IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 1 2 No. 68165 GUILLERMO RENTERIA-NOVOA, 3 **Electronically Filed** 4 Jul 19 2013 09:46 a.m. Appellant, Tracie K. Lindeman 5 Clerk of Supreme Court v. 6 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 7 Respondent. 8 9 APPELLANT'S APPENDIX- VOLUME IV - PAGES 690-938 10 11 STEVE WOLFSON PHILIP J. KOHN Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3rd Floor Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street 12 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 13 CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO Attorney for Appellant 14 Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538 15 16 Counsel for Respondent 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28

INDEX GUILLERMO RENTERIA-NOVOA Case No. 68165

1

2 |

_	Case No. 68165
3	PAGE NO.
4	Amended Information filed 01/26/11040-055
5	Amended Information filed 01/26/11112-126
7	
8	Defendant Motion In Limine To Preclude Use Of The Prejudicial
9	Term "Victim" filed 04/07/11140-1
10	Defendants Notice of Witnesses Per NRS Filed 05/14/12222-223
11	
12	Defendants Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used At Trial Filed 05/24/12234-239
13	District Court Minutes through 09/06/12303-331
14 15	Information filed 10/27/10
16	Instructions To The Jury filed 05/25/12240-280
17	Judgment of Conviction filed 09/17/12291-298
18	Justice Court Minutes through 10/12/10020-022
19	Motion For Discovery filed 04/07/11160-169
20	Motion In Limine To Preclude The States Experts From Improper
21	Vouching And To Prevent "Experts" From Testifying Outside Their Area Of Expertise filed 04/07/11
22	
23	Motion To Suppress filed 04/25/12180-218
24	Notice of Appeal filed 10/05/12299-302
25	Notice of Witnesses And/Or Expert Witnesses
26	Filed 01/31/11 127-128
27	Order filed 12/23/10 098-099
28	Order Denying Defendants Motion In Limine To Preclude The States Experts From Improper Vouching And To Prevent "Experts" From

i

1 2	Testifying Outside Their Area Of Expertise And Motion In Limine To Preclude Prejudicial Term "Victim" Filed 05/17/11158-159
3 4	Petition For Writ Of Habeas Corpus filed 11/29/10059-097
5	Return To Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 01/05/11102-111
6	Second Amended Criminal Complaint 10/12/10004-019
7	Second Amended Information filed 05/22/12224-233
8	Second Supplemental Notice Of Witnesses And/Or Expert Witnesses Filed 05/14/12219-221
10	States Opposition To Defendants Motion For Discovery Filed 04/14/11170-179
11	States Opposition To Defendants Motion In Limine To Preclude
12	Prejudicial Term "Victim" filed 04/14/11151-157
13	States Opposition To Defendants Motion In Limine To Preclude The
14	States Experts From Improper Vouching And To Prevent "Experts"
15	From Testifying Outside Their Area Of Expertise Filed 04/14/11
16	Supplemental Notice Of Witnesses And/Or Expert Witnesses
17	Filed 04/13/11129-130
18	Verdict filed 05/25/12281-290
19	Writ Of Habeas Corpus filed 12/23/10
20	
21	TRANSCRIPTS
22	
23	Jury Trial, Day 1 Date of Trial: 05/21/12422-757
24	
25	Jury Trial, Day 2 Date of Trial: 05/22/12
26	Jury Trial, Day 3
27	Date of Trial: 05/23/12939-1211
28	Jury Trial, Day 4 Date of Trial: 05/24/121212-1424

- 11	Jury Trial, Day 5 Date of Trial: 05/25/121425-1445
2	
ا د	Transcript of Proceedings, Recorders Transcript of Calendar Call
4	Date of Hrg: 05/17/11363-365
5	Transcript of Proceedings,
6	Recorders Transcript of Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 11/01/11366-368
7	Transcript of Proceedings,
8	Recorders Transcript of Calendar Call
9	Date of Hrg: 01/17/12 369-373
LO	Transcript of Proceedings, Recorders Transcript of Calendar Call; Motion To Suppress; And
L1	Jackson V Denno Hearing: Defendants Motion To Suppress
L2	Date of Hrg: 05/15/12
L3	Transcript of Proceedings,
L4	Recorders Transcript of Hearing RE: Arraignment Continued Date of Hrg: 11/05/10332-335
15	Transcript of Proceedings,
16	Recorders Transcript of Motion To Suppress Date of Hrg: 05/10/12374-379
17	
18	Transcript of Proceedings, Recorders Transcript of Motion In Limine To Preclude The States
19	Experts From Improper Vouching And To Prevent "Experts" From
	Testifying Outside Their Area Of Expertise; Defendants Motion For Discovery; And Defendants Motion In Limine To Preclude Use
20	of The Prejudicial Term "Victim"
21	Date of Hrg: 04/28/11343-345
22	Transcript of Proceedings,
23	Recorders Transcript of Motion In Limine To Preclude The States Experts From Improper Vouching And To Prevent "Experts" From
24	Testifying Outside Their Area Of Expertise; Defendants Motion
25	For Discovery; And Defendants Motion In Limine To Preclude Use Of The Prejudicial Term "Victim"
26	Date of Hrg: 05/03/11346-364
27	
28	

1	Transcript of Proceedings,
ر ا	Recorders Transcript of Motion In Limine To Preclude The States
2	Experts From Improper Vouching And To Prevent "Experts" From Testifying Outside Their Area Of Expertise; Defendants Motion
3	For Discovery; And Defendants Motion In Limine To Preclude Use
4	Of The Prejudicial Term "Victim" Date of Hrg: 12/05/12340-342
5	
6	Transcript of Proceedings, Recorders Transcript of Sentencing
7	Date of Hrg: 09/06/121446-1455
8	Transcript of Proceedings,
9	Reporters Transcript of Closing Arguments/Bindover Date of Hrg: 10/12/10
10	Transcript of Proceedings,
11	Reporters Transcript of Defendants Petition For Writ of Habeas Corpus Status Check: Discovery
12	Date of Hrg: 01/26/11 336-339
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	out of state by himself.
· 2	MS. FLECK: Okay. No problems stemming from that
3	case with the State or the criminal justice system generally?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: No.
5	MS. FLECK: Any problems or any concerns that you
6	have about sitting as a juror on this particular case?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: I don't believe so.
8	MS. FLECK: Promise you can do the very you will
9	do the very best job you can possibly do?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Oh, definitely.
11	MS. FLECK: If we prove our case to you beyond a
12	reasonable doubt, which is our burden and I'll talk about that
13	in a second, if we prove our case beyond a reasonable doubt,
14	do you have any problem coming in here on 37 counts and
15	telling the defendant that he's guilty?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: If it's proven without a
17	reasonable doubt I have no problem with that.
18	MS. FLECK: Okay. So then, I guess let's talk a
19	little bit about beyond a reasonable doubt. That's our
20	burden. It's, you know, met in courthouses every day. That's
21	one that we we want to meet that burden. So I think you
22	said beyond any — did you say beyond any doubt?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Mm-hmm.
24	MS. FLECK: Okay. So our burden isn't beyond any
25	doubt, it's beyond a reasonable doubt. So you wouldn't hold
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 269

us to a higher standard than the law does, would you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: No. I think if there isn't any reasonable doubt as far as his guilt, I would have to go with the evidence.

MS. FLECK: When you say you would have to, do you have some concerns about this kind of a case, or is there some hesitation that you have that would make you feel like that's not something you really feel comfortable with?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: The only issue I have with this case is it involves a minor. And working with kids and having children, of course it's going to affect — you're going to have opinions and feelings about that. But as far as looking at things and trying not to — I guess looking at them fairly, I definitely want to try my best with [inaudible] that makes sense.

MS. FLECK: It definitely makes sense. And I guess you — my only thing that I want to make sure is that you almost sound hesitant, like you — like you want more proof than the State's actually required to bring. Like when you say beyond any doubt — and it's semantics, you know. So it could just be just that.

But I just want to make sure that you feel comfortable returning a verdict of guilty. Like at the end of the day, that's what you will be charged with doing. You'll be asked to go back, deliberate, talk with perfect strangers

about what happened in the case and have an exchange of ideas, and at the end of that you'll be asked to render a verdict.

Is that something that you feel comfortable with?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes. I think if — like I said, if the evidence is there and I believe it's beyond a reasonable doubt, I wouldn't have a problem with a guilty verdict.

MS. FLECK: Okay. All right. Thank you very much.

Mr. -- Ms. McClure, 17. Seat 17.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: 029.

MS. FLECK: Okay. So you're also a mandatory reporter, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Yes.

MS. FLECK: Have you ever had an opportunity to report any kind of a sexual abuse or child abuse through your job?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: No, not sexual. But this year I did have to report to Title I, who then goes to CPS after they further investigate it just on more of lack of parental involvement.

MS. FLECK: Child neglect?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Child neglect in terms of their kids coming to school unsanitary, those kinds of things. But nothing ever sexual.

MS. FLECK: Okay. No disclosures have been made to

you through school? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: No. 2 MS. FLECK: We talked a little bit about your 3 upcoming engagement. Congratulations. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Thanks. 5 MS. FLECK: And you said that you still think that 6 you can be fair. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: 8 MS. FLECK: Again, it's beyond a reasonable doubt. 9 That's a burden that we, you know, have accepted and embraced, 10 and that's what we want -- that's the evidence we want to 11 bring forward. So just because you're engaged to someone in 12 law enforcement, that doesn't mean that if I didn't prove my 13 case that you would just let me slide, because you're about to 14 marry someone in law enforcement? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Oh, yeah. 16 MS. FLECK: All right. Good. Promise you'll do the 17 best job you can possibly do if you're chosen? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Yes. 19 MS. FLECK: All right. Thank you. 20 And finally -- well, no. Two more. Mr. Dell, also a 21 teacher. And --22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: 031. 23 MS. FLECK: Zero, three, one. What grade do you 24 teach? 25

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Seniors.
2	MS. FLECK: Oh, yikes.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: But I taught eighth
4	graders for five years before that.
5	MS. FLECK: Which one's worse?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Seniors.
7	MS. FLECK: Yeah?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Yeah. They care a lot
9	less.
10	MS. FLECK: They care a lot less?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Yes.
12	MS. FLECK: How about your wife, what does she teach?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: She teaches oh, geez.
14	Mostly three and four-year-olds.
15	MS. FLECK: Okay. That's a good age.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Yeah.
17	MS. FLECK: Any opportunity that you've had as a
18	mandatory reporter to have sexual abuse disclosed to you and
19	further, going to report?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: I have never reported
21	any.
22	MS. FLECK: Anyone ever disclosed anything like that?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: I have have a case
24	that's pending in my school right now. I don't think it's
25	I don't know if it would be considered sexual. It's a teacher
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 273

who pinched a kid basically. And there was a — last year there was a girl at my school and I was informed that this was a problem that she was having and that it had already been reported.

MS. FLECK: Okay. And --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: I'm sorry. She was my student.

MS. FLECK: Which school do you teach at?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Palo Verde High School.

MS. FLECK: How about your wife, any time that she's had to report?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: No — no — just reporting through her supervisor about neglect, but other than that, that was it. No any kind of mandatory reporting to CPA or — or I'm sorry, C — CPS. Sorry.

MS. FLECK: No problem. You also have an 11-year-old. Additionally, besides teaching, you have an 11-year-old at home.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Yeah.

MS. FLECK: Any issue with the fact that, as you've heard, the age around when the State has alleged that this starts is when the victim's about 11, any problems with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: I — I'd like to say that I'm an impartial person, but I would not be a completely honest person if I said that I wasn't — I didn't connect

somewhat with that situation as far as whether or not, you know, just the pain or the trauma involved in the situation of a girl that age. I would be — I'd be lying if I didn't say that I would envision my daughter in that situation.

MS. FLECK: Which is fair, I think, and everyone's going to bring their own experiences into the courtroom.

How about the fact that she's around the same age, would you be able to judge her and her experience and her kind of reactions as an individual as opposed to comparing them to your daughter and saying, well, my daughter wouldn't have acted that way, or my daughter would have done something different? Would you be able to judge her on her own?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Yes.

MS. FLECK: Okay. And not impute your daughter's personality or decision making?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: No. I've taught — you know, I've taught sixth grade too, and I would say I would compare the witness to every other, you know, child that age that I've ever encountered, but not just my daughter, no.

MS. FLECK: Okay. So you would just — you would just draw on your experience with children that age generally?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Yes.

MS. FLECK: All right. Fair. And so when you say that you would have an issue with it, you know, again, the judge has talked a lot about this, that until — you know, the

defendant is innocent until proven guilty. It's our burden to bring forward the evidence, and then and only then should any kind of decision be rendered.

So at that point if, you know, someone is found guilty, I think anybody can agree that the charges are egregious and that the charges are something that people can't — you know, when people say, well, I just think that it's sickening, well, but at this point he's innocent; we agree with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Yes.

MS. FLECK: Okay. So can you put the feelings aside that you have about the actual crime and say I can listen to the evidence and then render a verdict, and then make my decision about how I feel about the crime in this case or about the defendant or, you know, the evidence as opposed to saying, well, just because I hear the charges, they — they're so inflammatory that I can't be fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: I'm not sure I understand the...

MS. FLECK: Can you listen to the evidence and then base your decision --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Yes, I can.

MS. FLECK: So because I — what I guess I'm trying to say, and this is for everybody, is that when people say, well, these charges are just, you know, it's so awful, what I

1	hear is, which is true, but we haven't proven our case yet.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Right.
3	MS. FLECK: So once we would, if we do, then that
4	decision can be made or you could have those feelings. But
5	until we present that evidence and we prove our case, you
6	would agree that the defendant sits innocent and that those
7	are just words and ideas as they stand right now?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Yes. Because I would
9	want anybody to do the same for me.
10	MS. FLECK: Right. So you'll hold us to our burden,
11	not a higher burden?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Right.
13	MS. FLECK: If you're chosen, promise to do the very
14	best job you can possibly do?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: I would.
16	MS. FLECK: Okay. Thank you.
17	Mr. Iverson, 32.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Yep. 049, Tony Iverson.
19	MS. FLECK: Mr. Iverson, where do you teach school?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: At Walter Johnson Junior
21	High School.
22	MS. FLECK: Walter Dotson?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Johnson.
24	MS. FLECK: Oh, Johnson. You said junior high?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Yeah. Sixth grade.

MS. FLECK: So around this age, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: [No audible response.]

MS. FLECK: Same kinds of questions then that I just posed. Any problem judging this girl and saying, well, this is how she dealt with life, or this is the experiences that she had and this is the path she chose as opposed to well, Susie, Mary or Joanie wouldn't have done that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Do I have a problem with how the victim, is that what you're saying?

MS. FLECK: Do you have a — will you be able to judge her — her on her own individual experiences as opposed to any student that you may teach or any experiences that you have at school?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: I believe so.

MS. FLECK: Okay. I guess kind of account for personal reactions to things as opposed to comparing them to how other students would act?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: I guess so, yes.

MS. FLECK: Your — as a teacher, have you ever been in a position where you had to do any mandatory reporting?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: I haven't had to directly report, but people on my team or that I work closely with, you know, kind of — I don't want to say taken turns, but, you know, they've had to go ahead and do certain things like that.

A couple that I work with very closely, I don't know

25

if they had to testify or were present at court for something that sounds very similar to this, that it happened at our school, a girl walking home through her apartments was molested over the course of a few years. And it wasn't a student that I had, but, you know, obviously it's a story that they had told me just regarding, you know, why they were absent today, because they had to appear or go to court, or whatever the case was.

MS. FLECK: Okay. All right. So you weren't involved then in testifying or anything?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: I -- no.

MS. FLECK: How about your wife or soon to be ex, any time that she's had to do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Not to my knowledge.

MS. FLECK: Okay. What grade does she teach?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: All, K through 5.

MS. FLECK: And at what school?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Doris Reed Elementary.

MS. FLECK: How old were your kids?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: My -- I have an

11-year-old daughter and an 8-year-old son.

MS. FLECK: Okay. So again then, I guess, the same question. Any problem with the fact that you have an 11-year-old daughter, just that that's the age of — that this started, per our allegation?

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: Probably along the same lines as he has. I mean, that's like any father with their 11-year-old daughter. That's my baby girl and I couldn't imagine.

MS. FLECK: Okay. Any issues then as you've listened to my questions or anyone else's answers, any issues that have come up in your mind about sitting as a juror on this particular case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049:

MS. FLECK: If we prove our case to you beyond a reasonable doubt, do you have any problem with coming back in here and finding the defendant guilty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: No.

MS. FLECK: Okay. All right. Okay. Mr. Bean, I Sorry. You know, we kind of actually talked about what you had been through with your step-dad, and you said that that isn't going to affect your ability, that you can still be fair and that you can handle it emotionally. I wanted to talk to you more about your brother and your nephew. Any issues with law enforcement regarding your brother and your nephew?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: No.

MS. FLECK: Those were a long time ago in other jurisdictions and you have no issues with that?

> PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: [No audible response.] MS. FLECK: Okay. Your wife, how much -- I love that

she's a domestic goddess. So -- I want to be a domestic goddess, but instead I'll pick this jury.

So how much is that on your mind? Is that on your mind at all in terms of — I mean, I know it's on your mind, but is it something that you think you can set aside and listen to the evidence in this case with an open mind, or is it something that's so overriding that you think, you know what, I just — I can't really listen and be fair to both sides?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: I can listen and be fair.

MS. FLECK: All right. Good. Any other issues then?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: No. I was going to be one of the people that raised their hand earlier about being excited to be a part of this. Normally I want to do this —

MS. FLECK: Until you heard the charges?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: That, but also just that it's, you know, the time frame.

MS. FLECK: With what's going on with your wife? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Yeah.

MS. FLECK: Okay. Understandable. All right. If you can put all those things aside, I guess that that's more my concern. More my concern is just that she would be on your mind to the point that you wouldn't really be listening to the evidence. But if you don't have a problem, neither do I. Okay. Great. Thank you.

1	Ms. Zeihen. Sorry. How is it?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: [Inaudible.]
3	MS. FLECK: Zeihen.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Like the park.
5	MS. FLECK: Oh, Zion. Cool.
6	THE MARSHAL: Jurors, please remember your badge
7	numbers [inaudible].
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: 041.
9	MS. FLECK: Okay. You've been visibly emotional
10	through the first part of the voir dire. And again, it's
11	none of these questions are meant to embarrass you, so I'm
12	sorry. The abuse that you suffered is similar to the charges
13	in this case. And who was the perpetrator?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: A former at the time,
15	two step-brothers and a step-sister.
16	MS. FLECK: All right. Were any of them prosecuted?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: No.
18	MS. FLECK: Did you disclose to law enforcement?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: I was from ages three to
20	six.
21	MS. FLECK: So it was out of your control?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: [Inaudible.]
23	MS. FLECK: And did you ever tell anyone that
24	didn't — that you felt should have gone forward to law
25	enforcement?

And the second s

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: I — you know, childhood
dramas, you tend to block it out until an event occurs that
brings it back out. And my freshman year I was actually raped
twice, which kind of brought everything back. And at that
point I was, well, no one's going to believe me because their
stories are better than mine. So I told my dad about the
stuff that happened when I was a kid and that was kind of it.

MS. FLECK: Wow. Okay. So in high school, these were different people than your — the family members that you were referring to?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Correct.

MS. FLECK: And one of the reasons that you didn't tell is because you felt like nobody would believe you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Correct.

MS. FLECK: How long then did it take until you ultimately told someone?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: It took me about a year to talk to my dad about it. And then I felt bad for telling my dad what happened because then he felt guilty.

MS. FLECK: Okay. So a lot of your — a lot of your concern with not telling was hurting other people in your family?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: [Inaudible.]

MS. FLECK: Okay. Well, I don't want to belabor the point, and I don't certainly want to make you relive something

that was -- is fairly fresh probably and that you're so physically kind of hurt by. So any way you can possibly sit on this jury with an open mind?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Honestly, I'd say no, because I'm still trying to figure out how to get past my issues with five people and still trying to find that justice for myself. And I feel like if I were to sit on this jury, then I would just want to give the justice to the victim because I don't have it for me. I don't have that peace of mind for me.

MS. FLECK: All right. Thank you so much for being honest.

And I will make a motion for cause.

THE COURT: Response.

1.2

MR. FELICIANO: Your Honor, submit it.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Zeihen, I appreciate your service today. Please report to the jury services room on the third floor. And I do hope you understand that it's not our intention to embarrass you about something that obviously, you know, has a lot of meaning for you. And I apologize that you had to talk about this in front of a room full of people, but, you know, it's part of the process.

But thank you for your service, and they'll give you further instructions on the third floor.

Who's the next person?

1	THE CLERK: Badge No. 059, Tiffany Crockett.
2	THE COURT: Ms. Crockett, can you come up here and
3	take a seat in Ms. Zeihen's chair. All right. Ms. Crockett,
4	since you're now moving up into this area, give us a brief
5	biographical sketch. What do you do, are you married, does
6	your husband work, have any kids, how old?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: I do retail associate in
8	the clothing industry. No, I'm not married. I have two kids,
9	an 11-year-old and a 4-year old.
10	THE COURT: Boys or girls?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Boys.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Ever served on a jury before?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: No.
14	THE COURT: Have you or anyone closely associated
15	with you ever been the victim of a crime either sexual in
16	nature or otherwise?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Sorry?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Yes.
20	THE COURT: And who was that?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: A family member. It's
22	the same situation, she was 13, and the person that was
23	accused was 35.
24	THE COURT: Who was the person who was accused? Was
25	that another family member?

KARR REPORTING, INC. 285

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: It was a family friend.
2	THE COURT: Who was this family member to you, the
3	victim, the 13-year-old?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: A cousin.
5 ·	THE COURT: Did this happen here in Las Vegas, or
6	somewhere else?
. 7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: [Unintelligible.]
8	THE COURT: It happened in Las Vegas?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: In Las Vegas, yes.
10	THE COURT: Were you involved in that in any way?
11	Were you a witness? Were you did you ever testify in the
12	case, anything like that?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: No, not testify. Just
14	was around when everything came out in the open.
15	THE COURT: All right. So was the person ever
16	arrested?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: No.
18	THE COURT: No?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: No.
20	THE COURT: Was it reported to the police?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Yes.
22	THE COURT: To who? To Metro or to North Las Vegas
23	or Henderson, or who?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: I believe it was Metro.
25	THE COURT: And how long ago was this?
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 286

1	THE COURT: Do you know why?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: She kind of didn't
3	believe her.
4	THE COURT: Okay. The mom didn't believe her
5	daughter is what you're saying?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Yes.
7	THE COURT: So anything about that case that causes
8	you to have feelings one way or the other about serving as a
9	juror on this case?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Just dealing with
11	children in general, I think it's a sad case. So it kind of
12	affects me that way.
13	THE COURT: Well, I mean, there's no question that
14	it's, you know, it's the accusations are what they are and
15	we're all human beings here. But do you think that you could
16	be fair and impartial in hearing the evidence that's presented
17	in this case?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Yes, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever served on a jury
20	before?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: I'm sorry?
22	THE COURT: Have you ever served on a jury before?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: No.
24	THE COURT: All right. Ms. Fleck, do you have any
25	questions for Ms. Crockett?

1	MS. FLECK: Not — not in particular right now. I
2	may when I continue.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Sure.
4	MS. FLECK: Okay. Ms. Trotchie, also the victim of a
5	battery domestic violence. That was — sorry. Number — in
6	Seat 26.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: 042.
8	MS. FLECK: So you were the victim of a battery
9	domestic violence, and our office prosecuted the person.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes.
11	MS. FLECK: Were you happy with that prosecution?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: I mean, at the same time
13	it's my kids' dad. I mean, it's mixed emotions.
14	MS. FLECK: Right. So it's hard because he you
15	said that did we get habitual on him, or we tried to get
16.	habitual on him?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: They tried to get the
18	habitual on him, but he his it was going to go to trial,
19	like it was going to go through the whole jury thing. But
20	when they tried to get him with a habitual he took a plea.
21	MS. FLECK: It was a felony battery domestic
22	violence?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yeah. But he has priors
24	though. Like this was just a one-time thing with me.
25	MS. FLECK: Right. Was it a how did it get to

felony? Was it a battery domestic violence third, was it strangulation?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

. 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Come to find out, because I didn't know anything until it was brought before the judge, he was pending a charge of robbery, pending a charge of strangulation, BDV 3, and I was BDV 4.

MS. FLECK: Okay. All right. So you say that you didn't know that. So are you --- were you not that close with him?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: I was, but it was like it -- in our time of separation he did his own thing. But in the time of that separation that's what he caught and I, you know, never paid attention to it because, you know, I was just -- my focus was taking care of the kids. Like I didn't care what he was doing.

MS. FLECK: Okay. Understood. So how do you resolve that? You know, how do you resolve that in your mind?

I mean, I understand it's a relationship, so affairs of the heart are somewhat different. But not wanting, you know, the father of your children prosecuted and then saying, well, yeah, I guess I'm satisfied with the prosecution, do you have any animosity or do you hold any ill will against law enforcement in general based upon his cases that he's gone through, the fact he's been in the system so much?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: No.

now.

MS. FLECK: And he's been shipped off to prison?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yeah. He's in prison

MS. FLECK: And you're okay with that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yeah.

MS. FLECK: Let's talk a little bit about your time with P and P. You had said a couple of things about the fact that you would have a problem sitting as a juror just because of the stories that you've heard through PSIs and things through P and P.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Well, the thing was is that working in the sex offender unit, like I was able to have access to the files. Like I was able to read on the files of the people that I was going out in the field to serve and do checks on them, you know, do home checks and everything.

So the stories like, it really got into gruesome detail from like touching and what age they were, you know, what they did. It's just like it just blew me away, like that people can actually have a heart like that to do something to. Like it didn't even have to be a girl. There was boys too. It's just the simple fact that I'm just —

MS. FLECK: So it was just the content that surprised you, that that kind of stuff actually happens?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yeah. I thought it was something you may see on TV like, you know, this stuff isn't

real. But this was actually reality.

MS. FLECK: Right. So now that you know it's reality, can you sit and listen to the evidence in this case — and there will be — I mean, it will be detailed. It will be obviously of a very sexual nature. Is that something that you think — you know, the judge — I don't know exactly how —

You're kind of a mixed bag for me here because, you know, you've worked in the criminal justice system and you've worked with people who have already been convicted. So I can assume you understand the burden of beyond a reasonable doubt —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes.

