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However, KVR has no objection to complying with Eureka County's request to cross examine Mr. Rogers on his Memorandum. KVR respectfully requests the State Engineer schedule an additional hearing day for presentation of Mr. Rogers' Memorandum. KVR will call Mr. Rogers and Mr. Dwight Smith to explain the Memorandum. KVR does not anticipate offering any other material. KVR believes one (1) day will suffice and that no pre-hearing conference in necessary. A hearing day occurring within the next three (3) weeks is requested, if at all possible.

In its response, Eureka County takes the position that virtually all water developed from KVR's wells located in Kobeh Valley will be placed to a beneficial use within the mill, located in Diamond Valley, and that the discharge from the mill is a "by product of such beneficial use." Eureka County claims use of water to produce a slurry is not a mining and milling use. KVR disagrees because transportation of slurry from a mill and treatment of tailings in a pond (evaporation, drying and recycling) is an indispensible part of any mining and milling process. Removing and disposal of material from a mill is a beneficial use of water with discharge of the tailings, in the form of a slurry, to be deposited in a tailings pond. See Union Mill \& Mining Co. v. Dangberg, et al., 81 F. 73 (D. Nev. 1897) which affirms water for tailings disposal as a beneficial use, and NRS 37.010 (6)(a), which states that "mining and related activities... are recognized as the paramount interest of this State." Related activities clearly include transporting and disposal of tailing via a slurry to a tailings pond. The State Engineer may take administrative notice of the literally hundreds of permits he has granted "for mining, milling and domestic purposes." Without disposal of tailings via a liquid slurry, there would be no mills in Nevada.

KVR does not agree with Eureka County's statements regarding the "lithium mine" in Clayton Valley. In that instance, Lithium brine is extracted, via State Engineer permits and placed in evaporation ponds. Thus, literally all water in the process of recovering lithium is consumed through evaporation, which is part of that operation's mining/milling process: a beneficial use.

## B. NRS 533.364.

KVR believes that the material submitted to the State Engineer, and all Protestants on March 21, 2011 is in compliance with above-listed statute. Determining how to conduct and complete the inventory and determining whether he believes he has sufficient information to complete the inventory is for the State Engineer to determine. KVR and Eureka County agree on this point of law. NRS 533.364 does not require the State Engineer to hold a hearing or engage in an adversarial process in performing his duties required by the statute. KVR requests that the State Engineer take such action as he determines appropriate in complying with the statute.

## C. Enclosures.
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Enclosed herewith are pages 1 and 2 of the Hydrologic Abstract for Basin 139. Apparently, due to a clerical error, pages 1 and 2 were not enclosed with the original correspondence.

Respectfully submitted.

RED/rt<br>Enclosures<br>\section*{cc: Protestants}<br>Client



149 Number of Records: 149
Selection Criteria: basin IN ('139') AND app_status IN ('APP','CER','PER','RES','RFA','RFP','RLP','RVP','VST','DEC') AND source IN ('UG')
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 60283 07-28-94 PER UG NE SE 28 22N 49E 1.000 MM

 \begin{tabular}{cccccccc}
\hline 56274 \& 14826 \& $05-08-91$ \& CER \& UG \& SW \& NW \& 03 <br>
\hline 70099 \& 17200 \& $06-09-03$ \& CER \& UG \& NE \& SW \& 30 <br>
\hline 71594 \& 17500 \& $08-20-04$ \& CER \& UG \& SW \& SE \& 36 <br>
\hline 9682 \& 2780 \& $07-25-33$ \& CER \& UG \& SE \& NE \& 17 <br>
\hline 35866 \& \& $09-11-78$ \& PER \& UG \& SW \& SW \& 16 <br>
\hline 54093 \& \& $10-17-89$ \& PER \& UG \& NE \& SW \& 35 <br>
\hline 54094 \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline
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\hline 60281 \& $07-28-94$ \& PER \& UG \& \& NW \& SW \& 27 <br>
\hline

 60282 07-28-94 PER UG 64616 

64616 \& $11-16-98$ \& PER \& UG \& NE \& NE \& 21 \& $19 N$ \& $47 E$ \& 2.240 <br>
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\hline 71594 \& 17500 \& $08-20-04$ \& CER \& UG \& SW \& SE \& 36 <br>
\hline 9682 \& 2780 \& $07-25-33$ \& CER \& UG \& SE \& NE \& 17 <br>
\& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 35866 \& $10-17-78$ \& PER \& UG \& SW \& SW \& 16 <br>
\hline 54093 \& \& \& PER \& UG \& NE \& SW \& 35 <br>
\hline 54094 \& \& $10-17-89$ \& PER \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline

 

\hline 71594 \& 17500 \& $08-20-04$ \& CER \& UG \& SW \& SE \& 36 \& 21 N <br>
\hline 9682 \& 2780 \& $07-25-33$ \& CER \& UG \& SE \& NE \& 17 \& 19 N <br>
\& \& \& $09-11-78$ \& PER \& UG \& SW \& SW \& 16 <br>
\hline 35866 \& $10-17-89$ \& PER \& UG \& NE \& SW \& 35 \& 22 <br>
\hline 54093 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 54094 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline 71594 \& 17500 \& $08-20-04$ \& CER \& UG \& SW \& SE \& 36 <br>
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\& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 35866 \& $10-17-78$ \& PER \& UG \& SW \& SW \& 16 <br>
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\hline

 $\angle 2 \quad \angle Z \quad M S M N$ on $\quad \forall \exists d$ d $t 6-8 z-\angle 0$ 

\& <br>
\hline 5-08-91 \& CER <br>
\hline 3-20-03-04 \& CER <br>
\hline $7-25-33$ \& CER <br>
\& <br>
\hline $9-11-78$ \& PER <br>
\hline $0-17-89$ \& PER
\end{tabular}

 $\begin{array}{llllllll}14826 & 0508-91 & \text { CER } & \text { UG } & \text { SW } & \text { NW } & 03 & 18 \mathrm{~N}\end{array}$ +

I hereby certify that on this $\qquad$ day of April, 2011, I caused to be mailed, first class; postage prepaid, a true and correct copy of the foregoing LETTER TO JASON KING and TIM WILSON DATED APRIL 11, 2011, to:

Karen A. Peterson, Esq.
Allison \& MacKenzie
402 N. Division Street
Carson City, NV 89702
Therese A. Use, Esq.
Schroeder Law Offices, P.C.
440 Marsh Ave.
Reno, NV 89509
Attomeys for Kenneth F. Benson
Conley Land \& Livestock, LLC
Attn: Beverly Conley
P.O. Box 111

Eureka, NV 89316
Lloyd Morrison
P.O. Box 52

Eureka, NV 89316
Baxter Glenn Packet
P.O. Box 695

Eureka, NV 89316
Alan K. Chamberlain
Cedar Ranches LLC
948 Temple View Drive
Las Vegas, NV 89110


# DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES 

901 South Stewart Street, Suite 2002
Carson City, Nevada 89701-5250
(775) 684-2800 • Fax (775) 684-2811
http://water,nv.gov
April 20, 2011

Kobeh Valley Ranch, LLC c/o Ross E. de Lipkau, Esq. Parsons, Behle \& Latimer<br>50 W. Liberty Street, Suite 750<br>Reno, Nevada 89501

Re: Inventory of Water Resources
Dear Mr. de Lipkau:
Our office has received your submittal of March 21, 2011, including your request to accept a portion of the information as satisfying the inventory requirements of Nevada Revised Statute § 533.364. After review of your submittal it has been determined that additional information is required to satisfy the statute, as follows:

1. The total amount of surface water appropriated in accordance with a decreed, certified or permitted water right.
a. Each water right should be listed with the amount of the appropriation and the total for all decreed, certified or permitted water rights, in acre-feet annually.
i. Do not include pending applications.
2. The total amount of groundwater appropriated in accordance with a decreed, certified or permitted water right.
a. Each water right should be listed with the amount of the appropriation and the total for all decreed, certified or permitted water rights, in acre-feet annually.
i. Do not include pending applications.
ii. Ruling No. 5966 was vacated. All permitted/certificated water rights that were forfeited or abrogated and reverted to their former status should be included. Permits that were approved and reverted to application status should be excluded.

Kobeh Valley Ranch, LLC
Applications 72695 etc.
April 20, 2011
Page 2 of 2
3. An estimate of the amount and location of all groundwater that is available for appropriation within the basin.
a. For groundwater, this amount is the estimated perennial yield minus the total calculated in Item 2. Report in acre-feet annually.
4. An estimate of the amount and location of all surface water that is available for appropriation within the basin.
a. The total amount of surface water will be based on flow measurements and estimates, as appropriate.
b. It is sufficient to note that there are mountain block seeps flowing de minimus amounts of water.
c. For springs that are tributary or base flow to perennial or intermittent streams, it is sufficient to measure the stream source and not each individual spring that may feed the stream source.
d. For isolated springs, measure or estimate water production.
e. Determine the amount of surface water available for appropriation by comparing the estimated production to committed water resource.
5. The name of each owner of record set forth in the records of the Office of the State Engineer for each decreed, certified and permitted right in the basin.
a. Do not include pending applications.
b. Based on a cursory review of the information you submitted, ownership information may be incomplete for the following: 11072, 11188, 13849, 13956, 20836, 23549, 64616, 2243, 4528, 4529, 8891, 8894, and V01950-V01957.
6. It is recommended that you utilize a spreadsheet or table format.
a. Resubmit all information organized in a binder or similar fastening.

Keep in mind that the purpose of the inventory is to provide a snapshot in time of the amount of water available versus the amount of water committed. Pending applications are not a part of the inventory.

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact this office at (775)684-2873.


Tim Wilson, P.E.
Hearings Officer

## TW/ml

cc: Therese Ure, Esq., Schroeder Law Office<br>Karen A. Peterson, Esq., Allison, McKenzie, Pavlakis, Wright \& Fagan<br>Baxter Glenn Tackett<br>Cedar Ranches, LLC<br>Conley Land \& Livestock, LLC<br>Lloyd Morrison

# DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES <br> <br> 901 South Stewart Street, Suite 2002 <br> <br> 901 South Stewart Street, Suite 2002 <br> Carson City, Nevada 89701-5250 <br> (775) 684-2800 • Fax (775) 684-2811 <br> <br> http://water.nv.gov 

 <br> <br> http://water.nv.gov}

June 22, 2011

Kobeh Valley Ranch, LLC c/o General Moly, Inc.
1726 Cole Blvd., Suite 115
Lakewood, Colorado 80401
Dwight L. Smith, P.E.
Interflow Hydrology, Inc. P.O. Box 1482

Truckee, California 96160

Ross de Lipkau
Parsons, Behle \& Latimer
50 W. Liberty Street
Reno, Nevada 89501-1970

Gentlemen:
Our office has received your Water Resources Inventory Data Collection Report Kobeh Valley - NDWR Hydrographic Basin 139. This was submitted by Interflow Hydrology on behalf of Kobeh Valley Ranch, LLC. The inventory is required by Nevada Revised Statute § 533.364.

This letter does not imply approval or denial of the pending applications but is only an acknowledgement that the inventory has been received and meets the statutory requirement.

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact this office at (775) 684-2873.
$\mathrm{JK} / \mathrm{ml}$


# DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES 

## 901 South Stewart Street, Suite 2002

Carson City, Nevada 89701-5250
(775) 684-2800 • Fax (775) 684-2811
http://water.nv.gov

## MEMO

TO: Eureka County

FROM: Tim Wilson
SUBJECT: Kobeh Valley Ranch, LLC
DATE: July 5, 2011

## See attached letter

| Enclosure: | CD - Water Resources Inventory Data Report Collection |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Kobeh Valley - NDWR Hydrographic Basin 139 |
|  | June 16, 2011 |

Ross E. de Lipkau, Esq.
Parsons Behle \& Latimer
50 West Liberty Street, Suite 750
Reno, NV 89501
Tim Wilson, P.E.
Hearings Officer

## Division of Water Resources

901 South Stewart Street, Suite 2002
Carson City, NV 89701

Yia Email \& U.S. Mail

Re: April 20, 2011 Letter - Inventory of Water Resources Kobeh Valley Ranch, LLC Applications

Dear Mr. de Lipkau and Mr. Wilson:
Eureka County requests that it be provided with a copy of any additional information submitted by Kobeh Valley Ranch, LLC in response to Mr. Wilson's letter dated April 20,2011 relating to the inventory of water resources required to satisfy the requirements of NRS 53$\}_{9}^{3} 64$.

If you have any questions regarding this request, please contact the undersigned at your earliest convenience. Thank you.

