## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

		Electronically Filed
KUPA'A KEA, aka,	)	Aug 15 2012 04:38 p.m
PAUL, aka, KUPAA W. KEA, aka,	)	No. 611 Toracie K. Lindeman Clerk of Supreme Court
MIGUEL HERNANDEZ,aka, PAUL KEA,	)	Clerk of Supreme Coun
aka KUPAA A A, aka, KUPA KE-A, aka,	)	
KUPO KE-A, aka, KUPOA KEA, aka,	)	
KUPOA KE-A, aka, KUPAA WIW-OLE-NAI K	E-A, )	
aka, KUPAA KE-A, aka, KRUMS, aka,	)	
KUPAA WIWIOLENA'I KE-A, aka,	)	
KUPAA KE, KEA KUPA,	)	
Appellant,	)	
v.	)	
THE STATE OF NEVADA,	)	
Respondent.	)	
	)	

# Appeal from Judgement of Conviction Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada The Honorable Judge Scott Freeman APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

KATHRINE I. BERNING

RICHARD A. GAMMICK Washoe County District Attorney

TERRANCE MCCARTHY

**Chief Deputy** 

195 CASAZZA DRIVE RENO, NEVADA 89502 POST OFFICE BOX 30083 RENO, NEVADA 8950

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT

ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENT

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Information (Filed 1-23-2012)	F&B	1
2.	Guilty Plea Memorandum (Filed 2-08-2012)	F&B	4
3.	Transcript of Proceedings, Arraignment (Dated 2-08-2012)	F&B	10
4.	Transcript of Proceedings, Motion to Set Bail (Dated 4-4-2012)	F&B	23
5.	Transcript of Proceedings, Sentencing (Dated 6-1-2012)	F&B	50
6.	Judgment of Conviction (Filed 6-5-2012)	. F&B	86
7.	Notice of Appeal (Filed 6-26-2012)	F&B	88

#### FILED

Electronically 01-23-2012:04:23:41 PM Joey Orduna Hastings Clerk of the Court Transaction # 2714912

DA # 434263

RPD RP11-021113

CODE 1800 Richard A. Gammick #001510 P.O. Box 30083 Reno, NV 89520-3083 (775) 328-3200 Attorney for Plaintiff

5 6

1

2

3

4

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,

7 8

THE STATE OF NEVADA. 9

10

11 ٧.

KUPAA KEA,

12

13 14

15

16

17

19

20

18

21 22

23

24 25

26

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE.

Plaintiff,

Case No. CR12-0110

Dept. No. 9

Defendant.

#### INFORMATION

RICHARD A. GAMMICK, District Attorney within and for the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, in the name and by the authority of the State of Nevada, informs the above entitled Court that KUPAA KEA, the defendant above named, has committed the crime of:

COUNT I. BATTERY WITH A DEADLY WEAPON CAUSING SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM, a violation of NRS 200.481(2)(e), a felony, in the manner following to wit:

That the said defendant on the 27th day of October A.D., 2011, or thereabout, and before the filing of this Information, at and within the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, did willfully and unlawfully use force and violence upon the person of CESAR ANTON at PARADISE PARK located at 2600 Paradise Drive, Reno, Washoe County, Nevada, with a deadly weapon, to wit: a .22 caliber rifle, by shooting CESAR ANTON with said rifle, causing substantial bodily harm.

COUNT III. BATTERY WITH A DEADLY WEAPON CAUSING SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM, a violation of NRS 200.481(2)(e), a felony, in the manner following, to wit:

That the said defendant on the 27th day of October A.D., 2011, or thereabout, and before the filing of this Information, at and within the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, did willfully and unlawfully use force and violence upon the person of OSCAR VALENCIA at PARADISE PARK located at 2600 Paradise Drive, Reno, Washoe County, Nevada, with a deadly weapon, to wit: a .22 caliber rifle, by shooting OSCAR VALENCIA with said rifle, causing substantial bodily harm.

All of which is contrary to the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada.

RICHARD A. GAMMICK District Attorney Washoe County, Nevada

By /s/ Christian G. Wilson CHRISTIAN G. WILSON Deputy District Attorney

The following are the names and addresses of such witnesses 1 as are known to me at the time of the filing of the within 2 3 Information: RENO POLICE DEPARTMENT 4 DETECTIVE CHAD CROW 5 OSCAR VALENCIA, 1610 Meadowvale Way, Sparks, Nevada 6 CESAR ANTON, 2111 Whitfield Way, Reno, Nevada 7 CHRISTIAN ANTON, 2111 Whitfield Way, Reno, Nevada 8 EDWIN BACA, 1620 Sterling Way, Reno, Nevada 9 MANUEL GATICA, 2182 Barberry Way, Reno, Nevada 10 MONICA HERRERA, 1611 Wedekind Road, #19E, Reno, Nevada 11 ASAEL MARISCAL, 5360 Leon Drive, Sparks, Nevada 12 LUIS VARELA 13 JACOB BAKER 14 The party executing this document hereby affirms that this 15 document submitted for recording does not contain the social security 16 number of any person or persons pursuant to NRS 239B.230. 17 18 RICHARD A. GAMMICK 19 District Attorney Washoe County, Nevada 20 21

> By /s/ Christian G. Wilson CHRISTIAN G. WILSON Deputy District Attorney

PCN RPD1113504C 25 26

22

23 24

0123434263dpbI

# ORIGINAL

FILED

Electronically 02-08-2012:02:53:48 PM Joey Orduna Hastings Clerk of the Court Transaction # 2752729

CODE 1785 Richard A. Gammick #001510 P.O. 30083 Reno, NV. 89520-3083 (775) 328-3200 Attorney for Plaintiff

5 6

4

1

2

3

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE.

8

9

10

11

12

7

Plaintiff,

Case No. CR12-0110

v.

Dept. No. 9

KUPAA KEA,

Defendant.

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

## GUILTY PLEA MEMORANDUM

- 1. I, KUPAA KEA, understand that I am charged with the offense(s) of: COUNT I. BATTERY WITH A DEADLY WEAPON CAUSING SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM, a violation of NRS 200.481(2)(e), a felony, and COUNT II. BATTERY WITH A DEADLY WEAPON CAUSING SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM, a violation of NRS 200.481(2)(e), a felony,
- I, KUPAA KEA desire to enter a plea of guilty to the offense(s) of COUNT I. BATTERY WITH A DEADLY WEAPON CAUSING SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM, a violation of NRS 200.481(2)(e), a felony, and COUNT II. BATTERY WITH A DEADLY WEAPON CAUSING SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM, a violation of NRS 200.481(2)(e), a felony, as more fully alleged in the charge(s) filed against me.
  - 3. By entering my plea of guilty I know and understand

that I am waiving the following constitutional rights:

- A. I waive my privilege against self-incrimination.
- B. I waive my right to trial by jury, at which trial the State would have to prove my guilt of all elements of the offense beyond a reasonable doubt.
- C. I waive my right to confront my accusers, that is, the right to confront and cross examine all witnesses who would testify at trial.
- D. I waive my right to subpoena witnesses for trial on my behalf.
- 4. I understand the charge(s) against me and that the elements of the offense(s) which the State would have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt at trial are that on October 27, 2011, or thereabout, in the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, I did, as to COUNT I., willfully and unlawfully use force and violence upon the person of CESAR ANTON at PARADISE PARK located at 2600 Paradise Drive, Reno, Washoe County, Nevada, with a deadly weapon, to wit: a .22 caliber rifle, by shooting CESAR ANTON with said rifle, causing substantial bodily harm, and as to COUNT II., did willfully and unlawfully use force and violence upon the person of OSCAR VALENCIA at PARADISE PARK located at 2600 Paradise Drive, Reno, Washoe County, Nevada, with a deadly weapon, to wit: a .22 caliber rifle, by shooting OSCAR VALENCIA with said rifle, causing substantial bodily harm.

All of which is contrary to the form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against the peace and dignity of the

State of Nevada.

- 5. I understand that I admit the facts which support all the elements of the offense by pleading guilty. I admit that the State possesses sufficient evidence which would result in my conviction. I have considered and discussed all possible defenses and defense strategies with my counsel. I understand that I have the right to appeal from adverse rulings on pretrial motions only if the State and the Court consent to my right to appeal in a separate written agreement. I understand that any substantive or procedural pretrial issue(s) which could have been raised at trial are waived by my plea.
- 6. I understand that the consequences of my plea of guilty to COUNT I. are that I may be imprisoned for a period of 2-15 years in the Nevada State Department of Corrections, that I am eligible for probation, and that I may also be fined up to TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS, (\$10,000.00), and as to COUNT II. that I may be imprisoned for a period of 2-15 years in the Nevada State Department of Corrections, that I am eligible for probation and that I may also be fined up to TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS, (\$10,000.00). Further, that the sentence in COUNT II. can be ordered to be served either consecutively or concurrently to the sentence I receive in COUNT I.
- 7. In exchange for my plea of guilty, the State, my counsel and I have agreed to recommend the following:
  The State will be free to argue for an appropriate sentence.
  The State will dismiss the charge of CONSPIRACY TO VIOLATE THE CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES ACT in court case Number SJC 12-12. The State

will not file additional criminal charges resulting from the arrest in this case.

- 8. I understand that, even though the State and I have reached this plea agreement, the State is reserving the right to present arguments, facts, and/or witnesses at sentencing in support of the plea agreement.
- 9. I also agree that I will make full restitution in this matter, as determined by the Court. Where applicable, I additionally understand and agree that I will be responsible for the repayment of any costs incurred by the State or County in securing my return to this jurisdiction.
- entitled to either withdraw from this agreement and proceed with the prosecution of the original charges or be free to argue for an appropriate sentence at the time of sentencing if I fail to appear at any scheduled proceeding in this matter OR if prior to the date of my sentencing I am arrested in any jurisdiction for a violation of law OR if I have misrepresented my prior criminal history. I represent that I do have a prior criminal record. I understand and agree that the occurrence of any of these acts constitutes a material breach of my plea agreement with the State. I further understand and agree that by the execution of this agreement, I am waiving any right I may have to remand this matter to Justice Court should I later withdraw my plea.
- 11. I understand and agree that pursuant to the terms of the plea agreement stated herein, any counts which are to be

dismissed and any other cases charged or uncharged which are either to be dismissed or not pursued by the State, may be considered by the court at the time of my sentencing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- I understand that the Court is not bound by the 12. agreement of the parties and that the matter of sentencing is to be determined solely by the Court. I have discussed the charge(s), the facts and the possible defenses with my attorney. All of the foregoing rights, waiver of rights, elements, possible penalties, and consequences, have been carefully explained to me by my attorney. attorney has not promised me anything not mentioned in this plea memorandum, and, in particular, my attorney has not promised that I will get any specific sentence. I am satisfied with my counsel's advice and representation leading to this resolution of my case. I am aware that if I am not satisfied with my counsel I should advise the Court at this time. I believe that entering my plea is in my best interest and that going to trial is not in my best interest. My attorney has advised me that if I wish to appeal, any appeal, if applicable to my case, must be filed within thirty days of my sentence and/or judgment.
- 13. I understand that this plea and resulting conviction will likely have adverse effects upon my residency in this country if I am not a U. S. Citizen. I have discussed the effects my plea will have upon my residency with my counsel.
- 14. I offer my plea freely, voluntarily, knowingly and with full understanding of all matters set forth in the Information and in this Plea Memorandum. I have read this plea memorandum

2	!
3	ļ
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	-
24	
25	-
26	I

1

completely and I understand everything contained within it. 15. My plea of guilty is voluntary and is not the result of any threats, coercion or promises of leniency. 16. I am signing this Plea Memorandum voluntarily with advice of counsel, under no duress, coercion, or promises of leniency. I do hereby swear under penalty of perjury that all of 17. the assertions in this written plea agreement document are true. AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030 The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document does not contain the social security number of any person. DATED this 7 day of FRORUM TRANSLATOR/INTERPRETER Defendant Signature

0123434263dpbGPM

Prosecuting Attorney

Code No. 4185

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

THE HONORABLE NORMAN C. ROBISON, SENIOR JUDGE

-000-

STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

Case No. CR12-0110

V5.

