1	IN THE SUPREME COURT	OF THE STATE OF NEVADA						
2	* * * *	* * * * *						
3	SAMUEL HOWARD	Electronically Filed						
4	Appellant,	May 12 2011 04:35 p.m Case No. 57469 Tracie K. Lindeman						
5	VS.	Case No. 5/469 Tracie K. Linderhan						
6 7 8	E.K. McDANIEL, WARDEN, and CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO, ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE STATE OF NEVADA,							
9	Respondents.							
10	APPELLANT	'S APPENDIX						
11	Appeal from Orde							
12	for Writ of Habeas Con Eighth Judicial Distric	rpus (Post-Conviction) et Court, Clark County						
13	VOLUME 4 OF 14							
14		FRANNY A. FORSMAN						
15	Federal Public Defender MIKE CHARLTON Assistant Federal Public Defender Nevada Bar No. 11025C 411 E. Bonneville Ave., Suite 250							
16								
17	Las	Vegas, Nevada 89101 2) 388-6577						
18 19	Mil	ce Charlton@fd.org						
20	Atte	orneys for Appellant						
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								

EXAMINATIONS.

Q WHAT TYPE OF FORMAL TRAINING DO YOU HAVE INTO THE FIELD OF PROCESSING SURFACES, DEVELOPING AND LISTING LATENT FINGERPRINTS?

POLICE SCIENCE. THE COURSES SPECIALIZING IN CRIME SCENE INVESTIGATION, RECOGNITION OF FINGERPRINTS, HENRY'S SYSTEM OF CLASSIFICATION, I'VE BEEN IN THE POSITION OF CRIME SCENE INVESTIGATION
SINCE OCTOBER OF 1973. DURING THAT PERIOD OF TIME I'VE PROCESSED
SEVERAL THOUSAND SURFACES, LISTED SEVERAL THOUSAND LATENT FINGERPRINTS FROM DIFFERENT SURFACES. I'VE ATTENDED AND SUCCESSFULLY
COMPLETED ADVANCED COURSES ON LATENT PRINT IDENTIFICATION, ON
CRIME SCENE INVESTIGATION. I HAVE QUALIFIED AS AN EXPERT IN
MUNICIPAL COURTS, JUVENILE COURTS, SUPERIOR COURTS, AND THE
UNITED STATES FEDERAL COURT AS AN EXPERT IN FINGERPRINTS AND
COMPARISON OF THOSE FINGERPRINTS.

Q DID THAT INCLUDE THE LISTING OF LATENT FINGERPRINTS?

A YES, SIR, IT DOES.

Q OFFICER HOOKER, WERE YOU EMPLOYED AS AN I.D. OFFICER WITH THE DOWNEY POLICE DEPARTMENT ON APRIL THE 1ST, 1980?

A YES, SIR, I WAS.

Q DID YOU HAVE OCCASION AT SOME POINT ON THAT DATE TO BECOME INVOLVED IN A SITUATION ARISING OUT OF THE ARREST OF ONE SAMUEL HOWARD AT THE STONEWOOD SHOPPING CENTER?

A YES, SIR, I'DID.

Q IN CONNECTION WITH THAT ARREST, DID YOU RECEIVE A DESCRIPTION OF A CERTAIN MOTOR VEHICLE?

A YES, I DID.

Q WHAT INFORMATION DID YOU HAVE REGARDING AN AUTOMOBILE TALKING WITH DETECTIVE LEAVITY OF THE LAS VEGAS POLICE

2

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

DEPARTMENT?

NOW, I DON'T WANT IN REGARDS TO

DETECTIVE LEAVITT OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT HERE. WHAT INFORMA
TION, IF ANY, DID YOU HAVE FROM PERSONS WITH THE DOWNEY POLICE

DEPARTMENT REGARDING THE EXISTENCE OF A CERTAIN VEHICLE?

A NONE.

Q ARE YOU AWARE THAT A SET OF KEYS TO A G.M. PRODUCT WAS RECOVERED FROM THE DEFENDANT MR. HOWARD?

A YES, I WAS.

Q YOU'VE INDICATED THAT AT SOMETIME YOU DID

HAVE A -- THAT AT SOME POINT YOU DID HAVE A CONVERSATION WITH

DETECTIVE LEAVITT FROM THE LAS VEGAS POLICE DEPARTMENT?

A YES, SIR, I DID.

Q WITHOUT GOING INTO WHAT WAS TOLD TO YOU BY .

DETECTIVE LEAVITT, DID YOU RECEIVE INFORMATION REGARDING THE

DESCRIPTION OF A CERTAIN MOTOR VEHICLE?

A YES, SIR, I DID.

Q WHAT WAS THE DESCRIPTION OF THE VEHICLE YOU

RECEIVED?

A THE VEHICLE WAS DESCRIBED AS A 1980 OLDSMOBILE, BLACK IN COLOR, BEARING NEW YORK LICENSE PLATE 614 ZEBRA HENRY QUEEN.

Q ZHQ?

A YES.

Q OFFICER HOOKER, DID YOU BEGIN A SEARCH OF THE PARKING LOT AREA IN THE AREA OF THE STONEWOOD SHOPPING CENTER ON APRIL THE 1ST, 1980, LOOKING FOR A VEHICLE FITTING THAT DESCRIPTION?

A YES, 1 D1D.

Q WHERE DID YOU LOOK AND WHAT WAS THE RESULT

OF YOUR SEARCH?

A MYSELF, I WENT DIRECTLY TO THE NORTHEAST

1882

-856-

PORTION OF THE SHOPPING CENTER AND STARTED SEARCHING FOR THE VEHICLE I JUST DESCRIBED.

AND APPROXIMATELY FIVE MINUTES INTO MY SEARCH I LOCATED THE VEHICLE BEARING THE SAME LICENSE PLATES PARKED ON THE EASTERN PORTION OF THE STONEWOOD SHOPPING CENTER, APPROXIMATELY THE MID-AREA.

Q WHAT, IF ANYTHING, DID YOU DO AFTER YOU LOCATED THE VEHICLE, WHICH WAS DESCRIBED TO YOU BY DETECTIVE L'EAVITT?

A AFTER I FOUND THE VEHICLE, OFFICER CONNELY ARRIVED AT THE SAME LOCATION WHERE I FOUND THE VEHICLE. AND I FOUND AT THAT TIME THAT, DUE TO MY LIMITED INFORMATION ABOUT THE CASE, I DECIDED TO TRANSPORT THE VEHICLE BACK TO MY POLICE STATION, TO SAFEKEEPING, DUE TO THE AREA TENDS TO, WITH CIVILIAN TRAVEL AND TRAFFIC, POSSIBLE CONTAMINATION OF EVIDENCE.

SO I FOUND THAT THE KEYS THAT OFFICER CONNELY HAD ON HIS PERSON FIT, AND STARTED THE VEHICLE. SO I THEN DROVE THAT VEHICLE BACK TO MY POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Q WHAT OCCURRED IN REGARDS TO THE VEHICLE

WHEN YOU ARRIVED BACK AT YOUR DEPARTMENT?

A I PARKED THE VEHICLE IN THE AREA OF OUR POLICE UNITS, SECURED IT, AND THEN I AGAIN CONTACTED DETECTIVE LEAVITT FROM THE LAS VEGAS POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Q DID YOU RECEIVE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FROM DETECTIVE LEAVITT?

A AT THAT --

Q YES OR NO, SIR?

A PARDON ME?

Q YES OR NO?

A YES.

MR. HARMON: MAY I HAVE THE COURT'S INDULGENCE.
MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR HONOR?

THE COURT: YOU MAY.						
BY MR. HARMON:						
Q OFFICER HOOKER, I'M SHOWING YOU NOW STATE'S						
PROPOSED EXHIBITS 60 THROUGH 63. ARE YOU ABLE TO IDENTIFY THE						
VEHICLE SHOWN IN THESE PICTURES?						
A YES, SIR.						
Q DO YOU KNOW WHERE THESE PICTURES WERE						
TAKEN? .						
A YES, SIR, I DO.						
Q WHERE?						
A THESE VEHICLES WAS TAKEN	!					
Q PICTURES.						
A PICTURES, PARDON ME.						
THE PICTURES WERE TAKEN OF THE VEHICLE						
AT UNITED TOWING SERVICE IN DOWNEY. THE ADDRESS IS 11530 RYERSON						
AVENUE, IN DOWNEY, CALIFORNIA.						
Q ARE THESE PHOTOGRAPHS, PROPOSED EXHIBITS 60						
THROUGH 63, PICTURES OF A 1980 BLACK OLDSMOBILE CUTLASS, BEARING						
NEW YORK PLATES, WHICH YOU ORIGINALLY LOCATED PARKED OUTSIDE OF						
THE STONEWOOD SHOPPING CENTER IN DOWNEY, CALIFORNIA?						
A YES, SIR, THEY ARE.	l					
Q DO THESE PHOTOGRAPHS TRULY AND ACCURATELY						
DEPICT THE APPEARANCE OF THAT VEHICLE AS YOU OBSERVED IT APRIL						
THE 15T, 1980?						
A YES, SIR. TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE THEY						
DO.						
MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, I OFFER PROPOSED EXHIBITS						
60 THROUGH 63.						
MR. FRANZEN: NO OBJECTION.						
THE COURT: SAME WILL BE RECEIVED.	'					
	BY MR. HARMON: Q OFFICER HOOKER, I'M SHOWING YOU NOW STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBITS 60 THROUGH 63. ARE YOU ABLE TO IDENTIFY THE VEHICLE SHOWN IN THESE PICTURES? A YES, SIR. Q DO YOU KNOW WHERE THESE PICTURES WERE TAKEN? A YES, SIR, I DO. Q WHERE? A THESE VEHICLES WAS TAKEN Q PICTURES. A PICTURES. A PICTURES, PARDON ME. THE PICTURES WERE TAKEN OF THE VEHICLE AT UNITED TOWING SERVICE IN DOWNEY. THE ADDRESS IS 11530 RYERSON AVENUE, IN DOWNEY, CALIFORNIA. Q ARE THESE PHOTOGRAPHS, PROPOSED EXHIBITS 60. THROUGH 63, PICTURES OF A 1980 BLACK OLDSMOBILE CUTLASS, BEARING NEW YORK PLATES, WHICH YOU ORIGINALLY LOCATED PARKED OUTSIDE OF THE STONEWOOD SHOPPING CENTER IN DOWNEY, CALIFORNIA? A YES, SIR, THEY ARE. Q DO THESE PHOTOGRAPHS TRULY AND ACCURATELY DEPICT THE APPEARANCE OF THAT VEHICLE AS YOU OBSERVED IT APRIL THE 1ST, 1980? A YES, SIR. TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE THEY DO. MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, I OFFER PROPOSED EXHIBITS 60 THROUGH 63. MR. FRANZEN: NO OBJECTION.					

-858-

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

-19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29 30

31 32 BY MR. HARMON:

Q OFFICER HOOKER, BASED IN PART UPON INFORMA-TION RECEIVED FROM DETECTIVE LEAVITT OF THE LAS VEGAS METROPOLI-TAN POLICE DEPARTMENT, DID YOU HAVE OCCASION TO PROCESS THE VEHICLE SHOWN IN STATE'S EXHIBITS 60 THROUGH 63 FOR THE PRESENCE OF LATENT FINGERPRINTS?

YES, SIR, I DID.

WILL YOU EXPLAIN WHEN YOU CONDUCTED THAT EXAMINATION AND WHAT YOUR PROCEDURE WAS?

THE NEXT MORNING, APRIL 2ND, AT APPROXI-MATELY 9:00 O'CLOCK IN THE MORNING, BETWEEN 9:00 AND 9:30, I RECEIVED INFORMATION THAT IT WAS REQUESTED THAT WE DUST FOR FINGERPRINTS OF THAT OLDSMOBILE FOR LATENT FINGERPRINTS.

AT THAT TIME I RESPONDED TO THE UNITED TOWING SERVICE, WHERE THE VEHICLE WAS BEING HELD IN IMPOUND. AND BY THE USE OF A SUBSTANCE, I DUSTED POWDER. WITH THIS POWDER AND WITH THE DUSTING BRUSH, I DUSTED THE OLDSMOBILE FOR LATENT PRINTS, INSIDE AND OUTSIDE.

> MR. HARMON: MAY I HAVE THE COURT'S INDULGENCE. MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR HONOR? THE COURT: YOU MAY.

BY MR. HARMON:

OFFICER HOOKER, I'M SHOWING YOU NOW WHAT. APPEARS TO BE SOME TYPE OF EVIDENCE ENVELOPE. IT'S MARKED AS PROPOSED EXHIBIT 28. IS IT IN A SEALED CONDITION?

YES. THIS ENVELOPE IS IN A SEALED CONDI-TION WITH SEALS ON BOTH ENDS OF THE ENVELOPE.

I'M HANDING YOU A PAIR OF SCISSORS. WILL YOU CUT THE ENVELOPE FROM THE SIDE, LEAVING THE SEALS INTACT,

3

5

7

В

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

AND WILL YOU THEN REMOVE THE CONTENTS, PLEASE.

A OKAY. I AM -- WITH THE ENVELOPE FACING ME, I WILL CUT THE RIGHT SIDE OF THE ENVELOPE SO AS NOT TO SEVER ANY WRITING ON THE ENVELOPE, TO GET OR GAIN ACCESS INTO THE INTERIOR OF THE ENVELOPE.

I NOW HAVE -- INSIDE THIS ENVELOPE I

AM REMOVING SEVERAL WHITE FINGERPRINT LIFT CARDS, WHICH WERE IN

THE INTERIOR OF THE ENVELOPE AND THEY WERE CLAMPED TOGETHER WITH

A PAPERCLIP.

MR. HARMON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

YOUR HONOR, MAY WE HAVE THE LATENT PRINT

CARDS MARKED COLLECTIVELY AS PROPOSED EXHIBIT 28-A?

THE COURT: SAME MAY BE MARKED.

MR. HARMON: COURT'S INDULGENCE, PLEASE.

MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR HONOR?

THE COURT: YOU MAY.

17 18

BY MR. HARMON:

20 21

19

Q OFFICER HOOKER, I'M SHOWING YOU NOW STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 28-A. IT APPEARS TO CONSIST OF EIGHT LATENT PRINT CARDS. ARE YOU ABLE TO IDENTIFY THESE CARDS?

22 23

A YES. I CAN IDENTIFY ALL EIGHT CARDS.

24

Q WHAT IS THE BASIS OF YOUR IDENTIFICATION OF

25

THE VARIOUS LATENT PRINT CARDS?

26 27

THERE IS WRITING WHICH I RECOGNIZE AS MY WRITING; AND ALSO I

28

PLACE MY INITIALS D.H. AS WELL AS MY BADGE NUMBER, NUMBER FOUR,

29 30

Q DID YOU, IN FACT, EVENTUALLY PREPARE THESE

ON THE FACE OF EACH -- EACH INDIVIDUAL CARD

31 32

EIGHT LATENT PRINT CARDS?

ON EACH ONE OF THE CARDS.

A YES, I DID.

0

Q FROM WHERE DID YOU OBTAIN THE LATENT PRINTS WHICH ARE FOUND ON THE VARIOUS LATENT PRINT CARDS?

A THE LATENTS, ALL THE LATENTS ON ALL THE CARDS CAME FROM VARIOUS PORTIONS OF THE -- THE '80 BLACK OLDS-MOBILE CUTLASS WITH THE NEW YORK LICENSE PLATE OF 614 ZEBRA HENRY QUEEN, WHICH I DUSTED AT THE UNITED TOWING SERVICE. THEY ALL CAME FROM THAT SAME -- FROM VARIOUS IMPRESSIONS.

Q IS THAT THE SAME VEHICLE SHOWN IN STATE'S EXHIBITS 60 THROUGH 63?

A YES, SIR.

Q HAVE YOU INDICATED AT THE TOP OF EACH CARD

THE LOCATION ON THE VEHICLE, THE BLACK OLDSMOBILE CUTLASS, WHERE
YOU RECOVERED THE PARTICULAR LATENT PRINTS APPEARING ON THAT?

A YES, SIR. FOR EACH SERIES OF LATENT

FINGERPRINTS LIFTED FROM THE VEHICLE -- AND WHEN I SAY "LIFTED,"

AFTER DUSTING A VEHICLE WITH A DUST POWDER, I HAVE A SPECIAL

TAPE THAT I PUT OVER THE LATENT PRINT AND I LIFT THAT PRINT FROM

THE LOCATION ON THE VEHICLE AND PHYSICALLY TRANSFER IT TO THE

LITTLE WHITE CARD. AND WITH EACH ONE OF THOSE LISTS OF THE

LATENT FINGERPRINT I HAVE WRITTEN THE EXACT LOCATION THAT THE

LATENTS CAME FROM IN THE VEHICLE ON THE WHITE CARDS.

Q ARE ALL THE LATENT PRINT CARDS, WHICH MAKE UP PROPOSED EXHIBIT 28-A, IN SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME CONDITION NOW AS THEY WERE ON THE DATE THAT YOU PREPARED THEM, APRIL THE 2ND, 1980?

A BASICALLY, THEY ARE. THEY ARE.

THERE ARE CERTAIN FOREIGN WRITINGS
WHICH ARE NOT MINE ON THE CARDS. BUT AS FAR AS THE LATENTS AND
THE DESCRIPTION OF WHERE THE LATENTS CAME FROM, YES, THEY'RE
THE SAME AS THEY WERE WHEN I RELINQUISHED CUSTODY OF THE CARDS.

Q TO WHOM DID YOU RELINQUISH CUSTODY OF THESE LATENT PRINT CARDS, WHICH ARE PROPOSED EXHIBIT 28-A?

A TO DETECTIVE LEAVITT OF THE LAS VEGAS	
POLICE DEPARTMENT.	
Q DO YOU RECALL ON WHAT DATE THAT WAS?	
A I BELIEVE IT WAS ALSO ON THE 2ND.	
Q APRIL THE 2ND, 1980?	
A YES.	
Q THANK YOU.	
OFFICER HOOKER, ALSO IN CONNECTION	
WITH THE VEHICLE SHOWN IN STATE'S EXHIBITS 60 THROUGH 63, DID	
YOU HAVE OCCASION TO RECOVER A LEATHER HOLSTER AND ALSO A CLOTH	
BAG WITH SOME CONTENTS?	
A YES, SIR, I DID.	
Q DO YOU RECALL WHERE IT WAS YOU LOCATED THE	
LEATHER HOLSTER AND A CLOTH BAG WITH CONTENTS?	
A THE BLACK LEATHER HOLSTER I FOUND ON THE	
TOP OF THE BACKSEAT OF THE VEHICLE. AND THE CLOTH BAG, CONTAIN-	
ING 12 AMMUNITION ROUNDS OF .357 AMMUNITION.	i
Q YOU SAY IT WAS 12 ROUNDS OF .357 CALIBER	
AMMUNITION?	
A YES, SIR.	
Q WERE THEY LIVE ROUNDS?	
A YES, THEY WERE COMPLETE ROUNDS. I FOUND	
THOSE ON THE FLOORBOARD OF THE LEFT REAR BEHIND THE DRIVER'S	
SEAT OF THE VEHICLE.	
MR. HARMON: MAY I HAVE THE COURT'S INDULGENCE.	
YOUR HONOR, MAY I HAVE THE COURT'S INDUL-	
GENCE?	
THE COURT: YOU MAY.	
MR. HARMON: MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS?	
••	
••	1888
	POLICE DEPARTMENT. Q DO YOU RECALL ON WHAT DATE THAT WAS? A I BELIEVE IT WAS ALSO ON THE 2ND. Q APRIL THE 2ND, 1980? A YES. Q THANK YOU. OFFICER HOOKER, ALSO IN CONNECTION WITH THE VEHICLE SHOWN IN STATE'S EXHIBITS 60 THROUGH 63, DID YOU HAVE OCCASION TO RECOVER A LEATHER HOLSTER AND ALSO A CLOTH BAG WITH SOME CONTENTS? A YES, SIR, I DID. Q DO YOU RECALL WHERE IT WAS YOU LOCATED THE LEATHER HOLSTER AND A CLOTH BAG WITH CONTENTS? A THE BLACK LEATHER HOLSTER I FOUND ON THE TOP OF THE BACKSEAT OF THE VEHICLE. AND THE CLOTH BAG, CONTAIN- ING 12 AMMUNITION ROUNDS OF .357 AMMUNITION. Q YOU SAY IT WAS 12 ROUNDS OF .357 CALIBER AMMUNITION? A YES, SIR. Q WERE THEY LIVE ROUNDS? A YES, THEY WERE COMPLETE ROUNDS. I FOUND THOSE ON THE FLOORBOARD OF THE LEFT REAR BEHIND THE DRIVER'S SEAT OF THE VEHICLE. MR. HARMON: MAY I HAVE THE COURT'S INDULGENCE. YOUR HONOR, MAY I HAVE THE COURT'S INDUL- GENCE? THE COURT: YOU MAY.

1	BY MR. HARMON:
2	
3	Q OFFICER HOOKER, I'M SHOWING YOU NOW
4	PROPOSED EXHIBIT 25-A. IT APPEARS TO BE A BLACK HOLSTER. ARE
5	YOU ABLE TO IDENTIFY IT?
6	A YES, I AM.
7	Q HOW DO YOU IDENTIFY PROPOSED EXHIBIT 25-A?
8	A WHEN I RECOVERED THE HOLSTER FROM THE
9	VEHICLE AND BOOKED IT INTO EVIDENCE, AS I WAS BOOKING IT INTO
10	EVIDENCE ON THE INSIDE OF THE STRAP OF THE HOLSTER I AGAIN
11	PLACED MY INITIALS D.H. AND MY BADGE NUMBER FOUR, ON THE HOLSTER.
12	Q IS THE BLACK LEATHER HOLSTER, PROPOSED
13	EXHIBIT 25-A, IN SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME CONDITION NOW AS IT WAS
14	ON THE DATE YOU RECOVERED IT?
15	A SUBSTANTIALLY. I DIDN'T HAVE THIS TAG ON
16	IT.
17	Q REFERRING TO THE COURT TAG?
18	A YES. YES. BUT OTHER THAN THE COURT TAG,
19	YES, THIS IS THE SAME HOLSTER.
20	Q DID YOU RECOVER THIS ITEM APRIL THE 2ND,
21	1980?
22	A YES, I DID.
23	Q FROM WHERE IN THE VEHICLE?
24	A FROM THE REAR SEAT, TOP OF THE REAR SEAT OF
25	THE OLDSMOBILE.
26	Q THE SAME VEHICLE SHOWN IN STATE'S EXHIBITS
27	60 THROUGH 63, THE PHOTOGRAPHS?
28	A YES, SIR.
29	Q I'M SHOWING YOU NOW WHAT APPEARS TO BE SOME
30	TYPE OF CLOTH BAG WITH SOME CONTENTS. IT'S MARKED AS PROPOSED
31	25-B. WILL YOU EXAMINE THIS PROPOSED EXHIBIT AND STATE WHETHER
32	YOU RECOGNIZE IT?

		•
1	A YES	5, I DO.
2	Q HO	DO YOU IDENTIFY PROPOSED EXHIBIT 25-B?
3	A AGA	AIN, AS WITH THE HOLSTER, WHEN I WAS
4	BOOKING IT INTO EVIDENCE	I PLACED MY INITIALS D.H. AND MY BADGE
5	NUMBER, NUMBER FOUR, ON	THE ITEM AS I WAS BOOKING IT INTO
6	EVIDENCE.	
7	Q WHI	ERE DID YOU FIRST OBSERVE PROPOSED
8	EXHIBIT 25-B?	
9	A ON	THE FLOORBOARD BEHIND THE DRIVER'S SEAT
10	ON THE	
11	Q OF	THE BLACK OLOSMOBILE CUTLASS?
12	A YE	s, sir.
13	Q SH	OWING YOU STATE'S EXHIBITS 60 THROUGH 63.
14	A YE	s, sir.
15	Q WA	S THIS ON APRIL THE 2ND, 1980?
16	A YE	S, SIR, IT WAS.
17	, N о	W, WHAT DID YOU FIND INSIDE THIS BAG ON
18	APRIL THE 2ND, 1980?	
19) A 1	FOUND TWELVE .357 ROUNDS INSIDE OF THE
20	BAG.	·
21	r Q WI	LL YOU EXAMINE THE CONTENTS OF THE BAG
22	NOW TO SEE IF THOSE TWE	LVE ROUNDS ARE STILL INSIDE?
23	3 A OK	AY. I'M REMOVING THE COURT TAG FROM THE
24	TOP OF THE BAG, WHICH I	S BINDING THE BAG.
25	5	I'M CARRYING THE INSIDE OF THE BAG. I
26	FIND THREE, FOUR, FIVE,	SIX TWELVE ROUNDS OF AMMUNITION, .357
27	AMMUNITION. TO MY BEST	RECOLLECTION THESE ARE THE SAME ROUNDS
28	THAT WERE IN THE BAG W	EN I RECOVERED THEM.
29	9 Q D:	D THEY LOOK EXACTLY THE SAME?
30	0 A Y	S, SIR, AS FAR AS I CAN REMEMBER THEY DO
31	1 Q TI	ANK YOU.
3 2	2	WILL YOU PLACE THE TWELVE ROUNDS BACK

. 1890

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15 YOUR HONOR.

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28

29

30

31 32 INSIDE THE BAG AND WILL YOU PLACE THE COURT TAG BACK AROUND THE TOP, PLEASE?

YES, SIR. I'M PLACING THE ROUNDS BACK IN THE BAG, TWELVE ROUNDS, AND RESECURING THE COURT TAG AROUND THE TOP OF THE BAG.

MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, AT THIS TIME I OFFER PROPOSED EXHIBITS 25-A AND B.

THE COURT: ANY OBJECTIONS?

MR. COOPER: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: SAME WILL BE RECEIVED.

MR. HARMON: THANK YOU.

COURT'S INDULGENCE FOR A MINUTE.

THAT CONCLUDES DIRECT EXAMINATION,

THE COURT: CROSS?

MR. FRANZEN: NO QUESTIONS.

THE COURT: YOU'RE EXCUSED, SIR.

THE WITNESS: THANK YOU.

(WHEREUPON, THE WITNESS WAS

EXCUSED.)

THE COURT: APPROACH THE BENCH, PLEASE.

(WHEREUPON, SIDE BAR CONFERENCE

WAS HELD AT THE BENCH; NOT

REPORTED. AT THE CONCLUSION

OF WHICH THE FOLLOWING WAS HAD:

THE COURT: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE JURY, ...

WE WILL BE IN RECESS FOR ABOUT TEN TO FIFTEEN MINUTES.

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

DURING THIS RECESS YOU ARE ADMONISHED NOT TO CONVERSE AMONG YOURSELVES OR WITH ANYONE ELSE ON ANY SUBJECT CONNECTED WITH THIS TRIAL, OR READ, WATCH OR LISTEN TO ANY REPORT OF OR COMMENTARY ON THIS TRIAL WITH ANY PERSON CONNECTED WITH THIS TRIAL BY ANY MEDIUM OF INFORMATION, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, NEWSPAPER, TELEVISION OR RADIO OR FORM OR EXPRESS ANY OPINION ON ANY SUBJECT CONNECTED WITH THIS TRIAL UNTIL THE CASE IS FINALLY SUBMITTED TO YOU.

WE WILL BE IN RECESS FOR FIFTEEN MINUTES.

(WHEREUPON, FROM 3:20 P.M.

UNTIL 3:50 P.M., A RECESS WAS

HAD IN THE PROCEEDINGS, AT THE

CONCLUSION OF WHICH THE FOLLOW-

ING WAS HAD OUTSIDE THE

PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)

THE COURT: YOU MAY PROCEED, COUNSEL.

WOULD THE RECORD REFLECT THAT THIS IS OUT-

SIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY.

MR. SEATON: THE STATE FEELS THAT IT'S THE.

DEFENDANT'S MOTION, YOUR HONOR, AND THEY SHOULD --

THE COURT: COUNSEL?

MR. SEATON: (CONTINUING) -- BEAR THE BURDEN OF

PROCEEDING.

MR. COOPER: YES, YOUR HONOR.

WE WOULD REQUEST THAT THE WITNESS IN COURT,

-866-

PLEASE.

 DWANA, MS. THOMAS, BE EXCUSED FROM THE COURTROOM. IT'S CUR INTENTION TO CALL AT THIS TIME MR. HOWARD.

ESSENTIALLY, YOUR HONOR, AS WE STATED IN CHAMBERS, IT'S COME TO OUR ATTENTION, BASED ON CONVERSATIONS HAD WITH MR. HOWARD, THAT HE -- HE HAS STATED TO US THAT AT SOMETIME IN THE PAST HE WAS LEGALLY MARRIED TO STATE'S NEXT WITNESS DWANA THOMAS. AND, OF COURSE, IF THAT IS THE CASE, HE HAS THE RIGHT TO CONSENT TO HER TESTIFYING OR IF HE CHOOSES NOT FOR HER TO TESTIFY OF COURSE HE HAS THAT PRIVILEGE, HAS THE RIGHT TO INVOKE THAT PRIVILEGE.

AS I INDICATED IN CHAMBERS, YOUR HONOR, WE HAVE NO LEGAL DOCUMENTS AS SUCH THAT WOULD VERIFY THE MAR-RIAGE, AND FOR THAT LIMITED PURPOSE ONLY, WHILE TESTIFYING, WE WOULD ASK THAT DWANA THOMAS BE EXCUSED FROM THE COURTROOM.

THE COURT: THE STATE?

MR. HARMON: THAT'S FINE, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: WOULD YOU WAIT OUTSIDE THE COURTROOM,

ALL RIGHT. HAVE YOU ADVISED THE DEFENDANT

OF HIS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS, COUNSEL?

MR. COOPER: YOUR HONOR, NG, WE HAVEN'T. WE HAVEN'T HAD TIME TO ADVISE HIM.

THE COURT: ADVISE HIM, SIR.

MR. COOPER: YOUR HONOR, I HAVE DISCUSSED WITH MR. HOWARD HIS RIGHT TO TESTIFY OR NOT TO TESTIFY. AND IT'S HIS DECISION TO TAKE THE STAND AND TESTIFY.

THE COURT: MR. HOWARD, HAS YOUR ATTORNEY ADVISED YOU, SIR, FOR THE RECORD?

DEFENDANT HOWARD: YES. HE HAS ADVISED ME OF MY RIGHT, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: AND WHAT DID HE ADVISE YOU, SIR?

DEFENDANT HOWARD: THAT I -- I -- IT'S UP TO ME

HONOR.

TO GET ON THE STAND AND TESTIFY IN REFERENCE TO THE MARRIAGE.

AND AT THAT POINT STICK TO THAT POINT AND NOTHING OTHER THAN THE MARRIAGE. AND THE STATE ATTORNEY CAN CROSS EXAMINE ME. SO I FULLY UNDERSTAND.

THE COURT: DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT IF YOU GET INVOLVED IN ANY OTHER ISSUE OTHER THAN THE ISSUE BEFORE THIS COURT THAT THE STATE CAN THEN BRING TESTIMONY TO REBUT THAT PARTICULAR TESTIMONY AND ANY ADMISSIONS THAT YOU MAKE WOULD BE, USED AGAINST YOU. DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT?

DEFENDANT HOWARD: UNEQUIVOCALLY. YES, YOUR

THE COURT: OO YOU FURTHER UNDERSTAND THAT THIS HAS TO BE OF YOUR OWN FREE AND VOLUNTARY WILL?

DEFENDANT HOWARD: YES.

THE COURT: YOU'RE FURTHER AWARE OF THE LAWS OF PERJURY IN THIS REGARD FOR FAILING TO TELL THE TRUTH?

DEFENDANT HOWARD: YES.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. BAILIFF, WOULD YOU GET OVER HERE IN THE CORNER AND BRING HIM ON OVER AND HE CAN TAKE THE STAND.

THE CLERK: RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND.

WHEREUPON,

SAMUEL HOWARD,

BEING CALLED AS A WITNESS HEREIN BY THE DEFENDANT WAS READ THE OATH BY THE CLERK.

THE WITNESS: FOR RELIGIOUS REASONS I DO NOT SWEAR BUT I AFFIRM TO TELL THE TRUTH.

THE CLERK: WOULD YOU LIKE TO GIVE HIM THE

AFFIRMATION? 1 THE COURT: YES, PLEASE. 3 WHEREUPON, 5 SAMUEL HOWARD, .. 6 BEING CALLED AS A WITNESS HEREIN BY THE DEFENDANT, AFFIRMED TO 8 TELL THE TRUTH, WAS EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS: 9 10 THE COURT: YOU MAY BE SEATED. 11 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 14 15 BY MR. FRANZEN: 16 MR. HOWARD, WOULD YOU PLEASE GIVE YOUR FULL 17 Q NAME AND SPELL YOUR LAST NAME FOR THE COURT REPORTER? 18 SAMUEL HOWARD. LAST NAME H-O-W-A-R-D. 19 DO YOU KNOW A YOUNG LADY NAMED DWANA THOMAS, 20 21 OTHERWISE KNOWN AS DWANA BOYD? 22 YES, I DO. ARE YOU MARRIED TO HER? DID YOU MARRY HER? 23 24 AT ONE TIME I DID. WHEN DID YOU GO THROUGH A MARRIAGE CEREMONY? 25 Q. 26 AROUND -- THE YEAR WAS '79. DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT STATE YOU WENT THROUGH 27 28 THE MARRIAGE CEREMONY IN? 29 YES. MY HOME STATE, NEW YORK. WAS THIS A CIVIL CEREMONY OR DID YOU GO TO 30 Q 31 A CHURCH? 32 IT WAS CIVIL. IT WASN'T NO CHURCH. -869-

1	
2	ι
3 4 5	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	Ę
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	_
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
11	

		Q	то	YOUR	KNOWLEDGE,	DID	YOU	EVER	OBTAIN	A
LEGAL	DIVORCE?									

A NO.

MR. FRANZEN: NO FURTHER QUESTIONS, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: CROSS?

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. HARMON:

Q MR. HOWARD, ARE YOU CONVICTED OF A FELONY,

ll SIR?

MR. FRANZEN: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR, IRRELEVANT

14 TO THIS ISSUE. WE HAVE NO --

MR. HARMON: HIS CREDIBILITY IS AT ISSUE, YOUR HONOR. THEY PLACED HIM ON THE STAND.

MR. FRANZEN: WE HAVE NO JURY PRESENT BEFORE YOUR HONOR.

MR. HARMON: IT'S STILL RELEVANT.

MR. COOPER: MIGHT I INQUIRE ON WHAT BASIS IT'S

RELEVANT?

MR. HARMON: HIS CREDIBILITY IS IN ISSUE, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT HAS TO DECIDE WHETHER THIS WITNESS IS BEING TRUTHFUL WHEN HE SAYS HE MARRIED.

THE COURT: WELL, COUNSEL, WHY DON'T YOU GET INTO THAT BECAUSE I THINK BY MOTION YOU'VE ALREADY RAISED THAT ISSUE; AND I'M AWARE OF IT FROM THE MOTIONS, THAT THE MAN HAS BEEN CHARGED AND CONVICTED OF A FELONY. I DON'T KNOW WHY THAT'S NEW AT THIS POINT. THAT I THINK WAS CONTAINED IN YOUR MOTION FOR OTHER OFFENSES.

SO WHY DON'T WE GET ON WITH THE ISSUE BEFORE THE COURT. THE OBJECTION IS SUSTAINED.

	•		
1	BY MR. HARMON:		
2			
з	Q	MR. HOWARD, WHEN IN 1979 DID YOU MARRY	
4	DWANA THOMAS?		
5	А	TO BE SPECIFIC, SIR, I WOULDN'T RECALL THE	
6	EXACT MONTH, BUT	IT WAS DURING THE SUMMER OF '79.	
7	· Q	ALL ALL YOU REMEMBER IS THE SUMMER OF	
8	1979?		
9	А	179.	
10	Q	YOU CAN'T TELL US WHAT DAY OR WHAT MONTH	
11	IT WAS?		
12	А	NO, I CAN'T. NOT SPECIFICALLY I CAN'T.	
13	Q	BUT YOU SAY YOU MARRIED DWANA THOMAS IN	
14	NEW YORK?		
15	A	YES.	
16	Q	WHERE?	
17	Α	NEW YORK CITY.	
18	Q	NEW YORK CITY?	
19	A	YES.	-
20	Q	WHERE, SIR?	
21	A	QUEENS, NEW YORK.	
22	Q	MORE SPECIFICALLY WHERE IN QUEENS, NEW YORK?	
23	A	IT WOULD BE JAMAICA, NEW YORK.	
24	Q	WHERE IN JAMAICA, NEW YORK?	
25	A	IT WOULD BE NEW YORK BOULEVARD.	
26 27	Q	WHAT TYPE OF BUILDING ON NEW YORK BOULEVARD?	٠
	A	WELL, THERE'S LOTS OF BUILDINGS.	
28 29	Q	T in the second	
30	AVERACE BULL DENC		
31		, NOTHING SPECIFIC, NOTHING DISTINCT. WHAT TYPE OF AVERAGE BUILDING?	
32	Q	NOT AN	
	A	JUST AN AVERAGE BUTEDING, NOT PARCE, JUST AN	1897

-872-

2

5

9

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30 31

32

A IT WASN'T A CHURCH. IT WAS JUST A REGULAR BUILDING LIKE ONE-STORY. AND I DIDN'T HAVE THE FUNDS TO GET MARRIED, YOU KNOW, FANCY -- NOTHING FANCY OR A CHURCH. AND WE WANTED TO FOR MONEY -- OBTAINING WELFARE. SO THAT'S WHY WE GOT MARRIED.

Q WHAT PROOF DO YOU HAVE THAT MICHAEL, LAST NAME UNKNOWN, REALLY POSITION UNKNOWN, WAS REALLY AUTHORIZED BY THE STATE OF NEW YORK TO PERFORM A MARRIAGE?

A WELL, THE PROOF I HAVE UPSTAIRS I'LL BRING IT DOWN FOR YOU IN THE MORNING.

Q WHAT PROOF DO YOU HAVE UPSTAIRS, SIR?

A I WILL BRING IT DOWN, SIR.

Q WHAT PROOF DO YOU HAVE?

A I WILL BRING IT DOWN, SIR, IN THE MORNING.

THE COURT: ANSWER HIS QUESTION, SIR.

THE WITNESS: EXCUSE ME, YOUR HONOR?

THE COURT: ANSWER HIS QUESTION. WHAT PROOF DO

YOU HAVE?

THE WITNESS: WELL, IT'S -- IT'S -- I GUESS IT'S

SOME SORT OF LEGAL DOCUMENT. WHAT IT IS IS NOT A MARRIAGE

CERTIFICATE, BUT IT WOULD -- IT WOULD SUPPORT MY ASSERTION.

BY MR. HARMON:

Q YOU DO NOT HAVE A MARRIAGE CERTIFICATE?

A NO. ALOT -- THE MARRIAGE CERTIFICATE IDEN-

TIFICATION, IT WAS TAKEN DURING MY PROPERTY IN CALIFORNIA.

MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, THIS IS NON-RESPONSIVE.

I MOVE TO STRI- --

MR. FRANZEN: I BELIEVE IT IS RESPONSIVE.

THE COURT: I THINK HE WAS TRYING TO, COUNSEL.

OVERRULED.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. FRANZEN:

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

29

Q MR. HOWARD, YOU GOT MARRIED IN THE SUMMER OF 1979 TO DWANA THOMAS UNDER THE NAME OF HOWARD SAMUEL; IS THAT CORRECT?

A YES. I -- I JUST REVERSED IT, MY NAME.

O DO YOU THINK YOU HAVE A LEGAL DOCUMENT

UPSTAIRS THAT WOULD VERIFY YOUR POSITION?

A PRETTY MUCH SURE, SURE.

MR. FRANZEN: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.

MR. HARMON: NO FURTHER QUESTIONS, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: YOU'RE EXCUSED.

(WHEREUPON, THE WITNESS WAS

EXCUSED.)

THE COURT: FURTHER EVIDENCE?

MR. FRANZEN: EXCEPT FOR THE DOCUMENT THAT MR.

HOWARD REPRESENTS HE HAS IN HIS POSSESSION IN PROPERTY UPSTAIRS,

THAT IS THE EXTENT OF THE EVIDENCE, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: THE STATE?

MR. SEATON: THE STATE WOULD CALL DWANA THOMAS.

THE COURT: CALL DWANA THOMAS.

JUST COME FORWARD, PLEASE, AND BE SEATED

25 | THERE.

MS. THOMAS: BE SEATED?

THE COURT: NO, JUST REMAIN STANDING UNTIL SHE

28 SWEARS YOU.

THE CLERK: RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND.

30 | .

31 || .

32 | .

		-	
1	WHEREUPON,		
2			
3		DAWANA THOMAS,	
4			
5	CALLED AS A WITNESS	HEREIN BY THE PLAINTIFF WAS FIRST DULY SWORN	
6	EXAMINED AND TESTIFIE	ED AS FOLLOWS:	
7			
8	THE C	OURT: PROCEED.	
9			
10		DIRECT EXAMINATION	
11			
12	BY MR. SEATON:		
13			• •
14	Q	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME.	
15	A	DAWANA THOMAS.	
16	Q	HOW DO YOU SPELL DAWANA?	
17	A	D-A-W-A-N-A.	
18	Q	AND HOW DO YOU SPELL THOMAS?	ı
19	A	T-H-0-M-A-S.	
20	Q	IS IT MRS. OR MISS THOMAS?	
21	A	MISS.	•
22	Q	MISS.	•
23		ARE YOU ACQUAINTED WITH THE DEFENDANT	
24	IN THIS ACTION SAMUE	•	
25 26	A	YES.	
27	Q UF16 UF191NG9	WOULD YOU POINT HIM OUT AND DESCRIBE WHAT	
. 28	HE'S WEARING?	OVER THERE (INDICATING). HE HAS A BEIGE	
29	A SHIRT ON.	OVER THERE (INDICATING). HE HAS A BEIGE	
30	Q Q	THANK YOU.	
31	`	MAY THE RECORD REFLECT	
32	Α	AND A BLACK COLLAR.	1902
		-876 <i>-</i>	1702
(ll .	*0/U~	l

	MR. SEATON: (CONTINUING) THE IDENTIFICATION						
1	OF THE DEFENDANT BY THE WITNESS.						
2							
3	THE COURT: THE RECORD MAY SO SHOW.						
4	DV VD CTATOV						
5	BY MR. SEATON:	ļ					
6	Q MISS THOMAS, WHERE DO YOU RESIDE NOW?						
6							
9		l					
10	A OFF AND ON, SINCE 167.	1					
11	Q AND DID THERE COME A TIME IN 1979 WHEN YOU	١					
12	MOVED FROM TUSCON TO LAS VEGAS?	l					
13	A YES.						
14	Q AND DO YOU RECALL THE MONTH THAT YOU MOVED						
15	FROM TUSCON TO LAS VEGAS?						
16	A IN NOVEMBER.						
17	Q IN NOVEMBER OF 1979?						
18	A OF YES.						
19 20	Q 1979. AND PRIOR TO MOVING TO LAS VEGAS IN	Ţ					
21	NOVEMBER OF 1979 HOW LONG HAD YOU LIVED DURING THAT PERIOD OF						
22	TIME IN TUSCON?						
23	A SINCE '67.						
24	Q ALL RIGHT.						
25	WHAT DID YOU COME TO LAS VEGAS FOR?						
26	A WELL, ME AND MY EX-HUSBAND WERE SEPARATED	١					
27	AND I CAME DOWN TO LIVE WITH MY GIRLFRIEND.						
. 28	Q AND DID YOU ATTEMPT TO GAIN EMPLOYMENT HERE						
29	IN LAS VEGAS?						
30	A YES, SIR.						
31	Q AND DID YOU GET A JOB HERE?						
32	A YES, SIR.						
	H **						

-877-

Showar			
a a			
-PE1	1	Q WHERE DID YOU GET A JOB?	
51	2	A AT THE NEVADA HOTEL CASINO.	
2	3	Q AND IN WHAT MONTH WAS THAT?	
· •	4	A THAT WAS IN NOVEMBER.	
*	5	Q OF 1979?	
;	6	A I THINK NOVEMBER OR OR THE BEGINNING OF	
	7	DECEMBER.	
•	8	Q OF 1979?	
:	9	A YES.	
i	10	Q DURING THE SUMMER MONTHS OF 1979 SPECIFI-	
<u>.</u>	11	CALLY CAN YOU TELL US WHERE YOU RESIDED AT THAT TIME?	
: !	12	A THE SUMMER MONTHS?	
ŧ	13	Q YES, OF 1979.	
-	14	A IN IN ARIZONA.	
:	15	Q TUSCON?	
· :	16	A UH-HUH.	
	17	Q NOW, YOU MENTIONED YOUR EX-HUSBAND. WHAT	
•	18	IS HIS NAME?	
	19	A HIS NAME IS LENON THOMAS.	
	20	Q LENON THOMAS?	
;	21	A UH-HUH.	
;	22	Q HOW DO: YOU SPELL LENON?	
-	23	A L-E-N-Q-N.	
	24	Q AND WHEN DID YOU MARRY LENON THOMAS?	
· :	25	A " IN JULY 31ST OF '74.	
•	26	Q AND ON JULY 31ST OF 1974 WHEN YOU MARRIED	
:	27	LENON THOMAS DID YOU RECEIVE A MARRIAGE CERTIFICATE?	
3	28	A YES, SIR.	
•	29	Q WHERE WERE YOU MARRIED?	,
-	30	A TUSCON, ARIZONA.	
:	31	Q WHO MARRIED YOU, IF YOU REMEMBER?	1904
	32	A THE JUDGE AT THE JUSTICE OF THE PEACE.	1704
		II .	1

YOU EVER MEET A PERSON BY THE NAME OF MICHAEL WHO PERFORMED A

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. FRANZEN:

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

Q MRS. THOMAS, IT'S YOUR TESTIMONY THEN THAT FROM APPROXIMATELY 1967 YOU WERE IN TUSCON, ARIZONA, RESIDING IN TUSCON, ARIZONA, UNTIL UP ABOUT NOVEMBER OF 1979, WHEN YOU CAME TO LAS VEGAS; IS THAT CORRECT?

- A ALL OF THE TIME?
- Q WAS YOUR RESIDENCE IN TUSCON, ARIZONA?
- A YES, SIR.
- Q FROM 1967?

A I HAVE BEEN A FEW PLACES WITH MY HUSBAND, BUT OTHER THAN THAT, I'VE BEEN IN TUSCON, ARIZONA.

Q OKAY. AND IT'S YOUR TESTIMONY THAT YOU FIRST MET MR. HOWARD IN NOVEMBER OF 1979?

A YES, SIR.

Q AND THAT WOULD BE FOUR MONTHS BEFORE

MARCH OF 1980?

A YES.

Q DO YOU RECALL TELLING, ON APRIL 2ND, 1980, AT APPROXIMATELY 6:20 P.M., TELLING DETECTIVE LEAVITY OF THE LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT THAT YOU HAD KNOWN SAMUEL HOWARD FOR APPROXIMATELY ONE YEAR?

A . . NO. 1 DON'T RECALL.

Q THAT WOULD NOT BE TRUE?

A I'M NOT SAYING THAT IT WOULDN'T BE TRUE.

BUT I'M JUST SAYING THAT 1 DON'T RECALL.

Q DID YOU EVER WRITE TO MR. HOWARD USING THE ...

A NOT THAT 1 KNOW OF.

