		FILED
		Electronically 01-17-2012:12:11:15 PM
1	Code \$2515	Joey Orduna Hastings
	Thomas J. Hall, Esq.	Clerk of the Court Transaction # 2702000
2	Nevada State Bar No. 675	
3	305 South Arlington Avenue	
4	Post Office Box 3948 Reno, Nevada 89505	Electronically Filed
5	Telephone: 775-348-7011	Jan 19 2012 03:48 p.m. Tracie K. Lindeman
	Facsimile: 775-348-7211	Clerk of Supreme Court
6	Attorney for John Iliescu, Jr.	
7	and Sonnia Iliescu and The John	
8	Iliescu, Jr. and Sonnia Iliescu	
9	1992 Family Trust	
	IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT CO	DURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
10		
11	IN AND FOR THE COUN	VTY OF WASHOE
12		
13	MARK B. STEPPAN,	Case No.: CV07-00341
14	Plaintiff,	(Consolidated with CV10-01021)
15		
	V.	Dept. No.: 10
16	JOHN ILIESCU, JR. and SONNIA	
17	ILIESCU, as Trustees of the JOHN	
18	ILIESCU, JR. AND SONNIA ILIESCU	
19	1992 FAMILY TRUST AGREEMENT; JOHN	
	ILIESCU, individually; DOES I-V, Inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS	
20	VI-X, inclusive,	
21		
22	Defendants.	
23	AND RELATED CROSS-CLAIMS AND	
24	THIRD-PARTY CLAIMS. /	
	NOTICE OF CROS	SS-APPEAL
25		
26	Notice is hereby given that John Iliescu, Ju	and Sonnia Iliescu, as Trustees of the
27	John Iliescu Jr. and Sonnia Iliescu 1992 Fam	ily Trust Agreement and John Iliescu,
28	1	
LAW STON		
3948 505		Docket 60036 Document 2012-01962
11	1	1

COUNSELOR AT LAW 305 SOUTH ARLINGTON AVENUE POST OFFICE BOX 3948 RENO, NEVADA 89505 (775) 348-7011

1	individually ("Iliescus") as Defendants/Third-Party Plaintiffs above-named, hereby
2	appeal to the Supreme Court of Nevada from the Order Granting Third-Party Defendant
3	Hale Lane's Motion for Summary Judgment Regarding Third-Party Claims by John
4	
5	Iliescu entered in this action on the September 1, 2011, and the Order Denying Iliescus'
6	Motion for Leave to File Motion for Reconsideration entered in this action on October
7	19, 2011.
8	The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document does not contain
10	the social security number of any person.
11	DATED: January 17, 2012.
12	LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS J. HALL
13	
14	mun 7 Hall
15	Thomas J. Hall, Esq.
16	Law Offices of Thomas J. Hall 305 South Arlington Avenue
17 18	Post Office Box 3948 Reno, Nevada 89505
18	Telephone: (775)348-7011
20	Facsimile: (775)348-7211
21	Attorney for Iliescus
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 THOMAS J. HALL ATTORNEY AND COUNSELOR AT LAW 305 SOUTH ARLINGTON AVENUE POST OFFICE BOX 3948 RENO, NEVADA 89505 (775) 348-7011	2

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that I am an employee of the Law Offices
3	of Thomas J. Hall, and that on this date I electronically filed a true and correct copy of
4	the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court by using the ECF system, which
6	served the following parties electronically:
7	
8	David R. Grundy, Esq. 6005 Plumas Street, 3 rd Floor
9	Reno, Nevada 89519
10	Gregory F. Wilson, Esq. Wilson & Quint, LLP
11	417 West Plumb Lane Reno, Nevada 89509
12 13	Michael D. Hoy, Esq.
14	Hoy & Hoy, P.C. 4741 Caughlin Parkway, Suite Four
15	Reno, Nevada 89519
16	DATED: January 17, 2012.
17	
18	MHATTORO
19 20	Misti A. Hale
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
28	
THOMAS J. HALL ATTORNEY AND COUNSELOR AT LAW 305 SOUTH ARLINGTON AVENUE POST OFFICE BOX 3948 RENO, NEVADA 89505 (775) 348-7011	3

		FILED Electronically 01-17-2012:12:15:00 PM
1	Code 1310	Joey Orduna Hastings Clerk of the Court
2	Thomas J. Hall, Esq. Nevada State Bar No. 675	Transaction # 2702013
3	305 South Arlington Avenue	
4	Post Office Box 3948 Reno, Nevada 89505	
5	Telephone: 775-348-7011	
6	Facsimile: 775-348-7211	
7	Attorney for Iliescus	
8	IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT O	COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
9	IN AND FOR THE COU	INTY OF WASHOE
10		
11	MARK B. STEPPAN,	Case No.: CV07-00341
12	Plaintiff,	(Consolidated with CV10-01021)
13	v.	Dept. No.: 10
14		
15	JOHN ILIESCU, JR. and SONNIA ILIESCU, as Trustees of the JOHN	
16	ILIESCU, JR. AND SONNIA ILIESCU	r
17	1992 FAMILY TRUST AGREEMENT; JOHN ILIESCU, individually; DOES I-V,	
18	Inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS VI-X, inclusive,	
19		
20	Defendants.	
21	AND RELATED CROSS-CLAIMS AND	
22	THIRD-PARTY CLAIMS.	
23	CASE CROSS-APPE	AL STATEMENT
24		
25	COME NOW, Defendants JOHN ILIE	SCU, JR. AND SONNIA ILIESCU, AS
26	TRUSTEES OF THE JOHN ILIESCU, JR.	AND SONNIA ILIESCU 1992 FAMILY
27	TRUST AGREEMENT AND JOHN ILIESCU	, individually ("Iliescus"), by and through
28	1	
4W 'ON 948		
05		

Z (THOMAS J. HALL ATTORNEY AND COUNSELOR AT LAW 305 SOUTH ARLINGTON AVENUE POST OFFICE BOX 3948 RENO. NEVADA 89505 (775) 348-7011

.

1	their attorney Thomas J. Hall, Esq., pursuant to Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure
2	3(a)(1), and hereby file their Case Cross-Appeal Statement as follows:
3	1. Name of Cross-Appellants filing this Case Cross-Appeal Statement: John
- 5	Iliescu, Jr. and Sonnia Iliescu, as Trustees of the John Iliescu, Jr. and Sonnia Iliescu 1992
6	Family Trust Agreement and John Iliescu, individually.
7	2. Judge issuing the Order appealed from: The Honorable Steven P. Elliott.
8	3. Name of the Cross-Appellants and Counsel: John Iliescu, Jr. and Sonnia
9 10	Iliescu, as Trustees of the John Iliescu, Jr. and Sonnia Iliescu 1992 Family Trust
11	Agreement and John Iliescu, individually.
12	Counsel for Cross-Appellants: Thomas J. Hall, Esq., Post Office Box 3948, Reno,
13	Nevada 89505.
14 15	4. Name of the Respondents and Counsel: Hale Lane Peek Dennison and Howard
16	Professional Corporation, Karen D. Dennison, R. Craig Howard and Jerry M. Snyder
17	collectively "Hale Lane". Counsel for Respondents: Lemons, Grundy & Eisenberg,
18	David R. Grundy, Esq., 6005 Plumas Street, Third Floor, Reno, Nevada 89519.
19	5. Indicate whether any attorney identified above is not licensed to practice law in
20 21	Nevada. None.
22	6. Whether Cross-Appellants retained counsel in the district court: Yes.
23	 Whether Cross-Appellants retained counsel on appeal: Yes.
24	
25 26	8. Whether Cross-Appellants have been granted leave to proceed in forma
27	pauperis: No.
28 HALL AND AT LAW INGTON 0x 3948	2
89505	

Z THOMAS J. HALL ATTORNEY AND COUNSELOR AT LAW 305 SOUTH ARLINGTON AVENUE POST OFFICE BOX 3948 RENO, NEVADA 89505 (775) 348-7011 9. The date the proceedings commenced in the District Court: Application for Release of Mechanic's Lien by Iliescus was filed in District Court on February 14, 2007, in Case No. CV07-00341.

10. Brief description of the action: The Iliescus own real property located in Reno, Nevada ("Property"), which they desired to sell. On July 29, 2005, Iliescus entered into a Purchase Agreement with certain Developers for the sale of the Property. The parties intended that after purchasing the Property, the Developers would develop the Property into a high-rise condominium project to be known as Wingfield Towers ("Project"). The sale was expressly contingent upon Developers obtaining all the necessary entitlements and permits for the Project from the City of Reno ("Governmental Approvals") and suitable financing.

Following various modifications to the Agreement by addenda, the Developers sought assistance from an architect to help obtain the Governmental Approvals. Plaintiff Mark B. Steppan ("Steppan"), an architect licensed in Nevada, and his affiliated California firm, Fisher-Friedman & Associates, were retained by Developers on a time and materials basis to conceptually design the Project, to prepare certain schematic drawings and to present these drawings to the Reno Planning Commission and to the Reno City Council in support of gaining Governmental Approvals for the Project.

After Governmental Approvals were obtained, Steppan filed a Notice of Lien on November 7, 2006, in the amount of \$1,783,548.85, which was later amended on May 3, 2007, in the amount of \$1,939,347.51. Iliescus filed an Application for Release of Mechanic's Lien in Case No. CV07-00341 on February 14, 2007. Steppan, in turn, filed

THOMAS J. HALL ATTORNEY AND COUNSELOR AT LAW 305 SOUTH ARLINGTON AVENUE POST OFFICE BOX 3948 RENO, NEVADA 89505 (775) 348-7011 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	a Complaint against Iliescus to Foreclose Mechanic's Lien in Case No. CV07-01021 on
2	May 4, 2007. The two cases were consolidated by Court Order on September 24, 2007.
3	Iliescus filed an Answer and Third-Party Complaint against Hale Lane on September 27,
4	2007.
5	On March 30, 2011, Hale Lane filed its Motion for Summary Judgment.
7	
8	On July 22, 2011, Hale Lane filed its Supplement to its Motion for Summary
9	Judgment.
10	On August 18, 2011, Iliescus filed their Opposition to the Motion for Summary
11	Judgment.
12	On August 29, 2011, Iliescus filed their Opposition to the Supplement to the
13	Motion for Summary Judgment.
14	On September 1, 2011, the District Court entered its Order Granting Third-Party
15 16	
17	Defendant Hale Lane's Motion for Summary Judgment Regarding Third-Party Claims by
18	John Iliescu.
19	On September 15, 2011, Iliescus filed their Motion for Leave to File Motion for
20	Reconsideration.
21	On October 19, 2011, the District Court entered its Order Denying Iliescu's
22	Motion for Leave to File Motion for Reconsideration.
23	This Appeal arises from both the September 1, 2011 and October 19, 2011,
24 25	Orders.
25	
27	11. Whether this case has previously been the subject of an appeal to or original
28	writ proceeding in the Supreme Court: No.
THOMAS J. HALL, ATTORNEY AND COUNSELOR AT LAW 305 SOUTH ARLINGTON AVENUE POST OFFICE BOX 3948 RENO, NEVADA 89505 (775) 348-7011	4

1	12. Whether this appeal involves child custody or visitation: No.
2	13. Whether the appeal involves the possibility of settlement: Yes. Although
3	prior settlement negotiations had occurred, no final settlement had been reached.
4	14. The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document does not
5	
7	contain the social security number of any person.
8	DATED: January 17, 2012.
9	LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS J. HALL
10	
11	mun stall
12	Thomas J. Hall, Esq. Law Offices of Thomas J. Hall
13	305 South Arlington Avenue Post Office Box 3948
14	Reno, Nevada 89505
15 16	Telephone: (775)348-7011 Facsimile: (775)348-7211
17	Attorney for Iliescus
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
20	
28	
THOMAS J. HALL ATTORNEY AND COUNSELOR AT LAW 305 SOUTH ARLINGTON AVENUE POST OFFICE BOX 3948 RENO, NEVADA 89505 (775) 348-7011	5

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that I am an employee of the Law Offices
3	of Thomas J. Hall, and that on this date I electronically filed a true and correct copy of
4	the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court by using the ECF system, which
5	served the following parties electronically:
7	
8	David R. Grundy, Esq. 6005 Plumas Street, 3 rd Floor
9	Reno, Nevada 89519
10	Gregory F. Wilson, Esq. Wilson & Quint, LLP
11	417 West Plumb Lane
12	Reno, Nevada 89509
13	Michael D. Hoy, Esq. Hoy & Hoy, P.C.
14	4741 Caughlin Parkway, Suite Four Reno, Nevada 89519
15	
16 17	DATED: January 17, 2012.
17	in a do
19	HATTO DO LE
20	Misti A. Hale
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 28	
28 THOMAS J. HALL ATTORNEY AND COUNSELOR AT LAW 305 SOUTH ARLINGTON AVENUE POST OFFICE BOX 3948 RENO, NEVADA 89505 (775) 348-7011	6

SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF WASHOE

Case History - CV07-00341

Case Description: JOHN ILIESCU ETAL VS. MARK STEPPAN (D10)

Party Type & Name	Party Status
JUDG - BRENT ADAMS - D6	Party ended on: 8/10/2011 12:00:00AM
JUDG - JANET J. BERRY - D1	Party ended on: 8/18/2011 12:00:00AM
JUDG - STEVEN P. ELLIOTT - D10	Active
DATY - Stephen R. Harris, Esq 1463	Active
DATY - Judith A. Otto, Esq 3326	Active
3DEF - KAREN D. DENNISON - @3257	Active
3DEF - HALE LANE PEEK DENNISON HOWARD - @56874	Active
3DEF - HOLLAND & HART, LLP - @1158588	Active
3DEF - CONSOLIDATED PACIFIC DEVELOPMENT INC. (NV CORP.) - @139819	Active
3DEF - JERRY M. SNYDER - @612312	Active
3DEF - JOHN SCHLEINING - @1135932	Active
3DEF - DECAL OREGON INC @1135933	Active
3DEF - R. CRAIG HOWARD - @313806	Active
APPL - JOHN ILIESCU, JR @8244	Active
APPL - SONNIA ILIESCU - @77375	Active
ATTY - Stephen C. Mollath, Esq 922	Active
ATTY - Gregory Francis Wilson, Esq 2517	Active
ATTY - Sallie B. Armstrong, Esq 1243	Party ended on: 8/29/2011 12:00:00AM
ATTY - Michael D. Hoy, Esq 2723	Active
ATTY - Jerry M. Snyder, Esq 6830	Party ended on: 8/3/2007 12:00:00AM
ATTY - Thomas J. Hall, Esq 675	Active
ATTY - David R. Grundy, Esq 864	Active
ATTY - Gayle A. Kern, Esq 1620	Party ended on: 6/23/2011 12:00:00AM
ATTY - Matthew F. Quint, Esq 10962	Active
RESP - MARK B. STEPPAN - @1117886	Active
TRSE - TRUSTEE OF THE JOHN ILIESCU, JR. & SONNIA ILLIESCU - @132341	Active

