPECT TO ANY OF THE
	-512-

WHILE THE

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

POCKETS OF THE DECEASED, DID YOU HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO TOUCH THOSE OR GO INTO THEM WHILE THE VAN WAS PRESENT AT 4200 BOULDER HIGHWAY?

- I HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO, BUT I DID NOT.
- I SEE. Q

DID YOU LATER TOUCH THE -- FURTHER TOUCH THE BODY WITH PARTICULAR REGARD TO THE CLOTHING AND POCKETS OF THE DECEASED?

- YES, SIR, I DID.
- AND WHERE WAS THAT? Q

IT WAS AT THE CRIME LAB AFTER THE BODY WAS REMOVED FROM THE VAN.

LET ME SHOW YOU STATE'S EXHIBIT 12, AND Q WITH PARTICULAR REFERENCE TO THE POCKETS OF THAT INDIVIDUAL, WOULD YOU DESCRIBE WHAT YOU SEE IN THAT PHOTOGRAPH?

WHAT I SEE IN THIS ONE IS THE REAR PANTS POCKET OF THE DECEDENT ON THE LEFT SIDE, WHICH IS VISIBLE, CLEARLY VISIBLE, AND IT APPEARS TO HAVE BEEN PULLED OUT AS BEING SEARCHED.

- WHAT DO YOU --Q
- THE RIGHT SIDE, Α
- WHAT CAN YOU TELL ABOUT THE RIGHT REAR Q POCKET?

WELL, ALL I CAN SEE ON THIS WHAT APPEARS TO BE ON A STRAIGHT-ON SHOT, IS A HANDKERCHIEF OUT OF THE POCKET.

NOW, GOING TO THE MIRROR ON THE BACKSIDE OF THAT CABINET, I SEE MORE OF A HANDKERCHIEF. IT APPEARS TO HAVE BEEN PULLED OUT AND POSSIBLY TUCKED BACK IN.

WITH REGARD TO THAT RIGHT REAR POCKET, LET ME SHOW YOU STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 13 AND ASK YOU IF THAT IS A CLEARER PICTURE OF THE RIGHT REAR POCKET OF THE DECEDENT?

GRAPH?

A	YES,	SIR,	IT	ΙS
Q	AND I	HAT E	ю,	rou

Q AND WHAT DO YOU OBSERVE FROM THAT PHOTO-

A THAT YOU CAN SEE PLAINLY FROM THE SHOT WITHOUT THE MIRROR THAT THE HANDKERCHIEF IS OUT OF THE POCKET, HAD
BEEN PULLED OUT OF THE POCKET AND POSSIBLY PUT BACK IN.

Q THANK YOU.

NOW, LET'S REFER BACK TO STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT -- STATE'S EXHIBIT 12. WITH REFERENCE TO THE RIGHT
FRONT POCKET -- EXCUSE ME, THE LEFT FRONT POCKET, ARE YOU ABLE
TO SEE THAT IN THAT PHOTOGRAPH?

A NOT THAT CLEARLY, NO. I CAN SEE WHAT

APPEARS TO BE A POCKET BUT IT'S JUST RUFFLED. THERE'S NOTHING
REALLY PULLED OUT OF IT.

Q THERE WAS NOTHING PULLED OUT OF IT AT THAT TIME?

A NO.

Q NOW, DO STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBITS, EXHIBIT

13 AND STATE'S EXHIBIT 12, REFLECT THE WAY THE POCKETS LOOKED ON

THE DECEDENT ON MARCH 27TH, 1980, PRIOR TO THE TIME THAT YOU

TOUCHED THE POCKETS?

A YES, THEY DO.

Q LET ME SHOW YOU STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 17, AND AGAIN WITH REFERENCE TO THE POCKETS CAN YOU SEE ANY OF THEM IN THAT PHOTOGRAPH?

A I CAN SEE THE RIGHT FRONT POCKET ON THE TROUSERS.

Q AND WHAT CONDITION IS IT IN?

A IT IS PULLED OUT.

POCKETS OF THE DECEDENT?

Q NOW, LET ME ASK YOU THIS, MR. DERRICK:
WELL, WOULD YOU EXPLAIN FOR US WHAT YOU DID WHEN YOU TOUCHED THE

1		Q	WHAT DID YOU DO AFTER CHECKING THOSE PLACES?	•
2		A	I THEN WENT TO THE POCKETS OF ALL THE CLOTH-	
3	ING, STARTING W	ITH TH	E OUTSIDE GARMENT, GOING THROUGH THOSE	
4	POCKETS, SHIRT	POCKET	S, THEN THE TROUSER POCKETS.	
5		Q	AND WHEN YOU WENT THROUGH THE TROUSER	
6	POCKETS, DO YOU	REMEN	BER IN WHICH ORDER YOU WENT?	
7		A	NO, SIR, I DO NOT.	
8		Q	COURT'S INDULGENCE FOR JUST A MOMENT.	-
9			DID YOU FIND ANYTHING IN THE POCKETS	
10	OF THE JACKET?	I BEI	LIEVE YOU'VE DESCRIBED IT AS A OUTER GARMENT.	
11	,	A	NO, SIR, I DID NOT.	
12		Q	DID YOU FIND ANYTHING IN ANY OF THE TROUSER	
13	PANTS POCKETS?			
14		A	YES, SIR, I DID.	
15		Q	AND WHICH POCKET WAS IT THAT YOU FOUND SOME-	
16	THING IN?			
17		A	I CANNOT REMEMBER	
18		Q	BUT IT WAS	
19		A	(CONTINUING) WHICH EXACTLY.	
20		Q	WHICH ONE OF THE POCKETS?	
21		A	WHICH OF THE POCKETS, YES.	l.
22		Q	AND DID YOU GO THROUGH EACH OF THE POCKETS?	
23		A	YES, SIR, I DID.	
24		Q	AFTER YOU WENT THROUGH THE POCKETS, SHOWING	
25	YOU AGAIN, STAT	E'S P	ROPOSED 17, DID YOU LEAVE THE POCKETS IN THE	
26	CONDITION THAT	THE R	IGHT FRONT POCKET APPEARS TO BE IN IN THIS	
27	PICTURE?			
28		A	YES, SIR. WHEN I EMPTIED IT, I TURNED THEM	
29	INSIDE OUT.			
30		Q	ALL OF THEM INSIDE OUT?	
31		A	YES.	
32		Q	AND LEFT THEM IN THAT FASHION?	154
			-516-	

AND WHAT IF ANYTHING DID YOU RECOVER FROM THE PANTS POCKETS OF THE DECEDENT? OH, AS I REMEMBER -- CAN I REFER TO MY PROPERTY RECEIPT? WOULD IT REFRESH YOUR MEMORY TO DO SO? Q YES. PLEASE. WOULD YOU PLEASE. THERE IS A COPY OF OUR PROPERTY RECEIPTS MADE OUT WITH EVERY DECEDENT THAT WE WORK AND IT REFLECTS --MAY I -- WOULD IT TROUBLE YOU TO TEAR THAT PARTICULAR RECEIPT OUT AND LET ME HAVE IT MARKED AS EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE? 0.K. PLEASE DO. MR. SEATON: IF I COULD DO THAT, MAY I HAVE IT MARKED AS THE NEXT EXHIBIT IN ORDER? THE COURT: IT MAY BE. MR. SEATON: THIS WILL BE MARKED NEXT IN ORDER. MR. COOPER: MAY WE HAVE THAT NUMBER? THE CLERK: NUMBER 56. MR. FRANZEN: MAY WE SEE IT, COUNSEL? MR. SEATON:, YES. I'M GOING TO LET YOU SEE TWO AT THE SAME TIME. BY MR. SEATON:

MR. DERRICK, LET ME SHOW YOU WHAT HAS BEEN MARKED AS STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 56, AND ASK IF YOU CAN IDEN-TIFY THAT OBJECT.

YES, SIR. THIS IS MY PINK COPY OF THE PROPERTY RECEIPT BOOK THAT I IMPOUNDED OR THAT I WENT THROUGH THE BODY AND IMPOUNDED NO PROPERTY, BUT DID TURN OVER II CENTS

TO METRO.

Q IS THAT REFLECTED ON THIS PARTICULAR DOCU-

MENT?

A YES, SIR, IT IS.

Q WHEN AND WHERE DID YOU MAKE OUT THIS DOCU-

MENT?

A AT THE CRIME LAB AT METRO.

Q AND THEN HAVE YOU KEPT IT IN YOUR OWN

PERSONAL FILES SINCE THAT TIME?

A YES, SIR, I HAVE.

MR. SEATON: YOUR HONOR, WE WOULD LIKE TO REFER MORE SPECIFICALLY TO THIS DOCUMENT AT THIS TIME. I WOULD MOVE FOR ITS ADMISSION.

THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION, COUNSEL?

MR. COOPER: WE HAVE NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: SAME WILL BE RECEIVED.

MR. SEATON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

BY MR. SEATON:

Q IF YOU NOW REFER TO STATE'S EXHIBITS 56,
AND THE WRITING IS A LITTLE DIFFICULT WITH THE CARBON TO READ,
WOULD YOU TELL US WHAT THAT DOCUMENT SAYS IN ESSENCE?

THAT THE INVESTIGATOR HAS. THE NUMBER ON IT IS 11336. THE REPORT NUMBER FOR OUR LOG AT THE CORONER'S OFFICE IS CASE NUMBER 381-80 MADE OUT MARCH 27TH, 1980, TO THE DECEDENT OF GEORGE S. MONAHAN. LOCATION THE RECEIPT WAS MADE OUT WAS THE METRO CRIME LAB. THERE WAS NO CASH, COIN IMPOUNDED. THE PROPERTY THAT I HANDWRIT -- HANDWROTE MYSELF, IS "NO PROPERTY FOUND BY THIS OFFICE. ALL PROPERTY AND VEHICLE IMPOUNDED BY METRO," WITH 11 CENTS IN PARENTHESIS. IT WAS SIGNED BY ME AS THE INVESTIGA-

1

5

6 7

IS 298.

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

29

30 31

32

TOR, J. DERRICK; AND THE WITNESS OF DAN CONNELL, NUMBER 298, FROM THE LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT.

IS DAN CONNELL THE PERSON TO WHOM YOU TURNED THE 11 CENTS OVER TO?

YES. I BELIEVE THAT IS HIS -- HIS P NUMBER

ALL RIGHT. Q

SHOWING YOU AGAIN STATE'S PROPOSED

EXHIBIT 17, IS THAT THE INDIVIDUAL FROM WHOM YOU GOT THE 11 CENTS?

YES, SIR.

TO DAN CONNELL?

YES, SIR.

THANK YOU. Q

LET ME SHOW YOU NOW WHAT'S BEEN MARKED AS STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 35, WHICH PURPORTS TO BE A LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT POLICE EVIDENCE ENVELOPE. DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT?

I RECOGNIZE IT AS AN EVIDENCE BAG, YES, FROM HOMICIDE WITH THE NAME OF PERSON MAKING IT OUT WAS DAN-CONNELL, SAME P NUMBER IS 298.

DOES THAT, STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 35, 0 APPEAR TO BE PRESENTLY INTACT, THAT IS, UNOPENED?

YES, SIR, IT DOES.

IT'S COVERED WITH A EVIDENCE SEAL?

EVIDENCE SEAL AND TAPE.

MR. SEATON: IF THE RECORD WOULD SO REFLECT, YOUR

HONOR.

THE COURT: THE RECORD MAY SO SHOW.

BY MR. SEATON:

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

29

Q NOW, I WOULD LIKE THE WITNESS, IF YOU WOULD, TO TAKE THE SCISSORS AND CUT THE BOTTOM OF THE BAG PERHAPS ALONG ONE OF THE EDGES.

A (WHEREUPON, THE WITNESS COMPLIES.)

Q O.K. NOW THAT YOU HAVE OPENED THE BAG WITH THE SCISSORS, IF YOU COULD REMOVE THE CONTENTS, PLEASE.

A (WHEREUPON, THE WITNESS COMPLIES.)

Q AND IF YOU WILL DESCRIBE THE TWO ITEMS THAT YOU JUST WITHDREW, PLEASE.

A THE FIRST ITEM IS A CLEAR PLASTIC VILE WITH A WHITE TOP. THERE'S SOME WRITING ON IT.

Q WITHOUT DESCRIBING THE WRITING --

A NUMBER SEVEN.

Q (CONTINUING) -- JUST GENERALLY, WHAT'S

INSIDE THERE?

A THERE ARE TWO NICKELS AND ONE PENNY.

Q IF YOU WOULD DESCRIBE THE OTHER ITEM THAT

YOU REMOVED FROM THE BAG.

A THIS APPEARS TO BE A INVITATION HONORING GEORGE S. MONAHAN, PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR OF THE YEAR, IN --

MR. FRANZEN: I'M GOING TO OBJECT TO THIS.

MR. SEATON: IF I JUST MIGHT ADD IT --

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

MR. FRANZEN: I REQUEST THE JURY BE ADMONISHED

27 AT THIS TIME.

THE COURT: WELL, COUNSEL, IF IT ISN'T LATER CONNECTED UP I WILL SO ADMONISH THEM.

30 | .

31 ||

32 || .

-521-

WOUND APPROXIMATELY THREE INCHES BEHIND THE LEFT EAR AND WHAT 1 APPEARED TO BE AN EXIT WOUND ONE INCH ABOVE THE RIGHT EAR. 2 THANK YOU. COURT'S INDULGENCE AGAIN, PLEASE. 3 WHEN YOU PRONOUNCED THE DECEDENT DEAD, DO YOU RECALL THE TIME? 5 A . FROM MY REPORT I LOOKED AT MY WATCH AND 6 7 VERIFIED AT THAT TIME IT WAS 7:40 P.M. THAT WAS ON MARCH THE 27TH, 1980? 8 CORRECT. 9 JUST SO THE RECORD IS CLEAR, MR. DERRICK, 10 Q FROM THE TIME THAT YOU FIRST OBSERVED THE BODY IN THE VAN UNTIL 11 THE TIME THAT THE BODY WAS REMOVED FROM THE VAN AT THE I.D. LAB, 12 DID YOU EVER TOUCH THE POCKETS? 13 NO, SIR, I DID NOT. 14 WHEN YOU WENT THROUGH THE POCKETS OF THE 15 CLOTHING, DID YOU FIND A WALLET? 16 NO, SIR, I DID NOT. 17 MR. FRANZEN: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. THE WITNESS 18 HAS ALREADY TESTIFIED HE FOUND NOTHING IN ANY OF THE POCKETS. 19 IF HE FOUND NOTHING I DOUBT IF HE FOUND THE WALLET. THIS IS 20 21 DUPLICITAS. 22 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 23 24 BY MR. SEATON: 25 26 WOULD YOU PLEASE ANSWER? 27 THE COURT: HE'S ANSWERED, SIR. MR. SEATON: O.K. THANK YOU. 28 29 BY MR. SEATON: 30 31 32 DID YOU FIND ANY CREDIT CARDS? Q

	A NO, SIR.	
1	O 190, 318,	
2	Q AND JUST SO THAT WE ARE CLEAR, DID YOU FIND	
3	ANYTHING OTHER THAN THE 11 CENTS THAT YOU HAVE PREVIOUSLY DESCRIE	-
4	ED?	
5	A NO, SIR.	
6	MR. SEATON: THANK YOU. I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER.	
7	THE COURT: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, WE WILL TAKE	
8	OUR NOON RECESS AT THIS TIME.	•
9	DURING THIS RECESS, YOU	
10	ARE ADMONISHED NOT TO CONVERSE	
11	AMONG YOURSELVES OR WITH ANYONE	
12	ELSE ON ANY SUBJECT CONNECTED	
13	WITH THIS TRIAL, OR READ, WATCH	
14	OR LISTEN TO ANY REPORT OF OR	
15	COMMENTARY ON THIS TRIAL WITH ANY	
16	PERSON CONNECTED WITH THIS TRIAL BY	
17	ANY MEDIUM OF INFORMATION, INCLUDING	
18	WITHOUT LIMITATION, NEWSPAPER, TELE-	
19	VISION OR RADIO OR FORM OR EXPRESS	
20	ANY OPINION ON ANY SUBJECT CONNECTED	
21	WITH THIS TRIAL UNTIL THE CASE IS	!
22	FINALLY SUBMITTED TO YOU.	
23	WE WILL BE IN RECESS UNTIL 1:30 THIS AFTER-	
25	NOON.	
26	••	
27	••	
28	••	
29		
30		
31		
32	••	1547
	-523-	1 1 2 7 1
		1

-524-

(WHEREUPON, SIDE BAR CONFERENCE WAS HELD AT THE BENCH; NOT REPORTED.)

THE COURT: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE JURY, IT APPEARS THAT WE WILL BE TAKING TESTIMONY OUTSIDE OF YOUR PRESENCE, AND PROBABLY WILL TAKE FURTHER ARGUMENT AFTER THE TESTIMONY IS IN. SO AT THIS TIME, WE ARE GOING TO EXCUSE YOU. I ANTICIPATE THAT WE'LL PROBABLY BE AT LEAST A HALF AN HOUR.

IS THAT WHAT YOU WOULD ANTICIPATE, COUNSEL?

MR. HARMON: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: SO I WOULD SUGGEST THAT WHEN YOU ARE EXCUSED, IF YOU WOULD BE BACK TO THE COURTROOM AREA IN ABOUT A HALF AN HOUR.

DURING THIS RECESS, YOU ARE
ADMONISHED NOT TO CONVERSE AMONG
YOURSELVES OR WITH ANYONE ELSE ON
ANY SUBJECT CONNECTED WITH THIS
TRIAL, OR READ, WATCH OR LISTEN
TO ANY REPORT OF OR COMMENTARY

ON THIS TRIAL WITH ANY PERSON

CONNECTED WITH THIS TRIAL BY

ANY MEDIUM OF INFORMATION, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, NEWSPAPER,
TELEVISION OR RADIO OR FORM OR
EXPRESS ANY OPINION ON ANY SUBJECT

CONNECTED WITH THIS TRIAL UNTIL
THE CASE IS FINALLY SUBMITTED TO YOU.

