Defendant In Proper Person P.O. Box 650 H.D.S.P. Indian Springs, Nevada 89018 3 4 DISTRICT COURT 5 CLARK COUNTY NEVADA 6 7 CARY PICKETT, 8 Pothoner 9 10 Docket The State of NEVADA 11 RESpondent 12 13 NOTICE OF APPEAL 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 PECEIVED 25
JUN 14 2011
CLETT OF THE BOURT Dated this date, June 8, 2011 21 100262523-2 27 NOASC Notice of Appeal (criminal) 28

FILED

JUN 1 4 2011

lectronically Filed Jun 17 2011 04:26 p.m. Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk of Supreme Court

Case No. C 262523=2

Dept.No. XVIII

Notice is hereby given that the Petitioner, Cary Pickett , by and through himself in proper person, does now appeal

to the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada, the decision of the District

court DENYING Potation for writ of Habers Carpys

Respectfully Submitted,

In Proper Person

CERTFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAILING

2	I, Carol Rickett hereby certify, pursuant to NRCP 5(b), that on this 8
3	day of June 2011, I mailed a true and correct copy of the foregoing, " Notice
4	of Appeal
5	by depositing it in the High Desert State Prison, Legal Library, First-Class Postage, fully prepaid,
. 6	addressed as follows:
7	
8	District Attorney
9	12, vid Rodo Ers)
10	CAD NEGO NO 89112
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	DATED: this 8 day of JUNE, 2011.
20	
21	Gru Pickett # 5759
22	Post Office box 650 [HDSP] Indian Springs Nevada 89018 IN FORMA PAUPERIS:
23	Indian Springs, Nevada 89018 IN FORMA PAUPERIS:
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

AFFIRMATION Pursuant to NRS 239B.030

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding
(Title of Document)
filed in District Court Case number
Does not contain the social security number of any person.
-OR-
☐ Contains the social security number of a person as required by:
A. A specific state or federal law, to wit:
(State specific law)
-or-
B. For the administration of a public program or for an application for a federal or state grant. Signature Date Application Title

CARY JERARD PICKETT, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent.

No. 58191

FILED

MAY 0 9 2011

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY DEPUT CLERK

ORDER RE: ENTRY OF WRITTEN JUDGMENT OR ORDER AND RECORD ON APPEAL

This is a proper person appeal from a decision denying a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus. This court's review of this appeal indicates that, as of the date of this order, the district court has not entered a written order denying the petition. The criminal court minutes indicate that the district court orally denied the petition in court on April 6, 2011. Prior to the entry of a final, written judgment or order, and the timely filing of a notice of appeal, the district court technically retains jurisdiction over appellant's case. See Bradley v. State, 109 Nev. 1090, 1094-95, 864 P.2d 1272, 1275 (1993). In a criminal case, a notice of appeal filed after announcement of the decision, but before entry of the written judgment or order is deemed to have been filed after such entry and on the day thereof. NRAP 4(b)(2). Thus, a copy of the written judgment or order is essential to a determination of this court's jurisdiction to consider this appeal.

Accordingly, the district court shall have 30 days from the date of this order within which to: (1) enter a written judgment or order or (2) inform this court that it is reconsidering its decision. In the event the

JUN 1 4 2. I

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A -

district court enters a written judgment or order (or has already entered a written judgment or order of which this court is unaware), the clerk of the district court shall immediately transmit a certified copy of the judgment or order to the clerk of this court.

Further, the clerk of the district court shall, within 60 days from the date of this order, transmit to the clerk of this court a certified copy of the complete trial court record of this appeal. See NRAP 11(a)(2). The record shall not include any physical, non-documentary exhibits or the original documentary exhibits filed in the district court, but copies of documentary exhibits submitted in the district court proceedings shall be transmitted as part of the record on appeal. The record shall also include any presentence investigation reports submitted in a sealed envelope identifying the contents and marked confidential. See NRS 176.156(5).

It is so ORDERED.

Daylis , c.j.

cc: Hon. David B. Barker, District Judge Cary Jerard Pickett Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk

(O) 1947A

FILED MAY 3 1 2011

NOED

CARY PICKETT,

vs.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

2

3

l

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

Order in:

22

2324

25 26

27

28

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

CLERK OF COURT

Petitioner,

Respondent,

Case No: 10C262523-2

Dept No: XVIII

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF DECISION AND ORDER

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on May 19, 2011, the court entered a decision or order in this matter, a true and correct copy of which is attached to this notice.

You may appeal to the Supreme Court from the decision or order of this court. If you wish to appeal, you must file a notice of appeal with the clerk of this court within thirty-three (33) days after the date this notice is mailed to you. This notice was mailed on May 31, 2011.

