1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: HVAC mechanic.
2	THE COURT: How long have you been in Vegas?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Eight years.
4	THE COURT: All right. Are you married?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Yes.
6	THE COURT: What does your wife do?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Substitute teacher.
8	THE COURT: With the Clark County School District?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Correct.
10	THE COURT: All right. Any adult kids?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: No.
12	THE COURT: Ever served as a juror before?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: No.
14	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
15	the victim of a crime?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: No.
17	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
18	accused or arrested of a crime, whether or not there was a
19	conviction?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Yeah. I was arrested
21	when I was 18 years old.
22	THE COURT: Arrested, and what were you accused of
23	
2	
2	THE COURT: All right. Did you go to trial?
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 136

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Just a quick court case.
2	A \$100 fine, I believe, was the
3	THE COURT: Oh, it was a misdemeanor?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Yes.
5	THE COURT: How long ago was that?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Twenty years 21 years
7	ago, when I was 18. I'm 39 now.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Anything about that experience
9	that might cause you to be something other than fair and
10	impartial?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: No.
12	THE COURT: Did that happen here in Las Vegas, or
13	somewhere else?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: No. That was Colorado.
15	THE COURT: Oh, Colorado. Okay. So you wouldn't
16	necessarily hold it against the Clark County District
17.	Attorney's Office if that happened in another state?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: No.
19	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
20	accused of or been the victim of a crime that was sexual in
21	nature?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: No.
23	THE COURT: All right. I appreciate it. Let me get
24	you to pass the microphone.
25	And what is your badge number?
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 137

]]	
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: 002.
2	THE COURT: Mr. Richard, what do you do for a living?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Truck driver for the
4	Clark County School District.
5	THE COURT: I'm sorry. Hang on one second.
6	(Pause in proceedings)
7	THE COURT: Let me get you to pass the microphone
8	back to, I'm sorry, to Mr. Brahmer for a second.
9	Mr. Brahmer, do you have any kids?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: No kids.
11	THE COURT: No kids. All right. Let's go back to
12	Mr. Richard.
13	I'm sorry, Mr. Richard. What do you do for a living?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Truck driver for the
15	Clark County School District.
16	THE COURT: How long have you done that?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Nine years a bus driver
18	and nine years as a truck driver now.
19	THE COURT: Are you married?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Single.
21	THE COURT: Have any kids?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: One.
23	
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Eight.
25	THE COURT: A boy or girl?
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 138

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: A boy.
2	THE COURT: All right. Ever served on a jury before?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Where and when?
- 5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002; Here, probably about 18
6	years ago.
7	THE COURT: Eighteen years ago. Was it a criminal or
8	a civil case?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Civil.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Were you actually on the group of
11	jurors that deliberated?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Without telling us what the verdict was,
14	did you reach a verdict, was there a hung jury, was there a
15	mistrial?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: We reached a verdict.
17	THE COURT: Reached a verdict. Were you the
18	foreperson?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: No.
20	THE COURT: Okay. What was the subject matter of the
21	case? Was it medical malpractice, construction defect, breach
22	of contract, generally?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Let's see.
24	THE COURT: I know it's been a long time.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Yeah. It was accused of,
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 139

1	I guess I don't know. I guess it was insurance fraud.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Anything about your experience as
3	a juror that might cause you to be something other than fair
4	and impartial in this case, or might cause you to hesitate
5	about serving as a juror again possibly?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: No.
7.	THE COURT: Okay. Have you or anyone close to you
8	ever been the victim of a crime?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: No.
10	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
11	accused or arrested for a crime whether or not there was a
12	conviction?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: I had a CCW 18 years ago.
14	THE COURT: Eighteen years ago here, or somewhere
15	else?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Here.
17	THE COURT: And were you convicted of it, or what
18	happened?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Just a misdemeanor.
20	THE COURT: Okay. A misdemeanor. Anything about
21	that experience that might cause you to have any feelings
22	about serving as a juror in a criminal case?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: No.
24	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
25	accused of or been the victim of a crime that was sexual in

1	nature?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: No.
3	THE COURT: I appreciate it. Let me ask you to pass
4	the microphone.
5	What is your badge number?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: 005.
7	THE COURT: Mr. Schmidt, what do you do for a living?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I'm retired.
9	THE COURT: What did you use to do?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Spent 30-some years in
11	retail management.
12	THE COURT: Here or somewhere else?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Here.
14	THE COURT: Married?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes.
16	THE COURT: What does your wife do or what did
17	she do?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: She's an accountant,
19	bookkeeper, presently unemployed.
20	THE COURT: For what kind of organization did she
21	work?
22	
23	THE COURT: IGT. All right. Any kids?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I have one son.
2!	THE COURT: How old?
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 141

- 11		ĺ
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: He is 30.	
2	THE COURT: Any grandkids?	
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Not yet.	
• 4	THE COURT: Ever served on a jury before?	
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No.	
6	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been	
7	the victim of a crime?	
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yeah. I was robbed years	
. 9	and years ago, 40 years ago, when I first moved out here.	
10	THE COURT: Oh, 40 years ago. Okay.	
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: My apartment was broken	
12	into.	
13	THE COURT: Okay. Was that I mean, I know it's	
14	been a while. But was that investigated by Metro or by	
15	another agency?	
16		
17	department prior to Metro actually.	
18	THE COURT: Oh, before they merged with the sheriff,	
19	you mean?	
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Oh, yeah.	
23	THE COURT: Yeah. Okay.	
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: At least 40 years ago,	
2	when I first moved out here in the late '60s.	
2	THE COURT: Okay. Anything about that experience	a
2	that might cause you to hesitate about serving as a juror in	u .
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 142	

criminal case?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1.6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No.

THE COURT: Any feelings one way or the other about law enforcement? Granted that was a long time ago, but I'm doubting that the same people are still employed by the agency, but you never know. But anything, any feelings one way or the other?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No.

THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been accused or arrested for a crime whether or not there was a conviction?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No.

THE COURT: All right. Have you or anyone close to you ever been the victim of or accused of a crime that was sexual in nature?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No.

THE COURT: I appreciate it. Let me get you to pass the microphone.

THE MARSHAL: Folks, I'm sorry to harp on this, but you really need to speak into the top of the microphone. She's having trouble hearing. It doesn't get recorded if you don't speak directly into the top of it.

THE COURT: Thanks, Randy.

Hi. What is your badge number?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: 053.

KARR REPORTING, INC. 143

1	THE COURT: Zero, five, three?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yeah.
3	THE COURT: Mr. Correa.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yes.
5	THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: I'm a physician at Nellis
7	Air Force Base hospital.
8	THE COURT: Oh. Okay. And what's your do you
9	have a field, a specialized area of practice?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Radiology.
11	THE COURT: Radiology. Are you married?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: No.
13	THE COURT: Any kids?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: One.
15	THE COURT: A boy, a girl, how old?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: A boy, 30 years old.
17	THE COURT: Grandkids?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: No.
19	THE COURT: Ever served on a jury before?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: No.
21	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
22	the victim of a crime?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: No.
24	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
25	accused of a crime whether or not there was a conviction?
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 144

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: No.

THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been the victim of a crime or accused of a crime that was sexual in nature?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053:

THE COURT: Let me ask you this: In your job as a physician, do you deal very often with people who have been victims of crimes?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Here and there we get some assault cases.

THE COURT: Anything about dealing with them or your experiences as a physician that might cause you to have any hesitation about serving as a juror or that might cause you to be something other than fair and impartial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: No.

THE COURT: Let me ask you this. I have no idea whether or not there will be any testimony regarding medical evidence or not. Sometimes there is, sometimes there isn't in criminal cases. You may or may not hear testimony from doctors, from pathologists, from crime scene analysts that may or may not be scientific in nature. Do you think that you could evaluate their testimony fairly and impartially?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yes.

THE COURT: And you said you're a radiologist, right? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yes.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	THE COURT: Let me get you to pass the microphone.
2	Hi. What is your badge number?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: 057.
4	THE COURT: Mr. Anderson.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes.
6	THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Work at Whole Foods.
8	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: At a grocery store.
10	THE COURT: Okay. How long have you been in Vegas?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: My whole life, 21 years.
12	THE COURT: Are you married?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No.
14	THE COURT: Any kids?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No.
16	THE COURT: Ever served on a jury before?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No.
18	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
19	the victim of a crime?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No.
21	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
22	accused of a crime whether or not there was a conviction?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: A minor, consumption of
24	alcohol, and
25	THE COURT: How long ago was that?
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 146

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Like a year ago.
2	THE COURT: And that was here in Las Vegas?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: In Henderson.
4	THE COURT: In Henderson.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: And then obstruction of a
6	peace officer like two years ago.
7	THE COURT: So those were two separate cases?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes, sir.
9	THE COURT: And they both occurred when you were a
10	juvenile, or were you an adult?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No. I think one when I
12	was 19 and one when I was 20.
13	THE COURT: Oh, okay. Nineteen and 20. So were you
14	ultimately convicted of those offenses?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yep.
16	THE COURT: And they were both misdemeanors, right?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Are you still doing anything with those
19	
20	
21	THE COURT: They're closed. And they were both
22	
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes.
24	
2	think we're getting it fixed here.

KARR REPORTING, INC. 147

THE COURT: Oh, okay.

1.8

THE MARSHAL: Your Honor, she needs to use the restroom real quick.

THE COURT: Oh, okay. Let's do this. It's now 12:30. I know you guys prefer to push through, but we're having technical issues and some people need to use the restroom. Let's call a break right now. It's now 12:30. We have to take at least an hour break. So let's reconvene at about — well, let me ask all of you this. Do you guys want a longer lunch break?

Because I don't know how familiar you guys are with downtown. There's not that many food options down here. Do you want a little extra time, or is an hour going to be enough time? Who wants more than an hour; is there a show of hands? All right. Let's keep it an hour. Let's see you guys back here at 1:30 then.

During this break — hang on. I got some instructions for you. During this break — now that you know a little bit about this case. You haven't heard any testimony or evidence, but you've heard a description about what this case is about. During this break, do not talk with each other about this case. Do not speculate what this case may not be or may be about. Don't get on your phones and Google what this case may be about and see if there's any news reports or anything like that.

When you come back in the afternoon, there's a possibility that there may be some witnesses or other people associated with the case, maybe somebody from their office or their office lingering out in the hallway. Don't talk with anybody about this case. What I'd recommend that you do is during the entire lunch break wear your juror badge. That way everybody in the courthouse knows that you're a juror and everybody knows not to talk with you.

If you see me or my staff or anyone associated with this case in the hallway, by law we're not required — we're not permitted to even talk with you, not even socially. We can't even ask you, hey, how is it going. All right. So if you see people who sort of turn and walk away when they see you coming, don't take it personally. It's they're just following what — complying with the law.

And for that reason, anytime you're close to the courthouse, please have your badge on. That way we know that you're a juror and if we're, you know, riding up in the elevator and we just strike up a conversation, we know there's not going to be a screw-up if you have your badge on.

In fact, what I would recommend that you do is during lunch break please only talk with people who have a badge on. That way you know that they're not going to be a witness in this case, they don't work for the DA, they don't work for their office, you know, anything like that. All right.

This wouldn't happen in the next hour, but it's a standard instruction. Don't go to the crime scene and visit it. Don't do any investigation of this case, anything like that. Other than that, I will see you guys in an hour and we'll get started hopefully right on time and push through. All right.

THE MARSHAL: And folks, please remember who you're sitting by, because we have changed out some people in the seats. So you're not going to line up in numerical order out front. You're going to line up in the order that you are presently seated in. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. See you guys in an hour. Thank you.

(Prospective jurors recessed at 12:28 p.m.)

THE COURT: Do we have the issue fixed or not? Can you stand by the defendant really quickly.

All right. We're now outside the presence of the jury. Is there anything that either side wanted to address or put on the record before we take a lunch break?

MR. FELICIANO: No.

MS. FLECK: Nothing from the State. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. See you guys at 1:30, and we'll try to get started on time and at least let some of the people go. I'm on Mr. Anderson, right? Yeah. Okay. All right. See you guys in an hour. If there's anything that you

2.4

guys wanted to put on the record, let's try to show up — Well, no, but you guys need an hour. You'll be overtime if you don't, right?

(Inaudible response.)

THE COURT: Let's try to reconvene like maybe 1:25. Let's just see if there's anything that you guys want to put on the record, all right?

MS, FLECK: Okay.

THE COURT: And hopefully that technical issue will be fixed. I don't know if it's going to be or not.

(Court recessed at 12:30 p.m. until 1:31 p.m.)

(Outside the presence of the prospective jurors.)

THE COURT: Do we have a second Spanish interpreter for that other juror also, or do we just have the one? Okay. Good. Excellent.

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: And then the other two ladies should be back for him.

THE COURT: Okay. Here's what we're going to — hey guys, here's what we're going to do. We now have some extra interpreters. We have — she's a Tagalog interpreter and he's an extra Spanish interpreter for the two jurors. What we're going to do is I think we're going to need to rearrange them and have them sit like maybe over there, so the interpreters can sit next to them.

(Pause in proceedings)

THE CLERK: Can I get your name, ma'am?

THE INTERPRETER: It's Josephina. Last name spells D as in David, o-o-l-e-y.

THE COURT: Then apparently we don't have a second interpreter for that other juror then. I don't know why we don't.

## (Pause in proceedings)

THE COURT: All right. Here's what we're going to have to do, I think. Should we wait for Michelle?

MR. GRAHAM: She's on her way.

THE COURT: We have — here's what happened. We have the Tagalog interpreter for that one juror. We don't have a — there apparently was a mix—up. We don't have the second Spanish interpreter for the other juror, but I think what we might have to do is, because we're just getting even the one interpreter somewhat late, if it ends up they're in the box or get close to the box, we might have to just, you know, with a Spanish interpreter sort of re—canvass those two jurors.

They're pretty far away right now. They're 68 and 69. But without a second Spanish interpreter, rather than hold up everything for a second interpreter to get here, let's just move on, and if they get too close to the box, I'll just start over again with them. Is that all right with you guys?

(Ms. Fleck enters the courtroom.)

THE COURT: That's all right.

Amy, is that all right with you if we do it that way?

MS. FELICIANO: I'm sorry. I was...

THE COURT: Here's the situation. Apparently we now have a Tagalog interpreter for that juror, but not a second Spanish interpreter. They didn't even assign one because apparently there was a mix-up in the communication. Right now they're pretty far away. They're at 68 and 69. I don't know if they're going to get close to the box.

But if it turns out one of them or both of them get close to the box, I think what we might have to do is when we get the interpreter here, like start over again just individually with those two. But I don't want to have everybody waiting just while we wait for another interpreter. You know what I mean. Is that all right with you if we do it that way?

MS. FELICIANO: Yeah. I think that's fine.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you want me to put that on the second? Does it matter to you?

MS. FELICIANO: The Court's indulgence.

MR. FELICIANO: I'm sorry.

(Pause in proceedings)

THE COURT: Actually, they're not that far away.

They're only like six away, it looks like, five or six away.

MS. FELICIANO: See, that's what it was looking to

MS. FELICIANO: See, that's what it was looking to me, like they were getting kind of close.

THE COURT: Yeah. Actually, now that I took it back. They're somewhat close now, but at least being in the box.

MS. FELICIANO: Right. Because they're just sitting like right back there and we're --

THE COURT: The problem is we don't have a second Spanish interpreter even now. At least we have a Tagalog one, but we don't have a second one. So and apparently they're not sending one because there was some mix-up. So what do we want to do then?

THE INTERPRETER: Well, he's going to find somebody for the juror. He's going to find somebody and send them over.

THE COURT: Well, I mean, they've been finding someone for...

MS. FELICIANO: The only issue is that if that person were to get put into the panel, then everything would come to a grinding halt. We can't skip them.

THE COURT: Yeah. And that's — actually, he's only five away, Mr. Aguilar. I don't know. I mean, it depends on how quickly we can get the second interpreter up here.

THE INTERPRETER: Jeff's saying that it may be a while for a second interpreter, but there's an extra headset. He wanted to know if he can interpret for the both of them, and then when you're asking the juror specifically a question, if he can interpret for them until they find somebody.

THE COURT: What do you guys think?
(Pause in proceedings)

THE COURT: See, that's the thing is I mean, it might work, except the one thing that you won't be able to do is you won't be able to talk to your client while the interpreter's interpreting for him is the problem. And not only that, but if you do talk to your client and he's got the headset on, he can hear what you guys are talking about.

MS. FELICIANO: That's what I'm worried about is compromising the integrity of the proceedings by having the interpreters interpreting for multiple people. That's why they have to keep them separate.

THE COURT: But apparently it's going to be a while for a second interpreter to get here.

THE INTERPRETER: Yes, Your Honor. We're short on one. I was pulled off a trial downstairs — or upstairs, so we should have two.

THE COURT: So I mean, what do we — what do you guys want to do? Do you want — you know, I don't know if you — the problem is they're five away, so we could be getting to them relatively quickly, and then the whole thing grinds to a halt. Unless we want to push them back further in line until an interpreter gets here. I don't know.

MS. FLECK: I don't know if they're not under — I mean, they've answered the questions thus far. I don't know

KARR REPORTING, INC.

if they're saying we don't feel comfortable sitting on a sexual assault trial because there's going to be complicated topics that we might not understand.

But, you know, if one has lived here for 10 years and one for 12 years, and they've understood some things thus far, I think it seems though they can at least answer the basic questions that are being posed.

THE COURT: Yeah. Well, I mean, they seem like they understand — I mean, every time I've asked them a question it seemed like they can understand. I don't know if there's questions that they don't understand that they're just not raising their hand for.

MS. FELICIANO: Well, the problem is that both of them sought you out personally, the Mr. Aguilar fairly early in. The very first question that you asked he sought you out and said, Judge, I don't understand the English language, I have difficulty. Both of them said that they didn't think they could sit on this trial because of their language issue. So now we're correcting that issue.

I'm just concerned about proceeding forward with them. Maybe they understand, maybe they don't, when they both said I don't think I can sit because I don't understand what's going on. Mr. Aguilar was the first question you asked —

THE COURT: Right.

MS. FELICIANO: -- is anybody going out of town, and

11 12

9

10

13 14

> 16 17

15

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

he said, Hey, I don't understand, I don't speak English.

THE COURT: Well, I mean, so what's the solution, because we don't have a second interpreter here.

MS. FELICIANO: I guess to wait for an interpreter. I mean, I hate to slow it down, but I mean --

MS. FLECK: Why don't we pull him in and see. I mean, is it something that he can -- he's answering your questions. So is it -- I mean, somebody saying that they don't feel comfortable sitting in a trial where they have to determine guilt beyond a reasonable doubt on a sexual assault case, that's entirely different than someone not being able to under -- you know, understanding the basic where you're from, all that kind of -- are you the victim of a crime.

Those are two different levels of comprehension of the English language, I would imagine. And a lot of times they say they don't understand and yet, you know, they understand perfectly fine, they just don't feel comfortable enough to engage in deliberations or to listen to expert testimony, that kind of thing.

THE COURT: Well, let's bring him in. I can ask him. At least we can get through -- well, let me ask him. Let's bring them all in, Randy.

Let's go back on the record first. Are you ready?

THE CLERK: We're ready.

THE COURT: All right. State vs. Guillermo

Renteria-Novoa, C268285. Can everybody state their appearances for the record.

MS. FLECK: Michelle Fleck for the State.

MR. GRAHAM: Nick Graham with Michelle Fleck for the State.

MR. FELICIANO: Mike Feliciano for the defense.

MS. FELICIANO: Amy Feliciano for the defense.

THE COURT: All right. The defendant's present in custody with the assistance of a Spanish interpreter.

Mr. Interpreter, for the record, what is your name?
THE INTERPRETER: Michael Barry.

THE COURT: All right. We also have present with us a Tagalog interpreter. For the record, what is your name?

THE INTERPRETER: Josephina Dooley.

THE COURT: Thank you. And she is going to assist Prospective Juror No. 69, Ms. Martinez. We do not have a second Spanish interpreter. Apparently the interpreter's office is short an interpreter today, so they don't have enough to bring us a second Spanish interpreter right now. We just called down, and the interpreter's office indicated that it's going to be, quote, a while, close quote, until we get a second one here.

So what we're going to do is — and that would be for the record, for Prospective Juror No. 68, Mr. Aguilar, who previously indicated that English is not his native language.

So I think what we're going to do now, since we don't know when the second interpreter is going to come, let's bring the jury in, and I'll ask Mr. Aguilar —

1.2

First I'm going to ask Ms. Martinez, now that she has an interpreter, if there's any — anything that she wants to add in response to the questions that we've already gone over. And then I'll ask Mr. Aguilar a little bit and see what his level of comprehension is, is he understanding the questions that have been asked so far and those kinds of things, and see if we can proceed or not with — without a second Spanish interpreter.

You know what. Let's do this. Let's bring

Mr. Aguilar in separately actually. And then we'll question

him so he's not embarrassed in front of everybody. And — I

was going to say let's bring Ms. Martinez in also, but Randy's

out.

THE MARSHAL: Do you want me to tell him?

THE COURT: Yeah. Let's bring 69, Ms. Martinez in also.

(Prospective Jurors No. 68 and 69 enter the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Hi, Mr. Aguilar. Can you — we need him kind of near a microphone. Can you step up here kind of in between these two tables.

All right. Ms. Martinez, come up here for a second. This is Josephina. She is an interpreter. Okay. Before we

start, I wanted to ask you, I know I asked a bunch of questions this morning. Did you understand all the questions that I asked this morning? Do you want me to repeat any of them?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Yes. I understood all the questions.

THE COURT: Okay. Were there any that you wanted me to repeat for you now that you have the assistance of an interpreter?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: No.

THE COURT: Are you the second interpreter?

THE INTERPRETER: Mm-hmm.

THE COURT: Oh, good. Excellent. For the record, what is your name?

THE INTERPRETER: Yul Haasmann, Y-u-l. Haasmann, H-a-a-s-m-a-n-n.

THE COURT: All right. I appreciate your being here. This is Mr. Aguilar. He is a prospective juror in this case. He indicated earlier that English is not his native language.

Now, now that we have the assistance of a second Spanish interpreter present, Mr. Aguilar, this morning I asked a series of questions to all the members of the prospective jury. Did you understand all my questions, or are there any that you want me to repeat now that you have the interpreter here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: No.

THE COURT: All right. Would you be able to follow all instructions that I give on the law, even if the instructions differ from your personal beliefs regarding what the law should be?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Under our system there are certain principles of law that apply in every criminal trial. They are that the Information filed in this case is a mere accusation and not itself evidence of guilt, that the defendant is presumed innocent and the State must prove that the defendant is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. Do you understand and agree with these basic principles of law?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I agree with the law must -- that the law must comply with the law.

THE COURT: Whether you agree with it or not? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I agree.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you think that you might know anything about this case other than what you've heard in the court today?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: No. Because my degree in my school up to the grade ten, I don't have much --

THE COURT: I'm not sure what he said.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I mean, I don't have too much experience.

1	THE COURT: No. I
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: [Unintelligible] listen
3	to the language because I don't understand it.
4	THE COURT: Okay. The question is: Do you think
5	that you might know something about this case; for example,
6	maybe you think you saw it on the Internet or heard it on the
7	news or read about it in the newspaper?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: No, no. Not me.
9	THE COURT: Do you want to just go ahead and do all
10	the questions, his biography, you know, where he works, that
11	kind of thing right now while we have him, or just
12	MR. FELICIANO: It's up to the Court. I'd really
13	like to do it, I guess, while we're here. I mean, we can
14	[inaudible] while we're here.
15	THE COURT: No. Let's just we'll cover that when
16	we get to him then. Were there any other questions that I
17	missed? Let me just double-check my list here that I asked
18	everybody else.
19	MS. FLECK: You asked victim and accused?
20	THE COURT: Well, that goes with the individual one
21	by one.
22	MS. FLECK: What does he do for work?
23	THE COURT: He said he's unemployed, right?
24	MS. FLECK: Yeah, from what though?
25	THE COURT: What did you used to do before you were

unemployed?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: The whole time that I have been here in the U.S. I have been a carpenter.

THE COURT: Okay. You know what. I did miss one question. Do you have any close relatives or friends who either work for the DA's office or might work for or are criminal defense attorneys?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: No.

THE COURT: All right. Well, at least that catches him up to where we are. All right. Let's do this. We might as well keep the two of them in here and bring the rest of the jurors in here and have them seated. Let's have the two of them maybe sit kind of somewhere on that side, because there's no more seats over there for the interpreters. All right.

All right. Let's bring them all in then. And you know what, yeah, maybe just kind of in the back corner there.

Is there anything either side wanted to put on the record before we bring the members of the prospective jury in?

MR. FELICIANO: No, Judge.

MS. FLECK: Nothing from the State.

THE COURT: All right. Let's bring them in then.

(Prospective jurors enter at 1:52 p.m.)

THE COURT: Will counsel stipulate to the presence of the prospective jurors?

MS. FLECK: State stipulates, Your Honor.

- 8

MR. FELICIANO: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, thank you very much. I apologize for making you guys wait out in the hallway for a few minutes, but you might remember that two members of the prospective panel here today requested the assistance of interpreters.

We now have some interpreters, so I wanted to make sure that they were all caught up and up to speed on some of the questions and they understood everything that had happened today. So I had to make sure they were all on the same page. I do apologize for making you guys wait, but hopefully you'll understand why that happened.

All right. When we left off I was with Mr. Anderson.

Right. Where's the microphone, Randy? Do we have it? I

don't know what you did with it. Are we missing some people?

THE MARSHAL: Yeah, I think so, because I only count [inaudible] 32 and then there's 23, so that's 55, and we're short — and we've only excused three.

THE CLERK: We've excused five.

THE MARSHAL: We have?

THE CLERK: Mm-hmm. Number 84 and [inaudible].

THE MARSHAL: Did I miss two?

THE CLERK: Mm-hmm.

(Inaudible discussion.)

THE COURT: All right. Let's get the microphone to

KARR REPORTING, INC.

Mr. Anderson. Mr. Anderson, when we left, you had indicated that you had a couple of very minor misdemeanor cases which have now been closed. So the question is: Was there anything about those experiences that might cause you to hesitate or might cause any issues with you serving as a juror in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No.

THE COURT: Do you think that you were treated fairly by the police and the DA's office in your cases?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No, not with the first obstruction charge, but I figured I couldn't do much about it.

THE COURT: Who charged you? Was it the Clark County DA's Office or someone else, Henderson city attorney?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Henderson, I believe.

THE COURT: Henderson city attorney. All right. So you don't think that you were necessarily treated fairly, and that was the Henderson police, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: So given that feeling and, you know, I don't know exactly what happened obviously, but let's say in fact you were treated unfairly by either the Henderson police or the Henderson city attorney's office, would that cause you any concerns?

