IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA Electronically Filed Oct 30 2012 09:48 a.m. INDICATE FULL CAPTION: Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk of Supreme Court No. 61967 JAMES CHAPPELL **Appeliant** DOCKETING STATEMENT CRIMINAL APPEALS (Including appeals from pretrial and post-VS. conviction rulings and other requests for postconviction relief) THE STATE OF NEVADA Respondent GENERAL INFORMATION 1. Judicial District Eighth County\_Clark Judge Carolyn Ellsworth District Ct Case No. C131341 2. If the defendant was given a sentence, (a) what is the sentence? See attached Page (b) has the sentence been stayed pending appeal? (c) was defendant admitted to bail pending appeal? 3. Was counsel in the district court appointed \_\_\_\_\_ or retained \_\_\_\_\_? 4. Attorney filing this docketing statement: Attorney Christopher R. Oram Esq. \_\_\_\_ Telephone (702)598-1471 Firm: Christopher R. Oram LTD. Address: Client(s) James Chappell 5. Is appellate counsel appointed or retained

If this is a joint statement by multiple appellants, add the names and addresses of other counsel on an additional sheet accompanied by a certification that they concur in the filing of this statement.

o. Attorney(s) representing respondent	(s):			
Attorney Steve Wolfson Firm: District Attorney	Telephone <u>(702) 671-2500</u>			
Address: 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89101				
Client(s) State of Nevada	<u> </u>			
Attorney Catherine Cortez-Masto Firm: Attorney General	Telephone			
Address: 100 North Carson Street				
Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717				
ourself only, Nordad Sorot 4717				
Client(s) State of Nevada				
(List additional counsel on separate sheet if necessary)				
7. Nature of disposition below:				
☐ Judgment after bench trial ☐ Judgment after jury verdict ☐ Judgment upon guilty plea ☐ Grant of pretrial motion to dismiss ☐ Parole/Probation revocation ☐ Motion for new trial ☐ grant ☐ denial ☐ Motion to withdraw guilty plea ☐ grant ☐ denial	☐ Grant of pretrial habeas ☐ Grant of motion to suppress evidence ☑ Post-conviction habeas (NRS ch. 34) ☐ grant ☑ denial ☐ Other disposition (specify)			

8. Does this appeal raise issues concerning any of the following:					
☑ death sentence ☐ life sentence	☐ juvenile offender ☐ pretrial proceedings				
9. Expedited appeals: The court may decide to expedite the appellate process in this matter. Are you in favor of proceeding in such manner?					
Yes No					
10. Pending and prior proceedings in this of all appeals or original proceedings presently are related to this appeal (e.g, separate appeals proceedings):  None that Counsel is aware	court. List the case name and docket number or previously pending before this court which by co-defendants, appeal after post-conviction				
11. Pending and prior proceedings in othe court of all pending and prior proceedings in of habeas corpus proceedings in state or federal codefendants):  None that counsel is aware.	ther courts that are related to this appeal (e.g.,				
$12.\ \mathbf{Nature}\ \mathbf{of}\ \mathbf{action}.\ \mathrm{Briefly}\ \mathrm{describe}\ \mathrm{the}\ \mathrm{nature}$ On October 19, 2012, the Honorable Judge Card Writ of Habeas Corpus. The Order not having be	lyn Ellsworth denied Mr. Chappell's Petition for				

13. Issues on appeal. State concisely the principal issue(s) in this appeal:  Mr. Chappell reserves the right to address issues as they may arise.					
14. Constitutional issues. If the State is not a party and if this appeal challenges the constitutionality of a statute or municipal ordinance, have you notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130?					
N/A Yes No III not, explain					
15. Issues of first-impression or of public interest. Does this appeal present a substantial legal issue of first-impression in this jurisdiction or one affecting an important public interest?					
First-impression: Yes No V Public interest: Yes No V					

16. Length of trial. If this action proceeded to court, how many days did the trial or evidential	to trial or evidentiary hearing in the district ary hearing last?
17. <b>Oral argument.</b> Would you object to subroral argument?	nission of this appeal for disposition without
Yes No No	•
TIMELINESS OF N	OTICE OF APPEAL
18. Date district court announced decision, sen	tence or order appealed from 10/22/2012
19. Date of entry of written judgment or order	appeal fromnot entered as of this date.
(a) If no written judgment or order was filed seeking appellate review:	in the district court, explain the basis for
20. If this appeal is from an order granting or condicate the date written notice of entry of judg	lenying a petition for a writ of habeas corpus, ment or order was served by the district court
(a) Was service by delivery or by mail	
21. If the time for filing the notice of appeal wa	s tolled by a post judgment motion,
(a) Specify the type of motion, and the date	of filing of the motion:
Arrest judgment	Date filed
New trial	Date filed
(newly discovered evidence)	D / C1 1
New trial(other grounds)	Date filed
	•
(b) Date of entry of written order resolving moti	ion

.