STEVEN D. GRIERSON, CLERK OF THE COURT

By: Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on this 31 day of May 2011, I placed a copy of this Notice of Entry of Decision and

The bin(s) located in the Office of the District Court Clerk of:

Clark County District Attorney's Office Attorney General's Office – Appellate Division

☑ The United States mail addressed as follows:

Cary Pickett # 57591

P.O. Box 650

Indian Springs, NV 89070

Heather Ungermann, Deputy Olerk

(Jany Rebutt 5757) 40 Box 600 ander Spiring NU 89070 Clerk of the Count 200 s Islamia Ave Ju Vegas NJ. 89155 LAS VEGAS NV 890 TE ME TIME MULTING

0000010146000

FILED

JUN 1 7 2011

ASTA

3

1

2

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Case No: 10C262523-2

Dept No: XVIII

CASE APPEAL STATEMENT

1. Appellant(s): Cary Pickett

Plaintiff(s),

Defendant(s),

- 2. Judge: David Barker
- 3. Appellant(s): Cary Pickett

Counsel:

STATE OF NEVADA,

VS.

CARY PICKETT,

Cary Pickett #57591 P.O. Box 650 Indian Springs, NV 89070

4. Respondent: THE STATE OF NEVADA

Counsel:

David Roger, District Attorney 200 Lewis Ave. Las Vegas, NV 89101 (702) 671-2700

- 5. Respondent's Attorney Licensed in Nevada: Yes
- 6. Appellant Represented by Appointed Counsel In District Court: No

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

7.	Appellant Re	presented by	Appointed	Counsel On	Appeal: N/A

- 8. Appellant Granted Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis: N/A
- 9. Date Commenced in District Court: March 3, 2010
- 10. Brief Description of the Nature of the Action: Criminal

Type of Judgment or Order Being Appealed: Writ of Habeas Corpus

11. Previous Appeal: Yes

Supreme Court Docket Number(s): 58191

12. Child Custody or Visitation: N/A

Dated This 17 day of June 2011.

Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court

By:

Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk

200 Lewis Ave

PO Box 551601

Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-1601

(702) 671-0512

CASE SUMMARY CASE No. 10C262523-2

State of Nevada **Cary Pickett**

Location: Department 18 Judicial Officer: Barker, David Filed on: 03/03/2010 Conversion Case Number: C262523 Defendant's Scope ID #: 0725059 Lower Court Case Number: 10F02742 Supreme Court No.: 58191

CLOTE	INFORMA	TION
U.ASE	INFURMA	LIUN

Offense		Deg	Date	Case Type:	Felony/Gross Misdemeanor
1.	BURGLARY.	F	01/01/1900	G 171	B. 116
2.	CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT A CRIME	F	01/01/1900	Case Flags:	Bail Set Appealed to Supreme Court Court du Status Nevedo
2.	ROBBERY	F	01/01/1900		Custody Status - Nevada Department of Corrections
3.	ROBBERY	F	01/01/1900		Department of Corrections
3.	USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON OR TEAR GAS IN COMMISSION OF A CRIME.	F	01/01/1900		
4.	EX-FELON NOT TO POSSESS FIREARM.	F	01/01/1900		

Related Cases

10C262523-1 (Multi-Defendant Case)

DATE CASE ASSIGNMENT

Current Case Assignment

Case Number 10C262523-2 Court Department 18 Date Assigned 03/03/2010 Judicial Officer Barker, David

DADTV	INFORMATION
ANI	INT OKMENTATION

Defendant Pickett, Cary J

Pro Se

Plaintiff State of Nevada Roger, David J. 702-671-2700(W)

DATE	EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT	INDEX
03/03/2010	Criminal Bindover CRIMINAL BINDOVER	
03/03/2010	Hearing INITIAL ARRAIGNMENT	
03/08/2010	Information INFORMATION	10C262523- 20003.tif pages
03/10/2010	Information INFORMATION	10C262523- 20004.tif pages

Lead Attorneys

CASE SUMMARY CASE No. 10C262523-2

	CASE NO. 10C262523-2	
03/11/2010	Initial Arraignment (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Williams, Kevin) Events: 03/03/2010 Hearing INITIAL ARRAIGNMENT Court Clerk: Karina Kennedy/klk/Sylvia Courtney Reporter/Recorder: Kiara Schmidt Heard By: Kevin Williams	
03/11/2010	Conversion Case Event Type SENTENCING	
03/11/2010	Memorandum GUILTY PLEA AGREEMENT	
03/11/2010	Memorandum GUILTY PLEA AGREEMENT	
03/26/2010	Order ORDER FOR PRODUCTION OF INMATES	10C262523- 20008.tif pages
05/10/2010	Sentencing (8:15 AM) (Judicial Officer: Barker, David) Events: 03/11/2010 Conversion Case Event Type SENTENCING Court Clerk: Sharon Chun Reporter/Recorder: Richard Kangas Heard By: David Barker	
05/14/2010	Judgment JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION (PLEA OF GUILTY)	
05/14/2010	Judgment JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION/RESTITUTION	
05/14/2010	Judgment JOC/GENETIC TESTING (WAIVED 05-14-10)	
05/14/2010	Judgment ENTRY IN ERROR	
05/19/2010	Judgment JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION (PLEA OF GUILTY)	
05/19/2010	Judgment JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION (PLEA OF GUILTY)	
05/19/2010	Judgment JOC/GENETIC TESTING (WAIVED 05-19-10)	
07/13/2010	Reporters Transcript REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF FEBRUARY 25, 2010	
07/13/2010	Reporters Transcript REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF FEBRUARY 25, 2010	
07/28/2010	Amended Judgment of Conviction AMENDED JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION (PLEA OF GUILTY)	
09/24/2010	Amended Judgment of Conviction Second Amended Judgment of Conviction (Plea of Guilty)	

