ORIGINAL

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

VJRU

2001 APR 12 P 3: 57

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

CLERK OF THE COURT

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff(s),

CASE NO. C226586

DEPT. NO. VII

-vs-

FREDDY MARTINEZ,

Defendant(s).

PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS NOT USED AT TRIAL

Attached hereto are the proposed jury instructions which were offered to the Court, but not submitted to the jury in the above entitled action.

DATED: This 12th day of April, 2007.

Charles J. Short, Clerk of the Court

Bv:

TINA HURD, Deputy Clerk

S15

DOFTS

INSTRUCTION NO. __A___

If you State has failed to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendant entered a vehicle with the intent to commit a kidnapping, he is not guilty of burglary.

NOTET'S
INSTRUCTION NO. B

If the State has failed to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendant subjected another to sexual penetration against that persons will then he is not guilty of sexual assault.

	DOFT'S
INSTRUCTION NO.	<u></u>

If the State has failed to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendant willfully seized, confined, inveigled, enticed, decoyed, abducted, concealed or carried away a person with the intent to commit sexual assault he is not guilty of first degree kidnapping.

DOFF'S
INSTRUCTION NO. _________

If the State has failed to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the Defendant willfully and unlawfully used force or violence upon the person of another with the use of a deadly weapon, he is not guilty of battery with use of a deadly weapon.

ļ	O ORIGINAL O		
1	VER FILED IN OPEN COURT APR 1 2 2007 CHARLES J. SHORT		
2 3	BY SEED SHOWER		
4	TINA HURD DEPUTY		
5	DISTRICT COURT		
6	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)		
8	Plaintiff, CASE NO: C226586		
9	-vs- } DEPT NO: VII		
10	FREDDY MARTINEZ,		
11	Defendant.		
12)		
13	VERDICT		
14	Wc, the jury in the above entitled case, find the Defendant FREDDY MARTINEZ, as		
15	follows:		
16	COUNT 1 – BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON		
17	(please check the appropriate box, select only one)		
18	Guilty of Burglary While In Possession of a Weapon		
19	Burglary Without Possession of a Weapon		
20 21	│ Not Guilty ·		
22	We, the jury in the above entitled case, find the Defendant FREDDY MARTINEZ, as		
23	follows:		
24	COUNT 2 - BATTERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON		
25	(please check the appropriate box, select only one)		
26	Guilty of Battery With Use of a Deadly Weapon		
27	Battery Without Use of a Deadly Weapon		
28	☐ Not Guilty		
	² 3 2007		
	CE-05		

1	We, the jury in the above entitled case, find the Defendant FREDDY MARTINEZ, as
2	follows:
3	COUNT 3 – FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON
4	(please check the appropriate box, select only one)
5	Guilty of First Degree Kidnapping With Use of a Deadly Weapon
6	☐ Guilty of First Degree Kidnapping Without Use of a Deadly Weapon
7	☐ Guilty of Second Degree Kidnapping With Use of a Deadly Weapon
8	☐ Guilty of Second Degree Kidnapping Without Use of a Deadly Weapon
9	☐ Guilty of False Imprisonment With Use of a Deadly Weapon
10	☐ Guilty of False Imprisonment Without Use of a Deadly Weapon
11	☐ Not Guilty
12	
13	We, the jury in the above entitled case, find the Defendant FREDDY MARTINEZ, as
14	follows:
15	COUNT 4 - Sexual Assault With Use of a Deadly Weapon
16	(please check the appropriate box, select only one)
17	☐ Guilty of Sexual Assault With Use of a Deadly Weapon
18	☐ Guilty of Sexual Assault Without Use of a Deadly Weapon
19	Not Guilty
20	
21	DATED this 12 day of April, 2007.
22	
23	JIM EAGAN FOREPERSON
24	POREI ERSON
25	
26	
27	
28	
- 1	

CE-05

S1

POSSESSION OF A WEAPON (Category B Felony), in violation of NRS 205.060, COUNT 2 – BATTERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony), in violation of NRS 200.481, COUNT 3 – FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPING WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category A Felony), in violation of NRS 193.165, 200.310, 200.320, COUNT 4 – FOUND NOT GUILTY; thereafter, on the 24TH day of May, 2007, the Defendant was present in court for sentencing with his counsel, KATHLEEN HAMERS, Deputy Public Defender, and good cause appearing,

THE DEFENDANT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED guilty of said offenses and, in addition to the \$25.00 Administrative Assessment Fee and \$150.00 DNA Analysis Fee including testing to determine genetic markers, the Defendant is SENTENCED to the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC) as follows: AS TO COUNT 1 - TO A MAXIMUM of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SIXTY (60) MONTHS; AS TO COUNT 2 - TO A MAXIMUM of ONE HUNDRED TWENTY (120) with a MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of FORTY-EIGHT (48) MONTHS; AS TO COUNT 3 - TO LIFE with the MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SIXTY (60) MONTHS, plus an EQUAL and CONSECUTIVE term of LIFE with the MINIMUM Parole Eligibility of SIXTY (60) MONTHS for the Use of a Deadly Weapon; COUNTS 1, 2, and 3 to run CONCURRENT; with TWO HUNDRED EIGHTY-ONE (281) DAYS credit for time served.

DATED this _____ day of May, 2007

STEWART/L. BELL DISTRICT JUDGE

S:\Forms\JOC-Jury 1 Ct/5/30/2007

DORA Fredys Martinez, #136, 330 S. Casino Ctr. Dr. Las Vegas, NV. 89101-6102 FILED DEFENDANT-IN PROPER-PERSON District Court Jun 4 5 31 PH '07 3 Clark County, Nevada 5 The State of Nevada, 6 CASE NO. 226586 Plaintiff. 8 DEPT. NO. ΧV VS. 10 Fredys Martinez, 11 Defendant, 12 13 DESIGNATION OF RECORD ON APPEAL 15 16 TO: Charles Short, Clerk of the Court 17 200 Lewis Avenue 18 Post Office Box 551160 Las Vegas, NV. 89155-1160 19 The above-named Defendant, Fredys Martinez, 20 by and through his ProPer Person, HEREBY, designates, 11 all papers, Motions and pleadings thereof, as and for 22 the Record on Appeal. 23 24 DATED THIS 24 DAY OF MAY, 2007. 25 Respectfully Submitted, 26 Fredys martinez, # 1361243 3305. CASINO CTR. DR LAS VEGAS, NV, 89101-6102

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

* * *

I, FREDYS MARTINEZ, HEREBY CERTIFY, that a true and correct copy of NotICE of APPEAL, was placed in the U.S. mail, by and through the Clark county Detention Center's Inmate mailing system, with postage prepaid, to the following addresses:

- 1. DAVID Roger, District Attorney, 200 Lewis Ave P.O. Box 552212 Las Vegas, NV. 89155-2212
- 2. Charles Short, clerk of the Court 200 Lewis Ave P.a. Box 551160 Las Vegas, NV. 89155-1160

DATED THIS 24 DAY OF MAY, 2007.

By: Martinez, # 1361243 330 S. CASINO CTV DR. LAS Vegas, NV.89101-6102

- 3 =

28

6 Fredys Marinez #1361243 3305. casino TR. DR. Las Vegas, NV. 89101-6102 DEFENDANT-IN PROPER-PERSON FILED 2 District about 5 31 PH 187 3 Clark County, Nevada * CLERK THE COURT The State of Nevada, Plaintiff, CASENO. 226586 DEPT.NO. XV VS. 10 Fredys Martinez, 11 Defendant 12 13 14 NOTICE OF APPEAL 15 16 NOTICE is HEREBY GIVEN, that, Fredys 17 Martinez Defendant, by and through his Profer 18 Person, HEREBY Appeals to the Nevada Supreme 19 Court, from the Eighth Judicial District Court's 20 Judgment of Conviction that was entered on or about May 24,2007. DATED THIS 24th DAY OF MAY, 2007. Respectfully Submitted, 25 26 Fredys Martinez,#1361243 330 S. Casino ctr. Dr. Las Vegas, NY. 89101-6102 DEFENDANT-IN PROPER-PERSON CLEAK OF THE COURT 27 7005 I & YAM 28 RECEIVED

NOA

ORIGINAL

ASTA

ASIA

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

2007 JUN -6 | A | 11: 42

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA CLE.

CLEAN THE COURT

7 || STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff(s),

Case No: C226586 Dept No: VII

Vs.

FREDDY MARTINEZ aka FREDYS A.

|| MARTINEZ,

Defendant(s),

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CASE APPEAL STATEMENT

- 1. Appellant(s): FREDDY MARTINEZ aka FREDYS A. MARTINEZ
- 2. Judge: STEWART BELL
- 3. All Parties, District Court:

Plaintiff, THE STATE OF NEVADA

Defendant(s), FREDDY MARTINEZ aka FREDYS A. MARTINEZ

4. All Parties, Appeal:

Appellant(s), FREDDY MARTINEZ aka FREDYS A. MARTINEZ

Respondent, THE STATE OF NEVADA

5. Appellate Counsel:

Appellant/Proper Person Freddy Martinez # 136243 330 South Casino Center Las Vegas, NV 89101 Respondent
David Roger, District Attorney
200 Lewis Ave.
Las Vegas, NV 89101
(702) 671-2700

sti

- 6. District Court Attorney, Appointed
- 7. On Appeal, N/A
- 8. Forma Pauperis, N/A
- 9. Date Commenced in District Court: September 29, 2006

Dated This 6 day of June 2007.

Charles J. Short, Clerk of the Court

By:

Teodora Jones, Deputy Clerk

200 Lewis Ave PO Box 551601

Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-1601

(702) 671-0512

/ / /

1	5. Name, law firm, address, and telephone number of
2	all counsel on appeal and party or parties whom they represent:
3 4 5	PHILIP J. KOHN Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street, #226 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 DAVID ROGER Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3 rd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
6 7 8	Attorney for Appellant CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538
9	Counsel for Respondent
10	6. Whether appellant was represented by appointed or
11	retained counsel in the district court: Appointed.
12	7. Whether appellant is represented by appointed or
13	retained counsel on appeal: Appointed.
14	8. Whether appellant was granted leave to proceed in
15	forma pauperis, and the date of entry of the district court order
16	granting such leave: N/A.
17	9. Date proceedings commenced in the district court
18	(e.g., date complaint, indictment, information, or petition was
19	filed): Grand jury indictment filed 09/29/06.
20	DATED this 18th day of, 2007.
21	PHILIP J. KOHN CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER
22	
23	By: I Min (MANTO)
24	KEDRIC A. BASSETT, #4214 Deputy Public Defender
25	309 S. Third Street, Ste. 226 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
26	(702) 455-4685
27	
28	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	i
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
• •	

28

RECEIPT OF COPY of the foregoing Case Appeal Statement is hereby acknowledged this $\frac{1}{2}$ day of $\frac{1}{2}$, 2007.

DAVID ROGER
CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY

By: Maign English

NOAS

PHILIP J. KOHN, PUBLIC DEFENDER NEVADA BAR No. 0556 309 South Third Street, Suite 226 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 455-4685Attorney for Defendant

FILED

Jun 19 9 57 And 107

CLERK OF THE COURT

6

5

1

3

4

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

17

18

16

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Plaintiff.

CASE NO. DEPT. NO. VII

٧.

FREDDY A. MARTINEZ,

Defendant.

NOTICE OF APPEAL

C226586X

TO: THE STATE OF NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

DAVID ROGER, DISTRICT ATTORNEY, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA and DEPARTMENT NO. VII OF THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK.

NOTICE is hereby given that Defendant, Martinez, presently incarcerated in the Nevada State Prison, appeals to the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada from the judgment entered against said Defendant on the 31st day of May, 2007, whereby he was convicted of Count 1 - Burglary While in Possession of a Weapon; Count 2 - Battery With Use of a Deadly Weapon; Count 3 - First Degree Kidnapping With Use of a Deadly Weapon; Count 4 _ Not Guilty and sentenced Administrative fee; \$150.00 DNA Analysis fee; genetic testing; Count 1 - 60-180 months in prison; Count 2 - 48-120 months in

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28

prison; Count 3 - 60-Life in prison, plus an equal and consecutive term of 60-Life for the Use of a Deadly Weapon; Counts 1, 2, and 3 to run concurrent; 281 days credit for time served.

DATED this 18th day of June, 2007

PHILIP J. KOHN
CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER

By: KEDRIC A. BASSETT, #4214
Deputy Public Defender
309 S. Third Street, Ste. 226

Las Vegas, Nevada 89155

(702) 455-4685

<u>DECLARATION</u> OF MAILING

Public Defender's Office, hereby declares that she is, and was when the herein described mailing took place, a citizen of the United States, over 21 years of age, and not a party to, nor interested in, the within action; that on the day of , 200 , declarant deposited in the United States mail at Las Vegas, Nevada, a copy of the Notice of Appeal in the case of the State of Nevada v. Freddy A. Martinez, Case No. C226586X, enclosed in a sealed envelope upon which first class postage was fully prepaid, addressed to Freddy A. Martinez, c/o High Desert State Prison, P.O. Box 650, Indian Springs, NV 89018. That there is a regular communication by mail between the place of mailing and the place so addressed.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

EXECUTED on the 18th day of June, 2007.

An employee of the Clark County Public Defender's Office

RECEIPT OF COPY of the foregoing Notice of Appeal is

hereby acknowledged this $\frac{19}{12}$ day of $\frac{1}{12}$.

DAVID ROGER
CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY

By: Mellie English

Obicing FILED ORDR 2 DISTRICT COURT ZOO7 JUN 28 P 1: 44 3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVA 5 6 7 STATE OF NEVADA, 8 Plaintiff, CASE NO. C226586 9 DEPT. NO. VII VS. 10 FREDDY MARTINEZ, 11 Defendant. 12 13 ORDER APPOINTING APPELLANT COUNSEL 14 Pursuant to Order of the Nevada Supreme Court, 15 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clark County Public Defender's 16 Office is hereby appointed as appellant counsel to represent the above-17 named Defendant. 18 19 DATED this 27th day of June, 2007. 20 21 WART L. BELL 22 ELERK OF THE COURT STRICT COURT JUDGE **2**3 JUN 2 8 2007 RECEIVED 24 **25** 26 27 28 STEWART L. BELL DEPARTMENT SEVEN

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89155

1

3 4

6

5

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

STEWART L. BELL DISTRICT JUDGE DEPARTMENT SEVEN LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89155 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that on the date of the filing hereof, she placed a copy of the foregoing Order in the attorney's folders in the Clerk's Office or mailed a copy thereof as follows:

Nevada Supreme Court

Phil Kohn Clark County Public Defender Attorney for Defendant

David Roger Clark County District Attorney

Judicial Executive Assistant

AFFIRMATION

Pursuant to NRS 239B.030

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding
Order Appointing Appellant Coursel.

filed in District Court case number & Local Security number of any person.

STEWART L. BELL

Date 62707

District Judge

FILED M **TRAN** 2 JUL 17 3 55 PH'07 ORIGINAL 3 4 5 DISTRICT COURT 6 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 7 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 8 CASE NO. C226586 Plaintiff, VS. DEPT, VII 10 FREDDY MARTINEZ, aka, ARRAIGNMENT HELD IN DEPT. LLA FREDYS A. MARTINEZ, 11 Defendant. 12 13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE KEVIN V. WILLIAMS, DISTRICT COURT 14 ARRAIGNMENT MASTER THURSDAY, OCTOBER 5, 2006 15 16 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING RE: **ARRAIGNMENT** 17 18 APPEARANCES: 19 For the State: SUSAN BENEDICT, ESQ., **Deputy District Attorney** 20 21 For the Defendant: KATHLEEN M. HAMERS, ESQ., Deputy Public Defender 22 PRC型V形 Also Present: VERONICA PELAS, Court Interpreter RECORDED BY: KIARA SCHMIDT, COURT RECORDER

S11

THURSDAY, OCTOBER 5, 2006

2 3 THE COURT: Case Number C226586, State of Nevada versus Freddy 4 Martinez. 5 Yes, Ms. Hamers? 6 MS. HAMERS: Thank you, Judge. This is a Grand Jury Indictment. 7 Mr. Martinez will be -- would -- can waive the reading. He's going to be entering a not-quilty plea, invoking his right to speedy trial. I'd like 21 days from the filing of the transcript for any writ issues. 10 THE COURT: You'll have 21 days from the filing of the transcript for any writ 11 issues. 12 MS. HAMERS: Thank you. 13 THE COURT: And would the interpreter please state her appearance for the 14 record? 15 THE INTERPRETER: Veronica Pelas. 16 THE COURT: Thank you. 17 What's your true name, sir? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Freddy Martinez. 19 THE COURT: How old are you, sir.

1

20

21 22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Read, write, and understand the Spanish language?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: How far did you go in school?

THE DEFENDANT: Thirty-four.

THE DEFENDANT: Sixth grade.

THE COURT: And what's your plea to the charge, sir?

1	THE DEFENDANT: Not guilty.
2	THE COURT: You have a right to a speedy trial within 60 days. You want a
3	speedy trial?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Speedy trial for Mr. Martinez.
6	THE CLERK: Calendar call, November the 9th, 8:30. Jury trial, November
7	13th, 9:30, department seven.
8	MS. HAMERS: Thank you, Judge.
9	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
10	(Proceedings concluded)
11	
12	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the
13	audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.
14	Kiara Schmidt, Court Recorder/Transcriber
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
1	

AFFIRMATION

Pursuant to NRS 239B.030

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding Transcript filed in District Court Case No. C226586 does not contain the social security number of any person.

KIARA SCHMIDT, Court Recorder/Transcriber

Date: 7/17/07

FILED TRAN 2 Jul 25 10 26 AM '07 3 ORIGINAL 5 6 IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT 7 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 8 9 STATE OF NEVADA, 10 Plaintiff, 11 vs. Case No. C226586X Dept. No. 24 12 FREDDY A. MARTINEZ 13 Defendant. 14 15 Before the Hon. James M. Bixler 16 Regional Justice Center 17 THURSDAY, JANUARY 25, 2007 Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings 18 19 20 APPEARANCES: 21 RECEIVED24 For the State: C. Martinovsky, Esq. Deputy District Attorney For the Defendant: C. Landis, Esq. Deputy Public Defender 25 REPORTED BY: JACKIE NELSON, RPR, CCR 809

> NELSON & NELSON Certified Court Reporters

CLERK OF THE COURT

JUL 25 2007

THURSDAY, JANUARY 25, 2007 1 3 5 THE COURT: State of Nevada vs. Freddy 6 Martinez. 7 Mr. Martinez is present in custody. This is a calendar call. 8 (Court Interpreter provided for Defendant.) 9 10 MR. LANDIS: This is negotiated. 11 Unfortunately, the guilty plea agreement is not 12 complete. It's missing some information, so we just need to get a new guilty plea agreement. 13 Mr. Martinez is ready to sign it if you 14 15 could pass it to sometime next week. 16 THE COURT: Okay. We're working off of a 17 lost files. Sure. 18 Do you want it like next Tuesday? 19 MR. LANDIS: The State has to prepare the 20 guilty plea so --THE COURT: How long do you need? 21 MR. MARTINOVSKY: Usually it doesn't take 22 that long. Tuesday should be fine. 23 THE COURT: All right. Pass it one week. 24 THE CLERK: Tuesday, January 30th, 8:30. 25

