1	RADFORD J. SMITH, CHARTERED	
1	RADFORD J. SMITH, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 002791	
2	64 N. Pecos Rd., Suite 700	
3	Henderson, Nevada 89074 T: (702) 990-6448	Flectronically Filed
4	F: (702) 990-6456	Electronically Filed Jul 08 2011 11:42 a.m.
5	Email: rsmith@radfordsmith.com	Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk of Supreme Court
6	MITCHELL D. STIPP, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 007531	Clork of Captorne Court
7	7 Morning Sky Lane	
8	Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 T: (702) 378-1907	
9	F: (702) 483-6283	
10	Email: Mitchell.Stipp@yahoo.com	
11	Attorneys for Appellant Mitchell Stipp	
12		
13	IN THE SUP	PREME COURT OF
14	THE STATE OF NEVADA	
15		CASENIO - 57076
16	MITCHELL DAVID STIPP,	CASE NO.: 57876
17	Appellant,	-
18	V.	
19	CHRISTINA CALDERON STIPP	
20	Respondent,	
21	respondent,	
22	MOTION TO REMAND CASE TO DISTRICT COURT	
23		
24	COMES NOW, Appellant, MITCHELL D. STIPP ("Mitchell"), by and through his attorney	
25	Radford J. Smith, Esq., and hereby moves this Court, to remand the case to the district court. This	
26	motion is made and based upon the following points and authorities.	
27	motion is made and based upon the following po	into and authorness.
20		

DATED this day of July, 2011

RADFORD J. SMITH, CHARTERED

RADFORD J. SMITH, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 002791 64 N. Pecos Road, Suite 700 Henderson, Nevada 89074 (702) 990-6448

Attorneys for Appellant Mitchell Stipp

I.

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

On April 18, 2011, the Nevada Supreme Court issued an order to show cause why the appeal of Mitchell David Stipp ("Mitchell") in this case should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. Mitchell submitted his response on May 18, 2011 (Document 11-14726). As part of Mitchell's response, Mitchell informed the Court that he filed in the district court on April 20, 2011 an *ex parte* motion to correct the minutes and order from the hearing on December 1, 2010. A copy of that motion is attached as Exhibit "E" to Mitchell's May 18, 2011 response. The district court has certified its inclination pursuant to *Huneycutt v. Huneycutt*, 94 Nev. 79, 575 P.2d 585 (1978), as clarified and explained by *Foster v. Dingwall*, 228 P.3d 453 (Nev. 2010), to grant Mitchell's motion and to revise, pursuant to NRCP 60(a), the minutes and order arising from that hearing. Attached hereto as Exhibit "A" is a copy of the order entered by the district court.

26 ...

27 || ...

28 | ...

II.

CONCLUSION

Therefore, Mitchell moves this Court to remand this case to the district court so that it can grant the relief requested in Mitchell's motion.

DATED this ______ day of July, 2011

RADFORD-J. SMITH, CHARTERED

RADFORD S. SMITH, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 002791

64 N. Pecos Road, Suite 700

Henderson, Nevada 89074

(702) 990-6448

Attorneys for Appellant Mitchell Stipp

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I served the foregoing document described as "MOTION TO REMAND CASE TO DISTRICT COURT" by mail pursuant to NRAP 25 on this ______ day of July, 2011, to all interested parties as follows:

Patricia L. Vaccarino, Esq. Vaccarino Law Office 8861 W. Sahara Avenue., Suite 210 Las Vegas, Nevada 89117

An employee of Radford J. Smith, Chartered

-4-

APPELLANT'S MOTION EXHIBIT A

Alun J. Lehrun

CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR
RADFORD J. SMITH, CHARTERED
RADFORD J. SMITH, ESQ.
Nevada State Bar No. 002791
64 N. Pecos Road, Suite 700
Henderson, Nevada 89074
T: (702) 990-6448
F: (702) 990-6456
rsmith@radfordsmith.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

CHRISTINA CALDERON STIPP,

Plaintiff.

VS.

MITCHELL DAVID STIPP,

Defendant.

CASE NO.: D-08-389203-Z

DEPT NO.: M

FAMILY DIVISION

CERTIFICATION OF COURT'S INCLINATION TO CORRECT MINUTES AND ORDER FROM HEARING ON DECEMBER 1, 2010

DATE OF HEARING: June 15, 2011 TIME OF HEARING: 3:00 p.m.

This matter has been addressed through Defendant's Ex Parte Motion to Correct Minutes and Order delivered to the Court after the Court announced at the hearing of April 12, 2011 that it believed that its Order arising from the December 1, 2010 hearing, filed January 25, 2011. Defendant restated, at the hearing of June 15, 2011, by oral motion his request that the Court certify its inclination to revise the January 25, 2011 order. Pursuant to those motions, this Court certifies its inclination pursuant to Huneycutt v. Huneycutt, 94 Nev. 79, 575 P.2d 585 (1978), as clarified and explained by Foster v.

RECEIVED
JUN 2 1 2011
DEPT. M

3 [

12

10

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

3

14

15

16

13

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

25 26

24

27

3	Dingwall, 228 P.3d 453 (Nev. 2010), to grant Defendant's Motion to Correct Minutes and Order, and to		
2	revise, pursuant to NRCP 60(a), the minutes and order arising from the hearing of December 1, 2011.		
3	IT IS SO ORDERED this day of _IUN_ 2 8 ZM . 2011.		
4	200000 20000000000000000000000000000000		
5	1119-129		
6	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
7			
8	Respectfully Submitted		
9	RADFORD F. SMITH, CHARTERED		
10			
	RADEORD'J. SMITH, ESQ.		
12	Nevada State Bar No. 002791 64 N. Pecos Road, Suite 700		
13	Henderson, Nevada 89074		
14	T: (702) 990-6448 F: (702) 990-6456		
15	rsmith@radfordsmith.com Attorney for Plaintiff		
16	and my for a consensus		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			