12	1	friends of yours ever been arrested?	iends of you	
	2	A My sister.	A M	
	3	Q And how long ago was that?	Q A	
	4	A It was 12, 11 about 12 years ago I guess.	A I	
	5	Maybe longer. My daughter is 17 so maybe 16, 15, 16 years	ybe longer.	.
	6	ago.	D.	
	7	Q Do you know what she was arrested for?	Q Do	
	8	A I'm not for sure but I want to say grand	A I	
	9	larceny. That's what I want to say.	rceny. That	
	10	Q Anything about her experience with the justice	Q Ar	е
	- 11	system that would affect your thinking in this case?	stem that wou	
	12	A No.	A No	
	13	Q Have you, any member of your family or any	Q Ha	
	14	friends of yours ever been the victim of a crime?	lends of your	
	15	A No.	A No	
	16	Q Have you ever been on a jury before?	Q Ha	
	17	A Yes.	A Ye	
	18	Q Tell us how many times.	Q Te	
	19	A One time.	A On	
	20	Q Was it a criminal or civil case?	Q Wa	
	21	A Civil. Telemarketing so I guess it's civil.	A Ci	
	22	Q Well, was the burden instruction beyond a	Q We	
	23	reasonable doubt or to a preponderance of the evidence?	sonable doub	
	24	A Reasonable doubt I think.	A Re	
	25	Q So that would have been a criminal case. Was	Q So	

your jury able to reach a verdict without telling us what 12 1 2 the verdict was? Yes, we did. 3 Is there anything about your jury service that would affect your thinking in this case? 5 6 Α No. Can you serve again and follow the instructions 7 Q like you did the first time? 8 Yes. Α 9 Any concern about adverse public opinion that 10 might result from any verdict you would render in the case? 11 No. 12 A Ever been involved in any court proceeding as a 13 witness or a party? 14 15 A No. Do you have any philosophical, religious or 16 moral objections to the death penalty? 17 That's the one I have a problem with. The A 18 reason being like I said before because religious belief, 19 but then again I don't know the circumstances. I can't 20 judge until I know the circumstances. 21 Well, in this case you're being kind of asked 22 this question in a vacuum because you've only heard a very 23 abbreviated version about the case. The Nevada legislature, 24

25

whether we agree with it or whether we don't agree with it.

has made a public policy decision that if an individual is convicted for first degree murder, that is premeditated murder, there are four alternate forms of punishment and as judges we have to instruct the jury and the jury has to given the guilty verdict pick from one of the four forms of penalty. Now, in this case the four forms are life imprisonment with parole, life without parole, 50 years eligible for parole when 20 years has been served or the death penalty. The question is can you keep an open mind and give fair consideration to all four forms of penalty if you're sworn as a juror in this case?

A Yes.

Q You believe you can do that?

A I think I can.

Q If you yourself were involved in this case, say a relative of the victim of the case or a relative of the defendant or a primary witness in the case, would you feel comfortable with 12 people in your frame of mind and your attitude and sense of fairness sitting in judgment on the case? Would you like 12 people like you sitting on your case in other words?

A Yes, I would.

THE COURT: The State of Nevada may inquire.

MR. HARMON: Thank you, Your Honor.

13 1 **EXAMINATION** BY MR. HARMON: 2 3 Q Good afternoon. Were you born and raised here? I was born in Texas. I've been here ever since I was three. So that's 30 some odd years. 5 You have discussed with the court the situation 6 7 involving your sister? 8 A Right. That happened quite a few years ago? 9 Q 10 I think about 15, 16 years ago. Is there anything about the way the police were 11 0 12 involved in the case or anything about the way the oriminal justice system operated that concerns you? 13 To be perfectly honest I really didn't get that 14 involved in that situation. Me myself being an honest 15 16 person and you roll the dice and she paid the cost. That's 17 the bottom line. That's the way I feel. 18 So you don't harbor any type of feelings really Q one way or the other about the way it was handled? 19 Well, I feel as though she did the crime so she 20 A had to pay for what she did and it's not the court's fault. 21 They didn't encourage her to go out and do it. 22 Did you know anything at all about this case 23 before you began to fill out the questionnaire? 24

No, I did not.

25

Α

1

2

3

5

б 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As the court has explained potentially there Q are two phases to the trial.

Correct.

The first one involves the jury determining guilt or innocence. It's only if Mr. Chappell is convicted of murder of the first degree that we would have a second phase and the jury would have to become involved in the sentencing process for that crime. You've been consistent in the questionnaire and also in your answers to the court that you're really not sure what you're able to do in terms of capital punishment.

Not that I'm not sure as that I don't know as far as not really actually being involved in it. If I am selected as a juror, by the time the court is over with it may be a different opinion. I can't elaborate on something I don't know. That's what I'm saying.

We understand that it is awkward because you don't really know the evidence. You weren't familiar with the circumstances?

> Α Right.

However, we're trying to select jurors who can Q be fair to both sides.

I think I can do that.

And jurors who can consider the full range of Q punishment if that becomes a duty of the jury.

You have mentioned that you attend your church 1 13 regularly? 2 Correct. 3 A I would assume you read the Bible on a regular basis? 5 Try to. 6 Now, I've noticed in response to one of the 7 Q questions you say the Bible tells us not to kill. 8 Right. That's one of the commandments, 9 A correct. 10 Now, are you going to be able to reconcile that 11 with the possibility that you may serve on a jury which 12 conceivably could be asked to impose a death sentence? 13 As far as my beliefs go I'm not -- I don't 14 think I'll be able to go against God's word. Put it that 15 16 way. Well, are you saying that it's your opinion 17 that God's word is that we not carry out death sentences? 18 No. I am not saying that. I'm speaking from my А 19 opinion more to say. You know, it's like if you never 20 actually -- this is the first for me to be sitting on a 21 criminal trial, whatever. But if you've never been there, 22 you don't know how you're going to go. You can think this 23 is the way I feel but then again it may turn out to be the 24

Page: 673

25

opposite. Why I put down I don't know because I don't know.

Q And is it an accurate statement even as we speak you frankly don't know whether you would be able to do it or you would be unable to do it in terms of the death sentence until we get to that point in the proceedings?

A I can't say. I'm not going to say -- how can I explain this to you? Can you repeat the question, please?

Q Of course. As we speak now are you able to give us any type of firm response to how you will react — let's just assume that we're in a penalty hearing and just assume for the sake of the question that most of the persons on the jury were in favor of a death sentence. As you examine your personal philosophy and your religious views and the type of person you are can you see yourself being in a position to where you would be able to go along with that type of punishment or is it your position that you simply don't know now and would just have to wait and see?

A I don't think I know at this time.

MR. HARMON: Thank you. Pass for cause, Your Honor.

EXAMINATION

22 BY MR. BROOKS:

Q Ma'am, I think we've asked this before and I hope you let me ask it again. You understand that we are not conceding here first degree murder?

A Correct.

Q And we would be asking that if the evidence supports a verdict other than first degree murder in the first part of these proceedings that you would stand up for that verdict?

A Yes.

Q Do you agree with that?

A Yes, I do.

Q Do you have any problem at all with the idea that the State has the burden of proof to prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt?

A No.

Q And do you have any problem with the idea that my client gets the benefit of that?

A Correct.

Q Even if my client was guilty but the State couldn't prove their case, my client is entitled to receive that benefit?

A He's innocent.

Q I noticed in the questionnaire on question 42 and question 43 which dealt with this statement, defendant's background should be considered in deciding whether or not the death penalty is an appropriate punishment and you indicated no. If the court instructs you you should consider the evidence and if some of that evidence includes

14	1	background information, will you consider that evidence?
	2	A Yes.
	3	Q In making a decision?
	4	A Yes.
	5	Q The same as the case with regards to the
	6	statement the facts surrounding a killing and not the
	7	killer's background should be the main consideration I'm
	8	sorry. You answered yes to that. I apologize.
	9	With regard to some of the questions Mr. Harmon
	10	was asking you I think I'm right in saying and tell me if
	11	I'm wrong your position is you are open to the death
	12	penalty but the decision as to whether or not you would
	13	apply it depends on the facts that you hear during the
	14	trial; is that right?
	15	A Right.
	16	MR. BROOKS: Thank you very much and we'll pass
	17	for cause.
	18	THE COURT: Thank you.
	19	
	20	<u>EXAMINATION</u>
	21	BY THE COURT:
	22	Q Miss Styff, any reason that you can think of
	23	why you couldn't be fair to both sides?
	24	A No, sir.
	25	Q Can you wait until all the evidence is in
	ľ	

14	1	before coming to any conclusion about any issue in this
	2	case?
	3	A Yes.
	4	Q Have you, any member of your family or close
	5	friends to yours ever been arrested?
	6	A Yes.
	7	Q Tell us a little bit about that.
	8	A My son. For outstanding warrants.
	9	Q Traffic?
	10	A Yes.
	11	Q Anything about the way those matters were
	12	resolved that would affect your thinking in this case?
	13	A No.
	14	Q Have you, any member of your family or any
	15	close friends of yours ever been the victim of a crime?
	16	A No.
	17	Q Ever been on a jury before?
	18	A No.
	19	Q Any concern about adverse public opinion?
	20	A No.
	21	Q Ever been involved in a court proceeding as a
	22	witness or a party?
	23	A No.
	24	Q Any philosophical, moral or religious
	25	objections to the imposition of the death penalty?

No. 14 1 If you were called to serve as a juror in this Q 2 3 case and if you reach the issue of penalty which can only occur if the jury convicts the defendant of first degree 4 murder, would you be able to consider all four forms of 5 statutory punishment? 6 7 Α Yes. Q And if you are involved in this case yourself 8 directly, would you feel comfortable with 12 jurors like you 9 sitting on the jury? 10 Yes. 11 A THE COURT: State of Nevada may inquire. 12 MR. HARMON: Thank you, Your Honor. 13 14 **EXAMINATION** 15 BY MR. HARMON: 16 Good afternoon. How do you feel about the 17 Q opportunity of serving on this type of case? 18 This is my very first time and it has been A 19 quite an experience already coming here three days. 20 Is it an experience that you feel that you are 21 Q willing to perform? 22 Yes. 23

To become involved in?

24

25

A

Yes.

	4	
14	1	Q There are persons who find it very difficult
	2	for a variety of reasons when it comes right down to making
	3	the decision of passing judgment on a fellow human being.
	4	We wouldn't want anything to suggest that we think that's
	5	easy or necessarily pleasant. The fact is occasionally in a
	6	criminal case it has to happen. Do you feel that you're the
	7	type of person who would be able to do it?
	8	A Yes, I do.
	9	Q If the decision was left solely up to you,
	10	would we have capital punishment in this state?
	11	A Just capital punishment?
15	12	Q No. As part of our statutory scheme.
	13	A Yes.
	14	Q The question is not to suggest that we would
	15	only have that punishment.
	16	A Yes, I believe that.
	17	Q I notice that you mentioned on the
	18	questionnaire regarding the death penalty I do believe in it
	19	when justified. Is that still your position?
	20	A I think it's about what we're talking about
	21	now. I do believe in it, but I do believe that you have to
	22	hear everything to really say yes, I do or yes, I would. I
	23	really think you have to hear everything.
	24	Q In a sense we're not even talking about this
	25	cášè becáūšė you know nothing about the case except the very

broad generalities you've been given. But just in a manner of generally speaking do you think that you're the type of person who if selected on the jury and if you felt that a particular punishment was proper, whether that involved a 50 year term in the state prison, life with or without the possibility of parole or the death sentence, do you feel you're capable of coming back into the courtroom and personally voting the way you feel the evidence requires you to do so?

В

A Yes, I do.

MR. HARMON: Thank you. Pass for cause.

THE COURT: For the defense.

EXAMINATION

BY MR, BROOKS:

Q Mrs. Styff, I'm real curious what do you think of this process we have here? Do you think it's burdensome or do you like it?

A Like I said I don't know what's to like, but it's been quite an experience. From what you hear from different people that have been down, you know.

Q Have you had to do a lot of waiting during the process?

A Yes.

" THE COURT: That promise at least was

fulfilled.

BY MR. BROOKS:

Q I notice in here that you put I believe not applicable to your opinion of defense attorneys, public defender, state prosecutors. Do you have any opinion at all about these folks?

A I really didn't get what that was all about. I mean, I have never had anything to do with a district attorney or prosecutors so I really didn't know how to answer that question and be truthful and say anything about anyone.

Q Perhaps it was a poorly worded question. Well, I'm real curious about how you feel about the court system in general. Some people come in here and say the court system is too lenient and we're always concerned that people may try to take that out on my client. Is the court system too lenient?

A I really couldn't answer that in all honesty.

I know that there's the justice — I follow all the rules.

I think other people should and I really can't answer that honestly.

Q On page two of the questionnaire with regards to news reports about this case you said that I have read about it in the newspaper. Are you sure you read about this case?

	4	1 Van T 313 hammen on Endang January
15	1	A Yes, I did because my friend lived across the
	2	street and I just knew the address.
	3	Q In the complex there?
	4	A Yes. Not in the complex, but across the
	5	street.
	6	Q Across Lamb?
	7	A Right. 825, right.
	8	Q Do you remember very much detail about the
	9	case?
	10	A No. But I do remember because we talked about
	11	it at work.
	12	MR. BROOKS: Thank you. The defense will pass
	13	for cause, Your Honor.
	14	THE COURT: Thank you.
	15	
	16	<u> BXAMINATION</u>
	17	BY THE COURT:
	18	Q Mr. Rogers, good afternoon.
	19	A Good afternoon,
	20	Q Any reason that you can think of why you
	21	couldn't be fair to both sides?
	22	A No.
	23	Q Can you wait until all the evidence is in
	24	before coming to any conclusion about the case?
	25	A Yes.
	ļ	

15 1 Q Have you, any member of your family or any 2 friends of yours ever been arrested? 3 A My son has come close. He's had handcuffs on a few times. 5 0 And I take it that he was able to use his pursuasive powers to avoid completion of the process? 6 7 Well, he's a student at U.N.L.V. taking criminal justice so he is learning I guess as he goes. 8 9 Q Anything about his near misses so to speak that 10 would affect your thinking in this case? Only if it would come to traffic officers. 11 A 12 had a case where he ran a stop sign basically and was 13 involved in an accident, his fault, and the police officer 14 listened to two people who had been following him and gave him a ticket for reckless driving. And it ended up he got a 15 16 lawyer and he had it reduced but it cost him a thousand 17 dollars. But I spoke to the officer and I had gone back and 18 basically checked with these two people that said they were 19 totally wrong and the officer would not --16 Q So you're saying your son wasn't actually at 20 fault? 21 He was at fault, he want through the stop sign 22 but reckless driving, no. 23 24 But you understand that in every profession there are talented persons, mediocre persons and sometimes 25

	,	
16	1	people of unacceptable levels, correct?
	2	A Yes.
	3	Q Or who perform their job in an unacceptable
	4	way?
	5	A Uh-huh.
	6	Q Do you think you'd keep an open mind about any
	7	police witness that takes the stand and judge that person's
	8	credibility based upon what you see and hear and how it
	9	makes sense with regard to all the other evidence?
	10	A Yes.
	11	Q Have you ever been the victim of a crime or a
	12	member of your family or a friend of yours?
	13	A No.
	14	Q Ever been on a jury before?
	15	A Yes, I have.
	16	Q How many times?
	17	A I served once in Texas.
	18	Q Was it a civil or criminal case?
	19	A It was a criminal case.
	20	Q So you've heard the burden of proof instruction
	21	before?
	22	A Yes.
	23	Q Anything about that experience that would
	24	affect your ability to be fair in this case?
	25	A No.

16	1 ;	Q Without telling us what the verdict was in the
	2	Texas case can you tell us whether your jury reached a
	3	verdict?
	4	A Yes, we did. We were also involved in the
	5	penalty.
	6	Q So it was a homicide case?
	7	A No, it was an armed robbery.
	8	Q But under Texas law the jury has a role of
	9	setting penalty on a robbery case?
	10	A It was up to the defendant to choose us or the
	11	judge.
	12	Q Ever been involved in a court proceeding as a
	13	party or witness?
	14	À No.
	15	Q Any concern about adverse public opinion that
	16	might arise from any verdict you may render in the case?
	17	A No.
	18	Q Any moral, religious or conscientious
	19	objections to the imposition of the death penalty?
	20	A No.
	21	Q And although we've had a discussion already
	22	about this can you give fair consideration to all four forms
	23	of punishment?
	24	A Yes.
	25	Q Can you keep an open mind about all four forms

and impose the punishment you feel is appropriate?

A Yes.

Q Can you do that without regard to your concerns about the expense of one penalty versus another to the State of Nevada?

A Yes.

Q If you're involved in this case would you feel comfortable with 12 jurors like you sitting on the matter?

A Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

The State of Nevada may inquire.

MS. SILVER: Thank you.

EXAMINATION

BY MS. SILVER:

Q I just want to ask you and follow up with what the judge has mentioned already to you. I saw in your questionnaire you had some concerns about the economy of certain penalties and recognizing that that is not something for your consideration in imposing one of the four penalties do you think if you were chosen as a juror that you could sit and listen to the evidence and if proven to you beyond a reasonable doubt the defendant is guilty of the crime of ... murder in the first degree are you of such a mind that you could convict him of that crime?

A Yes.

Q Could you further if you and the other 11

people chosen as jurors — if you listen to the evidence at
the penalty phase in which the State would have the
opportunity to present evidence of what we call aggravating
circumstances and of course the defense would be able to
present mitigating evidence as well could you listen to all
of the evidence and collectively with the 11 jurors if you
felt it was appropriate consider the death penalty as well
as the other three forms of punishment?

A Yes.

Q Have you ever had any exposure whether on TV or talking with friends about domestic violence?

A Yes.

Q What type of exposure?

A Oh, we've talked about it. I just attended a Toastmaster talk where the woman that gave a talk was about her work with domestically abused children.

Q And do you ever envision a situation in which it's okay to be violent towards, say, a woman?

A No.

Q Do you think that people ought to be held accountable for their actions?

A Yes.

MS. SILVER: Thank you. We would pass this

16	1	juror for cause.
	2	THE COURT: For the defense.
	3	MR. BROOKS: Thank you, Your Honor.
	4	
	5	<u>EXAMINATION</u>
	6	BY MR. EWING:
	7	Q Mr. Rogers, the district attorney asked you if
	В	you could after listening to the evidence and reviewing the
	9	evidence return a verdict of guilty to murder in the first
	10	degree. My question for you is do you feel like you're the
	11	kind of person that if you find that the evidence does not
17	12	prove the case, could you return a verdict of not guilty?
	13	A Yes.
	14	Q Could you review the evidence and perhaps
	15	consider a lesser crime?
	16	A Yes.
	17	Q Based upon what you hear?
	18	A Yes.
	19	Q And not based upon any preconceived notions
	20	about what this case might be about?
	21	A Yes.
	22	Q Are you comfortable with and do you understand
	23	the presumption of innocence?
	24	A Yes.
	25	Q Is that something that you think is a good

17 idea? 1 2 A Yes. 3 Do you consider yourself the kind of an individual who can assess the credibility of individuals as they testify? 5 Α Yes. б 7 Q If someone were to testify to a fact and no one A were to present any evidence contrary to that, would you 9 tend to believe that fact? A Yes. 10 Do you feel that you can give James a fair 11 12 trial? 13 Yes. If you or someone you were closely associated 14 with were in James' position, are you the kind of juror that 15 16 you would want to have on the case? 17 Yes. 18 To the issue of accountability, accountability is important, we should all be held accountable for our 19 actions. Can you envision a circumstance where someone is 20 convicted of first degree murder where a 50 year sentence 21 with 20 year parole eligibility would be acceptable? Did 22 23 you understand my question? Yes. It would depend on the circumstances. 24

But that could happen?......

25

Q

	'	
17	1	A Yes.
	2	Q And that would in your mind be holding that
	3	person accountable?
	4	A Yes.
	5	Q And the same would be true with a life sentence
	6	with the possibility of parole?
	7	A Yes.
	8	Q You mention in your jury questionnaire that you
	9	can't consider an individual's background to be important.
	10	Is that still your opinion?
	11	A Yes. I think a lot of times the background is
	12	used as an excuse to justify the crime.
	13	Q Is it possible that background while not being
	14	an excuse might be something that could help you arrive at
	15	an appropriate sentence?
	16	A Yes, it could.
	17	Q Not as an excuse but perhaps as an explanation?
	18	A Yes.
	19	Q Or circumstances which may just basically shed
	20	more light on the whole topic?
	21	A Yes.
	22	Q Can you see how background information might be
	23	important in a case like this?
	24	A Yes.
	25	MR. EWING: Pass for cause.

17 THE COURT: Thank you. 1 Mr. Ewing. 2 3 **EXAMINATION** BY THE COURT: 5 Mr. Snavely, any reason that you can think of 6 Q 7 why you couldn't be fair to both sides? A No. 8 Can you wait until all the evidence is in 9 Q before coming to any conclusion about any issue in the case? 10 11 A Yes. Have you, any member of your family or friends 12 of yours ever been arrested? 13 14 A No. Have you ever been the victim of a crime? 15 Q I've had stuff stolen from me. 16 Any member of your family or friends of yours 17 ever had an experience as being the victim of a serious 18 19 crime? Not serious crime, if you don't consider theft 20 a serious crime. 21 It can be considered very serious. 22 Depending on how much it was but it was only a 23 couple guns for me. 24 Anything about your experience in that regard 25

		•
17	1	that would affect your thinking?
	2	A No.
	3	Q Can you give fair consideration to all four
	4	forms of statutory punishment?
	5	A Yes.
	6	Q Any religious, moral or conscientious
	7	objections to the death penalty?
	8	A No.
	9	Q Have you ever been on jury before?
	10	A No.
	11	Q Ever been involved in a court proceeding as a
	12	witness or party?
	13	A No.
	14	Q Any concern about adverse public opinion?
	15	A No.
	16	Q If involved in this case would you feel
	17	comfortable with 12 people like you sitting in judgment of
	18	this case?
	19	A Yes.
	20	THE COURT: State may inquire.
	21	MS. SILVER: Thank you.
	22	
	23	<u>examination</u>
	24	BY MS. SILVER:
	25	Q I notice in your questionnaire you talk about

17	1	showing grace and mercy to others as part of your beliefs.
	2	A Well, there's the thing of the difference
	3	between justice and the which is the right or the wrong
	4	and then the grace and the mercy on what you're going to do
	5	with after that judgment.
	6	Q In our daily lives it's something you generally
	7	try to do yourself obviously. You said you've actually
	8	shown it to others and have it shown to you.
	9	A Especially with my children. There's been many
	10	times when I should have done something to them and chose
	11	not to and there's been many times in my life when I should
	12	have gotten something and I didn't and that's just what
	13	happened. I didn't have anything to do with that.
	14	Q And obviously then there are circumstances
	15	you're talking about with your children where you should
	16	show grace and mercy perhaps?
	17	A Sure.
	18	Q You understand that in this circumstance that
18	19	the State is seeking to convict this defendant of murder in
	20	the first degree?
	21	A Yes.
	22	Q And you understand that in this case the State
	23	is seeking to have the death penalty imposed as a sentence
	24	for this murder in the first degree?
	25	A Yes.

Q How would your notions of providing grace and mercy to someone, how would you -- how do you feel that works with the death penalty?

A Well, first you'd have to get through the judgment. You'd go through the facts to see what was the circumstances of it, whether it was proved and then the — all the facts with that is under your consideration for what you know, and I'm sure with whatever instructions given it's going to be very black and white, it either meets this set of circumstances or it doesn't.

- Q You understand that you would not even be getting to a penalty phase unless the jury collectively convicted the defendant of first degree murder?
 - A Yes, I realize there's two parts to it.
- Q I guess what I am trying to ask you is do you understand that it would be unfair to the defense in this case if we had a juror who only believed in the death penalty, that was the only appropriate sentence for murder in the first degree and they sat up and said I could consider all of the penalties involved, that would be unfair to the defendant because later on that person would just go back in the jury room and pick the death penalty?

A Yes.

Q Likewise wouldn't you also consider it to be unfair to the State as well if someone says conceptually

yes, I can consider the death penalty and got on this jury 18 1 but when the time came basically throws their hands up and 2 say nope, you know what, I just can't do it? 3 Do you have my answer to the one question on there of my opinion of the death penalty in the first place? 5 Now, I don't know if you want to read that but basically if б I was - if I decide I'm going to go out and commit this 7 murder, I am going to kill this person and I did that, I 8 don't think it would be unfair for me to pay the price and 9 forfeit my life for that particular act. 10 So if it were --11 Q That would be a just outcome of my actions. 12 So if we were to ask you do you think that the 13 death penalty ought to be on the books or a law, you would 14 15 agree with that? A Yes, I would. 16 MS. SILVER: Thank you. We would pass for 17 18 cause. THE COURT: Thank you. 19 For the defense. 20 21 **EXAMINATION** 22 BY MR. EWING: 23 Mr. Snavely, how do you envision your role as a 24

juror? 🕆

Judge between the facts of whether they 18 1 A 2 presented their case and whether it meets the criteria that the law has dictated. 3 Whether they meet the burden of proof? A 5 Yes. Do you feel that you have any particular 6 obligations to the defendant? 7 R No. To me I would see it -- I don't have a particular obligation to him any more than I have a 9 particular obligation to them. 10 Do you have an obligation to James that he not 11 be convicted until the State proves their case? 12 Yes. That's America. I would want it that way 13 if I was on the receiving end of it. If they don't prove 14 their case, then that's my role to judge that. 15 16 If you were on the receiving end of it as you put it, are you the type of juror that you'd want? 17 18 Α Yes. Is there anything that you can think of that we 19 should know about that we don't already know that would help 20 us to judge your --21 I could not give you elaborate answers because 22 of the space, but I have my particular convictions of what I 23 believe now as far as the death penalty, your religious 24 25 questions of thou shall not kill which should be thou shall

not murder forfeiting your life for a particular crime that you did, retribution for your crimes is not an abstract thing that shouldn't be in America. I believe you should pay for your crimes and retribution should be there.

Q If someone commits first degree murder, are there circumstances you can envision where a 50 year sentence would be paying for your crime?

naive enough to think that I'm set enough in my convictions to say there's no way I would ever change my opinion about anything. I kind of look at it that's how older people get wise, is through their life experiences they learn more. And what I believe now might not necessarily be what I believe 20 years from now. But through this particular circumstance I'm sure I will learn something through it.

Q Do you feel that if this case were to get to a penalty phase do you feel that mercy is an element that could possibly play a role in your decision?

A I would really have to see how the instructions are given to us because I've never been on the receiving end of this, I don't know what the instructions are that you're actually given, whether it's black and white enough to give you any legal aid as a juror.

Q If it's available, if it's available, would you do that?

б

A Yeah, I'd consider all four of them, and the questions that you brought up about background and stuff like that, that again I wouldn't use it as a justification. I would like it as a justification for somebody to not be responsible for their actions, but I'm sure there's information that can be brought to light that would influence your decision about the four penalties.

MR. EWING: Thank you. I'll pass for cause.

THE COURT: All right. That concludes the process of qualifying the jurors for cause.

Ladies and gentlemen, we are going to bring up
the other 32 members of the jury that have been qualified at
this time and we are going to make sure they are all here to
make sure that we have the full complement of jurors.
Otherwise we may have to go through this process one more
time. So if you'll be at ease we are going to declare a
brief recess at this point while we take up some matters
outside your presence and bring the other jurors upstairs.

During this recess it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, or to read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any medium of information including, without limitation, newspaper, television and radio, and you are not to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this case until it is

finally submitted to you.

we will be reconvening at five minutes after four o'clock. We'll be at ease while you depart the confines of the courtroom.

(Jurors left.)

THE COURT: We are outside the presence of the jury. In Department VII the standard practice is before the exercise of peremptory challenges the alternate positions in the jury box are picked by lot. What that means is we will pick eight positions.

Mr. Harmon, you're the expert on the mathematics.

MR. HARMON: We will need eight.

THE COURT: We will pick eight spots, eight numbers, one through 36. Those numbers will then be the alternate positions.

MR. BROOKS: I'm sorry. Eight positions one through what again?

THE COURT: One through 36. Out of one through 36 there will be eight positions. That way randomly picked as the alternate positions in the jury box. The highest remaining number of the alternates will be the alternates, the highest remaining number that aren't stricken through the peremptory challenge process that have not been designated as alternates will be the regularly constituted

Δ

jury. Do you understand?

MR. EWING: Lowest remaining.

numbers of the prospective jury panel members that have not been designated as alternates will be the regularly constituted jury. The lowest remaining numbers of the positions designated as alternates will be the alternate jurors. Do you understand that?

MR. BROOKS: Yes.

THE COURT: And the idea is to keep this so that we won't have the four highest numbers in the jury box being the alternates. So that no one among the jury panel will know who the alternates are. So you will also be given the statutory peremptory challenges as to the alternates.

Do you now understand the procedure?

MR. EWING: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So to capsulize we'll have a representative of each side draw alternate numbers from the cup, numbers one through 36 are in the cup and you will draw those blindly by lot and the eight numbers pulled will be the alternate positions. When you exercise your peremptory challenges as to the alternates, you must exercise the alternate peremptory challenges as to the alternate position.

MR. BROOKS: Before the jury comes back could

we leave the room and confer? 19 1 THE COURT: Yes. 2 3 All right. Alternate positions on the list, position number two, Ms. Lucido, position number nine, Mr. 4 Mesnard, position 19, prospective juror Burris, position 21, 5 Mr. Swartz, position 26, Ms. Purcell and position 27, Mr. 6 Pietryman, position 28, Miss Ochoa, position 30, Ms. 7 20 Sheehan. So positions 2, 9, 21, 26, 27, 28 and 30 are the 8 alternate positions drawn at random in the jury list. So 9 exercise your eight peremptory challenges only as to the 10 jurors in the positions we have not drawn from the cup. 11 When it comes time to exercise your peremptory challenges as 12 to the alternates, exercise those challenges only as to the 13 positions that have just been drawn that I have just listed 14 15 for you. Any questions? MR. HARMON: No, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Any objections to selecting the 17 alternates in this way? 18 MR. HARMON: No, Your Honor. 19 MR. BROOKS: No, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Thank you very much. At this point 21 we'll take a couple minutes while you converse. Do you wish 22 to have the prospective jury panel in the room so you can 23 see them? I could put them in numerically or do you need to 24

25

do that?

20 1	MR. BROOKS: Not necessary for us, Your Honor.
2	MR. HARMON: It isn't necessary.
3	THE COURT: All right. I'll give you about
4	five minutes and then you can go ahead and we will start the
5	process of striking.
6	(Recess.)
7	THE COURT: Let me take the roll of the jurors
8	that have been qualified for cause.
9	Juror Spruell?
	BADGE NO. 402: Here.
10	
11	THE COURT: Juror Lucido?
12	BADGE NO. 432: Here.
13	THE COURT: Prospective juror Parr?
14	BADGE NO. 405: Here.
15	THE COURT: Prospective juror Gritis?
16	BADGE NO. 406: Here.
17	THE COURT: Prospective juror Cail?
18	BADGE NO. 434: Here.
19	THE COURT: Prospective juror Ewell?
20	BADGE NO. 435: Here.
21	THE COURT: Prospective juror Linkogel?
22	BADGE NO. 409: Here.
23	THE COURT: Prospective juror Wells?
24	BADGE NO. 433: Here.
25	THE COURT: Prospective juror Mesnard?

20 1		BADGE NO. 436: Here.
2	!	THE COURT: Prospective juror Tripp?
3	3	BADGE NO. 412: Here.
4		THE COURT: Prospective juror Souder?
	;	BADGE NO. 420: Here.
C	;	THE COURT: Prospective juror Taylor?
7		BADGE NO. 421: Here.
ε		THE COURT: Prospective juror Dear?
ģ	•	BADGE NO. 426: Here.
10	1	THE COURT: Prospective juror Bourne?
11		BADGE NO. 427: Here.
12		THE COURT: Prospective juror Digiovanna?
13	•	BADGE NO. 437: Here.
14		THE COURT: Prospective juror Hull?
15	•	BADGE NO. 439: Here.
16		THE COURT: Prospective juror Larsen?
17	,	JUROR NO. 442: Here.
16	•	THE COURT: Prospective juror Gushwa?
19	•	JUROR NO. 444: Here.
20		THE COURT: Prospective juror Burris?
21		BADGE NO. 483: Here.
22		THE COURT: Prospective juror Romero.
23	•	BADGE NO. 447: Here.
24		THE COURT: Prospective juror Swartz?
25	i	BADGE NO. 448: Here.
	į.	

20 1	THE COURT: Prospective juror Massar?
2	BADGE NO. 449: Here.
3	THE COURT: Prospective juror Yates?
4	BADGE NO. 455: Here.
5	THE COURT: Prospective juror Harmon?
6	BADGE NO. 458: Here.
7	THE COURT: Prospective juror Fittro?
8	BADGE NO. 461: Here.
9	THE COURT: Prospective juror Purcell?
10	BADGE NO. 462: Here.
11	THE COURT: Prospective juror Pietryman?
12	BADGE NO. 463: Here.
13	THE COURT: Prospective juror Ochoa?
14	BADGE NO. 467: Here.
15	THE COURT: Prospective juror Poulos?
16	BADGE NO. 469: Here.
17	THE COURT: Prospective juror Sheehan.
18	BADGE NO. 472: Here.
19	THE COURT: Prospective juror Fitzgerald?
20	BADGE NO. 473: Here.
21	THE COURT: Prospective juror Hill?
22	BADGE NO. 474: Here.
23	THE COURT: Prospective juror Marshall?
24	BADGE NO. 493: Here.
25	THE COURT: Prospective juror Styff?

1

2

3

5

б

7

8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

BADGE NO. 497: Here.

THE COURT: Prospective juror Rogers?

