

Chair Oceguela:

We need any revisions by the end of the business day on Friday.

Assemblyman Anderson:

I will volunteer to facilitate the discussions.

Chair Oceguela:

You can work with Mr. Anderson. We are closing the hearing on A.B. 496, and opening the hearing on Assembly Bill 524.

Assembly Committee on Commerce and Labor April 11, 2007

Page 39

NRS 604A license, and it expires on December 31, 2007. There is an amendment (Exhibit I) that was handed out today.

Assemblywoman Buckley:

The amendment changes a few provisions. It clarifies the applicability that all loans, even if additional fees are added that charge more than 40 percent, are subject to NRS 604A. It clarifies the initial loan term, and that the provisions of the bill apply. Lenders are required to enter into re-payment plans before they assign or sell debts to debt collectors. It governs roll-over amounts in paragraphs 7 and 8. It clarifies provisions on title loans to ensure that the principal is being paid down during extension periods. There are some other technical changes. I worked with the people who support reasonable regulation of the industry. The high-interest lenders who were in opposition still oppose this bill with the amendments. In my opinion, they will not be satisfied unless they are allowed to charge anywhere from 300 to 900 percent interest for a prolonged period of time. I believe that practice is abusive, and I would never agree to support such a provision.

Chair Ocegueda:

Are there any questions, concerns, or comments? Seeing none, I will accept a motion.

ASSEMBLYMAN CONKLIN MOVED TO AMEND AND DO PASS AS
AMENDED ASSEMBLY BILL 478 WITH MS. BUCKLEY'S AMENDMENT.

ASSEMBLYMAN MANENDO SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, I will take the vote.

THE MOTION PASSED. (ASSEMBLYMEN ANDERSON AND PARKS WERE
ABSENT FOR THE VOTE.)

Ms. Buckley will take the bill to the Floor. I am opening the hearing on Assembly Bill 496.

Assembly Bill 496: Makes various changes concerning workers' compensation. (BDR 53-897)

Dave Ziegler:

This bill addresses workers' compensation benefits, and it was introduced by this Committee. When an employer learns of an accident, the employee must submit to or ask to receive an examination to determine the extent of his injury. Assembly Committee on Commerce and Labor April 11, 2007 Page 40

This bill requires the employer to furnish the names of two or more physicians or chiropractors to the employee. The employer may not require the employee to select a particular physician or chiropractor. Also, the employer may not require the employee to disclose, or allow the disclosure of any information, on his physical condition that is not directly related to the injury.

This bill provides that a hearing officer must deem the failure of an insurer to respond to a written request for a written determination within 30 days to be acceptance of the claim. It also addresses occupational diseases. It requires an insurer to accept or deny responsibility for payment to a claimant by certified or registered mail. It makes the insurer responsible for determining and using the correct mailing address. Also, the bill provides that the requirements under which an occupational disease is deemed to arise out of or in the course of employment do not apply to certain claims. The claims are listed in your Work Session Documents. On April 9, 2007, the Committee received the proposed amendments, which are attached (Exhibit G).

Chair Ocegüera:

These amendments represent a compromise on all but one issue.

Rusty McAllister, representing the Professional Firefighters of Nevada:

That is correct. We met Monday and agreed to all the provisions that are before you, except one. The exception is under Section 2, subsection 3 (b). The part in question is the 30 day automatic acceptance of the claim. Since that time, we have met with the representatives of the insurance industry. They still do not want to agree to that provision in the bill, but they presented an alternative that is acceptable to us. The alternative would say, "all claims" not just the claims under NRS 617 for workers' compensation. On the initial acceptance or denial of the claim, the insurer would need to respond to the employee or the injured worker with a certificate of mailing. The certificate is available at the post office. That would provide some record that the claim was mailed. This provision has not been agreed to by all of the insurers. Currently, we have a tentative agreement to remove the provision in question from the bill if all of the insurers are willing to move forward with the alternative, which is the certificate of mailing.

Robert A. Ostrovsky, representing the Nevada Lenders Association:

Mr. McAllister's description of the agreement is correct. We offered a compromise on that section which the company I represent is agreeable to. The entire insurance industry has not had time to respond to the suggested alternative language. I have met with all of the members of labor and industry representatives about all the workers' compensation bills at the instruction of Speaker Buckley. We do not have much agreement on all the other bills that are Assembly Committee on Commerce and Labor

April 11, 2007 Page 41

going to be heard by this Committee. It is difficult for the insurance industry to agree to one bill and not have resolution on all the bills.

Assemblyman Settelmeyer:

There was a lot of discussion about the address, and the feeling that the insurance company was not the proper entity to be responsible for maintaining the employee's current address. The responsibility should be with the employee. Has that problem been worked out?

Rusty McAllister:

We agreed on some new language that would say if an employee changes his address it would be the responsibility of the employee or the employer to contact the insurance company. The compromise on accepting this new language was that the address used on the initial acceptance or denial of the claim, which is the C-4 form, is the address that will be used, unless the insurer is notified in writing by the injured worker of a new address.

Assemblyman Settelmeyer:

So, there is agreement by all parties that the address issue is resolved.

Robert Ostrovsky:

Yes, that has been resolved. The statutory language would be left as it is now, and has been in the past. The insurer would use the address on the C-4 form, unless otherwise notified in writing by the employee.

Chair Ocegura:

Are there further questions? We will hold A.B. 496 at this point. I am opening the hearing on Assembly Bill 560.

Senate Committee on Commerce and Labor May 11, 2007
Page 8

MR. ROSS:

We have a mix of companies from every industry in the state, including gaming. In this particular case, we are more interested in the philosophical basis of the bill. This is about public policy, rather than in response to issues raised by one member.

PATRICK T. SANDERSON (Laborers' International Union Local No. 872):

Federal law says where the union can spend its money. We are not allowed to use strike funds in the case of a lockout. I would also ask you to think about the repercussions of lockouts on the families, who do not have an opportunity to influence either a strike or a lockout. Since the money for unemployment insurance comes from employers, this bill would serve as a check-and-balance system; employers would have to decide whether it was worth it to do a lockout. If employees are locked out for a long time, they end up losing their homes and vehicles. I ask you to vote for this bill.

CHAIR TOWNSEND:

Mr. Young, I do not see a fiscal note in connection with this bill. Please contact the Fiscal Division and find out if anyone has asked for a fiscal note for this bill.

I will close the hearing on A.B. 494 and open the hearing on A.B. 496.

ASSEMBLY BILL 496 (1st Reprint): Makes various changes concerning workers' compensation. (BDR 53-897)

RUSTY MCALLISTER (Professional Firefighters of Nevada):

This bill, A.B. 496, was sponsored by the Assembly Committee on Commerce and Labor on our behalf. We do not feel all insurers fall into the same category as the bad actors this bill is designed to catch. Unfortunately, we have seen time and time again that insurers find loopholes within the workers' compensation system. This bill is an effort to try to close some loopholes that one insurer has found and exploited repeatedly.

I will walk you through the bill. Current law states that employers must provide a list of one or more preferred physicians to injured employees. Section 1 of the bill changes this to two or more physicians. We have increasingly found that one insurer is providing a list of doctors in which all but one of the names is crossed off. What we have found is that if a doctor does not agree with this Senate Committee on Commerce and Labor May 11, 2007 Page 9

insurer, that doctor's name is crossed off the list, leaving our members with only one doctor to see.

Section 1, subsection 2, refers to the amount of medical history insurers can get on an employee. Under existing law, there is provision to seek information about an employee's injury. However, this history should be in line with the actual injury. We have found that the one insurer is going back 40 years in the employee's history to investigate a heart-lung claim, for example, in an effort to find something to allow them to deny the claim. The addition here on page 2, line 23, refers back to existing law. This does not change anything; it simply makes it known how much history insurers can ask for.

Sections 1.5 and 3 cover another problem we have encountered with the one insurer. Current law states that when an employee files a workers' compensation claim, the insurer has 30 days to accept or deny the claim. If they do not respond, the claim is automatically denied. The employee is depending on getting a letter informing them of the denial, but the insurer does not necessarily have to send it within 30 days. Again, what we have found is that one insurer has been writing a letter denying the claim and putting it in the file without mailing it. If challenged, the insurer claims the letter was mailed, and there is no way to prove or disprove it. This means the employee does not know his claim has been denied until the deadline for appealing the denial has passed. Section 1.5 of the bill would require the insurer to purchase a Certificate of Mailing, which costs 95 cents, from the U.S. Postal Service when mailing a claim denial. This proves the letter of denial was mailed and when.

I have been working with representatives from the insurance industry to craft language they can accept. I have since become aware that there may be problems for the rural counties in the provision in section 1, since in some areas there may only be one physician available. I am certainly willing to try to fix this language if they would like. In Clark and Washoe Counties, there are at least two doctors in most aspects of medicine we would need.

CHAIR TOWNSEND:

I do not want to know the name of the insurer you are referring to, but I would like to know if the entity that employs the injured workers involved is self-insured and a municipality. Senate Committee on Commerce and Labor May 11, 2007 Page 10

MR. MCALLISTER:

Yes, and yes.

MR. OSTROVSKY:

We worked with Mr. McAllister on the language, and it is agreeable to us. We had raised the issue of possible problems with section 1 for the rural areas. I will work with the parties to find some language to solve that.

MR. ROSS:

We have some problems with this bill. First, the question is whether an issue that is confined to one offender should result in a law that affects all insurers. One might wonder why this problem is not being taken care of by the governing body for whom the affected employees work, particularly given that it is a major problem for some of the most valued and important employees of that community. Second, if this were a case of an environmental violation, a public-interest law group would go to court and get an injunction; the judge would tell the insurer to do what it was supposed to do or be sanctioned severely. I wonder why all the industry needs to be hit with a law because of one narrow problem.

I compliment the advocate of this bill; he has worked hard to accommodate everyone's concerns while still accomplishing his goals. But there is still one problem, and that has to do with the Certified Mail requirement. We understand why he wants that, but let me give you an example of why it is a problem. One of our members can send out 75 or 100 acceptances a day. If this bill is enacted, someone will have to go to the post office every day and fill out 75 or 100 forms, and they will have to pay 95 cents for each one of them. It is not the end of the world, I grant you, but it is an expenditure of several hours and close to \$100 every day. It is an example of the kind of unintended consequence of a legislative solution to a narrow problem that brings in everybody else who is not a party to the original problem.

CHAIR TOWNSEND:

Is the bad actor in this case under the jurisdiction of the Division of Industrial Relations, Department of Business and Industry? If so, was the Division not responsive to your concerns? Senate Committee on Commerce and Labor May 11, 2007 Page 11

MR. MCALLISTER:

After the Assembly hearings on this bill, I spoke with Mr. Verre and was told that this is a loophole in the law. I asked representatives of the insurance industry if they had another solution. This was the cheapest way we could find to close that loophole. The 95-cent Certificate of Mailing is not the same as Certified Mail, and we limited it only to the initial acceptance or denial of a claim.

CHAIR TOWNSEND:

That is certainly a violation of the spirit of the law, if not of the letter of the law. I just want to make sure all the processes have been vetted so we have the correct answer to the problem.

MR. MCALLISTER:

We did that. Our original solution to the problem was to say that if a letter of acceptance or denial was not received within 30 days, the claim was automatically accepted. The Certificate of Mailing was a compromise.

CHAIR TOWNSEND:

Mr. Verre, are you saying the law is written so that the Division does not have the authority to sanction someone who does not follow these simple rules?

MR. VERRE:

If we would get a complaint in a situation like that, we would look at the claim file and find a letter telling the claimant his claim was denied or accepted. Without a receipt, we would have no way of telling if the letter was actually sent. We would then respond to the complaint with the finding that the law had been complied with. Some insurers start payment without sending a letter, and we have had a few complaints in that regard.

MR. MCALLISTER:

Perhaps if we required the Certificate of Mailing only when a claim is denied, that would make this a more livable requirement. The vast majority of claims are accepted. It is only the denials that are a problem, since a person has to start the appeal process within a certain time.

BARBARA GRUENEWALD (Nevada Trial Lawyers Association):

To answer your question about whether the law allows this insurer to behave in this manner, yes, it does. This is truly a loophole. There is a general section of Senate Committee on Commerce and Labor May 11, 2007 Page 12

the law that says when you have a copy of a letter and say that it has been mailed, it is presumed that it was mailed. There is no way for me as an attorney to get around that other than my client saying the letter was not received, and there is nothing in the law right now providing for that defense. As Mr. Verre said, everyone is at a loss to prove whether the claimant actually received the letter.

I also wanted to address Mr. Ross's comment about punishing everyone for one insurer's acts. I am hearing from colleagues in Las Vegas who handle workers' compensation cases that this is happening a lot, and not just with one insurer. If this insurer is allowed to do this and get away with it, it will spread and become an industry-wide standard, particularly involving claimants without attorneys.

CHAIR TOWNSEND:

What percentage of those cases you have heard of are self-insured, and what percentage are municipalities?

MS. GRUENEWALD:

I do not know.

NANCYANN LEEDER (Nevada Attorney for Injured Workers, Department of Business and Industry):

We support this bill. Our experience is that it is not a one-entity problem. This provision would avoid the "he-said-she-said" situation that takes litigation forward. It would also correct the problem of simple negligence; you would know if the letter was actually sent, as opposed to it should have been sent and was not.

MR. THOMPSON:

We support this bill. I do not think it is too much to ask that there be some mechanism that verifies that these letters were mailed. We heard stories in the Assembly of letters repeatedly sent to the wrong zip code and so on. This is a simple fix. It might be a slight inconvenience, but it is not too much to ask to ensure injured workers get their rights under the law.

MS. BELZ:

We worked with Mr. McAllister and Ms. Gruenewald on this bill. We agree that section 1 might be too restrictive for the rural counties. With regard to the Senate Committee on Commerce and Labor May 11, 2007 Page 13

Certificate of Mailing, if this is more widespread than just one insurer, we might want to add a provision that the Division do some kind of reporting on who is not complying and report back to you. It is frustrating when the good actors are at the table and the bad actors are still continuing to do what they do.

CHAIR TOWNSEND:

Mr. Verre, do you have any statistics on this?

MR. VERRE:

I have no specific statistics, no. I can say anecdotally that we have received more complaints on self-insured employers than on others. I do not know exactly how we would keep track of someone who was behaving in this matter.

ROSE E. MCKINNEY-JAMES (Clark County School District):

In its original form, this measure created some significant issues for us. We are pleased with the efforts of the proponents of the bill to adjust it so it is more acceptable to us. We are a self-insured entity representing over 17,000 employees. We believe this is a reasonable accommodation. We support this bill in its amended form.

MR. MILLIKEN:

We agree with the comments made by Mr. Ross.

JIM FRY (Risk Management Division, Department of Administration):

Section 4, subsection 5, lists certain statutes, and you might think they are all conclusively presumed, but they are not. *Nevada Revised Statute* (NRS) 617.453 refers to cancer and is a rebuttable presumption; NRS 617.481 refers to contagious disease and is not even a presumption; NRS 617.485 refers to hepatitis and applies to firefighters and all peace officers except state peace officers. This makes me ask why NRS 617.487 is not in this list.

CHAIR TOWNSEND:

I will close the hearing on A.B. 496 and open the work session on A.B. 207.

Senate Committee on Commerce and Labor May 11, 2007
Page 8

MR. ROSS:

We have a mix of companies from every industry in the state, including gaming. In this particular case, we are more interested in the philosophical basis of the bill. This is about public policy, rather than in response to issues raised by one member.

PATRICK T. SANDERSON (Laborers' International Union Local No. 872):

Federal law says where the union can spend its money. We are not allowed to use strike funds in the case of a lockout. I would also ask you to think about the repercussions of lockouts on the families, who do not have an opportunity to influence either a strike or a lockout. Since the money for unemployment insurance comes from employers, this bill would serve as a check-and-balance system; employers would have to decide whether it was worth it to do a lockout. If employees are locked out for a long time, they end up losing their homes and vehicles. I ask you to vote for this bill.

CHAIR TOWNSEND:

Mr. Young, I do not see a fiscal note in connection with this bill. Please contact the Fiscal Division and find out if anyone has asked for a fiscal note for this bill.

I will close the hearing on A.B. 494 and open the hearing on A.B. 496.

ASSEMBLY BILL 496 (1st Reprint): Makes various changes concerning workers' compensation. (BDR 53-897)

RUSTY MCALLISTER (Professional Firefighters of Nevada):

This bill, A.B. 496, was sponsored by the Assembly Committee on Commerce and Labor on our behalf. We do not feel all insurers fall into the same category as the bad actors this bill is designed to catch. Unfortunately, we have seen time and time again that insurers find loopholes within the workers' compensation system. This bill is an effort to try to close some loopholes that one insurer has found and exploited repeatedly.

I will walk you through the bill. Current law states that employers must provide a list of one or more preferred physicians to injured employees. Section 1 of the bill changes this to two or more physicians. We have increasingly found that one insurer is providing a list of doctors in which all but one of the names is crossed off. What we have found is that if a doctor does not agree with this Senate Committee on Commerce and Labor May 11, 2007 Page 9

insurer, that doctor's name is crossed off the list, leaving our members with only one doctor to see.

Section 1, subsection 2, refers to the amount of medical history insurers can get on an employee. Under existing law, there is provision to seek information about an employee's injury. However, this history should be in line with the actual injury. We have found that the one insurer is going back 40 years in the employee's history to investigate a heart-lung claim, for example, in an effort to find something to allow them to deny the claim. The addition here on page 2, line 23, refers back to existing law. This does not change anything; it simply makes it known how much history insurers can ask for.

Sections 1.5 and 3 cover another problem we have encountered with the one insurer. Current law states that when an employee files a workers' compensation claim, the insurer has 30 days to accept or deny the claim. If they do not respond, the claim is automatically denied. The employee is depending on getting a letter informing them of the denial, but the insurer does not necessarily have to send it within 30 days. Again, what we have found is that one insurer has been writing a letter denying the claim and putting it in the file without mailing it. If challenged, the insurer claims the letter was mailed, and there is no way to prove or disprove it. This means the employee does not know his claim has been denied until the deadline for appealing the denial has passed. Section 1.5 of the bill would require the insurer to purchase a Certificate of Mailing, which costs 95 cents, from the U.S. Postal Service when mailing a claim denial. This proves the letter of denial was mailed and when.

I have been working with representatives from the insurance industry to craft language they can accept. I have since become aware that there may be problems for the rural counties in the provision in section 1, since in some areas there may only be one physician available. I am certainly willing to try to fix this language if they would like. In Clark and Washoe Counties, there are at least two doctors in most aspects of medicine we would need.

CHAIR TOWNSEND:

I do not want to know the name of the insurer you are referring to, but I would like to know if the entity that employs the injured workers involved is self-insured and a municipality. Senate Committee on Commerce and Labor May 11, 2007 Page 10

MR. MCALLISTER:

Yes, and yes.

MR. OSTROVSKY:

We worked with Mr. McAllister on the language, and it is agreeable to us. We had raised the issue of possible problems with section 1 for the rural areas. I will work with the parties to find some language to solve that.

MR. ROSS:

We have some problems with this bill. First, the question is whether an issue that is confined to one offender should result in a law that affects all insurers. One might wonder why this problem is not being taken care of by the governing body for whom the affected employees work, particularly given that it is a major problem for some of the most valued and important employees of that community. Second, if this were a case of an environmental violation, a public-interest law group would go to court and get an injunction; the judge would tell the insurer to do what it was supposed to do or be sanctioned severely. I wonder why all the industry needs to be hit with a law because of one narrow problem.

I compliment the advocate of this bill; he has worked hard to accommodate everyone's concerns while still accomplishing his goals. But there is still one problem, and that has to do with the Certified Mail requirement. We understand why he wants that, but let me give you an example of why it is a problem. One of our members can send out 75 or 100 acceptances a day. If this bill is enacted, someone will have to go to the post office every day and fill out 75 or 100 forms, and they will have to pay 95 cents for each one of them. It is not the end of the world, I grant you, but it is an expenditure of several hours and close to \$100 every day. It is an example of the kind of unintended consequence of a legislative solution to a narrow problem that brings in everybody else who is not a party to the original problem.

CHAIR TOWNSEND:

Is the bad actor in this case under the jurisdiction of the Division of Industrial Relations, Department of Business and Industry? If so, was the Division not responsive to your concerns? Senate Committee on Commerce and Labor May 11, 2007 Page 11

MR. MCALLISTER:

After the Assembly hearings on this bill, I spoke with Mr. Verre and was told that this is a loophole in the law. I asked representatives of the insurance industry if they had another solution. This was the cheapest way we could find to close that loophole. The 95-cent Certificate of Mailing is not the same as Certified Mail, and we limited it only to the initial acceptance or denial of a claim.

CHAIR TOWNSEND:

That is certainly a violation of the spirit of the law, if not of the letter of the law. I just want to make sure all the processes have been vetted so we have the correct answer to the problem.

MR. MCALLISTER:

We did that. Our original solution to the problem was to say that if a letter of acceptance or denial was not received within 30 days, the claim was automatically accepted. The Certificate of Mailing was a compromise.

CHAIR TOWNSEND:

Mr. Verre, are you saying the law is written so that the Division does not have the authority to sanction someone who does not follow these simple rules?

MR. VERRE:

If we would get a complaint in a situation like that, we would look at the claim file and find a letter telling the claimant his claim was denied or accepted. Without a receipt, we would have no way of telling if the letter was actually sent. We would then respond to the complaint with the finding that the law had been complied with. Some insurers start payment without sending a letter, and we have had a few complaints in that regard.

MR. MCALLISTER:

Perhaps if we required the Certificate of Mailing only when a claim is denied, that would make this a more livable requirement. The vast majority of claims are accepted. It is only the denials that are a problem, since a person has to start the appeal process within a certain time.

BARBARA GRUENEWALD (Nevada Trial Lawyers Association):

To answer your question about whether the law allows this insurer to behave in this manner, yes, it does. This is truly a loophole. There is a general section of Senate Committee on Commerce and Labor May 11, 2007 Page 12

the law that says when you have a copy of a letter and say that it has been mailed, it is presumed that it was mailed. There is no way for me as an attorney to get around that other than my client saying the letter was not received, and there is nothing in the law right now providing for that defense. As Mr. Verre said, everyone is at a loss to prove whether the claimant actually received the letter.

I also wanted to address Mr. Ross's comment about punishing everyone for one insurer's acts. I am hearing from colleagues in Las Vegas who handle workers' compensation cases that this is happening a lot, and not just with one insurer. If this insurer is allowed to do this and get away with it, it will spread and become an industry-wide standard, particularly involving claimants without attorneys.

CHAIR TOWNSEND:

What percentage of those cases you have heard of are self-insured, and what percentage are municipalities?

MS. GRUENEWALD:

I do not know.

NANCYANN LEEDER (Nevada Attorney for Injured Workers, Department of Business and Industry):

We support this bill. Our experience is that it is not a one-entity problem. This provision would avoid the "he-said-she-said" situation that takes litigation forward. It would also correct the problem of simple negligence; you would know if the letter was actually sent, as opposed to it should have been sent and was not.

MR. THOMPSON:

We support this bill. I do not think it is too much to ask that there be some mechanism that verifies that these letters were mailed. We heard stories in the Assembly of letters repeatedly sent to the wrong zip code and so on. This is a simple fix. It might be a slight inconvenience, but it is not too much to ask to ensure injured workers get their rights under the law.

MS. BELZ:

We worked with Mr. McAllister and Ms. Gruenewald on this bill. We agree that section 1 might be too restrictive for the rural counties. With regard to the Senate Committee on Commerce and Labor May 11, 2007 Page 13

Certificate of Mailing, if this is more widespread than just one insurer, we might want to add a provision that the Division do some kind of reporting on who is not complying and report back to you. It is frustrating when the good actors are at the table and the bad actors are still continuing to do what they do.

CHAIR TOWNSEND:

Mr. Verre, do you have any statistics on this?

MR. VERRE:

I have no specific statistics, no. I can say anecdotally that we have received more complaints on self-insured employers than on others. I do not know exactly how we would keep track of someone who was behaving in this matter.

ROSE E. MCKINNEY-JAMES (Clark County School District):

In its original form, this measure created some significant issues for us. We are pleased with the efforts of the proponents of the bill to adjust it so it is more acceptable to us. We are a self-insured entity representing over 17,000 employees. We believe this is a reasonable accommodation. We support this bill in its amended form.

MR. MILLIKEN:

We agree with the comments made by Mr. Ross.

JIM FRY (Risk Management Division, Department of Administration):

Section 4, subsection 5, lists certain statutes, and you might think they are all conclusively presumed, but they are not. *Nevada Revised Statute* (NRS) 617.453 refers to cancer and is a rebuttable presumption; NRS 617.481 refers to contagious disease and is not even a presumption; NRS 617.485 refers to hepatitis and applies to firefighters and all peace officers except state peace officers. This makes me ask why NRS 617.487 is not in this list.

CHAIR TOWNSEND:

I will close the hearing on A.B. 496 and open the work session on A.B. 207.

Senate Committee on Commerce and Labor May 16, 2007
Page 4

ASSEMBLY BILL 496 (1st Reprint): Makes various changes concerning workers' compensation. (BDR 53-897)

CHAIR TOWNSEND:

If I remember correctly, we were not concerned with the notification if they were going to receive benefits, but it was important to receive notification if their claim was denied, therefore starting a paper trail.

ROBERT A. OSTROVSKY (Employers Insurance):

The first issue was about the notice provision and I have spoken to the sponsor of the bill. He is willing to accept the requirement of a notice of mailing on all denials of claims. He was not concerned about acceptance letters since they will automatically be receiving their benefits.

The second issue was trying to solve the option of having two doctors to pick from in rural areas when there are not two doctors available. I offered an amendment, but I have not heard back yet. The amendment would set up a new 30-mile rule. If there were not enough doctors within that range, we would not be in violation. You could also do that by county size. I think it is solvable, but I have not had a reply.

CHAIR TOWNSEND:

Can someone contact him so we can move on this bill? Senate Committee on Commerce and Labor May 16, 2007 Page 5

CHAIR TOWNSEND:

Since we have no further bills to work on until amendments have been processed, we will adjourn the Senate Committee on Commerce and Labor at 8:42 a.m.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:

Lori Johnson,

Committee Secretary

APPROVED BY:

Senator Randolph J. Townsend, Chair

DATE:

Senate Committee on Commerce and Labor May 18, 2007 Page 26 CHAIR TOWNSEND:
I will open the work session on A.B. 496.

ASSEMBLY BILL 496 (1st Reprint): Makes various changes concerning workers' compensation. (BDR 53-897)

CHAIR TOWNSEND:

"Mr. McAllister, Mr. Ostrovsky, we have in front of us a proposed amendment (Exhibit F). Senator Heck."

SENATOR HECK:

Thank you, Mr. Chair. The mock-up addresses the concerns that were brought forward when we first heard the bill, with being able to provide a choice of two or more providers while protecting the rurals in a case where there's not two or more providers in a within a certain distance. And it also allows only for the Certificate of Mailing to be required upon a claim denial, not for all claims.

CHAIR TOWNSEND:

"Okay, so section 1 is the area that deals with the physicians. So we're going to use the 30-mile rule, then?"

SENATOR HECK:

"Correct."

CHAIR TOWNSEND:

Okay. And then we go over to page 3, section 1.5, and this was the area in which we have to make sure-if the claim has been denied, obtaining a Certificate, thereby the claimant has an opportunity to exercise his or her rights under the law because they've been notified, as opposed to worrying about whether their check's in the mail. Is that-Mr. Ostrovsky, is that correct?

ROBERT A. OSTROVSKY (Employers Insurance; City of Las Vegas):

For the record, Bob Ostrovsky, Employers Insurance. That is correct, Senator, but we would like to create a record that indicates that "denial" would include a partial denial. There are circumstances under which an insurer accepts a claim but may in fact exclude a portion of the claim. For example, an injury report Senate Committee on Commerce and Labor May 18, 2007 Page 27

may include an injury to a shoulder and a wrist, and they may accept the claim on the shoulder and deny the claim on the wrist. If there is a denial, which is included in a portion of that if a letter of acceptance includes a partial denial, that will also require the proper mailing record. That was the intent of the parties, anyway, I think. So again, so we don't that claimant doesn't miss the trigger for their right to appeal.

CHAIR TOWNSEND:

"Okay. Senator Hardy?"

SENATOR HARDY:

Thank you. Just a point of clarification. I had a bill last session, as you recall, because I had a constituent who's an injured worker in Mesquite, and he was traveling to Henderson for his therapy and treatment. So we changed the law last session to allow them to see somebody within 50 miles. That enabled them outside the State. That enabled him to go from Mesquite to St. George instead of all the way to Henderson, which was about, you know, 120 miles. Does that impact does this impact that?

MR. OSTROVSKY:

"For the record, Bob Ostrovsky, to Chair Townsend, through you to Senator Hardy. No, this has no impact on that. This has nothing to do with it."

SENATOR HARDY:

"Okay. I didn't think so, but I just want to be—"

MR. OSTROVSKY:

"That, I recall, had to do with vocational rehabilitation. This has absolutely nothing this is just the question of whether or not you had to provide two names in a rural area."

SENATOR HARDY:

"Okay. When we started talking about 30 miles and, you know. I just wanted to be sure we're not undoing what we did last session, so I didn't think so, I just wanted to get that on the record. Thank you." Senate Committee on Commerce and Labor May 18, 2007 Page 28

CHAIR TOWNSEND:

Mr. Ostrovsky, maybe Senator Heck, the point Mr. Ostrovsky makes probably changes the amendment and probably should reflect the intent of the Committee, if we may do that by saying, "If the claim has been denied in whole or in part," and that—Then there's no misunderstanding. Would that be fair to state that, Senator Heck, based on that?

SENATOR HECK:

"Yes."

CHAIR TOWNSEND:

That way the claimant does not have a problem, and we're back here two years from now saying, "Well, I got partially denied and I didn't get noticed, and—" You know, none of us want any of that to happen, so would that be helpful?

MR. OSTROVSKY:

"For the record, Bob Ostrovsky. That, Mr. Chairman, would be acceptable to me."

CHAIR TOWNSEND:

"All right."

RUSTY MCALLISTER (Professional Firefighters of Nevada):

"Mr. Chairman?"

CHAIR TOWNSEND:

"Yes."

MR. MCALLISTER:

"For the record, Rusty McAllister representing Professional Firefighters. Mr. Fry from the State Risk Managers Office brought to our attention in the provisions that we—"

CHAIR TOWNSEND:

"He's here. It's okay if you come on up, Mr. Fry. ... Go ahead, Mr. McAllister." Senate Committee on Commerce and Labor May 18, 2007 Page 29

Assembly Committee on Commerce and Labor
~~February 27, 2009~~
February 27, 2009
Page 34

9
9

City of Las Vegas, like many other cities in Nevada, is facing multi-million dollar shortfalls over the next several years. It does not make sense to expand these benefits arbitrarily to yet another classification of employees, adding new liabilities and new financial obligations when there appears to be no scientific evidence that arson investigators have a higher incidence of communicable diseases, heart disease, lung disease, or cancer than the general population. It would not be fiscally responsible to do so.

We are very concerned about the new language in section 4, subsection 3. Our concern is that it erodes the employers' ability to rebut the presumption of benefits when an employee fails to meet the statutory criteria for coverage. A genetic condition such as a congenital heart defect does not overcome the requirements under the presumptive statutes. These benefits represent millions of dollars to cities and counties. In the last few years, insurers have been increasingly reluctant to provide coverage, which leaves the entities to fund them, to the best of their ability, out of revenues and reserves. If an employee does not meet the statutory criteria for coverage, the employer should be legally able to ask that employee to provide evidence that he is entitled to the benefits.

We value all of our employees; however, we believe that expansion of the classification of employees covered under the presumptive benefits would be expensive and not based on scientific evidence.

Chairman Conklin:

Are there any questions from the Committee?

Assemblywoman Kirkpatrick:

Is there a staffing ratio?

Victoria Robinson:

We have fire investigators, and they are already covered under existing statutes.

Assemblywoman Kirkpatrick:

Why are you opposing it?

Victoria Robinson:

I will email you that information.

Samuel P. McMullen, representing Nevada Self Insurers Association, Las Vegas, Nevada:

We represent a lot of the public employers so we want to go on record that we share the concerns about this bill.

0000334

Assembly Committee on Commerce and Labor
February 27, 2009
Page 35

Chairman Conklin:

Are there any questions? There are none. Is there anyone else to testify in opposition? There are none. We will close the hearing on A.B. 173. It is my intention to move this bill next week. We will have a work session next week, so if Committee members have concerns, please get them to me as soon as possible. We will open the meeting for public comment.

Craig Michie, Private Citizen, Henderson, Nevada:

Regarding A.B. 173, I believe that it is very important that the Committee and the employers keep in mind that there are risks with the operations they have. The entitlement of benefits should be the subject of a question: Was there exposure? Exposure is the issue. These thresholds that continue to be raised and seem to be artificial suggest that an individual can have exposure, yet we are not going to provide them with the entitlement to the benefits. This is very problematic. I raise this issue because of the workers at the 9/11 site who were placed in harm's way as the result of exposure who are being denied benefits. As we craft language, entitlement of benefits should be as it relates to exposure.

In regards to A.B. 178, the employers seem to repeat their concern about cost. These employers have been living under a false economy for a long time. Now they are taking advantage of the current economic circumstances, complaining of "poor times," but they have never been held accountable for the full cost of production. A work place injury is a component of the cost of production. It is part of the cost that they are obligated to take. It is part of the risk that employers take in order to obtain the benefit of the profit. They seem to want to dismiss this obligation.

There is a small group responsible for this, so it is important for the Committee to look at what transparency can be brought into this system. Where are the contested claims coming from? Let us identify these employers, specifically self-insured employers who are using the money they would use for premiums to pay for litigation and creating a hostile work environment for filing legitimate injury claims. We need to see the names of employers who continue to bring claims to the Department of Administration. We need to look at the employers who continue to get benefit penalties and administrative fines.

Knowing who they are may be a deterrent. Counties and municipalities need to look at these employers and determine whether or not the employer is going to have the right to continue to expand their presence in a community. Employers who starve out workers are not the kind we need in our communities. This information is not readily available.

Assembly Committee on Commerce and Labor
February 27, 2009
February 27, 2009
Page 36

The last time the DIR appeared here, they were pleased to talk about their recent enforcement activities in regard to benefit penalties. They talked about how they had assessed over 169 benefit penalties and administrative fines over the last fiscal period, which resulted in \$1.3 million of benefit penalties. They failed to say that 85 percent of the benefit penalties and administrative fines were being appealed. We need to get some metrics that are available to all. Hundreds of injured workers in this state have no idea that the Legislature is responsible for what takes place in their injury claim. They do not get representation, they do not know what to do, and their circumstances are intolerable. They flee the environment and make bad choices that cause them to be found incredible or to be denied if they file a Workers' Compensation claim. It all comes about because of a hostile workplace environment. This is not what we want to create for injured workers.

Chair Conklin:

Is there anyone else who wants to provide public testimony at this time? We have a regular meeting on Monday that will last until 4:00 p.m., and we will have a 4:00 p.m. joint hearing on energy stimulus issues. On Wednesday, we will have three bills, and on Friday we will have two bills and a work session.

Meeting adjourned [at 3:24 p.m.].

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:

Earlene Miller
Committee Secretary

APPROVED BY:

Assemblyman Marcus Conklin, Chairman

DATE: _____

0000336

Assembly Committee on Commerce and Labor April 4, 2007 Page 40

Vice Chair Conklin:

Are there any questions?

Corrine Dale, President, Capella Mortgage, representing the Private Lenders Group:

We are in support of this bill and the amendments. A lot of the actions taken by USA Capital were direct violations of existing law. More regular audits of mortgage brokers, and increased staffing in the Mortgage Lending Division would detect violations earlier. I will answer any questions.

Vice Chair Conklin:

Are there questions? Is there anyone else wishing to testify in support of the bill? Is there anyone in opposition or wishing to testify from a neutral position? Seeing none, we will close the hearing on A.B. 375, and I will accept a motion.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN BUCKLEY MOVED TO AMEND AND DO PASS AS AMENDED ASSEMBLY BILL 375.

ASSEMBLYWOMAN GANSERT SECONDED THE MOTION.

Is there any discussion on the motion? Seeing none, we will take the vote.

THE MOTION PASSED. (ASSEMBLYMAN HORNE WAS ABSENT FOR THE VOTE. ASSEMBLYMAN ARBERRY ABSTAINED FROM THE VOTE.)

[Chair Ocegüera presided over the remainder of the hearing.]

Chair Ocegüera: We are opening the hearing on Assembly Bill 496.

Assembly Bill 496: Makes various changes concerning workers' compensation. (BDR 53-897)

Rusty McAllister, representing the Professional Firefighters of Nevada:

This bill was brought forward as a Committee introduction to address a problem we have identified with the workers' compensation system. We know there are good workers' compensation insurers out there. Typically, when this type of legislation is brought forward, it is because there are a few bad "actors" in the industry who cause problems. Enacting legislation is the only way we can address those problems. Ryan Beaman, who identified the problem with the workers' compensation system, will go over the sections of the bill. Assembly Committee on Commerce and Labor April 4, 2007 Page 41

Ryan Beaman, representing the Clark County Firefighters:

I am here today to support A.B. 496 on behalf of firefighters and injured workers in this State. This bill contains three changes to existing workers' compensation statutes. The first change will require a written response to a claim. The written response is to be sent within 30 days of the claim, or the written request for the claim will be deemed to be granted. The law will require the acceptance/denial of the claim to be sent by certified mail. Also, this bill will formally eliminate any requirements to prove the onset of an occupational disease if the claim is being pursued as a disease of the lung [NRS 617.455], the heart [NRS 617.457], caused by cancer [NRS 617.453], caused by hepatitis [NRS 617.485], or any other contagious disease pursuant to NRS 617.481.

Workers' compensation has grown more complex over the last decade. The filing and pursuing of workers' compensation claims has been made increasingly more difficult. Claimants have been precluded from pursuing claims, requesting benefits, and obtaining appropriate compensation for technical reasons. These proposals will make it simpler and more fair for a claimant to file and pursue a claim, and if necessary appear at a claim's determination hearing. Currently, if a claimant makes a written demand to the claims administrator, the administrator is required to respond within 30 days. If the administrator fails to respond within 30 days, the claimant can file a request for a hearing from the de facto denial. This bill will require a written response within 30 days, or the written request by the claimant will be deemed to have been granted. The claims administrator's decision to accept or deny a claim must be sent within 30 days after a workers' compensation claim has been perfected. If a claim is denied, the claimant then has 70 days to file a request for a hearing.

Recently, a number of claims have not been received by the claimant. After not receiving a claim denial, the claimant might receive a medical bill for the injury. Upon further investigation, the claims administrator will produce a copy of the claim denial letter that was sent to the claimant, but the denial was never received by the claimant. Often, the discovery of the denial of claim is received past the time frame to file for a hearing. If the claimant wants to pursue the denial of the claim, he must convince the hearing officer or the appeals officer that they did not receive the claim letter. If a claims administrator wants to circumvent the process and frustrate the claimant's ability to pursue an appeal, all he has to do is type a letter of denial saying it was sent out to the employee.

As an example, one of our firefighters responded to Hurricane Katrina. The employee fell from a rescue boat into the polluted water. The employee was totally submerged and suffered an injury from that exposure. After returning, he filed his claim. He never received a denial. After researching the problem, it Assembly Committee on Commerce and Labor April 4, 2007 Page 42

was found that the denial letter was in his file. He has lived at the same address for the last seven years.

With this new requirement the acceptance or denial of the claim will be sent by certified mail. Also, it will assist the administrator in making an accurate decision regarding a claim if the claimant does not respond within the 70-day time frame. It protects both the claimant and the administrator. If an employee goes to appeal, a certified letter can be produced showing the claimant did receive it.

Firefighters and police officers have been granted certain conclusively presumptive benefits due to the nature of their occupations. However, claims are being denied even on conclusively presumptive benefits. For example, I had an employee who served for 25 years. He was a healthy employee, but he discovered on his last department physical, required by law, that he had a heart condition. He filed a claim. The claim was denied under NRS 617.440. Ultimately, the employee had to have open-heart surgery, and he was medically retired. He was not afforded his right per NRS 617.457. Under this statute, heart disease is considered a conclusively presumptive occupational disease for police officers and firefighters. When these cases are pursued through appeals, the claimant has been asked to prove the case pursuant to NRS 617.440. In NRS 617.455, 617.457, 617.453, 617.485, and 617.481, requirements are outlined for the claimant to prove a compensable occupational disease. The change proposed in this bill will clearly show the mandates of NRS 617.440 are unnecessary in cases where firefighters and police officers are filing a claim pursuant to a statute in which an occupational disease is considered to be conclusively presumptive. I will answer any questions.

Chair Ocegüera:

Are there any questions? I am not sure I understand the last part of your statement. In the first portion of the bill, the claims administrator will send a certified letter if the claim is accepted or denied. That seems to make sense for both sides. However, I imagine the claims administrators will say there are costs involved in sending a certified letter. Can you give me a practical application of the second part of the bill?

Rusty McAllister:

If we file a claim under NRS 617.455 or 617.457, the law says, "...notwithstanding any other provisions of the law, it is conclusively presumed..." that the disease arose out of a person's occupation. What the employers are doing is applying NRS 617.440 to the claim. They are saying the employee has to show a causal relationship between the disease and his occupation. They are not looking at the other statutes. They are saying only Assembly Committee on Commerce and Labor April 4, 2007 Page 43

NRS 617.440 regulates an employee's claim. I talked to one of the State's insurance representatives, and he said the statutes are redundant. I responded that it is because they are interpreting the statute in their own way. This bill will clarify for them what the law really means when it says, "conclusively presumed."

Chair Ocegüera:

Are there other questions?

Rusty McAllister:

I have talked to several insurers about these provisions. A couple of the issues they brought up included the cost of certified mail. The only requirement for sending a claim by certified mail will be under the provisions of NRS 617, which covers occupational diseases. The cost would be minimal because most insurers do not deal with occupational diseases that often.

There are actually four changes in this bill. Section 1 of the bill addresses another problem. The law currently requires an employer to provide an employee with a list of one or more doctors. Some employers are providing employees with a list that only has one doctor on it. In Clark County, there are over 1.5 million people, and there are numerous doctors practicing there. The law says you are not required to go to a specific doctor, but when the employer gives an employee a list of one, the employee has no choice. This bill requests that at least two or more doctors should be on the insurer's list.

Chair Ocegüera:

Is there anyone else in support?

Barbara Gruenewald, representing the Nevada Trial Lawyers Association:

We support this bill. We have one procedural amendment (Exhibit U), which addresses the provision on page 3, line 33 of the bill. Currently, if an insurer fails to respond within 30 days to a letter from the claimant requesting benefits, the claimant has the right to appeal. The appeal would be heard before a hearing officer to resolve the matter. In 2006, I wrote a stack of letters on behalf of my claimant clients to insurers to get them to do something they were already required to do by law. This provision does work. Our amendment asks that we leave the law the way it is with the words, "...a denial of the request." Those words permit the claimant to request physical therapy, surgery, and payment of medical bills. We added an extra sentence that says, "...an acceptance of the claim." This amendment will retain the provisions Mr. McAllister is requesting, and will provide the language we are requesting. Assembly Committee on Commerce and Labor April 4, 2007 Page 44

Chair Oceguela:

Are there questions?

Assemblyman Mabey:

How does the insurer prove that they received the denial of the request?

Barbara Gruenewald:

We send the claim by regular mail. If the insurer does not respond, we file an appeal with the hearing officer after the 30-day waiting period. If for some reason the insurer did not receive it, he will have appeal documents to prove that it was received. It then takes another 30 days to get a hearing set up to correct the problem.

Assemblyman Mabey:

Can you prove that they did get the claim?

Barbara Gruenewald:

There is a statute in the general jurisdiction law that says if you show a document that says you sent the claim, it is presumed to be sent.

John E. Jeffrey, representing the Southern Nevada Building and Construction Trades Council:

We are in support of this bill.

Patrick T. Sanderson, representing the Laborers Local 872:

We support this bill.

Chair Oceguela:

Is there anyone wishing to testify in the opposition?

Robert A. Ostrovsky, representing Employers Insurance:

In Section 1, the bill addresses the employer providing a list of doctors. Each employer who has a managed care contract for the provision of these services is required to have a list that has options on it. That is already covered under statute. If it is not provided in a timely fashion at the time of the injury, we can comply with that provision. There is a problem on page 2, line 13, where the word "may" is being replaced with the word "shall." We do not want the language to imply that an employee can turn down all doctors on the list, and select a third-party doctor not on the managed care list. If there is no managed care list provided, then the employee can use any doctor on the Division of Industrial Relations' list of providers, which shows all licensed providers in the State, unless one has requested removal. I would be happy to meet with the parties to resolve this issue. Assembly Committee on Commerce and Labor April 4, 2007 Page 45

On page 2, lines 18-20, the insurance company needs to have access to appropriate and complete medical information to determine payment responsibility for subsequent injury claims. If they do not have the medical records, they cannot determine which insurer would be responsible for paying the claim, or whether it would be a shared responsibility. There was no testimony presented to give a reason for this change in language.

Chair Ocegüera:

Mr. Ostrovsky, let us pause for a minute to ask why the language change was requested.

Ryan Beaman:

That provision was requested because medical insurers are requesting medical records for lengthy prior periods of time. We have seen requests for the last 20 years of medical records that have no relationship to the employee's injury.

Robert Ostrovsky:

Our request to have the medical records available is for the purpose of determining validity of subsequent injury. In Section 2, there is a concern that the language will refer to all claim requests that are submitted. Automobile accident claim acceptance is a double-edged sword. The current appeal process allows the injured worker to make the appeal. This language would switch the parties around, and the appeals would come from the insurance companies. We are trying to prevent insurers from having an automated denial system. It is difficult to determine when a claim was originated. Is it the date of injury, or is it the date the insurance company received the C-3 and C-4 claim forms, which is the employer's report and the report from the medical service provider. There are issues about that language. If claims are being denied, there is a statute, NRS 611.120, which permits benefit penalties and fines to be assessed against illegal activity on the part of the third-party administrator. It should be used to address this problem.

On page 3, line 39, striking the word "claimant" indicates that the claimant no longer has a responsibility to the insurance company to provide a current address. It becomes the employer's responsibility. If you have a large employer, it is difficult to track employees' addresses. In particular, the construction industry would have difficulty in keeping track of employees' addresses. There should be some responsibility on the part of the claimant to keep the insurer apprised of their proper address.

In Section 3, we have a concern about the cost of certified mail. However, if the language only applies to NRS 617, and there are few claims involved, we would not have a problem with that provision. In Section 4, we do not Assembly Committee on Commerce and Labor April 4, 2007 Page 46

understand why the language is needed because it already is addressed by the law. It does not provide the claimant with any new benefits. If the purpose is to clarify the benefits under NRS 617, we would not have a problem with the provision.

Chair Ocegüera:

Are there any questions?

Assemblyman Anderson:

Why did the bill's originator request the removal of the word "claimant" on page 3, line 39?

Rusty McAllister:

The word "claimant" is part of a phrase that says "claimant or employer." When you have an either/or situation, it permits inaction. If you limit the responsibility for action to one person, you can get the action requested. We have found that the employers are saying it is the claimant's responsibility, and the claimants are saying it is the employer's responsibility. Confusion is created.

Gary E. Milliken, Government Relations/Public Affairs, GEM Consulting, representing the Builders Insurance Company:

We have many of the same concerns that Mr. Ostrovsky mentioned about subsequent injuries. The insurance company I represent insures construction companies. We are a very large preferred provider organization (PPO), and we have many doctor choices for an injured employee. We are also opposed to the 30-day determination and the responsibility for maintaining the employees' addresses. In Clark County, we have many workers who are transient and short-term employees. It should be the responsibility of the employee to give the insurance company their current address.

Chair Ocegüera:

Are there any questions?

George Ross, representing the Nevada Self Insurers Association and PRO Group, Inc., including the Retail Association of Nevada, Nevada Motor Transport Association, Nevada Auto Dealers Association, and the Builders Association of Western Nevada:

The City of Las Vegas, one of our members, suggests the language contained on page 2, lines 18-20, which would prevent access to medical records, would cost them \$100,000 per year. It would make it impossible to obtain necessary medical records for making a determination on subsequent injury claims. We have a concern about the acceptance and denial of the claim request. We do Assembly Committee on Commerce and Labor April 4, 2007 Page 47

not want the employer to assume the responsibility for maintaining the employees' addresses. It should be an individual's responsibility. We live in a transient community, and it would be virtually impossible to track those employees' addresses. Certified mail may be a more difficult method to communicate with someone. If a person gets a piece of certified mail, you have to be there to sign for it, or go to a post office to sign and pick it up. The person may never bother to go and pick it up since he does not know if it is a workers' compensation claim or something else. We request the notification be left just the way it is, using the normal mail.

Chair Ocegüera:

Do we have any questions?

Michael R. Alastuey, representing Clark County:

All of the previous witnesses in opposition to this bill captured the entirety of my remarks. We would like to make particular reference to Section 3. We would like more clarification on the intent and the operation of this particular section. If you look at the fiscal note Clark County submitted, we did submit ample comments on our concerns. We look forward to working with the different parties represented here to resolve any issues with this bill.

Chair Ocegüera:

Are there any questions?

Assemblyman Conklin:

I do not have a question; I have a comment. Mr. Alastuey, I understand you only represent Clark County, but for the last six years, we have heard the same story. This Legislature has tried to make it clear that denying claims that should be undeniable is not an acceptable practice. I ask that you make it clear to Clark County that the particular claims dealt with in this statute are undeniable.

Michael Alastuey:

Your remarks will be taken to heart. I am not sure that the two cases that Mr. Beaman cited would find remedy in this bill, but nonetheless, it is an important issue for us to pursue.

Chair Ocegüera:

I do not see a fiscal note from Clark County. Looking at the fiscal notes we have, I see a range of estimated costs going from \$1,360 to \$1.6 million.

Michael Alastuey:

Clark County did respond to the fiscal note. Many of the costs associated with this bill, as it would be amended, were not subject to our determination. We Assembly Committee on Commerce and Labor April 4, 2007 Page 48

were not able to estimate the costs, but we did pose a number of potential concerns about the bill.

James M. Livermore, representing Alternative Service Concepts and the Public Agency Compensation Trust:

The Public Agency Compensation Trust is a group self-insurance program for public entities throughout Nevada, but primarily our operations are in rural Nevada. We have some concerns about how the bill will affect our rural membership. We cover about 10,000 insured employees, including police and fire liability policies. From my first reading of the bill, the aspects of workers' compensation that this bill tries to address were not "broken," and do not need "repair." The current provisions work well for us. We have little litigation. We evaluate the benefits due, and we pay them. It would seem that most of the provisions in the bill are directed toward Clark County. It is unfortunate that changes brought about by their activities should impact us in an adverse manner. The other speakers in opposition voiced many of our objections. I would like to highlight a few points. When it comes to rural Nevada, the provisions in Section 1 which ask that employees be provided with two names of doctors would be difficult to do. In many areas, it is impossible. We may not have two doctors in a particular area of rural Nevada. That is just the way it is.

I would like to suggest an amendment, which states that the requirement for two or more doctors be in accordance with the requirements of NRS 616C.090. That statute already governs the way an employee must choose their treating physician. Section 1 simply applies to the employer's ability and right to direct the employee to seek medical care. The employee may say the injury is no problem, and he does not want to see a doctor. However, the employer may want to determine that there is no substantial injury. This section says the employer can tell the employee that he has to go to the doctor. The section already says the employer cannot require the employee to pick any particular doctor. We would like to see amending language that says this provision is in accordance with a managed care plan's structure.

We fully agree with the other testimony about not making it possible for the employer to ask questions about medical conditions other than those directly related to the injury. In order to determine the liability on a claim, we have to know whether or not the person has had previous injuries. We have to know if they have special conditions, such as diabetes.

Chair Oceguela:

You are repeating items we have already heard, so we will ask you to meet and work with the other parties. Assembly Committee on Commerce and Labor April 4, 2007
Page 49

Jeanette K. Belz, J. K. Belz & Associates, Inc., representing the Property Casualty Insurers Association of America and the Associated General Contractors, Nevada Chapter:

We do not believe it is fair that the insurer might incur penalties if they cannot locate the insured. The employer/employee relationship may no longer exist. To put the responsibility of maintaining the employee's address on the employer is not acceptable to us.

Rose E. McKinney-James, representing the Clark County School District:

The comments I have come from our legal staff and our benefits team. They are consistent with the testimony you have already received with respect to Section 2, subsection 3 (b). In their view, this requirement would be the equivalent of a sales telemarketer sending you mail with a message saying if you do not respond, you are automatically signed up for the credit card or magazine and are financially responsible for it. They are concerned about the potential for this language to "backfire."

In Section 3, subsection 1, our staff takes issue with the use of the word "payment." They would suggest using the word "benefits" instead. Finally, we are in opposition to an employer having the responsibility of maintaining the employee's current address. It should be the responsibility of the claimant.

Chair Ocegüera:

Are there any questions? Is there any other opposition testimony? Mr. McAllister, I would like you to get the different parties together and address the issues brought forward by the end of the business day on Friday.

Assemblyman Settlemeyer:

Mr. McAllister, I agree with your concept that having two people in charge of submitting the correct address is problematical. However, I do feel that the claimant is probably the best person to be responsible for their address. Would you object to making the claimant responsible?

Rusty McAllister:

That is something we have talked about with the insurers, and we will look at ways to alleviate their concerns. Our purpose in bringing this bill is to get some form of denial within 30 days. If a claimant does not contact the insurer within 30 days, the claim is accepted. Most people do not move within 30 days, but we would accept language that says the address on the C-4 form for the first 30 days is the address to use for contact. Mr. Ostrovsky told me he would be available tomorrow to work on the language, and I have Ms. Gruenewald's number for contact. Assembly Committee on Commerce and Labor April 4, 2007 Page 50

Ptms for Kevin Evans**Ptms for Kevin Evans**

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
B10	<p>S: B10 responded with other units to a report of a FIB - Multiple callers w/ smoke and flames visible en route - B10 requested HR44 - E7 arrived and est Chambolle command - in the front of a large 2-story residence with fire through the roof - T7 positioned in the front and placing up ladder pipe - T7 controlled the utilities - R7 IRIT.</p> <p>A: B10 arrived and assumed IC - E7 assigned to check to interior to see if an aggressive interior attack could be made - E7 reported that debris was beginning to fall and that a interior attack was not suggested - E7 also reported that the house was empty of contents and that construction was being done to the house. Command requested E7 to exit the structure - The Action Plan was to use the master stream from T7 and knock the fire down before sending crews interior - T7 was assigned as FA. HR 44 assumed RIT from R7 and R7 re-assigned as Med Grp. E7 on-deck in the front - E28 assigned to evacuate south exposure - no line needed to protect exposures, but evacuation to the south as a precaution. Fire knocked down after 25-30 mins - Defensive operations complete and personnel prepared to transition for interior offensive ops. A plan was developed for crews to enter the structure and continue with extinguishment - E7 was assigned as Div 2 - other units assigned to Div 2 were E47, E2 - Div 2 coordinated primary and secondary as well as overhaul - E28 was re-assigned as Div 1 - Div1 reported a primary and secondary and stated that salvage was not possible - E28 re-assigned to Div 2. Div 2 personnel continued to rotate and complete overhaul. Investigators on scene - fire possible due to plumbers working earlier in the day. T6 and R28 were assigned to the call but were not needed - they returned to service.</p> <p>C: B10 responded with other units to a report of a FIB - B10 assumed command of a working fire in a large 2-story house - attic fully involved - defensive operation initially and then crews transitioned to offensive - All assignments completed by responding units - a fire watch was established from 0200-0600 - Command turned back to E7 and B10 returned to service.</p>	
E45	<p>S: E5 responded to a house fire for fire watch</p> <p>A: Upon arrival E45 met with E44 and report was that they had not seen any smoke from any part of home since knock down and updated us on existing conditions. E45 walked through out home checking for any possible hot spots and found none. E45 the over hauled as best we could by placing expensive materials and tools and electronics out of water and secured property.</p> <p>C: E45 contacted B10 and returned Station 7 hose line and nozzle and returned. Command terminated at 0600 hrs E45 available</p>	
E2	<p>S: Report of house on fire. E2 dispatched after first alarm assignment arrived. E2 arrived to find T7 putting water on the fire. B10 in Command.</p> <p>A: E2 stood by until crews were sent back inside. E2 assigned to Division 2. A secondary life search search was performed on the second floor. The floor was clear. Hot spots were extinguished on the roof and second floor during our remaining time on the second floor..</p> <p>C: E2 was sent to Rehab. E2 was then released by Command and returned to service.</p>	
E47	<p>S: E47 responded to a reported FIB call with multiple callers. As E47 approached the street we could see the fire, and that it had vented through the roof.</p> <p>A: E47 arrived on scene and was initially made RIT. E47 crew established a cash of tool on the A side, and we performed a 360 on the house. Utilities were secured, and we vocalled that the house has a pool in the backyard. Command determined that we would transision to a defensive attack. E47 remained on deck to the A side of the house. Once the body of the fire was knocked down, E47 crew was assigned to Division 2, and we performed fire attack functions.</p> <p>C: The fire was extinguished, and E47 crew picked up the scene, and went available returning.</p>	
R7	<p>R7 initially assigned to assist with water supply and exposure line. R7 carried out assignment. R7 then moved to med group and established rehab. R7 carried out rehab assignment. No Pts found at scene. R7 released by command.</p>	

0000306

Plans for Kevin Evans**Plans for Kevin Evans**

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
HR44	HR44 responded to FIB. HR44 assigned to RIT. HR44 cached RIT equipment on A side and reported to IC.	
	Fire was defensive upon our arry and no personnel inside the bldg. HR44 insured that utilities had been secured. once fire was mostly out, transitioned to offensive and HR44 put up ladders to second floor on A side and C side. HR44 opened garage doors and opened up all other first floor doors. HR44 then remained on standby as RIT.	
	HR44 was cleared by IC and returned to service.	
R7	R7 assigned med group per command. R7 stand by and set up rehab for fire crews. assisted with water supply and take down.	
E44	S: E44 responded to a house fire after knock down for fire watch.	
	A: E44 arrived and was briefed by E7 of various concerns regarding the involved building to include: difficult to reach hotspots, overhead hazards, and missing floor on the second story. E44 established a water supply and attack line to be prepared for a possible flare up. E44 continued to monitor the area. The board up company was on scene and E44 coordinated with them to have the property secured.	
	C: E44 was relieved by E45. E45 was briefed of various safety issues and instructed them on how to secure the premisis. E44 returned to service.	
E7	S: E7 responded with other units to a report of a FIB with Multiple callers w/ smoke and flames visible en route. E7 arrived and est Chambolle command to the front of a large 2-story residence with fire through the roof. T7 positioned in the front and placing up ladder pipe. T7 controlled the utilities - R7 IRIT. E7 passed command to next officer.	
	A: E7 gained entry to structure and advanced Pre-connect line to second floor to check interior conditions. E7 determined the entire attic to be involved. E7 reported that debris was beginning to fall and that a interior attack was not suggested. E7 also reported that the house was empty of contents and that construction was being done to the house. After exiting structure, defensive operations commenced and E7 stood by awaiting further. T7 as FA utilized ladder pipe to knock the fire down before sending crews interior. Fire knocked down after 25-30 mins After fire knock down, E7 was assigned as Div 2 with E47, E2. Div 2 coordinated primary and secondary as well as overhaul.	
	C: Command given back to E7 and B10 returned to service. E7 relieved by E44 for fire watch. E7 returned to service.	

10/23/2008**Narratives:**

8253301	10/23/2008 9:17:59AM	E10	40-Fire In Building	151
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 10232008-8253301 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088253301 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088253301			
E10	Responded to a reported building fire, Arrived to find ss home with light smoke showing from readr. Established command and investigated to find exterior fire. Small plastic recycle bin with ash tray on top burned up. E10 cancelled all units and extinguished with garden hose. Fire did not extend into walls or roof. Command terminated.			
R4	R4 cx without assignment.			
8253525	10/23/2008 2:33:23PM	E10	44-Smoke Investigati	151
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 10232008-8253525 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088253525 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088253525			
E10	Responded to smoke investigation on freeway. Arrived to find smoke from expansion joint on center median. E10 used tank water to extinguish trash smoldering that had collected in joint. Most likely cause was discarded smoking material. E10 RTS.			
8253679	10/23/2008 6:06:38PM	E10	44-Smoke Investigati	651

0000307

Plans for Kevin Evans**Plans for Kevin Evans**

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 10232008-8253679 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088253679 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088253679	
E5	At 1806 hours on Thursday October 23, 2008 we were dispatched to a smoke scare, odor of smoke. Eight units were assigned to this incident. Eight personnel responded. We arrived on scene at 1812 hours and cleared at 1819 hours. The incident occurred at 3001 S Valley View Blvd, Las Vegas in District 02623-51. The local station is 05. The general description of this property is convenience store. The primary task(s) performed at the scene by responding personnel was investigation. Automatic aid was received on this incident.	
	Alarm number 8253679 has been assigned to this incident.	
	Small piece of paper found burnt in cooler motor. E5 cancelled all units.	
E10	Cancelled at scene unassigned.	
B10	S: B10 responded to a report of a FIB - E5 arrived est command and reported nothing showing - E5 stated a slight odor inside a 7-eleven store and felt that they could handle	
	A: B10 responded and was cancelled en route	
	C: B10 responded and cancelled based on reprot from E5 - B10 returned to service	
10/25/2008	Narratives:	
8255096	10/25/2008 11:55:19AM	R10 40-Fire In Building 531
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 10252008-8255096 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088255096 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088255096	
E5	S: E5 responded to 900 Brush Street for possible food on the stove.	
	A: Upon arrival E5 established Brush command and entered the structure with 3 to investigate. As we entered the 4th floor there was a slight odor with no visible smoke. E5 entered Apt 423 and found a pot on the stove unattended. All units were returned to service with the exception of E5. The occupant returned to her room and we advised her of the danger of leaving with food on the stove.	
	C: E5 removed the pot from the stove and cooled it in the sink and ventilated the Apt. Command was then terminated and E5 returned to service.	
R10	R10 cancelled on scene by command	
E10	E10 arrived staged and was released by command.	
T6	cancelled at scene by command	
E3	Cancelled at scene by command.	
10/28/2008	Narratives:	
8257255	10/28/2008 4:31:19AM	R10 40-Fire In Building 118
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 10282008-8257255 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088257255 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: CC 20088257255	
R10	released without assignment	

Burns for Kevin Evans**BURNS FOR KEVIN EVANS**

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
E4	S: E4 responding as part of a full alarm assignment for a possible fire near a building. E10 arrived in the are and was unable to locate. B2 arrived and requested e4 to investigate in the alley. A: E4 arrived in the alley and talked to the person who put out the fire. their was 4 or 5 couches partially burned, no affecting the structure. The person states it was burns in the area that lit the fire, but unknown where they are now. E4 reported findings to command. C: E4 responding to a fib found an outside fire that was out upon arrival, E4 released all others, E4 rts.	
E10	Cancelled at scene unassigned.	
10/30/2008	Narratives:	
8259619	10/30/2008 10:51:48PM E48 40-Fire In Building	151
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 10302008-8259619 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088259619 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088259619	
R45	Cancelled enroute by Command.	
T9	1022 per E45 enroute	
E45	S: Upon leaving the Station E45 requested a full response due to the flames seen from the station. A: E45 arrived to find two brush fires going in open field. E45 canceled all incoming units and extinguished the fires. C:E45 searched area and could not find responsible party. E45 returned to service.	
HR44	HR44 cx en route.	
10/31/2008	Narratives:	
8259786	10/31/2008 6:45:36AM E48 43-Vehicle Fire	611
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 10312008-8259786 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088259786 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: Mtc 20088259786	
11/1/2008	Narratives:	
8260746	11/1/2008 8:08:29AM E10 51-Dumpster Fire	154
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 11012008-8260746 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088260746 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: CC 20088260746	
E10	Responded to and extinguished a small dumpster fire with no extension.	
11/2/2008	Narratives:	
8261373	11/2/2008 12:09:24AM E10 40-Fire In Building	445
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 11022008-8261373 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088261373 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088261373	
R5	R5 cancelled by command while staged. R5 RTS.	
E5	At 0009 hours on Sunday November 2, 2008 we were dispatched to arcing, shorted electrical equipment. Seven units were assigned to this incident. Ten personnel responded. We arrived on scene at 0017 hours and cleared at 0023 hours. The incident occurred at 4545 Pennwood Ave, Las Vegas in District 02622-52. The local station is 05. The general description of this property is multifamily dwelling. The primary task(s) performed at the scene by responding personnel was hazard removal. Automatic aid was received on this incident. Alarm number 8261373 has been assigned to this incident.	

0000309

Reports for Kevin Evans Reports for Kevin Evans

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
11/19/2008	Narratives:	
8275194	11/19/2008 2:21:50PM E10	40-Fire In Building 5310
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 11192008-8275194 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088275194 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088275194	
E10	Cancelled by E4 PTA.	
B1 alpha	S: B1 responding as part of a full alarm assignment for a fib.	
	A: E4 arrived in command of a multistory multifamily dwelling with nothing showing. E4 states small cooking fire, all other units to take no action.	
	C: B1 responded and monitored call and was canceled before arrival.	
CBRNE 8	Cancelled enroute	
E4	S: E4 responded to report of FIB at 2000 Paradise. E4 arrived and established command at 3-story apartment complex with nothing showing. E4 met with RP that called and she stated next door neighbor was cooking steak directly on burned.	
	A: E4 investigated further and located apartment that occupant was burning steak. Released all units and advised that E4 would handle, occupant burning food on stove.	
	C: Burnt food on stove top, no fire or other emergency. E4 terminated command and returned to service.	
11/23/2008	Narratives:	
8278335	11/23/2008 1:21:05PM R10	39-Fire In Hotel/Hos 111
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 11232008-8278335 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088278335 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088278335	
B1	S: B1 responded on a full response to a reported FIAB at 707 Fremont, E4 arrived established command gave their size up as nothing showing on a hotel, and began their investigation. On my arrival I saw lines being pulled and assumed command.	
	A: B1 assumed command assigned E4 to Investigation, and assigned E1 to assist with E210 to stand by the hydrant for a water supply. T1 was assigned to assist with overhaul/ Investigations. E4 reported a small fire in an electrical motor.	
	C: remaining units waiting assignments were released, Command was transferred to E4 with E1 and T1 at his disposal. Fire was extinguished with out any extension.	
T1	T1 was assigned to Fire Attack, T1 was assigned to secure the electric to the involved heater. The electric was secured, and T1 was released by command. T1 went available returning.	
CBRNE	cancelled enroute by command	
R10	R10 cancelled on scene by command	
HR44	Cancelled enroute by command.	
E10	Canx at scene unassigned.	
E1	ASST INV E4 NO ACTIVE FIRE.....	
R201	R201 was cx on scene by command.	

0000310

Re: Kevin Evans

Re: Kevin Evans

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
E4	S: E4 responded to report of fire in motel at 707 Fremont. E4 arrived and established Fremont Command, reported 3 story motel with nothing showing E4 to investigate, being directed in by workers. Workers stated that fire in ceiling area by heater.	
	A: E4 crew investigated and found fire at heater motor in drop ceiling area. Advised Battalion 1 of conditions and He assumed command and assigned E4 to Fire Attack. E4 crew pulled booster and extinguished small fire in electrical motor. Advised command water on fire and fire out. T1 secured utilities, E1 and E4 crew pulled ceiling and confirmed no extensions, crews salvaged area and cleaned up debris. Maintenance arrived and building turned over to them.	
	C: Fire contained to heater motor. E4 terminated command and returned to service.	
11/28/2008	Narratives:	
8282085	11/28/2008 1:33:37PM E10 43-Vehicle Fire	131
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 11282008-8282085 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088282085 Primary	
	Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088282085	
E10	S: E10 called to a reported vehicle fire upon arrival E10 found vehicle in parking area. Fire had already been extinguished by occupants.	
	A: E10 checked for extnsion and cooled engine compartment with water.	
	C: E10 available.	

0000311

12/02/2009 17:16 3852370

STA TEN

PAGE 01/10

Incident Report

Las Vegas Fire & Rescue

Incident Report

Las Vegas Fire & Rescue

2005-5043555 -000

Basic

Alarm Date and Time	12:28:23	Saturday, March 5, 2005
Arrival Time	12:32:38	
Controlled Date and Time		
Last Unit Cleared Date and Time	17:03:13	Saturday, March 5, 2005
Response Time	0:04:15	
Priority Response	Yes	
Completed	Yes	
Release to Public	Yes	
Fire Department Station	ST5	
Shift	A	
Incident Type	111 - Building fire	
Initial Dispatch Code	40-Fire In Building	
Aid Given or Received	4 - Automatic aid given	
Mutual Aid Department	05031	
Action Taken 1	11 - Extinguish	
Action Taken 2	12 - Salvage & overhaul	
Action Taken 3	81 - Incident command	
Apparatus - Suppression	2	
Personnel - Suppression Personnel	37	
Property Loss	\$0.00	
Contents Loss	\$0.00	
Property Value	\$0.00	
Contents Value	\$0.00	
Property Use	161 - Restaurant or cafeteria	
Location Type	Address	
Address	4545 W SAHARA AVE	
City, State Zip	Las Vegas, NV 89102	
District	02522-94	
Directions	4545 W SAHARA AV	
Latitude	36.144482	
Longitude	115.202018	

Apparatus - E15

Apparatus ID	E15	
Response Time	0:03:16	
Apparatus Dispatch Date and Time	12:28:52	Saturday, March 5, 2005
En route to scene date and time	12:29:22	Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Arrival Date and Time	12:32:38	Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Clear Date and Time	14:36:57	Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus priority response	Yes	
Apparatus Use	1	
Apparatus Type	11 - Engine	

Apparatus - E5

Apparatus ID	E5	
Response Time	0:02:43	
Apparatus Dispatch Date and Time	12:28:52	Saturday, March 5, 2005
En route to scene date and time	12:30:34	Saturday, March 5, 2005

Page: 1

Printed: 12/02/2009 15:59:42

CLAIMANT'S EXHIBIT # *2*

0000312

TAB 1

12/02/2009 17:16 3852370

STA TEN

PAGE 02/10

Incident Report

Las Vegas Fire .rescue

Incident Report

Las Vegas Fire .rescue

2005-5043555 -000**Apparatus - E5**

Apparatus Arrival Date and Time	12:33:17	Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Clear Date and Time	14:37:01	Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus priority response	Yes	
Number of People	4	
Apparatus Use	1	
Apparatus Action Taken 1	11 - Extinguish	
Apparatus Action Taken 2	12 - Salvage & overhaul	
Apparatus Type	11 - Engine	
Personnel 1	980 - Dupee, Eric M Position: PM	
Personnel 2	977 - Cooper, Craig Position: FF	
Personnel 3	916 - Bush, Bradley M Position: ENG	
Personnel 4	71 - Goldbaum, George M Position: C	

Apparatus - R15

Apparatus ID	R15	
Response Time	0:04:02	
Apparatus Dispatch Date and Time	12:28:52	Saturday, March 5, 2005
En route to scene date and time	12:29:30	Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Arrival Date and Time	12:33:32	Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Clear Date and Time	13:40:49	Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus priority response	Yes	
Apparatus Use	1	
Apparatus Type	71 - Rescue unit	

Apparatus - T3

Apparatus ID	T3	
Response Time	0:05:51	
Apparatus Dispatch Date and Time	12:28:52	Saturday, March 5, 2005
En route to scene date and time	12:30:20	Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Arrival Date and Time	12:36:11	Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Clear Date and Time	14:25:30	Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus priority response	Yes	
Number of People	5	
Apparatus Use	1	
Apparatus Action Taken 1	11 - Extinguish	
Apparatus Type	12 - Truck or aerial	
Personnel 1	1132 - Cave, Eric Position: FF	
Personnel 2	1149 - Hardman, Glen Position: FF	
Personnel 3	6 - Banks, John Position: ENG	
Personnel 4	655 - Gonzalez, Mario Position: C	

0000313

12/02/2009 17:16 3852370

STA TEN

PAGE 03/10

Incident Report

Las Vegas Fire Rescue

Incident Report

Las Vegas Fire Rescue

2005-5043555 -000

Apparatus - T3

Personnel 5 176 - Ramthun, William E
Position: ENG

Apparatus - B10

Apparatus ID B10
Response Time 0:07:42
Apparatus Dispatch Date and Time 12:28:52 Saturday, March 5, 2005
En route to scene date and time 12:30:13 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Arrival Date and Time 12:37:55 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Clear Date and Time 15:38:12 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus priority response Yes
Apparatus Use 1
Apparatus Type 92 - Chief officer car

Apparatus - E10

Apparatus ID E10
Response Time 0:04:43
Apparatus Dispatch Date and Time 12:34:11 Saturday, March 5, 2005
En route to scene date and time 12:35:09 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Arrival Date and Time 12:39:52 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Clear Date and Time 13:36:35 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus priority response Yes
Number of People 4
Apparatus Use 1
Apparatus Action Taken 1 76 - Provide water
Apparatus Type 11 - Engine
Personnel 1 1026 - Gemes, Michael
Position: PM
Personnel 2 956 - Leavitt, Michael
Position: ENG
Personnel 3 814 - Reincke, Steven
Position: C
Personnel 4 1245 - Evans, Kevin
Position: FF

Apparatus - T22

Apparatus ID T22
Response Time 0:03:35
Apparatus Dispatch Date and Time 12:34:11 Saturday, March 5, 2005
En route to scene date and time 12:36:32 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Arrival Date and Time 12:40:07 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Clear Date and Time 14:24:29 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus priority response Yes
Apparatus Use 1
Apparatus Type 12 - Truck or aerial

Apparatus - E203

Apparatus ID E203

0000314

13

12/02/2009 17:16 3852370

STA TEN

PAGE 04/10

Incident Report

Las Vegas Fire & Rescue

Incident Report

Las Vegas Fire & Rescue

2005-5043555 -000

Apparatus - E203

Response Time	0:00:01
Apparatus Dispatch Date and Time	12:28:52 Saturday, March 5, 2005
En route to scene date and time	12:40:11 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Arrival Date and Time	12:40:12 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Clear Date and Time	14:24:59 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus priority response	Yes
Number of People	4
Apparatus Use	1
Apparatus Action Taken 1	11 - Extinguish
Apparatus Type	11 - Engine
Personnel 1	132 - McCastle, Dexter B Position: C
Personnel 2	758 - Jackson, Michael Position: FF
Personnel 3	662 - Phillips, Glen N Position: ENG
Personnel 4	1119 - Belluomini, Alfred Position: PM

Apparatus - B1

Apparatus ID	B1
Response Time	0:06:41
Apparatus Dispatch Date and Time	12:34:42 Saturday, March 5, 2005
En route to scene date and time	12:34:45 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Arrival Date and Time	12:41:26 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Clear Date and Time	14:29:10 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus priority response	Yes
Apparatus Use	1
Apparatus Type	92 - Chief officer car

Apparatus - HR44

Apparatus ID	HR44
Response Time	0:08:29
Apparatus Dispatch Date and Time	12:31:44 Saturday, March 5, 2005
En route to scene date and time	12:33:31 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Arrival Date and Time	12:42:00 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Clear Date and Time	13:53:14 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus priority response	Yes
Number of People	4
Apparatus Use	1
Apparatus Action Taken 1	55 - Establish safe area
Apparatus Type	73 - High angle rescue
Personnel 1	951 - Henderson, Christopher Position: ENG
Personnel 2	659 - Leonard, Marvin E Position: C
Personnel 3	990 - Thom, James Position: FF

0000315

12/02/2009 17:16 3852370

STA TEN

PAGE 05/10

Incident Report

Las Vegas Fire Rescue

Incident Report

Las Vegas Fire Rescue

2005-5043555 -000

Apparatus - HR44

Personnel 4 896 - Vigil, Eddie A
Position: PM

Apparatus - E12

Apparatus ID E12
Response Time 0:06:19
Apparatus Dispatch Date and Time 12:34:11 Saturday, March 5, 2005
En route to scene date and time 12:35:47 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Arrival Date and Time 12:42:06 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Clear Date and Time 14:16:30 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus priority response Yes
Apparatus Use 1
Apparatus Type 11 - Engine

Apparatus - R5

Apparatus ID R5
Response Time 0:05:43
Apparatus Dispatch Date and Time 12:34:11 Saturday, March 5, 2005
En route to scene date and time 12:36:29 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Arrival Date and Time 12:42:12 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Clear Date and Time 14:20:31 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus priority response Yes
Number of People 2
Apparatus Use 1
Apparatus Action Taken 1 55 - Establish safe area
Apparatus Type 71 - Rescue unit
Personnel 1 890 - Loughry, Richard O
Position: PM
Personnel 2 1159 - Wieland, Joseph
Position: FF

Apparatus - AR1

Apparatus ID AR1
Response Time 0:03:20
Apparatus Dispatch Date and Time 12:31:44 Saturday, March 5, 2005
En route to scene date and time 12:39:00 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Arrival Date and Time 12:42:20 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Clear Date and Time 14:29:23 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus priority response Yes
Number of People 2
Apparatus Use 1
Apparatus Action Taken 1 56 - Provide air supply
Apparatus Type 61 - Breathing apparatus support
Personnel 1 1034 - Jones, Terrence
Position: FF
Personnel 2 137 - Jolly, Timothy
Position: ENG

0000316

12/02/2009 17:16 3852370

STA TEN

PAGE 06/10

Incident Report
Incident Report
2005-5043555 -000

Las Vegas Fire & Rescue
 Las Vegas Fire & Rescue

Apparatus - E3

Apparatus ID	E3
Response Time	0:08:00
Apparatus Dispatch Date and Time	12:34:11 Saturday, March 5, 2005
En route to scene date and time	12:34:39 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Arrival Date and Time	12:42:39 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Clear Date and Time	13:16:27 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus priority response	Yes
Number of People	4
Apparatus Use	1
Apparatus Type	11 - Engine
Personnel 1	744 - Buell, Patrick W Position: ENG
Personnel 2	527 - Campbell, Jess L Position: C
Personnel 3	903 - Jacobs, Jeremy M Position: PM
Personnel 4	1033 - Jones, DeErick Position: FF

Apparatus - HR21

Apparatus ID	HR21
Response Time	0:08:40
Apparatus Dispatch Date and Time	12:34:11 Saturday, March 5, 2005
En route to scene date and time	12:37:02 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Arrival Date and Time	12:45:42 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Clear Date and Time	13:21:36 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus priority response	Yes
Apparatus Use	1
Apparatus Type	71 - Rescue unit

Apparatus - 801

Apparatus ID	801
Response Time	0:15:58
Apparatus Dispatch Date and Time	12:41:05 Saturday, March 5, 2005
En route to scene date and time	12:42:14 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Arrival Date and Time	12:58:12 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Clear Date and Time	14:30:06 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus priority response	Yes
Apparatus Use	1
Apparatus Type	92 - Chief officer car

Apparatus - ARSN1

Apparatus ID	ARSN1
Response Time	0:25:38
Apparatus Dispatch Date and Time	12:39:58 Saturday, March 5, 2005
En route to scene date and time	12:40:17 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Arrival Date and Time	13:05:55 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Clear Date and Time	14:43:46 Saturday, March 5, 2005

12/02/2009 17:16 3852378

STA TEN

PAGE 09/10

Incident Report
Incident Report
2005-5043555 -000

Las Vegas Fire & Rescue
 Las Vegas Fire & Rescue

Apparatus - ARSN1

Apparatus priority response Yes
 Apparatus Use 1
 Apparatus Type 00 - Other apparatus/resource

Apparatus - ARSN2

Apparatus ID ARSN2
 Response Time 0:25:37
 Apparatus Dispatch Date and Time 12:39:58 Saturday, March 5, 2005
 En route to scene date and time 12:40:18 Saturday, March 5, 2005
 Apparatus Arrival Date and Time 13:05:55 Saturday, March 5, 2005
 Apparatus Clear Date and Time 14:43:46 Saturday, March 5, 2005
 Apparatus priority response Yes
 Apparatus Use 1
 Apparatus Type 00 - Other apparatus/resource

Apparatus - Arsn3

Apparatus ID Arsn3
 Response Time 0:25:40
 Apparatus Dispatch Date and Time 12:39:59 Saturday, March 5, 2005
 En route to scene date and time 12:40:15 Saturday, March 5, 2005
 Apparatus Arrival Date and Time 13:05:55 Saturday, March 5, 2005
 Apparatus Clear Date and Time 14:43:54 Saturday, March 5, 2005
 Apparatus priority response Yes
 Apparatus Use 1
 Apparatus Type 00 - Other apparatus/resource

Apparatus - T6

Apparatus ID T6
 Response Time 0:09:51
 Apparatus Dispatch Date and Time 13:08:40 Saturday, March 5, 2005
 En route to scene date and time 13:10:10 Saturday, March 5, 2005
 Apparatus Arrival Date and Time 13:20:01 Saturday, March 5, 2005
 Apparatus Clear Date and Time 14:29:08 Saturday, March 5, 2005
 Apparatus priority response Yes
 Number of People 4
 Apparatus Use 1
 Apparatus Action Taken 1 11 - Extinguish
 Apparatus Type 12 - Truck or aerial
 Personnel 1 1014 - Burns, Gregg
 Position: ENG
 Personnel 2 1081 - Sanchez, Ruben
 Position: FF
 Personnel 3 1134 - Breeden, Erik
 Position: FF
 Personnel 4 582 - Brigman, Michael K.
 Position: C

Apparatus - E245

12/02/2009 17:16 3852370

STA TEN

PAGE 07/10

Incident Report
Incident Report
2005-5043555 -000

Las Vegas Fire & Rescue

Las Vegas Fire & Rescue

Apparatus - E245

Apparatus ID	E245
Response Time	0:00:03
Apparatus Dispatch Date and Time	15:16:35 Saturday, March 5, 2005
En route to scene date and time	15:16:45 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Arrival Date and Time	15:16:48 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus Clear Date and Time	17:03:13 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Apparatus priority response	Yes
Number of People	4
Apparatus Use	1
Apparatus Type	11 - Engine
Personnel 1	1076 - Petropoulos, Nick Position: FF
Personnel 2	1125 - Dillin, William Position: PM
Personnel 3	742 - Piker, Sheri-Lee Position: ENG
Personnel 4	73 - Gordon, James V Position: C

Authority

Reported By	71 - Goldbaum, George M 06:19:10 Sunday, March 6, 2005
Officer In Charge	71 - Goldbaum, George M 06:19:13 Sunday, March 6, 2005
Reviewer	-

Narratives

Narrative Name	CAD Narrative
Narrative Type	CAD Narrative
Author	-
Narrative Text	CAD Master Incident Number: 03052005-5043555 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20055043555 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20055043555
Narrative Name	T6
Narrative Type	Incident
Narrative Date	15:22:43 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Author	228 - Whitney, Vincent M
Author Rank	C
Author Assignment	1
Narrative Text	S: We were dispatched to a fire in a building...see the report of E5 for a complete description. A: We were ordered to position our rig to the rear of the building in the "East" Division. We responded and set our aerial for elevated stream application. We then were used to overhaul and check for hot spots. C: We were released and after collecting our equipment we returned to service.
Narrative Name	HR44

12/02/2009 17:16 3852370

STA TEN

PAGE 08/10

Incident Report
Incident Report
 2005-5043555 -000

Las Vegas Fire & Rescue
 Las Vegas Fire & Rescue

Narratives

Narrative Type	Incident
Narrative Date	16:06:42 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Author	896 - Vigil, Eddie A
Author Rank	PM
Author Assignment	1
Narrative Text	<p>S: HR44 responded as part of 1st alarm to report of abandoned building on fire. HR44 arrived on scene and reported to Sahara command and was given the RIT assignment.</p> <p>A: HR44 created an equipment cache and met w/ HR21 to do a walkaround of the scene evaluating hazards, means of egress and poss areas of collapse or entrapment. HR44 then stood by near IC as the RIT team.</p> <p>C: HR was released by IC and returned to service.</p>
Narrative Name	airi
Narrative Type	Incident
Narrative Date	17:30:43 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Author	137 - Jolly, Timothy
Author Rank	ENG
Author Assignment	1
Narrative Text	air.
Narrative Name	E10
Narrative Type	Incident
Narrative Date	18:11:17 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Author	814 - Reincke, Steven
Author Rank	C
Author Assignment	1
Narrative Text	<p>E10 arrived as a second alarm unit and stood by until requested to supply T6 with a 5" supply line from E15. E10 completed task and was released by command.</p>
Narrative Name	R5
Narrative Type	Incident
Narrative Date	20:00:49 Saturday, March 5, 2005
Author	1159 - Wicland, Joseph
Author Rank	FF
Author Assignment	1
Narrative Text	<p>R5 arrives on scene and stages. R5 is then assigned Rehab and sets up a rehab area near the command post. R5 is released from the scene.</p> <p>R5 is available.</p>
Narrative Name	ES
Narrative Type	Incident
Narrative Date	06:09:45 Sunday, March 6, 2005
Author	71 - Goldbaum, George M
Author Rank	C
Author Assignment	1
Narrative Text	<p>S: On arrival E15 in command of an abandon restaurant. This structure had burnt one other time. Command reported fire coming from the interior.</p> <p>A: ES announced its arrival. ES assigned FA with E15 and R15 crews. FA pulled several preconnected lines down the east driveway to attack the east side of the fire. Later FA would be reassigned East Division. East division was later assigned E12 and T6. Extinguishment was started using attack lines via openings on the east side of the building.</p>

12/02/2009 17:16 3852370

STA TEN

PAGE 10/10


Incident Report
Incident Report
2005-5043555 -000

Las Vegas Fire & Rescue


Las Vegas Fire & Rescue

Narratives	
	<p>(Defensive Fire). T6 was assigned to set up its aerial on the south parking lot to assist in extinguishment. Extinguishment with attack lines assisted in the aerial operation. After a knock down was achieved East division finished its overhaul using preconnected lines squirting water from the outside of the building. East division assisted in returning all hose and equipment onto apparatus.</p> <p>C: Fire under investigation. Damage amount unknown. E5 released from scene and returned to service.</p>
<p>Narrative Name Narrative Type Narrative Date Author Author Rank Author Assignment Narrative Text</p>	<p>e203 Company 06:32:10 Sunday, March 6, 2005 132 - McCastle, Dexter B C 1 Unit arrived and met with command. Assignment was to proceed to the west side of incident and give report. Upon arrival to the west side in a parking lot of a small strip mall. E203 was advised that T3 would be sent to my area. E203 was assigned the West Division. A 20 foot block wall, which was the west wall for the fire incident , contained the fire. T3 was put into operation with E203 pumping water supply using a hydrant approx 300 ft from west of address. After the fire was knocked down, and T3 was readied for service, along with E203, command released E203 back to service. West division was passed to T3. E203 returned to service at Sta. 5</p>
<p>Narrative Name Narrative Type Narrative Date Author Author Rank Author Assignment Narrative Text</p>	<p>T3 Narrative Company 06:34:51 Sunday, March 6, 2005 655 - Gonzalez, Mario C 1 S: T3 responded to a report of a fire at the Phillips Supper Club. Smoke was visible enroute. Multiple calls received. A: T3 was assigned to set up for an aerial operation on the west side with E203 providing water. Applied a 1000 GPM steam at the seat of the fire for about one hour until the fire was extinguished. C: T3 returned to service</p>

End of Report



0000322



0000323



LAS VEGAS CITY COUNCIL

OSCAR B. GOODMAN
MAYOR

GARY REESE
MAYOR PRO TEM

STEVE WOLFSON

LOIS TARKANIAN

STEVEN D. ROSS

RICKY Y. BARLOW

DAVID W. STEINMAN
(INTERIM)

ELIZABETH N. FRETWELL
CITY MANAGER

F. CLAUDETTE ENUS
HUMAN RESOURCES DIRECTOR

CITY OF LAS VEGAS
DEPARTMENT OF
HUMAN RESOURCES
400 STEWART AVENUE
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101

VOICE 702.229.6315
TTY 702.386.9108
www.lasvegasnevada.gov

April 20, 2009

Nevada State Legislature
Senate Committee on Commerce & Labor
401 S. Carson Street
Carson City, NV 89701

RE: Assembly Bill 173

Dear Madam Chair and Committee Members:

On behalf of the city I would like to provide written comment in opposition to AB 173. The city of Las Vegas cannot support this bill.

We have nothing but the utmost respect and admiration for our firefighters. We have always endeavored to provide them with excellent salary and benefits, including extensive group health coverage. We believe this reflects our appreciation for the vital service they provide to our citizenry. However, we cannot support this bill. It revises several different industrial insurance statutes to expand the benefits currently provided to firefighters to include arson investigators, and basically, gives them access to the special benefits that firefighters have under all the industrial disease statutes, including presumptive coverage of heart disease, lung disease, and cancer. Under these presumptive statutes, heart disease, lung disease and cancer are all considered compensable.

The city currently pays over \$1 million a year in benefits under these presumptive statutes, and 90% of our workers' compensation reserves are for presumptive benefit claims. Each permanent total claim under these statutes can now be valued at a minimum of \$1.4 million each.

Proponents of this bill have indicated to our staff that they believe this legislation would have no effect on the city, as our Fire Investigators are already required to have at least five years with the Las Vegas Fire Services, at least three of which must be as a first responder. Therefore, by default, our Fire Investigators are already covered. That is true. However, job descriptions change; the needs and requirements of city and county governments change. What's required now may not always be required. What we, as a city require, may not be the same as other cities or counties, so even if we are not immediately affected, other government entities may be.

It's no secret that the city of Las Vegas, much like many other cities in Nevada, is facing a multi-million shortfall over the next several years. It does not make sense to expand these benefits arbitrarily to yet another classification of employee, adding new liabilities, new financial obligations, particularly when there appears to be no scientific evidence that arson investigators have a higher incidence of communicable disease, heart disease, lung disease or cancer, than the general population. We believe it would simply not be fiscally responsible to do so.


Page 2
AB 173

In addition, we are concerned with the new language in section 4.3. While it appears to be "clean up language", our concern is that it erodes the employer's ability to rebut the presumption of benefits when an employee fails to meet the statutory criteria for coverage. Again, these benefits represent millions of dollars to cities and counties. In the last few years insurance companies have been increasingly reluctant to provide coverage, leaving the entities to fund them to the best of their ability out of revenues and reserves. If an employee does not meet the statutory criteria for coverage, the employer should be legally able to ask that employee provide evidence that he or she is entitled to the benefits.

In conclusion, we want to again say that we value all our employees. We believe, however, that expansion of the classification of employees covered under the presumptive benefits would be expensive and not based on scientific evidence. We therefore, would like to go on record in opposition to AB 173.

Thank you.

Yours truly,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Victoria J. Robinson". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Victoria J. Robinson, MBA, SPHR
Manager, Insurance Services

I2

0000325

Assembly Committee on Commerce and Labor
February 27, 2009
Page 26

payable to the fund. We believe it will have a disproportionate impact on public safety and the cities and counties as employers. I am willing to work with anyone on the Committee to address these concerns.

Chairman Conklin:

Is there anyone else to speak in opposition?

Larry Bradley, representing Nevada Self Insured Association, Las Vegas, Nevada:

I want to support the statements made on behalf of the Nevada Self Insurers Association (NSIA) especially section 6. In 1998 we moved from the third to the fourth edition of the *AMA Guides*, and that was the first time the NSIA moved for litigation. There was a determination that in the State of Nevada we did not rate pain. When we moved from the fourth to the fifth edition, we again filed suit, and that is currently going through the court system. Nevada has consistently not rated pain and parts of the ADL are pain complaints. Historically, the Legislature has tried to take out the subjective nature of pain rating.

Chairman Conklin:

Is there anyone else wishing to get on the record at this time in opposition to A.B. 178? Is there anybody in the neutral position who needs to get on the record? I will close the hearing on A.B. 178 and I will hold this bill because there are some more Workers' Compensation bills to come forward. I request that the bill's sponsor start working with some of the opposition to see if there is some compromise. This is not the view of the Committee, but the view of the Chairman, let us keep in mind that it is easy to get tied up in the process and what is lower in cost versus what is right for justice. Please remember that we are talking about people who get injured. Sometimes the processes that are right for the people who administer are not necessarily right for the injured worker.

[Robert L. Compan submitted a letter to the Committee (Exhibit J).]

[Tom Marshall submitted a letter for the record (Exhibit K).]

[Ray Bacon submitted comments for the record (Exhibit L).]

I will open the hearing on Assembly Bill 173.

**Assembly Bill 173: Makes various changes relating to occupational diseases.
(BDR 53-898)**

Assembly Committee on Commerce and Labor
February 27, 2009
Page 27

Assemblywoman April Mastroluca, Clark County Assembly District No. 29:

I am here to present Assembly Bill 173. Firefighters have shared concerns they have about their fellow firefighters and issues surrounding their health. Police and firefighters are covered under *Nevada Revised Statutes* (NRS) 617.455 and NRS 617.457. This bill would add arson investigators and allow them to be protected under the same provisions. The second part of the bill addresses a problem of third-party administrators denying claims of firefighters under NRS 617.440, and this issue has not been resolved.

Rusty McAllister, representing the Professional Firefighters of Nevada, Las Vegas, Nevada:

This bill is a compilation of two different bill draft requests and has two issues. Part of the bill adds fire arson investigators into the current statutes with regard to heart and lung protections for public safety employees. The law currently states that if you are a firefighter or a police officer for five years and you follow all of the guidelines set forth in the law, you will have a conclusive presumption that any heart or lung disease will be borne out of your employment.

The fire arson investigators are in a no-man's-land. Some departments in the State of Nevada hire or promote fire arson investigators from the ranks of firefighters, so they already have the coverage. Other departments bring them in without being firefighters, but they still have the duties and responsibilities to go into burned-out structures that contain the same chemicals and things that firefighters are exposed to. They are also faced with law enforcement responsibilities, and many of these people are Category II peace officers.

Fire arson investigators are not included in the statute unless they had been firefighters or police officers for five years. We would like to add them in so they have the same protections and coverage as the people they work with. As an example, one of the departments in southern Nevada has two fire arson investigators. One was previously a law enforcement officer for more than five years and he has protection; the other investigator with the same responsibilities does not have the same protection.

Assemblyman Settlemeyer:

Are there any other groups we need to include in the heart and lung bill? I would like to see some finality to this because it seems to be brought up every session.

Rusty McAllister:

It is my understanding that the Peace Officers Research Association of Nevada has a bill that is to be heard in this Committee next week that includes state

Assembly Committee on Commerce and Labor
~~February 27, 2009~~
February 27, 2009
Page 28

2
3

park rangers. You approved it last session, but the bill died in the Senate. To my knowledge, that is the last of the Category I peace officers that are not included in this bill.

Assemblyman Anderson:

Do you think it is one individual or are there others in this category?

Rusty McAllister:

A lot of it depends on the size of the department. The City of Las Vegas and Clark County are the largest fire departments in the state, and those fire investigators are former firefighters and are covered under the statute. There are others in the state who worked in fire prevention. The City of Sparks has three fire prevention officers who are also fire investigators. In many smaller locations, they use them in multiple positions and they are more likely to have people that fall into this category. I believe Incline Village has two, Tahoe-Douglas has one, Gardnerville-Minden has one, Carson City has two, and Sparks has two or three.

Assemblyman Horne:

Do we have a total number in the state that we would be giving this benefit?

Rusty McAllister:

I do not have an exact number, but I will get that for you.

Assemblyman Horne:

The reason I am asking is because if there is any opposition to this, they will want to know how large the group is.

Chairman Conklin:

Are there any other questions from the Committee?

Assemblyman Goedhart:

How much would the heart and lung benefit cost per employee?

Rusty McAllister:

The cost of the benefit would be dependent on how many people are in the group. Many of these employers are self-insured.

Assemblyman Goedhart:

Would you come up with a range for what you think that benefit would cost?

Assembly Committee on Commerce and Labor
Assembly Committee on Commerce and Labor
February 27, 2009
Page 29

Chairman Conklin:

I think that would be a better question for the cities and counties. There are a good number of employees who are already covered.

Rusty McAllister:

One of the difficult parts of determining the cost is that a small percentage of employees will need this benefit, yet actuarial evaluations paint the worst case scenario.

Assemblywoman Kirkpatrick:

Are there requirements to be an arson investigator?

Rusty McAllister:

Yes, there are requirements and standards that have to be met to be a fire arson investigator. They have to have law enforcement and investigative training through the fire service.

Assemblywoman Kirkpatrick:

Is one of them being a fireman?

Rusty McAllister:

Because rural departments cannot afford a full-time investigator, a large portion of the fire investigative responsibilities of rural local departments are met by using the Nevada State Fire Marshall's Office to do the investigations. The Fire Marshall's Office employees are already covered so that adds no additional cost. I do not know why there is a fiscal note on this bill.

Chairman Conklin:

We could get that information from other sources, but we are considering policy, not fiscal issues. Are there any other questions from the Committee?

Ryan Beaman, President, Clark County Fire Fighters Local 1908, Las Vegas, Nevada:

Assembly Bill 173 is about giving fundamental rights to certain employees in the State of Nevada, including police officers and firefighters, through legislative action (Exhibit M). These employees have the potential to have certain injuries and occupational diseases deemed as occupationally related. The law provides that the illnesses and injuries are conclusively presumed under NRS 617.453, NRS 617.455, NRS 617.457, and NRS 617.485 to have arisen from the course of duty of a firefighter or policeman.

Assembly Committee on Commerce and Labor
Assembly Committee on Commerce and Labor
February 27, 2009
Page 30

5
5

As part of Chapter 617 of NRS, there are certain guidelines to be followed when denying a claim. Most notably is the language, "notwithstanding any provision of this chapter" in NRS 617.453, NRS 617.455, NRS 617.457, and NRS 617.485. This partial phrase is noted in the presumptive statutes and is clearly understood to mean that no other sections within Chapter 617 of NRS are to be used to determine the status of a claim filed under those presumptive statutes. Unfortunately, we found that prior to the last legislative session, Clark County, through their third-party administrator, routinely denied claims filed under the presumptive statutes in Chapter 617 of NRS by utilizing other sections of that chapter on which to base their denial. During that session, legislation was passed to address this situation. During the last two years, Clark County, through Sierra Nevada Administrators, has continually denied presumptive claims in violation of this statute. As a practice, the Appeals Office and Hearings Division of the Department of Administration has also disagreed with the legislative intent of the presumptive statutes.

The first opportunity for the affected employee to have his case reviewed is usually at the district court level, at a great cost to the employee where the legislative intent is observed and the previous administrative decisions are overturned. I have tried to address the issues of claim denials for those cases dealing with presumptive benefits by meeting with staff from Clark County, specifically Sabra Smith-Newby, who facilitated a meeting with Ed Finger, the county comptroller who also oversees risk management for Clark County, to identify the reason for the presumptive claims. Mr. Finger informed me, Brett Fields, Vice President of Local 9280, and Clark County Commissioner Chris Giunchigliani that those claims dealing with heart and lung would be accepted as intended by the Legislature.

The opposition to the bill may say they accept claims under NRS 617.453, NRS 617.455, NRS 617.457 and NRS 617.485, which is true some of the time. We always see claims accepted with no questions asked for the line-of-duty deaths and retirees. This is due to a previous Supreme Court decision. You may also hear from those in opposition that they are winning these claims under the provisions of NRS 617.358 and NRS 671.440. This is correct. The hearings officer and appeals officers are cutting and pasting the same decision as a third-party administrator. At that time, the union and the employee must make the decision to pay the outstanding medical bill, usually about \$1,500, or pay an attorney to represent the member in district court at a cost of about \$10,000.

The County seems to have no problem denying these claims as they do not use in-house counsel for representation. They have entered into a contract with outside counsel to defend the decisions made by their third party administrator.

0000330

Assembly Committee on Commerce and Labor
Assembly Committee on Commerce and Labor
February 27, 2009
Page 31

b
6

For the calendar year 2008, Clark County spent \$48,000 to fight these claims. Clark County and Sierra Nevada Administrators still fail to follow the direction of this Legislature and this Committee. Last session, Chairman Conklin stated that this Legislature has tried to make it clear that denying claims that should be undeniable is not an acceptable practice. This bill is a small step to again try to address those issues, and passage of this bill would further this mission.

Chairman Conklin:

It does not seem right that we have some taxpayer-funded employers, who should be following the letter of the law, who continue to turn a blind eye to the wishes of the Legislature. Are there any questions for Mr. Beaman? There are none. Is there anyone to testify in support of A.B. 173?

Ronald P. Dreher, Government Affairs Director, Peace Officers Research Association of Nevada, Reno, Nevada:

We are greatly in debt to Ms. Mastroluca for bringing this legislation forward, and we are requesting your support and passage of A.B. 173. One of our objectives is to allow all of our professional peace officers the same benefits. We will have accomplished this when Assembly Bill 214 is heard; it provides for our state park rangers and Department of Public Safety employees who were left out of the bill. Assembly Bill 173 provides the industrial injury occupational disease coverage for arson investigators (Exhibit N).

Robert L. Holley, President, Park Ranger Association of Nevada, Reno, Nevada:

The Park Ranger Association of Nevada encourages you to support and pass this bill (Exhibit O).

Chairman Conklin:

Are there any questions from the Committee? There are none. Is there anyone else wishing to testify in support of this bill? Is there anyone to testify in opposition?

Stephen W. Driscoll, Assistant City Manager, The City of Sparks, Sparks, Nevada:

This bill is intended to help the City of Sparks and is long overdue for our arson investigators. The only opposition we have is that we continue to be unable to screen for genetic preconditions that may become the catalyst that causes an employee to have a heart or lung condition (Exhibit P). The second thing is that there is no responsibility for a retiree to maintain cardiac or respiratory wellness to maintain this benefit. We would like some of the responsibility put on the employees for either the genetic preconditions or some heart and lung wellness

Assembly Committee on Commerce and Labor
February 27, 2009
Page 32

7

because this is a fabulous benefit. We embrace presumptive behaviors, we work with that, and deny very few claims.

Chairman Conklin:

So, you are in support of this bill and want amendments to heart and lung in general, but not the provisions of this bill?

Stephen W. Driscoll:

That is correct.

Assemblyman Settlemeyer:

Could you do that as part of your physical examination? Why does it need to be through the State Legislature and not your department?

Stephen W. Driscoll:

It is my understanding that is not something that we are able to do through negotiation processes or administrative processes.

Chairman Conklin:

I believe that under the heart and lung statute the only way a heart and lung case can be denied is if there is a preexisting condition. I imagine that is something that can be tested for because the Ways and Means Committee can tell us exactly how many people have a predisposition who they are working with to correct. Those numbers are specific to people who are covered by the heart and lung statute.

Assemblyman Anderson:

Arson investigators are not part of the heart and lung provisions because they are not former firefighters, but I presume they have to meet specific hiring qualifications.

Stephen W. Driscoll:

You are correct. In the current job description, which the City of Sparks has had for more than 15 years, there is a progression and a job description that has certain requirements including Peace Officers' Standards and Training Level II certification and certain skills in fire investigation. The description does not require that they are former law enforcement personnel or firefighters. Our current arson investigators have come to us through other means and have received proper training.

Assembly Committee on Commerce and Labor
February 27, 2009
Page 33

8
8

Assemblyman Anderson:

Do you include the fire arson investigators in your actuarial studies?

Stephen W. Driscoll:

They have not been included. If this bill passes, they would be included.

Assemblyman Anderson:

Would that add to your and their compensation levels?

Stephen W. Driscoll:

Yes, sir.

Chairman Conklin:

Are there any other questions for Mr. Driscoll? There are none. Is there anyone else wishing to testify in opposition to the bill?

Victoria Robinson, Manager, Insurance Services, City of Las Vegas, Las Vegas, Nevada:

The City of Las Vegas cannot support this bill. We have the utmost respect and admiration for our firefighters. We have always endeavored to provide them with excellent salary and benefits. We believe this reflects our appreciation for the vital service they provide to our citizens. However, we cannot in good conscience support this bill. We feel it is important that you understand why. Under these presumptive statutes, heart disease, lung disease, and cancer are all considered compensable. The City currently pays over \$1 million per year under presumptive benefits which is 35 percent of everything we pay out for all Workers' Compensation. The reserves that we have to set aside for these claims represent 90 percent of our total reserves. For each permanent total claim under these statutes we now reserve \$1.4 million. We are accepting claims and have approximately 40 permanent total disabilities and another 100 or so claims that have been filed for "not total" disability benefits.

Proponents of this bill have indicated to our staff they believe that this legislation has no effect on the City because our fire investigators are required to have at least five years in the fire services, and by default, they are covered by this statute. We would like to point out that job descriptions change and needs of governments change. What is required now may not always be required. Even though we are not immediately affected, other government entities like the City of Sparks may be. It is no secret that the

Reports for Kevin Evans Reports for Kevin Evans

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
E41	At 0912 hours on Tuesday August 19, 2008 we were dispatched to remove smoke or odor. Six units were assigned to this incident. Eighteen personnel responded. We arrived on scene at 0919 hours and cleared at 0948 hours. The incident occurred at 6221 Lullaby Pine Ct, Las Vegas in District 01619-21. The local station is 41. The general description of this property is 1 or 2 family dwelling. The primary task(s) performed at the scene by responding personnel was investigation. No mutual/automatic aid was given or received.	
	Alarm number 8198379 has been assigned to this incident. S: E41 responded to a smell of smoke in the master bedroom. E41 on scene in command. P/R stated light smoke in the master bedroom. A: E9 was assigned investigation with E41 crew. E41 observed a/c breaker in service panel was tripped. T9 to check a/c unit in attic. No obvious signs of fire or smoke were observed. E48 and R41 released. C: occupant was advised to have a certified air conditioning repair person service and repair a/c units. Command terminated: all other units available.	
E9	S: Report of smoke in the master bedroom of a house. E41 on scene in Command. E9 assigned by Command as Investigation.	
	A: Inspection of the house and upstairs revealed a slight smell of smoke in the upstairs master bedroom. The smell was decreasing. The house had open windows that may have reduced the smell. E41 reported that the A/C breaker to one of the units was found in the tripped position. The house had 3 HVAC units. The upstairs unit was not working. Inspection of the three fan units located in the attic, found that one was not working and the other 2 were functioning. No indication of any immediate problem was found on this unit but we did not disassemble it.. The power switch on the affected unit was turned off as a precaution.	
	C: Occupant was advised to get a repair person to inspect / fix the unit. E9 was released by Command and returned to service.	
8/25/2008	Narratives:	
8203858	8/25/2008 9:59:04PM	AR1 40-Fire In Building 111
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 08252008-8203858 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088203858 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: NLV 20088203858	
AR1	AR1 provided water and air	
E201	S: E201 responded to fire in 2 story residence, light smoke showing. E53 on scene investigating. B1 in command.	
	A: E201 assigned search, found one canine alive in fire room, took the dog out to owner. No other assignments given.	
	C: Fire in building, search, one live dog found with no apparent injuries, released.	
T3 Narrative	S: T3 responded to a fire in a building. E53 on scene in command of a one story home with a fire on the upstairs bedroom.	
	A: T3 assigned to assist fire attack and quickly reassigned to RIT. Performed ventilation controlled utilities and removed bars from the windows. Checked for CO levels with the 5 gas monitor and found 40 PPM concentrations downstairs. Ventilated the house with electric blower and rechecked for CO. Found CO levels down to 10 PPM.	
	C: T3 released by command and returned to service.	
E203	E203 arrived and staged. E203A met face to face with command and was assigned secondary life search of the structure. E203 found and brought two 2 dogs from down stairs to the backyard. Both were uninjured. A third dog was noted to be outside already. A search of the second floor turned up nothing. E203 was released by command on completion of their assignment. Tools were gathered and E203 returned to service.	
R201	R201 staged and given no assignment.	

0000297

us for Kevin Evans
us for Kevin Evans

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
PIO1	Homeowner came to office at 8:45 a.m. on Tuesday 8/26/08 requesting assistance stating he was told he could not return to his residence. Looked up the incident and found it is in the city of Las Vegas proper, provided him with LVFR Fire Foundation cards of #0844,0850,0852,0853,0854, gave him After the Fire booklet and made contact with his insurance company ALLSTATE and assisted him with making a claim. Notified fire investigators about incident and advised them no investigators responded on the call. Fire investigator Neal Carter responded to headquarters and met with the home owner to make a report. Did not respond on the original call because dispatch advised this was a North Las Vegas incident.	
E3	E3 responded to FIB. E3 assigned to stand-by hydrant. E3 reassigned to assist with FA. Reported to FA and was not needed. E3 returned to service.	
9/4/2008	Narratives:	
8211730	9/4/2008 2:11:05AM R10 40-Fire In Building	123
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 09042008-8211730 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088211730 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: CC 20088211730	
air1	air.	
E10	Responded to reported FIB. Arrived to find E5 in command of large fire in car dealership (Land rover) 4 connected mobile homes previously used as a sales office were heavily involved in fire. E10 used bolt cutters to open entry gate off sahara. Assigned to assess exposer. Found none immediately threatened and then assigned to FA. Used 2 1/2" hoseline to extinguish fires. Prolonged overhaul with copious amounts of water for over 2 hours. E10 released from scene after extinguishment. All equipment intact.	
T6	S: T6 responded to a report of trailers on fire in the back lot of a car dealership. T6 arrived with B10 in command and was assigned to assist fire Attack. A: T6 manned hose lines, used chainsaws to cut access holes and the ladder pipe. T6 also assisted with overhaul and pickup. C: Assignment was completed, T6 was released and returned to service.	
E6	s: E6 responded to a FIB with e5 in command. This is a car dealership with 4 trailers on fire and rear of dealership. a: arrived, assigned fire attack with E5, defensive fire, multiple 2 1/2 line deployed to knock down fire, extensive overhaul using foam interior when fire was under control c: arrived, extinguished, overhaul returned to service	

0000298

P-ns for Kevin Evans

P-ns for Kevin Evans

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
B10	<p>S: B10 responded to a report of a FIB at the rear of this address - smoke was visible en route - E5 arrived and est Sahara Command and reported difficulty getting to the rear of the car dealership - FE made and units entered the rear of the complex</p> <p>A: B10 arrived and assumed IC from E5 - There were four portable trailers connected together that made a large office type structure - The trailers were fully involved and it was announced that all units were in a defensive mode - E5 was in charge of FA (E6, T6) - 2 1/2" lines were used to protect vehicles parked around the trailers and to knock down the fire. E10 was assigned as Exposure Grp - E10 reported that the vehicles around the trailers were protected by FA and that there were no other issues or exposures to be concerned about - E10 was then assigned to On-Deck - R5 was assigned to assist with FA and Command requested a second rescue - R10 reported to the scene and they were assigned Med Grp - Med group provided rehab to units on scene. HR21 arrived and they were assigned to assist FA open the exterior of the trailers to assist with extinguishment - their assignment was complete and they were released from the scene - E10 assigned to FA while crews were rotated through rehab or re-cycled - The fire was eventually knockdown and it was reported that due to the extent of the fire that a primary was not completed - E5 coordinated Overhaul with crews assigned to FA - Command was then turned back to E5 and B10 returned to qtrs.</p> <p>C: B10 responded to a FIB - four trailers on fire - Assumed IC and assigned units - Knockdown achieved by assigned units - Overhaul assigned - Command turned back to E5 - B10 returned to service.</p>	
E5	<p>S: E5 respond to a FIB in the area of Sahara and Edmond. On arrival found correct location to be the Rang Rover auto dealership. To the rear of dealership found office trailer fully involved.</p> <p>A: E5 established Sahara command and gave size up. E5 forced entry through front gate. Command announced that this would be a defensive fire. B10 arrived and assigned E5 FA and assumed command. FA had E5, E10, E6, T6 and R5 pull 1.75 and 2.5 inch lines off E5 to extinguish trailer fire and protect exposure. E5 established its own water supply. FA later used 5inch hose to pump a ground monitor and T6. FA announced water on the fire and later a knock down. All crews were rotated to rehab. All crews were assigned overhaul. Investigators arrived and completed its investigation. FA completed overhaul and returned all equipment and hose lines onto apparatus. Command released all units after incident was completed.</p> <p>C: Trailer was completely destroyed by fire due to involvement on arrival. Two Range Rovers vin# SALME11413A140642 and SALPV1446XA425478 suffered damage to rear of vehicle. all equipment and hose were returned to apparatus. Command terminated and E5 returned to service.</p>	
9/7/2008	<p>Narratives:</p>	
8214892	9/7/2008 6:40:28PM	AR1 40-Fire In Building 111
CAD Narrative	<p>CAD Master Incident Number: 09072008-8214892 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088214892 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088214892</p>	
AR1	<p>AR1 cancelled enroute by command</p>	
E2	<p>S: E2 ASSUMED COMMAND OF AN ELECTRICAL FIRE IN AN OUTDOOR FOUNTAIN PUMP. THE FIRE EXTENDED TO THE EAVES OF THE HOUSE AND BROKE OUT A WINDOW. THE FIRE WAS BEING EXTINGUISHED BY A MAN WITH A GARDEN HOSE.</p> <p>A: E2 MADE SURE THE FIRE WAS OUT AND CHECKED FOR EXTENSION. E2 OPENED THE EAVES AND FOUND SOME HOT SPOTS THAT WE EXTINGUISHED WITH A GARDEN HOSE. E2 PUT VISQUEEN OVER THE BROKEN WINDOW. E2 TURNED THE POWER OFF TO THE HOUSE. E2 TALKED TO THE REAL ESTATE AGENT THAT HAD THE VACANT HOUSE FOR SALE AND SHE STATED SHE WOULD HAVE SOMEONE LOOK AT THE DAMAGE THE NEXT DAY.</p> <p>C: E2 RETURNED TO SERVICE</p>	

0000299

Plans for Kevin Evans

Plans for Kevin Evans

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
R2	At 1840 hours on Sunday September 7, 2008 we were dispatched to a building fire. Nine units were assigned to this incident. Sixteen personnel responded. We arrived on scene at 1847 hours and cleared at 1942 hours. The incident occurred at 2816 COAST LINE Ct, Las Vegas in District 02616-46. The local station is 02. The general description of this property is 1 or 2 family dwelling. The primary task(s) performed at the scene by responding personnel were salvage and overhaul. Mutual aid was received on this incident.	
	The involved structure is described as an enclosed building. The building was vacant and secured. "Machinery room or area" best describes the primary use of the room or space where the fire originated. This building has one story above ground. The fire occurred on the first floor. The fire was confined to the floor of origin. "Heat from powered equipment" best describes the heat source that caused the ignition. Failure of equipment or heat source caused the ignition. "Electrical failure, malfunction" contributed to the ignition of the fire.	
	The estimated property loss on this incident was \$3,000. The estimated content loss was \$200. The estimated property value was \$3,000. The estimated content value was \$200.	
	Alarm number 8214892 has been assigned to this incident.	
	R2 was assigned with E2 for investigation. R2 assisted with overhaul. R2 10-8	
hr44	hr44 cancelled at scene without assignment.	
9/8/2008	Narratives:	
8215835	9/8/2008 6:33:59PM	E10 40-Fire In Building 111
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 09082008-8215835 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088215835 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088215835	
T4	S: Responded to FIB on 2nd alarm, smoke showing from roof.	
	A: Arrived with 1st alarm units on scene, B1 in command. T4 assigned staging area manager. T4 set staging area to west of fire building in dirt lot. Fire controlled and staging area units released.	
	C: T4 completed assignments, no injuries reported, returned to service with 1st alarm units still on scene.	
E10	Responded on 2nd alarm on FIB. Arrived staged and cancelled without assignment.	
E201	S: E201 responded to fire in commercial structure (Bar), B1 in command, E8 Fire attack reporting fire in attic, smoke showing from roof.	
	A: E201 assigned search, entered behind fire attack, smoke to floor, low visibility, performed search, reported to command.	
	C: E201 search, primary all clear, no other assignments given, released by command.	
PIO1	Responded to 2nd alarm call from home. Reported to IC on scene. Gathered informaton. Return to quarters. No media released issued.	
CBRNE 8	CBRNE 8 responded to a fire in a building, as part of a first alarm assignment. On arrival CBRNE 8 was given the assignment of RIT, by command. A 360 was conducted and tools assembled in preparation of RIT functions. CBRNE 8 was released and return to service.	

0000300

Rpts for Kevin Evans

Rpts for Kevin Evans

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
T1	S: Responded on a 1st alarm for a possible building fire. R14 arrived first and reported smoke showing from the roof and that this was a working fire. B1 assumed Command upon arrival.	
	A: UOA, T1 was assigned Roof Division to perform vertical ventilation. Placed ground ladder on the NE corner and ascended to the roof with 4. Smoke was showing from the AB and the CD corners. The roof was intact and sturdy. T1 cut 2 separate vent holes and had effective smoke production from both. Reported completion of assignment to Command and we exited the roof with 4. T1 then assigned secondary search. Entered the structure with 4 and upon completion of the search, reported a secondary all-clear to Command. T1 out of the building with 4. No further assignments for T1.	
	C: FIB response, vertical ventilation, secondary life search, released by Command (now E8), replaced all equipment, returned to service.	
R204	S: Responded to FIB on 2nd alarm, smoke showing from roof.	
	A: Arrived with 1st alarm units on scene, B1 in command. R204 assigned to staging area manager. Fire controlled and staging area units released.	
	C: R204 completed assignment, no injuries reported, returned to service with 1st alarm units still on scene.	
HR 44	Cancelled enroute.	
E8	S: E8 responded to a report of a FIB with smoke from the A/C unit. Upon arrival R14 in command with smoke showing from a stand alone bar. R14 called a working fire. E8 assumed command and reported black smoke showing from the roof as well as doors. E8 would be pulling lines for FA. B1 arrived and assumed command.	
	A: E8 pulled lines and became FA. E8 entered the structure from the front, found heavy smoke to the floor interior. E8 pulled ceilings and found fire in the attic and reported to command. E8 extinguished fire using water and foam. Ventilation was set up at the door with and exhaust hole made on the B side of the structure. Vertical ventilation was also obtained. FA reported water on the fire and a knock down. E8 conducted salvage operations covering equipment and overhauled the involved area. Command was returned to E8 and health dept as well as NPC were notified. NPC arrived and pulled meter. E8 met with owner, discussed and explained all actions. Fire was confined to the area around the A/C return vent with heavy charring to the structural members in this area. Fire appeared to be electrical in nature with it starting in the attic area. Business was occupied at the time of the incident.	
	C: E8 Command, FA, salvage/overhaul. All units released, command terminated.	
E1	ASSIST WITH F/A	
9/17/2008	Narratives:	
8223598	9/17/2008 8:30:29PM E10 40-Fire In Building 111	
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 09172008-8223598 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088223598 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: CC 20088223598	
E10	Cancelled at scene unassigned.	
9/21/2008	Narratives:	
8226818	9/21/2008 3:30:15PM R10 40-Fire In Building 445	
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 09212008-8226818 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088226818 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088226818	

0000301

Plans for Kevin Evans**Plans for Kevin Evans**

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
E10	Responded to reported fib. Arrived to find ss home with ns and occupants outside. E10 established command and investigated.	
	Smell of electrical inside house. Resident reports AC unit was pooping and smoke/smell from registers. Req E203 to roof to check ac. E203 reports burnt wiring with no extension on electrical feed into unit.	
	Command released all units. Occupant advised to retain an electrician. Command terminated.	
HR44	HR44 cancelled enroute by E10.	
R10	Responded and staged on scene. R10 released without assignment.	
T3	T3 cancelled enroute by comand.	
CBRNE 8	Cancelled en route	
E203	unit arrived and was assigned to the roof to check the air handler. E203 found the electrical wires to the unit had shorted and burned. No fire or smoke upon opening unit. E203 was released to service.	
9/23/2008	Narratives:	
8228814	9/23/2008 10:15:22PM T6 40-Fire In Building 111	
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 09232008-8228814 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088228814 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088228814	
e2	s-e2 arrived third, staged. b4 took command	
	a-assigned to secondary search by command. relayed secondary all clear to command, assisted with overhaul in the living room area. helped pick up hose. released	
	c-picked up equipemnt and returned to station	
HR44	S: Arrived on scene with B10 in command of a working fire in a residential single story home. HR44 assigned as RIT.	
	A: Set up scene lighting, removed security doors front and rear, 360 access made. Stand by.	
	C: Assignments completed with fire reported out and overhaul operations under way. HR44 released by command.	
PIO1	Responded to incident from home as PIO. Arrived on scene and gathered information from command and fire investigators. Met with the media on scene and gave interviews. Returned to quarters and disseminated media release 08-145.	
E6	S: Dispatched to fib with full alarm. Notes stating house full of smoke no fire.	
	A: On arrival E6 ic, single story single family dwelling smoke showing from gable vent on east side. E6 fast attack next in company assume command. House was secure and had metal screen door, e6 forced entry. T6 on scene assuming command e6 now fire attack with T6 crew. On entry e6 encountered heavy smoke mod. heat advised command need vent. Crew pulled ceilings and search of house but no vis flame found. Advised B-10 (now ic) poss arson fire due to burn patterns interior. Primary life search all clear. 6ida units needed. B10 trans command back to E6. 6ida units advised of situation, all units released with exception of e6 t6. Assisted ida units with inv, overhaul. Ida units confirmed arson and damage loss of \$50,000. Command terminated.	
	C: IC, fire attack, forcible entry, primary life search, assist 6ida and overhaul.	

0000302

Plans for Kevin Evans**Plans for Kevin Evans**

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
E44	E44 responded to report of FIB. E44 arrived to find abandoned house w/ smoke t/o. No visible fire. E44 had command transferred to us and assigned T6 to vent and assigned my crew to assist w/ f/a.	
	B10 assumed command and assigned E44 to F/A. E44 took in second line and pulled ceiling looking for fire. E44 extinguished small smoldering fire on floor of hallway and found no other fire. E44 performed primary and continued looking for fire pulling ceiling. found nothing but smoke. E44 exited and filled bottles.	
	E44 cleared by command (E6) and returned to service.	
T6	S: T6 with full response to FIB. PR is neighbor, states smoke coming from a vacant home. E6 on scene in Command, reports smoke coming from gable vents of a single story house, making a fast attack, next in Engine Assume Command.	
	A: T6 arrived before next in Engine. T6 assumed Command. Home had a "for sale" sign in front yard. E6 was using circular saw to remove steel security gate on front door. T6 assigned crew to control utilities and provide PPV. Assigned R6 to pull a backup line and assist Fire Attack. Reported that a water supply was already being established. E44 arrived and assumed Command. T6 cut East gate for access to backyard. Gas was in the "on" position although house was vacant. T6 also removed part of the West side-yard fence for backyard access to electrical panel. Motion lights activated on back patio. Power was on to home on our arrival. T6 turned power off at panel. Reported utilities were controlled to Command. Nevada Power arrived a short time later and removed meter. Rear sliding glass door was locked and intact on arrival. Fire Attack requesting ventilation. T6 broke rear sliding glass door out. PPV to front door. T6 interior with 3 to assist with pulling ceiling. Heavy smoke throughout. No visible fire. No furniture in home. Scene appeared suspicious due to amount of smoke, melted thermostat on wall, and fire damage although there was no contents to burn. Requested Arson Investigators to respond. T6 exited building and met with Command. After investigators completed initial investigation, T6 assisted them with removing debris for photographs. Investigators released structure to T6 for overhaul. More ceiling pulled to prep home for foam ops. T6 exited structure and E6 completed extinguishing with foam. Fire out.	
	C: T6 controlled utilities, exhaust opening, PPV, lights, cut gates, pulled ceiling, assisted with overhaul. T6 released.	
9/25/2008	Narratives:	
8229942	9/25/2008 5:21:04AM AR1 40-Fire In Building	111
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 09252008-8229942 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088229942 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088229942	
R7	Cancelled while in staging.	
E7	S: E7 responded to a report of a fire in the theater at Palo Verde High School as part of a full alarm. T7 arrived in Command of a High School with nothing showing, investigating, all units continue. T7 announced sprinkler activation and smoke in the theater.	
	A: E7 arrived and was assigned to fire attack. Upon entering the theater, cold smoke was encountered to the floor, T7 crew was on the stage reporting that there was sprinkler activation, fire was extinguished, and needed ventilation. FA made our way to the stage to assist T7 with checking for extension. Heavy cold smoke conditions were encountered, doors were opened to assist with ventilation, a small glow was noticed in a metal cabinet with the TIC, the cabinet was opened and a small smoldering fire was extinguished with a water extinguisher, the next cabinet was opened and a small fire was extinguished with a water extinguisher, all other cabinets were clear. Sprinklers extinguished the remainder of the fire prior to arrival. E47 shut down the sprinkler system, FA cleared the building with 3 to allow investigators into the building.	
	C: Fire in a theater at a high school, E7 was assigned to fire attack, small smoldering fires were extinguished with a water extinguisher, all other fire was extinguished by the sprinkler system prior to arrival, interior crews were pulled from the building to allow for fire investigation, E7 was released by command (T7), E7 available.	

0000303

Plans for Kevin Evans

Plans for Kevin Evans

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
E47	Arrived and was assigned Riser control room shutoff. E47 coordinated with fire attack and shutdown system and then more fire was discovered and it was turned back on. E47 crew met with Maintenance and walked school to assess smoke damage or extension. East end of school all clear. Principal advised of school's condition. E47 released by command.	
T7	S: T7 respond to a reported FIB at Palo Verde H.S., T7 arrived on scene, established command and met with school maintenance. T7 to investigate, E7 assigned to F.A.. Maintenance opened locked theater doors, T7 initially encountered and reported light smoke at the front of the theater, water activation heard. A: T7 continued to investigate, thick cold smoke to the rear of the theater, sprinkler activation at the rear of the theater stage. No indication of a fire reported at this time, fire believed to have been extinguished by sprinkler. E28 assigned to ventilation. B10 to assume command, T7 assigned to interior with E7. T7 assisted with ventilation and opened double side exit doors, T7 supplied interior lighting. T7 conducted primary search and reported all clear to command. E7 located and extinguished small fire inside metal wardrobe cabinets that were protected from sprinkler water. T7 opened vertical ventilation hatch to assist in ventilation. Fire investigators on scene. T7 to assume command. T7 stayed on scene to assist investigators. T7 removed equipment and returned to service, Command terminated. C: T7 established command, investigated, conducted primary search, aided in ventilation, provided lighting. T7 informed H.S. principal that the theater is without fire protection, alarm system and water sprinkler system. School district to provide water removal.	
B10	S: B10 responded to a report of a Alarms going off for the past hour and a half - T7 arrived and established Command - This was the theatre of Palo Verde HS - Maintenance met with T7 and open the structure - smoke was report inside the structure. - It was also reported by T7 that they could hear water running - E28 assigned by T7 to provide PPV A: B10 arrived and assumed IC - B10 assigned T7 to lead Interior group with E7 - T7 reported that the fire was extinguished by sprinklers - E47 was assigned to meet with maintenance and locate the riser shut-off. Interior Grp assigned to conduct a Primary - Primary complete and reported to dispatch - Since PPV was in place E28 was assigned to conduct a Secondary - Secondary all clear reported by E28 and relayed to dispatch. E7 reported to command that they located fire in a filing cabinet - They were able to extinguish with a water extinguisher. E47 located the rise and was able to shut-off the sprinklers after confirmation to shut down by Interior Grp. Interior consulted with maintenance to discuss opening the top of the theater for ventilation - crews directed towards the back of the stage - hatch device located and Interior crews were able to open the top of the roof vents - This helped evacuate the remaining smoke in the theater. Fire Investigators were requested - Investigation conducted by 6IDA units. IC met with school authorities and discussed a plan to open the remainder of the school and to keep the theater shut-down once Investigation complete and to establish a fire watch since the riser to the theater was shut down. IC was then turned over to T7. C: B10 responded to a FIB - B10 assumed Command - assignments given and completed by on-scene units - IC given back to T7 - B10 returned to service.	
10/5/2008	Narratives:	
8238874	10/5/2008 2:42:46PM E10 40-Fire In Building 700	
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 10052008-8238874 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088238874 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088238874	
E10	Cancelled enroute.	
R5	R5 cancelled en route.	
E5	E5 was cancelled en route. E5 conformed that this was a BBQ. E5 went available returning.	
8238989	10/5/2008 6:56:45PM E10 40-Fire In Building 111	
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 10052008-8238989 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088238989 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088238989	

0000304

Plans for Kevin Evans**Plans for Kevin Evans**

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
R6	R6 arrived on scene and staged. R6 was cancelled by command w/out assignment. R6 available.	
E10	Cancelled at scene unassigned.	
E5	<p>S: E5 arrived on scene at 4485 Pennwood Ave, Apartment 130. E5 gave the initial size up, established Pennwood Command, reduced all incoming units, and went to investigate.</p> <p>A: E5 made entry into the apartment, and found a blackened/charred electrical outlet plug. The power was secured. E5 gave a report, and cancelled all units responding, and on scene. The occupant was advised to report this outlet to their maintenance person, and to leave the power off until maintenance can fix the problem.</p> <p>C: E5 turned over the scene to the occupant, and informed them of what we found and to not restore the power until maintenance does. E5 went available.</p>	
10/14/2008	Narratives:	
8245896	10/14/2008 7:14:16AM E10	43-Vehicle Fire 131
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 10142008-8245896 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088245896 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088245896	
E10	<p>Responded to vehicle fire in palace station parking lot. Arrived to find same. Small car with smoke and flames from engine and passenger compartment. E10 Used attack lines to extinguish fire.</p> <p>Driver said he just bought vehicle and still had temp tags. Was driving and vehicle stalled. He restarted and smoke came from under hood. PS security handled removal of vehicle which was total loss.</p>	
10/18/2008	Narratives:	
8249883	10/18/2008 11:29:20PM AR1	40-Fire In Building 111
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 10182008-8249883 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088249883 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088249883	
T6	T6 arrived, staged and was released, unassigned.	
PIO1	<p>Responded to incident from home as PIO. Arrived on scene and gathered information from occupants of house, incident command and fire investigators. Worked with occupants on relief efforts. Return to quarters.</p> <p>Disseminated media release 08-149.</p>	

0000305

Plans for Kevin Evans

Plans for Kevin Evans

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
6/19/2008	Narratives:	
8145103	6/19/2008 11:38:39PM AR1 40-Fire In Building	111
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 06192008-8145103 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088145103 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088145103	
R8	S: Responded with others to reported fire in a house with multiple callers. R8 arrived, established Brady Command, and reported a single story residence with smoke showing. E8 arrived and assumed Command. A: Assigned by Command to medical group. No injuries and no victims found. C: Released by Command, with no further assignment.	
T1	S: Responded on a 1st alarm for a reported building fire. B1 was Command of a 1-story house with smoke showing. A: T1 arrived and was assigned ventilation. T1 placed 2nd PPV fan at front door. Exhaust opening had been made. T1 set-up portable lights. T1 then assigned secondary life search. SAC reported to Command. T1 then assisted E8 checking for extension in the fire room. Overhaul was delayed while awaiting investigators. No further assignments for T1. C: Released by Command (now E8), replaced all equipment, T1 returned to service.	
AR1	Air and water	
E8	S: This call was for FIB with multiply callers. R8 arrived on scene stated single story family dwelling, smoke showing, Brady command. A: E8 arrived on scene assumed command stated it is as R8 said, we have smoke coming from the rear, pulling a attack line, cbrne get ventilation and passing command to cbrne and E8 Captain going interior with crew, Fire was in the kitchen, back door was open, report of knockdown on fire, primary all clear, vacant building. Cbrne controlled utilities, blower at front door, B1 had assumed command, then later passed it back. Contacted board up company for kitchen window, Nev Power pull meter. C: fire in kitchen with multiply starting points, 6IDA's arrived and confirmed arson, neighbor stated they saw smoke, came and opened the back door to check for anyone inside, they haven't seen any workers over there for over a week (house is being renovated). Extinguish, overhaul, investigate, release units, terminate command after Nevada power and board up company.	
6/21/2008	Narratives:	
8146399	6/21/2008 1:15:23PM R10 40-Fire In Building	111
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 06212008-8146399 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088146399 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088146399	
E3	S: E3 responded on 2nd alarm to FIB with B1 in command. A: Upon arrival, assigned on-deck and to report to command post. Reassigned overhaul group with E6 and T6. Face to face with FA and proceeded interior with four for overhaul. E6 instructed to perform exterior overhaul on west side of structure. Pulled ceiling and extinguished hot spots with foam. Exited structure with all personnel accounted for. Rehab. C: 2nd alarm, overhauled, rehabed, gathered tools and equipment, returned to service.	
air1	air and rehab	

0000289

Plans for Kevin Evans

Plans for Kevin Evans

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
T3	<p>S: T3 responded on a first alarm assignment to a fire in building. E5 was in command of a single story house with flames showing from the rear of the structure. E5 transferred command to E203.</p> <p>A: T3 arrived and assigned ventilation group. Ventilation group was to provide PPV to the front door. Ventilation group with three placed a blower at the door and noticed the fire appeared to be in the attic. Ventilation group gathered equipment for vertical ventilation. B1 assumed command and requested vertical ventilation. Ventilation group placed three 4x4 holes close to the seat of the fire. Fire exited from the vent holes. Ventilation group coordinated with fire attack and began to extinguish the fire from the roof. Fire attack reported a knockdown. Ventilation group off the roof with three.</p> <p>C: T3 went to rehab, picked up equipment, and was released by command. T3 available.</p>	
B10	<p>S: B10 responded as part of a second alarm of a single story residence - B10 tracked units en route.</p> <p>A: B10 arrived and report to B1 - B10 assigned to assist with tracking units and other command functions - All assignments completed.</p> <p>C: B10 responded to a 2 alarm fire - assisted with command post duties - returned to service.</p>	
E203	<p>S: E203 arrived on scene and assumed command. E5 was on scene and was inside as fire attack. E10 arrived and was assigned to assist E5 with fire attack. T3 was assigned to positive pressure vent at front door and secure utilities. Batt 1 arrived and assumed command.</p> <p>A: E203 was assigned to RIT. Unit did 360 around house, noted animals (approx - 5) in back yard , handled electrical and gas shut off. E203 was reassigned to 'Fire Attack' Pulling third line from E5 and entering back yard thru west side gate. Fire was located on outside of house (north west). After knockdown, E203 was assigned to primary life search. E203 ordered to 'Rehab' .</p> <p>C: E203 assisted with overhaul. Unit released back to service.</p>	
E10	<p>Responded to reported FIB. Arrived at ss house with heavy fire showing. Assigned FA w/E203 crew and E10. Made entry to find light smoke and heavy fire in attic. FA Crew pulled ceiling and extinguished fire. Evacuated multiple pets. Performed extensive search of interior.</p> <p>Assisted E5 with equipment. Released and RTS.</p>	
E5	<p>S: E5 respond to FIB @ 4008 Fulton. On arrival had a single story, single family dwelling with heavy smoke and fire coming from the rear BC side of the structure.</p> <p>A: E5 established command and gave a size up. E5 announced that E5 would be FA and make entry through the front of the structure. FA pulled a 150 foot preconnect and set up PPV at the front door. I announced that I was going interior with my crew and the next in engine assume command. R10 announced on scene. I initially reported that we did not have a water supply, but E5 quickly located a hydrant and I ordered R10 to assist with the water supply. FA made forced entry into structure. FA announced water on the fire after entry was made. E10 assisted E5 with FA. FA then reported fire in the attic and started extinguishment on attic area. Knock down on fire was achieved and results reported to B1 who was now command. FA passed its assignment to E3 and E5 and E10 went to rehab. E5 rotated through rehab and later assisted with overhaul. B1 passed command back to E5. Investigators arrived and started its investigation. Command had Basch Construction called to board up house. Crews were released and E5, E10, R5, and T3 finished overhaul. Command released all remaining units once all hose and equipment was returned to apparatus. Investigators reported to command that fire was under investigation.</p> <p>C: Cause of fire is still under investigation at the time of this report. Estimated damage @ \$175K. All assigned tasks were completed and results reported to command. Command terminated and E5 returned to service.</p>	

0000290

Plans for Kevin Evans**Plans for Kevin Evans**

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
HR44	HR44 responded to report of FIB and was assigned RIT uoa.	
	HR44 cached RIT equip on A side of house. HR44 performed 360 and found adequate access and egress on both A and D sides of house. Vent group had adequate egress from roof. RIT shut off the water per command. RIT had the pool/spa area in the back yard taped off for safety.	
	HR44 rehydrated and was released by command. HR returned to service.	
E6	S: E6 responded to a FIB as part of a second alarm. E6 arrived and staged.	
	A: E6 was assigned to Overhaul group and overhauled the outside of the structure on the B side.	
	C: Assignment was completed, E6 was released and returned to service.	
R204	Assisted in Rehab w/R10.	
R10	R10 arrived and was assigned to establish water supply and join fire attack. Upon establishing water supply, reassigned to establish Medical Group. Task completed and was reassigned to EMS-1 under Rehab. Task completed and was released.	
6/24/2008	Narratives:	
8148959	6/24/2008 11:38:59AM	E201 43-Vehicle Fire 131
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 06242008-8148959 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088148959 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088148959	
E201	At 1138 hours on Tuesday June 24, 2008 we were dispatched to a passenger vehicle fire. One unit was assigned to this incident. Four personnel responded. We arrived on scene at 1143 hours and cleared at 1148 hours. The incident occurred at On E Washington Ave at N Eastern Ave, Las Vegas in District 02327-51. The general description of this property is street. The primary task(s) performed at the scene by responding personnel were salvage and overhaul. No mutual/automatic aid was given or received.	
	"Fireworks" best describes the heat source that caused the ignition. The cause of ignition is undetermined after investigation. "Abandoned or discarded materials or products" contributed to the ignition of the fire.	
	Alarm number 8148959 has been assigned to this incident.	
7/11/2008	Narratives:	
8164385	7/11/2008 11:30:54AM	E10 40-Fire In Building 111
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 07112008-8164385 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088164385 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088164385	
E10	Responded to reported FIB. Arrived to find E12 in command of ss townhome with smoke and flames showing from roof and attic. E10 assigned FA. E10 went interior and pulled ceiling to reveal heavy attic fire. Used multiple openings in multiple rooms to knockdown fire. Heavy damage to interior from water and ceiling parts. E10 performed secondary search and unit was clear.	
	B1 released command to E10 and E10 assured extinguishment. Requested Boardup and talked to residents about alternative housing. Units released as available. ARC and boardup company arrived. Command terminated and all units released.	

0000291

ns for Kevin Evans
ns for Kevin Evans

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
E5	S: On arrival E12 in command of a single story apartment with moderate smoke coming from roof are on east end of structure.	
	A: E5 announced arrival and assigned PPV to front of apartment and primary. E5 announced primary all clear on apartment 3000 El Camino and evacuated 5 persons from the two apartments to the west. E5 had command assign a rescue to one individual who was on O2 and in a wheel chair. All persons evac safely with no injuries. E5 to rehab. E5 assisted in overhaul. E5 released.	
	C: All assigned tasks were completed and results reported to command.	
R10	S: R10 Responded to reported FIB. Arrived to find E12 in command of ss townhome with smoke and flames showing from roof and attic. R10 assigned 1 RIT.	
	A: R10 pulled a RIT line off of Engine 10 and gathered a compliment of Rit tools. R10 was then reassigned to EMS at the North side of the structure for an occupant experiencing some respiratory distress. R10 found patient who refused transport to hospital but stated he felt like he was having an anxiety attack. R10 removed patient from environment. R10 assisted patient and his wife with gathering belongings and made arrangements for alternative lodging.	
	C: R10 released by E10	
T1	S: Responded on a 1st alarm for a building fire. E12 was Command and reported heavy smoke from the attic area of a 2-story SFD. Units were making an offensive attack. B1 assumed Command.	
	A: On arrival, T1 assigned vertical ventilation. Ground ladder placed on East side of roof, where majority of the smoke was coming from. The involved area was actually a 1-story portion of a 2-story MFD. The smoke was gray and with moderate pressure. The roof was pitched, shake shingles covered by insulation then covered by sheet metal shingles. In order to open the roof, we had to first cut away the sheet metal shingles, then cut through the insulation and wood shingles below. Ventilation progress reported to Command. 2 vent holes were completed (1 on each face of the pitch). We also removed the metal shingles in several other areas to expose hidden fire that was burning within the shake shingles. We were then relieved by T3 on the roof. After recycling, we went to the roof with T3 to finish overhauling. We removed the metal shingles in several other areas to expose charred wood and smoldering areas below. TIC was used along with booster line and Class A foam to complete overhaul on the roof. No further assignments for T1.	
	C: FIB response, vertical ventilation, overhaul, released by Command, replaced all equipment, returned to service.	

0000292

Plans for Kevin Evans

Plans for Kevin Evans

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
CBRN8	At 1130 hours on Friday July 11, 2008 we were dispatched to a building fire. Seventeen units were assigned to this incident. Thirty-eight personnel responded. We arrived on scene at 1136 hours and cleared at 1323 hours. The incident occurred at 3000 El Camino Ave, Las Vegas in District 02523-85. The local station is 10. The general description of this property is multifamily dwelling. The primary task(s) performed at the scene by responding personnel was incident command. Automatic aid was received on this incident.	
	The involved structure is described as an enclosed building. The building was occupied and operating. "Attic: vacant, crawl space above top story" best describes the primary use of the room or space where the fire originated. This building has one story above ground. The fire occurred on the first floor. The fire was confined to the floor of origin. "Heat from powered equipment" best describes the heat source that caused the ignition. The cause of ignition was unintentional. The material first ignited was "wood or paper, processed". The use, or purpose of the material that was first ignited was "structural member or framing". "Mechanical failure, malfunction" contributed to the ignition of the fire.	
	The material contributing most to flame spread was "wood or paper, processed". The use, or purpose of the contributing material was "structural member or framing".	
	The building was equipped with smoke detectors. The detection system was battery operated.	
	The estimated property loss on this incident was \$150,000. The estimated property value was \$150,000.	
	Alarm number 8164385 has been assigned to this incident.	
	S: CBRN8 responded with first alarm after B1 requested add to incident. Arrived, announced, assigned RIT. A: Gathered tool cache on A side of structure. During 360, advised that E5 has secured electric to units 3000 and 3002. RIT continued assessment of access/egress points. Monitored incident from A and D sides with visuals on Roof Div and FA Group personnel. Disbanded RIT when scene stabilized and crews began overhaul efforts. Released from scene per ElCamino Command; with permission, returned to roof to view construction/roofing material. C: Tasks completed. Crew intact. Tools retrieved. Available, returning.	
B1	S: Batt 1 responded to a FIAB at 3000 El Camino, enroute, E12 reports heavy smoke and flames showing form a single story structure, and assumes "Command". He assigns FA to E10 with e12's crew, and requested 2 more3 engines.. A: I arrived and found heavy smoke conditions as reported by E12, assumed command reviewed the Tac. work sheet with E12, and assigned him to join his crew with E10 on fire attack. I also assigned T1 verticle ventilation, and ppv. Engine 5 was assigned the primary, but got distracted evacuation possible fire victims from the exposures, he completed his first assignment as soon as victims were removed. He had one possible smoke inhalation victim, and requested a rescue. I gave him R10, to address the pt.. I called a second alarm (the balance) and 1 AMR unit. CBRN8 was assigned RIT, later T3 was assigned to the roof division to assist with roof top overhaul. E4, E1 were utilized to rotate FA crews for relief. E201 was released with out assignment. R12 was assigned Rehab with Air 1 and EMS 1. C: The fire was extinguished, and crews were demobilized.	
PIO1	Arrived on scene and gathered information from command, occupant of unit and fire investigators. Maintained media area on scene, escorted media and arranged for interviews. Assisted occupant with gift cards from LVFR Fire Foundation card #0586,0587,0588,0589,0590. Disseminated media release 08-113/	
7/20/2008	Narratives:	
8172398	7/20/2008 1:18:50PM E10 40-Fire In Building 111	
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 07202008-8172398 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088172398 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088172398	

0000293

Plans for Kevin Evans**Plans for Kevin Evans**

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
E5	S: B1 in command of a single family house with light smoke showing. E10 interior on FA A: E5 assigned primary. E5 reported primary all clear. E5 released by command. C: Assignment completed.	
E10	Responded to reported FIB. Arrived at ss brick house w/light smoke showing from attic. PR Reports unoccupied house. E10 made entry and after short search found single room and contents fire in rear bedroom. E10 used attack line to extinguish. Exposed attic and wall and extinguished fire. E10 re-assumed command from B1 and interviewed owner who raised suspicion of arson by transients. Requested IDA units and boardup company. E10 released all units, confirmed extinguishment visually and with TIC, Command terminated with IDA on scene.	
T-1	S: Dispatched to 1516 Hastings, Single story, single family residence. Light smoke showing on arrival. A: Batt 1 in command. T-1 assigned to RIT. Equip collected and set for deployment. 360 showed no obstruction to evac. Electrician secured. T-1 set lights and Lg blower. RIT stand by. Released by command. C: Dispatched to FIB. RIT. Returned to service.	
PIO1	Disseminated Media Release 08-115	
7/21/2008	Narratives:	
8172869	7/21/2008 2:07:28AM E10 43-Vehicle Fire	600
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 07212008-8172869 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088172869 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088172869	
E10	No fire or problem upon arrival reported by vehicle occupant. E10 available.	
8/6/2008	Narratives:	
8187193	8/6/2008 11:58:21AM E10 40-Fire In Building	111
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 08062008-8187193 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088187193 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088187193	
T1	S: T1 responded to a reported FIB on Z1 TAC5. U/A of a two story apartment complex w/light smoke showing from a downstairs window, Command assigned T1; 1. Ventilation. 2. Secondary life search. A: 1. T1 brought a blower to the front door of apt., a window was taken out by FA for exhaust. 2. A secondary search was reported all clear. T1 also checked the two upstairs apt. for poss. extension/smoke, all was reported to Command as clear. C: Fire was confined to kitchen with no extension, T1 was released and BIS.	
HR44	HR44 cancelled on scene by Command	
R201	UNIT GIVEN NO ASSIGNMENT.	

0000294

Plans for Kevin Evans

Plans for Kevin Evans

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
E201	S: E201 arrived to front of a two story apartments. smoke showing .E201 established J J street command. E1 assigned fire attack with E201 crew. t1 assigned to vent and utilites.E10 assigned RIT A : E1 reported fire in the kitchen, knocked down, Also a primary. T1 preformed a secondary. dispatch responded red cross. all other units released. C: E1,T1 And E201 10-8	

E1	S: E1 Responded to report of FIB, upon arrival E1 assigned fire attack with e201 crew to fire in a first floor apt of a 2 story multi apt complex. A: Entered affected apt. to find small fire in kitchen , extinguished without incident. Unit was ventilated successfully . C: Negative extension to any other area of apt, E1 returned to service	
----	--	--

8/7/2008 Narratives:

8187875	8/7/2008 7:57:16AM	E10	40-Fire In Building	735
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 08072008-8187875 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088187875 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088187875			

B1	S: Responded to a possible fire in a building. E10 established command and reported nothing showing/investigating at a multi-story U-shaped occupancy with people evacuating. Command then assigned E3 to Division #2, and R3 to Evacuation Group. Command then reported that this was a false call, and that E10 and E3 could handle. Command released all other units. A: B1 monitored activities while responding. C: E10 remained in command and B1 returned to service.	
----	--	--

e203	unit cancelled onscene per command	
------	------------------------------------	--

R10	PER COMMAND R10 WAS TO WALK TO THE REAR OF THE BUILDING AND CHECK TO MAKE SURE RESIDENTS HAD EVACUATED. ENROUTE TO ASSIGNMENT, COMMAND CANCELLED R10 FALSE ALARM. NO OTHER ACTION TAKEN BY R10	
-----	--	--

E3	E3 assigned to 2nd story to look for pull station activation. Located broken pull station and reported to command. E3 released by command.	
----	--	--

E10	Responded to reported fire alarms with smoke at a assisted living facility. Arrived and established command. Alarms sounding and residents had evacuated. Investigated and no smoke or fire present. System reset and did not reactivate. Alarmco responding to check system. All units released. Command terminated, E10 RTS.	
-----	--	--

CBRNE 8	cancelled en route	
---------	--------------------	--

8/8/2008 Narratives:

8188672	8/8/2008 3:45:45AM	E10	40-Fire In Building	111
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 08082008-8188672 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088188672 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: CC 20088188672			

0000295

Plans for Kevin Evans

Plans for Kevin Evans

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
Engine 4	S: Fire in a building, pot on a stove that entended into microwave and cabinets. Upon arrival smoke showing with tennants of apartment complex directing to apartment of concern. Tennant relates leaving a pot on the stove and falling asleep. Awakened by smoke and not smoke alarm.	
	A: Extinguish and overhaul kitchen area of origin. Confirm 1st and 2nd live search all clear to command as well as knock down and loss stop.	
	C: Secure equipment and return to service.	
E10	Cancelled at scene unassigned.	
8/14/2008	Narratives:	
8194499	8/14/2008 7:43:02PM E42 40-Fire In Building 653	
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 08142008-8194499 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088194499 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088194499	
E-42	E42 cancelled enroute by E6, no fire.	
E43	E43 cancelled enroute. per command	
R6	R6 arrived on scene and staged. No assignment given. R6 available.	
E6	E6 responded to a poss FIB per pr. Upon arrival E6 found a BBQ with no hazard.	
T6	cancelled at at scene	
8/19/2008	Narratives:	
8198379	8/19/2008 9:12:51AM T9 44-Smoke Investigati 5311	
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 08192008-8198379 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088198379 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088198379	
B10	S: Responded to a possible fire in a building. E41 established command and reported nothing showing/investigating. Command then assigned E9 and e41 crew to Fire Investigations. Investigations reported very light smoke checking the attic space. Command reported that two A/C breakers were blown ion the fuse panel. Command then released E48 and R9.	
	A: While responding I called B15 and asked If I was needed since command was releasing units and they advised no.	
	C: E41 remained in command and B10 returned to service.	
R41	R41 dispatched to a Smoke investigation in a building.	
	R41 staged by a hydrant	
	R41 released by CMD no assignment given	

0000296

Puns for Kevin Evans

Puns for Kevin Evans

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
Truck 4	<p>S: Truck 4 was dispatched as part of a full response to a reported FIB in a hospital. Per dispatch notes just south of hospital. E-5 arrived on scene with the correct address reporting smoke from the eaves of a single story residential.</p> <p>A: Truck 4 arrived to find a single story residential with smoke showing from the eaves. T-4 assigned to place PPV fan at the front door and control utilities. T-4 place a large PPV fan at the front door, confirmed exit opening via the roof and went to control utilities. The power was turned off (no meter found) it also appeared that the wiring was missing from the electrical box. F/A requested more ventilation, T-4 placed a second PPV fan at the front door. T-4 reassigned to Secondary life search. Secondary life search completed with no victims found. T-4 gathered equipment and returned to service.</p> <p>C: Arrived, assisted with ventilation placed 2 PPV fans at front door, confirmed no power to building. secondary life search. released by command.</p>	
Truck 4	<p>S: Truck 4 was dispatched as part of a full response to a reported FIB in a hospital. Per dispatch notes just south of hospital. E-5 arrived on scene with the correct address reporting smoke from the eaves of a single story residential.</p> <p>A: Truck 4 arrived to find a single story residential with smoke showing from the eaves. T-4 assigned to place PPV fan at the front door and control utilities. T-4 place a large PPV fan at the front door, confirmed exit opening via the roof and went to control utilities. The power was turned off (no meter found) it also appeared that the wiring was missing from the electrical box. F/A requested more ventilation, T-4 placed a second PPV fan at the front door. T-4 reassigned to Secondary life search. Secondary life search completed with no victims found. T-4 gathered equipment and returned to service.</p> <p>C: Arrived, assisted with ventilation placed 2 PPV fans at front door, confirmed no power to building. secondary life search. released by command.</p>	
E4	<p>S: E4 responded as part of a full dispatch to UMC Hospital. Information changed and E4 was redirected to a nearby address in the same area. First in unit reported a single story house with smoke coming from the eaves. E5 arrived, assumed command and reported attic fire.</p> <p>A: Initially E4 was assigned to RIT and to control utilities. B1 assumed command and reassigned E4 to bring a line to T1 on the roof. E4 remained with T1 and assisted with ventilation. E4 changed out SCBA bottles and was reassigned to interior with E203 and E5 to extinguish hotspots.</p> <p>C: E4 released by command (E5) and returned to service.</p>	
E4	<p>S: E4 responded as part of a full dispatch to UMC Hospital. Information changed and E4 was redirected to a nearby address in the same area. First in unit reported a single story house with smoke coming from the eaves. E5 arrived, assumed command and reported attic fire.</p> <p>A: Initially E4 was assigned to RIT and to control utilities. B1 assumed command and reassigned E4 to bring a line to T1 on the roof. E4 remained with T1 and assisted with ventilation. E4 changed out SCBA bottles and was reassigned to interior with E203 and E5 to extinguish hotspots.</p> <p>C: E4 released by command (E5) and returned to service.</p>	

Plans for Kevin Evans

Plans for Kevin Evans

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
E10	<p>S: E10 responded code 3 to 1800 W. Charleston for a reported fire in a hospital. Enroute the FAO reported that this was an odor of smoke inside, but they were not able to locate a source. B1 down graded this to a single company response with E10 responding. UOA in the area we saw a volume of light gray, low lying smoke on the south side of Charleston, with no obvious source. A new PR reported the fire to be at 2047 W. Charleston. E10 requested a full assignment. T1 arrived at the address and reported no fire. R10 located the fire at 2231 Edgewood, and established Command.</p> <p>A: UOA to this address E10 was assigned as Fire Attack with the crew of E5. Multiple hand lines were pulled from E5 to the main entrance on the "A" side for an offensive fire attack. FA entered and found heavy smoke to the floor and high heat. It was apparent the fire was closer to the "C" side and over our heads. FA requested both PPV and vertical ventilation. Upon completion of this conditions improved and E10 advanced lines to the seat of the fire on the first floor and attic areas, extinguishing fire as we went. E5 captain was then assigned to FA. Our two crews divided into FA1 and FA2 and advanced separate hoselines to attack the fire in different directions. Knockdown achieved and relayed to Command. FA1 began overhaul until air was low and then cycled through rehab. Exiting Rehab we were assigned overhaul. PIA done and E10 was released. All objectives met and assignments completed.</p> <p>C: Set fire in an unoccupied house.</p>	
E10	<p>S: E10 responded code 3 to 1800 W. Charleston for a reported fire in a hospital. Enroute the FAO reported that this was an odor of smoke inside, but they were not able to locate a source. B1 down graded this to a single company response with E10 responding. UOA in the area we saw a volume of light gray, low lying smoke on the south side of Charleston, with no obvious source. A new PR reported the fire to be at 2047 W. Charleston. E10 requested a full assignment. T1 arrived at the address and reported no fire. R10 located the fire at 2231 Edgewood, and established Command.</p> <p>A: UOA to this address E10 was assigned as Fire Attack with the crew of E5. Multiple hand lines were pulled from E5 to the main entrance on the "A" side for an offensive fire attack. FA entered and found heavy smoke to the floor and high heat. It was apparent the fire was closer to the "C" side and over our heads. FA requested both PPV and vertical ventilation. Upon completion of this conditions improved and E10 advanced lines to the seat of the fire on the first floor and attic areas, extinguishing fire as we went. E5 captain was then assigned to FA. Our two crews divided into FA1 and FA2 and advanced separate hoselines to attack the fire in different directions. Knockdown achieved and relayed to Command. FA1 began overhaul until air was low and then cycled through rehab. Exiting Rehab we were assigned overhaul. PIA done and E10 was released. All objectives met and assignments completed.</p> <p>C: Set fire in an unoccupied house.</p>	
CBRNE8	<p>S: upon arrival B1 in command of a large single family residence with heavy fire showing through ventiation hole in roof.</p> <p>A: assigned RIT, provided 360 and opened up 2 entry / egress points on all sides of building, provided lighting to front and rear, HR44 assigned to CBRNE8, they stood by at point of FA entry prepared for RIT operations, later assisted with overhaul and hitting hot spots.</p> <p>C: released by command, returned to service.</p>	
CBRNE8	<p>S: upon arrival B1 in command of a large single family residence with heavy fire showing through ventiation hole in roof.</p> <p>A: assigned RIT, provided 360 and opened up 2 entry / egress points on all sides of building, provided lighting to front and rear, HR44 assigned to CBRNE8, they stood by at point of FA entry prepared for RIT operations, later assisted with overhaul and hitting hot spots.</p> <p>C: released by command, returned to service.</p>	
R5	<p>Note: R5 arrived and staged on Edgewood st. R5 was assigned to the rehab group. R5 set up rehab and assisted. R5 was released approx 20 minutes after getting the rehab assignment. No further action was taken by R5. R5 was released and turned rehab over to R10. R5 went available.</p>	

0000279

Plans for Kevin Evans**Plans for Kevin Evans**

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
R5	Note: R5 arrived and staged on Edgewood st. R5 was assigned to the rehab group. R5 set up rehab and assisted. R5 was released approx 20 minutes after getting the rehab assignment. No further action was taken by R5. R5 was released and turned rehab over to R10. R5 went available.	
E5	<p>S: e5 dispatched to a possible hospital fire. Enroute, reports came in that this is possibly a fire to the south. R10 located a house fire on Edgewood street., reported smoke from the eaves of a large house.</p> <p>A: E5 arrived and established Edgewood command, moderate smoke coming from all sides. e5 pulled attack line to front door. E10 arrived and assigned fire attack with e5 crew, engineer getting a water supply. Due to the size of this home an additional engine and rescue were called. e 203 assigned primary life search, T1 to go to the roof and ventilate, E4 assigned RIT. B1 arrived and assumed command, e5 captain went interior and met up with crew. All benchmarks reported after E5 had passed command.</p> <p>C: Cause undetermined. Multiple rooms burned, B1 passed command back top e5. Investigators entered and when they were finished the entire inside of the building was foamed, checked with thermal imager. All units released, scene was left with board up company. Command terminated, E5 returned to service.</p>	
E5	<p>S: e5 dispatched to a possible hospital fire. Enroute, reports came in that this is possibly a fire to the south. R10 located a house fire on Edgewood street., reported smoke from the eaves of a large house.</p> <p>A: E5 arrived and established Edgewood command, moderate smoke coming from all sides. e5 pulled attack line to front door. E10 arrived and assigned fire attack with e5 crew, engineer getting a water supply. Due to the size of this home an additional engine and rescue were called. e 203 assigned primary life search, T1 to go to the roof and ventilate, E4 assigned RIT. B1 arrived and assumed command, e5 captain went interior and met up with crew. All benchmarks reported after E5 had passed command.</p> <p>C: Cause undetermined. Multiple rooms burned, B1 passed command back top e5. Investigators entered and when they were finished the entire inside of the building was foamed, checked with thermal imager. All units released, scene was left with board up company. Command terminated, E5 returned to service.</p>	
HR44	<p>S: HR44 DISPATCHED TO A FIB, ON ARRIVAL STAGED TO THE WEST AND AWAITED ASSIGNMENT. HR44 ASSIGNED RIT UNDER CBRNE8.</p> <p>A: HR44 MADE CONTACT WITH CBRNE8 AND HR44 ASSIGNED TO COVER THE FRONT OF THE STRUCTURE AND TO LOCATED AN UNUSED HANDLINE FOR POSSIBLE DEPLOYMENT. HR44 LOCATED A HANDLINE AND STAGED RIT EQUIPMENT TO THE FRONT.</p> <p>C: HR44 RELEASED FROM RIT ASSIGNMENT WITHOUT DEPLOYMENT AND RELEASED BY COMMAND, HR44 REPLACED EQUIPMENT AND RETURNED TO SERVICE.</p>	
HR44	<p>S: HR44 DISPATCHED TO A FIB, ON ARRIVAL STAGED TO THE WEST AND AWAITED ASSIGNMENT. HR44 ASSIGNED RIT UNDER CBRNE8.</p> <p>A: HR44 MADE CONTACT WITH CBRNE8 AND HR44 ASSIGNED TO COVER THE FRONT OF THE STRUCTURE AND TO LOCATED AN UNUSED HANDLINE FOR POSSIBLE DEPLOYMENT. HR44 LOCATED A HANDLINE AND STAGED RIT EQUIPMENT TO THE FRONT.</p> <p>C: HR44 RELEASED FROM RIT ASSIGNMENT WITHOUT DEPLOYMENT AND RELEASED BY COMMAND, HR44 REPLACED EQUIPMENT AND RETURNED TO SERVICE.</p>	
3/16/2008	Narratives:	
8062656	3/16/2008 6:24:57PM	E43 40-Fire In Building 100
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 03162008-8062656 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088062656 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088062656	
HR44	HR44 cx en route	
E43	Cancelled at the scene by command.	

0000280

Prints for Kevin Evans**Prints for Kevin Evans**

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
E9	S: E9 responded to a reported "grease fire" to stated address. Arrived to find residents outside of ss residence with nothing showing and stating that they had the fire on a stove out. Homeowner had used an extinguisher on the stove top and fire was out. Light smoke filled the home. A: E9 gave size-up and investigated. All units except Engine and Truck 9 released. E9 checked fire area and extension with TIC - nothing further found. Used the blower to clear remaining smoke and advised to keep doors open a while longer. T9 released. C: Stove top fire - accidental. Fire contained to stove.	
R9	R9 canceled on scene by command. R9 returned to service.	
t9	Staged on scene no assignment given.	
3/26/2008	Narratives:	
8070878	3/26/2008 12:44:19PM E5 40-Fire In Building	743
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 03262008-8070878 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088070878 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088070878	
E6	E6 arrived, staged and was cancelled	
e5	Cx enroute	
E2	S: E2 responded to a FIB with reports of alarms going off and smell of smoke. B10 arrived and established command. E2 was assigned to investigation. A: E2 met with occupants and they stated that they smelled smoke and then 5 minutes later the alarms went off and they evacuated. There was a slight smell of smoke upon entering the offices. The offices are Metro's Internal Affairs Division. There was no alarm panel on scene. TSI security reported that the alarms were coming from a duct detector. B10 cancelled all units except E2 and T6. B10 passed command to E2. T6 laddered the building and checked the AC units on the roof. They all appeared to be working properly. E2 found a duct reset switch. After resetting the system the alarms didn't go off again. C: Command released T6. Command told the occupants to continue to have TSI respond. If there was anymore problems to call back.	
T6	S: T6 responded to a reported fib with a full alarm. Upon arrival E2 was in command and investigating there was nothing showing. A: T6 was assigned to assist in investigation of a smell of smoke. T6 checked HVAC units with negative results. Alarm company was called to respond. C: T6 assisted in reset of system and was released. T6 returned to service.	
4/10/2008	Narratives:	
8083498	4/10/2008 9:15:00AM AR1 40-Fire In Building	111
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 04102008-8083498 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088083498 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088083498	
T9	S: 2nd alarm response to FIB ordered to stage on Pavillion Center / Alta. A: T9 announced arrival and staged. C: T9 cancelled at the scene.	

0000281

Puns for Kevin Evans

Puns for Kevin Evans

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
E47	S: UPON ARRIVAL E7 WAS IN COMMAND OF A FIRE IN THE LOCKER ROOM OF A HIGH SCHOOL GYM. THERE WAS MEDIUM SMOKE COMING FROM THE LOCKER ROOM DOORS. E47 WAS PASSED COMMAND FROM E7. A: E47 PULLED A 250' BACKUP LIVE LINE, AND MADE E7 FIRE ATTACK WITH E47 CREW. T7 HAD PPV AT THE DOOR AND WAS THEN ASSIGNED TO OPEN THE SKY LIGHTS ON THE ROOF. E47 CALLED A SECOND ALARM AND HAD THEM STAGE ON ALTA. E2 WAS ASSIGNED TO THE GYM TO CHECK FOR EXTENSION AND GIVE A PRIMARY LIFE SEARCH. R7 WAS ASSIGNED TO HELP WITH A WATER SUPPLY AND THEN WAS ASSIGNED TO MED GROUP. B1 ARRIVED ON SCENE AND ASSUMED COMMAND AND E47 WENT INSIDE WITH CREW. E47 BROUGHT IN BOLT CUTTERS TO CUT THE LOCKS OFF THE INTERIOR GATES AND EXTINGUISHED THE FIRE INSIDE THE LOCKERS. E47 WENT TO REHAB. E47 WENT BACK INSIDE AND ASSISTED WITH REMOVING WATER FROM THE FLOOR. C: E47 PICKED UP TOOLS AND EQUIPMENT AND RETURNED TO SERVICE.	
E5	S: On arrival B1 in command of a FIB at Palo Verde HS. E5 part of second alarm assignment. A: E5 assigned to FA with E47, and E26. FA reported two sprinklers activated in boys locker area. Sprinklers had confined fire to a bank of 16 lockers. FA extinguish small fires located inside lockers and completed overhaul. FA reported results to command. E5 released after fire had been extinguished. C: E5 completed and reported all results to command.	
HR44	HR44 responded to report of FIB at Palo Verde hs. HR arrv on scene as was assigned RIT. HR44 cached RIT equipment, started fans at F/A point of entry and ensured an exhaust opening on west side of locker room. HR performed a 360 and evaluated scene for poss. FF rescue. E2 assigned to RIT by command. HR44 capt. met w/ E2 and assigned them RIT 2 w/ HR44 personnel being RIT 1. RIT 2 remained on west side and RIT 1 on east side. RIT then assigned to shut down sprinkler system. RIT 1 made access to FCR w/ help from maintenance, shut down system and drained it. No other assignments given to RIT and RIT remained intact until all crews were out of IDLH. HR44 was released by command and returned to service.	
E7	S: E7 Responded initially to a report of water flow at Palo Verde High School. Enroute, Dispatch notified E7 that the call was upgraded to a FIB. Upon arrival E7 assumed Palo Verde Command and was directed by onsite personnel to the north of the building. PR reported a fire problem in the boys locker room. E7 was met with thick black smoke upon inspection of the area. A: E7 passed command and conducted fire attack efforts with E47 crew. Fire attack found the seat of the fire wick was found to be several banks of lockers within the locker room. C: E7 went to rehab after knockdown subsequent fire investigation by ida units. E7 was reassigned command for demobilization.	
R7	R7 provided manpower at scene, assisted with rehab and was assigned to transport one pt.. Pt refused transport and would not sign the AMA. EMS 1 witnessed the AMA and took it with him. R7 was released from the scene.	

Runs for Kevin Evans**Runs for Kevin Evans**

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
E2	S: Report of smoke coming from a men's locker room at a high school. E2 arrive and was assigned to investigation the main gym area for smoke and fire extension.	
	A: E2 had to get school personnel to unlock two set of doors to allow us access to the gym area. E2 investigation revealed that there was a light haze in the gym area but no fire extension. A primary search of the gym and women locker room was conducted. The area was all clear of occupants. E2 open the west side passageway doors to the outside as well as the the doors into the men's locker room in an effort to improve smoke conditions for Fire Attack. The outside doors were found closed & locked so as only to be able to be opened from the inside when closed. E2 obtained keys from school personnel and unlocked the 'varsity' locker room door. There was no fire extension and only a light amount of smoke in this area of the men's locker room. E2 was reassigned to RIT under HR44. We stood by on the west side of the structure until RIT was released. E2 assisted in cleaning up the sprinkler water inside of the locker room.	
	C: E2 was released by Command after picking up fire equipment. E2 returned to service.	
airl	air,	
E6	s: E6 responded on 2nd alarm to reported fib at high school.	
	a: E6 arrived and met with B1. E6 initially assigned horizontal ventilation then reassigned to assist T7 with vertical ventilation. E6 along with T7 cut an inspection hole on roof. moderate amount of unpressurized residual smoke noted from hole. Vertical ventilation cancelled by command. E6 reassigned +pressure ventilation. Blowers moved from east to west doors to work in conjunction with prevailing winds.	
	c: E6 completed assignments, released by command. E6 available.	
4/19/2008	Narratives:	
8091417	4/19/2008 10:29:47AM E47 44-Smoke Investigati	5310
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 04192008-8091417 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088091417 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088091417	
E47	S: E47 responded to a report of smoke in the area. Upon arrival we found no smoke visible.	
	A: E47 looked around the area and found no problems.	
	C: E47 returned to service.	
4/23/2008	Narratives:	
8094844	4/23/2008 10:38:17AM R10 40-Fire In Building	111
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 04232008-8094844 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088094844 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088094844	
B1	S: Responded to a possible fire in a building. E10 established command and reported nothing showing/investigating. Command then assigned T4 to Investigations Group, and R10 to EMS Group. Investigations reported that there was no extension. Command then advised that E10, R10 and T4.	
	A: B1 monitored activities while responding.	
	C: E10 remained in command and B1 returned to service.	
R10	R10 assigned to check on two people for possible smoke inhalation. One male and one female state they went into the house to extinguish a grease fire. The both state they are fine and will call us back if needed. R10 was then released by command.	
E4	E4 stood by with no assignment given. Released by command (E10).	

0000283

Runs for Kevin Evans**Runs for Kevin Evans**

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
T4	S: E10 in command. T4 assigned to investigate.	
	A: T4 reported that this was a stove top fire that scorched the overhead cabinets but did not extend. T4 assisted with overhaul and ppv.	
	C: Command returned T4 to service.	
Engine 10	<p>At 1038 hours on Wednesday April 23, 2008 we were dispatched to a building fire. Seven units were assigned to this incident. Fifteen personnel responded. We arrived on scene at 1043 hours and cleared at 1113 hours. The incident occurred at 1705 S 6TH St, Las Vegas in District 2525-55. The general description of this property is 1 or 2 family dwelling. The primary task(s) performed at the scene by responding personnel was investigation. Automatic aid was received on this incident.</p> <p>Engine 10 assumed command and assigned T-4 to investigate. Rescue 10 was asked to check the two residents for any medical.</p> <p>The involved structure is described as an enclosed building. The building was occupied and operating. "Cooking area, kitchen" best describes the primary use of the room or space where the fire originated. This building has one story above ground. The fire occurred on the first floor. The fire was confined to the room of origin. "Spark, ember or flame from operating equipment" best describes the heat source that caused the ignition. The cause of ignition was unintentional. The material first ignited was "food, starch". The use, or purpose of the material that was first ignited was "cooking materials".</p> <p>The material contributing most to flame spread was "food, starch". The use, or purpose of the contributing material was "cooking materials".</p> <p>The building was equipped with smoke detectors. The detector(s) operated properly. The detector(s) alerted the occupants and the occupants responded.</p> <p>The fire was out on arrival, T-4 checked for extension and none found. All units returned to service except for T-4 and E-10. Salvage and overhaul by T-4. Used electric blower to clear house of smoke.</p> <p>The estimated property loss on this incident was \$5,000. The estimated property value was \$5,000.</p> <p>Command terminated tac 5 clear, E-10 in service.</p> <p>Alarm number 8094844 has been assigned to this incident.</p>	
4/26/2008	Narratives:	
8097906	4/26/2008 8:38:20PM	E2 42-Brush/Grass Fire 142
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 04262008-8097906 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088097906 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088097906	
E2	Report of kids setting bushes on fire. E2 arrived to find nobody around. There was a small area that appeared to have been on fire recently. The area was cold. E2 returned to service.	
4/30/2008	Narratives:	
8101481	4/30/2008 9:56:15PM	E45 40-Fire In Building 131
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 04302008-8101481 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088101481 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088101481	
E9	E9 was cancelled in route by dispatch.	
E47	E47 was cancelled while enroute by E45. E45 was on scene command. E47 returned to service.	
T9	T9 was cancelled enroute by command.	