NELSON & NELSON Certified Court Reporters

```
Do you want to vacate the trial date?
 1
                THE COURT: Vacate the trial date, yes.
 2
 3
                                 -000-
                      (Proceedings concluded.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	
2	
3	CERTIFICATE
4	
5	
6	
7	STATE OF NEVADA)
8) ss.
9	CLARK COUNTY)
10	
11	
12	I, JACKIE NELSON, RPR, CCR 809, do hereby
13	certify that I reported the foregoing proceedings;
14	that the same is true and correct as reflected by my
15	original machine shorthand notes taken at said time
16	and place before the Hon. James M. Bixler, District
17	Judge, presiding.
18	
19	Dated at Las Vegas, Nevada, this
20	12th day of July 2007.
21	
22	L . 1 0 . 1 . 1
23	Jacai L Melon
24	
25	Jackie Nelson, RPR, CCR 809

1	Esq (2) - 1:21, 23	next [2] - 2:15, 18 notes [1] - 4:15	vs [2] - 1:11; 2:5
12th [1] - 4:20	F	110003(1) - 4.10	W
2	files [1] - 2:17	⊣ 0	week [2] - 2:15, 24
	fine [1] - 2:23	OF [2] - 1:9; 4:7	J WOOK[2] 2:10; 24
2007 [3] - 1:17; 2:1; 4:20	foregoing[1] - 4:13	one [1] - 2:24	ŀ
24 (1) - 1:11	FREDDY [1] ~ 1:12	original [1] - 4:15	
25 [2] - 1:17; 2:1	Freddy [1] - 2:5		_
3	G	_ P	
30th [4] - 2:25	guilty [3] - 2:11, 13, 20	pass[1] - 2:15 Pass[1] - 2:24	
	9=11, 10, 20	place [1] - 4:16	
. 8	<u></u>	Plaintiff [1] - 1:10	
809 [3] - 1:25; 4:12, 25	hereby [1] - 4:12	plea [3] - 2:11, 13, 20	
8:30 [1] - 2:25	Hon [2] - 1:15; 4:16	prepare [1] - 2:19	
		present [1] - 2:7	
Α	1	presiding [1] - 4:17	
agreement (2) - 2:11, 13	IN [1] - 1:6	Proceedings [2] - 1:18; 3:4	
APPEARANCES [1] - 1:20	information [1] - 2:12	proceedings [1] - 4:13	
Attorney [1] - 1:22	Interpreter [1] - 2:9	provided [1] - 2:9 Public [1] - 1:23	
	- 1110/P10001[1] 2.0	Fubiic [1] * 1.25	
B	J	R	
Bixler (2) - 1:15; 4:16	Jackie [1] - 4:25	ready [1] - 2:14	-
BY [1] - 1:25	JACKIE [2] - 1:25; 4:12	reflected [1] - 4:14	
C	James (2) - 1:15; 4:16	Regional [1] - 1:16	
	January [1] - 2:25	reported [1] - 4:13	
C226586X [1] - 1:11	JANUARY [2] - 1:17; 2:1	REPORTED [1] - 1:25	
calendar [1] - 2:8	Judge[1] - 4:17	Reporter's [1] - 1:18	
Case [1] - 1:11	JUDICIAL[1] - 1:6	RPR [3] - 1:25; 4:12, 25	
CCR _[3] - 1:25; 4:12, 25	July [1] - 4:20	·	-
Center [1] - 1:16 CERTIFICATE [1] - 4:3	Justice [1] - 1:16	S	
certify [1] - 4:13	1	shorthand [1] - 4:15	
CLARK [2] - 1:7; 4:9	<u> </u>	sign [1] - 2:14	
CLERK(1) - 2:25	Landis (1) - 1:23	sometime [1] - 2:15	
complete [1] - 2:12	LANDIS (2) - 2:10, 19	SS [1] - 4:8	
concluded [1] - 3:4	Las [1] - 4:19	STATE [2] - 1:9; 4:7	
correct[1] - 4:14	lost [1] - 2:17	State [3] - 1:21; 2:5, 19	
COUNTY [2] - 1:7; 4:9	M	Т Т	-
COURT [6] - 1:6; 2:5, 16, 21, 24; 3:2	machine [1] - 4:15	THE (7) - 1:6; 2:5, 16, 21,	-
Court [1] - 2:9	MARTINEZ (1) - 1:12	24-25; 3:2	
custody [1] - 2:7	Martinez [3] - 2:6, 14	THURSDAY [2] - 1:17; 2:1	
	MARTINOVSKY [1] - 2:22	TRAN [1] - 1:1	
D	Martinovsky [1] - 1:21	Transcript[1] - 1:18	
date [2] - 3:1	missing [1] - 2:12 MR [3] - 2:10, 19, 22	trial [2] - 3:1 true [1] - 4:14	
Dated (1) - 4:19	(-) -/	Tuesday [3] - 2:18, 23, 25	
Defendant [3] - 1:13, 23; 2:9	N		
Defender [1] - 1:23		U	
Dept[1] - 1:11	need [2] - 2:13, 21		-
Deputy (2) - 1:22	negotiated [1] - 2:10 Nelson [1] - 4:25	Unfortunately (1) - 2:11	
DISTRICT (1) - 1:6	NELSON [2] - 1:25; 4:12	V	7
District [2] - 1:22; 4:16	NEVADA [3] - 1:7, 9; 4:7	<u>-</u>	_
E	Nevada (2) - 2:5; 4:19	vacate [1] - 3:1	
	new [1] - 2:13	Vacate [1] - 3:2	
EIGHTH[1] - 1:6	1 ''	Vegas [1] - 4:19	I

702.360.4677

115

Fax 360.2844

NELSON & NELSON

Certified Court Reporters

1	TUESDAY, JANUARY 30, 2007
2	* * * *
3	THE COURT: State of Nevada vs. Freddy
4	Martinez.
5	MS. HAMERS: Judge, he's present out of
6	custody with the Interpreter.
7	(Court Interpreter provided for Defendant.)
8	Due to the nature of the charge, I wanted a
9	record of what he actually read regarding the
10	consequences of his plea.
11	Today he's going to plead guilty to one
12	count of sex assault. State retains the right to
13	argue.
14	MR. BAUM: That's correct, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: He's pleading guilty to what
16	was that, Count 4, one count of, just one count of
17	sexual assault?
18	MS. HAMERS: Right.
19	Count 4 would have been a assault with use.
20	This would be the amended.
21	THE CLERK: I need the original.
22	THE COURT: We don't have a file.
23	First of all, you are Freddie A. Martinez,
24	is that right?
25	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1 THE COURT: Mr. Martinez, you are a Spanish speaker, is that correct? 2 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: And is it your understanding 4 5 that today you are going to be entering a plea of guilt to the amended charge of one felony, one count 6 7 of sexual assault, is that correct? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 8 9 THE COURT: There is a guilty plea 10 agreement has been provided to the Court. There's one guilty plea agreement that's in English, and 11 12 there's one guilty plea agreement that's in Spanish. Have you read through this guilty plea 13 14 agreement? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: And did you understand what you 16 read? 17 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Did you go through that plea agreement with your attorney? 20 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Did you sign that plea 23 agreement? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 25 THE COURT: Are you entering -- are you

NELSON & NELSON Certified Court Reporters

Do you understand that?

up to and including life in prison?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And the sentence that the Court

NELSON & NELSON Certified Court Reporters

22

24

25

1 imposes at the time of sentencing is entirely up to the Court. No one can promise or predict what kind 2 of a sentence the Court's going to impose. Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 5 THE COURT: Has anyone threatened you or 6 anyone in your family that something bad would happen 7 8 to you if you did not plead guilty to this charge? 9 THE DEFENDANT: 10 THE COURT: According to the Amended Information -- excuse me, this Amended Indictment, it 11 12 says that on the 16th of August of last year 2006, 13 you committed a sexual assault upon Bianca Hernandez. 14 Why don't you tell me in your own words what happened. 15 16 THE DEFENDANT: That day Bianca was my wife 17 of 16 years. I got in the car with her and we went 18 to -- I went to work, it was in Mesquite, and we had 19 sex on the way to work, and we had a fight before 20 that. 21 THE COURT: Was that all done against her will? 22 23 THE DEFENDANT: No. 24 MS. HAMERS: Judge, I think specifically 25 we're talking about whether or not the sex was

NELSON & NELSON Certified Court Reporters

1 against her will. 2 There were some kidnapping charges, 3 etcetera. THE COURT: What I'm asking you, was the act of have sexual intercourse with Bianca Hernandez, 5 6 was that act done against her will? 7 THE DEFENDANT: No. 8 THE COURT: I can't accept your plea of 9 guilt if you're telling me that you're not guilty. Do you understand that? 10 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 12 THE COURT: Well, you're going to have to 13 discuss it with your attorney. If you're going to plead guilty, I need to 14have a factual basis for your plea, unless you want 15 to make this an Alford. 16 17 MS. HAMERS: And, Judge, I don't think that's in his best interest. 18 19 We've talked about this. 20 Can you give me one moment? 21 THE COURT: All right. Why don't we move 22 on to another case. 23 (Proceedings suspended.) 111 24 25

NELSON & NELSON Certified Court Reporters

1 (Proceedings resumed.) 3 THE COURT: Recalling Freddy Martinez. 5 (Court Interpreter provided for Defendant.) 6 MS. HAMERS: Judge, Mr. Martinez had a few changes of heart today. I'm really not comfortable with him making 9 a decision one way or the other considering the 10 consequences of our conversation today. 11 And, Judge, if I could have a couple days 12 to speak to him some more, other than in court. 13 THE COURT: You want to pass it a week? 14 MS. HAMERS: That's fine with me if it's 15 okay with the State. 16 MR. BAUM: That's fine, your Honor. 17 As long as it's off the trial calendar. 18 MS. HAMERS: Yes. The trial's been 19 vacated. 20 THE COURT: We're not going to take his 21 We're going to go back to ground zero, except 22 we are vacating the trial. 23 MS. HAMERS: We did that last week. 24 THE COURT: Oh, okay. 25 Mr. Martinez, you need to talk to your

NELSON & NELSON Certified Court Reporters

1 attorney.

You need to understand what will be expected of you if you're going to plead, either plead guilty or plead guilty pursuant to an Alford decision, and you think that's a possibility.

Is there some reason why --

MS. HAMERS: Well, Judge, I've spoken to Mr. Martinez extensively. What happens in these sort of cases if you enter a plea by Alford, later on it affects your parole, so it's kind of a complicated thing to talk about.

We revisited that today.

THE COURT: Give me a quick education. What affect does that have?

MS. HAMERS: Well, what happens is when you go before the parole board, particularly on these nature of charges, it's very important whether or not you're accepting responsibility.

If you've entered an Alford plea and thereby said, I'm not saying that I did this, but I'm saying that I value my --

THE COURT: Well, how can they use that to write up an adverse PSI?

MS. HAMERS: I absolutely agree with you, but it happens before the parole board all the time.

NELSON & NELSON Certified Court Reporters

1 When they look at acceptance of 2 responsibility and whether or not there's a risk, if someone has entered an Alford and maintains their 3 innocence --5 THE COURT: That doesn't sound right at all. 7 I mean, what happens the point of --I'll tell you what, you don't have to worry 8 9 about that in here with me because, if that's a problem and there's an adverse report and that's what 10 it's based upon because it was an Alford plea and 11 12 they're using the fact that he doesn't admit anything, I'm not --13 14 MS. HAMERS: The problem is actually before the board. 15 THE COURT: Oh, the board. 16 17 MS. HAMERS: Because he'd end up with a 18 straight ten to life on this, which is a huge range, 19 it leaves all the discretion with the parole board rather than with the court. 20 21 THE COURT: All right. 22 THE CLERK: February the 8th, 8:30. 23 -000-24 (Proceedings concluded.) 25

NELSON & NELSON Certified Court Reporters

1				
2				
3	CERTIFICATE			
4				
5				
6				
7	STATE OF NEVADA)			
8) ss.			
9	CLARK COUNTY)			
10				
11				
12	I, JACKIE NELSON, RPR, CCR 809, do hereby			
13	certify that I reported the foregoing proceedings;			
14	that the same is true and correct as reflected by my			
15	original machine shorthand notes taken at said time			
16	and place before the Hon. James M. Bixler, District			
17	Judge, presiding.			
18				
19	Dated at Las Vegas, Nevada, this			
20	12th day of July 2007.			
21				
22	t 1 0. 1			
23	Jacuis L. Melson			
24				
25	Jackie Nelson, RPR, CCR 809			

NELSON & NELSON Certified Court Reporters

1	board [5] - 8:16, 25; 9:15, 19	Deputy [2] - 1:22	hereby (1) - 10:12
12th [1] - 10:20	_ BY [۱] - 1:25	discretion [1] - 9:19	Hernandez (2) - 5:13; 6:5
		discuss [1] - 6:13	Hon [2] - 1:15; 10:16
16 (1) - 5:17 16th (1) - 5:12	C	discussed (1) - 4:7	Honor[2] - 2:14; 7:16
16th [1] - 5:12	C226586X[1] - 1:11	DISTRICT [1] - 1:6	huge [1] - 9:18
2	calendar [1] - 7:17	District [2] - 1:22; 10:16	
2	car[1] - 5:17	done (2) - 5:21; 6:6	1
2006 [1] - 5:12	Case (1) - 1:11	Due [1] - 2:8	important(1) - 8:17
2007 [3] - 1:17; 2:1; 10:20	case [1] - 6:22		
24 [1] - 1; 11	cases [1] - 8:9	l E	impose [4] - 4:9, 13, 20; 5:3
25 [2] - 1:17; 2:1	CCR[3] - 1:25; 10:12, 25	advention (v. 0.42	imposes (1) - 5:1
	1 '' '	education (1) - 8:13	IN [1] - 1:6
4	Center[1] - 1:16	EIGHTH[1] - 1:6	including [1] - 4:22
	CERTIFICATE (1) - 10:3	either [1] - 8:3	Indictment (1) - 5:11
4 [2] - 2:16, 19	certify [1] - 10:13	eligibility [1] - 4:17	Information [1] - 5:11
	changes [1] - 7:7	end [1] - 9:17	innocence (1) - 9:4
8	charge [4] - 2:8; 3:6; 4:2; 5:8	English [1] - 3:11	intercourse [1] - 6:5
809[3] - 1:25; 10:12, 25	charges [2] - 6:2; 8:17	enter[1] - 8:9	interest [1] - 6:18
B:30 [1] - 9:22	CLARK [2] - 1:7; 10:9	entered [2] - 8:19; 9:3	Interpreter [3] - 2:6; 7:5
8th [1] - 9:22	CLERK [2] - 2:21; 9:22	entering [3] - 3:5, 25; 4:1	
ous [1] - 9.22	comfortable [i] - 7:8	entirely [1] - 5:1	J
Λ	committed [1] - 5:13	Esq [2] - 1:21, 23	
A	complicated [1] - 8:10	etcetera[1] - 6:3	Jackie [1] - 10:25
absolutely [1] - 8:24	concluded [1] - 9:24	except[i] - 7:21	JACKIE [2] - 1:25; 10:12
accept [1] - 6:8	consequences [2] - 2:10;	excuse [1] - 5:11	James [2] - 1:15; 10:16
acceptance [1] - 9:1	7:10	expected [1] - 8:3	JANUARY [2] - 1:17; 2:1
accepting [1] - 8:18	considering [i] - 7:9	explain [1] - 4:11	Judge (8) - 2:5; 4:14; 5:24;
According (1) - 5:10	conversation[1] - 7:10	extensively [1] - 8:8	6:17; 7:6, 11; 8:7; 10:17
act [2] - 6:5	correct [4] - 2:14; 3:2, 7;	5.00	JUDICIAL [1] - 1:6
admit (դ - 9:12	10:14	F	July [1] - 10:20
adverse [2] - 8:23; 9:10	Counsel [1] - 4:11		Justice [1] - 1:16
adverse [2] - 6.23, 9.10 affect [1] - 8:14	count(4) - 2:12, 16; 3:6	fact [1] - 9:12	
affects [1] = 8:10	Count [2] - 2:16, 19	factual (1) - 6:15	K
	COUNTY [2] - 1:7; 10:9	family [1] - 5:7	kidnapping (1) - 6:2
agree [1] - 8:24		February [1] - 9:22	
agreement (6) - 3:10-12, 14,	COURT (20) 1/6: 2:3 15	felony [1] - 3:6	kind [2] - 5:2; 8:10
20, 23	COURT [32] - 1:6; 2:3, 15,	few [1] - 7:6	
Alford (6) - 6:16; 8:4, 9, 19;	22; 3:1, 4, 9, 16, 19, 22,	fight[1] - 5:19	L
9:3, 11	25; 4:4, 7, 11, 19, 25; 5:6,	file [1] - 2:22	Las [1] - 10:19
amended [2] - 2:20; 3:6	10, 21; 6:4, 8, 12, 21; 7:4,	fine (2) - 7:14, 16	last [2] - 5:12; 7:23
Amended [2] - 5:10	13, 20, 24; 8:13, 22; 9:5,	First [1] - 2:23	leaves [1] - 9:19
APPEARANCES [1] - 1:20	16, 21	foregoing (1) - 10:13	life [3] - 4:16, 22; 9:18
argue (1) - 2:13	court (2) - 7:12; 9:20	Freddie [1] - 2:23	look [1] - 9:1
assault (5) - 2:12, 17, 19;	Court(8) - 2:7; 3:10; 4:9, 13,	FREDDY [1] - 1:12	
3:7; 5:13	20, 25; 5:2; 7:5	Freddy (2) - 2:3; 7:4	M
Attomey [1] - 1:22	Court's (1) - 5:3	1	
attorney [4] - 3:20; 4:8; 6:13;	custody (1) - 2:6	freely [1] - 4:1	machine [1] - 10:15
8:1	_		maintains [1] - 9:3
August [1] - 5:12	, D	G	mandatory [1] - 4:21
	Dated [1] - 10:19	ground [1] - 7:21	MARTINEZ [1] - 1:12
В	days [1] - 7:11	guilt [4] - 3:6; 4:1, 5; 6:9	Martinez [8] - 2:4, 23; 3:1;
	decision [2] - 7:9; 8:5	guilty [12] - 2:11, 15; 3:9,	4:19; 7:4, 6, 25; 8:8
oad[1] - 5:7		11-13; 4:9; 5:8; 6:9, 14; 8:4	mean (2) - 4:17; 9:7
Dased [1] - 9:11	DEFENDANT[17] - 2:25; 3:3,		Mesquite (1) - 5:18
pasis [1] - 6:15	8, 15, 18, 21, 24; 4:3, 6,	Н	minimum [1] - 4:21
Baum [1] - 1:21	10, 24; 5:5, 9, 16, 23; 6:7,		moment [1] - 6:20
BAUM [2] - 2:14; 7:16	11	Hamers [1] - 1:23	move [1] - 6:21
pegin [1] - 4:17	Defendant [6] - 1:13, 23; 2:7;	HAMERS (14) - 2:5, 18; 4:14;	MR [2] - 2:14; 7:16
best [1] - 6:18	4:12, 15; 7:5	5:24; 6:17; 7:6, 14, 18, 23;	MS [14] - 2:5, 18; 4:14; 5:24;
Bianca [3] - 5:13, 16; 6:5	Defender [1] - 1:23	8:7, 15, 24; 9:14, 17	6:17; 7:6, 14, 18, 23; 8:7,
Bixler [2] - 1:15; 10:16	Dept[1] - 1:11	heart [1] - 7:7	15, 24; 9:14, 17
	1	I	IU, 44, 3.14, If

NELSON & NELSON Certified Court Reporters

	 	
N	rather[1] - 9:20	8:12
Patrice 2:9: 9:17	read [3] - 2:9; 3:13, 17	TRAN [1] - 1:1
nature [2] - 2:8; 8:17	really (1) - 7:8	Transcript [1] - 1:18
need [4] - 2:21; 6:14; 7:25; 8:2	reason [1] - 8:6	trial [2] - 7:17, 22
	Recalling[1] - 7:4	trial's (1) - 7:18
NELSON [2] - 1:25; 10:12	record [1] - 2:9	true[1] - 10:14
Nelson [1] - 10:25	reflected [1] - 10:14	
NEVADA [3] - 1:7, 9; 10:7	regarding [1] - 2:9	U
Nevada [2] - 2:3; 10:19	regards [1] - 4:12	unless [1] - 6:15
notes [1] - 10:15	Regional [1] - 1:16	up [4] - 4:22; 5:1; 8:23; 9:17
numerous [1] - 4:15	report (1) - 9:10	GP [4] 4.22, 5.1, 5.25, 5.11
0	REPORTED[i] - 1:25	V
-	reported [1] - 10:13	vacated in 7:19
occasions [1] - 4:15	Reporter's [1] - 1:18	vacated [1] - 7:19 vacating [1] - 7:22
OF [2] - 1:9; 10:7	responsibility [2] - 8:18; 9:2	value [1] - 8:21
one [10] - 2:11, 16; 3:6,	resumed (1) - 7:2	Value (1) - 0.21 Vegas (1) - 10:19
11-12; 5:2; 6:20; 7:9	retains (1) - 2:12	• ''
original _[2] - 2:21; 10:15	return [1] - 4:5	voluntarily [1] - 4:1
own [1] - 5:14	revisited [1] - 8:12	vs [2] - 1:11; 2:3
P	risk [1] - 9:2 RPR [3] - 1:25; 10:12, 25	w
•	,,,,	week [2] - 7:13, 23
parole [5] - 4:17; 8:10, 16, 25: 9:19	S	wife[1] - 5:16
'	contoneo (5) 4:9 13 20	words [1] - 5:14
particularly [1] - 8:16	sentence [5] - 4:8, 13, 20, 25; 5:3	worry [1] - 9:8
pass [1] - 7:13	sentencing [2] + 4:16; 5:1	write [1] - 8:23
place [1] - 10:16		write (1) = 0.20
Plaintiff [1] - 1:10	sex [3] - 2:12; 5:19, 25	Υ
plea (16) - 2:10; 3:5, 9, 11-13,	sexual [4] - 2:17; 3:7; 5:13; 6:5	T
19, 22; 4:1, 5; 6:8, 15;		year[1] - 5:12
7:21; 8:9, 19; 9:11	shorthand [1] - 10:15	years (3) - 4:18, 21; 5:17
plead [6] - 2:11; 5:8; 6:14;	sign [1] - 3:22	
8:3	someone [1] - 9:3	Z
pleading [1] - 2:15	sort [1] - 8:8	zero[1] - 7:21
pled [1] - 4:9	sound [1] - 9:5 Spanish [2] - 3:1, 12	2610[1] - 7.21
point [1] - 9:7		
possibility [1] - 8:5	speaker [1] - 3:2	
possible [1] - 4:8	specifically [1] - 5:24	
predict[1] - 5:2	spoken [1] - 8:7	
present[1] - 2:5	SS [1] - 10:8	
presiding (1) - 10:17	STATE [2] - 1:9; 10:7	
previous [1] - 4:15	State [4] - 1:21; 2:3, 12; 7:15	
prison [1] - 4:22	straight [1] - 9:18	
problem (2) - 9:10, 14	suspended [1] - 6:23	
proceedings [1] - 10:13	_	
Proceedings [4] - 1:18; 6:23;	Т	
7:2; 9:24	ten [4] - 4:16, 21; 9:18	ĺ
promise [1] - 5:2	THE [51] - 1:6; 2:3, 15, 21-22,	!
promised (1) - 4:4	25; 3:1, 3-4, 8-9, 15-16,	
provided [3] - 2:7; 3:10; 7:5	18-19, 21-22, 24-25; 4:3,	
PSI [1] - 8:23	6-7, 10-11, 19, 24-25; 5:5,	
Public [1] - 1:23	9-10, 16, 21, 23, 6:4, 7-8,	
pursuant [1] - 8:4	11-12, 21; 7:4, 13, 20, 24;	
	8:13, 22; 9:5, 16, 21	
Q	thereby [1] - 8:20	
quick [1] - 8:13	threatened [1] - 5:6	
	THURSDAY (2) - 1:17; 2:1	
R	Today [1] - 2:11	
range (4) - 4:8, 12, 16; 9:18	today [5] - 3:5; 4:15; 7:7, 10;	
NEISON & NEISON	<u>I</u>	<u> </u>

NELSON & NELSON Certified Court Reporters

ORIGINAL

FILED

Aug 3 10 09 AM '07

CLERK OF THE COURT

IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK

THE HON. JAMES M. BIXLER, DISTRICT JUDGE, PRESIDING

9

10

12

13

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

THE STATE OF NEVADA,
11 Plaintiff,

v.
FREDDY A. MARTINEZ,
Defendant.

Case No. C-226586-X

Dept. XXIV

14

15

16

17

18

19

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Calendar Call

COURTHOUSE

April 5, 2007

Las Vegas, Nevada

20

21

22

23 Reported by:

Lee M. Bahr, CP, CCR 173

24

25

RECEIVED

AUG - 3 2007

CLERK OF THE COURT

Lee M. Bahr, CCR 173

702-243-3386



APPEARANCES:	
For the State:	NOREEN C. NYICOS, ESQ. Deputy D. A.
	200 Lewis Ave. Las Vegas, NV. 89155
	Lus Vegus, NV. 07133
Defendant present in court.	
For the Defendant:	CASEY LANDIS, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender 309 South Third Street
	Suite 226 Las Vegas, NV. 89101
No other appearances.	

	For the State: Defendant present in court. For the Defendant: No other appearances.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

THE COURT: The State of Nevada v. Freddy Martinez, and that is where on the calendar?

MS. NYIKOS: I think page four at the bottom.

THE COURT: Where is it?

THE CLERK: Bottom of seven?

MS. NYIKOS: Bottom of four.

THE COURT: Okay, what page is it?

THE CLERK: Bottom of four.

THE COURT: The State of Nevada v. Freddy Martinez, bottom of page four, calendar call.

What we are going to do, if he qualifies for overflow, we are already kind of jammed so --

THE CLERK: And they can't go to overflow because they have one out-of-state witness.

MS. NYIKOS: Well, I talked to Judge Bell in the past, and I did clarify with Charmaine. They are fine with overflow with out-of-state witnesses.

The only thing they are picky about is whether or not we can get them there in the time frame.

So what my suggestion would be is to go ahead, send it to overflow.

I will talk to Charmaine and let them know what my problem is that I have to put this witness on Thursday,

Lee M. Bahr, CCR 173

702-243-3386

```
and if they can't accommodate us, they will just take it
 1
 2
      back.
 3
                THE COURT: All right, okay.
                THE CLERK: Got to go tomorrow morning at nine
 4
      a.m. with Judge Bell.
 5
 6
                MR. LANDIS: That is what we want as well.
 7
                THE COURT: You've got it.
 8
                THE CLERK: So both of you are trying this case?
 9
                MR. LANDIS: No, it is actually Ms. Hammers.
10
                MS. HAMMERS: I am Kathleen Hammers. I have Sam
11
      Bateman with me.
12
                THE CLERK: Okay. How many days?
13
                THE COURT: Three days.
                MS. NYIKOS: Two and a half.
14
15
                THE CLERK: Okay.
                MS. NYIKOS: Two and a half in here, two in
16
17
      Judge Bell's.
18
                THE COURT: I haven't had enough practice yet.
19
                MS. NYIKOS: You will get there, Judge.
20
                MR. LANDIS: Not necessarily good though.
21
                THE CLERK: They need to go tomorrow morning
22
      at nine a.m., April 6 at nine a.m., before Judge Bell.
23
                MS. NYIKOS: Thank you. That does it for me.
24
      Thank you, Judge.
25
                MR. LANDIS: Thank you, Judge.
```

```
1
                 THE COURT: Thank you.
2
                                     ****
 3
                             (End of proceedings.)
                                     ****
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
4	
5	STATE OF NEVADA)
6) ss.
7	CLARK COUNTY)
8	
9	
10	I, LEE M. BAHR, CP, CCR 173, do hereby certify
11	that I reported the foregoing proceedings; that the same
12	is true and correct as reflected by my original machine
13	shorthand notes taken at said time and place before the
14	Hon. James M. Bixler, District Judge, presiding.
15	
16	Dated at Las Vegas, Nevada, this
17	16th day of July, 2007.
18	
19	
20	I in R .
21	Lei Mohn
22	LEE M. BAHR, CP, CCR 173
23	
24	
25	

TRAN

		1
		2
		3
		4
		5
		6
		7
		8
		9
		10
		11
		12
		13
		14
		15
		16
		17
		18
		19
		20
CLERK OF THE CO	AUG 10 2007	21 DECEMPE

逶

U	R	2 3	Ĝ	Acres 3	N	A	
C	u cz	u	Vic T	7	4 W		

FILED

Aug 10 10 16 AH '07

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADALERE OF THE COURT

STATE OF NEVADA,

CASE NO. C226586

Plaintiff, vs.

DEPT. VII

FREDDY A. MARTINEZ,

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE STEWART L. BELL, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Wednesday, April 11, 2007

RECORDER'S PARTIAL TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL DAY 1 - VOLUME I

APPEARANCES:

For the State:

NOREEN C. NYICOS, ESQ.
Deputy District Attorney
SAMUEL G. BATEMAN, ESQ.
Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant:

KATHLEEN M. HAMERS, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender RONALD S. PAULSON, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender

RECORDED BY: RENEE VINCENT, COURT RECORDER

-1-

Day 1 - Volume I

INDEX

2		PAGE
3	WITNESS: FRANKLIN MARTINEZ	
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BATEMAN	34
5	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HAMERS	42
6	WITNESS: JOSE' QUIROZ-CASTILLO	
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BATEMAN	46
8	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HAMERS	57
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BATEMAN	64
10	WITNESS: BIANCA HERNANDEZ	
11	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. NYICOS:	67
12	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PAULSON	82
13	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. NYICOS:	100
14	WITNESS: SHANE ROBERT CHARLES	
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. NYICOS	106
16	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HAMERS	110
17	WITNESS: LANCE BARR	
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. NYICOS	111
19	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HAMERS	115
20	WITNESS: KRISTINA PAULETTE	
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BATEMAN	116
22	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HAMERS	121
23	 	
24		
25		
]	

-2-

Day 1 - Volume I

INDEX[continued] **PAGE** WITNESS: ARTURO CHAVEZ DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. NYICOS CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HAMERS REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. NYICOS RECROS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HAMERS EXHIBITS PAGE State's Exhibits 16, 17 & 18 State's Exhibits 20 & 21 State's Exhibit 24-E -3-Day 1 - Volume I

Tuesday, April 11, 2007 - 9:11 a.m.

[Out of the presence of the jury]

THE COURT: This is Case Number C226586, State of Nevada versus Freddy Martinez. Let the record reflect the presence of Mr. Martinez, his counsel, Mr. Paulson, Ms. Hamers; Mr. Bateman, and I guess Ms. Nyicos is coming for the State. Absence of the jury. Any matters to come before the Court before we bring the jury in?

MS. HAMERS: Not from us, Judge.

MR. BATEMAN: I don't believe so. The only thing, Your Honor, was, we did have some witness availability issues, one of the detectives and then our nurse. The nurse is coming in from out of state.

MS. NYICOS: Absolutely cannot be here until tomorrow.

MR. BATEMAN: Right.

MS. NYICOS: But I think we've got enough today to fill today.

MR. BATEMAN: Most of today.

MS. NYICOS: Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. We do the best we can. I thought we'd probably get all of our witnesses today and argument tomorrow, but obviously we won't, so we'll be done tomorrow night.

MR. BATEMAN: At the very latest. I mean --

MS. NYICOS: Yeah. I mean, we'll be done tomorrow morning.

MR. BATEMAN: Yeah.

THE COURT: This Defendant doesn't have prior felonies. If I had to guess, I guess he's probably going to get on the stand. And so by the time we

-4-

get through argument and get them the case at 4:00, it's probably what we're 2 dealing with. Okay. 3 [Court at ease.] 4 THE COURT: Okay. We'll do one alternate, so that's 13. So you'll have nine each. Certainly, you wouldn't need to use nine, but if you need to, 5 do what you gotta do. I take it the Defendant doesn't speak English since we 7 have the interpreter? 8 MS. NYICOS: Yes. 9 THE COURT: Do we have witnesses who don't speak English? 10 MS. NYICOS: Yes. 11 THE COURT: And we have interpreters -- separate interpreters coming 12 up for them? 13 MS. NYICOS: Yeah. 14 [Court at ease; waiting for prospective jury panel.] 15 [Prospective jury panel enters the courtroom at 9:28 a.m.] 16 THE COURT: Okay. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. This is the 17 time set for trial in Case Number C226586, State of Nevada versus Freddy Martinez. This is Mr. Martinez here in the white shirt and the tie with his 18 attorneys, Mr. Ron Paulson and Ms. Kathleen Hamers. These are prosecuting 19 attorneys, Ms. Noreen Nyicos and Mr. Sam Bateman, who represent the State 20 of Nevada. This is a criminal case, and Mr. Martinez is charged with sexual 21 22 assault and a couple of related other charges. My name is Stew Bell. I'm the judge assigned to try this case. 23 24 You're in Department VII. You've been summoned here to act as potential 25 jurors to decide this case.

Let me introduce the staff to you. This is Renee Vincent. She's our court reporter/recorder. It is her job to see that everything is said and by whom is taken down accurately. The reason for that is that after the trial, if one side or the other thinks I didn't do my job right and they want to appeal to the Nevada Supreme Court, a transcript of exactly what happened is prepared and sent to the Court, and that's what they review to determine whether or not both sides had a fair trial.

This is Tina Hurd. She's our records clerk. She's responsible for keeping track of all the records, all the evidence, swearing the witnesses, and she keeps Minutes, which is the official record of this Court.

Finally, you've met Lisa Cologna. She's a deputy sheriff assigned to this court as a bailiff. Her job is courtroom security. That is the security of all the persons and property in the courtroom, including yourselves. She is also the only participate in the trial that is allowed to talk to jurors during the trial for obvious reasons.

So if there's something you need to ask, if there's something you need to bring to my attention or the lawyers' attention or you just want to know where the restroom is or whatever, you talk to Lisa, and she'll give you the answer. And if it's something that needs to be brought to somebody else's attention, she knows exactly how to do it within the context of the rules.

Ms. Nyicos, will you introduce yourself and your co-counsel and tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury the witnesses you intend to call.

MS. NYICOS: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, my name is Noreen Nyicos. I'm a deputy district attorney here in Clark County, Nevada. With me is Sam Bateman, another deputy district attorney to assist me. We're here to

prosecute the case of State of Nevada versus Freddy Martinez. We have alleged on or about the 16th day of August 2006 that the Defendant kidnapped Bianca Hernandez at knifepoint, took her in her vehicle, drove her up to Mesquite, Nevada. On the way up to Mesquite, he pulled off to the side of the road and sexually assaulted her at knifepoint.

Witnesses the State intends to call in this case -- we may not call all these witnesses, but these are all the people related to this case. You need to listen carefully to these names. Arturo Chavez, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department; Scott Kavon, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department; Christopher Tomaino, Metro Police; Bradley Grover, Metro Police; Troy Givens, Metro Police; Blake Goddard, Metro Police; Michelle Briggs, Metro Police; Bianca Hernandez, Las Vegas, Nevada; Jose' Quiroz-Castillo, Las Vegas, Nevada; William McPherson [sic], Moapa, Nevada; Debbie Young. She's a nurse, operates out of St. George, Utah.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. NYICOS: Do you want me to start over?

THE COURT: Start over.

MS. NYICOS: Okay.

THE COURT: We just had a prospective juror come in, and obviously everybody has to hear what Ms. Nyicos has to say. So, Ms. Nyicos, please start over.

MS. NYICOS: All right. My name is Noreen Nyicos, Deputy District Attorney. With me is Sam Bateman, also a deputy district attorney. We're here to prosecute State of Nevada versus Freddy Martinez, the Defendant. We have alleged that on or about August 16th of 2006 that Mr. Martinez kidnapped

Bianca Hernandez at knifepoint here in Las Vegas, Nevada; that he took her in her vehicle up to Mesquite, Nevada, along the I-15. At some point during that drive, he pulled off to the side of the road near Logandale where he sexually assaulted her at knifepoint.

Witnesses involved in this case, although we are not going to call every single witness, you need to pay attention to these names, and I didn't get through the list very far, so here we go. Arturo Chavez, Metro Police; Scott Kavon, K-a-v-o-n, Metro Police; Christopher Tomaino, T-o-m-a-i-n-o, Metro Police; Bradley Grover, Metro Police; Troy Givens, Metro Police; Blake Goddard, Metro Police; Michelle Briggs, Metro Police; Bianca Hernandez, Las Vegas, Nevada; Jose' Quiroz-Castillo, Las Vegas, Nevada; William McPherson [sic], Moapa, Nevada; Debbie Young. She's a nurse. She operates out of St. George, Utah.

Franklin Martinez, Las Vegas, Nevada; Lance Barr, Mesquite
Police Department; Shane Charles, Mesquite Police Department; Ron Richmond,
Mesquite Police Department; C.J. Larsen, Mesquite Police Department; Millie
Tara, Mesquite, Nevada. We also have Kristina Paulette, Las Vegas
Metropolitan Police Department, the DNA lab; and Clayton Fuller, M.D., Las
Vegas, Nevada.

THE COURT: Thanks. Ms. Hamers, will you introduce yourself, your co-counsel and your client, please.

MS. HAMERS: Thank you, Judge. Good morning. My name is
Kathleen Hamers, and along with my co-counsel, Ron Paulson, we will be
representing Freddy Martinez in this case. In addition to the witnesses that the
State has just listed off, the Defense may call Gregorio David Martinez, Maria

Diaz and Naomi Conaway.

THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. Okay. Let me -- let me tell you the good news first, and we'll get going. The good news is that they read all these witnesses because that's the rules. One of the rules we operate by is there no trial by ambush, so you have to tell the other side who your witnesses are, so we get to the truth and not that somebody wins because they're unprepared or surprised.

The truth is, the State will call maybe a quarter of those witnesses, and the Defense may call anywhere from zero to three of the witnesses they called. The sum and substance of it is, while our average trial in District Court takes six days -- you know, some take two days; some take two weeks; some take six weeks; six days the average -- this case is two days. It's all day today, a good hard day, and all day tomorrow. We will be finished tomorrow at 5:00, 5:30.

I've got another trial starting Friday. I just know how long these are going to take. So I've never been wrong in several hundred trials. That's how long it's going to take because I go over it with the lawyers in advance, and I know what witnesses they're going to call, and I know how long it's going to take. And it's only fair to give you an honest assessment of how long a trial is going to take because you may have other things that would otherwise get in the way of your serving.

One other thing that you may have noticed, this lady in the nice green suit, she's an interpreter. She works for the court. Mr. Martinez does not speak English. I assume he speaks -- well, I know he speaks Spanish only or Spanish is his primary language. And when we have that happen -- we have

it all the time with languages all over the world — the court has interpreters that interpret as necessary for the benefit of defendants. We're going to have some witnesses that only speak Spanish, and the interpreters will interpret for you.

These are very, very skilled people who have to go through rigorous education and testing. They're all certified. They all work for the court and not for any party, and you will see that we'll proceed through this in a virtually seamless manner, notwithstanding the fact that several people do not speak English.

You'll probably see interpreters come and go because it's very, very taxing and very, very tiring, and they can only go for a certain amount of time, and then they need to have a replacement because they are talking as fast as I'm talking to make sure that the Defendant and the witnesses understand what's going on.

Okay. The next thing that happens is we have a roll call of all the jurors to make sure that we have an accurate record of who's here. Just like school, when they call your name, say "present" or "here," please, and we'll get going.

[The Clerk calls roll of the prospective jury panel; not transcribed]

THE COURT: Is there anyone who's name was not called? Okay. The next thing that happens is what we call the *voir dire* process. It's a process where mostly the Court, a little bit counsel asked very non-personal, non-evasive questions of potential jurors to make sure that the ultimate jurors that sit in judgment in this case can be fair to both the State and Mr. Martinez.

Ultimately, we will have 12 people sit in judgment -- they probably told you that downstairs -- in a criminal case. We have 13 potential people in

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the box. Why so? Well, because the rules say that we have to have 12 people make the decision, and in a criminal case, they have to be unanimous. Things do happen. Even good citizens that are here doing their duty, people get in car wrecks; they have sick kids; they have relatives go in the hospital. Things happen that can abort the process for a juror here or a juror there.

So we start off with enough people to make sure we get to the finish line with 12. In a two-day trial, 13 is plenty. If this was a six-week trial, we might start off with 20 or 22 because we know we're going to lose a few, and if we lose down below the minimum amount, the law says it's a do-over, and it's a very big waste of time and money, including your time.

