	<b>!</b>
1	Exhibit 21.
2	I want you to concentrate on the knife
3	which is shown. It appears to be on some carpet. Do
4	you recognize the knife?
5	A Yes.
б	Q How is it that you recognize the knife?
7	A I had seen it in the house before. I
8	noticed it because of the worn mark at the end of it.
9	Q You're talking about right down on the
10	tip of the back of the handle?
11	A Yes, sir.
12	Q Whose knife was it?
13	A It was Debbie's.
14	Q Debbie Panos' knife?
15	A Yes.
16	Q What type of knife is this?
17	A I believe that it's a steak knife.
18	Q Do you know how the steak knife got from
19	the drawer in the kitchen to the floor on the carpet?
20	A No, sir.
21	MR. HARMON: That concludes direct, your
22	Honor.
23	THE COURT: Cross.
24	MR. BROOKS: Thank you, Judge.

MARCIA J. LEONARD, CCR NO. 204, RPR

## CROSS EXAMINATION

<b>つ</b>	va	MR.	BROOKS
~	- D.L	ITLE .	המנאנותם

1

6

7

8

- Q Miss Duran, going back to September or October of '94, when did you actually move to Las Vegas?
  - A It was in June.
  - Q June of '94?
  - A Yes, sir.
- 9 Q And when did you meet Deborah Panos?
- 10 A In December of '94.
- Q When did you start working at GE Capital?
- 12 A December of '94.
- Q When you saw the incident in December of
- 14 '94, how long had you known her?
- 15 A About a week.
- Q At that time had you ever met James
- 17 | Chappell?
- 18 A No, sir.
- 19 Q Did you know anything about James
- 20 | Chappell at that time?
- 21 A No, sir.
- Q Had you ever been in her home at that
- 23 time?
- 24 | A No, sir.
- Q And you had never spoken to James

MARCIA J. LEONARD, CCR NO. 204, RPR

	1 11
1	Chappell either, I assume?
2	A No, sir.
3	Q When you witnessed this incident in
4	December of '94, did you talk to Deborah Panos about
5	the incident?
6	A No, I did not.
7	Q Did she have any marks on her from this
8	incident?
9	A Yes, sir, she did.
10	Q What kind of marks did she have on her?
11	A A hand mark on her face.
12	Q Was it a bruise?
13	A It was a red mark. You can tell that she
14	had been slapped. You could see the fingers.
15	Q And that lasted how long?
16	A About a day.
17	Q There was no blood?
18	A No, sir.
19	Q How far away were you when you saw this
20	incident?
21	A About six feet away.
22	Q And you were inside the building and the
23	car is out in the parking lot?
24	A Yes, sir, I was between two doors.
25	Q But you were actually inside one of the

		12
1	doors and the other door is very close to you?	
2	A Yes, sir.	
3	Q And they are out in the parking lot?	
4	A Yes, sir.	
5	Q And they are about six feet away?	
6	A Yes, sir.	
7	Q Who was sitting in the driver's seat, if	
8	you recall?	
9	A James was.	
10	Q And where was Deborah seated?	
11	A In the passenger seat.	
12	Q Were the doors to the car open?	
13	A They were shut.	
14	Q Could you hear any words at all?	
15	A No, sir, I could not.	
16	Q Did you tell her did you call the	
17	police after this incident?	
18	A No, sir, I did not.	
19	Q Did you advise Debbie to call the police?	
20	A No, sir, I did not.	
21	Q Did you advise her to get any counseling	
22	on domestic violence?	
23	A No, sir, I did not.	
24	Q When would you say that you started	
25	becoming a good friend of hers?	

	1
1	A I would say it was the end, the end of
2	December, around Christmastime is when we started to
3	get to know each other.
4	Q And would you say that you all became
5	like real good friends or just friends?
6	A We were really good friends.
7	Q Was she your best friend?
8	A One of them, yes.
9	Q Would you say that you were probably one
10	of her best female friends?
11	A Yes.
12	Q What contact did you have with her after
13	the January 9th incident when she broke her nose?
14	A It was at work.
15	Q And you saw her at work?
16	A Yes, sir.
17	Q Did you discuss with her what had
18	happened to her?
19	A Yes, sir, we had.
20	Q She told you that James did it?
21	A Yes, sir, she did.
22	Q Did you encourage her to follow-up and
23	prosecute James?
24	A No, sir, I did not.
25	Q Did you encourage her to do anything to

	14
1	get away from James?
2	A No, sir, I did not.
3	Q You've testified that you eventually
4	moved in with her. When did you move in with her?
5	A It was the week before she had died.
6	Q The week before she died?
7	A Yes, sir.
8	Q And did you actually stay at that trailer
9	during that week?
10	A Yes, sir, I did.
11	Q Had you visited the trailer a lot before
12	that?
13	A Yes, sir, I did.
14	Q Did you spend a lot of time there
15	visiting with Deborah?
16	A Yes, sir, I did.
17	Q Did you spend much time there visiting
18	with James?
19	A Never.
20	Q Never, not at all?
21	A Not at all.
22	Q When do you think is the first time that
23	you went into that trailer?
24	A Probably the end of January, the
25	beginning of February.
Į.	

