

CLERK OF THE COURT

1
2 NEO

3 **DISTRICT COURT, FAMILY DIVISION**

4 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

5
6 **CALEB O. HASKINS,**)

7)
8 **Plaintiff,**)

9 **v.**)

10 **LISA MEYERS,**)

11 **Defendant.**)
12 _____)

CASE NO. D-10-434495-D

DEPT. NO. H

13 **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER**

14
15 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an Amended Order was duly entered in the
16 subject action on this 19th day of September, 20 12, and the following is a
17 true and correct copy thereof.

18
19 DATED this 19th day of September, 20 12.

20
21 Carrie Primas
22 Carrie Primas, Law Clerk to
23 the Honorable T. Arthur Ritchie, Jr.
24
25
26
27
28

T. ARTHUR RITCHIE, JR.
DISTRICT JUDGE

FAMILY DIVISION, DEPT. H
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89155


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

I hereby further certify that on this 19th day of September, 2018, I mailed or otherwise delivered a copy of the within Order to the following:

Amanda Roberts, Esq.
Counsel for Plaintiff

Lisa Meyers
9360 West Flamingo Road, No. 110-326
Las Vegas, NV 89147
Defendant in Proper Person

Carrie Primas
Carrie Primas, Law Clerk to
the Honorable T. Arthur Ritchie, Jr.



CLERK OF THE COURT

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORD

**DISTRICT COURT, FAMILY DIVISION
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

CALEB O. HASKINS,
Plaintiff,

v.

LISA MEYERS,
Defendant.

CASE NO. 10-D-434495-D

DEPT. NO. H

Hearing date: N/A

Hearing time: N/A

ORDER

This case concerns Defendant's motion to extend discovery deadline for Defendant/Counterclaimant, filed September 10, 2012. The motion was set for hearing on October 9, 2012, at 10:00 a.m. On September 10, 2012, Defendant submitted an ex-part application for order shortening time to this department. This case was previously heard on July 25, 2012, for Plaintiff's motion for order to show cause, at which time it was set for Evidentiary Hearing on September 27, 2012. Due to scheduling issues in the department, the Court continued to Evidentiary Hearing to October 18, 2012, at 9:00 a.m.

This court reviewed the motion and considered the papers and pleadings on file on its chambers calendar, and concludes the motions should be resolved without a hearing.

THE COURT HEREBY FINDS that it has jurisdiction over the subject matter and parties in this action;

T. ARTHUR RITCHIE, JR.
DISTRICT JUDGE

FAMILY DIVISION, DEPT. H
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89155

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that pursuant to the original Order Setting Evidentiary Hearing, filed July 27, 2012, all discovery must have been completed by September 27, 2012;

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that as a result of the Court continuing the Evidentiary Hearing to October 18, 2012, the discovery deadline shall be extended to October 8, 2012;

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that as a result of the Court setting a new discovery deadline, Defendant's motion to extend the discovery deadline is moot.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant's motion to extend discovery deadline for Defendant/Counterclaimant is denied;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant's ex-parte application for an order shortening time is denied;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the hearing currently set for October 9, 2012, at 10:00 a.m. shall be vacated.

DATED this 18 day of September, 2012.



T. ARTHUR RITCHIE, JR.
DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that pursuant to the original Order Setting Evidentiary Hearing, filed July 27, 2012, all discovery must have been completed by September 27, 2012;

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that as a result of the Court continuing the Evidentiary Hearing to October 18, 2012, the discovery deadline shall be extended to October 8, 2012;

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that as a result of the Court setting a new discovery deadline, Defendant's motion to extend the discovery deadline is moot.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant's motion to extend discovery deadline for Defendant/Counterclaimant is denied;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant's ex-parte application for an order shortening time is denied;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the hearing currently set for October 9, 2012, at 10:00 a.m. shall be vacated.

DATED this 18 day of September, 2012.



T. ARTHUR RITCHIE, JR.
DISTRICT COURT JUDGE