1	IN THE SUPREME COURT (OF THE STATE OF NEVADA				
2	* * * *	* * * * *				
3	SAMUEL HOWARD	Electronically Filed				
4	Appellant,	May 12 2011 04:33 p.m Case No. 57469 Tracie K. Lindeman				
5	VS.	Case No. 57469 Tracie K. Lindernan				
6 7 8	E.K. McDANIEL, WARDEN, and CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO, ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE STATE OF NEVADA,					
9	Respondents.					
10	APPELLANT	'S APPENDIX				
11	Appeal from Orde	r Denying Petition				
12	for Writ of Habeas Cor Eighth Judicial Distric	rpus (Post-Conviction) et Court, Clark County				
13	VOLUME 3 OF 14					
14	FRANNY A. FORSMAN Federal Public Defender MIKE CHARLTON Assistant Federal Public Defender Nevada Bar No. 11025C 411 E. Bonneville Ave., Suite 250					
15						
16						
17	Las	Vegas, Nevada 89101 2) 388-6577				
18		ke Charlton@fd.org				
19	Atte	orneys for Appellant				
2021						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

31

32

IN AT 7:30?

WHAT KIND OF BELT WAS IT? WAS IT JUST YOUR REGULAR EVERYDAY, RUN OF THE MILL, BELT; OR WAS THERE ANYTHING SPECIAL PARTICULARLY ABOUT THIS BELT THAT YOU NOTICED? I'M NOT REALLY CLEAR TODAY ON WHAT THE ACTUAL BELT, IF -- AS I SAID, I'M NOT SURE IF IT WAS JUST A REGULAR BELT WITH SOMETHING ATTACHED OR A SPECIFIC CONSTRUCTION BELT. Q I SEE. BUT YOU DID SAY IN YOUR STATEMENT THAT YOU NOTICED AROUND HIS WAIST A BELT LIKE A CONSTRUCTION MAN; IS THAT RIGHT? 12 YES. YES. 13 IN YOUR MIND NOW, WHAT DOES THAT MEAN? 14 WELL --IF I WERE TO TELL YOU THAT I HAD ON A BELT 15 16 LIKE A CONSTRUCTION MAN --17 I WOULD GUESS --18 (CONTINUING) -- WHAT WOULD THAT MEAN TO YOU? 19 LIKE A LIGHT BROWN LEATHER. 20 WOULD IT MEAN A WIDE BELT; A -- A SLIM --Q 21 SKINNY KIND OF BELT? 22 I WOULD SAY FAIRLY WIDE WIDE. 23 AND YOU NOTICED SOMETHING ON THE BELT; IS' 24 THAT RIGHT? 25 UH-HUH. VH-HUH. YES. 26 WHEN YOU GAVE YOUR STATEMENT TO THE POLICE, 27 WHEN YOU GOT TO THAT PART OF YOUR STATEMENT WERE YOU YOURSELF 28 DESCRIBING WHAT'S ON THE BELT? DID THE POLICE OFFICERS ASK YOU 29 IF THIS COULD HAVE BEEN A WALKIE-TALKIE TYPE OF THING ON THE BELT! 30 I DON'T THINK SO, NO.

1632

THIS MAN TOLD YOU THAT DR. MONAHAN WAS COMING

1	A	YES.
2	Q	IS THAT RIGHT?
3	A	YES. IT SEEMS TO ME THAT HE HAD AN APPOINT-
4	MENT OR SOMETHING SE	T UP.
5	Q	AND YOU CHECKED DR. MONAHAN'S SCHEDULE AND
6	SAW THAT HE DID IN F.	ACT HAVE A 7:30 APPOINTMENT?
7	A	RIGHT.
8	Q	DID THAT LEAD YOU TO BELIEVE THAT THIS MAN
9	KNEW THAT DR. MONAHA	N HAD A 7:30 APPOINTMENT?
10	A	NOT NECESSARILY. IT JUST LED ME TO BELIEVE
11	THAT HE HAD MADE SOM	E ARRANGEMENTS TO MEET DR. MONAHAN THERE AT
12	THE OFFICE AT 7:30.	
13	Q	BUT THE MAN SAID THAT DR. MONAHAN IS COMING
14	IN AT 7:30?	
15	A	YES.
16	Q	WHEN DID YOU FIRST TALK TO THE POLICE, MISS
17	ZUCKERMAN, REGARDING	THIS CASE?
18	A	I BELIEVE IT WAS AFTERNOON THAT DAY.
19	Q	DID THEY COME TO DR. MONAHAN'S OFFICE THERE
20	TO TALK TO YOU?	
21	A -	YES.
22	9	AND I ASSUME THAT LATER ON THAT DAY, YOU
23 24	WENT DOWN TO THE POL	ICE STATION TO GIVE YOUR STATEMENT; IS THAT
25	RIGHT?	
26	A	YES.
27	li ·	DID YOU HAVE ANY SUBSEQUENT CONTACT WITH THE
28	POLICE REGARDING THE	•
29	A o	SINCE THEN?
30		YES, SINCE YOU GAVE YOUR STATEMENT. NO.
31		SO THE POLICE NEVER ASKED YOU TO TAKE A LOOK
32	· ·	NEUP TO SEE IF YOU COULD IDENTIFY THE MAN YOU
		THE TO SEE ST. 100 COORS ASSESSED TO THE POINT TOU

3

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12 13

> 14 15

> 16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

29

30 31

32

SAW STANDING IN DR. MONAHAN'S OFFICE THAT MORNING, DID THEY?

NO.

MR. COOPER: I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER OF THE WITNESS,

YOUR HONOR.

MR. SEATON: JUST A FEW, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: PROCEED.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SEATON:

ON CROSS EXAMINATION, IT WAS POINTED OUT THAT IN YOUR STATEMENT YOU INDICATED THAT WHAT WAS ON THE INDI-VIDUAL'S BELT WAS KEYS, SOME SORT OF TAPE OR A RADIO, A WALKIE-TALKIE. COULD YOU THINK BACK FOR A MOMENT TO WHEN YOU MADE THAT STATEMENT AND TELL US. IF YOU CAN. WHY YOU INDICATED THOSE ITEMS WHICH APPEAR TO BE FAIRLY DIFFERENT IN NATURE?

WHY I -- WHY YOU -- YOU MEAN -+ I -- I DON'T KNOW WHY THERE WOULD BE SUCH A DIFFERENCE. I JUST KNOW THAT I SAW SOMETHING AND I THINK THAT [-- I HAD A FEEL WHEN HE FIRST WALKED IN THAT HE WAS CONSTRUCTION OR MEETING WITH DR. MONAHAN TO FIX SOMETHING IN THE OFFICE. AND IN MY HEAD, I BELIEVED CON-STRUCTION TO BE SOMEONE WHO HAS ALOT OF KEYS TO -- TO -- TO GET IN OR A MEASURING TAPE, OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT. SO I THINK THAT THAT'S WHERE THAT CAME FROM, MY BELIEF THAT THIS PERSON WAS WITH CONSTRUCTION. BUT ---

WHERE DO YOU THINK THE RADIO OR THE WALKIE-TALKIE CAME FROM THAT YOU MENTIONED IN YOUR STATEMENT?

IT SEEMED REALLY THE MOST REAL TO ME OF WHAT IT IS THAT I ACTUALLY SAW.

HOW DO YOU -- WOULD YOU EXPLAIN THAT A LITTLE Q MORE CAREFULLY, PLEASE. WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY "IT SEEMS THE MOST

32

REAL ... THAT YOU SAW"?

A WELL, GOSH.

I -- I GUESS MY EYES WEREN'T LYING. MY
HEAD WAS SAYING IF HE'S CONSTRUCTION THERE MUST BE SOMETHING
HAVING TO DO WITH THAT ON THE BELT. BUT WHAT SEEMS MOSTLY THAT
I REALLY SAW WAS SOMETHING SQUARE OR -- OR -- NOT EXACTLY SQUARE
BUT RECTANGLE AND BLACK. LIKE THAT (INDICATING).

Q IF YOU WERE TO HAVE TO PICK ONE OF THE THREE ITEMS, OR ANY OTHER ITEM, TODAY AFTER GIVING IT SOME THOUGHT OF WHAT YOU THINK WAS ON THAT INDIVIDUAL'S BELT, WHAT WOULD YOU SAY?

A I WOULD HAVE TO SAY THE WALKIE-TALKIE.

Q NOW, IN YOUR JOB IS IT TRUE THAT YOU HAVE TO BE FAIRLY CONCERNED WITH TIME APPOINTMENTS?

A YES.

Q DO YOU RECALL ROUGHLY WHAT. TIME IT WAS THAT MORNING WHEN YOU FIRST SAW THIS INDIVIDUAL WHO YOU'VE DESCRIBED AS A BLACK MAN STANDING IN THE -- WHAT TIME HE CAME IN WHEN HE WAS STANDING, TALKING TO STEVE?

A 7:05 TO 7:10.

Q AND HOW LONG DID THAT CONVERSATION LAST?

A THREE, FOUR MINUTES.

Q SO WHAT TIME WOULD YOU SAY IT WAS WHEN HE

WALKED OUT THE FRONT DOOR?

A ANYWHERE FROM TEN AFTER SEVEN TO 7:14.

Q AND BY "HE" OF COURSE I'M REFERRING TO THE

BLACK MAN.

A YES.

Q STEVE STAYED IN THE OFFICE?

A YES.

Q DID YOU EVER SEE THE BLACK MAN AGAIN?

A NO.

MR. SEATON: THANK YOU.

1635

-611-

3

RECROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. COOPER:

7 |

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28 29

30

31 32 O MISS ZUCKERMAN, DID YOU HAVE OCCASION TO .

DISCUSS YOUR TESTIMONY BEFORE COMING TO COURT TODAY WITH ANYONE?

A YES.

Q DID YOU DISCUSS IT WITH ANYONE FROM THE

DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE?

A YES, I DID.

Q WHAT ABOUT MRS. MONAHAN? DID YOU DISCUSS IT

I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.

WITH HER?

MR. SEATON: YOUR HONOR, 1 AM GOING TO OBJECT.

I THINK THIS EXCEEDS THE SCOPE OF REDIRECT.

THE COURT: OVERRULED.

THE WITNESS: CAN I HEAR YOUR QUESTION AGAIN, PLEASE.

BY MR. COOPER:

Q DID YOU DISCUSS YOUR TESTIMONY WITH MRS.

MONAHAN?

A NO. I DID NOT DISCUSS MY TESTIMONY,

Q BUT YOU DID DISCUSS IT WITH SOMEONE FROM

THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE?

A YES.

Q AT THE TIME YOU GAVE YOUR STATEMENT TO THE POLICE, YOU MENTIONED THAT ON THIS BELT WAS A BUNCH OF KEYS OR A MEASURING TAPE OR SOME KIND OF COMMUNICATION WALKIE-TALKIE.

A UH-HUH.

Q YOU DIDN'T MAKE A DISTINCTION IN YOUR STATE-

1636

-612-

-613-

1	Q AND WHERE IS THAT LOCATED, PLEASE?
2	A ON BOULDER HIGHWAY AND DESERT INN.
3	Q DO YOU KNOW THE ADDRESS?
4	A 4200 BOULDER HIGHWAY.
5	Q AND DURING WHAT PERIOD OF TIME WERE YOU THE
6	OWNER OF THE DEW DROP INN?
7	A FROM 1975 TO SEPTEMBER OF '82.
8	Q COULD YOU DID YOU SPEND ALOT OF TIME AT
9	THAT ESTABLISHMENT?
10	A MOSTLY EVERYDAY IN THE MORNING.
11	Q COULD YOU DESCRIBE, IF YOU WOULD, PLEASE,
12	THE BUILDING OF THE DEW DROP INN AND THE PARKING THAT SURROUNDS
13	IT, AS ALL OF THAT RELATES TO BOULDER HIGHWAY.
14	A THE SIZE OF THE BUILDING OR
15	Q NOT NECESSARILY THE SIZE, BUT THE SHAPE AND
16	OTHER THINGS AROUND IT.
17	A WELL, IT'S IF YOU GO TO THE CORNER OF
18	DESERT INN AND BOULDER HIGHWAY YOU HAVE AN EXXON STATION AND YOU
19	HAVE THE MINI WAREHOUSES, AND THEN YOU HAVE THE DEW DROP INN.
20	Q IN WHICH DIRECTION IS THIS GOING FROM DESERT
21	INN?
22	A 1T WOULD BE GOING BACK TOWARDS THE HENDERSON
23	AREA.
24	Q 0.K.
25	AND IT'S ON THE NORTH OR SOUTH SIDE OF
26	BOULDER HIGHWAY?
27	A IT WOULD BE ON THIS SIDE OF BOULDER HIGHWAY
28	ACROSS ACROSS THE STREET THERE THERE WOULD BE MAYFAIR MARKET.
29	Q IS IT ON THE NELLIS AIR FORCE BASE SIDE OR
30	THE LOS ANGELES SIDE?
31	A UH, I GUESS IT WOULD BE ON THE LOS ANGELES
32	SIDE.

1

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29

30 31

32

LEFT ON DESERT INN AND MAKE A U-TURN BACK TO THE BAR.

Q I SEE.

APPROXIMATELY WHAT TIME, IF YOU KNOW, DID YOU ARRIVE IN THE VICINITY OF THE BAR?

A APPROXIMATELY ABOUT 7:45 THAT MORNING.

Q WHEN YOU WERE ON BOULDER HIGHWAY, PRIOR TO MAKING THE U-TURN, DID YOU NOTICE ANYTHING AT THE BAR THAT CAUGHT YOUR ATTENTION?

A YES. I WAS JUST ABOUT DIRECTLY ACROSS IT

AND I WAS GETTING READY TO GET INTO THE LEFT TURNING LANE, AND I

NOTICED A -- A VAN BACKING UP TO THE REAR OF THE BUILDING.

Q CAN YOU DESCRIBE THAT VAN FOR US?

A WELL, IT WAS BLACK. A BLACK VAN.

Q DID YOU HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO VIEW THAT

A YES.

SAME VAN LATER ON?

Q COULD YOU DESCRIBE WITH A LITTLE MORE DETAIL HOW THE VAN BACKED INTO YOUR ESTABLISHMENT?

A WELL, IT WAS BACKING IN, WHICH STRUCK ME
FUNNY BECAUSE USUALLY IF ANYBODY WAS PULLING UP TO THE BAR THEY
PULLED IN FACE FIRST; AND ABOUT THE ONLY TIME ANYBODY WOULD BACK
UP WAS WHEN WE WERE MAKING DELIVERIES; AND THAT PARTICULAR PART
OF THE BAR THERE IS NO DOORS THERE TO MAKE DELIVERIES AT.

Q NOW, WHAT PARTICULAR PART OF THE BAR WAS IT WHERE THE VAN WAS BACKING IN?

A IT WAS ON THE BACK CORNER OF THE LEFT SIDE OF THE -- OF THE BAR.

Q WHEN YOU -- AFTER YOU SAW THE VAN BACKING INDID YOU SEE IT COME TO A STOP?

A WELL, AS I WAS -- AS I WAS GOING BY I COULD JUST SEE LIKE JUST A HALF TURN, LIKE IF SOMEBODY WAS PULLING OUT AND THEY WERE JUST COMING TO A -- TO THE BACK END OF IT, AND THEN

ΙT

1	THERE?
2	A YES.
3	Q AND DID YOU HAVE OCCASION TO LOOK IN IT AT
4	THAT TIME?
5	A YES, I DID, BECAUSE ALOT OF TIMES PEOPLE GET
6	UP THERE AND SOMETIMES THEY FALL ASLEEP IN THE VAN. SO AS I
7	WALKED BY IT, GOING TOWARDS IT, THE TRASH CANS, THEN I LOOKED INT
8	THE DRIVER'S SIDE BUT I COULDN'T SEE ANYTHING AND I PROCEEDED TO
9	GO TO THE TRASH BIN.
10	Q LET ME SHOW YOU WHAT HAS BEEN MARKED AS
11	STATE'S EXHIBIT 2, AND I ASK IF YOU CAN IDENTIFY THE VEHICLE
12	DEPICTED IN THAT PHOTOGRAPH.
13	A YES. THAT'S THE ONE THAT WAS PARKED THERE.
14	Q HOW DO YOU KNOW THAT WAS THE ONE THAT WAS
15	PARKED THERE?
16	A WELL, IT LOOKS LOOKS LIKE THE ONE I SEEN
17	THERE AND AND IN THE SAME SPOT.
18	Q YOU SAY IT'S IN THE SAME SPOT WHERE IT WAS
19	PARKED?
20	A WELL, IT'S IT'S IF THE CORNER OF THE
21	BUILDING IS HERE, SO IT LOOKS TO ME VAGUELY JUST ABOUT WHAT IT
22	WAS.
23	Q 0.K.
24	MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, I'M SORRY. I COULDN'T
25	HEAR THE WITNESS.
26	THE COURT: WILL YOU READ THAT BACK, PLEASE.
27	THE WITNESS: .IT LOOKS JUST ABOUT WHERE I I
28	NOTICED THE VEHICLE BACKING UP WHEN I WAS ACROSS THE STREET.
29 30	BY MR. SEATON:
31	STIME SEATOR.
32	Q WHEN YOU WHICH WINDOW DID YOU LOOK IN?
	-618-

16.42

1	A	THE DRIVER'S SIDE.
2		
3	Q	THE DRIVER'S WINDOW?
4	A	
5	•	CAN YOU RECALL WHAT SORT OF WINDOWS WERE ON
6	THE VAN?	
7		NO, NOT REALLY. JUST
	,	WERE THERE MORE THAN WINDOWS JUST FOR THE
8	•	ASSENGER, DO YOU KNOW?
9		YES. THERE WAS THERE WAS A SIDE WINDOW
10	TO THE VAN, TOO.	
11	Q	WAS THERE ONE ON THE DRIVER'S SIDE AS YOU
12	RECALL?	
13	A	YES.
14	q	BUT YOU DID NOT LOOK IN THAT WINDOW AT THAT
15	TIME?	
16	<u>,</u>	NOT AT THAT TIME.
17	q	AND AFTER LOOKING IN THE WINDOW, DID YOU SEE
18	ANYONE IN THERE	AT THAT TIME?
19_	_	NO.
20	C	AND WHERE DID YOU GO AFTER HAVING LOOKED IN
21	THE WINDOW?	
22	}	WELL, I WENT INTO INTO THE BAR. AND AS
23	I RECALL, THERE	WAS PROBABLY SEVEN OR EIGHT PEOPLE IN THERE. AND
24	I HAD NEVER SEE	THE VAN THERE BEFORE. AND I ASKED WHO THE BLACK
25	VAN BELONGED TO	EYERYBODY SAID, "NOT ME. NOT ME."
26		AND I WENT BACK OUT AND I LOOKED IN THE
27	DRIVER'S SIDE A	AIN AND IN THE BACK WINDOW.
28		WHAT?
29	,	IN THE SIDE WINDOW.
30		WHEN YOU ASKED THAT QUESTION AS TO THE OWNER-
31	SHIP OF THE VAN	, DID EVERYBODY IN THE BAR HEAR YOU?
32	•	YES.

BACK END.

- i		1
1	Q DID THEY ALL RESPOND?	
2	A UH-HUH.	
3	Q NOW, HOW	
4	MR. FRANZEN: OBJECT, YOUR HONOR.	
5	THE COURT: WHAT?	
6	MR. FRANZEN: I OBJECT, YOUR HONOR, HEARSAY AS TO	
7	WHAT	
8	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
9	· ·	
10	BY MR. SEATON:	l
11		
12	Q HOW MUCH LONGER WAS IT BEFORE YOU WENT BACK	
13	OUTSIDE TO LOOK IN THE WINDOW AGAIN?	
14	A OH, PROBABLY MAYBE FIVE MINUTES.	
15	Q AND WHEN YOU WENT BACK OUTSIDE TO LOOK IN	
16	THE VAN AGAIN, WHAT WINDOW DID YOU LOOK INTO?	
17	A I LOOKED INTO THE DRIVER'S SIDE AGAIN. THEN	į
18	I LOOKED INTO THE SIDE WINDOW.	
19	Q WAS THE SIDE WINDOW ON THE DRIVER'S SIDE OR	1
20	THE PASSENGER'S SIDE?	
21	A ON THE DRIVER'S SIDE.	
22	Q COURT'S INDULGENCE.	
23	WHEN YOU LOOKED INTO THE WINDOW THAT	
24	SECOND TIME, WERE YOU ABLE TO SEE INTO THE VAN?	
25	A YEAR, I LOOKED IN THERE. SEEMED TO ME LIKE	Ξ
26	I GOT THE IMPRESSION THAT THERE WAS PILLOWS OR SOMETHING, TH	4
27	IT WASHET YOU KNOW COMETHING SOMETHING THAT WAS OUT OF ORDER	ا

1,644

LOT OF TIMES PEOPLE WOULD COME THERE WITH VANS AND THEY'D HAVE

OF COURSE, I WAS USED TO THAT BECAUSE A-

IT WASN'T COMPLETELY FINISHED LIKE IN THE BACK THERE. BUT IT

SEEMED TO ME LIKE PILLOWS OR SOMETHING THAT WAS KIND OF IN THE

1

5

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17.

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28

29 30

31

32

CLOTHES IN THERE, IN THEIR VEHICLE, AND THEY'RE TRAVELING ALOT OF TIMES FROM OUT-OF-STATE.

Q LET ME SHOW YOU WHAT'S BEEN MARKED AS STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT -- STATE'S EXHIBIT 14 AND STATE'S EXHIBIT 11.

WITH REFERENCE TO YOUR HAVING LOOKED

INTO THE VAN THE SECOND TIME AND SEEING THE PILLOWS AND THINGS

THAT YOU HAVE JUST DESCRIBED, DO ANY ONE OF THESE THREE PICTURES,

WHICH I'VE SHOWN YOU, RESEMBLE ANYTHING THAT YOU SAW IN THE VAN

THAT NIGHT?

A YEAH. I WOULD SAY THIS ONE (INDICATING).

THE COURT: YOU'LL HAVE TO SPEAK UP, SIR.

THE WITNESS: I WOULD SAY THIS, 8, LOOKED MORE

LIKE WHAT I SEEN.

Q YOU'RE SAYING STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 8
LOOKS MOST FAMILIAR TO YOU?

A YES. UH-HUH.

Q AND WOULD YOU SAY THAT DOES APPEAR TO FAIRLY

AND ACCURATELY LOOK LIKE THE INTERIOR OF THE VAN AS YOU LOOKED

INTO IT ON MARCH THE 27TH, 1980, THE SECOND TIME?

A YES. I WOULD SAY THAT WOULD BE MORE LIKE WHAT I RECALL SEEING.

Q THANK YOU.

HOW LATE DID YOU STAY AT THE BAR THAT

DAY, IF YOU RECALL?

A OH, MAYBE 'TIL 1 - 2:00 O'CLOCK IN THE AFTER-

NOON.

Q BETWEEN THE TIME THAT YOU WENT OUT AND SAW THE VAN OR LOOKED IN THE VAN THE SECOND TIME, AND WHEN YOU LEFT AT 1 - 2:00 O'CLOCK, DID YOU HAVE OCCASION TO GO OUT IN BACK AGAIN?

A NO, I DIDN'T.

16.45

		Q	AND	WHEN	YOU	LEFT	IN	THE	AFTE	RNOON	AFTER
MARCH	27TH,	WHI CH	DOOR D	ID YO	U LE	AVE FR	ROM	•			
		A	I W	ENT O	וד דט	HROUGH	1 TH	IE F	RONT	DOOR.	

FROM THAT POINT OF VIEW, COULD YOU SEE BACK TO THE BACK WHERE THE VAN HAD BEEN PARKED EARLIER THAT MORNING?

> NO. NO.

DID YOU KNOW WHETHER OR NOT THE VAN WAS STIL THERE DURING THOSE HOURS?

NO, I DON'T.

DID YOU LATER IN THE EVENING RECEIVE A PHONE CALL REGARDING THE VAN AT YOUR BAR?

YES. I GOT A PHONE CALL IN THE EVENING FROM A MANAGER AND SAID THAT I'D BETTER GET DOWN THERE BECAUSE THERE WAS ALOT OF COP CARS AND NEWSPAPER PEOPLE AND EVERYTHING. AND HE SAID HE FOUND A BODY IN THE VAN. AND SO I WENT BACK DOWN THERE.

AND WHEN YOU WENT BACK DOWN THERE APPROXI-Q MATELY WHAT TIME WAS IT, IF YOU RECALL?

OH, MAYBE 6 _ 7:00 O'CLOCK AT NIGHT.

AND WHEN YOU WENT THERE, DID YOU SEE POLICE-

MEN IN THE AREA?

YES.

AND DID YOU SEE ANY POLICEMEN THAT YOU KNEW? Q

YES. I SEEN LIEUTENANT JOHN CONNER.

IS HE A PERSONAL FRIEND OF YOURS? Q

YES.

AND DID YOU SPEAK WITH HIM? Q

YES.

AND WHILE YOU WERE THERE, DID YOU HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO VIEW THE SAME AREA WHERE THE VAN HAD BEEN EARLIER THAT MORNING?

YES. IT WAS STILL IN THE SAME SPOT THAT I HAD SEEN IT.

3 4 5

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

29 30

31

32

Q I SEE. I SEE,

TO CLARIFY THAT, LET ME SHOW YOU STATE'S EXHIBIT 2 AGAIN. AND CAN YOU TELL US WHEN YOU SAW THE VAN THAT EVENING WHEN YOU WERE WITH LIEUTENANT JOHN CONNER THAT THE VAN WAS STILL IN THE SAME SPOT, WHICH IS DEPICTED IN STATE'S EXHIBIT 2?

A YES. I WAS THERE APPROXIMATELY MAYBE FOUR, FIVE MINUTES. AND THEY WERE JUST HAULING IT AWAY.

Q J SEE.

HAD IT MOVED WHEN YOU GOT THERE?

A NO. NO. STILL IN THE SAME SPOT.

MR. SEATON: YOUR HONOR, I HAVE NO FURTHER

QUESTIONS.

THE COURT: CROSS.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. FRANZEN:

Q SIR, I MISSED WHEN YOU STATED WHAT TIME YOU ARRIVED AT YOUR BAR. COULD YOU TELL ME AGAIN?

A APPROXIMATELY 7:45.

Q AND WHEN YOU WERE PICKING UP THE CANS AND STUFF AROUND YOUR BAR, ABOUT HOW LONG WOULD THAT HAVE TAKEN YOU?

A OH, MAYBE TWO OR THREE MINUTES.

Q WHEN YOU LOOKED IN THE VAN THE FIRST TIME THROUGH THE DRIVER'S SIDE, YOU SAID.

A YES.

Q DID YOU NOTICE ANY WIRES DANGLING OR ANYTHING

OF THAT NATURE?

A NO. I DIDN'T NOTICE. I JUST KIND OF WAS LOOKING TO SEE IF SOMEBODY WAS LAYING ASLEEP THERE.

-623-

Q YES, SIR.

A YES.

Q IT COULD HAVE LEFT THE DEW DROP INN AND THEN RETURNED AND THEN PARKED THERE?

A YES.

THE COURT: COUNSEL, APPROACH THE BENCH.

(WHEREUPON, SIDE BAR CONFERENCE

WAS HELD AT THE BENCH; NOT

REPORTED.)

THE COURT: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE JURY, WE ARE GOING TO BE IN RECESS NOW. WE WILL BE IN RECESS IN THIS MATTER UNTIL MONDAY. THE REASON, OF COURSE, IS THAT COUNSEL HAS A MEDICAL APPOINTMENT TO SEE ABOUT HIS HAND, AND BASED ON THAT I HAD INDICATED TO COUNSEL THAT WE WOULD NOT BE IN SESSION ON FRIDAY. AND FOR THAT REASON WE ARE GOING TO CONTINUE THIS UNTIL MONDAY MORNING AT THE HOUR OF 10:00 A.M.

SO, DURING THIS RECESS, YOU

ARE ADMONISHED NOT TO CONVERSE AMONG
YOURSELVES OR WITH ANYONE ELSE ON ANY
SUBJECT CONNECTED WITH THIS TRIAL, OR
READ, WATCH OR LISTEN TO ANY REPORT OF
OR COMMENTARY ON THIS TRIAL WITH ANY
PERSON CONNECTED WITH THIS TRIAL BY
ANY MEDIUM OF INFORMATION, INCLUDING
WITHOUT LIMITATION, NEWSPAPER, TELE—
VISION OR RADIO OR FORM OR EXPRESS ANY
OPINION ON ANY SUBJECT CONNECTED WITH
THIS TRIAL UNTIL THE CASE IS FINALLY

SUBMITTED TO YOU.

5 ĸ

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

> 24 25

26 27

28

30

29

31 32 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, MONDAY, APRIL 18, 1983, AT 10:15 A.M.

* * * * * * * *

(WHEREUPON, THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HAD OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)

THE COURT: PROCEED.

MR. COOPER: YES, YOUR HONOR.

YOUR HONOR, THIS IS ONE MATTER I WOULD LIKE TO TAKE CARE OF OUTSIDE OF THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY.

MR. HOWARD PROVIDED ME WITH A LETTER THIS MORNING, ADDRESSED TO YOUR HONOR. I HAVE PROVIDED THE STATE WITH A COPY OF THE LETTER. IF I MAY APPROACH THE BENCH.

THE COURT: YOU MAY.

MR. COOPER: IT DEALS PRIMARILY, YOUR HONOR, WITH THE PROBLEMS WE'VE HAD IN THE PAST IN THIS CASE, MR. HOWARD'S DISCONTENT OF OUR REPRESENTING HIM. I THINK THAT MOST OF THE POINTS ARE RAISED IN THE LETTER AND ADDRESSED BY THE COURT.

THERE IS ONE THING IN THE LETTER, YOUR HONOR THAT I WANT TO POINT OUT. IN THE SECOND PARAGRAPH ON PAGE ONE OF THE LETTER, MR. HOWARD MENTIONS THAT PURSUANT TO THE COURT'S ORDER, WE WERE NOT TO DISCUSS THIS CASE WITH MR. JACKSON OF OUR OFFICE BECAUSE OF THE CLOSE TIES THAT MR. JACKSON APPARENTLY HAD WITH THE ALLEGED VICTIM IN THIS CASE.

MR. HOWARD GOES ON TO STATE THAT I, ALONG WITH MR. JACKSON, CAME TO THE COUNTY JAIL FOR AN INTERVIEW WITH HIM, HOWEVER, HE DID NOT SPEAK TO EITHER OF US. I WOULD INFORM THE COURT THAT THAT IS NOT THE CASE. I HAVE NEVER GONE WITH MR. JACKSON TO THE COUNTY JAIL TO MEET WITH MR. HOWARD.

AND AS I INDICATED EARLIER ON IN THESE PROCEEDINGS, WE HAVE COMPLIED WITH THE COURT'S ORDER AND WE HAVE NOT DISCUSSED THIS CASE WITH NOT ONLY MR. JACKSON, BUT WITH ANY-ONE ASSOCIATED WITH OUR OFFICE.

6 7

5

8

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28 29

30 31

32

DEFENDANT HOWARD: MAY I BE HEARD, YOUR HONOR?

THE COURT: STAND UP.

DEFENDANT HOWARD: YOUR HONOR, MR. COOPER HERE IS
LYING ABOUT THE MATTER THAT HE DIDN'T COME OUT. HIM AND MR.

JACKSON CAME OUT. I DID NOT KNOW MR. JACKSON UNTIL HE CAME OUT
WITH MR. COOPER, WITH A CAST ON HIS LEG, WITH A BROWN SUIT ON.

AND WE SAT THERE AND I ASKED THE OTHER INMATES WHICH IS THE GUY,
I HAVE HIS NAME, WHO THE ATTORNEY WAS THERE WITH THE CAST ON.

AND HE SAID, IN FACT, IT WERE MR. JACKSON. SO IMMEDIATELY I
TERMINATE THE INTERVIEW. I JUST WANTED TO MAKE IT A MATTER OF
RECORD.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, YOU MADE IT A MATTER OF RECORD. THERE'S BEEN A DENIAL.

DEFENDANT HOWARD: SIR, THANK YOU.

THE COURT: YOU MAY BE SEATED.

DEFENDANT HOWARD: THANK YOU.

THE COURT: SAME MAY BE FILED. IT DOESN'T APPEAR
THAT THERE IS ANY MERIT IN IT WHATSOEVER.

MR. FRANZEN: MAY WE HAVE THAT MARKED, YOUR HONOR,
AS DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT B, SO THAT WE CAN KEEP TRACK OF IT?

THE COURT: WELL, IT WON'T BE MARKED WITH THIS SERIES OF EXHIBITS IN THIS TRIAL.

YOU CAN MARK IT.

THE CLERK: AS COURT'S EXHIBIT?

THE COURT: MARK IT AS COURT'S EXHIBIT 1 AND PLACE IT IN THE LEFT SIDE OF THE FILE, RATHER THAN IN THE REGULAR SIDE.

THE CLERK: YES, SIR.

THE COURT: ARE YOU GENTLEMEN READY TO PROCEED?

MR. HARMON: YES, YOUR HONOR.

MR. FRANZEN: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS.

MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, WE WOULD LIKE TO RECALL

CHARLES MARINO, YOUR HONOR. 1 2 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. LET'S GET THE JURY IN, 3 PLEASE. (WHEREUPON, THE JURY ENTERED 5 THE COURTROOM AND THE FOLLOWING 6 PROCEEDINGS WERE HAD:) 7 THE COURT: COUNSEL, STIPULATE TO THE PRESENCE OF 8 THE JURY? 9 MR. HARMON: THE STATE STIPULATES, YOUR HONOR. 10 MR. COOPER: YES, YOUR HONOR, 11 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 12 YOU MAY PROCEED, COUNSEL. 13 14 CROSS EXAMINATION CONTINUED 15 16 BY MR. FRANZEN: 17 18 MR. MARINO. WHEN WE ADJOURNED LAST THURSDAY Q 19 FOR THE WEEK-END, YOU WERE ON THE STAND. WAS THERE ANYTHING 20 ABOUT YOUR TESTIMONY THAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO MODIFY OR CHANGE 21 TODAY? 22 Α NO. 23 0 0.K. 24 IF I RECALL CORRECTLY, I BELIEVE YOU 25 STATED YOU SAW THE BLACK VAN WITH "BLACK OAK" BACKING UP TO THE 26 BACK OF YOUR ESTABLISHMENT, THE DEW DROP INN, AT ABOUT 7:45 A.M., 27 AS YOU CAME TO THE DEW DROP INN? 28 YES. 29 AND THAT YOU SUBSEQUENTLY WENT OUT TO THE 30 AREA AROUND THE DEW DROP INN, PICKING UP CANS; AND YOU LOOKED IN 31 THE SIDE FRONT WINDOW OF THE DRIVER'S SIDE AND SAW NOTHING UNUSUAL; 32 IS THAT CORRECT?

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29

30 31

32

YES.

YOU WENT BACK INTO YOUR ESTABLISHMENT, THE Q DEW DROP INN, CAME OUT A SHORT TIME LATER, LOOKED AGAIN IN THE FRONT AND IN THE BACK SIDE, AND YOU SAW NOTHING UNUSUAL?

YES, BASICALLY.

AND BETWEEN THE TIME YOU SAW IT THE SECOND TIME AND THE TIME YOU SAW IT THE LAST AND FINAL TIME, THE LAST AND FINAL TIME WOULD BE APPROXIMATELY 6:30, 6:45 P.M., ON THE EVENING OF MARCH 26TH?

YES.

SO THAT YOU WERE UNABLE TO SAY WHETHER THE VAN HAD LEFT YOUR PREMISES BETWEEN THE SECOND TIME YOU SAW IT AND AROUND 8 OR 8:10 IN THE MORNING AND RETURNED TO YOUR PREMISES BEFORE YOU SAW IT AGAIN AT 6:45 OR 6:30 P.M. THAT EVENING?

THAT'S CORRECT.

IT COULD HAVE LEFT, RETURNED, AND BEEN

REPARKED?

YES. IT'S POSSIBLE.

NOW, DO YOU KNOW A WILLIAM WILLIAMS? Q"

I DO NOT. Α

DO YOU RECALL IF, ON THE MORNING HOURS OF Q MARCH 27TH, 1980, THERE WAS A MAN IN YOUR ESTABLISHMENT, A WHITE MALE ADULT, WHO WAS SEEKING TO SELL A TELEPHONE?

I DID NOT SEE HIM.

DO YOU RECALL IF THIS MAN REPRESENTED HIM-Q SELF TO BE A CONVICT WHO WAS TRYING TO MAKE SOME MONEY?

> I DID NOT SEE HIM AT ALL. NO. Α

Q 0.K.

DO YOU RECALL IF ANYTHING LIKE THAT

HAPPENED IN YOUR ESTABLISHMENT ON MARCH 27, 1980?

NO, I DON'T.

ARE YOU KNOWN BY THE NAME OF CHUCK, SIR? Q

	<u>.</u>	
1	A YES.	
2	Q AND PEOPLE WHO WOULD GO TO YOUR ESTABLISH-	
3	MENT, THE DEW DROP INN, WOULD KNOW YOU AS CHUCK?	
4	A YES.	
5	Q MAY I HAVE THE COURT'S INDULGENCE FOR ONE	
6	MINUTE, YOUR HONOR.	
7	SIR, DO YOU RECALL BEING ASKED, IN THE	
8	EARLY MORNING HOURS OF MARCH 27, 1983, IF A SUSPICIOUS GUY HAD	
9	BEEN IN YOUR ESTABLISHMENT, WAS IN THE BLACK VAN?	
10	A THAT I WAS ASKED THIS?	
11	Q YES, SIR. DO YOU RECALL BEING ASKED THAT	
12	QUESTION?	
13	A NO, I DON'T.	
14	Q THIS QUESTION WOULD HAVE BEEN BY A BARMAID	
15	OF THE DEW DROP INN.	
16	A NO, I DON'T RECALL IT.	l
17	Q DO YOU RECALL SAYING ANYTHING IN THE NATURE	
18	OF, THAT A MAN WAS IN THE VAN, A SUSPICIOUS GUY WAS IN THE VAN?	
19	A NO, I DON'T	+
20	Q O.K. SHOWING YOU STATE'S 8. I BELIEVE THIS	1
21 22	IS THE PHOTOGRAPH THAT YOU WERE SHOWN BY MR. SEATON; AM I CORRECT	
23	A YES, Q WOULD YOU CALL THAT DISHEVELED AND DISORDERE	<u>_</u>
24	Q WOULD YOU CALL THAT DISHEVELED AND DISORDERE WITHIN THE VAN?	T
25	A YES.	
26	Q DO YOU RECALL RECEIVING A TELEPHONE CALL,	
27	OR RATHER STRIKE THAT.	
28	DO YOU RECALL RECEIVING A VISIT AT YOUR	
29	HOME BY AN INVESTIGATOR NAMED MICHAEL KIDD, ON APRIL 13, 1983?	
30	A YES.	
31	Q THIS WOULD BE ABOUT 11:15 A.M.?	
32	A YES, SIR.	.
	II	

1	HAVE YOU BEEN IN CONTACT WITH HIM SINCE
2	YOU'VE CEASED TO OWN THE DEW DROP INN?
3	A A COUPLE TIMES.
4	Q WHAT WAS HE? A BARTENDER? A PICKUP MAN?
5	A A BARTENDER AND BAR MANAGER.
6	Q DID HE CONTINUE TO BE EMPLOYED AT THE DEW
7	DROP INN ONCE YOU SOLD IT?
8	A YES.
9	Q OH, ONE THING. WHEN YOU SAW THE VAN PARKED
10	IN THE BACK OF YOUR ESTABLISHMENT, DID IT LOOK PRETTY CLEAN?
11	A YES. ON THE OUTSIDE I'D SAY THAT IT LOOKED
12	PRETTY CLEAN BECAUSE IT DID CATCH MY ATTENTION OF LOOKING VERY
13	NICE.
14	Q IT WAS A SHARP-LOOKING VAN?
15	· A YES.
16	Q WOULD HE NEVER MIND.
17	MR. FRANZEN: NO FURTHER QUESTIONS, YOUR HONOR.
18	MR. SEATON: COURT'S INDULGENCE.
19	WE HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS OF THIS WITNESS.
20	SIR.
21	THE COURT: YOU'RE EXCUSED, SIR.
22	(WHEREUPON, THE WITNESS WAS
23	EXCUSED.)
24	THE COURT: CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS.
25	MR. HARMON: HANK TRUSZKOWSKI.
26	THE CLERK: RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND.
27	··
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	1658
	II .

-633-

WILL YOU DESCRIBE YOUR TRAINING, PLEASE.

Q

WHAT WAS YOUR PURPOSE IN GOING TO THAT TO AID IN THE INVESTIGATION OF WHAT APPEARED

TO BE A DEAD BODY. 1 Q 3 OF A REPORTED DEAD BODY AT THAT LOCATION? YES, SIR. 5 OF THE LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT, PRESENT? 6 7 YES, SIR. 9 10 11 Q 12 CORSE? 13 14 15 16 YES, SIR. 17 EXPLAIN WHAT YOU DID. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 THE COURT: YOU MAY. 28 29 BY MR. HARMON: 30 31 32

DID YOU, IN FACT, AID IN THE INVESTIGATION

WERE OTHER REPRESENTATIVES OF THE CRIME LAB

WHAT OTHER PERSONS?

SHORTLY AFTER I ARRIVED, IDENTIFICATION SPECIALIST DONALD CONNELL AND ROBERT CORSE ARRIVED TO ASSIST ME.

FOR THE RECORD, WILL YOU SPELL CONNELL AND

CERTAINLY. C-O-N-N-E-L-L AND C-O-R-S-E.

DID YOU THEN ENGAGE IN A JOINT INVESTIGATION

WITH OFFICERS CONNELL AND CORSE OF THE CRIME LAB?

BASICALLY, WE DIVIDED UP THE ASSIGNMENT,

WHEREAS OFFICER -- SPECIALISTS CONNELL AND CORSE DI- -- DIAGRAM AND SEARCH THE ADJACENT AREAS SURROUNDING THE VAN THAT WAS LOCATED BEHIND THE DEW -- DEW DROP INN; THAT BEING THE REAR OF THE DEW-DROP INN, THE ROOF AREA AND THE SURROUNDING PARKING LOT.

ु I WAS ASSIGNED THE TAŞK OF PHOTOGRAPHING

THE GENERAL AREA AND INVESTIGATING THE VEHICLE ITSELF.

MR. HARMON: MAY I HAVE THE COURT'S INDULGENCE.

MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR HONOR?

OFFICER TRUSZKOWSKI, I AM SHOWING YOU STATE'S EXHIBITS 1 THROUGH 4. DO YOU RECOGNIZE THE LOCATION AND THE

- II		l l
1	VEHICLE DEPICTED IN THE PHOTOGRAPHS?	
2	A YES, SIR.	
3	Q WHAT IS SHOWN IN STATE'S EXHIBITS 1 THROUGH	l
4	h ÷ 5	ļ
5	A THIS IS THE REAR PARKING LOT AREA OF THE	
6	DEW THE DEW DROP INN, SHOWING THE LOCATION AND IDENTIFICATION	
7	OF THE VAN.	١
8	Q DID YOU, IN FACT, EXAMINE, ON MARCH 27, 1980	
9	THE VAN WHICH IS SHOWN IN STATE'S EXHIBITS 1 THROUGH 4?	
10	A YES, SIR.	
11	Q IS IT A 1977 DODGE VAN?	
12	A YES, SIR.	
13	Q DO THESE PHOTOGRAPHS SHOW THE VEHICLE	
14	EXACTLY WHERE YOU FIRST OBSERVED IT?	
15	A YEAH.	
16	Q AT ABOUT 6:50 P.M., MARCH 27, 1980?	
17	A YES, SIR.	
18	Q DID YOU TAKE THESE PHOTOGRAPHS, STATE'S	
19	EXHIBITS 1 THROUGH 4?	t
20	A I DID.	
21	Q WAS THAT THE FIRST THING YOU DID, TO ENGAGE	
22	IN SOME PHOTOGRAPHY OF THE VAN AND THE GENERAL LOCATION?	
23	A YES, SIR, TO DOCUMENT THE VAN AS WE ORIGIN-	
24	ALLY FOUND IT.	1
25	Q OFFICER TRUSZKOWSKI, AFTER YOU HAD DOCUMENT	Εþ
26	THE AREA BY TAKING CERTAIN PHOTOGRAPHS, WHAT WAS YOUR NEXT STEP	
27	IN YOUR INVESTIGATION?	
28	A MY NEXT STEP WAS TO INVESTIGATE THE AREA OF	
29	THE VAN ITSELF. WE OBSERVED THAT THE ALL THE DOORS TO THE VA	٧
30	WERE LOCKED. AT THAT TIME, I BEGAN TO FINGERPRINT PROCESS THE	
31	AREAS AROUND THE DOOR HANDLES OF THE OF THE VAN PRIOR TO GAIN	-
32	ING ENTRY.	1

19

PRINT?

Q WHAT WAS YOUR PURPOSE IN BEGINNING TO PROCESS

THE DOOR AREA -- AREAS FOR FINGERPRINTS BEFORE ATTEMPTING TO GAIN
ENTRY?

A SO THAT WE WOULD NOT LOSE ANY PHYSICAL EVIDENCE THAT MIGHT BE ON THE DOOR HANDLES, THAT BEING ANY LATENT FINGERPRINTS.

Q DID YOU, IN FACT, PROCESS VARIOUS DOOR HANDLES FOR THE PRESENCE OF LATENT FINGERPRINTS?

A YES, SIR.

Q I'M REFERRING TO THE 1977 DODGE VAN,
DEPICTED IN STATE'S EXHIBITS 1 THROUGH 4.

A YES, SIR.

Q WHAT AREAS DID YOU PROCESS AND WHAT WAS YOUR

PROCEDURE?

A AT THAT TIME, AT THAT BLOCK OF BOULDER HIGH-WAY, THE AREA THAT WE EXAMINED, OR THAT I PROCESSED FOR FINGER-PRINTS, WERE THOSE AROUND THE DOOR HANDLES AND THE DOORS -- THE DOORS THEMSELVES, NEXT TO THE -- TO THE DOOR HANDLES.

WHAT WE DO IS WE TAKE A COMMERCIAL

FINGERPRINT POWDER, IT'S BLACK, AND WE USE A FIBERGLASS-TYPE .

BRUSH AND APPLY THE POWDER -- POWDER WITH THE BRUSH ONTO THE AREA
WE FEEL THAT MIGHT BEAR SOME FINGERPRINTS.