1	Q And from that time until you moved in,
2	approximately how many times a week would you go
3	there?
4	A About twice a week.
5	Q Would you baby-sit for her sometimes?
6	A Yes, sir, I did.
7	Q How many times do you think that you
8	talked to James on the telephone?
9	A As I stated before, five times.
10	Q Five times total?
11	A Yes, sir.
12	Q Did you ever have conversations with him
13	in person?
14	A No, sir, I did not.
15	Q Never during all that time?
16	A No, sir.
17	Q He was in jail from roughly the beginning
18	of June until she died; is that correct?
19	A From what I understand, yes.
20	Q And during that time you did talk to him
21	on the telephone?
22	A Yes, sir, I did.
23	Q And you were at her place of living when
24	you talked to him?
25	A I was at her place, but at the time I had

1	spoken to him I was not living there.
2	Q Did you ever see Deborah take any of his
3	calls there at the trailer?
4	A Yes, I did.
5	Q Did that happen very often?
6	A A couple of times a week that I was there
7	that I could see.
8	Q She would take his calls?
9	A Yes, she would.
10	Q Did you ever spend any time socially with
11	her and James?
12	A No, I did not.
13	Q You never went to a restaurant with them?
14	A No.
15	Q Did you ever hear her tell James that
16	their relationship was completely and totally over?
17	A No, I did not.
18	Q Never?
19	A Never.
20	Q Do you know if she ever told him that?
21	A The only way I know that she told him
22	that is because she told me.
23	Q You would agree that as it's trite as
24	it sounds breaking up is a difficult thing to do?
25	A In this case more so, yes.

		17
1	Q	Did she ever tell you that she loved
2	James?	
3	A	Yes, she did.
4	Q	Did she tell you that very often?
5	A	In the beginning when she and I had first
6	met she alway	s defended him because he was the father
7	of her childr	en, and that's why I never got into it
8	with her abou	t the abuse because she always defended
9	him.	
10	Q	Did you encourage her to leave him?
11	A	No, I did not.
12	Q	When the police came on the day of August
13	31st, did you	tell the police about the temporary
14	protective or	der?
15	A	Yes, I did.
16	Q	Did you tell the police there was a
17	temporary pro	tective order telling James to stay away
18	from her?	
19	A	Yes, sir, I did.
20	Q	Did you also tell the police that she had
21	testified aga	inst him the day before in city court?
22	A	Yes, I did.
23	Q.	And do you believe that to be true?
24	<b>A</b> :	No, I do not.
25	Q	But you did tell the police that?

1	A I did.	18
2	Q You have indicated that she wanted to	
3	move out of that trailer to live with someone named	
4	JR?	
5	A Yes, sir.	
6	Q Do you have any contact with JR now?	
7	A No, I do not.	
8	Q You don't know JR's last name?	
9	A No, I do not.	
10	Q When you were living in the trailer was	
11	she seeing JR?	
12	A Yes, she was.	
13	Q Did you ever see JR receive any phone	
14	calls when James called?	
15	A No, I did not.	
16	Q Was JR around the kids?	
17	A Yes, he was.	
18	Q Because the kids were living there with	
19	Deborah?	
20	A Yes, sir.	
21	Q Did you know that Deborah went to city	
22	court on the 30th of August?	
23	A Yes, I do.	
24	Q And do you know that she learned at that	
25	time that he would be released the next day?	

1	A No, I do not.
2	Q But you believe that she was moving to
3	get away from him?
4	A Yes, sir, I do.
5	Q He had been in jail for almost three
6	months, correct?
7	A Yes, sir.
8	Q Had she ever decided to move before that?
9	A No, sir, but she had tried to, on one
10	occasion, to see to it that he go back home when he
11	was let out.
12	Q That being back to Michigan?
13	A Yes, sir.
14	MR. BROOKS: Court's indulgence, your
15	Honor.
16	BY MR. BROOKS:
17	Q Do you know who Willie Wiltz is?
18	A Willie is JR's real name.
19	Q Is that right?
20	A Uh-huh.
21	Q So JR is Willie Wiltz, W-I-L-T-Z?
22	A Uh-huh. I don't know his last name.
23	Q And they had been dating each other for
24	about three months as of August 31st?
25	A Yes, sir.

