25

1

MS. HAMERS: And -- okay. So as far as the detective and the interview on the tape, he's going to go home and watch it. He'll pick out --

THE COURT: Here's what's happening: You and Ms. Nyicos and he are going to go outside, and you're going to reach some agreement on exactly what you want done and whether you want somebody there or you don't want somebody there and what you want to do in the morning. We're going to try to get him on and off in a few minutes at 9:00 in the morning. So you guys go out and figure out what you want.

MR. BATEMAN: Ron and I don't have to be a part of this; do we?

THE COURT: Well, I mean, at least one counsel -- at least one counsel from each side. You can have two. You can have an investigator. Do whatever you want, but Ms. Hamers is driving the train. I want her to be satisfied that he can either point out or say, I can't hear this or do whatever. I mean, she's entitled to kind of get into that. So let her sort of decide how it's going to go, and then let's make sure everybody is on the same page of how it's going.

MS. HAMERS: Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. Have a good night. We'll see you at 8:45.

[Proceedings adjourned at 4:42 p.m.]

ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

> EE VINCENT, Transcriber District Court, Dept. VII

(702) 671-4339

-171-

Day 1 - Volume I

ORIGINAL TRAN Aug 10 10 17 AH '07 2 DISTRICT COURT 3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 4 5 STATE OF NEVADA, 6 CASE NO. C226586 Plaintiff, 7 vs. DEPT. VII 8 FREDDY MARTINEZ, 9 Defendant. 10 11 BEFORE THE HONORABLE STEWART L. BELL, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 12 THURSDAY, APRIL 12, 2007 13 14 RECORDER'S PARTIAL TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL and VERDICT 15 DAY 2 - VOLUME II 16 APPEARANCES: 17 NOREEN C. NYICOS, ESQ. 18 For the Plaintiff: Deputy District Attorney 19 SAMUEL G. BATEMAN, ESQ. **Deputy District Attorney** 20 21 KATHLEEN M. HAMERS, ESQ. For the Defendant: Deputy Public Defender RONALD S. PAULSON, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender

RECORDED BY: RENEE VINCENT, COURT RECORDER

-1-

Day 2 - Volume II

FILED

INDEX

2		PAGE
3	WITNESS: DEBORAH B. YOUNG	
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. NYICOS	16
5	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PAULSON	28
6	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. NYICOS	39
7	RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PAULSON	40
8	WITNESS: ARTURO CHAVEZ [CONTINUED]	
9	RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HAMERS	44
10	WITNESS: MARIA DIAZ	
11	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HAMERS	55
12		
13		
14		
15	EXHIBITS	
16		PAGE
17	State's Exhibits 26 - 32	22
18	State's Exhibits 33 and 34	42
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

-2-

Day 2 - Volume II

Thursday, April 12, 2007 - 9:04 a.m.
[Out of the presence of the jury.]

THE COURT: Okay. Let's go on the record in Case C226586, State of Nevada versus Freddy Martinez. Morning.

MS. NYICOS: Morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Let the record reflect that Mr. Martinez is present with the court-appointed interpreter. Ms. Hamers, Mr. Paulson, Ms. Nyicos, Mr. Bateman. Absence of the jury.

Okay. This is the time for settling instructions. I have given you a proposed packet. Last night Ms. Hamers submitted some additional instructions. I intend to add to the proposed packet their request for an instruction on false imprisonment right after the definition of kidnapping. I intend to give their instruction that, you know, they have to have 12 people think it's first degree or it's second degree. They have to have 12 people think it's second degree or it is false imprisonment, and then -- right behind that.

And then the instruction that reads, In order for you to find the Defendant guilty of both first degree kidnapping and then an associated offense of sexual assault, I think if you delete "both first degree," those three words, then it's -- then it's both first degree and second degree have to be distinct from the sexual assault to make it good. I think that cures their issue.

And I know you've got a couple you want to submit that I'm not going to give, but -- is the State familiar with the proposed instructions including the addition of the two and the correction of the one?

MS. NYICOS: We are, Judge.

THE COURT: Does the State object to the instruction -- any of the 2 instructions now that the Court intends to give? 3 MS. NYICOS: No, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Does the State have any additional instructions to 5 propose? 6 MS. NYICOS: No. Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Is the Defense familiar with the proposed set of 8 instructions now, including two of your latest proffered instructions and the 9 correction of one to conform to the possibility that the allegation of kidnapping 10 could be something other than first degree kidnapping? 11 MS. HAMERS: Yes, Judge. 12 THE COURT: And do you have any objection to any of these now? 13 MS. HAMERS: Yes. 14 THE COURT: You do? 15 MS. HAMERS: Yes. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. 17 MS. HAMERS: Starting on what -- what would be -- it's page 11. It's 18 the definition of first degree kidnap. I'd ask that that instruction be limited to 19 sex assault. That's what's charged here. Not for any other reason, for ransom, reward, all these listed things. It's the 11th one. 20 21 THE COURT: And what -- there's only really two objections to a jury 22 instruction. One is, you know, not supported by the facts; two, it's not the 23 law. You're saying this isn't supported by the facts? 24 MS. HAMERS: That's correct. 25 THE COURT: Don't you think the jury is entitled to know that there's a -4-

number of ways you can have first degree kidnapping? In this case there's only 2 one State's theory, but that it isn't just limited to sexual assault? 3 MS. HAMERS: Well, the State hasn't put us on notice of any other 4 theory, and so for the jury to --5 THE COURT: The State isn't going to argue any other theory, I'm sure. 6 MS. HAMERS: So there's no reason for the instruction. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Your objection is denied, but the State has 8 ordered that they cannot argue any other theory than the predicate is for the 9 purpose of committing sexual assault. Go ahead. 10 MS. HAMERS: What would be page 14, it -- a person -- they say sex assault definition. It refers to the word "victim" twice. I'd ask that we use 11 12 either "person" or something else other than the word "victim." It 13 presupposes that a crime has been committed. 14 THE COURT: Wait a minute. Where is it? MS. HAMERS: It starts with, A person who subjects another person to 15 sexual penetration against a victim's will. I think it's -- it was three pages 16 17 further. THE COURT: Okay. I mean, when you objected to them saying 18 "victim," you're sort of saying to the jury that that's your decision, and I've 19 kind of sustained your objection. But in this case, it is -- it's assumed that -- I 20 mean, if there's a sexual assault, this is what -- they are a victim. I mean, if 21 22 you do this against their will, they are definitionally a victim. MS. HAMERS: Right. If one person does this to another person, then a 23 24 sexual assault has occurred, then there's a victim. 25 THE COURT: Right.

MS. HAMERS: I mean, to put "victim" earlier in that transaction, if someone does this to a victim, I think it's prejudicial and presupposes a crime.

THE COURT: I've agreed with you as to the testimony. We should try to say "alleged victim," but in this, it says, Hey, if you do this, then they are a victim. Overruled. Go ahead.

MS. HAMERS: Okay. The next instruction, I'm aware of the Court's position. This is the no requirement that the testimony of the victim of sexual assault be corroborated. I'd object to this instruction. It unfairly focuses the jury on the testimony of one witness. It also --

THE COURT: Okay. Are you saying it's not the law?

MS. HAMERS: It may be the law right now. The law -- it's a law -- it's an appellate standard is what it is. It's an appellate standard that's true, but it doesn't mean that that's an instruction that needs to be submitted to the jury.

THE COURT: Or are you saying that I should take it upon myself to decide that the Supreme Court is wrong here and upon review is going to change this?

MS. HAMERS: Well, Judge, I think I need to make a record about the possibility here --

THE COURT: Oh, you can. But, I mean, the point is, when you're a trial judge, you have to take the law as the Supreme Court tells you. In rare cases they get stupid, and they actually reverse a case even though you applied the law that was existent at the time. What they should do, of course, is if they want to change the standard, change it prospectively, but no trial judge should take it upon themselves not to follow the law that the Supreme Court says is the law at the time you have a trial.

But your record is that you think that they should change this standard, and you're going to argue that on appeal of this case if you get a conviction, and they certainly have every right to do whatever the hell they want to.

MS. HAMERS: So three things: It, again, refers to victim, presupposes that a crime has been committed. It is the jury's determination whether or not there's credibility to focus on this -- on one witness, and that credibility of that, I think, is prejudicial to the client, and it violates his due process right.

In addition, I think every time that this instruction is given, that there should also be a converse instruction that states that if there's nothing but testimony and you don't believe that testimony beyond a reasonable doubt, then you can't convict him of a sexual assault.

THE COURT: Well, those are the same. That's like saying two bits and a quarter. You don't have to say the same thing twice.

MS. HAMERS: And then -- well, I think --

THE COURT: It does tell them that, and you certainly have every right to argue that and say, Ladies and gentlemen, this is what it says. What that means by definition, ladies and gentlemen, is that if you don't find this, you can't convict. That's your job. Not my job. Go ahead.

MS. HAMERS: Well, and I think *State versus Crawford*, the Supreme Court has said that we are entitled to an instruction on our theory of defense, so I can argue that as well as having an instruction on that.

THE COURT: What is your theory of defense in this case?

MS. HAMERS: If it -- if it is a theory of defense, if there's any evidence at all that --

THE COURT: Okay. Here's what I'm asking you, I mean, a theory of defense means consent. A theory of defense could be someone else did it. A theory of defense could be that coerced -- what is your theory of defense here that I'm not instructing on?

MS. HAMERS: With regard to this instruction, it would be a theory of the Defense that if you have nothing else but the victim's testimony and you do not believe that testimony beyond a reasonable doubt, then you cannot find him guilty of a sexual assault.

THE COURT: Well, that is an argument you can make based on this.

That isn't a theory of defense. What is the theory of defense? The theory of defense is self-defense -- I mean, articulate in one word the theory of defense.

MS. HAMERS: Judge, I don't think that it has to be one theory to the whole case. There are multiple charges, there are multiple evidence in line with theory with regard to each.

THE COURT: Well, okay. No, I mean, articulate the theory of defense to the sexual assault that I'm not instructing them on.

MS. HAMERS: That if they don't believe her testimony beyond a reasonable doubt and they don't have anything else, then they can't find him guilty.

THE COURT: That's your job. You argue that.

MS. HAMERS: I had submitted as an instruction that --

THE COURT: We're at this level. Would be objections to these. I'm going to let you make a record on any others you want to add, but as to these --

MS. HAMERS: You have an instruction that seems to have

encompassed part of my instruction and didn't encompass them. That's why I'm commenting on that.

THE COURT: What number?

MS. HAMERS: It's two forward. It says it's a defense to the charge of sexual assault.

MS. NYICOS: The Huneycutt instruction.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HAMERS: And the thing that I think is missing from there is that the State must prove lack of consent beyond a reasonable doubt. I think Huneycutt and Carter both entitle us to that instruction, and that's not in there.

THE COURT: What do you think it should say? I mean, it seems to me every one of these is said, and you're saying, Well, we have to say that -- not only that it's up, but that up is knocked down.

MS. HAMERS: Well, actually in this one, I think I'm saying it in the positive. I'm not saying if they don't prove it --

THE COURT: What does it say? It is a defense to the charge of sexual assault if he entertained a good faith belief of consent. That's the standard. If he thought she consented, then he's not guilty, even though they may think objectively that he did not. What am I supposed to say?

MS. HAMERS: The State must prove lack of consent beyond a reasonable doubt. It's an element of the crime and that nowhere does it point out that the State has to prove that element. And to say it's a defense to the charge, sure, it might be the same thing, but it's a burden shifting.

THE COURT: There's no burden shifting. Okay. I mean, I tell them that in the definition of sexual assault --

MR. BATEMAN: Right.

THE COURT: -- that it's against the consent. I mean, you only have to say things once. You don't have to say them four times. Okay. Go ahead.

MS. HAMERS: I'd object to the flight instruction. That's further back. don't think there's been evidence of flight.

THE COURT: Well, there was some testimony that he was taking off in a white truck with some other people, and they got pulled over and made a felony stop. I mean, whether that is flight or not is for the jury to determine. I'm not determining it is, I'm not determining that it isn't, but I think they're entitled of the law.

MS. HAMERS: And we'd just argue that they haven't met the threshold that he was fleeing a crime scene. That wasn't even alleged to be a crime scene.

THE COURT: It is -- again, when there is no possible way that a jury conclude that there's flight, they don't get this instruction. This is certainly one that they could conclude yes; they could conclude no. So they get the instruction. They can do whatever they want.

MS. HAMERS: The instruction that starts, A credibility or believability of a witness should be determined by his manner upon the stand, this entire instruction is phrased in the "his." I just ask that it be "his" or "her" or "his/her," so that we're not just focusing on his testimony, her testimony. It certainly is subject to the same.

THE COURT: I don't think it makes any difference, but I'll do that.

MS. HAMERS: Okay.

THE COURT: In civil cases -- in a criminal case I've never seen it done,

but in civil cases, they have one that says -- that the masculine is used in the instructions, and the masculine and feminine are deemed the same. You could put one of those civil instructions in any criminal case, if you want. Okay. I'll do that for you.

MS. HAMERS: So that's the extent of my objections to the packet that the Court has submitted.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HAMERS: In addition, I assume the Court has made part of the record the instructions I submitted.

THE COURT: Well, you've submitted a number of instructions. The majority of them I've given. There are a couple of them that I haven't given because they were actually already submitted by the State, and you didn't know, or they are within an instruction given by the State.

But there are four instructions that you submitted where you want me to -- where I say they have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt kidnapping or they can't convict, and you want me to say, well, by the way, if they didn't prove beyond a reasonable doubt the kidnapping, then you have acquit. I think that's silly. I think it's saying the same thing twice.

And if the State failed, if the State failed, if the State failed, if the State failed as to any of -- how many other dozen charges we have -- in this case four -- but I will mark those as Defense proposed exhibits and make them part of the record and note that you think that under *Crawford* that those have to be given. I think that's a misreading of *Crawford*, and you can make anymore record you want.

MS. HAMERS: And I just want to make sure that all of them were

1	included.
2	THE COURT: There's four.
3	MS. HAMERS: There were four of them, one with regard to burglary,
4	one with regard to sexual assault, one with regard to kidnapping, and one with
5	regard to
6	THE COURT: Yeah, I was going to put one in on doing business
7	without a license. I just couldn't find any facts to support it.
8	MS. HAMERS: battery. Okay. They're there. Anything else?
9	MS. HAMERS: No, Judge.
10	THE COURT: Okay. These are good to go. Are our jurors here,
11	Vinny?
12	MS. NYICOS: Yes.
13	THE BAILIFF: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Let's bring them in. Okay. The verdict form, it's okay
15	now that we've added false imprisonment?
16	MS. NYICOS: Yes, Judge.
17	MR. BATEMAN: That's right.
18	THE INTERPRETER: If the interpreters could get a copy of the jury
19	instructions, please, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Pardon me?
21	MS. GORD: Let me go get one.
22	THE COURT: You bet. Okay. We will have a copy of the instructions
23	for each counsel, the Interpreter. Have the jurors ready in about ten minutes.
24	MS. NYICOS: Judge, just a couple of housekeeping matters based
25	on

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

THE COURT: Well --

THE BAILIFF: They're in the room.

THE COURT: Too late.

MS. NYICOS: Oh, I thought they said about ten minutes.

THE COURT: Do it later or come up here.

MS. NYICOS: Okay.

THE COURT: Come up here, if you need to.

MS. NYICOS: We're fine.

THE COURT: Okay.

[Jury returns to the courtroom at 9:17 a.m.]

THE COURT: Okay. Good morning. Back on the record in Case Number C226586, State of Nevada versus Freddy Martinez. Let the record reflect the presence of the Defendant, his counsel; counsel for the State. All ladies and gentlemen of the jury are back in the box. Are we ready to proceed, Ms. Nyicos?

MS. NYICOS: We are, Judge.

THE COURT: And we were going to put, first thing, the detective back on the stand, and that isn't going to take too long?

MS. NYICOS: I believe Ms. Hamers has him on cross.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's call him in, and let's finish up with that. It's my understanding, ladies and gentlemen, that after review of the transcript and comparing it to the tape, which was in Spanish, there appears to be some section that was not transcribed and that -- again, we all collectively work to respect your time. What they have agreed to do is have that section, which is five or six minutes long, played for you with one of the interpreters to interpret

it for you. That will -- no?

AN INTERPRETER: Your Honor, that's not feasible. We would put ourselves in --

THE COURT: Well, not you. I'm just talking about somebody other than you guys that are here.

AN INTERPRETER: No --

THE COURT: You can't do that?

AN INTERPRETER: We can't put ourselves in a position where we -that's something appealable because the tapes are so difficult and to
transcribe --

THE COURT: How are we going to get that -- how are we going to get that transcribed?

AN INTERPRETER: I believe we have somebody who would separate -- we always do it beforehand.

THE COURT: I know, but -- so here we are now. I respect that. What are we going to do?

AN INTERPRETER: Your Honor, we would not provide service in that situation because we could make errors that would fall back on the responsibility of the interpreter and --

THE COURT: What do you want me to do?

MS. HAMERS: Judge, I have an interpreter from my office. I'd be happy to have her do it.

THE COURT: Well, that's not going to work.

MR. BATEMAN: Why don't -- why don't you just ask him what the six minutes said.

25

MS. HAMERS: Well, Judge, the problem is, first, what the Court was saying was that we've all agreed that something's missing, and that's not true at this time. I cannot understand the Spanish, and I'm not in agreement that something's missing. However, if the Detective claims that something's missing, I'm certainly happy to play that for the jury so that we -- there's no doubt about what's there.

THE COURT: How about this? How about we go with some -- we've got three or four more witnesses. We're going to get this done. How about if you've got an interpreter and he speaks Spanish, they go out together and play it and listen, and if they can agree on what was said, then I don't care whether he says it or she says it. Let's see if we can do that. If they can't agree, I don't know what we're going to do, but if they can agree, then that's a simple way to do it. Fair enough?

MS. HAMERS: If we can agree. I don't find that to be --

THE COURT: Well, I mean ---

MS. HAMERS: -- feasible, but we can -- yeah, I'd certainly give it a try, Judge.

THE COURT: Listen. I don't know much Spanish, but I know dinero means money, and nobody's going to disagree with that.

MS. HAMERS: That's true.

THE COURT: So if they're both -- all right. Let's take a try at that.

MS. HAMERS: Okay.

THE COURT: You guys go do your work while we're doing something else, so we're not wasting the jury's time, and let's see if we can come up with a conclusion. Or if we can agree on 98 percent of it and they can both say,

1	We disagree on this, then we can tell them what we disagree on. This		
2	should've been done two weeks ago. Okay.		
3	MS. HAMERS: Well		
4	THE COURT: That's all right. I'm not faulting anybody. I'm just saying		
5	it should've been done two weeks ago. Call your next witness.		
6	MS. NYICOS: Okay. Your Honor, the State would call Debbie Young.		
7	THE COURT: Okay. Come up here, ma'am. Come on up here.		
8	DEBORAH B. YOUNG,		
9	having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:		
10	THE CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated.		
11	THE COURT: State your name, and spell your name for the court		
12	reporter, please, ma'am.		
13	THE WITNESS: Deborah Young, B. Young.		
14	THE COURT: Spell it.		
15	THE WITNESS: D-e-b-o-r-a-h, B. Young, Y-o-u-n-g.		
16	THE COURT: Thank you. Go ahead, Ms. Nyicos.		
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION		
18	BY MS. NYICOS:		
19	Q Ms. Young, how are you employed?		
20	A I am currently employed as a SANE nurse, sexual assault nurse		
21	examiner with SW SANE.		
22	Q And how long have you been so employed?		
23	A I have been doing sexual assault exams for five years. I'm a		
24	co-owner also of the SW SANE, and we incorporated in 2005.		
25	Q And in order to be a sex assault nurse examiner, do you have to		
	-16-		

	•		
1	many sex assault examines have you performed?		
2	A	I've done approximately 60.	
3	a	60?	
4	A	Uh-huh.	
5	۵	And have you previously testified in a court of law	
6	А	I have, uh-huh.	
7	a	reporting your findings?	
8	A	Once, I have, yeah.	
9	a	And were you certified as an expert when you testified?	
10	A	Yes.	
11	MS. N	IYICOS: Okay. Judge, I understand we don't certify here, but	
12	may I proceed with the questioning as regards to this case?		
13	THE C	COURT: Yeah, sure.	
14	MS. N	IYICOS: Okay.	
15	BY MS. NYIC	COS:	
16	a	Now, Debbie, you said that you're a co-owner of SW SANE?	
17	А	Uh-huh.	
18	a	Is that a business that you run specifically for sex assault nurse	
19	exams?		
20	Α	Yes. We have a total of seven nurses, including myself, and the	
21	co-owner t	he other co-owner, and we all do sexual assault exams.	
22	a	Now, where is your business located?	
23	Α	We are located out of St. George, Utah. I cover Mesquite,	
24	Nevada. Nev	vada requires that you have to have the national certification to do	
25	sexual assault exams in Nevada, and right now I'm the only one who's able to		
		-18-	

1	do that.	
2	a	So your coverage would include Mesa View Hospital, which is
3	located in Me	esquite, Nevada, here in Clark County?
4	A	Yes.
5	a	Okay. Now, directing your attention specifically to August 16th
6	of 2006, do	you recall performing an exam on a person by the name of Bianca
7	Hernandez?	
8	A	Yes.
9	۵	Now, let's talk about overview of what a sex assault exam
10	contains. Ar	e there two portions, if I understand right, a medical and a forensic
11	type portion?	
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Let's start with the medical.
14	А	Okay
15	۵	What is that exam?
16	А	Okay. That entails the whole process of exam takes about
17	two to three	hours to get done. The first part part of it is the medical, and
18	we go over,	you know, general appearance of the victim, review vital signs, ask
19	for a medica	history, are they allergic to anything, immunization status, that
20	kind of thing	•
21	۵	And then you also have your forensic portion?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q.	And what does that entail?
24	A	That entails collecting more information about the assault.
25	Collecting ev	ridence trace evidence samples, swabbings, hair samples, things
	[10

-19-

like that. 2 \mathbf{Q} Now, with regard to the medical, is the -- what's the sole 3 purpose of that? 4 The medical is to make sure that the victim is stable --Α 5 MR. PAULSON: I'm sorry. I'm going to object to the term "victim" for 6 the same reasons that we've always objected to that, Judge. 7 THE COURT: Yeah, that's fine, but --8 MR. PAULSON: It's an -- it's an allegation. 9 THE COURT: We'll try to use word "alleged victim," but if we don't, 10 it's kind of natural, so it's --11 THE WITNESS: Okay. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. 13 BY MS. NYICOS: 14 Q Okay. It's to make sure that the alleged victim is stable enough to have 15 Α 16 a forensic exam at that time, so -- and also it helps to --- not only reviewing 17 vital signs and things like that, but immunization status, medications that 18 you're going to give afterwards, you know, if you need to give different 19 medications, if they have allergies, that kind of thing, so --20 Now, when you're performing this medical portion, is this when Q 21 you would determine any external injuries? 22 Yes. I mean, I look at the general appearance. Is this person Α 23 pale? Diaphoretic? Do they have any obvious bleeding injuries that I can see? 24 Yes. 25 Q Okay. -20-

Day 2 - Volume II

-21-

	1
1	Q Okay. While that's warming up, I'm going to show you these.
2	Showing you what's been marked for identification as State's Proposed
3	Exhibits 26 through 32. If you could just flip through those briefly and tell me
4	if you recognize those.
5	A Yes.
6	Q And what are those that I'm showing you?
7	A These are pictures of Bianca at the time of her medical and
8	forensic rape exam, and these are the photographs that I took during those.
9	Q And do those pictures fairly and accurately depict how she
10	presented to you on the day of the exam?
11	A Yes.
12	MS. NYICOS: Okay. Move for admission.
13	THE COURT: Any objection?
14	MR. PAULSON: No objection.
15	THE COURT: Admitted.
16	MS. NYICOS: Thank you.
17	[State's 26 through 32 admitted.]
18	BY MS. NYICOS:
19	Q Let me just you've got a screen in front of you.
20	A Okay.
21	THE CLERK: Which photographs are those?
22	MS. NYICOS: 26 through 32.
23	THE CLERK: All of them?
24	MS. NYICOS: Yeah.
25	BY MS. NYICOS:

-22-

l		
1	a	Okay. I'm showing you what's now been admitted as 26. Let
2	me zoom out	a bit. Now, do you normally just take a full-on headshot
3	Α	I do.
4	۵	just for identification?
5	Α	Uh-huh.
6	a	Okay. And this is Bianca?
7	A	Yes.
8	۵	Okay. Now 27. And actually on the screen in front of you, it
9	works kind of	like Don Madden football. You can actually draw a circle.
10	А	Oh, okay.
11	a	What are we looking at here?
12	A	These are the scratch-like marks on her right outer thigh right
13	there [indicating].	
14	a	Okay.
15	THE C	OURT: And you push the button and the
16	MS. N	YICOS: And Mr. Bateman can help me out here.
17	BY MS. NYIC	OS:
18	a	Okay. Now, also showing you 28, is that a
19	A	This is a close-up
20	a	Let me pull the other one out because that came out really, really
21	bright. Okay	. Got it.
22	A	This is a close-up of those scratch marks that I observed on her
23	right outer th	igh.
24	a	And, again, this is consistent with her version of
25	Α	Yeah. She her she said that these were caused by a knife
		.23-

1	being poked	at her, and those are consistent with that.
2	a	You keep calling them scratch marks. Could they also I mean,
3	did you note	in your report puncture marks?
4	A	This one right here [indicating] looks like a puncture mark and a
5	cut, and this	one looks more like an abrasion type cut.
6	a	Okay. Now, I'm also showing you 29.
7	A	Okay.
8	a	And what are we looking at here?
9	A	This one this is her right forearm. The next photo you'll you
10	should be ab	le to see some very light red marks.
11	a	And that's here on 30?
12	A	Uh-huh. Those are right there [indicating]. There's two of them.
13	a	And, again, is this an injury that she reported to you?
14	A	Yes. She had told me that she had been grabbed by her arm,
15	and so	
16	a	Okay. And also showing you 31.
17	A	This is a picture of her right cheekbone where she was
18	complaining	that it was tender. She just had some very mild swelling.
19	a	You say "mild swelling." Was it noticeable to you?
20	A	Yeah.
21	a	Okay. And, again
22	А	This is a close-up.
23	Q	this is another shot of that?
24	A	Uh-huh.
25	Q	Okay. And, once again, with the swelling to the right
		-24-

Day 2 - Volume II

-25-

1.		
1	a	Okay. And showing you what's already been admitted as
2	State's 21, is	s this the sex assault kit to the best of your knowledge that you
3	were able to	collect from Bianca Hernandez at Mesa View Hospital on the 16 th ?
4	Α	It was in a big manila envelope.
5	a	It would probably be inside there?
6	A	It would probably be inside.
7	a	Okay. Well, we won't open it.
8	A	Okay.
9	a	But you did collect all these, put them all together
10	A	Yes.
11	a	in a manila envelope
12	А	And sealed it.
13	a	and marked it for identification?
14	A	Uh-huh, uh-huh.
15	a	And those are later collected by the police department?
16	A	Yes, I give those to the police department.
17	a	Okay. And when you're looking for trace evidence, what types
18	do you use	e any instruments to do that?
19	A	I use Q-tips to swab the areas for any look for DNA. I use a
20	speculum to	exam the cervix and the vagina. I use we can take clippings of
21	the hair, pub	ic hair and head hairs. We swab the cheeks for cheek cells.
22	Q	And that's called a bucal swab?
23	A	Right.
24	a	Do you use some type of light source also to know what to look
25	for?	
		26

-26-

1	A	Yes, a Woods lamp. I use that on in her exam. And in the
2	genital part, I	did observe some speckled areas around the labia, which I
3	which I swab	bed and sent in the kit.
4	a	Now, a Woods lamp, is this like what we see on CSI where it's
5	almost like a	fluorescent light?
6	A	Right.
7	a	And it's something that glows?
8	A	Right.
9	a	Okay. All right. Now, after collecting all the swabbings, you
10	also were loo	king for abrasions, tearing and things like that?
11	A	Yes.
12	a	Did you find any on Bianca Hernandez?
13	Α	She in her in her fossa vanicularis, which is right before you
14	enter into the	er-by the hymen and go into the vagina, she did have a small
15	abrasion at 6	:00 o'clock. We describe
16	a	When you talk about 6:00 o'clock
17	A	Yeah, we describe that on a clock. So if you're looking at it
18	straight on, it	would be right at the bottom.
19	a	And this would be the bottom to the just to the inside of the
20	vagina?	
21	А	Yes.
22	a	Okay. And what causes an abrasion?
23	A	An abrasion is when there's been friction between tissues, and
24	there's some	rubbing of the top layer, that comes off of the top layer of skin,
25	and so it's fro	om friction.

1	a	And are there certain things that can counteract the friction that
2	would cause	an abrasion?
3	Α	Lack of lubrication, lack of the normal sexual response. You
4	know, usuall	y a woman in that situation you know, in a sexual situation
5	where it's co	nsensual would be relaxed, and there would be a pelvic tilt, and
6	there would	be lubrication. So if those things are absent, it definitely can
7	contribute to	an abrasion or any kind of injury down there.
8	a	So when you see an abrasion type injury, would that be in your
9	training and	experience consistent with a sexual assault?
10	A	Yes.
11	α	And, again, why is that?
12	A	Because of the lack of lubrication, lack of the pelvic tilt and the
13	relaxed muscles.	
14	MS. N	NYICOS: Okay. I have nothing further.
15	THE	COURT: Mr. Paulson?
16	MR. F	PAULSON: Thank you, Judge.
17		CROSS-EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. PAU	LSON:
19	Q	Good morning, ma'am.
20	Α	Morning.
21	Q	Just a couple of questions. First of all, just to clarify, your role
22	as a sex assa	ault nurse examiner is basically to do the exam and collect
23	evidence; co	rrect?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	Okay. And that evidence is given to the police?
		-28-
- 1	1	

Day 2 - Volume II

1	Α	Yes.
2	a	And submitted for prosecution?
3	A	Uh-huh.
4	a	So basically your role is to collect evidence to eventually get
5	down the line	e for possible prosecution and your testimony as you're testifying
6	today; correct?	
7	Α	Yes.
8	a	Your particular role isn't to offer an opinion; you just provide a
9	summary, and a doctor reviews your report; correct?	
10	A	I offer what I find, yeah. I state in my documentation what I
11	have found in the exam.	
12	a	In your report you don't actually give an opinion; you give a
13	summary of findings; correct?	
14	А	Yes, uh-huh.
15	a	Okay. Now, I am going to refer to things that are in the report.
16	I'm sure you've reviewed it prior to your testimony today?	
17	Α	Yes.
18	a	If there's things that you're not sure about, I'll have you look at
19	it, but	
20	Α	Okay.
21	a	As far as when you did your initial physical exam, you noted the
22	general physical appearance of the clothing. Do you remember that?	
23	Α	Yes.
24	۵	And the clothing in this part, do you remember if it had any
25	tearing or anything of that nature?	
		-29-

1	A I didn't observe any tears that she it was generally a little bit		
2	dirty, but I didn't notice any obvious tearing.		
3	Q Okay. And going on to the physical exam part, you earlier		
4	testified about a few scratches and abrasions and those types of injuries that		
5	you noted in your report?		
6	A Uh-huh.		
7	Q The first one I'm going to refer to is the scratch marks that you		
8	noted on the right anterior thigh?		
9	A Uh-huh.		
10	Q Now, earlier your testimony		
11	THE COURT: Did you say "interior" or "anterior"?		
12	THE WITNESS: Anterior.		
13	MR. PAULSON: Anterior.		
14	THE COURT: Okay.		
15	BY MR. PAULSON:		
16	Q You noted, if you recall in your report, that those were superficial		
17	scratch marks. Do you recall		
18	A Yes.		
19	Q that was your findings? Now, if these if your wording is		
20	"superficial," we're talking about injuries located near the surface, you didn't		
21	note anything about puncture wounds? You said superficial scratch marks;		
22	correct?		
23	A They were they were not that deep, no.		
24	Q They weren't penetrating?		
25	A There if the one picture of there was one that was a little		
	-30-		

bit deeper than the other one, if I don't know if you want to put that one		
back on the screen, but there was one that was was slightly deeper than the		
other two.		
a c	kay. But they were not penetrating, deep injuries? They were	
superficial as yo	ou report in your report?	
АТ	hey didn't need sutures or anything like that.	
a v	ery little bleeding?	
A T	hey I didn't	
a A	Actually, there was none?	
A V	When I saw them, they were scabbing over, so	
a 0	Okay. Now, in regards to those particular injuries, if Ms.	
Hernandez earlier had testified that during a struggle, she was poked in the		
thigh with a knife, that this didn't occur anytime during the alleged sexual		
assault, would that be consistent with those types of injuries?		
A 0	Can you repeat that again?	
<u>a</u> 11	f she had testified that those marks came from an earlier	
struggle when she was inside the vehicle		
Α (Jh-huh.	
Q -	- but not during any sexual activity, would that be consistent	
with those findings?		
A 1	res.	
0 0	Going on to the second injury that you note, is the abrasions on	
the wrist.		
A (Jh-huh.	
a 1	These abrasions, you noted in your report that there are light	
	-31-	
	back on the screen other two. Q Q Q Superficial as year A T Q A A T Q A A A A A A A A A A A A A	

-32-

1	А	and cooperation, the relaxation of the muscles that you
2	would	
3	a	Well, let me so is it your testimony that you would never see
4	something lik	te that during consensual sex?
5	A	You could see something like that in consensual.
6	a	You could see something like that
7	A	Uh-huh.
8	a	during consensual sex? So that type of finding, in and of itself,
9	is not absolutely indicative of sexual assault?	
10	A	It's consistent with her history.
11	a	Let me ask the question again.
12	A	Okay.
13	α	That finding, in and of itself alone, is not absolutely indicative of
14	sexual assault; it could be consent; correct?	
15	A	It could be.
16	a	Also, in your particular in your pelvic exam, I notice that
17	there's no other abnormal findings noted; is that correct?	
18	Α	Yes.
19	a	There was no tears?
20	A	Yes.
21	a	There was no lacerations?
22	Α	Right.
23	a	There was no multiple injuries? No bruising?
24	A	In the genital area you mean?
25	a	Correct.
		-33-

1	Α	Yes.
2	۵	Yes, there was bruising or no, there wasn't bruising?
3	Α	You're correct. There was only that one finding in the genital
4	area.	
5	٥	So no other no other trauma was found during your exam?
6	Α	In the well, there was
7	۵	In the genital area.
8	Α	but not in the genital area. There was only that one
9	a	Separate from the stuff we talked about, the wrist and
10	Α	Yes.
11	a	the knife marks? We're talking about the pelvic exam itself?
12	А	Yes.
13	a	No other no other findings. Okay. And just to clarify, when
14	you're looking	g with the Woods lamp, those speckled areas, those speckled
15	areas don't indicate trauma; correct?	
16	A	Right. They can be sperm. Semen can fluorescent. That's the
17	purpose of w	hy we use an alternative type light source, to be able to swab that
18	area.	
19	a	Right. Normally when you use the stain, that's to bring out some
20	type of liquid	
21	A	Right.
22	a	that you would see, just so you can
23	A	Right.
24	a	visualize it for collection purposes?
25	Α	Right.
		-34-

	1	
1	a	It has nothing to do with bruising or trauma or anything along
2	those lines?	
3	A	Right.
4	a	Okay. And then just to during your exam you get a I guess
5	a summary o	f the events, and there's a long summary here that, I assume, you
6	wrote?	
7	A	Yes.
8	a	Now, during this particular exam, you had the aid of an
9	interpreter; correct?	
10	A	Yes, from the Rape Crisis Center.
11	a	Okay.
12	A	Uh-huh.
13	a	So you don't speak Spanish yourself?
14	A	No.
15	٥	Okay. Now, do you recall in the summary that Bianca told or
16	stated to you, and it's in your summary, that when she was initially taken out	
17	of the car, she was she was laying on the ground?	
18	А	She was
19	MS. N	IYICOS: I'm going to object. It's hearsay.
20	MR. P	AULSON: Well, it's what I'm getting at is an inconsistent
21	statement based on her prior testimony.	
22	THE C	COURT: You're saying Bianca said to the interpreter who told her
23	this is what she understands Bianca had said?	
24	MR. PAULSON: Yes.	
25	THE	COURT: Let me think. Inconsistent statement.
		-35-

1 MS. NYICOS: Yes. 2 THE COURT: All right. Overruled. Go ahead, Mr. Paulson. I don't 3 know that is or isn't, but I think he has the right to ask. 4 BY MR. PAULSON: 5 Q Specifically, if she -- you wrote down everything that she told 6 you; correct? 7 That -- as it was interpreted to me, yes. Α 8 Okay. As it was interpreted. And during your documentation of Q 9 the events surrounding, do you recall her telling you that when she was pulled 10 out of the car, she was on the ground and that Freddy had stood over her for 11 about five minutes? Yeah, I -- that's what I wrote down. I then -- after I had finished 12 that part of the interview, I asked her about -- specific questions about the 13 assault. Was there penetration of his penis to your vagina? And she said yes. 14 So I backed up and I said -- because she hadn't told me that in the initial event 15 surrounding when I was going over that part. So I asked her then, What --16 17 when did this happen? And if you turn to that page, you'll see my comments. It was -- and I probably have to refresh my memory by looking at them, but --18 19 Q You need to look at your report? 20 Α Yeah. 21 MR. PAULSON: May I approach, Judge? 22 THE COURT: Sure. 23 BY MR. PAULSON: 24 Would it be one of these two pages? \mathbf{Q} 25 Α No.