KAP/nf
(deLipkau L01)
cc: Ted Beutel, Esq.
Jake Tibbitts

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRAP Rule 25(1)(c), I hereby certify that I am an employee of ALLISON, MacKENZIE, PAVLAKIS, WRIGHT \& FAGAN, LTD., Attorneys at Law, and that on this date, I caused a CD-ROM version of same to be served to all parties to this action by:
$\qquad$ Placing a true copy thereof in a sealed postage prepaid envelope in the United States Mail in Carson City, Nevada Hand-delivery - via Reno/Carson Messenger Service Facsimile Federal Express, UPS, or other overnight delivery
X E-filing pursuant to Section IV of District of Nevada Electronic Filing Procedures
fully addressed as follows:
Bryan L. Stockton
Senior Deputy Attorney General's Office
Nevada Attorney General's Office

| bstockton@ag.nv.gov |
| :--- |
| 100 North Carson Street |
| Carson City, NV 89701 |


| Ross E. de Lipkau |
| :--- |
| Parsons Behle \& Latimer |
| 50 West Liberty Street, Ste 750 |


| Reno, NV 89501 |
| :--- |


| Therese A. Ure |
| :--- |
| Laura A. Schroeder |
| Schoeder Law Offices, P.C. |
| 400 Marsh Avenue |
| Reno, NV 89509 |

Placing a true copy of a CD-ROM version thereof in a sealed postage prepaid envelope in the United States Mail in Carson City, Nevada
fully addressed as follows:
John R. Zimmerman
jzimmerman@parsonsbehle.com
Parsons Behle \& Latimer
50 West Liberty Street, Ste 750
Reno, NV 89501
Francis M. Wikstrom
Parsons Behle \& latimer
201 South Main Street, Ste 1800
Salt Lake City, UT 84111
DATED this $21^{\text {st }}$ day of December, 2012.
/s/ Nancy Fontenot
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|  |  | 袁家筞 |  | 号号 | 8 |  |  | 成䢒䢒 | － | －${ }_{\sim}^{\sim}$ | 会号 | 号刮 | 枵宫 | $\sim$ | F | \％ | 欹枵 |  |  | 合克等 | ni | － | 欹 | \％ |  | 矿 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 咹 |  | \％ | or | O |
|  | 等 | 等合荅 | 島枵枵 |  | ลิ | ＊ |  | N | 菅系 | 品荌管 | 会䍖 | 罗 | 员 | 吕资 | 8 | 兑 |  |  | 骨虽 |  | 瀎罟 | 棝 | 等 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \mathbf{N} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 㙖 | － | 通 |  | d |  |  | \％ |  |  | O | \％ |


| App | ceit | source:Name | Flie Date | Status | Source | pon | $\mathrm{pod}$ | Poos Sec | podiwn | PODRRB | Div Rate (CFS) | of Use | Añ̄ual Duty | Units | countr | Owner of Record | Easting | Northing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R06872 |  | TWIN SPRINGS | 12/9/1994 | RES | 5PR | sw | sw | 18 | 19N | 50 E | 0.015 | OTH | 9.851 | AFA | EU | BLM | 1811273 | 14351770 |
| R06873 |  | COLD SPRING | 12/9/1994 | RES | 5PR | 5 S | NW | 18 | 19 N | 50 E | 0.015 | OTH | 9.851 | AFA | EU | BLM | 1812509 | 1435440 |
| R06874 |  | WARM SPRING | 12/9/1994 | RES | 5PR | NE | W | 18 | 19 N | 50 E | 0.015 | OTH | 9.851 | AFA | EU | BLM | 1250 | 14355723 |
| R06875 |  | GRAVEL PIT SPRING | 12/9/1994 | RES | SPR | NW | NE | 23 | 20N | 49 E | 0.01 | ОТН | 9.851 | AFA | EU | BLM | 110 | 14379834 |
| R06876 |  | UNNAMED SPRING | 12/9/1994 | RES | SPR | NW | NW | 02 | 21 N | 48 E | . 01 | OT | 1.41 | AFA | EU | BLM | 1766959 | 14424538 |
| R06877 |  | UNNAMED SPRING | 12/9/1994 | Res | 5PR | 5 S | NE | 02 | 21 N | 48 E | 0.015 | ОТН | 11.416 | AFA | EU | BLM | 72 | 14423242 |
| R06878 |  | UNNAMED SPRING | 12/9/1994 | RES | SPR | SE | NW | 03 | 21N | 48 E | 0.015 | отн | 11.416 | AFA | EU | BLM | 60 | 144 |
| R06879 |  | UNNAMED SPRING | 12/9/1994 | RES | SPR | NW | NW | 04 | 21N | 48 E | 0.015 | отн | 1416 | AFA | EU | BLM | 491 | 14424487 |
| R06880 |  | UNNAMED SPRING | 12/9/1994 | RES | SPR | sw | NE | 08 | 21 N | 48 E | 0.015 | От | 11.416 | AFA | Eu | bLM | 1753944 | 4417901 |
| R06882 |  | UNNAMED SPRING | 12/9/1994 | RES | SPR | NE | NW | 08 | 21 N | 48 E | 0.015 | OTH | 11.416 | AFA | Eu | bLM | 1752610 | 14419209 |
| R06883 |  | UNNAMED SPRING | 12/9/1994 | RES | SPR | SW | NW | 08 | 21 N | 48 E | 0.015 | OTH | 11.416 | AFA | EU | BLM | 1751288 | 14417890 |
| R06884 |  | UNNAMED SPRING | 12/9/1994 | RES | SPR | NW | SE | 09 | 21 N | 48 E | 0.015 | OTH | 11.416 | AFA | EU | BLM | 1759186 | 14416610 |
| R06885 |  | UNNAMED SPRING | 12/9/1994 | RES | SPR | sw | sw | 16 | 21 N | 48E | 0.015 | ОTH | 11.416 | AFA | EU | BLM | 1756637 | 14410024 |
| R06886 |  | COTTONWOOD CANYON 5PR | 12/9/1994 | RES | 5PR | 5w | NE | 14 | 22 N | 48 E | 0.015 | OTH | 11.416 | AFA | EU | BLM | 1769592 | 14444477 |
| R06887 |  | FAGAN SPRING | 12/9/1994 | RES | SPR | NE | NE | 21 | 22 N | 48 E | 0.015 | От | 11.416 | AFA | EU | BLM | 1760556 | 14440487 |
| R06888 |  | UNNAMED SPRING | 12/9/1994 | RES | SPR | NE | 5 E | 21 | 22 N | 48 E | 0.015 | От | 11.416 | AFA | EU | 8LM | 1760568 | 14437856 |
| R06889 |  | UNNAMED SPRING | 12/9/1994 | RES | SPR | NE | NE | 22 | 22 N | 488 | 0.015 | отн | 11.416 | AFA | EU | BLM | 1765708 | 14440512 |
| R06890 |  | POTATO CANYON SPRING | 12/9/1994 | RES | 5PR | SE | sw | 26 | 22 N | 48 E | 0.015 | OTH | 11.416 | AFA | EU | BLM | 176833 | 14431220 |
| R06891 |  | UNNAMED SPRING | 12/9/1994 | RE5 | SPR | sw | NW | 33 | 22 N | 485 | 0.015 | OTH | 11.416 | AFA | EU | BLM | 1756629 | 14428533 |
| R06892 |  | UNNAMED SPRING | 12/9/1994 | RE5 | SPR | sw | NE | 33 | 22 N | 48 E | 0.015 | OTH | 11.416 | AFA | EU | atm | 1759207 | 14428545 |
| R06893 |  | UNNAMED SPRING | 12/9/1994 | RES | SPR | NW | NW | 33 | 22 N | 48 E | 0.01 | OTH | 11.416 | AFA | EU | BLM | 1756623 | 14429848 |
| R06894 |  | UNNAMED SPRING | 12/9/1994 | RES | SPR | NW | SE | 34 | 22 N | 48 E | 0.015 | отн | 11.416 | AFA | EU | BLM | 1764445 | 14427256 |
| R06948 |  | UNNAMED SPRING | 12/9/1994 | RES | SPR | SW | 5W | 10 | 19N | S0E | 0.00 | Отн | 3.928 | AFA | EU | BL | 1826979 | 14357139 |
| R06949 |  | UNNAMED SPRING | 12/9/1994 | RES | SPR | NE | NE | 03 | 20 N | 51 E | 0.005 | отн | 3.928 | AFA | EU | BLM | 1863630 | 14933445 |
| R06950 |  | UNNAMED SPRING | 12/9/1994 | RES | SPR | NW | NW | 18 | 20 N | 52E | 0.005 | TH | 3.928 | AFA | EU | BLM | 1876400 | 14382738 |
| R06951 |  | LONE MT SPRING | 12/9/1994 | RES | SPR | 5W | NE | 13 | 20N | 50 E | 0.005 | OTH | 3.928 | AFA | EU | SCM | 1839698 | 14383332 |
| R06952 |  | TREASURE WELL SPRING | 12/9/1994 | RES | Pr | SW | NE | 21 | 20 N | S0E | 0.005 | OTH | 3.928 | AFA | EU | BLM | 1824002 | 14.478763 |
| V01769 |  | COTTONWOOD CREEK | 8/22/1921 | vST | STR | sw | NE | 13 | 22 N | 48 E | 0.915 | IRR | 0.000 |  | EU | DAMELE FARMS, INC. | 1774741 | 14445215 |
| V01950 |  | Defr Springs | 11/25/1925 | VST | SPR | NW | NE | 07 | 22 N | 50 E | 0.500 | STK | 1.500 | AFA | EU | DAMELE, BERNARD | 18118 | 595 |
| 001951 |  | CHERRY SPRING | 11/25/1925 | VST | SPR | NE | SE | 12 | 22 N | 49E | 0.500 | STK | 2.250 | AFA | EU | DAMELE, BERNARD | 1806643 | 931 |
| 01952 |  | E. COTTONWOOOCR\& TRIB | 11/25/1925 | VST | STR | NE | SE | 02 | 22 N | 49 E | 0.000 | IRR | 350.0 | AFS | EU | DAMELE, BERNARD | 1802001 |  |
| 01954 |  | BASIN SPRING NO. 2 | 11/25/1925 | VST | STR | SE | sw | 14 | 23 N | 48 E | 0.000 | IRR | 350.000 | AFS | EU | DAMELE, BERNARO | 1768037 | ${ }^{14473700}$ |
| V01955 |  | BASIN SPRING NO. 1 | 11/25/1925 | VST | STR | sw | NE | 23 | 23 N | 48 E | 0.000 | IRR | 350.000 | AFS | EU | DAMELE, BERNARD | 1769376 | 14471076 |
| V01956 |  | COTTONWOOD SPRING | 11/25/1925 | VST | STR | NW | SE | 26 | 23 N | 485 | 0.000 | IRR | 350.000 | AFS | EU | DAMELE, BERNARD | 1769488 | 1464604 |
| V01957 |  | JACKASS CREEK | 11/25/1925 | VST | STR | NW | NE | 22 | 23 N | $49 E$ | 0.000 | iRR | 350.000 | AFS | EU | DAMELE, BERNARD | 1 | 142336 |
| V04152 |  | RESEED SPR | 5/18/1984 | VST | SPR | sw | sw | 30 | 18 N | 48 E | 0.015 | STK | 6.322 | AFS | EU | USFS | 1749195 | 14304499 |
| 04511 |  | SLOUGH CREEK | 8/12/1985 | VST | STR | NW | 5 E | 22 | 2 ON | S2E | 0.050 | STK | 5.600 | AFA | EU | ROY R AND MARY ERIII | 1895581 | 14374124 |
| V04512 |  | UNNAMED SPRING | 8/12/1985 | VST | SPR | SE | NE | 19 | 2 Na | 52 E | 0.050 | STK | 0.690 | AFA | EU | ROY R AND MARY E RIII | 1879665 | 143788085 |
| V04513 |  | SLOUGH CREEK | 8/12/1985 | VST | STR | NE | SW | 13 | 20 N | 51 E | 0.000 |  | 0.000 | AFA | EU | KOBEH VALLEY RANCH, LLC | 1871398 | 14382085 |
| 004514 |  | UNNAMED DRAINAGE | 8/12/1985 | vst | STR | NW | SE | 20 | 20N | 52 E | 2.500 |  | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
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of Counsel
April 5, 2011

## VIA HAND DELIVERY

Jason King, P.E., State Engineer<br>Tim Wilson, P.E., Hearings Officer<br>State of Nevada<br>Department of Conservation \& Natural Resources<br>Division of Water Resources<br>901 S. Stewart St., Ste. 2002<br>Carson City, NV 89701

Re: Applications 72695, 72695, 72696, 72697, 72698, 73545, 73546, 73547, 73548, 73549, 73550, 73551, 73552, 74587, 75988, 75989, 75990, 75991, 75992, 75993, 75994, 75995, 75996, 75997, 75998, 75999, 76000, 76001, 76002, 76003, 76004, $76005,76006,76007,76008,76009,76483,76484,76485,76486,76744,76745$, $76746,76802,76803,76804,76805,76989,76990,77171,77174,77175,77525$, $77526,77527,77553,78424,79911,79912,79913,79914,79915,79916,79917$, 79918, 79919, 79920, 79921, 79922, 79923, 79924, 79925, 79926, 79927, 79928, $79929,79930,79931,79932,79933,79934,79935,79936,79937,79938,79939$, 79940, 79941 and 79942; Response to Ross de Lipkau's Correspondence dated March 21, 2001

Dear Messrs. King and Wilson:
On March 3, 2011 Tim Wilson, P.E., sent correspondence to Applicant, Kobeh Valley Ranch, LLC ("KVR"), requesting additional information related to the above referenced applications. Specifically, Mr. Wilson requested additional information related to the amount of water annually developed and consumed from all sources and uses illustrated in Applicant's Exhibit 35 and whether NRS 533.364 applied to the water developed in the Kobeh Valley Hydrographic Basin ("Kobeh Valley") or the Diamond Valley Hydrographic Basin ("Diamond Valley"). Mr. Wilson's correspondence allowed KVR fifteen days to respond to the questions posed and all Protestants an additional fifteen days to respond to KVR's response. ${ }^{1}$ KVR
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provided its submission to the State Engineer on March 21, 2011. Protestant Eureka County's response is detailed herein and in the following enclosed documents:

1. Affidavit of Jake Tibbitts; and
2. Affidavit of Dale Bugenig.

## A. Exhibit No. 35 .

Applicant's Exhibit 35 is an illustration of the water flow for the Project explicitly demonstrating water being exported from Kobeh Valley to Diamond Valley for beneficial use in the mining and milling process. KVR's response failed to address the actual question posed as it essentially ignored the Exhibit 35 diagram about which Mr. Wilson requested information. In response to the question regarding Exhibit 35, Eureka County attaches the Memorandum prepared by Mr. Tibbitts and Mr. Bugenig which includes a mark-up of Exhibit 35 with the exact amount of water developed and consumed identified for each use and process. As is clear from Mr. Tibbitts' and Mr. Bugenig's Memorandum, KVR's use of the water creates a circuit as follows: (1) water is pumped in Kobeh Valley; (2) water is exported to Diamond Valley; (3) water is utilized in the various mining and milling activities; (4) a slurry results from the mining and milling processing; (5) the slurry is transported to the tailings storage facility in Kobeh Valley; (6) at the tailings storage facility, any water either remains part of the tailings, evaporates or is reclaimed; and (7) any slurry water that is reclaimed is thereafter exported back into Diamond Valley for re-use in the mining and milling project. Undeniably, the actual beneficial use of the water occurs at the mining and milling facilities located in Diamond Valley.

An interbasin transfer of water is defined by statute as "a transfer of groundwater for which the proposed point of diversion is in a different basin than the proposed place of beneficial use." NRS 533.007 (emphasis added). KVR's water appropriation applications are, as defined by statute, an interbasin transfer of groundwater.
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KVR appears to contend that 10,025 afa retained in, or evaporated at, the tailings storage facility is beneficially used in Kobeh Valley and as such there is not an interbasin transfer of groundwater in this situation. Nonetheless, KVR's actual beneficial use of all of the water occurs in Diamond Valley where the mining and milling occurs and the ore is processed. It is only the processing of the ore that has a benefit to KVR; the slurry waste is merely a by-product of such beneficial use. Certainly, if KVR were to remove the mining and milling process from its project it would not be suggesting that the State Engineer approve an application to pump water simply to allow it to evaporate or be consumed and retained in the tailings storage facility. The 10,695 afa of water diverted from Kobeh Valley from the well field and pit inflow is no longer available for beneficial use in Kobeh Valley because it has been used in Diamond Valley to process the ore. KVR's argument is a slippery slope because if the State Engineer follows the logic of such argument, and accepts the proposition that the mining and milling uses of the water which occur in Diamond Valley should be disregarded, then KVR has failed to establish a beneficial use for the water and the applications must be denied.