	20
1	Q Did you introduce Willie to Deborah?
2	A No, sir, I did not.
3	Q When you stayed at Deborah's for that one
4	week period, who else was living there in the trailer?
5	A Her three children, my daughter, and one
6	of her other friends from work.
7	Q Who was the other friend from work?
8	A Michelle.
9	Q And her last name was?
10	A Mancho.
11	Q How many rooms does the trailer have?
12	A A master bedroom and two smaller
13	bedrooms.
14	Q No men were living at the trailer?
15	A No, sir.
16	Q If James testified that he would call
17	there and often talk to other men, would James be
18	wrong or right?
19	A I wouldn't know. I wasn't there when he
20	was there.
21	Q Was there a lot of traffic in and out of
22	the trailer?
23	A She had a lot of friends, yes.
24	Q A lot of friends came and went?
25	A Yes, sir.
i	

	23
1	MR. BROOKS: Thank you. No further
2	questions.
3	THE COURT: Redirect.
4	MR. HARMON: Thank you, your Honor.
5	
6	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. HARMON:
8	Q You have been asked if the defendant was
9	in the driver's seat in December 1994 when you saw him
10	hit Deborah Panos?
11	A Yes, sir.
12	Q I think that you testified that he was?
13	A Yes, sir.
14	Q Did you become aware not only then but on
15	other occasions that he had driven the Toyota which
16	belonged to Deborah Panos?
17	A Yes, sir.
18	Q Did you learn that there had been
19	problems on occasion when she would let him drive the
20	car?
21	A Yes, sir.
22	Q What were the problems?
23	A The problems were she didn't want him to
24	have the car, but he took it anyway.
25	Q Would he sometimes be gone for days?
	·

1	A Yes, sir.
2	Q Specifically on August the 31st, 1995,
3	when you realized that it, in fact, was Mr. Chappell
4	who was driving the car on that day, did that add to
5	your concern?
6	A Yes, sir, it did.
7	Q Why?
8	A Because from what she had told me and
9	from the conversations he and I had on the phone that
10	I could understand why she was afraid of him.
11	Q Was it your opinion that he would have
12	had permission from her to drive the vehicle?
13	MR. BROOKS: Objection, that's
14	speculation.
15	THE COURT: Overruled.
16	BY MR. HARMON:
17	Q In August, specifically on August the
18	31st, 1995?
19	A No, sir.
20	Q Mr. Brooks has asked you if, in your
21	opinion specifically in the case of Mr. Chappell and
22	Miss Panos, it was hard for them to break up?
23	A Uh-huh.
24	Q As I remember, you agreed with him that
25	it was?

A Yes.

б

Q Why, based upon what you knew about the relationship and based upon what you knew of the circumstance of Deborah Panos, and any fears that she might have had, why was it hard?

MR. BROOKS: I'm going to object as to her testifying to state of mind of Deborah Panos.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Can you please repeat the question.

MR. HARMON: Yes.

## BY MR. HARMON:

Q Why was it hard for her to break up?

A Because she was afraid of him. And as I said before, in the beginning she had defended him because he was the father of her children. So I think the combination of the fear and also the combination that in some way she felt some loyalty to him because of the children, so that might have made it hard for her.

Q Now, you were also asked in cross-examination if you had told the police that Deborah Panos testified in court against the defendant the day before, that is August the 30th, 1995?

A Because I believed at that time that she

had, and it wasn't until later that I learned that she 1 2 hadn't. You have mentioned that you knew that she 3 was breaking the relationship off because she told 4 5 you? A 6 Yes, sir. 7 Did she ever tell you that she had 0 conveyed that to James Chappell? 8 9 Yes, sir. Α 10 When was the conversation you had with Q 11 her when she told you that? 12 Α It was on more than one occasion that she had told me. 13 14 Do you have any type of knowledge based upon conversation with her whether she had spoken at 15 16 the jail with Mr. Chappell on August the 30th, 1995? I had learned later after she had died. 17 Α 18 Okay. Well, you didn't learn it then 0 19 from Debbie Panos? 20 No, I didn't. 21 Based upon any information that you had Q in conversation with Deborah Panos, prior to the 22 morning of August the 31st, 1995, and including any 23 24 contact with her at work, did you have any expectation