YOU ARE IN RECESS AND MAY LEAVE THE COURT-

ROOM AT THIS TIME.

30 | .

31 || .

32 || .

(WHEREUPON, AT THE HOUR OF 1:55 P.M., THE JURY LEFT THE COURTROOM AND THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HAD OUTSIDE THEIR PRESENCE:)

THE COURT: OUTSIDE OF THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY.

COUNSEL.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

MR. FRANZEN: IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING BASED ON THE BENCH CONFERENCE, THAT THE STATE IS GOING TO PRESENT ONE WITNESS IN A OUT-OF-STATE ORDER AND THE COURT WILL BE RESERVING ITS RULING AS TO THE ADMISSIBILITY OF IT UNTIL LATER RECEIVED; IS THAT CORRECT?

THE COURT: WELL, I MAY OR MAY NOT, COUNSEL. BUT RIGHT NOW WE ARE GOING TO BE TAKING TESTIMONY OF AN OUT-OF-STATE WITNESS.

MR. HARMON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

WE WOULD LIKE TO CALL IN CONNECTION WITH

OUR OFFER OF PROOF, ED SCHWARTZ.

THE COURT: COME FORWARD, SIR.

20

WHEREUPON,

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

31

32

EDWARD SCHWARTZ,

CALLED AS A WITNESS HEREIN BY THE PLAINTIFF WAS FIRST DULY SWORN, EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

THE COURT: JUST BE SEATED, SIR. YOU CAN PULL THAT MIKE DOWN TO YOU AND YOU CAN PULL THAT CHAIR UP A LITTLE BIT. IT WILL BE MORE COMFORTABLE FOR YOU.

ALL RIGHT. PROCEED.

MR. HARMON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

32

THE 5TH, 1979?

DIRECT EXAMINATION

3 BY MR. HARMON:

		,
4		
5	Q	WILL YOU STATE YOUR NAME, PLEASE?
6	A	EDWARD SCHWARTZ.
7	Q	AND SPELL YOUR LAST NAME, PLEASE.
8	A	S-C-H-W-A-R-T-Z.
9	Q	MR. SCHWARTZ, WHAT IS YOUR BUSINESS OR PRO-
10	FESSION?	
11	A	I'M AN AUTOMOBILE SALESMAN.
12	Q	HOW LONG HAVE YOU WORKED AS AN AUTOMOBILE
13	SALESMAN?	
14	A	ROUGHLY ABOUT FOUR YEARS.
15	Q	WERE YOU EMPLOYED AS A CAR SALESMAN ON
16	OCTOBER THE 5TH, 197	79?
17	A	YES, I WAS.
18	Q	WHERE DID YOU WORK ON THAT DATE?
19	Α	AT PARAGONS ON NORTHERN BOULEVARD, QUEENS.
20	Q ·	FOR THE RECORD, WILL YOU SPELL PARAGONS,
21	PLEASE? *	
22	Α	P-A-R-A-G-O-N-S OLDS.
23	Q	MR. SCHWARTZ, WHERE IS PARAGONS OLDSMOBILE
24	LOCATED?	
25	A	IT'S IN THE SECTION OF WOODSIDE, AROUND
26	65TH STREET AND NOR	THERN BOULEVARD.
27	Q	IN WHAT CITY AND IN WHAT STATE, SIR?
28	A	IT'S NEW YORK CITY, COUNTY OF QUEENS.
29	Q	MR. SCHWARTZ, DID YOU HAVE OCCASION TO GO
30	TO WORK AS A CAR SAI	LESMAN OF PARAGONS MOTORS, ON OR ABOUT OCTOBER

1552

YES, SIR.

1	Q WHAT TIME DID YOU ARRIVE AT YOUR BUSINESS?
2	A APPROXIMATELY 9:00 A.M.
3	Q SHORTLY AFTER YOUR ARRIVAL DID YOU MAKE
4	CONTACT WITH A BLACK MALE ADULT WHO EXPRESSED AN INTEREST IN
5	PURCHASING A VEHICLE?
6	A YES, I DID.
7	Q WILL YOU EXPLAIN HOW YOU ENCOUNTERED THAT
8	PERSON?
9	A UH, I ARRIVED AT THE SHOWROOM ROUGHLY ABOUT
10	9:00 A.M. AND WALKED INTO THE SHOWROOM, AND THIS BLACK MALE
11	APPROACHED ME, SAYING HE WAS INTERESTED IN BUYING A CAR.
12	Q ARE YOU ABLE
13	A HE SAID
14	Q EXCUSE ME. ARE YOU ABLE TO PROVIDE A
15	PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION OF THAT PERSON?
16	A YES.
17	Q DESCRIBE THE INDIVIDUAL.
18	A AT THE POINT I MET HIM?
19	Q YES.
20	A I WOULD SAY HE WAS ROUGHLY FIVE TEN, WEIGH~
21	ED ABOUT 150, 155 POUNDS, SHORT CROPPED HAIR, LIGHT BLACK COM-
22	PLEXION.
23	Q YOU SAY A "LIGHT BLACK COMPLEXION." WHAT
24	DO YOU MEAN BY THAT?
25	A HIS HE WASN'T WELL, IN SHADES OR TONES
26 27	HE WASN'T A DARK BLACK BUT LIGHTER BLACK I WOULD SAY. IT'S A
28	TAN TINT (SIC) BLACK, IF YOU WANT TO DESCRIBE HIM THAT WAY.
29	Q DID YOU FORM AN OPINION AS TO HOW OLD THE
30	PERSON WAS?
31	A I WOULD SAY ROUGHLY AROUND 30 YEARS OLD.
32	Q MR. SCHWARTZ, WILL YOU LOOK AROUND THE COURT
	ROOM TODAY AND STATE WHETHER YOU SEE THAT PERSON YOU ENCOUNTERED
	-529~

AT ABOUT 9:00 O'CLOCK A.M., NEAR THE SHOWROOM OCTOBER THE 5TH, RIGHTHAND TABLE ON THE EXTREME RIGHT SIDE, WILL YOU DESCRIBE HOW THAT INDIVIDUAL IS AT THIS TIME, LUMBER JACKET. GRAY, I BELIEVE THAT'S IT. POINT TO THE PERSON YOU ARE DESCRIBING. (INDICATING). MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, MAY THE RECORD SHOW THAT THE WITNESS HAS IDENTIFIED THE DEFENDANT, SAMUEL HOWARD. THE COURT: THE RECORD MAY SO SHOW. MR. HARMON: THANK YOU. MR. SCHWARTZ, WHAT WAS THE CONVERSATION YOU HAD WITH DEFENDANT HOWARD AFTER YOU MADE CONTACT WITH HIM IN THE AREA OF THE SHOWROOM AT ABOUT 9:00 O'CLOCK A.M.? HE TOLD ME HE WAS INTERESTED IN PURCHASING AN OLDSMOBILE 98 AUTOMOBILE, NEW AUTOMOBILE. WE DISCUSSED A

LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE AUTOMOBILE. HE ASKED ME WHAT I HAD IN STOCK AND I HAD A -- AN ADDITIONAL LOT ACROSS THE STREET WHERE WE KEPT STOCK CARS FOR DISPLAY IN TERMS OF COLOR, EQUIPMENT, ETCETERA. AND AFTER DISCUSSING SEVERAL THINGS, WE TOOK A WALK ACROSS THE STREET AND I SHOWED HIM WHAT I HAD AVAIL-

- YOURSELF AND THE DEFENDANT?
- THAT IS CORRECT.
- WHAT HAPPENED THEN?

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

					•			•
	Α	WE	SPENT	MAYBE	TEN,	TWELVE	MINUTES	WALKING
AROUND CARS,	LOOKING	AT	THEM,	SEEIN	G THE	EQUIPM	ENT THAT	WAS IN
THEM, AND TH	EN WE PR	OCE	EDED B	ACK TO	THE S	SHOWROO	M AT MY	DESK.
	Q	WAS	ATTE	NTION	AT TH	AT POIN	T FOCUSE	ED ON A
PARTICULAR V	EHICLE?							
	A %	1 1	THINK .	AT THA	T POI	•	ETHE PIO	KED OUT

PARTICULAR COLOR, I THINK THE FACT THAT THE PARTICULAR AUTOMOBILE YES, WAS A 98 OLDSMOBILE.

- A 98 OLDSMOBILE? Q
- THAT'S CORRECT.
- WHAT YEAR, SIR?
- 1980.
- AT SOME POINT WAS THERE ANY DISCUSSION ABOUT

A DEM- -- DEMO RIDE IN THAT VEHICLE?

- NOT AT THIS POINT.
- AT SOME POINT, SIR?
- AT SOME POINT, YES.
- WILL YOU EXPLAIN HOW THAT OCCURRED?
- WELL, WHEN WE CAME BACK TO THE SHOWROOM WE

STARTED TO DISCUSS THE PURCHASE PRICE, DOWNPAYMENT, PAYMENTS, THINGS IN THAT VEIN. AND THE DEFENDANT AT THAT POINT BROUGHT OUT A TYPE OF A BANKBOOK, IN WHICH HE SHOWED ME HE HAD A SUM OF ROUGHLY \$3500 IN THE BANKBOOK, MATCHING LICENSE, AND TOLD ME HE HAD WORKED -- WAS WORKING -- I'M SORRY, IS WORKING FOR A SECURITY OUTFIT.

AT THAT POINT HE ASKED WHETHER IT WAS POSSIBLE FOR HIM TO HAVE A DEMO RIDE.

IS IT YOUR TESTIMONY THAT IN ADDITION TO SHOWING YOU HIS BANKBOOK AND APPARENTLY A SUM HE HAD ON DEPOSIT, THAT HE SHOWED YOU A DRIVER'S LICENSE?

- THAT'S CORRECT.
- DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT NAME WAS ON THE DRIVER \$ 1555

LICENSE?

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

- THAT I -- I CAN'T FOR CERTAIN SAY.
- DO YOU RECALL ANYTHING ABOUT IT?

MIGHT HAVE BEGUN SOMETHING WITH AN S OR BUT I -- I COULDN'T SAY THE FULL NAME FOR SURE. SOMETHING.

AT WHAT POINT DID MR. HOWARD TELL YOU HE Q WAS EMPLOYED IN SOME CAPACITY AS A SECURITY OFFICER?

DURING THE COURSE OF THE CONVERSATION WHEN HE TOOK OUT HIS BANKBOOK, LICENSE, AT THAT POINT HE SAID HE WORK-ED FOR A SECURITY.

DID HE IDENTIFY THE NAME OF THE AGENCY HE Q WORKED FOR?

I BELIEVE IT WAS BURNS, BUT I'M -- I'M NOT QUITE THAT SURE.

WAS IT AT THAT POINT THAT HE REQUESTED A Q TEST RIDE?

YES.

WHAT HAPPENED THEN? Q

IN VIEW OF ALL THE THINGS THAT I HAD SEEN

AND DISCUSSED, I HAD SPENT APPROXIMATELY A HALF HOUR WITH THE CUSTOMER, I -- I PERSONALLY FELT AT THAT POINT IT WAS APPROPRIATE. AND THEN I WENT INTO THE SALES MANAGER, SINCE HE WANTED TO RIDE IN THE 98. MY AUTOMOBILE WAS A CUTLASS SUPREME, I ASKED PERMIS-SION TO OBTAIN A 98 FOR A DEMO RIDE. I EXPLAINED TO MY SALES MANAGER VERY BRIEFLY THE FACTS THAT I'VE JUST STATED, AND GAVE ME THE KEYS TO HIS CAR, SINCE HE HAD A 98.

> WHAT HAPPENED THEN, MR. SCHWARTZ? Q

AT THAT POINT WE WENT OUTSIDE TO THE CAR. THE DEFENDANT WAS ALONGSIDE ME. AND STARTING I GOT IN THE CAR. FROM THE POINT OF THE SHOWROOM, WE DROVE IN A -- WE WERE GOING TO DRIVE IN A CIRCLE AROUND THE SHOWROOM. WE GOT ABOUT THREE-QUARTERS OF THE WAY AROUND AND THE DEFENDANT ASKED WHETHER HE "

COULD DRIVE OR NOT. I SAID YES. I GOT OUT OF THE DRIVER'S SEAT, WALKED TO THE PASSENGER'S SEAT; AND HE WALKED INTO THE -- GOT OUT AND WENT INTO THE DRIVER'S SEAT. WE THEN CONTINUED TO COM-PLETE THE CIRCLE AT THE SHOWROOM.

AT THAT POINT HE ASKED WHETHER WE COULD GO AROUND AGAIN, SINCE HE ONLY DROVE A SHORT DISTANCE. I THOUGHT IT WAS A LOGICAL THING AND I SAID YES.

Q DID THE DEFENDANT THEN RESUME HIS DRIVING OF THE --

- A YES, HE DID.
- Q (CONTINUING) -- THE OLDSMOBILE 98?

A HE DROVE THEN APPROXIMATELY THREE OR FOUR BLOCKS ON NORTHERN BOULEVARD. THE DEFENDANT MADE A RIGHTHAND TURN, IT'S A ONE-WAY STREET, AND WENT DOWN, OH, I WOULD SAY ABOUT A QUARTER OR SO OF THE BLOCK, PULLED OVER TO THE RIGHTHAND SIDE, AND PULLED OUT A GUN.

Q DID YOU SEE WHERE THE DEFENDANT HAD THE GUN WHICH HE PRODUCED?

A THE GUN WAS IN HIS LET

- Q DID YOU SEE WHERE HE GOT IT?
- A HE JUST PULLED IT OUT AT THAT POINT. I

DON'T KNOW.

Q WHAT TYPE OF GUN DID HE HAVE IN HIS LEFT

HAND?

30

31

32

A I BELIEVE IT WAS SOME TYPE OF AUTOMATIC.

Q IT WAS A HANDGUN?

A YES. HANDGUN, AUTOMATIC. YES.

Q DO YOU HAVE ANY OPINION REGARDING THE CALIBER

OF THE WEAPON?

A I'M POSITIVE IT WASN'T A .45. I DON'T THINK

IT WAS A .22. I BELIEVE SOMEWHERE ABOVE A .22 AND UNDER A .45.

Q WHAT HAPPENED, SIR, AFTER THE DEFENDANT

5

7 8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

30

29

31 32 YOU BESIDES THE PINKY RING AND YOUR WRISTWATCH?

WELL, THE -- I -- ARE YOU REFERRING TO THE THINGS THAT WERE IN MY JACKET AND PANTS?

YES. ANYTHING ELSE YOU HAD ANYWHERE IN YOUR CLOTHING OR ON YOUR PERSON.

A MY MONEY WAS TAKEN, MY WALLET WAS TAKEN, CREDIT CARDS, KEYS, AND ANY OTHER STUFF YOU WOULD NORMALLY CARRY IN YOUR POCKET.

DID THE DEFENDANT HAVE YOUR PERMISSION TO-Q REMOVE THESE PROPERTIES FROM YOUR PERSON OR CLOTHING?

NOT AT ALL. I WAS DOING IT AT GUNPOINT.

WHAT HAPPENED AFTER HE OBTAINED POSSESSION OF THE ITEMS YOU'VE REFERRED TO?

AT THAT POINT HE INSTRUCTED ME TO REMAIN CROUCHED ON THE WELL -- ON THE WELL OF THE PASSENGER'S SEAT, AND HE DROVE I WOULD ESTIMATE ABOUT A QUARTER OF A MILE. FROM LAYING THERE I MADE A -- HE WENT DOWN A LITTLE TO THE CORNER, MADE A LEFT TURN, ANOTHER LEFT TURN, AND WENT BACK ON NORTHERN BOULE-VARD A SHORT DISTANCE, AND THEN HE PULLED UP TO A PARKING LOT BY A BURGER KING OR ONE OF THE FAST FOOD-TYPE RESTAURANTS IN THE PARKING LOT.

WHAT HAPPENED AT THAT LOCATION, SIR?

AT THAT POINT HE INSTRUCTED ME TO OPEN THE DOOR, TOLD ME TO GET OUT, TOLD ME TO WALK AGAINST THE WIRE FENCE WHICH WAS ABOUT TEN FEET AWAY, DON'T LOOK BACK, AND THE NEXT THING I KNEW HE HAD DRIVEN OFF IN THE CAR.

THE DEFENDANT DROVE OFF IN THE 1980 OLDS-MOBILE 98 BELONGING TO PARAGON MOTORS?

THAT IS CORRECT.

WHAT DID YOU DO THEN?

WELL, AT THAT POINT I WAS STANDING IN MY BRIEFS AND SHORTS AND NO SHOES. FORTUNATELY SOMEBODY DROVE UP.

THE RESTAURANT WAS CLOSED. AND I DIDN'T WANT TO WALK ON THE STREET THAT WAY. AND I THINK HE WAS EITHER COMING TO THE RESTAURANT TRYING TO MAKE A PHONE CALL AND I CALLED OUT TO HIM AND HE STARTED SORT OF TO TURN AROUND, WALK AWAY, AND I YELLED OUT TO HIM, "WOULD YOU PLEASE CALL THE POLICE", AND ALSO IF HE COULD CALL PARAGON MOTORS.

Q MR. SCHWARTZ, ARE YOU ABLE TO ESTIMATE ABOUT WHAT TIME THIS WAS?