Could you be fair and impartial toward the Metro police witnesses who testify in this case and the Clark County

1	DA's Office in this case? Would you hold it against them
2	that, you know, whatever happened in your other case?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No.
4	THE COURT: Okay. You could be fair and impartial?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057; Yes.
6	THE COURT: All right. I can't recall if I asked
7	this or not, but have you or anyone close to you ever been the
8	victim of a crime either sexual in nature or otherwise?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No.
10	THE COURT: Can I get you to pass the microphone.
11	Hi. What is your badge number?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: 013.
13	THE COURT: Ms. Barrow.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes.
15	THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: I am a teacher for the
17	Clark County School District.
18	THE COURT: How long have you done that?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: This is my seventh year.
20	THE COURT: Are you married?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes.
22	THE COURT: And what does your husband do?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: He is a tile setter.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Any kids?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes.
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	THE COURT: How old?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: I have twins.
3	They're 15.
4	THE COURT: Boys, girls?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Boys.
6	THE COURT: Fifteen-year-old twins. All right. Ever
7	served on a jury before?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: No.
9	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
10	the victim of a crime either sexual in nature or otherwise?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: My house was burglarized
12	a year and a half ago.
13	THE COURT: Here in Las Vegas?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes. North Las Vegas.
15	THE COURT: I'm sorry. North Las Vegas?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Did you call the police?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes.
19	THE COURT: And did they respond?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes.
21	THE COURT: And that was the North Las Vegas police?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: [No audible response.]
23	THE COURT: Did they catch anybody?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: No, not that I know of.
25	THE COURT: How do you how do you feel about the
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 168

job they did? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: I thought that --2 THE COURT: Obviously it would have been better if 3 they caught somebody, but do you think that they -- is there 4 anything about their investigation that you think you have any 5 feelings, strong feelings one way or the other? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: No. I thought they did 7 8 okay. THE COURT: Have you ever received a subpoena to 9 testify in trial? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: 11 THE COURT: So anything about that incident that 12 might cause you to be anything other than fair and impartial 13 in this case? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: No. I don't believe so. 15 THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been 16 accused of a crime, whether or not there was a conviction, and 17 either a crime that's sexual in nature or otherwise? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes. My brother has 19 served time in two states outside Nevada. 20 THE COURT: Your brother has served time for what? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: In California, I believe 22 it was armed robbery. And in Texas it was breaking and 23 entering, I think. 24 THE COURT: Okay. How long ago was that? 25

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: I believed I believe
2	the armed robbery was in 1994, and the breaking and entering
3	was probably in, I want to say 2000.
4	THE COURT: How many brothers do you have? Is this
5	the same brother that was the police officer, or not?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: No. I have two brothers.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Got you.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Very different.
9	THE COURT: Just wanted to clarify that. Do you
10	think that he was treated fairly or unfairly by the system?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: I think he was treated
12	pretty fairly.
13	THE COURT: So is there anything about what happened
14	to him, anything he went through with the court system or
15	anything like that, that might cause you to be something other
16	than fair and impartial in this case?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: No. I don't believe so.
18	THE COURT: I appreciate it. Can you pass the
19	microphone.
20	Hi. What is your badge number?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: 016.
22	
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Yes.
24	
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: I'm engineer in Primm
,	KARR REPORTING, INC. 170

]]	
1	Valley Resorts & Casino in Primm, Nevada.
2 .	THE COURT: How long have you done that?
3_	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Nine years and six
4	months.
5	THE COURT: Are you married?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Divorced.
. 7	THE COURT: Any kids?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Two, 26 and 22.
9	THE COURT: How old, 22 and what?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Twenty-six.
11	THE COURT: Twenty-six and 22.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Oh, no, no. Twenty-four.
13	Twenty-four and 22.
14	THE COURT: Oh, 54 [sic]. Okay. Any grandkids?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: No.
16	THE COURT: Are your kids boys or girls?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: They're both girl.
18	THE COURT: Ever served on a jury before?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: No. This is the first
20	time.
21	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
22	the victim of a crime either sexual in nature or otherwise?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: No.
24	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
25	accused of a crime, whether or not there was a conviction,
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	either sexual in nature or otherwise?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: No.
3	THE COURT: Let me get you to pass the microphone.
4	Hi. What is your badge number?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: 017.
6	THE COURT: Mr. Helbert.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes.
8	THE COURT: I know your brother is an attorney. What
9	do you do for a living?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: That's my daughter.
11	THE COURT: Daughter.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes. I'm sorry. I am
13	chief engineer at the Venetian.
14	THE COURT: For who?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Venetian Palazzo Casino
16	Resort.
17	THE COURT: Okay. How long have you done that?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: I've been with the
19	company 14 years.
20	THE COURT: Are you married?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes.
22	THE COURT: What does your wife do?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: She's a librarian for the
24	Clark County School District.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Any kids?
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Two.
2	THE COURT: How old, boys or girls?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: A girl 31, and a boy 29.
4	No grandchildren.
5	THE COURT: Ever served on a jury before?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Where and when?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Here about two years ago,
9	two and a half, three.
10	THE COURT: Was it a criminal or civil case?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Criminal.
12	THE COURT: It's a criminal case. And did you
13	actually end up on the jury, you go back in the jury room and
14	deliberate?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes, I did.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Without telling us what the
17	verdict was, did the jury reach a verdict?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: On about half of the
19	counts.
20	THE COURT: And what happened on the other half? Was
21	it a hung jury?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Were you the foreperson?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: No.
25	THE COURT: Anything about that experience who was
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 173

1	the prosecuting entity? Was it the Clark County DA's Office?
. 2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes.
. 3	THE COURT: Was it a case that involved Metro or a
4.	different organization?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Metro.
6	THE COURT: Anything about that jury that might cause
7	you to hesitate about serving again on another criminal case?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: No. Nothing.
9	THE COURT: Let me get you to pass the microphone.
10	What is your badge number?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: 027.
12.	THE COURT: Oh, two, seven. Mr. Parry.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Yes.
14	THE COURT: All right. What do you do for a living?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: I'm retired.
16	THE COURT: What did you use to do?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: I was a firefighter.
18	THE COURT: Here or somewhere else?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: North Las Vegas.
20	THE COURT: Married?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Yes.
22	THE COURT: And what does your wife do?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: She substitute teaches
24	for Clark County School District.
25	THE COURT: Any kids?
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 174

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Four.
2	THE COURT: How old and are they boys or girls?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Two boys, two girls. The
4	oldest is 36, the youngest is 25.
- 5	THE COURT: Any grandkids?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Three.
7	THE COURT: How old are they?
. 8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Four, two and three
9	months.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Ever served on a jury before?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Yes.
12	THE COURT: Where and when?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Here, probably 20 years
14	ago.
15	THE COURT: Was it a civil or criminal case?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Civil.
17	THE COURT: And did you actually end up on the jury?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Without telling us what the verdict was,
20	did you reach a verdict?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Yes.
22	THE COURT: And were you the foreperson?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: No.
24	THE COURT: What was the subject matter of the case,
25	if you remember generally?
	· II

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: It was a civil suit. The gentleman's mother-in-law ran into him, pinched him between two cars.

THE COURT: Okay. So it's a personal injury type action?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Yes.

THE COURT: Anything about that experience that would cause you to hesitate about serving as a juror in a criminal case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Not — that it just took a long time to get over with.

THE COURT: Was it a multi-week trial or — are you talking about the trial or the deliberation?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: No. It just took — it just took four days. At the time I got four days off from the fire department and it took all my four days, so I wasn't real happy about that.

THE COURT: Okay. Have you or anyone close to you ever been the victim of a crime either of a sexual nature or otherwise?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: No.

THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been accused of a crime, whether or not there was a conviction, either sexual in nature or otherwise?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: No.

1	THE COURT: Let me get you to pass the microphone.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: One other thing. My
3	daughter-in-law is an attorney here in Las Vegas.
4	THE COURT: Oh. What's her name?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Maren Parry. And also my
6	first cousin, Stan Parry, is also an attorney here.
7	THE COURT: Oh. Okay. He's your first cousin?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Have they — do they do criminal defense?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: I'm not sure. My
11	daughter-in-law doesn't. I'm not sure if Stan does or not.
12	THE COURT: Have they, through the conversations that
13	you may have had with them, said anything to you that might be
14	a concern, anything that do they talk about cases of this
15	nature? Do they talk about criminal defense, any, you know,
16	things like that?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: No.
18	THE COURT: All right. I appreciate it. Thanks very
19	much for the clarification.
20	Hi. What is your badge number?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: 024.
22	THE COURT: Ms. Mashas, right?
23	
24	
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: I'm a certified public
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 177

Į,	·
1	accountant.
2	THE COURT: How long have you done that?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Thirty-plus years.
4	THE COURT: All in Las Vegas, or somewhere else?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: All in between
6	basically Las Vegas. Part of the time I was a public
7	accountant, part of the time I was in private industry.
8	THE COURT: Are you married?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: I am.
10	THE COURT: What does your husband do?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: He's a security
12	consultant.
13	THE COURT: For who?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Accuvant.
15	THE COURT: I'm not familiar. Are there when you
16	say security consultant, is he —— is he ——
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Data security. So he
18	THE COURT: Oh, data security. Okay. Any kids?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Together we have five
20	ranging from 13 to 31.
21	THE COURT: Boys, girls?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Three boys, two girls.
23	THE COURT: Ever served on a jury before?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: No.
25	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

THE COURT: Okay. How do you feel about that? Does that cause you any concerns about sitting as a juror in this criminal case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Yeah. I'm — it scares the bejeebers out of me, because I'm just — I understood what he went through. I don't know if he really did it or didn't do it. I just don't like the process that he went through with the police, with the attorney and the whole system. It just didn't make any sense to me, the whole process.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I mean, obviously I don't know anything about your brother's case. What was your brother's name, by the way?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Do I really have to tell you?

THE COURT: Well --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: I'll tell you. It's Paul Provenzano [phonetic].

THE COURT: Okay. So I understand — you know, I guess, so do you feel that your brother was treated unfairly by the system; is that what you're saying, or you just didn't understand how the system works?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Just from what I knew what happened with the police and with the interview, I think a lot of it was entrapment. I don't — as I said, I don't know if he really did it or didn't do it, but from what

happened, from what he told us that happened with the police, it was somewhat entrapment getting him to agree to what they were telling him that he should say.

THE COURT: Okay. Did you recognize any of the names that were read to you earlier of the potential witnesses in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: No. Didn't recognize the police officer's name.

THE COURT: Well, obviously I don't know the circumstances of your brother's case. I don't know if he was guilty or innocent obviously. But I mean, what happened to him may or may not be something that happened in other cases. But — and obviously you haven't heard the evidence in this case, so you don't know that either. But do you think that you could put aside any concerns that you have about how he was treated and be fair and impartial in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: I am not sure.

THE COURT: Okay. You are not sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: I would weigh the evidence, but I would have this slanted view in the back of my mind of how he was treated, and I do have concerns even with my 13-year-old. I won't let anyone take care of him, because I'm afraid of people now.

THE COURT: Do you remember which judge he appeared in front of, whose courtroom it was?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Yeah. Valerie Adair.
2	THE COURT: All right. I appreciate it, and I know
3	it was difficult for you. I appreciate it. Can you pass the
4	microphone.
5	What is your badge number?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: 023.
7	THE COURT: Is it Ms. Shanor?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Shanor is correct.
9	THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: I am the AP manager for
11	the Marshall Retail Group.
12	THE COURT: And how long have you done that?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Nine years.
14	THE COURT: All in Las Vegas?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yes, sir.
16	THE COURT: Are you married?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yes, I am.
18	THE COURT: What does your husband do?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: He's a painter.
20	THE COURT: Any kids?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: I have two children, a
22	daughter 35, and a son 30.
23	THE COURT: Any grandkids?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: I have four
25	grandchildren. I have a granddaughter 14. I have a
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 182

you think you can be fair and impartial in this case, or would you have the concern that, well, the whole system is not working, you know, those kinds of thoughts?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: That is a very tough question. I honestly couldn't say a yes or a no. I would do my best, but I can't honestly say, sir.

THE COURT: Is it just because of your father's case, or do you have other reasons for that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Things I've had to deal with over the years, things I've seen, things I've witnessed, people I've come in contact with. I was a pastor's wife for many years and I saw many, many, many things. And it's — it would be difficult, but I would do my best.

THE COURT: So other than your father, have you or anyone close to you ever been accused of a crime whether or not there was a conviction?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: No, sir.

THE COURT: Let me get you to pass the microphone.

Hi. What is your badge number, sir?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: 022.

THE COURT: Mr. -- is it Winings?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: Winings, correct.

THE COURT: Winings. What do you do for a living?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: I'm retired Navy, 17

years of it submarines, 23 total. And now I drive a cab in

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: Nope.
2	THE COURT: Let me get you to pass the microphone.
3	Thank you.
4	And what is your badge number?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: 021.
6	THE COURT: Mr is it Thaler?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: It is.
. 8	THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Air traffic controller.
10	THE COURT: At McCarran?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Mm-hmm.
12	THE COURT: How long have you done that?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Coming up four years in
14	September.
15	THE COURT: Okay. It's a stressful job, isn't it?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: I love it.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Excellent. Are you married?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No.
19	THE COURT: Any kids?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No.
21	THE COURT: Ever served on a jury before?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No.
23	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
24	łi
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No.
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 186

1	THE COURT: Any kids?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: None.
3	THE COURT: Ever served on a jury before?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Where and when?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: San Francisco. I served
7	on a federal jury.
8	THE COURT: Do you remember when?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: It was prior to going to
10	Charlotte and it was, I'd say now, 15 years ago, 13 years ago,
11	something like that.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Was it a civil or criminal case?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: It was civil.
14	THE COURT: And did you actually end up on the jury?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Yes, I did.
16	THE COURT: Did the jury reach a verdict?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Yes, we did.
18	THE COURT: And without telling us were you the
19	foreperson?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Yes, I was.
21	THE COURT: Okay. You were the foreperson. Anything
22	about that service that would cause you to hesitate about
23	serving again as a prospective juror?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No.
25	THE COURT: Excellent. Have you or anyone close to
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 188
	100

1	you ever been the victim of a crime either sexual in nature or
2	otherwise?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No.
4	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
5	accused of a crime, whether or not there was a conviction,
6	either sexual in nature or otherwise?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No.
8	THE COURT: I appreciate it. Let me get you to pass
9	the microphone.
10	What is your badge number, ma'am?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: 018.
12	THE COURT: Ms. Page.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Mm-hmm.
14	THE COURT: All right. What do you do for a living?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: I'm administrative
16	assistant.
17	THE COURT: For what kind of organization?
18, .	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: For a bank, Bank of Las
19	Vegas.
20	THE COURT: And how long have you been in Vegas?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Fifty-nine years.
22	THE COURT: Okay. So you remember the old Mafia days
23 -	then?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: I remember, yeah, a lot
25	of the different days.
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

il i	
1	THE COURT: Are you married, any kids?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Widowed, two children,
3	six grandchildren ranging in age from 15 to five.
4	THE COURT: Excellent. Boys, girls, both?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Five boys, one girl.
6	THE COURT: Ever served on a jury before?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: No.
8	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
9	the victim of a crime either sexual in nature or otherwise?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: No.
11	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
12	accused of a crime, whether or not there was a conviction,
13	either sexual in nature or otherwise?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: No.
15	THE COURT: Let me get you to pass the microphone to
16	the gentleman in front of you.
17	What is your badge number?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: 028.
19	THE COURT: Mr. Carrera. All right. What do you do
20	for a living?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: [Inaudible.]
22	THE CLERK: I'm sorry?
23	THE COURT: He's a CPA.
24	Is that what you said?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: Yeah. A CPA.
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 190

1	THE COURT: How long have you been in Vegas?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: Thirteen years.
3	THE COURT: Are you married?
.4.	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: Single.
5	THE COURT: Any kids?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: Nope.
7	THE COURT: Ever served on a jury before?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: No.
9	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
10	the victim of a crime either sexual in nature or otherwise?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: No.
12	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
13	accused of a crime, whether or not there was a conviction,
14	either sexual in nature or otherwise?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: No.
16	THE COURT: Let me get you to pass the microphone.
17	Hi. What was your badge number again?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: 029.
19	THE COURT: Right. Ms. McClure.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Yes.
21	THE COURT: I know that you're a teacher, right?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Correct. Fifth grade.
23	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Fifth grade.
25	THE COURT: Okay. How long have you been in Vegas?
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 191

1	
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Going on seven years.
2	THE COURT: Are you married?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Going to be.
4	THE COURT: Oh, that's right. With Officer Colucci,
5	right?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Correct.
7	THE COURT: Do you guys have any kids?
. 8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: No.
9	THE COURT: Have you ever served on a jury before?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: No.
11	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
12	the victim of a crime either sexual in nature or otherwise?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: No.
14	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
15	accused of a crime, whether or not there was a conviction,
16	either sexual in nature or otherwise?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: No.
18	THE COURT: I appreciate it. Let me get you to pass
19	the microphone.
20	Hi. What is your badge number again?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: 030.
22	THE COURT: Right. Mr. Meckley.
23	
24	
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: I am a conservation
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 192

ľ	
1	programs coordinator for the Southern Nevada Water Authority.
2	THE COURT: Okay. And how long have you done that?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Eighteen years.
4	THE COURT: Married?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Any kids?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Yes. I have a son 26, a
8	daughter 23.
9	THE COURT: And what does your wife do?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: She is a self-employed
11	horticulturalist.
12	THE COURT: Ever served on a jury before?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: No, sir.
14	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
15	the victim of a crime either sexual in nature or otherwise?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Yes. Shortly before I
17	met my wife she lost her three-year-old son to a hit and run
18	drunk driver.
19	THE COURT: And do you remember what year that was
20	roughly?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Twenty-three years ago.
22	THE COURT: Twenty-three years ago. Was there a
23	did they catch the guy? Was there a criminal prosecution?
24	
25	THE COURT: Did your wife have to testify in that
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 193

1	THE COURT: How long have you done that?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: This will be the end of
3	my sixth year.
4	THE COURT: Married, kids?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Yep. I'm married, have a
6	four-year-old daughter and an 11-year-old daughter.
7	THE COURT: What does your wife do?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Pre-school teacher.
9	THE COURT: For the Clark County School District
10	also, or for private?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Actually, no. It's Seton
12	Academy, private.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Ever served on a jury before?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: No.
15	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
16	the victim of a crime either sexual in nature or otherwise?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Just vandalism and a car
18	burglary, that's it. Neither of which were — well, one was
19	reported to the police. The vandalism I didn't report. The
20	car burglary I it was just a really close friend, I was
21	watching his house, and I and the police came out. It was
22	last summer.
23	THE COURT: Okay. This was last summer?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Mm-hmm.
25	THE COURT: And you said you did call the police on
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 195

that one? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Yeah. 2 THE COURT: Did you have to testify in any court 3 proceeding --4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: 5 THE COURT: Do you know if they ever caught the guy? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: No. 7 THE COURT: Anything about those two cases that would 8 cause you to have any concerns about serving as a juror in a 9 criminal case? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: No. 11 THE COURT: Any feelings one way or the other toward 12 the police or against the police because of those two cases? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: No. 14 THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been 15 accused of a crime, whether or not there was a conviction, 16 either sexual in nature or otherwise? 1.7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: I was convicted of theft 1.8 when I was 20, 20 or - no, sorry, 22, back in Ohio, but it 19 was expunged from my record. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Was that a misdemeanor or a 21 felony? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Misdemeanor. 23 THE COURT: Do you think you were treated fairly by 24 the system? 25

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Anything about that conviction or the
3	court case or the investigation, or anything having to do with
4	that case that would cause you to have any feelings one way or
5	another about the police or law enforcement in this case?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: No.
7	THE COURT: Or the court system?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: No.
9	THE COURT: You can be fair and impartial
10	notwithstanding what happened?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Yes.
12	THE COURT: I appreciate it. Can you pass the
13	microphone.
14	Hi. You are Number 32, correct?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Number 32. Mm-hmm.
16	THE COURT: Mr. Bean, what do you do for a living?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Journeyman lineman for
18	NV Energy.
19	THE COURT: And how long have you done that?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Twenty-eight years.
21	THE COURT: And I know your wife just had surgery on
22	Friday. Was she working before all this happened?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: No. She's a domestic
24	goddess.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Excellent. I'm sure your wife
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 197

t 1	
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Who, where and when?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Where do I start. My
4	brother, discharging a firearm in a neighborhood.
5	THE COURT: How long ago was that?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Ten years.
7	THE COURT: Was he was there a court case?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yeah. He served time, so
9	I guess something.
10	THE COURT: Was that here?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes.
12	THE COURT: He served time. How do you think the
13	system treated him, and the police and the DA?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032; I didn't wasn't part
15	of any of that. And then he went to a minimal security type
16	place.
17	THE COURT: So anything about that case that leaves
18	any strong feelings with you about the police, the DA, the
19	criminal justice system?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: No.
21	THE COURT: Is that the only person, or were there
22	others?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: My nephew hit and ran
24	killing a couple kids, and he served time for that. He turned
25	himself in and served time for that.

11	
1	THE COURT: When did that happen?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: I'm saying 15 years ago.
3	THE COURT: Where?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Here.
5	THE COURT: So he served time. How do you think he
6	was treated by the system?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Fairly.
8	THE COURT: Same question, anything about that case
9	that would cause you to have strong feelings one way or the
10	other about any party to this case or about serving as a juror
11	in a criminal case?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: No.
13	THE COURT: Have you or anyone closely associated
14	with you I asked you the victim question, right? Have you
15	ever been the victim of a crime, other than the ones you just
16	mentioned?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Does it have to go
18	through a courtroom, or what?
19	THE COURT: No.
20	
21	against my step-father and us kids.
22	THE COURT: And your step-father. Okay. Where did
23	
2	ll .
2	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 200

. 1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: In our home.
2	THE COURT: No, no. What state? Was it here in
3	Nevada?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yeah, here.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Was there a did anyone call the
6	police? Was there an investigation, a court case?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: No.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Were you the victim, or your
9	siblings?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: All of us.
11	THE COURT: Okay. So how old were you when this
12	happened?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: From nine to eleven.
14	THE COURT: From nine to eleven. All right. So
15	given the allegations in this case, how would you feel about
16	serving as a juror in this case?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: I'd love to find him
18	guilty
19	THE COURT: Well
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: If he was.
21	THE COURT: I'm sorry? Oh, you're talking about your
22	
23	
24	
25	THE COURT: All right.
•	KARR REPORTING, INC. 201

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: I'm all about justice.

THE COURT: Hang on a second here. All right.

So in this case, are you saying that — all right. I just want to be clear here. So given what happened to you, and I don't -- you know, I'm not going to ask you to go into details, because I can see it's kind of a painful subject for you.

> PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yeah.

THE COURT: But given what happened and the fact that -- you know, since you were the victim, you obviously know whether he was -- you know, whether he committed the crime or not.

In this case, the whole point of having a jury is we need to determine whether or not the person is guilty of the crimes. As we sit here today we have no idea. In fact, as we sit here today, under the law he's an innocent man because the State hasn't proven anything about it, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Correct.

THE COURT: So do you think that you could do that, that you could sit here and be fair and impartial, when you review the evidence, and make a determination based on the evidence whether this particular person is guilty of something that may or may not, I don't know all the details either, be similar to what happened to you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: I could do that, but I'd

really be leaning.

THE COURT: What does that mean? You'd really be leaning what way?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: On the victim's side.

THE COURT: Well, so in every criminal case there's two things that the State has to prove. First of all, whether or not a crime was even committed. And secondly, even if it was committed, whether or not the person who's charged with it is the person who did it. Right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: I understand that.

THE COURT: Let's even assume for a second that it happened. Obviously as we sit here now the State hasn't proven anything. Right. We don't even know if a crime happened. It could be a false allegation as far as we know, as we sit here right now in this instant. Right.

But let's say that it did happen. Just because a crime happened doesn't necessarily mean that this person is the person who did it. Right. So when you say you'd really be leaning toward the victim, even if it happened to the victim, you know, maybe it's mistaken identity or something like that, would you allow for that possibility in your mind or not?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: I can be fair.

THE COURT: Okay. How would you feel about serving as a juror in a case like this? Do you think that you could

- 11	
1	handle it emotionally?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: I think so.
3	THE COURT: Okay. All right. I appreciate it. And
4	I apologize for bringing up what's obviously a painful subject
5	but, you know, I got to do it.
6	The next person, what is your badge number?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: 035.
8	THE COURT: Zero, three, five. Is it
9	Ms. Moreno-Zepeda?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: Just Moreno now.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Moreno, what do you do for a
12	living?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: I work at a dental
14	office.
15	THE COURT: How long have you been in Vegas?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: For about 20 years.
17	THE COURT: Twenty years. Married, kids?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: No, no.
19	THE COURT: Ever served on a jury before?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: No.
21	THE COURT: Have you or anyone closely associated
2.2	has the victim of a crime either sexual in
23	nature or otherwise?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: No.
2:	Have you or anyone closely associated
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 204

- 11	
1	with you ever been accused of a crime, whether or not there
2	was a conviction, either sexual in nature or otherwise?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: No.
4	THE COURT: All right. Let me get you to pass the
5	microphone.
6	And what is your badge number, ma'am?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: 037.
8	THE COURT: Ms. Leavitt. What do you do for a
9	living?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: I'm a full-time student.
11	THE COURT: Where?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: University of Nevada,
13	Reno.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Excellent. Are you actually from
. 15	Las Vegas, or you're just going to school here?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: I'm from Las Vegas and
17	I've lived here for 18 years.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Excellent. What are you studying?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: Biology.
2	THE COURT: Are you still in class now, or is the
2	in other words, if you're here this week are
	you going to miss any classes?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: No. It's summer.
	THE COURT: Okay. Good. Married, kids?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: No.
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 205

1	THE COURT: Okay. Ever served on a jury before?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: No.
3	THE COURT: Have you or anyone closely associated
4	with you ever been the victim of a crime either sexual in
5	nature or otherwise?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: No.
7	THE COURT: Have you or anyone closely associated
8	with you ever been accused of a crime, whether or not there
9	was a conviction, either sexual in nature or otherwise?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: No.
11	THE COURT: Let me get you to pass the microphone.
12	Thank you.
13	What is your badge number?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: 038.
15	THE COURT: Ms. Alessio?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Yes.
17	THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: I'm a bartender at
19	Encore.
20	THE COURT: How long have you done that?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: I've been there three
22	years.
23	THE COURT: How long have you been in Vegas?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Ten years.
25	THE COURT: Married, kids?
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 206

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Married, step-son, six.
2	THE COURT: And what does your husband do?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: He's a professional
4	athlete.
5	THE COURT: What sport?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: UFC.
7	THE COURT: Oh, okay. Ever served on a jury before?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: No.
9	THE COURT: Have you or anyone closely associated
10	with you ever been the victim of a crime either sexual in
11	nature or otherwise?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Not I haven't been a
13	victim, but I've had several complaints filed against Hispanic
14	workers at Encore Hotel for sexual harassment.
15	THE COURT: Are these people that you know very well,
16	close friends
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: No.
18	THE COURT: or just people that you sort of heard
19	through the grape vine type thing?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: No. Myself. I have
21	complaints against them, people that
22	THE COURT: Oh, oh.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Yeah. In different
24	departments of the hotel.
25	THE COURT: Got you. I understand. Have you
,	KARR REPORTING, INC. 207

1	formally sued them, or you went through the process with your
	company?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: I've just complained, or
3	done written complaints to the management team and human
4	resources and stuff.
5	THE COURT: Are there any court cases going on right
6	
7	now, open cases?  PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: No. It hasn't gone that
8	
9	far.  THE COURT: Okay.
10	THE COURT: Okay.  PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: I don't think it will.
11	THE COURT: Have you ever had to testify in court
12	<b>``</b>
13	regarding any of these complaints?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: No.
15	THE COURT: Is there anything about those cases that
16	would cause you to be something other than fair and impartial
17	in this case?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Possibly.
19	THE COURT: In what way?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Just my views on the way
21	that Hispanic men that aren't or weren't raised in the
22	U.S., the way that they treat women and their lack of respect
23	toward women.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Well, I mean, obviously, you know,
25	every culture has its different what is the word, beliefs
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 208

or its different customs, those kinds of things.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Right.

THE COURT: But, you know, that doesn't necessarily mean that every individual person raised in that culture adheres to all those beliefs.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Right.

THE COURT: Would you agree with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Yeah. Of course not every person, but I have encountered so many that my opinions are probably that the majority of them do lack respect for women.

THE COURT: Okay. So as you sit here right now, I mean, you don't know very much about the case other than what we've talked about here today —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Right.

THE COURT: — and the fact that Mr. Renteria—Novoa obviously is a Hispanic man. He's here with the assistance of a Spanish interpreter.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you think just based on what you know about him right now, which is not very much at all, what do you think about his attitudes toward women?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Based on the allegations?