CASE SUMMARY CASE No. 10C262523-2

	CASE NO. 10C202525-2
01/03/2011	Motion to Withdraw As Counsel Filed By: Defendant Pickett, Cary J Motion to Withdraw As Attorney of Record
01/19/2011	Motion to Withdraw as Counsel (8:15 AM) (Judicial Officer: Barker, David) Events: 01/03/2011 Motion to Withdraw As Counsel Motion to Withdraw As Attorney of Record
01/27/2011	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Filed by: Defendant Pickett, Cary J PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (POST-CONVICTION) AND REQUEST FOR EVIDENTIARY HEARING
01/27/2011	Brief Filed By: Defendant Pickett, Cary J Petitioner's brief in support of petition for writ of habeas corpus (post conviction)
01/27/2011	Certificate Filed By: Defendant Pickett, Cary J Financial Certificate
01/27/2011	Application to Proceed in Forma Pauperis Filed By: Defendant Pickett, Cary J
02/11/2011	Order for Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus
02/28/2011	Q Recorders Transcript of Hearing Party: Plaintiff State of Nevada REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MARCH 11, 2010
03/22/2011	Response State's Response to Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)
04/05/2011	Reply Filed by: Defendant Pickett, Cary J Petitioner's Reply to State's Response to Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction)
04/06/2011	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (8:15 AM) (Judicial Officer: Barker, David) Events: 02/11/2011 Order for Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus
04/18/2011	Notice of Appeal (criminal) Party: Defendant Pickett, Cary J
04/18/2011	Memorandum Filed By: Defendant Pickett, Cary J Memorandum/Affidavit in Support of Appeal from Eighth Judicial District Court Writ of Habeas Corpus.
04/20/2011	Case Appeal Statement
05/19/2011	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Filed By: Plaintiff State of Nevada

CASE SUMMARY CASE No. 10C262523-2

05/31/2011	Notice of Entry of Decision and Order
06/14/2011	Notice of Appeal (criminal) Party: Defendant Pickett, Cary J

DATE	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	Г
I	Defendant Pickett, Cary J	
	Total Charges	25.00
	Total Payments and Credits	25.00
	Balance Due as of 6/17/2011	0.00

ORIGINAL

ORDR 1 DAVID ROGER 2 Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #002781 3 ROY L. NELSON, III. Chief Deputy District Attorney 4 Nevada Bar #007842 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 5 6 Attorney for Plaintiff 10C262523-2 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and C DISTRICT COURT 7 1422159 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA. Plaintiff, 9 CASE NO: 10C262523-2 -vs-10 DEPT NO: XVIII 11 CARY J. PICKETT, #0725059 12 Defendant. 13 14 FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER 15 16 DATE OF HEARING: April 6, 2011 TIME OF HEARING: 8:15 A.M. 17

THIS CAUSE having come on for hearing before the Honorable David Barker, District Judge, on the 6th day of April, 2011, the Petitioner not being present, Proceeding In Forma Pauperis, the Respondent being represented by DAVID ROGER, District Attorney, by and through Stephanie Graham, Deputy District Attorney, and the Court having considered the matter, including briefs, transcripts, no arguments of counsel, and documents on file herein, now therefore, the Court makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. On February 3, 2010, Cary J. Pickett, hereinafter "Defendant," was charged by way of Criminal Complaint with five (5) counts of Burglary While in Possession of a Firearm seven (7) counts of Robbery With Use of a Deadly Weapon, five (5) counts of

MAY 1 9 2011

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

P:\WPDOC\$\FOF\002\00274201.doc

CLERK OF THE COURT

Conspiracy to Commit Robbery, and six (6) counts of Possession of a Firearm by an Ex-Felon.