- A SOMEWHERE AFTER 10:00 A.M.
- Q HOW LONG WERE YOU IN THE PRESENCE OF THE DEFENDANT ON OCTOBER THE 5TH, 1979?
 - A IN TOTAL?
 - Q YES.
 - A IT WOULD BE OVER -- OVER AN HOUR.
 - Q DID YOU GET A GOOD LOOK AT HIM?
 - A YES, SIR.
- Q DID YOU HAVE OCCASION THEREAFTER TO SPEAK WITH REPRESENTATIVES OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT?
- A YES, I DID.
- Q WERE YOU ASKED TO GIVE A PHYSICAL DESCRIP-
 - A YES.
- Q DO YOU RECALL NOW, HOW THE DEFENDANT WAS DRESSED ON OCTOBER 5TH, 1979?
- A I BELIEVE HE WAS WEARING PANTS, SHIRT, SOME KIND OF LUMBER JACKET, LUMBER JACKET, FAIRLY WELL DRESSED, INTELLIGENT.
 - Q I DIDN'T CATCH THE LAST THING YOU SAID.
- A YOU -- I'M SORRY. YOU ASKED FOR A DESCRIP-
 - Q A DESCRIPTION OF CLOTHING.
 - A THAT WAS -- THAT'S --

-537-

MR. SCHWARTZ, THIS INCIDENT YOU'VE DESCRIB-THIS WAS FOR AN AUTO SALES BUSINESS? YOU WERE NOT SELLING THIS PARTICULAR I -- I DON'T UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION. IT WASN'T YOUR VEHICLE THAT YOU WERE SELLING? NO. I WORKED FOR THE AGENCY PARAGON. AND THAT VEHICLE, THE 98 OLDS THAT YOU'VE DID YOU GIVE A WRITTEN STATEMENT, MR. YOU WOULDN'T HAPPEN TO HAVE A COPY OF THAT

il	
1	A NO, SIR.
2	Q HAVE YOU SEEN A COPY OF THAT OR WERE YOU
3	GIVEN A COPY OF THAT SINCE THIS INCIDENT WAS REPORTED TO THE NEW
4	YORK POLICE?
5	A I DON'T I DON'T FOLLOW.
6	Q WERE YOU EVER GIVEN A COPY OF THE STATEMENT
7	YOU GAVE TO THE POLICE?
8	A MY POSSESSION?
9	Q YES.
10	A NO.
11	Q AS FAR AS YOU KNOW THEN, THE NEW YORK CITY
12	POLICE WOULD STILL HAVE THAT WRITTEN STATEMENT YOU GAVE THEM?
13	A NOT AWARE OF WHAT THE NEW YORK POLICE HAVE
14	OR HAVE NOT.
15	Q HOW MANY PAGES DOES THAT STATEMENT CONSIST
16	OF?
17	A I REALLY DON'T KNOW. ROUGHLY ABOUT TWO
18	PAGES.
. 19	Q 1 SEE.
20	DID YOU SIGN THE STATEMENT, SIR?
21	A I IMAGINE SO.
22	Q I SEE.
23	AND WAS THIS STATEMENT IN YOUR OWN HAND-
24	WRITING, IF YOU RECALL?
25	A I I DON'T BELIEVE SO.
26	Q DO YOU THINK THAT MAYBE IT WAS A TYPED STATE
27	MENT?
28	A POSSIBLY.
30	Q I SEE.
31	YOU WERE NEVER PHYSICALLY INJURED AS A
32	RESULT OF YOUR ENCOUNTER WITH THIS MAN, WERE YOU, THE MAN YOU
-	TESTIFIED DREW THE GUN ON YOU AND TOOK YOUR PROPERTY?
	-539-

1	A	WHAT DO YOU MEAN "PHYSICALLY"?	
2	Q	PHYSICALLY. WERE YOU EVER PHYSICALLY	
3	INJURED BY THIS MAN?	DID YOU SUFFER ANY KIND OF PHYSICAL INJURY?	
4	A	WELL, I SUFFERED THE INJURY OF LOOKING AT	
5	A GUN POINT.		
6	Q	I UNDERSTAND. BUT PHYSICALLY WERE YOU	
7	INJURED, SIR?		
8	A	NO.	Ī
9	. Q	IN YOUR PARTICULAR CASE, THIS MAN TOOK THE	
10	VEHICLE AS WELL AS Y	OUR ITEMS OF PERSONAL PROPERTY, DIDN'T HE?	
11	. A	THE VEHICLE BELONGED TO PARAGON AGENCY, YES.	
12	Q	HE DIDN'T	
13	A	PLUS MY PERSONAL POSSESSIONS, AND THEN HE	
14	DROVE OFF IN THE VEH	IICLE.	
15	Q	HE DROVE OFF IN THE VEHICLE?	
16	A	THAT'S CORRECT.	
17	Q	DO YOU KNOW IF THAT VEHICLE WAS LATER	
18	RECOVERED?		
19		I BELIEVE IT WAS.	
20	Q	DO YOU KNOW ABOUT WHEN IT WAS RECOVERED?	
21	A ·	ABOUT A MONTH LATER.	
22	Q	UH-HUH. THIS MAN, DID HE HAVE ON GLOVES AT	
23	THE TIME HE EN Y	OU ENCOUNTERED HIM?	
24	A	, NO.	
25 26	Q	I SEE.	!
27	_	DO YOU KNOW OF YOUR OWN KNOWLEDGE	
28		RINTS WERE LIFTED FROM THAT VEHICLE?	
29	A	I HAVE NO IDEA.	
30	Q	YOU WOULDN'T KNOW IF ANY PRINTS WERE LIFTED	
31	FIED?	P WITH THAT MAN'S PRINTS THAT YOU'VE IDENTI-	
32	A	(NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE.)	1
			'
1	I	-540-	

.	
1	Q COULD YOU ANSWER LOUDER, SIR.
2	A NO, SIR. NO.
3	Q THIS GENTLEMAN HAD YOU REMOVE YOUR CLOTHES;
4	IS THAT RIGHT?
5	A THAT'S CORRECT.
6	Q AMONG THE ITEMS OF PERSONAL PROPERTY TAKEN,
7	MR. SCHWARTZ, WAS A CHECKBOOK OF YOURS, WASN'T IT?
8	A THAT IS CORRECT. NOT A CHECKBOOK, SIR,
9	BANK CHECKS.
10	Q BANK CHECKS?
11	A THAT IS CORRECT.
12	Q HOW MANY CHECKS WERE TAKEN?
13	A TWO OR THREE.
14	Q AND SOMETIME SUBSEQUENT TO THE DATE THIS
15	INCIDENT OCCURRED, YOU WERE INFORMED BY YOUR BANK THAT A MAN
16	HAD PRESENTED ONE OF YOUR CHECKS AT THE BANK FOR TO CASH IT;
17	ISN'T THAT TRUE?
18	A SUBSEQUENT?
 19	Q YES, SIR.
20	A YES. NOT AT NOT AT THE BRANCH THAT I
21	DID BUSINESS.
22	AFTER THE HOLDUP I WENT TO MY BRANCH
23	MANAGER, TOLD HIM BRIEFLY WHAT HAD HAPPENED, AND ASKED HIM WHETHER
24	HE WANTED TO PUT A STOP ON MY CHECKING ACCOUNT. HE SAID HE DIDN'T
25	THINK THAT WOULD BE NECESSARY, BUT THEY WOULD RED PLAG MY ACCOUNT.
26	AND THAT IS WHAT HE DID.
27	Q DID YOU IN FACT
28	A SUBSEQUENTLY I WAS NOTIFIED THAT A CHECK OF
29	MINE WAS CASHED.
30	Q I SEE.
31	ONE OF THE CHECKS
32	A AT A AT A DIFFERENT REGULAR SAVINGS BANK.
	-541-

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28 29

30

31 32

THINKING BACK NOW I DON'T REMEMBER WHETHER I RECEIVED IT AS A CANCELLED CHECK, BUT I REMEMBER SOMEWHERE ALONG THE LINE SEEING THE CHECK AND LOOKING AT THE SIGNATURE AND OBVIOUSLY TO ME, IT WAS A FORGERY.

> I SEE. Q

BUT THE ANSWER TO MY QUESTION IS, MY QUESTION IS: DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THE NEW YORK CITY POLICE --YOU TESTIFIED YOU DID INFORM THEM OF THIS. DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THEY MADE ANY KIND OF HANDWRITING COMPARISON TO DETERMINE WHO MIGHT HAVE WRITTEN THAT?

I DON'T KNOW THE PROCEDURES OF THE NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT.

> Q 0.K.

NOW, YOU TESTIFIED THAT THIS PERSON SHOWED YOU HIS LICENSE; IS THAT RIGHT?

A LICENSE.

A LICENSE, EXCUSE ME. Q

AND IT WAS A NEW YORK STATE LICENSE?

THAT IS CORRECT.

DIDN'T YOU TELL THE POLICE THAT THE NAME ON THE LICENSE WAS ONE LARRY STAREET, S-T-A-R-E-E-T, OR LARRY STANTON, S-T-A-N-T-O-N?

I'M -- I MIGHT HAVE MENTIONED THE NAME AT THAT TIME OF THE INDIVIDUAL. BUT AT THIS POINT I COULDN'T SAY: THE NAME OF THE PARTIES.

I SEE.

BUT IT WAS A NEW YORK STATE LICENSE.

I SEE.

DO YOU RECALL, MR. SCHWARTZ, TELLING THE POLICE THAT YOU WERE CERTAIN THAT THE LAST LETTERS IN THAT -THAT THE LAST NAME YOU SAW ON THE DRIVER'S LICENSE CONTAINED NO MORE THAN FIVE OR SIX LETTERS?

	<u> </u>
1	A YES. I BELIEVE I MENTIONED THAT TO THEM.
2	Q NOW, YOU TESTIFIED THAT THIS WAS A THE
3	MAN APPEARED INTELLIGENT; IS THAT RIGHT?
4	A THAT IS CORRECT.
5	Q WOULD YOU SAY HE WAS WELL SPOKEN?
6	A YES.
7	Q I SEE.
8	AND BY "WELL SPOKEN" WHAT DO YOU MEAN?
9	A THE MAN WAS ARTICULATE AND OBVIOUSLY HAD A,
10	IN MY ESTIMATION, A DEGREE OF INTELLIGENCE.
11	Q I SEE.
12	DID YOU NOTICE ANY KIND OF DIALECT OR
13	ACCENT TO HIS VOICE?
14	A MINIMUM.
15	Q I SEE.
16	AND WERE YOU ABLE TO DISCERN WHAT TYPE
17	OF DIALECT OR ACCENT IT MIGHT HAVE BEEN?
18	A MINIMUM BLACK DIALECT.
19	Q ALL RIGHT.
20	AND WHAT LED YOU TO THAT OBSERVATION?
21	A I SPOKE TO THE MAN FOR A HALF HOUR.
22	Q WELL, WHEN YOU SAY HE HAD A "MINIMUM BLACK
23	DIALECT" WHAT DO YOU MEAN?
24	A I'M REFERRING TO A NEW YORK CITY ACCENT.
25	WE HAVE MANY DIALECTS THERE. THIS WAS A MINIMUM:
26	Q IT WAS
27	A HE WAS AN ARTICULATE PERSON.
28	Q WOULD IT BE WOULD IT BE MORE ACCURATE TO
29	SAY IT WAS MORE OF A NEW YORK CITY-TYPE ACCENT THAN A BLACK
30	ACCENT?
31	A WELL, IN SOME SENSES THEY'RE THE SAME. BUT
32	IT WAS A MINIMUM AGAIN, WHATEVER DIALECT YOU WANT TO CALL IT
	-544-
'	

- 11	
1	Q BUT IN ANY EVENT, HE APPEARED TO YOU TO BE
2	WELL SPOKEN; IS THAT CORRECT?
3	A THAT IS CORRECT.
4	Q THE PHOTO YOU WERE CONTACTED BY THE POLICE
5	SUBSEQUENTLY AND ASKED TO COME INTO A PHOTO LINEUP; IS THAT RIGHT?
6	A IF THE NAME YOU'RE SAYING IS THE NAME OF
7	THE UNIT, YES, IT WAS AN IDENTIFICATION UNIT. I DON'T KNOW THE
8	EXACT NAME OF IT.
9	Q I SEE.
10	DID THEY CALL YOU ON THE PHONE AND ASK
11	YOU TO COME TAKE A LOOK AT THIS?
12	A UH-HUH.
13	Q DO YOU RECALL WHAT YOU WERE TOLD IN THAT
14	CONVERSATION?
15	A AS FAR AS WHAT?
16	Q WELL, DID THEY SAY, MR. SCHWARTZ, WOULD YOU
17	COME DOWN TO SUCH AND SUCH PLACE AND TAKE A LOOK AT PHOTOGRAPHS?
18	A YES. WE WOULD I CARE TO COME DOWN AND
19	TRY TO IDENTIFY THE MAN THAT HELD ME UP, YES.
20	Q DID THEY DID THEY LEAD YOU TO BELIEVE IN
21	ANYWAY THAT THEY HAD A POSSIBLE SUSPECT?
22	A NO.
23	Q WHEN YOU ARRIVED AT WHEREVER IT WAS YOU TOOK
24	A LOOK AT THESE PHOTOGRAPHS, I ASSUME AT SOME POINT YOU WERE
25	SHOWN SEVERAL PHOTOGRAPHS; IS THAT RIGHT?
26 27	A WE USE THE WORD "PHOTOGRAPHS". THEY WEREN'T
28	PHOTOGRAPH\$.
29	Q WHAT WERE THEY, SIR?
30	A THE BEST THAT I CAN DESCRIBE IT IS A KODAK
31	REPRODUCER, SOMETHING THAT YOU WOULD SEE IN THE LIBRARY IF YOU
32	WANTED TO REPRODUCE A NEWSPAPER FROM A BACK ISSUE. O A COPIER?
	Q A COPIER?

15.69

1	NO, NOT COPY. IT WAS A SCREEN.	
2	· Q I SEE.	
3	A AGAIN I DON'T KNOW THE	
4	Q WAS IT	
5	A IT WAS KODAK, I KNOW THAT.	
6	Q WAS IT KIND OF LIKE A SLIDE PROJECTOR OR	i
7	SOMETHING SIMILAR TO THAT?	+
8	A SOMETHING IN THAT VEIN, BUT IT WASN'T AN	
9	OUTSIDE PROJECTOR, IT WAS INTERNAL.	
10	Q I SEE.	
11	AND YOU WERE SHOWN PICTURES THEN OF	
12	INDIVIDUALS?	
13	A THAT IS CORRECT.	
14	Q HOW MANY INDIVIDUALS DID YOU LOOK AT?	
15	A OH, I WOULD SAY A HUNDRED, A HUNDRED FIFTY.	
16	Q I SEE.	
17	DO YOU CAN YOU ESTIMATE FOR US ABOUT	
18	HOW MANY YOU LOOKED AT BEFORE YOU DECIDED ON THIS MAN'S PHOTO-	
19	GRAPH?	-
20	A THAT THAT'S ABOUT THE NUMBER.	
21	AS I SAID, IT WAS AN EXCLUSION THING.	
22	SO YOU DIDN'T SEE A THOUSAND PHOTOGRAPHS, THEY ELIMINATED BETWEE	N
23	CERTAIN MAXIMUMS AND MINIMUMS.	
24	Q BASED ON THE DESCRIPTION YOU HAD GIVEN THEM	4
25	A THAT IS CORRECT.	
26	Q AFTER THEY HAD GONE THROUGH THE MAXIMUMS	
27	AND MINIMUMS AND HAD ELIMINATED CERTAIN PHOTOGRAPHS, HOW MANY	•
28	WERE LEFT?	
29	A I'D SAY A HUNDRED, HUNDRED AND FIFTY.	
30	Q WERE THEY ARE ALL OF THEM BLACK MALES?	
31	A NO.	
32	Q SOME OF THEM WERE WHITE MALES, I TAKE IT?	1570
•		
	-546-	I

1	INDIVIDUAL. HE CO	10
2	OR SELL BACK MY JI	E۷
3	Q	
4	A	
5	Q	
6	SEQUENT PHONE CAL	LS
7	A	
8	NEGOTIATING.	
9		
10	DEPARTMENT DETECT	I١
11	RECORDED BY PHONE	•
12	HAD AGREED TO.	
13	Q	
14	SCHWARTZ.	
15		
16	POLICE STATION WA	5
17	PICTURES?	
18	A	
19	TIONED BEFORE	_
20	Q	
21	A	
22	MR	•
23		
24	THERE IS ONE FINA	L
25	тн	Ε
26		
27	BY MR, COOPER:	
28		
29	Q	
30	SCHWARTZ, THAT TH	ΙE
31	CALIBER?	
32	A	

INDIVIDUAL. HE CONTACTED ME AND HE WANTED TO SELL -- NEGOTIATE OR SELL BACK MY JEWELRY.

I SEE.

YES, WE DID.

DID HE THREATEN YOU IN ANYWAY IN THESE SUB-SEQUENT PHONE CALLS?

NO. I DON'T BELIEVE SO. WE JUST WERE NEGOTIATING.

I THEN TOLD THE NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT DETECTIVES THAT WERE INVOLVED AND THEY TAPPED AND RECORDED BY PHONE. THEY WERE LOOKING TO SET UP A MEET, WHICH I HAD AGREED TO.

Q JUST ONE OR TWO ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS, MR.

AS YOU VIEWED THESE PICTURES AT THE POLICE STATION WAS THERE ANYONE ELSE THERE VIEWING THE SAME PICTURES?

NO. I WAS ALONE EXCEPT FOR THE, AS I MEN-

POLICE OFFICER?

(CONTINUING) -- POLICEMAN COMING IN AND OUT

MR. COOPER: I SEE.

I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER OF THIS WITNESS.

THERE IS ONE FINAL QUESTION, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: PROCEED.

DO YOU RECALL TELLING THE POLICE, MR. Q SCHWARTZ, THAT THE GUN THE MAN HELD ON YOU LOOKED LIKE A .32 CALIBER?

I TOLD THEM THE SAME THING I'VE SAID HERE IN

11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27 28

29

30 31

32

COURT. I'M NOT THAT FAMILIAR WITH GUNS, BUT I KNOW FOR SURE THAT IT WASN'T A .45, DIDN'T LOOK TO ME LIKE A .22. SO IT WAS SOME-WHERE IN CALIBER RANGE BETWEEN THOSE TWO.

MR. COOPER: I SEE.

I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.

MR. HARMON: NOTHING FURTHER AT THIS TIME, YOUR

HONOR.

SIDE, 51R.

THE COURT: YOU'RE EXCUSED, SIR. JUST WAIT OUT-

(WHEREUPON, THE WITNESS WAS

EXCUSED.)

THE COURT: YOU MAY PROCEED.