THE THEORY BEHIND THIS IS THAT THE BLACK FINGERPRINT DUST WILL ADHERE TO THE MINUTE AMOUNT OF OIL AND PERSPIRATIONS THAT ARE SECRETED THROUGH THE PORES IN YOUR FINGER-TIPS.

IF, INDEED, WE DO DEVELOP A LATENT FINGER-PRINT, A PIECE OF FINGERPRINT TAPE IS PLACED OVER -- OVER THE PRINT. THE TAPE -- THE TAPE IS THEN LIFTED AND PLACED ON A CARD.

Q OFFICER TRUSZKOWSKI, WHAT IS A LATENT FINGER-

A A LATENT FINGERPRINT IS A -- IS A REPRODUC-

 TION OF THE PATTERN OR DESIGN OF THE FINGERPRINT RIDGES ON YOUR FINGERTIPS OR ON YOUR PALMS OR EVEN YOUR FEET.

Q DID YOU, IN FACT, PROCESS THE DOOR HANDLES
AND DOOR KNOBS ON BOTH SIDES OF THE VEHICLE SHOWN IN STATE'S
EXHIBITS 1 THROUGH 4?

A YES, SIR.

Q DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION SPECIFICALLY TO
THE DOOR KNOB, PASSENGER'S SIDE, OF THE CARGO DOOR OF THE VEHICLE
SHOWN IN STATE'S EXHIBITS 1 THROUGH 4, DID YOU PROCESS THAT AREA
FOR THE PRESENCE OF LATENT FINGERPRINTS?

A YES, SIR.

Q WITH WHAT RESULTS, SIR?

A WE DID -- OR I -- I DID DEVELOP A PARTIAL LATENT FINGERPRINT, WHICH WAS SUBSEQUENTLY LIFTED.

Q FROM WHERE DID YOU OBTAIN A PARTIAL LATENT FINGERPRINT, WHICH YOU --

A THIS WAS ON THE -- ON THE -- ON THE CARGO
DOOR. THE RIGHT SIDE OF THE VAN IS A HANDLE OF SUCH WHERE YOU

PLACE YOUR HAND AROUND THE METAL BAR AND PRESS A BUTTON IN, IN

ORDER TO OPEN THE DOOR. THE LATENT PRINT WAS RECOVERED FROM THE
BUTTON AREA.

Q ONCE YOU DEVELOPED THE LATENT PRINT FROM THE BUTTON AREA OR KNOB AREA, PASSENGER'S SIDE, OF THE CARGO DOOR OF THE VAN, WHAT STEPS THEN DID YOU TAKE TO, IN FACT, LIFT THE LATENT PRINT WHICH YOU HAD DEVELOPED?

A WHEN I NOTICED THAT A PARTIAL LATENT PRA- -PRINT WAS INDEED, VISIBLE ON THE BUTTON, I PLACED A LENGTH OF THE
FINGERPRINT TAPE ON THE BUTTON ITSELF AND THEN REMOVED THE TAPE,
PLACED IT ON A METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT LIFT CARD, AND
FILLED OUT ALL THE NECESSARY INFORMATION ON THE CARD.

Q HAVE YOU BROUGHT THAT LATENT FINGERPRINT CARD TO COURT WITH YOU TODAY?

- 11
<u>'</u>
2 3
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

}	Q WILL YOU PRODUCE IT, PLEASE?
۱ ا	MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE THE
·	LATENT FINGERPRINT CARD MARKED AS THE STATE'S NEXT PROPOSED
s	EXHIBIT IN ORDER. I BELIEVE THAT WILL BE
₃	THE COURT: IT MAY BE MARKED.
7	THE CLERK: IT'S 57.
8	MR. HARMON: MAY I HAVE THE COURT'S INDULGENCE.
9	MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR HONOR?
o	THE COURT: YOU MAY.
1	
2	BY MR. HARMON:
3	
4	Q OFFICER TRUSZKOWSKI, I AM SHOWING YOU NOW
5	PROPOSED EXHIBIT 57. ARE YOU ABLE TO IDENTIFY IT?
6	A YES, SIR.
7	Q WHAT IS PROPOSED 57?
8	A PROPOSED 57 IS A METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPART.
9	MENT LATENT FINGERPRINT CARD, BEARING THE LATENT FINGERPRINT THAT
0	I HAD LIFTED FROM THE CARGO DOOR OF THE 177 VAN, AT THE 4200
1	BLOCK OF BOULDER HIGHWAY.
2	Q THE SAME VEHICLE DEPICTED IN STATE'S PROPOS
23	EXHIBITS 1 THROUGH 4?
4	A YES, SIR.
5	Q DID YOU PERSONALLY PREPARE THIS LATENT
26	FINGERPRINT CARD, WHICH IS IDENTIFIED NOW AS PROPOSED EXHIBIT 57
27	A YES, I DID.
28	Q DESCRIBE HOW YOU PREPARED THE CARD.
29	A WELL, FIRST OF ALL, I REMOVED THE TAPE WITH
30	THE LATENT PRINT, PLACED IT ON THE CARD ITSELF, AND THEN FILLED
31 [.]	OUT ALL THE INFORMATION WHICH INCLUDES THE CASE NUMBER, MY NAME,
32	THE LOCATION OF THE OCCURRENCE, AND WHERE WHERE THE FINGER-

YES, SIR.

2

3

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

READILY, EASILY.

ATTACH TO THE READINESS WITH WHICH THE LATENT PRINT DEVELOPED?

A IT'S BEEN MY EXPERIENCE THAT LATENT -
LATENT FINGERPRINTS WHICH TEND TO BE ON A -- ON A SURFACE FOR A

LONGER PERIOD OF TIME, TEND TO TAKE MORE OF AN EFFORT OR A LITTLE

BIT HARDER TO DEVELOP THAN LATENT FINGERPRINTS THAT HAVE BEEN

RECENTLY PLACED UPON AN OBJECT.

Q NOW, YOU'VE TESTIFIED THIS LATENT PRINT WAS RIGHT ON THE KNOB ITSELF; IS THAT CORRECT?

A RIGHT, THAT PORTION OF THE HANDLE THAT YOU MUST PRESS IN.

Q IS THAT IN AN AREA WHERE TYPICALLY WHEN A PERSON OPENED THE CARGO DOOR ON THE PASSENGER'S SIDE A FINGER-PRINT WOULD BE PLACED?

A YES, SIR,

Q DID YOU FIND ANY OTHER LATENT FINGERPRINTS, LATENT PRINTS OR PARTIAL PRINTS THAT YOU RECOVERED?

A NO, \$1R.

Q WHAT IS A "OVERLAY"?

A OFTEN TIMES ON OBJECTS SUCH AS DOOR KNOBS,
YOU'LL FIND MORE THAN ONE FINGERPRINT OR LATENT PRINT, THAT BEING
THAT THE OBJECT HAD BEEN HANDLED BY MORE THAN ONE INDIVIDUAL OR
EVEN MORE THAN ONE TIME.

THE PARTIAL LATENT PRINT THAT I RECOVER-ED TENDED TO TAKE UP THE ENTIRE SURFACE PROVIDED BY THE BUTTON AREA, AND I DID NOT SEE ANY OTHER INDICATION OF ANY OTHER LITTLE LATENT FINGERPRINTS THAT HAD BEEN OBSCURED BY IT.

Q YOU DIDN'T SEE ANY OTHER LATENT PRINTS?

A NONE WHATSOEVER,

Q AND THERE WERE NO AREAS ON THE SURFACE OF THE KNOB ITSELF WHICH WERE OBSCURED?

16.67

 A NO, SIR. THE PARTIAL LATENT PRINT TOOK UP
THE ENTIRE AREA OF THE BUTTON PORTION.

Q WHAT SIGNIFICANCE, IF ANY, DO YOU ATTACH TO THE FACT THAT NO AREAS OF THE KNOB SURFACE WERE OBSCURED AND THERE WERE NO OTHER LATENT OVERLAYS?

A I WOULD FIND IT MOST PROBABLE THAT THE INDI-VIDUAL WHO PLACED THE PRINT ON THE BUTTON WAS PROBABLY THE LAST ONE TO USE THAT BUTTON.

Q OFFICER TRUSZKOWSKI, AFTER YOU COMPLETED PROCESSING THE DOOR HANDLE AREAS ON THE PASSENGER AND DRIVER'S SIDE OF THE VAN, DEPICTED IN STATE'S EXHIBITS 1 THROUGH 4, WHAT SUBSEQUENTLY WAS DONE IN CONNECTION WITH THE INVESTIGATION AT 4200 BOULDER HIGHWAY?

VAN ITSELF. WE RECEIVED ASSISTANCE FROM THE LOCAL TOW -- TOW COMPANY, WHICH WAS ABOUT TO IMPOUND THE VEHICLE. THE DRIVER, I DON'T RECALL EXACTLY, I BELIEVED HE SHIMMED -- WHAT HE CALLED "SHIMMED" THE DRIVER'S SIDE DOOR, MANAGED TO GET THE DOOR OPEN. AT THAT TIME THE DEPUTY CORONER AT THE SCENE, ENTERED THE VEHICLE TO EXAMINE -- EXAMINE THE DECEASED. HE IMMEDIATELY EXITED THE VEHICLE. THE VEHICLE WAS THEN PREPARED TO BE TOWED TO THE CRIME LAB.

Q DO YOU RECALL THE NAME OF THE DEPUTY CORONER AT THE SCENE?

YES. CHRIS DERRICK, D-E-R-R-I-C-K.

Q NOW, YOU SAID YOU BELIEVE THE TOW DRIVER SHIMMED THE VEHICLE?

A YES, SIR.

Q WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY THAT? DID YOU OBSERVE

WHAT HE DID?

A I OBSERVED HIM WORKING AT THE DOOR. I DON'T REMEMBER EXACTLY WHAT KIND OF TOOL HE USED.

- 11	
1	Q WHAT HAPPENED AFTER DEPUTY
2	GOT INTO THE VEHICLE AND BRIEFLY EXAMINED THE D
3	A HE EXITED THE VEHICLE, ADV
4	VICTIM WAS, INDEED, DECEASED. WE MADE ARRANGEM
5	VEHICLE WITH THE VICTIM TOWED TO THE CRIME LAB.
6	Q WHEN YOU SAY "WE" ARE YOU
7	SELF, OFFICERS CONNELL AND CORSE?
8	A YES, IN CONSULTATION WITH
9	DETECTIVES WHO WERE AT THE SCENE.
10	Q WAS THE VEHICLE, DEPICTED
11	1 THROUGH 4, THEN TRANSPORTED TO THE CRIME LAB
12	METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT?
13	A YES, SIR.
14	Q BY WHAT MEANS?
15	A PARDON?
16	Q HOW WAS IT TAKEN FROM THE
17	BOULDER HIGHWAY TO THE CRIME LAB?
18	A THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED AND
19	TIFICATION SPECIALISTS DAN CONNELL AND ROBERT (
20	Q WHERE IS THE CRIME LAB LOC
21	A AT THAT TIME IT WAS LOCATE
22	IN THE BASEMENT.
23	Q WAS THE CONDITION OF THE
24	SHOWN IN STATE'S EXHIBITS 1 THROUGH 4, DISTURB
25	THE SCENE OF THE 2400 BLOCK OF BOULDER HIGHWAY
26 27	A WE TOOK GREAT EFFORTS NOT
28	INTERIOR OF THE VAN AT THE SCENE ON BOULDER HI
29	SERVE THE EVIDENCE FOR A LITTLE LATER INVESTIG
30	Q WHAT HAPPENED WHEN THE VE
31	THE CRIME LAB, LOCATED AT THAT TIME, IN THIS B
	II A WE THEN PROCEEDED TO CONT

			Q	WHAT	HAPPI	ENED	AFTE	R DE	EPUTY	CORC	NER	DE RE	RICK
GOT I	NTO	THE	VEHICLE	AND	BRIEF	LY E	N IMAX	ED 1	THE D	ECEAS	ED?	•	
			A	HE E	XITED	THE	VEHI	CLE	, ADV	ISED	US	THAT	THE
VICTI	M WA	s,	INDEED,	DECEA	SED.	WE	MADE	ARRA	ANGEMI	ENTS	то	HAVE	THE

WHEN YOU SAY "WE" ARE YOU REFERRING TO YOUR-LF, OFFICERS CONNELL AND CORSE?

YES. IN CONSULTATION WITH THE HOMICIDE TECTIVES WHO WERE AT THE SCENE.

WAS THE VEHICLE, DEPICTED IN STATE'S EXHIBITS THROUGH 4, THEN TRANSPORTED TO THE CRIME LAB OF THE LAS VEGAS TROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT?

HOW WAS IT TAKEN FROM THE 2400 BLOCK OF ULDER HIGHWAY TO THE CRIME LAB?

THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED AND FOLLOWED BY IDEN-FICATION SPECIALISTS DAN CONNELL AND ROBERT CORSE.

> WHERE IS THE CRIME LAB LOCATED? Q

AT THAT TIME IT WAS LOCATED IN THIS BUILDING THE BASEMENT.

WAS THE CONDITION OF THE INSIDE OF THE VAN, IOWN IN STATE'S EXHIBITS I THROUGH 4, DISTURBED IN ANY MANNER AT E SCENE OF THE 2400 BLOCK OF BOULDER HIGHWAY?"

WE TOOK GREAT EFFORTS NOT TO DISTURB THE STERIOR OF THE VAN AT THE SCENE ON BOULDER HIGHWAY SO TO PRE-RVE THE EVIDENCE FOR A LITTLE LATER INVESTIGATION.

WHAT HAPPENED WHEN THE VEHICLE WAS TOWED TO HE CRIME LAB, LOCATED AT THAT TIME, IN THIS BUILDING.

WE THEN PROCEEDED TO CONTINUE TO PHOTOGRAPH THE VEHICLE, GAIN ENTRANCE INTO THE VEHICLE, OBSERVED THE AREA

166.9

5

6 7

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

AROUND THE VICTIM, MADE DETERMINATION AS TO PROBABLE CAUSE OF DEATH, WHAT AREAS MAY OR MAY NOT HAVE BEEN HANDLED BY ANY SUSPECTS.

WE CONTINUED TO PROCESS FOR LATENT PRINTS.

COLLECTED BLOOD EVIDENCE, AND JUST DO A GENERAL INVESTIGATION.

Q OFFICER, TRUSZKOWSKI, WHEN YOU SAY "WE" ARE YOU AGAIN REFERRING TO YOURSELF AND OFFICERS CONNELL AND CORSE?

PRINCIPALLY MYSELF AND OFFICER CONNELL.

WHAT WAS THE POSITION OF THE DECEDENT INSIDE

THE VAN?

WE OBSERVED THE BODY OF THE DECEDENT TO BE FACE DOWN ON THE -- ON THE FLOOR WITH THE HEAD IN THE RIGHT REAR FLOOR PORTION OF THE VAN; THE RIGHT ARM WAS FLEXED AT THE ELBOW, EXTENDED OUTWARD; LEFT ELBOW WAS ALSO FLEXED AT THE ELBOW WITH THE HAND MORE OR LESS DANGLING DOWN OVER -- OVER THE RUNNING BOARD ON THE RIGHT SIDE OF THE VAN.

MR. HARMON: MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR

HONOR?

THE COURT: YOU MAY.

BY MR. HARMON:

OFFICER TRUSZKOWSKI, I AM SHOWING YOU PROPOSED Q EXHIBIT 13. WILL YOU EXAMINE THE PHOTOGRAPH AND STATE WHETHER YOU RECOGNIZE WHAT IS SHOWN IN THE PICTURE?

A YES, SIR, THIS IS THE POSITION OF THE DE--- DECEDENT'S BODY AS -- AS WE FOUND IT.

INSIDE THE VAN ON MARCH 27, 1980?

YES, SIR.

TO THE BEST OF YOUR RECOLLECTION, IS THAT EXACTLY HOW THE DECEDENT WAS LYING, AND WOULD THIS BE THE CONDI-TION OF HIS CLOTHING?

YES, SIR, JUST AS WE FOUND HIM.

3

5 6

> 7 8

9

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

4V

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28 29

30

31 32 MR. HARMON: THANK YOU.

YOUR HONOR, I WOULD LIKE TO RENEW OUR OFFER

AT THIS TIME ON PROPOSED EXHIBIT 13.

THE COURT: I THOUGHT THAT WAS ADMITTED, COUNSEL.

THE CLERK: NO, SIR, 13 WAS NOT ADMITTED.

MR. COOPER: WE WOULD HAVE NO OBJECTION, YOUR

HONOR.

THE COURT: SAME WILL BE RECEIVED.

MR. HARMON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

BY MR. HARMON:

Q OFFICER TRUSZKOWSKI, DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION
IN PARTICULAR TO THE REAR POCKETS, DOES STATE'S EXHIBIT 13 PORTRAY THOSE EXACTLY AS THEY WERE WHEN YOU FIRST OBSERVED THE DECEASED INSIDE THE VAN ON MARCH 27, 1980?

- A THAT'S THE CONDITION AS I FIRST SAW IT, YES.
- Q DID YOU, AT SOME POINT IN YOUR INVESTIGATION

OBSERVE A PROJECTILE SOMEWHERE ON THE INTERIOR OF THE VAN?

- A YES, SIR.
- O WILL YOU STATE WHERE THAT WAS LOCATED?
- A WE OBSERVED, OR 1 -- I OBSERVED A PROJECTILE TO BE LOCATED ON THE RUNNING BOARD ON THE RIGHT SIDE OF THE VAN,

THAT WOULD BE THE RUNNING BOARD ASSOCIATED WITH THE CARGO DOORS UNDER THE -- UNDER THE LEFT HAND OF THE VEHICLE UP -- EXCUSE ME,

THE VICTIM'S LEFT HAND WAS DANGLING OVER THE EDGE BEFORE THE RUN-

NING BOARD ITSELF, AND THE PROJECTILE WAS FOUND UNDER HIS HAND ON

THE RUNNING BOARD.

MR. HARMON: MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS AGAIN,

YOUR HONOR?

THE COURT: YOU MAY.

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

- 19-20

21

22 23

24

HERE.

25 26

27 28

29

30

31

32

BY MR. HARMON:

OFFICER TRUSZKOWSKI, I AM SHOWING YOU NOW STATE'S EXHIBIT NUMBER 6. DOES THIS PHOTOGRAPH SHOW THE AREA WHERE THE PROJECTILE WAS OBSERVED BY YOU ON MARCH 27, 1980?

YES, SIR.

WHERE WERE YOU WHEN YOU FIRST SAW THE PRO-JECTILE IN THE LOCATION IT IS SHOWN IN STATE'S EXHIBIT 6?

I WAS POSITIONED RIGHT OUTSIDE THE VAN AT THE CARGO DOORS.

WAS THIS AT THE CRIME LAB OR AT 4200 BOULDER

HIGHWAY?

THIS WAS AT THE CRIME LAB.

DO YOU SEE THE PROJECTILE SHOWN IN THIS Q

PICTURE?

YES, SIR. Α

I AM HANDING YOU A BLACK PEN. I WOULD LIKE Q٠ YOU TO CIRCLE THE AREA WHERE THE PROJECTILE WAS SHOWN ON STATE'S EXHIBIT 6. AFTER YOU CIRCLE THIS AREA, WILL YOU PUT YOUR INITIALS OUTSIDE OF THE CIRCLE, PLEASE.

MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, MAY THE RECORD SHOW THAT THE WITNESS HAS COMPLIED WITH MY INSTRUCTION?

THE COURT: LET ME SEE IT. I CAN'T SEE IT FROM

THE RECORD MAY SO SHOW.

MR. HARMON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

BY MR. HARMON:

OFFICER TRUSZKOWSKI, WAS THE PROJECTILE Q RECOVERED AS POTENTIAL EVIDENCE, WHICH YOU HAVE CIRCLED ON THE PHOTOGRAPH STATE'S EXHIBIT 6?

167.2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

		Q		OFF	CER	TRUSZKOWS	KΙ,	WILL	YOU	DESCRIBE	FOR
THE	RECORD	WHAT	YOU	HAVE	JUST	REMOVED	FROM	1 PRO	POSEC	EXHIBIT	
NUME	BER 32?										

A I'VE -- I'VE REMOVED A PLASTIC VILE WHICH CONTAINS A METAL PROJECTILE.

MR. HARMON: THANK YOU.

YOUR HONOR, MAY WE HAVE THE PLASTIC VILE

AND ITS CONTENTS MARKED AS PROPOSED EXHIBIT 32-A.

THE COURT: IT MAY BE MARKED.

MR. HARMON: MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR

HONOR?

THE COURT: YOU MAY.

BY MR. HARMON:

Q OFFICER TRUSZKOWSKI, I AM SHOWING YOU NOW

THE PLASTIC VILE AND CONTENTS NOW MARKED AS PROPOSED EXHIBIT 32-A

ARE YOU ABLE TO IDENTIFY THIS PROPOSED EXHIBIT?

A YES, SIR. THE VILE BEARS ALL -- ALL OF THE INFORMATION REGARDING THIS CASING IN OFFICER CONNELL'S HAND-WRITING. IN ADDITION TO THAT, IT BEARS MY INITIALS AND PERSONNEL NUMBER AND MY HANDWRITING.

Q WHAT EXACTLY IS THE ITEM WHICH IS IN THE PLASTIC VILE, PROPOSED EXHIBIT 32-A?

A IT WOULD BE A METAL PROJECTILE, COMMONLY REFERRED TO AS A SPENT BULLET.

Q WHERE WAS THIS METAL PROJECTILE OR SPENT BULLET RECOVERED FROM?

A FROM THE RUNNING BOARD OF THE 1977 DODGE VAN

Q THE SAME VEHICLE DEPICTED IN STATE'S EXHIBITS

1 THROUGH 4 AND 6?

A YES, SIR.

1	ı
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	l
8	l
9	١
10	l
11	ļ
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	۱

32

	Q	IS THIS,	IN FACT,	THE	PROJECTI LE	WHICH	YOU
CIRCLED	ON STATE'S	EXHIBIT 6?					

A INDEED IT IS.

Q IS THE PROJECTILE IN SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME CONDITION NOW AS IT WAS WHEN IT WAS RECOVERED MARCH 27, 1980?

A YES, SIR.

MR. HARMON: O.K.

YOUR HONOR, I OFFER AT THIS TIME PROPOSED

EXHIBITS 32 AND 32-A.

THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION, COUNSEL?

MR. COOPER: COURT'S INDULGENCE, PLEASE.

WE HAVE NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: SAME WILL BE RECEIVED.

MR. HARMON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

MAY I AGAIN APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR HONOR!

THE COURT: YOU MAY.

BY MR. HARMON:

Q OFFICER TRUSZKOWSKI, 1 AM SHOWING YOU NOW AN EVIDENCE ENVELOPE MARKED AS PROPOSED EXHIBIT 35. INSIDE THAT IS A ITEM FURTHER DESCRIBED AS PROPOSED EXHIBIT 35-A. AT SOME POINT IN THE INVESTIGATION DID DEPUTY CORONER DERRICK TURN OVER TO THE REPRESENTATIVES OF THE CRIME LAB A SMALL AMOUNT OF CHANGE WHICH HE RECOVERED WHEN YOU INVENTORIED THE PERSONAL EFFECTS OF THE DECEDENT?

A YES, SIR.

Q DO THE CONTENTS OF THE PLASTIC VILE, MARKED AS PROPOSED EXHIBIT 35-A, APPEAR TO BE THE CHANGE TURNED OVER TO THE I.D. SPECIALIST BY DEPUTY CORONER DERRICK?

A YES.

MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, WE HAD ONE OTHER ITEM

THAT HAS BEEN MARKED. I AM REMOVING PROPOSED EXHIBIT 35-A -- B, EXCUSE ME.

AT THIS TIME, I OFFER PROPOSED EXHIBIT 35, THE ENVELOPE; AND THE PLASTIC VILE, PROPOSED EXHIBIT 35-A.

MR. COOPER: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: SAME WILL BE RECEIVED.

BY MR. HARMON:

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

31

32

Q OFFICER TRUSZKOWSKI, YOU'VE INDICATED THAT YOU CONTINUED WITH LATENT PRINT PROCESSING OF THE INTERIOR OF THE 1977 DODGE VAN, AT THE CRIME LAB.

A YES, SIR.

Q IN FACT, DID YOU DEVELOP AND LIFT A NUMBER OF LATENT PRINTS?

A YES, SIR.

Q WERE THE LIFTS PLACED ON LATENT PRINT CARDS?

A YES, THEY WERE.

Q HAVE YOU BROUGHT ALL OF THOSE CARDS WITH YOU

TO COURT TODAY?

A I DID.

Q WERE THERE AREAS INSIDE THE VAN OF A BLOOD-

LIKE SUBSTANCE?

A YES, THERE WERE.

Q DO YOU RECALL WHERE THOSE WERE LOCATED?

A PRINCIPALLY AROUND THE AREA OF THE VICTIM,

EXTENDING FROM WHERE THE VICTIM'S HEAD WAS LOCATED TOWARD THE LEFT HAND. A BLOOD-LIKE SUBSTANCE WAS ALSO OBSERVED -- WAS

OBSERVED ALSO TO HAVE DRIPPED DOWN THROUGH THE DOOR ONTO THE

30 | EXHAUST PIPE BELOW THE CARGO DOORS.

Q OFFICER TRUSZKOWSKI, WHAT WAS THE ORIGINAL CONDITION OF THE INSIDE OF THE VAN IN STATE'S EXHIBITS 1 THROUGH

. 1

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

VAN?

17 18

ΤÒ

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

29

30

31 32 4 AND 6?

OBSERVED TO BE A VERY DISRUPTED STATE. NUMEROUS CUSHIONS FROM
THE SOFA WERE THROWN ABOUT THE AREA PRINCIPALLY ON TOP OF THE
VICTIM. THERE WAS A WOODEN HEADLINER THAT NORMALLY IS POSITIONED
ABOVE THE DASHBOARD AND ADJACENT TO THE ROOF WHERE ONE MIGHT FIND
A STEREO TAPE DECK OR SORTS, THAT HAD APPARENTLY BEEN PULLED AWAY
AND ALSO THROWN ON TOP OF THE VICTIM.

Q DID YOU OBSERVE ANY LOOSE WIRES IN THAT AREA?

A YES, I DID.

Q AND YOU SAY THAT THIS HEADLINER PANEL, IN FACT, WAS ONE OF THE ITEMS THROWN ON TOP OF THE DECEDENT?

A IT WAS OBSERVED ON THE DECEDENT, YES.

Q DID YOU EXAMINE THAT HEADLINER FOUND?

A YES, SIR.

Q WAS THERE ANY TYPE OF C.B. RADIO INSIDE THE

A NO, SIR.

Q DID YOU FIND A C.B. RADIO ANYWHERE INSIDE THE

VAN, STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBITS 1 THROUGH 4 AND 5?

A 1 DON'T RECALL FINDING ONE.

Q DID YOU FIND ANY TYPE OF CASSETTE TAPE

PLAYER INSIDE THE HEADLINER ITSELF?

A NO, SIR.

Q DO YOU RECALL FINDING A CASSETTE TAPE PLAYER
ANYWHERE INSIDE THE VAN, SHOWN IN STATE'S EXHIBITS 1 THROUGH 42

A NO, SIR.

Q I AM SHOWING YOU NOW PROPOSED EXHIBIT I7.

DOES THAT APPEAR TO BE THE SAME INDIVIDUAL THAT YOU SAW INSIDE

THE VAN, WHICH IS SHOWN IN STATE'S EXHIBITS 1 THROUGH 4?

A YES, IT DOES.

Q IN ADDITION TO THE MONEY BEING IMPOUNDED

WHICH YOU'VE ALREADY IDENTIFIED, AND THE PROJECTILE WHICH WAS FOUND ON THE RUNNING BOARD OF THE VAN, WERE CERTAIN OTHER ITEMS RECOVERED?

A YES, SIR.

Q DO THOSE ITEMS INCLUDE THE HEADLINER WHICH YOU FOUND ON TOP OF THE VICTIM?

A CORRECT.

Q WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE HEADLINER, WERE

THE VARIOUS ITEMS RECOVERED LISTED ON A IMPOUND REPORT SIGNED BY

OFFICER CONNELL?

A THEY WERE.

MR. HARMON: COURT'S INDULGENCE AGAIN, PLEASE.

MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR HONOR?

THE COURT: YES.

BY MR. HARMON:

Q OFFICER TRUSZKOWSKI, I AM SHOWING YOU NOW A TWO-PAGE DOCUMENT WHICH IS ADMITTED AS PROPOSED EXHIBIT 36.

DO YOU RECOGNIZE WHAT THIS IS?

A YES, SIR. YES, I DO.

Q WHAT IS IT?

A THIS IS A COPY OF THE IMPOUND REPORT PRE-PARED BY OFFICER CONNELL AND MYSELF PURSUANT TO THIS INVESTIGATION.

Q IS THIS A LIST OF VARIOUS ITEMS RECOVERED FROM INSIDE THE VAN WHICH IS SHOWN IN STATE'S EXHIBITS 1 THROUGH 4, AND ALSO AN INDICATION OF THE LOCATION OF WHERE THE VARIOUS ITEMS WERE FOUND?

A THAT'S CORRECT.

Q IN FACT, DOES THIS LIST INCLUDE ALL ITEMS RECOVERED WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE HEADLINER?

A THAT'S CORRECT.

J)	Į i
1	Q WHY IS IT THE HEADLINER DOESN'T APPEAR IN
2	THIS PARTICULAR LIST?
3	A I RETAINED THE HEADLINER IN MY SOLE CARE AND
4	CUSTODY FOR SOMETIME IN AN ATTEMPT TO RECOVER SOME ADDITIONAL
5	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE.
6	Q DID YOU, IN FACT, PROCESS THE HEADLINER FOR
7	THE PRESENCE OF LATENT PRINTS?
8	A THAT'S CORRECT.
9	Q WERE YOU ABLE TO DEVELOP ANY?
10	A NO, SIR.
11	Q NOW, DOES THAT INDICATE NECESSARILY THAT NO
12	ONE EVER TOUCHED THE HEADLINER?
13	A CERTAINLY NOT.
14	Q DO YOU HAVE SOME EXPLANATION FOR WHY YOU WER
15	UNABLE TO DEVELOP ANY LATENT PRINTS ON THAT ITEM?
16	A CERTAINLY. THE PRESENCE OF LATENT PRINTS
17	DEPENDS ON MANY, EXCUSE ME, FACTORS; THAT BEING THE WAY ONE HOLDS
18	AN OBJECT, THE WAY ONE RELEASES AN OBJECT, AND PROBABLY MORE IM-
19	PORTANTLY IN THIS CASE WOULD BE THE NATURE OF THE OBJECT ITSELF.
20	THIS HEADLINER WAS MADE OUT OF WOOD, A RATHER GRAINY-TYPE OF A
21	WOOD, AND THEREFORE IT WAS VERY HARD TO FIND AN IDENTIFIABLE
22	FINGERPRINT ON THE WOOD ITSELF.
23	MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, AT THIS TIME I OFFER PRO-
24	POSED EXHIBIT 36.
25	MR. COOPER: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
26	THE COURT: SAME WILL BE RECEIVED.
27	MR. HARMON: THANK YOU.
28	
29	BY MR. HARMON:
30	
31	Q OFFICER TRUSZKOWSKI, DURING YOUR INVESTIGA-
32	TION OF THE INTERIOR OF THE VAN, SHOWING YOU STATE'S EXHIBITS"1

2

3

ß

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

DID YOU PERSONALLY OBTAIN A CERTAIN FINGERPRINT FROM THE DEFENDANT SAMUEL HOWARD?

- A I DID.
- Q WAS THIS DONE IN THE CLARK COUNTY JAIL?
- A IT WAS.
- Q WILL YOU DESCRIBE YOUR PROCEDURE IN OBTAINING A CERTAIN FINGERPRINTS FROM THE DEFENDANT SAMUEL HOWARD.
 - A I DID.
 - Q WAS THIS DONE IN THE CLARK COUNTY JAIL?
 - A IT WAS.
- Q WILL YOU DESCRIBE YOUR PROCEDURE IN OBTAINING A CERTAIN EXEMPLAR FINGERPRINTS?
 - A THE PROCEDURE ITSELF IS RATHER SIMPLE.

WITH THE COOPERATION OF THE INDIVIDUAL,
YOU MERELY TAKE ONE FINGER AT A TIME, PLACE THEM ON A -- ON A
SURFACE THAT HAS FINGERPRINT INK ON IT, AND ROLL THE FINGER FROM
ONE SIDE TO THE OTHER. THE INKED FINGER IS THEN PLACED ON A
EXEMPLAR CARD WHERE IT IS AGAIN ROLLED FROM END -- END TO END.

THIS SHOULD BE A GOOD READABLE IMPRESSION.

- Q HAVE YOU, IN FACT, BROUGHT CERTAIN LATENT FINGERPRINT AND THUMB PRINTS TO COURT WITH YOU TODAY WHICH YOU RECOVERED FROM THE DEFENDANT MR. HOWARD ON MARCH THE 2ND, 1983?
 - A YES, SIR.
 - Q WILL YOU PRODUCE THOSE, PLEASE.
 - A UH-HUH.
- MR, HARMON: YOUR HONOR, MAY WE HAVE THESE DOCU-MENTS MARKED AS THE STATE'S NEXT PROPOSED EXHIBIT?
 - THE COURT: SAME MAY BE MARKED.

WHAT'S THE NUMBER?

THE CLERK: 58.

MR. HARMON: MAY I HAVE THE COURT'S INDULGENCE.

MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR HONOR?

THE COURT: YOU MAY.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

__

19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32

Q OFFICER TRUSZKOWSKI, I AM SHOWING YOU NOW

A NUMBER OF DOCUMENTS MARKED COLLECTIVELY AS PROPOSED EXHIBIT 58.

CAN YOU IDENTIFY THEM?

A YES, SIR.

Q HOW DO YOU IDENTIFY PROPOSED EXHIBIT 58?

A BY THE DATE AND MY SIG- -- SIGNATURE AND MY

HANDWRITING.

BY MR. HARMON:

Q ARE THESE, IN FACT, LATENT FINGER AND THUMB PRINTS RECOVERED FROM THE DEFENDANT SAMUEL HOWARD ON MARCH THE 2ND, 1983?

A THEY'RE FINGER AND THUMB PRINTS RECOVERED

FROM MR. HOWARD.

Q WERE THESE RECOVERED FROM YOU PERSONALLY?

A YES, SIR.

Q DID YOU RECOVER PRINTS FROM BOTH THE LEFT

AND RIGHT HANDS?

A YES, SIR.

Q AND HOW ARE THE PRINTS FROM THE YARIOUS

HANDS DISTINGUISHED ON PROPOSED EXHIBIT 58?

A NOTATIONS ARE MADE ON EACH -- EACH CARD AS
TO THE HAND THAT THEY'RE FROM, OTHERWISE IT'S PLAINLY VISIBLE AS
TO WHICH HAND THIS EXEMPLAR COMES FROM.

Q AFTER YOU HAD OBTAINED THE VARIOUS FINGER AND THUMB PRINTS FROM BOTH THE LEFT AND RIGHT HANDS, WHICH ARE SHOWN IN STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 58, WAS MR. HOWARD ASKED TO SIGN THE -- THE VARIOUS EXEMPLAR PRINT CARDS?

A YES, HE WAS.

Q DID HE SIGN HIS NAME?

SHowar			•
۵. ۳۵	1	A INDEED HE DID.	
: [∏	2	Q TO EACH CARD?	
4998	3	A YES, SIR.	
) }	4	Q HOW MANY CARDS ARE THERE?	
	5	A FIVE CARDS.	,
	6	Q WAS THE SIGNATURE OF MR. HOWARD PLACED ON	
	7	EACH OF THESE FIVE CARDS IN YOUR PRESENCE?	
	8	A YES, SIR.	
<u> </u>	9	Q ARE THESE EXEMPLAR FINGER AND PALM PRINT	
:	10	CARDS IN SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME CONDITION NOW AS THEY WERE WHEN	
:	11	THEY WERE RECOVERED BY YOU ON MARCH THE 2ND, 1983?	
•	12	A YES, SIR.	<u> </u>
· :	13	Q O.K. THE COURT'S INDULGENCE, PLEASE.	
;	14	OFFICER TRUSZKOWSKI, ON APRIL THE 13TH,	
:	15	1983, DID YOU HAVE OCCASION TO GO TO THE ADDRESS OF 3288 EAST	
	16	RUSSELL ROAD FOR THE PURPOSES OF EXAMINING A 1977 DODGE VAN?	i i
	17	A YES, I DID.	
•	18	Q DID YOU LOCATE A 1977 DODGE VAN ON APRIL 13,	
•	19	1983, IN THE AREA OF 3288 EAST RUSSELL ROAD?	-
	20	A YES, SIR. THE VEHICLE WAS PARKED RIGHT IN	
	21	FRONT OF THE RESIDENCE.	
	22	Q WAS THAT LOCATION IN LAS VEGAS, CLARK COUNTY	
	23	STATE OF NEVADA?	
•	24	A ÝES, SIR.	
	25	Q DID YOU TAKE CERTAIN POLAROID PICTURES OF	
	26	THE VAN YOU OBSERVED ON APRIL 13, 1983?	
	27	A I DID.	
	28	Q WHAT THAT THE VEHICLE YOU HAD SEEN EARLIER	
• •	29 30	IN YOUR INVESTIGATION OF THIS CASE?	
•	31	A YES, SIR, IT WAS.	
•	32	Q .WHERE HAD YOU FIRST SEEN THE VEHICLE THAT	1683
		YOU SAW IN THE 3200 BLOCK OF EAST RUSSELL ROAD?	
		li –658–	1

? 8

9

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29 30

31 32 A I, AT FIRST, OBSERVED A VEHICLE ON MARCH 27, 1980, PURSUANT TO THIS INVESTIGATION.

Q HOW DID YOU KNOW IT WAS THE SAME VEHICLE WHICH YOU SAW BOTH ON MARCH 27, 1980 AND APRIL 13TH, 1983?

A I COMPARED THE -- WHAT'S KNOWN AS THE VIN NUMBER OR VEHICLE IDENTIFICATION NUMBER, AND FOUND THAT THE V-I-N NUMBER ON THE VEHICLE ON RUSSELL ROAD WAS, INDEED, THE SAME AS THE VEHICLE I EXAMINED ON MARCH 27TH, 1980.

Q WAS THE VEHICLE, IN TERMS OF APPEARANCE, IN THE SAME CONDITION OR HAS THERE BEEN SOME CHANGE?

A BASICALLY IT WAS THE SAME. THERE HAS BEEN SOME AL- -- ALTERATIONS AS FAR AS THE PAINT.

MR. HARMON: MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR

HONOR?

THE COURT: YOU MAY.

BY MR. HARMON:

Q OFFICER TRUSZKOWSKI, I AM SHOWING YOU NOW PROPOSED EXHIBITS 50 THROUGH 54. DO YOU RECOGNIZE THESE PHOTO-GRAPHS?

A YES, SIR.

Q WHAT IS THE BASIS OF YOUR IDENTIFICATION?

A THESE PHOTOGRAPHS ARE THE -- ARE THE POLAR-IZED PHOTOGRAPHS THAT I MADE ON APRIL 13TH, OF THIS MONTH, JUST THE OTHER DAY.

Q DO THESE PHOTOGRAPHS TRULY AND ACCURATELY

PORTRAY THE APPEARANCE OF THE VAN YOU OBSERVED ON APRIL 13, 1983,

IN THE 3200 BLOCK OF EAST RUSSELL ROAD?

A YES, SIR.

Q WHAT WAS YOUR PURPOSE IN TAKING THE POLAR-

OPEN THE DOOR. THAT'S LIKE A LITTLE BUTTON.

Q IN FACT, DOES PROPOSED EXHIBIT 57 SHOW THE CONTOUR OF THE DOOR KNOB ITSELF?

- A YES, IT DOES.
- Q AND HOW IS THAT, SIR?

A WHEN I LIFTED THE LATENT FINGERPRINT ON THE NIGHT OF THE 27TH I PLACED A PIECE OF FINGERPRINT TAPE OVER THE ENTIRE AREA PROVIDED BY THE BUTTON ITSELF. THIS PROVIDED A GOOD OUTLINE OF THE SURFACE FROM WHICH THE FINGERPRINT WAS LIFTED.

Q IN REGARDS TO PROPOSED EXHIBIT 50, IT SHOWS
THE PASSENGER'S SIDE OF THE VEHICLE WITH THE DOOR. SHUT; IS THAT
CORRECT?

A YES, SIR.

Q AT SOME POINT, AS PORTRAYED IN PROPOSED EXHIBITS 52 THROUGH 54, YOU OPENED ALL OF THE DOORS IN THE PASSENGER'S SIDE OF THE VAN; IS THAT CORRECT?

- A YES, SIR.
- Q WAS THAT FOR ILLUSTRATIVE PURPOSES?
- A THAT'S CORRECT.
- O WHAT WERE YOU ATTEMPTING TO PORTRAY?

A IN PROPOSED 54, THE PHOTOGRAPH WAS TAKEN TO SHOW THE EXTENT OF WHICH THE DOORS WERE OPEN.

ON PROPOSED 52 AND 53, THE PHOTOGRAPHS WERE SHOWN -- WERE SUPPOSED TO SHOW THE INTERIOR LOCK MECHANISM FOR THE CARGO DOORS

MR. HARMON: THANK YOU.

YOUR HONOR, AT THIS TIME I WILL OFFER PRO-

MR. COOPER: WE'D HAVE NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: SAME WILL BE RECEIVED.

MR. HARMON: THANK YOU.

COURT'S INDULGENCE, PLEASE.

9

10

8

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29 30

31 32 MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR HONOR?

THE COURT: YOU MAY.

BY MR. HARMON:

OFFICER TRUSZKOWSKI, I AM SHOWING YOU NOW PROPOSED EXHIBITS 22 AND 23. ARE THESE ADDITIONAL PHOTOS OF THE 1977 DODGE VAN; THE 1977 DODGE VAN WHICH YOU EXAMINED ON MARCH 27TH, 1980, AND WHICH YOU LATER PHOTOGRAPHED ON APRIL 13, 1983?

YES, SIR.

WHERE WERE THESE PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN, PROPOSED

EXHIBITS 22 AND 23?

THESE PHOTOGRAPHS WERE TAKEN IN THE METRO-POLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT CRIME LAB ROOM.

AT THE POINT WHERE YOU CONDUCTED YOUR INVES-TIGATION AND PROCESSING OF THE INSIDE OF THE VAN?

THAT'S CORRECT.

MR. HARMON: I OFFER PROPOSED EXHIBITS 22 AND 23,

YOUR HONOR.

MR. COOPER: I HAVE NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: SAME WILL BE RECEIVED.

BY MR. HARMON:

OFFICER TRUSZKOWSKI, WERE YOU ABLE TO DETERMINE, AT THE TIME YOU DEVELOPED AND LIFTED THE PARTIAL LATENT PRINT, WHICH IS SHOWN IN THE CARD PROPOSED EXHIBIT 57, AND WERE YOU ABLE TO DETERMINE AT THE TIME WHAT FINGER PLACED THE PRINT AT THAT LOCATION?

NOT AT THAT TIME, NO.

HAVE YOU HAD OCCASION TO SUBSEQUENTLY EXAMINE THAT PARTICULAR PARTIAL LATENT PRINT?

 A YES, SIR.

Q WERE YOU ABLE AT THAT TIME TO DETERMINE,
IN YOUR MIND AT LEAST, THE POSITION OF THE HAND OR FINGER WHEN
THAT PRINT WAS PLACED AT THAT LOCATION?

A WE WERE ABLE TO -- OR I WAS ABLE TO MAKE A -- A DETERMINATION WHEN THE LATENT PRINT WAS IDENTIFIED.

Q DO YOU HAVE AN OPINION AS TO WHAT THE POSI-

A YEAH. IT'S -- IT'S APPARENT THAT THE LEFT
THUMB WAS PLACED ON THE BUTTON PORTION OF THE HANDLE, WITH THE
TOP OF THE THUMB TOWARDS THE LEFT; AS YOU WOULD LOOK STRAIGHT ON
AT THE DOOR KNOB, THE TOP OF THAT THUMB WOULD BE EXTENDING TOWARD
THE LEFT.

MR. HARMON: MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS AGAIN, YOUR HONOR?

THE COURT: YOU MAY.

BY MR. HARMON:

Q I'M SHOWING YOU STATE'S EXHIBIT 51. WHEN
YOU SAY THE TOP OF THE THUMB WOULD BE EXTENDING TOWARDS THE LEFT,
WILL YOU INDICATE IN WHAT DIRECTION?

A AS YOU WOULD HOLD THE PHOTOGRAPH IN FRONT
OF YOU, AS I AM DOING-RIGHT NOW (INDICATING). AFTER EXAMINATION
OF THE LATENT FINGERPRINT, IT'S APPARENT THAT THE THUMB WAS PLACED
ON THE BUTTON SO THAT THE TOP OR THE END OF THE THUMB WOULD BE
TOWARD THE LEFT, AS -- AS YOU LOOK AT THE PHOTOGRAPH ITSELF.

Q I WOULD LIKE YOU TO PLACE AN ARROW THEN IN THAT DIRECTION. AND AT THE END OF THE ARROW, WOULD YOU WRITE "END OF THUMB," PLEASE?

A (WHEREUPON, WITNESS COMPLIES.)