-36-

1	Q Let me see your entire report. I'm sorry.		
2	A This one right here. That's okay. It's this page, page four.		
3	Q Just read just read it to yourself first, and then I'll		
4	A Oh, okay. [Reading document]. And that was the question I		
5	was asking.		
6	THE COURT: Well, she can explain this a little. Go ahead.		
7	THE WITNESS: Can I?		
8	BY MR. PAULSON:		
9	Q Yes, do that. Sure.		
10	A Okay. So I had asked her to tell me what had had happened		
11	first, and that's that was these two pages right here on pages two and the		
12	addendum. And then I went to page four, and that's when I asked specific		
13	questions about the assault such as was there actual penetration of his of the		
14	suspect's penis to your vagina? Those kind of questions. And she answered		
15	yes. And she I said, Well, you know, tell me about that more because she		
16	had not told me about that when I when I was writing my narrative.		
17	Q Okay.		
18	A And she said when they were stopped and she had her pants		
19	were pulled down, she was on the ground and underwear were pulled down,		
20	and it was before he sexually assaulted her there was penetration from his		
21	penis to her vagina at this time, and then he stood over her for the five minutes		
22	with his knife with the knife in his hand.		
23	Q And then as I kind of alluded to earlier, after you do your exam,		
24	you basically have a summary of your findings; correct?		
25	A Yes.		

1	a	And in your summary you note the superficial scratch marks on
2	the thigh, scr	atch marks on or the right wrist, the mild swelling and
3	tenderness, the abrasion at 6:00 o'clock, and your finding is reported sexual	
4	assault; corre	ct?
5	A	Right.
6	a	And this report gets reviewed by a physician; correct?
7	Α	This report gets I make copies to go with the crime lab, and I
8	give a copy to the detective, and I consult with the medical doctor that was o	
9	there at the	e facility that day, Dr. Fuller.
10	٥	Okay. And so does Dr. Fuller ultimately make the, I guess,
11	diagnosis or the opinion?	
12	A	No.
13	a	Who does that?
14	A	I do.
15	a	Okay.
16	A	Dr. Fuller was there as a medical consult. He was not there in
17	the in the exam itself.	
18	a	But as a nurse
19	А	Uh-huh.
20	a	you're required to have a physician supervisor; correct?
21	А	Right. And we do have a medical director for our nurses.
22	Q	Okay.
23	А	Uh-huh.
24	MR. P	AULSON: I have no further questions.
25	THE C	COURT: Anything else?
ļ		-38-

MS. NYICOS: Just very briefly.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. NYICOS:

When Bianca was giving you her recollection of the events, what Q was her demeanor?

She was teary at times. She was controlled at times, meaning Α that she was able to tell me the story, maintain eye contact, but there were parts when she was telling me what happened that she did get tearful.

Q And Mr. Paulson had -- keeps alluding to whether or not a medical doctor has to sign off on your findings in any way, shape or form. Is the doctor there in case there's some additional medical attention --

Α Right.

Ω that is necessary?

The exam is done in the emergency room, and so they're there to Α do a type of medical screening exam to make sure there's not an emergency condition that exists.

Okay. So these findings that you give are your opinions, your Q and yours alone?

Right.

Q And that's your responsibility?

Right. And I did -- I do discuss with the emergency room doctor Α my findings, but they are not in the room during the exam.

Okay. Again to rephrase, your finding of that abrasion at 6:00 Q o'clock, in your opinion is consistent with a sexual assault?

With her -- with what she told me, yes, I believe it was -- is Α

-39-

1	consistent with a sexual assault.		
2	MS. NYICOS: Thank you. Nothing further.		
3	THE COURT: Okay.		
4	MR. PAULSON: Very briefly, Judge.		
5	RECROSS-EXAMINATION		
6	BY MR. PAULSON:		
7	Q Your opinion is based on two things then, if I'm hearing you		
8	right, your findings from your exam and what she tells you? You put those		
9	things together?		
10	A Uh-huh.		
11	Q In this particular case, your only finding was an abrasion at 6:00		
12	o'clock, correct, other than the other marks that we talked about? I mean the		
13	pelvic exam.		
14	A Yes.		
15	Q So your opinion has to be based on her honesty; correct?		
16	A Yes. With what she's told me, uh-huh.		
17	Q So if she's telling you something that is not true, your opinion as		
18	far as whether it's a sexual assault or not would be affected; correct?		
19	A If she told me something else, yes, but yes.		
20	Q And as I alluded to before, an abrasion at 6:00 o'clock with no		
21	other significant findings could be consistent with consensual sex; correct?		
22	A Yes.		
23	MR. PAULSON: No other questions, Judge.		
24	THE COURT: Okay, Thanks, You're excused. Have a nice day.		
25	Okay. Yes, sir.		
	10		

-40-

1	THE WITNESS: Am I excused or do I need to wait?	
2	THE COURT: No, we've got a couple questions for you.	
3	THE WITNESS: Okay. Okay.	
4	THE COURT: What would be the normal healing time for a vaginal	
5	abrasion of the type and severity that you noticed on Ms. Hernandez?	
6	THE WITNESS: What I saw appeared acute, that it had recently	
7	happened. So I would say within within a day.	
8	THE COURT: Okay. It happened within a day?	
9	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.	
10	THE COURT: That afternoon?	
11	THE WITNESS: Within a few, yeah, hours to a day.	
12	THE COURT: Do you take any blood for alcohol or drug testing of the	
13	person reporting?	
14	THE WITNESS: We do sometimes. I I don't believe I did with	
15	Bianca. I'd have to refresh my memory with the notes, but	
16	THE COURT: Did you have any visual observations that would give you	
17	as a nurse reason to believe that there was impairment?	
18	THE WITNESS: No. She was awake, alert, oriented. I didn't smell any	
19	alcohol.	
20	THE COURT: Okay. How long did it take before she kind of got into	
21	telling you about the penetration?	
22	THE WITNESS: I had gone through my whole narrative, and it's when I	
23	was asking the specific questions about the assault, but	
24	THE COURT: Is that is that common or uncommon?	
25	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's common, and that's why I said I needed	
	-41-	

1	to back up and say, you know, You didn't tell me this before, but I'm clarifying		
2	this did happen, and she said yes.		
3	THE COURT: That wouldn't be out of the ordinary?		
4	THE WITNESS: That would not be out of the ordinary.		
5	THE COURT: Okay. You're excused. Call your next witness.		
6	MS. NYICOS: Judge, I believe we're just waiting for the detective.		
7	That was		
8	THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. Then you're ready to rest?		
9	MS. NYICOS: Yeah. If I can just take a moment to confer with the		
10	Clerk.		
11	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Paulson, do you want to double check the		
12	evidence with her or are you okay with it?		
13	MR. PAULSON: 1 better make sure.		
14	[Attorneys conferring with the Clerk.]		
15	MS. NYICOS: Judge, I believe we have a stipulation as to 33 and 34,		
16	which are the transcript and the DVD of the statement that's being reviewing		
17	right now, if I'm correct.		
18	THE COURT: I believe that's right, Mr. Paulson, isn't it?		
19	MR. PAULSON: That's correct.		
20	THE COURT: Okay. 33 and 34 will be admitted.		
21	[State's 33 and 34 admitted.]		
22	MS. NYICOS: And with that, Judge		
23	THE COURT: That is the DVD of the interview with the Defendant that		
24	is in Spanish, and a transcript that is either whole or partial		
25	MS. NYICOS: And the English translation transcription, yes.		
ļ	-42-		
ı	II		

THE COURT: -- but is transcribed. Okay.

MS. NYICOS: Yes. And with that, subject to the fact that we're still waiting for the detective at this time, we will rest.

THE COURT: Correct. We'll do that last. We need to give him some time to work. Mr. Paulson.

MR. PAULSON: Judge, we actually have a witness, I believe, because Ms. Hamers is tied up right now. That's her witness. If you could just give me a couple minutes.

THE COURT: A couple. Go get her and tell her to get in here, and let's go.

THE BAILIFF: Judge?

THE COURT: By the way, obviously Lisa isn't here today. Lisa is off today. This is Vinny, and he'll be doing all the same things that Lisa did yesterday. So if you have anything you need to do, you just work through Vinny. Yeah.

THE BAILIFF: This witness has an infant and a two -- three-year-old with her.

THE COURT: Well, they have to stay outside.

THE COURT: Mr. Silverstein, I understand the witness has an infant, and they can't bring them in, so maybe you could do a little babysitting for us. I can tell you're a natural just looking at you.

MR. SILVERSTEIN: Where is the infant?

THE COURT: I don't know. Right out there.

MR. SILVERSTEIN: All right.

[Court at ease.]

-43-

1	THE COURT: Call your first witness.		
2	MS. HAMERS: Judge, I believe we're ready to go on the Detective. If		
3	we could approach briefly beforehand.		
4	THE COURT: Sure. That would be fine, if everybody's ready.		
5	[Bench conference.]		
6	THE COURT: Do you want the officer or detective okay. Come on		
7	up, Detective. You're still under oath. I guess we've got the issues resolved		
8	between the transcript that you had and the tape.		
9	ARTURO CHAVEZ,		
10	having been previously sworn, testified as follows:		
11	THE COURT: Go ahead, Ms. Hamers.		
12	MS. HAMERS: Thank you.		
13	RECROSS-EXAMINATION [CONTINUED]		
14	BY MS. HAMERS:		
15	Q We spoke, and originally you had thought that maybe there was		
16	a missing portion, about six minutes that didn't get transcribed at all; is that		
17	correct?		
18	A That is correct.		
19	Q But now that we've both looked these things over, it was just a		
20	matter of pages you didn't have, and there isn't an entire segment of time		
21	that was missing from that transcript; right?		
22	A That is correct.		
23	Q Okay. And		
24	THE COURT: Am I to understand that the transcript that has been		
25	admitted by stipulation is complete and wasn't missing the pages the		
j	-44-		
	·		

1	Detective's was missing?	
2	MS. HAMERS: That's correct.	
3	THE COURT: Right? Okay.	
4	BY MS. HAMERS:	
5	Q Okay. So I'm going to kind of back us up to where we w	ere all
6	the way yesterday for the jury. We were talking about you made some	
7	you testified on direct examination yesterday that Freddy had repeatedly	
8	stated that he forced sex because the woman was his; right?	
9	A That he was angry, and he and I asked him if he raped h	ier,
10	correct.	
11	Q Yesterday you testified on direct that he repeatedly told yo	ou
12	that he forced the sex because she was his; right?	
13	A Correct.	
14	Q Okay.	
15	A I believe so.	
16	Q And I had asked you to point out in the transcript where the	nat
17	had happened; is that correct?	
18	A Correct.	
19	Q And you weren't able to find anywhere in the transcript w	here
20	that those actual words were exchanged?	
21	A Not to the exact words, but the meaning of the transcripti	on or
22	the way of the communication.	
23	Q Okay. So can you tell me where the meaning of the	
24	translation, where that's conveyed.	
25	A Sure. We looked on now we're looking at new pages	
	-45-	

because I have the old pages.

Q Right. We're both on the same page now, which is perfect.

A Correct. We are looking on page now, I believe is -- on 11. I asked him if she was -- why he rape her. Was it 11? Was that page 11 that we discussed that, ma'am?

Q Well, I think your position --

THE COURT: She wants you go in there and find it and tell us where it is and tell us what it said. That's what she wants you to do.

MS. HAMERS: Thank you, Judge.

THE WITNESS: I understand. We have two copies here, and it was on page 11.

BY MS. HAMERS:

Q Let's just go off the same copy that we're both looking at, that we both went through it, that we both agree on, so we're -- no further confusion.

A It's going to be -- probably it's a little difficult on the way -- of the way things are actually said, however, on page -- it's going to be page 12 of the new form. It's going to talking --

THE WITNESS: Excuse me one second, Your Honor. [Reading documents]. Okay. Here we go. We start talking about -- there we start talking -- page 12.

BY MS. HAMERS:

Q Yes, sir.

A Okay. We slowly start going into, you know, where did you guys have sex? And he starts saying, Well, we had sex, you know, just

-46-

leaving Las Vegas before Logandale in the backseat of the car. And then we go further down, you know, You're telling her, you know, why you're angry. I just pretended to be upset because I wanted to -- at the bottom of the page. Because I wanted to, you know, get the truth out of her, but never to hurt -- never, never, never to hurt her. Okay.

Why did you get out of the car very upset? I'm on page 13 now. Why did you get out of the car very upset? Yes, yes. Then I told her -- he continued, You are, well, apparently, apparently, it looks like, I was very upset. And then if you continue going down the page, he's talking about being angry with her, of why those actions were done, of having sex with Bianca because, you know, Bianca truly loves you. Yes, yes.

And then we continue to page 14. In the middle of page 14, once again, I asked him, you know, that she wants to know, that she wants to know the truth, and she wants to know why you rape her today. And he goes, No, no, it wasn't -- it wasn't from the heart maybe. Maybe what I did, but it wasn't because of any anger towards her. It's maybe because I feel angry towards that person, towards the man that I don't know. He's like this, no, no, no. Just to clarify, it's more like minimizing something where you start Spanish --

Q Okay. Wait, wait. Let's back up because I just want to be really clear when you get off the transcript and you're actually saying --

A Okay. I'm sorry.

O Now you have some clarification because what's actually said there, and I'm just going to read your question and his answer: What she wants to know, why I believe I ask her, but I told her that I was going to tell

the truth. She wants to know, yes, she wanted to know the truth, and she wanted to know why you raped her today.

And Mr. Martinez answers, No, no, it wasn't from my heart maybe what I did, but -- and it wasn't because of anger towards her. If not, maybe because I feel anger towards that person, toward that man. I don't know who he is. I have not investigated his life yet. I don't know what significance he has in her life because she doesn't tell me anything. Why doesn't she introduce him to me? Tells me he is a friend, like that. Why not?

That's what we're referring to, that section right there?

- A That is correct.
- Q Okay. And so what you're saying is, your own interpretation of that or the way that should be interpreted is, he's saying that he raped her because he was angry?
 - A Correct.
- Q Okay. So there's no point in this interview where you ask him flat out, Why did you rape her, and he says, Because I was mad; right?
- A Well, I mean, the bottom of page 14, that's what we agreed on.

 It states, you know, Why did you rape her? You go to the top of 15 --
- Q Right. What you stated, and I think we can agree on this, you and I both listened to this tape --
 - A Uh-huh.
- Q -- and the jury will have this to see because they're going to have their own transcript, and at the bottom of page 14, it says, Illegible coughing; right?
 - A Correct.

-48-

Page 384

15, though --

Q Yeah, I know page 15.

A When he answers, when Martinez answers, The action what I did was just -- I did jokingly.

- Q Where are you referring to?
- A In the middle of the page.

On page 15? Okay. So -- but that -- let's be real clear because that's in response to a different question; right? It was this, She was very scared because of what you did to her today. She had never seen you in that way in your life, in her life, and, well, with the knife, threatening her with the knife, telling her, I'm going to kill you. Let's talk. We're leaving Las Vegas.

So that wasn't a question particularly to rape. That was a different question, and what he says is, Everything that would have been said was jokingly because there's 16 years of proof of what I did for her, proof of 16 years, I believe. Right?

A Well, two pages approximately. We're all talking about sex, and here it says, What you did to her today, which is referring to the rape.

Okay. And so the jury will have their own transcript to look at, and they can draw their own conclusions. It's just a matter of your taking these words, and you're saying that that's an admission to raping her because he was mad? It's not a matter of those words actually being said?

- A He did -- he said jokingly in the particular answer, correct.
- Q Okay.

THE COURT: Okay. I think we've got that -- everybody's point, and the jury is going to decide. Let's move on. Anything else with him?

-50-

1	MS. HAMERS: No, Judge.
2	THE COURT: Anything else?
3	MS. NYICOS: No, Judge.
4	THE COURT: Thanks, Detective. Appreciate your time, and I know
5	you worked overtime last night. Thank you very much. Any Defense
6	witnesses?
7	MS. HAMERS: Yes, Judge. The Defense Court's indulgence.
8	THE COURT: Old what's-her-face is waiting out in the hall?
9	MS. HAMERS: That's correct, Judge.
10	THE COURT: Well, go out and get her.
11	MS. HAMERS: Maria.
12	THE COURT: Maria. Can we have Maria, Vinny, please.
13	MS. HAMERS: And, Judge, Maria needs the use of an interpreter.
14	That's why we had to it looks like one's disappeared.
15	THE COURT: Maybe the interpreter is out there with her.
16	MS. HAMERS: And it's Miss Maria Diaz.
17	MR. BATEMAN: We have to call for another interpreter.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Call somebody else, and we'll get Ms. Diaz
19	Ms. Diaz, just wait outside a second because oh, we have an interpreter?
20	MS. HAMERS: Well, she's our interpreter, Judge.
21	THE COURT: No? Oh, okay. Martin is getting us an interpreter.
22	Have Ms. Diaz wait outside. Call somebody else, and we'll call Ms. Diaz on as
23	soon as we get an interpreter. Go ahead.
24	MS. HAMERS: Judge, that's our last witness.
25	THE COURT: You've only got one? Okay. Then we'll just be at ease

for a second.

MS. HAMERS: And, Judge, I don't know if it was clear, we admitted by stipulation the statement.

MS. NYICOS: Mr. Paulson and I did that.

THE COURT: That's correct. While you were out talking to your witness, we cleared up the record on that with your co-counsel.

MS. HAMERS: Thank you, Judge.

[Court at ease.]

THE COURT: Ms. Nyicos, Ms. Hamers, come up here a second. I just want to touch bases on scheduling.

[Bench conference.]

THE COURT: Okay. Well, all we're doing, ladies and gentlemen, is trying to figure out the time schedules, and it looks like we're actually well ahead of schedule, and we're going to be able to have this witness, take a quick break. In fact, we'll take a quick break now so that we can have the witness and do the rest of our business.

The instructions are all done. We'll go right through that. We think we'll finish -- they'll argue the case and get it to the jury between 11:45 and 12:30. So we're going to take a little restroom break, and then we're going to come back and plow through till then.

The good new is, that if you are deliberating over a meal, the County has to buy you your meal. So we'll get you that. I'm going to have -- I'm going to have Chermaine and Vinny get together with you and kind of figure out what you'd like, and we'll -- what we'll do is order lunch and get it ready in the jury room so you can eat and work at the same

time, and you don't have to waste an hour doing that, and that will expedite 2 things. Yes, sir, what's your question? 3 JUROR NUMBER 10: I have a question of procedure. When we 4 deliberate, do we get these reports? 5 THE COURT: Yes. 6 JUROR NUMBER 10: Like the report that Franklin made? 7 THE COURT: You will get everything that has been admitted into 8 evidence, and anything that is not admitted into evidence, you can't get even 9 if you ask. 10 JUROR NUMBER 10: Okay. 11 THE COURT: Okay. So --12 JUROR NUMBER 10: Thank you. 13 THE COURT: So everything that's been admitted. What happens is, 14 Vinny brings it in. They'll bring the instructions. They'll bring the verdict 15 form. He'll take care of you. Okay. Let's take a ten-minute restroom break, 16 and then we'll just go. 17 Don't talk about the case. Don't read, watch or listen to any 18 report on the case. Don't think about the case. Don't form any opinion on 19 the case. See you in ten minutes, and we'll be ready to go. 20 After you get to the restroom, kind of hang out there, and I'll 21 have Vinny and Chermaine talk to you and get a flavor for what you want to 22 eat, and we'll have it ready for you. 23 [Jury exits courtroom.] 24 [A short break was taken.] 25 THE COURT: Okay. Bring them in. Let's go. -53-

1

[Jury enters courtroom.]

THE COURT: Okay. Back on the record in Case Number C226586, State of Nevada versus Freddy Martinez. Let the record reflect the presence of the Defendant, his counsel; counsel for the State. All ladies and gentlemen of the jury are back in the box. Ms. Hamers, call your next witness.

MS. HAMERS: Thank you, Judge. The Defense calls Ms. Maria Diaz.

THE COURT: Come on up here, ma'am.

MARIA DIAZ,

having been first duly sworn, testified through an interpreter, as follows:

THE CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated.

THE INTERPRETER: Thank you.

THE COURT: Do me a favor, why don't the two of you switch so that she's not blocking you when you tell the jury --

THE INTERPRETER: Your Honor, normally the jury might -- might want to see the witness. That's why sometimes --

THE COURT: Okay. State your name, and spell your name for the court reporter.

THE WITNESS: [Through the Interpreter] Maria Diaz.

THE COURT: Spell it.

THE WITNESS: M-a-r-i-a.

THE COURT: Diaz.

THE WITNESS: D-i-a-z.

THE COURT: Ms. Diaz, do you speak some English?

THE WITNESS: No.

THE COURT: No English at all?

-54-

1	THE WITNESS: No.	
2	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.	
3	MS. H	AMERS: Thank you, Judge.
4		DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MS. HAM	ERS:
6	α	Ms. Diaz, where do you live?
7	A	[Through the Interpreter] Las Vegas, Nevada.
8	a	At what address?
9	Α	4615 Lake Mead, Las Vegas Boulevard. ZIP code, 89115.
10	α	Is that a mobile home park?
11	A	Uh-huh. Yes, trailers.
12	۵	How long have you lived there?
13	А	Two years.
14	a	And do you know Bianca Hernandez?
15	А	Yes.
16	a	How do you know her?
17	Α	Because she was my neighbor before.
18	Q.	When was she your neighbor?
19	A	About I don't remember when she left.
20	a	Okay. Did she live in the same trailer park as you?
21	А	Yes, she was my neighbor.
22	Q	And do you know Freddy Martinez?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Did he also live in the same trailer park?
25	Α	Yes.
		-55-

1	Q	Who did he live with?	
2	Α	With Bianca.	
3	Q.	How long did he live with her there?	
4	Α	About a year or more.	
5	۵	And were you at the trailer park first or were they at the trailer	
6	park first?		
7	A	We were there first.	
8	a	And did they move in separately or did they move in together?	
9	A	They came together.	
10	a	And after they came together, were they both living inside the	
11	trailer?		
12	A	Yes.	
13	a	Was anyone else living there with them?	
14	A	Her son.	
15	MS. ⊢	IAMERS: I don't have any other questions, Judge.	
16	THE COURT: Mr. Bateman?		
17	MR. B	ATEMAN: No, Your Honor.	
18	THE C	COURT: Okay. Thank you.	
19	THE V	VITNESS: [Through the Interpreter] Can I leave?	
20	THE C	COURT: You may. Any other witnesses? Ms. Hamers, any	
21	other witness	ses?	
22	MS. H	IAMERS: No, Judge. The Defense would rest at this time.	
23	THE C	COURT: Any rebuttal?	
24	MR. E	BATEMAN: No, Your Honor.	
25	MS. N	NYICOS: No, Your Honor.	
		50	

-56-

1

THE COURT: The evidence is in?

MS. NYICOS: It is, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. Vinny, will you give each of these to one of the jurors, please.

Okay. That's the evidence, ladies and gentlemen. Now, as I told you, is I give you the instructions on the law. As I say, we worked pretty hard simultaneously with you to just update these and have them ready so we don't waste your time at this juncture.

What the law says is I have to read these to you. What I found after decades of doing this, the best way to do it is to give each a set. It makes a lot more sense when you're reading along with me. You don't have to try and take notes of what I see. When you get to the jury room, you don't have to pass a set around. You can each have your own set to kind of expedite things and —

MR. BATEMAN: Judge, may we approach just very briefly?

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. BATEMAN: Thank you.

THE COURT: Also, when the lawyers are arguing, sometimes they'll talk about Instruction 12 or 28. It's easy for you to turn to it. That said, because -- oh, we've got a mistake?

MR. BATEMAN: No. May we approach?

THE COURT: Yeah.

[Bench conference.]

THE COURT: Okay. What I'm saying is, even though I know that you can all read, and what I'd like to do is say, Read it and raise your hand,

-57-

,

that's not the way it works. So bear with me. It'll take about 15 minutes.

[The Court reads the instructions to the jury; not transcribed.]

THE COURT: Okay. Here's the verdict form. There are four charges as you already know, and each of them have several choices. For example, the first charge is burglary with a weapon, burglary without a deadly weapon or not guilty. The way to approach this is, you take each charge, start from the top and work down. The top obviously is the most serious, and the bottom, the default position, is not guilty, and ask yourself, has the State proved all the elements of this beyond a reasonable doubt? If the answer is yes, you check that box, and you don't have to go on. If the answer is no, you go to the next level, the next level, the next level. And ultimately, as I say, not guilty is always the default position if the State hasn't proven beyond a reasonable doubt the elements of any of the possibilities.

So when you have the verdict form completed, you'll have one box checked in each of the four counts, and the other boxes will all be blank. It needs to be dated and signed by the foreperson. When that is done, you will be completed. Mr. Bateman.

MR. BATEMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

STATE CLOSING ARGUMENT

MR. BATEMAN: Ladies and gentlemen, on August 16th of last year, Freddy Martinez was a man with a mission. He woke, obviously, quite early in the morning. Indeed, this began at 5:30 a.m. Waited outside the residence that he had been able to determine Bianca and her new boyfriend Jose' were living in. When Bianca came out to warm up her car, he laid in wait, came from a tree, jumped a fence, had a knife, got in her car, pointed it to her, told

23

24

25

•

her to drive. He did this quite frankly or quite likely for a number of reasons. He was insanely jealous. He was obsessed. Perhaps he loved; perhaps he lusted after Bianca Hernandez.

You've heard a lot of evidence about what the relationship was like for the 16 years the Defendant had come from Honduras. You heard evidence that, in fact, Bianca was married to Freddy Martinez's brother David. Had a son with his brother David, not with Freddy Martinez. When the relationship between Bianca and David ended, Bianca was kind enough to continue to allow Freddy Martinez to live with her and help her raise her son Franklin as an uncle and as a brother.

But, in any event, regardless of what actually had taken place the prior 16 years between Bianca and Freddy Martinez, what we do know is what happened basically from about a few months prior to August 16th and then what happened on August 16th.

Ultimately, your job in this case boils down to whether you believe Bianca Hernandez and what she told you about the current condition of the relationship between herself and Freddy Martinez and what happened on August 16th of 2006. This case turns on Bianca Hernandez and whether you believe her or not.

I want to direct your attention to a couple of instructions of law that the Court provided you. First is Instruction Number 16. There is no requirement that the testimony of a victim of sexual assault be corroborated, and her testimony standing alone, if believed beyond a reasonable doubt, is sufficient to sustain a verdict of guilty.

Ladies and gentlemen, what that instruction means is, if the

State had presented to you Bianca Hernandez and no other evidence and she testified and you believed her rendition of the facts of October -- excuse me. August 16th of 2006, you could convict Freddy Martinez of the charges that we have alleged.

Instruction Number 29, ladies and gentlemen, talks a little bit about what you should look for in a witness' testimony. In deciding what the facts are, you may have to decide what testimony you believe and what testimony you do not believe. You may believe all of what a witness said or only part of it or none of it. In deciding what testimony to believe, consider the witness' intelligence, the opportunity the witness has to see -- had to have seen or heard the things testified about, the witness' memory, any motives that the witness may have for testifying in a certain way, the manner of the witness while testifying, whether that witness said something different at an earlier time. The general reasonableness of the testimony. And importantly to this case, ladies and gentlemen, the extent to which the testimony is consistent with any other evidence that you believe.

In this case the State isn't asking you to believe Bianca
Hernandez all by itself. The State didn't put up Bianca Hernandez and then
rest. The State put on a number of witnesses and provided you with exhibits
that you'll have to take back with you that were admitted into evidence, and
all this evidence corroborates; in other words, it supports what Bianca
Hernandez said. That's what I'm asking you to do when you go back and
deliberate, to take a look at all this evidence, see if it corroborates what
Bianca Hernandez said.

Obviously, between the time that the vehicle left Jose's sight

and ended up in Mesquite when Bianca Hernandez was able to escape, the majority of that period of time, the only two people that were there were Bianca and Freddy Martinez. But when you're looking at determining what happened in this case and whether Bianca Hernandez is telling the truth, what else in this case do you have to look for?

You have an ability to look at evidence about what happened right before this took place, you have an opportunity to some extent to look at what happened during the course of the kidnapping from Las Vegas to Mesquite, and you have evidence of what happened after. This is all evidence that you need to take into account in determining whether Bianca Hernandez was telling the truth.

What happened before October 16th -- excuse me. August 16th, 2006? It's quite clear from the evidence that, in fact, Bianca Hernandez had moved out of the trailer that she was living in with Freddy and Franklin and had moved in with her current boyfriend of some period of time, Jose', at the Lamont address. It appears clear that Bianca Hernandez did not or was not entirely interested in telling Freddy Martinez her every movement, where she was going, what was going on with Jose'. She believed that was her business.

But what is absolutely clear, regardless of what is alleged to have occurred over the previous 16 years, Bianca Hernandez was not living with Freddy Martinez and was, in fact, living with Jose'. There was not any sort of a relationship this period of time right up to August 16th between Bianca and Freddy Martinez.

Why is that important? It's important when you take into

-61-

account the fact of the morning of August 16 when Freddy Martinez is waiting for Bianca outside of Jose's house. He managed to find out where Jose' lives -- obviously it's in a similar area -- and is waiting outside at 5:30 in the morning. Isn't that important? 5:30 in the morning when Bianca and Jose' would be going to work. Why would Freddy Martinez be getting up at 5:30 in the morning to go confront anybody at this point? Because he had a criminal intent in mind that morning.

He was outside. When he saw Bianca come out of the house, he jumped the wall, charged her car, her car that she says she owns, it's registered to her, and she was in the driver's seat. How do we know that this is the case? Well, Jose' saw it. In addition to what Bianca Hernandez told you that Freddy Martinez got into the passenger side with a knife and threatened her with a knife, Jose' saw it.

Jose' comes out of his house, sees Freddy Martinez charging the car, sees Freddy Martinez point whatever it is he had in his hand at him and then get in the passenger seat of the vehicle. He sees Freddy Martinez point the knife towards Bianca, and he said within seconds the two of them took off in the vehicle. Bianca said she didn't want to go.

They leave the area, and Jose' has Franklin call 9-1-1. If Jose' is not telling the truth, why would he have Franklin call 9-1-1? Ultimately, this kidnapping began at that particular moment. The burglary of her vehicle began at that particular moment, and the car drives off. It heads towards Nellis to Las Vegas Boulevard, gets on the 15 heading northbound.

Bianca tells you that Freddy had a number of opportunities to stop the car. In fact, he did. He pulled her into the backseat. He pulled her

into the front seat. She made attempts to get police officers to see what was going on and was unsuccessful. It was at this period of time that Freddy Martinez decided to be physical again with Bianca Hernandez. He hits her. He pulls her hair, and this is in addition to what Bianca tells you, is Freddy Martinez, when he initially gets in the car, stabs her with the knife that he had in his hand.

Jumping ahead to the testimony of the nurse in this case, perhaps if Bianca Hernandez's testimony standing alone that Freddy Martinez stabbed her in the leg was all you had, maybe you would, maybe you wouldn't believe her. But she goes into this -- this SANE examination, this sexual assault examination, what does she have on her leg? Exhibit Number 28, three wounds, fresh and frequent -- or excuse me. Immediate from the wounds that were inflicted, consistent with her testimony on the stand.

She tells you that the car goes towards Mesquite. They end up in Mesquite, but along the way, it's very important to note testimony that was consistent with what she was saying. They pulled off when they ran out of gas. They pulled off at a gas station that Mr. McPheeters came in and testified existed up near Glendale off of the 15.

He says that this individual, a Hispanic male, caught his eye with a gas can, had gone up to multiple individuals to get gas to put in the car, that it was unusual that the car parked down the ways a little bit -- and I believe that the juror's question was, was this someplace like out in the open or was it a blocked off wall? Turns out it was a blocked off wall. Why would he park the vehicle there? So that Bianca Hernandez can't get out and go in and try to escape or to try to alert someone of what was going on.

What she tells you is this happened. We went to the gas station. Freddy Martinez was trying to get gas. Another witness, a lay witness with no motive to lie, comes in and says, Yeah, I kind of saw something, and it sure seemed a whole lot similar to what Bianca Hernandez said.