- 2. On March 10, 2010, pursuant to negotiations, Defendant was charged by way of Information with one count each of Burglary While in Possession of a Firearm, Conspiracy to Commit Robbery, Robbery With Use of a Deadly Weapon, and Possession of a Firearm by an Ex-Felon. On March 11, 2010, pursuant to a written Guilty Plea Agreement, Defendant pled guilty to the same charges.
- 3. On May 10, 2010, Defendant was adjudged a Habitual Criminal and sentenced as follows: as to Count 1 Burglary While in Possession of a Firearm, to a MAXIMUM of SIXTY (60) MONTHS with a MINIMUM parole eligibility of TWENTY-FOUR (24) MONTHS; as to Count 2 Conspiracy to Commit Robbery, to MAXIMUM of SIXTY (60) MONTHS with a MINIMUM parole eligibility of TWENTY-FOUR (24) MONTHS; as to Count 3 Robbery with Use of a Deadly Weapon, to a MAXIMUM of TWENTY-FIVE (25) YEARS with a MINIMUM parole eligibility of TEN (10) YEARS, Count 3 to run CONSECUTIVE to Count 1; as to Count 4 Possession of a Firearm by an Ex-Felon, to a MAXIMUM of SIXTY (60) MONTHS with a MINIMUM parole eligibility of TWENTY-FOUR (24) MONTHS, Count 4 to run CONCURRENT with Count 2, with EIGHTY-EIGHT (88) DAYS credit for time served.
 - 4. A Judgment of Conviction was filed on May 19, 2010.
 - 5. Defendant did not file a Direct Appeal.
 - 6. Defendant filed the instant petition on January 27, 2011.
 - 7. Defendant's counsel rendered effective assistance.
- 8. Defendant stipulated to habitual criminal treatment as part of his plea agreement.
- 9. Defendant has failed to provide any evidence supporting his claim that two out of seven of his previous felony convictions which were the basis for his treatment as a

¹ Due to clerical errors, an Amended Judgment of Conviction was filed on September 24, 2010.

10

9

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

111

habitual criminal were constitutionally infirm.

- 10. Even if counsel had successfully challenged two of Defendant's previous convictions as constitutionally infirm, five would have remained for the court to consider. Defendant therefore cannot demonstrate prejudice.
- 11. Since Defendant stipulated to treatment as a habitual criminal, any arguments by counsel against such treatment at sentencing would have been futile. Counsel cannot be deemed ineffective for failing to make futile arguments.
- 12. Defendant's bare allegation that his counsel failed to make mitigation arguments at sentencing is insufficient for relief.
- 13. The totality of the circumstances, including the relevant portions of Defendant's GPA, the plea canvass, and taking into account the presence of counsel, demonstrates Defendant's guilty plea was entered knowingly and voluntarily.
- 14. Defendant's claim that he was improperly sentenced as a habitual criminal is not cognizable in a petition for post-conviction relief since Defendant's conviction was based upon a plea of guilty.
- 15. Defendant has failed to provide evidence for consideration which supports the grounds alleged.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. In order to assert a claim for ineffective assistance of counsel a defendant must prove that he was denied "reasonably effective assistance" of counsel by satisfying the twoprong test of Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S. 668, 686-87, 104 S.Ct. 2052, 2063-64 (1984). See also State v. Love, 109 Nev. 1136, 1138, 865 P.2d 322, 323 (1993). Under this test, the Defendant must show first that his counsel's representation fell below an objective standard of reasonableness, and second, that but for counsel's errors, there is a reasonable probability that the result of the proceedings would have been different. Strickland, 466 U.S. at 687-88, 694, 104 S.Ct. at 2065, 2068; Warden, Nevada State Prison v. Lyons, 100 Nev. 430, 432, 683 P.2d 504, 505 (1984) (adopting Strickland two-part test in Nevada).

28 ///

- 2. The court begins with the presumption of effectiveness and then must determine whether or not the petitioner has proved disputed factual allegations underlying his ineffective-assistance claim by a preponderance of the evidence. Means v. State, 120 Nev. 1001, 1012, 103 P.3d 25, 33 (2004).
- 3. Judicial review of a lawyer's representation is highly deferential, and a defendant must overcome the presumption that a challenged action might be considered sound strategy. State v. LaPena, 114 Nev. 1159, 1166, 968 P.2d 750, 754 (1998) (quoting from Strickland, 466 U.S. at 689, 104 S.Ct at 2052 (1984)). Strategy or decisions regarding the conduct of defendant's case are "virtually unchallengeable absent extraordinary circumstances." Doleman v. State, 112 Nev. 843, 848, 921 P.2d 278, 280 (1996) (quoting Howard v. State, 106 Nev. 713, 722, 800P.2d 175, 180 (1990)).
- 4. Pursuant to NRS 176.165, after sentencing, a defendant's guilty plea can only be withdrawn to correct "manifest injustice." See also Baal v. State, 106 Nev. 69, 72, 787 P.2d 391, 394 (1990). The law in Nevada clearly establishes that a plea of guilty is presumptively valid and the burden is on a defendant to show that the plea was not voluntarily entered. Bryant v. State, 102 Nev. 268, 272, 721 P.2d 364, 368 (1986) (citing Wingfield v. State, 91 Nev. 336, 337, 535 P.2d 1295, 1295 (1975)). Manifest injustice does not exist if defendant entered his plea voluntarily. Baal, 106 Nev. at 72, 787 P.2d at 394. To determine whether a guilty plea was voluntarily entered the Court will review the totality of the circumstances surrounding the defendant's plea. Bryant, 102 Nev. at 271, 721 P.2d at 367.
 - 5. NRS 34.810(1)(a) states in relevant part:
 - 1. The court shall dismiss a petition if the court determines that:
 - (a) The petitioner's conviction was upon a plea of guilty or guilty but mentally ill and the petition is not based upon an allegation that the plea was involuntarily or unknowingly entered or that the plea was entered without effective assistance of counsel.