THE COURT: No. Just — well, based on everything that you know. Have you even — have you reached a

1	conclusion, or do you know enough about him?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: I haven't, but it once
3	I sat down and heard what the accusations were, I kind of
. 4	formed an opinion at that point.
5	THE COURT: Regarding whether he's actually guilty of
6	them?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Kind of, yes.
. 8	THE COURT: Even though you haven't heard any of the
9	evidence?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Yes.
11	THE COURT: All right. If you were asked to serve as
12	a juror in this case, you would be taking an oath to uphold
13	the law, and under the law the defendant is innocent until the
14	State has proven him guilty. Could you follow that law or
15	not?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Possibly.
17	THE COURT: Possibly. Depending on what?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Right now I kind of feel
19	like he's just another one of those men that I've encountered.
20	So it would be hard to
21	THE COURT: Even though you don't even though you
22	don't know very much about him at all.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Yes.
2.	
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Correct.
	11

l	la contra de la cont
1	THE COURT: Okay. And is that based on the
2	charges
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: On my experience.
4	THE COURT: against him, or on your experience
5	with other people and the fact that he's of the same culture
6	as those other people?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Right. Yes.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Does it matter to you what country
9	they're from, or just that they're of Latino descent?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Of Latino descent.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Does it matter to you what age
12	they were when they came to the United States, or does that
13	not make a difference to you?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: That doesn't make a
15	difference.
16	THE COURT: Okay. All right. I appreciate it. Can
17	
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Sure.
19	
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 039: 039.
21	
22	your last name. How do you pronounce that?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 039: Gebrechristos.
2	
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 039: Gebrechristos.
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 211

1	THE COURT: Gebrechristos?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 039: Yes.
3	THE COURT: All right. What do you do for a living?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 039: I drive a cab.
5	THE COURT: I'm sorry. I can't hear you.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 039: I'm a cab driver.
7	THE COURT: Oh, okay. Excellent. How long have you
8	been in Vegas?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 039: About 13 years.
10	THE COURT: Thirteen years. Married, kids?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 039: Married, no kids.
12	THE COURT: And what does your wife do?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 039: She is not working.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Ever served on a jury before?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 039: No.
16	THE COURT: Have you or anyone closely associated
17	with you ever been the victim of a crime either sexual in
18	nature or otherwise?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 039: No.
20	THE COURT: Have you or anyone closely associated
21	with you ever been accused of a crime, whether or not there
22	was a conviction, either sexual in nature or otherwise?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 039: No.
24	THE COURT: Let me get you to pass the microphone.
25	Ms. Zayan [phonetic]? I'm sorry. I forgot how to
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 212

1	pronounce your name.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Zion [phonetic].
3	THE COURT: Zeihen. What do you do for a living?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: I work at retail.
5	THE COURT: At where?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: I work in retail.
7	THE COURT: Oh, okay. For what kind of organization?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: For Hard Rock Cafe.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Married, kids?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: No.
11	THE COURT: Ever served on a jury before?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: No.
13	THE COURT: Now, other than what we discussed before,
14	has anyone else in your circle ever been either the victim of
15	a crime or accused of a crime?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Just me.
17	THE COURT: All right. I appreciate that. Can I get
18	you to pass the microphone down to that corner there.
19	
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: 042.
21	
22	(Pause in proceedings)
23	
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: 042.
25	THE COURT: Zero, four, two. Ms. Trotchie, that's
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 213

1	right. I know that you used to intern for P and P, but are
2	you working now?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes.
4	THE COURT: What do you do?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: I work at Health South
6	Henderson. I am front desk.
7	THE COURT: Okay. And how long have you been in
8	Vegas?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: I've been in Vegas for 22
10	years.
11	THE COURT: Married, kids?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: I have kids.
13	THE COURT: How old?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: I have a two-year-old and
15	a four-month-old.
16	THE COURT: Boys, girls?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Girls.
18	THE COURT: Ever served on a jury before?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: No.
20	THE COURT: Have you or anyone closely associated
21	with you ever been the victim of a crime either sexual in
22	
23	
24	THE COURT: Who, where, when?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Me. Battery, domestic
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 214

1	violence.
2	THE COURT: How long ago?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Just last year.
4	THE COURT: Did you report it to the police?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: No. Somebody else did, a
6	witness.
7	THE COURT: Okay. So did you have to go in court and
8	testify? Was an arrest made?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes.
10	THE COURT: And who was the prosecuting entity; was
11	that Metro and the DA's office, or was it Henderson or North
12	Las Vegas or Boulder City or somewhere else?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: It was the DA and Metro.
14	THE COURT: Okay. So when you testified, was it in
15	this building right here?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Okay. How do you feel about that case?
18	Do you think the case was run fairly, unfairly?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: It was a long process
20	because of priors.
21	THE COURT: Was it a felony or a misdemeanor, do you
22	know?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: They were trying to get
24	him with a habitual.
25	THE COURT: Okay. And what was this person's
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 215

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: And charges were dropped
3	on me. All I had to pay was a hundred dollar restitution.
4	THE COURT: Oh, okay. And that was when you were
5	that was in juvenile court?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yeah.
7.	THE COURT: Okay. Anything about that experience
8	that would cause you to hesitate? Do you feel that you were
9	treated fairly?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: No.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Any hard feelings toward anyone,
12	the DA, the police, anything, anybody, the court system as a
13	result of that case?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: No.
15	THE COURT: Okay. I appreciate it. Can you pass the
16	microphone.
17	What is your badge number, ma'am?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: 043.
19	THE COURT: Ms. Valerio?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Yes.
21	THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: I am a Spanish instructor
23	at UNLV.
24	ĮI
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: About four years.
	KARR REPORTING, INC.
	217

1	THE COURT: All right. How long have you been in
2	Vegas total?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: It's going to be five
4	years in September.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Married, kids?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Yes. I'm married.
7	THE COURT: What does your husband do?
- 8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: He works in accounting.
9	He's a controller.
10	THE COURT: For UNLV, or for someone else?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: No. For GPI, Gaming
. 12	Partners International.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Ever served on a jury before?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: No.
15	THE COURT: Have you or anyone closely associated
16	with you ever been the victim of a crime either sexual in
17	nature or otherwise?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: No.
19	THE COURT: Have you or anyone closely associated
20	with you ever been accused of a crime, whether or not there
21	was a conviction, either sexual in nature or otherwise?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: No.
23	THE COURT: Let me get you to pass the microphone.
24	Hi. What is your badge number again?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: 044.
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	THE COURT: Right. Okay. What do you do for a
2	living?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: I was an airline pilot,
4	24 years.
5	THE COURT: Airline pilot, 24 years. Married, kids?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Divorced, one grown son.
7	THE COURT: Ever served on a jury before?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: No, sir.
9	THE COURT: Have you or anyone closely associated
10	with you ever been the victim of a crime either sexual in
11	nature or otherwise?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Not sexual. Yeah, I was
13	a victim about 22 years ago of an armed home invasion.
14	THE COURT: All right. Twenty-two years ago you were
15	a victim.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yeah.
17	THE COURT: Here or somewhere else?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: It was in California.
19	THE COURT: And did you call the police, or were the
20	police called?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Oh, yeah. Well, I
22	didn't. I was just unconscious at the time. I took a bullet
23	in the back.
24	THE COURT: Oh, okay.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: And they took me away. I
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 219

survived though.

1.3

THE COURT: Okay. Did they ever catch this person?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: No. sir.

THE COURT: They never did?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: No, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. Any feelings about that one way or the other? Any hard feelings toward law enforcement or the court system?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: You know, I've gotten over it pretty much. Occasional nightmares, but...

THE COURT: I didn't hear that. I'm sorry.

prospective Juror No. 044: I'm just saying I've gotten over it. Occasional nightmares about the actual incident. But the law enforcement, they were — I don't know. They just kind of let it go. They didn't follow up on it. They never found the guys, didn't really try, I don't think.

THE COURT: So I take it you're somewhat unsatisfied with the law enforcement response. Is that --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: At that time, yes.

THE COURT: Does that cause you any concern about this case; in other words, if — I mean, obviously that was California, so it's a different law enforcement organization. If knowing that this case is a criminal case brought on behalf — by the DA's office with investigation by the Metro police, would you have any feelings about the DA or the police

1	department as a result of what happened to you?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: No, sir.
3	THE COURT: So you could you would they
4	wouldn't be less credible to you simply because they're in law
5	enforcement, would they?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Well, somewhat, but I'd
7	try to be fair about it.
8	THE COURT: I'm sorry. What do you mean by somewhat?
9	Just because of what happened to you in California 23 years
10	ago
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yeah.
12	THE COURT: Okay. What does one have to do with the
13	other, if you don't mind me asking?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Oh, just association.
15	They really don't I try to be open minded about that.
16	THE COURT: So do you think that you could put aside
17	your feelings and be fair and impartial in this case?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yes, sir.
19	THE COURT: Let me get you to pass the microphone.
20	Hi. What is your badge number?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: 055.
22	
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Quincy [phonetic].
24	THE COURT: Quince. I'm sorry. All right. What do
25	you do for a living?

	OFF: Time full-time student.
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: I'm a full-time student.
2	THE COURT: Where?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: College of Southern
4	Nevada.
.5	THE COURT: Okay. How long have you been in Vegas?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Two years.
7	THE COURT: Two years. So where — did you come here
8	just to go to school, or are you living here permanently now?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Permanently.
10	THE COURT: Where'd you move from?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: I was a resident of
12	California, but I was living in Norfolk, Virginia.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Married, kids?
13 14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Married.
	THE COURT: What does your husband do?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: He does lighting
16	controls.
17	COURT: Okay. Ever served on a jury before?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: No.
19	THE COURT: Have you or anyone closely associated
2	ever been the victim of a crime either sexual in
	athorwise?
	PROCEDECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: No.
	Have you or anyone closely associated
	been accused of a crime, whether or not there
,	11
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 222
	u

1	was a conviction, either sexual in nature or otherwise?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: No.
3	THE COURT: Let me get you to pass the microphone.
4	What is your badge number, sir?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: 046.
6	THE COURT: Mr. Ferguson, what do you do for a
7	living?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: Distribution supervisor
9	with Southern Wine & Spirits.
10	THE COURT: And how long have you been in Vegas?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: My whole life, 48 years.
12	THE COURT: Married, kids?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: Single. Single parent,
14	two daughters.
15	THE COURT: Two daughters. How old are they?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: Fifteen and 21.
17	THE COURT: Ever served on a jury before?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Where and when?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: Las Vegas, about ten
21	
22	THE COURT: Criminal or civil case?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: Civil.
2	THE COURT: Civil case. And you actually ended up on
2	
,	KARR REPORTING, INC. 223

	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: Yes.
1	THE COURT: Without telling us what the verdict was,
2	
3	was a verdict rendered by the jury?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Were you the foreperson?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: No.
7	THE COURT: Anything about that experience that would
8	cause you to hesitate about serving again on a jury in a very
9	different kind of case?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: No.
11	THE COURT: Have you or anyone closely associated
12	with you ever been the victim of a crime either sexual in
13	nature or otherwise?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: No.
15	THE COURT: Have you or anyone closely associated
16	with you ever been accused of a crime, whether or not there
17	was a conviction, either sexual in nature or otherwise?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: I was.
19	THE COURT: You were accused of a crime?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: Yes.
21	
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: Actually, I was
23	
24	THE COURT. Okay Here or somewhere else?
25	PROSPECTIVE TUROR NO. 046: Here.
۷,	

. 1	<b>1</b>
1	THE COURT: Was that prosecuted, if you remember, by
2	the Clark County DA or Henderson or North Las Vegas, or
3	someone else?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: Clark County.
5	THE COURT: It was Clark County. Do you think that
6	you were treated fairly or unfairly?
7.	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: I was treated fairly.
8	THE COURT: Anything about that case that causes you
9.	to have any hard feelings one way or another about law
10	enforcement?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: No.
12	THE COURT: Anything about that case that would cause
13	you to hesitate about serving as a juror in a criminal case?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: No.
15	THE COURT: Let me get you to pass the microphone.
16	Hi. What is your badge number?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: 048.
18	THE COURT: Mr. Garwood, what do you do for a living?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: I'm an architectural
20	access surveyor.
21	
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Ten years.
2	THE COURT: Married, kids?
2	
2	THE COURT: What does your wife do?
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 225

	a administrative
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: She's an administrative
2	assistant.
3	THE COURT: For what kind of organization?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: She's in a jewelry store.
5	THE COURT: And you said you had kids?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Three kids.
7	THE COURT: How old are they?
- 8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Thirty-three, 32 and 19.
9	THE COURT: Any grandkids?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: One. She's four.
11	THE COURT: Granddaughter?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Granddaughter, yes.
13	THE COURT: Ever serve on a jury before?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Yes, I have.
15	THE COURT: Where and when?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Phoenix, about 12 years
17	ago.
18	THE COURT: Do you remember if it was a civil or a
19	criminal case?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: It was a criminal.
23	You actually ended up on the jury?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Yes, I did.
2:	www.corpr. Without telling us what the verdict was,
2	rondor a verdict?
	5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Yes, we did.
2	KARR REPORTING, INC. 226

1	THE COURT: Were you the foreperson?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: No.
3	THE COURT: What kind of case was it? Was it a
4	sex-related case?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: It was a DUI.
6	THE COURT: It was a DUI. All right. Anything about
7	that service that would cause you to hesitate about serving
8	again as a juror in a criminal case?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: No.
10	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
11	the victim of a crime either sexual in nature or otherwise?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Yes. My sister.
13	THE COURT: What happened with your sister?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: She was sexually
15	assaulted about it was about 45 years ago.
16	THE COURT: Forty-five years ago. So how old were
17	you at the time?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Twelve, fourteen.
19	THE COURT: Do you have strong memories of that
20	case
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Yes, I do.
22	THE COURT: or is it just sort of okay.
23	Were you involved in that case in any way? Were you
24	a witness or
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Just witness.
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 227

N1	
1	THE COURT: Did you have to testify in court?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Yes, I did.
3	THE COURT: And any strong feelings about that
4	experience that might cause you to hesitate about
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: It happened so long ago
6	and it was in South America at the time.
7	THE COURT: It was where?
. 8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: In South America.
9	THE COURT: Oh. I'm sure their court system's very
10	different down there too, right?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Totally.
12	THE COURT: So is there anything at all about the
13	case that should cause anyone here any concern?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: No.
15	THE COURT: No. So the fact that you're — let's put
16	the court case aside. The fact that your sister was a victim
17	of a sexual assault — and you said that you were a witness
18	to it?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Yes, I was.
20	THE COURT: Did you actually see the assault, or you
21	saw
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Yes, I did.
23	THE COURT: Okay. So how do you feel does that
24	cause any concern for you about serving as a juror in this
25	case, knowing what the charges are, not knowing obviously

7	you don't know the facts yet, but at least what the charges
1	
2	are? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: No. I don't think so.
3	THE COURT: You don't think so?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: No.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040. Her  THE COURT: So you think that you could be fair and
6	THE COURT: So you chank that you sound of the accused
7	impartial in determining the guilt or innocence of the accused
8	even though you previously have witnessed a sexual assault?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Yes.
10	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Have you or anyone
11	close to you ever been accused of a crime, whether or not
12	there was a conviction, whether it was sexual in nature or
13	otherwise?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: No.
15	THE COURT: Let me get you to pass the microphone.
16	Hi. What is your badge number?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: 049.
18	THE COURT: Mr. Iverson.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Yes.
20	THE COURT. All right. What do you do for a living?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Teacher.
22	For the school district or somewhere
23	PROCEDECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Clark County School
2	
. 2	
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 229
	II

1	THE COURT: How long have you done that?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: This is my tenth year.
3	THE COURT: Married, kids?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: About to be divorced.
5	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: About to be divorced.
7	THE COURT: About to be divorced. I'm sorry to hear
. 8	that. Any kids?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: An 11-year-old girl,
10	eight-year-old boy.
11	THE COURT: And what does your well, I'll call her
12	your current wife, but
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: She's also a
14	librarian.
15	THE COURT: Ever served on a jury before?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Where and when?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Eight years ago here in
19	Clark County.
20	THE COURT: Criminal or civil case?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Civil.
22	THE COURT: Did the jury render a verdict?
23	
24	11
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: No.
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

<b>  </b>	
1	THE COURT: I appreciate that. Does that finish up
2 th	ne first row there?
3	THE MARSHAL: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Let me get counsel to approach very
5 g	uickly.
6	(Bench conference.)
11	THE COURT: Here's my very first question. We've
8 I	peen going a little bit over an hour now. Why don't we have a
	oreak.
10.	(Inaudible responses.)
	MS. FELICIANO: One thing just before we take the
10	break One of the jurors, I know his daughter that he
12	mentioned. We're just going to put that on the record.
N.	THE COURT: Oh. Which one [inaudible]?
14	MS. FELICIANO: Juror No. 27, the retired
15	firefighter.
16	THE COURT: How do you know her?
17	MS. FELICIANO: I know
18	THE COURT: I know Stan Parry, but I don't think I
19	·
20.	know MS. FELICIANO: I used to work for Stan Parry as
21	well, so I was just going to make that record. I used to work
22	<b>\</b>
23	for Stan Parry.  THE COURT: Do you want to ask him about that, or
24	MS. FELICIANO: I mean, no, because he wouldn't he
25	KARR REPORTING, INC.
	XARR REPORTING 232
	11

doesn't know me [inaudible] it should never come up. You know what I mean. He wouldn't be talking to Maren.

THE COURT: Right. Exactly.

1.7

MS. FELICIANO: But I did [inaudible] close friends with her, so I just wanted to [inaudible] since I don't know him and he shouldn't be talking about the trial anyway.

THE COURT: Right. Okay.

MS. FELICIANO: [Inaudible.]

THE COURT: Let's take a break and we can put all this on the record.

MS. FELICIANO: Okay.

THE COURT: All right.

(End bench conference.)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, here's what we're going to do. We've been going a little bit over an hour now. Let's take a short bathroom and snack break. One thing I forgot to tell you this morning. Since I know these days are kind of long, I don't mind if you guys bring in beverages and snacks. There is a snack machine and beverage machine not on this floor, but one floor up and one floor down. So if you want to get something, that's fine.

As long as it's not something that's going to be really obtrusive like, you know, I'm not in favor of a bag of chips because then, you know, not so much me, but the court recorder can hear you munching away in the microphone. But if

it's something that you want to nibble on that's relatively -that's not going to draw a lot of attention, I don't mind if you do that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

So let's make it about a ten-minute break. There's a couple things that we need to put on the record, and then we'll go on from there. During this break, the same things that I talked about during lunch apply. Don't talk about this case. Don't reach any conclusions. Don't research this case. If you see people out in the hallway, don't talk with them. Don't talk to anyone who doesn't have a juror badge on.

Obviously don't investigate this case. And if you see any of us out in the hallway, I don't think we'll be out in the hallway, but if you see us, don't talk with us. All See you guys here in ten minutes. right.

(Prospective jurors recessed at 2:50 p.m.)

THE COURT: All right. We're outside the presence of the jury. Anything that either side wanted to put on the record?

MS. FELICIANO: The only thing I was going to put on the record, Judge, is just what I had mentioned at the bench. Juror Badge No. 27, his name is Michael Parry. He mentioned that his daughter-in-law is an attorney here in town. Her name is Maren Parry. I am friends with her. I went to law school with Maren. I also know then what would be his son. His name is Brandon.

23

24

25

my part. So now I'm going to turn it over to you guys. before we do that, is there anything that either side wanted to put on the record regarding any of the prospective jurors?

MS. FLECK: Nothing from the State.

MR. FELICIANO: No, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. Then is there anything else? Let's -- is there any reason not to bring them back in, other than we're not quite at the full ten minutes yet?

MS. FLECK: No. We can wait.

THE COURT: Well, if they're all out there, let's bring them back in. I'm sure they'd be happy to move it along. Oh, you know what. Hang on.

Right when you guys left, Randy said that Juror No. 22, the retired Navy guy on his way out the door said to Randy that there was something that he had forgotten to mention that he wanted to mention. I have no idea what it is. Do you want to just bring him back in here and I'll question him, or do you want to bring him back in here especially to find out what it is?

MS. FLECK: We can just ask him when he comes -- when they all come in. Twenty-two, or Number 22. I have it marked --

THE COURT: Badge No. 22. I don't know what seat he's in, but he's the retired Navy guy with the disabled wife.

MS. FLECK: Do you want to ask him, Winings?

_	THE MARSHAL: I think he's in Seat 11.
1	THE COURT: Seat 11.
2	MS. FLECK: Twelve.
3	THE COURT: Mr. or Mrs. Feliciano, do you want to
4	just bring him in and I'll ask him, or
5	MR. FELICIANO: I'm sorry. What
6	THE COURT: Juror No. 22, on his way out the door
7 8	said to Randy that he had forgotten to mention something.
9	MR. FELICIANO: Oh.
	THE COURT: Do you want to bring him in specially, or
10	do you just want to bring the whole panel in and I'll just ask
11	him?
12	MR. FELICIANO: Whatever the Court's pleasure.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's just bring them all
. 14 15	back in here and I'll just ask him right away then, and
16	hansfully it's not something sensitive. But it seems like
17	it sound like it was something I said triggered his
18	resthing that he should have mentioned.
19	all right Let's bring them back in then.
20	(Prospective jurors enter at 3:04 p.m.)
2.	We have one straggler in the bathroom.
2:	THE COURT: Oh, okay.
2	THE MARSHAL: The panel's all here, Judge.
	THE COURT: All right. Will counsel stipulate to the
	presence of the prospective panel?
<u>د</u>	KARR REPORTING, INC. 237

MS. FLECK: State does, Your Honor.

MR. FELICIANO: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Before we begin, Mr. Winings, Juror No. 22, I apologize for singling you out. It's not my goal to embarrass you. But my marshal tells me that on your way out — do you have the microphone somewhere?

THE MARSHAL: Yeah.

THE COURT: On your way out the door you had mentioned that there was something that you had forgotten to mention.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: These are items that I try to forget about. But my oldest son, right, I don't know when, and I found out about 15 years ago he was — for sexual assault. Don't know if he was convicted or not. And my two younger son —

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: And my two younger sons, Jeff, the youngest one, Jeff was convicted of burglary. And also, a way back, when they had a big ice bust in town, he was part of that. It was all federal. And they — it was all done in Hawaii.

THE COURT: All right. So you said your oldest son, was he convicted 15 years —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: He's a legitimate -- illegitimate, and I don't know anything more than that, other

l	
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: I never raised him or
2	nothing.
3	THE COURT: accused of a sex related crime, and
4	you don't
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: It wouldn't affect me,
6	no.
7	THE COURT: you don't even know if he was
8	convicted, right?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: That's correct. But it
10	wouldn't affect my judgment at all.
11	THE COURT: All right. I appreciate your letting me
12	know about that. Thank you very much.
13	All right. At this time, State, do you have any
14	questions for the members of the prospective jury?
15	MS. FLECK: I do, Your Honor. Thank you.
16	All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we have spent a
17	significant amount of the day talking about reasons why people
18	can't be here or don't want to be here. Anyone that's
19	actually excited to be here, that when they got their summons
20	they thought, you know, I'm kind of interested to go down and
21	do this? Mr. Winings, what did you feel when you got your
22	summons?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: Well, I've never had a
24	chance to do this.
25	THE MARSHAL: Sir, sir

\_

THE COURT: We need the microphone. Sorry.

THE MARSHAL: Need your badge number, name, and then answer the question, please.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: 022, Winings. I've never had a chance to do anything like this before because, you know, I'm 60 now and I've been in the military for 23 years.

And I look — since I've never been part of the system before, I was looking forward to be part of it for once.

MS. FLECK: Okay. So you kind of view it as your civil duty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: Looking forward to it.

MS. FLECK: And is it participating in the process, or learning something more about the criminal justice system? What is it that —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: Both.

MS. FLECK: Okay. Anyone else feel that way, or interested in it? Okay. So I have my work cut out for me.

I guess the idea that hopefully the judge has already imparted to everyone and that I will, is that our goal for both the State and the defense is to find a jury at the end of the day that is fair and impartial to both sides, to both the State and to the defense, and that if there is anything that you've thought about while you've heard questions posed to other people or answers that you'll continue to hear and it brings something up in your mind like you know what, I might

not be the right person for this, if you've forgotten something, as Mr. Winings had, or if you're kind of embarrassed to say something.

You've heard the charges, 37 counts of sexual abuse. Serious case. So if there's anything in your past, in your experiences that you think it's just nagging in the back of my mind but I don't really want to say it, this is the time to say it. Again, both the State and the defense need to have an impaneled jury that comes into this courtroom obviously with your own experiences, but able to listen to the evidence and not make a judgment until all of that evidence is put forth.

So with that, any other questions that I ask is never intended to embarrass anybody, and I'm sorry and I apologize in advance. But it's just a way of me getting to know you and you also maybe reflecting within yourself if this is really the kind of case that you should be sitting on.

First of all, who has seen CSI, CSI type shows?

Okay. The majority of people. Can we all agree that this is a real courtroom and not a set in Hollywood? Anybody have an issue with that?

Okay. Is there anyone that's going to say, you know what, this is a sexual assault case, if there's not forensic evidence, if there's not DNA, if there's not fingerprints, there's no way that I can convict? Anyone feel that way?

Anyone think, you know what, I can't just listen to a

speaker.

1.5

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: But no, my experience was that with the law enforcement, I mean, they were doing their job, but they — not getting into all the facts, but the nature of the — well, anyway, they didn't seem — because I had survived and they were overworked and, you know, they didn't follow up on the case that much.

MS. FLECK: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: And it was almost impossible to track this guy down. Once he's gone, he's gone. Jumped on the train and was gone, another city, I guess. Anyway, so I don't blame them for that.

MS. FLECK: So did they apprehend him or not? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: No.

MS. FLECK: They did not.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: As far as I know they never — that's what I mean. Whenever I inquired, you know, follow up on the case, did you find anybody, any evidence, anything going on; oh, no, no, we'll come back in a year, we'll — it's still an active case, we can't tell you anything, so.

MS. FLECK: And is that — what jurisdiction was that in, what city?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Oh, this was in California, near San Francisco.

1	MS. FLECK: Is that the sole issue that you have, or		
2	experience that you had with law enforcement that gives you		
3	that feeling?		
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yes.		
5.	MS. FLECK: Solely that?		
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: [No audible response.]		
7	MS. FLECK: Okay. So fair to say that completely		
8	different city, completely different case, completely		
9	different law enforcement officers?		
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yes.		
11	MS. FLECK: So there's nothing else that has had such		
12	an overarching feeling in your mind to cause all law		
13	enforcement to not be credible, it was just stemming from that		
14	experience?		
- 15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: I would say so, yes.		
16	MS. FLECK: Anything else then that you've listened		
17	to when you've talked with other or heard other people's		
18	anguers heard other questions that are posed that have made		
19	know what, maybe I wouldn't be the person to be		
20	thic case?		
2:	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: No.		
. 2	You can be fair and impartial to both		
2			
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: I'll try.		
	MS. FLECK: You can try?		
2	KARR REPORTING, INC. 245		

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yeah.		
2	MS. FLECK: Any hesitation in that?		
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Nah.		
4	MS. FLECK: Sorry. The word "try" always gives me a		
5	little bit of —		
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: I'm sorry.		
7	MS. FLECK: pause.		
8	Okay. If you're chosen to be a juror, promise to do		
. 9	the very best job you can possibly do?		
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Scout's honor.		
11	MS. FLECK: Thank you.		
12	Okay. So any other, any other ill will or feelings		
13	against law enforcement that hasn't come out with anyone yet,		
14	based upon either criminal cases you've had, based upon, you		
15	know, anything in your life?		
16	Mashas I'll - I want to kind of flesh out		
17	a line on the criminal justice system.		
18	PROCEETIVE JUBOR NO. 024: 024.		
19	You disclosed that your brother had an		
	Neither Mr. Graham or I were the district		
20	that case. I trust?		
2	PROCEETIVE JUBOR NO. 024: That is correct.		
2	PIECK. Do voll know who was?		
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: I can picture him in my		
	T don't remember the name.		
2	mind, but I don't remember and		

1	MS. FLECK: Did your brother go to trial, or did he
2	take a plea?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: He took a plea.
4	MS. FLECK: And who was his criminal defense
5	attorney?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Tom Pitaro.
7	MS. FLECK: So understanding that you obviously, you
8	know, you're emotional about it and you have every right to
9	be, that you were close to your brother, I assume?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Yes.
11	MS. FLECK: Did you know the victim in the case?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Yes.
13	MS. FLECK: Was that a person also within your
14	family?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: No.
16	MS. FLECK: Do you think that there's any way that
17	you can set that aside?
18	I mean, given that you're visibly upset, I know that
19	you are if you're thinking with your head and not your
20	heart you would tell me probably that, you know, you know it's
21	a different case and you know it's different prosecutors and
22	different evidence and all of that kind of stuff. So those
23	
24	But if you're looking within your heart, do you think
	' II
25	lar he fair to the State, given our office

prosecuted your brother for the exact same offense?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: No. I'm not sure I can
be impartial.
MS. FLECK: Your Honor, I'm going to make a motion
for cause right now on Ms. Mashas.
THE COURT: Mr. or Ms. Feliciano, do you have any
questions you wish to ask her?
MS. FELICIANO: The Court's brief indulgence.
(Pause in proceedings)
MR. FELICIANO: No questions. Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: Ms. — is it Ms. Mashas? Is that how you
pronounce it? I apologize. Ms. Mashas, thank you very much
for your service. You are excused. Please report to the
third floor, jury services room on the third floor, and they
will give you more instructions. All right.
And we need to get the next person in line.
THE CLERK: Badge No. 058, Elaine Cory.
THE COURT: Come on up, ma'am, and have a seat in the
seat that Ms. Mashas is now leaving.
All right. Ms. Cory, since you're just now taking a
seat in this area, let me go ahead and ask you to give us a
brief biographical sketch. What do you do, are you married,
does your husband work? I know you're moving to Texas but,
you know, kids, that kind of thing.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I work at Harrah's hotel

1	and casino at the front desk.
2	THE COURT: All right. How long have you done that?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Almost 13 years.
4	THE COURT: Are you married?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes.
6	THE COURT: What does your husband do?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Security.
8.	THE COURT: For what kind of organization?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Mandalay Bay.
10	THE COURT: Okay. What are you going to be doing in
11	Texas?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Retiring.
13	THE COURT: Okay, Congratulations.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Babysitting.
15	THE COURT: Any kids?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes.
17	THE COURT: How old?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Thirty-nine and 34, two
19	boys.
20	31
. 21	
22	THE COURT: How old are they?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Twelve, nine, eight,
24	seven and four.
2	
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 249

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22.