MR. FRANZEN: MAY 1 APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR

-882-

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

29

31

32

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY MR. SEATON:

Q MISS THOMAS, SHOWING YOU THIS DOCUMENT, WHICH COUNSEL HAS JUST SHOWN YOU, WOULD YOU LOOK THAT OVER CARE-FULLY AND TELL US WHETHER OR NOT THAT IS, IN FACT, YOUR HAND-WRITING?

A UH --

THE COURT: YOU WILL HAVE TO SPEAK UP.

THE WITNESS: YES.

THE COURT: YES, IT IS YOUR HANDWRITING?

THE WITNESS: UH-HUH.

MR. SEATON: THANK YOU.

MR. FRANZEN: MAY I RESUME, YOUR HONOR?

THE COURT: YOU MAY.

CROSS EXAMINATION RESUMED

BY MR. FRANZEN:

Q MISS THOMAS?

A YES.

Q IN THE UPPER RIGHT HAND -- PERHAPS I SHOULD

25 HAVE THIS MARKED.

THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU GO AHEAD AND FINISH SO WE DON'T SPEND THAT MUCH TIME. LET'S JUST GET ON WITH IT, COUNSEL.

30 BY MR. FRANZEN:

Q SHOWING YOU THIS DOCUMENT YOU HAVE IDENTI-

-884-

1	FIED AS BEING IN YOUR HANDWRITING, DO YOU OR DO YOU NOT, IN THE	
2	UPPER RIGHT HAND CORNER, IDENTIFY YOURSELF AS DAWANA HOWARD?	
3	A YES.	
4	Q AND YOU ADDRESS IS THIS LETTER ADDRESSED	
5	TO MR. HOWARD?	
6	A YES.	
7	Q AND YOU CALL HIM, "DEAR LOVE"?	
8	A YES	
9	Q AND YOU SAY THAT YOU MISS HIM?	
10	A YES.	
11	Q AND YOU TELL HIM THAT YOU ARE IN SCHOOL,	
12	TELL HIM THAT YOU ARE IN SCHOOL?	
13	A YES.	
14	Q WHO'S THE FRIENDS THAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT	
15	IN HERE? DO YOU REMEMBER YOUR FRIENDS?	
16	MR. SEATON: YOUR HONOR, I THINK THIS IS GETTING	
17	OUT OF THE SCOPE OF WHAT THIS HEARING IS TRYING TO ACCOMPLISH.	
18	THE COURT: WE ARE NOT IN DISCOVERY HERE,	
19	COUNSEL. WE ARE ATTEMPTING TO ESTABLISH COMMUNICATION BETWEEN	
20	THE PARTIES, OR TRYING TO ESTABLISH THE RELATIONSHIP IF ANY.	
21	•	
22	BY MR. FRANZEN:	
23		
24	Q AND YOU ASKED SAM HOWARD TO SEND YOU SOME	
25	MONEY; IS THAT CORRECT?	
26	A DO YOU WANT ME TO ANSWER ABOUT WHO WAS THE	
27	FRIEND?	
28	THE COURT: NO.	
29		
30	BY MR. FRANZEN:	
31		1.011
32	Q NO. THE JUDGE DIRECTED YOU NOT TO, MA'AM.	1911
	-885-	

1	A OH, YES.	
2	Q MY NEXT QUESTION 15: YOU ASKED HIM TO SEND	
3	YOU SOME MONEY?	
4	A YES.	
5	MR. FRANZEN: COULD WE HAVE THIS MARKED, YOUR	
6	HONOR? I'M THROUGH WITH THE WITNESS.	
7	THE COURT: WHAT'S THE NEXT NUMBER? WHAT'S THE	
8	NEXT NUMBER?	
9	THE CLERK: SIR, THIS IS A, DEFENDANT'S A.	
10	MR. FRANZEN: I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS OF THIS	
11	WITNESS YES, YOUR HONOR, I DO.	
12		
13	BY MR. FRANZEN:	
14		
15	Q WERE YOU EVER WITH MR. HOWARD IN THE LONG	
16	ISLAND CITY, NEW YORK PROJECTS?	
17	A WITH HIM?	
18	Q YES, MA'AM.	
 19	A YES, BEFORE. YES, I'VE BEEN THERE BEFORE	
20	WITH HIM, YES.	
21	Q AND WAS THAT PRIOR TO NOVEMBER OF 1979?	
22	A IT WAS AFTER.	
23	Q AND WERE YOU INTRODUCED THERE AS HIS WIFE?	
24	A NO.	
25	Q DO YOU KNOW A RETA ELLIS?	
26	A NO.	
27	Q A TONY NELSON?	•
28	A NO.	
29	Q THESE WOULD BE PEOPLE	
30	A OH, WAIT. A TONY NELSON?	
31	Q YES, MA'AM.	191
32	A HE MENTIONED HIM BEFORE WHEN WHEN HE WAS	171

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

IN CALIFORNIA WITH THE DOWNEY POLICE.

YOU HAVE NEVER MET MR. NELSON? Q

NO. I NEVER MET HIM.

MR. FRANZEN: THAT CONCLUDES THE EXAMINATION OF THIS WITNESS AT THIS TIME, YOUR HONOR.

MR. SEATON: VERY BRIEFLY, YOUR HONOR.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SEATON:

CAN YOU EXPLAIN WHY YOU USED THE NAME DAWANA HOWARD ON THE DOCUMENT THAT'S JUST BEEN MARKED AS AN EXHIBIT?

YES. SAM WANTED TO MARRY ME AND I WAS JUST -- I GUESS FANTASIZED. I JUST PUT IT ON THERE. I WAS ALREADY MARRIED. MY DIVORCE PAPERS WAS IN, BUT SAM WAS ALREADY IN PRISON -- NOT IN PRISON BUT IN JAIL THEN.

Q WHEN WAS THIS LETTER WRITTEN THAT'S MARKED

AS EXHIBIT A?

THAT LETTER WAS WRITTEN SOMETIME -- THAT LETTER HAD TO BE WRITTEN SOMETIME IN THE LATTER PART OF '80, AFTER APRIL OF '80.

DO THE COMMENTS THAT THE ATTORNEY ASKED YOU ABOUT IN THE LETTER, HAVING TO DO WITH "DEAR LOVE" AND "I MISS YOU" AND "I'M IN SCHOOL" AND "SEND ME MONEY"; IN YOUR MIND DO THOSE MEAN THAT -- DOES THIS INDICATE THAT YOU ARE MARRIED TO SAM HOWARD?

NO. SAM SAID HE WAS GOING TO GET A VETERAN'S CHECK AND THAT HE WOULD SEND ME MONEY.

MR. SEATON: NO FURTHER QUESTIONS, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: QUESTIONS?

MR. FRANZEN: NO QUESTIONS, YOUR HONOR. 1 2 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. SEATON: THE STATE HAS NO FURTHER WITNESSES. 3 THE COURT: WHAT WAS YOUR HUSBAND'S NAME? THE WITNESS: LENON THOMAS. IT'S IN THE --5 THE COURT: HOW DO YOU SPELL THAT? 6 THE WITNESS: L-E-N-O-N THOMAS SENIOR. I HAVE A 7 JUNIOR BY HIM. 8 THE COURT: AND WHERE WERE YOU DIVORCED? 9 THE WITNESS: IN TUSCON, ARIZONA. 10 THE COURT: AND THAT WAS IN SEPTEMBER OF 1980? 11 THE WITNESS: YES, SIR. 12 13 THE COURT: ANYTHING FURTHER? MR. SEATON: THE STATE HAS NOTHING FURTHER. 14 MR. COOPER: YOUR HONOR, WE DO HAVE ONE ADDITIONAL 15 16 QUESTION. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. ASK IT. 17 18 RECROSS EXAMINATION 19 20 21 BY MR. FRANZEN: 22 23 IN 1981, MISS THOMAS, DID YOU WRITE TO Q 24 MR. HOWARD? 1 HAD WROTE LOTS OF LETTERS, AND HE HAD 25 26 WROTE LOTS OF LETTERS. 27 DID HE OR DID YOU REQUEST OF HIM THAT HE Q SEND YOU ALL PICTURES AND LETTERS THAT HE HAD FROM YOU? 28 29 DID I REQUEST THAT? 30 YES, MA'AM. Q 31 I DON'T REMEMBER. 1914 YOU COULD HAVE BUT YOU DON'T REMEMBER? 32 Q -888-

YES, SIR. 1 MR. FRANZEN: I BELIEVE THAT'S IT, YOUR HONOR. 2 MR. SEATON: NOTHING FURTHER. 3 THE COURT: YOU'RE EXCUSED. YOU MAY STEP DOWN AND WAIT OUT IN THE HALL, 5 THE WITNESS: OKAY. 6 (WHEREUPON, THE WITNESS WAS 7 EXCUSED.) 8 THE COURT: COUNSEL? 9 10 MR. FRANZEN: WE HAVE ONE ADDITIONAL WITNESS, YOUR HONOR, THAT WOULD BE DETECTIVE LEAVITT REGARDING THE STATE-11 12 MENT THAT INQUIRED OF HER, THE APRIL 2ND, 1980, STATEMENT IN WHICH SHE RELATED THAT AS OF APRIL 2ND, 1980, SHE AND HER CHILD 13 14 HAD BEEN WITH MR. HOWARD FOR APPROXIMATELY ONE YEAR, RATHER THAN 15 MERELY HAVE MET HIM ON NOVEMBER OF 1979. 16 THE COURT: WELL, I DON'T THINK YOU NEED MR. 17 LEAVITT JUST TO PUT THAT INTO EVIDENCE. 18 MR. HARMON: NO. BUT WE CAN STIPULATE THAT THAT'S 19 IN THE OFFICER'S REPORT, YOUR HONDR, BY OFFICER LEAVITY. 20 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 21 PROCEED. 22 MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, WE COULD RECALL MR. 23 HOWARD FOR ONE LIMITED PURPOSE, AND THAT IS TO BRING THE DOCU-24 MENTS OR DOCUMENT THAT HE HAS THAT HE BELIEVES IS IN HIS POS-25 SESSION IN CUSTODY IN THE JAIL. 26 HE'D ALSO FURTHER TESTIFY THAT DURING THE 27 TRANSPORTATION BETWEEN THE VARIOUS PRISONS HE HAS BEEN IN AND 28 THIS COUNTY JAIL, THE OTHER SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS HAVE BEEN LOST 29 OR MISLAID. 30 THE COURT: WHERE IS THIS DOCUMENT NOW? WHERE 31 IS IT?

1915

MR. FRANZEN: I BELIEVE IT'S IN HIS JAIL PROPERTY.

I

 DEFENDANT HOWARD: YES. YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: HOW LONG WOULD IT TAKE ONE OF THE JAILERS TO TAKE HIM UP AND GET IT OUT OF HIS PROPERTY AND BRING HIM BACK DOWN?

THE BAILIFF: AS BEST AS WE CAN. 15 MINUTES PROBABLY AT THE MOST.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE WILL TAKE A RECESS,
A BRIEF RECESS. RUN HIM UP THERE, BRING DOWN WHAT YOU'VE GOT.
IN THE MEANTIME, I WOULD SUGGEST TO THE STATE TO CALL THE TUSCON
COUNTY CLERK FORTHWITH AND FIND OUT IF THIS YOUNG LADY IS STILL
MARRIED TO THE PARTIES INVOLVED AND GET A VERBAL, SOME TYPE OF
VERBAL RESPONSE FROM THE COUNTY CLERK OF TUSCON TO SEE WHETHER
OR NOT SHE WAS MARRIED, IN FACT, DURING THAT PERIOD OF TIME.

MR. SEATON: MAY WE HAVE THE WITNESS DWANA THOMAS WITH US AS WE MAKE THAT CALL TO FEED INFORMATION BACK AND FORTH SO WE CAN --

THE COURT: WE'LL BE IN RECESS, AND AS SOON AS YOU CAN COME BACK IN.

-WE'LL BE IN RECESS.

(WHEREUPON, FROM 4:20 P.M.

UNTIL 4:50 P.M., A RECESS WAS

HAD IN THE PROCEEDINGS, AT THE

CONCLUSION OF WHICH THE FOLLOW
ING WAS HAD OUTSIDE THE PRES
ENCE OF THE JURY:)

THE COURT: YOU MAY PROCEED, COUNSEL.

MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, MR. HOWARD HAS BROUGHT FROM THE JAIL A DOCUMENT WHICH IS NOT AN OFFICIAL DOCUMENT BUT WHICH IS A LETTER FROM DAWAMA HOWARD IN WHICH SHE IDENTIFIES HERSELF IN THE SALUTATION AT THE CONCLUSION OF PAGE THREE AGAIN AS DAWAMA HOWARD AND AS HIS WIFE, QUOTE, "I LOVE YOU, YOUR WIFE, DAWAMA HOWARD".

YOUR HONOR.

 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. BRING IT FORWARD.

MR. FRANZEN: IT IS DATED DECEMBER 16, 1981,

THE COURT: LET'S MARK THIS --

THE CLERK: B, DEFENDANT'S B.

THE COURT: YES.

MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, PURSUANT TO THE COURT'S INSTRUCTION, WE DID CONTACT THE SUPERIOR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE IN KING COUNTY, ARIZONA. I SPOKE PERSONALLY WITH THE RECORDS SUPERVISOR, LEANNE WILSON. THE FIRST NAME, FOR THE RECORD, IS L-E-A-N-N-E. AND SHE VERBALLY, OVER THE PHONE, CONFIRMED TO ME THAT THEY HAVE ON FILE A DIVORCE DECREE INDICATING THAT ON SEPTEMBER THE 5TH, 1980, THAT DAWANA THOMAS, D-A-W-A-N-A, WAS DIVORCED FROM LENON THOMAS, L-E-N-O-N.

THE COURT: COUNSEL?

MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, WE'D ALSO ASK THAT

MR. HOWARD BE GIVEN THE OPPORTUNITY TO EXPLAIN TO YOUR HONOR THE

SALU- -- OR THE POSTSCRIPT ON THE UPPER LEFT CORNER OF DEFENSE B,

WHERE WE BELIEVE DAWANA HOWARD, WHO WROTE THIS LETTER, STATES,

"BABY, SEND ME THE PICTURES," WHICH MR. HOWARD WILL IDENTIFY TO

YOUR HONOR AS BEING THE WEDDING PICTURES.

MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, YOUR HONOR, IF MR. HOWARD ISN'T THE PERSON WHO WROTE THE WORDS ON DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT B, THEN IT WOULD BE SUPPOSITION ON HIS PART.

THE COURT: WHAT DIFFERENCE WOULD IT BE IF YOU HAD WEDDING PICTURES WITH A WHITE TRAIN AT THIS POINT? IT REALLY WOULDN'T MAKE A BIT OF DIFFERENCE BECAUSE ONE PERSON CANNOT BE MARRIED TWICE TO THE -- TO TWO SEPARATE INDIVIDUALS.

THE TESTIMONY OF MR. HOWARD IS THAT IN THE SUMMER OF 1979 HE WAS -- HE WENT THROUGH A MARRIAGE CEREMONY.

THE TESTIMONY UNEQUIVOCALLY HERE IS THAT DURING THAT PERIOD OF TIME THE PERSON HE ALLEGEDLY MARRIED WAS ALREADY MARRIED.

 MR. FRANZEN: WELL, WE HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THAT, YOUR HONOR, IN THAT ALTHOUGH WE ARE PROCEEDING A BIT INFORMALLY HERE, I THINK THE PROPER TYPE OF EVIDENCE FROM THE STATE'S POINT OF VIEW IS THEY'RE GOING TO HAVE TO PRESENT TO YOUR HONOR THE DIVORCE DECREE.

THE COURT: NO. NO. THE BURDEN IS ON YOU, NOT ON THEM.

MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, WE HAVE MET OUR BURDEN
IN THE FORM OF --

THE COURT: YOU HAVE NOT MET YOUR BURDEN, COUNSEL.
SO LET'S NOT --

MR. FRANZEN: I BELIEVE WE HAVE MET OUR BURDEN,

THE COURT: OKAY. AND I FIND THAT YOU HAVEN'T.

I WOULD SUGGEST TO THE STATE IF YOU HAVE

ANY TYPE OF WIRE OR SOMETHING THAT YOU CAN RECEIVE FROM THIS

INDIVIDUAL FOR THE PURPOSES OF RECORD CONFIRMING WHAT HAS BEEN

BROUGHT BEFORE THE COURT.

VERBAL COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE RECORDS SUPERVISOR.

THE COURT: SEE WHAT YOU CAN GET IN WRITING FROM THAT CLERK FOR THE RECORD.

WE'LL BE IN RECESS UNTIL TOMORROW. AND IF YOU GET YOUR INVESTIGATORS TO DO SOMETHING ABOUT 8:00 O'CLOCK IN THE MORNING, THEY OUGHT TO BE ABLE TO GET SOMETHING HERE. IF COUNSEL WANTS TO PRESENT SOMETHING FURTHER, YOU MAY DO SO BECAUSE YOU WILL BE ON AT 9:00 O'CLOCK IN THE MORNING. IF THERE IS ANYTHING TO VERIFY BACK IN QUEENS YOU CAN DO SO, AND THAT OUGHT TO BE CLEAR. IF YOU WANT TO MAKE A VERBAL REPRESENTATION YOU MAY AT THAT TIME.

MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, 1'M SORRY.

THE COURT: BUT AS OF RIGHT NOW, COUNSEL, YOU

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

WHEREUPON,

13

14 15

> 16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31 32 HAVEN'T MET YOUR BURDEN SO I DON'T WANT TO GO ON WITH IT. MR. FRANZEN: YES, YOUR HONOR.

JUST FOR CLARIFICATION THEN, WILL YOUR HONOR ACCEPT THAT WITHOUT FURTHER TESTIMONY OF MISS HOWARD WE WOULD -- OR MISS THOMAS WE WOULD LIKE TO CALL TO IDENTIFY DEFENDANT'S B AS HAVING BEEN WRITTEN BY HER TO MR. HOWARD?

THE COURT: WELL --

MR. HARMON: WE CAN STIPULATE.

THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND THAT.

BRING HER IN.

DAWANA THOMAS,

CALLED AS A WITNESS HEREIN BY THE PLAINTIFF HAVING BEEN PREVI-OUSLY DULY SWORN, WAS EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

THE COURT: YOU'VE BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN. ARE STILL UNDER OATH, PLEASE.

COUNSEL, YOU MAY PROCEED.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. FRANZEN:

MISS THOMAS? Q

YES.

MR. FRANZEN: MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR

HONOR?

THE COURT: YES.

2

3

6 7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. SEATON:

MISS THOMAS, DO YOU REMEMBER BEING IN SAN Q BERNARDINO FOR A TRIAL HELD AGAINST SAMUEL HOWARD IN MAY OF 1982?

YES, SIR.

AND DID YOU TESTIFY IN THAT TRIAL?

YES, SIR.

AND WAS THE DEFENDANT SAMUEL HOWARD IN THIS CASE THE DEFENDANT IN THAT PARTICULAR CASE?

YES, SIR.

WELL, DID THEY HAVE A HEARING LIKE THIS,

TALKING ABOUT HUSBAND AND WIFE AND MARRIAGE AND THINGS LIKE THAT?

NO.

WHEN YOU GAVE YOUR TESTIMONY WAS THE QUESTION

EVER ASKED OF YOU IF YOU WERE THE WIFE OF SAM HOWARD?

NO, SIR.

OR IF YOU HAD EVER BEEN THE WIFE OF SAM

HOWARD?

NO, SIR.

DURING THAT TRIAL DID THE DEFENDANT SAM

HOWARD ASSERT THE HUSBAND AND WIFE PRIVILEGE?

NO.

AND YOU DID TESTIFY?

YES.

IT WAS -- DID YOU TESTIFY ON BEHALF OF THE Q

STATE OF CALIFORNIA?

YES.

MR. SEATON: THAT CONCLUDES THE STATE'S QUESTIONS,

YOUR HONOR.

MR. FRANZEN: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.

-896-

30

31

32

HAD?

THE COURT: WHEN YOU TESTIFIED DID YOU TESTIFY 1 ABOUT CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATIONS BETWEEN YOURSELF AND MR. HOWARDS 2 3 THE WITNESS: NO. THE COURT: WHAT DID YOU TESTIFY TO? THE WITNESS: TO THE CAR THEFT OF A MERCEDES. THE COURT: IN EFFECT, YOU TESTIFIED THEN AGAINST 6 MR. HOWARD ON BEHALF OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND YOU IMPLICA-7 8 TED HIM IN A CAR THEFT; IS THAT CORRECT? THE WITNESS: I DON'T UNDERSTAND. THE COURT: YOU HAVE TO SAY YES OR NO. 10 THE WITNESS: I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU MEAN. 11 THE COURT: WELL, DID YOU SAY THAT HE WAS INVOLVED 12 IN A CAR THEFT? DID YOU TESTIFY TO THAT? 13 14 THE WITNESS: OH, YES, SIR. THE COURT: AND AT ANYTIME WHEN YOU WERE TESTIFY-15 ING AGAINST HIM DID HE RAISE THE ISSUE THAT YOU WERE HIS WIFE? 16 17 THE WITNESS: NO, SIR. 18 THE COURT: OR THAT HE WAS YOUR HUSBAND? 19 THE WITNESS: NO, SIR. MR. SEATON: YOUR HONOR, MAY I ASK JUST CHE OTHER 20 21 QUESTION? 22 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 23 24 CROSS EXAMINATION RESUMED 25 26 BY MR. SEATON: 27 28 DURING THAT SAME TIME, MISS THOMAS, DID YOU

1923

ANY -- DID YOU TELL THE JURY IN THAT CASE

EVER RELATE TO THE COURT CONVERSATIONS THAT YOU AND SAM HOWARD

CONVERSATIONS?

Q

1	ANY OF THE THINGS THAT SAM HAD SAID TO YOU AT ALL?				
2	A YES, SIR.				
3	Q AND WERE ANY OF THOSE THINGS ABOUT AND				
4	LET ME ASK ANOTHER PRIMARY QUESTION: WAS THIS A CASE OF CAR				
5	THEFT OR DID IT ALSO INCLUDE A ROBBERY CHARGE?				
6	A BOTH.				
7	Q BOTH?				
8	A UH-HUH.				
9	Q AND SAM WAS CHARGED BOTH WITH CAR THEFT AND				
10	WITH ROBBING SOMEONE?				
11	A YES, SIR. UH-HUH.				
12	Q WITH A GUN?				
13	A YES, SIR.				
14	Q AND DID YOU RELATE IN THE COURTROOM ANY				
15	THINGS THAT HE TOLD YOU ABOUT THAT CAR THEFT OR ABOUT THE ROBBERY				
16	WITH THE GUN?				
17	A YES, SIR.				
18	MR. SEATON: THANK YOU.				
19	I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONCE.				
20	THE COURT: YOU'RE EXCUSED.				
21	(WHEREUPON, THE WITNESS WAS				
22	EXCUSED.)				
23	THE COURT: COUNSEL, WE WILL CONTINUE THIS MATTER				
25	UNTIL 10:00 O'CLOCK TOMORROW MORNING.				
26	I WOULD SUGGEST THAT WE BRING THE JURY IN				
27	AND JUST SET THEM OUT IN THE COURTROOM THERE, AND YOU DON'T NEED				
28	TO PUT THEM IN THE BOX. I'LL MERELY ADVISE THEM THAT THEY HAVE				
29	BEEN PREVIOUSLY ADMONISHED, THEY'RE STILL UNDER THAT ADMONITION, AND CONTINUE IT UNTIL 10:00 O'CLOCK TOMORROW MORNING.				
30	CALL THEM IN.				
31					
32					
	~89 8 ~				
ļ	-070-				

l 1

(WHEREUPON, THE JURY ENTERED THE COURTROOM AND THE FOLLOW-ING PROCEEDINGS WERE HAD:)

THE COURT: WOULD YOU MOVE OVER SO THAT THE OTHER JURGES CAN SIT THERE.

WOULD YOU PLEASE BE SEATED. I'M TRYING TO MAKE IT AS EASY FOR YOU, RATHER THAN HAVING YOU COME ALL THE WAY UP HERE.

WE HAVE BEEN IN SESSION, AS YOU KNOW,
ALL AFTERNOON. YOU HAVE PREVIOUSLY BEEN ADMONISHED ABOUT TALKING
ABOUT THIS CASE WITH ANYONE.

IN VIEW OF THE HOUR, WE ARE GOING TO TAKE OUR AFTERNOON RECESS AT THIS TIME AND RECONVENE AT 10:00 O'CLOCK IN THE MORNING. YOU ARE STILL UNDER THE SAME ADMONITION AND WE WILL BE IN RECESS THEN IN THIS MATTER UNTIL 10:00 O'CLOCK A.M., TOMORROW MORNING, AND PROCEED THEN.

THAT'S ALL

(WHEREUPON, AT THE HOUR OF 5:07 P.M., THE EVENING RECESS WAS HAD IN THE PROCEEDINGS.)

; ·.

10

9

12 13

11

14 15

17 18

16

20

19

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

> 28 29

30

31 32 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, WEDNESDAY, APRIL 20, 1983, AT 10:15 A.M. * * * * * * * *

> (WHEREUPON, THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HAD OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)

I HAVE INFORMED MR. HOWARD OF THAT FACT.

THE COURT: YOU MAY PROCEED.

MR. COOPER: YOUR HONOR, I THINK WHERE WE STOPPED OFF YESTERDAY WE WERE AT THE QUESTION OF WHETHER OR NOT THE DEFENDANT WAS MARRIED TO THE STATE'S NEXT WITNESS, ONE DAWANA THOMAS.

THE COURT: YES.

MR. COOPER: WE HAD OUR INVESTIGATOR CALL NEW YORK. AND I DON'T KNOW WHO EXACTLY HE SPOKE TO, BUT HE DID CALL QUEENS, NEW YORK, WHO MR. HOWARD TESTIFIED THAT THE MARRIAGE OCCURRED IN THE SUMMER OF 179.

AFTER SPEAKING WITH MY INVESTIGATOR, I AM TOLD BY HIM THAT WHOEVER HE SPOKE TO, HE WAS INFORMED THAT THEY WEREN'T ABLE TO FIND ANY RECORD OF THE MARRIAGE BETWEEN SAMUEL HOWARD AND DAWANA THOMAS, OR HOWARD SAMUEL AND DAWANA THOMAS.

HE, NEVERTHELESS, INSISTS THAT THERE WAS, IN FACT, A MARRIAGE. HE HAS INFORMED HIS COUNSEL, YOUR HONOR, THAT WHILE IN LAS VEGAS WITH MISS THOMAS THERE WERE SEVERAL WELFARE APPLICATIONS MADE, APPLICATIONS MADE FOR WELFARE ASSISTANCE. AND ON THOSE APPLICATIONS THEY WERE LISTED AS HUSBAND AND WIFE.

OUR INVESTIGATOR MADE AN ATTEMPT TO SECURE THOSE DOCUMENTS, BUT WAS INFORMED THAT WE COULD NOT GET THEM WITHOUT A COURT ORDER.

CONSIDERING THE GRAVITY OF THIS ISSUE, YOUR HONOR, AND THE IMPORTANCE THAT THIS ISSUE PRESENTS, I WOULD AT THIS TIME ASK THE COURT TO ISSUE AN ORDER THAT WE BE PROVIDED

 WITH ANY DOCUMENTS IN POSSESSION OF THE NEVADA STATE WELFARE DEPARTMENT THAT MIGHT SHED SOME LIGHT UPON THIS ISSUE.

THE COURT: COUNSEL, ISN'T THIS VERY MUCH AND VERY SIMILAR TO THE SITUATION WHERE, AS YOU HAVE IN SOME STATES COMMON LAW MARRIAGES, WHERE THE PARTIES HOLD THEMSELVES OUT IN EFFECT AS HUSBAND AND WIFE BUT THE PARTY IS STILL MARRIED. SO NO MATTER HOW MUCH, OR HOW OFTEN, THEY REPRESENT OR MISREPRESENT TO ANYONE THAT THEY ARE HUSBAND AND WIFE, IT DOESN'T CHANGE THE RELATIONSHIP. THEY CANNOT BY OPERATION OF LAW BE HUSBAND AND WIFE BECAUSE THE WIFE, IN THIS INSTANCE, HAS NOT BEEN DIVORCED.

MR. COOPER: I CAN APPRECIATE THAT, YOUR HONOR.

I'M NOT FAMILIAR ENOUGH WITH THE LAWS OF
NEW YORK TO KNOW WHETHER OR NOT COMMON LAW MARRIAGES ARE
RECOGNIZED THERE. IF THAT IS THE CASE, YOUR HONOR, I, YOU KNOW,
IT WOULD BE OUR POSITION THAT IF THERE WAS A MARRIAGE, COMMON
LAW OR CIVIL, TO TAKE PLACE BETWEEN THE DEFENDANT AND MISS
THOMAS, EVEN IF SHE WAS NOT DIVORCED FROM HER PREVIOUS HUSBAND
AT THE TIME, DF COURSE, THAT WOULD BE GROUNDS TO ANNUL THE
MARRIAGE BETWEEN THE TWO OF THEM.

IT WOULD BE OUR POSITION, YOUR HONOR,
THAT IF THE DEFENDANT ACTED IN GOOD FAITH, AND HE INFORMED US
THAT HE DID, IN BELIEVING THAT A VALID MARRIAGE HAD TAKEN PLACE,
THEN THE PRIVILEGE WOULD NONETHELESS APPLY.

THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY AUTHORITY ON THAT?

MR. COOPER: YOUR HONOR, I HAVE -- 1 HAVE THE

CASE OF PEOPLE VERSUS GODINES, IT'S G-O-D-I-N-E-S. IT IS A

1936 CALIFORNIA CASE. IT ESSENTIALLY, YOUR HONOR, I ONLY HAVE

ONE COPY. THE CALIFORNIA COURT APPARENTLY, IN 1936, THERE WAS

A LAW EXISTING IN CALIFORNIA THAT MADE IT UNLAWFUL FOR A MEMBER

OF THE WHITE RACE TO MARRY A MEMBER OF THE PHILIPPINO RACE.

THE WIFE, WHO WAS WHITE, SOUGHT TO HAVE THE MARRIAGE ANNULLED;

AND BASED UPON THE COMPLAINT THAT WAS FILED IN THE DIVORCE

10

6

13 14

16

15

18 19

17

20 21

22

24 25

23

26 27

28 29

30 31

32

PROCEEDINGS, AN ANNULMENT PROCEEDINGS, SHE MADE SEVERAL REPRE-SENTATIONS AND LATER WAS PROSECUTED FOR PERJURY.

IN THE PERJURY TRIAL, THE WIFE'S LETTERS AND TESTIMONY -- LETTERS SENT BY THE WIFE TO THE HUSBAND AND STATEMENTS MADE DURING THAT RELATIONSHIP. WERE ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.

THE HUSBAND TESTIFIED AND GAVE HIS EVIDENCE. THE WIFE OBJECTED TO THE ADMISSION OF THAT EVIDENCE AND ACCLAIMED THE PRIVILEGE.

THE COURT, IN THAT PARTICULAR CASE, HELD ESSENTIALLY THAT DESPITE THE FACT THAT THE MARRIAGE WAS VOIDABLE AND COULD BE ANNULLED. THAT THE HUSBAND/WIFE PRIVILEGE WOULD, NEVERTHELESS APPLY.

THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND. YOU'RE THERE TALKING ABOUT A VOIDANCE SITUATION. IN THE CASE OF A, QUOTE, SECOND MARRIAGE, WHERE THE PARTY IS ALREADY MARRIED. THE PARTY WOULD NEVER HAVE THE RIGHT AND THE POWER IN THE FIRST INSTANCE. SO THERE IS A BASIC DISTINCTION BETWEEN YOUR CASE AND THE CASE WE HAVE WHEN THERE HAS BEEN A PREVIOUS MARRIAGE AND THAT MARRIAGE IS IN EXISTENCE AT THE TIME, BECAUSE YOU CAN'T BE MARRIED TWICE. AND, THEREFORE, THE LAW STATES THE SECOND ONE IS VOID, NOT VOIDABLE, ABSOLUTELY VOID OF ANY LEGAL FORCE AND EFFECT.

MR. COOPER: [UNDERSTAND, YOUR HONOR.

YOUR HONOR, I THINK THAT THE PURPOSE OF THE PRIVILEGE, TO SEGIN WITH, OF COURSE, IS TO PROTECT THE MARI-TAL RELATIONSHIP, TO PROVIDE FOR HARMONIOUS RELATIONSHIP, SO THAT A HUSBAND AND WIFE CAN FREELY COMMUNICATE DURING THAT MARRIAGE. IT WOULD SEEM TO ME THAT IT WOULD UNDERMINE THAT PART OF OUR EVIDENCE CODE IF ONE PARTY IN GOOD FAITH ENTERED INTO WHAT THAT PARTY BELIEVED TO BE A VALID MARRIAGE WHEN, IN FACT, IT WASN'T, AND COMMUNICATIONS WERE MADE. IT COULD SEEM THAT IT WOULD UNDERMINE THE ENTIRE -- THE ENTIRE STATUTE IF WE WERE TO.

SAY THAT, WELL, BECAUSE ONE PARTY MISREPRESENTED CERTAIN THINGS AND THE MARRIAGE WAS VOIDABLE IN THE FIRST INSTANCE, THEN THE PARTY WHO ACTED IN GOOD FAITH CAN'T RAISE ANY -- CAN'T RAISE THE PRIVILEGE HERE.

I WOULD RESPECTFULLY SUBMIT, YOUR
HONOR, THAT EVEN ASSUMING THAT THE MARRIAGE WAS VOIDABLE IN THE
FIRST INSTANCE, THAT THE PRIVILEGE WOULD, NONETHELESS, APPLY.
AND I WOULD URGE THE COURT TO SO RULE.

THE COURT: THE STATE?

MR. SEATON: WELL, YOUR HONOR, FIRST OF ALL, I
SHOULD TELL YOUR HONOR THAT WE COMPLIED WITH YOUR REQUEST
YESTERDAY. AND I HAD DAWANA THOMAS CALL, DURING THE EVENING,
AN INVESIGATOR BY THE NAME OF BOB TREADWAY, T-R-E-A-D-W-A-Y,
WHO WORKS FOR THE PIMA COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE. AND
HE HAS BEEN WORKING WITH CUR OFFICE MAKING SURE THAT DAWANA
THOMAS GETS BACK AND FORTH TO LAS VEGAS AND TUSCON ALL RIGHT.
HE HAS COPIES OF THE MARRIAGE CERTIFICATE AND THE DIVORCE DECREE
AND CALLED ME THIS MORNING AND HE READ THEM TO ME.

I CAN TELL YOU THAT THEY SOUNDED LIKE TYPICAL CERTIFICATES AND DIVORCE DECREES. I ASKED HIM TO PUT CERTAIN INFORMATION ON A TELETYPE AND SEND IT TO OUR OFFICE, WHICH HE HAS DONE. I WOULD LIKE THIS ENTERED INTO EVIDENCE, IF I MAY, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: SAME MAY BE MARKED FOR THE PURPOSES OF THIS HEARING ONLY.

MR. SEATON: AND JUST BRIEFLY LET ME READ INTO THE RECORD THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION YOU REQUESTED FOR MARRIAGE LICENSE THAT I PERSONALLY VIEWED:

LENON THOMAS, HUSBAND, DAWANA
BOYD, WIFE. MARRIED 7-31-74, PIMA
COUNTY, ARIZONA. BY TOBY LABETTER.

FIRST OF ALL, AS AN OFFICER OF THE COURT,

HE TOLD ME THAT HE WAS JUSTICE OF THE

3

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

EXHIBIT?

22

23

24

25 26

27

28 29

30

31

32

PEACE OVER THE TELEPHONE.

RECORDED BY SUPERIOR COURT DEPUTY CLERK BARBARA PALMER ON 8-5-74. RECORDED AND BOOKED 1424. PAGE 993.

AS TO THE DIVORCE, THE TELETYPE READS:

DIVORCE GRANTED 9-5-80,

BY SUPERIOR COURT COMMISSIONER WILLIAM N. SHERYL. LENON THOMAS FILED FOR DIVORCE, WON BY DEFAULT. CUSTODY OF TWO CHILDREN SPLIT. GROUNDS, IRRECONCILABLE DIFFERENCES. DIVORCE FILED UNDER COURT FILE NUMBER

SEND CERTIFIED COPIES IF NEEDED. ROBERT TREADWAY, INVESTIGATOR. STEVEN DENELLI, ATTORNEY, PIMA COUNTY, ARIZONA. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MAY I SEE IT, PLEASE?

MR. SEATON: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE CLERK: DO YOU WANT THAT MARKED AS A COURT'S

THE COURT: YES.

D-31850K.

THE CLERK: THAT WILL BE NUMBER 2.

THE COURT: COUNSEL, HAVE YOU SEEN THIS?

MR. COOPER: NO, YOUR HONOR, I HAVE NOT.

MR. SEATON: YOUR HONOR, IN A HEARING SUCH AS

THIS, THE BURDEN IS ON THE DEFENDANT TO SHOW THAT A REASON FOR THE MARITAL EXCEPTION EXISTS. THERE HAS BEEN ABSOLUTELY NO PROOF IN THIS COURTROOM THAT THE DEFENDANT AND DAWANA THOMAS EVER ENTERED INTO A MARITAL RELATIONSHIP, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE TESIMONY FROM THE DEFENDANT HIMSELF. AND IT WAS THEN BROUGHT OUT THAT HE COULD NOT REMEMBER THE NAME OF THE INDIVIDUAL WHO _

PERFORMED THE MARRIAGE CEREMONY, JUST IT WAS A GUY NAMED MICHAEL, SOME SORT OF A COUNTY CLERK. IT WAS NOT PERFORMED IN A COUNTY COURTHOUSE OR A CHURCH, JUST AN AVERAGE BUILDING ON NEW YORK BOULEVARD. AND THEN, THROUGH THE DEFENSE'S OWN INVESTIGATIONAL EFFORTS THEMSELVES, THEY DISCOVERED THIS MORNING THAT THERE IS NO RECORD WHATSOEVER IN NEW YORK OF A VALID MARRIAGE.

'I THINK WE CAN CONCLUDE FROM THAT THAT NO ACTUAL CIVIL OR RELIGIOUS CEREMONY TOOK PLACE IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK, AND THAT THE ONLY QUESTION WOULD REMAIN THEN: IS THERE SOME SORT OF A COMMON LAW MARRIAGE, AND THEN IF THERE IS MUST WE RECOGNIZE THAT?

IN ORDER FOR THERE TO BE A COMMON LAW MARRIAGE, I THINK BOTH PARTIES WOULD HAVE TO BE CONSENTUAL TO SUCH A RELATIONSHIP.

DAWANA THOMAS, ON THE STAND, HAS INDICATED THAT SHE HAD NO DESIRE TO ENTER INTO THAT SORT OF A RELATIONSHIP, AND KNOWING NOTHING ABOUT THE LAW, SHE EVEN STATED
THAT SHE WAS MARRIED AT THE TIME AND COULD NOT HAVE ENTERED INTO
SUCH A RELATIONSHIP. AND OBVIOUSLY THE PROOF OF THAT MARRIAGE
HAS BEEN SHOWN RATHER CLEARLY IN THE PROCEEDINGS YESTERDAY
AFTERNOON AND TODAY.

WITH THOSE FACTORS IN MIND, IT WOULD APPEAR THAT AT THE VERY BEST IF WE LOOK AT IT FROM THE BEST ANGLE OF THE DEFENDANT, WHAT WE HAD WAS HIS WISHFUL THINKING THAT A COMMON LAW RELATIONSHIP MAY BE BEGINNING, AND CERTAINLY THAT NO MARRIAGE WAS GOING TO OCCUR.

CAWANA THOMAS SAID THAT HE HAD ASKED HER TO ENTER INTO SUCH A RELATIONSHIP, AND THAT SHE HAD REFUSED FOR THE GROUNDS THAT WE HAVE RECITED BEFORE.

DERTAINLY THE OCCURRENCES DOWN IN SAN BERNARDING, IN THE TRIAL THERE, MUST HAVE SOME BEARING HERE.

WHILE THE WAIVER OF THE DEFENDANT IN THAT CASE WOULD CERTAINLY

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

30

29

31 32 NOT BE A WAIVER IN THIS CASE, IT IS CERTAINLY AN INDICATOR OF WHETHER OR NOT SUCH A MARITAL PRIVILEGE EXISTED AT THAT TIME, AND OF COURSE IF IT EXISTED NOW IT EXISTED IN MAY OF '81, DURING THE TRIAL IN SAN BERNARDING. AND THE DEFENDANT DID NOT ASK FOR IT THEN. IT WAS NEVER INVOKED. NO QUESTIONS WERE EVER ASKED OF DAWANA THOMAS DURING THAT HEARING.

FOR THOSE REASONS, YOUR HONOR, THE STATE FEELS THAT THIS MOTION IS BEING MADE PURELY AT THE LAST MOMENT FOR THE PURPOSES OF TRYING TO DO SOME LAST STITCH EFFORT TO HAVE DAWANA THOMAS NOT TESTIFY, AND THEY THINK THAT THERE IS ABSOLUTELY NO REASON TO PRECLUDE HER TESTIMONY FROM BEING HEARD BY THE JURY.

WE WOULD SUBMIT IT ON THAT.

MR. COOPER: MAY I BE HEARD, YOUR HONOR?

THE COURT: YOU MAY.

MR. COOPER: YOUR HONOR, FIRST OF ALL, I THINK COUNSEL IS CLEARLY WRONG IN HIS STATEMENT THAT WE PRESENTED NO PROOF OF THE MARRIAGE BETWEEN THE DEFENDANT AND DAWANA THOMAS.

IF YOUR HONOR MAY RECALL, YESTERDAY, WHEN

MISS THOMAS WAS TESTIFYING, SHE WAS SHOWN TWO LETTERS THAT SHE ADMITTED WRITING TO THE DEFENDANT. SHE USED THE NAME DAWANA HOWARD IN BOTH THOSE LETTERS. AND IN ONE OF THE LETTERS, IT REFERRED TO IN HER SALUTATION SHE STATED "WITH LOVE, YOUR WIFE, DAWANA THOMAS."

SO I THINK THERE HAS BEEN PROOF FROM MRS. THOMAS' OWN MOUTH, AS A MATTER OF FACT, OF THE MARRIAGE THAT THE DEFENDANT CLAIMS HERE.

COUNSEL SEEMS TO THINK THAT BECAUSE MR. HOWARD WAS UNABLE TO REMEMBER THE NAME OF THE CLERK, COUNTY CLERK, THE LAST NAME OF THE PERSON WHO PERFORMED THE MARRIAGE, THAT THIS SHOULD HAVE SOME SIGNIFICANCE HERE. I WOULD SUBMIT THAT THERE ARE FEW PEOPLE WHO CAN REMEMBER THE LAST NAMES OF

7

14 15

13

16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

27

28

26

29 30

31

32

THE PEOPLE WHO PERFORMED THEIR MARRIAGES.

ADDITIONALLY, YOUR HONOR, IT WOULD BE TO THE INTRODUCTION INTO EVIDENCE OF THIS TELETYPE THE STATE HAS RECEIVED. I DON'T THINK IT'S PROPER EVIDENCE. I THINK IT'S HEARSAY, AND FOR THAT REASON IT'S INADMISSIBLE.

AGAIN, YOUR HONOR, I WOULD, GIVEN THE GRAVE IMPORTANCE OF THIS PARTICULAR ISSUE, THAT I WOULD AGAIN MOVE THE COURT FOR AN ORDER DIRECTING THE DEPARTMENT OF WELFARE TO RELEASE TO MR. HOWARD'S COUNSEL THEIR RECORDS RELATING TO APPLICATIONS FILLED OUT BY --

THE COURT: THE STATE MAY EVEN STIPULATE TO THAT, COUNSEL. I DON'T KNOW IF THEY WOULD, BUT --

MR. COOPER: I THINK IT WOULD CERTAINLY SHED SOME LIGHT ON THE SUBJECT, YOUR HONOR.

> (WHEREUPON, MR. FRANZEN ENTERS THE COURTROOM.)

MR. COOPER: I DON'T KNOW PRECISELY WHAT THE APPLICATION WOULD SHOW, BUT THERE COULD WELL BE AN ADMISSION BY MISS THOMAS IN THAT APPLICATION THAT SHE'S THE WIFE OF THE DEFENDANT.

THE COURT: ONCE AGAIN, WE'RE RIGHT DOWN TO THE SAME QUESTION AND REPRESENTATION BY THE PARTY WITHOUT ANY VERI-FICATION. AND THAT'S WHAT WE HAVE HERE.

COUNSEL, I'M GOING TO OVERRULE YOUR OBJEC-TION FOR THE PURPOSES OF THIS MOTION AND ADMIT THE WIRE.

FURTHER, I REQUIRE THE STATE TO, UPON RECEIPT OF THE CERTIFIED COPIES OF THE DECREE OF DIVORCE AND THE MARRIAGE CERTIFICATE, THE SAME ALSO BE LOGGED WITH THE CLERK. I WOULD ASSUME THAT THOSE ARE ON THEIR WAY FROM ARIZONA, ARE THEY NOT, COUNSEL?

MR, SEATON: WE HAVE NOT ASKED FOR THEM, BUT WE WILL AT YOUR REQUEST, YOUR HONOR.

 THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY OBJECTION TO HIS REQUEST THAT THEY BE ALLOWED TO TAKE A LOOK AT THOSE RECORDS?

MR. SEATON: WELL, YOUR HONOR, I DO HAVE A

COMMENT THAT -- I DO HAVE AN OBJECTION TO IT. I THINK IT IS

WHOLLY IRRELEVANT FOR THIS REASON: THE DEFENDANT STATED THAT

THE MARRIAGE TOOK PLACE IN SEPTEMBER, IN THE SUMMER MONTHS OF

1979. NOW, WE KNOW ON ONE HAND THAT DAWANA THOMAS WAS MARRIED

AT THAT TIME. WE ALSO KNOW, BY HER TESTIMONY, THAT THEY HAD

NOT MET UNTIL NOVEMBER OF 1979, SOME FIVE OR SIX MONTHS, OR FOUR

MONTHS LATER, WHATEVER IT MIGHT BE. AND SHE DIDN'T GO TO NEW

YORK WITH HIM UNTIL FEBRUARY OF 1980. THEREFORE, IT IS IMPOSSI
BLE THAT ANY KIND OF A CEREMONY COULD HAVE TAKEN PLACE.

AND THE HOLDING OUT FOR WELFARE PURPOSES,

OR WHATEVER IT MIGHT BE, IF THAT IN FACT DID OCCUR, WOULD BE

IRRELEVANT TO THIS BECAUSE IT IS HER ATTITUDE IN WHETHER OR NOT

A COMMON LAW MARRIAGE IS BEING CREATED THAT WOULD CONTROL.

SHE'S OBVIOUSLY STATED, AND WHEN CONTINUED TO DO SO, THAT THAT

JUST WASN'T THE CASE.

IN LIGHT OF HER HAVING SIGNED HER NAME.

WHICH IS PROBABLY SOME SORT OF HIGH SCHOOL FANTASY THAT WE ALL GO THROUGH WITH THOSE WE ARE INFATUATED WITH, THE WELFARE RECORDS ON THIS PARTICULAR ISSUE I THINK WOULD HAVE NO BEARING AND WOULD BE WHOLLY IRRELEVANT.

MR. COOPER: ALL RIGHT.

THE COURT: COUNSEL, SUBMIT IT?

MR. SEATON: YES, YOUR HONOR.