Q NOW, HAVE YOU PLACED THAT JUST TO THE LEFT

		\	
S H.o			
			
ρ. . ΤΟ	1	A THAT'S CORRECT.	
## 4	2	Q HOW LONG WAS THAT COURSE? HOW LONG DID IT	
4915	3	LAST?	
	4	A WELL, THE COURSE ITSELF IS QUITE EXTENSIVE.	
•	5	IT'S ROUGHLY 90 OR 100 LESSONS, BUT NOT ALL OF IT PERTAINS TO	
	6	FINGERPRINTS.	
į	7	Q I SEE.	
<u> </u>	8	AND DID YOU HAVE ANY OTHER CORRESPONDENCE	•
4	9	COURSES?	
	10	A NO, SIR.	
	11	Q YOU INDICATED YOU HAD A SEMINAR IN VIRGINIA;	
ings.	12	IS THAT CORRECT?	
i i	13	A YES.	
ì	14	Q WHEN WOULD THAT HAVE BEEN?	
i	15	A THAT WAS I BELIEVE IN 1978.	
÷ .	16	Q AND HOW LONG WAS THAT SEMINAR?	
; ;	17	A 40 HOURS.	
	18	Q UH-HUH. DID YOU HAVE ANY OTHER TRAINING,	
<u> </u>	19	FORMAL TRAINING, TO QUALIFY YOU AS A FINGERPRINT EXPERT?	
i i	20	A WELL, LIKE I SAID, I'VE HAD INSURREPTITIOUS	
	21	(SIC) TRAINING IN THE LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT,	
3	22	ALSO FROM SENIOR OFFICER IN THE BUREAU, AS WELL AS HAVING	
a Lambarra	23	HAVING EXAMINED A LARGE NUMBER OF CASES.	
	24	Q WHAT DID YOU WERE YOU EMPLOYED WITH METRO	
:	25	PRIOR TO BECOMING AN IDENTIFICATION SPECIALIST?	
	26 1 27	A NO, SIR.	
:	28 i	Q 1 SEE.	
	29	HAVE YOU HAD ANY PRIOR EXPERIENCE AS A	
	30	IDENTIFICATION SPECIALIST PRIOR TO JOINING METRO, THAT IS	
:	31	Q (CONTINUING) WOULD YOU SAY THAT THE	
,	32	GREATEST PART OF YOUR EXPERTISE, OR THE ACQUIRING OF YOUR EXPER-	1690
		-665-	

TISE, CAME THROUGH ON-THE-JOB TRAINING? YES, SIR. Α I SEE.

Q

NOW, BASICALLY WHAT YOU DO, OFFICER, IS WHEN YOU GO OUT AND YOU INVESTIGATE A CRIME SCENE, YOU TESTIFIED THAT'S IT'S YOUR JOB TO -- TO LOOK FOR EVIDENCE, POSSIBLE EVI-DENCE; IS THAT RIGHT?

- Α CORRECT.
- AND WHEN YOU FIND IT YOU TRY AND PRESERVE IT!? 0
- THAT'S CORRECT.
- Q FOR LATER USE?
- YES.
- I SEE. Q

AND PART OF THAT INCLUDES LOOKING FOR

AND ONCE YOU GET THOSE-PRINTS, YOU, IF YOU'VE

LATENT FINGERPRINTS?

- CORRECT.
- IS THAT RIGHT? Q
- YEAH.

GOT A SUSPECT IN MIND, GET THE EXEMPLARS FROM THE SUSPECT, THAT IS HIS PRINTS FROM EACH OF THE FINGERS AND THE THUMB AND PALM AND SO FORTH, AND THEN YOU COMPARE THE LATENT PRINT THAT YOU RECOVER-ED FROM THE CRIME SCENE WITH THE PRINTS YOU GOT FROM THE SUBJECT; IS THAT RIGHT?

YES, SIR.

AND THAT'S ESSENTIALLY WHAT YOU DID IN THIS Q CASE; IS THAT CORRECT?

> YES, I DID DO THAT IN THIS CASE. Α

I SEE. Q

YOU COMPARED THE LATENT PRINT YOU TESTI-FIED THAT WAS LIFTED FROM THE DOOR KNOB, THE CARGO DOORS, WITH THE -- WITH THE PRINT YOU GOT FROM MR. SAMUEL HOWARD WHILE HE WAS

IN JAIL: IS THAT CORRECT? I COMPARED THEM AGAINST AN EXEMPLAR FROM MR. SAMUEL (SIC), YES. I SEE. Q AND DID YOU PERSONALLY COMPARE THE LATENT. AND THE EXEMPLAR? AT ONE TIME, YES. NOW, YOU TESTIFIED, I THINK ON DIRECT EXAMI-NATION YOU WERE ASKED THE QUESTION IF THERE WAS ANYTHING IN PAR-TICULAR, WELL, IN RECOVERING THIS PRINT. I THINK YOUR TESTIMONY WAS THAT IT DEVELOPED QUITE READILY. YES, SIR. ALL RIGHT. Q IS THERE -- YOU FILED REPORTS AS A RESULT OF YOUR INVOLVEMENT IN THIS CASE, DIDN'T YOU? YES, SIR. YOU FILED TWO REPORTS; IS THAT RIGHT? I FILED A CRIME -- CRIME SCENE REPORT AND I 19 THINK TWO PROPERTY REPORTS, WHICH MY NAME WAS ON EACH. I SEE. Q THE CRIME SCENE REPORT CONSISTS OF SOME THREE AND A HALF PAGES OR SO; IS THAT RIGHT? APPROXIMATELY. I SEE. Q IN ANY OF YOUR REPORTS, DID YOU MENTION 26 ANYTHING ABOUT THE FACT THAT THIS PRINT, THIS LATENT PRINT, DEVELOPED READILY? 28 Α NO, SIR. 29 AND EVEN THOUGH IT'S BEEN OVER THREE YEARS Q 30 AGO, YOU REMEMBER THAT THAT ONE PRINT DEVELOPED READILY? 31 YES, I DO.

HOW MANY FINGERPRINTS, LATENT FINGERPRINTS,

-667-

16.92

1	HAVE YOU HAD SINCE THE RECOVER OF THIS ONE ON MARCH 27, 1980?	
2	JUST AN ESTIMATE, PLEASE.	
3	A OH, MAYBE OVER THE PAST TWO AND A HALF YEARS	
4	THREE YEARS?	
5	Q YES.	
6	A HARD TO SAY. HUNDREDS.	
7	Q WOULD YOU BE IN A POSITION TO STATE WHETHER	
8	ANY OF THOSE PRINTS YOU LATER RECOVERED DEVELOPED READILY?	
9	A A CERTAIN NUMBER OF THEM, YES.	
10	Q YOU DID EXAMINE THE INTERIOR AND EXTERIOR OF	
11	THE VAN FOR PRINTS; IS THAT RIGHT?	
12	A YES, SIR.	
13	Q AND IT'S A FACT THAT YOU RECOVERED OTHER	
14	LATENT FINGERPRINTS, DIDN'T YOU?	
15	A YES, SIR.	
16	Q UH-HUH. WHERE WERE THESE PRINTS RECOVERED	
17	FROM?	
18	A I WOULD HAVE TO CONSULT WITH THE LIST CARDS.	
 19	Q WOULD YOU PLEASE?	
20	A CERTAINLY.	
21	FROM THE INTERIOR SURFACE OF THE LEFT	
22	REAR WINDOW.	
23	Q 0.K.	
24	LET ME ASK YOU ABOUT THAT PRINT. DID	
25	THAT PRINT DEVELOP READILY, QUITE READILY?	
26	A YES, IT DID.	
27	Q WOULD YOU GO ON, PLEASE?	•
28	A THE INTERIOR SURFACE OF THE LEFT WING WIN-	
29	DOW.	
30	Q DID THAT PRINT DEVELOP QUITE READILY?	
31	A NO, SIR.	1 / 0 7
32	Q IS THERE ANY MENTION ON YOUR REPORT THAT	1693
	-668-	

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

PRINTS THAT YOU LIFTED WHICH INDICATES HOW THE DUST SETTLED, OR IS THERE ANYTHING HERE THAT WOULD INDICATE TO YOU FROM YOUR REPORT AS TO WHETHER THESE PRINTS DEVELOPED --

> NO, SIR. I HAVE NO SUCH DETERMINATION. THAT'S ABOUT THE EXTENT OF IT.

I SEE.

SO COULD YOU TELL US HOW MANY PRINTS HAVE YOU IDENTIFIED HERE THAT YOU LIFTED FROM IN OR ON THE VANGIN OUESTION?

IT MUST HAVE BEEN ABOUT EIGHT OR SO. DIDN'T KEEP COUNT.

DID YOU COMPARE ALL OF THESE PRINTS THAT YOU LIFTED, THESE EIGHT PRINTS OR SO, WITH THE EXEMPLARS THAT YOU GOT FROM MR. HOWARD?

NO, SIR.

WHO DID THE PRINT ON THE EXTERIOR SURFACE OF THE LEFT DOOR, BELOW THE -- THE LEFT DOOR ADJACENT TO THE HANDLE? IS THAT WHERE YOU LIFTED ONE OF THE PRINTS?

A YES. THERE'S NO INDICATION ON THE CARD THAT

IT'S BEEN IDENTIFIED TO ANYONE.

WHAT ABOUT THE INTERIOR? I THINK YOUR. TESTI-MONY WAS THAT YOU'VE LIFTED SOME POINT FROM THE INTERIOR SURFACE OF THE LEFT WING WINDOW.

RIGHT.

THAT'S INSIDE THE VAN?

YES.

AGAIN, THERE ARE NO INDICATIONS THAT THESE HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED BY ANYONE.

I THINK YOUR TESTIMONY WAS ALSO THAT THERE WAS AN INTERIOR -- A PRINT FROM AN INTERIOR PANEL OF THE LEFT FRONT DOOR; IS THAT RIGHT?

YES, SIR.

RIGHT?

3

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11 12

> 13 14

NO.

15 16

> 17 18

19

20 21

22 23

> 24 25

> 26

27 28

29

30 31

32

Q UH-HUH.

WHEN YOU SAY THAT IT WAS RELATIVELY NEW,

ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT 24 HOURS? 48 HOURS? LONGER? WHAT DO YOU

MEAN BY THAT -- WITH THAT TERM, THAT TERM "RELATIVELY NEW"?

A I HESITATED TO PUT A CERTAIN LENGTH OF TIME ON IT. THERE WAS ALOT OF OTHER FACTORS INVOLVED, INCLUDING THE WEATHER, HUMIDITY, THINGS LIKE THAT.

Q SO AS. TO THIS PARTICULAR PRINT YOU LIFTED
FROM THE BOARD OR NOT, YOU CANNOT SAY WHETHER THAT PRINT WAS
PLACED THERE TEN HOURS BEFORE YOU EXAMINED IT OR 24 HOURS BEFORE
YOU EXAMINED IT, CAN YOU?

A I WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO MAKE THAT DISCREPANCY,

Q [SEE.

NOW, ON THIS VAN THAT YOU EXAMINED, YOU DON'T HAVE TO ENTER THE CARGO DOORS IN ORDER TO GET TO THE DRIVER'S SEAT OF THE VAN, DO YOU?

A NO, \$IR.

THE AREA OF THE GEARSHIFT IN THE VAN AND THE
INSTRUMENT PANEL, WAS THAT ALSO EXAMINED FOR POSSIBLE PRINTS?

A YES, SIR.

Q WHEN YOU SAY A PARTIAL LATENT PRINT, WHAT DOES THAT MEAN, COMPARED TO A FULL -- SAY A FULL LATENT PRINT?

A SORT OF ODD, BECAUSE IT WOULD BE VIRTUALLY IMPOSSIBLE TO GET A FULL PRINT BECAUSE THAT -- THAT WOULD BE RECOVERING ANY AVAILABLE RIDGE STRUCTURE ON ONE'S FINGERTIPS.

Q LET ME ASK YOU: WHAT WAS THE SIZE OF THE PRINT THAT YOU LIFTED FROM THE DOOR KNOB OF THE VAN?

A THE ONES FROM THE CARGO DOOR?

Q YES.

A ROUGHLY, UH, ROUGHLY THREE-EIGHTH OF AN INCH

BY AN INCH.

A	YES,	SIR
MR.	COOPER	I

MR. COOPER: I HAVE NO ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS OF THE WITNESS, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: REDIRECT?

MR. HARMON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

* REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. HARMON:

Q OFFICER TRUSZKOWSKI, IN CONNECTION WITH YOUR INVESTIGATION REGARDING CERTAIN LATENT PRINTS, WHICH WERE RECOVERED FROM THE VAN SHOWN IN STATE'S EXHIBITS 1 THROUGH 4 AND 6, WERE EFFORTS MADE TO ELIMINATE ANY PERSON WHO MAY HAVE HAD ACCESS ON A DAILY BASIS TO THE VEHICLE?

A I KNOW THAT EFFORTS WERE MADE TO ELIMINATE MR. MONAHAN'S.

O GEORGE STEVEN MONAHAN?

A YES, SIR.

Q IN FACT, WERE SOME OF THE LATENTS RECOVERED . MATCHED TO THE PRINTS OF GEORGE STEVEN MONAHAN?

A THAT'S CORRECT.

Q COULD YOU KNOW WHETHER EFFORTS WERE MADE TO COMPARE ANY OF THE LATENTS RECOVERED WITH THOSE OF MR. MONAHAN'S WIFE, MARY LOU MONAHAN?

A I HAVE NO PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE OF THAT.

Q WHAT ABOUT THE STEP-DAUGHTER OF MR. MONAHAN,
THE NATURAL DAUGHTER OF GEORGE STEVEN MONAHAN, MARY CATHERINE
MONAHAN?

A I HAVE NO IDEA OF THAT.

Q DO YOU HAVE ANY KNOWLEDGE OF THE ELIMINATION
OF PRINTS PERHAPS PLACED THERE BY BARBARA ZEMAN, THE WIFE OF --

17'00

12 13

11

15 16

14

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28 29

30 31

32

Α NO, SIR.

DO YOU KNOW IF ANY FRIENDS OR BUSINESS ASSOCIATES OF MR. MONAHAN HAD THEIR KNOWN PRINTS COMPARED WITH LATENTS REPORTS FROM THE VAN?

I HAVE NO IDEA.

WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN AN IDENTIFI-Q ABLE AND UNIDENTIFIABLE LATENT PRINT?

WELL, BRIEFLY, TO MAKE AN IDENTIFICATION OF -- OF A LATENT FINGERPRINT COMPARED TO A KNOWN EXEMPLAR YOU HAVE TO CONSIDER SEVERAL FACTORS: THAT BEING THE FLOW OF THE FINGER-PRINT RIDGE PATTERNS, THE DIFFERENT CHARACTERISTICS. WE KNOW RESULTING CHARACTERISTICS. THESE ARE OFTEN CALLED POINTS --PHARIN POINTS. THE NUMBER OF THESE POINTS THAT COINCIDE WITH EACH OTHER ON THE LATENT AND THE KNOWN EXEMPLAR. THE RELATION OF THESE POINTS IN SPACE, THAT IS, HOW DO THEY LOOK TO EACH OTHER WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE FINGERPRINTS THEMSELVES, ARE THEY IN THE SAME ORDER? DO THEY HAVE THE SAME SPECIAL RELATIONSHIP? AND PROBABLY JUST AS IMPORTANT IS THAT THERE MUST BE NO INCONSISTEN-CIES BETWEEN THE LATENT AND THE KNOWN EXEMPLAR THAT CANNOT BE EXEMPT.

NOW, YOU WERE ASKED, ON CROSS EXAMINATION, Q ABOUT SOME TYPE OF PARTIAL PRINT RECOVERED FROM THE BASE OF A TABLE INSIDE THE VAN.

YES.

WAS THAT AN IDENTIFIABLE"LATENT PRINT?

I BELIEVE IT WAS IDENTIFIABLE. OFFICER

CONNELL WAS, INDEED, THE ONE WHO LIFTED THAT.

WERE THERE ANY IDENTIFIABLE PRINTS RECOVERED Q FROM THE STEERING WHEEL OF THE VAN OR FROM THE GEARSHIFT?

NO, SIR.

DO YOU HAVE ANY EXPLANATION FOR THAT? Q

ONLY THAT IN MY EXPERIENCE IT'S AN AREA

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2 I

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

WHERE YOU WOULD NORMALLY FIND ALOT OF FINGERPRINTS, PROBABLY BECAUSE THE OBJECTS ARE HANDLED SO EXTENSIVELY THAT ONE PRINT TENDS TO OBSCURE THE NEXT.

NOW. AS I UNDERSTAND YOUR TESTIMONY, YOU CANNOT SAY WHEN THE PRECISE MOMENT WAS THAT ANY OF THE PRINTS WERE PLACED AT THE LOCATION WHERE LATENT PRINTS WERE DEVELOPED INSIDE THE VAN OR OUTSIDE THE VAN; IS THE CORRECT?

THAT'S CORRECT.

WHAT ARE SOME OF THE FACTORS THAT ARE SIG-NIFICANT IN DETERMINING HOW LONG A LATENT PRINT IS GOING TO REMAIN TO WHERE IT WILL DEVELOP WHEN YOU SUBJECT IT TO YOUR PROCESS?

ONE OF THE MOST IMPORTANT FACTORS, I BELIEVE, IS WEATHER, THAT BEING THE HUMIDITY ALSO. CERTAINLY IF IT IS RAINING OUTSIDE THAT WOULD TEND TO DESTROY FINDING FINGERPRINTS THAT YOU WOULD FIND.

ALSO, FINGERPRINTS, PARTICULARLY ON THE OUTSIDE OF SOMETHING LIKE A VEHICLE, TEND TO LOSE THE MOISTURE THAT'S CONTAINED WITHIN THE OILS. AS TIME GOES ON, THIS MOISTURE EVAPORATES. AS THIS EVAPORATION OCCURS, IT BECOMES A LITTLE BIT MORE DIFFICULT TO RAISE THE FINGERPRINT WITH THE POWDER.

MR. HARMON: THANK YOU.

THAT CONCLUDES REDIRECT EXAMINATION, YOUR

HONOR.

THE COURT: RECROSS?

MR. COOPER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

RECROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. COOPER:

OFFICER TRUSZKOWSKI, HOW MANY -- HOW MANY --Q

1702

-677-

32

1703

YES, SIR.

I SEE.

Q

- li	
1	DID YOU COMPARE ALL OF THE PRINTS THAT
2	YOU LIFTED WITH MR. HOWARD'S EXEMPLAR CARD?
3	A NO, SIR.
4	Q ARE ALL OF THE PRINTS THAT YOU LIFTED,
5	IDENTIFIABLE PRINTS?
6	A YES, SIR.
7	q ин-нин.
8	WHAT ABOUT THE PRINTS THAT OFFICER
9	CONNELL LIFTED? ARE THOSE IDENTIFIABLE PRINTS AS WELL?
10	A WELL, I WOULD HESITATE TO SAY THAT UNDER
11	THESE CONDITIONS I WOULD PREFER TO LOOK AT THEM IN THE LAB, BUT
12	THEY DO INDEED APPEAR TO BE.
13	Q I SEE.
14	FROM WHAT YOU CAN OBSERVE THERE LOOKING
15	AT THEM ON THE STAND?
16	A YES.
17	Q I UNDERSTAND.
18	DO YOU KNOW IF THE PRINTS THAT WERE
19	LIFTED FROM THE VAN, EITHER BY YOU OR OFFICER CONNELL, IF THEY
20	WERE COMPARED WITH ANYONE'S EXEMPLAR CARD OTHER THAN MR. HOWARD'S
21	A MR. MONAHAN'S.
22	Q WELL, MRS. MONAHAN AND DR. MONAHAN?
23	A I HAVE NO IDEA.
24	Q YOU DON'T KNOW THAT THEY WERE COMPARED WITH
25	DR. MONAHAN'S WIFE'S FINGERPRINT EXEMPLAR?
26	A NO, SIR.
27	Q IN OTHERWORDS, YOU DIDN'T GO TO DR. MONAHAN'
28	WIFE AND GET AN EXEMPLAR AS YOU DID WITH MR. HOWARD, AND COMPARE
29	THE PRINTS TO SEE IF ANY OF THOSE PRINTS WERE HERS?
30	A NO, SIR, I DID NOT.
31	Q WHAT ABOUT HER SISTER, BARBARA ZEMAN? DID
32	YOU DO THAT WITH HER?

SHowar	·		
ρ	1	A I DID NOT, NO.	
PE1	2	Q DO YOU KNOW IF IT WAS, IN FACT, DONE?	
493	3	A I HAVE NO KNOWLEDGE OF IT.	
(C)	4	MR. COOPER: 1 SEE.	
-629-	5	I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER OF THE WITNESS, YOUR	
3 1	6	HONOR.	
and the amount of the second o	7	MR. HARMON: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.	
	8	THE COURT: YOU'RE EXCUSED, SIR.	
*	9	THE WITNESS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	
: i	10	(WHEREUPON, THE WITNESS WAS	
‡ 1	11	EXCUSED.)	
magazin in alle egile i	12	THE COURT: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, WE ARE GOING TO	
	13	BE IN RECESS UNTIL 1:30 THIS AFTERNOON.	
:	14	DURING THIS RECESS, YOU ARE	
;	15	ADMONISHED NOT TO CONVERSE AMONG	
184	16	YOURSELVES OR WITH ANYONE ELSE ON	
1 2	17	ANY SUBJECT CONNECTED WITH THIS	
٠ ﴿ دُ	18	TRIAL, OR READ, WATCH OR LISTEN	
<u>*</u>	19	TO ANY REPORT OF OR COMMENTARY	
3	20	ON THIS TRIAL WITH ANY PERSON	
· .	21	CONNECTED WITH THIS TRIAL BY ANY	
:	22	MEDIUM OF INFORMATION, INCLUDING	
}	23 24	WITHOUT LIMITATION, NEWSPAPER,	
•	25	TELEVISION OR RADIO OR FORM OR	
:	26	EXPRESS ANY OPINION ON ANY	
	27	SUBJECT CONNECTED WITH THIS	
,	28	TRIAL UNTIL THE CASE IS FINALLY SUBMITTED TO YOU.	
٠ :	29	WE'LL BE IN RECESS UNTIL 1:30 THIS	
•	30	AFTERNOON.	
	31		
	32	••	1705
		-680	

	1
1	
2	
3	
4	
5.	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	Ŧ
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	1
27	
28	
29	- 1
30	١
31	۱
32	•
	- 1

Δ	THAT	15 0	ORR	FCT.
~	11101	J		

Q DR. GREEN, WHAT IS YOUR BUSINESS OR PROFES-SION?

A I'M A PHYSICIAN. MY SPECIALTY IS FORENSIC PATHOLOGY.

Q WHAT IS FORENSIC PATHOLOGY?

A FORENSIC PATHOLOGY IS A SUB-SPECIALTY IN THE GENERAL FIELD OF PATHOLOGY, WHICH, OF COURSE, IS ONE OF THE MANY SPECIALTIES IN THE WHOLE FIELD OF MEDICINE.

FORENSIC PATHOLOGY DEALS WITH THE INVESTIGATION OF SUDDEN, UNEXPECTED AND VIOLENT DEATH. THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE FORENSIC PATHOLOGIST, WHO IS GENERALLY A MEDICAL
EXAMINER OR CORONER, BY WHATEVER TITLE HE'S CALLED, IS TO INVESTIGATE THE DEATHS IN HIS AREA OF JURISDICTION IN WHICH THERE IS
NO PHYSICIAN IN ATTENDANCE; FOR EXAMPLE, SOMEONE DIES ON THE
STREET OR AT HOME, ELSEWHERE, OUTSIDE A HOSPITAL; AND TO INVESTIGATE, OH, VIOLENT DEATHS, WHETHER THEY BE ACCIDENTAL, SUICIDAL,
OR HOMICIDAL.

Q DR. GREEN, WHAT TYPE OF FORMAL TRAINING DO
YOU HAVE IN YOUR SPECIAL FIELD OF FORENSIC PATHOLOGY?

A I ATTENDED THE UNIVERSITY OF OREGON MEDICAL SCHOOL IN PORTLAND, AND RECEIVED MY DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF MEDICINE IN 1959.

I SERVED ONE YEAR OF INTERNSHIP AT ST.

MARY'S HOSPITAL IN SAN FRANCISCO. AND THEN ONE YEAR OF POST

GRADUATE OR RESIDENCY TRAINING IN THE FIELD OF OBSTETRICS AND

GYNECOLOGY, THAT ALSO WAS AT ST. MARY'S.

I THEN SPENT THE NEXT TWO YEARS IN RESIDENCY TRAINING IN THE FIELD OF PATHOLOGY AT ST. JOSEPH'S HOSPITAL IN SAN FRANCISCO.

IN 1963 I MOVED TO HOUSTON, TEXAS, AND SPENT THE NEXT THREE YEARS IN POST GRADUATE TRAINING IN PATHOLOGY

1.707

5

7

A 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29 30

31

32

AT THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS, AND ANDERSON HOSPITAL AND TUMOR INSTITUTE.

FOLLOWING THAT, 1 WAS APPOINTED TO THE STAFF OF ANDERSON HOSPITAL AS ASSISTANT PATHOLOGIST AND ASSISTANT PROFESSOR OF PATHOLOGY IN THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SYSTEM.

I REMAINED ON THE STAFF THERE FOR A LITTLE OVER TWO YEARS. IN SEPTEMBER OF 1968, I JOINED THE HARRIS COUNTY MEDICAL EXAMINER'S DEPARTMENT IN HOUSTON. I SERVED WITH THAT DEPARTMENT FOR APPROXIMATELY SEVEN YEARS, FIRST AS AN ASSISTANT MEDICAL EXAMINER AND LATER AS DEPUTY CHIEF MEDICAL EXAMINER.

IN 1975 I MOVED HERE TO LAS VEGAS TO JOIN THE CORONER MEDICAL EXAMINER DEPARTMENT HERE AS CHIEF MEDICAL EXAMINER.

I AM LICENSED TO PRACTICE MEDICINE HERE IN NEVADA, OF COURSE. I MAINTAIN MY LICENSES IN TEXAS AND CALI-FORNIA.

PATHOLOGY IN THE FIELDS OF ANATOMIC PATHOLOGY, CLINICAL PATHOLOGY AND FORENSIC PATHOLOGY. AND I AM A MEMBER OF MOST OF THE PROFES-SIONAL ORGANIZATIONS WHICH DEAL IN ONE WAY OR ANOTHER WITH THE PROBLEMS OF FORENSIC PATHOLOGY.

I AM CERTIFIED BY THE AMERICAN BOARD OF

DR. GREEN, HAVE YOU BEEN THE CHIEF MEDICAL Q EXAMINER IN CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA, SINCE 1975?

> Α YES, SIR.

DURING THE PERIOD OF TIME THAT YOU SERVED AS A MEDICAL EXAMINER, BOTH IN HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS, AND IN CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA, HAVE YOU PERFORMED A LARGE NUMBER OF **AUTOPSY EXAMINATIONS?**

> YES, I HAVE. Α

CAN YOU ESTIMATE ABOUT HOW MANY, SIR? Q

WELL, AS A ROUGH ESTIMATE, AND I HOPE IT

13 14

12

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

> 28 29

30 31

32

SHOULD BE, PROBABLY AROUND NINE THOUSAND.

AND HAVE A SUBSTANTIAL NUMBER OF THOSE DEALT Q WITH GUNSHOT WOUNDS?

YES.

WHAT IS AN AUTOPSY EXAMINATION?

AN AUTOPSY IS AN EXAMINATION OF A DECEASED HUMAN BODY. IT IS PERFORMED FOR THE PURPOSE OF DETERMINING THE CAUSE OF DEATH, AND ALSO FOR THE PURPOSE OF DETERMINING THE GENERAL STATE OF HEALTH OF THE INDIVIDUAL PRIOR TO HIS OR HER DEATH.

WE'RE NOT ONLY INTERESTED IN THE IMMEDI-ATE THING, WHATEVER IT WAS, THAT DESTROYED THIS PERSON'S LIFE, BUT ALSO IN ANY OTHER DISEASE OR INJURY OR PROCESS OF ANY KIND, WHICH MIGHT IN SOME FASHION, CONTRIBUTE TO DEATH OR PERHAPS IS SIMPLY THERE AND HAS NOTHING WHATEVER TO DO WITH THE PERSON'S DEATH.

SO, WE'RE LOOKING AT NOT ONLY THE IMMEDI-ATE CAUSE BUT THE TOTAL STATE OF HEALTH OF THE INDIVIDUAL IN QUESTION.

DR. GREEN, HAVE YOU HAD OCCASION, DURING Q YOUR CAREER, TO QUALIFY AS AN EXPERT IN COURTS OF LAW?

YES.

IN YOUR SPECIALTY AS A DOCTOR OF MEDICINE AND FORENSIC PATHOLOGY?

CAN YOU ESTIMATE ABOUT HOW MANY TIMES YOU HAVE QUALIFIED AS AN EXPERT IN YOUR SPECIAL FIELD AND IN WHAT JURISDICTIONS?

AGAIN, I HAVE NO SOLID SET OF NUMBERS. I'VE BEEN QUALIFIED AS AN EXPERT IN THIS AREA MANY HUNDREDS OF TIMES IN THE DISTRICT COURTS OF TEXAS, PARTICULARLY IN HARRIS COUNTY. I'VE BEEN QUALIFIED IN A NUMBER OF SURROUNDING COUNTIES IN SOUTH

 TEXAS. I'VE BEEN QUALIFIED HERE IN CLARK COUNTY IN THE JUSTICE COURTS, BEFORE THE GRAND JURY, BEFORE THE DISTRICT COURTS. I'VE TESTIFIED IN OTHER JURISDICTIONS HERE IN NEVADA; NYE COUNTY, LINCOLN COUNTY, WHITE PINE COUNTY. I'VE TESTIFIED IN -- HAVE BEEN ACCEPTED AS AN EXPERT IN ONE CASE IN UTAH, A COUPLE OF CASES IN ARIZONA AND ALSO BEFORE THE FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT OF ARIZONA.

Q DR. GREEN, DIRECTING YOUR ATTENTION TO
MARCH 28, 1980, DID YOU PERFORM AN AUTOPSY EXAMINATION ON THAT
DATE?

A YES, I DID.

Q WHERE WAS THE EXAMINATION PERFORMED AND WILL YOU DESCRIBE THE INDIVIDUAL UPON WHOM IT WAS PERFORMED?

A THE EXAMINATION WAS PERFORMED IN THE CLARK COUNTY CORONER MEDICAL EXAMINER FACILITY. THIS IS LOCATED AT 1704 PINTO LANE, HERE IN LAS VEGAS.

WHAT WAS THE SECOND -- THE EXTERNAL --

Q YES. WILL YOU DESCRIBE THE INDIVIDUAL UPON WHOM THE EXAMINATION WAS PERFORMED?

thank You, —-

THIS WAS AN ADULT WHITE MALE. HIS AGE
HAD BEEN REPORTED TO US AS 39. HIS GENERAL APPEARANCE WAS
ENTIRELY CONSISTENT WITH THAT AGE.

THE BODY WAS APPROXIMATELY SIX FEET THREE TALL, WEIGHED 189 OR 190 POUNDS.

Q DO YOU RECALL THE PERSONS WHO WERE PRESENT DURING YOUR EXAMINATION ON MARCH 28, 1980?

DEPARTMENT, OUR OWN DEPARTMENT; DETECTIVE GOOD, FROM THE HOMICIDE DETAIL OF THE LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT WAS THERE; AND OFFICER MOSER, FROM THE CRIMINALISTICS BUREAU, ALSO FROM THE LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT, WAS THERE. BOTH OF THESE OFFICERS WERE THERE THE ENTIRE TIME OF THE EXAMINATION.

1	MR. HARMON: MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR
2	HONOR?
3	THE COURT: YOU MAY.
4	
5	BY MR. HARMON:
6	
7	Q DR. GREEN, I AM SHOWING YOU NOW PROPOSED
8	EXHIBITS 17 THROUGH 21. I WOULD LIKE YOU TO LOOK AT ALL THE
9	PICTURES. AND AFTER YOU'VE DONE SO, WILL YOU STATE WHETHER THESE
10	ARE PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE PERSON UPON WHOM YOU PERFORMED YOUR AUTOPSY
11	EXAMINATION ON MARCH 28, 1980?
12	A YES, SIR, THEY ARE.
13	Q DO THESE PHOTOGRAPHS TRULY AND ACCURATELY
14	SHOW THE APPEARANCE AND CONDITION OF THIS INDIVIDUAL AT THE TIME
15	OF YOUR EXAMINATION?
16	A YES, SIR, THEY DO.
17	MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, AT THIS TIME I OFFER
18	PROPSED EXHIBITS 17 THROUGH 21.
-19-	MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, WE WOULD OBJECT TO
20	SOME OF THESE BEING DUPLICITAS. WE OBJECT BEING UNNECESSARILY
21	INFLAMATORY.
22	WE HAVE NO OBJECTION TO 21 AND 20. AND ANY
23	ONE OF THE THREE
24	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THOSE ARE THE OTHER ONES
25	YOU OBJECT TO AT THIS TIME?
26	MR. FRANZEN: YES, YOUR HONOR. WE THINK WOULD
27	BE APPROPRIATE, RATHER THAN ALL THREE.
28	THE COURT: COUNSEL.
29	MR. HARMON: MAY I HAVE THE COURT'S INDULGENCE,
30	YOUR HONOR.
31	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
32	EVALUATE 20 AND 21 APE ADMITTED.

 MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, AS TO PROPOSED 17, THIS

IS THE PHOTO WE'VE BEEN USING FOR IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES. THIS

IS THE ONE THAT WE WOULD ELECT TO REOFFER OUT OF PROPOSED 17, 18

AND 19. WE WITHDRAW THE OFFER AS TO 18 AND 19.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

EXHIBIT 17 IS ADMITTED.

MR. HARMON: THANK YOU,

BY MR. HARMON:

Q DR. GREEN, I AM SHOWING YOU NOW STATE'S

EXHIBITS 17 THROUGH 20 AND 21. DID YOU, ON MARCH THE 28TH, 1980,

PERFORM AN EXTERNAL EXAMINATION UPON THE PERSON DEPICTED IN THOSE

PHOTOGRAPHS?

A YES, I DID.

Q WILL YOU STATE YOUR SIGNIFICANT FINDINGS AS A RESULT OF THE EXTERNAL EXAMINATION.

OF A GUNSHOT WOUND OF THE HEAD. THE WOUND OF ENTRY, WHICH IS WELL SHOWN IN YOUR EXHIBIT 20, WAS IN THE LEFT SIDE OF THE BACK. OF THE HEAD, OH, JUST APPROXIMATELY WHERE I AM POINTING WITH MY FINGER RIGHT NOW (INDICATING), THREE AND THREE-QUARTERS INCHES BEHIND THE LEFT EAR CANAL AND ABOUT AN INCH ABOVE THAT POINT. SO IT'S SOMEWHERE RIGHT ABOUT HERE (INDICATING).

THERE WAS A WOUND OF EXIT ON THE RIGHT SIDE OF THE HEAD, WHICH IS SHOWN IN NUMBER 22. THIS WAS ABOVE THE RIGHT EAR ABOUT THREE INCHES ABOVE THE EAR CANAL AND ABOUT AN INCH BEHIND THAT POINT. SO IT'S ROUGHLY SOMEWHERE ABOUT WHERE I'M POINTING WITH MY RIGHT HAND RIGHT NOW (INDICATING).

THE WOUNDS OF THE LEFT SIDE OF THE BACK

OF THE HEAD HAD ALL OF THE CHARACTERISTIC CONFIGURATIONS OF A

BULLET WOUND OF ENRTY. THE EDGES WERE ROLLED IN, ABRADED OR:

OR SCRAPED AND TOTALLY BLACKENED.

THE WOUND OF EXIT HAD A RATHER RAGGED. APPEARING CONFIGURATION WITH THE VETS OF TISSUE PUSHED OUTWARD.

OTHER THAN THIS, WE FOUND NOTHING OF ANY

SIGNIFICANCE EXTERNALLY.

Q DID YOU EXAMINE THE ENTIRE BODY EXTERNALLY?

A YES, WE DID.

Q DR. GREEN, DID YOU ALSO PERFORM AN INTERNAL EXAMINATION UPON THE PERSON DEPICTED IN STATE'S EXHIBITS 17, 20 AND 21?

A YES, SIR.

Q HOW EXTENSIVE WAS THAT EXAMINATION AND WHAT WERE YOUR SIGNIFICANT FINDINGS?

A THE INTERNAL EXAMINATION CONSISTS, FIRST,

OF OPENING THE BODY AND LOOKING AT ALL OF THE VARIOUS ORGANS OF

THE CHEST AND ABDOMEN AS THEY LIE TOGETHER IN THEIR NORMAL RELA
TIONSHIPS, IF YOU'RE LOOKING FOR ANYTHING THAT IS OUT OF ORDINARY

WHETHER IT'S AN ACCUMULATION OF FLUID OR EVIDENCE OF INJURY OR

ADHESION OR WHATEVER.

THE SAME PRINCIPLE APPLIES TO THE HEAD.

ONCE YOU HAVE EXAMINED THE VARIOUS ORGANS AND THEIR NORMAL RELATIONSHIPS, THEN THEY'RE REMOVED AND EXAMINED INDIVIDUALLY, AGAIN
LOOKING FOR ANYTHING THAT IS OUT OF ORDINARY, EVIDENCE OF DISEASE
OR INJURY.

THE PRIMARY FINDINGS WERE IN THE HEAD, RELATING TO THE GUNSHOT WOUNDS. THE ONLY OTHER FINDINGS OF ANY SIGNIFICANCE WHATSOEVER WAS EVIDENCE OF SOME HARDENING OF THE ARTERIES ABOUT THE HEART, THAT IS THE CORONARY ARTERIES OF THE THREE MAJOR ENTRIES. ONE SHOT SOME EVIDENCE OF ARTERIAL SCLEROTIC CHANGE, OR SO-CALLED HARDENING OF THE ARTERIES. THAT'S A MORE COMMON TERM. THIS WAS NOT ENOUGH TO BE EXPECTED TO CAUSE HIM ANY TROUBLE AT THAT POINT IN TIME.

7

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

16

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

29 30

31

32

HAD ENTERED, AS I HAVE INDICATED, ON THE LEFT SIDE OF THE BACK OF THE HEAD, GONE THROUGH THE BRAIN, EXITED ABOVE THE RIGHT EAR. THERE WERE A NUMBER OF FRACTURE LINES IN THE SKULL, PARTICULARLY ASSOCIATED WITH THE WOUND OF EXIT. THERE WAS SOME HEMORRHAGE,

IN THE HEAD WE FOUND THAT THE BULLET

BOTH AT THE SITE OF ENTRY AND THE EXIT IN THE SCALP TISSUES, AND

THERE WAS A SMALL AMOUNT OF HEMORRHAGE INSIDE THE SKULL AROUND . THE BRAIN, AS WELL AS WITHIN THE WOUND TRACT.

DR. GREEN, WERE YOU ABLE TO DETERMINE THE Q PATH OF THE BULLET THROUGH THE HEAD?

YES, SIR.

WILL YOU STATE YOUR FINDINGS, PLEASE?

THE BULLET WENT FROM LEFT TO RIGHT, FROM BACKWARDS FRONT, AT ROUGHLY A 45-DEGREE ANGLE BETWEEN THOSE TWO PLANES.

FROM BACK TO FRONT?

FROM BACK TO FRONT, AND ALSO SLIGHTLY UP-WARDS. I THINK I MENTIONED THAT IT WAS -- IT STARTED ABOUT AN INCH ABOVE THE RIGHT -- LEFT EAR CANAL AND CAME OUT ABOUT THREE INCHES ABOVE THE RIGHT EAR CANAL, GIVING IT AN UPWARD DIRECTION OF PERHAPS 10 TO 15 DEGREES ABOVE A HORIZONTAL PLANE.

IN REGARD TO THE ANGLE, WOULD REFERENCE TO YOUR AUTOPSY REPORT REFRESH YOUR MEMORY AS TO THE EXACT ESTIMATE ON THAT ANGLE? 1 THINK YOU INDICATED ABOUT 10 TO 15. COULD IT HAVE BEEN 5 TO 10 DEGREES?

THAT'S ENTIRELY POSSIBLE, YES, SIR.

IF I MAY, I'LL TAKE A LOOK. I MAY HAVE

BEEN OFF A LITTLE THERE.

THAT WILL ASSIST YOU IN REFRESHING YOUR MEMORY, DOCTOR?

YES, SIR.

YOU ARE CORRECT, I HAD ORIGINALLY

I DON'T RECALL THAT THE QUESTION AROSE. THE YOU SAY THAT THE PERSON WAS IN A STATE OF WELL, RIGOR, OF COURSE, IS THE STIFFENING WHEN WE FIND A BODY FULLY IN RIGOR, IT THE CONDITION REMAINS ESSENTIALLY UN-CONSIDERABLE LONGER THAN 24 HOURS? WHAT TIME DID YOU PERFORM YOUR AUTOPSY EXAM-DO YOU HAVE AN OPINION, GIVEN THE LOCATION -691-

THE BULLET TO BE, AS TO HOW SOON THERE WOULD HAVE BEEN A LOSS OF CONSCIOUSNESS?

- A LOSS OF CONSCIOUSNESS WOULD BE INSTANTANEOUS.
- Q IN YOUR OPINION WAS THIS A DEATH WHICH OCCUR-

RED AS A RESULT OF A CRIMINAL AGENCY?

- A I BELIEVE IT WAS.
- Q DID YOU OBSERVE ANY EVIDENCE OF POWDER BURN IN THE AREA OF THE ENTRY WOUND?

A NO, SIR.

- Q DID YOU LOOK FOR EVIDENCE OF POWDER BURN?
- A YES.
- Q DO YOU ATTACH ANY SIGNIFICANCE TO YOUR FAIL-

A THE ONLY SIGNIFICANCE WHICH THAT HAS IS TO INDICATE THAT THE WEAPON WAS AT LEAST FAR ENOUGH AWAY FROM HIS HEAD THAT POWDER -- BURNING POWDER PARTICLES WOULD NOT REACH IT.

Q DO YOU HAVE A MINIMUM DISTANCE THEN IN VIEW OF YOUR FAILURE TO FIND EVIDENCE OF POWDER BURN?

A WELL, YES. WE DON'T KNOW BY EXPERIENCE, AND ALOT OF EXPERIMENTAL WORK THAT'S GONE INTO THIS AS WELL, THAT AN AVERAGE PISTOL, ALMOST REGARDLESS OF ITS CALIBER, WILL THROW POWDER PARTICLES AND LEAVE POWDER MARKS ON THE SKIN OUT TO ABOUT TWO FEET. NOW, SOME MAY BE A LITTLE LESS, SOME MAY BE A LITTLE MORE, SOME MAY GO PERHAPS OUT TO THREE FEET; BUT TWO FEET JUST 1S A GENERAL RULE, IS A REASONABLE FINDING.

Q SO YOU'RE INDICATING THEN FROM ABOUT TWO FEET OUT?

- A AT LEAST THAT, YES.
- Q IN YOUR OPINION, THE WEAPON WHICH CAUSED THE GUNSHOT WOUND, YOU OBSERVED IN STATE'S EXHIBITS 17, 20, AND 21, WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN CLOSER THAN TWO FEET; IS THAT CORRECT?

A THAT'S CORRECT.

MR. HARMON: COURT'S INDULGENCE, FLEASE.

THAT CONCLUDES DIRECT EXAMINATION.

....

THE COURT: CROSS.

MR. COOPER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. COOPER:

Q DR. GREEN, WHEN YOU STATE THAT YOUR OPINION
IS THAT THE GUNSHOT WOUND TO THE INDIVIDUAL YOU EXAMINED WAS A
RESULT OF SOME CRIMINAL AGENCY, AM 1 CORRECT -- CORRECT IN SAYING
THAT THAT'S BASED ON WHAT YOU KNOW ABOUT THIS CASE AND HAVING
TALKED TO THE POLICE OFFICERS, THAT SORT OF THING?

A LARGELY, YES.

Q SO I MEAN IT'S CONCEIVABLE THAT THE GUN COULD
HAVE BEEN ACCIDENTALLY DISCHARGED AS FAR AS YOU KNOW?

A THAT IS ENTIRELY A POSSIBILITY, YES.

Q I SEE.

NOW, YOU EXAMINED -- PERFORMED YOUR AUTOPSY ON THE 28TH OF MARCH OF 1980; IS THAT CORRECT?

A YES, SIR.

Q AT ABOUT 1:00 P.M.; IS THAT RIGHT?

A YES, SIR.

Q -- WAS THAT THE FIRST TIME YOU HAD HAD OCCASION

TO EXAMINE THE BODY?

A YES. THAT -- THIS WAS WHEN I FIRST SAW IT EARLY ON THE AFTERNOON OF THE 20TH.

Q I SEE.

AND YOU INDICATED THAT AT THE TIME OF YOUR EXAMINATION YOU HAD NOTICED THAT FULL RIGOR MORTIS HAD SET IN?

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

A	THAT'S	CORRECT.
A	THAT'S	CORRECT.

AND IT'S YOUR TESTIMONY THAT BASED ON THAT FACT THAT YOU CONCLUDED THAT DEATH WOULD HAVE BEEN AT LEAST EIGHT TO TEN HOURS EARLIER; IS THAT RIGHT?

YES.

AND THAT THERE ARE A NUMBER OF CONDITIONS THAT AFFECT WHETHER FULL RIGOR MORTIS SETS IN OR NOT, DEPENDING ON THE WEATHER, THE CLIMATE, TEMPERATURE, THAT SORT OF THING; IS THAT RIGHT?

YES. THE PROCESS IS QUITE TEMPERATURE DEPENDENT. MUCH MORE RAPID THAN A HOT -- IN HOT SURROUNDINGS AND IT LEAVES EARLIER IN HOT THAN IT DOES IN COLD.

NOW, YOU TESTIFIED THAT THERE WERE NO POWDER BURNS AT THE ENTRY WOUND; IS THAT RIGHT?

> Α YES.

AND JUST FOR POINT OF CLARIFICATION, YOU SAY THAT BASED ON YOUR EXPERIENCE, YOU WOULD HAVE TO CONCLUDE THAT THE GUN THAT FIRED THIS SHOT, THE FATAL SHOT, WOULD HAVE BEEN FIRED FROM A DISTANCE OF AT LEAST TWO FEET; IS THAT RIGHT?

YES. I SAID THAT IS AN AVERAGE FIGURE. DOES NOT PRECLUDE THERE BEING A WEAPON, WHICH WOULD ONLY SHOOT POWDER SAY FOR 20 INCHES.

I SEE.

BUT AS AN AVERAGE, IT'S A GOOD WORKING FIGURE

ARE YOU ABLE TO TELL, BASED ON YOUR EXAMI-NATION, ARE YOU ABLE TO OFFER AN OPINION AS TO IN WHAT POSITION THE DECEASED WOULD HAVE BEEN AT THE TIME THE FATAL SHOT WAS FIRED?

NO, SIR. THERE IS NO WAY THAT I CAN HELP YOU ON THAT ONE.

SO THEN YOU COULDN'T SAY WHETHER HE WAS STANDING, SITTING, KNEELING, OR WHAT HIS POSITION WAS?

NO, SIR. THE -- THE HEAD OBVIOUSLY IS AN

1719

-694-

EXTREMELY MOBILE PART OF OUR BODY; WE CAN TURN IT, WE CAN LIFT IT, WE CAN PUSH IT DOWN. THERE IS NO WAY OF TELLING AT AUTOPSY WHAT POSITION THE HEAD WAS IN OR WHAT POSITION THE BODY WAS IN.

MR, COOPER: I SEE.

MAY I HAVE THE COURT'S INDULGENCE.