- 1 Department: D6 -- Event: MOTION ... -- Scheduled Date & Time: 3/16/2007 at 13:30:00 Extra Event Text: FOR RELEASE OF MECHANIC'S LIEN Event Disposition: D844 - 3/8/2007
- 2 Department: D6 -- Event: MOTION ... -- Scheduled Date & Time: 4/17/2007 at 09:00:00 Extra Event Text: FOR RELEASE OF MECHANIC'S LIEN Event Disposition: D844 - 4/12/2007
- Department: D6 -- Event: MOTION ... -- Scheduled Date & Time: 5/3/2007 at 13:30:00
 Extra Event Text: FOR RELEASE OF MECHANIC'S LIEN
 Event Disposition: D355 5/3/2007

- Department: D6 -- Event: PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE -- Scheduled Date & Time: 2/22/2008 at 13:30:00
 Extra Event Text: STEVEN MOLLATH, ESQ. 786-3011
 Event Disposition: D475 2/22/2008
- Department: D6 -- Event: Request for Submission -- Scheduled Date & Time: 2/26/2008 at 10:35:00
 Extra Event Text: MOTION TO ENLARGE THE TIME FOR SERVICE
 Event Disposition: S200 2/29/2008
- 6 Department: D6 -- Event: Request for Submission -- Scheduled Date & Time: 3/25/2008 at 16:10:00 Extra Event Text: EX PARTE MOTIO TO ENLARGE TIME FOR SERVICE EX PARTE MOTIO TO SERVE BY PUBLICATION AND DECLARATION OF SALLIE B. ARI Event Disposition: S200 - 3/27/2008
- 7 Department: D6 -- Event: Request for Submission -- Scheduled Date & Time: 5/27/2009 at 10:25:00 Extra Event Text: APPLICANTS/DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT Event Disposition: S200 - 6/22/2009
- 8 Department: D6 -- Event: Request for Submission -- Scheduled Date & Time: 5/28/2009 at 11:40:00 Extra Event Text: DEFT'S MOTIONFOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON MARK B STEPPAN'S CLAIM FOR FORECLOSURE OF MECHANICS LIEN AND OTH Event Disposition: S200 - 6/22/2009
- Department: D6 -- Event: SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE -- Scheduled Date & Time: 1/14/2010 at 09:00:00
 Extra Event Text: P STEPHEN MOLLATH 786-3011
 Event Disposition: D870 12/10/2009
- 10 Department: D6 -- Event: Request for Submission -- Scheduled Date & Time: 3/9/2010 at 16:25:00 Extra Event Text: MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS ATTORNEY OF RECORD Event Disposition: S200 - 3/18/2010
- 11 Department: D6 -- Event: SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE -- Scheduled Date & Time: 3/18/2010 at 09:00:00 Extra Event Text: P - STEPHEN MOLLATH - 786-3011 Event Disposition: D480 - 3/31/2010
- 12 Department: D6 -- Event: Request for Submission -- Scheduled Date & Time: 1/28/2011 at 14:35:00 Extra Event Text: MOTION TO ADJUDICATE ATTORNEY'S LIEN (NO PAPER ORDER PROVIDED) Event Disposition: S200 - 3/29/2011
- 13 Department: D6 -- Event: Request for Submission -- Scheduled Date & Time: 1/28/2011 at 08:59:00 Extra Event Text: MOTION AND APPLICATION TO WITHDRAW (NO PAPER ORDER PROVIDED) Event Disposition: S200 - 3/28/2011
- 14 Department: D6 -- Event: Request for Submission -- Scheduled Date & Time: 5/26/2011 at 15:02:00 Extra Event Text: REQUEST FOR SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE Event Disposition: S200 - 6/21/2011
- 15 Department: D6 -- Event: CONFERENCE CALL -- Scheduled Date & Time: 7/15/2011 at 11:30:00 Extra Event Text: STEVE MOLLATH Event Disposition: D475 - 7/15/2011
- 16 Department: D10 -- Event: Request for Submission -- Scheduled Date & Time: 8/29/2011 at 10:50:00 Extra Event Text: THIRD PARTY DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (NO PAPER ORDER PROVIDED) Event Disposition: S200 - 9/1/2011
- 17 Department: D10 -- Event: Request for Submission -- Scheduled Date & Time: 9/22/2011 at 13:15:00 Extra Event Text: MOTION TO DISMISS Event Disposition: S200 - 10/25/2011

- 18 Department: D10 -- Event: Request for Submission -- Scheduled Date & Time: 9/22/2011 at 13:15:00 Extra Event Text: MOTION TO AMEND THIRD PARTY COMPLAINT AGAINST DEFT HALE LANE Event Disposition: S200 - 10/19/2011
- Department: D6 -- Event: TRIAL NON JURY -- Scheduled Date & Time: 10/3/2011 at 09:00:00
 Extra Event Text: NO 1, BENCH, 10 DAYS
 Event Disposition: D845 8/10/2011
- 20 Department: D10 -- Event: Request for Submission -- Scheduled Date & Time: 10/6/2011 at 16:20:00 Extra Event Text: MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION -Event Disposition: S200 - 10/19/2011
- 21 Department: D10 -- Event: STATUS CONFERENCE -- Scheduled Date & Time: 10/13/2011 at 11:15:00 Extra Event Text: TRIAL SET FOR 11/14/11 Event Disposition: D845 - 10/13/2011
- Department: D10 -- Event: TRIAL JURY -- Scheduled Date & Time: 11/14/2011 at 08:30:00
 Extra Event Text: 5-DAY JURY TRIAL (#2 SET)
 Event Disposition: D845 10/5/2011
- 23 Department: D10 -- Event: Request for Submission -- Scheduled Date & Time: 11/16/2011 at 16:55:00
 Extra Event Text: MOTION
 Event Disposition: S200 11/22/2011
- Department: D10 -- Event: Request for Submission -- Scheduled Date & Time: 12/2/2011 at 15:25:00
 Extra Event Text: MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION
 Event Disposition: S200 12/21/2011
- Department: D10 -- Event: PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE -- Scheduled Date & Time: 12/15/2011 at 13:30:00
 Extra Event Text: 4-DAY NON-JURY TRIAL SET FOR 2/21/12
 Event Disposition: D845 12/15/2011

Actions

	Filing Date - Docket Code & Description
1	2/14/2007 - \$3850 - \$Request for Release of Lien
	No additional text exists for this entry.
2	2/14/2007 - 1030 - Affidavit in Support
	Additional Text: DECLARATION OF JOHN ILIESCU IN SUPPORT OF APPLICATION FOR RELEASE MECHANIC'S LIEN
3	2/14/2007 - COV - **Civil Cover Sheet
	No additional text exists for this entry.
4	2/14/2007 - PAYRC - **Payment Receipted
	Additional Text: A Payment of -\$95.00 was made on receipt DCDC174766.
5	3/6/2007 - 1040 - Affidavit of Mailing
	No additional text exists for this entry.
6	3/9/2007 - 1250 - Application for Setting
	Additional Text: APPLICATION FOR RELEASE OF MECHANIC'S LIEN 4/1/7/07 AT 9:00 AM
7	4/17/2007 - 1250 - Application for Setting
	Additional Text: APPLICATION FOR RELEASE OF MECHANIC'S LIEN 5/3/07 AT 1:30 P.M.

Report Does Not Contain Sealed Cases or Confidential Information

8 5/3/2007 - 3880 - Response ... Additional Text: RESPONSE TO APPLICATION FOR RELEASE OF MECHANIC'S LIEN 5/4/2007 - 3370 - Order ... 9 Additional Text: after 5/3/07 hearing 10 5/11/2007 - 2540 - Notice of Entry of Ord No additional text exists for this entry. 11 6/29/2007 - 4185 - Transcript ... Additional Text: MOTION FOR RELEASE OF MECHANIC'S LIEN - MAY 3, 2007 7/30/2007 - 3880 - Response ... 12 Additional Text: SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO APPLICATION FOR RELEASE OF MECHANIC'S LIEN 7/30/2007 - 2605 - Notice to Set 13 No additional text exists for this entry. 8/3/2007 - 4075 - Substitution of Counsel 14 Additional Text: SALLIE B. ARMSTRONGHALE LANE PEEK DENISON AND HOWARD FOR APPLICANTS IN PLACE OF HALE LANE PEEK DENISON AND HOWARD 8/6/2007 - 1120 - Amended ... 15 Additional Text: NOTICE TO SET 16 8/7/2007 - 1040 - Affidavit of Mailing No additional text exists for this entry. 17 8/13/2007 - 2610 - Notice ... Additional Text: OF ASSOCIATION OF COUNSEL STEPHEN C. MOLLATH, OF PREZANT & MOLLATH ASSOCIATED WITH DOWNEY BRAND LLP FOR PLAINTIFFS 9/6/2007 - 3015 - Ord Granting Consolidation 18 Additional Text: STIPULATION TO CONSOLIDATE PROCEEDINGS; ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION 9/24/2007 - 4050 - Stipulation ... 19 Additional Text: STIPULATION TO CONSOLIDATE PROCEEDINGS; ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION 20 9/27/2007 - 1130 - Answer ... Additional Text: ANSWER AND THIRD PARTY COMPLAINT (ILIESCU DEFENDANTS) 9/27/2007 - 4090 - ** Summons Issued 21 Additional Text: (7) 22 10/24/2007 - A120 - Exemption from Arbitration Additional Text: FORECLOSE MECANICS LIEN 23 11/6/2007 - 3696 - Pre-Trial Order No additional text exists for this entry. 11/15/2007 - 2605 - Notice to Set 24 No additional text exists for this entry. 25 11/15/2007 - 2605 - Notice to Set

Additional Text: NOTICE TO SET MANDATORY PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

- 26 12/5/2007 1250 Application for Setting Additional Text: STEPHEN MOLLATH, ESQ. 786-3011 GAYLE KERN, ESQ. - 324-5930
- 27 12/6/2007 1040 Affidavit of Mailing

No additional text exists for this entry.

- 28 12/6/2007 4085 Summons Filed Additional Text: THIRD PARTY SUMMONS - CONSOLIDATED PACIFIC DEVELOPMENT INC. DUSTIN E. GRATE 11-27-07
- 29 12/10/2007 1005 Acceptance of Service Additional Text: ACCEPTANCE OF SERVICE OF THIRD PARTY SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT
- 30 12/18/2007 \$1560 \$Def 1st Appearance CV Additional Text: JOHN SHLEINING
- 31 12/18/2007 \$DEFT \$Addl Def/Answer Prty/Appear Additional Text: DECAL OREGON
- 32 12/18/2007 2520 Notice of Appearance No additional text exists for this entry.
- 33 12/18/2007 PAYRC **Payment Receipted Additional Text: A Payment of -\$124.00 was made on receipt DCDC190628.
- 34 1/24/2008 1520 Declaration Additional Text: DECALARTION OF SALLIE B. ARMSTRONG IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO ENLARGE TIME FOR SERVICE
- 35 1/24/2008 2490 Motion ... Additional Text: MOTION TO ENLARGE THE TIME FOR SERVICE
- 36 1/29/2008 1005 Acceptance of Service No additional text exists for this entry.
- 37 2/12/2008 2529 Notice of Early Case Conferenc No additional text exists for this entry.
- 38 2/12/2008 2610 Notice ... Additional Text: NOTICE OF PRETRIAL CONFERENCE
- 39 2/20/2008 1120 Amended ... Additional Text: AMENDED NOTICE OF EARLY CASE CONFERENCE
- 40 2/22/2008 \$1560 \$Def 1st Appearance CV Additional Text: CONSOLIDATED PACIFIC DEVELOPMENT
- 41 2/22/2008 1140 Answer to Amended Complaint Additional Text: CONSOLIDATED PACIFIC DEVELOPMENT
- 42 2/22/2008 PAYRC **Payment Receipted Additional Text: A Payment of -\$94.00 was made on receipt DCDC194226.
- 43 2/25/2008 3860 Request for Submission

Additional Text: DOCUMENT TITLE: MOTION TO ENLARGE THE TIME FOR SERVICE
PARTY SUBMITTING: SALLIE B ARMSTRONG, ESQ
DATE SUBMITTED: 02/26/08
SUBMITTED BY: DJ
DATE RECEIVED JUDGE OFFICE:

44 2/26/2008 - 1040 - Affidavit of Mailing

No additional text exists for this entry.

45 2/29/2008 - 3370 - Order ...

Additional Text: GRANTING MOTION TO ENLARGE TIME FOR SERVICE

46 2/29/2008 - S200 - Request for Submission Complet

Additional Text: order

47 3/6/2008 - 4250 - Verification of ...

Additional Text: VERIFICATION TO ANSWER

48 3/7/2008 - 4050 - Stipulation ...

Additional Text: STIPULATION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST DEFT HALE LANE AND TO DISMISS CLAIMS AGAINST DEFTS DENNISON HOWARD AND SNYDER WITHOUT PREJUDICE - Transaction 154047 - Approved By: ASMITH : 03-07-2008:09:49:51

49 3/25/2008 - 1520 - Declaration

Additional Text: DECLARATION OF SALLIE B. ARMSTRONG IN SUPPORT OF EX-PARTE MOTION TO ENLARGE TIME FOR SERVICE AND EX PARTE MOTION TO SERVE BY PUBLICATION

50 3/25/2008 - 1670 - Ex-Parte Mtn...

Additional Text: APPLICANTS / THIRD PARTY PLAINTIFF'S EX PARTE MOTION TO ENLARGE TIME FOR SERVICE AND EX PARTE MOITON TO SERVE BY PULBICATION

51 3/25/2008 - 3860 - Request for Submission

Additional Text: DOCUMENT TITLE: EX PARTE MOTIO TO ENLARGE TIME FOR SERVICE EX PARTE MOTIO TO SERVE BY PUBLICATION AND DECLARATION OF SALLIE B. ARMSTRONG IN SUPPORT PARTY SUBMITTING: SALLIE ARMSTRONG DATE SUBMITTED: 3/25/08 SUBMITTED BY: JA DATE RECEIVED JUDGE OFFICE:

52 3/27/2008 - S200 - Request for Submission Complet

Additional Text: order

53 3/27/2008 - 3370 - Order ...

Additional Text: granting Iliescu's motion to allow service by publication

- 54 4/4/2008 2540 Notice of Entry of Ord No additional text exists for this entry.
- 55 4/16/2008 4185 Transcript ... Additional Text: PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE 2/22/2008
- 56 4/17/2008 2490 Motion ...

Additional Text: APPLICANTS/DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON MARK B. STEPPAN'S CLAIM FOR FORECLOSURE ON MECHANIC'S LIEN

57 4/30/2008 - 1067 - Affidavit of Service

No additional text exists for this entry.

58 5/13/2008 - 3715 - Proof of Publication

No additional text exists for this entry.