The bruise -- excuse me. Bianca Hernandez tells you that during the course of this trip from Las Vegas to Mesquite that she was sexually assaulted, and that's ultimately what we're here about in this case, is that a sexual assault took place on the side of the road on the 15 northbound between here and Mesquite.

Besides her testimony confirms what took place on the side of the road in Mesquite -- well, first, there's no dispute that there was sexual intercourse that took place. DNA was found in her vagina, that of Freddy Martinez. Freddy Martinez admits that it took place. What's consistent with her claims that this was not something she wanted to do, it was something that was against her will, well, number one, there was a knife.

You've seen the knife. She said the knife was with her the whole time -- or excuse me. Freddy Martinez had the knife the entire trip. Indeed, the evidence shows that scratch marks consistent with a knife, Jose' saw the knife, Freddy Martinez, if you'll look at his transcripts, said he had a knife. That's not consistent with consensual sex, but it's certainly consistent with her story that Freddy Martinez had a knife.

The knife was found on Freddy Martinez by the police officers, and, ultimately, when Bianca has the sexual assault examination, what's found? It just so happens, an abrasion that's consistent with forcible sex,

nonconsensual sex.

MS. HAMERS: Judge, I'm going to object. That misstates the evidence. It's also consistent with consensual sex.

THE COURT: It doesn't misstate the evidence. You can argue that same thing, but it is a fair statement of the evidence from his point of view.

MR. BATEMAN: This is all evidence that you can take into account when judging the credibility of what Bianca Hernandez told you. When they finally arrive in Mesquite, what is consistent again? She gets to Mesquite, is able to escape from the vehicle, finds a woman who directs her to an apartment. Immediately 9-1-1 is called. Immediately police come out. This is an immediate reporting of what basically took place.

Also consistent with someone who has just had these crimes committed against her. She didn't wait. She didn't call Jose' and say, Come up and give me a ride. She didn't, you know, report these crimes a week later when maybe she was angry. She did it right then.

What did the police officer -- the Mesquite police officers who came in and testified tell you about the demeanor of Bianca Hernandez when they finally came into contact with her? "Hysterical" was the word that one of the Mesquite Police Department officers used. Is her hysterical behavior afterwards, crying -- she was crying during the SANE examination, is that not consistent with her story about what took place, her story that these crimes that were committed against her were proven?

All of these facts are independent of what Bianca Hernandez told you, but they all go towards her credibility. They all help you as a jury to determine what actually took place in this particular case, and I hope you look

at all of these things in total and make -- when you're making your determination.

Now, in this case we've charged the Defendant with four crimes. I'm not necessarily going to go entirely in order. One criminal charge is burglary with use of a deadly weapon. Now, burglary as it's defined in Nevada, is if someone brought in that -- any defendant that enters some sort of an establishment, a building, a structure, a vehicle with the intent to do a particular crime when they get that structure, whether they actually, ultimately commit that crime that they intend to do is guilty of burglary.

Now, all these crimes are alleged to have been committed with a deadly weapon. I don't think there's any question in this particular case that the entire time that this all was going on Freddy Martinez had a knife with him. Indeed, the knife was found on him afterward and evidence of use of that knife were found, unfortunately, on Bianca Hernandez.

In this particular case what are the facts that surround the burglary charge? The burglary charge is when Freddy Martinez with a knife enters the vehicle, Bianca's vehicle, with the intent to kidnap her. What are some of the facts? The running from -- well, I think the first fact would be at 5:30 in the morning. That's kind of an unusual time for this to all be taking place. But in addition to that, you have Freddy Martinez jumping a wall, coming out of a tree, pointing this knife towards Jose', getting in the passenger seat, directing the knife towards Bianca, whether it was at her head, ultimately stabbing her in the leg and telling her to drive.

The way you determine someone's intent, ladies and gentlemen, is spelled out for you in Instruction Number 7. The intention with which entry

-66-

was made is a question of fact, which may be inferred from the Defendant's conduct and all other circumstances disclosed by the evidence. We can't get up here and tell you exactly what was in Freddy Martinez's mind. Only he can say that, but we can certainly look at his actions to determine what it was he was thinking when he entered that vehicle that morning.

And isn't the fact that he basically made at knifepoint,
demanded, forced Bianca Hernandez to leave that particular area after he
stabbed her in the leg, after he pointed the knife at her neck and head towards
Nellis, isn't that evidence of his intent to kidnap her?

She certainly wasn't allowed to leave. He certainly entered the vehicle. That can easily be inferred with that intent because that's immediately what happened, according to Jose', within seconds they take off. The burglary was committed because he had possession of that knife, he entered the vehicle, and he kidnapped initially right from the get-go Bianca Hernandez.

It's important to note that with regard to the burglary charge whether Bianca would have given him consent at the time to enter the vehicle is of absolutely no consequence. It's what's going on in Freddy Martinez's head when he enters that vehicle that's important and his intent when he enters that vehicle. Whether he had the consent of Bianca Hernandez or not, if his intent is to commit a felony kidnap when he enters that vehicle, that crime is committed.

Mr. Martinez is also charged with kidnapping with use of a deadly weapon. There are two types of kidnaps. There is a first degree kidnap and a second degree kidnap, and those are explained to you in

Instructions 10, 11, 13 and 14. We've charged Freddy Martinez with first degree kidnap, ladies and gentlemen, and Instruction Number 10 tells you what first degree kidnap is.

Essentially, every person who abducts, conceals, carries away a person against their will, essentially, by any means whatsoever with the intent to hold or detain the person, in this particular case, for the purpose of committing sexual assault. Second degree kidnapping is essentially the same thing, but without the intent to commit sexual assault.

So if Freddy Martinez when he held a knife to Bianca

Hernandez, made her drive off, made her eventually get into the passenger
seat of the vehicle while he got in the driver's seat, wouldn't let her leave the
vehicle, from the entire point that he left Las Vegas to the point where he
finally got up to Mesquite, during that period of time he didn't have the intent
to commit sexual assault find him guilty of second -- second degree
kidnapping.

But, ladies and gentlemen, I go back to that instruction that I just told you. The intention -- the intentions of Freddy Martinez can be determined by what he does. That kidnapping was effectuated, essentially was committed the moment that that car drove off that morning, and it continued all the way to Mesquite. But if you took a snapshot, if you took a picture as that car was pulling off down the street, the crime is committed at that point. What at that point was Freddy Martinez's intent to do with Bianca Hernandez?

Well, his intent had to be what he ultimately decided to do on the side of the road, on the side of the freeway halfway between Las Vegas

and Mesquite. He pulled over in an area where nobody could see him. He waited a little while. I think if you remember the testimony, he got outside and probably saw that person walking the dog right there. He may have waited a little bit of time for that person walking the dog to get far enough way. He had the knife in his hand. He demanded that Bianca Hernandez get into the backseat.

If this was simply a plan to make Jose' upset such that he -- or in demonstrating that he could take Jose's girlfriend, why ultimately go on the side of the road on 15 and sexually assault Bianca Hernandez? Unless that was his intent right from the get-go, why would you do that? What I would also suggest, ladies and gentlemen, is, some evidence at the end of the kidnapping also demonstrates that that was his intent.

Much of the testimony developed talked about what had happened immediately upon being kidnapped, what happened in Las Vegas, getting in and out of the front seat, the backseat, changing the driver's, getting on the 15, and ultimately culminated in the sexual assault that occurred halfway between here and there.

What's interesting is, when they finally get up to Mesquite, it sure seems like Freddy Martinez gets off appearance. He gets up to Mesquite and says, I'm going to meet some friends about a job. Stay in the car.

Doesn't seem to be too worried about the fact that she's now in an extremely -- or advantageous position to get away from him.

Could it be that at that point when he finally got up to Mesquite, Freddy Martinez had done what he had gone there to do that morning? That he had taken what he came there to take that morning and was at this point

far less concerned about having Bianca Hernandez with him for the long term.

Ţ

This wasn't a second degree kidnapping, ladies and gentlemen. It certainly wasn't a false imprisonment. If you look at actually taking somebody at knifepoint from Las Vegas to Mesquite, sexually assaulting them along the way, punching them, stabbing them, it amounts to a little bit more.

There's some additional instructions that I'll go over briefly with regard to kidnap, ladies and gentlemen. If you'll look at Instruction Number 14. In order for you to find the Defendant guilty of kidnapping -- this could be a first or a second -- and an associated offense of sexual assault, you must find the following -- one of the following: That any movement of the victim was not incidental to a sexual assault; that any incidental movement of the victim substantially increased the risk of harm to the victim over and above that necessarily present in a sexual assault. There's a few more there.

What this instruction is essentially talking about, ladies and gentlemen, is -- and this would be the same applicability, for instance, if a defendant was charged with first degree kidnap or robbery. If someone goes into a convenience store with a gun with the intent to rob the cashier and makes them, you know, Go get in the backroom so that I can take whatever's in the cash register, there has to be something in addition, some additional movement, some additional risk that you're putting the victim in in that particular case. Some independent significance for moving them from one place to another to effectuate your robbery for you to be convicted of both the robbery and the kidnap.

You can't -- if you point a gun, for instance, at somebody that you're robbing, a clerk, essentially that clerk isn't allowed to move. They're

-70-

not allowed to go anywhere. In a sense they're kidnapped, but you're doing it to effectuate the robbery. You can't be convicted of both.

In a case like this when you're talking about the kidnap and a sexual assault and whether you can be convicted of both, there has to be one of these one through five on Instruction Number 14, some evidence to demonstrate that the movement, the taking Bianca Hernandez from Las Vegas to Mesquite, to -- concealing her in the vehicle --

MS. HAMERS: Judge, I'm going to object. I think that misstates the law. There has to be more than some evidence. The jury actually has to find that beyond a reasonable doubt.

MR. BATEMAN: That's fine.

THE COURT: Okay. Sustained.

MR. BATEMAN: You do have to find that beyond a reasonable doubt. Did the movement of Bianca Hernandez in this particular case substantially increase the risk of harm to her over and above what was necessary to commit the sexual assault? Technically, technically Freddy Martinez could have sexually assaulted Bianca Hernandez right there in front of the apartment on Lamont. He had the present ability. He had a knife on him.

But to effectuate it, he ultimately had to move her a significant distance in order to get alone with her, to make sure that nobody was around to aid her. He, in fact, went so far as basically the middle of nowhere out in the desert. It's certainly sufficient evidence beyond a reasonable doubt to convict of both sexual assault and kidnapping in this case, Your Honor -- or ladies and gentlemen.

Briefly, battery. We've charged Mr. Martinez with battery with

a deadly weapon. I think that the evidence provided to you from the nurse in this case, State's Exhibit 28, demonstrates quite clearly a battery with a deadly weapon. She said that he specifically -- Bianca Hernandez downplayed it somewhat -- touched her, put pressure on her leg with a weapon.

You'll notice in the instruction that battery is the willful and unlawful use of force or violence upon the person by another person -- of another. It doesn't have to actually cut them. Technically, if he simply put the weapon to her leg without producing any injury at all, that's a battery with use of a deadly weapon. He went a little further. He decided to cut her three times.

Finally, ladies and gentlemen, sexual assault. Sexual assault boiled down is sex without consent. Your instructions in this particular case include 15, 17 and 18. A person who subjects another person to sexual penetration against the victim's will or under conditions in which the perpetrator knows or should know that the victim is mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of his conduct is guilty of sexual assault.

In this particular case, Bianca Hernandez told you that, in fact, she did not want to have sex with Freddy Martinez. That, in fact, he pulled her out of the car at knifepoint, put her in the backseat at knifepoint, had the knife in his hand the entire time and sexually penetrated her with his penis in her vagina.

There was a question about whether she had the temerity at that time to say no when she had the temerity to say no when he asked her for money later. There is an instruction, ladies and gentlemen, that I think is

important to answer that question. It's Instruction Number 17, physical force.
Physical force is not necessary in the commission of sexual assault. The
crucial question is not whether a person was physically forced to engage in
sexual assault, but whether the act is committed without his or her consent or
under conditions in which the Defendant knew or should have known the
person was incapable of giving his or her consent.

There is no consent where a person is induced to submit to the sexual act through fear of death or serious bodily injury, and the person is not required to do more than his or her age, strength, surrounding facts and attending circumstances make it unreasonable for him or her to manifest opposition to a sexual assault.

Bianca Hernandez didn't have to struggle. She didn't have to put up a fight. She didn't have to say "no" ten times for this to be a sexual assault. She had just been kidnapped at knifepoint from Las Vegas, hit, stabbed, her hair pulled, told various things along the way. She wasn't going to see her son again, she wasn't going back to Las Vegas and was pulled off in the desert and sexually assaulted.

She immediately reports this sexual assault. She doesn't think about whether it's a sexual assault for some period of time. She immediately reports it when she escapes Freddy Martinez's clutches. She goes to a SANE exam where a nurse conducts -- I believe the nurse said a two- to three-hour examination, an evasive examination that includes taking photographs of her vaginal area. She then comes in, actually this courtroom, in front of this judge, counsel and 13 strangers and tells you that it happened, and she has the injuries to prove it, according to the nurse.

And it really doesn't make a whole heck of a lot of sense, ladies and gentlemen, why someone who had just been kidnapped would have been taken all this way at knifepoint would suddenly feel aroused enough at this point, Well, I think I'm going to have sex. Let's pull over on the side of the freeway. If you believe that, if you believe that's the case, find Freddy Martinez not guilty. Mark that box. That makes absolutely no sense, and it's offensive.

MS. HAMERS: Judge, I'm going to object to that comment. It's disparaging to the Defense to say that that's offensive.

MR. BATEMAN: That's not -- I didn't say anything --

THE COURT: It's not disparaging to the Defense, but I'm going to strike the words "it's offensive." They're here to do their job, and they're going to do it the best way they know how. Whatever they decide, we're going to respect. Go ahead.

MR. BATEMAN: Thank you.

There's one last instruction with regard to sexual assault, ladies and gentlemen. It's Number 18. It is a defense to the charge of sexual assault that the Defendant entertained a reasonable and good faith belief that the alleged victim consented to engage in sexual intercourse. If you find such reasonable, good faith belief, even if mistaken, you can give a defendant the benefit of the doubt and find him not guilty of sexual assault.

Importantly in this case, a belief that is based on ambiguous conduct by the alleged victim, it is the product of force, violence, duress, menace or fear of immediate or unlawful bodily injury on that person of another is not reasonable and not in good faith.

The entire facts surrounding what took place where the Defendant to say that, I was confused, she gave me mixed signals, is not reasonable. It's not a defense. Sexual assault was committed in this case with use of a deadly weapon because the Defendant had that knife with him the whole time.

Ladies and gentlemen, you've heard the evidence in this case. You'll have the evidence to take back with you. I'd ask that you look at the evidence in total as a whole to determine whether the State has proved beyond a reasonable doubt all four charges. It's quite clear that all the evidence is consistent, entirely consistent and supports Bianca Hernandez, Bianca Hernandez's account of what took place.

Her account of what took place consists of four crimes, the four crimes we have alleged. We've proven those counts, all four of them, beyond a reasonable doubt. I'd ask you to make a verdict of guilty on all four counts when you come back from the deliberation room. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thanks. Ms. Hamers.

MS. HAMERS: Thank you, Judge.

DEFENSE CLOSING ARGUMENT

MS. HAMERS: Mr. Paulson talked to you-all yesterday about getting to the truth. I think he even mentioned to you, The truth will set you free. Right? In this case the truth ends with you guys. You're the fact finders. You decide what's true. You decide what's believable, and you decide what's credible. And what you're left with in this case is the testimony of a woman who's being deceitful.

Don't get me wrong. This is not okay. Freddy's actions in this

case are not okay. There's no question about that, but you cannot believe Bianca Hernandez's story. She told you that she had this relationship with Freddy where she had at least lived with him. She had at least told us that when she was in here on the stand. Jose' told you, No, Bianca told me that he just lived in a storage and used the shower at my house. Bianca, on the same note, tells Freddy, Nothing's going on with me and Jose'.

You know what she's doing here. She's playing both sides.

She doesn't want both of these guys to know what's going on. But if she's got nothing going on with Freddy, why is she lying to Jose'?

They had a relationship here, and you know that. You know that by the testimony. You also know she's willing to be untruthful about that. When she talked to the police, she called Jose', Oh, the man I live with. I went to rent a room from a family. She's willing to lie about these relationships to make herself look better.

Does that mean a sexual assault can't occur? Absolutely not. I'm not for one moment saying that because she had a prior sexual relationship with that man that she couldn't be sexually assaulted. That's not the point. The point is whether or not she's credible and whether or not you can believe her testimony beyond a reasonable doubt when you've seen her tell Jose' lies, Freddy lies, the police lies and then come in here and still deny that relationship. If she's willing to do that, if she's willing to tell all those stories, how can we believe what she says beyond a reasonable doubt?

There was no sexual assault in this case. There was sex. We know there was sex. Everybody admits there was sex. DNA backs that up, Freddy admits to that, but there was no sexual assault. Our DNA expert told

us, we can't have proof of sexual assault by DNA. The nurse came and testified, Yeah, there's an abrasion. An abrasion can happen with sex assault; an abrasion can happen with consensual sex. We don't have any torn clothing. We don't have any injuries to support a sexual assault. Do not lose sight of that.

There are injuries. She was hurt. Bianca's own testimony. That has nothing to do with the sexual assault. These things didn't happen during sex. He poked me with the knife or he stabbed me with the knife. He grabbed my arm. These were things that happened during the sex. These are things that happened in the car. Don't lose sight of that. Don't let this Prosecutor tell you that this picture supports a sexual assault because it doesn't. It supports a knife to her leg. That's what that corroborates. It does not corroborate a sexual assault.

The State put her up here and wants you to believe what she says about this sex beyond a reasonable doubt despite the fact that she's being untruthful, untruthful with the police, untruthful with Freddy, untruthful with Jose'. You can't do that. You can't believe her beyond a reasonable doubt, and those are the circumstances we have. It is important to hold someone accountable for what they have done, and clearly that man is in the wrong in this case.

So let's talk about what he did. He showed up at their house with a knife. He threatens Jose' with the knife. He gets in the car with Bianca. This isn't okay. This isn't the way you deal with something. He wanted to confront this woman, this woman that he obviously has strong feelings for. Obviously isn't doing what he should. He is obsessed. He is

-77-

jealous. He is acting crazy. This is not the way you go about things.

He gets in the car with Bianca. He scares her. He threatens Jose'. He has a knife with him, and she gets scratches from the knife. None of these things are okay, and the evidence absolutely supports those things. And if you believe that he got in that car, immediately put a knife to her and took off with her, convict him of a kidnapping. If you believe she let him in that car, sat there and talked to him, but at some point wanted to leave and he didn't let her, convict him of a false imprisonment.

And Mr. Bateman is right. There's no doubt there was a weapon here, but you cannot convict him of a first degree kidnapping. That difference does revolve completely around Mr. Martinez's intent, and he is the only person that can you tell his intent. You have his statement. You have his words. I know listening to this detective, you can't tell what's in that statement, and I don't blame you, but you'll have it. You'll have it right in front of you, and you can read that, and you can read his words where he over and over repeatedly says, I loved her. I wanted to know what's going on. I wanted to scare that man because if he was a real man and another rooster came around, he'd do something.

Yeah, none of this is good stuff, but it's also not having the intent to commit a sexual assault. His intent was to get to the bottom of what was going on, and he cannot be convicted of a first degree kidnapping with the intent to be crazy, to be jealous, to question Bianca, to scare Jose'. That doesn't make a first degree kidnapping.

Freddy didn't testify in this case. We talked about that in voir dire. He didn't get up and testify, but you have the benefit of his story

-78-

because you have his statement. There's nothing he can add to that. He
doesn't have a signed consent-to-sex form. He doesn't have a videotape of
what went on. He can't prove to you consent, and he's not supposed to.
They're supposed to prove to you that there was no consent. There's nothing
more he can give you. You have his statement. Read it. Read his words.
They'll tell you exactly what he was thinking. And it's not normal, and it's
not okay because he's jealous over this woman, and he's trying to confront
her and her new boyfriend with a knife, but it's also not a sex assault, and it's
also not a first degree kidnapping.

Don't get confused on what the evidence shows here, and don't decide that he's a bad guy and want to convict him of everything that the State charged for that reason. Look at what actually happened, what you actually have evidence of and look at the difference.

Do you have evidence of a battery? Do you have proof beyond a reasonable doubt? It looks like it. Do you have that same thing for a sex assault? You don't. So convict him of what they've proved beyond a reasonable doubt, but don't go further than that.

Hold Freddy Martinez accountable. Convict him of what he did, but you can't convict him of a sex assault. You can't convict him of first degree kidnapping. You just haven't seen evidence of that. Think about what evidence you have and what that actually proves. Don't decide because there's a photograph and there's a nurse and there's an exam and there's a DNA, so everything they charged must be true. Match that up to what you have and convict him of what he did. Hold him accountable for what he did and nothing more.

-79-

25

THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Nyicos.

MS. NYICOS: Thank you, Judge.

REBUTTAL CLOSING ARGUMENT

MS. NYICOS: I'll make it pretty brief. I don't believe anyone is disputing this is a deadly weapon. It's a knife capable of committing substantial bodily harm or death. Deadly weapon. So on your verdict form, anything that doesn't say deadly weapon, that's not the right choice. I don't believe anyone is disputing battery with use of a deadly weapon. That's the charge. Go ahead and convict on that one, too.

So let's talk about what is in dispute, and we'll start with the kidnapping. Ms. Hamers just said to you that if you believe that Bianca let Freddy Martinez into that car and they had a conversation and then she decided to leave, then he's guilty --

MS. HAMERS: Judge, I'd just object. That misstates the argument.

THE COURT: I think she said "let" and not "led," but I'm not sure. I heard "let."

MS. HAMERS: That would make more sense. That I believe.

THE COURT: Well, you were the speaker, Ms. Nyicos.

MS. NYICOS: ! don't remember it word for word, but I know I didn't say she led him anywhere.

THE COURT: I think -- I wrote down "let."

MS. NYICOS: Thank you, Judge.

We don't have any evidence she let him in the car.

MS. HAMERS: Judge, I'm going to object. It's burden shifting. It's their burden to prove that the charges happened.

-80-

MS. NYICOS: Okay. I'll rephrase.

THE COURT: You could be wrong on that. I mean, you've argued that arguably she let him in the car, and she's saying there's no evidence which you could conclude that was the case. She's entitled to say that. You don't have to put on any evidence. She's saying the evidence we put on, that isn't a reasonable conclusion. Objection overruled. Go ahead.

MS. NYICOS: Okay. In fact, the Defendant doesn't even claim that she let him in the car --

MS. HAMERS: Judge, same objection.

MS. NYICOS: Let me finish. -- in his statement that he gave to the police.

MS. HAMERS: She's promising on the Defendant's --

THE COURT: I think the Defendant does claim that, so objection sustained.

MS. NYICOS: Okay. Anyway, we'll move on.

He gets in the car in front of Jose', points this to her neck area and then within seconds they drive off. That's not a false imprisonment. It's a kidnapping. It's a kidnapping. So now we're in between first degree and second degree, and that's for you to decide whether the purpose of pointing this to her neck and making her drive off was to commit that sex assault. And as Mr. Bateman argued, we don't know. We honestly don't know.

He tells the police that he wants to confront Bianca, that he wants to scare Jose'. But if that's really what he wanted, he had Jose'. Stopped him right at the door. He had this pointed at Bianca. Why not have that confrontation right then and there? Why do you have to drive away?

MS. HAMERS: Judge, I'm going to object to the Prosecutor waving a knife around in her entire rebuttal.

THE COURT: She can show it if she wants. Overruled. Just let her finish her argument.

MS. NYICOS: Thank you. I'll put it away.

And, also, think about that drive in the car. She's driving at first. When he stops the car the first time, if all he really wanted to do was scare Jose' and confront her, why don't confront her then? What does he do? He pulls her hair, puts her in the backseat, and then he drives off, and then he stops again. Why not confront her then? He pulls her hair again and puts her in the front seat and drives even further.

And then she tells you at some point she sees that there's a cop car two cars ahead, and she's trying to grab the steering wheel and swerve the car, and he pulls into a mobile home park. Why not confront her then? He doesn't. What does he do? He hits her, tells her to shut up and then keeps driving. And he drives halfway to Mesquite, pulls off on the side of the road, puts her in the backseat, pulls down her pants and penetrates her sexually.

And now let's talk about the argument that there was no sex assault. Let's talk about how we get to this point because Defendant is asking you to believe that after having a knife pointed at her neck, that after being --

MS. HAMERS: Judge, I'm going to object. The Defendant isn't asking them to believe anything. It's the State's case. It's the State's charges. It's the State's burden to prove the charges.

-82-

THE COURT: No, you got up -- it is the State's burden, and they understand that. But you got up and made this argument, and what she's saying, you're asking them to believe this. That's what she's saying, and that's what you're arguing.

MS. HAMERS: That's shifting the burden.

THE COURT: No, it's not. Overruled. Go ahead.

MS. NYICOS: Okay.

Being stabbed, cut, scraped, however you want to word it, not once, but three times in the leg. And then after having your hair pulled and dragged into the backseat of a car and having her hair pulled and then dragged into the front seat of the car, that after being struck in the face and being told, Forget about Las Vegas, you're never going to see your son again, she suddenly felt amorous. If no sex assault occurred, if this is consensual, why did he still have that [indicating knife] in his hand?

Now, let's talk about after the sex assault -- after the alleged sex assault. If that's what she wanted, if that was consensual, why would she go through a SANE exam? I know we have some men on the jury, but I know we have enough women to know that a vaginal exam, not something you look forward to. I wouldn't exactly call that the highlight of Bianca's day. And if it wasn't a sex assault and if they were running off together to have a rendezvous, why not book a room at one of the resorts in Mesquite?

It's a sex assault, folks. Use your common sense. And that was his intent. His intent when he entered the car was to take her. His intent to take her from Jose' was to take her. She's his. And it doesn't matter whether there was some relationship they were having in the past, and it

doesn't matter if she was lying to him about where she lived, about her relationship with Jose'. It doesn't matter. What matters is what he did on that day. So let's hold him accountable for what he did on that day.

Burglary with a deadly weapon. He entered that car to commit a kidnapping, and he had that knife with him. First degree kidnapping. He took her because he wanted her. Sex assault and battery with use of a deadly weapon, which no one's disputing. I agree with Ms. Hamers. Let's hold him accountable for what he did. Find him guilty as charged. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thanks. Ms. Clerk, will you swear the officer to take charge of the jury, please, and pick the alternate at random.

[The Clerk administers the oath to the Bailiff.]

THE CLERK: Juror Number 11, Ms. Rodriguez.

THE COURT: Okay. You guys go -- that means that you're going to be the alternate pending whether we need one or not. If these people reach a verdict, then that's the way it goes. I'm telling you, I've had situations where somebody gets ill in the middle of deliberation, and you go in, so you're sort of the backup quarterback. You're kind of Vinny's date for the afternoon, as it were.

You guys go with Vinny. He'll take you to the jury deliberation room. Lunch is supposed to be here in about 30, 40 minutes. She'll bring in all the exhibits, the verdict form. All you need to do is tell him when you're done. If you need a cigarette break or a restroom break, you just tell Vinny, and he'll take care of it. You cannot deliberate while anybody is gone, so if somebody needs to go to the restroom, kind of everybody goes or everybody stops. All 12 people have to be together when anything about the case is

discussed. Just follow Vinny, and he'll take you.

[Jury exits the courtroom to start deliberation at 11:49 a.m.]

THE COURT: Okay. The record should reflect the jury has exited.

Anything else?

MS. HAMERS: No, Judge.

MS. NYICOS: No.

THE COURT: Tina will be back in a second. She's making sure they're situated right. Leave her your numbers, and we'll call you.

[Court at ease.]

[Out of the presence of the jury at 5:05 p.m.]

THE COURT: We've got a couple of notes that I'm making part of the record. They were not much of anything, but one was -- they wanted the Spanish translation of the interview between Detective Chavez and Freddy apparently because one of them speaks Spanish and decided they were going to do it themselves. I answered, The jury is not allowed to do its own translation, Love, Judge Bell. And I'm making that a part of the record.

MS. NYICOS: Love, Judge Bell?

THE COURT: And it -- it doesn't say that. Then they asked this: Can we find the Defendant guilty of first degree kidnapping, but not guilty of Count 4? And I wrote back, Each count has to be considered separately. That's all I was going to tell them. Not what to do or what to not, but that's the law. And so who knows what they're going to do, but we'll make those part of the record.

[Court at ease.]

[Jury returns to courtroom after deliberation at 5:38 p.m.]

-85-

Day 2 - Volume II

THE COURT: Okay. Back on the record in Case Number C226586, State of Nevada versus Freddy Martinez. Let the record reflect the presence of Mr. Martinez, his counsel; Mr. Bateman for the State. All ladies and gentlemen of the jury are back in the box, including the alternate.

Ladies and gentlemen, I am very sorry you had to wait. I can't tell you how angry I am that that is the case. That should never happen, and I can't take care of it tonight; I'm going to take care of it tomorrow.

But that neither here nor there, who would be the foreperson?

JUROR NUMBER 10: I am.

THE COURT: Sir, have you reached a verdict?

JUROR NUMBER 10: Yes, sir, we have.

THE COURT: Would you give it to the Bailiff, please. Okay. Ms. Clerk, will you read the verdict into the record, please.

THE CLERK: District Court, Clark County, Nevada. The State of Nevada, Plaintiff, versus Freddy Martinez, Defendant. Case Number C226586, Department Number VII.

Verdict: We, the jury, in the above-entitled case find the Defendant Freddy Martinez as follows: Count 1, Guilty of burglary while in possession of a weapon.

We, the jury, in the above-entitled case, find the Defendant Freddy Martinez as follows: Count 2, guilty of battery with use of a deadly weapon.

We, the jury, in the above-entitled case, find the Defendant Freddy Martinez as follows: Count 3, guilty of first degree kidnapping with use of a deadly weapon.

We, the jury, in the above-entitled case, find the Defendant Freddy Martinez as follows: Count 4, not guilty.

Dated this 12th day of April 2007, Jim Egan, Foreperson.

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, are those your verdicts as read so say you one, so say you all?

THE COURT: Is that your verdict?

THE JURY: [en masse] Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Does either side desire to have the jury polled?

MR. BATEMAN: No, Your Honor.

MS. HAMERS: No, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. It's late. I'm not going to give you a speech about what a nice job you did, which I usually do. You've got to get home and get to dinner, and I've got a meeting to go to. But, you know, it's a hard job sitting in judgment of other people, and it's very important. It's the greatest system in the history of the world because we take citizens sitting in judgment of each other, and you can be proud of the fact that you took your turn and did it. We've held the paymaster. Are you going to take them down?

MS. GORD: I'll go down with them.

THE COURT: Okay. If you'll go with Chermaine, she'll take you down. We've held the paymaster so you can get paid tonight and get out of here. I know it was a long, long day. It was 45 minutes longer than it should have been. Thanks.

MR. BATEMAN: Thanks, Judge.

MS. HAMERS: Thank you.

-87-

THE COURT: By the way, you can talk about the case if you want. You don't have to talk about if you want. That includes your lawyers and your spouses. If you're sick of it, you don't have to.

[Jury exits the courtroom at 5:41 p.m.]

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Martinez, you'll be remanded and held without bail pending sentencing. Give us a sentencing date.

THE CLERK: May 24, 8:30.

THE COURT: May 24, 8:30. See you then.

[Proceedings concluded at 5:42 p.m.]

ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

RENEE VINCENT, Transcriber
District Court, Dept. VII
(702) 671-4339

-88-

Day 2 - Volume II

/ŵ		19	
i	TRAN	FILED	
2		TINA Aug 10 10	
3		ORIGINAL AUG 10 10 16 AH '07 DISTRICT COURT	
4	CLARK COUN	NTY, NEVADERK OF THE COURT	
5		THE COURT	
6	STATE OF NEVADA,		
7	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C226586	
8	vs.	DEPT. VII	
9	FREDDY A. MARTINEZ,		
10	Defendant.		
11)		
12)		
13		RT L. BELL, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
14	THURSDAY, I	MAY 24, 2007	
15	DECORDER'S TRANS	CRIPT OF SENTENCING	
16	RECORDER 5 TRANSC	CRIFT OF SENTENCING	
17	APPEARANCES:		
18			
19	For the State:	DAVID J. RICKERT, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney	
20	5 d D factors	•	
21	For the Defendant:	KATHLEEN M. HAMERS, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender	
22		RONALD S. PAULSON, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender	
23 2 _ 2			
装品	RECORDED BY: RENEE VINCENT, COURT RECORDER		
7 I I I			
23 PROBLET THE COURT			
Ħ.	-	-1-	
	H	(

Thursday, May 24, 2007 - 8:22 a.m.

THE COURT: State of Nevada versus Freddy Martinez, Case Number C226586. Martinez present in custody. Court-appointed interpreter. Kathleen Hamers. And David Rickert for the State. Ron Paulson. This is the time set for entry of judgment, imposition of sentence. Any reason that judgment should not be imposed?

MS. HAMERS: No, Judge.

THE COURT: You know, there's a slight mistake in the PSI that doesn't have anything to do with anything, but we probably ought to have it on the record, and, that is, he was not charged with substantial bodily harm, so you can't consider this under the substantial bodily harm statute, and I didn't. I mean, it's just a five to life, and there's a weapon, so it's always times two. That's the way it is. It isn't 15 to anything.

MS. HAMERS: Thank you for clearing that up.

THE COURT: Okay. You know, I heard the trial, and I'm ready. If there's something you want to say, say it.

MS. HAMERS: Well, Judge, the only thing that I would ask, I would ask that the other counts run concurrent to the --

THE COURT: I intend to do that.

MS. HAMERS: -- kidnapping --

THE COURT: I'm giving him, you know, 60 to life, 60 to life on the kidnapping and run the other stuff concurrent. I think that's a fair sentence for what happened.

MS. HAMERS: And we'll submit it on that.

MR. RICKERT: We'd submit it, Judge.

THE COURT: Anything you want to say, Mr. Martinez? I will say this, had he been convicted of the sexual assault, I might have run that consecutive because I think -- but this -- I mean, all this is really part and parcel to the same thing, and I think under sentencing theory, they're entitled to be run consecutive.

MS. HAMERS: Thank you.

THE COURT: And he wasn't convicted of that, and so we can't consider that.

MS. HAMERS: Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Anything you want to say, Mr. Martinez?

THE DEFENDANT: [Through an interpreter] I do apologize for what happened that day, and I promise I won't -- I will not do it again in the name of Jesus Christ.