MS. FLECK: -- and that you're comfortable with the notions of innocent until proven guilty.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes.

MS. FLECK: And that you wouldn't want to prejudge a person on something especially of this nature before you heard evidence.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Oh, definitely. I mean, there's been times that I've witnessed stuff go down without evidence. It was a he said, she said matter, you know.

MS. FLECK: Okay. Well, yeah. And that's — unfortunately, in these cases a lot of times that's how it works, because there's simply no one else there. But besides

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that, you know, the things that you had said earlier is that you would vote quilty basically just because of, I think, experiences that you've had at P and P.

Do you think you can't sit as a juror and understand that the defendant in this case has not yet been convicted? He has not yet been put into the parole and probation department. He's not yet had a chance to even tell the stories that you had experience reading. Do you have a -would you really have an issue with that, or are you just --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: I would do my best to look past it. I mean, everybody is different, you know, especially with the stories that I have read. You know, not everybody was doing the same thing. Not everybody was charged with the same sex crime, you know. Everybody had different charges, but were still listed as a sex offender.

MS. FLECK: Okay. So I guess here's the thing. Can -- do you think that you can wait until we present the evidence in this case to come up with any kind of judgment or a decision as to the defendant's guilt in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yeah.

MS. FLECK: And you can set aside the other things that you have read and understand that those are different people with different victims, different - different scenarios, different dates, everything? Can you do that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yeah.

MS. FLECK: All right. You can be fair to both the State then and also to the defense?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes.

MS. FLECK: All right. Okay. Since you are there, I'm just going to actually go right next to you. This is kind of off topic, because we were sort of talking about victims. But Ms. Valerio, you are a Spanish instructor at UNLV?

Yes. I'm sorry.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: 043

MS. FLECK: Zero, four, three. You already know — obviously we've had some interpreter issues already. So you know that there will be some Spanish speakers taking the stand.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Yeah.

MS. FLECK: Can you, do you think, and this is very difficult for people, but listen to the English interpretation of what is said and take that as the evidence as opposed to what you hear coming from the witness's mouth? Do you understand what I'm saying?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Yes.

MS. FLECK: So the evidence that will be in the case is the testimony that actually comes from the interpreter, not what you hear the Spanish speaker saying.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Okay.

MS. FLECK: Do you think you'll have a problem with

KARR REPORTING, INC.

that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: [No audible response.]

MS. FLECK: And I guess what you'll be saying in your mind probably is what if it's different, right? What if it's — what if [inaudible]?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Yeah. I mean, yeah. But shouldn't the interpretation be as close as to what the person is saying in the — in their native language, right?

MS. FLECK: Okay. If you were chosen to be a juror and you found that the interpretation was wrong, do you have the kind of personality where you could grab the court personnel, grab somebody around and say, hey, listen, I think we've got a problem, there's something that's not kind of jiving in my mind?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: I studied translation as part of my master's, so I'll probably be a little picky as far as interpretation and the translation meaning. I mean, honestly.

MS. FLECK: Okay. But picky to the point that it's going to affect your ability to listen? I mean, I guess what I'm trying to say is sometimes we have issues where somebody that is so well-versed in both languages will kind of dissect the differences between the interpretation and what was actual — you know, the Spanish speaking and then the English —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Mm-hmm.

1.0

MS. FLECK: — when you're really supposed to be taking the English as the testimony. That's what you're supposed to be relying on, not saying, well, there's a different nuance, or it could mean this. Do you think that given your — that you've mastered both languages that you would be able to do that —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: You know --

MS. FLECK: — as part of your oath?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: — now that I know that I'm supposed to just listen to the English version, of course I will do that and forget about — you know, try not to listen to the Spanish version of it. Yes. But if you have told me, you know, if I hadn't known this, then probably I would have listened more to the Spanish version.

MS. FLECK: Okay. Right. And that's — right. The actual testimony that's coming out is the English. That's what's in evidence.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Okay.

MS. FLECK: But then — you know, then of course if there's something grossly different and the person's just not getting it right, that you would have the personality to kind of speak up and say, hey, you know, somebody should — this is my issue, this is what I've heard that's different —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Yes.

KARR REPORTING, INC. 296

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: No. We were living in Colombia, and our maid had a boyfriend over.

22

23

24

25

MS. FLECK: Got it. I see. Okay. So I didn't know if like you were summering -- okay. So the boyfriend -- and

then did you walk in on it? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Yes. 2 MS. FLECK: All right. Okay. So somewhat different, 3 I guess, than this case. Just it's also sexual in nature, but 4 not -- that was a stranger situation, a not ongoing? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: No, it wasn't. I think 6 7 it was a one-time occurrence. MS. FLECK: Okay. All right. So no problems with 8 that? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: No. 10 MS. FLECK: All right. Any other issues that you --11 that have come to your mind as you've listened to any of the 12 questions or answers today? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: None. 14 MS. FLECK: Any problem finding the defendant guilty 15 if we prove our case beyond a reasonable doubt? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: No. 17 MS. FLECK: Okay. And finally on that row, the only 18 other person is -- that I need to talk to is Mr. Ferguson. 19 You have a teenage daughter 15 years old? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: Yes. 21 MS. FLECK: Yes. Same kinds of questions that were 22 posed then earlier regarding teenage girls. Will you be able 23 to judge credibility based on the victim in this case, or 24 judge her as an individual and not compare her to your own 25

> KARR REPORTING, INC. 298

> > 719

daughter and say, well, you know, my daughter may have acted different, or my daughter wouldn't have done this?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: I'd have to say it's going to be hard. It's going to be hard for me to be impartial in this case. It's going to be difficult.

MS. FLECK: Okay. Based on?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: Just hearing some of the things I've already heard and just having two girls. And how long he's been in the country and he doesn't even speak English, or comprehend English, that bothers me as well.

MS. FLECK: Okay. All right. Then those are two issues we should talk about. So first of all, again, the — I don't think that anyone would disagree that these acts, if someone's guilty of them, are horrific. I don't imagine anybody on earth would disagree with that.

But as the defendant stands today he's innocent. We haven't presented any evidence. He is not guilty of the charges. So as of now it's just a charging document and he should be, you know, basically judged accordingly. So are you saying that you have already formed an opinion?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: Just having two girls, it's already something set in my head that there's a mechanism that just triggers, you know. I guess like the other gentleman said, when you have girls, it's just it's very difficult. And yeah, I've already — I can't say I've already

formed an opinion, but I'm leaning that way already, because it's just -- you hear about this stuff every day and it's normally the truth, so.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.1

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FLECK: Okay. Well, then let's talk about the other issue, which is that -- and this is something that I should pose - I think the judge did, but I guess if anyone has revisited that idea now that it's been sort of brought out, if anyone else feels that -- feels the same way, that he's going to be using the assistance of an interpreter, that he has, you know, has not necessarily mastered the English language. So you think that you can't be fair to him based upon that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: I wouldn't say that I'm prejudiced, but depending on the severity of these charges, he's had plenty of time to learn the language. And I surely probably would have knowing the severity of these charges, would have at least learned the language knowing that I'm going to be charged and going to court. To me it just -didn't take that step and I don't know why. It just boggles my mind.

MS. FLECK: And that certainly is a different issue than us meeting our elements of whether or not he committed, you know, sexual assault or lewdness. Is it such an overriding issue in your mind that you think you can't be fair and listen to the evidence?

I mean, being — thinking to yourself, God, why hasn't he even, you know, learned English if he lives here, that's — that's something that, you know, you might just think in passing as opposed to I just — I can't even listen to any of the evidence against this person because of that. Is it something that's —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: It's not to that degree.

MS. FLECK: It's not to that degree?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: No. It's --

MS. FLECK: So I hear that you have concerns regarding the charges, and I hear that you have concerns regarding the defendant using an interpreter, not mastering English. Do you think that you can sit and be fair and impartial, listen to the evidence, not make a decision until the close of that evidence, or are those concerns really so, you know, overriding in your mind that you can't give the defendant a fair shake?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: I would say they're not overriding. I'll be able to listen.

MS. FLECK: So you can -- you'll be able to listen. Okay. All right.

Okay. Let's go back. Mr. Richard, very quickly. Do you want to send the microphone over.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: 002.

MS. FLECK: Briefly. So carrying a concealed weapon

1	conviction 18 years ago. Is it similar to Mr. Brahmer, where
2	it's like look, I it's something that happened ages ago
3	and
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Yes.
5	MS. FLECK: — it has nothing to do with my life
6	anymore?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: And now I do have the
8	permit.
9	MS. FLECK: Good. You learned, right? Okay. So no
10	issues from that case, no
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: None.
12	MS. FLECK: Anything that's come to your mind as
13	you've listened to the questions that have been posed today?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: No.
15	MS. FLECK: If you're chosen to be a juror, you
16	promise to do the very best job you can possibly do?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Yes.
18 -	MS. FLECK: Okay. Thank you.
19	Okay. Mr. Cordero. I have similar questions for a
20	few people and Mr. Cordero's one of them. You speak with
21	somewhat of an oh, wait. Where am I. Oh, sorry. Okay. I
22	have and this is for Mr. Cordero, Mr. Correa, and Mr
23	help me out, Geb
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 039: Gebrechristos.
25	MS. FLECK: Gebrechristos. Okay. All of you speak
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 302

1	with accents, and no one has had an issue understanding
2	anything. That's not my question. Okay. I'll start with
3	Mr. Cordero. Are you where
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: 016.
5	MS. FLECK: Zero, one, six. Were you born in the
6	United States?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: No.
. 8	MS. FLECK: Where were you born?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: In the Philippines.
10	MS. FLECK: In the Philippines?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Yes.
12	MS. FLECK: So how old were you when you moved here?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Like 28, I think, back in
14	Guam.
15	MS. FLECK: Did you say eight years old?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Twenty-eight.
17	MS. FLECK: Oh, 28. Okay. Any experience with the
18	criminal justice system back home?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: No.
20	MS. FLECK: Between you or your family?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: No.
22	MS. FLECK: Okay. So and the reason I ask is just
23	because obviously the laws will be different here than at
24	home, correct?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Yeah.
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 303

1	MS. FLECK: So no problem following the law that
2	you're given, that you're taking oath to take or to follow
3	by the judge? No problem following that and not saying, well,
4	it's not how we do it back home?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Not a problem in that,
6	yeah.
7	MS. FLECK: Any other problems that come to your mind
8	regarding sitting as a juror on this case?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: No. I don't have a
10	problem with that.
11	MS. FLECK: Okay. If you were me representing the
12	State, or the Felicianos representing the defense, would you
13	want someone with your mindset, your experiences to sit as a
14	juror on that trial?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Yes. Yeah.
16	MS. FLECK: Okay. You can be fair and impartial?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: For the defense and the
18	states.
19	MS. FLECK: What's that?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: For the defense and the
21	State, yeah.
22	MS. FLECK: All right. Great. Thank you. Can you
23	just pass the microphone down then.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: 053.
25	MS. FLECK: Pardon me?
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 304

1	concepts, beyond a reasonable doubt, innocent until proven
2	guilty, any of those concepts that you haven't really agreed
3	with or that have posed a problem to you?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 039: No.
5	MS. FLECK: If you're chosen to be a juror, promise
6	to do the very best job you can possibly do?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 039: I will.
8	MS. FLECK: Ms. Leavitt, you have a very
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: 037.
10	MS. FLECK: Sorry. Thank you. You have a very
11	popular last name in our courthouse. Do you know any or are
12	you related to any judges, defense attorneys?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: The only one I'm aware of
14	is deceased.
15	MS. FLECK: Okay. The only one that you're aware
16	that you were related to?
16 17	that you were related to? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: Yes.
1	
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: Yes.
17 18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: Yes. MS. FLECK: All right. So right. There's a lot
17 18 19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: Yes. MS. FLECK: All right. So — right. There's a lot of Leavitts and sometimes you don't even know which ones
17 18 19 20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: Yes. MS. FLECK: All right. So — right. There's a lot of Leavitts and sometimes you don't even know which ones you're related to, right? So no one that you can think that
17 18 19 20 21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: Yes. MS. FLECK: All right. So — right. There's a lot of Leavitts and sometimes you don't even know which ones you're related to, right? So no one that you can think that you know of?
17 18 19 20 21 22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: Yes. MS. FLECK: All right. So — right. There's a lot of Leavitts and sometimes you don't even know which ones you're related to, right? So no one that you can think that you know of? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: No.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: Yes. MS. FLECK: All right. So — right. There's a lot of Leavitts and sometimes you don't even know which ones you're related to, right? So no one that you can think that you know of? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: No. MS. FLECK: Okay. You're a student now up at

	i e
1	MS. FLECK: How
2	PROSPECTIVE JUR
3	MS. FLECK: So
4	potential jurors. Do yo
5	of thinking, well, you k
6	have as much life experi
7	deliberate and kind of s
8	PROSPECTIVE JUI
9	MS. FLECK: Do
10	for yourself and stick
11	against people that dis
12	PROSPECTIVE JU
13	MS. FLECK:
14	PROSPECTIVE JU
15	MS. FLECK: Ok
16	you have had based upon
17	been posed?
18	PROSPECTIVE JU
19	MS. FLECK: Ar
20	if we prove our case be
21	PROSPECTIVE J
22	MS. FLECK: O
23	Number 5. And I'm goin
24	Mr. Anderson. Thank yo
25	Mr Anderson,

MS. FLECK: How old are you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: Twenty-one.

MS. FLECK: So maybe you're one of the younger potential jurors. Do you have any problem deliberating, kind of thinking, well, you know what, I'm younger and I might not have as much life experience, but I'm going to go in and deliberate and kind of share ideas; any problem with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: No.

MS. FLECK: Do you feel that you can kind of stick up for yourself and stick up for your ideas even if you were against people that disagree with you --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: Yes.

MS. FLECK: -- that were older?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: Mm-hmm.

MS. FLECK: Okay. Any problems or any concerns that you have had based upon any of the questions that have already been posed?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: No.

MS. FLECK: Any problem finding the defendant guilty if we prove our case beyond a reasonable doubt?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: No.

MS. FLECK: Okay. Thank you. Can we pass it back to Number 5. And I'm going by seat number, but that is Mr. Anderson. Thank you.

Mr. Anderson, how old are you?

1.3

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Twenty-one.

MS. FLECK: Twenty—one. All right. So same kinds of questions then that I just posed to Ms. Leavitt. Do you feel like you have the personality that if you were asked to deliberate you could go back and exchange ideas with people that you don't know, people that you're not familiar with, and kind of hold your own as to your ideas?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes.

MS. FLECK: Based upon the two cases that you have, minor — and they're, you know, misdemeanors. That obstruction of a police officer, how did that — how did that go down?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: He was just like being rude to me and [inaudible].

MS. FLECK: And you didn't look down?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No. He was just like trying to like — I wasn't doing anything wrong. He came up when I was talking to another officer and told me to look down, like being disrespectful to me. And I understand he's a police officer, but I didn't like being disrespected.

MS. FLECK: Okay. Where were you when that happened? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: In Henderson.

MS. FLECK: But where were you? Were you out? What were you doing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yeah. I was just out. I KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	was at my friend's house.
2	MS. FLECK: Okay. Do you have an overriding issue
3	with law enforcement based upon that?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No. I think it was just
5	that guy that
6	MS. FLECK: He was just a jerk?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes.
8	MS. FLECK: Okay. Fair enough. And you can get over
9	that?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes.
11	MS. FLECK: Any issues that have come to your mind
12	that make you think, you know what, I don't mind sitting as a
13	juror, but maybe this isn't the best case to sit on?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: [No audible response.]
15	MS. FLECK: No?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No.
17	MS. FLECK: Any problem finding the defendant guilty
18	if we prove our case beyond a reasonable doubt?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No.
20	MS. FLECK: All right. Thank you.
21	Down at the end, Mr. Helbert.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: 017.
23	MS. FLECK: Okay. Let's see. Your brother is a
24	criminal defense attorney, right?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: He was.
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	MS. FLECK: He was. Now he's doing family law?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes.
3	MS. FLECK: When he was practicing criminal defense,
4	was that here?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: No. It was in
6	California.
7	MS. FLECK: What kinds of cases did he focus on, if
8	any?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: It was early in his
10	career, so he was, you know, he just did a lot of public
11	defending work.
12	MS. FLECK: So whatever kind of came his way, just
13	general stuff?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes.
15	MS. FLECK: All right.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Parole hearings, that
17	kind of thing too.
18	MS. FLECK: Okay. So it's not something that you
19	would say is so like ingrained within him, he's not like a die
20	hard criminal defense attorney such that if you returned a
21	verdict of guilty you couldn't go to Thanksgiving dinner?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: No. Once he got married,
23	she made him go the other way as far as family law went. He
24	quit doing defense.
25	MS. FLECK: Okay. Any — oh, that was the other
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 311

thing. You did sit as a juror on a criminal case a couple years ago. Were either Mr. Graham or I the district attorneys on that case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: No.

MS. FLECK: Anything about sitting as a juror just a couple years ago that makes you think, you know, I just — I don't want to do this again or — I understand the time frame and the time commitment, that kind of thing. But anything that occurred that was so overwhelming to you that you think I wouldn't — I wouldn't be good for this?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: No. I — no. I think I learned from that experience actually, too.

MS. FLECK: Okay. All right. Perfect. Thank you.

Ms. Johnson, same kinds of question for you regarding your service as a juror.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: 020.

MS. FLECK: Thank you. You sat as a federal juror and you were actually the forewoman. When was that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: It was [inaudible] — eight to ten to twelve years ago.

MS. FLECK: Got you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: I normally don't use the mike. I have a very strong voice, but I was trying not to over-shatter it.

MS. FLECK: Okay. Anything about that service on

KARR REPORTING, INC.

that jury that makes you think that you wouldn't be a good juror for this particular case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No. But I do need to tell you something. I was remiss in not telling you earlier that I taught school for 14 years in San Francisco. And when you went around to the teachers, I thought I should acknowledge that.

MS. FLECK: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: I didn't have an event of reporting, but I did teach school in San Francisco.

MS. FLECK: Okay. All right. Thank you. Then just listening to all of the questions that I have posed and listening to the answers that other people have said, has it triggered anything in your mind that makes you think this isn't the best jury for you to sit on?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No.

MS. FLECK: Think you can be fair and impartial to both sides?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Definitely.

MS. FLECK: And if we prove our case beyond a reasonable doubt, do you have any problem finding the defendant guilty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No problem.

MS. FLECK: Okay. Thank you.

Ms. Shanor, 023. Catching on.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: 023.

2.2

MS. FLECK: You said that you don't know if you can be fair because of things that you've seen over the years, and then you kind of lingered on, and then you said, Well, I was a pastor's wife, and then you kind of lingered on.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: I was married for 21 years to an ordained minister. The husband I acknowledged today is my second husband. We were in reporting situations at numerous times when we were in the South Bend, Indiana area.

There is also a conflict of when it's a pastor, but we don't hold the same as in the Catholic church. And somebody was reported, a young girl reported it, and two other young girls stepped forward to the pastor and they did get reported. And I was a part of that in the State of Indiana, South Bend.

MS. FLECK: Okay. So when you kind of lingered off, is it you're saying because you've had experiences with it before it's — I mean, I trust that you —

prospective juror No. 023: I have a 15-year-old granddaughter. I have a four-year-old granddaughter. I've worked a lot with people. When you were talking and I was listening as we were all discussing on listening to children, who's telling the truth, who's not, it's a very tough area. It's the children who don't — it's a tough area, and it's

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

heartbreaking is what it is. It's just heartbreaking. you make that decision when I don't know the person?

MS. FLECK: All right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: It's easier to make a decision when you know somebody, you've lived with them, you've talked with them, you've had interaction. It's hard for me to think that I could be impartial when I didn't know anybody in this situation. Do you know what I'm saying? I don't know if I could be a fair impartial judge.

MS. FLECK: Okay. So you're leaning more towards the fact that you don't want to make a credibility decision or a judgment on people on something when you don't have more information or knowledge, I guess?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: Yes. And especially when you said the other remark which kind of raised my thought process also, is if there would be no DNA evidence, it would just be talk. Not - I think I would struggle with that.

MS. FLECK: And that's -- I mean, I appreciate you being honest, because that's just the nature of a lot of cases, you know. That's why I bring up the CSI question and that's why I ask people to really think about that, because this is real life, you know. These are real cases and real crime scenes, and in real life you don't get the kind of stuff that you get on CSI. So -

> PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: That is it. This is a.

very important thing and judgment should be made again, as you keep saying, beyond a reasonable doubt. And it's — people's lives are in our hands and it's a very, very important process.

MS. FLECK: Mm-hmm. Yeah. Absolutely, I agree. But, you know, the law will — accounts for things like that. The law accounts for the fact that you're not always going to have DNA, and it accounts for — you'll be instructed at the end it accounts for situations like that because that's just the reality, so.

All right. Well, you tell me, you know, I mean, I trust — you seem like a person who has reflected on this and been listening and really would want to do the best job you could possibly do. But, you know, your oath will be to follow the law. Your oath will be to set aside any prior experiences and judge the case on the evidence in this case. Do you think you can do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 023: I honestly couldn't answer that question. I would have to say no.

MS. FLECK: Okay. I'm going to make a motion for cause.

THE COURT: Mr. Feliciano or Ms. Feliciano.

MR. FELICIANO: We'll submit, Judge.

THE COURT: Ms. Shanor, thank you very much for your willingness to serve. You are excused. Please report to jury

1	services on the third floor, and they'll give you further
2	instructions. All right. Thank you very much.
3	We need to get the next person in line.
4	THE CLERK: The next one is Badge No. 062, Sophia
5	Stiperski.
6	THE COURT: All right. Is it Ms. Stiperski; is that
7	how you pronounce it?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Give us a brief biographical sketch; what
10	do you do, are you married, if you're married what your
11	husband does, those kinds of things.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Yes. I'm a performer for
13	Cirque du Soleil. Right now I'm on disability because I'm
14	pregnant, so I'm not working.
15	THE COURT: Oh, okay.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: My husband — I'm
17	married, and my husband is a musician. And I have two kids,
18	two daughters. I have one 10 years old, one two years old,
19	and one on the way. And that's it.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Ever served on a jury before?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: No.
22	THE COURT: Have you or anyone closely associated
23	with you ever been the victim of a crime either sexual in
24	nature or otherwise?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Some co-worker, but
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 317

nobody related in my family. 1 THE COURT: Okay. Is it someone - when you say a 2 co-worker, is it someone that you're very close to? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: People that I work with. 4 Two girls that I worked with were sexually assaulted. 5 MS. FLECK: How long ago did this happen? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: One it's about eight 7 years ago, and the other one two years ago. 8 THE COURT: Eight years ago and two years ago. All 9 right. Do you know if the police were involved in either 10 case, or both cases? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Yeah. They were both 12 involved, yeah. 13 THE COURT: Were you involved in the cases in any 14 15 way? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: No, not at all. 16 THE COURT: So you just -- you heard from them, or 17 you heard about --18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: I worked with them, so 19 20 I -- yeah, exactly. THE COURT: So anything — knowing about what 21 happened with those two co-workers, and I don't know how much 22 detail they went into when they talked with you about it, but 23 is there anything about those two cases that might affect your 24 ability to serve as a juror in this case? 25

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: I don't think so.
2	THE COURT: So you can be fair and impartial even
3	though the charges in this case might I mean, obviously I
4	don't know what happened to your co-workers, but to the extent
5	that there might be some similarity, that wouldn't be a
6	concern for you, you could be fair and impartial in this case?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: I think so.
8	THE COURT: Have you or anyone closely associated
9	with you ever been accused of a crime, whether or not there
10	was a conviction, and whether it's sexual in nature or
- 11	otherwise?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Nobody related, but some
13	co-workers too, one for DUI and one for murder.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Were you involved in those cases
15	in any way?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: No. I just know the
17	person. They just work in the same place as me.
18	THE COURT: Were they close friends of yours, or just
19	co-workers?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Co-workers.
21	THE COURT: Anything about their cases that would
22	affect your ability to serve in this case?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: No.
24	THE COURT: Okay. And then let me ask you first
25	of all, congratulations on your pregnancy. Is there any

you know, sometimes, depending on how the pregnancy goes, there might be issues.

You know, maybe you can't sit for long periods of time, maybe you have, you know, back pains, maybe you have to go to the bathroom frequently, those kinds of things. Is that — is that an issue for you? I mean, everybody's pregnancy's a little bit different.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Well, I'm not in my best shape, I can tell you this. That's why I'm off work right now. So I do feel still nauseous at some times and tired, but I'm okay.

THE COURT: Are you taking anything for your nausea? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: No.

THE COURT: Are you okay — if you're asked to serve as a juror in this case, you would essentially be sitting here for the hours that I outlined this week. We'll start, you know, mid to late morning and go until 5:00 o'clock with breaks. I try to take breaks every hour, hour and a half or so. Would that be sufficient for you, or do you think you'd have an issue with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: No. I think I will -- yeah.