MR. COOPER: IF YOUR HONOR PLEASE, I JUST MIGHT

MAKE ONE COMMENT.

IT SEEMS THAT MR. SEATON IS ASKING THE COURT TO ACCEPT MRS. THOMAS' TESTIMONY AT FACE VALUE. FIRST, SHE TESTIFIES THAT SHE WASN'T UNTIL NOVEMBER, 1979.

THE COURT: WELL, YOU'VE GOT TO ACCEPT SOMEBODY 'S

TESTIMONY.

MR. COOPER: I UNDERSTAND, YOUR HONOR.

BUT YOUR HONOR MIGHT RECALL THAT IT WAS POINTED OUT THAT SHE TOLD DETECTIVE LEAVITT THAT SHE HAD MET THE DEFENDANT APPROXIMATELY A YEAR PRIOR TO MARCH OF 1980, CERTAINLY BEFORE NOVEMBER OF '79, AS SHE'S TESTIFIED.

AND TO SUGGEST THAT THE RECORDS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF WELFARE WOULD HAVE -- WOULD HAVE -- WOULD SHED NO LIGHT ON THIS ISSUE I THINK IS LUDICROUS.

AS I INDICATED, I DON'T KNOW WHAT IT SHOWS, BUT IT COULD WELL CONTAIN AN ADMISSION ON HER PART THAT THEY WERE, IN FACT, MARRIED, INVITING THE DATES OF THE MARRIAGE.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE EVIDENCE IS IN AND I BELIEVE ARGUED.

I THINK THE FIRST AREA THAT WE HAVE TO

LOOK AT IS THE ISSUE OF COMMON LAW MARRIAGE IN NEVADA. AS BOTH

COUNSEL ARE AWARE, WE HAVE NOT RECOGNIZED COMMON LAW MARRIAGES

IN THIS STATE SINCE 1945. SO FOR ALL INTENTS AND PURPOSES,

SINCE 1979 TO PRESENT DATE THERE HAS NOT BEEN A STATUTE WHICH

WOULD AUTHORIZE THIS COURT TO RECOGNIZE A COMMON LAW MARRIAGE,

IF ONE EXISTED.

THE OTHER ISSUE IS WHETHER OR NOT THE ACTUAL MARRIAGE EXISTED BETWEEN THE PARTIES. WE HAVE, BASED UPON REPRESENTATIONS MADE BY THE PUBLIC DEFENDER/INVESTIGATOR TO HIM, THROUGH A CLERK OF THE COURT IN QUEENS, NEW YORK, THAT THEY HAVE NO RECORD OF A MARRIAGE BEING PERFORMED BY MR. HOWARD WITH DAWANA THOMAS DURING 1979, EITHER UNDER THE NAME OF SAMUEL HOWARD OR HOWARD SAMUEL. THE EVIDENCE OF THE SUPPOSED MARRIAGE RELATIONSHIP IS BASED ENTIRELY UPON THE DEFENDANT'S OWN TESTIMONY AND SOME STATEMENTS MADE BY DAWANA HOWARD -- OR DAWANA THOMAS AS THE RECORD REFLECTS.

THE ISSUE OF WHETHER OR NOT A MARRIAGE

DOES OR DOES NOT EXIST RAISES A NUMBER OF LEGAL ISSUES THAT
FIRST OF ALL HAVE TO BE ADDRESSED. AND THE FIRST ISSUE IS THAT
THE BURDEN OF ESTABLISHING THE PRIVILEGE IS UPON THE PARTY WHO
ASSERTS THE PRIVILEGE. IN THIS CASE, THE BURDEN IS UPON THE
MOVANT, MR. HOWARD, TO SHOW THAT HE WAS MARRIED.

IN THE CASE OF DAN SQLA VERSUS DURETA, 285 P2ND 97, THIS STATEMENT APPEARS:

A PERSON ASSERTING A STATUTORY
PRIVILEGE AS TO A COMMUNICATION HAS
THE BURDEN OF PROVING THE ABILITY OF
THE STATUTE THROUGH THE COMMUNICATION.
A PERSON WHO SEEKS TO INVOKE A STATUTORY PRIVILEGE AS TO A CONFIDENTIAL
COMMUNICATION HAS THE BURDEN OF
PROOF TO SHOW BOTH THE PERSON ASSERTING THE PRIVILEGE AND THE NATURE OF
THE TESTIMONY ARE WITHIN THE AMBIT OF
THE STATUTE, ACCORDING TO THE PRIVILEGE ASSERTED.

THE PRIVILEGE RELATING TO TESTIMONY OF THIS CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION BETWEEN HUSBAND AND WIFE IS LIKE THAT STATED IN OUR SUBDIVISIONS OF THIS -- OF THE STATUTE RELATING TO ATTORNEY/CLIENT, IF IT IS CLIENT, AND AS A CLERGYMAN AND THE ONE MAKING THE ASSERTION.

WHAT'S THE EVIDENCE HERE? THE EVIDENCE ESTABLISHES HERE, ACCORDING TO THE TESTIMONY OF MR. HOWARD, THAT HE MARRIED DAWANA THOMAS IN 1979, DURING THE SUMMER OF THAT YEAR. AND IT IS UPON THAT ASSERTION THAT HE CLAIMS THAT THEY ARE MARRIED. WHAT EVIDENCE DO WE HAVE RAISED AGAINST THAT PARTICULAR ASSERTION?

WELL, FIRST OF ALL, WE HAVE DAWANA

THOMAS, WHO SAYS THAT SHE DID NOT EVEN MEET THE DEFENDANT UNTIL--

19

NOVEMBER OF 1979. THIS WAS SOMETIME AFTER THE SUMMER WHEN THEY WERE ALLEGEDLY MARRIED. SHE NEVER WENT TO NEW YORK UNTIL FEBRUARY OF 1980. AND THEN SHE WAS MARRIED AT THE TIME. IN FACT, WAS MARRIED FROM 1979 THROUGH SEPTEMBER OF 1980.

FURTHER, THE REPRESENTATION WAS MADE

THAT WHAT HAPPENED IN QUEENS, THERE SEEMS TO BE NO VERIFICATION

OF THAT.

IS THERE ANY VERIFICATION OF DAWANA
THOMAS' TESTIMONY? YES. WE HAVE THE STATEMENT, BOTH OF THE
CLERK OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY, INVESTIGATOR, NOW THROUGH A
WIRETAP WHICH IS IN EVIDENCE, THAT SHE WAS MARRIED DURING THE
PERIOD OF TIME THAT THE DIVORCE -- THAT THE ALLEGED MARRIAGE IN
NEW YORK TOOK PLACE. THIS HAS BEEN VERIFIED AND IS IN THE COURT
RECORD AND WILL BE SUPPLEMENTED FURTHER BY THE MARRIAGE CERTI+
FICATE AND THE DECREE OF DIVORCE.

IT THEREFORE APPEARS THAT, ONE, THERE WAS NO COMMON LAW MARRIAGE BETWEEN MR. HOWARD AND MISS THOMAS; AND, TWO, THAT THERE WAS NO ACTUAL MARRIAGE BETWEEN MR. HOWARD AND MISS THOMAS, AND ASSUMING REALLY FOR THE SAKE OF ARGUMENT THAT SOME CEREMONY HAD BEEN PERFORMED, THAT CEREMONY WOULD BE ABSOLUTELY VOID AND THE RELATIONSHIP COULD NOT HAVE EXISTED.

I INVITE THE CASE WHICH IS SIMILAR TO THE CASE AT HAND WHICH THERE WERE SOME REPRESENTATIONS MADE BY THE PARTIES THAT THEY WERE HUSBAND AND WIFE. THE CASE IS THE CASE OF STATE VERSUS ALFORD. IT'S A NORTH CAROLINA CASE, CITED AT 259 SOUTH 82ND 242. THE NORTH CAROLINA COURT HELD THAT A DEFENDANT HAD FAILED TO ESTABLISH THAT THE WOMAN WITH WHOM HE LIVED AND WHO BORE HIS CHILD WAS HIS COMMON LAW WIFE PURSUANT TO THE LAWS OF PENNSYLVANIA. DESPITE THE FACT THAT THEY HAVE LIVED TOGETHER FROM 1968 AND HELD THEMSELVES OUT AS HUSBAND AND WIFE, AND SINCE THE WOMAN TESTIFIED UNEQUIVOCALLY THAT SHE DID NOT MARRY DEFENDANT BECAUSE SHE WAS NOT DIVORCED FROM ANOTHER

MAN, THEREFORE, THE STATE'S STATUTE PROVIDING THAT THE SPOUSE OF THE CRIMINAL DEFENDANT IS NEITHER COMPETENT, NOR COMPELLABLE TO GIVE EVIDENCE AGAINST THE OTHER SPOUSE, DID NOT PRECLUDE THE WOMAN FROM TESTIFYING AGAINST THE DEFENDANT.

I THINK THAT'S ABOUT AS CLOSE AS YOU

CAN GET TO THE FACTS. THE ISSUE HAS BEEN ADDRESSED BY OUR

JURISDICTION, THE VERY SAME SITUATION WE HAVE HERE. THE COURTS

IN THOSE JURISDICTIONS HAVE FOUND AGAINST THE DEFENDANT. AND

I FIND NO CREDIBLE OR BELIEVABLE TESTIMONY IN THIS RECORD TO

INDICATE THAT THE RELATIONSHIP OF HUSBAND AND WIFE EXISTS OR

EXISTED. THEREFORE, SHE MAY TESTIFY.

CALL HER, PLEASE.

MR. COOPER: EXCUSE ME.

THE COURT: YES?

MR. COOPER: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD ASSUME THEN,
BASED UPON THE COURT'S RULING, THAT OUR MOTION FOR AN ORDER TO
GET THE WELFARE RECORDS IS BEING DENIED THEN?

THE COURT: I WILL DENY IT ONLY ON THIS GROUND,

COUNSEL: THAT IT DOES NOT APPEAR TO THIS COURT THAT THE DIS—

COVERABLE EVIDENCE THAT YOU WOULD FIND FROM THOSE RECORDS WOULD

ESTABLISH OTHER THAN THEY MAY BE HOLDING THEMSELVES OUT AS

HUSBAND AND WIFE. VERY SIMILAR TO HER REPRESENTATION THAT SHE

WAS, QUOTE, HIS WIFE, AND IN HER WORDS, "PLAY WIFE" THAT THEY

WERE HUSBAND AND WIFE, BUT IT WOULD NOT CHANGE THE BASIC FACTS

IN THIS CASE, AND THAT IS THE BASIC COURT FINDS THAT SHE WAS

MARRIED AT THE TIME OF THIS ALLEGED CEREMONY AND WAS NEVER HIS

WIFE, NOR EVER INTENDED TO BE HIS WIFE. YOUR RIGHT TO YOUR

MOTION IS DENIED.

MR. COOPER: YOUR HONOR, I DON'T KNOW IF OUR EXHIBITS, THE TWO LETTERS THAT WERE MARKED YESTERDAY, WERE ADMITTED.

THE COURT: THEY'RE ADMITTED.

1

3 4

> 6 7

5

8

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 -19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27 28

29 30

31 32 MR. COOPER: THEY ARE. THANK YOU.

YOUR HONOR, THERE IS ONE ADDITIONAL MATTER,
AND I WOULD ASK LEAVE OF THE COURT. YOUR HONOR IS AWARE, OF
COURSE, THAT WE FILED, PRIOR TO TRIAL, A MOTION TO SUPPRESS.
BASED ON EVIDENCE THAT'S BEEN PRESENTED DURING THE COURSE OF
THE TRIAL, I HAVE HAD OCCASION TO PREPARE A SUPPLEMENTAL TO OUR
MOTION TO SUPPRESS, AND I WOULD ASK LEAVE OF THE COURT TO FILE
THIS IN OPEN COURT AT THIS TIME.

THE COURT: YOU MAY.

MR. COOPER: I HAVE PROVIDED THE STATE WITH A

COPY.

THE COURT: WHEN DID YOU GIVE IT TO THE STATE,

COUNSEL?

MR. COOPER: JUST THIS MORNING.

THE COURT: YOU MAY FILE IT. LET ME SEE IT.

HAS THE STATE HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO EXAMINE

THIS?

MR. HARMON: WE HAVE READ IT, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: AND RESPOND -- ARE YOU READY TO

RESPOND TO IT AT THIS TIME?

MR. HARMON: WE HAVE SEVERAL OBJECTIONS, YOUR

HONOR.

IT'S TRUE THAT A MOTION TO SUPPRESS WAS FILED PRIOR TO TRIAL, BUT TO MY RECOLLECTION THAT ADDRESSED ITSELF SOLELY TO STATEMENTS MADE BY THE DEFENDANT.

IF WE HAVEN'T REPRESENTED THIS BEFORE,

I WILL REPRESENT ON THE RECORD THAT IT IS NOT OUR INTENTION,

DURING OUR CASE IN CHIEF, TO INTRODUCE ANY, ANY FORMAL STATE—

MENTS WHICH WERE THE PRODUCT OF POLICE INTERROGATION, EITHER BY

THE DETECTIVE MONROE OF THE DOWNEY POLICE DEPARTMENT OR DETEC
TIVE LEAVITT OR HATCH OF THE LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE

DEPARTMENT. SO I THINK IT'S PREMATURE FOR THE COURT TO HAVE TO

__

RULE ON THAT. I WOULD SUGGEST THAT IF IN THE REBUTTAL CASE WE SHOULD ELECT TO PRESENT THAT TYPE OF EVIDENCE, THEN AT THAT POINT WE COULD LITIGATE THE ISSUE.

MOTION NOW TO SUPPRESS OTHER ITEMS, VERY FRANKLY THAT'S NOT TIMELY, YOUR HONOR. THE DEFENSE HAS HAD REPORTS OUT OF THE DOWNEY POLICE DEPARTMENT SINCE THE INCEPTION OF THIS CASE. THEY UNDERSTOOD WHAT THE CIRCUMSTANCES WERE OF THE TEMPORARY DETENTION OF THE DEFENDANT SAM HOWARD, IN THE STONEWOOD SHOPPING CENTER. IT DOESN'T COME AS A SURPRISE TO THEM. THEY'RE CLEARLY IN VIOLATION OF 174.125. THIS MOTION WAS NOT FILED 15 DAYS BEFORE THE TIME OF TRIAL. THEY'RE IN VIOLATION OF THE DISTRICT COURT RULES. THERE IS NO SUPPORTING AFFIDAVIT TO INDICATE WHY

WE REALIZE, YOUR HONOR, WHERE A CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUE IS RAISED THAT IT IS PRESERVED FOR APPELLATE PURPOSES. ON THAT POINT, WE ARE PERFECTLY HAPPY TO RELY UPON THE RECORD WE HAVE, BECAUSE WE THINK THAT THE RECORD CLEARLY SHOWS, FROM THE TESTIMONY OF OFFICER SLATER AND ALSO FROM THE TESTIMONY OF OFFICER CONNELY, THAT THERE WAS A REPORT THAT MR. HOWARD HAD BEEN SEEN CARRYING A CONCEALED WEAPON. MR. SLATER PERSONALLY OBSERVED THAT. HE HAS STATED THAT HIS SECURITY OFFICER, MANNY VELASQUEZ, ALSO OBSERVED IT. THEY REPORTED THAT INFORMATION TO THE DOWNEY POLICE DEPARTMENT. AND IF WE HAD NO OTHER INFORMATION THAN THAT, THERE WAS CERTAINLY PROBABLE CAUSE TO ARREST THE DEFENDANT.

IN ANY EVENT, HE WAS TEMPCRARILY DE-TAINED FOR PERHAPS FIVE MINUTES, ACCORDING TO THE RECORD, AND THEN IN AN AREA WHERE AN INDEPENDENT WITNESS, OFFICER CAMPOS, HAD OBSERVED HIM TO BE STROLLING ABOUT THE AISLES IN A THRIFTY DRUG STORE AND TRYING THEM, OR OTHER MERCHANDISE, FROM ONE HAND TO THE OTHER, AND THEN PLACING THEM BACK ON THE SHELVES. A .357

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28 29

30 31

32

CALIBER REVOLVER WAS FOUND. THERE WAS AMPLE BASIS, YOUR HONOR, TO JUSTIFY HIS TEMPORARY DETENTION AND HIS SUBSEQUENT ARREST,

WE HAVE ESTABLISHED PROBABLE CAUSE EVEN ON THE MERITS. THE MOTION SHOULD BE DENIED. BUT IT SHOULD ALSO BE DENIED BECAUSE IT'S CLEARLY UNTIMELY.

THE COURT: COUNSEL?

MR. COOPER: YOUR HONOR, OF COURSE OUR MOTION SEEKS TO SUPPRESS STATEMENTS TAKEN FROM THE DEFENDANT BY POLICE OFFICERS. I HAD ANTICIPATED THAT THE STATE WOULD BE PUTTING ON THOSE OFFICERS TO TESTIFY REGARDING STATEMENTS THE DEFENDANT MADE IN THEIR CASE IN CHIEF.

COUNSEL, I -- I MEAN IF IT'S THEIR INTEN-TION TO PRESENT THIS EVIDENCE IN REBUTTAL, THEN I THINK PERHAPS IT SHOULD BE ADDRESSED AT THAT TIME.

MR. HARMON SUGGESTS, YOUR HONOR, THAT WE KNEW OF GROUNDS FOR THIS MOTION PRIOR TO TODAY. IF YOUR HONOR RECALLS, THE OFFICERS TESTIFIED THAT MR. HOWARD WAS DETAINED OUTSIDE THE THRIFTY STORE. HE WAS QUESTIONED FOR A BRIEF PERIOD OF TIME, WEAPONS WERE -- A WEAPON WAS LATER FOUND INSIDE THE STORE.

NOW, IT'S OUR POSITION THAT THE ARREST-ING OFFICER, IT'S OUR POSITION, YOUR HONOR, THAT THE DEFENDANT WAS ARRESTED FOR A MISDEMEANOR OFFENSE. AS OFFICER CONNELY TESTIFIED, IT'S OUR POSITION THAT IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND IN THE STATE OF NEVADA, A POLICE OFFICER CAN ONLY ARREST FOR A MISDEMEANOR THAT'S COMMITTED IN HIS PRESENCE. IF THE MISDE-MEANOR IS COMMITTED OUTSIDE OF THE PRESENCE OF THE OFFICER, HE HAS NO GROUNDS TO ARREST IN ANY INSTANCE.

IF YOUR HONOR RECALLS, OFFICER CONNELY WAS ASKED THE QUESTION: DID OFFICER SLATER WHO PHONED THE POLICE AND TOLD THEM THAT THERE WAS A GUY THERE WITH A GUN IN HIS WAISTBAND, DID HE IN ANYWAY PARTICIPATE IN THE ARREST OF THE

19

DEFENDANT? OFFICER CONNELY TESTIFIED NO. OFFICER SLATER DID NOT PARTICIPATE IN THE ARREST OF THE DEFENDANT.

WE HAD NO WAY OF KNOWING, PRIOR TO
THESE PEOPLE COMING INTO COURT AND TESTIFYING, WHETHER OFFICER
SLATER PARTICIPATED IN THAT ARREST OR NOT. IF HE DID, IN FACT,
PARTICIPATE IN THE ARREST THEN OF COURSE WE WOULDN'T HAVE
GROUNDS FOR OUR MOTION HERE, AS STATED IN OUR POINTS AND AUTHORITIES.

THE COURT: WHAT DO YOU MEAN "PARTICIPATE"?

MR. COOPER: EXCUSE ME, YOUR HONOR?

THE COURT: WHAT DO YOU MEAN "PARTICIPATE"?

MR. COOPER: I THINK THAT IN AN INSTANCE WHERE
AN INDIVIDUAL IS ARRESTED FOR A MISDEMEANOR OFFENSE THAT'S
COMMITTED OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE POLICE OFFICER, THE PERSON
IN WHOSE PRESENCE THAT OFFENSE WAS COMMITTED IS THE INDIVIDUAL
WHO SHOULD MAKE THE ARREST.

I, IN RESEARCHING THIS ISSUE, YOUR HONOR,
I DID COME ACROSS SOME INDICATIONS, SOME CALCULATIONS, DEALING
WITH THAT SUBJECT. IN ONE PARTICULAR CASE, A WOMAN HAD SEEN A
PROWLER OUT AND ABOUT. THE POLICE WERE CALLED. THE DEFENDANT
IN THAT CASE WAS ARRESTED FOR A MISDEMEANOR OFFENSE. THE POLICE
OFFICER ACTUALLY MADE THE ARREST. BUT IN THAT PARTICULAR CASE
THE WOMAN WAS DRESSED IN HER NIGHT CLOTHES. SHE WAS STANDING
NEARBY. SHE TOLD THE POLICE OFFICER "ARREST THAT MAN BECAUSE
I'M IN MY NIGHT CLOTHES NOW." THE COURT HELD THAT PARTICULAR
INSTANCE THAT WAS A VALID ARREST, EVEN THOUGH THE PERSON MAKING
THE CALL AND WHOSE PRESENCE THE OFFENSE WAS COMMITTED, DIDN'T
ACTUALLY INFORM THE POLICE I WAS ARRESTING YOU FOR THIS MISDEMEANOR OFFENSE. GIVEN THE CIRCUMSTANCES IN THAT CASE THAT THAT
WOULD CONSTITUTE A VALID ARREST.

I'M SAYING IN THIS PARTICULAR INSTANCE
THAT WE DON'T HAVE ANYTHING CLOSE TO THAT. THERE WAS NO TEST1-

 MONY FROM THE OFFICER THAT MR. SLATER, IN WHOSE PRESENCE THE MISDEMEANOR OFFENSE WAS COMMITTED, HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH ARRESTING HIM. HE POINTED THE DEFENDANT OUT TO THE POLICE OFFICER. HE DIDN'T COME UP TO THE POLICE OFFICER AND SAY "THIS IS THE MAN I SAW WITH THE GUN. I WANT YOU TO ARREST THIS MAN," OR ANYTHING SIMILAR.

I THINK IT'S CLEAR THAT THERE'S ABUNDANT CASE LAW IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA THAT IN A MISDEMEANOR
SITUATION THAT ONLY IF THE OFFENSE IS COMMITTED IN THE PRESENCE
OF THE ARRESTING OFFICER CAN THAT OFFICER MAKE THE ARREST. AND
IT'S OUR POSITION THAT BASED ON THE UNLAWFUL ARREST THAT ANY
EVIDENCE THAT'S TAINTED THEREBY IS SUPPRESSABLE. AND THAT'S
OUR POSITION, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: LET ME UNDERSTAND YOU.

OFFICER IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, WHO OBSERVED THE DEFENDANT COMMITTING AN OFFENSE. THIS PEACE OFFICER THEN CALLS OTHER PEACE OFFICERS, WHO RESPOND TO HIS CALL. THE FIRST PEACE OFFICER IS ATTEMPTING TO CONTINUE TO LOCATE THE DEFENDANT AFTER HE SAW HIM COMMIT THE OFFENSE THE FIRST TIME. THE OTHER OFFICERS, BASED UPON THE DESCRIPTION OF THE DEFENDANT, ACTUALLY MAKE THE ARREST. THERE IS NO INTERVENTION OF ANY OTHER PERSONS. IT'S POLICE OFFICER TO POLICE OFFICER TO DISPATCHER, WHO DISPATCHES THE OFFICERS TO THE SCENE, WHO IN TURN, ARREST THE DEFENDANT.

YOU ARE ARGUING THAT THE ARREST BY

FELLOW OFFICERS IS ILLEGAL BECAUSE THE FIRST OFFICER DIDN'T MAKE

THE ARREST; IS THAT YOUR ARGUMENT?

MR. COOPER: THAT'S ESSENTIALLY OUR POSITION,
YOUR HONOR, THAT THE LAW IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IS THAT ONLY
IF THE OFFENSE IS COMMITTED IN THE PRESENCE OF THE ARRESTING
OFFICER CAN THAT OFFICER MAKE THE ARREST. I DON'T THINK IT __

 THE OFFENSE WAS COMMITTED, IS THERE IF HE DOESN'T MAKE THE ARREST. THEN IT'S AN INVALID AND UNLAWFUL ARREST. THAT CLEARLY SEEMS TO BE THE STATUS OF THE LAW IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

THE COURT: WELL, I'M GOING TO RULE AGAINST YOU ON TWO GROUNDS, COUNSEL:

FIRST OF ALL, YOU COME A LITTLE LATE.

OBVIOUSLY YOU WERE AWARE OF A GOOD SHARE OF THE INFORMATION UPON WHICH TO BASE YOUR MOTION PRIOR TO TODAY. BUT IT WENT IN WITH-

WHICH TO BASE YOUR MOTION PRIOR TO TODAY. BUT IT WENT IN WITH-OUT OBJECTION. IT'S ALREADY IN BEFORE THE COURT AND BEFORE THE JURY, AND IT JUST COMES A LITTLE BIT LATE.

I DON'T FIND THAT THERE IS ANY GOVERNMENTAL OVERREACHING HERE. IT DOES APPEAR TO THIS COURT TO BE
A CONSISTENT EFFORT TO ARREST THIS DEFENDANT. THERE WAS NO
BREAK BETWEEN THE TIME OF THE FIRST APPEARANCE TO CONTINUED
POLICE ACTIVITY TO ARREST THE DEFENDANT. IT APPEARS TO BE
CONSTANT AND ONGOING IN THE TIME OF THE OBSERVATION TO THE TIME
OF THE ARREST.

BE RATHER LUDICROUS IF IN THIS SITUATION OTHER OFFICERS COULD NOT PURSUE A CRIMINAL DEFENDANT, WHO IS A PERSON CHARGED WITH A CRIME, IN TRYING TO ARREST HIM AND, THEREFORE, MAKE ANY EVIDENCE DISCOVERED INADMISSIBLE, PARTICULARLY WHERE YOU HAVE A CONTINU-

IT WOULD SEEM TO ME THAT THE LAW WOULD

OUS COURSE OF POLICE ACTIVITY TO ARREST THE DEFENDANT FROM THE TIME OF THE RECOGNITION OF THE CRIME UNTIL THE APPREHENSION.

YOUR ARGUMENT, OF COURSE, WOULD BE MUCH STRONGER IF THERE HAD BEEN A GAP OF TIME IN THIS CASE BETWEEN THE OBSERVATION AND THE ARREST. BUT IN THESE CIRCUMSTANCES AN OFFICER WHO SAW AND PUT INTO MOTION AN ARREST FOR A CRIME I THINK HAS THE RIGHT TO RELY UPON HIS FELLOW OFFICERS IN APPREMENDING THE DEFENDANT.

I DON'T BELIEVE THAT THE FOURTH AMEND.

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31 32 MENT WOULD APPLY IN THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THIS CASE. YOUR MOTIONS ARE DENIED.

MR. COOPER: YOUR HONOR, AS I INDICATED EARLIER, WE HAD ORIGINALLY FILED A MOTION TO SUPPRESS. THE BASIS OF WHICH IS DIFFERENT FROM THE POINTS AND AUTHORITIES THAT WE RAISED IN THIS PARTICULAR INSTANCE. AM I TO UNDERSTAND THAT THE COURT IS NOT --

THE COURT: I'M JUST RULING ON THE PARTICULAR --

MR. COOPER: ON THE PARTICULAR?

THE COURT: IN VIEW OF THE FACT THAT THE STATE HAS WITHDRAWN OR AT LEAST EXPLAINED THAT THEY DON'T INTEND TO OFFER ANY ORAL STATEMENTS IN ITS CASE IN CHIEF. WE WILL COME TO THAT ISSUE WHEN THE TIME IS RIGHT.

MR. COOPER: THANK YOU.

THE COURT: ARE WE NOW READY?

MR. SEATON: THE STATE IS READY, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: THE DEFENSE?

MR. COOPER: ALL RIGHT. I WILL CALL --

THE COURT: LET'S TAKE ABOUT A TEN MINUTE BREAK,

AND THEN WE WILL GET IN HERE THEN.

WE'LL BE IN RECESS.

(WHEREUPON, FROM 11:00 A.M. UNTIL 11:05 A.M., A RECESS WAS HAD IN THE PROCEEDINGS, AT THE CONCLUSION OF WHICH THE FOLLOWING WAS HAD:)

THE COURT: WILL COUNSEL STIPULATE TO THE PRES-

ENCE OF THE JURY?

MR. SEATON: YES, YOUR HONOR.

MR. COOPER: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS.

MR. SEATON: DAWANA THOMAS.

ļļ.		
1	THE COU	RT: COME FORWARD, PLEASE.
2	Y	OU HAVE BEEN PREVIOUSLY SWORN AND CON-
3	FIRMED IN THIS MATTER.	
4	THE WIT	NESS: YES, SIR.
5	THE COU	RT: YOU ARE STILL UNDER OATH.
6	Y	OU MAY PROCEED.
7	MR. SEA	TON: THANK YOU.
8		
9	DIRECT	EXAMINATION CONTINUED
10		
11	BY MR. SEATON:	ļ
12		·
13	Q +	ILL YOU STATE YOUR NAME?
14	A N	Y NAME IS DAWANA THOMAS.
15	Q +	ILL YOU SPELL THAT AGAIN?
16	A 0	-A-W-A-N-A.
17	Q	S IT MISS OR MRS.?
18	A +	NISS.
19	- Q /	ND MISS THOMAS, WHERE DO YOU REPSENTLY
20	L1VE?	
21	Α !	N TUSCON, ARIZONA.
22	Q t	HOW LONG HAVE YOU LIVED IN TUSCON, ARIZONA?
23	HOW LONG ALL TOGETHER	
24	A /	ALL TOGETHER?
25	Q ·	JH-НUН.
26	A /	ABOUT 12 YEARS.
27	Q	AND WAS THERE A TIME WHEN YOU LIVED IN LAS
28	VEGAS?	
29	Α	YES, SIR.
30	Q 1	WHEN DID YOU MOVE TO LAS VEGAS?
31	` `	WELL, IN NOVEMBER OF '79.
32	¢ .	AND DID YOU MOVE FROM TUSCON?
		-920-

. 1947

-921-

il				
1	A IT WAS AROUND THE END PART OF NOVEMBER OF			
3	'79. Q WAS THAT CLOSE IN TIME TO WHEN YOU GOT THE			
4	JOB?			
5	A YES.			
6	Q AT THE NEVADA CASIND?			
7	а ин-нин.			
8	Q DID YOU TALK TO HIM THAT DAY?			
9	A YES.			
10	Q AND AFTER THAT DID YOU HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY			
11	TO SPEND TIME WITH HIM AND BECOME FRIENDS?			
12	A YES. UH-HUH.			
13	Q DID HE AT THAT TIME TELL YOU WHAT HIS JOB			
14	WAS?			
15	A YES, HE DID.			
16	Q WHAT WAS IT?			
17	A HE WAS WORKING FOR THE			
18	MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD OBJECT AS TO			
19	RELEVANCY.			
20	MR. SEATON: IT MAY HAVE SOME FUTURE RELEVANCE,			
21	YOUR HONOR. IT WILL JUST TAKE A MOMENT.			
22	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. OVERRULED.			
23				
24 25	BY MR. SEATON:			
26	THE OHESTION DO YOU KNOW			
27	OR WHAT WAS THE WHAT DID THE DEFENDANT TELL YOU HIS JOB WAS?			
28	A HE WAS A DANCER FOR THE BROADWAY PRODUCTION			
29	SHOW FROM NEW YORK.			
30	Q WERE THEY PLAYING HERE?			
31	A YES.			
32	Q AS FAR AS YOU KNEW?			
•	-922-			

SHowar				
<u>r</u>	1	A	YES.	
П П	2	Q	DID YOU EVER SEE HIM IN THAT SHOW?	
520	3	A	NO.	
2	4	Q	NOW, YOU MET HIM IN NOVEMBER OF '79. IN	
	5	FEBRUARY OF 1980 DID	YOU TAKE A TRIP WITH THE DEFENDANT SAMUEL	
s	6	HOWARD?		
·	7	A	YES.	
<i>:</i>	8	Q	AND WHERE DID YOU GO ON THAT TRIP?	
±	9	A	TO NEW YORK.	
	10	Q	IS THAT NEW YORK CITY?	
?	11	A	YES, NEW YORK CITY.	
	12	Q	AND FOR HOW LONG A PERIOD OF TIME WERE YOU	
: :	13	THERE?		
:	14	A	OH, I'D SAY ABOUT THREE WEEKS.	
; ; 5.	15	Q	AND HOW DID YOU GET TO NEW YORK CITY FROM	
i	16	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, I	N FEBRUARY OF 1980, WITH THE DEFENDANT?	
:	17	A	IN A VAN. WE DROVE UP THERE IN A VAN.	
:	18	Q	AND AFTER THE THREE-WEEK PERIOD OF TIME	
€	19	THAT YOU SPENT IN NE	W YORK CITY, DID YOU LATER RETURN? DID YOU	
•	20	COME BACK OUT WEST?		
:	21	A	YES, I DID.	
<u>:</u>	22	Q	WHERE DID YOU GO?	,
:	23	A	I WENT HOME TO MY MOTHER'S HOUSE.	
	24	Q	WHERE IS THAT?	
;	25	A	IN TUSCON, ARIZONA.	
	26 27	Q	AND DID YOU GO BY YOURSELF?	•
<u>:</u>	28	A	YES. ME AND MY TWO KIDS.	
<u>;</u>	29	Q	DID THEY COMEWITH YOU FROM NEW YORK CITY?	
	30	A	YES. UH-HUH.	•
<u>.</u>	31	Q	WHAT ABOUT SAM HOWARD?	
•	32	A	HE STAYED AT NEW YORK. ALL RIGHT. DID YOU SEE SAM HOWARD AGAIN?	
	.	Q		1950
	ļ		-923-	. , , ,

Q

AND WHO ELSE WAS PRESENT WITH YOU AND SAM

CAN YOU TELL US IN RELATIONSHIP TO THE DAY 1954

Q

11	
1	OF MARCH THE 24TH, WHEN YOU WENT TO THE DENTIST'S OFFICE, WHEN
2	YOU AND SAM HOWARD WENT TO THE SEARS STORE, WAS IT BEFORE OR
3	AFTER THAT TIME AND HOW MANY DAYS?
4	A WELL, A COUPLE OF DAYS AFTER.
5	Q A COUPLE OF DAYS AFTER?
6	A UH-HUH.
7	Q THEN
8	A I'LL SAY A DAY OR THE NEXT DAY.
9	Q ALL'RIGHT.
10	A I WASN'T JUST MAYBE A DAY OR TWO RIGHT
11	AFTER.
12	THE COURT: YOU'LL HAVE TO SPEAK UP.
13	THE WITNESS: OH, MAYBE A DAY OR TWO AFTER.
14	
15	BY MR. SEATON:
16	Q THANK YOU.
17	AND WHERE WERE YOU BEFORE GOING TO
17 18	AND WHERE WERE YOU BEFORE GOING TO SEARS ON THAT DAY?
1	
18	SEARS ON THAT DAY?
18 	SEARS ON THAT DAY? A BEFORE GOING TO SEARS?
18 19 20	SEARS ON THAT DAY? A BEFORE GOING TO SEARS? Q YES.
18 19 20 21	SEARS ON THAT DAY? A BEFORE GOING TO SEARS? Q YES. A IN THE IN THE KITCHENETTE MOTEL OVER THERE OFF OF BOULDER HIGHWAY. Q AND WHAT WERE YOUR PLANS WITH REGARD TO
18 19 20 21 22	SEARS ON THAT DAY? A BEFORE GOING TO SEARS? Q YES. A IN THE IN THE KITCHENETTE MOTEL OVER THERE OFF OF BOULDER HIGHWAY.
18 19 20 21 22 23	SEARS ON THAT DAY? A BEFORE GOING TO SEARS? Q YES. A IN THE IN THE KITCHENETTE MOTEL OVER THERE OFF OF BOULDER HIGHWAY. Q AND WHAT WERE YOUR PLANS WITH REGARD TO STAYING IN THAT MOTEL OFF BOULDER HIGHWAY?
18 19 20 21 22 23	SEARS ON THAT DAY? A BEFORE GOING TO SEARS? Q YES. A IN THE IN THE KITCHENETTE MOTEL OVER THERE OFF OF BOULDER HIGHWAY. Q AND WHAT WERE YOUR PLANS WITH REGARD TO STAYING IN THAT MOTEL OFF BOULDER HIGHWAY?
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	SEARS ON THAT DAY? A BEFORE GOING TO SEARS? Q YES. A IN THE IN THE KITCHENETTE MOTEL OVER THERE OFF OF BOULDER HIGHWAY. Q AND WHAT WERE YOUR PLANS WITH REGARD TO STAYING IN THAT MOTEL OFF BOULDER HIGHWAY? A WELL, WE DIDN'T HAVE ANY MONEY. SO THE
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	SEARS ON THAT DAY? A BEFORE GOING TO SEARS? Q YES. A IN THE IN THE KITCHENETTE MOTEL OVER THERE OFF OF BOULDER HIGHWAY. Q AND WHAT WERE YOUR PLANS WITH REGARD TO STAYING IN THAT MOTEL OFF BOULDER HIGHWAY? A WELL, WE DIDN'T HAVE ANY MONEY. SO THE PLANS WAS TO LEAVE THE MOTEL AND TO GET SOME SOME MONEY.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	SEARS ON THAT DAY? A BEFORE GOING TO SEARS? Q YES. A IN THE IN THE KITCHENETTE MOTEL OVER THERE OFF OF BOULDER HIGHWAY. Q AND WHAT WERE YOUR PLANS WITH REGARD TO STAYING IN THAT MOTEL OFF BOULDER HIGHWAY? A WELL, WE DIDN'T HAVE ANY MONEY. SO THE PLANS WAS TO LEAVE THE MOTEL AND TO GET SOME SOME MONEY. Q DID YOU PACK YOUR BAGS OR YOUR BELONGINGS?
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	SEARS ON THAT DAY? A BEFORE GOING TO SEARS? Q YES. A IN THE IN THE KITCHENETTE MOTEL OVER THERE OFF OF BOULDER HIGHWAY. Q AND WHAT WERE YOUR PLANS WITH REGARD TO STAYING IN THAT MOTEL OFF BOULDER HIGHWAY? A WELL, WE DIDN'T HAVE ANY MONEY. SO THE PLANS WAS TO LEAVE THE MOTEL AND TO GET SOME SOME MONEY. Q DID YOU PACK YOUR BAGS OR YOUR BELONGINGS? A YES. UH-HUH.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	SEARS ON THAT DAY? A BEFORE GOING TO SEARS? Q YES. A IN THE IN THE KITCHENETTE MOTEL OVER THERE OFF OF BOULDER HIGHWAY. Q AND WHAT WERE YOUR PLANS WITH REGARD TO STAYING IN THAT MOTEL OFF BOULDER HIGHWAY? A WELL, WE DIDN'T HAVE ANY MONEY. SO THE PLANS WAS TO LEAVE THE MOTEL AND TO GET SOME SOME MONEY. Q DID YOU PACK YOUR BAGS OR YOUR BELONGINGS? A YES. UH-HUH. Q CAN YOU RECALL WHAT TIME OF DAY IT WAS
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	SEARS ON THAT DAY? A BEFORE GOING TO SEARS? Q YES. A IN THE IN THE KITCHENETTE MOTEL OVER THERE OFF OF BOULDER HIGHWAY. Q AND WHAT WERE YOUR PLANS WITH REGARD TO STAYING IN THAT MOTEL OFF BOULDER HIGHWAY? A WELL, WE DIDN'T HAVE ANY MONEY. SO THE PLANS WAS TO LEAVE THE MOTEL AND TO GET SOME SOME MONEY. Q DID YOU PACK YOUR BAGS OR YOUR BELONGINGS? A YES. UH-HUH. Q CAN YOU RECALL WHAT TIME OF DAY IT WAS WHEN YOU WENT TO THE BOULEVARD MALL?

-928-

- 1	
1	Q DO YOU RECALL DO YOU RECALL WHO WAS
2	DRIVING THE CAR?
3	A SAM WAS.
4	Q AND WHERE DID YOU DRIVE THE CAR TO SPECI-
5	FICALLY?
6	A HE DROVE IT TO THE THE BOULEVARD MALL.
7	DO I DO I GIVE DIRECTIONS OR JUST SAY WHERE HE DROVE IT?
8	Q IF YOU CAN.
9	A OKAY. HE DROVE IT TO THE BOULEVARD MALL
10	AND WE WAS PARKED IN THE WELL, THE WEST PARKING LOT.
11	Q THE WEST PARKING LOT?
12	A IT WAS YEAH. RIGHT.
13	Q ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE BOULEVARD MALL?
14	A NOT ALL OF IT. JUST WHERE JUST THAT
15	SECTION THAT I WAS IN.
16	Q QKAY. DO YOU KNOW WHAT STORE YOU WERE
17	NEAR IN THAT SECTION THAT YOU WERE IN?
18	A WELL, I WAS NEAR THE SEARS STORE. SEARS
19	IS PRETTY LONG. 50-1 WAS MORE NEAR THE SEARS PART THAN ANY-
20	THING ELSE.
21	MR. SEATON: MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR
22	HONOR?
23	THE COURT: YOU MAY.
24	·
25	BY MR. SEATON:
26	
27	Q MISS THOMAS, I WOULD LIKE TO SHOW YOU
28	WHAT'S BEEN INTRODUCED INTO EVIDENCE AS STATE'S EXHIBITS 60
29	THROUGH 63. WOULD YOU TAKE A MOMENT AND LOOK AT EACH OF THOSE
30	EXHIBITS. AND AFTER YOU'RE FINISHED TELL ME IF YOU'RE ABLE TO
31	RECOGNIZE THE AUTOMOBILE DEPICTED IN STATE'S EXHIBITS 60 THROUGH

63.

ŀ	
1	A YES. THIS WAS SAM'S CAR.
2	Q DO YOU KNOW WHAT KIND OF CAR THIS WAS THAT
3	IS SHOWN IN 60 THROUGH 63?
4	A IT'S AN OLDSMOBILE. I THINK IT'S A 1980
5	OLDSMOBILE CUTLASS.
6	Q THANK YOU.
7	WHEN YOU GOT TO THE PARKING LOT IN THE
8	BOULEVARD MALL NEAR THE SEARS STORE, CAN YOU RECALL HOW SAM
9	HOWARD WAS DRESSED?
10	A HE HAD ON SOME NEW WHITE TENNIS SHOES,
11	SNEAKERS; AND BLUE JEANS; AND A CHECKERED SHIRT; AND A BASEBALL
12	CAP; A SUEDE JACKET.
13	Q DO YOU KNOW IF HE WORE ANY JEWELRY?
14	A THAT DAY?
15	Q YES.
16	A NO, I DON'T REMEMBER IF HE WORE JEWELRY
17	THAT DAY.
18	Q LET ME SHOW YOU WHAT'S BEEN MARKED AS
19	STATE'S EXHIBIT 40-A, AND ASK IF YOU WOULD LOOK AT THAT ITEM
20	AND TELL ME IF YOU RECOGNIZE IT.
21	A YES, THIS IS PART OF HIS JEWELRY.
22	Q PART OF WHOSE JEWELRY?
23	A SAM HOWARD'S JEWELRY.
24	Q DO YOU KNOW WHETHER OR NOT SAM HOWARD WAS
25	WEARING STATE'S EXHIBIT 40-A ON THAT DAY BY THE SEARS STORE?
26	A NO, I DON'T. NO.
27	Q WELL, MAYBE I SHOULD STAY UP HERE FOR A
28	MOMENT.
29	LET ME SHOW YOU WHAT'S BEEN MARKED AS
30	STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 44-A. WOULD YOU HOLD THAT AND OPEN IT,
31	LOOK IT OVER, FRONT AND BACK, AND TELL US IF YOU ARE ABLE TO
32	RECOGNIZE THAT PARTICULAR JACKET.

- []	
1	A YES. THIS IS SAM HOWARD'S JACKET.
2	Q AND DO YOU KNOW IF SAM HOWARD WAS WEARING
3	THIS JACKET, MARKED AS STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 44-A, ON THAT
4	PARTICULAR DAY AT THE SEARS STORE?
5	A YES. UH YES. UH-HUH.
6	Q DO YOU HAVE A LEATHER JACKET DID YOU
7	HAVE A LEATHER JACKET
8	A YES.
9	Q (CONTINUING) AT THAT TIME?
10	A UH-HUH.
11	Q LET ME SHOW YOU WHAT'S BEEN MARKED AS
12	STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 44-B, AND ASK IF YOU WOULD SIMILARLY
13	EXAMINE THAT EXHIBIT AND TELL US WHETHER OR NOT YOU RECOGNIZE
14	1T.
15	A YES. THIS IS THE COAT THAT SAM GAVE ME.
16	Q COULD YOU SPEAK UP CLEARLY FOR US.
17	A OH, THIS IS THE COAT THAT SAM GAVE ME.
18	Q I SEE.
- 19	DID YOU OWN THIS COAT IN MARCH, AROUND
20	MARCH 26TH, 1980?
21	A YES, UH-HUH.
22	Q LET ME SHOW YOU WHAT'S BEEN MARKED AS
23	STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 44-C, AND AGAIN I WILL ASK YOU TO
24	EXAMINE THAT PARTICULAR EXHIBIT AND TELL US IF YOU RECOGNIZE IT.
25 26	A YES. THIS IS HIS CAP.
27	Q SAM'S CAP?
28	A UH-HUH.
29	Q IS THIS THE CAP THAT SAM WAS WEARING AT
30	THE SEARS STORE ON THAT PARTICULAR DAY?
31	A I CAN'T REMEMBER IF THIS WAS THE CAP, BUT
32	I KNOW THAT HE HAD A CAP ON. O DID SAM HOWARD OWN ANY OTHER CAPS SIMILAR
	-
	-931-

- 11		
1	TO STATE'S EXHIBIT 44-C?	
2	A YES. HE AND MY SON HAD BASEBALL CAPS.	
3	Q WHILE YOU WERE IN THE PARKING LOT AT SEA	٦RS,
4	DID EITHER OF YOU GET OUT OF THE CAR?	
5	A YES. HE DID.	
6	Q SAM DID?	
7	A YES. SAM DID.	
8	Q AND DID HE TAKE ANYTHING OUT OF THE CAR	
9	WITH HIM?	
10	A YES.	
11	Q WHAT WAS IT?	
12	A MY TRAVELING BAG.	
13	Q WOULD YOU DESCRIBE THAT TRAVELING BAG FO	٦R
14	US?	
15	A IT'S BROWN, PLAIN SHOULDER BAG, HAS A	
16	COUPLE OF POUCHES, ONE ON THE OUTSIDE OPENING AND THEN YOU Z	ÌΡ
17	IT UP, AND THERE'S AN OPENING IN THERE WHERE YOU COULD PUT	
18	STUFF IN.	
19	Q WHEN HE TOOK THE BAG FROM THE CAR, DID	ΙT
20	HAVE ANY OBJECTS IN IT?	
21	A YES, SOME OF MY PERSONAL ARTICLES.	
22	Q WHAT SORT OF THINGS DID YOU HAVE IN THE	
23	BAG?	
24	A I HAD THINGS LIKE TOOTHPASTE AND	
25	MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, I'M GOING TO OBJECT	TO
.26	RELEVANCY.	
27	- MR. SEATON: WE'LL MOVE ON, YOUR HONOR.	
28	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.	
29		
30	BY MR. SEATON:	
31 32		_
34	Q WHAT HAPPENED, MISS THOMAS, TO THE ITEM	>
	-932-	

ľ			
1	THAT YOU HAD IN THE	BAG?	
2	Α	HE DUMPED THEM OUT.	
3	Q	SAM DUMPED THEM OUT?	
4	A	ин-нин.	
5	Q	WHERE DID HE DUMP THEM OUT?	
6	A	IN THE CAR IN THE BACKSEAT.	
7	Q	AND THEN WHAT DID HE DO WITH THE BAG AFTER	
8	DUMPING THE CONTENTS	OF THE BAG OUT INTO THE BACKSEAT OF THE	
9	CAR?		
10	A	HE HE TOOK THE TOOK THE BAG AND WENT	
11	WALKING TOWARDS THE	MALL.	
12	Q	DID YOU SEE HOW HE CARRIED THE BAG?	
13	A	ON HIS SHOULDER.	
14	Q	DID IT HAVE A SHOULDER STRAP?	
15	А	ин-нин.	
16	Q	DO YOU KNOW WHETHER OR NOT SAM HOWARD AT	
17	THAT TIME, THAT IS I	N THE LATTER PART OF MARCH, 1980, OWNED A	
18	PISTOL?		
19	. A	YES.	
20	Q	AND DID HE HAVE A PISTOL WITH HIM ON THAT	
21	DAY?		
22	A .	UH-HUH, YES.	
23	Q	WHERE DID HE TYPICALLY KEEP THE PISTOL WHEN	
24	HE HAD IT ON HIS PER	RSON?	
25	Α `	HE USUALLY KEPT IT ON EITHER HIS SIDE OR	
26	IN BACK OF HIM.		
27	Q	HOW WOULD HE CARRY IT ON HIS SIDE OR IN	
28	BACK OF HIM?		1
29	, A	IN HIS BELT.	
30	Q	INSIDE OF HIS BELT?	
31	A	UH-HUH.	
32	Q	AND HAD YOU SEEN THAT GUN BEFORE?	1959
		-933-	

1	A YES.
2	Q MISS THOMAS, LET ME NOW SHOW YOU WHAT'S
3	BEEN MARKED AS STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 31-B.
4	THE RECORD SHOULD SHOW THAT I'VE OPENED
5	THE GUN AND IT 15 CLEAN.
6	WOULD YOU HOLD 1T?
7	A I DON'T TOUCH IT.
8	Q I'LL HOLD THE GUN. CAN YOU, AS I SHOW YOU
9	THIS WEAPON, TELL ME IF YOU'RE ABLE TO RECOGNIZE IT AS THE
10	WEAPON SIMILAR TO THE ONE YOU'VE SEEN BEFORE?
11	A YES, UH-HUH.
12	Q WHERE HAVE YOU SEEN THIS WEAPON BEFORE?
13	A WITH SAM.
14	Q 1'M SORRY.
15	A WITH SAM.
16	Q IS THERE A WAY THAT YOU LOOK AT THIS AND
17	1T CAUSES YOU TO RECOGNIZE IT?
18	A YES.
19	Q WHAT ABOUT IT IS SIMILAR?
20	A 'CUZ HE TOLD ME THAT IT WAS A SNUB-NOSE
21	.357357 SNUB-NOSE, AND IT HAD THAT (INDICATING). 1
22	REMEMBER THIS RED LITTLE DOT UP AT THE TOP.
23	Q ON THE SIDE?
24	A UH-HUH. YES, SIR.
25	Q DO YOU RECALL WHETHER OR NOT THE WEAPON
26	THAT YOU SEEN BEFORE HAD WHAT KIND OF HANDLES IT HAD?
27	A THAT I DON'T UNDERSTAND.
28	Q ARE THE HANDLES ON THIS WEAPON SIMILAR TO
29 30	THE HANDLES ON THE WEAPON THAT SAM HOWARD
31	A YEAH. THAT'S THAT'S THE GUN.
32	Q (CONTINUING) HAD? OKAY.
	LET ME SHOW YOU WHAT'S BEEN MARKED AS
	-934-

31

32

1961

DID YOU EVER SEE THOSE BULLETS BEFORE?

