

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DAIMON MONROE,
Appellant,
vs.
LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE
DEPARTMENT,
Respondent.

No. 61616

FILED

OCT 16 2012

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *R. Malone*
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER

On September 13, 2012, appellant filed a proper person motion to proceed in forma pauperis. On September 17, 2012, this court entered an order directing appellant to file his request in the district court. In response to this court's order, appellant filed a proper person document entitled, "proper person motion to clarify and rehearing," with a copy of a district court order attached for district court case no. A537416 allowing him to proceed in forma pauperis.

After further review, it has been determined that appellant's in forma pauperis status in the district court expired prior to the docketing of this appeal. The district order to proceed in forma pauperis was filed in district court on April 5, 2007. The case appeal statement explains that the district court order to proceed in forma pauperis expires one year from the date that the order is filed.¹ If appellant wishes to proceed in the instant matter in forma pauperis, he must first renew his application in

¹ A copy of the case appeal statement is attached to this order.

the district court and provide a file-stamped copy to the clerk of this court within 15 days from the date of this order.

NRAP 24(a) provides that a request for in forma pauperis status must first be presented to the district court. If the district court grants the request, an appellant may proceed in this court without prepayment of fees or costs. If the district court denies the request, it must state in writing the reasons for its decision. A motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis may then be filed in this court within thirty days after notice of the district court's decision is served. Under NRAP 24(a), an appellant may not seek in forma pauperis status from this court before the matter has been finally resolved by the district court.


Accordingly, appellant's motion to proceed in forma pauperis is denied without prejudice. Appellant must first seek leave to proceed in forma pauperis in the district court. Appellant is cautioned that his failure either to properly seek leave to proceed in forma pauperis in the district court or to pay the filing fee will result in the dismissal of this appeal.

It is so ORDERED.

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT
TRACIE K. LINDEMAN

BY: Tracie K. Lindeman

cc: Daimon Monroe
Clark County District Attorney



CLERK OF THE COURT

1 ASTA

2
3
4 **DISTRICT COURT**
5 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**
6

7 LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE
8 DEPARTMENT,

Case No: 07A537416
Dept No: VIII

9 Plaintiff(s),

10 vs.

11 U.S. CURRENCY \$281,656.73,

12 Defendant(s).
13
14

15 **CASE APPEAL STATEMENT**

16 1. Appellant(s): Daimon Monroe

17 2. Judge: Doug Smith

18 3. Appellant(s): Daimon Monroe

19 Counsel:

20 Daimon Monroe #38299
21 P.O. Box 650
22 Indian Springs, NV 89070

23 4. Respondent (s): Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department

24 Counsel:

25 Steven B. Wolfson, District Attorney
26 200 Lewis Ave.
27 Las Vegas, NV 89155-2212

28 5. Respondent's Attorney Licensed in Nevada: Yes

6. Appellant Represented by Appointed Counsel In District Court: No

7. Appellant Represented by Appointed Counsel On Appeal: N/A

1
2 8. Appellant Granted Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis**: No
3 **Expires 1 year from date filed

4 9. Date Commenced in District Court: March 9, 2007

5 10. Brief Description of the Nature of the Action: Unknown

6 Type of Judgment or Order Being Appealed: Summary Judgment

7 11. Previous Appeal: Yes


8 Supreme Court Docket Number(s): 60547, 60809, 61094

9 12. Child Custody or Visitation: N/A

10 13. Possibility of Settlement: Unknown

11 Dated This 30 day of August 2012.

12 Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court

13
14 
15 Heather Ungermann, Deputy Clerk
16 200 Lewis Ave
17 PO Box 551601
18 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-1601
19 (702) 671-0512
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28