23 24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes.

THE COURT: Is that something that you would hold against the State in this case, knowing that it's the same organization? I don't know if it's the same officers or not, but I'm going to guess not. But is that something that you would hold against the State?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Possibly.

THE COURT: In what way would - if a Metro police officer were to come in and testify, would you find him less credible because of what happened to your husband, or would you even --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I think there's a lot of entrapment in this city.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: And my husband has 20 years of police enforcement back in Indiana.

THE COURT: He was a sworn officer in Indiana? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: He worked for the DOT, for the State of Indiana.

THE COURT: All right. So — all right. When you say that you think there's a lot of entrapment in this city, is that just from what happened to your husband, or is that other knowledge, things you've read and heard?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: The knowledge I've heard.

THE COURT: I guess what I'm going after is why do

anyone else closely associated with you ever been accused of a 1 crime sexual in nature or otherwise? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: No. 3 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. Let me 4 get you to hand the microphone back to Randy. 5 Ms. Fleck, you may continue. 6 MS. FLECK: Okay. I'm going to quickly ask the 7 general law enforcement question again. Anyone else have sort 8 of ill will or concerns or anything in the back of their mind 9 that they thought about? No. Okay. 10 Then Ms. Cory, I'm going to come to you, since you 11 were the last one in the hot seat. So was the woman that was 12 involved with your husband's case, was that an undercover 13 officer? 1.4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes. 15 MS. FLECK: Okay. So that's how you -- that's why 16 you feel it was entrapment? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes. 18 MS. FLECK: All right. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Not only that, like I 20 said, there were two others arrested within two to three 21 minutes of him right in the same place. They were all right 22 together in jail, processed, you know, one right after the 23 other. 2.4 MS. FLECK: So did they also -- for the same thing? 25

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes.
2	MS. FLECK: And so in your mind, because there were
3	more than one, it made the officers — I guess I don't
4	understand how that — how does that in your mind tell you
5	that there was some sort of entrapment?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: When there's three in a
7	row two minutes apart.
8	MS. FLECK: Okay.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: What else would you call
10	that?
11	MS. FLECK: And it was the same female officers?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes.
13	MS. FLECK: Got it. Okay. So you bring up a good
14	point, which is that your husband told you that the officer
15	said, you know, it's basically your word against hers.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Mm-hmm.
17	MS. FLECK: Is your concern that it was a police
18	officer and their word versus your husband's or a civilian's,
19	a normal person in society; is that your concern, like the
20	power differential?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I guess I would have to
22	say so, yes.
23	MS. FLECK: If you were asked to be a juror in this
24	aftentimes in sexual assault cases there are
25	night Oftentimes

Ż

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes.

MS. FLECK: — there's only the victim and the suspect. What kinds of things would you use in a situation — I understand with your husband it might be a little bit different because it's law enforcement. Would you agree that in other situations there may be often times where you have to judge credibility based upon just two people's story?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I'm sorry. Say that again.

MS. FLECK: I know. I started — that was awful. But anyway. Okay. Let me start over.

That there are times when you will only have two people's story, so you'll be in a position where you kind of have to judge a person's credibility?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes.

MS. FLECK: What kinds of things would you use or do you use in your life to judge a person's credibility, when really all you have are two different people's versions of what happened?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Well, I think mannerisms and body language can say a lot, and I think if you pay attention to that person you can get a good feel for them.

MS. FLECK: Okay. Let's talk about children. You have children of your own and grandchildren that you're going to go take care of. When your children were growing up, did

you ever have times where you had to kind of decide whether your child was telling the truth, or maybe versus an adult?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: No.

MS. FLECK: Ever put in a position where you had to judge credibility between any children and an adult?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: No. My husband worked for law enforcement. They toed a tight rope, let me tell you.

MS. FLECK: Do you agree that a child or a minor can — or I guess, do you have any feelings about children as witnesses, or kind of evaluating a younger person's version of what happened versus an adult's, any issues with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: No.

MS. FLECK: In your experience as a mom, what are some reasons that you've come across that children may not tell the truth?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Well, I suppose they do for lack of attention at times.

MS. FLECK: Okay. So to get attention. What else? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I suppose some kids are just ornery.

MS. FLECK: All right. Any problems then, if you're asked to be a juror in this case, listening to testimony of who's now, you know, actually a young woman, but listening to a version of events that she would be recalling from as far back as 11 years old, and judging that against maybe adults?

that is overriding in your mind such that you won't be able to listen with a clear mind?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I can get along with it.

MS. FLECK: All right. If you're asked to be a juror, you promise to do the very best job you can possibly do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes.

MS. FLECK: All right. Thank you.

MR. FELICIANO: Judge, may we approach briefly?

THE COURT: Sure.

(Bench conference.)

MS. FELICIANO: So at this point we're going to ask to dismiss the panel based on the questioning that Ms. Fleck just engaged in with the witness, telling the witness that the credibility at issue here is only between the alleged victim and the defendant, and that there are no issues with law enforcement credibility.

Just therefore took away any chance for us to attack or [inaudible] the police investigation in this case by her saying law enforcement is just fact finders here, there's no issues with credibility. That's just [inaudible] law enforcement credibility [inaudible] to say something like that without having any [inaudible]. It's —

MS. FLECK: That's not what I was saying.

MS. FELICIANO: That's exactly what was just said.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

1.4

1.5

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

against the defendant.

That just told the entire jury that the investigation in this case was above board, credible, and that we have no issues with it, which is incredibly prejudicial to Mr. Renteria.

MS. FLECK: Well, you can clear it up, but just say — or I can clear it up right now and I — the point was that it's not an issue like if with her husband's, where it's law enforcement as a — as [inaudible] entrapment. But it's not like this was a situation where an officer [inaudible], you know, trying to troll and look for young girls. That's all I'm saying. I don't think that anybody took it like that.

But I'll clear it up and say -- or you clear it up.

THE COURT: Right. I mean, it seems like something pretty clear to me, because all day long I've been saying things, because I don't even know who your witnesses are. You know, it's one of the strange things where I know less about the case than either of you. I've been asking all the jurors if police officers were to come in here and testify would you be fair and impartial. I've said that 20 times today.

So I think it's pretty clear that police officers

might come in and testify, so I don't know why the juror would conclude, you know, based on the one question that, oh, that means I don't have to listen to what — you know, I don't have to decide if the police officers are believable or not.

MS. FELICIANO: Because the State just made the representation that this is not about police officer credibility, the credibility of the officers are not at issue in this case and that only the credibility of the alleged victim is at issue.

MS. FLECK: First of all, it's my -

MS. FELICIANO: That's what the State just said.

MS. FLECK: First of all, you get to get up and say whatever you want. Just because I say it doesn't mean it's true. I mean, I say a lot of things up there that's against your theory of the case, against everything that you —

MS. FELICIANO: By vouching for the witness, we're not getting [inaudible] police officer and saying this is not an issue in the case. That's improper vouching and that's a misrepresentation of what's at issue.

THE COURT: I mean, we can certainly clear it up.

But my impression of the entire line of questioning is that
her husband's case was because he's solicited by [inaudible]

police officer [inaudible]. That's not the case here.

MS. FLECK: And I'll say [inaudible] you have to judge the credibility of a police officer the same as everyone

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

else. I'm not conceding that that clarifies that we're asking to dismiss the panel. I mean, we're not going to concede that that clears up the issue [inaudible].

THE COURT: Well, I mean, it's denied, because I think we can -- first of all, it's fixable [inaudible]. But my understanding is the whole point of the questioning is her husband was allegedly [inaudible] accused of soliciting a police officer, and I think Ms. Fleck's point is it's not a police officer who's saying I'm the victim and he did this to me.

MS. FLECK: Correct.

In this case it's a, for want of a better THE COURT: word, civilian. [Inaudible] civilian witness. I think that was the point. And I don't remember your exact wording, but that's what I got your point to be.

MS. FLECK: I'll clarify.

THE COURT: Maybe she phrased it inelegantly, but I don't know why at this stage -- I mean, because I'm not confused by it. I'm not sure how many jurors are, but we can, you know, clear it up.

MS. FLECK: I'll clarify it and just say you have to judge the credibility of all witnesses.

THE COURT: All right.

(End bench conference.)

MS. FLECK: May I proceed?

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You may. THE COURT:

Okay. Just to clarify in case there was MS. FLECK: any confusion, obviously all of the witnesses that come and testify before you, whether it's a victim, a family member, or a law enforcement, you judge the credibility of each and every witness.

So if I in any way implied that you don't have to judge the credibility of law enforcement, that was not my intent. My intent was to flesh out if since it's not a law enforcement officer who is sort of saying this is what was done to me, if it clears up your issues with your husband. Are we on the same page?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes.

Wonderful. MS. FLECK: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: We always were.

Great. Thank you. Okay. MS. FLECK:

There's a number of mandatory reporters. Mr. Brahmer, your wife -- you're not, but your wife is a substitute teacher. As a substitute teacher, has she ever talked to you -- sorry.

> PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: 001.

Thank you. Okay. Has she ever talked to MS. FLECK: you about her job as a substitute teacher and whether or not she's ever had to report sexual abuse?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: She talks about her job

. 1	all the time, nothing about that though.
2	MS. FLECK: Okay. Nothing about kids at school that
3	have ever disclosed to her that they were victims or anything
4	like that?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: No. If it's happened,
6	she's never talked about it.
7	MS. FLECK: Okay. The only other thing then that I
8	think that you talked about is that you were accused of a
9	misdemeanor theft.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001; Convicted.
11	MS. FLECK: Convicted. And when was that?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: I was 18, which would
13	be 1990.
14	MS. FLECK: Here in Las Vegas?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Colorado.
16	MS. FLECK: All right. Any issues with that at all?
17	I mean, I —
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: No. I was just a dumb
19	kid who stole some stuff and got caught, that simple.
20	MS. FLECK: All right. No kids, right?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: No kids.
22	MS. FLECK: Any issues judging the credibility of
23	children or young when I say children, it's the victim
24	in this case is now a 19-year-old woman. So any issues
25	though, listening to testimony about kids, what happened when
	ll .

Ţ	they were children, anything like that, not having mask	
2	experience of your own?	
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: No.	
4	MS. FLECK: And maybe you do with nieces or nephews,	
5	but any issues with that?	
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: None.	
7	MS. FLECK: All right. Promise you'll do the very	
8	best job you can possibly do if you're chosen to be a juror?	
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Yes.	
10	MS. FLECK: Thank you.	
11	Number 6, Ms. Barrow.	
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: 013.	
13	MS. FLECK: And you are also a teacher for Clark	
14	County School District.	
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes. I teach third	
16	grade.	
17	MS. FLECK: What do you teach?	
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Third grade.	
19	MS. FLECK: At what school?	
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Walter Bracken Elementary	
21	School.	
22	MS. FLECK: A mandatory reporter, right?	
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes.	
24	MS. FLECK: Have you ever had or been involved in any	
25	mandatory reporting through your job?	
	II	

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Fortunately no, not yet. 1 MS. FLECK: How about any of the teachers that you're 2 close with? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: My first year teaching I 4 know a teacher I use to work with, she reported some child 5 abuse incident. 6 MS. FLECK: Having experience with children, what 7 kinds of things do you use to kind of judge credibility? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Well, I feel -- working 9 with children for so long, I feel like a lot of times you can 10 tell when they're being honest with you, especially if there 11 is a lot of emotion when they're talking to you about things 1.2 that are happening to them on the playground or whatever. 13 However I have also had experience with students looking at me 14 and lying straight to my face with tears in their eyes as 15 well. 16 So it's, you know, it can be really hard sometimes to 17 judge when they're telling the truth, especially if they're 18 19 good at... MS. FLECK: All right. Okay. So you just kind of go 20 with your gut then at that --21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: A lot of times you 22. have to, and a lot of times it's a matter of making sure you 23 can find facts or witnesses and corroborate their story, or 24 corroborate with their story. 25

MS. FLECK: Okay. How about this idea. Would you agree that just because a person may have made a foolish choice as a — you know, when they were younger, that they are still entitled to the same exact protections under the law as a victim when they're older?

Maybe somebody made a mistake and did something that you would say, well, that was foolish or that was, you know, that was a bad decision, but they were young and — would you hold that against them as a victim later in life?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: I don't think so. I think everybody makes mistakes [inaudible].

MS. FLECK: So you wouldn't automatically disbelieve what a witness said because they had made an immature decision at say 11 years old?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: No.

MS. FLECK: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: And I do have one thing I wanted to say. I did have a student named Maritza back in 2004, and I think that was one of the names of the — but I wasn't sure if the age doesn't fit. I don't recall her last name.

MS. FLECK: Third grade?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes. She was probably about nine at the time.

MS. FLECK: I don't think that -- do you remember her

1	last name?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: No, I don't
3	unfortunately. It was during my student teaching.
4	MS. FLECK: Okay. I don't think that that could
5	possibly be her, but I'll
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: I didn't think so, but
7	MS. FLECK: I'll check into it.
8	Okay. So we were talking about automatically
9	disbelieving. So you wouldn't you can account for reasons
10	why people do things, you're not going to judge somebody based
11	upon a decision they made when they were young?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: No.
13	MS. FLECK: Now, who was it convicted of the robbery
14	with a deadly weapon?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: It was my my older
16	brother.
17	MS. FLECK: And also the breaking and entering?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes.
19	MS. FLECK: How involved were you in those cases?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: It was he was in
21	California and I was here. It was out of state. I had
22	nothing to do with it.
23	MS. FLECK: Okay. How about your parents or your
24	other members of your family, were they involved in it?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: No. He had — was moved
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 268

#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 1 2 No. 68165 GUILLERMO RENTERIA-NOVOA 3 4 Appellant, VS. 5 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 6 7 Respondent. 8 <u> APPELLANT'S APPENDIX – VOLUME III– PAGES 422-689</u> 9 STEVE WOLFSON PHILIP J. KOHN Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Clark County Public Defender 10 309 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 11 CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO 12 Attorney for Appellant Attorney General 100 North Carson Street 13 Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538 14 Counsel for Respondent 15 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 16 I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada 17 2013. Electronic Service of the Supreme Court on the Ata day of, 18 foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows: 19 NANCY LEMCKE CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO 20 HOWARD S. BROOKS STEVEN S. OWENS 21 I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and 22 correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to: 23 GUILLERMO RENTERIA-NOVOA 24 NDOC No. 1092343 c/o HIGH DESERT STATE PRISON 25 P.O. BOX 650 26 INDIAN SPRINGS, NY 89070 27 BYounty Public Defender's Office 28

#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

	III SOLICE OCC.		
2		NI (01(5	•
3	GUILLERMO RENTERIA-NOVOA, )	No. 68165	
4	Appellant,	1	Electronically Filed Jul 19 2013 09:46 a.m.
5	v. )	<b>)</b> •	Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk of Supreme Court
6	)		Olerk of Supreme Sourt
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA, )	)	
8	Respondent.	)	
9	A DOWN A AMERICA DESIGNATION		DACES 422 680
10	APPELLANT'S APPENDIX	X- VOLUME III –	PAGES 422-005
11	PHILIP J. KOHN	STEVE WOL	FSON
12	Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street	Clark County 200 Lewis Ay	District Attorney venue, 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor
13	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610	Las Vegas, No	evada 89155
14	Attorney for Appellant		CORTEZ MASTO
15		Attorney Gen 100 North Ca Carson City	rson Street Nevada 89701-4717
16		(702) 687-353	Nevada 89701-4717 38
17		Counsel for R	espondent
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

## 2

# INDEX GUILLERMO RENTERIA-NOVOA Case No. 68165

3

4

5

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

PAGE NO. Amended Information filed 01/26/11......040-055 Amended Information filed 01/26/11......112-126 Criminal Complaint dated 06/03/10......001-003 Defendant Motion In Limine To Preclude Use Of The Prejudicial Term "Victim" filed 04/07/11......140-150 Defendants Notice of Witnesses Per NRS Filed 05/14/12......222-223 Defendants Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used At Trial Filed 05/24/12......234-239 District Court Minutes through 09/06/12......303-331 Instructions To The Jury filed 05/25/12......240-280 Judgment of Conviction filed 09/17/12......291-298 Justice Court Minutes through 10/12/10......020-022 Motion For Discovery filed 04/07/11......160-169 Motion In Limine To Preclude The States Experts From Improper Vouching And To Prevent "Experts" From Testifying Outside Their Area Of Expertise filed 04/07/11......131-136 Motion To Suppress filed 04/25/12......180-218 Notice of Appeal filed 10/05/12......299-302 Notice of Witnesses And/Or Expert Witnesses Filed 01/31/11......127-128 Order filed 12/23/10 ..... 098-099

28

Order Denying Defendants Motion In Limine To Preclude The States Experts From Improper Vouching And To Prevent "Experts" From

1 2	Testifying Outside Their Area Of Expertise And Motion In Limine To Preclude Prejudicial Term "Victim" Filed 05/17/11158-159
3	Petition For Writ Of Habeas Corpus filed 11/29/10059-097
5	Return To Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 01/05/11102-111
6	Second Amended Criminal Complaint 10/12/10004-019
7	Second Amended Information filed 05/22/12224-233
9	Second Supplemental Notice Of Witnesses And/Or Expert Witnesses Filed 05/14/12219-221
10	States Opposition To Defendants Motion For Discovery Filed 04/14/11170-179
12	States Opposition To Defendants Motion In Limine To Preclude Prejudicial Term "Victim" filed 04/14/11151-157
13 14 15	States Opposition To Defendants Motion In Limine To Preclude The States Experts From Improper Vouching And To Prevent "Experts" From Testifying Outside Their Area Of Expertise Filed 04/14/11
16 17	Supplemental Notice Of Witnesses And/Or Expert Witnesses Filed 04/13/11129-130
18	Verdict filed 05/25/12281-290
19 20	Writ Of Habeas Corpus filed 12/23/10100-101
21	TRANSCRIPTS
22	
23 24	Jury Trial, Day 1 Date of Trial: 05/21/12422-757
25 26	Jury Trial, Day 2 Date of Trial: 05/22/12758-938
20 27	Jury Trial, Day 3 Date of Trial: 05/23/12939-1211
28	Jury Trial, Day 4 Date of Trial: 05/24/121212-1424

1   2	Jury Trial, Day 5 Date of Trial: 05/25/121425-1445
3	Transcript of Proceedings, Recorders Transcript of Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 05/17/11
5 6 7	Transcript of Proceedings, Recorders Transcript of Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 11/01/11
8 9	Transcript of Proceedings, Recorders Transcript of Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 01/17/12369-373
10   11   12	Transcript of Proceedings, Recorders Transcript of Calendar Call; Motion To Suppress; And Jackson V. Denno Hearing: Defendants Motion To Suppress Date of Hrg: 05/15/12380-421
13 14	Transcript of Proceedings, Recorders Transcript of Hearing RE: Arraignment Continued Date of Hrg: 11/05/10332-335
15 16 17	Transcript of Proceedings, Recorders Transcript of Motion To Suppress Date of Hrg: 05/10/12374-379
18 19 20 21	Transcript of Proceedings, Recorders Transcript of Motion In Limine To Preclude The States Experts From Improper Vouching And To Prevent "Experts" From Testifying Outside Their Area Of Expertise; Defendants Motion For Discovery; And Defendants Motion In Limine To Preclude Use Of The Prejudicial Term "Victim" Date of Hrg: 04/28/11
22 23 24 25 26	Transcript of Proceedings, Recorders Transcript of Motion In Limine To Preclude The States Experts From Improper Vouching And To Prevent "Experts" From Testifying Outside Their Area Of Expertise; Defendants Motion For Discovery; And Defendants Motion In Limine To Preclude Use Of The Prejudicial Term "Victim" Date of Hrg: 05/03/11
27 28	

- 1	
1	Transcript of Proceedings,
2	Recorders Transcript of Motion In Limine To Preclude The States Experts From Improper Vouching And To Prevent "Experts" From
3	Testifying Outside Their Area Of Expertise; Defendants Motior For Discovery; And Defendants Motion In Limine To Preclude Use
4	Of The Prejudicial Term "Victim"  Date of Hrg: 12/05/12340-342
5	Date of Hrg: 12/05/12
6	Transcript of Proceedings, Recorders Transcript of Sentencing
7	Date of Hrg: 09/06/121446-1455
8	Transcript of Proceedings, Reporters Transcript of Closing Arguments/Bindover Date of Hrg: 10/12/10
9	
10	
11	Corpus Status Check, Discovery
12	Date of Hrg: 01/26/11336-339
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Electronically Filed 12/05/2012 02:06:59 PM

TRAN

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. C268285-1

DEPT NO. XX

vs.

GUILLERMO RENTERIA-NOVOA,

Defendant.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JEROME TAO, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

JURY TRIAL - DAY 1

MONDAY, MAY 21, 2012

APPEARANCES:

For the State:

MICHELLE FLECK, ESQ.

NICKOLAS J. GRAHAM, ESQ. Deputy District Attorneys

For the Defendant: MIKE FELICIANO, ESQ.

AMY A. FELICIANO, ESQ. Deputy Public Defenders

Interpreters:

Lorena Pike

Maria Peralta de Gomez

Michael Barry Yul Haasman Sylvia Page Mario Maldorado

RECORDED BY SARA RICHARDSON, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: KARR Reporting, Inc.

\_

-

(Outside the presence of the prospective jurors.)

THE COURT: This is State versus Guillermo

Renteria-Novoa, C268285. This is the time set for the jury

trial. Can everybody state their appearances for the record.

MS. FLECK: Michelle Fleck for the State.

MR. GRAHAM: Nick Graham for the State.

MR. FELICIANO: Mike Feliciano for the defense.

MS. FELICIANO: Amy Feliciano for the defense.

THE COURT: All right. And I'll note that the defendant is present in custody with the assistance of a Spanish interpreter. For the record, Madam Interpreter, what is your name?

THE INTERPRETER: Lorena Pike, L-o-r-e-n-a, P-i-k-e.

THE COURT: Can you hear her, Sara? Okay.

All right. We are outside the presence of the jury. Was there anything that either side wanted to address before we start rounding up the jury?

MR. FELICIANO: Not from us, Judge.

MS. FLECK: Okay. Well, it's my understanding now that the defense intends to bring in the fact that the victim in this case was pregnant at the time that the police found out and she disclosed to the police what had been happening since 2004, or 2005.

Mike Feliciano and I had discussed it before, and he had said — I said, you know, I'm going to obviously object to that information coming in. He said, I don't see how it would come in or what basis I would have to bring it in, so I haven't done any motions to exclude it. And now it's my understanding that they'd like to bring that in.

I don't see how her being pregnant is relevant.

Furthermore, I think that we would have had to have some sort of hearing if they wanted to get into any kind of other sexual conduct of the victim, so.

THE COURT: Mike Feliciano, your response.

MR. FELICIANO: Judge, we're not offering — first of all, we would submit that rape shield doesn't apply in this case. We're not offering it to challenge her credibility. We're offering it as a motive for her to make the disclosure. When she disclosed to the — to her cousin, I believe, then to her aunt she was pregnant at the time and that along with it looks like an inappropriate relationship with her cousin.

So based on those two things, we would be bringing them in not to challenge her credibility, but to show the purpose of why she disclosed and her motive for possibly fabricating.

MS. FELICIANO: And she also tells the detective, the lead detective on the case, Jaeger, that the disclosure to her mom comes out because she has to tell her mom she's pregnant.

She then tells her mom she's pregnant. After that she tells her mom that she's had a sexual relationship with her cousin, and then about the conduct with Mr. Renteria.

So part of her disclosure to her mom is because she has to tell her mom she is pregnant. Therefore we're not introducing it for one of the inadmissible purposes under NRS 50.090, the rape shield law. It goes toward her motive in this case to disclose.

THE COURT: Well, all right. Explain to me how that goes to motive. She's not saying I'm pregnant and he's the father, so what — she's already identified the father, and also saying that Mr. Renteria—Novoa supposedly did what he did. So what exactly — I don't understand your argument factually.

MS. FLECK: And furthermore, we have to clear that — clear it up even factually, because that's not at all how it happens. The way that it happens is defendant is repeatedly calling and texting the victim, threatening her, sending text messages that say, If you don't call me back I'm going to tell your family what you did with your cousin back in 2004. If you don't pick up the phone I'm going to ruin your life.

He ends up calling a cousin, Maritza, at her work and says, If Roxana doesn't call me, I'm going to tell everyone hurtful things about her. I'm going to ruin her life. The cousin says, What are you talking about. They have absolutely

no idea. So it's because of what he says to the cousin that the whole thing blows up.

2.4

They obviously confront Roxana. She breaks down and says he was — he's been harassing me, goes to the aunt. Aunt comes and says, What's going on. She says, He's been harassing me, and then starts to disclose a little bit about what's been going on. But it had absolutely nothing to do with her being pregnant.

Mom finds out about the relationship with the defendant, and then some weeks later finds out about her being pregnant with her — from her boyfriend, teenage boyfriend.

They're — they were completely separate issues. And what she says to the police basically is, I feel so — such a relief like, you know, the reason that I've been doing this for five years is because he's been threatening me.

He's been holding this over my head, and now finally it's all out in the open; the fact that I'm pregnant, the fact that I had this relationship with my cousin in 2004, and the fact that I've been forced to, you know, be the defendant's sex toy for the last four years.

So that's kind of the course of events with the disclosure. So factually that's — that's actually not correct.

MS. FELICIANO: That's not what's contained in the declaration of warrant. In the declaration of warrant the

officer says Roxana had just told her mother she was pregnant. Once she started to talk to her mother about being pregnant, she told everything she did with her cousin in 2004, and everything about Guillermo. So that gives her a motive to fabricate.

A 16-year-old teenager who's pregnant, who has to disclose to her mother that she's pregnant gives her a motive to fabricate an allegation of sexual abuse. It goes to our defense theory. We're not introducing it for an inadmissible purpose, and we're entitled to present evidence of her motive.

THE COURT: Well, okay. Explain to me again factually why this is evidence of motive. I mean, the typical case is, all right, the girl ends up pregnant. She can no longer hide it from parents, so she arguably makes up, oh, I was raped by this guy and that's why I'm pregnant. I'm not engaging in sexual acts, I'm not, you know, doing those kind of things, I was raped by such and such person.

But in this case, I mean, how was this evidence of motive when she says I am pregnant by this other guy and by the way, this other guy, Guillermo Renteria-Novoa, who did not make me pregnant, also engaged in —— I'll use the word loosely, but sexual acts with me. So how exactly is that even arguably motive?

MR. FELICIANO: Well, because if she is going to be in trouble with her mother for being pregnant --

1.4

THE COURT: But now she's going to be in more trouble. So how — isn't the opposite of motive, because now she has two people that she's had sex with.

MR. FELICIANO: Well, not if — not if she's a victim of a crime. If she's a victim of a crime, that would cut against her being in trouble.

THE COURT: Is she saying that the person who's actually the father of this child raped her?

MR. FELICIANO: No. No. We're talking about Mr. Novoa here.

MS. FELICIANO: She's blaming Mr. Novoa for raping her to get her out of trouble for being pregnant by somebody else. If she tells her mom that she's pregnant, she's going to get in trouble. But if she says at the same time, oh, and I've been sexually abused by your ex-boyfriend for years, that's going to minimize any amount of trouble she would have gotten in for being pregnant in the first place.

MS. FLECK: Well, again, if the disclosure happened like that. But the disclosure doesn't happen like that.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I mean, this really should have been the subject of a motion, so I could have looked at all this stuff before today. Because now you're arguing the facts about what's in the police report, what's in her statement, which none of which I actually even have.

I'm not even sure I have a copy of the -- well, I

have a copy of the police report, but I don't have a copy of anything else in here. I have a copy of the transcript of the prelim. But I'm assuming this didn't come up in the prelim, or did it? I don't even know.