THE COURT: If you do end up on the jury, if anything — if you need anything, you know, if you feel nauseous, if you need to go to the bathroom, anything like

il	
1	that, would you please raise your hand and let me know?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Okay. I appreciate that.
4	All right. Ms. Fleck.
5	MS. FLECK: Thank you. And I'll be brief. Two down,
6	please, to Mr. Thaler.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: 021.
8	MS. FLECK: Thank you. Mr. Thaler, my only question
9	for you, no kids. Any issues judging the credibility of
10	and again, it's really not an issue in this case because she
11	is older. But just, you know, not having kids, maybe feeling
12	uncomfortable listening to experiences that she would have had
13	through her life and not necessarily having any frame of
14	reference, any problem with that?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No.
16	MS. FLECK: Okay. Anything that you have heard that
17	causes concern for you?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No.
19	MS. FLECK: Any problem finding the defendant guilty
20	if we meet our burden of beyond a reasonable doubt? .
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No.
22	MS. FLECK: Okay. Thank you.
23	And two down, Ms. Page. Ms. Page, you have a lot of
24	kids, right?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: 018. Only two. Two
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	children, but six grandchildren.
2	MS. FLECK: Okay. Six grandchildren.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Six grandchildren.
4	MS. FLECK: Anything that you have heard today that
5	has given you concern about sitting as a juror on this
6	particular case?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: No.
8	MS. FLECK: No problems thus far?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: No.
10	MS. FLECK: Okay. Promise you'll do the very best
11	job you can possibly do if you're chosen to be a juror?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yes.
13	MS. FLECK: Thank you. And right in front of you,
14	Mr. Carrera.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: Sure. 028.
16 1	MS. FLECK: Also no kids.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: No kids.
18	MS. FLECK: Any issues with any of the concepts we've
19	been talking about?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: No, not at all.
21	MS. FLECK: Any issues with sitting as a juror in
22	this particular case?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: [Unintelligible.] By
24	nature I'm skeptical and must always adhere by my standards,
25	so yeah, I'm always very objective [unintelligible].
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 322

1	MS. FLECK: So beyond a reasonable doubt, but not
2	more —
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: Yeah.
4	MS. FLECK: right?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: Exactly.
6	MS. FLECK: Okay. Are you good with that?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: Yeah.
8	MS. FLECK: All right. Thank you.
9 .	And finally, Mr. Meckley.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: 030.
11	MS. FLECK: Thank you. Do you have any law
12	enforcement experience?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: No, ma'am.
14	MS. FLECK: No? For some reason on our sheet it
15	shows that you're in the FBI. That's pretty cool.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: [Unintelligible.]
17	MS. FLECK: No. I'm like, why isn't he telling me
18	that. What does he do in the FBI that he's holding out.
19	So okay. So same kinds of questions. I know that
20	your wife's son was tragically killed, but no issue
21	transferring it into this courtroom?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: [Inaudible.]
23	MS. FLECK: All right. Any other issues at all that
24	you have thought about?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: No.
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 323

scheduling matter. 1 (Bench conference.) 2 3 THE COURT: How much time do you guys think you need? Because it's getting close to 5:00 o'clock. I'd rather 4 frankly, if I asked for a vote, I think the majority of the 5 jurors would probably rather stay and have most of them go 6 home today, but I don't know if you guys have any issue with 7 8 staying past 5:00 o'clock or not. 9 MS. FLECK: I don't. THE COURT: So how much time do you guys think you 10 11 need roughly? MS. FELICIANO: I mean, the State was just a couple 12 of hours. 13 THE COURT: Right. So I'm just asking --14 MS. FELICIANO: So I would be - I mean [inaudible], 15 right? 16 MR. FELICIANO: Yeah. I don't really want them to 17 think that we're --18 MS. FELICIANO: Keeping them later. 19 MR. FELICIANO: -- keeping them later. [Inaudible.] 20 So I'd rather just start right up tomorrow morning. 21 THE COURT: All right. Because it's almost 5:00 22 23 o'clock now. UNKNOWN SPEAKER: We're also going to lose them as 24 far as we're staying much later. I mean --25

THE COURT: Well, my concern is — I mean, depending on how long you're going to go, I mean, bringing them all back here tomorrow and then we send them home like an hour later, I mean, there's nothing more annoying than that frankly.

(Inaudible.)

MR. FELICIANO: I'm probably going to be longer than that, if I have to go — if I have to [inaudible].

MS. FLECK: Why don't we just still stay, stay as late as possible, because we'll still get an hour done.

MR. FELICIANO: Well, then we're basically in the same boat. I mean, I really don't want them to think that --

MS. FELICIANO: Why would we keep them for just an hour and then bring everybody back tomorrow? It's not as if we're going to get through picking a jury tonight. Just because we question doesn't mean that we're not going to have to then re-question everybody who fills a spot in the peremptory. It's not — it's not an hour's worth of work.

THE COURT: Well, here's the thing. [Inaudible] and then they can all come back tomorrow. If we're going — if we're not —

MS. FELICIANO: That's what I'm saying. Yeah, that's what the State just suggested, keep them for an hour and then bring them back.

THE COURT: Right. I mean, what's the point of that?

If we keep them for an hour it looks like we're going to send

all but 14 of them home. But you're telling me it's not going to be an hour, it's going to be a lot longer than that, right?

MS. FELICIANO: Well, even if we question them for only an hour, then we have to use all our peremptories, then fill and re-question with anybody who took a spot for a

MS. FELICIANO: Well, yeah, I quess we're

MS. FLECK: No, we don't. Once we pass them for cause, then we do our peremptories.

MS. FELICIANO: Yeah. Yeah. [Inaudible.]

MS. FLECK: And I think -- I mean, I asked -- I tried to ask a lot of questions that were like for the defense too.

MR. FELICIANO: Well, we still have our --

MS. FLECK: Of course. No, no. I'm not saying that. I'm just saying that, you know, I did try to flush out people

THE COURT: All right. Well, I mean, if it's not going to be an hour, then I'm not keeping them. I'm just going to send them home. Because like I said, I don't want to make them stay and then make them all come back tomorrow anyway. That's, you know, that's more annoying than, you know, [inaudible] have everybody come back here and then we'll send most of them home by lunchtime tomorrow, or shortly

thereafter.

Because making them stay and then making them come back is the worst of both worlds frankly. All right. Then let's just send them home and have them all come back at 10:30-ish tomorrow then. All right.

(End bench conference.)

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, here is what we were just discussing. It's now coming up on 5:00 o'clock. And unfortunately for a variety of reasons, part of which, you know, is frankly the fault of the interpreter's office, we didn't get as far as we wanted to today.

So what I'm going to do, and I know that this is going to be slightly annoying to everybody here, but we're going to adjourn for the day and have all of you come back at 10:30 tomorrow. It's my hope that all but 14 of you would be able to go home tomorrow maybe shortly after lunch.

So for tomorrow morning, you don't have to go back to the third floor. Just come up here to the 10th floor — hang on.

We have a question here?

THE MARSHAL: They have to check in tomorrow on the third floor and then come up here.

THE COURT: Okay. So you do need to go to the third floor. All right. So for tonight, the same admonitions that I gave to you apply. Do not reach any conclusions about this

KARR REPORTING, INC.

-16

2.4

case. Do not talk to anybody about this case. Do not investigate any facts relating to this case. Do not view any media, press or Internet reports about the case. Do not talk to anyone who may be involved in any way with this case. Do not discuss the facts of this case with each other.

Remember, while you're in the courthouse or in the vicinity, please wear your badges. And I'll see all of you tomorrow at 10:30 then.

(Prospective jurors recessed at 4:48 p.m.)

THE COURT: All right. We're now outside the presence of the jury. Was there anything that either side wanted to put on the record?

MS. FELICIANO: Just briefly, Judge. I know that the bench conferences are recorded, but in the event that it didn't get recorded —

THE COURT: You might want to make a record of anything you want to make a record of, because you can't -
MS. FELICIANO: Right.

THE COURT: With the microphones here, you can't guarantee anything.

MS. FELICIANO: You can't trust it. That's what I'm doing. And just to make the record that there was the issue regarding the police officer credibility, and that was during the State's voir dire, I apologize, I don't have the badge number right in front of me.

But we did move to dismiss the panel as a whole, saying that it had been tainted by the State was questioning the prospective juror about believing a police officer and told the juror, well, in this case you're not going to have to assess the credibility of the police officer, that's not at issue, the only credibility issue is the credibility of the alleged victim and the defendant.

1.4

And so at the bench we moved to dismiss the panel, that the panel has been tainted at this point by the State essentially vouching for the credibility of the police officer and their investigation when the credibility of the officers is at issue during the trial. That would be akin to us getting up and telling the jury you're to assume that the defendant is telling the truth and the only credibility you're assessing is that of the alleged victim.

It's improper vouching. The panel was tainted as a whole. I believe the State said that it would clarify that with the prospective juror and did clarify that statement, but to us that has not cured the prejudice.

THE COURT: Right. And I believe we were talking about Juror No. 58, Elaine Cory, whose husband was arrested for solicitation of an undercover officer, but that case was dismissed. Is that the juror we're talking about?

MS. FLECK: Yes.

MS. FELICIANO: That's correct.

and the fact of the contract o

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Fleck, anything that you want to put on the record?

MS. FLECK: Well, Judge, I certainly did not — that isn't what I intended and I don't believe that that was the line like logically in the context of that conversation, that that was really how it came out. The facts in that case are that the woman's husband was soliciting an undercover officer, so she feels as though law enforcement uses entrapment.

So what I meant to say is since you won't be — this isn't a case where, for example, the defendant was online in a chat room soliciting an undercover officer posing as a 12-year-old girl. Entirely different.

So what I meant to say and what I clarified, and I don't believe that anyone took it this way, is that it's not going to be victim — or defendant versus law enforcement, that it's, you know, two people as opposed to the defendant versus an entity, as she felt with her husband.

So certainly I never meant to imply that an officer's credibility wouldn't be at issue, as is in every case, which I think I clarified. But what I meant is it's a different situation than her husband was facing.

THE COURT: Right. Well, and I explained this during the bench conference also, but for the record, I know the statement that Ms. — I keep wanting to call you Ms. Porray.

But as Mrs. Feliciano mentioned, and I understand the

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

basis of the objection, but I -- my -- from what I heard, although the particular question was not perhaps the most elegantly worded question, in context with the other questions of that juror and sort of the thrust of where Ms. Fleck was going was that in her husband's case it was the word of a victim, slash, police officer against her husband's word.

And the point that Ms. Fleck was making in context was that in this case the victim, the alleged victim is not a police officer and so it's not the same factual scenario. At the bench conference Ms. Fleck volunteered to clarify it, she did, and the victim -- I'm sorry, the juror, Juror No. 58, indicated that that was her understanding as well.

And in fact, she even volunteered the next sentence, which was something along the lines of we were always on the same page. I can't remember the exact words.

MS. FLECK: She said we were always on the same -THE COURT: Right. And so I don't believe that in the context of the entire line of questioning that there has been any confusion, either on that part of that particular juror or on the part of the panel, and therefore at the bench conference I denied the motion.

Anything else that either side wanted to put on the record?

> Nothing from the State. MS. FLECK:

MR. FELICIANO: No, Judge. I think that's it.

THE COURT: All right. I have a criminal calendar tomorrow. It's pretty short. I'm told it's only ten pages. We usually — unless there's a — we have to put on a probation revo hearing or something like that, we're usually done around 10:00, between 10:00 and 10:15 with shorter calendars.

So if you guys can be here at about 10:15, just in case there's anything we need to put on the record, with the expectation that if I have to put on — you know how it is. If there's a revo hearing that goes, it goes and everyone has to sit around and wait and I apologize in advance. But obviously there's no way to know whether it's going to be negotiated or not.

But if you guys can be here a little bit early, that way we can cover things and get the jury in here as quickly as possible, that would be great.

And did you, Ms. Fleck, did you get your — the exhibit question answered with Tia?

MS. FLECK: Oh, no.

THE COURT: You asked earlier about the exhibits that were in evidence.

MS. FLECK: Yeah. I wanted to look at that. I can do it in the morning though.

THE CLERK: Yeah. You can do it in the morning. I'm sorry. I did not go down to the vault.

That's fine. And I'll bring the amended. MS. FLECK: 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. I guess we'll deal with that either at lunch or at 10:15, yeah, in the morning. All right. 3 MS. FLECK: Okay. Thank you. 4 THE MARSHAL: Before you let them go, hang on. 5 THE COURT: Hang on a second. 6 (Pause in proceedings) 7 THE COURT: All right. Well, this is what Randy, the 8 marshal, is telling me. On the way out he had a short 9 conversation with Juror No. 094, who looks to be Ms. 10 11 Kowalczik. Is that the person you spoke with? 12 THE MARSHAL: Yes. 13 THE COURT: And even -- oh, you know what. 14 because she's not in the box, we didn't ask the has anyone 15 been accused of a crime. She believed that Ms. Fleck 16 prosecuted her husband. I don't have all the details and 17 apparently the juror's gone, but that's probably something 18 that you might have to clear up. She -- it looks like she's a 19 20 good --Which one is she? MS. FLECK: 21 MR. GRAHAM: 094. 22 THE COURT: She's 13 away from being -- oh, no. 23 She's more than 13. She's 15 away or 16 away from being in 24 the box. I don't know if we'll need to get to that, but 25

CERTIFICATION

I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE AUDIO-VISUAL RECORDING OF THE PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.

AFFIRMATION

I AFFIRM THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT DOES NOT CONTAIN THE SOCIAL SECURITY OR TAX IDENTIFICATION NUMBER OF ANY PERSON OR ENTITY.

KARR REPORTING, INC. Aurora, Colorado

KIMBERLY LAWSON

KARR Reporting, Inc.

Electronically Filed 12/05/2012 02:07:53 PM

TRAN

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. C268285-1

DEPT NO. XX

vs.

GUILLERMO RENTERIA-NOVOA,

Defendant.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JEROME TAO, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

JURY TRIAL - DAY 2

TUESDAY, MAY 22, 2012

APPEARANCES:

For the State:

MICHELLE FLECK, ESQ.

NICKOLAS J. GRAHAM, ESQ. Deputy District Attorneys

For the Defendant: MIKE FELICIANO, ESQ.

AMY A. FELICIANO, ESQ. Deputy Public Defenders

Interpreters:

Maria Peralta de Gomez

Irma Sanchez Mario Maldonado Manuel Cavillo Rico Rodriguez Josephine Dooley

RECORDED BY SARA RICHARDSON, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: KARR Reporting, Inc.

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, MAY 22, 2012, 10:56 A.M.

Q

(Outside the presence of the prospective jurors.)

THE COURT: Back on the record. State versus

Guillermo Renteria-Novoa. Case No. C268285. Mr. Renteria

Novoa is present in custody with the assistance of a Spanish

interpreter.

Madam Interpreter, for the record, what is your name?

THE INTERPRETER: Maria Peralta de Gomez.

THE COURT: Thank you. Here's the situation. It is now 11:00 o'clock. We had instructed the jurors to come back here at 10:00 o'clock and check in with jury services on the third floor. They're all here except for Juror No. 64, Charolette Temple, who has not checked in downstairs and she's not out in the hallway right now.

don't have a cellphone number for her. People have been trying to get in touch with her from jury services and we are unable to do so. So I'll also note that it looks like if anybody in the box is challenged, she would actually be the very next juror to be put in the box.

So the question is, what are we going to do about this? She's an hour late now officially, and the rest of the jury is waiting out in the hallway. And they've been waiting out there for at least half an hour. So anybody have any

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

suggestions, proposals?

MS. FLECK: She's the one who is sitting over here in the corner, 26, Seat 26?

THE MARSHAL: No. She's next up if we --

THE COURT: She's not in the box yet. She's the next person who would be in the box, so she doesn't have a numbered seat. She's in like the second row there.

MS. FLECK: Oh. I mean, that's fine. I don't have any problems getting rid of her. I can't even remember who she is.

THE COURT: I honestly can't even -- you know, because she's not in the box she hasn't been asked anything yet, and my notes indicate she hasn't responded to any of the general questions that I've asked the panel, so we don't know very much about her.

So on behalf of the defendant then?

MR. FELICIANO: We'll submit it, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. Well, here is what I'm going She is over an hour late. No one has any contact information, and because I don't really know very much about her, she hasn't answered any questions in the affirmative or the negative, what we'll do is we'll proceed without her.

So what we'll do is we'll excuse Juror No. 64, and bring the rest of the jury in rather than have them just keep waiting out in the hallway then. All right, Randy.

10,

1.7

2.4

Before we do that, is there anything else that either side wanted to put on the record?

MS. FLECK: Nothing from the State.

THE COURT: I'll note for the record that the second amended Information was filed in open court this morning by the State. On behalf of the defendant, do you guys have a copy of this?

MR. FELICIANO: We do.

THE COURT: Okay. And I also have been given — I know we actually [unintelligible] off the record, but on the record given a proposed set of jury instructions revised by the State, and apparently to at least in part reflect the second amended Information. Does the defense have a copy of this?

MR. FELICIANO: We do.

THE COURT: All right. So what we'll do is we'll have to see how far we get today, and set aside some time maybe — what I'm thinking was actually maybe tomorrow, I have a civil calendar that should be over relatively quickly, probably around like 10:00 or so, but at some point we need to settle the jury instructions.

I don't know if you guys think we'll be ready to do so tomorrow, or if you guys need more time to work on your proposed instructions.

MS. FELICIANO: I think it depends on how many

2.4

witnesses we get through. We're hesitant about settling jury instructions before we're done with the State's presentation of the evidence obviously. We're working on them, but there are some that we just can't submit.

THE COURT: Right. All right. Well, let's see how far we get and we'll have to do that at some point. I usually like to do it, you know, in the mornings before we bring the jury back, rather than have them wait for a couple hours just cooling their heels and wondering what's going on.

So all right. If there's nothing else that either side wanted to put on the record, let's go ahead and bring the jurors in.

I also notice that there's two other additional interpreters, one Spanish interpreter and one Tagalog interpreter from the court interpreter's office here to assist Jurors No. 69 and 71. For the record, can you state your names for the record?

THE INTERPRETER: Josephina Dooley, Tagalog interpreter, and --

THE INTERPRETER: Rico Rodriguez.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you for being here. And when Mr. -- I'm sorry?

(The Court and clerk confer.)

THE COURT: Oh, you're both Tagalog interpreters?

THE INTERPRETER: Yes, Your Honor.

1	
1	THE COURT: Oh. We need a second Spanish interpreter
2	for Mr. Aguilar though. Do we have one?
3	THE MARSHAL: We've called and nobody's come over
4	yet.
5	MS. FLECK: So they just sent somebody else.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. We don't need two Tagalog
7	interpreters. We need one Spanish and one Tagalog. Well,
8	Mr. Aguilar would actually be next up, since Ms. Temple's not
9	here.
10	THE CLERK: [Inaudible.]
11	THE COURT: Got you. Okay.
12	THE COURT: All right. Well, did they give any
. 13	THE MARSHAL: The secretary [inaudible] up
14	immediately. She didn't know that he wasn't here.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's wait for a couple
16	minutes then.
17	THE CLERK: Ms. Temple has just showed up. Jury
18	services contacted Paula and she's on her way up.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Well, so let's wait a couple
20	minutes for her to get here and for the interpreter to get
21	here. So we'll go ahead and
22	(Pause in proceeding.)
23	THE COURT: Are we still on the record?
24	THE COURT RECORDER: Yes.
25	THE COURT: All right. So that moots we've just
	1

been notified by jury services that Ms. Temple, Juror No. 64, 1 is here, so that moots the record that I made a couple minutes 2 ago. So we'll wait until she gets here. 3 (Pause in proceeding.) 4 THE COURT: All right. We now have the assistance of a -- are we on the record? 6 THE COURT RECORDER: Mm-hmm. 7 THE COURT: A Spanish interpreter to assist 8 Mr. Aguilar, Juror No. 68. Madam Interpreter, for the record, 9 10 what is your name? THE INTERPRETER: Irma Sanchez. 11 THE COURT: All right. Thanks. One of the jurors is 12 going to come in here. Juror No. 68 is a Spanish-speaker, and 13 what we're going to do is we're going to have him and the 14 other Tagalog speakers sit in the first row over there. All 15 right. Thanks. 16 All right. Randy, let's bring them in. 17 (Prospective jurors enter at 11:11 a.m.) 18 THE COURT: All right. Will counsel stipulate to the 19 presence of the prospective jury? 20 MS. FLECK: The State does. Thank you. 21 MR. FELICIANO: Yes, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, welcome 23 I know that we're off to a little bit of a later start 24 than we had anticipated, but apparently we had trouble 25

1	rounding everybody up. But we're all here now, so we are
2	ready to resume.
3	Yesterday afternoon the State passed the panel for
4	cause. So on behalf of Mr. Renteria-Novoa, did you guys have
5	any questions?
6	MR. FELICIANO: Yes, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: All right. Please proceed.
8	MR. FELICIANO: Does anybody have the mike?
9	THE MARSHAL: I have the mike. Just let me know who
10	you want it to go to.
11	MR. FELICIANO: Okay. I'm just going to go move
12	along the line [inaudible].
13	THE MARSHAL: Folks, please remember, the last four
14	of your badge number and your name, and speak directly into
15	the top of the mike.
16.	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: 001, Brahmer.
17	MR. FELICIANO: Mr. Brahmer. Okay. You talked about
18	some issue you had 21 years ago when you were were you
19	arrested in that case?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Yeah.
21	MR. FELICIANO: How were you treated by the police?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Just fine.
23	MR. FELICIANO: And did you go to trial or anything
24	like that?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Just yeah, court, and
	TO THE PARTY OF TH

_

-

MR. FELICIANO: Okay. Now, you had the carrying a concealed — the CCW conviction way back. You said you since got your permit.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Yes.

MR. FELICIANO: And do you — you were treated fairly by the police?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Yes. I was.

MR. FELICIANO: You didn't go to trial or anything like that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: No. Just in and out of court and then paid the fine and they confiscated the weapon.

MR. FELICIANO: Now, if — if you had gone to trial, I mean, what type of evidence would you expect — maybe not specifically in your case. What type of evidence would you expect the government to bring against somebody? And there's no wrong answers.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Yeah. I mean, I was wrong. I was young at the time for carrying it without a permit. I did have the blue card and everything else. It just wasn't, you know, registered through Metro to have a carrying a concealed weapon.

MR. FELICIANO: How about things like say fingerprints; is that something that you would expect to see if you were — if you were listening to a case and trying to determine whether someone was guilty or innocent?

. 1	where say the State didn't have fingerprints, do you think
2	that would affect your decision whether somebody was guilty or
3	innocent?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: It probably will, yeah.
5	Maybe.
6	MR. FELICIANO: What about things like DNA, like
7	having DNA versus not having DNA?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Well, if they have DNA,
9	then it's proven.
10	MR. FELICIANO: So it's a pretty solid if there's
11	some DNA, it's a pretty solid case; is that fair to say?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 002: Yes.
13	MR. FELICIANO: Okay. Thank you, sir.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: 005, James Schmidt.
15	MR. FELICIANO: Mr. Schmidt, you were - your
16	apartment was broken into years ago?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: About 40 years ago.
18	MR. FELICIANO: Forty years ago. Do you remember it
19	pretty well?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I wasn't there when it
21	happened, so. I remember the incident. They just broke in a
22	window and came in and
23	MR. FELICIANO: Did the, when the police came out
24	for it?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yeah. It was pretty
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 15

1	obvious it was a bunch of kids, because they stole a whole
2	stack of Playboy magazines.
3	MR. FELICIANO: Did they take a lot of other things,
4 ·	or just the Playboy?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: That was probably worth
6	as much as anything. You know, whatever was visible they
7	grabbed and took.
8	MR. FELICIANO: So was there a — you could tell
9	where they came in from?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yeah. They broke a
11	window out.
12	MR. FELICIANO: Did they do like forensic type work,
13	like CSI type work?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Not that I remember.
15	MR. FELICIANO: And how do you feel about that?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I have no problem
17	with it. It's something that happens.
18	MR. FELICIANO: Well, as far as like with a crime
19	scene investigation, do you think someone who's charged with a
20	crime is entitled to a complete thorough investigation?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Absolutely.
22	MR. FELICIANO: And what are some things that would
23	be included in the complete thorough investigation, say in
24	your case, that might have changed the outcome?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Well, they could have
1	KARR REPORTING, INC.
	

found fingerprints. They may or may not have been on file, so — if it was as they thought, kids.

MR. FELICIANO: And was it because, I guess, just the Playboys were stolen they really didn't do much with it, or -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Well, there was a few other things. The stereo was taken and a few things like

other things. The stereo was taken and a few things like that. But the whole thing didn't amount to that much.

MR. FELICIANO: And you do have one son.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes.

MR. FELICIANO: And I don't remember. Do you have grandkids?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No, not yet.

MR. FELICIANO: The nature of these types of charges, how do they make you feel?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: They don't make me feel anything one way or the other particularly. I mean, it's something that goes on these days unfortunately.

MR. FELICIANO: All right. How do you feel — I mean, do you think because somebody has been charged with a crime like this that they must have done it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Well, they've got to have some basis to make the charge. I'll have to hear what the information is.

MR. FELICIANO: So you need to listen to the witnesses and hear what they have to say?

2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Get your story straight
3	before you start.
4	MR. FELICIANO: So I mean, do you think that the
5	truth generally doesn't change and whatever's true tends to
6	stay the same?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I would think so, yes.
8	MR. FELICIANO: And how about like keeping lies, do
9	you think keeping lies straight is difficult?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: It's more difficult than
11	keeping the truth straight, definitely.
12	MR. FELICIANO: Is that because you have to kind of
13	remember what you lied about?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: What did I say the last
15	time.
16	MR. FELICÍANO: You see that Mr. Renteria—Novoa's
17	using the assistance of an interpreter. How does that make
18	you feel one way or another?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Not a whole lot

Okay.

MR. FELICIANO:

So I mean --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Not a whole lot [inaudible]. That's his right and his privilege.

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FELICIANO: I know these days there's a certain — there's a certain climate. There are certain attitudes sometimes towards people that might not speak the language if they're here in this country. Is that anything — do you feel anything about that — do you feel anything like

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

-16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the fact that he's lived here long enough to potentially commit crimes and still doesn't speak the language that's native to the U.S. is aggravating, to say the least.

MR. FELICIANO: And you had some issues with — I guess at work, right, with Latino men?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Correct.