Finally, the Nevada Supreme Court, while never having addressed the issue of whether evaporation is a beneficial use, has recognized evaporation as a wasteful use, stating that:

Congress, in appropriating millions for storage and distribution, and our Legislature, have recognized the advantages of conserving the water above for use in irrigation, instead of having it flow by the lands of riparian owners to finally waste by sinking and evaporation in the desert.

Twaddle v. Winters, 29 Nev. 88, 85 P. 280, 284-285 (1906).

## B. Basin Inventory.

Mr. Rogers acknowledges NRS 533.364 is applicable to water developed under KVR's Applications in Kobeh Valley. KVR attaches numerous print outs from the State Engineer's website in an attempt to submit the inventory required by NRS 533.364. Nonetheless, NRS 533.364 clearly requires more than a mere computer query of the information contained in the State Engineer's online database as is made clear by the statutory language itself, which requires identification of the amount and location of all the surface water in the basin, and the legislative history for NRS 533.364. As Jason King the Deputy State Engineer at the time, and current State

Jason King, P.E., State Engineer

Tim Wilson, P.E., Hearings Officer
State of Nevada
Department of Conservation \& Natural Resources
Division of Water Resources
April 5, 2011
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Engineer, testified at the Assembly Committee on Government Affairs on April 3, 2009, with regard to A.B. 416:

> What we envision we will be doing with A.B. 416 is, if there is going to be an interbasin transfer of 250 acre-feet or more of water, our office would either have to begin an inventory or require the applicant to pay for the inventory to be done by a third party that we approve of. The first part of the inventory we would query our database for that particular basin, since we have a list of all the water right holders in that basin; we would get the names of all those people. ... The next thing to do would be to get people out on the ground, probably with topographic maps, maybe infrared maps, looking for other water resources. I am specifically talking about surface water, such as springs, that do not have an appropriation on them. We would go to those sites and take measurements to get baseline data, so that if an interbasin transfer is approved, we can see whether there is an effect. But yes, it is going to be a combination of querying our database and putting men in the field to identify other sources.

Additionally, the current State Engineer identified the number and location of all existing domestic wells as information that would be included in the inventory. Assemblyman Goicoechea supplemented Mr. King's statement by providing that A.B. 416 contemplated the inventory including not only the existing domestic wells but all parcels with the right to drill a domestic well. Finally, the legislative history shows that the inventory included "on the ground" assessments and measurements of water resources to be conducted by the State Engineer or an impartial third party.

Clearly, NRS 533.364 requires more than simply print outs from the State Engineer's online databases to satisfy the inventory requirements. KVR admits NRS 533.364 applies to its interbasin transfer of water; thus, the applications cannot be granted without a completed inventory having been submitted. Further, as stated above, when such inventory is submitted, Protestants must be provided the opportunity to review and respond to the inventory as well as cross-examine the witnesses related to the inventory. Since the Applicant did not properly
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perform the inventory, Eureka County requests that the State Engineer's office, or an impartial third-party, conduct the required inventory prior to acting on the subject applications.

Should you need any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact us.
Sincerely,
ALLISON, MacKENZIE, PAVLAKIS, WRIGHT \& FAGAN, LTD.

By:


KAREN A. PETERSON, Esq.
KAP/nf
Enclosure(s) as stated (King L02)
cc: Eureka County
All parties

72695, 72696, 72697, 72698, 73545, 73546, $73547,73548,73549,73550,73551,73552$, $74587,75988,75989,75990,75991,75992$, 75993, 75994, 75995, 75996, 75997, 75998, $75999,76000,76001,76002,76003,76004$, 76005, 76006, 76007, 76008, 76009, 76483, $76484,76485,76486,76744,76745,76746$, $76802,76803,76804,76805,76989,76990$, $77171,77174,77175,77525,77526,77527$, 77553, 78424, 79911, 79912, 79913, 79914, 79915, 79916, 79917, 79918, 79919, 79920, 79921, 79922, 79923, 79924, 79925, 79926, 79927, 79928, 79929, 79930, 79931, 79932, 79933, 79934, 79935, 79936, 79937, 79938, 79939, 79940, 79941 and 79942 to appropriate the public waters of an underground source.

## AFFIDAVIT OF DALE BUGENIG

STATE OF NEVADA )
CARSON CITY )


DALE BUGENIG, being first duly sworn, under penalty of perjury, deposes and says that:

1. That he is the hydrogeologist for Eureka County, a Protestant in the above-referenced matter.
2. That he researched and drafted the Memo, in collaboration with Jake Tibbitts, to Jason King, P.E., State Engineer, and Tim Wilson, P.E., Hearing Officer, regarding a Response to Eureka Moly, LLC's March 21, 2011 Submission to the State Engineer, a true
and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and incorporated herein by this reference.
3. That he testified at the hearing on the above-referenced matter and that if he were called to testify with regard to the subject matter of the memo, the memo is an accurate representation of the sworn testimony, under penalty of perjury, that he would provide.

DATED this $\Psi^{\pi i r}$ day of April, 2011.


On Apric 4 , 2011, personally appeared before me, a notary public, DALE BUGENIG, personally known (or proved) to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the Affidavit of Dale Bugenig, who acknowledged to me that he executed the foregoing instrument.


JOHNR.BROOKS NOTARY PUBLIC gTATE OF NEVADA APPT. No. $87-26118-3$ MY APPT. EXPIRES JULY 4,2013

## EXHIBIT "A"

To: Jason King, P.E., State Engineer
Tim Wilson, P.E., Hearing Officer

Prom: Jake Tibbitts and Dale Bugenig
ec: Eureka County Commission


Date: April 4, 2011
Re: Response to Eureka Moly, LLC's March 21, 2011 Submission to the State Engineer

## Introduction

On March 3, 2011 Tim Wilson, P.E., Hearing Officer, issued a letter requesting additional information generally related to the Exhibit 35 produced by the Applicant and the application of NRS 533.364 to the pending applications. On March 21, 2011, Eureka County received the Applicant's response to Mr. Wilson's correspondence consisting of:

1. March 21,2011 letter from Ross de Lipkau;
2. March 18, 2011 Memorandum to the Nevada State Engineer;
3. Exhibit A;
4. Exhibit B ;
5. Exhibit C;
6. Exhibit D;
7. Exhibit E ;
8. Plate 1(A); and
9. Plate $1(\mathrm{~B})$.

We have had the opportunity to review both Tim Wilson's March 3, 2011 correspondence and the Applicant's submission and offer the following comments.

## Inter-basin transfer of water from Kobeh Valley to Diamond Valley

The March 18, 2011 Memorandum prepared by Patrick Rogers, provides a discussion of the quantity of groundwater "consumed" in Diamond Valley as a result of Eureka Moly, LLC's inter-basin transfer from Kobeh Valley. Mr. Roger's Memorandum is apparently in response to the request for further detail regarding Applicant's Exhibit 35.

Below is a copy of Applicant's Exhibit 35 from the December 2010 administrative hearing before the Nevada State Engineer. We have annotated it with information from James Moore's testimony in the October, 2008 hearing, Applicant's Exhibits 108 and 112 from the October, 2008 hearing and Tables 1 and 2 of Mr. Rogers' March 18, 2011 Memorandum to help explain the beneficial use of water by the project. Of the approximately 11,300 acre-feet per year (AF/yr) of groundwater appropriations sought by Eureka Moly, LLC (EMLLC) for mining and milling purposes, approximately 10,695 acre-feet of groundwater is proposed to be diverted in Kobeh Valley (originating from the Kobeh Valley well field and as pit inflow) and exported to Diamond Valley for beneficial use at the mill which is located solely in Diamond Valley. The balance of the 11,300 AF/yr needed for

mining and milling is estimated to originate from Diamond Valley as pit inflow. The water is put to beneficial use processing the ore (the manner of use) in Diamond Valley. The beneficial use in Diamond Valley includes use in the roaster and thickener and use as a source of water for the potable water system and for dust suppression.

After the water is beneficially used for mining and milling the ore in Diamond Valley, it becomes a slurry of mine wastes (tailings and process fluids). The slurry is thereafter exported to Kobeh Valley to the tailings storage facility (TSF). The slurry exported to Kobeh Valley, following its use in the mining and milling facilities, is no longer water which could be put to a beneficial use other than that water reclaimed in the mining circuit. At the TSF, approximately $1,396 \mathrm{AF} / \mathrm{yr}$ of the process water is evaporated; approximately $8,628 \mathrm{AF} / \mathrm{yr}$ is retained by the tailings and approximately $21 \mathrm{AF} / \mathrm{yr}$ seeps through the TSF liner. Approximately $68 \%-70 \%$ of the slurry water is reclaimed at the TSF by EMLLC and is thereafter exported again from Kobeh Valley into Diamond Valley to be put to beneficial use in the mining and milling operations, forming a circuit whereby water is removed from Kobeh Valley and exported to Diamond Valley for beneficial use.

Elsewhere in Nevada, such as at the lithium mining operations in Esmeralda County, the State Engineer has not recognized evaporation as a beneficial use. The Applicant's discussion of consumption of the water as opposed to the beneficial use has the effect of downplaying the amount of water exported from Kobeh Valley and put to beneficial use in Diamond Valley. It is an important distinction that the beneficial use of the water exported from Kobeh Valley takes place in Diamond Valley where the mill is located so that the full extent of the inter-basin transfer is clear.

EMLLC's response suggests the TSF was strategically placed in Kobeh Valley strictly because of the interbasin water transfer issue in order to minimize the amount of water effectively transferred from Kobeh Valley. Note that multiple locations for the TSF were originally considered for location in Diamond Valley. The TSF was ultimately located in Kobeh Valley strictly for economic and engineering considerations so that its location had little bearing on planning where resources were to be put to beneficial use.

## Pit Inflows

It is clear that the pit inflow amounts are estimates of the contributions of groundwater from Diamond and Kobeh Valleys and the actual inflow can be expected to vary from these estimates. In his letter, Mr. de Lipkau seems to allude to the contribution of water from Diamond Valley originating in the pit that will be used in Kobeh Valley as a concrete number, not an estimate. Conversely, Mr. Rogers acknowledges in his Memorandum that this quantity is simply an estimate. We agree with Mr. Rogers that, due to the complex geology and structure in the pit area of Mt Hope, there is a degree of uncertainty in these estimates. The actual amount of groundwater exported from Diamond Valley to Kobeh-Valley cannot be known until pit dewatering actually occurs and will vary on a year-to-year or even daily basis. No discussion has been provided to describe the means to verify that the mine does not pump more water from Diamond Valley than the amount of the groundwater rights it currently holds in that basin or that it does not export more than 250 acre-feet per year from Diamond Valley.

## Water Rights Inventory

The March 3, 2011 letter from Tim Wilson required additional information as to whether NRS 533.364 applied to the applications. EMLCC's response concedes that NRS 533.364 applies and attaches a print out of a portion of the basin abstract obtained from the State Engineer's website as the necessary inventory. There are several shortcomings to the inventory provided by Mr. de Lipkau:

- It is simply a printout of a query of the State Engineer's own web site. We were able to duplicate this effort in five minutes or less. A reading of NRS 533.364 highlights that the inventory shall include the amount and Iocation of the water rights and water still available for appropriation. Little or no effort was expended to address the location of springs or groundwater resources potentially available for appropriation.
- The printouts from the State Engineer's website provided are stamped "Preliminary and Subject to Revision." Instead of the web-site information query, an adequate inventory would have provided data and information that were quality checked to ensure a complete and up-to-date inventory.
- The copy of the groundwater inventory provided as Exhibit A was missing the first two pages.
- A review of the abstract indicates that it is not a complete and accurate inventory. For example, it lists two water rights (Applications 4919 and 5047) as located in White Pine County. None of basin 139 (Kobeh Valley hydrographic basin) extends into White Pine County, calling into question the accuracy of the preliminary information provided by the Applicant and whether the Applicant reviewed the data it provided to the State Engineer. Application 4919 is in fact located in Eureka County and Application 5047 is located in White Pine County but not in Kobeh Valley. A thorough review of the information that provides the basis of the pretiminary abstract is required to document its accuracy.
- An independent query of the State Engineer's website by Eureka County resulted in a slightly different listing of surface water rights, adding two additional rights omitted from the Applicant's inventory.
- The Applicant's inventory failed to include any current domestic wells in Kobeh Valley and future domestic wells that could come into existence. County code allows for one domestic well on each individual parcel. As testified to at the hearing and stated in Exhibit 521 at page 9, there are 7,471 acres of private land in Kobeh Valley that potentially could be sub-divided into smaller parcels and each parcel would be allowed a domestic well.
a During both the October 2008 and the December 2010 administrative hearings before the State Engineer, testimony was presented regarding vested water rights in Kobeh Valley. This testimony is part of the administrative records of the State Engineer, yet the Applicart's inventory ignores these specific vested rights and perhaps hundreds of other vested water rights in Kobeh Valley.


## Water Speculation

The statutes related to inter-basin transfers prohibit inter-basin transfers that limit future growth and development in the source basin. Presumably to address this aspect of inter-basin transfer regulations, in his March 21, 2011 letter, Mr. de Lipkau stated ". . . the applicant has agreed to make groundwater available to third parties in order not to preclude future growth in Kobeh Valley." Pending applications for irrigation at Bobcat Ranch and Mr. de Lipkau's comments are in direct conflict with previous statements regarding the ranching aspect of KVR. During the 2008 hearing, KVR made it explicitly clear that it is not in the ranching or farming business and testified that its water needs were strictly for mining. Mr. de Lipkau's statements contradict the testimony of Mr. Rogers during the 2008 administrative hearing before the State Engineer where Mr. de Lipkau asked Mr. Rogers "What will happen to the Bobcat Ranch after the water is removed therefrom?" Mr. Rogers replied "It will be reclaimed or allowed to naturally return to a native vegetation community." (Vol. 3, pg. 610). At the 2010 hearing, one of the KVR witnesses acknowledged that KVR was not involved in the ranching or agricultural business. (Vol. 1, pg. 39, lines 17-20)." The water Mr. de Lipkau asserts will be available for other potential future uses has not been permitted by the State Engineer and is in direct conflict with previous statements leading to a conclusion that the current round of agricultural applications is simply water speculation.

## DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES

BEFORE JASON KING, P.E., STATE ENGINEER

In the Matter of Application Nos:
72695, 72696, 72697, 72698, 73545, 73546, $73547,73548,73549,73550,73551,73552$, 74587, 75988, 75989, 75990, 75991, 75992, 75993, 75994, 75995, 75996, 75997, 75998, 75999, 76000, 76001, 76002, 76003, 76004,

## AFFIDAVIT OF

 JAKE TIBBITTS$76005,76006,76007,76008,76009,76483$,
76484, 76485, 76486, 76744, 76745, 76746,
$76802,76803,76804,76805,76989,76990$,
77171, 77174, 77175, 77525, 77526, 77527,
77553, 78424, 79911, 79912, 79913, 79914,
79915, 79916, 79917, 79918, 79919, 79920,
79921, 79922, 79923, 79924, 79925, 79926,
79927, 79928, 79929, 79930, 79931, 79932,
79933, 79934, 79935, 79936, 79937, 79938,
79939, 79940, 79941 and 79942 to
appropriate the public waters of an underground source.

## STATE OF NEVADA ) <br> CARSON CITY

JAKE TIBBITTS, being first duly sworn, under penalty of perjury, deposes and says that:

1. That he is the Natural Resources Manager for Eureka County, a Protestant in the above-referenced matter.
2. That he researched and drafted the Memo, in collaboration with Dale Bugenig, to Jason King, P.E., State Engineer, and Tim Wilson, P.E., Hearing Officer, regarding a Response to Eureka Moly, LLC's March 21, 2011 Submission to the State

Engineer, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and incorporated herein by this reference.
3. That he testified at the hearing on the above-referenced matter and that if he were called to testify with regard to the subject matter of the memo, the memo is an accurate representation of the sworn testimony, under penalty of perjury, that he would provide.

DATED this 4 day of April, 2011.


On $\qquad$ 2011, personally appeared before me, a notary public, JAKE TIBBITTS, personally known (or proved) to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the Affidavit of Jake Tibbitts, who acknowledged to me that he executed the foregoing instrument.


## EXHIBIT "A"

To: Jason King, P.E., State Engineer
Tim Wilson, P.E., Hearing Officer

From: Jake Tibbitts and Dale Bugenig
ec: Eureka County Commission


Date: April 4, 2011
Re: Response to Eureka Moly, LLC's March 21, 2011 Submission to the State Engineer

## Introduction

On March 3, 2011 Tim Wilson, P.E., Hearing Officer, issued a letter requesting additional information generally related to the Exhibit 35 produced by the Applicant and the application of NRS 533.364 to the pending applications. On March 21, 2011, Eureka County received the Applicant's response to Mr. Wilson's correspondence consisting of:

1. March 21, 2011 letter from Ross de Lipkau;
2. March 18, 2011 Memorandum to the Nevada State Engineer;
3. Exhibit A;
4. Exhibit B ;
5. Exhibit C ;
6. Exhibit D;
7. Exhibit $E ;$
8. Plate $1(A)$; and
9. Plate $1(B)$.

We have had the opportunity to review both Tim Wilson's March 3, 2011 correspondence and the Applicant's submission and offer the following comments.

## Inter-basin transfer of water from Kobeh Valley to Diamond Valley

The March 18, 2011 Memorandum prepared by Patrick Rogers, provides a discussion of the quantity of groundwater "consumed" in Diamond Valley as a result of Eureka Moly, LLC's inter-basin transfer from Kobeh Valley. Mr. Roger's Memorandum is apparently in response to the request for further detail regarding Applicant's Exhibit 35.

Below is a copy of Applicant's Exhibit 35 from the December 2010 administrative hearing before the Nevada State Engineer. We have annotated it with information from James Moore's testimony in the October, 2008 hearing, Applicant's Exhibits 108 and 112 from the October, 2008 hearing and Tables 1 and 2 of Mr. Rogers' March 18, 2011 Memorandum to help explain the beneficial use of water by the project. Of the approximately 11,300 acre-feet per year (AF/yr) of groundwater appropriations sought by Eureka Moly, LLC (EMLLC) for mining and milling purposes, approximately 10,695 acre-feet of groundwater is proposed to be diverted in Kobeh Valley (originating from the Kobeh Valley well field and as pit inflow) and exported to Diamond Valley for beneficial use at the mill which is located solely in Diamond Valley. The balance of the 11,300 AF/yr needed for


EUREKA MOLY
mining and milling is estimated to originate from Diamond Valley as pit inflow. The water is put to beneficial use processing the ore (the manner of use) in Diamond Valley. The beneficial use in Diamond Valley includes use in the roaster and thickener and use as a source of water for the potable water system and for dust suppression.

After the water is beneficially used for mining and milling the ore in Diamond Valley, it becomes a slurry of mine wastes (tailings and process fluids). The slurry is thereafter exported to Kobeh Valley to the tailings storage facility (TSF). The slurry exported to Kobeh Valley, foilowing its use in the mining and milling facilities, is no longer water which could be put to a beneficial use other than that water reclaimed in the mining circuit. At the TSF, approximately 1,396 AF/yr of the process water is evaporated; approximately $8,628 \mathrm{AF} / \mathrm{yr}$ is retained by the tailings and approximately $21 \mathrm{AF} / \mathrm{yr}$ seeps through the TSF liner. Approximately $68 \%-70 \%$ of the slurry water is reclaimed at the TSF by EMLLC and is thereafter exported again from Kobeh Valley into Diamond Valley to be put to beneficial use in the mining and milling operations, forming a circuit whereby water is removed from Kobeh Valley and exported to Diamond Valley for beneficial use.

Elsewhere in Nevada, such as at the lithium mining operations in Esmeralda County, the State Engineer has not recognized evaporation as a beneficial use. The Applicant's discussion of consumption of the water as opposed to the beneficial use has the effect of downplaying the amount of water exported from Kobeh Valley and put to beneficial use in Diamond Valley. It is an important distinction that the beneficial use of the water exported from Kobeh Valley takes place in Diamond Valley where the mill is located so that the full extent of the inter-basin transfer is clear.

EMLLC's response suggests the TSF was strategically placed in Kobeh Valley strictly because of the interbasin water transfer issue in order to minimize the amount of water effectively transferred from Kobeh Valley. Note that multiple locations for the TSF were originally considered for location in Diamond Valley. The TSF was ultimately. located in Kobeh Valley strictly for economic and engineering considerations so that its location had little bearing on planning where resources were to be put to beneficial use.

## Pit Inflows

It is clear that the pit inflow amounts are estimates of the contributions of groundwater from Diamond and Kobeh Valleys and the actual inflow can be expected to vary from these estimates. In his letter, Mr. de Lipkau seems to allude to the contribution of water from Diamond Valley originating in the pit that will be used in Kobeh Valley as a concrete number, not an estimate. Conversely, Mr. Rogers acknowledges in his Memorandum that this quantity is simply an estimate. We agree with Mr. Rogers that, due to the complex geology and structure in the pit area of Mt Hope, there is a degree of uncertainty in these estimates. The actual amount of groundwater exported from Diamond Valley to Kobeh-Valley cannot be known until pit dewatering actually occurs and will vary on a year-to-year or even daily basis. No discussion has been provided to describe the means to verify that the mine does not pump more water from Diamond Valley than the amount of the groundwater rights it currently holds in that basin or that it does not export more than 250 acre-feet per year from Diamond Valley.

## Water Rights Inventory

The March 3, 2011 letter from Tim Wilson required additional information as to whether NRS 533.364 applied to the applications. EMLCC's response concedes that NRS 533.364 applies and attaches a print out of a portion of the basin abstract obtained from the State Engineer's website as the necessary inventory. There are several shortcomings to the inventory provided by Mr. de Lipkau:

- It is simply a printout of a query of the State Engineer's own web site. We were able to duplicate this effort in five minutes or less. A reading of NRS 533.364 highlights that the inventory shall include the amount and location of the water rights and water still available for appropriation. Little or no effort was expended to address the location of springs or groundwater resources potentially available for appropriation.
- The printouts from the State Engineer's website provided are stamped "Preliminary and Subject to Revision." Instead of the web-site information query, an adequate inventory would have provided data and information that were quality checked to ensure a complete and up-to-date inventory.
- The copy of the groundwater inventory provided as Exhibit A was missing the first two pages.
- A review of the abstract indicates that it is not a complete and accurate inventory. For example, it lists two water rights (Applications 4919 and 5047) as located in White Pine County. None of basin 139 (Kobeh Valley hydrographic basin) extends into White Pine County, calling into question the accuracy of the preliminary information provided by the Applicant and whether the Applicant reviewed the data it provided to the State Engineer. Application 4919 is in fact located in Eureka County and Application 5047 is located in White Pine County but not in Kobeh Valley. A thorough review of the information that provides the basis of the preliminary abstract is required to document its accuracy.
- An independent query of the State Engineer's website by Eureka County resulted in a slightly different listing of surface water rights, adding two additional rights omitted from the Applicant's inventory.
- The Applicant's inventory failed to include any current domestic wells in Kobeh Valley and future domestic wells that could come into existence. County code allows for one domestic well on each individual parcel. As testified to at the hearing and stated in Exhibit 521 at page 9, there are 7,471 acres of private land in Kobeh Valley that potentially could be sub-divided into smaller parcels and each parcel would be allowed a domestic well.
- During both the October 2008 and the December 2010 administrative hearings before the State Engineer, testimony was presented regarding vested water rights in Kobeh Valley. This testimony is part of the administrative records of the State Engineer, yet the Applicant's inventory ignores these specific vested rights and perhaps hundreds of other vested water rights in Kobeh Valley.


## Water Speculation

The statutes related to inter-basin transfers prohibit inter-basin transfers that limit future growth and development in the source basin. Presumably to address this aspect of inter-basin transfer regulations, in his March 21, 2011 letter, Mr. de Lipkau stated ". . . the applicant has agreed to make groundwater available to third parties in order not to preclude future growth in Kobeh Valley." Pending applications for irrigation at Bobcat Ranch and Mr. de Lipkau's comments are in direct conflict with previous statements regarding the ranching aspect of KVR. During the 2008 hearing, KVR made it explicitly clear that it is not in the ranching or farming business and testified that its water needs were strictly for mining. Mr. de Lipkau's statements contradict the testimony of Mr. Rogers during the 2008 administrative hearing before the State Engineer where Mr. de Lipkau asked Mr. Rogers "What will happen to the Bobcat Ranch after the water is removed therefrom?" Mr. Rogers replied "It will be reclaimed or allowed to naturally return to a native vegetation community." (Vol. 3, pg. 610). At the 2010 hearing, one of the KVR witnesses acknowledged that KVR was not involved in the ranching or agricultural business. (Vol. 1, pg. 39, lines 17-20)." The water Mr. de Lipkau asserts will be available for other potential future uses has not been permitted by the State Engineer and is in direct conflict with previous statements leading to a conclusion that the current round of agricultural applications is simply water speculation.

Ross E. de Lipkau

Suite 750
Reno, Nevada 89501 Telephone 775.323.1601 Facsimile 775.348.7250

a Proressional Laiv Corporation

Reno - Las Vegas - Salt Lake City

Direct Dial (775) 789-6545 E-Mail RdeLipkau@parsonsbehle.com

April 11, 2011

# Via E-Mail and U.S. Mail: iking@water.nv.gov 

Jason King, P.E., State Engineer
Tim Wilson, P.E., Hearings Officer
State of Nevada
Department of Conservation \& Natural Resources
Division of Water Resources
901 S. Stewart Street; Suite 2002
Carson City, NV 89701

## Re: Letter of March 3, 2011 -Kobeh Valley Ranch, LLC Application 72695, et al. Response to Submittal from Eureka County

Dear Mr. King and Mr. Wilson:
This is in reply to Eureka County's letter to you dated April 5, regarding the aboveentitled matter. No other party has responded.
A. Rogers' Memorandum.

Eureka County asserts (with regard to the additional water use information requested in Mr. Wilson's letter of March 3, 2011):

1. The State Engineer may not use NRS 533.375 to seek additional information after the hearing; and,
2. Consideration of the Memorandum of Pat Rogers denies Eureka County its due process rights to cross examine Mr. Rogers regarding the Memorandum.

Kobeh Valley Ranch, LLC ("KVR") disagrees with both of Eureka County's positions. More importantly, there does not appear to be a factual issue regarding water use. KVR concedes that more than 250 afa of Kobeh Valley groundwater will be consumed in Diamond Valley thereby falling within the meaning of NRS 533.364. Further, it does not appear Eureka County disagrees with KVR that less than 250 afa of Diamond Valley groundwater will be consumed in Kobeh Valley.
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# Exhibit C <br> Hydrographic Area Summary 

Nevada Divsion of Water Resources

Hydrographic Area No. 139 Hydrographic Area Name KOBEH VALLEY


| Commercial | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Construction | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Domestic | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Environmental | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Industrial | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Irrigation (Carey Act) | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Irrigation (DLE) | $1,863.68$ | 0.00 |
| Irrigation | $9,172.65$ | 0.00 |
| Mining and Miling | $1,389.60$ | 0.00 |
| Municipal | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Power | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Quasi-Municipal | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Recreation | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Stockwater | 241.09 | 0.00 |
| Storage | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Wildlife | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Other | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Totals | $12,667.02$ | 0.00 |

Related Reports
USGS Reconnaissance 30 USGS Bulletin None
Other References
Comments

## Exhibit D

## Hydrographic Basin Summary by Manner of Use

* May include supplemental duties as well as duties associated with applications to change


## Exhibit E

## Hydrographic Basin Summary by Application Status




[^2]NOTE: RFA Status Includes Protested Applications (RFP's)