MS. FLECK: No, it didn't.

MR. FELICIANO: It did not.

THE COURT: So how is it that — Mike Feliciano, that you intend to even get this into evidence? Are you just going to ask the victim when she takes the stand, or do you have other evidence that you wish to introduce?

MR. FELICIANO: We would ask her or we could ask her mother. It's going to be — and the detective that she actually told this to.

MS. FELICIANO: If she denies making the statement on the stand. Because it is contained in the declaration of warrant. She told that to the police.

MS. FLECK: Well, but just because that's how he summarized it doesn't mean that that's how the events went down. I mean, her saying I feel so much better because I've gotten all of this off my chest —

THE COURT: Right. I mean, here's the question, is you can't — I mean, you're not going to cross her on the police report, right? She didn't write the police report. She may not have ever seen the police report.

MS. FELICIANO: We can cross her on the statements KARR REPORTING, INC.

that she made to the officer --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

THE COURT: Right. But ---

MS. FELICIANO: -- and if she denies making them, then we can raise it with the detective as a prior inconsistent statement. We're entitled under our defense to be able to cross-examine on this information.

We're not introducing this -- rape shield only prevents us from raising this type of issue to challenge her character, or her credibility as a witness. We're not saying that. This goes directly to the heart of her motive to fabricate.

MS. FLECK: But how is there a motive to fabricate when the defendant himself has admitted that he was in a sexual relationship with her? So where's the fabrication, number one? I mean, you can't just say that she's fabricating it and - as a conclusory statement. He admits that he had a sexual relationship with her since he walked in on her with her cousin. So --

THE COURT: All right. Hang on. I'm looking at the police report. What page are you talking about?

MS. FELICIANO: It's the declaration of warrant.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm looking at it. Where is it?

MS. FELICIANO: It's on page 2, at the very bottom.

The last paragraph beginning with, "While I was photographing

Roxana's phone." 25

THE COURT: How does the police officer get here? How was he even doing this interview?

MR. FELICIANO: He — first it looks like patrol comes out and then later on he does this statement. He does a statement with — he does a voluntary statement with Roxana, the complaining witness in the case.

MS. FLECK: But it's — the thing is, is the family finds out about what's going on with the defendant. He calls the cousin in — on November 29, he calls the cousin and says, Make sure Roxana calls me or she's going to — or she's going to regret it. That's — then it starts to go through the family of like, you know, what's he talking about, you know, what was the extent of this relationship.

It isn't — she doesn't talk to the detective until the beginning of January. So it's like a month later that she has that conversation with the detective. It's within that month that she's able to disclose then what happened, or the fact that she's pregnant. So the two didn't have anything to do with each other.

Mom finds out first about defendant. And the only reason that it was disclosed, the only reason it came to light is because of the defendant and his actions.

THE COURT: All right. So here's the situation.

Ms. Fleck is at least saying that you provided, one of you provided her assurances before today that you weren't going to

go into this. Did that happen?

MR. FELICIANO: We discussed it. I didn't say that we absolutely were not going to get into it. But I said — we discussed it in passing and I said I wasn't sure if that was something we're going to get into.

After looking at it and talking — and speaking with Ms. Porray [phonetic] and preparing our case, it's actually a very important part of it and a very important part of the disclosure. And it's part of our theory of defense and we're entitled to get into it.

MS. FLECK: Well, then I would just ask, because it — and I'm not going to hold them to an assurance if he — they have changed something thus far. That's no problem. He specifically said, I don't see any possible way I can get into it. But that being said, if we're going to have to litigate it, then I would just ask that we put it all in writing, because I need to prepare an opposition.

THE COURT: So Ms. Fleck, this statement that you were referring to that you say is factually different than what's in the declaration [unintelligible], what statement is that, that you're talking about? Is that just from questioning the victim, or —

MS. FLECK: What are you — which one are you referring to?

THE COURT: Well, you said that -- initially I asked

factually how did this statement of the pregnancy come into light, and they said that --

1.8

2.3

MS. FLECK: Okay. Well, that's from — that's from all of the witnesses. So we know from Maritza, who is the victim's cousin. The whole family kind of works at Subway. The defendant calls Maritza and says, Make Roxana call me. We have phone records showing that through the months of November and December the defendant incessantly calls the victim.

Only twice, I think, through those entire months does she return any phone calls or call him, any calls are made from her phone to his phone. So he's — there's evidence that he's calling her incessantly. There's text messages showing him threatening and saying, If you don't call me, I'm going to tell the family you were with Yahir. Call me or you'll regret it.

Call me, call me, call me, call me. Call me now.

The texts and the calls together. He finally gets ahold of

Maritza on November 29th of 2009, says to her, Tell Roxana to

call me or she'll regret it. That is what spurs an

investigation of the family into what had been going on.

Maritza said — has a conversation with the defendant saying, Why are you threatening her, what's going on, I don't understand this. He continues in that conversation. Maritza then confronts the victim.

THE COURT: Well, how do you -- Ms. Fleck, let me ask KARR REPORTING, INC.

you this. This is not a legal question, it's a practical question. I mean, I wish I'd had a chance to study this before we came in today. But how does it even hurt you?

Because it seems like the way the police report is written, and I don't know what the witnesses say obviously, the whole — the leverage that Guillermo says that he has over her is that he knows about her relationship with her cousin. And he even says right here, And answer the phone or I'll tell everyone what you did with your cousin.

So once it's out that she tells her mom — in fact, it says here right after that statement on page 2, Now that her mother knew about what she did with the cousin back in 2004, she felt like Guillermo didn't have anymore leverage over her. So how does that even hurt you, that she is pregnant?

MS. FLECK: She's not pregnant by the cousin. She has a relationship with the cousin back in 2004, when she's 11 years old and he's a teenager, and they're like, you know, experimenting around under a blanket kissy face. Okay. The cousin goes on to get married. The cousin goes on to have a baby and has a whole nother life.

She now as a teenage girl at 16 years old has a boyfriend. She gets pregnant by that boyfriend as a teenager. So now they want to come in and — I mean, there's no other reason to bring that information up but to make her look like

she's having a relationship with the cousin --

THE COURT: So okay. Wait. All along I thought that her cousin was the father of this child.

MS. FLECK: No.

THE COURT: You're saying that it's not?

MR. FELICIANO: No.

MS. FLECK: Completely different.

MR. FELICIANO: No. It's somebody else.

THE COURT: So how exactly — okay. So now you really need to explain this to me, Mike Feliciano, because then how does this have anything to do with anything if — because the two things that from the police report appear to be linked is the relationship with her cousin and this whole thing with the defendant, and one being leverage for the other.

So what does her pregnancy by someone else have to do with anything other than just to make her look like she's engaging in sexual relations with lots of different people?

MR. FELICIANO: Well, it — what we're trying to get at here is if she is pregnant, and when she discloses her pregnancy she can also disclose if she is a victim of a crime, she is going to be treated less harshly by her family and by her mother. That's what we're getting at.

It doesn't really matter who she's pregnant from.

It's just the fact that she's pregnant and she's scared and

she has to tell her mother. That's one of the factors that she says she was so stressed out about was her being pregnant and the fact that — her allegations about Mr. Novoa harassing her. So based on that, that would give her a motive to fabricate certain allegations.

MS. FLECK: But again, she — the only reason anyone finds out is because the defendant is obsessing on her and texting her and harassing her. That's the — she doesn't — she doesn't get caught doing anything and then have to come up with something. It has nothing to do with her. She's stressed out because he's been basically harassing her for a solid five years.

THE COURT: Is she pregnant now? I mean, is she going to walk on the stand and --

MS. FLECK: She's had the child now.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. FELICIANO: And we're not going to get up and say she's pregnant by some other guy or anything like that. It's exactly as Mike Feliciano said, she's pregnant and she's scared. We're not — we're not trying to —

MS. FLECK: But where's the --

MS. FELICIANO: -- darken her character.

MS. FLECK: Where's the fabrication when the defendant has admitted it? I don't understand that. The defendant has given a statement and he has admitted to

having — he's admitted to numerous lewdnesses at the very least.

1.3

So where is it — and he — factually it's that he walks in on the cousin, that he then uses that. He is protecting her from, you know, the family finding out, and they start a sexual relationship. His excuse is that it's consensual. So where is the fabrication?

MR. FELICIANO: Judge, we don't need to divulge our entire case strategy to Ms. Fleck before we start trial. I think what I've explained is sufficient to show why we should be able to get into the fact that she's pregnant.

Like I said, we're not — we're not going to say who — we don't really care who she's pregnant by. She can be pregnant by her cousin or somebody else. It doesn't matter. The fact is she was scared, she was stressed out, and that's a motive for her to fabricate.

MS. FLECK: Well, you don't have to disclose — they don't have to disclose their defense strategy. However, they have to have a factual basis that comports with the law under what their rationale is and reasoning is for getting this information in, and it doesn't compute.

They can't just again, make a conclusory statement that it's to show that she has a motive to fabricate, yet there's proof she isn't fabricating, and the timeline shows that she — the disclosure of the pregnancy has absolutely

. 

nothing to do with the disclosure of the abuse.

THE COURT: Wait. When was she pregnant relative to when this conversation with the detective occurred?

MR. FELICIANO: She was pregnant, it looked like --

MS. FELICIANO: Then.

MR. FELICIANO: Yeah. She looked like she had probably just found out.

MS. FLECK: No. She was like four or five -- four -- about four months pregnant.

MS. FELICIANO: She would have found out she was pregnant shortly before the allegation surfaced.

MR. FELICIANO: So that would have been sometime before December 17.

THE COURT: Well, all right. What you're going to need to do is this. I mean, I'm still not sure I really...

I'm still not sure I really understand why factually her pregnancy by someone who's completely unconnected with the case gives her motive to lie about Guillermo. But what you guys need to do is — I mean, it doesn't sound like we're going to get very far today anyway, is I mean, brief it up and explain it for me.

Because I mean, it's — you're putting me in a difficult position where you're throwing it out at me verbally, and I'm sitting here rifling through the file trying to find all these factual statements, and trying to put it

together while you guys are just kind of talking at me.

So whose motion should this be? Is it the State's motion to strike it, or is it the defense's motion to admit it? I don't care either way, because we're kind of, you know, we're — I mean, frankly, this should have been, you know, like I said, done before today so I could have read this stuff and specifically looked at this stuff before I came in here.

But so who wants to be the person to file the motion and who wants to be the person responding? And then that way what I need is I need time to go through this thing tonight specifically with this in mind. I mean, I went through the file, but I didn't specifically look at this issue. It didn't seem like it was a big issue at the time.

MS. FLECK: The thing is that I don't mind doing my — the frustration is, is that this is something that I contemplated and I spoke with Mr. Feliciano specifically about it and said this is an issue I'm going to have. I would — I would have absolutely done a motion in limine to preclude it. He specifically said, I don't see any basis that that would come in anyway. Otherwise I would have already done my motion in limine.

THE COURT: And when did this conversation occur?

MS. FLECK: At least a month ago. So it would be my preference to have the defense do it, and I would be happy to do an opposition. Or we can just submit, both of us submit

some kind of a brief to you as our --

THE COURT: Yeah. It doesn't have to be a lot. I mean, like I said, my handicap right now is you guys are giving me facts, making factual representations and I'm sitting here rifling through the file while you guys say things, to see, you know, what other witnesses and what the police report says, and that's not a very good way of doing things.

So what I need is just something short, you know, where you explain exactly what your position is, the relevance of it, and maybe a couple citations. It doesn't have to be this whole, you know, give me a thousand cases kind of a thing.

So why don't we do this. Why don't we make it a defense motion to admit when I know that you guys are both here. I don't know when you guys can file this motion. I mean, obviously we need to resolve this before — who would be the first witness that this might come in through?

MS. FELICIANO: Well, we need to resolve it before opening.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. FELICIANO: Before opening statements.

THE COURT: And we might get to opening this afternoon.

MS. FELICIANO: This is our defense theory. This is

how we're preparing our case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

1.8

19

20

21.

22

23

24

25

MS. FLECK: I just -- I don't understand how we're just hearing about this if it's your -- if it's the defense theory and we've had a whole conversation about it coming in or not coming in, and then to find out right before we pick the jury that the defense theory rests on something that we have agreed would not come in.

MR. FELICIANO: Well, we never agreed that it would not come in.

MS. FLECK: But we did, because that was the conversation.

THE COURT: Well, I mean, whether or not there was an agreement, I guess what I'm a little bit concerned, if you're making an affirmative representation that oh, I don't see any basis for getting this in, you're kind of misleading Ms. Fleck.

I told her this morning that we did MR. FELICIANO: intend to get into it and that we could address that with the Court this morning. That's why we came in and told her before and we didn't just start off in our opening talking about it.

MS. FLECK: Oh, well, that would have been interesting.

THE COURT: All right. So is any of this discussed in the prelim transcript? I honestly just don't remember.

MR. FELICIANO: The fact that she's pregnant?

THE COURT: Right.

MS. FLECK: I believe that it was -- I think that they tried to get into it and it was --

MR. FELICIANO: I was shut down at the prelim.

MS. FLECK: -- ruled inadmissible.

THE COURT: Okay. And by the way, one other thing.

Ms. Fleck, last time we were here at calendar call, you indicated that you were going to file an amended Information that sort of streamlined the charges. Has that been done, or do you plan on doing that still?

MS. FLECK: I have. I prepared it this morning and it was down to, I think, 37 counts. I had changed the body of two of the opening grosses. The defense has objected to that. And it's no problem, I'll go back over lunch and switch them back to the way that they originally were. But we're at 37 counts now and but for that objection which I will change, I think that the defense was — it has accepted that, the second amended.

THE COURT: Okay. And then while we're discussing housekeeping matters, I have a proposed set of jury instructions from the State. Have you guys received a copy of this?

MR. FELICIANO: Yeah.

THE COURT: And do you have any objections or do you have any other proposed instructions on the way, or what's the

status?

1.3

MR. FELICIANO: We will have instructions.

MS. FLECK: And clearly I have not changed. The instructions are as they are, but I didn't — I have not changed the Information within those instructions or the verdict form.

THE COURT: Right. Obviously they need to be done to match. Right. All right. Well, I mean, the question right now is what are we going to do about the pregnancy issue, because as I said, I spent some time this weekend going through the file, but I didn't realize this was going to be an issue, so I didn't specifically look for anything related to this.

So your theory is that in order to lessen the severity of the punishment that she knows is coming because she's pregnant, she just starts launching allegations against other people; that's the idea?

MR. FELICIANO: That she makes allegations against our client.

THE COURT: Why? Why him in particular? He's not the person who got her pregnant, right?

MR. FELICIANO: Well, she has the text messages. She has the phone calls. She does have some type of proof of some type of harassment that she can show her family, so he's the perfect person to implicate.

MS. FLECK: So where does the admission part become relevant? He has admitted that he had a sexual relationship with her from the time he caught her with her cousin until December of 2009. He admits it. How can the defense admit otherwise inadmissible evidence to show a motive to fabricate when by the defendant's own admissions it is true?

THE COURT: Does anyone have a copy of the rape shield statute with them?

MR. FELICIANO: Yes.

MS. FELICIANO: I do. That's what I was citing to earlier. It's 50.090.

MS. FLECK: And I think it's Miller/State we need to...

MR. FELICIANO: I do have some of my own handwriting on it, but there's the statute.

"The accused may not present evidence of any previous sexual conduct of the victim of the crime to challenge the victim's credibility — unless the prosecutor has presented evidence or the victim has testified concerning such conduct, or the absence of — conduct, in which case the scope of the — cross—examination — must be limited to the evidence presented by the prosecutor or victim."

MS. FLECK: So it's clear rape shield.

THE COURT: I mean, presumably, Ms. Fleck, I don't

know if you're planning on getting into the pregnancy, but I guess what I'm doing is I'm starting backwards with this statute. The last phrase is, In which case the scope of the cross-examination must be limited to the evidence presented by the prosecutor or the victim.

So if you're not introducing the pregnancy, how do you get to introduce it? Isn't that beyond the scope of what they've introduced?

MS. FELICIANO: No, it's not. They're not introducing the pregnancy, and it doesn't fit under rape shield. This goes toward the motive. We're not attacking her credibility and saying you sleep with a lot of men therefore, you know, you must have slept with him or something. That's what rape shield is designed to protect against.

We're introducing it under motive. And that's Davis v. Alaska. And the court has also addressed other exceptions to the rape shield. For example, Summitt, which is 101 Nev. 159, deals with allowing information for children of previous sexual encounters to show knowledge of sexual activity, that it could have come from another source. There is Miller vs. State, which is 105 Nev. 497, which allows prior false allegations.

There's Drake vs. State, which is 108 Nev. 523, where the Court allowed previous prostitution arrests to show criminal dishonesty and sexual crimes. It went directly to

the defendant — I'm sorry, the alleged victim's motive. And there's Cox vs. State, which is 102 Nev. 253, where the court allowed the fact that the victim applied for an escort license to rebut the victim's good character evidence and go toward the defendant's theory of defense that the victim offered sex for money.

1.8

So when it goes toward the defense's theory of the case and the alleged victim's motive to fabricate, it doesn't fall under rape shield. We're not introducing this to show consent with Mr. Renteria or anything like that.

MS. FLECK: Okay. Judge — okay. A Miller hearing, that's a prior false allegation. You have — Miller v. State, it's a prior false allegation. The defense has to be able to come in and show that a prior false allegation was made if their theory of the defense is this particular victim lies, has lied in the past, and has falsely accused.

hearing that it was a false allegation. Completely and totally different than what we have here, not on point even remotely close. So Miller, that's not about challenging credibility. That's affirmatively saying somebody has made a false allegation of sexual abuse in the past. Nothing to do with what we have here.

Drake and Cox, Drake is that prior sexual conduct of someone who has been charged with soliciting or has been a

hooker, that that can come in, in order to show, you know, the course of conduct in a case. Obviously has nothing to do with here. That's not just challenging the victim's credibility. That is very specific as to past soliciting for purposes of prostitution.

And Summitt, that's not on point either at all. That goes to in that case it was a six-year-old victim. The relevance was prior sexual knowledge, to show that the victim had had prior sexual conduct and that that was a basis of knowledge for why she would testify the way that she did, or have the sexual knowledge that she had in that particular case.

So none of those cases are on point at all. Rape shield absolutely applies here. It's textbook. They want to get in prior sexual conduct of a victim for no other purpose but to show that she's had prior sexual conduct and that she was sexually active at that time.

THE COURT: Right. I mean, what's the — explain to me what's the difference between motive to lie and challenging the credibility of the witness. Aren't they the same thing?

MS. FELICIANO: No. We're allowed under the law to present a motive to lie. That's our defense theory.

THE COURT: How is that any different than challenging the victim's credibility as a witness?

MS. FELICIANO: What the State is saying is that

we're challenging credibility by we're introducing this to say that she's sexually active. And we have said specifically we're not introducing this to say that she's sexually active. We're introducing this to show why she would fabricate an allegation to get herself out of trouble.

MS. FLECK: But they have to have a factual basis with which to make that statement and that argument. There is no factual basis. Even then they can't. That's not what the statute says. The statute specifically says they don't get into prior conduct unless I get into it first, and then they get to rebut it.

THE COURT: Right. I mean, here's my question. You keep saying motive to lie. You're not — I mean, the statute specifically says you can't use it to challenge the victim's credibility, and your response is, well, it's a motive to lie.

MS. FELICIANO: Right.

THE COURT: How are they two different things? A motive to lie, is that not challenging her credibility as a witness?

MS. FELICIANO: Well, motive is something that

we're — a motive to lie, yes, in the sense of the grand —

THE COURT: A motive to not be a credible witness —

MS. FELICIANO: — the grand scheme of things.

THE COURT: — how is that not challenging the victim's credibility?

Л

MS. FELICIANO: The statute is designed to protect against attacks against credibility based on sexual conduct; for example, that she's promiscuous or something like that, attack credibility in that way.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. FELICIANO: It's not designed to say that it prevents us from getting into a motive to lie or a motive to fabricate. And furthermore, under Davis v. Alaska, we're entitled to present that. That's a United States Supreme Court case that says that we're entitled to get into this. It would supersede any statute that says that we're prevented from introducing this based on credibility.

We have a due process right to present our theory of defense. No statute can supersede that.

MS. FLECK: Well, you don't get a theory of defense — I mean, that's like coming into the Court and saying that you want the voluntary manslaughter instructions when there's absolutely no evidence of voluntary manslaughter. You want self-defense instructions when there's absolutely no evidence of self-defense.

You don't get just to — get to just come in and say, well, that's our theory of the case even though it's based on absolutely nothing. And in fact all of the evidence shows otherwise. Then — I mean, it's protected by rape shield as it is, but with the defense, quote/unquote, theory, it's

blatantly for no other reason but to disparage the victim.

MR. FELICIANO: Judge, it seems like at this point we seem to be going in circles. What — do you want us to brief it, or how do you want — how would you like to —

THE COURT: You know, the problem is if you guys are going to mention it in the openings, which in theory could happen this afternoon, we have a problem with timing. I mean, I'm kind of concerned about how this whole thing got presented here, where there's at least an allegation that you'd made an assurance that you weren't going to bring this issue up, and now here we are at 9:30 on the morning of trial, and you guys want to mention it this afternoon.

I mean, unless you guys can put together a brief in the next couple hours and they can respond in the next couple hours. And then you come in here and just start throwing facts at me and then you throw cases at me. It would have been nice — I mean, the whole purpose of briefs is that I can read the cases before I come in here knowing that it's an issue, be prepared to address what you guys are talking about.

MS. FLECK: And this Davis v. Alaska is basically them, the defense — it's right of confrontation. It has nothing to do with this.

THE COURT: All right. So what do you propose to do procedurally, given that you guys want to mention this in your opening which could happen this afternoon?

MS. FLECK: I think that based upon the fact that we only have eight witnesses in our case, I believe that jury — picking the jury will take — it's already 10:00. I think that picking the jury will take most of the day. Openings should not be very long.

So I don't see why we couldn't pick our jury today and then open first thing — even if we want to pick — if we get the jury picked, we can pick the jury, you can instruct them. We can even read the Information and get everything done.

THE COURT: Well, except that they want to mention this during their opening.

MS. FLECK: But we can still read the Information and instruct the jury, and then tomorrow morning we'll litigate it right before we do the opening. But everything will be set to open before we come in, including the jury will be instructed and the Information will be read, if we even get that far.

MR. FELICIANO: Judge, and if we were ruled against, and there's one issue — if we were ruled against in this issue, if that's something we wanted to take up, that's another concern for the Court, I think. Because we would be taking it up if that's something that we were ruled — something that ruled against us.

THE COURT: Okay. And if it's something that you would have taken up, it didn't occur to you to make this an

15.

issue before we were here with the jury sitting downstairs waiting?

MR. FELICIANO: Judge, and this issue, at least in my mind, it seems fairly straightforward. I think we're entitled to get into it. I know the State disagrees. After looking at the case and formulating our theory of defense, this is our theory of defense, so this is something that we have to go with.

And if there was something said a month or so ago before I actually started prepping the case completely for trial and formulated my theory, then I apologize. But this is something that we do have to get into.

MS. FLECK: Well, given that the law is completely against the defense position in this case, I would feel fine with you denying it and...

about a couple things. I mean, I know that we're talking about an informal conversation between Mr. Feliciano and Ms. Fleck. But I mean, the way things work as a practical matter, you guys have conversations, everybody has conversations about cases which are designed to sort of narrow the issues.

And in this case it sounds like, Ms. Fleck, you relied on them to not file a motion which you might otherwise have filed, and so I'm a little bit concerned about there being a possible misrepresentation in this case that —

especially if the conversation happened a month ago.

I'm presuming you didn't decide this morning that you were going to try to get this in here. I don't know why you couldn't have had a conversation with her last week, considering we had a two-hour hearing here last week and everybody was in the same room. And now you're saying it's an issue important enough that you want to take it up with the jury sitting downstairs.

I mean, it sounds like if you planned to take it up, you should have done it in a motion, and then you could have gotten a ruling and you could have taken it up — we could have continued the trial. You could have taken it up and seen if this Court would be willing to accept it. But now you want to do it on the fly in the middle of a trial. Suddenly it's that important an issue to you.

On the other hand, I mean, if you're saying this goes to the heart of your defense — and again, I'm kind of unprepared because as I said, this whole issue surprised me. When I went through the file, I didn't think this was going to be an issue at all. In fact, I know so little about the facts of her pregnancy, you know, I'm literally hearing it and reading it for the first time now.

I mean, this is really procedurally not a good way of doing things. You're saying that this is the heart of your case. Well, did it become the heart of your case this

morning, or did you know about it before today, is my question.

MR. FELICIANO: No. I knew about it. I knew about it before today, Judge.

THE COURT: Well, I mean, the issue is I'm not — you know, the issue is you've kind of handicapped me. You've obviously — Ms. Fleck obviously feels that you've blindsided her. Whether or not you did is, you know, depends on conversations that I wasn't a part of.

But I certainly feel a little bit blindsided coming in here and, you know, having the jury sitting downstairs and getting ready to go, and suddenly you're throwing this whole issue at me and you're citing cases at me that you didn't bother to bring in a brief anywhere.

And you say you want to do it — you want to mention it in your opening, which, you know, Ms. Fletch is proposing that we do it tomorrow, but still, you know, depending on what ruling you get, I'm assuming that that affects your cross-examination of whatever witnesses are going to come tomorrow or the next day.

Where is the section of the prelim? Does anyone have the page number where you say you tried to get into this?

Does anyone have the page number? It's a 94-page transcript here.

MR. FELICIANO: Page 60. And this isn't really KARR REPORTING, INC.

talking about the pregnancy. This is talking about — this is where I try to question about the actual conduct that Mr. Renteria would have observed between the complaining witness and —

THE COURT: Wait. Page 60?

MR. FELICIANO: Yes.

MS. FLECK: That's about the cousin.

THE COURT: Are you sure you have the right page number? I don't see anything in here about her being pregnant.

MS. FLECK: No. That's about the cousin.

MR. FELICIANO: Well, that's — that's the only part where — that I remember getting — being disallowed to ask questions. I don't think the pregnancy even came up.

MS. FLECK: Maybe he's right actually, because it's not even in the —

THE COURT: No. Because this is about the cousin who you're saying is not the father of the child, right?

MR. FELICIANO: Correct. And that's from my — now that I've reviewed it, that's where I was disallowed to ask certain questions. The pregnancy never came up. I looked in the index and it doesn't look like the word "pregnant" or pregnancy even appears in the transcript.

THE COURT: Well, I mean, the reason I asked is if it came up at the prelim, if there was — if you were — if there

was some attempt at cross-examination, then you would be able to make the argument that the State is on notice if that's an issue in the case. But it doesn't seem like that's in the transcript here.

MS. FLECK: It's not.

MR. FELICIANO: It doesn't appear to be.

THE COURT: And it's not in the second half of the transcript either? It looks like there's two parts of the transcript here. One is 94 page, one — oh, no. It looks like the second half is just the argument, right?

MR. FELICIANO: Yeah. The considering the amount of counts we needed [inaudible].

THE COURT: Right. Well, the problem with taking Ms. Fleck's suggestion, if we just pick the jury and then we rule on this tomorrow, is depending on what happens tomorrow, I mean, now we've impaneled the jury and now double jeopardy attaches.

MR. FELICIANO: And if — and this would affect our voir dire as well, if we ask questions about pregnancy and things like that. That would definitely affect the questions that we ask in voir dire.

MS. FLECK: I mean, I just — I do not understand how they think that it gets into it — they can get into this, this much through jury selection and everything with the rape shield statute. I mean, it specifically says no prior conduct

comes in to challenge the credibility. None. Zero

None of the caselaw that they have cited to, not Miller, not Drake, not Summitt, not Cox, not Davis, nothing is even remotely close to being on point to this. So they have no legal basis. And what are they going to do, go up to the supremes with what, with not one case in their favor?

THE COURT: Well, all right. Explain to me again,
Mr. Feliciano or Ms. Feliciano, you're saying that the rape
shield law doesn't apply to this at all, or are you saying
it's an exception to the rape shield law? I want to make sure
I understand your legal argument.

MR. FELICIANO: The rape — in this, what we're trying to get into, her — her using this as a motive to get out of trouble, that is not rape shield. Like Ms. Feliciano said, rape shield is basically to keep us from coming in here and saying that a person is promiscuous, they sleep around, and to undermine their credibility that way, and that's not what we're doing here.