MR. HARMON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

YOUR HONOR, WE OFFER THIS EVIDENCE PURSUANT TO NRS 48.045, SUB-HEADING 2. IT IS OUR BELIEF THAT EVEN THOUGH THE GENERAL RULE SOMEWHAT MILITATES AGAINST THE ADMISSION OF EVIDENCE OF A SEPARATE OFFENSE, THAT THE EVIDENCE OFFERED BY MEANS OF MR. SCHWARTZ, TENDS TO ESTABLISH MOTIVE, INTENT, AND IDENTITY. AND IN PARTICULAR, I STRESS THE LAST CATEGORY, IDEN-TITY.

THE STATE REALIZES, YOUR HONOR, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF TUCKER VERSUS STATE, AT 82 NEV. 127, THE DECISION HANDED DOWN IN 1966, AND OTHER AUTHORITY IN THIS STATE, THAT A THRESHOLD BEFORE EVIDENCE OF A SEPARATE OFFENSE WILL BE ADMITTED IS THAT THE OFFENSE COMMITTED BY THE DEFENDANT BE PROVEN BY PLAIN, CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE.

I WOULD SUBMIT TO THE COURT, EVEN THOUGH THE OFFER HAS NOT CLEARLY SPELLED THAT OUT, ASSUMING THAT THE COURT WAS RECEPTIVE TO OUR OFFER, THAT WE CAN PRODUCE DETECTIVES FROM THE NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT TO THE STAND, THAT THE PERSON IDENTIFIED ON OCTOBER 18TH, 1979, BY THE WITNESS WAS IN FACT THE DEFENDANT MR. HOWARD. I SUBMIT THAT HE HAS MADE AN

R

IDENTIFICATION IN COURT. HE'S THE ONLY PERSON REALLY IN A POSI-TION TO SAY WHO IT WAS THAT ROBBED HIM. SO I THINK THE STATE HAS MET THAT THRESHOLD REQUIREMENT OF THE ADMISSIBILITY.

WE FURTHERMORE ACKNOWLEDGE, PURSUANT TO
THE AUTHORITY OF TUCKER, JONES VERSUS STATE, AND OTHER NEVADA
AUTHORITY, THAT A FURTHER REQUIREMENT IS TO SHOW THAT THERE IS
A NECESSITY FOR THE EVIDENCE COMING BEFORE THE COURT. OUR POSITION ON THAT, ON THAT, YOUR HONOR, IS:

NUMBER ONE, IN CONNECTION WITH THE KILLING OF GEORGE STEVEN MONAHAN WE HAVE A TOTALLY CIRCUMSTANTIAL
CASE. THERE ARE NO EYE-WITNESSES. IT'S EXTREMELY IMPORTANT FOR
THE STATE TO ESTABLISH EXACTLY WHO IT WAS THAT EVIDENTLY WENT FOR
A RIDE WITH HIM IN HIS 1977 DODGE VAN.

AND, OF COURSE, IT'S IMPORTANT TO ESTABLISH WHO THE ACTUAL PERPETRATOR OF THE MURDER IS. IT IS FURTHER-MORE IMPORTANT BECAUSE WE HAD THE ADDITIONAL ALLEGATION OF ROBBERY TO ESTABLISH THAT A ROBBERY OCCURRED AND THAT THERE WAS INTENT TO COMMIT ROBBERY.

OVER THREE YEARS HAS ELAPSED SINCE THE COMMISSION OF THAT OFFENSE. SO EVEN THOUGH WITNESSES HAVE COME IN, AND I THINK WE ARE BEGINNING TO BUILD A CIRCUMSTANTIAL CASE AGAINST MR. HOWARD, HOW THE JURY PERCEIVES THE IDENTIFICATION OF PERSONS MADE THIS REMOTE IN TIME, OF COURSE ONLY THEY CAN ANSWER BUT WE BELIEVE, YOUR HONOR, THAT A STRONG CASE CAN BE MADE, THAT THIS TYPE OF EVIDENCE IS NECESSARY.

AT THIS JUNCTURE IN THE PROCEEDINGS, THE ONLY THING ADDITIONALLY I WOULD LIKE TO ADD IS TO STRESS ON THE SUBJECT OF THE IDENTITY, MOTIVE, AND INTENT CATEGORIES. IT'S OUR POSITION THAT A SUBSTANTIAL SIMILARITY IN OFFENSES HAS BEEN DEMONSTRATED TO THE COURT. AND I WANT SIMPLY TO LIST THE AREAS THAT WE BELIEVE ARE SUFFICIENTLY SIMILAR SO AS TO INTEND, BY PROOF, THAT THE DEFENDANT IS THE PERSON WHO ROBBED MR. SCHWARTZ,

 THAT WE MAY INFER FROM THAT THAT HE IS IN ALL PROBABILITY THEN
THE PERSON WHO ROBBED AND MURDERED GEORGE MONAHAN:

NUMBER ONE, YOUR HONOR, IN BOTH CASES

WE NOW HAVE EVIDENCE BEFORE THE COURT THAT THE DEFENDANT CONTACT
ED HIS INTENDED VICTIM AND EXPRESSED AN INTEREST IN THE PURCHASE

OF A MOTOR VEHICLE. NOW, 11'S TRUE OF COURSE, AS I'M SURE

DEFENSE COUNSEL WILL POINT OUT TO THE COURT, THE COURT HAS ALREADY

TAKEN COGNIZANCE OF, MR. MONAHAN WAS NOT IN THE BUSINESS OF SELL
ING CARS. HE WAS TRYING TO SELL HIS OWN VEHICLE, WHEREAS MR.

SCHWARTZ IS A CAR SALESMAN BY TRADE. BUT, NEVERTHELESS, THERE

IS THE SIMILARITY OF THE DEFENDANT EXPRESSING AN INTEREST TO BOTH

VICTIMS IN PURCHASING THE VEHICLE.

NUMBER TWO, IN BOTH INSTANCES, HE INDICATED, IN THE CASE OF MR. SCHWARTZ, THAT IT WAS BY SHOWING HIS
BANKBOOK, SHOWING THAT HE HAD CASH AVAILABLE. I THINK MR.
SCHWARTZ SAID \$3500 DOLLARS. MRS. MONAHAN HAS TESTIFIED THAT
THE DEFENDANT EXPLAINED TO HER AND HER HUSBAND THAT HE JUST SOLD
HIS OTHER VEHICLE AND HAD A SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNT OF CASH ON HAND
THAT HE COULD USE IN THE PURCHASE OF THE VAN. IN FACT, MR.
MONAHAN WAS SO SURE WHEN HE LEFT FOR WORK THE MORNING OF MARCH
THE 27TH, 1980, THAT HE WAS GOING TO MAKE A SALE AND THAT IT
WOULD BE A CASH SALE THAT HE TOOK THE TITLE TO THE VEHICLE, AS
HAS BEEN ATESTED TO BY MRS. MONAHAN.

POINT NUMBER THREE, IT IS WE THINK
REASONABLY CLEAR EVEN AT THIS POINT FROM THE TESTIMONY ADDUCED
IN THESE PROCEEDINGS THAT A ROBBERY OCCURRED DURING A TEST RIDE
THAT MR. MONAHAN WAS HAVING WITH THE DEFENDANT. WE THINK WE CAN
REASONABLY INFER HE WAS THE PERSON WHO EXPRESSED AN INTEREST.
IN ANY EVENT, WHOEVER IT WAS, IT'S REASONABLY CLEAR HE WAS ROBBED.
HIS WIFE HAS TESTIFIED HE ALWAYS WORE A SEIKO WRISTWATCH. EVEN
THOUGH THE AMOUNT OF CURRENCY HE TOOK WITH HIM TO THE OFFICE WAS
SMALL, THERE WAS NO WRISTWATCH WHEN HIS BODY WAS RECOVERED.

 THERE WAS EXACTLY 11 CENTS, TWO NICKELS AND A PENNY, AS DESCRIBED AT LEAST BY A PROSPECTIVE EXHIBIT BEFORE THE COURT. THE CORONER WENT THROUGH ALL OF THE PERSONAL EFFECTS. THERE WAS NO WALLET.

MRS. MONAHAN SAID HER HUSBAND ALWAYS CARRIED A WALLET. THERE

WAS NO MONEY CLIP. ACCORDING TO HER, HE ALWAYS CARRIED A MONEY

CLIP. NO CREDIT CARDS. NOTHING BUT 11 CENTS. THERE IS ALSO

EVIDENCE FROM HER TESTIMONY, AND THAT OF LIEUTENANT CONNER, THAT

THE C.B. RADIO AND THE CASSETTE PLAYERS WERE RIPPED OUT OF THE

HEADLINER IN THE VEHICLE. SO, YOUR HONOR, WE THINK THERE'S

EVIDENCE IN POINT NUMBER THREE, THAT A ROBBERY OCCURRED EACH TIME

DURING A DEMONSTRATION DRIVE.

POINT NUMBER FOUR, A FIREARM WAS USED IN EACH INSTANCE. WE REALLY HAVE NOT REACHED THE POINT IN THESE PROCEEDINGS OF TYING DOWN THE EXACT COUNT, BUT I WOULD SUBMIT TO THE COURT AS AN OFFICER OF THE COURT THAT THE EVIDENCE VERY SHORTLY WILL ESTABLISH THAT A .357 MAGNUM THAT WAS RECOVERED FROM DOWNEY, CALIFORNIA, FROM A THRIFTY DRUG STORE IN AN AREA WHERE OFFICERS HAD SEEN THE DEFENDANT LOITERING ABOUT THE STORE, THAT THERE ALSO WILL BE EVIDENCE INTRODUCED, TO THE TESTIMONY OF DWANA THOMAS, WHO WAS A FEMALE COMPANION OF THE DEFENDANT DURING MARCH 1980, AND SHE IS SUPPOSED TO IDENTIFY A .357 MAGNUM THAT WE'VE MARKED AS THE WEAPON THE DEFENDANT HAD WITH HIM DURING THIS PERIOD OF TIME IN LAS VEGAS, AND I'M REFERRING TO MARCH 1980 WHEN THE TWO OF THEM WERE IN THE CITY.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. HARMON: MR. SCHWARTZ HAS DESCRIBED A, WELL,
IT MAY NOT HAVE BEEN A .357, BUT HE STATES IT WAS SOMEWHERE
BETWEEN A .22 AND .45. IT WAS NEITHER OF THOSE. WE WILL FURTHERMORE OFFER TESTIMONY FROM RICHARD GOOD, THE FIREARMS EXPERT, THAT
HE HAS EXAMINED THE PROJECTILE RECOVERED FROM THE CRIME SCENE AND
THAT IT IS IN ALL PROBABILITY EITHER A .38 OR .357.

POINT NUMBER FIVE, YOUR HONOR, IN EACH

INSTANCE THE VEHICLE WAS TAKEN BY THE ASSAILANT. IT SEEMS
OBVIOUS IN THIS CASE THAT THE VEHICLE WAS REMOVED AT SOME POINT
BY THE ASSAILANT OF MR. MONAHAN AND DEPOSITED AT THIS POINT
ALREADY DESCRIBED BY LIEUTENANT CONNER TO THE REAR OF THE DEW
DROP INN. WE EXPECT, YOUR HONDR, TO OFFER FURTHER EVIDENCE THAT
THAT PERSON IN ALL PROBABILITY WAS THE DEFENDANT, BECAUSE DWANA
THOMAS, HIS COMPANION, IS EXPECTED TO TESTIFY THAT THEY STAYED
FOR A PERIOD OF TIME IN THE WESTERN SIX MOTEL, WHICH LIEUTENANT
CONNER HAS DESCRIBED AS BEING IN THE IMMEDIATE VICINITY OF WHERE
THE VAN WAS ABANDONED WITH THE VICTIM INSIDE. MR. SCHWARTZ HAS
TESTIFIED THAT THE OLDSMOBILE 98 WAS TAKEN, HE WAS TOLD TO GET
OUT, AND IT WAS RECOVERED ABOUT A MONTH LATER.

POINT NUMBER SIX, IN EACH INSTANCE,

PERSONAL EFFECTS WERE TAKEN FROM THE VICTIM. IT SEEMS REASONABLY

CLEAR, AS I HAVE ALREADY RECITED, CREDIT CARDS, WALLET, MONEY

CLIP, A SMALL AMOUNT OF MONEY, ANY JEWELRY THAT MR. MONAHAN HAD.

MR. SCHWARTZ HAS TESTIFIED THAT HIS WALLET AND CREDIT CARDS WERE

TAKEN AND A RING AND A WRISTWATCH.

POINT NUMBER SEVEN, YOUR HONOR, AND WE

THINK THAT THIS IS VERY SIGNIFICANT IN THE CONTEXT OF THIS CASE, IT IS CLEAR THAT MRS. MONAHAN HAD STATED THAT THE PERSON WHO CONTACTED HER AND EXPRESSED AN INTEREST IN PURCHASING THEIR VAN SAID HE WAS WITH SECURITY. IN FACT, HE SAID HE WAS A SECURITY OFFICER EMPLOYED BY SEARS, AND HE GAVE HIS NAME AS KEITH. COINCIDENTALLY, IN THE CASE OF MR. SCHWARTZ, THE PERSON HE HAS IDENTIFIED AS THE DEFENDANT TOLD HIM HE HAD -- HE WAS WORKING IN SECURITY. MR. SCHWARTZ BELIEVED THAT. HE SAID THE AGENCY WAS BURNS SECURITY. HE WAS NOT POSITIVE ABOUT THAT, BUT IT'S BEEN UNEQUIVOCAL THAT THE MAN REPRESENTED THAT HE WAS INVOLVED IN SECURITY WORK.

YOUR HONOR, THERE IS EVIDENCE BEFORE
THE COURT, MOVING ON TO POINT NUMBER EIGHT, THAT THE DEFENDANT

14 15

13

16 17

19

18

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

29

28

30 31

32

USED THE NAME HAROLD STANBACK AT LEAST ON THE PRECEDING DAY, MARCH 26TH, 1980, AT THE SEARS ROEBUCK STORE. THERE -- THERE WILL BE SUBSEQUENT EVIDENCE, ASSUMING THAT THE COURT IS RECEPTIVE TO THE STATE'S OFFER, THAT RECOVERED FROM A 1980 OLDSMOBILE CUTLASS, A VEHICLE FOUND IN A PARKING LOT IN DOWNEY, CALIFORNIA, OUTSIDE THE STONEWOOD SHOPPING CENTER WHERE THE DEFENDANT WAS ARRESTED APRIL THE 1ST, 1980, WAS A WORK CARD IN THE NAME OF HAROLD STANBACK, MR. SCHWARTZ COULD NOT REMEMBER WITH SPECIFICITY AT THIS POINT, NEARLY THREE -- MORE THAN THREE YEARS LATER, WHAT THE NAME GIVEN BY THE DEFENDANT WAS. HE ONLY REMEMBERS THAT IN ALL PROBABILITY IT STARTED WITH S AND THAT IN FACT IS CONSISTENT WITH THE INFORMATION HE GAVE THE NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT. ALTHOUGH HE COULDN'T REMEMBER AT THIS TIME, I WILL SUBMIT TO THE COURT THE QUESTIONS PROPOUNDED BY MR. COOPER ARE CERTAINLY ACCURATE WITH RESPECT TO INFORMATION THE PARTIES HAD BEFORE. APPARENTLY AT THE TIME MR. SCHWARTZ THOUGHT THE NAME WAS EITHER LARRY STAREET, S-T-A-R-E-E-T OR LARRY STANTON, S-T-A-N-T-O-N. AND THAT IS THE INFORMATION HE GAVE THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. STAREET ISN'T THAT SIMILAR BUT LARRY STANTON, THE STATE OBSERVES, IS SOMEWHAT SIMILAR TO HAROLD STANBACK. AND IT'S CERTAINLY NOT OUT OF THE REALM OF REASON TO THINK THAT THE DEFENDANT MAY EVEN HAVE USED THE SAME ALIAS ON OCTOBER 5TH, 1979, WITH MR. SCHWARTZ.

WELL, IS TO REFER TO THE PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION. IT SEEMS TO US
THAT THE DESCRIPTION GIVEN, ALTHOUGH NOT SIMILAR IN CLOTHING,
IS SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME IN TERMS OF THE DESCRIPTION GIVEN OF
THE DEFENDANT IN THIS CASE AND THAT GIVEN BY THE VICTIM, MR.
SCHWARTZ. IN EACH OFFENSE THE OFFENSE APPARENTLY HAPPENED IN THE
MORNING HOURS. MR. SCHWARTZ WAS ROBBED AT ABOUT 9:00 A.M. SUBSEQUENTLY THERE WILL BE EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE OFFERED THAT SOMEWHERE AROUND 7:00 A.M. A MAN FITTING THE DESCRIPTION PHYSICALLY
OF THE DEFENDANT, APPEARED AT MR. MONAHAN'S OFFICE AT 1700 EAST.

ANOTHER POINT, YOUR HONOR, IS TO --

 DESERT INN ROAD, AND AS A MATTER OF FACT ASKED IF MR. MONAHAN WAS THERE, AND ALSO INDICATED BY HIS STATEMENT HE HAD SOME KNOWLEDGE OF WHAT THE DENTIST'S SCHEDULE WOULD BE BECAUSE HE PURPORTEDLY TOLD HELENE ZUCKERMAN, Z-U-C-K-E-R-M-A-N, A DENTAL ASSISTANT THAT HE KNEW MR. MONAHAN HAD A 7:30 APPOINTMENT. SO IT'S APPARENT THAT MR. MONAHAN DIDN'T ARRIVE AT WORK. HE WAS NOTED TO BE MISSING WHEN HIS WIFE GOT THERE AT ABOUT 8:30 IN THE MORNING. BOTH OFFENSES THEN THEREFORE OCCURRED SOMEWHERE DURING THE MORNING HOURS.

IN EACH OFFENSE, IN TERMS OF THE ACTUAL M.O., THERE IS SOME INDICATION THAT THE VICTIMS WERE REQUIRED EITHER TO GET HANDS DOWN OR FACE DOWN. NOW, THIS POINT MAY BE, YOUR HONOR, ENTIRELY SPECULATION ON THE PART OF THE STATE, BUT WE THINK GIVEN THE SIMILARITY OF THE M.O., IT IS ENTIRELY CONCEIVABLE THAT AT SOME POINT SOME REQUEST WAS MADE OF MR. MONAHAN TO WHICH HE RESISTED AND WHICH RESULTED IN HIS MURDER. AND I AM SUGGESTING THAT I BELIEVE A VIABLE THEORY IS THAT HE TOO, LIKE MR. SCHWARTZ, MAY HAVE BEEN ASKED TO REMOVE HIS SHOES AND TROUSERS AND MAY HAVE RESISTED AND THAT MAY BE AN EXPLANATION AS TO WHY HE WAS MURDERED.