Dept. No. 9

KUPAA KEA,

Defendant.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

ARRAIGNMENT

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 8, 2012

RENO, NEVADA

Reported By: STEPHANI L. LODER, CCR No. 862

#### APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff:

KATHERINE H. LYON

Deputy District Attorney

Reno, Nevada

For the Defendant:

KATHRINE I. BERNING

Fry & Berning, LLC

Reno, Nevada

Parole and Probation:

DEBORAH BROWN

THE COURT: Let's see it. 1 I've been handed a guilty plea memorandum. Did 2 you sign this document? 3 Yes. sir. THE DEFENDANT: 4 THE COURT: Did you have the advice of your 5 lawyer when you did? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 7 THE COURT: Do you feel that this plea 8 negotiation is in your best interest? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 10 THE COURT: Anyone threaten you or promise you 11 anything to get you to plead guilty here today? 12 No sir THE DEFENDANT: 13 THE COURT: All right. Let's go over this plea 14 negotiation. States that you desire to enter a plea of 15 guilty to the offense of battery with a deadly weapon 16 causing substantial bodily harm, a felony; and Count II, 17 battery with a deadly weapon causing substantial bodily 18 harm, a felony. 19 Is that your understanding? 20 Yes, sir. THE DEFENDANT: 21 THE COURT: It states in this that you did, in 22 the county of Washoe, state of Nevada, on Count I, 23

24

willfully, unlawfully use force and violence upon the

person of Caesar Anton at Paradise Park located at 2600 Paradise Drive, Reno, Washoe County, with a deadly weapon, specifically a .22 caliber rifle, by shooting Caesar Anton with a rifle, causing substantial bodily harm.

Count II is you did willfully and unlawfully use force and violence on the person of Oscar Valencia at Paradise Park located at 2600 Paradise Drive, Reno, Washoe County, Nevada; that is, to wit, a .22 caliber rifle, by shooting him, causing substantial bodily harm.

Any questions about the charge?

THE DEFENDANT: No. sir.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the consequence of your plea as to Count I is imprisonment two to 15 years in the Nevada Department of Corrections, that you are eligible for probation, and you can also be fined up to \$10,000; on Count II, imprisonment for two to 15, Nevada Department of Corrections, and a fine of 10,000?

Do you understand that sentence --

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: -- could be ordered served concurrently or consecutively? Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: In exchange for your plea of guilty, the State has agreed that they're free to argue at time of

sentencing for whatever sentence they feel is appropriate, 1 and the State will dismiss the charge of conspiracy to 2 violate the Controlled Substance Act in Case No. SJC12-12, 3 and the State will not file any additional charges 4 resulting from the arrest in this case. 5 Do you understand that the Court is not bound by 6 this agreement, that you could receive the maximum 7 sentence? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 9 THE COURT: All right. Is there restitution 10 involved. Counsel? 11 MS. LYON: Your Honor, I assume, although I 12 cannot be sure because I am not assigned to this case, but 13 there may be medical bills that -- or victims of crime 14 compensation that the defendant would be responsible for. 15 So I would submit, yes, there will be restitution. And 16 we'll make sure that defense obtains that information 17 prior to sentencing. 18 THE COURT: Do you understand that whatever 19 restitution you will be responsible for? 20 THE DEFENDANT: (Nods head). 21 THE COURT: All right. Let's go over the 22

Count I, that on the 27th day of October 2011,

Information very briefly.

23

you did willfully and unlawfully use force and violence on 1 the person of Caesar Anton at Paradise Park with a .22 2 caliber rifle. 3 Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 5 THE COURT: Count -- how come I've got Count III 6 on the second page? Is that a typo? 7 MS. LYON: That is a typographical error. We 8 would ask you to redact the third Roman numeral. 9 Count II, battery with a deadly THE COURT: 10 weapon causing substantial harm. On the 27th day of 11 October, in the county of Washoe, state of Nevada, you 12 used force and violence upon the person of Oscar Valencia. 13 Do you have any questions about the charge or 14 about the possible penalty or anything that --15 THE DEFENDANT: No. sir. 16 THE COURT: -- we've covered so far? 17 THE DEFENDANT: No. sir. 18 THE COURT: Do you understand it's up to the 19 Court whether these sentences run consecutively, 20 concurrently, whether or not you get probation, entirely 21 up to the Court? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 23 THE COURT: All right. To these charges, are you 24

guilty or not guilty? 1 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 2 THE COURT: Do you understand you have a right to 3 plead not guilty and have a jury trial within 60 days of 4 this date? Do you understand? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: You have to speak up a little bit. 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 8 THE COURT: At that trial, the State would have 9 to prove these charges by competent evidence beyond a 10 reasonable doubt. You'd be able to have your counsel 11 cross-examine any witness who testified against you. You 12 could have witnesses come forward and testify for you. 13 You could take the stand and testify or you could remain 14 silent. That couldn't be used against you. 15 Do you understand you're giving up those rights? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 17 THE COURT: Have you been convicted of a felony 18 before? 19 No, Your Honor. There's no adult MS. BERNING: 20 criminal history. This is Mr. Kea's first adult felony 21 violation. 22 Is he a citizen? THE COURT: 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 24

1	THE COURT: You're not a U.S. citizen?
2	THE DEFENDANT: I am, sir.
3	THE COURT: You are. Do you have any questions
4	about what we've covered?
5	THE DEFENDANT: Excuse me?
6	THE COURT: Have you any questions about what
7	we've covered?
8	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
9	THE COURT: Tell me what happened on that date.
10	MS. BERNING: Your Honor
11	(Discussion off the record between
12	the defendant and Ms. Berning.)
13	THE DEFENDANT: I shot a kid at the park close to
14	my house. And it was a mutual fight and altered into
15	gunshots.
16	THE COURT: Are you a gang-banger?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Excuse me?
18	THE COURT: Are you a member of a gang?
19	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
20	THE COURT: So how did you get involved in this?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Wrong people, wrong crowd, sir.
22	THE COURT: I can't hear you.
23	THE DEFENDANT: Wrong people, wrong crowd.
24	THE COURT: How bad were the injuries, Counsel?
	}

