IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

GUILLERMO RENTERIA-NOVOA, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 61865

FILED

MAY 2 0 2013

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERN OF SURREME COURT
BY
DEPUT TERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Appellant has filed a motion requesting a third extension of time (45 days) to file the opening brief. Although counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed an extraordinary circumstance, we conclude that counsel has demonstrated extraordinary circumstances and extreme need for this extension of time. Accordingly, appellant's motion is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Appellant shall have until June 21, 2013, to file and serve the opening brief and appendix. Any additional extensions will be granted only on showing of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the opening brief and appendix may result in the imposition of sanctions.

It is so ORDERED.

Pickering, C.J.

cc: Clark County Public Defender Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A