1	IN THE SUPREME COURT	OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
2	D.R. HORTON INC., a Delaware	Supreme Court Case No.: 58533
3	Corporation )	District Court Case No.: A542616
4	Petitioner, )	Department No.: XXII  Electronically Filed
5	vs.	Nov 09 2011 11:51 a.m. Tracie K. Lindeman
6	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT of) the State of Nevada, in and for the	Clerk of Supreme Court
7	COUNTY OF CLARK; and the	
8	HONORABLE SUSAN H. JOHNSON, ) District Judge,	
9	Respondent.	
10	HIGH NOON AT ARLINGTON RANCH )	i F
11	HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION, a Nevada non-profit corporation,	i i
12	Real Party in Interest.	
13		THE THEORY IS A DESCRIPTION OF A NICHT
14 15	HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION'S JOIN	HE HIGH NOON AT ARLINGTON RANCH DER AND LIMITED OPPOSITION TO D.R.
13	HORTON'S EMERGENCY	MOTION UNDER NRAP 27(e)
16	ROBERT C. CARLSON, ESQ.	THE HONORABLE SUSAN JOHNSON
17	Nevada Bar No. 8015 MEGAN K. DORSEY, ESQ.	DEPARTMENT XXII EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
18	Nevada Bar No. 6959 IAN P. GILLAN, ESQ.	OF CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA Regional Justice Center
19	Nevada Bar No. 9034 KOELLER NEBEKER CARLSON	200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89101
20	& HALUCK, LLP	(702) 671-0571
21	300 South Fourth Street, Suite 500 Las Vegas, NV 89101	Respondent
22 23	(702) 853-5500 Attorneys for Petitioner,	
23 24	D.R. HORTON, INC.	
25	///	
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	

1	JOEL ODOU, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 7468
2	WOOD, SMITH, HENNING
3	& BERMAN, LLP 7670 West Lake Mead Blvd., Suite 250
4	Las Vegas, NV 89128
5	(702) 222-0625 Attorneys for Petitioner,
l	D.R. HORTON, INC.
6	
7	PAUL P. TERRY, JR., ESQ.
8	Nevada Bar No. 7192 JOHN STANDER, ESQ.
9	Nevada Bar No. 9198
10	MELISSA BYBEE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8390
11	ANGUIS & TERRY 1120 North Town Center Drive, Suite 260
12	Las Vegas, NV 89144
	(702) 366-1900 Attorneys for Real Party in Interest,
13	HIGH NOON AT ARLINGTON RANCH
14	HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

# 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28

# LIMITED JOINDER AND REPLY TO THE HIGH NOON AT ARLINGTON RANCH HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION'S JOINDER AND LIMITED OPPOSITION TO D.R. HORTON'S EMERGENCY MOTION UNDER NRAP 27(e)

COMES NOWS Petitioner, D.R. HORTON, INC., a Delaware Corporation, by and through its attorneys of records, KOELLER, NEBEKER, CARLSON & HALUCK, LLP, and hereby submits its Limited Joinder and Reply to High Noon at Arlington Ranch Homeowners Association [hereinafter referred to as the "Association"] Joinder and Limited Opposition to D.R. Horton's Emergency Motion Under NRAP 27(e).

DATED this 9<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2011.

Respectfully submitted,

KOELLER NEBEKER CARLSON

& HAZUCK, LLP

BY:

ROBERT C. CARLSON, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 8015

MEGAN K. DORSEY, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 6959

IAN P. GILLAN, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 9034

300 South Fourth Street, Suite 500

Las Vegas, NV 89101

Attorney for Petitioner,

D.R. HORTON, INC.

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

#### I. ARGUMENT

On October 26, 2011 the Association filed its Joinder and Limited Opposition to D.R. Horton's Emergency Motion Under NRAP 27(e) regarding a stay in litigation pending the outcome of D.R. Horton's Petition for Writ of Mandamus, or in the Alternative, Writ of Prohibition in this Court. In its Joinder and Limited Opposition, the Association contends, inter alia, that this matter should be stayed pending the outcome of D.R. Horton's Writ Petition in this case and the Association's Writ Petition filed in this Court, Case No. 58630.

To begin, D.R. Horton does not necessarily oppose that this matter be stayed pending the outcome of both parties' Writ Petitions currently pending with this Court. Both parties will benefit from a full resolution of the Writ Petitions and an understanding of what alleged defects the Association will be allowed to pursue in a representative capacity. However, should this Court resolve D.R. Horton's Writ Petition in such a way which makes the Association's Writ Petition moot then D.R. Horton will respectfully request that the litigation move forward at that time.

In regards to the Association's arguments that it is likely to prevail on both Writ Petitions, D.R. Horton contends that these arguments are without merit. D.R. Horton maintains its position forwarded in its Emergency Motion that it will be successful on its Writ Petition for all the reasons set forth in the Writ Petition itself. As to the Association's Writ Petition, D.R. Horton reiterates all the arguments made in its Answering Brief to the same and reserves any and all rights, arguments and objections in that regard and to the Association's Writ Petition and Reply thereto.

 $/\!/\!/$ 

///

///

///

///

///

Page 4 of 5

120196-1

2

3

1

4

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

### IV. CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, D.R. Horton respectfully requests that this Court grant its Emergency Motion for Stay until such time as the Writ Petitions pending in this matter are resolved and/or become moot.

DATED this 9<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2011.

KOELLER NEBEKER CARLSON & HALUCK, LLP

BY:

ROBERT C. CARLSON, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 8015

MEGAN K. DORSEY, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 6959

IAN P. GILLAN, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 9034

300 South Fourth Street, Suite 500

Las Vegas, NV 89101

Attorney for Petitioner,

D.R. HORTON, INC.

Page 5 of 5

120196-1

1

2

3

23

24

25

26

27

28