HAVE I EVER SEEN THE BULLETS BEFORE? OH, _

UH-HUH.

Q

Q

Q

29

31

32

SHOULDER?

_			
1 (9	٨	7

UH-HUH.

(CONTINUING) -- THAT GOES OVER THE

DID YOU SEE ANYTHING OTHER THAN THAT IN

1	HIS HANDS WHEN HE ENTERED THE CAR?
2	A YES. I SAW I SAW THE THE GUN. HE
3	HAD THE GUN.
4	Q AND DID YOU NOTICE ANYTHING SIGNIFICANT
5	ABOUT THE GUN?
6	A YEAH.
7	Q WHAT WAS THAT?
8	A IT WAS COCKED.
9	Q WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY "IT WAS COCKED"?
10	A I CALLED IT COCKED
11	Q LET ME GET THE GUN
12	A (CONTINUING) BECAUSE THE THING
13	Q (CONTINUING) GET THE GUN AND WE'LL SEE
14	WHAT YOU MEAN.
15	A OKAY.
16	Q IF YOU DON'T WANT TO HOLD THE GUN, TELL
17	ME
18	A NO.
19	Q (CONTINUING) IF YOU WOULD, INTO THE
20	MICROPHONE, HOW YOU MEAN THE GUN WAS COCKED.
21	A THE THIS WAS PULLED BACK.
22	Q IN THAT POSITION (INDICATING)?
23	A YEAH.
24	MR. SEATON: THE RECORD SHOULD REFLECT, YOUR
25	HONOR, THAT WHEN THE WITNESS SAID THAT, I PULLED THE HAMMER
26	BACK ON THE GUN AND IT REMAINED IN A OPEN, WHAT WE KNOW AS A
27	COCKED POSITION.
28	THE COURT: THE RECORD MAY SO SHOW.
29	MR. SEATON: AND I AGAIN CLOSED THE HAMMER.
30	
31	••
32	

FROM THE PARKING LOT?

-939-

YOU WERE IN THE CAR THERE AND THE WHITE CORVETTE WAS --

A YES. Q WHAT HAPPENED AFTER THAT? A THEN THE MAN JUST HIS EYES GOT REAL BIG AND HE JUST DROVE OFF. Q AND WHAT DID SAM DO? A SAM DROVE OFF, TOO. Q AND WHERE DID YOU DRIVE TO AT THAT TIME? A I THINK THE STREET WAS OFF ON TWAIN AND WE WENT TO THE TOWARDS THE STRIP AT THE CASTAWAYS. Q DID YOU SEE THE WHITE CORVETTE AGAIN? A NO.	
A YES. Q WHAT HAPPENED AFTER THAT? A THEN THE MAN JUST HIS EYES GOT REAL BIG AND HE JUST DROVE OFF. Q AND WHAT DID SAM DO? A SAM DROVE OFF, TOO. Q AND WHERE DID YOU DRIVE TO AT THAT TIME? A I THINK THE STREET WAS OFF ON TWAIN AND WE WENT TO THE TOWARDS THE STRIP AT THE CASTAWAYS. Q DID YOU SEE THE WHITE CORVETTE AGAIN? A NO.	
Q WHAT HAPPENED AFTER THAT? A THEN THE MAN JUST HIS EYES GOT REAL BIG AND HE JUST DROVE OFF. Q AND WHAT DID SAM DO? A SAM DROVE OFF, TOO. Q AND WHERE DID YOU DRIVE TO AT THAT TIME? A I THINK THE STREET WAS OFF ON TWAIN AND WE WENT TO THE TOWARDS THE STRIP AT THE CASTAWAYS. Q DID YOU SEE THE WHITE CORVETTE AGAIN? A NO.	
A THEN THE MAN JUST HIS EYES GOT REAL BIG AND HE JUST DROVE OFF. Q AND WHAT DID SAM DO? A SAM DROVE OFF, TOO. Q AND WHERE DID YOU DRIVE TO AT THAT TIME? A I THINK THE STREET WAS OFF ON TWAIN AND WE WENT TO THE TOWARDS THE STRIP AT THE CASTAWAYS. Q DID YOU SEE THE WHITE CORVETTE AGAIN? A NO.	
AND HE JUST DROVE OFF. Q AND WHAT DID SAM DO? A SAM DROVE OFF, TOO. Q AND WHERE DID YOU DRIVE TO AT THAT TIME? A I THINK THE STREET WAS OFF ON TWAIN AND WE WENT TO THE TOWARDS THE STRIP AT THE CASTAWAYS. Q DID YOU SEE THE WHITE CORVETTE AGAIN? A NO.	
A SAM DROVE OFF, TOO. Q AND WHERE DID YOU DRIVE TO AT THAT TIME? A I THINK THE STREET WAS OFF ON TWAIN AND WE WENT TO THE TOWARDS THE STRIP AT THE CASTAWAYS. Q DID YOU SEE THE WHITE CORVETTE AGAIN? A NO.	
Q AND WHERE DID YOU DRIVE TO AT THAT TIME? A I THINK THE STREET WAS OFF ON TWAIN AND WE WENT TO THE TOWARDS THE STRIP AT THE CASTAWAYS. Q DID YOU SEE THE WHITE CORVETTE AGAIN? A NO.	
A I THINK THE STREET WAS OFF ON TWAIN AND WE WENT TO THE TOWARDS THE STRIP AT THE CASTAWAYS. Q DID YOU SEE THE WHITE CORVETTE AGAIN? A NO.	
WENT TO THE TOWARDS THE STRIP AT THE CASTAWAYS. Q DID YOU SEE THE WHITE CORVETTE AGAIN? A NO.	
Q DID YOU SEE THE WHITE CORVETTE AGAIN? A NO.	
- 11 A NO.	
- 11 A NO.	
§	
Q AND DID YOU, IN FACT, GO ON TWAIN TOWARDS	
13 THE STRIP?	
A YES.	
Q AND DID YOU DRIVE INTO THE CASTAWAYS PARK-	
16 ING LOT?	
A YES.	
Q YOU STATED EARLIER THAT, AND CORRECT ME IF	
19 I'M WRONG, IT WAS EITHER 11:00 OR I1:30 THAT YOU THOUGHT YOU	
ORIGINALLY HAD GONE TO SEARS. WHICH WAS IT, CAN YOU RECALL?	
WHEN DID YOU ORIGINALLY GET TO SEARS? WHAT TIME WAS IT?	
A ABOUT 11:30, 12:00.	
Q OKAY.	
DO YOU HAVE ANY IDEA WHAT TIME IT	
25 WOULD HAVE BEEN WHEN YOU GOT TO THE CASTAWAYS PARKING LOT?	
WILEKE DIS 100 CO.	
WE STILL TACKING ABOUT THE ISSUE OF THE ISSU	
Q WHERE DID YOU GO IN THAT AUTOMOBILE IN THE	
32 A IN THE PARKING LOT.	
-944-	

SHOF			
ር ር 	1	Q AND DID YOU PARK THERE?	
TO ITI IT	2	A YES.	
5 2 5 2	3	Q DID YOU AND SAM HOWARD HAVE A CONVERSATION	
w	4	AT THAT TIME?	
	5	A YES, SIR.	
	6	Q WHAT DID WHAT DID YOU TALK ABOUT?	
	7	A WELL, I ASKED HIM WHY WHAT HAPPENED?	
	8	YOU KNOW, YOU KNOW, LIKE WHAT DID YOU DO?	
	9	AND THEN HE TOLD ME THAT HE WENT IN	
	10	Q THAT HE WENT IN WHERE?	
	11	A TO SEARS, AND HE WAS GETTING SOME NOT	
	12	PICKING UP SOME KNIVES, CUTLERY SET.	
	13	Q UH-HUH.	
	14	A AND THAT HE TOOK IT UP TO THE COUNTER AND	
	15	HE TRIED TO GET A REFUND. HE GOT A REFUND. AND HE HE SAID	
	16	THAT WHEN HE WENT TOWARDS THE DOOR THEN A MAN, A DETECTIVE OR	
	17	SOMETHING, HAD STOPPED HIM AND THEY WERE TALKING AND HE TOLD	
	18	H1M	
	19	Q STOPPED SAM?	
	20	A YES, STOPPED SAM.	· <u> </u>
	21	Q ALL RIGHT.	
	22	A AND HE TOLD HIM THAT THEY WERE GOING TO	
	23	GO DOWNSTAIRS AND HE TO SEARCH HIM.	
	24	SO HE SAID THAT SAM SAID THAT TWO	
	25	MEN HE CALLED TWO OTHER GUYS, ONE, YOU KNOW BE BIG FAT GUY AND	
	26	ANOTHER SECURITY GUY; AND THEY ALL WENT DOWN	
	27	Q UH-HUH.	•
	28	A (CONTINUING) STAIRS TO THE ROOM.	
	29	Q DID SAM TO A ROOM?	
	30	A YEAH.	
	31	Q DID SAM SAY ANYTHING ABOUT WHAT HE WAS	
	32	TELLING THESE PEOPLE, GIVING THEM SOME EXPLANATION?	1.97
		-945-	

Q

32

1972

POINTING THE GUN AT THE THREE INDIVIDUALS IN THE ROOM WITH HIM.

the dealers of the three sections and the control of the control of the section of the section of the control of the

ļi	
1	Q OKAY. DID HE SHOW YOU ANYTHING WHILE YOU
2	WERE SITTING IN THE CAR AT THE CASTAWAYS HOTEL HAVING THIS CON-
3	VERSATION ABOUT WHAT HAD OCCURRED IN THE SEARS STORE?
4	A HE SHOWED ME THE WALKIE-TALKIE AND THE
5	BADGE.
6	Q WHICH WALKIE-TALKIE AND BADGE?
7	A THAT HE HAD TOOK FROM THE SECURITY GUARD.
8	Q I SHOW YOU NOW WHAT'S BEEN MARKED AS STATE'S
9	EXHIBIT 24-8, AND I'LL ASK YOU TO LOOK THAT OVER CAREFULLY AND
10	TELL US WHETHER OR NOT YOU RECOGNIZE THAT AS THE RADIO THAT THE
11	DEFENDANT HAD IN THE CAR AFTER HE RAN OUT OF THE SEARS STORE
12	WITH HIM?
13	A YES, THIS IS THE RADIO.
14	Q LET ME SHOW YOU WHAT'S BEEN MARKED AS
15	STATE'S EXHIBIT 24-A. I ASK YOU TO LOOK IT OVER AND TELL US IF
16	YOU ARE ABLE TO RECOGNIZE THAT AS THE BADGE THAT THE DEFENDANT
17	TOLD YOU HE HAD TAKEN FROM THE SEARS SECURITY GUARD THAT DAY.
18	A YES. THIS IS THE BADGE.
- 19	Q - DOES IT APPEAR TO BE THE SAME?
20	A YES, UH-HUH, BECAUSE IT WAS A SEARS
21	Q THANK YOU.
22	A (CONTINUING) BADGE.
23	Q DID THERE COME A TIME WHEN YOU GOT OUT OF
24	THE AUTOMOBILE THERE AT THE CASTAWAYS PARKING LOT?
25	A YES.
26	Q HOW MUCH TIME DO YOU THINK WENT BY FROM THE
27	TIME YOU GOT TO THE PARKING LOT UNTIL YOU GOT OUT OF THE CAR
28	THAT FIRST TIME?
29	A ABOUT 30, 45 MINUTES.
30	Q AND WHEN YOU GOT OUT, DID BOTH OF YOU GET
31	OUT?
32	A YES, UH-HUH.

-949-

-951-

というこのは、こののかないは、まるの情報を必要なからないを一年、またはなるをからなるないなす

大丁の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日である

11							
1	A YES, THE PIMP WOULD BE ALONE THEN.						
2	Q WOULD BE ALONE?						
3	A YES.						
4	Q AND DID HE TELL YOU WHAT HIS PLANS WERE						
5	WHEN HE MET THE PIMP THE NEXT MORNING WHEN THE PIMP WAS ALONE?						
6	A TO ROB HIM.						
7	Q AND AS A MATTER OF FACT DID YOU TAKE SAM						
8	HOWARD SOMEPLACE THE NEXT MORNING?						
9	A YES.						
10	Q AND DID HE INDICATE TO YOU WHETHER OR NOT						
11	AT THAT TIME HE WAS PLANNING ON SEEING THE PIMP?						
12	A YES.						
13	Q WHAT WAS WHAT DID HE SAY ABOUT THAT?						
14	A HE JUST SAID THAT THIS IS THE DAY THAT WILL						
15	MAKE ME OR BREAK ME, AND THAT AM I TALKING TOO LOW? THIS						
16	IS THE DAY THAT IT WOULD MAKE HIM OR BREAK HIM AND THAT HE WAS						
17	GOING TO SEE THE PIMP.						
18	Q DID HE SAY HE WAS GOING TO ROB THE PIMP						
19	THAT MORNING WHILE YOU WERE WITH HIM IN THE MORNING?						
20	A YES. YES.						
21	MR. SEATON: COURT'S INDULGENCE.						
22	THE COURT: COUNSEL, WE'LL BE IN RECESS IN THIS						
23	MATTER UNTIL 1:30 THIS AFTERNOON.						
24	••						
25							
26 27	••						
28	••						
29	••						
30	••						
31	••						
32							
	••						

LAS	VEGAS,	NEVADA,	WEDNESDA	Y, APRIL 20,	1983, AT	1:50 P.M.
		* *	20 30 34 34	M M		

(WHEREUPON, FROM 12:00 A.M.

UNTIL 1:50 P.M., THE NOON

RECESS WAS HAD IN THE PROCEED
INGS; AT THE CONCLUSION OF

WHICH THE FOLLOWING PROCEED
INGS WERE HAD OUTSIDE THE

PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)

THE COURT: LET THE RECORD REFLECT THIS IS A HEARING OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY.

COUNSEL.

MR. SEATON: WE WOULD RECALL --

THE COURT: DID YOU FINISH WITH YOUR EXAMINATION?

MR. SEATON: NO, YOUR HONOR, I DID NOT.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. IF YOU WILL.

MR. SEATON: NO, YOUR HONOR, I DID NOT. I RECALL

DAWANA THOMAS TO THE STAND.

JUST BE SEATED. YOU'RE STILL UNDER OATH.

BY MR. SEATON:

Q MISS THOMAS, THE DAY AFTER THE INCIDENT AT SEARS, WHICH I WOULD REFER TO NOW, DO YOU RECALL WAKING UP THAT MORNING?

A YES.

Q WHERE WERE YOU STAYING THAT MORNING, THAT NIGHT, EXCUSE ME.

A AT THE BEST WESTERN OFF OF BOULDER HIGHWAY.

Q DO YOU KNOW WHAT CROSS STREET IS CLOSE TO

THE BEST WESTERN MOTEL?

32

1							
1	MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, 1'M GOING TO OBJECT.						
2	THIS IS BEYOND THE SCOPE OF THE HEARING WHICH I THOUGHT WAS ABOU						
3	PRIOR						
4	MR. SEATON: ABOUT WHAT?						
5	MR. FRANZEN: PRIOR EVENING.						
6	MR. SEATON: WELL, YOUR HONOR, THE TESIMONY IS						
7	GOING TO SHOW THAT THERE WILL BE MORE REFERENCES TO THE PIMP AND						
8	THE ROBBERY THAT WAS GOING TO TAKE PLACE AND THE ROBBERY.						
9	THE COURT: IT'S STILL CONNECTED IN THAT VEIN?						
10	MR. SEATON: IT IS CONNECTED IN THAT VEIN, YES,						
11	SIR.						
12	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOUR OBJECTION IS OVER-						
13	RULED.						
14	MR. SEATON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.						
15							
16	BY MR. SEATON:						
17							
18	Q THE BEST WESTERN MOTEL IS LOCATED NEAR						
19-	BOULDER HIGHWAY AND WHAT ROAD?						
20	A DESERT INN.						
. 21							
21	Q AND DID YOU STAY THERE THE EVENING OF THE						
22	Q AND DID YOU STAY THERE THE EVENING OF THE INCIDENT AT SEARS?						
	·						
22	INCIDENT AT SEARS?						
22 23	INCIDENT AT SEARS? A YES:						
22 23 24	INCIDENT AT SEARS? A YES: Q AND CAN YOU RECALL AT WHAT TIME YOU WOKE UP						
22 23 24 25	INCIDENT AT SEARS? A YES: Q AND CAN YOU RECALL AT WHAT TIME YOU WOKE UP THAT MORNING?						
22 23 24 25 26	INCIDENT AT SEARS? A YES: Q AND CAN YOU RECALL AT WHAT TIME YOU WOKE UP THAT MORNING? A OH, ABOUT 6:00 O'CLOCK IN THE MORNING.						
22 23 24 25 26 27	INCIDENT AT SEARS? A YES: Q AND CAN YOU RECALL AT WHAT TIME YOU WOKE UP THAT MORNING? A OH, ABOUT 6:00 O'CLOCK IN THE MORNING. Q AND DID SAM HOWARD TELL YOU WHAT HE WAS						
22 23 24 25 26 27 28	INCIDENT AT SEARS? A YES: Q AND CAN YOU RECALL AT WHAT TIME YOU WOKE UP THAT MORNING? A OH, ABOUT 6:00 O'CLOCK IN THE MORNING. Q AND DID SAM HOWARD TELL YOU WHAT HE WAS GOING TO DO THAT MORNING?						
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	INCIDENT AT SEARS? A YES: Q AND CAN YOU RECALL AT WHAT TIME YOU WOKE UP THAT MORNING? A OH, ABOUT 6:00 O'CLOCK IN THE MORNING. Q AND DID SAM HOWARD TELL YOU WHAT HE WAS GOING TO DO THAT MORNING? A YES.						

-957-

, SI			
S.Howar			
د ا	1	A THE SIDE STREET.	
PE1	2	Q DOES THE SIDE STREET COME OFF OF DESERT INN	
52	3	ROAD?	
, 3 ₇	4	A YES, IT DOES.	
:	5	Q DID YOU STOP THE CAR AT THAT POINT?	
:	6	A YES. HE WANTED TO GET OUT HE WANTED TO	
	7	GET OUT.	
•	8	Q SAM WANTED TO GET OUT OF THE CAR?	
<i>:</i>	9	A YES.	
:	10	Q AND DID HE GET OUT OF THE CAR?	
:	11	A YES.	
:	12	Q AS HE WAS GETTING OUT OF THE CAR DID HE	
•	13	GIVE YOU INSTRUCTIONS?	
	14	A YES.	
:	15	Q WHAT DID HE TELL YOU?	
·	16	A HE TOLD ME TO GO BACK TO THE ROOM.	
?	17	Q WHICH ROOM?	
• •	18	A THE BEST WESTERN OFF OF BOULDER HIGHWAY,	
•	19	GO BACK TO THE ROOM AND WAIT BY THE TELEPHONE AND HAVE THE BAGS	···
į	20	PACKED.	
<u>.</u>	21	Q AND THEN DID HE GET OUT OF THE CAR?	
•	22	A YES.	
•	23	Q COULD YOU SEE WHERE HE WENT?	
•	24	A NO, 1 DIDN'T LOOK BACK. I JUST DROVE OFF.	
	25	Q THEN DID YOU GO BACK TO THE MOTEL?	
•	26	A YES.	
	. 27	Q IF YOU GOT UP AT ABOUT 6:00 O'CLOCK IN THE	
	28	MORNING AND THEN LATER WENT OVER TO THIS AREA, CAN YOU ESTIMATE	
	29	APPROXIMATELY WHAT TIME IT MIGHT HAVE BEEN WHEN YOU DROPPED SAM	
:	30	HOWARD OFF BEHIND THE WINCHESTER PLAZA?	
•	31	A WE GOT SOMETHING TO EAT IN THE MC DONALDS	
	32	COULD I REPHRASE THAT AGAIN?	1985
		-959-	1700
			I

Į.	
1	Q AND CAN YOU TELL US WHAT IT LOOKS LIKE?
2	A IT WAS BLACK AND WIRES WAS HANGING OUT OF
3	IT AND
4	Q WHAT DO YOU MEAN WHEN YOU SAY THAT WIRES
5	WERE HANGING OUT OF IT?
6	A YOU KNOW, WIRES THAT WAS TO PLUG UP YOU
7	HAVE TO STICK THE OTHER WIRES TO PUT IN THE IN THE DASHBOARD
8	OF A CAR.
9	Q DID THE WIRES HAVE A PLUG ON THE END OF
10	THEM?
11	A I CAN'T REMEMBER. 1 JUST REMEMBER THE
12	WIRES.
13	Q OKAY.
14	WERE THERE MORE THAN ONE?
15	A YES.
16	Q AND WHAT ELSE WAS HE CARRYING WHEN HE CAME
17	INTO THE ROOM, IF ANYTHING?
18	A WALKIE-TALKIE.
19	Q IS THAT THE WALKIE-TALKIE THAT WE LOOKED AT
20	EARLIER, WHICH IS STATE'S EXHIBIT 24-B?
21	A YES.
22	Q WHAT ELSE WAS HE CARRYING?
23	A UH, THAT'S ALL THAT I CAN THINK OF.
24	Q DID YOU NOTICE ANYTHING OUT OF THE ORDINAR
25	WITH SAM AS HE WALKED INTO THE ROOM WITH REGARD-TO JEWELRY?
26	A HE HAD A WATCH ON.
. 27	Q NOW, CAN YOU DESCRIBE THAT WATCH FOR US?
28	A IT WAS A GOLD WATCH.
29	Q DID YOU EVER LEARN THE NAME OF THE OF
30	THAT PARTICULAR WATCH?
31	A NO. I JUST THOUGHT IT WAS A SEIKO WATCH.
32	Q FOR THE RECORD, BY "SEIKO" ARE YOU SAYING
	-961-
	••

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

S-E-I-K-0?

YES, SIR.

DID SAM HOWARD LEAVE THE BEST WESTERN MOTEL Q THAT MORNING TO GO TO THE WESTWOOD -- THE WINCHESTER PLAZA WEAR-ING THAT PARTICULAR WATCH?

MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR -- YOUR HONOR, UNLESS THE PIMP SURFACES AGAIN I THINK WE'RE WAY BEYOND THAT AND LET'S GET THE TRIAL MOVING.

MR. SEATON: WE ARE IN THE LAST STAGES OF PROVING UP THE REASON THAT WE WILL BE ELUDING TO IN THE ARGUMENT REGARD-ING THE PIMP, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: WELL, WHY DON'T WE GET TO THAT ISSUE, BECAUSE THAT ISSUE IS REALLY WHAT HAVING THIS HEARING OUTSIDE OF THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY IS FOR.

MR. SEATON: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. AND THIS PARTICULAR WATCH IS FAIRLY IMPORTANT BECAUSE MARY LOU MONAHAN INDICATED IN HER TESTIMONY THAT THIS KIND OF A WATCH WAS THE LAST ITEM GEORGE MONAHAN WAS SEEN WITH, AS FAR AS A WATCH IS CONCERNED, WHEN HE LEFT THE HOME NOT MORE THAN AN HOUR BEFORE THIS. AND THIS ESTABLISHES, IN THE STATE'S MIND CERTAINLY, THAT NOT ONLY DID A ROBBERY OCCUR BY THIS DEFENDANT BUT IT WAS THAT OF GEORGE MONAHAN AND THAT IS GOING TO BE THE ANALOGY WE DRAW TO THE PIMP STORY THAT HE WAS TELLING MISS THOMAS.

> WE ARE QUITE CLOSE TO WRAPPING THIS UP. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. CONTINUE;

BY MR. SEATON:

DID SAM HOWARD LEAVE THE MOTEL THAT MORNING BEFORE -- AS HE WAS GOING TO THE WINCHESTER PLAZA WITH THIS PARTICULAR GOLD WATCH ON HIS WRIST?

WELL, I DON'T REMEMBER -- SAM HAD PAWNED -

1989

Q

DID SAM HOWARD, AFTER COMING INTO THE MOTEL

ROOM WITH THE C.B. RADIO AND THE GOLD SEIKO WATCH, TELL YOU ANY-THING MORE ABOUT THE PIMP THAT HE WAS GOING TO SEE THAT PARTICU-LAR MORNING?

A NO.

MR. SEATON: THANK YOU.

THAT CONCLUDES THE STATE'S QUESTIONS, YOUR

HONOR.

MR. FRANZEN: NOTHING.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. ARGUMENT.

MR. SEATON: YOUR HONOR, THE TESTIMONY REGARDING THE PIMP AND THE PROSTITUTE IS EXTREMELY RELEVANT TO THE CASE AT HAND.

THE DEFENDANT HAS TOLD MISS THOMAS THAT HE WAS GOING TO ROB A PIMP. THAT'S WHEN THEY WERE IN THE PARKING LOT OF THE CASTAWAYS HOTEL. HE THEN TOOK OFF FOR ABOUT A TWO-HOUR PERIOD OF TIME, WHICH I THINK ALIGNS UP WITH THE TIME THAT HE WENT TO THE CAESARS PALACE, ACCORDING TO MARY LOU MONAHAN'S TESTIMONY, AND VIEWED THE VAN. WE KNOW FROM THAT TESTIMONY THAT GEORGE MONAHAN, MARY LOU MONAHAN, BARBARA ZEMAN AND MARY CATHERINE WERE ALL PRESENT AT THAT VIEWING OF THE VAN.

WHEN HE CAME BACK FROM HAVING VIEWED

THE VAN HE DIDN'T TELL MISS THOMAS THAT, HE TOLD HER THAT HE HAD

SEEN THE PIMP, HE HAD BEEN PREPARED TO ROB HIM, AND THAT HE DID

NOT BECAUSE THE PIMP HAD HIS PROSTITUTES WITH HIM. HE WENT ON

FURTHER TO STATE THAT HE HAD MADE ARRANGEMENTS WITH THE PIMP TO

MEET HIM THE NEXT MORNING AND THAT THE PIMP WOULD BE ALONE, AND

BECAUSE OF THAT FACTOR HE WOULD BE ABLE TO ROB THE PIMP.

THEN WE KNOW FROM MARY LOU MONAHAN AND BARBARA ZEMAN THAT THOSE ARRANGEMENTS WERE MADE WITH DOCTOR MONAHAN, THAT DOCTOR MONAHAN ANTICIPATED THE DEFENDANT COMING TO HIS OFFICE AND MEETING FOR THE PURPOSE OF TAKING A TEST DRIVE IN THE VAN.

THE NEXT MORNING MISS THOMAS IS ABLE TO TELL US THAT WHEN THEY GOT UP THE DEFENDANT INDICATED THAT HE WAS GOING TO MEET THE PIMP AND HE WAS GOING TO ROB HIM, AND AGAIN IT WAS BECAUSE HE WAS ALONE. HE HAD HER DRIVE HIM TO --- RIGHT BEHIND THE OFFICE IN WHICH DOCTOR MONAHAN WORKED, THAT IS IN THE WINCHESTER PLAZA.

WE KNOW FROM BARBARA ZEMAN -- EXCUSE

ME, FROM HELENE ZUCKERMAN, DOCTOR MAGDALL'S DENTAL ASSISTANT,

THAT A PERSON MATCHING THIS DESCRIPTION, THE DESCRIPTION OF THE

DEFENDANT, SHOWED UP AT THE DENTAL OFFICE AT APPROXIMATELY 7:10,

WHICH LINES UP WITH THE TIME THAT MISS THOMAS BELIEVES THAT SHE

DROPPED THE DEFENDANT OFF RIGHT AT THAT BUILDING.

WE KNOW FROM CHARLES MARINO THAT THE VAN THAT THE TEST DRIVE WAS GOING TO BE TAKEN IN WAS SEEN BACKING INTO THE DEW DROP INN, WHICH IS ON THE CORNER OF, OR ALMOST ON THE CORNER, OF DESERT INN AND BOULDER HIGHWAY; AND THAT HE VIEWED IT WITHIN FIVE MINUTES OR SO AFTER HAVING ARRIVED THERE AND THE INSIDE WAS IN DISARRAY, I THINK HE SAID. IT CERTAINLY DID NOT LOOK LIKE THE CLEAN, EMPTY VAN THAT THE MONAHAN'S HAD LEFT AT THE OFFICE THE NIGHT BEFORE WHEN THEY CAME BACK FROM CAESARS PALACE.

WE KNOW FROM MISS THOMAS THAT WITHIN AN HOUR AFTER DROPPING HIM OFF, THAT IS PROBABLY CLOSE TO 8:00 O'CLOCK, 45 MINUTES TO AN HOUR, THE DEFENDANT SHOWED UP IN HER MOTEL ROOM WITH A.C.B. RADIO IN HIS ARMS AND THERE WERE WIRES DANGLING FROM IT. WE KNOW FROM THE EVIDENCE THAT SUCH A RADIO WAS TAKEN FROM THE VAN AND IT WAS RIPPED OUT BECAUSE THERE ARE WIRES DANGLING WITHIN THE VAN.

WE KNOW FROM MARY LOU MONAHAN THAT THE DENTIST, DOCTOR MONAHAN, HAD A GOLD SEIKO WATCH ON WHEN HE LEFT THAT MORNING. THAT WATCH WAS MISSING WHEN THE BODY WAS FOUND.

THAT SAME KIND OF WATCH WAS OBSERVED BY MISS THOMAS AS THE

4

5 6

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19 -20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

29 30

31 32 DEFENDANT WALKED INTO THE MOTEL.

WHAT THE STATE IS CONTENDING, WITH ALL OF THOSE FACTS IN MIND, IS THAT THE DEFENDANT IS TELLING DWANA THOMAS HALF TRUTHS. HE WAS TELLING HER THAT HE PLANS TO GO OUT AND ROB SOMEBODY BUT HE'S MAKING EXCUSES FOR NOT HAVING DONE IT BY INDICATING THAT THERE WERE OTHER PEOPLE AROUND, WHICH THERE WERE, BUT HE'S CALLING THEM PIMPS AND PROSTITUTES, AND THAT IS WHAT SHE BELIEVED.

IT IS IMPORTANT TO SHOW THIS EVIDENCE TO SHOW THE INTENT OF THE DEFENDANT; THAT WHEN HE, OBVIOUSLY THE STATE'S POSITION IS GOING TO BE, THAT WHEN THE DEFENDANT MET DOCTOR MONAHAN, IT WAS THE DEFENDANT WHO ROBBED HIM AND THE DEFENDANT WHO KILLED HIM, AND WE NEED TO SHOW THE INTENT FOR THAT ROBBERY AND FOR THE MURDER. THE BEST WAY WE CAN DO THAT IS BY HIS OWN STATEMENTS.

NOW, THE MERE FACT THAT HE DISCLOSES HIS STATEMENTS TO THIS WITNESS WITH THE USE OF PROSTITUTE AND PIMP AS OPPOSED TO DENTIST AND WOMEN WHO ARE ACCOMPANYING HIM SHOULD NOT -- SHOULD NOT PRECLUDE THE STATE FROM BEING ABLE TO SHOW THAT EVIDENCE TO THE JURY. AND ON THAT WE WOULD SUBMIT IT, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: _COUNSEL?

MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, EXCEPT FOR THE TEST!-MONY ABOUT THE PIMP, I DON'T HAVE ANY OBJECTION TO HER TESTIFY-ING ABOUT DROPPING THE DEFENDANT OFF, SEEING HIM GET OUT OF THE CAR, WHAT HE WAS WEARING. WHAT I'M CONCERNED ABOUT IS WHY THIS BUSINESS ABOUT THE PIMP. THERE ARE MORE PEOPLE THAN PIMPS THAT WEAR WRIST -- WRISTWATCHES.

AND ALSO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY IS CONTEND-ING HE'S MAKING THIS UP BECAUSE HE DOESN'T WANT TO DO A ROBBERY WITH OTHER PEOPLE PRESENT. WELL, HE'S ALREADY PROVEN, OR ATTEMPTED TO PROVE TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE JURY, THAT HE DID

7

10 11

13

12

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28

29 30

31 32 DO A ROBBERY IN THE PRESENCE OF THREE PEOPLE, THE SEARS ROBBERY.

I MAY BE MISSING SOMETHING, BUT AT THE

MOMENT I DON'T SEE THE RELEVANCY OF THIS ONE ASPECT OF HER TESIMONY.

THE COURT: THE STATE?

MR. SEATON: ONLY TO SAY, YOUR HONOR, THAT THE SEARS ROBBERY CAN CLEARLY BE DISTINGUISHED. A CONFERENCE ENSUED THERE AND THE DEFENDANT KNEW THAT IF IT WENT ON MUCH LONGER, HE WAS GOING TO BE PATTED DOWN AND THE GUN WAS GOING TO BE FOUND AND HE WAS GOING TO BE IN TROUBLE. AND SO HE WENT AHEAD AND GOT THE MONEY AS HE ORIGINALLY PLANNED TO, PLUS THE OTHER THINGS THAT HE WAS ABLE TO SECURE FROM THAT PARTICULAR ROBBERY.

WHEN HE MET WITH THE MONAHANS THE EVENING BEFORE, THERE IS NOT ONE IOTA OF INFORMATION TO INDICATE THAT THE NEED EVER AROSE TO TAKE OUT HIS GUN AND UTILIZE IT.

1F THE COURT REMEMBERS THE TESTIMONY OF ED SCHWARTZ IN ANOTHER HEARING THAT WE'VE HAD IN HERE, THAT ROBBERY WAS COMMITTED WHEN THE DEFENDANT WAS ALONE AND WITH --HE DIDN'T DO IT AT A CAR DEALERSHIP, HE WAITED UNTIL HE WAS OFF ALONE. AND I THINK ALL OF OUR COMMON SENSE WOULD INDICATE THAT THAT'S THE TYPICAL WAY THAT A ROBBERY WOULD GO DOWN. COURT'S INDULGENCE.

MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, IF I MIGHT, THE PROBLEM WITH THIS IS THE JURY MIGHT NOT MAKE THAT DISTINCTION. I MEAN. IN ADDITION TO -- 1 DON'T SEE THE RELEVANCY OF THE JURY MIGHT CONTEND OR BELIEVE THAT HE'S OFF ON A CRIME SPREE ROBBING THIS PIMP, AS WELL AS WHOMEVER ELSE THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY WISHES TO IMPLICATE THE DEFENDANT IN.

THE COURT: WELL, WE REALLY DON'T HAVE HIM ADMIT-TING, ACCORDING TO THIS WITNESS' TESTIMONY, THAT HE COMMITTED THE CRIME.

MR. FRANZEN: WHAT WE HAVE, YOUR HONOR, IS --

9

12 13

14 15

17

16

18 19

20 21

22

24

25

23

26

27 28

29

30 31

32

THE COURT: WE REALLY --

MR. FRANZEN: (CONTINUING) -- INTENT.

THE COURT: WE REALLY DON'T HAVE ANY EVIDENCE TO SHOW THAT HE HAS COMMITTED A ROBBERY.

MR. FRANZEN: THE STATEMENT THAT THAT'S WHAT HE WISHED TO DO. TO ROB THE PIMP.

THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND THAT. BUT THAT IS DIFFERENT THAN SAYING WE HAVE AN OFFENSE THAT'S BEEN COMMITTED. ALL WE HAVE IS HIS STATEMENT TO HER THAT HE INTENDED TO COMMIT, BUT THERE IS NO ADMISSION FROM HIM TO HER THAT IT WAS EVER COMMITTED.

MR. FRANZEN: WELL, I WOULD RESPECTFULLY SUGGEST FOR THE JURY THAT IS A FINE LINE WITH NO MEANING. HERE HE'S GETTING OUT AND HE'S TELLING THIS WITNESS "I'M GOING OUT AND ROB A PIMP." NOW, THE FACT THAT THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY HASN'T BROUGHT IN THAT ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE IS IRRELEVANT, I WOULD SUSPECT, TO THE LAY JURORS. AND THIS IS WHAT HE IS GETTING OUT OF THE CAR TO DO, TO ROB A PIMP, WHICH IS A SEPARATE OFFENSE FROM WHAT THE DEFENDANT IS NOW BEING TRIED FOR.

THE COURT: NO, BECAUSE THAT ISN'T THE INFERENCE THE STATE IS TRYING TO RAISE. THE INFERENCE THE STATE IS TRYING TO RAISE HERE IS THAT, IN FACT, HE DID NOT DRAW AN ATTEMPT BUT DID NOT IN FACT ROB A PIMP BUT DID IN FACT ROB THE DENTIST, AND SO THEREFORE YOU DON'T HAVE A SEPARATE OFFENSE. WHAT YOU HAVE IS A, QUOTE, A STORY ABOUT ANOTHER OFFENSE, WHICH, IN FACT, REALLY RELATES TO THE PRINCIPAL OFFENSE IN ISSUE. SO WE'RE REALLY NOT TALKING IN THIS INSTANCE ABOUT A SEPARATE AND DIS-TINCT OFFENSE, OR EVIDENCE OF OTHER OFFENSES. BUT WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IS A COVER STORY, TO TRY TO COVER FOR THE PRINCIPAL OFFENSE WITH WHICH HE'S BEING TRIED.

MR. FRANZEN: WELL, YOUR HONOR, THE COVER STORY IS FOR A ROBBERY, IF YOU ACCEPT IT AS A COVER STORY, AND THAT IT

WAS INDEED SAID WHAT IS SUPPOSED TO HAPPEN IS A ROBBERY, THAT IS INDEED NOT A COVER STORY. THAT'S AN ADMISSION OF THE ROBBERY.

11'S AN ADMISSION OF A ROBBERY OF SOME OTHER ROBBERY. THAT DOESN'T COVER ANYTHING.

THE COURT: WELL, THE FACT THAT REMAINS IS:

THERE IS NO EVIDENCE, INDEPENDENT EVIDENCE, THAT HE EVER ROBBED

A PIMP, THAT HE EVER ADMITTED THAT HE EVER ROBBED A PIMP. SO,

THEREFORE, WE HAVE NO EVIDENCE IN THIS RECORD THAT, QUOTE, A

PIMP WAS ROBBED, WHICH WOULD SHOW EVIDENCE OF ANOTHER OFFENSE.

THE EVIDENCE THAT WE HAVE IN THIS PARTICU-LAR CASE SHOWS THAT WHEN HE CAME BACK HE HAD A SEIKO WATCH IN HIS POSSESSION.

MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, I BEG TO DIFFER. THAT'S
NOT WHAT THE WITNESS SAID. SHE SAID HE HAD A GOLD WATCH.

MR. SEATON: EXCUSE ME, COUNSEL. SHE NEVER --

THE COURT: SHE THOUGHT IT WAS A SEIKO; AND HAD A C.B. THOSE TWO THINGS WOULD CONNECT THIS DEFENDANT BY INFERENCE TO THE VICTIM IN THIS CASE, DOCTOR MONAHAN. SO, THEREFORE, YOU DON'T HAVE THE EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE, THE SEPARATE EVIDENCE, CONNECTING HIM WITH A SEPARATE OFFENSE.

YOUR OBJECTION IS OVERRULED. THE TESTIMONY WILL BE ALLOWED.

MR. SEATON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: CALL THE JURY.

(WHEREUPON, THE JURY ENTERED

THE COURTROOM AND THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HAD:)

THE COURT: COUNSEL, STIPULATE TO THE PRESENCE

OF THE JURY?

MR. HARMON: THE STATE DOES.

MR. FRANZEN: YES, YOUR HONOR, WE WILL.

THE COURT: YOU MAY PROCEED, COUNSEL.