22. Date notice of appeal filed 10/22/2	012
23. Specify statute or rule governing th 4(b), NRS 34.560, NRS 34.575, NRS 177	e time limit for filing the notice of appeal, e.g., NRAP 7.015(2), or other
SUBSTAN'	TIVE APPEALABILITY
24. Specify statute, rule or other author	ity that grants this court jurisdiction to review from:
NRS 177.015(1)(b) NRS 177.015(1)(c) NRS 177.015(2) NRS 177.015(3)	NRS 34.560 NRS 34.575(1) NRS 34.575(2) Other (specify) NRAP 4(b)
	ERIFICATION
the best of my knowledge, information as James Chappell	this docketing statement is true and complete to nd belief.  Christopher R. Oram Esq.
Name of appellant	Name of counsel of record
October 29, 2012	PMMM-
Date <u>CERTIFICA</u>	Signature of counsel of record TE OF SERVICE
	<u>-= 01 011K (101/</u>
I hearby certify and affirm that this	document was filed electronically with the Nevada
I hearby certify and affirm that this Supreme Court on May of Oct 2012	
I hearby certify and affirm that this  Supreme Court on Apple day of Oct 2012  be made in accordance with the Master Ser	document was filed electronically with the Nevada  2. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall
Supreme Court on 29th day of OCT 2012	document was filed electronically with the Nevada  2. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall
Supreme Court on Andrew day of Oct 2012  be made in accordance with the Master Ser  CATHERINE CORTEZ-MASTO	document was filed electronically with the Nevada  2. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall
Supreme Court on Andrew day of Oct 2012  be made in accordance with the Master Ser  CATHERINE CORTEZ-MASTO  Nevada Attorney General  STEVE OWENS	document was filed electronically with the Nevada  2. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall

/s/ Jessie Vargas
An Employee of Christopher R, Oram, Esq.

Skip to Main Content Logout My Account Search Menu New District Civil/Criminal Search Refine Search Close

Location: District Court Civil/Criminal Help

## REGISTER OF ACTIONS Case No. 95C131341

The State of Nevada vs James M Chappell

തതതതതതത

Felony/Gross Case Type: Misdemeanor Date Filed: 10/10/1995 Location: Department 5

Conversion Case Number: C131341 Defendant's Scope ID #: 1212860

Lower Court Case Number: 95F08114

PARTY INFORMATION

Defendant Chappell, James M.

Lead Attorneys Christopher R. Oram Retained

7023845563(W)

**Plaintiff** 

State of Nevada

Steven B Wolfson 702-671-2700(W)

<del></del>	HARGE INFORMATION		
Charges: Chappell, James M  1. BURGLARY.  2. ROBBERY WITH A DEADLY WEAPON  3. MURDER WITH A DEADLY WEAPON  3. DEGREES OF MURDER	Statute	Level	Date
	205.060	Felony	01/01/1900
	200.380*165	Felony	01/01/1900
	200.010*165	Felony	01/01/1900
	200.030	Felony	01/01/1900

## **EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT**

12/30/1996 Sentencing (9:00 AM) ()

SENTENCING Court Clerk: TINA HURD Reporter/Recorder: PATSY SMITH Heard By: A. William Maupin

## 12/30/1996 9:00 AM

 Elaine Lowrey of the Division of Parole & Probation present. DEFT. CHAPPELL ADJUDGED GUILTY OF COUNT I - BURGLARY (F), COUNT II - ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (F) AND COUNT III -MURDER OF THE FIRST DEGRÉE WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (F). Statements in mitigation of sentencing. COURT ORDERED, in addition to the \$25.00 Administrative Assessment Fee, deft. is SENTENCED to a MAXIMUM term of ONE HUNDRED TWENTY (120) MONTHS with a MINIMUM parole eligibility of FORTY EIGHT (48) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Prisons for Count I, and is SENTENCED to a MAXIMUM term of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS with a MINIMUM parole eligibility of SEVENTY TWO (72) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Prisons plus an EQUAL AND CONSECUTIVE MAXIMUM term of ONE HUNDRED EIGHTY (180) MONTHS with a MINIMUM parole eligibility of SEVENTY TWO (72) MONTHS in the Nevada Department of Prisons for the use of a deadly weapon for Count II, to be served CONSECUTIVELY to Count I and deft. is SENTENCED to DEATH for Count III, to be served CONSECUTIVELY to Counts I and II. Deft. to receive 192 DAYS Credit for Time Served and is to PAY STATUTORY RESTITUTION. BOND EXONERATED, if any. Stay of execution signed in open court, NDP

Return to Register of Actions