DR. GREEN, LET ME ASK YOU -- I HAVE NO

FURTHER QUESTIONS OF DR. GREEN, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: THE STATE?

MR. HARMON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. HARMON:

Q DR. GREEN, AT THE TIME OF YOUR AUTOPSY EXAMINATION, DID YOU RECOVER ANY TYPE OF BULLET OR PROJECTILE?

A NO, SIR,

Q DID THE WOUND APPARENTLY -- THE BULLET

APPARENTLY WENT COMPLETELY THROUGH THE HEAD; IS THAT CORRECT?

A YES, SIR, I WAS NOT ABLE TO IDENTIFY ANY PART OF IT IN THE BODY,

MR. HARMON: THANK YOU.

THAT'S ALL,

MR, COOPER: I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER.

THE COURT: YOU'RE EXCUSED.

THE WITNESS: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

(WHEREUPON, THE WITNESS WAS

EXCUSED.)

MR. HARMON: MAY WE APPROACH THE BENCH, YOUR

30 | HONOR?

THE COURT: YOU MAY.

31 32

24

25

26

27

28

29

(WHEREUPON, SIDE BAR CONFERENCE WAS HELD AT THE BENCH; NOT REPORTED.)

THE COURT: AT THIS TIME, COUNSEL, YOUR REQUEST TO SHOW THE ADMITTED PHOTOGRAPHS TO THE JURY IS GRANTED. YOU MAY PASS THOSE TO THE JURORS, IF YOU WILL.

MR. HARMON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

(WHEREUPON, THE PHOTOGRAPHS WERE

EXHIBITED TO THE JURY.)

MR. HARMON: MAY WE BE AT EASE, YOUR HONOR?

THE COURT: YES.

AS YOU VIEW THEM, WOULD YOU PASS THEM ON TO

THE NEXT JUROR.

COUNSEL, IT'S YOUR REQUEST NOW THAT WE HAVE A MATTER OUTSIDE OF THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY; IS THAT CORRECT?

MR. HARMON: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE JURY,

DURING THIS RECESS YOU ARE ADMONISHED NOT TO CONVERSE AMONG YOURSELVES OR WITH ANYONE ELSE ON ANY SUBJECT CONNECTED WITH THIS TRIAL, OR READ, WATCH OR LISTEN TO ANY REPORT OF OR COMMENTARY ON THIS TRIAL WITH ANY PERSON CONNECTED WITH THIS TRIAL BY ANY MEDIUM OF INFORMATION, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, NEWSPAPER, TELEVISION OR RADIO OR FORM OR EXPRESS ANY OPINION ON ANY SUBJECT CONNECTED WITH THIS TRIAL UNTIL THE CASE IS FINALLY SUBMITTED TO YOU.

WE WILL BE IN RECESS SUBJECT TO CALL.

-696-

BE BACK AROUND THE COURTROOM PROBABLY IN ABOUT -- HOW MANY WITNESSES DO YOU HAVE? JUST ONE?

MR. HARMON: WE HAVE TWO, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: TWO. PROBABLY ABOUT 3:20 OR THERE-

ABOUTS.

WE WILL BE IN RECESS FOR FIFTEEN MINUTES, AND THEN WE WILL COME BACK. HAVE YOUR WITNESS IN HERE AT THAT TIME.

(WHEREUPON, FROM 2:45 P.M. UNTIL 3:00 P.M., A RECESS WAS HAD IN THE PROCEEDINGS, AT THE CONCLU-SION OF WHICH THE FOLLOWING WAS HAD OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)

THE COURT: PROCEED, COUNSEL. DID YOU HAVE --

MR. FRANZEN: NO, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

PROCEED. CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS.

MR. SEATON: BOB SMITH, PLEASE.

THE CLERK: RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND.

WHEREUPON,

ROBERT SMITH,

CALLED AS A WITNESS HEREIN BY THE PLAINTIFF, WAS FIRST DULY SWORN EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

THE COURT: PROCEED.

31

28

29

30

32 || . .

. ||

1	A YES, I WAS.	
2	Q IN THE CAPACITY THAT YOU HAVE JUST DESCRIBE	먀
3	A YES, I WAS.	
4	Q AND DO YOU RECALL AN INCIDENT THAT HAPPENED	
5	THAT DAY? IT WAS IN THE SEARS STORE?	
6	A ^ YES.	
7	Q APPROXIMATELY WHAT TIME OF THE DAY WAS IT	ļ
8	WHEN YOU FIRST BECAME AWARE OF THIS OCCURING?	
9	A IT WAS IN THE AFTERNOON.	
10	Q NOW, WHAT CAME TO YOUR ATTENTION AT THAT	
11	TIME?	
12	A WELL, THIS GENTLEMAN BROUGHT A SANDER-GRIND	'-
13	ER TO THE COUNTER TO HAVE A REFUND ISSUE.	
14	Q NOW, WHEN YOU SAY HE BROUGHT A SANDER-GRINE	'
15	ER TO THE COUNTER, HOW DID HE DO THAT?	
16	A IT WAS IN A CARTON, IN A BOX.	
17	Q IS THAT THE BOX IN WHICH THE SANDER-GRINDER	۱
18	COMES?	ŀ
19	A YES, IT IS.	+
20	Q AND WAS THAT BOX OPEN OR CLOSED?	
21	A OPEN.	
22	Q AND DID YOU WHAT DID HE REQUEST WHEN HE	
23 24	CAME TO THE COUNTER?	
2 4 25	A HE REQUESTED A REFUND.	
26	Q NOW, WHAT KIND OF COUNTER WAS IT THAT HE	
27	CAME TO AND MADE THAT REQUEST?" A WELL, WE CALL IT A CASH WRAP, IT'S WHERE	
28	OUR CASH REGISTERS ARE LOCATED AND WHERE WE RING UP TRANSACTION	
29	AND PACKAGES.	-
30	Q ALL RIGHT.	
31	AND WHAT DID HE INDICATE TO YOU THAT H	╒ ┃
32	DESTRED?	
	-699-	.
	11	- 1

1	A WELL, HE INDICATED THAT HE HAD PURCHASED
2	THIS AND HE WANTED A REFUND FOR IT FOR THE ITEM.
3	Q DID YOU LOOK AT THE ITEM?
4	A YES, 1 DID.
5	Q AND DO YOU RECALL HOW MUCH THE ITEM COST?
6	A IT WAS IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD OF 94 - \$95.
7	Q DID YOU LOOK INSIDE THE BOX?
8	A YES.
ė	Q AND WHAT CONTENTS DID YOU FIND WITHIN THE
10	BOX?
11	. A THE GRINDER ONLY.
12	Q WHEN YOU SAY "ONLY"
13	A WELL, NORMALLY IT IS PACKAGED WITH PACKING
14	MATERIAL AND AN OWNER'S MANUAL.
15	Q AND WAS THE PACKING MATERIAL PRESENT IN THE
16	BOX?
17	A NO.
18	Q AND WAS THE OWNER MANUAL PRESENT IN THE BOX?
19	A NO.
20	Q WHAT DID YOU DO THEN?
21	A WELL, BEFORE WE ISSUE A REFUND WE ASK FOR A
22	RECEIPT. IF THERE IS NO RECEIPT, THEN WE ASK FOR IDENTIFICATION.
23	Q DID THE INDIVIDUAL SHOW YOU A RECEIPT?
24	A NO.
25	Q HAD YOU ASKED HIM FOR ONE?
26	A I DID.
27	Q AND WHEN HE DIDN'T SHOW YOU A RECEIPT DID
28	HE TELL YOU WHY HE DIDN'T HAVE ONE?
29	A NO.
30	Q DID YOU THEN ASK FOR IDENTIFICATION?
31	A I DID.
32	Q DID HE SHOW YOU IDENTIFICATION?

-701-

NOTICE IT.

A WELL, HAD HE BEEN A SECURITY MAN FOR SEARS HE CERTAINLY WOULDN'T HAVE BROUGHT A PIECE OF MERCHANDISE UP FOR A REFUND WITHOUT A RECEIPT. AND HAD HE NOT HAD A RECEIPT, BEING AN EMPLOYEE, I FELT THAT HE WOULD HAVE GOT AHOLD OF SOMEBODY IN THE STORE WHO WORKED FOR OUR SECURITY DEPARTMENT. THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN NORMAL PROCEDURE FOR ANYBODY THAT KNOWS ANYTHING ABOUT SEARS SECURITY.

Q AND AS A RESULT OF THOSE THOUGHTS, WHAT DID YOU DO?

A WELL, I EXCUSED MYSELF AND WENT BACK TO MY OFFICE, AND I HAD THE OPERATOR PAGE OUR SECURITY LADY THAT WAS ON DUTY AT THE TIME.

Q AND WHAT IS HER NAME?

A NORMA DONALDSON.

Q NORMA DONALDSON.

WITH REGARD TO THE IDENTIFICATION THAT WAS SHOWN TO YOU BY THE DEFENDANT, SAM HOWARD, DID IT INDICATE WHICH SEARS THAT THAT IDENTIFICATION WAS FROM?

A IT NO DOUBT DID, BUT I DID NOT AT THE TIME

Q I SEE.

WHAT DID YOU DO WHEN YOU CALLED --

AFTER YOU CALLED NORMA DONALDSON?

A OKAY. I CALLED NORMA OR I CALLED THE

OPERATOR AND SHE GOT AHOLD OF NORMA, AND NORMA CAME BACK TO MY

OFFICE AND I TOLD HER WHAT I HAD OBSERVED, AND SAID -- AND

ASKED -- SUGGESTED THAT SHE CALL THE LAS VEGAS STORE TO CONFIRM

THIS MAN'S EMPLOYMENT.

Q WHEN YOU SAY THAT YOU TOLD HER WHAT YOU OBSERVED, DO YOU MEAN THAT YOU TOLD HER THE THINGS THAT YOU SAID TO US TODAY IN COURT?

-703-

A THAT'S CORRECT.

3

5

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

- -

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

MR. FRANZEN: HEARSAY, CONFRONTATION.

MR. SEATON: I'M SORRY. 1 DIDN'T HEAR THE --

MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, WE WOULD OBJECT ON

THE BASIS OF HEARSAY AND CONFRONTATION, AND ALSO, SINCE HE DID NOT PLACE THE CALL, HE REALLY DOESN'T KNOW TO WHOM THE OPERATOR IS SPEAKING. THE FOUNDATION WOULD BE INADEQUATE.

MR. SEATON: THESE ARE NOT BEING OFFERED FOR THE TRUTH OF THE MATTER ASSERTED, YOUR HONOR, JUST SIMPLY TO SHOW WHY THE INDIVIDUAL DID WHAT HE DID NEXT.

AND AS FAR AS THE CONFRONTATION IS

CONCERNED, THE NEXT WITNESS WILL BE NORMA DONALDSON. AND SHE

WILL BE AVAILABLE AND SHE WILL BE ADEQUATELY CROSS EXAMINED AS

TO THESE MATTERS.

THE COURT: THE OBJECTION IS OVERRULED, BASED ON THAT REPRESENTATION.

BY MR. SEATON:

Q

WOULD YOU TELL US, PLEASE, WHAT NORMA

DONALDSON SAID TO YOU WHEN SHE GOT OFF OF THE TELEPHONE?

A SHE SAID TO ME THAT THE PARTY THAT SHE
TALKED TO SAID THEY HAD NO EMPLOYEE AT THAT STORE BY THAT NAME.

Q BY WHAT NAME?

A SANGER OR -- I DON'T RECALL THE NAME.

Q HAD YOU AT THAT TIME -- AT THAT TIME HAD YOU TOLD NORMA DONALDSON WHAT THE NAME WAS THAT THE DEFENDANT HAD USED WHEN YOU FILLED OUT THE RETAIL CREDIT CHECK?

A I DID.

Q AND THAT WAS THE NAME THAT SHE GAVE TO

THE --

A I CAN'T REMEMBER THAT.

Q (CONTINUING) -- THE LAS VEGAS STORE?

WELL, SHE SAID, WELL, WE BETTER GO TALK TO THE -- TO THE MAN, OR SOMETHING TO THAT EFFECT. AND WE GOT UP -- OR I LEFT THE OFFICE WITH HER AND WE WENT UP TO CONFRONT WHERE DID YOU GO TO CONFRONT THE MAN? TO WHERE WE HAD LEFT HIM OR WHERE I HAD AND WAS HE THERE WHEN YOU RETURNED? AND WHAT DID YOU DO UPON DISCOVERING THAT WELL, WE QUICKLY LOOKED AROUND THE DEPARTMENT TO SEE IF HE HAD, YOU KNOW, BROWSED AROUND OR WHAT-EVER. AND THEN, NOT SEEING HIM, WE NORMALLY, IN A CASE LIKE THIS, WE WOULD GO OUT THE DOOR AND SEE IF HE'S -- OR THE PARTY AND DID YOU GO OUT THE DOOR? AND WHAT DID YOU DO WHEN YOU WENT OUT THE WELL, WE LOOKED AROUND THE PARKING LOT FOR ANYBODY THAT WAS WALKING OR LOOKED LIKE THE PERSON. AND WERE YOU ABLE TO FIND ANYBODY?

× oH2			
ni n	1	A HAVE I BEEN CALLED TO TESTIFY WHAT?	
PE1	2	Q ABOUT THIS INCIDENT?	
1495	3	A NO.	
Çn Çe	4	Q WAS THIS THE FIRST TIME THAT YOU'VE BEEN	
·	5	CALLED TO TESTIFY ABOUT THIS INCIDENT?	
1	. 6	A YES, IT IS.	
<u>.</u>	7	Q AND WHAT WAS THE DATE OF THIS INCIDENT,	
	8	PLEASE?	
	9	A MARCH THE 28TH, 1980.	
;	10	Q WHEN WAS THE LAST TIME THAT YOU SPOKE TO	
٠ :	11	ANY LAW ENFORCEMENT PEOPLE ABOUT THIS INCIDENT?	
1 :	12	A I NEVER HAVE.	
•	13	Q DO YOU RECALL IF THE MAN WAS WEARING ANY	
· • • •	14	JEWELRY?	
:	15	A NO, I DON'T.	
1	16	Q DO YOU RECALL WHAT COLOR THE MAN'S EYES	
	17	WERE?	
***	18	A NO, I DON'T.	
1	19	Q DO YOU RECALL WHAT TYPE OF SHOES HE WAS	
: :	20	WEAR ING?	
;	21	A NO, I DON'T.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	22	Q DO YOU RECALL IF HE WAS WEARING TENNIS	
:	23	SHOES?	
	24	A NO, 1 DON'T.	
•	25	Q DO YOU RECALL IF HE WAS CARRYING A RADIO?	
<u>.</u>	26	A I DON'T REMEMBER A RADIO.	
3	27	Q DO YOU RECALL WHAT COLOR SHIRT HE HAD ON?	·
•	28	A NO, I DON'T.	
:	29	Q HOW LONG, SIR, HAVE YOU BEEN HERE IN LAS	
ż	. 30	VEGAS AWAITING YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO TESTIFY?	
•	31	A 1 ARRIVED AT 9:15 THIS MORNING.	
	32	Q HAD YOU SPOKEN WITH ANYONE FROM THE LAS	1733
		-708-	
		••	

SHowar			
គ្គ ជ -	1	VEGAS POLICE DEPARTMENT PRIOR TO ARRIVING THIS MORNING?	
P III	2	A WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT?	
÷ 49	3		
50	4	·	
1	5		
	. 6	Q DID YOU SPEAK WITH ANYONE FROM THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE?	
4	7	A YES, I DID.	
:	8	Q PRIOR TO YOUR ARRIVING IN LAS VEGAS?	
	9	A YES, I HAVE.	
	10	Q WHAT DIO THEY EXPLAIN TO YOU, SIR, IF	
	11	ANYTHING?	
	12	A BASICALLY EXPLAINED THAT I WOULD BE CALLED	
:	13	TO POSSIBLY TO TESTIFY OF WHAT I HAD OBSERVED ON THAT	
:	14	PARTICULAR DAY.	
	15	Q ANYTHING ELSE?	
i.	16	A NO.	
:	17	Q WHEN YOU ARRIVED HERE IN LAS VEGAS DID YOU	
	18	GO TO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE?	
ę;	19	A YES.	A.W W
· :	20	Q DID YOU DISCUSS YOUR PROPOSED TESTIMONY	
•	21	WITH ANYONE?	
	22	A YES.	
	23	Q WITH WHOM DID YOU DISCUSS IT?	
	24	A MR MR. EATON (SIC).	
-	25	Q MR. SEATON?	
<i>:</i>	26	A SEATON. I'M SORRY.	
· .	27	Q IT'S OKAY.	
	28	MR. SEATON: THAT'S ALL RIGHT.	
	29		
	30	BY MR. FRANZEN:	
	31 32	Q WAS ANYONE PRESENT AT THE TIME THAT YOU HAD	1 7.7 1
			1734
		-709-	

1	THIS DISCUSSION WITH MR. SEATON?	
2	A NORMA DONALDSON.	
3	Q ABOUT WHAT TIME OF DAY DID YOU HAVE THIS	
4	DISCUSSION WITH MR. SEATON IN THE PRESENCE OF MISS DONALDSON?	
5	A ABOUT 1:00 P.M.	_
6	Q WAS THIS A GENERAL DISCUSSION WITH EVERYONE	
7	HELPING EACH OTHER TO RECALL WHAT HAD HAPPENED BACK ON MARCH 28TH,	
8	1980?	
9	A IT WAS A QUESTION/ANSWER-TYPE DISCUSSION.	
10	Q WITH THE QUESTIONS BEING ASKED TO NORMA	
11	AND TO YOU?	
12	A SEPARATELY, YES.	
13	Q DID YOU EVER QUESTION NORMA AS TO HER	
14	RECOLLECTION?	
15	A D1D 1?	
16	Q YES, SIR.	
17	A NO.	
18	Q DID SHE EVER CONTRADICT YOUR RECOLLECTION?	
19	A NOT THAT I RECALL.	
20	Q DID SHE EVER COMPLIMENT YOUR RECOLLECTION?	
21	A THE ONLY THING IT MIGHT HAVE BEEN THE TIME	
22	OF DAY.	
23	Q WHEN YOU WERE CONTACTED TO COME HERE TO	
24 25	TESTIFY, WHO CONTACTED YOU?	
26	A MR. SEATON.	
27	Q MR. SEATON?	
28	A YES. O DID ANYONE ELSE CONTACT YOU?	
29	Q DID ANYONE ELSE CONTACT YOU? A WE SPOKE TO THE LADY THAT ARRANGED TO	
30	TRAVEL.	
31	Q WOULD SHE BE WOULD SHE HAVE IDENTIFIED	
32	HERSELF FROM THE VICTIM-WITNESS	1735
	-710-	
		1

	1	A AS WHAT?
	2	Q VICTIM-WITNESS DIVISION?
	3	A NO.
	4	Q WAS SHE AN ATTORNEY OR DO YOU KNOW?
	5	A - SHE WAS A CLERK TO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S
	6	TO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY, AS FAR AS 1 KNOW.
	7	Q SIR, IT'S BEEN ABOUT THREE YEARS. WHAT
	8	DO YOU BASE YOUR IDENTIFICATION ON THIS MORNING OR THIS AFTER-
	9	NOON?
	10	A IN WHAT THE IN WHAT MANNER DO YOU MEAN?
	11	Q WELL, IT'S BEEN THREE YEARS ABOUT SINCE
	12	THIS INCIDENT AND YOU HAVEN'T SEEN ANY PHOTOGRAPHS OR PHYSICAL
	13	PEOPLE, AND YOU HAVE BEEN ASKED TO SEE IF YOU CAN IDENTIFY HIM.
	14	WHAT IS THERE ABOUT THIS MAN, AS HE SITS IN THIS COURTROOM NOW,
	15	THAT CAUSES YOU TO IDENTIFY HIM?
	16	A WELL, THE MAN THAT I REMEMBER HAS VERY
	17	SMOOTH, CLEAN-CUT LOOKING FEATURES, AND THAT'S WHAT I REMEMBER.
	18	I DON'T REMEMBER A MOUSTACHE.
	19	Q SO YOUR IDENTIFICATION THEN IS BASED
	20	PRIMARILY UPON THE FACT THAT HE WAS A SMOOTH, CLEAN-CUT INDIVI-
	21	DUAL?
	22	A UH-HUH.
	23	Q HERE IN THE COURTROOM TODAY?
	24	A UH-HUH.
	25	Q YOU'LL HAVE TO SAY YES OR NO:
	26	A YES.
	27	Q AND YOU WERE ASKED TO COME TO TESTIFY ABOUT
	28	THAT PARTICULAR INSTANCE?
	29	A YES.
•	30	Q IN MARCH OF 1980?
	31	A YEAH.
	32	Q IS THERE ANY OTHER BLACK MAN IN THE COURTRO
		II

:			
			-
ļ	1	NOW, OR AT THE TIME THAT YOU MADE YOUR IDENTIFICATION HERE IN	
	2	THE COURTROOM, THAT YOU WOULD CLASSIFY AS A SMOOTH IS IT	
)	3	SMOOTH, CLEAN-CUT	
	4	A LOOKING INDIVIDUAL.	
	5	Q (CONTINUING) LOOKING INDIVIDUAL?	
	6	A NO, THERE ISN'T.	
	7	Q THAT'S WHAT I THOUGHT.	
	8	THE COURT: LET'S PROCEED, COUNSEL.	
	9		
	10	BY MR. FRANZEN:	
	11		
	12	Q DO YOU RECALL, SIR, IF THE INDIVIDUAL THAT	:
	13	YOU SAW ON MARCH 28, 1980, WAS WEARING, IN THE SEARS STORE, WAS	
	14	WEARING A GOLD WRISTWATCH?	
	15	A I DON'T RECALL ANY JEWELRY WHATSOEVER.	
	16	Q SO THAT ELIMINATES A GOLD WRISTWATCH. YOU	
	17	DID NOT SEE ONE; IS THAT CORRECT?	
	18	A THAT'S CORRECT.	
	19	Q DID HE SHOW YOU A BADGE, SIR, OR WAS THIS	
	20	AN I.D. CARD?	
	21	A I ONLY SAW AN I.D. CARD.	
	22	Q DID HE SHOW YOU A WALLET?	t .
	23	A 1T WAS IN A WALLET-TYPE CASE, TO THE BEST	
	24	OF MY RECOLLECTION.	
	25	Q A WALLET-TYPE CASE. WOULD THAT BE A WALLET	
	26	SUCH AS YOU WOULD PUT MONEY IN, OR WOULD THIS BE A SPECIALLY	
	27	DESIGNED BADGE CASE?	
	28	A A WALLET THAT YOU WOULD PUT MONEY IN.	
	29	Q OKAY.	
	30	DID YOU GET A GOOD LOOK AT THIS WALLET?	
	31	A NO.	
	32	Q DO YOU RECALL WHAT COLOR THE WALLET IS OR	1737
		-712-	

			-
	1	WAS?	
	2	A ! DON'T.	
	3	Q DID THIS WALLET HAVE ONE OF THESE PLASTIC	
	4	EXPANDO DISPLAY CASES THAT YOU WOULD PUT CREDIT CARDS IN OR	
	5	PHOTOGRAPHS IN?	
	6	A NOT THAT I RECALL.	•
	7	Q YOU SAY THAT THE MAN THAT YOU SAW IN YOUR	
	8	SEARS STORE HAD A SHORT HAIRCUT. COULD THIS HAVE BEEN AN AFRO	
	9	HAIRCUT OR A SHORT WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY "SHORT HAIRCUT"?	
	10	I GUESS THAT'S WHAT I'M ASKING.	
	11	A THE OPPOSITE OF AN AFRO IT WOULD HAVE	
	12	BEEN.	
	13	Q IT WAS NOT AN AFRO THEN?	
	14	A SHORT THAT'S CORRECT.	
	15	Q OKAY.	
	16	DID HE EVER USE ANY SWEAR WORDS?	
	17	A NOT THAT I RECALL.	
	18	Q SPECIFICALLY, DID HE EVER USE THE SWEAR	
	19	WORD "MOTHER FUCKER"?	
•	20	A NO.	
	21	Q DID HE SPEAK WITH AN ACCENT?	
	22	A NO.	
	23	Q IN THE TEN MINUTES WELL, LET'S BACK UP	
	24 25	A SECOND.	
	26	THIS ENTIRE INCIDENT, FROM THE TIME THAT THE GENTLEMAN CAME UP AND ASKED FOR THE REFUND TO THE TIME	
	27	THAT YOU LEFT TO GET NORMA, HOW LONG DID THAT TAKE?	
	28	A FIFTEEN MINUTES.	
	29	Q WHAT WAS IT THAT CAUSED YOU TO SAY THIS	
	. 30	TAKES FIFTEEN MINUTES? WAS THERE A DISCUSSION OR WHAT?	
	31	A WELL, IT TAKES IT TAKES AWHILE TO,	
	32	NUMBER ONE, LOOK AT THE ITEM THAT THE CUSTOMER IS RETURNING;	1770
		-713-	1738

-714-

1	Q AND HE REPLIES HE DOES NOT?
2	A YEAH.
3	AND THEN I ASKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.
4	AND I STARTED FEELING FILLING OUT THE R.C.C. BOOK.
5	Q OKAY.
6	AND WHILE YOU'RE FILLING OUT THE R.C.C.
7	BOOK HE HANDS YOU THE SEARS IDENTIFICATION CARD?
8	A YEAH.
9	Q AND HOW LONG IS THE R.C.C. CARD?
10	A IN
11	Q THIS REFUND DOCUMENT?
12	A IN TERMS OF SIZE?
13	Q YES, SIR.
14	A LIKE SO (INDICATING).
15	Q JUST WHAT? \$1X BY THREE? 1S IT A
16	SLIP?
17	A PROBABLY FOUR BY SIX.
18	Q DOES IT HAVE ALOT OF DOCUMENTATION THAT
_19	HAS TO BE FILLED OUT?
20	A AS FAR AS NAME, ADDRESS, ZIP CODE, PHONE
21	NUMBER, CASH OR CHARGE.
22	Q OKAY.
23	A DRIVER'S NUMBER.
24	Q OKAY.
25	SO I TELL YOU THAT MY NAME IS JOHN
26	SMITH. I LIVE AT 8125 JONES STREET, ZIP CODE 123456.
27	A UH-HUH.
28	Q CHARGE OR CASH, WHICHEVER, AND WHAT ELSE?
29	A ITEM NUMBER, DESCRIPTION.
30	Q I HAVE ONE SANDER.
31	A PRICE, TAX.
32	Q TAX. OKAY.
	_716 _
	••

-717-

1.743

S			
SHowar			
<u>ρ</u>	1	Q REGARDING THIS?	
PE1	2	A NOT TO ME. THAT'S ALL I KNOW.	
496	3	Q DID YOU EVER SEE THE POLICE AT YOUR STORE?	
ώ	4	A DURING FOR THIS INCIDENT?	
	5	Q YES, SIR.	
	6	. A NO.	•
	7	Q DID YOU EVER SEE THIS MAN BEFORE, THE MAN	
	8	WHO CAME IN WITH THE SANDER AND ASKED FOR A REFUND?	
	9	A NEVER.	
	10	Q HAVE YOU EVER SEEN HIM SINCE?	
	11	A NO.	
	12	Q DID YOU KNOW IF HE WAS ACCOMPANIED BY	
	13	ANYONE?	
	14	A HE WAS NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE, NO.	
	15	MR. FRANZEN: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.	
	16	THE COURT: COUNSEL?	
	17	MR. SEATON: YES. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	
	18		
	19	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	
	20		
	21	BY MR. SEATON:	
	22		
	23	Q MR. SMITH, YOU INDICATED THAT YOU DIDN'T	
	24	SEE ANY JEWELRY OR WATCH. IS THERE A POSSIBLE EXPLANATION WHY	
	25	YOU MAY NOT HAVE SEEN ANY OF THOSE ITEMS?	
	26	A NO. I HAVE NO EXPLANATION FOR THAT.	
	27	Q DID YOU SAY THAT THE DEFENDANT WAS WEARING	
	28	A JACKET?	
	29	A YES.	
	30	Q WAS IT A LONG SLEEVE OR SHORT SLEEVE	
	31	JACKET?	1744
	32	A I I DON'T KNOW.	
		-719-	

1	
1	Q DO YOU RECALL ANYTHING? HOW LONG IT WAS IN
2	THE WAIST?
3	A NO, I DON'T.
4	Q YOU INDICATED HE SHOWED YOU AN I.D. CARD.
5	I AM NOT SURE IF WE COVERED THIS. WHAT DID THAT IDENTIFICATION
6	CARD SHOW?
7	A IT SHOWED A NAME AND A SIGNATURE, AND IT
8	SHOWED THAT THE PERSON WAS AN EMPLOYEE FOR SEARS IN LAS VEGAS
9	IN THE SECURITY DEPARTMENT.
10	Q ALL RIGHT. COURT'S INDULGENCE.
11	MR. SMITH
12	MR. FRANZEN: MAY I SEE THAT, COUNSEL?
13	THE COURT: COUNSEL, ALLOW HIM TO PROCEED. IF
14	YOU HAVE AN OBJECTION YOU CAN STATE IT.
15	MR. FRANZEN: YES, YOUR HONOR.
16	THIS APPEARS WE DO OBJECT UNTIL WE GET
17	A PROPER REASON FOR THE SHOWING. THIS IS A RECEIPT FOR A
18	MR. SEATON: THIS IS STATE'S EXHIBIT 38, YOUR
19	HONOR, WHICH IS A RETAIL CREDIT CHECK THAT HAS BEEN RECEIVED
20	INTO EVIDENCE IN THE EARLIER TESTIMONY.
21	MY ONLY PURPOSE IN SHOWING IT TO THE
22	WITNESS IS TO MAKE A DETERMINATION THAT THIS IS THE SAME KIND
23	OF RETAIL CREDIT CHECK THAT HE'S BEEN REFERRING TO IN HIS
24	TESTIMONY. I'M NOT GOING TO GO INTO ANY OF THE MATTERS THAT ARE
25	CONTAINED WITHIN THAT EXHIBIT.
26	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. PROCEED.
27	
28	BY MR. SEATON:
29	
30	Q MR. SMITH, LET ME SHOW YOU STATE'S
31	EXHIBIT 38, WHICH IS A COPY. ARE YOU ABLE TO RECOGNIZE THE
32	ITEM THAT IS SHOWN THERE AS A COPY, NOT KNOW THE WRITING ON IT,

-720-

MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, AGAIN, THIS IS COMING OUT OF THE -- OUR OBJECTION AS TO MRS. --

THE COURT: OVERRULED.

MR. FRANZEN: HEARSAY AND CONFRONTATION.

THE COURT: PROCEED.

THE WITNESS: WE WENT OUT TO THE PARKING LOT TO SEE IF WE COULD FIND THE PERSON, AND WE COULD NOT. SO WE CAME . BACK IN. AND NORMA WAS BEING PAGED.

I THEN LEFT HER AND WENT BACK TO WORK. SHE CAME UP TO ME LATER AND SAID THE PERSON'S I.D. THAT WE WERE SHOWN. THAT IT HAD BEEN STOLEN A COUPLE OF DAYS PRIOR IN LAS VEGAS AT SEARS, AND IT DID BELONG TO A SECURITY AGENCY FOR THE STORE.

> DID SHE TELL YOU HOW THAT ITEM WAS STOLEN? Q

YES.

HOW WAS THAT?

SHE SAID THAT A SECURITY AGENT WAS HELD AT GUNPOINT AND HIS IDENTIFICATION WAS TAKEN; AND THERE WAS ANOTHER PERSON INVOLVED, TOO, THAT WAS ALSO HELD AT GUNPOINT BUT

HIS I.D. WAS NOT TAKEN.

AND DID SHE TELL YOU ANYTHING ELSE THAT SHE Q HAD HEARD ABOUT THE DEFENDANT FROM HER PHONE CONVERSATION WITH THE SEARS STORE?

WE WERE TOLD AT THE TIME, OR SHE WAS TOLD AT THE TIME THAT WE HAUL OFF FOR SHOPLIFTING, HE HAD BEEN --WAS WANTED FOR MURDER OF A DOCTOR -- DENTIST OR SOMETHING IN LAS VEGAS.

WHAT KIND OF A PSYCHOLOGICAL IMPACT DID THAT HAVE ON YOU AT THE TIME?

WELL, IT WAS VERY MUCH OF A SHOCK. SO,

THEREFORE --

27

28

29

30

31

32

MR. COOPER: YOUR HONOR, I'M GOING TO INTERJECT

1747

-722-

11 |

 AN OBJECTION. I FAIL TO SEE THE RELEVANCY OF THIS.

THE COURT: RELEVANCY?

MR. SEATON: THE RELEVANCY IS QUITE SIMPLE, YOUR HONOR. THE WITNESS' CREDIBILITY HAS BEEN SEVERELY CHALLENGED ON CROSS EXAMINATION AND I'M MERELY BRINGING OUT THE REASONS WHY THESE EVENTS WOULD STAND OUT SO CLEARLY IN HIS MIND TODAY, SOME THREE YEARS LATER.

THE COURT: FOR THAT LIMITED PURPOSE, THE OBJECTION IS OVERRULED.

MR. SEATON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

BY MR. SEATON:

Q AND LET ME ASK YOU A QUESTION IN LIGHT OF THAT COMMENTARY, MR. SMITH. IS THERE A PARTICULAR REASON THAT THESE EVENTS THAT YOU'VE TESTIFIED TO TODAY STAND OUT IN YOUR MIND AFTER THREE YEARS OF TIME PASSING?

A WELL, I FOUND OUT -- FOUND THIS OUT ABOUT

AN HOUR LATER, AND IT REALLY KIND OF FRIGHTENED ME AND NORMA

BOTH, BECAUSE WE THOUGHT IF THAT PERSON HAD DONE WHAT THEY SAID

HE HAD DONE THE DAY BEFORE, THERE WAS A GOOD POSSIBILITY THAT

WE COULD HAVE BEEN HURT IN SOMEWAY HAD WE TRIED TO STOP THE

PERSON.

Q AND AS A RESULT OF THOSE THOUGHTS, DID YOU GIVE SOME CONSIDERATION TO THE EVENTS THAT HAD JUST TRANSPIRED WITH REGARD TO THE DEFENDANT IN YOUR SEARS STORE?

A ABSOLUTELY.

Q AND HAS THAT REMAINED IMPRESSIONABLE UPON YOU OVER THIS PERIOD OF TIME?

A YES.

MR. SEATON: THAT CONCLUDES OUR QUESTIONING, YOUR

HONOR.

3

4

5

7

8

9

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

20

27

28

29 30

31

32

THE COURT: RECROSS?

RECROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. FRANZEN:

Q SIR, DID YOU SAY YOU FOUND DUT THE MAN WAS WANTED IN LAS VEGAS ABOUT ONE HOUR AFTER THE INCIDENT?

A THAT'S WHEN I FOUND OUT.

Q OKAY.

AND THE RETAIL CREDIT CHECK SLIP, THE R.C.C. THAT WAS SHOWN TO YOU BY THE STATE AS STATE'S EXHIBIT 38, THAT IS -- IS THAT THE SAME -- THAT'S A RETAIL CREDIT SLIP THAT YOU FILLED OUT WHEN THE MAN TRIED TO RETURN THE SANDER?

A YES.

Q WHEN YOU WERE WITH THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY

MR. SEATON TODAY, DID YOU ASK TO SEE A PHOTOGRAPH OF THE MAN?

A NO, I DID NOT.

Q WAS ANY -- WAS THERE ANY OPPORTUNITY TO

SEE PHOTOGRAPHS VOLUNTARILY BY THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY?

A NO, THERE WAS NOT.

MR. FRANZEN: NOTHING FURTHER.

MR. SEATON: NOTHING FURTHER BY THE STATE.

THE COURT: YOU'RE EXCUSED.

(WHEREUPON, THE WITNESS WAS

EXCUSED.)

THE COURT: CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS.

MR. SEATON: NORMA DONALDSON.

THE COURT: COUNSEL, COME FORWARD. WATCH OUT 50

YOU DON'T TRIP THERE.

•

-724-

17.49

SHowar			
: <u>p</u>	1	(WHEREUPON, SIDE BAR CONFERENCE	
: मिं :	2	WAS HELD AT THE BENCH; NOT	•
PE14975	3	REPORTED.)	
75	4	THE CLERK: RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND.	
	5	THE CLERK. RAISE TOOK RIGHT HAND.	
:	6	WHEREUPON,	
•	7		
	8	NORMA DONALDSON,	
4	9		
!	10	CALLED AS A WITNESS HEREIN BY THE PLAINTIFF WAS FIRST DULY SWORN,	
) }	11	EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:	
<i>i</i> }	12		
:	13	THE COURT: PROCEED.	
•	14	·	
} }	15	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
,	16		
* *	17	BY MR. SEATON:	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	18		
· 	19	Q WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND SPELL	
•	20	YOUR LAST NAME FOR THE RECORD?	
:	21	A NORMA DONALDSON, D-O-N-A-L-D-S-O-N.	
:	22	Q IS IT MISS OR MRS.?	
•	23	A MRS.	
: :	24	Q MRS. DONALDSON, HOW ARE YOU EMPLOYED?	
i	25	A SEARS AND ROEBUCK.	
2	26	Q WHERE IS THAT LOCATED?	
:	27	A SAN BERNARDINO.	•
1	28	Q IS THAT WHERE YOU LIVE, ALSO?	
\$ 5	29	A 163.	
	30	Q AND IN WHAT CAPACITY DO YOU WORK FOR SEARS	
ī	31	ROEBUCK IN SAN BERNARDING?	750
	32	A I'M THE ASSISTANT SECURITY MANAGER THERE.	750
		-725-	

- 11			
1	Q ,	AND HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN IN SECURITY	
2	WITH SEARS IN SAN BER		
3	A	NINE YEARS.	
4	Q	IS THAT ALL AT THE SAME STORE?	
5	A	YES.	,
6	Q	AND WERE YOU A SECURITY AGENT PRIOR TO	
7	THAT TIME?		
8	A	YES, I WAS.	
9	Q	AND WHAT'S THE TOTAL TIME THAT YOU'VE BEEN	
10	A SECURITY AGENT?		
11	A	APPROXIMATELY 13 YEARS.	
12	· ` `	CALLING YOUR ATTENTION TO MARCH THE 28TH,	
13	1980, CAN YOU RECALL	RECEIVING A CALL HAVING TO DO WITH BOB	
14	SMITH?	1	
15	!	YES.	
16	Q	AND APPROXIMATELY WHAT PART OF THE DAY WAS	
17	THAT?		
18	A	AFTERNOON.	
19	,	AND WHAT DID YOU DO IN RESPONSE TO THAT	
20	CALL?	AND AND AND THE	
21	A	I WENT TO HIS DEPARTMENT, WHICH WAS THE] .
22 23	TOOL DEPARTMENT.		
24	9	AND DID YOU MEET WITH HIM?	
25	A	YES, I DID. WAS THAT DID YOU MEET WITH HIM IN THE	
26	Q TOOL DEPARTMENT?	WAS THAT OF DEB TOO MEET WITH THE TO	
27	A A	IN HIS OFFICE.	,
28		IN HIS OFFICE?	
29	A	YES.	
30	Q	IS THAT NEAR THE TOOL DEPARTMENT?	
31	A	YES.	
32	Q	AND WAS THERE ANYONE ELSE IN THE OFFICE?	1751
		-726 <i>-</i>	
	II		I

II.		
1	A	NO.
2	Q	WHAT DID HE TELL YOU AT THAT TIME?
3	A	HE INFORMED ME THAT HE HAD A REFUNDER AT
4	HIS COUNTER THAT HA	D 1.D. BEING A SECURITY AGENT FROM LAS VEGAS.
5	· Q	ANY PARTICULAR TYPE OF SECURITY AGENT?
6	A *	JUST CANADA
7	Q	DID HE INDICATE WHERE THE GENTLEMAN WORKED
8	WHO WAS ASKING FOR	A REFUND?
9	· A	YES. IN LAS VEGAS.
10	Q	AT ANY
11	А	PARTICULAR STORE?
12	Q	YES. WELL, WHAT KIND OF A STORE?
13	Α	A SEARS STORE.
14	Q	I SEE.
15	A	I'M SORRY.
16	Q	AND DID HE TELL YOU AT THAT TIME WHAT THE
17	INDIVIDUAL'S NAME V	AS WHO WAS ASKING FOR THE REFUND?
18	A	YES, HE DID.
19	Q	AND WHAT WAS THAT?
20	A	KEITH KINSEY, KINSEY.
21	Q	KEITH KINSEY?
22	· A	YES.
23	Q	OKAY:
24		AFTER RECEIVING THAT INFORMATION DID
25	YOU GO ANYWHERE?	
26	A	YES, I DID.
27	Q	WHERE DID YOU GO?
28	A	I WELL, I WAS STILL IN HIS OFFICE, BUT
29	1 WENT TO THE PHON	TO MAKE A CALL TO LAS VEGAS
30	Q	DID YOU HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY
31	A	(CONTINUING) TO SEARS.
32	Q	PRIOR TO MAKING THAT PHONE CALL, DID YOU
		-727-

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1	HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO OBSERVE THE DEFENDANT OR THE INDIVIDUAL	
2	AT ALL?	
3	A YES, I DID.	
4	Q HOW DID YOU GO ABOUT DOING THAT?	
5	A I WENT BACK OUT ON THE FLOOR AND OBSERVED	
.6	HIM AND WENT BACK IN THE OFFICE AND MADE THE PHONE CALL.	ļ . Į
7	Q FOR HOW LONG A PERIOD OF TIME DID YOU	
8	OBSERVE HIM?]
9	A APPROXIMATELY A MINUTE.	
10	Q WHAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF DOING THAT?	
11	A SO THAT I COULD I.D. HIM TO THE LAS VEGAS	
12	SECURITY.	
13	Q I SEE.	
14	AND DID YOU REDUCE YOUR THOUGHTS OF	}
15	IDENTIFICATION TO WRITING AT THAT TIME?	
16	A YES, I DID.	
17	Q AND THEN DID YOU GO BACK AND MAKE THE	
18	TELEPHONE CALL?	
19	A YES, I DID.	
20	Q AND WHERE DID YOU CALL?	
21	. A I CALLED THE SEARS STORE IN LAS VEGAS.	
22	Q AND DID YOU SPEAK TO SOMEONE THERE?	
23	A YES, 1 DID.	
24	Q DO YOU KNOW WHO THAT WAS?	
25	A NO, I DON'T, NOT RIGHT OFF HAND. IT WAS	
26	THE SECURITY PERSONNEL.	
27	Q WOULD YOU TELL US THE NATURE OF THAT CON-	
28	VERSATION, PLEASE?	
29	MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, WE WOULD OBJECT TO	
30	WHATEVER SHE RECEIVED FROM AN UN WHATEVER INFORMATION SHE	
31	RECEIVED FROM AN UNIDENTIFIED INDIVIDUAL AT AN UNIDENTIFIED	
32	SEARS STORE IN LAS VEGAS AS A DENIAL OF CONFRONTATION AND HEAR-	1753
	-728-	

THEY SIMPLY HAD NO BLACK AGENT WORKING FOR

ll l			
1	THEM IN SECURITY	۲.	
2	c	}	AND WHAT DID YOU DO AT THAT TIME?
3	A	\	I HUNG UP AND INFORMED BOB WHAT I HAD
4	FOUND OUT.		ļ
5	c	≀	WHAT DID YOU DO AFTER THAT?
6	,	A	I TOLD BOB I WOULD HAVE TO STOP THE
7	GENTLEMAN AND AS	K HIM	SOME QUESTIONS.
8	C	₹	AND ARE YOU
9	,	4	REGARDING HIS SECURITY CARD.
10	C	2	AND DID YOU ATTEMPT TO DO THAT?
11	,	4	YES.
12	C	2	HOW DID YOU DO THAT?
13	,	4	WE WENT BACK OUT ON THE FLOOR. AT THIS
14	TIME THE GENTLEM	NAN WA	S NOT THERE IN THE DEPARTMENT.
15	(5	DID YOU LOOK ABOUT FOR HIM?
16	,	4	YES.
17	(ą	AND WERE YOU ABLE TO FIND HIM AT ALL IN
18	THE STORE?		·
19		Ą	NO.
20		Q	DID YOU LOOK ANYWHERE ELSE?
21		Α.	WE LOOKED INSIDE AND WE DID NOT LOCATE HIM.
22		Q	1 SEE.
23			DID YOU COME BACK INTO THE STORE AFTER
24	HAVING BEEN OUT	SIDE?	· ·
25	,	Α -	YES, I DID.
26	ļ	Q	AND WHAT HAPPENED AT THAT TIME?
27 28		A	AT THAT TIME I CALLED THE SECURITY OFFICE
29	ON THE PHONE.		•
30			AND WHO WAS ON THE PHONE?
31		A	1 WAS. I DIALED THE SECURITY OFFICE.
32		`	AND WHO WAS ON THE PHONE?
		A	IT WAS LAS VEGAS SECURITY, SEARS.