So we'll start with 13, and we'll end up with 12 tomorrow. If, in fact, we end up with 13 tomorrow, it's probably much better than 50/50 of the case. Then at the end of the trial, the Clerk draws a number of a juror at random out of a cup, and that person serves as an alternate.

In any event, the idea is to make sure that everybody can be fair and impartial. I have no doubt that all of you could be fair and impartial in 98 percent of the cases you might be called upon to sit as a juror. We want to make sure that this isn't one of those rare cases that you couldn't, and it has to do with background experiences. It has nothing to do with your character or your ability or your talent or your willingness to serve or citizenship or anything like that. It is experiences you may have had that may make it difficult for you to come to your task with a clean slate.

How could that be? Well, maybe Ms. Hamers is your personal attorney. Maybe you live next door to Ms. Nyicos. Maybe you've had dealings with one of the witnesses that would make it difficult for you to objectively

judge their veracity. Maybe you personally or somebody close to you has had an experience so similar to the allegations in this case that it makes it difficult for you to be objective. Those are the kinds of things we're looking for, background experiences.

And so the way this goes is, I'm going to ask some questions of everybody en masse, and then I'm going to ask a few individual questions of the 13 starters here, so to speak, and then each of the lawyers has a chance to ask a few questions. Again, they're not personal; just gives us a flavor of what's going on.

And then the final thing is what we call preemptory challenges, and that means each side gets to ask to have excused persons for any reason or no reason, and it usually is no reason. I mean, usually it is just a feeling in the gut of a lawyer that, Maybe this person isn't going to understand this particular case from my point of view, and it's all subjective. It isn't objective. That isn't because of the case. It's just sort of their feeling because when we get there, we want to make sure that both sides, including the Defendant and the lawyers, have a feeling that they're comfortable with the jurors and that both sides get a fair shake.

So this whole process will take us maybe an hour and half, hour and 45 minutes, and then we'll get started with the trial, and we will work two good long days, and we'll be done.

It's very important that everybody tells the truth, and to that end, the law says the rules we play by are that this is done under oath. So if you'll stand and raise your right hand, the Clerk will administer the oath, and we'll get cooking.

[The Clerk administers the oath en masse to the prospective jury panel]

THE CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated.

[Jury voir dire; not transcribed.]

THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. All right, folks. We have our jury.

Obviously, it takes more than 13 folks to get a jury in a case like this. The way this work is, you that are sitting in the back of the room, you go back downstairs, and they'll see if they can find a different -- another case for you to work on.

Basically the way it works is this, or supposed to work is, they take their turn, and theoretically, although occasionally you have one slip through, we don't call them again until we go through the whole citizenry, which is typically 15, 18 years, and people have a couple turns in their life. If you go downstairs and they don't have another case, they'll just send you home. If you go out three times today on three different cases and it just so happens that you're, luck of the draw, in the back of the room and you don't get picked, we don't make you come back day after day after day. You get to go home. We'll have another couple hundred people come into tomorrow, and we'll start again. So if you'll go back downstairs, they'll tell you what you need to do. Thanks very much.

Ladies and gentlemen of our jury, your jury service is done under oath. If you'll raise -- stand and raise your right hand, please, the Clerk will administer the oath, and we'll get going.

[The Clerk administers the oath to the jury panel.]

THE CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me give you a couple pointers, and we'll get

started. First of all, next to your seat you're going find a clipboard with a pad of paper and a pencil or pen and a hard red badge. If you'll take that hard badge and replace your soft badge, it will identify you as actually being a juror here in Department VII as opposed to a potential juror somewhere. There's a lot of reasons for that, but maybe most important is that if you're outside at lunch or at a break or whatever and people see that you're a juror in Department VII and they're witnesses or investigators in this case, they'll be 7 sensitive not to talk about the case in your presence.

1

2

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We give you the pad and writing implement because you are entitled to take notes. You can write down what you think is important or maybe just a question you want to ask of your fellow jurors when you get in the jury room, but you can take whatever notes you take into the jury room with you.

Ultimately your decision has to be based on what you hear here in court. While it is a noble virtue to want to get as much information and evidence as you can before making any decision in life, it would be against the rules to do independent investigation. To decide that you want to go to the scene or look things up on the Internet, that would be against the rules; and if somebody did it and the Court found out about it, it would void everything. We'd have to do it over. It's difficult. It's expensive. It's not fair to your fellow jurors, so please do not do that. Simply pay attention in court and render your verdict.

As I said earlier, during the trial all the participants are not allowed to talk to you for obvious reasons. The only person that's allowed to talk to you is Lisa. If you have any questions or concerns or anything you

want, you just flag down Lisa, and she'll take care of it. She's done hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of these. You won't present her with an issue she's not familiar with.

This is a criminal trial. It is commenced by the filing of a document called an Indictment. An Indictment is simply a charge. It is no evidence whatsoever of the guilt of the Defendant. For the sole purpose of you understanding the specific nature of the charge, the Clerk is going to read to you the Indictment. You do not have to take notes. This is just so you'll kind of understand what's coming. When I instruct you on the law at the end of the case, I will actually give you an instruction that embodies all the language here, so you won't have to take it down. Ms. Clerk.

[The Clerk reads the Indictment aloud; not transcribed.]

THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. The process goes like this: As soon as I'm done talking in a couple minutes, we'll hear the opening statement from the State. An opening statement is not evidence. It is a framework to help you understand the evidence. I liken it to the picture on the outside of a jigsaw box. You pick up any jigsaw puzzle piece and look at it, it's just gibberish. You can't -- it doesn't make any sense.

But if you can look at this picture and you see that there's a lot of red up here, you kind of know it goes up here. I mean, that's the idea. Evidence comes in a bit here and a piece there, and instead of having you try to have to put it all together after the fact with no idea of what it means, the State is going to tell you what they think the evidence is going to show, so as it comes in, it sort of makes sense to you.

Then the Defense has three options. They may get up and make

-15-

Day 1 - Volume I

an opening statement and tell you what they believe the evidence will or will not show, for that matter, or they can wait until the State's case is done and make an opening statement or they don't have to make an opening statement at all. That's just a strategic decision they'll make.

Then we'll hear the actual evidence. It will start with the State calling their witnesses. The State under our procedure has the entire burden of proof, and so they call first any and all witnesses they have. Sometimes you have witnesses that both the Defense and State would like to call. They have things that each would like to bring out to you, and so the State calls them --well, if the State didn't call them, the Defense might call them, but because the State has called them, we don't call them back. We bring them in, we take one turn at them, and everybody gets -- so sometimes witnesses are really both sides' witnesses.

When the State is done calling witnesses, again, the Defendant may or may not call witnesses. They have absolutely no obligation to call any; no obligation to put the Defendant on the stand. If they believe that the State hasn't proved their case, they have a right to stand up and argue that or they can call witnesses. That's their choice. If they wish to call witnesses, they can.

The State can then, if they wish, call what we call rebuttal witnesses, somebody that didn't -- they didn't put on in their case, but may have something to rebut what the Defense said. It doesn't happen very often, but they have that right.

Then I'll give you the law as it applies to this case. I start by having it done in advance. I work on it all the time as the trial is going so that I

1 | 2

have it ready when the time comes, and I'll give it to you. It doesn't take very long to impart it to you.

Then we hear closing argument. Closing argument is the mirror image or the opposite of opening statement. It is what the lawyer thinks the evidence did or did not show and why that supports their theory of the case, why the State thinks that this is enough to convict the Defendant; why the Defense thinks it is not enough for you to convict the Defendant.

Because the State has the burden of proof, in closing arguments they go first and last. So we'll hear from the State, the Defense, then the State. Then the case is submitted to you.

During the course of the trial, you are not allowed to talk about the case with each other or anyone else, and every time we recess, I'll tell you that. There are a number of important reasons, but mainly we want people to keep an open mind until the end of the case. So if you're at a break or you want to call home, you want to call home and say, I'm a juror. It's a sexual assault case. It's two days. I can't talk about it now, but Thursday night I'll be glad to talk to you, honey, that is fine. Please don't talk with each other or anybody else about the specifics of what's been said in here.

Ultimately the weight and value to be given any evidence or testimony is up to you. You decide who's telling the truth or what to believe or what not to believe. During the course of the trial you may hear lawyers say "object" or "I object, Your Honor" or "objection." What does that mean? You may have seen it if you've been a juror before. You may have seen it on TV. What it means is this: Trials are conducted under rules, and they are good rules. They are not rules designed to keep things from jurors. They are rules

designed to make sure that the playing field stays level, that both sides have a fair chance to have their position considered, and my job is, I'm the enforcer of the rules. I'm like the home plate umpire. You know, I don't care what the result is. I don't care if the Defendant is convicted or acquitted. All I care is that both sides have a fair opportunity to present their circumstances and that I get you out by tomorrow night. That's my sole job.

So when the lawyer says, Objection, Your Honor, what he's saying is, Judge, under the rules you can't ask a question that way or that's not a fair piece of evidence or whatever, and it's just up to me to make a decision. That's all.

If you can't hear a witness or can't see something or you don't quite understand, please raise your hand and say, I didn't understand or I didn't hear it, and we'll rephrase it. We want everybody to see, hear and understand everything.

Also, there's some water over there. Lisa will put some cups out. If you want a drink of water, that's certainly fine. If you go to lunch or go to a break and you want to bring back a bottle of water or a small Coke or something just to keep your mouth from getting dry, as long as your subtle about it, that's perfectly fine. You can't have a picnic in the jury box, but I know sometimes it's a little more comfortable if you have something to sip on.

Under the rules you are entitled to ask questions. We didn't have this process up till about two and a half years ago, but now jurors are entitled to ask questions. And the way it works is this: If you think a question needs to be asked that one of the lawyers didn't ask, while the witness is still here -- not after they went back to Newark -- while they are still here, get Lisa's

attention. Tear a sheet out of your pad, write your juror number and the question. Forget those old numbers. You're now 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13. Juror Number 13, What color's the cat? That's the question.

You give it to Lisa on a piece of paper; she'll give it to me. If it is a proper question, under the rules I'll ask the question, and you deem the answer the same as if one of the lawyers asked the question. If it is not allowable under the rules, and we don't expect you, of course, to know all the rules, I'll just set it aside. I won't ask it. It won't be any big deal.

After the trial is over, I'll pick it back up, and I'll go over it with you, and I'll tell you what the rule is, and why we have that rule. Why does that rule tend to keep the playing field level? Why does it make sense, and then I think it'll make sense to you.

In my experience in doing this literally hundreds of times since we invoked the jury's right to ask questions, it's been my experience about 75 percent of the time we don't have any jury questions. I attribute that to pretty capable lawyers who bring out everything from their point of view that's necessary. About 25 percent of the time we have a few questions. I haven't found that it has changed the process much, but that's the way it goes.

Finally, our schedule is something like this: We'll go to about noon. We'll take an hour break for lunch. We'll go for a couple hours. We'll take a 10-, 12-minute break for restroom, cigarette, get a drink of water. In the afternoon we'll go for a couple more hours. We'll break for the night. Sort of the same schedule tomorrow. Two, two and a half hours in the morning, a break, a couple hours, break, couple hours. We will be done by tomorrow night. I promise you we're going to be right on schedule. We're not going to

be done by tomorrow noon, and we're not going to be here on Friday on this case.

That said, having given you the schedule, you know, if right now somebody needs to use the restroom and they don't want to wait until noon, and that includes the lawyers, or if somebody needs to make a call or get a drink of water or somebody says, Judge, can we have five minutes, we'll just take five minutes. It ain't any big deal. We'll pick back up, and we'll get on schedule. So if you need a break or you need something, just raise your hand and say, Let's go, and we'll go. If I don't have somebody raise their hand, I'll assume everybody is good to go till noon. We will take a five-minute break.

Now, listen, every time we break, I have to give you three instructions. It is part of the rules. They are good rules to have to give you, in my opinion, and my opinion doesn't count. It is a stupid rule that I have to give it to you every time because once I give it to you once, you'll have it, but you're going to hear it about four times during the trial.

One, don't talk about the case with each other or anyone else. I already told you that. Talk about the weather, talk about Iraq, the ballgame, politics, anything you want, but do not talk about the case.

Number two, don't read, watch or listen to any report on the case by Internet, television, radio or newspaper. I don't think this will be reported, but it might be. I'll look for a reporter. If there's somebody in here, I'll kind of clue you in. If not, you probably don't have to sanitize your paper.

And number three, don't form or express an opinion on the case until you deliberate. Keep an open mind. Just fundamental fairness. We'll take a five-minute break, and we'll come in with the State's opening. Okay.

_

[Jury exits the courtroom.]

[A short break was taken at 11:15 a.m.]

[The jury returns to the courtroom.]

THE COURT: Okay. Back on the record in Case Number C226586,
State of Nevada versus Freddy Martinez. Let the record reflect the presence of
Mr. Martinez with his counsel; counsel for the State. All ladies and gentlemen
of the jury are back in the box. We're missing someone. No?

THE BAILIFF: No.

THE COURT: We've got them. Okay. State, your opening statement.

STATE'S OPENING STATEMENT

MS. NYICOS: Thank you, Judge. Counsel. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. We're here today to try State of Nevada versus Freddy Martinez. You're going to hear from 11 witnesses from the State. I know I read about 30. You're going to hear from 11. Maybe ten.

What these witnesses are going to tell you is that on the morning of August 16th, 2006, the Defendant, Freddy Martinez, showed up at the home of Bianca Hernandez shared with her boyfriend, Jose' Quiroz-Castillo, and her son, 16-year-old Franklin Martinez. You're going to hear that on that morning, he had a knife, a small folding knife.

You're going to hear that that morning, it was around 5:30, 6:00 o'clock in the morning, Bianca was taking Jose' to work. She was outside in the car waiting for him. That as Jose' steps outside the front door, he sees the Defendant cross the street with something in his hand. Jose' is going to tell you he didn't know what that something was, but whatever it was, it scared

him because Jose' [sic] went like this to him [indicating]. Jose' stopped as the Defendant pointed this knife at him. Then Jose' watches the Defendant then go to the car where Bianca is, put the knife up against her face and that Bianca drove away.

You're going to hear from Bianca that the Defendant made her drive up toward Nellis near Las Vegas Boulevard, that at some point Defendant takes over the wheel because she's not shifting very well because she's scared, because she doesn't want to be with him.

You're also going to hear at some point Bianca sees a police car two cars ahead of her, that she grabs onto the steering wheel, and she's trying to make the steering wheel jerk to get that police officer's attention, and at that point Defendant hit her.

That throughout this car ride, Defendant is telling her, Forget about Las Vegas. You're never going back there. Forget about your ex-husband David. You're never going to see him again. Forget about your son. You're never going to see him again.

You're also going to hear that the Defendant takes her on the 15, that some point near Logandale, he pulls off to the side of the road. Bianca is going to tell you that she didn't know exactly where she was, but she remembered there was an abandoned truck. And they pulled off in that little alcove, he forces her into the backseat and has sexual intercourse with her. And she's going to tell you that he had a knife and that she was scared and that she did what he wanted to do because she was afraid for her life, and she needed to be here alive for her son.

She's also going to tell you that at numerous points during this

car drive she contemplated opening the door and jumping out of the car, but he was just driving too fast. That he drives her all the way up to Mesquite. Once he gets to Mesquite, he stops in an apartment complex because there's some guy there that owes him money, and that's Bianca's chance to get away. She flags down a woman walking by in the apartment complex, the woman calls 9-1-1, and Mesquite Police arrive at the apartment complex.

You're going to hear from Officer Lance -- Lance Barr and Sergeant Shane Charles from Mesquite. They said they made contact with Bianca, that she was scared, she was shook up, she was balling her eyes out. And you're going to hear how they made contact with the Defendant. He had gotten into a white pickup truck occupied by two other men and that this pickup truck was attempting leave the apartment complex, and that's where they found the Defendant.

Once they detain the Defendant, they transport Bianca to the hospital. You're going to hear from the nurse, Debbie Young, that she examined Bianca, that Bianca had some abrasions or puncture marks on her right thigh. And Bianca is going to tell you that those came from the Defendant poking her in the thigh with the knife, that she had a mark on her wrist. Bianca is going to tell you that came from the Defendant grabbing her. That she had swelling on her face. Bianca is going to tell you that came from the Defendant hitting her.

And you're also going to hear from the nurse that there was an abrasion on Bianca's vagina around 6:00 o'clock, down near the bottom consistent with sexual intercourse. You're also going to hear from Kristina Paulette, a forensic analyst from the police department, that she analyzed

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

samples taken from the sex assault kit with what's called a bucal swab taken from Defendant's cheek and that Defendant's DNA was inside Bianca's vagina.

And you're also going to hear from Detective Art Chavez from the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. He's going to tell you he interviewed the Defendant. He's going to tell you, Defendant admitted to him that he showed up at the house that morning to take her and that he had a knife with him.

Now, you're also going to hear the Defendant was under the impression that they were having a relationship, but in his statement you're going to hear that he tells Detective Chavez that Bianca moved away, that he didn't know why because she didn't tell him where she was going, that she knew he was -- she was -- that he knew she was with another man, and he just had to find out.

And he told the police that the day before he took her at knifepoint by his own admission, he had to be sure whether she was with another man or not, so he followed her, and he confirmed that that was true. And that's when he decided to do what he did. So he took a knife, and he showed up where she lived, and he pointed the knife at Jose', and he took Bianca right in front of Jose'.

Now, you're going to hear from Jose', and you're going to hear from Franklin, who's Bianca's son, and you're also going to hear from Bianca that there wasn't a relationship, that the Defendant is Bianca's ex-husband's brother and that they allowed him to live with them for 16 years and that he was nothing more than a brother, than a family member. And you're going to hear that Bianca did not want to go to Mesquite with him. Did not want to

have sex with him.

And at the conclusion of that, we're going to ask that you return the only verdict in this case, which is guilty, burglary with a weapon; first degree kidnapping with a weapon; sex assault with a weapon; and battery with a weapon.

THE COURT: Mr. Paulson.

MR. PAULSON: Thank you, Judge.

DEFENSE OPENING STATMENT

MR. PAULSON: We've all heard the saying, The truth shall set you free. Many of the things the Prosecutor just said are not disputed. There's no dispute that on the morning of August 16th Freddy Martinez and Bianca Hernandez had sexual intercourse. You're going to hear testimony to that effect, and we're certainly not disputing that fact.

But this trial is about getting to the truth. It's about credibility, accountability and the fact that a man should be held accountable for what he has done. Freddy Martinez should be held accountable for what he did, but we're going to ask you that you don't hold him accountable for everything he's been charged with, for things that he did not do in this case.

and Jose' Castillo, and Freddy Martinez wanted answers about that particular relationship. Freddy Martinez suspected that the woman that he had been living with for nearly three years was with another man. The truth was, in Freddy's mind as he relayed it to the police, there was another rooster. He referred to Jose' Castillo as a rooster, and he tells this to the police.

Now, Freddy couldn't handle the truth that there was another

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

man in Bianca's life, at least the truth that was untold. The fact was, Freddy was trying to talk to Bianca Hernandez to hear directly from her that there was another man in her life and that Freddy was no longer part of her life. Freddy Martinez had absolutely no intent to harm Bianca on this day, and he told the police that in his statement.

A lot of this case is going to go back -- you'll hear testimony about the relationship between Bianca Hernandez and Freddy Martinez and Freddy's brother David and their son Franklin. You'll hear that Freddy Martinez came to Bianca Hernandez's life about 16 years ago. He moved in with Bianca and Freddy's brother David. David and Bianca were partners, and they had a son together, Franklin. Freddy came to the United States and lived with his brother.

At some point Bianca's relationship with David ended. It's about three years ago. At that point Bianca and her son continued to live with Freddy Martinez. For the next three years they lived together as a family in a mobile home on Lake Mead Boulevard. Freddy worked, provided for Bianca and her son, and Freddy, yes, loved Bianca, and there was an ongoing sexual relationship between them.

At some point Jose' Quiroz-Castillo comes into the picture.

You'll hear that Jose' met Bianca about three or four year ago. Jose' was

Bianca's boyfriend for at least the last two years, maybe three years, which she continued to live with Freddy during that time in the mobile home.

At some point Bianca moved out of the mobile home about four months prior to the August 16th incident, so we're talking about April of 2006. She moves out and explains that she's moving into an apartment with a family.

She moves into an apartment on Lamont Street, and this is with Jose'. True, at some point Freddy found out about Jose', about this other rooster as he explains it to the police. You're also going to hear that Jose' suspected or may have thought that Bianca was seeing somebody else.

Now, a couple days prior to the incident on August 16th, about Monday the 14th of August, you'll hear that Bianca went by the mobile home and spoke to Freddy that morning. In fact, you'll hear that Bianca went by the mobile home frequently. On this particular day, she goes by to talk to Freddy, and Jose' sees her in the car talking to Freddy. Later that night, Jose' asks Bianca to give him a ride. He wants to go by Freddy's, where Freddy is living in the mobile home on Lake Mead, and so he asks Bianca to take him there.

As they're passing the mobile home, Freddy actually sees Jose' and Bianca together passing by, and he decides he's going to follow them in his car. Freddy wants to find out about this relationship. Bianca has left. She hasn't explained to him why; yet, she keeps coming back to see him. Freddy wants to confront this other man. He wants to find out what is going on.

He stops them, but it just so happens that when he's trying to talk to them, as he approaches the car, there's a police officer or a traffic cop there writing a ticket to somebody else, and because he sees Freddy coming toward them in what you may call an aggressive manner, the police officer stops him, so he never gets a chance to speak to Jose' and Bianca on that day. The police officer tells Freddy to leave, he leaves, and everybody goes away that particular day. Freddy never has the opportunity to talk to either Jose' or Bianca that night.

So now that leads us to the morning of August 16th. That

1 particular morning Bianca comes back from taking Jose' to work, and Freddy is 2 waiting and decides that he is going to confront Bianca to get the truth. He wants to know what is going on. He gets in the passenger seat -- she's in the 4 driver's seat already -- and tells her to drive. She drives. He wanted to talk to 5 her about this other man, about this other rooster. 6 He told police he wasn't intending to harm Bianca. He told them that in his statement, but he only wanted to scare her. He had been trying to 7 get her to tell him what was going on for a long period of time now and could 8 never get her to say anything. He intended to scare her. Freddy told police this was all -- this whole thing was about making Jose' mad so that he would 10

Now, you heard about after Freddy gets in the car, they drive.

You'll hear that during the drive there are some -- there are some struggles.

Bianca is trying to get the attention of other people around, and there's a police car a couple cars in front of them, and she's attempting to attract attention so she can get some help. There's some struggles.

be able to confront Jose', and Jose' would be gone from their lives.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

During those struggles, you'll that hear Freddy grabs her by the arm and tries to pull her. Freddy does hit her in the face and causes an injury to her cheek. And as he's holding this -- this knife and she's attempting to shift gears and he's attempting to shift and she's struggling with the steering wheel, that the knife does make contact with her leg.

At some point the drive takes them to I-15, and they head northbound toward Mesquite. They drive for about 25 minutes. It's a long drive. Around Logandale, in that area, they pull off the freeway, get off on an exit, and they park on the side of the road, and they engage in sexual

intercourse. Freddy explains to the police that it's happened like it always happened, like they had had sex before.

This lasts for a short period of time, five, ten minutes or so.

They get back on I-15, and they drive about five minutes and stop at a gas station. You'll hear testimony that they stopped the car, Freddy went and got gas in the gas can and then put gas in the car, and they continued on to Mesquite.

In Mesquite they finally stop in an apartment complex, and
Freddy -- and you'll hear testimony from Bianca the fact that Freddy stopped at
this particular apartment complex because it's where he used to work. There's
a construction site there. Freddy used to work there. He was looking for some
friends of his.

Eventually the police are called by somebody that Bianca is able to get the attention of, and Freddy is arrested when he's coming back to the car. He's -- he didn't run away. He was coming back to the car, the police arrest him, and that leads us here.

Now, ladies and gentlemen, when we talked earlier about reasonable doubt, it's the highest legal standard, that the State has to prove every element and every charge beyond a reasonable doubt. After hearing and considering all of the evidence in this case, we're confident that you will find that they have not met that burden.

As I said earlier, this is about getting to the truth. You're going to hear testimony of many witnesses and have the opportunity to see what they say and test what they say against the other evidence. It's also about credibility, believability, and, yes, it is about holding Freddy Martinez

accountable for what he did. At the end of this trial, we will ask you to hold him accountable only for what he did, but not for things that he did not do. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thanks. Okay. Well, we are 15 minutes ahead of schedule. According to the way I've scheduled the trial, I expected us to be here at noon. It's a quarter to noon, so we're doing just great. But also what that means is, they've got their witnesses coming an hour from now because we expected to go till lunch.

So we're going to just break for lunch now, and then we'll pick up an hour from now. We'll pick up at a quarter to 1:00, and that will give us an extra 15 minutes this afternoon, and the State will be ready with their witnesses.

So don't talk about the case with each other or anybody else.

Don't read, watch or listen to any report on the case by TV, Internet,
newspaper or radio, and don't form an opinion on the case until it's submitted
to you. Leave your stuff on your chairs. Wear your badge while you're in the
building. We'll be in recess until 12:45.

If you need to know where to eat or where to go potty or anything you need, just ask Lisa. She'll take care of it. She is the deputy sheriff and concierges. Okay. We'll see you all at quarter to. You either take it with you or leave it there, sir. The Bailiff will guard the room, so whatever your pleasure.

[Jury exits the courtroom for lunch at 11:43 a.m.]

THE COURT: Okay. The record will reflect that the jury has exited.

Counsel and Defendant are still here. Mr. Martinez, you understand that under

the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution of the State of Nevada, you cannot be compelled or forced to get on the stand and tell your side of the story? Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: [Through the Interpreter] Yes.

THE COURT: If you wish, you can get on the stand and testify, but if you do testify, then the State is going to be able to ask you questions, and anything you say, whether on direct examination or cross-examination, it could be commented on to the jury. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If you decide not to testify, I will -- upon request of Ms. Hamers, I will give an instruction that says, one, you can't be compelled to testify; two, the jury can't draw any inference from the fact that you didn't testify and, in fact, really can't even talk about it when they're in the jury room. Do you understand that? And Ms. Hamers has submitted one, so I assume that's your request that I give it; right?

MS. HAMERS: If that's the decision we make at that time, yes.

THE COURT: All right. I'll put it in the packet.

MS. HAMERS: Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Do you also understand that if you have a felony conviction -- and I don't know that you do -- but if you do and less than ten years has elapsed since you've been released from parole or probation or prison, that if you testify, you could be asked if you've been convicted, when, where and what was the conviction, but no details? Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I've never been convicted anywhere.

THE COURT: Okay. Then it won't come into play. It's just a right

that I have to tell you. So if you haven't been convicted of a felony, then if you testify or if you don't testify, either way, any of your background stuff won't be gone into. They couldn't ask you about arrests or misdemeanor convictions. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. With those rights in mind, I want you to talk to Ms. Hamers and Mr. Paulson between now and the time that it becomes material and make a collective, intelligent decision as to whether you wish to testify. If they don't call you to the stand when their turn comes, I will assume that the three of you have agreed that it's in your best interest not to testify. Fair enough?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Have a good lunch. We'll see you at quarter to 1:00.

[Jury exits courtroom.]

[A lunch break was taken at 11:43 p.m.]

[Out of the presence of the jury.]

THE COURT: Back on the record in Case C226586, State of Nevada versus Freddy Martinez. Let the record reflect the presence of Mr. Martinez, counsel for the State, counsel for the Defense. Absence of the jury. It's my understanding that by stipulation we're going to open a sealed box of evidence because the person who's actually going to introduce it is not going to testify in order before somebody who's going to testify where you need to lay foundation for the evidence. Is that right, Mr. Bateman?

MR. BATEMAN: That's correct, Judge.