WE HAVE NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR, AT THIS TIME TO SUBMIT IN TERMS OF THE SIMILARITY OF THE M.O.

MR. COOPER: YOUR HONOR, BEFORE I ADDRESS MR.
HARMON'S ARGUMENT, I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW PRECISELY WHAT IT IS THAT
THE STATE IS ASKING THE COURT TO RULE ON HERE. I AM NOT SURE
WHETHER THEY ARE ASKING THE COURT TO MAKE A RULING AT THIS POINT
IN TIME THAT THE EVIDENCE PROFFERED IS NECESSARY OR JUST WHAT IT
IS THAT THEY'RE SEEKING TO ACCOMPLISH.

MR. HARMON: WELL, YOUR HONOR, THERE WAS A SUGGESTION EARLIER BY THE DEFENSE THAT PERHAPS OUR OFFER WAS PREMATURE.

DEFENDANT HOWARD: GIVE ME SOME WATER.

11 12

10

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28 29

30

31 32 MR. HARMON: WE CONCEDE THAT THAT IS A POSSIBILITY.

WE ARE CERTAINLY PREPARED TO MAKE CERTAIN REPRESENTATIONS REGARDING THE REMAINDER OF OUR EVIDENCE. BUT, AS WE EXPLAINED IN OUR

CONFERENCE AT THE BENCH, MR. SCHWARTZ IS FROM OUT-OF-STATE. HE'S
BEEN HERE FOR A NUMBER OF DAYS. AT THE LEAST, WE WERE DESIROUS

OF GETTING HIS TESTIMONY BEFORE THE COURT. AND I WANTED TO SET

FORTH THE STATE'S THEORY IN TERMS OF WHAT EVIDENTIARY EXCEPTIONS
WE THOUGHT WERE COMING UNDER AND WHAT THE SIMILARITIES WERE.

THE COURT: IT IS, AS I STATED TO THE STATE, IF

IT IS THAT IMPORTANT AND THE TESTIMONY IS PREMATURE, I WILL PLACE

HIM IN CUSTODY IN ORDER TO ASSURE HIS TESTIMONY IF IT'S THAT

NECESSARY.

THE ISSUE THAT I HAVE BEFORE ME OF COURSE SEEMS TO BE PREMATURE. AS I INDICATED TO YOU AT THE BENCH, III ANY CASE WHERE YOU HAVE A -- YOU'RE TRYING TO BRING IN A TOTALLY SEPARATE AND DISTINCT OFFENSE, AS YOU WELL KNOW, THE RULE IS GENERALLY OTHER OFFENSES CANNOT BE USED IN A PRINCIPLE OFFENSE BEFORE THE COURT. IT HAS TO BE AN EXCEPTION. IT ISN'T MERELY BECAUSE OF THE SIMILARITY THAT YOU ALLOW IT IN. YOU ALLOW IT IN BECAUSE OF THE FACT THAT THERE'S A NECESSITY FOR ALLOWING IT IN, AND THAT NECESSITY MUST APPEAR RATHER CLEARLY FROM THE RECORD IT ISN'T WHAT WE WOULD LIKE TO DO, IT'S WHAT THE LAW SAYS WE CAN DO. AND THAT IS. NUMBER ONE, YOU CANNOT ADMIT AN OFFENSE APART FROM THE PRINCIPLE OFFENSE IN EVIDENCE AGAINST THE DEFENDANT UNLESS YOU SHOW A NUMBER OF THINGS; FIRST OF ALL YOU MUST SHOW NECESSITY; CLEARLY YOU HAVE TO SHOW CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE OF THE FACT THAT THE OFFENSE DID IN FACT OCCUR; AND THIRDLY, YOU HAVE TO SHOW THAT IT FALLS WITHIN THE EXCEPTION. AND WHAT IS THE EXCEPTION? ARE YOU USING IT FOR THE PURPOSE OF IMPEACHMENT OR ARE YOU USING IT FOR THE PURPOSE UNDER WHAT WE CALL A MOLINAUE RULE, AND THAT IS INTENT, MOTIVE, COMMON SCHEME, ETCETERA. THAT THEN BECOMES NECESSARY THAT IT FALLS -- YOU CAN SHOW IT AS

 NECESSARY FOR THOSE REASONS, THEN THE EXCEPTION MAY APPLY. BU'

IT DOESN'T HAPPEN JUST MERELY BECAUSE YOU PRESENT IT. I WANT

THAT VERY CLEARLY ESTABLISHED IN THE RECORD.

HALF WAY IN YET, OR I WOULD KIND OF GATHER FROM WHAT YOU HAVE INDICATED TO ME AT THIS POINT OF THE NUMBER OF OTHER WITNESSES THAT YOU ARE GOING TO BRING IN. SO AT THIS POINT, I DON'T KNOW WHAT EVIDENCE IS GOING TO COME IN. I HAVE YOUR REPRESENTATIONS THAT THIS IS WHAT YOU ARE GOING TO PRESENT. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE OBJECTIONS ARE GOING TO BE, IF IT'S GOING TO COME IN BECAUSE OF THE OBJECTIONS, IF THERE ARE ANY OBJECTIONS. SO I'M LEFT REALLY ON THE ISSUE OF NECESSITY BEING RATHER INCOMPLETE.

I WOULD HAVE TO SAY TO YOU THIS: THAT

IF YOU MEET ALL OF THE CRITERIA THEN I THINK THAT THERE IS A

POSSIBILITY IT'S GOING TO COME IN. BUT THEN I HAVE TO GO THROUGH

THE BALANCING TEST AT THAT POINT, OF BALANCING WHETHER OR NOT THE

PREJUDICE VERSUS THE EVIDENTIARY VALUE AND IF THE EVIDENTIARY

VALUE OUTWEIGHS THE PREJUDICE, IT WILL COME IN. BUT I JUST THINK

WE'RE JUST A HAIR PREMATURE AT THIS POINT FOR THIS TO COME IN.

I WOULD JUST SUGGEST TO YOU THAT IF YOU MEET THOSE OTHER CRITERIA AND YOU'RE FIRMLY CONVINCED IN YOUR OWN MIND THAT YOU CAN, THEN I WOULD ADVISE HIM ACCORDINGLY. BUT I KIND OF THINK YOU HAVE -- YOU HAVE A WITNESS PROBLEM MORE THAN AN EVIDENTIARY PROBLEM AT THIS POINT, AND THAT'S WHY HE'S BECOMING A LITTLE NERVOUS, BECAUSE HE'S BEEN HERE THIS LONG AND HE WANTS TO GET BACK HOME, AS ANYBODY AWAY FROM HOME WOULD WANT TO DO.

MR. HARMON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: BUT I THINK WE ARE JUST A LITTLE PRE-MATURE AT THIS POINT, COUNSEL.

MR. HARMON: WELL, YOUR HONOR, WE APPRECIATE THE COURT ACCOMMODATING US AT LEAST IN MAKING THE OFFER OF PROOF. WE DON'T INTEND AT THIS TIME TO OFFER HIM AS A WITNESS. WE WOULD

RESERVE THE RIGHT TO DO SO AT A LATER TIME, YOUR HONOR, IN THE CASE.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

COUNSEL, WE WILL TAKE A BRIEF RECESS OF ABOUT TEN MINUTES AND THEN HAVE YOUR NEXT WITNESS ON, READY TO PROCEED.

WE WILL BE IN RECESS THEN FOR TEN

MINUTES.

(WHEREUPON, FROM 2:55 P.M. UNTIL 3:10 P.M., A RECESS WAS HAD IN THE PROCEEDINGS, AT THE CONCLU-SION OF WHICH THE FOLLOWING WAS HAD:)

THE COURT: WILL COUNSEL STIPULATE TO THE PRESENCE

OF THE JURY?

MR. SEATON: YES, YOUR HONOR.

MR. COOPER: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS, SIR.

MR. SEATON: BARBARA ZEMAN.

WHEREUPON,

CALLED AS A WITNESS HEREIN BY THE PLAINTIFF WAS FIRST DULY SWORN,

BARBARA ZEMAN,

EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

THE COURT: PROCEED.

1		<u>D</u>	IRECT EXAMINATION	
2				
3	BY MR. SEATON:			
4				
5		Q	WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND SPELL	
6	YOUR LAST NAME	FOR TH	E RECORD?	
7	:	Α .	BARBARA ZEMAN, Z-E-M-A-N.	
8		Q	IS IT MISS OR MRS.?	
9		A	MISS.	
10		Q	MISS ZEMAN, COULD YOU TELL US WHERE YOU LIVE	,
11	PLEASE?			
12		A	RIGHT NOW I LIVE IN OMAHA, NEBRASKA. MY	
13	PERMANENT HOME	IS LAK	EWOOD, CALIFORNIA.	
14		Q	IN WHERE?	
15		A	IN LAKEWOOD, CALIFORNIA.	
16		Q	WHAT DO YOU DO PRESENTLY IN OMAHA, NEBRASKA	
17		Α	I'M A SENIOR IN LAW SCHOOL.	
18		Q	IN WHAT LAW SCHOOL?	
19		A	CREIGHTON UNIVERSITY.	_
20		Q	AND DO YOU HAVE A SISTER?	
21		A	YES, I DO.	
22		Q	WHAT IS HER NAME?	
23		A	1 HAVE SEVERAL SISTERS.	
24		Q,	DO YOU HAVE A SISTER BY THE NAME OF MARY LOU	
25	MONAHAN?			
26		A	YES, I DO.	Ì
27		Q	AND WAS SHE MARRIED IN MARCH OF 1980?	Ì
28		A	YES, SHE WAS.	Ì
29		Q	WHO WAS HER HUSBAND?	l
30		A	GEORGE MONAHAN.	1
31		Q	DID YOU KNOW HIM PERSONALLY?	1
32		A	YES, I DID.	1
į.	I		l	ı

-560-

1.584

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1	A	YES, THEY DID.
2	. Q	AND WAS IT UP FOR SALE THEN?
3	A	YES.
4	Q	LET ME SHOW YOU WHAT'S BEEN MARKED AS STATE'S
5	EXHIBIT 2, MISS ZEMA	N. IF YOU WOULD EXAMINE THAT PHOTOGRAPH AND
6	TELL ME WHETHER OR N	OT YOU ARE ABLE TO IDENTIFY THE VEHICLE
7	DEPICTED IN STATE'S	2.
8	· A	THAT'S THE VAN THAT THEY HAD.
9	Q	HOW ARE YOU ABLE TO
10	A	AT THE TIME.
11	Q	(CONTINUING) RECOGNIZE IT.
12	A	WELL, THE SCENERY ON THE SIDE AND THE NAME.
13	Q	WHAT IS THE NAME?
14	A	BLACK OAK.
15	Q	AND YOU'D SEEN THE VAN IN THAT CONDITION
16	WHILE YOU WERE AT TH	EIR HOME?
17	A	YES.
18	Q	THANK YDU.
19	· - ·-	NOW, CALLING YOUR ATTENTION TO THE AFTER-
20	NOON HOURS OF MARCH	THE 25TH, 1980, CAN YOU RECALL WHERE YOU WERE?
21	A	ON WEDNESDAY?
22	Q	YES.
23	A	I WAS SPENT MOST OF THE AFTERNOON OUT BY
24	THE POOL.	•
25	Q	WHERE?
26	A	AT THEIR AT THEIR HOUSING AREA.
27	Q	WHO DO YOU MEAN BY "THEIR HOUSING AREA"?
28	А	AT GEORGE AND MARY LOU'S TOWNHOME AREA.
29	I THEY HAD A POOL	OUTSIDE.
30	Q	I SEE.
31 32		AND DID THERE COME A TIME WHEN YOU WENT
34	INTO THEIR HOUSE THA	AT AFTERNOON?
1		E 6 0

A Y	ES. LATE LATER IN THE AFTERNOON WE WENT
IN TO CLEAN UP.	
Q	NHO IS "WE"?
Α Ι	MARY LOU HAD SPENT PART OF THE AFTERNOON OUT
THERE WITH ME.BECAUSE	SHE WASN'T WORKING; AND GEORGE CAME OUT FOR
A LITTLE WHILE AND TAI	LKED TO US FOR AWHILE AND THEN HE LEFT; AND
MARY LOU AND I CAME []	NTO THE HOUSE TO CLEAN UP.
Q (DO YOU KNOW WHERE GEORGE WENT TO WHEN HE
LEFT?	, and the second se
, A	HE WAS GOING TO PICK UP HIS DAUGHTER, MARY
CATHERINE.	
Q	DO YOU KNOW WHERE HE WENT TO PICK HER UP?
A	SOFTBALL PRACTICE, I THINK.
Q	AND DID THERE COME A TIME WHILE YOU AND MARY
LOU WERE IN THE HOUSE	THAT YOU RECEIVED A PHONE CALL?
A	YES.
Q	AND WHO ANSWERED THAT PHONE CALL?
†I	I ANSWERED THE PHONE.
	AND WHERE-WAS- MARY LOU AT THAT: TIME?
A	SHE WAS GETTING READY TO TAKE A BATH.
1	AND WHAT DID THE CALLER INDICATE TO YOU?
^	THAT HE WANTED TO TALK TO SOMEBODY ABOUT THE
VAN FOR SALE.	25 € C
Q	AND WHAT DID YOU SAY IN RESPONSE?
A :-	THAT I WOULD LET HIM TALK TO SOMEONE THAT
WOULD KNOW SOMETHING	
9	AND DID YOU DO THAT?
^	I CALLED MARY LOU.
ì	AND WHAT DID SHE DO? SHE PICKED UP THE EXTENSION PHONE IN THE
:	YOU HUNG UP?
	-563-
	IN TO CLEAN UP. Q A THERE WITH ME BECAUSE A LITTLE WHILE AND TAIL MARY LOU AND I CAME II Q LEFT? A CATHERINE. Q A Q LOU WERE IN THE HOUSE A Q A VAN FOR SALE. Q

SHow			
a a		A I, YOU KNOW, HUNG UP THE PHONE I WAS ON.	
ў • □	1 2	Q DID YOU HEAR ANY OF THE CONVERSATION THEN?	
-44-	3	A JUST I HEARD LITTLE PARTS OF WHAT SHE WAS	
01	4	SAYING.	
•	5	Q NOW, A LITTLE LATER THAT EVENING DID YOU GO	
To Samuel	6	ANYPLACE?	
	7	A YES, WE DID.	
2. 2. 3.	8	Q WHEN YOU SAY "WE" WHO DO YOU INCLUDE?	-
	9	A MARY LOU AND GEORGE AND MARY CATHERINE AND	
i.	10	MYSELF.	
5 3 2 2	11	Q AND WHERE DID YOU LEAVE FROM?	
	12	A . WE LEFT FROM MARY LOU AND GEORGE'S HOUSE.	
;	13	Q ALL FOUR OF YOU DID?	
•	14	A YES.	
	15	Q AND DID YOU USE A CAR?	
j j	16	A WE TOOK MARY LOU'S SEDAN.	
\$	17	Q WHAT KIND OF CAR WAS IT; DO YOU KNOW?	
į	18	A OLDSMOBILE I THINK, BUT I'M NOT POSITIVE.	
•	19	Q AND WHERE DID YOU GO?	
3 4	20	A WE DROVE TO THE OFFICE.	
	21	Q NOW, IS THAT ALL FOUR OF YOU?	
	22	A YES. WE WERE ALL FOUR IN THE CAR.	
*	23	Q AND WHAT DID YOU DO WHEN YOU ARRIVED AT THE	
e E	24	OFFICE?	
	25	A WE PARKED MARY LOU'S CAR ON THE SIDE OF THE	
	26	OFFICE AND WE ALL WENT OVER AND GOT IN THE VAN.	
•	27	Q NOW, WHAT OFFICE IS THIS THAT YOU'RE SPEAK-	•
	28	ING ABOUT?	
•	29	A THAT'S GEORGE'S DENTAL OFFICE, MARY AND	
- 1* Š	30	GEORGE'S DENTAL OFFICE.	
;	31	Q DO YOU RECALL WHERE THAT IS?	
	32	A DESERT INN ROAD, I BELIEVE, BUT I'M NOT SURE	1588
		-564-	
		·	

OF THE ADDRESS.

Q WHEN YOU SAY YOU GOT INTO A VAN --

A YES.

Q (CONTINUING) -- DID YOU GET INTO THE VAN
THAT HAS BEEN MARKED AS STATE'S EXHIBIT 2?

A YES, I DID.

Q AND HOLDING THAT VAN OR THAT PHOTOGRAPH FOR A MOMENT, STATE'S EXHIBIT 2, WOULD YOU TELL US WHERE EACH OF THE FOUR OF YOU SAT?

A GEORGE WAS DRIVING; AND MARY LOU WAS IN THE PASSENGER'S SEAT; AND MARY CATHERINE AND I WERE BACK ON THE BENCH, THE LONGER BENCH THAT'S ON THE BACK OF THE VAN, AND I DON'T RECALL WHETHER I WAS SITTING ON THE RIGHT OR LEFT, BUT WE WERE BOTH BACK ON THE COUCH.

Q CAN YOU DESCRIBE THE DOORS THAT ARE ON THE PARTICULAR VAN SHOWN IN STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 2?

A WELL, THERE'S A DRIVER'S SIDE DOOR THAT JUST OPENS OUT; AND THERE'S THE PASSENGER -- FRONT SEAT PASSENGER DOOR THAT OPENS OUT; AND THE TWO DOUBLE DOORS TO THE MIDDLE OF THE VAN ON THE RIGHT SIDE THAT OPEN OUT. THEY HAVE -- I THINK THEY BOTH HAVE HANDLES ON THEM THAT OPEN OUT.

Q AND IF SOMEONE WERE TO WANT TO GET INTO THE BACK PART OF THE VAN WHERE YOU WERE SITTING, HOW WOULD THEY GET INTO THE VAN?