MR. FELICIANO: It's happened a lot throughout the

years? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Throughout my life, yes. 2 MR. FELICIANO: Now, it sounds like you have some 3 pretty strong -- strong opinions about this; is that fair to 4 5 say? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Fair to say. 6 MR. FELICIANO: And it sounds like if you were 7 sitting where Mr. Renteria-Novoa was sitting, you probably 8 wouldn't want somebody who thinks like you sitting on this 9 10 jury. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Absolutely not. 11 MR. FELICIANO: Okay. So although you want to give 12 him a fair shake, I would imagine, it sounds like it might be 13 impossible in this case. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: I could not fairly give 15 him a fair open minded opinion or trial because to me he's 16 already a law breaker in the U.S. 17 MR. FELICIANO: Judge, I have a motion. 18 THE COURT: All right. Does the State wish to 19 20 traverse? MS. FLECK: No, that's okay. Thank you, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Alessio, is that how you 22 pronounce your last name, Alessio or Alessio? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Alessio. 24 THE COURT: Thank you for your service. You are 25 KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	excused. Please go down to the jury services room on the
2	third floor and they'll give you further instructions.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: Okay. Thank you.
4	THE COURT: All right. So we need the next person,
5	who will be?
6	THE CLERK: Badge No. 064, Charolette Temple.
7	THE COURT: All right. Ms. Temple, do you have the
8	microphone? Since you're just now coming up here, can you
9	give us a little bit of a biographical sketch? What do you
10	do, are you married, what does your husband do, do you have
11	kids?
12	THE MARSHAL: Your badge number.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: I'm an administrative
14	assistant for Desert Springs Hospital.
15	THE COURT: I'm sorry. Can you repeat that?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Administrative assistant
17	for Desert Springs Hospital.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Not married. I have
20	a 29-year-old son, a two-year-old granddaughter that lives in
21	Chicago.
22	THE COURT: And how long have you worked at that
23	hospital?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: For 14 months.
25	THE COURT: Fourteen months. Did you live in Las

Vegas before that? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: No. 2 THE COURT: How -- so you've only been in Las Vegas 3 for 14 months? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: I've been in Las Vegas 5 for 12 month -- well, I've been in Las Vegas for 15 months. 6 THE COURT: Fifteen months. And where'd you move 7 from? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: 9 THE COURT: From Texas. Did you come out here just 10 for the job, or for other reasons? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: No. For other reasons. 12 THE COURT: Okay. So let me ask you this. 13 haven't been in Las Vegas for very long, 15 months. Do you 14 feel like you're part of the community? And what I'm really 15 getting at is this. Obviously the way -- what we want on the 16 jury is a cross-section of the community, people who sort of 17 care enough that they're taking an interest in what's going on 18 19 here. Given the fact you've only been here 15 months, do 20 you feel that this is your home and you care about what's 21 happening in this community in general? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: [Inaudible.] 23 THE COURT: I'm sorry? 2.4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: For now. 25

KARR REPORTING, INC. 23

1	Int Cooki: For now. Okay. Ever served on a jury
2	before?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: No.
4	THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
5	the victim of a crime either sexual in nature or otherwise?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: My son.
7	THE COURT: Your son. Where and when and what
8	happened?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: He was a victim of
10	attempted murder in Chicago, Illinois.
11	THE COURT: How long ago?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: In 2002.
13	THE COURT: 2010.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: 2002.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Were the police called?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Was there a court case? Was someone
18	arrested?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Yes.
20	THE COURT: And did your son — or did you have to
21	participate in the court case at all?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: No.
23	THE COURT: All right. Your son, did he have to
24	testify?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Yes.
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	THE COURT: Are you happy with the way that court
2	case worked out?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Yes.
4	THE COURT: So was there anything about that case,
5	what happened to your son or how the court system or the
6	police treated him or anyone else in the case, that would
7	affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this criminal
8	case?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: No.
10	THE COURT: All right. Have you or anyone closely
11	associated with you ever been accused of a crime, whether or
12	not there was a conviction, either sexual in nature or
13	otherwise?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: I had a DUI in 2006,
15	January 1st of 2006.
16	THE COURT: Was that also in Chicago?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: In Texas.
18	THE COURT: Texas. I'm sorry. All right. Were you
19	convicted?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: No.
21	THE COURT: Okay. What happened?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: They dropped it.
23	THE COURT: Oh. So the case was dismissed?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Yes.
25	THE COURT: All right. Any feelings about that case?

1	witnesses in any way that, hey, you know, this was maybe a bad
2	arrest in Houston and they just kind of pulled over everybody?
3	Would that be an issue for you?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: No.
5	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Feliciano, you may
6	continue.
7	THE MARSHAL: [Inaudible.]
8	MR. FELICIANO: Yes, please.
9	MS. FLECK: Judge, can we approach real quickly?
10	THE COURT: Sure.
11	(Bench conference.)
12	MS. FLECK: I get to question the new ones.
13	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
14	MS. FLECK: When if do I get to question the new
15	ones once he's finished completely?
16	THE COURT: Yeah.
17	MS. FLECK: Okay. So once he's finished with
18	everyone, and then I'll
19	THE COURT: Or do you want to do it now? It doesn't
20	matter to me either way. Whatever you prefer.
21	MR. FELICIANO: Do you just want to go now?
22	MS. FLECK: Yeah, that's fine.
23	MR. FELICIANO: Since should we stick with the
24	same order?
25	THE COURT: You just wanted to do the two of you
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 27

just do right now, and just do --1 MS. FLECK: I do it and then he'll go up again? 2 THE COURT: Yeah. 3 MS. FLECK: Once I pass for cause. 4 THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that. 5 (End bench conference.) 6 THE COURT: All right. Here's what we're going 7 to do. Ms. Temple, since you just came up for the first time, 8 we're going to allow Ms. Fleck to ask a couple questions of 9 you first. All right. 10 MS. FLECK: Thank you, Your Honor. 11 Just briefly, just some of the questions that you 1.2 heard yesterday regarding children and kind of children's 13 experiences. If somebody at a young age made a kind of an 14 immature decision, is that something that you would hold 15 against them later in life, or would you be able to account 16 17 for that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: [Inaudible.] 18 THE COURT RECORDER: I can't hear her. 19 THE MARSHAL: Ma'am, [inaudible]. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Everybody makes some bad 21 choices in life when they're younger, and I think everybody -22 I mean, some people change once they get older. 23 So just because someone at one point made MS. FLECK: 24 maybe an immature decision, you would agree that they're 25

28

1.	entitled to the exact same level of justice under the law as
2	anyone else?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Exactly.
4	MS. FLECK: Okay. Any problems that you have thought
5	of or any issues that have come to mind as you've sat and
6 ,	listened to everybody?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: No.
8	MS. FLECK: Promise you'll do the very best job you
9	can possibly do if you're chosen to be a juror?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: If I can.
11	MS. FLECK: Thank you. I will pass Ms. Temple for
12	cause.
13	MR. FELICIANO: Can we approach briefly?
14	THE COURT: Sure.
15	(Bench conference.)
16	MR. FELICIANO: [Inaudible.]
17	MS. FLECK: I'll push it down so they can't see it.
18	THE COURT: Okay. I can't see it from here, so
19	[inaudible].
20	MS. FLECK: Yeah. I'll push it down, or I'll
.21	minimize it.
.22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	(End bench conference.)
24	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Feliciano, you may
25	proceed.
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	MR. FELICIANO: Thank you.
2	THE MARSHAL: [Inaudible.]
3	MR. FELICIANO: Yes, please.
4	THE MARSHAL: Did you want Juror No. 3?
5	MR. FELICIANO: Perfect.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: 053, Antonio Correa.
7	MR. FELICIANO: Zero, five, three. Mr. Correa?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yeah.
9	MR. FELICIANO: You just heard what the last juror
10	that just got excused said. Did you hear that, about
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yes.
12	MR. FELICIANO: How do you feel about that?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: I'm Hispanic myself. I
14	was born in Puerto Rico, raised in Puerto Rico until I was 25
15	years old, so I have no issues with that.
16	MR. FELICIANO: Now, is there anybody else in this
17	room that feels the way that prospective juror feels? Because
18	now is the time. Okay. Could you pass the mike over to him
19	real quick.
20	And how do you feel about that?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: I think you
22	MR. FELICIANO: I'm sorry. I need your badge number.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: 057.
24	MR. FELICIANO: Mr. Anderson?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes.
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 30

MR. FELICIANO: So you feel the same way she felt about, I guess, non-English speakers?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes.

MR. FELICIANO: Could you explain that for us?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: I think if you live here you should speak English.

MR. FELICIANO: All right. Do you feel that that feeling is so strong that you couldn't give Mr. Renteria-Novoa a fair trial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes.

MR. FELICIANO: And why is that? Could you maybe explain --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Just how she said. I think if you live here you should speak English, and especially if you're getting in trouble you should be able to understand what's going on.

MR. FELICIANO: So although — although you'd like to give Mr. Renteria a fair trial, is that something — you're telling us that's something you just can't do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes.

MR. FELICIANO: Judge, I have a motion.

THE COURT: Mr. Anderson, let me ask you a question. Yesterday I asked everybody in the room does anybody here have a problem with the fact that the defendant is here with the assistance of a Spanish interpreter, and you didn't raise your

hand. How come your answer is different now?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Well, I just --

THE COURT: Is it because you just heard what she said and you're trying to get off of jury duty? Because I'm going to be blunt with you. If I think that you're lying, I can throw you in jail.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: No, I'm not. I just — I thought about it. I didn't really think about it until then. But I thought about it and that's how I feel. Like I didn't expect to be asked it, but now I thought about it over the day and I agree.

THE COURT: All right. Does the State wish to traverse?

MS. FLECK: No, thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Anderson, you — let me ask you this. I mean, you may prefer that people in the United States speak English, but obviously you're aware that a lot of people don't, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. In fact, millions of people now living in the United States don't speak English, or at least don't speak it very well, correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes.

THE COURT: Are you saying that in any case involving any one of those millions of people you could never be a fair

and impartial juror?

1.6

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: I mean, I think if you're — like if they're not getting in trouble, then I have no problem with it. But if they're in trouble and they're in court, they should be able to understand what's going on, otherwise you — I mean, you should stay out of trouble either way, but especially if you're in this type of situation you should understand what's going on. Otherwise —

THE COURT: Well, if they don't understand what's going on, does that make them guilty of what they're accused of doing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: I think that --

THE COURT: What about — let me ask you a hypothetical. What if somebody who doesn't speak English happens to be falsely accused, now he's in court, he obviously doesn't understand what's going on. What would you do if you were a juror in that case? Would you find him guilty because he doesn't understand what's going on?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Well, I also think that the charges just don't come out of nowhere. Like you don't just wake up one day and out of nowhere it's, you know, all the sudden you're in jail. Like something happens. So that's another reason that I don't think I would be able to be —

THE COURT: So anyone who's charged with a crime is automatically guilty; is that what you're saying?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: It depends on the crime. I mean, but for the most part, yes.

THE COURT: And does it make any difference to you whether the person who's charged with a crime does or does not speak English? I mean, isn't what you're saying also true of people who speak English, or am I misunderstanding?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Well, yes. It's true for both sides that if you get — I think for the most part if you get charged with a crime that you probably did it.

THE COURT: So I mean, do you not — I mean, the point of a trial is to determine whether or not the person did what they are accused of doing. Do you understand that? I mean, I went through this yesterday and you didn't indicate any misunderstanding about what I said, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 057: Yes. But I mean, the more I thought about it — I mean, I didn't raise my hand yesterday, but I thought about it and I agree with like what she was saying earlier.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Anderson, here's what's going to happen. You don't get to go home. You get to go sit in the back of the courtroom. All right. So we're going to pull someone else to sit in your seat.

THE CLERK: Badge No. 068, Mr. Aguilar.

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: [Inaudible.]

THE COURT: Right. So I don't know how we're going

	III Falazzo Vellectari
2	THE COURT: Okay. And how old are your kids?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Sixteen, fourteen, and
4	eight.
5	THE COURT: Are they boys or girls?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Boys.
7	THE COURT: Have you ever served on a jury before?
. 8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: No.
9	THE COURT: Have you or anyone closely associated
10	with you ever been the victim of a crime either sexual in
11	nature or otherwise?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: No.
13 -	THE COURT: Have you or anyone closely associated
14	with you ever been accused of a crime, whether or not there
15	was a conviction, either sexual in nature or otherwise?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: No.
17	THE COURT: Okay. I appreciate it.
18	Ms. Fleck, do you have any questions for Mr. Aguilar?
19	MS. FLECK: Yes. Mr. Aguilar, now that you have an
20	interpreter helping you, how do you feel now about
21	participating in the trial?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Well, I've listened to
23	several experiences, unfortunate ones that I haven't happened,
24	unfortunate ones that I haven't happened.
25	MS. FLECK: You mean while we were going through this

years ago from where?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I'm from Mexico.

MS. FLECK: And the last 20 years have you lived in Las Vegas?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: In Las Vegas.

MS. FLECK: Any contact with the criminal justice system when you were living back in Mexico?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: No.

MS. FLECK: I posed some questions yesterday regarding laws being different here in the United States as opposed to in another country. If you were chosen to be a juror, any problem following the law that's read to you by the judge and not comparing it to something that happens back home?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: No. No problem at all, except my problem is that I can't speak or write the language.

MS. FLECK: So with the use of an interpreter, even with the help of an interpreter, do you still feel that uncomfortable and that you would not be a good juror for this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Yes. I feel very uncomfortable.

MS. FLECK: Okay. So and I'm not trying to — I'm not trying to like drag something out of you that you don't want to say, but I need you to kind of explain to me and to

the judge, to the defense why you think you wouldn't be a good juror, why you're uncomfortable. Everybody's uncomfortable with the charges. Is there something different for you that makes you feel like you should not be on this jury?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: There's not a difference at all, but in this particular kind of situations I get very nervous.

MS. FLECK: Okay. But a lot of people are nervous, so that's — so besides that, do you think that you can be fair then to sit on the jury? Do you think you can be fair and listen to both sides?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Yes. It could be possible.

THE COURT: Mr. Aguilar, I understand that, you know, this is — jury service is not something that anybody's use to. We call you out of your daily life, we ask you to come here and we ask you to deal with, in this case, charges that are very uncomfortable for everybody. Are you saying that you would be so nervous and so uncomfortable that you wouldn't be able to perform your duty as a juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Yes. I consider that, because what I listen to, I forget things.

THE COURT: I'm not -- I'm not sure what you're

KARR REPORTING, INC.

3 4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

saying. You don't -- you forget things?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Yes. In general a lot of the questions from yesterday, I don't even remember them.

THE COURT: Do you have any medical conditions that interfere with your memory, or is it just that you generally have a bad memory?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Yeah. I think that in general I have very bad memory.

THE COURT: Okay. During the trial, if you are asked to serve as a juror in this case, you would be allowed to have a notebook and a pen. In fact, we give you a notebook and a pen. Would that -- if you were able to write things down, would you be able to perform your duty as a juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Yes. I came here to render a service.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, I understand that you're nervous and, you know, I would venture to guess that probably a majority of people in this room are nervous and a little uncomfortable right now. But do you think that you would be able to put that aside and with the assistance of a notepad and a pen be able to render your service and to listen to the evidence and be fair and impartial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: I will try.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. I appreciate that.

Mr. Feliciano, did you have any questions for

Mr. Aguilar?

MR. FELICIANO: Not at this time. I will later down the road.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Fleck, anymore questions?

MS. FLECK: I'm going to actually make a challenge

for cause. I just — based upon, you know, the — him not

remembering what was said today, what he had just said

yesterday. I don't believe that he's comfortable to the point
that I don't think he can listen.

I think he's nervous to the point that he can't listen. And I don't think that while we're using an interpreter and it's not necessarily coming through, it's clear to me that he's not capable of sitting on this jury listening, making this grave of a decision. I just — I'm going to make a challenge for cause.

THE COURT: Mr. Feliciano, I know that you just sort of waived your opportunity to traverse, but now that there's an actual motion on the table, do you wish to traverse?

MR. FELICIANO: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. You may proceed.

MR. FELICIANO: Sir, you said that — you just said a moment ago that I guess you could do your duty if you were selected for the jury?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Yes, I did say it. But now that I'm listening to that person, I take it back and I

don't feel I can take the responsibility about a trial of this nature.

MR. FELICIANO: Well, I mean, it's a really big responsibility and everybody in this room is part of it. I mean, how — is there something about you that's different, where you can't bear that responsibility and give Mr. Renteria Novoa a fair trial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Well, I too have children and I wouldn't like — that I wouldn't like to be in a situation where the offended party's family is in right now.

MR. FELICIANO: Well, nobody would like that. But if you were given a notepad and able to take notes, could you follow along with the trial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: To my possibilities or abilities, yes.

MR. FELICIANO: And could you give Mr. Renteria Novoa a fair trial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Well, I'm an immigrant and I'm a citizen because that's what an immigration judge decided. But if the person that's being accused right now is proven to be guilty, the authorities should do their job.

MR. FELICIANO: What if they're proven to be not — what if the State doesn't prove their case, will you find him not guilty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: Not guilty.

MR. FELICIANO: Okay. So is there anything else that we need to know about you that may affect your ability to be fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: I don't think so.

MR. FELICIANO: If you were sitting in that chair where Mr. Renteria-Novoa is sitting, would you be comfortable with a person with your mindset judging you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 053: Yes. I think so.

MR. FELICIANO: Thank you, sir.

I think we can -- yeah. Thanks.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: 013, Roshelle Barrow.

MR. FELICIANO: You're a third grade teacher; is that correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes.

MR. FELICIANO: Okay. And I have a note here that I wrote down that you said you can tell when kids are being honest?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: No. There's no -- MR. FELICIANO: That's just what I wrote, so.

prospective juror No. 013: Yeah. No — well, most of the time I find when children are maybe — if they're telling on somebody for offense against them, for example I had a student come up to me the other day and said he was getting punched on the bus, and he was crying. And so I'm going to tend to believe him because he's crying.

And I went up to the office and of course the office took care of it. I didn't — you know, I didn't hear the other side of the story or anything like that. But so I guess if something like that, if a child is — or a minor is coming up to accuse somebody of hurting them, I'm going to think. what's the motive for them to say something like that. So I definitely take that into account, I guess, is what I'm saying.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ FELICIANO: Have you ever had any kids come up to you and lie about stuff?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Oh, yes. And I said that yesterday too. I've had kids come up to me with tears in their eyes and I know that they're flat out lying to me as well. It's just part of dealing with children.

MR. FELICIANO: Have you ever had to judge the credibility of two conflicting stories?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Unfortunately sometimes I have to do that. It's difficult and a lot of times I end up calling parents in and telling them the situation and trying to rectify it that way.

MR. FELICIANO: And if — what are some of the things that you look at when you're trying to determine who's credible and who's not credible?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: I — definitely the first thing I ask is was anybody around, anybody see it happen,

anybody hear. I definitely try to get the facts of what actually occurred. Sometimes when it's just one versus the other and there's nobody around to corroborate their story, I just have to speak with them individually and, like I say, talk to their parents and tell them the situation. But I can't really — sometimes you just don't know.

MR. FELICIANO: So corroborating evidence has helped you before?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Oh, definitely. Definitely.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ FELICIANO: Since you do deal with children, what do you think about these charges?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Well, they're ugly charges of course, you know.

MR. FELICIANO: Do you think, considering that you deal with children on a day-to-day basis, that you could put that aside and judge this case on the facts?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Working with children, like I said before, for a minor to come up and accuse an adult of doing something like that, it's going to be very difficult for me not to believe a child, because unless there's, you know, evidence or a reason why they would make something up like that.

MR. FELICIANO: So if you were sitting in that chair, how would you feel if someone with your mindset was on your

jury?

1.0

probably be a little worried, because I probably have a tendency to side for the minor, you know, or the minors. I would — I still think if a minor says somebody did that to them, or something in that nature, you know, there's — I don't know if — there could be reasons, you know, that they're making it up.

MR. FELICIANO: Mm-hmm.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: But it's such a heinous crime I just — it's hard for me to imagine somebody deciding to put somebody in his position out of spite or whatever.

MR. FELICIANO: Well, when allegations are made, I mean, I don't think you can say the minor's going to know we're — that we're going to end up here; is that fair to say?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes. That's very fair to

say. $\mbox{MR. FELICIANO: That they might not understand the} \\ \mbox{consequences of their actions?}$

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes.

MR. FELICIANO: But I mean, taking all that into consideration, do you think you can be a fair juror and give Mr. Renteria-Novoa a fair trial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: I would definitely try my best to be fair and listen to both sides of the evidence and

KARR REPORTING, INC.

the defendant and the accuser.

MR. FELICIANO: Okay. Anything else you think we should know?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: No.

MR. FELICIANO: Okay. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: 016, Ged Cordero.

MR. FELICIANO: Zero, one, six. Mr. Cordero, you have two children?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Yes.

MR. FELICIANO: Two grown children?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Yeah.

MR. FELICIANO: And how do these allegations — what do you think about these allegations? How do they make you

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: I believe it's like an [unintelligible] a bad allegation, you know, because we don't know yet if the plaintiff or the — what they call this, the defendant is — you know, because we [unintelligible] right now I don't know what this case. I don't know what's going on. We don't know what's, you know, we have to go through the process of the trial, listen for the evidence and the witnesses.

MR. FELICIANO: And how do you feel about taking on that burden? I know that's a burden that we're going to ask you to take on. Is that something that's difficult for you?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Actually it's not. It's
2	not so difficult for me.
3	MR. FELICIANO: So you're able to look at both sides
4	and be fair?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Definitely we have to
6	look for both sides, you know.
7	MR. FELICIANO: Now, if the State was unable to prove
8	their case and they don't prove their case, what would be your
9	verdict?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Oh, if they don't prove
11	their case, then the defendant is going to be not guilty.
12	MR. FELICIANO: And you would have no problem
13	bringing back a not guilty verdict if you believe that they
14	haven't proven their case?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: I don't have any problem,
16	you know. It depends on the trial, the witnesses and then,
17	you know, the evidence.
18	MR. FELICIANO: Is there any other information you
19	think we should know before we select the jury?
20,	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: [Unintelligible] no.
21	MR. FELICIANO: No. Okay. Would you pass the mike,
22	please, sir.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: 017, Helbert.
24	MR. FELICIANO: Zero, one, seven. You said your
25	daughter's an attorney?

It's

1	well with some of the decisions we came up with.
2	MR. FELICIANO: Despite all of that, I mean, is that
3	something you can put aside and do it again for us?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: I've learned from it. So
5	yeah, there's certain things that I'm going to retain as a
6	result of being on that jury.
7	MR. FELICIANO: All right. So I mean, that
8	experience obviously stayed with you.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Oh, yes. Yes. Well, it
10	has now that I'm back here again, yeah.
11	MR. FELICIANO: And so do you think you could give
12	Mr. Renteria-Novoa a fair trial?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: I certainly hope so, yes.
14	MR. FELICIANO: When you say you hope so, that
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Basically it comes down
16	to who you believe.
17	MR. FELICIANO: So whatever
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: There was no real hard
19	evidence or DNA, none of that again. So it comes down to the
20	testimony of both, both parties.
21	MR. FELICIANO: So that's what you would judge the
22	case on?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: That's where we yeah.
24	That's how that one came about, yes.
25	MR. FELICIANO: So if the State doesn't prove their
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 52

like you would judge the other witnesses that come in the room and testify? Could you be -- give them the same level of scrutiny?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Yes.

MR. FELICIANO: The nature of these charges, as we've been hearing, it's difficult for a lot of people to deal with. How do you feel about that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: I have no problem with it.

MR. FELICIANO: So you think you can be — you can be fair and give Mr. Renteria—Novoa a fair trial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Yes.

MR. FELICIANO: And you have been on a jury before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Yes.

MR. FELICIANO: And that was a civil — a civil case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Yes.

MR. FELICIANO: Now, you're going to be instructed not now, but you'll be instructed later, if you're on the jury, about the law of criminal law, and it's different as far as the burden of proof that the State has to present. Is that — that's beyond —

As Ms. Fleck was saying yesterday, it's beyond a reasonable doubt. It's much higher than the preponderance of the evidence standard that you had in your civil trial. Is that — do you have an issue with those —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: No.

MR. FELICIANO: Okay. Is there anything else you think we should know before we select our jury?

prospective juror no. 027: The only thing that's going to be kind of disturbing to me, to listen to somebody translate right behind me. It's very annoying. So I don't know how I can pay attention to the testimony and then have it repeated in another language right behind me.

MR. FELICIANO: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: It's very difficult, I think.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ FELICIANO: Well, we'll see what we can do about that for you. Sorry. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Let's do this. We've got an indication that some of the jurors want to take a restroom break. It's now 12:20. The question is do we want to just take a quick break and push through, or do you guys want to break for lunch now?

Can counsel approach very quickly and let's talk about scheduling very quickly.

(Bench conference.)

THE COURT: You guys want to just keep — do you want me to even ask them, or you want to just break for lunch now?

MS. FLECK: It's up to you. I mean, we called off

our -- I had the victim coming at 1:00, and I told her to --1 THE COURT: Yeah. We're not going to --2 MS. FLECK: So ---MR. FELICIANO: I don't know if they want -- it's going to be -- I'm not even halfway done, so it's going to be a while. I don't know if we should just break for lunch and come back, or --MS. FLECK: I think they're annoyed is all, THE COURT: Let me say something. I thought I said this [inaudible]. Ordinarily you don't get to go juror by juror. All right. It's supposed to be group questions and you ask follow-ups. But I'm giving you some slack. But please hurry it up and don't ask the same questions I asked yesterday. I could have answered half of their questions about previous jury service, because they told me yesterday. MR. FELICIANO: Well, Judge, then I got different answers. THE COURT: Let's speed it up. All right. I will speed it up. MR. FELICIANO: THE COURT: All right. So let's take a lunch break, and then we'll have them come back in an hour then. UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Let's decide what to do about Mr. Aquilar. I'm sorry? THE COURT: UNKNOWN SPEAKER: I mean, the entire jury panel, the

guy just said it's really annoying to listen to the interpreter, and the entire panel was like yeah.

THE COURT: Well, it is, because he can't — because he's sitting right behind there. I can even hear the interpreter. It's actually even distracting me and I'm 30 feet away. So I don't know if we should move them to a different —

MR. FELICIANO: Maybe they can use the transmitter.

THE COURT: Like the headphones. The problem is I think there's only one, then they would hear — there's only one frequency.

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Why don't we just get rid of him.

THE COURT: I'm thinking maybe when we come back, maybe we have him sit in the front so that when they're talking it can come this way rather than --

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: The thing is, why don't we just agree to [inaudible]. I mean, his actual answers were worse actually for the defendant in terms that if he's guilty, he should go — I think the fact — just the fact that he's Hispanic, if that's the only reason that we're keeping him, he was nonresponsive to answers even in English — I mean, even in Spanish.

THE COURT: Well, let's do this. Let's send him off to lunch, and then we can talk about this after they're gone.

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Okay.

1.5

THE COURT: All right. Because the pregnant woman needs a bathroom break. All right.

(End bench conference.)