-32-

1	THE COURT: Any objection, Ms. Hamers?
2	MS. HAMERS: No, no objection. I just know we're on the record, but
3	we don't have an interpreter.
4	THE COURT: Okay. But
5	MS. HAMERS: Which is fine.
6	THE COURT: the point is, the box is sealed; you've seen it. There's
7	no issue of chain of custody, and the Interpreter can tell Mr. Martinez what we
8	did.
9	THE CLERK: And we actually did not break the seal where there's a
10	hinge there.
11	THE COURT: Where's the Interpreter?
12	[Court at ease.]
13	[Interpreter enters the courtroom.]
14	THE COURT: Okay. Let's dance. Bring them in.
15	[Jury enters the courtroom at 12:46 p.m.]
16	THE COURT: Okay. Back on the record in Case C226586, State of
17	Nevada versus Freddy Martinez. Let the record reflect the presence of Mr.
18	Martinez with his counsel; counsel for the State. All ladies and gentlemen of
19	the jury are back in the box. Ms. Nyicos, call your first witness.
20	MR. BATEMAN: The State calls Franklin Martinez.
21	THE COURT: Franklin Martinez. Does Franklin need an interpreter?
22	MS. NYICOS: No.
23	MR. BATEMAN: He does not.
24	THE COURT: Okay. We're going to get to a witness or two that needs
25	an interpreter, and the way it works is this: These interpreters, as I said, are all
	-33-

1 educated, certified whenever they work for the court. The lawyer will ask the interpreter a question in English. The interpreter will interpret it to their witness 2 in Spanish. The witness will answer back in Spanish. The interpreter's answer under the law is deemed to be the 4 answer of the witness. So if by chance we have somebody that speaks 5 Spanish, don't try to listen to the Spanish part. Just listen to the English part 7 because that is the answer. 8 Sir, will you stand and raise your right hand, please. THE CLERK: Please stand and raise your right hand. 9 10 FRANKLIN MARTINEZ. having been first duly sworn testified as follows: 11 12 THE CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated. THE COURT: State your name, sir, and spell your name for the court 13 14 reporter. THE WITNESS: Franklin Martinez, F-r-a-n-k-l-i-n, M-r -- M-a-r-t-i-n-e-z. 15 16 THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Bateman. DIRECT EXAMINATION 17 18 BY MR. BATEMAN: Sir, I'm just going to ask you to speak up because --19 Q 20 Α That's right. -- this lady right here is writing everything down. Do you know 21 \mathbf{Q} someone by the name of Bianca Hernandez? 22 23 Α Yeah. 24 Q Who is that? 25 That's my mother. Α -34-

1	α	Okay. Do you know someone by the name of well, who is
2	your father?	
3	A	David Martinez.
4	a	Okay. Do you know someone by the name of Freddy Martinez?
5	A	Yes.
6	a	Who is Freddy Martinez?
7	A	Uncle, my uncle.
8	a	So is he related to your
9	A	My dad.
10	α	Okay. Do you see Freddy in the courtroom here today?
11	A	Yes.
12	a	Can you please point to him and describe an article of clothing
13	that he's wea	
14	A	He's right there [indicating]. He's wearing a white long-sleeved
15	shirt, button	
16	a	Is he wearing a tie?
17	Α	Yeah.
18	THE C	COURT: Let the record reflect the identification of the Defendant,
19	Freddy Marti	
20	BY MR. BAT	
21	a	How old are you, sir?
22	A	16.
23	a	Where are you currently residing?
24	Α	Right now we're living at 4921 Sammy Mill [phonetic],
25	Apartment N	umber 2.
		-35-

11		
1	Q	Who are you living with?
2	Α	With my mom and Jose'.
3	a	Do you know Jose's last name?
4	Α	Jose' Martinez.
5	Q	Martinez?
6	А	Yeah.
7	a	Okay. Who's Jose'? Is that your mom's boyfriend?
8	А	Yes.
9	a	How long have you been living with your mom and your mom's
10	boyfriend Jose'?	
11	Α	About three three years. Three to two years.
12	a	How long have you lived in Las Vegas?
13	А	About 14, 15 years.
14	a	Was there a period of time in which you lived with your mom
15	Bianca and y	our father is it David?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Okay. Did there come a point in time in which you stopped
18	living with be	oth your mother and your father David?
19	Α	Yeah.
20	۵	How long ago was that?
21	A	About four years.
22	a	Did your mom and David split up?
23	A	Yes.
24	۵	Okay. During the period of time that you were living with your
25	mom and Da	vid, was anyone else living with you?
		-36-
	1	

1	Α	Yes.
2	٥	Who's that?
3	Α	Freddy.
4	Q	Okay. Where were you living for most of the time when you
5	were living w	ith your mom and David and Freddy?
6	Α	We were living in an apartment. An apartment.
7	a	And was that and do you know where that was about in town?
8	А	No, I don't remember.
9	a	Okay. During the time that you were living with David and your
10	mom, your m	om and David, your dad, were having just a basic relationship like
11	mom and fat	her; is that correct?
12	A	Yes.
13	a	And Freddy was just living with you?
14	A	Yeah.
15	Q	Was anybody else living with you at the time?
16	A	No.
17	a	Okay. Had Freddy always lived with you?
18	Α	Yes.
19	۵	And did Freddy know that, I mean, you were the son of Bianca
20	and your dad	David?
21	Α	Yeah.
22	Q	So he knew he was your uncle?
23	Α	Yes.
24	a	Okay. And during this period of time were things generally fine
25	between you	and Freddy and your mom and your dad?
		-37-

-37-

		(
1	Α	Yeah.
2	Q	After your mom and your dad broke up, where did did you stay
3	where you we	ere living or did you go someplace else?
4	Α	We stayed where we were living.
5	Q	Okay. Did the relationship between you and your mom and
6	Freddy contin	nue kind of the same way?
7	Α	Yes.
8	a	Did there come a point in time in which you guys moved into like
9	a was it a r	mobile home?
10	А	Yeah, we moved to a mobile home.
11	Q.	Where was that mobile home?
12	А	It was on right here at like Lake Mead. I don't remember the
13	street name.	
14	a	Was that here in Clark County?
15	A	Yes.
16	a	All right. And who's mobile home was that?
17	Α	It was my mom's.
18	a	About how I'm sorry. And I don't know if I just asked you
19	this, about h	ow long ago did you move into that mobile home?
20	A	About two years. Two to three years.
21	Q.	Okay. How long after your mom and your dad broke up did you
22	live in or d	id it take to move into the mobile home?
23	A	About a year.
24	<u> </u>	Okay. When you moved into the mobile home, did Freddy move
25	with you?	
		-38-
	II.	

1		
1	Α	Yes.
2	α	During this period of time was Freddy working; do you
3	remember?	
4	А	Yeah, he was.
5	۵	Was your mom also working?
6	A	Yes.
7	a	What was she doing; do you know?
8	A	She was a PCA.
9	a	What does that mean?
10	A	She was home care for for old people.
11	a	Like a nurse?
12	Α	Yeah, she was like a nurse.
13	a	Okay. During the time that you were living in this mobile home
14	well, do yo	ou remember when it was that your mom began to see Jose', her
15	new boyfriend?	
16	A	Well, she saw him before, before we moved there.
17	α	But after your dad had moved out?
18	A	Yeah. Like after my dad moved out, she began she began
19	going out.	
20	a	So you knew that your mom was dating David or I'm sorry.
21	Jose'?	
22	A	Yeah.
23	Q.	Okay. And during the first period of time well, were there
24	ever periods	of time in which your mom was living with Jose'?
25	A	Yeah, sometimes.
		-39-

-39-

1	Q You say "sometimes." She'd sometimes live with him
2	A Yes, sometimes
3	Q and then she'd come back?
4	A Yeah. She'll come back to the house, yeah.
5	Q Okay. Did there come a point in time that you and Freddy
6	maybe started didn't getting along very well?
7	MS. HAMERS: Judge, I'm going to object. Can we approach?
8	THE COURT: Yeah.
9	[Bench conference; not transcribed.]
10	THE COURT: Go ahead. Let's save some time. Did there come a time
11	when you and your mother and Freddy weren't all getting along so good, so
12	you and your mother moved out? Is that what happened?
13	THE WITNESS: Yeah, we moved out.
14	THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Bateman.
15	MR. BATÉMAN: Thank you.
16	BY MR. BATEMAN:
17	Q Where did you move to?
18	A We moved to Lamont where we lived before we moved to this
19	new apartment.
20	Q Lamont Street?
21	A Yeah, yeah, Lamont Street.
22	Q Was that fairly close to where the mobile home was?
23	A Yeah.
24	Q All right. About how long did it take you to get from the mobile
25	home to Lamont, the apartment on Lamont?
	-40-

1	Α	It was like three three blocks away. Three to two.
2	a	And who did you move to that this Lamont apartment with?
3	А	With Jose'.
4	a	And so I assume then that Freddy obviously didn't move with
5	you at that ti	me?
6	А	No, no.
7	a	Okay. How long directing your attention to August 16th. Do
8	you remembe	er something happening that morning?
9	A	August 16 th ?
10	a	Of last year.
11	A	No.
12	a	Do you remember a time when your mom and Freddy ultimately
13	left in her car	??
14	A	Yeah, yeah, but I was asleep.
15	a	Okay. Do you remember whether that was August 16th?
16	А	To tell you the truth
17	a	You don't remember the exact date?
18	A	I don't remember the exact date.
19	a	Okay. Do you remember calling 9-1-1 one morning?
20	A	Yeah, yeah, I did.
21	a	Why did you call 9-1-1?
22	А	Jose' told me that Freddy took off with my mom in the car, and
23	we went out	side, but there was nobody there. So I got on the phone and
24	called the po	lice.
25	Q	Prior to that time when you called 9-1-1, how long had it been
		-41-

1	that you and yo	ur mom had been living with Jose'; do you remember?
2	A A	bout a about a year.
3	a w	as that off and on or the full time?
4	A N	o, it was it was off and on.
5	Q T	his white car your mom had a white car?
6	A Y	eah, it was a Ford Focus.
7	Q A	nd then basically when you came out and Jose' provided you
8	some information	on, that's when you called 9-1-1?
9	A Y	eah.
10	a c	an you just generally during the period of time that you were
11	living with your	mom and Freddy, how would you describe was your
12	relationship with Freddy like an uncle?	
13	A Y	eah.
14		kay. And how was the relationship with your mom and
15	Freddy? Was it	like brother and sister?
16	А В	rother and sister.
17	MR. BAT	TEMAN: Okay. Pass the witness, Judge.
18	THE CO	URT: Questions?
19	MS. HAI	MERS: Please, Judge.
20		CROSS-EXAMINATION
21	BY MS. HAMER	7 <i>S:</i>
22	∭ a a	Good afternoon.
23	A G	Good afternoon.
24	ا ۵ ۱٬	m just trying to get this time frame down. I believe when you
25	first started tes	tifying you said you'd been living with Jose' for three years as
		-42-

1	of now?	
2	Α	As of now, yeah. Like three to two years.
3	Q	Two to three years?
4	Α	Yeah.
5	Q	Okay. How long did you live in the mobile home with just
6	Freddy and y	our mom?
7	Α	About two years.
8	a	About two years?
9	Α	Yes.
10	a	So two years there, and then the last three years two to three
11	years with Jo	ose'?
12	A	Yeah.
13	a	All right. When you and your mom first move in moved in with
14	Jose', was th	nere a family living there or just Jose'?
15	A	Just him.
16	a	Just Jose'?
17	А	Yeah.
18	a	And in August of 2006, was it the same situation? There wasn't
19	a family there	e; it was just you and Jose' and your mom?
20	Α	Yeah. Well, sometimes his mom came over that time. His mom
21	was over her	e, but she leaves. She doesn't come for a fully time.
22	a	Okay. Does she live in town and sometimes stay there; is that
23	what you're	saying?
24	А	No yeah, she comes here and stays with Jose', but then she
25	leaves.	
		-43-

}}		
1	a	Where does she live?
2	Α	She lives she lives in Mexico.
3	a	Okay. Do you know, was she staying there at the time in
4	August of 20	06?
5	A	Yeah no, no, she wasn't there in August, but she was there at
6	the same yea	r, 2006. It was in December.
7	a	The day that you called the police, do you remember that?
8	A	Yeah.
9	a	You didn't actually see anything yourself; right?
10	A	No, I was asleep.
11	Q	You called the police based on what Jose' had told you?
12	A	Yes.
13	a	All right. Now, David is your father?
14	Α	Yeah.
15	a	Do you see him?
16	Α	No.
17	a	You don't see him?
18	Α	No. The last time I saw him was about two weeks ago.
19	Q	Two weeks ago?
20	Α	Yeah.
21	Q	Okay. Do you see him about every two weeks?
22	Α	Like, yeah, every Friday.
23	a	Every Friday?
24	Α	Yeah.
25	Q	Do you know where he's living now?
		-44-
		Day 1 - Volume I

1	Α	l don't know. He
2	Q	How long has it been since you don't know where he's living?
3	Α	Like a month.
4	Q	A month?
5	Α	Yeah. He told me he was going to move out, but he never told
6	me with who	•
7	Q	Where was he living a month ago?
8	Α	He was living at the same mobile homes where we used to live.
9	a	Okay.
10	Α	Yeah.
11	a	Now, you said that Bianca, your mother, would live with Jose'
12	for a while and then would leave; is that correct?	
13	A	Yeah, like spend the night. Like a day and then come back the
14	next day.	
15	a	Okay. So these weren't moves that you made with her? You
16	were living a	t the mobile home, and she'd sometimes stay somewhere else for
17	a night and c	ome back?
18	A	Yeah. I also go with her, too.
19	Q	And sometimes you'd go with her?
20	A	Yeah.
21	MS. ⊦	IAMERS: I don't have any other questions. Thank you.
22	THE (COURT: Okay. Thanks, Franklin. Appreciate your testimony.
23	You're excus	sed. Call your next witness.
24	MR. E	BATEMAN: Call Jose' Quiroz, Your Honor.
25	THE (COURT: Okay. Come on up here, sir.
	 	45

-45-

J	
1	THE CLERK: Please remain standing and raise your right hand.
2	JOSE' QUIROZ-CASTILLO,
3	having been first duly sworn, through an interpreter testified as follows:
4	THE CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated.
5	THE COURT: Sir, am I to understand that you speak a little bit of
6	English, but you're much more comfortable speaking in Spanish?
7	THE WITNESS: Yes.
8	THE COURT: So you understood me, but to get everything right, you
9	would like to use this interpreter; is that right?
10	THE WITNESS: Okay. That's fine.
11	THE COURT: Okay. That's the way we'll do it. Sir, give us your
12	name, and spell your name, please.
13	THE WITNESS: [Through the Interpreter] Jose' Quiroz-Castillo, J-o-s-e,
14	Q-u-i-r-o-z, C-a-e-y-o [sic], Castillo.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. BATEMAN:
18	Q Sir, do you know someone by the name of Bianca Hernandez?
19	A [Through the Interpreter] Yes.
20	Q Who is Bianca Hernandez?
21	A That's my that's my
22	THE COURT: Go slower. She's going to ask you in Spanish. You
23	don't listen to Mr. Bateman. You listen to her.
24	THE WITNESS: Okay.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Otherwise, we'll just it'll just be confusing
	-46-

1	mess. Ask t	he question again.
2	BY MR. BATE	EMAN:
3	Q	Do you know someone by the name of Bianca Hernandez?
4	А	[Through the Interpreter]. Yes, she's my girlfriend.
5	a	How long has she been your girlfriend?
6	А	For four years.
7	a	When did you how did you first meet Bianca Hernandez?
8	А	I met her at a party at a friend a friend of mine had a party,
9	and I met he	r there.
10	a	Do you know someone by the name of Franklin Martinez?
11	A	Yes, he's my stepson.
12	a	And when you say "stepson," are you currently married to Bianca
13	 Hernandez o	r are you
14	Α	Not yet, but we have plans.
15	THE	COURT: Okay. Stay with me. Just listen to her. Don't listen to
16	him. You loo	ok at her and listen to her. Go ahead, Mr. Bateman.
17	BY MR. BAT	EMAN:
18	۵	I'll ask the question again. Are you currently married to Bianca
19	Hernandez o	r are you just like in a boyfriend/girlfriend relationship?
20	A	[Through the Interpreter] Just boyfriend/girlfriend.
21	Q	Where are you currently living, sir?
22	А	I don't know the address exactly. We just moved.
23	a	Okay. Where did you move from?
24	Α	From 1661 Lamont, Apartment 2.
25	a	Is that here in Las Vegas, Clark County?
ļ		-47-

1	Α	Yes.
2	Q	How long were you living in that particular apartment?
3	А	About one year, one year and a half.
4	Q	Where were you living before that?
5	Α	Before there I lived on Lake Mead, but I don't remember exactly,
6	the exact ad	dress. I live there for about two years.
7	a	Did you immediately start up a relationship with Bianca
8	Hernandez ri	ght after you met her?
9	А	Not immediately.
10	۵	Okay. Did you immediately start to date?
11	А	It was after one month. One month after I met her we began to
12	go out.	
13	۵	At the time do you know where Bianca Hernandez was living?
14	A	Yes.
15	۵	Where was that?
16	А	She used to live in I don't remember exactly where it was.
17	Charleston.	I don't remember. It was near Nellis, but I don't remember exactly
18	the address.	
19	Q	And during this period of time, would she come and sometimes
20	stay at your	apartments or your residence?
21	A	No. We had we had a dating relationship. We went out, and
22	we ate some	ewhere, but no.
23	۵	Okay. Do you know who during the first part of your
24	relationship	Bianca Hernandez was living with?
25	A	She lived alone with her son.
		-48-

1	a	Did you know whether she lived with someone by the name of
2	Freddy Ma	rtinez?
3	A	No, because he was in jail when I met her.
4	ТНІ	COURT: Just strike that. Don't worry about whether he was in
5	jail or not.	It has nothing to do with this case. Go ahead.
6	MR	. BATEMAN: All right.
7	BY MR. BA	ATEMAN:
8	۵	Did there come a point in time during the relationship where
9	Bianca Hei	nandez moved into like a mobile home?
10	А	[Through the Interpreter] Yes, she moved to a trailer.
11	a	Do you know if it was her trailer?
12	A	No, I I'm not really sure. I think that she was renting one or
13	one apartn	nent. I'm really not sure.
14	a	When she moved into the trailer, were you living at Lamont?
15	A	She lived she lived on Lamont, but that was a long time ago
16	when I jus	t met her.
17	a	But when you when she was living in the mobile home or the
18	trailer, wh	ere were you living?
19	A	I lived on Lamont, and she bought a trailer.
20	a	Okay. So it was about the same time?
21	Α	Yes.
22	a	And during this part of the relationship would Bianca sometimes
23	come over	and stay at the apartment on Lamont?
24	А	Yes, she came because she had problems with one person, with
25	Freddy.	
		40

1	a	Okay. Did you know whether Freddy Martinez this Freddy
2	person was li	iving in the trailer with Bianca?
3	Α	She rent a storage, and he was living in the storage. And he just
4	went in to ta	ke a shower and to eat there.
5	a	Okay. At the mobile home?
6	A	Uh-huh.
7	a	Is that a yes?
8	A	Yes.
9	a	Was she also living with her son Franklin Martinez in the mobile
10	home or the	trailer?
11	A	Yes.
12	a	And would Franklin sometimes come and stay at your apartment
13	on Lamont?	
14	A	Yes.
15	a	And throughout the entire kind of once you started dating, you
16	guys were	was there ever a time when you weren't boyfriend and girlfriend
17	or were you	consistently boyfriend and girlfriend from the time basically a
18	month after	you met to August of last year?
19	A	From one month after.
20	۵	Okay. Are you still currently with Bianca?
21	A	Yes.
22	۵	Are you living with Bianca?
23	A	Yes.
24	۵	Is Franklin living with you and Bianca?
25	A	Yes.
		-50-
		Day 1 - Volume I
	1	,

1	a	Have you ever met someone by the name of Freddy Martinez?	
2	Α	Yes.	
3	۵	When did you first meet Freddy Martinez?	
4	Α	More than two years ago.	
5	a	Do you see Freddy Martinez in the courtroom today?	
6	А	No, no, I don't see him oh, yeah, he's the one with the white	
7	shirt behind t	he computer.	
8	MR. B	ATEMAN: It looks like there's an obstruction, Judge.	
9	THE C	OURT: Yeah.	
10	MR. B	ATEMAN: I don't know if we can either	
11	THE C	OURT: Let the record reflect that he's identified the Defendant	
12	Freddy Martinez, and from his angle, the computer may sort of block that off,		
13	so that's a fair initial inability. Go ahead.		
14	BY MR. BATE	EMAN:	
15	Q	Let me direct your attention to August of last year. In August of	
16	last year was	Bianca and Franklin living at your apartment on Lamont?	
17	Α	[Through the Interpreter] Yes.	
18	Q	Okay. And do you know about how if we go from the time	
19	frame of Aug	ust, about how long they had been living in your apartment with	
20	you on Lamo	nt?	
21	А	Well, but one year, but she was having problems with Freddy,	
22	and that was	when she came to my house.	
23	۵	Okay. So in August of 2006 was she living with you in your	
24	apartment ful	I time?	
25	A	Yes.	
Ì	1	r.,	

1	a	Now, I'm going to direct your attention for just a moment to	
2	_	f 2006 in the morning. Was there occasion that morning that	
3	Franklin Martinez called 9-1-1?		
4			
	A	Yes.	
5	Q	And is that based upon what you had seen happen that morning	
6	between Bian	ca and Freddy Martinez?	
7	Α	Yes.	
8	Q	Now, a day or two before that, had you had an opportunity to	
9	see or come i	nto contact with Freddy Martinez?	
10	А	Well, when we were when we were going to the store in the	
11	afternoon, he just cut in front of us with a car.		
12	MS. HAMERS: Judge, at this point I'm going to object.		
13	THE C	OURT: What's the objection?	
14	MS. H	AMERS: Can we approach?	
15	THE C	OURT: Sure.	
16		[Bench conference; not transcribed.]	
17	BY MR. BATE	EMAN:	
18	a	After you came into contact with Freddy on this particular date,	
19	did you and E	Bianca go back to your apartment on on Lamont?	
20	THE C	OURT: Yes.	
21	THE V	VITNESS: Yes.	
22	BY MR. BATE	EMAN:	
23	٥	And is it the next time after that that you came into contact with	
24	Freddy the m	orning of August 18 th when I'm sorry. 16 th when Franklin had	
25	to call the po	lice?	
	-		

1		
1	Α	[Through the Interpreter] Yes.
2	Q	Now, on August 16th, what were you doing for a living?
3	А	I was working in landscaping. I am the foreman.
4	Q	Now, had you got what time in August of 2006 what were
5	your working	hours; do you remember?
6	А	6:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m.
7	Q	Do you remember what Bianca's was she working that same
8	period of time	e?
9	А	I went into work at 6:00, and she did at 6:30.
10	a	Okay. Did you have a car back in August of 2006?
11	А	No, no, I don't drive.
12	a	Did Bianca have a car?
13	А	Yes.
14	a	What kind of car was it?
15	А	A 2001 Focus.
16	Q	What color can you tell me what color it was?
17	А	White.
18	a	Were you using that vehicle, you and Bianca, to get around?
19	А	Yes.
20	a	Now, at about 5:30 on August 16th in the morning, were you and
21	Bianca both a	awake?
22	А	That was the day that that happened?
23	a	Yes.
24	А	Yes.
25	Q	And how were you going to get to work that morning?
		-53-

Α	Bianca always gave me a ride.
Q	Now, that morning you said you'd come into contact with Freddy
Martinez; is t	hat correct?
Α	Yes, yes, when that happened.
α	Okay. Well, tell me how is it you came into contact with Freddy
Martinez.	
Α	We didn't exchange words. He just jumped the fence where he
was hidden, a	and he was pointing something. I was frightened because I
thought it wa	s a gun, and I couldn't do anything at the moment. I tried to get
in contact I	tried to call Bianca's son, so I could get him to go and talk to
to him.	
MR. B	ATEMAN: Does the Interpreter need some water?
THE I	NTERPRETER: I have some water.
THE C	OURT: She comes prepared. Ms. Interpreter?
THE II	NTERPRETER: Thank you.
BY MR. BATE	EMAN:
a	When you first saw Freddy, was he outside?
A	[Through the Interpreter] He was hiding in a tree.
a	And was he actually in the tree or around a tree?
A	He was on top of the tree.
a	And I think you said he jumped a fence?
A	Yes. The tree was inside of the fence. He jumped.
a	Where was Bianca at this time?
Α	She was heating the car to move it.
a	So was she actually in the vehicle?
]	-54-
	Day 1 - Volume I
	Martinez; is the A Q Martinez. A was hidden, a thought it was in contact I to him. MR. B THE IN THE IN BY MR. BATE Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q

- 11	
1	A Yes.
2	Q Okay. And where were you standing or where were you in
3	relation to Bianca when you first saw Freddy Martinez?
4	A I was going out because I was going to go to work with her.
5	That was when I saw that he jumped the fence. He was on top of the tree. I
6	saw that. And he pointed at me with something, and I didn't know what it
7	was. A gun or something.
8	Q And how did you feel when he pointed that something at you?
9	A I felt very bad. If it was a gun, I thought he was going to shoot
10	at me. That's why I couldn't do anything for Bianca
11	Q After you
12	A for that reason.
13	Q I'm sorry. After Freddy pointed the object at you, what did
14	Freddy do?
15	A He went inside very quickly, went inside of the car. She she
16	was not paying attention at the time, so she went he went inside of the car
17	very quickly, and he and he pointed something at her.
18	Q Where in relation to her body was this object?
19	THE INTERPRETER: Where in relation to her body?
20	MR. BATEMAN: Correct.
21	THE WITNESS: [Through the Interpreter] I just know I just could see
22	that he put his hand like this [indicating]. I don't know. He was
23	BY MR. BATEMAN:
24	Q Placed it on the
25	A Pointed on the neck.
	-55-

1	MR. BATEMAN: He pointed to the right side of the neck.
2	THE COURT: Yes.
3	BY MR. BATEMAN:
4	Q So did Freddy get in the passenger side or the driver's side of the
5	vehicle?
6	A [Through the Interpreter] The passenger side.
7	Q And after Freddy pointed the object at Bianca's neck, what did
8	what happened at that point?
9	A He made her move the car very quickly, and they left.
10	Q And do you know which direction they went?
11	A Going towards Owens and then Nellis.
12	Q At that point did you have a conversation with Franklin Martinez
13	about what had happened?
14	A Uh-huh.
15	Q Is that a yes or a no?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And at that point did Franklin Martinez call the police?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Okay. Now, sometime that same day, did you end up coming
20	back into contact with Bianca?
21	A That same day?
22	Q Uh-huh, yes.
23	A Yes. She called me, and she said that
24	MR. PAULSON: Objection, Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Don't tell us what she said. The question was, did you
	~56-

}	1	l control of the cont	
1	come back into contact with Bianca, and your answer is, Yes, she called me.		
2	Go ahead, Mr. Bateman.		
3	BY MR. BATE	EMAN:	
4	a	Where is it that you and Bianca met up?	
5	Α	[Through the Interpreter] I went to pick her up at the hospital in	
6	Mesquite who	ere she was at.	
7	MR. B	ATEMAN: Pass the witness, Judge.	
8	THE C	OURT: Questions?	
9	мѕ. н	AMERS: Thanks, Judge.	
10		CROSS-EXAMINATION	
11	BY MS. HAM	IERS:	
12	a	Good afternoon, Mr. Castillo.	
13	А	Good afternoon.	
14	a	Are you doing okay sitting there?	
15	A	Yes.	
16	a	All right. I'm trying to get some things straight as far as timing.	
17	I believe you	testified today Bianca had lived with you for one year in August of	
18	2006, give o	r take; is that right?	
19	A	Yes.	
20	a	And you two were together as boyfriend and girlfriend for how	
21	long at that t	ime?	
22	А	We had been together four years.	
23	a	You had been boyfriend and girlfriend for four years in 2006?	
24	A	We had met each other about four years ago.	
25	a	Were you boyfriend and girlfriend for four years?	
		-57-	

1	Α	Yes.
2	Q	Okay. On August 16 th and just to be clear, I know the
3	Prosecutor to	ld you that it was August 16 th that this happened. Do you recall
4	that that's th	e correct date?
5	Α	I am not sure.
6	Q	Do you remember if you gave a statement to the police that
7	same day?	
8	А	Yes. When Franklin Franklin called, they came to the house to
9	ask questions	from me to me and Franklin.
10	a	Did they have you do a written statement?
11	А	Yes.
12	a	And at some point did you actually do a recorded interview?
13	А	I don't remember. Oh, in the hospital maybe. In the hospital.
14	a	Do you remember in that hospital talking to two police officers, a
15	Detective Chavez and a Detective Goddard?	
16	А	Yes.
17	a	And they sat you down, and they talked to you, and they told
18	you, We're going to record this statement? We're going to make an audio	
19	recording of i	t?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q.	And they were trying to find out some information, information
22	about what had happened to Bianca that day?	
23	Α	Yes.
24	Q	They asked you a number of questions about your relationship
25	with Bianca,	about Freddy's relationship with Bianca?
		-58-

1	A	Yes.
2	a	And when they went through this interview with you, were you
3	honest with them?	
4	A	Not clearly because his brother oh, what's his name, his
5	brother? D	avid, David Martinez, he was putting a lot of ideas in my head like
6	he had a lot of plans with her. A lot of things. So he put a lot of ideas in my	
7	mind, and that's why I said a few things that I should've had said about her	
8	because she's a good person.	
9	a	So you think in that interview that you were there were things
10	you said about Bianca that you shouldn't have said?	
11	A	Uh-huh, yes, things about her, things that he put in my head that
12	were not true.	
13	a	Okay. So when you talked to the police, you told them some
14	things that were not true?	
15	А	Not exactly I said things to them that were not true. There was
16	a there was a conversation with one of the officers I don't know if he was	
17	Chavez or the other one because David Martinez and I were speaking to the	
18	officer. David had said a lot of things bad about him, that he was going to get	
19	out and kill him.	
20	THE	COURT: Time out.
21	THE	INTERPRETER: Okay.
22	THE	COURT: Let me stop you there. Ask another question.
23	MS.	HAMERS: Thank you, Judge.
24	BY MS. HAMERS:	
25	a	The things that you said to the officer that you're saying you're
		-59-

ŀ						
1	a	Okay. Let me stop you there. And when you were dating				
2	Bianca and she was living in the mobile home, Freddy wasn't living there? He					
3	was actually living in storage?					
4	А	A He was living in the storage, and he was having problems with				
5	Franklin beca	use of				
6	a	Let me stop you there. Let me stop you there.				
7	THE C	COURT: Okay.				
8	BY MS. HAM	IERS:				
9	٥	He was living in storage; is that right?				
10	А	[Through the Interpreter] Yes.				
11	a	And that was based on what Bianca was telling you?				
12	А	Yes. I know that is true what she told me.				
13	a	Okay. But my point was, you didn't live there? The information				
14	you had was	coming from Bianca?				
15	А	Uh-huh, yes.				
16	a	Okay. On this day where you see Freddy in the car with Bianca,				
17	today you te	stified you saw him come from the top of a tree over a fence;				
18	right?					
19	A	Yes.				
20	a	And then over to the car?				
21	A	Yes.				
22	a	He gets in the car?				
23	A	Yes.				
24	a	How long is he in the car before the car drives off?				
25	A	Seconds.				
		-61-				
		Day 1 - Volume !				