THE COURT: \$25 AA; \$150 DNA fee, plus testing. Count 1, 60 to 180; Count 2, 48 to 120; Count 3, 60 to life, plus an equal and consecutive 60 to life for use of a weapon. Counts 1 and 2 and 3 will run concurrent to one another. Credit for time served, 281 days.

MS. HAMERS: Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Okay. That's all.

[Proceeding concluded at 8:24 a.m.]

ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

RENEE VINCENT, Transcriber District Court, Dept. VII (702) 671-4339

1 2	TRAN ORIG	INAL	FILED	Y
3	DISTRIC	T COURT	Aug 10 10 15 AH '07	,
4	CLARK COU	NTY, NEVA	DA (STAN DE THE S	
5			OLENK OF THE COURT	
6	STATE OF NEVADA,			
7	Plaintiff,	CASE N	O. C226586	
8	vs.	DEPT. V	'11	
9	FREDDY A. MARTINEZ,			
10	Defendant.			
11				
12				
13	BEFORE THE HONORABLE STEWART L. BELL, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 30, 2006 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF DEFENDANT'S			OGE
14				
15				
16	MOTION TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE AND CALENDAR CALL			
17	A DDE A DANIGEC.			
18	APPEARANCES:			
19	For the State:		I.C. NYICOS, ESQ. District Attorney	
20				
21	For the Defendant:		EN M. HAMERS, ES Public Defender	su.
C 22				
2 AUG 1 2007	RECORDED BY: RENEE VINCENT, COU	JRT RECORI	DER	

Thursday, November 30, 2006 - 8:42 a.m.

THE COURT: State of Nevada versus Freddy Martinez. Is Freddy

here?

MS. HAMERS: He is. He's present in custody. He has the assistance of an interpreter.

THE COURT: Freddy Martinez present in custody, Case Number C226586. With Ms. Hamers; Ms. Nyicos for the State. This is, first of all, Defendant's motion to compel and a calendar call. Let's deal with the motion to compel.

Issue number one, compensation. That's granted. The State says there isn't any, but if they've made any promises or any kind of compensation, they have to tell the Defense forthwith. Number two, record Scope is denied. The Defense has Scope.

NCIC. If the State has run one and has the advantage of that knowledge, they got to give it to the Defense. If the State has not run one just because the State has access, they don't have to give that to the Defense. If they did that, NCIC would be out the window.

Number three, inconsistent statements by any witnesses.

That's, of course, granted. The State says the Defense has it, but right.

Number four, scientific reports, that's granted.

MS. HAMERS: Judge, just to make a record on a couple of things.

First, the State had said that, with regard to any compensation, that any counseling or assistance given by Victim Witness Assistance Center wouldn't be part of compensation --

THE COURT: Well, that isn't compensation.

MS. HAMERS: Well --

THE COURT: I'm talking about if they give a guy a break on a case, if they give a guy money, that I wouldn't allow you to ask any questions about what Victim Witness Center did anyway, so that isn't compensation.

MS. HAMERS: Well, Judge, are you saying no matter what Victim Witness Center gives this person? That's not something I can go into? That's something that would cause bias or a reason for her to testify for the State?

THE COURT: Yeah, probably. I mean, they take victims. They get them into counseling. There is a certain statutory fund that people get. That isn't what we're talking about here. What we're talking about is, if a witness gets a break on a case in exchange for testimony, that's sort of bargained for. Or if the witness gets compensation in exchange for testimony, that could be bias. But everybody is entitled to the services of Victim Witness Center. That's what they're there for.

MS. HAMERS: Well, what I'm asking is to know exactly what is being provided by Victim Witness, and then I'd know whether I have an issue about something to request this Court that I'd be allowed to ask about.

THE COURT: I don't think you're entitled to that, so you can't have that.

MS. HAMERS: And as far as --

THE COURT: And a lot of times the actual prosecutor didn't have any idea that that's going on.

MS. NYICOS: Yeah.

MS. HAMERS: Well, it's certainly information available to them.

THE COURT: But they -- it's not compensation if they don't have any idea what's happening. Victim Witness is almost the -- an entity unto itself from the D.A.'s Office from the prosecution's stuff. It could be an entity unto itself. I agree with the State on that.

MS. HAMERS: Okay. I mean, my position is that it's a State agency, whether or not it's the District Attorney's Office.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HAMERS: But separate and aside from that entire issue, Judge, we requested a statement by the Defendant. We've been requesting it for months. Last month what I got -- I mean, last week what I got was a DVD. It's a Spanish on Spanish interview of the Defendant that I just got -- received last week. The Metropolitan Police force apparently at this time says, Inaudible, cannot be transcribed. Cannot be translated. We can't hear this well enough to do that. So that's what's actually been turned over at this point.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HAMERS: So aside from the motion as far as calendar call goes, I'm not ready because of that. I feel I have an obligation based on what my client is telling me to independently see if I can have that cleaned up in a way that we can hear it, and we can --

THE COURT: Okay. I don't have any problem with that theoretically in concept except this: If it is self-serving and helps the Defendant, it's not admissible anyway by you, and if it hurts the Defendant, it -- you know, how can you win this?

MS. HAMERS: Judge, this is the issue: There's a police report, an

officer that says, I spoke to Defendant, and Defendant admitted this, Defendant admitted that, Defendant admitted this.

THE COURT: And is this --

MS. HAMERS: If this officer gets up and testifies to that and I have a recording of the interview that says that's not what he said --

THE COURT: And that's this interview with this officer?

MS. HAMERS: Yes.

THE COURT: Is that what the interview is?

MS. HAMERS: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. I think you're right. I think you would be entitled to get into evidence anything that impeaches what the officer would say.

MS. HAMERS: Or what's put in that report.

THE COURT: Right. Well, the officer can testify to what's in the report, I think.

MS. HAMERS: I have him.

THE COURT: So if he does that and it is impeached by what's on the tape, that's admissible. If the officer doesn't say anything, you can't just put on the tape that your client -- put into evidence that your client said, I didn't do it. That's not admissible.

MS. HAMERS: No, that's not admissible.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Martinez, do you wish to have this matter continued a little bit so that they can --

THE DEFENDANT: [Through an interpreter] Yes. Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MS. NYICOS: No, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. How long is it going to take you, Ms. Hamers?

MS. HAMERS: I'm asking for 30 days. My investigator is looking through it right now. I don't know how long it's going to take.

THE COURT: Sounds reasonable.

MS. NYICOS: Judge, if we could -- 30 days, | believe, puts us at the January 8th date. I know I have a trial with Vickie Monroe that's a murder trial that week.

THE COURT: How about the 22rd?

MS. NYICOS: That would be perfect, Judge.

THE COURT: January 22rd; calendar call, January 18th. Okay.

THE CLERK: Interpreter?

THE COURT: Mr. Interpreter, your name?

THE INTERPRETER: Diego Trujillo, T-r-u-j-i-l-l-o.

THE COURT: I would suggest, Ms. Hamers, that you actually go to the court interpreter's office and ask them to do it because if you do it on your own, you know, you don't want anybody to know, it's okay strategically, except it's probably not going to be admissible if you don't have somebody independent actually doing it.

MS. HAMERS: Right. And actually what would seem to be more feasible is getting into the audible rather than getting it translated. If we can get the DVD to be something that can be heard, then we could definitely have the court interpreter's office.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. NYICOS: Thank you.

[Proceeding concluded at 8:48 a.m.] ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability. Pence Vii cent RENEE VINCENT, Transcriber District Court, Dept. VII (702) 671-4339

Ss

Fax: 702.360.2844

Office: 702.360.4677

1	Las Vegas, Nevada, Thursday, February 8, 2007		
2			
3	* * * * *.		
4	THE COURT: Freddy Martinez.		
5	The Defendant is present in custody,		
6	represented by the PDs office.		
7	This is on for entry of plea, is that		
8	correct?		
9	MS. HAMERS: That was the intent, Judge.		
10	This was almost negotiated, and that's what		
11	we are here for.		
12	However, in speaking with him, he wants a		
13	trial, so we will need to reset the trial date today.		
14	MS. NYIKOS: Correct, Judge.		
15	The offer is revoked.		
16	THE COURT: Mr. Martinez, I want you to put		
17	on the record whatever the offer was that you made to		
18	resolve this.		
19	MS. HAMERS: The offer was, one count of		
20	sexual assault without use.		
21	THE COURT: One count.		
22	He's facing charges of burglary and		
23	possession of deadly weapon.		
24	What does that carry?		
25	MS. NYIKOS: That is a two to ten, with		
1			

1 another two to ten, plus burg and possession, just the two to ten, and then first degree kidnapping with 2 use, which is a possible life sentence, with five on the bottom, plus equal and consecutive five to life, and sexual assault with use, which is ten to life, plus equal and consecutive ten to life. 6 I offered just the one ten to life. 8 THE COURT: Mr. Martinez, before we pass 9 this up, do you realize the charges that you have 10 pending against you carry some mandatory prison time, 11 the possibility of life in prison? 12 The charge the State offered to reduce 13 these charges to is one charge that would be --14 MS. NYIKOS: It's a ten to life I offered. 15 THE COURT: It is one count of ten years to life. 16 Before we -- or before the State revokes 17 18 the offer they have made toyou, I want to make 19 certain you understand what you are doing because we 20 are not going to go back and do this all over again. Have you thoroughly discussed this with 21 22 your attorney? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 24 THE COURT: And you are absolutely certain 25 that you want to go to trial instead of taking

NELSON & NELSON, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTERS Office: 702.360.4677 Fax: 702.360.2844

1	advantage of the offer the State made?		
2	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.		
3	THE COURT: Okay. You got it.		
4	The State's revoking their offer, and we		
5	can try this this stack.		
6	MS. HAMERS: He waived sometime ago.		
7	I think we prefer the next stack.		
8	MS. NYIKOS: Yes, because family members		
9	had assumed he was taking a deal, so I need to call		
10	them and have the very hard conversation.		
11	THE COURT: Okay.		
12	MS. NYIKOS: If we could do April 9th,		
13	would I be able to get that as firm?		
14	MS. NYIKOS: Do we want to try for the next		
15	two weeks?		
16	I know Judge Bell, if he's still working		
17	without a criminal calendar, will probably do this in		
18	two days.		
19	MS. HAMERS: My only concern is, part of		
20	those nine set I have one on each of those weeks.		
21	MS. NYIKOS: In April?		
22	MS. HAMERS: No, the next couple weeks.		
23	THE COURT: How flexible are you with your		
24	witnesses, if we set it for the 9th, had to move it		
25	to the 23rd or something?		

1	MS. NYIKOS: My witnesses are pretty
2	flexible.
3	I only have one out-of-stater, and she is
4	the nurse, so she's over in Utah.
5	THE COURT: Let's set it for the week of
6	the 9th and see how we can fit it in.
7	THE CLERK: April 9th at 10 a.m. for jury
8	trial.
9	Calendar call Thursday, April 5th, at 8:30.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

NELSON & NELSON, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTERS Office: 702.360.4677 Fax: 702.360.2844

1	CERTIFICATE		
2			
3			
4	STATE OF NEVADA)		
5) ss.		
6	CLARK COUNTY)		
7			
8			
9	I, Bill Nelson, RMR, CCR 191, do hereby		
10	certify that I reported the foregoing proceedings;		
11	that the same is true and correct as reflected by my		
12	original machine shorthand notes taken at said time		
13	and place before the Hon. James Bixler, District		
14	Court Judge, presiding.		
15	Dated at Las Vegas, Nevada this 7th day of		
16	August, 2007.		
17			
18	Pen Her		
19	Bill Nelson, RMR, CCR 191,		
20	Certified Court Reporter Las Vegas, Nevada		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

NELSON & NELSON, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTERS Office: 702.360.4677 Fax: 702.360.2844

ORIGINAL

FILED

Nov 30 9 14 AH '07

CLERK OF THE COURT

IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK

THE HON. JAMES M. BIXLER, DISTRICT JUDGE, PRESIDING

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff, v.

FREDDY A. MARTINEZ,
Defendant.

Case No. C-226586-X Dept._24

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24 20

CLERK OF THE COURT

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Calendar Call

COURTHOUSE

April 5, 2007

Las Vegas, Nevada

Reported by:

Lee M. Bahr, CP, CCR 173

Lee M. Bahr, CCR 173

702-243-3386

ľ	\	
1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	For the State:	NOREEN C. NYICOS, ESQ. Deputy D. A.
4		200 Lewis Ave. Las Vegas, NV. 89155
5		
6		
7	Defendant present in court.	
8 9	For the Defendant:	CASEY LANDIS, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender 309 South Third Street
10		Suite 226 Las Vegas, NV. 89101
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	No other appearances.	
19		
20	****	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
İ		

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

4

THE COURT: The State of Nevada v. Freddy Martinez, and that is where on the calendar?

MS. NYIKOS: I think page four at the bottom.

THE COURT: Where is it?

THE CLERK: Bottom of seven?

MS. NYIKOS: Bottom of seven? Bottom of four.

THE COURT: Okay, what page is it?

THE CLERK: Bottom of four.

THE COURT: The State of Nevada v. Freddy Martinez, bottom of page four, calendar call.

What we are going to do is if he qualifies for overflow, we are already in a jam so --

THE CLERK: (Interposing) And they can't go to overflow because they have one out-of-state witness.

MS. NYIKOS: Well, I talked to Judge Bell in the past, and I did clarify with Charmaine. They are fine with overflow with out-of-state witnesses.

The only thing they are picky about is whether or not we can get them there in the time frame.

So what my suggestion would be is to go ahead and send us to overflow.

I will talk to Charmaine and let them know what my problem is that I have to put this witness on Thursday,

Lee M. Bahr, CCR 173

```
and if they can't accommodate us, they will just kick us
1
2
      back.
                           All right, okay.
3
                THE COURT:
 4
                THE CLERK: Got to go tomorrow morning at nine
 5
      a.m. with Judge Bell.
                MR. LANDIS: That is what we want as well.
 6
 7
                THE COURT: You've got it.
 8
                THE CLERK: So both of you are trying this case?
 9
                MR. LANDIS: No, it is actually Ms. Hamers for
      our office.
10
11
                MS. NYOKOS: I am, and Kathleen Hamers.
12
                THE CLERK: And you are and Bill?
13
                MS. NYOKOS: Kathleen Hamers from the P. D.'s office.
14
      I have Sam Bateman with me.
15
                THE CLERK: Okay. How many days?
16
                THE COURT: Three days.
                MS. NYIKOS: Two in Bell's.
17
18
                THE COURT: Two days.
19
                THE CLERK:
                           Okay.
20
                MS. NYIKOS: Two and a half in here, two in
21
      Judge Bell's.
22
                THE COURT: I haven't had enough practice yet.
23
                MS. NYIKOS: You will get there, Judge.
24
                MR. LANDIS: It's not necessarily a good meausure
25
      though.
```

2

```
THE CLERK: So you need to go tomorrow morning
 1
 2
      at nine a.m., April 6, at nine a.m., before Judge Bell.
                MS. NYIKOS: Thank you. That does it for me.
 3
 4
      Thank you, Judge.
                MR. LANDIS: Thank you, Judge.
 5
 6
                THE COURT: Thank you.
                                   ****
 7
                           (End of proceedings.)
 8
 9
                                   ****
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
4	
5	STATE OF NEVADA)
6) ss.
7	CLARK COUNTY)
8	
9	
10	I, LEE M. BAHR, CP, CCR 173, do hereby certify
11	that I reported the foregoing proceedings; that the same
12	is true and correct as reflected by my original machine
13	shorthand notes taken at said time and place before the
14	Hon. James M. Bixler, District Judge, presiding.
15	
16	Dated at Las Vegas, Nevada, this
17	21st day of September, 2007.
18	
19	
20	Land R
21	July July
22	LEE M. BAHR, CP, CCR 173
23	
24	
25	

1	Las Vegas, Nevada, Thursday, February 8, 2007
2	
3	* * * * * .
4	THE COURT: Freddy Martinez.
5	The Defendant is present in custody,
6	represented by the PDs office.
7	This is on for entry of plea, is that
8	correct?
9	MS. HAMERS: That was the intent, Judge.
10	This was almost negotiated, and that's what
11	we are here for.
12	However, in speaking with him, he wants a
13	trial, so we will need to reset the trial date today.
14	MS. NYIKOS: Correct, Judge.
15	The offer is revoked.
16	THE COURT: Mr. Martinez, I want you to put
17	on the record whatever the offer was that you made to
18	resolve this.
19	MS. HAMERS: The offer was, one count of
20	sexual assault without use.
21	THE COURT: One count.
22	He's facing charges of burglary and
23	possession of deadly weapon.
24	What does that carry?
25	MS. NYIKOS: That is a two to ten, with

another two to ten, plus burg and possession, just 1 the two to ten, and then first degree kidnapping with use, which is a possible life sentence, with five on 3 the bottom, plus equal and consecutive five to life, and sexual assault with use, which is ten to life, 5 6 plus equal and consecutive ten to life. I offered just the one ten to life. 7 8 THE COURT: Mr. Martinez, before we pass this up, do you realize the charges that you have 9 pending against you carry some mandatory prison time, 10 the possibility of life in prison? 11 The charge the State offered to reduce 12 13 these charges to is one charge that would be --MS. NYIKOS: It's a ten to life I offered. 14 15 THE COURT: It is one count of ten years to life. 16 Before we -- or before the State revokes 17 the offer they have made toyou, I want to make 18 certain you understand what you are doing because we 19 are not going to go back and do this all over again. 20 21 Have you thoroughly discussed this with 22 your attorney? THE DEFENDANT: 23 Yes. THE COURT: And you are absolutely certain 24 that you want to go to trial instead of taking 25

advantage of the offer the State made? 1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 2 THE COURT: Okay. You got it. 3 The State's revoking their offer, and we can try this this stack. 5 MS. HAMERS: He waived sometime ago. 6 I think we prefer the next stack. 7 MS. NYIKOS: Yes, because family members 8 9 had assumed he was taking a deal, so I need to call 10 them and have the very hard conversation. 11 THE COURT: Okay. MS. NYIKOS: If we could do April 9th, 12 13 would I be able to get that as firm? MS. NYIKOS: Do we want to try for the next 14 two weeks? 15 I know Judge Bell, if he's still working 16 17 without a criminal calendar, will probably do this in two days. 18 MS. HAMERS: My only concern is, part of 19 those nine set I have one on each of those weeks. 20 21 MS. NYIKOS: In April? MS. HAMERS: No, the next couple weeks. 22 THE COURT: How flexible are you with your 23 witnesses, if we set it for the 9th, had to move it 24 25 to the 23rd or something?

1	MS. NYIKOS: My witnesses are pretty
2	flexible.
3	I only have one out-of-stater, and she is
4	the nurse, so she's over in Utah.
5	THE COURT: Let's set it for the week of
6	the 9th and see how we can fit it in.
7	THE CLERK: April 9th at 10 a.m. for jury
8	trial.
9	Calendar call Thursday, April 5th, at 8:30.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	<u>CERTIFICATE</u>
2	
3	
4	STATE OF NEVADA)
5) ss.
6	CLARK COUNTY)
7	
8	
9	I, Bill Nelson, RMR, CCR 191, do hereby
10	certify that I reported the foregoing proceedings;
11	that the same is true and correct as reflected by my
12	original machine shorthand notes taken at said time
13	and place before the Hon. James Bixler, District
14	Court Judge, presiding.
15	Dated at Las Vegas, Nevada this 7th day of
16	August, 2007.
17	
18	Bin Ma
19	Bill Nelson, RMR, CCR 191,
20	Certified Court Reporter Las Vegas, Nevada
21	Las Vegas, Nevada
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	С	F	MARTINEZ (1) - 1:10 Martinez (3) - 2:4, 2:16, 3:8
			members [1] - 4:8
(0 [1] - 5:7	C226586 [1] - 1:9	facing [1] - 2:22	move [1] - 4:24
91 [3] - 1:25, 6:9, 6:19	calendar[1] - 4:17	family [1] - 4:8	MS [13] - 2:9, 2:14, 2:19,
	Calendar [1] - 5:9	February [2] - 1:15, 2:1	2:25, 3:14, 4:6, 4:8, 4:12,
2	carry [2] - 2:24, 3:10	firm (1) - 4:13	4:14, 4:19, 4:21, 4:22, 5:1
	Case [1] - 1:9	first (1) - 3:2	
	CCR [3] - 1:25, 6:9, 6:19	fit [1] - 5:6	N
007 (3) - 1:15, 2:1, 6:16	certain (2) - 3:19, 3:24	five [2] - 3:3, 3:4	
3rd [1] - 4:25	Certified [1] - 6:20	flexible [2] - 4:23, 5:2	
4 [1] - 1:9	certify [1] - 6:10	foregoing (1) - 6:10	need [2] - 2:13, 4:9
	charge [2] - 3:12, 3:13	FREDDY [1] - 1:10	negotiated [4] - 2:10
5		* *	Nelson [2] - 6:9, 6:19
	charges [3] - 2:22, 3:9, 3:13	Freddy [1] - 2:4	NELSON [1] - 1:25
	CLARK [2] - 1:4, 6:6		⊣
th [1] - 5:9	CLERK [1] - 5:7	H	NEVADA [3] - 1:4, 1:7, 6:4
	_ concern [1] - 4:19		Nevada [6] - 1:20, 1:21, 1:23
7	consecutive [2] - 3:4, 3:6		2:1, 6:15, 6:20
	conversation [1] - 4:10	HAMERS [5] - 2:9, 2:19, 4:6,	next [3] - 4:7, 4:14, 4:22
	correct (2) - 2:8, 6:11	4:19, 4:22	nine [1] - 4:20
th [1] - 6:15		Hamers [1] - 1:22	Noreen [1] - 1:20
(-2	Correct [1] - 2:14	hard[1] - 4:10	notes [1] - 6:12
8	count (3) - 2:19, 2:21, 3:15	HEARING [1] - 1:12	nurse [1] - 5:4
	COUNTY [2] - 1:4, 6:6	hereby (1) - 6:9	* *
	couple [1] - 4:22	Hon [1] - 6:13	NYIKOS [8] - 2:14, 2:25,
[2] - 1:15, 2:1	Court [2] - 6:14, 6:20	1 7	3:14, 4:8, 4:12, 4:14, 4:21,
:30:121 - 1:15, 5:9	COURT [11] - 1:3, 2:4, 2:16,	Honorable [1] - 1:14	5:1
.30 [2] - 1.13, 3.9	2:21, 3:8, 3:15, 3:24, 4:3,		— Nyikos [1] - 1:20
	4:11, 4:23, 5:5	l l	
9	criminal [1] - 4:17		⊣ o
	custody [1] - 2:5		
th [4] - 4:12, 4:24, 5:6, 5:7	custody [1] - 2.5	IN (1) - 1:3	
htt[4] - 4.12, 4.24, 5.6, 5.7		instead [1] - 3:25	OF _[2] - 1:7, 6:4
	-∤ D	intent [1] - 2:9	offer [6] - 2:15, 2:17, 2:19,
Α			3:18, 4:1, 4:4
	date [1] - 2:13	J	offered [3] - 3:7, 3:12, 3:14
mm 1:15 5:7	1		office [1] - 2:6
i.m [2] - 1:15, 5:7	Dated [1] - 6:15		one [6] - 2:19, 3:7, 3:13,
ıble [1] - 4:13	days [1] - 4:18	James [2] - 1:14, 6:13	3:15, 4:20, 5:3
bsolutely [1] - 3:24	deadly [1] - 2:23	Judge [4] - 2:9, 2:14, 4:16,	
idvantage [1] - 4:1	deal [1] - 4:9	6:14	One [1] - 2:21
go [1] - 4:6	Defendant [3] - 1:11, 1:22,	JUDICIAL [1] - 1:3	original [1] - 6:12
ilmost [1] - 2:10	2:5	jury [1] - 5:7	out-of-stater [1] - 5:3
APPEARANCES (1) - 1:19	DEFENDANT [2] - 3:23, 4:2	July [1] = 3.7	
April [4] - 4:12, 4:21, 5:7, 5:9	Defender [1] - 1:23	17	[−] P
	• •	K	
ssault [2] - 2:20, 3:5	degree [1] - 3:2		
ssumed (1) - 4:9	Dept [1] - 1:9	Kathleen [1] - 1:22	part [1] - 4:19
kttorney (1) - 1:20	Deputy [2] - 1:20, 1:23	1	pass (1) - 3:8
ttorney [1] - 3:22	discussed [1] - 3:21	kidnapping [1] - 3:2	PDs [1] - 2:6
lugust (1) - 6:16	DISTRICT[1] - 1:3	_	pending [1] - 3:10
	→ District (2) - 1:20, 6:13	L	place [1] - 6:13
В			1
	E	1 4-04 4-70 04	Plaintiff (1) - 1:8
	<u> </u>	Las [5] - 1:21, 1:23, 2:1,	plea [1] - 2:7
ell [1] - 4:16		6:15, 6:20	plus [3] - 3:1, 3:4, 3:6
ILL [i] - 1:25	EIGHTH [1] - 1:3	life (8) - 3:3, 3:4, 3:5, 3:6,	possession (2) - 2:23, 3:1
lill [2] - 6:9, 6:19	entry [1] - 2:7	3:7, 3:11, 3:14, 3:16	possibility [1] - 3:11
Sixler [2] - 1:14, 6:13	equal [2] - 3:4, 3:6		possible [1] - 3:3
• •	1	M	prefer [1] - 4:7
ottom [1] - 3:4	Esq [2] - 1:20, 1:22		present [1] - 2:5
	1		
ourg [1] - 3:1	i		I prociding to - 6:14
ourg [1] - 3:1 ourglary [1] - 2:22 BY [1] - 1:25		machine [1] - 6;12	presiding [1] - 6:14 pretty [1] - 5:1

prison [2] - 3:10, 3:11 Proceedings [1] - 1:16 proceedings [1] - 6:10 Public [1] - 1:23 put [1] - 2:16	true [1] - 6:11 try [2] - 4:5, 4:14 two [5] - 2:25, 3:1, 3:2, 4:15, 4:18
R	. U
<u>N</u>	_
	up[1] - 3:9
realize [1] - 3:9	Utah [1] - 5:4
record [1] - 2:17	
reduce [1] - 3:12	V
reflected [1] - 6:11	
REPORTED[1] - 1:25	Vegas [5] - 1:21, 1:23, 2:1,
reported [1] - 6:10	6:15, 6:20
Reporter [1] - 6:20	vs[1] - 1:9
Reporter's [1] - 1:16	15(1) 115
represented [1] - 2:6	W
reset [1] - 2:13	77
resolve [1] - 2:18	
revoked [1] - 2:15	waived (1) - 4:6
revokes [1] - 3:17	wants[1] - 2:12
revoking [1] - 4:4	weapon [1] - 2:23
RMR [3] - 1:25, 6:9, 6:19	week [1] - 5:5
	weeks [3] - 4:15, 4:20, 4:22
S	witnesses [2] - 4:24, 5:1
	<u>-</u>
see [1] - 5:6	Y
sentence [1] - 3:3	
set [3] - 4:20, 4:24, 5:5	years [1] - 3:15
sexual [2] - 2:20, 3:5	yours [1] = 0.10
shorthand [1] - 6:12	
sometime (1) - 4:6	
sometime (1) - 4:6 speaking (1) - 2:12	
speaking [1] - 2:12	
speaking [1] - 2:12 ss [1] - 6:5	
speaking [1] - 2:12 ss [1] - 6:5 stack [2] - 4:5, 4:7	
speaking [1] - 2:12 ss [1] - 6:5 stack [2] - 4:5, 4:7 STATE [2] - 1:7, 6:4	
speaking [1] - 2:12 ss [1] - 6:5 stack [2] - 4:5, 4:7 STATE [2] - 1:7, 6:4 State [4] - 1:20, 3:12, 3:17,	
speaking [1] - 2:12 ss [1] - 6:5 stack [2] - 4:5, 4:7 STATE [2] - 1:7, 6:4 State [4] - 1:20, 3:12, 3:17, 4:1	
speaking [1] - 2:12 ss [1] - 6:5 stack [2] - 4:5, 4:7 STATE [2] - 1:7, 6:4 State [4] - 1:20, 3:12, 3:17, 4:1 State's [1] - 4:4	;
speaking [1] - 2:12 ss [1] - 6:5 stack [2] - 4:5, 4:7 STATE [2] - 1:7, 6:4 State [4] - 1:20, 3:12, 3:17, 4:1	
speaking [1] - 2:12 ss [1] - 6:5 stack [2] - 4:5, 4:7 STATE [2] - 1:7, 6:4 State [4] - 1:20, 3:12, 3:17, 4:1 State's [1] - 4:4 stater [4] - 5:3	
speaking [1] - 2:12 ss [1] - 6:5 stack [2] - 4:5, 4:7 STATE [2] - 1:7, 6:4 State [4] - 1:20, 3:12, 3:17, 4:1 State's [1] - 4:4 stater [4] - 5:3	
speaking [1] - 2:12 ss [1] - 6:5 stack [2] - 4:5, 4:7 STATE [2] - 1:7, 6:4 State [4] - 1:20, 3:12, 3:17, 4:1 State's [1] - 4:4 stater [1] - 5:3 still [1] - 4:16	
speaking [1] - 2:12 ss [1] - 6:5 stack [2] - 4:5, 4:7 STATE [2] - 1:7, 6:4 State [4] - 1:20, 3:12, 3:17, 4:1 State's [1] - 4:4 stater [1] - 5:3 still [1] - 4:16	
speaking [1] - 2:12 ss [1] - 6:5 stack [2] - 4:5, 4:7 STATE [2] - 1:7, 6:4 State [4] - 1:20, 3:12, 3:17, 4:1 State's [1] - 4:4 stater [1] - 5:3 still [1] - 4:16	
speaking [1] - 2:12 ss [1] - 6:5 stack [2] - 4:5, 4:7 STATE [2] - 1:7, 6:4 State [4] - 1:20, 3:12, 3:17, 4:1 State's [1] - 4:4 stater [1] - 5:3 still [1] - 4:16 T ten [8] - 2:25, 3:1, 3:2, 3:5, 3:6, 3:7, 3:14, 3:15 THE [14] - 1:3, 2:4, 2:16,	
speaking [1] - 2:12 ss [1] - 6:5 stack [2] - 4:5, 4:7 STATE [2] - 1:7, 6:4 State [4] - 1:20, 3:12, 3:17, 4:1 State's [1] - 4:4 stater [1] - 5:3 still [1] - 4:16 T ten [8] - 2:25, 3:1, 3:2, 3:5, 3:6, 3:7, 3:14, 3:15 THE [14] - 1:3, 2:4, 2:16, 2:21, 3:8, 3:15, 3:23, 3:24,	
speaking [1] - 2:12 ss [1] - 6:5 stack [2] - 4:5, 4:7 STATE [2] - 1:7, 6:4 State [4] - 1:20, 3:12, 3:17, 4:1 State's [1] - 4:4 stater [1] - 5:3 still [1] - 4:16 T ten [8] - 2:25, 3:1, 3:2, 3:5, 3:6, 3:7, 3:14, 3:15 THE [14] - 1:3, 2:4, 2:16, 2:21, 3:8, 3:15, 3:23, 3:24, 4:2, 4:3, 4:11, 4:23, 5:5,	
speaking [1] - 2:12 ss [1] - 6:5 stack [2] - 4:5, 4:7 STATE [2] - 1:7, 6:4 State [4] - 1:20, 3:12, 3:17, 4:1 State's [1] - 4:4 stater [1] - 5:3 still [1] - 4:16 T ten [8] - 2:25, 3:1, 3:2, 3:5, 3:6, 3:7, 3:14, 3:15 THE [14] - 1:3, 2:4, 2:16, 2:21, 3:8, 3:15, 3:23, 3:24, 4:2, 4:3, 4:11, 4:23, 5:5, 5:7	
speaking [1] - 2:12 ss [1] - 6:5 stack [2] - 4:5, 4:7 STATE [2] - 1:7, 6:4 State [4] - 1:20, 3:12, 3:17, 4:1 State's [1] - 4:4 stater [1] - 5:3 still [1] - 4:16 T ten [8] - 2:25, 3:1, 3:2, 3:5, 3:6, 3:7, 3:14, 3:15 THE [14] - 1:3, 2:4, 2:16, 2:21, 3:8, 3:15, 3:23, 3:24, 4:2, 4:3, 4:11, 4:23, 5:5, 5:7 thoroughly [1] - 3:21	
speaking [1] - 2:12 ss [1] - 6:5 stack [2] - 4:5, 4:7 STATE [2] - 1:7, 6:4 State [4] - 1:20, 3:12, 3:17, 4:1 State's [1] - 4:4 stater [1] - 5:3 still [1] - 4:16 T ten [8] - 2:25, 3:1, 3:2, 3:5, 3:6, 3:7, 3:14, 3:15 THE [14] - 1:3, 2:4, 2:16, 2:21, 3:8, 3:15, 3:23, 3:24, 4:2, 4:3, 4:11, 4:23, 5:5, 5:7 thoroughly [1] - 3:21 Thursday [3] - 1:15, 2:1, 5:9	
speaking [1] - 2:12 ss [1] - 6:5 stack [2] - 4:5, 4:7 STATE [2] - 1:7, 6:4 State [4] - 1:20, 3:12, 3:17, 4:1 State's [1] - 4:4 stater [1] - 5:3 still [1] - 4:16 T ten [8] - 2:25, 3:1, 3:2, 3:5, 3:6, 3:7, 3:14, 3:15 THE [14] - 1:3, 2:4, 2:16, 2:21, 3:8, 3:15, 3:23, 3:24, 4:2, 4:3, 4:11, 4:23, 5:5, 5:7 thoroughly [1] - 3:21 Thursday [3] - 1:15, 2:1, 5:9 today [1] - 2:13	
speaking [1] - 2:12 ss [1] - 6:5 stack [2] - 4:5, 4:7 STATE [2] - 1:7, 6:4 State [4] - 1:20, 3:12, 3:17, 4:1 State's [1] - 4:4 stater [1] - 5:3 still [1] - 4:16 T ten [8] - 2:25, 3:1, 3:2, 3:5, 3:6, 3:7, 3:14, 3:15 THE [14] - 1:3, 2:4, 2:16, 2:21, 3:8, 3:15, 3:23, 3:24, 4:2, 4:3, 4:11, 4:23, 5:5, 5:7 thoroughly [1] - 3:21 Thursday [3] - 1:15, 2:1, 5:9 today [1] - 2:13 toyou [1] - 3:18	
speaking [1] - 2:12 ss [1] - 6:5 stack [2] - 4:5, 4:7 STATE [2] - 1:7, 6:4 State [4] - 1:20, 3:12, 3:17, 4:1 State's [1] - 4:4 stater [1] - 5:3 still [1] - 4:16 T ten [8] - 2:25, 3:1, 3:2, 3:5, 3:6, 3:7, 3:14, 3:15 THE [14] - 1:3, 2:4, 2:16, 2:21, 3:8, 3:15, 3:23, 3:24, 4:2, 4:3, 4:11, 4:23, 5:5, 5:7 thoroughly [1] - 3:21 Thursday [3] - 1:15, 2:1, 5:9 today [1] - 2:13	
speaking [1] - 2:12 ss [1] - 6:5 stack [2] - 4:5, 4:7 STATE [2] - 1:7, 6:4 State [4] - 1:20, 3:12, 3:17, 4:1 State's [1] - 4:4 stater [1] - 5:3 still [1] - 4:16 T ten [8] - 2:25, 3:1, 3:2, 3:5, 3:6, 3:7, 3:14, 3:15 THE [14] - 1:3, 2:4, 2:16, 2:21, 3:8, 3:15, 3:23, 3:24, 4:2, 4:3, 4:11, 4:23, 5:5, 5:7 thoroughly [1] - 3:21 Thursday [3] - 1:15, 2:1, 5:9 today [1] - 2:13 toyou [1] - 3:18	

FILED

JAN 23 10 57 AM *08

IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ORIGINAL

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK

THE HON. JAMES M. BIXLER, DISTRICT JUDGE, PRESIDING

9

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff, 11 ν.

FREDDY A. MARTINEZ, 12 Defendant. Case No. C-226586-X

Dept. 24

14

15

16

17

18

19

13

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Calendar Call

COURTHOUSE

April 5, 2007

Las Vegas, Nevada

20

21

22

23

24

25

RECEIVED JAN 2 3 2008

CLERK OF THE COURT

Reported by:

Lee M. Bahr, CP, CCR 173

Lee M. Bahr, CCR 173

702-243-3386

Į.	_	_
1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3 4	il I	NOREEN C. NYICOS, ESQ. Deputy D. A. 200 Lewis Ave.
	[1	Las Vegas, NV. 89155
5		•
	Defendent magnet in growt	
7	Defendant present in court.	
8 9]]	CASEY LANDIS, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender 309 South Third Street
10		Suite 226 Las Vegas, NV. 89101
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	No other appearances.	
19		
20	****	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
ļ	11	

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

THE COURT: The State of Nevada v. Freddy Martinez, and that is where on the calendar?

MS. NYIKOS: I think page four at the bottom.

THE COURT: Where is it?

THE CLERK: Bottom of seven?

MS. NYIKOS: Bottom of seven? Bottom of four.

THE COURT: Okay, what page is it?

THE CLERK: Bottom of four.

THE COURT: The State of Nevada v. Freddy Martinez,

12 bottom of page four, calendar call.

What we are going to do is if he qualifies for overflow, we are already in a jam so --

THE CLERK: (Interposing) And they can't go to overflow because they have one out-of-state witness.

MS. NYIKOS: Well, I talked to Judge Bell in the past, and I did clarify with Charmaine. They are fine with overflow with out-of-state witnesses.

The only thing they are picky about is whether or not we can get them there in the time frame.

So what my suggestion would be is to go ahead and send us to overflow.

I will talk to Charmaine and let them know what my problem is that I have to put this witness on Thursday,