59 5/20/2008 - 3715 - Proof of Publication

Additional Text: PUBLISHED IN THE OREGONIAN ON 04/23/08, 04/30/08, 0507/08 & 05/14/08

- 60 5/20/2008 - 1067 - Affidavit of Service Additional Text: CALVIN BATY JR WAS SERVED AT TWO ADDRESSES 04/15/08 BY CERTIFIED MAIL 61 7/29/2008 - 2582 - Notice of Taking Deposition Additional Text: NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION OF RICHARD K. "DICK" JOHNSON - Transaction 301581 - Approved By: TPRINCE : 07-29-2008:14:03:40 62 8/7/2008 - 4055 - Subpoena Additional Text: SUBPOEN AND SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM - Transaction 314227 - Approved By: TPRINCE : 08-07-2008:11:06:58 63 8/19/2008 - 2610 - Notice ... Additional Text: NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION 64 10/8/2008 - 2582 - Notice of Taking Deposition No additional text exists for this entry. 65 10/17/2008 - 4065 - Subpoena Duces Tecum No additional text exists for this entry. 66 10/17/2008 - 4055 - Subpoena No additional text exists for this entry.
 - 67 2/3/2009 2645 Opposition to Mtn ...

Additional Text: MARK B. STEPPAN'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND CROSS-MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT - Transaction 579452 - Approved By: MPURDY : 02-03-2009:17:36:17

68 3/31/2009 - 3795 - Reply...

Additional Text: PLAINTIFF'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S CROSS-MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT

69 5/22/2009 - 3790 - Reply to/in Opposition

Additional Text: MARK B STEPPAN'S REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO CROSS-MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT -Transaction 789096 - Approved By: ASMITH : 05-22-2009:14:26:05

70 5/27/2009 - 3860 - Request for Submission

Additional Text: DOCUMENT TITLE: APPLICANTS/DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT PARTY SUBMITTING: STEPHEN MOLLATH, ESQ DATE SUBMITTED: 05/27/09 SUBMITTED BY: JN DATE RECEIVED JUDGE OFFICE:

71 5/28/2009 - 3860 - Request for Submission

Additional Text: DEFT'S MOTIONFOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON MARK B STEPPAN'S CLAIM FOR FORECLOSURE OF MECHANICS LIEN AND OTHER RELATED MOTIONS - Transaction 797949 - Approved By: ASMITH : 05-28-2009:11:28:42 DOCUMENT TITLE: DEFT'S MOTIONFOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON MARK B STEPPAN'S CLAIM FOR FORECLOSURE OF MECHANICS LIEN AND OTHER RELATED MOTIONS PARTY SUBMITTING: GAYLE KERN, ESQ DATE SUBMITTED: 05/28/09 SUBMITTED BY: JN DATE RECEIVED JUDGE OFFICE:

72 6/22/2009 - S200 - Request for Submission Complet

Additional Text: order

73 6/22/2009 - S200 - Request for Submission Complet

Additional Text: order

74 6/22/2009 - 3370 - Order ...

Additional Text: DENYING MTN FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT & GRANTING CROSS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT - Transaction 850528 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 06-22-2009:11:17:15

75 7/1/2009 - 3870 - Request

Additional Text: ILIESCU'S REQUEST FOR STATUS CONFERENCE

76	7/20/2009 - 2540 - Notice of Entry of Ord
	Additional Text: Transaction 908862 - Approved By: ASMITH : 07-20-2009:15:01:50
77	7/24/2009 - 2605 - Notice to Set
	Additional Text: 08-06-09 @ 9:30 - Transaction 923383 - Approved By: AZION : 07-24-2009:15:46:21
78	8/6/2009 - 1250 - Application for Setting
	Additional Text: sett conf - 1/14/2010
79	9/2/2009 - \$1131 - \$Answer - Business Court
	Additional Text: JOHN SCHLEINING
80	9/2/2009 - \$4180 - \$Third Party Complaint
	Additional Text: JOHN SCHLEINING
81	9/2/2009 - 1165 - Answer Third Party Complaint
	Additional Text: JOHN SCHLEINING'S ANSWER TO THIRD-PARTY COMPLAINT, CROSS-CLAIM AND THIRD-PARTY COMPLAINT JOHN SCHLEINING
82	9/2/2009 - PAYRC - **Payment Receipted
	Additional Text: A Payment of -\$1,588.00 was made on receipt DCDC247123.
83	9/2/2009 - 4090 - ** Summons Issued
	Additional Text: ISSUED FOR SERVICE OF THIRD PARTY COMPLAINT
84	9/14/2009 - 1005 - Acceptance of Service
	Additional Text: ACCEPTANCE OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS ON THIRD-PARTY COMPLAINT - R. CRAIG HOWARD obo HOLLAND & HART, LLP - 09/14/09 - Transaction 1039146 - Approved By: MPURDY : 09-14-2009:15:37:56
85	10/7/2009 - \$DEFT - \$Addl Def/Answer - Prty/Appear
	Additional Text: JERRY M. SNYDER
86	10/7/2009 - \$DEFT - \$Addl Def/Answer - Prty/Appear
	Additional Text: R.CRAIG HOWARD
87	10/7/2009 - \$DEFT - \$Addl Def/Answer - Prty/Appear
	Additional Text: KAREN D. DENNISON
88	10/7/2009 - \$1560 - \$Def 1st Appearance - CV
	Additional Text: HALE LANE PEEK DENNISON & HOWARD
89	10/7/2009 - 1165 - Answer Third Party Complaint
	Additional Text: HALE LANE PEEK DENNISON & HOWARD, KAREN D. DENNISON, R. CRAIG HOWARD & JERRY M. SNYDER
90	10/7/2009 - 1155 - Answer to Cross Claim
	Additional Text: CROSS-DEFT HALE LANE PEEK DENNISON & HOWARD & R. CRAIG HOWARD
91	10/7/2009 - 1165 - Answer Third Party Complaint
	Additional Text: ANSWER OF HOLLAND & HART LLP TO THIRD-PARTY COMPLAINT OF JOHN SCHLEINING
92	10/7/2009 - \$1560 - \$Def 1st Appearance - CV
	Additional Text: HOLLAND & HART LLP
93	10/9/2009 - PAYRC - **Payment Receipted
	Additional Text: A Payment of -\$516.00 was made on receipt DCDC250844.

94 12/10/2009 - 3980 - Stip and Order ... Additional Text: TO CONTINUE SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE - Transaction 1201831 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 12-10-2009:16:33:07 12/10/2009 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service 95 Additional Text: Transaction 1201833 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 12-10-2009:16:33:56 96 1/20/2010 - 2582 - Notice of Taking Deposition No additional text exists for this entry. 97 1/20/2010 - 2582 - Notice of Taking Deposition No additional text exists for this entry. 98 1/20/2010 - 2582 - Notice of Taking Deposition No additional text exists for this entry. 99 1/20/2010 - 2582 - Notice of Taking Deposition No additional text exists for this entry. 100 1/20/2010 - 2582 - Notice of Taking Deposition No additional text exists for this entry. 2/12/2010 - 2490 - Motion ... 101 Additional Text: MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS ATTORNEY OF RECORD 102 3/9/2010 - 3860 - Request for Submission Additional Text: DOCUMENT TITLE: MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS ATTORNEY OF RECORD PARTY SUBMITTING: JUDITH A. OTTO, ESQ. DATE SUBMITTED: 03/09/10 SUBMITTED BY: JYOST DATE RECEIVED JUDGE OFFICE: 3/18/2010 - S200 - Request for Submission Complet 103 Additional Text: ORDER 104 3/18/2010 - 3060 - Ord Granting Mtn ... Additional Text: TO WITHDRAW - JUDITH OTTO - Transaction 1383487 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 03-18-2010:14:44:16 105 3/18/2010 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service Additional Text: Transaction 1383555 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 03-18-2010:14:53:09 5/14/2010 - 2570 - Notice of Lien 106 Additional Text: NOTICE OF ATTORNEY'S LIEN 107 5/14/2010 - 2490 - Motion ... Additional Text: VERIFIED MOTION AND APPLICATION TO WITHDRAW 8/12/2010 - 2605 - Notice to Set 108 Additional Text: NOTICE TO SET TRIAL - Transaction 1656111 - Approved By: APOMA : 08-13-2010:08:39:19 109 8/13/2010 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service Additional Text: Transaction 1656421 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-13-2010:08:40:42 8/31/2010 - 1250 - Application for Setting 110 Additional Text: TRIAL - 10/3/11

- 11/18/2010 1120 Amended ... 111 Additional Text: AMENDED NOTICE OF ATTORNEY'S LIEN 1/4/2011 - 1670 - Ex-Parte Mtn ... 112 Additional Text: EX PARTE MOTION TO FILE EXHIBIT UNDER SEAL (IN CAMERA) 113 1/7/2011 - 1675 - Ex-Parte Ord ... Additional Text: ALLOWING EXHIBIT TO BE FILED UNDER SEAL - Transaction 1952190 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 01-07-2011:11:31:27 1/7/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service 114 Additional Text: Transaction 1952199 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 01-07-2011:11:34:09 115 1/11/2011 - 2015 - Mtn for Attorney's Lien No additional text exists for this entry. 116 1/27/2011 - 3860 - Request for Submission Additional Text: DOCUMENT TITLE: MOTION AND APPLICATION TO WITHDRAW (NO PAPER ORDER PROVIDED) PARTY SUBMITTING: STEPHEN C. MOLLATH, ESQ. DATE SUBMITTED: 1/27/11 SUBMITTED BY: MCHOLICO DATE RECEIVED JUDGE OFFICE: 1/28/2011 - 3860 - Request for Submission 117 Additional Text: DOCUMENT TITLE: MOTION TO ADJUDICATE ATTORNEY'S LIEN (NO PAPER ORDER PROVIDED) PARTY SUBMITTING: STEPHEN MOLLATH DATE SUBMITTED: 1/28/11 SUBMITTED BY: YLLOYD DATE RECEIVED JUDGE OFFICE: 1/28/2011 - 3720 - Proof of Service 118 No additional text exists for this entry. 1/28/2011 - 3720 - Proof of Service 119 No additional text exists for this entry. 120 3/28/2011 - S200 - Request for Submission Complet Additional Text: ORDER 121 3/28/2011 - 3060 - Ord Granting Mtn ... Additional Text: TO WITHDRAW - STEPHEN C. MOLLATH, ESQ. - Transaction 2119226 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 03-28-2011:11:32:21 3/28/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service 122 Additional Text: Transaction 2119279 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 03-28-2011:11:40:08
 - 123 3/29/2011 S200 Request for Submission Complet Additional Text: ORDER
 - 124 3/29/2011 1880 Judgment

Additional Text: JOHN ILIESCU, JR. & SONIA ILIESCU - Transaction 2124933 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 03-29-2011:16:31:49

- 125 3/29/2011 NEF Proof of Electronic Service Additional Text: Transaction 2124949 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 03-29-2011:16:34:11
- 126 3/30/2011 \$2200 \$Mtn for Summary Judgment No additional text exists for this entry.
- 127 3/30/2011 PAYRC **Payment Receipted Additional Text: A Payment of -\$200.00 was made on receipt DCDC308618.

Report Does Not Contain Sealed Cases or Confidential Information

128	4/4/2011 - 2535 - Notice of Entry of Judgment
	No additional text exists for this entry.
129	4/4/2011 - 2540 - Notice of Entry of Ord
	No additional text exists for this entry.
130	5/20/2011 - 1610 - Disclosure of Expert Witness
	Additional Text: MARK B. STEPPAN'S DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS - Transaction 2239105 - Approved By: MCHOLICO : 05-20-2011:11:23:41
131	5/20/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2239172 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 05-20-2011:11:30:59
132	5/26/2011 - 3860 - Request for Submission
	Additional Text: DOCUMENT TITLE: REQUEST FOR SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE PARTY SUBMITTING: JOHN ILIESCU, JR. DATE SUBMITTED: 05/26/2011 SUBMITTED BY: MRYTTING DATE RECEIVED JUDGE OFFICE:
133	5/26/2011 - 3870 - Request
	Additional Text: FOR SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE
134	6/21/2011 - S200 - Request for Submission Complet
	Additional Text: order
135	6/21/2011 - 3105 - Ord Granting
	Additional Text: REQUEST FOR SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE - Transaction 2299680 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 06-21-2011:10:04:43
136	6/21/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2299686 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 06-21-2011:10:06:17
137	6/23/2011 - 4075 - Substitution of Counsel
	Additional Text: MICHAEL D. HOY, ESQ. / MARK B. STEPPAN - Transaction 2307502 - Approved By: MCHOLICO : 06-23-2011:16:45:12
138	6/23/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2307553 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 06-23-2011:16:48:06
139	6/24/2011 - 2645 - Opposition to Mtn
	Additional Text: LIMITED OPPOSITION TO THIRD-PARTY DEFT HALE LANE'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING THIRD PARTY CLAIMS BY JOHN LLIESCU - Transaction 2308736 - Approved By: ASMITH : 06-24-2011:11:44:54
140	6/24/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2308772 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 06-24-2011:11:46:18
141	6/24/2011 - 1360 - Certificate of Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2309833 - Approved By: AZION : 06-24-2011:16:27:21
142	6/24/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2309882 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 06-24-2011:16:29:59
143	7/13/2011 - 3935 - Settlement Conference Statemnt
	Additional Text: SUPPLEMENTAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT - Transaction 2342866 - Approved By: SSTINCHF : 07-13-2011:13:20:34
144	7/13/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2342910 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 07-13-2011:13:23:43

