IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

NARCUS S. WESLEY, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 57473

FLED

DEC 2 7 2011

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY DEPUT CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Cause appearing, the motion for an extension of time to file the reply brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Appellant shall have until March 22, 2012, to file and serve the reply brief. Given the length of this initial extension request, no further extensions shall be permitted absent extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Counsel's caseload will not be deemed such a circumstance. Cf. Varnum v. Grady, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to file a timely reply brief may be treated as a waiver of the right to file a reply brief. NRAP 28(c).

It is so ORDERED.

_, C.J.

cc: Christopher R. Oram
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

11-39794

(O) 1947A