1	Q	Seconds. So you saw the car drive off?
2	Α	Yes.
3	a	Do you remember telling the police that you went inside, came
4	out, and that	s when the car was gone?
5	A	Yes, yes, uh-huh.
6	a	So help me understand. Is it were they in the car a while?
7	Because wha	t I'm trying to understand is, if they were in the car, you went
8	inside, came	back out and the car was gone
9	А	No, it was a question of seconds, seconds.
10	a	So he just got in the car, and they took off?
11	A	Yes.
12	α	And you said you saw an object held close to Bianca?
13	A	Yes.
14	a	And Bianca was in the driver's side of the car?
15	A	Yes.
16	a	Freddy is in the passenger side?
17	A	Yes.
18	a	What side of the car are you on?
19	A	I was on the passenger side because I was on the right.
20	٥	And you see
21	A	See, the car was parked outside, and I was inside.
22	a	So you're on the passenger side of the vehicle, the same side as
23	Freddy?	
24	A	Yes, the passenger side.
25	a	And across the street?
		-62-
	I	

1	А	Yes.	
2	Q.	Or are you on the same side of the street?	
3	A	Crossing the street.	
4	Q	You're on the other side of the street?	
5	A	Yes.	
6	Q	And you see an object held I believe today you pointed toward	
7		wasn't real clear on that. Where do you see this object held in	
8	relation to Bi		
9	A	Yes, yes. It was here [indicating], pointing her neck.	
10	α	Okay. Can you show me again here.	
11	A	[Indicating] Right here. Right here.	
12	!	AMERS: Okay. Let the record reflect in between the neck and	
13	the shoulder.		
14	THE WITNESS: [Through the Interpreter] Right here. Right here.		
15	BY MS. HAMERS:		
16	Q	And could and how far away are you?	
17	A	About 50 feet or less.	
18	Q	But you can see this object next to her neck?	
19	A	Yes, I my sight is good.	
20	<u> </u>	But you couldn't tell what the object was?	
21	A	I cannot testify what type of object it was. It was early in the	
22		idn't see clearly.	
23	Q	So you couldn't tell what it was at the time?	
24	A	No.	
25		HAMERS: Court's indulgence.	
		-63-	

1	BY MS. HAMERS:			
2	Q Is it your understanding that Bianca and Freddy never lived			
3	together?			
4	MR. BATEMAN: Judge, I'm going to object to hearsay unless he has			
5	personal knowledge.			
6	THE COURT: I'm not sure how he would know. Overruled.			
7	MS. HAMERS: Well, it's			
8	THE COURT: No, I'm going to you know, I'm not I'm not sure Mr.			
9	Bateman is right, so I'm going to give you the benefit of the doubt.			
10	THE WITNESS: [Through the Interpreter] They lived together. She			
11	lived with him. When he came from Honduras, he was a young person. He			
12	was like her son or brother.			
13	BY MS. HAMERS:			
14	Q But just when he was young?			
15	A When he was 15, 16 years old.			
16	Q When her and David were still together?			
17	A All of them were living together. It was him and his brother, her			
18	son.			
19	Q When she was still with David?			
20	A Yes, yes.			
21	THE COURT: Is that it?			
22	MS. HAMERS: Court's indulgence. Yes, Judge, that's it.			
23	THE COURT: Anything else of this witness?			
24	MR. BATEMAN: Just one one area, Your Honor.			
25	REDIRECT EXAMINATION			
	-64-			

Page 228

1	it was covering it.
2	MR. BATEMAN: Nothing else, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Okay. You're excused.
4	THE CLERK: Could I get a clarification on the spelling of his last name.
5	THE COURT: Can he spell his last name again.
6	THE WITNESS: Yes.
7	THE INTERPRETER: Judge, may the Interpreter say something?
8	THE COURT: Yes.
9	THE INTERPRETER: The way that he spelled his last name, Castillo,
10	was not the correct way. Castillo is spelled
11	THE COURT: He uses a Y instead of two L's; right?
12	THE INTERPRETER: Yeah. Do you want me to spell Castillo for you the
13	interpreter way? Let me verify with him.
14	THE CLERK: Okay.
15	THE INTERPRETER: Okay. I just interpreted what he said, but the
16	correct way is C-a-s-t-i-l-l-o.
17	THE CLERK: Thank you.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. You're excused. Call your next witness
19	MS. NYICOS: The State calls Bianca Hernandez.
20	THE COURT: Come on up, ma'am. Please stand and raise your right
21	hand.
22	THE CLERK: Please remain standing, and raise your right hand.
23	BIANCA HERNANDEZ,
24	having been first duly sworn, through an interpreter testified as follows:
25	THE CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated.
į	- 66-

Page 230

4	THE COURT AND ALL AND A SECOND ASSESSMENT OF THE COURT ASSESS			
1	THE COURT: Ms. Hernandez, do you speak a little bit of English?			
2	THE WITNESS: Yes.			
3	THE COURT: But your first language is Spanish?			
4	THE WITNESS: Yes.			
5	THE COURT: Okay. Would you be more comfortable if we asked the			
6	questions through the use of an interpreter?			
7	THE WITNESS: Okay. [Through the Interpreter] Yes.			
8	THE COURT: You're entitled to do that, and we'll do that. To make it			
9	easy on everybody, so don't listen to the question. You listen to the question			
10	in Spanish from the Interpreter and tell her back in Spanish the answer, and			
11	she'll take it from there. Okay?			
12	THE WITNESS: Okay.			
13	THE COURT: State your name, and spell your name for the court			
14	reporter, please.			
15	THE WITNESS: Bianca Hernandez, Bianca Hernandez, B-i-a-n-c-a,			
16	H-e-r-d-e-z [sic].			
17	THE COURT: I assume it's H-e-r-n-a-n-d-e-z; right?			
18	THE INTERPRETER: Yes, Judge. That's what it is.			
19	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.			
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION			
21	BY MS. NYICOS:			
22	Q Bianca, do you know a person by the name of David?			
23	A [Through an Interpreter] Martinez?			
24	Q Yes.			
25	A Yes.			
	-67-			
1	II			

1	Q	Who is David?	
2	Α	David is my ex-companion, the father of my son.	
3	α	And what's your son's name?	
4	А	Franklin Martinez.	
5	a	And how old is Franklin?	
6	А	16.	
7	۵	Oh, my. Bianca, how long were you with David?	
8	А	About ten years.	
9	۵	Do you know a person by the name of Freddy Martinez?	
10	А	Yes.	
11	a	Who is Freddy?	
12	А	Well, right now he's my ex-brother-in-law.	
13	۵	Do you see Freddy in the courtroom today?	
14	A	Yes.	
15	٥	Could you please point to him and describe something that he's	
16	wearing.		
17	MS. ⊦	IAMERS: Judge, we'll stipulate to the identification of Mr.	
18	Martinez.		
19	THE	COURT: Okay.	
20	MS. N	IYICOS: Thank you.	
21	THE C	COURT: The record will reflect the identification of the Defendant	
22	Freddy Martinez. Go ahead.		
23	BY MS. NYIC	COS:	
24	٥	Bianca, did there come a point in time where Freddy Martinez	
25	came to live	with you and David and your son?	
		-68-	
	I		

1	Α	[Through an Interpreter] Yes.	
2	Q	Do you recall when that was?	
3	Α	About ten years about ten years ago. Right now 16 years.	
4	16 years toge	ether.	
5	a	He lived with you for 16 years; is that what you're saying?	
6	Α	Yes. We lived together with my ex, and then we separated. I	
7	separated fro	m my ex, and he stayed there. We lived together with him.	
8	a	How old was Freddy when he first came to live with you?	
9	А	I think he was about 15, 16 years old. I don't remember very	
10	well, but he	was young.	
11	a	So is he David's younger brother?	
12	A	There's another one, but he is younger than David.	
13	a	Okay. Now, you said that you split up with David, and Freddy	
14	still lived with you?		
15	A	Yes.	
16	a	Why is that?	
17	A	I don't know. He always wanted to stay with us. He never	
18	wanted to lea	ave.	
19	a	When you split up with David, where did David go?	
20	Α	I don't know. He simply left the apartment.	
21	Q	Now, Bianca, sometime in 2006 did there come a point in time	
22	when you sto	opped living with Freddy?	
23	A	How? In what way?	
24	Q	Okay. Let me ask you a different question.	
25	THE	COURT: I'm going to give you a little leeway to lead her because	
	1	60	

-69-

1	of the language issue. Kind of lead her through this until we get to the key		
2	stuff and then stop leading her.		
3	MS. NYICOS: Thank you, Your Honor.		
4	BY MS. NYIC	OS:	
5	Q	Were you living with Freddy and Franklin in a trailer here in Las	
6	Vegas, Nevad	da?	
7	Α	[Through an Interpreter] Yes.	
8	۵	And then you moved out of that trailer and moved in with	
9	someone nan	ned Jose'?	
10	А	Yes.	
11	a	Who's Jose'?	
12	А	Jose' is my boyfriend.	
13	a	And how long has Jose' been your boyfriend?	
14	Α	About four years.	
15	a	When you moved out of the trailer, were you and Freddy on good	
16	terms?		
17	THE C	COURT: Yes or no.	
18	THE V	VITNESS: Yes.	
19	BY MS. NYIC	cos:	
20	Q	Okay. And did you tell Freddy where you were moving to?	
21	A	[Through an Interpreter] No. I simply told him that I was going	
22	to go to anot	her place.	
23	Ω	And this other place you went to with Jose', was that on Lamont	
24	Street?		
25	A	Yes.	
	-70-		

11			
1	Q Now, I want to talk about August 2006. Were you living on		
2	Lamont Street at that time?		
3	A Yes.		
4	Q And were you seeing Freddy around that time?		
5	THE COURT: Seeing in the sense of occasionally running into him		
6	MS. NYICOS: Yes. I apologize.		
7	THE COURT: or in the sense of dating?		
8	MS. NYICOS: Not dating.		
9	THE COURT: Ask it in a more clear fashion.		
10	MS. NYICOS: Okay.		
11	BY MS. NYICOS:		
12	Q Were you still talking to Freddy in August of 2006?		
13	A [Through an Interpreter] Yes, I always talk normally with him.		
14	We never were angry to each other.		
15	Q Okay. And was Freddy still living in the trailer?		
16	A Yes.		
17	Q And who's trailer was that?		
18	A Mine.		
19	Q Now, did there come a point in time in August of 2006 that		
20	something happened, and you wound up in Mesquite?		
21	A Yes.		
22	Q What happened?		
23	A Freddy Martinez came to the apartment where I was living with		
24	Jose' approximately at 5:30 a.m.		
25	Q Now, at 5:30 in the morning, what were you doing at that time?		
	- 7 1-		
1	}		

PLEADING CONTINUES IN INTERIOR OF THE SECONDARY OF THE SE

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Electronically Filed Aug 09 2011 03:07 p.m. Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk of Supreme Court

FREDDY MARTINEZ,
Appellant(s),

VS.

STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent(s), Case No: 06C226586 SC No: 58050

RECORD ON APPEAL VOLUME

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT FREDDY MARTINEZ # 1003276 PROPER PERSON P.O. BOX 7000 CARSON CITY, NV 89702 ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENT DAVID ROGER, ESQ. DISTRICT ATTORNEY 200 LEWIS AVE. LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101

06C226586

STATE OF NEVADA VS. FREDDY MARTINEZ

INDEX

1 1 - 235 2 236 - 479 3 480 - 678

I N D E X

VOL	DATE	PLEADING	NUMBER:
AOT	DATE	PHEADING	NOPIDER.
3	04/02/2010	A MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	489 - 491
3	04/21/2010	A MOTION TO VACATE A J.O.C.	496 - 500
1	04/12/2007	AMENDED JURY LIST	78 - 78
3	05/24/2010	ANSWER AND RESPONSE	527 - 549
1	06/06/2007	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	128 - 129
1	06/19/2007	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	130 - 132
3	04/23/2010	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	504 - 505
3	06/04/2010	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	554 - 555
3	11/18/2010	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	581 - 582
3	03/24/2011	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	608 - 609
3	03/29/2011	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	623 - 624
3	04/22/2011	CASE APPEAL STATEMENT	635 - 636
3	07/21/2011	CERTIFICATION OF COPY AND TRANSMITTAL OF RECORD	
1	01/18/2007	DEFENDANT'S NOTICE OF WITNESSES, PURSUANT TO NRS 174.234	74 - 76
1	06/04/2007	DESIGNATION OF RECORD ON APPEAL	125 - 126
3	03/25/2011	DESIGNATION OF RECORD ON APPEAL	610 - 611
3	04/19/2011	DESIGNATION OF RECORD ON APPEAL	629 - 633
3	07/21/2011	DISTRICT COURT MINUTES	
3	07/21/2011	DOCUMENTARY EXHIBITS (UNFILED)	648 - 678
1	11/28/2006	EX PARTE MOTION FOR RELEASE OF MEDICAL RECORDS	61 - 62
3	01/21/2011	FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER	588 - 592
1	09/29/2006	INDICTMENT	1 - 3
1	09/29/2006	INDICTMENT WARRANT	4 - 4
1	10/03/2006	INDICTMENT WARRANT RETURN	5 - 9
1	04/12/2007	INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JURY (INSTRUCTION NO. 1)	79 - 115
1	05/31/2007	JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION (JURY TRIAL)	123 - 124

INDEX

<u>vor</u>	DATE	PLEADING	PAGE NUMBER:
1	04/11/2007	JURY LIST	77 - 77
3	05/13/2010	MOTION FOR AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING	516 - 520
3	07/23/2010	MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	565 - 570
3	03/25/2011	MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL PURSUANT TO NRS 34.750	612 - 614
1	11/17/2006	MOTION TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE OF EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE	34 - 42
3	03/25/2011	MOTION TO EXTEND PRISON COPYWORK LIMIT FOR	615 - 620
3	10/23/2009	MOTION TO MAKE ADDITIONAL FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR NDOC INMATE'S LEGAL ACCOUNT	480 - 483
2	06/06/2008	NEVADA SUPREME COURT CLERK'S CERTIFICATE JUDGEMENT - AFFIRMED	470 - 479
3	07/13/2010	NEVADA SUPREME COURT CLERK'S CERTIFICATE JUDGEMENT - DISMISSED	561 - 564
3	12/16/2010	NEVADA SUPREME COURT CLERK'S CERTIFICATE JUDGMENT - AFFIRMED	583 - 587
3	06/09/2011	NEVADA SUPREME COURT CLERK'S CERTIFICATE JUDGMENT - AFFIRMED	637 - 642
3	06/15/2011	NEVADA SUPREME COURT CLERKS CERTIFICATE JUDGMENT - DISMISSED	643 - 647
1	06/04/2007	NOTICE OF APPEAL	127 - 127
1	06/19/2007	NOTICE OF APPEAL	133 - 135
3	04/22/2010	NOTICE OF APPEAL	501 - 503
3	06/03/2010	NOTICE OF APPEAL	550 - 553
3	11/16/2010	NOTICE OF APPEAL	578 - 580
3	03/21/2011	NOTICE OF APPEAL	604 - 607
3	03/25/2011	NOTICE OF APPEAL	621 - 622
3	04/19/2011	NOTICE OF APPEAL	634 - 634
3	03/08/2011	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF DECISION AND ORDER	595 - 600
3	03/08/2011	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF DECISION AND ORDER	601 - 603
I	11/20/2006	NOTICE OF EXPERT WITNESSES [NRS 174.234(2)]	43 - 58

06C226586 The State of Nevada vs Freddy Martinez

I N D E X

<u>vol</u>	DATE	PLEADING	PAGE NUMBER:
1	11/20/2006	NOTICE OF WITNESSES [NRS 174.234(1)(A)]	59 - 60
3	11/03/2009	OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO MAKE ADDITIONAL FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR NDOC INMATES [SIC] LEGAL ACCOUNT	484 - 486
1	06/28/2007	ORDER APPOINTING APPELLANT COUNSEL	136 - 137
3	09/03/2010	ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	576 - 577
3	01/25/2011	ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	593 - 594
3	01/06/2010	ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO MAKE ADDITIONAL FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR NDOC INMATES [SIC] LEGAL ACCOUNT	487 - 488
3	04/24/2010	ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S PRO PER MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	506 - 507
3	05/21/2010	ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S PRO PER MOTION TO VACATE A J.O.C.	521 - 522
3	05/11/2010	ORDER FOR PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	515 - 515
1	12/23/2006	ORDER RE-SETTING CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS PRESENTLY SCHEDULED ON JANUARY 25, 2007 IN DEPT XXIV	72 - 73
1	11/28/2006	ORDER RELEASING MEDICAL RECORDS	63 - 64
3	04/30/2010	PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION)	508 - 514
3	07/21/2011	PRE-SENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT (UNFILED) CONFIDENTIAL	
1	04/12/2007	PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS NOT USED AT TRIAL	116 - 120
1	08/10/2007	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 11, 2007 (CONTINUED)	165 - 235
2	08/10/2007	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 11, 2007 (CONTINUATION)	236 - 335
2	08/10/2007	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 12, 2007	336 - 423
1	08/03/2007	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 5, 2007	159 - 164
2	11/30/2007	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 5, 2007	441 - 446
2	01/23/2008	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 5, 2007	455 - 460

06C226586 The State of Nevada vs Freddy Martinez

INDEX

<u>vor</u>	DATE	PLEADING	PAGE NUMBER:
2	08/13/2007	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF FEBRUARY 8, 2007	435 - 440
2	01/04/2008	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF FEBRUARY 8, 2007	447 - 454
2	01/23/2008	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JANUARY 18, 2008	461 - 469
1	07/25/2007	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JANUARY 25, 2007	142 - 146
1	07/25/2007	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JANUARY 30, 2007	147 - 158
2	08/10/2007	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MAY 24, 2007	424 - 427
2	08/10/2007	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF NOVEMBER 30, 2006	428 - 434
1	07/17/2007	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 5, 2006	138 - 141
1	10/11/2006	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF SEPTEMBER 21, 2006	10 - 28
1	10/13/2006	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF SEPTEMBER 28, 2006	29 - 33
3	04/09/2010	STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	492 - 495
3	08/05/2010	STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	571 - 575
3	04/01/2011	STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	625 - 628
3	05/21/2010	STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR EVIDENTIARY HEARING	523 - 526
1	11/28/2006	STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO COMPEL EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE	65 - 71
3	07/09/2010	STATE'S RESPONSE AND MOTION TO DISMISS DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION)	556 - 560
1	04/12/2007	VERDICT	121 - 122

URIGINAL FILED. **IND** 1 DAVID ROGER 2 Clark County District Attorney SEP 29 12 05 PM '06 Str L. Chargina CLERK Nevada Bar #002781 3 NOREEN C. NYIKOS Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #008213 4 200 Lewis Avenue 5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 6 Attorney for Plaintiff 7 DISTRICT COURT 8 9 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA THE STATE OF NEVADA, 10 11 Plaintiff, Case No. C226586 12 -VS-Dept. No. FREDDY MARTINEZ, aka, 13 Fredys A. Martinez, INDICTMENT #1361243 14 15 Defendant(s). 16 17 18 STATE OF NEVADA) ss. COUNTY OF CLARK 19 The Defendant(s) above named, FREDDY MARTINEZ, aka, Fredys A. Martinez, 20 accused by the Clark County Grand Jury of the crimes of BURGLARY WHILE IN 21 POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Felony NRS 205.060); BATTERY WITH USE 22 OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Felony - NRS 200.481); FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING 23 WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Felony - NRS 200.310, 200.320, 193.165); and 24 SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Felony - NRS 200.364, 25 200.366, 193.165), committed at and within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, on or 26 about the 16th day of August, 2006, as follows: 27 RECEIVED 28 SEP 2 9 2006 COUNTY CLERK

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

25

26

27

28

1

COUNT 1 – BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously enter, while in possession of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knife, and with intent to commit kidnapping, that certain 2003 Ford Focus, bearing Nevada License No. 308TRL, owned by BIANCA HERNANDEZ.

COUNT 2 - BATTERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously use force or violence upon the person of another, to-wit: BIANCA HERNANDEZ, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knife, by cutting the said BIANCA HERNANDEZ in the thigh with said knife.

COUNT 3 - FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously, and without authority of law, seize, confine, inveigle, entice, decoy, abduct, conceal, kidnap, or carry away BIANCA HERNANDEZ, a human being, with the intent to hold or detain the said BIANCA HERNANDEZ against her will, and without her consent, for the purpose of committing sexual assault, said Defendant using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knife, during the commission of said crime.

COUNT 4 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject BIANCA HERNANDEZ, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: sexual intercourse, by placing his penis into the genital opening of the said BIANCA HERNANDEZ, against her will: Defendant using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knife, during the commission of said crime.

DATED this 28 day of September, 2006.

DAVID ROGER DISTRICT ATTORNEY Nevada Bar #002781

BY

NOREENC. NYIKOS Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #008213

ENDORSEMENT: A True Bill

Foreperson, Clark County Grand Jury

2

P:\WPDOCS\IND\615\61592401.doc

1	Names of witnesses testifying before the Grand Jury:
2	HERNANDEZ, BIANCA, c/o District Attorney, 200 Lewis Ave., LVN 89155
3	Additional witnesses known to the District Attorney at the time of filing this Indictment:
4	CHAVEZ, ARTURO, LVMPD P#4048
5	GODDARD, BLAKE, LVMPD P#5975
6	KASTILLO, JOSE, c/o District Attorney, 200 Lewis Ave., LVN 89155
7	PHEERS, WILLIAM, 2300 E. GLENDALE RD., MOAPA, NV 89025
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	05BGJ145X/06F15924X/dd LVMPD EV#0608160637
26	BURG W/WPN; BWDW; 1ST DEG KIDNAP W/WPN; SEX ASSLT W/WPN - F
27	SEX ASSLT W/WPN - F
28	H

WARR

UNIGINA

Į.

lb

DISTRICT COURT

FILED

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

SEP 29 12 05 PM '06

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

-VS-

Freddy Martinez, aka Fredys A.Martinez ID#1361243

Defendant.

CASE NO: (116586 office & Paragina

DEPT NO: \sqrt{I}

WARRANT FOR ARREST

INDICTMENT WARRANT

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

To: Any Sheriff, Constable, Marshall, Policeman, or Peace Officer in This State:

An Indictment having been found on the 29th day of September, 2006, in the above entitled Court, charging Defendant Freddy Martinez, above named, with the crime(s) of: (1) COUNT - BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON; (1) COUNT - BATTERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON; (1) COUNT - FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON; and (1) COUNT - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON.

YOU ARE, THEREFORE, COMMANDED forthwith to arrest and bring said Defendant before the Court to answer the Indictment. If the Court is not in session, you are to deliver Defendant into the custody of the Sheriff of Clark County, or if requested by Defendant, take Defendant before any Magistrate in the County where arrested that bail may be given to answer to the Indictment. Defendant shall be admitted to bail in the sum of \$ 600,000.

I HEREBY AUTHORIZE THE SERVICE OF THE WITHIN WARRANT BY TELETYPE, PURSUANT TO NRS 171.148. The Warrant may be served at any hour day or night

GIVEN under my hand this 29 day of September, 2006.

DAVID ROGER District Attorney Nevada Bar #002781

BY

(TK)

NOREEN C. NYIKOS Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #008213

DISTRICT JUDGE KATHY A. HARDCASTLE, CHIE BAIL \$_500,000

DA#05BGJ145X/06F15924X/dd LVMPD EV#060816-0637/ 05/28/1969; IRACEN/SS#: 545-21-6395

SEP 2 9 2006

COUNTY CLERK

58

DAVID ROGER
DISTRICT ATTORNEY
Nevada Bar #002781
NOREEN C. NYIKOS
Deputy District Attorney
Nevada Bar #008213
200 South Third Street
Las Vegas, NV 89155-2211
(702) 455-4711
Attorney for Plaintiff

FILED

Oct 3 8 56 AH '06

Solid of Programs

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

-VS-

Freddy Martinez, ID#1361243

Defendant.

CASE NO: *C226586*DEPT NO: 1777

INDICTMENT WARRANT RETURN

An Indictment having heretofore been found on the 29th day of September, 2006, in the above entitled Court, charging Defendant Freddy Martinez, above named, with the crimes of: (1) COUNT - BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON; (1) COUNT - BATTERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON; (1) COUNT - FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON; and (1) COUNT - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON, and upon finding the said Indictment, the court issued a warrant for the arrest of said Defendant.

I hereby certify that I received a certified copy of the Indictment Warrant and served the same by arresting the within Defendant on the day of 2006.

CINC

OCT n 3 2006

BILL YOUNG, Sheriff, Clark County, Nevada

BY

Deputy

DISTRICT COURT

FILED

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

SEP 29 12 06 PM '06

THE STATE OF NEVADA.

Plaintiff,

-vs-

Freddy Martinez, aka Fredys A.Martinez ID#1361243

Defendant.

CASE NO: (11658 Chilly & Fanogius

DEPT NO:

WARRANT FOR ARREST

INDICTMENT WARRANT

THE STATE OF NEVADA.

To: Any Sheriff, Constable, Marshall, Policeman, or Peace Officer in This State:

An Indictment having been found on the 29th day of September, 2006, in the above entitled Court, charging Defendant Freddy Martinez, above named, with the crime(s) of: (1) COUNT - BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON; (1) COUNT - BATTERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON; (1) COUNT - FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON; and (1) COUNT - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON; and (1) COUNT - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON.

YOU ARE, THEREFORE, COMMANDED forthwith to arrest and bring said Defendant before the Court to answer the Indictment. If the Court is not in session, you are to deliver Defendant into the custody of the Sheriff of Clark County, or if requested by Defendant, take Defendant before any Magistrate in the County where arrested that bail may be given to answer to the Indictment. Defendant shall be admitted to bail in the sum of \$ 00,000.

I HEREBY AUTHORIZE THE SERVICE OF THE WITHIN WARRANT BY TELETYPE, PURSUANT TO NRS 171.148. The Warrant may be served at any hour day or night

GIVEN under my hand this 29 day of September, 2006.

DAVID ROGER District Attorney

Nevada Bar #002781

BY

Deputy District Attorney

Nevada Bar #008213

KATHY A. HARDCASTLE, CHIEF 2006 SEP 29 P 12: 25 BAIL \$ 500, Oca.

DA#05BGJ145X/06F15924X/dd

LVMPD EV#060816-0637/

05/28/1969; H/M/A; SS#: 545-21-6395

(TK)

WARR

DISTRICT COURT

FILED

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

SEP 29 12 06 14 '06

THE STATE OF NEVADA.

Plaintiff,

-vs-

Freddy Martinez, aka Fredys A.Martinez ID#1361243

Defendant.

CASE NO: (116586 Chicles & Langine CLERK

DEPT NO:

WARRANT FOR ARREST

INDICTMENT WARRANT

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

To: Any Sheriff, Constable, Marshall, Policeman, or Peace Officer in This State:

An Indictment having been found on the 29th day of September, 2006, in the above entitled Court, charging Defendant Freddy Martinez, above named, with the crime(s) of: (1) COUNT - BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON; (1) COUNT - BATTERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON; (1) COUNT - FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON; and (1) COUNT - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON.

YOU ARE, THEREFORE, COMMANDED forthwith to arrest and bring said Defendant before the Court to answer the Indictment. If the Court is not in session, you are to deliver Defendant into the custody of the Sheriff of Clark County, or if requested by Defendant, take Defendant before any Magistrate in the County where arrested that bail may be given to answer to the Indictment. Defendant shall be admitted to bail in the sum of \$ 00,000.

I HEREBY AUTHORIZE THE SERVICE OF THE WITHIN WARRANT BY TELETYPE. PURSUANT TO NRS 171.148. The Warrant may be served at any hour day or night

GIVEN under my hand this 29 day of September, 2006.

DAVID ROGER District Attorney

Nevada Bar #002781

BY

Deputy District Attorney

Nevada Bar #008213

RICHAL ON FILE KATHY A. HARDCASTLE, CHIE BAIL \$ 500 oca.

7006 SEP 29 P 12: 25

DA#05BGJ145X/06F15924X/dd LVMPD EV#060816-0637/

05/28/1969; H/M/A; SS#: 545-21-6395

(TK)

WARR

DISTRICT COURT

FILED

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

SEP 29 12 07 PM '06

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

Freddy Martinez, aka Fredys A.Martinez ID#1361243

Defendant.

CASE NO: (116586) Rugine

DEPT NO:

WARRANT FOR ARREST

INDICTMENT WARRANT

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

To: Any Sheriff, Constable, Marshall, Policeman, or Peace Officer in This State:

An Indictment having been found on the 29th day of September, 2006, in the above entitled Court, charging Defendant Freddy Martinez, above named, with the crime(s) of: (1) COUNT - BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON; (1) COUNT - BATTERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON; (1) COUNT - FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON; and (1) COUNT - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON.

YOU ARE, THEREFORE, COMMANDED forthwith to arrest and bring said Defendant before the Court to answer the Indictment. If the Court is not in session, you are to deliver Defendant into the custody of the Sheriff of Clark County, or if requested by Defendant, take Defendant before any Magistrate in the County where arrested that bail may be given to answer to the Indictment. Defendant shall be admitted to bail in the sum of \$\incomego OO, OOO.

I HEREBY AUTHORIZE THE SERVICE OF THE WITHIN WARRANT BY TELETYPE, PURSUANT TO NRS 171.148. The Warrant may be served at any hour day or night

GIVEN under my hand this 29 day of September, 2006.

DAVID ROGER District Attorney Nevada Bar #002781

BY

NOREEN C. NYIKOS Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #008213

DA#05BGJ145X/06F15924X/dd LVMPD EV#060816-0637/ 05/28/1969; H/M/A; SS#: 545-21-6395 (TK) DISTRICT JUDGE

KATHY A. HARDCASTLE, CHIE BAIL \$ 500,000.