BADGE NO. 502: Here.

THE COURT: Prospective juror Snavely?

BADGE NO. 506: Here.

THE COURT: The record will reflect then that all 36 prospective jurors that have been approved for cause are present in the courtroom.

Ladies and gentlemen of the prospective jury panel that were not chosen to -- did not reach the jury box for individual voir dire, thank you very much for your attention. You are hereby discharged from jury service in this rotation. Even though you were not picked as jurors nor did you get into the jury box to be interrogated, you provided a very, very important service to us all because we have the strength of numbers to know that we could get a full panel of jurors approved for cause. It is the jury system in this country, ladies and gentlemen, that is one of the most important bastions of our democracy, provides a very important barrier between the government and governed as I indicated to you during orientation. This is very important. It required a commitment by all of you to come and be candid. We appreciate that and again those of you who were not brought into the box for interrogation and approval for cause you are dismissed with the thanks of the

court.

б

mame and address to the bailiff. I need the citation number for your parking ticket. I don't know if I can do anything about it, but I can certainly write a letter asking for some dispensation in your favor because of the extenuating circumstances that caused you to receive your parking ticket.

BADGE NO. 540 Lisa has my ticket to take care of it.

THE COURT: We don't take care of it, that's a bad word, but I will at least write the letter and if you'll come by chambers on Friday, I will return that to you with your letter.

BADGE NO. 540: Thank you.

I'll be at ease while the jurors have been released to depart the confines of the courtroom.

I'll hand the list to counsel for the first peremptory challenge.

Ladies and gentlemen, if you'll be at ease just a moment, we will be with you shortly, I have to take a matter outside your presence. Please remain in place.

During this recess it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, or to read, watch or listen to any

report of or commentary on the trial by any medium of information including, without limitation, newspaper, television and radio, and you are not to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this case until it is finally submitted to you.

The following was held outside

the presence of the panel.)

THE COURT: We are outside the presence of the

jury. The list of peremptory challenges has been provided

to the court. The court has made the appropriate notations

on the jury list to determining that 12 of the 14

unchallenged jurors will be the regularly constituted jurors

and four of the unchallenged alternates will be the

alternate jurors.

At this time I understand that the defense has an objection to two of the State's peremptory challenges.

MR. BROOKS: We do, Your Honor. In the initial pool of unchallenged jurors we had only two black jurors, that being 493, Miss Jones, with the hyphenated and I can't remember it.

THE CLERK: Marshall.

MR. BROOKS: The other juror being 427, that being Mrs. Bourne, B-o-u-r-n-e. The State has used peremptory challenges to eliminate all blacks from the jury. We now are faced with an all white jury panel and we object to that.

THE COURT: Mr. Harmon.

MR. HARMON: Your Honor, I would make the initial observation that we have had a substantial representation on the entire panel of members of the black race.

THE COURT: Also the record will reflect that several of the African American prospective jurors indicated an unwillingness to serve for one reason or another because of their beliefs about the death penalty and their removal from the jury was agreed to by the defense.

MR. HARMON: That is certainly true.

As to juror 427 -- and by the way, Your Honor, we'd like to designate the jury questionnaires both as to Olga Bourne and Adraine Marshall —she is prospective juror 493 -- to be part of the record.

THE COURT: It will be made as court's exhibits
A and B to the trial.

MR. HARMON: The reason for the challenges are really the same, although I will elaborate the specific reasons relating the response of the jurors, but both of these jurors were extremely equivocal regarding capital punishment. In the questionnaire Miss Bourne, who by the way is a well-educated woman to our thinking, obviously intelligent. If this wasn't a capital case, she probably would make a terrific juror. Her problem lies in penalty if

we reach that phase. She mentions regarding the death penalty in the questionnaire — and this is question 35 — the death penalty should be used rarely, if at all. When asked as she was asked in question 51 of the questionnaire if she could personally come into the courtroom and vote for the death penalty if she thought that was appropriate, her answer was I don't know. When asked if we should have capital punishment in the State of Nevada if the matter was left solely up to her, she thought about it and then indicated that she leaned away from the death penalty and actually was undecided on that particular issue.

THE COURT: The court finds that there is a race neutral basis for the peremptory challenge. If the only reason for the challenge was her belief that the death penalty should be applied rarely, that would not be a sufficient justification I would think, but the other justifications were recorded and the court noted them at the time.

MR. HARMON: Thank you, Your Honor.

As I indicated as to Adraine Marshall probably once again a very nice lady. Judge, she made it clear throughout the questionnaire and throughout the court's canvass and my voir dire of her that she simply doesn't have any opinion about the death penalty. I'm assuming that she was being candid, that she was doing her best, but she puts

 us in a position of not knowing. Now, I realize we're asking these people to do it in a vacuum, it's awkward for them, but generally speaking we need some type of commitment that in a proper case they'd be able to do it and Miss Marshall never gave us that. She's a religious woman which frankly I consider to be to her credit, she indicates in the questionnaire she goes to church regularly, she acknowledged that the Bible is important to her during the voir dire, she mentioned that the Bible tells us not to kill and furthermore indicated that she wouldn't be prepared to go against God's will. However, on the other hand there was an ambiguity because she suggested she would try, but the bottom line each time is I don't know what I would do when faced with the decision regarding the death sentence.

when the court asked the question that is asked of all of the prospective jurors do you have any religious, moral or conscientious objection to the death penalty, once again this juror said that's the one I have a problem with.

Judge, it shouldn't have to be a guessing game and I know in the sense that we roll the dice, nobody knows for sure, but we are entitled to have jurors who will commit themselves to an ability to consider the full range of punishments.

In questions 35 and 50 of the questionnaire in terms of the death penalty she's asked what her opinion is

1 2

and she says I don't know how I really feel about the death penalty. In question 50 she is asked if she can personally come back and vote death penalty and the answer was I don't know.

well, we don't know either, Judge, and there are too many other jurors who are willing to consider the full range of punishment.

THE COURT: Mr. Brooks.

MR. BROOKS: Just for the record I'd like the record to reflect that after Mr. Harmon finished asking Miss Jones dash Marshall questions, I specifically asked her if she would consider the death penalty and have her decision depend on the facts and she said she would be open to considering the death penalty at that time and would make her decision according to the facts and evidence.

THE COURT: The court finds that the peremptory challenge is race neutral. The prospective juror of which we are speaking when you consider the totality of the questioning was somewhat equivocal on the commitment to be able to consider all forms of punishment on a fair basis or at least on an open-minded basis.

whether the Batson case will be expanded at some point on the basis that we are excluding minority jurors because of their attitude toward the death penalty and because that attitude may spring from the facts

б

against minorities is another matter, but that has not been reached by the Nevada Supreme Court, the United States Supreme Court and I'm not sure that it is an issue that is yet right from the standpoint of imperical evidence to indicate that a challenge to a minority juror based upon their objections to the death penalty is an appropriate criteria for excluding the juror in a peremptory challenge setting. But again that's a simple matter for future courts

to decide.

(The following was held in the presence of the panel.)

THE COURT: We're back on the record in the presence of the prospective jury panel. The court now makes its announcement relative to the members of the jury panel that have been selected in this case.

Juror number one will be Denise Wright Parr, juror number 405. If you'd please come forward as your name is called and we'll have you take a seat in the jury box where you'll be assigned for the rest of the trial.

Kenneth Edward Gritis, juror number 406.

Jerry Wayne Ewell, 435.

Cheryl Lynn Wells, 433.

Jim Blake Tripp, number 412.

Kellyanne Bentley Taylor, 421.

Bruce Todd Larsen, 442.

2 1	Will counsel approach the bench.
2	(At this time, an
•	off-the-record
3	discussion was held.)
4	THE COURT: Celestina Lucido.
5	David John Mesnard, 436.
6	Marylen Burris — strike that.
7	Michael Joseph Swartz.
8	Mark Gregory Massar.
9	Dana Terry Yates.
10	Glen Eugene Fittro, 461.
31	Lois J. Ochoa.
12	MR. EWING: Your Honor, could we approach.
13	(At this time, an off-the-record
14	discussion was held.)
15	THE COURT: Miss Burris, I'm sorry. I called
16	your name by mistake.
17	Kenneth Ray Fitzgerald, 473.
18	MR. FITZGERALD: It's Kenneth Roy.
19	THE COURT: Wendy Lee Hill, 474.
20	At this point do the parties agree and
21	stipulate that the court has selected the jurors from the
22	list that appear to be the final grouping?
23	MR. HARMON: Yes, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Have I correctly read from the
25	list?

MR. BROOKS: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: At this point then I will call the roll of the jurors to make sure we have everybody in the box.

(Called roll.)

THE COURT: At this point in time I would ask the members of the jury to stand and be sworn.

(Jury sworn.)

Ladies and gentlemen, at this point in time the parties and the court have been here since 8:30 this morning performing the process of jury selection. I am going to declare the evening recess at this time. When we come back on Tuesday we'll have opening statements and your initial jury instructions are designed to outline the process that you'll be undergoing for the rest of the trial.

To the ladies and gentlemen of the jury — MR. BROOKS: I'm sorry. You indicated that they are to come back Tuesday.

THE COURT: Excuse me. Thursday.

thank you very much for your attendance during the jury selection process. I realize it's an onerous process but this has to be done very carefully to make sure that the process is fair. The goal was to pick 12 jurors and four alternates that are fair-minded and can consider all the ...

B

issues in the case. I believe that we have done that. You have my thanks and you are hereby released from jury service in this rotation. Thank you all very much for coming.

During this recess it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, or to read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any medium of information including, without limitation, newspaper, television and radio, and you are not to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this case until it is finally submitted to you.

Ladies and gentlemen, we will not been having court proceedings because of other commitments tomorrow. We will resume trial at ten o'clock on Thursday morning at which time we will begin with your opening instructions, pretrial instructions and the opening statements of counsel or both sides if they wish to give opening statements.

Again, the admonition is a very important thing for you to honor. If you would please refrain from watching any news casts, if you see something on the news that looks like it's going to be about a court matter here locally, please turn away from that station or leave the room or just stay away from the ness for the next couple of weeks any way that you can think you can accomplish that. Of course don't read the newspapers. Again it is very important for you to

understand that you must not do any investigations on your 3 1 2 3 4 5 6 the courtroom. 7 Again you are free to bring coffee, Coke, 8 9 10 11 o'clock. 12 13 parties? 14 15 MR. HARMON: No, Your Honor. 16 17 18 19 20

own, you must not visit any of the places described by any of the witnesses during the trial. You must restrict your verdict to the evidence as is presented to you and with that I wish you a very good evening and we'll see you Thursday morning. We'll be at ease while you depart the confines of

water, whatever you like into the jury room with you just so you're comfortable. Lisa will come and collect you on Thursday morning from the jury room somewhere around ten

Will there be anything further from the

MR. BROOKS: Not from the defense.

(The proceedings concluded.)

ATTEST: Full, true and accurate transcript of proceedings.

25

21

22

23

24

1	DISTRIC	T COURT
2 3	ORIGINAL * * *	FILED IN OPEN COURT * * 0CT 0 9 1996 19
4	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	LORETTA BOWMAN, CLERK
5	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C131341 Deputy
6	Vs) DEPT. NO. VII
7) .
8	JAMES MONTELL CHAPPELL,) DOCKET P)
9	Defendant.)
10		
11		
12	BEFORE THE	HONORABLE:
13	A. WILLIAM MAUPI	N DISTRICT JUDGE
14	TUESDAY, OCTOBER 8	, 1996, 8:40 A.M.
15		
16	VOLUME II - MO	RNING SESSION
17		
18	APPEARANCES:	
19		MBLVYN T. HARMON & ABBI SILVER
		Deputies District Attorney
20		
21		HOWARD S. BROOKS & WILLARD N. EWING
22	:	Deputies Public Defender
23		
24		
25	REPORTED BY: PATSY	K. SMITH, C.C.R. #190



1	INDEX	
2		PAGE
3		
4	JURY SELECTION CONTINUED	
5		
6		
7	DOLORES ROMERO	
8	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. HARMON VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. BROOKS	19
9	YOUR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. BROOKS	23
10	MICHAEL JOSEPH SWARTZ	
11	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MS. SILVER VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. EWING	. 29 30
12	YOUR DIME BANKINGTION DI MI. BRING	30
13	MARK GREGORY MASSAR	
14	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. HARMON VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. BROOKS	35 38
15	AOTH DIME SUBSTITUTION DE LES BROOMS	30
16	DANNA TERRY YATES	
17	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. HARMON VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. BROOKS	43 45
18	VOIN DAME DEMPLEMENTAL DE INCOMO	45
19	ROGER ALAN HARMON	
20	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MS. SILVER VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. EWING	51 55
21	YOUR DING SAMINATION ST MAI BRING	33
22	GLENN EUGENE FITTRO	
23	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. HARMON VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. BROOKS	62 66
24		4 5
25		

1	ANNETTE LYNN PURCELL	
2	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MS. SILVER VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. EWING	72 77
3		• •
4	JOSEPH PIETRYMAN	
5	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. HARMON VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. BROOKS	86 90
6		
7	LOIS J. OCHOA	
8 9	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. HARMON VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. BROOKS	94 96
10	WILLIAM NICHOLAS POULOS	
11	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. HARMON	100.
12	MARYANN SHEBHAN	
13 14	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MS. SILVER VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. EWING	106 108
15	KENNETH ROY FITZGERALD	
16	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. HARMON	113
17 18	MINU TUNN HTTT	
19	WENDY LYNN HILL	110
	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MS. SILVER VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. EWING	118 122
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	TUESDAY, OCTOBER 8, 1996, 8:40 A.M.
2	THE COURT: C131341, State of Nevada versus
3	James Montell Chappell.
4	The defendant is present represented by his
5	counsel. State of Nevada represented by the Deputies
6	District Attorney. This is the continuation of jury
7	selection in this matter.
8	We have now qualified for cause 18
9	prospective jurors and are continuing on with the process.
10	So if we would take the next 14 names from the panel of
11.	prospective jurors and ask them to be seated in the jury.
12	box, we will then re-proceed with jury selection.
13	THE CLERK: Badge number 445, Hannelore T.
14	Kelly, K-E-L-L-Y, badge number 447, Dolores Romero,
15	R-O-M-E-R-O, badge number 448, Michael Joseph Swartz,
16	S-W-A-R-T-Z, badge number 449, Mark Gregory Massar,
17	M-A-S-S-A-R, badge number 455, Danna Terry Yates,
18	Y-A-T-E-S, badge number 458, Roger Alan Harmon,
19	H-A-R-M-O-N, badge number 461, Glenn Bugene Fittro,
20	F-I-T-T-R-O, badge number 462, Annette Lynn Purcell,
21	P-U-R-C-E-L-L, badge number 463, Joseph Pietryman,
22	P-I-E-T-R-Y-M-A-N.
23	MR. BROOKS: I'm sorry, what was that badge
24	number again, please?
25	THE CLERK: 463.

1	Badge number 465, John L. Difillippo,
2	D-I-F-I-L-I-P-P-O.
3	JUROR NO. 465: Your Honor, I would like to
4	say at this time I'm ill. I was up all night last night.
5	THE COURT: Do you have the flu?
6	JUROR NO. 465: Yes, I think so.
7	THE COURT: All right, I will go ahead and
8	excuse you from jury service.
9	THE CLERK: Badge number 467, Lois J. Ochoa,
10	O-C-E-O-A, badge number 469, William Nicholas Poulos,
11	P-O-U-L-O-S, badge number 472, Maryann Sheehan,
12	S-H-E-E-H-A-N, badge number 473, Kenneth Roy Fitzgerald,
13	F-I-T-Z-G-E-R-A-L-D, badge number 474, Wendy Lynn Hill,
14	H-I-L-L.
15	THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and
16	gentlemen. Let me make sure we have everybody in place.
17	We have Ms. Kelly, Ms. Romero, Mr. Swartz, Mr. Massar, Ms.
18	Yates, Mr. Harmon, Mr. Fittro, Ms. Purcell, Mr. Pietryman.
19	A VOICE: Right.
20	THE COURT: Ms. Ochoa, Mr. Poulos (sic)?
21	A VOICE: Poulos.
22	THE COURT: Poulos?
23	A VOICE: Yes.
24	THE COURT: All right.
25	Ms. Sheehan, Mr. Fitzgerald, and Ms. Hill.

1	All right.
2	Are all the attorneys here on the civil
3	matter?
4	A VOICE: I believe so, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Will counsel approach the
6	bench.
7	(Off the record discussion not reported.)
8	THE COURT: All right, we're back on the
9	record in State versus Chappell and, at this point, we will
10	begin the examination of individual prospective juror Ms.
11	Kelly.
12	Good morning.
13	A Good morning, sir.
14	THE COURT: Is there any reason you can
15	think of why you couldn't be fair to both sides in this
16	CASe?
17	A Excuse me, I was awake the whole night
18	thinking about the death penalty. I thought I could handle
19	it, but I can't. I haven't been able to go to sleep. I
20	guess it's my way of operating. I don't know.
21	THE COURT: Well, I'm very sorry that you
22	lost sleep over the issue, but I also respect the concern
23	that you have over the importance of these proceedings.
24	A Yes.
25	THE COURT: And at this point you have a
	DAMEY V CMIMU ARRIGIAN GAUDE DEDARGED

1 problem with actually sitting in a case where the death 2 penalty is a potential? 3 A Yes. THE COURT: And you feel that at this point you couldn't impose the death penalty? 5 THE REPORTER: I didn't hear your answer. 7 THE COURT: You have to speak up. I could not impose the death penalty. 9 THE COURT: And any objection to releasing 10 her at this point? MR. BROOKS: No, we will stipulate, your 11 12 Honor. MR. HARMON: State will stipulate. 13 THE COURT: Thank you very much, ma'am. 14 Again, I appreciate the commitment that you made to come to 15 court and I appreciate your being candid with us this 16 morning. 17 18 Thank you. 19 THE COURT: You can go back to the jury 20 commissioner. THE CLERK: Badge number 476, Jennifer L. 21 Davis, D-A-V-I-S. 22 THE COURT: That's another piece of 23 architectural genius. You notice there is only one way in 24 and one way out of this jury box. 25

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1	Ms. Davis, good morning.
2	A Good morning.
3	THE COURT: Any reason you can think of why
4	you couldn't be fair to both sides in this case?
5	A Absolutely, there is a reason. I was a
6	victim of domestic abuse for approximately 10 years and I
7	would not be a favorable juror in any way for this
8	defendant.
9	THE COURT: All right, shall we stipulate to
10	this?
11	MR. BROOKS: Defense would, your Honor.
12	MR. HARMON: Yes, your Honor.
13	THE COURT: All right, thank you, ma'am, for
14	being candid and for spending these two days with us.
15	A Thank you, Judge.
16	THE CLERK: Badge number 479, Catherine M.
17	Bennett, B-E-N-N-R-T-T.
18	THE COURT: Counsel, since we are using the
19	Arizona method of jury selection, what we're going to do,
20	in the event that we have insufficient jurors to replace
21	the jurors that are disqualified for cause, we will leave
22	those positions opened and them when we bring the rest of
23	the panel back this afternoon, we will fill those in first
24	and then go from there.
25	Do you understand what I'm saying?

1	MR. HARMON: Yes, your Honor.
2	THE COURT: So, for example, if this
3	position here is not filled with a juror for cause, then we
4	will leave position 19 opened until we bring back the next
5	group this afternoon.
6	Ms. Bennett, good morning.
7	A Good morning.
8	THE COURT: Is there any reason you can
9	think of why you couldn't be fair to both sides in this
10	case?
11	A Yes. A few months ago my somebody
12	that I know personally killed his girlfriend and he is
13	still awaiting trial and I'm for the death penalty all the
14	way and just from knowing him, I don't know. I don't know
15	if I could do it.
16	THE COURT: Well, I mean his case is
17	completely different than this case. They are not the same
18	and what you are being asked to do is to make a factual
19	determination relative to the State's burden of proof and
20	then ultimately, if that is satisfied, the penalty and
21	this, while we know our life experiences effect us and
22	effect our judgment, we also know that in this process that
23	jurors must set aside those feelings and assess the facts
24	of this particular case and we have people in that position
25	all the time that can still serve and the question I have

- for you is, is this association with this other person such
- 2 that you can't interact with other jurors, provide your
- 3 input as to what your view of the evidence is, and then
- 4 make an individual determination iπ accordance with your
- 5 own analysis of the facts of the case?
- 6 A I just don't know if I could agree with
- 7 all the other options. I just feel that if someone killed
- 8 somebody, they should get the death penalty. That's just
- 9 the way I feel.
- 10 THE COURT: Well, you know from your own
- 11 experience that each situation is different and each
- 12 person's life is different and is there any set of
- 13 circumstances under which you could consider the other
- 14 options?
- 15 A No, I just don't feel like anyone has a
- 16 right to take a life and I -- no matter what the background
- 17 or anything. That's just the way I feel.
- 18 THE COURT: All right, I'll excuse you from
- 19 jury service at this time, Ms. Bennett. Thank you very
- 20 much.
- 21 THE CLERK: Badge number 480, Henry Walter
- 22 Fryt, Jr., F-R-Y-T.
- 23 A VOICE: This is the hot seat.
- 24 THE COURT: Well, sometimes we have a little
- 25 trouble getting started in the morning.

1	Mr. Fryt or is it Fryt?
2	A It's Fryt, sir.
3	THE COURT: Fryt.
4	Mr. Fryt, is there any reason you can thin
5	of why you couldn't be fair to both sides in this case?
6	A No, I can be extremely fair and lister
7	to all the testimony, but if I can tell you up front, if
8	the individual was found guilty of murder of the first
9	degree, the only way I would go is the death penalty or
10	life in prison without the possibility of parole.
11	THE COURT: The issue in this case,
12	obviously, is first guilt and you have to get past that
13	before you can get to the issue of penalty.
14	A Uh-huh.
15	THE COURT: Once that's been done and the
16	jury finds the defendant guilty of first degree murder,
17	then pursuant to legislative mandate, the jury is then
18	asked to consider one of four possible punishments.
19	Now I realize that is your view coming in,
20	but as a juroz, you can be what you are asked to do is
21	to sublimate your own views of the law and this is one of
22	the reasons why I asked the question in the beginning
23	whether you could follow the Court's Instructions.
24	Can you make an intellectual decision that
25	you can consider the other all four forms of penalty,

even though you philosophically don't believe in two of 1 2 them? 3 Well, unfortunately, I read the news too much, I see too much TV and the news too much and I 5 have been around long enough to see too many people getting off with serving so much time, then getting off and going 6 7 right back out and doing the same crime over and over 8 again. I think the judicial system is very, very 9 weak and I think that's the reason why our life styles out 10 there are so reckless. People just don't pay for their 11 crimes and I have got kids and I just firmly believe if you 12 are going to kill someone -- I mean, I do understand the 13 difference between an accidental death, premeditated 14 15 death --THE COURT: There is no question but if this 16 defendant is convicted of first degree murder, one of the 17 elements that has to be satisfied is premeditation. 18 19 Right. THE COURT: And for lack of a better term, 20 it means it was done on purpose. 21 That's right. I think that the 22 prosecuting attorneys would love me and the defense 23 attorneys would despise me because I would go after all I 24 could and I would try everything in my power to persuade my 25

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1 comrades to do the same. 2 MR. BROOKS: We will challenge for cause, 3 your Honor. THE COURT: All right, the challenge is granted. Mr. Fryt, thank you very much for your candor 5 this morning. We will leave position 19 open for the time being. 9 Ms. Romero, good morning. 10 Good morning. THE COURT: Is there any reason you can 11 think of why you can't be fair to both sides? 12 13 Well, I was in a abusive marriage and I 14 think it would be very stressful for me. 15 THE COURT: Well, the process here is not 16 pleasant. There is no question about that and it would require a commitment by you to be fair to both sides in the 17 case and it won't be as stressful as you might imagine 18 19 because this is simply a matter of -- since there is no 20 right or wrong answer from an individual juror, the 21 individual juror has simply an obligation to be fair, come to an individual conclusion, and provide it when you are in 22

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

serve as a juror in a case like this? The fact that you

With that in mind, would you be able to

Page: 729

the jury room.

23

24

25

1	have had life experiences does not necessarily disqualify
2	you from jury service. It simply provides a medium of
3	examination to make sure that you can be a fair juror to
4	both sides of the case.
5	A Well, I can consider all the evidence
6	and be fair. I feel I can.
7	THE COURT: All right. Can you wait until
8	all the evidence is in before coming to any conclusion
9	about any issue in the case?
10	A Yes.
11	THE COURT: And have you, any member of your
12	family or any friends of yours ever been arrested?
13	A Yes, one son.
14	THE COURT: And can you tell us a little bit
15	about that.
16	A Yeah, him and a friend broke into a
17	neighbor's house.
18	THE COURT: This is when they were young?
19	A Yes, teenagers.
20	THE COURT: And he went through the juvenile
21	justice system
22	A Yeah, he was put on probation.
23	THE COURT: and everything?
24	A And we took him to counseling.
25	THE COURT: And he's grown-up and he is

1	A Yes, ha's okay now.
2	THE COURT: He is doing fine?
3	A Yes.
4	THE COURT: Anything about the way that case
5	was handled that might effect your thinking in this case?
6	A No, sir.
7	THE COURT: Have you or any member of your
8	family or friends ever been the victim of a crime and we'll
9	talk about the domestic violence issue in just a second;
10	other than that?
11	A Well, just breaking into my home and my
12	daughter's home has been broken into and my sister's.
13	THE COURT: The police were called on those
14	oddasions?
15	A Yes.
16	THE COURT: Did the police handle the matter
17	as well as they could?
18	A Yes.
19	THE COURT: Do you have any ill feelings
20	toward any police agency as a result of those
21	interactions?
22	A No.
23	THE COURT: And, on the other hand, is there
24	anything about the fact of those incidents that might
25	effect your judgment in a case like this one?

1	A No.
2	THE COURT: Let's turn to the issue of your
3	marriage. I don't want to belabor the point because we are
4	not here to make this an unduly and unpleasant experience
5	for you, but we need to find out at this point as to
6	whether that life experience would prevent you from being
7	able to hear all the evidence in this case and weigh,
8	first, whether or not the State had met its burden of proof
9	beyond a reasonable doubt?
10	A No.
11	THE COURT: Now you indicated earlier you
12	thought this might be a stressful situation?
13	A Yeah.
14	THE COURT: Will you avoid consciously going
15	into a transserence into the jury room, that is thinking
16	about your case
17	A Right.
18	THE COURT: in analyzing this case?
19	A Yes, I can avoid that.
20	THE COURT: You think you can set it aside?
21	A Yes.
22	THE COURT: Will you be able to do the same
23	thing on the issue of penalty?
24	A Yes.
25	THE COURT: And will you be able to consider

1	all four forms of penalty in the event that we get to that
2	point?
3	A Yes.
4	THE COURT: Do you have any moral,
5	conscientious, or religious objections to the death
6	penalty?
7	A No.
8	THE COURT: Have you ever been on a jury
9	before?
10	A Yes.
11	THE COURT: How many times?
12	A Once.
13	THE COURT: Was it
14	A In California.
15	THE COURT: Was it a criminal or civil case?
16	A Burglary.
17	THE COURT: Without telling us what the
18	verdict was, was your jury able to reach a verdict?
19	A Yes.
20	THE COURT: And was there anything about
21	that experience that would effect your judgment in this
22	case?
23	A No.
24	THE COURT: So you've heard the jury
25	instructions on reasonable doubt before and you will be

1	able to analyze the instructions as they are read to you
_	
2	here?
3	A Yes.
4	THE COURT: And as they relate to the case
5	of course?
6	You have to answer out loud.
7	A Yes.
8	THE COURT: Thank you.
9	Any concern about adverse public opinion
10	that might result from any verdict in the case?
11	A No.
12	THE COURT: And have you ever been involved
13	in any kind of court proceeding as a witness or a party?
14	A No, just divorce.
15	THE COURT: If you were involved in this
16	case in any way, as a member of one of the families
17	involved or a witness, would you feel comfortable with 12
18	jurors with your sense of judgment and fairness in sitting
19	on the case?
20	A Yes.
21	THE COURT: State of Nevada may inquire.
22	MR. HARMON: Thank you, your Honor.
23	• • •
24	• • •
25	• • •

ı	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. HARMON:
3	Q Ms. Romero, good morning.
4	A Good morning.
5	Q In the questionnaire, you told us that
6	this case sounded familiar to you?
7	A Yes. I listen to the news every night
8	and I also read the newspaper and it sounded vaguely
9	familiar, but I'm not sure.
10	Q You are not sure?
11	A No. It sounds familiar, but, yet, at
12	the same time, it might be a different case.
13	Q During the course of the trial, if you
14	should remember something that you were exposed to in
15	connection with the publicity about the case, would you be
16	able to set that out of your mind?
17	A Yes.
18	Q You understand the importance of basing
19	a verdict solely upon the evidence introduced during the
20	trial?
21	A Yes.
22	Q A true and just verdict must be based
23	upon the evidence applying to that the Court's legal
24	instructions?
25	A Yes.

1	Q	Now, you've served as a juror on
2	another occasion?	
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Is it once or various times?
5	A	Once.
6	Q	You said you found it very boring.
7	A	Well, I sat for about a week before we
8	went in the jury ro	on.
9	Q	Before you were picked?
10	A	Right.
11	Q	Well, I'm not making any guarantees to
12	you in this case.	
13	A	Right, I know.
14	Q	It may be equally boring.
15	A	Uh-huh.
16	Q	Are you willing, nevertheless, to serve
17	on the case where t	he charges involve murder, burglary, and
18	robbery?	
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Do you feel you are the type of person
21	who would be able t	o make the difficult decisions required
22	of a juror in this	type of case?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	You suggested that you felt, and this
25	is in the questionn	aire, some pressure from your workplace

1	in having to be away	?
2	A .	Yes. Well, they don't like me to ever
3	miss because they do	n't have a replacement for me. The
4	office staff has to	do my job.
5	Q	Well, assuming they will be able to
6	manage, do you think	that you can focus on the trial
7	proceedings while the	e Court is in session?
8	A S	Yes.
9	Q	And not be worried unnecessarily about
10	how things are going	on at work?
11	A S	Yes.
12	Q	You mentioned that you have one son who
13	had been arrested ber	fore?
14	A T	Jh-huh, yes.
15	Q I	think you said it was for a burglary?
16	A 1	Jh-huh, yes.
17	Q I	As I recall, from the questionnaire,
18	you indicated drugs m	may have been involved also?
19	A S	les.
20	Q I	How long ago was this?
21	A I	About 20 years ago. He's in his 30s
22	now. So he was a tee	enager then.
23	Q I	Do you remember what type of drugs?
24	A 1	Marijuana. They said the neighbor was
25	growing it and they w	went into that neighbor's house with a

1	friend.
2	Q And I take it, given the remoteness in
3	time, you wouldn't harbor any type of ill feeling towards
4	the criminal justice system as a result of what happened in
5	his case?
6	A No.
7	Q You described yourself as a follower.
8	You said that
9	A Well
10	Q "I'm timid and shy?"
11	A Well, I have always been shy. I've
12	never been outgoing, but I'm overcoming it.
13	Q If we select you, are you going to be
14	willing to participate actively in the deliberation of this
15	case?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And you'll certainly be willing to
18	express your views and listen to those of the other
19	jurors?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Regarding the issue of punishment, if
22	that should become a responsibility of the jury, you were
23	asked, toward the end of the questionnaire, this is the
24	question, "If you believe the evidence warranted the death
25	penalty, could you personally vote to impose the death

1	penalty?" And your answer at that time was, "Don't know?"
2	A Well, it all depends on the evidence
3	and
4	Q That is certainly true and we wouldn't
5	be asking you to prejudge the case. At this point, you
6	know very, very little about it. Just hypothetically
7	speaking, though, assume for the moment for the purpose of
8	the question that Mr. Chappell was convicted of murder of
9	the first degree and assume also that, at the conclusion of
10	a penalty hearing, it was your earnest opinion that the
11	appropriate punishment would be a death sentence, do you
12	think you would have the intestinal fortitude to come back
13	to the courtroom and personally vote for such punishment?
14	A Yes.
15	MR. HARMON: Thank you.
L6	Pass for cause, your Honor.
L7	THE COURT: For the defense.
L8	MR. BROOKS: Thank you, Judge.
L9	
20	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. BROOKS:
22	Q Ms. Romero, taking Mr. Harmon's
2.3	question and changing it around a little bit, assuming we
24	went through the trial and you did not feel the facts
25	warranted first degree murder, but rather a lesser

1	conviction, are you willing to stand up for that belief?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And if we did go into a penalty phase
4	and you felt the evidence did not warrant a death penalty,
5	you would be opened to all three of the other penalties?
6	A Yes.
7	Q I'm sure that you can understand that
8	we really appreciate, a few moments ago, I think, with Mr.
9	Fryt and his honesty about what he said and I think you
10	probably agree that there are a lot of people that feel
11	that way?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Do you understand how we are concerned
14	about that type of view, the view that someone is going to
15	be an advocate in the jury box from the get-go for one side
16	or the other?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Do you think it's right that the jury
19	consist of people who are willing to put aside that type of
20	view?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Obviously, what we want to do is to get
23	a fair trial for James, we want the jury to consider all
24	the options, listen to all the arguments and not have his
25	mind made up from the beginning and we want the jury to

apply the presumption of innocence. How do you feel about 1 2 the presumption of innocence? 3 A I think he's innocent until proven guilty. 4 5 Q Are you willing to apply that б throughout the trial as you evaluate all the facts? 7 A Yes. Is there anything about you that we 8 should know right now that you think we should know in 9 terms of deciding whether or not you should be on the 10 jury? 11 12 No. Anything you would like to know, I 13 will answer you. MR. BROOKS: Thank you. 14 We would pass for cause, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: All right, I have just been 16 advised that I have a matter I have to take up in 17 chambers. If you will all just relax, this will only take 18 about less than five minutes and I'm going to be right 19 back. So if you will just be at ease. You can stand up in 20 the jury box or whatever, but I will be right back. 21 During this break, it is your duty not to 22 converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any 23 subject connected with this trial or to read, watch, or 24 listen to any report of or commentary on this trial or any 25

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1	person connected with this trial by any medium of
2	information, including, without limitation, newspapers,
3	television, or radio, and you are not to form or express an
4	opinion on any subject connected with this case until it is
5	finally submitted to you.
6	If you want to use the facilities also, you
7	are certainly welcomed to, but I will be back here by 20
8	after the hour.
9	
10	(Off the record at 9:13 a.m. and back on the
11	record at 9:20 a.m.)
12	
13	THE COURT: We were just about to conduct an
14	interview with Mr. Swartz. Let me make sure where I am in
15	the room here. My grid here doesn't mirror the same way
16	this is set up.
17	So, Mr. Swartz, am I pronouncing your name
18	correctly?
19	A Yes.
20	THE COURT: Is there any reason you can
21	think of before coming to any conclusion about the case?
22	A Yes.
23	THE COURT: Have you, any member of your
24	family or friends ever been arrested?
25	A I have.