145	7/15/2011 - 2520 - Notice of Appearance
	Additional Text: THOMAS HALL ESQ - JOHN ILIESCU, JR, SONNIA SANTEE ILIESCU, JOHN ILIESCU JR, JOHN ILIESCU JR AND SONNIA ILIESCU 1992 FAMILY TRUST - Transaction 2349478 - Approved By: AZION : 07-15-2011:15:05:04
146	7/15/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2349520 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 07-15-2011:15:06:43
147	7/18/2011 - 4185 - Transcript
	Additional Text: Transaction 2351371 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 07-18-2011:11:27:44
148	7/18/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2351381 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 07-18-2011:11:29:21
149	7/22/2011 - 4105 - Supplemental
	Additional Text: SUPPLEMENT TO THIRD PARTY DEFENDANT HALE LANE'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING THIRD-PARTY CLAIMS BY JOHN ILIESCU - Transaction 2363192 - Approved By: MCHOLICO : 07-22-2011:14:45:45
150	7/22/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2363209 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 07-22-2011:14:48:07
151	7/26/2011 - 3910 - Satisfaction of Judgment
	No additional text exists for this entry.
152	8/1/2011 - 2610 - Notice
	Additional Text: NOTICE OF VACATING OF RECORDS DEPOSITION - Transaction 2380875 - Approved By: MCHOLICO : 08-01-2011:16:38:30
153	8/1/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2380912 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-01-2011:16:41:22
154	8/9/2011 - 2280 - Mtn to Continue
	Additional Text: MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE - Transaction 2397461 - Approved By: AZION : 08-09-2011:16:15:40
155	8/9/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2397498 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-09-2011:16:18:04
156	8/10/2011 - 3085 - Ord Granting Recusal
	Additional Text: OF RECUSAL AND FOR RANDOM REASSIGNMENT - Transaction 2399127 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-10-2011:10:44:01
157	8/10/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2399162 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-10-2011:10:47:19
158	Additional Text: Transaction 2399162 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-10-2011:10:47:19 8/10/2011 - 2540 - Notice of Entry of Ord
158	
158 159	8/10/2011 - 2540 - Notice of Entry of Ord
	8/10/2011 - 2540 - Notice of Entry of Ord Additional Text: Transaction 2400089 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-10-2011:14:12:57
	 8/10/2011 - 2540 - Notice of Entry of Ord Additional Text: Transaction 2400089 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-10-2011:14:12:57 8/10/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
159	 8/10/2011 - 2540 - Notice of Entry of Ord Additional Text: Transaction 2400089 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-10-2011:14:12:57 8/10/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service Additional Text: Transaction 2400102 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-10-2011:14:15:16
159	 8/10/2011 - 2540 - Notice of Entry of Ord Additional Text: Transaction 2400089 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-10-2011:14:12:57 8/10/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service Additional Text: Transaction 2400102 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-10-2011:14:15:16 8/15/2011 - 1325 - ** Case Reopened
159 160	 8/10/2011 - 2540 - Notice of Entry of Ord Additional Text: Transaction 2400089 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-10-2011:14:12:57 8/10/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service Additional Text: Transaction 2400102 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-10-2011:14:15:16 8/15/2011 - 1325 - ** Case Reopened No additional text exists for this entry.
159 160	 8/10/2011 - 2540 - Notice of Entry of Ord Additional Text: Transaction 2400089 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-10-2011:14:12:57 8/10/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service Additional Text: Transaction 2400102 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-10-2011:14:15:16 8/15/2011 - 1325 - ** Case Reopened No additional text exists for this entry. 8/15/2011 - 1312 - Case Assignment Notification

163	8/16/2011 - \$2200 - \$Mtn for Summary Judgment
	No additional text exists for this entry.
164	8/16/2011 - 2200 - Mtn for Summary Judgment
	Additional Text: DEFENDANT HALE LANE'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING CROSS-CLAIMS AND THIRD-PARTY CLAIMS BY JOHN SCHLEINING
165	8/16/2011 - PAYRC - **Payment Receipted
	Additional Text: A Payment of -\$200.00 was made on receipt DCDC325429.
166	8/16/2011 - 1360 - Certificate of Service
100	Additional Text: Transaction 2411579 - Approved By: AZION : 08-16-2011:16:18:01
167	8/16/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2411725 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-16-2011:16:24:42
168	8/17/2011 - 3370 - Order
	Additional Text: OF RECUSAL - Transaction 2414837 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-17-2011:16:13:16
	······································
169	8/17/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2414871 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-17-2011:16:17:59
170	8/18/2011 - 1312 - Case Assignment Notification
	Additional Text: Transaction 2416312 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-18-2011:11:09:58
171	8/18/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2416318 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-18-2011:11:11:14
172	8/18/2011 - 2645 - Opposition to Mtn
	Additional Text: OPPOSITION AND RESPONSE TO THIRD PARTY DEFT HALE LANE'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT RE:THIRD PARTY CLAIMS BY JOHN ILIESCU - Transaction 2417195 - Approved By: AZION : 08-18-2011:14:56:57
173	8/18/2011 - 2490 - Motion
	Additional Text: MOTION TO AMEND THIRD PARTY COMPLAINT AGAINST DEFT HALE LANE - Transaction 2417216 - Approved By: AZION : 08-18-2011:15:05:00
174	8/18/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2417219 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-18-2011:15:00:07
175	8/18/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2417237 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-18-2011:15:06:30
176	8/23/2011 - 2605 - Notice to Set
	Additional Text: Transaction 2423873 - Approved By: MCHOLICO : 08-23-2011:09:27:06
177	8/23/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2423922 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-23-2011:09:29:38
470	
178	8/24/2011 - 2645 - Opposition to Mtn Additional Text: OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE - Transaction 2427873 - Approved By: MCHOLICO :
	08-24-2011:11:32:38
179	8/24/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2427911 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-24-2011:11:34:26
180	8/29/2011 - 3795 - Reply
	Additional Text: THIRD PARTY DEFT HALE LANE'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT RE: THIRD
	PARTY CLAIMS BY JOHN ILIESCU - Transaction 2435606 - Approved By: AZION : 08-29-2011:08:58:09

181	8/29/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2435649 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-29-2011:09:00:24
182	8/29/2011 - 1650 - Errata Additional Text: ERRATA TO THIRD PARTY DEFT HALE LANE'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	RE:THIRD PARTY CLAIMS BY JOHN ILIESCU - Transaction 2436076 - Approved By: AZION : 08-29-2011:10:43:14
183	8/29/2011 - 3860 - Request for Submission
	Additional Text: THIRD PARTY DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (NO PAPER ORDER PROVIDED) - Transaction 2436087 - Approved By: MCHOLICO : 08-29-2011:10:28:38 PARTY SUBMITTING: CHRISTOPHER RUSBY, ESQ. DATE SUBMITTED: 8/29/11 SUBMITTED BY: MCHOLICO DATE RECEIVED JUDGE OFFICE:
184	8/29/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2436109 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-29-2011:10:31:15
185	8/29/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2436205 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-29-2011:10:45:44
186	8/29/2011 - 4075 - Substitution of Counsel
	Additional Text: THOMAS HALL ESQ SUBS OUT SALLIE ARMSTRONG, ESQ - Transaction 2436442 - Approved By: AZION : 08-29-2011:12:21:17
187	8/29/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2436520 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-29-2011:12:23:40
188	8/29/2011 - 2645 - Opposition to Mtn
	Additional Text: OPPOSITION TO SUPPLEMENT TO THIRD PARTY DEFT HALE LANE'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT RE: THIRD PARTY CLAIMS BY JOHN ILIESCU - Transaction 2437236 - Approved By: AZION : 08-29-2011:15:07:03
189	8/29/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2437282 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-29-2011:15:09:42
190	8/31/2011 - 1610 - Disclosure of Expert Witness
	Additional Text: DEFT ILIESCUS' DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT WITNESS - Transaction 2442158 - Approved By: AZION : 08-31-2011:10:46:49
191	8/31/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2442340 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 08-31-2011:10:49:26
192	9/1/2011 - 3095 - Ord Grant Summary Judgment
	Additional Text: THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANT HALE LANE'S MOTION REGARDING THIRD-PARTY CLAIMS BY JOHN ILIESCU - Transaction 2444422 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-01-2011:08:30:23
193	9/1/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2444424 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-01-2011:08:31:45
194	9/1/2011 - 2540 - Notice of Entry of Ord
	Additional Text: Transaction 2445663 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-01-2011:13:52:03
195	9/1/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2445665 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-01-2011:13:53:14
196	9/1/2011 - 1950 - Memorandum of Costs
	Additional Text: MEMORANDUM OF COSTS - Transaction 2445671 - Approved By: LMATHEUS : 09-01-2011:14:00:57
197	9/1/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2445708 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-01-2011:14:02:40

Report Does Not Contain Sealed Cases or Confidential Information

198	9/1/2011 - S200 - Request for Submission Complet
	No additional text exists for this entry.
199	9/3/2011 - 2315 - Mtn to Dismiss
199	Additional Text: MOTION TO DISMISS - Transaction 2449949 - Approved By: AZION : 09-06-2011:08:06:39
200	9/6/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2450079 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-06-2011:08:08:18
201	9/6/2011 - JF - **First Day Jury Fees Deposit
201	No additional text exists for this entry.
202	9/6/2011 - 1580 - Demand for Jury
	No additional text exists for this entry.
203	9/6/2011 - 2645 - Opposition to Mtn
	Additional Text: THIRD PARTY DEFT HALE LANE'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO AMEND THIRD PARTY COMPLAINT BY JOHN
	AND SONNIA ILIESCU - Transaction 2450897 - Approved By: AZION : 09-06-2011:11:54:28
204	9/6/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2450900 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-06-2011:11:55:39
205	9/8/2011 - 1250E - Application for Setting eFile
	Additional Text: STATUS CONF 10/13/11; 5-DAY JURY TRIAL 11/14/11 - Transaction 2457955 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-08-2011:19:11:02
206	9/8/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2457958 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-08-2011:19:12:24
207	9/14/2011 - 2280 - Mtn to Continue
20.	Additional Text: Transaction 2469552 - Approved By: AZION : 09-14-2011:16:04:35
208	9/14/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2469574 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-14-2011:16:06:45
209	9/15/2011 - 2490 - Motion
	Additional Text: ILIESCUS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION - Transaction 2472758 - Approved By:
	AZION : 09-16-2011:08:15:37
210	9/16/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2472951 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-16-2011:08:16:54
211	9/16/2011 - 2645 - Opposition to Mtn
	Additional Text: MARK STEPPAN'S OPPOSITION TO ILIESCU'S MOTION TO DISMISS - Transaction 2474551 - Approved By: AZION : 09-16-2011:13:55:03
212	9/16/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2474599 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-16-2011:13:57:01
213	9/22/2011 - 3795 - Reply
	Additional Text: REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO AMEND THIRD PARTY COMPLAINT - Transaction 2486348 - Approved By:
	AZION : 09-22-2011:13:09:27
214	9/22/2011 - 3860 - Request for Submission
	Additional Text: MOTION TO AMEND THIRD PARTY COMPLAINT AGAINST DEFT HALE LANE - Transaction 2486354 - Approved By: AZION : 09-22-2011:13:10:16
	DOCUMENT TITLE: MOTION TO AMEND THIRD PARTY COMPLAINT AGAINST DEFT HALE LANE
	PARTY SUBMITTING: THOMAS HALL ESQ DATE SUBMITTED: 09-22-11
	SUBMITTED BY: AZION DATE RECEIVED JUDGE OFFICE:

215	9/22/2011 - 3795 - Reply
	Additional Text: REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS - Transaction 2486363 - Approved By: MCHOLICO : 09-22-2011:12:45:19
216	9/22/2011 - 3860 - Request for Submission
	Additional Text: MOTION TO DISMISS - Transaction 2486364 - Approved By: AZION : 09-22-2011:13:10:40 DOCUMENT TITLE: MOTION TO DISMISS PARTY SUBMITTING: THOMAS HALL ESQ DATE SUBMITTED: 09-22-11 SUBMITTED BY: AZION DATE RECEIVED JUDGE OFFICE:
217	9/22/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2486375 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-22-2011:12:46:43
218	9/22/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2486504 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-22-2011:13:12:22
219	9/22/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2486506 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-22-2011:13:12:53
220	9/22/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2486508 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 09-22-2011:13:13:14
221	10/3/2011 - 2645 - Opposition to Mtn
	Additional Text: THIRD PARTY DEFT HALE LANE'S OPPOSITION TO JOHN AND SONNIA ILIESCUS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION - Transaction 2506987 - Approved By: ASMITH : 10-03-2011:15:41:32
222	10/3/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2507102 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-03-2011:15:46:25
223	10/3/2011 - 4050 - Stipulation
	Additional Text: STIPULATION TO VACATE AND RESET TRIAL - Transaction 2507121 - Approved By: ASMITH : 10-03-2011:16:03:31
224	10/3/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2507226 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-03-2011:16:07:19
225	10/5/2011 - 3020 - Ord Granting Continuance
	Additional Text: ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION TO VACATE AND RESET TRIAL - Transaction 2511994 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-05-2011:11:05:06
226	10/5/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2512011 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-05-2011:11:08:19
227	10/6/2011 - 2540 - Notice of Entry of Ord
	Additional Text: Transaction 2514251 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-06-2011:09:30:59
228	10/6/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2514258 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-06-2011:09:32:22
229	10/6/2011 - 3795 - Reply
	Additional Text: ILIECUS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION - Transaction 2516462 - Approved By: AZION : 10-06-2011:15:48:11
230	10/6/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2516493 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-06-2011:15:51:23
231	10/6/2011 - 3860 - Request for Submission