## 1(A)

## Kobeh Valley Underground Water Rights







Kobeh Valley Underground Water Rights－Plate 1A

| $\begin{aligned} & E E_{1} \\ & 8 E \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \pm E_{1} \\ \frac{1}{4} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 영 <br> 8 <br> 8 <br> 8 <br> 8 |  |  |  |  | $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ह |  | 23 ${ }^{2}$ | ［3） | $3{ }^{2} 5$ | $s \mathrm{~s} \leq$ | $5 \leq 3$ | 3）$\square_{2}$ | $2{ }^{2} 2$ | $2{ }_{3} 3$ | $2{ }^{2} 5$ | $\leq$ 运 | 23 | $2 \square_{3} \leq$ | $s \leq s$ | $\leq 53$ | 2 3 | 5 |
| E |  | 俀俀妥 |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{4}{4}$ | 袯 | 友 |  |  | $\frac{4}{4} \times \frac{4}{4}$ | 㐌 | 妥㞂 | \％ |  |
| $\frac{5}{5}$ |  |  | Brop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \％ | $\circ_{0}^{\circ}{ }_{\circ}^{\circ}$ |  | 900 |
| E\％ | $\sum_{\sum 2}^{\sum} \sum_{2}$ | $\sum_{2}^{5} \sum_{2}^{5} \sum_{2}^{2}$ | $\sum \sum_{2}^{5} \sum_{2}^{2}$ | $\sum_{2}^{5} \sum_{2}^{5}$ 首 | 岳运乐 | $\underset{\sim}{x} \times$ | $\frac{\sum}{2} \frac{\sum}{2} \sum_{0}^{0}$ | $\sum_{i}^{0} \sum_{\sum}^{\sum} \sum_{2}^{5}$ | $\frac{\sum}{\sum} \frac{\sum}{2} \frac{\sum}{2} \sum_{2}^{2}$ | $\frac{\sum}{2} \frac{\sum}{2} \frac{2}{2}$ |  | 䢒 $\sum_{2} \frac{5}{2}$ | 2 $\sum_{2}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\alpha} \underset{\sim}{\alpha}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | 为为 | 5 |
| $5$ | $10$ |  | Bob |  | \％ | 0 | 0 |  | : | $0$ |  | ob |  |  |  | 웅융 | \％ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \text { w } \\ & \text { a } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 嵒岕 | 出免㞻 |  | 刮㟶孚 | 崖宸等 | 炭宸宸 | 岂岂岂岕 |  | 岕学営 |  | 㞧㞻㟧 |  | 岗学炎 |  | 宸 | （1） |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2 $\sim_{\sim}^{2}$ | $\underset{A}{z}$ | $2$ | 事列示 |  | Zan | $\underset{\sim}{z}$ zand | 䃌呩呩 | $\underset{\sim}{2} \underset{\sim}{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  | z |  |  |
| \％ 8 | त ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\bigcirc 0$ | $\bigcirc$ | N | － | 0 | 0 | 二 90 | 09 | \％$m$ | \％ 10 |  | 8 c |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |
| \％ | 3 | 岂吉 | 5 | $3{ }^{3}$ | $33^{3}$ | $33_{3} 3$ | 宸宸洨 | 竐运宸 | 宸这宸 |  |  | $3{ }^{3} \times 10 \frac{3}{2}$ | 妻 $\sim_{2}^{2}$ | 炭 3 | 0 | $3{ }^{3}$ | 323 |
| \％ | $3{ }_{3}{ }^{3}$ |  |  | $3{ }^{3}$ | 家呺 | 员 $z_{3}$ | 足 $\sim_{0}$ | 岂 $\sim_{0}$ | 石 | $\frac{1}{2} \frac{3}{2}$ | 边 3 | w |  | $33^{2} 3$ | 号统 | $33_{2}^{3}$ | $3{ }^{3}$ |
| $\stackrel{8}{5}$ | 50 | 59 | 05 | 95 | 50 | 05 | 59 | 09 | 959 | 395 | 095 | 50 | 95 | 09 | 59 | 5 | 95 |
| $5$ |  |  | $\frac{a}{x} \frac{a}{x} \frac{0}{x} \frac{a}{x}$ |  | $x^{2} \mid$ | $\underbrace{\text { ¢ }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underbrace{\frac{1}{x}}$ | $\underbrace{\frac{1}{4}}$ |  |  |  |
| $\frac{\stackrel{4}{4}}{\frac{1}{L}}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}\right.$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 发总 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |
| \％ 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 1(B)

# Kobeh Valley Surface Water Rights (Stream and Spring Sources); Kobeh Valley Spring Inventory Sites 

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

EUREKA COUNTY, A POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF THE STATE OF NEVADA; KENNETH F. BENSON, INDIVIDUALLY; DIAMOND CATTLE COMPANY, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY; AND MICHEL AND MARGARET ANN ETCHEVERRY FAMILY, LP, A NEVADA REGISTERED FOREIGN LIMITED PARTNERSHIP,

Case No. 61324
Electronically Filed
Rec 272012 02:16 p.m. District Court Case Aifcie K. Lindeman CV 1108-15; CV 110 阬 $k$ Gif Supreme Court CV 1108-157; CV 1112-164;
CV 1112-165; CV 1202-170

## JOINT APPENDIX

Volume 29
KAREN A. PETERSON, NSB 366
kpeterson@allisonmackenzie.com JENNIFER MAHE, NSB 9620
jmahe@allisonmackenzie.com DAWN ELLERBROCK, NSB 7327 dellerbrock@allisonmackenzie.com ALLISON, MacKENZIE, PAVLAKIS, WRIGHT \& FAGAN, LTD.
402 North Division Street
Carson City, NV 89703
(775) 687-0202
and
THEODORE BEUTEL, NSB 5222
tbeutel@eurekanv.org
Eureka County District Attorney
702 South Main Street
P.O. Box 190
Eureka, NV 89316
(775) 237-5315
Attorneys for Appellant, EUREKA COUNTY

## CHRONOLOGICAL APPENDIX TO APPEAL FROM JUDGMENT

| DOCUMENT | $\underline{\text { DATE }}$ | VOL | JA NO. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Petition for Judicial Review | $08 / 08 / 2011$ | 1 | $01-06$ |
| Notice of Verified Petition for Writ of <br> Prohibition, Complaint and Petition for <br> Judicial Review | $08 / 10 / 2011$ | 1 | $07-08$ |
| Verified Petition for Writ of <br> Prohibition, Complaint and Petition for <br> Judicial Review | $08 / 10 / 2011$ | 1 | $09-59$ |
| Summons and Proof of Service, Kobeh <br> Valley Ranch, LLC | $08 / 11 / 2011$ | 1 | $60-62$ |
| Summons and Proof of Service, Jason <br> King | $08 / 11 / 2011$ | 1 | $63-65$ |
| Affidavit of Service by Certified Mail | $08 / 11 / 2011$ | 1 | $66-68$ |
| Notice of Petition for Judicial Review | $08 / 11 / 2011$ | 1 | $69-117$ |
| Summons and Proof of Service, Kobeh <br> Valley Ranch, LLC | $08 / 15 / 2011$ | 1 | $118-120$ |
| Summons and Proof of Service, Jason <br> King | $08 / 15 / 2011$ | 1 | $121-123$ |
| Summons and Proof of Service, The <br> State of Nevada | $08 / 17 / 2011$ | 1 | $124-128$ |
| First Additional Summons and Proof of <br> Service, State Engineer, Division of <br> Water Resources | $08 / 17 / 2011$ | 1 | $129-133$ |
| Order Allowing Intervention of Kobeh <br> Valley Ranch, LLC, to Intervene as a <br> Respondent | $09 / 14 / 2011$ | 1 | $134-135$ |


| DOCUMENT | $\underline{\text { DATE }}$ | VOL | JA NO. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Partial Motion to Dismiss, Notice of <br> Intent to Defend | $09 / 14 / 2011$ | 1 | $136-140$ |
| Order Allowing Intervention of Kobeh <br> Valley Ranch, LLC, as a Party <br> Respondent | $09 / 26 / 2011$ | 1 | $141-142$ |
| Answer to Verified Petition for Writ of <br> Prohibition, Complaint and Petition for <br> Judicial Review by Kobeh Valley <br> Ranch, LLC | $09 / 28 / 2011$ | 1 | $143-149$ |
| Answer to Petition for Judicial Review <br> by Kobeh Valley Ranch, LLC | $09 / 29 / 2011$ | 1 | $150-154$ |
| Answer to Petition for Judicial Review <br> by Kobeh Valley Ranch, LLC | $09 / 29 / 2011$ | 1 | $155-160$ |
| Order Directing the Consolidation of <br> Action CV1108-156 and Action No. <br> CV1108-157 with Action CV1108-155 | $10 / 26 / 2011$ | 1 | $161-162$ |
| Summary of Record on Appeal | $10 / 27 / 2011$ | $2-26$ | $163-5026$ |
| Request for and Points and Authorities <br> in Support of Issuance of Writ of <br> Prohibition and in Opposition to <br> Motion to Dismiss | $11 / 10 / 2011$ | 27 | $5027-5052$ |
| Order Setting Briefing Schedule | $12 / 02 / 2011$ | 27 | $5053-5055$ |
| Reply in Support of Partial Motion to <br> Dismiss and Opposition to Request for <br> Writ of Prohibition | $12 / 15 / 2011$ | 27 | $5056-5061$ |


| DOCUMENT | DATE | VOL | JA NO. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kobeh Valley Ranch's Reply to <br> Conley/Morrison's Request for and <br> Points and Authorities in Support of <br> Issuance of Writ of Prohibition and in <br> Opposition to Motion to Dismiss | $12 / 15 / 2011$ | 27 | $5062-5083$ |
| Kobeh Valley Ranch's Joinder in the <br> State of Nevada and Jason King's <br> Partial Motion to Dismiss | $12 / 15 / 2011$ | 27 | $5084-5086$ |
| Petition for Judicial Review | $12 / 29 / 2011$ | 27 | $5087-5091$ |
| Petition for Judicial Review | $12 / 30 / 2011$ | 27 | $5092-5097$ |
| Summons and Proof of Service, The <br> State of Nevada | $01 / 11 / 2012$ | 27 | $5098-5100$ |
| First Additional Summons and Proof of <br> Service, State Engineer, Division of <br> Water Resources | $01 / 11 / 2012$ | 27 | $5101-5103$ |
| First Amended Petition for Judicial <br> Review | $01 / 12 / 2012$ | 27 | $5104-5111$ |
|  <br> Livestock, LLC and Lloyd Morrison | $01 / 13 / 2012$ | 27 | $5112-5133$ |
| Petitioners Kenneth F. Benson, <br> Diamond Cattle Company, LLC, and <br> Michel and Margaret Ann Etcheverry <br> Family LP's Opening Brief | $01 / 13 / 2012$ | 27 | $5134-5177$ |
| Eureka County's Opening Brief | $01 / 13 / 2012$ | 27 | $5178-5243$ |
| Eureka County's Summary of Record <br> on Appeal - CV1112-0164 | $01 / 13 / 2012$ | 28 | $5244-5420$ |
| Eureka County's Supplemental <br> Summary of Record on Appeal - <br> CV1108-155 | $01 / 13 / 2012$ | $29-30$ | $5421-5701$ |


| DOCUMENT | DATE | VOL | JA NO. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Order Granting Extension | $01 / 26 / 2012$ | 31 | $5702-5703$ |
| Answer to Petition for Judicial Review | $01 / 30 / 2012$ | 31 | $5704-5710$ |
| Answer to First Amended Petition for <br> Judicial Review | $01 / 30 / 2012$ | 31 | $5711-5717$ |
| Supplemental Petition for Judicial <br> Review | $01 / 31 / 2012$ | 31 | $5718-5720$ |
| Petition for Judicial Review | $02 / 01 / 2012$ | 31 | $5721-5727$ |
| Summary of Record on Appeal | $02 / 03 / 2012$ | 31 | $5728-5733$ |
| Record on Appeal, Vol. I, Bates <br> Stamped Pages 1-216 | $02 / 03 / 2012$ | 31 | $5734-5950$ |
| Record on Appeal, Vol. II, Bates <br> Stamped Pages 217-421 | $02 / 03 / 2012$ | 32 | $5951-6156$ |
| Record on Appeal, Vol. III, Bates <br> Stamped Pages 422-661 | $02 / 03 / 2012$ | 33 | $6157-6397$ |
| Answer to Petition to Judicial Review | $02 / 23 / 2012$ | 34 | $6398-6403$ |
| Answering Brief | $02 / 24 / 2012$ | 34 | $6404-6447$ |
| Respondent Kobeh Valley Ranch, <br> LLC's Answering Brief | $02 / 24 / 2012$ | 34 | $6448-6518$ |
|  <br> Livestock, LLC and Lloyd Morrison | $03 / 28 / 2012$ | 34 | $6519-6541$ |
| Petitioners Kenneth F. Benson, <br> Diamond Cattle Company, LLC, and <br> Michel and Margaret Ann Etcheverry <br> Family LP’s Reply Brief | $03 / 28 / 2012$ | 34 | $6542-6565$ |
| Eureka County's Reply Brief | $03 / 28 / 2012$ | 34 | $6566-6638$ |


| DOCUMENT | $\underline{\text { DATE }}$ | $\underline{\text { VOL }}$ | $\underline{\text { JA NO. }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transcript for Petition for Judicial <br> Review | $04 / 03 / 2012$ | 35 | $6639-6779$ |
| Corrected Answering Brief | $04 / 05 / 2012$ | 35 | $6780-6822$ |
| Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, <br> and Order Denying Petitions for <br> Judicial Review | $06 / 13 / 2012$ | 36 | $6823-6881$ |
| Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, <br> Conclusions of Law, and Order <br> Denying Petitions for Judicial Review | $06 / 18 / 2012$ | 36 | $6882-6944$ |
| Notice of Appeal | $07 / 10 / 2012$ | 36 | $6945-6949$ |
| Petitioners Benson, Diamond Cattle <br> Co., and Etcheverry Family LP's Notice <br> of Appeal | $07 / 12 / 2012$ | 36 | $6950-6951$ |
| Excerpts from Transcript of <br> Proceedings | $10 / 13 / 2008$ | 36 | $6952-6964$ |