1	THE COURT: Tell us about that.
2	A It was a DUI about in 1981, I guess,
3	when I was young.
4	THE COURT: Well, you still look young, at
5	least in my age group.
6	Anything about that experience in your life
7	that would effect your thinking here?
8	A Oh, no.
9	THE COURT: Hold any hard feelings against
10	the police agencies or prosecution agencies?
11	A Not at all.
12	THE COURT: Have you, any member of your
13	family or friends of yours ever been the victim of a
14	crime?
15	A Yes.
16	THE COURT: Tell us about that.
17	A My apartment was burglarized, my
18	parents' house was burglarized, excuse me, and that's about
19	it.
20	THE COURT: Anything about those experiences
21	that would effect your judgment in this case?
22	A No, not at all.
23	THE COURT: Again, you don't have any ill
24	feelings toward the police about how the matter was
25	handled?

1	A No.
2	THE COURT: And you don't have any ill
3	feelings about that that would effect your thinking with
4	regard to the defendant's cause in this case?
5	A No.
6	THE COURT: Ever been on a jury before?
7	A No.
8	THE COURT: Any concern about adverse public
9	opinion that might result from a verdict in this case?
10	A No, not at all.
11	THE COURT: Ever been a participant in a
12	court proceeding as a witness or a party?
13	A No.
14	THE COURT: Do you have any religious,
15	philosophical, conscientious or moral objections to the
16	imposition of the death penalty?
17	A No.
18	THE COURT: Can you give fair consideration
19	to all statutory forms of penalty in the event that you are
20	caused to deliberate on that issue?
21	A Yes.
22	THE COURT: If you were involved in this
23	case yourself, would you feel comfortable with 12 jurors
24	just like you sitting in judgment on the matter?
25	A Yes, I would.

1	THE COURT: State of Nevada may inquire.
2	MS. SILVER: Thank you, your Honor.
3	
4	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
5	BY MS. SILVER:
6	Q You have stated in your questionnaire
7	that your wife had been a victim of domestic violence when
8	she was a child?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And she's obviously talked with you
11	about that experience?
12	A Yes, she has.
13	Q Do you think that, as a juror in this
14	case, you could put the fact that your wife had been abused
15	by her parents and give the defendant in this case a fair
16	trial?
17	A Yes, I do.
18	Q And you recognize that all jurors, each
19	one selected of all 12, each bring with them different life
20	experiences, but that together using those life experiences
21	and listening to the evidence collectively you would be
22	able to make a decision in this case?
23	A Yes.
24	Q I noticed here that you would actually
25	like to be a juror?

1		A	Uh-huh.
2		Q	You have to say yes or no for the
3	record.		
4		A	Yes.
5		Q	Do you think you could be fair and
6	impartial to bo	oth B	ides in this case?
7		A	Yes, I do.
8		Q	And you can consider all four forms of
9	punishment?		
10		A	Yes.
11		MS. 8	SILVER: Thank you.
12		We w	ould pass this juror for cause.
13		THE (COURT: All right, thank you.
14		MR. I	WING: Thank you, your Honor.
15			
16		7	OIR DIRE EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. EWING:		
18		Q	Mr. Swartz, when you were arrested for
19	the DUI 14, 15	years	ago
20		A	Uh-huh.
21		Q	did you have a trial?
22		A	No.
23		Q	Were you ever represented by counsel?
24		A	No.
25		Q	In your jury questionnaire, you

1	indicate that the death penalty is not appropriate in all
2	cases of murder?
3	A Correct.
4	Q But you also indicate that it may be
5	appropriate in a case in a ghastly murder, even if there is
6	no premeditation?
7	A I think attempt.
8	Q Do you understand the only way we will
9	have a penalty phase is if you find premeditation?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Can you think of circumstances or do
12	you think there are circumstances where a premeditated
13	murder might deserve a fifty year sentence?
14	A Yes, there are.
15	Q And you also think there might be
16	circumstances where a first degree murder may deserve a
17	life sentence
18	A Yeah.
19	Q with the possibility of parole?
20	It appears that you also believe that
21	someone's background should be considered?
22	A Yes, I do.
23	Q In assessing a sentence, as well as the
24	facts of the case?
25	A Yes.

1	Q Do you feel that someone's background
2	is interwoven with the facts of the case to a certain
3	extent?
4	A I wouldn't know that until I heard the
5	evidence.
6	Q Do you think it's possible, though?
7	A It's possible.
8	Q Do you feel like you could give James a
9	fair trial?
10	A Yes, I do.
11	Q Do you feel like that's important?
12	A Very important.
13	MR. EWING: Pass for cause.
14	THE COURT: All right, thank you.
15	All right, Mr. Massar, good morning.
16	A Good morning.
17	Q Any reason you can think of why you
18	couldn't be fair to both sides in this case?
19	A No, there's not.
20	THE COURT: Can you wait before all the
21	evidence is in before coming to any conclusion about any
22	issue in the case?
23	A Yes.
24	THE COURT: Have you, any friends of yours
25	or family members ever been arrested?

1	A Three years ago my girlfriend was
2	arrested for two counts of felony DUI.
3	THE COURT: Was she ultimately convicted on
4	those?
5	A Yes, she was.
6	THE COURT: Now that's a charge that
7	requires mandatory imprisonment. Did she go to trial? Was
8	she convicted of a charge that required her to be
9	incarcerated?
10	A It's a strange story, your Honor, and
11	it's a very long story, but all I really know is that she
12	was given five years probation.
13	THE COURT: All right.
14	Anything about the way the police handled
15	that case or about the fact of that case that would effect
16	your thinking in here?
17	A No, there's not.
18	THE COURT: Do you have any ill feelings or
19	any negative thoughts toward law enforcement as a result of
20	the way her case was handled?
21	A Absolutely not.
22	THE COURT: And any feelings of any kind
23	that might effect your judgment in this case?
24	A No.
25	THE COURT: Have you, any member of your

1	family or any friends of yours ever been the victim of a
2	crime?
3	A No.
4	THE COURT: Have you ever been on a jury
5	before?
6	A No.
7	THE COURT: Any concern about adverse public
8	opinion?
9	A No.
10	THE COURT: Ever been involved in a court
11	proceeding as a witness or a party?
12	A No.
13	THE COURT: Do you have any religious,
14	conscientious or moral objections to the imposition of the
15	death penalty?
16	A No.
17	THE COURT: Can you give fair consideration
18	to all four forms of punishment in the event that you reach
19	that issue in a penalty phase of the trial?
20	A Absolutely.
21	THE COURT: If you were involved in this
22	case, would you feel comfortable with 12 jurors just like
23	you sitting in judgment on the matter?
24	A No question about it.
25	THE COURT: State of Nevada may inquire.

1	MR. HARMON: Thank you, your Honor.
2	
3	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. HARMON:
5	Q Good morning, sir.
6	A Good morning.
7	Q In your questionnaire, you suggested
8	that you hadn't personally experienced any type of domestic
9	violence situations, but your co-workers and friends?
10	A I hear about it a lot. It's very
11	epidemic. I think in this jury panel we see that it occurs
12	a lot.
13	Q As it has been mentioned, there may
14	very well be evidence in this case of domestic violence.
15	Is that going to effect your ability to be fair and
16	impartial?
17	A No, sir.
18	Q On this same subject, you, in terms of
19	how persons are effected by it, gave this answer,
20	"Emotionally, it seems to take a heavy toll. They become
21	bitter, weary, and resentful."
22	Who were you talking about?
23	A Generally, the people that I have
24	conversation with, victims of domestic violence.
25	Q You were referring to the state of mind

1	of the victims
2	A Yes.
3	Q of domestic violence?
4	You suggested, in terms of the seriousness
5	of the various punishments for murder of the first degree,
6	that, in your opinion, a life sentence is probably
7	harsher?
8	A Yeah. In fact, I was thinking on
9	Sunday, they had the movie Papillon and in that, he was
10	given life imprisonment and that can be sometimes worse
11	than the death penalty. I think it can be a more severe
12	punishment.
13	Q It would depend a lot, though, on the
14	circumstances of the facility where the individual is
15	incarcerated?
16	A I wouldn't say. I would still say it's
17	pretty much a wasted life.
18	Q There's no doubt that for the quality
19	of life, anyone would choose freedom.
20	A Right.
21	O Do you understand, if it becomes the
22	jury's duty to fix a punishment, the Court would provide
23	additional instructions explaining the law which applies?
24	. A Yes, sir.
25	Q But would you strive, to the best of
	PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1 your ability, to follow the Court's Instructions at every 2 phase of the trial? 3 I would have no choice, yes. Because you have a personal view about 5 the severity of life in the state prison, would that effect 6 your ability to follow the Court's Instructions regarding 7 punishment? I don't quite understand. 9 Q Well, I'm wondering because we could, I suppose, debate philosophically whether a death sentence is 10 the more severe or, as you have suggested, a life sentence 11 12 is the most harsh. If you thought in this case that Mr. 13 Chappell was deserving of the most harsh punishment, are 14 15 you telling us that you would vote for a life sentence or that you would follow the Court's Instructions and apply 16 those to the evidence and circumstances and then from the 17 facts of this case vote for the punishment you thought was 18 19 the most appropriate? I believe that, based on the facts, I 20 21 would have no trouble making the proper decision based on 22 what the rules were. I wouldn't try to -- if the rules 23 distated that he was to get life or whatever, I would follow that. I wouldn't try to, because of my personal 24 beliefs or intentions, try to sway to some other decision.

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

Page: 753

25

1	Q Are you telling us then that if you
2	thought, from the circumstances of this case and from the
3	law which apply to it, that a life sentence was proper, you
4	certainly would vote for that?
5	A Yes, sir.
6	Q And, likewise, if you concluded, after
7	everything has been presented, that the death sentence was
8	the proper punishment, would you have the strength of your
9	conviction to vote for that punishment?
10	A Yes, I would.
11	Q How do you feel about serving as a
12	juror on this case?
13	A I believe that I will make a good
14	juror. I don't have any preconceptions about guilt or
15	innocence or anything. I think I'm a very factual person.
16	Most people say that I would make a good juror.
17	MR. HARMON: Thank you.
18	Pass for cause, your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Thank you.
20	For the defense.
21	
22	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. BROOKS:
24	Q Mr. Massar, one thing I'm always
25	curious about, we spend so much time in here talking about

1	the death penalty and what happens if a person is convicted
2	of first degree murder, does that suggest to you, in any
3	way, that we are conceding first degree murder?
4	A Absolutely not.
5	Q You understand that this is just a
6	hypothetical situation we have to address?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And you understand that we're
9	contesting that first degree murder all the way?
10	A Yes.
11	Q I thought your answers to some of the
12	questions had a little bit of a sense of humor to them. I
13	was hoping you would let me share this. I got a kick out
14	your answer to, "Do you consider yourself a follower?" You
15	said, "Where I lead, no one wants to follow." I got a kick
16	out of that.
17	Do you know why that is?
18	A My social skills I think.
19	Q You have also mentioned in here that,
20	in talking about a defendant's background, you didn't feel
21	in terms of what you felt yourself, your gut feeling, that
22	a defendant's background should really matter in deciding a
23	punishment. The Court is going to tell you the law says
24	you should consider that. How would you resolve that
25	conflict between your gut feeling and what the law says?

1	A That's interesting. I wouldn't
2	couldn't answer you unless I thought about it for awhile.
3	I don't have an answer offhand.
4	Q Well, if the Court says consider the
5	background evidence you hear, would you consider the
6	background evidence?
7	A I'd feel compelled to, sure.
8	Q You would follow the Court's
9	Instructions?
10	A Oh, absolutely.
11	Q Also, you had mentioned one of the
12	statements was the facts surrounding the killing and not
13	the killer's background should be the main consideration in
14	determining punishment. You agreed with that statement.
15	Do you understand the Court is going to tell you to
16	consider both?
17	A To consider could you repeat that?
18	Q The Court is going to tell you to
19	consider both the background and the facts surrounding the
20	killing.
21	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, I don't want to
22	quibble, but I don't agree with the way that question is
23	phrased. I would submit the Court is going to explain that
24	the jury consider certain things, but the Court isn't going
25	to dictate to the jury what they have to consider and

1	that's the inferences.
2	MR. BROOKS: I quibble with Mr. Harmon's
3	statement. I think the law is clear. They must consider
4	the information given from the jury box and that includes
5	evidence considering background.
6	MR. HARMON: Not true.
7	MR. BROOKS: They must consider it.
8	THE COURT: Well, it's a semantical
9	difference, but I will allow the question.
10	A VOICE: I think definitely, if I think
11	about the case of my girlfriend, that there was a lot of
12	background that went into the decision and it should be
13	considered and I don't think I would have a problem doing
14	that.
15	Q (BY MR. BROOKS) If you were James
16	Chappell, would you want someone like yourself being on the
17	jury?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And you will have no problem applying
20	the presumption of innocence to the facts of this case?
21	A Absolutely not.
22	MR. BROOKS: Thank you.
23	We'll pass for cause, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Brooks.
25	Ms. Yates, good morning.

1	A Hi.
2	THE COURT: Any reason you can think of why
3	you couldn't be fair to both sides in the case?
4	A No, sir.
5	THE COURT: Can you wait until all the
6	evidence is in before coming to any conclusion about any
7	issue in the case?
8	A Yes.
9	THE COURT: Have you, any member of your
10	family or any friends of yours ever been arrested?
11	A A cousin for DUI.
12	THE COURT: Anything about that experience
13	in that person's life that would effect your thinking
14	here?
15	A No, sir.
16	THE COURT: Have you, any member of your
17	family or any friends of yours ever been the victim of a
18	crime?
19	A No, sir.
20	THE COURT: Ever been on a jury before?
21	A No.
22	THE COURT: Any concern about adverse public
23	opinion that might result from a verdict in this case?
24	A No.
25	THE COURT: Ever been a participant in a

1	court proceeding as a party or a witness?
2	A No.
3	THE COURT: Any objection, moral,
4	conscientiously or religiously, to the death penalty?
5	A No, sir.
6	THE COURT: Can you give fair consideration
7	to all four forms of punishment that the Nevada legislature
8	has enacted with regard to first degree murder?
9	A Yes.
10	THE COURT: If you were involved in this
11	case yourself as a participant, a witness, a member of
12	anyone's family that's involved in the case, would you feel
13	comfortable with 12 people just like you sitting in
14	judgment on that?
15	A I believe so.
16	THE COURT: State of Nevada may inquire.
17	MR. HARMON: Thank you.
18	
19	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. HARMON:
21	Q Good morning.
22	You mentioned in the questionnaire that
23	there had been family members who had drug or alcohol
24	problems?
25	A Uh-huh.

1	Q Would you elaborate.
2	A It was the same cousin and a couple
3	others and I know my father before that was before he
4	married my mother had some alcohol problem.
5	Q Is this anything that you have
6	experienced personally with them or something you just
7	heard about?
8	A No, it never directly effected me at
9	all.
10	Q I take it from your answer then, it
11	would not have any effect then on your attitude toward this
12	case?
13	A No.
14	Q How do you feel about the opportunity
15	of serving as a juror on this type of case?
16	A I think it's interesting. I'm
17	honored. I believe in our system.
18	Q If it was left solely up to you, would
19	we have capital punishment in the State of Nevada?
20	A As an option, yes.
21	Q Do you agree that gender or race or
22	prejudice of any kind is not an appropriate basis for a
23	verdict?
24	A Oh, yes, sir. It's not appropriate at
25	all.

1	MR. HARMON: Thank you.
2	We would pass for cause, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Thank you.
4	For the defense.
5	
6	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. BROOKS:
8	Q Ms. Yates, I have asked this question
9	of other people and it's a real important consideration for
10	us. We spend so much time here during this process talking
11	about the death penalty and life without the possibility of
12	parole and other considerations. You understand that we
13	absolutely are not conceding first degree murder?
14	A Yes, sir.
15	Q You understand we're addressing this
16	simply in the event that we get to that point in order that
17	you can fairly consider all four sentences?
18	A Yes, sir.
19	Q When you look at the idea of convicting
20	a person of first degree murder and consider the
21	possibility of a fifty year sentence with eligibility for
22	parole after 20 years, how do you feel about that
23	particular sentence?
24	A It would depend on the circumstances.
25	It would depend on the trial itself.

1	Q So would you consider that?
2	A If it was appropriate. Yeah, it could
3	be appropriate.
4	Q You would be as opened to that sentence
5	as you would be to all the other sentences?
6	A Yes.
7	MR. BROOKS: Thank you.
8	We will pass for cause, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Thank you.
10	Mr. Harmon, good morning.
11	A Good morning, sir.
12	THE COURT: Any reason you can think of why
13	you couldn't be fair to both sides in the case?
14	A No, sir.
15	THE COURT: Can you wait until all the
16	evidence is in before coming to any conclusion about any
17	issue in the case?
18	A Yes, sir.
19	THE COURT: Have you, any members of your
20	family or any close friends of yours ever been arrested?
21	A Quite a few of my friends, sir.
22	THE COURT: Tell us a little bit about it.
23	Anything serious?
24	A Well, I'm retired military and been all
25	over the world. We military have some high spirited young

-	men and they will up dettind in a little bit of trouble
2	here and there.
3	THE COURT: Anything serious?
4	A Nay.
5	THE COURT: What about branch of service
6	were you in?
7	A I was in the CPs for 21 years.
8	THE COURT: Is there anything about the
9	experiences that your friends had over the years with the
.0	MPs or the shore patrol that would effect your thinking i
.1	this case?
2	A No, sir.
.3	THE COURT: Anyone in your family, you or
.4	friends of yours ever been the victim of a crime?
.5	A Yes, sir.
.6	THE COURT: Tell us a little bit about
7	that.
8	A Well, my second wife's next to oldest
9	sister was killed by her husband and he was convicted,
0	spent 18 years in prison, and I have seen the results of
1	what happened to the three kids.
2	THE COURT: Sure.
3	A And how it effected the rest of the
4	family.
5	THE COURT: And that must have been quite

1	serious obviously.
2	A Yes, sir.
3	THE COURT: Now, let's take your view of
4	that situation and apply it to the courtroom here.
5	Is there anything about your knowledge of
6	that family experience that would effect your thinking on
7	the issue of guilt or innocence in this case or on the
8	issue of penalty?
9	A No, sir.
10	THE COURT: You can put that aside and pick
11	the right penalty, if we get to that point?
12	A Yes, sir.
13	THE COURT: And you can put the State to its
14	burden of proof
15	A Yes, sir.
16	THE COURT: in this case?
17	In other words, before you can find the
18	defendant guilty of anything, the State must eatisfy the
19	very stringent burden that the case be proved beyond a
20	reasonable doubt?
21	A Yes, sir.
22	THE COURT: And when you get in the jury
23	room, you won't be applying your family situation to this
24	situation?
25	A No, sir.

1	THE COURT: In other words, you will be
2	using all your life experiences and, of course, you can't
3	put that aside, but you can use all of your life
4	experiences to determine what the appropriate result should
5	be in this case on any of the issues you may be called upon
6	to decide?
7	A Yes, sir.
8	THE COURT: Ever been on a jury before?
9	A No, sir.
10	THE COURT: I don't know how you managed to
11	escape that.
12	A Well, they say for 21 years I
13	travelled. I was never in the states more than three
14	months at a time.
15	THE COURT: Are you looking forward to
16	serving as a juror?
17	A It's another job, sir.
18	THE COURT: Another job you consider an
19	important obligation of citizenship?
20	A Yes, sir.
21	THE COURT: Any concern about adverse public
22	opinion from any verdict?
23	A I never have cared what the public
24	thought.
25	THE COURT: And have you ever been involved

1	in any court proceedings as a witness or a party?
2	A Well, just divorce proceeding and
3	adoption, sir.
4	THE COURT: Nothing about those
5	experiences
6	A No, sir.
7	THE COURT: that would effect your
8	thinking about this case?
9	A No, sir.
10	THE COURT: Do you have any religious,
11	philosophical or moral objections to the imposition of the
12	death penalty?
13	A No, sir.
14	THE COURT: Can you give fair consideration
15	to all four statutory forms of penalty?
16	A Yes, sir.
17	THE COURT: And if you were involved in this
18	case yourself in any way, would you feel comfortable with
19	12 jurors just like you sitting in judgment on that?
20	A Yes, sir.
21	THE COURT: State of Nevada may inquire.
22	MS. SILVER: Thank you, your Honor.
23	• • •
24	• • •
25	• • •

1	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
2	BY MS. SILVER:
3	Q You stated in your questionnaire that
4	your wife's sister
5	A Yes, sir, or ma'am.
6	Q that was murdered, that she had been
7	a victim of domestic violence as well?
8	A For about 12 years.
9	Q So she was with this person that killed
10	her for about 12 years before he killed her?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Do you know whether or not she tried to
13	leave him?
14	A Five or six different times, but some
15	people and, like in her case, just seem to have a compeling
16	need to go back.
17	Q Do you think
18	A And that's what happened.
19	Q You stated that they had three children
20	in common as well?
21	A Yes, ma'am.
22	Q Were the children present during the
23	acts of domestic violence?
24	A Yes, ma'am.
25	Q Do you know whether or not they were

-	attaccad by Iti					
2	A Well, when it was all over with, my					
3	wife's mother had to take in the two youngest ones. The					
4	oldest one, he went in the army and in the process of doing					
5	that, it broke their family apart and her and her husband					
6	wound up being divorced down the line, but all the kids					
7	grew up pretty the only one that had any problems out of					
8	it was the daughter, youngest daughter, and she runaway					
9	from home a week before graduating from high school.					
10	Q So it seemed to have a negative effect					
11	on everyone involved?					
12	A Well, it's like dropping a stone into a					
13	pond. It makes one small ripple and then it travels					
14	further on and further out.					
15	Q Now, you stated that she tried to leave					
16	him five or six times. Were you aware or do you have any					
17	knowledge of whether or not he became more violent with her					
18	when she tried to leave him?					
19	A Well, all I can tell you is what my					
20	wife told me. This happened when I was in the service and					
21	I was away from home. So all I know is what is actually					
22	hearsay.					
23	Q Okay.					
24	A And my wife she went to my wife and					
25	first husband a couple times to get away from him and he					

1	always come back, she would go back with him, and the last				
2	time she was in living with my brother-in-law in Georgia				
3	and she decided to go back to Alabama. When she went back				
4	there, two days later, he blew her apart with a shotgun.				
5	Q Do you think she deserved what she got				
6	with the shotgun				
7	A No.				
8	Q because she kept going back to him				
9	repeatedly?				
10	A No.				
11	Q Is there ever a situation that you can				
12	envision that a woman deserves that type of treatment,				
13	whether it's to be killed				
14	A No person.				
15	Q or beaten?				
16	A No person deserves that treatment.				
17	Q Do you think people ought to be held				
18	accountable for their actions?				
19	A Definitely.				
20	Q Do you think in this case that, even				
21	though you've had this life experience, at least through				
22	your wife, that you could put that experience aside				
23	because, undoubtedly, there's going to there may be some				
24	inferences as to domestic violence in this case and you				
25	could separate that and be fair to both the State and the				

1	defense?			
2	A Well, let me explain it this way. What			
3	happened to my wife and her family is all in the past and			
4	we can't live in the past. We have to go ahead in the			
5	future and whether things were right or whether things were			
6	wrong, I was not there to judge and it was not my job to			
7	judge and everybody is accountable for their actions and			
8	they will account to God when the time comes no matter what			
9	we say or what we do.			
10	Q So, again, then you think you could be			
11	fair and you could judge the facts of this case alone			
12	without going back to what had happened?			
13	A Yes, ma'am.			
14	Q And you, again, could consider all			
15	forms of punishment?			
16	A Yes, ma'am.			
17	MS. SILVER: Thank you.			
18	We would pass for cause.			
19	THE COURT: Thank you.			
20	For the defense.			
21	MR. EWING: Thank you, your Honor.			
22	, , ,			
23	• • •			
24	• • •			
25				

1	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION				
2	BY MR. EWING:				
3	Q Mr. Harmon.				
4	A Yes, sir.				
5	Q When this tragic incident occurred in				
6	your family and your wife's sister was killed, you were				
7	overseas?				
8	A Yes, sir.				
9	Q Were you overseas for the entire				
10	duration of the proceeding?				
11	A Well, I have to explain what happened.				
12	My wife now, which is my second wife, her and I grew up				
13	together. I left home when I was 19. Ten days after I				
14	graduated from high school, I joined the army. I was gone				
15	three years.				
16	When I came back, she had gotten married.				
17	So I turned around and I joined the navy. When I retired				
18	from the navy, I came out here and she had remarried and				
19	moved in with Anna for 16 years. So it was only 20 years				
20	there where I never seen her or anything else and she was				
21	on her way to California to live with her brother and she				
22	got my address from my brother in Florida. So she stopped				
23	by to see me and I talked with her and gave her five days				
24	to rest up and I told her, "This is as far as you are				
25	going." So I paid for her divorce. Then her and I got				

1	married.			
2	So she is my childhood sweetheart is			
3	actually what it was.			
4	Q So you weren't even together during			
5	this situation?			
6	A No.			
7	Q Do you know if there was a trial?			
8	A Yes. From what I understand is what			
9	came through the trial. Her father and her mother and her			
10	brother was there at the courthouse and was waiting for			
11	court to start and then he had to go to the restroom and on			
12	the way down, he glanced in another court down the hallway			
13	and found out that's where it was. So he went back to get			
14	his mother and dad. By the time they got there, it was			
15	already over and done with and they never had their say or			
16	anything else.			
17	Q So they never testified			
18	A No.			
19	Q is what you are saying?			
20	You indicated, in your jury questionnaire,			
21	that you don't particularly like crowds?			
22	A No, sir, I don't.			
23	Q Do you feel, though, you would be able			
24	to interact with the jury?			
25	A Well, the jury is not a crowd.			

1	Q Can you talk with them and discuss your
2	views with them, could you do that?
3	A Yes, sir.
4	Q Feel comfortable doing that?
5	A Yes, sir.
6	Q You indicated earlier that you felt
7	strongly that people should be held accountable for their
В	actions?
9	A Yes, sir.
10	Q Do you feel there are dircumstances
11	where a sentence, other than death, would be holding
12	someone accountable for a first degree murder?
13	A Well, let me put it this way. A person
14	has choices in life from the day he was born. He had a
15	choice either to do something or he had a choice not to do
16	it. It's up to the person what choice to make and
17	Q That's true.
18	A for every choice you make there is
19	an action and reaction.
20	Q My question for you specifically,
21	though, do you feel there are situations where someone
22	could be sentenced to 50 years for first degree murder and
23	that would be holding them accountable for their actions?
24	A Yes, sir.
25	Q You indicate also in your jury

1	questionnaire that you don't feel that someone's background				
2	should be considered when assessing a punishment?				
3	A No, sir, I don't. Like I say, a person				
4	had choices. They can choose the environment they are in,				
5	they can move out of it or they can change it. It's up to				
6	their choice what they wanted. You don't have to flow with				
7	the stream. You can swim against it or you can get out.				
8	Q Do you feel like different people have				
9	different strengths to get out of certain situations?				
10	A Everybody develops their own				
11	strengths.				
12	Q If you were to hear evidence from the				
13	witness stand about an individual's abilities and				
14	strengths, would that be something that would effect your				
15	decision?				
16	'A No, sir.				
17	Q If the Judge instructed you that you				
18	could consider a person's past in assessing sentence, would				
19	you follow that instruction?				
20	A Yes, sir.				
21	Q Would you consider a person's past?				
22	A Depending on the evidence that was				
23	presented, yes, sir.				
24	Q You would evaluate the evidence and if				
25	you felt there was something in their past that was				

1	important, you could consider that?			
2	A	Yes, s	ir.	
3	м	R. BWING:	Pass for cause.	
4	T	HE COURT:	All right, thank you.	
5	м	lr. Fittro?		
6	A	Yes.		
7	T	HE COURT:	Am I pronouncing your name	
В	correctly?			
9	A	Yes.		
10	т	HB COURT:	Any reason you couldn't be fair	
11	in this case?			
12	A	No.		
13	T	HE COURT:	Can you wait until all the	
14	evidence is in before coming to any conclusion about any			
15	issue in the cas	e?		
16	A	Yes.		
17	T	HE COURT:	Have you, any member of your	
18	family or any fr	iends of y	ours ever been arrested?	
19	A	Yes, I	have.	
20	T	HE COURT:	And tell us a little bit about	
21	that.			
22	A	That w	as about 23 years ago and this	
23	was for theft and	d I did a	plea bargain and the sentence was	
24	five years proba	tion and l	ater, it was reduced to three	
25	years.			

1	THE COURT: And I take it, ultimately, your
2	civil rights were restored and everything has been fine
3	since?
4	A Yes.
5	THE COURT: Anything about that experience
6	with the justice system that would effect your thinking in
7	a case like this?
8	A No. This was in the State of
9	Illinois. So I don't think so.
10	THE COURT: It's a long time ago and that
11	was then and this is now, right?
12	A Yes.
13	THE COURT: Is there anything about that
14	experience that would cause you, for example, to increase
15	the burden the State would have to meet to find the
16	defendant guilty?
17	A No.
18	THE COURT: Is there anything about that
19	experience that would lessen that burden?
20	A No.
21	THE COURT: So you would be able to follow
22	the Court's Instructions on the burden of proof?
23	A I believe so.
24	THE COURT: So you won't be carrying that
25	experience into the jury room?

1	A No.
2	THE COURT: You can set it aside?
3	A Yes.
4	THE COURT: Anything about strike that.
5	Have you ever been a victim of a crime or a
6	member of your family or close friends of yours ever have
7	that experience?
8	A No.
9	THE COURT: Ever been on a jury before?
10	A No.
11	THE COURT: Ever been involved in a court
12	proceeding as a witness or a party?
13	A No.
14	THE COURT: Any concern about adverse public
15	opinion that might flow from any verdict you might render
16	in this case?
17	A No.
18	THE COURT: And do you have any moral,
19	conscientious or religious objection to the death penalty?
20	A No.
21	THE COURT: And if you were involved to
22	serve as a juror in this case, would you be able to give
23	fair consideration to all four statutory forms of penalty?
24	A Yes.
25	THE COURT: And if you were involved in this

1	case, would you yourself, as a participant, witness, member
2	of a family of anyone involved in the case, would you feel
3	comfortable with 12 people like you sitting in judgment on
4	the case?
5	A Yes.
6	THE COURT: State of Nevada may inquire.
7	MR. HARMON: Thank you, your Honor.
8	
9	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. HARMON:
11	Q Good morning, sir.
12	A Good morning.
13	Q Appreciating that what happened in
14	Illinois occurred a long time ago and I certainly don't
15	want to belabor the point, Mr. Fittro, but, nevertheless,
16	were you satisfied with the way the criminal justice system
17	approached your situation?
18	A No, not exactly. I feel I was
19	represented by an attorney poorly in that he told me that
20	the record could be expunded quickly and it turned out not
21	to be true. Then I went for appeal and the State wouldn't
22	hear the appeal. So I was a little upset on both sides.
23	Q Was there anything about the way law
24	enforcement, what I mean by law enforcement, anything about
25	the investigation and the police involvement that concerns

1	you?
2	A No.
3	Q There will certainly be police officers
4	testifying in this case and understanding you said, just a
5	moment ago, that was Illinois and, of course, this is
6	Nevada, do we have your complete assurance that your
7	unfortunate experience many years ago there would not
8	effect, in any way, your attitude toward this case?
9	A Yes, you have my assurance.
10	Q How do you feel about the opportunity
11	of serving as a juror on this type of case?
12	A I prefer not to, but if required, I
13	would go ahead.
14	Q From your answer, I take it if you are
15	basically drafted, if we accept you, that you are willing
16	to serve?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Do you feel that you can do so fairly
19	and impartially?
20	A I believe so.
21	Q Now, you suggested in the questionnaire
22	in one of your answers that there might be a problem if the
23	parties involve persons of different races?
24	A Yeah, that's a possibility. I may have
25	a problem.

1	Q I want to make sure I understand what
2	you are saying. Are you talking about a different race
3	than you or are you talking about the victim and the
4	defendant being of different races?
5	A The victim and the defendant.
6	Q If I suggest to you in this case that,
7	even though the evidence will show that they have been
8	boyfriend and girlfriend, that they were not of the same
9	race, is that going to be a factor that would be troubling
10	to you to the point that you can't render equal and exact
11	justice both to Mr. Chappell, the defendant, and to the
12	prosecution?
13	A I believe it could be.
14	Q We've asked a number of the other
15	prospective jurors if they agreed with the principle that
16	race and gender and, in fact, any type of prejudice is not
17	a proper basis for a verdict. Mr. Fittro, do you agree
18	with that notion?
19	A With the verdict?
20	Q Yes.
21	A Yes.
22	Q Are you going to do your very best to
23	focus solely upon the evidence and the Court's legal
24	instructions in reaching decisions in this case?
25	A Yes.