ORDER THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) shall be, and it is, hereby denied. DATED this MAY 1 9 2011 of May, 2011. DISTRICT JUDGE **DAVID ROGER** DISTRICT ATTORNEY Nevada Bar #002781 BYChief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #007842

10F02742B/GCU: ts/RN/ckb

1 NOED 2 **DISTRICT COURT** 3 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 10C262523 - 2 4 Notice of Entry of Decision and Order 1441241 CARY PICKETT, 5 6 Petitioner, 7 Case No: 10C262523-2 vs. Dept No: XVIII 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF 9 Respondent, **DECISION AND ORDER** 10 11 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on May 19, 2011, the court entered a decision or order in this matter, a 12 true and correct copy of which is attached to this notice. 13 You may appeal to the Supreme Court from the decision or order of this court. If you wish to appeal, you 14 must file a notice of appeal with the clerk of this court within thirty-three (33) days after the date this notice is 15 mailed to you. This notice was mailed on May 31, 2011. 16 STEVEN D. GRIERSON, CLERK OF THE COURT 17 Heather Ungermann, Deputy/C 18 19 CERTIFICATE OF MAILING 20 I hereby certify that on this 31 day of May 2011, I placed a copy of this Notice of Entry of Decision and 21 Order in: 22 The bin(s) located in the Office of the District Court Clerk of: Clark County District Attorney's Office 23 Attorney General's Office - Appellate Division 24 ☑ The United States mail addressed as follows: Cary Pickett # 57591 25 P.O. Box 650 Indian Springs, NV 89070 26 27

28

Heather Ungermann, Deputy Glerk

FILED

MAY 3 1 2011

S ORIGINAL P



1	ORDR FILE		
2	ORDR DAVID ROGER Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #002781		
3			
4	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #007842		
5	ROY L. NELSON, III. Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #007842 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500		
6	Attorney for Plaintiff		
7	DISTRICT COURT FFC0 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and (1422159		
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
9	Plaintiff,		
10	CASE NO: 10C262523-2		
11	CARY J. PICKETT,		
12	#0725059		
13	Defendant.		
14	FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF		
15	LAW AND ORDER		
16	DATE OF HEARING: April 6, 2011		
17	TIME OF HEARING: 8:15 A.M.		
18	THIS CAUSE having come on for hearing before the Honorable David Barker,		
19	District Judge, on the 6th day of April, 2011, the Petitioner not being present, Proceeding In		
20	Forma Pauperis, the Respondent being represented by DAVID ROGER, District Attorney,		
21	by and through Stephanie Graham, Deputy District Attorney, and the Court having		
22	considered the matter, including briefs, transcripts, no arguments of counsel, and documents		
23	on file herein, now therefore, the Court makes the following findings of fact and conclusions		
24	of law:		
25	<u>FINDINGS OF FACT</u>		
26	1. On February 3, 2010, Cary J. Pickett, hereinafter "Defendant," was charged by		
27	way of Criminal Complaint with five (5) counts of Burglary While in Possession of a		
28	Firearm seven (7) counts of Robbery With Use of a Deadly Weapon, five (5) counts of		
	MAY 1 9 2011		
	P:\WPDOCS\F0F\002\00274201.doc		

CLERK OF THE COURT

Conspiracy to Commit Robbery, and six (6) counts of Possession of a Firearm by an Ex-Felon.