## ALPHABETICAL APPENDIX TO APPEAL FROM JUDGMENT

| DOCUMENT | DATE | VOL | JA NO. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Affidavit of Service by Certified Mail | $08 / 11 / 2011$ | 1 | $66-68$ |
| Answer to Verified Petition for Writ of <br> Prohibition, Complaint and Petition for <br> Judicial Review by Kobeh Valley <br> Ranch, LLC | $09 / 28 / 2011$ | 1 | $143-149$ |
| Answer to Petition for Judicial Review <br> by Kobeh Valley Ranch, LLC | $09 / 29 / 2011$ | 1 | $150-154$ |
| Answer to Petition for Judicial Review <br> by Kobeh Valley Ranch, LLC | $09 / 29 / 2011$ | 1 | $155-160$ |
| Answer to Petition for Judicial Review | $01 / 30 / 2012$ | 31 | $5704-5710$ |
| Answer to First Amended Petition for <br> Judicial Review | $01 / 30 / 2012$ | 31 | $5711-5717$ |
| Answer to Petition to Judicial Review | $02 / 23 / 2012$ | 34 | $6398-6403$ |
| Answering Brief | $02 / 24 / 2012$ | 34 | $6404-6447$ |
| Corrected Answering Brief | $04 / 05 / 2012$ | 35 | $6780-6822$ |
| Eureka County's Supplemental <br> Summary of Record on Appeal - <br> CV1108-155 | $01 / 13 / 2012$ | $29-30$ | $5421-5701$ |
| Eureka County's Summary of Record <br> on Appeal - CV1112-0164 | $01 / 13 / 2012$ | 28 | $5244-5420$ |
| Eureka County's Opening Brief | $01 / 13 / 2012$ | 27 | $5178-5243$ |
| Eureka County's Reply Brief | $03 / 28 / 2012$ | 34 | $6566-6638$ |
| Excerpts from Transcript of <br> Proceedings | $10 / 13 / 2008$ | 36 | $6952-6964$ |


| DOCUMENT | DATE | VOL | JA NO. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, <br> and Order Denying Petitions for <br> Judicial Review | $06 / 13 / 2012$ | 36 | $6823-6881$ |
| First Additional Summons and Proof <br> of Service, State Engineer, Division of <br> Water Resources | $08 / 17 / 2011$ | 1 | $129-133$ |
| First Additional Summons and Proof <br> of Service, State Engineer, Division of <br> Water Resources | $01 / 11 / 2012$ | 27 | $5101-5103$ |
| First Amended Petition for Judicial <br> Review | $01 / 12 / 2012$ | 27 | $5104-5111$ |
| Kobeh Valley Ranch's Reply to <br> Conley/Morrison's Request for and <br> Points and Authorities in Support of <br> Issuance of Writ of Prohibition and in <br> Opposition to Motion to Dismiss | $12 / 15 / 2011$ | 27 | $5062-5083$ |
| Kobeh Valley Ranch's Joinder in the <br> State of Nevada and Jason King's <br> Partial Motion to Dismiss | $12 / 15 / 2011$ | 27 | $5084-5086$ |
| Notice of Verified Petition for Writ of <br> Prohibition, Complaint and Petition for <br> Judicial Review | $08 / 10 / 2011$ | 1 | $07-08$ |
| Notice of Petition for Judicial Review | $08 / 11 / 2011$ | 1 | $69-117$ |
| Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, <br> Conclusions of Law, and Order <br> Denying Petitions for Judicial Review | $06 / 18 / 2012$ | 36 | $6882-6944$ |
| Notice of Appeal | $07 / 10 / 2012$ | 36 | $6945-6949$ |
|  <br> Livestock, LLC and Lloyd Morrison | $01 / 13 / 2012$ | 27 | $5112-5133$ |


| DOCUMENT | DATE | VOL | JA NO. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Order Allowing Intervention of Kobeh <br> Valley Ranch, LLC, to Intervene as a <br> Respondent | $09 / 14 / 2011$ | 1 | $134-135$ |
| Order Allowing Intervention of Kobeh <br> Valley Ranch, LLC, as a Party <br> Respondent | $09 / 26 / 2011$ | 1 | $141-142$ |
| Order Directing the Consolidation of <br> Action CV1108-156 and Action No. <br> CV1108-157 with Action CV1108-155 | $10 / 26 / 2011$ | 1 | $161-162$ |
| Order Setting Briefing Schedule | $12 / 02 / 2011$ | 27 | $5053-5055$ |
| Order Granting Extension | $01 / 26 / 2012$ | 31 | $5702-5703$ |
| Partial Motion to Dismiss, Notice of <br> Intent to Defend | $09 / 14 / 2011$ | 1 | $136-140$ |
| Petition for Judicial Review | $08 / 08 / 2011$ | 1 | $01-06$ |
| Petition for Judicial Review | $12 / 29 / 2011$ | 27 | $5087-5091$ |
| Petition for Judicial Review | $12 / 30 / 2011$ | 27 | $5092-5097$ |
| Petition for Judicial Review | $02 / 01 / 2012$ | 31 | $5721-5727$ |
| Petitioners Kenneth F. Benson, <br> Diamond Cattle Company, LLC, and <br> Michel and Margaret Ann Etcheverry <br> Family LP's Opening Brief | $01 / 13 / 2012$ | 27 | $5134-5177$ |
| Petitioners Kenneth F. Benson, <br> Diamond Cattle Company, LLC, and <br> Michel and Margaret Ann Etcheverry <br> Family LP's Reply Brief | $03 / 28 / 2012$ | 34 | $6542-6565$ |
| Petitioners Benson, Diamond Cattle <br> Co., and Etcheverry Family LP's <br> Notice of Appeal | $07 / 12 / 2012$ | 36 | $6950-6951$ |


| DOCUMENT | DATE | VOL | JA NO. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Record on Appeal, Vol. II, Bates <br> Stamped Pages 217-421 | $02 / 03 / 2012$ | 32 | $5951-6156$ |
| Record on Appeal, Vol. I, Bates <br> Stamped Pages 1-216 | $02 / 03 / 2012$ | 31 | $5734-5950$ |
| Record on Appeal, Vol. III, Bates <br> Stamped Pages 422-661 | $02 / 03 / 2012$ | 33 | $6157-6397$ |
| Reply in Support of Partial Motion to <br> Dismiss and Opposition to Request for <br> Writ of Prohibition | $12 / 15 / 2011$ | 27 | $5056-5061$ |
|  <br> Livestock, LLC and Lloyd Morrison | $03 / 28 / 2012$ | 34 | $6519-6541$ |
| Request for and Points and Authorities <br> in Support of Issuance of Writ of <br> Prohibition and in Opposition to <br> Motion to Dismiss | $11 / 10 / 2011$ | 27 | $5027-5052$ |
| Respondent Kobeh Valley Ranch, <br> LLC's Answering Brief | $02 / 24 / 2012$ | 34 | $6448-6518$ |
| Summary of Record on Appeal | $10 / 27 / 2011$ | $2-26$ | $163-5026$ |
| Summary of Record on Appeal | $02 / 03 / 2012$ | 31 | $5728-5733$ |
| Summons and Proof of Service, Kobeh <br> Valley Ranch, LLC | $08 / 11 / 2011$ | 1 | $60-62$ |
| Summons and Proof of Service, Jason <br> King | $08 / 11 / 2011$ | 1 | $63-65$ |
| Summons and Proof of Service, Jason <br> King | $08 / 15 / 2011$ | 1 | $121-123$ |
| Summons and Proof of Service, Kobeh <br> Valley Ranch, LLC | $08 / 15 / 2011$ | 1 | $118-120$ |
|  |  |  |  |


| DOCUMENT | $\underline{\text { DATE }}$ | VOL | JA NO. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summons and Proof of Service, The <br> State of Nevada | $08 / 17 / 2011$ | 1 | $124-128$ |
| Summons and Proof of Service, The <br> State of Nevada | $01 / 11 / 2012$ | 27 | $5098-5100$ |
| Supplemental Petition for Judicial <br> Review | $01 / 31 / 2012$ | 31 | $5718-5720$ |
| Transcript for Petition for Judicial <br> Review | $04 / 03 / 2012$ | 35 | $6639-6779$ |
| Verified Petition for Writ of <br> Prohibition, Complaint and Petition for <br> Judicial Review | $08 / 10 / 2011$ | 1 | $09-59$ |

## CERTIFICATE OF APPENDIX (NRAP 30(g)(1)

In compliance with NRAP $30(\mathrm{~g})(1)$ I hereby certify that this Appendix consists of true and correct copies of the papers in the District Court file.

DATED: December 21, 2012.
/s/KAREN A. PETERSON KAREN A. PETERSON, NSB \#366 ALLISON, MacKENZIE, PAVLAKIS, WRIGHT \& FAGAN, LTD.
P.O. Box 646

Carson City, NV 89702

Attorneys for Appellant, EUREKA COUNTY

## IN THE SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF EUREKAEUREKA COUNTY, a political subdivision of the State of Nevada,
vs.
Petitioner,
Case No.: CV1108-155
THE STATE OF NEVADA, EX. REL.,
STATE ENGINEER, DIVISION OF
WATER RESOURCES, and KOBEH
VALLEY RANCH, LLC, a Nevada
limited liability company,
Respondents.
Dept. No.: 2

Nevada limited liability Nevada limited liability company; LLOYD MORRISON, an individual;

Petitioners/Plaintiffs, vs.

Case No.: CV1108-156
Dept. No.: 2
THE OFFICE OF THE STATE ENGINEER OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES, JASON KING, State Engineer; KOBEH VALLEY RANCH, LLC, Real Party in Interest;

Respondents/Defendants. $\qquad$

IIIII
IIIII
I/III
IIIII

KENNETH F. BENSON, an individual, DIAMOND CATTLE COMPANY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company, and MICHEL AND MARGARET ANN ETCHEVERRY FAMILY, LP, a Nevada Registered Foreign Limited Partnership,

> Petitioners,
vs.
STATE ENGINEER, OF NEVADA, OFFICE OF THE STATE ENGINEER, DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES, and KOBEH VALLEY RANCH, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,

Respondents. 1
EUREKA COUNTY, a political subdivision of the State of Nevada, Petitioner,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA, EX. REL., STATE ENGINEER, DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES, and KOBEH VALLEY RANCH, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,

Respondents.
KENNETH F. BENSON, an individual,
DIAMOND CATTLE COMPANY, LLC, a Nevada Limited Liability Company, and MICHEL AND MARGARET ANN ETCHEVERRY FAMILY, LP, a Nevada Registered Foreign Limited Partnership,

> Petitioners,
vs.
STATE ENGINEER OF NEVADA, OFFICE OF THE STATE ENGINEER, DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES, DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES, and KOBEH VALLEY RANCH, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,

Respondents.

## EUREKA COUNTY'S SUPPLEMENTAL SUMMARY OF RECORD ON APPEAL - CV1108-155

Petitioner, EUREKA COUNTY, by and through its counsel, ALLISON, MacKENZIE, PAVLAKIS, WRIGHT \& FAGAN, LTD. and THEODORE BEUTEL, ESQ., the EUREKA COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY, files its Supplemental Record on Appeal in support of its Petition for Judicial Review in Case No. CV1108-155. The Supplemental Record on Appeal is attached to this Supplemental Summary and consists of a copy of the following documents:

1. Letter to Kobeh Valley Ranch, LLC from Tim Wilson, P.E., Hearings Officer, dated March 3, 2011 (Bates Stamped SROA 01 - SROA 02);
2. Letter to Jason King, P.E., State Engineer, from Ross E. de Lipkau, dated March 7, 2011 (Bates Stamped SROA 03);
3. Letter to Jason King, P.E., State Engineer, from Ross E. de Lipkau, dated March 21, 2011 (Bates Stamped SROA 04 - SROA 043);
4. Letter to Jason King, P.E., State Engineer, and Tim Wilson, P.E., Hearings Officer, from Karen A. Peterson, Esq., dated April 5, 2011 (Bates Stamped SROA 044 - SROA 062);
5. Letter to Jason King, P.E., State Engineer, and Tim Wilson, P.E., Hearings Officer, from Ross E. de Lipkau, dated April 11, 2011 (Bates Stamped SROA 063 - SROA 068);
6. Letter to Kobeh Valley Ranch, LLC from Tim Wilson, P.E., Hearings Officer, dated April 20, 2011 (Bates Stamped SROA 069 - SROA 070);
7. Letter to Kobeh Valley Ranch, LLC, Ross de Lipkau and Dwight L. Smith, P.E., from Jason King, P.E., State Engineer, dated June 22, 2011 (Bates Stamped SROA 071);
8. Memo to Eureka County from Tim Wilson, dated July 5, 2011 (Bates Stamped SROA 072 - SROA 073);
-4-

## SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT COUNTY OF EUREKA, STATE OF NEVADA

AFFIRMATION
Pursuant to NRS 239B. 030
The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document, filed in case numbers: CV1108-155, CV1108-156, CV1108-157, CV1112-164 and CV1112-165

- Document does not contain the social security number of any person -OR-
$\square$ Document contains the social security number of a person as required by: - A specific state or federal law, to wit:
(State specific state or federal law)
-or-
- For the administration of a public program
-or-
- For an application for a federal or state grant -or-
- Confidential Family Court Information Sheet (NRS 125.130, NRS 125.230 and NRS 125B.055)

Date: January $13^{44}$ 2012.

EUREKA COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY
701 South Main Street
P.O. Box 190

Eureka, NV 89316
Telephone: (775) 237-5315
Facsimile: (775) 237-6005
$\mathrm{By}=\quad \mathrm{HANH}$
THEODORE BEUTEL, ESQ.
Nevada State Bar No. 5222
Attorneys for Petitioner, EUREKA COUNTY

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP Rule 5(b), I hereby certify that I am an employee of ALLISON, MacKENZIE, PAVLAKIS, WRIGHT \& FAGAN, LTD., Attorneys at Law, and that on this date I caused the foregoing document to be served to all parties to this action by:

Placing a true copy thereof in a sealed postage prepaid envelope, first class mail, in the United States Mail in Carson City, Nevada [NRCP 5(b)(2)(B)]
Hand-delivery [NRCP 5(b)(2)(A)]
Bryan L. Stockton, Esq.
Attorney General of the State of Nevada
100 North Carson Street
Carson City, NV 89701
Ross E. de Lipkau, Esq.
Parsons Behle \& Latimer
50 West Liberty Street, Suite 750
Reno, Nevada 89501
Francis Mark Wikstrom, Esq.
Parsons Behle \& Latimer
201 South Main Street, Suite 1800
Salt Lake City, UT 84111
Laura A. Schroeder, Esq.
Therese A. Ure, Esq.
Schroeder Law Offices, P.C.
440 Marsh Avenue
Reno, Nevada 89509
Gordon H. DePaoli, Esq.
Dale E. Ferguson, Esq.
Woodburn and Wedge
6100 Neil Road, Suite 500
Reno, NV 89511
Alan K. Chamberlain
Cedar Ranches, LLC
948 Temple View Drive
Las Vegas, NV 89110
B.G. Tackett

915 L Street, Suite C, Box 319
Sacramento, CA 95814


> EUREKA COUNTY'S SUPPLEMENTAL RECORD ON APPEAL (SROA) - CV1108-155

# DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES 

901 South Stewart Street, Suite 2002
Carson City, Nevada 89701-5250
(775) 684-2800 • Fax (775) 684-2811
http://water.nv.gov
March 3, 2011
Kobeh Valley Ranch, LLC c/o Ross de Lipkau, Esq.
Parsons, Behle \& Latimer
50 W. Liberty Street, Suite 750
Reno, Nevada 89501
Certified Mail \#7106 7808063000458313

> Re: Applications $72695,72696,72697,72698,73545,73546,73547,73548,73549$, $73550,73551,7352,74587,75988,75989,75990,75991,75992,75993,75994,75995$, $75996,75997,75998,75999,76000,76001,76002,76003,76004,76005,76006,76007$, $76008,76009,76483,76484,76485,76486,76744,76745,76746,76802,76803,76804$, $76805,76989,76990,77171,77174,77175,77525,77526,77527,77553,78424,79911$, $79912,79913,79914,79915,79916,79917,79918,79919,79920,79921,79922,79923$, $79924,79925,79926,79927,79928,79929,79930,79931,79932,79933,79934,79935$, $79936,79937,79938,79939,79940,79941$ and 79942

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Nevada Revised Statute § 533.375 allows for the State Engineer to require an applicant to submit additional information to enable him to properly guard the public interest. At the administrative hearing, Exhibit No. 35 was submitted by the Applicant to illustrate the water cycle for the project. The exhibit was testified to by Mr. Rogers (Tr. Dec. 6, pp. 104-107). After review of the exhibit and testimony, it is found that additional information is required, as follows (assume peak usage):

1. For Exhibit No. 35, indicate the amount of water in acre-feet annually that will be developed or consumed from all illustrated sources and uses. The consumptive use should be broken down by process and include any ancillary uses within each basin.
2. For certain interbasin transfers of groundwater, Nevada Revised Statute § 533.364 requires an inventory of the water resources be conducted for the basin from which the water is to be exported if the transfer is more than 250 acre-feet annually.