17:57

v			
ъ́Но⊯а	·		
γη <u>ρ</u>	1	KEITH KINSEY AT GUNPOINT AT THE SEARS OFFICE?	
11 11	2	A YES.	
\$ 5¢	3	Q HE HAD BEEN I'M SORRY. WOULD YOU	
ω	4	CONTINUE?	
	5	A HE HAD BEEN INVOLVED IN A MURDER AND HAD	
	6	A A CAR THEFT.	
	7	Q AND THAT	
<u>!</u>	8	A THAT HE HAD SHOT A DOCTOR UP HERE IN LAS	
) ; :	9	VEGAS.	
; ,	10	Q DID HE SAY ANYTHING ABOUT HIM WITH REGARD	
i	11	TO WHETHER OR NOT HE MAY BE ARMED?	
: :	12	A YES. THEY INFORMED ME THAT HE WAS ARMED.	
•	13	Q DID THEY TELL YOU HOW HE WAS ARMED?	
	14	A WITH A GUN.	
:	15	Q DID THEY SAY WHAT KIND OF A GUN IT WAS?	
i :	16	A I UNDERSTOOD A .357. I COULD BE WRONG.	
•	17	Q DID THEY TELL YOU ANYTHING ABOUT AN AUTO-	
•	18	MOBILE WITH THAT WAS IN RELATION TO THIS INDIVIDUAL WHO HAD	
•	19	WHO WAS SUSPECTED OR MURDERING SOMEONE AND WHO HAD HELD THE	
,	20	SECURITY GUARD AT GUNPOINT IN THE SECURITY STORE?	
*	21	A THEY GAVE ME A DESCRIPTION OF THE CAR AND	
₹ :	22	A LICENSE NUMBER.	
	23	Q DO YOU RECALL THE DESCRIPTION OF THE CAR?	
	24	A 1980 OLDS CUTLASS.	
:	25	Q DO YOU REMEMBER THE LICENSE NUMBER?	
i	26	A NO, I DO NOT.	
Ž	27	Q COURT'S INDULGENCE.	·
<i>f</i> !	28	DID YOU MAKE OUT A REPORT OF THIS	
:	29	INCIDENT AFTER IT HAD OCCURRED?	
· ·	30	A YES. ON MY ON A SLIP OF PAPER, YES.	
	31	Q AND WAS THIS INCIDENT LATER PUT INTO A LOG	,
5	32	SHEET THAT YOU KEEP AT THE SEARS ROEBUCK STORE IN SAN BERNARDINO?	1758
		- 733-	1,730
			1

- 11						
1	A YES, IT WAS.					
2	Q DID YOU PLACE THAT INFORMATION ON THE LOG					
3	SHEET?					
4	A NO, I DIDN'T.					
5	Q WHO DID, IF YOU KNOW?					
6	A I HAD AN AGENT STEVE.					
7	Q HERE?					
8	A I HAD ONE OF THE OTHER AGENTS STEVE LUNE					
9	(SIC) INTERJECT IT IN THE LOG FOR ME.					
10	Q AND DID HE DO THAT AT YOUR DIRECTION?					
11	A YES, HE DID.					
12	Q AND WHAT INFORMATION DID HE UTILIZE IN					
13	ORDER TO MAKE THAT LOG SHEET?					
14	A FROM MY NOTES.					
15	Q AND DID YOU LATER LOOK AT THAT LOG ENTRY					
16	TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT IT WAS CORRECT?					
17	A YES, I DID.					
18	Q ANO WAS IT CORRECT?					
19	A YES.					
20	Q IF I WERE TO SHOW YOU THAT, A COPY OF THAT,					
21	OR IF I WERE TO SHOW YOU THAT LOG AT THIS TIME, WOULD THAT					
22	REFRESH YOUR MEMORY AS TO THE LICENSE NUMBER THAT THE SEARS					
23	STORE IN LAS VEGAS GAVE TO YOU ON MARCH 28TH, 1980?					
24	A YES. IT WOULD.					
25	Q IF YOU WOULD JUST READ THIS.					
26	SHE'S READING AND I SHOULD THE :					
27	DOCUMENT THAT I'VE GIVEN TO YOU HAS BEEN MARKED AS STATE'S					
28	PROPOSED EXHIBIT 59.					
29	DOES READING STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT					
30	59 REFRESH YOUR MEMORY AS TO THE LICENSE NUMBER THAT THE SEARS					
31	PEOPLE IN LAS VEGAS GAVE TO YOU ON THE PHONE THAT DAY?					
32	A YES, IT DOES.					

.		
1	Q AND WHAT IS THAT?	
2	A 614 ZHQ.	
3	Q AND WHAT STATE WAS THAT LICENSE PLATE FROM?	
4	A I BELIEVE IT SAID NEW YORK.	
5	Q THANK YOU.	-
6	LET'S GO BACK TO STATE'S PROPOSED	
7	EXHIBIT 59 FOR A MOMENT. WOULD YOU TELL US WHAT THAT IS,	
8	PLEASE?	
9	A PARDON ME?	
10	Q WOULD YOU TELL US WHAT STATE'S PROPOSED	
11	EXHIBIT 59 IS?	
12	A NEW YORK.	
13	Q NO. WHAT THIS WHOLE PIECE OF PAPER IS?	
14	A OKAY. THIS IS A LOG SHEET THAT WE LOG	
15	INCIDENTS THAT HAPPEN DURING THE DAY DURING OUR SHIFT.	
16	Q WHILE YOU'RE EMPLOYED AS A SEARS SECURITY	
17	AGENT?	
18	A YES.	
19	Q AND	
20	A IT'S A DAILY LOG.	
21	Q AND THIS IS THE SAME LOG THAT YOU TESTIFIED	
22	TO THAT YOU THAT THE GENTLEMAN MADE THE ENTRY FOR YOU	
23	REGARDING THIS INCIDENT?	
24	A YES, IT IS.	
25	Q . AND DID YOU THEN GO BACK.TQ STATE'S	
26	PROPOSED EXHIBIT 59 AND REVIEW THAT LOG TO SEE IF THE INFORMATION	
27	HE PUT IN IT WAS CORRECT?	
28	A YES, WE DID.	
29	Q IT IS CONTAINED NOW THERE AT THE PRESENT	
30	TIME?	
31 32	A YES, SIR.	1760
36	MR. SEATON: YOUR HONOR, THE STATE WOULD DO TWO	
	-735 -	

THE ORIGINAL.

.10

THINGS NOW: MOVE FOR THE ADMISSION OF STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 59, AND ALSO TO SUBSTITUTE FOR IT A COPY WHICH WE HAVE MADE ALREADY SO THE WITNESS MIGHT BE ABLE TO TAKE THIS BACK TO THE SEARS STORE IN SAN BERNARDINO.

THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION TO THE COPY, COUNSEL?

MR. FRANZEN: NO, YOUR HONOR, IF WE COULD SEE

THE COURT: YES.

MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, ON THE BASIS OF SUB-STITUTION OF THE COPY FOR THE ORIGINAL, WE HAVE NO OBJECTION.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. FRANZEN: WE DO HAVE OUR OBJECTIONS, IF THE COURT WILL LISTEN AT THIS TIME.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, WE OBJECT IN THAT THERE ARE THINGS ON THIS DOCUMENT THAT HAVE NOTHING TO DO WITH THIS CASE AND WHICH ARE PREJUDICIAL, THAT THEY APPEAR TO DESCRIBE CRIMES AND ALSO APPARENTLY DESCRIBE THE YOUNG WOMAN WHO MAY OR MAY NOT BE THE INDIVIDUAL WHOM THE DEFENDANT WAS IN THE COMPANY

OF. I DON'T KNOW.

THE COURT: LET'S SEE IT.

DO YOU HAVE A COPY OF IT?

MR. FRANZEN: I ALSO NOTE THAT -- I WOULD ALSO
NOTE THAT THE PARAGRAPH THAT SHE IS REFERRING TO THAT WAS
WRITTEN BY THE OTHER AGENT AT HER REQUEST DESCRIBES THE
DEFENDANT BEING WANTED FOR HOMICIDE. THIS MAY BE SELF EVIDENT
THROUGH OUR EVIDENCE BUT IT'S CERTAINLY NOT THROUGH THIS
WITNESS, AND I THINK IT INVADES THE PROVINCE OF THE JURY. IT'S
FOR THEM TO DECIDE, NOT FOR THE SECURITY AT AN UNIDENTIFIED
SEARS STORE TO INFORM THIS LADY, ALSO SECURITY OF AN IDENTIFIED
SECURITY -- SEARS STORE, THAT THE MAN IS WANTED FOR A HOMICIDE.

MR. SEATON: YOUR HONOR, PARDON ME FOR INTERRUPT-

5

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29 30

, 31

32

ING, BUT PERHAPS I COULD SHORT CIRCUIT THIS.

THE STATE WOULD BE WILLING TO OFFER THIS SOLELY FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS HEARING ONLY. AND GIVEN THE SAME TESTIMONY IN FRONT OF THE JURY, SHOULD THE COURT ALLOW THIS WITNESS TO TESTIFY WE WOULD CHOOSE NOT TO OFFER STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 59 INTO EVIDENCE AT THAT TIME.

MR. FRANZEN: THAT SOUNDS ACCEPTABLE, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. SEATON: WITH THAT IN MIND, WE PROBABLY WILL NOT NEED THE XEROX COPY. WE CAN JUST COPY IT AS IT IS, UNLESS THE COURT WILL KEEP THAT.

WELL, IF IT'S OFFERED FOR -- OR ACCEPTED FOR ADMISSION, THE COURT WILL KEEP IT. WE WILL LEAVE THE XEROX COPY.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WHY DON'T YOU SUBSTITUTE A XEROX COPY AND THEN THE SAME MAY BE KEPT FOR THE PURPOSES OF THIS HEARING.

MR. SEATON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

IF I MAY NOW, I WILL RETURN THE LOG TO THE

BY MR. SEATON:

WITNESS.

WHEN YOU SPOKE WITH THE SEARS SECURITY IN LAS VEGAS THE SECOND TIME, DID THEY INDICATE TO YOU A NAME THAT THE DEFENDANT -- THAT THE VICTIM MIGHT BE USING?

YES.

AND WHAT WAS THAT NAME?

HAROLD STANBACK.

THANK YOU.

MRS. DONALDSON, WOULD YOU NOW LOOK

ABOUT THE COURTROOM AND TELL US IF YOU ARE ABLE TO IDENTIFY

-738-

Ø			
SHowar		. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
.g. d. − D	1	TO YOU?	
 1	2	A NO.	
4989	3	Q WHEN YOU TALKED TO WHOEVER IT WAS THAT YOU	
φ	4	TALKED TO ON THE PHONE IN LAS VEGAS, DID THIS PERSON TELL YOU	
	5	HOW THEY CAME ABOUT THIS INFORMATION THAT THIS GENTLEMAN WAS -	
	6	WANTED FOR MURDER IN LAS VEGAS?	
	7	A NO.	
	8	Q DID YOU MAKE INQUIRY AS TO HOW THEY KNEW	
	9	THAT?	
•	10	A DID I MAKE INQUIRY?	
•	ıı	Q YES.	
	12	A NO, SIR.	
	13	Q WHEN YOU HAD THE OPERATOR TO PLACE THE CALL;	
	14	IS THAT RIGHT?	
	15	A YES, I DIO.	,
	16	Q WHERE DID YOU CALL FROM?	
	17	A I CALLED SHE CALLED SHE GAVE ME THE	
	18	NUMBER AND I DIALED IT.	
;	19	Q EXCUSE ME. THE NUMBER TO WHAT?	<u> </u>
	20	A TO THE SEARS STORE.	
	21	Q YOU'RE NOW AWARE THAT THERE ARE TWO SEARS	
<u>.</u>	22	STORES IN LAS VEGAS?	
i ;	23	A I AM NOW.	
; i	24	Q AND THERE WERE TWO SEARS STORES IN LAS	}
	25	VEGAS ON MARCH 28TH, 1980?	
	26	A YES.	
<u>.</u>	27	Q YOU DON'T RECALL WHICH SEARS STORE YOU	·
, .	28	CALLED THOUGH?	
} }	29	A NO, I DON'T.	
	30	Q YOU DON'T KNOW WHO YOU SPOKE TO?	
	31	A NO, I DON'T.	
	32	Q NOW, YOU TESTIFIED THAT AFTER YOU HAD YOUR	1764
		- 739-	',''

- 11						
1	BELIEVE THAT THIS MAN WAS THE ONE WHO THAT MR. SMITH HAD					
2	CALLED YOU REGARDING THIS PARTICULAR PERSON THOUGH, DID YOU?					
3	A HE HAD CALLED ME ON A REFUNDER.					
4	Q UH-HUH.					
5	A AND I ASSUMED, AS I WAS GOING TO MR.					
6	SMITH'S OFFICE, THAT HE WAS THE ONE IN QUESTION.					
7	Q WHY DID YOU ASSUME THAT?					
8	A BECAUSE HE WAS THE ONLY ONE STANDING AT					
9	THE COUNTER.					
10	Q SO YOU ASSUMED THAT THIS PERSON WHO WAS					
11	STANDING AT THE COUNTER					
12	A YES, SIR.					
13	Q YOU JUST WALKED RIGHT PAST HIM; IS THAT					
14	RIGHT?					
15	A YES. THAT'S RỊGHT.					
16	Q DID YOU OBSERVE HIM JUST FOR SECONDS THEM?					
17	A RIGHT.					
18	Q AND WHEN YOU CAME OUT OF MR. SMITH'S OFFICE					
19	AND YOU YOU TAKE A LOOK AT THIS INDIVIDUAL, WAS HE FACING					
20	YOU AT ALL TIMES?					
21	A WAS THE GENTLEMAN FACING ME?					
22	Q YES.					
23	A NO.					
24	Q SO AT LEAST PART OF THAT TIME HE WASN'T					
25	LOOKING AT YOU OR, I GUESS, YOU WEREN'T LOOKING AT HIM STRAIGHT-					
26	ON?					
27	A THAT'S RIGHT.					
28 29	Q FOR HOW MUCH OF THAT MINUTE THAT YOU SAID					
30	YOU LOOKED AT THIS MAN WOULD YOU SAY THAT YOU SAW HIS FRONTAL					
31	VIEW OF HIS FACE?					
32	A OKAY, EXCUSE ME, I'M CONFUSED ON YOUR					
	QUESTION THERE, I THOUGHT YOU WERE ASKING ME WHEN I FIRST WENT					

Terminal Control of the Control of t

SHora			
. a.			
1111 Car	1	Q YOU GOT ALL OF YOUR INFORMATION, WITH THE	
149	2	EXCEPTION OF HAVING ACTUALLY OBSERVED THIS MAN, FROM MR. SMITH?	
94	3	A YES, I DID.	
}	4	Q DID YOU DID YOU SEE THIS MAN WITH A	
i 	5	RADIO AT ALL?	•
4 1 2	6	A NO, SIR. I DID NOT.	
1	7	Q DO YOU KNOW WHAT A TWO-WAY RADIO IS?	
è	8	A YES. I KNOW WHAT A TWO-WAY RADIO IS.	
₹ }	9	. Q DO YOU USE ANY KIND OF A TWO-WAY RADIO OR	
• •	10	A WALKIE-TALKIE IN YOUR JOB THERE AT SEARS?	
د دید فارد دهای و موجود	11	A YES, I DO.	
÷	12	Q DID YOU SEE THIS PERSON WITH ANY SIMILAR	
er dan er en er	13	TYPE OF RADIO?	
v.	14	A NO, I DID NOT.	
1/ P	15	Q HOW WOULD YOU DESCRIBE HIS HIS BUILD	
Į Š	16	AT THE TIME?	
j.	17	A THINNER.	
	18	Q THINNER?	
;	19	A YES.	
7 2	20	Q WOULD YOU SAY AT THAT TIME THAT HE WAS	
	21	THAT HE WAS MUSCULAR?	
•	22	A YES. HE'S HE WAS MUSCULAR BUILT, BUT	
ě.	23	NOT AS HEAVY.	
	24	Q WAS HE	
	25	A HE WASN'T AS HEAVY THEN.	
}	26	MR. COOPER: I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.	
ś	27	MR. SEATON: WE HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS, YOUR	•
<u>}</u>	28	HONOR.	
?	29	THE COURT: YOU'RE EXCUSED.	
9 3	30	(WHEREUPON, THE WITNESS WAS	
,	31	EXCUSED.)	
	32	THE COURT: FURTHER WITNESSES?	1769
		-744-	1,0)
			1

MR. SEATON: YOUR HONOR?

THE COURT: ANY FURTHER WITNESSES OUTSIDE OF THE

PRESENCE OF THE JURY?

SMITH AND NORMA DONALDSON.

MR. SEATON: NO, YOUR HONOR. THAT'S ALL. THANK

YOU.

THE COURT: COUNSEL, WE'LL TAKE ABOUT A TEN-MINUTE RECESS AND THEN I'LL LISTEN TO YOUR ARGUMENTS.

MR. FRANZEN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

(WHEREUPON, FROM THE HOUR OF 4:10 P.M. UNTIL 4:25 P.M., A RECESS WAS HAD IN THE PROCEED-INGS, AT THE CONCLUSION OF WHICH THE FOLLOWING PROCEED-INGS WERE CONTINUED OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)

THE COURT: PROCEED, COUNSEL.

MR. SEATON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

YOUR HONOR, THE STATE WISHES TO OFFER THE

EVIDENCE WHICH WAS JUST SHOWN THROUGH THE WITNESSES OF BOB

UNDER N.R.S. 48.045, SUBSECTION 2, WHICH WE ARGUED BEFORE IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE, IT COMES FROM THE NESTER EXCEPTION THAT THE COURT IS WELL AWARE OF.

AND WHILE PROOF OF OTHER OFFENSES IS

NOT NORMALLY RECEIVED IN CRIMINAL CASES, IF THE PROOF OF THE

OTHER OFFENSE FALLS INTO ONE OF THE ENUMERATED EXCEPTIONS THE

COURT WILL SOMETIMES LET THOSE OFFENSES IN. WE FEEL THAT UNDER

FOUR OF THE EXCEPTIONS, IN N.R.S. 48.045, SUB 2, THIS PARTICULAR

TESTIMONY SHOULD COME IN. TO LIST THEM QUITE QUICKLY, IT'S

THE EXCEPTIONS OF MOTIVE, INTENT, IDENTITY, AND PLAN.

NOW, I WOULD LIKE TO TALK FOR A MOMENT ABOUT IDENTITY, BECAUSE, AND I WILL GO INTO THE -- A LIST OF

SIMILARITIES BETWEEN THE TWO CRIMES, BUT BECAUSE OF THAT LIST, WHICH I AM GOING TO REFER TO, THE MODUS OPERANDUS OF THE DEFENDANT WAS SO REMARKABLY SIMILAR IN THESE TWO CASES IT WOULD GREATLY ASSIST THE STATE IN THE PROOF OF THE IDENTITY OF THE DEFENDANT IN THE SEARS ROBBERY, WHICH HAS BEEN CHARGED IN THIS CRIMINAL INDICTMENT.

AND ALTHOUGH I DO NOT HAVE CASES HERE
FOR THE COURT AT THIS TIME, I CAN REPRESENT TO THE COURT THAT I
THINK IT WAS ARGUED THE OTHER DAY WHEN WE ARGUED THE SAME ISSUE,
THE FACT THAT PEOPLE HAVE MADE EYE-WITNESS IDENTIFICATIONS DOES
NOT PRECLUDE THE USE OF SEPARATE OFFENSES TO ASSIST IN SHOWING
IDENTITY. AS A MATTER OF FACT, THERE IS A CASE, THE NAME OF
WHICH ESCAPES ME, THAT I READ JUST RECENTLY THAT INDICATES EVEN
IN FINGERPRINT CASES, WHICH IS CONSIDERED TO BE MORE RELIABLE
THAN THAT OF EYE-WITNESS TESTIMONY, SUCH PROOF OF SEPARATE
OFFENSES FOR THE PURPOSES OF PROVING IDENTITY HAS BEEN RECEIVED,
AND FAVORABLY SO, BY THE NEVADA SUPREME COURT.

AS TO MOTIVE AND INTENT, PARTICULARLY WITH REGARD TO INTENT, THE STATE IS SOMEWHAT CONCERNED THAT THE DEFENDANT MAY CHOOSE TO ARGUE THAT IN THE KEITH KINSEY ROBBERY THE ONLY REASON HE PULLED HIS GUN, AND DID WHAT HE DID SUBSEQUENT TO THE PULLING OF THAT GUN, WAS BECAUSE OF THE ACTIONS OF KEITH KINSEY. ON CROSS EXAMINATION WE HAVE SEEN ALLUSIONS TO THAT IN THAT IT HAS BEEN BROUGHT OUT THAT THERE WERE -- KEITH HAD GRABBED AHOLD OF THE DEFENDANT, AND PERHAPS IT WOULD BE ARGUED LATER THAT THAT PRECIPITATED THE DEFENDANT'S ACTIONS, WHICH OF COURSE WOULD BE ARGUED IN ORDER TO NEGATE ANY INFERENCE OF INTENT THAT THE DEFENDANT MAY HAVE FORMULATED PRIOR TO COMMITTING WHAT HAS BEEN CHARGED AS A ROBBERY IN THIS CASE.

AND WITH REFERENCE TO PLAN, WHEN NESTER WAS WRITTEN THE TERMINOLOGY THAT THEY USED WAS COMMON SCHEME OR PLAN. AND WITH THE CODIFICATION OF N.R.S. 48.045,

 SUB 2, THE LEGISLATURE LEFT OUT THE TERMINOLOGY "COMMON SCHEME" AND THEY JUST USED THE WORD "PLAN". NONETHELESS, IN THE SUBSEQUENT CASES, THE NESTER CASE HAS BEEN REFERRED TO FAVORABLY JUXTAPOSE, RIGHT UP ALONGSIDE OF, 48.045, SUB 2; AND, IN FACT, CASES HAVE USED THE TERMINOLOGY OF "COMMON SCHEME OR PLAN" OUT OF THE NESTER CASE WHEN REFERRING TO THE WORD "PLAN" IN N.R.S. 48.045, SUB 2.

I WOULD REFER THE COURT TO TWO CASES
IN REGARDS TO THIS COMMON SCHEME OR PLAN ARGUMENT: WILLET,
W-I-L-L-E-T V. STATE. I DID NOT HAVE THE RECENT CITE, BUT IT
WAS ADVANCED OPINION 1974 584 P2ND 648, 1978, NEVADA SUPREME
COURT.

IN WILLET, YOUR HONGR, THE CHARGES
WERE OF A SEXUAL NATURE AND THE EVIDENCE SHOWED THAT THE
DEFENDANT WILLET COMMITTED SEVERAL ACTS OF ORAL COPULATION ON
A MINOR BOY. HE COMPLAINED THAT THE TRIAL COURT HAD ADMITTED
TESTIMONY OF SIMILAR ACTS THAT WERE COMMITTED CLOSE IN TIME,
WITHIN A MONTH WAS IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE, AND UNDER CERTAIN
CIRCUMSTANCES, THAT IS WHILE WILLET WAS WORKING AS A VOLUNTEER
AT A HOME FOR BOYS, AND THERE UNDER THOSE FACTS THE COURT
UPHELD THE DISTRICT COURT'S RULING THAT THE TESTIMONY WAS
ADMISSIBLE TO SHOW A COMMON SCHEME OR PLAN. AND IN HOLDING
THAT, THEY CITED BOTH THE N.R.S. STATUTE THAT WE'VE REFERRED TO
AND NESTER V. STATE, 75 NEVADA 41, A 1959 CASE.

THE OTHER CASE THAT I: WISH TO REFER TO
IS SIMPSON V. STATE, NEVADA -- 94 NEVADA ADVANCED OPINION 222,
A 1978, NEVADA SUPREME COURT, IN WHICH WILLET V. STATE IS
REFERRED TO, JUST REFERRED TO, WAS CITED PREVALENTLY. AND THERE
IT WAS A SEXUAL CASE AGAIN WITH A MINOR VICTIM. AND OUT OF THE
PRESENCE OF THE JURY THE COURT HEARD EVIDENCE AS TO THE
DEFENDANT'S CONTINUING COURSE OF ILLICIT SEXUAL ACTS WITH THE
MINOR CHILD, AND IT WAS ALLOWED TO COME IN RECOGNIZING THAT

THERE WAS SOME PREJUDICIAL AFFECT OF HIS TESTIMONY, AND THE COURT, IN THAT CASE THE DISTRICT COURT, ADMITTED THE TESTIMONY AS PROOF AGAIN OF A COMMON SCHEME OR PLAN UNDER N.R.S. 48.045, SUB 2.

THOSE TWO CASES, IT WOULD APPEAR,

UPHOLD IN CASES WHERE THERE ARE SIMILAR SETS OF CIRCUMSTANCES

BETWEEN THE TWO CRIMES TO ALLOW THE PROOF OF THE SECOND SEPARATE

OFFENSE IN ORDER TO SHOW COMMON SCHEME OR PLAN.

IN THIS CASE, WE HAVE SO MANY MORE THAN EITHER OF THOSE OTHER TWO CASES. IT ALMOST DEFIES BELIEF. AND IF I MAY, FOR THE RECORD, I WILL LIST THE SERIES OF SIMILARITIES BETWEEN THE KEITH KINSEY ROBBERY AND THE ACTIVITIES OF THE DEFENDANT IN THE SAN BERNARDING STORE TWO DAYS LATER:

IN WHICH THE ACT WAS COMMITTED. IT OCCURRED IN THE AFTERNOON.

BOTH TIMES THE DEFENDANT APPROACHED THE CASHIER. HE HAD A

SANDER THAT HE WISHED TO RETURN. HE DID NOT HAVE A RECEIPT IN

EITHER CASE. HE ASKED FOR HIS MONEY BACK IN BOTH CASES, AND IN

BOTH SITUATIONS HE GAVE AS HIS REASON THE FACT THAT HE DIDN'T

NEED THIS PARTICULAR ITEM. BOTH SANDERS HAD BEEN OPENED AND

THE CONTENTS REMOVED AND CHANGED WITHIN THE STORE, THE SANDER

PUT BACK INTO THE BOX AND THEN BROUGHT TO THE CASHIER;

IN BOTH SITUATIONS, THE -- THE
INDIVIDUAL AT THE CASHIER COUNTER ASKED FOR IDENTIFICATION. AND
IN BOTH SITUATIONS THE DEFENDANT PROVED -- PROVIDED FALSE
IDENTIFICATION; THE FIRST TIME HE PROVIDED THE IDENTIFICATION
AS ONE HAROLD STANBACK, THE SECOND TIME HE GAVE HIMSELF IDENTIFICATION AS KEITH KINSEY:

IN BOTH SITUATIONS A RETAIL CREDIT

CHECK WAS MADE OUT WITH THE IDEA IN MIND BEING THAT THE DEFENDANT
WOULD RECEIVE CASH FOR THIS RETURNED ITEM.

THOSE ITEMS THAT I HAVE JUST LISTED ARE

IN BOTH SITUATIONS IT WAS A SEARS STORE

 SO SIMILAR THAT THEY SHOW -- THEY COULDN'T MORE DISTINCTLY SHOW .THE SAME MODUS OPERANDUS, NOR COULD THEY SHOW A MORE SIMILAR COMMON SCHEME OR PLAN.

THE ONLY THING THAT THE STATE WOULD ADD IS THAT THERE, EVEN IN SPITE OF THE VIGOROUS CROSS EXAMINATION, THERE CANNOT BE MUCH DOUBT AS TO THE IDENTIFICATION OF THE DEFENDANT AS BEING THE PERSON WHO DID THIS. AND I WON'T REITERATE ALL THAT THE COURT HAS HEARD, EXCEPT FOR TWO VERY INTERESTING ITEMS: ONE OF WHICH IS THAT BOB SMITH INDICATED THAT -- LET'S GO TO NORMA, BECAUSE I REMEMBER THAT ONE. I'LL TRY TO REMEMBER BOB.

NORMA REMEMBERED THAT HE -- OR SAID

THAT THE DEFENDANT APPEARED TO HAVE GAINED WEIGHT SINCE SHE LAST

SAW HIM. AND WE'VE HAD ANOTHER WITNESS IN THIS CASE WHO SAID

PRECISELY THE SAME THING IN MAKING THE IDENTIFICATION.

WITH REGARD TO BOB SMITH, HE SAID THAT THE DEFENDANT LOOKED VERY SIMILAR IN REGARDS TO HIS HAIR, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE BACK OF HIS HAIR WAS A LITTLE LONGER TODAY THAN IT WAS THEN, WHICH IS SIMILARLY THE PRECISE TESTIMONY THATWE'VE HAD FROM ANOTHER WITNESS WHO HAS MADE AN ON-THE-STAND EYE-WITNESS IDENTIFICATION OF THE DEFENDANT.

FOR THOSE REASONS, YOUR HONOR, THE COURT IS URGED TO ALLOW INTO EVIDENCE THE TESTIMONY OF BOB SMITH AND NORMA DONALDSON.

THE COURT: COUNSEL?

MR. FRANZEN: WE WOULD RESPECTFULLY OBJECT TO THE ADMISSION. THE ARGUMENT THAT THE STATE NEEDS THIS IN ORDER TO SHOW A COMMON PLAN OR MOTIVE TO TENDER IDENTITY IS INFLAMATIOUS (SIC) IN LIGHT OF THE EVIDENCE THAT WILL BE COMING FORWARD THAT WE KNOW ABOUT, DUE TO OUR BEING PROVIDED WITH DISCOVERY.

I ANTICIPATE THERE WILL BE A CONFESSION COMING IN. IN LIGHT OF THAT, I DOUBT THAT THERE WOULD BE ANY DOUBT AT ALL ABOUT ANY OF

THE PLANNED MOTIVE, INTENT, OR IDENTITY. AND IF ANY DOUBT DOES ARISE AFTER THIS TESTIMONY COMES IN, THEN IT WOULD BE THE APPROPRIATE TIME TO HAVE THIS EVIDENCE CONSIDERED TO BE ADMITTED.

WE WOULD ASK -- WE ARE, AS WAS STATED TO YOUR HONOR PRIOR TO CONVENING THIS AFTERNOON --

THE COURT: COUNSEL, IF THERE'S GOING TO BE A CONFESSION, THEN WHAT DIFFERENCE DOES IT MAKE?"

MR. FRANZEN: WELL, 1'M JUST GETTING TO THAT PART, YOUR HONOR.

WE, BEING STRUCK BY SURPRISE BY THE ADMISSION OF THE -- THE PROPOSAL OF THIS TESTIMONY, WE WOULD LIKE THE OPPORTUNITY TO INVESTIGATE IT, TO FIND OUT IF MR. HOWARD HAS AN ALIBI FOR THAT DATE OR IF MR. HOWARD WAS STILL HERE IN LAS VEGAS ON THAT DATE. WE WOULD NEED TIME TO INVESTIGATE THIS NEW AND SEPARATE OFFENSE, AND WE WOULD REQUEST TIME FROM YOUR HONOR TO DO SO.

THREE, THAT THE STATE HAS CITED A SERIES OF SEXUAL OFFENSE

CASES FOR THE ADMISSION OF OTHER CRIMES EVIDENCE. I HAVE

NOTED, AS A PERSONAL OBSERVATION, SEX CRIMES ARE TREATED

DIFFERENTLY BY THE COURTS. THERE APPEARS TO BE MORE LENIENCY

TOWARDS THE STATE FOR THE ADMISSION OF SUCH EVIDENCE. THIS IS

NOT THAT TYPE OF CASE, AND ON THOSE GROUNDS WE WOULD OBJECT.

I NOTE ADDITIONALLY, AS POINT NUMBER

THE LISTING OF SIMILARITIES ARE

SIMILARITIES THAT DON'T STAND OUT AS A DISTINCTIVE FOOTPRINT

THAT IDENTIFIES THE DEFENDANT FROM ANY OTHER PERSON WHO WOULD

COME INTO A SEARS STORE AND ASK FOR A REFUND AND NOT HAVE

IDENTIFICATION, THAT HAPPENED IN THE AFTERNOON. I'M SURE MANY

TIMES IN THE AFTERNOON AN INDIVIDUAL WOULD COME INTO A SEARS

STORE AND ASK FOR A REFUND. AFTER ALL, THEY'RE OPEN IN THE

AFTERNOON. THEY HAVE THEIR R.C.C. --- R.C.C. DOCUMENTS READY

FOR SUCH AN OCCASION, AS INDEED THEY HAD READY IN THE AFTERNOON OF MARCH 28TH, 1980.

I'M SURE THEY'VE BEEN ASKED FOR

REFUNDS ON SANDERS AND -- AND ON OTHER HEAVY EQUIPMENT. I'M

SURE IN THE AFTERNOON THEY'VE ASKED FOR RECEIPTS AND NOT HAD

BEEN SUPPLIED WITH RECEIPTS. I'M SURE, SINCE THEY HAVE A

PROCEDURE SET UP FOR IT, THAT THEY'VE BEEN GIVEN FALSE IDENTIFI
CATION IN THE PAST, AND IN THE FUTURE, SINCE MARCH OF 1980.

THE FACT THAT THE INDIVIDUAL ASKED FOR A CASH REFUND FROM THE INDIVIDUAL, ASKS TO REFUND AN ITEM AND DOESN'T HAVE A RECEIPT, I THINK THAT WOULD BE THE NORM. THERE'S NOT THAT DISTINCTIVE FOOTPRINT THAT IDENTIFIES THIS CRIME FROM OTHER CRIMES.

AGAIN I APOLOGIZE TO YOUR HONOR IF THIS APPEARS DISORGANIZED, AS THIS WAS GIVEN TO US AFTER THE COURT CONVENED. WE WOULD OBJECT TO THE ADMISSION OF THESE OTHER CRIMES WITHOUT OUR OPPORTUNITY TO INVESTIGATE.

THE COURT: WELL, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, COUNSEL, IT

APPEARS THAT THIS MATTER WILL NOT PROBABLY GO TO THE JURY BEFORE

THURSDAY. OBVIOUSLY, IF YOU THINK THAT YOU'LL NEED ADDITIONAL

TIME TO INQUIRE INTO IT, YOU HAVE A FEW MORE DAYS TO DO SO.

THE STATE HAD INDICATED EARLIER, WHEN WE TALKED ABOUT THIS IN CHAMBERS, THAT SOME OF THIS HAD ALREADY BEEN MADE PART OF THE RECORD OR -- WHAT WAS THE REPRESENTATION? I JUST WANT TO GET THAT CLEAR?

MR. SEATON: IS THE COURT REFERRING TO THE FACT
THAT WE HAD AN OFFICER'S REPORT OF DETECTIVE BRADLEY WHICH
REFERRED TO NEAR ALLEGATIONS AT LEAST THAT THIS SORT OF ACTIVITY
HAD TAKEN PLACE?

THE COURT: THERE WAS AN INDICATION THAT THIS WAS IN THE REPORT AND THAT THEY WERE AWARE OF, AS YOU SAY, THE BARE ALLEGATIONS?

5 6

> 7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27 28

29

30

31 32 MR. SEATON: YES. MR. HARMON HAS JUST PROVIDED ME WITH A HOMICIDE FOLLOW-UP REPORT, WHICH I WOULD GUESS IS A PART OF THE DISCOVERY, SINCE WE HAVE TRIED TO GIVE TOTAL DISCOVERY TO THE DEFENSE.

IT IS MADE OUT BY OFFICER BRADY AND IT
INDICATES THAT HE RECEIVED A TELEPHONE CALL FROM ASSISTANT
CHIEF OF SECURITY AT THE MEADOWS MALL STORE. AND HE SAID THAT
HE RECEIVED A PHONE CALL FROM SAN BERNARDINO. IT GOES ON TO
SOME DEGREE INDICATING WHAT WE'VE LEARNED HERE TODAY AS A RESULT
OF THE PAST TWO WITNESSES.

THE COURT: SO THIS DOESN'T COME AS A COMPLETE

MR. SEATON: OH, NO.

THE COURT: THEY DO HAVE A COPY OF THIS

DISCOVERY?

HONOR.

SURPRISE?

MR. SEATON: THEY SHOULD HAVE A COPY OF THIS DISCOVERY. I CANNOT MAKE THAT REPRESENTATION, YOUR HONOR.

I CAN MAKE THE REPRESENTATION THAT WE'VE

GIVEN THEM EVERYTHING THAT --

MR. COOPER: IF I COULD SEE THE REPORT, YOUR

THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU SHOW IT TO HIM?

MR. COOPER: 1 DO HAVE A COPY OF THE REPORT

REFERRED TO, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: NOW, AS I UNDERSTAND, THIS WAS TWO DAYS AFTER THE LAS VEGAS ROBBERY; IS THAT CORRECT?

MR. SEATON: YES. THIS WAS ON THE 28TH, AND THE LAS VEGAS ROBBERY WAS ON THE 26TH OF MARCH.

THE COURT: IS THE MATTER SUBMITTED AT THIS POINT, I TAKE IT?

MR. SEATON: YES, YOUR HONOR.

MR. FRANZEN: YES, SIR, IT IS.

В

 THE COURT: I HAVE SOME QUESTIONS, AND PARTICU-LARLY ABOUT THE HEARSAY ASPECT OF THIS DEFENDANT BEING A SUSPECT IN A MURDER CASE, THAT PORTION OF IT SEEMS TO BE A LITTLE BEYOND WHAT YOU'RE ACTUALLY ASKING FOR IN THIS CASE.

MR. SEATON: I THINK I CAN PUT THE COURT'S MIND AT EASE. WE WOULD NOT INTEND TO BRING THAT OUT IN FRONT OF THE JURY.

THE COURT: SO YOU'RE GOING TO BE ELIMINATING THAT ASPECT OF IT?

MR. SEATON: I WILL STOP -- IN FACT, I WOULD EVEN SUGGEST THAT I TELL NORMA DONALDSON BEFORE SHE RETAKES THE STAND THAT WE ARE NOT TO HAVE ANY ELUSIONS -- ALLUSIONS TO THAT PARTICULAR PART OF THE TESTIMONY, YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

THE ISSUE BEFORE THE COURT IS WHETHER OR NOT THE OFFER OF THE STATE IN THIS CASE SHOULD BE ACCEPTED.

IN EFFECT, THE STATE BELIEVES THAT THIS EVIDENCE IS ADMISSIBLE UNDER 48.045, WHICH STATES:

EVIDENCE OF OTHER CRIMES,

WRONGS OR ACTS IS NOT ADMISSIBLE
TO PROVE THE CHARACTER OF THE
PERSON IN ORDER TO SHOW THAT
HE'S ACTUALLY PERFORMING THEREWITH. IT MAY, HOWEVER, BE
ADMISSIBLE FOR OTHER PURPOSES,
SUCH AS PROOF OF MOTIVE, OPPORTUNITY, INTENT, PREPARATION,
PLAN, KNOWLEDGE, OR IDENTITY,
AND ABSENT OF MISTAKE OR
ACCIDENT.

AS COUNSEL IS AWARE, OUR NEVAOA CASES REQUIRE THAT BEFORE THIS EVIDENCE IS ADMISSIBLE THE COURT MUST

WEIGH FACTORS, FIRST OF ALL, OF PREJUDICE VERSUS THE EVIDENTIARY EFFECT.

I AM TRYING TO FIND THAT OTHER SECTION.

DO YOU HAVE THAT OTHER STATUTE THAT --

MR. SEATON: DO YOU WISH THE STATUTE?

THE COURT: YES, THE COMPANION STATUTE.

THE STATUTE THAT THE COURT HAS REFERENCE

TO IS 48.035 WHICH STATES:

ALTHOUGH RELEVANT EVIDENCE
IS NOT ADMISSIBLE, IF ITS PROBATIVE VALUE IS SUBSTANTIALLY OUTWEIGHED BY THE DANGER OF UNFAIR
PREJUDICE, CONFUSION OF ISSUES
OF MISLEADING THE JURY.

WELL, HERE WE DON'T HAVE THE ISSUE OF MISLEADING, NOR DO WE HAVE THE ISSUE OF CONFUSING. THE ONLY ISSUE THAT COUNSEL HAS RAISED HAS BEEN THE ISSUE OF UNFAIR PREJUDICE.

OUTWEIGHED BY CONSIDERATION OF UNDUE DELAY, A WASTE OF TIME, NEEDLESS PRESENTATION OF CUMULATIVE EVIDENCE.

THOSE CLEARLY DO NOT APPLY HERE. AND

IT GOES ON:

EVIDENCE OF OTHER ACT OR

CRIME WHICH IS CLOSELY RELATED

TO THE ACT IN CONTROVERSY FOR

A CRIME CHARGED, THAT AN

ORDINARY WITNESS CANNOT DESCRIBE

THE ACT IN CONTROVERY OR THE CRIME

CHARGED WITHOUT REFERRING TO THE

-754-

 OTHER ACT OR CRIME, SHALL NOT BE EXCLUDED.

WE DON'T HAVE THAT SITUATION APPEARING HERE. WE DO, HOWEVER, IN THIS SECTION, HAVE A FURTHER LANGUAGE THAT GOES:

BUT AT THE REQUEST OF AN INTERESTED PARTY, A CAUTIONARY INSTRUCTION SHALL BE GIVEN EXPLAINING THE REASON FOR ITS ADMISSION.

KEEPING IN MIND THAT BALANCING TEST,
TOGETHER WITH THE NEVADA CASES THAT HAVE INTERPRETED BOTH OF
THOSE STATUTES, LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT WHETHER OR NOT THERE IS
A BASIS FOR THE INTRODUCTION OF THIS EVIDENCE.

CLEARLY COUNSEL HAS SET FORTH THE FACT
THAT HE BELIEVES THAT THIS EVIDENCE, PARTICULARY THE EVIDENCE
OF THE SAN BERNARDINO -- OF THE SAN BERNARDINO EVIDENCE, I SHOULD
SAY, MAY SHOW THAT THIS WOULD INDICATE THE DEFENDANT'S MOTIVE,
INTENT, IDENTITY AND PLAN.

CLEARLY THE WAY IN WHICH THE OFFENSES
WERE COMMITTED, BOTH IN LAS VEGAS AND IN SAN BERNARDINO, WOULD
ESTABLISH A CLEAR PLAN AND SCHEME. I THINK THE UNIQUENESS OF
IT IS RATHER CLEAR. I DON'T REALLY FIND THAT WE CAN COME TO
ANY OTHER CONCLUSION WHEN THE MODUS OPERANDUS OF COMMITTING OR
ATTEMPTING TO COMMIT, A FRAUD IN EFFECT UPON SEARS IS COMMITTED
IN BOTH CASES. THE APPROACH IS THE SAME; THE VICTIM 1S THE SAME;
THE MODUS OPERANDUS OF HOW THE SANDER IN EACH CASE WAS SECURED,
IT WAS SECURED FROM SEARS PREMISES ITSELF, THE WRAPPING AND
OTHER IDENTIFYING INSTRUCTION MANUALS AND THAT SORT OF THING WERE
REMOVED; IN EACH CASE THE DEFENDANT ATTEMPTED TO CONCEAL HIS
IDENTITY, IN THE LATTER CASE HE ATTEMPTED TO REPRESENT HIMSELF
AS A SECURITY GUARD; IN EACH CASE IT WAS A REQUEST FOR RETURN OF

·· 19

 MONEY, THAT HE ALLEGEDLY HAD PAID FOR THE ITEM.

ESTABLISH HIS IDENTITY, FOR THE IDENTITY OF ONE IS IN GOOD
MEASURE ESTABLISHED BY THE OTHER. HIS REPRESENTATION THAT HE
WAS A SECURITY GUARD; THAT HE WAS IN TRUTH AND EFFECT KEITH
KINSEY, THE SECURITY GUARD FROM LAS VEGAS, AN INDIVIDUAL THAT,
ACCORDING TO THE EVIDENCE HERE, HE HAD ROBBED JUST TWO DAYS
BEFORE, USING THE SAME SCHEME TO ATTEMPT TO SECURE PROPERTY,
CLEARLY ESTABLISHES IDENTITY.

THE INTENT ASPECT I THINK IS SHOWN. IT WAS HIS INTENT TO COMMIT THIS OFFENSE. .IT'S NOT AN ACCIDENT OR IT WASN'T AS IF THAT OCCURRED ONE TIME, BUT IT WAS OF A CONSISTENT SCHEME AND PLAN. IT OBVIOUSLY SHOWS MOTIVE.

ALL OF THESE FACTORS I THINK HAVE BEEN ESTABLISHED BY THE EVIDENCE AND CLEARLY ESTABLISHES RELEVANT EVIDENCE TO SHOW THE FACTORS INDICATED TO CONNECT HIM TO THE CRIME OF ROBBERY FOR WHICH HE STANDS ACCUSED.

I DON'T THINK THERE'S ANY QUESTION THAT ANYTIME YOU BRING FORTH OTHER EVIDENCE OF OTHER OFFENSES THAT ITWOULD HAVE A TENDENCY TO PREJUDICE OR HURT A DEFENDANT. HOWEVER,
THE QUESTION IS: IS IT UNFAIR PREJUDICE? I DON'T THINK IT IS.
I THINK IN MEASURING THE RELEVANCE AND THE PROBATIVE VALUE, SO
TO SPEAK, CLEARLY IT SUBSTANTIALLY OUTWEIGHS THE DANGER OF
UNFAIR PREJUDICE.

AS COUNSEL HAS INDICATED TO THE COURT, AND I DON'T KNOW WHETHER IT IS TRUE, BUT BASED ON YOUR REPRESENTATION, COUNSEL, I FIND THAT THERE IS A CONFESSION IN WHICH THE DEFENDANT WILL BE CONFESSING TO THIS INFORMATION.

DEFENDANT HOWARD: NO, YOUR HONOR. I'M NOT EVEN CONSIDERING THAT, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: BUT I'M NOT SAYING THAT, THAT WAS JUST ARGUE TO THE COURT.

> 13 14

7845

15

16 17

18

19

YOUR HONOR?

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 26

29

30 31

32

IT DOES APPEAR, THEREFORE, THAT THIS

TESTIMONY IS RELEVANT AND HAS HIGH PROBATIVE VALUE ON THE ISSUE BEFORE THE COURT. SINCE COUNSEL HAS RAISED THE ISSUE THROUGHOUT THIS CASE, THE ISSUE OF IDENTITY, I THINK THAT THEY HAVE DONE A RATHER THOROUGH JOB THROUGHOUT THE CASE TO ATTEMPT TO CONFUSE OR ATTEMPT TO SHOW IN EFFECT THAT THIS DEFENDANT WAS NOT THE INDIVIDUAL WHO COMMITTED THE ROBBERY BEFORE THE COURT. FOR THOSE REASONS, THIS EVIDENCE IS MATERIAL, IS RELEVANT, AND I WILL ADMIT IT WITH THE LIMITATION THAT I HAVE PREVIOUSLY INDICATED TO COUNSEL, THAT THE WITNESSES ARE NOT TO TESTIFY WITH REGARDS TO WHAT THIS DEFENDANT WAS OTHERWISE SUSPECTED OF, OTHER THAN THIS PARTICULAR OFFENSE.

MR. SEATON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: CALL THE JURY, PLEASE.

(WHEREUPON, THE JURY ENTERED

THE COURTROOM, AND THE

FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HAD:

MR. SEATON: MAY WE RETRIEVE THE EVIDENCE CODE,

THE COURT: YES.

COUNSEL, APPROACH THE BENCH, PLEASE.

(WHEREUPON, SIDE BAR CONFERENCE WAS HELD AT THE BENCH; NOT REPORTED.)

THE COURT: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE JURY, WE HAVE JUST COMPLETED TAKING TESTIMONY FROM WITNESSES AND LISTEN-ING TO ARGUMENTS. THE WITNESSES WILL BE TESTIFYING TOMORROW. HOWEVER, IN VIEW OF THE HOUR, WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TO RECESS TODAY. WE WILL BE BACK AT 9:45 TOMORROW MORNING.