```
and if they can't accommodate us, they will just kick us
1
     back.
2
                THE COURT: All right, okay.
3
                THE CLERK: Got to go tomorrow morning at nine
4
5
      a.m. with Judge Bell.
                MR. LANDIS: That is what we want as well.
6
7
                THE COURT: You've got it.
                THE CLERK: So both of you are trying this case?
8
                MR. LANDIS: No, it is actually Ms. Hamers for
9
      our office.
10
                MS. NYOKOS: I am, and Kathleen Hamers.
11
                THE CLERK: And you are and Bill?
12
                MS. NYOKOS: Kathleen Hamers from the P. D.'s office.
13
      I have Sam Bateman with me.
14
15
                THE CLERK: Okay. How many days?
                THE COURT: Three days.
16
                MS. NYIKOS: Two in Bell's.
17
                THE COURT: Two days.
18
19
                THE CLERK: Okay.
                MS. NYIKOS: Two and a half in here, two in
20
      Judge Bell's.
21
                THE COURT: I haven't had enough practice yet.
22
                MS. NYIKOS: You will get there, Judge.
23
                MR. LANDIS: It's not necessarily a good measure
24
      though.
25
```

THE CLERK: So you need to go tomorrow morning at nine a.m., April 6, at nine a.m., before Judge Bell. MS. NYIKOS: Thank you. That does it for me. Thank you, Judge. MR. LANDIS: Thank you, Judge. THE COURT: Thank you. **** (End of proceedings.) ****

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
4	
5	STATE OF NEVADA)
6) ss.
7	CLARK COUNTY)
8	
9	
10	I, LEE M. BAHR, CP, CCR 173, do hereby certify
11	that I reported the foregoing proceedings; that the same
12	is true and correct as reflected by my original machine
13	shorthand notes taken at said time and place before the
14	Hon. James M. Bixler, District Judge, presiding.
15	
16	Dated at Las Vegas, Nevada, this
17	21st day of September, 2007.
18	
19	
20	Landhall
21	All VIM
22	LEE M. BAHR, CP, CCR 173
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF ACKNOWLEDGEMENT ORIGINAL

FICED

JAN 23 10 57 AH '08

IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK

THE HON. JAMES M. BIXLER, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, PRESIDING

9

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25 Reported by:

JAN 23 2008

RECEIVED

CLERY OF THE COURT

FREDDYS A. MARTINEZ, aka FREDDY MARTINEZ,

Appellant,

THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. C226586

Case No -49608 Dept. 24

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Calendar Call

COURTHOUSE

January 18, 2008

Las Vegas, Nevada

Lee M. Bahr, CP, CCR 173

702-243-3386

Lee M. Bahr, CCR 173

		·	
1	APPEARANCES:		
2			
3	For the Appellant:	CASEY LANDIS, ESQ. Deputy P. D.	
4		309 South Third Street Suite 226	
5		Las Vegas, NV. 89101	
6	Appellant present in court.		
7			
9	For the Respondent:	NOREEN C. NYICOS, ESQ. Deputy D. A. Defender 200 Lewis Ave.	
10	Algo a ga will be gumplied	Las Vegas, NV. 89155	
11	Also a cc will be supplied to:	CATHERINE CORTEZ, ESQ. Deputy A. G.	
12		Sepacy II. C.	
13			
14			
15		•	
16	No other appearances.		
17			
18	***	**	
19			
20			
21			1
22			İ
23 24			į
25			Ì
رے			

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

2

3

4

1

State of Nevada

5

6 7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

SS. County of Clark)

I hereby acknowledge that I am Mr. Lee Bahr, not Ms. Lee Bahr; that on September 21, 2007, I filed a certified transcript of proceedings in the matter of the above-entitled case, Case No. C-226586-X; Sup. Ct. No. 49608, and that the original of said transcript of proceedings was filed with the Clerk of Court of Clark County as of on or about the 21st day of September, 2007; that copies were mailed to counsel for appellant and respondent on or about the 21st of September, 2007, and that a duplicate original (copy), file stamped duplicate original, will be filed again with the Clerk of the Supreme Court on January 23, 2008.

I also acknowledge that I am refiling the original and two copies of said six page transcript so that everyone will again have a copy of this six page transcript.

Dated this _____, 2004.

Lee M. Bahr, CCR 173

Attachment: 4/5/07 duplicate copy of transcript previously filed on or about 9/21/07.

1 2 3 4 5 IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 6 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK 7 THE HON. JAMES M. BIXLER, DISTRICT JUDGE, PRESIDING 8 9 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 10 Plaintiff, 11 Case No. C-226586-X 12 ν. Dept. 24 FREDDY A. MARTINEZ, 13 Defendant. 14 15 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 16 Calendar Call 17 COURTHOUSE 18 19 April 5, 2007 Las Vegas, Nevada 20 21 22 23 Lee M. Bahr, CP, CCR 173 Reported by: 24 25

- 11	
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	For the State: NOREEN C. NYICOS, ESQ. Deputy D. A.
4	200 Lewis Ave. Las Vegas, NV. 89155
5	
6	
7	Defendant present in court.
8	For the Defendant: CASEY LANDIS, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender 309 South Third Street
.0	Suite 226 Las Vegas, NV. 89101
1	
L2	
L3	•
4	·
L 5	
.6	
.7	
.8	No other appearances.
19	
20	****
21	
22	
23	
4	
25	

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

THE COURT: The State of Nevada v. Freddy Martinez, and that is where on the calendar?

MS. NYIKOS: I think page four at the bottom.

THE COURT: Where is it?

THE CLERK: Bottom of seven?

MS. NYIKOS: Bottom of seven? Bottom of four.

THE COURT: Okay, what page is it?

THE CLERK: Bottom of four.

THE COURT: The State of Nevada v. Freddy Martinez, bottom of page four, calendar call.

What we are going to do is if he qualifies for overflow, we are already in a jam so --

THE CLERK: (Interposing) And they can't go to overflow because they have one out-of-state witness.

MS. NYIKOS: Well, I talked to Judge Bell in the past, and I did clarify with Charmaine. They are fine with overflow with out-of-state witnesses.

The only thing they are picky about is whether or not we can get them there in the time frame.

So what my suggestion would be is to go ahead and send us to overflow.

I will talk to Charmaine and let them know what my problem is that I have to put this witness on Thursday,

Lee M. Bahr, CCR 173

702-243-3386