	Additional Text: MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION - Transaction 2516526 - Approved By: AZION : 10-06-2011:16:00:10 DOCUMENT TITLE: MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION - PARTY SUBMITTING: THOMAS HALL ESQ DATE SUBMITTED: 10-06-11 SUBMITTED BY: AZION DATE RECEIVED JUDGE OFFICE:
232	10/6/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2516578 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-06-2011:16:01:56
233	10/19/2011 - 2842 - Ord Denying Motion
	Additional Text: ILIESCUS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION - Transaction 2542290 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-19-2011:16:19:19
234	10/19/2011 - 2842 - Ord Denying Motion
	Additional Text: TO AMEND THIRD PARTY COMPLAINT AGAINST DEFENDANT HALE - Transaction 2542290 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-19-2011:16:19:19
235	10/19/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2542336 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-19-2011:16:24:56
236	10/19/2011 - S200 - Request for Submission Complet
	No additional text exists for this entry.
237	10/19/2011 - S200 - Request for Submission Complet
	No additional text exists for this entry.
238	10/21/2011 - \$2160 - \$Mtn Partial Sum Judgment
	Additional Text: MARK STEPPAN - Transaction 2547762 - Approved By: AZION : 10-24-2011:08:14:20
220	10/24/2014 DAVDC ##Devenet Descripted
239	10/24/2011 - PAYRC - **Payment Receipted
239	10/24/2011 - PAYRC - **Payment Receipted Additional Text: A Payment of \$200.00 was made on receipt DCDC333928.
239 240	
	Additional Text: A Payment of \$200.00 was made on receipt DCDC333928.
	Additional Text: A Payment of \$200.00 was made on receipt DCDC333928. 10/24/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
240	Additional Text: A Payment of \$200.00 was made on receipt DCDC333928. 10/24/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service Additional Text: Transaction 2547886 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-24-2011:08:17:11
240	Additional Text: A Payment of \$200.00 was made on receipt DCDC333928. 10/24/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service Additional Text: Transaction 2547886 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-24-2011:08:17:11 10/25/2011 - S200 - Request for Submission Complet
240 241	Additional Text: A Payment of \$200.00 was made on receipt DCDC333928. 10/24/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service Additional Text: Transaction 2547886 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-24-2011:08:17:11 10/25/2011 - S200 - Request for Submission Complet <i>No additional text exists for this entry.</i>
240 241	 Additional Text: A Payment of \$200.00 was made on receipt DCDC333928. 10/24/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service Additional Text: Transaction 2547886 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-24-2011:08:17:11 10/25/2011 - S200 - Request for Submission Complet No additional text exists for this entry. 10/25/2011 - 3060 - Ord Granting Mtn Additional Text: DEFENDANTS ILIESCUS' MOTION TO DISMISS - Transaction 2554042 - Approved By: NOREVIEW :
240 241 242	Additional Text: A Payment of \$200.00 was made on receipt DCDC333928. 10/24/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service Additional Text: Transaction 2547886 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-24-2011:08:17:11 10/25/2011 - S200 - Request for Submission Complet <i>No additional text exists for this entry.</i> 10/25/2011 - 3060 - Ord Granting Mtn Additional Text: DEFENDANTS ILIESCUS' MOTION TO DISMISS - Transaction 2554042 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-25-2011:17:19:22
240 241 242	Additional Text: A Payment of \$200.00 was made on receipt DCDC333928. 10/24/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service Additional Text: Transaction 2547886 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-24-2011:08:17:11 10/25/2011 - S200 - Request for Submission Complet <i>No additional text exists for this entry.</i> 10/25/2011 - 3060 - Ord Granting Mtn Additional Text: DEFENDANTS ILLESCUS' MOTION TO DISMISS - Transaction 2554042 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-25-2011:17:19:22 10/25/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
240 241 242 243	 Additional Text: A Payment of \$200.00 was made on receipt DCDC333928. 10/24/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service Additional Text: Transaction 2547886 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-24-2011:08:17:11 10/25/2011 - S200 - Request for Submission Complet <i>No additional text exists for this entry.</i> 10/25/2011 - 3060 - Ord Granting Mtn Additional Text: DEFENDANTS ILIESCUS' MOTION TO DISMISS - Transaction 2554042 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-25-2011:17:19:22 10/25/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service Additional Text: Transaction 2554045 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-25-2011:17:20:45
240 241 242 243	Additional Text: A Payment of \$200.00 was made on receipt DCDC333928. 10/24/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service Additional Text: Transaction 2547886 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-24-2011:08:17:11 10/25/2011 - S200 - Request for Submission Complet <i>No additional text exists for this entry.</i> 10/25/2011 - 3060 - Ord Granting Mtn Additional Text: DEFENDANTS ILIESCUS' MOTION TO DISMISS - Transaction 2554042 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-25-2011:17:19:22 10/25/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service Additional Text: Transaction 2554045 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-25-2011:17:20:45 10/27/2011 - 2540 - Notice of Entry of Ord
240 241 242 243 244	 Additional Text: A Payment of \$200.00 was made on receipt DCDC333928. 10/24/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service Additional Text: Transaction 2547886 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-24-2011:08:17:11 10/25/2011 - S200 - Request for Submission Complet No additional text exists for this entry. 10/25/2011 - 3060 - Ord Granting Mtn Additional Text: DEFENDANTS ILIESCUS' MOTION TO DISMISS - Transaction 2554042 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-25-2011:17:19:22 10/25/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service Additional Text: Transaction 2554045 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-25-2011:17:20:45 10/27/2011 - 2540 - Notice of Entry of Ord Additional Text: Transaction 2558720 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-27-2011:14:55:45
240 241 242 243 244	Additional Text: A Payment of \$200.00 was made on receipt DCDC333928. 10/24/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service Additional Text: Transaction 2547886 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-24-2011:08:17:11 10/25/2011 - S200 - Request for Submission Complet No additional text exists for this entry. 10/25/2011 - 3060 - Ord Granting Mtn Additional Text: DEFENDANTS ILLESCUS' MOTION TO DISMISS - Transaction 2554042 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-25-2011:17:19:22 10/25/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service Additional Text: Transaction 2554045 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-25-2011:17:20:45 10/27/2011 - 2540 - Notice of Entry of Ord Additional Text: Transaction 2558720 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-27-2011:14:55:45 10/27/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
240 241 242 243 244 244	Additional Text: A Payment of \$200.00 was made on receipt DCDC333928. 10/24/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service Additional Text: Transaction 2547886 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-24-2011:08:17:11 10/25/2011 - S200 - Request for Submission Complet <i>No additional text exists for this entry.</i> 10/25/2011 - 3060 - Ord Granting Mtn Additional Text: DEFENDANTS ILLESCUS' MOTION TO DISMISS - Transaction 2554042 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-25-2011:17:19:22 10/25/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service Additional Text: Transaction 2554045 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-25-2011:17:20:45 10/27/2011 - 2540 - Notice of Entry of Ord Additional Text: Transaction 2558720 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-27-2011:14:55:45 10/27/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service Additional Text: Transaction 2558754 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-27-2011:15:01:35
240 241 242 243 244 244	Additional Text: A Payment of \$200.00 was made on receipt DCDC333928. 10/24/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service Additional Text: Transaction 2547886 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-24-2011:08:17:11 10/25/2011 - S200 - Request for Submission Complet No additional text exists for this entry. 10/25/2011 - 3060 - Ord Granting Mtn Additional Text: DEFENDANTS ILLESCUS' MOTION TO DISMISS - Transaction 2554042 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-25-2011:17:19:22 10/25/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service Additional Text: Transaction 2554045 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-25-2011:17:20:45 10/27/2011 - 2540 - Notice of Entry of Ord Additional Text: Transaction 2558720 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-27-2011:14:55:45 10/27/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service Additional Text: Transaction 2558754 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 10-27-2011:15:01:35 11/1/2011 - 1950 - Memorandum of Costs

248	11/2/2011 - 2490 - Motion
	Additional Text: MOTION TO DISMISS THIRD PARTY PLTF ILIESUC'S THIRD PARTY COMPLAINT AGAINST THIRD PARTY DEFT JOHN SCHLEINING - Transaction 2566863 - Approved By: AZION : 11-02-2011:15:13:15
249	11/2/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2566892 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 11-02-2011:15:14:44
250	11/3/2011 - 2490 - Motion
	Additional Text: MOTION TO RELEASE MECHANIC'S LIENS - Transaction 2569776 - Approved By: AZION : 11-03-2011:16:19:43
251	11/3/2011 - 2490 - Motion
	Additional Text: MOTION TO CANCEL AND EXPUNGE NOTICE OF LIS PENDENS - Transaction 2569787 - Approved By: AZION : 11-03-2011:16:21:29
252	11/3/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2569824 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 11-03-2011:16:23:32
253	11/3/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2569846 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 11-03-2011:16:25:52
254	11/7/2011 - 2430 - Mtn to Retax Costs
	Additional Text: Transaction 2575434 - Approved By: AZION : 11-07-2011:15:51:56
255	11/7/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2575484 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 11-07-2011:15:55:19
256	11/8/2011 - 2490 - Motion
	Additional Text: MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION - Transaction 2578958 - Approved By: AZION : 11-08-2011:16:26:45
257	11/8/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2579063 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 11-08-2011:16:29:13
258	11/14/2011 - 2010 - Mtn for Attorney's Fee
	Additional Text: Transaction 2587882 - Approved By: AZION : 11-14-2011:16:06:33
259	11/14/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2588055 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 11-14-2011:16:16:05
260	11/16/2011 - 3880 - Response
	Additional Text: RESPONSE TO MOTION TO DISMISS THIRD PARTY PLTF ILIESCU'S THIRD PARTY COMPLAINT AGAINST THIRD PARTY DEFT JOHN SCHLEINING - Transaction 2593931 - Approved By: AZION : 11-16-2011:15:10:15
261	11/16/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2594006 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 11-16-2011:15:13:09
262	11/16/2011 - 3860 - Request for Submission
	Additional Text: MOTION - Transaction 2594699 - Approved By: AZION : 11-16-2011:16:47:37 DOCUMENT TITLE: MOTION PARTY SUBMITTING: GREGORY WILSON ESQ DATE SUBMITTED: 11-16-11 SUBMITTED BY: AZION DATE RECEIVED JUDGE OFFICE:
263	11/16/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2594853 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 11-16-2011:16:52:03
264	11/21/2011 - 2645 - Opposition to Mtn
	Additional Text: ILIESCUS' OPPOSITION TO STEPPAN'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION -

Additional Text: ILIESCUS' OPPOSITION TO STEPPAN'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION -Transaction 2602644 - Approved By: AZION : 11-21-2011:15:50:18

265	11/21/2011 - 2645 - Opposition to Mtn
	Additional Text: OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO RETAX COSTS - Transaction 2602692 - Approved By: MCHOLICO : 11-21-2011:15:38:18
266	11/21/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2602768 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 11-21-2011:15:40:00
267	11/21/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2602799 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 11-21-2011:15:52:39
268	11/22/2011 - 3060 - Ord Granting Mtn
	Additional Text: THIRD PARTY DEFENDANT JOHN SCHLEINING'S MOTION TO DISMISS - Transaction 2605633 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 11-22-2011:16:15:50
269	11/22/2011 - 3980 - Stip and Order
	Additional Text: Transaction 2605633 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 11-22-2011:16:15:50
270	11/22/2011 - S200 - Request for Submission Complet
	No additional text exists for this entry.
271	11/22/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2605678 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 11-22-2011:16:22:23
272	11/22/2011 - 2540 - Notice of Entry of Ord
	Additional Text: Transaction 2606048 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 11-22-2011:17:13:55
273	11/22/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2606050 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 11-22-2011:17:15:07
274	11/28/2011 - 1950 - Memorandum of Costs
	Additional Text: Transaction 2611746 - Approved By: AZION : 11-28-2011:16:35:51
275	11/28/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2611800 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 11-28-2011:16:42:39
276	12/1/2011 - 3795 - Reply
	Additional Text: REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION - Transaction 2621540 - Approved By: MCHOLICO : 12-02-2011:10:38:18
277	12/2/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2622262 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 12-02-2011:10:41:57
278	12/2/2011 - 3795 - Reply
	Additional Text: REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO RETAX COSTS - Transaction 2622822 - Approved By: ASMITH : 12-02-2011:15:00:31
279	12/2/2011 - 3860 - Request for Submission
	Additional Text: Transaction 2623558 - Approved By: SHAMBRIG : 12-02-2011:15:10:04 DOCUMENT TITLE: MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION PARTY SUBMITTING: MICHAEL HOY DATE SUBMITTED: 12-2-11 SUBMITTED BY: S HAMBRIGHT DATE RECEIVED JUDGE OFFICE:
280	12/2/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2623632 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 12-02-2011:15:06:35

281 12/2/2011 - 3860 - Request for Submission

	Additional Text: - Transaction 2623645 - Approved By: ASMITH : 12-02-2011:15:54:42 DOCUMENT TITLE: MOTION TO RETAX COSTS PARTY SUBMITTING: MICHAEL HOY, ESQ DATE SUBMITTED: 12-2-11 SUBMITTED BY: A SMITH DATE RECEIVED JUDGE OFFICE:
282	12/2/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2623689 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 12-02-2011:15:18:17
283	12/2/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2623858 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 12-02-2011:15:56:05
284	12/21/2011 - S200 - Request for Submission Complet
204	No additional text exists for this entry.
285	12/22/2011 - \$2515 - \$Notice/Appeal Supreme Court
	Additional Text: APPELLANT, MARK STEPPAN - Transaction 2662337 - Approved By: LMATHEUS : 12-22-2011:14:22:31
286	12/22/2011 - 1310 - Case Appeal Statement
	Additional Text: Transaction 2662346 - Approved By: LMATHEUS : 12-22-2011:14:22:47
287	12/22/2011 - PAYRC - **Payment Receipted
	Additional Text: A Payment of \$34.00 was made on receipt DCDC341181.
288	12/22/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2663306 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 12-22-2011:14:26:16
289	12/22/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2663312 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 12-22-2011:14:27:30
290	12/22/2011 - FIE - **Doument Filed in Error
	Additional Text: 12-30-11 - LMATHEUS
291	12/22/2011 - FIE - **Doument Filed in Error
	Additional Text: 12/30/11 - LMATHEUS - NOTICE OF APPEAL NOT FILED TO SUPREME COURT
292	12/22/2011 - SAB - **Supreme Court Appeal Bond
	No additional text exists for this entry.
293	12/22/2011 - 1360 - Certificate of Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2664041 - Approved By: ASMITH : 12-22-2011:16:29:08
294	12/22/2011 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2664248 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 12-22-2011:16:32:17
295	1/3/2012 - FIE - **Doument Filed in Error
235	Additional Text: 1-12-12 - LMATHEUS - NOTICE OF APPEAL NOT FILED WITH THE SUPREME COURT
296	1/3/2012 - FIE - **Doument Filed in Error
	Additional Text: 1-12-12 - LMATHEUS
297	1/5/2012 - 3995 - Stip & Ord Dismiss W/O Prej
	Additional Text: OF ALL CLAIMS BY JOHN SCHLEINING AGAINST HALE LANE PEEK DENNISON AND HOWARD, HOLLAN & HART AND R. CRAIG HOWARD - Transaction 2683659 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 01-05-2012:17:40:25
298	1/5/2012 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2683668 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 01-05-2012:17:42:08

299	1/6/2012 - 2540 - Notice of Entry of Ord
	Additional Text: Transaction 2685560 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 01-06-2012:13:45:56
300	1/6/2012 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2685562 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 01-06-2012:13:47:38
301	1/12/2012 - 1097 - Amended Notice of Appeal
	Additional Text: APPELLANT, MARK B. STEPPAN - Transaction 2695797 - Approved By: LMATHEUS : 01-12-2012:10:52:26
302	1/12/2012 - 1350 - Certificate of Clerk
	Additional Text: CERTIFICATE OF CLERK AND TRANSMITTAL - NOTICE OF APPEAL - Transaction 2696129 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 01-12-2012:09:52:47
303	1/12/2012 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2696148 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 01-12-2012:09:55:25
304	1/12/2012 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2696450 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 01-12-2012:10:54:59
305	1/17/2012 - \$2527 - \$Notice of Cross Appeal
	Additional Text: APPELLANT - JOHN ILIESCU, JR., ET AL - Transaction 2702000 - Approved By: MFERNAND : 01-18-2012:10:16:59
306	1/17/2012 - 1311 - Case Appeal Stmt-Cross Appeal
	Additional Text: Transaction 2702013 - Approved By: MFERNAND : 01-18-2012:10:17:46
307	1/18/2012 - PAYRC - **Payment Receipted
	Additional Text: A Payment of \$34.00 was made on receipt DCDC344217.
308	1/18/2012 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2704485 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 01-18-2012:10:18:31
309	1/18/2012 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2704487 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 01-18-2012:10:19:43
310	1/18/2012 - 1350 - Certificate of Clerk
	Additional Text: CERTIFICATE OF CLERK AND TRANSMITTAL - AMENDED NOTICE OF APPEAL - Transaction 2705376 - Approvec By: NOREVIEW : 01-18-2012:14:19:50
311	1/18/2012 - NEF - Proof of Electronic Service
	Additional Text: Transaction 2705395 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 01-18-2012:14:21:56
312	1/19/2012 - 1350 - Certificate of Clerk

Additional Text: CERTIFICATE OF CLERK AND TRANSMITTAL - NOTICE OF CROSS APPEAL - Transaction 2707050 - Approved By: NOREVIEW : 01-19-2012:09:30:26

1	FILED Electronically 09-01-2011:08:30:02 AM Howard W. Conyers Clerk of the Court Transaction # 2444422
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
7	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE
8	* * *
9	MARK B. STEPPAN,
10	Plaintiff,
11	Case No: CV07-00341 (Consolidated with CV07-01021)
12	VS.
13 14 15	Dept. No.: 10 JOHN ILIESCU, JR. and SONNIA ILIESCU, as Trustees of the JOHN ILIESCU, JR. AND SONNIA ILIESCU 1992 FAMILY TRUST AGREEMENT; JOHN ILIESCU, individually; DOES I-V, inclusive; and ROE
16	CORPORATIONS VI-X, inclusive,
17 18	Defendants/
19 20	AND RELATED MATTERS.
21	ORDER GRANTING THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANT HALE LANE'S MOTION FOR
22	SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING THIRD-PARTY CLAIMS BY JOHN ILIESCU
23	Presently before the Court is a Motion for Summary Judgment Regarding Third-Party
24	Claims by John Iliescu, filed by Third-Party Defendants HALE LANE PEEK DENNISON AND
25	HOWARD PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION, KAREN D. DENNISON, R. CRAIG HOWARD, and
26	JERRY M. SNYDER (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Defendants") on March 30, 2011.
27	Following, on July 22, 2011, Defendants filed a Supplement to Third-Party Defendant Hale
28	Lane's Motion for Summary Judgment Regarding Third-Party Claims by John Iliescu.