1	Q If you were called upon to impose a
2	punishment, would that be such a burden that you couldn't
3	handle it?
4	A No, I think I could handle it.
5	Q If it was left solely up to you, would
6	we have capital punishment in the State of Nevada?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Would we have life sentences also for
9	murder of the first degree?
10	A Yes.
11	Q If, after you had heard everything and
12	it was your responsibility, as a member of this jury, to
13	impose a punishment, if, after you considered all of the
14	circumstances and the law, it was your earnest belief that
15	this was a case for capital punishment, would you be able
16	to come to the courtroom and personally vote for that
17	penalty?
18	A Yes.
19	MR. HARMON: Thank you. Pass for cause.
20	THE COURT: For the defense.
21	• • •
22	
23	• • •
24	
25	

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. BROOKS:
3	Q Mr. Fittro, with the events of a long
4	time ago and the unfortunate problems with your lawyer and
5	all, any problems toward defense attorneys stemming from
6	that?
7	A No, I don't think so.
8	Q You won't hold us responsible for what
9	happened a long time ago?
10	A No.
11	Q Mr. Harmon, the man sitting next to
12	you, not this Mr. Harmon, just told a really compeling
13	story about domestic violence and he also made an
14	interesting statement. He said people have choices, people
15	make choices. Do you think that's absolutely totally
16	true?
17	A Yes, I think so.
18	Q Do you think the woman who ended up
19	being killed in that case chose to stay in that
20	relationship?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And do you think that because she chose
23	to do that, she sort of, in some way, even though she
24	didn't deserve to be killed, influenced the events?
25	A No, I don't think so.

1	Q So when you say that some people do
2	things where they don't really want to or they are not of
3	choice?
4	A Yes.
5 .	MR. BROOKS: Thank you. We'll pass for
6	cause.
7	THE COURT: Counsel approach the bench.
8	(Off the record discussion not reported.)
9	THE COURT: Well, we have been here almost
10	an hour and a half, other than the slight delay in getting
11	you up in here in the courtroom. Is everybody
12	comfortable? Anybody want a break?
13	That probably overrules the rest of us. We
14	will take our morning recess at this time.
15	At this time, ladies and gentlemen, I would
16	remind you it is your duty not to converse among yourselves
17	or with anyone else on any subject connected with this
18	trial or to read, watch, or listen to any report of or
19	commentary on this trial or any person connected with this
20	trial by any medium of information, including, without
21	limitation, newspapers, television, or radio, and you are
22	not to form or express an opinion on any subject connected
23	with this case until it is finally submitted to you.
24	We will be restarting at 15 minutes after
25	the hour. We will be at ease while you depart the confines

1	of the courtroom.
2	
3	(At this time, the jury left the courtroom.)
4	
5	THE COURT: All right, we are outside the
б	presence of the jury.
7	Immediately following the voir dire
8	examination of Mr. Fittro, I asked the attorneys to
9	approach the bench to discuss the fact that defense counsel
10	did not ask any follow-up questions on the issue of Mr.
11	Fittro's concern over sitting as a juror in a case where
12	there was a where the victim and the defendant were
13	or the victim and the alleged perpetrator were of different
14	ethnicity. Mr. Brooks indicated, at the bench, that he
15	chose not to go into that any further on the issue, that it
16	outs both ways, and he made a tactical decision not to
17	inquire further of this juror.
18	Do you wish to affirm that on the record at
19	this time?
20	MR. BROOKS: That's correct, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: All right. With that in mind,
22	we will be in recess.
23	
24	(Off the record at 10:05 a.m. and back on
25	the record at 10:20 a.m.)

1	
2	THE COURT: All right, we were about to
3	begin our questioning of Ms. Purcell. The record will
4	reflect the presence of all parties and counsel.
5	Good morning, Ms. Purcell.
6	Any reason you can think of why you couldn't
7	be fair to both sides in the case?
8	A No, sir.
9	THE COURT: Can you wait until all evidence
10	is in before coming to any conclusion about any issue in
11	this case?
12	A Yes, sir.
13	THE COURT: Let me catch my breath here.
14	Have you, any member of your family or
15	friends of yours ever been arrested?
16	A Just some friends of mine when I was in
17	the military.
18	THE COURT: Anything serious?
19	A No.
20	THE COURT: Just weekend beats?
21	A Uh-huh.
22	THE COURT: Anything about that experience
23	that would effect your judgment in this case?
24	A No, sir.
25	THE COURT: Have you, any member of your

1	family or friends of yours ever been the victim of a crime?
2	A No, sir.
3	THE COURT: Ever been on a jury before?
4	A No, sir.
5	THE COURT: Ever been involved in a court
6	proceeding as a witness or a party?
7	A As a witness.
8	THE COURT: All right, tell us a little bit
9	about that.
10	A Just a traffic dispute. I was a
11	witness.
12	THE COURT: Anything about that that would
13	effect your thinking in a case like this?
14	A No.
15	THE COURT: Any concern about adverse public
16	opinion that might result from a verdict in the case?
17	A No.
18	THE COURT: Do you have any religious, moral
19	or conscientious objections to the imposition of the death
20	penalty?
21	A No.
22	THE COURT: This is obviously the most
23	serious allegation that can be lodged in the criminal court
24	and the most serious penalty is being sought. Can you give
25	fair consideration to all statutory forms of punishment,

1	all four forms of punishment provided by our legislature?
2	A It would depend on the case.
3	THE COURT: That's true, but you are kind of
4	in a vacuum in jury selection. You haven't heard the
5	evidence in the case yet, but you have kind of gotten the
6	gist of the case so far.
7	A Uh-huh.
8	THE COURT: Do you believe, at least
9	generally knowing what you know now, that you could give
10	fair consideration to all four forms of punishment and keep
11	an open mind until you hear all the case?
12	A Knowing what I know now, yes.
13	THE COURT: If you were involved in this
14	case in any way, a member of any of the families, if you
15	were a witness in the case, would you feel comfortable
16	knowing that the jurors had your sense of fairness and were
17	sitting on the juxy?
18	A Yeah.
19	THE COURT: All right. State of Nevada may
20	inquire.
21	MS. SILVER: Thank you.
22	• • •
23	• • •
24	• • •
25	• • •

1	VOIR DIRB EXAMINATION
2	BY MS. SILVER:
3	Q You answered one of the Judge's
4	questions, you said, when he asked you, "Could you be fair
5	on this jury," you said, "Knowing what I know now, yes?"
6	A Uh-huh.
7	Q Is there something that's changed?
8	A No. I just feel that if it's a
9	person's first offense, then all four sentences should be
10	considered, but if this is there second offense or more,
11	then the only consideration to me would be the death
12	penalty because they have been given a chance to better
13	themselves.
14	Q What type of offense are we talking
15	about when you say repeat offender?
16	A Murder. If they have committed a
17	murder and they have been given parole and they have done
18	it again, I feel the only sentence should be death.
19	Q Okay.
20	But knowing what you know about this case as
21	of yet
22	A Uh-huh.
23	Q you believe that you can be fair as
24	towards all punishments?
25	A Right, uh-huh, knowing what I know

1	now.
2	Q Even if someone hadn't committed a
3	murder before
4	A Uh-huh.
5	Q could you consider all three
6	forms
7	A Yes.
8	Q of punishment, including the death
9	penalty?
10	A Yes.
11	Q I noticed in here, from your
12	questionnaire, you stated that, "Have you or anyone you
13	know been a victim of domestic violence," and you said,
14	"Yes, your mother had been abused verbally by an alcoholic
15	father?"
15 16	father?" A Uh-huh.
16	A Uh-huh.
16 17	A Uh-huh. Q From that statement, then you recognize
16 17 18	A Uh-huh. Q From that statement, then you recognize that domestic violence can be emotional or verbal abuse as
16 17 18 19	A Uh-huh. Q From that statement, then you recognize that domestic violence can be emotional or verbal abuse as well as physical?
16 17 18 19 20	A Uh-huh. Q From that statement, then you recognize that domestic violence can be emotional or verbal abuse as well as physical? A Uh-huh.
16 17 18 19 20 21	A Uh-huh. Q From that statement, then you recognize that domestic violence can be emotional or verbal abuse as well as physical? A Uh-huh. THE REPORTER: Is that answer yes?
16 17 18 19 20 21	A Uh-huh. Q From that statement, then you recognize that domestic violence can be emotional or verbal abuse as well as physical? A Uh-huh. THE REPORTER: Is that answer yes? Q (BY MS. SILVER) I'm sorry, you have to
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Uh-huh. Q From that statement, then you recognize that domestic violence can be emotional or verbal abuse as well as physical? A Uh-huh. THE REPORTER: Is that answer yes? Q (BY MS. SILVER) I'm sorry, you have to say yes or no because she is taking down the record.

1	forms than just phys	sical?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Do you believe that sometimes verbal
4	and emotional abuse	can be just as bad as physical abuse?
5	A	I think sometimes it's worse.
6	Q	Was that in your case?
7	. A	Yes.
8	Q	Were you present during these
9	situations?	
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	And that's something that you witnessed
12	yourself them?	
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Because of this now in your adult life,
15	do you feel that no	w that you've seen this,
16	automatically we	11, strike that.
17	Beca	use you have had this experience in your
18	family background,	do you think now that this automatically
19	will make you a vic	tim of domestic violence because of your
20	mother?	
21	A	No, because I'm more aware of the
22	symptoms of it.	
23	Q	So you believe that you have a choice
24	now in what you wil	l do in your future relationships?
25	A	Correct.

1	Q	Because you've seen this in your
2	background, you can	go one way or the other?
3	A	Right.
4	Q	Do you have any brothers or sisters?
5	A	I have a younger brother.
6	Q	Was he also present during this
7	A	Yes, he was.
В	Q	abuse?
9	Do y	ou think that he would automatically be,
10	say, a perpetrator	of this type of abuse because he has
11	seen this?	
12	A	No.
13	Q	Do you think he too has a choice in his
14	future relationship	s as well?
15	A	Yes, he does.
16	Q	Nevertheless, do you feel that you and
17	your brother, perha	ps, emotionally have been effected by
18	this?	
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Do you feel that you, to some extent
21	and your brother, h	ave been victims of what you have seen?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	I just wanted to make sure I'm correct,
24	you can again consi	der all four forms of punishment in this
25	case?	

1	A Yes.
2	Q And going back, although you've had
3	this particular experience in your background, do you think
4	that you could put it aside and listen to the facts in this
5	case because, undoubtedly, as you've heard from the
6	questions that it is alleged that there has been domestic
7	violence in this case?
8	A I can put it aside.
9	Q Do you think you can put that aside and
10	be fair and impartial to both the defendant and the State
11	in this case?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Do you think that because, as you've
14	heard in this case from my co-counsel's opening statement,
15	that there were three children involved also in this case,
16	that you could put that aside and listen to the facts of
17	this case and be fair, again, to both the State and the
18	prosecution?
19	A Yes, I can.
20	MS. SILVER: Thank you.
21	We would pass this juror for cause.
22	THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.
23	For the defense.
24	MR. EWING: Thank you, your Honor.
25	

1	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. EWING:
3	Q Ma'am, how long was your father an
4	alcoholio?
5	A From the time I can remember until my
6	mother took me and my brother out of the home and we left
7	him when I was 13. So from the time I can remember until I
8	was 13 and probably past that, but I wasn't with him. So I
9	don't know.
10	Q Did you see him much after you moved
11	out?
12	A No.
13	Q Did he ever try to quit drinking
14	alcohol?
15	A My brother went back to live with him
16	about two years after my mother pulled both of us out and
17	my brother did get him into AA meetings and such. So he is
18	a recovered alcoholio now.
19	Q Did you consider his alcoholism
20	something he couldn't control?
21	A No. I feel he could have controlled
22	it.
23	Q Do you feel like he had a disease of
24	any type because of his alcoholism?
25	A To me, he had a disease, yes, but he

1	didn't realize it at the time. He wouldn't accept the
2	fact.
3	Q Do you feel like, let's say, for
4	example, your father had been charged with a crime, do you
5	feel like his alcoholism should have been, in any way, a
6	consideration of how that crime was dealt with or handled?
7	A No, I don't feel it should be
8	considered because I think that even when you are drinking,
9	you make that choice just as if you were drunk, you make
10	the choice to do whatever you do. Your actions you are
11	still responsible for your actions no matter if you are
12	under the influence of alcohol, drugs or whatever.
13	Q I think we all agree that you are still
14	responsible and I'm not trying to suggest that because he's
15	an alcoholic, that should excuse the action, but do you
16	think the alcoholism is something that should be
17	considered?
18	A No.
19	Q Not in any circumstance?
20	A Uh-huh.
21	THE REPORTER: Is that no?
22	THE JUROR: No.
23	Q (BY MR. BWING) In your jury
24	questionnaire, you indicate that the death penalty is
25	appropriate for repeat offenders?

1	A Yes.
2	Q And then, when Ms. Logue was
3	questioning you further Ms. Silver was questioning you
4	further, I apologize, you said that just applied to
5	murders?
6	A Yes.
7	Q So if someone were, for example, had a
8	criminal history for committing burglaries, do you think
9	that person deserved the death penalty if they were later
10	convicted of first degree murder?
11	A Depending on the circumstances
12	surrounding the conviction of the murder.
13	Q So the burglary really wouldn't matter
14	that much?
15	A It would matter, but not to the extent
16	of the murder.
17	Q What about crimes like misdemeanors,
18	petty largenies, things like that?
19	A In those cases, I feel that, as the law
20	is in California, the Three Strikes Law. I believe in
21	something like that. If you have given somebody repeat
22	chances to better themselves and they haven't, then maybe
23	they should be incarcerated for life. Maybe not the death
24	penalty, but maybe they should have been given a life
25	sentence because they have been given more than one chance

1	to better themselves.
2	Q So any type of criminal history then
3	would enter into your decision when considering
4	punishment?
5	A Yes.
6	Q What about positive things about the
7	individual, about their past, would that enter into your
8	decision?
9	A Yes.
10	MR. EWING: I pass for cause.
11	THE COURT: All right, thank you.
12	Mr. Pietryman, am I pronouncing your name
13	correctly?
14	A You are doing a good job, uh-huh.
15	THE COURT: Any reason you can think of you
16	why you couldn't be fair in this case?
17	A No.
18	THE COURT: Can you wait until all the
19	evidence is in before coming to any conclusion about any
20	issue in the case?
21	A Yes.
22	THE COURT: Have you, any member of your
23	family or any close friends of yours ever been arrested?
24	A No.
25	THE COURT: Anyone in your family, you or

1	close friends of yours ever been the victim of a crime?
2	A Well, apartment robbed, car stolen,
3	stuff like that.
4	THE COURT: When you may you were robbed,
5	are you talking about an actual confrontation?
6	A No, no.
7	THE COURT: Or burglary?
8	A They broke into the apartment I think
9	once or twice and that's the normal crime. Like in New
10	York, it will happen. They don't even bother with that
11	there.
12	THE COURT: All right.
13	Anything about the way those matters were
14	handled that would effect your thinking in this case?
15	A No, just took a report and that's about
16	it.
17	THE COURT: Never found the perpetrator?
18	A I don't even think they looked.
19	THE COURT: Anything about the fact of that
20	incident or those incidents that would effect your thinking
21	in this case?
22	A No, it's just a way of life.
23	THE COURT: Have you ever been on a jury
24	before?
25	A Two times.

1	THE COURT: Criminal or civil?
2	A Both.
3	THE COURT: One of each?
4	A I spent most of the time in the jury
5	room. That's what I did, but I did have one trial. I was
6	picked for another one and it was settled and the other on
7	was mistrial, a criminal; they threw it out.
В	THE COURT: All right.
9	A So I really didn't come to a decision.
10	THE COURT: So you've never really got to
11	the point where you were deliberating with other jurors?
12	A No. I have got about a week or two
13	into the jury room and it was a mistrial.
14	THE COURT: Anything about that experience
15	that would effect your thinking in this case?
16	A A little confusion. That's about all,
17	you know, what was going on. Why it was a mistrial and
18	everything because it was in the middle, everything had
19	stopped and just cleared it and chased everybody out.
20	THE COURT: Was it because the jury was
21	unable to reach a verdict?
22	A Oh, it wasn't a jury. It was the
23	lawyers. Something happened. They was screaming and
24	yelling the whole trial. So.
25	THE COURT: All right.

1	Hopefully, we will not have that kind of
2	interchange here and I trust we won't given the
3	professionalism of these lawyers.
4	A I don't know about that.
5	THE COURT: Anything about that experience
6	at all that would effect your thinking here?
7	A No.
8	THE COURT: Any concern about adverse publi
9	opinion that might result from any verdict in the case?
10	A No.
11	THE COURT: Have you ever been involved in
12	any court proceeding as a witness or a party?
13	A No.
14	THE COURT: Any religious, conscientious or
15	moral objections to the imposition of the death penalty?
16	A Well, death penalty bothers me. I
17	think it's a very hard thing to do, you know, but
18	THE COURT: But can you?
19	A It would bother me a little bit. It's
20	one of those things.
21	THE COURT: So what you are saying is that
22	you have some reservations about it, but you could impose
23	it, if it was the proper case?
24	A I guess I'm like the lady over there.
25	When you wake up that morning, you will really know when

1	you have to do it. I never had to do it.
2	THE COURT: Well, this is that moment of
3	reckoning in your case, I guess.
4	A Well, if you put me on the jury, that
5	would be the moment.
6	THE COURT: Well, before you can qualify to
7	sit on the jury, we have to know some things about your
8	A Yeah.
9	THE COURT: ability to deliberate on all
10	the issues and that's why we are asking these questions of
11	everyone.
12	A I understand.
13	THE COURT: Do you have a philosophical
14	objection to the death penalty that would prevent you from
15	imposing it or at least concluding that it should be
16	imposed?
17	A No, but I feel, you know, really I
18	think it's necessary, uh-huh.
19	THE COURT: So you can then give fair
20	consideration to all the statutory forms of punishment?
21	A I think I can.
22	THE COURT: Can you give fair consideration
23	in a proper case to the death penalty, if you think it's
24	appropriate?
25	A I think I could.

1	THE COURT: Can you give fair consideration
2	to life without the possibility of parole?
3	A Yes.
4	THE COURT: And, again, this is only if we
5	deliberate on the issue of penalty.
6	A Yes. I'm just saying what I feel like
7	right now.
8	THE COURT: And do you think you can give
9	fair consideration to life with parole or 50 years with
10	parole?
11	A Right.
12	THE COURT: If you were involved in this
13	case, would you feel comfortable with 12 people with your
14	sense of fairness and judgment?
15	A Well, I feel comfortable about myself
16	in this. Everybody would have to make their own opinion.
17	THE COURT: Right, but let's say you were
18	involved if this case some way. You were a member of one
19	of the families involved or you were a witness, would you
20	feel comfortable
21	A I think they are
22	THE COURT: with 12 people just like you
23	on the case?
24	A I think they are all honest, hard
25	working people here from what I see.

1	THE COURT: No, we are talking about you.
2	A Oh, yes, I feel comfortable. Sorry.
3	THE COURT: You would feel comfortable if
4	people were like you on the jury?
5	A Yes.
6	THE COURT: All right, the State may
7	inquire.
8	MR. HARMON: Thank you, your Honor.
9	
10	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. HARMON:
12	Q Good morning, sir.
13	A Good morning.
14	Q In the questionnaire, you indicated
15	that you weren't real anxious to serve as a juror. You
16	said you had a hard time making decisions?
17	A Summons. I had a chance to be a
18	supervisor and everything on the job, I just didn't want to
19	come around firing people. Things like that. It was
20	required. I would have a hard time with decisions.
21	Q As we mentioned to a number of other
22	prospective jurors, we're certainly not suggesting that it
23	shouldn't be hard to make a decision in this type of case.
24	It's not easy, it shouldn't be easy.
25	A Oh, you want people who care.

1	Q We want people to be very conscientious
2	and very sincere in approaching the case.
3	A Uh-huh.
4	Q Do you feel, sir, that you are the type
5	of individual who can objectively consider the evidence in
6	this case realizing the importance of the decisions to be
7	made?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And then reach a decision?
10	A Yes.
11	Q When asked about the criminal justice
12	system, you said that it needs to have more common sense.
13	A Okay, so.
14	Q Will you elaborate. What do you mean
15	by that?
16	A Well, every time I served, I spent a
17	lot of time doing nothing, spent, you know, four or five
18	times and like I said, a lot of arguing and, you know,
19	bickering. Sometimes, you know, you see decisions made
20	like in the newspapers or something like that where people
21	get out for murder or something like that. I feel the I
22	don't know. It's more balanced or something like that.
23	Q Now you mentioned in the questionnaire,
24	when asked about punishment, you said that the death
25	sentence should be imposed for brutal crimes?

1 Yes. For brutal crimes, I would say 2 for -- like we had in New York, they had the case where the 3 guy murdered 19 people and something like that, I think you need the death penalty and, also, they also gave him 19 separate trials. That's what I meant by common sense. So 5 it was up to five when I left. So I didn't think that was 7 necessary. Do you feel that in order for capital punishment to be appropriate, it would have to be multiple 9 10 murders? For example, in the case of a single victim, can you imagine a set of circumstances where you would believe 11 that the death sentence was appropriate? 12 13 I would have to hear the case. I couldn't tell you right offhand. 14 15 Well, you properly said to the Court, a few minutes ago, that the moment would be if we select you 16 as a juror? 17 That's it. No, that's the moment of A 18 19 truth. But I think he also properly said 20 21 either to you or someone else, we are operating a little 22 bit in a vacuum here because you haven't yet been selected and you, of course, don't know the facts and circumstances 23 of the case. 24 I have no opinion. 25 A

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1	Q However, we need to know that we are
2	getting jurors who would consider the full range of
3	responsibilities that are required.
4	A Uh-huh.
5	Q And, for example, if a juror is just
6	predisposed totally in the event of needing to fix a
7	punishment to a life sentence and, yet, said that he or she
8	could consider the full range of punishments, that
9	obviously wouldn't be fair and, on the other hand, if
10	someone was simply incapable of genuinely considering
11	capital punishment and yet, said, that they could, even
12	though you are right, this isn't the moment, but still we
13	need to know about that. Are you quite confident, as you
14	sit here now, that you can meaningfully consider all four
15	of the punishments if called upon to impose a penalty in
16	this case?
17	A I would try my best to do something
18	like that and when it comes down to it, when I get up that
19	morning, I guess that's when I'll know.
20	MR. HARMON: Thank you.
21	Pass for cause.
22	THE COURT: For the defense.
23	• • •
24	• • •
45	

PLEADING CONTINUES IN INTERIOR OF THE TENTON OF THE TENTON

101 1 1 We pass for cause, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Brooks. 3 4 **EXAMINATION** 5 BY THE COURT: 6 7 Q Miss Wells, good afternoon. Hi. Α 8 9 Any reason you can think of why you couldn't be fair to both sides in this case? 10 11 Α No. 12 Q Can you wait until all the evidence is in before coming to any conclusion about any issue in this case? 13 14 Α Yes. 15 Have you or any member of your family or any 16 close friends of yours ever been arrested? 17 Α Yes. 18 Tell us a little bit about that. Q 19 Α Myself about ten years ago for a DUI. 20 Is there anything about how that was handled or 21 the experience in and of itself that would affect your thinking in this case? 22 23

Α No.

> That was then, this is now? Q

25 Α Right.

24

•		102
1 1	Q	Do you have any feelings of hostility left over
2	toward the	police as a result of that?
3	A	No.
4	Q	So you believe you could give both sides a fair
5	trial in t	the case?
6	A	Uh-huh.
7	Q	You have to answer out loud.
8	A	Oh, yes, sir. I'm sorry.
9	Q	Have you or any member of your family or close
10	friends of	yours ever been the victim of a crime?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Tell us about that.
13	A	I was robbed by a boyfriend about thirteen,
14	fourteen y	rears ago. Actually maybe even longer than that.
15	Q	When you say robbed, was this by force or was
16	this	
17	A	I was not home.
18	Q	Okay. This was a theft of your home?
19	A	Yeah. I came home and he had wiped out my
20	house.	
21	Q	Did the police respond to that?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Anything about how the police handled that
24	situation	that would affect your thinking?

No.

25

Α Yes.

21

22

23

24

25

And do you have any religious, moral or conscientious objections to the imposition of the death penalty?

Α No.

If you were involved in this case would you feel 0

104 comfortable with twelve jurors just like you sitting in 1 1 judgment? 2 Α Yes. 3 THE COURT: State of Nevada, you may inquire. MR. HARMON: Thank you, your Honor. 5 6 7 **EXAMINATION** 8 BY MR. HARMON: 9 Mrs. Wells, you had mentioned to the Court the 10 incident involving a boyfriend quite a few years ago. 11 Uh-huh. 12 Α You understand that even when someone has a 13 0 relationship with another human being, that other person 14 15 can still victimize? Α Yes. 16 It doesn't change the damage to your apartment 17 or any loss you suffered because this fellow had been a 18 boyfriend, did it? 19 State that again. I don't think I understand. 20 You said when you came home you caught him doing 21 something. 22 23 Α He was wiping out my house. When you say wiping out my house, what do you 24

25

mean?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

- A Robbing me.
- Q Was he taking things that were --
- A Well, he wasn't there. I just had a sneaking suspicion and I left work early, went home, and a lot of my possessions were gone. And we got the police there and everything before he came back for another load. He had my house set up for another load. That was years ago and
 - Q You apparently suffered quite a bit of loss as a result of this entry in your residence?
- 11 A Yes.
- Q You didn't consent to having him come in, did
 you?
- 14 A He was living with me.

different circumstances than --

- 15 Q But you're saying that he stole --
- A Right. He -- no, I didn't give him my consent to take my things, no.
- Q The point I'm making is because of this
 relationship, that didn't give him a license to steal from
 you, did it?
- 21 A No.
- Q And so you would understand that even though someone might be a boyfriend or a former boyfriend, that that person can still commit crimes?
- 25 A Oh, yes.

Q How do you feel about serving on this jury?

A Fine. I don't -- I don't have any problem with it at all.

Q In fact in the questionnaire you indicated that you would like to serve?

A Yeah. My boss would like me back to work, but I would, to me it would be interesting because of the type of case it would be, that it is. There may be unpleasant moments, but it would be interesting to follow, go through the system.

Q Are you the type of person if it becomes necessary who would be able to pass judgment upon a fellow human being?

A Yes.

Q I don't think anyone is suggesting that that is necessarily easy or pleasant. However, in this case initially a jury is going to have to decide if Mr. Chappell is guilty or not guilty. Do you think you could take the evidence you hear in the courtroom and apply to that the Court's legal instructions and come to such a decision?

A Yes.

Q If you need to impose a punishment, that is if the conviction was for murder of the first degree, is there any reason why you couldn't select the most appropriate punishment of the four provided in this state for murder of

•		107
2	1	the first degree and then come back to this court and make
	2	your verdict known?
	3	A Would I have a problem with that? No.
	4	Q If it was solely up to you would we have capital
	5	punishment in the State of Nevada?
	6	A Yes.
	7	Q Why?
	8	A I think a lot of our laws are too lenient in a
	9	lot of cases. I don't think the, I think the, well,
	10	somebody had said, and I agree with this, that the
	11	punishment should fit the crime, and I don't and I think
	12	that's why we should have capital punishment.
	13	Q Thank you.
	14	Pass for cause your Honor.
	15	THE COURT: For the defense.
	16	
	17	<u>EXAMINATION</u>
	18	
	19	BY MR. BROOKS:
	20	Q Miss Wells, I was curious in the questionnaire
	21	where they asked what do you think of defense attorneys and
	22	prosecutors and all those people. You said "not much."
	23	What does that mean?
	24	A Well
		•

THE COURT: It means she doesn't give us much

thought.

JUROR #433: Well, I think there is good ones and bad ones just like in any other field. Not much. I haven't really had a lot of experience I guess.

BY MR. BROOKS:

Q Had you had much contact with prosecutors before?

- A Not much.
- Q Any real contact with defense attorneys?
- 10 A Not much. I mean a little here and there
 11 through my life, but not much at all.
 - Q One of the things that we worry about sometimes is people are going to go home and watch the news and they see so much crime on TV that they get upset and they come back in here and want to punish my client for their frustration with the big picture. How do you feel about that?
 - A That's not being fair to him. And to the case.
- 19 Q Are you willing to judge this case on its facts?
- 20 A Yes.
 - Q In this case you're probably going to see some photographs and possibly hear descriptions of things that are not pleasant. Can you sort through these things to try to find a fair resolution of the case despite the grimness of these facts?

1

2

3

4

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α I think so. You know, I have a feeling I can. I've never been through this before. I've never been a juror before. So I think I could. Any problem at all with the idea that the burden

is entirely on the State to prove their case?

Α No.

No problem at all. Q

Will you be a fair juror in this case?

Α I'll do my best.

Thank you. Q

We'll pass for cause, Judge.

THE COURT: At this point what I'm going to do is I'm going to release Miss Spruell, Miss Lucido, Miss Parr, Mr. Gritis, Miss Cail, Mr. Ewell, Miss Linkogel and I'm also going to excuse from jury service --Miss Wells. before you leave I'm going to give you your admonishment. I'm going to excuse from jury service the following persons: Mr. Altz, you're excused from jury service. MR. HARMON: What number is that?

THE COURT: I'm sorry.

JUROR #430: 430.

THE COURT: 430.

Mr. Cracroft.

JUROR #425: 425.

THE COURT: 425.

Page: 542

1

JUROR #425: Thank you, your Honor.

2

THE COURT: Thank you.

3

Juror Troy Wayne Newberry.

JUROR #429: 429.

5

THE COURT: 429.

6

Juror Duncan R. McCoy.

7

JUROR 451: 451.

8

Thank you, sir, for attending. THE COURT:

9

And Jimmy Lee Gilmore.

10

JUROR #452: 452.

11

THE COURT: 452.

12

All right. Now I'm also going to hold for -- we're going to try to get through the box tonight

13 14

before we leave and then there will be a method to my

15

madness because you'll get a break in the morning.

16

next six in the rotation -- hold the next six. I'm doing

17

this so I can give some people a chance to go home now. Ιt

18

3

appears strange, but believe me the jury members whose

19 20 names are not going to be called will be greatful.

21

do is if these two prospective jurors can move into the

22

second row we'll do it this way so we have some

23

organization. The jurors that will remain behind that have

I would ask that at this point, what we'll

24

not been called to the box will be David John Mesnard.

25

think I see you sitting in your regular order.

Page: 543

1.

Helen DiGiovanna.

MR. HARMON: Could we have the numbers, Judge?

THE COURT: 436 and 437.

I spoke too soon.

Linda Ruth Aquilla.

MR. HARMON: Number?

THE COURT: 438.

8 Cheryl Diane Hull, 439. Bruce Todd

9 Larsen and Michael Richard Gushwa.

Just go ahead and sit in this front seat right here. You'll be fine.

All right. Now everyone else including Jurors Spruell, Lucido, Parr, Gritis, Cail, Ewell, Linkogel and Wells are dismissed for this evening with the following admonition:

Ladies and gentlemen, it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial; or to read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on this trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information, including without limitation newspapers, television and radio; and you are not to form or express an opinion on any subject connected with this case until it is finally submitted to you.

Ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to ask

	112
1	that all of the jurors return tomorrow, with the exception
2	of the seven jurors who we've gone through cause, at 8:30
3	to the courthouse.
4	UNIDENTIFIED JUROR: To this room?
5	THE COURT: No, to the jury holding area
6	downstairs to be picked up downstairs to come up for
7	further jury selection. And the jurors that are seated in
8	the box at this point are relieved of further
9	responsibility until tomorrow afternoon at two o'clock when
10	I'll ask that you be downstairs in the jury holding area at
11	2:00 p.m. You may not have anything to do at that point,
12	but we want to make sure you're available when we have
13	completed the jury selection process.
14	So that everybody understands, the
15	jurors in the box are excluded from the box until two
16	tomorrow and the jurors not in the box are excused and must
17	come back at 8:30 in the morning.
18	We'll be at ease while you depart the
19	confines of the courtroom.
2	
21	(Portion of jurors exit courtroom.)
22	

THE COURT: Everyone comfortable under the circumstances?

EXAMINATION

2

1

3 BY THE COURT:

- Q Mr. Ulicki, am I pronouncing your name correctly?
- 6 A Ulicki.
- 7 Q Any reason you can think of why you couldn't be 8 fair to both sides in this case?
- 9 A No.
- Q Can you wait until all the evidence is before coming to any conclusion about any issue in the case?
- 12 A Yes.
- Q Have you or any member of your family or friends
 of yours ever been arrested?
- 15 A No.
- 16 Q Have you or any member of your family or friends
 17 of yours ever been the victim of a crime?
- 18 A Just a car was stolen.
- 19 Q Anything about that that would affect your
- 20 | thinking?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q Ever been on a jury before?
- 23 A No.
- Q Any concern about adverse public opinion that
- 25 might result from any verdict you might render?

2

3

4

5

б

13

14

15

16

17

18

A No.

Q Ever been involved in any court proceeding as a witness or a participant?

A Used to prosecute a lot, used to take a lot of people for theft and embezzlement.