```
and if they can't accommodate us, they will just kick us
1
2
     back.
3
                THE COURT: All right, okay.
                THE CLERK: Got to go tomorrow morning at nine
4
      a.m. with Judge Bell.
5
                MR. LANDIS: That is what we want as well.
6
                THE COURT: You've got it.
7
                THE CLERK: So both of you are trying this case?
8
                MR. LANDIS: No, it is actually Ms. Hamers for
9
10
      our office.
                MS. NYOKOS: I am, and Kathleen Hamers.
11
12
                THE CLERK: And you are and Bill?
                MS. NYOKOS: Kathleen Hamers from the P. D.'s office.
13
      I have Sam Bateman with me.
14
                THE CLERK: Okay. How many days?
15
16
                THE COURT: Three days.
                MS. NYIKOS: Two in Bell's.
17
                THE COURT: Two days.
18
19
                THE CLERK: Okay.
20
                MS. NYIKOS: Two and a half in here, two in
      Judge Bell's.
21
                THE COURT: I haven't had enough practice yet.
22
23
                MS. NYIKOS: You will get there, Judge.
                MR. LANDIS: It's not necessarily a good measure
24
25
      though.
```

THE CLERK: So you need to go tomorrow morning at nine a.m., April 6, at nine a.m., before Judge Bell. MS. NYIKOS: Thank you. That does it for me. Thank you, Judge. MR. LANDIS: Thank you, Judge. THE COURT: Thank you. (End of proceedings.) ****

Lee M. Bahr, CCR 173

702-243-3386

1	CERTIFICATE .
2	
3	·
4	
5	STATE OF NEVADA)
6) ss.
7	CLARK COUNTY)
8	
9	
ιο	I, LEE M. BAHR, CP, CCR 173, do hereby certify
11	that I reported the foregoing proceedings; that the same
12	is true and correct as reflected by my original machine
13	shorthand notes taken at said time and place before the
14	Hon. James M. Bixler, District Judge, presiding.
15	
16	Dated at Las Vegas, Nevada, this
17	21st day of September, 2007.
18	
19	
20	Too Will.
21	- All May
22	LEE M. BAHR, CP, CCR 173
23	
24	
25	

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

FILED

FREDYS A. MARTINEZ A/K/A FREDDY MARTINEZ, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. Supreme Court No.

49608JUN -6 A 7:51

District Court Case No.

C226586

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE

STATE OF NEVADA, ss.

I, Tracie Lindeman, the duly appointed and qualified Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada, do hereby certify that the following is a full, true and correct copy of the Judgment in this matter.

JUDGMENT

The court being fully advised in the premises and the law, it is now ordered, adjudged and decreed, as follows: "ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED."

Judgment, as quoted above, entered this 7th day of May, 2008.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name and affixed the seal of the Supreme Court at my Office in Carson City, Nevada, this 3rd day of June, 2008.

Tracie Lindeman, Supreme Court Clerk

JUDGMENT ENTERED

RECEIVED

JUN 9 200A

JUN 05 2008

CE-04

CLERK OF THE COURT



IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

FREDYS A. MARTINEZ A/K/A FREDDY MARTINEZ. Appellant, THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent.

No. 49608

FILED

MAY 07.2008

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is an appeal from a judgment of conviction, pursuant to a jury verdict, of one count of burglary while in possession of a weapon, one count of battery with the use of a deadly weapon, and one count of firstdegree kidnapping with the use of a deadly weapon. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Stewart L. Bell, Judge.

On May 31, 2007, the district court sentenced appellant Fredys A. Martinez to serve concurrent prison terms of 5 to 15 years for burglary, 4 to 10 years for battery, and 5 years to life for first-degree kidnapping plus an equal and consecutive term for the deadly weapon enhancement.

Martinez raises three issues on appeal. First, he argues that the convictions for battery and kidnapping are redundant and impermissible under the Double Jeopardy Clause of the U.S. Constitution. This court has repeatedly affirmed that it will apply the test set forth in Blockburger v. United States to determine whether multiple convictions

¹284 U.S. 299 (1932).

RECEIVED

JUN 05 2008

M OF THE COURT

REME COURT

for the same act or transaction are permissible.² Under the <u>Blockburger</u> test, "if the elements of one offense are entirely included within the elements of a second offense, the first offense is a lesser included offense and the Double Jeopardy Clause prohibits a conviction for both offenses." If two convictions are found to be separate offenses under the <u>Blockburger</u> test, this court has stated that it will reverse "redundant convictions that do not comport with legislative intent." However, when a defendant is convicted of numerous charges arising from a single act, redundancy does not necessarily arise. The issue to be considered by this court in such cases "is whether the gravamen of the charged offenses is the same such that it can be said that the legislature did not intend multiple convictions." [A]n examination of whether multiple convictions are improperly redundant begins with an examination of the statute."

Applying the <u>Blockburger</u> test in this case indicates that battery and kidnapping are separate offenses with elements unique to each, and therefore battery is not a lesser included offense of first-degree

Supreme Court of Nevada

(O) 1947A

²Salazar v. State, 119 Nev. 224, 227, 70 P.3d 749, 751 (2003); see Williams v. State, 118 Nev. 536, 548, 50 P.3d 1116, 1124 (2002); Barton v. State, 117 Nev. 686, 694, 30 P.3d 1103, 1108 (2001).

³Barton, 117 Nev. at 692, 30 P.3d at 1107.

⁴Salazar, 119 Nev. at 227, 70 P.3d at 751 (citing State v. Koseck, 113 Nev. 477, 479, 936 P.2d 836, 838 (1997)).

⁵Skiba v. State, 114 Nev. 612, 616 n.4, 959 P.2d 959, 961, n.4 (1998).

⁶Salazar, 119 Nev. at 227, 70 P.3d at 751 (citing <u>State v. Dist. Court</u>, 116 Nev. 127, 136, 994 P.2d 692, 698 (2000)).

⁷Wilson v. State, 121 Nev. 345, 356, 114 P.3d 285, 293 (2005).

kidnapping.⁸ Accordingly, this court must next consider whether the gravamen of the crimes of battery and kidnapping are such that it can be said that the legislature did not intend multiple convictions. The text of the respective statutes makes it clear that the two are intended to punish different behavior.⁹ The battery statute is intended to protect a victim's bodily integrity interest, punishing the use of force or violence upon a person, while the kidnapping statute punishes a defendant for depriving a victim of his or her liberty interest. We conclude that Martinez's convictions for first-degree kidnapping with the use of a deadly weapon and battery with the use of a deadly weapon are not redundant.

Next, Martinez asserts that the prosecutor committed misconduct by disparaging the defense when the following underlined statement was made during closing argument:

MR. BATEMAN: . . . And it doesn't make a whole heck of a lot of sense, ladies and gentleman why someone who has been kidnapped would have been taken all this way at knifepoint would suddenly feel aroused enough at this point, well, I think I'm going to have sex. Let's pull over on the side of the freeway. If you believe that, if you believe that's the case, find Freddy Martinez not guilty. Mark that box. That makes absolutely no sense, and it's offensive."

⁹See id.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA



^{*}See NRS 200.481(1)(a) (defining battery as "any willful and unlawful use of force or violence upon the person of another); NRS 200.310(1) (stating that first-degree kidnapping occurs when a person "willfully seizes, confines, inveigles, entices, decoys, abducts, conceals, kidnaps or carries away a person by any means whatsoever with the intent to hold or detain, or who holds or detains, the person" for various statutorily enumerated purposes).

Defense counsel objected, and the district court, while finding that the statement was not meant to be disparaging to the defense, struck the words "it's offensive."

It is improper to ridicule or denigrate a defense theory.¹⁰ However, "[an] appellant must have been prejudiced in respect to a substantial right before this court will reverse the judgment of the lower court."¹¹ On several occasions, this Court has declined to reverse a conviction despite prosecutorial misconduct far in excess of the comment quoted above.¹² In the present case, there was only one allegedly disparaging remark, and the district court immediately struck the challenged comment from the record. Nor can the prosecutor's comment be said to have been prejudicial, as the jury found Martinez not guilty of sexual assault. We conclude that if there was error in this case, it was harmless.¹³

Finally, Martinez complains that there was insufficient evidence to support a conviction for first-degree kidnapping. The standard

¹⁰<u>U.S. v. Sanchez</u>, 176 F.3d 1214, 1225 (9th Cir. 1999).

¹¹Polito v. State, 71 Nev. 135, 140, 282 P.2d 802, 803 (1955).

¹²See Barron v. State, 105 Nev. 767, 779-80, 783 P.2d 444, 451-53 (1989) (several instances of misconduct by the prosecutor did not warrant reversal); Pickworth v. State, 95 Nev. 547, 550, 598 P.2d 626, 627-28 (1979) (prosecutor's remark in closing that defendant's drug intoxication defense to homicide was a "red herring" was highly improper, but defendant was not prejudiced).

¹³See Yates v. State, 103 Nev. 200, 206, 734 P.2d 1252, 1256 (1987) ("When a guilty verdict is free from doubt, even aggravated prosecutorial remarks will not justify reversal.").

of review when analyzing the sufficiency of evidence in a criminal case is whether, after viewing the evidence in the light most favorable to the prosecution, "any rational trier of fact could have found the essential elements of the crime beyond a reasonable doubt." ¹⁴ Martinez was charged with first-degree kidnapping, rather than second-degree, because he had allegedly kidnapped the victim "for the purpose of committing sexual assault." ¹⁵ Martinez argues that because he was acquitted of sexual assault, the first-degree kidnapping conviction cannot stand. Martinez's argument is without merit.

"When a defendant is charged with committing two criminal offenses that involve different elements, a jury may find him guilty of one crime and not guilty of the other." The elements of first-degree kidnapping from the elements of sexual assault. Therefore, the jury's verdict acquitting Martinez of sexual assault, but convicting him of first-degree kidnapping, is not inconsistent. Even if the verdicts were inconsistent, we have held that inconsistent verdicts are permissible in Nevada. 19

¹⁴McNair v. State, 108 Nev. 53, 56, 825 P.2d 571, 573 (1992) (quoting <u>Jackson v. Virginia</u>, 443 U.S. 307, 319 (1979)).

 $^{^{15}\}underline{\mathbf{See}}$ NRS 200.310.

¹⁶Burks v. State, 92 Nev. 670, 672, 557 P.2d 711, 712 (1976) (citing State v. Amerson, 518 S.W.2d 29 (Mo. 1975)).

¹⁷See NRS 200.310(1).

¹⁸See NRS 200.366(1).

¹⁹See Bollinger v. State, 111 Nev. 1110, 1116-17, 901 P.2d 671, 675 (1995).

Furthermore, review of the record finds sufficient evidence to support a conviction for first-degree kidnapping. In particular, testimony was given that on the morning of April 16, 2006, Martinez was waiting outside Bianca Hernandez's home. Martinez was the brother of Hernandez's ex-husband. As Hernandez was warming up the car, Martinez jumped out of a tree in the yard, got into the passenger seat of Hernandez's car, poked her in the leg with a knife, and told her to drive off. Shortly thereafter Martinez threw Hernandez in the back seat of the car by her hair, and drove the car himself. He later pulled her back into the front seat by her hair. Hernandez testified that during the trip she tried to get the attention of a nearby police car and Martinez struck her in the face. Martinez then drove the car onto the freeway and headed north. During the trip, Martinez told Hernandez to forget about her son and her boyfriend because she was not going to be returning to Las Vegas.

Hernandez testified that at some point Martinez turned off the freeway, threw Hernandez in the back seat of the car, took off Hernandez's clothes, and, with the knife still in his hand, had sexual intercourse with her. Hernandez testified that she did not want to have sex with Martinez, but that she was afraid to say anything. DNA evidence proved that sex had occurred, but Martinez claimed it was consensual. Martinez stopped and got out of the car in Mesquite, Nevada, and Hernandez was able to ask someone to contact police.

The officer who subsequently interviewed Martinez testified that Martinez told the police that he was not acting out of anger toward Hernandez, but toward Hernandez's boyfriend, Jose Quiroz-Castillo. Martinez expressed his frustration that Hernandez had not told him about Quiroz and his anger about the fact that he did not know anything about Quiroz. Martinez admitted the purpose of his actions was to get a reaction

Supreme Court of Nevada from Quiroz. Specifically, Martinez stated, "[w]hen a man has sexual relations with a woman, you have to react when another rooster comes and takes a woman when she is with you. He has to respond, react... [a]nd I did it, but he did not react." We conclude that based on the evidence presented at trial, a rational trier of fact could have found the essential elements of first-degree kidnapping beyond a reasonable doubt.

Having considered Martinez's arguments and concluded that they are without merit, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.

Maupin
Cherry

J.

Saitta

cc: Hon. Stewart L. Bell, District Judge Clark County Public Defender Philip J. Kohn Attorney General Catherine Cortez Masto/Carson City Clark County District Attorney David J. Roger Eighth District Court Clerk

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA



IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

FREDYS A. MARTINEZ A/K/A FREDDY MARTINEZ, Appellant,

Supreme Court No. 49608

VS.

District Court Case No. C226586

THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent.

REMITTITUR

TO: Charles J. Short, Clark District Court Clerk

Pursuant to the rules of this court, enclosed are the following:

Certified copy of Judgment and Opinion/Order. Receipt for Remittitur.

DATE: June 3, 2008

Tracie Lindeman, Clerk of Court

Deputy Clerk

cc (without enclosures):

Hon. Stewart L. Bell, District Judge

Attorney General Catherine Cortez Masto/Carson City

Clark County District Attorney David J. Roger Clark County Public Defender Philip J. Kohn

RECEIPT FOR REMITTITUR

Received of Tracie Lindeman, Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada, the REMITTITUR issued in the above-entitled cause, on _

BRANDI J. WENDEL

District Court Clerk

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Electronically Filed Jun 01 2011 03:40 p.m. Tracie K. Lindeman

FREDDY MARTINEZ, Appellant(s),

vs.

STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent(s), Case No: 06C226586 SC No: 58023

RECORD ON APPEAL VOLUME

2

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT FREDDY MARTINEZ # 1003276 PROPER PERSON P.O. BOX 7000 CARSON CITY, NV 89702 ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENT DAVID ROGER, ESQ. DISTRICT ATTORNEY 200 LEWIS AVE. LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101

06C226586

STATE OF NEVADA VS. FREDDY MARTINEZ

I N D E X

1 1 - 235 2 236 - 479 3 480 - 698

INDEX

VOL	DATE	PLEADING	PAGE NUMBER:
3	04/02/2010	A MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	489 - 491
3	04/21/2010	A MOTION TO VACATE A J.O.C.	496 - 500
1	04/12/2007	AMENDED JURY LIST	78 - 78
3	05/24/2010	ANSWER AND RESPONSE	527 - 549
1	06/06/2007	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	128 - 129
1	06/19/2007	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	130 - 132
3	04/23/2010	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	504 - 505
3	06/04/2010	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	554 - 555
3	11/18/2010	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	581 - 582
3	03/24/2011	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	608 - 609
3	03/29/2011	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	623 - 624
3	04/22/2011	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	635 - 636
3	06/01/2011	CERTIFICATION OF COPY AND TRANSMITTAL OF RECORD	
1	01/18/2007	DEFENDANT'S NOTICE OF WITNESSES, PURSUANT TO NRS 174.234	74 - 76
1	06/04/2007	DESIGNATION OF RECORD ON APPEAL	125 - 126
3	03/25/2011	DESIGNATION OF RECORD ON APPEAL	610 - 611
3	04/19/2011	DESIGNATION OF RECORD ON APPEAL	629 - 633
3	06/01/2011	DISTRICT COURT MINUTES	
3	06/01/2011	DOCUMENTARY EXHIBITS (UNFILED)	668 - 698
3	06/01/2011	DOCUMENTARY EXHIBITS (UNFILED)	637 - 667
1	11/28/2006	EX PARTE MOTION FOR RELEASE OF MEDICAL RECORDS	61 - 62
3	01/21/2011	FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER	588 - 592
1	09/29/2006	INDICTMENT	1 - 3
1	09/29/2006	INDICTMENT WARRANT	4 - 4
1	10/03/2006	INDICTMENT WARRANT RETURN	5 - 9
1	04/12/2007	INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JURY (INSTRUCTION NO. 1)	7 9 - 115

INDEX

<u>vol</u>	DATE	PLEADING	NUMBER:
1	05/31/2007	JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION (JURY TRIAL)	123 - 124
1	04/11/2007	JURY LIST	77 - 77
3	05/13/2010	MOTION FOR AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING	516 - 520
3	07/23/2010	MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	565 - 570
3	03/25/2011	MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL PURSUANT TO NRS 34.750	612 - 614
1	11/17/2006	MOTION TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE OF EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE	34 - 42
3	03/25/2011	MOTION TO EXTEND PRISON COPYWORK LIMIT FOR	615 - 620
3	10/23/2009	MOTION TO MAKE ADDITIONAL FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR NDOC INMATE'S LEGAL ACCOUNT	480 - 483
2	06/06/2008	NEVADA SUPREME COURT CLERK'S CERTIFICATE JUDGEMENT - AFFIRMED	470 - 479
3	07/13/2010	NEVADA SUPREME COURT CLERK'S CERTIFICATE JUDGEMENT - DISMISSED	561 - 564
3	12/16/2010	NEVADA SUPREME COURT CLERK'S CERTIFICATE JUDGMENT - AFFIRMED	583 - 587
1	06/04/2007	NOTICE OF APPEAL	127 - 127
1	06/19/2007	NOTICE OF APPEAL	133 - 135
3	04/22/2010	NOTICE OF APPEAL	501 - 503
3	06/03/2010	NOTICE OF APPEAL	550 - 553
3	11/16/2010	NOTICE OF APPEAL	578 - 580
3	03/21/2011	NOTICE OF APPEAL	604 - 607
3	03/25/2011	NOTICE OF APPEAL	621 - 622
3	04/19/2011	NOTICE OF APPEAL	634 - 634
3	03/08/2011	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF DECISION AND ORDER	595 - 600
3	03/08/2011	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF DECISION AND ORDER	601 - 603
1	11/20/2006	NOTICE OF EXPERT WITNESSES [NRS 174.234(2)]	43 - 58
1	11/20/2006	NOTICE OF WITNESSES [NRS 174.234(1)(A)]	59 - 60

INDEX

<u>VOL</u>	DATE	PLEADING	PAGE NUMBER:
3	11/03/2009	OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO MAKE ADDITIONAL FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR NDOC INMATES [SIC] LEGAL ACCOUNT	484 - 486
I	06/28/2007	ORDER APPOINTING APPELLANT COUNSEL	136 - 137
3	09/03/2010	ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	576 - 577
3	01/25/2011	ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	593 - 594
3	01/06/2010	ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO MAKE ADDITIONAL FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR NDOC INMATES [SIC] LEGAL ACCOUNT	487 - 488
3	04/24/2010	ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S PRO PER MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	506 - 507
3	05/21/2010	ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S PRO PER MOTION TO VACATE A J.O.C.	521 - 522
3	05/11/2010	ORDER FOR PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	515 - 515
1	12/23/2006	ORDER RE-SETTING CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS PRESENTLY SCHEDULED ON JANUARY 25, 2007 IN DEPT XXIV	72 - 73
1	11/28/2006	ORDER RELEASING MEDICAL RECORDS	63 - 64
3	04/30/2010	PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION)	508 - 514
3	06/01/2011	PRE-SENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT (UNFILED) CONFIDENTIAL	
1	04/12/2007	PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS NOT USED AT TRIAL	116 - 120
1	08/10/2007	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 11, 2007 (CONTINUED)	165 - 235
2	08/10/2007	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 11, 2007 (CONTINUATION)	236 - 335
2	08/10/2007	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 12, 2007	336 - 423
1	08/03/2007	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 5, 2007	159 - 164
2	11/30/2007	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 5, 2007	441 - 446
2	01/23/2008	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 5, 2007	455 - 460
2	08/13/2007	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF FEBRUARY 8, 2007	435 - 440

I N D E X

VOL	DATE	PLEADING	NUMBER:
2	01/04/2008	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF FEBRUARY 8, 2007	447 - 454
2	01/23/2008	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JANUARY 18, 2008	461 - 469
1	07/25/2007	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JANUARY 25, 2007	142 - 146
1	07/25/2007	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JANUARY 30, 2007	147 - 158
2	08/10/2007	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MAY 24, 2007	424 - 427
2	08/10/2007	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF NOVEMBER 30, 2006	428 - 434
1	07/17/2007	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 5, 2006	138 - 141
1	10/11/2006	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF SEPTEMBER 21, 2006	10 - 28
1	10/13/2006	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF SEPTEMBER 28, 2006	29 - 33
3	04/09/2010	STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	492 - 495
3	08/05/2010	STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	571 - 575
3	04/01/2011	STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	625 - 628
3	05/21/2010	STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR EVIDENTIARY HEARING	523 - 526
1	11/28/2006	STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO COMPEL EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE	65 - 71
3	07/09/2010	STATE'S RESPONSE AND MOTION TO DISMISS DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION)	556 - 560
1	04/12/2007	VERDICT	121 - 122

1	A I got up to take Jose' to work.
2	Q Were you working at that time as well?
3	A Yes, but on those days I was laid up from work because I didn't
4	have a car to go to work.
5	Q Did you own a car at that point in time?
6	A Yes.
7	Q What type of car did you own?
8	A It was it was a 2003 or 2004 Ford Focus.
9	MS. NYICOS: Judge, may I approach the witness?
10	THE COURT: Sure.
11	BY MS. NYICOS:
12	Q Bianca, I'm showing you State's Proposed Exhibits 15 I mean,
13	16, 17 and 18. Do you recognize those pictures?
14	A [Through an Interpreter] Yes.
15	Q What are those pictures of?
16	A My car.
17	Q Okay. I'll do my best with the language barrier. Are those
18	pictures a fair and accurate
19	MS. HAMERS: We'll stipulate to those.
20	MS. NYICOS: Thank you. Move to admit, Judge.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Any objection?
22	MR. PAULSON: No objection.
23	THE COURT: 16, 17 and 18 will be admitted.
24	[State's 16, 17 and 18 were admitted.]
25	THE COURT: What that means, ladies and gentlemen, is under the
	-72-

1	rules, this is	a fair piece of evidence for you to consider. They may well pass	
2	them around	or show them on the screen. At the very least, you will have	
3	them to take back with you to jury room to look at them. Okay.		
4	MS. 1	NYICOS: Thank you.	
5	BY MS. NYI	COS:	
6	Q	Now, Bianca, on the morning of August that morning in August	
7	that we're ta	alking about, were you in your car that morning?	
8	Α	[Through an Interpreter] Yes.	
9	۵	Okay. And was Jose' in the car with you?	
10	Α	No.	
11	Q	Where was Jose'?	
12	А	Jose' was inside the apartment, and I walked out to turn the	
13	engine on of the car to heat it up.		
14	Q	Were you in the driver's seat?	
15	Α	Yes.	
16	۵	Then what happens?	
17	Α	I didn't see Freddy when he crossed the street. Jose' is saying	
18	that		
19	a	That's okay. We're not going to talk about what Jose' said.	
20	When did yo	ou first see Freddy?	
21	THE	COURT: When did you first see Freddy? That was a good	
22	question. I	couldn't say it better myself.	
23	THE	WITNESS: [Through an Interpreter] That day in the morning when	
24	that happened.		
25	BY MS. NYICOS:		
		-73-	

1	
1	Q Okay. What did you see Freddy do?
2	A He opened the door of the car, of my car, with a knife in his
3	hand. He told me to turn on the car and and to go. And I ask him what was
4	happening, what did he have in mind, what's going on? Let's talk. And he told
5	me to move the car, and with the knife, he stabbed me in the leg.
6	Q Where in the leg did he stab you?
7	THE COURT: Stand up.
8	THE WITNESS: The right side.
9	THE COURT: Stand up and kind of point to it for the jury, please.
10	THE WITNESS: Over here on this side.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Thanks.
12	MS. NYICOS: For the record, it's the right thigh on the outside.
13	THE COURT: Yeah.
14	BY MS. NYICOS:
15	Q Now, Bianca, before he told you to move move the car, did he
16	do anything else with the knife?
17	A [Through an Interpreter] Yes, he continued with the knife until I
18	moved the car to a stop.
19	Q Okay. Where did you stop the car?
20	A Okay. When we came out of that stop, we continue on Owens,
21	and before we got to Nellis, he told me to stop.
22	Q And you said he continued with the knife. How long was he
23	stabbing you in the leg with the knife?
24	A He just stabbed me the first time, but he was holding the knife in
25	his hand all the time.
ĺ	1

1	a	And you said you got to Owens, and he told you to stop?
2	Α	Yes, and he put me in the backseat. He pulled my hair and put
3	me in the bac	kseat.
4	۵	In the backseat of the car?
5	А	Uh-huh.
6	۵	And where did he go from there? When he put you in the
7	backseat, wh	at did he do?
8	А	He just grabbed the car and left.
9	۵	Did he drive?
10	A	Yes.
11	a	While you're in the backseat, where did he drive to at that point?
12	A	He drove about three more blocks from where we were. He
13	stopped agair	n, and then he forced me again to go back to the front seat.
14	a	You said he forced you. How did he force you?
15	А	Well, he grabbed me by the hair and pulled me to the front seat.
16	a	Was he saying anything to you when he grabbed you by your
17	hair and pulle	ed you in the front seat?
18	A	He just told me to be quiet and not to speak.
19	a	And what happened next?
20	A	He continued driving. He went off of Nellis before he got to Las
21	Vegas Boule	vard. He was driving, and in front of our car, there was another
22	car, and in fr	ont of that car, there was a police car. I went I saw police. I
23	tried to honk	, and he got very upset. I tried to drive to get the steering wheel
24	going to the	right, but he went to the left.
25	a	Why did you try to honk?
		-75-

i		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	Α	I wanted the police to see.
2	Q	Were you able to get the police's attention?
3	Α	No, I don't think the police saw what was happening because if
4	he would hav	e seen what was happening, if he could have seen that the car
5	was doing a s	strange movement, he would have done something.
6	Ω	After you tried to get the police officer's attention, you said
7	Freddy hit you	u?
8	Α	Yes. And when he saw the police was in front of us, he moved
9	the car to the	left, and he went inside of a trailer park.
10	Q	Did Freddy say anything to you?
11	A	Yes, yes. I told him to get out of there, and that was when he
12	hit me on the	face, and he said, Be quiet. If you don't be quiet, I will hit you.
13	۵	I'm sorry. I what?
14	THE C	OURT: Hit you.
15	THEIN	NTERPRETER: Hit you.
16	BY MS. NYIC	os:
17	Q	What happened next?
18	A	[Through an Interpreter] And then he continue. He took Las
19	Vegas Boulev	ard all the way to Nellis and to that freeway. I don't know what -
20	- what street	is that.
21	a	That's okay. He got on a freeway?
22	Α	Yes.
23	a	Do you know what direction you were going?
24	Α	I just know that he took the freeway going to Mesquite.
25	a	Okay. Did he say anything to you on the freeway?
	ĺ	-76-

ا ہا		
1	Α	He was talking many things. He told me forget about my son, to
2	forget about	Jose', to forget about David, that I was not going to come back to
3	Las Vegas.	
4	a	How did that make you feel?
5	Α	Well, bad. I didn't know what to do in a situation like this.
6	would have j	umped from the car. I didn't do it because my son doesn't have
7	family here.	He doesn't have anybody.
8	a	When you say jump from the car, what do you mean?
9	Α	Like open the door and and leave, come out.
10	Q	Now, Bianca, while you were on the freeway, did Freddy stop at
11	some point?	
12	А	Yes.
13	a	Can you describe the area where he stopped?
14	A	It was a desert area.
15	Q	Was there a town nearby or anything?
16	Α	No, where he went it was like a street, just an old just a street.
17	Q	And what happened when he stopped there?
18	Α	He went inside he went towards the desert. He looked
19	everywhere,	and then he grabbed my hand and put me in the backseat.
20	Q	What hand did he grab?
21	А	The right one.
22	a	Now, did he grab your hand or some other place on your arm?
23	A	Just my hand.
24	a	You said he put you in the backseat?
25	Α	Yes.
	1	-77-
1	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1	a	Did Freddy still have the knife?
2	Α	Yes.
3	a	What happened when he put you in the backseat?
4	A	He took my clothes off, my shorts, my underwear, panties.
5	a	And this was summertime; right?
6	A	Yes.
7	a	So you were wearing shorts?
8	А	Yes, I had shorts on.
9	a	After he took off your shorts and panties, what did he do?
10	A	He penetrated me sexually.
11	a	Now, Bianca, I have to ask. You say he penetrated you sexually.
12	What do you	mean by that?
13	А	He abused me sexually.
14	a	Did part of his body touch part of your body?
15	A	Yes.
16	a	Okay. What part of his body?
17	А	His penis inside of mine.
18	a	And what part of your body?
19	А	My body, my breasts, the vagina.
20	THE C	COURT: Go ahead. Just ask her a leading question.
21	MS. N	IYICOS: Okay.
22	BY MS. NYIC	COS:
23	a	Did his penis go inside your vagina?
24	A	Yes.
25	a	About how long was he inside of you?
		-78-
		Day 1 - Volume I
ı	I	

- 11		
1	Α	About 15 minutes.
2	Q	Did you say anything to Freddy?
3	Α	No.
4	Q	Did you want Freddy to do this to you?
5	Α	No.
6	Q	Why didn't you say anything to Freddy?
7	Α	l was afraid.
8	Q	Okay. Now, after Freddy did this to you, what happened next?
9	А	He got up; I put my clothes on.
10	Ω	Bianca, did Freddy ejaculate?
11	А	I believe so. I was told that the DNA was positive.
12	a	Now, you got dressed again. Bianca, do you remember what
13	you were we	aring on your feet that day?
14	А	I don't remember that. I don't know if I was wearing some
15	white sandals	3.
16	۵	Do you know what happened to you sandals?
17	А	No.
18	۵	Okay. Now, after you guys put your clothes back on, what did
19	Freddy do ne	xt?
20	A	He kept going, and it seemed to me that he went to a gas
21	station.	
22	a	Do you remember where this gas station was?
23	А	No.
24	a	What happened when you got to the gas station?
25	Α	He went out from the car to get some gas. He asked me if I
		-79-

1	had money, and I told him no.
2	Q When he went to get gas, did he pull up to a pump?
3	A No, he left the car in one of the parking spaces, and he walked
4	towards the pumps.
5	Q Now, where that parking space was, was there any people
6	around you?
7	A Just the person that was giving him the gas.
8	Q And how did Freddy get the gas?
9	A I believe that he asked someone for it.
10	Q What I mean was, did he actually pump gas in the car or did he
11	put the gas in something?
12	A No. He put it in a gallon container, a gas gallon container.
13	Q Okay. And where did he get the gas can from?
14	A That I really don't I don't remember. I don't know.
15	Q Now, I'm showing you on your screen
16	THE COURT: You might have to show it to her to get it admitted first.
17	MS. NYICOS: It's already in. This is one of the three that
18	THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry.
19	MS. NYICOS: That's okay.
20	BY MS. NYICOS:
21	Q State's 18, is the gas can in that picture?
22	A [Through an Interpreter] Yes.
23	Q Okay. Now, Bianca, while you were at the gas station, did you
24	try and get help from anybody?
25	A Yes. Somebody went to the public telephone, and I was trying
	-80-

]	ļ	
1	А	They did tests on me, a vaginal test.
2	Q	Did you talk to a detective?
3	Α	Yes, I talked with Detective Chavez.
4	a	And did you tell Detective Chavez everything you're telling us
5	today?	
6	A	Yes.
7	a	Now, Bianca, did you want to drive with Freddy up to Mesquite?
8	А	No.
9	a	Did you want to have sex with Freddy?
10	А	No.
11	a	Did you and Freddy ever have a sexual relationship?
12	А	No.
13	Q	While you were driving up in the car to Mesquite, did you have a
14	conversation	with Freddy about what was happening?
15	А	I was trying I was talking to him to calm to calm down, but
16	he was obses	ssed with me, and he told me to be quiet.
17	a.	What do you mean by obsessed?
18	A	It was like someone that loves someone by force.
19	Q	Do you feel that Freddy loves you?
20	А	I don't know, but the way that he behaved that day, I think so.
21	Q	Do you love Freddy?
22	A	No. I love him as a brother.
23	MS. N	IYICOS: Nothing further.
24	THE C	COURT: Defense?
25	MR. P	AULSON: Thanks, Judge.
ļ	(-82-
	i .	

۱'		CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. PAUL	LSON:
3	a	Good afternoon, Bianca.
4	А	[Through an Interpreter] Hi.
5	a	Would you prefer I call you Bianca or Ms. Hernandez?
6	А	As your desire.
7	a	Okay. Bianca, I want to talk to you initially a little bit about your
8	relationship v	vith Freddy. You testified and I think we've heard that initially
9	Freddy move	d in with you and David; correct?
10	A	Correct.
11	a	And this was about 16 years ago?
12	A	[Nods head.]
13	a	So would that have been prior to Franklin being born or right
14	about the sar	me time?
15	A	Franklin was already born. He was about three months old.
16	a	And so Franklin is the son that you had with David?
17	А	Yes.
18	a	You and David weren't actually ever married, though; correct?
19	А	No.
20	Q	And at some point, I believe you testified that David and yourself
21	separated, ar	nd it would've been about three years ago now; is that correct?
22	A	No, about four years ago.
23	a	So it was about three years ago when this actually happened?
24	lt's more like	four years now?
25	А	Yes.

-83-

- 11		
1	Q	At the time that you and David separated, David left; correct?
2	Α	Correct.
3	a	At that time were you living in the mobile home on Lake Mead?
4	А	Yes.
5	a	When David left, you continued to live in that home with Freddy
6	and your son	?
7	А	Yes.
8	a	And you lived in that home, yourself, Freddy and Franklin, for
9	almost three	years; correct?
10	А	Yes.
11	a	And at that time Freddy worked; correct?
12	А	Sometimes he worked, and sometimes he did not.
13	a	And you were working off and on, you said?
14	А	No. For a while, I didn't I didn't work, but I had my work, my
15	job all the tin	ne.
16	a	But it was the three of you living together; correct?
17	A	Yes.
18	a	At some point you meet Jose'?
19	A	Yes.
20	a	And would that have been prior to or after David left?
21	A	That was after David left.
22	Q	Okay. Just after David left then because you met Jose' about
23	four years ag	go; correct?
24	A	Yes.
25	a	And you began dating Jose' as your boyfriend about three years
		-84-
	1	

1	ago; right?	
2	Α	Yes.
3	Q	But during this time, you were living in the mobile home with
4	Freddy and y	our son?
5	Α	Yes.
6	α	Did there come a time, actually about two, two and a half years
7	ago, where y	ou left the trailer and briefly moved in with Jose'?
8	А	For how long?
9	α	Well, I don't know how long. I'm saying at some point, did you
10	move in with	Jose', and it would've been about two years ago or two and a
11	half years ag	o?
12	A	Yes.
13	a	But at some point you moved back into the motor home with
14	Freddy again	?
15	A	Wait. When I moved, that wasn't the first time. I didn't have
16	my my trai	ler yet.
17	α	So you didn't you didn't live in the motor home yet?
18	A	No, because I at that time I didn't have it. I didn't buy it yet.
19	a	Where were you living at that time?
20	A	I was with Jose', and then I bought the trailer, and then I left
21	Jose', and I v	went to live at the trailer.
22	a	Okay. I guess I want to get one thing straight because I think
23	your testimo	ny, and if I'm misunderstanding you, correct me, when David left,
24	it was Freddy	y, yourself and Franklin; correct?
25	A	Yes.
	ĺ	or.

-85-

1	a	And that would've been at least three years ago now?
2	Α	Yes, but David never lived in the trailer with us. He lived in the
3	apartment.	
4	a	He lived in an apartment with yourself, Freddy and Franklin?
5	A	Yes.
6	a	Okay. So when he left, then it was you, Freddy and Franklin
7	still in the ap	artment?
8	A	Yes. And then I moved from there with Jose', and then I bought
9	the trailer, an	d then I went back to live at the trailer.
10	a	Okay. At some point you left the motor home and Freddy, and
11	it would've b	een about four months before this incident in August; is that
12	correct?	
13	A	Yes.
14	a	And you went there to live with Jose'?
15	Α	Yes.
16	a	Now, at that point did Freddy know about Jose'?
17	Α	No.
18	a	He wasn't aware of your relationship with Jose' at all at that
19	point?	
20	A	Freddy, no.
21	a	But you had been dating Jose' for at least two to three years at
22	that point?	
23	A	Yes.
24	a	During this time did you go spend nights, time over at Jose's
25	place?	
		-86-
	1	

Α	Yes.
a	But Freddy didn't you said Freddy didn't know where you were
going and ob	viously didn't know about Jose'?
А	I don't believe so.
Q	And when you left, you didn't tell Freddy where you were going?
А	I didn't have a reason to tell him. He was not my husband.
a	In fact, even when you spoke to Detective Chavez, to the police,
you told him	that you went to live just with a family in an apartment?
А	When that happened, when I was speaking with Chavez?
۵	Yes. You remember speaking to Detective Chavez?
А	Yes.
۵	You had testified earlier that he spoke to you, and you gave him
a statement?	
Α	Yes.
٥	Do you remember, did Detective Chavez tell you that he was
going to reco	rd that statement?
А	Yes.
۵	So you know that you gave that statement to him?
A	Yes.
a	And when you spoke to Detective Chavez, you when you were
talking about	moving into this apartment, you just told him that you were
moving in wi	th a family in an apartment?
THE II	NTERPRETER: With a family member you say?
MR. P	AULSON: With a family.
THE	NTERPRETER: With a family. With a family, yeah?
	-87-
	going and obverse A Q you told him A Q a statement? A Q going to recount A Q talking about moving in with THE II MR. P

-07-

I.		l l
1	to speak. (Go ahead, Ms. Interpreter.
2	BY MR. PA	ULSON:
3	a	Okay. You said you were going to give Jose' a ride to work that
4	morning?	
5	А	[Through an Interpreter] Yes.
6	Q	So you were already seated in the driver's seat; correct?
7	Α	Yes.
8	۵	And you testified that you didn't really see Freddy until he was
9	basically op	pened the door and got in the car; correct?
10	А	Yes, I was bending down trying to find a radio station.
11	a	And he told you to drive?
12	A	Yes.
13	a	And so you drove?
14	А	Yes.
15	۵	Now, at this point was Freddy acting upset? Was he angry?
16	A	He was upset, angry.
17	Q	In fact, he wanted to know about your relationship with Jose';
18	right?	
19	А	I don't know. I don't know. He didn't say anything. At that
20	moment he	e didn't say anything. Freddy didn't say anything.
21	۵	At some point that morning during your conversations with
22	Freddy, he	wanted to know about Jose'?
23	Α	He didn't ask me anything.
24	Q	Okay. Now, a few I'm going to just back up just a little bit
25	before I mo	ove on. You at this time you're living at the apartment on Lamont
		-89-

1	Street; correct?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And the motor home that you used to live in was on Lake Mead
4	Boulevard; right?
5	THE COURT: I get the impression it's not a motor home in the sense
6	you can drive it. It's a trailer?
7	MR. PAULSON: Yeah. It's referred to in the statement as either a
8	mobile home, motor home. We can just say call it a trailer, I guess. It's not
9	that important. I'm not trying to say it was mobile.
10	THE COURT: Yeah.
11	THE INTERPRETER: What was what was the name of the street? I'm
12	sorry.
13	MR. PAULSON: The motor home was on Lake Mead.
14	BY MR. PAULSON:
15	Q Correct?
16	A [Through an Interpreter] Yes.
17	Q Okay. During the time that you were living on Lamont, you
18	would still go by the motor home and talk to Freddy; correct?
19	A Yes, I always went to visit him. I went with my son. Sometimes
20	he didn't work, and we went to see him.
21	Q And there did actually come an occasion where Jose' questioned
22	you about going over to see Freddy; correct?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And a few days prior to this incident, in the morning, you went
25	by the motor home?
	-90-

1	А	I passed by on the street. Freddy was outside. He run towards
2	the car.	
3	a	Okay. What street were you passing by on?
4	A	I don't know what is the name of the street that cross behind the
5	trailer.	
6	a	You were driving, and Freddy saw you?
7	Α	Yes.
8	a	So you stopped?
9	Α	Yes.
10	a	And talked to him?
11	А	That was logical. I was not afraid of him. He never shown to
12	me that he w	as going to harm me.
13	a	But in order for you to go into the motor home park, into the
14	mobile home	park, you had to go out of your way to do that? It wasn't a direct
15	route to the a	apartment on Lamont; correct?
16	А	No, I could go different ways to where I was living.
17	a	Okay. But this particular day you did end up stopping?
18	А	Yes.
19	a	Freddy actually got in and sat in the car and was talking to you;
20	correct?	
21	А	Yes.
22	a	And do you recall saying to Detective Chavez that on this
23	particular day	γ, apparently Jose' saw that?
24	А	Yes, Jose' told that to the detective.
25	Q	And there was something about that Freddy that he thought
		-91-

1	Freddy was touching your leg? Do you remember saying that to Detective
2	Chavez?
3	MS. NYICOS: Just for clarification, could Counsel tell me where he's
4	talking about here?
5	THE WITNESS: [Through an Interpreter] Yes, but that's not true.
6	BY MR. PAULSON:
7	Q But you did you told Detective Chavez that, though; correct?
8	A Jose' thought that Freddy was touching my leg.
9	Q Okay. So at this point Jose' knows about Freddy, and Freddy
10	knows that Jose' is with you?
11	A Freddy didn't know that I was with Jose'.
12	Q Okay. Let's go ahead and get back to where I left off. While
13	you're driving, you testified that there was some struggling going on; correct?
14	A Yes.
15	Q You actually testified earlier that initially Jose' stabbed you in the
16	leg?
17	A Freddy.
18	Q I apologize. Correct. Freddy stabbed you in the leg?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And so he stabbed you. Was it was it a deep stab wound?
21	Did he push the knife into your leg?
22	A No, he just touched me a little bit like to frighten me. Something
23	like that.
24	Q Okay. So you said he touched you to frighten you. So that
25	didn't wasn't enough to hurt you? It was more to scare you; correct?
	-92-

1	MS. NYICOS: Objection; speculating.
2	MR. PAULSON: Well, it's her it's her opinion.
3	THE COURT: Yeah. You can ask her what she thinks, and you can
4	rehabilitate her, if you want. Go ahead.
5	THE WITNESS: [Through an Interpreter] Yes. But, anyhow, he touched
6	me a little bit.
7	BY MR. PAULSON:
8	Q Okay. And
9	THE COURT: Did it bleed?
10	THE WITNESS: [Through an Interpreter] No. Just it turned to red.
11	BY MR. PAULSON:
12	Q That was my next question. All right. And at this point you're
13	driving the car; correct?
14	A Yes, but I drove a little while
15	Q Right.
16	A until it stopped.
17	Q When you stopped, you said initially he wanted you to get in the
18	backseat?
19	A Yes, he said go in the back.
20	Q And you went in the back?
21	A Yes.
22	Q At some point he pulls you back into the front seat; right?
23	A When I was in the front seat, he grabbed my hair, and he pulled
24	me in the back, and then he did the same thing when he pulled me to the front.
25	Q Okay. And also when he pulled you into the front, he had to
	-9 3-

1	at some poin	t he grabbed you by the arm also; correct?
2	A	Yes.
3	a	Was that would've been by your right arm?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Do you remember that when he grabbed you, was it enough to
6	leave a mark on your arm?	
7	A	Yes, he left a little.