A THE EASIEST WAY WOULD BE TO GET IN THE DOUBLE DOORS ON THE SIDE.

Q HOW DID YOU AND MARY CATHERINE GET INTO THE VAN ON THAT EVENING, IF YOU CAN RECALL.

A WE GOT IN THE SIDE DOUBLE DOORS.

Q THE DOUBLE DOORS ON THE SIDE.

NOW, WHEN ALL OF YOU GOT INTO THE VAN,

DID YOU GO SOMEPLACE?

1	A	YES, WE DID.
2	Q	WHERE?
3	A	WE DROVE TO HENDERSON TO GEORGE'S PARENTS!
4	HOUSE.	
5	Q	WHAT DID YOU DO THERE?
6	A	WE VISITED FOR AWHILE AND HAD DINNER.
7	Q	AND THEN DID THERE COME A TIME WHEN YOU LEFT
8	A	YES, WE DID.
9	Q	AND DID THE FOUR OF YOU GET BACK INTO THE
10	VAN?	
11	A	YES, WE DID.
12	Q	IN THE SAME PLACES THAT YOU WERE SITTING WHEN
13	YOU DROVE TO HENDER	ISON?
14	A	BASICALLY. AGAIN, I'M NOT SURE WHETHER I
15	WAS ON THE RIGHT OF	THE LEFT. BUT GEORGE WAS DRIVING AND MARY
16	LOU WAS IN THE PASS	SENGER'S SEAT.
17	Q	AND YOU AND MARY CATHERINE
18	A	AND MARY CATHERINE AND I WERE IN THE BACK ON
19	THE COUCH.	
20	Q	AND WHERE DID YOU GO FROM GEORGE'S PARENTS!
21	HOUSE IN HENDERSON	,
22	A	I THINK WE STOPPED AT THE MOTEL WHERE GEORGE S
23	BROTHER GREG WAS WO	ORKING JUST FOR A FEW MINUTES TO SAY HELLO.
24	Q	DO YOU KNOW WHERE THAT'S LOCATED?
25	• А	I DON'T. I THINK IT'S AROUND HENDERSON, BUT
26	I'M NOT FAMILIAR W	ITH IT.
27	Q	DID ALL OF YOU GET OUT OF THE VAN AT THAT
28	POINT?	
29 30	A	YES, WE DID.
31	9	AND DID YOU LATER GET BACK INTO THE VAN?
32	A	YES, WE GOT BACK IN.
J.	Q	WAS EVERYBODY SITTING ESSENTIALLY IN THE SAME 1590
		-566-

1	POSITIONS?	
2	A YES.	
3	Q AND WHERE DID YOU GO FROM THERE?	
4	A WE DROVE FROM THERE AND CAME BACK INTO LAS	
5	VEGAS.	
6	Q AND SPECIFICALLY WHERE DID YOU GO IN THE VAN	· ?
7	A WE DROVE DOWN THE STRIP TO CAESARS PALACE.	
8	Q WHEN YOU SAY "DOWN THE STRIP" WHERE DID YOU	-
9	ENTER ONTO THE STRIP; IF YOU RECALL? DO YOU RECALL WHAT SIDE	
10	STREET?	
11	A NO, I'M NOT FAMILIAR WITH THE STREET NAMES.	
12	I JUST REMEMBER DRIVING DOWN IN FRONT OF THE HOTELS.	
13	Q DID YOU GO SOMEPLACE ON THE STRIP?	
14	A WE TURNED LEFT INTO CAESARS PALACE.	
15	Q DOES THAT MEAN THAT YOU WERE DRIVING NORTH	
16	ON LAS VEGAS BOULEVARD?	
17	A I BELIEVE SO.	
18	Q AND DID YOU KNOW THE REASON THAT YOU WERE	
19	GOING TO CAESARS?	
20	A WE WERE GOING BECAUSE GEORGE HAD MADE	
21	ARRANGEMENTS TO MEET THE MAN HE HAD TALKED TO ON THE PHONE.	
22	MR. FRANZEN: OBJECT, YOUR HONOR, FOUNDATION.	
23	FOUNDATION.	
24	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
25		
26	BY MR. SEATON:	
27		
28	Q PLEASE CONTINUE.	
29	A HE HAD WE WERE GOING TO MEET THE MAN THAT	
30	GEORGE HAD TALKED TO ON THE PHONE TO SHOW HIM THE VAN.	
31	Q DID YOU KNOW ANYTHING MORE?	
32	A JUST THAT HE WORKED AT I UNDERSTAND HE.	1591
	-567-	
	ii ee e	1

ALL THE TREETING OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER

1

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

SAID HE WORKED AT CAESARS PALACE AS A SECURITY GUARD, THAT HE WOULD HAVE A BREAK AROUND 8:00 O'CLOCK AND THAT'S WHY WE WERE THERE AT 8:00 O'CLOCK.

Q WHEN YOU DROVE INTO CAESARS TELL US WHAT

A WELL, WE PULLED IN AND DROVE IN JUST A LITTLE WAYS, AND THE MAN WAS ON THE RIGHT KIND OF WAVING DOWN, I THINK, AND WE PULLED UP A LITTLE BIT FURTHER, AND THEN I SAW HIM BY THE VAN ON GEORGE'S SIDE OF THE VAN, THE LEFT SIDE.

Q EXCUSE ME. EXCUSE ME JUST A MOMENT, MISS ZEMAN.

YOU SAY YOU SAW A MAN WAVING. CAN YOU DESCRIBE THAT MAN FOR US IN GENERAL TERMS?

A I JUST -- FROM WHERE I WAS SITTING IN THE VAN I NOTICED A BLACK MAN ON THE RIGHT SIDE.

Q THE RIGHT SIDE OF THE VAN?

A THE RIGHT SIDE OF THE VAN AS WE PULLED IN.

O AND IN FRONT OF YOU?

4____YES._

Q AND WHAT HAPPENED THEN WHEN YOU SAW HIM?

A AND THEN I WASN'T -- I WAS SITTING IN THE BACK AND WE PULLED UP A LITTLE WAYS FURTHER; AND THEN THE MAN THAT HAD WAVED AT US CAME AROUND THE VAN AND WAS ON THE LEFT SIDE OF THE VAN, ON GEORGE'S SIDE.

Q WAS HE NEAR GEORGE?

A RIGHT NEAR THE VAN, BUT I CAN'T TELL YOU'FOR SURE HOW FAR AWAY. I JUST REMEMBER SEEING THE FACE.

Q AND WHAT DID YOU DO WHILE HE WAS NEAR GEORGE

A WELL, GEORGE SAID, "ARE YOU KEITH?" OR
U KNOW. KEITH. AND HE SAID. "YEAH. I'D SPOT THI

SOMETHING, YOU KNOW, KEITH. AND HE SAID, "YEAH. I'D SPOT THIS VAN A MILE AWAY," OR "I'D SPOT THIS VAN ANYWHERE."

THEN GEORGE MOVED ON AND WENT UP AND

1592

-568-

1	CURB AT THE SIDE OF THE BUILDING.	
2	Q AND DID HE STOP AT THAT TIME?	
3	A HE STOPPED THE VAN AND GEORGE GOT OUT.	
4	Q NOW, DID GEORGE GET OUT FIRST?	
5	A YES. HE GOT OUT FIRST.	
6	Q AND WHERE DID HE GO?	
7	A HE WALKED AROUND TO THE SIDEWALK, I BELIEVE.	
8	Q ON WHICH SIDE OF THE VAN?	•
9	A HE WALKED TO THE PASSENGER'S SIDE, WHICH WAS	
10	RIGHT AT THE CURB.	
11	Q AND WHAT DID HE DO THEN?	
12	A MARY LOU HAD GOTTEN OUT OF THE CAR AND MARY	
13	CATHERINE AND I WERE STILL SITTING THERE.	
14	Q WHICH DOOR DID MARY LOU EXIT FROM?	
15	A SHE EXITED FROM THE FRONT RIGHT DOOR, THE	
16	PASSENGER'S DOOR AT THE FRONT OF THE VAN. AND GEORGE OPENED UP	
17	THE SIDE DOORS. AND MARY CATHERINE AND I WERE STILL SITTING	
18	THERE. AND EITHER MARY LOU OR GEORGE, AND I THINK MARY LOU, SAID	ĺ
19	THAT MARY CATHERINE AND I SHOULD GET OUT SO THIS MAN COULD LOOK	
20	INSIDE THE VAN.	
21	Q AT THIS POINT, WHERE WAS THE BLACK MAN WHO	
22	IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS KEITH?	
23	A AS I WAS GETTING OUTSIDE THE VAN, AS I WAS	
24	COMING OUT, HE WAS COMING UP THE SIDEWALK FROM THE REAR END OF	
25	THE VAN.	
26	Q HOW FAR AWAY FROM THE VAN WAS HE WHEN YOU	
27	WHEN	
28	A WHEN I SAW HIM?	
29	Q WHEN YOU GOT OUT OF THE VAN AND SAW HIM.	
30	A OH, ONLY A COUPLE FEET. I THINK I WHEN	
31 32	I FIRST SAW HIM I WAS RIGHT ABOUT THE CORNER OF THE VAN ON THE	
32	SIDEWALK ON THE RIGHT SIDE OF THE VAN.	1
	-570-	

l)	• • •	
1	Q COMING FROM THE REAR?	
2	A YEAH.	
3	Q HOW WIDE OPEN WERE THE DOORS AT THAT TIME;	
4	DO YOU RECALL?	
5	A AS I RECALL, I BELIEVE THEY WERE OPEN ALL	
6	THE WAY, BECAUSE IF THEY OTHERWISE THEY WOULD BLOCK THE SIDE-	
7	WALK, AND I DON'T REMEMBER THE SIDEWALK BEING BLOCKED AT ALL. I	
8	BELIEVE THEY WERE OPEN ALL THE WAY.	
9	Q WHAT DOES THAT MEAN? HOW FAR WOULD THEY	
10	OPEN?	
11	A ALMOST FLAT AGAINST THE SIDES OF THE VAN	
12	(INDICATING).	
13	Q I SEE.	
14	AND THE MAN WHO IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS	
15	KEITH CAME TO THE VAN, AND WHAT DID HE DO?	
16	A WELL, HE AND GEORGE WERE TALKING ABOUT THE	
17	VAN, DIFFERENT THINGS ABOUT IT, AND HE	
18	Q WHERE WERE THEY WHEN THIS CONVERSATION WAS	
19	TAKING PLACE, THE DEF THE BLACK MAN WHO IDENTIFIED HIMSELF	
20	AS KEITH AND GEORGE?	
21	A WELL, AT FIRST THEY WERE STANDING UP TOWARDS	
22	THE FRONT OF THE VAN ON THE SIDEWALK ABOUT EVEN WITH THE FRONT	
23	PASSENGER DOOR.	
24 25	Q DO YOU KNOW HOW FAR AWAY FROM THE VAN THEY	
26	WERE? A TWO FEET. TWO ANYWHERE BETWEEN O AND	
27	TWO FEET. TWO ANYWHERE BETWEEN O AND TWO FEET. AND THEY WERE WALKING AROUND ALONG THE VAN, YOU KNOW,	
28	FROM THE FROM THE MID THE TWO LARGE DOORS WHERE THEY WERE	
29	OPEN TO THE FRONT SO THAT THEY COULD LOOK IN. AND I WAS STANDING	
30	YOU KNOW, BACK AWAY FROM THE VAN ABOUT HALF WAY, HALF WAY BETWEEN	
31	YOU KNOW, HALF WAY THROUGH THE VAN.	
32	Q WHERE WAS MARY LOU AT THIS POINT?	
	-571-	
	11 I	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	

KEITH?

		Α		I	BELIEVE	SHE	WAS	STANDING	TO	MY	RIGHT,
WITHIN A	FOOT	OR	50.								

- AND MARY CATHERINE, WHERE WAS SHE STANDING? Q
- MARY CATHERINE, I BELIEVE, WAS ON MY LEFT.
- AND DID THERE COME A TIME WHEN GEORGE MONAHAN AND THE MAN WHO IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS KEITH, LOOKED INTO THE VAN?

YES. AT ONE POINT GEORGE SAID, "WELL, TAKE A LOOK", OR WHATEVER. AND THIS MAN PUT -- HE LEANED INTO THE VAN. I THINK HE KIND OF STEPPED UP AND LOOKED AROUND THE VAN.

> THAT IS THE MAN WHO IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS O

> > YES, SIR.

AND HE JUST LOOKED AROUND THE VAN AND STEPPED BACK ONTO THE SIDEWALK AND HE AND GEORGE CONTINUED TO TALK ABOUT DIFFERENT FEATURES ON THE VAN.

HOW MUCH TIME TRANSPIRED FROM THE TIME THAT YOU FIRST SAW THE MAN WHO IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS KEITH, FLAGGING YOU DOWN. UNTIL THIS TIME THAT YOU'RE TESTIFYING RIGHT NOW?....

UNTIL THEN. I DON'T -- FIVE MINUTES. I'M NOT -- NOT TEN MINUTES AT THAT POINT.

DURING THAT PERIOD OF TIME DID YOU HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO LOOK AT THIS BLACK MAN WHO IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS KEITH?

YES, I DID.

Q FOR HOW MUCH OF A PERIOD OF TIME DURING THE TIME THAT YOU DID SEE HIM, WERE YOU ABLE TO OBSERVE HIM?

OH, WELL, FROM THE TIME WE PARKED AND ACTUALLY GOT OUT OF THE VAN 'TIL THE TIME THIS MAN WALKED AWAY, AGAIN THAT PERIOD OF TIME WAS ABOUT FIVE MINUTES FROM THE TIME WE PARKED, FIVE -- NOT TEN MINUTES AGAIN.

MISS ZEMAN, WOULD YOU NOW LOOK ABOUT THE

> 6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28

29 30

31 32 COURTROOM, IF YOU WOULD, AND TELL ME IF YOU CAN SEE ANYONE WHO YOU CAN IDENTIFY AS HAVING SEEN THAT PARTICULAR NIGHT?

YES, I CAN.

WOULD YOU POINT TO THAT PERSON AND TELL US Q WHAT THAT PERSON IS WEARING NOW?

HE'S (INDICATING) AT THE TABLE SITTING OVER THERE. IN I BELIEVE A BLUE SHIRT OR SWEATER AND JACKET.

MR. SEATON: YOUR HONOR, MAY THE RECORD REFLECT THE IDENTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT SAMUEL HOWARD.

THE COURT: THE RECORD MAY SO SHOW.

BY MR. SEATON:

NOW, MISS ZEMAN, CAN YOU TELL US WHETHER OR NOT IN YOUR OPINION DURING THE TIME THAT THE DEFENDANT WAS AROUND THE VAN THAT EVENING, HE HAD AN OPPORTUNITY OR YOU SAW HIM TOUCH ANY OF THE DOOR HANDLES OR DOOR KNOBS ON THE VAN THAT BELONGED TO GEORGE MONAHAN?

I NEVER SAW HIM TOUCH ANY OF THE DOOR

HANDLES.

WHILE THE DEFENDANT AND GEORGE WERE TALKING Q ABOUT THE PROPOSED SALE OF THE VAN, WAS ANYTHING MENTIONED ABOUT THEM GETTING TOGETHER THE NEXT DAY?

YES.

COULD YOU TELL US ABOUT THAT, PLEASE?

THE MAN INDICATED THAT HE WANTED TO TEST RIDE IT, AND THEY DISCUSSED -- THEY WERE DISCUSSING WHEN THE BEST TIME WOULD BE. AND GEORGE INDICATED THAT IT WOULD BE MOST CONVENIENT FOR HIM TO COME IN, IF HE CAME TO TAKE A RIDE IN IT, ON THURSDAY, THE NEXT MORNING SOMETIME, THAT THAT WOULD BE THE BEST TIME FOR GEORGE TO GET AWAY.

AND DID THE DEFENDANT SAY ANYTHING IN

- 11		- 1
1	RESPONSE TO THAT?	
2	A THAT THAT WOULD BE GREAT. HE AGREED WITH	
3	THE THE NEXT MORNING WOULD BE FINE.	
4	Q AND HOW DID HE KNOW HOW TO HOW DID THE	
5	DEFENDANT KNOW HOW TO GET TO GEORGE MONAHAN'S OFFICE THE NEXT	
6	MORNING?	
7	A THEY MARY LOU AND GEORGE WERE LOOKING	
8	THROUGH THEIR THINGS AND FOUND I THINK MARY LOU GAVE HIM ONE	
9	OF THEIR BUSINESS CARDS FROM THE OFFICE.	
10	Q AND GAVE THAT TO THE DEFENDANT?	
11	A GAVE THAT TO HIM, AND TOLD HIM THAT THAT	
12	WOULD TELL HIM WHERE TO GO.	
13	Q DID THERE COME A TIME THAT EVENING THEN WH	IEN
14	THE THE LOOKING AT THE VAN WAS CONCLUDED AND THE DEFENDANT	
15	LEFT THE AREA?	
16	A YES.	
17	Q DID THAT OCCUR BEFORE OR AFTER ALL OF YOU	
18	GOT BACK INTO THE VAN?	
19	A HE WALKED AWAY BEFORE WE ALL GOT INTO THE	
20	VAN.	
21	Q WERE THE DOORS STILL OPEN AT THIS TIME?	
22	A YES, THEY WERE. THEY STAYED OPEN THE WHOL	.E
23	TIME.	
24	Q NOW, THAT'S THE CARGO DOORS ON THE RIGHT	
25	SIDE PASSENGER, RIGHT SIDE DOOR?	
26	A YES.	
27	Q HOW ABOUT THE DRIVER'S DOOR, WAS THAT OPEN	4
28	OR NOT?	
29	A 1 BELIEVE THAT WAS SHUT BECAUSE THAT WAS	
30	THE A LINE OF TRAFFIC.	
31	Q THEN DID YOU	
32	A 1 THINK.	