that the defendant was going to be released that day,

1	August the 31st, 1995?	2
2	A No, sir.	
3	Q To your knowledge did she?	
4	A No, sir.	
5	Q Did you have any expectation regarding	
6	the time frame of his release?	
7	A No, sir. No one none of us had any	
8	idea.	
9	Q You told us that she was afraid of Mr.	
10	Chappell?	
11	A Yes, sir.	
12	Q As a result of that and because of the	
13	uncertainty of when he would be released from jail,	
14	did she like to have people with her at her trailer?	
15	A Yes, sir.	
16	Q Did she tell you that?	
17	A Yes, sir.	
18	Q To your knowledge was that a source of	
19	some security to her?	
20	A Yes, sir.	
21	Q You mentioned earlier that you used to	
22	spend quite a bit of time at her trailer?	
23	A Yes, sir.	
24	Q Okay. And, in fact, you lived there for	
25	about a week prior to her death?	

1	A Yes, sir.
2	Q But even before the defendant, Mr.
3	Chappell, went to jail, did you visit perhaps twice a
4	week from February, 1995 through August?
5	A Yes, sir.
6	Q When you would visit, would you encounter
7	the defendant, Mr. Chappell, at the trailer?
8	A No.
9	Q You said that you met him Memorial Day
10	weekend, 1995?
11	A Yes, sir.
12	Q So I'm gathering from that certainly
13	between February and the end of May 1995, during these
14	weekly visits, that you never encountered Mr. Chappell
15	at 839 North Lamb, Space 125?
16	A No, because most of the time it was just
17	to drop her off from work.
18	Q When you met him, was that there at the
19	trailer?
20	A Yes, sir, it was.
21	Q Did you actually see him on other
22	occasions at the trailer?
23	A Before that or after that?
24	Q Before or after?
25	A Not before that and not after that

either. That was on the only --1 Just the one time? 2 Just the one time. 3 MR. HARMON: Thank you. That's all, your Honor. 5 MR. BROOKS: No questions, your Honor. б THE COURT: May this witness be 7 discharged? 8 MR. HARMON: Yes, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Thank you. 10 We'll take our afternoon recess at this 11 point. I take it that the next witness is also going 12 to take some time? 13 MR. HARMON: The next couple of witnesses 14 will not be very long, but this would not be an 15 inappropriate time to break. 16 THE COURT: During this recess it is your 17 duty not to discuss or converse among yourselves about 18 any matter concerning or this trial or read, watch or 19 20 listen to any report of or commentary connected with this trial by need medium of information including, 21 without limitation, newspapers, television or radio 22

MARCIA J. LEONARD, CCR NO. 204, RPR

and you're not to form or express an opinion on any

subject connected with this case until it is finally

23

24

25

submitted to you.

```
1
                   We will reconvene at 3:15, ladies and
      gentlemen. We'll be at ease while you depart the
 2
      confines of the courtroom.
 3
                   A JUROR: Can we see those photographs
 4
      when we're done?
 5
                    THE COURT: Of course, as they are
 6
 7
      admitted.
 8
 9
               (Outside the presence of the jury.)
10
11
                   THE COURT: Is there anything further
12
      from the parties at this time?
13
                   MR. BROOKS: Not from the defense, your
14
      Honor.
15
                           (Recess.)
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
      11111
23
      11111
24
      11111
25
```

MARCIA J. LEONARD, CCR NO. 204, RPR

1	THE COURT: Back in front of the jury.
2	Counsel stipulate to the presence of the
3	jury?
4	MR. HARMON: Yes, your Honor.
5	MR. BROOKS: Defense will, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Let's proceed. Call your
7	next witness.
8	MS. SILVER: The State would call Dr.
9	Sheldon Green.
10	THE CLERK: Do you solemnly swear the
11	testimony which you are about to give shall be the
12	truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, so
13	help you God?
14	THE WITNESS: I do.
15	
16	GILES SHELDON GREEN, M.D.,
17	having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
18	
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION
20	BY MS. SILVER:
21	Q Sir, can you please state your name and
22	spell it for the record.
23	A Giles Sheldon Green, G-R-E-E-N.
24	Q And, sir, for whom are you employed?
25	A I'm employed by Clark County as Chief
İ	

Medical Examiner in the Coroner/Medical Examiner Department.

б

Q And what is your training and experience?

A I attended the University of Oregon School of Medicine in Portland, Oregon, and received my degree of Doctor of Medicine in 1959.

I served one year internship in Saint Mary's hospital in San Francisco, and then one year of both graduate training, or residency training, in the field of obstetrics and gynecology. That also was at Saint Mary's.

During that year, I changed my field of interest to pathology and spent the next two years in post-graduate training in pathology in Saint Joseph's Hospital, which also was in San Francisco.