THE COURT: All right. Here's what we're going to do. It's now 12:25, and as I indicated, we've gotten some indication that some of the jurors need a break. So let's take a one-hour break for lunch.

Same admonitions apply, which are during lunch you are not to reach any conclusions about this case. Do not talk to anyone about the case. Do not investigate any facts of this case. Do not view any media, press or Internet reports about this case. Do not talk to anyone who may have been involved in any way with this case. Do not discuss the facts of this case with each other. Remember to wear your badges at all times while you are in and around the courthouse.

We'll see you at — it's now like 12:24. Let's make it 1:25. All right. Thanks.

(Prospective jurors recessed at 12:21 p.m.)

THE COURT: We're still on the record. We're now outside the presence of the jury. Did anybody want to put anything on the record? We had a couple of bench conferences. I don't know if either side wanted to memorialize them or not.

MS. FLECK: You know, I would just like to renew my motion for cause on Mr. Aguilar, Badge No. 0068. He is currently in the box with the aid of an interpreter. He —

his first question was — or his first response today was, hey, I got confused yesterday when asked how long he'd lived here, and that was once we had the interpreter. And yesterday he said ten years, now today he said 20.

1.0

He was non-responsive to numerous questions today by me and the defense and the judge even with the use of the interpreter. He has repeatedly said that he's uncomfortable and nervous. I know that that doesn't mean that he wouldn't be qualified, but I don't think he's understanding. I don't know that he has a — he has problems remembering things. He said he didn't remember any of the questions even that were posed yesterday.

I don't know how we expect somebody to listen to testimony then and be able to be a qualified juror if he can't even remember questions that were posed yesterday. So will he say the trigger words, yes, he can be fair and impartial; sure. But on a totality of every single thing that he has said and the way that he's acted and the fact that he's non-responsive, he doesn't really seem to understand what's going on.

Furthermore, he has said — or he's using the interpreter and Mr. Parry has now expressed that it is distracting to him, he feels uncomfortable sitting through the entire trial because of that. That's really the only concern that he has said about sitting on this jury. As soon as

Mr. Parry said that, literally 90 percent of the panel who's in the box starts shaking their head yes.

5.

1.3

2.0

2.1

So it's distracting to everybody to the point that now we're losing interest from other jurors because of the interpreter. I'm not saying he's not entitled to be on a jury because of that, but with the totality of every single other thing, I think that he should be kicked.

And I'll go back to his actual answers regarding conviction was if he's guilty, he should be punished. If he is — I come here legally and I now have gone through my courses and basically showing respect for the criminal justice system.

So in terms of how he would play out for the State, I don't feel uncomfortable with him sitting on the jury in terms of his legal views. So I think the record would reflect that he — I feel like the answers that he gave were worse for the defense than for the State. But so I renew my motion.

THE COURT: All right. Your response.

MR. FELICIANO: Judge, when he was asked if — how long he had been here, I believe that was without the assistance of an interpreter, and that's when we got one. And he cleared that up this morning. I asked him if he could be fair; he said he could be fair and listen throughout the trial and take notes. We see no reason why he should be kicked.

It's unfortunate that it's distracting to Mr. Barry

[sic], but that juror does have a right to be on the jury. So we would submit that he's fine and we would ask that he remain on the jury.

THE COURT: All right. Well, there's two separate issues here. Number one is whether or not he can actually comprehend what's going on and can be fair and impartial. And the second issue is whether or not his presence with the interpreter is distracting to the other members of the jury.

I will note that when the other juror, Mr. Parry, Badge No. 27, indicated that he was distracted by the interpreter, the other — many of the other jurors did also nod their heads. And I don't know — well, the situation is Mr. Aguilar is currently seated in Seat No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, which is the back middle, with the interpreter seated next to him. So it looks like the interpreter is actually sitting almost directly behind Mr. Parry.

So sort of logistically, I think the interpreter is about a foot and a half away from Mr. Parry's ear, so he probably is very distracted. But what I think we do on that issue logistically is during lunch try to come up with some other way so that the interpreter is not sitting directly behind other jurors. I don't know if maybe you move him to a different part of the courtroom, or have him sit in the front corner or something like that. But that's an issue that I think can be solved by some sort of physical rearrangement.

But as to the first issue, I was a little bit concerned about some of Mr. Aguilar's initial responses. But then when I questioned him and when Mr. Feliciano questioned him, yeah, he's nervous, he doesn't want to be here, it's a difficult job. I mean, these things are all true. But he indicated that he could focus on what's going on and believed that he could be fair and impartial.

So I'm going to deny the motion to strike him for cause. But let's explore what we can do about moving the interpreter so the interpreter's not speaking directly into Mr. Parry's ear.

MS. FLECK: But I mean, it was everybody. Every single person was like shaking their head.

THE COURT: Right. But I'm just wondering, I don't know if there's a way that maybe we sit him, I don't know, maybe in the far corner or something like --

THE MARSHAL: I can call and see if we can get another headset.

THE COURT: I'm sorry?

THE MARSHAL: I can call and see if we can get another headset.

THE COURT: Yeah. Maybe another headset that operates on a different frequency, something like that.

MS. FLECK: You might want to get two, because the next person up is the other --

THE COURT: Oh. It's Ms. Martinez. Right. Well, we'll explore that during lunch, if we can even do that, if we can have three frequencies going in the courtroom or not. I honestly don't know the answer to that. I —

THE CLERK: [Inaudible] issue to have them sit in that front row [inaudible].

THE COURT: Yeah. That's the other thing is maybe we just move them back to where they were, which is the front row over there, and sort of with the understanding that he's constructively in the box. I don't know —

MS. FELICIANO: [Inaudible] like a rolling chair over there that we can at least put him in the corner. Maybe the rolling chair with the interpreter on the side as a temporary fix. The interpreter's out of the box, maybe able better to whisper in his ear.

THE COURT: Right. I mean, I think there's some solution we can explore. Maybe we'll just physically re-arrange. But I can see the problem, like I said, is, you know, literally the interpreter's about a foot and a half away from Mr. Parry's ear, so I'm sure that he's having trouble — I'm sure that he is highly distracted, but...

Well, we'll — you know, maybe Randy will make some phone calls and we'll see what we can come up with, whether it's a headphone or just move him over to that corner, whatever. But all right.

need to read too. That's going to take probably half an hour.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. FLECK: That's the other thing, yeah.

THE COURT: Yeah. She does read fast. It may not take half an hour. And when I do my pretrial instructions, it only takes me about 10 or 15 minutes.

MS. FLECK: All right. Well, why don't we do this. Why don't we — why don't we try to figure out — why don't we try to call an officer first or something like that. Maybe we can get the first responding officer on this afternoon.

THE COURT: It's up to you. I mean, it's at this stage, we're coming at 1:25. I'm just — let's say between my pretrial instructions and the reading Information takes 30 minutes. Then you guys have openings. I don't know how long those are going to be.

MS. FLECK: Yeah. I don't think we'll get to any witnesses, to be honest.

THE COURT: Well, I mean, we could be — we could be starting openings around — I mean, hypothetically around the neighborhood of 3:00 o'clock. I don't know how long openings are going to be. So we may be able to get one witness on, if it's a quick witness. I don't know.

THE MARSHAL: Judge, Jeff said that he has another channel. He'll make sure that that other headset is over here [inaudible].

THE COURT: Can we get two other channels for the 1 other Tagalog person? 2 THE MARSHAL: He's checking. 3 THE COURT: Okay. THE MARSHAL: I told him. He's checking to see if 5 they have three frequencies. 6 THE COURT: We may just -- the easiest thing is maybe 7 we just sit him back where they were, because that --8 everybody seemed okay with that because they're on the other 9 side of the courtroom, and we'll just pretend that they're in 10 there and leave those two seats vacant. I mean, that may be 1.1 what we have to do. 12 (Court recessed at 12:31 p.m. until 1:24 p.m.) 13 THE COURT: On the record. State vs. Guillermo 14 Renteria-Novoa, C268285. Mr. Renteria-Novoa is present in 15 custody with the assistance of the Spanish interpreter. 1.6 For the record, Madam Interpreter, what is your name? 17 THE INTERPRETER: Maria Peralta de Gomez. 18 THE COURT: Thank you. And we also have two 19 interpreters also, one Tagalog and one Spanish to assist 20 jurors numbered 68 and 69. Again, for the record, can you 21 state your names. 2.2 THE INTERPRETER: Josephina Dooley, [inaudible] 23 interpreter. 24 THE INTERPRETER: Rico Rodriguez, [inaudible] 25 KARR REPORTING, INC.

67

1 | in

...

1.2

interpreter.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you for being here.

And also --

THE INTERPRETER: Mario Maldonado, Spanish [inaudible].

THE COURT: I appreciate it. You know what. The juror in Seat No. 5 needs an interpreter. During the lunch — we originally had the interpreter sitting in the chair next to him, but during the lunch Mr. Hanks from your office came up and brought the headphones. What did he do with them?

THE INTERPRETER: It's here.

THE COURT: Yeah. Because apparently there was an issue with the interpreter sitting in the box. The people sitting right in front of her are — they kind of hear the talking and they can't hear anything. So are you going to sit over there? Are you going to give that a shot?

THE INTERPRETER: [Inaudible.]

THE COURT: You know what. Can you sit a little farther? Because actually that first row there is also jurors. And maybe can you sit on this side of the room, would that work? Okay. Thanks. Let's give that a shot and hopefully that will work. But yeah, just put the headphones on him when he gets here and we'll go from there.

All right. Are we ready to bring the jury in?

Anything that you guys want to put on the record?

1	MR. FELICIANO: No, Judge.
2	THE COURT: State?
3	MS. FLECK: Nothing from the State.
4	THE COURT: Let's bring them in, Randy.
5	(Prospective jurors enter at 1:37 p.m.)
6	THE MARSHAL: Mr. Aguilar, that's for you so you can
7	hear the Spanish interpreter, sir.
8	THE COURT: All right, Will counsel stipulate to the
9	presence of the prospective jury?
10	MS. FLECK: The State stipulates. Thank you.
11	MR. FELICIANO: Yes, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Before — ladies and gentlemen, before we
13	broke for lunch, let me just take a second here, there was
14	some indication that the presence of the interpreter sitting
15	back there was a distraction to people here. So what we did
16	is during lunch we got a set of headphones for Mr. Aguilar.
17	I just wanted to make sure, Mr. Aguilar, can you hear
18	everything that's being said?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: [No audible response.]
20	THE COURT: Okay. If anything happens to the
21	headphones, would you raise your hands and let me know?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 068: [No audible response.]
23	THE COURT: All right. So that should hopefully
24	remove the distraction.
25	All right. Mr. Feliciano, you may continue.
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 69

MR. FELICIANO: Thank you, Judge. 1 Ms. Cory. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes. Badge 058. 3 MR. FELICIANO: You had this issue with entrapment with your husband, correct? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes. 6 MR. FELICIANO: Police entrapment. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I can't prove it, but... 8 MR. FELICIANO: Was the case investigated? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Yes. Well, I'm not sure 10 what you mean by that. 11 MR. FELICIANO: Do you know what steps the police 12 took when they were -- when they had the case open, what they 13 14 did, if anything? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Nothing. They just 15 arrested him and the next two guys that were in the same spot 16 as he was three minutes apart. 17 MR. FELICIANO: So you were unsatisfied with that, 18 with that process? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Well, yes. 20 MR. FELICIANO: So I mean, is there anything you 21 think they should have done differently in that case? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: I don't know how that 23 I mean, there were three of them in a row every three 24 minutes apart, same location, and it was a sting is basically . 25

case.

,

MR. FELICIANO: And you've heard the charges in this

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Yes.

MR. FELICIANO: And having children, how does that make you feel?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: Very uncomfortable.

Yeah. They're not nice charges. I have a 10 years old and it's really hard for me to — let's say before I joined what I do right now, the circus, the Cirque du Soleil, I did the bachelor in psychology back in Canada. And I was studying in child, that's what I wanted to do. And for me it's really hard to believe that 11 years old can actually lie about something like this.

I have a 10 years old and she lies, don't get me wrong. She lies. I think all the kids lie, yes. And but they lie about little stuff like this. When it comes to abuse that's sexual or physical or anything like this, for me it's hard to believe that actually 11 years old could come up with a story like this.

MR. FELICIANO: Okay. But do you think that it's a possibility that that could happen?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 062: It is. Yeah. I think it can always be a possibility if the kids — I mean, you need to look at the background of the kids, if — what kind of background, yeah, [unintelligible] lie. But the nature of

830

l	
1	this morning.
2	THE COURT: Oh. Here in Las Vegas, or somewhere
3	else?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: Well, I don't know where
5	he actually was arrested at. He was in possession of stolen
6	property. They came into my house this morning at 12:00 a.m.
7	to search his room.
8	THE COURT: Do you know what he was arrested for
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: Nope.
10	THE COURT: what he was going to be charged with
11	or anything?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: Nope. I know he's in, I
13	believe, Clark County Detention Center.
14	THE COURT: Do you know who came in? Was it Metro or
15	Henderson or
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: It was a combination of
17	both.
18	THE COURT: Did they also conduct a search of your
19	house?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: Just his room.
21	THE COURT: Just his room?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: Mm-hmm.
23	THE COURT: Did they take anything?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: Oh, yeah. They found a
25	lot of stolen property.
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

THE COURT: Oh, okay. All right. Well, is that going to be an issue for you? I don't know if he's — are you involved in the case in any way? Does he have a court hearing coming up —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: No. Nope.

THE COURT: — that you need to attend, anything like that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: Nope, nope. He's on his own. We told him if you get in trouble again, you're on your own.

THE COURT: How does that — does that change anything about —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: The officers came to the house. They were well mannered. I had no problems with anything. They treated us with respect. I was allowed to use the bathroom, get a soda, have a cigarette, not a problem.

THE COURT: Okay. So --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: They were well mannered. They knocked — they knocked kind of heavily, but I can understand that. We live upstairs and we were dead asleep.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So does that change your willingness to serve as a juror in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: No. No whatsoever.

THE COURT: Any effect on your ability to be fair and impartial?

bear nothing on the case at all. It's what they prove.

MR. FELICIANO: Okay. Because I mean, as you understand, they have the burden of proof.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: Right.

MR. FELICIANO: And we can just sit there and actually not ask any questions and just sit there, and if they don't prove their case it's still a verdict of not guilty.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: Without a reasonable doubt.

MR. FELICIANO: Can you think of reasons why a person wouldn't testify?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: Well, if a person --- well ---

MR. FELICIANO: Do you think it'd be maybe nerve racking to testify?

prospective juror No. 022: Well, the thing that crosses my mind right off the bat, if a person does not want to testify, okay, he's either so nervous that he'll flub up his story, and then they find a loophole and then they tear apart his credibility. So it's better for him not to testify so he doesn't ruin his credibility. But that doesn't — not going to make me change my decision on what the defense attorney — or the DA has to prove their case.

MR. FELICIANO: So --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: So basically whatever

evidence that we have presented before us is where we make our 1 decision. And if someone decides to hold that evidence away 2 from us, well, we can't make a basis on that. 3 4 MR. FELICIANO: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: Only what we see or hear. 5 Thank you, sir. MR. FELICIANO: 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Badge 021. 7 MR. FELICIANO: Mr. Thaler? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: That's me. 9 MR. FELICIANO: You're the aircraft controller? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Air traffic controller. 11 MR. FELICIANO: Now, is there any reason you can 12 think of why people wouldn't testify at their trial? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: I can't give you an 14 answer to that right now. I listen to two sides of the story 15 and whatever comes up, that's how I'll make my decision. 16 MR. FELICIANO: So if Mr. Renteria-Novoa didn't 17 testify, would you hold that against him? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No. 19 MR. FELICIANO: You could listen to everything else 20 and make your decision based on that? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yes. 22 MR. FELICIANO: Is there anything else that we've 23 missed that you think we should know before we select a jury? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No. 25

1	MR. FELICIANO: Thank you, sir.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: 020.
3	MR. FELICIANO: Ms. Johnson?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: That's correct.
5	MR. FELICIANO: You taught — so you were a teacher
6	for several years?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Fourteen.
8	MR. FELICIANO: Fourteen years. And that was in San
9	Francisco, right?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Yes.
11	MR. FELICIANO: Since you dealt with how old were
12	the children that you taught?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Elementary.
14	MR. FELICIANO: Anything about that experience that
1.5	makes it difficult to serve on this jury?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No.
17	MR. FELICIANO: The subject matter, is there anything
18	about the subject matter?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No.
20	MR. FELICIANO: And you were on a civil
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Federal.
22	MR. FELICIANO: a federal civil jury?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Yes.
20	
24	MR. FELICIANO: It was about okay. So and how

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: At least ten years, eight to ten to — it's been quite a while.

MR. FELICIANO: All right. And the same — the same thing, were the attorneys going back and forth objecting during the trial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Yeah, it happens.

MR. FELICIANO: And would you hold that against us if that's what we're doing during the trial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Oh, no. No, no. I watch trials all the time, the sidebars, all of it. I mean, it's just a part of the process.

MR. FELICIANO: Okay. So you understand that we're doing our job and that's the way that trials go?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Exactly.

MR. FELICIANO: Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: 018, Glenda Page.

MR. FELICIANO: Now, you have six grandkids?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Mm-hmm.

MR. FELICIANO: What do these allegations — how do they make you feel considering you have so many grandkids?

prospective juror No. 018: Well, the charges are horrendous. But because I don't know the background here, I have no evidence in place. I would have to hear the evidence before I could make a judgment. I have five grand-boys and one granddaughter, she's five, and I would like not to think

circumstances.

25

minor, go work it out, you know. If it's something major, 1 then we have to look further. 2 MR. FELICIANO: And are there times when you can't 3 figure out what happened? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Sure. Sure. Because 5 they continue to tell little fibs that convolute the 6 situation. So you just have to -- basically, like I said, 7 then they have to work that out. That's something they have 8 9 to work out with one another. MR. FELICIANO: All right. Thank you, ma'am. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Mm-hmm. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: 028. 12 MR. FELICIANO: Zero, two, eight. Mr. Carrera? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: Correct. 14 MR. FELICIANO: So what do you think of the 15 presumption of innocence? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: I think every man has the 17 right to a fair and equal trial. But I mean, if he's found 18 guilty if the evidence is there, if there's conclusive 19 evidence, then every act has a consequence. Right. And we 20 live by our choices, so. 21 MR. FELICIANO: So what if there isn't the -- what if 22 there isn't sufficient evidence for [inaudible]? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: Then he's found innocent. 24 My background, just to clarify, I come from Mexico, born and 25

raised. And if I may as a disclaimer, just to refute and 1 clarify any previous racist allegations, I have the utmost 2 respect for America and women in general. 3 MR. FELICIANO: Thank you. 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: Setting that aside, my 5 country, it's -- our legal system, it's very contrasting. 6 You're presumed guilty until found innocent. So obviously our 7 laws out here are different and I — as an American citizen, I 8 embrace it and I think it's what it is, so. 9 MR. FELICIANO: Okay. Now, you're going to hear --10 well -- as far as the charges in this case, I mean, I guess we 11 all can agree they're emotional. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: Right. 13 MR. FELICIANO: How does that play into it as far as 14 the presumption of innocence? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: Can you elaborate? 16 do you mean? 17 MR. FELICIANO: Well, do you think if someone's 18 charged with this type of crime as opposed to say, you know, 19 breaking into a car they're more likely to be --20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: Right. 21 MR. FELICIANO: -- to be guilty as opposed to the guy 22 that broke into the car, or is it pretty much the same type of 23 deal? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: Well, again, it depends 25 KARR REPORTING, INC.

83

1	on the facts and it depends on the person's character
2	obviously, yeah.
3	MR. FELICIANO: All right. Is there anything else
4	you think we should know?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: No. Not that I'm
6	aware of.
7	MR. FELICIANO: Okay. Thank you, sir.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: 029.
9	MR. FELICIANO: So you're marrying a police officer
10	in three weeks, right?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Yes.
12	MR. FELICIANO: Congratulations.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Thank you.
14	MR. FELICIANO: And does your fiance talk about his
15	work a lot?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Sometimes.
17	MR. FELICIANO: And what unit does he work in?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Convention Center Area
19	Command. It's the Strip area.
20	MR. FELICIANO: Any types do you know if he's
21	worked on any types of cases like involving the charges that
22	we're dealing with here today?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Possibly. I don't know.
24	If he has, he I don't think I've heard them from him.
25	MR. FELICIANO: And you're also a teacher?
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Sometimes. Sometimes you had to. And but I think by nature kids really don't want to lie. I think they want to tell the truth. And we'd just sit down and talk about it and bring the Lord into it, and usually the truth comes out.

MR. FELICIANO: Do you think a child could ever lie about allegations like the allegations you've heard in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: I think kids can lie about anything.

MR. FELICIANO: All right. Thank you, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: 031, Dell.

MR. FELICIANO: You said you had some problem possibly being fair in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: My only issue is I am very -- I have very protective instinct for girls in general.

MR. FELICIANO: All right. Now, protective, but do you think that would affect your ability to --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 031: Oh, I've definitely had students who were female who lied. So I'm aware that just because — you know, just because I have that instinct doesn't mean that somebody wouldn't take advantage of it or, you know, or lie about what they — what had happened.

MR. FELICIANO: So could you look at all the other circumstances and come up with what you think is the truth?

MR. FELICIANO: Okay. Thank you. That's all we're asking. And I don't want to get into specifics, but that experience seems like it was very traumatic for you, and still to this day.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Sure.

MR. FELICIANO: Is that something that you think will affect your ability to be fair here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: No. Listening to all this and stuff, I realize that we need to listen to both sides and find the truth.

MR. FELICIANO: So if you found that the State did not prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt, how would you — how would you vote?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 032: Then you have to go with the rule of the court system, not guilty.

MR. FELICIANO: All right. Thank you, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: 035.

MR. FELICIANO: Ms. Moreno-Zepeda?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: Mm-hmm.

MR. FELICIANO: Now, you heard us talk about the presumption of innocence and how everyone's presumed innocent. How does that make you feel? What do you think about that principle?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: I mean, it is our justice system and that's how it's built, so that's what we have to

J

б

follow.

MR. FELICIANO: So as Mr. Renteria-Novoa sits there right now, is he guilty or not guilty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: Not guilty.

MR. FELICIANO: And why is that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: Because he hasn't been proven quilty yet.

MR. FELICIANO: So if the State, after they present all their witnesses and you feel that they haven't proven their case, what would be your vote for, guilt or not guilty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: I guess not guilty. It's just really hard to say because I haven't heard all the facts yet.

MR. FELICIANO: And considering the nature of those charges, does that factor into it in any way as far as your ability to be fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: It is a very heinous crime in my eyes. I don't see why anybody would lie about something like that, especially if it happened so long ago, for her to, you know, bring those feelings back and just talk about that, it's just really hard to know that she's lying about something like that. I just...

MR. FELICIANO: Okay. So do you think that some child would never lie in that circumstance, or they could possibly lie?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 035: I mean, there is that possibility. But I believe she's 19 years old now, so for her to just revisit that and bring that all to light and want to go through all of this is just hard to, you know, really tell that she's — wouldn't lie about that.

MR. FELICIANO: Okay. All right. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: 037.

MR. FELICIANO: You're currently a student?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: Yes.

MR. FELICIANO: Okay. And you don't deal with children, or do you deal with children at all?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: No.

MR. FELICIANO: And we talked a little bit earlier about police investigations, and if the government had a case say where they didn't have fingerprints or something like that, how would that make you feel?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: It happens.

MR. FELICIANO: What do you — you mean [inaudible]?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: There are times when all
you have is the word of somebody, you don't have any DNA or
fingerprint evidence. It just sometimes happens.

MR. FELICIANO: It just happens they have what they have to work with?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: You work with what you have.

MR. FELICIANO: So if it comes down to the word of two people, how do you judge their — who's credible and who's not credible?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: Body language, attitude, things like that.

MR. FELICIANO: What about an inconsistent story, say telling a story one day one way and then a different day a different way, would that bear into your decision if they're credible or not?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: If the story changes, it probably wasn't true to begin with, because the truth doesn't change.

MR. FELICIANO: Okay. So if you're telling the truth it should be easy to remember, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: Yeah.

MR. FELICIANO: All right. And lies seem to be harder to keep track of?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: Yeah. If you're going to lie, keep your story straight, because sometimes it's incredibly obvious when you're lying about it.

MR. FELICIANO: So like adding big details or deleting big details to a version of events, that might be something that you would look at and think somebody might be lying?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: Yeah.

1	MR. FELICIANO: Okay. All right. Thank you.
2 -	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: 064.
3.	MR. FELICIANO: Okay. You said is it Ms. Temple?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Yes.
5	MR. FELICIANO: You said your son was a victim of
6	attempt murder?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Yes.
8	MR. FELICIANO: Did they catch the guys?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: [Inaudible.]
10	MR. FELICIANO: Were you satisfied with the way the
11	police handled it?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: Yes.
13	MR. FELICIANO: Did they have to do any type of
14	forensic work or anything like that?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: No.
16	MR. FELICIANO: Okay. They just pretty much knew who
17	it was and caught him?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: [Inaudible.]
19	MR. FELICIANO: Did they have to do, if you know, any
20	type of investigation?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: [Inaudible.] Not to my
22	knowledge, because it was so many witnesses that it was
23	[inaudible].
24	THE COURT: You need to repeat that.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 064: It was an altercation, a
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 039: I don't. MR. FELICIANO: You don't. Okay. Now, if a person is a victim of a crime and it takes them a while to report it, do you think that makes any difference as far as whether PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 039: I have to hear the reasons as to why they didn't report it. There are a lot of MR. FELICIANO: Say well, like just say you, you're walking in today and your wallet, somebody picks your pocket; is that something that you would immediately report? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 039: [No audible response.] MR. FELICIANO: Would you go to the police immediately or would you wait a while? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 039: I will immediately MR. FELICIANO: Okay. So if you waited a month it would maybe be a little suspect that -- don't you think? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 039: In that particular case MR. FELICIANO: So it just depends, like you're saying, on the nature of the offense and all the circumstances

while? Like can you think of any?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 039: Explain that to me again.
MR. FELICIANO: Well, what are some reasons why
someone might not report a crime?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 039: Well, maybe this
particular case it might be that at the time she's young and
she didn't know the magnitude of the offense, or maybe some
other circumstance that she wouldn't be able to report it
right away.
MR. FELICIANO: Okay. Is there anything else you
think we should know about you?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 039: No.
MR. FELICIANO: Thank you.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Badge No. 059.
MR. FELICIANO: Is that Ms. Crockett?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Yes.
MR. FELICIANO: It looks like you've had some — your
cousin was a victim?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Yes.
MR. FELICIANO: And hearing these charges, how does
that make you feel?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Because it involves a
child it makes it a little mixed feelings about it. MR. FELICIANO: Is that something that you think

you'll be able to -- be able to handle?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Yes. I would be able to, long as I can hear both sides of the stories. And I heard earlier you saying about if they don't testify that, you know, I would have to definitely hear both sides of the story. I know it's their job to make the case, but I would also have to hear the person whose life is at stake. I would have to hear what they have to say.