-574-

S.Howar			
ۍ	1	Q OH, EXCUSE ME.	
11. 11.	2	A I THINK THAT THE DOOR WAS SHUT.	
E1481	3	Q THAT WAS OUT OF THE TRAFFIC SIDE OF THE	
N	4	STREET?	
	5	A YEAH, ON THE DRIVER'S SIDE.	
	6	Q DID YOU AND MARY CATHERINE GET BACK INTO THE	
	7	BACK PART OF THE VAN?	
	8	A YES, WE DID.	
	9	Q AND SIT ON THE COUCH?	
	10	A YES.	
	11	Q AND WHO CLOSED THE CARGO DID YOU ENTER	
	12	THROUGH THE CARGO DOORS?	•
	13	A YES, WE DID.	
	14	Q AND WHO CLOSED THOSE DOORS?	
	15	A I BELIEVE IT WAS GEORGE.	
	16	Q AND DID MARY LOU GET BACK INTO THE PASSENGER S	
	17	SIDE THROUGH THAT DOOR?	
	18	A SHE GOT BACK IN THROUGH HER DOOR ON THE	
	19	PASSENGER'S SIDE.	
	20	Q AND WHO CLOSED THAT DOOR; DO YOU RECALL?	
	21	A I DON'T REMEMBER WHETHER SHE CLOSED HER OWN	
	22	DOOR OR WHETHER GEORGE DID IT.	
	23	Q AND DID GEORGE GET BACK INTO THE DRIVER'S	
	24	SEAT?	
	25	A HE GOT INTO THE DRIVER'S SIDE.	
	26 27	Q THROUGH HIS SIDE?	
	28	A YES.	
	29	Q AND WHO CLOSED THAT DOOR?	
	30	A HE WOULD HE CLOSED HIS OWN DOOR.	
	31	Q WHERE DID YOU GO FROM THAT POINT IN THE VAN?	
	32	A WE WENT FROM CAESARS PALACE BACK TO GEORGE'S	99
		OFFICE.	. ·
		-575-	

-577-

1	A 1 COULD NOT TELL YOU THAT.	
2	Q HE MIGHT HAVE BEEN, HE MIGHT NOT HAVE BEEN,	
3	YOU DON'T KNOW?	
4	A NO. I COULD NOT TELL YOU CERTAIN, YOU KNOW,	
5	CERTAINLY WHETHER I KNEW HE WAS.	
6	Q WELL, WOULD YOU RECOGNIZE THE PHOTOGRAPHS	
7	THAT WERE SHOWN ON MARCH 29, 1980?	
8	A YES, I WOULD.	
9	Q WHAT WOULD CAUSE YOU TO RECOGNIZE THEM?	
10	A I REMEMBER THAT AT THE TIME THEY SHOWED ME	
11	THE PICTURES AND I HAD NARROWED IT DOWN, IN IN LOOKING THROUGH	
12	THEM, TO TWO DIFFERENT PICTURES. AND THE ONE PICTURE THAT I HAD	
13	CONSIDERED AND THAT I WAS CONSIDERING IDENTIFYING AS THE MAN I	
14	HAD SEEN, WAS A MAN IN A ORANGE SHIRT. AND I LOOKED AT THAT	
15	PICTURE AND THE OTHER ONE AND I DID NOT PICK EITHER ONE OF THEM.	
16	I DID NOT PICK THE MAN IN THE ORANGE SHIRT BECAUSE HE I DON'T	
17	KNOW WHETHER IT WAS THE LIGHTING OR THE ORANGE SHIRT MADE HIS	
18	COMPLEXION MADE HIS COLORING SEEM LIGHTER COLORED THAN I	
19	REMEMBERED THE MAN I HAD SEEN.	
20	Q SINCE THIS, HAVE YOU DISCUSSED THIS INCIDENT	,
21	YOUR IDENTIFICATION OR LACK OF IDENTIFICATION, WITH ANYONE?	
22	A HAVE I DISCUSSED IT?	
23	Q YEAH. HAVE YOU TALKED TO MRS. MONAHAN ABOUT	
24	IT?	
25	A JUST THE FACT THAT I DIDN'T AND SHE DID.	
26	I DIDN'T.	
27	Q DID SHE DESCRIBE TO YOU WHOM SHE PICKED?	•
28	A NO, SHE DID NOT.	
29	Q AFTER THREE YEARS, YOU REMEMBER A MAN IN A	
30	YELLOW SHIRT?	
31	A A MAN IN A ORANGE SHIRT, YES, SIR, I COULD.	
32	Q ORANGE SHIRT.	1602
	-578-	
ŀ		

32

WHEN YOU WERE INTERVIEWED BY THE POLICE 1 DID YOU MAKE A WRITTEN STATEMENT? 2 I DON'T RECALL EVER MAKING A WRITTEN STATE-3 MENT, NO, SIR. WHEN YOU ARRIVED AT CAESARS PALACE AND YOU GOT OUT OF YOUR VAN, WHERE DID YOU STAND IN RELATION TO THE VAN? 6 WHERE DID I STAND? 7 YES, MA'AM, 8 I WAS ABOUT PROBABLY TWO OR THREE FEET AWAY: 9 ABOUT THE HALF WAY DISTANCE OF THE VAN ACROSS FROM THE CARGO 10 DOORS, DOUBLE DOORS. AND THEN -- UH, BACK BY THE -- THERE WAS 11 SOME PILLARS AND I WAS STANDING BACK THERE. 1 THINK IT'S ABOUT 12 TWO OR THREE FEET AWAY FROM THE VAN. 13 IN RELATION TO THE VAN WHERE? FRONT? REAR? Q 14 15 SIDE? TO THE RIGHT SIDE OF THE VAN. 16 ON THE BACK OR THE FRONT? 17 Q APPROXIMATELY HALF WAY. AS I RECALL, ABOUT 18 HALF WAY BETWEEN THE FRONT AND THE BACK OF THE VAN. 19 CENTER OF THE VAN? 20 Q 21 Α YES. MRS. MONAHAN WAS WITH YOU RIGHT THERE? 22 0 SHE WAS TO MY RIGHT, I REMEMBER THAT, BUT I 23 24 COULDN'T TELL YOU HOW FAR TO MY RIGHT. WOULD SHE HAVE BEEN TO THE REAR OR TO THE 25 Q FRONT OF THE VAN ON YOUR RIGHT? 26 SHE WOULD HAVE BEEN TOWARDS THE FRONT OF THE 27 28 VAN. AND DID YOU CONVERSE WITH HER? 29 Q JUST GENERAL TALK, I BELIEVE. I DON'T 30

1603

REMEMBER ANYTHING SPECIFIC THAT WE SAID. WE WERE JUST STANDING

THERE. GEORGE WAS TALKING TO THE GENTLEMAN ABOUT THE VAN, AND

THEN LATER MARY LOU STARTED TALKING TO THEM, LOOKING FOR HER --THEIR BUSINESS CARD. BUT I DON'T REMEMBER SPECIFIC CONVERSATION THAT MARY LOU AND I HAD.

- NOW. HAD YOU BEEN TO LAS VEGAS BEFORE? Q
- Α YES, SIR, I HAVE.
- HAD YOU SEEN THE STRIP BEFORE AT NIGHT? Q
- YES. I DID.
- DO YOU THINK YOU COMMENTED ON THE LIGHTS TO MRS. MONAHAN WHEN YOU LOOKED UP? WOULD THAT BE AN UNUSUAL THING FOR YOU TO DO OR DO YOU THINK YOU DID IT?

I COULDN'T SAY WHETHER I DID OR NOT. WOULDN'T HAVE BEEN UNUSUAL. I --

WOULD SHE HAVE LOOKED AT ANY LIGHTS THAT YOU WERE ASKING ABOUT OR TELLING HER HOW NICE THEY LOOK?

AGAIN, THAT'S VERY POSSIBLE. I CAN'T SAY YES OR NO.

> 0.K. Q

WHEN YOU SAY THAT THIS BLACK MAN AND MR. MONAHAN WERE STANDING NEAR THE FRONT PASSENGER DOOR, ABOUT, OH, ZERO TO TWO FEET FROM IT; WOULD THAT BE CORRECT?

WELL, THEY WERE -- THEY WERE AT VARIOUS POSITIONS DURING -- FROM THE TIME THAT WE PARKED, THEY GOT OUT, UNTIL THE TIME WHEN THEY FINISHED TALKING. THEY WERE AT VARIOUS POSITIONS ALONG THE VAN.

> COULD -- I'M SORRY. GO AHEAD. Q

FROM THE -- YOU KNOW, AROUND THE PASSENGER THE FRONT PASSENGER DOOR, THAT AREA, ACROSS THE CENTER, YOU KNOW, TO AROUND THE BACK, THE DOUBLE DOORS, JUST WALKING.

> DID THEY GO AROUND THE VAN? Q

I DO NOT EVER REMEMBER THEM -- SEEING THEM

LEAVE THE SIDEWALK.

32

COULD THEY HAVE AND YOU NOT HAVE SEEN THEM? Q

16.04

A CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY OF

-584-

H		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1	THẠT I REMEMBER OR A	BIG BEARD. I DON'T REMEMBER HIM HAVING ALL	
2	THAT, EITHER A MOUSTA	CHE OR A BEARD.	
3	Q	NO MOUSTACHE AND NO BEARD?	
4	A	THAT I REMEMBER.	
5	Q	WELL, LET'S PIN IT DOWN. ARE YOU SAYING YOU	
6	DON'T REMEMBER HIM HA	VING ANY MOUSTACHE? BY "MOUSTACHE" I MEAN	
7	BETWEEN THE NOSE AND	THE UPPER LIP.	
8	A	RIGHT.	-
9	Q	AND YOU DON'T REMEMBER SEEING THE BLACK	
10	MAN HAVING ANY BEARD?		
11	A	RIGHT.	
12	Q	DO YOU REMEMBER IF HE HAD A GOATEE?	
13	A	THE ONE THAT GOES (INDICATING)?	
14	Q	NO. A GOATEE IS LIKE A BILLY GOAT.	
15	А	CHIN DOWN. I DON'T RECALL A GOATEE.	
16	· Q	IS THAT HE COULD HAVE HAD ONE, YOU DON'T	
17	REMEMBER, OR		
18	A	I DON'T THINK HE DID.	
19	· Q	(CONTINUING) OR HE DIDN'T HAVE ONE?	
20	A	I DON'T BELIEVE HE DID. I DON'T BELIEVE HE	
21	DID.		
22	Q	ο.κ.	
23		DID YOU SEE HIS SHIRT?	
24	A	I DON'T REMEMBER WHAT KIND OF SHIRT HE HAD	
25	ON. I MAY HAVE SEEN	IT, BUT I DON'T REMEMBER IT.	
26	Q	WAS THE JACKET A WIND BREAKER?	, ,
27	A	I DON'T THINK I WOULD CALL IT A WIND BREAKER	•
28	Q	WAS IT OPEN OR CLOSED?	
29	A	I THINK IT WAS OPEN.	
30	Q	BUT YOU DON'T RECALL THE SHIRT?	
31	A	NO, I DON'T.	
32	Q	DID HE HAVE ANYTHING IN HIS POCKETS?	1609
		-585-	
	11		

S.H.c			
S.Howard-PE	1	A IN HIS POCKETS?	
; [1] ; [4] ; [4]	2	Q YES, MA'AM.	
823	3	A I DIDN'T SEE ANYTHING STUCK IN HIS POCKETS.	
•	4	Q DID HE HAVE A GUN?	
•	5	A I DID NOT SEE A GUN.	
1	6	Q WHILE YOU WERE RIDING IN THE VAN, ALL FOUR	
	7	OF YOU, DID YOU HAVE OCCASION TO ENGAGE IN CONVERSATION WITH THE	•
;	8	OTHER THREE?	
:	9	A IS THIS WHEN WE LEFT?	
<u>.</u>	10	Q WHEN YOU LEFT HENDERSON COMING TO LAS VEGAS?	
· · ·	11	A I'M SORRY. CAN YOU RE I'M	
.•	12	Q WHEN YOU LEFT HENDERSON.	
!	13	A OH.	
;	14	Q AND WERE COMING DOWN TO LAS VEGAS, DID YOU	
•	15	HAVE AN OCCASION TO ENGAGE IN A CONVERSATION WITH THE OTHER THREE	
	16	FAMILY MEMBERS IN THE VAN?	
***************************************	17	A GENERAL CONVERSATION, YES.	
•	18	Q AFTER YOU MADE THE TURN TO GO DOWN INTO	
	19	CAESARS PALACE OFF THE STRIP, DID YOU CONTINUE TO ENGAGE IN CON-	
	20	VERSATION?	
i	21	A 1 BELIEVE SO, YES.	
: :	22	Q WOULD THIS BE WITH GEORGE, MRS. MONAHAN AND	
:	23	MARY CAT WHO WAS THE OTHER LADY?	
:	24	A AND MARY CATHERINE.	
:	25	Q MARY CATHY?	
	26	A I BELIEVE WE DID.	
	27	Q WHEN SOMEBODY SITS IN THE FRONT PASSENGER'S	
:	28	SEAT, THAT'S CALLED SOMETHING LIKE A CAPTAIN'S CHAIR; ISN'T IT?	
:	29	A I DON'T KNOW.	
	30	Q IT'S A BIG, HIGH-BACK CHAIR?	
•	31	A YES, IT IS.	
	32	Q IT'S NOT A BENCH SEAT?	1610
		- 586-	

A RIGHT.

Q WHEN YOU TALKED TO MRS. MONAHAN, WAS SHE BENT AROUND THE CHAIR TO TALK TO YOU OR DID SHE TALK TO THE WIND-SHIELD?

A WELL, IF SHE WAS TALKING DIRECTLY TO ME SHE WOULD TURN AROUND. BUT IF SHE WAS SAYING SOMETHING FOR THE BENEFIT OF EVERYBODY, PROBABLY JUST LOOKING AROUND, LOOKING LEFT OR LOOKING AHEAD.

Q IT'S COMMON SENSE, DO YOU AGREE WITH ME OR DISAGREE WITH ME, YOU BEND IN THE MIDDLE OF THE VAN TO SPEAK DOWN THE GAP BETWEEN THE TWO SEATS?

A I WOULD AGREE.

Q AND AS YOU WERE DRIVING INTO CAESARS PALACE,

DO YOU THINK IT'S LIKELY THAT YOU WERE HAVING A CONVERSATION WITH

MRS. MONAHAN OR SHE WAS TALKING OR LISTENING TO THE OTHER PASSEN
GERS?

A IT'S POSSIBLE, BUT I REALLY HAVE -- I DON'T

I COULDN'T TELL YOU FOR SURE THAT SHE WAS TURNED AROUND, TALKING

TO US OR NOT, AT THAT -- RIGHT WHEN WE TURNED IN.

MR. FRANZEN: PASS THE WITNESS, YOUR HONOR.

MR. SEATON: JUST A FEW MORE, YOUR HONOR.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SEATON:

Q MISS ZEMAN, DID YOU EVENTUALLY LEARN WHAT HAPPENED TO GEORGE MONAHAN?

A YES, I DID.

Q WHAT DID YOU LEARN?

A THAT HE HAD BEEN +-

MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, I AM GOING TO OBJECT.

1	THAT'S SO BROAD. IT'S EVERYTHING FROM PAST MARITALLIAN DISCRETION	
2	то	
3	MR. SEATON: OH, I OBJECT TO THAT STATEMENT, YOUR	
4	HONOR. AND I WITHDRAW THE QUESTION.	
5	MR. FRANZEN: I DON'T KNOW IF THAT'S	
6	THE COURT: COUNSEL.	
7	MR. FRANZEN: THAT'S THE BROADEST QUESTION YOU CAN	-
8	HAVE.	
9	THE COURT: COUNSEL, THE OBJECTION IS TOTALLY	
10	IMPROPER.	
11	LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE JURY, YOU ARE	
12	TO ENTIRELY DISREGARD THAT STATEMENT BY COUNSEL. THE OBJECTION	
13	IS OVERRULED.	
14	YOU MAY PROCEED.	
15	MR. SEATON: THANK YOU.	
16		
17	BY MR. SEATON:	
18		
19	Q DID YOU LEARN LATER THAT GEORGE MONAHAN WAS	
20	DEAD?	
21	A YES, SIR, I DID.	
22	Q THAT HE HAD BEEN MURDERED?	
23	A YES, SIR, I DID.	
24	MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, I WILL HAVE TO OBJECT TO	
25	THE LEADING CONCLUSION	
26	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
27	· ·	
28	BY MR. SEATON:	
29		
30	Q DID THAT KNOWLEDGE CREATE A RATHER SIGNIFI-	
31	CANT EVENT IN YOUR MIND?	1/12
32	A YES, IT DID.	1612
!	-588-	

SHo wa		
ar d - PE1 2	Q WITH THE KNOWLEDGE OF THAT WHEN DID YOU	
1 482	LEARN THAT?	
26	Q THAT GEORGE WAS DEAD?	
5	A WHAT ACTUALLY HAPPENED?	
6	Q YES.	•
;	A ON THURSDAY EVENING, ABOUT 7 OR 8:00 O'CLOCK	•
. 8	Q THAT'S THE NIGHT AFTER YOU WERE AT CAESARS	-
. 9	PALACE?	
10	A YES, SIR.	
; 11	Q AND DID THAT KNOWLEDGE CAUSE YOU TO THINK	
; 12	THE EVENTS OVER IN YOUR MIND?	
:	A YES. I STARTED THINKING THEM OVER THAT	
14	MORNING WHEN GEORGE WAS FIRST MISSING.	
15	Q I SEE.	
16	A I WAS RUNNING THROUGH, TRYING TO REMEMBER	
. 17	EVERYTHING I COULD ABOUT THE NIGHT BEFORE AND RECALLING THINGS	
18	ABOUT THE NIGHT BEFORE, AND THEN WE FOUND OUT ON THURSDAY NIGHT.	
19	Q I SEE.	
20	LET ME TAKE YOU BACK NOW TO MARCH 29,	
21 22	1980, WITH REGARD TO THE PHOTO LINEUP.	
. 23	A YES, SIR.	
23	Q DID DETECTIVE LEAVITT COME TO THE MONAHAN'S	
. 25	HOUSE?	
26	A I BELIEVE THAT'S WHO IT WAS.	
27	Q AND WHO VIEWED THE LINEUP, IF YOU KNOW?	
. 28	A I BELIEVE MARY LOU DID.	
: · 29	Q ANYBODY ELSE?	
30	A AND THEN HE BROUGHT ME INTO THE DINING ROOM.	
31	· ·	
32	· ·	16.13
	-589-	

1	
1	A YES, WE DID.
2	Q AND WHEN EACH OF THE THREE OF YOU VIEWED THE
3	LINEUP, WERE EITHER OF THE TWO OF YOU PRESENT AT THAT TIME?
4	A NO.
5	Q AND WHAT DID MR. LEAVITT, DETECTIVE LEAVITT,
6	SAY TO YOU WHEN HE SHOWED YOU THE PHOTOGRAPHIC LINEUP?
7	A I DON'T REMEMBER HIS EXACT WORDS. HE JUST
8	MAINLY TOLD US TO SEE IF I DON'T REMEMBER YOU KNOW, IF THE
9	MAN WE HAD SEEN OR IF WE RECOGNIZED ANY OF THE PICTURES.
10	Q AND DID HE DISPLAY THE PHOTOGRAPHS BY PLACING
11	THEM DOWN ON THE TABLE FACE UP?
12	A YES, HE DID.
13	Q DID YOU WHEN YOU WERE VIEWING THE PHOTO-
14	GRAPHIC LINEUP, DID YOU THEN LOOK AT THOSE PICTURES THAT WERE
15	FACE UP ON THE TABLE?
16	A YES, I DID.
17	Q AND DO YOU RECALL APPROXIMATELY HOW MANY
18	PICTURES WERE THERE?
19	A SEVEN.
20	Q DO YOU REMEMBER THE RACE? WAS THE RACE OF
21	ALL THE PEOPLE ON THE PICTURES THE SAME?
22	A I BELIEVE THEY ARE, YES.
23	Q AND WHAT IS THAT?
24	A BLACK.
25	Q AND WERE THEY ALL MALE OR FEMALE?
26	A THEY ARE ALL MEN.
27	Q DID YOU, WHILE LOOKING AT THAT PHOTOGRAPHIC
28	LINEUP, EVER HAVE AN OCCASION TO LOOK ON THE BACK OF ANY OF THOSE
29	PHOTOGRAPHS?
30	A NO.
31	Q AND AFTER HAVING VIEWED THE PHOTOGRAPHIC
32	LINEUP, DID YOU DISCUSS DID MARY LOU TELL YOU ANYTHING ABOUT
	-590-

-593-

DR. MONAHAN WAS DEAD, DIDN'T YOU?