- 2. On March 10, 2010, pursuant to negotiations, Defendant was charged by way of Information with one count each of Burglary While in Possession of a Firearm, Conspiracy to Commit Robbery, Robbery With Use of a Deadly Weapon, and Possession of a Firearm by an Ex-Felon. On March 11, 2010, pursuant to a written Guilty Plea Agreement, Defendant pled guilty to the same charges.
- 3. On May 10, 2010, Defendant was adjudged a Habitual Criminal and sentenced as follows: as to Count 1 Burglary While in Possession of a Firearm, to a MAXIMUM of SIXTY (60) MONTHS with a MINIMUM parole eligibility of TWENTY-FOUR (24) MONTHS; as to Count 2 Conspiracy to Commit Robbery, to MAXIMUM of SIXTY (60) MONTHS with a MINIMUM parole eligibility of TWENTY-FOUR (24) MONTHS; as to Count 3 Robbery with Use of a Deadly Weapon, to a MAXIMUM of TWENTY-FIVE (25) YEARS with a MINIMUM parole eligibility of TEN (10) YEARS, Count 3 to run CONSECUTIVE to Count 1; as to Count 4 Possession of a Firearm by an Ex-Felon, to a MAXIMUM of SIXTY (60) MONTHS with a MINIMUM parole eligibility of TWENTY-FOUR (24) MONTHS, Count 4 to run CONCURRENT with Count 2, with EIGHTY-EIGHT (88) DAYS credit for time served.
 - 4. A Judgment of Conviction was filed on May 19, 2010.
 - 5. Defendant did not file a Direct Appeal.
 - 6. Defendant filed the instant petition on January 27, 2011.
 - 7. Defendant's counsel rendered effective assistance.
- 8. Defendant stipulated to habitual criminal treatment as part of his plea agreement.
- 9. Defendant has failed to provide any evidence supporting his claim that two out of seven of his previous felony convictions which were the basis for his treatment as a

¹ Due to clerical errors, an Amended Judgment of Conviction was filed on September 24, 2010.

28 | ///

habitual criminal were constitutionally infirm.

- 10. Even if counsel had successfully challenged two of Defendant's previous convictions as constitutionally infirm, five would have remained for the court to consider. Defendant therefore cannot demonstrate prejudice.
- 11. Since Defendant stipulated to treatment as a habitual criminal, any arguments by counsel against such treatment at sentencing would have been futile. Counsel cannot be deemed ineffective for failing to make futile arguments.
- 12. Defendant's bare allegation that his counsel failed to make mitigation arguments at sentencing is insufficient for relief.
- 13. The totality of the circumstances, including the relevant portions of Defendant's GPA, the plea canvass, and taking into account the presence of counsel, demonstrates Defendant's guilty plea was entered knowingly and voluntarily.
- 14. Defendant's claim that he was improperly sentenced as a habitual criminal is not cognizable in a petition for post-conviction relief since Defendant's conviction was based upon a plea of guilty.
- 15. Defendant has failed to provide evidence for consideration which supports the grounds alleged.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. In order to assert a claim for ineffective assistance of counsel a defendant must prove that he was denied "reasonably effective assistance" of counsel by satisfying the two-prong test of Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S. 668, 686-87, 104 S.Ct. 2052, 2063-64 (1984). See also State v. Love, 109 Nev. 1136, 1138, 865 P.2d 322, 323 (1993). Under this test, the Defendant must show first that his counsel's representation fell below an objective standard of reasonableness, and second, that but for counsel's errors, there is a reasonable probability that the result of the proceedings would have been different. Strickland, 466 U.S. at 687-88, 694, 104 S.Ct. at 2065, 2068; Warden, Nevada State Prison v. Lyons, 100 Nev. 430, 432, 683 P.2d 504, 505 (1984) (adopting Strickland two-part test in Nevada).

- 2. The court begins with the presumption of effectiveness and then must determine whether or not the petitioner has proved disputed factual allegations underlying his ineffective-assistance claim by a preponderance of the evidence. Means v. State, 120 Nev. 1001, 1012, 103 P.3d 25, 33 (2004).
- 3. Judicial review of a lawyer's representation is highly deferential, and a defendant must overcome the presumption that a challenged action might be considered sound strategy. State v. LaPena, 114 Nev. 1159, 1166, 968 P.2d 750, 754 (1998) (quoting from Strickland, 466 U.S. at 689, 104 S.Ct at 2052 (1984)). Strategy or decisions regarding the conduct of defendant's case are "virtually unchallengeable absent extraordinary circumstances." Doleman v. State, 112 Nev. 843, 848, 921 P.2d 278, 280 (1996) (quoting Howard v. State, 106 Nev. 713, 722, 800P.2d 175, 180 (1990)).
- 4. Pursuant to NRS 176.165, after sentencing, a defendant's guilty plea can only be withdrawn to correct "manifest injustice." See also Baal v. State, 106 Nev. 69, 72, 787 P.2d 391, 394 (1990). The law in Nevada clearly establishes that a plea of guilty is presumptively valid and the burden is on a defendant to show that the plea was not voluntarily entered. Bryant v. State, 102 Nev. 268, 272, 721 P.2d 364, 368 (1986) (citing Wingfield v. State, 91 Nev. 336, 337, 535 P.2d 1295, 1295 (1975)). Manifest injustice does not exist if defendant entered his plea voluntarily. Baal, 106 Nev. at 72, 787 P.2d at 394. To determine whether a guilty plea was voluntarily entered the Court will review the totality of the circumstances surrounding the defendant's plea. Bryant, 102 Nev. at 271, 721 P.2d at 367.
 - 5. NRS 34.810(1)(a) states in relevant part:
 - 1. The court shall dismiss a petition if the court determines that:
 - (a) The petitioner's conviction was upon a plea of guilty or guilty but mentally ill and the petition is not based upon an allegation that the plea was involuntarily or unknowingly entered or that the plea was entered without effective assistance of counsel.

