IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

PRINCIPAL INVESTMENTS, INC. D/B/A RAPID CASH; ET AL.,

Petitioners.

VS

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH GOFF GONZALEZ, DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

CASSANDRA HARRISON; ET AL.,

Real Parties in

Interest.

PRINCIPAL INVESTMENTS, INC. D/B/A RAPID CASH; ET AL..

Appellants,

vs.

CASANDRA HARRISON; ET AL.,

Respondents.

No. 57371

FILED

JUN 2 3 2011

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY DEPUTY CLERK

No. 57625

ORDER

Previously, the settlement judge filed a report indicating that the parties were working towards a settlement of this appeal and related writ petition. In the latest report, filed on June 2, 2011, the settlement judge indicated that the "parties have agreed to meet prior to June 16, to attempt to bridge any gaps towards settlement." As of the date of this order, nothing further has been filed.

Accordingly, petitioners/appellants shall have ten days from the date of this order to file a status report regarding this appeal and writ petition. If the parties have reached a settlement agreement,

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

11-18798

petitioners/appellants shall file a stipulation or motion to dismiss this appeal and writ petition.¹ If petitioners/appellants report that a settlement has not yet been finalized, they shall indicate what, if any, additional time may be required. Otherwise, the appeal shall proceed to briefing and this court will resolve the pending petition for rehearing of our order denying the writ petition.

It is so ORDERED.

Doghs, C.J.

cc: Ara H. Shirinian, Settlement Judge Lewis & Roca, LLP/Las Vegas Gordon & Silver, Ltd. Ballard Spahr Andrews & Ingersoll, LLP Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada Kemp, Jones & Coulthard, LLP

¹ We note that in a previous report, the settlement judge indicated that the proposed settlement agreement may need to be approved by the district court. If that is the case, the parties may file a stipulation to dismiss this appeal and writ petition with a request that the matters be remanded to the district court for appropriate action. Any such dismissal would be without prejudice to the parties' right to move to reinstate the appeal and/or writ petition should the district court deny the requested relief on remand.