A YES, I DID.

Q BUT YOU DID NOT TELL THE POLICE OFFICER THAT

THIS MAN IN THE ORANGE T-SHIRT WAS THE MAN YOU SAW AT CAESARS

PALACE, DID YOU?

A A THAT'S CORRECT.

Q NOR DID THAT POLICE OFFICER AT THAT TIME ASK YOU TO SIGN THE BACK OF ANY OF THESE PHOTOGRAPHS, DID HE?

A' NOT THAT I RECALL.

Q IT'S NOW BEEN APPROXIMATELY THREE YEARS SINCE

THE INCIDENT AT CAESARS PALACE, HAS IT NOT?

A YES, SIR.

MR. FRANZEN: NO FURTHER QUESTIONS, YOUR HONOR.

MR. SEATON: NOTHING BY THE STATE, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: YOU'RE EXCUSED.

(WHEREUPON, .THE WITNESS WAS

EXCUSED.)

THE COURT: WHO WILL BE YOUR NEXT WITNESS?

MR. HARMON: HELENE ZUCKERMAN.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. HAVE HER READY WHEN WE RECONVENE. WE WILL TAKE A TEN MINUTE RECESS AT THIS POINT.

DURING THIS RECESSYOU ARE
ADMONISHED NOT TO CONVERSE AMONG
YOURSELVES OR WITH ANYONE ELSE ON
ANY SUBJECT CONNECTED WITH THIS:
TRIAL, OR READ, WATCH OR LISTEN
TO ANY REPORT OF OR COMMENTARY
ON THIS TRIAL WITH ANY PERSON
CONNECTED WITH THIS TRIAL BY ANY
MEDIUM OF INFORMATION, INCLUDING
WITHOUT LIMITATION, NEWSPAPER,
TELEVISION OR RADIO OR FORM OR

1.618

2

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

EXPRESS ANY OPINION ON ANY
SUBJECT CONNECTED WITH THIS
TRIAL UNTIL THE CASE IS FINALLY .
SUBMITTED TO YOU.

WE WILL BE IN RECESS FOR TEN MINUTES.

(WHEREUPON, FROM 4:00 P.M.,
UNTIL 4:15 P.M., A RECESS WAS
HAD IN THE PROCEEDINGS, AT THE
CONCLUSION OF WHICH THE FOLLOW-

ING WAS HAD:)

THE COURT: COUNSEL, STIPULATE TO THE PRESENCE

OF THE JURY,

MR. SEATON: YES, YOUR HONOR.

MR. COOPER: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: WOULD YOU CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS.

MR. SEATON: HELENE ZUCKERMAN.

WHEREUPON,

HELENE ZUCKERMAN,

CALLED AS A WITNESS HEREIN BY THE PLAINTIFF WAS FIRST DULY SWORN, EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

THE COURT: PROCEED.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SEATON:

Q WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND SPELL YOUR LAST NAME FOR THE RECORD?

-595-

	[[
S.Howard-		
推 33 T		
. ρ. Ι	1	A HELENE ZUCKERMAN, Z-U-C-K-E-R-M-A-N.
PE1 4833	2	Q COULD YOU SPEAK A LITTLE CLOSER TO THE MICRO
8	3	PHONE.
1	4	THE COURT: JUST MOVE YOUR CHAIR UP A LITTLE BIT
	5	AND PULL THAT MICROPHONE OVER JUST A LITTLE BIT TO YOU.
;	6	
. . 	7	BY MR. SEATON:
194	8	
	9	Q THANK YOU.
	10	IS IT MISS OR MRS.?
:	11	A MISS.
•	12	Q MISS ZUCKERMAN, IN WHAT CITY DO YOU PRESENTLY
•	13	RESIDE?
	14	A BEVERLY HILLS.
;	15	Q AND HAVE YOU EVER LIVED IN LAS VEGAS?
•	16	A YES.
•	17	Q WHEN DID YOU LIVE HERE IN LAS VEGAS?
ì ŝ	18	A 1979 AND 1980.
	19	Q AND WHAT WAS YOUR OCCUPATION AT THAT TIME?
	20	A DENTAL ASSISTANT.
	22	Q AND 1S IT THE SAME TODAY?
•.	23	A YES.
	24	Q IN MARCH OF 1980 FOR WHOM WERE YOU WORKING?
	25	A DR. BOYD MAGDALL.
•	26	Q AND WHERE WAS DR. BOYD MAGDALL'S OFFICE?
	27	A 1700 EAST DESERT INN. Q DO YOU KNOW THE NAME IS THAT A COMPLEX
÷	28	Q DO YOU KNOW THE NAME IS THAT A COMPLEX OF BUILDINGS OR A SEPARATE BUILDING?
	29	A TWO BUILDINGS.
<u>;</u>	30	Q AND DOES IT HAVE A NAME?
;	31	A WINCHESTER PLAZA, I BELIEVE.
i	32	Q AND WHAT KIND OF A DOCTOR IS DR. MAGDALL? 1620
		-596-
•	ļ	-/30-

SHe			
S.Howar d	1	A A DENTIST.	
, 111 . 10	2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
· +4.8	3	Q DID HE EVER	
: 34	4	A AN ORAL, GENERAL DENTIST. Q I'M SORRY?	
<u> </u>	5		
· ·	6		
r	7	Q DID HE HAVE A PARTNER? A YES.	
-	8	Q WHAT WAS THAT GENTLEMAN'S NAME?	•
•	[A GEORGE MONAHAN.	
	10	Q AND THE BUILDING IN WHICH THEY YOU SAY	
	11	THERE WERE TWO BUILDINGS?	
	12	A UH-HUH.	
	13	Q DID THEY DID THE BUILDINGS RUN EAST AND	
	14	WEST OR NORTH AND SOUTH? CAN YOU DESCRIBE THEM A LITTLE FOR US?	
	15	A THE BUILDINGS RAN EAST AND WEST, I BELIEVE.	
:	16	Q WAS ONLY ONE BUILDING EAST OF THE OTHER?	
	17	A YES.	
:	18	Q 0.K.	
	19	A WE WERE WEST.	
	20	Q YOU WERE IN THE WESTERN-MOST BUILDING?	
	21	A WESTERN-MOST, UH-HUH.	
	22	Q AND HOW MANY STORIES WERE THESE BUILDINGS?	
	23	A TWO STORIES.	
	24	Q AND WERE YOU IN THE UPSTAIRS OR DOWNSTAIRS	
	25	PORTION?	
	26	A UPSTAIRS.	
	27	Q UPSTAIRS.	
	28	WHERE IN RELATIONSHIP TO THESE BUILDINGS	
	29	WAS THERE PARKING AVAILABLE?	
	30	A THERE WAS PARKING BEHIND THE BUILDINGS; ON	
	31	THE SIDES OF THE BUILDINGS, OR AT LEAST ON OUR ON THE SIDE OF	
	32	OUR BUILDING, I DON'T KNOW IF THERE WAS PARKING ON THE SIDE ON	1621
	į	-597-	

1		A	I'D SAY ABOUT FIVE-EIGHT, HE WAS A BLACK		
2	MAN BUT HE HAD	LIGHT	PRETTY LIGHT SKIN.		
3		Q	HOW HEAVY WOULD YOU SAY HE WAS?		
4		Α	ABOUT MAYBE 165.		
5		Q	WERE YOU ABLE TO FORM AN OPINION AS TO HIS	•	
6	AGE?		'		
7		Α	TWENTY EIGHTISH, SOMEWHERE IN THERE.		
8		Q	DID YOU NOTICE ANYTHING ABOUT HIS CLOTHES?	-	
9		A	HE WAS HE WASN'T DRESSED UP. IT WAS		
10	CASUAL. IT WAS	s I	DON'T KNOW THAT IT WAS JEANS, BUT IT WAS		
11	JUST THAT TYPE	JUST THAT TYPE OF CASUAL CLOTHING.			
12		Q	DID HE HAVE ANY OUTER GARMENT ON?		
13		A	I BELIEVE HE HAD SOME TYPE OF JACKET.		
14		Q	AND WAS HE CARRYING ANYTHING?		
15		A	I DON'T THINK SO.		
16		Q	ARE YOU REFERRING TO HIS HANDS?		
17		A	YES.		
18		Q	DO YOU KNOW IF HE WAS CARRYING ANYTHING ON		
19	HIS PERSON?				
20		A	I NOTICED SOMETHING AROUND HIS WAIST. I		
21	DON'T KNOW IF	IT WAS	ATTACHED TO HIS BELT OR A SEPARATE BELT. I		
22	NOTICED SOMETHING ON THE RIGHT SIDE. I THOUGHT THAT HE WAS				
23	HE SEEMED TO BE A CONSTRUCTION WORKER OR SOMETHING TO FIX IT				
24	SEEMED THAT HE HAD SOMETHING ON HIS SIDE THAT WAS EITHER LIKE A				
25	TAPE MEASURE OR IT LOOKED LIKE MAYBE A RADIO, A WALKIE-TALKIE,				
26	SOMETHING WAS THERE I NOTICED. I DIDN'T NOTICE EXACTLY WHAT IT				
27	WAS.		,		
28		Q	MISS ZUCKERMAN, WOULD YOU LOOK AROUND THE		
29	COURTROOM NOW AND TELL US IF YOU SEE ANYONE PRESENTLY IN THE				
30	COURTROOM WHO	WAS IN	YOUR OFFICE ON THE MORNING OF MARCH 26TH,		
31	19 EXCUSE M	E, MAR	CH 27TH, 1980?	1.605	

CAN YOU -- CAN YOU ASK ME THE QUESTION 1625

-602-

SHowar			
<u>a.</u> 1	1	A YES. I KNEW THAT HE DID.	
PE1	2	Q HAD YOU EVER SEEN THAT VAN BEFORE?	
4840	. 3	A YES.	
Ō	4	Q AND WHERE DID HE NORMALLY KEEP THE VAN?	
	5	A AT THE OFFICE YOU MEAN?	
	6	Q DID HE KEEP IT AT THE OFFICE?	
	7	A I KNEW THAT HE DROVE IT TO THE OFFICE SOME-	
	8	TIMES. I I DON'T KNOW WHERE HE KEPT IT THOUGH.	•
	9	Q DO YOU KNOW WHERE AT THE OFFICE HE PARKED	
	10	IT WHEN HE BROUGHT IT?	
	11	A IT WAS USUALLY IN THE BACK, I BELIEVE.	
	12	Q LET ME SHOW YOU STATE'S EXHIBIT 2. AND I'D	
	13	ASK YOU TO EXAMINE THAT PHOTOGRAPH AND TELL ME IF YOU RECOGNIZE	
	14	THE VEHICLE THAT'S PORTRAYED IN IT.	
	15	A YES. I BELIEVE THIS IS HIS VAN.	
•	16	Q HOW DO YOU RECOGNIZE THAT AS BEING DR.	
•	17	MONAHAN'S VAN?	
	18	A THE PAINTING AND THE WORDS "BLACK OAK".	
	19	Q YOU HAD SEEN THAT BEFORE ON THE VAN?	
3. 7.	20	A YES.	
de de la companya de	21	Q AND THE COLOR YOU'RE SAYING?	
ë T	22	A UH-HUH.	
}	23	MR. SEATON: THANK YOU VERY MUCH.	•
:	24	WE HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS, YOUR HONOR	
ξ τ	25	THE COURT: CROSS.	
·.	26 27	MR. COOPER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	,
•	28		
; ;	29	••	
a parties of the control of the cont	30	••	
•	31	••	,
	32	••	1627
	!	••	
		II 603	l

AA000498

1	Q ONE OF THE GENTLEMEN SEATED AT THE TABLE TO				
2	THE RIGHT?				
3	A YES.				
4	Q IN YOUR STATEMENT YOU GAVE THE POLICE, YOU				
5	DESCRIBED THIS MAN AS WELL, FIRST OF ALL, THE MAN ACTUALLY				
6	CAME THE BLACK MAN ACTUALLY CAME INSIDE THE OFFICE?				
7	A YES.				
8	Q HOW LONG WOULD YOU SAY HE STOOD THERE?				
9	A THREE MINUTES, FOUR MINUTES.				
10	Q AND YOU OBSERVED HIM FROM A DISTANCE OF HOW				
11	FAR?				
12	A UH, TWO FEET.				
13	Q I SEE.				
14	YOU WERE AT VERY CLOSE PROXIMITY TO HIM?				
15	A I WAS CLOSE, YES.				
16	Q AND IN YOUR STATEMENT YOU DESCRIBED HIM AS				
17	A BLACK BUT LIGHT COMPLECTED				
18	A UH-HUH.				
19	Q (CONTINUING) MAN; IS THAT RIGHT?				
20	A UH-HUH.				
21	Q WOULD YOU SAY THAT HE WAS DARKER THAN 1 AM,				
22	ABOUT THE SAME SHADE AS I AM, OR LIGHTER THAN I AM?				
23	A I WOULD SAY ABOUT THE SAME.				
24	Q AND THE MAN THAT'S SEATED TO MY LEFT HERE,				
25	WOULD YOU SAY HE'S ABOUT THE SAME SHADE AS I AM, OR DARKER OR				
26	LIGHTER?				
27	A I WOULD SAY DARKER.				
28	Q AND THE MAN THAT YOU OBSERVED IN DR. MONAHAN'S				
29	OFFICE, THE BLACK MAN, APPEARED TO YOU TO BE TWENTY EIGHTISH?				
30	A YES.				
31	Q IN YOUR STATEMENT TO THE POLICE YOU TOLD				
32	THEM HE WAS ABOUT ANYWHERE FROM TWENTY FIVE TO THIRTY, DIDN'T YOU? 1629				
	I) • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
1	A	I BELIEVE SO, YES.				
2	Q	AND YOU DESCRIBED HIM AS BEING ABOUT FIVE				
3	FEET EIGHT INCHES AND MAYBE 160 POUNDS; IS THAT RIGHT?					
4	A	YES.				
5	Q	YOU ALSO, IN YOUR STATEMENT, TOLD THE POLICE				
6	THAT THE PERSON HAD	A MEDIUM TO SHORT LENGTH HAIR, NO AFRO.				
7	A	RIGHT.				
8	Q	IS THAT RIGHT?				
9	A	RIGHT.				
10	Q	WHAT DOES AN AFRO MEAN TO YOU?				
11	A	I GUESS JUST LENGTH, JUST SIZE.				
12	Q	THIS MAN'S HAIR WAS FAIRLY SHORT?				
13	A	YES.				
14	Q	NOW, YOU GO ON IN YOUR STATEMENT AND YOU SAY				
15	THAT THE MAN HAD BROWNISH HAIR; IS THAT RIGHT?					
16	A	UH-HUH.				
17	Q	WOULD YOU ANSWER LOUD, PLEASE?				
18	A	THAT'S WHAT WAS IN THE STATEMENT, YES.				
19	QQ	THAT'S WHAT YOU OBSERVED?				
20	Α	I DON'T REMEMBER EXACTLY TODAY. I CAN'T				
21	REALLY PICTURE THE COLOR HAIR.					
22	Q	BUT AGAIN BECAUSE THIS STATEMENT WAS GIVEN				
23	SHORTLY AFTER YOU OBSERVED THIS MAN, IT'S MORE LIKELY THAT YOUR					
24	STATEMENT IS ACCURATE, ISN'T IT?					
25	A	YES.				
26	Q	AND HE WAS WEARING CASUAL CLOTHES?				
27	A	YES.				
28	Q	IS THERE ANYTHING YOU CAN TELL US ABOUT HIS				
29	CLOTHES, OTHER THAN	THAT THEY WERE CASUAL?				
30	A	I I DON'T REALLY KNOW WHAT I CAN ANSWER				
31	TO THAT.					
32	Q	SO YOU JUST DON'T REMEMBER; IS THAT RIGHT?				
		-606-				