We're just showing this as a reason why the disclosure came about. She was pregnant. She was having the relationship with the cousin, and this was a way for her to minimize the punishment she was going to receive from her mom, or whoever was going to punish her, her family. That's how this comes in.

MS. FLECK: And again, where is the — where is the KARR REPORTING, INC.

factual basis that that's even how it happened? Legally they have — there is nothing that they can cite to. Nothing.

I mean, even if you go through the cases under rape shield regarding cross-examination, it's, you know, that — there's nothing. There's not one case that they can cite to that's on point. It's a conclusory statement that's not based on law and/or fact. Not one case.

MR. FELICIANO: And Judge, it's not — I mean, I've explained our theory. I've explained why we want to get it in. It's not conclusory. We've made an offer of proof as to why, why we're offering it, so I don't see any reason why it would not come in.

MS. FLECK: Because there's the rape shield law and that's exactly what this prohibits. I mean, the defense could come up with any reason that they want to say that somebody has a motive or a bias or something, and that that's how they overcome the rape shield.

THE COURT: Right. Which is why I asked that question earlier, which is what's the difference between challenging the victim's credibility as defined in the statute and saying she's got a motive to lie. As a practical matter, what's the difference between the two?

MR. FELICIANO: Because we're not up here calling — we're not up here and calling her promiscuous and calling her names and trying to undermine her credibility because she's

promiscuous.

1.3

THE COURT: But I mean, as a practical matter, let me ask you a hypothetical. I mean, can't the defense do that in any case to get around rape shield; oh, you slept with 500 men, doesn't that give you a motive to lie? I mean, if you —

MR. FELICIANO: That's not what --

THE COURT: Just by rephrasing it that way --

MR. FELICIANO: Well, no.

THE COURT: -- you can get around the rape shield statute in any case; right or wrong?

MR. FELICIANO: That's — no. That's not what we're doing. We're not saying you've slept with 500 men and therefore it affects your credibility.

THE COURT: Well, I know we're not saying that in this case. What I'm saying is if you're saying a motive to lie is not the same as — technically as the victim's credibility as defined by the statute, what I'm saying is tell me a case in which I can't make that argument. Tell me a case in which the rape shield law would apply.

Is there any factual circumstance in which the rape shield law prevents sexual conduct if you can just say, well, that's a motive for her to lie?

MS. FELICIANO: Well, in the — in the scenario that you just raised; you've slept with 500 men, therefore you're a liar. But that's not what we're doing. It's not the fact

that she slept with someone and became pregnant. It's the situation that she's in. She is in a scary situation for a 16-year-old where she is going to get in trouble. She's going to get in trouble because — because it just happens to be because she's pregnant.

2.2.

Maybe she had crashed her mom's car the night before and that's why she was going to get in trouble. That's what we're saying. It's because of this reason she's going to get in trouble. And because she's going to get in trouble for something, then she accuses Mr. Renteria-Novoa of sexually abusing her to get herself out of trouble.

It just so happens that the things she's in trouble for is being pregnant and not crashing her mom's car. But that's what we're saying. It's a drastically different situation than if we were to say to her, well, you have a boyfriend who you sleep with and therefore you're a liar. We're not saying that at all. That would be rape shield.

What we're saying is you're in trouble for something and again, we can't help it just happens she's in trouble for being pregnant, and that gives you then a reason to fabricate an allegation of sexual abuse.

THE COURT: Right. But that — again, that goes back to my question, which is — all right. I mean, you're trying to draw a line here. But my question is, if that's — if I permit this, if I say okay, you're not saying she's a liar

because she slept with somebody and got pregnant; you're saying that because she slept with somebody and got pregnant and was going to be in trouble, that gives her a motive to lie?

MS. FELICIANO: Well, we didn't say — we're not going to say slept with somebody. We're just going to say she's in — she's pregnant and she's scared. She's pregnant and she's scared. She's in trouble. She has to tell her mom.

MS. FLECK: But she's not in trouble. The only reason that — the only reason she tells is because the defendant is harassing her, sending her text messages, showing up at her school, showing up at the bus. She's not in trouble. When the disclosure comes out to the cousins, to the aunts about the defendant having a sexual relationship with her for years, she's not in trouble.

So what do you mean she's telling people this because she's in trouble? The only reason she's telling people this is because the defendant is so obsessed with her and acting so crazy that people start asking questions.

THE COURT: Let me ask you this. All right. Let's say for a second — all right. You're saying that you don't need the — that the fact that she slept with somebody per se is not really relevant to your argument, right? So if that's the case, why can't you just get in, well, you were about to be in trouble for something with your mom —

1.6

I don't know. Let me ask you this as a factual matter. What did the mom do as a consequence? Was she grounded? Was she removed from school? Was there actually any punishment?

MS. FELICIANO: She — everything then switched to being focused on the abuse.

THE COURT: Well, here's my question. All right.

Let's just play with this hypothetical for a second. If

you're saying that this is not rape shield because we're not

talking about her sexual conduct, what if we took the sex out

of it and you just say, well, you were about to be in trouble

for doing something with your cousin, or with —

MS. FLECK: Because she wasn't.

MS. FELICIANO: And just so that this is clear,

Judge, the State is going to introduce the conduct with the
cousin because it works for their theory. They're going to
get into that.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. With the boyfriend then, with the boyfriend.

MS. FELICIANO: We're not talking about — we're not even going to say it's her boyfriend. We're just going to say one word, pregnant.

MS. FLECK: Because they want to show that at the time she's pregnant. I mean, that's — how is that — she's not in trouble. How — where are they getting from the record

that they have right now, from any of the statements, from anything that she is actually in trouble? They know the timeline of the disclosure. It has nothing to do with her being pregnant.

So they — Summitt v. State, "A defendant in a prosecution in which rape victim shield is applicable must be given an opportunity upon motion to demonstrate that due process requires the admission of evidence concerning the victim's past sexual conduct, because its probative value and the context of the case outweighs its prejudicial effect on the victim."

So they have to be able to show somehow that they overcome this, because it's pure rape shield. And in order to show that they overcome it and that the probative value is more than the prejudice, it has to be based on some sort of fact.

THE COURT: Okay. What I'm trying to do is I'm trying to get into if — I'm trying to flush out your argument here. If you're saying that the sex isn't part of your argument, that's not the point —

MS. FELICIANO: Right.

THE COURT: Then can you ask this question without any reference to the sexual pregnancy?

MS. FELICIANO: If we could --

THE COURT: Because if you can't, that sort of

KARR REPORTING, INC.

1.4

suggests that the sex is part of your argument.

MS. FELICIANO: No. And if there was another way that we could convey to the jury that she had something really big going on for a teenager that she was scared to tell her mom about, that conveys the emotion of the word pregnant for a 16-year-old, yeah, we could use any other word. That's why I brought up the crashing the car example.

If we could craft something that would say, you know, you're — you have something really big going on in your life that you are scared of. Because, you know, and at the point that the State is saying, well, she's not in trouble, we're getting into arguing our case. You know, that's a decision for the jury.

This is our theory, and which we're allowed to present and we're allowed to argue. You know, the State says, oh, she's not in trouble, that's the State's take on it and what the State will argue. We get to argue our side.

I don't know — I mean, yeah, if we can come up with a word or something that would evoke the connotation of how much fear, I guess, stress. Because the alleged victim says, I was pressured. I was so pressured. I was so stressed out. I was telling my mom that I was pregnant.

MS. FLECK: No. That isn't what she says. What she says is she feels better now that she's gotten everything off of her chest, that her mom knows about what happened with the

cousin, that she doesn't have to --

THE COURT: No, I know. Ms. Fleck, I know what she said. But what I — I guess what I'm trying to flesh out is here's the problem. The problem is you want to use the word "pregnancy" because it's explosive, because it's the only thing that a 16-year-old —

MS. FELICIANO: No. No, no.

THE COURT: — would feel that much pressure for, right?

MS. FELICIANO: We're using the word because that's what best describes the situation. Not because it's explosive. Not to inflame the jurors. But I can't think of another word that —

THE COURT: Why don't we just say she had a medical condition then? Let me ask you that.

MS. FELICIANO: Well, I mean -

THE COURT: If you're saying you don't want the explosive value of the word pregnancy, why can't we just say you just -- you had a medical condition and you didn't want to tell your mom?

MS. FELICIANO: You had a medical condition you didn't want to tell your mom. I would say that as far as presenting that to the jury, that vastly undercuts the defense. A medical condition that you didn't want to tell your mom about doesn't convey to the jury accurately what our

theory is and what's going on in her head.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Right. Which raises my question, because you want -- because pregnancy's more explosive than medical condition; right or wrong?

MS. FELICIANO: Well, pregnancy is more -- kids don't get in trouble for medical conditions. Kids get in trouble for being teenaged and pregnant. Medical conditions don't last a lifetime of responsibility. Medical conditions don't require, you know, family members to help raise children. It's a big deal. I can't think of another word that conveys the situation.

THE COURT: Well, the problem is -- I understand you're saying you can't think of another word that conveys the condition. The problem is that word also conveys sexual conduct.

MS. FELICIANO: Well, the State is already going to introduce that she was engaged in conduct with her cousin.

MS. FLECK: Not that -- no.

MS. FELICIANO: So I mean ---

MS. FLECK: What -- I'm not going to say that she was engaged in sex with her cousin, because according to her she's never had sex with her cousin. What happens is defendant walks in, she's under a blanket with her cousin, and it's his perception --

> THE COURT: Right. That's his leverage, that they're KARR REPORTING, INC.

supposedly --

1.1

MS. FLECK: Right. And it's what he believes --

THE COURT: Why he forces her to get -- right.

MS. FLECK: -- was happening.

Can we just somehow address the fact that he's admitted to this relationship?

THE COURT: Well, this is the thing. Let's construe the argument, because they're talking about their defense. I'm sure she's going to say that that's — he's — I don't know. He has some motive to lie or something. I'm just sort of playing with this sort of almost from a civil motion to dismiss point of view, which is let's take all the facts in the light most favorable to the defense and see —

I'm trying to flesh out what it is. You know, they keep saying the rape shield law doesn't apply. I'm trying to figure out why they say it doesn't apply when really you're talking about something that [unintelligible] apply to sexual conduct to attack the credibility of the victim, and I'm — although you say there's a motive —

This is what I'm trying to get at, is if what you're saying is true, you're not trying to rely on the sexual conduct per se in order to challenge her credibility, then what is it that you're doing? Which is why I'm playing with these hypotheticals, because I'm not sure I — maybe I'm not grasping it. Maybe I'm not, you know.

That's what I'm -- because it seems like it's very close to the rape shield law, but you're telling me it's not, and I'm trying to flesh out whether or not -- I'm trying to flesh out what you mean.

MR. FELICIANO: Well, Judge, we're not — like we said, purpose of the rape shield is to keep us from coming up here and saying that she's promiscuous.

THE COURT: And my question was: If you can always get around that by saying, oh, we're not saying she's promiscuous therefore she lies, we're saying that she engaged in some kind of sexual conduct and that's her motive to lie; I'm saying when does the rape shield law ever apply if you can just make that argument then?

Oh, I was — it's not that I had sex with 500 men. was going to be in trouble for having sex with 500 men and that's why there's a motive to lie; why can't everybody say that in every case and now the rape shield law doesn't mean anything, is my question.

MS. FELICIANO: Because in every case there's not a statement and a declaration of warrant where the alleged victim says I felt so pressured, I told my mom I was pregnant, when we were talking about being pregnant I told her about my cousin, and then I told her about Guillermo. Because in every case there's not a statement that supports our theory.

I read that into the record from the declaration of

.

warrant, what we're relying on, and because of that then we have a basis to say this is her motive to lie. Other cases there might not be that statement in there. There might not be anything supporting it, and then it wouldn't be admissible.

THE COURT: Well, I'm not — I mean, you're talking about — okay. We're kind of mixing up two things. I'm not asking you what factual support you have for your argument. I'm saying as, you know, your argument on its face as a legal matter, you keep saying that's not within the rape shield law. And my question is, if that's not within the rape shield law, what is within the rape shield law?

I'm not asking what the factual support for this statement is. I'm asking as a conceptual matter what does the rape shield cover if it doesn't cover, well, it's not that I'm a slut and that's why I'm lying, it's that I was going to be in trouble for being a slut and that was my motive to lie?

MS. FELICIANO: It covers if we get up and say, jury, you can't believe a word she said and you know why, because she slept with ten guys. You can't believe anything that she said about what happened with him because she slept with three other people. That's what rape shield protects against, saying you can't believe an alleged victim's allegations against a defendant because of any sexual conduct that she's engaged in.

MS. FLECK: Okay. Then we get into Summitt,

probative value versus prejudicial effect. Where on earth is the probative value of this, when all of the evidence in the case shows that all of the disclosures and everything that — every conversation that she had with her mom about the defendant came long before she told her that she was pregnant?

There's no probative value to this based upon all of the evidence in this case. And that's not even — that's being generous to the defense. Because they don't meet any — there's no caselaw, none that tells them that they can get into this and that they override 50.090. Nothing. I mean, what are they going to take to the supremes? There's nothing. They've not cited one case that's relevant.

THE COURT: All right. Well, here's what I'm going to do. In this case, based on what I've heard, I mean, unless there's another way that you can phrase it that doesn't connote sexual contact, my concern is, as I've asked a couple times, is that this — that this is covered by the rape shield law. If you want to get into pregnancy of a teenage girl, you're suggesting that she engaged in sexual conduct.

I know that you want to get out of the rape shield law by saying, well, no, no, it's not the sexual conduct per se, it's the fact that she was going to be in trouble for it, and that's her motive to lie. That just strikes me as — and if that's a loophole that's recognized under the law, then we've basically gutted the rape shield law, because every

everybody can say that.

1.8

Oh, it's not the sexual act that we're impeaching her for, it's the fact that there are social consequences to the sexual act, which is kind of what the rape shield law is — that's the whole point of the rape shield law.

And that's my concern, is that the rape shield law says what it says and I'm not convinced that your argument gets you out of the rape shield law just by phrasing it as, well, it's not the sexual conduct, it's the consequences of the sexual conduct that provides her a motive to lie.

And so what I'm going to do is, I guess it depends on — I guess what we're doing is we're saying that this is an oral motion, but is it an oral motion by the State to exclude this, or is it an oral motion by the defense to admit it? I guess it doesn't really matter.

Either way, my — as I indicated, for all the reasons that I've indicated and also the questions that I've asked, I'm concerned that this is covered by the rape shield law and therefore I'm not going to allow reference to the pregnancy.

But if there's another way that you can think of to phrase it, that it's not the sexual conduct, there's some consequence, she's going to be in trouble for something. I don't know if there's some other way to play with that language that doesn't connote that she's had sexual conduct as a minor.

MR. FELICIANO: Here's — I mean, the problem that we run into now is if we're not allowed to get into the pregnancy, we basically are left without a theory of defense.

At that point —

THE COURT: Well, this is why I'm asking you —

MR. FELICIANO: — considering —

2.4

THE COURT: No, no. Hang on. This is why I'm asking you if there's another way to get this in, that she was in some kind of considerable trouble, there were going to be serious consequences and that's why she made up this accusation, without referring to the potential explosive issue of we have a minor who's engaging in sexual conduct here.

That's — if there's another way to phrase it, then you still have a chance. I'm just trying to sit here think — thinking, you know, I've only lived with this case for, you know, not very long. You've lived with it for a while. But have you not thought about some alternative way to phrase this?

MR. FELICIANO: Judge, I don't think any — there's nothing else that really conveys the message of what we're talking about. Just like Ms. Feliciano just mentioned, that a medical condition or something like that really wouldn't convey —

THE COURT: I don't know. Some kind of -- I'm trying to think of --

MR. FELICIANO: Here's our issue. If this is cut out from under us and we're basic — I mean, I don't — I don't see how we're effective, how we can be effective if we don't have our theory of defense.

THE COURT: Well, so what you're say — I just want to make — I want the record to be clear, although I think it might be clear. You're saying that there is no alternative way that you can even think of to phrase that what you're after is not the pregnancy, but the concept that she's going to be in trouble for something and that's her motive to lie. You're saying that there's no other way that you can think of?

Can you think of a way, Ms. Fleck? I don't know.

I'm trying to find some --

MS. FLECK: No. Because then — then the jury, who, you know, is sitting there going, well, what's going on and then they're speculating even more. So I completely —

You know, Judge, it's like me being able to come in here right now and say, well, you know what, I want to get out the fact that a defendant has raped someone in the past. I want to get that out when I've already been precluded to on a bad act. Or I want to get out the fact that — you know, something prejudicial that is inadmissible. And I just come in and say, well, that's the State's theory, so I can't go forward today because that's the State's theory.

The defense doesn't just get to come up with some

inadmissible theory of defense and then come in and say, well,
then otherwise we're not going to be effective. No.

Absolutely not. You don't — those are like the buzz words
now that are being thrown around, we're going to be
ineffective if we can't use an absolutely inadmissible theory
of defense.

THE COURT: So what is it that you're asking for,

Mr. Feliciano, as a practical matter? I mean, you're making a

THE COURT: So what is it that you're asking for,

Mr. Feliciano, as a practical matter? I mean, you're making a

record that you don't believe you can be effective, but what

is it that you're asking for?

MR. FELICIANO: The Court's indulgence.

(Pause in proceedings)

MR. FELICIANO: Judge, what we would request is to be able to take this up before we proceed, and ask for a stay.

THE COURT: Let me ask you this. Ms. Fleck is making the allegation that about a month ago you had a conversation and you said that you didn't see any other way of getting this pregnancy in, or any way to get this pregnancy in, right?

MR. FELICIANO: We had --

THE COURT: Did you not have a theory of defense at that point?

MR. FELICIANO: Judge, we had — I mean, the theory of defense is not something that we just have in concrete. I had all these facts in front of me. That was a casual conversation.

I didn't say a hundred percent that that's not something we were going to get into. And I — that's why I told her this morning that's something that we plan to get into. That's after discussing it with my co-counsel and flushing the case out more we decided that's what our theory was. So that's why we're here.

MS. FLECK: So again, I get to come in and say that, oh, I just would like to raise the issue that I would like to get into the fact that the defendant has committed a crime in the past. And then I obviously would get ruled against and then I would say, well, I just — I don't think I can go forward. How does the defense get to come in today and say —

THE COURT: Well, because the Sixth Amendment doesn't apply to you, that's why. That's a legal difference.

But anyway. All right. So you're asking to stay the case so you can take this up. The problem is, I mean, as you guys know, the Supreme Court rarely takes up interlocutory appeals. This isn't a final order. And frankly, one of the reasons they rarely take it up is because if we have the trial, if your client's acquitted, there's nothing to take up, right?

So the question is — all right. Here's what I'm going to do. I'm going to deny the State, because it's not a final order and we haven't even had the trial yet.

Your client hasn't technically even suffered any

prejudice until the moment he's acquitted — or the moment he's convicted. He could be acquitted, in which case there's no prejudice. So that's denied.

So you've made this record about being ineffective. What is it — I mean, are you ready to go forward with the trial or not?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  FELICIANO: Well, we were ready to take this up and get a decision from the Supreme Court.

MS. FELICIANO: And just so the record is clear, the prejudice is that we have been utterly gutted of our defense. We have no defense theory to proceed on.

THE COURT: No. I'm not saying — first of all, let me be clear. I'm not saying the Supreme Court won't take it up. They do what they want to do. What I'm saying is as a practical matter, the reason why they so rarely take up these issues on an interlocutory basis is because your client could very well be acquitted at trial, in which case his rights have not been prejudiced in any real way.

MS. FELICIANO: What they take up on — interlocutory appeals on is if there is no remedy that can be solved on appeal after trial. And if we are gutted of our defense now and he is convicted, that remedy is not solved after trial. Not being able —

THE COURT: The remedy is they send it back for a new trial.

---

MS. FELICIANO: Well, and he's already then suffered extreme prejudice in having to go through another trial when, if they send it back for a new trial, it's obvious we should have been able to present that defense, he has then suffered the prejudice of being convicted and the time for appeal. So that's what the prejudice is.

This is the type of interlocutory appeal that they would consider, being denied the ability to present your defense theory based on what we believe is a legally admissible defense. I know the State disagrees, but that's the purpose of asking to take this up and asking for the stay. There is no remedy at this point.

THE COURT: Ms. Fleck, your response.

MS. FLECK: It's not just me that disagrees. It's the law that disagrees. They're going to take it up on what law? They have not cited to one case. Not one case. Every single case that Ms. Feliciano just spewed out, Miller, Drake, Summitt, Cox, Davis, none of them are on point as to this. And it is...

THE COURT: All right. Here's what I'm going to do.

As I indicated, I'm not sure the Supreme Court's going to take it up for all the reasons I've indicated. I'm not speaking for the Supreme Court. Those are just my observations.

But your motion to stay the case is denied. If you want to try to get a stay from the Supreme Court, that's

1	certainly an option you can pursue. That's out of my hands.
2	But the question is, what are we going to do right now?
3	MS. FLECK: Proceed to trial.
4	(Pause in proceedings)
5	MR. FELICIANO: Judge, can we take a break for a
6	little bit, so we can talk?
7	THE COURT: Yeah, that's fine. Let's go off the
8	record for a couple minutes.
9	(Court recessed at 10:16 a.m. until 10:25 a.m.)
10	(Outside the presence of the prospective jurors.)
11	THE COURT: State vs. Guillermo Renteria is it
12	Renteria or Renterio?
13	MR. FELICIANO: Renteria.
14	THE COURT: You know what. I'm on the document that
15	it's spelled two different ways and I don't know why, now that
16	I look at it. All right. Renteria-Novoa. The defendant is
17	present with the assistance of the Spanish interpreter.
18	For the record, Madam Interpreter, since a new
19	interpreter has come in, what is your name?
20	THE INTERPRETER: Maria Peralta de Gomez, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: All right. So what is going on in this
22	case?
23	MR. FELICIANO: Judge, what we would ask for in this
24	case is to give us today to file our motion for an emergency
25	stay up in the Supreme Court. If we don't file that we would
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 57

THE COURT: Is there anyone else in your office who can file this for you?

MS. FELICIANO: No.

THE COURT: Ms. Fleck, your response.

MS. FLECK: Where — so on what grounds are they going up on the — where's the law that says that that's — this is even something that the supremes will hear? Because my reading is that it's a final judgment. I mean, here's — this is a complete — this is clearly an attempt to continue this trial. It's just —

MR. FELICIANO: We'll be ready if it's denied.

THE COURT: Well, the problem is --

MS. FLECK: But where — what law are they going up on?

THE COURT: Hang on. I mean, that doesn't matter.

That's for the Supreme Court. But the point is if we don't start today, I mean, it's Memorial Day weekend coming up. I'm already concerned, as I indicated last week, about Friday, you know, having troubles picking juries the day before a three-day weekend.

And so if we're not even starting until tomorrow, then I'm not even sure we can get this trial done by Friday, and then we have a three-day weekend and then, you know all those logistical issues that come into play.

MS. FLECK: How can no one at the PD's office be able to do this, on the entire sex team?

THE COURT: Yeah. I mean, can't someone in your appellate division do this?

MS. FLECK: Have they asked?

MS. FELICIANO: We just spoke to our office and we were told to ask for this time to prepare. It's our case. We have made the record in court. We're responsible for this. We're just asking for a temporary — for some time temporarily to file the emergency motion for a stay.

THE COURT: Well -

MS. FELICIANO: We'd be ineffective otherwise.

MS. FLECK: And I'm happy to deal with the ineffective claim at another date.

THE COURT: Well, I mean, that's — that's all for the Supreme Court. They want to, you know, make whatever arguments they can make at the Supreme Court. What we're talking about right now is scheduling. And my concern, as I indicated, is we're coming up on a three-day weekend. We're already going to have some scheduling problems.

I imagine when I ask the jury, hey, this trial's going to go into Friday, is there anyone here for whom serving on the jury would be an undue burden, half the room is going to say, oh, we're — we got plans, we got plane tickets, we got whatever.

So here's what I'm going to do. I'm -- we're -- I'm denying the motion. We're just going to get started. You guys can -- we're going to take a 90-minute lunch break anyway. You guys can work on it during lunch break. If one of you wants to, you know, maybe lose someone in the case or, you know, I don't know why both of you need to be here to question the jury. But, you know, my concern is all we're --

I don't know what the merit of your appeal is.

That's not my business. I'm not, you know, I'm just — that's between you and the Supreme Court. But in terms of scheduling, I just — if we don't start today, I just don't see any way of actually doing this trial this week.

MS. FELICIANO: The only thing — the only record that I'll make is that we are unable to open today. We've just had our defense completely gutted. We have to re-prepare opening, re-prepare all of our cross-examinations. We actually have to re-prepare some of our voir dire. And so there's no way we'd be able to open today.

MS. FLECK: And I just want to make for the record that that is — it is inexplicable to me how the defense can come in today and say that their defense has entirely been gutted when number one, it is based on absolutely obvious clearly inadmissible evidence, number one.

Number two, that the State and the defense had an explicit conversation about this exact topic. Then for them

to come in today and say that they're going to be ineffective if they cannot use a defense that they already had contemplated could not come in...

1.5

21.

So the fact that now they say they hadn't come up with an alternate defense, with as long as this case has been going on and we had a clear explicit conversation that this evidence would not come in, to base the entire defense on that is nothing but an attempt to continue a trial, throw out these words that they're going to be ineffective, that it's completely gutted their defense, it's impossible.

It's impossible that that is the only theory that they had contemplated when a month ago Mr. Feliciano specifically said, I do not see how that comes in. Then to come in today and just assume that it's going to come in and then base the entire defense on that...

MR. FELICIANO: Are we ready to go?

THE COURT: I think we're ready. Let's -- Randy, let's bring them up.

MR. FELICIANO: So we're not going to be opening today?

THE COURT: What's that?

MR. FELICIANO: We're not going to be opening today, is that --

THE COURT: Well, it's already 10:30. I don't know how far we're going to get in jury selection. We have a

bigger — I mean, the anticipation was that we're going to open today. So we'll get as far as we can and if we — if we have a jury picked, then frankly, we're going to open today.

I mean, I'm a little concerned about a couple things, about this conversation you had with Ms. Fleck which apparently is not corrected. Number two, we spent two hours in here last Tuesday or Thursday on that other motion, and it didn't occur to anybody to mention it while we're all here, you know, hearing motions that, oh, there's another motion that ought to be addressed.

And instead, this is all just kind of sprung on me at 9:00 o'clock on the first day of trial, when last week I specifically mentioned to all of you that I was a little bit concerned about getting an early start and, in fact, you know, moving my things around so that we can get this trial done without having to go over into Friday, because of jury selection problems that I've experienced on many occasions with picking the juries on trials that go before three-day weekends. And then suddenly you guys come in here and spring all this on me.

Basically, when I talked about scheduling last week, I was inviting you guys to, you know, if there's anything that might affect scheduling, let's talk about it, and nobody mentioned a thing. And now here we are Monday morning, it's already 10:30, and now you guys are talking about delaying

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FELICIANO: Well, Judge, I think it's going to take pretty much most of the day to pick a jury. So I don't think it's going to be that burdensome if we can open tomorrow based on the amount of time it's going to take to pick a jury.

MS. FLECK: I agree, and I would -- if anything, then let's at least get the jury picked, and then the defense can do what they need to do tonight or tomorrow morning in order to get ready for openings. But I don't anticipate that we'll get a jury anytime before the end of the day anyway.

THE COURT: Okay. So basically, Ms. Fleck is saying that she's not going to push for openings today, so we'll just do openings tomorrow then. We'll just pick the jury and whenever we finish with that, we'll just call it a day then. All right?

> Okay. MR. FELICIANO:

> Okay. MS. FELICIANO:

THE COURT: All right. Randy, let's bring them up.

Do we have the names, the jury packets? MS. FLECK:

He brings them up. THE COURT:

It's going to be about 15 minutes. THE CLERK:

Yeah. He's got to get them from the jury THE COURT: commissioner, yeah.

Let's stay on the record for one second. One thing that I always offer before we start bringing the jury in is I

start in with my standard questions, but is there anything that either side wanted me to ask the jury? Sometimes one side or another prefers that I ask a certain question rather than they ask it so they don't know who requested it. I always make that offer. It's up to you guys.

MS. FLECK: Do you ask — you ask, I think, if they know anyone, obviously the four of us, and then I think you ask —

THE COURT: I introduce my staff members and --

MS. FLECK: -- do you know anyone --

THE COURT: -- then I ask you guys to go through your witness list.

MS. FLECK: And then you ask do you know anyone in -- sometimes they ask do you know anyone in the district attorney's office.

THE COURT: I don't ask specifically the DA's office.