1	MS. BERNING: Your Honor, one of the gentlemen,
2	was shot in the calf and the other one was shot in the
3	tibia.
4	THE COURT: Where?
5	MS. BERNING: The tibia, the lower leg.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	MS. BERNING: Both of the gentlemen.
8	THE COURT: Anything else you want to canvass,
9	Counsel?
10	MS. LYON: No, Your Honor. I think the Court has
11	covered all the constitutional rights as outlined in the
12	guilty plea memorandum.
13	THE COURT: All right. You haven't been
14	convicted of a felony. You understand that you lose any
15	civil rights you might have?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
17	THE COURT: Do you understand that if you're in
18	trouble in the future, this could be used against you?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
20	THE COURT: Do you understand you have got a good
21	chance of going to prison because of this?
22	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
23	THE COURT: Do you still want to plead guilty
24	today?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 1 MS. LYON: Your Honor, I'm sorry. The only thing 2 that I would ask that the Court inquire of the defendant 3 is whether or not he was promised anything to enter his 4 plea of guilty, if he was promised any sort of sentence or 5 any other --6 THE COURT: All right. 7 MS. LYON: -- inducement. 8 THE COURT: Has anyone made any promises to get 9 you to enter into this plea negotiation? 10 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir. 11 THE COURT: Okay. I'll accept the plea is made 12 freely and voluntarily, with full understanding by it. 13 Date for sentencing. 14 March 23rd at 8:30. THE CLERK: 15 MS. BERNING: Your Honor, I am remiss and did not 16 bring my calendar, so it may be that I might have to file 17 a motion if I have a conflict to continue this case. 18 just want that on the record. 19 THE COURT: Well, I don't suppose he's going to 20 go anywhere. 21 MS. BERNING: I don't think so. We'll see. 22 Thank you. And that would be at 8:30? 23 THE CLERK: That's correct. 24

```
MS. BERNING: Thank you.
  1
                THE COURT: Anything further?
  2
                            No, thank you, Your Honor.
                MS. LYON:
  3
                THE COURT: All right.
  4
                     (Proceedings concluded.)
  5
  б
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

	5
1	STATE OF NEVADA )
2	COUNTY OF WASHOE )
3	
4	I, STEPHANI L. LODER, Certified Shorthand
5	Reporter of the Second Judicial District Court of the
6	State of Nevada, in and for the County of Washoe, do
7	hereby certify:
8	That I was present in Department No. 9 of the
9	above-entitled Court and took stenotype notes of the
10	proceedings entitled herein, and thereafter transcribed
11	the same into typewriting as herein appears:
12	That the foregoing transcript is a full, true
13	and correct transcription of my stenotype notes of said
14	proceedings.
15	DATED: At Reno, Nevada, this 23rd day of
16	March, 2012.
17	
18	STEPHANI L. LODER, CCR NO. 862
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Code: 4185

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

BEFORE THE HONORABLE SCOTT N. FREEMAN, DISTRICT JUDGE

-o0o-

STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Case No. CR12-0110

KUPAA KEA,

Dept. No. 9

Defendant.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
MOTION TO SET BAIL

Wednesday, April 4, 2012

RENO, NEVADA

Reported By: CECILIA VOHL, NV CCR #246, RPR, CRR, CCP

1	APPEARANCES
2 3 4 5	For the Plaintiff: CHRISTIAN WILSON, ESQUIRE Deputy District Attorney 1 South Sierra Street South Tower, 4th Floor P.O. Box 30083 Reno, Nevada 89520
6 7 8	For the Defendant: FRY & BERNING BY: KATHRINE I. BERNING, ESQUIRE 195 Casazza Drive Reno, Nevada 89502
9	For the Parole and Probation Department: Michael Duncan
10	-000-
11	
12	INDEX
13	
14	HITTINGS TON THE LEGISLATION OF THE PROPERTY O
15 16	CHAD CROW  Direct Examination by Mr. Wilson
17	JOHN PATTON
18	Direct Examination by Mr. Wilson
19	-000-
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

RENO, NEVADA, WEDNESDAY	, APRIL	4,	2012,	10:01	A.M.
-------------------------	---------	----	-------	-------	------

-000-

3

4

5

6

7

8

1

2

THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated.

This is the time set for a bail motion.

10:01

Ms. Berning, good morning.

MS. BERNING: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: This is Case CR12-0110.

It's your motion.

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MS. BERNING: Yes, Your Honor. Your Honor, on behalf of Mr. Kea, I would make the following argument: We don't have witnesses to present here today. He does have family here in town. And as our original bail motion stated, basically saying that a bail is unreasonable when there's no way that the defendant can get out, at this time, he's on a no-bail hold, and for Mr. Wilson to suggest a bail of a million dollars is

10:01

like saying you can either have no bail or no bail.

What we're looking for is a bondable amount of

approximately \$30,000. The defendant is charged and has pled,

10:01

pursuant to plea negotiations, for charges that are fairly serious. There's no way that -- he's not denying that

10:02

22 whatsoever. However, this is the first time that my client has

been -- this is the first time that Mr. Kea has been involved

with the police as an adult. These are his first felonies as

24

an adult.

And he does have support within the community. He would be able to get a job. He has -- he was living with his sister, Mome. He now has support of his brother, Pono, also who lives in Reno. So he has a place to live if he were released.

10:02

He's willing to check in with Court Services on a daily basis. He would take drug tests daily. He would check in daily. He would use a GPS so that the -- so that Court Services, at any given time, would know where he is. And he'd be willing to comply with any kind of other restriction that the Court -- or requirement that the Court would put on him. And for that reason, Your Honor, that was the reason that we brought the motion.

10:03

This matter is set for sentencing in the end of May.

And he also has an opportunity to participate in Hug High

School graduation. And for those reasons, that's the reason

that we brought the motion, and we'd ask the Court to establish

a reasonable bail that's bondable.

10:03

THE COURT: He's already pled guilty, hasn't he?

10:03

MS. BERNING: Yes, he has, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. BERNING: Thank you.

MR. WILSON: The State's position is, Your Honor, he

was certified as an adult. Does the Court have a copy of the presentence investigation in this case?

THE COURT: Yes.

Я

MR. WILSON: And has the Court had an opportunity to look at that so I can refer to it?

THE COURT: I have.

MR. WILSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

If you look at this young man's criminal history

-- and I'm going to call two witnesses here in a moment, but I

wanted to preface that with, if you look at his criminal
history, he's had numerous contacts with law enforcement. He's
had numerous adjudications as a young man. He's been sent to
China Springs, didn't do well there, got in fights there,
gang-related. He's been sent to Rites of Passage. And the

Court, I'm sure, is well aware of what some of his social
workers said: He's manipulative and deceitful.

This young man is a danger to the community. He's already pled guilty to two very, very, very serious, violent felony offenses. He's facing prison. The State will be asking for prison.

And with that, I would like to call my first witness, Detective Crow.

THE COURT: Before you do, can you give me a history why it's a no-bail hold?

10:04

10:04

1	MR. WILSON: The no-bail hold, that occurred prior to	
2	coming to the case being waived for district court while we	
3	were still in justice court.	
4	I think the witnesses can explain why I did that	
5	factually, but what happened is, he posted bail on the initial	10:05
6	two counts of battery with a deadly weapon, where he shot the	
7	two young men in Paradise Park. He was out on bail, and while	
8	he was out on bail, he was still pending the prelim. And	
9	during that time period, he was involved in that other shooting	
10	in Sparks where a man was paralyzed.	10:05
11	Upon hearing that, I immediately made a motion for	
12	Sparks and Reno Justice Court to have his bail revoked per the	
13	statute, and it was granted.	
14	THE COURT: So the justice court judge put a no-bail	
15	hold based upon a retake warrant?	10:05
16	MR. WILSON: Absolutely.	
17	THE COURT: I understand.	
18	MR. WILSON: And that was attached as Exhibit 3 or 4.	
19	I forget which	
20	THE COURT: I read it. I just didn't	10:05
21	MR. WILSON: Yes, sir.	
22	THE COURT: I understand.	
23	MR. WILSON: And with that said, I think the best way	
24	the Court is certainly familiar with this case is to call	

1	Detective Crow.	
2	MS. BERNING: Your Honor, I'd move for the Rule of	
3	Exclusion.	
4	THE COURT: The Rule of Exclusion is invoked. Thank	
5	you.	
6	Before you go, the Rule of Exclusion has been invoked.	
7	That means sit outside, don't discuss the case with anybody.	
8	You can talk to lawyers, but don't compare your testimony with	
9	anybody else. Okay? Thank you.	
10	Please step forward and be sworn.	10:06
11		
12	CHAD CROW,	
13	called as a witness, having been duly sworn,	
14	testified as follows:	
15		10:06
16	THE COURT: Please grab a seat in that witness stand.	
17	Morning.	
18	THE WITNESS: Morning, Your Honor.	
19	THE COURT: Please state your name and spell your last	
20	name.	10:06
21	THE WITNESS: My name is Detective Chad Crow. Last is	
22	spelled C-r-o-w.	
23	THE COURT: You can move that over next to you as	
24	opposed to leaning forward like that. It is a little flexible.	I

Go right ahead.

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. WILSON:

- Q. Sir, what is your business, profession or occupation? |10:06
- A. I'm currently one of two gang detectives assigned to the Regional Gang Unit.
  - Q. And are you familiar with Kea Kupaa -- Kupaa Kea?
  - A. Yes, I am.
  - Q. And I want to take you to the shooting in Paradise 10:06

    Park. Did you investigate that case?
    - A. I did.
  - Q. And based upon your investigation, could you tell the Court what happened in that case?
  - A. Basically, during that case, we learned that there was an agreement between the two rival groups to meet up and fight at Paradise Park.

During the course of the investigation, we learned that Mr. Kea and the other co-defendant, Marco Rodriguez, essentially lied in wait, waiting for the victim group to show up. Upon arriving at the park, Mr. Kea fired rounds upon the victim group as they exited a vehicle, striking two of them.

Q. Okay. And where those two people ended up going to the hospital?

1	A.	Yes.	
2	Q.	Do you remember what their injuries were?	
3	A.	One was shot through the leg, and I can't remember	
4	where the	he other guy was shot.	
5	Q.	Were they both hospitalized?	10:07
6	A.	Yes.	
7	Q.	And the positions where he was shooting from, did you	
8	determin	ne where that was?	
9	A.	Basically, it was behind the bushes on the edge of the	
10	parking	lot, so that it appeared as if he was lying in wait for	10:08
11	the vict	ims.	
12	Q.	Ambush?	
13	Α.	Yes.	
14	Q.	And was there another co-defendant involved in that	
15	shooting	at that same park during that same event?	10:08
16	Α.	Yes.	
17	Q.	Was that Marco Rodriguez?	
18	A.	Yes.	
19	Q.	And were all these people that were shooting the	
20	defendan	t Marco Rodriguez are they a member of a gang?	10:08
21	A.	Yes, they were members of Dead Side Gang.	
22	Q.	Is that a certified gang?	
23	Α.	It is.	
24	Q.	Now, did you have an opportunity to speak with the	

1	defendant?	
2	A. I did.	
3	Q. And did you ask him what gang he was in?	
4	A. Yes.	
5	Q. And did he tell you what gang he is in?	10:08
6	A. Eventually, he admitted to being a member of Dead Side	
7	Gang.	
8	Q. Now, following the shooting, did you arrest the	
9	defendant	
10	A. Yes.	10:08
11	Q in this case?	- Alle Alle Alle Alle Alle Alle Alle All
12	Did he post bail?	
13	A. He did.	
14	Q. Now, upon posting bail in this case, did you have	
15	contact with him after that?	10:08
16	A. I did.	
17	Q. Could you tell the Court what those contacts were in	
18	relation to?	
19	A. Essentially, throughout the course of the initial	
20	inectivities at the main political backets, are in age.	10:09
21	"Can I make you a deal?" I told him I don't really make deals	
22	at this point in the investigation, stuff like that. It's up	
23	to the DAs and defense attorneys.	
24	But after he posted bail, recontacted again asking to	

provide substantial assistance. At that point, I figured the defendant was already out on bail, through no help of my own, and I was willing to entertain his offer for substantial assistance in exchange for helping solve a homicide which he said he believed he could do. That homicide was a Sparks homicide committed in August where Abel Campos was murdered at the Landsdowne Apartments. The defendant claimed that he could get close with the primary suspect in that case and perhaps elicit a confession on him on recording.