Re: Applications 72695 etc.
March 3, 2011
Page 2
a. For water developed within the Kobeh Valley Hydrographic Basin, estimate the amount of water that will be consumed in other hydrographic basins in acre-feet annually.
b. For water developed within the Diamond Valley Hydrographic Basin, estimate the amount of water that will be consumed in other hydrographic basins in acre-feet annually.
3. Based on the information provided in the above questions, discuss the applicability of Nevada Revised Statute §533.364, in both the Kobeh Valley and Diamond Valley hydrographic basins.

Please provide the above information within 15 days from receipt of this certified letter.

All information submitted in response to this letter must be simultaneously provided to the Protestants. The Protestants may respond to any information submitted within 15 days.

This letter should not be construed in any way as an indication of the ultimate action to be taken by the State Engineer with respect to the pending applications, but only as a request for additional information.

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact this office at (775)684-2873.


Tim Wilson, P.E. Hearings Officer
cc: Therese Ure, Esq., Schroeder Law Office
Karen A. Peterson, Esq., Allison, McKenzie, Pavlakis, Wright \& Fagan
Baxter Glenn Tackett
Cedar Ranches, LLC
Conley Land \& Livestock, LLC
Lloyd Morrison

Suile 750
Reno, Nevata 89501
Telephone 775.323.1601
Facsimile 775.348.7250

A Promissional
Law Corporaton
Renu - has Vegas - Sall Lake City

## Dircal Dial

 (775) 789-6545 E-Mall Rtlclipkau@parsonsbehlc.comMarch 7, 2011

VIA HAND DELIVERED

Jason King, P.E., State Engineer
State of Nevada
Department of Conservation \& Natural Resources
Division of Water Resources
901 S. Stewart Street; Suite 2002
Carson City, NV 89701
Re: Application 72695, et al., March 3, 2011 letter - NRS 533.364
Dear Mr. King:
Pursuant to the above referred to letter, signed by Tim Wilson, P.E., please be advised that we will be complying with your request. In the event it is necessary to conduct an inventory or update existing inventories or reports, we would like the State Engineer, pursuant to NRS 533.364(1) to appoint Dwight Smith, of Interflow Hydrology and his staff, Mr. Terry Katzer; and Pat Rogers and his staff to conduct or update the inventory. The cost would be born by the applicant.

Inasmuch as you have allowed us 15 days to comply, a favorable reply would be most appreciated in the earliest possible time.

Very truly yours,
Parsons Behle \& Latimer


## RED/rlt

## cc: Therese Ure, Esq.

Karen a. Peterson, Esq.
Baxter Glen Tackett
Cedar Ranches, LLC
Conley Land \& Livestock, LLC
Lloyd Morrison

## Direct Dial (775) 780-6545 E-Mail

 RdeLipkau@parsonsbehle.comMarch 21, 2011

## VIA HAND DELIVERY

Jason King, P.E., State Engineer

State of Nevada
Department of Conservation \& Natural Resources
Division of Water Resources
901 S. Stewart Street, Suite 2002
Carson City, NV 89701
Re: Tim Wilson Letter of March 3, 2011 -Application 72695, et al.
Dear Mr. King:
Pursuant to your letter addressed to me, signed by Tim Wilson, P.E., dated March 3, 2011, I enclose herewith the information as requested.

1. Memorandum, dated March 18, 2011 prepared by Patrick Rogers [Eureka County's expert, Randy Powell, at Vol. II of the 2008 Hearing testified he agreed that Mr. James Moore's water usage determinations were accurate. Also see Eureka County Exhibit 50];
2. Exhibit A entitled "Hydrographic Abstract" (Groundwater);
3. Exhibit B entitled "Hydrographic Abstract" (Surface Water);
4. Exhibit C Hydrographic Area Summary;
5. Exhibit D Hydrographic Basin Summary by Manner of Use;
6. Exhibit E Hydrographic Basin Summary by Application Status;
7. Plate 1(A) - together with Tables (Groundwater); and,
8. Plate $1(B)$ - together with Tables (Surface Water).

In reply to question 3, page 2, of the above-referred-to letter, NRS 533.364, applies to the transfer of Kobeh Valley groundwater to Diamond Valley, which exceeds 250 afa.

The statute does not apply to the minor use of Diamond Valley water in Kobeh Valley as the use is substantially less than 250 afa.

NRS 533.364(1) reads in part as follows:
"...the State Engineer or a person designated by the State Engineer shall conduct an inventory of the basin from which the water is to be exported. The inventory must include:
(a) The total amount of surface water and groundwater appropriated in accordance with a decree, certified or permitted right;
(b) An estimate of the amount and location of all surface water and groundwater that is available for appropriation in the basin; and
(c) The name of each owner of record set forth in the records of the Office of the State Engineer for each decree, certified or permitted right in the basin." (emphasis supplied)

Each of the above legal requirements have been fully covered and are discussed below:

1. NRS 533.364(1)(a) Groundwater appropriated in Kobeh Valley.

Please refer to Exhibit A attached hereto which shows applications, permits and certificated groundwater rights together with names of current owners of records. There are no decreed groundwater rights in Kobeh Valley. The names of the owners of record are set forth on Exhibit A. Refer to item 7, together with tables. Item 7, Plate 1(A) depicts the location of wells.

Please refer to Exhibit B attached hereto which shows applications, permits and certificated surface water rights, together with claims of vested rights showing all surface waters appropriated or sought in Kobeh Valley. The names of the owners of record are set forth on Exhibit B. Refer to item 8, together with tables, which depict the location of surface sources.

## 2. NRS 533.364(1)(b) Estimate of available surface and groundwater.

Surface waters available for appropriation. It is our estimate and opinion that there is no unappropriated surface waters in Kobeh Valley except small springs located above the valley floor in that Valley. The State Engineer in his discretion may issue permits for small volumes of surface water, such as for wildlife and stock-watering purpose. It is our opinion that larger springs, which are clearly a tributary to permitted surface water sources, are not available for appropriation. All major
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surface sources are currently being utilized for previously permitted and other lawful surface water purposes, such as irrigation.

Groundwater availability for future use of Kobeh Valley groundwater is estimated to be no more than 500 afa. This statement is based upon the following facts:
(a) Groundwater recharge to Kobeh Valley is 16,000 afa;
(b) Permitted rights of the third parties is approximately 1,000 afa. Very little of this water is currently being placed to a beneficial use, and may be the subject of forfeiture.
(c) The consumptive duties sought by the applicant Kobeh Valley Ranch LLC is 11,300 afa, it having acquired in excess of 16,000 afa of previously approved Kobeh Valley groundwater.
(d) The volume sought by Kobeh Valley Ranch for irrigation of the Bobcat Ranch is 6336 afa (applications 78272-78275).

Eureka Moly LLC seeks 1840 afa for the irrigation of 460 acres (application 78271).
Wise Family Development seeks 1120 afa for the irrigation of 280 afa. (applications 79962-79964)

Applying a maximum consumption use duty of 2.7 acre feet per acre, the maximum volume is therefore 6275 afa ( 2324 acres $\times 2.7$ acre feet per acre).

At this time, however, there is no electricity that is available in Kobeh Valley for large electrical motors, and any all irrigation water must be pumped by diesel, or other internal combustion engines. As testified to at the hearing of December 2010, the applicant has agreed to make groundwater available to third parties in order not to preclude future growth in Kobeh Valley.

## 3. NRS 534.364(1)(c) Owners of Record.

Requires the names of the owners of record of both surface and groundwater rights. [Exhibits A and B, attached hereto satisfy this statutory element.]

It is respectfully submitted that the above material complies with NRS 533.364(1), as well as the legislative intent behind such statute.

AB 416, now NRS 533.364, was introduced by Assemblyman Goicoechea. Part of his testimony before the Assembly Committee on Governmental Affairs on March 24, 2009 Committee reads:
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"The bottom line is that AB 416 requires the State Engineer to require that a proponent of an interbasin transfer do a water inventory of that basin. You will hear from some people who say, 'Why are we doing it prior to the application rather than the permit process?' It truly gives the State Engineer the ability, if he had a full inventory of that basin beforehand, to say, 'Look, we have this much water appropriated, and our numbers show this much available.' It gives him a place to start with, another tool in his toolbox."

The above information which I am presenting is precisely in conformance with NRS 533.364, and the intent of Assemblyman Goicoechea. The statute without question, is intended to assist the state engineer in his determination of whether or not to grant an application to transfer more than 250 afa from its basin of origin to a different basin.

This is what the above data accomplishes. The information is a snapshot in time and is clearly intended to assist the State Engineer in his determination of whether or not to grant an application to transport more than 250 afa from its basin of origin to a different basin.

Based on the above, the Applicant respectfully requests:

1. That the State Engineer accept the information provided as constituting the inventory required by NRS 533.364; and,
2. Concurrently with accepting said information, act upon the pending applications.

In the event the State Engineer requests more data, it is requested that he appoint Dwight Smith of Interflow Hydrology to submit such additional documents. Such authority is specifically set in NRS 533.364(2)(b). Respectfully submitted.

Very truly yours,


RED/rt
cc: Protestants

I hereby certify that on this $\qquad$ day of March, 2011, I caused to be mailed, first class; postage prepaid, a true and correct copy of the foregoing RESPONSE TO TIM WILSON LETTER DATED MARCH 3, 2011, to:

Baxter Glenn Tackett
P.O. Box 695

Eureka, NV 89316
Alan K. Chamberlain
Cedar Ranches LLC
948 Temple View Drive
Las Vegas, NV 89110
Conley Land \& Livestock, LLC
Attn: Beverly Conley
P.O. Box 111

Eureka, NV 89316
Lloyd Morrison
P.O. Box 52

Eureka, NV 89316
VIA HAND DELIVERY to:
Therese A. Use, Esq.
Schroeder Law Offices, P.C.
440 Marsh Ave.
Reno, NV 89509
Attorneys for Kenneth F. Benson
Karen A. Peterson, Esq.
Allison \& MacKenzie
402 N. Division Street
Carson City, NV 89702


Employee of Parsons Behle \& Latimer

# To: Nevada State Engineer 

From: Patrick Rogers, Manager Environmental and Permitting, EMLLC
Subject: Summary of Inter-basin Water Uses

This memorandum provides a technical summary of the primary uses of groundwater for the proposed Mt. Hope project in response to the State Engineer letter of 3 March 2011 and specifically in regards to Nevada Revised Statute §533.364. The State Engineer letter requests more detail of the water uses in each hydrographic basin assuming peak water usage and identification of what uses are considered a source from one basin and consumed in another basin. Exhibit 35, as presented by Patrick Rogers in the December 2010 Hearing, provides a schematic of general uses.

## Conclusions

1. Diamond Valley water from pit dewatering used in Kobeh Valley (the only transfer) is estimated to be a maximum of 129 afa and simply a result of the open pit mine situated across the basin divide.
a. Thus, NRS 533.364 is not applicable to Diamond Valley.
2. Kobeh Valley water used in the Diamond Valley side of the open pit to supplement the pit dewatering source is estimated to be a maximum of 188 afa and Kobeh Valley water consumed in the processing area located in Diamond Valley is estimated to be a maximum of 513 afa, for a total of 701 afa.
a. Thus, NRS 533.364 is applicable to Kobeh Valley.

## Discussion

Water balances are provided in Table 1 for open pit mining sources and uses and in Table 2 for Kobeh Valley sources and uses for the process plant and tailings dam (non-open pit mining uses). This information is derived from the records and proceedings of the October 2008 and December 2010 Hearings, primarily Exhibits 39 and 105.

## 1. Diamond Valley Pit Inflow Source used in Kobeh Valley

The only interbasin transfer of Diamond Valley water would occur in the open pit mine for use as dust control water. This transfer is a result of the ore deposit sitting on top of the hydrographic divide and the resultant commingling of groundwater into the bottom of the open pit and collected and used for the wetting of haul roads, shovel pits, and stockpiles to reduce particulate dust emissions. The water flowing from the Diamond Valley hydrographic basin into the pit varies over the life of the mine depending on various parameters including pit geometry, hydrologic properties of exposed geologic strata and hydraulic gradient. The open pit is predominantly situated on the Diamond Valley side of the divide; hence the maximum inflow from Diamond Valley is estimated for $80 \%$ of the total pit inflow ( $20 \%$ contribution by Kobeh Valley) at ultimate pit size in Year 32. See Figure A and Figure B for the location of the divide relative to mining operations. The portions of water that will be utilized for dust control in the mine in

Kobeh Valley and Diamond Valley are estimated using the approach of estimating the water use based on the areas of the footprint of pit, haul roads, dumps and stockpile located in Kobeh Valley and Diamond Valley. As this water cannot be practically separated in the pit, the mixed Diamond Valley and Kobeh Valley pit inflows are pumped into water trucks and transported to where it is needed for dust control inside the open pit mining operation and on stockpiles. As stockpiles will be placed on both sides of the hydrographic divide between Kobeh Valley and Diamond Valley a transfer of this commingled water occurs across the divide to its place of consumptive use. All Diamond Valley pit inflow is consumed in the mining operation and no other transfer of Diamond Valley water occurs throughout the rest of the mining operation. The maximum transfer of Diamond Valley waters to Kobeh Valley is estimated to be 129 afa for the maximum use year (Year 30). This estimate is based upon the portion of disturbed area in Diamond Valley of $80 \%$. See Table 1 for the water balance for open pit mining sources and uses. The pit dewatering is tabulated by year to illustrate the inflows as presented in Hydrogeology and Numerical Flow Modeling, Montgomery \& Associates, et.al. July 2010 (Exhibit 39). Table 1 also presents how this water is commingled and redistributed across the basin divide.