Is there anyone — are you — are you or anyone close to you — hang on. Let me get the exact question. I ask generally law enforcement. I assume they know DAs, but I can specify DAs if you want.

MS. FLECK: Well, sometimes judges ask DAs. And then I just would also, if you're going to ask DAs, then also ask do you know anyone in the district attorney's office. Also do you know anyone — any criminal defense attorneys or anyone, you know, in law — in the legal community here.

THE COURT: Yeah. The question I ask is, has anyone on the panel ever been engaged in law enforcement work or have a spouse or close relative who was engaged in law enforcement work. If you want me to specify DA and criminal defense, I can do that.

MS. FLECK: Not PD's office obviously.

MR. FELICIANO: Yeah. We would ask not. Not PDs, just criminal defense.

THE COURT: Right. Obviously. Do you want me to be more specific then?

MR. FELICIANO: Yeah. We would just ask criminal defense and not the PD's office. We prefer to be --

MS. FLECK: And I would ask the DA's office, yeah, and criminal defense.

MR. FELICIANO: Judge, we'd also ask that you — you're going to — I presume you're going to ask them if they've been a victim of a crime?

THE COURT: Right.

MR. FELICIANO: If they know anyone, or if them or anyone close to them has been a victim of a sexual — a crime sexual in nature due to the type of case we're dealing with.

MS. FLECK: All right. And same if you've been accused of a crime, generic question, have you ever been — have you or anyone close to you been accused of a crime.

THE COURT: Of a sexual nature; is that the word that

Z

you want me to use, or what specifically do you want me to say?

MR. FELICIANO: I think that's -- I think that works.

MS. FLECK: But I think general, have you or anyone close to you been accused of a crime. I mean, I guess if that's general enough, because I want any crime. The same with the victim, if you've been a victim of any crime.

THE COURT: So but you want me to have specific wording in there or a subject question? Clarify. Because I — here are the questions I ask. Have you or anyone close to you such as a family member or friend ever been the victim of a crime; have you or anyone close to you ever been accused or arrested of a crime whether or not there was a conviction. Do you want me to be more specific than that?

MS. FLECK: Maybe — maybe we could ask one question that's, you know, specifically — you know, you've heard the charges in this case, has anyone been the victim or — they probably will already disclose that, but maybe just one other catchall. The victim —

THE COURT: Give me the wording.

MS. FLECK: — of a sexual crime, or accused of a sexual crime.

MR. FELICIANO: So just so we're clear, you're going to ask them if they've been a victim of a crime, and then another question specifically a sexual crime?

THE COURT: Have you ever been accused or arrested of a crime whether or not there was a conviction, and do you want a separate question then?

MR. FELICIANO: Yeah. Because a lot of people don't — tend not to disclose that type of information, unfortunately.

THE COURT: Well, give me the wording then. Have you --

MR. FELICIANO: Have you or anyone close to you ever been a victim of a crime that was sexual in nature.

THE COURT: Been the victim of a crime of a sexual nature?

MR. FELICIANO: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Any other questions?

MS. FLECK: Nothing from the State. Thank you.

MR. FELICIANO: That's it, Judge. Thanks.

THE COURT: And then the other thing that I do, what I do is when I ask the undue burdens questions, I don't kick people in response to the questions.

What I do is I go through the entire panel, everybody makes a record of what their undue burden supposedly is. Then I call you guys up at a sidebar, or we can step out in the hallway, it doesn't matter, either way, and we talk about, you know, who we're going to kick and who we're going to not.

The idea being if I ask, you know, is there anybody

for whom serving on this jury would be an undue burden, and say three people raised their hands, then it almost doesn't matter what the burdens are, we might as well just kick them rather than wasting time. But if 20 people raise their hands, then we can discuss, you know, what do you guys want, do you want to, you know, is an employment issue enough, is travel plans, that kind of thing.

But basically, I don't kick them one at a time, because then the concern is they start hearing, oh, I'll just say I have plane tickets, then the next guy says, oh, I'll just have plane — you know, and they'll know what to say to get them off the jury.

So that's kind of what I do is I go through everybody, I ask them a quick question, what is your, you know, what is your handicap, what is your burden, and then we will have a sidebar on it.

MR. FELICIANO: So as people -- as people get kicked, do they move up, or how does that --

THE COURT: Yeah. Well, no. We plug people in from the back into their seats as they get kicked.

MR. FELICIANO: Okay. And then do they get the general voir dire, or they already had that? The whole room gets it first?

THE COURT: I ask the whole room the first series of questions.

MR. FELICIANO: Okay.

THE COURT: In this case what I might do is I might start off by saying that I know that this is kind of a three-day weekend, is there anybody here who has travel plans on Friday that cannot be changed. And basically anybody who raises their hands, rather than waste time, I just may move them to the back.

And that way we're not spending time with what time does your flight leave, all that kind of stuff. Just sort of get rid of them — well, not getting rid of them. Move them to the back, and that way we're just not spending an hour talking about people's travel plans.

MR. FELICIANO: Okay.

MS. FLECK: And then when we make our motions, do you want us to do it right there, just say, Judge, we have a motion, like if we have a motion to kick for cause?

THE COURT: Yeah. What I would ask you to do is just say, Judge, can we approach, and then we'll do it at a sidebar, and then at the next break you can make whatever record you want. What you say at the sidebar is — we talked about this earlier. It's in theory recorded, but when we're whispering, we never know how clearly it comes out. So I give you the opportunity to make another record at the next break.

MS. FLECK: Okay. And we have two alternates, right? THE COURT: Yes.

2.

MS. FLECK: Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: And I think because we don't have enough chairs we'll probably — the panel technically will be, I think it's — how many chairs here, three, six, nine. It'll be — yeah. It'll be this group plus the front row back there that's part of the box.

MS. FLECK: So the top starts at one?

THE COURT: Yeah, top left. And then just remember the first row behind you is also technically part of the box.

MS. FLECK: Okay. How do you pick your alternates? Did you say that, or did I miss it?

THE COURT: You know what. I forgot to mention that. It's been a while since I've done a trial. I haven't done a trial this calendar year actually. It's up to you guys. I've done it all different ways. We can say it's Numbers 13 and 14. We can pick two chairs. We can — you know, what do you guys prefer? It doesn't really matter to me. Last two in. It doesn't really matter.

MR. FELICIANO: Last two.

THE COURT: Last two in, you guys okay with that?

MS. FLECK: Thirteen, 14, that's fine.

THE COURT: All right. It's 13, 14 then.

MS. FLECK: So wait. We're missing -- it goes 25,

and then it goes to 27. So 25, 26, 27, 28 -- wait. So 25 --

THE COURT: It's the first row behind you.

to move 11 back to like the end-ish. Like maybe I'll just 1 make 11, 37, and then the opening grosses will be 37 and 38. 2 THE COURT: And you know what. The other question I 3 have for you guys is how do you guys want to do the perempts? 4 And I generally go with what you guys agree on. But some 5 people want a separate perempt for the alternates, and some 6 people just want to use them kind of as a batch. You know 7 what I mean? Do you know what I mean by that, or is everybody 8 confused by that? 9 MS. FLECK: You mean like we would get nine total, we 10 can use it whenever we want. 11 THE COURT: Or do you want to reserve ones especially 12 for the alternates? You know, eight plus one, or nine 13 basically, if you know what I mean by that. 14 MR. FELICIANO: We prefer to just use nine. 15 That's fine with me too. MS. FLECK: 16 All right. THE COURT: 17 What time are we thinking we'll start MS. FLECK: 18 tomorrow? 19 How big is the calendar? THE COURT: 20 [Inaudible.] THE CLERK: 21 We can probably start at 10:30 tomorrow. THE COURT: 22 And you said you're down to how many witnesses, eight? 23 Eight. Well, nine. Actually nine. MS. FLECK: 24 (Pause in proceedings) 25 KARR REPORTING, INC.

72

	Track. Is there as there something in
1	MS. FLECK: Is there — is there something in
2	evidence from the prelim?
3	THE CLERK: There is in the vault, and I'll get it
4	when you start evidence.
5	MS. FLECK: Okay.
6	THE CLERK: I believe that there is a notice that you
7	guys [inaudible]. I don't know what it is, but I know that
8	you guys [inaudible].
9	MS. FLECK: How accessible is it?
10	THE CLERK: Right now?
11	MS. FLECK: No. But today.
12	THE CLERK: I can get it at lunch.
13	MS. FLECK: Okay. Can we?
14	THE CLERK: Mm-hmm.
15	MS. FLECK: Thank you.
16	THE CLERK: Not a problem.
17	(Pause in proceeding.)
18	THE COURT: Everyone's got a copy of the list of
19	prospective jurors?
20	MS. FLECK: Yes, Your Honor.
21	MR. FELICIANO: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Let's everybody take a quick look and see
23	if there's any names that anyone recognizes as potentially
24	
25	Thora's a Leavitt.
	<b>II</b>

1	THE COURT: Oh, yeah. Right. Number 37?
2	MS. FLECK: Mm-hmm. [Inaudible.]
3	THE COURT: Number 92 is Mark Hutchison. I don't
4	know if that's the same as
5	MS. FELICIANO: I noticed that. But it says manager,
6	and I would think that if it was Mark Hutchison of Hutchison &
7	Steffen, then he would have said like managing partner or
8	something.
9	THE COURT: Right.
10	MS. FELICIANO: Yeah. I saw that too.
11	THE COURT: We'll notice when he comes in here.
12	We'll see if it's him or not.
13	MS. FELICIANO: Yeah. I used to work with him, so
14	I'd know if it was him.
15	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anyone see any names
16	that they have any concerns about?
17	MS. FLECK: Nothing from the State, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: All right. Are we ready to bring
19	them in?
20	All right. Randy, let's bring them in.
21	(Pause in proceeding.)
22	(Prospective jurors enter at 11:06 a.m.)
23	THE MARSHAL: Is there going to be anybody that needs
24	the assistance of hearing mike the earphones? If you do,
25	just let me know. We have earphones for hearing impaired. So
	II

if you're having a hard time hearing, just let me know. You can remove your sunglasses at this time. I need everybody to turn off their cellphones so that they're completely off, not on vibrate. I need them completely off, please.

For those of you that were the last ones in, if you have a cellphone, please turn it completely off at this time. We'll be passing the microphone around to you. You need to speak directly into the top of it like I am doing. When you're asked a question and you're going to respond, wait until the microphone gets to you. We need the last four numbers on your badge, and then your name.

It's all yours, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Proceed. Will counsel stipulate to the presence of the prospective jury?

MS. FLECK: The State does. Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. FELICIANO: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning, everybody. Welcome to Department 20 of the Eighth Judicial District Court. You have been summoned here today to serve as prospective jurors in a criminal case. I know that when you received your jury summons there were probably a few of you who were excited to serve, but probably for the vast majority it was not something that you asked for.

I know that jury service has the perception of being kind of annoying. There's a lot of hurry up and wait and you

don't know what's going on. And I know that in many ways that's the reality of the system. I apologize for that.

.4

2.4

I want to thank all of you for your willingness to serve. Without the willingness of members of this community to serve on juries in cases, whether civil or criminal, the criminal justice system would grind to a halt. You are probably the most important part of the system, and I want you all to know that and understand that I know that and appreciate it.

Ultimately, 14 of you will be serving as jurors on this case, 12 jurors plus two alternates. Obviously there are more than 14 of you in this room right now, so what we're going to do for the next couple of hours is kind of weed out who can and cannot serve as jurors in this case. If you are not selected to serve as a juror on this case and if you wanted to serve, please don't take it personally.

There's all kinds of reasons why people can't serve. It could be that you know something about this case. It could be that you have some experience with some subject matter that has something to do with this case, or it could just be a numbers issue. So the majority of you will be going home or at least not be on this jury, and only 14 of you will be. So I want to let you know in advance, please don't take that personally.

One thing that I'll let you know that hopefully will  $${\tt KARR}$$  REPORTING, INC.

make you feel a little bit better about potentially serving as jurors on this case is in any given week in this courthouse there are probably anywhere between 10 and 20 trials going. This is a case that is expected to last four, possibly five days, and so in a way that's the best case for you.

2.

Because I know that today for example, one of the departments is starting a six week medical malpractice trial. And if you were on that case, I'm sure that would be a much more — a much greater inconvenience on you than serving on this relatively small case would be. So that's something that you should be grateful about, and hopefully that would make it a lot easier for you guys as we go through the process over the next couple hours.

Jury service — jury selection is done under oath.

I'm going to give you a couple preliminary instructions.

What's going to happen is all of you have that white badge that you have on. As I ask the questions over the next couple of hours, anyone who has an affirmative response to any question, please raise your hand, or if there's something that you need repeated, maybe something I said wasn't clear to you or you can't hear me, please raise your hand and let me know.

What will happen is the marshal will bring the microphone to you. When you get the microphone, please tell me the last three digits of the juror number on your badge. Everything that's done in this courtroom is recorded. There

are microphones, as you can see, around the courthouse. But for the future, we need to know who is speaking. So please give us the last three digits of your badge number before you ask your question, and that's how we'll do things logistically.

Now, before we start, I have a couple questions for everybody here before we start the formal process. Has anyone here ever been convicted of a felony in Nevada or in any other state of the United States?

All right. Is anyone here not a United States citizen?

All right. At this time then, with no hands being up, I will ask the clerk to swear the members of the prospective jury in.

(Prospective jurors sworn.)

THE COURT: All right. First question. Does anyone here have any language or hearing or serious medical issues that might prevent you from serving as a juror in this case? Any hands? If anybody needs any assistance with hearing, we do have microphones that will amplify anything that's said in court.

All right. At this time what I'm going to do is ask the attorneys in this case to stand up and introduce themselves, tell you a little bit about their case, and tell you the witnesses that they might call in this case. Please

listen carefully to the names that are being read to you. If you can't hear a name or if you need a name spelled, please raise your hand.

And what I'm going to ask you, after they've introduced themselves and told you a little about this case, is if any of those names are familiar to you, if they're friends of yours, neighbors, anything you might have heard about them, if you have an opinion about any of these people. So please listen to the names carefully.

On behalf of the State.

1.0

MS. FLECK: Thank you, Your Honor.

Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Michelle Fleck. This is Nick Graham. We are the deputy district attorneys assigned to handle the case of State of Nevada versus Guillermo Renteria-Novoa.

The defendant in this case has been charged with 37 criminal counts. All 37 counts arise from events which occurred here in Las Vegas between February of 2005 and December of 2009. While there are 37 counts, there really are only three different kinds of criminal conduct, the first being sexual assault that would include sexual assault, sexual assault on a minor under the age of 16, and sexual assault on a minor under the age of 14.

Additionally, we have charged numerous counts of lewdness with a minor and open and gross lewdness. The victim

in this case, Roxana Perez, the same victim in all of the counts, roughly 12 years old when the allegations began going up until 16 years old in December of 2009.

As the judge told you, I'll tell you the witnesses that we intend to call, and you'll have an opportunity then to tell the Court if any of these names are familiar to you. The victim in this case is Roxana Perez; her mother, Rosa Perez; her aunt, Janet Rodriguez; a cousin, Maritza Rodriguez; and another cousin, Jamie Leon.

Additionally we intend on calling a representative from AT&T, a custodian of record. From the court interpreter's office we will be calling Jeffrey Hanks. And from the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Officer Christopher Gibson and sexual assault detective, Ryan Jaeger. Thank you so much.

THE COURT: All right. On behalf of Mr. Renteria-Novoa.

MR. FELICIANO: Thank you, Your Honor.

Good morning, afternoon. This is Guillermo

Renteria-Novoa. This is my client. This is my co-counsel,

Amy Feliciano, and my name is Mike Feliciano, and we are going
to show you that Mr. Renteria is not guilty of any crime. He
has entered the plea of not guilty.

To do this we may call some of the State's witnesses. We have reserved the right to call those names you just heard.

Additionally, we may call an additional witness by the name of Bruce McAllister. At the end of the case we're confident that you'll see that Mr. Renteria is not guilty of any crime. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Is anybody here familiar with any of the names that were just read to you? Nobody.

All right. Let me give you some other names. My name is Jerome Tao. I sometimes go by Jerry Tao. I also have some court staff. Randy Hawkes, the marshal who you met down on the third floor and out in the hallway. To my right is my court clerk, Tia Everett. To her right is my court recorder, Sara Richardson. My executive assistant is named Paula Walsh, and my law clerk is Amy Yonesawa. Does anybody know any of these people or have any impressions about them?

All right. As I indicated a minute ago, this case is expected to last four to five days. We're going to shoot to have the trial ended by Thursday, but there is a chance that it could go into Friday.

The hours of this trial will be as follows: Today we'll go until about 5:00 o'clock with a lunch break; tomorrow we'll start at 10:30 and go until 5:00 o'clock, also with a lunch break; on Wednesday it will be about 10:30 to 5:00; Thursday 10:30 to 5:00; and Friday we can start at 9:00 in the morning, if we need to go into Friday.

Now, I know -- I'm very aware that we're coming up on

- 1	l -lene GO
1	a three-day weekend and some of you may have travel plans. So
2	let me ask this question immediately. Is there anybody here
3	who has travel plans on Friday that they cannot change? And
4	when I say cannot change, does anyone have plane tickets,
5	hotel reservations that they can't change?
6	And I don't mean let me just finish for a second.
7	You know, if you're planning on driving to L.A. but you were
8	going to leave at 12:00 but, you know, if you talk to your
9	family maybe you can leave at 5:00, that doesn't count as a
10	plan that you can't change.
11	So I did see two hands. Anyone here have plans that
12	they can't change on Friday? Let's use the microphone. Let's
13	start in the back there. Ma'am, what is your badge number?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: 0066, Zanone-Pucci.
15	THE COURT: Hang on. I'm sorry. What's the badge
16	number again?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: 00066. The last name's
18	Zanone-Pucci.
19	THE COURT: Six, six?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Oh, six. I'm sorry.
21	THE COURT: Oh, six.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Six. Just one six.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Yes, ma'am. What is your
24	situation?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: The situation is I fly
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	out Thursday and I don't return until Monday. And I brought
2	my flight and also my — the car arrangement that's picking me
	up, if you'd like to see that.
3	THE COURT: No. That's all right.
4	Let me do this. How many other people had their
5	hands up? Can everybody raise their hands. Only a couple or
6	
7	three  THE MARSHAL: Two more, three in the back.
8	11
9	THE COURT: more people?
10	All right. Let me quickly go through those other
11	three people and let me get back to you. All right. Can you
12	hand the microphone back to Randy.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: It's 0045, Peggy Barth.
14	THE COURT: What are the last three digits?
15	
16	THE COURT: 045, Ms. Barth?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Okay. What's your situation?
19	PROCEETIVE JUROR NO. 045: I just have plans to go
20	
21	WIR COIDT: When?
22	PROGRECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: On Friday.
2:	mus corpr. What time on Friday?
2.	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Friday morning.
	THE COURT: Are you flying or driving?
_	KARR REPORTING, INC. 83

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 045: Flying.
2	THE COURT: All right. And then who else had their
3	hand up?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: It's 0068.
5	THE COURT: Six, five?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: No. 0068.
7	THE COURT: Oh, six, eight. Mr. Aguilar?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Aguilar. Yeah.
9	THE COURT: All right.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I thought I need excused
11	because my English is not better for this place.
12	THE COURT: Okay. How long have you lived in the
13	United States?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I have lived here in Las
15	Vegas for about ten years.
16	THE COURT: Can you understand everything that I've
1.7	said today?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: No. I don't know. I
19	need more English [unintelligible] not for this service.
20	THE COURT: Okay. I'll make a note of that. Let me
21	get back to you in a second. All right. Let me finish up
22	with the other people.
23	Who else had their hand up?
24	
25	THE COURT: Eight, four. Mr is it
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 84

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: Makhathini.
2	THE COURT: Makhathini. All right. What's your
3	situation?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 084: I'm driving part of the
5	soccer team for the tournament over the weekend, and we have
6	to check in by noon on Friday.
.7	THE COURT: All right. Let me do this. Ms is it
8	Zanone-Pucci; is that how you pronounce it? Let's see.
9	Ms. Barth and Mr. Makhathini, let me get the three of you to
10	stand up and sit kind of in that section over there for a
11	couple minutes. What we're going to do is we're going to go
12	through a couple more questions, and then let me get back to
13	you guys. All right.
14	And then, Randy, we need to move somebody else up
15 16	here.  THE CLERK: In Seat No. 4, it's going to be Badge
17	No. 053, Antonio Correa.
18	THE COURT: All right. In the vacant seat up here.
19	Thanks.
20	THE CLERK: In Seat 28, it's now going to be Badge
21	No. 055, Nicole Quince.
22	THE COURT: All right. Now, the next question —
23	THE CLERK: Oh, 29. I'm sorry. That — she goes to
24	Seat 29 in the top row.
25	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Now, other than travel
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

plans on Friday, is there anybody here for whom serving as a 1 juror in this case with the hours that I just mentioned be an 2 undue burden? And when I say undue burden, I don't mean just 3 you don't want to be here, you're going to miss work. We're 4 all going to have to, you know, miss some work to be here. 5 But is there anybody for whom it would be a serious 6 financial hardship or another serious conflict, child care or 7 something like that, that you can't get someone else to cover 8 for you, anybody? 9 Randy, where's the microphone? 10 What's your badge number, ma'am? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: 010. 12 THE COURT: Zero, one, zero. Ms. Kincaid? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: Yes. 14 THE COURT: All right. What's your situation? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: I have a CAT scan 16 scheduled for Friday morning at 9:00. 17 THE COURT: Oh. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: I've also got an invalid 19 husband at home that I'm basically providing care for. 20 THE COURT: All right. Are you currently under 21 continuing medical treatment in connection with this CAT scan? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 010: I just started it. It's 23 for kidney problems. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Are you under medication right 25

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: I don't know the answer
2	to that question.
3	THE COURT: So your son's practice starts at he
4	leaves school at 2:15 and he has to go to practice. And then
5	what time does practice end?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Practice ends at 6:00
7	p.m.
8	THE COURT: All right. I appreciate it. Let me get
9	you to pass the microphone.
10	Who else had their hand up? Hi. What is your badge
11	number, sir?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: 032.
13	THE COURT: Thirty-two, Mr. Bean?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes.
15	THE COURT: All right. What's your situation?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: My wife just had thyroid
17	surgery, and literally waiting on results whether it's
18	cancerous or not. They might have to re-open her and take it
19	back out. So she's pretty shook up.
20	THE COURT: Okay. When did she have surgery?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Friday.
22	THE COURT: Okay. And have they indicated a time
23	frame when the results might be back?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: They said anywhere
25	from 10 to 14 days.
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

—— <u> </u>  -	
1	THE COURT: And if she got notice - let's say she
2	gets notice today, how soon would she need to have the
3	surgery? How much advance notice
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: They want to do it right
5	away if it's cancerous.
6	THE COURT: Okay. You said it's — they think 10
7	to 14 days and the surgery was last Friday, so she might not
8	get the results this week though, right? Is she under a
9	treatment right now, a medication follow-up?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes. She's under all
11	that, plus she has stitches still in her.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Does she need — at least for the
13	next couple days does she need you to be there to do specific
14	things for her? Is she bedridden, is she
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: No.
16	THE COURT: All right. Thanks.
17	Who else had their hand up?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Badge No. 0058.
19	THE COURT: Five, eight, Ms. Cory?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes.
21	THE COURT: All right. What's your situation?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I have to move out of
23	state on June 14, so I'm really pressed for time.
24	
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I'm moving out of state
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	on June 14.
2	THE COURT: Moving June 14?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Mm-hmm. And my days off
4	are Thursday, Friday, so.
5	THE COURT: So what is it that you need to do this
6	week?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I have a lot of packing
, 8	to do.
9	THE COURT: Can you pack in the evenings? Can you
10	pack in the mornings when we don't start trial until 10:30?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I suppose.
12	THE COURT: Is there anyone else who can help you
13	pack?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Not really.
15	THE COURT: Where are you moving to?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Texas.
17	THE COURT: All right. Thanks.
18.	
19	Hi. What's your badge number?
20	PROCEDECTIVE JUROR NO. 095: 095.
21	THE COURT: Ms. Leiker?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 095: Mm-hmm.
23	THE COURT: What is your situation?
. 24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 095: My daughter's 12, and I
25	t ander to pick her up by 5:00 every day. I'm a
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 91

single mom, and I work for a one-man company who does not pay 1 me if I miss work. So four or five days with no pay and a 2 child to raise and a mortgage is a little tough for me. 3 THE COURT: What are your work hours? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 095: My work hours are 8:30 to 5 about ten minutes to 5:00. 6 THE COURT: Is there any way that you can talk with 7 your supervisor about changing shifts, you know, maybe 8 changing days around, that kind of thing? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 095: No. Because it's just 10 one man. He owns a company. He's a CPA and he wants me there 11 during the work hours to answer phones. And he made it clear 12 to me that if I'm picked that I'm not going to get paid. 13 THE COURT: So are you paid by the hour, is that how 14 it works, or are you just paid a salary? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 095: I'm on salary, yeah. 16 THE COURT: So you're on a salary, but he said he's 17 just not going to pay you for the time that you're here. What 18 is he going to do, make you take vacation time or --19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 095: Mm-hmm. And I'm very 20 limited on my vacation time. I get two weeks vacation, which 21 I plan on using some of it during the summer to help with my 22 child care. 23 THE COURT: What's the name of the organization? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 095: Aaron Tveter, CPA, or 25

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Twelve.
2	THE COURT: Can you understand everything that I am
3	saying?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Just a little.
5	THE COURT: Thanks.
. 6	Can I get counsel to approach very quickly.
7	(Bench conference.)
8	THE COURT: First of all, what do you guys want to do
9	with the two language people?
10	MS. FLECK: Out.
11	THE COURT: Do you want to keep them, or do you want
12	to give them interpreters, or do you just want to kick them?
13	[Inaudible] agreement. I'd go along with whatever you guys
. 14	agreed.
15	MS. FLECK: I say kick them.
	MS. FELICIANO: [Inaudible] interpreters.
17	THE COURT: Okay. We'll have to get more
18	then and I think we'll need a Tagalog
19	interpreter.
20	All right. What about the undue burdens people?
21	There aren't that many of them. First of all, the plane
22	those three people, do you want to just kick
23	3 them now?
2	(No audible response.)
	THE COURT: And then the undue burdens people
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 94

1	[inaudible], and so the CAT scan. There's only one, two
2	MS. FLECK: I would say I think that
3	THE COURT: three and four, that's five people.
•	MS. FLECK: I think that we should just [inaudible].
4 5	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
	MS. FLECK: I think we should let [inaudible] leave
6	if she's got an invalid husband.
. 7	THE COURT: The CAT scan. Yeah. That's the CAT scan
8	on Friday.
9	MS. FLECK: I say let her go.
10	MS. FELICIANO: Oh, yeah. That's fine.
11	THE COURT: Okay. And then what about Ms. Mashas,
12	that her husband can get called away. She's got a 13-year-old
13	
14	son.  MS. FELICIANO: I don't think so.
15	THE COURT: What we're doing now, by the way, just so
16	You guys know, because I know you've never been in here
17	you guys know, because I know you guys know, because I know you guys know, because I know you guys have an agreement on any of these people before, if you guys have an agreement on any of these people
18	before, if you guys have an agreement of the before, if you guys have an agree, we'll keep them and we'll just kick them. If you don't agree, we'll keep them and
19	we'll just kick them. If you don't age.  you guys can question them further. So we're just looking for
20	you guys can question them further. So how about [inaudible]
2	ll ll
2	2 for Ms. Mashas?
2	MS. FELICIANO: I think she should stay. I think
2	that's stupid. What do you think? That the lady with the
~	kid —

11	
1	THE COURT: Yeah. That her 13-year-old kid who has
2	to be out of school at 2:15, and her husband's a security
3	consultant.
4	MS. FELICIANO: I mean, that just [inaudible] that
5	she's clearly like not struggling. I'm sure she's got people,
6	child care stuff [inaudible]. I just don't think that's a
7	good enough excuse to.
8	THE COURT: If you want to keep her and ask
9	questions, we can do that. Just if we keep her, just keeping
10	her, we're just not [inaudible].
11	(Inaudible responses.)
12	THE COURT: What about [inaudible] wife who just had
13	thyroid surgery? [Inaudible] whether it's cancerous or not,
14	32.
15	UNKNOWN SPEAKER: The only thing is that he said that
16	they were getting the results in like 10 to 14 days.
17	THE COURT: Yeah, I know.
18	INTERIORN SPEAKER: And that she didn't need
19	
20	THE COURT! Yeah. So
2	UNKNOWN SPEAKER: [Inaudible.]
2	THE COURT: How about okay. [Inaudible] leaving
	on Friday. What about the woman who needs to pack, 58?
	(Inaudible responses.)
	(Remainder of bench conference inaudible, not transcribed.)
2	KARR REPORTING, INC.