10:09

So I entertained that offer, being as how the City or the Department took no liability on itself to free him from jail; he did that on his own.

10:10

And we met on several occasions to discuss the progress that he was making. Eventually, it turns out he did not meet with the primary suspects in that case. On the night that the Sparks shooting occurred, where the victim was shot in the neck and paralyzed, I received a phone call from him earlier that afternoon, essentially asking if I could contact his youth parole officer, extend his curfew, because the curfew tends to get in the way of his being able to make progress on this case. So I did do that.

10:10

10:10

I believed -- he led me to believe that night that he was going to go meet with the suspect, get close to him, see what he could learn, perhaps record it on his cell phone.

1	that's the hight that he did not go hand out with the	
2	suspects in that case. He went out with members of his own	
3	gang. They went to the apartments at 1100	
4	Q. Would Detective Patton be a better person to talk to	
5	about the Sparks shooting and the facts underlying that?	10:11
6	A. Yes.	
7	Q. But I would like to ask you, was he supposed to be	
8	hanging out with members of the Dead Side Gang during that	
9	time?	
10	A. No.	10:11
11	Q. Was he supposed to be spending time with Carlos	
12	Benetiz, Sergio Aquino or Christian Garcia?	
13	A. No.	
14	Q. Was he supposed to be with a gang-bang unit, looking	
15	for trouble?	10:11
16	A. No.	
17	Q. Now, was he supposed to be selling or possessing a	
18	firearm?	
19	A. No.	
20	MR. WILSON: I have no further questions of this	10:12
21	witness, Your Honor.	
22	THE COURT: Cross?	
23	////	
24	1111	

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY	MS.	BERNING:
17.1	LIVO -	DEDINATIVA

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Detective Crow, isn't it -- wouldn't it be fair to say that everything that you know about this matter came through witnesses that were involved in the shooting case?

10:12

- A. The subsequent shooting case?
- Q. No, the first one. Isn't it true that you gathered your evidence via statements by various folks that were involved in the case?
  - A. The Paradise Park case, yes.

10:12

- Q. And that you weren't there at the time; is that correct?
  - A. No.

Α.

Q. So you had no view of exactly where the defendant was during that time; isn't that correct?

- A. Based upon my interviews, they all described bushes near the parking lot where the shots were fired from, and evidence recovered from the .22 were laying near the bushes.
- Q. The -- you also alluded to the fact that Mr. Kea was
  -- had offered to assist you; is that correct?
  - He wanted to make a deal with me.
- Q. And did you supply him -- you assisted him by going to the juvenile probation in order to get his -- the time extended for his curfew; is that correct?

1	A.	Yes

- Q. Wasn't that because that you believed that he could, in some way, pin the -- help and assist in this other case with the murder?
  - A. Yes.

10:13

- Q. And isn't it true that during that time that Mr. Kea would contact -- that you had contact with him?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And that, in fact, for the -- during the time -- the reason that you learned about the subsequent incident in Sparks was through -- was through Mr. Kea, that he had involvement; he actually told you that?
  - A. Eventually, that day, we did.
- Q. And isn't it true that you also -- he volunteered to
  go with you to Sparks to be interviewed by Sparks police
  10:14
  officers regarding that case?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And he cooperated with Sparks officers in that interview?
- A. Not -- not fully cooperated. He gave several different accounts of how that shooting unfolded, who was involved, and initially, he wasn't saying it was members of his gang that was involved. I believe Detective Patton would be better to speak on that issue, though.

1	Q. But he did voluntarily go with you to Sparks; isn't	
2	that correct?	
3	A. Yes, but he was initially throwing us off the course	
4	of the investigation.	
5	Q. And he did come in to talk to you at Reno concerning	10:14
6	the Sparks incident; is that correct?	
7	A. Yes.	
В	Q. And as far as you're aware of, the only thing that he	
9	was that he's been charged with regarding the second case is	
10	a violation of the possession of a controlled substances act;	10:15
11	is that correct?	
12	A. I'm not aware of his charges stemming from the second	
13	case.	
14	MS. BERNING: Thank you. Nothing further.	
15	THE COURT: Anything?	10:15
16	MR. WILSON: Yes, Your Honor. Follow-up.	
17		
18	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	
19	BY MR. WILSON:	
20	Q. What was found near those bushes?	10:15
21	A. Bullet casings.	
22	Q. What caliber?	
23	A22.	
24	MR. WILSON: Thank you. No further questions.	
54	ı i	

1	THE COURT: Thank you. May this witness be excused?	
2	MR. WILSON: Yes, Your Honor.	
3	MS. BERNING: Yes, Your Honor.	
4	THE COURT: Very good. Thank you very much.	
5	(Witness excused.)	10:15
6	MR. WILSON: The State would call Detective Patton.	
7	THE COURT: Please step forward and be sworn.	
8		
9	JOHN PATTON,	
10	called as a witness, having been duly sworn,	
11	testified as follows:	
12		
13	THE COURT: Please state your name and spell your last	
14	name for the record.	
15	THE WITNESS: John Patton, P-a-t-t-o-n.	10:16
16		
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
18	BY MR. WILSON:	
19	Q. Sir, what is your business, profession or occupation?	
20	A. I'm a detective with the Sparks Police Department.	10:16
21	Q. And do they have departments within departments, like	
22	Robbery?	
23	A. I I guess I specialize in Crimes Against Persons.	
24	Q. And do you do homicide investigations and shooting	

1	investig	rations?	
2	А.	I do.	
3	Q.	I want to take you to December 28th, 2011. Did you	
4	investig	ate a shooting involving a victim by the name of Jason	
5	Cartegeñ	a?	10:16
6	A.	Yes.	
7	Q.	And did you during your investigation of the	
8	shooting	of Jason Cartegeña, did you talk with a young man by	
9	the name	of Carlos Benetiz?	
10	A.	Yes.	10:17
11	Q.	Okay. And did you ask Carlos Benetiz what happened?	***************************************
12	Α.	I did.	
13	Q.	And did you find out whether Carlos Benetiz is a	
14	member of	E a gang?	
15	A.	I did.	10:17
16	Q.	And what gang is he a member of?	
17	А.	He's with the Dead Side Gang.	
18	Q.	And did Carlos Benetiz tell you what happened	
19	involving	that shooting of Jason Cartegeña?	
20	Α.	Yes.	10:17
21	Q.	Could you tell the Court what happened.	
22	A.	Yes. On the 28th of December, Mr. Benetiz and three	
23	of his fr	iends, including Mr. Kea, walked to the apartment	
24	complex a	t 1100 15th Street in Sparks, and they began to walk	

1	around into the parking lots, because Mr. Kea had stated that	
2	he wanted to meet up with a girl that lived in the apartments	
3	to get some money from her so they could get some alcohol or	
Ą	something like that.	
5	So, while they were waiting in the hallway next to	10:16
6	Mr. Cartegeña's apartment	
7	MS. BERNING: Your Honor, I'm going to object as to	
8	the detective's testimony that isn't anything with his personal	
9	knowledge. Anything that he reports that has been said by	
10	somebody else is really hearsay in this matter.	10:18
11	THE COURT: I appreciate that. That's overruled.	
12	It's a bail hearing.	
13	You may continue.	
14	MR. WILSON: Thank you.	
15	THE WITNESS: And while they were waiting in the	10:18
16	hallway of the apartment complex near the victim's residence,	
17	the victim approached the group and asked them, you know, "What	
18	are you guys doing," something to that effect, "Why don't you	
19	get out of here."	
20	BY MR. WILSON:	
21	Q. Is that victim Jason Cartegeña?	
22	A. Yes.	

At that point, Mr. Kea had actually -- and a couple of

Continue, please.

23

24

Q.

the other guys too, had started to voice their objections to	
Mr. Cartegeña, saying, you know, "Mind your own business."	
Q. What did they actually say? What did he actually tell	
you? What words? You can use those words in court. Was it	
expletives?	10:1
A. Yeah, it was something to that effect, that, you know,	
"Fuck you. Mind your own business," things of that nature.	
And the victim said the same things back to them as well.	
Q. Now, the victim, was he wearing anything that would	
indicate that where he could be confused with someone of a	10:19
rival gang?	
A. It's possible. He was wearing an all-red sweatshirt	
and a red hat, with "San Francisco 49ers" on it.	
Q. And is that a common identifying apparel with the	
Norteño gang?	10:19
A. It is.	
Q. Okay. Could you continue, sir?	
A. Okay. So, after the words were exchanged,	
Mr. Cartegeña said, "Well, I got something for you." According	- verbilder verbilder
to Mr. Benetiz, this is what the victim said. And he went back	10:20
into his apartment. At the same time, Mr. Kea told	
Ar. Benetiz, you know, "I want to get down with this guy."	
Q. What does that mean?	ĺ

б

It's slang for "I want to fight," you know, fight like

1	fistfigh	t or get into a fight.	
2	Q.	By "this guy," did he mean Jason Cartegeña?	
3	A.	Yes.	
4	Q.	Continue, please.	
5	A.	So when Mr. Cartegeña came out, Mr. Kea approached	10:20
6	Mr. Cart	egeña.	
7	Q.	What was Mr. Cartegeña carrying?	
8	A.	He was carrying a knife.	
9	Q.	Okay. Continue.	
10	A.	And Mr. Kea had approached him with his fists up like	10:20
11	in a figh	nting stance.	
12	THE STATE OF THE S	Of course, when he saw the knife, Mr. Benetiz said	***************************************
13	everybody	started to back up a little bit, and then Mr. Kea's	
14	friend	-	
15	Q,	Who was that?	10:20
16	А.	That was Sergio Aquino. He told us that later, but at	
17	the time,	he said it was his friend had pulled a gun from	
18	his waist	band and shot Mr. Cartegeña once in the neck.	
19	Q.	And Sergio Aquino, is he a member of a gang?	
20	Α.	Yes, he's a member of the Dead Side Gang.	10:21
21	Q.	Okay. And Mr. Cartegeña, when he was shot in the	
22	neck, did	he end up going to the hospital for that?	

23

24

He did.

Α.

Q.

What were the extent of his injuries, to your

1	knowledge?	
2	A. Well, his condition today is he has no feeling below	
3	his chest.	
4	Q. So he's paralyzed?	
5	A. Yes.	10:21
6	Q. Now, did you find out whether or not Jason Cartegeña	
7	is actually a Norteño gang member?	
8	A. We did.	
9	Q. Is he?	
10	A. No, he's not.	10:21
11	Q. He just happened to be wearing red that day?	
12	A. Correct.	
13	Q. So it's Carlos Benetiz I believe I asked that. Is	
14	Christian Garcia the other person that was present with the	
15	defendant, Carlos Benetiz and Sergio Aquino is he also a	10:21
16	Dead Side Gang member?	
17	A. He's an associate, I believe. I don't think he's an	
18	actual member, to my knowledge, but I think he's definitely an	
19	associate.	
20	Q. So all four that were present that day all three	10:22
21	others that were present with the defendant, his little group,	
22	were either Dead Side Gang members or an associate?	-

MR. WILSON: No further questions of this witness.

A.

Yes.

23

1	Ind Cooki. Closs:	
2		
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
4	BY MS. BERNING:	
5	Q. Mr. Patton, in your testimony, you stated that Mr. Kea	10:22
б	was the one who pulled the gun; is that correct?	
7	A. Yes.	
8	Q. And wasn't it true isn't it true that Mr. Kea was	
9	actually backing up from Mr. Cartegeña when this when the	
10	shot was fired?	10:22
11	A. Yes.	
12	Q. And isn't it also true that Mr. Kea didn't have a	
13	weapon at that time? He did not have a gun?	
14	A. We weren't told he was, and he didn't tell us.	
15	Q. So as far as you know, your own knowledge, he didn't	10:22
16	have a gun at that time?	
17	A. As far as I know, yes.	
18	MS. BERNING: Thank you. Nothing further.	
19	THE COURT: May this witness be excused?	
20	MR. WILSON: He will be, Your Honor.	10:23
21	THE COURT: Thank you.	
22	(Witness excused.)	
23	MR. WILSON: Can I ask the witness to come in	
24	THE COURT: Sure.	

	4
1	MR. WILSON: to hear the argu
2	THE COURT: Any other evidence of
3	Mr. Wilson?
4	MR. WILSON; That's all the evid
5	presenting, Your Honor.
6	The Court has his PSI. They've
į	

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ment?

or witnesses,

lence I'm going to be

10:23

got his history. Court is well aware of the law in this case. The defendant has already -- I'm going to wait until the detective comes in.

The defendant has already pled guilty to two counts, battery with a deadly weapon causing substantial bodily harm.

10:23