8	Q	A little scrape or mark on your arm?
9	A	[Nods head].
10	THE COURT: She nodded yes. Go ahead.	
11	MR. PAULSON: Thank you.	
12	BY MR. PAULSON:	
13	Q	Okay. And you also testified that at some point there was a
14	struggle, and	I you were trying to get the attention of the police car in front of
15	you?	
16	A	[Through an Interpreter] Yes.
17	a	And you ended up pulling over, and that's when he hit you on
18	your right cheek?	
19	A	Yes.
20	a	And that's the only time he hit you, though; correct?
21	A	Yes, after the two times that he grabbed me by the hair.
22	a	Okay.
23	А	And then he hit my my face.
24	a	Now, after this occurs, you continue driving, and you testified
25	that eventua	lly you get on the freeway?
	1	-94-

1	Α	Yes. No, he was driving. I didn't drive the car.
2	۵	Right. At that he's driving. You're in the you're in the front
3	seat right nov	w; is that correct?
4	Α	Yes.
5	a	When he was stopped at that mobile home park when you were
6	trying to get	the police attention, you didn't try to get out of the vehicle at that
7	point; correct?	
8	А	I wanted to leave, but that was when he hit me, and he told me
9	to be quiet, to shut my mouth.	
10	۵	So at some point you get on the freeway, and you drive for
11	about 25 minutes	
12	THE COURT: When you say "you drive," you're confusing her because	
13	she's thinking you're implying she's the driver.	
14	BY MR. PAUI	LSON:
15	۵	Freddy drove, you were the passenger, and you travel for about
16	25 minutes; correct?	
17	А	[Through an Interpreter] More or less.
18	۵	And you testified at some point you get off of the freeway?
19	A	[Nods head].
20	۵	And that's when he turned onto this dirt street, dirt road?
21	A	Yes.
22	۵	Now, that dirt street was fairly close to the freeway; correct?
23	A	I was nervous. I really cannot tell you the distance, but I know
24	that he left the freeway.	
25	a	Now, when you pulled off there, you said you were nervous, you
		-95-

1	don't reall	y notice, but you did notice that there was a person there; correct?	
2	A	Yes. There was somebody waling over there where he took me,	
3	and there was also a pickup that was there.		
4	a	So you recall that there was actually a person there, and you saw	
5	that man.	In fact, you saw the man, and you headed off; right?	
6	Α	Yes.	
7	a	And that was right nearby where you stopped, where Freddy	
8	stopped the car?		
9	Α	Yes, but the person wasn't that close to the car either.	
10	a	Do you recall when you were speaking with Detective Chavez	İ
11	that you said that that car or that or least it was a truck where the person		!
12	was was about a block, a block and a half away?		
13	A	Approximately, yes.	İ
14	a	Now, you testified at this point Freddy gets out of the car, and	
15	he comes	around. Does he open the passenger door?	
16	A	What I am seeing.	
17	a	Okay. And you said he put you into the backseat?	
18	A	Yes.	
19	٥	You were laying on the backseat?	
20	A	Yes.	
21	a	Now, do you remember at all telling the nurse at the hospital that	
22	you were actually laying on the ground?		
23	A	No, no, on the seat, on the seat of the car.	
24	a	So it was on the seat of the car?	
25	Α	Yes, in the backseat.	
		-96-	

1	a	Okay. And you testified that he took your shorts and panties
2	off?	
3	А	That's it.
4	a	Now, did he take them completely off?
5	A	Yes.
6	a	Okay. So your testimony right today is that he took your shorts
7	and panties completely off; correct?	
8	A	Yes.
9	a	Again, you recall speaking about the or to Detective Chavez,
10	and when he asked you that same question, you told him no, that they were	
11	actually just pulled down and left on the bottom of your legs by your feet. Do	
12	you remembe	er that?
13	A	Yes, he took it he took it down all the way, all the way down
14	to my feet.	
15	a	But not completely off?
16	A	I don't know. I think that taking it off is just bring all the way
17	down to the feet. I don't know what you mean.	
18	a	Okay. I mean, just for clarification, they're not completely off
19	and laying somewhere; they're still around your legs?	
20	Α	Yes, all the way down to my feet.
21	Q	Okay. And you were on your back?
22	Α	Uh-huh, yes.
23	a	And Freddy would've been on top of you; correct?
24	A	Yes.
25	a	Now, you testified earlier that Freddy had the knife; correct?
		-97-
		Day 1 - Volume I

1	A	Yes.
2	۵	Where is it at this point?
3	A	What do you mean where is it?
4	٥	Where's the knife?
5	A	He has the knife in his hand. He never let it go.
6	٥	He has it in his hand?
7	A	But he's not pointing it at me. He just have it in his hand.
8	Q	So he's not pointing it at you?
9	A	No, but he has it in his hand.
10	۵	What hand is it in?
11	A	Right.
12	۵	The knife in his right hand. And do you recall telling Detective
13	Chavez that Freddy grabbed your right hand?	
14	A	Yes, when my hand's backwards.
15	a	So your hands are backwards?
16	Α	Just one hand.
17	Q	One hand?
18	A	Uh-huh.
19	Q	Okay. And actually that would've had to have been your right
20	hand because	e he grabbed you with his left hand; correct?
21	Α	Well, I don't remember. This is a trauma. This is very difficult.
22	I don't think that anybody can understand.	
23	٥	I understand that
24	THE C	OURT: Are you getting close?
25	BY MR. PAUI	LSON:
		-98-

-98-

1	a	Let me put it this way
2	MR. P	AULSON: Getting close, Judge.
3	THE C	COURT: All right. It's almost break time.
4	BY MR. PAU	LSON:
5	a	Let me put it this way: You say he had the knife in his right
6	hand?	
7	A	[Through an Interpreter] Yes.
8	a	So he couldn't have grabbed you with this hand. He must have
9	used his left hand; right?	
10	A	It was a small knife. It was like a folded folding knife.
11	a	So he's holding the knife in one hand and grabbing your hand,
12	and you said he was on top of you?	
13	A	Yes.
14	a	And during this time, you testified earlier that you didn't say
15	anything?	
16	A	I don't remember. I just told Chavez that that he had a knife in
17	his hand.	
18	a	Okay. My question was, you didn't say anything?
19	A	To who? To Freddy?
20	a	Yes.
21	A	No, I never said anything.
22	۵	You testified earlier that you thought it lasted about 15 minutes;
23	is that correct?	
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	And during this time is Freddy being very rough and forceful with
	ļ	-99-
		Day 1 - Volume I

1	you?	
2	A	No.
3	a	Not at all?
4	A	No.
5	a	You said it lasted about 15 minutes. If you had told Detective
6	Chavez that i	t lasted about five minutes, you wouldn't necessarily dispute that,
7	would you, if you said five minutes?	
8	A	l don't know.
9	a	Okay. Now, after this is after this is over, you testified you
10	put your clothes back on, you get back in the car and continue to drive on;	
11	correct?	
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	You go for about five minutes and then stopped at this gas
14	station?	
15	A	Yes.
16	٥	And earlier you testified that Freddy asked you for money for
17	gas?	
18	A	Yes, he asked me if I had money, and I said no.
19	٥	And you told him no?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	You also testified earlier that you were too scared to say no
22	when he was	having sex with you, but you weren't too afraid of him to say no
23	about money?	
24	A	I did have money. I had about 22, \$25. I don't remember. I hid
25	it in my suit.	
		100

1	l .	
1	MR. P	AULSON: Court's indulgence. Nothing further, Judge.
2	THE C	OURT: Any redirect?
3	MS. N	YICOS: Yes, Judge.
4	THE C	OURT: Other responses briefly.
5		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
6	BY MS. NYIC	OS:
7	۵	Now, Bianca, Counsel asked you about when Freddy pulled off to
8	the side of th	e road, and you saw a man walking down the road with this dog?
9	A	[Through an Interpreter] Yes.
10	a	How far away was this man?
11	A	He wasn't too far; he wasn't too close. I wanted to make some
12	type of move	ment to get his attention, but I got afraid.
13	a	Did you scream?
14	A	No.
15	٥	Why not?
16	A	I was afraid. Freddy is violent, and I was afraid.
17	a	Now, he also asked you about the truck that was parked nearby.
18	Did you notic	e if anyone was in the truck?
19	A	No, it seemed like it was a a tractor was there. Somebody left
20	it there.	
21	a	Now, when Freddy was on top of you and he grabbed your right
22	hand, do you	remember if he said anything to you?
23	A	No.
24	٥	Do you remember telling Detective Chavez if he had said
25	something to	you?
		-101-
	1	

1	A No, I don't remember.
2	MS. NYICOS: Judge, with the Interpreter, I'm going to show her her
3	statement, but it's the English translation.
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	THE INTERPRETER: Do you want me to read it?
6	MS. NYICOS: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Did you tell Detective Chavez this?
8	THE WITNESS: [Through an Interpreter] It seems to me like he said
9	something about it to me, but the truth is that I don't remember.
10	THE COURT: We need to have this read in English.
11	MS. NYICOS: Okay.
12	THE COURT: What did he ask her go ahead.
13	BY MS. NYICOS:
14	Q Okay. Bianca, what I had the Interpreter just ask you was, did
15	you did you tell Detective Chavez, [reading] Yes, he grabs my hand, and then
16	he told me don't make any movements. You just stay relaxed because, if not,
17	I'm willing to poke you with this?
18	A Yes, it seemed that he that I did, but I don't remember.
19	Q Okay.
20	A I was very nervous.
21	THE COURT: That's enough.
22	MS. NYICOS: No problem. Nothing further, Judge.
23	THE COURT: Any recross?
24	MR. PAULSON: None based on that.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. You're excuse. Okay. Let's take our

-102-

afternoon break at this time. Don't talk about the case with each other or anybody else. Don't read, watch or listen any report on the case by radio, television, newspaper or Internet. Don't form or express any opinion on the case until it's submitted you. We'll be in recess till 3:15. Okay.

[A short recess was taken at 3:03 p.m.] [Outside the presence of the jury.]

THE COURT: We're back on the record in Case Number C226586,
State of Nevada versus Freddy Martinez. Let the record reflect the presence of
Defendant with counsel; counsel for the State. Absence of the jury. Ms.
Hamers, you wanted to make a record outside the presence. Go ahead.

MS. HAMERS: I do, Judge. Thank you. A few things that were mentioned, a couple by Jose' Castillo when he testified and one by Bianca, that I didn't want to call attention to while the jury was present.

Jose' said that Freddy was in jail and that there had been a history of problems with Freddy. Bianca said that Freddy was high on drugs on that day. I think that those statements prejudice the jury against Mr. Martinez. Now that they've heard that, I think it violates his right to a fair trial and due process, and I'd ask for a mistrial on that basis.

THE COURT: Well, we know that the item one that was brought up in front of the jury is all B.S. I mean, if you have an objection, you make it, and I will sustain it. But in both of those cases, I immediately stopped them, admonished the jury and said this is relevant, and don't consider it, and I don't think it has any effect on anything.

MR. BATEMAN: And we were trying -- I think through a lot of the leading questions --

-103-

THE COURT: I mean, the drug thing is -- first of all, they don't even know; and, secondly, it's a two-edge sword. It might help you, it might hurt you if they thought that was the case, so --

MR. BATEMAN: Well, we were trying to lead through some of that.

THE COURT: Of course, and they were very difficult witnesses. And you know what they're going to say because when it gets into Spanish, you don't really understand the question, and you don't know what the answer was until the Interpreter is saying it, and so you just do the best you can. So is that a motion for mistrial?

MS. HAMERS: Judge, it is. And I am in no way insinuating, obviously, the Prosecution was trying to do that, but that information was brought out in front of the jury.

THE COURT: I think it's irrelevant. The motion for mistrial is denied.

MS. HAMERS: One other thing I feel compelled to mention, when we were riding the elevator up on our way back from lunch, the juror who sits in the second chair from the end, a woman who's wearing a green sweater, turned to myself and Mr. Paulson and said, The lunch break went by very quickly. And I told her that we weren't able to talk to her.

THE COURT: Perfect.

MS. HAMERS: That was the extent of that communication.

THE COURT: Appreciate your putting that on the record. That was obviously a professional way to handle that, and I think -- you know, we probably understand that the Chinese wall between the jury and us is a little stricter than they understand it to be. I'm sure that casual comment was meaningless. She was just trying to be pleasant, but you handled that very

on the record so that later, if something comes up and it didn't get on the record, you know, people can misinterpret. So I appreciate that.

MR. BATEMAN: Judge, can I put one thing on the record, briefly?

THE COURT: No, I just let public defenders do it because I like them better.

MR. BATEMAN: I usually -- I don't have any --

THE COURT: What is it?

MR. BATEMAN: I had CSA Grover actually today bring all of these packages in here that I've lodged with the Clerk of Court. It's actually packages 1 through, I believe, 11, and they were actually kind of combined. The reason I did that is most of them were booked by Detective Goddard who can't come until tomorrow. He's also the detective that took the bucal swab from the Defendant. So I could've had Grover get on the stand and say, I brought them from the event -- the vault, but the Defense is being kind enough to just stipulate to any issues as to that.

THE COURT: I thought we covered that earlier, but nobody has any problem with chain of custody on this stuff; do they? And the one big box was open in everybody's presence; right?

MS. HAMERS: That's correct. The only -- there were additional items brought in a few minutes ago, and we also stipulate to chain of custody on those. There's no problems there.

THE COURT: All right. We just won't even mention it. All right. Bring them in. Let's dance.

[Jury enters the courtroom at 3:17 p.m.]

-105-

1	THE COURT: Ms. Hamers, Mr. Bateman, come up.	
2	[Bench conference; not transcribed.]	
3	THE COURT: Okay.	
4	MR. BATEMAN: Could I have the Court's indulgence just one moment,	
5	Judge.	
6	MS. NYICOS: Okay.	
7	THE COURT: Call your next witness, State.	
8	MS. NYICOS: The State calls Shane Charles.	
9	THE COURT: Shane Charles. Come up here, sir.	
10	SHANE ROBERT CHARLES,	
11	having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:	
12	THE CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated.	
13	THE COURT: State your name, Officer, and spell your name for the	
14	court reporter.	
15	THE WITNESS: Robert Shane Charles, R-o-b-e-r-t, S-h-a-n-e,	
16	C-h-a-r-l-e-s.	
17	THE COURT: Go ahead.	
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
19	BY MS. NYICOS:	
20	Q Mr. Charles, how are you employed?	
21	A With the Mesquite Police Department.	
22	Q In what capacity?	
23	A I'm a sergeant on patrol.	
24	Q Now, directing your attention specifically to August 16 th of 2006,	
25	were you working that day?	
ľ	-106-	

Ì	1	
1	A	Yes, I was.
2	a	And were you dispatched to the Hockridge Condominiums?
3	A	Yes, I was.
4	٥	And are those located in Mesquite, Clark County, Nevada?
5	Α	That's correct.
6	a	Okay. And what was the nature of that call?
7	A	We were told that there was a domestic in progress with a knife
8	involved.	
9	a	And did you arrive at the Hockridge Condominium?
10	Α	Yes, I did.
11	Q	What did you observe when you got there?
12	A	I observed a white truck with someone getting in it, attempting
13	to drive from	the parking lot, and we were receiving information at the same
14	time that ou	r suspect might be getting into a truck to leave.
15	٥	And the person you observed getting into a truck, do you see him
16	in the courtr	oom today?
17	A	Yes, I do.
18	۵	Would you please point to him and describe something he's
19	wearing.	
20	A	He's at that table with the white shirt on.
21	MS. I	NYICOS: Can the record reflect the I.D. of Defendant?
22	THE	COURT: Yes.
23	MS. I	NYICOS: Thank you.
24	BY MS. NYI	COS:
25	Q	And what did you do when you received a call that your suspect
		-107-
		Day 1 - Volume I
- 1		1

1	might be atte	mpting to flee?
2	A	I told the other officers about the truck that was leaving, and we
3	pulled in behi	nd it and stopped it. Did a felony stop on the vehicle.
4	Q	Now, you said felony car stop. What does that entail?
5	A	It entails pulling out guns and making sure everyone's covered
6	and brought	out at gunpoint.
7	a	How many people were involved were inside the truck?
8	A	If I recall, three. Maybe four.
9	a	I think you need to sit closer to that microphone. And did the
10	Defendant ex	it the vehicle at that time?
11	A	Yes, he did.
12	a	Okay. And at that point what did you do?
13	A	I was the cover officer, so I just stood back and covered
14	everyone else) .
15	a	Now, you said you originally came on the scene because your
16	call stated it	was a domestic involving a knife. Did you later determine that
17	there was mo	ore to it than that?
18	A	Yes, we did.
19	a	Okay. And how did you make that determination?
20	A	We had a lot of witnesses telling us that it involved a victim
21	being raped a	ind brought up against her will from Vegas.
22	α	Okay. Now, at this point knowing that there's some connection
23	to Las Vegas	, would this be a Mesquite Police Department case?
24	А	No, it wouldn't.
25	α	Okay. What did you do at that point?
		-108-

1	А	We called Las Vegas Metro and advised them of the situation to
2	have some p	eople respond up.
3	a	And did Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department respond up to
4	Mesquite?	
5	A	Yes, they did.
6	a	And you drove down here from Mesquite today; is that correct?
7	A	Yes, I did.
8	a	How long is that drive?
9	A	About 45 minutes to an hour.
10	a	And what interstate did you have to take to get down here?
11	A	15.
12	a	And is Interstate 15, to your knowledge, located entirely within
13	Clark County	, Nevada?
14	THE C	COURT: Not the whole interstate, but that led from
15	BY MS. NYIC	COS:
16	a	Well, the interstate that you had to travel today between
17	Mesquite and	here, is that located here in Clark County, Nevada?
18	A	That's correct.
19	a	Okay. And while you were waiting for Metro officers' arrival,
20	what did you	do with respect to the Defendant and the alleged victim in this
21	case?	
22	А	We isolated him, took the Defendant to the station for
23	questioning a	and did what we could to comfort the victim. Got her settled
24	down. Took	her to the hospital.
25	Q	And which hospital would that be?
		-109-
İ	ĺ	

Page 273

1	A That was Mesa View Hospital in Mesquite.
2	Q Now, when you made contact with the victim in this case, do
3	you recall her name?
4	A I don't.
5	Q Okay. Do you recall what her demeanor was like when you
6	made contact with her?
7	A She was very hysterical, crying. Real upset.
8	MS. NYICOS: Nothing further.
9	THE COURT: Any cross?
10	MS. HAMERS: Yes, Judge.
11	CROSS-EXAMINATION
12	BY MS. HAMERS:
13	Q Good afternoon. You said that you went out to Hockridge.
14	Originally that was based on a domestic battery with a knife involved; right?
15	A That's correct.
16	Q And then you got further information that there could've been
17	more involved?
18	A That's correct.
19	Q But you don't know what happened between Bianca Hernandez
20	and Freddy Martinez?
21	A Just what I was told.
22	Q Right. The impression you had just came from other people.
23	You certainly didn't witness anything that happened between the two of them?
24	A That's correct.
25	Q And I'm not saying no, you don't know where that truck was
	-110-
	Day 1 - Volume I

1	going?	
2	A No, I don't.	
3	MS. HAMERS: That's all. Thank you.	
4	THE COURT: Thanks, Sergeant.	
5	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
6	THE COURT: Appreciate you coming down. I know it's a long trip.	
7	Call your next witness.	
8	MS. NYICOS: The State calls Lance Barr.	
9	LANCE BARR,	
10	having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:	
11	THE CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated.	
12	THE COURT: Officer, state your name, and spell your name for the	
13	court reporter, please.	
14	THE WITNESS: Officer Lance Barr. Last name's spelling, B-a-r-r.	
15	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.	
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
17	BY MS. NYICOS:	
18	Q Officer Barr, how are you employed?	
19	A Police officer for the City of Mesquite, Nevada.	
20	Q And how long have you been employed there?	
21	A Seven years, seven months.	
22	Q Now, directing your attention specifically to August 16 th of 2006	
23	were you working that day?	
24	A Yes.	
25	Q And were you dispatched at some point to the Hockridge	
	-111-	
	Day 1 - Volume I	

1	Apartments -	I mean, condominiums?
2	A	Yes.
3	a	And those are located in Mesquite, Nevada?
4	A	Yes, they are.
5	a	Okay. Now, what did you observe when you got there?
6	A	We were told that there was a domestic battery in progress and
7	that the perp	etrator had a knife and had already assaulted someone and that
8	they were attempting to escape in a white pickup truck.	
9	a	Did you observe that white pickup truck at the apartment?
10	Α	Yes.
11	a	At the condominium?
12	Α	Yes.
13	a	And how many occupants were in that pickup truck?
14	A	As far as I remember there were including the suspect, there
15	were three of	thers.
16	a	And you say "the suspect." Do you see the suspect in the
17	courtroom to	day?
18	A	I do.
19	a	Can you please point him out.
20	A	Wearing the white shirt sitting at defendant's table.
21	THE C	COURT: The record will reflect identification of Defendant Freddy
22	Martinez.	
23	BY MS. NYIC	COS:
24	a	And what was this truck doing when you observed it?
25	A	Attempting to flee the scene.
		-112-

1	Q By "the scene," do you mean the condominium complex?
2	A Yes.
3	Q. Okay. And what did you do at that point?
4	A Already being forewarned that the as of the description of the
5	pickup, we put our lights and sirens on sirens on and stopped the vehicle.
6	Q. And did you have the occupants of the vehicle exit?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And did that include the Defendant?
9	A Yes.
10	MS. NYICOS: Okay. Judge, can we just have an ongoing permission
11	to approach?
12	THE COURT: Sure.
13	MS. NYICOS: Thank you.
14	BY MS. NYICOS:
15	Q Did you come to search the Defendant?
16	A Yes, I did.
17	Q And when you searched the Defendant, what did you find?
18	A I found a dark colored folding knife.
19	Q Okay. Showing you what's been marked as State's Proposed
20	24-E, do you recognize that?
21	MS. NYICOS: And just for the record, that envelope is open; it was
22	never sealed; correctly correct?
23	THE WITNESS: [Nods head].
24	THE COURT: That's actually what could happen, but there was a
25	whole bunch of pieces of evidence. They put them in this big box. It was
ľ	

1	sealed. It's been kept in the evidence vault intact. It was brought in here by			
2	an evidence custodian, and both sides were good enough to stipulate that we			
3	can save a half hour of testimony by agreeing to that, that there was no			
4	tampering with the evidence. Go ahead, Officer.			
5	THE WITNESS: This would be the knife that I removed from suspect's			
6	front pocket, right front pocket.			
7	BY MS. NYICOS:			
8	Q Okay. And do you recognize that?			
9	A Yes.			
10	MS. NYICOS: Move for admission.			
11	THE COURT: Any objection?			
12	MS. HAMERS: No objection.			
13	THE COURT: Admitted.			
14	[State's 24-E admitted.]			
15	MS. NYICOS: And just so the record is clear, 24-E, that would			
16	encompass the envelope the knife is located in and the knife?			
17	THE COURT: Yeah. We're considering both 24-E.			
18	MS. NYICOS: Okay.			
19	BY MS. NYICOS:			
20	Q Could you please remove the knife from the envelope.			
21	A [Doing so.]			
22	Q Now, could you please describe what you're holding in your			
23	hand.			
24	A This is a dark colored folding knife with an approximate			
25	three inch blade.			

	I .	
1	a	Okay. And is it what would you describe it as? Is it like a
2	switchblade?	
3	А	It's a it's a folding knife that's probably got a quick release.
4	It's on a sprir	ng, looks like.
5	a	Is it functional?
6	А	Yes.
7	a	Now, after searching the Defendant and locating this knife, what
8	did you do at	that point?
9	А	After I disarmed him, I took this knife and put it in my pocket.
10	a	Okay. And did you eventually hand that off to a Las Vegas
11	Metropolitan	Police Department officer?
12	А	Yes.
13	٥	Okay. Why did you put it in your pocket?
14	A	For safekeeping.
15	a	Okay.
16	А	Maintain chain.
17	a	Okay. I'm sorry, what?
18	А	To maintain chain of evidence.
19	a	Did you have any other evidence in your possession that you
20	needed to tur	rn over to Metro?
21	А	No.
22	Q	And this was the only thing?
23	А	Yes.
24	a	Okay. Do you recall which detective you turned it over to?
25	A	Detective Scott Kavon.
		-115-
	Ī	

1	MS. NYICOS: Okay. Nothing further.	
2	THE COURT: Anything else? Any questions?	
3	MS. HAMERS: Just a couple, Judge.	
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
5	BY MS. HAMERS:	
6	Q You said that when you arrived at this apartment these	
7	apartments, there was a truck attempting to leave?	
8	A Yes.	
9	Q You don't know where that truck was going, do you?	
10	A No.	
11	MS. HAMERS: That's all. Thank you.	
12	THE COURT: Okay. Thanks, Officer. Appreciate your time. I know	
13	it's a long trip down. Thank you very much. Call your next witness.	
14	MR. BATEMAN: Judge, the issue we spoke at the bench, I think it's	
15	been resolved, so we're going to call William McPheeters.	
16	THE COURT: Okay. If you folks have resolved it, it's okay with me.	
17	Come on up, sir. Come up here and stand and raise your right hand.	
18	WILLIAM MCPHEETERS,	
19	having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:	
20	THE CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated.	
21	THE COURT: Sir, will you tell us your name, and spell your name for	
22	us.	
23	THE WITNESS: William McPheeters.	
24	THE COURT: Spell McPheeters, please.	
25	THE WITNESS: M-c, capital P-h-e-e-t-e-r-s.	
	-116-	

1	THE C	OURT: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Bateman.
2	MR. B	ATEMAN: Thank you.
3	ļ ţ	DIRECT EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. BATE	EMAN:
5	a	Sir, I'm going to direct your attention to August 16th of last year.
6	Do you reme	mber that day?
7	A	Well, somewhat, yeah.
8	a	Okay. Where were you working on that day?
9	Α	At the Glendale Sinclair station.
10	a	Okay. And when you say "Sinclair station," is that a gas
11	station?	
12	A	Yes, it is.
13	a	Are there any other businesses that are attached or close by the
14	gas station?	
15	A	Yes.
16	a	What are they?
17	A	Well, there's a restaurant, convenience store, bar and motel.
18	a	Okay. And you say "Glendale." Can you tell me about where
19	that is in rela	tion to Mesquite and Las Vegas.
20	A	It's about 50 miles north of Las Vegas on I-15 and 30 miles
21	south of Mes	quite.
22	٥	Okay. That's still here in Clark County?
23	A	Yes.
24	a	All right. And were you working on that day at the Sinclair gas
25	station?	
	}	117

-117-

1	Α	Yes.
2	a	Were you like a clerk or what were your job duties?
3	Α	Cashier.
4	α	Okay. What time did you get to work that day?
5	Α	Oh, I was probably working 6:00 to 2:00.
6	α	6:00 a.m.?
7	A	Yes.
8	۵	Okay. Now, from your do you normally work inside a building
9	or are you ou	tside?
10	Α	Well, I have to do both.
11	a	And are the gas station or the pumps near where you would be
12	able to obser	ve what was going on?
13	А	Yes.
14	۵	All right. On that particular day, did an individual catch your eye,
15	l guess, early	in the early morning hours?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	And how did that come about? How was it that this individual
18	caught your e	eye?
19	A	Well, I watch people all day long.
20	۵	Yes.
21	A	You know, it's part of the job. And, I don't know, he just
22	seemed to ca	tch my attention.
23	۵	What was this individual who caught your attention doing?
24	A	Well, first, he was just walking over by the restaurant, and then
25	it looked like	he appeared to go inside the restaurant. And after that, he came
		-118-

1	over to the st	ation and talked to one of the people getting gas in the station.
2	۵	Can you describe this individual?
3	A	The one he talked to or him?
4	٥	The one that you were observing, that went into the restaurant
5	and came bad	ck out.
6	A	Hispanic male wearing a ball cap, I think a t-shirt. Nothing really
7	outstanding.	
8	٥	Did the individual have anything in his hands?
9	A	Not that I noticed right off the bat.
10	Q	Eventually did you notice something?
11	A	A gas can.
12	٥	Gas can? Was it a red gas can?
13	A	I think so.
14	a	Okay. And what was this individual doing when he approached
15	the gas pump	s?
16	A	Well, he walked up and talked to a Hispanic male and got some
17	gas. Went or	ver to a white car and put it in. And a few minutes later he came
18	back over and	d talked to another Hispanic male at a different pump and got
19	some more g	as and put it in, and after that point, I lost him.
20	٥	Okay.
21	A	You know, he could've driven off or whatever.
22	a	How far away was the white car parked from the gas pumps?
23	Α	20 yards.
24	٥	Is that unusual if you were getting gas from your experience?
25	A	Yeah.
	}	

-119-

1	a	Did you see anybody did you pay close attention to the white
2	car at all?	
3	А	No, not really.
4	a	Okay. About how long did this event take place where this
5	individual had	d gone twice to gas pumps?
6	А	I'd say the whole thing, maybe over ten to 15 minutes.
7	a	This individual never came in to like pay for gas; is that correct?
8	А	No.
9	Q	What ultimately was it about this situation that kind of caught
10	your eye or d	aught your attention?
11	A	Well, it wasn't the fact that he came up and asked one person
12	for gas. It's	that he came back and asked a second one.
13	a	And then ultimately after the second time that he received some
14	gas in this co	ontainer and put it in the car, is it at that point that this individual
15	left?	
16	A	Yeah.
17	a	Did you see which way he went or did you pay
18	A	No.
19	Q	Okay. At some point did police officers come and talk to you
20	about maybe	what had happened earlier in the day?
21	A	Yes.
22	a	Was that later that day?
23	Α	Yes.
24	٥	And did you describe what you had just told us?
25	Α	Yes.
		-120-

1	Q Okay. Do you think you could identify this person again?	
2	A It's doubtful.	
3	Q Did you you didn't get a good look at their face?	
4	A I don't think I ever got a look at his face.	
5	Q Okay. But you said it was a Hispanic male?	
6	A Yes.	
7	Q Do you remember the type of car that you would've observed,	
8	the car that he was putting gas in?	
9	A It was just a smaller white car.	
10	MR. BATEMAN: Pass the witness, Judge.	
11	THE COURT: Any questions?	
12	MS. HAMERS: Yes.	
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
14	BY MS. HAMERS:	
14 15	BY MS. HAMERS: Q Good afternoon. How far was this white car from the gas	
15	Q Good afternoon. How far was this white car from the gas	
15 16	Q Good afternoon. How far was this white car from the gas pumps? Can you estimate in feet?	
15 16 17	Q Good afternoon. How far was this white car from the gas pumps? Can you estimate in feet? A In feet? About 60, 70 feet.	
15 16 17 18	Q Good afternoon. How far was this white car from the gas pumps? Can you estimate in feet? A In feet? About 60, 70 feet. THE COURT: Well, he just said 20 yards.	
15 16 17 18 19	Q Good afternoon. How far was this white car from the gas pumps? Can you estimate in feet? A In feet? About 60, 70 feet. THE COURT: Well, he just said 20 yards. MS. HAMERS: Oh, did he say 20 yards? I'm sorry. I missed that.	
15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Good afternoon. How far was this white car from the gas pumps? Can you estimate in feet? A In feet? About 60, 70 feet. THE COURT: Well, he just said 20 yards. MS. HAMERS: Oh, did he say 20 yards? I'm sorry. I missed that. BY MS. HAMERS:	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Good afternoon. How far was this white car from the gas pumps? Can you estimate in feet? A In feet? About 60, 70 feet. THE COURT: Well, he just said 20 yards. MS. HAMERS: Oh, did he say 20 yards? I'm sorry. I missed that. BY MS. HAMERS: Q And you said this was all over in about 10 to 15 minutes	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Good afternoon. How far was this white car from the gas pumps? Can you estimate in feet? A In feet? About 60, 70 feet. THE COURT: Well, he just said 20 yards. MS. HAMERS: Oh, did he say 20 yards? I'm sorry. I missed that. BY MS. HAMERS: Q And you said this was all over in about 10 to 15 minutes approximately?	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Good afternoon. How far was this white car from the gas pumps? Can you estimate in feet? A In feet? About 60, 70 feet. THE COURT: Well, he just said 20 yards. MS. HAMERS: Oh, did he say 20 yards? I'm sorry. I missed that. BY MS. HAMERS: Q And you said this was all over in about 10 to 15 minutes approximately? A Yes.	

1	a	On this day do you remember if it was more than just those two?
2	Α	Oh, yeah, there were other customers who came and went.
3	Q	More than five?
4	A	Probably.
5	a	More than ten?
6	A	Again, I'm I'm not sure.
7	a	Sure. We're just estimating. Would it maybe be safe to say five
8	to ten other p	people? Not 20?
9	A	Like I said, it would be hard to say.
10	a	Okay. But other people?
11	A	Yeah.
12	a	Now, you said that it was unusual that more than one person
13	was approach	ned and asked for gas; is that correct?
14	A	No. The part that was unusual is he got gas from one, then
15	came back ar	nd got gas from another.
16	a	Okay. What was unusual about that?
17	A	Well, we have people that do that on occasion there, but usually
18	they get gas	from one person, and they're gone.
19	a	Okay. So it was unusual to ask more than one person for gas?
20	A	Yes.
21	THE C	OURT: No, no. He's saying "get," and you're saying "ask." I
22	think it's prot	pably usual that they might ask ten people before they get one of
23	them to give	them gas, but to get two people to give him gas is what he's
24	saying is unu	su al .
25	THE V	VITNESS: Yes, sir.
ł		122

-122-

	.			
1	THE COURT: There you go.			
2	BY MS. HAMERS:			
3	Q So you're saying once you've already received some gas in that			
4	gas can, it's unusual to then ask another person?			
5	A Yes.			
6	Q Why is that unusual?			
7	A Because usually when it happens, they get their gas, and they're			
8	gone.			
9	MS. HAMERS: Okay. Nothing further. Thanks.			
10	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Appreciate your time. Yes, yes.			
11	JUROR NUMBER 10: Can I ask a question or			
12	THE BAILIFF: Hang on.			
13	THE COURT: The way you do it is give it to Lisa.			
14	JUROR NUMBER 10: I'm not going to ask it here.			
15	THE COURT: That's all right. Just give it to Lisa, and she'll give it to			
16	me, and if it's under the rules allowed, I'll ask it. If it's not, I'll tell you later			
17	why, and that's exactly the way you do it.			
18	Fair question. I'm not sure everybody here knows the layout of			
19	the whole complex. He wants to know where the car was relative to the			
20	restaurant. You said it was 20 yards from the gas pumps. Was it right up next			
21	to the restaurant?			
22	THE WITNESS: It was parked right next to the southern wall of the			
23	restaurant.			
24	THE COURT: Okay. And is that an entrance, exit wall or just kind of a			
25	dead wall?			
1	if I			

-123-

i		
1	THE WITNESS: It's a dead wall.	
2	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. You're excused. Thanks for coming	
3	down. Appreciate your time.	
4	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
5	THE COURT: Call your next witness.	
6	MR. BATEMAN: Your Honor, the State calls Kristina Paulette. May I	
7	approach the Clerk?	
8	THE COURT: Sure.	
9	MS. HAMERS: Mr. Bateman, is this your DNA witness?	
10	MR. BATEMAN: Yes.	
11	KRISTINA PAULETTE,	
12	having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:	
13	THE CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated.	
14	THE COURT: State your name, ma'am, and spell your name for the	
15	court reporter.	
16	THE WITNESS: Kristina Paulette, K-r-i-s-t-i-n-a, P-a-u-l-e-t-t-e.	
17	THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Paulette, you have a very nice soft voice, but	
18	this lady over here is doing interpreting, and it's very important that she is able	
19	to hear you clearly as well as the jurors. So try to speak up. You can either	
20	kind of pull that microphone to you or you can try to project.	
21	THE WITNESS: Okay.	
22	THE COURT: Thanks.	
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
24	BY MR. BATEMAN:	
25	Q. Ma'am, what do you do for a living?	

-124-

1	A I'm a forensic scientist with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police	
2	Department's forensic lab.	
3	Q Are you assigned to a particular department in the forensic lab?	
4	A I'm in the biology DNA detail.	
5	Q And how long have you worked as a criminalist in the forensic	
6	lab?	
7	A I've been with Metro for almost two years.	
8	MS. HAMERS: Judge, we'd be we'd offer to stipulate to her	
9	qualifications and that Mr. Martinez's DNA was found on Bianca Hernandez.	
10	THE COURT: Okay. I understand you may want to bring that out a	
11	little bit so that the jury can understand the import of that, but do you have any	
12	problem accepting the stipulation that she is a scientist, she is qualified to take	
13	and analyze DNA?	
14	MR. BATEMAN: No, not at all.	
15	THE COURT: All right. Then we'll save about 20 minutes going	
16	through her background and qualifications because everybody is going to say	
17	okay, she knows her job. She's capable of doing her job, and she's capable of	
18	giving the testimony she's going to give her today, and we'll have an	
19	abbreviated testimony as to what she did and what it means. Go ahead	
20	BY MR. BATEMAN:	
21	Q In this particular case were you asked to analyze some DNA	
22	samples?	
23	A Yes, I was.	
24	Q Okay. And just briefly, and I know it's a big topic, can you just	
25	briefly describe for the jury what generally DNA is.	
	-125-	
l l		

A DNA is a substance found in the body that allows genetic information to be passed down from generation to generation. Each of us has a unique DNA pattern except for identical twins, and half of our DNA comes from our moms and the other half comes from our dads.

Q So what is the process that you go through in comparing DNA samples to see if they either match or maybe identify an individual?

Q Okay. The first thing we do is to release the DNA from the cells from any substance that we took a cutting from, and then we -- once we release the DNA, we quantitate the DNA to see how much is there. We make lots and lots of copies of the DNA, which allows a tiny amount of DNA to be recognized by our instruments. And then the instruments separate these DNA fragments by their size.

Now, depending on the size of the DNA fragment, each DNA piece is assigned a number. And since we're looking at 15 specific areas of the DNA, we end up with 15 pairs of number -- numbers that make up our profile, and this is because half of our DNA comes from our dads and half of our DNA comes from our moms.

Q Okay. So how does DNA technology allow you to make an identification?

A Basically, each -- since each of our profiles are unique, we can compare DNA found from an evidentiary sample and compare it to a known sample taken from a particular individual and see if these numbers, indeed, match.

Q And do you -- well, let me go forward on this. Were you asked to examine in this particular case a sexual examination kit with a bucal swab?

-126-

1	A Yes, I was.
2	MR. BATEMAN: Okay. May I approach, Your Honor?
3	THE COURT: Sure. Why don't you tell the jury what a bucal swab is
4	and what a sex exam kit is.
5	BY MR. BATEMAN:
6	Q Okay. Let's start with well, what I'm going to show you is
7	State's Proposed Exhibit 21 and see you recognize this evidence bag and
8	what's contained in the evidence bag.
9	A Yes, I do. This is the sexual assault examination evidence from
10	Bianca Hernandez.
11	Q Okay. Now, you're saying that that's basically what's in this
12	evidence bag; is that correct?
13	A Yes, it is.
14	Q How do you know that that's in this evidence bag?
15	A Because those are the items that I examined and my initials and
16	date of when I seal the evidence are on there.
17	Q So when you receive this bag per the request to analyze DNA, is
18	this basically how you receive the bag to do the analysis?
19	A Yes, it is.
20	Q Okay. Now, there's a red label up here and a blue label down
21	here.
22	A Uh-huh.
23	Q Can you tell me what the difference is.
24	A The red label on top is what's sealed by the officer who actually
25	packaged the evidence and booked it, and the bottom seal is the seal that once
ł	

1	l open it up a	nd examine the evidence, I sealed that back up when I was done.
2	a	Okay. Now, tell me just like the Judge asked what exactly
3	is a sexual ex	camination kit.
4	А	A sexual examination kit is taken by a sexual assault nurse
5	examiner, an	d essentially it can contain things such as a vaginal swab. It
6	contains pos	sibly rectal swabs, oral swabs, any kind of swabs that may have
7	been taken from an alleged sexual assault from a victim. And also they have a	
8	reference sta	ndard in there from the victim as well.
9	a	What is a reference standard?
10	А	A reference standard is a standard a known standard from the
11	person so the	at you know that that is their actually DNA profile if we run that
12	swab.	
13	a	Was that contained in this particular
14	A	Yes, it was.
15	a	Okay.
16	THE	COURT: You mean, they have something that gives you DNA of
17	Bianca Hernandez so that you know that when you look at something it isn't	
18	her? Is that	what you're saying?
19	THE	VITNESS: Right. Correct.
20	BY MR. BAT	EMAN:
21	Q	Okay. Basically to rule her out?
22	A	An elimination standard, yes.
23	a	Okay.
24	A	Essentially.
25	Q	The sexual assault kit in this particular case, was there any items
		-128-

1	of potential DNA that you actually used in the process of making an	
2	identification?	
3	A	Yes, I examined a vaginal swab.
4	a	Okay. Now, when you say you examine it, what exactly do you
5	do?	
6	A	I took a cutting from the vaginal swab. After I tested it
7	presumptively	y to see that there was semen present, and then once I did that, I
8	went through the entire DNA process and ended up with a DNA profile.	
9	a	And you said you can get that from semen?
10	A	Yes.
11	٥	Okay. And then you actually found some DNA; is that correct?
12	A	Yes, I did.
13	Q	And did you find Bianca's DNA?
14	А	I found DNA that is consistent with Bianca as well as DNA that is
15	consistent w	ith Freddy Martinez.
16	a	Now, you say Freddy Martinez. How do you know it's consistent
17	with an indiv	idual by the name of Freddy Martinez?
18	Α	Because when I compared his reference standard to the DNA
19	profile found	on the vaginal swab, it was match.
20	a	You say "his reference standard." Did you get that from
21	basically a bucal swab	
22	A	Yes, I did.
23	a	that was provided to you? What exactly is a bucal swab?
24	А	A bucal swab is a swab taken from the cheek. They basically
25	just take a swab and rub it on the inside of the cheek.	
		-129-

-129-

ŀ			
1	a	Okay. I'm going to show you what's been marked as State's	
2	Proposed Exhibit 20. It appears to be also an evidence bag. Is this the bucal		
3	swab that you received to do your analysis in this particular case?		
4	A	Yes, it is.	
5	a	And it also has the red label and the blue label just like the other	
6	evidence bag; correct?		
7	A	Correct.	
8	٥	When you received it, it just had the red label?	
9	А	Yes.	
10	a	And then this blue label at the bottom, it's your sealing of the	
11	А	Yes, it is.	
12	a	Of the bag; is that correct? Okay. Now, the bucal swab, was it	
13	actually found in this particular bag?		
14	A	Yes, it was.	
15	α	Okay. So you analyzed the DNA from this particular bucal swab	
16	with what you found in the vaginal bucal swab in the sex assault kit; is that		
17	correct?		
18	A	Correct.	
19	a	Okay. And what ultimately was your conclusion?	
20	Α	My conclusion was that the DNA there's actually when we	
21	do a DNA ext	traction of sexual assault samples, we do there's sort of two	
22	fractions to t	he DNA extract because you're dealing with sperm cells and	
23	you're dealing with epithelia or skin cells from the victim. And so what we do		
24	is an enrichment process, which makes one of the fractions have the epithelia		
25	cells and one of the fractions has the sperm cells. So the fraction with the		
]			

-130-