THE COURT: All right. Based on the responses so far, the following people are free to go. Please report back to jury services on the third floor. Juror No. 6,

Ms. Zanone-Pucci; Juror No. 10, Ms. Kincaid; Juror No. 45,

Ms. Barth; Juror No. 84, Mr. Makhathini, I apologize if I'm mispronouncing that; and Juror No. 95, Ms. Leiker.

2.4

With the remaining people, it doesn't necessarily mean you're on the jury. It just means that we have additional questions to ask.

Now, as to Mr. Aguilar, No. 68, and Ms. Martinez, No. 69, the way it works is under the law, if you qualify to serve as a juror, what we have to do is we can't excuse you just because you have a language ability. We're going to get some interpreters for you. It's just going to take us a couple of minutes. Okay.

What I'm going to do is I'm going to go ahead with a couple other questions, and after every question I'm going to come back to you and make sure that you guys understand.

We'll see if — because it's going to take a couple minutes for the interpreter to get up here. We'll see if we can get any further without the interpreter present.

If you can't even understand what I'm talking about now or in my next question, then we may have to wait for the interpreter to get here. Do you guys understand what I just said?

## (Inaudible response.) 1 THE COURT: All right. And Ms. Martinez, do you 2 understand what I just said? 3 (Inaudible response.) 4 THE COURT: All right. So both Mr. Aguilar and 5 Ms. Martinez have indicated that they've understood what I've 6 said so far. So when the interpreter gets here, then we'll set you up with a headphone or something like that so you can 8 understand everything. All right. 9 All right. Now, other than the questions that I have 10 just asked, is --11 THE MARSHAL: Judge, we need to fill the seat. 12 THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes. I'm getting ahead 1.3 of myself. Let's go ahead and call the next person. 14 Seat No. 5. THE MARSHAL: 15 THE CLERK: Oh, Seat No. 5. I apologize. Next is 16 Badge No. 057, Dylan Anderson. 17 THE COURT: Can one of them help out Mr. Aguilar, or 18 do they need to go somewhere else? 19 THE MARSHAL: They're with the defendant. We have to 20 get somebody else for them. 21 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Other than the 22 questions that I have just asked, is there anyone here who 23 believes that for any other reason you would be unable to 24 serve as a juror in this case? All right. We have a couple 25 KARR REPORTING, INC.

hands up. 1 Randy, where's the microphone? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Badge No. 041, Danielle 3 Zeihen. 4 THE COURT: Ms. Zeihen. All right. Yes. My marshal 5 did indicate that you had had a short conversation with him. 6 I understand that what you're about to say is kind of a 7 sensitive matter for you. But you believe that because of 8 something that happened to you as a child you'll be unable to 9 serve as a juror in this case? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: I would not have a fair 11 opinion, no. 12 THE COURT: I'm sorry. You would not have a fair 13 opinion? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Correct. 15 THE COURT: In what way? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: To me it's kind of 17 because I've been in that situation. Anyone accused of it is 18 more likely guilty than not. 19 THE COURT: Even if you don't know -- I mean, as you 20 sit here right now you haven't heard any testimony. All 21 you've heard is sort of the attorneys talk about what the case 22 is about. You don't know what the evidence is. You haven't 23 heard from a single witness. 24 Even sitting here today without knowing anything 25 KARR REPORTING, INC.

about this case, you believe that you -- you believe that this person might be guilty based on nothing at all because of what happened to you; is that what you're saying? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. Is that — if you were asked to serve as a juror in this case, you would be taking an oath to follow the law. Are you saying that you would not be able to do that because of your experiences?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: I'm saying I probably would not.

THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Fleck or Mr. Feliciano, any questions that you want to ask --

Is it Zion [phonetic], is that how you pronounce it? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Yes.

MS. FLECK: Judge, can we approach?

Sure. THE COURT:

(Bench conference.)

I just think that we need to flush out MS. FLECK: the essay questions on their own, and if everybody -- if we excuse her right now, everybody's going to put their hands up and say it's too hard of a subject matter for me to sit to. And there's so many questions that need to be asked from both sides about that, that just to make [inaudible] say I can't be fair because I had an experience with it, that's just -- wipes out our whole panel.

defendant would probably be a problem in this kind of case. 1 THE COURT: Okay. What relationship is this close 2 family member to you? You don't need to name names, but is it 3 your brother, is it your -- what's the relationship? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: My mother. 5 THE COURT: Your mother. And was she accused of 6 something like this, or was she the victim of something like 7 this? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: She was a victim in her 9 childhood, in her teenage years. 10 THE COURT: All right. I appreciate your letting me 11 know. Let me kind of get through - the way this works is let 12 me get through some other questions and we'll come back to 13 you. All right. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 077: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: All right. Has anyone here ever been 16 engaged in law enforcement work or have a spouse or a close 17 relative who has ever been engaged in law enforcement work, 18 specifically including as a police officer here or in any 19 other state, with the DA's office, or working with or have a 20 close relationship with any criminal defense attorneys in Las 21 Vegas? Anybody? We have a couple people. 22 Hi. What is your badge number? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: My badge number is 006. 24

KARR REPORTING, INC. 102

I'm sorry. 016.

	Language and the second of the
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes. He was with Metro.
2	THE COURT: And is he still there?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: No.
4	THE COURT: When did he leave?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: He left the department
6	probably back in, I want to say 1998.
7	THE COURT: Was he ever assigned to any detail — I
8	don't know how it was organized [unintelligible], but any unit
9	that had jurisdiction over the kinds of allegations we have
10	here today?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: As far as what I know, he
12	worked narcotics and was worked down on Fremont Street for
13	a while.
14	THE COURT: Okay. And your step-father was also a CO
15	or a police officer?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: He was a CO. And I know
17	he was a bailiff at one point too, I think.
18	THE COURT: Oh. A courtroom bailiff?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes, years and years ago.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Do you know when he did both of
21	those things?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: He probably worked in the
23	19
2	'80s, early '90s, until his retirement.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Now, is there — during their
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 104

work, or even after they retired, have they talked with you, told you stories about cases they worked on, things they saw, their experiences, things like that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Well, my father's passed away, but he would talk about inmates every once in a while, but no specifics of anything.

THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about anything that they may have told you, or anything that you might have known from their work for law enforcement that might cause you to be something other than fair and impartial in a case like this?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: No. I don't think -- I don't believe so.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask you this: It sounds like they worked for the different branches of law enforcement some time ago. It's entirely possible that procedures that were in place back then may have changed since then. Maybe Metro has completely different procedures for narcotics or whatever.

I don't know if any of the — your father, your step-father, your brother ever told you specifically about procedures, things that they're supposed to do, investigative techniques, anything like that.

But if they did, if you have testimony in this case about certain things that officers may or may not have done in

	the control of the co
1	this case, could you put aside what you think you may have
2	known based on stories that your family members told you and
3	just evaluate the evidence based on what you hear in the
4	courtroom?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yeah. I think so.
6	THE COURT: All right. I appreciate it.
7	Who else had their hand up kind of in this area?
8	THE MARSHAL: Pass the mike down, please.
9	THE COURT: Hi. What is your badge number?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Hi. 029.
11	THE COURT: Zero, two, nine. Ms. McClure?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Yes.
13	THE COURT: All right. What's your situation?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: I'm actually marrying a
15	Metro officer in three weeks.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: And
18	THE COURT: What's his name?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Angelo Colucci
20	[phonetic].
21	THE COURT: Do you know what he do you know what
22	he's assigned to now?
. 23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Convention Center Area
2	4 Command.
2	THE COURT: Do you know what units he's previously
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 106

been assigned to?

1.0

2.4

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: I — just always area of command convention center.

THE COURT: Has he ever been a detective, a sexual assault detective, anything like that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: One of the — our friends in the wedding — or coming to the wedding, excuse me, is a detective in sexual assaults.

THE COURT: What's his name?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Walter Detweiller. He goes by Rob.

THE COURT: Is he connected with this case in any way?

MS. FLECK: He's not, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Is there anything about being engaged and about to marry a Metro police officer that might cause you to be something other than fair and impartial in this case? In other words — I guess there's a couple different ways to ask this.

But if Metro police officers were to come in here and testify, and knowing that this case may have been investigated by Metro police officers who may or may not know your husband — it's a big organization, I'm sure he doesn't know everybody — but would you find their testimony or their work in this case to be more believable simply because they work in

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

the same organization as your fiance/husband?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Yes.

THE COURT: You would. Even if they are officers that -- I mean, just because they're Metro officers; is that why?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Pretty much. That's the point of their job, so yes.

THE COURT: Okay. So it's not a possibility for you that certain officers in the organization, and I think there's over 2,000 sworn officers, may -- in a particular case may not have done a thorough job or may not remember things? You would just -- is that a possibility for you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: It's possible, but you always lean to the -- what the point of their job is and the thoroughness, so.

Okay. And what about your friend, THE COURT: Detective Detweiller, the fact that he works in sexual assault, does that cause you to have feelings one way or the other about serving as a juror in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Well, I don't like what he tells me when he tells me things, so it's not anything positive. And I'm a teacher, so it affects me in terms of the childhood aspect of it.

THE COURT: Okay. But as we - if you are asked to serve as a juror in this case, you will be taking an oath to

follow the law. And one of the principles of our legal system is anybody accused of a crime is innocent until proven guilty. You have to actually base a decision on evidence and testimony and everything else that's going to occur in court.

So based on your relationship with your fiance and with your friend, the detective, as you sit here today, do you have any feelings about whether this particular gentleman is quilty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: I don't really know anything about the case, but I don't know. I guess I would just have to listen to the facts kind of thing.

THE COURT: Okay. So could you keep an open mind in this case and be fair and impartial until you've heard the facts and the testimony?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: I would try.

THE COURT: You would try. Okay. And I should have asked this in the beginning. None of the names of the witnesses that were read to you in this case, did any of them ring a bell?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: No. Sometimes when I hear about cases they tell me, I don't necessarily remember the names as opposed to the events kind of thing, so.

THE COURT: Okay. I know you don't know much about this case, but I think during the presentation the DA mentioned the dates and the location of the offense. Did that

ring any bells for you? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: No location I was hearing 2. that... 3 THE COURT: All right. And the name 4 Mr. Renteria-Novoa doesn't ring any bells as a story 5 that Mr. -- that Detective Detweiller may have mentioned? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Not that I can think of, 7 but it's not an uncommon name in [inaudible]. 8 THE COURT: Right. Okay. All right. 9 understanding that because, you know, obviously you're engaged 10 to a Metro police officer and you kind of know a little bit 11 about what he does and, you know, maybe you find police 12 officers more believable to begin with, but do you think that 13 you could keep an open mind if and -- I don't even know if any 14 police officers are going to testify in this case. But let's 15 say they do. Do you think that you could put aside any 16 preconceptions that you have and listen to their testimony 17 objectively and impartially? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Yes. 19 THE COURT: All right. I appreciate your honesty. 20 Let me get you to pass the microphone. 21 Who else had their hand up? I think there was 22 someone, yeah, right next to you. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Badge 030. 24 THE COURT: Zero, three, zero. Mr. Meckley? 25 KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Correct.
2	THE COURT: What's your situation?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: I just have a first
4	cousin that was a police officer for 20 years and is currently
5	a marshal back in Pennsylvania.
6	THE COURT: In Pennsylvania. When you say he's a
7	marshal, are there I don't know you know, every state
8	has different definitions for the office. Is he a courtroom
9	marshal or is he a marshal out, you know, fugitive detail
10	or
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Courtroom marshal.
12	THE COURT: Courtroom marshal. Are you close with
13	this cousin?
14	
15	THE COURT: It's a he or she?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: He.
17	THE COURT: Does he tell you stories about his work,
18	things he sees?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: [No audible response.]
2	THE COURT: Is there anything about your relationship
2	with him or anything that he may have told you that might
2	cause you to be something other than fair and impartial in
2	this case?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: [No audible response.]
,	THE COURT: All right. And again, same question that
•	KARR REPORTING, INC. 111

1	I've asked a couple times. Knowing that especially, you know,
2	Pennsylvania may have different procedures than in this case,
3	if a detective were to come in here and talk about what they
	did in this case, it may or may not comply with Pennsylvania
4	procedure. Metro may have completely different procedures.
5	Could you put aside what you think you may know about police
6	procedures and evaluate the testimony fairly and impartially?
7	procedures and Cvardass  PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: [No audible response.]
8	THE COURT: All right. I appreciate it. And I think
9	the gentleman two seats down had their hand up also.
10	in what is your badge number?
11	Hi. And again, what is your many prospective JUROR NO. 032: 032.
12	
13	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Bean, what is your
14	situation?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Son-in-law with Henderson
16	police.
17	THE COURT: All right. And your son-in-law
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Soon to be ex-son-in-law.
19	THE COURT: Okay. He's currently employed with
20	Henderson?
2.	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Excuse me?
<u> </u>	
23	TWO COURT: Is he currently employed with the
2:	THE COURT: Is he currently employed with the Henderson police?
2	THE COURT: Is he currently employed with the Henderson police? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Is he currently employed with the  Henderson police?  PROGRECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yes.

- 11	
1	THE COURT: Okay. Really good friends, or
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yeah.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Anyone on the sex assault detail?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: No.
5	THE COURT: Anything about your friendship with those
. 6	people, anything they might have told you, inside information,
7	anything like that, that might cause you to be something other
8	than fair and impartial?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: No.
10	THE COURT: I appreciate it.
11	Who else had their hand up just in this area? And
12	you were Number 41, right?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Yes. I pretty much had a
14	question. My mother is Metro dispatch. Does that count?
15	THE COURT: What is your mother's name?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Donna Caddess.
17	THE COURT: How do you spell the last name?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: C-a-d-d-e-s-s. Her P
19	number is 7882.
20	THE COURT: All right. Was she involved in this case
21	in any way? I don't know if there was a 911 call or not,
22	Metro dispatch. Does that ring any bells for anybody here?
23	
2.4	
25	THE COURT: Do you guys remember? Does that name
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Officer Purdue, Norma 1 Purdue [phonetic]. . 2 THE COURT: All right. Did any of the names that 3. were read here in court a few minutes ago ring any bells for 4 5 you? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: No. 6 THE COURT: You said you were interning for P and P. 7 What are you doing for them specifically? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: I don't intern with them 9 no more. At the time when I did -10 THE COURT: When did you intern with them? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: I just got -- I just got 12 done in May of 2011, so it's probably been a year now. 13 THE COURT: Okay. You finished in May of 2011. Were 14 you a student at the time? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: [No audible response.] 16 THE COURT: Was this a summer job type thing, or a 17 school year type --18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: No. It was for school 19 credits. It was an internship. 20 THE COURT: What did you specifically do for them? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: I would go out in the 22 field with them. I was basically in the general supervision 23 and sex offender unit. I was able to read the stories, like 24 documentation of these offenders just to see, you know, as in 25 KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	to what they did.
2	THE COURT: Okay. So anything about that experience
3	that might cause you to be something other than fair and
4	impartial in this case? Obviously the people you dealt with
5	had they were on parole or on probation, right?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Mm-hmm.
7	THE COURT: So they'd already been convicted of
8	something, that's why they're there.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Mm-hmm.
10	THE COURT: Would that affect your ability to serve
11	as a juror in this case when you have to decide whether
12	someone is or is not guilty of the crimes that they're charged
13	with?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes.
15	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes.
17	THE COURT: I can't hear you. I'm sorry.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Oh, sorry. Yes. I would
19	have a problem just with
20	THE COURT: How so?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Just with just
22	descriptions and everything.
23	THE COURT: What do you mean by that, you have a
24	
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Just from parole and
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

me.

Just from my experience with parole and probation, reading all the information that I have been exposed to, I — I'm not going to be up for it.

THE COURT: Okay. So I mean, as I mentioned before, obviously everyone who's dealing with parole and probation has already been convicted. But you understand that not everybody who's accused of something necessarily is actually guilty? You were just seeing the people who were convicted or pled guilty for whatever reason and they're on supervision right now, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: True. I've also seen people who are actually going through a PSI, their presentence investigation before they're even convicted, where they still stand trial with the possibility of being assigned to a parole officer.

THE COURT: Okay. What did you do with PSIs? You just saw them? Did you write them? Did you —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: I got to do everything an officer would do.

THE COURT: Okay. Did you participate in actually writing PSIs?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: I got to help type everything, like questions, everything.

THE COURT: Okay. So the fact that you know — I mean, I guess let me put it to you this way. I just want to

ľ	
1	follow in Kingman may or may not be different than the
2	procedures that are followed in this case, could you if a
3	police officer were to come in here and testify about what he
4	did to investigate this case, would you put aside would you
5 ·	be able to put aside what you think you know what they do in
5	Kingman and evaluate what he or she did in this case based on
7	what you hear in court?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: I believe so, yes.
9	THE COURT: I'm sorry. I can't hear you.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Okay. I appreciate that.
12	Who else had their hand up in response to that
13	question? Anybody? What is your badge number?
13	PROCEETIVE JUROR NO. 027: 027.
15	ON two, seven. Mr. Parry, right?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Yeah.
16	THE COURT: What's your situation?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: I have two nephews that
18	One's a bailiff here and the other one's on
19	
2	THE COURT: What are their names?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Tyler Leavitt's a
	Chad Leavitt is a Metro officer.
	What unit are they is the officer
	the might now, do you know?
,	assigned to right how, as r

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027:	I don't. He's some
2 special unit. I don't know.	
THE COURT: Okay.	a ila gitu
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027:	He roams around the city.
THE COURT: Okay. Are you	
halk with them frequently about the	eir jobs?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027	: When we get together we
and a little bit.	1 ctionship
9 THE COURT: Okay. Anythi	ng about your relationship
9 with them or anything they may have	re told you that might cause
10 with them of any order than fair	ir and impartial in this
12 case?	
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 02	7: No.
14 THE COURT: Do you know	if either one of them ever
14 THE COOK!. 35 1  15 had any involvement in any cases	that are similar to the
16 that we're here for today?	og I don't know.
17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 02	I appropriate it.
THE COURT: All right.	I appreciate iump back there
19 Who else had their hand	up? We might jump back there
20 now.	N71. Badge No. 071.
21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. (	one Ms. Rivera?
THE COURT: Zero, sever	
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO.	or situation?
THE COURT: What is yo	071: My brother-in-law is a
25	
KARR REPORT	ring, inc. 23

customs agent at the Long Beach port. 1 THE COURT: A customs agent in Long Beach. How long 2 has he done that? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Maybe six years. 4 THE COURT: Are you -- this is your brother-in-law. 5 Are you close with him? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Yes. 7 THE COURT: Now, obviously customs is a completely 8 different thing than what we're here for today. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Correct. 10 THE COURT: Anything about his job, your relationship 11 with him, or anything that he's told you that might cause you 12 to be something other than fair and impartial in this case? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: No. 14 THE COURT: Now, obviously -- I've asked this 15 question before. But obviously customs has completely 16 different -- different jurisdiction, but they also have 17 completely different investigative procedures. So would you 18 be able to put aside anything that he might have told you 19 about what customs does and listen to the evidence and 20 testimony about this case on its own merits? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Now, you also asked a 24 question with regards to attorneys.

> KARR REPORTING, INC. 124

25

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: I'm a vocational and rehabilitation counselor, and a lot of my clients are represented by attorneys. I don't have close relationships with them, but I do conversate [sic] with them and we communicate via written documents as well.

THE COURT: Have you — do either of the — any of the attorneys here in court today look familiar to you, or are their names familiar?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: No.

THE COURT: Okay. And how long have you done that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Here in Nevada, for 13

years.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

THE COURT: All right. I appreciate the information.

Who else had their hand up?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Badge 080.

THE COURT: Ms. Priest?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. What is your situation?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: I live with my parents,

and my father's retired homicide with Metro for about six

years, and my mother is currently with domestic violence.

THE COURT: Your mother's currently an officer right

24 now with domestic violence?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: No, not an officer. She

KARR REPORTING, INC.

H	
1	just works for domestic violence.
2	THE COURT: She works for who?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Metro.
4	THE COURT: For Metro. Okay. She's a civilian
5	employee?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Yes.
7	THE COURT: What's her job title?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: I do not know that. I
9	just know she calls the victims.
10	THE COURT: Can you speak into the microphone?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: I'm sorry. She calls the
12	victims.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Got you. Right. When did your
14	father retire?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: About 2006.
16	THE COURT: What was your father's name?
17	PROCEDECTIVE HIROR NO. 080: Priest. He was also a
18	wolunteer [inaudible].
19	RIN COURT: All right. Anything about the fact that
21	
2	THE COURT RECORDER: [Inaudible.]
	THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. One of the attorneys is
	saying they couldn't hear you. Can you repeat your last
	answer?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: His first name is Eber,
2	KARR REPORTING, INC. 126

- 1	
1	E-b-e-r, Priest.
2	MS. FLECK: And I'm sorry. Was he a detective, or
3	did she say civilian?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Also a volunteer.
5	THE COURT: I'm sorry. Can you say that again?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: A volunteer.
7	THE COURT: He was a volunteer, it sounds like.
8	Do you know what he did for them?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: I know that he talked to
10	all of the victims' families.
11	MS. FLECK: And that was with homicide?
12	THE COURT: Yeah. That's what I heard. So it sounds
13	like they both worked for, I guess, Metro's equivalent of
14	VWAC, I'm guessing? I don't know.
15	How long did he do that?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Five years.
17	THE COURT: And your mom is still currently employed
18	
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: Correct.
20	THE COURT: Anything about the fact that your mother
21	works for the organization that investigated this case, even
22	though she's not a sworn officer, that might cause you to be
23	than than fair and impartial if you were asked to
2.	turor in this case?
	5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 080: No.
ے	u

1	brother's an attorney and my daughter's an attorney, and
	actually, she has an interview Thursday with the city attorney
2	here.
3	THE COURT: All right. Is your brother a criminal
4	defense attorney, or what does he do?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: He was, but he's family
6	
7	law now.
8	THE COURT: What's his name?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Daniel. Daniel Helbert,
10	San Luis Obispo, California.
11	THE COURT: Oh, he's in California. And your
12	daughter lives in which state, here?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: She lives in California.
14	She has an interview Thursday here.
15	THE COURT: Here?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Do you know who with?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: I do not.
19	THE COURT: And so is she an attorney now, or is she
20	just graduating from law school?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes. She's an attorney.
22	She graduated from here though.
23	THE COURT: Okay. But she has been practicing so far
2	in California?
2.	PROSPECTIVE JUBOR NO. 017: Yeah. She practiced one
_	KARR REPORTING, INC. 129

year here and then she went to California and worked for my brother.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything about your relationship with them, or anything they may have told you about the work that they did that might cause you to be something other than fair and impartial in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: No.

THE COURT: All right. I appreciate that. Anyone else have their hands up in response to that question?

All right. It's now about a quarter after 12:00. Here's my question for everybody here, and maybe let me see a show of hands. I don't know when before you guys were brought up here if you were allowed to have a snack break or not. We can either break for lunch now and resume after lunch, or we can sort of push ahead and maybe let some of you go before the lunch break. Does anyone have a preference?

Who wants to keep going — let's do this. Who wants to break for lunch right now? Is anybody really, really hungry? All right. Then there — no hands went up, so I'm assuming you guys want to push ahead for at least a little while and see if we can get maybe some of you can go home and not have to come back.

All right. Is there anyone here who may not be able to follow all instructions of the Court on the law, even if the instructions differ from your personal conception of what

the law ought to be?

In other words, I don't write the law. At the end of this case what will happen is I will read you what the law of this state is that's relevant to this case. I don't write it. The legislature and the governor write it and there's a whole process for doing that. And as I'm sure you all know, there may be some laws that you may or may not disagree with. They may be dumb laws, they may make no sense to you.

But even if it is a law that you don't agree with, would all of you be able to follow that law and apply it to the facts of this case as you find those facts to be? Is there anyone here who would not be able to do that?

All right. Under our system, certain principles of law apply in every criminal trial. Some of these principles are that the Information in this case — and the Information, just so you guys know, is what we call a charging document. The word that's more — in Nevada there are two ways to charge someone with a crime. One is by Information. The word that you've probably heard before is by indictment. They're just two alternative ways to charge somebody.

But the principle is that the Information filed in this case is a mere accusation and is not itself evidence of -

MR. FELICIANO: Can we have a moment, Judge?

THE COURT: Oh, are we having a technical issue?

KARR REPORTING, INC.

## (Pause in proceeding.)

MR. FELICIANO: Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. That the Information filed in this case is a mere accusation and is not itself evidence of guilt. The second principle is that the defendant is presumed innocent. And the third principle is the State must prove that the defendant is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. Is there anybody here who —

Are we having another tech -- okay.

MR. FELICIANO: Sorry. We're having another problem.

THE COURT: You already swapped it out and it's still got the same problem?

THE INTERPRETER: Yes.

THE COURT: Can you, I mean, maybe sit next to him and whisper to him? Would that work? Do you want to give that a shot for a couple minutes and see? And let's see if it's — I guess the other thing we'll have to see is if it's distracting for everybody else in here, especially the people that are kind of sitting behind you.

THE INTERPRETER: [Inaudible.]

THE COURT: And maybe during — when we do take a lunch break, we'll see if we can work it out. But do you guys want to give that a shot or what? Do you guys have an objection or any preference either way?

(No audible response.)

KARR REPORTING, INC.

1 · 2

O

THE COURT: All right. Let's try it that way. And we'll try that for a couple minutes. If anybody here, especially those people back there, if this is going to be a distraction, if you can't hear what I'm saying, please raise your hand and let me know. We're just going to do a little experiment right now and see if we can do it this way. Okay.

All right. So the question was the three principles that I just read to you, is there anyone who doesn't understand them or anyone who doesn't believe in them or think that they can't apply them to this case?

All right. The next question is as you guys have obviously just noticed, the defendant, Mr. Renteria-Novoa, is here with the assistance of an interpreter. Does the fact that he does not speak English as his native language and needs the assistance of an interpreter have any bearing for you one way or the other?

Is there anyone here who has any issue with that or anyone who thinks that they may not be able to be fair and impartial in this case because of the defendant's ethnic background or his language ability?

Does anybody here know anything about this case or think they might know something about this case other than what has been stated in court here today?

Does anyone think they -- I don't know if there's been any media coverage in this case, but does anyone think

they might have stumbled across a quote in the newspaper or on any local television or radio news story about this case?

Are you guys okay with the way the interpreter's set up here?

MR. FELICIANO: Yes. Fine, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. Let's -- can I have counsel approach just for 15 seconds.

## (Bench conference.)

THE COURT: What I'm going to do now is I'm going to go one by one in the box and have them give me background [inaudible], but in terms of scheduling, this next stage, I mean, since we're doing it [inaudible], it's going to take a little while. Do you guys want to just keep going? The jurors seem like they're okay with it. Do you guys just want to keep pushing through?

MS. FLECK: So you're going to ask individually if they've been victims or accused --

THE COURT: Yeah.

MS. FLECK: Okay. That's fine.

THE COURT: You know, what do you do, what does your spouse do, any kids, ever served on a jury before, ever been a victim of a crime, ever been accused of a crime. And I'm just going to do the questions [inaudible] a crime that was sexual in nature. I'm going to cycle through them as quickly as I can. All right?

MS. FLECK: Okay.

MS. FELICIANO: Okay.

(End bench conference.)

THE COURT: All right. Randy, can we get the microphone? Who's got the microphone right now?

All right. Here's what we're going to do. We're going to start — and hopefully this will be quick. We're going to start here with juror — the juror in Seat No. 1. He's going to give you the microphone, and we're going to pass the microphone down and I'm going to ask you all the same question.

All right. Here's the question. What do you do for a living; if you're married, what does your spouse do for a living; have you ever served as a juror before; have you or anyone close to you ever been the victim of a crime; have you or anyone close to you ever been accused or arrested for a crime, whether or not there was a conviction; and specifically have you or anyone close to you ever been the victim or accused of a crime that was sexual in nature. That's a quick preview, but I'm happy to ask them one by one.

So juror number -- your badge number's one, correct? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: [Inaudible.]

THE COURT: And you are Mr. Brahmer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: [No audible response.]

THE COURT: All right. What do you do for a living?

KARR REPORTING, INC.