- Q From the store you worked at?
- 7 A Right.
- 8 Q You're in security; correct?
- 9 A Right.
- 10 Q Anything about that interaction with the court
 11 system that will affect your thinking in this case?
- 12 A Just that the courts are too lenient.
 - Q Well, I guess you get a chance to vote on that one in the upcoming election. I know we all have a tendency to hear about the worst case scenarios in the system. But that opinion aside, do you think that that opinion would have any affect on your thinking here in this case?
- 19 A No.
- Q Do you have any moral or religious or
 conscientious objections to the imposition of the death
 penalty?
- 23 A No.
- Q And would you be able to give fair consideration to all four forms of penalty in the event you were asked to

deliberate on the penalty if the defendant was convicted of first degree murder?

A If he was found guilty of murder I couldn't, I'd be a hundred percent about ever letting him go out on the street again ever. It's not fair to the victim.

Q Now understand that under our system the legislature has come to the conclusion that there are four possible forms of punishment for first degree murder and you can consider a whole range of things in making that determination; the defendant's background, interaction that led to the event itself, his health, his state of mental health, any of those things. And go back and consider that on this issue. So with that in mind and knowing that that is the law in the State of Nevada and it would be your charge as juror to consider those forms of penalty, could you consider all forms of penalty and make up your mind as to one of them?

A No, I could never, I could just never pass a verdict of letting a murderer back out on the streets to do it again.

THE COURT: I'll hear from counsel at this time.

MR. BROOKS: We would object and challenge for

24 cause.

THE COURT: Mr. Ulicki, thank you very much

1	for your candor.
2	JUROR #411: Thank you.
3	THE CLERK: Badge number 436, David John
4	Mesnard, M-e-s-n-a-r-d.
5	THE COURT: I'm afraid this isn't first class
6	leg space. It's coach in the front row. So we may try to
7	rearrange thing when the jurors are picked so the people
8	with longer legs can sit in the back row. For the time
9	being please indulge us with the physical plant here which
10	again was not designed by an expert obviously.
11	
12	<u>EXAMINATION</u>
13	.
14	BY THE COURT:
15	Q Mr. Mesnard, good afternoon.
16	A Good afternoon.
17	Q Any reason you can think of that why you
18	couldn't be fair to both sides in this case?
19	A No, sir.
20	Q Can you wait until all the evidence is in before
21	coming to any conclusion about any issue in the case?
22	A Yes, sir.
23	Q You or any member of your family or close
24	friends ever been arrested?
25	A After reviewing my past history I had a

1 brother-in-law that had a drug paraphernalia in Lincoln County and a sister-in-law with a DUI in Lincoln County 2 3 about ten years ago. Anything about their experiences that will 4 5 affect your judgment in this case? 6 Α No, sir. 7 Q Have you or any member of your family or any friends of yours ever been the victim of a crime? 8 9 A No, sir. 10 Q Ever been on a jury before? 11 Α Yes, sir. 12 Q How many times? 13 Α Four times. Four times. Is that here in Nevada? 14 Q 15 Α Yes, sir. 16 And were they civil or criminal cases or a Q 17 mixture of both? 18 Α Mixture of both. 19 So you've heard the instructions on the lesser O 20 burden of proof in a civil case and the more severe burden of proof in a criminal case? 21 22 Α Yes. 23 Q And you're able to draw a distinction between 24 the two and react accordingly with the facts?

25

Α

Yes.

1 The basic concept in this case is the State has Q to prove the case beyond a reasonable doubt. 2 3 Ą Yes, sir. And you'll be able to follow those instructions? 4 Q 5 Α Yes, sir. 6 Anything about your prior jury experiences that 7 would unnecessarily jade you or somehow affect negatively your ability to be a fair juror in this case? 8 9 Α No, sir. 10 Q Ready to serve again? 11 Α Yes, sir. Sometimes the system calls on some people more 12 Q often than others. So long as you believe you can be fair 13 14 and you have the ability to make this commitment. 15 Α Yes, sir. 16 Q Any concern about adverse public opinion that might result from any verdict you might render? 17 18 Α No, sir. Ever been involved in any kind of legal 19 0 20 proceeding as a witness or as a participant? 21 Α I was a witness fifteen years ago, as a witness 22 to a civil claim against my company. 23 Anything about that interaction that will affect Q your ability to act as a juror in this case? 24

25

Α

No, sir.

	_	119
4	1	Q Do you have any conscientious, moral or
	2	religious objections to the imposition of the death
	3	penalty?
	4	A No, sir, I do not.
	5	Q If you're called to serve as a juror on this
	6	case and you're caused also to deliberate on the
	7	consideration of punishment, can you give fair
	8	consideration to all four forms of punishment?
	9	A Yes, I could.
	10	Q If you were involved in this case yourself in
	11	any way would you feel comfortable with twelve jurors
	12	sitting in judgment on the matter?
	13	A Yes, sir.
	14	THE COURT: State may inquire.
	15	
	16	<u>EXAMINATION</u>
	17	
	18	BY MS. SILVER:
	19	Q I see that you have actually sat as a juror on a
	20	murder case.
	21	A Alternate, ma'am.
	22	Q Was that a case in which the State was seeking
	23	the death penalty?
	24	A Yes, ma¹am.
	25	Q Did you actually sit through a penalty phase as

	•		120
4	1	well?	
	2	A	No, ma'am, I did not sit through the penalty
5	3	phase.	
,	4	Q	So you only sat through then the guilt phase?
	5	A	Yes, ma'am.
	6	Q	Do you recall who the prosecutor was in that
	7	case?	
	8	A	No, ma'am, I don't remember his name.
	9	Q	How long ago was it?
	10	A	Four years, ma'am.
	11	Q	Without telling us your verdict, did the jury
	12	come to a	verdict from what you recall?
	13	A	Yes, ma'am.
	14	Q	But you were not part of those deliberations?
	15	A	Yes, ma'am.
	16	Q	You were also involved in some other criminal
	17	trials?	
	18	A	One trial that was plea bargained and two civil
	19	cases.	
	20	Q	In the criminal trial, what was the charge in
	21	that trial	?
	22	A	Robbery.
	23	. Ω	And how long ago was that?
	24	A	Seven or eight years ago.
	25	Q	That was also here in Nevada?

-- as far as the law on the penalty phase?

20 No, ma'am. Α

21

24

25

Thank you. Q

22 We would pass for cause.

23 THE COURT: For the defense.

> MR. EWING: Thank you, your Honor.

Defense passes this juror for cause.

insurance thanks to my wife.

	123
1	Q Anything about that interaction of the legal
2	system that would affect your thinking here?
3	A No.
4	Q Any concern philosophically, religious or
5	conscientiously about the imposition of the death penalty?
6	A No, sir.
7	Q Will you be able to give fair consideration to
8	all four forms of statutory punishment in this matter?
9	A Yes, sir.
10	Q If you're involved in this case would you feel
1 1	comfortable with twelve people just like you sitting in
12	judgment on this case?
13	A I could.
14	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
15	State of Nevada may inquire.
16	MS. SILVER: Thank you.
17	
18	<u>EXAMINATION</u>
19	
20	BY MS. SILVER:
21	Q I wanted to ask you about one of your questions
22	on the questionnaire. It was asked if Mr. Chappell is
23	convicted of first degree murder, and a penalty is held,

would you consider the four possible penalties. And then at the end of it you put life without the possibility of

1

2

3

5

6

7

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

22

23

24

25

parole. What did you mean by that?

A If it was a proven fact that it was premeditated I would.

Q You understand now after listening to all of this that the only way you would even get to a penalty phase is if you all came back with murder in the first degree; you understand that process?

A Yes.

9 Q Knowing that could you then consider the death 10 penalty?

A Yes.

Q Could you consider life without the possibility of parole?

14 A Yes.

Q And could you consider life with the possibility of parole or 50 years fixed term with the possibility of parole?

18 A I could.

Q Do you believe in the death penalty?

20 A I do on certain cases.

21 Q Why is that?

A Well, it depends on the murder. Like if it's a child, any human being, if it was premeditated, planned it.

Q So you understand that the judge will give you during the penalty phase a set of instructions as to how

you or when you can consider the death penalty, if you found the appropriate circumstances existed, you could then, and you're in favor then of the death penalty under the right circumstances?

A Right.

Q Thank you.

I would pass this witness for cause, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

For the defense.

MR. EWING: Thank you, your Honor.

1.3

EXAMINATION

BY MR. EWING:

Q Mr. Tripp, you indicate that you would consider equally both the facts of the case and the surrounding facts concerning the defendant's background; is that true?

A On his background, if he has a history of such, murder, real bad crimes, you know, childhood crimes or something -- like this penny ante stuff, no. But if it's violent crimes throughout his past he would have, I would take that into consideration if it's led up to this point.

Q That specifically deals with negative things about an individual's background. Would you consider

positive things?

A Yes.

6

1

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 3 Q Would you consider the way they were brought up?
- 4 A What I would do in this case is the facts 5 itself.
- Q If the Court instructed you that you could look at an individual's background, would you do that?
 - A I would do that.
 - Q Would you feel good about that?
 - A Depending on his background. A lot of people has different kinds of background that don't have any bearing on that case leading up to that point.
 - Q But you would still look at the background and make a determination whether or not it was important?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 | Q Is that what you're saying?
- 17 A Yes.
 - Q Early on the judge asked you if you were involved in any phase of this trial, either as the prosecution or the defense or the judge, are you the kind of person that you would want to have sit on the jury and you answered yes. If you or someone related to you was sitting where Mr. Chappell is, would you want that person to consider background, history, childhood?

A Yes.

- 127 6 1 Q That's important? 2 Α Right. Do you understand the difference between -- let 3 me rephrase that. Do you understand the concept that if 4 you have a penalty phase it's because a jury has convicted 5 of first degree murder; do you understand that? 6 Α Yes. 7 8 And do you feel that there could be circumstances where a 50 year sentence would be in order? 9 10 Α Yes. And there could be circumstances where a life 11 sentence with the possibility of parole could be warranted? 12 Α Yes. 13 And you could consider that? 14 Yes. 15 Α Do you feel like you could give Mr. Chappell a 16 Q fair trial? 17 18 A I could. Do you feel like it's important for every single 19 20 juror to give Mr. Chappell a fair trial? 21 Α Yes.
 - Q You would do your part to see that that happens?
 - 23 A Yes, I would.

- 24 Q Thank you. Pass for cause.
- 25 THE COURT: Thank you.

EXAMINATION

2

б

7

1

3 BY THE COURT:

- 4 Q Is it Miss Souder?
- 5 A Yes.
 - Q Any reason you can think of why you couldn't be fair to both sides in this case?
 - A No.
- 9 Q Can you wait until all the evidence is in before 10 coming to any conclusion about any issue in this case?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Have you or any member of your family or any 13 friends of yours ever been arrested?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q Have you or any member of your family or friends
 16 of yours ever been the victim of a crime?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Tell us about that.
- A My niece was sexually abused by my sister's boyfriend.
- 21 Q How long ago was that?
- 22 A Oh, probably at least fifteen years or more.
- Q Is there anything about the way the police
 handled that that would affect your ability to be fair to
 the police witnesses and judge their credibility?

2

3

5

б

9

A No.

Q Is there anything about that incident that would affect your thinking in this particular case?

- A No, it has nothing to do with him.
- Q That was then, this is now?
- l A Yes.
- Q Different people, different story, different time, different facts?
 - A Yes.
- 10 Q Ever been on a jury before?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q How many times?
- 13 A Once.
- 14 Q Was it a civil or criminal?
- 15 A Civil.
- Q Do you know there is a different burden of proof
- 17 | in this case?
- 18 A Yes.
- Q You were probably instructed that the burden of proof goes to the preponderance of the evidence for the plaintiff to prevail in this case; do you remember that?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q This is a different burden of proof, it's a more serious burden of proof.
- 25 A Yes.

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

15

16

Q More onerous.

2 A Yes.

Q And it's up to the State of Nevada to prove each and every element of the charge, the charges in this case beyond a reasonable doubt, not by a preponderance of the evidence.

A Yes.

Q And you can draw those distinctions and follow the instructions?

10 A Yes.

Q We'll tell you what reasonable doubt is and what
the burden is and if we design that you'll have no trouble
following the instructions?

14 A No.

Q Anything about your jury service that would affect your thinking in this case?

17 A No.

18 Q Ready to serve again?

19 A Yes.

20 Q If you have to?

21 A Yes, of course.

Q Ever been involved in a court proceeding as a

23 witness or as a party?

24 A No.

25 Q Any concern about adverse public opinion that

•		131								
6	1	might be generated by this verdict?								
	2	A No.								
	3	Q Would you feel comfortable with twelve jurors in								
	4	your frame of mind sitting in judgment on this case?								
	5	A I hope so. Yes.								
	6	Q Someone with your sense of fairness?								
	7	A Yes.								
	8	Q Any religious, moral or conscientious objections								
	9	to the imposition of the death penalty?								
7	10	A No.								
•	11	Q Can you give fair consideration to all statutory								
	12	forms of punishment, all four forms of statutory								
	13	punishment?								
	14	A Yes.								
	15	THE COURT: State of Nevada may inquire.								
	16	MS. SILVER: Yes.								
	17									
	18	<u>EXAMINATION</u>								
	19									
	20	BY MS. SILVER:								
	21	Q You said that your niece was a sexual assault								
	22	victim?								
	23	A Yes.								
	24	Q How old was she?								
	25	A Oh, probably under 15.								

Page: 564

8

- Q Like an adolescent?
- 2 A Yeah, she was a minor.
- 3 Q And you said this was by a boyfriend?
- A That was living with my sister and she had two children by him.
- Q So this was like a stepfather to this daughter,
 to this child?
 - A Yeah, in the sense they weren't married though.
- 9 Q Did she consider him though like a stepfather,
- 10 | in that role?
 - A The person -- the victim or my sister?
- 12 Q The child.
- A I don't know. I've been out of New Jersey for like eight years at that time. No, I don't think she would. It's just an unfortunate incident.
- Q Do you think that she was any less sexually
 assaulted because it was a boyfriend or had a relationship
 with your sister versus a stranger sexually assaulting her?
- 19 A No.
- Q Were you aware of whether or not she had emotional problems after this?
- 22 A I believe she did.
- Q Do you think that there is ever a time when it's okay for a boyfriend or a husband or significant other to be violent, physically violent to a woman in a

relationship?

A No.

Q Do you ever think that there is a time that she's asking for it or deserves it?

A No, not to my knowledge anyway.

Q What if a woman goes back a couple times with that boyfriend or significant other, husband, even after he's battered her, do you think that there ever comes a point where she deserves it the next time around or she was asking for it?

A No.

Q Would it be fair to say that it wouldn't be right no matter --

A Under any circumstances not right, no.

15 Q If it were up to you would we have the death 16 penalty?

A Yes, I believe in it.

Q Why is that?

A Because -- let me think about this. Under circumstances the death penalty does apply to meet the situation. Without it it's probably not really a deterrent for anyone. They can say I can go out and kill someone and the worst thing they're going to do is maybe put me in jail. With the death penalty being active they have to think about that, what could happen to them.

1 Q You think it could have a deterrent effect in
2 society and send out a message in our community not to do a
3 crime?

A I hope so, yes. I think that's the purpose of it.

Q And under the appropriate circumstances you yourself could actually impose it if you felt it was appropriate?

9 A If the crime, you know, fits the punishment, 10 yes. Yes.

Q Thank you.

We would pass this juror for cause.

13

11

6

7

8

14 <u>EXAMINATION</u>

15

16 BY MR. EWING:

- 17 Q You stated earlier that you were involved in a 18 civil trial?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 | Q How long ago was that?
- 21 A Oh, this was -- I've been here eight years,
- 22 | maybe fourteen, something like that.
- Q Was that here in Las Vegas?
- 24 A No, it was New Jersey.
- 25 Q Did you feel like the people involved with the

2

3

trial tried to make it easy for you to understand what was going on?

- A Yes.
- 4 Q Were the rules of law easy to understand?
- 5 A Yes. The judge was, you know -- yes.
- Q You state in your jury questionnaire that you consider yourself a follower, that you would rather not lead.
- A I never had the situation where I had to lead.

 10 I just -- you know.
- 11 Q Do you feel like your opinion is important?
- 12 A Yes, everybody's opinion is important.
- Q Do you feel like if you were picked on this jury that you would be willing to voice your opinion?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q But you'd be willing to listen to other people?
- 17 | A Yes.
- 18 Q As they voice their opinion; correct?
- 19 A Right. Yes.
- Q And you feel strongly if you were correct, even
- 21 if you were the only person, would you stick to your
- 22 position?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q I know we talked about this a lot, you've
- 25 | probably heard this type of question a lot, but in your

jury questionnaire you indicated that you don't feel an individual's background is important in terms of assessing punishment. Do you still feel that way after what you've heard today?

A No, because at that time I figured you were going to rule on somebody, their background has nothing do do with it, but like you say, if it's brought up and it's part of the trial, yes, I would consider it.

MR. EWING: I would pass for cause.

THE COURT: Thank you.

11

12

10

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

EXAMINATION

13

14

BY THE COURT:

- 15 Q Miss Taylor, good afternoon.
- 16 A Hello.
- Q Any reason that you can think of why you
 couldn't be fair to both sides in the case?
- 19 A No.
- Q Can you wait until all the evidence is in before coming to any conclusion about any issue in the case?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q Have you or any member of your family or any close friends of yours ever been arrested?
- 25 A Yes.

2

3

4

5

Q Tell us about that.

A My brother-in-law was repossessing a car and he was picked up for auto theft.

- O I take it he was able to --
- A Oh, yeah.
- 6 Q -- convince the authorities that he was legally
 7 where he was supposed to be?
- 8 A Yeah. He had the paperwork, just not with him 9 so --
- 10 Q Anything about his experience in that regard
 11 that would affect your thinking in this case?
- 12 A No.
- Q Anyone in your family or any friends of yours or yourself ever been the victim of a crime?
- 15 A No.
- 16 Q Ever been on a jury before?
- 17 A No.
- Q Any concern about adverse public opinion that might result from any verdict in this case?
- 20 A No.
- Q Ever been involved in any kind of legal proceeding as a participant or a witness?
- 23 A No.
- 24 Q Any any conscientious, religious or moral
- 25 objections to the death penalty?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

A No.

Q If you were called to deliberate on the issue of punishment in this case, which will occur again only if the defendant is convicted of first degree murder, will you be able to give fair consideration to all four forms of punishment?

A Yes.

Q And if you were involved in this case in any capacity would you feel comfortable with twelve people just like you sitting in judgment on the matter?

A Yes.

THE COURT: State of Nevada may inquire.

MR. HARMON: Thank you, your Honor.

14

15

EXAMINATION

16

17

18

19

BY MR. HARMON:

Q Mrs. Taylor, in the questionnaire you indicate that your husband is a student?

20 A Uh-huh.

Q Where is he going to school?

22 A UNLV.

Q What is he studying?

24 A Nursing.

25 Q You described yourself as involved in financial

management.

1

5

A I work for the state. My official title is
Financial Management Assistant. What I -- I'm the
accountant.

- Q You work for the State of Nevada?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q How long have you been doing that?
- 8 A Three years.
- 9 Q Is that the approximate length of time that
 10 you've been here in southern Nevada?
- A No. I've been, I've lived in Clark County

 fourteen years, not consecutively.
- Q You indicated in the questionnaire that you were a reluctant leader. What does that mean?
- 15 A If the situation warrants it I will take charge.

 16 It's just not my first inclination to do so.
- 17 Q How do you feel about the opportunity of serving on this type of a case?
- 19 A It wouldn't be my first choice but --
- 20 Q It wouldn't be your first choice to serve on a 21 murder case?
- 22 A No, it wouldn't.
- Q But nevertheless is it a responsibility you're willing to accept?
- 25 A Yes.

1.2

Q	If t	the e	vide	ence	in	this	саве	esta	blis	nes	tha	at
the victim	and	the	defe	endar	nt h	ad ha	ad a	relat	ions	hip	of	
boyfriend/g	girl	frie	end,	are	you	goi	ng to	view	the	cas	e a	38
somehow les	38 86	eriou	ıs	-								

- A No.
- Q -- simply because of that relationship?
- 7 A No, not at all.
 - Q I don't think anyone would suggest that passing judgment on someone else is easy or pleasant, but nevertheless are you the type of person who could do that?
 - A I would have to give it a lot of careful consideration before I could pass judgment on someone that would impact their life the way that the decision involved in this trial would. But the decision has to be made and I could come to that decision.
 - Q You fully understand the serious nature --
- 17 | A Yes.
- 18 Q -- of this matter?
 - Would you be able to give your full attention to the trial proceedings when the court is in session?
 - A Yes.
 - Q If it became necessary for the jury to fix a punishment, is there any reason why you wouldn't have the intestinal fortitude to come back into the courtroom and

	141								
8 1	vote your conviction?								
2	A. No.								
. 3	Q Do you believe that persons should be held								
4	individually responsible when they commit serious crimes of								
5	violence?								
6	A Yes.								
7	Q Thank you.								
8	Pass for cause.								
9	THE COURT: For the defense.								
10	MR. BROOKS: We'll pass for cause, Judge.								
11	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.								
12									
13	<u>EXAMINATION</u>								
14									
15	BY THE COURT:								
16	Q Is it Miss Dear?								
17	A Yes, it is.								
18	Q All right. When the clerk read your name off it								
19	sounded like a hyphenated name.								
20	A Yeah, it is.								
21	Q Any reason you can think of why you couldn't be								
22	fair to both sides?								
23	A No.								
24	Q Can you wait until all the evidence is in before								
25	coming to any conclusions in this case?								

1

3

4

Yes, I could. Α

2

Have you or any member of your family or any friends ever been arrested?

9

Α Yes.

Α

5

Tell us a little bit about that. Q

6

was convicted of stealing a relatively small amount of 7

8

money from a relative's restaurant and was put into prison

This happened before I was born, but my father

9

for four years, but I did not know about this until I

10

graduated from high school. I grew up not knowing this as

11

a child.

12

Anything about his experience in that regard, 0 what you've learned of it, that would affect your thinking

14

15

13

I don't believe so.

16

Have you or any member of your family or any Q close friends of yours ever been the victim of a crime?

17 18

No. Α

in this case?

19

Ever been on a jury before?

20

No. Α

21

Ever been a participant in a court proceeding? Q

22

Α Yes.

Α

23

Tell us about that. Q

24

I'm, at the time I was a kindergarten teacher

25

and one of my students was abused by the parents, was

burned by the parents, and I had to substantiate the days that he was out of my classroom, the weeks that he was gone and when he returned. That was in Henderson.

- Q Anything about that interaction with the court system that would affect your thinking in this case?
- A No. It was very distressing to me but I don't think -- that was a separate case.
- Q As distressing as it was, you're not going to, if you're called to deliberate, let that incident in your life come into your thought processes in making a decision in this case?
 - A It's an entirely different situation.
- 13 Q Thank you.
 - Any concern about adverse public opinion that might result from a verdict in this case?
 - A. No.
 - Q Any religious, moral or conscientious objections to the imposition of the death penalty?
 - A I just stated in the questionnaire that I'm

 Catholic and they don't believe really in abortion or the death, or death. But as a whole -- but if -- depending on the situation I would look at it I guess. Whatever.
 - Q Would you be able to consider the death penalty along with the other forms of punishment in arriving at a verdict?

		144
9	1	A Yes, I could consider it.
	2	Q Can you give fair consideration to each of the
	3	four forms of penalties in this case?
	4	A Yes, I can.
	5	Q Whether that's a death penalty, life
	6	imprisonment with parole, life imprisonment without parole,
	7	or 50 years in prison with eligibility of parole after 20
	8	years; would you be able to give fair consideration to all
	9	four forms of punishment?
	10	A After hearing the evidence, yes.
	11	Q If you were involved in this case would you feel
	12	comfortable with twelve people just like you sitting in
	13	judgment on the matter?
	14	A Yes, I would.
	15	Q That would be if you were a member of the
	16	victim's family or a member of the defendant's family?
	17	A Yes.
	18	THE COURT: State of Nevada may inquire.
	19	
	20	<u>EXAMINATION</u>
	21	-
	22	BY MS. SILVER:
	23	Q As a teacher you're a mandated reporter of child
	24	abuse?
	25	A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

21

22

23

- Q And that means that if you see a child or believe that a child is a victim of child abuse you have to report that by law to authorities?
 - A That's correct.
- Q Do you think that there can be times maybe that you thought to yourself, maybe not sure, but you thought this child could be a victim of child abuse, but they don't disclose anything to you?
- A Yes.
- Q You may have thought that before?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Why do you think it is that a child may not tell
 13 on a parent because of child abuse?
- A Because they're fearful of repercussions from their family at home.
- 16 Q Do you think that there are times when a victim
 17 can be, or a woman can be a victim of domestic violence,
 18 yet not tell anyone of the abuse?
- . 19 A Yes,
 - Q And why is that?
 - A Well, I just feel that for survival, just to have a home and to keep the family together, that they would endure whatever needs to be done to a certain point until they can't --
 - Q Do you think likewise of what you've just stated

I notice that you were somewhat hesitant in your

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

views on capital punishment. Is that due to your religious beliefs?

A Well, I just feel that if the person would be, could endanger another person at some time, then I would say yes for the death penalty. I guess. I would have to hear the evidence.

Q Okay. Do you think that there are circumstances that you personally could impose the death penalty?

A I think so.

Q You can consider all of the possible punishments that you've stated, but do you have a predisposition of like veering away from the death penalty because of your religious beliefs, what you said, Catholics?

A Not after I would hear all the evidence, I could be fair.

Q You would be equal in your decision?

A I believe I could.

MS. SILVER: Thank you. We would pass for

19 | cause.

20 THE COURT: For the defense.

MR. EWING: Thank you, your Honor.

22

23

24 ///

25 | ///

EXAMINATION

2

1

3 BY MR. EWING:

- Q Miss Dear, it says in your jury questionnaire that you retired as assistant principal?
- A No, my husband did. Did I put it in the wrong spot?
- Q I just read it wrong.
- 9 A I'm still working.
- 10 Q You are a school teacher, elementary,
- 11 kindergarten and second grade?
- 12 A Yes.
- Q I imagine you get to see first hand the effects
 that challenges can have on kids?
- 15 A Absolutely.
- Q And you dealt with kids who had maybe some intellectual challenges?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Probably was frustrating, wasn't it?
- 20 A Yes.
- Q You dealt with children who probably had some
- 22 problems at home?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Parents that were not around?
- 25 A Exactly. Exactly.

		149
10	1	Q Do you think that those kind of considerations
	2	are important?
	3	A Yes.
	4	Q In assessing something like a possible
	5	punishment?
	6	A Yes, I think it is.
	7	MR. EWING: Pass for cause.
	8	THE COURT: Thank you.
	9	
	10	<u>EXAMINATION</u>
	11	
	12	BY THE COURT:
	13	Q Miss Bourne, good afternoon.
	14	A Good afternoon.
	15	Q Any reason that you can think of why you
	16	couldn't be fair to both sides in this case?
	17	A No.
	18	Q Can you wait until all the evidence is in before
	19	coming to any conclusion about any issue in the case?
	20	A Yes.
	21	Q Have you or any member of your family or any
	22	close friends of yours ever been arrested?
	23	A No, sir.
	24	Q Anyone in your family, close friends of yours or
	25	you, ever been the victim of a crime?

2

3

4

9

10

- A I had. I was burglarized about sixteen years ago. I forgot to put it there. I came in the front door, the people were running out the back door.
 - Q The police responds?
- 5 A Yes, sir.
- Q And were they able to apprehend the perpetrators?
- 8 A No.
 - Q Anything about the way the case was handled that would affect your thinking negatively against law enforcement witnesses?
- 12 A No, sir.
- Q Anything about the fact of the event itself that
 would cause you some jaundice toward a person charged with
 a crime?
- 16 A No, sir.
- 17 Q Ever been on a jury before?
- 18 A Yes, sir.
- 19 Q How many times?
- 20 A Twice.
- 21 Q Was it a civil or criminal?
- 22 A Both.
- Q One of each?
- 24 A One of each.
- 25 Q So you have been instructed on the burden of

		·	151
10	1	proof in b	oth cases?
	2	A	Yes, sir.
	3	Q	Any trouble distinguishing between the two?
	4	A	No.
	5	Q	Were your jurors able to reach verdicts in the
	6	two cases?	
	7	A	One.
	8	Q	And the other one was hung?
	9	A	No, the other one, the next day it was settled
	10	out of cou	rt.
	11	Q	So you've been through the process before and
	12	ready to se	erve again?
	13	A	Yes, sir.
	14	Q	If you have to?
	15	A	What?
	16	Q	If you have to of course.
	17	A	If I have to.
	18	Q	Anything about that experience that would affect
	19	your think:	lng here?
	20	A	No, sir.

way as a witness or a party?

No.

Any concern about adverse public opinion?

Ever been involved in court proceedings in any

No.

Α

21

22

23

24

10	ı	Q	And do you have any moral, religious or
	2	conscienti	ous objections to the imposition of the death
	3	penalty?	•
	4	A	(Inaudible response.)
	5	Q	You have to answer out loud.
	6	A	No.
	7	Q	Will you, if called upon to deliberate on the
	8		of penalty, be able to give fair consideration to
	9		ory forms of punishment?
	10	A	Yes.
	11	Q	If you were involved in this case as a member of
11	12	the defend	ant's family or the member of the alleged
	13	victim's f	amily in this case, would you feel comfortable
	14	A	Yes.
	15	Q	with jurors with your frame of mind?
	16	A	Yes.
	17		THE COURT: Thank you.
	18		State of Nevada may inquire.
	19		MR. HARMON: Thank you, your Honor.
	20		
	21		EXAMINATION
	22		
	23	BY MR. HAR	MON:
	24	Q	Miss Bourne, you've been a registered nurse by
	25	profession	?

	· ·	153
11 1	A	Yes, sir.
2	Q	You also apparently served for a period of time
3	in the Arm	y?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	How long were you in the Army?
6	A	Twenty years.
7	Ω	You retired in 1980?
8	A	Uh-huh.
9	Q	And you practiced nursing in the service?
10	A	No, I did not practice after I got out. I had
11	surgery on	my spine and I was sort of physically incapable
12	Q	So your nursing experience is limited to while
13	you were i	n the service?
14	A	And some in Trinidad where I was born.
15	Q	You've also indicated that you served in the
16	postal ser	vice for five years?
17	A	Yes, sir.
18	Q	Regarding your prior jury experience, you
19	indicated	one of the cases was a criminal case?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	What type of crime was it?
22	A	Something to do with, I don't know how to

Page: 586

23

24

25

describe the type of crime it was, it was like something,

something like that. I can't remember it too well. And

somebody had been taking something from somewhere,

1

2

4

5

6

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they set it up and caught him red-handed.

- It was some type of theft case? Q
- 3 Α Yes, sir.
 - On the subject of punishment, if that should become pertinent to this case -- in the questionnaire regarding the death penalty you said that it should be used rarely if at all.
- Α Yes. 8
- Q What was your thinking in providing that type of 10 answer?
 - I would say honestly that I lean more away from Α it than rushing to it. So the crime would have to be something that, I don't know, except heinous, not necessarily heinous, I don't know how to describe what I mean. Because it -- you can always -- might get the wrong man.
 - You would be concerned if that type of 0 punishment was imposed that you be very sure that the person convicted had committed the murder?
 - Α Yes, sir.
 - If it was left solely up to you would we have Q capital punishment in this state?
 - Α I'm not quite convinced of that. I tend to say no, but then sometimes I hear some case and I say yes, we need it. So I'll be honest, it's more like I don't know if

В

we should have it.

- Q You're simply undecided regarding that issue?
- A Yes.
 - A Perhaps the crucial question, if this case should, and I emphasize should, we don't know what the jury will do during the trial phase, but should it proceed to a penalty hearing the question then becomes whether a prospective juror would personally be able to come back into the courtroom and vote whatever of the four punishments that juror thought was appropriate. Do you feel you can do that?
 - A I think so.
 - Q I'm asking that of you because in question 51

 you were asked about the death penalty and you said "I

 don't know."
 - A Well, that is according to what I was saying before. It's like you hear of things and oh, I could do this and that, and then what he says, do you think you can and right there you say I don't know. I would have to be involved in what is happening here, the facts in that particular case.
 - Q But can you think of a set of circumstances where you would believe that capital punishment would be proper for murder of the first degree?
 - A Yes, sir.

4	4	
Т		L

2

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Do you agree that race or gender or really prejudice of any type is not a proper basis for a verdict?
- A Oh, no. I mean because of race or gender?
- Q Yes.
- 5 A No.
 - Q Would you consider this case any less serious if the evidence showed that the defendant and the victim had a prior boyfriend/girl friend relationship?
 - A No. No, sir.
 - Q Is there any reason why you can't give your full attention to these proceedings?
 - A No reason, sir.
- 13 Q Thank you.

14 Pass for cause.

15 THE COURT: For the defense.

MR. BROOKS: Defense would pass for cause,

17 your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. For the six

prospective jurors that are sitting in the jury box, that's

Mr. Mesnard, Mr. Tripp, Miss Souder, Miss Taylor, Miss Dear

and Miss Bourne, I wish you good evening. I'm going to

admonish you at this time that it is your duty not to

converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any

subject connected with this trial; or read, watch or listen

to any report or commentary on this trial by any medium of

11	1	information including without limitation newspapers,
	2	television and radio; and you are not to form or express
	3	any opinion on this case until it is finally submitted to
12	4	you.
12	5	Your responsibilities will resume again
	6	at two o'clock in the afternoon when I would like to have
	7	you down in the jury lounge in the event, when we finally
	8	get the jury selected. We'll be at ease while you depart
	9	the confines of the courtroom. Good evening.
	10	I'd like to try two more for cause if
	11	you don't mind. Everybody comfortable?
	12	THE CLERK: Badge number 437, Helen
	13	DiGiovanna, D-i-G-i-o-v-a-n-n-a.
	14	Badge number 438, Linda Ruth Aquilla,
	15	A-q-u-i-l-1-a.
	16	THE COURT: At this point what I'm going to
	17	do, we have three jurors left over, we're not going to be
	18	that long so has anybody got any problems with personal
	19	arrangements?
	20	
	21	<u>EXAMINATION</u>
	22	
	23	BY THE COURT:
	24	Q Good afternoon, Miss DiGiovanna. Am I
	25	pronouncing your name correctly?