As the evidence has shown, there is another case out there involving the shooting of Jason Cartegeña. It is not my case. Elliott Sattler has that case and is proceeding on it. I do not know where he is going with that, but I do know this: That based upon this young man's criminal history, based upon even his juvenile social workers' stating that he's manipulative and he's viewed as a danger to the community, based upon the fact that he had to be certified as an adult in this case, I think the State stands good ground, and I believe that his bail should remain at no bail. He's a danger to the community if released.

10:23

10:24

If the Court decides that it will grant him bail, the State is requesting \$1 million, cash only, due to the high danger that he poses to this community if he is released.

Τ	THE COURT: Thank you. You should have got the litst	
2	word, but you'll get the last.	
3	MS. BERNING: Thank you, Your Honor.	
4	Mr. Kea, while he was at the scene of this other	
5	encounter, he did not have a weapon. He was actually backing	10:24
6	up at the time that the encounter became violent.	
7	He did stay in contact the other testimony of	***************************************
8	Detective Crow, that he did stay in contact with Detective Crow	
9	during the time of his release.	
10	He does have members of his community: his sister,	10:24
11	Mome; his brother, Pono. He does have connection with the	
12	community.	
13	He has made all court appearances. He did keep in	
14	contact. And, Your Honor, for those reasons, we would ask the	
15	Court to set bail at 30,000, bondable.	10:25
16	THE COURT: Thank you.	
17	Mr. Wilson, he was charged in Mr. Sattler's case; is	
18	that correct?	
19	MR. WILSON: He was initially charged by me for	
20	conspiracy.	10:25
21	THE COURT: But he's been criminally charged	
22	subsequent to your case, right?	
23	MR. WILSON: I do not know. I believe not. The only	
	norman that is proportly charged in that was the shooter, which	

1	I believe was Sergio Aquino.	
2	Is that correct, Sergio Aquino?	
3	That was the shooter, Sergio Aquino.	-
4	THE COURT: So on the case that he's rebooked on,	President of the second
5	there are no criminal charges pending?	10:25
6	MR. WILSON: There is. It's to be dismissed following	AMERICAN PROPERTY AND A STATE OF THE STATE O
7	his sentencing in this case. That's the conspiracy to violate	
8	the Controlled Substances Act.	
9	THE COURT: That was an additional filing from the	
10	battery with a deadly weapon?	10:25
11	MR. WILSON: No, sir. Based upon that shooting, when	
12	they did finally make contact with him, they found out that he	
13	was trying to buy narcotics that day.	
14	THE COURT: Okay. I guess my question is, on the	
15	retake warrant case, that's what we would call "the Elliott	10:26
16	Sattler case"; is that correct?	
17	MR. WILSON: Yes, sir.	
18	THE COURT: Has that been filed? Has there been	mary department
19	criminal charges filed in that case?	- Arteria de la composição
20	MR. WILSON: Yes, sir. The charge was a conspiracy to	10:26
21	violate.	
22	THE COURT: Okay. Against him?	
23	MR. WILSON: Yes, sir.	
24	THE COURT: All right. That answers my question.	

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

Here's what I'm going to do: There's only two times
in our statute when an individual is not allowed bail. The
first is in a capital murder case; the second is if you're on
bail and you commit a new offense or you're charged with a new
offense. That's what the Sparks Justice Court did in this
case.
The sentencing date is May 23rd. He's already pled

guilty to two counts of battery with a deadly weapon, so he's guilty, out of his own mouth, of those two very serious charges.

10:26

10:26

Based on everything I've heard and everything I've reviewed, the bail motion is denied.

And we'll see you at sentencing on May 23rd.

MS. BERNING: Thank you, Your Honor.

(Proceedings concluded.)

10:26

-000-

2	) ss. COUNTY OF WASHOE )
3	
4	I, CECILIA VOHL, Official Reporter of the Second
5	Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, in and for
6	the County of Washoe, do hereby certify:
7	That as such reporter, I was present in Department
8	No. 9 of the above court on said date, time and hour, and I
9	then and there took verbatim stenotype notes of the
10	proceedings had and testimony given therein.
11	That the foregoing transcript is a full, true and
12	correct transcription of my said stenotype notes, so taken
13	as aforesaid. That the foregoing transcript was taken down
14	under my direction and control, and to the best of my
15	knowledge, skill and ability.
16	DATED: At Reno, Nevada, this 15th day of July, 2012.
17	
18	
19	Cecilia Vohl
20	CECILIA VOHL, NV CCR #246
21	
22	

STATE OF NEVADA

23

24

Code: 4185

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

BEFORE THE HONORABLE SCOTT N. FREEMAN, DISTRICT JUDGE

-000-

STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Case No. CR12-0110

KUPAA KEA,

Dept. No. 9

Defendant.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

SENTENCING

Friday, June 1, 2012

RENO, NEVADA

Reported By: CECILIA VOHL, NV CCR #246, RPR, CRR, CCP

1	APPEARANCES
2	For the Plaintiff: CHRISTIAN WILSON, ESOUIRE
3	For the Plaintiff: CHRISTIAN WILSON, ESQUIRE  Deputy District Attorney  1 South Sierra Street
4	South Tower, 4th Floor P.O. Box 30083
5	Reno, Nevada 89520
6	For the Defendant: FRY & BERNING, LLC BY: KATHRINE I. BERNING, ESQUIRE
7	195 Casazza Drive Reno, Nevada 89502
8	For the Parole and
9	Probation Department: Deborah Brown
10	-000-
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	

1	RENO, NEVADA, FRIDAY, JUNE 1, 2012, 10:02 A.M.	
2	-000-	
3		
4	THE COURT: I'm prepared to go on the Kupaa Kea case,	
5	unless anybody needs a short recess.	10:02
6	MS. BERNING: We would like a recess, Your Honor.	
7	THE COURT: All right. Five minutes?	
8	MS. BERNING: Yes, Your Honor.	
9	(A brief recess was taken at the hour of 10:02 a.m.)	
10	THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated.	10:10
11	This is the time set for sentencing in CR12-0110,	
12	State versus Kupaa Kea. Did I pronounce it correctly?	
13	MS. BERNING: "Koop-ah Kee-ah."	
14	THE COURT: "Koop-ah Kee-ah." Thank you. Good	
15	morning.	10:11
16	THE DEFENDANT: Morning, sir.	
17	THE COURT: I have in my possession a presentence	
18	report dated March 8, 2012. I've carefully reviewed that.	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
19	I've carefully reviewed the Guilty Plea Memorandum, the	
20	Information, the minutes of the court. I've also carefully	10:11
21	reviewed an evaluation that was provided to the Court	
22	yesterday's date.	
23	Have you received a copy, Mr. Wilson?	
Į.		t

MR. WILSON: I have, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: Thank you.	
2	MR. WILSON: Are you talking about Martha Mahaffey?	
3	THE COURT: Yes, I am. Thank you.	
4	Appearance from the Division?	
5	THE PROBATION OFFICER: Deborah Brown.	10:11
6	THE COURT: Any factual corrections, Ms. Brown?	
7	THE PROBATION OFFICER: No, sir.	
8	THE COURT: Any factual corrections to the report and	
9	has your client read it and understands it?	
10	MS. BERNING: Yes, Your Honor. My client has gone	10:11
11	over the presentence investigation report.	
12	There are a couple of things that I'd ask the Court to	
13	note. Within the report, Mr. Kea would ask the Court to note	
14	that as far as his education, that he was number one in a	
15	vocational class in graphic design, which was not listed in the	10:12
16	report.	
17	THE COURT: Where are you at?	
18	MS. BERNING: I'm on page 3, Your Honor, under	
19	"Education."	
20	THE COURT: Uh-huh.	10:12
21	MS. BERNING: Oh, I'm sorry, if we go back one page,	
22	Your Honor, it says his employment status is "unemployed at the	
23	present time." He had been working for Applied Staffing	
24	Company Solutions at \$10 an hour.	

1	THE COURT: You said "Pride Staffing"?	
2	MS. BERNING: "Applied."	
3	THE COURT: "Applied." Thank you.	
4	MS. BERNING: Applied Staffing Company Solutions.	
5	As far as children, under the his girlfriend had a	10:12
6	miscarriage, so there's not a listing there. It says that	
7	she's currently pregnant.	
8	On page 3 now, Your Honor, on vocational skills, we	
9	would also like the Court to note that Mr. Kea has skills in	
10	auto welding and landscaping that were not mentioned in the	10:13
11	report.	
12	Also, Your Honor, on substance abuse history, within	
13	that, it lists his age as 13 when he began either smoking or	
14	snorting. Mr. Kea reports to me that he didn't start doing	
15	that until he was 15 years old.	10:13
16	THE COURT: How old is he now?	
17	MS. BERNING: Mr. Kea turned 18 in November of last	
18	year.	
19	THE COURT: Thank you. Any other factual corrections?	
20	MS. BERNING: There are a couple of things that I	10:13
21	wanted to note for the Court. On page 7, as to a reason not to	
22	note a factual correction, but as I present my argument, on the	
23	bottom of page 7, it said that when the defendant was approved	

for home passes, this is -- well, he was in the Rite of Passage

	<b>]</b>
1	program that his mother became concerned about his negative
2	behavior, and she sent him a list of rules to follow while he
3	was visiting and that he looked at them and threw them in the
4	trash. I'm going to ask the Court to particularly note that
5	because there's a lengthy, I think not lengthy, but a really
б	pointed explanation of that that, I think, Ms. Mahaffey alludes
7	to as far as his mother's conduct toward him as far as why he
8	would do the behavior. We're not talking about the factual
9	correction but as a reason behind that.
10	There are no other factual corrections that we noted
11	in the PSI.
12	THE COURT: Thank you very much. Do you have any
13	witnesses other than argument? Do you have any witnesses or
14	anything from an evidentiary standpoint you'd like to present
15	to me in mitigation other than argument?
16	MS. BERNING: No, Your Honor. I'd only ask the Court
17	to note this: That Mr. Kea has while his immediate family
18	failed him, his extended family, his Aunt Trisha Meares is
19	here
20	THE COURT: Please stand up.
21	MS. BERNING: in the back of the courtroom.
22	His sister, Momi, is seated in the back of the court.
23	THE COURT: Ma'am, could you stand up so I can see
24	you?

10:14

10:14

10:15

10:15

Thank you for coming.

1

2

3

5

б

7

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. BERNING: And what's very distinctive about
Ms. Meares is that she traveled all the way from Hawaii in
order to be here to support her nephew and, also, to provide
the Court with letters, which I know the Court has also
received.

10:15

THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. I have read your letter, and thank you for making the trip.

MS. BERNING: There is one other matter before I begin the rest of my argument. I've provided to Mr. Wilson copies of certificates that I was e-mailed just at the end of the day yesterday by Mr. Kea's mother, who is located in North Carolina, and I just ask these to be admitted into the record.

10:16

THE COURT: Any objection?

10:16

10:16

MR. WILSON: None, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

All right. You may proceed.

MS. BERNING: Thank you. Your Honor, as the Court looks at the PSI and the number of offenses that my client, Mr. Kea, had amassed prior to turning 18 years old, there's no doubt that there is a number of them, and they are violent.

ied |

A lot of that, Your Honor, I believe, can be explained by his need for family, because, if you note in Martha

1	Mahaffey's report, what had occurred for him was his father	
2	died when he was five months old. His mother, at one point,	
3	was trying to raise the children by herself and not very	
4	successfully. His brother his oldest brother ended up being	
5	incarcerated in Hawaii eventually, but before that time, he was	10:17
6	a member of the Bloods, the older brother, so he was exposed	
7	fairly early on.	
8	THE COURT: I noted what did his brother go to	
9	prison for in Hawaii?	
10	MS. BERNING: I think it was a violent crime. I'd	10:17
11	have to ask.	
12	MS. MEARES: He violated his probation.	
13	THE COURT: Do you know what he was on probation for?	
14	I'm just curious.	
15	MS. MEARES: Well, just like all of them, they just	10:17
16	keep on bouncing until they hit a wall.	
17	THE COURT: Ma'am, was it a violent crime?	
18	MS. MEARES: Pardon?	
19	THE COURT: Was it a violent crime?	
20	MS. MEARES: I think it was just not showing up.	10:18
21	MS. BERNING: Your Honor, so he was exposed to that	
22	kind of life early.	
23	In addition, during this time when Pa'a which is	
24	the name that he goes by was still very young, his mother	

took up with another gentleman who was abusive to him, abusive to his family and abusive to his mother. His mother, Pa'a remembers many points in time, told him that she hated him.

And ultimately, when the family moved several times — moved lots and lots of different times, but at one juncture, what happened was they finally got an apartment — a house that had enough bedrooms so that the girls could have a bedroom, and the boys could have a bedroom. And Pa'a was put with his brother, Pono, in a bedroom. But Pono was so violent and controlling in the family, controlling the mother, that Pa'a's clothes ended up in his mother's bedroom, and he ended up sleeping on the floor in the living room, with the mother doing nothing about that.