Page 8

					1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	200	-	T
		JUDGE:			3	OCT - 2 2006		
I.A.D.	0	DUVENILE			PIFICATION	THECE NED INCATION	7 200	•
PROBABLE CAUSE	0	MUNICIPAL			EDAND JURY INDICTMENT SERVED ON	DI PROPORTION S	EC sp 3	
O.A. RELEASE	0	Justice			ON	WARHANT SERVED ON	⊆1∀ 0.,_	•
STANDARD BAIL	0	COURT			SERVED ON	S BENCH WARHANT SERVED ON	/ED	
TOAR:		FIRST APPEARANCE: DATE:	ETAILS.	E PAGE TWO FOR D	FOR PHOBABLE CAUSENCIC HIT ARREST SEE PAGE TWO FOR DETAILS	FOR PROBABLE C/	at BOOKING	Time at Bo
	P # Agency	(Print Name)	Transporting Officer's Signature	Transportin				
APPROVAL CONTROL # FOR ADDITIONAL CHARGES:	P* Agency	(Print Name)	Arreating Officer's Signature	Arresting O				
OTHER COURT:	RAND JURY IND.		RANT WA-WARRANT	BW - BENCH WARRANT	BONDSMAN SURRENDER	PROBABLE CAUSE BS -	TYPE: PC - PRC	'ARREST
			0	000-0	18 10			
0 0 0		·	0	0	tal Bail	<u> </u>	i	
0 0 0 0	orssare.		70 40	100.366 a	20 July 100	1 Assout to	SAMOS	الم الم
0 18 0 0	012/20166		0 2 65	3101	0 K) (300	larie Kidra	154 OU	C+92
0 0 80	गक्डमहरू		120 × 0	0	20D. 481	WI DIN	Sak C	Stoc
00000	0.3211/5010		150 AO	-ouec =	(DW) 255	- 11) DDS	Puncy	5006
COURT LV JC DC OTHER	WARR / NCIC NUMBER	EVENT NUMBER	GM F TYPE.	3	TRS *	CHARGE ORD / NRS #		BKG.
	•		TOCATION OF ARRES	LV Y	1)01/10m	FONCOF		() () ()
Sorry	Speak English? PLACE OF BIRTH	10395	SOCIAL SECURITY	HAIR EYES	が 80 Minut	SEX		DATE OF BIRTH
ZIP	STATE	1 8 m	OITY 1 1 V.C.	BLDG./APT. #		A & STREET	NOW	ADDRESS
Middle	Final	+ ×000 +	TRUE NAME	Middle	- <u>ua</u>	7	INTAKE NAME (AKA, ALIAS, ETC.)	NTAKE N
* (1	3(1)243 Event #:	FOT I.D. #:	CUSTODY RECOI	S VEGAS METROPO EMPORARY	75-	TIME OF ARREST	ARREST:	Page of _
16.53:(70			X	Mins.	X XX			

1	GRAND JURORS PRESENT ON SEPTEMBER 21, 2006:
2	
3	LAVERN RATZLAFF, Foreperson
4	CATHERINE WARNING, Assistant Foreperson
5	NANCY COATSWORTH, Secretary
6	JIMMIE BEATY
7	VERONA SUE GARBAT
8	BOBI LEE GREEN
9	BERNICE JOYNER
10	LINDA REED
11	JUDITH SCHILL
12	UWE SCHREIBER
13	DEVENDRA SINGH
14	JIL TILLMON
15	AURORA YGUICO
16	
17	
18	Also present at the request of the Grand Jury: Noreen Nyikos,
19	Deputy District Attorney
20	David Barker, Chief Deputy District Attorney
21	Chief Dopus, Disserse,
22	
23	
24	
25	

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 14, 2006

* * * * *

LISA BRENSKE,

having been first duly sworn to faithfully and accurately transcribe the following proceedings to the best of her ability.

MS. NYIKOS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. We are here to present the case of the State of Nevada versus Freddy Martinez. I'm Noreen Nyikos, this is chief deputy district attorney Dave Barker with me.

We are here to present case number 05BGJ145X charged on or about the 16th day of August 2006 Freddy Martinez committed the following crimes: Burglary while in possession of a deadly weapon, battery with use of a deadly weapon, first degree kidnapping with use of a deadly weapon and sexual assault with use of a deadly weapon.

By law I am supposed to instruct you regarding the elements of these offenses. Burglary is any person who by day or night enters any vehicle with the intent to commit an assault or battery or any felony therein is guilty of burglary.

Battery with use of a deadly weapon is any

willful and unlawful use of force or violence upon the person of another with use of a deadly weapon.

Deadly weapon means any instrument which, if used in the ordinary manner contemplated by its design, will likely cause substantial bodily harm or death.

person who wilfully seizes, confines, inveigles, entices, destroys, abducts, conceals, kidnaps or carries away any person by any means whatsoever with the intent to hold or detain that person for ranson or reward, or for the purpose of committing sexual assault, extortion or robbery upon that person or for the purpose of killing that person is guilty of kidnapping in the first degree.

Sexual assault is defined as follows: A person who subjects another person to sexual penetration against the victim's will or under conditions in which the perpetrator knows or should have known that the victim is mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of the conduct is guilty of sexual assault.

Do you guys have any questions regarding these instructions? My first witness is Bianca Hernandez.

Do I have the Grand Jury's permission to use the assistance of the interpreter?

THE FOREPERSON: Yes, and I'd like to swear in

the interpreter, please. 1 (Interpreter sworn.) 2 Would you please state your THE FOREPERSON: 3 name and spell it. 4 THE INTERPRETER: Mario Torres, T-o-r-r-e-s. 5 THE FOREPERSON: I'd like to swear the witness 6 in, if you'd ask her to stand. 7 You do solemnly swear that the testimony that 8 you are about to give upon the investigation now pending 9 before this Grand Jury shall be the truth, the whole truth 10 and nothing but the truth so help you God. 11 MS. HERNANDEZ: I do. 12 THE FOREPERSON: You are advised that you are 13 here today to give testimony in the investigation pertaining 14 to the offenses of burglary while in possession of a deadly 15 weapon, battery with use of a deadly weapon, first degree 16 kidnapping with use of a deadly weapon, sexual assault with 17 use of a deadly weapon involving Freddy Martinez. 18 Do you understand this advisement? 19 MS. HERNANDEZ: Yes. 20 THE FOREPERSON: Please state your first and 21 last name and spell them for the record. 22 MS. HERNANDEZ: Fred's name or mine? 23 THE FOREPERSON: Her name. 24 THE WITNESS: Bianca Hernandez, B-i-a-n-c-a, 25

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

H-e-r-n-a-n-d-e-z.

BIANCA HERNANDEZ,

having been first duly sworn by the Foreperson of the Grand
Jury to testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing
but the truth, testified as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY MS. NYIKOS:

Q Bianca, I am going to show you Grand Jury
Exhibit 2. Do you recognize the person in that photograph?

A Yes.

Q Who is that person?

A My brother-in-law.

Q What is his name?

A Freddy Martinez.

Q Bianca, how do you know Freddy?

A Freddy arrived to his brother house when he was about 15 years old. He was at my house through that time and I got all the way to see him as a brother. And so he was with us up until the time of this problem.

And I separated from his brother about four years ago. And then I move from the trailer because I have bought mobile home, and then we were at that mobile home,

1	him, myself and my son, and as of late he was behaving
2	badly, like very jealous.
3	MS. NYIKOS: I am going to ask the Grand Jury
4	to disregard that at this time.
5	Q Bianca, on August 16th of this year did you see
6	Freddy that day?
7	A On the day that he kidnapped me?
8	Q Yes.
9	A Yes.
10	Q Where were you when you first saw him?
11	A On that day I took my boyfriend to work about
12	five in the morning. I didn't notice him coming out of
13	property that is right across.
14	Q Were you at your boyfriend's house?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And is that 1661 North Lamont Street?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Is that here in Clark County, Nevada?
19	A Yes.
20	Q I want to take this really slow. Had you left
21	yet to take your boyfriend to work?
22	A No.
23	Q Where were you?
24	A I was outside and then I came back. I turned
25	the engine on in the car and then I turned the radio on.

•	
2 1	Q Now, the car, is that a 2003 Ford Focus?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Is the license plate a Nevada plate?
4	A Yes.
5	Q 308TRL?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Whose car is that?
8	A Mine.
9	Q Was your boyfriend in the car with you?
10	A No.
11	Q Were you in the driver's seat or the passenger
12	seat?
13	A At that time in the driver's seat.
14	Q What happened next?
15	A I didn't notice that he jumped the fence from
16	the street because I was looking down and when I least
17	expect it I turned around and notice it was Freddy. And he
18	opened the door and he had a knife in his hand. He forced
19	me to drive and he poked me three times in my leg.
20	Q Which door did Freddy open?
21	A The passenger.
22	Q Did Freddy get in the car with you?
23	A Yes.
24	Q When you said he poked you three times, where
25	did he poke you?

_	_	
1	A	Inside the car. The car was still there,
2	standing the	re.
3	Q	Where on your body did he poke you?
4	A	Right leg.
5	Q	What did he poke you with?
6	A	It was like some kind of knife. It was not a
7	knife, but s	ome type of it.
8	Q	Some type of knife. Can you describe it?
9	A	Yeah, it's like a knife of this size that
10	folds.	
11	Q	This size?
12	A	No, I don't recall exactly because I become
13	very nervous	. But, yeah, it was like that.
14	Q	So that was about six inches?
15	А	I think so.
16	Q	And he used that knife to poke you?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	And did he say anything when he was poking you?
19	A	Yes, to drive and to keep quiet.
20	Q	And did you drive?
21	A	Yes. I wanted to get out of the car but he
22	grabbed my h	and.
23	Q	Did he say anything when he grabbed your hand?
24	А	Yes. To drive and that I was not coming back
25	anymore to I	as Vegas.

How did that make you feel? 2 1 Q Very afraid, panicked. 2 Where did you drive? 3 When I got away from the area where I live he made me stop like half a block away from Nellis. And then 5 he grabbed me by my hair and he threw me back so that he 6 could drive. 7 When you said Nellis, do you mean Nellis Air 0 8 9 Force Base? No, the street. 10 Α That's still here in Clark County, Nevada? 11 Q Yes. 12 What happened when he grabbed your hair? 13 He threw me to the back seat and then a few 14 Α minutes later he told me get back in the front seat. I 15 wanted to exit through the back door to request some 16 assistance but I couldn't. Then he drove all the way on 17 Owens and then he made a turn somewhere to get into Las 18 Vegas Boulevard. 19 What happened next? 20 And then about three blocks -- before three 21 blocks getting to Las Vegas Boulevard there was a car ahead 22 of us and in front of that car was a police car. I wanted 23 to blow the horn so that to call the officer's attention to 24 get help. When I tried to blow the horn I wanted to get the

wheel so that the car could swing back and forth. And then 2 1 2 to draw his attention. And then I struggled with him a lot to do that, but then he made a turn and he got into a 3 parking lot for a trailer park. And then he hit me again in the face so that I can constrain myself. 5 THE INTERPRETER: The interpreter's correction, 6 she meant to say restrain myself. 7 THE WITNESS: Waited a few minutes so that he 8 could come back to wait until the police car went away so he 9 could get back on the road. 10 BY MS. NYIKOS: 11 How long did that take? 12 Approximately since the time that I left the 13 house to the time we got there about 15 minutes. 14 What happened next? 15 Q And then he took the road to Mesquite. 16 Which road is that? 17 I don't know which is the freeway that goes 18 19 there. It's a highway, though? 20 It's all the way on Las Vegas Boulevard 21 and then he made a turn to get onto the freeway. 22 Once you got on the freeway what happened? 23 Q I was crying very nervous because I notice that 24

25

he was under the influence of drugs. I begged him a lot to

take me back and I told him Freddy, please, take me back 2 1 home, my son is alone. He told me no, you're never coming 2 back to Las Vegas. Forget everything, forget your son and 3 the father of your child. And he told me to forget it, that 4 3 I was not coming back and he didn't care about anything. 5 Did there come a time when he stopped? Q 6 He got to the shoulder of the freeway. 7 8 He got out in a desert area. 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 was meant to do. 16 What did he do to you? 17 0 Α 18 0 19 Yes. Α 20 21

22

23

24

25

What happened on the shoulder of the freeway? I asked him Freddy, why are you getting me here, and he said to me don't say anything. And then he went into a lot, he parked, he grabbed me by the hand. I asked him what was he thinking of doing and he told me that if I was not going to be for him, I was not going to be for anybody else. He opened the rear side door, he removed my clothes and he did to me what he He removed my clothes and to me that's a rape. Did any part of his body touch your body? What part of his body? Q His penis. Α And what part of your body? 0 My vagina. Did his penis go inside your vagina? Q

Page 23

1	A Yes.
2	Q When that happened did he still have a knife?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Did you want Freddy to have sex with you?
5	A No.
6	Q Bianca, what happened after that?
7	A He got out of me, he told me to dress again, my
8	shorts, because it was my shorts. He pulled up my blouse.
9	I told him Freddy, please take me back home. And he told me
10	no, you're not going back anymore so get into the front
11	seat, and then he left the deserted area and he got on the
12	freeway again.
13	Q The same freeway you were on before?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Now, Bianca, when he had stopped on the
16	deserted area had you gotten to Mesquite yet?
17	A There was still a way to get there.
18	Q When he got back on the freeway did he continue
19	driving the same direction?
20	A Yes. He got out on well, I don't know that
21	area. He got out to get some gas.
22	Q When he went to get gas were you able to get
23	out of the car?
24	A No. I remain inside the car because I know if
25	I run, he would.

And what happened after he got gas? Q 1 He kept driving until he reach Mesquite. 2 A When you got to Mesquite did he stop there? 3 0 Yes. In some apartment complex. Α Did he tell you why he went to this apartment Q. 5 complex? 6 He was going to look for some friends 7 because he used to work there. 8 When you got to this apartment complex were you 9 able to get out of the car? 10 I remained there seated for a few 11 I was looking around because there were some 12 people doing some cleaning work. There was someone there 13 who I wanted to talk to but they didn't pay any more 14 attention. So there was this young lady walking by. 15 at that moment Freddy got out of the car I told her, I said 16 help me because you know I've been kidnapped and he raped me 17 and he brought me here. So I asked her not to look directly 18 to my face so that won't make him think that I was asking 19 for help. 20 So Freddy saw me talking to her and he came 21 back. And then Freddy asked me is everything okay? And I 22 said to him yes, Freddy, everything is all right. But I 23 wanted him to go to see his friends so that I can get out of 24 the car. I had the opportunity because he gave me the keys

3

of getting into the car and coming back, but I didn't do it 1 3 because I was afraid that if he would come back he was going to be there and he could come back. 3 Did the police eventually come? Yes. 5 How long did that take? 6 I don't recall, but during the whole thing it 7 was approximately a couple hours. 8 By the whole thing do you mean from the time he 9 took you from the house on Lamont Street until the police 10 finally came? 11 Yes. 12 Bianca, did you want to go with Freddy? 13 No. No. 14 MS. NYIKOS: I have no further questions of 15 this witness. Does any member of the Grand Jury have any 16 17 questions? THE FOREPERSON: I'd like to admonish the 18 witness by law these proceedings are secret and you're 19 prohibited from disclosing to anyone anything that 20 transpired before us, including evidence and statements 21 presented to the Grand Jury, any event occurring or 22 statement made in the presence of the Grand Jury and 23 information obtained by the Grand Jury. 24

25

Failure to comply with this admonition is a

, , ,	
3 1	gross misdemeanor punishable by a year in the Clark County
2	Detention Center and a two thousand dollar fine. And in
3	addition you may be held in contempt of court punishable by
4	an additional five hundred dollar fine and 25 days in the
5	Clark County Detention Center.
6	Do you understand this?
7	THE WITNESS: Yes.
8	THE FOREPERSON: Thank you. You may be
9	excused.
10	MS. NYIKOS: Ladies and gentlemen of the Grand
11	Jury, I did have two additional witnesses but after this
12	testimony I actually believe that
13	MR. BARKER: We don't need to present those
14	witnesses.
15	MS. NYIKOS: So I will see you guys next week.
16	
17	(Proceedings concluded.)
18	000
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF NEVADA SS COUNTY OF CLARK I, Lisa Brenske, C.C.R. 186, do hereby certify that I took down in Shorthand (Stenotype) all of the proceedings had in the before-entitled matter at the time and place indicated and thereafter said shorthand notes were transcribed at and under my direction and supervision and that the foregoing transcript constitutes a full, true and accurate record of the proceedings had. Dated at Las Vegas, Nevada, September 26, 2006.

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURFILED 1 ORIGINAN 2 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 2006 OCT 13 A 11: 17 3 BEFORE THE GRAND JURY IMPANELED BY 4 5 DISTRICT COURT 6 7 THE STATE OF NEVADA, Case No. 05BGJ145X 8 0 226584 Plaintiff, 9 -vs-10 FREDDY MARTINEZ, aka Fredys A. Martinez, 11 Defendant. 12 13 Taken at Las Vegas, Nevada 14 Thursday, September 28, 2006 15 9:29 a.m. 16 17 18 19 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 20 21 22 VOLUME 2 23 24 07S 25 Reported by: Danette L. Antonacci, C.C.R. No. 222

1	GRAND JURORS PRESENT ON SEPTEMBER 28, 2006:
2	
3	LAVERN RATZLAFF, Foreperson
4	CATHERINE WARNING, Deputy Foreperson
5	NANCY COATSWORTH, Secretary
6	VERONA SUE GARBAT, Assistant Secretary
7	JIMMIE BEATY
8	BOBI LEE GREEN
9	BERNICE JOYNER
10	MARYLAN FREDERICK-MARSH
11	JOAN MCSWEENEY
12	LINDA REED
13	JUDITH SCHILL
14	UWE SCHREIBER
15	DEVENDRA SINGH
16	ANDREW URBAN
17	AURORA YGUICO
18	
19	Also present at the request of the Grand Jury: Noreen Nyikos,
20	Deputy District Attorney
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 28, 2006

DANETTE L. ANTONACCI,

having been first duly sworn to faithfully and accurately transcribe the following proceedings to the best of her ability.

THE FOREPERSON: Let the record reflect that I have canvassed the waiting area and no one is present in response to Notice of Intent to Seek Indictment.

MS. NYIKOS: Good morning ladies and gentlemen. We're back on the record in 05BGJ145X, State of Nevada versus Freddy Martinez. State has no more witnesses to present. I will leave you to your deliberation.

(At this time, all persons, other than members of the Grand Jury, exit the room at 9:30 a.m. and return at 9:31 a.m.)