*----

Thereafter, on August 18, 2011, Third-Party Plaintiffs JOHN ILIESCU, JR. and SONNIA ILIESCU, individually, and as Trustee of the John Iliescu, Jr. and Sonnia Iliescu 1992 Family Trust (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Plaintiffs") filed an Opposition and Response to Third-Party Defendant Hale Lane's Motion for Summary Judgment Regarding Third-Party Claims by John Iliescu. Subsequently, on August 29, 2011, Defendants filed a Reply in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment Regarding Third-Party Claims by John Iliescu. Contemporaneously with their Reply, Defendants also filed a Request for Submission, thereby submitting the matter for the Court's consideration. Later that same day, Plaintiffs filed an Opposition to Supplement to Third-Party Defendant Hale Lane's Motion for Summary Judgment Regarding Third-Party Claims by John Iliescu.

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

I. Factual & Procedural Background

This matter comes before the Court as the result of a 2005 property transaction that fell through, involving a parcel of property located in downtown Reno, which Plaintiffs owned and was to be developed by a group of developers headed by Consolidated Pacific Development, Inc. (hereinafter "Developers"). As part of that transaction, Plaintiffs agreed to sell the parcel of property at issue to the Developers, who would then use the property to construct a high-rise condominium project known as Wingfield Tower.

The Developers first contacted Plaintiffs about purchasing the parcel of property in 18 July of 2005, when the Developers contacted Plaintiffs through their broker, Sam Canglia. 19 Following this contact, on July 29, 2005, the Developers and Plaintiffs, acting without the 20 assistance of counsel, executed a form agreement prepared by Dick Johnson in order to 21 facilitate the sale of the property. That contract provided that Developers would purchase 22 the property from Plaintiffs for \$7.5 million, with a \$500,000 non-refundable cash deposit 23 to be paid to Plaintiffs in advance, as well as Plaintiffs receiving a 3,750 square foot 24 penthouse and four parking spaces, valued at \$2.2 million, upon the completion of 25 construction. However, the sale was contingent upon Developers obtaining the necessary 26 entitlement and permits from the City of Reno, with which Plaintiffs were to assist. 27 Furthermore, the Contract afforded Developers 270 days to obtain the requisite 28

-2-

entitlements, while allowing an extension of time at the cost of \$50,000 per 30 days; otherwise, the Developers would forfeit their \$500,000 deposit.

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1

Following the execution of the form contract, the Parties realized that it was inadequate for the magnitude of the deal they were entering into. Consequently, the Parties elected to hire legal counsel to assist in supplementing the contract. As a result, Dick Johnson brought the contract to Ms. Dennison, who then prepared Addendum No. 3, which sought to clarify the contract and its terms. Included in these clarifications was a clause that recognized obtaining the necessary entitlements was a condition precedent to the completion of the sale, and that the Developers would use their "best efforts and reasonable diligence to satisfy all Conditions Precedent." Addendum No. 3 further specified Plaintiffs' interest in completing the sale because of their ability to select the penthouse of their choice. Following, on October 8, 2005, the Parties executed Addendum No. 3.

Thereafter, the Developers sought an architect to help in obtaining the required 13 entitlements. In doing so, the Developers hired Fisher Friedman & Associates, to design 14 the building, prepare the architectural plans, and present the information to the Reno City 15 Council for approval, which it did. Nevertheless, during this process, Plaintiffs signed a 16 conflict waiver permitting Defendants to assist Developers in obtaining the necessary 17 entitlements. Moreover, Plaintiffs actively participated in the application process by 18 submitting an affidavit permitting Developers to submit an application to the City of Reno 19 on Plaintiffs' behalf and by attending all public hearings on the matter. Subsequently, the 20 City acted to approve the project and authorized the necessary entitlements. 21

Then, some sixteen months following the commencement of the project, Developers
defaulted when they were unable to obtain the necessary financing to conclude the sale of
the property. As a consequence of this default, Developers were unable to pay Fisher
Friedman & Associates for the services rendered. This caused Fisher Friedman &
Associates to file a \$1.8 million mechanics lien against the property.

Following the recording of this lien, the Parties approached Defendants to help resolve the issue. In doing so, the Parties executed a second conflict waiver. Defendants then brokered an indemnity agreement between the Parties, whereby Developers,
including Co-Third Party Defendant John Schleining, agreed to indemnify Plaintiffs against
any harm that might occur as a result of the lien. Furthermore, the indemnity agreement
also provided that Developers would work to discharge the lien on Plaintiffs' behalf at no
expense to Plaintiffs. As a result, acting on Plaintiffs' behalf, Defendants then filed an
application for release of the lien. This in turn caused Fisher Friedman & Associates to file
a complaint against Plaintiffs in order to foreclose on the lien.

Subsequently, on September 27, 2007, Plaintiffs filed their third-party complaint 8 against Defendants, alleging causes of action for legal malpractice and negligence. 9 Plaintiffs premised their third-party complaint on allegations that Defendants committed 10 legal malpractice by failing to file a Notice of Nonresponsibility pursuant to NRS 108.234. 11 Defendants have now moved for summary judgment on the matter, arguing that Plaintiffs' 12 claims fail as a matter of law, as there is no evidence of causation or that Plaintiffs suffered 13 damages. Moreover, Defendants assert that because Plaintiffs executed two conflict 14 waivers, any claim relating to a conflict of interest must fail. Finally, Defendants assert that 15 the Court should dismiss Plaintiffs' claims for failure to comply with NRCP 16.1. 16

17

II. Standard of Review

A court should only grant summary judgment when, based upon the pleadings and 18 discovery on file, no genuine issue of material fact exists for trial and the moving party is 19 entitled to judgment as a matter of law. NRCP 56(c). A genuine issue of material fact 20 exists when a reasonable jury could return a verdict in favor of the nonmoving party. 21 Kopicko v. Young, 114 Nev. 1333, 1336, 971 P.2d 789, 790 (1998). Summary judgment is 22 properly regarded not as a disfavored procedural shortcut, but rather as an integral part of 23 civil procedure as a whole. Celotex Corp. v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317, 327, 106 S.Ct. 2548, 24 2555 (1986). 25

In reviewing a motion for summary judgment, the Court must view the evidence, and any reasonable inference drawn there from, in the light most favorable to the nonmoving party. *Lipps v. S. Nev. Paving*, 116 Nev. 497, 498, 998 P.2d 1183, 1184 (2000). However, the nonmoving party may not avoid summary judgment by relying "on
 the gossamer threads of whimsy, speculation, and conjecture." *Pegasus v. Reno Newspapers, Inc.*, 118 Nev. 706, 713-14, 57 P.3d 82, 87 (2002) (quoting *Collins v. Union Fed. Sav. & Loan*, 99 Nev. 284, 302, 662 P.2d 610, 621 (1983). Rather, the nonmoving
 party must, by affidavit or otherwise, set forth specific facts demonstrating the existence of
 a genuine issue for trial. *Pegasus*, 118 Nev. at 713, 57 P.3d at 87.

III. Legal Analysis

7

As noted above, Defendants presently seek an order from the Court granting 8 summary judgment on Plaintiffs' claims for legal malpractice and negligence. Specifically, 9 Defendants argue that the claims of Plaintiffs fail as a matter of law because Plaintiffs were 10 not eligible to file a Notice of Nonresponsibility as they were "Interested Owners," and 11 thus, no evidence of causation exists. In addition, Defendants assert that even if Plaintiffs 12 were not "Interested Owners," no evidence of damages exists because Plaintiffs received a 13 substantial benefit from the actions of Fisher Friedman & Associates, and because 14 Defendants and Mr. Schleining have reached an agreement releasing the lien without any 15 cost to Plaintiffs. Furthermore, Defendants assert that because Plaintiffs executed two 16 conflict waivers, any claim relating to a conflict of interest must fail. Finally, as an 17 alternative theory, Defendants assert that pursuant to NRCP 16.1(e), the Court should 18 dismiss Plaintiffs' claims as Plaintiffs failed to file a case conference report within 240 days 19 following Defendants' appearance as required by NRCP 16.1(c). 20

In opposition to Defendants' arguments, Plaintiffs merely assert that Defendants breached the legal duties owed to Plaintiffs by failing to advise Plaintiffs to avoid actively participating in the sale of the property. In addition, Plaintiffs assert that the Court should not dismiss their claims pursuant to NRCP 16.1 because this matter has been ongoing for the past four years and there is still time to hold a case conference report. The Court will address each matter as follows:

27 ||///

28 ||///

a. Conflict of Interest

As it pertains to Plaintiffs' claims regarding a conflict of interest, Defendants argue that such claims must fail as a matter of law because Plaintiffs suffered no compensable harm as a result of the conflict and because Plaintiffs knowingly executed two conflict waivers in accordance with NRPC 1.7(b), waving the conflict of which Plaintiffs now complain. To this argument, Plaintiffs have not raised any opposition. Accordingly, the Court must find that Defendants' Motion, as it relates to the conflict of interest claims, is meritorious. Accordingly, to the extent Defendants seek summary judgment on this issue, their Motion shall be granted.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

b. Legal Malpractice & Negligence

In order to recover under the theories of legal malpractice and negligence, Plaintiffs 11 must demonstrate: (1) the existence of an attorney client relationship; (2) a duty owed to 12 the client by the attorney to use such skill prudence, and diligence as lawyers of ordinary 13 skill and capacity possess in exercising and performing the tasks which they undertake; (3) 14 a breach of that duty; (4) that the lawyer's negligence was the proximate cause of the 15 client's damages; and (5) actual loss or damage resulting from the negligence. Mainor v. 16 Nault, 120 Nev. 750, 774, 101 P.3d 308, 324 (2004). Accordingly, where there is no 17 evidence of causation or damages, a claim for legal malpractice or negligence must fail as 18 19 a matter of law.

In the instant case, Plaintiffs assert that Defendants breached the duty of care owed 20 to Plaintiffs by failing to file a Notice of Nonresponsibility and by failing to advise Plaintiffs 21 not to actively participate in the sale of the property at issue. Plaintiffs further assert that 22 this caused them to suffer damages, in that Defendants' failure permitted Fisher Friedman 23 & Associates to file a lien against Plaintiffs' property and forced Plaintiffs to incur the legal 24 expenses of fighting that lien. In contrast, Defendants assert that when they became 25 involved in the matter, Plaintiffs had already become active participants in the sale of the 26 property, and therefore, there is nothing Defendants could have done to protect Plaintiffs' 27 interests. Accordingly, the proper question before the Court is whether Plaintiffs were 28

eligible for the protections afforded by a Notice of Nonresponsibility at the time Defendants 1 2 became involved in the case.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Pursuant to NRS 108.234(2), a "disinterested owner" can avoid a lien from attaching to his property by filing a Notice of Nonresponsibility within three days after learning that improvements are being made to his property. However, in order to qualify as a "disinterested owner" the property owner must be one who: "(a) Does not record a notice of waiver as provided in NRS 108.2405; and (b) Does not personally or through an agent or representative, directly or indirectly, contract for or cause a work of improvement, or any portion thereof, to be constructed, altered or repaired upon the property or an improvement of the owner." NRS 108.234(7).

As applied to the instant matter, this Court must find that Plaintiffs were no longer 11 "disinterested owners" at the time Defendants became involved in the case. This is 12 because the undisputed evidence before the Court demonstrates that Plaintiffs entered into 13 a contract with Developers for improvements to the property even before Defendants 14 became involved in the matter. Plaintiffs negotiated and signed this contract by 15 themselves. Furthermore, that contract contained language that required Plaintiffs to 16 participate actively in the development of the property. Specifically, the language within 17 the original contract made the offer contingent upon obtaining the necessary government 18 approvals, with which Plaintiffs were required to assist. Moreover, the Court will note that 19 as a result of those negotiations, Plaintiffs were to receive some \$7.5 million in payments 20 and a penthouse valued at approximately \$2.2 million. Accordingly, these actions clearly 21 demonstrate that Plaintiffs personally contracted for and were to benefit from the 22 improvements to their property, thus making Plaintiffs "interested owners" before 23 Defendants had any part in the matter. 24

25

It was only after Plaintiffs and Developers completed their negotiations that Defendants became involved in the matter in order to "fine tune" the agreement. 26 However, because Plaintiffs had already become "interested owners" at that point in time, 27 there is nothing Defendants could have said or done to avoid the existing contract. See 28

-7-

Howard S. Wright Construction Co. v. Superior Court, 106 Cal.App.4th 314, 321, 130
 Cal.Rptr.2d 641 (2003); see also Verdi Lumber Co. v. Bartlett, 40 Nev. 317, 161 P. 933,
 934-35 (1916). Therefore, the Court must conclude that Defendants' alleged malpractice
 was not the cause of Plaintiffs' injuries. Furthermore, there is nothing more Plaintiffs could
 allege to fix this problem. Consequently, the Court believes that the grant of summary
 judgment in favor of Defendants is appropriate.

c. NRCP 16.1

As a final matter, the Court will turn its attention to those NRCP 16.1 arguments raised by Defendants. Under this rule, once the parties hold their early case conference, the plaintiff must file a case conference report within 30 days thereof. NRCP 16.1(c); *see also Moon v. McDonald Carano & Wilson*, 245 P.3d 1138, 1139 (Nev. 2010). If the plaintiff fails to make such a filing within 240 days following the defendant's first appearance, upon motion or its own initiative, the Court may dismiss the case without prejudice as to that defendant. NRCP 16.1 (e)(2).