While Pa'a was in Rite of Passage, before he even finished his sentence, his mother, who really had psychologically abandoned him in so many ways, did the ultimate thing: She didn't want to stick around until he got out, and so she transferred guardianship of him over to his 21-year-old sister, Momi.

So, in a situation where the rest of us have had the benefit of a family at each and every turn along the road, in his immediate family, Pa'a has been faced with a situation where there's -- he's crying out for love, and it's not there.

During his early schooling, he went to Glenn Duncan.

10:18

10:19

10:19

And Ms. Hardaway, whose letter you also read, also mentioned how he lacked that. And what she tried to do was at least show him what a normal family was like and, many times, as a grade school teacher, got him into her home, bought clothes for him, did what she could as a school teacher for him.

g

10:20

When he reached junior high and high school, he was associated with Ms. Lauren Ford. I had contact with Ms. Ford, who let me know that she feels like he was one of the kids who slipped through cracks. And so here we are in this situation.

10:20

In talking to Pa'a, he has really evaluated what has happened. And it has been very, very difficult for him, because the gang involvement, which started very early — he was in a crew. He was in — he got involved in the Dead Side Gang, the Sunset before that. And he came to a point in his life where he really wanted to — with this incident, he wanted to belong in the worst way.

10:21

If you read Ms. Mahaffey's report, she says that it was -- we're doing this together. This is, you know, what they were going to do. And then he finds out that he's finding people that he knows, that it's not this other gang, and he becomes very confused about the situation, which is easy to see because he's high on meth at the time.

10:21

And why is he doing meth? Why is he doing alcohol? I believe it's because of the pain of the situation. Around him

he sees his friends who have family to support them, and he walks back to nothing.

So what we look at is a person who really didn't have any of those opportunities. And what is interesting is, is that — and I direct your attention now to the exhibits that I just filed in — is that he becomes an outstanding student while he's at Rite of Passage. He gets a diamond award as a 4.0 for computer science. He's recognized for academic excellence and selected to Allstate Academic Team. At Rite of Passage Charter High School, he's at 4.0, honor roll. And finally, he is awarded a certificate for being in the NIAA playoffs.

All of those things show that when away from his family, Pa'a does very well and he succeeds. A lot of his education is important to him. But most of all, he's looking for acceptance, and the only acceptance that was available to him in the Wedekind Road/Clearacre/Ninth Street area was the acceptance of the gang, because he didn't receive that from his own mother.

I want to highlight a couple of items that

Ms. Mahaffey talked about. This is a really critical time for

Mr. Kea. He is very young, and as she states, his character

and personality are not yet totally formulated.

I'm looking at her report -- I'm looking at a report

10:22

10:22

10:22

on page 12. The references that I've already made to his prior history are listed earlier in -- on pages 4 through 5 and 6 of the report. One thing, I think, is of particular note, on page 12 of the report, is that she sees Mr. Kea as one of those 17-year-olds that is divided into two different groups. One is the one who is going to continue to do crime, but what she says, in looking at where he is, is that he's likely to be one of the people who decline -- the actual criminal inclination would decline. And I think that there is some recent events that happened in Mr. Kea's life that point to that.

10:24

10:24

One thing is, is that he was -- and I know the Court is aware of the bail hearing that we had, and Mr. Kea got out of -- was bailed out of jail, was going to cooperate with the police in order to apprehend -- work with them to apprehend another a person that they suspected of a murder. You'll see in Ms. Mahaffey's report that that was just too strong, too tough for him to do at that time, because the gang was really his family. He had already been denied by his own family and rejected, and it was so hard for him, too hard for somebody who just turned 18 in November, just 18.

10:25

10:24

He was going to be re-enrolled in high school in order to finger this guy. This is how young this person is. And in looking at what Pa'a did, he then got involved in a situation where he came back to be with his friends and cooperated with

the police. When Detective Crow talked to him, he did -- was interviewed in Sparks, and he cooperated with the police with regard to that case.

22 .

And that was his way -- as we've talked about the several times that I've visited while he's on Parr Boulevard, that's one of the reasons why he said, "I wanted to cut my ties. I know I can't live this life. I know this is not my family. I need to have a new life." And I think that's where Ms. Meares steps up as extended family to say she's ready to assist him.

10:26

10:25

Now, in looking at that, there's been a drastic change in him from the time that he entered jail until the time we've reached the sentence. It's a total of 164 days that he's spent incarcerated. That's given him a long time to think.

but

What he's asking the Court for -- and we were looking for programs initially where he could experience probation, but he's also very aware of the gravity of the crime that he committed.

10:26

I would just point out to the Court that he did shoot two people, but they were both shot in the legs; one in the calf, the other in the tibia. He was handed the gun. He was on meth at the time, and he wasn't taking aim at anyone's head or anyone's heart.

And in speaking with Martha Mahaffey, he was trying to

scare. It was in the moment. And I'd ask the Court to take that into the consideration, both the level of the injury and, also, what was going on for him at the time.

б

In addition to that, what I see -- we looked at different placements for him that would be in Hawaii because Mr. Kea realizes that Reno is really a poisoned place for him. The only family he has is his sister, Momi, here, but that hasn't been the support that -- she has other concerns, of course, raising her own family.

And what we're hoping to do is to ask this Court to look at a program called Habilatat in Hawaii, and there's another program called Sand Island, I believe. However, neither one of those programs would take Mr. Kea because of what he was charged with.

I checked with Rite of Passage, and because of his age and his past history, they, too, will not accept him. In addition, the Salvation Army, I spoke with Chaplain Furlong, and again, because of the charge, they were unwilling to -- because they're not a lock-down facility, are unwilling to do anything. I then contacted Delancey Street, but the problem was we needed a personal interview, and Mr. Kea is incarcerated.

So, looking at all that -- and Mr. Kea realizes he knows that he needs help. He knows that he has issues of

10:28

10:27

abandonment. He knows that he has methamphetamine addiction that he has to conquer, and he wants assistance with that. And more than anything, he wants a new life.

1.3

And what Parole and Probation has asked for is 35 to 156 months to run consecutive. What I would propose, Your Honor, is that the Court sentence Mr. Kea to concurrent time in the amount of 24 to 72 months. He wants to take responsibility for his actions.

And the reason that I would ask for this, and, in this matter, dispute the presentence investigation, is his age and his psychosocial immaturity. He fits the criteria the psychological studies point to that make a juvenile less responsible for criminal conduct than an adult. That's based, really, on two things: One, Mr. Kea's level of cognitive and social development, and also, that tends to undermine a use of choices in their decision making process.

They also -- that Mr. Kea was looking to the moment, not at what the ultimate consequence was going to be of his actions in getting involved and supposedly supporting the gang, which really was his family for him.

What it means to be -- excuse me. What it means to be psychosocially immature is that a person is much more susceptible to peer influence and their attitude towards the perception of risk. And it's much more difficult for

10:30

self-management.

In looking at that, in Mr. Kea's need for a family and that became the gang, I think that this — he fits exactly the categories described by Ms. Mahaffey. He's going to be one of those folks, those 17-year-olds, who this is his shot, and if he moves away from the gangs, which he's determined to do — and I think you'll hear him say that when you talk to him — that what he really wants is a chance to start his life over.

His aunt, who has come all this way based upon her faith in him, really wants to have a new life. And so, at the end of his incarceration, what he would be asking is he's going to be looking at a program that he can transition into, because he doesn't want this to be his life.

He was admitted to college at one point. His grades are excellent. You can see from Ms. Mahaffey's report that he is a very intelligent person, and he has an option for a whole different kind of life. And I think that the time that he has spent in incarceration prior to coming before you for sentencing and a realization of the gravity of his actions — it's been so difficult for him as a young man. This is his first time that he's been before a Court as an adult for a felony, as over 18, and I'm asking the Court to consider that as you consider his sentence, and sentence him, rather than to consecutive time, to concurrent time and not what the

10:31

10:31

10:31

Department has asked for, the 35 to 156 months, but, rather, 1 consider his youth, consider where he was psychosocially at the 2 time, and to look for a sentence of 24 to 72 months. 3 would give him a chance at a new start, as well as have him pay for the actions that he fully takes responsibility for now. 5 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 6 Mr. Wilson. 7 MR. WILSON: Your Honor, I'm going to start off so 8 you'll know where I'm going. 9 THE COURT: Please. 10 MR. WILSON: First off, I'm asking for the maximum 11 sentence under law, 72 to 180 months, as to each count, 12 consecutive. Count II is consecutive to Count I. THE COURT: 72 to 180? MR. WILSON: Yes, sir. That's 6 to 15 years on each count. When you look at P and P's recommendation in this case, it's a little lower than what I'm recommending, but you also take note that they didn't realize in that what he did when he was on bail in this case. And his actions speak louder than any words that I can say. I'd like to point out two things. Detective Chad Crow

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

is here.

THE COURT: Did you want to have him --

10:32

10:33

MR. WILSON: No, he's just present.

THE COURT: Thank you.

3

MR. WILSON: You've already heard him testify.

4

THE COURT: I was going to say, for the record, I recall from the previous hearing when we had a bail hearing

5 б

that we perpetuated their testimony for the purpose of

7

sentencing. I reviewed that, I'm familiar with that and recall

8

9

it.

Absolutely. MR. WILSON:

10

THE COURT: Thank you.

11

Thank you, sir. I'd like to point out MR. WILSON:

12

most of those certificates you have, where do they come from?

13

Various Nevada juvenile detention facilities. What does that

14

tell you? It tells you who the defendant is. This defendant

15

can only toe the line as a man with a gun in his hand.

16

17

with the police. That's not true. He bailed out on his own.

18

It was after he was out that he went to the detectives, and he

He claims he bailed out and it was in order to work

19

said, "I think I can help you." And then what did he do? He

20

didn't do anything. He ran around with his gang, and we're

going to get to that, what he did while he was on bail.

21 22

THE COURT: If you all want to sit down, you can.

23

MR. WILSON: I'd like to point out his criminal

24

history. I can kind of make a road map out for the Court who

18

10:33

10:34

this young man is. And he is no angel, as Defense Counsel is pointing out. And everybody has a mother. Everybody has a family that thinks well of them, but that doesn't negate what they did, who they are. And he is a violent, violent, violent man. He's a danger to this community and a danger to any community he is released in. And I'm going to point that out as I go along.