## 2. Kobeh Valley Pit Inflow Source used in Diamond Valley

In almost all project years there is a shortage of open pit dewatering water drained from the aquifer to cover the environmental dust control mining use (see Table 1). Water will be supplemented to the mine dust control system from the Kobeh Valley wellfield. This water would also be commingled with Diamond Valley and Kobeh Valley pit dewatering and redistributed across the hydrographic basin divide in water trucks. The maximum transfer of Kobeh Valley to Diamond Valley for dust control purposes is estimated at 188 afa in Year 0, the preproduction year, where no pit inflows occur from aquifer drainage (pit excavation is initially above the water table).

## 3. Other uses of Kobeh Valley wellfield water

Additional Kobeh Valley water is consumed in Diamond Valley for use at the process plant. These uses are primarily dust control, evaporative losses and domestic water uses. Water losses used to suppress dust at the primary crusher and coarse ore conveyor, losses evaporated through the roaster stack and potable water uses (discharged from sanitary facilities to drain fields) comprise the majority of all uses (95\%). The maximum use is estimated at 513 afa (see Table 2). This use is considered an interbasin transfer of Kobeh Valley wellfield water to the plant located in Diamond Valley.

## 4. General

The primary consumptive use of Kobeh Valley wellfield water occurs in the tailings dam in both retained water in tailings slimes and water lost to evaporation at the tailings impoundments, both impoundments being situated entirely in Kobeh Valley. These uses are not considered an interbasin transfer in that the source of water is derived in Kobeh Valley and the tailings impoundments are situated in Kobeh Valley.

The primary source of water for the project is from Kobeh Valley where 10 production wells are planned. Two additional wells located in Kobeh Valley will be used for a construction water source to be located west of the south tailings facility that is located in Kobeh Valley (Exhibit 39). Other sources of water include the currently held Diamond Valley water rights appurtenant to the Mt. Hope mine, comprising 543 afa and approximately 485 afa that is currently appurtenant to the Gale Ranch in Diamond Valley, but subject to change applications pending before the State Engineer. Application to change a portion of the

Gale Ranch water is currently pending before the State Engineer. These Diamond Valley sources would be used for pit dewatering. Total water consumption for the proposed Mt. Hope mine is estimated at 11,300 afa.


Represents a compiliation based on Exhibit 39


Represents a compiliation based on Exhibit 39

Table 1: Estimate of Pit Inflows (dewatering) compared to Water used for Mine Dust Control ${ }^{(1)}$

|  | Water Sources (in afa) ${ }^{(2)}$ |  |  |  |  | Water Uses (in afa) ${ }^{(3)}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | from Kobeh Valley |  | from <br> Diamond <br> Valley <br> DV side <br> of pit | Mixed <br> Water$\|$Pit + <br> Makeup | Ratio <br> from <br> KV | in Diamond Valley |  | in Kobeh Valley |  |
| Mine Year | Wellfield (makeup) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KV side } \\ & \text { of pit } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | total | sourced from KV | total | sourced from DV |
| Pre-prod 0 | 188 | 0 | 0 | 188 | 100\% | 188 | 188 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 108 | 0 | 94 | 202 | 54\% | 188 | 101 | 14 | 6 |
| 2 | 82 | 2 | 150 | 234 | 36\% | 210 | 76 | 24 | 15 |
| 3 | 149 | 3 | 113 | 265 | 57\% | 232 | 133 | 33 | 14 |
| 4 | 11 | 11 | 276 | 297 | 7\% | 253 | 18 | 43 | 40 |
| 5 | 95 | 11 | 222 | 328 | 32\% | 275 | 89 | 53 | 36 |
| 6 | 119 | 15 | 226 | 360 | 37\% | 297 | 111 | 63 | 40 |
| 7 | 125 | 20 | 247 | 392 | 37\% | 318 | 118 | 73 | 46 |
| 8 | 0 | 43 | 457 | 500 | 9\% | 340 | 29 | 83 | 76 |
| 9 | 130 | 32 | 292 | 455 | 36\% | 362 | 129 | 93 | 60 |
| 10 | 165 | 43 | 309 | 518 | 40\% | 405 | 163 | 113 | 67 |
| 15 | 148 | 57 | 355 | 560 | 37\% | 436 | 160 | 124 | 78 |
| 20 | 27 | 88 | 486 | 601 | 19\% | 466 | 89 | 135 | 109 |
| 25 | 8 | 107 | 527 | 643 | 18\% | 496 | 89 | 147 | 120 |
| 30 | 0 | 137 | 605 | 742 | 18\% | 526 | 97 | 159 | 129 |
| End Mining 32 | 49 | 135 | 541 | 726 | 25\% | 555 | 141 | 171 | 127 |
| Pit lake fills 33 | 70 | 92 | 368 | 530 | 100\% | 70 | 70 | 0 | 0 |
| water $\quad 34$ | 70 | 87 | 349 | 507 | 100\% | 70 | 70 | 0 | 0 |
| remains 35 | 70 | 85 | 340 | 496 | 100\% | 70 | 70 | 0 | 0 |
| in pit after 36 | 70 | 83 | 333 | 487 | 100\% | 70 | 70 | 0 | 0 |
| Year 32. 37 | 70 | 82 | 327 | 479 | 100\% | 70 | 70 | 0 | 0 |
| 38 | 70 | 80 | 322 | 473 | 100\% | 70 | 70 | 0 | 0 |
| 39 | 70 | 79 | 317 | 467 | 100\% | 70 | 70 | 0 | 0 |
| 40 | 70 | 78 | 313 | 461 | 100\% | 70 | 70 | 0 | 0 |
| 41 | 70 | 77 | 309 | 456 | 100\% | 70 | 70 | 0 | 0 |
| 42 | 70 | 76 | 305 | 451 | 100\% | 70 | 70 | 0 | 0 |
| 43 | 70 | 75 | 301 | 447 | 100\% | 70 | 70 | 0 | 0 |
| End of Project 44 | 70 | 74 | 298 | 442 | 100\% | 70 | 70 | 0 | 0 |
| Average | 80 | 60 | 314 | 454 | 63\% | 228 | 92 | 47 | 34 |
| Maximum | 188 | 137 | 605 | 742 | 100\% | 555 | 188 | 171 | 129 |

${ }^{(1)}$ Montgomery (2010). Exhibit 39.
${ }^{(2)}$ Projected pit surface; ultimate pit surface at year 32 is approximately $20 \%$ in KV and $80 \%$ in DV. Dwight Smith testimony, December, 2010.
${ }^{(3)}$ Water for Mine Dust Control is based upon mine/stockpile footprint assuming a maximum use of 726 afa in year 32 at 4,177 acre footprint. Exhibit 105.

Table 2: Water uses (excluding pit use) with Source from Kobeh Valley wellfield
Mount Hope Water Demand and Consumption at Maximum Production Rate by Hydrographic Basin Location

| Water Consumption | Used in KV <br> (afa) | Used in DV <br> (afa) | Total Used <br> (afa) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Roaster | 0 | 150 | 150 |
| Potable Water Systems | 0 | 182 | 182 |
| TSF Liner Seepage | 21 | - | 21 |
| Retention in the TSF Solids | 8,628 | - | 8,628 |
| Evaporation at TSF | 1,396 | - | 1,396 |
| Evaporation at tails thickeners | - | 4 | 4 |
| Evaporation at concentrate thickener | - | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Evaporation at crusher/ conveyor dust suppression | - | 156 | 156 |
| Evaporation at truck wash | - | 20 | 20 |
|  | $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 5 5 8}$ |
| Add back maximum pit inflow (afa) <br> Water application amount for KV and DV <br> Interbasin Transfer KV to DV (afa) |  |  | $\mathbf{7 4 2}$ |

# Exhibit A <br> "Hydrographic Abstract" (Groundwater) 

Number of Records: 149

149
Number of Records:
Selection Criteria: basin IN ('139') AND app_status IN ('APP','CER','PER','RES','RFA','RFP','RLP','RVP','VST','DEC') AND source IN

|  | Finder |  |  |  | $5$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cumy dulace |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 76001 | 06-29-07 | RFP | UG |  | LT4 | 02 | 21N | 50E | 2.720 | MM | 0.00 | 1280.00 Y | Y EU | KOBEH VALLEY RANCH LLC |
| 76002 | 06-29-07 | RFP | UG |  | LT4 | 02 | 21N | 50E | 0.820 | MM | 0.00 | 300.76 Y | Y EU | KOBEH VALLEY RANCH LLC |
| 76003 | 06-29-07 | RFP | UG | SW | SW | 21 | 22 N | 51E | 5.000 | MM | 0.00 | 1280.00 Y | Y EU | KOBEH VALLEY RANCH LLC |
| 76004 | 06-29-07 | RFP | UG |  | LT4 | 02 | 21N | 50E | 5.000 | MM | 0.00 | 1280.00 Y | Y EU | KOBEH VALLEY RANCH LLC |
| 76058 | 07-13-07 | PER | UG | SW | SW | 16 | 19 N | 47E | 0.014 | STK | 0.00 | 9.52 Y | Y LA | PETER JOE AND TOM DAMELE |
| 76059 | 07-13-07 | PER | UG | SE | SW | 16 | 19N | 47E | 0.410 | IRR | 0.00 | 150.48 Y | $Y$ LA | PETER JOE AND TOM DAMELE |
| 76483 | 11-14-07 | RFP | UG | SE | NW | 21 | 22 N | 51 E | 0.050 | MM | 0.00 | 15.50 | EU | KOBEH VALLEY RANCH LLC |
| 76484 | 11-14-07 | RFP | UG | SE | NW | 32 | 22N | 51E | 5.400 | MM | 0.00 | 1292.40 | EU | KOBEH VALLEY RANCH LLC |
| 76485 | 11-14-07 | RFP | UG | NW | SW | 26 | 22 N | 50E | 2.450 | MM | 0.00 | 332.64 | EU | KOBEH VALLEY RANCH LLC |
| 76486 |  | RP |  |  | Now | $\begin{array}{r} 21 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $5$ | $2$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.00 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  | EU | KOBEH VALLEY RANCH LLC |
| $76592$ |  | $\mathrm{ER}$ |  | SW | SW | $4$ | Hase | $4$ | ${ }^{0.22}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IRR } \\ & \hline 1 \\ & \hline 10 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ygasen } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ERETER JOE AND TOM DAMELE |
| 76744 | 02-13-08 | RFP | UG | SE | NE | 30 | 22 N | 51E | 4.280 | MM | 0.00 | 950.64 | EU | KOBEH VALLEY RANCH LLC |
| 76745 年 | ${ }^{2}{ }^{13-08}$ |  |  | SE |  | 301 |  |  |  | Finm | $0.09$ |  |  | KOBEA VALLEY RANCH |
| 76746 |  | $\frac{E F P}{}$ | UG | S피 |  |  | $224$ | $518$ | $\varepsilon^{240}$ | MM | $0$ |  | Y EU | KBC |
| 76989 | 04-23-08 | RFP | UG | SE | NE | 30 | 22 N | 51 E | 0.655 | MM | 0.00 | 474.00 Y | Y EU | KOBEH VALLEY RANCH LLC |
| 76990 | 04-23-08 | RFP | UG | SE | NE | 30 | 22 N | 51 E | 0.760 | MM | 0.00 | 322.50 Y | Y EU | KOBEH VALLEY RANCH LLC |
| 77171 | 06-20-08 | RFP | U'G | SE | NW | 19 | 22 N | 51 E | 5.000 | MM | 0.00 | 1280.00 | EU | KOBEH VALLEY RANCH LLC (C/O GENERAL MOLY INC) |
| 77174 | 06-20-08 | RFP | UG | NE | NE | 36 | 22 N | 50E | 2.450 | MM | 0.00 | 332.64 | EU | KOBEH VALLEY RANCH LLC (C/O GENERAL MOLY INC) |
| 77175 | 06-20-08 | RFP | UG | NW | NE | 31 | 22N | 51E | 5.400 | MM | 0.00 | 1292.40 | EU | KOBEH VALLEY RANCH LLC (C/O GENERAL MOLY INC) |
| 77205 | 07-01-08 | PER | UG | SE | SW | 16 | 19 N | 47E | 0.026 | STK | 0.00 | 9.52 Y | Y LA | PETER JOE AND TOM DAMELE |
| 77525 | 10-23-08 | RFP | UG | SW | NE | 03 | 21 N | 50E | 1.000 | MM | 0.00 | 272.64 | EU | KOBEH VALLEY RANCH LLC |
| 77526 | 10-23-08 | RFP | UG | SE | NW | 16 | 21 N | 51 E | 0.450 | MM | 0.00 | 300.76 | EU | KOBEH VALLEY RANCH |

149
Number of Records:

149
Number of Records:
Selection Criteria: basin $\mathbb{N}\left({ }^{\prime} 139^{\prime}\right)$ AND app_status $\mathbb{I N}$ ('APP','CER','PER','RES','RFA','RFP','RLP','RVP','VST','DEC') AND source IN


# Exhibit B <br> "Hydrographic Abstract" (Surface Water) 


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Eureka County has concerns regarding the State Engineer's request for substantial additional information after the hearing. As Mr. Wilson points out, NRS 533.375 allows the State Engineer to request the submission of additional information. However, NRS 533.375 goes on to discuss the submission of documents such as articles of incorporation and the names and places of residence of corporate directors. Obviously NRS 533.375 was not intended to be utilized for the submission of detailed reports or basin inventories or other information which should

[^1]:    have been submitted at the hearing. Consideration of the Memorandum and purported basin inventory submitted by KVR containing information not presented at the hearing denies Protestants their due process rights to cross examine Mr. Rogers with regard to the new details submitted in the Memorandum and purported basin inventory. Thus, Eureka County hereby states and preserves its objection to any process for supplementing the record in this case which denies its due process rights.

[^2]:    *May include supplemental duties as well as duties associated with applications to change