MR. FELICIANO: So if the person doesn't testify, meaning here in this case that would be Mr. Renteria-Novoa, if he doesn't testify, would you find him automatically guilty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: I wouldn't find him automatically guilty, but it would be hard because I would — I definitely need to hear his side of the story also.

MR. FELICIANO: So just so we're sure, do you think you can give him a fair trial even if we decide that he doesn't testify?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: I definitely can try.

MR. FELICIANO: But it sounds like you're not sure that you can be fair, is that —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 059: Well, I just go on a person's characteristics, their eye contact, their demeanor. That's how I — I just not really judge a person, but that's how you get a feel about someone. And if these kind of charges were brought against me in general, I would want to

1	defend myself to, you know, so everybody can get the story.
2	MR. FELICIANO: All right. Thank you. Pass it back.
3	THE MARSHAL: Folks, please speak directly into the
4	microphone when it's passed to you. The court recorder needs
5	to be able to make sure she can get everything down. If you
6	don't speak directly into the microphone it [inaudible].
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: 042.
8	MR. FELICIANO: Zero, four, two?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes.
10	MR. FELICIANO: Is that Ms. Trotchie?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Trotchie.
12	MR. FELICIANO: Trotchie. Sorry about that.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: That's okay.
14	MR. FELICIANO: Now, you worked with parole and
15	probation for a while?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yeah. I did an
17	internship for about a year and a half.
18	MR. FELICIANO: And you said that you were out and
19	you were going you worked on the sex offender unit?
20 .	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes.
21	MR. FELICIANO: Now, the people that you were
22	supervising, or the people that were part of that unit, those
23	are people that have already been convicted of crimes, right?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes.
25	MR. FELICIANO: There were no people that were just

accused of crimes? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: No. 2 MR. FELICIANO: They were -- I guess they were people 3 that either had been to prison or people that were on 4 probation; is that the way it worked? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes. 6 MR. FELICIANO: And you said that you saw a lot of 7 things there that would -- where it would be a problem for you 8 to be fair? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes. Just like reading a 10 lot of the people's charts, I guess you can say, their file, 11 their profile. 12 MR. FELICIANO: Okay. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Because I mean, it's all 14 there, like what they were charged with. It's pretty graphic 15 in detail. 16 MR. FELICIANO: Now, here, I mean, all we have is an 17 18 allegation. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes. 19 MR. FELICIANO: We don't have a person that's been 20 convicted of any crime. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Mm-hmm. 22 MR. FELICIANO: Can you separate that? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: I don't think I can look 24 past the fact that he's getting charged -- he's been charged 25

1	with 37, right, if I'm not wrong?
2	MR. FELICIANO: Yes.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: There's 37 allegations
4	against him, right?
5	MR. FELICIANO: Correct.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: I don't honestly, I
7	don't think I can look past that, because nobody's just going
8	to have 37 charges hanging over their head. Like I just don't
9	understand how somebody cannot be proved not guilty.
10	Thirty-seven charges is a lot of charges to carry over
11	somebody's head.
12	MR. FELICIANO: So as he sits here now, have you
13	determined whether — have you made the determination that he
14	is guilty?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: I believe so.
16	MR. FELICIANO: I have a motion, Judge.
17	THE COURT: Well, Ms. Trotchie, explain to me why you
18	believe so. You've heard no evidence here, right?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Would you agree with that?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes.
22	THE COURT: And all that you know is that he sits
23	here accused by the State of some crime.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Correct.
25	THE COURT: So based on what do you believe that he's
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 103

quilty; just the fact that he's been accused? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Just with the fact that 2 those 37 accusations that are going against him, I just don't 3 look at that as normal. 4 THE COURT: I'm not sure what you mean by normal. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Like to have 37 charges 6 hanging over your head, how do you find somebody not guilty? 7 Those are a lot of charges. It's one thing if it was two or 8 three, then yeah, by all means I can do a fair trial. But 37 ---10 THE COURT: And why does the number matter? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Those are a lot of 1.2 charges. 13 THE COURT: I mean, hypothetically, you know, if the 14 allegation is false, why does it matter if the allegation is 15 made falsely once or a hundred times? Why does that matter? 16 Like if I say right now you -- you know, my car was 17 scratched in the parking lot at Target tonight, I think you 1.8 did it, and I repeat that statement a hundred times, does that 19 make it true? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: No. 21 THE COURT: Then why does the number of charges 22 matter to you? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Because it's just a 24 serious charge. Like I just feel very uncomfortable. I mean, 25

I'm a mother of two young girls and I live next to a sex offender, so it's just not making the situation any better.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, now I'm a little — you're talking about something completely different now. All right. Let's go back to the original question, which was why does the number of charges — I know — it sounds like there's a different issue as to whether or not, you know, your living near someone else who apparently is convicted of these crimes.

But the question is, I mean, why does it matter to you? You said that if there were only two or three counts you could be fair and impartial, if it's 37 you can't, and I'm still wondering why that is.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: It's just very disturbing to me. I just — to have 37, like I mean, yeah, it's a number, but obviously the accusations are very serious.

THE COURT: Well, I mean, granted the accusations are very serious, but your job as a juror would be to determine whether or not the accusations are true.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: True.

THE COURT: Do you understand that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes.

THE COURT: They could be true, they could be false. Some could be true, some could be false, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Yes.

THE COURT: So why, you know -- I guess the question

2.

is do you think that you can make that distinction, or is just the sheer number of charges going to interfere with your ability to actually sift through the charges one by one and determine whether or not they're actually true?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: As much as I would want to say that I can possibly give a fair trial, I just don't think I can, because I wouldn't be able to look past the number.

THE COURT: All right. Let me ask it this way. What would be the number of charges that would be acceptable to you so that you could actually be a fair and impartial juror? You said two or three is okay. Is it five, is it ten, is it 15?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 042: Just period, having those numbers is a lot.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Fleck, does the State wish to traverse?

MS. FLECK: No, thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Trotchie, let's do this. Go ahead and have a seat in the back of the courtroom.

We're going to have to pull the next person, who is Ms. Martinez; is that correct?

THE CLERK: Correct. Badge No. 069, Armida Martinez.

THE COURT: Do we have headphones for her?

THE CLERK: Yes. She already has them on.

THE COURT: Okay. Excellent. All right. Ms. -- all

1	right. Yeah, let's leave her there. Do you guys have an
2	objection if we leave her there so that she can be with the
3	interpreter?
4	MR. FELICIANO: No, Judge.
5	THE COURT: All right. Ms. Martinez, since this is
6	our first opportunity to speak with you, let me ask you a
7	couple of questions. Can you give us a brief biographical
8	sketch? What do you do for a living, are you married, if
9	you're married what does your husband do?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: I am divorced.
11	THE COURT: What do you do? Where do you work?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: I'm unemployed. I'm a
13	stay home person. I take care of my grandchildren.
14	THE COURT: How old are they?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Nine and ten.
16	THE COURT: Are they boys or girls?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Boys and girls. Boy and
18	girl.
19	THE COURT: All right. One boy, one girl. How
20	many those are your grandchildren. How many kids do you
21	have?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Five.
23	THE COURT: Five kids. Boys or girls, and how old
24	are they?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Four boys, one girl.
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 107

1	THE COURT: And how old are they?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: My youngest is 27, 30,
3	33, 34, 35.
	THE COURT: Have you ever served on a jury before?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: No.
5	THE COURT: Have you or anyone closely associated
6	
7	with you ever been the victim of a crime either sexual in
8	nature or otherwise?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: No.
10	THE COURT: Have you or anyone closely associated
11	with you ever been accused of a crime, whether or not there
12	was a conviction, either sexual in nature or otherwise?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: No.
14	THE COURT: Ms. Fleck, do you have any questions for
15	Ms. Martinez?
16	MS. FLECK: I do. Thank you, Your Honor.
17	Good afternoon, Ms. Martinez. Today, now that you
18	have an interpreter, do you feel more comfortable
19	participating in this process?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Yes.
21	MS. FLECK: Yes. Anything that was said yesterday or
22	today, any questions asked or any answers of other jurors that
23	made you think, you know, maybe this isn't the right jury for
24	me to sit on?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: No.

MS. FLECK: Having your mindset and your experiences 1 from both before you moved to the United States and now, do you think you have the mindset to be fair to both the State 3 and the defense? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Yes. 5 MS. FLECK: And promise that you'll follow the law 6 that the judge gives you, even if it's different from the law 7 that you grew up with? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Yes. 9 MS. FLECK: If we prove our case beyond a reasonable 10 doubt, do you have any problem finding the defendant guilty? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: I don't know. 12 MS. FLECK: You don't know? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: No. 14 MS. FLECK: Okay. Just to clarify, because I don't 15 know if it's a -- I don't know if it's an interpretation 16 issue. But do you have any problems sitting in judgment, 17 deliberating, going through the process of ultimately coming 18 to a decision about the guilt of the defendant? Any problem 19 with that? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: 21 MS. FLECK: Okay. All right. Thank you. 22 Pass for cause. 23 All right. Mr. Feliciano. THE COURT: 24 MR. FELICIANO: Ma'am, what if the State does not 25

1	
1	prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt, how would you
2	how would you vote; guilty or not guilty?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: It's going to be very
4	hard, because if they cannot prove their case [inaudible].
5	MR. FELICIANO: I'm sorry?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: It's going to make a
7	it's going to be difficult to make a decision, because if they
8	cannot decide their case, how can I do it?
9	MR. FELICIANO: Can you find Mr. Renteria-Novoa not
10	guilty if they don't prove their case?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: For me it's — could you
12	repeat the question, please.
13	MR. FELICIANO: If the State presents all their
14	evidence and you're not convinced that they've proven their
15	case beyond a reasonable doubt, can you find him not guilty?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Yes.
17	MR. FELICIANO: Now, it's you have several
18	children and several grandchildren. Do the nature of these
19	allegations, is it difficult for you to sit on a trial like
20	this knowing the nature of those allegations?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: No.
22	MR. FELICIANO: Thank you, ma'am.
23	MS. FLECK: Judge, can we approach?
24	THE COURT: Sure.
25	(Bench conference.)
	II .

MS. FLECK: Can you just flesh that out? Because I don't know what that means, if the State can't decide their case how am I supposed to be able to. What does that mean?

THE COURT: Yeah. I'm not sure she — I can't tell if she doesn't understand it with the translation [inaudible] I'm not quite clear on.

MS. FLECK: Well, that's what I don't understand either, so that's why. But does that mean that she can't sit in judgment? Is that saying like if we don't know what happened how is she supposed to decide?

THE COURT: Right. I'll ask her -

MS. FLECK: And then also with Crockett, the one thing that I wanted cleared up with her is I don't know if she was saying if the defendant doesn't testify then she can't find him guilty, or if the defendant doesn't testify then she will absolutely find him guilty. But I don't think that that's clear. She's basically saying she has to hear from him, and if she has to hear from him, then we have to get rid of her.

THE COURT: I'm not sure she said that. I mean, I — what she said kind of was not entirely clear because, you know, [inaudible].

MS. FLECK: I agree, but that's why --

THE COURT: I don't think she said that she needed to hear from him. I think she said that she really wanted to

hear from him and, you know, I don't remember the exact word that she used. I mean, I'll ask if you want, but my recollection is she said that it would be harder for her if she didn't hear from him, but I don't think she said that she couldn't make a decision if she didn't hear from him.

MS. FLECK: I thought she said she had to hear both sides.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. FLECK: And I don't know if she was saying I have to hear both sides --

THE COURT: Do you remember?

MS. FLECK: -- and if I don't --

THE COURT: I don't remember exactly [inaudible].

MS. FELICIANO: I wrote everything down that she said. I just don't have my notes [inaudible] that she would like to hear before [inaudible].

THE COURT: Yeah. My impression was she said that it was really, really helpful and she really need — I don't know if she used the word "had" or not. I honestly don't remember.

MS. FELICIANO: She was leaning toward finding him guilty if he didn't testify. It wasn't [inaudible].

THE COURT: Yeah. That was my impression too.

MS. FLECK: And I'm not — I couldn't tell which way she was saying. I didn't know if she was saying if I don't hear both sides of the story I can't make a decision as to his

guilt, or if she was saying if I don't hear from him I'll find him guilty. Either way, if she has to hear from him she has, you know — I mean —

MS. FELICIANO: It was cleared up though, extensively. I mean, it was cleared up.

THE COURT: Yeah. I thought it was --

MS. FLECK: Okay. Then let it go. That's fine.

THE COURT: Okay. But I can certainly ask her, because I'm a little confused by her response. Because I don't know if it's a translation error or what, but I'll ask Ms. Martinez.

MR. FELICIANO: Do you want to do that now?

THE COURT: I'll just do it [inaudible].

(End bench conference.)

THE COURT: Ms. Martinez, let me just ask you a couple questions to clarify, because I'm not sure I understood what you were saying. And I know that since there's an interpreter there, sometimes it's, you know, the words are maybe used not as precisely as could be.

In this case the State has the burden of proving that the defendant is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. Okay. That means if they don't prove to you that he actually committed the crime that they accused him of committing, okay, that means if, if they're unable to prove to you that he is guilty, that means that your job is you have to find him not

1	guilty. Do you understand what I just said?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Yes, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: And you agree with what I just said?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Yes.
5	THE COURT: And you could do that if you were asked
6	to serve as a juror in this case?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 069: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Thanks for the clarification. I
9	appreciate it.
10	Okay. Mr. Feliciano, you can resume.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: 043.
12	MR. FELICIANO: Zero, four, three. So you're a
13	Spanish instructor?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Yes.
15	MR. FELICIANO: Do you deal with children at all?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: No.
17	MR. FELICIANO: Anything about the charges make it
18	difficult for you to be fair in this case?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: To be fair, no, of course
20	not.
21	MR. FELICIANO: So if the State cannot prove their
22	case beyond a reasonable doubt, do you have any issues
23	bringing back a not guilty verdict?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: No. I don't have any
25	problem.

MR. FELICIANO: All right. Thank you, ma'am.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Can I just add one thing?

MR. FELICIANO: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: I'm pregnant and I'm

my eighth month. So I just wanted to clarify that,

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: 1'm pregnant and 1'm starting my eighth month. So I just wanted to clarify that, because I don't think yesterday that was noticed. I just wanted to add that.

MR. FELICIANO: Okay. Do you think you're going to be okay for this week?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: I just need to go to the restroom a lot obviously, and just stand up sometimes, because I get tired of sitting and that sort of thing. And I do need to drink a lot of water, so I cannot help going to the restroom a lot. I would just ask that to be considered, that's all.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask you to do this. If you need to use the restroom or if you feel nauseous or if you need any other — just please raise your hand and wave the marshal over. I'm happy to take a break and accommodate you. Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Yes.

THE COURT: I know it's kind of an inconvenience.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Okay.

THE COURT: All right. I appreciate it. Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: All right. No problem.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: 044, Nicol.

MR. FELICIANO: Mr. Nicol, you were a victim of a home invasion in California; is that --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Correct.

MR. FELICIANO: And I have here a note that you were not satisfied with the law enforcement, with what they did; is that correct? Is my note correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: It's a long story but, you know, just to shorten things up, it was a -- it was a gang hit. Their hit man screwed up and went - came to the wrong house and got me. I was bound, gagged and shot. And the police treated me as, you know, I was guilty. I had nothing to do with it. Once they discovered that, they just kind of let it go and there was not investigations after that. They said, No, I never found the guy, sorry.

MR. FELICIANO: How did they treat you like you were quilty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Well, you know, the way of questioning and the way they, you know, went through the house or apartment, you know, looking for evidence. You know, there was nothing there and --

MR. FELICIANO: Did they do forensic work in your -in your home?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044:

1	MR. FELICIANO: Prints and all that kind of stuff?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: Yes.
3	MR. FELICIANO: And they still never found anybody?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: They said they didn't,
5	no.
6	MR. FELICIANO: All right. Anything about these
7	charges makes it difficult for you to sit on this jury?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 044: No.
9	MR. FELICIANO: Thank you, sir.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: 055, Nicole Quince.
11	MR. FELICIANO: Are you currently a student?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Yes.
13	MR. FELICIANO: What are you studying?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Math.
15	MR. FELICIANO: No children or anything like that?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: No children.
17	MR. FELICIANO: Okay. Do you deal with children at
18	all?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: No.
20	MR. FELICIANO: Any thoughts on if Mr. Renteria Novoa
21	doesn't testify? What do you think about that?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: It wouldn't sway me one
23	way or another. It's his right.
24	MR. FELICIANO: So do you know of reasons why someone
25	might not testify?
	11

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Maybe they just feel that they're a bad speaker and they could say something and incriminate themselves. They may not trust the line of questioning, thinking they can be easily tricked. There could be different reasons.

MR. FELICIANO: Could be nervous too, is that — PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Yes.

MR. FELICIANO: Anything else you think we need to know?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: It doesn't seem that this trial will take very long, but I do start school again on June 4th.

MR. FELICIANO: Oh, we'll be done.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Right. I just hope so, because this process [unintelligible] a little long, so I just wanted you to make a note of it.

MR. FELICIANO: It speeds up right after.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Okay. I hope so.

MR. FELICIANO: Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: 046.

MR. FELICIANO: Mr. Ferguson, you said that it would be hard to be impartial in this case because you have two girls.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: Yes. I have two girls, and I'm also the youngest of four with three older sisters.

MR. FELICIANO: So as Mr. Renteria-Novoa sits here, is that something that we should be concerned about, about if you're on our jury?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: To me, yes, because I grew up in a very protective family and [inaudible] --

THE COURT RECORDER: I can't hear him.

MR. FELICIANO: I'm sorry. She can't hear you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: Oh. Yeah. I'm sorry.

MR. FELICIANO: Could you repeat your last answer.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: To me it would be difficult, yes. It would be — yes.

MR. FELICIANO: Difficult, but is it possible?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: For me to be fair -
MR. FELICIANO: Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: — in this case, I'd like to do my civil duty obviously, but in this case I would say no. I don't know if I could be fair, especially if he's not going to testify and I can't watch his face and his eyes and expressions. I'm not sure if I could be fair.

MR. FELICIANO: And I think you — did you have an issue with his language barriers, about —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: You know, it was that, and it was a combination of the whole day leading into the distraction from my left side of the interpretation going on all day, and it was 4:00 o'clock in the afternoon and it was

very distracting and it was very irritating.

MR. FELICIANO: So what are you — what I think we're hearing is that although you'd like to be fair in this case, you don't think you can due to the nature of the case and due to the nature — or due to your surrounding circumstances with your family; is that fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: I'd say so.

MR. FELICIANO: Judge, I have a motion.

THE COURT: Ms. Fleck, do you have any questions for Mr. Ferguson?

MS. FLECK: Yes. Thank you. Sorry.

Okay. Mr. Ferguson, we've been here for two full days, so I know that you've heard what a lot of other people have had to say. No question that this is a difficult case and these are difficult charges. We can all agree on that. Right. And you have sisters, mom, wife, whatever.

You don't think that you can separate and say, well, you know, while once someone would be found guilty of these charges, we can all agree that it's horrific, but that the defendant as he sits now is innocent and they're simply charges? You don't think you can separate the two?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: Well, although all the questions yesterday were repetitive, I didn't get to finish something that I would like to have said, was I was in a serious relationship with a girl that she opened up when we

1	were in our relationship about something that happened to her
2	with her step-father and, you know, I don't think I can be
3	fair.
4	MS. FLECK: Okay. So seeing her the victim of
5	something of that and how it kind of manifested itself in the
6	future, that would stick with you?
7.	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 046: It still has, yeah.
8	MS. FLECK: All right. Thank you.
9	I have no objection to being excused for cause.
10	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Ferguson, thank you very
11	much for your honesty. I didn't know the information about
12	your girlfriend. Please report to the jury services room on
13	the third floor.
14	And we'll need the next person is
15.	THE CLERK: That's going to be Badge No. 071, Cindi
16	Rivera.
17	THE COURT: All right. Ms. Rivera, since this is the
18	first time we're talking with you, can you tell us what you do
19	for a living?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: I'm a vocational rehab
21	location counselor.
22	THE COURT: For what kind of organization, for the
23	State or for who?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: It's a private company.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Are you married?
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 121

11	
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: No.
2	THE COURT: Any kids?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: No.
4	THE COURT: How long have you been in Vegas?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Thirteen years.
6	THE COURT: Ever served on a jury before?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Where and when?
. 9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Here in Clark County. I
10	don't know, maybe six, seven years ago.
11	THE COURT: Six, seven years ago. Was it a civil or
12	criminal case?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Criminal.
14	THE COURT: Was it in this building or the old
15	courthouse, or was it in — it was for Clark County, so was it
16	here, was it in Henderson, was it North Las Vegas?
17.	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: I believe it was here.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Was it a case prosecuted by the
19	Clark County District Attorney?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Did the case reach a verdict?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Yes.
23	THE COURT: And were you the foreperson?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: No.
25	THE COURT: Anything about that experience that would
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	cause you to hesitate about serving as a juror in another
2	criminal case?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: No.
4	THE COURT: Have you ever have you or anyone
5	closely associated with you ever been the victim of a crime,
6	whether sexual in nature or otherwise?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Who? Was that you or someone else?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Me.
10	THE COURT: When?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: When I was younger. When
12	I was a kid.
13	THE COURT: How old were you approximately?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Oh, eight.
15	THE COURT: Eight. Was the perpetrator someone
16	related to you or known to you, or a stranger?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Yes. My mother's
18	husband.
19	THE COURT: Was there ever a court case? Were the
20	police called?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: No.
22	THE COURT: Can you tell us did you tell your mom?
23	Did you tell anybody?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Many years later.
25	THE COURT: Many years later. Okay. Now, how in
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 123

1	view of that, how do you feel about serving as a juror in this
2	case knowing what the accusations are?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: It's uncomfortable. It's
4	brought about a lot of memories.
5	THE COURT: Okay. But is it so uncomfortable that
6	you couldn't be fair and impartial?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: No.
8	THE COURT: So you could, if asked to serve as a
9	juror in this case, follow the law and listen to the evidence
10	and make a decision based on what you find the evidence shows?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Yes.
12	THE COURT: All right. Now, other than your mother's
13	husband, have you or anyone closely associated with you ever
14	been accused of a crime, whether or not there was a
15	conviction, whether sexual in nature or otherwise?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: No.
17	THE COURT: I appreciate it.
18	Ms. Fleck, do you have questions for Ms. Rivera?
19	MS. FLECK: I do not. Thank you, Your Honor. The
20	State will pass Ms. Rivera for cause.
21	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Feliciano.
22	MR. FELICIANO: Ms. Rivera, you said you have a
23	brother in customs in Long Beach?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: A brother-in-law, yes.
25	MR. FELICIANO: Brother-in-law. I'm sorry.
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: And he was a border
2	patrol before then.
3	MR. FELICIANO: Okay. Did you talk to him about any
4	type of his work any of his work or anything like that?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: No. Very rarely.
6	MR. FELICIANO: And how would you feel if
7	Mr. Renteria-Novoa did not testify today, or in his trial?
8 .	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: How would I feel about
9	him not testifying?
10	MR. FELICIANO: Yes. Would that make you vote for
11	guilt or
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: No.
13	MR. FELICIANO: So do you think you can give him a
14	fair trial considering, all things considered?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: Yes.
16	MR. FELICIANO: Thank you.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 071: You're welcome.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: 048, Garwood.
19	MR. FELICIANO: Mr. Garwood, you said?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Yes.
21	MR. FELICIANO: You had unfortunately, you had a
22	sister that was a victim of a crime years ago?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: That's correct.
24	MR. FELICIANO: And that wasn't in this country,
25	right?
	KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: No. It was in Colombia.
2	MR. FELICIANO: Okay. And you actually testified in
3	court?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: It was actually a hearing
5	approximately a week after the occurrence.
6	MR. FELICIANO: And anything about that experience
7	makes it difficult for you to be here today?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: A little bit. But I
9.	think I can be fair.
10	MR. FELICIANO: Okay. And what are the issues when
11	you say a little bit?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: The gentleman in question
13	was found not guilty and was let go, and our testimony was
14	really just kind of thrown out, my testimony as well as my
15	sister's.
16	MR. FELICIANO: Okay. So we're here, totally
17	different, different case, of course. Do you think you can
18	look at this case with and separate those two and be fair?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: I believe I can, yes.
20	MR. FELICIANO: And were you on a criminal jury
21	before?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: Yes, I was.
23	MR. FELICIANO: Is there anything about that
24	experience that makes it difficult for you to be here today?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 048: No.

personal experiences.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22.

23

24

25

MR. FELICIANO: Do you think you could be fair though?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: I'm not sure. obviously you're thinking of your daughter and, you know, students that you adore and that, and obviously it kind of gets you going a little bit and probably would bring out some things. I mean, I understand it's our job to be fair and things of that nature here, so I kind of got those two things going on. I would try to be fair.

MR. FELICIANO: If you were sitting in that chair, and someone with your mindset was sitting on your jury, would you be scared?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: I don't know that I would be the ideal juror for him. I don't know that I'd want to trade places.

MR. FELICIANO: So you're not -- so you're not sure if you can be fair. Are you going to try?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: I -- like I said, obviously I think everyone's going to try. Like she said earlier, you don't want just people to try. I mean, I would do the best that I could. But I, you know, it's hard to regulate emotions and feelings and things like that. Those kind of take control sometimes.

MR. FELICIANO: What if the State doesn't prove their

KARR REPORTING, INC.