ORDER THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) shall be, and it is, hereby denied. DATED this MAY 1 9 2011 of May, 2011. DISTRICT JUDGE DAVID ROGER DISTRICT ATTORNEY Nevada Bar #002781 BY ROY L. NELSON, III. Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #007842

10F02742B/GCU: ts/RN/ckb

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross M	lisdemeanor	COURT MINUTES	March 11, 2010
10C262523-2	State of Ne vs Cary Picke		
March 11, 2010	10:30 AM	Initial Arraignment	INITIAL ARRAIGNMENT Court Clerk: Karina Kennedy/klk/Sylvia Courtney Reporter/Recorder: Kiara Schmidt Heard By: Kevin Williams
HEARD BY:		COU	RTROOM:
COURT CLERE	Κ :		
RECORDER:			
REPORTER:			
PARTIES PRESENT:	Almase, Caesar Pickett, Cary J Robinson, Lynn	Defendant	

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- AS TO DEFT DANIELS: Deft present and in custody. NEGOTIATIONS are as contained in the Guilty Plea Agreement FILED IN OPEN COURT. DEFT. DANIELS ARRAIGNED AND PLED GUILTY TO CT-1-BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A FIREARM (F), CT-2-CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY (F), CT-3-ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (F) and CT-4-POSSESSION OF FIREARM BY EX-FELON (F). Court ACCEPTED plea and, ORDERED, matter referred to the Division of Parole and Probation (P&P) and set for SENTENCING.

AS TO DEFT PICKETT: Deft present and in custody. NEGOTIATIONS are as contained in the Guilty Plea Agreement FILED IN OPEN COURT. DEFT. PICKETT ARRAIGNED AND PLED GUILTY TO CT-1-BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A FIREARM (F), CT-2-CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT

PRINT DATE: 06/17/2011 Page 1 of 6 Minutes Date: March 11, 2010

10C262523-2

ROBBERY (F), CT-3-ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (F) and CT-4-POSSESSION OF FIREARM BY EX-FELON (F). Court ACCEPTED plea and, ORDERED, matter referred to the Division of Parole and Probation (P&P) and set for SENTENCING. CUSTODY(BOTH)

05.10.10 8:15 A.M. SENTENCING (DEPT 18)(BOTH)

PRINT DATE: 06/17/2011 Page 2 of 6 Minutes Date: March 11, 2010

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Mis	sdemeanor	COURT MINUTES	May 10, 2010
10C262523-2	State of N vs		
	Cary Picl	Kett	
May 10, 2010	8:15 AM	Sentencing	SENTENCING Court Clerk: Sharon Chun Reporter/Recorder: Richard Kangas Heard By: David Barker

HEARD BY: Barker, David **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 11B

COURT CLERK: Sharon Chun

RECORDER: Richard Kangas

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Almase, Caesar V. Attorney

Nelson III, Roy L. Attorney Pickett, Cary J Defendant

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- The State lodged seven (7) certified copies of Deft Pickett's prior Judgments of Convictions in support of their request for Habitual Criminal enhancement. Exhibits were marked as State's Exhibits and admitted. COURT FOUND documents to be accurate and sufficient to support and FOUND DEFT PICKETT AN HABITUAL CRIMINAL pursuant to NRS 207.010.

DEFT. PICKETT ADJUDGED GUILTY of COUNT 1 - BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A FIREARM (F); COUNT 2 - CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT ROBBERY (F); COUNT 3 - ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (F); AND COUNT 4 - POSSESSION OF FIREARM BY EX-FELON (F).

In addition to the \$25.00 Administrative Assessment fee, COURT ORDERED, Deft Pickett to PAY RESTITUTION in the AMOUNT of \$11,948.60 JOINTLY and SEVERALLY with co-deft, an

PRINT DATE: 06/17/2011 Page 3 of 6 Minutes Date: March 11, 2010

10C262523-2

INDIVIDUAL RESTITUTION of \$1,550.00, and SENTENCED, as follows:

COUNT 1 - A MAXIMUM of SIXTY (60) MONTHS and a MINIMUM of TWENTY-FOUR (24) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC);

COUNT 2 - A MAXIMUM of SIXTY (60) MONTHS and a MINIMUM of TWENTY-FOUR (24) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC);

COUNT 3 - Habitual Criminal Enhancement with a MAXIMUM term of TWENTY-FIVE (25) YEARS and a MINIMUM of TEN (10) YEARS in the NV Dept of Corrections, SENTENCE CONSECUTIVE TO COUNT 1;

COUNT 4 - A MAXIMUM of SIXTY (60) MONTHS and a MINIMUM of TWENTY-FOUR (24) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC), CONCURRENT TO COUNT 2.