THE FOREPERSON: Miss District Attorney, by a vote of twelve or more Grand Jurors a true bill has been returned against defendant Freddy Martinez, also known as Fredys A. Martinez, charging the crime of burglary while in possession of a deadly weapon, battery with use of a deadly weapon, first degree kidnapping with use of a deadly weapon and sexual assault with use of a deadly weapon, in Grand

```
Jury case number 05BGJ145X. We instruct you to prepare an
 1
     Indictment in conformance with the proposed Indictment
 2
     previously submitted to us.
 3
                        (Proceedings concluded.)
 4
 5
 6
                                --00000--
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE STATE OF NEVADA SS COUNTY OF CLARK I, Danette L. Antonacci, C.C.R. 222, do hereby certify that I took down in Shorthand (Stenotype) all of the proceedings had in the before-entitled matter at the time and place indicated and thereafter said shorthand notes were transcribed at and under my direction and supervision and that the foregoing transcript constitutes a full, true and accurate record of the proceedings had. Dated at Las Vegas, Nevada, October 9, 2006.

PHILIP J. KOHN, PUBLIC DEFENDER FILED NEVADA BAR NO. 0556 1 309 South Third Street, Suite 226 2 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 455-4685 · 2006 NOV 171A 8:54. 3 Attorney for Defendant 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 THE STATE OF NEVADA. 7 Plaintiff, CASE NO. C226586X 8 DEPT. NO. VII 9 FREDDY A. MARTINEZ, DATE: November 30, 2006 10 TIME: 8:30 a.m. Defendant. 11 12 MOTION TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE OF EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE 13 COMES NOW, the Defendant, FREDDY A. MARTINEZ, by and through 14 KATHLEEN M. HAMERS, Deputy Public Defender and hereby requests that pursuant to Brady v. 15 Maryland, 373 U.S. 83, 83 S.Ct. 1194 (1963), this Court order the State to produce any and all 16 exculpatory evidence in its actual or constructive possession. 17 This Motion is made and based upon all the papers and pleadings on file herein, the 18 attached Declaration of Counsel, and oral argument at the time set for hearing this Motion. 19 DATED this // day of November, 2006. 20 PHILIP J. KOHN 21 CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER 22 23 KATHLEEN M. HAMERS, #9049 Deputy Public Defender

DECLARATION

KATHLEEN M. HAMERS makes the following declaration:

1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the State of Nevada; I am the Deputy Public Defender assigned to represent the Defendant in the instant matter, and the Defendant has represented the following facts and circumstances of this case.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. (NRS 53.045).

EXECUTED this _____ day of November, 2006.

KATHLEEN M. HAMERS

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The State has charged Mr. Martinez with sex assault with use of a deadly weapon, first degree kidnapping with use of a deadly weapon, burglary with use of a deadly weapon and battery with intent to commit sexual assault. The State alleges that Mr. Martinez got into a car with Bianca Hernandez, ordered Hernandez to drive to Mesquite, then stopped off of Highway I-15 and sexually assaulted Hernandez.

Initially, Mesquite police responded to a call by Millie Tara that a domestic battery had occurred. Mesquite police interviewed Hernandez and she then alleged a kidnapping, battery and sexual assault with the use of a knife. Hernandez was then taken to the hospital and interviewed by the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. LVMPD conducted interviews of Hernandez, a witness Kastillo, and of the Defendant, Mr. Martinez.

The State then arraigned Mr. Martinez on the above charges in Justice Court on August 16, 2006 in case 06F15924X. That case was dismissed on October 2, 2006. The State filed the instant case by way of grand jury indictment and Mr. Martinez was arraigned and entered a not guilty plea on October 5, 2006.

ARGUMENT

Prior to trial, the State must provide to the defense any and all exculpatory evidence in its actual or constructive possession. Failure to do so violates the Due Process Clauses of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution. <u>Brady v. Maryland</u>, 373 U.S. 83, 83 S.Ct. 1194 (1963); <u>Kyles v. Whitley</u>, 514 U.S. 419, 115 S.Ct. 1555 (1995). Hereinafter this type of exculpatory evidence will be referred to as "<u>Brady</u> material." The State's duty to provide <u>Brady</u> material to the defense applies regardless of how the State has chosen to structure its overall discovery process. <u>Strickler v. Greene</u>, 527 U.S. 263, 119 S.Ct. 1936 (1999).

Brady material is evidence which is (1) material, (2) relevant to guilt or punishment, (3) favorable to the accused, and (4) within the actual or constructive possession of anyone acting on behalf of the State. Brady, supra.

1. Materiality

When the defense makes a specific request for <u>Brady</u> material and the State does not provide such material, the Nevada Supreme Court has held that there are grounds for reversal of a conviction "...if there exists a reasonable possibility that the claimed evidence would have affected the judgment of the trier of fact." <u>Roberts v. State</u>, 110 Nev. 1121 (1994); <u>Jiminez v. State</u>, 112 Nev. 610 (1996); <u>State v. Bennett</u>, 119 Nev. 589 (2003).

Even if a specific request has not been made, reversal is warranted "...if there exists a reasonable probability that, had the evidence been disclosed, the result of the proceeding would have been different." <u>U.S. v. Bagley</u>, 473 U.S. 667 (1985), <u>Pennsylvania v. Ritchie</u>, 480 U.S. 39 (1986). A "reasonable probability" is a probability sufficient to undermine confidence in the outcome of the proceeding. <u>Bagley</u> at 682.

Therefore, where, as here, a specific request for certain evidence is made, the evidence is considered "material" if there is a reasonable possibility that it could affect the factfinder's judgment.

II. Relevancy to guilt or punishment

Brady material encompasses not only evidence which might affect the defendant's guilt, but also includes evidence which could serve to mitigate a defendant's sentence upon conviction.

Jimenez v. State, 112 Nev. 610 (1996).

An example of this kind of evidence might be where the victim of a robbery who identified the defendant as one of two people who robbed him, also indicated that the defendant tried to keep the co-defendant from further injuring him. Although the victim's statements would actually help establish the defendant's guilt for the charged offense, they would also be <u>Brady</u> material, since they could help mitigate the defendant's sentence. Essentially, anything which could convince the Court to impose something less than a maximum sentence, or rebut alleged aggravating circumstances, would be relevant to punishment, and must be provided to the defense pursuant to <u>Brady v. Maryland</u>.

III. Favorability to the accused

 The Nevada Supreme Court has defined what evidence is considered "favorable to the accused" and therefore proper <u>Brady</u> material. In <u>Mazzan v. Warden</u>, 116 Nev. 48 (2000), the Court stated:

Due process does not require simply the disclosure of "exculpatory" evidence. Evidence also must be disclosed if it provides grounds for the defense to attack the reliability, thoroughness, and good faith of the police investigation, to impeach the credibility of the state's witnesses, or to bolster the defense case against prosecutorial attacks. Furthermore, "discovery in a criminal case is not limited to investigative leads or reports that are admissible in evidence." Evidence "need not have been independently admissible to have been material." Mazzan at 67. (citations omitted)

Therefore, <u>Brady</u> material under this standard, would include, but not be limited to, the following examples: forensic testing which was ordered, but not done, or which was completed but did not inculpate the defendant; criminal records or other evidence concerning State's witnesses which might show their bias (e.g., civil litigation), or otherwise impeach their credibility; evidence that the alleged victim has been the alleged victim of an unusual number of crimes; investigative leads or ordinarily appropriate investigation which were not followed-up on or completed by law enforcement; and, of course, anything which is inconsistent with any prior or present statements of a State's witness, including the failure to previously make a statement which is later made or testified to. Of course, traditionally exculpatory evidence such as that which could show that someone else committed the charged crime or that no crime occurred, would also be included as <u>Brady</u> material.

IV. Actual or constructive possession of the State

It is anticipated that the prosecution may assert that it has an "open file" policy, and that if the requested material is not available in its file, the State is under no obligation to produce it. This argument is unavailing. In <u>Strickler v. Greene</u>, 527 U.S. 263, 119 S.Ct. 1936 (1999), the United States Supreme Court explicitly held that a prosecutor's open file policy does not in any way substitute for or diminish the State's obligation to turn over *Brady* material. The Nevada Supreme Court is in accord. "It is a violation of due process for the prosecutor to withhold

exculpatory evidence, and his motive for doing so is immaterial." <u>Jimenez v. State</u>, 112 Nev. 610, 618 (1996).

Furthermore, "...even if the detectives withheld their reports without the prosecutor's knowledge, 'the state attorney is charged with constructive knowledge and possession of evidence withheld by other state agents, such as law enforcement officers." Id., 112 Nev. at 620 (citation omitted) (emphasis added). Defendant would submit that other state agents, such as probation and parole officers, welfare workers, employees of Child Protective Services, jail personnel, and similar agents of the State are also State agents from whom the prosecution must affirmatively collect Brady material.

In Kyles v. Whitley, 514 U.S. 419, 115 S.Ct. 1555 (1995), the United States Supreme Court made it clear that the prosecutor has an affirmative obligation to obtain Brady material and provide it to the defense, even if the prosecutor is initially unaware of its existence. In so finding, the Supreme Court noted that "[t]he prosecution's affirmative duty to disclose evidence favorable to a defendant can trace its origins to early 20th century strictures against misrepresentation and is of course most prominently associated with this Court's decision in Brady v. Maryland. ..." Id. at 432. The Kyles Court also made clear that this obligation exists even where the defense does not make a request for such evidence. Id.

The <u>Kyles</u> Court additionally made the following observations in finding that the State had breached its duty to Kyles and discussing the prosecutor's obligations.

This in turn means that the individual prosecutor has a duty to learn of any favorable evidence known to the others acting on the government's behalf in the case, including the police. But whether the prosecutor succeeds or fails in meeting this obligation (whether, that is, a failure to disclose is in good faith or bad faith), the prosecution's responsibility for failing to disclose known, favorable evidence rising to a material level of importance is inescapable.

The State of Louisiana would prefer an even more lenient rule. It pleads that some of the favorable evidence in issue here was not disclosed even to the prosecutor until after trial, and it suggested below that it should not be held accountable under <u>Bagley</u> and <u>Brady</u> for evidence known only to police investigators and not to the prosecutor. To accommodate the State in this manner would, however, amount to a serious change of course from the <u>Brady</u> line of cases. In the State's

favor it may be said that no one doubts that police investigators sometimes fail to inform a prosecutor of all they know.

But neither is there any serious doubt that "procedures and regulations can be established to carry [the prosecutor's] burden and to insure communication of all relevant information on each case to every lawyer who deals with it." Since then, the prosecutor has the means to discharge the government's <u>Brady</u> responsibility if he will, any argument for excusing a prosecutor from disclosing what he does not happen to know about boils down to a plea to substitute the police for the prosecutor, and even for the courts themselves, as the final arbiter's of the government's obligation to ensure fair trials. <u>Kyles</u> at 437-438 (citations omitted).

There can be little question, therefore, that despite its "open file policy," the prosecution has an affirmative duty to seek out the previously discussed <u>Brady</u> material, regardless of whether such material is in the hands of the prosecutor or in the hands of some other entity acting on behalf of the State.

V. Brady requests

Based on the foregoing law and analysis, the Defendant requests that the following <u>Brady</u> material be produced by the State:

- Disclosures of any and all compensation, express or implied promises of favorable treatment or leniency, or any other benefit that any of the State's witnesses received in exchange for their cooperation with this prosecution, including, but not limited to, any express or implied promise made to any witness to provide counseling and/or treatment.
- Complete criminal histories of all State witnesses, including, but not limited to, out-of-state arrests and convictions, outstanding arrest warrants or bench warrants, and cases which were dismissed or not pursued by the prosecuting agency.
- 3. Disclosures of any and all statements made by any State witness, or any other person, at any time that are in any manner inconsistent with the written and/or recorded statements previously provided to the defense. <u>Including, but not limited to, any statements made by the Defendant to police and the initial report to mesquite police by Millie Tara.</u>

4. Requests for and/or results of any and all crime scene analysis and/or testing performed on any of the physical or biological evidence in this case, including, but not limited to, the results of any DNA comparisons and/or medical examinations performed on the complaining witness.

DATED this 17 day of November, 2006.

PHILIP J. KOHN

CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER

KATHLEEN M. HAMERS, #9049 Deputy Public Defender

NOTICE OF MOTION

TO: CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY, Attorney for Plaintiff:

YOU WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Public Defender's Office will bring the above and foregoing Motion on for hearing before the Court on the 30th day of November, 2006, at 8:30 a.m..

DATED this _____ day of November, 2006.

PHILIP J. KOHN CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER

KATHLEEN M. HAMERS, #9049 Deputy Public Defender

RECEIPT OF COPY

RECEIPT OF COPY of the above and foregoing Motion to Compel Disclosure of Exculpatory Evidence is hereby acknowledged this 179 day of November, 2006.

CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY

By Carly Pannul

- ORIGINAL

NOTC 1 FILED **DAVID ROGER** 2 Clark County District Attorney Hov 20 3 29 PH '06 Shirty of Languine CLERK Nevada Bar #002781 NOREEN C. NYIKOS Deputy District Attorney 4 Nevada Bar #008213 200 Lewis Avenue 5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 6 Attorney for Plaintiff 7 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA. 9 Plaintiff, CASE NO: C226586 .10 DEPT NO: VII 11 -VS-FREDDY MARTINEZ, aka 12 Fredys A. Martinez, #1361243 13 Defendant. 14 15 NOTICE OF EXPERT WITNESSES [NRS 174.234(2)] 16 TO: 17 FREDDY MARTINEZ, aka Fredys A. Martinez, Defendant; and TO: PUBLIC DEFENDER, Counsel of Record: 18 YOU, AND EACH OF YOU, WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the STATE OF 19 NEVADA intends to call the following witnesses in its case in chief: 20 1. FULLER, Clayton, M. D., 2915 Charleston Blvd., #10, Las Vegas, Nevada, or 21 22 Designee: He is the attending physician for the victim in this case and will testify as to the injuries inflicted upon the victim, and prognosis thereof. 23 KRUGER, Linda, T. P#1471, Director of Laboratory Services, Las Vegas 24 Metropolitan Police Department, or Designee: She is an expert in the area DNA technology 25 and will give scientific opinions related thereto. She is expected to testify regarding the 26 DNA profiling analysis and related procedures he performed in this case. 27

P:\WPDOCS\NOTICE\615\61592401.doc

///

P:\WPDOC\$\NOTICE\615\61592401.doc

?



To: Noreen Nyikos at 702-477-2998

9413120837 AS/12/2004 87:01

CLAYTON FULLER

PAGE 14/10

Curriculum Vitae Clayton G. Puller, M.D.

Home Address

1278 NW Becovell Drive

2259 Cascade Canyon Dr.

Bend, OR 97701

St George UT 84770 141.3120037

541-5192500 hm: 435 986-8154 541.390.2594 mil

ogfind@bitheeven.net

Fax (435) 674-1766

Personal Statistics

Dote of Birth Diriplace

Spouse Children Languages

Religion

October 12, 1956 Mem, Antrons Marybeth Puller

Landon (21), Matthew (15), Anne (15), Peter (15) Plaent Dutch, some Spanish (mostly medical). Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints

Undergusdatt Testering

Central State University Edmond, Oklahotra

Magna Cum Laude, Bachelot of Schenes, 1982

Medical School

University of Oldshoms College of Medicine

Okalahoma City, Okalahoma Doctor of Medicine, 1986

Internable

University of Southern California - PIH Passily Practice Residency Program

Les Applie Comes Genre! Hospital

Los Angeles, California

June 1986 - July 1987, Peter Lee, M.D., Director

Residency

jr ;- :

University of Southern California - 9114 Family Practice Residency Program

Prestyunian Interconnecually Hamital

Whating California

July 1987 - July 1989, Theodore Zwemer, M.D., Director

Work Experience Barrymy Medicine

Northwest Emergency Physicians

Pieser Maurial Hapital, Princrille, Osegon

54 Charles Medical Cinter - Redeved, Radinacad, Oregon

January 2000 - present

Camde Valley Hospital, Arington, Weshington Banaclar Menerial Hopatel, Enumeles, Washington

March 1997 - December 1999

Coastal Emergency Services

Sameritas Happital, Moses Lake, Weshington

December 1994 - March 1997

Valley General Hospital, Monton, Washington

February 1993 - October 1994

运算工程图

65/1272884 87:81

5413120037

CLAYTON FULLER

PAGE 01/50

Conine Vally Community Hagistol, Covina, California December 1990 - May, 1991

Work Experience

Emergency Department Medical Director Pirms Mesorial Hapital, Princelle, Oregon

October, 2002 - present

Work Expetience Family Practice Family Medicine of Redmond Redmond, Washington July 1996 – March 2000

Pacific Medical Clinic at Toron Lake Kirkland, Washington July 7, 1992 - July 1996

Chyen G. Fuller, M.D. A Medical Cooperation Glandon, California July 1989 – June 30, 1992

State Licensuse

California, G60872, Issued 8/3/87 (mactive)

Washington, MD00029625, issued 4/25/92 (inactive)

Oregon, MD22269, issued 4/21/00 (active)

Specialty Certifications Diplomen, American Board of Family Practice, July 1989 - December 2019

Souri Eligibi, American Association of Physician Specialists — Emergenty Medicine, November 2004

Additional Certifications Advanced Cardia: Life Support Provider, 11/03 – 11/05 Advanced Trauma Life Support Provider, 11/01 – 11/05 Pediatric Advanced Life Support Provider, 11/03 – 11/05

Paculty Position

Choical Assistant Professor of Family Medicine, University of Southern California, School of Medicine, 1990-1992

Publications

Extenosseous Localization of Technetium-19es MDF in Busine Cyale Tecatoma, Puller C, Leonard JC, Clin Nucl Med, 11(8):574-6 (Aug 86).

Demonstration of Asygous Vein Collected Flow, Leonard JC, Paller C, Lowe S, Cits Nucl Med, 11(10):738-9 (Oct 86).

Carriculus elect Clayton G. Faller, M.D. page -3-

Statement Name:

of Linda Qualifications T. Errichetto

Page: 2

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT FORENSIC LABORATORY STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

Date: 4-16-03

Name: Linda T. Errichetto P#: 1471 Classification: Director of Laboratory Services

Current Discipline of Assignment: <u>Management/Administrative</u>

EXF	PERIENCE IN	THE F	OLLOWING	DISCIPLINE(S)			
Controlled Substances		х	Blood Alc	ohol		,	
Toolmarks			Breath Ale	cohol			
Trace Evidence - hairs		×	Arson Ana	alysis			
Toxicology		x	Firearms				
Latent Prints			Crime So	ene Investigations			
Serology		X	Clandesti	Clandestine Laboratory Response Team			
Document Examination			DNA Analysis				
Quality Assurance			Technical Support /				
	•	EDU	CATION				
Institution	Dates Attende		Attended	Major		Degree Complet	
Thiel College, Greenville, PA	9/72	9/72 → 5/76		Chemistry		ВА	
University of Pittsburgh	9/76 → 6/77		,	Forensic Chemistry		MS	
	ADDITION	IAL TR	AINING / SE	MINARS		<u> </u>	
Course / Seminar			Location			Dates	
Northeast Association of Forensic Scientists			New York		10/76		

Statement

of Qualifications Linda T. Errichetto

Name:	
Page:	2

ADDITIONAL TRAINING / SEMINARS			
Course / Seminar	Location	Dates	
American Academy of Forensic Sciences	San Diego	2/77	
American Academy of Forensic Sciences	Las Vegas	2/85, 2/89	
American Academy of Forensic Sciences	Anaheim	2/91	
American Academy of Forensic Sciences	Seattle	2/95	
American Academy of Forensic Sciences	Reno, NV	2/00	
California Association of Criminalists	Irvine	10/87	
Toxicology Workshop	Orange County Sheriff's Office	5/80	
Semen Identification Course	Serological Research Institute Emergville, CA	10/85	
Rofin Polilight Training	Las Vegas	4/91	
Isoelectric Focusing	Analytical Genetic Testing Center, Inc. Denver, CO	3/92	
American Society of Crime Lab Directors	FBI FSRTC, Quantico, VA	9/93, 9/95, 9/96	
CA Association Crime Lab Directors	Las Vegas	4/96	
CA Association of Crime Lab Directors	San Diego, CA	4/97	
American Society of Crime Lab Directors	San Antonio, TX	9/97	
American Society of Crime Lab Directors	Memphis, TN	9/98	
American Society of Crime Lab Directors	Buffalo, NY	9/00	
American Society of Crime Lab Directors	Phoenix, AZ	12/01	
American Society of Crime Lab Directors	Tampa, FL	10/02	
The National Forensic Science Technology Center Laboratory Auditing Course	Las Vegas, NV	6/99	
American Academy of Forensic Science	Seattle, WA	2/01	
Convicted Offender Statute Meeting	Reno, NV	5/02	
Daubert Seminar sponsored by the American Board of Forensic Document Examiners	Las Vegas, NV	6/02	
US Dept. of Justice / FBI / 30 th Annual Symposium			

of Linda

Qualifications T. Errichetto

Statement Name: Page: 2

ADDITIONAL TRAINING / SEMINARS					
Course / Seminar Location Dates					
on Crime Laboratory Development	St. Louis, MO	9/02			
Why Things Go Right, Why Things Go Wrong; Ethical Decision Making	Las Vegas, NV	10/02			
Employee Performance Support System	Las Vegas, NV	11/02			
Executive Development - LVMPD	Las Vegas / Mesquite, NV	01/03			
Forensic Approaches to Mass Disasters / CAC/NWAFS Meeting	Reno, NV	04/03			

COURTE	ROOM EXPERIENCE	
Court	Discipline	Number of Times
Clark County District Court	All above disciplines	•
Justice Court of Las Vegas Township, Clark County, Nevada	All above disciplines	*
Municipal Court of Las Vegas	Blood Alcohol, Controlled Substances	•
Municipal Court of Henderson	Blood Alcohol	*
Municipal Court of Boulder City	Blood Alcohol	*
Justice Court of Beatty, Nye County, Nevada	Blood Alcohol, Controlled Substances and Serology	*
Nye County District Court	Controlled Substances	•
United States Federal Court	Blood Alcohol , Controlled Substances and Serology	•
Nellis A.F.B. Adjutant General's Office	Serology, Controlled Substances	•
		*Qualified as an Expert Witness over 300 times.

Statement of Qualifications Name: Linda T. Errichetto

Page: 4

Employer	Job Title	Date
Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department	Criminalist	8/77 → 8/93
Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department	Director of Lab Services	8/93 → present
University of Nevada at Las Vegas	guest lecturer/instructor	88 → 95
PROFESS	SIONAL AFFILIATIONS	
Organiz	ation	Date(s)
American Society of Crime Lab Directors		94 → present
California Association of Crime Lab Directors	95 → present	
American Academy of Forensic Sciences	86 → present	
California Association of Criminalists	87 → presen	
Northwest Association of Forensic Scientists	96 → present	
PUBLICATION	ONS / PRESENTATIONS:	
"Detection of Drugs in Bloodstains, II: Morphine" Jour	nal of Forensic Science, Vol 25, No. 2, April	1980.
"Victims, Suspects, and Aids" California Association of	of Crime Lab Directors 1/1990	
"DNA: Meaningful - Not Magical" Western States Sex	rual Assault Seminar, May, 1991.	
OTHER	QUALIFICATIONS:	
Governor's Committee on Testing for Intoxication, me	ember, 1984, 1993 → present	
Technical Working Group on Education and Training	in Forensic Sciences, member, July 01 - Au	igust 02
American Society of Crime Lab Directors, Manageme Member (99) - Membership Committee (99)	ent Survey, Committee Member (97) - Nomi	nating Committee
American Society of Crime Lab Directors , Board of D	Directors, September 2000 - present	

[Forensic Rev. 1, 6/01]

Curriculum Vitae

KRISTINA PAULETTE

Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department
Forensic Laboratory
5606 W. Badura, Suite 120B
Las Vegas, NV 89118
(702) 229-3949
k8805p@lvmpd.com

EMPLOYMENT

July 2005 - Present

Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Forensic Laboratory, Las Vegas, Nevada Criminalist I, Forensic Casework

Performs serological screening, conducts PCR analysis of forensic casework, interprets data from forensic casework, generates reports, and provides court testimony.

February 2004 – July 2005

Mitochondrial DNA Sequencing Orchid Cellmark Dallas, Dallas, Texas Forensic DNA Analyst II

- Served as the mitochondrial analyst for each of the Orchid labs (Forensics/Genetics/Paternity).
- Specialized in the testing of compromised/difficult samples including hair without roots, bone, and ancient DNA
- Assisted with research and development of Y-STR sting/databasing

August 2003 – January 2004 Contract Casework Orchid Cellmark Dallas, Dallas, Texas

Forensic DNA Analyst II

Performed serological screening, conducted PCR analysis of forensic casework, interpreted data from forensic casework, and generated reports.

Fort Worth PD, Supporting Analyst, Suspect and nosuspect casework Louisiana, Supporting Analyst, No-suspect casework

> KRISTINA PAULETTE Curriculum Vitae Page -1 -

EDUCATION

2003 M.S. Forensic Science, The University of Alabama at

Birmingham, Birmingham, AL,

2000 B.A. Biology, The University of Texas, Austin, TX,

TRAINING

2004 Mitochondrial Analyst Qualified — Orchid Cellmark

Forensics, Dallas, TX

2003 Forensic Analyst Qualified — Orchid Cellmark Forensics,

Dallas, TX

LABORATORY EXPERIENCE

May 2002 – Present DNA Extractions
May 2002 – Present Amplification

May 2002 - Present Analysis September 2003 - Present Reports

August 2002 – July 2005 Mitochondrial Sequencing
August 2002 – July 2005 Mitochondrial Analysis

PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS

February 2007 American Academy of Forensic Sciences – Student

Member, Applicant for Trainee Affiliate – to be approved

CONTINUED EDUCATION/TRAINING

October 9, 2006 Workshop: Advanced Topics in Statistics — Nashville, TN

October 9-12, 2006 Promega Corporation's 17th International Symposium on Human

Identification - Nashville, TN

August 29-31, 2006 Complex Mixture Interpretation Training — Lakewood, CO

July 25-26, 2006 FBI Audit Training — Fredericksburg, VA

February 23, 2006 Seminar: Racial Profiling SNPs — Seattle, WA

February 22, 2006 Seminar: The Atypical Serial Killer — Seattle, WA

February 21, 2006 Workshop: Sexual Homicide – Fantasy Becomes a Reality —

Seattle, WA

February 21, 2006 Seminar: Bioterrorism Mass Disasters — Seattle, WA

KRISTINA PAULETTE
Curriculum Vitae

Page -2 -

February 20, 2006	Workshop: Advanced Topics in STR DNA Analysis - Seattle, WA
February 20-25, 2006	American Academy of Forensic Sciences Annual Meeting — Seattle, WA
September 30, 2005	Accommodating the Demands of Increasing Volume: A Workshop for Public and Private, DNA Profiling Laboratories — Dallas, TX
September 29, 2005	Workshop: Implementing Automation in the Lab — Dallas, TX
September 26, 2005	Workshop: Presenting DNA Evidence in Court — Dallas, TX
September 26-30, 2005	Promega Corporation's 16 th International Symposium on Human Identification - Dallas, TX
September 25, 2005	Scientific Working Group on DNA Analysis Methods Annual Meeting — Dallas, TX
February 16, 2004	Workshop: Mitochondrial DNA — Dallas, TX
February 16-21, 2004	American Academy of Forensic Sciences Annual Meeting — Dallas, TX
February 17-22, 2003	American Academy of Forensic Sciences Annual Meeting — Chicago, IL
May 29-31, 2002	North Carolina State University Summer Institute of Statistical Genetics —Raleigh, NC
February 12, 2002	Young Forensic Scientists Forum — Atlanta, GA
February 11-16, 2002	American Academy of Forensic Sciences Annual Meeting — Atlanta, GA

KRISTINA PAULETTE Curriculum Vitae Page -3 -

Curriculum Vitae

Deborah B. Young South West SANE PO Box 910193 St. George, Utah 84791-0193 Pager 1-435-755-4645 sonthwestsane@hotmail.com

Education

December 1989 Utah Valley State College, Orem, Utah

-Licensed Practical Nurse Certificate

May 1991 Utah Valley State College, Orem, Utah

-Associate of Science in Nursing

May 2006 Southern Utah University, Cedar City, Utah

-Bachelor of Science in Nursing

Additional Education and Training

November 2002 Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) Training Course.

Provo, Utah, November 18-22, 2002. Received 42 contact hours.

April 2004 Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner Training Course, Salt Lake City,

Utah, April 13-14, 2004. Received 23 contact hours.

Domestic Violence Forensie Wound Identification and May 2005

Documentation Workshop, Provo, Utah, May 20, 2005. Received

7.7 contact hours.

Attended the 13th annual International Association of Forensic Sept 2005

Nurses Scientific Assembly, September 21-25, Washington D.C. Received 24 contact hours. Examples of classes attended: "Predictors of Injury with Rape", "Role of Forensic Nursing", "Genital Modifications", and "Peer Reviewed Case Review and Slide Night". Also attended pre-conference workshop, "Pediatric

Sexual Assault Exam".

April 2006 Forensic Wound Identification, Peer review Case and Slide

Review, Codar City, Utah, April 8, 2006. Received 1.8 contact

432-634-0386 11/20/2006 01:08PM * Pg 1/4

Io: Woreen Mylkos at 702-477-2998

960:SD 9D DS VOM Received Successfully

hours.

September 2006

Attended the 14th annual International Association of Forensic Nurses Scientific Assembly, Vancouver, BC, September 26-October 1. Received 24.3 contact hours. Examples of classes "Child Pomography on the Internet", "Genital examinations: Variations On a Theme", "In the Event of Death...Forensic Aspects Of Care", "Injury Documentation, Do's & Don'ts", "Peer Review Case and Slide Review".

Licenses

Registered Nurse in Utah and Nevada

Employment and Work Experience

2000-present

Intermountain Flealtheare, Dixie Regional Medical Center Emergency Department (ED), St. George, Utah

Charge nurse and staff RN in ED

-Duties and Responsibilities include implementing nursing process In taking care of pediatric and adult patients in emergent,

traumatic, and critical situations

-Have assisted ED doctor with >1000 pelvic exams

-Manage staff nurses, ED techs, and overall flow of the ED in a

charge nurse role

2005-present

Mosa View Regional Hospital Emergency Department, Mosquite, Nevada

Staff RN in Emergency Department

2005-present

South West SANE, Inc., St. George, Utah

Co-founder of South West SANE, Inc., and Executive Director

-Manage day to day operation of South West SANE

-SANE for adolescent and adult victims of acute sexual assault and

collection of forensic evidence for law enforcement

-To date, have performed >50 sexual assault exams since 2002 -Active participant in the sexual assault response team (SART) Model in Washington and Iron Counties in Utah and Mesquite.

Nevada

432-634-0386 11/20/2006 01:08PM + Pg 2/4

5.9

Received Successfully qe0:50 06 OS von

2002-2005

Independent SANE for adolescent and adult victims of acute sexual assault and collection of forensic evidence for law

enforcement

-Active participant in the SART in Washington and Iron Counties

in Utah

1999-2000

Intermountain Healthcare, Utah Valley Regional Medical Center,

Cardiovascular Unit, Provo, Utah

-Staff RN on Cardiovascular Unit

-implemented nursing process-examples of patients on unit: patients requiring telemetry, low dose cardiovascular drips, and

post open heart surgical patients

1996-1999

Intermountain Healtheare, Utah Valley Regional Medical Center,

Medical/Oncology Unit, Provo, Utah

-StafT RN on Medical/Oncology Unit

-Implemented nursing process-examples of patients on unit: cancer/chemotherapy patients, respiratory, neuro, and GI patients

1996-2001

Applegate Home Health, American Fork and St. George, Utah

Case manager or home bound patients

-Managed RN's and certified nursing assistants (CNA) 1997-1999

1995-1996

Intermountain Healthcare, American Fork Hospital, Transitional

Care Unit, American Fork, Utah

-Staff RN on Transitional Care Unit

1990-1995

Crestview Convalescent Center, Provo, Utah

-Charge Nurse in Skilled Nursing Facility

Professional Associations

Member of:

Emergency Nurses Association (ENA)
International Association of Forensic Nurses (IAFN)

E . q

432-634-0386 11/20/2006 01:08PM * Pg 3/4

Io: Noteen Nyikos at 702-477-2998

Received Successfully Nov 20 06 02:09p

Current Chapter President (2006-2008) of the Utah Chapter of International Association of Forensic Nurses (UIAFN)

2004

<u>Certifications</u>
SANE-A certification, expires 10-2007

Also hold certifications in:

Basic Life Support (BLS), Advanced Cardiac Life Support (ACLS), Pediatric Advanced Life Support (PALS), Trauma Nursing Core Course (TNCC), Advanced Trauma Course for Nurses (ATCN), and Emergency Nursing Pediatric Course (ENPC)

432-634-0386 11/20/2006 01:08PM * Pg 4/4

To: Noreen Nyikos at 702-477-2998

Received Successfully HOD: SO OS OOM

-	·		
1	NOTC		
2	DAVID ROGER Clark County District Attorney		
3	Nevada Bar #002781 NOREEN C. NYIKOS		
4	Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #008213		
5	200 Lewis Avenue		
6	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff		
7	DISTRICT	COURT	
8	CLARK COUN	TY, NEVADA	
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)		
10	Plaintiff,	CASE NO: C226586	
11	-vs-	DEPT NO: VII	
12	FREDDY MARTINEZ, aka		
13	Fredys A. Martinez, #1361243		
14	Defendant.		
15	NOTICE OF EXP	ERT WITNESSES	
16	[NK5 17	4.234(2)]	
1 7	TO: FREDDY MARTINEZ, aka Fred	dys A. Martinez, Defendant; and	
18	TO: PUBLIC DEFENDER, Counsel of Record:		
19	YOU, AND EACH OF YOU, WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the STATE OF		
20	NEVADA intends to call the following witnes	ses in its case in chief:	
	II .	the state of the same of	



1	1 NOTC DAVID ROGER		Shuley	Bla	nogum
2	Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #002781		()	idi	<i>V</i>
3	3 NOREEN C. NYIKOS				
4	Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #008213 200 Lewis Avenue				
5	5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500				
6	6 Attorney for Plaintiff				
7	7 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVAL	DA			
8	8	<i>-</i> 211			
9	9 THE STATE OF NEVADA,				
10	0 Plaintiff, CASE	E NO:	C226586		
11	1 -vs- \ DEPT	NO:	VII		
12	FREDDY MARTINEZ, aka				
13	Fredys A. Martinez, #1361243				
14	Defendant.				
15		S			
16	6 [NRS 174.234(1)(a)]				
17	7 TO: FREDDY MARTINEZ, aka Fredys A. Martin	nez, De	fendant; and	i	
18	TO: PUBLIC DEFENDER, Counsel of Record:				
19	9 YOU, AND EACH OF YOU, WILL PLEASE TAI	KE NO	TICE that t	he S7	TATE OF
20	NEVADA intends to call the following witnesses in its case	e in chi	ef:		
21	1 NAME ADDRESS	<u>S</u>			!
22	BARR, L. Mesquite P	D			
23	BRIGGS, M. L. LVMPD #8	8503			
24	4 CHARLES, S. Mesquite P	D			
25	5 CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS or Designee LVMPD C	ommui	nications		
26	6 CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS or Designee LVMPD R	ecords.			
27	7 CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS or Designee MESA VIE	E W H O	SPITAL, M	[esqui	te, NV
28	8 CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS or Designee Mesquite P	D Con	munication	s	
	C:\Program Files\Neevia.	.Com\Docu	ment Converter\tem	ър∖14626	3-198556.DOC

ŀ			
1	CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS or Designee	Mesquite PD Records	
2	FULLER, Dr. Clayton	2915 W. Charleston Bl., LV, NV	
3	GIVENS, T. D.	LVMPD #5914	
4	GROVER, B. C.	LVMPD #4934	
5	KAVON, S. J.	LVMPD #4131	
6	KRUGER, L. T.	LVMPD #1471	
7	LARSEN. C.J.	Mesquite PD	
8	MARTINEZ, Franklin	1661 N. Lamont St., LV, NV	
9	RICHMOND, R.	Mesquite PD	
10	TARA, Millie	890 Kittyhawk Dr., #8, Mesquite, NV	
11	TOMAINO, C. D	LVMPD #4671	
12	YOUNG, Debbie	1299 Bertha Howe Ave., Mesquite, NV	
13	These witnesses are in addition to those witnesses endorsed on the Information and		
14	any other witness for which a separate Notic	e has been filed.	
15			
16		BY Dame Roses	
17		DAVID ROGER	
18		DISTRICT ATTORNEY Nevada Bar #002781	
19	CEDTIFICATE OF FA	CSIMILE TRANSMISSION	
20		tice of Witnesses, was made this 20 th day of	
21	November, 2006, by facsimile transmission		
22	•	C DEFENDER	
23	455-511		
24	BY: D.		
25			
26	Етрюу	ee of the District Attorney's Office	
27			
28			

C:\Program Files\Neevia.Com\Document Converter\temp\146263-198556.DOC

ORIGINAL FILED

Mov 28 4 29 PH '06

CLERK 1 **EXPT** DAVID ROGER 2 Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #002781 NOREEN C. NYIKOS 3 Deputy District Attorney 4 Nevada Bar #008213 200 Lewis Avenue 5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 6 Attorney for Plaintiff 7 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 8 9 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 10 Plaintiff, Case No. C226586 11 Dept No. VII -vs-12 FREDDY MARTINEZ, aka 13 Fredys A. Martinez, #1361243 Defendant. 14 15 16 EX PARTE MOTION FOR RELEASE OF MEDICAL RECORDS 17 COMES NOW, the State of Nevada, by DAVID ROGER, District Attorney, through 18 NOREEN C. NYIKOS, Deputy District Attorney, and moves this Honorable Court for an 19 Order Releasing evidence being held by MESA VIEW HOSPITAL, located at 1299 Bertha Howe Avenue, Mesquite, NV, consisting of medical records for patient: BIANCA HERNANDEZ, DOB: 09/23/1965, admitted on or about the 16th day of August, 2006, to be released to a representative of the DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE for the purpose of prosecuting the above referenced case. These records are necessary to establish the degree and substance of the injuries inflicted upon the said BIANCA HERNANDEZ. 25 /// 26 111 27 111 28 111

Movant represents that the information sought is relevant and material to a legitimate law enforcement inquiry; that the request is specific and limited in scope to the extent reasonably practicable in light of the purpose for which the information is sought; and that identified information could not reasonably be used.

DATED this 20th day of November, 2006.

DAVID ROGER Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #002781

BY

NOREEN C. NYIKOS Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #008213

unikoo

J = 1

• ORIGINAL

FILED

Nov 28 4 30 PH '06

CLERK

ORDR
DAVID ROGER
Clark County District Attorney
Nevada Bar #002781
NOREEN C. NYIKOS
Deputy District Attorney
Nevada Bar #008213
200 Lewis Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212
(702) 671-2500

(702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff

7 8

9

ì

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

NOV 7.8 MILES

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

FREDDY MARTINEZ, aka

Fredys A. Martinez, #1361243

Defendant.

Case No.

C226586

Dept No.

VII

ORDER RELEASING MEDICAL RECORDS

Upon the ex parte application and representation of DAVID ROGER, Clark County District Attorney, by and through NOREEN C. NYIKOS, Deputy District Attorney, that certain evidence in Case No.C226586, held in the custody of MESA VIEW HOSPITAL, located at 1299 Bertha Howe Avenue, Mesquite, NV, needs to be released to a representative of the DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE for the purpose of prosecuting the above referenced case. These records are necessary to establish the degree and substance of the injuries inflicted upon the said BIANCA HERNANDEZ.