A	You're	doing	great.	It's	not	easy.
---	--------	-------	--------	------	-----	-------

- Q So is mine. Everybody has a problem with mine.
- 3 Any reason that you can think of why you
- 4 | couldn't be fair in this case?
- 5 A No.
- 6 Q Can you wait until all the evidence is in before
 7 coming to any conclusion about any issue in this case?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Anyone in your family, you or friends of yours
 10 ever been arrested?
- 11 A No.
- 12 Q You or anybody in your family or friends of 13 yours ever been the victim of a crime?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q Ever been on a jury before?
- 16 A No.
- Q Ever been involved in a legal proceeding before
 18 as a witness or a party?
- 19 A No.
- Q Any concern about adverse public opinion that
 might result from a verdict in this case?
- 22 A No.
- Q Any conscientious, moral or religious objection to the death penalty?
- 25 A No.

	159
1	Q Would you be able to give fair consideration to
2	all four statutory forms of punishment in the event you
3	were caused to deliberate on the issue of penalty?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And would you feel comfortable with twelve
6	jurors just like you if you were involved in this case?
7	A Yes.
8	THE COURT: State of Nevada may inquire.
9	MR. HARMON: Thank you, your Honor.
10	
11	<u>EXAMINATION</u>
12	
13	BY MR. HARMON:
14	Q You've lived here for about two years?
15	A Three in April. Two and a half.
16	Q Where did you move from?
17	A Moved from Pocanos in Pennslyvania.
18	Q How did you happen to come out west to southern
19	Nevada?
20	A Warm weather and age.
21	Q You mentioned in the questionnaire that you've
22	experienced some domestic violence in your family.
23	A When I was a child. That was during the

depression and liquor was -- every Friday night the

husbands would get drunk I think more or less and there was

160 12 1 some domestic violence. 2 So this involved your father abusing your Q 3 mother? 4 Α Yeah. Also breaking dishes, things like that. You've mentioned also that your youngest 5 6 daughter --7 Α Yes. 8 Q -- also has been the victim of domestic violence? 9 Yes. She's divorced now. I wasn't there. 10 Α Ιt 11 happened in New Mexico. 12 Q You simply heard about some of the things which 13 happened? 14 Α Some of the things she said, yeah. She told us about it. 15 16 Q Do you feel that there is anything actually which excuses being physically abusive of some other 17 person? 18 19 Α Not really. 20 Q There will undoubtedly be evidence in this case of some history of domestic violence. Is that going to 21 22 affect your ability to be fair and impartial? A I don't think so. I think if I get the whole 23

still talk to my ex son-in-law.

picture of everything I believe I can be fair about it. I

24

25

		161
1	Q	Are you for or against the death penalty?
2	A	I'm for it.
3	Q	Why do you say that you're in favor of it?
4	A	Well, because there are so many horrible crimes
5	going on.	I'm not saying this is a must, but there are so
6	many horri	ble crimes I don't think anything else is the
7	answer to	it. But I think, I've also stated too I do
8	believe th	ere are extenuating circumstances in many cases.
9	Q	I take it you're willing to consider all of the
ιo	circumstan	ces involved in this case?
L1	A	Oh, absolutely. Yes.
1.2	Q	Would you like to serve as a juror?
L3	A	Yes.
L 4	Q	You feel you could be an effective juror?
1.5	A	I think so.
16		MR. HARMON: Pass for cause, your Honor.
٦.		THE COURT: Thank you.
.8		For the defense.
. 9		
0	•	<u>EXAMINATION</u>
21		
12	BY MR. BRO	OKS:
3	Q	Miss DiGiovanna, you indicated in your survey

that I believe you were not particularly interested in considering the background of a person when considering the

12	1	death penalty. The judge is going to instruct you that is
	2	one of the things to be considered.
	3	A If I'm told to there is no question about it,
	4	that's what I'm going to do.
	5	Q So you'll consider the facts and also the
- 4	6	defendant's background?
13	7	A Yes.
	8	Q Do you feel that you could be fair to James
	9	Chappell?
	10	A Yes, I do.
	11	Q And will you apply the presumption of innocence
	12	throughout the trial?
	13	A Absolutely.
	14	Q Thank you.
	15	We'll pass for cause your Honor.
	16	THE COURT: Thank you.
	17	
	18	<u>EXAMINATION</u>
	19	
	20	BY THE COURT:
	21	Q Miss Aquilla, good afternoon. Am I pronouncing
	22	your name correctly?
	23	A Yes, you are.
	24	Q Any reason you can think of why you couldn't be
	25	fair to both sides?
		·

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

A No.

Q Can you wait until all the evidence is in before coming to any conclusion?

A Yes.

Q Have you, any family member or close friend of yours ever been arrested?

A No.

Q Same question with regard to whether a member of your family, you, friends of yours ever been the victim of a crime?

A No.

Q Ever been on a jury before?

13 A No.

Q Ever been a participant in a court proceeding?

A Only small claims.

Q So collection matters. Anything about that experience or those experiences that would affect your thinking here?

19 A No.

Q Any concern about adverse public opinion that might result from any verdict in the case?

A No.

Q And do you have any religious, moral or conscientious objections to the imposition of the death penalty?

б

A No.

Q Do you believe in the death penalty?

A Absolutely.

Q And will you be able to give fair consideration to all four forms of punishment in the event the defendant is convicted of first degree murder and you're caused to deliberate on the question of penalty?

A If he is convicted of first degree murder I'd have a real hard time with parole being a consideration.

Q But there are situations in which the parole can be appropriate; you understand that?

A Yes, sir.

Q The legislature has so determined that fact, the people we elect go to Carson City, has created four forms of punishment for first degree murder. Can you buy into that for the purpose of deliberating in this trial?

A In all honesty I don't think I could ever consider parole if he was found guilty of first degree murder.

Q Even if you were to sit back and consider all of the all, of his life consequences, the interaction leading up to the event itself, his own plans for the future, those sort of things?

A I believe many of us are from dysfunctional families and if they use that as an excuse -- I don't

believe in using that as an excuse. Explanation maybe, excuse, no.

Q In the legal sense the term excuse means you are forgiven for this thing, there is no responsibility for it. In this instance the punishments are not by definition excuses. One is 50 years in the Nevada State Prison, the other is life, and those two sentences of course have the possibility of parole. The other two do not. One is death penalty and the other one is life without. Now as we sit here today the question really is whether you can fairly consider all four statutory forms of punishment.

- A If he's found guilty of first degree murder?
- Q Correct. That's the only time that you get to consider.

A No.

THE COURT: All right. State.

MR. HARMON: We'll stipulate to excusing her.

MR. BROOKS: We challenge for cause, your

19 Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you very much. It's a little late to go back to the jury commissioner, but I think you've already been paid for today. Thank you for your candor and wish you the best of a good evening.

THE CLERK: Badge number 439, Cheryl Diane Hull,

25 H-u-l-l.

EXAL	MIN	Tጥል	ON

1

3 BY THE COURT:

- 4 Q Good afternoon, Miss Hull.
- 5 A Good afternoon.
- Q Have you heard all the, obviously by now you've heard these questions over and over again.
- 8 A Yes, sir.
- 9 Q Is there anything we should know about you?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q Have you ever been on a jury before?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q No arrests, no victims of crimes?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q You can be fair to both sides?
- 16 A Yes.
- Q And you can wait until all the evidence is in
- 18 before drawing any conclusion in the case?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Ever been in any legal proceeding?
- 21 A No.
- Q Do you feel comfortable with twelve people like
- 23 you sitting in judgment on this matter if you were involved
- 24 in this case?
- 25 A Yes.

13 1 Q Any moral, conscientious or religious objections 2 to the death penalty? Α 3 No. Can you give fair consideration to all four 4 Q 5 forms of punishment? Α 6 Yes. 7 THE COURT: State may inquire. MR. HARMON: Thank you, your Honor. 8 9 10 **EXAMINATION** 11 12 BY MR. HARMON: 13 0 I just wanted to clear up something, Miss Hull. As I look at the questionnaire I see the question 35 14 15 regarding the death penalty, and you said "I do agree with the death penalty"; is that correct? 16 17 Α Yes, I did. 18 As I look at question 49, you are asked whether 14 you can consider all four possible punishments and you 19 20 indicated "I don't know." Well, I don't believe I -- I don't think that I 21 knew that the death penalty was among those. I just 22 23 thought it was three. 24 Q In question 51 now perhaps you misunderstood? 25 Α I might have.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q This question as well: The question was, "If you believe the evidences warrant the death penalty, could you personally vote to impose the death penalty?" And you wrote "No."
 - A Oh, I must have misunderstood the question.
- Q So that there is no misunderstanding, if this case went to a penalty hearing and if you believed from all the evidence and circumstances, and applying to that the Court's legal instructions that death was the appropriate punishment, would you be willing to vote for it?
 - A Yes, I would.
- Q Could you personally come to the courtroom and participate in announcing that type of verdict?
- 14 A Yes, I could.
- 15 Q How do you feel about the opportunity of serving 16 as a juror on this type of case?
- A Well, it's a little overwhelming. I'm so dry.

 I feel like I've been in a dust storm.
- THE COURT: Would you like a glass of water?

 JUROR #439: I'll get one in a minute.
- I believe that I could do whatever the judge told me to do and I could make the decision. Yes, I do.
- 24 BY MR. HARMON:
- Q We're certainly not implying that it's easy. It

169 14 shouldn't be easy. 1 I know that. I know that. It's a little scary 2 because I've never been, I'm getting older and I've never 3 been through anything like that. 4 You feel it's a task that you're up to 5 Q 6 performing? 7 Α Yes. Uh-huh. Q Thank you. 8 Pass for cause. 9 THE COURT: For the defense. 10 11 12 **EXAMINATION** 13 BY MR. BROOKS: 14 Are you open to all four of the punishments that 15 is listed there in the questionnaire? 16 17 Α Yes, I am. And you would consider all four if we got to the 18 19 point where we were at penalty phase? 20 Α Yes. You've heard us talk about the presumption of 21 22 innocence. How do you feel about that? 23 A If the evidence showed that he was innocent, I

Page: 602

24

25

would, you know, you have to consider both sides and if the

evidence came in and I, you know, listened to all of his

and all of theirs, I would make my decision.

- Q What if the evidence was simply inconclusive and the State didn't meet their burden and we didn't put any evidence on at all?
 - A And you didn't put out any what?
- Q We didn't put on any evidence at all, but the State's evidence failed to persuade you completely.
- A Uhm, I don't know. I don't know how I'd answer that.

system of justice the defense has no burden of proving innocence. The defense has no obligation to come forward, it's the State's obligation to come forward and produce evidence. And they must produce evidence that convinces you beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty or beyond a reasonable doubt that the death penalty should be imposed.

JUROR #439: Right.

THE COURT: Now the question is if they fail to meet that burden and the defense puts on no evidence, would you be be able to render a verdict of not guilty?

JUROR #439: Yes. Yes, I would.

THE COURT: That's your question, isn't it,

24 Mr? Brooks.

MR. BROOKS: Yes, it is. Thank you, Judge.

171 14 Pass for cause. 1 THE COURT: At this point since we have two 2 3 jurors left in the audience, rather than bring you back tomorrow morning, would you two gentlemen like to go 4 5 through the process now? 6 JUROR #442: Yeah, that's fine. 7 THE COURT: Let's go forward. THE CLERK: Badge Number 442, Bruce Todd 8 9 Larsen. 10 JUROR #442: Present. THE CLERK: L-a-r-s-e-n. 11 12 Badge number 444, Michael Richard 13 Gushwa, G-u-s-h-w-a. 14 15 EXAMINATION 16 17 BY THE COURT: All right. Mr. Larsen, any reason that you 18 Q can think of why you couldn't be fair to both sides? 19 20 Α No. 21 Can you wait until all the evidence is in before coming to any conclusion on any issue in the case? 22 23 Α Yeah. 24 Have you or any member of your family or any

friends of yours ever been arrested?

			172		
14	1	A	I was arrested.		
	2	Q	Tell us about that.		
	3	A	DUI in '82 after my first airlines Christmas		
	4	party.			
	5	Q	And anything about that experience that would		
	6	affect you	r thinking here?		
	7	A	No.		
	8	Q	Have you or any member of your family or any		
	9	friends of	yours ever been the victim of a serious crime?		
	10	A	No.		
	11	Q	Ever been part of a legal proceeding as a		
	12	witness or	as a		
	13	A	Yeah, I was a witness once.		
	14	Q	How long ago was that?		
	15	· А	Probably about six, eight years, somewhere like		
	16	that.			
	17	Q	What kind of a case was it?		
	18	A	It was basically one of the employees that work		
	19	for me had	hurt his back in a car accident and I was		
	20	basically just telling that he was an employee and what			
	21	type of jol	b he did.		
	22	Q	So there is nothing about that case that would		
	23	affect you:	r thinking here?		
	24	A	No.		

Ever been on a jury before?

EXAMINATION

3 BY MS. SILVER:

Q Am I incorrect in reading or interpreting on your questionnaire, you have for the death penalty you find that it would be appropriate only for cases of mass murder or serial killers?

A No. In those cases more so than other cases, but, no, it could be administered for cases such as this one or other cases.

Q I see.

A It would have to merit it on its own individual case.

Q So you're not saying exclusive of mass murderers or serial killers I can't consider the death penalty?

A No, just more so in those situations.

Q Even though in a case such as this where one person is killed, that is something that under the right circumstances you could actually consider the death penalty?

A Yes, if the case warranted it, yes.

Q Could you actually impose the death penalty as

23 | well?

A Yes.

Q Because I notice here in, of course no one is

2

3

5

б

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

suggesting, no one, either side is suggesting it's easy or a pleasant task to undertake and sit in judgment of another person, but do you think, you have here I think it's a very, I think you said you want to be on the jury, yes or no, you said "yes, because of the interest, but I don't like the fact that someone's life is in my hands".

7 A Yes.

Q You recognize then the seriousness of this?

A Yes.

Q You recognize also that you would not be the person deciding this, that it would be a collective decision among twelve of you on whether it's to convict the defendant or further down the line to actually impose the death penalty?

15 A Yes.

Q It's not just your decision?

17 A Right. It's part, twelve.

18 | Q Correct. Do you have any problem with that?

19 A No.

20 Q And you could consider all forms of punishment?

A Yes, that's correct.

Q Thank you.

We would pass for cause.

THE COURT: For the defense.

25

21

22

23

EXAMINATION

2

1

- 3 BY MR. EWING:
- Q It's true that there are twelve jurors, but you
- 5 still believe that your opinion is important?
- 6 A Very important.
- Q And perhaps your opinion is important enough to stand on its own if it needs to?
- 9 A Yep.
- 10 Q Is that true?
- 11 A That's correct.
- 12 Q Just because there is twelve people doesn't mean
- 13 | you have to agree with all twelve people?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q There may be room for disagreement?
- 16 A Yeah.
- 17 Q There must also be a dialogue, there must be a
- 18 | willingness to listen to other people's opinions?
- 19 A Exactly.
- 20 MR. EWING: We pass for cause.
- 21 THE COURT: Thank you.

22

23

24 ///

25 ///

EXAMINATION

2

1

3 BY THE COURT:

- 4 Q Is it Mr. Gushwa?
- 5 A Yeah.
- 6 Q Am I pronouncing it correctly?
- 7 A Yeah.
- 8 Q Any reason you can think of why you couldn't be
- 9 | fair in the case?
- 10 A No.
- Q Can you wait until all the evidence is in before
- 12 drawing any conclusions in the matter?
- 13 A Yes.
- Q Ever been arrested, friends or family ever been
- 15 | arrested?
- 16 A No.
- Q Ever been the victim of a crime or friends or
- 18 | family ever been the victim of a crime?
- 19 A We had a car stolen.
- 20 Q Anything about the way the police handled that
- 21 or the fact of the incident itself that would affect your
- 22 | thinking in this case?
- 23 A No.
- Q You can put that aside and decide this case on
- 25 | its facts?

A Yeah.

Q Ever been on a jury before?

A No.

Q Any concern about adverse public opinion that might result from a verdict in this case?

A No.

Q Ever been a participant or a party to any court proceeding?

A No.

Q If you were involved in this case would you feel comfortable with twelve jurors just like you sitting in judgment on the matter?

A Yes.

Q Do you have any religious, philosophical, conscientious or moral objections to the imposition of the death penalty?

A No.

Q Can you consider if you're called upon deliberating on the question of penalty all four statutory forms of punishment?

A Yeah,

Q That is life with parole, life without parole, death penalty or 50 years with 20, eligibility after 20?

A Yes.

THE COURT: The State of Nevada may inquire of

this juror.

2

1

3

EXAMINATION

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

23

BY MS. SILVER:

Q I noticed in a couple of your answers, I wanted to ask you to explain a couple of them. One of them you said that where it asks the statement, the death penalty is appropriate in some cases but not in others, you wrote if a person kills someone there may be a reason. What do you mean by that?

A Well, there is reasons for everything. There might be a circumstance.

- Q What do you mean?
- A You know, like if somebody is cheating on you.
- Q You think that if someone is cheating on you that that gives them a reason to murder the other person?
- A No, no, I'm just talking about the death penalty.
- Q So if the person was cheating on another person then that's something that you feel well, that would mitigate the murder somehow?
 - A No.
- Q That that victim deserved to die?
- 25 A Oh, no.

16	1.	Q	Can you explain then what you mean?
	2	A	I'm just talking about the death penalty and,
	3		the 50 years, maybe 50 years
	!		
	. 4	Q	They may deserve 50 the person who committed
	5	the crime	may only deserve 50 years because the victim was
	6	a cheater?	Maybe I'm interpreting what you're saying
	7	wrong.	
	8	A	No.
	9	Q	I'm just you're against the death penalty?
	10	A	I'm for the death penalty in cases.
	11	Q	You do believe that in some cases it could be
	12	appropriat	e?
	13	A	No.
	14	Q	Okay. Then in fact here you said the death
	15	penalty is	a little too harsh?
	16	A	In some cases.
	17	Q	What do you mean by that? In what cases?
	18	A	You don't give the death penalty to everyone.
	19	Q	I'm sorry, say it again. I can't hear you. I
	20	can't unde	rstand you.
	21	A	Oh, state that again.
	22	Q	I'm sorry. Do you think that the death penalty
	23	can be too	harsh a penalty?
	24	A	I'm not certain. Like when they give the death
	25	penalty.	

		-	181
16	1	Q	Okay. Do you think that you could give the
	2	death pena	lty if it was appropriate?
	3	A	Yes.
-	4	Q	There might be a time when it would be an
	5	appropriate	e sentence.
	6	A	Yeah. Yes.
	7	Ω	Okay. Thank you.
	8		Your Honor, we would pass for cause.
	9		THE COURT: The defense.
	10		MR. EWING: Thank you, your Honor.
	11		
	12		EXAMINATION
	13		
	14	BY MR. EWI	NG:
	15	Q	Mr. Gushwa, is that how you say that?
	16	A	Yes.
	17	Q	I believe what you are trying to say here is
	18	that there	are circumstances where the death penalty could
	19	be warrant	ed and there are circumstances where it might not
-	20	be warrant	ed; is that true?
	21	A	True.
	22	Q	And you would look at the circumstances of the
	23	case and ma	ake that determination?
	24	A	Yeah.
	25	Q	And there is a lot of factors to be considered?

A True.

Q For example, you gave the example if someone is cheating on someone else that would simply be a factor to be considered?

A Yes.

Q You also believe that there are other factors to be considered such as the history of the defendant; correct?

A Yeah.

Q And when you say that the death penalty is a little too harsh, do you mean that the death penalty should simply be reserved for the worst cases?

A Yeah.

MR. EWING: Pass for cause.

THE COURT: At this point we have by my count eighteen prospective jurors passed for cause so that means we're halfway through the process at this point.

MR. HARMON: That's correct.

THE COURT: It's my suggestion that we start tomorrow morning at 8:30 in the morning. Does anyone have a problem with us doing that since we're going to be entirely jury selection tomorrow? Maybe we'll get to opening statements.

All right. Miss DiGiovanna and Miss Hull and Mr. Larsen and Mr. Gushwa, you are expected to be

back at the courthouse tomorrow at two o'clock in the
afternoon ready for the completion of jury selection when
we get through the rest of the jurors. You will not have
to come back up here, stay down in the lounge where it's
more comfortable.

During the evening recess, it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial; or read, watch or listen to any report or commentary on the trial or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information, including without limitation newspapers, television and radio; and you are not to form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this case until it is submitted to you.

Also as you were told at juror orientation, do not visit the scene, do not do any investigation on your own.

Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. we'll see you tomorrow afternoon.

JUROR #442: You said we'll stay downstairs tomorrow?

THE COURT: Yeah. You don't have to come up until we call you.

Anything further from the parties this evening?

MR. HARMON: Not from the State, your Honor. MR. BROOKS: Not from the defense, your Honor. THE COURT: This matter is in recess. We'll see you at 8:30 in the morning. MR. HARMON: Good night, Judge. (Proceedings adjourned.) --000--Full, true, and accurate transcript of ATTEST: proceedings. L. ANTONACCI CCR #222

DISTRICT COURT

COUNTY OF CLARK, STATE OF NEVADA

FILED IN OPEN COURT

TOCT 0 9 1996

ORIGINAL

LORETTA BOWMAN, CLER

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Case No. C131341 Dept. No. VII

Plaintiff,

-vs-

JAMES MONTELL CHAPPELL,

Defendant.

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT

<u>OF</u>

JURY TRIAL, VOLUME II. AFTERNOON SESSION

BEFORE THE HONORABLE A. WILLIAM MAUPIN. DISTRICT JUDGE

Tuesday, October 8, 1996

2:00 P.M.

APPEARANCES:

For the State:

MELVYN T. HARMON, ESQ.

Deputy District Attorney

ABBI SILVER, ESQ.

Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant:

HOWARD S. BROOKS, ESQ.

Deputy Public Defender

WILLARD N. EWING, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender

Reported by: LISA BRENSKE, CCR No. 186

CE

Page: 618

LAS VEGAS, CLARK COUNTY; TUESDAY, OCTOBER 8, 1996

PRQCEEDINGS

THE COURT: Everyone that has been qualified for cause please stand. They don't need to sit through the rest of this part of it so flexibility being the key word here we'll send the jurors who have qualified for cause back down to the jury lounge and that'll give us enough room for everybody to sit down.

yesterday is everybody suitably uncomfortable? Ladies and gentlemen of the prospective jury, my name is Bill Maupin, District Court Judge that's presiding over this case. This is State of Nevada versus James Montell Chappell, case number C131341. The defendant is present represented by his attorneys, the State of Nevada represented by deputy district attorneys. The State and the defense has already announced ready. We have qualified for cause 31 prospective jurors; is that correct at this point?

MR. HARMON: Yes, it is, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, as you are now aware this is a case in which the State is seeking a conviction against the defendant for the primary charge of first degree murder. The death penalty is being sought in

this case. You have filled out jury questionnaires which have been designed to facilitate jury selection. They have served that purpose thus far as we have been able to get most of the jury presently seated from a standpoint of qualifying jurors for cause. We have five more positions to fill and at this point I would ask that the Deputy District Attorney stand, state the general nature of this action and read off the list of witnesses the State may call in support of its case.

MR. HARMON: Thank you, Judge.

Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. As the court has made you aware this is a criminal case. The defendant is charged by the State of Nevada with three crimes. They are burglary, robbery with use of a deadly weapon and murder with the use of a deadly weapon. The deadly weapon alleged is a knife.

My name is Mel Harmon. I will be one of the prosecutors on the case. I'm employed as a Deputy District Attorney here in Clark County. My partner is Abbi Silver seated at counsel table. She also is a Deputy District Attorney.

The defendant Mr. Chappell is charged with committing crimes against Deborah Ann Panos. The evidence will show that at the time she was killed she was the mother of three and 26 years of age. The evidence will further

show that the defendant committed these crimes at a place where she lived. He had been a boyfriend of Miss Panos for eight to ten years. In fact, he fathered the three children that she was the mother of. The location of the offense is the Balerina Mobile Home Park at 839 North Lamb Boulevard, space 125. The offenses occurred on August the 25th, 1995 during the early afternoon hours.

The State will call witnesses from among the following persons. I want to prepare you this is a lengthy list. I don't mean to suggest that we'll call everyone. But we do want to alert you to any potential witnesses. Naturally the parties have an interest in knowing if any of you as prospective jurors are acquainted with those who may be witnesses in the case. But don't be intimidated by the length of the list. Actually the State will call about 25 witnesses during its case in chief and this list is much longer than that.

Norm Adams, Las Vegas. K. Adkins, A-d-k-i-n-s, of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. Larry Arave, A-r-a-v-e, of Las Vegas. Luana Dorene Ayers, A-y-e-r-s, Las Vegas. Laura Berfield, B-e-r-f-i-e-l-d, of Tucson, Arizona. R. Burton, Al Cabrales, C-a-b-r-a-l-e-s, both of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. Mike Compton, C-o-m-p-t-o-n, Las Vegas. Dan Connell and Terry Cook both of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.

Page: 621

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

C. Dickens, D-i-c-k-e-n-s, also of the Metropolitan Police Department. Bill Duffy, Las Vegas. John and Lisa Duran both of Las Vegas, the last name is D-u-r-a-n. Linda Errichetto, E-r-r-i-c-h-e-t-t-o, of the Metropolitan Police Department. Lisa Foreman, F-o-r-e-m-a-n, of Cellmark Diagnostics in Germantown, Maryland. Steve Grabowski, G-r-a-b-o-w-s-k-i, Las Vegas. Dr. Sheldon Green of the Coroner Medical Examiner's office here in Las Vegas. A. Hanners, H-a-n-n-e-r-s, and D. Heiner, H-e-i-n-e-r, both of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. Ed Henderson, Ladonna Jackson both of Las Vegas, Nevada. G. Jolley, J-o-l-l-e-y. W. Keeton, K-e-e-t-o-n, E. Kerns, K-e-r-n-s, Bill Leaver, L-e-a-v-e-r and Russell Lee, L-e-e, all of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.

Michelle Mancho, M-a-n-c-h-o, Las Vegas. Lawrence Martinez, Chino, California. M. Maston, M-a-s-t-o-n, Metropolitan Police Department. K. Morris, Las Vegas. Maynard Munson, Tucson, Arizona. Paul Osuch, O-s-u-c-h, of the Metropolitan Police Department. James Panos, Tucson, Arizona. Norma Penfield, P-e-n-f-i-e-l-d, of Tucson. M. Perkins, D. Peterson both of the Metropolitan Police Department. Mike Pollard, P-o-l-l-a-r-d, Las Vegas. Phil Ramos, R-a-m-o-s, and R. Rees, R-e-e-s, both of the Metropolitan Police Department. Kimberly Sempson, S-e-m-p-s-o-n, of La Habra, California. M. Shadler,

S-h-a-d-l-e-r, Las Vegas. Latrona Smith, first name is 1 L-a-t-r-o-n-a, North Las Vegas, Nevada. Charmaine Smith, 2 Las Vegas. Monte Spoor of the Metropolitan Police 3 Department. John Stallings, S-t-a-1-1-1-n-g-s, Las Vegas. K. Townsend, T-o-w-n-s-e-n-d, Las Vegas. Deborah Turner 5 also of Las Vegas. Jim Vacarro, V-a-c-a-r-r-o, M. 6 7 Washington both of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. Wendy Wilkinson, Las Vegas. Willie Wiltz, 8 W-i-l-t-z, of Las Vegas. Calvin Winchell, also of Las 9 Vegas. W. Yada, Metropolitan Police Department. Paula 10 Yates, Cellmark Diagnostics, Germantown, Maryland. Alan 11 12 Williams. David Stansbury, S-t-a-n-s-b-u-r-y. Michael Szeles, S-z-e-l-e-s, and Daniel Giersdorf, G-i-e-r-s-d-o-r-f 13 all of the Metropolitan Police Department. 14 Tanya Hobson, H-o-b-s-o-n, Las Vegas. Dr. John 15 16 McCourt, M-c-C-o-u-r-t, Las Vegas. Dina Freeman, F-r-e-e-m-a-n, Tucson. Dorothy Klein, Metropolitan Police 17 Department. W. Grove, Las Vegas. L. McNitt, M-c-N-i-t-t. 18 Officer Haggerty, H-a-g-g-e-r-t-y, Officer J. Earnst, 19 E-a-r-n-s-t, Officer Neidkowski, N-e-i-d-k-o-w-s-k-i, 20 Officer Vernon, V-e-r-n-o-n, Officer Ausserns, 21 A-u-s-s-e-r-n-s, and Officer Stoner, S-t-o-n-e-r, all of the 22 Tucson Police Department in Tucson, Arizona. 23

2

24

25

Kenneth Gay, Lansing, Michigan. Paul Widner, W-i-d-n-e-r and John Priebe, P-r-i-e-b-e, of the Lansing

2 1 Police Department in Lansing, Michigan. Al Granger, address 2 unknown, Robin Cotton or designee Cellmark Diagnostics, Germantown, Maryland. 3 4 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Harmon. Mr. Brooks, would you introduce your colleague 5 6 and your client and state any witnesses you think the 7 defense may call even though we know that the defense does not have to call any witnesses. 8 9 MR. BROOKS: Thank you, Judge. 10 My name is Howard Brooks, I'm a defense 11 attorney here in town. My partner is Wil Ewing. We'll be 12 trying this case. My client is James Chappell. 13 THE COURT: Thank you. 14 Ladies and gentlemen of the prospective tury panel, we will now proceed with the matter of jury selection 15 of the last five prospective jurors. At this time I would 16 17 ask whether either of the parties desire to present a challenge to the prospective jury panel this afternoon as a 18 19 whole? 20 MR. HARMON: The State does not, Your Honor. MR. BROOKS: The defense does not, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Thank you. At this time I would 22

THE CLERK: Please answer here or present when your name is called.

ask the clerk to call the roll of the prospective jurors.

23

24

2 1	Claude R. Hall.
_	
2	BADGE NO. 481; Here.
3	THE CLERK: Willie Arthur Blizzard.
4	BADGE NO. 482: Here.
5	THE CLERK: Marylen Cotter Burris.
6	BADGE NO. 483: Here.
7	THE CLERK: Belinda M. Franklin.
8	BADGE NO. 484: Here.
9	THE CLERK: Cheryl Ann Covey.
10	BADGE NO. 485: Here.
11	THE CLERK: Tammy Silva Pessagno.
12	BADGE NO. 487: Here.
13	THE CLERK: Albert A. Gualtier, Jr.
14	BADGE NO. 488: Here.
15	THE CLERK: Phaedra Tschann Burke.
16	BADGE NO. 489: Here.
17	THE CLERK: Gilbert Correa.
18	BADGE NO. 490: Here.
19	THE CLERK: Michael Henry Armstrong.
20	BADGE NO. 491: Here.
21	THE CLERK: Christopher Napolitano.
22	BADGE NO. 492: Here.
23	THE CLERK: Adraine Denise Jones-Marshall,
24	BADGE NO. 493: Here.
25	THE CLERK: Constance Marie Ludgate.

2	1	BADGE NO. 494: Here.
	2	THE CLERK: Anna V. Woelfel.
	3	BADGE NO. 496: Here.
	4	THE CLERK: Virginia Ruth Styff.
	5	BADGE NO. 497: Here.
	6	THE CLERK: Dawn Marie Cagliostro.
3	7	BADGE NO. 498: Here.
,	8	THE CLERK: Lorri Campbell.
	9	BADGE NO. 499: Here.
	10	THE CLERK: Carol Ann Castelone.
	11	BADGE NO. 500: Here.
	12	THE CLERK: Mariano Ted Teodoro.
	13	BADGE NO. 501: Here.
	14	THE CLERK: William Michael Rogers.
	15	BADGE NO. 502: Here.
	16	THE CLERK: Janice Williams.
	17	BADGE NO. 505: Here.
	18	THE CLERK: Mark Steven Snavely.
	19	BADGE NO. 506: Here.
	20	THE CLERK: Gustav Scott Von Wolffradt.
	21	BADGE NO. 507: Here.
	22	THE CLERK: Karen Mattson.
	23	BADGE NO. 508: Here.
	24	THE CLERK: Dia Marie Moon.
	25	BADGE NO. 510: Here.
		i

3 1	THE CLERK: William Hugh Mains.
2	BADGE NO. 512: Here.
3	THE CLERK: James Glen Gilliard.
4	BADGE NO. 514: Here.
5	THE CLERK: Rodney Paul Williams.
6	BADGE NO. 515: Here.
7	THE CLERK: Scott Lewis Greenspan.
8	BADGE NO. 517: Here.
9	THE CLERK: Christine Diane Niquette.
10	BADGE NO. 518: Yes.
11	THE CLERK: Harlan McLeod Wilson.
12	BADGE NO. 519: Here.
13	THE CLERK: Margie M. Covert.
14	BADGE NO. 520: Here.
15	THE CLERK: Cynthia L. McNeely.
16	BADGE NO. 521: Here.
17	THE CLERK: Bonnie Brogan Swandal.
18	BADGE NO. 523: Kere.
19	THE CLERK: Tammy Choung.
20	BADGE NO. 524: Here.
21	THE CLERK: Gail Adele Stevenson.
22	BADGE NO. 525: Here.
23	THE CLERK: Robert Arlyn Glotfelty.
24	BADGE NO. 526: Here.
25	THE CLERK: Joyce Anderson

3	1	BADGE NO. 527: Here.
	2	THE CLERK: David L. Wright.
	3	BADGE NO. 529: Here.
	4	THE CLERK; Michael John Swanson.
	5	BADGE NO. 530: Here.
	6	THE CLERK: Robert Earl Sackville.
	7	BADGE NO. 531: Here.
	8	THE CLERK: Sidney Love, Jr.
	9	BADGE NO. 532: Here.
	10	THE CLERK: William Milton Swanson.
	· 11	BADGE NO. 533: Here.
	12	THE CLERK: Lisa Denny.
	13	BADGE NO. 534: Here.
	14	THE CLERK: Paulette Porter Olekson.
	15	BADGE NO. 535: Present.
	16	THE CLERK: Shelli D. Banks.
	17	BADGE NO. 536: Here.
	18	THE CLERK: Bryan D. Gizelbach.
	19	BADGE NO. 537: Here.
	20	THE CLERK: Andrea Prey.
	21	BADGE NO. 538: Here.
	22	THE CLERK: Debra Ann Selby.
	23	BADGE NO. 539: Here.
	24	THE CLERK: Kenneth Piscopo.
	25	BADGE NO. 541: Here.