COURT REITERATED that COUNTS 1 AND 3 ARE CONSECUTIVE and COUNTS 2 and 4 ARE CONCURRENT, with 88 DAYS CREDIT for time served.

COURT FURTHER ORDERED, DNA fee and testing WAIVED, having been previously submitted.

If bond, exonerated.

9/27/10-MINUTES CORRECTED TO REFLECT CORRECT DEFT'S NAME, AND THE SENTENCING MINUTE ORDER OF DEFT PICKETT HAS NOW BEEN SEPARATED FROM THE SENTENCING MINUTE ORDER OF DEFT DANIELS. /SSC

PRINT DATE: 06/17/2011 Page 4 of 6 Minutes Date: March 11, 2010

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor COURT MINUTES January 19, 2011

10C262523-2 State of Nevada
vs
Cary Pickett

January 19, 2011 8:15 AM Motion to Withdraw as Counsel

HEARD BY: Barker, David COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 11B

COURT CLERK: Roshonda Mayfield

RECORDER: Richard Kangas

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Almase, Caesar V. Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Upon review of the materials provided, COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED pursuant to EDCR 7.40. Further, counsel is to forward a copy of the file to the Defendant and keep record of the contact.

NDC

CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this minute order has been mailed to: #57591 P.O. Box 650 Indian Springs, Nevada 89070. (rm 1/20/11)

PRINT DATE: 06/17/2011 Page 5 of 6 Minutes Date: March 11, 2010

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor COURT MINUTES April 06, 2011

10C262523-2 State of Nevada vs Cary Pickett

April 06, 2011 8:15 AM Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus

HEARD BY: Barker, David **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 11B

COURT CLERK: Roshonda Mayfield

RECORDER: Richard Kangas

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT: Graham, Stephanie Attorney

State of Nevada Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Court advised, the Defendant has filed a post conviction relief action under 4 grounds. Following review of the matter, COURT ORDERED, petition DENIED as there has been no supportive evidence provided for consideration.

NDC

CLERK'S NOTE: A copy of this minute order has been mailed to the Defendant at: High Desert State Prison #57591 P.O. BOX 650 Indian Springs, Nevada 89070. (rm 4/6/11)

PRINT DATE: 06/17/2011 Page 6 of 6 Minutes Date: March 11, 2010

EXHIBIT LIST

Sentancing 5-10-10 TRIAL OR HEARING DATE_____

Case No. (262523	Clerk: Sharon Chun
Dept. XVIII Judge: DAVID BARKER	Recorder: Richard Kangas
Philis: Stale of Nevada	Plif's Counsel: Roy helson
	9
vs	
Delis: Cary Jeraid Pickett	Deft's Counsel: Caesar Clonase
1 0	

EXHIBIT LIST FOR: State -

Exhibit		Date			Date
No.	Description	Offered	Оьј.	Adm.	Admitted
9/	Certified Copy - Foc C226282; 2/21/07	5101	0 -	/	5-10-10
	1, 79	i			
		++-		٠	
2	1 - C 145127, 10/17/97				5-10-1
		+ \		, v	7
		$\perp \perp$			
्र	- C-1424/1 10/-210-			./	5-10-10
-2	- C143146,10/17/97	+			2-10-14
्र्य	0 1:0 -0 7/	 			
 4	- C 119000, 7/28/94			V	5-10-10
		(]
		- 			
°5	- C109725, 12/10/93				5-10-10
		1/-			
6	- C107733X, 5/9/93			V	5-10-10
V	-1 -101175N, 5/9/95	 			3-10-10
	V - C99915X2, 6/24/91			V	5-10-10
100	In Support of Habitual Criminal Enh		1	-,1	7
T:\D	EPT 18\EXHIBIT LIST FORMS-GENERIC.doc	ance	ne		

Page # ______ of _____

Certification of Copy

State of Nevada)	66
County of Clark	٢	SS

I, Steven D. Grierson, the Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct copy of the hereinafter stated original document(s):

NOTICE OF APPEAL; CASE APPEAL STATEMENT; DISTRICT COURT DOCKET ENTRIES; FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER; NOTICE OF ENTRY OF DECISION AND ORDER; DISTRICT COURT MINUTES; EXHIBITS LIST

STATE OF NEVADA,)
Plaintiff(s),) Case No: C262523-2) Dept No: XVIII
vs.)
CARY PICKETT,)
Defendant(s),	}

now on file and of record in this office.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto Set my hand and Affixed the seal of the Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada This 17 day of June 2011.

Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court

Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk