| MARQUIS AURBACH CO 10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-581 | 2 3 4 5 | Marquis Aurbach Coffing Albert G. Marquis, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 1919 Tye S. Hanseen, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 10365 10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Telephone: (702) 382-0711 Facsimile: (702) 382-5816 amarquis@maclaw.com thanseen@maclaw.com Attorneys for Respondent | Electronically Filed Feb 06 2014 03:56 Tracie K. Lindemar Clerk of Supreme C | י. ר |
|--|------------------|--|---|---------|
| | 8 | IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA | | |
| | 9 | RICHARD A. HUNTER, an individual, | , | |
| | 10 | Appellant, | Case No.: 59691 | |
| | 11 | VS. | | |
| | 12 | WILLIAM GANG, an individual, | Appeal from the Eighth Judicial District Court, The Honorable Douglas | |
| | 13 14 | Appellee. | E. Smith Presiding. | |
| | 15 | MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE ANSWERING BRIEF | | |
| | 16 | Pursuant to Rule 31(b)(3) of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, | | |
| | 17 | Appellee William Gang ("Gang") hereby requests that the Court extend the | | |
| | 18 | time for filing his Answering Brief 15 days. | | |
| | 19 | I. <u>ARGUMENT</u> | | |
| | 20 | NRAP 31(b)(3) governs motions for extensions of time for filing briefs, | | |
| | 21 | and sets forth the requirements Gang must satisfy for the Court to grant this | | |
| | 22 | Motion. | | |
| | 23 | | | |
| | | Page | 1 of 5 MAC:11526-001 2163319_1 | |

1

A.

COMPLIANCE WITH NRAP 31(b)(3).

Gang's Answering Brief is currently due February 13, 2014. Gang is
making this Motion on February 6, 2014. Thus, Gang is making this Motion no
later than the due date for his Answering Brief and is in compliance with NRAP
31(b)(3).

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING

Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 382-0711 FAX: (702) 382-5816

01 Park Run Drive

B. COMPLIANCE WITH NRAP 31(b)(3)(A) – CONTENTS OF MOTION.

NRAP 31(b)(3)(A) requires motions for extensions of time to include the following:

1. <u>The date when the brief is due.</u>

Gang's Answering Brief is due February 13, 2014.

2. <u>The number of extensions of time previously granted</u> and, if extensions were granted, the original date when the brief was due.

The Court has granted five prior requests to extend the time for the Answering Brief, which requests the Parties made in the form of one motion to extend and various stipulations. Because NRAP 31(b)(2) limits extending time pursuant to stipulation to 30 days, the Court has construed the stipulations as unopposed motions for extension of time.

The original date that the Answering Brief was due was September 16,
2013. Pursuant to the Order Reinstating Briefing, the Answering Brief was due
August 14, 2013. However, the Parties filed a stipulation extending the time for
the Opening Brief making it due August 14 and the Answering Brief due 30
days thereafter (September 16 was the first judicial day following 30 days after

August 14). Thus, due to the extension of time for the Opening Brief, the
 Answering Brief was originally due September 16, 2013.

3. <u>Whether any previous requests for extensions of time</u> have been denied or denied in part.

The Court has not denied, in part or otherwise, any prior requests for extensions of time. Further, the Parties have not opposed any prior requests each other has made to extend the time for the brief due dates.

8

3

4

4. <u>The reasons or grounds why an extension is necessary.</u>

9 The Parties have been engaged in settlement discussions for multiple 10 months. Prior to and after the holidays, the Parties were very close to settling 11 the case and were working on finalizing the terms and related documents of a 12 settlement and related agreement. And, although Gang cannot speak for 13 Hunter, Gang believes that both Parties were encouraged by the progress of the 14 settlement discussions and truly believed a deal would be consummated by the 15 end of January.

Unfortunately, this week the Parties themselves (Mr. Gang and Mr.
Hunter) exchanged emails on Tuesday and Wednesday that, for all intents and
purposes, manifested an end to the anticipated settlement and, short of one of
the Parties substantially changing positions, all further negotiations for the
foreseeable future.

The Parties simply could not agree on conditions to settlement that arose after the initial drafts of the related agreement were prepared and were all but

23

1 finalized. Gang informed the Court in his prior Motion to Extend that these2 additional terms were being discussed.

As a result, Gang will now need to prepare and submit his Answering
Brief. He is asking the Court for an additional 15 days, which will give him
approximately three weeks to get through the record and prepare, revise,
finalize, and submit his Answering Brief.

5. <u>The length of the extension requested and the date on</u> which the brief would become due.

Gang is requesting that the Court extend the time for the Answering Brief
15 days. A 15 day extension would extend the Answering Brief due date from
February 13, 2013 to February 28, 2014.

12 II. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

Based on the foregoing, Gang requests that the Court grant this Motionand extend the time for filing the Answering Brief as discussed herein.

Dated this 6th day of February, 2014.

MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING

By <u>/s/ Tye S. Hanseen</u> Albert G. Marquis, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 1919 Tye S. Hanseen, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 10365 10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Attorneys for Respondent

7

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