As applied to the instant matter, this Court must find that the claims of Plaintiffs are 15 subject to dismissal pursuant to NRCP 16.1(e)(2). As the Court recognized above, it was 16 on September 27, 2007, that Plaintiffs filed their third-party complaint against Defendants. 17 However, because of a stipulation between the Parties, Defendants did not file their answer 18 until October 7, 2009. Based on this date, Plaintiffs had at the latest, until June 4, 2010, to 19 file their case conference report. Nevertheless, as of August 30, 2011, Plaintiffs have yet 20 to file the required report. Accordingly, more than 690 days have passed since Defendants 21 appearance without Plaintiffs having filed their case conference report as required by NRCP 22 16.1(c). Furthermore, Plaintiffs have not offered a single reason for their failure to do so. 23 Instead, Plaintiffs merely assert that this matter has been ongoing for more than four years 24 and that there is still time to file a report following another case conference. In the Court's 25 view, such an argument is unpersuasive and fails to justify Plaintiffs' failure. Given this 26 analysis, the Court is inclined to grant Defendants' Motion. 27

28 ||///

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

-8-

1	IV. <u>Conclusion</u>
2	After reviewing the Parties' arguments, this Court must conclude that the undisputed
3	evidence, when viewed in the light most favorable to Plaintiffs, demonstrates that
4	Defendants' Motion should be granted in its entirety. Accordingly, the Court shall enter the
5	following order:
6	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' Motion for
7	Summary Judgment Regarding Third-Party Claims by John Iliescu is GRANTED .
8	
9	DATED this 3 day of August 2011.
10	SAM - MAT
11	STEVEN P. ELLIOTT
12	District Judge
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	-9-

	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
1	
2 3	I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court by
4	using the ECF system which served the following parties electronically:
5	GREGORY WILSON, ESQ. for JOHN SCHLEINING
6	THOMAS HALL, ESQ. for TRUSTEE OF THE JOHN ILIESCU, JR. & SONNIA ILLIESCU, JOHN
7	ILIESCU, JR., SONNIA ILIESCU DAVID GRUNDY, ESQ. for KAREN DENNISON, HOLLAND & HART, LLP, JERRY SNYDER, R.
8	HOWARD, HALE LANE PEEK DENNISON HOWARD
9	MICHAEL HOY, ESQ. for MARK STEPPAN
10	
11	DATED this day of August, 2011.
12	
13	HEIDI HOWDEN
14	Judicial Assistant
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20 21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	-10-

	FILED Electronically 10-19-2011:04:18:45 PM Howard W. Conyers Clerk of the Court
1	Transaction # 2542290
2	
3	
4 5	
6	IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
7	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE
8	* * *
9	MARK B. STEPPAN,
10	Plaintiff,
11	Case No: CV07-00341 (Consolidated with CV07-01021)
12	vs.
13	Dept. No.: 10 JOHN ILIESCU, JR. and SONNIA ILIESCU,
14	as Trustees of the JOHN ILIESCU, JR. AND
15	SONNIA ILIESCU 1992 FAMILY TRUST AGREEMENT; JOHN ILIESCU, individually;
16	DOES I-V, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS VI-X, inclusive,
17	
18	Defendants.
19	
20	AND RELATED MATTERS.
21	ORDER DENYING ILIESCU'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE MOTION FOR
22	RECONSIDERATION
23	The Iliescus filed their Motion for Leave on September 15, 2011. Hale Lane filed its
24	Opposition on October 3, 2011. Iliescus' Reply was filed October 6, 2011 and the matter
25	was submitted for decision the same day.
26	Iliescus' Motion for Reconsideration does not raise new issues of fact or law. "Only
27	in very rare instances in which new issues of fact or law are raised supporting a ruling
28	contrary to the ruling already reached should a motion for rehearing be granted." Masonry

1	and the Contractors Assoc. of Southern Nevada v. Jolley, Urga & Wirth, Ltd., 113 Nev. 737,
2	741, 941 P2d 486 (1997).
3	Therefore, leave to file the proposed Motion for Reconsideration should be denied.
4	This court agrees with the argument presented in the Opposition that the Motion for
5	Reconsideration is without merit. The Iliescus were "interested owners" in the
6	development of the land under the original written contract which did not change as a
7	result of legal work performed by Hale Lane.
8	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Iliescus' Motion for Leave to
9	File Motion for Reconsideration is denied.
10	
11	DATED this day of October, 2011.
12	SMM / . MM
13	STEVEN P. ELLIOTT
14	District Judge
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	-2-

 $\|$

CEDITEICATE OF MAILING
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court by
using the ECF system which served the following parties electronically:
GREGORY WILSON, ESQ. for JOHN SCHLEINING
THOMAS HALL, ESQ. for TRUSTEE OF THE JOHN ILIESCU, JR. & SONNIA ILLIESCU, JOHN
ILIESCU, JR., SONNIA ILIESCU
DAVID GRUNDY, ESQ. for KAREN DENNISON, HOLLAND & HART, LLP, JERRY SNYDER, R. HOWARD, HALE LANE PEEK DENNISON HOWARD
MICHAEL HOY, ESQ. for MARK STEPPAN
DATED this <u>19</u> day of October, 2011.
i Anne
HEIDI HOWDEN
Judicial Assistant
-3-

FILED
Electronically
09-01-2011:01:51:39 PM
Howard W. Conyers
Clerk of the Court
Transaction # 2445663

1 2 3 4	2540 David R. Grundy, Esq. SBN 864 LEMONS, GRUNDY & EISENBERG 6005 Plumas Street, Suite 300 Reno, Nevada 89519 Telephone: (775) 786-6868 Facsimile: (775) 786-9716		09-01-2011:01:51:3 Howard W. Cony Clerk of the Cou <u>Transaction # 2445</u>
5	Attorneys for Third Party Defendants		
6			
7	IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COU	URT OF THE ST	ATE OF NEVADA
8	IN AND FOR THE COUN	TY OF WASHO	E
9			
10	MARK B. STEPPAN,		
11	Plaintiff,	CONSOLIDA	ſED
12	vs.	Case No.:	CV07-00341
13	JOHN ILIESCU JR. and SONNIA ILIESCU, as Trustees of the JOHN ILIESCU, JR. AND SONNIA	Dept. No.:	10
14	ILIESCU 1992 FAMILY TRUST AGREEMENT; JOHN ILIESCU, individually; DOES I-V, inclusive; and ROE		
15	CORPORATIONS VI-X, inclusive,		
16	Defendants.		
17	JOHN ILIESCU, JR. and SONNIA ILIESCU,		
18	as Trustees of the JOHN ILIESCU, JR. AND SONNIA ILIESCU 1992 FAMILY TRUST		
19	AGREEMENT; JOHN ILIESCU, JR., individually; SONNIA ILIESCU, individually,		
20			
21	Third-Party Plaintiffs,		
22	VS.		
23	CONSOLIDATED PACIFIC DEVELOPMENT, INC., a Nevada Corporation; DECAL		
24	OREGON, INC., an Oregon Corporation; CALVIN BATY, individually; JOHN SCHLEINING,		
25	individually; HALE LANE PEEK DENNISON AND HOWARD PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION,		
26	a Nevada professional corporation, dba HALE LANE; KAREN D. DENNISON; R. CRAIG		
27	HOWARD; JERRY M. SNYDER; and DOES I thru X,		
28	Third-Party Defendants.		

Lemons, Grundy & Eisenberg 6005 Plumas St.

THIRD FLOOR RENO, NV 89519 (775) 786-6868

	1	
	2	JOHN SCHLEINING,
	3	Cross-Claimant,
	4	vs.
	5	HALE LANE PEEK DENNISON AND HOWARD
	6	PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION, a Nevada Professional corporation, dba HALE LANE and DOES XXI - XXX, inclusive,
	7	Cross-Defendant.
	8	
	9	JOHN SCHLEINING,
	10	Third-Party Plaintiff,
	11	vs.
	12	HOLLAND & HART, LLP, a professional
	13	corporation, R. CRAIG HOWARD and DOES XXXI - XL, inclusive,
	13	Third-Party Defendants.
	14	
		NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER
	16	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on September 1, 2011 an Order granting Third-Party
	17	Defendant Hale Lane's Motion for Summary Judgment Regarding Third-Party Claims by John
	18	Iliescu was entered. A copy of said Order is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
	19	The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document does not contain
	20	the social security number of any person.
	21	DATED: September 1, 2011.
	22	
	23	BY: a.
	24	David R. Grundy LEMONS, GRUNDY & EISENBERG
Lemons, Grundy	25	6005 Plumas Street, Suite 300 Reno, Nevada 89519
& Eisenberg 6005 Plumas St. Third Floor	26	Phone No.: (775) 786-6868 Attorneys for Third Party Defendants
RENO, NV 89519 (775) 786-6868	27	Attorneys for third Party Defendants
	28	
		2

	1	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
	2	Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of Lemons, Grundy &
	3	Eisenberg and that on September 1, 2011, I e-filed a true and correct copy of the foregoing
	4	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER with the Clerk of the Court through the Court's electronic filing
	5	system and notice will be sent electronically by the Court to the following:
	6 7	THOMAS HALL, ESQ. for John Iliescu, Jr., Sonnia Iliescu
	8	MICHAEL D. HOY, ESQ. for Mark Steppan
	9 10	GREGORY WILSON, ESQ. for John Schleining
	11	
		Stacy KELLIZON Stack Kellison
	12	Stacy Kellison
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
Lemons, Grundy & Eisenberg	25	
6005 Plumas St. Third Floor	26	
RENO, NV 89519 (775) 786-6868	27	
	28	
		3

	1		
	2	EXHIBIT LIST	
		EXHIBIT # DESCRIPTION	# OF PAGES
	3 4	1Order Granting Third-Party Defendant Hale Lane's Motion for Summary Judgment Regarding Third-Party Claims by John Iliescu	10
	5		•
	6		
	7		
	8		
	9		
	10		
	11		
	12		
	13		
	14		
	15		
	16		
	17		
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
LEMONS, GRUNDY	25		
& Eisenberg 6005 Plumas St. Third Floor	26		
RENO, NV 89519 (775) 786-6868	27		
	28		
		4	

EXHIBIT 1

FILED Electronically 09-01-2011:01:51:39 PM Howard W. Conyers Clerk of the Court Transaction # 2445663

EXHIBIT 1

2	Code: 3095 FILED Electronically 09-01-2011:08:30:02 AM Howard W. Conyers Clerk of the Court <u>Transaction # 2444422</u>		
3			
4			
5	IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA		
7	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE		
8	* * *		
9	MARK B. STEPPAN,		
10	Plaintiff,		
11	Case No: CV07-00341 (Consolidated with CV07-01021)		
12	VS.		
13	Dept. No.: 10 JOHN ILIESCU, JR. and SONNIA ILIESCU,		
14	as Trustees of the JOHN ILIESCU, JR. AND		
15	SONNIA ILIESCU 1992 FAMILY TRUST AGREEMENT; JOHN ILIESCU, individually;		
16	DOES I-V, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS VI-X, inclusive,		
17			
18	Defendants.		
19			
20	AND RELATED MATTERS.		
21	ORDER GRANTING THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANT HALE LANE'S MOTION FOR		
22	SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING THIRD-PARTY CLAIMS BY JOHN ILIESC		
23	Presently before the Court is a Motion for Summary Judgment Regarding Third-Pa		
24	Claims by John Iliescu, filed by Third-Party Defendants HALE LANE PEEK DENNISON AND		
25	HOWARD PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION, KAREN D. DENNISON, R. CRAIG HOWARD, a	1	
26	JERRY M. SNYDER (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Defendants") on March 30, 20		
27	Following, on July 22, 2011, Defendants filed a Supplement to Third-Party Defendant Ha		
28	Lane's Motion for Summary Judgment Regarding Third-Party Claims by John Iliescu.		

Thereafter, on August 18, 2011, Third-Party Plaintiffs JOHN ILIESCU, JR. and 1 SONNIA ILIESCU, individually, and as Trustee of the John Iliescu, Jr. and Sonnia Iliescu 2 1992 Family Trust (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Plaintiffs") filed an Opposition 3 and Response to Third-Party Defendant Hale Lane's Motion for Summary Judgment 4 Regarding Third-Party Claims by John Iliescu. Subsequently, on August 29, 2011, 5 Defendants filed a Reply in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment Regarding Third-6 Party Claims by John Iliescu. Contemporaneously with their Reply, Defendants also filed a 7 Request for Submission, thereby submitting the matter for the Court's consideration. Later 8 that same day, Plaintiffs filed an Opposition to Supplement to Third-Party Defendant Hale 9 Lane's Motion for Summary Judgment Regarding Third-Party Claims by John Iliescu. 10

11

Ι.

Factual & Procedural Background

12 This matter comes before the Court as the result of a 2005 property transaction that 13 fell through, involving a parcel of property located in downtown Reno, which Plaintiffs 14 owned and was to be developed by a group of developers headed by Consolidated Pacific 15 Development, Inc. (hereinafter "Developers"). As part of that transaction, Plaintiffs agreed 16 to sell the parcel of property at issue to the Developers, who would then use the property 17 to construct a high-rise condominium project known as Wingfield Tower.

The Developers first contacted Plaintiffs about purchasing the parcel of property in 18 July of 2005, when the Developers contacted Plaintiffs through their broker, Sam Canglia. 19 Following this contact, on July 29, 2005, the Developers and Plaintiffs, acting without the 20 assistance of counsel, executed a form agreement prepared by Dick Johnson in order to 21 facilitate the sale of the property. That contract provided that Developers would purchase 22 the property from Plaintiffs for \$7.5 million, with a \$500,000 non-refundable cash deposit 23 to be paid to Plaintiffs in advance, as well as Plaintiffs receiving a 3,750 square foot 24 penthouse and four parking spaces, valued at \$2.2 million, upon the completion of 25 construction. However, the sale was contingent upon Developers obtaining the necessary 26 entitlement and permits from the City of Reno, with which Plaintiffs were to assist. 27 Furthermore, the Contract afforded Developers 270 days to obtain the requisite 28

entitlements, while allowing an extension of time at the cost of \$50,000 per 30 days; 1 otherwise, the Developers would forfeit their \$500,000 deposit.