Į.			
1		Q	AND DID HE COME BACK TO THE CAR AFTER THAT?
2		A	YES.
3		Q	AND THEN DID YOU STAY IN THE CAR FOR AWHILE
4	BEFORE HE LEFT	FOR A	COUPLE OF HOURS?
5	,	A	YES, UH-HUH.
6]	Q	AND WHEN HE LEFT FOR A COUPLE OF HOURS DO
7	YOU KNOW APPRO	CIMATE	LY WHAT TIME IT WAS?
8	•	A	ABOUT 7:00, 7:30; BETWEEN 7:00 AND 7:30.
9		Q	DID HE TELL YOU WHO HE WAS GOING TO SEE
10	WHEN HE LEFT?		
11		A	YES.
12		Q	WHO DID HE TELL YOU HE WAS GOING TO SEE?
13		Α	HE WAS GOING TO SEE THE PIMP.
14		Q	HAD YOU EVER MET THE PIMP?
15		Α	NO.
16		Q	OR SEEN HIM?
17	:	A	NO.
18		Q	SAM JUST TOLD YOU?
19		A	UH-HUH.
20		Q	AND DID HE SAY TO YOU WHAT HE AND THE PIMP
21	WERE GOING TO	DO TOG	ETHER WHEN HE SAW THE PIMP?
22		A	YES.
23	1	Q	WHAT DID HE TELL YOU?
24		A	HE WAS GOING TO ROB HIM.
25		Q·	WHO WAS GOING TO ROB HIM?
26		A	SAM WAS GOING TO ROB THE PIMP.
27		Q	AND THEN DID HE LEAVE THE CAR?
28		A	YES.
29		Q	AND THIS WAS ABOUT 7:00 O'CLOCK.
30			HOW LONG WAS HE GONE?
31 32		A	HE WAS GONE, OH, I'D SAY AN HOUR AND A
32	HALF, GOING ON	TWO H	OURS ALMOST.
	11		

SHo #		
9 6 7	Q DID YOU STAY IN THE CAR WHEN SAM LEFT TO	
rπ → 2		
525 3	A YES.	
Φ 4	Q HAD SAM TOLD YOU WHERE HE WAS GOING?	
5	A NO.	
6	Q WHEN HE LEFT DID HE GIVE YOU ANY INSTRUC-	
7	TIONS?	
8	A UH, YES. THE HE WAS GOING TO CALL AT	
9	THE TELEPHONE BOOTH WHERE HE HAD MADE THE CALL OUT AT.	
10	Q UH-HUH. WHERE WAS THAT TELEPHONE BOOTH?	
11	A THAT WAS IN A CASINO.	
12	Q OF THE CASTAWAYS?	
13	A YES.	
14	Q AND WHAT DID HE ASK YOU TO DO?	
: : :	A HE ASKED ME TO BE AT THE TELEPHONE BOOTH.	
	Q DID HE TELL YOU WHEN HE WANTED YOU TO BE	
. 17	AT THE TELEPHONE BOOTH?	
	A I'M PRETTY SURE THAT IT WAS ABOUT, OH,	
<u>į</u> 19	ABOUT 8:30 OR 9:00 THAT HE TOLD ME HE WOULD BE AT THE TELEPHONE	
20	воотн.	
₹ 21		
; 22		
23		
25		
: 26	1 123.	
	AND WITH WAS ON THE PHONE?	·
28	,	
30	AND WHAT DID SAM TEEL TOO WHEN TOO ANSWERED	
; 31	THE RECEPTIONS IN THE COSTANTION.	
	A THAT HE WANTED HE TO COME FICK WITH OF.	1.998
	Q DID HE TELL YOU WHERE HE WANTED YOU TO COME:	1.770

1	HE WANTED YOU TO	COME PICK HIM UP?	
2	A	OH, IT WAS AT THE FLAMINGO, THE FLAMINGO,	
3	UH, HILTON IN THE	HILTON THE BARBARY COAST	
4	Q	THE FLAMINGO HILTON?	
5	A	ин-нин.	
6	Q	THE ONE NEXT TO THE BARBARY COAST?	
7	A	YES.	
8	Q	AND DID YOU GO TO THE FLAMINGO HILTON?	
9	A	UH-HUH, YES, SIR.	•
10	Q	DID YOU PICK HIM UP?	
11	А	YES.	
12	Q	AND AFTER YOU PICKED SAM UP WHO DROVE?	
13	А	SAM DID.	
14	Q	AND WHERE DID YOU GO?	
15	А	TO BACK TO IN THE AREA OF BOULDER	
16	HIGHWAY TO THE BEST	WESTERN MOTEL.	
17	Q	THE BEST WESTERN MOTEL.	
18		IS THERE A CROSS STREET ON BOULDER	
19	HIGHWAY NEAR THE BES	ST WESTERN MOTEL?	
20	A	YES.	
21	Q	WHAT IS THAT CROSS STREET?	
22	A	THE DESERT INN.	
23	Q	AND WHAT WAS YOUR PURPOSE IN GOING TO THE	
24	BEST WESTERN MOTEL	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
25	A -	TO GET A MOTEL ROOM.	
26 27	Q	AND DID YOU GET A MOTEL ROOM?	
. 28	A	YES.	
29	Q	WHO REGISTERED FOR THE ROOM?	
30	A	ME.	
31	Q	DID SAM TELL YOU TO REGISTER FOR THE ROOM?	
32	. A	YES. WHAT DID HE TELL YOU ABOUT REGISTERING FOR-	1999
	Q		1///
		-971-	

SHORE			
े हुत हुत			
- p	1	THE ROOM?	
E15	2	A HE TOLD ME DON'T USE MY REAL NAME, USE	
252	3	DEBBIE JACKSON.	
:	4	Q AND DID YOU USE DEBBIE JACKSON WHEN YOU	
	5	REGISTERED?	
; -	6	A YES.	
	7	Q WHERE WAS SAM WHILE YOU WERE REGISTERING?	
	8	A HE WAS OUT THERE IN THE CAR.	
:	9	Q DID YOU GO BACK OUT TO THE CAR AFTER	
	10	REGISTERING?	
;	11	A YES.	
	12	Q AND WHERE WAS SAM AT THAT TIME?	
į	13	A HE WAS HIDING BEHIND A BRICK WALL.	
:. :	14	Q DID YOU GO TO THE ROOM AFTER THAT?	
	15	A YES.	
.	16	Q IN THE BEST WESTERN MOTEL?	
	17	A YES.	
,	18	Q WHILE YOU WERE IN THE BEST WESTERN HOTEL	
:	19	MOTEL THAT EVENING, DID THERE COME A TIME WHEN SAM TOLD YOU WHAT	
v	20	HAD HAPPENED WHEN HE WENT TO SEE THE PIMP?	
•	21 22	A YES. HE SAID THAT HE COULDN'T ROB HIM 'CUZ	
•	23	HIS TWO WOMEN WAS WITH HIM.	
	24	Q DID HE EXPLAIN THE TWO WOMEN?	
	25	A NO. HE DIDN'T REALLY EXPLAIN IT. HE JUST	
:	26	SAID THERE'S TWO WOMEN, PROSTITUTES, OR TWO WOMEN WAS WITH HIM.	
· !	27	Q WAS WITH THE PIMP?	
	28	A WITH THE PIMP. Q AND SO SAM DID NOT ROB HIM?	
•	29	A YES.	
· •	30	Q DID HE TELL YOU IF HE PLANNED TO SEE THE	
	31	PIMP AGAIN?	
	32	A YES.	2000

-972-

1	Q AND WHEN DID HE PLAN TO SEE THE PIMP AG	AIN?
2	A UH, IN THE MORNING.	
3	Q THE NEXT MORNING?	
4	A UH-HUH.	
5	. Q DID HE SAY IF ANYTHING WOULD BE SIGNIF	CANT
6	ABOUT THAT PARTICULAR MEETING?	
7	A THAT HE WAS GOING TO MEET HIM ALONE.	
8	Q THAT SAM WAS GOING TO MEET THE PIMP ALC	ONE?
9	A YES. SAM WAS GOING TO MEET THE PIMP AL	ONE.
10	Q AND WHY WAS THAT SIGNIFICANT?	}
11	A BECAUSE THAT IT'S HIS WOMEN WOULI	דימכ
12	BE WITH HIM.	
13	Q AND WHY IS IT SIGNIFICANT THAT THE WOM	EN
14	WOULDN'T BE WITH HIM? WHAT DID THAT MEAN TO SAM? DID HE TO	ELL
15	YOU?	
16	MR. COOPER: I'M GOING TO OBJECT, YOUR HONOR	.
17	SHE HASN'T TESTIFIED THAT HE ADMITTED ANYTHING TO HER.	
18	MR. SEATON: WELL, SHE'S RELATING I BELIEVE	THE
19_	CONVERSATION SHE HAD WITH SAM.	
20	LET ME REPHRASE THE QUESTION, YOUR HON	OR.
21	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.	
22		Ì
23	BY MR. SEATON:	
24		
25 26	BID SAM TEEL TOO MIT IT MAS STORES	
27	THAT HE WOULD BE MEETING THE PIMP THE NEXT MORNING ACONE WI	THOUT
28	THE PROSTITUTES BEING WITH HIM:	
29	A SO THAT HE COOLD ROB HIM.	
30	AND DID 100 SEEEP MERE AT THE HOTEL	ПАТ
31	EAFWING:	
32	A 1E5.	
_	Q CAN YOU RECALL THE NEXT MORNING APPROX	

1	MATELY WHAT TIME IT WAS WHEN YOU WOKE UP AT THE BEST WESTERN
2	MOTEL ON DESERT INN AND BOULDER HIGHWAY?
3	A OH, ABOUT 6:00 O'CLOCK.
4	Q AND DID SAM TELL YOU IF WHAT HIS PLANS
5	WERE FOR THE DAY?
6	A YES, TO GO AND MEET THE THIS PIMP.
7	Q AND DID YOU GET DRESSED TO DO THAT?
8	A YES.
9	Q AND DID YOU AND SAM GET IN THE AUTOMOBILE?
10	A YES.
11	Q WAS THAT THE 1980 CUTLASS?
12	A YES, IT IS.
13	Q AND WHO WAS DRIVING AT THAT TIME?
14	A ME.
15	Q CAN YOU RECALL HOW SAM HOWARD WAS DRESSED
16	AT THAT TIME?
17	A PRETTY SURE SAM HAD ON A GRAY GRAY PANTS
18	AND GRAY
19	MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, MAY WE APPROACH THE
20	BENCH?
21	(WHEREUPON, SIDE BAR CONFERENCE
22	WAS HELD AT THE BENCH; NOT
23	REPORTED. AT THE CONCLUSION
24) 25	OF WHICH, THE FOLLOWING WAS
26	HAD:)
27	BY MR. SEATON:
28	
29	Q CAN YOU RECALL AGAIN HOW SAM HOWARD WAS
30	DRESSED IN THE MORNING WHEN YOU LEFT TO DRIVE AWAY FROM THE
31	MOTEL? A I'M PRETTY SURE THAT SAM HAD ON GRAY PANTS
32	A 1'M PRETTY SURE THAT SAM HAD ON GRAT PARTS
ļ.	-974-

1	BY MR. SEATON	٧:		
2				
3		Q	WHERE DID YOU GO WHEN YOU GOT BACK IN THE	
4	ALLEY BEHIND	THE 1500	BLOCK OF DESERT INN ROAD?	
5			WE WENT IN THE ALLEY.	
6	•	Q	AND DID YOU STOP?	
7		A	YEŞ.	
8		Q	WHERE DID YOU STOP?	
9		A	DOWN AT THE END OF THE ALLEY.	
10		Q	IS THERE A BUILDING DOWN AT THE END OF THE	
11	ALLEY?		·	
12		A	YES.	
13		Q	DO YOU KNOW WHAT IT IS CALLED?	
14		A	WINCHESTER WINCHESTER PLAZA.	
15		Q	THE WINCHESTER PLAZA.	
16			DOES THE ALLEY END AT THAT POINT?	
17		A	YES.	
18		Q	WHAT IS THERE IF YOU WERE TO DRIVE OUT OF	
_ 19	THE ALLEY AN	Y FURTHER	···	
20		A	A SIDE STREET.	
21		Q	AND WHERE DOES THAT SIDE STREET COME FROM?	
22		A	DESERT INN.	
23	b'	Q	AND WHERE IN RELATIONSHIP TO THE ALLEY AND	
24	SIDE STREET	י עסץ פוס	STOP?	
25		A	AT THE THE END OF THE ALLEY.	
26		Q	AND DID EITHER ONE OF YOU GET OUT OF THE	•
27	CAR AT THAT	TIME?		
28		A	YES. SAM DID.	
29		Q	AND WHAT INSTRUCTIONS DID SAM GIVE YOU, IF	
30	ANY, WHEN HE		OF THE CAR?	
31		Α	HE TOLD ME THAT TO LET HIM OFF THERE AND	2004
32	TO DRIVE CAR	EFUL, DR	IVE DRIVE THE SPEED LIMIT, DON'T RUN ANY	7. 7. 7
			-976-	

{	
1	LIGHTS, GO BACK TO THE ROOM, GO SIT AT THE TELEPHONE, AND WAIT
2	FOR HIM TO CALL ME.
3	Q DID HE ASK YOU TO DO ANYTHING ELSE AT THE
4	MOTEL?
5	A PACK THE BAGS.
6	Q WHEN SAM HOWARD GOT OUT OF THE 1980 BLACK
7	CUTLASS BEHIND THE WINCHESTER AS YOU SAY, THE PLAZA, AT THE
8	CORNER OF THE ALLEY AND THE STREET, WHERE WAS THE GUN?
9	A THE GUN WAS IN HIS BELT IN HIS PANTS.
10	Q AND DID HE TAKE IT WITH HIM WHEN HE LEFT
11	THE CAR?
12	A YES.
13	Q DID YOU DRIVE BACK TO THE MOTEL, AS HE
14	INSTRUCTED YOU TO DO?
15	A YES.
16	Q DID YOU RECEIVE THE PHONE CALL?
17	A NO.
18	Q DID YOU SEE SAM AGAIN?
_19	A YES.
20	Q HOW MUCH LATER DID YOU NEXT SEE SAM HOWARD?
21	HOW MUCH TIME PASSED?
22	A AN HOUR.
23	Q AND WHERE WERE YOU WHEN YOU SAW SAM HOWARD
24	THE NEXT TIME?
25	A 1 WAS IN THE ROOM.
26	Q AND WHERE WAS SAM?
27	A IN THE MOTEL.
28	SAM WAS COMING IN THE FRONT DOOR.
29	Q IS THIS THE BEST WESTERN SIX MOTEL?
30	A YES.
31	MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, I BELIEVE ASSUMES FACTS
32	NGT IN EVIDENCE. IT'S LEADING.
- 1	

-978-

MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, I'M GOING TO OBJECT --1 2 THE WITNESS: (CONTINUING) -- BEFORE. MR. FRANZEN: (CONTINUING) -- ASKING QUESTIONS 3 ASSUMING FACTS NOT IN EVIDENCE. SHE DIDN'T SAY THAT SHE SAW 4 "SEIKO" ON IT. THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S QUESTION IS RAISED IN 5 SUCH A MANNER THAT SHE SAW IT ON THERE. SO FAR ALL WE HAVE IS 6 AN ASSUMPTION ON THE WITNESS, RATHER THAN ACTUALLY READING ANY-7 8 THING. 9 MR. SEATON: I'LL REPHRASE, YOUR HONOR. I THINK, 10 IN FACT, I'LL ASK THE QUESTION. 11 12 BY MR. SEATON: 13 14 DID YOU EVER SEE THE NAME "SEIKO" ON THE Q 15 WATCH? 16 NO. HAD YOU EVER SEEN THE WATCH WHICH YOU THINK 17 0 IS A SEIKO THAT WAS ON SAM HOWARD'S WRIST AS HE WALKED INTO THE 18 19 MOTEL ROOM? HAD YOU EVER SEEN THAT WATCH ON HIM BEFORE? 20 THE BAND WAS DIFFERENT FROM HIS WATCH. SO 21 1 DON'T KNOW. 22 AND YOU SAID HE HAD PAWNED HIS WATCH BEFORE Q 23 YES. 24 HOW MUCH PRIOR -- HOW MANY DAYS BEFORE, IF 25 YOU KNOW, HAD HE PAWNED HIS WATCH? 26 TWO OR THREE DAYS BEFORE THEN. 27 WHEN YOU AND SAM HOWARD GOT DRESSED THAT 28 MORNING AND LEFT FOR THE -- JUST BEHIND THE WINCHESTER PLAZA, 29 WAS HE WEARING A WATCH? 30 NOT THAT I KNOW OF. 31 WHEN SAM HOWARD CAME BACK INTO THE MOTEL 32 ROOM CARRYING THE C.B. RADIO AND WEARING THE GOLD WATCH, DID HE -980-

YES.

	1	Q AND WHAT CITY DID YOU GO TO IN CALIFORNIA?	
	2	A WE WENT TO SAN BERNARDING.	
	3	Q AND DID YOU STOP IN SAN BERNARDING?	1
	4	A YES.	
	5	Q WHAT DID YOU DO WHEN YOU GOT TO SAN	
	6	BERNARDINO?	
	7	A I WE WENT AND GOT SOMETHING TO EAT AND	
	8	WAIT A MINUTE. TAKE THAT BACK.	
	9	BEFORE THEN WE STOPPED AT A, UH, A	
	10	BANK.	
	11	Q AND THEN DID YOU STOP AND GET SOMETHING TO	
	12	EAT?	
	13	A YES.	=
	14	Q DID YOU WHERE DID YOU STAY THAT NIGHT?	
	15	A AT A IN A AT A MOTEL.	
	16	Q AND WHERE WAS THAT MOTEL LOCATED? IN WHAT	
	17	CITY?	
	18	A THIS WAS IN SAN BERNARDING.	
	19	Q DID YOU SLEEP THERE THAT EVENING?	
	20	A YES.	
	21	Q THIS NEXT DAY AFTER YOU WOKE UP DID THERE	
	22	COME A TIME WHEN YOU AND SAM WENT SOMEWHERE?	
-	23	A YES.	
	24	Q WHERE DID YOU GO?	
	25	A WE WENT TO A MALL.	
	26	Q A MALL?	
	27	A A SHOPPING MALL, UH-HUH, YES.	•
	28	Q AND IN WHAT CITY WAS IT LOCATED IN?	
	29	A IT WAS ON THE OUTSKIRTS OF SAN BERNARDING.	
	30	Q AND DID YOU PARK THERE AT THE MALL?	
	31	A YES.	2012
	32	Q WERE YOU NEAR ANY PARTICULAR STORE?	
		-984-	

-985-

1	STORE DO YOU	KNOW WHI	ERE THE GUN WAS?	
2		A	YES.	
3		Q	WHERE WAS IT?	
4		A	IN HIS BELT.	
5		Q	IN SAM'S BELT?	
6		A	YES.	
7		Q	WAS IT THERE WHEN HE WENT INTO THE MALL?	
8		A	YES.	
9		Q	ON BOTH OCCASIONS?	
10		A	YES.	
11		Q	AND HOW LONG WAS IT BETWEEN THE TIME THAT	
12	HE WENT INTO	THE MAL	L THE SECOND TIME AND WHEN HE CAME BACK TO	
13	THE CAR?			
14		Α	IT WASN'T THAT LONG. I'D SAY ABOUT 20	
15	MINUTES.			
16		Q	AND WHAT HAPPENED WHEN HE CAME BACK TO THE	
17	CAR THAT SEC	OND TIME	?	
18		A	THAT SECOND TIME HE CAME BACK IN PRETTY	
19	MUCH A HURRY			<u>.</u>
20		Q	WHAT DO YOU MEAN "PRETTY MUCH A HURRY"?	
21		A	LIKE ESCAPING.	
22		Q	AND WHAT DID HE DO WHEN HE GOT TO THE CAR?	
23		A	HE GOT IN THE CAR AND HE HE TOLD ME TO	
24 25	GET DOWN.	_		
26		Q	WHAT DID YOU	
27		Α .	SLOUCH DOWN.	
28		Q	SLOUCH DOWN?	
29		A Q	UH-HUH. IN YOUR SEAT?	i
30		A	UH-HUH.	
31		Q	WHERE WERE YOU SEATED?	
32		A	I WAS SITTING ON THE PASSENGER'S SIDE.	2014
			-986-	
	II.		- 700 -	I

HANDS, OR HAND, HER LEFT HAND. 1 THE COURT: [CAN'T HEAR YOU, COUNSEL. 2 MR. FRANZEN: MAY THE RECORD REFLECT THAT THE 3 WITNESS RAISED HER I BELIEVE HER LEFT HAND TO HER BROW IN AN EXTENDED POSITION AND LOOKED OUT FROM UNDERNEATH HER HAND. 5 MR. SEATON: AND FURTHER SWIVELED HER HEAD FROM 6 7 LEFT TO RIGHT. MR. FRANZEN: THAT IS CORRECT. 8 THE COURT: THE RECORD MAY SC SHOW. 10 11 BY MR. SEATON: 12 13 HOW LONG DID THE THREE PEOPLE STAND OUT THERE LOOKING AROUND? 14 15 ABOUT FIVE MINUTES. WHERE -- WHAT DIRECTION WERE THEY LOOKING 16 17 IN? 18 UH, FROM THE WEST TO THE EAST. 19 WELL, WITHOUT DIRECTION --20 OH. 21 (CONTINUING) -- WERE THEY LOOKING INTO THE 22 BUILDING OR AWAY FROM THE BUILDING? 23 OH, IN THE PARKING LOT. - -24 IN THE PARKING LOT. 25 DID SAM HOWARD SAY ANYTHING ABOUT THOSE 26 PEOPLE AT THAT TIME? 27 YES. 28 WHAT DID HE SAY? 29 THEY WERE LOOKING FOR HIM. 30 IS THAT ALL HE SAID? Q 31 NO. HE SAID, HE WENT IN, LOOKING AT THE 32 SECURITY GUARD.

1	Q	AND WHAT ELSE?	
2	A	AND THAT HE WANTED THE MONEY. AND I GUESS	
3	THE WOMAN WENT TO C	HECK IT OUT.	
4	Q	DID HE SAY HOW?	
5	Α ,	WHEN HE WAS THERE.	
6	Q	EXCUSE ME.	
7		DID HE SAY HOW HE WAS TRYING TO GET THE	
8	MONEY THAT HE REFER	RED TO, HOW SAM WAS TRYING TO GET THE MONEY?	
9	A	HE WAS TRYING TO GET IT LIKE HE WAS A	
10	SECURITY GUARD.		
11	Q	AND WHAT WAS HE DOING IN ORDER TO GET	
12	MONEY? DID HE TELL	YOU THAT?	
13	A	SHOWING THE BADGE AND THE WALKIE-TALKIE.	
14	Q	AND HOW DID HE TELL YOU HOW WHAT HE	
15	WAS GOING TO DO OR	WHAT HE HAD DONE TO TRY TO GET MONEY?	
16	A	1 DON'T REMEMBER.	
17	Q	WHAT HAPPENED AFTER THE TWO MEN AND THE	
18	WOMAN WENT BACK, AF	FTER FIVE MINUTES PASSED AND THEY WENT BACK	
19	INTO THE BUILDING?		
20	A	UH, HE DROVE AWAY FROM THE PARKING LOT.	
21	Q	SAM DROVE AWAY FROM THE PARKING LOT?	
22	A	YES. SAM SAM DROVE AWAY FROM THE PARK-	
23	ING LOT.	e e	
24	Q	COURT'S INDULGENCE.	
25		MISS THOMAS, LET ME GO BACK TO THE AREA	
26	WHERE YOU SAW THE N	AALLET JUST BEFORE THE GAS STATION. CAN YOU	
. 27 :	DESCRIBE A LITTLE	MORE CLEARLY THE CARDS THAT YOU SAW IN THE	
28	PLASTIC PART, ALONG	G WITH THE PICTURES? WHAT DID THE CARDS LOOK	
29	LIKE TO YOU?		<u> </u>
30	A	UH, I BASICALLY SAW A A CHARGE CARD, A	
31	MASTER CARD A M	ASTER CHARGE CARD, AND I CAN'T REMEMBER THE	
32	MAN'S OR THE PERSON	N'S NAME THAT WAS ON THAT CARD, BUT I SAW IT;	2017
!		-989-	

AND THAT'S ONE OF THE -- AND THE PICTURES; THAT'S IT. CAN YOU REMEMBER ANY OF THE OTHER CARDS THAT WERE IN THAT PLASTIC PART OF THAT WALLET? NO. WELL, LET ME GO BACK TO WHEN YOU WERE GET-TING READY TO LEAVE THE BEST WESTERN MOTEL NEAR D.1. AND BOULDER HIGHWAY TO GO TO THE WINCHESTER PLAZA. DID SAM HOWARD SAY ANYTHING TO YOU AT THAT TIME ABOUT WHAT WAS GOING TO HAPPEN THAT DAY, THAT MORNING? Α COULD YOU SAY THAT AGAIN? WHEN YOU AND SAM HOWARD LEFT THE BEST WESTERN MOTEL THE MORNING THAT YOU TOOK HIM BEHIND THE WINCHESTER PLAZA, WHILE YOU WERE AT THE MOTEL DID HE SAY ANYTHING TO YOU ABOUT WHAT WAS GOING TO HAPPEN THAT DAY OR THE IMPORTANCE OF THAT DAY TO HIM? YES. WOULD YOU TELL US, PLEASE, WHAT THOSE STATEMENTS WERE? THAT THIS DAY WOULD EITHER MAKE HIM OR BREAK HIM. DID HE SAY ANYTHING ELSE IN THAT REGARD? NO. THAT HE WAS GOING TO ROB THE PIMP. OKAY. AND WHERE IS THE WINCHESTER PLAZA IN

YOUR MIND FROM THE BOULEVARD MALL?

IT'S TOWARDS THE BOULEVARD MALL: IT'S:

GOING --

FROM --Q

(CONTINUING) -- FROM THE 1500 BLOCK OF

DESERT INN.

32

HOW FAR AWAY WOULD YOU SAY THE WINCHESTER PLAZA WAS FROM THE BOULEVARD MALL?

S			
Ho se a r			<u> </u>
β- 10	1	A OH, I'D SAY ABOUT TWO LIGHTS, A COUP	
ELS S	2	FEW LIGHTS. NOT THAT FAR.	
5271	3	Q CAN YOU TELL US IN TERMS OF NUMBER OF	
	4	BLOCKS?	
	5	A NO.	
	6	MR. SEATON: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.	
	7	"THAT CONCLUDES THE STATE'S QUESTIONS, YOUR	:
	8	HONOR.	
	9	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE'LL TAKE A RECESS AT	
	10	THIS TIME.	
	11	LADIES AND GENTLEMEN OF THE JURY, WE WILL	
	12	BE IN RECESS FOR 15 MINUTES.	
	13	DURING THIS RECESS, YOU	
	14	ARE ADMONISHED NOT TO CONVERSE	
	15	AMONG YOURSELVES OR WITH ANYONE	
	16	ELSE ON ANY SUBJECT CONNECTED	
	17	WITH THIS TRIAL, OR READ, WATCH	
	18	OR LISTEN TO ANY REPORT OF OR	
	19	COMMENTARY ON THIS TRIAL WITH	<u></u>
	20	ANY PERSON CONNECTED WITH THIS	
	21	TRIAL BY ANY MEDIUM OF INFORMA-	
	22	TION, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITA-	
	23	TION, NEWSPAPER, TELEVISION OR	
	24	RADIO OR FORM OR EXPRESS ANY	
	25	OPINION ON ANY SUBJECT CONNECTED	
	26	WITH THIS TRIAL UNTIL THE CASE IS	
	. 27	FINALLY SUBMITTED TO YOU.	,
	28	WE WILL BE IN RECESS FOR 15 MINUTES.	
	29	 	
	30	••	
	31		2019
	32	••	2017
		-991-	

-992-

WAS ANYONE ELSE PRESENT WHEN YOU WENT OVER

YES, SIR.

30

31

32

TIME WITH MR. SEATON?

Q

-994-

32

MR. SEATON: YOUR HONOR, THE STATE WOULD OBJECT AND ASK FOR A LITTLE BIT OF FOUNDATION AS TO WHEN THIS TOOK PLACE.

THE COURT: COUNSEL?

MR. FRANZEN: I'LL -- I DON'T THINK IT'S NECESSARY,

BUT I'LL CLARIFY IT.

BY MR. FRANZEN:

Q WAS THIS PRIOR TO YOUR TESTIFYING BEFORE THE GRAND JURY?

A BEFORE I TESTIFIED TO THE GRAND JURY. I
THINK I DID TALK TO HIM BEFORE, YES.

Q DID YOU TALK TO HIM AFTER YOU TESTIFIED AT THE GRAND JURY?

A NO.

Q DID YOU EVER TALK TO MR. HARMON, THE GENTLEMAN TO THE LEFT OF MR. SEATON, REGARDING YOUR TESTIMONY?

A I THINK THAT I -- HE WAS AROUND THE FIRST TIME THAT I TALKED TO MR. SEATON.

Q SO HE WAS PRESENT AT THE TIME YOU HAD THE DISCUSSION WITH MR. SEATON REGARDING THE TESTIMONY?

A I THINK SO. I THINK HE CAME IN THE ROOM.

Q NOW, YOU TESTIFIED THAT, PRIOR TO THE JURY COMING BACK IN, YOU TESTIFIED THAT SAM CAME BACK TO YOUR BEST WESTERN MOTEL WITH A -- WELL, STRIKE THAT.

ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH AUTOMOBILES,

MA'AM? ARE YOU A MECHANIC OR ANYTHING OF THAT NATURE?

A AM I FAMILIAR WITH THE -- THE MECHANICS?

Q THE MECHANICS OF AN AUTOMOBILE. HAVE YOU

EVER WORKED ON AUTOMOBILES FOR REPAIR?

A NO. I HEARD A FEW THINGS, YOU KNOW, BITS AND PIECES ABOUT CARS. BUT I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT FIXING

1	IT.
2	Q ARE YOU ARE YOU FAMILIAR HAVE YOU
3	EVER WORKED WITH C.B. RADIOS?
4	A YES.
5	Q DID YOU
6	A I'VE PUT STEREOS AND DIFFERENT THINGS IN
7	CARS.
ğ	Q IN A CAR?
9	A YES.
10	Q WHAT KIND OF STEREO?
11	A WHAT KIND OF STEREO?
12	Q YES.
13	A A CASSETTE.
14	Q WAS THIS ONE YOU SLIDE UNDER THE DASHBOARD?
15	A YES. YOU CAN PUT IT UNDER THE DASHBOARD OR
16	UNDER YOUR SEAT OF YOUR CAR, ONE OR THE TWO.
17	Q NOW, YOU TESTIFIED THAT YOU HAVE KNOWN MR.
18	HOWARD AS OF MARCH OF 1980 FOR APPROXIMATELY FOUR MONTHS, GOING
19	BACK TO FEBRUARY OF 1979; IS THAT CORRECT OR NOVEMBER OF
20	1979; IS THAT CORRECT?
21	A YES.
22	Q DIDN'T YOU TESTIFY TO THE GRAND JURY THAT
23	YOU HAD KNOWN MR. HOWARD FOR NINE MONTHS?
24	A I THOUGHT IT WAS NINE MONTHS BUT NOW THAT
25	I COUNT BACK IT WAS ONLY IT WAS LESSER THAN THAT.
26	Q DIDN'T DIDN'T YOU ALSO, BACK IN APRIL
27	OF 1980, TELL DETECTIVE LEAVITT OF THE LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN
28	POLICE DEPARTMENT THAT YOU HAD KNOWN THE DEFENDANT FOR APPROXI-
29	MATELY ONE YEAR?
30	A I DON'T KNOW. I CAN HAVE SAID THAT, SEEMED
31	LIKE IT.
32	Q NOW, WHEN YOU SAW MR. HOWARD RETURN TO THE

1	GAS STATION.	
2	Q	SORRY, MA'AM. I THOUGHT I MENTIONED THAT.
3		HE LEFT YOUR PRESENCE
4	A	OH, RIGHT.
.5	Q	(CONTINUING) TWICE: ONCE IN THE BEST
6	WESTERN MOTEL. WHEN	HE RETURNED, WHEN YOU GOT THE CAR WITH
.7	HIM, YOU WENT TO A G	AS STATION. HE AGAIN LEFT YOUR PRESENCE
8	A	UH-HUH.
ă	Q	(CONTINUING) AND RETURNED TO THE CAR.
10		NOW, EXCEPT FOR THOSE TWO INSTANCES HE
11	WAS CONTINUALLY IN Y	OUR PRESENCE ALL THE WAY TO CALIFORNIA?
12	A	YES, SIR.
13	Q	CKAY.
14		NOW, YOU WERE LIVING WITH SAM. SO I
15	PRESUME YOU KNOW HIS	WARDROBE; WOULD THAT BE CORRECT; THE TYPE
16	OF CLOTHING HE HAD?	
17	A	YES, SIR.
18	Q	SAM DOESN'T WEAR RED, DOES HE?
19	A	NO, SIR.
20	Q	IN FACT, HE HAD NO LOUD RED SHIRTS AT ALL;
21	WOULD THAT BE CORREC	T?
22	A	NO.
23	Q	NEITHER AT THAT TIME DID SAM HAVE A BIG
24 ?5	AFRO HAIRCUT, DID HE	, ONE THAT WAS REALLY BIG?
i	A	NO.
26	Q	IN FACT, AT THAT TIME HE HAD A SHORT HAIR-
27 28	CUT; WOULD THAT BE C	
29	Α	YES, SIR.
30	Q SIMILAR TO WHAT HE F	COMPARED TO AS SAM LOOKS NOW, WOULD IT BE
31	SIMILAR TO WHAT HE P	YES.
32	Q	YES, MA'AM?
i	II *	,

A YES.
Q NOW, YOU HAVE TESTIFIED LET ME BACK UP
A SECOND.
HAVE YOU BEEN TOLD BY THE POLICE THAT
YOU WOULD BE CHARGED WITH ANY OF THIS UNLESS YOU TOLD THEM THE
TRUTH?
A YES.
Q AND DID YOU SUBSEQUENTLY TELL SAM THAT NO
CHARGES WOULD BE BROUGHT AGAINST YOU IF YOU TESTIFIED THAT HE
DID THESE OFFENSES?
A WHAT? SAY THAT AGAIN.
Q DID YOU LATER TELL MR. HOWARD, SAM HOWARD,
THAT NO CHARGES WOULD BE BROUGHT AGAINST YOU
A UH∽HUH.
Q (CONTINUING) IF YOU TESTIFIED AS TO
THESE CRIMES?
A NO.
Q YOU HAVE HAD COMMUNICATIONS WITH MR. HOWARD
l
SINCE APRIL 1ST OF 1980, HAVE YOU NOT?
SINCE APRIL 1ST OF 1980, HAVE YOU NOT? A YES.
·
A YES. Q NOW, YOU'VE TESTIFIED THAT YOU WENT TO THE SEARS WITH MR. HOWARD WHEN HE RETURNED WITH THE C.B. RADIO; IS
A YES. Q NOW, YOU'VE TESTIFIED THAT YOU WENT TO THE SEARS WITH MR. HOWARD WHEN HE RETURNED WITH THE C.B. RADIO; IS: THAT CORRECT?
A YES. Q NOW, YOU'VE TESTIFIED THAT YOU WENT TO THE SEARS WITH MR. HOWARD WHEN HE RETURNED WITH THE C.B. RADIO; 15' THAT CORRECT? A HE
A YES. Q NOW, YOU'VE TESTIFIED THAT YOU WENT TO THE SEARS WITH MR. HOWARD WHEN HE RETURNED WITH THE C.B. RADIO; 15' THAT CORRECT?
A YES. Q NOW, YOU'VE TESTIFIED THAT YOU WENT TO THE SEARS WITH MR. HOWARD WHEN HE RETURNED WITH THE C.B. RADIO; IS: THAT CORRECT? A HE
A YES. Q NOW, YOU'VE TESTIFIED THAT YOU WENT TO THE SEARS WITH MR. HOWARD WHEN HE RETURNED WITH THE C.B. RADIO; IS: THAT CORRECT? A HE MR. SEATON: THAT'S NOT
A YES. Q NOW, YOU'VE TESTIFIED THAT YOU WENT TO THE SEARS WITH MR. HOWARD WHEN HE RETURNED WITH THE C.B. RADIO; IS: THAT CORRECT? A HE MR. SEATON: THAT'S NOT THE WITNESS: (CONTINUING) THE C.B. RADIO
A YES. Q NOW, YOU'VE TESTIFIED THAT YOU WENT TO THE SEARS WITH MR. HOWARD WHEN HE RETURNED WITH THE C.B. RADIO; IS: THAT CORRECT? A HE MR. SEATON: THAT'S NOT THE WITNESS: (CONTINUING) THE C.B. RADIO MR. SEATON: THAT'S NOT THE TESTIMONY, YOUR HONOR.
A YES. Q NOW, YOU'VE TESTIFIED THAT YOU WENT TO THE SEARS WITH MR. HOWARD WHEN HE RETURNED WITH THE C.B. RADIO; 15' THAT CORRECT? A HE MR. SEATON: THAT'S NOT THE WITNESS: (CONTINUING) THE C.B. RADIO' MR. SEATON: THAT'S NOT THE TESTIMONY, YOUR HONOR. MR. FRANZEN: I'M SORRY. WITH THE WALKIE-TALKIE?

1	LAS VEGAS AS A DANCER AT A BROADWAY PRO	DDUCT10N?	
2	A YES, SIR.		
3	Q AND THAT'S HOW HE	GOT HIS MONEY FOR FOOD	
4	AND GASOLINE? IS THAT HOW HE SURVIVED	HERE IN LAS VEGAS?	
5.	A I DON'T KNOW.		
6	HE SAID THAT	THE THE SHOW WAS GOING	
7	BACK TO NEW YORK AND HE WOULD BE HERE I	UNTIL APRIL.	
8	Q OKAY.		
Э	BUT HE TOLD YO	OU THAT'S HOW HE MAKES	
10	HIS LIVING HERE IN LAS VEGAS; IS THAT	CORRECT?	
11	A HE TOLD ME		
12	Q YOU NEVER	·	
13	A HE TOLD ME THAT T	HEY HADN'T STARTED YET.	
14	Q OH, OKAY. I MISU	NDERSTOOD YOU.	
15	MR. SEATON: MAY WE HAVE	A CLARIFICATION, YOUR	
16	HONOR, A FOUNDATIONAL CLARIFICATION AS	TO WHEN, WHAT PERIOD OF	
17	TIME COUNSEL IS TALKING ABOUT THAT THE	DEFENDANT MADE HIS	
18	MONEY?		
19	9		
20	BY MR. FRANZEN:		
21	1		
22	Q DID SAM EVER TELL	YOU HOW HE GOT HIS MONEY	
23	FOR FOOD AND GAS?		
24		4. 1. p	
25	5 Q DO YOU RECALL TEL	LING DETECTIVE LEAVITT	
26	ON APRIL 2ND, 1980, IN RESPONSE TO A Q	UESTION, THAT SAM WOULD	
27	HAVE HIS MOTHER SEND HIM MONEY? DO YO	U RECALL TELLING DETEC-	
28	TIVE LEAVITT THAT ON APRIL 2ND, 1980?		
29	A NO. I DON'T KNOW	IF I	
80	Q COOLD TOO TIAVE TO	OLD HIM THAT?	
31	A I COULD HAVE TOLD	HIM THAT.	2020
32	Q NOW, YOU'VE DESCR	RIBED THIS GUN, STATE'S	20.29
	-1001-		

EXHIBIT 31-B, SHOWING YOU 31-B. 1 UH-HUH. 2 DO YOU RECALL BEING ASKED, ON APRIL 2ND, 3 1980, BY DETECTIVE LEAVITY TO DESCRIBE THAT GUN; TO WHICH YOU REPLIED, "I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT GUNS"? 5 THERE'S ALOT OF POLICE OFFICERS, ASKED TO 6 LEAVE THINGS, AND I DON'T KNOW WHAT HE WAS SAYING BECAUSE THEY 7 WAS ALL COMING AT ME AT ONCE. 8 COULD YOU HAVE SAID THAT TO DETECTIVE 9 Q 10 LEAVITT? PROBABLY SO. I COULD HAVE. 11 NOW, YOU'VE TESTIFIED THAT YOU RECOGNIZE 12 STATE'S 25-A; IS THAT CORRECT? 13 14 YES, SIR. AND THAT YOU RECOGNIZE IT AS BELONGING TO 15 16 SAM HOWARD? 17 YES. AND YOU HAD BEEN PREVIOUSLY SHOWN THIS, HAD 18 19 YOU NOT? 20 YES, SIR. 21 YOU HAD BEEN PREVIOUSLY SHOWN THAT EXACT 22 SAME BAG ON MAY 2ND, 1981; IS THAT CORRECT? 23 YES, SIR. 24 AND THAT WAS BEFORE THE GRAND JURY, WASN'T 25 IT? 26 YES, SIR. 27 AND YOU TOLD THEM YOU DID NOT RECOGNIZE Q 28 THAT; IS THAT CORRECT? I DIDN'T REMEMBER THEN AT THE TIME. 29 NOW, YOU'VE TESTIFIED I BELIEVE THAT WHEN 30 31 YOU DROPPED SAM OFF AT THE WINCHESTER PLAZA, BEFORE HE RETURNED -- JUST BEFORE HE RETURNED AND YOU LEFT FOR CALIFORNIA THAT SAM 32

1	EXPLAINED THAT HE WAS GOING TO ROB A PIMP; IS THAT CORRECT?
2	A YES, SIR.
3	Q NOW, YOU TESTIFIED BEFORE THE GRAND JURY,
4	DID YOU NOT, ON MAY 20TH, 1981; IS THAT CORRECT?
5	A YES, SIR.
6	Q YOU REMEMBER THE DATE OR WOULD YOU LIKE ME
7	TO SHOW YOU THE
8	A YOU CAN I KNOW IT WAS I WAS IN THE
9	HOSPITAL AT THE TIME THAT THEY SENT FOR ME.
10	Q DO YOU REMEMBER THE DATE OR WOULD YOU LIKE
11	ME TO SHOW IT TO YOU?
12	A YOU CAN SHOW IT TO ME.
13	MR. FRANZEN: MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR
14	HONOR?
15	THE COURT: YOU MAY.
16	
17	BY MR. FRANZEN:
18	
19	——————————————————————————————————————
20	A YES, SIR.
21	Q AT THAT TIME YOU WERE SWORN TO TELL THE
22	TRUTH?
23	A YES; SIR.
24	Q THE WHOLE TRUTH AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH?
25	A YES, SIR.
26	Q THE QUESTION WAS ASKED YOU:
27	DID HE TELL YOU WHAT HE
28	WAS GOING THERE TO DO?
29	AND YOU REPLIED:
30	HUH-UH.
31	WOULD THAT BE YOUR CORRECT TESTIMONY
32	BEFORE THE GRAND JURY OR WOULD YOU LIKE TO LOOK AT THE TRAN-
- -	-1003-

SCRIPT?

1 2

3

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

YEAH. I CAN LOOK AT IT.

WAS IT UH-HUH AFTER OR A AH-HA?

A U-H HYPHEN U-H, UH-UH.

THE COURT: COUNSEL?

MR. FRANZEN: REFERRING TO PAGE 84, LINES 6 THROUGH 12, YOUR HONOR.

THE WITNESS: NO. HE DIDN'T TELL ME EXACTLY WHAT HE WAS GOING TO DO, IF HE WAS GOING TO PHYSICALLY OR WHATEVER.

BY MR. FRANZEN:

BUT THE QUESTION THAT WAS PROPOSED TO YOU ON THAT DAY BEFORE THE GRAND JURY WAS:

> DID HE TELL YOU WHAT HE WAS GOING TO DO -- GOING THERE TO DO?

> > AND YOU REPLIED IN THE NEGATIVE; IS

THAT TRUE?

I DON'T REMEMBER IF IT WAS. YOU KNOW, HE TOLD ME WHAT HE WAS GOING TO DO, BUT IT WAS A AH-HA OR A HUH-UH

> MR. FRANZEN: MAY I READ THIS INTO THE RECORD? THE COURT: YOU MAY.

MR. FRANZEN: I GUESS I'LL BEGIN'AT THE TOP OF THE PAGE, YOUR HONOR. I BELIEVE IT CONFLICTS:

> QUESTION: SO I JUMPED UP AND I ASKED -- WASHED MY FACE AND EVERY-THING AND PUT ON MY CLOTHES. AND HE TOLD ME TO PUT -- HAVE THE BAGS PACKED, AND HE TOLD ME TO DRIVE CAREFULLY. SO THEN HE HAD ME TO GO -- IT WAS NEAR THE

> > -1004-

MALL OR SOMETHING -- THIS OFFICE THERE.
PARAGRAPH.

SO THEN HE HAD ME TO DRIVE DOWN
THERE. AND THEN INSTEAD OF GOING TO
THIS MEDICAL PLACE, HE JUST HAD ME GO
THROUGH THE ALLEY OF THE PLACE THAT HAS
LIKE A PASSAGE OR ALLEY OR SOMETHING
BEHIND IT. AND HE TOLD ME TO GO BACK
HOME AND WAIT FOR THE TELEPHONE UNTIL --

QUESTION: DID HE TELL YOU WHAT HE WAS GOING TO DO QUESTION MARK.

ANSWER: UH-UH.

BY MR. FRANZEN:

Q NOW, YOU HAVE TESTIFIED THAT SAM HAD -THIS AFTERNOON BEFORE THE JURY YOU HAD TESTIFIED --

A YES, SIR.

Q (CONTINUING) -- THAT SAM HAD THIS GUN,

STATE'S 31-B, WITH HIM WHEN HE GOT OUT OF THE CAR AT THIS

MEDICAL PLACE; IS THAT CORRECT?

A YES, SIR.

Q DO YOU RECALL TESTIFYING BEFORE THE GRAND

JURY, WHERE YOU WERE: SWORN TO TELL THE TRUTH --

A YES, SIR.

Q (CONTINUING) -- THAT SAM DID NOT HAVE THE

GUN?

32

A I SAID SAM DID NOT HAVE THE GUN?

Q YES, MA'AM.

A OH, NO. NO.

Q WOULD IT ASSIST YOU IF I SHOWED YOU THE

TRANSCRIPT?

1	Q DO YOU RECALL RESPONDING THAT YOU WERE
2	GUESSING OR SPECULATING THAT HE DID HAVE THE GUN WITH HIM, THAT
3	YOU DID NOT ACTUALLY SEE THE GUN?
4	A THE WAY YOU WORDED IT, YES.
5	Q DID YOU ACTUALLY SEE THE GUN WHEN YOU
6	DROPPED HIM OFF?
7	A THE GUN WAS
8	Q DID YOU ACTUALLY SEE THE GUN WHEN YOU
9	DROPPED HIM OFF?
10	A (CONTINUING) OUT.
11	Q DID YOU ACTUALLY SEE THE GUN WHEN BY
12	AT THE ALLEY OF THE WINCHESTER PLAZA WHEN YOU DROPPED HIM OFF?
13	A NO.
14	Q NOW, YOU'VE TESTIFIED THAT YOU NEVER
15	THAT YOU THOUGHT THE GOLD WATCH THAT SAM WAS WEARING WAS A
16	SEIKO; IS THAT CORRECT?
17	A YES, SIR.
18	Q BUT YOU NEVER DID SEE THE BRAND NAME
19_	WRITTEN ON THE WATCH; 15 THAT CORRECT?
20	A I'VE SEEN THE THE WATCH.
21	Q 15 IT
22	A AND 1
23	Q (CONTINUING) CORRECT
24	A (CONTINUING) IT'S BEEN SO LONG SO I DON'T
25	REMEMBER.
26	Q DO YOU RECALL BEING ASKED ON MAY 20TH,
27	1981, BY THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY BEFORE THE GRAND JURY:
28	WHAT KIND OF WATCH DID
29 30	YOU SEE WHEN HE RETURNED TO
31	THE BEST WESTERN MOTEL?
32	A THEY ASKED ME A QUESTION ABOUT IT BUT I
	DON'T REMEMBER THE THE QUESTION.
	-1007-

- 11	_		
1	Q	DO YOU RECALL ANSWERING:	
2		I DIDN'T REALLY SEE IT.	
3		HE MIGHT HAVE SHOWN ME THEN	•
4		BUT I DON'T REMEMBER.	
5		QUESTION: DID YOU SEE	
6	`	THE BRAND NAME?	
7		ANSWER: NO. IT WAS JUST	
8		A GOLD WRISTWATCH. THAT IS	
9		ALL I SEEN.	
10		AND HE SAYS, YOU KNOW,	
11		I'M GETTING TIRED OF THIS	
12		PLACE. HE SAID, LET'S GO.	
13	•	WE WENT TO CALIFORNIA.	
14	A	YES.	
15	Q	DO YOU REMEMBER THAT?	
16	A	I REMEMBER HIM ASKING ABOUT THE WATCH.	
17	Q	DO YOU REMEMBER THIS ANSWER?	
18	A	OH, NO, BUT I COULD HAVE SAID IT.	
1 9	Q	OKAY.	
20		NOW, YOU'VE GIVEN A DESCRIPTION OF SOME	
21		LET THAT MR. HOWARD, YOU SAY, HAD IN HIS	
22	POSSESSION WHEN HE R	ETURNED TO THE BEST WESTERN OR THE WESTERN	
23	MOTEL AFTER YOU DROP	PPED HIM OFF AT THIS AREA OF THE WINCHESTER	•
24	COMPLEX; IS THAT COR		
25	A	YES, SIR.	
26	Q	DO YOU RECALL ON APRIL THE 24TH, 1980,	
27 28	SPEAKING WITH DETECT	TIVE LEAVITT BY TELEPHONE?	
29	A	YES, SIR. SOMEBODY CALLED ME.	
30	Q	HE CALLED YOU?	
31	· A	OR I CALLED HIM, ONE OF THE TWO. BUT I	
32	Q	YOU DON'T REMEMBER WHICH?	0.027
	^	I DON'T REMEMBER IF I CALLED HIM OR HE	2036
		-1008-	

1	Q	DO YOU RECALL TELLING DETECTIVE LEAVITT	
2	THAT?		
3	A	I DON'T REMEMBER.	
4	Q	COULD YOU HAVE TOLD DETECTIVE LEAVITT	
5	THAT?		
6	A '	THAT I DIDN'T LOOK INTO THE WALLET?	
7	Q	YES, MA'AM.	
8	. A	YES, SIR.	
9	Q	AND THAT QUESTION, REGARDING LOOKING INTO	
10	THE WALLET, WAS GIV	EN TO YOU IN THE CONTEXT OF: DID YOU SEE	
11	ANYTHING IN THE WAL	LET SUCH AS CREDIT CARDS; IS NOT THAT	
12	CORRECT?		
13	A	IN THE WALLET OR OUTSIDE IN HIS HAND?	
14	Q	YES, MA'AM. IN THE WALLET, MA'AM.	
15	A	NO, I DIDN'T SEE ANYTHING IN THE WALLET.	
16	Q	WELL, WHEN DETECTIVE LEAVITT ASKED YOU IF	
17	YOU SAW ANYTHING		
18	A	OH. CAN I	
19	Q	(CONTINUING) IN THE WALLET	_
20	A	(CONTINUING) SAY SOMETHING?	
21	Q	JUST WAIT A MINUTE THERE.	
22	A	OKAY.	
23	Q	WHEN DETECTIVE LEAVITY ASKED YOU IF YOU HAD	
24	SEEN ANYTHING IN TH	E WALLET, WERE YOU MAKING A DISTINCTION	
25	BETWEEN SAMUEL OPEN	ING THE WALLET AND LOOKING IN IT OR YOUR	
26	OPENING THE WALLET	į.	
27	A	I'M STATING THAT HE OPENED THE WALLET AND	
28	I SEEN IN IT.		
29	Q	SO THAT'S DIFFERENT FROM YOUR NEVER LOOKING	Ì
30	IN THE WALLET?		ĺ
31	A	WITH MY HANDS?	l
32	٩	YES.	ļ
	41		

Α	NO,	SIR.

