## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SAMUEL HOWARD,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 57469

FILED

SEP 2 5 2012

## <u>ORDER</u>

On September 18, 2012, counsel for appellant filed an exparte sealed motion for substitution of counsel. The State filed an opposition to the motion and further requested that the motion be unsealed on September 24, 2012. The same day, appellant filed an opposition to the request to unseal the motion for substitution of counsel. Appellant also moved to have the opposition sealed.

Having reviewed the documents, this court has determined that good cause does not exist to unseal the original motion, and the State's motion for unsealing is therefore denied. Moreover, because the State's opposition contains confidential information from the original motion, appellant's motion to seal the opposition filed by the State is granted. The clerk of this court shall seal the opposition filed by the State on September 24, 2012, forthwith.

It is so ORDERED.

Cherry, C.J.

cc: Federal Public Defender/Las Vegas Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

12-30203