Page: 628

3	1	THE CLERK: Jacqueline Carver.
	2	BADGE NO. 542: Here.
	3	THE CLERK: Donna Anne McAleer.
	4	BADGE NO. 543: Here.
	5	THE CLERK: Michael Arthur Neitz.
	6	BADGE NO. 544: Here.
	7	THE CLERK: Rose Schweppe Cirac.
	8	BADGE NO. 545: Here.
	9	THE CLERK: Toni Galyean Allen.
	10	BADGE NO. 547: Here.
	11	THE CLERK: Louann Morales.
	12	BADGE NO. 548: Here.
	13	THE CLERK: Michael Eugen Helbert.
	14	BADGE NO. 549: Here.
	15	THE CLERK: Heidi Garafalo.
	16	BADGE NO. 550; Here.
	17	THE COURT: Is there anyone whose name that
	18	wasn't called? Let the record reflect a uniform negative
	19	response.
	20	Will counsel agree and stipulate that I may
	21	have the entire panel sworn at the same time to answer
	22	truthfully all questions propounded to them as to
	23	qualifications to serve as jurors as I might ask questions
	24	collectively so it would not be necessary to administer the
	25	oath to each?
	1	1

MR. HARMON; Yes.

MR. BROOKS: Yes.

THE COURT: At this time I would ask the jury

panel to please stand and you will be sworn.

(Potential jurors sworn.)

now surmised whoever designed this courtroom and the other courtrooms in this courthouse didn't know much about how courtrooms worked so I know you must be uncomfortable sitting in these benches and in close proximity to each other. If at any time you wish to get up and use the facilities if you're not in the jury box or you need to stand up and stretch, please do so. You won't bother us in the slightest. We'll try to make this as expeditious and as comfortable for you as we can. If you feel like you need to stretch, please feel free to do that.

At this time I am going to read a series of ground rules for this jury selection. They come in the form of preliminary jury instructions. I'd rather speak to you extemporaneously, but they have to be read specifically. So I'll read to you these carefully prepared instructions.

Ladies and gentlemen, we are about to commence what is called voir dire examination of the prospective jurors in this case. The term voir dire, means, loosely translated, to tell the truth. During this process you will

б

be asked questions bearing on your ability to sit as fair and impartial jurors. To accomplish this result various questions will be asked of you by me or counsel of the parties. On occasion some will seem somewhat personal and we do not wish to unnecessarily pry into your personal lives; however, the questions are necessary so that counsel and the court can make an intelligent determination as to your capabilities to serve fairly and impartially.

I want you to know that I and the attorneys and all other persons involved in this case are deeply concerned with having this matter tried by 12 people who are completely open-minded, neutral, objective and unbiased in their thinking.

wide discretion is vested in the trial judge as to the method of examination of jurors. As I stated I may personally conduct the voir dire, but I must give the attorneys the opportunity to participate in this questioning.

The following areas of inquiry are not properly within the scope of voir dire examination by counsel:

One, questions already asked and answered by the court and other counsel;

Two, questions touching upon anticipated questions on the law;

Three, questions touching upon a verdict a

juror would return when based upon hypothetical facts;

Four, questions are in substance arguments of the case.

know the significance of full, complete and honest answers to all the questions we are about to ask you. I caution you not to try to withhold anything which might indicate bias or prejudice of any sort by any of you. Should you fail to answer truthfully or if you hide or withhold anything touching upon your qualifications, that fact may tend to contaminate your verdict and subject you to further inquiry even after you're discharged as jurors.

Your decision should be based upon all the evidence presented during the trial and not based upon preconceived prejudice or bias. Prejudice is an irrational predisposition against something or someone and bias is an irrational predisposition in favor of something or someone.

I will conduct a general voir dire examination of all of you while you are seated in the audience. After those questions are asked, the clerk will call five names using the order provided to us by the jury commissioner to fill the jury box.

Sometime during the process of selecting a jury the attorneys for both sides will have the right to ask that a particular person not serve as a juror. These requests

are called challenges. There are two types of challenges, 1 challenges for cause and peremptory challenges. A challenge 2 for cause means that a juror has been excused because his or 3 her answers to some of the voir dire questions indicate that 4 he or she would have a difficult time in giving a fair and 5 impartial hearing to the case. A peremptory challenge means 6 that a juror can be excused from duty without counsel having 7 to give a reason for the excusal. Please do not be offended 8 should you be excused by either of the challenge procedures. 9 They are simply a part of the procedures designed to protect 10 the rights of the parties under our system of government. 11 If you wish to respond to a question individually and in the 12 affirmative, please raise your hand, give your name and 13 indicate the badge number that you have been assigned.

> First and very importantly to any case is there anyone who has such a sympathy, prejudice or bias relating to age, religion, race, creed, sex or national origin that they feel would affect their ability to be open-minded, fair and impartial?

> BADGE NO. 548: Louann Morales, 548. I taught religious education for ten years and I don't believe in the death penalty and believe in the right to life and that's where I feel bias.

> THE COURT: Is there any circumstance under which you could consider all of the four forms of punishment

25

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

		Į
4	1	for first degree murder?
	2	BADGE NO. 548: I thought about this since day
	3	one and I honestly don't think I can live with that
	4	conscience had it come out to being the death penalty.
	5	THE COURT: Counsel stipulate?
	6	MR. HARMON: The State stipulates, Your Honor.
	7	MR. BROOKS: Defense would.
	8	THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. You can go back
	9	to the jury commissioner.
	10	BADGE NO. 524: Tammy Choung, 524, and I feel
	11	the same way about the capital punishment.
	12	THE COURT: Under no circumstances could you
	13	consider any of the four forms of punishment under the
	14	statute?
	15	BADGE NO. 524: I would consider it but not the
	16	death penalty.
	17	THE COURT: There are four forms of punishment;
	18	death penalty, life with the possibility parole, life
	19	without the possibility of parole and 50 years in the Nevada
	20	State Prison with parole eligibility to commence when 20
	21	years has been served. Are you telling us now that you
	22	would not be able to fairly consider all four forms of
	23	punishment?
	24	BADGE NO. 524: Not all four, no.
	25	THE COURT: Which is the form of punishment

1	that you have a problem with?
2	BADGE NO. 524: The death penalty.
3	THE COURT: I'll go ahead and excuse you from
4	jury service.
5	MR. HARMON: What was her number once more?
6	BADGE NO. 524: 524.
7	THE COURT: You can return back to the jury
8	commissioner.
9	THE COURT: We'll get to the death penalty
10	questions. This question has to do really with preconceived
11	bias or prejudice.
12	BADGE NO. 550: 550. I am a victim of domestic
13	violence and I'm not quite sure I could be fair to the
14	defendant.
15	THE COURT: If you'd be seated.
16	Anyone else on this side of the room?
17	BADGE NO. 488: 488. I was a police officer in
18	Chicago for four years.
19	THE COURT: As a police officer that means
20	you're biased or prejudice?
21	BADGE NO. 488: Prejudiced, yes.
22	THE COURT: You can be seated.
23	BADGE NO. 505: Death penalty, badge number
24	505, I don't agree with that.
25	THE COURT: We'll get to that in just a second.

Are any of you acquainted with the defendant or 1 2 his counsel? Let the record reflect a uniform negative 3 response. Are any of you acquainted with the deputy district attorneys who have introduced themselves here this 5 6 afternoon, Miss Silver or Mr. Harmon? Let the record 7 reflect a uniform negative response. The district attorney's office employs many 8 deputies and other personnel. Is there anyone who has such 9 a close relationship with either the district attorney, his 10 11 deputy or other member of his staff that would affect your ability to serve as a fair and impartial juror in this case? 12 BADGE NO. 488: 488. I know one of the 13 14 bailiffs downstairs. 15 THE COURT: Well, they're not in the district attorney's office. 16 Anyone else? Let the record reflect a uniform 17 negative response. 18 Are any of you acquainted with any of the 19 20 witnesses whose names were previously mentioned by the Deputy District Attorney this afternoon? 21 BADGE NO. 529: Terry Cook with the Las Vegas 22 Police Department. 529. 23 THE COURT: You know Terry Cook? 24 BADGE NO. 529: Yeah. A At the state of the s 25

	i
5 1	THE COURT: Is it a social relationship or is
2	it —
3	BADGE NO. 529: Social.
4	THE COURT: Is there anything about Mr. your
5	relationship with Mr. Cook that would affect your ability to
6	judge his credibility although he's a criminalist?
7	BADGE NO. 529: I don't think so.
8	BADGE NO. 543: Donna McAleer, 543. I know a
9	woman named Kay Morse and I don't know if it's the same.
10	THE COURT: How well do you know her?
11	BADGE NO. 543: We are working associates.
12	We're not in the same office.
13	THE COURT: Do you know where she works so
14	maybe the district attorney could assess whether
15	MS. SILVER: What was the name?
16	BADGE NO. 543: Kay Morse.
17	THE COURT: Do you know where she works?
18	BADGE NO. 543: Yes. At the University of
19	Nevada Las Vegas at the radio station.
20	THE COURT: Is this the same person?
21	MR. HARMON: We don't think so, Your Honor.
22	BADGE NO. 549: Mike Helbert, 549. I know Phil
23	Ramos. My wife went to school with him.
24	THE COURT: Is this anything other than a
25	casual acquaintance?

1	BADGE NO. 549: No.
· 2	THE COURT: It's not a close personal
3	relationship?
4	BADGE NO. 549: No.
5	THE COURT: Is there anything about your
6	acquaintanceship with this person that would affect your
7	ability to be fair to both sides in this case?
8	BADGE NO. 549: No.
9	MR. BROOKS: For the record who was the
10	individual he knows?
11	BADGE NO. 549: Phil Ramos.
12	BADGE NO. 545: Rose Cirac, badge 545. I'm an
13	acquaintance of a Norma Adams.
14	THE COURT: And do you know where she works?
15	BADGE NO. 545: No.
16	THE COURT: Is there anything about your
17	acquaintanceship with this person that would affect your
18	ability to fairly judge her credibility?
19	BADGE NO. 545: No.
20	THE COURT: So it's just a passing?
21	BADGE NO. 545: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Acquaintanceship?
23	BADGE NO. 545: Yes.
24	BADGE NO. 489: Badge 489. I know Kay Morse
25	also at UNLV through the radio station.

5 1 THE COURT: Is it a close relationship in any 2 event? BADGE NO. 489: I know her hanging out going to 3 the station, but I don't know her beliefs or anything. THE COURT: Well, assuming that's the witness 5 is there anything about this person that would affect your 6 ability to judge her credibility if she took the witness 7 stand? 8 BADGE NO. 489: I honestly don't know. I don't 9 10 think so. THE COURT: I don't think it's the same person. 11 Anybody else know any of the prospective 12 13 witnesses? Let the record reflect a uniform negative 14 response. At this point I am going to ask for the 15 identity of any prospective jurors that have extenuating 16 circumstances that would affect their ability to sit in a 17 two and a half week jury trial. This matter is scheduled to 18 go through this week with the exception of Wednesday and 19 through next week through Tuesday afternoon and then 20 possibly into the following week. 21 BADGE NO. 500: I work in preop and we're short 22 staffed, badge 500. 23 THE COURT: Where do you work? 24 BADGE NO. 500: In preop in a surgery center.

THE COURT: I'll go ahead and excuse you from 5 1 jury service. You can go back to the jury commissioner. 2 BADGE NO. 499: My name is Lorri Campbell, 499. Currently I have a child that's in day-care. My husband and I have just one car at the present time and we live like way 5 on the northwest side and we are taking each other back and б forth to Lake Mead and 95. 7 THE COURT: I'll go ahead and excuse you. B BADGE NO. 526: Bob Glotfelty, number 526, I am 9 10 self-employed. THE COURT: What's the nature of your 11 employment? 12 BADGE NO. 526: I'm a computer consultant so if 13 14 I'm working here I'm not working. THE COURT: So you're the business? 15 BADGE NO. 526: I am the business. I am a one 16 17 man business. THE COURT: I will go ahead and excuse you from 18 19 jury service. BADGE NO. 527: Badge number 527. I teach and 20 we're in the middle of school right now, school semester. 21 THE COURT: Have you been arranging for 22 substitute teachers during this time? 23 BADGE NO. 527: I've had to the last times 24

we've had to keep coming.

5	1	THE COURT: Last week when you came over and
	2	yesterday and today?
	3	BADGE NO. 527: Uh-huh.
	4	THE COURT: I'll go ahead and excuse you from
	5	service.
	6	BADGE NO. 521: Badge number 521. I have a
	7	letter from my employer. We're a new company, he considers
	8	me essential personnel. Plus my husband is to be deployed
	9	overseas in about within the next couple of weeks.
	10	THE COURT: All right. I'll go ahead and
	11	excuse you from service.
	12	BADGE NO. 537: My name is Bryan Gizelbach,
_	13	hadge number 537. My son's flance has been admitted to the
6	14	psyche ward and has caused stress to the immediate family.
	15	THE COURT: He's in-patient right now?
	16	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, at county hospital in
	17	Milwaukee. And there is a lot of stress and my son is not
	18	taking it well and my daughter is suffering from anxiety
	19	attacks and we're anticipating we might go back to
	20	Milwaukee.
	21	THE COURT: I'll excuse you.
	22	BADGE NO. 545: I work at Sunrise Hospital,
	23	badge number 545.
	24	THE COURT: In what department?
	25	BADGE NO. 545: Ultrasound department, and the

6	1	staffing level is going to be decreased in the next few
	2	weeks and it would be very difficult to find staff and
	3	replace me.
	4	THE COURT: We'll put you at the bottom of the
	5	list.
	6	BADGE NO. 496: 496. I work for Sprint and
	7	we're down-sizing, closing the warehouse and they've advised
	8	me Thursday they're closing my repair shop and I have three
	9	weeks to go ahead and get people placed.
	10	THE COURT: We'll place you at the bottom of
	11	the list.
	12	BADGE NO. 541: 541. Three weeks of no
	13	commission, head of the household.
	14	THE COURT: What kind of job?
	15	BADGE NO. 541: Mechanic.
	16	THE COURT: I'll go ahead and excuse you from
	17	service.
	18	BADGE NO. 550: 550. I am a single mother of
	19	three and I support them on commission sales.
	20	THE COURT: I'll excuse you.
	21	BADGE NO. 490: Badge 490. Blectrical
	22	contractor and I've got my license to be reinstated and I
	23	work with a friend of mine.
	24	THE COURT: So are you doing piecework?
	25	BADGE NO. 490: Yes, sir

1 2

THE COURT: I'll go ahead and excuse you from jury service.

5

3

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

BADGE NO. 484: 484. I had a miscarriage in May, I'm approximately three weeks pregnant right now, my doctor has excused me, due to the stress level he doesn't want me to do this and that's the reason I miscarried five months ago. Increased amount of stress.

THE COURT: I will excuse you.

BADGE NO. 481: Badge 481. I'm under treatment for arrhythmic heart with a cardiologist and I have an appointment like next week and they're probably going to zap ` me, whatever that means.

THE COURT: I hope it isn't as bad as it sounds. I understand. He'll use electronic stimulation to reestablish your rhythm. I'll go ahead and excuse you from jury service.

BADGE NO. 485: Badge 485. My husband and I just started a company two months ago, home building company, and we're getting ready to close on two houses on October 15th so we're combining all of our legal lenders and so forth and he and I are the only ones doing this and this will cause tremendous hardship.

THE COURT: I'll go ahead and excuse you.

BADGE NO. 487: Badge number 487. I work at the MGM Grand and I'm a performer there so I will be out of 6 1 work there. It's difficult to replace me because you would 2 have to come in and train somebody to do everything that I 3 do, dance-wise. THE COURT: Is this at night or during the day? 5 BADGE NO. 487: It's during the day. I'm in the theme park. And I am the only person in my family б 7 that's employed. 8 THE COURT: We'll put you at the bottom of the list. 9 BADGE NO. 488: Badge number 488. This week 10 11 I'm leaving for vacation for a week out in California, Your 12 Honor, and I have rooms out there that are nonrefundable. 13 THE COURT: We'll put you at the bottom of the 14 list. BADGE NO. 482: 482. I'm also employed at a 15 resort hotel that recently opened up and my position is 16 17 management in receiving and hotel warehouse and we have no one trained to take my place. 18 THE COURT: We'll put you at the bottom of the 19 20 list. BADGE NO. 519: 519. I just had a quadruple 21 bypass and I have to go follow up for the 14th of this 22 23 month. THE COURT: I will go ahead and excuse you from 24

25

jury service.

1 2

BADGE NO. 510: Badge number 510. I work for W.R. Gibbons third-party administrator for worker's compensation. Last Thursday we had two employees quit and so my workload has increased, and I also go to school and I have one professor that's not very accommodating even to jury duty. And we have a test every Thursday.

THE COURT: Well, I guess we could arrange an accommodation, but it won't be necessary. I'll excuse you.

BADGE NO. 495: Badge number 495. I just had two recent knee surgeries and I go to physical therapy three times a week 4:30 to six. My therapist leaves at six and if I'm not there at 4:30, I don't get the full length of my therapy and if I don't go to therapy, that will set me back from getting better.

THE COURT: I understand. The court's been there so I'll let you out of jury service.

BADGE NO. 505: Badge number 505. I am the mother of two kids and I solely support them. I work for APL Laboratory, I'm a supervisor and I have to run three clinics and currently I'm going to classes for domestic violence.

THE COURT: I'll go ahead and excuse you from jury service.

BADGE NO. 535: Badge number 535. I'm a special education teacher and it's difficult for my students

to deal with change. 1 THE COURT: Sure. I'll go ahead and excuse you 2 from jury service. 3 BADGE NO. 508: Karen Mattson, 508. A good friend of mine lives in the Balerina Mobile Home Park and at 5 the time that this took place she kind of filled me in on б some of the stuff that took place that she had heard about. 7 THE COURT: So you have more direct information 8 about this than from reading the newspaper and having a 9 friend there? 10 BADGE NO. 508: Uh-huh. 11 THE COURT: I'll excuse you. 12 BADGE NO. 539: 539. I'm the only support 13 14 staff for my entire office so they would have a hard time without me. 15 THE COURT: What is the nature of your office? 16 BADGE NO. 539: I work for the attorney in the 17 18 Human Resources department. THE COURT: Who is the attorney? 19 BADGE NO. 539: Dorothy Cox. She used to work 20 with the attorney general's office. 21 THE COURT: We'll put you at the bottom of the 22 23 list. Anyone else? Badge number 545, could you give 24 25

	,	BADGE NO. 545: Rose Cirac.		
•				
	2	THE COURT: You're excused from jury service in		
;	3	this rotation.		
	4	Badge number 496.		
!	5	BADGE NO. 496: Anna Woelfel.		
ı	6	THE COURT: I will excuse you from jury service		
•	7	in this rotation.		
1	8	Badge number 487 I'll excuse you from jury		
•	9	service.		
1 (0	Badge number 492.		
1	1	BADGE NO. 492: Christopher Napolitano.		
1:	2	THE COURT: I'll excuse you from jury service		
13	3	in this rotation.		
1	4	Badge number 539, you're excused from jury		
15	5	service in this rotation.		
16	6	And badge number 488.		
17	7	BADGE NO. 488: Albert Gualtier.		
18	8	THE COURT: You're excused from jury service in		
19	9	this rotation.		
20	0	Has anyone on the panel ever been engaged in		
21	1	law enforcement work or have a spouse or close relative		
22	2	that's been engaged in that capacity?		
23	3	BADGE NO. 529: Yes, sir.		
24	4	THE COURT: You work with Terry Cook?		
25	5	BADGE NO. 529: My wife worked in the		

1	fingerprint bureau and works with convicted felons.
2	THE COURT: Anything about her work that would
3	affect your ability to judge the facts of this case?
4	BADGE NO. 529: No, sir.
5	BADGE NO. 544: Badge 544. I worked at the San
6	Diego Police Department.
7	THE COURT: Anything that would affect your
8	ability to judge this case?
9	BADGE NO. 544: No, sir.
10	BADGE NO. 482: 482. I have a sister and a
11	niece that are both prison guards.
12	THE COURT: Anything about their work or your
13	knowledge of it that would affect your ability to be fair to
14	both sides in this case?
15	BADGE NO. 482: I'm not sure whether it would.
16	THE COURT: You don't know the defendant or any
17	of the witnesses, correct?
18	BADGE NO. 482: Right.
19	THE COURT: And so is there something about
20	your interaction with your relatives
21	BADGE NO. 482: My sister and I are pretty
22	close.
23	THE COURT: But is there something about that
24	interaction that would prohibit you from being fair to both
25	sides in this case as a juror?

. 1 BADGE NO. 482: I'm not sure. THE COURT: The question is whether their work 2 3 and what they told you about it somehow has jaded you against fairly judging the facts of this case? BADGE NO. 482: I think it would enter my 5 6 judgment somewhat. 7 THE COURT: Why do you say that? BADGE NO. 482: I hear a lot of things about 8 what actually goes on in prisons. One's at a male prison 9 and the other one is at a female prison. 10 11 THE COURT: Well, go ahead and be seated and 12 I'm not sure that that's substantial enough to really cause 13 a problem. Anyone else? 14 BADGE NO. 520: Yes, 520. About two years ago 15 my husband worked for Jean prison for about two years. 16 17 THE COURT: Anything about his work that would affect you to be fair to both sides? 18 BADGE NO. 520: Yes. 19 20 THE COURT: Why is that? BADGE NO. 520: Well, just all the stuff he 21 told me. 22 THE COURT: We'll go ahead and have you have a 23 24 seat. BADGE NO. 534: Badge number 534, my name is 25

Lisa Denny and I have a cousin that is a police officer. 8 1 don't have no communication with him. 2 THE COURT: Is there anything about that person or your knowledge that would affect you to be fair to both sides? 5 BADGE NO. 534: No. 6 BADGE NO. 549: 549. My ex-brother-in-law was 7 a police officer. 8 THE COURT: Anything about that work that would 9 affect your sense of fairness in this case? 10 11 BADGE NO. 549: No. THE COURT: Anyone else? Let the record 12 reflect a uniform negative response. 13 Is there any member of the prospective jury 14 panel that would have a tendency to give more weight or 15 credence to the testimony of a law enforcement officer 16 simply because that witness was a law enforcement officer? 17 Would someone have a tendency to believe policemen more than 18 other witnesses? 19 BADGE NO. 529: Being an ex-police officer, 20 yes, I would be being a state employee. 21 THE COURT: From time to time you had a chance 22 to interact with your colleagues, correct? 23 BADGE NO. 529: Yes. 24

25

THE COURT: And some were more worthy of belief

than others?

2 BADGE NO. 529: This is true.

THE COURT: If you were sitting here observing a witness on the stand and analyzing what that witness said in relation to all the facts in the case, could you evaluate that witness, a police witness, fairly and determine whether that person in your view was being candid?

BADGE NO. 529; Yes.

THE COURT: Anyone else? Let the record reflect a uniform negative response.

Is there anyone who may not be able to follow the instructions of the court on the law in the case even if the instructions on the law differed from their personal conceptions of what the law ought to be? Does anyone have any problem following the court's instructions? Let the record reflect a uniform negative response.

Let me give you an example. If, as I will, I instruct the jury before the jury gets the case that the State must prove its case beyond a reasonable doubt, would anyone in the jury panel not be able to follow that instruction for example? Let the record reflect a uniform negative response.

Has anyone been contacted by any individual circulating material about the jury system near the courthouse? Let the record reflect a uniform negative

response.

Under our system certain principles of law apply in every criminal trial. They are, one, that a person is presumed innocent; two, that the charging document, in this case an Information, filed in the case is a mere accusation and is not evidence of guilt; and, three, that the State must prove the defendant is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. Does anyone not understand or believe in these basic precepts of American justice? Let the record reflect a uniform negative response.

Does anyone know anything about this case other than what has been stated in the courtroom today? Anyone have any advanced knowledge of this case? Let the record reflect -- yes, sir?

BADGR NO. 482: 482. I heard about the case on radio back when it first happened and bits and pieces of information on it up until the point where Mr. Chappell was apprehended. I'm one of these people who listen to the news all the time.

THE COURT: And obviously we don't want jurors that live in a vacuum either. The question is whether you could listen to the evidence and wait until all the evidence is in before making any determinations about the guilt or innocence of the defendant. Can you do that?

BADGE NO. 482: Yes.

В

A

thank you.

THE COURT: With that we will commence individual voir dire of the next five prospective jurors and we'll start with position 19 since that was where we left off so you can all keep up on your worksheets.

among the prospective jury panel that has such a strong philosophical feeling about the penalty aspect of this case that they could not consider all four statutory forms of punishment? And those four statutory forms of punishment are the death sentence, life without the possibility of parole, life with the possibility of parole and 50 years in the Nevada State Prison with parole eligibility after 20 years has been served. We'll start on this side of the room.

BADGE NO. 494: 494, Connie Ludgate. I wouldn't commit anybody to the death penalty. I don't believe they ought to get life without parole either. I don't believe in that.

THE COURT: All right.

BADGE NO. 494: The tax dollars at work, no,

THE COURT: That's why we're here, to find that out. You can go back to the jury commissioner at this time.

BADGE NO. 543: 543. I have severe reservations about the death penalty philosophically. I can

Page: 653

б

understand it's the law in Nevada and I can understand and sometimes emotionally I could understand why I would say okay, that works for certain cases, but my emotional side and intellectual side aren't in complete agreement and I really — it doesn't follow to me that the state should take a life.

that way; however, that is the law in the State of Nevada.

The question is even though that is your philosophical —

that's the philosophical slash intellectual dilemma that you are in, could you as a juror once you've taken your oath fairly consider all four forms of punishment and follow the instruction? The question is whether you could follow the instructions or not and consider all four forms of penalty?

BADGE NO. 543: I don't think I could consider them equally.

THE COURT: The question is it's impossible to consider -- although the standard questionnaire here talks about equal consideration, it's really impossible to find a jury where everyone says I'll consider them all equally. The question is really a matter of fair consideration of any of the four possibilities.

made that judgment themselves, but it's not something I would be comfortable doing. I could understand that's a

jury's job and I could entertain the thought. I don't 1 2 know --3 THE COURT: Again that's the comfort issue. The question is still once you are in the jury box and you 4 were under oath to fulfill this obligation would you be able 5 to assess the case and impose the death penalty? б BADGE NO. 543: I could assess the case, I 7 could consider all forms of punishment, I don't know if I 8 9 could - if I could assess the case. 10 THE COURT: The question is what it comes down to is, is there one of the four forms of punishment that you 11 12 could not consider on a fair and open-minded basis? BADGE NO. 543: Okay. Sort of like a moment of 13 14 truth. I don't know until I'm there, but I would try to be 15 open-minded. I just don't know -- and I guess it would have 16 to be the merits of the case help me decide that. THE COURT: It's very good that we've had this 17 interchange because this is probably the most illuminating 18 form of interchange that we could have so that the rest of 19 20 the jury can understand what the nature of this inquiry is. 21 I'll go ahead and let you be seated at this point. If you're picked for further inquiry we'll talk about it some 22 more. Thank you very much for your candor. 23

BADGE NO. 549: 549. I don't believe in life with parole. I believe if it's that bad it should be the

Page: 655

24

б

death penalty.

THE COURT: And that's an initial inclination a lot of people have, but the question is based on the fact that the legislature itself requires that there be four alternative forms of punishment for first degree murder and each case is different, each case could compel a different result. The question is as a juror could you consider the other two forms of punishment in addition to life without the possibility of parole and death?

BADGE NO. 549: I could consider all of them but the one of life with the possibility of parole.

THE COURT: I'll go ahead and excuse you from jury service in this rotation.

BADGE NO. 491: 491. I feel the same as him, I'd go for the death penalty if he was convicted. There's no way I would consider parole, no.

THE COURT: I will go ahead and excuse you from jury selection. Thank you very much for your candor.

BADGE NO. 480: Badge 489. I'm not too clear on whether I can handle the death penalty idea. I have a hard time with saying somebody is guilty for something and then myself taking the sword in hand. I have a problem with that. I don't think that's fair.

THE COURT: And of course that's another very elaborate set of constitutional authority from the United

States Supreme Court. But the question is notwithstanding 1 9 that philosophical issue could you sit on the jury and using 2 your intellectual side consider fairly all four forms of 3 punishment? BADGE NO. 480: The death penalty I'm not sure 5 if I could go for that one. I lost my father last year to б suicide. I have a problem with death. I don't want to 7 impose the death penalty. 8 THE COURT: I'll go ahead and excuse you from 9 jury service. 10 BADGE NO. 501: Badge 501. Out of the four I 11 would not -- I just can't see life with probation. I would 12 seek the death penalty. 13 THE COURT: Excuse me? 14 10 BADGE NO. 501: I would seek the death penalty. 15 THE COURT: But --16 BADGE NO. 501: I could not consider 50 years 17 of probation for taking someone's life. 18 THE COURT: It's 50 years in the prison 19 eligible for parole after 20 years has been served. 20 BADGE NO. 501: I could not consider that, sir. 21 THE COURT: I'll excuse you from jury service. 22 BADGE NO. 514: Badge 514. I feel the same 23 way. I can't see anyone having parole for what I've heard 24 25 so far.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the legislature has made this determination, we ask jurors to follow that. And in order to sit on a jury like this you have to be able to consider all four forms of punishment even if the defendant is convicted of first degree murder and it only happens in the event of first degree with premeditation. So you're saying you could not consider the lesser two penalties?

BADGE NO. 514: Right.

THE COURT: Counsel?

MR. HARMON: We'll stipulate, Your Honor.

MR. BROOKS: We will stipulate, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you very much. You may go back to the jury room.

BADGE NO. 493: Adraine Marshall, juror 493.

Now let me understand that we're saying out of all four, because I don't know if I can really consider the death penalty, but I do have the choices out of the three; am I correct or —

THE COURT: You have the choice of any of the four.

BADGE NO. 493: But I don't know how I would actually -- because of my religious belief I don't know how I would feel about the death penalty.

THE COURT: You can be seated.

•

BADGE NO. 482: 482. For our economy and taxes I don't believe that someone should sit in prison for the rest of their life. If they're found guilty, then we go with the death penalty.

THE COURT: All right. I'll excuse you from jury service in this rotation.

BADGE NO. 502: William Rogers, 502. I also have a problem with life without the possibility of parole.

I feel the same about taxpayer dollars. I would rather — I mean I could consider it but it would have to be extenuating circumstances.

THE COURT: There's four forms of penalty. You are not to consider the cost of incarceration in this event. What you're considering is the nature of the case, the administrative problems that attend to any of these sentences are not considerations for the deliberation process. Do you understand that?

BADGE NO. 502: Yes.

THE COURT: So the question is whether you can consider all four forms of punishment and in the proper case give any of the four?

BADGE NO. 502: I would consider the death
penalty or life with parole or the 50 year sentence before I
would consider life without the possibility of parole.

THE COURT: Of course that's the hierarchy.

10	1	The question is whether you can keep an open mind as to all
	2	four forms of punishment. Can you do that?
	3	BADGE NO. 502: Yes, sir.
	4	THE COURT: All right. Be seated.
	5	Anyone else? Let the record reflect a uniform
	6	negative response.
	7	Call the next five jurors and put them in the
	8	box.
	9	THE CLERK: Badge number 483, Marylen Burris,
	10	B-u-r-r-i-s.
	11	Badge number 493, Adraine Denise
	12	Jones-Marshall, J-o-n-e-s-M-a-r-s-h-a-l-1.
	13	THE COURT: Before Miss Marshall takes her
	14	seat, Miss Marshall, you've indicated some reservations
	15	about the death penalty. Is there any set of circumstances
	16	in which you could consider the death penalty?
	17	BADGE NO. 493: I don't know.
	18	THE COURT: Can you keep an open mind about it?
	19	BADGE NO. 493: Yes.
	20	THE CLERK: Virginia Ruth Styff, S-t-y-f-f,
	21	badge number 497.
	22	Badge number 502, William Michael Rogers,
	23	R-o-g-e-r-s.
	24	Badge number 506, Mark Steven Snavely,
	25	S-n-a-v-e-l-y.