Q AND YOU DON'T BELIEVE DETECTIVE LEAVITT

WOULD HAVE BEEN INTERESTED AT THIS TIME IN WHAT YOU HAD SEEN -
MR. SEATON: THAT CALLS FOR SPECULATION AS TO WHAT

DETECTIVE LEAVITT THOUGHT.

MR. FRANZEN: NO. IT GOES DIRECTLY TO WHAT SHE THOUGHT, YOUR HONOR. I HAVE AN OFFICER ASKING HER WHAT SHE SAW IN THE WALLET. SHE'S NOW DESCRIBING A DISTINCTION. AND I'D LIKE TO KNOW IF SHE AGREED WITH THE DISTINCTION.

THE COURT: RESTATE YOUR QUESTION, COUNSEL.

BY MR. FRANZEN:

Q YOU'RE MAKING A DISTINCTION THEN AT THIS TIME BETWEEN WHAT YOU WOULD HAVE SEEN IN THE WALLET IF YOU HAD PICKED THE WALLET UP AND LOOKED IN IT, AND BETWEEN WHAT YOU WOULD HAVE SEEN IF MR. HOWARD PICKED THE WALLET UP AND LOOKED IN IT; IS THAT CORRECT?

OKAY. I DIDN'T TOUCH THE WALLET BUT HE

OPENED THE WALLET; IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT?

Q YES, MA'AM.

A YES, HE OPENED THE WALLET AND THE PICTURES

-- IT'S ONE OF THOSE WALLETS WHERE YOU HAVE THE DIFFERENT -WHERE YOU CAN PUT YOUR CARDS IN AND THEY'RE ALL IN PLASTIC AND
IT JUST CAME OUT WRONG (INDICATING).

BUT YOU DIDN'T TELL THAT TO DETECTIVE LEAVITY AT THAT TIME, DID YOU?

A I -- I DON'T KNOW. I CAN'T REMEMBER WHAT I TALKED TO HIM ABOUT THOSE YEARS AGO.

Q NOW, YOU'VE TESTIFIED THAT -- HAVE YOU EVER RIDDEN IN A VAN, BLACK VAN, THAT HAS "BLACK OAK" WRITTEN ON IT?

A NO, SIRÍ

1	Q	SHOWING YOU STATE'S EXHIBIT 1, HAVE YOU
2	EVER SEEN THIS BEFOR	E?
3	A	нин-ин.
4	Q	HAVE YOU EVER RIDDEN IN IT BEFORE?
5	Α	NO, SIR.
6	Q ·	AND WHEN YOU SAID HUH-UH, THAT WAS NO, YOU
7	HAD NEVER SEEN IT OR	RIDDEN IN 1T?
8	A	NO, SIR.
9	· Q	BACK 1N MARCH, 1980, AROUND MARCH 27, 1980,
10	DO YOU RECALL HOW YO	OU WERE WEARING YOUR HAIR?
11	A	IN BRAIDS.
12	Q	COULD YOU BE A LITLLE MORE PARTICULAR SO
13	WE MIGHT UNDERSTAND	WHAT YOU MEAN BY "IN BRAIDS"?
14	А	TWO FRENCH BRAIDS (INDICATING).
15	Q	WHAT? I'M SORRY. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THAT
16	MEANS. CAN YOU EXP	_AIN?
17	А	THE WOMEN DO KNOW.
18		THAT MEANS THAT IT'S IT'S BRAIDED
19	AND (INDICATING)	•
20		FRENCH BRAIDS ARE WHEN THEY'RE BRAIDED
21	IN A CHAIN ALL THE	WAY DOWN (INDICATING).
22	Q	NOW, ON APRIL 1ST, 1980, SAM WAS ARRESTED
23	IN CALIFORNIA, WASN	<i>≱</i> •
24	A .	YES, SIR.
25	Q ~	AND DID YOU CALL THE POLICE AND IDENTIFY
26		ICE OFFICER AS BEING DAWANA BOYD?
27	A	I DON'T KNOW.
28 29	Q	WELL THATIS MY MAIDEN NAME.
30	A	THAT'S MY MAIDEN NAME. DO YOU KNOW IF YOU CALLED THE POLICE?
31	Q A	YES, I DID. I LEFT GOT A NUMBER LEFT
32	FOR ME.	,
	TOK NE.	
		-1012-

1	Q AND DID YOU TELL THE POLICE OFFICER THERE
2	THAT THE BLACK OLDSMCBILE WAS YOUR CAR, YOUR VEHICLE?
3	A THEY ASKED ME WAS IT MINE, AND I SAID
4	Q DID
5	A (CONTINUING) YES.
6	Q NOW, DO YOU RECALL A MEETING WITH A
7	DETECTIVE G. MORROW AND DETECTIVE ROBERT BRADFORD OF THE DOWNEY
8	POLICE DEPARTMENT IN CALIFORNIA ON APRIL 2ND, 1980?
9	A SOME POLICE APRIL THE 2ND?
10	Q YES, MA'AM.
11	A I KNOW THEY CAME ON APRIL FOOLS DAY, APRIL
12	THE 1ST. THERE WAS LOTS OF THEM. 1 DON'T KNOW WHICH ONES WAS
13	WHO OR WHAT, BUT I KNOW SOME CAME FROM DOWNEY.
14	Q DO YOU RECALL TELLING THESE OFFICERS THAT
15	YOU HAD MET THE DEFENDANT MR. HOWARD ABOUT A YEAR AGO, AS OF
16	MARCH OR RATHER APRIL 2ND, 1980?
16 17	MARCH OR RATHER APRIL 2ND, 1980? A I COULD HAVE, UH-HUH.
17	A I COULD HAVE, UH-HUH.
17 18	A I COULD HAVE, UH-HUH. Q DO YOU RECALL TELLING THEM THAT YOU HAD
17 18 19	A I COULD HAVE, UH-HUH. Q DO YOU RECALL TELLING THEM THAT YOU HAD MET HIM AT A PARTY IN THE TUSCON AREA?
17 18 19 20	A I COULD HAVE, UH-HUH. Q DO YOU RECALL TELLING THEM THAT YOU HAD MET HIM AT A PARTY IN THE TUSCON AREA? A NO. NO. I DON'T REMEMBER THAT.
17 18 19 20 21	A I COULD HAVE, UH-HUH. Q DO YOU RECALL TELLING THEM THAT YOU HAD MET HIM AT A PARTY IN THE TUSCON AREA? A NO. NO. I DON'T REMEMBER THAT. Q DO YOU REMEMBER TELLING THEM, DURING THE
17 18 19 20 21 22	A I COULD HAVE, UH-HUH. Q DO YOU RECALL TELLING THEM THAT YOU HAD MET HIM AT A PARTY IN THE TUSCON AREA? A NO. NO. I DON'T REMEMBER THAT. Q DO YOU REMEMBER TELLING THEM, DURING THE WEEK PRIOR, THAT IS THE WEEK PRIOR TO APRIL 2ND, 1980
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A I COULD HAVE, UH-HUH. Q DO YOU RECALL TELLING THEM THAT YOU HAD MET HIM AT A PARTY IN THE TUSCON AREA? A NO. NO. I DON'T REMEMBER THAT. Q DO YOU REMEMBER TELLING THEM, DURING THE WEEK PRIOR, THAT IS THE WEEK PRIOR TO APRIL 2ND, 1980 A UH-HUH.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A I COULD HAVE, UH-HUH. Q DO YOU RECALL TELLING THEM THAT YOU HAD MET HIM AT A PARTY IN THE TUSCON AREA? A NO. NO. I DON'T REMEMBER THAT. Q DO YOU REMEMBER TELLING THEM, DURING THE WEEK PRIOR, THAT IS THE WEEK PRIOR TO APRIL 2ND, 1980 A UH-HUH. Q (CONTINUING) BEING THE WEEK OF MARCH
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	A I COULD HAVE, UH-HUH. Q DO YOU RECALL TELLING THEM THAT YOU HAD MET HIM AT A PARTY IN THE TUSCON AREA? A NO. NO. I DON'T REMEMBER THAT. Q DO YOU REMEMBER TELLING THEM, DURING THE WEEK PRIOR, THAT IS THE WEEK PRIOR TO APRIL 2ND, 1980 A UH-HUH. Q (CONTINUING) BEING THE WEEK OF MARCH 26TH THROUGH MARCH 28TH, THAT YOU WERE IN THE TUSCON, ARIZONA,
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	A I COULD HAVE, UH-HUH. Q DO YOU RECALL TELLING THEM THAT YOU HAD MET HIM AT A PARTY IN THE TUSCON AREA? A NO. NO. I DON'T REMEMBER THAT. Q DO YOU REMEMBER TELLING THEM, DURING THE WEEK PRIOR, THAT IS THE WEEK PRIOR TO APRIL 2ND, 1980 A UH-HUH. Q (CONTINUING) BEING THE WEEK OF MARCH 26TH THROUGH MARCH 28TH, THAT YOU WERE IN THE TUSCON, ARIZONA, AREA?
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	A I COULD HAVE, UH-HUH. Q DO YOU RECALL TELLING THEM THAT YOU HAD MET HIM AT A PARTY IN THE TUSCON AREA? A NO. NO. I DON'T REMEMBER THAT. Q DO YOU REMEMBER TELLING THEM, DURING THE WEEK PRIOR, THAT IS THE WEEK PRIOR TO APRIL 2ND, 1980 A UH-HUH. Q (CONTINUING) BEING THE WEEK OF MARCH 26TH THROUGH MARCH 28TH, THAT YOU WERE IN THE TUSCON, ARIZONA, AREA? A I I DON'T REMEMBER.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	A I COULD HAVE, UH-HUH. Q DO YOU RECALL TELLING THEM THAT YOU HAD MET HIM AT A PARTY IN THE TUSCON AREA? A NO. NO. I DON'T REMEMBER THAT. Q DO YOU REMEMBER TELLING THEM, DURING THE WEEK PRIOR, THAT IS THE WEEK PRIOR TO APRIL 2ND, 1980 A UH-HUH. Q (CONTINUING) BEING THE WEEK OF MARCH 26TH THROUGH MARCH 28TH, THAT YOU WERE IN THE TUSCON, ARIZONA, AREA? A I I DON'T REMEMBER. Q COULD YOU HAVE TOLD THEM THAT?
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	A I COULD HAVE, UH-HUH. Q DO YOU RECALL TELLING THEM THAT YOU HAD MET HIM AT A PARTY IN THE TUSCON AREA? A NO. NO. I DON'T REMEMBER THAT. Q DO YOU REMEMBER TELLING THEM, DURING THE WEEK PRIOR, THAT IS THE WEEK PRIOR TO APRIL 2ND, 1980 A UH-HUH. Q (CONTINUING) BEING THE WEEK OF MARCH 26TH THROUGH MARCH 28TH, THAT YOU WERE IN THE TUSCON, ARIZONA, AREA? A I I DON'T REMEMBER. Q COULD YOU HAVE TOLD THEM THAT? A I COULD HAVE TOLD THEM THAT. THEY DIDN'T

BY MR. FRANZEN:

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29

31

32

NOW, IT'S YOUR TESTIMONY TODAY THAT AFTER YOU DROPPED MR. HOWARD OFF AT THE WINCHESTER PLAZA HE REAPPEARED AT THE APARTMENT WHERE YOU WERE STAYING, THE BEST WESTERN, ABOUT AN HOUR LATER.

JUST -- WOULD YOU STATE THAT OVER? I CAN'T -- CAN YOU SAY THAT OVER AGAIN?

IT'S YOUR TESTIMONY TODAY THAT AFTER YOU . DROPPED SAM HOWARD OFF AT THE WINCHESTER PLAZA ON DESERT INN --

UH-HUH.

(CONTINUING) -- YOU RETURNED TO YOUR HOTEL AND HE APPEARED AT THE HOTEL APPROXIMATELY ONE HOUR LATER?

YES, SIR.

DO YOU RECALL TESTIFYING BEFORE THE GRAND JURY ON MAY 20TH, 1981, TELLING THEM THAT IT WAS ABOUT 30 MINUTES LATER?

I WASN'T INCLUDING THE TIME THAT I WAS

DRIVING.

NOW, YOU SAY THAT SAM TOLD YOU, WHEN YOU DROPPED -- YOU DROPPED HIM OFF, THAT HE WAS GOING TO ROB A PIMP; IS THAT CORRECT? . .

YES, SIR.

THIS WAS AT THE WINCHESTER?

YES, SIR.

DO YOU RECALL TELLING THE GRAND JURY ON ?"

MAY 20, 1981:

I DIDN'T KNOW HE WAS GOING TO KILL HIM -- NOBODY. I THOUGHT HE WAS UP TO HIS HUSTLING TRICKS BECAUSE HE SAID, I KNOW HOW TO HUSTLE.

-1015-

1	IS THAT WHAT YOU TOLD THE GRAND JURY?	
2	A YES, SIR.	
3	Q AND THEN THE NEXT QUESTION PROPOUNDED WAS:	
4	ALL RIGHT. WHEN IS THE	
5	NEXT TIME YOU SAW HIM?	
6	ANSWER: I SAW HIM ABOUT	
7	30 MINUTES LATER.	
8	THAT'S 30 MINUTES AFTER YOU DROPPED HIM	
9	OFF?	
10	A I DIDN'T INCLUDE THE DRIVING TIME. NOW,	
11	IF I INCLUDE THE DRIVING TIME, IT WOULD BE 40 I'D SAY BETWEEN	
12	45 MINUTES AND AN HOUR.	
13	Q NOW, WHEN YOU DROPPED SAM HOWARD OFF AT	
14	THE MEDICAL BUILDING WHAT WAS SAM WEARING?	
15	A SAM WAS WEARING THE LEATHER JACKET AND THE	
16	GRAY SHIRT AND GRAY PANTS, I'M PRETTY SURE.	
17	Q HE WASN'T WEARING A GREEN JACKET, WAS HE?	
18	A HE DIDN'T OWN A GREEN JACKET.	
 19	Q HE WASN'T WEARING A BIG CONSTRUCTION-TYPE	
20	BROWN LEATHER BELT, WAS HE?	
21	A ' NO.	
22	Q DID HE OWN SUCH AN ITEM?	
23	A A BIG CONSTRUCTION-TYPE - WHAT DO YOU	
24	MEAN?	
25	Q A BIG WIDE BELT.	
26	A A BIG WIDE ONE?	•
27	Q THREE INCHES MAYBE.	
28	A (INDICATING).	
29	Q YES. SURE.	
30	A LIKE THIS (INDICATING)?	
31	Q YES.	0544
32	A NO.	2044

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

29

30

31 32

MR. FRANZEN: MAY THE RECORD SHOW, YOUR HONGR, THE WITNESS GESTURED AND IT LOOKED TO ME ABOUT THREE INCHES WHEN SHE WAS DEMONSTRATING.

THE COURT: I DON'T KNOW WHETHER IT WAS OR NOT, COUNSEL. I WOULD --

THE WITNESS: CAN I SAY THAT --

THE COURT: (CONTINUING) -- PLACE IN THE RECORD THAT SHE DID GESTURE AND THERE WAS SOME DISTANCE BETWEEN THE FINGERS, BUT 1 DON'T KNOW WHETHER IT WAS THREE INCHES OR NOT.

THE WITNESS: YOU SAID THE BELT WAS THAT BIG (INDI-CATING), ABOUT THREE INCHES. AND I SAID, WELL, WHAT? THAT BIG (INDICATING)? AND YOU SAID, OH, JUST --

THE COURT: THAT'S ALL RIGHT.

BY MR. FRANZEN:

NOW, YOU SAY THAT AFTER YOU LEFT HERE AND WENT TO CALIFORNIA MR. HOWARD WENT INTO A BANK?

A YES, SIR.

DO YOU KNOW WHAT HE DID THERE?

HE SAID THE -- THE CAR THAT HE HAD HE WAS GOING TO SEE IF HE COULD GET SOME MONEY.

> DID HE? Q

WHEN HE COME BACK OUT HE HAD \$20.

MR. FRANZEN: COULD I HAVE THE COURT'S INDULGENCE FOR ONE MOMENT, YOUR HONOR.

NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.

THE COURT: REDIRECT?

MR. SEATON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

I		
1	Q	YES.
2	. А	ABOUT THREE.
3		ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT THE DETECTIVES?
4	Q	YES. LET ME REPHRASE THE QUESTION.
5		HOW MANY TIMES HAVE DETECTIVES FROM THE
é	LAS VEGAS POLICE DEP	ARTMENT ASKED YOU QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS CASE?
7	A	TWICE.
8	Q	HERE IN LAS VEGAS?
9	A	ONCE IN CALIFORNIA AND THE OTHER TIME ON
10	THE TELEPHONE.	·
11	Q	HAVE YOU BEEN ASKED QUESTIONS BY CALIFORNIA
12	POLICE OFFICERS ABOU	T THIS CASE?
13	A	YES, SIR.
14	Q	HOW MANY TIMES?
15	A	TWICE; TWO OR THREE TIMES.
16	Q	HAVE YOU BEEN TALKED TO AT ALL HAVE YOU
17	BEEN ASKED QUESTIONS	BY ANY DETECTIVES IN ARIZONA?
18	A	I THINK ONCE BEFORE.
19	 	HAVE YOU BEEN ASKED ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT
20	THIS CASE BY DETECT	IVES IN SAN BERNARDINO, CALIFORNIA?
21	A	YES.
22	Q	HOW MANY TIMES, IN YOUR OPINION?
23	A	ONCE. R. W
24	Q	HAVE YOU BEEN ASKED ANY QUESTIONS
25	A	OH, NO. MORE THAN ONCE.
26	Q	EXCUSE ME.
27	A	THREE TIMES.
28	Q	THREE TIMES?
29	Α	YEAH.
30	Q	BY SAN BERNARDINO POLICE OFFICERS?
31	A	YEAH, UH-HUH.
32	Q	HAVE YOU EVER BEEN ASKED ANY QUESTIONS
		-1019-

ABOUT THIS CASE BY MEMBERS OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEYS OFFICE OF I 2 SAN BERNARDINO? YES, SIR. HOW MANY TIMES? OH, LET'S SEE. HOW MANY TIMES WAS THAT? I'D SAY ABOUT FIVE. YOU SAID ON CROSS EXAMINATION THAT YOU AND I HAD SPOKEN ABOUT THE CASE BACK IN JANUARY OF 1983; IS THAT CORRECT? 10 YES, SIR. AND WE SPENT TWO OR THREE HOURS TOGETHER; 11 12 IS THAT WHAT YOU SAID? 13 YES, SIR. AT MY REQUEST, DURING THAT TWO- OR THREE-14 15 HOUR PERIOD OF TIME, DID YOU AND I GO FOR A DRIVE? 16 YES, SIR. 17 AND WHO DID THE DRIVING? Q 18 YOU DID. WHO DID THE DIRECTING WHERE WE WOULD GO? 19 20 I DID. DID I GIVE YOU ANY ASSISTANCE IN THAT 21 22 REGARD? 1. . 23 NO. 24 WHERE DID WE GO? 25 WE WENT TO SEARS PARKING LOT. 26 AND WHAT DID WE DO AFTER WE GOT TO SEARS 27 PARKING LOT? 28 THEN I TOOK YOU TO THE SPOT -- YOU WERE 29 DRIVING BUT I WAS GIVING YOU DIRECTIONS, PARK HERE IN THIS AREA. 30 FROM THEN I HAD YOU BACK OUT AND GO TO THE LEFT AND THEN MAKE 31 A RIGHT AND THEN ANOTHER LEFT AND GO ONTO MARYLAND PARKWAY. THIS IS -- THE LEFT TURN AND RIGHT WAS GETTING OUT OF THE PARK-32

	<u> </u>		
1		NOW, WHICH PLACE WAS THIS?	
2	A	THIS WAS THE DENTIST OFFICE AT THE 1500	
3			
4		IS THAT THE FIRST PLACE THAT YOU HAD TAKEN	
5			
6		YES.	
7		AND DID WE STAY THERE FOR ANY PERIOD OF	
8	·		
9		. NO.	
10	11		
11	Li .	JUST SECONDS.	:
12	ļļ.		
13	11	1 TOLD YOU, AND THAT WAS THE END OF THE	
14	il .	DROPPED HIM OFF THE SECOND TIME.	
1		THE ALLEYS	
10	1		ļ
17	.	AND WAS IT AT YOUR DIRECTION?	
10		YES, SIR.	
19	• -	AND WHAT HAPPENED WHEN WE GOT TO THE END	
20	OF THE ALLEY?		
2	. [NOTHING THAT I KNOW OF. WE JUST DROVE OFF	
2:	AND CAME BACK	TO THE TOWN COURTS THIS COURTS PLACES	ļ
2	3	IN ALL OF OUR TALKS WHAT DID YOU UNDERSTAND	
2	THAT YOU WERE	TO DO HERE ON THE STAND AS A WITNESS IN THIS CASE?	
2	5	TO TELL THE TRUTH AND TO IF I DON'T	
2	KNOW ANYTHING	DON'T SAY THAT I KNOW IT, JUST YOU DON'T RECALL;	
2	IF YOU DO REC	ALL THEN TELL WHAT YOU RECALL.	
2	•	THANK YOU.	
2	•	YOU INDICATED ON CROSS EXAMINATION THAT	
3	WHEN YOU CAME	TO THE GRAND JURY, WHICH WAS HELD IN LAS VEGAS ON	
3	MAY 201H, 198	l	
3	2	YES, SIR.	2050

1	Q (CONTINUING) IN WHICH YOU TESTIFIED
2	A YES, SIR.
3	Q (CONTINUING) THAT YOU CAME FROM A HOS-
4	PITAL; IS THAT CORRECT?
5	A YES, SIR.
6	Q WHERE IS THAT HOSPITAL?
7	A IN TUSCON, ARIZONA.
8	Q AND WERE YOU A PATIENT IN THAT HOSPITAL?
9	A YES, SIR.
10	Q WHY WERE YOU A PATIENT IN THAT HOSPITAL?
11	A BECAUSE I HAD A LIVER DISORDER. I HAD
12	STONES IN THE DUCTS OF MY LIVER IN THE PANCREAS, OVER A HUNDRED
13	OF THEM.
14	Q WHAT HAPPENED? HOW WERE YOU TREATED?
15	A I WAS GIVEN DEMEROL, 15 MILLIGRAMS.
16	Q AND DID IT CLEAR UP?
17	A NO, SIR.
18	Q WHEN YOU CAME TO TESTIFY AT THE GRAND JURY
19-	DID YOU HAVE TO HAVE A DOCTOR'S PERMISSION?
20	A YES, SIR.
21	Q TWO DOCTORS' PERMISSIONS?
22	MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, COULD I HAVE THE RELEVANCE
23	OF THIS?
24	MR. SEATON: THEY RAISED THE ISSUE, YOUR HONOR.
25	THE COURT: I THINK WE'RE GOING ASTRAY, COUNSEL.
26	LET'S GET BACK ON THE CASE AT HAND.
27	MR. SEATON: COURT'S INDULGENCE.
28	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
29	
30	BY MR. SEATON:
31	
32	Q MISS THOMAS, TAKING YOU BACK TO WHEN YOU
	II

-1023-

-1024-

- 1		
1		ONCE YOU LEFT THE MOTEL AND DROVE TO
2	MC DONALDS AND THEN T	O THE WINCHESTER PLAZA AND LEFT LEFT
з	THE DEFENDANT SAM HOW	ARD OUT, DURING THAT PERIOD OF TIME DID
4	YOU SEE THE GUN AGAIN	?
5	А	WHEN HE GOT OUT THE CAR
6	Q	ANYTIME WITHIN THAT TIME PERIOD?
7	Α	NO. BUT NO, I DIDN'T SEE THE GUN. NO.
8	Q	WHILE YOU WERE IN THE CAR GOING TO MC
9	DONALDS DID YOU SEE T	HE DEFENDANT TAKE THE GUN OUT OF HIS PANTS?
10	А	NO.
11	Q	DID YOU SEE THE GUN DURING THAT PERIOD OF.
12	TIME ANYWHERE IN THE	AUTOMOBILE?
13	A	NO.
14	Q	WHILE YOU WERE AT MC DONALDS DID YOU SEE
15	THE DEFENDANT TAKE TH	E GUN OUT OF HIS PANTS?
16	A	NO, SIR.
17	Q	WHEN YOU DROVE FROM MC DONALDS TO WINCHESTER
18	PLAZA DID YOU SEE THE	DEFENDANT TAKE THE GUN OUT OF HIS PANTS?
19	A	NO.
20	Q	DID YOU, AT ANYTIME AFTER MC DONALDS, SEE
21	THE GUN IN THE CAR?	
22	A	NO
23	Q	WHEN YOU DROVE BACK TO THE MOTEL DID YOU
24	SEE THE GUN. IN THE CA	
25 26	A	NO.
26 27	Q	WHEN WAS THE NEXT TIME YOU SAW THE GUN ?:
28	A .	WHEN HE CAME BACK TO THE ROOM.
29	Q	AND WHERE WAS AT THE AT THE BEST WESTERN MOTEL ON
30	A BOULDER.	AT THE AT THE BEST MESTERN MOTES ON
31	Q Q	IS THAT WHEN HE HAD THE C.B. IN HIS HANDS?
32	A	UH-HUH.
		-

╢	
1	Q AND WHERE WAS THE GUN AT THAT TIME?
2	A THE GUN WAS IN HIS PANTS.
3	Q HOW DO YOU KNOW THAT?
4	A BECAUSE WHEN HE WAS TAKING OFF, YOU KNOW,
5	HE WENT IN THE BATHROOM HE HAD TO TAKE THE JACKET OFF AND STUFF
6	AND WENT IN THE BATHROOM.
7	MR. SEATON: THANK YOU.
8	I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.
9	
10	RECROSS EXAMINATION
11	
12	BY MR. FRANZEN:
13	
14	Q MA'AM, IT'S YOUR TESTIMONY THAT YOU HAVE
15	NEVER SEEN THIS HOLSTER, 25-A; IS THAT CORRECT?
16	A I MEAN I DIDN'T TESTIFY THAT I NEVER SEEN
17	IT. I JUST DON'T REMEMBER ABOUT IT.
18	Q YOU DON'T REMEMBER.
19	NOW, YOU'VE TESTIFIED IN RESPONSE TO
20	REDIRECT THAT YOU WERE HOSPITALIZED AND ON DEMEROL. IS IT YOUR
21	TESTIMONY NOW WHEN YOU TESTIFIED BEFORE THE GRAND JURY YOU WERE
22	ON SOME SORT OF NARCOTIC SUBSTANCE?
23	A SAY THAT AGAIN.
24	Q IS IT YOUR TESTIMONY TODAY THAT WHEN YOU
25	TESTIFIED BEFORE THE GRAND JURY YOU WERE ON SOME TYPE OF NARCOTIC
26	SUBSTANCE?
27	A NO. I WASN'T ON ANY I HAD BEEN GETTING
28 29	IT.
30	Q BUT YOU WEREN'T ON IT WHEN YOU TESTIFIED ON
31	MAY 20TH, 1981?
32	A A ANTIDEPRESSANT.
~~	Q IN APRIL OF 1980 DID YOU GO INTO THE KENO
	-1026-

-1027-

		ļ
1	BREAKDOWN AND FOR YOUR BEING IN SHOCK?	
2	A YES, SIR.	
3	Q WHAT KIND OF TREATMENT DID YOU RECEIVE?	
4	LET ME ASK THIS, IF I MIGHT: DID YOU	
5	CONSULT WITH PSYCHIATRISTS?	
6	A YES, SIR.	
7	Q DID YOU HAVE A PARTICULAR PSYCHIATRIST WITH	
8	WHOM YOU WORKED?	١
9	A AT THE TIME IT WAS SMITH. YES, DOCTOR	
10	SM1TH.	
11	Q HE WAS A MEMBER OF THAT HOSPITAL?	
12	A YES, SIR.	
13	Q AND FOR HOW LONG A PERIOD OF TIME DID YOU	
14	CONSULT WITH I SHOULD ASK IT THIS WAY: ARE YOU STILL CON-	
15	SULTING WITH DOCTORS REGARDING THIS NERVOUS BREAKDOWN?	
16	A SINCE NOT RIGHT NOW.	
17	Q HOW LONG HAS IT	
18	A I HAD AN APPOINTMENT WITH THEM BUT I	Ì
19	COULDN'T KEEP IT.	1
20	Q WELL, LET ME ASK YOU THIS: TODAY, SITTING	
21	HERE, HOW DO YOU HOW IS YOUR MENTAL CONDITION COMPARED-TO	
22	THE NERVOUS BREAKDOWN THAT YOU HAD BACK IN APRIL OF 1980?	
23	A WELL, I'M A CHRISTIAN. YOU KNOW, I'M	
24	SAVED IN THE NAME OF JESUS CHRIST, AND I'M AT PEACE.	
25	I FEEL I FEEL GOOD, VERY GOOD.	
26	MR. SEATON: THANK YOU.	
27	I HAVE NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.	
28		
29	.	
30		
31		
32	· N	

MORE. AFTER SAM WAS ARRESTED DID Y A RIGHT AFTER? Q DID YOU WRITE WAS ARRESTED ON APRIL 1ST, 1980? A YES, SIR.	Y YOU COULDN'T TAKE IT ANY- YOU WRITE HIM?; TO HIM AT ANYTIME AFTER HE
Q MA'AM, YOU SAY MORE. AFTER SAM WAS ARRESTED DID Y A RIGHT AFTER? Q DID YOU WRITE WAS ARRESTED ON APRIL 1ST, 1980? A YES, SIR.	YOU WRITE HIM?
MORE. AFTER SAM WAS ARRESTED DID Y A RIGHT AFTER? Q DID YOU WRITE WAS ARRESTED ON APRIL 1ST, 1980? A YES, SIR.	YOU WRITE HIM?
A RIGHT AFTER? Q DID YOU WRITE WAS ARRESTED ON APRIL 1ST, 1980? A YES, SIR.	
Q DID YOU WRITE WAS ARRESTED ON APRIL 1ST, 1980? A YES, SIR.	TO HIM AT ANYTIME AFTER HE
WAS ARRESTED ON APRIL 1ST, 1980? A YES, SIR.	TO HIM AT ANYTIME AFTER HE
A YES, SIR.	repr
O DIDN'T YOU WE	· ·
	ITE HIM AND TELL HIM YOU LOVED
HIM?	
A YES, SIR.	
Q AND YOU WROTE	HIM AND ASKED FOR MONEY?
A YES, SIR.	
MR. FRANZEN: I HAVE	NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.
REDIRECT EXAMI	NOITAN
BY MR. SEATON:	
Q WHY DID YOU W	RITE HIM?
A WHY?	िते पुरुष पुरुष
Q YES.	*
• •	RECEIVED A TELEPHONE CALL FROM
SAM SAYING THAT THEY CAUGHT THE PE	
Q WHO DID WHAT?	
	DER THAT THEY TOLD ME ABOUT
THAT THEY WAS CHARGING HIM WITH.	
•	OVER THAT AGAIN. SAM TOLD YOU
WHAT ON THE TELEPHONE?	

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28 29

30

31 32

AND WHAT CAUSED YOU TO STOP CORRESPONDING WITH THE DEFENDANT SAM HOWARD?

BECAUSE MY PSYCHIATRIST WAS BREAKING THROUGH SOME OF THE THINGS THAT -- THEY SAID I WAS SUFFERING FROM CATASTROPHICAL STRESS, AND THEY WERE -- EVIDENTLY I WAS COMING OUT OF IT.

DID THIS STRESS YOU WERE SUFFERING UNDER 0 AFFECT YOUR MEMORY AT ALL?

YES. SIR.

IN WHAT WAY?

I WAS -- I BLOCKED OUT WHAT THE POLICE OFFICERS HAVE TOLD ME BECAUSE IT WAS VERY PAINFUL. I COULDN'T TAKE WHAT THEY WAS TELLING ME ABOUT DIFFERENT THINGS. SO WHEN I HAD THE NERVOUS BREAKDOWN 1 BLOCKED IT OUT.

AND THEN WHEN I STARTED COMING BACK TO, THEY STARTED WORKING WITH ME AND I STARTED HAVING THERAPY. I STARTED COMING BACK TO MY ORIGINAL SELF. I WAS STILL DEPRESSED, BUT I WAS COMING BACK AND I JUST STARTED REMEMBERING THINGS. AND I HAVE NIGHTMARES AND INSOMNIA.

WAS, OH, WHAT IT WAS SIX MONTHS OR A YEAR AFTER SAM WAS ARRESTED? MY MEMORY IS FINE. I MEAN AT LEAST -- WHEN I HAD CONVERSATIONS WITH PEOPLE, THEN IT WAS HARD TO REMEMBER. BUT I REMEMBER THINGS THAT WAS PAINFUL TO ME THAT I -- YOU KNOW, THINGS THAT WAS PERTAINING TO THIS WHOLE TRIAL AND PERTAINING TO ME AND SAM LIVING TOGETHER. I REMEMBER THINGS CLEARLY.

HOW IS YOUR MEMORY NOW COMPARED TO WHAT IT

AND YOU'RE AT PEACE NOW? Q

YES, SIR.

Q

MR. SEATON: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.

1

3

5

6

.7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28 29

30

31 32 RECROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. FRANZEN:

Q WHEN YOU WERE ADMITTED TO THE HOSPITAL WERE YOU PLACED IMMEDIATELY ON DEMEROL?

A NO, SIR.

Q WHAT WERE YOU PLACED ON?

A WHEN I WAS ADMITTED I WAS PLACED ON NAVANE.

Q WHAT'S THAT?

A IT'S A PSYCHOTIC -- NOT PSYCHOTIC, BUT IT'S

A -- WHAT KIND OF DRUG IS THIS? THIS IS A -- I DON'T KNOW.

IT'S SOME KIND OF DRUG THAT MAKES YOU SLEEPY.

MR. FRANZEN: I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.

THE COURT: NOTHING BY THE STATE?

MR. SEATON: NOTHING BY THE STATE, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: YOU'RE EXCUSED.

(WHEREUPON, THE WITNESS WAS

---EXCUSED.-)---------

THE COURT: COUNSEL, APPROACH THE BENCH.

(WHEREUPON, SIDE BAR CONFERENCE

WAS HELD AT THE BENCH; NOT

REPORTED.)

THE COURT: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, I'M ADVISED THAT
THE STATE HAS ABOUT THREE WITNESSES THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO TRY
TO FINISH TODAY IF WE COULD. SO WE ARE GOING TO BE GOING PAST
5:00 O'CLOCK. SO I KNOW SOME OF YOU HAVE MADE ARRANGEMENTS AND
HAVE MADE A REQUEST ABOUT BUSES. I WOULD SUGGEST TO YOU THAT WE
WILL BE GOING PAST 5:00 O'CLOCK, AND CERTAINLY, HOWEVER, NOT
PAST 6:00 O'CLOCK. SO WE WILL BE TAKING TESTIMONY UNTIL THEN.

AT THIS TIME WE'LL TAKE A TEN-MINUTE RECESS

AND THEN WE WILL COME BACK AND TAKE THESE OTHER WITNESSES FROM

1	Q IN CONNECTION WITH YOUR ASSIGNMENT TO THE
2	HOMICIDE SECTION OF THE LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT
3	DID YOU BECOME INVOLVED IN THE INVESTIGATION OF THE MURDER OF
4	GEORGE STEVEN MONAHAN MARCH 27, 1980?
5	A YES, SIR, I DID.
6	Q IN CONNECTION WITH YOUR DUTIES ON THAT
7	NIGHT, MARCH 29TH, 1980, DID YOU CONTACT A NUMBER OF PEOPLE IN
8	REGARDS TO SHOWING THE PHOTOGRAPHIC LINEUP?
9	A YES, I DID.
10	Q DO YOU RECALL WHAT PERSONS YOU CONTACTED
11	AND WHERE IT WAS?
12	A I CONTACTED MRS. MONAHAN, MARY LOU MONAHAN
13	AT HER RESIDENCE; ALONG WITH TWO OF HER DAUGHTERS; AND ALSO
14	KEITH KINSEY AT THE POLICE DEPARTMENT.
15	Q DO YOU RECALL ABOUT WHEN IT WAS THAT YOU
16	WENT TO THE RESIDENCE OF MARY LOU MONAHAN ON MARCH 29TH, 1980?
17	A YES. APPROXIMATELY 8:30.
18	Q EIGHT THIRTY?
19	A YES.
20	Q NOW, YOU INDICATED YOU ALSO CONTACTED TWO
21	OF HER DAUGHTERS. IS IT POSSIBLE THAT ONE OF THE WOMEN WAS.A.
22	STEP-DAUGHTER AND THE OTHER WAS A SISTER?
23	A YES.
24	Q DO YOU RECALL THE NAMES OF THE OTHER TWO
25	PERSONS YOU CONTACTED OTHER THAN MARY LOU MONAHAN AT HER
26	RESIDENCE?
27	A NO, I DON'T.
28	Q BUT YOU, IN FACT, DID SHOW A SERIES OF
29	PHOTOGRAPHS TO MARY LOU MONAHAN?
30	A YES.
31	Q THE WIFE OF VICTIM GEORGE STEVEN MONAHAN?
32	A YES, I DID.

-1035-

20,63

1		A	YES.
2		Q	AT THE TIME YOU SHOWED MRS. MONAHAN THE
3	PICTURES DID	YOU SUG	SEST IN ANY MANNER TO HER WHO YOUR SUSPECT
4	WAS?		
5		A	NO.
6		Q	NOW, YOU STATED SHE IDENTIFIED PHOTOGRAPH
7	NUMBER FIVE;	IS THAT	CORRECT?
.8	ļ	Α	THAT'S CORRECT.
è	· .	Q	WAS THAT THE PICTURE OF DEFENDANT SAMUEL
10	HOWARD?		
11		A	YES, IT WAS.
12		Q	DID MRS. MONAHAN INDICATE TO YOU WHEN IT
13	WAS THAT SHE	HAD SEE	N THE PERSON SHOWN IN PHOTOGRAPH NUMBER FIVE?
14		Α	YES, ON THE 27TH OF AT THE PARKING LOT
15	AT CAESARS P	ALACE.	
16		Q	MARCH THE 27TH OR MARCH THE 26TH, SIR?
17		Α	TWENTY SIXTH, I'M SORRY.
18		Q	1980?
19		A	YES, 1980.
20		Q	DID SHE TELL YOU HOW SURE SHE WAS THAT THE
21	PHOTOGRAPH (OF THE DI	EFENDANT WAS THE PERSON SHE HAD SEEN AT
22	CAESARS PAL	ACE?	. 4. 4.3
23	-	Α	MRS. MONAHAN MADE A POSITIVE IDENTIFICATION.
24	[-		RMON: YOUR HONOR, MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS?
25	{ 	THE CO	URT: YOU MAY.
26 27			
28	BY MR. HARM	ON:	
29		_	DETECTIVE LEAVITT, I'M SHOWING YOU NOW A
30		Q	HS THAT ARE MARKED AS PROPOSED EXHIBITS 26-A
31	11		YOU ABLE TO IDENTIFY THE PICTURES?
32	THROUGH 25-	_	YES. THESE ARE THE PHOTOGRAPHS I SAMPLED
	H	Α	The THESE WAS THE THREE AND AND THE THREE PARTY OF THE PA

FOR THE PHOTOGRAPHIC LINEUPS. THE PHOTOGRAPHS WAS SHOWN TO MRS. MONAHAN AND SHE DID IDENTIFY PHOTOGRAPH NUMBER FIVE.

Q THE PICTURE OF DEFENDANT SAMUEL HOWARD; IS THAT CORRECT?

A THAT'S CORRECT.

Q AFTER SHE INDICATED TO YOU. THAT SHE WAS MAKING A POSITIVE IDENTIFICATION OF PHOTOGRAPH NUMBER FIVE, DID YOU ASK HER TO PLACE ANY MARKINGS ON THE BACK OF THE PICTURE?

A YES. MRS. MONAHAN SIGNED THE REVERSE SIDE OF THE PHOTOGRAPH, ALSO DATED AND PUT THE TIME ON THAT. I ALSO SIGNED THE REVERSE SIDE OF THE PHOTOGRAPH, ALSO DATED AND PUT THE TIME ON THAT.

Q ARE THESE PHOTOGRAPHS, STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBITS 26-A THROUGH 26-G, EXCEPT FOR THE COURT MARKINGS, IN SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME CONDITION NOW AS THEY WERE WHEN YOU DISPLAYED THE PICTURES TO VARIOUS PERSONS MARCH 29, 1980?

A YES, THEY WERE.

Q DETECTIVE LEAVITT, AFTER MARY LOU MONAHAN

VIEWED THE SEVEN PHOTOGRAPHS, MARKED AS PROPOSED EXHIBITS 26-A

THROUGH 26-G, DID YOU ALSO HAVE OCCASION TO SHOW THESE SAME

PICTURES TO THE OTHER TWO PERSONS WHO WERE PRESENT AT THE

MONAHAN RESIDENCE?

A YES.

Q NOW, YOU INDICATED THAT YOU DIDN'T RECALL NOW SPECIFICALLY THE NAMES. WOULD ONE OF THE PERSONS HAVE BEEN BARBARA ZEMAN?

A YES.

Q AND WAS THE THIRD INDIVIDUAL MARY CATHERINE

MONAHAN?

A YES.

Q DID YOU SHOW THE SEVEN PHOTOGRAPHS INDEPEN-DENTLY TO THOSE TWO PERSONS?

-1040-

20.68

1041-

-H	
1	Q DID YOU SUBSEQUENTLY HAVE OCCASION TO SEARCH
2	A VEHICLE DESCRIBED AS A 1980 BLACK OLDSMOBILE CUTLASS?
3	A YES, I DID.
4	
5	Q WHERE WAS THAT VEHICLE AT THE TIME YOU SEARCHED IT?
6	A IT WAS IN THE TOW COMPANY, AUTO IMPOUND
7	
8	YARD, IN DOWNEY, CALIFORNIA.
9	Q WERE YOU BY YOURSELF AT THIS TIME OR WITH
- 1	SOME OTHER DETECTIVE?
10	A DETECTIVE HATCH WAS WITH ME, ALONG WITH
11	DETECTIVE MORROW FROM THE DOWNEY POLICE DEPARTMENT.
12	Q MORROW 1S M-O-R-R-O-W?
13	A 1 BELIEVE THAT'S CORRECT.
14	Q DETECTIVE LEAVITT, AS A RESULT OF YOUR
15	SEARCH OF A BLACK OLDSMOBILE CUTLASS DID YOU RECOVER CERTAIN
16	ITEMS OF EVIDENCE?
17	A YES, I DID.
18	Q WHAT DID YOU DO WITH THOSE ITEMS AFTER THEY
19	WERE RECOVERED?
20	A RETURNED THEM TO LAS VEGAS AND IMPOUNDED
21	THEM AS EVIDENCE.
22	MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS?
23	THE COURT: YOU MAY.
24	
25	BY MR. HARMON:
26	
27	Q DETECTIVE, I'M SHOWING YOU NOW WHAT IS JUST
28	AN EVIDENCE BAG. IT IS MARKED AS PROPOSED EXHIBIT 44. DO YOU
29	RECOGNIZE IT?
30	A YES. I RECOGNIZE IT. IT HAS MY SIGNATURE
31	ON THE OUTSIDE OF THE BAG, ALSO A DATE AND A TIME ON IT.
32	Q DID YOU HAVE OCCASION TO PLACE CERTAIN ITEMS

-1042-

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

29

30 31

32

INTO THIS BAG WHICH YOU RECOVERED FROM A 1980 OLDSMOBILE CUTLASS IN DOWNEY, CALIFORNIA?

YES.

1'M SHOWING YOU NOW STATE'S EXHIBITS 60 THROUGH 63. DO YOU RECOGNIZE THE VEHICLE SHOWN IN THOSE PICTURES YES. THESE ARE PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN OF THE 1980 OLDSMOBILE CUTLASS IN THE IMPOUND YARD IN DOWNEY, CALIFOR-

IS THIS THE SAME VEHICLE THAT YOU SEARCHED AT THAT IMPOUND YARD IN DOWNEY, CALIFORNIA?

YES, THEY ARE.

MR. HARMON: MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR

HONOR?

BY MR. HARMON:

NIA.

THE COURT: YES.

DETECTIVE LEAVITT, I'M SHOWING YOU NOW PROPOSED EXHIBIT 44-A. DO YOU RECOGNIZE THIS PARTICULAR ITEM?

YES. I REMOVED THIS ITEM FROM THE AUTO-MOBILE, THE 1980 OLDSMOBILE CUTLASS. THE REASON I KNOW IT. S. THE SAME JACKET IS IT HAS MY SIGNATURE AND PERSONNEL NUMBER ON THE INSIDE OF THE JACKET.

DO YOU REMEMBER WHERE INSIDE THE VEHICLE, SHOWN IN STATE'S EXHIBITS 60 THROUGH 63, YOU FOUND THIS LEATHER JACKET?

I BELIEVE IT WAS IN THE REAR SEAT.

IS THE JACKET SUBSTANTIALLY NOW AS IT WAS WHEN YOU FOUND IT ON OR ABOUT APRIL THE 2ND, 1980?

IT'S SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME. THERE HAS BEEN SOME PIECES CUT OUT OF THAT THAT [CUT OUT OF IT THAT I REMOVED FOR ANALYSIS.

32

1	Q DO YOU KNOW WHAT PERSON OR PERSONS HAVE BEEN
2	INVOLVED IN ANALYZING THE EXTERIOR OF THIS LEATHER JACKET,
3	PROPOSED EXHIBIT 44-A?
4	A OUR CRIME LAB WOULD HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN
5	ANALYZING IT. I DON'T KNOW WHICH CHEMIST ACTUALLY ANALYZED IT.
.6	Q WAS THAT DONE AT YOUR REQUEST?
7	A YES, IT WAS.
, <u>ğ</u>	Q WAS THERE ANYTHING THAT PROMPTED YOUR
9	REQUEST THAT REPRESENTATIVES OF THE CRIME LAB BECOME INVOLVED
10	IN ANALYZING THE EXTERIOR OF THIS LEATHER JACKET?
11	A YES. OBSERVATION OF THE LEATHER JACKET BY
12	MYSELF, THERE WERE SOME SPOTS ON HERE THAT I THOUGHT MAYBE WERE
13	BLOOD.
14	Q DO YOU KNOW NOW WHAT THE RESULTS WERE OF
15	THE ANALYSIS OF THE OUTSIDE OF THE JACKET IN TERMS OF THE ACTUAL
16	VERIFICATION FOR PRESENCE OF BLOOD?
17	A IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING IT WAS NOT BLOOD.
18	Q NOW, THERE APPEARS TO BE CERTAIN MARKS
19	IN FACT, THERE'S SOME MATERIAL REMOVED ON THE FRONT OF THE
20	JACKET AND THE MARKS APPEAR TO BE IN BLACK. WERE THOSE AREAS
21	APPARENTLY PLACED ON THE JACKET BY REPRESENTATIVES OF THE CRIME
2 2	LAB HERE IN LAS VEGAS, NEVADA?
23	A YES.
24	Q THOSE MARKINGS WERE NOT THERE AT THE TIME
25	YOU INITIALLY RECOVERED THE JACKET; IS THAT CORRECT?
26	A NO, THEY WERE NOT.
27	Q I'M SHOWING YOU NOW WHAT APPEARS TO BE A
28	SECOND LEATHER JACKET. IT'S MARKED AS PROPOSED EXHIBIT 44-B.
29	CAN YOU IDENTIFY 1T?
30	A YES. THIS IS ALSO A JACKET THAT I REMOVED
31	FROM THE TRUNK OF THE VEHICLE, THE 1980 OLDSMOBILE CUTLASS, IN

2072

DOWNEY, CALIFORNIA. IT HAS MY SIGNATURE AND PERSONNEL NUMBER ON

2

3

5

8

8

9

10

11

- 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

THE INSIDE OF THE JACKET.