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Following the execution of the form contract, the Parties realized that it was inadequate for the magnitude of the deal they were entering into. Consequently, the Parties elected to hire legal counsel to assist in supplementing the contract. As a result, Dick Johnson brought the contract to Ms. Dennison, who then prepared Addendum No. 3, which sought to clarify the contract and its terms. Included in these clarifications was a clause that recognized obtaining the necessary entitlements was a condition precedent to the completion of the sale, and that the Developers would use their "best efforts and reasonable diligence to satisfy all Conditions Precedent." Addendum No. 3 further specified 10 Plaintiffs' interest in completing the sale because of their ability to select the penthouse of 11 their choice. Following, on October 8, 2005, the Parties executed Addendum No. 3. 12

Thereafter, the Developers sought an architect to help in obtaining the required 13 entitlements. In doing so, the Developers hired Fisher Friedman & Associates, to design 14 the building, prepare the architectural plans, and present the information to the Reno City 15 Council for approval, which it did. Nevertheless, during this process, Plaintiffs signed a 16 conflict waiver permitting Defendants to assist Developers in obtaining the necessary 17 entitlements. Moreover, Plaintiffs actively participated in the application process by 18 submitting an affidavit permitting Developers to submit an application to the City of Reno 19 on Plaintiffs' behalf and by attending all public hearings on the matter. Subsequently, the 20 City acted to approve the project and authorized the necessary entitlements. 21

Then, some sixteen months following the commencement of the project, Developers 22 defaulted when they were unable to obtain the necessary financing to conclude the sale of 23 the property. As a consequence of this default, Developers were unable to pay Fisher 24 Friedman & Associates for the services rendered. This caused Fisher Friedman & 25 Associates to file a \$1.8 million mechanics lien against the property. 26

Following the recording of this lien, the Parties approached Defendants to help 27 resolve the issue. In doing so, the Parties executed a second conflict waiver. Defendants 28

then brokered an indemnity agreement between the Parties, whereby Developers,
including Co-Third Party Defendant John Schleining, agreed to indemnify Plaintiffs against
any harm that might occur as a result of the lien. Furthermore, the indemnity agreement
also provided that Developers would work to discharge the lien on Plaintiffs' behalf at no
expense to Plaintiffs. As a result, acting on Plaintiffs' behalf, Defendants then filed an
application for release of the lien. This in turn caused Fisher Friedman & Associates to file
a complaint against Plaintiffs in order to foreclose on the lien.

Subsequently, on September 27, 2007, Plaintiffs filed their third-party complaint 8 against Defendants, alleging causes of action for legal malpractice and negligence. 9 Plaintiffs premised their third-party complaint on allegations that Defendants committed 10 legal malpractice by failing to file a Notice of Nonresponsibility pursuant to NRS 108.234. 11 Defendants have now moved for summary judgment on the matter, arguing that Plaintiffs' 12 claims fail as a matter of law, as there is no evidence of causation or that Plaintiffs suffered 13 damages. Moreover, Defendants assert that because Plaintiffs executed two conflict 14 waivers, any claim relating to a conflict of interest must fail. Finally, Defendants assert that 15 the Court should dismiss Plaintiffs' claims for failure to comply with NRCP 16.1. 16

17

II. Standard of Review

A court should only grant summary judgment when, based upon the pleadings and 18 discovery on file, no genuine issue of material fact exists for trial and the moving party is 19 entitled to judgment as a matter of law. NRCP 56(c). A genuine issue of material fact 20 exists when a reasonable jury could return a verdict in favor of the nonmoving party. 21 Kopicko v. Young, 114 Nev. 1333, 1336, 971 P.2d 789, 790 (1998). Summary judgment is 22 properly regarded not as a disfavored procedural shortcut, but rather as an integral part of 23 civil procedure as a whole. Celotex Corp. v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317, 327, 106 S.Ct. 2548, 24 2555 (1986). 25

In reviewing a motion for summary judgment, the Court must view the evidence, and any reasonable inference drawn there from, in the light most favorable to the nonmoving party. *Lipps v. S. Nev. Paving*, 116 Nev. 497, 498, 998 P.2d 1183, 1184

-4-

(2000). However, the nonmoving party may not avoid summary judgment by relying "on
 the gossamer threads of whimsy, speculation, and conjecture." *Pegasus v. Reno Newspapers, Inc.*, 118 Nev. 706, 713-14, 57 P.3d 82, 87 (2002) (quoting *Collins v. Union Fed. Sav. & Loan*, 99 Nev. 284, 302, 662 P.2d 610, 621 (1983). Rather, the nonmoving
 party must, by affidavit or otherwise, set forth specific facts demonstrating the existence of
 a genuine issue for trial. *Pegasus*, 118 Nev. at 713, 57 P.3d at 87.

7

III. <u>Legal Analysis</u>

As noted above, Defendants presently seek an order from the Court granting 8 summary judgment on Plaintiffs' claims for legal malpractice and negligence. Specifically, 9 Defendants argue that the claims of Plaintiffs fail as a matter of law because Plaintiffs were 10 not eligible to file a Notice of Nonresponsibility as they were "Interested Owners," and 11 thus, no evidence of causation exists. In addition, Defendants assert that even if Plaintiffs 12 were not "Interested Owners," no evidence of damages exists because Plaintiffs received a 13 substantial benefit from the actions of Fisher Friedman & Associates, and because 14 Defendants and Mr. Schleining have reached an agreement releasing the lien without any 15 cost to Plaintiffs. Furthermore, Defendants assert that because Plaintiffs executed two 16 conflict waivers, any claim relating to a conflict of interest must fail. Finally, as an 17 alternative theory, Defendants assert that pursuant to NRCP 16.1(e), the Court should 18 dismiss Plaintiffs' claims as Plaintiffs failed to file a case conference report within 240 days 19 following Defendants' appearance as required by NRCP 16.1(c). 20

In opposition to Defendants' arguments, Plaintiffs merely assert that Defendants breached the legal duties owed to Plaintiffs by failing to advise Plaintiffs to avoid actively participating in the sale of the property. In addition, Plaintiffs assert that the Court should not dismiss their claims pursuant to NRCP 16.1 because this matter has been ongoing for the past four years and there is still time to hold a case conference report. The Court will address each matter as follows:

27 ||///

28 ||///

-5-

a. Conflict of Interest

As it pertains to Plaintiffs' claims regarding a conflict of interest, Defendants argue that such claims must fail as a matter of law because Plaintiffs suffered no compensable harm as a result of the conflict and because Plaintiffs knowingly executed two conflict waivers in accordance with NRPC 1.7(b), waving the conflict of which Plaintiffs now complain. To this argument, Plaintiffs have not raised any opposition. Accordingly, the Court must find that Defendants' Motion, as it relates to the conflict of interest claims, is meritorious. Accordingly, to the extent Defendants seek summary judgment on this issue, their Motion shall be granted.

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

b. Legal Malpractice & Negligence

In order to recover under the theories of legal malpractice and negligence, Plaintiffs 11 must demonstrate: (1) the existence of an attorney client relationship; (2) a duty owed to 12 the client by the attorney to use such skill prudence, and diligence as lawyers of ordinary 13 skill and capacity possess in exercising and performing the tasks which they undertake; (3) 14 a breach of that duty; (4) that the lawyer's negligence was the proximate cause of the 15 client's damages; and (5) actual loss or damage resulting from the negligence. Mainor v. 16 Nault, 120 Nev. 750, 774, 101 P.3d 308, 324 (2004). Accordingly, where there is no 17 evidence of causation or damages, a claim for legal malpractice or negligence must fail as 18 a matter of law. 19

In the instant case, Plaintiffs assert that Defendants breached the duty of care owed 20 to Plaintiffs by failing to file a Notice of Nonresponsibility and by failing to advise Plaintiffs 21 not to actively participate in the sale of the property at issue. Plaintiffs further assert that 22 this caused them to suffer damages, in that Defendants' failure permitted Fisher Friedman 23 & Associates to file a lien against Plaintiffs' property and forced Plaintiffs to incur the legal 24 expenses of fighting that lien. In contrast, Defendants assert that when they became 25 involved in the matter, Plaintiffs had already become active participants in the sale of the 26 property, and therefore, there is nothing Defendants could have done to protect Plaintiffs' 27 interests. Accordingly, the proper question before the Court is whether Plaintiffs were 28

eligible for the protections afforded by a Notice of Nonresponsibility at the time Defendants 1 became involved in the case. 2

3

4

5

6

7

Pursuant to NRS 108.234(2), a "disinterested owner" can avoid a lien from attaching to his property by filing a Notice of Nonresponsibility within three days after learning that improvements are being made to his property. However, in order to qualify as a "disinterested owner" the property owner must be one who: "(a) Does not record a notice of waiver as provided in NRS 108.2405; and (b) Does not personally or through an agent or representative, directly or indirectly, contract for or cause a work of improvement, or 8 any portion thereof, to be constructed, altered or repaired upon the property or an 9 improvement of the owner." NRS 108.234(7). 10

As applied to the instant matter, this Court must find that Plaintiffs were no longer 11 "disinterested owners" at the time Defendants became involved in the case. This is 12 because the undisputed evidence before the Court demonstrates that Plaintiffs entered into 13 a contract with Developers for improvements to the property even before Defendants 14 became involved in the matter. Plaintiffs negotiated and signed this contract by 15 themselves. Furthermore, that contract contained language that required Plaintiffs to 16 participate actively in the development of the property. Specifically, the language within 17 the original contract made the offer contingent upon obtaining the necessary government 18 approvals, with which Plaintiffs were required to assist. Moreover, the Court will note that 19 as a result of those negotiations, Plaintiffs were to receive some \$7.5 million in payments 20 and a penthouse valued at approximately \$2.2 million. Accordingly, these actions clearly 21 demonstrate that Plaintiffs personally contracted for and were to benefit from the 22 improvements to their property, thus making Plaintiffs "interested owners" before 23 Defendants had any part in the matter. 24

It was only after Plaintiffs and Developers completed their negotiations that 25 Defendants became involved in the matter in order to "fine tune" the agreement. 26 However, because Plaintiffs had already become "interested owners" at that point in time, 27 there is nothing Defendants could have said or done to avoid the existing contract. See 28

-7-

Howard S. Wright Construction Co. v. Superior Court, 106 Cal.App.4th 314, 321, 130 1 Cal.Rptr.2d 641 (2003); see also Verdi Lumber Co. v. Bartlett, 40 Nev. 317, 161 P. 933, 2 934-35 (1916). Therefore, the Court must conclude that Defendants' alleged malpractice 3 was not the cause of Plaintiffs' injuries. Furthermore, there is nothing more Plaintiffs could 4 allege to fix this problem. Consequently, the Court believes that the grant of summary 5 judgment in favor of Defendants is appropriate. 6

c. NRCP 16.1

7

8

9

As a final matter, the Court will turn its attention to those NRCP 16.1 arguments raised by Defendants. Under this rule, once the parties hold their early case conference, the plaintiff must file a case conference report within 30 days thereof. NRCP 16.1(c); see 10 also Moon v. McDonald Carano & Wilson, 245 P.3d 1138, 1139 (Nev. 2010). If the plaintiff 11 fails to make such a filing within 240 days following the defendant's first appearance, upon 12 motion or its own initiative, the Court may dismiss the case without prejudice as to that 13 defendant. NRCP 16.1 (e)(2). 14

As applied to the instant matter, this Court must find that the claims of Plaintiffs are 15 subject to dismissal pursuant to NRCP 16.1(e)(2). As the Court recognized above, it was 16 on September 27, 2007, that Plaintiffs filed their third-party complaint against Defendants. 17 However, because of a stipulation between the Parties, Defendants did not file their answer 18 until October 7, 2009. Based on this date, Plaintiffs had at the latest, until June 4, 2010, to 19 file their case conference report. Nevertheless, as of August 30, 2011, Plaintiffs have yet 20 to file the required report. Accordingly, more than 690 days have passed since Defendants 21 appearance without Plaintiffs having filed their case conference report as required by NRCP 22 16.1(c). Furthermore, Plaintiffs have not offered a single reason for their failure to do so. 23 Instead, Plaintiffs merely assert that this matter has been ongoing for more than four years 24 and that there is still time to file a report following another case conference. In the Court's 25 view, such an argument is unpersuasive and fails to justify Plaintiffs' failure. Given this 26 analysis, the Court is inclined to grant Defendants' Motion. 27

28 ///

IV. Conclusion

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

After reviewing the Parties' arguments, this Court must conclude that the undisputed evidence, when viewed in the light most favorable to Plaintiffs, demonstrates that Defendants' Motion should be granted in its entirety. Accordingly, the Court shall enter the following order:

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment Regarding Third-Party Claims by John Iliescu is **GRANTED**.

0	
9	DATED this 3 day of August 2011.
10	X MAY MAN
11	STEVEN P. ELLIOTT District Judge
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	-9-
	-5-

1	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING			
2	I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court by			
3	using the ECF system which served the following parties electronically:			
4	GREGORY WILSON, ESQ. for JOHN SCHLEINING			
5	THOMAS HALL, ESQ. for TRUSTEE OF THE JOHN ILIESCU, JR. & SONNIA ILLIESCU, JOHN			
6	ILIESCU, JR., SONNIA ILIESCU			
7	DAVID GRUNDY, ESQ. for KAREN DENNISON, HOLLAND & HART, LLP, JERRY SNYDER, R.			
8	HOWARD, HALE LANE PEEK DENNISON HOWARD			
9	MICHAEL HOY, ESQ. for MARK STEPPAN			
10				
11	DATED this $3/$ day of August, 2011.			
12	Sol. Howen			
13	HEIDI HOWDEN			
14	Judicial Assistant			
15				
16				
17				
18	· ·			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25 26				
20				
27				
20				
	-10-			
		_		

		FILED Electronically		
		01-19-2012:09:29:52 AM Joey Orduna Hastings		
Code 1350		Clerk of the Court Transaction # 2707050		
		Transaction # 2707030		
IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA				
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE				
MARK B. STEPPAN,				
	Plaintiff,			
VS.		Case No. CV07-00341		
ILIESCU, as ILIESCU, JR	CU, JR. and SONNIA Trustees of the JOHN . AND SONNIA ILIESCU	(Consolidated with CV10-01021)		
ILIESCU, inc Inclusive; ar	Y TRUST AGREEMENT; JOHN dividually; DOES I-V, nd ROE CORPORATIONS	Dept. No. 10		
VI-X, inclusi	ve, Defendants.			
/				
AND RELATED CROSS-CLAIMS AND THIRD-PARTY CLAIMS.				
CEDTIE				
CERTIFICATE OF CLERK AND TRANSMITTAL – NOTICE OF CROSS-APEAL				
I certify that I am an employee of the Second Judicial District Court of the State of				
Nevada, County of Washoe; that on the 19th day of January, 2012, I deposited in the				
Washoe County mailing system for postage and mailing in the United States Postal				
Service in Reno, Nevada, a copy of the Notice of Cross-Appeal documents addressed to the Nevada Supreme Court 201 S. Carson Street Suite 201 Carson City, Nevada 89701.				
I further certify that the transmitted documents are true and correct copies of the				
original pleadings on file with the Second Judicial District Court.				
Dated this 19th day of January, 2012.				
JOEY ORDUNA HASTINGS CLERK OF THE COURT				
By <u>/s/Mary Fernandez</u> Mary Fernandez Deputy Clerk				