3.

б

case beyond a reasonable doubt?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: I believe we're instructed at the very end if the law's not, you know, says that if that's the case then we have to vote not guilty, and we would do what the judge instructs.

MR. FELICIANO: And do you have any problem with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 049: I — that's our instructions. That's what we have to follow.

MR. FELICIANO: Thank you, sir.

The Court's indulgence for a minute.

THE COURT: Sure.

(Pause in proceedings)

MR. FELICIANO: Pass the panel for cause.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, here's what we're going to do. We've been going for about an hour and 20 minutes now. Let's take a 10-minute break so that you guys can get some refreshments or go to the restroom or whatever you need to do.

During this break, all the admonitions that I gave you earlier apply, which are don't reach any conclusions about this case. Don't talk to anyone about this case. Don't investigate any facts relating to the case. Don't view any media, press or Internet reports about this case. Don't talk to anyone who may be involved in any way with this case.

U

Don't discuss the facts of this case with each other.

Remember to wear your badge at all times. And again, there's no snack or soda machine on this floor, but there is on one floor up, one floor down. Let's see you back here about five minutes after 3:00 o'clock.

(Prospective jurors recessed at 2:51 p.m.)

THE COURT: Randy.

All right. We're outside the presence of the jurors. Is there anything that either side wanted to put on the record? Are we ready to do the perempts then? Do we have the sheet ready? All right. Let's go off the record then for a couple seconds. I'm just going to — hang on.

Randy, go ahead and tell Ms. Trotchie, Badge No. 42, that she's free to go, or tell her to go back to the third floor. I had to put her in the back, but I'm just going to let her go.

THE MARSHAL: Yeah, because she's got an attitude -THE COURT: Well, I don't --

THE MARSHAL: -- when she was on her way out the door, because she had to sit in the back.

THE COURT: Yeah. All right. Well, tell her she's free to go. It's the other guy, Anderson I kind of want to keep.

MS. FLECK: Is the other -- oh, Anderson you're going to keep?

1	THE COURT: Well, just for a few more minutes, just
2	because I think he's just copying what that other woman said
3	to get off the jury.
4	Tell Number 42 she's free to go. Send her down to
5	the third floor, all right?
6	THE MARSHAL: Yes, sir.
7	THE CLERK: Are you going to do this off the record?
8	THE COURT: Yeah. Unless they want it to be on the
9	record.
10	(Court recessed at 2:53 p.m. until 3:06 p.m.)
11	(Outside the presence of the prospective jurors.)
12	THE COURT: Where are we right now?
13	MS. FLECK: We're still going back and forth on our
14	peremptories.
15	THE COURT: I know, but how far have you gotten?
16	MS. FLECK: We're on Defense 6.
17	THE COURT: All right.
18	(Pause in proceedings)
19	THE COURT: How much longer are you guys going to be?
20	MR. FELICIANO: We're almost done. We'll be done
21	just one more.
22	THE COURT: The jurors have been out 20, almost 25
23	minutes.
24	MS. FLECK: Well, the first witness I will need. The
25	victim we don't need anybody.
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 131

1	(Pause in proceedings)
2	MR. FELICIANO: We're all done.
3	MS. FLECK: Was it Schmidt or [inaudible].
4	(Pause in proceeding.)
5	THE COURT: All right. Are we ready to let's go
6	on the record, Sara.
7	THE COURT RECORDER: We are.
8	THE COURT: All right. Back on the record. State
9	vs. Guillermo Renteria-Novoa. We are outside the presence of
10	the jury.
11	The attorneys have just finished their peremptory
12	challenges, and it looks like the State is challenging Juror
13	No. 068, 022, 058, 030, 037, 064, 043, 044, and 055. And the
14	defense is challenging Jurors No. 053, 013, 027, 029, 031,
15	032, 059, 071, and 049, leaving us with 14 remaining jurors.
16	And it looks like Juror No. 13 will be Juror No. 042.
17	Sara wait. This is not wait, wait. Forty-two is
18	kicked. Forty-two shouldn't be on this list. Forty-two is
19	kicked for cause.
20	MS. FLECK: Which one was 42?
. 21	UNKNOWN SPEAKER: That was my error then. I
22	apologize. I did not replace her apparently.
23	THE COURT: She was replaced by Juror No. 71.
24	UNKNOWN SPEAKER: No. She was replaced with
25	Juror 69, Armida Martinez.

1	THE COURT: Right.
2	(Inaudible discussion.)
3	UNKNOWN SPEAKER: My error. I apologize, Judge.
4	Well, they don't have her marked at all anyways. They don't
5	have anything next to her name.
6	THE COURT: Well, I mean, the problem is if we put
7	Martinez in there, maybe someone would have challenged her.
8	UNKNOWN SPEAKER: True.
9	THE COURT: All right. Well, there was an error in
10	the list. The list contained the name of Juror No. 042,
11	Ms. Trotchie, however she was excused for cause. The name
12	that should have been in there is Armida Martinez, Juror No.
13	069. What I don't know is if that changes anything for either
14	party, either side wanted to or would have —
15	MS. FLECK: 069, we is she the Filipino?
16	THE COURT: Yeah.
17	MS. FLECK: We kicked her. Let me here. She
18	would have been sorry.
19	THE COURT: Oh, because yours [unintelligible]. Hang
20	on here.
21	MS. FLECK: Yeah. I did 26.
22	THE COURT: Yeah, except that you didn't. There's
23	nine other one, two, three, four
24	(Inaudible discussion.)
25	MS. FLECK: She was our sixth.

THE COURT: Let me see that. 1 I did her — it was in the wrong line. 2 MS. FLECK: Ms. Martinez is - I did it by the seating chart 3 unfortunately. THE COURT: So wait. Did you not intend to kick 5 Karen Valerio then? 6 I kicked here. See, look. MS. FLECK: No. 7 Ms. Valerio I have and Ms. Martinez, no. 8 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Martinez was in Seat 26. 9 THE COURT: Right. 10 MS. FLECK: Right. And that's what I have on my 11 seating chart, but I guess I missed the wrong line. 12 MR. FELICIANO: Can I come up? 13 THE COURT: Yeah. I'm going to need everybody to 14 come up here for a second. All right. This is what happened. 15 In this spot here we had the wrong name. Instead of 16 Ms. Martinez it was Ms. Trotchie, who was the P and P intern 17 that we excused for cause. 18 MR. FELICIANO: Okay. 19 THE COURT: So it should have been Martinez. And 20 what Ms. Fleck is saying is on her seating chart her Challenge 21 No. 6, Ms. Valerio actually should have been Martinez, and she 22 would have left Valerio there. The question is: Does that 23 change anything for you guys? 24

KARR REPORTING, INC.

25

(Defense attorneys confer.)

1.	MR. FELICIANO: Yeah. If she was there we would
2	have that would have been one we would have.
3	MS. FLECK: If who was there?
4	MR. FELICIANO: Well
5	MS. FLECK: If I hadn't kicked Ms. Valerio you would
6	have?
7	MR. FELICIANO: Yes.
8	THE COURT: All right. I mean, there's a couple ways
9	we can do this. We can start all over, or since Ms. Valerio
10	was the State's presumably sixth challenge, we can cross out
11	everybody sixth, seventh, eighth and ninth and start from
12	there. Do you want to do that?
13	MS. FLECK: That's fine.
14	MR. FELICIANO: Yeah.
15	THE COURT: All right. So cross out everybody sixth,
16	seventh, eighth and ninth.
17	UNKNOWN SPEAKER: [Inaudible.]
18	MS. FLECK: No. It's actually mine, because I
19	missed put it on the wrong line.
20	THE COURT: All right. I've just crudely Xed them
21	off, but let's start with so let's see. So we're on
22	Challenge No. 6 for who started this, you guys or you guys?
23	MR. FELICIANO: They started.
24	THE COURT: Okay. So your Challenge No. 6.
25	(Inaudible discussion.)
	KARR REPORTING, INC. 135

MS. FLECK: All right. We're ready.

MR. FELICIANO: All done.

MS. FLECK: Thank you. Sorry about that.

THE COURT: All right. So let me start again. Are we on the record, Sara?

THE COURT RECORDER: Yes.

THE COURT: So the State has challenged jurors numbered 68, 22, 58, 30, 37, 64, 69, 44, and 55, while the defense has challenged jurors numbered 53, 13, 27, 29, 32, 59, 43, 71, and 49, which leaves us with 14. And it looks like Jurors No. 13 would be Mr. Gebrechristos, Juror No. 39, so he would be our first alternate. Our second alternate would be Juror No. 14, who is Badge No. 48, Garry Garwood.

Does that match with what everybody else has? So the panel now consists of Badge Numbers 001, 002, 005, 016, 017, 018, 020, 021, 062, 028, 031, 035, 039, and 048, with 039 and 048 being two alternates. Does that match with what everybody else has?

MS. FELICIANO: It matches what the defense has.

THE COURT: All right. Let's talk about scheduling very quickly, because it's now 3:35. We're going to need — it's going to probably take us ten minutes to call everybody in here and then announce the ones who are leaving, and then swear the jury in. So we're probably looking at a quarter to 4:00.

How long were you guys planning on — and then we have to — I have pretrial instructions, which usually take about 10 minutes for me to read. We have 37 counts in the Information, so that will take probably 10, maybe 15 minutes for the clerk to read, which takes us close to 4:00. What do you guys want to do about openings? Do you want to just wait until tomorrow morning at that stage, or what?

MS. FLECK: If we do it --

THE COURT: Because if we don't really start until close to 4:00, and I don't know how long you guys were planning your openings to be, but that's --

MS. FLECK: We can just do it first thing if we instruct and do everything tonight, that's great. But Judge, first, I don't mean to be a pain in the — a pain in the you know what, but I think I've — can we just go through who — because I have that the jury should end at Mr. Gebrechristos, and then we have our alternates.

MS. FELICIANO: Can we do by badge number and not names? Sorry. Because I have their numbers.

MS. FLECK: Well, okay. So --

MS. FELICIANO: The jury should end with number what?

THE COURT: This is the jury that I have. Okay. Badge Numbers 001, 002, 005, 016, 017, 018, 020, 021, 062,

028, 031, 035, and then the two alternates being 039 and 048.

MS. FELICIANO: And that's what the defense has as

want.

1.8

MS. FLECK: I mean, the Information is so it's like it's all the same thing and it's just a — it's not like they need to remember by — for opening what was said in the Information. It's basically like unfortunately we can't just, you know, put it into the record otherwise.

THE COURT: Do you guys — on behalf of the defendant, do you guys have an opinion either way?

MR. FELICIANO: No preference.

THE COURT: Well, then we'll read it today and — I just wasn't sure if you guys, you know, in your openings were going to say like, oh, the judge just read you count whatever, you know, that kind of thing, and then you have the day break. If you don't mind, then we'll just read this today and we'll do the openings tomorrow then. All right.

MS. FLECK: Then we can really just start—

THE COURT: Yeah. Just start, do openings and then roll into the witnesses. Oh, you have one. Okay. And then tomorrow night's the night that you can't stay late, but Thursday night we can, right?

MS. FELICIANO: Right.

MR. FELICIANO: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. And I'm trying to — all right. So let's do that then. And then let's bring them back in, Randy, and we'll let everybody but 14 of them go and

we'll get started. 1 MR. FELICIANO: Before we do that, can -- we just 2 have some Batson issues we want to address. 3 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Will you stop him, please. 4 THE COURT: Hang on. 5 MS. FELICIANO: Are we on the record? 6 THE COURT: Yeah, we're on the record. 7 All right. Hang on a second. Apparently there was 8 something else they want to put on the record. 9 All right. What's going on? 10 MR. FELICIANO: Judge, it looks like Badge No. 68 11 appears to be Latino, Badge No. 69 is Filipino, Badge 55 is 12 Latino, and Badge 64 is black. 13 Wait. Read them again. Sixty-eight. THE COURT: 14 MR. FELICIANO: 68, 69, 55 and 64. 15 MS. FLECK: Sixty-eight is --16 THE COURT: 55 and 64. Okay. Sixty-four --17 MS. FLECK: Sixty-nine was the Filipino lady with the 18 19 interpreter. THE COURT: Right. All right. So what did you want 20 21 to do? MR. FELICIANO: Judge, we just want to make our 22 record that based on the excusal of these four witnesses, this 23 does appear to be a pattern of racial bias in this case, and 24 we would ask for race neutral reasons as to why these 25

140

prospective jurors were dismissed.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Fleck, your response, or Mr. Graham, whoever wants to respond.

MS. FLECK: Just hold on one second, please.

Okay. Well, I will start with the fact that we had a obviously diverse panel. I think with even just in the box from the beginning we had five African-American — once we settled it we had five African-Americans, a number of Hispanics, a number of Asians, and I think even in the minority white. So both sides really had no option but to kick people of — that were minority.

In terms of Number 68, I made numerous challenges for cause on Number 68, being Mr. Elias Aguilar. He is the person who even with the use of the interpreter who we brought in yesterday for his assistance and then had him today, he was not able to answer any questions in an appropriate way. He was non-responsive.

I don't think he was trying to be, but I really don't think that he understood or could grasp what was going on. He was confused. He was nervous. He was uncomfortable, which he said many times. He appeared confused and he appeared uncomfortable. So, you know, I tried to get him kicked as many times as I could for cause and I didn't feel comfortable with his uncomfortableness.

Next we have 69. She is Filipino. Again, her answer

to the — she said at one point in time, If the State can't decide their case, how can I. You went on to ask her, well, you know it's the State's burden, yes, and could you find him not quilty, yes.

But her body language to me and when she said that, if the State can't decide their case how can I, it told me that she was not comfortable with the process and that she was uncomfortable with the idea of having to determine guilt on a person. And I don't know if it was the language barrier or if that's how she felt, but I need a juror who is able to deliberate and is able to weigh the evidence and is able to then go make a determination.

So that's why we got rid of Ms. Martinez, who is — while she has a Hispanic last name, considering we had to get an interpreter for her in her native language, we all know she's from the Philippines.

Number 55, Quince, I'm not sure what indicator there is that Ms. Quince was a minority.

THE COURT: Yeah. I don't actually show her to be a minority. What group do you think she's part of?

MR. FELICIANO: Judge, we perceived her to be a Latina female, but we could be incorrect. But that's what it appeared to us.

MS. FLECK: I mean, just because she has dark hair didn't mean that she's Latina.

MR. FELICIANO: I didn't say that.

9.

1.2

22.

MS. FLECK: Well, no, I know, but I'm just saying how she appeared, there's nothing about her that appears — her name doesn't appear to be of, you know, Hispanic descent. She herself, I thought she spoke with more of almost a Southern accent than any other kind of accent.

THE COURT: Right. And there was some indication when I questioned her that she had spent some time in Virginia, which may explain the Southern accent.

MS. FLECK: Right. I --

THE COURT: I'm not — I mean, honestly, I'm not sure that she is a minority. I was — you know, as is my usual practice, in anticipation of any motions, I actually circle the people who — in the pool who appear to me to be minorities, and I didn't have her circled.

As I sit here right now, I can't tell you I honestly remember what her face looks like, but I didn't have her circled. But anyway, go ahead. I'm interrupting.

MS. FLECK: Well, and to be honest, the only reason that we kicked her is because she was further back in the line and wasn't going to be on the jury either way. Like we wouldn't have gotten her on even as an alternate. So by the time we got to her, it's not like I had a real issue with her. It was just we had already pretty much gotten our jury and so she fell off of the panel.

And then finally, with Ms. Temple, again, Ms. Temple was more of a strategic decision based upon who was already on the panel. Additionally, the things that made me concerned about her was that when you first asked if she knew anyone who had been sexually abused, if she had any experience with that, she said no. And then I didn't get an opportunity to flesh any of that out with her.

Then when Mr. Feliciano got up and talked with her, then all of the sudden she had numerous experiences with sexual assault victims in her past, and some of them, you know, with the five-year-old and then with the 16-year-old who was lying. I — having not had an opportunity to ask her, since she wasn't forthright the first time around, I didn't feel comfortable having her on my jury because I don't understand why she didn't tell the first time.

Maybe she didn't understand it. But either way, I didn't get a chance to feel her out on that very important issue. So that was why we chose Ms. Temple as one of our peremptories.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Feliciano, your response to that.

MR. FELICIANO: Judge, I would ask to Ms.—
Number 68 —

THE COURT: Hang on. Sixty-eight is who? Sixty-eight is, okay, Mr. Aguilar. Right. Okay.

Q

•

2.3

MR. FELICIANO: What we have here is a pretextual argument. I don't think there's anybody in the box that isn't probably nervous and uncomfortable. Based on that, that is not sufficient for a race neutral reason to kick him. He was using an interpreter and he was able to clarify that he would follow the instructions and that he did understand.

As to 69, the same issue, another pretextual reason, the basis simply because she was using the interpreter. She did say that she could understand after we fleshed it out a little bit, that she could be fair, she could find for each—she could find the defendant guilty and she could find him not guilty.

As to 55, I don't think there was any argument on 55.

THE COURT: Well, that's the one that we're not even sure is an actual minority.

MR. FELICIANO: Just because she's further back in the line, that doesn't mean that it doesn't apply. The entire panel has the same protection. So just because she was back there and she wouldn't have — wasn't going to make it on the jury by Mrs. Fleck's estimation, that's not a sufficient reason to kick her for — as a perempt.

MS. FLECK: Judge, if whenever — if I could just say one more thing.

THE COURT: All right. Let's go one at a time.

Mr. Feliciano.

7.

MR. FELICIANO: And as to 64, strategically again, this is a pretextual reason strategically. There were other people that had the same type of issues as far as abuse and they were not removed from the panel. This is —

Oh, and there were other people that after initially they didn't disclose any type of abuse or any type of issues, but later, after we took the panel over, we did have several people that did come forth with other issues. So again, that's pretextual.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Fleck, you wanted to respond.

MS. FLECK: Yes. Thank you. Actually, in terms —

I'll start with Ms. Temple. Actually that's not true.

Ms. Temple didn't disclose and then we got rid of her.

Mr. Winings, same thing. He didn't disclose about his — when you first asked if he knew anyone that had been arrested, and then he came back and said, Oh, my gosh, I forgot that my son spent 11 years in prison, we got rid of him.

He was our very first one because I'm sorry, you don't forget something like that. Just like in my opinion Ms. Temple doesn't forget that she has two experiences that she was then able to go into detail about regarding sexual abuse. So we did kick Mr. Winings because of the exact same reason.

So just then to go through, the defense has kicked,

as I see, three Hispanics -- two Hispanics and a black.

21.

THE COURT: Hang on. Here are the numbers that I had. In the original group, not including Ms. Quince, who as I indicated I did not have circled, there were 13 minorities.

Mr. Richard, Badge No. 2, is an African-American. Mr. Cordero, Badge No. 16, is from Guam, so he's of Asian descent.

Ms. Johnson, Badge No. 20, was African-American.

Mr. Carrera, Badge No. 28, was — indicated he was born and raised in Mexico. Ms. Moreno-Zepeda, Badge No. 35, appeared to be Hispanic. Mr. Gebrechristos, Number 39, indicated he was from Eritrea. Ms. Valerio, Badge No. 43, I forgot what country she said she was from, but she's a Spanish instructor at UNLV.

MS. FLECK: But I believe the defense kicked her.

THE COURT: Right. Now I'm just going through the numbers of people in the box.

MS. FLECK: Okay. Sorry. Sorry.

THE COURT: Mr. Correa, Badge No. 53, was Hispanic.

Ms. Crockett, Badge No. 59, was African-American. Ms. Temple,

Badge No. 64, was African-American. Mr. Aguilar, Badge No.

68, was Spanish, a Spanish-speaker with the assistance of the

interpreter. Ms. Martinez, Badge No. 69, was from the

Philippines and she had the assistance of a Tagalog

interpreter. And Badge No. 71, Ms. Rivera, was Hispanic.

If you add Ms. Quince, who the defense apparently

believes is Hispanic, even though I didn't have her circled, that would make 14 of the people in the box members of an ethnic minority group. Mathematically, with the number of people in the box and the number of challenges, if everybody exercised their perempts, somebody has to kick a minority. That's just the way it works in America.

1.8

22.

I also note it appears that the defense has challenged or excused Number 53, Mr. Correa, Number 59, Ms. Crockett, Number 43, Ms. Valerio, and Number 71, Ms. Rivera, all of whom are members of ethnic minority groups. The defense is asserting that the State has also excused a number of minorities, specifically Badge No. 68, Badge No. 64, Badge No. 69, and I believe that's it, correct.

What's interesting is of the 13, 14 if you include Ms. Quince, members of the original panel who were in the box, both parties ended up kicking seven of them between the two — between the defense — hang on. One —

MS. FLECK: Seven between — they kicked four and we kicked three.

THE COURT: Right. Exactly. So between the two, seven of the members of the various ethnic minority groups were excused between the two parties, with the State excusing four and the defense excusing three. And again, I'm still not sure. I wish I could see Ms. Quince right now. I didn't have her circled. I'm not entirely sure she is a member of a

minority group. But in any event --

1.8

All right. The issue is this. The State has raised — I mean, the defense has raised a Batson challenge, so the issue is whether or not the State is showing a pattern of excusing jurors of particular minority groups in violation of the defendant's constitutional rights. You know what.

Just so the record is complete, let's — let me also add that it looks like the —

The leave the panel the way it is, the minority—the jurors who would remain in the final group of 14 who are members of a minority group are Mr. Richard, Badge No. 2, who appears to be African-American, Mr. Cordero, Badge No. 16, who is from Guam, Ms. Johnson, Badge No. 20, who is

African-American, Mr. Carrera, Badge No. 28, who indicated he grew up — he was born and raised in Mexico, Ms.

Moreno-Zepeda, Badge No. 35, who is of Latina origin, and Mr. Gebrechristos, who is from Eritrea, who would be our first alternate.

Those are the minority jurors who would remain in the final group of 14 if the jury panel stays the way it is.

Which gives us one, two, three, four, five of the final 14; is that correct? One, two, three, four, six. Six of the final 14, as things stand, would be members of various ethnic minority groups.

All right. So the issue is whether or not the State,

through their challenges, has shown a pattern of discrimination. I'll start with Mr. Aguilar. I will note that Mr. Aguilar, as everybody knows by now, was here with the assistance of the Spanish interpreter and the State did make numerous challenges for cause.

Mr. Aguilar did give some answers which were a little concerning for me. He indicated that he would be so nervous — the answer that concerned me the most frankly, was that he doesn't remember anything. And so whether or not he has a bias, whether or not he can be fair and impartial, if the juror can't remember anything, especially in a case where there are 37 counts, that was a little bit of a concern to me.

And based on that, I'll say for the record, it was a little bit of a close call whether I would even have excused him for cause. I ended up not doing so because he indicated that perhaps with the assistance of a notepad and pen he—that he would be able to pay attention to everything.

But again, my own personal concern was in a case with 37 counts, a guy with a memory problem is — there's a question about whether or not he actually can do the job even if he says he can. And so on that one I find that the State's reason is not pretextual because, as I indicated, I was actually somewhat concerned about Mr. Aguilar.

And my concern, not that it needs to be said, but so the record is complete, obviously it has nothing to do with

his race or his national origin. It was, you know — and so I find that the State's position as to Mr. Aguilar is not pretextual and their reason for excusing him was race neutral.

Ms. Martinez — I'm sorry, Ms. Fleck. I forgot what your reason for her was.

MS. FLECK: Was she the --

THE COURT: She's the --

MS. FLECK: -- Filipino?

THE COURT: -- Tagalog interpreter person.

MS. FLECK: Well, her answer was — or one of her answers was if the State can't — look at exactly what she said. What was her number again, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Sixty-nine.

MS. FLECK: If the State can't decide their case, how can I. And --

THE COURT: Right. I do remember her saying that.

MS. FLECK: And in fact, I asked that we flesh it out, and then your questions were: Do you realize it's the State's burden, yes; do you — could you find the defendant not guilty if they don't reach their burden, yes. But to me, her body language in that answer, I got the sense that she felt like this was too much of a responsibility and if we don't have —

I don't know how it works in her country. Maybe she thinks that if there's enough evidence you don't go to a

trial. I don't know. But that answer, to me, if the State can't — if the State basically doesn't know, how is she supposed to know, told me that she's either confused by the system, confused by the way the entire criminal justice system works, what her duty would be, and then if she would feel comfortable deliberating, and then if she will be a person who would ultimately hang the jury.

THE COURT: Right. And I — for the record, I didn't say this at the time. But I was a little bit concerned by that as well, because her answer — and again, I will grant that who knows if there's an interpretation problem.

MS. FLECK: Right.

THE COURT: It always happens when you interpret twice. We're interpreting what I say and she interprets back, so. And unfortunately, we'll never know. But in any event, I was a little bit concerned because her statement, which I did attempt to clear up myself, before I cleared it up she had said if the State doesn't know how am I supposed to know, which sort of suggests the State is supposed to make the decision for her.

I'm not sure if that's what she meant. I'm not sure if that was an interpretation question. I did notice that.

And that's one of the reasons why after our conversation at the bench I decided to clear it up, because it sort of suggests that she — my concern when someone says that is they

think that they're just going to go along with what the State says because it's the State's decision in some way.

Again, I don't know if that's a cultural thing. I don't know if that's how things work in the Philippines. But when someone says, well, if the State doesn't know how am I supposed to know, that's — regardless of her race, it's kind of a dangerous statement for me because it sort of suggests, well, if the State says it's true, then it must be true.

But anyway, I just note that for the record as one of my concerns with Ms. Martinez. So I can understand why she was challenged, because — because that answer caused me some concern. So I find that the State's reasons for excusing Ms. Martinez are race neutral.

Who else? I'm sorry. There's too many numbers floating around here.

MS. FELICIANO: Fifty-five.

MR. FELICIANO: Fifty-five.

THE COURT: Oh. Fifty-five is Ms. Quince. I'm not even sure — I mean, I can't say she's not, but I didn't have her circled. I'm not even sure she is a minority.

MS. FELICIANO: For the record then, the only thing that we'd ask is that if you're going to say that you're not sure that she is a minority, because for the record, the prospective panel is not here in the room right now. They are out in the hallway. We have to ask that either, you know,

she's brought in and we have some sort of a hearing on it.