10:34

His prior criminal history. Now, I actually went further than P and P did. I actually went and took a look at all of his juvenile record that I could get from our district attorney's office.

10:35

And P and P, which is close on just a few of the things, but they missed a few of the things he was actually arrested on as a juvenile: 2006, battery; 2006, disturbance at school; 2006, disturbance at school; 2000 -- correction, that last disturbance at school was in 2008; 2008, just two months later, battery on a school employee, possessing graffiti materials, obstructing. Now, that was listed in the PSI as a 4-1-08 offense.

10:35

Then we have that same year, just a month later, robbery, burglary, battery, trespass. That's what he was actually arrested for. PSI only listed the robbery.

10:35

Then we have 2008, two months later, battery, obstructing and resisting. Then we have, three months after

that, failure to obey a court order. And then we have, a year later, intimidating a public officer to go along with a juvenile probation violation.

Now, I'm just listing the violence. I'm not going into all the graffiti and the larcenies and all the other things he had in his history. That's just where he started from. That's him going to school.

But where -- what kind of young man was he? Now,

Defense Counsel claims this is the first time he's ever been in

adult court. We had him certified as an adult when he was 17.

Of course, it's the first time.

Nevertheless, how many times has he been in front of a judge of one nature or another in this county who has tried to rehabilitate this young man? 2006, contempt, ordered to complete the work program; November 2006, ordered to complete a work program; December 2006, ordered to complete basic skills program; February 2007, ordered to complete another work program; July 2008, finally declared delinquent, ordered to complete substance abuse treatment.

Well, that didn't last very long, because in August he was committed to China Springs. That was his first detention facility. Then we have December of 2008, committed to Nevada Youth Training Center. After he was paroled, you'll notice in the PSI, that's when he threatened to kill a Washoe County

10:36

10:36

deputy.

Then we have, November 2008, ordered to complete the Rite of Passage; March 2011, once again committed to Nevada Youth Training Center. And then when he got out — and that was on August 10th, 2011 — what do we have, two months between that time and he commits this crime. It took him two months. Now, he was certified as an adult, as the Court knows, on August 31st, 2011, last fall.

Now, the State contends that the defendant is manipulative. If you read the PSI, it's clear in that, but I would like to point out more so how manipulative this young man is. He'll say and do anything to avoid the consequences of his actions.

Gang association. He told Detective Chad Crow when he was being interviewed that he was a member of the Dead Side 10:38

Gang. That was a spin-off of the Sureños Gang.

Now, when we were in arraignment in court, he told

Judge Robison when he asked -- when Judge Robison asked him if
he was a member of a gang, he denied any and all involvement in
any gang and claimed it was not a gang shooting. And then
P and P -- when they interview him, what does he say to
P and P? He denied this is a gang shooting or being a member
of the Dead Side Gang. Yet, we know he told Martha Mahaffey
something completely different. And in that, he's talking

10:38

10:37

about all these gang memberships. This young man is very, very manipulative.

Let's talk about the next thing. He claims he did not ambush his victims. That's what he's been claiming, and he didn't ambush them by shooting from behind a tree as they were approaching and entering the park. We know that's not true.

Number one, .22-caliber bullets found near the tree; two, Edwin Baca — he's a member of the defendant's own gang — he told

Detective Crow that the defendant was — and I'm quoting it from the police report — "shooting from a sandy area behind some trees."

Then we have Ariel Mariscal. Now, she was on the scene. She saw what happened. She was a witness. She's the one that walked the detectives through the crime scene. What did she do? She pointed out the very trees on the east side of the pond as the location where the shooting originated from. That's about 50 meters from the bridge where you enter that park.

And then, according to the friends of the victims at the hospital -- and the police interviewed them -- when they arrived at the park, they were immediately shot at from rivals who were -- and I'm quoting it -- "hiding in nearby bushes."

This was an ambush, plain and simple. No matter how he tries to couch it, how he tries to color it, he ambushed

10:39

10:39

those people.

Let's talk about the injuries. In this event, we have Caesar Anton. We know that he had a gunshot wound to his lower left leg. Now, luckily, that was just a muscular injury.

Then we have Oscar Valencia. He's the young man that he shot in the left tibia.

THE COURT: Do we have any victims here, by the way?

MR. WILSON: No, sir. I was looking for them earlier.

THE COURT: Any victim statements?

MR. WILSON: None other than what they explained to 10:40 P and P and explained in the PSI.

THE COURT: I read that. Go ahead.

MR. WILSON: But -- so Oscar Valencia, he was shot in the left leg, as well -- in the tibia. And as the Court knows, P and P got it right; I had the medical records for them to view -- it actually shattered, broke his tibia, and that bullet is still in there. And when they interviewed and talked to him, he's still in a wheelchair.

Now, Christian Anton, he was the young man that

-- this young man's friend, Mr. Rodriguez, Marcos Rodriguez,
because when he was shooting from behind the bush -- and I'm
going to get to the facts of the case in a little more detail
in a minute -- after he ambushed them from about 50 meters
away, his young, little friend, Marcos Rodriguez, and Edwin

10:40

10:40

Baca walked up, and Christian Anton, who is the brother of Caesar Anton, the man they just shot — he shot Caesar Anton. Though his brother, Christian Anton, comes to — tried to — comes to the rescue — or trying to come to the rescue, find out what's going on. And then Marcos Rodriguez and Edwin Baca then approach him, and Marcos Rodriguez shoots Christian Anton in the back, and the bullet exits his chest.

б

10:41

To say this wasn't a violent offense or try to downplay it just is minimization in its worse extreme.

10:41

So what happened here? And I'm just talking about October 2010. We're going to talk about Sparks here in a minute, what he did when he jumped bail. On October 27, what did he do? Him and his friends decided they wanted to fight a rival group. They all go to the park. They got there in advance. He's got his .22-caliber rifle. Marcos Rodriguez has got a handgun. He stands behind that sandy area near some trees and bushes where the rounds were found, and he ambushes the other rival group.

10:42

Now, the rival group wasn't even gang members. He had been told they were Norteños, because he was associated with the Sureños. He's with the Dead Side Gang. He thought they were Norteños. They weren't. They were a bunch of high school

kids being stupid and going to a park to fight.

10:42

They arrived on scene, and as soon as they enter the

park, he opens fire from the bushes. He hits the two of them I just described. And after he opens fire, Christian Anton comes, trying to find out what's going on because his little brother had been shot. And then his buddy, Marcos Rodriguez, approaches him. They get into an argument, and he shoots -- Marcos Rodriguez shoots Christian Anton in the back. Now, that's what happened. After the shooting, he runs from the scene. He gets arrested the next day, October 28, 2011.

1

2

3

5

7

В

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

10:42

Now, interesting enough, a few days after that, Detective Crow gets called by the defendant and wants to speak And when he's out there -- Detective Crow has been paying attention, talking to people. He knows what's going on. And as they're having a conversation, Detective Crow fully confronts him that the defendant was going to fight this case by having someone else falsely confess to being the shooter, the person behind the trees.

10:43

10:43

Now the wind's out of his sails at this point. Nevertheless, he still bails out of custody. He posts \$31,641, I believe through a bondsman. So when he bails out, after he's out of custody, he then goes back to the detectives: "Oh, I can help you, officers, I can help you, I can help you."

10:43

The officer says, "Well, you know, we'll see."

What does he do when he's out? Two months later, December 28th, 2011, just two months after the shooting, we

1	
2	
3	THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY.
4	-
5	
6	None English Comme
7	
8	
9	
10	

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

have that shooting in Sparks. And the Court knows well what happened there. We had the testimony of that. When he's at the apartment complex and the victim there, Jason Cartegeña, was wearing a 49ers jersey. He wasn't a gang member. He just happened to be wearing a 49ers Jersey during football season. They have a beef. He chests up with him. He's the one that instigates it and takes it to the next level. And his little friend, Sergio Aquino, comes and shoots him. And this Jason Cartegeña -- it was in the base of the neck -- he's paralyzed now.

10:44

10:44

10:44

10:44

That's who you have sitting here. That's the dangerous, violent young man you have here.

What's this man's philosophy? Well, what did he tell
Martha Mahaffey? "All I have to do is manipulate the system."
That was one of my favorite lines from that. And then you
listen to what his juvenile probation officer relayed:
According to his juvenile probation officer -- correction,
parole officer, "The defendant is manipulative and is a danger
to the community."

Now, this Court well knows that. It was clear in its ruling at the bail hearing, and I have full faith that the Court understands that and will render the appropriate sentence in this case. He is a danger to the community.

Let's talk about Martha Mahaffey's report very

briefly. She made some findings that I found very crucial to the Court's determination, what we should do with him. Now, the defense, they want us to give him the minimum sentence, basically reward him, give him the minimum sentence, ignore what he did when he was on bail, ignore his actions of shooting two people, ambushing them from the bushes.

10:45

But let's look at what Martha Mahaffey found:

"Defendant's antisocial features: One, disregard for the rights of others. Two, disregard for social norms. Three, disregard for the law." We know that. From his juvenile history alone, we know that. "Four, deceitfulness. Five, impulsivity. Six, aggressive behavior." And we certainly know that based upon his conduct all the way through the juvenile courts, the many years they tried to work with him and what we've seen in this case and when he was on bail.

10:45

"Number 2, defendant's narcissistic features: One, grandiosity; two, arrogance, admiration-seeking."

10:46

Your Honor, parole has not worked. Probation has not worked. Bail pending a crime, pending this case, this very case we're in here, when he's out on bail, knowing that this is looming, that wasn't enough to dissuade this man.

10:46

The only thing we can do to protect this community, the only guarantee we can give them is give this community 12 to 30 years' protection from him, because if we don't, somebody

else is going to get hurt, because that's who he is. He's a danger to the community. And if he's given any sentence other than the maximum sentence, somebody is going to get hurt.

Now, I wish I could argue for more, but the maximum is what it is. It's 72 to 180 months for each count, consecutive, but he's earned it, and that's what you get when you shoot people, and that's the insurance policy we can give this community. We can say for 12 years -- he'll be 30 when he gets out. He's not going to be 60 or 70; he'll be 30 years old. It will give him time to grow up and give this community a rest.

With that, I submit it, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

You may respond, Ms. Berning.

MS. BERNING: Thank you. Your Honor, when Mr. Wilson states that there's not really any punishment or that Mr. Kea isn't accepting any punishment, I think the very fact that we're here saying that he's looking at prison time, that he accepts that responsibility to the Court and for his actions.

Also, you've read the report with what Ms. Mahaffey states: He was shooting toward the ground. I want to clear up the item that Mr. Wilson talked about with Judge Robison and that he denied that occurred during his — during an experience before him where he denied that he was a member of the gang.