VOLUME VI

11		Г
	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, APRIL 19, 1983, AT 10:00 A.M.	
1	R H H H H H H H	
3	THE COURT: COUNSEL STIPULATE TO THE PRESENCE OF	
- 1	THE JURY?	
4	MR. SEATON: YES, YOUR HONDR. THE STATE WOULD	
5	SO STIPULATE.	
7	MR. COOPER: YES.	
8	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS.	
9	MR. HARMON: BOB SMITH.	
10	FIR. HARMON. DOD JULIUM	
11	WHEREUPON,	
12	·	
13	ROBERT SMITH,	
14		
15	CALLED AS A WITNESS HEREIN BY THE PLAINTIFF, HAVING BEEN PRE-	
16	VIOUSLY DULY SWORN, EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:	
17		
18	THE COURT: YOU'VE BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN.	
19	HE WAS SWORN PREVIOUSLY, LADIES AND GENTLE-	1
20	MEN OF THE JURY, OUTSIDE OF YOUR PRESENCE, SO IT WON'T BE	
21	NECESSARY TO SWEAR HIM.	
22	YOU MAY PROCEED. PROCEED, SIR.	
23	MR. SEATON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	
24		
25	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
26		۱
27	BY MR. SEATON:	
28		`
29	Q PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, AND SPELL YOUR LAST	
30	NAME FOR THE RECORD.	
31	A ROBERT SMITH, S-M-I-T-H.	
32	Q MR. SMITH, WHERE DO YOU RESIDE?	

-759-

17.85

SHowar			
#ar d-PE15023	1 2 3 4 5	A SAN BERNARDINO, CALIFORNIA. Q ARE YOU EMPLOYED THERE? A YES, I AM. Q HOW ARE YOU EMPLOYED? A BY SEARS ROEBUCK AND COMPANY.	
· ·	6 7 8 9	Q AND WHAT IS YOUR TITLE WITH SEARS ROEBUCK AND COMPANY? A DIVISION MANAGER. Q IS THAT IN THE SEARS ROEBUCK AND COMPANY IN	
	10 11 12 13	SAN BERNARDINO? A YES, IT IS. Q HOW MANY STORES ARE THERE IN SAN BERNARDINO? A THERE'S TWO. Q I SEE.	·
	15 16 17 18	AND HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN A DIVISION MANAGER? A THIRTY TWO YEARS. Q HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN WHAT DEPARTMENT ARE YOU DIVISION MANAGER OF NOW?	
	20 21 22 23	A THE HARDWARE DEPARTMENT. Q HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN DIVISION MANAGER OF THAT DEPARTMENT? A SIX YEARS.	
	24 25 26 27	Q HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN WITH THE SEARS STORE IN SAN BERNARDINO? A SINCE 1957. Q AND HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN EMPLOYED WITH	
€	28 29 30 31	SEARS ROEBUCK AND COMPANY? A THIRTY TWO YEARS. Q LET ME CALL YOUR ATTENTION TO MARCH THE 28TH, 1980, WERE YOU WORKING ON THAT DAY? A YES, I WAS.	1786
		_760 _	

NO, HE DID NOT.

SHowar			
<u> </u>	•	NOW, WHEN YOU LOOKED AT THE TOOL WAS THE	
. H	Q		
50		OPEN? HOW DID YOU LOOK AT IT?	
ν ₁	A .	NO, IT WAS IN A BOX.	
4	Q	WHAT KIND OF A BOX?	
. 5	A	A BOX.	
6	Q	WHAT KIND OF A BOX WAS IT IN?	
7	A	THE BOX THAT IT COMES IN.	
8	Q	AND DID YOU TAKE POSSESSION OF THAT BOX	
9 1	THEN AND LOOK AT IT?		
10	A	YES, I DID.	
11	Q	WAS THE BOX OPEN OR CLOSED?	
12	A	IT WAS OPEN.	
13	Q	AND DID YOU REMOVE THE SANDER FROM IT?	
14	A	YES, I DID. AND WAS THERE ANYTHING ELSE IN THE BOX	
15 16	Q CAMPE THAN THE CAMPE		
17	OTHER THAN THE SANDE		
18	A	NO. WHAT WOULD NORMALLY IS THIS A SANDER	
19	Q THAT'S SOLD AT SEARS		
20	A	YES.	
21	Q	IN YOUR HARDWARE DEPARTMENT?	
22	A	THAT'S CORRECT.	
23		AND WHAT WOULD NORMALLY BE CONTAINED IN A	
24		IT THAT WAS ON THE SHELF?	
25	A	THE OWNER'S MANUAL AND THE CARDBOARD PACK-	
26	ING THAT IT'S PÄCKE	O IN.	
27	Q	AND WHEN YOU LOOKED INSIDE THE BOX OF THIS	
28	PARTICULAR SANDER, I	DID IT HAVE THE OWNER'S MANUAL IN IT?	
29	A	NO, IT DID NOT.	
30	Q	DID IT HAVE ANY PACKING MATERIAL?	
31	A	NO, IT DID NOT.	
32	Q	WHAT WAS THE COST OF THIS PARTICULAR SANDER 1788	
		-762-	

-		
1	A AROUND 94 - \$95.	
2	Q ONCE YOU RECOGNIZED THAT THE INDIVIDUAL	
3	HAD NO RECEIPT FOR THE SANDER, DID YOU ASK FOR ANYTHING?	
4	A I ASKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.	
5	Q AND DID HE SHOW YOU ANY IDENTIFICATION?	•
6	A YES, HE DID.	
7	Q WHAT DID HE SHOW YOU?	
8	A HE SHOWED ME AN I.D. CARD THAT IDENTIFIED	
9	HIM AS BEING AN EMPLOYEE FOR SEARS IN LAS VEGAS IN THE SECURITY	
10	DEPARTMENT.	
ıı	Q DID THAT IDENTIFICATION CARD HAVE THE	
12	INDIVIDUAL'S NAME ON IT?	
13	A YES, IT DID.	
14	Q DO YOU RECALL TODAY WHAT THAT NAME WAS?	
15	A NO, I DO NOT.	
16	Q COULD YOU AT THIS TIME DESCRIBE FOR US THE	
17	INDIVIDUAL WITH WHOM YOU WERE HAVING THE CONVERSATION?	
18	FIRST OF ALL, CAN YOU TELL US HOW TALL	
19	HE WAS?	
20	A IN MY ESTIMATION, HE WAS BETWEEN FIVE TEN	
21	AND FIVE ELEVEN.	
22	Q AND COULD YOU APPROXIMATE HIS WEIGHT?	
23	A 170.*	
24	Q DID YOU MAKE ANY ESTIMATE OF HOW OLD THE	
25	INDIVIDUAL WAS?	
26	A I I ESTIMATED HE WAS IN HIS MID TO LATE	
27	TWENTIES.	•
28	Q AND DID YOU COULD YOU DESCRIBE HIS HAIR?	
29	A SHORT.	
30	Q HOW SHORT DO YOU MEAN?	
31	A WELL, IT WAS NOT AN AFRO. IT WOULD BE	1700
32	SHORT. I DON'T KNOW HOW TO DESCRIBE IT.	17.89
	-763-	

1	Q COURT'S INDULGENCE.
2	CAN YOU RECALL THE NATURE OF THIS BLACK
3	MAN'S COMPLEXION, WHETHER HE WAS LIGHT SKINNED OR DARK SKINNED?
4	A LIGHT SKINNED.
5	Q DID YOU FORM ANY OPINION AS TO WHETHER HE
6	WAS A CLEAN CUT OR A ROUGH SORT OF AN INDIVIDUAL? WHAT DID YOU
7	THINK ABOUT THIS?
8	A WELL, I MADE THE COMMENT LATER TO OUR SECU-
9	RITY PERSON IN THE STORE AT THE TIME THAT HE WAS A CLEAN CUT LOOK-
10	ING INDIVIDUAL AND I DID NOT SUSPECT THAT IT WAS A SHOPLIFTED
11	HE DIDN'T LOOK LIKE THE TYPE THAT WOULD SHOPLIFT SOMETHING.
12	Q DID YOU NOTICE ANYTHING ABOUT HIS CLOTHING?
13	A SPORT CLOTHING, NEATLY DRESSED.
14	Q NEATLY DRESSED.
15	DID HE HAVE A JACKET ON, CAN YOU RECALL?
16	A YES.
17	Q CAN YOU RECALL IF HE HAD A HAT ON?
18	A 1 DO NOT REMEMBER A HAT AT ALL.
19	Q WOULD YOU LOOK AROUND THE COURTROOM NOW, MR.
20	SMITH, AND TELL US IF YOU SEE ANYONE PRESENT IN COURT TODAY WHO
21	WAS PRESENT IN THE SAN BERNARDING SEARS STORE ON MARCH THE 28TH,
22 23	1980?
23	A THE GENTLEMAN SITTING AT THE TABLE IN THE
25	LIGHT TAN SHIRT.
26	Q WOULD YOU POINT TO HIM? A (INDICATING).
27	MR. SEATON: YOUR HONOR, MAY THE RECORD REFLECT
28	IDENTIFICATION OF THE DEFENDANT SAMUEL HOWARD.
29	THE COURT: THE RECORD MAY SO SHOW.
30	MR. SEATON: THANK YOU.
31	·•
32	
	-764-
l	I

17.91

YES, SHE WAS.

SHo⊯ar			
ρ. I			
ਰ ਜ਼	1	A YES.	
E15030	2	Q AND IN FACT DID SHE PUT A PHONE CALL THROUGH?	
æ	3	A YES.	
	4	Q AND TO WHOM WAS THAT, DO YOU KNOW?	
	. 5	A TO SOMEBODY IN THE SECURITY DEPARTMENT IN	•
	6	THE LAS VEGAS STORE.	
	7	Q WAS	
	8	A I DON'T KNOW WHICH STORE.	
	9	Q ARE YOU AWARE THAT THERE WAS MORE THAN ONE	
	10	STORE?	
	11	A YES, AND 1 WAS THEN, BUT IT SLIPPED MY MIND.	
	12	Q AND DID SHE HAVE A CONVERSATION THEN WITH	
	13	THE SECURITY PERSON FROM ONE	
	. 14	A YES.	
	15	Q (CONTINUING) OF THE LAS VEGAS SEARS STORES?	
	16	A YES.	
	17	Q AND AFTER SHE DID SHE HANG UP THE PHONE?	
	18	A YES.	
	19	Q AND ONCE HAVING HUNG UP THE PHONE DID SHE	· · · ^ ———————————————————————————————
	20	TELL YOU WHAT THE CONVERSATION WAS THAT SHE HAD HAD WITH THE	
	21	SECURITY OFFICER FROM THE SEARS STORE?	
	22	A YES, SHE DID.	
	23	Q AND WOULD YOU TELL US WHAT THAT WAS, PLEASE?	
	24	A SHE TOLD ME THAT THEY HAD NO BLACK MALE	
	25	WORKING FOR THEM BY THAT NAME.	
	26	Q ONCE YOU HAD THAT CONVERSATION WITH NORMA,	
	27	WHAT DID THE TWO OF YOU DO?	'
	28	A WELL, WE KIND OF LOOKED AT EACH OTHER AND	
	29	DECIDED, WELL, WE BETTER GO OUT AND SPEAK FURTHER WITH THE PERSON.	
	30	Q AND DID YOU GO OUT AND SPEAK FURTHER WITH	
	31	THE PERSON?	
	32	A YES.	1793
		- 767 -	

AND HOW LONG WOULD YOU SAY YOU WERE OUT

31

32

THERE?

	I		
HS			
Howard			
a.	1	A JUST A MINUTE OR SQ.	
P € 1	2	Q AND DID YOU SEE ANYONE OUT THERE?	
5032	3	A NO.	
Σ.	4	Q WHAT DID YOU DO AFTER STAYING OUT THERE FOR	
	5	A MINUTE OR TWO?	
	. 6	A WELL, WE CAME BACK INTO THE STORE AND 1	•
	7	WENT BACK TO WORK.	
	8	Q WERE THE PACKAGING MATERIAL AND THE MANUAL	
	9	EVER FOUND?	
	10	A YES. WE FOUND THEM LATER ON THAT DAY AND	
	11	THIS	
	12	MR. FRANZEN: OBJECTION, FOUNDATION, YOUR HONOR:	
	13	WHO? WHAT? WHERE? WHEN?	
	. 14	THE COURT: I BELIEVE YOU CAN TOUCH THAT OR	
	15	REACH THAT BY CROSS, COUNSEL.	
	16	THE OBJECTION IS OVERRULED.	
•	17	MR. SEATON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	
	18		
<u>-</u>	19	BY MR. SEATON:	
	20		
	21	Q LET ME PROCEED TO ASK THE QUESTION.	
	22	DID YOU EVER FIND THE PACKAGING MATERIAL	
,	23	AND THE MANUAL WHICH CAME FROM THE BOX THAT CONTAINED THE SEARS	
	24	SANDER THAT THE DEFENDANT PRESENTED TO YOU ON MARCH THE 28TH,	
	25	1980, IN YOUR SAN BERNARDING SEARS STORE?	
	26	A WE FOUND IT IN THE SPORTING GOODS DEPART-	
	27	MENT LATER THAT DAY.	
	28	MR. SEATON: YOUR HONOR, THAT QUESTION CONCLUDES	
	29	OUR QUESTIONING ON DIRECT.	•
	30	THE COURT: CROSS EXAMINATION?	
	31 32		1705
	32	••	17.95

BY MR. FRANZEN:

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

ELSE?

30 31

32

ALL THE PARTS?

CROSS EXAMINATION

MR. SMITH, ABOUT HOW LONG A PERIOD OF TIME Q ELAPSED BETWEEN THE TIME THAT THE MAN CAME TO YOU AND ASKED FOR THE REFUND AND YOU WENT BACK TO CONSULT WITH NORMA DONALDSON?

THREE OR FOUR MINUTES.

DO YOU RECALL TESTIFYING YESTERDAY IN THIS COURTROOM THAT IT WAS MOST PROBABLY THREE MINUTES?

YES.

NOW, DURING THE TIME PERIOD THAT THESE THREE MINUTES ELAPSED, YOU WERE DOING A VARIETY OF MANUAL --MANUAL ACTIONS; WERE YOU NOT?

THAT'S TRUE.

YOU WERE PICKING UP THE DEVICE, THE SANDER, AND INSPECTING IT; IS THAT CORRECT?

THAT'S CORRECT.

AND YOU WERE INSPECTING THE SANDER TO MAKE

SURE IT WAS A SEARS PRODUCT; IS THAT CORRECT?

YES.

Q OKAY,

YOU WERE INSPECTING IT TO MAKE SURE IT WAS NOT DAMAGED SO IT COULD BE RE- -- COULD HAVE A REFUND AND BE EXCHANGED OR RETURNED OR WHATEVER; WOULD THAT BE CORRECT?

THAT'S CORRECT.

DO YOU INSPECT ANYTHING ELSE ON THE MACHINE WHEN YOU INSPECT IT FOR THAT PURPOSE? DO YOU LOOK FOR ANYTHING

JUST TO MAKE SURE THAT ALL THE HARDWARE IS ATTACHED TO IT THAT'S SUPPOSED TO BE.

-770-

-771-

MARCH 28TH, 1988, YOU DIDN'T SEE A GOLD WRISTWATCH ON HIM, DID 1 YOU? 2 NO, I DID NOT. 3 AND THIS MAN THAT YOU WAITED ON, ON MARCH Q 28TH, 1980, YOU DIDN'T SEE HIM CARRYING A WALKIE-TALKIE OR A --5 ANY TYPE OF RADIO COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT, DID YOU? 6 7 NO, I DID NOT. NOW, THIS MAN THAT YOU WAITED ON, ON MARCH 8 Q 28TH, DID HE SHOW YOU ANY TYPE OF BADGE? 9 10 Α NO. DO YOU RECALL WHAT COLOR EYES THIS MAN HAD? 11 Q 12 NO, I DO NOT. DO YOU RECALL WHAT TYPE OF FOOTWEAR HE WAS 13 14 WEARING? I WOULDN'T HAVE SEEN HIS FEET PROBABLY. 15 SO HE COULD HAVE BEEN WEARING COWBOY BOOTS 16 17 TO TENNIS SHOES? 18 RIGHT. 19 DO YOU RECALL IF THE MAN SPOKE WITH AN 0 20 ACCENT? 21 NO, I DON'T. Α 22 IN THE INTERVENING THREE YEARS THAT HAVE 23 ELAPSED, SINCE MARCH 28TH; 1980, HAVE YOU EVER BEEN ASKED TO 24 LOOK AT A PHOTOGRAPHIC DISPLAY OF NUMEROUS BLACK ADULTS TO 25 ATTEMPT TO IDENTIFY THE INDIVIDUAL WHO PRESENTED THIS SANDER TO 26 YOU? 27 NO, I HAVE NOT. 28 IN THE INTERVAL, SINCE MARCH 28TH, 1980, Q 29 HAVE YOU EVER BEEN ASKED TO ATTEND A PHYSICAL LINEUP WHERE 30 ACTUAL BLACK MEN ARE PRESENTED TO YOU AND ASKED TO SEE IF YOU 31 COULD PICK OUT AND IDENTIFY THE MAN WHO PRESENTED THE SANDER ON

MARCH 28TH, 1980, FOR A REFUND?

1	Q AND 1 ASKED YOU IF THERE WAS ANYONE ELSE	
2	IN THE COURTROOM AT THE TIME OF THE IDENTIFICATION THAT WAS	
3	CLEAN CUT LOOKING AND SMOOTH; IS THAT CORRECT?	
4	A THAT'S CORRECT.	
5	Q AND YOU REPLIED THAT THERE WAS NO ONE ELSE	
6		
7	A THAT'S CORRECT.	
8	Q (CONTINUING) THAT WOULD FIT THAT	
9	DESCRIPTION.	
10	IN OTHERWORDS, THE SOLE INDIVIDUAL IN	
11	THE COURTROOM, AFTER THREE YEARS HAVE ELAPSED, WHO POSSIBLY	
12	COULD MEET YOUR DESCRIPTION OF BEING CLEAN AND SMOOTH AND BLACK	
13	WAS ALONE IN THIS COURTROOM?	
14	A YES.	
15	Q NOW, YOU HAD BEEN CONTACTED PRIOR TO COMING	
16	TO COURT YESTERDAY, HAD YOU NOT?	! !
17	A LAST FRIDAY.	
18	Q AND YOU HAD BEEN CONTACTED BY THE OFFICE	
19	OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY?	
20	A YES.	
21	Q AT THAT TIME DID THE OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT	
22	ATTORNEY SUGGEST THAT IT MIGHT BE BEST FOR YOU TO SEE A PHOTO	
23	DISPLAY AND SEE IF YOU COULD IDENTIFY THE MAN OUT OF A NUMBER OF	
24	INDIVIDUALS?	1
25	A NO.	
26	Q DID YOU REQUEST SUCH A PROCEDURE?	
27	A DID I SUGGEST IT?	
28	Q YES, SIR.	
29	A NO.	
30	Q DID THE MAN THAT YOU SAW ON MARCH 28TH,	
31	1980, EVER ADDRESS CUSS OR SWEAR WORDS TO YOU OR ANYONE ELSE?	
32	A NO.	1800
	-774-	
	II and the second secon	1

S			
Ho∉a:			
ď - Ď	1	Q WAS THERE ANY VIOLENCE INVOLVED IN ANYTHING	•
- E	2	THAT HAPPENED IN THE SEARS STORE AT SAN BERNARDING ON MARCH 28TH,	
8	3	1980?	
. 4-	4	A NONE WHATSOEVER.	
	5	Q DO YOU HAVE, IN YOUR POSSESSION, THE RETAIL	
	6	CREDIT CHECK SLIP THAT YOU FILLED OUT ON MARCH 28TH, 1980, IN	•
	7	THE PRESENCE OF WAS IT IN THE PRESENCE OF THE BLACK MALE	
· •	8	ADULT WHO WAS ATTEMPTING A REFUND OF THE SANDER? WAS IT IN HIS	
:	9	PRESENCE?	
•	10	A YES.	
	11	Q DO YOU HAVE THAT SLIP?	
	12	A NO, 1 DON'T.	
	13	Q THIS SLIP WOULD HAVE THE NAME OF THE INDI-	
:	14	VIDUAL?	
• •	15	A YES.	
5	16	Q DO YOU KNOW WHAT HAPPENED TO THE SLIP?	
<u>:</u>	17	A IT, NO DOUBT, IS FILED IN OUR IN OUR	
:	18	MORGUE AT THE OFFICE. THAT'S WHERE WE KEEP ALL OF OUR OLD	
<i>:</i>	19	DOCUMENTS.	
:	20	Q WHEN THE MAN SHOWED YOU THE WELL, LET'S	
	21	BACK UP A SECOND.	
4	22	DO YOU RECALL IF THE MAN WAS WEARING A	
<u>{</u>	23	JACKET OR IF HE WAS WEARING A SWEATER?	
i i	24	A HE WAS WEARING A WHAT?	
•	25	Q A SWEATER OR JACKET, OR WHAT HE WAS WEARING	
•	26	AS OUTER COVERING OF THE UPPER BODY?	
-	27	A HE WAS WEARING A JACKET. AND I CAN	
į	28	ELABORATE ON THAT IF YOU LIKE.	
\$	29	Q DO YOU RECALL THE COLOR?	
	30	A NO.	
:	31	Q DID HE HAVE ANY NECKLACES ON OR RINGS?	1001
	32	A I NOTICED NO JEWELRY WHATSOEVER.	1801
		- 775-	

1	SOME COUNTERS OR SHELVES BETWEEN HER AND THE AREA OF THE CASH
2	REGISTER?
3	A YES.
4	Q SO SHE WOULD ONLY WELL, HOW TALL IS SHE?
5	IS SHE ABOUT YOUR HEIGHT?
6	A OH, NO. SHE'S PROBABLY FIVE THREE, FOUR.
7	Q SO IF SHE WAS LOOKING OVER THE SHELVING SHE
8	WOULD ONLY SEE THE HEAD, OR WOULD SHE BE ABLE TO SEE A FULL
9	LENGTH VIEW OF HIM?
10	A I'M NOT SURE FROM WHICH POINT SHE VIEWED
11	нім.
12	Q WELL, ASSUMING THAT YOU'RE IF YOU STOOD
13	IN THAT DOORWAY AND LOOKED TOWARDS THE CASH REGISTER
14	. A WELL, IT WOULD NOT
15	Q (CONTINUING) WOULD SHELVING BE IN THE
16	WAY?
17	MR. SEATON: YOUR HONOR, I'M GOING TO OBJECT. I
18	THINK THAT CALLS FOR SPECULATION ON THIS WITNESS' PART.
19-	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
20	YOU CAN ASK HIM FOR HIS PHYSICAL DESCRIPT:
21	TION, COUNSEL. BUT WHETHER HE COULD OR COULD NOT SEE IS
22	ENTIRELY SPECULATIVE.
23	
24	BY MR. FRANZEN:
25	
26	Q HOW HIGH WAS THE SHELVING, SIR, ON MARCH
27	28TH, 1980, IN THE SEARS STORE IN THE VICINITY OF THE CASH
28	REGISTER?
29 30	A FOUR FEET.
31	Q AND I BELIEVE THAT YOU TESTIFIED THAT A
	BLACK MALE ADULT, WHO WAITED ON YOU OR WISHED TO MAKE A

REFUND, WAS FIVE SIX, FIVE SEVEN --

MR. SEATON: THAT'S NOT THE TESTIMONY, YOUR HONOR. I BELIEVE IT WAS FIVE TEN OR FIVE ELEVEN. THE COURT: I BELIEVE THAT'S RIGHT.

DO YOU RECALL TESTIFYING YESTERDAY AFTER-

YOU, IN YOUR TESTIMONY YESTERDAY AFTERNOON, HAD SAID ABOUT FIVE SIX OR FIVE SEVEN.

DEFENDANT HOWARD: NO.

THE COURT: THAT WAS NOT HIS TESTIMONY AT ALL, COUNSEL. HIS TESTIMONY WAS FIVE TEN, 165 TO 170 POUND LIGHT

MR. FRANZEN: I'LL ACCEPT THE COURT'S CORRECTION, YOUR HONOR. MY NOTES SAY FIVE SIX, FIVE SEVEN.

THE COURT: YOU'RE WRONG.

NOW, ASSUMING THAT HEIGHT, COULD YOU SEE BELOW THE WAIST IF YOU WERE LOOKING OVER THE -+. THE SHELF?

MR. SEATON: IT CALLS FOR SPECULATION. DEPENDS ON RELATIVE DISTANCE, HOW FAR THE DEFENDANT WAS FROM

MR. FRANZEN: FROM THE DOORWAY.

MR. SEATON: IF SHE WAS RIGHT UP TO IT HE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO SEE JUST BELOW THE SHOULDERS. BUT IF HE WERE FAR AWAY FROM IT HE MAY HAVE A BETTER ANGLE. IT'S PURELY SPECULA-

MR. FRANZEN: I DON'T BELIEVE IT IS SPECULATION,

:1804

YOUR HONOR. HE'S TESTIFYING -- HE'S IDENTIFIED HOW TALL THE MAN WAS. HE'S FAMILIAR WITH HIS OWN STORE. HE KNOWS THE VIEW FROM THE DOOR TO THE CASH REGISTER.

AS OF MARCH 28TH, 1980, WHAT CAN YOU SEE FROM THAT DOOR?

MR. SEATON: ADDITIONALLY, YOUR HONOR, IT'S AN IMPROPER HYPOTHETICAL. THIS WITNESS HAS NEVER BEEN IN THAT POSITION AND HE DOESN'T -- DOESN'T KNOW OF THE POSITION OF THE DEFENDANT RELATIVE TO THE SHELVING.

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

WHY DON'T YOU ASK HIM IF HE COULD SEE HIM?

BY MR. FRANZEN:

Q CAN YOU SEE THE MAN FROM THE DOORWAY IF YOU WERE STANDING IN THE VICINITY OF THE CASH REGISTER AS OF MARCH 28TH, 1980?

A NOT FROM THE DOORWAY. YOU'D HAVE TO GO

AROUND A -- A CORNER BECAUSE THE DOORWAY FACED --

Q OKAY.

A (CONTINUING) -- ANOTHER DIRECTION. IN OTHERWORDS, YOU WOULD HAVE TO STEP OUT OF THE DOOR AND STEP TO THE RIGHT MAYBE TWO FEET AND THEN YOU CAN SEE THE CASH REGISTER.

Q OKAY.

HAVING TAKEN YOUR TWO STEPS, YOU CAN SEE THE CASH REGISTER. CAN YOU SEE THE COUNTERTOP FROM THERE?

A YES.

Q WHEN YOU WENT AND SPOKE TO NORMA WAS THERE ANY OTHER CUSTOMERS IN THE AREA OF THE CASH REGISTER?

A NOT THAT I CAN RECALL.

Q WERE THERE ANY OTHER CUSTOMERS IN THE HARD-

WARE SECTION?

1	A OH, YES.
2	Q DO YOU RECALL HOW MANY?
а	A NOT EXACTLY, I CAN ESTIMATE THERE WERE
4	THREE OR FOUR.
5	Q WHEN YOU WENT BACK TO CONSULT WITH NORMA
6	DID YOU DESCRIBE THE MAN THAT YOU WERE DEALING WITH?
7	A I DID.
8	Q HOW DID YOU DESCRIBE HIM?
9	A THAT HE WAS AS I DESCRIBED HIM TODAY. HE
10	WAS WEARING A JACKET AND NICE LOOKING FELLOW AND APPROXIMATE AGE.
11	Q DID YOU NOTICE IF THE MAN HAD A BEARD OR
12	MOUSTACHE?
13	· A I'M SORRY?
14	. Q DID YOU NOTICE IF THE MAN HAD A BEARD OR
15	MOUSTACHE?
16	A I DID NOT. I THOUGHT HE WAS CLEAN SHAVEN.
17	Q YOU DID NOT NOTICE ONE WAY OR THE OTHER OR
18	HE WAS CLEAN SHAVEN?
19	A IT AS I RECALL, HE WAS CLEAN SHAVEN.
20	THERE WAS NO BEARD FOR SURE.
21	Q NOW, WHEN YOU WERE WAITING ON THE DEFENDANT
22	YOU SAID THAT YOU POSSIBLY COULD HAVE BEEN DISTRACTED AND WAIT-
23	ING ON OTHER CUSTOMERS. DO YOU RECALL WHO THESE OTHER
24	CUSTOMERS WERE?
25	A I DON'T RECALL THAT THEY'RE THAT I
26	ACTUALLY WAS DISTRACTED. IT'S A POSSIBILITY I WAS DISTRACTED.
27	Q OKAY.
28	IS IT A POSSIBILITY THERE WOULD HAVE
29 30	BEEN OTHER CUSTOMERS AT THE CASH REGISTER AS YOU TURNED TO LEAVE
31	TO GO BACK TO CONSULT NORMA?
32	A THERE'S A POSSIBILITY.
44	MR. FRANZEN: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.

•

4

_

7

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

29

30

31 32 THE COURT: COUNSEL?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SEATON:

Q ARE YOU AWARE OF A PHONE CALL THAT NORMA RECEIVED UPON YOUR COMING BACK INTO THE STORE?

A VERY MUCH SO.

Q AND THAT WAS AFTER YOU HAD BEEN OUT IN THE

PARKING LOT?

A YES.

Q DID SHE GO AND ANSWER THAT TELEPHONE CALL?

A YES, SHE DID.

MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, CROSS -- BEYOND CROSS.
WE WOULD OBJECT. IT'S BEYOND CROSS EXAMINATION, THE SCOPE OF
CROSS EXAMINATION.

THE COURT: COUNSEL?

MR. SEATON: WELL, YOUR HONOR, THIS IS GOING TO

GO, AS YOU RECALL FROM THE HEARING YESTERDAY, TO THE VERY

CREDIBILITY OF THE WITNESS, THE REASONS THAT HE MAY HAVE FOR

RECALLING THESE EVENTS, AND THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT'S BEEN TESTIFIED

THROUGHOUT CROSS EXAMINATION. AND I CAN ASSURE THE COURT I'LL

BE QUITE BRIEF AND ONLY TO THE POINT THAT WE WENT TO YESTERDAY.

THE COURT: THE OBJECTION IS OVERRULED.

MR. SEATON: THANK YOU.

BY MR. SEATON:

RECEIVED THAT PHONE CALL, TO TALK WITH HER ABOUT 17?

A YES, I DID.

SHower			
p	1	Q DID SHE TELL YOU WITH WHOM SHE HAD SPOKEN?	
P E	2		
5045	3	A SHE HAD SPOKEN TO A SECURITY AGENT IN THE OTHER LAS VEGAS STORE.	
Ĺ,	4	Q THE OTHER LAS VEGAS STORE	
	5	A YEAH.	
	6	Q (CONTINUING) YOU SAY?	
	7	SHE HAD NOT TALKED TO THAT STORE	
	8	EARLIER?	
	9	A THAT'S CORRECT.	
	10	Q AND WHAT DID SHE SAY THAT SECURITY AGENT	
•	11	TOLD HER WITH REGARD TO THE EVENTS THAT HAD HAPPENED AT THE	
	12	SEARS STORE?	
	13	MR. COOPER: I'M GOING TO OBJECT TO THAT AS	
	14	HEARSAY.	
:	15	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
	16	COUNSEL, YOU OPENED IT UP. AND I WOULD	
	17	SUGGEST, COUNSEL, WHOEVER IS QUESTIONING MAKE THE OBJECTION,	
•	19	OTHER THAN THE OTHER WAY.	
:	20	PROCEED.	
	21	BY MR. SEATON:	
	22	BY MR. SEATON:	
	23	Q COULD YOU ANSWER THE QUESTION?	
	24	A SHE TOLD ME THAT THE PERSON SHE TALKED TO	
	25	TOLD HER THAT THE I.D. THAT WE SAW HAD BEEN STOLEN THE DAY OR SO	
٠	26	BEFORE IN LAS VEGAS AT GUNPOINT, AND THE PERSON WHO HAD POSSES-	
	27	SION OF IT POSSIBLY WAS ARMED AND COULD BE DANGEROUS, WHICH	
	28	Q DID SHE TELL YOU IF THE SECURITY OFFICER	
•	29	FROM THE SEARS STORE TOLD HER WHERE THAT ROBBERY TOOK PLACE?	
	30	A YES.	
	31	Q WHERE WAS THAT?	
	32	A IN THE SECURITY OFFICE OF THE SEARS STORE	1808
•		-782-	

27

28

29

30

31

32

AND DID THE -- DID NORMA INDICATE TO YOU WHETHER OR NOT THE SECURITY OFFICER FROM THE SEARS STORE HAD SAID WHETHER OR NOT A WEAPON WAS USED? YES.

AND WAS THERE?

YES, THERE WAS A WEAPON. YES.

AS A RESULT OF LEARNING THIS INFORMATION FROM NORMA DONALDSON -- WELL, FIRST OF ALL, TELL ME WHEN DID YOU LEARN THIS INFORMATION? HOW SHORTLY AFTER YOUR CONFRONTATION WITH THE DEFENDANT SAMUEL HOWARD?

APPROXIMATELY AN HOUR.

AS A RESULT OF HEARING THAT INFORMATION HOW DID YOU FEEL ABOUT THE EVENTS THAT HAD TRANSPIRED JUST APPROXIMATELY AN HOUR EARLIER IN YOUR SEARS STORE?

WELL, WE WERE -- I WAS QUITE FRIGHTENED, TO BE FRANK, BECAUSE OF WHAT POSSIBLY COULD HAVE HAPPENED HAD WE ACTUALLY STOPPED THE PERSON.

IN YOUR MIND WAS THIS A RATHER SIGNIFICANT Q EVENT?

IF YOU CALL IT EXCITING, IT'S PROBABLY THE MOST EXCITING THING THAT'S HAPPENED IN MY CAREER WITH SEARS.

DID IT CAUSE YOU TO, AFTER HEARING THAT INFORMATION FROM NORMA, DID IT CAUSE YOU TO GO OVER THE EVENTS IN YOUR MIND THAT HAD TRANSPIRED APPROXIMATELY AN HOUR EARLIER?

YES.

CAREFULLY?

YES.

HAVE YOU HAD OCCASION TO THINK THOSE THOUGHTS MANY TIMES?

YES. IN FACT, I WENT TO LUNCH RIGHT AFTER THAT, AND I GO HOME FOR LUNCH, AND I TALKED TO MY WIFE AND DAUGHTERS ABOUT IT AND IT WAS VIVID IN MY MIND AT THAT TIME.

1	Q HAVE YOU TOLD THE STORY ON OCCASIONS OTHER
2	THAN JUST THEN?
3	A YES.
4	Q WOULD YOU LOOK AT THE DEFENDANT AGAIN FOR
5	ME, MR. SMITH, AND PLEASE TAKE YOUR TIME.
6	TELL ME IN WHAT WAY, IF ANY NO. LET
7	ME ASK IT THIS WAY: WOULD YOU COMPARE HIM TODAY TO THE WAY YOU
8	SAW HIM ON MARCH 28TH, 1980? IS HE THE SAME OR ARE THERE ANY
9	CHANGES?
10	A HIS HAIR LOOKS LONGER IN THE BACK THAN IT
11	DID THAN I REMEMBER IT THREE YEARS AGO.
12	Q YESTERDAY YOU MADE THE SAME SORT OF IDENTI-
13	FICATION IN COURT THAT YOU MADE TODAY. CAN YOU RECALL BACK TO
14	THAT MOMENT AND RECALL WHETHER OR NOT YOU TOOK MORE OR LESS TIME
15	TO MAKE THAT IDENTIFICATION YESTERDAY THAN YOU DID TODAY?
16	A I PROBABLY DID.
17	Q WHY IS THAT?
18	A WELL, I I REMEMBER NOW THAT HIS HAIR
19.	WAS SHORTER THEN THAN IT THAN I REMEMBER IT BEING, THAN IT IS
20	NOW.
21	Q DO YOU RECALL THE SERIOUSNESS OF THE
22	CHARGES THAT WE'RE HERE TODAY FOR?
23	A YES. YES.
24	Q IS THERE ANY DOUBT IN YOUR MIND THAT THE
25	DEFENDANT WHOM YOU HAVE IDENTIFIED AS BEING THE PERSON THAT YOU
26	SAW ON MARCH 28TH, 1980, IN THE SEARS STORE IN SAN BERNARDING
27	IS IN FACT ONE IN THE SAME PERSON?
28	A THERE'S NO DOUBT.
29	MR. SEATON: THANK YOU.
30	WE HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.
31	THE COURT: RECROSS.
32	

. 1810

2

3

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28

29 30

31

32

RECROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. FRANZEN:

SIR, YOU SAY THIS WAS AN EXCITING OCCASION. Q I PRESUME THAT YOU DON'T MEAN THE ATTEMPTED REFUND WAS AN EXCITING OCCASION. WHAT YOU'RE REFERRING TO IS SOMETHING THAT COULD HAVE HAPPENED THAT DID NOT HAPPEN; WOULD THAT BE CORRECT?

I'M SORRY. WOULD YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION?

YOU SAY THIS WAS AN EXCITING OCCASION. I PRESUME, CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG, YOU ARE NOT REFERRING TO THE ATTEMPT TO REFUND, OBTAIN A REFUND ON A SANDER. YOU'RE REFERRING TO SOMETHING THAT MIGHT HAVE HAPPENED BUT DID NOT HAPPEN?

NO. CREATING A REFUND WAS NOT EXCITING.

Q OKAY.

AND YOU HAD NO OCCASION TO BECOME EXCITED ABOUT IT UNTIL YOU WERE SUBSEQUENTLY TOLD THIS INFORMA-TION BY NORMA; WOULD THAT BE CORRECT?

> Α NOT ENTIRELY.

YOU SAID THIS WAS THE MOST EXCITING THING Ç THAT HAD EVER HAPPENED TO YOU AT SEARS. IT DIDN'T BECOME THAT UNTIL NORMA TOLD YOU THIS; IS THAT RIGHT?

WELL, IT BECAME EXCITING FROM THE MOMENT THAT WE -- I NEVER HAD A --

Q

(CONTINUING) -- EVER HAD A --

SIR, USING YOUR PHRASE --Q

MR. SEATON: YOUR HONOR, COULD THE WITNESS BE ALLOWED TO ANSWER THE QUESTION.

THE COURT: LET HIM ANSWER, COUNSEL. STATE YOUR QUESTION CLEARLY AND LET HIM ANSWER.

'¬ ሴ	[
י ס	1	BY MR. FRANZEN:
E1504	2	
₽	3	Q THE REFUNDING OF THE SANDER WAS NOT THE
	4	MOST EXCITING THING THAT HAS HAPPENED TO YOU IN YOUR CAREER?
	5	A THAT'S CORRECT.
	6	Q IN THE 32 YEARS AT SEARS.
	7	IT BECAME THE MOST EXCITING THING AFTER
	8	YOU GAINED THIS INFORMATION FROM NORMA; IS THAT CORRECT?
	9	A YES.
	10	Q YOU SAID YOU HAD OCCASION TO DESCRIBE THIS
	11	EVENT BEFORE. HAVE YOU EVER HAD OCCASION TO TESTIFY IN COURT
	12	BEFORE THIS INCIDENT?
	13	A NO.
	14	Q YOU CONTACTED THE POLICE REGARDING THIS
	15	INCIDENT?
	16	A 1 DID NOT.
	17	Q DID THE POLICE EVER COME OUT TO THE SEARS
	18	STORE TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE?
	19	A THEY DID COME OUT TO THE STORE BUT NOT TO
	20	SEE ME.
	21	Q DO YOU KNOW IF THEY TOOK ANY FINGERPRINTS?
	22	A I DO NOT.
	23	Q DID YOU EVER GIVE A STATEMENT TO THE POLICE
	24	ABOUT THIS?
	25	A NO, I HAVE NOT.
	26	MR. FRANZEN: I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER OF THE
	27 28	WITNESS.
	29	MR. SEATON: NOTHING BY THE STATE.
	30	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU ARE EXCUSED, SIR.
	31	(WHEREUPON, THE WITNESS WAS EXCUSED.)
	32	
	-	THE COURT: CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS.