0000284

Plans for Kevin Evans**Plans for Kevin Evans**

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
E45	S: E45 on scene in command (Red Rose command) on the "A" side of a two story single family structure with fire showing from the bed of a pick up truck in the driveway, one palm tree is involved and the house is being impinged upon.	
	A: Establish command, my crew initiated fire attack, R45 was assigned evacuation group for the home, announced water on the fire and knockdown and then determined that the fire had not extended into the home, other responding units were released back to service. Smoke in the garage and house was cleared by natural ventilation, the house was clear of occupants reported by evac. group. The origin of the fire was the back of the truck and the cause was undetermined, no witnesses to any activity, however, after speaking with the owner, he states that they had a problem with neighbors earlier this evening and so I requested metro come out and take a report. Overhaul was completed and the scene was turned back over to the homeowner.	
	C: Vehicle fire of a undetermined nature, all strategic goals and tactical objectives completed, no injuries reported as a result of this incident, all units returned to service.	

5/3/2008 Narratives:

	8103635	5/3/2008 8:57:59AM	T3	40-Fire In Building	445
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 05032008-8103635 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088103635 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088103635				
R5	Arrived & provided initial size-up for incoming units--E10 assumed command & assigned R5 to assist w/ investigation.				
E10	S: R5 arrived on scene established command and started investigations. R5 met with the PR. R5 reported to E10 on arrival that the PR stated it was a the smell of paper burning after they plugged in a strand of outdoor lights into a new outside mounted receptical. E10 assumed Bryant command.				
	A: E10 investigated the outlet and found normal temperatures using the TIC and to touch. No scorching to the outlet noted. E10 assessed the interior ceiling located inside the home and found normal temperatures via touch and TIC. The breaker box located on the west exterior wall of the home showed all breakers in the on position, none were tripped. The switch to the receptical was turned off at the switch.				
	C: E10 reduced the balance of the alarm and later cancelled the balance. E10 instructed the home owner to keep the switch in the off position and have the electrician who installed the outlet return to assess any possible problems. E10 instructed the home owner to keep someone at the home and to recall 911 if for any reason they smell smoke or something burning. E10 terminated command and returned to service.				

5/5/2008 Narratives:

	8105518	5/5/2008 12:23:57PM	R45	40-Fire In Building	151
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 05052008-8105518 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088105518 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088105518				
E9 & T9	Cancelled enroute per command (E45).				
R45	R45 cancelled at scene by command				
E42	Cancelled en route by E45				
E45	S: E45 RESPONDING TO FIB. E45 ARRIVED TO FIND SMOKE COMEING FROM THE BALCONY OF THE UNIT. E45 TOOK COMMAND AND CANNED EVERYONE.				
	A: E45 MADE ACCESS TO THE BALCONNY AND EXINGUISHE DTHE SMOLDERING MOP HEAD.				
	C: E45 10-8				

5/11/2008 Narratives:**0000285**

Rpts for Kevin Evans

RPTS FOR KEVIN EVANS

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
8110910	5/11/2008 1:47:06PM E5 44-Smoke Investigati	561
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 05112008-8110910 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088110910 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: CC 20088110910	
E5	E5 responded to a smoke investigation at a house south of 5461 Edna Ave. Resident was burning brush and leaves in his backyard. Resident was advised that it was illegal to burn anything in his yard. He extinguished the fire using a garden hose. E5 available.	
8111067	5/11/2008 5:24:25PM E5 43-Vehicle Fire	131
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 05112008-8111067 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088111067 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088111067	
E5	S: E5 responded to a vehicle fire. E5 arrived and found the engine compartment of a minivan full involved and extending into the passenger area. The driver stated he was driving the van when it caught fire. He has owned the car for approx three weeks and hasn't had any problems with it.	
	A: E5 pulled the bumper line and extinguished the fire.	
	C: The drive was advised that it was his responsibility to have the vehicle towed. E5 available.	
5/15/2008	Narratives:	
8114244	5/15/2008 8:28:50AM E10 40-Fire In Building	113
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 05152008-8114244 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088114244 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: NLV 20088114244	
B1	S: E1 arrived and established command and reported nothing showing/investigating. Command then reported that this was a sprinkler head activation and that there would be extensive overhaul and the fire was out.	
	A: B1 arrived and monitored activities on scene. Command advised that E1, T1 and R3 could handle.	
	C: E1 remained in and B1 returned to service.	
E10	E10 cancelled upon arrival by command no assignment given. E10 Available.	
E201	Cancelled at the scene by E1 with no assignment given.	
CBRNE 8	CBRNE 8 canceled en route per command.	
Truck 1	S: Truck 1 was dispatched as part of a full response to a reported FIB. Per dispatch notes apartment on fire. Engine 1 on scene reporting kitchen fire out on arrival with sprinklar activation.	
	A: Truck 1 arrived to find a 2 story apartment building with nothing showing. Command assigned T-1 to control the water to the sprinklar system and assist with salvage in the effected apartment. T-1 assisted E-1 crew in placing sprinklar stops in the activated heads (2). T-1 then assisted in water removal in the effected apartment. T-1 controlled the power to the apartment 118. T-1 released by command and returned to service.	
	C: Arrived, controlled the water, salvage and water removal. T-1 released by command and returned to service.	
E1	S: Responded as a single engine to reports of fire signals at 1626 Davis. Upgraded to a full response while en route.	
	A: Two story apt complex nothing showing. Water flowing out of a first floor apt. Pot on a stove w/ sprinkler activation. T1 salvage and control water. Cancelled all other units.	
	C: Command terminated apt released to management	
5/16/2008	Narratives:	
8114967	5/16/2008 2:10:36AM E10 51-Dumpster Fire	151

Plans for Kevin Evans

Plans for Kevin Evans

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 05162008-8114967 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088114967 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: CC 20088114967	
Engine 10	At 0210 hours on Friday May 16, 2008 we were dispatched to an outside rubbish, trash or waste fire. One unit was assigned to this incident. Four personnel responded. We arrived on scene at 0218 hours and cleared at 0229 hours. The incident occurred at 2530-254 Lynnwood St, Las Vegas in District 02625-13. The local station is 10. The primary task(s) performed at the scene by responding personnel was extinguishment. Automatic aid was given on this incident.	
	Alarm number 8114967 has been assigned to this incident.	
6/1/2008	Narratives:	
8129036	6/1/2008 10:08:15AM	E10 40-Fire In Building 111
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 06012008-8129036 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088129036 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: CC 20088129036	
E10	Responded into county for apartment fire. Arrived and staged. Fire out, E10 cancelled without assignment.	
8129149	6/1/2008 2:01:02PM	E10 40-Fire In Building 111
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 06012008-8129149 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088129149 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: CC 20088129149	
E10	Cancelled at scene unassigned.	
6/2/2008	Narratives:	
8129571	6/2/2008 1:31:54AM	E10 44-Smoke Investigati 113
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 06022008-8129571 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088129571 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088129571	
R10	Stage - released by command	
E10	S: E10 established command upon arrival. E10 assumed investigation. Occupants state pot on the stove. Occupants of apartment in question report no fire. Occupants refuse ventilation by fire department.	
	A: Investigation	
	C: No fire found all units released by command. Command terminated. E10 available.	
6/11/2008	Narratives:	
8137515	6/11/2008 2:16:21AM	AR1 40-Fire In Building 111
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 06112008-8137515 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088137515 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088137515	
T3	S: T3 responded to a reported FIB call with multiple calls. This was located at 1856 N. Decatur Blvd. E203 arrived, gave the initial size up, and gave T3 the assignment of Ventilation, secure Utilities, and RIT.	
	A: T3 provided PPV with 2 blowers to the front door, and the front kitchen windows were already broken out so we had our exhaust opening. We then secured the electricity the the entire building. HR had arrived and they became RIT. T3 was then tasked with checking the second story apartment for any ventilation needs. T3 set up PPV on the second story apartment, and we also performed a secondary life search.	
	C: T3 Captain performed a "Hot Wash" with the other Captains, and T3's crew restored power to the rest of the building. T3 was then released by command. T3 went available returning.	

0000287

Plans for Kevin Evans

Plans for Kevin Evans

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
E43	S: E43 responded to 1856 N Decatur for a possible Kitchen fire. Dispatch informed all units there were multiple calls on this fire. A: Upon arrival Command had us proceed around the structure to the North side for better access. E43 pulled a 200' crosslay off and was assigned to perform a secondary on the unit involved. E43 reported back to command that the secondary was all clear. E43 exited the structure with 3 and reported back to command. No further assignment was given. C: E43 picked all equipment and line and I participated in a after the fire critique. E43 was then released from command and returned to service.	
AR1	Air	
HR44	HR44 responded to report of FIB. HR arrived and placed unit out of the way on north side of apartment unit. HR44 was assigned RIT. HR44 cached RIT equipment and met w/ IC. RIT determined that utilities had been handled already. HR noted where fire was and who was inside. HR noted egress routes and found no unusual safety issues. post knockdown, RIT was demobilized by command and HR was cleared. HR returned to service and participated in PIA.	
E3	S: Responded to FIB with multiple calls. Upon arrival, E203 in command of 2 story apartment with heavy smoke showing from 1st story unit. A: E3 assigned FA with E203 crew. Pulled 250' crosslay. Performed indirect attack on fire coming out window, then proceeded interior to complete knockdown of fire. Performed primary life search and reported all clear. Notified command to respond investigators for suspicious items in apartment. Once investigators finished, overhauled with foam. E3 released by command. C: FA, primary life search, overhaul, all assignments completed without further incident.	
R3	Arrived o/s and entered through the north gate and advised command that we were to the north with a hydrant and that the north gate was assessable from the street. Command assigned R3 to provide a primary search of Div 2. R3 completed primary search of 2 Div 2 units with nothing found and was then assigned by command to evaluate a possible some inhalation victim. R3 gathered the family of 4 with a 20 yom, 19 yom and their 2 children to the back of R3 for a medical eval with nothing found. R3 then asst. the family with AMC and supportive needs.	
E203	S: E203 arrived and established command, report of fire on bottom floor of two story apartment complex. Flame and heavy smoke was visible from front of building. A: Incident was located approx. 200 ft from parking lot. 250 preconnect was pulled by E203's Crew. E3 was assigned with E203's crew to fire attack. E43 was assigned to pull second line from E43 from the north parking lot. T3 was assigned to Ventilation and utilities. R3 was assigned to primary life search, and later called to check the residents for possible smoke problems. HR44 was assigned to RIT. 6IDA were called to incident per Fire Attack. See Arson report. C: Red Cross called for 4 adults and 1 child. No injury to report. Board-Up Crew was onscene as units returned to service. Command terminated.	
6/14/2008	Narratives:	
8140532	6/14/2008 5:05:23PM E10 43-Vehicle Fire 3000	
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 06142008-8140532 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088140532 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088140532	
E10	E10 arrived to find an unoccupied vehicle that appears to have been in a MVC. No patient located no injuries UNLV police on scene. No fire. E10 available.	
E5	E5 cancelled PTA.	

0000288

Plans for Kevin Evans**Plans for Kevin Evans**

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
----------------	------------------	---------------

E2 E2 responded to a report of a stove fire. Upon arrival E2 arrived and established Command. Command reported nothing showing. Command met with the PR and found a pot on the stove with no extention. Command cancelled all units with the exception of R2. R2 assisted in setting up the blower to remove the smoke. The owner asked E2 to keep the windows open to help remove the smoke smell. The owner said she would sleep some where else tonight. E2 terminated command.

R2 R2 assisted E2 with ventilation. R2 10-8 per command.

HR44 HR44 cancelled enroute

7227834	10/9/2007 8:36:39PM	E2	40-Fire In Building	700
---------	---------------------	----	---------------------	-----

CAD Narrative CAD Master Incident Number: 10092007-7227834 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20077227834 Primary
Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20077227834

E2 E2 responded to a report of smoke coming from the backyard in the area of Oakley and Miller. E2 met with the PR who showed the area he saw the smoke. E2 and E44 checked the area and was unable to find signs of smoke. E2 and HR44 were forced to unscrew a bolt while making access through a vehicle gate. The gate was placed back in order after the call. E2 terminated command and returned to service.

R2 R2 stood by until cancelled by command. R2 took no action.

E44 AREA CHECKED, NOTHING FOUND.

HR44 HR44 arrived on scene and staged while E2 (Command) investigated. HR44 assisted E2 with opening a gate. Command found nothing and cancelled HR44.

10/18/2007 Narratives:

7235131	10/18/2007 3:44:16PM	T6	40-Fire In Building	113
---------	----------------------	----	---------------------	-----

CAD Narrative CAD Master Incident Number: 10182007-7235131 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20077235131 Primary
Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20077235131

E43 cancelled by E44 command. E43 available.

T6 T6 cancelled on scene by command.

E6 E6 cancelled on scene by command.

E44 S: E44 responded to report of FIB at 6916 Cornflower Dr. Upon arrival E44 established command in front of two story apartment building with nothing showing. E44 investigated and found PR. PR sts her son was toasting some bread. Apparently bread became stuck in toaster and began to burn. Apartment filled with smoke and PR was alerted by detector.

A: E44 removed toaster from kitchen and found no extension in kitchen. E44 checked both floors and found smoke dissipating from apartment. No damage to toaster or kitchen.

C: E44 advised pr to keep windows opened to remove smoke. E44 terminated command. E44 available.

HR44 HR44 cancelled enroute

R44 CANCELLED AT SCENE.

10/23/2007 Narratives:

7238759	10/23/2007 1:55:49AM	E4	40-Fire In Building	131
---------	----------------------	----	---------------------	-----

CAD Narrative CAD Master Incident Number: 10232007-7238759 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20077238759 Primary
Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20077238759

R4 Cancelled enroute by E4.

Electronically Filed
Dec 22 2011 02:17 p.m.
Tacie K. Lindeman
Clerk of Supreme Court

0000261

Plans for Kevin Evans**Plans for Kevin Evans**

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
E4	S: Fire started in a passenger vehicle: Arson. Enroute reported car fire next to a home. Upon arrival fire out Dispatch and Batt 1 informed that E4 could handle.	
	A: E4 investigated and was informed by the owner of the vehicle that this was an intentionally set fire. The owner of the vehicle stated that a neighbor across the street witnessed two young men wearing mask walk on the property and start the fire in the owners convertible Ford Mustang. The two men then got into a car and drive off.	
	The witness (Edgar Barranco, Who resides at 2000 E. Oakey ave ,Ph# 506-6322) stated that he could not identify the suspect's or their vehicle because he was not wearing his eye glasses. He then informed his neighbor that their car was on fire.	
10/24/2007	Narratives:	
7240347	10/24/2007 8:54:32PM R4 40-Fire In Building	440
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 10242007-7240347 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20077240347 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: CC 20077240347	
E4	E4 responded to report of water heater on fire on balcony. E4 arrived and established command and reported nothing showing and E4 investigating. Located apartment and found Electric water heater in balcony closet that had electrical short, no fire. Advised all units to return to service E4 could handle. Secured power to water heater and verified no extensions. E4 terminated command and returned to service.	
11/25/2007	Narratives:	
7265433	11/25/2007 8:53:53PM E10 58-Trash Fire	151
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 11252007-7265433 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20077265433 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20077265433	
E10	Responded to and extinguished a smoldering pornography stand.	
12/7/2007	Narratives:	
7274155	12/7/2007 3:59:39AM AR1 40-Fire In Building	111
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 12072007-7274155 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20077274155 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20077274155	
R201	Arrived, staged, cx without assignment	
air1	air.	
T1	S: Responded as part of a 1st alarm assignment for a possible building fire. B1 was in Command of a 1-story house with smoke and flames showing from a window.	
	A: T1 was assigned ventilation and to make access to the structure. T1 placed a second blower at the front door along with E201's blower and secured power (no gas service). Front door was already opened and gate had been cut by E1, so T1 crew opened several windows with security bars on them. Portable lights were set-up. Then assigned to secondary search. T1 completed the search and reported SAC to Command (now E201). T1 then assisted E1 and E201 crews performing overhaul. No further assignments for T1.	
	C: FIB response, PPV, utilities, secondary search, overhaul, replaced all equipment, released by Command, returned to service.	

0000262

Runs for Kevin Evans**Runs for Kevin Evans**

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
E201	S: E201 responded to fire in vacant one story building. Smoke and flames from window on arrival. Building vacant and partial secured.	
	A: E201 arrived, assumed command, gave size up, pulled preconnect lines for fire attack, assigned F/A, coordinated fire attack with PPV, forced entry and extinguished fire, primary search, command passed back to us from B1. Overhaul with E1 and T1, all other units released. Called for board up company to secure building.	
	C: Fire in vacant building, undetermined cause, possibly set by vagrants, knockdown, primary and secondary searches all clear, overhaul, terminated command, prepared unit for service.	
E1	s: E1 arrived on scene of vacant building with flames & smoke coming from east side window. B1 assumed command.	
	a: E1 assigned by Command to bring in water source for E201. Upon completing the previous assignment; Command assigned E1 to conduct primary. E1 reported back to Command; primary all clear and would be assisting FA w/extinguishment & overhaul.	
	c: After completion of overhaul; E1 accounted for all tools & equipment, was released by Command.	
CBRN 8	cnacelled en route	
12/9/2007	Narratives:	
7275762	12/9/2007 12:24:58AM R10 44-Smoke Investigati	5310
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 12092007-7275762 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20077275762 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20077275762	
R10	R10 cancelled at scene by command	
New Narrative		
E10	E10 responded to smoke investigation with alarms sounding at outlet mall wing 2. Arrived to find panel indicating smoke without reset in suite 933 (Shoe store) Nothing showing. B1 left scene E10 command. All units canx while E10 continued investigation. Security led to master hall behind stores where strong smell of smoke was present. E10 went to front of store where light smoke showed to inside/rear of store. Security did not have keys, mall manager did not have keys, knox box was empty.	
	E10 made forcible entry into store by breaking small lower pane of glass then opened door using panic bar.. Made way to rear where we found an unplugged vaccum burning and smoldering. No extension. Removed to outside where we found vaccum bag contents burning and plastic melted on outside of cleaner. Used PPV to ventilate store.	
	Store left in care of Mall manager who came to scene and security. Manager std he would get window boarded up. Command terminated and RTS.	
12/13/2007	Narratives:	
7279340	12/13/2007 4:33:08PM E10 40-Fire In Building	100
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 12132007-7279340 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20077279340 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20077279340	
E10	Cancelled enroute by commadn.	

0000263

Runs for Kevin Evans

RUNS FOR KEVIN EVANS

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
T4	S: This call was for poss fire in residential home. Reporting party stated trash basket was on fire and now out.	
	A: T4 arrived on scene, established Oakey command, gave quick size up with single family single story structure with nothing showing, pr out front , T4 investigating all other units continue. Thru investigating found this to have been a cigarette that fell into a plastic trash can, melted and burned some of the night stand and carpet. Cancelled all other units, T4 to handle. We cut out burned carpet and checked for any extension, non to be found. Terminate command.	
	C: Cigarette fire, extinguished by homeowners with water. scene released to owner.	
R4	This call was for poss house fire. R4 arrived, staged then cancelled by command.	
12/17/2007	Narratives:	
7282062	12/17/2007 9:03:35AM	R10 44-Smoke Investigati 735
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 12172007-7282062 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20077282062 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20077282062	
R10	R10 cancelled enroute	
E10	Responded to fire alarm and smell of smoke at restaurant. Arrived to find same. Manager sts he turned on furnace for 1st time and alarm went off. E10 confirmed. Alarm tech responding. No smoke or fire. RTS.	
12/22/2007	Narratives:	
7286085	12/22/2007 1:47:09PM	E10 44-Smoke Investigati 5310
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 12222007-7286085 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20077286085 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20077286085	
E10	Responded to a smoke investigation. Smoke from storm drain. Used tank water down drain to extinguish. RTS.	
12/31/2007	Narratives:	
7292942	12/31/2007 3:42:37PM	E45 40-Fire In Building 113
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 12312007-7292942 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20077292942 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20077292942	
E41	S: E41 responded to a FIB of a reported stove on fire.	
	A: E41 arrived, established Fairwind Command. Single story family structure with nothing showing with all occupants outside, all units to continue in. After further investigation, this was an oven fire that was put out by the homeowner with an extinguisher. Fire was out and contained to oven with no extension, confirmed with thermal imager. E41 to handle. Cancelled all other units. Per the homeowner, she saw a small flame along the bottom of the oven tray. No smoke in the home with no detectors activated. Windows and doors already opened for natural ventilation due to extinguisher activation. Advised homeowner that oven was no longer usable and to contact appliance company for further follow up.	
	C: E41 terminated Fairwind Command. E41 available.	
HR44	CNX ENROUTE BY COMMAND.	
T9	Cancelled enroute per Command (E41).	
R42	R42 cancelled enroute by command. R42 available.	
E45	S: E45 responded to a FIB . Upon arrival E41 in comand of a single family home , nothing showing. E45 staged outside along with E42odor that they needed to locate al units continue in, A: E45 staged along with E42. Then comand stated they could handle all other units released C: E45 back to Chamnnel 4 returning to QRTS.	

0000264

Runs for Kevin Evans**Runs for Kevin Evans**

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
1/2/2008	Narratives:	
8001815	1/2/2008 7:15:01PM T9 44-Smoke Investigati	5310
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 01022008-8001815 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088001815 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088001815	
e44	e44 cancelled at scene without assignment.	
E45	Canceled at scene by E42.	
E42	S: E42 was dispatched code three for a smoke investigation in a house. The PR states that there is thick black smoke coming from the kitchen stove. A: E42 arrived first due and named located and established Summer Harvest Command to the A side of a two story single family dwelling. E42 reported light smoke on the interior of the structure, continued all units code three, maintained command on mobile radio and investigated. E42 Crew found a childs toy burning inside the broiler of the oven. Producing black smoke that was filling the interior of the structure. R42 was assigned to place a PPV blower to the front of the house and secure the electrical breaker to the stove. E42 Engineer completed this prior to R42. E42 crew extinguished the small fire using a water extinguisher, and unplugged the stove and secured the natural gas behind the stove. E42 crew checked for extension with none found. All other units were released from the scene with no assignments given. E42 crew controlled all interior openings until all the smoke was removed and conducted CO monitoring. Initial results were 48 ppm of CO in the structure. E42 secured the PPV fan and maintained natural ventilation until monitoring showed 9 ppm of CO. PR was advised that she could re-enter the home but to leave the windows open for a couple of hours to help with the smell of smoke. C: E42 responded to and mitigated a smoke investigation that was found to be a childs toy in the oven, without incident, and no immediate injuries reported. E42 terminated Summer Harvest Command and returned to service.	
R42	R42 was assigned to control utilities, and place a PPV fan in the front of the house. E42 Engineer completed this prior to us. R42 reported this to command and was released with further assignment given.	
HR44	HR44 cx en route	
1/4/2008	Narratives:	
8003537	1/4/2008 11:19:06PM E9 40-Fire In Building	445
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 01042008-8003537 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088003537 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: NLV 20088003537	
T-9	Cancelled enroute.	
E-9	Canecce meoute	
1/23/2008	Narratives:	
8017757	1/23/2008 1:53:58AM E10 43-Vehicle Fire	611
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 01232008-8017757 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088017757 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088017757	
E10	UTL-RTS	
1/25/2008	Narratives:	
8020004	1/25/2008 4:42:14PM E41 58-Trash Fire	151
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 01252008-8020004 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088020004 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088020004	

0000265

Runs for Kevin Evans**Runs for Kevin Evans**

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
e41	At 1642 hours on Friday January 25, 2008 we were dispatched to an outside rubbish, trash or waste fire. One unit was assigned to this incident. Four personnel responded. We arrived on scene at 1649 hours and cleared at 1700 hours. The incident occurred at 6700 N CAMPBELL Rd, Las Vegas in District 01516-63. The local station is 41. The general description of this property is vacant lot. The primary task(s) performed at the scene by responding personnel was extinguishment. No mutual/automatic aid was given or received.	
Alarm number 8020004 has been assigned to this incident.		
8020027	1/25/2008 5:16:29PM E41 42-Brush/Grass Fire	151
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 01252008-8020027 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088020027 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088020027	
e41	At 1716 hours on Friday January 25, 2008 we were dispatched to an outside rubbish, trash or waste fire. One unit was assigned to this incident. Four personnel responded. We arrived on scene at 1725 hours and cleared at 1736 hours. The incident occurred at 9060 Black Elk Ave, Las Vegas in District 01216-52. The local station is 41. The general description of this property is vacant lot. The primary task(s) performed at the scene by responding personnel was extinguishment. No mutual/automatic aid was given or received.	
Alarm number 8020027 has been assigned to this incident.		
8020123	1/25/2008 7:45:22PM E41 58-Trash Fire	151
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 01252008-8020123 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088020123 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088020123	
E41	At 1945 hours on Friday January 25, 2008 we were dispatched to an outside rubbish, trash or waste fire. One unit was assigned to this incident. Four personnel responded. We arrived on scene at 1955 hours and cleared at 2004 hours. The incident occurred at 9145 Echelon Point Dr, Las Vegas in District 01516-61. The local station is 41. The general description of this property is vacant lot. The primary task(s) performed at the scene by responding personnel was extinguishment. No mutual/automatic aid was given or received.	
Alarm number 8020123 has been assigned to this incident.		
8020205	1/25/2008 9:51:16PM E41 42-Brush/Grass Fire	151
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 01252008-8020205 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088020205 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088020205	
E41	At 2151 hours on Friday January 25, 2008 we were dispatched to an outside rubbish, trash or waste fire. One unit was assigned to this incident. Four personnel responded. We arrived on scene at 2157 hours and cleared at 2159 hours. The incident occurred at On N Durango Dr at Grand Teton Dr, Las Vegas in District 01416-19. The local station is 41. The general description of this property is open land or field. The primary task(s) performed at the scene by responding personnel was extinguishment. No mutual/automatic aid was given or received.	
Alarm number 8020205 has been assigned to this incident.		
1/27/2008	Narratives:	
8021339	1/27/2008 8:56:14AM E10 40-Fire In Building	111
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 01272008-8021339 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088021339 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088021339	
E10	Responded to FIB, Arrived and assigned water supply and Fire Attack. Established water from hydrant and then pulled cicling and mansard to expose hidden fire and extinguished.	
air1	air.	