THE COURT: I am going to ask the members of 10 1 the prospective jury panel to come and sit in this part of 2 3 the room. That way if you want to get up and use the facilities while this is going on, you can do that without being obtrusive. 5 I am going to excuse juror number 520 for 6 cause. 7 Remember there are no wrong answers to any of 8 these questions and so there's no reason to be apprehensive 9 10 about the process. 11 12 EXAMINATION BY THE COURT: 13 Miss Burris, good afternoon. 14 Good afternoon. 15 Any reason that you can think of why you 16 couldn't be fair to both sides in this case? 17 No. 18 Α Can you wait until all the evidence is in 19 before coming to any conclusion about any issue in the case? 20 Yes. A 21 Have you, any member of your family or any 22 close friends of yours ever been arrested? 23 Yes. 24 Can you tell us about that. ----25

My son, he was 16 and a half, 17 years old, he Α 10 1 11 broke in somebody pickup. He was arrested and they called 2 me about it. 3 Anything about his experience that would affect your thinking in a case like this one? 5 No. This was his first offense that he ever 6 did. I was glad he got caught. I was glad he got punished 7 for it. He's in the Navy now. 8 He's been fine ever since? 0 9 Yes. 10 Anyone in your family, you or any friends of 11 Q yours ever been the victim of a crime? 12 No. 13 Ever been on a jury before? Q 14 No. I have been called for jury duty. 15 A Never actually got into the position to Q 16 deliberate? 17 A No. 18 Any concern about adverse public opinion that Q 19 might result from any verdict in this case? 20 No. A 21 Have you ever been involved in any court 22 proceeding as a witness or a participant? 23 No. 24 A Do you have any philosophical, religious or Q 25

moral objections to the death penalty? 11 1 No. 2 A Will you be able to consider fairly all four 3 forms of punishment if you're called upon to do that? 4 Yes. 5 And if you were involved in this case would you б feel comfortable with 12 people like you sitting on the 7 Jury? 8 Yeah. 9 THE COURT: State may inquire. 10 MR. HARMON: Thank you, Your Honor. 11 12 **EXAMINATION** 13 BY MR. HARMON: 14 Good afternoon, Mrs. Burris. How do you feel 15 Q about the opportunity of serving on this type of case where 16 the charges include murder, robbery and burglary? 17 I just figure it's my American duty to serve if 18 I'm called. 19 Occasionally we encounter persons who find it Q 20 very difficult to pass judgment upon a fellow human being. 21 Are you capable of doing that in this case? 22 Yes, I am. A 23 Now, potentially there are two phases to the Q 24 trial as the court has explained. Initially the jury must 25

determine whether Mr. Chappell is guilty or not guilty. If 1 11 he is found guilty of murder of the first degree, the jury 2 would have the initial responsibility of the penalty hearing 3 to impose punishment. If it was left solely up to you, 4 would we have capital punishment in the State of Nevada. 5 A Yes. 6 Why? 7 Q If -- they know right from wrong. If they kill 8 somebody in my opinion. They know what would happen to 9 10 them. The jury naturally is not a fact finder, the 11 jury isn't to go out and do investigation on their own. You 12 would limit your consideration to the evidence you hear? 13 Α Oh, yes. 14 Do you agree with me that consideration such as 15 race or gender or the concern about public opinion or in 16 fact any type of prejudice would not be a proper basis for a 17 verdict? 18 It doesn't make a difference what color race 19 they are or anything. No. 20 Gender and race are irrelevant, do you agree? Q 21 Right. 22 A Do you feel you can fairly and impartially 23

decide this case?

Yes.

A

24

Q Can you give your full attention at these proceedings while the court is in session?

A Yes.

Let's suppose for just a moment that a penalty hearing was necessary and after you had carefully considered all the evidence and applied to it the law of the case, it was your earnest opinion that the death sentence was an appropriate punishment. Would you have the intestinal fortitude to come to the courtroom and participate in such a vote?

A Yes.

MR. HARMON: Thank you. Pass for cause.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. BROOKS:

Q Miss Burris, taking Mr. Harmon's question and changing it around a little bit, let's assume that we did get to the penalty phase and my client was convicted of first degree murder and let's assume that you did not feel the facts under the law given to you by the judge deserved the death penalty, would you have the intestinal fortitude to stand up for that position?

A Yeah. It depends what he did and how -- yeah.

Q Do you realize that we'll be talking a lot about the death penalty and also the punishment for first

degree murder and do you understand that we are not 11 1 conceding first degree murder in this case? 2 Α Oh, yes. 3 And you understand that the court will instruct 12 you on the law and if you believe that the facts and the 5 evidence warrant something other than first degree murder, 6 are you willing to stand up for that? 7 Yes. 8 In these types of cases the State has the 9 burden to prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt and my 10 client is presumed innocent. We ask that a lot because it's 11 so important to us. How do you feel about that? 12 Excuse me? 13 How do you feel about the presumption of 14 innocence? Is that the way it should be or do you think 15 people should have to prove their innocence? 16 They're supposed to be innocent. They have to 17 be proved -- they got to prove -- the attorney have to prove 18 they're guilty. They supposed to be innocent until they 19 prove him guilty, yes. 20 And that's not a problem for you? 21 No. 22 I noticed in the questionnaire on question 42 a 23 defendant's background should be considered in deciding 24 whether or not the death penalty is an appropriate

12	1	punishment. And you said no. If the court instructs you
	2	that you should consider the background, would you be
•	3	willing to follow the court's instructions?
	4	A Yes.
	5	Q Also here we have the facts surrounding a
	6	killing and not the killer's background should be the main
	7	consideration in determining punishment and you answered no
	8	to that. If the court instructed you that both are to be
	9	considered, can you follow that?
	10	A Yes.
	11	MR. BROOKS: Thank you. We'll pass for cause,
	12	Your Honor.
	13	THE COURT: Thank you.
	14	
	15	EXAMINATION
	16	BY THE COURT:
	17	Q Miss Marshall, good afternoon.
	18	A Good afternoon.
	19	Q Any reason that you can think of why you
	20	couldn't be fair to both sides in this case?
	21	A No.
	22	Q Can you wait until all the evidence is in
	23	before coming to any conclusion about any issue in the case?
	24	A Yes.
	25	Q Have you, any member of your family or any

1 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA 2 JAMES CHAPPELL, CASE NO. 61967 3 Appellant, 4 VS. 5 THE STATE OF NEVADA 6 Respondent. 7 8 **APPENDIX** 9 **PAGE NO** 10 **VOLUME PLEADING** 11 ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND WAIVER 11 (FILED 9/26/2003) 2622-2622 520 SOUTH 4TH STREET | SECOND FLOOR 12 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 AFFIDAVITS IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR 11 CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 13 WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (FILED 3/7/2003) 2672-2682 14 AFFIDAVITS IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR 11 WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS 15 (FILED 3/10/2003) 2683-2692 16 AMENDED JURY LIST TEL. 17 (10/23/1996)2062-2062 18 10 AMENDED ORDER APPOINTING COUNSEL 2359-2359 (FILED 11/29/1999) 19 ANSWER TO MOTION TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE 20 BY THE STATE OF ANY AND ALL INFORMATION (FILED 9/11/1996) 306-308 21 12 APPLICATION AND ORDER FOR DEFENDANT 22 **CHAPPELL** (FILED 1/25/2007) 2901-2903 23 CASE APPEAL STATEMENT 24 (FILED 1/23/1997) 2202-2204 25 11 CASE APPEAL STATEMENT 2754-2756 (FILED 6/18/2004) 26 11 CASE APPEAL STATEMENT 27 (FILED 6/24/2004) 2759-2760 CASE APPEAL STATEMENT 28 20 (FILED 10/22/2012) 4517-4519 11 CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

(FILED 7/23/2004) 2780-2781 1 12 CERTIFICATE OF MAILING 2879-2880 (FILED 9/21/2006) 2 CRIMINAL BINDOVER 3 (FILED 10/10/1995) 001-037 4 20 **COURT MINUTES** 4644-4706 5 10 DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO PERMIT PETITION 6 (FILED 10/19/1999) 2324-2326 7 10 DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS 8 (FILED 10/19/1999) 2328-2332 9 9 DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR STAT OF EXECUTION (FILED 12/27/1996) 2175-2177 10 DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE REGARDING DETAILS 11 OF DEFENDANT'S RELEASE (FILED 10/4/1996) 328-335 520 SOUTH 4TH STREET | SECOND FLOOR 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 12 DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE REGARDING EVENTS CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 13 RELATED TO DEFENDANT'S ARREST FOR SHOPLIFTING ON SEPTEMBER 1, 1995 14 336-341 (FILED 10/4/1996) 15 DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO COMPEL PETROCELLI HEARING REGARDING ALLEGATIONS 16 (FILED 9/10/1996) 297-302 TEL. 17 5 DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS ALL CHARGES BASED ON STATE'S VIOLATION 18 (FILED 10/11/1996) 1070-1081 19 DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO STRIKE ALLEGATIONS OF CERTAIN AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES 20 (FILED 7/30/1996) 250-262 21 DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO STRIKE STATE'S NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK DEATH PENALTY 22 (FILED 7/23/1996) 236-249 23 DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO VACATE JUNE 3, 1996, TRIAL DATE AND CONTINUE TRIAL UNTIL SEPTEMBER 24 (FILED 4/23/1996) 210-215 25 DEFENDANT'S OFFER TO STIPULATE TO CERTAIN **FACTS** 26 (FILED 9/10/1996 303-305 27 DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO STATE'S MOTION TO ADMIT EVIDENCE OF OTHER CRIMES, WRONGS OR 28 **BAD ACTS** (FILED 9/10/1996) 287-296

12 DISTRICT COURT JURY LIST 1 (FILED 3/13/2007) 3046-3046 2 20 **DOCKETING STATEMENT** (FILED 10/30/2012) 4520-4526 3 ENTRY OF MINUTE ORDER 4 (FILED 1/3/1997) 2199-2199 5 16 ENTRY OF MINUTE ORDER (FILED 5/10/2007) 3860-3860 6 EX PARTE APPLICATION AND ORDER TO PREPARE 12 7 **TRANSCRIPTS** (FILED 1/23/2007) 2898-2900 8 EX PARTE APPLICATION AND ORDER TO PRODUCE 11 9 DEFENDANT'S INSTITUTIONAL FILE (FILED 8/24/2007) 2798-2800 10 EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR TRANSCRIPT 11 (FILED 9/27/1996) 323-325 520 SOUTH 4TH STREET | SECOND FLOOR 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 12 11 EX PARTE APPLICATION TO UNSEAL PSI CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. (FILED 11/18/2002) 2629-2631 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 13 11 EX PARTE MOTION FOR AN ORDER TO PRODUCE 14 DEFENDANT'S INSTITUTIONAL FILE (FILED 4/8/2004) 2740-2743 15 EX PARTE MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF 10 16 INVESTIGATOR AND FOR EXCESS FEES (FILED 9/18/2002) 2550-2552 TEL. 17 EX PARTE MOTION FOR CHANGE OF INVESTIGATOR, 11 18 EX PARTE MOTION FOR FEES IN EXCESS OF STATUTORY LIMIT. AND EX PARTE MOTION FOR CONTRACT VISITS 19 (FILED 10/15/2002) 2623-2626 20 10 EX PARTE MOTION FOR INTERIM PAYMENT OF EXCESS ATTORNEY'S FEES 21 (FILED 7/13/2000) 2374-2381 22 10 EX PARTE MOTION FOR INTERIM PAYMENT OF EXCESS ATTORNEY'S FEES 23 (FILED 5/17/2001) 2385-2398 EX PARTE MOTION FOR INTERIM PAYMENT OF EXCESS 10 24 ATTORNEY'S FEES (4/11/2002)2405-2415 25 EX PARTE MOTION FOR INTERIM PAYMENT OF EXCESS 10 26 ATTORNEY'S FEES (FILED 7/8/2002) 2521-2539 27 11 EX PARTE MOTION FOR INTERIM PAYMENT OF EXCESS 28 ATTORNEY'S FEES (FILED 12/11/2002) 2633-2649

11 EX PARTE MOTION FOR INTERIM PAYMENT OF EXCESS 1 ATTORNEY'S FEES (FILED 2/3/2003) 2655-2670 2 EX PARTE MOTION FOR INTERIM PAYMENT OF EXCESS 11 3 ATTORNEY'S FEES (FILED 1/27/2004) 2728-2738 4 10 EX PARTE MOTION FOR ORDER TO TRANSPORT 5 **PETITIONER** (FILED 7/30/2002) 2541-2542 6 EX PARTE MOTION FOR PAYMENT OF FINAL 11 7 ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS (FILED 7/6/2004) 2763-2772 8 EX PARTE ORDER GRANTING CHANGE OF 11 9 INVESTIGATOR, FEES IN EXCESS OF STATUTORY LIMIT. AND CONTACT VISIT 10 (FILED 10/17/2002) 2627-2628 11 11 EX PARTE ORDER TO PRODUCE INSTITUTIONAL FILE (FILED 4/12/2004) 2744-2744 520 SOUTH 4TH STREET | SECOND FLOOR 12 TEL. 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. 10 EX PARTE ORDER TO TRANSPORT PETITIONER LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 13 (FILED 7/31/2002) 2543-2543 14 11 EX PARTE ORDER TO UNSEAL PSI 2632-2632 (FILED 12/3/2002) 15 FINDINGS OF FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, 11 16 AND ORDER (FILED 6/3/2004) 2745-2748 17 20 FINDINGS OF FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, 18 AND ORDER (FILED 11/20/2012) 4527-4537 19 INFORMATION 20 038-043 (FILED 10/11/1995) 21 INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JURY 1701-1746 (FILED 10/16/1996) 22 INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JURY 23 (FILED 10/24/1996) 2134-2164 24 15 INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JURY (FILED 3/21/2007) 3742-3764 25 JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION 26 (FILED 12/31/1996) 2190-2192 27 16 JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION (FILED 5/10/2007) 3854-3855 28 **JURY LIST** (FILED 10/9/1996) 843-843

1 MEDIA REQUEST (FILED 1/3/1996) 206-206 MEDIA REOUEST 3 (FILED 10/11/1996) 1068-1068 4 MOTION AND NOTICE OF MOTION TO ENDORSE NAMES ON INFORMATION 5 (FILED 7/9/1996) 230-233 6 MOTION AND NOTICE OF MOTION TO ENDORSE NAMES ON INFORMATION 7 (FILED 8/22/1996) 276-280 8 MOTION AND NOTICE OF MOTION TO ENDORSE NAMES ON INFORMATION 9 (FILED 10/14/1996) 1347-1350 10 12 MOTION IN LIMINE TO LIMIT PENALTY HEARING EVIDENCE TO AVOID VIOLATION OF THE EIGHTH 11 **AMENDMENT** (FILED 9/20/2006) 2831-2837 520 SOUTH 4TH STREET | SECOND FLOOR 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 12 CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD, MOTION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO OBTAIN 20 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 13 A SEXUAL ASSAULT EXPERT (FILED 2/15/2012) 4556-4561 14 MOTION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO OBTAIN AN 20 15 INVESTIGATOR AND FOR PAYMENT FEES (FILED 2/15/2012) 4550-4555 16 20 MOTION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO OBTAIN TEL. 17 EXPERT SERVICES AND FOR PAYMENT FEES (FILED 2/15/2012) 4485-4490 18 MOTION TO ALLOW JURY QUESTIONNAIRE 12 19 (FILED 9/20/2006) 2838-2842 20 12 MOTION TO BIFURCATE PENALTY PHASE (FILED 9/20/2006) 2843-2848 21 MOTION TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE BY THE STATE 22 OF ANY AND ALL INFORMATION RELATING TO AGGRAVATING OR MITIGATING FACTORS 23 (FILED 7/31/1996) 263-270 24 MOTION TO COMPEL EXAMINATION OF DEFENDANT BY OPTOMETRIST AND OBTAIN EYE GLASSES IF 25 **NECESSARY** (FILED 8/19/1996) 271-275 26 12 MOTION TO DISMISS STAT'S NOTICE OF INTENT TO 27 SEEK DEATH PENALTY (FILED 9/20/2006) 2849-2878 28

MOTION TO REMAND FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE 12 1 CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S DEATH **REVIEW COMMITTEE** 2 (FILED 9/20/2006) 2817-2825 3 MOTION TO STRIKE SEXUAL ASSAULT AGGRAVATOR 12 4 OF THE STATE'S NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK THE **DEATH PENALTY** 5 (FILED 9/20/2006) 2801-2816 6 10 NEVADA SUPREME COURT CLERK'S CERTIFICATE JUDGEMENT -AFFIRMED 7 (FILED 11/4/1999) 2338-2353 8 11 NEVADA SUPREME COURT CLERK'S CERTIFICATE JUDGEMENT-AFFIRMED 9 (FILED 5/5/2006) 2782-2797 10 NOTICE OF APPEAL (FIELD 1/17/1997) 2200-2201 11 NOTICE OF APPEAL 11 520 SOUTH 4TH STREET | SECOND FLOOR 12 TEL. 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 (FILED 6/18/2004) 2757-2758 CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 13 20 NOTICE OF APPEAL (FILED 10/22/2012) 4515-4516 14 NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE WITH SUPREME COURT 15 **RULE 250** (FILED 3/17/1997) 2205-2206 16 11 NOTICE OF CROSS-APPEAL 17 (FILED 6/24/2004) 2761-2762 18 12 NOTICE OF DEFENDANT'S EXPERT WITNESS (FIELD 2/15/2007) 2927-2977 19 NOTICE OF DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES 12 20 (FIELD 3/1/2007) 3043-3045 21 20 NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY (FILED 10/23/2012) 4430-4430 22 NOTICE OF DECISION AND ORDER 11 23 (FILED 6/10/2004) 2749-2753 24 20 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF FINDINGS OF FACT CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER 25 (FLED 11/20/2012) 4538-4549 26 12 NOTICE OF EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF AGGRAVATING **CIRCUMSTANCES** 27 (FILED 2/23/2007) 3032-3038 28 12 NOTICE OF EXPERT WITNESSES 2978-3011 (FILED 2/16/2007)

NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK DEATH PENALTY 1 (11/8/1995)044-046 2 12 NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR DISCOVERY OF POTENTIAL PENALTY HEARING EVIDENCE 3 (FILED 9/20/2006) 2826-2830 4 NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO ADMIT EVIDENCE OF OTHER CRIMES, WRONGS OR BAD ACTS 5 (FILED 5/9/1996) 217-226 6 10 NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO APPOINT COUNSEL FOR CAPITAL MURDER DEFENDANT TO HELP 7 (FILED 11/2/1999) 2334-2337 8 10 NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO PLACE ON **CALENDAR** 9 (FILED 4/17/2001) 2383-2384 10 NOTICE OF MOTION AND SUPPLEMENTAL MOTION TO ADMIT EVIDENCE OF OTHER CRIMES, WRONG OR 11 **BAD ACTS** (FILED 8/29/1996) 281-283 520 SOUTH 4TH STREET | SECOND FLOOR 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 12 CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. NOTICE OF WITNESSES 12 13 (FILED 2/28/2007) 3039-3042 14 OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR STRIKE ALLEGATIONS OF CERTAIN AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES 15 (FILED 9/11/1996) 309-320 16 **ORDER** (FILED 9/25/1996) 321-322 TEL. 17 **ORDER** 18 (FILED 9/27/1996) 326-327 19 12 ORDER (FILED 1/29/2007) 2904-2905 20 15 **ORDER** 21 (FILED 3/20/2007) 3628-3629 22 10 ORDER APPOINTING COUNSEL (FILED 11/16/1999) 2357-2357 23 ORDER APPOINTING INVESTIGATOR AND 10 24 **GRANTING EXCESS FEES** (FILED 9/24/2002) 2553-2553 25 ORDER FOR PRODUCTION OF INMATE 16 26 (FILED 3/29/2007) 3831-3832 27 ORDER FOR STAY OF EXECUTION (FILED 12/30/1996) 2178-2178 28 ORDER FOR TRANSCRIPT (FILED 10/7/1996) 354-354

ORDER FOR TRANSCRIPT 10 1 (FILED 11/19/1999) 2358-2358 2 11 ORDER GRANTING FINAL PAYMENT OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS 3 (FILED 7/12/2004) 2773-2773 4 10 ORDER GRANTING INTERIM PAYMENT OF EXCESS ATTORNEY'S FEES 5 (FILED 7/24/2000) 2382-2382 6 10 ORDER GRANTING INTERIM PAYMENT OF EXCESS ATTORNEY'S FEES 7 2399-2399 (FILED 6/7/2001) 8 10 ORDER GRANTING INTERIM PAYMENT OF EXCESS ATTORNEY'S FEES 9 (FILED 4/12/2002) 2416-2416 10 10 ORDER GRANTING INTERIM PAYMENT OF EXCESS ATTORNEY'S FEES 11 (FILED 7/10/2002) 2540-2540 520 SOUTH 4TH STREET | SECOND FLOOR 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 12 11 ORDER GRANTING INTERIM PAYMENT OF EXCESS CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. ATTORNEY'S FEES LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 13 (FILED 12/12/2002) 2650-2650 14 11 ORDER GRANTING INTERIM PAYMENT OF EXCESS ATTORNEY'S FEES 15 (FILED 1/28/2004) 2739-2739 16 ORDER GRANTING PERMISSION OF MEDIA ENTRY (FILED 1/3/1996) 207-207 TEL. 17 ORDER GRANTING PERMISSION OF MEDIA ENTRY 18 (FILED 10/11/1996) 1069-1069 19 ORDER OF EXECUTION (FILED 13/31/1996) 2198-2198 20 ORDER OF EXECUTION 16 21 (FILED 5/10/2007) 3856-3856 22 10 ORDER RE: PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (FILED 10/20/1999) 2333-2333 23 ORDER TO ENDORSE NAMES ON INFORMATION 24 (FILED 7/15/1996) 234-235 25 ORDER TO ENDORSE NAMES ON INFORMATION (FILED 9/4/1996) 284-286 26 ORDER TO ENDORSE NAMES ON INFORMATION 27 (FILED 10/14/1996) 1345-1346 28 16 ORDER TO STAY EXECUTION (5/14/2007)3861-3861

	1	1	ORDER TO TRANSPORT (FILED 4/26/1996)	216-216
	2	9	PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (FILED 10/19/1999)	2258-2316
	4	10	PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	2217 2222
	5		(FILED 10/19/1999)	2317-2322
	6	10	PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS (FILED 10/19/1999)	2323-2323
	7	10	PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	
	8		MOTION TO PERMIT PETITION TO CONTAIN LEGAL CITATIONS (FILED 10/19/1999)	2327-2327
	10	11	POST EVIDENTIARY HEARING BRIEF	
	11		(FILED 7/14/2003)	2693-2725
D. • Floor • 1-0623	12	18	PRE-SENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT NOT FILED	
M, LT ECOND 89101 02.97	13		(CONFIDENTIAL)	
TOPHER R. ORAM, LT 14th Street Second Vegas, Nevada 89101 84-5563 Fax. 702.97	14	16	PROPOSED JURY VERDICTS NOT FILED	
CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. SOUTH 4 TH STREET! SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101702.384-5563 FAx. 702.974-0623	15 16	20	RECEIPT FOR DOCUMENTS (FILED 10/24/2012)	4429-4429
CHI 520 SOU LA TEL. 702	17	20	RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT RE: EVIDENTIARY HEARING: ARGUMENT	
	18		MONDAY, OCTOBER 19, 2012 (FILED 10/29/2012)	4417-4428
	19	20	RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT RE: STATUS CHECK	
	20		WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 29, 2012 (FILED 1/15/2013)	4413-4428
	21	20	REPLY TO STATE'S RESPONSES TO SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF	
	22		(FILED 7/30/2012)	4491-4514
	23			
	24	1	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 3, 1995 PRELIMINARY HEARING (FILED 11/14/1995)	047-205
	25	1	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MAY 1, 1996	
	26		TRIAL SETTING (FILED 5/9/1996)	227-229
	27	2	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 7. 1996	,,
	28		VOLUME 1- MORNING SESSION (FILED 10/8/1996)	355-433

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 7, 1996 2-3 1 **VOLUME 1- AFTERNOON SESSION** (FILED 10/8/1996) 434-617 2 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 8, 1996 3-4 3 **VOLUME 2- MORNING SESSION** (FILED 10/9/1996) 717-842 4 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 8, 1996 5 **VOLUME 2-AFTERNOON SESSION** (FILED 10/9/1996) 618-716 6 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 10, 1996 7 **VOLUME 3-MORNING SESSION** (FILED 10/11/1996) 846-933 8 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 10, 1996 9 **VOLUME 3- AFTERNOON SESSION** (FILED 10/11/1996) 934-1067 10 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 11, 1996 11 **VOLUME 4- MORNING SESSION** (FILED 10/14/1996) 1082-1191 520 SOUTH 4TH STREET | SECOND FLOOR 12 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 11, 1996 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 13 **VOLUME 4- AFTERNOON SESSION** (FILED 10/14/1996) 1192-1344 14 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 14, 1996 15 **VOLUME 5- MORNING SESSION** (FILED 10/15/1996) 1472-1529 16 6 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 14, 1996 **VOLUME 5- AFTERNOON SESSION** TEL. 17 (FILED 10/15/1996) 1351-1471 18 6-7 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 15,1996 VOLUME 6 19 (FILED 10/16/1996) 1530-1700 20 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 16,1996 VOLUME 7 21 (FILED 10/17/1996) 1750-1756 22 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 21, 1996 PENALTY PHASE VOLUME 1- MORNING SESSION 23 (FILED 10/22/1996) 1757-1827 24 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 21, 1996 PENALTY PHASE VOLUME 1- AFTERNOON SESSION 25 (FILED 10/22/1996) 1828-1952 26 8 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 22, 1996 PENALTY PHASE VOLUME 2 27 (FILED 10/23/1996) 1953-2061 28 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 23, 1996 PENALTY PHASE VOLUME 3 (FILED 10/24/1996) 2063-2122

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 24, 1996 1 PENALTY PHASE VOLUME 4 (FILED 10/24/1996) 2123-2133 2 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF DECEMBER 11, 1996 3 2172-2174 (FILED 12/12/1996) 4 Q REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF DECEMBER 30,1996 (FILED 12/31/1996) 2179-2189 5 10 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF NOVEMBER 8, 1999 6 STATE'S MOTIONS (FILED 1/13/2000) 2363-2365 7 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF NOVEMBER 15,1999 10 8 (FILED 11/16/1999) 2354-2356 9 10 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF DECEMBER 15, 1999 (FILED 12/16/1999) 2360-2362 10 10 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JANUARY 19, 2000 11 STATUS CHECK (FILED 2/29/2000) 2366-2370 520 SOUTH 4TH STREET | SECOND FLOOR 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 12 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JUNE 27, 2000 10 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 13 (FILED 6/28/2000) 2371-2373 14 11 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF NOVEMBER 6, 2000 **HEARING: WRIT** 15 (FILED 12/23/2002) 2651-2654 16 10 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JUNE 12, 2001 (FILED 6/13/2001) 2400-2402 TEL. 17 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JULY 26, 2001 10 18 STATUS CHECK ON BRIEFING SCHEDULE (FILED 8/28/2001) 2403-2404 19 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JULY 25, 2002 10 20 **HEARING: WRIT** (FILED 8/19/2002) 2544-2549 21 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF SEPTEMBER 13, 2002 11 22 2554-2621 (FILED 9/24/2002) 23 11 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 2, 2004 DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF 24 **HABEAS CORPUS** (FILED 7/23/2004) 2774-2779 25 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JULY 17, 2006 12 26 STATE'S REQUEST PER SUPREME COURT REMITTITUR (FILED 2/13/2007) 2924-2926 27 12 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JULY 25, 2006 28 (FILED 2/9/2007) 2912-2914

CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD.

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OG OCTOBER 3, 2006 12 1 **HEARING ON MOTIONS** (FILED 2/9/2007) 2918-2920 2 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF NOVEMBER 2, 2006 12 3 HEARING ON DEFENDANT'S MOTIONS (FILED 2/9/2007) 2921-2923 4 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF NOVEMBER 16, 2006 12 5 RE: HEARING ON DEFENDANT'S MOTIONS (FILED 2/9/2007) 2915-2917 6 12 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JANUARY 11, 2007 7 PRE-PENALTY PHASE MOTIONS (FILED 2/20/2007) 3012-3031 8 16 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JANUARY 11 9 PRE-PENALTY MOTIONS (FILED 4/9/2007) 3833-3853 10 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MARCH 14, 2007 13 11 MORNING SESSION (FILED 3/15/2007) 3047-3166 520 SOUTH 4TH STREET | SECOND FLOOR 12 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MARCH 14, 2007 13 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 13 AFTERNOON SESSION (FILED 3/15/2007) 3167-3222 14 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MARCH 15, 2007 14 15 MORNING SESSION (FILED 3/16/2007) 3268-3404 16 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MACH 15, 2007 13 TEL. 17 AFTERNOON SESSION (FILED 3/16/2007) 3223-3267 18 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MARCH 16, 2007 14-15 19 MORNING SESSION 3450-3627 (FILED 3/19/2007) 20 14 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MARCH 16, 2007 21 AFTERNOON SESSION (3/19/2007)3405-3449 22 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MARCH 19, 2007 15 23 PENALTY HEARING 3630-3736 (FILED 3/20/2007) 24 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MARCH 20, 2007 16 25 PENALTY HEARING 3765-3818 (FILED 3/21/2007) 26 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MARCH 21, 2007 16 27 PENALTY HEARING VERDICT (FILED 3/22/2007) 3819-3830 28

REQUEST FOR PREPARATION OF TRANSCRIPT 12 1 OF PROCEEDINGS (FILED 2/6/2007) 2906-2911 2 REOUEST FOR PREPARATION OF TRANSCRIPT 16 3 **OF PROCEEDINGS** (FILED 5/17/2007) 3862-3866 4 SPECIAL VERDICT 5 (FILED 10/24/1996) 2168-2169 6 SPECIAL VERDICT (FILED 10/24/1996) 2170-2171 7 SPECIAL VERDICT 15 8 (FILED 3/21/2007) 3737-3737 9 15 SPECIAL VERDICT (FILED 3/21/2007) 3738-3738 10 SPECIAL VERDICT 15 11 (FILED 3/21/2007) 3739-3740 520 SOUTH 4TH STREET | SECOND FLOOR 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 12 12 STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR DISCOVERY OF POTENTIAL PENALTY HEARING LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 13 **EVIDENCE** (FILED 9/29/2006) 2888-2889 14 STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION 12 15 IN LIMINE TO LIMIT PENALTY HEARING EVIDENCE TO AVOID VIOLATION 16 (FILED 9/29/2006) 2895-2897 TEL. 17 12 STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO ALLOW JURY QUESTIONNAIRE 18 (FILED 9/29/2006) 2886-2887 19 STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION 12 TO BIFURCATE PENALTY PHASE 20 (FILED 9/26/2006) 2893-2894 21 12 STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS STATE'S NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK 22 DEATH PENALTY (FILED 9/29/2006) 2881-2883 23 STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION 12 24 TO REMAND FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S DEATH REVIEW 25 **COMMITTEE** (FILED 9/29/2006) 2884-2885 26 STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION 12 27 TO STRIKE SEXUAL ASSAULT AGGRAVATOR (FILED 9/29/2006) 2890-2892 28 20 STATE'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR LEAVE TO CONDUCT DISCOVERY

CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD,

4479-4485 (FILED 5/16/2012) 1 STATE'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR AUTHORIZATION 20 2 TO OBTAIN EXPERT SERVICES AND PAYMENT OF FEES (FILED 5/16/2012) 4468-4473 3 STATE'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR AUTHORIZATION 20 4 TO OBTAIN SEXUAL ASSAULT EXPERT AND PAYMENT OF FEES, AND OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR INVESTIGATOR 5 AND PAYMENT FEES (FILED 5/16/2012) 4474-4478 6 20 STATE'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR 7 WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS AND DEFENDANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF 8 (FILED 5/16/2012) 4431-4467 9 10 STATE'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS 10 (FILED 6/19/2002) 2481-2520 11 STIPULATION AND ORDER (FILED 5/27/1997) 2207-2257 520 SOUTH 4TH STREET | SECOND FLOOR 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 12 STIPULATION AND ORDER EXTENDING TIME 11 13 (FILED 9/2/2003) 2726-2727 14 STIPULATION REGARDING BRIEFING SCHEDULE (FILED 3/27/1996) 208-209 15 STIPULATION TO CERTAIN FACTS 16 (FILED 10/10/1996) 844-845 TEL. 17 2 SUMMARY OF JUROR OUESTIONNAIRE DEVELOPMENTS (FILED 10/4/1996) 342-353 18 SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S 20 19 WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (FILED 2/15/2012) 4562-4643 20 SUPPLEMENTAL INSTRUCTION 21 2165-2166 (FILED 10/24/1996) 22 10 SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS **CORPUS** 23 (FILED 4/30/2002) 2417-2480 24 VERDICT (FILED 10/24/1996) 2167-2167 25 VERDICT 15 26 (FILED 3/21/2007) 3741-3741 27 VERDICT-COUNT I (FILED 10/16/1996) 1747-1747 28 VERDICT- COUNT II (FILED 10/16/1996) 1748-1748

CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD,

	1	7	VERDICT - COUNT III (FILED 10/16/1996)	1749-1749
	2	9	WARRANT OF EXECUTION (FILED 12/31/1996)	2193-2197
	4	16	WARRANT OF EXECUTION (FILED 5/10/2007)	3857-3859
	5			
	6			
	7			
	8			
	9			
	10			
	11			
CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. SOUTH 4 TH STREET! SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 702.384-5563 FAx. 702.974-0623	12			
CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. SOUTH 4 TH STREET SECOND FLOOI LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 . 702.384-5563 FAX. 702.974-0623	13			
REET!	14			
ГОРНЕК [4 ^{тн} ST /EGAS, 84-5563	15			
CHRIST SOUTH LAS V	16			
520 Si	17			
	18			
	19			
	20			
	21			
	2223			
	24			
	25			
	26			
	27			
	28			
	20			

CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. 520 SOUTH 4TH STREET | SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 TEL. 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify and affirm that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on this 18th day of November, 2013. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

CATHERINE CORTEZ-MASTO
 Nevada Attorney General
 STEVE OWENS
 Chief Deputy District Attorney
 CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, ESQ.

BY:

/s/ Jessie Vargas
An Employee of Christopher R. Oram, Esq.