Q IS THIS JACKET IN SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME CONDITION NOW AS IT WAS WHEN YOU RECOVERED IT?

A YES. IT'S IN SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME CON-DITION, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF I BELIEVE THERE WAS ALSO A SPOT REMOVED ON THERE FOR THE SAME TYPE OF ANALYSIS...

Q DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THE RESULT WAS THE SAME
AT THE TIME IT WAS ANALYZED BY REPRESENTATIVES OF THE LAS VEGAS
METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT CRIME LAB?

A YES. MY UNDERSTANDING IT WAS NOT BLOOD.

Q I'M SHOWING YOU NOW WHAT APPEARS TO BE A .
BLUE CAP THAT'S MARKED AS PROPOSED EXHIBIT 44-C. CAN YOU
IDENTIFY IT?

A YES. THE BLUE CAP WAS ALSO REMOVED BY MYSELF FROM THE TRUNK OF THE 1980 OLDSMOBILE CUTLASS IN DOWNEY,
CALIFORNIA. IT HAS MY SIGNATURE ON THE INSIDE OF THE CAP.

Q IS THE CAP IN SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME CONDITION NOW AS IT WAS WHEN YOU RECOVERED IT, EXCEPT FOR THE MARKINGS
YOU PLACED ON IT, AND THE ***

A YES.

Q DID YOU PLACE EACH OF THESE ITEMS, THAT ARE MARKED AS PROPOSED EXHIBITS 44-A, B AND C, AT SOME POINT INTO THE EVIDENCE BAG, MARKED AS PROPOSED EXHIBIT 44?

A YES, I DID.

MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, AT THIS TIME I OFFER PROPOSED EXHIBITS 44, 44-A, B AND C.

THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?

MR. FRANZEN: ONLY AS TO THE EVIDENCE BAG ITSELF, YOUR HONOR. IF YOUR HONOR WILL LOOK AT IT YOU'LL FIND THERE ARE SOME NOTATIONS ON THERE.

MR. HARMON: I'LL WITHDRAW THAT OFFER. IT'S NOT NECESSARY, YOUR HONOR.

1	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THE OTHER ITEMS ARE
2	RECEIVED AND ADMITTED.
3	MR. HARMON: THANK YOU.
4	YOUR HONOR, MAY I HAVE THE COURT'S INDUL-
5	GENCE?
6	THE COURT: YES.
7	MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS?
8	THE COURT: YOU MAY.
9	
10	BY MR. HARMON:
11	
12	Q DETECTIVE LEAVITT, I'M SHOWING YOU NOW
13	PROPOSED EXHIBIT 41. CAN YOU IDENTIFY IT?
14	A YES. THIS IS ALSO AN EVIDENCE BAG CONTAIN-
15	ING SEVEN ITEMS. THE BAG HAS MY SIGNATURE AND PERSONNEL NUMBER
16	ON THE OUTER PORTION OF THE BAG.
17	Q DID YOU PLACE CERTAIN ITEMS YOU ALSO
18	RECOVERED FROM THE BLACK OLDSMOBILE CUTLASS INSIDE THIS BAG?
19	A YES. ALL THE ITEMS WERE REMOVED FROM THE
20	1980 OLDSMCBILE CUTLASS IN DOWNEY, CALIFORNIA, AND SUBSEQUENTLY
21	PLACED IN THE BAG BY MYSELF.
22	Q DO YOU RECALL ON WHAT DATE THAT WAS?
23	A APRIL 2ND, 19
24	Q 1980?
25	A YES.
26	Q IS THAT BAG IN A SEALED CONDITION AT THIS:
27	TIME?
28	A YES, IT IS.
29	Q WILL YOU CUT THE BAG OPEN AT THE BOTTOM,
30	PLEASE.
31	WILL YOU REMOVE THE CONTENTS AND
32	DESCRIBE FOR THE RECORD WHAT YOU'RE REMOVING.
	-1046-

> 5 6

4

7

10 11

12

14

13

15 16

17 18

> 19 20

21

22 23

24 25

27

28

26

29

30 31 32

A PHOTO I.D. CARD IN THE NAME OF ELIJAH GILL, G-I-L-L; A STATE OF NEVADA WELFARE DIVISION DOCUMENT IN THE NAME OF DAWANA THOMAS; AND A CERTIFICATE OF BIRTH IN THE NAME OF ELIJAH GILL, G-I-L-L; AND A CONTINENTAL AIRLINES SEAT-ING STUB; A CONTINENTAL AIRLINES TICKET RECEIPT IN THE NAME OF MR. GILL FROM NEW YORK TO DENVER TO LAS VEGAS; A NUDE PHOTO-GRAPH OF A FEMALE; A CONTINENTAL AIRLINES SEAT STUB; A PHOTO-GRAPH OF A NUDE FEMALE; SOME KIND OF AN IDENTIFICATION IN THE NAME OF HAROLD STANBACK, S-T-A-N-B-A-C-K, PART OF IT IS WRITTEN IN SPANISH AND I CAN'T READ IT; SOME FIGHT NOTICE PAPER WITH SOME WRITINGS ON THE OUTSIDE INDICATING JAMAICA, NEW YORK, IT LOOKS LIKE SOME PHONE NUMBERS AND ADDRESSES; A CASSETTE TAPE; A CARD CASE WITH A DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES IDENTIFICATION CARD, IT HAS THE ADDRESS OF 510 CONEY ISLAND, LONG ISLAND, NEW YORK; AND ALSO IN THE CARD CASE IS SOME BUSINESS CARDS IN THE NAME OF COMMUNITY BROKERAGE; A SECOND CASSETTE TAPE; A HOTEL NEVADA CASINO BUTTON WITH THE NAME DAWANA ON IT; I BELIEVE THAT'S ALL THE ITEMS HE HAD.

Q DETECTIVE LEAVITT, WHERE DID YOU FIND ALL

THE ITEMS YOU'VE JUST REMOVED FROM PROPOSED EXHIBIT 41?

A IN THE OLDSMOBILE CUTLASS THAT -- FROM THE IMPOUND YARD AT DOWNEY, CALIFORNIA.

Q DO YOU RECALL WHERE THESE ITEMS WERE
LOCATED INSIDE THE OLDSMOBILE CUTLASS?

A THE TRUNK IN THE VEHICLE, F BELIEVE.

MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, WITH THE COURT'S PERMISSION, THERE ARE JUST CERTAIN SELECTED ITEMS. I'D LIKE TO HAVE THEM MARKED WITH SUB-LETTERS.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. HARMON: SOME TYPE OF IDENTIFICATION CARD IN THE NAME OF HAROLD STANBACK I WOULD LIKE MARKED AS PROPOSED EXHIBIT 41-A.

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

THE COURT: SAME MAY BE SO MARKED.

MR. HARMON: ALSO WHAT APPEARS TO BE AN APPLICATION TO THE WELFARE DIVISION IN THE NAME OF DAWANA THOMAS, MARKED AS PROPOSED EXHIBIT 41-B.

THE COURT: SAME MAY BE MARKED.

MR. HARMON: AND A HOTEL NEVADA CASINO BUTTON OF

DAWANA THOMAS AS 41-C.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. HARMON: I'D FURTHER LIKE THE RECORD TO SHOW I'M RETURNING THE REMAINING ITEMS BACK INTO THE EVIDENCE BAG, PROPOSED EXHIBIT 41.

THE COURT: THE RECORD MAY SO SHOW.

MR. HARMON: MAY WE HAVE THE COURT'S INDULGENCE?

THE COURT: YOU MAY.

MR. HARMON: MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR HONORS

O DETECTIVE LEAVITT, I'M SHOWING YOU NOW WHAT

BY MR. HARMON:

HAS BEEN MARKED AS PROPOSED EXHIBITS 41-A, B AND C. ARE YOU ABLE TO IDENTIFY EACH OF THESE ITEMS AS ITEMS RECOVERED BY YOU FROM THE TRUNK OF THE VEHICLE SHOWN IN PHOTOGRAPHS 60 THROUGH

63?

YES, EXCEPT 41-A HAS MY SIGNATURE AND PERSONNEL NUMBER AND DATE ON THE BACK OF IT. THIS IS THE NEVADA HOTEL AND CASINO BUTTON THAT I REMOVED FROM THE 1981 -- EXCUSE ME, THE 1980 OLDSMOBILE CUTLASS, NUMBER 41-C. AND THE STATE OF NEVADA WELFARE DIVISION DOCUMENT HAS MY SIGNATURE ON THE REVERSE SIDE OF IT. THAT WAS ALSO REMOVED FROM THE 1980 OLDSMOBILE CUTLASS.

ARE EACH OF THESE ITEMS, PROPOSED EXHIBITS Q 41 A THROUGH C, IN SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME CONDITION NOW AS THEY

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

WERE ON THE DATE YOU RECOVERED THEM?

YES.

MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, I OFFER PROPOSED EXHIBITS 41-A, B AND C.

THE COURT: ANY OBJECTIONS, COUNSEL?

MR. FRANZEN: NO, YOUR HONOR. NO OBJECTION.

THE COURT: SAME WILL BE RECEIVED.

MR. HARMON: THANK YOU.

MAY I HAVE THE COURT'S INDULGENCE.

BY MR. HARMON:

DETECTIVE LEAVITT, IN ADDITION TO ITEMS YOU RECOVERED AS A RESULT OF YOUR SEARCH OF THE VEHICLE APRIL THE 2ND, 1980, WHICH IS SHOWN AS STATE'S EXHIBITS 60 THROUGH 63, WERE A NUMBER OF ITEMS TURNED OVER TO THE CUSTODY OF YOURSELF AND DETECTIVE HATCH BY LAW ENFORCEMENT AUTHORITIES IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA?

YES.

DID THOSE ITEMS INCLUDE SOME EXEMPLAR FINGER AND THUMB PRINTS PURPORTEDLY RECOVERED BY AN OFFICER WILLIAM BLOCK FROM THE DEFENDANT SAMUEL HOWARD?

YES.

MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR HONOR? DETECTIVE LEAVITT, I'M SHOWING YOU NOW PROPOSED EXHIBIT 29. THESE APPEAR TO BE FINGER AND PALM PRINT CARDS. CAN YOU IDENTIFY THEM?

YES. THESE ARE THE EXEMPLAR CARDS THAT WERE TURNED OVER TO ME IN DOWNEY, CALIFORNIA, BY OFFICER BLOCK.

ARE THESE EXEMPLAR CARDS, PROPOSED EXHIBIT Q 29, IN SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME CONDITION NOW AS THEY WERE WHEN THEY WERE TURNED OVER TO YOU IN DOWNEY, CALIFORNIA, BY OFFICER

1	
2	1
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	l
11	
12	
13	
14	·
15	•
16	
17	
18	
19	1
20)
21	- 11
22	3
23	} -
24	٠ -
25	•
26	3
27	7
28	3
29	•
30) ∦
31	- 11
33	2
	- 11

WILLIAM BLO	CK:	?
-------------	-----	---

A YES. THEY APPEARED TO BE IN THE SAME CON-

O THANK YOU.

DETECTIVE LEAVITT, HAVE YOU HAD

OCCASION ON SEVERAL INSTANCES TO INTERVIEW A YOUNG LADY IDENTI-

A YES, I HAVE.

Q DO YOU-REMEMBER ON WHAT DATES YOU CONDUCTED

INTERVIEWS OF HER?

A I CONDUCTED AN INTERVIEW WITH DAWANA ON APRIL THE 2ND, IN CALIFORNIA. I SPOKE WITH HER ON THE PHONE SEVERAL TIMES. I DON'T KNOW WHETHER IT WAS APRIL 24TH SHE CALLED COLLECT FROM ARIZONA; AND SHE ALSO CALLED ME AGAIN ON I BELIEVE IT WAS NOVEMBER --

Q WILL YOU KEEP YOUR VOICE UP, PLEASE?

A (CONTINUING) -- NOVEMBER 4TH OF 1980 OF

THAT SAME YEAR.

Q AT ANYTIME DURING YOUR CONVERSATIONS WITH

DAWANA THOMAS HAVE YOU DISCUSSED WITH HER WHETHER SHE OBSERVED, AT SOME POINT WHEN THE DEFENDANT SAMUEL HOWARD CAME BACK TO THEIR MOTEL, ON OR ABOUT MARCH THE 27TH, 1980, HER SEEING HIM WITH A WRISTWATCH?

A YES.

Q WHAT DID SHE TELL YOU ABOUT SEEING A WRIST-

WATCH?

A SHE INDICATED THAT SHE OBSERVED A WRIST-WATCH WHEN HE CAME BACK TO THE MOTEL. I BELIEVE SHE SAID IT HAD A GOLD BAND AND THE BRAND NAME WAS POSSIBLY SEIKO.

Q DETECTIVE LEAVITT, ON OR ABOUT MAY THE 20TH 1981, DID YOU HAVE OCCASION TO AGAIN MAKE CONTACT WITH DAWANA THOMAS IN THE PRESENCE OF TWO REPRESENTATIVES OF THE DISTRICT

1	ATTORNEYS OFFICE?
2	A YES, I DID.
3	Q DO YOU RECALL THE NAMES OF THE TWO REPRE-
4	SENTATIVES OF THE CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS OFFICE?
5	A OH, ONE OF THEM WAS INVESTIGATOR LINDA
6	ANDERSON. I DON'T RECALL THE NAME OF THE OTHER, MALE INDIVIDUAL.
7	Q WOULD THAT HAVE BEEN ED GUTKOWSKI?
8	A YES.
9	Q G-U-T-K+O-W-S-K-I?
10	A I BELIEVE THAT'S CORRECT.
11	Q WHAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF YOURSELF AND INVES-
12	TIGATORS GUTKOWSKI AND ANDERSON MAKING CONTACT WITH DAWANA
13	THOMAS ON MAY THE 20TH, 1981?
14	A WELL, IN AN EFFORT TO HAVE DAWANA THOMAS
15	SHOW US WHERE SHE HAD DROPPED OFF THE DEFENDANT SAM HOWARD ON
16	THE 27TH OF APRIL (SIC) 1980, AND WHERE SHE LIVED, AND WHERE SHE
17	HAD BEEN STAYING HERE IN TOWN.
18	. Q NOW, YOU SAID THE 27TH OF APRIL, 1980.
19	DID YOU MEAN THE 27TH OF MARCH, 1980?
20	A YES.
21	Q ARE YOU REFERRING TO THE SAME DAY THE BODY
22	OF GEORGE STEVEN MONAHAN WAS DISCOVERED?
23	A THAT'S CORRECT.
24 25	Q DID DAWANA THOMAS DIRECT YOURSELF AND
26	INVESTIGATORS GUTKOWSKI AND ANDERSON TO A CERTAIN LOCATION?
27	A YES, SHE DID. Q WHERE DID SHE DIRECT YOU TO ON MAY THE 20TH,
28	1980?
29	A TO WINCHESTER PLAZA, 1700 EAST DESERT INN
30	ROAD, AND THEN TO THE MOTEL WHERE SHE HAD BEEN STAYING.
31	Q WHAT REPRESENTATIONS WERE MADE TO YOU BY
32	DAWANA THOMAS IN TERMS OF THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE WINCHESTER
	-1051-
	-1031-

AA000953

-1055-

TO REFRESH HIS MEMORY.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

BY MR. COOPER:

Q DO YOU RECALL, DETECTIVE LEAVITT, FINDING
A PROJECTILE ON THE RUNNING BOARD OF THE REAR PASSENGER'S SIDE
OF THE VAN WHERE THE DOUBLE DOORS WERE LOCATED ON THE VAN?

A YES.

Q HOW DID YOU IDENTIFY THAT PROJECTILE?

A MYSELF AND DETECTIVE CONNELL EXAMINED THE

o PARDON.

A MYSELF AND DETECTIVE CONNELL EXAMINED THE

PROJECTILE.

PROJECTILE.

Q AND HOW DID YOU INDICATE IN YOUR REPORT --HOW DO YOU -- HOW DO YOU CLASSIFY THAT PROJECTILE IN YOUR
REPORT?

MR. HARMON: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR, AS TO HOW HE

CLASSIFIED THEM, UNLESS A BETTER FOUNDATION IS LAID TO SHOW THAT

DETECTIVE LEAVITY WAS QUALIFIED TO MAKE ANY TYPE OF CLASSIFICA
TION TO FORM AN OPINION.

MR. COOPER: YOUR HONOR, HE IS A POLICE OFFICER
WITH SOME 19 YEARS OF EXPERIENCE. HE'S HAD SOME TRAINING IN
FIREARMS. I THINK IT'S LUDICROUS THAT MR. HARMON WOULD SUGGEST
THAT THIS OFFICER IS NOT QUALIFIED TO OFFER HIS OPINION IN THIS
MATTER.

THE COURT: WELL, HE SAYS HE ISN'T AN EXPERT, AND THAT'S THE ONLY EVIDENCE WE HAVE TO SHOW HE IS OR ISN'T.

-1057-

BY MR. COOPER:

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

Q NOW, LET ME ASK YOU THIS, OFFICER LEAVITT: WHY DID YOU SAY IN YOUR REPORT THAT IT WAS A .38 CALIBER PRO-JECTILE THAT YOU FOUND THERE?

A THAT'S WHAT IT APPEARED TO BE.

Q DID THE OTHER OFFICER WHO TOOK A LOOK AT IT

DID HE CONCUR IN THAT -- '

MR. HARMON: OBJECTION. THAT CALLS FOR HEARSAY,

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

BY MR. COOPER:

Q AS YOU WERE INVESTIGATING THIS OFFENSE,

OFFICER LEAVITT -- DETECTIVE LEAVITT, EXCUSE ME, DID YOU HAVE

OCCASION TO INTERVIEW A NUMBER OF PEOPLE?

A YES.

O DID YOU INTERVIEW ONE DANIEL WADE MILLER?

MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, I'M GOING TO OBJECT TO
THIS LINE OF QUESTIONS. ALL THESE EXCEED THE SCOPE OF DIRECT.
AT NO TIME DID WE TALK ABOUT DETECTIVE LEAVITT GOING ON MARCH
THE 27TH, 1980, TO 4200 BOULDER HIGHWAY.

MR. COOPER: IT'S JUST IN THE INTEREST OF TIME, YOUR HONOR. I MEAN WE CAN SUBPOENA HIM OR RECALL HIM.

THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU DO THAT.

BY MR. COOPER:

Q DID YOU DISCOVER AS A RESULT OF YOUR INVES-TIGATION IN THIS CASE, DETECTIVE LEAVITT, THAT A C.B. RADIO WAS TAKEN FROM THE VAN?

1			
		VEC	
1	Α .	YES. AND DID YOU ALSO DISCOVER THA	T A CASSETTE
2	Q		A CASSETTE
3 DECK	WAS TAKEN FROM		;
4	A	YES.	TTON OF THE
5	Q	WERE YOU EVER GIVEN A DESCRIP	TION OF THE
6 CASSE	TTE DECK THAT		
7	A	NOT THAT I RECALL.	
8	Q	DID YOU EVER QUESTION MRS. MO	NAHAN ABOUT
9 THE	ASSETTE DECK	THAT WAS IN THE VAN?	*
10	A	YES.	
11	Q	DID SHE TELL YOU DID SHE D	DESCRIBE IT FOR
12 YOU?	A	IF SHE DID I DON'T RECALL WHA	AT SHE SAID.
13	Α	DIDN'T INCLUDE THAT IN ANY OF	
14	Q	I DON'T KNOW. I'D HAVE TO RE	
15	A	I DON'T KNOW. I'D HAVE TO KE	LAD MEN TO
16 FIND	OUT.	THE DECE	INTION OF THE
17	Q	WELL, YOU INCLUDED THE DESCR	TELLOW OF THE
18 C.B.		R REPORTS, DIDN'T YOU?	
19	A	YES.	LABLE TO YOUR
20	· Q	DO YOU HAVE YOUR REPORTS AVA	ILABLE 10 TOUT
21	A	NO.	E TUEM TO VOIL
22	Q	WOULD YOU LIKE FOR ME TO GIV	•
23		GO THROUGH THEM AND SEE IF YOU !	NESCKIREN HE
24 CASS	ETTE DECK?	\$	
25	A	WHATEVER YOU'D LIKE.	'7.
26	·	COOPER: YOUR HONOR, THERE ARE A	
~'		MIGHT SUGGEST THAT WE TAKE A REC	
4 9		PORTUNITY TO GO THROUGH HIS REPO	KI. [MEKE'S
29 SOME	FIVE SIX		
30		COURT: WELL, I DON'T KNOW IF HE	
31 NEED		ON'T YOU HAND THEM TO HIM AND FI	
32	THE	WITNESS: THE CASSETTE TAPE PLAY	EK 12 DESCRIBED
t I		-1059-	

\sim	\sim	\sim	\sim
_/	11	×	×
		v	$\mathbf{\mathcal{C}}$

-1061-

THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

BY MR. COOPER:

Q WELL, COULD YOU JUST TURN THAT REPORT THAT
YOU HAVE BEFORE YOU OVER, DETECTIVE LEAVITT, AND NOT LOOK AT IT,
PLEASE.

ING WHETHER OR NOT SHE SAW MR. HOWARD WITH A TWO-WAY RADIO WHILE IN LAS VEGAS?

A YES.

Q IN THAT REGARD, ISN'T IT TRUE THAT SHE TOLD
YOU THAT MR. HOWARD RETURNED TO THE MOTEL WHERE THEY WERE STAYING
AND HAD IN HIS POSSESSION A WALKIE-TALKIE TYPE RADIO; IS THAT
RIGHT?

A YES.

Q DID -- DID SHE GIVE YOU THE IMPRESSION AT THAT TIME THAT SHE DID NOT ACCOMPANY MR. HOWARD AT THE TIME HE GOT THIS TWO-WAY RADIO?

A WAS IT MY IMPRESSION SHE WAS NOT THERE? I DON'T BELIEVE I ASKED HER THAT.

Q NOW, YOU QUESTIONED MISS THOMAS -- EXCUSE ME, MISS THOMAS -- EXCUSE ME, STRIKE THAT, PLEASE.

DURING YOUR INTERVIEWSOF MISS -- MISS THOMAS, DID SHE STATE TO YOU THAT -- STRIKE THAT AS WELL.

COURT'S INDULGENCE, PLEASE.

YOU QUESTIONED -- DID MISS THOMAS TELL
YOU THAT -- DID SHE INDICATE TO YOU HOW LONG SHE AND MR. HOWARD
WERE IN LAS VEGAS?

A 1F SHE DID I DON'T RECALL WHAT SHE SAID.

Q DID SHE TELL YOU THAT AFTER MR. HOWARD CAME HOME WITH THE TWO-WAY RADIO THAT THEY REMAINED IN LAS VEGAS FOR

-1063-

1	A COUPLE OF DAYS AFTER THAT AND THEN LEFT, DRIVING TO SOUTHERN
2	CALIFORNIA?
3	A YES.
4	Q YOU ALSO QUESTIONED HER AS TO HOW MR.
5	HOWARD MADE HIS MONEY IN ORDER TO BUY GAS AND FOOD WHILE IN LAS
6	VEGAS, DIDN'T YOU?
7	A YES.
8	Q AND SHE TOLD YOU THAT SHE, BEING DAWANA
9	THOMAS, THAT MR. HOWARD HAD TOLD HER THAT HIS MOTHER HAD SENT
10	HIM MONEY WHILE HERE IN LAS VEGAS; IS THAT RIGHT?
11	A YES.
12	Q NOW, WHEN DID YOU NEXT HAVE OCCASION TO
13	TALK TO DAWANA THOMAS?
14	A I TALKED WITH HER ON THE PHONE SEVERAL
15	TIMES, AND ABOUT MAY 20TH, I BELIEVE.
16	Q DID YOU QUESTION HER REGARDING WHETHER SHE
17	EVER SAW MR. HOWARD TO HAVE IN HIS POSSESSION A WALLET?
18	A YES.
19	Q WHAT DID SHE TELL YOU IN THAT REGARD?
20	A ON ONE OCCASION SHE TOLD ME SHE'D SEEN HIM
21	WITH A WALLET. AND ON ANOTHER OCCASION I BELIEVE SHE SAID SHE
22	DIDN'T RECALL IF SHE HAD SEEN A WALLET.
23	Q DID SHE TELL YOU SHE DID NOT LOOK INTO THE
24	WALLET?
25 26	A YES.
27	Q YOU QUESTIONED HER WHETHER SHE EVER
28	OBSERVED, IN MR. HOWARD'S POSSESSION, ANY CREDIT CARDS THAT WERE MISSING FROM DOCTOR MONAHAN; ISN'T THAT RIGHT?
29	A YES.
30	Q AND SHE TOLD YOU THAT SHE NEVER OBSERVED
31	ANY CREDIT CARDS, DIDN'T SHE?
32	A ON ONE OCCASION, YES.
	II

-1064-

1	Q WOULD YOU REFER TO PAGE 2 OF THAT, PLEASE,
	ABOUT MIDWAY OF THE PAGE THERE. ISN'T THERE REFERENCE TO A
2	
3	WALLET?
4	A YES. IT SAYS, "A BROWN OR BLACK WALLET."
5	Q IS THAT WHAT DAWANA THOMAS TOLD YOU?
6	A YES.
7	Q DID SHE LATER CLARIFY THAT AND SPECIFY THAT
8	IT WAS BROWN OR BLACK?
9	A NOT THAT I RECALL.
10	Q COURT'S INDULGENCE, PLEASE.
11	OFFICER, DID YOU DID YOU QUESTION
12	MISS THOMAS ABOUT A ROBBERY AT SEARS THAT OCCURRED ON MARCH 25TH
13	OF 1980?
14	A YES.
15	Q AND YOU QUESTIONED HER REGARDING WHAT SHE
16	AND MR. HOWARD MAY HAVE DONE THE FOLLOWING DAY, THE 27TH CF
[and the trouble part that are a series of the series of th
17	MARCH 1980. ISN'T THAT RIGHT?
17	MARCH, 1980; ISN'T THAT RIGHT?
18	A YES.
18 19	A YES. Q DO YOU RECALL MISS THOMAS TELLING YOU THAT
18 19 20	A YES. Q DO YOU RECALL MISS THOMAS TELLING YOU THAT THE FOLLOWING DAY, THAT BEING MARCH 27TH OF 1980, SHE RECALLED
18 19 20 21	A YES. Q DO YOU RECALL MISS THOMAS TELLING YOU THAT THE FOLLOWING DAY, THAT BEING MARCH 27TH OF 1980, SHE RECALLED TAKING MR. HOWARD TO A RESIDENTIAL AREA AND DROPPING HIM DFF?
18 19 20 21 22	A YES. Q DO YOU RECALL MISS THOMAS TELLING YOU THAT THE FOLLOWING DAY, THAT BEING MARCH 27TH OF 1980, SHE RECALLED TAKING MR. HOWARD TO A RESIDENTIAL AREA AND DROPPING HIM DFF? A YES.
18 19 20 21 22 23	A YES. Q DO YOU RECALL MISS THOMAS TELLING YOU THAT THE FOLLOWING DAY, THAT BEING MARCH 27TH OF 1980, SHE RECALLED TAKING MR. HOWARD TO A RESIDENTIAL AREA AND DROPPING HIM DFF? A YES. Q SHE DID SPECIFY A RESIDENTIAL AREA?
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A YES. Q DO YOU RECALL MISS THOMAS TELLING YOU THAT THE FOLLOWING DAY, THAT BEING MARCH 27TH OF 1980, SHE RECALLED TAKING MR. HOWARD TO A RESIDENTIAL AREA AND DROPPING HIM DFF? A YES. Q SHE DID SPECIFY A RESIDENTIAL AREA? A YES.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	A YES. Q DO YOU RECALL MISS THOMAS TELLING YOU THAT THE FOLLOWING DAY, THAT BEING MARCH 27TH OF 1980, SHE RECALLED TAKING MR. HOWARD TO A RESIDENTIAL AREA AND DROPPING HIM DFF? A YES. Q SHE DID SPECIFY A RESIDENTIAL AREA?
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	A YES. Q DO YOU RECALL MISS THOMAS TELLING YOU THAT THE FOLLOWING DAY, THAT BEING MARCH 27TH OF 1980, SHE RECALLED TAKING MR. HOWARD TO A RESIDENTIAL AREA AND DROPPING HIM DFF? A YES. Q SHE DID SPECIFY A RESIDENTIAL AREA? A YES. MR. COOPER: I PASS THE WITNESS AT THIS TIME; YOUR
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	A YES. Q DO YOU RECALL MISS THOMAS TELLING YOU THAT THE FOLLOWING DAY, THAT BEING MARCH 27TH OF 1980, SHE RECALLED TAKING MR. HOWARD TO A RESIDENTIAL AREA AND DROPPING HIM DFF? A YES. Q SHE DID SPECIFY A RESIDENTIAL AREA? A YES. MR. COOPER: I PASS THE WITNESS AT THIS TIME; YOUR
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	A YES. Q DO YOU RECALL MISS THOMAS TELLING YOU THAT THE FOLLOWING DAY, THAT BEING MARCH 27TH OF 1980, SHE RECALLED TAKING MR. HOWARD TO A RESIDENTIAL AREA AND DROPPING HIM DFF? A YES. Q SHE DID SPECIFY A RESIDENTIAL AREA? A YES. MR. COOPER: I PASS THE WITNESS MAT THIS TIME; YOUR HONOR.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	A YES. Q DO YOU RECALL MISS THOMAS TELLING YOU THAT THE FOLLOWING DAY, THAT BEING MARCH 27TH OF 1980, SHE RECALLED TAKING MR. HOWARD TO A RESIDENTIAL AREA AND DROPPING HIM DFF? A YES. Q SHE DID SPECIFY A RESIDENTIAL AREA? A YES. MR. COOPER: 1 PASS THE WITNESS AT THIS TIME; YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: THE STATE?
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	A YES. Q DO YOU RECALL MISS THOMAS TELLING YOU THAT THE FOLLOWING DAY, THAT BEING MARCH 27TH OF 1980, SHE RECALLED TAKING MR. HOWARD TO A RESIDENTIAL AREA AND DROPPING HIM DFF? A YES. Q SHE DID SPECIFY A RESIDENTIAL AREA? A YES. MR. COOPER: 1 PASS THE WITNESS AT THIS TIME; YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: THE STATE?
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	A YES. Q DO YOU RECALL MISS THOMAS TELLING YOU THAT THE FOLLOWING DAY, THAT BEING MARCH 27TH OF 1980, SHE RECALLED TAKING MR. HOWARD TO A RESIDENTIAL AREA AND DROPPING HIM DFF? A YES. Q SHE DID SPECIFY A RESIDENTIAL AREA? A YES. MR. COOPER: 1 PASS THE WITNESS AT THIS TIME; YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: THE STATE?

2

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. HARMON:

Q DETECTIVE LEAVITT, IS THE AREA OF THE WINCHESTER OFFICE COMPLEX IN CLOSE PROXIMITY TO RESIDENCES, APARTMENTS AND HOUSES?

A YES, IT IS.

Q WHEN YOU SPOKE WITH MARY LOU MONAHAN DID YOU, IN FACT, DEFINITELY GET INFORMATION THAT A CASSETTE PLAYER AND A C.B. RADIO WERE MISSING FROM THE HEADLINER OF THE 1977 DODGE VAN?

A YES.

Q WHEN YOU SPOKE WITH DAWANA THOMAS DID SHE TELL YOU WHETHER SHE SAW THE DEFENDANT WITH A C.B. RADIO WHEN HE RETURNED TO THEIR MOTEL ON MARCH 27TH, 1980?

A YES.

O WHAT DID SHE TELL YOU?

A SHE SAID HE HAD A CITIZEN BAND RADIO IN HIS

POSSESSION WHEN HE RETURNED TO THE MOTEL ROOM.

Q WHEN SHE SAID "HE" TO WHOM WAS SHE REFER-

- 11 - 1

A THE DEFENDANT MR. HOWARD

Q NOW, YOU INDICATED DURING EARLIER CONVERSATIONS THAT MISS THOMAS DID NOT RECALL SEEING THE DEFENDANT
WITH CREDIT CARDS SOMETIME AFTER HE RETURNED TO THEIR MOTEL ROOMS

A THAT'S CORRECT.

Q DID SHE, DURING THE SUBSEQUENT CONVERSATION, MENTION SEEING CREDIT CARDS SOMETIME AFTER THE DEFENDANT RETURNED?

A YES.

Q WHEN WAS THAT AND WHAT DID SHE SAY?

VERSATION IN NOVEMBER OF 1980. SHE INDICATED THAT WHEN THEY
RETURNED TO THE MOTEL ROOM SHE HAD SEEN HIM WITH APPROXIMATELY

17 CREDIT CARDS AND THE WRISTWATCH. I BELIEVE SHE ALSO INDICATED THAT ONE OF THE LETTERS SHE CAUGHT ON THE CREDIT CARD WAS
A LETTER "S". AND, OF COURSE, SHE INDICATED SET -- THE BRAND.

NAME OF THE WATCH WAS SEIKO.

MR. HARMON: THANK YOU.

THAT CONCLUDES REDIRECT, YOUR HONOR.

RECROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. COOPER:

Q ARE YOU TELLING US, DETECTIVE LEAVITT,

THAT MISS THOMAS TOLD YOU THAT SHE SAW THESE CREDIT CARDS WHEN

MR. THOMAS (SIC) RETURNED TO THE MOTEL ROOM; IS THAT CORRECT?

A YES.

MISS HOU- -- MISS THOMAS DIDN'T TELL YOU

THAT SHE DROPPED MR. HOWARD OFF NEAR A -- IN A BUSINESS DISTRICT NEAR A RESIDENTIAL AREA, DID SHE?

NO.

Q SHE TOLD YOU SHE DROPPED HIM OFF IN A
RESIDENTIAL AREA, DIDN'T SHE?

A _ YE\$.

Q AND SHE DIDN'T SAY THAT SHE DIDN'T RECALL SEEING ANY CREDIT CARDS. WHEN YOU FIRST QUESTIONED HER ABOUT THAT SHE SAID SHE NEVER OBSERVED ANY CREDIT CARDS; ISN'T THAT TRUE?

A THAT'S TRUE.

MR. COOPER: NOTHING FURTHER OF THE WITNESS.

MR. HARMON: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.

-1068-

<u>-</u>			
	1	THE COURT: YOU'RE EXCUSED.	
	2	(WHEREUPON, THE WITNESS WAS	
	3	EXCUSED.)	
	4	THE COURT: COME FORWARD, PLEASE.	
	5	MR. HARMON: ARPROACH THE BENCH?	
	6	(WHEREUPON, SIDE BAR CONFER-	
	7	ENCE WAS HELD AT THE BENCH;	
	8	NOT REPORTED. AT THE CONCLU-	
	9	SION OF WHICH THE FOLLOWING	
	10	WAS HAD:)	
	11	THE CLERK: RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND.	
	12		
	13	WHEREUPON,	
	14		
	15	RICHARD GEORGE GOOD, SENIOR,	
	16		
	17	CALLED AS A WITNESS HEREIN BY THE PLAINTIFF WAS FIRST DULY SWORN,	
	18	EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:	
	18	EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:	
		EXAMINED AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS: THE COURT: PROCEED.	
	19		
	19 20	THE COURT: PROCEED. DIRECT_EXAMINATION	
	19 20 21	THE COURT: PROCEED. DIRECT EXAMINATION	
	19 20 21 22	THE COURT: PROCEED. DIRECT EXAMINATION	
	19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT: PROCEED. DIRECT EXAMINATION	
	19 20 21 22 23 24	THE COURT: PROCEED. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SEATON:	
	19 20 21 22 23 24 25	THE COURT: PROCEED. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SEATON:	
	19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	THE COURT: PROCEED. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SEATON: Q WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME?	
	19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	THE COURT: PROCEED. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SEATON: Q WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME? A RICHARD GEORGE GOOD, SENIOR.	·
	19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	THE COURT: PROCEED. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SEATON: Q WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME? A RICHARD GEORGE GOOD, SENIOR. Q SPELL YOUR LAST NAME FOR THE RECORD.	·
	19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	THE COURT: PROCEED. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SEATON: Q WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME? A RICHARD GEORGE GOOD, SENIOR. Q SPELL YOUR LAST NAME FOR THE RECORD. A G-O-O-D.	
	19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	THE COURT: PROCEED. DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SEATON: Q WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME? A RICHARD GEORGE GOOD, SENIOR. Q SPELL YOUR LAST NAME FOR THE RECORD. A G-O-O-D. Q MR. GOOD, WHAT IS YOUR OCCUPATION?	209

- !!	
լ	Q AND HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN SO EMPLOYED?
2	A I'VE BEEN EMPLOYED IN THAT CAPACITY FOR
3	SEVEN YEARS.
4	Q AND HOW WERE YOU EMPLOYED PRIOR TO THAT
5	TIME?
6	A SIR, PRIOR TO THAT I WAS ALSO EMPLOYED WITH
7	THE LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT IN THE CRIME LAB BUT
8	IN GENERAL FIELD IDENTIFICATION WORK; AND PRIOR TO THAT WITH THE
9	CLARK COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE, OF COURSE AGAIN IN FIELD IDENTI-
10	FICATION WORK.
1	Q AND CAN YOU EXPLAIN, IF YOU WOULD, YOUR
12	DUTIES AS A FIREARMS EXAMINER?
13	A YES. THE DUTIES ARE QUITE BROAD IN THEIR
14	NATURE. AND THEY INCLUDE SUCH THINGS AS THE EXAMINATION OF
15	FIREARMS AND TOOL MARKS; A GREAT NUMBER OF AUXILIARY SUBJECTS,
16	INCLUDING SERIAL NUMBER RESTORATIONS, GUNSHOT RESIDUES, THAT IS
17	DETERMINING THE DISTANCE FROM WHICH A GUN WAS FIRED.
18	BUT BASICALLY THE FIREARMS EXAMINER'S
19	CHOICE IS TO ATTEMPT TO DETERMINE IF A PARTICULAR BULLET OR
20	CARTRIDGE CASE WAS FIRED FROM A SPECIFIC FIREARM.
21	Q CAN YOU TELL US WHAT YOUR BACKGROUND AND
22	QUALIFICATIONS ARE AS A FIREARMS EXAMINER IN THE REGARD FROM
23	WHICH YOU JUST STATED, THAT IS COMPARING GUNS TO BULLETS.:
24	A WELL, SIR, AGAIN WHEN I FIRST BECAME
25	EMPLOYED IN 1972 WITH THE CLARK COUNTY SHERIFE'S DEPARTMENT I
26	WAS, AT THAT TIME, INITIALLY TAUGHT THE BASICS OF FIREARMS 1DEN-
27	TIFICATION BY VARIOUS OTHER OFFICERS WHO WERE ENGAGED IN THE
28	FIELD AT THAT TIME.
29	IN 1974 I GRADUATED FROM THE SMITH AND
30	WESSON ACADEMY IN SPRINGFIELD, MASSACHUSETTS. AND IN 1976 I WAS
31	ASSIGNED HEAD OF THE FIREARMS SECTION OF THE L.A.P.D. CRIME LAB.
32	I GRADUATED FROM THE F.B.I. ACADEMY,

3

5 6

7

9

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28

29

31

32

30

INVOLVING A CLASS IN GUNSHOT RESIDUE IN 1980.

AND DURING THE COURSE OF MY EMPLOYMENT

AS A FIREARMS EXAMINER, I'VE HAD OCCASION TO INSTRUCT CLASSES

INVOLVING FIREARMS IDENTIFICATION TO THE MEMBERS OF THE CLARK

COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS OFFICE, THE LAS VEGAS POLICE ACADEMY,

AND ALSO CLASSES AT THE UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA AT LAS VEGAS FOR THE

PAST TWO YEARS.

ADDITIONALLY, ON A PROFESSIONAL LEVEL, I'M A MEMBER OF THE INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR IDENTIFICATION AND THE ASSOCIATION OF FIREARMS AND TOOL MARKS EXAMINERS.

Q HAVE YOU TESTIFIED AS TO FIREARMS IDENTIFI-CATION IN COURT BEFORE?

A YES, I HAVE.

Q AND CAN YOU TELL US HOW MANY TIMES YOU HAVE

A INCLUDING CLARK COUNTY, LINCOLN COUNTY, NYE COUNTY; AND WASHINGTON COUNTY, UTAH; I'VE TESTIFIED PREVIOUSLY

141 TIMES.

TESTIFIED?

Q HAVE YOU QUALIFIED AS AN EXPERT IN FIREARMS

IDENTIFICATION IN EACH OF THOSE SITUATIONS?

YES, I HAVE.

Q COULD YOU EXPLAIN, IF YOU WOULD, THE PROCESS
OF FIREARMS IDENTIFICATION?

BY "PROCESS" YOU MEAN THE BASIS OF IT, SIR?

Q ' HOW YOU GO ABOUT DOING IT.

A WELL, IF WE'RE SPEAKING SPECIFICALLY OF HOW

I DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT A FIRED BULLET WAS FIRED FROM A

PARTICULAR GUN, IS THAT WHAT --

Q YES.

A WELL, THIS IS DONE MICROSCOPICALLY. IT'S

DONE THROUGH USE OF A AMERICAN OPTICAL FORENSIC COMPARISON MICRO
SCOPE, OF WHICH WE HAVE TWO IN THE L.V.P.D. CRIME LAB.

そうまれらい きをぎり 第五六

SION WIDTHS.

THIS MICROSCOPE, WHAT I DO IS AFTER I
OBTAIN THE SUSPECT OR RECOVERED BULLET OR BULLETS IS AFTER EXAMINING THEM MOST GENERALLY A WEAPON OF SOME SORT IS SUBMITTED TO
ME.

AFTER MAKING MY GROSS EXAMINATION OF
THE FIREARM, I THEN TEST FIRE THAT INTO THE RECOVERY TANK WE HAVE
IN THE FIREARMS SECTION. I OBTAIN MY RECOVERED OR TEST BULLET
THAT IS FROM THE SUSPECT -- SUSPECTED FIREARM. AND THEN MICROSCOPICALLY I COMPARE THEM, ONE WITH EACH OTHER, TO DETERMINE WHAT
UNUSUAL FEATURES THAT THEY BEAR AND WHAT IT IS THAT I AM LOOKING
FOR IN RELATION TO THE OTHER RECOVERED BULLET.

AT THE OCCASION -- OR AT THE CONCLUSION OF THAT PROCEDURE, I THEN PLACE THE INITIALLY RECOVERED
SUSPECT BULLET ON ONE STAGE OF THE MICROSCOPE AND COMPARE IT
WITH ONE OF THE TEST FIRES FROM THE FIREARM. I EXAMINE IT AGAIN
MICROSCOPICALLY, AND THEN ON A BASIS OF MY EXPERIENCE I MAKE MY
CONCLUSIONS.

Q AND WHAT SORT OF THINGS DO YOU COMPARE

BETWEEN THE SUSPECT BULLET AND THE TEST FIRED BULLETS IN ORDER

TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT THE SUSPECT BULLET CAME FROM THE

GUN FROM WHICH THE TEST FIRED BULLETS WERE FIRED?

A WELL, SEE, THERE'S TWO CHARACTERISTICS THAT WE'RE LOOKING FOR. INITIALLY THE FIRST EXAMINATION IS CONCERNED WITH THE CLASS CHARACTERISTICS OF BOTH THE BULLET AND THE GUN.

RING TO SUCH THINGS AS THE CALIBER OF A FIREARM; THE NUMBER OF LANDS AND GROOVES THAT ARE IMPRINTED UPON THE FIRED BULLET AS IT RELATES TO THE FIREARM; THE DEVICE AGAIN WHICH IS IMPARTED UPON

BY "CLASS CHARACTERISTICS" I'M REFER-

THE BULLET; AND THE LAND IMPRESSION WIDTH AND THE GROOVE IMPRES-

NOW, THIS IS DONE FIRST BECAUSE OF THE

EXPEDIENCY INVOLVED. OBVIOUSLY AN EXAMINER IS NOT GOING TO

9

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

-19 20

> 21 22

23 24

26 27

32

SPEND THE TIME COMPARING A RECOVERED .45 CALIBER WITH A .22 CALIBER FIREARM. BUT IF I CONCLUDE THAT THE CLASS CHARACTERIS-TICS ARE SIMILAR, I THEN DO A MICROSCOPIC EXAMINATION TO DETERMINE IF THE SUSPECT BULLET WAS FIRED FROM THE SUBMITTED GUN.

THE THINGS I'M LOOKING FOR AT THIS STAGE ARE VERY MICROSCOPIC STRIATIONS THAT ARESTOUND ON THE BULLET.

WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY "STRIATIONS"?

STRIATIONS ARE LONGITUDINAL SCRATCHES THAT ARE FOUND ON THE BULLET THAT WILL RUN FROM THE NOSE TO THE BASE END AND ARE A RESULT OF THE SOFTER MATERIAL OF THE BULLET BEING ENGRAVED OR CARRYING THE RELEASE OF THE BAR- -- OR THE INNER PORTION OF THE BARREL OF THE GUN ITSELF. FOR THE MOST PART THESE ARE NUMEROUS AND THEY'RE VERY MICROSCOPIC IN THEIR NATURE. AND IT IS THESE VERY CHARACTERISTICS, THAT IS THESE STRIATIONS, THAT INDIVIDUALIZE ONE GUN BARREL FROM ANY OTHER GUN BARRELS MANUFACTURED.

O CAN YOU TELL US A LITTLE MORE ABOUT THE

LANDS, AND GROOVES TO WHICH YOU REFERRED?

PARTICULAR CALIBER TO BE.

YES, SIR. WHEN THE GUN BARREL IS MANU-FACTURED IT GENERALLY AT LEAST THREE MAJOR PROVISIONS TAKE PLACES ONE IS THE UNUSUAL DEILLING OF THE BARREL OF THE GUN. THIS IS DONE TO MAKE A HOLE FROM WHICH, OF COURSE, THE BULLET CAN TRAVEL. AND IT IS DRILLED TO AN APPROXIMATE DIAMETER OF WHAT THE MANUFACTURER WISHES THAT

AFTER THAT OPERATION IS CONCLUDED, A SECOND OPERATION KNOWN AS "RIFLING" TAKES PLACE. AND THIS IS TO FURTHER ENLARGE THE BARREL, AGAIN COMING CLOSER TO THE DESIGNED CALIBER, AND ALSO TO BUFF OUT SOME OF THE MORE GROSS IMPERFECTIONS THAT TAKE PLACE AS A RESULT OF THE DRILLING PRO-

CEDURE.

9.