\		
1	MR. SEATON: NORMA DONALDSON.	
2		
3	WHEREUPON,	
4		
5	NORMA DONALDSON,	
6		
7	CALLED AS A WITNESS HEREIN BY THE PLAINTIFF, HAVING BEEN PRE-	
8	VIOUSLY DULY SWORN, EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:	
9		
10	THE COURT: YOU HAVE BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN. YOU	
11	ARE STILL UNDER OATH.	
12	YOU MAY PROCEED.	
13	MR. SEATON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	
14		
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
16		
17	BY MR. SEATON:	
18		
19-	Q WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, AND SPELL	
20	YOUR LAST NAME FOR THE RECORD?	
21	A NORMA DONALDSON, D-O-N-A-L-D-S-O-N.	
22	Q IS .IT MISS OR MRS.?	
23	A MRS.	
24	Q MRS. DONALDSON, WHERE DO YOU RESIDE?	
25	A GRANTAIRS, CALIFORNIA.	
26	Q AND WHERE DO YOU WORK?	
27	A SEARS, SAN BERNARDINO, CALIFORNIA.	•
28	Q AND HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN EMPLOYED WITH	
29	SEARS IN SAN BERNARDINO?	
30	A NINE YEARS.	
31	Q AND IN WHAT CAPACITY ARE YOU EMPLOYED WITH	
32	SEARS?	1813
	-787-	
		I

	,	. А	I'M THE ASSISTANT SECURITY MANAGER.	
	2	Q	AND AS SECURITY MANAGER, WHAT ARE YOUR	
	з	DUTIES?		
	4	A	WELL, SHOPLIFTERS, BAD CHECKS, ET CETERA;	
	5	THINGS LIKE THAT.		
	6	Q	HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN EMPLOYED, WHETHER	
	7	WITH SEARS OR ANYONE	ELSE, AS A SECURITY GUARD?	
	8	A	THIRTEEN YEARS.	
	9	Q	AND DO YOU CARRY A FIREARM?	
1	10	A	NO.	
1	11	Q	DO YOU KNOW MUCH ABOUT FIREARMS?	
;	12	А	NO.	
•	13	Q	ON MARCH THE 28TH, 1980, WERE YOU WORKING	
	14	AS A SECURITY AGENT I	FOR THE SEARS STORE IN SAN BERNARDING?	
	15	A	YES, I WAS.	
	16	Q	AND DID YOU HAVE OCCASION THAT DAY TO	
,	17	BECOME INVOLVED WITH	AN INCIDENT THAT HAD TO DO WITH BOB SMITH,	
,	18	THE GENTLEMAN WHO JU	ST TESTIFIED?	
	19	A	YES.	
!	20	. Q	HAVE YOU KNOWN BOB SMITH FOR SOME TIME?	
	21	A	YES.	
	22	Q	YOU WORK TOGETHER IN THE SEARS STORE?	
	23		YES, WE DO.	
	24		CAN YOU TELL US HOW THIS PARTICULAR INCI-	
	25	DENT, TO WHICH YOU'R	E REFERRING, BEGAN?	
	26	A	I RECEIVED A CALL FROM OUR STORE OPERATOR'	
	27		CIOUS REFUNDER IN HIS DEPARTMENT AND WANTED	
	29	ME TO COME THERE AND		
	30	Q	AND DID YOU GO TO MEET HIM?	
	31	Α	YES, I OID. AND WHERE DID YOU GO TO MEET HIM?	
	32	Q	.	1814
		A	TO HIS OFFICE.	. <u>-</u>

1.815

-790-

- 11	
1	CLOTHING?
2	A JUST THE FACT THAT IT WAS LIGHT TROUSERS
3	AND DARK PINNING, AND A SHIRT DARK BROWN.
4	Q WAS HE WEARING A JACKET?
5	A YES.
6	Q COULD YOU TELL US ANYTHING ABOUT THE
7	JACKET?
8	A NO. IT WAS MORE OR LESS A WINDBREAKER
9	TYPE. IT CAME BELOW, HITTING HIM ABOUT THE WAIST.
10	Q AND DID YOU FORM ANY OPINION AS TO WHETHER
11	WHETHER THIS BLACK INDIVIDUAL WAS A LIGHT-COMPLECTED BLACK
12	OR A DARK-COMPLECTED BLACK? HOW WOULD YOU SAY?
13	A HE WASN'T REAL DARK. HE WAS A MEDIUM OR
14	ON THE LIGHTER SIDE.
15	Q HOW LONG DID IT TAKE YOU TO MAKE THESE
16	OBSERVATIONS?
17	A OH, APPROXIMATELY A MINUTE.
18	Q DID YOU JOT DOWN ANY NOTES AT THAT TIME
19	REGARDING THE OBSERVATION THAT YOU MADE ABOUT THE DESCRIPTION
20	OF THE INDIVIDUAL STANDING AT THE CASH WRAP?
21	A NOT AT THE TIME. WHEN I WENT BACK INTO THE
22	OFFICE I DID.
23	Q DID YOU DO IMMEDIATELY DO THAT UPON
24	GOING BACK INTO THE OFFICE?
25	A YES.
26	Q AND AFTER HAVING MADE THOSE NOTES, WHAT
27	DID YOU DO?
28	A 1 CALLED THE OPERATOR TO GET ME THE NUMBER
29	OF THE LAS VEGAS STORE.
30	Q AND DID SHE GET YOU A NUMBER?
31	A YES.
32	Q DID YOU PLACE A CALL? -
	11

		•
1	A YES.	
2	Q ARE YOU AWARE TODAY THAT THERE ARE MORE	
3	THAN ONE SEARS STORES IN LAS VEGAS?	
4	A I AM NOW.	
5	Q DO YOU KNOW WHICH STORE IT WAS AT THE	
6	NUMBER YOU RECEIVED IS FOR?	
7	A NO, I DON'T.	
8	Q DID YOU CALL THAT NUMBER?	
9	A YES, I DID.	
10	Q AND WITH WHOM DID YOU SPEAK AFTER HAVING	
11	CALLED THAT NUMBER?	
12	A I SPOKE TO ONE OF THEIR SECURITY PERSONNEL.	ı
13	Q NOW, THIS IS A SECURITY PERSONNEL WITH ONE	
14	OF THE SEARS STORES IN LAS VEGAS?	•
15	A YES.	
16	Q AND WHAT DID YOU SAY TO THAT PERSON?	•
17	A I ASKED THEM IF THEY HAD A BLACK MAN NAMED	
18	KEITH KINSEY WORKING SECURITY. AND THEY STATED TO ME THEY DID	
19_	NOT.	
20	Q AND WAS THAT THE FULL EXTENT OF THE CONVER-	
21	SATION?	
22	A WELL, I HAD GIVEN THEM A FULLER DESCRIPTION	
23	OF THE GUY.	
24	Q DID YOU GIVE THE DESCRIPTION THAT YOU GAVE	
25	TO US	
26	A YES.	
27	Q (CONTINUING) HERE IN COURT?	
28	AND THEY INDICATED THAT THEY DIDN'T	
29	HAVE ANYONE LIKE THAT?	
30	A THAT'S RIGHT.	
31	Q WHAT DID YOU DO AFTER THAT?	
32	A I INFORMED BOB THAT THEY HAD TOLD ME THEY -	1818
	-792-	

- 11	
1	HAD NO MALE EMPLOYEE MATCHING THAT DESCRIPTION OR BY THAT NAME.
2	AND I STATED THAT I WOULD HAVE TO STOP THE INDIVIDUAL FROM
3	AND QUESTION HIM.
4	Q THAT WAS THE INDIVIDUAL THAT WAS OUT AT THE
5	CASH REGISTER?
6	A YES.
7	Q AND AFTER YOU SAID AND DECIDED THAT, WHAT
8	DID YOU DO?
9	A BOB AND I IMMEDIATELY WENT OUT ON THE SALES-
10	FLOOR, AT WHICH TIME I HAD AT WHICH TIME THE MALE SUBJECT WAS
11	NOT AT THE CASH WRAP.
12	Q DID YOU LOOK FOR HIM?
13	A YES.
14	Q WHERE DID YOU LOOK FOR HIM FIRST?
15	A WE LOOKED OVER THE DEPARTMENT AND WE DIDN'T
16	SEE HIM, AND THEN WE EXITED THE DOOR.
17	Q YOU MEAN YOU LOOKED AROUND THE HARDWARE
18	DEPARTMENT?
19	AYES, SIR
20	Q ANY OTHER PLACES INSIDE THE STORE?
21	A NO.
22	Q JUST THAT IMMEDIATE AREA. AND THEN WHERE
23	DID YOU GO?
24	A WE EXITED THE STORE THROUGH THE DOORS THAT
25	ARE ADJACENT TO BOB'S DEPARTMENT. WE WENT OUT ON THE SIDEWALK
26	AND CHECKED THE PARKING LOT.
27	Q AND FOR HOW LONG A PERIOD OF TIME DID YOU
28	CHECK THE PARKING LOT WOULD YOU SAY?
29	A TWO TO THREE MINUTES.
30	Q AND WHEN YOU WERE OUT THERE CHECKING THE
31	PARKING LOT DID YOU SEE ANYONE THAT MATCHED THE DESCRIPTION OF

18.19

32 THE PERSON YOU WERE LOOKING FOR?

1.820

			•
SHowar			
ت. بع	1	Q ANOTHER?	
Ē15	2	A YES.	
.5058	3	Q AND WHO WAS THE INDIVIDUAL WHO WAS ON THE	
	4	LINE AT THAT TIME?	
	5	A IT WAS A SECURITY PERSONNEL. I DON'T	
	6	RECALL HIS NAME.	
•	7	Q AND WHAT DID THAT INDIVIDUAL TELL YOU?	
٠	8	MR. COOPER: I'M GOING TO OBJECT, YOUR HONOR, AS	
	9	HEARSAY.	
	. 10	THE COURT: OVERRULED.	
	11		
	12	BY MR. SEATON:	
÷	13		
•	14	Q PLEASE, CAN YOU TELL US NOW WHAT THAT	
	15	INDIVIDUAL SAID?	
	16	A OKAY.	
	17	Q AND WHAT HE SPOKE OF?	
-	18	A OKAY.	
-	19	HE HAD INFORMED ME THAT HE HAD	
	20	RECEIVED A CALL FROM THE FIRST GENTLEMAN THAT I SPOKE WITH IN	
•	21	THE SEARS LAS VEGAS STORE REGARDING AN EMPLOYEE KEITH KINSEY.	
	22	AND HE INFORMED ME THAT HIS AGENT WAS HELD AT GUNPOINT, AT	
	23	WHICH TIME HIS SECURITY I.D. WAS TAKEN FROM HIM.	
	24	Q DID HE TELL YOU WHEN THAT HAD OCCURRED?	
	25	A . THE DAY BEFORE, WHICH WOULD HAVE BEEN	
	26	Q AND DID HE	
	27	A (CONTINUING) THE 27TH.	•
	28	Q THE 27TH.	
	29	AND DID HE TELL YOU WHETHER OR NOT	
	30	THERE WERE ANY WEAPONS USED IN THAT PARTICULAR INCIDENT?	
	31	A YES, HE DID.	
	32	Q WHAT DID HE SAY?	1821
		-795-	

SH o	•		
ν. Ω ρ.			
P 1 1 5 2	A I	UNDERSTOOD HIM TO SAY IT WAS A .357	
5059 3	Q C	DID HE TELL YOU ANYTHING ABOUT AUTOMOBILES?	
4	A 1	reş.	
5	Q ,	WHAT DID HE TELL YOU?	
. 6	A +	HE DESCRIBED THE CAR IN WHICH THE SA	
7	SUBJECT WAS DRIVING.	·	
. 8	Q A	AND HOW DID HE DESCRIBE THAT CAR?	
. 9	A .A	AS A 1980 OLDSMOBILE CUTLASS.	
10	Q E	DID HE TELL YOU WHAT COLOR IT WAS?	
<u>.</u> 11	Α ,	YES. I BELIEVE IT WAS BLACK.	
12	Q (DID HE TELL YOU WHAT THE WHAT STATE THE	
13	AUTOMOBILE WAS LICENSI	ED UNDER?	
14	A 1	NEW YORK.	
18	Q	DID HE TELL YOU WHAT THE NUMBERS OF THE	
16	LICENSE PLATE WERE?		
. 17	Α	YES, HE DID.	
18	Q	DO YOU RECALL WHAT THOSE ARE?	
<u> </u>	Α	NO. NOT BY NUMBER.	*
·. 20	Q	DID YOU HAVE THE INFORMATION THAT YOU HAD	
21		OTES THAT YOU TOLD US ABOUT EARLIER, DID	
2:	YOU HAVE THAT PUT INT	O ANY ORDER OF A LOG?	
2:	A	YES, I DID.	
2	1	HOW DID YOU DO THAT?	
2.5		LATER IN THE AFTERNOON I HAD A SECURITY	
. 21	HORATING TOR TIE:	I HAD HIM LOG IT IN THE BOOK IN MY NOTES.	
2		AND HE USED YOUR NOTES?	
2	7	YES.	
2		AND IS THAT A LOG THAT IS REGULARLY KEPT	
3	THE HORMAL GOORSE	OF BUSINESS WITH SEARS?	
3	<u>, </u>	YES, IT IS.	1822
3	Q	AND IT'S USED BY THE SECURITY AGENTS WITHIN	1026
		-796-	

1	THAT STORE?
2	A YES.
3	Q AND DID YOU HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY THE NEXT
4	DAY TO REVIEW THE LOG THAT HE HAD WRITTEN YOUR INFORMATION IN?
5	A YES, 1 DID.
6	Q AND DID IT FAIRLY AND ACCURATELY REFLECT
7	THE EVENTS AS YOU HAD DESCRIBED THEM THE DAY BEFORE?
8	A YES.
9	Q AND WAS THE LICENSE NUMBER THAT THE SEARS.
10	SECURITY GUARD IN LAS VEGAS, THAT HE GAVE YOU, WAS THAT ONE OF
11	THE THINGS THAT YOU HAD WRITTEN DOWN AND HE IN TURN HAD PUT INTO
12	THE LOG?
13	A YES.
14	Q AND THAT IS IN THE LOG TODAY?
15	A YES, IT IS.
16	Q DID YOU BRING THAT PAGE OF THE LOG WITH
17	YOU TODAY?
18	A YES, I DID.
19	Q WOULD LOOKING AT THAT LOG REFRESH YOUR
20	MEMORY AS TO THE LICENSE NUMBER OF THE NEW YORK PLATES ON THE
21	1980 OLDSMOBILE CUTLASS?
22	A YES, IT WOULD.
23	Q WOULD YOU LOOK AT THAT NOW, PLEASE, AND
24	JUST READ IT TO YOURSELF.
25	DOES READING THAT REFRESH YOUR RECOLLEC-
26	T10N?
27	A YES.
21	Q WOULD YOU TELL US WHAT THE LICENSE PLATE
29	NUMBERS WERE THAT THE SEARS STORE GAVE YOU ON THAT DAY?
30	A 614 ZHQ.
3	110
3:	why did you have another agent write in 18

[[_		
1	A	THE DAY OF THE INCIDENT, THE 28TH.	
2	Q	I SEE.	
3	•	AND WHOSE NAME HAD HE PUT ON THAT	
4	RETAIL CREDIT SLIP?		
5	Α .	KEITH KINSEY.	
6	Q	AND WERE YOU SUCCESSFUL IN LOCATING THAT	
7	SLIP THAT I'D ASKED	YOU TO FIND?	
8	A	NOT AT THAT TIME.	
9	Q	AND WHY NOT?	
10	A	THE PERSONNEL AT OUR AUDITING DEPARTMENT	
11	WAS OFF FOR THE WEEK	END BEFORE I COULD GET IT. BUT I DIDN'T	
12	KNOW EVERYPLACE TO L	OOK FOR THE RE FOR THE DAILY.	
13	Q	AND WHEN DID I HAVE YOU COME TO LAS VEGAS?	
14	A	YOU HAD ME COME MONDAY.	
15	Q	I'M SORRY?	
16	A	MONDAY.	
17	Q	MONDAY WHEN?	
18	A	I ARRIVED HERE SUNDAY NIGHT AND	
19	Q	! SEE.	
20	. А	(CONTINUING) 1 WAS DUE IN COURT MONDAY.	
21	Q	I SEE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.	
22	A	YEAH.	,
23	MR.	SEATON: THAT CONCLUDES THE QUESTIONS BY	
24	THE STATE, YOUR HON	DR.	
25	THE (COURT: CROSS.	
26	MR.	COOPER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.	
27			
28		CROSS EXAMINATION	
29 30			
31	BY MR. COOPER:		
32	_	MRS. DONALDSON, YOU ARE SURE THE PERSON	1825
	Q		
		-799-	1

ij	
1	SEATED TO MY LEFT IS THE MAN YOU SAW IN THE STORE NEAR MR.
2	SMITH'S REGISTER SOMETIME THREE YEARS AGO; IS THAT RIGHT?
3	A I'M FAIRLY SURE.
4	Q WHEN YOU SAY "FAIRLY SURE" I GOT THE
5	IMPRESSION ON DIRECT EXAMINATION YOU WERE CERTAIN OF THAT. ARE
6	YOU SAYING NOW THAT YOU AREN'T CERTAIN OF THAT?
7	A THERE ARE A FEW SLIGHT DIFFERENCES AT THIS
8	TIME.
9	Q WELL, TAKING INTO ACCOUNT THOSE DIFFERENCES,
10	CAN YOU SAY THAT THIS GENTLEMAN SEATED TO MY LEFT IS THE MAN YOU
11	SAW IN THE STORE OR NOT?
12	A YES, HE IS.
13	Q YOU AREN'T ONE OF THESE EXTRAORDINARY
14	PEOPLE WHOSE MEMORY GETS BETTER AS TIME GOES BY, ARE YOU? OR
15	ARE YOU MORE LIKE MOST OF US, WHOSE MEMORY SEEMS TO FAIL AS TIME
16	GOES BY?
17	A I'M AN AVERAGE PERSON, YES.
18	Q UH-HUH.
19	WHEN YOU GOT YOUR CALL FROM MR. SMITH
20	TO COME TO HIS OFFICE, IS THAT ALL HE TOLD YOU, TO COME TO HIS
21	OFFICE?
22	A I DIDN'T RECEIVE THE CALL FROM MR. SMITH.
23	IT WAS OUR OPERATOR.
24	Q THE OPERATOR?
25	A YES.
26	Q I SEE.
27	WHAT DID THE OPERATOR TELL YOU?
28	A THAT BOB SMITH WANTED ME TO COME TO HIS
29	OFFICE REGARDING A SUSPICIOUS REFUNDER IN HIS DEPARTMENT.
30	Q UH-HUH.
31	SO IN ROUTE TO MR. SMITH'S OFFICE YOU
32	SEE THIS MAN STANDING BY HIS REGISTER; IS THAT RIGHT?

-800-

2			
SHowar			<u></u>
p. 1	1	A YES.	
۱۳۰۰ الما الما	2	Q HOW LONG DID YOU TAKE A LOOK AT THIS MAN?	
505	3	A AS I PASSED I LOOKED AT HIM.	
.₽	4	Q WALKING BY AND YOU GAVE HIM A GLANCE; IS	
	5	THAT RIGHT?	
	6	A CORRECT.	
	7	Q AND AT THAT POINT YOU WOULDN'T HAVE BEEN	
	8	ABLE TO GIVE THE DETAILED DESCRIPTION THAT YOU GAVE TO THE	
	9	TO THE SEARS PEOPLE HERE IN LAS VEGAS LATER ON?	
	10	A CORRECT.	
	11	Q WEREN'T THERE OTHER PEOPLE STANDING THERE	
	12	AT THE CASH REGISTER WITH THIS MAN?	
•	13	A NO, SIR, THERE WEREN'T.	
•	14	Q ARE YOU CERTAIN OF THAT?	
	15	A YES.	
	16	Q YOU THEN WENT INTO MR. SMITH'S OFFICE WHERE	
	17	HE TOLD YOU WHAT WAS HAPPENING; IS THAT RIGHT?	
•	18	A YES.	
	19	Q YOU WENT BACK OUTSIDE TO TAKE A LOOK AT	
.	20	THIS MAN?	
	21	A YES, SIR.	
	22 23	Q HOW LONG DID YOU LOOK AT HIM?	
	24	A APPROXIMATELY A MINUTE.	
	25	Q BY STANDING AT MR. SMITH'S REGISTER AS IT	
•	26	WAS ON MARCH 28TH OF 1980, AND IF I'M FACING THE REGISTER, WOULD I BE LOOKING TOWARDS MR. SMITH'S DOOR OR AWAY FROM HIS	
	27	DOOR?	
:	28	A YOU WOULD BE LOOKING TOWARDS MR. SMITH'S	
	29	OFFICE.	
:	30	Q SO WHEN YOU CAME OUT OF MR. SMITH'S OFFICE	
	31	YOU WENT SOME, I THINK YOUR TESTIMONY WAS, SOME TEN FEET OR SO	
•	32	FROM HIS DOOR; IS THAT RIGHT?	1827
		-801-	

-802-

- 11	
1	A YES.
2	Q AND HE'S HE DOESN'T TURN DURING THAT
3	FULL MINUTE. HE DOESN'T TURN HIS BACK TO YOU OR SIDE TO YOU?
4	A NO, HE DID NOT.
5	O HOW LONG HAD YOU BEEN IN THE SECURITY
6	BUSINESS WHEN THIS HAPPENED?
7	A APPROXIMATELY NINE YEARS.
8	Q UH-HUH.
9	HAVE YOU TRAINING OF ANYKIND PRIOR TO
10	BECOMING A SECURITY OFFICER?
11	A ON-THE-JOB TRAINING.
12	Q I TAKE IT THEN YOU'VE HAD EXTENSIVE
13	EXPERIENCE IN MAKING IDENTIFICATIONS OF SUSPECTS?
14	A YES.
15	Q WHEN YOU WHEN YOU MADE YOUR NOTES THAT
16	YOU LATER GAVE TO THE SECURITY AGENT TO LOG IN, WHAT INFORMATION,
17	AFTER YOU HAD MADE YOUR MINUTE OR MORE OBSERVATION OF THIS
18	PERSON, WHAT INFORMATION DID YOU GIVE THE SECURITY AGENT
19	REGARDING THE PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION OF THIS PERSON?
20	A THE SAME AS I STATED AWHILE AGO.
21	Q THAT HE WAS A BLACK MALE, LATE TWENTIES,
22	SHORT HAIR, NEAT APPEARANCE, BETWEEN FIVE ELEVEN AND SIX FEET,
23	WEIGHED 150 POUNDS?
24	A CORRECT.
25	Q RIGHT?
26 27	AND DID YOU TELL THE AGENT WHAT KIND OF
28	COAT THIS MAN HAD ON?
29	A I DON'T RECALL IF I DID OR NOT.
30	Q WAS THAT INCLUDED IN THE NOTES THAT YOU GAVE THE AGENT?
31	A IT PROBABLY WAS.
32	Q WELL, WOULDN'T HE DO YOU HAVE YOUR
	WELL, MODERIT I HE 22 DO 100 WITH 12 PM

YOUR	LOG SHEET BEFORE YOU?	
	A YES, I DO.	
	Q WOULD YOU TAKE A LOOK AT IT, PLEASE.	
	HAVE YOU HAD A CHANCE TO READ THAT	
ENTRY	?	
	A · YES.	
	Q IS THERE ANY MENTION THERE ABOUT THE COAT	
THE A	AN HAD ON?	
	A NO, THERE ISN'T.	
	Q IN YOUR NOTE THAT YOU GAVE, AND I THINK	
YOUR	TESTIMONY WAS THAT YOU MADE THIS NOTE IMMEDIATELY UPON	
RETU	NING TO MR. SMITH'S OFFICE AFTER YOU OBSERVED THIS PERSON;	
IS TH	AT RIGHT?	
	A YES, I DID.	
	Q DID YOU MENTION ANYTHING ABOUT THE KIND OF	
SHIR	HE HAD ON?	
	A I PROBABLY NOTED IT ON MY NOTES.	
	Q BUT IT'S NOT IN THE LOG, IS IT?	
	A NO, IT'S NOT.	
· .	Q ALL RIGHT.	
.	DID YOU MENTION ANYTHING ABOUT THE	
PANT	THE MAN HAD ON?	
)	A NO.	
1	Q WAS THAT IN THE NOTE?	
5	A NOT IN THIS NOTE.	
i	Q UH-HUH.	
7	IS IT IN THE LOG?	
3	A NO. IT'S NOT IN THIS LOG.	
•	Q DID YOU MAKE THAT MENTION THAT IN YOUR	
	S THAT YOU GAVE THE SECURITY AGENT?	
1	A MORE THAN LIKELY, YES.	1
2	Q DIDN'T IT APPEAR A BIT UNUSUAL THAT THE	;

SECURITY AGENT WOULD FAIL TO MENTION ANYTHING ABOUT THE CLOTH-ING OF THIS PERSON IN THE LOG THAT'S MADE? ISN'T THAT A BIT UNUSUAL?

- A NO, NOT REALLY.
- Q AND WHY ISN'T -- WHY ISN'T IT UNUSUAL?
- A BECAUSE I'M ASSUMING HE JUST PUT THE PARTICULARS ON THERE THAT HE THOUGHT WAS IMPORTANT.

Q OH, I SEE.

WHEN YOU TESTIFIED YESTERDAY YOU WEREN'T SO SURE THAT YOU INCLUDED THE CLOTHING DESCRIPTION IN YOUR NOTES, WERE YOU?

A I DON'T KNOW IF I WAS OR NOT.

Q WELL, DID YOU -- DID YOU OR DIDN'T YOU?

DID YOU OR DIDN'T YOU INCLUDE, IN THE NOTES THAT YOU MADE THAT

YOU GAVE THE SECURITY AGENT, A DESCRIPTION OF THIS PERSON'S

CLOTHING?

A I, LIKE I SAY, I PROBABLY HAD IT ON MY

NOTE IN MY MEMORY WHEN I CALLED THE LAS VEGAS STORE AT THE TIME

THAT I TOOK MY NOTES.

Q WELL, WHEN YOU SAY YOU PROBABLY DID, IT CAN ALSO BE SAID THEN THAT YOU PROBABLY DIDN'T?

A I WOULD SAY IT WAS MOST LIKELY I DID.

Q YOU TESTIFIED ON DIRECT EXAMINATION TODAY
THAT THE PERSON HAD ON A WINDBREAKER-TYPE JACKET THAT WAS WAIST
LENGTH; IS THAT RIGHT?

A RIGHT,

Q WHY DID YOU SAY YESTERDAY THAT HE HAD ON A JACKET THAT CAME DOWN -- COURT'S INDULGENCE, PLEASE.

WHY DID YOU SAY YESTERDAY THAT HE HAD ON A JACKET THAT CAME ACROSS HIS HIPS?

A I BELIEVE IN MY STATEMENT THIS MORNING I DID SAY HIP LENGTH.

1	Q WELL, I I BEG TO DIFFER, I THINK YOUR
2	TESTIMONY WAS THAT IT CAME DOWN TO HIS WAIST. DO YOU RECALL
3	WHICH WHICH IT WAS, WHETHER IT WAS DOWN TO HIS HIP OR DOWN
4	TO HIS WAIST?
5	A I KNOW IT WAS PAST HIS WAIST. HIP LENGTH.
6	Q DO YOU RECALL THE LENGTH OF THE JACKET BUT
7	YOU DON'T RECALL THE COLOR OF THE JACKET?
8	A 1 STATED IT WAS A LIGHT COLOR, MOST LIKELY
9	A TAN.
10	Q TAN WINDBREAKER-TYPE?
11	A YES.
12	. Q YOU WERE TOLD NOW, THIS INCIDENT
13	OCCURRED ON THE 28TH OF MARCH, 1980; IS THAT RIGHT?
14	A YES, SIR.
15	Q DO YOU RECALL APPROXIMATELY WHAT TIME IT
16	WAS THAT IT OCCURRED?
17	A IN THE AFTERNOON.
18	Q EARLY AFTERNOON? LATE AFTERNOON?
19	A OH, PROBABLY SOMEWHERE THREEISH OR SOME-
20	THING LIKE THAT.
21	Q AROUND 3:00 OR SO?
22	A YEAH, RIGHT.
23	Q WHATEVER HAPPENED TO THE NOTES THAT YOU
24	MADE WHERE YOU GAVE THE DESCRIPTION OF THIS PERSON ALONG WITH
25	THE CLOTHING?
26	A APPARENTLY GOT THROWN AWAY.
27	Q PARDON?
28	A APPARENTLY IT GOT THROWN AWAY.
29	Q THAT KIND OF INFORMATION ISN'T ISN'T
30	KEPT IN SEARS ROEBUCK'S RECORDS?
31 32	A NO. JUST WHAT WAS NOTATED IN THE LOG.
ڪ ت	II MP COOPER' I SEE

SHowar			
<u>a</u>			
- - - - -	1	I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER OF THE WITNESS,	
∨ 1	2	YOUR HONOR.	
979	3	MR. SEATON: NOTHING BY THE STATE, YOUR HONOR.	
	4	THANK YOU.	
	5	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU ARE EXCUSED.	
	6	(WHEREUPON, THE WITNESS WAS	
	7	EXCUSED.)	
	8	THE COURTER WHO WILL BE YOUR NEXT WITNESS?	
	9	MR. HARMON: ROBERT SLATER.	
	10	MR. COOPER: EXCUSE ME, YOUR HONOR. I FAILED TO	
	11	ASK ONE QUESTION.	
	12	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT, JUST BE SEATED.	
	13		•
	14	CROSS EXAMINATION	
	15		
	16	BY MR. COOPER:	
	17		
	18	Q MRS. DONALDSON, DURING THE INTERIM, FROM	
	19	THE TIME THAT THIS INCIDENT HAPPENED UP UNTIL YOUR COMING INTO	
	20	COURT TO TESTIFY IN HERE, DID YOU EVER VIEW A LINEUP REGARDING	
	21	THIS INCIDENT THAT INCLUDED BLACK MALES?	
	22	A NO, I HAVE NOT.	,
	23	Q A PHOTO OR A PHYSICAL LINEUP?	
	24	A NO, SIR.	
•	25	Q SO YESTERDAY WHEN YOU CAME INTO COURT WAS	
	26	THE FIRST TIME YOU HAD SEEN THIS MAN TO MY LEFT SINCE SOME. THREE	•
•	27	YEARS AGO?	
· ·	28	A YES, SIR.	
	29	MR. COOPER: I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER.	:
•	30	MR. SEATON: NOTHING BY THE STATE.	
•	31	THE COURT: YOU ARE EXCUSED.	1.0-
	32	··	1833

SHowar			
ρ ρ.			
- 13 - 13	1	THE COURT: CALL THE JURY.	
PE15072	2	HOW MANY MORE WITNESSES DO YOU ANTICIPATE,	
172	3	COUNSEL?	
	4	MR. HARMON: APPROXIMATELY EIGHT, YOUR HONOR.	
	5	(WHEREUPON, THE JURY ENTERED	
	6	THE COURTROOM, AND THE FOLLOW-	
	7	ING PROCEEDINGS WERE HAD:)	
•	8	THE COURT: WILL COUNSEL STIPULATE TO THE	
•	9	PRESENCE OF THE JURY?	
	10	MR. SEATON: YES, YOUR HONOR.	
•	11	MR. COOPER: YES, YOUR HONOR.	
•	12	THE COURT: CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS. HAVE YOUR	
•	13	NEXT WITNESS COME FORWARD.	
:	14	MR. HARMON: THANK YOU.	
•	15	THE CLERK: RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND.	
÷	16		
	17	WHEREUPON,	
	18		·
	19	ROBERT SLATER,	
	20		
:	21	CALLED AS A WITNESS HEREIN BY THE PLAINTIFF WAS FIRST DULY SWORN,	
:	22	EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:	
-	23		
‡ -	24	THE COURT: PROCEED.	•
	25	MR. HARMON: THANK YOU.	
	26		
	27	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
:	28		
-	29	BY MR. HARMON:	
•	30	The state of the s	
	31	Q WILL YOU STATE YOUR NAME, PLEASE.	1075
	32	A ROBERT SLATER, S-L-A-T-E-R.	1835
		-809-	

-810-

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

29 30

31

32

COURTROOM WHOM YOU SAW ON THAT DAY, AND DESCRIBE HOW HE'S DRESSED?

THE GENTLEMAN IN THE BEIGE SHIRT AT THE END OF DEFENSE TABLE.

MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, MAY THE RECORD SHOW THAT THE WITNESS HAS IDENTIFIED THE DEFENDANT SAMUEL HOWARD.

THE COURT: THE RECORD MAY SO SHOW.

MR. HARMON: THANK YOU.

BY MR. HARMON:

OFFICER SLATER, WHERE WAS MR. HOWARD, THE DEFENDANT, WHEN YOU SAW HIM SHORTLY BEFORE 12:00 O'CLOCK NOON ON APRIL THE 15T, 1980?

HE HAD JUST ENTERED THE SOUTH DOOR OF JEWEL COR STORE.

WAS HE BY HIMSELF OR WITH SOMEONE ELSE?

I SAW HIM ALONE.

Q WHAT DID YOU SEE HIM DO AND WHAT, IF ANY-

THING, ATTRACTED YOUR ATTENTION TO HIM?

HE WAS WALKING IN THE DOOR TOWARDS MY LOCATION. 1 WAS STANDING BY THE JEWELRY DEPARTMENT. I NOTICED HIM CARRYING A -- WHAT APPEARED TO BE A POLICE-TYPE OR A SMALL WALKIE-TALKIE RADIO IN HIS HAND.

DO YOU RECALL IN WHICH HAND HE WAS CARRYING THE WALKIE-TALKIE RADIO?

NO, I DON'T.

DID THAT FIRST DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO HIM? Q

YES. Α

WHAT THEN DID YOU OBSERVE?

AS HE PASSED MY LOCATION WHERE 1 WAS

STANDING 1 OBSERVED A GRIP BUTT OF A GUN STICKING OUT OF HIS

S.Ho		•	
SHoward-		WAISTBAND AREA ON THE RIGHT SIDE OF HIS BACK.	
in in	2	Q WAISTBAND ON THE RIGHT SIDE OF HIS BACK?	
507	3	A RIGHT.	
; 75	4	Q WERE YOU POSITIVE IT WAS SOME TYPE OF	
	5	FIREARM?	
	6	A FROM WHAT I'VE EXPERIENCED, YES.	
:	7	Q YOU HAVE EXTENSIVE EXPERIENCE IN LAW	
•	8	ENFORCEMENT WITH GUNS, DO YOU NOT?	
	9	A YES.	
	10	Q OFFICER SLATER, HOW CLOSE WAS THE DEFENDANT	
•	11	TO YOU WHEN HE PASSED BY YOUR STATION?	
	12	A THREE TO FIVE FEET.	
	13	Q COULD YOU SEE HIM WELL AT THAT DISTANCE?	
	14	A YES.	
	15	Q DID HE STILL HAVE THE POLICE-TYPE WALKIE-	
•	16	TALKIE RADIO IN ONE OF HIS HANDS?	
•	17	A YES.	
	18	Q WHAT HAPPENED THEN, SIR?	
	19	A . HE WALKED THROUGH THE STORE AND STOPPED BY	
	20	ONE OF MY SECURITY AGENTS BY THE NAME OF MANNY VELASQUEZ, AND	
	21	IT APPEARED THAT THEY WERE HAVING A SHORT CONVERSATION. I	
	22	COULDN'T HEAR ANY WORDS, BUT THEY WERE MOUTHS WERE MOVING.	
	23	HE THEN WALKED OUT THE NORTH DOOR OF	
	24	JEWEL COR.	
	25	Q . YOU REFERRED TO A SECURITY OFFICER NAMED	
	26	MANNY VELASQUEZ?	
	27	A YES.	
	28	Q HOW IS THE FIRST NAME SPELLED?	
	29	A M-A-N-Y.	
	30	Q AND IS VELASQUEZ, V-E-L-A-S-Q-U-E-Z?	
	31	A YES.	
	32	Q WHAT HAPPENED THEN?	1838
-		-812-	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

A I WENT OVER AND TALKED TO MANNY AND ASKED MANNY IF THE GENTLEMAN HE WAS TALKING TO WAS A POLICEMAN. AND HE SAID NO. HE SAID, "I DON'T THINK SO."

I THEN INFORMED MANNY AT THIS TIME THAT

I OBSERVED A GUN IN HIS WAISTBAND AREA AND DECIDED TO CALL THE

LOCAL POLICE DEPARTMENT AT THAT TIME.

Q DID YOU, IN FACT, CONTACT A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE DOWNEY POLICE DEPARTMENT?

A YES, I DID.

Q IN DOWNEY, CALIFORNIA?

A YES.

Q DID THERE COME A TIME WHEN SOMEONE FROM
THE DOWNEY POLICE DEPARTMENT RESPONDED TO THE STONEWOOD SHOPPING
CENTER?

A YES.

Q ABOUT WHEN WAS THAT?

A PROBABLY ABOUT 15 TO 20 MINUTES AFTER I

FIRST OBSERVED HIM.

Q THAT WOULD BE APPROXIMATELY WHAT TIME THEN

ON APRIL THE 15T, 1980?

A IT WOULD BE SHORTLY AFTER 12:00; 12:10, 12:15, SOMEWHERE IN THERE.

Q WHAT HAPPENED AFTER THE ARRIVAL OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE DOWNEY POLICE DEPARTMENT?

A . I HAD DIRECTED THEM TOWARDS THE WEST END OF THE SHOPPING CENTER, WHERE I'D SEEN MR. HOWARD WALKING.

Q DO YOU RECALL THE NAMES OF THE OFFICERS WHO RESPONDED?

A OFFICER CONNELY AND CAMPOS.

Q C-A-M-P-O-5?

A YES.

Q AND CONNELY 15, C-O-N-N-E-L-Y?

32

DEFENDANT.

-815-

 A I BELIEVE IT WAS A .357 BLUE STEEL REVOLVER, BROWN GRIPS.

Q WHO FOUND THAT PARTICULAR WEAPON?

A OFFICER CAMPOS AND MANNY VELASQUEZ WERE AT THAT LOCATION FOUND IT.

MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, MAY I HAVE THE COURT'S

MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR HONOR?
THE COURT: YOU MAY.

BY MR. HARMON:

INDULGENCE.

Q OFFICER SLATER, I'M SHOWING YOU FIRST WHAT HAS BEEN MARKED AS STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 24+B. IT APPEARS TO BE SOME TYPE OF TWO-WAY OR WALKIE-TALKIE RADIO. WILL YOU LOOK AT THIS ITEM AND STATE WHETHER IT APPEARS TO BE THE SAME WALKIE-TALKIE RADIO YOU SAW IN POSSESSION OF THE DEFENDANT MR. HOWARD ON APRIL THE 1ST, 1980, INSIDE THE STONEWOOD SHOPPING CENTER IN DOWNEY, CALIFORNIA?

A IT APPEARS TO BE THE ONE THAT I SAW THAT DAY.

Q WHAT WAS THE DEFENDANT DOING WITH THE RADIO WHEN YOU SAW HIM WITH IT ON APRIL THE 1ST, 1980?

A HE WAS SIMPLY CARRYING IT IN HIS HAND AND WALKING. HE WAS NOT TALKING ON IT.

Q DID YOU SEE THE SAME RADIO, PROPOSED EXHIBIT 24-B, ONCE IT HAD BEEN RECOVERED BY OFFICER CONNELY?

A YES.

Q EXCEPT FOR THE COURT MARKINGS AND WHAT

APPEARS TO BE SOME TYPE OF ENTRY BY A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE LAS

VEGAS POLICE DEPARTMENT ON THE FRONT, DOES THE RADIO APPEAR TO

BE IN SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME CONDITION AS IT LOOKED ON APRIL THE

Ho∗		
ar a-	_	
הם ה	1	1ST, 1980?
150	2	A YES.
0 & 1	3	Q I'M NOW SHOWING YOU AN ITEM MARKED AS
	4	PROPOSED EXHIBIT 24-8. WILL YOU EXAMINE THAT ITEM AND STATE
	5	WHETHER IT APPEARS TO BE THE CASE AND BADGE WHICH YOU LOCATED
	6	INSIDE THE THRIFTY DRUG STORE ON APRIL THE 1ST, 1980, IN THE
	7	TOWEL SECTION?
	. 8	A YES, IT DOES.
	9	Q WHAT ABOUT IT CAUSES YOU TO SAY IT LOOKS
	10	LIKE THE ITEM YOU RECOVERED?
	11	A I REMEMBER THE POLICE-TYPE STYLE OF THE
	12	BIFOLDED WALLET, AND THE SECURITY IDENTIFICATION IN THE CLEAR
	13	PLASTIC AREA FOR I.D. CARDS FOR A PERSON TO CARRY. IT'S THE
	14	SAME SIZE AND SHAPE.
	15	Q IN TERMS OF THE BADGE ITSELF AND THE CASE,
	16	DOES IT APPEAR TO BE IN SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME CONDITION NOW
	17	EXCEPT FOR THE COURT MARKINGS?
	18	A IT APPEARS TO BE IN THE SAME CONDITION.
	19	I DON'T KNOW IF THIS TRESPASS WARNING
<u>.</u>	20	THAT'S IN THERE WAS THERE THAT DAY. I DON'T RECALL THAT AT ALL.
	21	BUT IT APPEARS TO BE IN THE SAME CONDITION OTHER THAN WHAT'S
	22	SHOWN IN THE PLASTIC AREA.
:	23	MR. HARMON: THANK YOU.
	24	MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR HONOR?
	25	THE COURT: YOU MAY.
•	26	
-	27	BY MR. HARMON:
:	28	
	29	Q OFFICER SLATER, I'M SHOWING YOU NOW A FIRE-
i. i	30	ARM MARKED AS PROPOSED EXHIBIT 31-D. IS THE WEAPON CLEAR?
	31	A YES, IT'S CLEAR.
-	32	Q WILL YOU EXAMINE THE FIREARM AND STATE

1

4 5

6 7

8

10

11 12

13 14

> 15 16

17

18 19

20

CORRECT?

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28 29

30

31 32 WHETHER THIS LOOKS LIKE THE GUN THAT WAS RECOVERED BY YOUR SECURITY OFFICER MANNY VELASQUEZ AND OFFICER CAMPOS, ALSO INSIDE THE THRIFTY DRUG STORE, ON APRIL THE 1ST, 1980, IN DOWNEY, CALIFORNIA?

A IT APPEARS TO BE THE SAME WEAPON.

Q WHEN, TO YOUR RECOLLECTION, HAD YOU FIRST SEEN A WEAPON WHICH LOOKED LIKE THE GUN NOW MARKED AS PROPOSED EXHIBIT 31-B ON APRIL THE 1ST, 1980?

A WHEN IT WAS FOUND IN THE THRIFTY STORE IN THE AISLE.

Q WELL, HAD YOU PREVIOUSLY SEEN AT LEAST THE GRIPS OR THE BUTT OF THE GUN WHICH FITS THE DESCRIPTION OF THIS WEAPON, PROPOSED EXHIBIT 31-B?

A YES, ORIGINALLY IN JEWEL COR, JUST THE BUTT OF THE GUN.

Q WHERE WAS IT INSIDE JEWEL COR?

A IT WAS ON THE DEFENDANT IN HIS WAISTBAND. JUST THE BUTT WAS SHOWING.

THE RIGHT SIDE OF HIS WAISTBAND; IS THAT

A YES, IN THE REAR.

Q AND WHEN YOU SAY, "JUST THE BUTT WAS SHOWING" WILL YOU INDICATE WHAT PART OF THE FIREARM YOU'RE REFERRING TO?

A WELL, THE WOOD GRIP HERE (INDICATING).

Q THE HANDLE OR WOOD GRIP AREA?

A YES.

Q OFFICER SLATER, WERE YOU PROVIDED A PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION OF THE DEFENDANT MR. HOWARD AS YOU REMEMBER HIM ON APRIL THE 1ST, 1980?

A HE WAS A MALE, BLACK, APPROXIMATELY FIVE EIGHT OR FIVE NINE, FIVE NINE OR FIVE TEN, 140 OR 150 POUNDS,

18.45

CLEAN CUT, CLEAN APPEARANCE, LONG-SLEEVED SWEATER SHIRT OR PULLOVER-TYPE SHIRT, AND I BELIEVE HE HAD BLUE PANTS ON.

Q DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT TYPE OF FOOTWEAR HE
HAD?

A NO, I DON'T.

Q DID YOU ESTIMATE HIS APPROXIMATE AGE?

A I DID AT THE TIME I CALLED DOWNEY POLICE.

I CAN'T REMEMBER WHAT I TOLD THEM. HE APPEARED 25 TO 30.

Q AS YOU LOOK AT THE DEFENDANT NOW IN COURT,
DID YOU SEE ANY CHANGES IN HIM PHYSICALLY?

A HE SEEMS TO BE ALCT HEAVIER THAN HE WAS ON THAT DATE.

Q ON APRIL THE 1ST, 1980?

A RIGHT.

MR. HARMON: COURT'S INDULGENCE, PLEASE.

THAT CONCLUDES DIRECT, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: CROSS?

MR. COOPER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. COOPER:

Q OFFICER SLATER, WHEN YOU FOUND THE WALLET WAS THERE ANYTHING IN THE WALLET OTHER THAN WHAT YOU SAW HERE TODAY?

A I DON'T KNOW EXACTLY WHAT WAS IN THE WALLET ON THAT DAY. I NEVER WENT THROUGH IT. SO THERE COULD HAVE BEEN OTHER THINGS INSIDE.

Q IS THAT TO SAY YOU CAN'T BE CERTAIN THAT THAT'S THE SAME WALLET YOU FOUND THAT DAY?

A WELL, IT APPEARS TO BE THE SAME WALLET THAT

- 11			
		<u> </u>	
1	I FOUND THAT DA	AY.	•
2		Q	WHAT DO YOU BASE THAT ON?
3		Α	THE SIZE, THE BIFOLDED, THE COLOR, AND
4	SOMETHING THAT	SAID,	"SEARS SECURITY".
5		Q	YOU DISTINCTLY REMEMBER THAT?
6		Α .	YEAH.
7		Q	NOW, YOU WAS IT YOU OR YOUR THE OTHER
8	SECURITY OFFICE	ER WHO	CALLED THE POLICE?
9		Α	I CALLED THE POLICE.
10		Q	AND YOU WERE PRESENT WHEN THE POLICE
11	ARRIVED?		
12		Α	I WAS AT THE JEWEL COR WHEN THEY ARRIVED
13	DOWN BY THE THE	RIFTY	s.
14	•	Q	DID THE POLICE COME TO YOU AND ASK FOR A
15	DESCRIPTION OF	THIS	INDIVIDUAL?
16		Α	NOT TO ME PERSONALLY AT THAT TIME, NO.
17		Q	DO YOU KNOW IF THEY WENT TO SOMEONE ELSE
18	AND ASKED FOR	A DESC	RIPTION OF THE PERSON?
19		A	1 BELIEVE THEY TALKED TO SECURITY OFFICER
20	VELASQUEZ DOWN	BY TH	RIFTY'S.
21		Q	I SEE.
22			SO WHEN YOU SAW THE POLICE THERE; IS
23	THAT RIGHT?		
24		A	YES.
25			AND YOU KNEW WHY THEY WERE THERE?
26		A	YES.
27		Q	AND AT SOME POINT YOU SAW THAT THEY MAD
28	APPREHENDED TH	E GENT	LEMAN SEATED TO MY LEFT?
29		Α	YES.
30		Q	AND THIS WAS PRIOR TO YOUR DISCOVERING THE
31	WALLET OR THIS	GUN B	EING FOUND?
32		Α	YES.

1	A APPROXIMATELY 11:40 IN THE MORNING.	
2	Q DID YOU GO TO THAT LOCATION WITH SOMEONE	
3	ELSE?	
4	A I WENT BY MYSELF IN MY OWN POLICE UNIT;	
5	HOWEVER, I ARRIVED SIMULTANEOUSLY WITH ANOTHER OFFICER, WHO WAS	
6	THERE.	
7	Q WHAT WAS THE NAME OF THAT OFFICER?	
8	A HORVATH.	
9	Q WILL YOU SPELL HORVATH FOR THE RECORD, .	
10	PLEASE?	
11	A H-O-R-V-A-T-H.	
12	Q WHAT HAPPENED AFTER YOU ARRIVED AT THE	
13	STONEWOOD SHOPPING CENTER?	
14	A WE ARRIVED AT THE SOUTH ENTRANCE OF	
15	MILLER'S OUTPOST. THE RADIO BROADCAST TOLD US THERE WAS A MALE	
16	SUSPECT NEAR THE MILLER'S OUTPOST WITH A GUN.	
17	THE SUSPECT WAS DESCRIBED AS A MALE	
18	NEGRO WITH A BEARD WITH SHORT BLACK HAIR WEARING GRAY LONG-	
19	SLEEVED SWEATER WITH BLUE PANTS.	
20	OFFICER HORVATH AND I WALKED	
21	NORTHBOUND THROUGH THE MILLER'S OUTPOST AND FOUND NO ONE FITTING	
22	THAT DESCRIPTION. WE ENTERED INTO THE MALL AREA OF THE SHOPPING	
23	CENTER, LOOKING AROUND, AND A CITIZEN	
24	MR. FRANZEN: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR	
25	THE WITNESS: TOLD	
26	MR. FRANZEN: (CONTINUING) TO WHAT THE	
27	CITIZEN SAID. IT'S HEARSAY.	
28	MR. HARMON: I'D SUBMIT IT, YOUR HONOR. THAT'S	
29	FINE.	
30	THE COURT: IS IT FOR THE TRUTH OF THE MATTER OR	
31	MERELY FOR THE PURPOSE OF	
32	MR. HARMON: IT'S NOT REALLY FOR THE TRUTH. IT'S	
	1	

BY MR. HARMON:

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

STORE?

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

31 32

30

Α

WAS DETAINED BY THOSE OFFICERS?

WHERE EXACTLY WAS MR. HOWARD INSIDE THE THRIFTY DRUG STORE WHEN YOU SAW HIM SOMEWHERE AROUND 11:40 A.M., ON APRIL THE 1ST, 1980?

HE WAS WANDERING ABOUT THREE AISLES SPECIFICALLY.

> Q WHICH AISLES?

AISLES NUMBER 25, 26 AND 27.

DID YOU OBSERVE WHAT THE DEFENDANT WAS DOING IN THOSE THREE AISLES?

HE REPEATEDLY GRABBED THE ITEMS FROM YES. THE AISLES AND WOULD TOSS THEM FROM HAND TO HAND AND MERELY TOSS THE ITEM BACK TO THE SHELF AND HE WOULD REPEAT THIS WITH VARIOUS ITEMS ON THOSE THREE AISLES.

> DID YOU, AT SOME POINT, GO INSIDE THE Q

> > YES.

Q EXPLAIN WHAT HAPPENED?

AFTER WANDERING ABOUT THOSE THREE AISLES, THE SUSPECT WALKED OUT THE WEST EXIT OF THE THRIFTY'S. AND I RADIOED TO MY PARTNERS, OFFICER CONNELY AND HORVATH, WHO WERE OUTSIDE THE WEST EXIT, THAT THE SUSPECT WAS IN FACT COMING OUT OF THE STORE.

THEY DETAINED THE SUSPECT AS HE EXITED THE THRIFTY'S AND PATTED HIM DOWN.

"THEY" BEING OFFICERS HORVATH AND CONNELY OF THE DOWNEY POLICE DEPARTMENT?

WHERE WERE YOU AT THE TIME THE DEFENDANT

I WAS WALKING FROM MY POINT OUTSIDE THE

WAS A GUN RECOVERED INITIALLY AT THE TIME THE DEFENDANT MR. HOWARD WAS DETAINED BY OFFICERS CONNELY AND DID YOU HAVE OCCASION THEREAFTER TO SEARCH. BACK INSIDE THE THRIFTY'S, AND THE SPECIFIC THE SUSPECT BEING DEFENDANT HOWARD? WERE YOU ASSISTED IN YOUR SEARCH BY ANYONE YES. THE REPORTING PARTY ON THE RADIO CALLED THE JEWEL COR SECURITY GUARD MR. SLATER AND HIS PARTNER MR. VELASQUEZ. THEY ACCOMPANIED ME INTO THE THRIFTY'S AND WE BEGAN LOOKING IN THE AISLES WHERE I HAD SEEN SUSPECT HOWARD. YOU LOOKED SPECIFICALLY IN AISLES 25, 26 AS I WAS LOOKING ON AISLE 26, RIGHT ABOUT THE MIDDLE OF THE AISLE AND ABOUT THE MIDDLE SHELF, I FOUND A BLACK WALLET WHICH CONTAINED A SEARS ROEBUCK SECURITY GUARD'S BADGE WITH "SERGEANT" IMPRINTED, AND IT CONTAINED MISCELLANEOUS HAD YOU SEEN THE DEFENDANT MR. HOWARD IN

ITEMS FROM HAND TO HAND.

DID YOU, SOMETIME LATER, HAVE OCCASION TO Q DISCOVER A WEAPON?