But we have to have that clarified in the record. We can't just say with them sitting out in the hallway that everybody's not sure, for us, to cover us on the record.

MS. FLECK: Okay. But here's the thing. How on earth am I supposed to know that? I mean, no one can tell, not by her name or by the way she looks.

THE COURT: Right. I mean, I guess that's your point, Ms. Fleck, is that if we have to have a hearing to determine whether she is a minority, that means that Ms. Fleck knew that she's a minority, I guess, is her response.

MS. FELICIANO: And what we're saying is that our recollection from viewing her is that she was. She appeared to us to be a minority. That's why we had that down as a Batson challenge. That's what the issue is. We're just saying that it appeared to us that she was a minority. So it's not that that —

THE COURT: Well, I mean, here's my legal question.

I mean, if Ms. Fleck is saying on the record as an officer of the court that at the time she made the challenge she didn't know that she was a minority, I mean, doesn't that play some part in whether her reason for kicking Ms. Quince is race neutral or not?

I mean, unless you're saying that Ms. Fleck is either blind or lying to the Court. I mean, that must play some role

in the analysis, right or wrong?

1.5

MS. FELICIANO: She submitted her reasons for striking her. We said that they were merely pretextual and we made the record. If the Court wants to find that her representation now as an officer of the court is a race neutral reason, then that's the Court's decision. We made our record.

MS. FLECK: Well, actually, they have to — I mean, under Batson the defense has the burden first to show that, to make a prima facie showing that it's even a, you know —

THE COURT: I guess, here's my question. All right. As we all sit here right now, I honestly don't know if she's a member of a minority group or not. But to the extent that Ms. Fleck is saying that she also does not even know if she's a member of a minority group, then obviously any reason she gives for excusing Ms. Quince is going to be race neutral if she's not even aware of what her race is, is what I'm saying.

Is that — is that — so I guess independently of whether or not she is actually, you know, a member of a minority group, if Ms. Fleck is honestly saying for the record she didn't know, I mean, isn't that a factor to take into consideration whether or not her reasons are race neutral, is what I'm asking. Do you agree or disagree with my analysis?

And what I'm — I guess where I'm going with this is do we, you know, unless you're saying that there's a question

about Ms. Fleck's judgment or honesty, you know, we can bring her in here and ask if she is a member of a minority group. But to the extent that Ms. Fleck didn't know that, does it matter whether she is or is not, is my question.

I mean, do you have a response to that? I'm just, you know, throwing that out there as a thought and as I analyze it in my head.

MR. FELICIANO: Well, I mean, I don't know if everything we go by is by Ms. Fleck's perception. I guess that's why we have the purpose of having them come in and ask them, because we — Ms. Fleck isn't the one that makes every single decision. So she could be — and she could be incorrect in her assessment of this particular person. I guess that's maybe why they have people come in and ask them.

THE COURT: All right. Randy, let's bring Juror No. 55, Ms. Quince, in for a second. All right.

(Prospective Juror No. 55 enters the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Hi, Ms. Quince. Can you step forward so you're kind of near a microphone, and the microphones are on the table here. All right. First of all, I don't want you to be embarrassed. We're not singling you out for anything. You didn't do anything wrong. We just had a couple of follow-up questions.

Mr. Feliciano and Ms. Fleck, do you guys want to ask her some questions? Let's start with — I don't care who goes

THE COURT: All right. I appreciate it, Ms. Quince. Please don't be embarrassed. We're just trying to, you know, kind of work through some stuff and people had some questions. All right. I appreciate it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Also, my last name is spelled wrong. I don't know if you guys wanted to correct that.

THE COURT: How is it spelled?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: It's not a C. It's a T.

THE COURT: It's Q-u-i-n-t-e?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Mm-hmm.

THE COURT: Oh, Quint [phonetic]. Is it Quint or Quintey [phonetic]?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: Quintey.

THE COURT: All right. We've been saying it wrong the whole time. I apologize.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 055: No, it's fine. It's spelled wrong.

THE COURT: Okay. Please join your fellow jurors in the hallway, and I apologize. Don't read — don't discuss what we discussed in here or don't — they're going to be curious why you came in here. Please don't discuss it until after the case is over and you've been excused. All right. Thank you very much.

(Prospective Juror No. 55 exits the courtroom.)

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Quinte is now outside of the courtroom, so we're outside the presence of the jury.

Well, it appears, based on Ms. Quinte's response, that she's not actually a member of any minority group, and so the Batson challenge, I find that the defense hasn't even made a prima facie showing that there is a Batson challenge to be made here.

All right. Who's the next one? The numbers are -- MS. FELICIANO: Sixty-four.

THE COURT: Sixty-four is Ms. Temple. All right.

Ms. Fleck, remind me again, what was your reason for striking

Ms. Temple?

MS. FLECK: Well, I mean, to be honest, it's a little bit what you said, that we get down to the point where the majority of the people that I have to choose from are of some sort of ethnic minority. With Ms. Temple, the thing that I didn't like is that when I — when you asked if she knew anyone who was a victim of sexual abuse and if she had any experience with that, she said no.

Then I got up and I did my voir dire, and it did not come up because she did not disclose that. Then, when the defense is talking to her, she all the sudden has a lot of experience with victims of sexual abuse, both a five-year-old and a 16-year-old who went on to lie about it. I was not able to flesh out the circumstances surrounding the 16-year-old who

lied and what her opinion on that was and, you know, which side of the fence she laid on that — on that issue.

So I didn't have an opportunity to even speak to her about the crux of our case, because she wasn't forthcoming about it initially.

THE COURT: You know what. One other way to look at the numbers, I just — just so the record is complete, the State made nine peremptory challenges. Of the nine challenges they made, it looks like three were to members of ethnic minorities and six were to Caucasian jurors, for want of a better way to describe it.

It looks like the State challenged — the minorities that the State challenged were Mr. Aguilar, Number 68, Ms. Temple, Number 64, and Ms. Martinez, Number 69.

MS. FLECK: And I would note that out of the three that we excused, only one is of the same ethnic background as the defendant, where the defense actually kicked, I believe, three that are of the same ethnic background as their own client.

THE COURT: Then Ms. Temple, looks like, was the State's eighth peremptory challenge, with the ninth being Ms. Quinte.

All right. Mr. Feliciano, remind me again of your response, why you think that the reason for challenging Ms. Temple was pretextual. And I apologize for making you

guys repeat it. There's just, you know, between working through all the numbers and everything it's hard to keep track.

MR. FELICIANO: Judge, first of all, the State didn't voir dire her on that issue. They didn't bring it up. And throughout this whole jury selection process we've had people that have changed. They've heard the general voir dire from Your Honor, and after speaking to us have disclosed further, further things that have happened. It's not uncommon.

It's been happening since we started that people have added new — new things in voir dire. Based on that —

THE COURT: Well, let me ask you this along those lines. One of the ways to look at whether or not the State's reason is pretextual is, is there another juror who is white or Caucasian who gave the same response that the State left on the panel? Can you point to one?

Because if they kicked everybody who said that, whether they're white or not, that sort of undercuts the argument that their argument is pretextual.

MR. FELICIANO: Judge, I can't do that without looking at a transcript of the voir dire.

THE COURT: Well, I mean, that would -- I mean, there's --

MR. FELICIANO: And my notes are limited, so. THE COURT: Right. I mean, that's kind of my

2.3

handicap too as I sit here and listen to your arguments. I understand the argument you're making, which other jurors said the same thing. But the question is, were they kicked?

And I just honestly at this stage, I remember hearing other jurors give some responses, but if the State kicked all of them, then that hurts your argument. But as I sit here right now, I just — I can't remember. And if you can, you know, and you're saying you can't remember and I don't —

MS. FLECK: Is it what she said or what she didn't say? It's what she didn't say.

THE COURT: Well, what she didn't say and then subsequently filled in apparently is the way I'm characterizing your response. I think other jurors did the same thing, but if the State kicked them all, then that, you know, suggests that the response is not pretextual. But unfortunately, unless you have the information, we're kind of lacking information.

MS. FLECK: I did kick Mr. Winings, because Mr. Winings said that he didn't have anyone in the criminal justice system and/or he didn't know anyone or was close to anyone that was accused. And then all of the sudden his illegitimate son was a sexual — sex offender.

THE COURT: Who spent 11 years in prison.

MS. FLECK: No. Then his other son spent 11 years in prison for like armed robbery, but he forgot. So I kicked him

ll a

7.

and he was our first kick.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I mean, given that — unless you have something to add, Mr. Feliciano. At least the State has given a reason which they've also applied to a white juror.

And so since they have taken a criteria, even if the criteria may not be something that you agree with, if they apply the same criteria to other jurors who are the different racial groups, which in this case it at least appears that they have with respect to Mr. Winings, Juror No. 22, then based on that I find that the State's reason is race neutral and not pretextual.

Does that cover everybody or not?

MS. FLECK: That's it.

MR. FELICIANO: Yep, that's it.

THE COURT: Thanks. All right. Well, you know what. Let me keep this. Let's bring them all in then and we'll send, you know, I guess we'll try to do the pretrial instructions and read them the Information and just send them home then. All right.

(Prospective jurors enter at 4:09 p.m.)

THE COURT: All right. Will counsel stipulate to the presence of the panel?

MS. FLECK: The State does, Your Honor.

MR. FELICIANO: Yes, Your Honor.

c

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, obviously that was considerably longer than a 10-minute break. I apologize for that. On the good side, we have used that time to figure out who is and who is not going to be on this jury. Most of you are actually free to leave right now. Let me read some badge numbers.

The following jurors are free to go. Please report to the third floor jury services room, turn in your badges, and they'll give you check-out instructions. Jurors with Badge Numbers 053, Mr. Correa, you're free to go; Badge No. 068, Mr. Aguilar; Badge No. 013, Ms. Barrow; Badge No. 022, Mr. Winings or Winnings; Badge No. 058, Ms. Cary, is it Cary or Cory?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 058: Cory.

THE COURT: Cory. I'm sorry. Ms. Cory; Badge

No. 027, Mr. Parry; Badge No. 029, Ms. McClure; Badge No. 030,

Mr. Meckley; Badge No. 032, Mr. Bean; Badge No. 037,

Ms. Leavitt; Badge No. 064, Ms. Temple; Badge No. 059,

Ms. Crockett; Badge No. 069, Ms. Martinez; Badge No. 043,

Ms. Valerio; Badge No. 044, Mr. Nicol; Badge No. 055,

Ms. Quinte; Badge No. 071, Ms. Rivera; Badge No. 049,

Mr. Iverson.

And then let's move some of the people up and then I'll give some more names. I just want to get the — kind of sort out the crowd a little bit.

THE COURT: All right. Yeah, everyone else who was seated behind that, the rail, is also free to go whether I called your badge number or not.

(Prospective jurors excused at 4:14 p.m.)

THE COURT: All right. Let me just make sure that we have the right jurors still here. So we should have, starting from the upper left-hand corner, Mr. Brahmer, Badge No. 1; Mr. Richard, Badge No. 2; Mr. Schmidt, Badge No. 5; Mr. Cordero, Badge No. 16; Mr. Helbert, Badge No. 17; Ms. Page, Badge No. 18; Ms. Johnson, Badge No. 20, correct.

And in the front here is: Mr. Thaler, Badge No. 21; Ms. Stiperski, Badge No. 62; Mr. Carrera, Badge No. 28; Mr. Dell, Badge No. 31; Ms. Moreno-Zepeda, Badge No. 35; Mr. Gebrechristos, Badge No. 39; and Mr. Garwood, Badge No. 48, correct.

All right. Ladies and gentlemen, you are now the jury in this case. The clerk will now swear the members of the prospective panel in.

(Jury seated and sworn at 4:15 p.m.)

THE COURT: Let's wait for a minute. Randy's going to hand you some blue badges which will identify yourself as actual jurors in the case, and notepads as well.

THE MARSHAL: The white badges you can get rid of at this time. Go ahead and make sure you're wearing the blue badges at all time in the building.

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, again, thank you for your service. You are now going to be the jury in this case. Let me just tell you what the schedule is going to be. We will probably quit before 5:00 o'clock. We are going to — I'm going to read you some pretrial instructions. The clerk will read the Information, which is the charging document in this case. We will then adjourn for the day.

We'll start again at 10:00 o'clock tomorrow morning and go as far as we can toward 5:00 o'clock, and we'll resume again on Thursday. I'll have to tell you what the exact time is. It kind of depends on how far we get on Thursday. But as I indicated, the parties are very confident that we should have this case done no later than Friday, and hopefully before Friday, but obviously it depends on how things go.

Ladies and gentlemen, now that you are jurors in this case, you are admonished that no juror may declare to a fellow juror any fact relating to this case of his or her own knowledge. If any juror discovers during the trial or after you have retired to deliberate that you or any other juror has personal knowledge of any fact or controversy in this case, you shall disclose that situation to me in the absence of the other jurors.

What that means is if you learn during the course of the trial that you are acquainted with the facts of this case or the witnesses that testify in this case, and you have not

previously told us of that relationship, you must declare that fact to me. The way that you communicate with me is through our marshal, Randy.

What you do is you take the notepads that you've been given, write a note, put your juror number on it. Not the badge number that we've been referring to you throughout, but the new number that is on the blue badge that you have. Give that note to him. He'll give that note to me and we'll figure out what to do from there.

As I mentioned before, during the course of the trial, the attorneys for both sides, court personnel other than the marshal, myself, and anyone associated with this case are not permitted to talk to you. By law, if we see you in Vons tonight for example, or on the street, we have to walk away, not even say hi or not say how things are going. We are not permitted to speak with you in any way.

If during this trial anyone that you believe to be associated with this case, whether a witness or a party or someone who works for one of the attorneys in this case, does attempt to speak with you, please notify Randy at your earliest possible opportunity, and we will address that.

You are also admonished that you are not to visit the scene of any of the acts or occurrences mentioned during this trial unless specifically directed to do so by the Court. The reason we give you this instruction is not because we don't

3. 4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 want you to know what happened, but because this is Las Vegas. There's constant construction.

It's entirely possible that since the charged incidents in this case occurred things may be different. street may have been different. A hundred houses may have been constructed in the neighborhood.

And so if you tried to visit the crime scene or any other scenes mentioned in this case by yourself, you may come away thoroughly confused or misled as to what actually happened, especially in a case like this where a considerable amount of time has passed since the allegations occurred.

This is a criminal case commenced by the State of Sometimes I may refer to it as the State vs. Nevada. Guillermo Renteria-Novoa. This case is based upon an Information which, as I mentioned earlier, is a charging document. The Information in this case is actually titled The Second Amended Information. You don't have to know why it's called that. Just know that that is the document that I'm referring to whenever I mention that name.

The clerk will now read that Information, second amended Information to you and state the plea of the defendant.

(Information read - not transcribed.)

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, I know that the clerk just read you a very extensive list of charges.

At the end of this case you will be given a packet of papers which will contain the instructions on the law, and everything that was just read to you will be in those papers, so that you can read them at your own leisure when the case is over.

This case is based upon the second amended

Information which has just been read to you by the clerk. You should distinctly understand that the Information is simply a charge and it is not in any sense evidence of the allegations that it contains.

The defendant has pled not guilty to the charges.

The State therefore has the burden of proving each of the essential elements of the Information beyond a reasonable doubt. The purpose of this trial is to determine whether the State will meet that burden.

It is your primary responsibility as jurors to find and determine the facts. Under our system of criminal procedure, you are the sole judge of the facts. You are to determine the facts from the testimony you hear and the other evidence, including exhibits introduced in court. It is up to you to determine the inferences which you feel may be properly drawn from the evidence.

The parties may sometimes present objections to some of the testimony or other evidence. At times I may sustain those objections or direct that you disregard certain testimony or exhibits. You must not consider any evidence to

which an objection has been sustained or which I have instructed you to disregard.

4.

It is the duty of a lawyer to object to evidence which he believes may not properly be offered, and you should not be prejudiced in any way against the lawyer who makes objections on behalf of the party which he represents.

Let me just take a quick break. I know I'm kind of a fast reader. If I'm going too fast or if you need anything repeated or don't understand it, please raise your hand and let me know. All right.

I may also find it necessary to admonish the lawyers, and if I do so, you should not show prejudice towards the lawyer or his client because I found it necessary to admonish him.

Throughout the trial, if you cannot hear a question asked by the attorney or the answer given by any witness, please raise your hand as an indication. If I don't see your hand up, please say, excuse me, I didn't hear that, and we will ask that the question be repeated or the answer be repeated.

If you wish, you may take notes that help you remember what any witness has said. If you do take notes, please keep those notes to yourself until you and your fellow jurors go to the jury room to decide the case.

Do not let note-taking distract you so that while

you're writing down the answer to one question, three or four more questions are asked and answered and go right past you and you have no recollection of those answers. You should rely upon your own memory of what was said and not be overly influenced by notes of other jurors when you go back to deliberate.

This case will proceed in the following order. First the State will make an opening statement outlining its case. The opening statement is a road map. The opening statement is not itself evidence. Rather the State will be telling you what they expect the evidence will be. It is up to you to decide what the evidence actually demonstrates after you have heard the testimony and seen the evidence.

After the State opens, the defendant has a right to make an opening statement if he or she wishes to do so.

Neither party is required to make an opening statement. After the opening statements, the State will first introduce evidence. At the conclusion of the State's evidence the defendant has the right to introduce evidence. However, please remember the defendant is not obligated to present any evidence or to prove his innocence.

The law never imposes upon the defendant in a criminal case the burden of calling any witnesses or introducing any evidence. The defendant and his attorneys can sit through the entire trial and do nothing, not ask a single

5 -

question, not call a single witness, do nothing at all, because the defendant has no burden of proof in a criminal trial.

The State has to prove two things to you. First the State has to prove to you beyond a reasonable doubt that a crime occurred, and secondly the State has to prove to you also beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is the person who did it. At the close of the defendant's case, if any, the State may introduce rebuttal evidence.

At the conclusion of all the evidence I will instruct you on the law. You must not be concerned with the wisdom of any rule of law stated in the instructions that I give to you regardless of any opinion you may have as to what the law ought to be. It would be a violation of your oath to base a verdict upon any other view of the law than that given to you by the Court.

As I mentioned before, I don't write the law. The law is written by the legislature and the governor, and it is interpreted by the Nevada Supreme Court. I simply read the law as it is written by these other entities.

each party has the opportunity to argue orally in support of his or her case. This is called closing argument or summation. What is said in closing is not evidence. The arguments are designed to summarize and interpret the evidence

for you and show you how the evidence and the law relate one to another.

1.3

Since the State has the burden of proving the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, the State has the right to both open and close the arguments, which means at the end of the trial the State gets to argue to you twice and the defense gets to argue to you once.

After the attorneys have presented their argument, you will retire to select a foreperson, to deliberate and arrive at your verdict. Faithful performance by you of your duties is vital to the administration of justice. It is your duty to determine the facts and determine them from the evidence and the reasonable inferences arising from the evidence, and in so doing you must not indulge in guesswork or speculation.

The evidence which you are to consider consists of the testimony of the witnesses and the exhibits admitted in evidence. You must not consider anything which you may have seen or heard when the court is not in session, even if what you see or hear is said or done by one of the parties or by one of the witnesses.

While you're in the courthouse, please always wear the badge the marshal just gave to you, which identifies yourself as a juror.

In every case there are two types of evidence; direct

evidence and circumstantial evidence. Direct evidence is testimony by a witness about what that person saw or heard or did. Circumstantial evidence is testimony or exhibits which are proof of a particular fact from which, if that fact is proven, you can infer the existence of a second fact.

A simple example of this is as follows. If a witness comes in here and says on May 4, 2012, I was standing outside my house and saw it rain, that is direct evidence that it actually rained on that particular day.

If the witness comes in and says, well, on May 11th, I didn't see it rain, but when I went to bed the streets were dry and when I woke up the next morning the streets were soaking wet and every house in the neighborhood was soaking wet, that is circumstantial evidence that it may have rained last night.

The witness did not actually see it rain, but he has testified to certain facts from which you can infer another fact, which is that it may have rained the night before.

You may consider both direct and circumstantial evidence in deciding this case. The law permits you to give equal weight to both types of evidence, but it is up to you to decide how much weight to give to any particular piece of evidence.

You are not to concern yourself in any way with the sentence which the defendant might receive if you should find

him guilty. Your function is solely to decide whether the State has proven to you beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of the crime charged. If and only if you find him guilty, then it becomes the duty of the Court at a later date to pronounce sentence.

1.2

You must not be influenced in any degree by any personal feeling of sympathy for or prejudice against any party to the case, for each party is entitled to the same fair and impartial consideration. No statement, ruling, remark or facial expression which I may make during the course of the trial is intended to indicate my opinion as to what the facts are.

I am not the judge of the facts in this case. You are the ones to determine the facts. In this determination, you alone must decide upon the believability of the evidence and its weight and its value.

In considering the weight and value of the testimony of any evidence, you may take into consideration the appearance, attitude and behavior of the witness, the interest of the witness in the outcome of the case, the relationship of the witness to any party to the case, the inclination of the witness to speak truthfully or not, the probability or improbability of the witness's statements, and all other facts and circumstances in evidence. Thus you may give the testimony of any witness just such weight and value as you

believe the witness is entitled to receive.

During the trial I may take notes of what the witnesses are saying. Do not make any inference from this action on my part. Do not take notes about something simply because I am. During the trial I'm required to be prepared for legal arguments made by the attorneys in the trial which may not have anything to do with the truth or untruth of anything a particular witness has said, therefore what I will be listening for and taking notes on may be something completely different than what you are to listen for or what you may consider to be important.

Until this case is submitted to you, do not talk to each other about it or about anyone who has anything to do with it until the end of the case, when you go to the jury room to decide your verdict. Do not talk with anyone else about this case or about anyone who has anything to do with the case until the trial is ended and you have been discharged as jurors.

Anyone else includes members of your family and your friends and your co-workers. Those of you who are employed need to call your boss and tell him or her that you have been chosen as a juror in a criminal case, and the judge has told you that this trial is going to last until Friday, hopefully earlier, depending how things go.

You may also tell them that if the trial is over

earlier than that, you will be back to work sooner. However, that is all you can tell them until you have been discharged by the Court.

1.8

Do not let anyone talk to you about the case or about anyone who has anything to do with the case. As I mentioned, if someone should try to talk to you about this case while you're serving as a juror, please report that to me immediately through our marshal. Do not read any news stories or articles, or listen to any radio or television reports about this case or about anyone who has anything to do with it.

Do not do any research or make any investigation about the case on your own. Do not make up your mind about what the verdict should be until after you've gone to the jury room to decide the case and you and your fellow jurors have discussed the evidence. It's important throughout the trial to keep an open mind.

At the end of the trial you'll have to make your decision based upon what you recall of the evidence. You will not have a written transcript to consult. Even though we do have the court recorder who records everything that is said in this courtroom, what is said is not typed up into a readable format. And it is sometimes difficult and time consuming for the recorder to play back lengthy portions of testimony. Therefore I would urge you to pay close attention to the

testimony as it is given.

1.8

2.4

After the attorneys have completed their questioning of any witness, if there's a factual question you would like answered which wasn't asked, or if you need clarification of any answer given by the witness, you may submit a question to the marshal in writing before the witness is excused from the courtroom.

The marshal will give me your question, and I will discuss the question with the attorneys and determine whether or not your question is proper, or if another witness later in the trial may be covering the exact same issue that you have asked. Since the law requires that any testimony asked of any witness comply with the rules of evidence, it's possible that I will deem your question inappropriate and might not ask it.

You are not to draw any inferences or conclusions one way or the other if a question that you want asked is submitted to me and yet it is not asked of the witness. If the question is asked and answered, you are not to place undue weight on the response given to your question.

There are certain questions which you might want to know the answers to, but which are never proper or allowed in any trial. These questions involve, for example, the criminal history, if any, of an accused, or questions which ask a witness to relate some fact which they only know because someone told it to them.

1.3

1.8

=

"hearsay." The definition of hearsay is something that a witness knows only because they heard it from what some other witness said. That's sort of an imprecise definition.

There's a legal definition which we don't need to get into.

But if the question that you want asked of any witness is something along those lines, please refrain from submitting them, because the Court will be required to sustain any objection of the attorneys to any questions like that.

This concludes the Court's pretrial instructions. It is now about 10 minutes to 5:00, so what we will do is we will adjourn for the day. We will reconvene at 10:00 o'clock tomorrow morning, and at 10:00 o'clock, or as soon as all of the jurors have gathered, we will begin the opening statements in this case.

During this break, ladies and gentlemen — and you're going to hear me say this literally at every break. You'll probably start to memorize it by the time I say it a few more times. You are admonished that until you begin deliberations you are still under oath and not have been discharged. Do not reach any conclusions about this case as you have not heard the evidence.

Do not talk to anyone about this case. Do not investigate any facts of this case. Do not view any media, press or Internet reports about this case. Do not talk to

CERTIFICATION

I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE AUDIO-VISUAL RECORDING OF THE PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.

AFFIRMATION

I AFFIRM THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT DOES NOT CONTAIN THE SOCIAL SECURITY OR TAX IDENTIFICATION NUMBER OF ANY PERSON OR ENTITY.

KARR REPORTING, INC. Aurora, Colorado

KIMBERLY LAWSON

KARR Reporting, Inc.

1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
2	
3	GUILLERMO RENTERIA-NOVOA) No. 68165
4	Appellant,)
5	vs.)
6	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)
7 8	Respondent.)
9	APPELLANT'S APPENDIX - VOLUME IV- PAGES 690-938
	PHILIP J. KOHN STEVE WOLFSON
10 11	Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3 rd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
12	Attorney for Appellant CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO
13	Attorney General 100 North Carson Street
14	Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538
15	Counsel for Respondent
16	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
17	I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada
18	Supreme Court on the day of 10, 2013. Electronic Service of the
19	foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:
20	CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO NANCY LEMCKE HOWARD & PROCESS
21	STEVEN S. OWENS HOWARD S. BROOKS
22	I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and
23	correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to:
24	GUILLERMO RENTERIA-NOVOA
25	NDOC No. 1092343 c/o HIGH DESERT STATE PRISON
26	P. O. BOX 650 INDIAN SPRINGS, NV 89070
27	BY WORK STRINGS
28	Employee, Clark County Public Defender's Office