1	sperm cells, the DNA profile matched Freddy Martinez's bucal swab.	
2	Q And can you say to what is there a percentage or that you in	
3	the forensic lab used to determine how likely it is that this is an individual's	
4	DNA that had been left in the sexual assault kit?	
5	A Yes. We use the stat 1 in 600 billion. It actually is greater than	
6	that, but that is our cutoff.	
7	Q And so, in your opinion, would the DNA that was in the sexual	
8	assault kit and on the vaginal bucal swab be the same DNA that was found on	
9	the bucal swab in State's Proposed Exhibit 20 of Freddy Martinez?	
10	A Correct.	
11	MR. BATEMAN: Your Honor, I'd move State's Proposed Exhibits 20	
12	and 21. I don't believe I have any other questions.	
13	MS. HAMERS: No objection.	
14	THE COURT: No objection. They'll be admitted.	
15	[State's 20 and 21 admitted.]	
16	THE COURT: Ms. Hamers.	
17	MS. HAMERS: Thank you, Judge.	
18	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
19	BY MS. HAMERS:	
20	Q Good afternoon.	
21	A Hi.	
22	Q You keep saying the sex assault sample. Just so the jury is clear	
23	and we're all clear, there's no special sex assault DNA; right?	
24	A No.	
25	Q This is just saying that his DNA was present in the sperm that	
	-131-	
ĺ		

1	THE WITNESS: Hernandez. I'm sorry.		
2	THE COURT: Okay.		
3	THE WITNESS: There could be		
4	BY MS. HAMERS:		
5	Q Without some other		
6	A Without other standards, there's no way to tell if there's any		
7	other DNA there.		
8	MS. HAMERS: That's exactly what I wanted to know. Thank you		
9	THE COURT: Anything else?		
10	MR. BATEMAN: No, Your Honor.		
11	THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Paulette. Thank you very much.		
12	You're excused. Call your next witness.		
13	MS. NYICOS: Judge, I think there's a juror question.		
14	THE COURT: Wait a minute. Hold on just a second. Did you do any		
15	investigation of any sample of blood or any other substance that was taken		
16	from the knife that might be related to this case?		
17	THE WITNESS: No, I did not.		
18	THE COURT: The only DNA examination you were asked to do and		
19	that you did was to compare the sperm sample taken from the vaginal area of		
20	Ms. Hernandez with the known profile of Freddy Martinez and the elimination		
21	sample of Ms. Hernandez; is that right?		
22	THE WITNESS: Correct.		
23	THE COURT: Okay. You got a question? There's your answer.		
24	Thank you. You're excused. Call your next witness.		
25	MS. NYICOS: The State calls Arturo Chavez.		

-133-

1	THE COURT: Come on up, Officer.		
2	ARTURO CHAVEZ,		
3	having been first duly sworn as a witness, testified as follows:		
4	THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated.		
5	THE COURT: State your name, please, Officer, and spell your name for		
6	the court reporter.		
7	THE WITNESS: My name is Arturo Chavez, A-r-t-u-r-o. Last name of		
8	C-h-a-v-e-z.		
9	THE COURT: Go ahead.		
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION		
11	BY MS. NYICOS:		
12	Q Mr. Chavez, how are you employed?		
13	A With the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department		
14	Q And in what capacity?		
15	A I am a sexual assault detective.		
16	Q And how long have you been so employed?		
17	A With Metro, 16 years now, and with the sexual assault unit, a		
18	little over five years now.		
19	Q And as a sex assault detective, can you just briefly tell me what		
20	your job duties entail.		
21	A Primary duties is to investigate any sex crimes, sexual assaults,		
22	loitering with a purpose I mean, excuse me. I was working Vice.		
23	Q Okay.		
24	A Exploitation of children, anything to do with sexually related		
25	crimes.		
	-134-		

-134-

_ [
1	α	Okay. And that would encompass child victims as well as adult
2	victims?	
3	A	That is correct.
4	a	Okay. Now, directing your attention specifically to August 16th
5	of 2006, wer	e you on duty that day?
6	Α	Yes, I was.
7	a	And do you work with a specific partner all the time or how does
8	that generally	work?
9	A	The majority of the time, yes, I do have a partner.
10	a	And what's your partner's name?
11	A	Detective Goddard.
12	a	Is that G-o-d-d-a-r-d?
13	Α	That is correct. Yes.
14	۵	And was he working with you that day?
15	A	Yes, he was.
16	a	Now, where were you called out to?
17	Α	We were called out to Mesquite, Nevada.
18	a	Were you also aware of an initial call that was made down in Las
19	Vegas, Neva	da, earlier that day?
20	А	That is correct. Yes, there was.
21	<u>a</u>	Okay. And what was the nature of that call?
22	Α	It was the kidnapping.
23	a	Now, do you respond to kidnappings?
24	A	No, robbery detail does.
25	Q	Okay. So you were called out to respond to Mesquite, Nevada,
		-135-
	I	

1	and why is that?		
2	A After they apprehended the subject that kidnapped the victim,		
3	she stated she was sexually assaulted		
4	MS. HAMERS: Judge, I'm going to object to the conclusion that		
5	someone was kidnapped		
6	THE COURT: Alleged. Alleged.		
7	MS. HAMERS: and also to the continued use of the term "victim."		
8	THE COURT: Alleged kidnapping.		
9	THE WITNESS: Alleged kidnapping.		
10	THE COURT: Okay.		
11	BY MS. NYICOS:		
12	Q So it wasn't until later that day up in Mesquite that there was		
13	any knowledge that this was sexually related crime?		
14	A That is correct.		
15	Q Okay. And did you respond to Mesquite, Nevada?		
16	A Yes, we did.		
17	Q Where did you go first when you got up there?		
18	A First we went to the hospital.		
19	Q And do you recall the name of that hospital up there?		
20	A Mesa View, I believe it was, Mesa View Hospital.		
21	Q And who did you come into contact with at Mesa View Hospital?		
22	A I made contact with two individuals at the time, Bianca, the		
23	victim		
24	THE COURT: Alleged victim.		
25	THE WITNESS: Alleged victim. Excuse me. And also the her		
}	-136-		

-1**36-**

1	boyfriend.	
2	BY MS. NYICOS:	
3	۵	And her boyfriend, did he have a name?
4	A	Yes, Jose'.
5	۵	Now, when you made contact with Bianca, was this in an exam
6	room?	
7	A	Yes.
8	a	Okay. And to your knowledge, had she already been examined
9	by a nurse or	was she waiting for one?
10	Α	She was waiting for one.
11	a	Okay. And what was her demeanor when you spoke to her?
12	A	She was crying. She had red eyes, puffy eyes, upset. But at the
13	same time sh	e was calm in a way.
14	a	And was she able to give you an account of the events that
15	occurred that	day?
16	A	Yes, she did.
17	a	And was this interview that you conducted with Bianca, was this
18	in English or	in Spanish?
19	A	It was done in Spanish.
20	a	And do you speak Spanish fluently?
21	A	Yes, I do.
22	a	Okay. Now, is that your primary language?
23	A	Yes, it is.
24	a	Okay. And
25	THE C	COURT: You don't want us to get you an interpreter here to help
		-137-

1	you with your questions?	
2	THE WITNESS: No, no, I'll be fine. Thank you.	
3	BY MS. NYICOS:	
4	Q All right. So after speaking with Bianca, where did your	
5	investigation take you next?	
6	A After speaking with Bianca?	
7	Q Yes.	
8	A After I got her statement	
9	Q Uh-huh.	
10	A we then Detective Goddard and myself then proceeded to go	
11	to the Mesquite's jail, detain facility.	
12	O Okay. And did you come into contact with anyone you see in	
13	the courtroom today at the Mesquite holding facility?	
14	A Yes.	
15	Q Okay. Could you point to him and describe something's he's	
16	wearing.	
17	A I think he's wearing a white shirt, and he has a brown a	
18	multicolor brown tie.	
19	THE COURT: The record will reflect identification of the Defendant	
20	Freddy Martinez.	
21	MS. NYICOS: Thank you.	
22	BY MS. NYICOS:	
23	Q And did you conduct an interview with Freddy Martinez at the	
24	Mesquite holding facility?	
25	A No.	
	-138-	

-138-

1	Q	Okay. Did you later conduct an interview with Freddy Martinez?
2	Α	Yes, we did.
3	Ω	Okay.
4	Α	Yes, I did.
5	Ω	Where did that interview take place?
6	. А	That occurred here in Las Vegas, Nevada.
7	α	Now, when you made contact with the Defendant in the
8	Mesquite hole	ding facility, what did you do with him at that point?
9	A	At that point we put him in the car, in the backseat of the car. I
10	sat with him	in the backseat of the car, at which time I did read him his rights
11	in English and	d in Spanish. He speaks both languages. And then we drove out
12	here to Las V	egas. And once we got here to Las Vegas, we took him to our
13	sexual assaul	t office, and, once again, we interviewed him there, and, once
14	again, read h	im his rights in Spanish and in English.
15	a	Okay. Now, you said you read him his rights?
16	A	Correct.
17	a	Are those found in do you read those from a card or do you
18	recite those f	from memory?
19	A	On him we did it I did it on memory.
20	٥	And what are those rights?
21	A	He has a right to remain silent. Anything you say can and will be
22	used against	you in a court of law. He has a right to an attorney. If you can't
23	afford an atto	orney, one will be appointed to you at no cost. Once you wish to
24	stop all interv	views, all interviews stop.
25	a	Okay.
		-139-

A Q Spanish?	Do you understand your rights. And you said you gave him those rights in English and in
Spanish?	And you said you gave him those rights in English and in
·	
۸	{
A	In Spanish, correct.
Q	In Spanish?
Α	In Spanish also.
Q	Okay. And twice?
Α	Twice.
Q	So the first time in the car before you brought him back to Las
Vegas?	
Α	Correct.
Q	And the second time at the sex assault detail office?
Α	That is correct.
Q	Now, the second time you gave him those rights at the sex
assault detail	office, did he indicate to you that he understood those rights?
Α	Yes.
Q	Did he indicate to you that he wished to speak with you?
Α	Yes.
Q	And did you then interview the Defendant?
Α	Yes, I did.
Q	Okay. And just as an overview, what did the Defendant tell
you?	
Α	Basically he stated that he had been having a sexual relationship
with Bianca f	or the last 16 years, that he was very in love with her. That about
a month ago	she moved out of the house or out of the trailer. She he also
	-140-
	A Q A Q Vegas? A Q assault detail A Q A Q A Q you? A with Bianca f

said that he suspected that she was dating someone else. That one day he was walking, he was going to use a payphone, and he observed Bianca going into this residence where she at the time was living.

He stated that -- that that morning -- the early morning hours he was waiting for her to come out. At approximately 5:00, 5:30 in the morning, she came out about three times. She came outside. She started the car, like warming up the car. She came in and out.

Q Did he tell you if he had something in his hand while he was waiting?

A He stated that when he walked up to the car to Bianca, he took out a knife.

Q What else did he tell you?

A He said he saw Bianca the third time by the car. He had a knife in his hand. He walked towards the car. He approached the car where Bianca was at. At this time her -- he wasn't sure if it was her boyfriend or friend came out. Pointed this -- the knife at him, and he then walked towards the victim, told the victim to get in the car --

MS. HAMERS: Judge, same objection. I'd ask that the witness be admonished to quit saying the word "victim."

THE WITNESS: I do. Bianca.

THE COURT: I think he understood the alleged victim. We've already made that determination, but it's kind of a natural thing to do. Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

BY MS. NYICOS:

Q Okay. And he got in the car with Bianca?

-141-

()	
1	son. And then they stopped at the side of the road, and he admitted to say
2	that he had sexual relations with Bianca.
3	Q Okay. Did he tell you whether or not this was a consensual
4	sexual relation they had?
5	A He said he took it. He took it because there was she was his.
6	And there was several times I told him, Why would you force yourself? Why
7	would you force someone to do something like that? He goes, She's mine.
8	Q And that's what he said to you?
9	A She's mine, correct.
10	MS. NYICOS: Court's indulgence. Pass the witness.
11	THE COURT: Cross?
12	MS. HAMERS: Yes.
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION
14	BY MS. HAMERS:
15	Q Good afternoon.
16	A Good afternoon.
17	Q The interview you had with Mr. Martinez was recorded; right?
18	A Yes. That's correct.
19	Q Well, let me try to start at the beginning. You first come into
20	contact with Mr. Martinez in Mesquite; right?
21	A Correct.
22	Q And you do actually do a number of interviews in this case?
23	A Correct.
24	Q With Jose', Bianca, Mr. Martinez?
25	A Martinez.
j	-143-
	1

i i	l	
1	a	Anyone else?
2	Α	No.
3	a	Okay. Do you interview Bianca before you interview Mr.
4	Martinez?	
5	А	Yes. That's correct.
6	a	Do you interview Bianca after you interview Jose'?
7	А	No, no, that's correct. I interviewed Bianca before Mr. Martinez.
8	a	Before Mr. Martinez?
9	A	Correct.
10	۵	Jose' Castillo, was that before or after
11	A	I'm sorry.
12	a	That's okay.
13	А	Jose' was first, Bianca was second and then Martinez.
14	a	Okay. That's exactly what I was getting at. It's the order I had
15	in mine as we	ell. When you interview Bianca, she tells you she doesn't have a
16	sexual relatio	nship with Mr. Martinez; right?
17	А	That is correct.
18	a	And she does admit to you that she was hiding Jose' from
19	Freddy?	
20	А	That is correct.
21	a	And when you're talking to her, when she talks about Jose', she
22	calls him the	man that lives with the family?
23	MS. N	IYICOS: Judge, I'm going to object. This is all hearsay. He's
24	asking her w	hat Bianca told him. I mean, she's asking him what Bianca told
25	him.	
Ì		-144-

-144-

1	MS. HAMERS: Judge
2	THE COURT: Go ahead.
3	MS. HAMERS: I believe it's an inconsistent statement. She testified
4	that he was her boyfriend and what she told police when she was interviewed
5	was different.
6	MS. NYICOS: She admitted to telling the police that on cross, so it's
7	not really inconsistent.
8	MS. HAMERS: It is.
9	THE COURT: Overruled. I'll let her get it in.
10	BY MS. HAMERS:
11	Q She told you that he was the man that lived at the apartment
12	where she lived with a family?
13	A At first, correct, and then during the middle of the interview, she
14	admitted it was her boyfriend.
15	Q When you asked her directly, He's your boyfriend; right?
16	A That is correct.
17	Q Okay. So before that she had said, He's the man that lives with
18	the family?
19	A That's correct.
20	Q And she told you that she went to live with a family when she
21	left the trailer with Freddy?
22	A That's correct.
23	Q She didn't tell you that she went to live with her boyfriend Jose'?
24	A Correct.
25	Q Okay. And she said that she had done that four months prior to
	-145-
I	I

1	that August 16 th date?		
2	A	Three to four months.	
3	α	Three to four months?	
4	A	Correct.	
5	a	A matter of months. Certainly not years prior?	
6	А	Correct.	
7	a	Okay. You also asked her about why she was at Freddy's house	
8	the previous	Monday; right?	
9	А	Correct.	
10	a	Because you and I'm kind of assuming by taking her statement	
11	and Jose's st	tatement that that was based on information you had from Jose'?	
12	A	Which part? I'm sorry.	
13	Q	Well, you in your interview with Ms. Hernandez, you start	
14	talking to her	about why she was at Freddy's house on a previous occasion.	
15	A	Why she drove	
16	a	She doesn't bring that up.	
17	А	Why she drove by, correct.	
18	Q	Right.	
19	А	Right.	
20	a	But she doesn't bring that up, you do. So I'm assuming that was	
21	based on info	ormation you had from the previous interview with Jose' since he	
22	was interviev	ved first?	
23	А	That is correct, yes.	
24	a	Okay. So he had given you that information and then you ask	
25	Ms. Hernand	ez about it?	
ļ		-146-	

1	Α	That is correct, yes.
2	Q	Okay. And she tells you she wasn't going there. She was just
3	driving by; rig	jht?
4	А	That is correct.
5	a	And that Freddy is just a brother to her?
6	A	Sees him as a brother, correct.
7	a	Okay. In this case did you ultimately end up impounding
8	clothing?	
9	Α	Detective Goddard did.
10	a	Detective Goddard did?
11	А	Correct.
12	a	Did you see that clothing?
13	A	Detective Goddard is the one that that did the clothing.
14	a	Okay.
15	A	The clothing.
16	a	Are you aware of what the condition of that clothing was?
17	THE C	COURT: Are you talking about the clothing of the alleged victim or
18	the clothing	of the Defendant?
19	MS. ⊦	IAMERS: I actually think that they impounded both.
20	THE C	OURT: Yeah. Well, I mean, the answer may be different
21	MS. H	IAMERS: I'll ask him specifically
22	THE C	COURT: There you go.
23	BY MS. HAM	IERS:
24	a	The alleged victim, are you aware of the condition of that
25	clothing?	
ļ		-147-

1	A	No. Detective Goddard did that.
2	a	Are you aware of the condition of it, is what I'm asking now? I
3	realize he imp	oounded it, but you were working on the case.
4	Α	No.
5	a	Okay. Mr. Martinez's clothing?
6	Α	What he was wearing, yes. Oh, actually, no
7	a	You weren't aware of that?
8	Α	He was what he was wearing, actually, it was in a bag. I do
9	apologize. It	was in a bag in a locker in Mesquite.
10	α	Okay.
11	A	Detective Goddard Detective Goddard was the primary
12	investigator i	n this case.
13	a	Sure.
14	A	Okay.
15	a	So you weren't aware of the condition of that clothing?
16	A	Correct.
17	Q	Okay. Now, you interview Jose' Castillo; right?
18	Α	Correct.
19	Q	And he told you that he had seen
20	MS. N	IYICOS: Objection; hearsay.
21	THE C	COURT: Why isn't that hearsay?
22	MS. F	AMERS: Well, Judge, it's really not for the truth. It's his
23	investigation	and why he did what he did and why he asked these people the
24	questions he	did.
25	THE C	COURT: As a result of talking to Jose' Castillo, why did you
	11	

-148-

1	MS. H	AMERS: Well, okay.
2	BY MS. HAMERS:	
3	Q	As a result of your conversation with Jose', why were you
4	asking Bianca	these questions about her relationship with Freddy?
5	Α	As a detective it makes you prove or disprove a case.
6	Q	Okay. But you were asking questions not about this specific
7	incident, but	about her relationship in total with Mr. Martinez; is that correct?
8	Α	That is correct.
9	a	And why is that?
10	Α	To gain knowledge, to gain knowledge of the relationship.
11	What's the re	elationship or was there a relationship? Just to gain knowledge at
12	this time.	
13	a	Okay. But after she had initially denied that there was a
14	relationship,	you continued to question her about that; didn't you?
15	Α	Correct, yes.
16	a	In fact, you told her, It's okay. You don't have to feel like you
17	have to hide	that?
18	А	Correct.
19	a	Was there a reason that you asked those additional questions?
20	А	Based on experience, there's, you know, numerous times where
21	a person th	ney do have a sexual relationship with a person, but they don't
22	want to men	tion anything due to the fact that maybe they won't believe them
23	later on. And	d that's why I was trying to get more information.
24	a	You just wanted to make sure she was being honest with you?
25	A	That is correct.
ļ	1	

-149-

- 1		· ·
1	Q	Okay. Now, when you interview Mr. Martinez, he tells you he
2	went there to	talk to Bianca about the truth; right?
3	A	Correct.
4	Q	And he doesn't say he went there to sexually assault her, does
5	he?	
6	A	No.
7	a	He tells you he had the knife to scare Jose'; right?
8	А	He had a knife, correct.
9	a	And he told you that he had the knife to scare Jose'?
10	A	He said he used it to scare Jose', correct.
11	a	Okay. And that Jose' ran away when he pulled a knife on him?
12	A	Correct.
13	a	Okay. And he told you that he took her on the trip to Mesquite
14	to make Jose	e' mad, to make Jose' come after him?
15	А	Correct, at one point he did say that.
16	a	Okay. And he admitted to being with Ms. Hernandez that day?
17	A	Yes, he did.
18	a	He admitted to getting in a car with her?
19	A	Yes, he did.
20	a	He admitted to having a knife?
21	A	Yes, he did.
22	a	He admitted to going all the way to Mesquite?
23	Α	Yes, he did.
24	a	He admitted to having sex with her?
25	Α	Yes, he did.
j		-150-

1	a	But he told you that the sex was not forced, nor without her
2	consent?	
3	А	No, he he said that he took it.
4	۵	And you recorded this statement; right?
5	A	Yes.
6	MS. H	AMERS: Court's indulgence.
7	BY MS. HAM	ERS:
8	a	I'm going to show you a transcript of that interview. Do you
9	prefer to see	it in English or Spanish?
10	А	English.
11	<u> </u>	English, I would assume. I'm going to show you what we've
12	now labeled	page 12, a transcript. I just want you to read down here to
13	yourself.	
14	A	Which part? Okay. From this line? Martinez?
15	a	Yes, just to yourself.
16	A	Okay. [Reading document]. Okay.
17	a	Thanks. After reading that, is it true that he told you that it was
18	neither force	d nor without her consent?
19	A	Yes. At first he did, correct.
20	a	Okay. And you testified today I want to try to get your words
21	right here 1	that he several times said that several times when he was asked,
22	Why would y	you force that, why would you do that? And he said he took it
23	because she	was his; is that right?
24	Α	Correct.
25	σ	I mean, that's what you testified to today?
		-151-
	1	

- 1	ł ł	
1	A Correct.	
2	Q Do you have a copy of this same transcript in front of you that	it l
3	just handed you?	
4	A Yes, I believe so.	
5	Q Okay. Can you show me where in that transcript that senter	ıce.
6	A Maybe look on page 14. Here's, Something made me	
7	something made me take	
8	MS. HAMERS: Sorry. Court's indulgence. It's my fault. I'm trying	to
9	find the right page here.	
10	THE WITNESS: Okay.	
11	THE COURT: Detective, what page and what line?	
12	THE WITNESS: It's page number 14. It's the middle of the page ur	ıder
13	Martinez.	
14	THE COURT: What's it say?	
15	THE WITNESS: It says, For her to forgive me, forgive me. What we	€
16	did in the backseat, it happened without you know, it being my intention,	but
17	I don't know. I don't know. Something in me made me take it. Forgive me	; for
18	that, but	
19	BY MS. HAMERS:	
20	Q Where it says, That it wasn't and it wasn't that everythin	g
21	that went on that day wasn't against her, that I am sorry and to forgive me	. 1
22	showed it to her and returned her keys to her car, and she was driving. It is	\$
23	not harm that I want to do, but always with her first.	
24	A Right after that, correct. If you go when you past Loganda	le
25	from there, what happened, the next line, and then you have Martinez.	

-152-

	1	
1	Q	So you passed Logandale from there, what do we know?
2	A	And then right after that you have Martinez.
3	a	For her to forgive to forgive what we did in the backseat. It
4	happened wit	hout it being my intention, but I don't know. I don't know.
5	Something in	me made me take forgive me for that, but, is that what you're
6	referring to?	
7	A	Correct.
8	a	Okay. And so when you testified today that he several times,
9	when you asl	ked him, Why would you force yourself on someone, and he said,
10	Because she	was mine to take, that's what you're referring to?
11	A	No. There's another portion somewhere here, Why did you force
12	yourself on h	er. Also there is a
13	Q	Let's go ahead and take them one step at a time.
14	A	Okay.
15	a	Where do you see, Why did you force it on her?
16	A	There which page?
17	۵	Please.
18	Α	I don't know. [Looking through document].
19	MS. N	IYICOS: And, Judge, just so the record is clear, we're all fumbling
20	around becau	se this is not a normal transcript. This has no line numbers and
21	no pages nun	nbers.
22	THE C	COURT: Okay.
23	THE V	VITNESS: I believe it's by number 13, we start talking about I
24	started menti	oning it, Why did you take her by force?
25	THE C	COURT: What was his response?
		-153-
	I	

1	MS. NYICOS: Judge, to make this just a little bit easier, perhaps we
2	can mark one and just move to admit it.
3	THE COURT: Do you want it in?
4	MS. NYICOS: That way we can just read it read from it without I
5	mean, it's Defendant's statement.
6	THE COURT: It's up to Ms. Hamers. Do you want it in or no?
7	MS. HAMERS: Judge, that's fine. I just don't know if there will be i
8	we have a little need to do anything with it before doing that.
9	THE COURT: So do you want to figure that out tonight and decide
10	tomorrow?
11	MS. HAMERS: Yes. So if we can just reserve those issues.
12	THE COURT: You got it. Just be ready first thing in the morning.
13	BY MS. HAMERS:
14	Q But I would still like to ask this officer I'm sorry. It's
15	detective?
16	A Yes, ma'am.
17	Q I'm sorry, this detective. So that he doesn't say there that he
18	forced her. Where is it that he says, Because it was mine to take? I believe
19	you testified today he said that several times.
20	A He said it correct. He said, She was mine. Maybe I read it in
21	the officer's report.
22	Q And let's talk about that because I know exactly what you're
23	referring to, or at least I think I do, in the arrest report. You didn't write that
24	report; right?
25	A No.
]	-155-

i		
1	a	Officer Goddard
2	Α	Goddard.
3	۵	did?
4	A	That is correct.
5	a	But he certainly did it with your input?
6	A	Correct, yes.
7	۵	And you certainly reviewed it after that?
8	A	Correct. After he typed it, correct. Yes.
9	a	And you reviewed it after that?
10	А	Yes.
11	a	And if there was something inaccurate, you would've told him
12	that?	
13	A	That is correct.
14	a	And he wouldn't have included it in his report?
15	А	That is correct. Yes.
16	a	And in that report he talks about this very recorded interview
17	that you did	with Mr. Martinez?
18	A	Yes, he does.
19	a	And what he says is, Detective Chavez asks him, and in quotes,
20	Why did you	rape her? He replied, in quotes, I was mad?
21	A	That's correct.
22	a	Right? That's on page six and seven of that report?
23	А	That is that is correct.
24	a	But that question and answer is actually nowhere in that
25	interview, is	it?
j		-156-

1	А	Not in this interview. That is correct.
2	Q	Okay. And when Officer is he a detective as well?
3	A	Yes.
4	a	I'll quit calling him officer.
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	When Detective Goddard put down in the report, he puts in, in
7	particular, at	bout what was done during this interview in Las Vegas with Mr.
8	Martinez; co	rrect?
9	A	Correct.
10	Q	But it's not in there?
11	A	Not at this statement. This is not all there, correct.
12	MS. I	HAMERS: Okay. Court's indulgence.
13	BY MS. HAN	MERS:
14	Q	And you already read a little bit of this, so I'm kind of going
15	backwards.	Mr. Martinez told you something about when a man is with a
16	woman and	another rooster comes around, he should do something about it?
17	A	Correct.
18	۵	And he's actually what he's talking about or in the context of
19	your intervie	w when he's saying that, he's talking about Jose' should react
20	when he goe	es and takes off with Bianca?
21	A	Correct.
22	Ω	Okay. And when he says that he told Bianca that she wasn't
23	returning to	Las Vegas and that someone else was going to take care of her
24	son, he tells	you that he told her that so that she would tell him the truth?
25	A	Correct. Correct. He mentioned that.

-157-

1	MS. HAMERS: Court's indulgence. That's all, Judge.
2	THE COURT: Anything else?
3	MS. NYICOS: Yes, please, Judge.
4	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MS. NYICOS:
6	Q Now, the interview you have in front of you, the transcript, okay,
7	is that a Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department certified transcript?
8	A No, it's not.
9	Q Okay. And that was actually one that was provided to you from
10	me that I had gotten from the Defense; is that correct?
11	A That is correct.
12	Q All right. And you reviewed this transcript yesterday and today;
13	correct?
14	A That is correct.
15	Q Did you notice things that were perhaps not included in this
16	transcript that you distinctly recall being said in this interview?
17	A Yes, I do.
18	Q Okay. And a normal Metropolitan Police transcript that we
19	would normally work with, there's places where there's a parenthesis, and it'll
20	say "inaudible," and there's places where there will be a long dash to indicate
21	that some things were being said that weren't being picked up?
22	A That is correct. Yes.
23	Q Do you see anything like that in this transcript?
24	A Not like that. I see little dots.
25	Q Ellipses?
	-158-

1	Spanish thro	ughout your career?
2	А	Wow. I can't give a number, to be honest. It's a lot.
3	a	Are most of your interviews done in Spanish?
4	А	It seems that way.
5	a	Okay. Now, in your experience, are there sometimes language
6	barriers?	
7	A	Absolutely.
8	a	Things that possibly get lost in translation when this gets put
9	down into Er	iglish?
10	А	Absolutely.
11	Q	Now, Ms. Hamers asked you about questioning Jose' Castillo and
12	questioning E	Bianca regarding her relationship with Mr. Martinez?
13	A	Yes.
14	a	And Mr. Martinez also related to you that he had had a sexual
15	relationship v	with her for over the span of 16 years?
16	Α	Yes. That's correct.
17	a	And you said that you ask these questions to determine whether
18	a relationship	actually exists or not?
19	A	That is correct. Yes.
20	Q	Based on your conversations with Mr. Castillo, with Bianca
21	Hernandez a	nd with Freddy Martinez, do you yourself believe a relationship
22	MS. H	HAMERS: Judge, I'm going to object.
23	THE	COURT: Sustained.
24	MS. N	NYICOS: Okay.
25	THE	COURT: It's up to the jury to decide if there was and what effect
	1	

-160-

1	that would have on the testimony.		
2	MS. NYICOS: Okay. That's fine.		
3	BY MS. NYICOS:		
4	Q During your conversation with Mr. Martinez, the Defendant,		
5	however, were you under the impression that a relationship was still ongoing?		
6	A Absolutely not.		
7	Q And what was Mr. Martinez telling you that led you to believe		
8	that?		
9	A That there was no relationship?		
10	Ω That there that it was over?		
11	A He says she she moved out, you know, a month ago, that he		
12	wanted to find out if she was seeing someone else. I think the day before that		
13	incident or I'm sorry. Three days before that incident, I mean, he saw		
14	another man with Bianca, that he followed them in his car, pulled in front of		
15	them to approach		
16	MS. HAMERS: I'm going to object at this point.		
17	MS. NYICOS: Okay.		
18	THE COURT: Well, there's nothing to object to there, but that will be		
19	the end of that testimony. Go ahead.		
20	MS. NYICOS: That's fine. Okay.		
21	BY MS. NYICOS:		
22	Q And could you have done some more investigation to determine		
23	whether or not there once was a relationship?		
24	A I mean, there's always more. There's always more we yes.		
25	Q Okay. That's fine. Did you do that in this case?		
	-161-		

1	A No.
2	Q And why is that?
3	MS. HAMERS: Judge, I'm going to object. She's going to the she's
4	trying to get the same exact conclusion as to his opinion as to whether or not
5	there was a relationship.
6	MS. NYICOS: Judge, that's not what I'm doing.
7	THE COURT: No, no. Overruled this time. It is different, saying, Why
8	didn't you do further investigation?
9	BY MS. NYICOS:
10	Q Why didn't you investigate into this relationship further?
11	A Because it was clear to me that there was no relationship at
12	least for about a month, if there was a relationship. I mean, definitely there
13	was no relationship. She had a relationship with someone else.
14	Q Would the existence of a relationship between the Defendant and
15	Bianca change your opinion as to how to charge the case?
16	A Absolutely not.
17	THE COURT: You're talking about a relationship a long time ago
18	MS. NYICOS: Any.
19	THE COURT: or a relationship on August 16th?
20	MS. NYICOS: Any.
21	MS. HAMERS: Judge, I'm going to object. That's his opinion of
22	whether or not that changes what the charges are.
23	THE COURT: Overruled. That's different than his opinion on as to
24	who's truthful or whether or not a person is guilty of the charges. Go ahead.
25	You can answer, Officer.
	-162-

- 1	
1	THE WITNESS: Those charges would definitely apply, I mean, in this
2	case for for what we had.
3	MS. NYICOS: Okay. Nothing further.
4	THE COURT: Anything else?
5	MS. HAMERS: Sorry, Judge.
6	THE COURT: Well, it has to relate to this.
7	MS. HAMERS: It does.
8	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
9	BY MS. HAMERS:
10	Q Ms. Nyicos asked you about this unusual transcript that you've
11	been shown in court today and that Ms. Nyicos gave you a copy of; correct?
12	A Correct.
13	Q Unusual in the sense that it wasn't prepared by Metro?
14	A It is different, correct. Unusual.
15	Q Okay. And usually when there's a recoded interview, a
16	transcript is prepared by Metro?
17	A That is correct.
18	Q And in this case, even when the report was written, it says,
19	Refer to transcript anticipating that Metro would prepare one?
20	A That is correct.
21	Q But Metro didn't prepare one in this case; is that correct?
22	A I don't know.
23	Q Were you the lead detective on this case?
24	A No, I was not.
25	Q And so is that information that Mr. Goddard would know?
ļ	-163-
	Day 1 - Volume I

1 '	11	'
1	A Th	at is correct.
2	Q An	d what you're saying is, that there was information in that
3	interview where	you actually asked Mr. Martinez, Why did you rape her? And
4	he said because	he was mad, and that somehow didn't make it into the
5	transcript?	
6	A No	, I said I recall, Why were you mad when you raped her? He
7	said, I was mad.	He goes, Yes, I saw we were able to see that you pulled
8	her out of the ca	r mad. And he said, Yes, I was mad.
9	<u>Ω</u> Of	the so
10	A Of	that I can't recall exactly the words, what was said
11	Q 1f	played that interview for you, would it refresh your
12	recollection as to	exactly what was said?
13	A At	osolutely.
14	MS. HAM	IERS: Okay. I'd ask to do that at this time.
15	THE COU	RT: It's in Spanish; right?
16	MS. HAN	IERS: It is in Spanish, and I have a transcript in Spanish he
17	could look at. 1	don't know any other way to do it at this point if he's going to
18	say it's not in th	e transcript.
19	THE COU	RT: Well, is it the case that there are spots in the
20	transcription who	ere what was said wasn't loud enough or wasn't clear enough
21	that it couldn't o	ome through?
22	MS. HAM	IERS: That's not my understanding.
23	MS. NYIC	COS: It's my understanding.
24	THE COU	RT: What does the dot, dot mean?
25	MS. HAM	1ERS: I'm sorry?
		-164-

THE COURT: You said that in the transcript there are places where there are just a bunch of dots in between words or sentences. Is that true?

MS. HAMERS: Okay. Yeah, there are -- there are dots.

THE COURT: What does that mean? Does that mean that there is something there, but they couldn't understand what it is?

MS. HAMERS: I can't speak for what exactly that means. I mean, I think he could tell me if he listened to the interview whether or not there's something there that's not being picked up. If he's saying, Yeah, that's what was said there, and now we can't hear it on the interview --

THE COURT: Okay. Why don't we have him do it tonight. Why don't have him listen --

MR. BATEMAN: May we approach?

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

[Bench conference; not transcribed.]

THE COURT: Okay. You know, we're not going to have you sit here while he goes through a tape in Spanish for some period of time. What we're going to do is direct him -- he can listen to it or they can all listen to it together or they can get an interpreter and figure it out on their time and not yours. And then we can ask a few questions in the morning so we can sort of clear up any misunderstanding. With that in mind, he's excused now; is that right?

MS. NYICOS: For now, yes.

THE COURT: But we need him back at 9:00 tomorrow?

MS. NYICOS: 9:00 tomorrow.

THE COURT: All right. Now, do you have any other -- is that okay,

Detective?

-165-

THE WITNESS: That's okay.

THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. You're excused, but don't leave because these lawyers are going to need to get with you and kind of define what needs to be done. They don't want you to work all night, but we need to have you do some work tonight. Now, do you have any other witnesses today?

MS. NYICOS: No, Judge, he would've been the last one for the day.

THE COURT: Okay. What have you got? A couple tomorrow?

MS. NYICOS: One, possibly two, tomorrow.

THE COURT: And then the Defense. Okay. Well, this is about where we expected to be. We sort of expected to be here tonight. The State has one or two witnesses tomorrow. I'm sure the nurse who can't be here today and the Defense. But we're every bit of halfway or more in the case because picking a jury and doing opening statements takes a little longer than reading the instructions and doing closing statements, and we're a little more than half the witnesses. So we're just fine on time in terms of being done exactly when we are supposed to. So that said, we'll get out just a little bit early tonight.

Again, don't talk about the case with each other or anybody else. Please, please, please. When you go home and they want to know what's going on, just say, It's a sexual assault case. I swore that I wouldn't talk about the details. Tomorrow night I'll tell you everything I know, but give me a break tonight.

Don't read, watch or listen to any report on the case. I'm sure there's none. There's no reporters in here. I keep a close eye out for that. So if you want to read the paper and have a cup of coffee in the morning, don't

-166-

worry that you're going run across something. If you do, just skip it, but that isn't going to happen. Please keep an open mind until all the evidence is in. Have a nice night. You'll put -- yes, sir.

JUROR NUMBER 10: Do we leave these here?

THE COURT: Yeah, you can leave that stuff and your badges on your chair. Lisa will take charge of it. She will have it on your chair when we get together tomorrow. We'll pick up at 9:00 tomorrow.

Why don't we start earlier? Well, the truth is that, while I'm in trial on one case, my job is to manage about a thousand cases in the courthouse. Each judge has about a thousand they manage. So from 8:00 till, you know, 9:00, 10:00, whatever length of time it takes, we do pretrial issues and post-trial issues on cases. Usually anywhere from ten to 50 in the morning, and usually it takes us till 9:30 or so.

As it is tomorrow, we only have three or four, and it's going to be very quick at 8:30, but I'm going to make the lawyers be here early so that we can argue about the jury instructions and not waste your time when that comes around. So if we get you here at 9:00, we should be able to sail right through other than lunch and get this case to you mid to late afternoon.

Okay. Have a nice evening. We'll see you promptly at 9:00.

Give yourself a little extra time because the elevators are lousy in this building.

They're leaving, Lisa. If you'll take charge of them.

[Jury exits the courtroom at 4:35 p.m.]

[Out of the presence of the jury.]

THE COURT: Okay. The record should reflect that the jury has exited.

Anything else to be on the record?

-167-

MS. HAMERS: Yes, Judge, we should make a record about the witness who testified, Mr. Artheris [phonetic]. Mr. Paulson spoke to him, so I'll let him make a record on that.

THE COURT: Go ahead, Ron.

MR. PAULSON: That's true, Judge. We learned that during the break --

THE COURT: In fact, before we start, let's start this: Right after lunch Lisa came to me and said, I saw one of our jurors — I believe it was Number 9 — making chitchat with a person and said, Who are you or something. Are you a witness in the case? And he said yes, and she was concerned. So I brought everybody to the bench and said, What do you want to do? And Mr. Bateman, said, Well, it's not a real important witness. If you want, we won't call him. That will eliminate the problem.

Everybody sort seemed that that was okay, so we did nothing, and we went back with that understanding. Then two witnesses down the road, the representation was, We've worked this out, and it's okay to call them. Now, Mr. Paulson, we're then to you.

MR. PAULSON: That's correct, Judge. I went out to the hallway and spoke to Mr. McPheeters. I asked him if he, indeed, had a conversation with one of the jurors. He informed me that as far as he was concerned, the juror didn't know that he was a witness. He had — he didn't really know or didn't indicate to me that he had any idea that she was a juror in this particular case.

THE COURT: That's why we have them wear a red badge. Maybe we need to have some lights around it.

MR. PAULSON: He said that their conversation simply consisted

-168-

of him asking if it was as cold in this room as it was out in the hallway. She indicated yes. They had a brief conversation about Las Vegas because he was from a little further north. That was the extent of the conversation. I was confident that they never discussed anything to do with this particular case, and there wasn't any --

THE COURT: So you were relating the issues, and you told Mr. Bateman, and we put the witness on?

MR. PAULSON: That's correct.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I think, again, I appreciate the professionalism of you guys. I mean, this is the way you handle it. When we have something that comes to our attention that could be untoward, you honestly share it with everybody and decide how to come up with a solution that's practical and works, and I think that was a reasonable solution.

l also think this: He said virtually nothing, so if we would've left him off, it wouldn't have made a whip bit of difference in the trial. He saw a guy that he can't identify that was Hispanic approach somebody twice and get gas and put it in a white car on the same day that this occurred. So it added nothing and detracted nothing. So how do we handle this? I'm sure it wouldn't have made a whip bit of difference. Thank you. Anything else?

MS. HAMERS: No, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. I gave you what I think is my best last set of instructions after we've had a couple submissions by everybody. So let's get together at 8:45. We'll do the instructions. We'll be ready at 9:00. We'll put this officer back on unless you guys agree that you don't need him. If you don't need him, you don't need him, but I want Kathleen and you guys to get

need, what you want him to do, whether you want to be present, how you want to handle it so we don't get tomorrow at 9:00 with a jury here and we say, Well, Judge, he needed to do this, that and the other.

So you're driving the train, Ms. Hamers. Whatever you want him to do. If you want to be there; if you want to have a Spanish-speaking investigator from your office there. However you want to do it to ensure that we get the best testimony we can. You orchestrate it, but let's make sure everybody's clear on what's going to happen so we don't waste the jury's time.

MS. HAMERS: Okay.

THE COURT: He'll go at 9:00, and then we'll go with the nurse, and if you have something else, fine. I would expect we need your witnesses here by a little earlier than 9:30. The nurse ain't going to take long.

MS. HAMERS: A couple things.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MS. HAMERS: I have -- based on what happens today, I have a couple more instructions to submit. I can do that probably by ten after 5:00 today. I can send them to you and to Ms. Nyicos, so we can still be ready to deal with those tomorrow morning.

THE COURT: Perfect. You fax them over. When I get into work at 5:45 in the morning, I will -- that was a joke. I don't get in till 6:00. When I get in in the morning, I'll look at them and see if they're good to go. If they're good to go, I'll put them in the set. If they're not, you know, you can obviously make a record, and we'll be ready to go at 8:45.

-170-

25

MS. HAMERS: And -- okay. So as far as the detective and the interview on the tape, he's going to go home and watch it. He'll pick out --

THE COURT: Here's what's happening: You and Ms. Nyicos and he are going to go outside, and you're going to reach some agreement on exactly what you want done and whether you want somebody there or you don't want somebody there and what you want to do in the morning. We're going to try to get him on and off in a few minutes at 9:00 in the morning. So you guys go out and figure out what you want.

MR. BATEMAN: Ron and I don't have to be a part of this; do we?

THE COURT: Well, I mean, at least one counsel -- at least one counsel from each side. You can have two. You can have an investigator. Do whatever you want, but Ms. Hamers is driving the train. I want her to be satisfied that he can either point out or say, I can't hear this or do whatever. I mean, she's entitled to kind of get into that. So let her sort of decide how it's going to go, and then let's make sure everybody is on the same page of how it's going.

MS. HAMERS: Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. Have a good night. We'll see you at 8:45.

[Proceedings adjourned at 4:42 p.m.]

ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

> EE VINCENT, Transcriber District Court, Dept. VII

(702) 671-4339

-171-