YES. I WENT OVER TO AISLE 27, ALONG WITH SECURITY OFFICER VELASQUEZ, AND RIGHT ABOUT MIDAISLE, MIDSHELF AGAIN, I FOUND A HANDGUN, A LOADED HANDGUN. IT WAS A SMITH AND WESSON .357, TWO AND A HALF INCH BLUE STEEL REVOLVER WITH BROWN WOODEN GRIPS.

> YOU SAID IT WAS LOADED; IS THAT CORRECT? . Q

YES.

DO YOU RECALL HOW MANY LIVE ROUNDS THE .357 SMITH AND WESSON MAGNUM CARRIED IN IT?

THERE WERE SIX.

WHAT DID YOU DO WITH THE CASE AND SEARS BADGE AND ALSO WITH THE FIREARM YOU LOCATED?

I UNLOADED THE GUN. AND I TOOK BOTH THE Q. GUN AND THE WALLET, ALONG WITH LIVE ROUNDS OF AMMUNITION, BACK OUTSIDE THE WEST EXIT, WHERE OFFICER CONNELY HAD SUSPECT HOWARD

DETAINED.

MR. HARMON: MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR

HONOR?

THE COURT: YOU MAY.

BY MR. HARMON:

OFFICER CAMPOS, I'M SHOWING YOU NOW PROPOSED I'D LIKE YOU TO EXAMINE THE OUTSIDE AND INSIDE EXHIBIT 24-A. AFTER YOU'VE DONE SO, WILL YOU STATE WHETHER YOU OF THAT ITEM. RECOGNIZE IT?

I DO.

HOW DO YOU IDENTIFY PROPOSED EXHIBIT 24-A? Q

BY THE IMPRINT OF THE "SERGEANT" RANK AND

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

SEARS ROEBUCK LOGO ON THE BACK AS WELL.

Q DID YOU ALSO NOTE, ON APRIL THE 1ST, 1980, A PARTICULAR NUMBER ON THE BADGE OF A SEARS STORE WHICH YOU LOCATED?

A I DID NOT REPORT IT AT THE TIME, THE SERIAL NUMBER.

Q IS THIS, IN FACT, THE WALLET AND SEARS BADGE WHICH YOU LOCATED INSIDE THE THRIFTY DRUG STORE?

A THIS IS.

Q IN AISLE 26 ON APRIL THE 1ST, 1980?

A YES. THIS IS THE SAME WALLET AND BADGE.

Q DOES IT APPEAR TO BE IN SUBSTANTIALLY THE

SAME CONDITION?

A YES. THERE'S SOME IDENTIFICATION PAPER -PAPER IDENTIFICATION WHICH I DON'T SEE HERE WHICH I DID THAT
DAY.

DO YOU RECALL THE IDENTIFICATION WHICH WAS INSIDE THE WALLET ON APRIL 1, 1980?

A YES. THERE WAS SOME PAPER IDENTIFICATION

IN THE NAME OF A DAVID HILYER.

Q DAVID OR JAMES HILYAR?

A IT WAS JAMES HILYAR.

Q H-I-L-Y-A-R?

A YES.

Q : EXCEPT FOR THAT, DOES THE WALLET AND 1TS

CONTENTS APPEAR TO BE -- DO THEY APPEAR TO BE IN SUBSTANTIALLY

THE SAME CONDITION?

A YES.

Q I AM SHOWING YOU NOW A FIREARM MARKED AS PROPOSED EXHIBIT 31-B. ARE YOU ABLE TO IDENTIFY THAT?

A YES.

Q HOW DO YOU IDENTIFY PROPOSED EXHIBIT 31-B.?

) 1	\cdot
1	A BY BY BEING THE SAME MODEL OF THE GUN
2	WAS THE ONE I RECOVERED IN THE MIDAISLE SHELF OF AISLE 27.
3	Q DID YOU, ON APRIL THE 1ST, 1980, BECOME
4	INVOLVED IN IMPOUNDING THIS PARTICULAR FIREARM?
5	A YES.
6	Q DID YOU ALSO IMPOUND THE BULLETS?
7	A YES.
8	Q DID YOU AT THAT TIME RECORD THE SERIAL
9	NUMBER OF THE WEAPON?
10	A YES.
11	Q WAS THAT ON SOME TYPE OF REPORT WH.I.CH. YOU
12	PREPARED?
13	A IT WAS ON MY REPORT AND ON THE EVIDENCE
14	ENVELOPE AND ON A PROPERTY CARD, WHICH GOES ALONG WITH THE
15	EVIDENCE ENVELOPE.
16	Q DO YOU RECALL AT THIS TIME WHETHER THE
	Q DO YOU RECALL AT THIS TIME WHETHER THE
17	SERIAL NUMBER ON PROPOSED EXHIBIT 31-8 15 A NUMBER YOU RECOGNIZE?
_	· ·
17	SERIAL NUMBER ON PROPOSED EXHIBIT 31-B 15 A NUMBER YOU RECOGNIZE?
17 18	SERIAL NUMBER ON PROPOSED EXHIBIT 31-B 15 A NUMBER YOU RECOGNIZE? A THE SERIAL NUMBER 15.
17 18 19	SERIAL NUMBER ON PROPOSED EXHIBIT 31-B IS A NUMBER YOU RECOGNIZE? A THE SERIAL NUMBER IS. I'M NOT SURE WHICH NUMBERS ARE THE
17 18 19 20	SERIAL NUMBER ON PROPOSED EXHIBIT 31-8 IS A NUMBER YOU RECOGNIZE? A THE SERIAL NUMBER IS. I'M NOT SURE WHICH NUMBERS ARE THE SERIAL NUMBERS AND WHICH ONE IS THE MODEL NUMBER ON THIS
17 18 19 20 21	SERIAL NUMBER ON PROPOSED EXHIBIT 31-8 IS A NUMBER YOU RECOGNIZE? A THE SERIAL NUMBER IS. I'M NOT SURE WHICH NUMBERS ARE THE SERIAL NUMBERS AND WHICH ONE IS THE MODEL NUMBER ON THIS PARTICULAR WEAPON.
17 18 19 20 21 22	SERIAL NUMBER ON PROPOSED EXHIBIT 31-8 IS A NUMBER YOU RECOGNIZE? A THE SERIAL NUMBER IS. I'M NOT SURE WHICH NUMBERS ARE THE SERIAL NUMBERS AND WHICH ONE IS THE MODEL NUMBER ON THIS PARTICULAR WEAPON. Q WOULD IT ASSIST YOU IN REFRESHING YOUR
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SERIAL NUMBER ON PROPOSED EXHIBIT 31-8 IS A NUMBER YOU RECOGNIZE? A THE SERIAL NUMBER IS. I'M NOT SURE WHICH NUMBERS ARE THE SERIAL NUMBERS AND WHICH ONE IS THE MODEL NUMBER ON THIS PARTICULAR WEAPON. Q WOULD IT ASSIST YOU IN REFRESHING YOUR MEMORY REGARDING THE EXACT MODEL AND SERIAL NUMBERS OF THE
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	SERIAL NUMBER ON PROPOSED EXHIBIT 31-8 IS A NUMBER YOU RECOGNIZE? A THE SERIAL NUMBER IS. I'M NOT SURE WHICH NUMBERS ARE THE SERIAL NUMBERS AND WHICH ONE IS THE MODEL NUMBER ON THIS PARTICULAR WEAPON. Q WOULD IT ASSIST YOU IN REFRESHING YOUR MEMORY REGARDING THE EXACT MODEL AND SERIAL NUMBERS OF THE WEAPON YOU RECOVERED APRIL 1, 1980, TO REFER TO YOUR OFFICER'S
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	SERIAL NUMBER ON PROPOSED EXHIBIT 31-B 1S A NUMBER YOU RECOGNIZE? A THE SERIAL NUMBER IS. I'M NOT SURE WHICH NUMBERS ARE THE SERIAL NUMBERS AND WHICH ONE IS THE MODEL NUMBER ON THIS PARTICULAR WEAPON. Q WOULD IT ASSIST YOU IN REFRESHING YOUR MEMORY REGARDING THE EXACT MODEL AND SERIAL NUMBERS OF THE WEAPON YOU RECOVERED APRIL 1, 1980, TO REFER TO YOUR OFFICER'S REPORT?
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	SERIAL NUMBER ON PROPOSED EXHIBIT 31-B IS A NUMBER YOU RECOGNIZE? A THE SERIAL NUMBER IS. I'M NOT SURE WHICH NUMBERS ARE THE SERIAL NUMBERS AND WHICH ONE IS THE MODEL NUMBER ON THIS PARTICULAR WEAPON. Q WOULD IT ASSIST YOU IN REFRESHING YOUR MEMORY REGARDING THE EXACT MODEL AND SERIAL NUMBERS OF THE WEAPON YOU RECOVERED APRIL 1, 1980, TO REFER TO YOUR OFFICER'S REPORT? A YES.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	SERIAL NUMBER ON PROPOSED EXHIBIT 31-B IS A NUMBER YOU RECOGNIZE? A THE SERIAL NUMBER IS. I'M NOT SURE WHICH NUMBERS ARE THE SERIAL NUMBERS AND WHICH ONE IS THE MODEL NUMBER ON THIS PARTICULAR WEAPON. Q WOULD IT ASSIST YOU IN REFRESHING YOUR MEMORY REGARDING THE EXACT MODEL AND SERIAL NUMBERS OF THE WEAPON YOU RECOVERED APRIL 1, 1980, TO REFER TO YOUR OFFICER'S REPORT? A YES. Q I'M SHOWING YOU NOW A THREE-PAGE DOCUMENT,
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	SERIAL NUMBER ON PROPOSED EXHIBIT 31-B IS A NUMBER YOU RECOGNIZE? A THE SERIAL NUMBER IS. I'M NOT SURE WHICH NUMBERS ARE THE SERIAL NUMBERS AND WHICH ONE IS THE MODEL NUMBER ON THIS PARTICULAR WEAPON. Q WOULD IT ASSIST YOU IN REFRESHING YOUR MEMORY REGARDING THE EXACT MODEL AND SERIAL NUMBERS OF THE WEAPON YOU RECOVERED APRIL 1, 1980, TO REFER TO YOUR OFFICER'S REPORT? A YES. Q I'M SHOWING YOU NOW A THREE-PAGE DOCUMENT, WHICH APPEARS TO BE SOME TYPE OF OFFICERS REPORT PREPARED BY
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	SERIAL NUMBER ON PROPOSED EXHIBIT 31-8 IS A NUMBER YOU RECOGNIZE? A THE SERIAL NUMBER IS. I'M NOT SURE WHICH NUMBERS ARE THE SERIAL NUMBERS AND WHICH ONE IS THE MODEL NUMBER ON THIS PARTICULAR WEAPON. Q WOULD IT ASSIST YOU IN REFRESHING YOUR MEMORY REGARDING THE EXACT MODEL AND SERIAL NUMBERS OF THE WEAPON YOU RECOVERED APRIL 1, 1980, TO REFER TO YOUR OFFICER'S REPORT? A YES. Q I'M SHOWING YOU NOW A THREE-PAGE DOCUMENT, WHICH APPEARS TO BE SOME TYPE OF OFFICERS REPORT PREPARED BY YOURSELF, ROY CAMPOS; IS IT?

-830-

18,56

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, APRIL 19, 1983, AT 2:20 P.M.

(WHEREUPON, FROM 12:00 P.M.

UNTIL 2:20 P.M., A RECESS WAS

HAD IN THE PROCEEDINGS, AT

THE CONCLUSION OF WHICH THE

FOLLOWING WAS HAD:)

THE COURT: WILL COUNSEL STIPULATE TO THE

PRESENCE OF THE JURY?

MR. SEATON: YES, YOUR HONOR.

MR. COOPER: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS.

MR. HARMON: WE WOULD LIKE TO RECALL OFFICER

CAMPOS, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: OFFICER CAMPOS, COME FORWARD.

PROCEED.

MR. HARMON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

BY MR. HARMON:

Q OFFICER CAMPOS, DO YOU RECALL HOW THE DEFENDANT MR. HOWARD WAS DRESSED ON APRIL THE 1ST, 1980?

A HE HAD ON A GRAY, LONG-SLEEVED SWEATER AND BROWN PANTS. THAT IS ALL I RECALL.

Q ASIDE FROM THE CLOTHING, WILL YOU GIVE US A PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION OF THE DEFENDANT AS YOU REMEMBER HIM FROM THAT DAY?

A HE WAS STOCKY WITH A MUSCULAR BUILD. THAT ABOUT SUMS IT UP.

Q WILL YOU BE ABLE TO ESTIMATE HIS APPROXI-MATE WEIGHT AND HEIGHT?

A THE HEIGHT APPROXIMATELY FIVE SEVEN, AND -

1	A YES.
2	Q AND DOES THAT CONCERN THE ARREST OF MR.
3	HOWARD?
4	A YES.
5	Q AND DOES THAT LIST IN HIS POSSESSION A
6	GOLD A GOLD-COLORED WRISTWATCH?
7	A YES.
8	Q WHAT KIND OF WRISTWATCH IS 1T?
9	A A CRITERION QUARTZ, YELLOW METAL, MAN'S
10	WRISTWATCH.
11	Q THANK YOU.
12	AND THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN IN MR. HOWARD'S
13	POSSESSION AT THE TIME HE WAS ARRESTED, OTHERWISE IT WOULDN'T BE
14	ON THE PROPERTY REPORT?
15	A RIGHT. IT COULD HAVE BEEN IN HIS POCKET
16	OR SOMEWHERE ON HIS PERSON.
17	Q IT WOULD HAVE BEEN ON HIS PERSON?
18	A SOMEWHERE, NOT NECESSARILY ON HIS WRIST
19	BUT SOMEWHERE ON HIS PERSON.
20	MR. FRANZEN: ALL RIGHT.
21	I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.
22	THE COURT: THE STATE?
23	MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, WE HAVE NO ADDITIONAL
24	QUESTIONS.
25	THE COURT: YOU ARE EXCUSED, SIR.
26	(WHEREUPON, THE WITNESS WAS:
27	EXCUSED.)
28	THE COURT: CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS.
29	MR. HARMON: THANK YOU. MIKE CONNELY.
30 31	THE CLERK: SIR, RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND.
31	•••
34	· ·

-836-

•	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	$\ $
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	$\ $
16	$\ $
17	\parallel
18	
19	
20	$\ $
21	
22 23	
23	
24	
25	
25 26 27	
27	- {}

29

30

31

32

A YES, I DID.

Q IS THE PERSON YOU ARRESTED PRESENT IN

COURT?

A YES, HE IS.

Q POINT TO HIM AND DESCRIBE HOW HE'S

DRESSED.

A HE IS THE MALE NEGRO SUBJECT ON THE FAR END OF COUNSEL TABLE THERE WITH THE BEIGE SHIRT ON (INDICATING).

MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, MAY THE RECORD SHOW THAT THE WITNESS HAS IDENTIFIED THE DEFENDANT SAMUEL HOWARD.

THE COURT: THE RECORD MAY SO SHOW.

MR. HARMON: THANK YOU.

BY MR. HARMON:

Q OFFICER CONNELY, WHERE WAS THE DEFENDANT

MR. HOWARD EXACTLY WHEN YOU PLACED HIM UNDER ARREST ON APRIL

THE 1ST, 1980?

A WHEN I PLACED HIM UNDER ARREST HE HAD JUST EXITED THE WEST DOOR OF A THRIFTY'S DRUG STORE. THE DRUG STORE IS LOCATED APPROXIMATELY THE CENTER OF A SHOPPING MALL CALLED THE STONEWOOD SHOPPING CENTER.

Q DID YOU, AT THE TIME YOU APPREHENDED THE DEFENDANT, HAVE OCCASION TO RECOVER ANY PROPERTY FROM HIM?

A YES, I DID.

O WHAT DID YOU RECOVER?

A FROM HIS RIGHT REAR PANTS POCKET I RECOVERED A WALKIE-TALKIE RADIO.

Q ARE YOU ABLE TO PROVIDE A PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION OF THE WALKIE-TALKIE RADIO YOU RECOVERED?

I BELIEVE IT WAS BLACK, GRAY/BLACK, AND

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32 CHROME IN COLOR, ABOUT THREE INCHES WIDE BY EIGHT OR NINE INCHES TALL, AN INCH AND A HALF THICK, AND IT HAD AN ANTENNA ON IT WITH A SIX-INCH ANTENNA.

> DO YOU RECALL THE BRAND NAME OF THE RADIO? 0

I BELIEVE IT WAS A MOTOROLA RADIO.

WHAT DID YOU DO WITH THE RADIO? Q

I REMOVED IT FROM HIS POCKET. I TOOK IT INTO CUSTODY AND RETAINED IT UNTIL A LATER TIME, WHICH IT WAS TURNED OVER AND BOOKED IN AS EVIDENCE.

MR. HARMON: MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR

HONOR?

THE COURT: YOU MAY.

BY MR. HARMON:

OFFICER CONNELY, I'M SHOWING YOU AN ITEM MARKED AS STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 24-B. WILL YOU EXAMINE THIS ITEM AND STATE WHETHER YOU ARE ABLE TO IDENTIFY IT.

IT APPEARS TO BE THE SAME RADIO THAT I

REMOVED FROM HIS POCKET.

AND HOW ARE YOU ABLE TO IDENTIFY IT? Q

MY REPORT, I DON'T RECALL THAT THE SERIAL NUMBER WAS WRITTEN DOWN ON THE REPORT OF THE RADIO.

YOUR HONOR, MAY I HAVE THE COURT'S Q INDULGENCE.

DID YOU PREPARE A REPORT IN CONNECTION

WITH THIS MATTER?

YES, I DID. Α

ARE YOU ALSO ACQUAINTED WITH AN OFFICER Q

RCY CAMPOS OF THE DOWNEY POLICE DEPARTMENT?

YES, I AM.

WAS HE INVOLVED IN THE SAME INCIDENT? Q

1	
2	
3	REPORT?
4	, KEFOKT:
5	
6	THAT OF OFFICER
7	A SERIAL NUMBER
8	A SERIAL NOMBER
. 9	
10	APPEARS TO BE A
11	SECOND APPEARS
12	WOULD YOU REFER
13	15 REFRESHED RE
14	YOU RECOVERED A
15	Too Recovered
16	OFFICER CAMPOS
17	TALKIE, MODEL N
18	
19	NOW AS STATE'S
20	SERIAL NUMBER
21	DEFENDANT MR.
22	
23	
24	MOTOROLA TWO-W
25	THE 1ST, 1980?
26	
27	
28	CONDITION NOW,
29	DATE YOU RECOV
30	
31	
32	

Α '	YES,	HE	WAS.
-----	------	----	------

Q TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, DID HE ALSO PREPARE A

A YES, HE DID.

Q , WOULD REFERENCE TO EITHER YOUR REPORT OR

THAT OF OFFICER CAMPOS PERHAPS REFRESH YOUR MEMORY AS TO WHETHER

A SERIAL NUMBER WAS NOTATED?

A YES, 1 BELIEVE IT WAS.

Q WELL, I'M FURNISHING YOU TWO REPORTS. ONE.

APPEARS TO BE A REPORT BY YOURSELF, OFFICER CONNELY; AND THE

SECOND APPEARS TO BE AN OFFICER'S REPORT OF OFFICER CAMPOS.

WOULD YOU REFER TO THESE REPORTS AND STATE WHETHER YOUR MEMORY

1S REFRESHED REGARDING THE SERIAL NUMBER ON THE TWO-WAY RADIO

YOU RECOVERED APRIL THE 1ST, 1980?

A YES. LOOKING ON THE EVIDENCE SECTION OF OFFICER CAMPOS' REPORT, ITEM 2-B INDICATES ONE MOTOROLA WALKIE-TALKIE, MODEL MX320, SERIAL NUMBER 3379.

Q WILL YOU EXAMINE THE RADIO, WHICH IS MARKED NOW AS STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 24-B, AND STATE WHETHER THE SERIAL NUMBER IS THE SAME AS THE ITEM RECOVERED BY YOU FROM THE DEFENDANT MR. HOWARD APRIL THE 1ST, 1980?

A IT'S A MODEL MX320, SERIAL NUMBER OF 3379.

Q IS, IN FACT, PROPOSED EXHIBIT 24-B, THE

STOROLA TWO-WAY RADIO YOU RECOVERED FROM THE DEFENDANT APRIL

A YES, IT IS.

Q IS THIS ITEM IN SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME CONDITION NOW, EXCEPT FOR THE COURT MARKINGS, AS IT WAS ON THE DATE YOU RECOVERED IT?

A YES, IT APPEARS TO BE JUST ABOUT THE SAME. MR. HARMON: THANK YOU.

YOUR HONOR, AT THIS TIME I OFFER PROPOSED

EXHIBIT 24-B.

THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?

MR. COOPER: NO OBJECTION.

THE COURT: SAME WILL BE RECEIVED.

MR. HARMON: AND ALSO, YOUR HONOR, 24-A, THE

BADGE AND WALLET.

MR, COOPER: NO OBJECTION.

THE COURT: SAME WILL BE RECEIVED.

BY MR. HARMON:

Q OFFICER CONNELY, IN ADDITION TO RECOVERING THE RADIO, STATE'S EXHIBIT 24-B, DID YOU HAVE OCCASION TO RECOVER ANY SET OF CAR KEYS FROM THE DEFENDANT MR. HOWARD?

A YES. HE HAD A SET OF CAR KEYS IN HIS

POCKET. I DON'T -- THEY WERE ON A KEY RING. I DON'T REMEMBER

EXACTLY WHAT TYPE. I BELIEVE THEY WENT TO A FOREIGN-TYPE

VEHICLE. THE KEY DIDN'T INDICATE EXACTLY WHAT TYPE. BUT FROM

THE APPEARANCE, THE KEY APPEARED TO BE FROM A FOREIGN VEHICLE.

Q WHAT WAS YOUR PURPOSE ORIGINALLY FOR STOP-PING THE DEFENDANT MR. HOWARD?

A MYSELF, ALONG WITH SEVERAL OTHER OFFICERS
OF OUR DEPARTMENT, RECEIVED A RADIO CALL FROM TWO OFF DUTY LOS
ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT OFFICERS WHO WERE WORKING PLAIN CLOTHES
SECURITY AT ANOTHER STORE IN THE SHOPPING CENTER, THEY HAD TOLD
OUR DISPATCHER THAT THEY HAD OBSERVED A MALE NEGRO SUBJECT WALKING THROUGH THEIR STORE AND THEY INDICATED THEY OBSERVED THE
BUTT HANDLE OF A WEAPON PROTRUDING FROM THE WAISTBAND AREA OF
THIS PERSON.

Q WHEN YOU OBSERVED THE SUSPECT MR. HOWARD, WHAT WERE YOUR INTENTIONS?

A MY INITIAL INTENTION WAS TO DETAIN HIM AND

中央の一大のでは、これの中ではなるとなり、これは、これで、これにはなる

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	\parallel
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	- }}

28

29

30

31

32

MAKE	SURE	IHAI	HE.	מזט) NOT		TO SEE	15 1	HE STIL	L HAD	LHE	WEAR	ON
AND	REMOVE	ΙT	FOR	MY	OWN	SAFE	BEING	AND	OTHER	PEOPLE	IN	THE	AREA.

Q NOW, AT THE TIME YOU ORIGINALLY STOPPED

THE DEFENDANT MR. HOWARD JUST OUTSIDE THE THRIFTY DRUG STORE ---

A THAT'S CORRECT.

Q (CONTINUING) -- DID HE, IN FACT, HAVE A
GUN ON HIS PERSON?

A I DID NOT FIND ONE, NO.

Q WAS A GUN SUBSEQUENTLY RECOVERED BY OFFICER
CAMPOS OF THE DOWNEY POLICE DEPARTMENT?

A YES. APPROXIMATELY FIVE MINUTES AFTER MY
INITIAL CONTACT WITH MR. HOWARD, OFFICER CAMPOS ADVISED ME BY
WAY OF OUR RADIO THAT HE HAD LOCATED A WEAPON, I BELIEVE IN SOME
TOWELS, IN ONE OF THE AISLES IN THE STORE.

Q DID YOU AT ANYTIME HAVE ANY SORT OF CONVERSATION WITH THE DEFENDANT REGARDING WHO HE WAS AND WHAT HE WAS
DOING IN THE PARTICULAR AREA WHERE YOU FOUND HIM?

A YES. MY INITIAL CONTACT, AFTER I PATTED HIM DOWN FOR THE WEAPON, I ASKED HIM FOR IDENTIFICATION. AND HE REMOVED FROM HIS POCKET A CARD WHICH STATED AT THE TOP "PERSONAL IDENTIFICATION" AND UNDER IT IT HAD THE NAME GEORGE WILLIAMS. HE INDICATED THAT HE WAS GEORGE WILLIAMS.

I EXPLAINED TO HIM THAT THIS FORM OF IDENTIFICATION HAD NO BEARING FROM WHERE IT HAD COME FROM. IT WASN'T FROM ANY GOVERNMENT AGENCY. IT APPEARED TO BE SOMETHING THAT HE HAD GOTTEN POSSIBLY IN A PRINT SHCP OR SOMETHING ALONG THAT LINE. I TOLD HIM THAT I REALLY DIDN'T FEEL THIS WAS SUBSTANTIAL IDENTIFICATION.

HE THEN PRODUCED A SOCIAL SECURITY CARD IN THE SAME NAME OF GEORGE WILLIAMS.

AND I ALSO INDICATED THAT SOCIAL SECURITY CARDS IN MY OPINION WERE ALSO NOT A VALID FORM OF IDEN-

1	TIFICATION DUE TO THE FACT THAT ITS USE IT CAN BE GOTTEN VERY
2	SIMPLY IN ANY NAME JUST BY ASKING FOR THEM.
3	HE I DON'T BELIEVE HE HAD ANY
4	OTHER IDENTIFICATION WITH HIM OTHER THAN THOSE TWO PIECES.
5	Q BOTH IN THE NAME GEORGE WILLIAMS?
6	A GEORGE WILLIAMS, THAT'S CORRECT.
7	Q OFFICER CONNELY, AT SOME POINT DID YOU ASK
8	THE DEFENDANT HOW HE HAPPENED TO BE IN POSSESSION OF THE MOTOROLA
9	TWO-WAY RADIO?
10	A YES.
11	Q STATE'S EXHIBIT 24-B?
12	A PART OF THE CONVERSATION WAS I JUST ASKED
13	HIM WHERE THE RADIO HAD COME FROM AND HE INDICATED TO ME THAT HE
14	HAD FOUND IT ON THE GROUND IN THE MALL.
15	Q NOW, YOU'VE INDICATED THAT YOU FOUND A SET
16	OF KEYS?
17	A THAT'S CORRECT.
18	Q I THINK YOU INDICATED YOU THOUGHT PERHAPS
19	THAT WAS TO SOME FOREIGN MAKE OF VEHICLE; IS THAT CORRECT?
20	A 1 BELIEVE SO, YES.
21	Q COULD YOU RECALL IF IT WAS ONE OR TWO SETS
22	OF KEYS THAT YOU FOUND ON THE PERSON OF THE DEFENDANT MR. HOWARD?
23	A I DON'T RECALL.
24	Q WOULD REFERENCE TO YOUR OFFICER'S REPORT
25	REFRESH YOUR MEMORY?
26	A POSSIBLE.
27	MR. HARMON: MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR
28 29	HONOR?
30	THE COURT: YOU MAY.
31	••
32	

18,68

BY MR. HARMON:

Q OFFICER CONNELY, I'M DIRECTING YOUR
ATTENTION TO THE IHIRD PAGE OF WHAT APPEARS TO BE YOUR OFFICER'S
REPORT. I DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION TO THE FIRST PARAGRAPH ON THAT
PAGE. WOULD YOU READ THAT TO YOURSELF, PLEASE.

- A (WHEREUPON, THE WITNESS COMPLIES.)
- O DOES" THAT REFRESH YOUR MEMORY?
- A YES, IT DOES.
- Q WAS A SECOND SET OF KEYS, IN FACT, RECOVERED FROM THE DEFENDANT?
 - A YES, THEY WERE.
- Q AND DO YOU RECALL WHETHER THERE WAS ANY INDICATION ON THE KEYS AS TO THE MAKE OF THE VEHICLE TO WHICH THEY WENT?
- A YES. THE SECOND SET OF KEYS HAD THE INSIGNIA ON THE LETTERS G.M. ON IT, INDICATING IT WAS FROM A GENERAL MOTORS VEHICLE.
- WITH THE DEFENDANT, AT ANYTIME ASK HIM IF HE HAD A VEHICLE?

 A YES. I ASKED HIM IF HE HAD A VEHICLE AND

HE INDICATED THAT HE DID NOT. HE INDICATED THAT HE HAD BEEN DROPPED OFF AT THE LOCATION.

- O DROPPED OFF AT THE LOCATION?
- A AT THE STONEWOOD SHOPPING CENTER.

Q DID YOU, DURING YOUR INITIAL CONVERSATION

- Q OFFICER CONNELY, AFTER THE RECOVERY BY
 YOUR FELLOW OFFICER, OFFICER CAMPOS, OF A FIREARM, WAS THE
 DEFENDANT MR. HOWARD FORMALLY PLACED UNDER ARREST?
- A YES. IMMEDIATELY UPON RECEIVING INFORMATION THAT A LOADED WEAPON HAD BEEN FOUND OR NOTED, I PLACED THE
 HANDCUFFS ON THE SUBJECT AND PLACED HIM UNDER ARREST FOR
 CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 120.25 AND 120.31, WHICH IS

CARRYING A CONCEALED WEAPON AND ALSO CARRYING A LOADED WEAPON.

Q OFFICER CONNELY, WHAT, IF ANY, WAS THE REACTION OF THE DEFENDANT MR. HOWARD ONCE YOU HAD HANDCUFFED HIM, HAVING PLACED HIM FORMALLY UNDER ARREST?

RELATIVELY COOPERATIVE. ONCE CUFFS WERE PLACED ON HIM HE
BECAME VERY VIOLENT, SCREAMING, YELLING AT THE TOP OF HIS VOICE.
HE MADE SEVERAL REFERENCES TO WANTING US TO KILL HIM RIGHT THEN
AND THERE. JUST THIS CONTINUED ON FOR PROBABLY TWO, THREE
MINUTES, UNTIL WHICH TIME WE COULD TRANSPORT HIM BACK TO THE
VEHICLE. HE STRUGGLED VIOLENTLY ALL THE WAY BACK TO THE VEHICLE,
REFUSED TO COOPERATE IN ANYWAY.

MR. HARMON: THAT CONCLUDES DIRECT, YOUR HONOR.

MR. COOPER: MAY I HAVE THE COURT'S INDULGENCE,

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. COOPER:

JACK HORVATH.

PLEASE.

Q OFFICER CONNELY, DID -- ASIDE FROM YOU, WHAT OTHER POLICE OFFICERS WENT TO THE LOCATION IN QUESTION AND WERE INVOLVED IN THIS -- IN THIS INCIDENT?

A OTHER THAN MYSELF, THERE WAS OFFICER CAMPOS.

Q UH-HUH.

A AND THERE WAS ANOTHER OFFICER NAMED OFFICER

Q ! SEE.

A AND I DON'T REMEMBER IF THERE WAS ANY OTHER ONES THERE. I BELIEVE THERE WAS, BUT I DON'T RECALL WHO THEY WERE.

1	·	THE SECURITY GUARDS WHO WERE THERE, DO YOU
2	RECALL THEM BEING THER	
3]]	1	YES. I TALKED TO ONE.
4	Q 1	I SEE.
5		DID THEY DID THEY PARTICIPATE IN
6	ANYWAY IN THE ARREST (OF THE DEFENDANT?
7	A 1	THE ACTUAL ARREST?
8	Q	res.
9	A 1	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
10	Q	I SEE.
11		YOU TESTIFIED THAT HE WAS THAT HE
12	WAS PLACED UNDER FORM	AL ARREST ONCE THE WEAPON HAD BEEN FOUND;
13	IS THAT RIGHT?	
14	Α .	THAT'S CORRECT.
15	. Q	YOU DION'T FIND THE WEAPON?
16	A 1	NO, I DID NOT.
17	Q	YOU PLACED HIM UNDER ARREST?
18	A	THAT'S RIGHT.
19		PRIOR TO THE TIME THAT HE WAS HANDCUFFED
20	AND FORMALLY PLACED U	NDER ARREST, HE WASN'T FREE TO LEAVE, WAS
21	HE?	
22	Α	HE WAS BEING DETAINED. HE WAS NOT UNDER
23	ARREST. HE WAS NOT F	REE TO LEAVE. HE WAS BEING DETAINED.
24	Q	HOW LONG WAS HE DETAINED BEFORE THE WEAPON
25	WAS FOUND?	
26	A	FROM THE INITIAL CONTACT WHEN HE EXITED THE
27	DOOR UNTIL THE TIME H	E WAS CUFFED WAS APPROXIMATELY FIVE
28	MINUTES.	
29	Q	HE PRODUCED IDENTIFICATION IN THE NAME OF
30	GEORGE WILLIAMS?	
31	A	THAT'S CORRECT.
32	Q	BUT IN YOUR MIND THAT WASN'T A VALID IDEN-
		-845-
,	* *	

I	TIFICATION?		
2	A	THAT'S CORRECT, SIR.	
3	Q	WHAT DO YOU CONSIDER A VALID IDENTIFICATION?	
4	DRIVER'S LICENSE	?	
5	. A	POSSIBLY.	
6	Q	OKAY.	
7		WAS IT YOUR INTENTION TO KEEP HIM THERE	
8	UNTIL HE CAME UP	WITH THE VALID IDENTIFICATION?	
9	A	NO, IT WAS NOT.	
10	q	WHAT WAS YOUR INTENTION TO DO THEM?	
11	Δ	TO DETAIN HIM A REASONABLE AMOUNT OF TIME	
12	DUE TO THE FACT	THAT TWO OTHER OFF DUTY POLICE OFFICERS HAD	
13	OBSERVED THE SUB	JECT WITH A WEAPON. OFFICER CAMPOS HAD OBSERVED	•
14	THE SUBJECT WALK	ING UP AND DOWN SEVERAL AISLES WITHIN THRIFTY'S	
15	REMOVING ARTICLE	S, PUTTING THEM BACK, REMOVING THEM, PUTTING	
16	THEM BACK.		
17		YOU DIDN'T KNOW OF YOUR OWN PERSONAL	
18	KNOWLEDGE THAT	HE HAD A WEAPON, DID YOU?	
19	<u> </u>	NO, I DID NOT.	
20		YOU DIDN'T KNOW THAT A WEAPON WAS GOING TO	
21	BE FOUND?		
22	'	NO, I DID NOT.	
23		DID, AONS	
24		. WHAT WERE YOUR INTENTIONS IN QUESTION-	
25	ING HIM?	·	
26 27		MR. HARMON: OBJECTION, ASKED AND ANSWERED.	
. 28		THE COURT: SUSTAINED.	
29	By vs. 500050		
30	BY MR. COOPER:		
31		Q WELL, ASSUMING A WEAPON HAD NOT BEEN FOUND,	
32	11	Q WELL, ASSUMING A WEAPON HAD NOT BEEN FOUND, AS YOUR PURPOSE IN KEEPING HIM THERE TO QUESTION?	1872
	III OITTOER, MINAT W		
	11	-846~	

BY MR. COOPER:

MR. HARMON: I OBJECT TO THE FORM OF THAT

QUESTION. IT'S AN IMPROPER HYPOTHETICAL. IT ASSUMES FACTS NOT

IN EVIDENCE. A WEAPON WAS FOUND, SO IT'S IRRELEVANT.

MR. COOPER: YOUR HONOR, THE OFFICER HAS TESTIFIED THAT THEY QUESTIONED THE DEFENDANT FOR SOME FIVE MINUTES OR SO, AND THE DEFENDANT WAS -- PRODUCED IDENTIFICATION. I REALLY WANTED TO KNOW WHAT HIS INTENTIONS WERE. HE HAD NO -- NO WAY OF KNOWING THAT A WEAPON WOULD HAVE BEEN FOUND. AND I JUST SIMPLY WANT TO KNOW WHAT HIS INTENTIONS WERE.

THE COURT: IT'S REASONABLE EITHER WAY, COUNSEL.

THE OBJECTION IS OVERRULED.

MR. COOPER: THE OBJECTION IS OVERRULED?

THE COURT: THE OBJECTION IS SUSTAINED, PARDON ME.

Q IN YOUR EXPERIENCE AS A POLICE OFFICER,

OFFICER CONNELY, WHEN YOU -- WHEN YOU BELIEVE THAT A PERSON MAY

HAVE COMMITTED SOMEKIND OF CRIMINAL OFFENSE DO YOU NORMALLY -
LET ME ASK YOU THIS: HOW LONG DO YOU NORMALLY QUESTION AN

INDIVIDUAL?

A IT ALL DEPENDS ON THE TYPE OF CRIME THAT'S BEEN COMMITTED.

Q WELL, IN THIS TYPE OF CRIME HOW LONG WOULD YOU NORMALLY DETAIN A PERSON WHERE YOU HAVE NO ACTUAL PROOF OF THEM HAVING COMMITTED THE CRIME?

MR. HARMON: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. THAT ASSUMES FACTS NOT IN EVIDENCE. HE STATED WHAT THE PROOF WAS.

THE COURT: THE OBJECTION IS SUSTAINED.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

BY MR. COOPER:

HOW LONG DO YOU NORMALLY DETAIN A PERSON Q IN A SITUATION SUCH AS THIS, OFFICER?

SUCH AS THE SITUATION WITH A SUBJECT CARRYING A WEAPON?

A SITUATION WHERE YOU HAVE REASON TO BELIEVE 0 A SUBJECT IS CARRYING A WEAPON.

I WOULD SAY AN ESTIMATION OF 20 MINUTES TO A HALF HOUR WOULD BE NOT UNREASONABLE, BASED ON THE AMOUNT OF --THE AMOUNT OF REASONABLE CAUSE TO BELIEVE THAT THERE IS A WEAPON BEING SOUGHT.

- Q HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN A POLICE OFFICER?
- ELEVEN AND A HALF YEARS.
- DO YOU KNOW IF THERE IS A PROVISION IN THE CALIFORNIA LAW, DO YOU KNOW IF THERE WAS A PROVISION AT THE TIME YOU MADE THE ARREST OF MR. SAMUEL -- MR. HOWARD, AS TO HOW LONG YOU CAN DETAIN AN INDIVIDUAL UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES UNDER WHICH YOU DID DETAIN HIM?

UNDER THOSE PARTICULAR CIRCUMSTANCES I DID NOT KNOW THE EXACT AMOUNT OF TIME. HOWEVER, I BELIEVE CALIFORNIA CASE LAW INDICATES THAT A MISDEMEANOR ARREST, THE DETENTION FOR A WARRANT IS GOOD FOR ABOUT 20 MINUTES. SO THAT CAN BE CONFIRMED.

> I SEE. I SEE. Q

> > AND THESE WERE MISDEMEANOR OFFENSES?

THIS WAS A MISDEMEANOR OFFENSE, YES, IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

- DID YOU HAVE A WARRANT FOR HIS ARREST? Q
- Α NO, I DID NOT.
- YOU LATER HAD OCCASION TO, FOLLOWING MR. Q

HOWARD'S ARREST, TO CONTACT A DWANA BOYD, DIDN'T YOU?

1	A THAT'S CORRECT.			
2	Q ALL RIGHT.			
3	AND YOU QUESTIONED MS. DWANA BOYD			
4	ABOUT THE VEHICLE WITH THE NEW YORK LICENSE PLATES, DIDN'T YOU?			
5	A 1 BELIEVE SO.			
6	Q WELL, DID YOU OR DIDN'T YOU?			
7	A I THINK I BELIEVE SO, YES, SIR.			
8	Q DID YOU GO DID YOU TALK TO HER ON THE			
9	PHONE OR DID YOU GO TO SEE HER IN PERSON?			
10	A CONVERSATION ON THE PHONE. I NEVER SAW			
11	HER IN PERSON.			
12	Q DID SHE CALL YOU OR DID YOU CALL HER?			
13	A I DON'T RECALL.			
14	Q YOU WERE TOLD BY HER THAT			
15	MR. HARMON: OBJECTION.			
16	MR. COOPÉR: (CONTINUING) THIS VEHICLE			
17	BELONGED			
18	MR. HARMON: THAT IS HEARSAY, YOUR HONOR.			
19	THE COURT: IS SHE GOING TO BE A WITNESS IN THIS			
20	CASE?			
21	MR. HARMON: SHE IS EXPECTED TO BE A WITNESS,.			
22	BUT I DON'T THINK THAT CHANGES THE CHARACTER OF THE EVIDENCE.			
23	THE COURT: WELL, THE OBJECTION SEEMS TO BE,			
24	BY YOUR OWN THEORY, THAT IT'S HEARSAY, NOT ADMISSIBLE; BUT IF			
25	THE PARTY IS GOING TO BE MADE AVAILABLE THEN IT LOSES ITS HEAR-			
26	SAY CHARACTER.			
27	MR. HARMON: WELL, IT ALSO CLEARLY EXCEEDS THE			
28	SCOPE OF DIRECT EXAMINATION, YOUR HONOR. I MADE NO INQUIRY AT			
29	ALL IN ANY CONVERSATION WITH DWANA BOYD.			
30	THE COURT: SUSTAINED.			
31	MR. COOPER: YOUR HONOR, IF I MIGHT, HE CERTAINLY			
32	RAISED THE QUESTION ABOUT THE CAR AND THE CAR KEYS AND SO FORTH.			

WHICH THE FOLLOWING WAS HAD:)

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

31

32

MR. COOPER: I WOULD PASS THE WITNESS AT THIS TIME, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: PROCEED.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. HARMON:

Q OFFICER CONNELY, REGARDING I THINK YOU SAID IT WAS A BLACK OLDSMOBILE THAT WAS LOCATED IN THE PARKING LOT --

A | | BELIEVE THAT'S CORRECT, YES.

Q (CONTINUING) -- DID YOU, IN FACT, HAVE A CONVERSATION WITH THE SUBJECT WHO IDENTIFIED HERSELF AS DWANA BOYD BY TELEPHONE IN CONNECTION WITH THE --

A YES, I DID.

Q DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN THE CONVERSATION

OCCURRED?

A LATER ON THAT AFTERNOON. I DON'T RECALL EXACTLY THE TIME. PROBABLY A MATTER OF TWO TO THREE HOURS AFTER THE INITIAL INCIDENT.

Q AND HOW DID A SUBJECT FEMALE, WHO IDENTIFIED HERSELF AS DWANA BOYD, COME TO YOUR ATTENTION?

A I BELIEVE THE DEFENDANT, AFTER BEING BOOKED AT OUR STATION, WAS CALLING HER, AND I BELIEVE WE PHONED HER AND I BELIEVE WE RECEIVED HER NAME FROM HIM AS BEING THE GIRL -- THE GIRLFRIEND.

Q DID SHE CALL INTO THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AT THE TIME YOU SPOKE TO HER?

A I DON'T RECALL IF SHE CALLED OR I CALLED

30 HER.

Q IN ANY EVENT, SOMETIME LATER IN THE AFTER-NOON OF APRIL 1, 1980, YOU SPOKE TO A FEMALE WHO IDENTIFIED HER-

1.877

1	SELF ON THE TELEPHONE AS DWANA BOYD?		
2	A THAT IS CORRECT.		
3	Q HAD YOU KNOWN DWANA BOYD?		
4	A NO. I HAVE NOT MET HER.		
5	Q DID YOU KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT HER PHYSICAL		
6	DESCRIPTION AT THE TIME YOU SPOKE WITH HER?		
7	A NOTHING AT ALL.		
8	Q DID YOU HAVE A CONVERSATION WITH HER CON-		
9	CERNING A BLACK OLDSMOBILE, BEARING THE VEHICLE LICENSE NUMBER		
10	614 ZHQ?		
11	A YES, I DID.		
12	Q WHAT WAS THE CONVERSATION?		
13	A THE EXTENT OF THE CONVERSATION WAS THAT WE		
14	ASKED HER I ASKED HER IF THE DEFENDANT HAD DRIVEN A VEHICLE		
15	TO THE SHOPPING CENTER.		
16	SHE INDICATED YES, THAT IT WAS A BLACK		
17	OLDSMOBILE WITH THE LICENSE YOU MENTIONED, A NEW YORK LICENSE		
18	PLATE.		
19	MR. HARMON: THANK YOU.		
20	THAT CONCLUDES REDIRECT, YOUR HONGR.		
21	THE COURT: CROSS.		
22	MR. COOPER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.		
23			
24 25	RECROSS EXAMINATION		
26			
27	BY MR. COOPER:		
28	O OFFICER CONNEL V 16NIT IT TRUE THAT THIS		
29	Q OFFICER CONNELY, ISN'T IT TRUE THAT THIS DWANA BOYD TOLD YOU THAT THIS VEHICLE BELONGED TO HER, AND GAVE		
30	YOU THE LICENSE PLATE ON THE NUMBER ON THE VEHICLE?		
31	A I BELIEVE THAT'S CORRECT.		
32	Q AND THAT SHE ISN'T IT TRUE THAT SHE		
	-852-		

I&JS

1	TELEPHONED YOU?
2	A WELL, LIKE I INDICATED, I DON'T KNOW THAT
3	SHE CALLED ME AND I DON'T RECALL.
4	Q DO YOU HAVE YOUR RECORD THAT YOU MADE IN
5	THIS CASE?
в	A I DON'T HAVE A COPY WITH ME PERSONALLY, NO.
7	MR. COOPER: MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR
8	HONOR?
9	THE COURT: YOU MAY.
10	
11	BY MR. COOPER:
12	·
13	Q I SHOW YOU A THREE-PAGE COURT DOCUMENT, A
14	REPORT, AND ASK YOU IF YOU RECOGNIZE THAT?
15	A YES, I DO.
16	Q IS THAT THE REPORT YOU PREPARED?
17	A YES, IT IS.
18	Q NOW, REFERRING YOU TO THE LAST PAGE IN THAT
19	REPORT. I THINK IT'S THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. BEGINNING ON THE
20	SECOND PARAGRAPH WOULD YOU READ THAT TO YOURSELF, PLEASE.
21	A OKAY.
22	Q AND YOUR REPORT REFLECTS THAT DWANA BOYD
23	CALLED YOU; IS THAT CORRECT?
24	A THAT'S CORRECT. THAT'S CORRECT.
25	MR. COOPER: I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER. I PASS THE
26	WITNESS.
27	MR. HARMON: NOTHING FURTHER.
28	THE COURT: YOU ARE EXCUSED.
29	(WHEREUPON, THE WITNESS WAS
30	EXCUSED.)
31	THE COURT: CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS.
32	MR. HARMON: BOYD HOOKER.
	-853-