At that point, what Mr. Kea was trying to do was -- in

10:47

10:47

his viewpoint, he was incarcerated. He made the decision in his mind that he was done with that kind of lifestyle, and that's how he interpreted what the judge had said, and that was what he meant when he said that he's not involved, because that's — he wanted to make a clear break.

В

10:48

And as the Court knows, that when an individual within a prison setting says that, there's all sorts of things that can happen to them within the prison setting, and for that reason, I want that cleared up, that that was his intent, was to break the bond, and that came at a very high cost.

10:48

I think that what Mr. Wilson points out only goes to show what the defense has pointed out concerning his psychosocial immaturity. Of course, he's going to say different things. He's scared. He's a young man. He doesn't know what's going on. This is -- the situation went way past anything that he thought was going to happen.

10:49

10:49

Also, I don't want — and I would ask the Court to note that Mr. Aquino was the shooter in that other case. In fact, Mr. Kea was unarmed and actually moved back away from the situation. He wasn't leading anything. He was moving away, backing away from the situation, and that is memorialized within the bail hearing that we had. He wasn't the main player. It was Mr. Aquino. He was the one who had the gun. He was the one who fired.

1	Mr. Kea knows that he was there. He admits that he	
2	was there. He talked to police about that, but he wasn't the	
3	shooter.	
4	THE COURT: I have a question.	
5	MS. BERNING: Surely.	10:50
6	THE COURT: Why was he there?	
7	MS. BERNING: Your Honor, I believe that it that	
8	would be a question for the Court to ask	
9	THE COURT: I'm asking you.	
10	MS. BERNING: Oh. I believe that he was there because	10:50
11	he didn't have he knew was supposed to stay away, but I	
12	from a gang situation, but I think that was the only family	
13	that he knew, so he hooked up with his friends again. He knows	
14	it's wrong now. But that was all he had, so that's where he	
15	hooked up again.	10:50
16	THE COURT: Thank you.	
17	MS. BERNING: And he would tell you that.	
18	THE COURT: Thank you. Please continue. Is there	
19	anything else you want to tell me?	
20	MS. BERNING: Yes, Your Honor. I believe that for	10:50
21	Pa'a, to send him to prison for 12 to 30 years does an	
22	injustice. What he's done we're not talking that he he	
23	did shoot, and somebody was wounded, but apparently, it wasn't	

serious enough for the victims even to be here today. They

wouldn't even respond to P and P.

Now, I know that Mr. Wilson's argument is that we have to protect the whole community, but we also have to give people an opportunity to change, and I don't know what giving Mr. Kea more time in prison is going to do.

10:51

I think the Court, given his age, given the fact that, for a youth who doesn't -- who really, according to psychological studies, is less guilty because of their adolescence, that the point will be made for the Court and for the community with the time suggested by the defense, that 12 -- that 12 to 72 months is going to be adequate in order to -- concurrent, is adequate to -- to make -- for the Court to make the point for Mr. Kea to set his life aright and for society to be protected.

10:51

I think the idea of having such a high punishment for such a young person, given the nature of this crime, I think, is misplaced, and I would ask the Court to consider the sentence suggested by the defense.

10:52

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

10:52

Sir, please stand. The law affords you the opportunity to tell me anything you want to tell me before I impose sentence. Now would be your time.

THE DEFENDANT: Sir, the first thing I would like to say to my victims is I'm sorry. I can't take that back, what I

did. And I caused somebody pain and hurt. Their family suffered for it too. And I see that each day. I live through a reminder, like, to myself every day of what I have become. I had college scholarships. I want to do something right with my life.

10:52

I left the gangs. They brought out the worst in me. But I don't blame it on them. It was all my decisions, you know. I'm old enough to understand and know what I'm doing is right from wrong.

And Mr. Wilson is right, you know, I feel like I'm a bad person, myself, and I do deserve to go to prison, and that's the truth of it. As much as it hurts, I do deserve to go to prison. But I wish and I pray that you give me another chance and show mercy on me. Please don't give me the max.

10:53

THE COURT: All right. Anything else?

10:53

MS. BERNING: No, sir.

THE COURT: All right. I think that when you make a decision to use methamphetamine, do shots of brandy, take a gun and shoot it, you are a danger to the community. I believe that you -- I look at your prior record to give me an idea of what will happen in the future.

10:53

Your lawyer has done a phenomenal job in this case. She has brought to the Court every possible thing she could to try to minimize your horrendous prior record. I mean, she got

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

you evaluated by someone everyone respects. She has called numerous places to find placement for you, and they all said no. And you know why they said no, is because you can't use a gun in our community. You can't shoot people in our community. It is not tolerated.

10:54

This is not a probation case. The question is, how much time am I going to give you in prison? Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Because you hurt two people and you made the decision to use methamphetamine. Whether you're 18, 17, the bullet doesn't have an age. Do you understand that?

10:54

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right. So that being said, the one thing that you have going for yourself is your age. It's the one thing. And you have a history of the fact that you have the ability and — you have an ability to do well in certain environments. So I don't think this is a "throw away the key" type of case despite the very, very appropriate argument made by Mr. Wilson. And I can't blame him word one. And as I'm listening to him, I'm thinking, that makes sense, that makes sense, yeah, he's right, he's right, that's the message, that's the message.

10:54

10:55

And they didn't certify you as an adult for no reason.

They certified you as an adult so some day you'd be before me

as an adult. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And that's the sentence you're going to get, is an adult sentence. And when you go to prison -- and I appreciate that your understanding this is a prison case. When you go to prison, I want you to think about the things that we talked about today and the fact that you want to turn your life around and do the things you're supposed to do.

But there are consequences to using a gun in our community, and I am no liberal when it comes to violence in our community. It is my duty to protect our community, and you have quite a track record. So it's going to be up to you, whether you want to really — those are just words and manipulative stuff to get out of gangs. It's going to be up to you, but there is a price to be paid for your behavior.

I don't think it's the maximum, but I do believe that Probation probably got it right in this case. The Probation Department analyzed this case. They put together everything they thought was appropriate. They outlined in detail your prior record, and they made an evaluation, and I believe it's right.

In addition to the administrative assessment of \$25 and the DNA fee of \$150 and the attorney's fees in the amount of \$500 --

10:55

10:56

1	MS. BERNING: Your Honor, I would ask the Court to		
2	waive the attorney's fees.		
3	THE COURT: Attorney's fee is waived.	*******	
4	you are sentenced to 156 months in the Nevada		
5	Department of Corrections, with minimum parole eligibility on	10:56	
6	Count I after 35 months. You are sentenced to 156 months in		
7	the Nevada Department of Corrections, with parole eligibility		
8	after 35 months. There are two counts. Those will run		
9	consecutively.		
10	That is the Court's order.	10:56	
11	Credit for time served?		
12	THE PROBATION OFFICER: 164 days.		
13	THE COURT: Thank you. Anything else?		
14	MS. BERNING: Nothing further.		
15	THE COURT: We'll be in recess.	10:57	
16	MR. WILSON: Oh, the DNA fee, Your Honor?		
17	THE CLERK: He imposed it.		
18	(Proceedings concluded.)		
19	00-		
20			
21			
22			
23			
Į.		1	

24

2	COUNTY OF WASHOE )
3	
4	I, CECILIA VOHL, Official Reporter of the Second
5	Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, in and for
6	the County of Washoe, do hereby certify:
7	That as such reporter, I was present in Department
8	No. 9 of the above court on said date, time and hour, and I
9	then and there took verbatim stenotype notes of the
10	proceedings had and testimony given therein.
11	That the foregoing transcript is a full, true and
12	correct transcription of my said stenotype notes, so taken
13	as aforesaid. That the foregoing transcript was taken down
14	under my direction and control, and to the best of my
15	knowledge, skill and ability.
16	DATED: At Reno, Nevada, this 15th day of July, 2012.
17	
18	
19	Cecilia Vohl
20	CECILIA VOHL, NV CCR #246
21	
22	
23	

STATE OF NEVADA

24

## FILED

Electronically 06-05-2012:09:30:54 AM Joey Orduna Hastings Clerk of the Court Transaction # 2996695

**CODE 1850** 

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26<sup>°</sup> 27

28 ///

///

III

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

VS.

KUPAA KEA.

Plaintiff,

Case No. CR12-0110

Dept. No. 9

Defendant.

\_\_\_\_\_

## **JUDGMENT**

The Defendant having entered a plea of Guilty, and no sufficient cause being shown by Defendant as to why judgment should not be pronounced against him, the Court rendered judgment as follows:

That Kupaa Kea is guilty of the crime of Battery With a Deadly Weapon Causing Substantial Bodily Harm, a violation of NRS 200.481(2)(e), a felony, as charged in Counts I and II of the Information, and that he be punished by imprisonment in the Nevada Department of Corrections for the minimum term of thirty-five (35) months to a maximum term of one hundred fifty-six (156) months, as to each of Counts I and II, to run consecutively to each other. The Defendant is further ordered to pay the statutory Twenty-Five Dollar (\$25.00) administrative assessment fee and a One Hundred Fifty Dollar

 (\$150.00) DNA testing fee. The Defendant is given credit for one hundred sixty-four (164) days time served.

DATED this 1st day of June, 2012.

DISTRICT JUDGE

• •		
	Case No: <u>CR12-0110</u> Dept No: 9	FILED  2012 JUN 26 AM 8: 36  JOEY DADUNA HASTINGS  OLERUTY  STEPUTY  STEPUTY
STATE STATE STATE STATE		
		DICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
	IN AND	FOR THE COUNTY OF WASWE
	The State of Nevada	
	Plaintiff	
	*V\$~	NOTICE OF APPEAL
	KUPAA KEA.	
	Defendant_	
	NOTICE IS HEREBY GIV	EN that KUDAA KEA hereby appeals
	the judgement of conviction entere	ed in this Honorable court on or about the
	June 2012.	
	Dated this 18 <sup>th</sup> da	y of June , 2012.
		Defendant Signature

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL Pursuant to N.R.C.P. Rule 5 (b), I hereby certify that I am the petitioner Defendant named herein and that on this 18th day of JUNC 2012 I deposited in the United States Mails in Carson City, Nevada a true a correct copy of the foregoing addressed to: Mr. Christian Wilson, Esq. DDA Washoe County District Attorney P.O Box 30083 Reno NV, 89520 washoe County Clerk
Soons Jupicial District Court Dept 9
75 Court Street
Reno. NV 89520 

PURSUANT TO N.R.S. 208.165, I understand that a false statement or enswer to any question in this declaration will subject me to penalties of perjury. I DECLARE UNDER PERALTY OF PERJURY UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF NEVADA THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT. See N.R.S. 208.165.

Signed at NCC (Location)

## AFFIRMATION Pursuant to NRS 2398.030

4	Pursuant to NRS 2398.030	
5	The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document,	
6	Notice of Hopeal	
7		
5	(Title of Document)	
9	filed in case number: <u>CR12-0110</u>	
10	Document does not contain the social security number of any person	
11	+OR-	
12	Document contains the social security number of a person as required by:	
13	l beared	
14	A specific state or federal law, to wit:	
15	(State specific state or federal law)	
16	-or-	
17	For the administration of a public program	
18	-or-	
20	For an application for a federal or state grant	
21	-ar-	
22	Confidential Femily Court Information Sheet (NRS 125.130, NRS 125.230 and NRS-125B.055)	
23	(NRS 125.130, NRS 125.230 and 198.67230.000)	
24	Date: 6/18/12	
25	(Signature)	
26	KUDAA KEN	
27	(Print Name) P. Wir Defender	
28	(Attorney for)	
#1		

Allimation Revised December 15, 2006