LASTLY, A RIFLING OPERATION TAKES
PLACE. NOW, BY "RIFLING" I'M NOT REFERRING TO ANY TYPICAL TYPE
OF A FIREARM. I DON'T MEAN A RIFLE. I MEAN ANY MODERN FIREARM
WHICH IS DESIGNED TO SHOOT A SINGLE PROJECTILE. AND THIS
OPERATION ENTAILS EITHER THE CUTTING OF GOUGES OR GROOVES INTO
THE BARREL OF THE GUN OR A COLD SWAGE METHOD, A BUTTON RIFLE.
TYPING METHOD. BUT IN EITHER EVENT, THE EVIDENT RESULT IS THE
SAME, THE BARREL HAS GROOVES INSIDE IT, AND NOT ONLY THE GROOVES
PRESENT BUT THEY ARE ALSO TWISTED, EITHER TO THE RIGHT OR TO THE
LEFT, DEPENDING UPON THE INDIVIDUAL MANUFACTURER'S WISHES. AND
IT IS THESE GROOVES THAT GIVE THE BULLET THE BITE AND ALSO THE
SPIN WHICH -- OR THE DIRECTION OF THE TWIST, WHICH GIVES THE
BULLET ITS ROTATIONAL SPIN OR GYROSCOPIC EFFECTS, WHICH IS

Q IN YOUR CAPACITY AS A FIREARMS EXAMINER
WITH THE LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT, HAVE YOU
BECOME AWARE OF THE CASE DEALING WITH THE DEFENDANT BY THE NAME
OF SAMUEL HOWARD?

A YES. YES, SIR, I HAVE.

Q HAVE YOU EXAMINED CERTAIN ITEMS WITH REGARD TO THAT CASE?

A YES, SIR.

Q AND HAVE YOU PERFORMED TESTS ON THOSE ITEMS?

A YES, I HAVE.

Q COULD YOU DESCRIBE FOR US THE ITEMS THAT

YOU HAVE EXAMINED AND PERFORMED TESTS ON?

A YES, SIR. THERE WERE TWO ITEMS IN RELATION
TO THIS -- IN THIS CASE THAT I EXAMINED. ONE WAS A SEALED
EVIDENCE ENVELOPE BY IDENTIFICATION OFFICER CONNELL, WHICH I
EXAMINED ON MARCH 28TH OF 1980. THE PURPOSE WAS TO DETERMINE
THE CALIBER OF THE BULLET, ANY PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS ON THE

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

FIRED BULLET, AND WHAT POSSIBLE GUN COULD HAVE BEEN USED TO FIRE IT.

AT A LATER DATE, I BELIEVE THE 10TH OF APRIL OF THAT YEAR, 1980, I ALSO EXAMINED A SMITH AND WESSON FIREARM, WHICH WAS A .357 MAGNUM REVOLVER AND CALIBER. IT WAS A DOUBLE SIX SHOT REVOLVER. I EXAMINED THE FIREARM AND I TEST FIRED 1T AND COMPARED THE TEST FIRES WITH THE FIRES EXHIBIT OR THE BULLETS SUBMITTED BY OFFICER CONNELL IN MARCH OF THAT YEAR.

Q SHOWING YOU WHAT HAS BEEN MARKED AS STATE'S EXHIBIT 32-A. WOULD YOU EXAMINE THAT AND TELL ME IF YOU ARE ABLE TO IDENTIFY IT?

A YES, SIR, I AM.

Q AND COULD YOU TELL US WHAT IT IS, PLEASE?

A WELL, SIR, THIS IS A PLASTIC VILE WHICH IS IMPOUNDED BY OFFICER DAN CONNELL AND CONTAINS BULLET FRAGMENTS.

O AND WHEN AND WHERE DID YOU FIRST SEE THAT

PARTICULAR EXHIBIT?

A ON THE 28TH OF MARCH OF 1980, IN THE FIRE-ARMS SECTION OF THE LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT CRIME LAB.

Q AND LET ME SHOW YOU WHAT'S BEEN MARKED FOR PURPOSES OF IDENTIFICATION AS STATE'S PROPOSED 31-B. ARE YOU ABLE TO RECOGNIZE THAT?

A SYES, SIR, I AM.

Q AND WHAT IS IT, PLEASE?

A THIS IS THE REVOLVER I SPOKE OF A MOMENT

AGO. THIS IS A SMITH AND WESSON MODEL 19-4.357 MAGNUM REVOLVER.

Q AND WHEN DID YOU FIRST SEE THAT PARTICULAR

EXHIBIT?

A I BELIEVE THE DATE WAS THE 10TH OF APRIL OF 1980.

Q LET ME SHOW YOU NOW WHAT!S BEEN MARKED AS

21,03

-1075-

1 2 2

3

.

6

A

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

29

30 31

32

STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 34, AND ASK YOU TO EXAMINE THAT WHICH PURPORTS TO BE A INTACT EVIDENCE ENVELOPE, AND TELL ME IF YOU RECOGNIZE IT?

A YES, SIR, I DO.

MR. SEATON: MAY I HAVE IT FOR A MOMENT.

MAY THE RECORD REFLECT, YOUR HONOR, THAT

STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 34 IS PRESENTLY INTACT?

THE COURT: THE RECORD MAY SO SHOW. .

Q AND WHAT IS THE BASIS OF YOUR IDENTIFICA-

A STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 34 IS A EVIDENCE ENVELOPE WHICH I PLACED SEVERAL ITEMS IN AND SIGNED AND INITIALED THE ENVELOPE.

G AND WHEN DID YOU DO THAT?

A THIS WAS ON THE 10TH OF APRIL OF 1980.

Q AND WHAT ITEMS DID YOU PLACE INTO STATE'S

PROPOSED EXHIBIT 34?

BY MR. SEATON:

A SIX TEST FIRED BULLETS AND SIX TEST FIRED CARTRIDGES WHICH I OBTAINED FROM THE REVOLVER, THAT IS STATE'S 31-B.

Q WOULD YOU TAKE THE SCISSORS TO YOUR RIGHT AND CUT A HOLE IN STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 34, BEING CAREFUL NOT TO DISTURB THE EVIDENCE.

NOW, HAVING DONE THAT, WILL YOU REMOVE THE CONTENTS FROM STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 34 AND DESCRIBE THEM AS YOU REMOVE THEM.

A I'VE REMOVED A STAPLED-COIN ENVELOPE,
BEARING A DATE 4-10-80, WITH THE WRITING "SIX TEST FIRED CARTRIDGES" WITH MY SIGNATURE.

4 5

> 6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

_19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28 29

30

31

32

I ALSO HAVE A SIMILAR ENVELOPE, AGAIN BEARING THE SAME DATE, 4-10-80. THIS ONE READS "SIX TEST FIRED BULLETS" AND AGAIN BEARS MY SIGNATURE.

MAY I HAVE THOSE TWO, PLEASE.

YES, SIR.

MR. SEATON: YOUR HONOR, MAY THE TWO OBJECTS BE MARKED AS STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBITS 34-A AND B?

THE COURT: THEY MAY.

LET'S MOVE IT ALONG, COUNSEL.

MR. SEATON: LET THE RECORD SHOW, YOUR HONOR, THAT STATE'S PROPOSED 34-A IS PRESENTLY INTACT.

BY MR. SEATON:

OFFICER GOOD, I WILL HAND YOU THAT EXHIBIT IN A MOMENT AND ASK YOU TO CUT A HOLE IN THE BOTTOM OF IT. THE RECORD SHOULD ALSO REFLECT THAT 34-B IS PRESENTLY INTACT.

HANDING YOU NOW WHAT'S BEEN MARKED AS STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 34-A, WOULD YOU REPEAT AGAIN WHAT THAT PARTICULAR EXHIBIT IS?

YES, SIR. THIS EXHIBIT IS SIX TEST'FIRED BULLETS, WHICH I OBTAINED FROM STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 31-B.

AND WOULD YOU OPEN THE BOTTOM OF THAT BAG AND REMOVE THE CONTENTS, DESCRIBING IT AS YOU DO WHAT IT IS YOU'RE REMOVING?

THE COURT: COUNSEL, WHY IS THAT NECESSARY? WHY DON'T YOU JUST ASK HIM TO MAKE THE COMPARISON WITHOUT IT? HE DOESN'T HAVE ANY MICROSCOPE OR ANYTHING ELSE TO MAKE A COMPARI-SON. JUST LET HIM DESCRIBE IT. YOU DON'T HAVE TO DO THAT.

MR. SEATON: THAT'S FAIR ENOUGH, YOUR HONOR.

BY MR. SEATON:

Q DID YOU MAKE CERTAIN TESTS, OFFICER GOOD, ON STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBITS. 34-A AND B?

A YES, I DID.

Q WHAT WERE THOSE?

A THE TESTS I PERFORMED ON STATE'S 31-B, THAT IS THE REVOLVER, WAS INITIALED AND INITIALED "FIREARMS EXAMINATION". AND AT THE CONCLUSION OF THE FIREARMS EXAMINATION, A BULLET COMPARISON WITH STATE'S 34-A AND THE SUBMITTED BULLET, STATE'S 32-A.

Q AND DID YOU MAKE THOSE TESTS IN THE SAME WAY THAT YOU HAVE DESCRIBED THEM EARLIER IN YOUR TESTIMONY?

A YES, SIR, I DID.

Q AND CAN YOU TELL US THE RESULTS OF YOUR EXAMINATION?

A THE RESULTS OF MY EXAMINATION WERE THAT THE STATE'S EXHIBIT 32-A, THE SUBMITTED BULLET, BORE THE SAME CLASS CHARACTERISTICS AS ALL OF THE TEST FIRES FROM STATE'S 31-B.

O WHAT DOES THAT MEAN?

A BY THAT I REFER TO THE FACT THAT THEY WERE OF THE SAME CALIBER. THEY WERE OF THE SAME PITCH, THAT IS THE DIRECTION OF TWIST WAS THE SAME IN BOTH CASES. EACH BORE THE SAME NUMBER OF LANDS AND GROOVES AND HAD THE SAME FRENCH LAND.

1MPRESSION AND THE SAME WITH THE GROOVE IMPRESSION WIDTH.

HOWEVER, I HAD TO CLOSE MY EXAMINATION WITH THE CLASS MAKE ONLY, AS OPPOSED TO THE INDIVIDUAL MAKE, BECAUSE IT WAS MY OPINION THAT STATE'S 32-A WAS TOO SEVERELY DEFORMED TO BE A VALUE FOR IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES.

Q AND TO WHAT EXTENT WERE YOU ABLE TO IDENTIFY
THE INDIVIDUAL PROJECTILE, WHICH IS MARKED AS 32-A?

A ONCE AGAIN, SIR, ONLY TO THE EXTENT THAT

21.06

THE BOTTOM LINE WOULD BE THAT IT COULD HAVE BEEN FIRED FROM STATE'S 31-B, HOWEVER, IT WAS JUST TOO BADLY MANGLED FOR ME TO POSITIVELY STATE THAT IT HAD, IN FACT, BEEN FIRED FROM 31-B, OR, ON THE OTHER HAND, TO AFFIRM THAT IT HAD NOT BEEN FIRED FROM THAT EXHIBIT.

Q WERE YOU ABLE TO DETERMINE WHAT THE CALIBER

OF STATE'S PROPOSED -- OR STATE'S EXHIBIT 32-B WAS?

A YES, SIR, I WAS.

Q AND WHAT WAS THAT CALIBER?

A THE CALIBER OF THE BULLET IN 32-A WAS

CONSISTENT WITH A .38 SPECIAL, THAT IS THE BULLET, AND COULD

HAVE BEEN FIRED, IN MY OPINION, FROM A .38 SPECIAL CARTRIDGE OR

A .357 MAGNUM CARTRIDGE.

Q COULD YOU EXPLAIN FOR A MOMENT, AS A FIRE-ARMS EXPERT, THE DISTINCTIONS BETWEEN A .357 CALIBER BULLET AND A .38 CALIBER BULLET?

A ALL RIGHT. SIR, IF WE'RE DEALING WITH THE BULLET ALONE, AND BY THAT I'M TALKING ABOUT THAT PORTION OF THE CARTRIDGE THAT STRIKES THE TARGET, THERE IS NO DISTINCTION. A .357 MAGNUM BULLET AND A .38 SPECIAL BULLET ARE INTERCHANGEABLE AND, IN FACT, ARE -- IT'S THE SAME -- IT IS THE SAME -- SAME BULLET, THE SAME BULLET WIDTH, THE SAME BULLET DIAMETER, ET CETERA.

THE DIFFERENCE IN THE CALIBER DESIGNATION BETWEEN THE .38 SPECIAL AND A .38 MAGNUM (SIC) DEALS NOT WITH THE BULLET BUT WITH THE OVERALL CARTRIDGE CASE LENGTH AND THE AMOUNT AND TIME OF PROPELLANT OR GUNPOWDER THAT IS USED TO DISCHARGE BOTH OF THE CARTRIDGES.

Q MR. GOOD, IN YOUR LAST STATEMENT YOU INDI-CATED THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A .38 SPECIAL AND A .38 MAGNUM. DID YOU MEAN .357 MAGNUM?

A I'M SORRY. A .357 MAGNUM IS WHAT I MEANT

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29 30

31 32

TO REFER TO.

ARE .38 SPECIAL CARTRIDGES AND .357 MAGNUM CARTRIDGES CAPABLE OF BEING FIRED FROM THE SAME KIND OF WEAPON?

YES AND NO. BOTH CAN BE FIRED FROM A .357 MAGNUM REVOLVER, IN FACT, IN MOST CASES THE NORMAL SHOOTER WILL FIRE .38 SPECIAL REVOLVERS IN A .357 MAGNUM. HOWEVER, DUE TO THE OVERALL DIFFERENCE IN CARTRIDGE LENGTH AND SIZE BETWEEN A .357 MAGNUM AND A .38, THE .357 MAGNUM CANNOT BE INSERTED, NOR FIRED. FROM A FIREARM SPECIFICALLY DESIGNATED AS A .38 SPECIAL.

> I SEE. Q ·

ONE CAN FIRE THE .38'S AND .357'S, THAT BEING THE .357; HOWEVER, THE REVERSE IS NOT TRUE.

IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT STATE'S PROPOSED --STATE'S EXHIBIT 32-A COULD HAVE BEEN FIRED FROM STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 31-B, THE REVOLVER?

YES, SIR, IT IS.

LET ME SHOW YOU NOW WHAT HAS BEEN MARKED AS STATE'S EXHIBIT 25-B. I ASK YOU TO EXAMINE THAT AND TELL ME IF YOU HAVE EVER SEEN THAT BEFORE?

NO, SIR. I DON'T BELIEVE I HAVE.

WOULD YOU TAKE THE WIRE FROM THE BAG AND. REMOVE THE CONTENTS, PLEASE?

AND AS YOU ARE DOING THAT, LET ME ASK YOU: AS A FIREARMS EXPERT ARE YOU ABLE TO EASILY IDENTIFY VARIOUS BULLETS BY THEIR CALIBER, VARIOUS CARTRIDGES BY THEIR CALIBER?

CARTRIDGES, YES.

THANK YOU. Q

YOU'RE HOLDING IN YOUR HAND NOW I BELIEVE ABOUT A DOZEN CARTRIDGES; IS THAT NOT CORRECT? TWELVE CARTRIDGES, THAT'S RIGHT. THAT'S

CORRECT.

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

Q	WOULD Y	OU LOOK	AT EACH ON	E OF T	HEM AND	TELL
US WHAT EACH	THE CALIBER	OF EACH	CARTRIDGE	IS?		
•	E. E. E.	A. T	*****	TM THE	C EVUIDI	. T

ARE CALIBER .357 MAGNUM. THE 12TH CARTRIDGE IS A CALIBER .38 SPECIAL, PLUS P.

Q WOULD EACH OF THOSE TWELVE CARTRIDGES BE CAPABLE OF BEING FIRED FROM THE PISTOL MARKED AS AN EXHIBIT IN THIS CASE?

YES, THEY WOULD.

MR. SEATON: THANK YOU.

THAT CONCLUDES THE STATE'S QUESTIONS, YOUR

HONOR.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. FRANZEN:

OFFICER, WHAT IS A .38 CALIBER, PLUS P?

IT'S A RECENT DESIGNATION BY AN INSTITUTE

KNOWN AS SAMI.

BUT THE POINT OF IT IS: IT IS A HOT .38 SPECIAL. THE "PLUS P" STANDS FOR ADDED POWER.

NOW, YOU DID YOUR EXAMINATION OF STATE'S 32-A, CONTAINING A MAGNUM BULLET AND PLASTIC VILE TO COMPARE IT WITH YOUR TEST FIRED BULLETS IN STATE'S 34-A; 15 THAT CORRECTS

THAT'S CORRECT.

AND 1 GUESS THE BOTTOM LINE IS YOU CANNOT TELL WHAT WEAPON FIRED THE MANGLED BULLET IN STATE'S 32-A; WOULD THAT BE CORRECT? YOU CANNOT IDENTIFY A SPECIFIC --

I CANNOT IDENTIFY A SPECIFIC GUN AS HAVING FIRED IT. I CAN IDENTIFY WHAT TYPES OF GUNS COULD HAVE FIRED IT

COULD IT HAVE BEEN A STURM-RUGER?

8

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

29 30

31 32

YES. I BELIEVE I INDICATED THAT IN MY INITIAL REPORT OF MARCH 28TH.

WHAT OTHER GUNS COULD HAVE FIRED IT?

A FAIRLY LARGE NUMBER AND VARIETIES. TWO, THAT IS STURM-RUGER AND SMITH AND WESSON, ARE THE TWO MOST COMMON AMERICAN FIRMS WHICH MANUFACTURE .38 AND ".357 REVOLVERS BEARING THAT SAME CLASS CHARACTERISTIC AS FOUND ON THE BULLET 32-A. HOWEVER, THERE ARE SEVERAL OTHER SPANISH AND SOUTH AMERICAN COUNTRIES THAT HAVE MADE SMITH AND WESSON COPIES: AND THESE DO BEAR THE SAME OR SIMILAR RIFLING CHARACTERISTICS.

ARE THESE SPANISH AND SOUTH AMERICAN WEAPONS AVAILABLE IN THE UNITED STATES?

YES, THEY ARE.

WELL, I GUESS THERE WOULD BE MILLIONS OF POTENTIAL WEAPONS THAT COULD HAVE FIRED STATE'S 32-A, THE MANGLED BULLET IN THE PLASTIC VILE?

THAT'S CORRECT.

MR. FRANZEN: I HAVE NO OTHER QUESTIONS, YOUR HONOR. MR. SEATON: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: MAY I SEE COUNSEL, PLEASE. YOU ARE EXCUSED.

> (WHEREUPON, THE WITNESS WAS EXCUSED. 🎏 😽

(WHEREUPON, SIDE BAR CONFER-ENCE WAS MEED AT THE BENCH; NOT REPORTED. AT THE CON-CLUSION OF WHICH THE FOLLOW-ING WAS HAD:)

THE COURT: I BELIEVE THAT THERE WAS ONE JUROR THAT FORGOT TO CATCH A BUS. MY BAILIFF SAYS THAT HE WILL DRIVE SOME-ONE, IF IT'S IN THE VALLEY ANYWHERE.

JUROR NUMBER 10, MS. MARILYN CAPASSO: THAT'S OKAY.

DON'T WORRY ABOUT IT.

THE COURT: WE'RE GOING TO BE IN RECESS UNTIL 9:30

TOMORROW MORNING. AS YOU NOTICE, WE'RE TRYING TO RUN A LITTLE

LONGER IN ORDER TO GET ALL OF THE WITNESSES IN AND TRY TO FINISH

THE CASE BY FRIDAY, OTHERWISE WE'RE GOING TO GO INTO THE WEEKEND.

I DON'T WANT TO GO INTO THE WEEKEND. BUT I WOULD LIKE TO AT

LEAST BE ABLE TO HAVE ALL OF THE EVIDENCE IN TOMORROW, AND HAVE

YOU INSTRUCTED AND ARGUE THE CASE TO YOU SOMETIME FRIDAY. THAT'S

WHAT I AM TRYING TO DO, AND THAT'S THE REASON WHY WE'RE RUNNING

A LITTLE LONGER.

WHEN WE HAVE ARGUMENTS OUTSIDE OF YOUR PRESENCE, I KNOW IT'S DIFFICULT FOR YOU TO UNDERSTAND, BUT WE'RE WORKING IN HERE WHILE YOU'RE OUT THERE WAITING TO COME INTO COURT.

DURING THIS RECESS, YOU

ARE ADMONISHED NOT TO CONVERSE

AMONG YOURSELVES OR WITH ANYONE

ELSE ON ANY SUBJECT CONNECTED

WITH THIS TRIAL, OR READ, WATCH

OR LISTEN TO ANY REPORT OF OR

COMMENTARY ON THIS TRIAL WITH

ANY PERSON CONNECTED WITH THIS

TRIAL BY ANY MEDIUM OF INFORMA—

TION, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITA—

TION, NEWSPAPER, TELEVISION OR

RADIO OR FORM OR EXPRESS ANY

OPINION ON ANY SUBJECT CONNECTED

WITH THIS TRIAL UNTIL THE CASE IS

FINALLY SUBMITTED TO YOU.

WE'LL BE IN RECESS IN THIS MATTER

UNTIL 9:30 TOMORROW MORNING.

2 ||

CASE NO. C53867 1 DEPARTMENT NO. V 2 DOCKET H 3 FILET 5 6 IN AND FOR THE COUNT 7 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 9 PLAINTIFF, 10 11 vs. 12 SAMUEL HOWARD, AKA KEITH, 13 DEFENDANT. 14 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF 15 JURY TRIAL 16 17 BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN F. MENDOZA, DISTRICT JUDGE 18 THURSDAY, APRIL 21, 1983, AT 10:00 A.M. 19 20 21 APPEARANCES: 22 FOR THE STATE: 23 24 25 FOR THE DEFENDANT: 26 27 28 29 REPORTED BY: 30 31 32 VOLUME VIII

MELVIN T. HARMON, ESQUIRE DANIEL M. SEATON, ESQUIRE 200 SOUTH THIRD STREET LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89115 DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS MARCUS D. COOPER, ESQUIRE GEORGE E. FRANZEN, ESQUIRE 309 SOUTH THIRD STREET LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 DEPUTY PUBLIC DEFENDERS RENEE SILVAGGIO, C.S.R. NO. 122

-1085-

AA000986

I

В

	Q	WILL	YOU	INDICATE	WHERE	AND	ON	ABOUT	HOW
MANY	OCCASIONS?								

A IN THE MUNICIPAL AND SUPERIOR COURTS OF

CALIFORNIA, THE JUSTICE AND DISTRICT COURTS OF NEVADA, ALSO IN

FRONT OF NEVADA STATE GAMING COMMISSION, AND IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

OF SOUTH OAKOTA. AND APPROXIMATELY -- I NO LONGER ENUMERATE THE

AMOUNT OF TIMES, BUT AT THE LAST COUNT IT WAS; SOMEWHERE IN EXCESS

OF 800 TIMES.

Q MR. MOSER, WERE YOU EMPLOYED AS A LATENT PRINT EXAMINER FOR THE CRIMINALISTICS BUREAU OF THE LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT ON APRIL THE 18TH, 1980?

A YES, SIR.

Q DID YOU, ON THAT DATE, HAVE OCCASION TO CONDUCT A LATENT PRINT EXAMINATION?

A I DID.

Q WILL YOU STATE WHAT YOU EXAMINED ON APRIL 18TH, 1980?

A I EXAMINED LATENT PRINTS THAT HAD BEEN

COLLECTED BY OTHER PERSONNEL HERE, SOME THAT HAD BEEN COLLECTED

OR RECOVERED BY A PERSON IN ANOTHER STATE IN CALIFORNIA, AND

COMPARED THOSE WITH AN INKED AND ROLLED EXEMPLAR OF A KNOWN

PERSON.

MR. HARMON: MAY I HAVE THE COURT'S INDULGENCE.
THE COURT: YOU MAY.

BY MR. HARMON:

Q MR. MOSER, WHEN YOU ENGAGE IN A LATENT PRINT COMPARISON WHAT IS YOUR PROCEDURE?

A A FIVE-POWER MAGNIFYING GLASS IS USED,
WHICH WAS MANUFACTURED SPECIFICALLY FOR THAT PURPOSE. THE EXAMINATION IS STRICTLY VISUAL. IT IS EYE COMPARISON BETWEEN A

 KNOWN SET OF FINGERPRINTS THAT ARE INKED AND A QUESTIONED FINGER-PRINT THAT WAS DEVELOPED IN SOME MANNER AND RECOVERED FROM SOME SURFACE.

THE COMPARISON IS MADE BY COMPARING
THE INDIVIDUAL RIDGE CHARACTERISTICS THAT COMPRISE THE PATTERN
OF THE FINGERPRINT.

Q BASED UPON YOUR TRAINING AND EXPERIENCE,
DO YOU HAVE AN OPINION AS TO WHETHER ANY TWO PERSONS HAVE THE
SAME RIDGE CHARACTERISTIC ON A FINGERPRINT, IDENTICALLY THE
SAME?

A AS OF THIS DATE, I HAVE YET TO HEAR OF ANY
CASE, WITH ALL OF THE FINGERPRINTS THAT HAVE BEEN COMPARED, ANY
TWO DIFFERENT PEOPLE HAVING AN IDENTICAL FINGERPRINT.

Q IT IS YOUR OPINION THAT EACH PERSON HAS UNIQUE RIDGE CHARACTERISTICS?

A YES, SIR. THAT IS MY OPINION.

MR. HARMON: MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR HONORS
THE COURT: YOU MAY.

BY MR. HARMON:

Q MR. MOSER, I AM SHOWING YOU NOW STATE'S
PROPOSED EXHIBITS 28-A, 29 AND 57. WILL YOU EXAMINE THOSE
PROPOSED EXHIBITS AND STATE WHETHER, ON APRIL THE 18TH, 1980,
YOU CONDUCTED A LATENT PRINT COMPARISON REGARDING THESE VARIOUS
PROPOSED EXHIBITS?

A YES, SIR. I DO RECOGNIZE THE EXHIBITS.

AND I DID CONDUCT AN EXAMINATION ON THAT DATE, WHICH WAS APRIL

THE 18TH, 1980, BETWEEN STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT NUMBER 29 AND

STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT NUMBER 57.

AND I NOTE THAT -- I NOTED THAT ON STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 28-A THAT I EXAMINED THAT ON APRIL THE

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

29

28

30 31

31 32 -- APRIL THE 9TH, 1983. BUT THE THREE EXHIBITS WERE ORIGINALLY COMPARED ON APRIL THE 18TH, 1980.

Q WILL YOU STATE FOR THE RECORD WHAT PROPOSED EXHIBIT 29 IS?

A STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 29 IS A STANDARD?

FINGERPRINT CARD BEARING TEN INKED AND ROLLED FINGERPRINTS OF

A KNOWN PERSON. THE CARD ATTACHED TO THE BACK OF STATE'S PRO
POSED EXHIBIT 29 IS A RIGHT AND LEFT THUMB PRINT, ALSO INKED.

Q IS THE KNOWN PERSON ON PROPOSED EXHIBIT 29
IDENTIFIED AS SAMUEL HOWARD, ALSO KNOWN AS GEORGE WILLIAMS?

A YES, SIR.

Q AND ARE THOSE PRINTS PURPORTEDLY OBTAINED BY SOMEONE NAMED BLOCK IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA?

A THAT'S CORRECT.

Q WERE THOSE THE STANDARD OR EXEMPLAR PRINTS
ORIGINALLY USED BY YOU ON APRIL 18, 1980, IN MAKING CERTAIN
LATENT PRINT COMPARISONS?

A YES, SIR, THEY ARE.

Q NOW, SPECIFICALLY REFERRING TO PROPOSED

EXHIBIT 57, WHAT DOES THAT PURPORT TO BE?

A STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 57 IS A LATENT
PRINT THAT WAS DEVELOPED BY A PERSON HERE EMPLOYED AND LIFTED
AND TRANSFERRED TO THIS CARD, WHICH IS PREPARED FOR THAT
PURPOSE.

Q ... NOW, WAS THE PERSON WHO LIFTED THAT PARTIC-ULAR PRINT, IS HE IDENTIFICATION SPECIALIST HANK TRUSZKOWSKI?*

A YES, SIR.

Q I DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION NOW TO THE REMAIN+
ING PROPOSED EXHIBITS, PROPOSED 28-A. WHAT DID THE LATENT PRINT
CARDS PURPORT TO BE, WHICH COMPRISED THAT PROPOSED EXHIBIT?

A STATE'S EXHIBIT -- PROPOSED EXHIBIT 28-A

IS A SERIES OF LIFTED LATENT PRINTS THAT WERE ALSO DEVELOPED ...

7 ß

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

18 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

AND LIFTED BY ANOTHER PERSON.

DOES THAT APPEAR TO BE OFFICER DWIGHT HOOKER OF THE DOWNEY POLICE DEPARTMENT?

YES, SIR, IT DOES.

DID THESE PURPORT TO BE LATENTS WHICH ARE: RECOVERED FROM AN OLDSMOBILE CUTLASS?

YES, SIR.

MR. MOSER, SPECIFICALLY REGARDING PROPOSED EXHIBIT 29, WHAT PURPORTS TO BE THE EXEMPLAR OR STANDARD PRINTS OF ONE SAM HOWARD, ALSO KNOWN AS GEORGE WILLIAMS, AND STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 57, THE LATENT PRINT RECOVERED BY IDENTIFICA-TION SPECIALIST MR. TRUSZKOWSKI, WHAT WOULD YOUR PROCEDURE ON APRIL 18, 1980, IN CONDUCTING YOUR LATENT PRINT COMPARISON?

THE PROCEDURE WAS, AS I TOLD YOU BEFORE, THAT OF USING A MAGNIFYING GLASS IN COMPARING THIS LATENT PRINT TO THE FINGERPRINTS ON STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT NUMBER 29 IN AN EFFORT TO MATCH THEM.

DID YOU, AS A RESULT OF YOUR COMPARISON, FORM AN OPINION ON APRIL THE 18TH, 1980?

I DID.

HAVE YOU SUBSEQUENTLY TO THAT DATE COMPARED THE LATENT PRINT RECOVERED BY IDENTIFICATION SPECIALIST HANK TRUSZKOWSKI, WHICH IS MARKED AS PROPOSED EXHIBIT 57, AND THE LATENT PRINTS RECOVERED BY DWIGHT HOOKER OF THE DOWNEY POLICE. DEPARTMENT FROM AN OLDSMOBILE CUTLASS. THEY ARE MARKED AS PROPOSED EXHIBIT 28, WITH ADDITIONAL EXEMPLAR PRINTS OF SAM was HOWARD.

I DID.

DO YOU RECALL ON WHAT DATE THAT WAS?

ACCORDING TO MY NOTATION HERE, IT WOULD HAVE BEEN MARCH THE 19TH, 1983, APPROXIMATELY.

MR. HARMON: MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS, YOUR HONOR?

 THE COURT: YES.

BY MR. HARMON:

Q MR. MOSER, I AM SHOWING YOU NOW AN ADDITIONAL EXEMPLAR -- A SERIES OF EXEMPLAR PRINT CARDS - THEY ARE
MARKED AS PROPOSED EXHIBIT 58. ARE YOU ABLE TO RECOGNIZE THIS
PROPOSED EXHIBIT?

A YES, I CAN. I PUT A DATE ON THE FACE OF IT AND MY INITIALS AND PERSONNEL NUMBER.

Q DID YOU, IN FACT, UTILIZE PROPOSED EXHIBIT

58, WHICH PURPORTS TO BE THE KNOWN FINGER AND THUMB PRINT OF

DEFENDANT SAM HOWARD RECOVERED BY OFFICER HANK TRUSZKOWSKI IN

COMPARING THOSE PRINTS WITH THE OTHER EXHIBITS YOU HAVE, PROPOSED

EXHIBITS 29, 57 AND 28-A?

A I DID UTILIZE THEM AND MADE A SEPARATE

COMPARISON, COMPARING STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 58 WITH EACH OF

THE OTHER THREE EXHIBITS.

WAS THAT ON MARCH THE 14TH, 1983?

A YES, SIR, IT WOULD BE.

ALSO I HAVE HERE THE DATE OF MARCH

THE 8TH, 1983, ON THE FACE OF THE EXHIBIT. SO THAT WOULD BE

THE ORIGINAL DATE THAT I BEGAN. THE 14TH WOULD BE THE DATE THAT

I COMPLETED ALL OF MY EXAMINATION AND WROTE THAT ON MY REPORT.

Q SPECIFICALLY REGARDING PROPOSED EXHIBIT 58

AND PROPOSED EXHIBIT 29, DID YOU MAKE AN EFFORT TO DETERMINE

WHETHER THE TWO STANDARD OR EXEMPLAR SERIES OF PALM AND FINGER
PRINTS WERE BY THE SAME INDIVIDUAL?

A I DID.

Q WHAT IF ANY WAS THE OPINION YOU FORMED?

MR. FRANZEN: YOUR HONOR, WE WOULD RESPECTFULLY

OBJECT. IF THIS WITNESS IS GOING TO TESTIFY REGARDING EXEMPLARS

The second of the second of the second

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30 31

32

DONE BY AN OFFICER SLOCK, WE'VE HAD NO TESTIMONY THAT I RECALL FROM AN OFFICER BLOCK.

THE COURT: I CAN'T HEAR YOU.

MR. FRANZEN: WE'VE HAD NO TESTIMONY FROM AN OFFICER BLOCK, YOUR HONOR, IDENTIFYING FINGERPRINTS OR LATENTS. AND I WOULD RESPECTFULLY OBJECT TO ANY TESTIMONY REGARDING ANY COMPARISONS MADE FROM AN EXHIBIT, PROPOSED EXHIBIT, THAT'S NOT IDENTIFIED THROUGH THE TESTIMONY BY OFFICER BLOCK.

MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR, IT'S ONLY FOR CONTINUITY SAKE. THE EVIDENCE WE HAVE IS THAT DETECTIVE LEAVITT RECEIVED THOSE EXEMPLARS FROM THE DOWNEY POLICE DEPARTMENT. WE'VE ESTABLISHED, WITHOUT GOING INTO WHAT HIS OPINION WAS, THAT ON APRIL THE 18TH, 1980, THOSE EXEMPLARS WERE UTILIZED BY MR. MOSER. AND NOW I'M ASKING HIM TO MAKE SURE OF THE IDENTITY OF THE PERSON WHOSE PRINTS APPEARED ON THAT EXEMPLAR.

IF HE COMPARED THE EXEMPLARS IDENTIFIED BY TRUSZKOWSKI IN THIS ROOM AS BEING TAKEN FROM THE DEFENDANT WITH WHAT PURPORTS TO BE MR. BLOCK'S EXEMPLARS, I DON'T THINK, IN VIEW OF THE LIMITED OPINION I'M ASKING, THERE'S ANY FOUNDATION PROBLEM. HE'S BEEN SHOWN TO BE AN EXPERT. HE CAN SAY WHETHER THE TWO STANDARD CARDS WERE TAKEN FROM THE SAME PERSON.

> THE COURT: YOUR OBJECTION IS OVERRULED. MR. HARMON: THANK YOU.

BY MR. HARMON:

WHAT IS YOUR OPINION?

IN MY OPINION THE FINGERPRINTS APPEARING ON -- AND THUMB PRINTS APPEARING ON STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 29 AND STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 58 WERE MADE BY ONE AND THE SAME PERSON.

> I'M SHOWING YOU NOW PROPOSED EXHIBIT 28-A. Q

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

26

25

27 28

29 30

31

32

THESE PURPORT TO BE LATENT PRINT CARDS PREPARED BY OFFICER DWIGHT HOOKER OF THE DOWNEY POLICE DEPARTMENT. THERE HAS, FURTHERMORE, BEEN TESTIMONY THAT HE RECOVERED THOSE FROM A BLACK OLDSMOBILE CUTLASS ON OR ABOUT APRIL THE 1ST OR 2ND, 1980, IN DOWNEY. CALIFORNIA.

HAVE YOU HAD OCCASION TO COMPARE THE KNOWN PRINTS OF DEFENDANT SAMUEL HOWARD AS THEY ARE PORTRAYED IN PROPOSED EXHIBIT 58 WITH THE LATENT FINGERPRINTS RECOVERED BY OFFICER DWIGHT HOOKER WHICH ARE IDENTIFIED AS PROPOSED EXHIBIT 28-A?

YES, SIR, I DID.

WHAT WAS THE OPINION THAT YOU FORMED?

OF THE SEVERAL LISTED LATENT PRINT CARDS, I IDENTIFIED A LEFT MIDDLE FINGER AS MATCHING THE CORRESPONDING FINGER ON STATE'S PROPOSED NUMBER 58, A LEFT THUMB --

AND WHERE WAS THE AREA THAT THAT LATENT WAS PURPORTEDLY LIFTED FROM?

ACCORDING TO THE NOTATION ON THE LIFT CARD, THE INTERIOR PORTION OF THE DRIVER'S WINDOW.

PROCEED, PLEASE.

ON THE SECOND CARD I IDENTIFIED THE LEFT THUMB AS MATCHING THE CORRESPONDING FINGER ON STATE'S PROPOSED EXHIBIT 58. AND THE NOTATION AS TO ITS LOCATION IS THE EXTERIOR DRIVER'S REAR VIEW MIRROR, THE MIRROR PORTIONS ..

ON THE NEXT CARD I -IDENTIFIED A PARTIAL LEFT THUMB PRINT AND THE NOTATION OF WHERE THIS LOCATION WAS WAS ON THE FRONT BUMPER DRIVER'S SIDE.

MR. FRANZEN: I AM GOING TO HAVE TO INTERCEDE IF HE IS MAKING COMPARISONS AND READING WHAT DETECTIVE BLOCK WROTE ON HIS --

MR. HARMON: WELL, WE'LL OFFER THEM, YOUR HONOR. THE FOUNDATION HAS BEEN LAID. WE WILL OFFER PROPOSED EXHIBIT ...

21:22

28-A, I BELIEVE THAT'S THE ONE.

MR. FRANZEN: THAT IS THE ONE FROM HOOKER OR BLOCK?

MR. HARMON: HOOKER.

MR. FRANZEN: NO CBJECTION.

THE COURT: SAME WILL BE RECEIVED.

PROCEED.

BY MR. HARMON:

Q CONTINUE, PLEASE.

A ON THE REVERSE SIDE OF THE LIFT THAT I

JUST IDENTIFIED IS ANOTHER ADDITIONAL LIFTED PARTIALLY PRINT,

PALM PRINT, WHICH WAS ALSO IDENTIFIED AS THE LEFT PALM. AND ITS

LOCATION WAS NOTED THE FRONT BUMPER, DRIVER'S SIDE.

ON THE NEXT CARD, ON THE FACE OF IT,

I IDENTIFIED A RIGHT INDEX FINGER. THESE IDENTIFICATIONS

CORRESPOND TO THE EXEMPLARS IN STATE'S EXHIBIT 58. I IDENTIFIED

A RIGHT INDEX FINGER. AND THE NOTATION THERE WAS FROM AN EMPTY

BOTTLE OF SPARKLING CHAMPAGNE ON THE RIGHT REAR FLOORBOARD.

AND ON THE REVERSE SIDE OF THE NEXT CARD I IDENTIFIED A LEFT INDEX FINGER. THE NOTATION OF ITS LOCATION IS EXTERIOR DRIVER'S DOOR WINDOW.

AND ON THE REMAINING THREE LATENT.

PRINT LIFTS, I DID NOT IDENTIFY THOSE.

Q IN ALL, MR. MOSER, HOW MANY IDENTIFICATIONS

DID YOU MAKE FROM PROPOSED -- IS IT PROPOSED EXHIBIT 28-A, THE

HOOKER LATENT PRINTS?

A IT IS 28-A.

O 28-A.

A THAT WOULD BE ONE, TWO -- IN ALL SIX PARTIAL LATENT PRINTS.

WHICH WERE MATCHED TO EXEMPLAR PRINTS OF

21.24

-1096-

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

> 24 25

26 27

28

29 30

31 32

MR. HARMON: YOUR HONOR. AT THIS TIME I OFFER PROPOSED EXHIBITS 57, 58, 29 AND 64.

THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?

MR. COOPER: WE'D HAVE NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: SAME MAY BE RECEIVED.

MR. HARMON: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

"WITH THE COURT'S PERMISSION, MAY WE HAVE

MR. MOSER STEP DOWN TO THE BOARD?

THE COURT: HE MAY. PULL IT OUT.

MR. HARMON: THANK YOU, MR. BAILIFF.

THE COURT: COUNSEL, CAN YOU CLIP THAT TOP, I

BELIEVE.

BY MR. HARMON:

MR. MOSER, I'D LIKE YOU NOW, BY REFERRING TO STATE'S EXHIBIT 64, AND AS OCCASION MAY ARISE, STATE'S EXHIBITS 57 AND 58, TO EXPLAIN WHAT THE BASIS WAS OF YOUR CPINION THAT THE LATENT PRINT RECOVERED BY OFFICER TRUSZKOWSKI

FROM A DOOR KNOB OF A CARGO DOOR ON THE PASSENGER'S SIDE OF THE 1977 DODGE VAN IS MATCHED TO THE LEFT THUMB PRINT OF THE DEFENDANT SAM HOWARD.

THE BASIS FOR THE CONCLUSION, TO BEGIN WITH, IS THAT NO TWO DIFFERENT PEOPLE HAVE IDENTICAL FINGER-PRINTS. THAT IS NOT TO SAY THE PATTERN OF THE PRINT, HOWEVER, BECAUSE PEOPLE HAVE SIMILAR PATTERNS. IT IS THESE INDIVIDUAL. RIDGE CHARACTERISTICS THAT YOU CAN SEE A LITTLE MORE CLEARLY ON THE INKED IMPRESSION SIDE THAT ARE THE DIFFERENCE IN ANY INDIVIDUAL'S FINGERPRINTS, EVEN THOUGH THE PATTERN MAY BE THE SAME.

THE BASIS FOR THE IDENTIFICATION IS THAT THE SAME RIDGE CHARACTERISTICS THAT APPEAR IN THE KNOWN

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27 28

29 30

31 32 PRINT ALSO APPEAR IN THE QUESTIONED PRINT IN THE SAME NUMBERS AND IN THE SAME LOCATIONS.

IN THE CASE OF NUMBER 1, IT IS AN END-ING RIDGE, OR WHEN ONE OF THE FRICTION RIDGES ENDS. AND NUMBER 2 IS THE OPPOSITE END OF THE SAME RIDGE, WHICH ENDS IN THE SAME LOCATION.

NUMBER 3 IS ALSO AN ENDING RIDGE, END-

ING IN A DOWNWARD MANNER.

NUMBER 4 AND NUMBER 5 ARE BOTH ENDS OF A SHORT RIDGE THAT APPEARS IN THE SAME PORTION OF THE FINGER-PRINT.

NUMBER 6 IS ALSO AN ENDING RIDGE,

CONTINUES ON TO THE LEFT.

NUMBER 7 IS ALSO AN ENDING RIDGE. NUMBER 8 IS A -- WHAT IS KNOWN AS A "BIFURCATION". THAT IS WHERE A SINGLE RIDGE SEPARATES OR DIVIDES AND BECOMES TWO RIDGES. AT THE POINT WHERE IT DIVIDES, IT IS CALLED A "BIFURCATION".

NUMBER 9 IS ALSO A BIFURCATION.

NUMBER 10 IS THE SAME CHARACTERISTIC OF A BIFURCATION. THESE ALL APPEAR IN THE SAME LOCATION IN RELATIONSHIP TO ONE ANOTHER AND THERE ARE NO CHARACTERISTICS IN THE LATENT PRINT THAT DO NOT APPEAR IN THE INKED IMPRESSION IN THE SAME LOCATION.

MR. MOSER, YOU HAVE POINTED OUT TEN SIMILAR CHARACTERISTICS IN TERMS OF THE RIDGE CHARACTERISTICS. DID YOU FIND ADDITIONAL POINTS IN SIMILARITY?

THERE ARE SOME SLIGHTLY MORE VAGUE POINTS, BUT NONETHELESS THERE ARE ONE OR TWO MORE IN THE PRINTS.

IS THERE ANY DOUBT IN YOUR MIND THAT BOTH PRINTS WHICH APPEAR ON STATE'S EXHIBIT 64 WERE MADE BY THE SAME

, ||

PERSON?

A THERE IS NO DOUBT IN MY MIND.

A NO, SIR, I DID NOT.

Q ASSUMING THAT IT WAS RECOVERED FROM A DOOR KNOB, WHAT SIGNIFICANCE, IF ANY, CAN YOU ATTACH TO YOUR FINDING THAT THERE WERE NO OVERLAY PRINTS ON IT?

THE SIGNIFICANCE THERE WOULD BE IF THERE
WERE AN OVERLAY IT WOULD INDICATE TO ME THAT THE FINGERPRINT
THAT HAD BEEN PLACED THERE PRIOR WAS STILL IN FRESH ENOUGH CONDITION, THAT IS TO SAY THE MOISTURE WAS TRANSFERRED FROM THE
FINGER TO THE SURFACE HAD NOT YET DRIED OR EVAPORATED, RENDERING
THE FIRST PRINT TO BE ALSO PARTLY DEVELOPED, ALONG WITH THE
SECOND PRINT ON TOP OF IT.

-- I DID NOT DETECT ANY PRIOR FRESH FINGERPRINT BENEATH THIS.

AND HAD THIS LATENT PRINT HAD A SECOND FINGERPRINT PUT ON TOP

OF IT, IT WOULD HAVE OR SHOULD HAVE DEVELOPED IN THE SAME MANNER,

INDICATING THE TWO -- THE TWO IMPRESSIONS.

Q DID YOU FIND ANY EVIDENCE OF ANY OTHER

PRINT BEING PLACED ON TOP OF THE LATENT PRINT; WHICH IS SHOWN:
ON STATE'S EXHIBIT 64?

NONE.

MR. HARMON: THANK YOU.

MAY I RETURN TO THE WITNESS CHAIR?
THAT CONCLUDES DIRECT, YOUR HONOR.

SO THE FINDING THERE WOULD BE THAT THE

THE COURT: CROSS?

MR. COOPER: COURT'S INDULGENCE.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. COOPER:

1

2

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

Q MR. MOSER, YOU TESTIFIED THAT YOU WERE

GIVEN THE ONE LATENT PRINT THAT'S SHOWN ON THE BLOWUP THERE; IS

THAT RIGHT?

A YES, SIR.

Q TO COMPARE WITH A KNOWN PRINT FROM THE DEFENDANT SAMUEL HOWARD?

A YES, SIR.

O RIGHT?

WERE YOU ALSO GIVEN OTHER LATENT

PRINTS TO COMPARE WITH MR. HOWARD'S PRINTS?

A NO, SIR. THE PRINTS I WAS REQUESTED TO COMPARE ARE THE ONES THAT ARE IN EVIDENCE.

Q I SEE.

THOSE BEING THE ONES THAT WERE LIFTED FROM THE 1980 OLDSMOBILE AND THE ONE PRINT THAT WAS PURPORTEDLY

LIFTED FROM THE DOOR KNOB OF THE VAN; IS THAT RIGHT?

A YES, SIR.

Q YOU WEREN'T GIVEN LATENT PRINTS, SOME 15

OR SO LATENT PRINTS, THAT WERE PURPORTEDLY LIFTED FROM THE VAN

TO COMPARE WITH MR. HOWARD'S KNOWN PRINTS?

A I'M SORRY. I'M IN ANOTHER -- THERE WERE SOME ADDITIONAL FINGERPRINTS, YES, FROM THE VAN.

Q OKAY. DO YOU RECALL HOW MANY PRINTS FROM THE VAN YOU WERE GIVEN TO COMPARE WITH MR. HOWARD'S PRINTS?

A I DO NOT RECALL THE EXACT NUMBER.

Q OKAY.

A THERE WERE SEVERAL.

Q OKAY. COULD IT HAVE BEEN IN EXCESS OF TEN?

2129

-1100-