/// ///

///

///

1	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the evidence in the custody of the MESA VIEW
2	HOSPITAL, located at 1299 Bertha Howe Avenue, Mesquite, NV, consisting of medical
3	records for patient: BIANCA HERNANDEZ, DOB: 09/23/1965, admitted on or about the
4	16th day of August, 2006, be released to a representative of the DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S
5	OFFICE.
6	DATED this day of November, 2006.
7	LEU
8	DISTRICT JUDGE
9	
10	DAVID ROGER
11	DISTRICT ATTORNEY 002781
12	muiten
13	BY Mujkos
14	NOREEN C. NYIKOS Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #008213
15	Nevada Bar #008213
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	ji

1 2 3 4 5 6	OPPS DAVID ROGER Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #002781 NOREEN C. NYIKOS Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #008213 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 Attorney for Plaintiff	Shuley Branagun	
7	DISTRIC	CT COURT	
8	CLARK COU	NTY, NEVADA	
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)	
10	Plaintiff,	CASE NO: C226586	
11	-vs-	DEPT NO: VII	
12	FREDDY MARTINEZ,		
13	#1361243		
14	Defendant.	}	
15	STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFE	ENDANT'S MOTION TO COMPEL	
16	EXCULPATO:	RY EVIDENCE	
17	DATE OF HEARING: 11-30-2006 TIME OF HEARING: 8:30 AM		
18	TIME OF HEA	KING: 8:30 AM	
19	COMES NOW, the State of Nevada, b	by DAVID ROGER, District Attorney, through	
20	NOREEN C. NYIKOS, Deputy District Atto	orney, and hereby submits the attached Points	
21	and Authorities in Opposition to Defendant's	Motion to Compel Exculpatory Evidence.	
22	This opposition is made and based up	on all the papers and pleadings on file herein	
23	the attached points and authorities in suppo	ort hereof, and oral argument at the time of	
24	hearing, if deemed necessary by this Honorabl	le Court.	
25	///		
26	///		
27	111		
28			
	C:V	Program Files\Neevia.Com\Document Converter\temp\147373-199756.DOC	

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Defendant was charged by way of Grand Jury Indictment filed on September 29, 2006 with Burglary with Use of a Deadly Weapon, Battery with Use of a Deadly Weapon, First Degree Kidnapping with Use of a Deadly Weapon, and Sexual Assault with Use of a Deadly Weapon. Defendant was arraigned and pled not guilty on October 5, 2006. Defendant invoked his right to speedy trial on that date as well.

Trial was originally set to begin on November 13, 2006. Defendant moved for a continuance on November 9, 2006 and the trial was reset for December 4, 2006.

Defendant filed the instant motion on November 17, 2006.

ARGUMENT

The State concedes that its obligation to Defendant in this and every other case is to provide discovery pursuant to the provisions of NRS 174.235 et seq., together with any exculpatory material pursuant to <u>Brady v. Maryland</u>, 373 U.S. 83, 83 S.Ct. 1194 (1963) and its progeny.

NRS 174.235 states:

- 1. Except as otherwise provided in NRS 174.233 to NRS 174.295 inclusive, at the request of a defendant, the prosecuting attorney shall permit Defendant to inspect and to copy or photograph any:
 (a) Written or recorded statements or confessions made by Defendant, or any written or recorded statements made by a witness the prosecuting attorney intends to call during the case in chief of the state, or copies thereof, within the possession, custody or control of the state, the existence of which is known, or by the exercise of due diligence may become known, to the prosecuting attorney;
- (b) Results or reports of physical or mental examinations, scientific tests or scientific experiments made in connection with the particular case, or copies thereof, within the possession, custody or control of the state, the existence of which is known, or by the exercise of due diligence may become known, to the prosecuting attorney; and
- (c) Books, papers, documents, tangible objects, or copies thereof, which the prosecuting attorney intends to introduce during the case in chief of the state and which are within the possession, custody or control of the state, the existence of which is known, or by the exercise of due diligence may become known, to the prosecuting attorney.
- 2. Defendant is not entitled, pursuant to the provisions of this section, to the discovery or inspection of:

C:\Pro2ram Files\Neevia.Com\Document Converter\temp\147373-199756.DOC

C:\Program Files\Neevia.Com\Document Converter\temp\147373-199756.DOC

evidence had been disclosed. Id.

Id. at 66, 36 (emphasis added).

2 3

1

In determining its materiality, the undisclosed evidence must be considered collectively, not item by item. <u>Kyles v. Whitley</u>, 514 U.S. at 436, 115 S.Ct. 1555. "[T]he character of a piece of evidence as favorable will often turn on the context of the existing or potential evidentiary record." Id. at 439, 1555.

4 5

Id. at 66-67, 36.

6 7

In sum, there are three components to a Brady violation: the evidence at issue is favorable to the accused; the evidence was withheld by the state, either intentionally or inadvertently; and prejudice ensued, i.e., the evidence was material. Strickler v. Greene, 527 U.S. 263, 119 S.Ct. 1936, 1948, (1999).

8

9

Id. at 67, 37 (emphasis added).

10 11

12

There will only be a <u>Brady</u> violation if the prosecution fails to provide material evidence. As stated in Mazzan, evidence is material if there is a reasonable probability that the result would have been different if the evidence had been disclosed. A reasonable probability is shown when the nondisclosure undermines confidence in the outcome of the trial.

13 14

15

16

17

18

Obviously, Mazzan and the majority of the cases that discuss Brady violations are post-conviction proceedings. However, in those cases, it was required that it be shown that the excluded evidence was material in that it might have changed the outcome of the case. Because Defendant is asking for items which he contends are possibly exculpatory under the blanket of Mazzan and consequently Brady, it is the State's position that Defendant should have to show materiality to obtain them.

19 20

Requested Item Number One (1):

22 23

21

Defendant is requesting "disclosures of any and all compensation, express or implied promises of favorable treatment or leniency, or any other benefit that any of the State's witnesses received in exchange for their cooperation with this prosecution, included but not limited to, any express or implied promise made to any witness to provide counseling and/or treatment."

25 26

24

As of this date, no promises of leniency, special treatment or compensation have been made to any witness in exchange for his or her testimony in this case. Likewise, no such

27 28

C:\Program Files\Neevia.Com\Document Converter\temp\147373-199756.DOC

promises are foreseeable; however, the State will gladly disclose any future promises of leniency or other benefits made to witnesses in exchange for testimony should they arise.

The State, however, contends with Defendant's request for disclosure of promises to provide counseling or treatment services. Defendant is not entitled to this information. Any benefits or assistance given by the Victim Witness Assistance Center (VWAC) to State witnesses certainly cannot be construed to fall under the same umbrella as inducements offered by the District Attorney for their testimony. Moreover, Defendant has shown no justification, relevance or materiality for this request and it should be summarily denied.

Requested Item Number Two (2)

Defendant next requests complete criminal histories of all witnesses, "including but not limited to, out-of-state arrests and convictions, outstanding arrest warrants or bench warrants, and cases which were dismissed or not pursued by the prosecuting agency."

As a user of the National Crime Information Center (NCIC) database, the State is prohibited from disseminating criminal history information to non-criminal justice agencies as defined by Title 28 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR)§ 20.3, which describes a criminal justice agency as: (1) Courts; and (2) a government agency or any subunit thereof which performs the administration of criminal justice pursuant to a statute or executive order, and which allocates a substantial part of its annual budget to the administration of criminal justice. Unless specifically authorized by federal law, access to the NCIC/III for non-criminal justice purposes is prohibited.

A 1989 United States Supreme Court case looked at this issue from the standpoint of an invasion of privacy and ruled accordingly:

Accordingly, we hold as a categorical matter that a third party's request for law enforcement records or information about a private citizen can reasonably be expected to invade that citizen's privacy, and that when the request seeks no "official information" about a Government agency, but merely records that the Government happens to be storing, the invasion of privacy is "unwarranted."

United States Department of Justice v. the Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press, 109 S.Ct. 1468, 1485 (1989).

C:\Program Files\Neevia.Com\Document Converter\temp\147373-199756.DOC

12 13

11

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

2627

28

Criminal defense attorneys, public or private, are not within the definition of "criminal justice agency," nor is the criminal defense function considered a "criminal justice purpose." See NRS 179A.020 and 179A.030. Therefore, Defendant is not entitled to the criminal history information he seeks.

However, if the State learns that any witness the State intends to call at trial has a prior felony conviction within the last ten (10) years, which would be admissible for impeachment purposes under NRS 50.095, the State will disclose that information to the defense immediately.

Requested Items Three (3) and Four (4)

The State will provide the requested items that have not already been provided and will provide others as they become available to the State.

Defendant is on a fishing expedition disguised as a request for exculpatory evidence and is attempting to use the mandates of <u>Brady</u> as a tool for discovery. Moreover, Defendant is attempting to use the mandates of <u>Brady</u> and its progeny as a standard to ascertain if the requested items may impact his ability to prepare for trial or conduct his defense. The United States Supreme Court in <u>United States v. Agurs</u>, 427 U.S. 97, 96 S.Ct. 2392 (1976) has stated that this standard is unacceptable:

The proper standard of materiality must reflect our overriding concern with the justice of the finding of guilt.

FN20. It has been argued that the standard should focus on the impact of the undisclosed evidence on Defendant's ability to prepare for trial, rather than the materiality of the evidence to the issue of guilt or innocence. See Note. The Prosecutor's Constitutional Duty to Reveal Evidence to the Defense, 74 Yale L.J. 136 (1964). Such a standard would be unacceptable for determining the materiality of what has been generally recognized as "Brady material" for two reasons. First, that standard would necessarily encompass incriminating evidence as well as exculnatory evidence, since knowledge of the prosecutor's entire case would always be useful in planning the defense. Second, such an approach would primarily involve an analysis of the adequacy of the notice given to Defendant by the State, and it has always been the Court's view that the notice component of due process refers to the charge rather than the evidentiary support for the charge.

Id. at 112-113, 2401-2402.

1	Absent any explanation as to the relevance, reasonableness or materiality of any
2	evidence requested, the State objects to Defendant's overbroad motion. The State believes it
3	has given the defense all items in its possession that are discoverable. However, as stated
4	above, should the State come into possession of anything further, it will be turned over to the
5	defense immediately.
6	<u>CONCLUSION</u>
7	Based on the foregoing, Defendant's Motion for Discovery should be denied.
8	DATED this 28 th day of November, 2006.
9	Respectfully submitted,
10	DAVID ROGER
11	Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #002781
12	
13	
14	BY /s/ Noreen C. Nyikos
15	NOREEN C. NYIKOS Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #008213
16	Nevada Bar #008213
17	CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION
18	I hereby certify that service of State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Compel
19	Exculpatory Evidence, was made this 28 th day of November, 2006, by facsimile transmission
20	to:
21	PUBLIC DEFENDER
22	455-5112
23	BY: D. Jason
24	Employee of the District Attorney's Office
25	
26	
27	
28	
	C:\Program Files\Neevia.Com\Document Converter\temp\147373-199756.DOC
	C.a logiam riteau cevia. Compocitient Convenement Name 139/130.1000

ORIGINAL

0063

DISTRICT COURT

FILED

DISTRICT COURT

2005 DEC 23 A II: 13

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Calendar Call: 01/25/07

IN THE MATTER OF THE C 22 6586 TRIAL SCHEDULE OF DEPT. XXIV OF THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL New Trial Date: 01/29/07

ORDER RE-SETTING CRIMINAL JURY TRIALS PRESENTLY SCHEDULED ON JANUARY 25, 2007 IN DEPT. XXIV

Commencing January 2, 2007, the Chief Judge has assigned Department XXIV as a "half civil/half criminal" department. To accommodate the civil trial stacks, the trial dates for some criminal cases heretofore set must be adjusted slightly as follows:

This order applies to those cases referenced below:

C198344 STATE V. ADOLPH MOORE STATE V. ERIC FIEBERG C 223025 C 224015 STATE V. LUIS ESPINOZA C 226586 STATE V. FREDDY MARTINEZ C 222822 STATE V. JOSE A. PEREZ C 150278 STATE V. IVAN G. JIMENEZ

1

<u>م</u>				
1	C 206238	STATE V. ARMANDO RAMIREZ, JR.		
2	C 208712	STATE V. FRANCIS HELLAND		
3				
4	C 212038	STATE V. CHASTITY PACE		
5	C 224953	STATE V. FERNANDO QUINONES-PEREZ		
6	C 227505	STATE V. ANTHONY J. LAFRANCE		
7	C 227537	STATE V. KEITH BOOKMAN		
8				
9	DATED this 22 nd do	or of December 2006		
10	DATED this 22 nd day of December, 2006.			
11				
12		Sucht Had		
13		DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
14				
15	•			
16				
17		CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
18		•		
19	The undersigned her	reby certifies that on the date of the filing hereof, she		
20	placed a copy of the foregoi	ng Order was placed in the folders in the Clerk's Office		
21	for each of the attorneys of	record in the above-entitled matter.		
22		(

3

5

Tatyana Ristic, Judicial Secretary District Court, Dept XXIV

FILED **NOTC** PHILIP J. KOHN, PUBLIC DEFENDER 2 NEVADA BAR NO. 0556 2001 JAN 18 P 1: 52 309 South Third Street, Suite 226 3 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 455-4685 4 Attorney for Defendant 5 **«DISTRICT COURT** 6 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 7 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 8 CASE NO. C226586X Plaintiff. 9 DEPT. NO. XXIV v. 10 FREDDY A. MARTINEZ, 11 Defendant. 12 13 DEFENDANT'S NOTICE OF WITNESSES, PURSUANT TO NRS 174.234 14 TO: CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY: You, and each of you, will please take notice that the Defendant, FREDDY A. 15 MARTINEZ, intends to call the following witness in his case in chief: 16 17 Gregorio David Martinez-Matamoros Investigator Naomi Conaway 18 4615 E. Lake Mead #59 309 S. Third St. Las Vegas, Nevada Las Vegas, Nevada 19 20 Maria Diaz 4615 E. Lake Mead #70 21 Las Vegas, Nevada 22 The Defense additionally hereby incorporates any and all witnesses noticed by the State in this 23 notice of witnesses. 24 25 PHILIP J. KOHN 26 CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER Deputy Public Defender

RECEIPT OF COPY

RECEIPT OF COPY of the above and foregoing Notice is hereby acknowledged this

day of January, 2007.

CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY

By Judy Olvey

Case Name: Frede

Freddy A. Martinez

27 | Case No.:

C226586X

28 Dept. No.:

XXIV

l

AFFIRMATION

Pursuant to NRS 239B.030

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding pleading, DEFENDANT'S

NOTICE OF WITNESSES, filed in District Court Case No. C226586X, does not contain the

social security number of any person.

DATED: this 18th day of January, 2007.

CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER

By:

Kathleen M. Hamers, #9049

Deputy Public Defender

FILED IN OPEN COURT ORIGINAL APR 1 1 2007 CHARLES L SHORT CLERY OF THE COURT **JURL** 2 TINA HURD 3 DISTRICT COURT 4 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 5 6 7 THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE NO. C226586 *p*. 8 Plaintiff(s), DEPT. NO. VII 9 -vs-10 FREDDY MARTINEZ, 11 Defendant(s). 12 13 14 **JURY** 15 1. JEFFREY SHAWL 8. DAYNA PEDEN 16 9. SAYURI PEREZ 2. RUTH OCHOA 17 10. JAMES EAGAN 3. ERIN DAWSON 18 11. LAURA RODRIGUEZ 4. DANIEL ALLEN 19 5. PATRICIA L. AUSTIN 12. BARBARA GALIZIA 20 6. JOHN FRAGOSO 13. SHEILA EVARISTO 21 7. YU LEE 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 U:\Dept 7\C226586 - MARTINEZ jury.doc

U:\Dept 7\C226586 - MARTINEZ amd jury.doc

ORIGINAL FILED IN OPEN COURT **INST** 1 APR 1 2 2007 2 3 4 TINA HURD 5 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 6 7 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 8 CASE NO: C226586 Plaintiff, 9 DEPT NO: VII 10 -vs-11 FREDDY MARTINEZ, Defendant. 12 13 INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JURY (INSTRUCTION NO. I) MEMBERS OF THE JURY: 14 It is now my duty as judge to instruct you in the law that applies to this case. It is 15 your duty as jurors to follow these instructions and to apply the rules of law to the facts as 16 17 you find them from the evidence. You must not be concerned with the wisdom of any rule of law stated in these 18 instructions. Regardless of any opinion you may have as to what the law ought to be, it 19 would be a violation of your oath to base a verdict upon any other view of the law than that 20 2 I given in the instructions of the Court. 22 23 24 25 26 27

INSTRUCTION NO. 2

If, in these instructions, any rule, direction or idea is repeated or stated in different ways, no emphasis thereon is intended by me and none may be inferred by you. For that reason, you are not to single out any certain sentence or any individual point or instruction and ignore the others, but you are to consider all the instructions as a whole and regard each in the light of all the others.

The order in which the instructions are given has no significance as to their relative importance.

INSTRUCTION NO. 3

An Indictment is but a formal method of accusing a person of a crime and is not of itself any evidence of his guilt.

In this case, it is charged in an Indictment that on or about the 16th day of August, 2006, the Defendant committed the offenses of BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Felony NRS 205.060); BATTERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Felony - NRS 200.481); FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Felony - NRS 200.310, 200.320, 193.165); and SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Felony - NRS 200.364, 200.366, 193.165), within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form, force and effect of statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada, in the manner following, to-wit: that the said Defendant,

COUNT 1 – BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously enter, while in possession of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knife, and with intent to commit kidnapping, that certain 2003 Ford Focus, bearing Nevada License No. 308TRL, owned by BIANCA HERNANDEZ.

COUNT 2 - BATTERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously use force or violence upon the person of another, to-wit: BIANCA HERNANDEZ, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knife, by cutting the said BIANCA HERNANDEZ in the thigh with said knife.

COUNT 3 - FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously, and without authority of law, seize, confine, inveigle, entice, decoy, abduct, conceal, kidnap, or carry away BIANCA HERNANDEZ, a human being, with the intent to hold or detain the said BIANCA HERNANDEZ against her will, and without her consent, for the purpose of committing sexual assault, said Defendant using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knife, during the commission of said crime.

COUNT 4 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject

BIANCA HERNANDEZ, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: sexual intercourse, by placing his penis into the genital opening of the said BIANCA HERNANDEZ, against her will: Defendant using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knifc, during the commission of said crime.

INSTRUCTION NO.	4
22 10 2 2 0 0 2 2 0 2 1 2 1 2 1	

Every person who, by day or night, enters any vehicle, with the intent to commit a kidnapping therein is guilty of Burglary.

INSTRUCTION NO. 5

It is not necessary that the State prove the defendant actually committed a felony inside the vehicle after he entered in order for you to find him guilty of burglary. The gist of the crime of burglary is the unlawful entry with criminal intent. Therefore, a burglary was committed if the defendant entered the vehicle with the intent to commit a kidnapping regardless of whether or not that crime occurred.

INSTRUCTION NO. ___

Consent to enter is not a defense to the crime of burglary so long as it is shown that entry was made with the specific intent to commit a kidnapping therein.

1	INSTRUCTION NO
2	The intention with which entry was made is a question of fact which may be inferred
3	from the defendant's conduct and all other circumstances disclosed by the evidence.
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	T
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	•
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

INSTRUCTION NO. \mathscr{B}

Every person who commits the crime of burglary, who has in his possession or gains possession of any firearm or deadly weapon at any time during the commission of the crime, at any time before leaving the vehicle, or upon leaving the vehicle, is guilty of burglary while in possession of a weapon.

A Battery With a Deadly Weapon is any willful and unlawful use of force or violence upon the person of another with the use of a deadly weapon.

INSTRUCTION NO. 10

Every person who willfully seizes, confines, inveigles, entices, decoys, abducts, conceals, kidnaps or carries away any person by any means whatsoever with the intent to hold or detain, or who holds or detains, the person:

- 1) for ransom, or reward; or
- 2) for the purpose of committing sexual assault, extortion or robbery upon or from the person; or
- 3) for the purpose of killing the person or inflicting substantial bodily harm upon him; or
- 4) to exact from relatives, friends, or any other person any money or valuable thing for the return or disposition of the kidnapped person, is guilty of Kidnapping in the First Degree.

Kidnapping is of two degrees: First Degree Kidnapping and Second Degree Kidnapping. Second Degree Kidnapping is a lesser included offense of First Degree Kidnapping.

Every person who willfully seizes, confines, inveigles, entices, decoys, abducts, conceals, kidnaps or carries away any person by any means whatsoever with the intent to hold or detain, or who holds or detains, the person for ransom, or reward, or for the purpose of committing robbery upon or from the person, or to exact from relatives, friends, or other persons any money or valuable thing for the return or disposition of such kidnapped person is guilty of Kidnapping in the First Degree.

Every person who willfully and without authority of law seizes, inveigles, takes, carries away or kidnaps another person with the intent to keep the person secretly imprisoned within the state, or for the purpose of conveying the person out of the state without authority of law, or in any manner held to service or detained against his will, is guilty of Kidnapping in the Second Degree.

It is the fact, not the distance, of forcible movement of the victim that constitutes kidnapping. However, a charge of kidnapping and an associated offense will lie only where movement of the victim is over and above that required to complete the associated crime charged.

False imprisonment is the unlawful violation of the personal liberty of another. False imprisonment means there must be an intentional and unlawful restraint, confinement or detention which compels a person to stay or go somewhere against his/her will.

1 /

You are instructed that if you find that the State has established that the defendant has committed first degree kidnapping you shall select first degree kidnapping as your verdict. The crime of first degree kidnapping may include the crime of second degree kidnapping. You shall find the defendant guilty of second degree kidnapping if:

- (1) Some of you are not convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of first degree kidnapping, and
- (2) All twelve of you are convinced beyond a reasonable doubt the defendant is guilty of the crime of second degree kidnapping.

If you are convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the crime of kidnapping has been committed by the defendant, but you have reasonable doubt whether such kidnapping was of the first or of the second degree, you must give the defendant the benefit of that doubt and return a verdict of kidnapping of the second degree.

You shall find the defendant guilty of false imprisonment if:

- (1) Some of you are not convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of kidnapping, and
- (2) All twelve of you are convinced beyond a reasonable doubt the defendant is guilty of the crime of false imprisonment.

In order for you to find the defendant guilty of kidnapping and an associated offense of sexual assault, you must also find beyond a reasonable doubt either:

- (1) That any movement of the victim was not incidental to the sexual assault;
- (2) That any incidental movement of the victim substantially increased the risk of harm to the victim over and above that necessarily present in the sexual assault;
- (3) That any incidental movement of the victim substantially exceeded that required to complete the sexual assault;
- (4) That the victim was physically restrained and such restraint substantially increased the risk of harm to the victim; or
- (5) The movement or restraint had an independent purpose or significance.

"Physically restrained" includes but is not limited to tying, binding, or taping.

5

A person who subjects another person to sexual penetration against the victim's will or under conditions in which the perpetrator knows or should know that the victim is mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of his conduct, is guilty of sexual assault.

As used in these instructions, "sexual penetration" means fellatio, or any intrusion, however slight, of any part of a person's body or any object manipulated or inserted by a person into the genital or anal openings of the body of another, including sexual intercourse.

Sexual intercourse is the placing of the penis of the perpetrator into the vagina of the victim.

Fellatio means the male penis entering the mouth of another person. Any penetration of the penis into the mouth, however slight, is sufficient to complete the crime of sexual assault if done against the victim's will; evidence of emission is not necessary.

There is no requirement that the testimony of a victim of sexual assault be corroborated, and her testimony standing alone, if believed beyond a reasonable doubt, is sufficient to sustain a verdict of guilty.

Physical force is not necessary in the commission of sexual assault. The crucial question is not whether a person was physically forced to engage in a sexual assault but whether the act was committed without his/her consent or under conditions in which the defendant knew or should have known, the person was incapable of giving his/her consent or understanding the nature of the act. There is no consent where a person is induced to submit to the sexual act through fear of death or serious bodily injury.

A person is not required to do more than his/her age, strength, surrounding facts and attending circumstances make it reasonable for him/her to do to manifest opposition to a sexual assault.

It is a defense to the charge of sexual assault that the defendant entertained a reasonable and good faith belief that the alleged victim consented to engage in sexual intercourse. If you find such reasonable, good faith belief, even if mistaken, you must give the defendant the benefit of the doubt and find him not guilty of sexual assault. A belief that is based upon ambiguous conduct by the alleged victim that is the product of force, violence, duress, menace, or fear of immediate and unlawful bodily injury on the person of another is not a reasonable and good faith belief.

I

3

You must also determine whether or not a deadly weapon was used in the commission of all crimes charged.

"Deadly weapon" means any instrument which, if used in the ordinary manner contemplated by its design and construction, will or is likely to cause substantial bodily harm or death; any weapon, device, instrument, material or substance which, under the circumstances in which it is used, attempted to be used or threatened to be used, is readily capable of causing substantial bodily harm or death; or a dangerous or deadly weapon specifically described as an explosive or incendiary device, a dirk, dagger or switchblade knife, a nunchaku or trefoil, a blackjack or billy club or metal knuckles; a gun, pistol, spring pistol, revolver or other firearm; any dirk, dirk-knife, sword, sword cane, or any knife which is made an integral part of a belt buckle or any instrument or weapon of the kind commonly known as a slung shot, sand club, sandbag or machete.

In order to "use" a deadly weapon, there need not be conduct which actually produces harm but only conduct which produces a fear of harm or force by means or display of the deadly weapon in aiding the commission of the crime.

When a person is accused of committing a particular crime and at the same time and by the same conduct may have committed another offense of lesser grade or degree, the latter is with respect to the former, a lesser related offense.

If you are not satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of the offense charged, he may, however, be found guilty of any lesser related offense, if the evidence is sufficient to establish his guilt of such lesser offense beyond a reasonable doubt.

The offense of Battery With Use of a Deadly Weapon necessarily includes the lesser offense of Battery.

To constitute the crime charged, there must exist a union or joint operation of an act forbidden by law and an intent to do the act.

The intent with which an act is done is shown by the facts and circumstances surrounding the case. Do not confuse intent with motive. Motive is what prompts a person to act. Intent refers only to the state of mind with which the act is done.

Motive is not an element of the crime charged and the State is not required to prove a motive on the part of the defendant in order to convict. However, you may consider evidence of motive or lack of motive as a circumstance in the case.

Intent and motive are different concepts and should never be confused. Motive is what prompts a person to act or fail to act. Intent refers only to the state of mind with which the act is done or omitted.

Personal advancement and financial gain, for example, are two well-recognized motives for much of human conduct. These praiseworthy motives, however, may prompt one person to do voluntary acts of good while prompting another person to do voluntary acts of crime.

Good motive alone is never a defense where the act done or omitted is a crime. The motive of the defendant is, therefore, immaterial except insofar as evidence of motive may aid in the determination of state of mind or the intent of the defendant.

The defendant is presumed innocent until the contrary is proved. This presumption places upon the State the burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt every material element of the crime charged and that the defendant is the person who committed the offense.

A reasonable doubt is one based on reason. It is not mere possible doubt, but is such a doubt as would govern or control a person in the more weighty affairs of life. If the minds of the jurors, after the entire comparison and consideration of all the evidence, are in such a condition that they can say they feel an abiding conviction of the truth of the charge, there is not a reasonable doubt. Doubt to be reasonable must be actual, not merely possibility or speculation.

If you have a reasonable doubt as to the guilt of the defendant, he is entitled to a verdict of not guilty.

:

The evidence which you are to consider in this case consists of the testimony of the witnesses, the exhibits, and any facts admitted or agreed to by counsel.

There are two types of evidence; direct and circumstantial. Direct evidence is the testimony of a person who claims to have personal knowledge of the commission of the crime which has been charged, such as an eyewitness. Circumstantial evidence is the proof of a chain of facts and circumstances which tend to show whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty. The law makes no distinction between the weight to be given either direct or circumstantial evidence. Therefore, all of the evidence in the case, including the circumstantial evidence, should be considered by you in arriving at your verdict.

Statements, arguments and opinions of counsel are not evidence in the case. However, if the attorneys stipulate to the existence of a fact, you must accept the stipulation as evidence and regard that fact as proved.

You must not speculate to be true any insinuations suggested by a question asked a witness. A question is not evidence and may be considered only as it supplies meaning to the answer.

You must disregard any evidence to which an objection was sustained by the court and any evidence ordered stricken by the court.

Anything you may have seen or heard outside the courtroom is not evidence and must also be disregarded.

The flight of a person immediately after the commission of a crime, or after he is accused of a crime, is not sufficient in itself to establish his guilt, but is a fact which, if proved, may be considered by you in light of all other proved facts in deciding the question of his guilt or innocence. Whether or not evidence of flight shows a consciousness of guilt and the significance to be attached to such a circumstance are matters for your deliberation

It is a constitutional right of a defendant in a criminal trial that he may not be compelled to testify. Thus, the decision as to whether he should testify is left to the defendant on the advice and counsel of his attorney. You must not draw any inference of guilt from the fact that he does not testify, nor should this fact be discussed by you or enter into your deliberations in any way.

A witness who has special knowledge, skill, experience, training or education in a particular science, profession or occupation is an expert witness. An expert witness may give his opinion as to any matter in which he is skilled.

You should consider such expert opinion and weigh the reasons, if any, given for it. You are not bound, however, by such an opinion. Give it the weight to which you deem it entitled, whether that be great or slight, and you may reject it, if, in your judgment, the reasons given for it are unsound.

In deciding what the facts are, you may have to decide what testimony you believe and what testimony you do not believe. You may believe all of what a witness said, or only part of it, or none of it.

In deciding what testimony to believe, consider the witness' intelligence, the opportunity the witness had to have seen or heard the things testified about, the witness' memory, any motives that witness may have for testifying a certain way, the manner of the witness while testifying, whether that witness said something different at an earlier time, the general reasonableness of the testimony, and the extent to which the testimony is consistent with any other evidence that you believe.

:31

The credibility or believability of a witness should be determined by his/her manner upon the stand, his/her relationship to the parties, his/her fears, motives, interests or feelings, his/her opportunity to have observed the matter to which he/she testified, the reasonableness of his/her statements and the strength or weakness of his/her recollections.

If you believe that a witness has lied about any material fact in the case, you may disregard the entire testimony of that witness or any portion of his/her testimony which is not proved by other evidence.

٠ إ

Although you are to consider only the evidence in the case in reaching a verdict, you must bring to the consideration of the evidence your everyday common sense and judgment as reasonable men and women. Thus, you are not limited solely to what you see and hear as the witnesses testify. You may draw reasonable inferences from the evidence which you feel are justified in the light of common experience, keeping in mind that such inferences should not be based on speculation or guess.

A verdict may never be influenced by sympathy, prejudice or public opinion. Your decision should be the product of sincere judgment and sound discretion in accordance with these rules of law.

Instruction no. 32

In your deliberation you may not discuss or consider the subject of punishment, as that is a matter which lies solely with the court. Your duty is confined to the determination of whether the State has proved the charges beyond a reasonable doubt.

You are here to determine whether the State has proved the charges beyond a reasonable doubt from the evidence in the case. You are not called upon to return a verdict as to the guilt or innocence of any other person. So, if the evidence in the case convinces you beyond a reasonable doubt of the guilty of the defendant, you should so find, even though you may believe one or more persons are also guilty.

ıv

1,5

When you retire to consider your verdict, you must select one of your number to act as foreperson who will preside over your deliberation and will be your spokesperson here in court.

During your deliberation, you will have all the exhibits which were admitted into evidence, those written instructions and forms of verdict which have been prepared for your convenience.

Your verdict must be unanimous. As soon as you have agreed upon a verdict, have it signed and dated by your foreperson and then return with it to this room.

٠,

If, during your deliberation, you should desire to be further informed on any point of law or hear again portions of the testimony, you must reduce your request to writing signed by the foreperson. The officer will then return you to court where the information sought will be given you in the presence of, and after notice to, the district attorney and the defendant and his counsel.

Playbacks of testimony are time-consuming and are not encouraged unless you deem it a necessity. Should you require a playback, you must carefully describe the testimony to be played back so that the court recorder can arrange his/her notes. Remember, the court is not at liberty to supplement the evidence.

Now you will listen to the arguments of counsel who will endeavor to aid you to reach a proper verdict by refreshing in your minds the evidence and by showing the application thereof to the law; but, whatever counsel may say, you will bear in mind that it is your duty to be governed in your deliberation by the evidence as you understand it and remember it to be and by the law as given to you in these instructions, with the sole, fixed and steadfast purpose of doing equal and exact justice between the Defendant and the State of Nevada.

GIVEN:

DISTRICT JUDGE

APR 1 2 2007