0000266

Plans for Kevin Evans

Plans for Kevin Evans

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
B10	<p>S: B10 responded to a report of smoke from a roof - while en route E5 arrived and reported smoke showing from the roof of a commercial building - E5 assigned E10 to bring in a water supply, T6 to investigate on the roof - E5, E6, E10 were assigned to fire attack to pull ceiling for a possible attic fire - E5 requested a second alarm and informed B10 that command would pass to B10 upon arrival.</p> <p>A: B10 arrived and assumed command - Confirmed smoke coming from the roof of a 40x100 commercial shopping structure - T6 was reassigned as Roof division and report smoke coming from the mansard - Roof division was also assigned T22 and E22 - E22 was requested to establish a secondary water supply for roof division - E5 was designated F/A and was assigned E6, E10 and R10 - FA reported light smoke on the interior - they pulled ceiling and reported they could not find fire in the attic - They also reported that the building was vacant and appeared to be under construction - FA was requested by command for a primary - a primary completed by FA and reported to dispatch. EMS1 was assigned Med/Rehab along with R5 and R12. HR21 was assigned as RIT - a 360 was completed and they also controlled the utilities - RIT stood by for the remainder of the incident. B1 was assigned as Safety. E12 was assigned to assist with getting hoselines to roof division - they were later assigned to complete a secondary search - secondary completed and reported to dispatch. Roof Division reported that they opened the backside of the mansard and they had water on the fire - RD continued with overhaul. FA reported reported ceilings pulled in the affected area and that there was negative extension to the interior. All personnel eventually were relieved and reported to rehab.</p> <p>C: B10 responded to a FIB - 2-alm fire - fire contained to the mansard with no exposures - B10 in command on arrival - B10 returned to service.</p>	
E5	<p>S: E5 respond to FIB @ 4503 W. Sahara. On arrival found a large empty retail store located in a strip mall, with light to moderate smoke coming from the roof area. Smoke was located mostly in the south west section of the roof.</p> <p>A: E5 established command and gave a size up. Command called for a second alarm, assigned a staging and tac channel for staging. Command assigned E5, R10 and E6 to interior FA. E10 was assigned water supply for E5. command assigned T6 to roof for investigation. FA made forced entry through front door. FA brought a single preconnect for extinguishment. Command passed to B10 on arrival. E5 was now assigned FA with E6, E10 and R10. FA brought an additional preconnect interior after water supply was secured. FA set two fans at front door for PPV. FA announced a primary all clear. FA shut off power to structure. FA pulled ceilings and used lines to find fire and assist in extinguishment. Extinguishment was completed by FA and roof operations. FA crews were assigned rehab and rotated through. FA completed overhaul. All crews assisted in resupplying equipment back onto apparatus. B10 passes command back to E5 after majority of operation were completed. Command talked to owner and assisted him in what needed to be completed after the fire was out.</p> <p>C: Cause of fire was determined accidental with damages estimated at 30k. All assigned tasks were completed with results communicated to command. No injuries were reported to myself as command at the time this report was completed. E5 terminated command and returned to service.</p>	
E6	<p>S: Full alarm dispatch to fib. multiple calls. E5 in command. single story commercial store smoke showing from roof.</p> <p>A: E6 assigned to E5 FA. Pulled second pre-connect and pulled ceiling. Fire found near front door. Fire extinguished from interior vantage point. Command stating fire still in mansard, roof operations will extinguish remainder of fire. E6 rotated air bottles returned and interior passed to e6. Interior reported all clear after knock down.</p> <p>C: Fib, FA.. All assignments complete, all personnel accounted for. assist with securing all hose and equip.</p>	

Plans for Kevin Evans

Plans for Kevin Evans

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
T6	S: T6 responded to a reported FIB in a commercial building. T6 arrived with E5 in command and was assigned to assess the roof.	
	A: T6 Went to the roof and reported the fire was in the mansard. T6 was then named Roof Division and began opening the mansard using chain saws along with T22. Roof Division opened the mansard, extinguished the fire and overhauled the area.	
	C: After Rehab, T6 assisted with pickup and returned to service.	
HR44	S: HR 44 responded to 4503 W Sahara for a possible structure fire.	
	A: Upon our arrival we were diverted to a traffic accident with people trapped at Eastern and Harmon.	
	C: HR 44 diverted and responded to Eastern and Harmon.	
R5	R5 arrived and was assigned med group. R5 assigned R12 to establish rehab. R5 stood by and was available for any sick or injured. R5 found no sick or injured and assisted in the establishment of rehab.	
8021591	1/27/2008 4:00:30PM E10 40-Fire In Building 5310	
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 01272008-8021591 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088021591 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088021591	
R10	Cancelled by E10	
E1	E1 responded to smoke investigation at world market. Arrived and established command with ns on large warehouse structure. Steam from top of each of 3 buildings. Security reports no problem. FCR panel showed green. E10 cancelled all units, terminated command and RTS.	
CBRN 8	Cancelled in route by E10 command.	
T1	T1 was canceled enroute by command and returned to service.	
1/29/2008	Narratives:	
8023221	1/29/2008 4:17:58PM AR1 40-Fire In Building 111	
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 01292008-8023221 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088023221 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088023221	
E10	Responded to FIB. Arrived and assigned primary search of occupied attached but unaffected apt. Clear. E10 crew used hose line to extinguish fire in 1 room through window. Fire out and E10 RTS by command.	
CBRN	S: Responded to FIB, residence, reports multiple calls, with full alarm after requesting to be placed on incident from alpha response. AMR arrived as we left, pt information relayed. A: Arrived, announced arrival to 3rd St Command. CBRN advised released from scene. C: CBRN remained unassigned. Available, returning.	
airl	airl.	
T4	S: Responded on first alarm to FIB, multiple calls. A: Arrived to have E201 on scene pulling lines, B1 in command and E4 assisting with fire attack. T4 assigned RIT. We set up at front of building, went to the rear of the structure and opened up exhaust hole by forcing a security door then forcing the door itself. We then shut down the electrical. T4 assisted with ventilation and lighting. C: T4 responded, arrived, completed all assignment without incident, no injuries reported, all equipment worked as expected and returned to T4. T4 released and available.	

0000268

P-rins for Kevin Evans

THIS FOR KEVIN EVANS

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
R201	R201 assigned to Fire attack. R201 reported to Capt off E201 and assisted Fire attack.	
E201	<p>S: E201 responded to fire in single story duplex apartment, heavy flame and smoke showing from window of east apartment.</p> <p>A: E201 arrived, gave size up, deployed lines for fire attack, had E201 and R201 for fire attack, B1 assumed command. Door open to apartment, attempted entry, appeared to possible in other unit so we forced the door and that apartment was clear, reentered original unit was able to make our way to fire room, tight access with alot of debris. Knockdown fire, reported and performed primary search. Command passed back to us. Called for 6ida units, Animal control, and board up company. Released other units, performed overhaul, called Red Cross out for one male displaced.</p> <p>C: Fire in apartment, primary all clear, knockdown, secondary 2 dogs found, overhaul, cause determined to be electrical in nature, command terminated.</p>	
8023348	1/29/2008 7:18:34PM	AR1 40-Fire In Building 111
CAD Narrative	<p>CAD Master Incident Number: 01292008-8023348 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088023348 Primary</p> <p>Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088023348</p>	
WT43	WT43 was cancelled on scene with no assignment given. WT43 RTQ.	
T3	T3 to a fire in a building as part of the second alarm. Fire showing through the roof on arrival. Assigned to force entry with T1. Conducted primary search w/ T1 and reported all clear to B1. Controlled utilities. Released and returned to service.	
air1	air.	
CBRN	<p>At 1918 hours on Tuesday January 29, 2008. Twenty-three units were assigned to this incident. Fifty-two personnel responded. We arrived on scene at 1921 hours and cleared at 2130 hours. The incident occurred at 501 S MARTIN L KING Blvd, Las Vegas in District 02424-55.</p> <p>Alarm number 8023348 has been assigned to this incident.</p> <p>S: Responded with full alarm to FIB, flames/smoke from roof, mult calls. E10 report single story, abandoned strip mall, boarded up windows and doors. B1 Alta Command. CBRN arrived, announced arrival.</p> <p>A: RIT assignment given; force entry, stage unit near ICP, provide lighting. RIT forced entry/cut plywood covering windows and doors to W and S sides of structure. At start of operations, male noted trying to run back into the structure; RIT pursued and pulled him from structure. Incident reported to Command, requested law enforcement to W side to prevent further incidents.</p> <p>C: Assignments completed, crew intact, equipment retrieved.</p>	
E10	<p>Responded to a reported FIB. Arrived on scene to large ss commercial multi tenant abandoned building with heavy smoke showing from center roof. Building was boarded up.</p> <p>E10 Established MLK Command and self assigned Fire attack and used saws and tools to start opening building. Requested water supply from E1. Deployed lines to interior, B1 assumed command and requested 2nd alarm. E10 opened multiple doors to locate fire area. Entered large room with heavy smoke and flames with E201 crew. T1 opened roof and ventilation began to work. E10 slowly moved through room and extinguished fire as we went while pulling ceiling. E10 gave multiple progress reports to command. Primary search of room revealed no fire victims. E10 extinguished fire and exited building for bottle change. Met with B1. B1 passed command back to E10.</p> <p>Large overhaul operation began and demobilization of unassigned units began. Fire confirmed out visually and confirmed with infra red imager. Hose and equipment replacement and cleaning began, board up company and investigators began. All equipment and personnel exited building. Board up began. All units released, command terminated.</p>	

Runs for Kevin Evans

Runs for Kevin Evans

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
E1	<p>At 1918 hours on Tuesday January 29, 2008 we were dispatched to a building fire. Twenty-three units were assigned to this incident. Fifty-two personnel responded. We arrived on scene at 1921 hours and cleared at 2130 hours. The incident occurred at 501 S MARTIN L KING Blvd, Las Vegas in District 02424-55. The local station is 10. The general description of this property is church, mosque, synagogue, temple, chapel. The primary task(s) performed at the scene by responding personnel was incident command. No mutual/automatic aid was given or received.</p> <p>The involved structure is described as an enclosed building. The building was vacant and secured. "Sales area, showroom" best describes the primary use of the room or space where the fire originated. This building has one story above ground. The fire occurred on the first floor. The fire was confined to building of origin. The cause of ignition is under investigation.</p> <p>The material contributing most to flame spread was "fiberboard, particleboard, and hardboard". The use, or purpose of the contributing material was "structural member or framing".</p> <p>The estimated property loss on this incident was \$170,000. The estimated property value was \$170,000.</p> <p>Alarm number 8023348 has been assigned to this incident.</p> <p>s: Responded to FIB with multiple calls & witness saw three youth running from the building. E10 on scene announce boarded up strip mall with heavy smoke coming from building. B1 established Alta Command.</p> <p>a: E1 announce arrival on scene and assigned to bring in water supply; 5" feeder to E10. Assigned West Division; and performed forcible entry/extinguish from exterior until FA was able to combat the from the interior. After succesful knockdown from FA; E1 assigned overhaul along w/E203.</p> <p>c: E1/E10 perform overhaul of involved interior. Crew and all accounted equipment returned to service.</p>	
E201	<p>S: E201 responded to large vacant commercial structure, E10 on scene reported fire through the roof. B1 arrived and assumed command.</p> <p>A: E201 arrived and assigned Fire attack with E10. Made entry from north, didn't encounter any fire yet heavy smoke, heard reports that it was in the middle of structure, we all backed out and made multiple forcible entry points on the east side and found main body of fire, reentered from east side started to progress into structure encountered heavy fire in truss area, pulled ceiling and extinguished as we progressed through. Was able to get a knockdown. Communicated progress with T1 on roof and command. Went to rehab.</p> <p>C: Fire attack, primary all clear, knockdown, rehab, released. Prepared unit for service and returned to quarters.</p>	
E4	<p>S: E4 responded as an additional unit for a second alarm fire at 501 So. MLK. E4 arrived and met with command. E4 assigned to no. exposure and to check for extensions.</p> <p>A: E4 crew went to no. exposure and pulled ceiling and found that fire wall between units had contained fire to office space of origin. E4 crew went to fire area and pulled ceiling and checked for extensions. E4 located a few hot spots and extinguished. E4 met with command and gave update on progress. E4 advised to return to service.</p> <p>C: E4 completed all tasks and assignments and returned to service.</p>	

Plans for Kevin Evans**Plans for Kevin Evans**

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
T1	S: Responded as part of a 1st alarm assignment to a reported building fire. E10 arrived first and reported heavy smoke showing from a boarded-up 1-story commercial structure. B1 assumed Command.	
	A: T1 was assigned to the roof for vertical ventilation. T1 positioned apparatus on South end of building in preparation for possible defensive attack. 16' ladder placed on SW corner to access roof. R10 assigned to T1. The building was approx 60' x 200', flat roof construction, with individual units that seemed to be separated by fire walls. Roof over fire occupancy had heavy smoke showing from the vent pipes and A/C units with some fire showing around 1 of the A/C units. Crews completed an 8' x 16' vent hole over the main fire area. Initially heavy smoke emitted from the hole with heavy fire visible in the occupancy below. Shortly after, flames were shooting from the entire hole. T1 began to cut another 8' x 8' hole to the East of the first hole, but before the hole was completed, the roof became untenable, and all 5 personnel in Roof Division retreated over the fire wall to the next unit to the South. Roof Division reported to Command that ventilation was complete and effective. T1 cut an inspection hole to check the attic of the adjacent unit to the South and the attic was clear of smoke and fire. T1 was reassigned to T3 to provide forcible entry to the building. We assisted T3 forcing doors on the East side of the structure. T1 and T3 were then assigned to conduct a primary life search for the entire building. Search was completed and a PAC was reported to Command by T3. T1 was then reassigned to the roof to extinguish hot spots that were reported by passerbys on the freeway. Smoldering materials were overhauled and extinguished. No further assignments for T1.	
	C: FIB response, vertical ventilation, forcible entry, primary search, overhaul, released by Command (now E10), replaced all equipment, no injuries to personnel, T1 returned to service.	
R301	S: R301 dispatched on 2nd alarm response to FIB.	
	A: On arrival R301 staged at Alta and MLK per Bat-1, then assigned to assist E1 crew with overhaul. R301 assisted E1 with overhaul.	
	C: R301 released by command, assignment completed.	
E5	S: On arrival B1 in command of a commercial structure fire. E5 part of second alarm assignment.	
	A: E5 announced arrival in staging. E5 assigned to assist CBRN 8 in force entry and secondary life search. E5 engineer assigned staging officer.	
	C: Forced entry completed and secondary life search completed and reported all clear. E5 released.	
2/9/2008	Narratives:	
8032046	2/9/2008 4:02:35PM	E10 40-Fire In Building 111
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 02092008-8032046 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088032046 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088032046	
E201	S: Arrived on scene with B1 in command of a single story home with fire interior. E201 staged east of Inc.	
	A: Assigned by command to conduct primary life search of home. Entered interior with 4 using TIC and man power searched all rooms with no victims located. Primary all clear reported to command.	
	C: Assignment completed and reported to command. Command released E201 to return to service.	

Runs for Kevin Evans**Runs for Kevin Evans**

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
E10	Responded to reported FIB. Arrived to find E53 on scene and he passed command to E10. E53 made FA and went interior to extinguish fire. Occupants std that construction workers in back of home exterior knocked an interior candle over and into window drapes catching them on fire. Occupants thought they had extinguished and time passed be fore detector alerted them that there was fire. Further std room was fully involved in fire.	
	E10 assigned E1 to utilities and T1 to PPV. B1 arrived and assumed command. E10 assigned secondary search. Overhaul. Search of home was all clear.	
	E10 remained on scene and supported other units until released by E53 Command.	
E1	S: E1 with full response to FIB. E53 in Command, smoke and flames showing. Command was passed to E10 who assigned E1 to Ventilation and to control utilities.	
	A: E1 provided PPV to front door. Back windows and door were opened. Smoke exhaust effective. Gas and power were secured. Provided report to Command. E1 entered structure to assess ventilation. Closed doors not being used to maintain PPV.	
	C: E1 provided PPV, controlled utilities. E1 released by Command.	
air1	air.	
CBRNE 8	Assigned RIT. Carried out without incident.	
R3	assigned RIT command transfered RIT to CBRNE, R3 was assigned medical without incident, R3 back in service.	
T1	S: Responded as part of a 1st alarm to a building fire. E53 was Command of a 1-story house with smoke and flames showing. B1 assumed Command.	
	A: T1 arrived and was assigned RIT. Performed a 360 of the building ensuring egress points were made and exhaust opening for PPV. Utilities had been secured by other crews. CBRN8 arrived and assumed RIT. T1 was reassigned to assist FA checking for extension and to perform overhaul. Extinguished hotspots and opened up some of the walls and ceiling areas. Exited building until 6IDAs completed their investigation. Then, re-entered with E53 to complete overhaul. Performed salvage operations in 2 different rooms adjacent to fire room. Overhauled fire area and extinguished several areas of smoldering insulation in the attic. Used TIC to search for remaining hotspots. No further assignments for T1.	
	C: FIB Response, RIT, salvage and overhaul, replaced all tools and equipment, released by Command (now E53), T1 returned to service.	
hr44	hr44 cancelled en route by b1.	
2/10/2008	Narratives:	
8032463	2/10/2008 3:23:58AM E10 44-Smoke Investigati	611
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 02102008-8032463 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088032463 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088032463	
E10	Responded to smoke investigation. UTL-RTS	
2/18/2008	Narratives:	
8039941	2/18/2008 5:15:18PM E10 44-Smoke Investigati	5310
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 02182008-8039941 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088039941 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088039941	
E10	Smoke investigation, BBQ in the area.	
2/19/2008	Narratives:	
8040198	2/19/2008 12:37:37AM E10 43-Vehicle Fire	132

Rpts for Kevin Evans

Rpts for Kevin Evans

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 02192008-8040198 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088040198 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088040198	
E10	Responded to vehicle fire. Arrived to find medium size flat bed tow truck with fire in engine compartment, and on ground under engine, hood open and parts removed. Cab window broken on Passenger side. E10 extinguished using attack lines, investigation after extinguishment showed clam shell hood had been lifted and air filter canister removed. Fire ocured most heavily in filter area. E10 contacted owner vis phone# on door and he responded from home close to incident. He std that truck had been parked over 12 hours and that the hood was closed and window intact when last seen. Many incidents ov vandalism recently. (Car parked in front of truck also had broken window) 6lda contacted via cell and report given. No obvious evidence present. E10 RTS.	
2/23/2008	Narratives:	
8044240	2/23/2008 8:20:56PM R43 40-Fire In Building 117	
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 02232008-8044240 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088044240 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088044240	
E43	Cancelled at scene, no assignment	
E44	S: E44 Responded to 1421 N Jones for a fire in a trash compactor. A: E44 arrived on scene and staged for assignment. E6 reported they had light smoke from the trash compactor the rear of the store with no extension into the structure. T6 investigated interior and they found no extension into the store. E6 released all units except E6 and T6. C: E44 returned to service with no further action	
T6	T6 responded to a reported fire in a trash compactor at a grocery store. On arrival T6 was assigned to check the interior of the store. The interior was clear, the fire was in the compactor on the outside. T6 was released and returned to service.	
R43	R43 cancelled at scene by command	
B10	S: B10 responded with other units to a report of fire in the trash compactor behind Smith's - E6 arrived and reported light smoke and assigned T6 to check the inside of the store - Small fire in the trash compactor was extinguished by E6 and T6 reported no extinsion or smoke inside store - E6 released all other units A: B10 responded and was cancelled en route C: Responded to fire in a trash compactor - E6 handled - B10 returned to service.	
E6	s: E6 responded to a poss fire in a teash comapctot at smiths. Pr states that they have had problems with this thing lighting off. a: e6 arrived and established command, E6 proceeded to rear of structure to find light smoke from compactor, T6 assigned interior and reported no extension, Command released all other units except for E6, E6 extinguished without incident and returned to service c: arrived, extinguished, returned to service	
HR44	CNX ENROUTE.	
2/27/2008	Narratives:	
8047475	2/27/2008 9:36:47PM AR1 40-Fire In Building 651	

0000273

Plans for Kevin Evans

Plans for Kevin Evans

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 02272008-8047475 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088047475 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088047475	
E1	<p>At 2136 hours on Wednesday February 27, 2008. Eight units were assigned to this incident. Twenty-four personnel responded. We arrived on scene at 2141 hours and cleared at 2155 hours. The incident occurred at 129 N 8th St, Las Vegas in District 02425-48.</p> <p>Alarm number 8047475 has been assigned to this incident.</p> <p>s: respond to smoke in the area unk location. B1 Command; assigned E201 to check out building & E4 to check out parking garage.</p> <p>a: E1 assigned by Command to standby hydrant.</p> <p>c: Command released all units after non-confirmation of fire.</p>	
E4	<p>S: E4 dispatched to FIB at 129 N. 8Th St.. E4 arrived and assigned to investigate parking garage to locate source of smoke.</p> <p>A: E4 did 360 of garage and then climbed to top floor of garage and unable to locate the source. E4 advised command of findings. command transferred to E4 and T4 to remain on scene all other units to returned to service. After additional investigation nothing found, T4 released E4 terminated command and returned to service.</p> <p>C: Nothing found E4 returned to service.</p>	
air1	air.	
CBRNE 8	<p>CBRNE8 responded to a possible fire in a building.</p> <p>On arrival CBRNE8 staged while units searched for the cause of the smoke. CBRNE8 was unassigned. CBRNE 8 was released and returned to service.</p>	
E201	<p>S: Assigned to smoke investigation. Heavy smoke in the area of the El Cortez parking garage.</p> <p>A: Met with security. No problems. They were aware of a tour bus passing by ~ 10 min PTA spewing large amounts of smoke. Investigated all floors of parking garage and nearby buildings, no problem found. Bus found broken down on W. Bonanza after incident.</p> <p>C: Released without injury to crew.</p>	
2/28/2008	Narratives:	
8047600	2/28/2008 3:48:20AM	AR1 40-Fire In Building 111
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 02282008-8047600 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088047600 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088047600	
air1	air.	
E8	<p>S: E8 responded to a report of a FIB. Upon arrival E27 in command of a house with smoke showing from the rear. E8 announced arrival and was assigned ventilation.</p> <p>A: E8 took a blower to the front door and created an exhaust hole to the rear of the structure. B1 assumed command and then assigned E8 to conduct a primary search. E8 reported primary all clear, then assisted interior division in overhaul and checking for extension. B1 transferred command back to E27 and E8 was released by command.</p> <p>C: E8 ventilation, search, salvage and overhaul. E8 gathered equipment and was released. No injuries to FF's or civilians reported.</p>	

0000274

Rpts for Kevin Evans

RPTS FOR KEVIN EVANS

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
CBRNE 8	CBRNE 8 responded to a possible fire in a building, as part of a first alarm assignment. On arrival CBRNE 8 was given the assignment of R.I.T. Personnel performed a 360 of the structure and controlled utilites. Operated lights, and prepared for rescue. CBRNE 8 was released to service.	
3/3/2008	Narratives:	
8051141	3/3/2008 7:52:58AM E10 40-Fire In Building	111
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 03032008-8051141 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088051141 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088051141	
E10	Responded to reported FIB. Saw header while enroute. Arrived to find ss house with smoke and flames through roof on south side. E10 established command, PPV, water supply and fire attack before next arriving units. B1 arrived and assumed command. Made entry through front door to find heavy fire. FA (E10) crew used attack lines to control fire throughout rooms on south side of house. Smoke alarms sounding and home appeared unoccupied, (No furniture) . E3 arrived and pulled ceiling to expose attic fire. E10 and E3 extinguished. A primary search was conducted by E3 and secondary by E10- All clear.. E10 assumed command and B1 departed. E10 requested NPC to pull meter, Assisted Fire investigators, gave face to face to board up company. Confirmed extinguishment visual and with infrared camera. Returned E10 to service, released all units, terminated command and RTS. NOTE: Buddy from board up company contacted owner through property search and he was notified of fire. Owner was in California.	
E3	S: Responded to FIB with E10 in command of ss house with heavy smoke and flames showing. E10 had initiated FA. B1 arrived and assumed command. A: E3 assigned to assist FA. Pulled back-up line to support E10. Pulled ceiling throughout affected area and extinguished exposed fire. Continued overhaul operations until needed bottle change out. C: FA, pulled back-up line, extinguish, all assignments completed without further incident, E3 released by command, gathered tools and equipment. E3 RTS.	
HR44	HR 44 cancelled enroute by Bat 1	
R201	S: R201 responded to a fib E10 in command of a single story single family residence smoke and flames showing. A: R201 was assigned fire attack with E3 R201 pulled ceiling and searched for fire extension. C: Fire was knocked down and contained R201 relived and returned to service.	
E4	S: E4 responded to report of fire in building. E4 arrived and reported to command. E4 assigned to assist with ventilation. A: E4 crew set up additional blowers at front door for ventilation. E4 crew used booster line off E10 to extinguish exterior fire on roof. E4 crew pulled ceiling in carport and extinguished fire that had extended through attic. E4 assigned to do secondary search. performed search and all clear given in entire house except for fire room. Room not searched thoroughly due to need for investigators to search for cause. Primary Search of fire room done by fire attack. C: E4 released by command and returned to service.	

Plans for Kevin Evans

PLANS FOR KEVIN EVANS

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
E201	S: E201 arrived at a building fire with other units on scene. E201 was directed to perform a primary search: A: Search was conducted and all clear was reported. E 201 assist with overhaul and loading hose, returned to service. C: Fire in a ss/sf house was quickly extinguished with out injuries.	
3/5/2008	Narratives:	
8053126	3/5/2008 1:53:57PM AR1 40-Fire In Building	111
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 03052008-8053126 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088053126 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088053126	
AR1	air	
R3	See ePCR	
E43	E43 ordered to assist with secondary search. This was done with out incident. E43 released from the scene.	
E3	S: Responded FIB. Smoke in area enroute. SS 4 unit apartment with heavy smoke and flames showing. E203 established command, then passed command to E3. A: Pulled lines for fast attack, E203 assigned FA. Blower placed at front door with exhaust hole established. E3 FF's assigned to pull back-up line, evacuate unaffected units and report to FA to assist. Assigned R3 to remove bars from windows, then reassigned to medical group. FA reported knockdown and primary all clear. E43 assigned secondary life search and reported all clear. T6 assigned initial RIT and utilities. Building had common attic which resulted in smoke needing evacuated from all other units, utilized multiple blowers and natural ventilation. R3 TX one patient for smoke inhalation (see R3 PCR). Requested board-up company to secure 5 windows and front door. 6 IDA's requested and responded. Occupant informed us that they turned on stove and the next thing they knew, unit was filling with smoke. C: FIB confined to unit of origin, ventilated, extinguished, primary and secondary all clear, overhauled using foam, used TIC to conclude fire out, IDA's determined accidental cooking fire with approx. \$25,000 in damage, all tools and equipment gathered, all units returned to service.	
3/12/2008	Narratives:	
8059414	3/12/2008 10:15:20PM E7 39-Fire In Hotel/Hos	100
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 03122008-8059414 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088059414 Primary Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088059414	
R47	Cancelled on arrival by command.	
E47	Cancelled at scene by command. No assignment given.	
T6	T6 cancelled enroute.	
B10	S: B10 responded to a report of a FIB in the kitchen of Summerlin Hospital - while enroute E7 arrived and est command - T7 was assigned investigations - It was determined that the extinguisher system in the kitchen put the fire out and that there was no extension - Command cancelled all other units. A: B10 cancelled en route C: FIB in a hospital kitchen that was extinguished with the fire protection system as reported by E7 - no assignment for B10 - B10 in service.	
E44	E44 was cx enroute.	
E2	Cancelled en route by Command.	
HR44	HR44 cx en route	

Plans for Kevin Evans**Plans for Kevin Evans**

From 10/25/2004 To 12/12/2008

Narrative Name	Initial Dispatch	Incident Type
T7	S: T7 responded to reports of a kitchen fire at Summerlin Hospital. E7 in command, T7 to investigate from south side.	
	A: T7 found fire contained to stove and extinguished by hospital personnel with fire extinguisher. No extension to building.	
	C: cooking fire contained and T7 returned to duty	
E7	S: E7 arrived in command to reports of a kitchen fire at Summerlin Hospital. E7 to Emergency room, T7 to investigate from south side.	
	A: T7 found fire contained to stove and extinguished by hospital personnel with fire extinguisher. No extension to building all other units released.	
	C: cooking fire contained and T7, E7 returned to duty. Scene left to site maintenance.	
3/15/2008	Narratives:	
8061958	3/15/2008 8:57:19PM R10 40-Fire In Building	111
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 03152008-8061958 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088061958 Primary	
	Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088061958	
CAD Narrative	CAD Master Incident Number: 03152008-8061958 Jurisdiction Incident #: LV 20088061958 Primary	
	Jurisdiction Inc.#: LV 20088061958	
R204	Upon arrival on scene, R204 staged and waited for an assignment. R204 released from the command. R204 RTS.	
R204	Upon arrival on scene, R204 staged and waited for an assignment. R204 released from the command. R204 RTS.	
E203	S: FIB full response w/ units on scene. Heavy smoke and flame w/ fire in attic.	
	A: E203 assigned to FA. E203 assisted w/ overhaul of hot spots along w/ E5. E203 released by command.	
	C: FIB. Extinguish. Overhaul. E203 released.	
E203	S: FIB full response w/ units on scene. Heavy smoke and flame w/ fire in attic.	
	A: E203 assigned to FA. E203 assisted w/ overhaul of hot spots along w/ E5. E203 released by command.	
	C: FIB. Extinguish. Overhaul. E203 released.	
E203	S: E5 on scene in command of a single story/single family structure with a moderate volume of smoke under pressure showing from side "C", E10 is fire attack and we were assigned to complete a primary search.	
	A: Conducted a primary search and reported an all clear face to face with fire attack who then reported that to command. We then assisted with fire suppression activity and extension identification.	
	C: All assignments completed, conducted a face to face with command, re-hydrated, assembled equipment and returned to service. No injuries as a result of our activities.	
E203	S: E5 on scene in command of a single story/single family structure with a moderate volume of smoke under pressure showing from side "C", E10 is fire attack and we were assigned to complete a primary search.	
	A: Conducted a primary search and reported an all clear face to face with fire attack who then reported that to command. We then assisted with fire suppression activity and extension identification.	
	C: All assignments completed, conducted a face to face with command, re-hydrated, assembled equipment and returned to service. No injuries as a result of our activities.	