I then moved to Houston, Texas, and spent the next three years in post-graduate training in pathology at the University of Texas, M.D. Anderson Hospital and Tumor Institute, which is one of the major cancer research centers in the whole western hemisphere.

Following that, I was appointed to the staff of Anderson Hospital as assistant pathologist and professor of pathology in the University of Texas system.

I remained on the staff for approximately two years. And in the fall of 1968 I had the opportunity to join the Harris County Medical Examiners, which is located in Houston. I served with that department for approximately seven years first as assistant medical examiner and later as deputy chief medical examiner.

And, finally, in the fall of 1975, I moved here to Las Vegas to join the Coroner Medical Examiner Department as Chief Medical Examiner, and I have been here every since. I am licensed to practice medicine here in Nevada, of course.

I still have an active license in California. I am certified by the American Board of Pathology in the fields of anatomic pathology, medical pathology and forensic pathology.

I am not going to bother you with a list, but I'm a member of most of the major professional organizations in North America which deal with problems of forensic pathology and the forensic sciences generally.

Q Thank you, Dr. Green.

Now, as part of your duties do you conduct autopsies?

A I do.

1,	Q And about how many autopsies have you
2	conducted in the past?
3	A Well, by best estimate I'm pushing up
4	pretty close to 11,000. I don't think I quite got
5	there yet.
6	Q Have you ever testified as an expert in
7	the field of forensic pathology?
8	A Many times, yes.
9	Q About how many?
10	A Several hundreds.
11	Q And in what courts?
12	A I've testified here in the district
13	courts of Clark County, in the justice courts, before
14	the Grand Jury, in preliminary hearings.
15	I have testified in various other
16	counties in Nevada. We have Nye County, Lincoln
17	County, Lyons County, a couple of others, White Pine.
18	Also in the district courts of Harris County and the
19	adjoining counties in south Texas. I think that's the
20	Ninth Judicial District down there, and in the federal
21	courts of Texas, Arizona and Nevada.
22	MS. SILVER: Your Honor, at this time I
23	would ask that this court deem Dr. Green an expert in
24	the field of forensic pathology.

THE COURT: He will be allowed to testify

to such. 1 2 MS. SILVER: Thank you. BY MS. SILVER: 3 Doctor, on September 1st of 1995, did you 4 5 have an occasion to perform an autopsy on a body identified to you as Deborah Panos? 6 Deborah Ann Panos? Yes. 8 Yes, I did. 9 10 And as part of your -- as part of Q performing your autopsy, did you do an external 11 examination? 12 13 Α Yes, I did. 14 And did you make significant findings regarding that examination? 15 16 Yes. What were those findings? 17 Well, first of all, let me give you a 18 19 real quick idea of what an external examination is. 20 Basically, my own habit is to start at the top of the head and work my way down to the toes 21 examining all of the external parts of the body, 22 dictating what I see as I go, whether it's with a 23 24 fixed recording equipment, or now I'm using hand-held

for this because I can walk around the body and not

have to worry about how far I get from the microphone.

R

But basically we're looking to see if there is anything out of the ordinary, is there a bruise, is there an injury, is there a deformity, a scar, a tattoo, anything at all that is unusual.

In this case, there were numerous bruises and abrasions or scraping injuries about the face.

There were stab wounds in the area of the neck and upper chest. There was a stab wound right near the navel or the umbilicus, and there was another down just above the right groin. Another, the best I remember, was behind and below the right ear.

The major injuries, most significant injuries, are the ones in the neck and upper chest. I saw bruising of the back of the right hand and wrist, possibly indicating some defensive injury as though she might perhaps -- and I can't tell you that this is actually what happened because obviously I wasn't there -- but if she throws up her hand to ward off a blow or an object and gets hit by it on the back of the hand, you're going to get a bruise and she did.

There was a small scratch on the left hand, oh, a quarter of an inch long. Whether this has anything to do with the events surrounding her death, I cannot tell you. If they do, then you might

1 classify them as a scratch as a sort of a minimal defensive injury. 2 3 How many total penetrating stab wounds 4 were there, Doctor? 5 Α Ten, I'm sorry. Ten in the upper neck and chest. A total of 13. 6 7 And of those stab wounds how many of those stab wounds hit the bone or any bones? 8 When we did the internal exam, we found 9 that there were four of this cluster of ten that had 10 11 actually gone in and struck the spinal column in the 12 neck, the front part of the spinal column. 13 Were there also significant injuries to certain veins in the neck? 14 Veins and arteries, yes. 15 And what were they? 16 The right internal jugular vein, which is 17 18 a pretty good size one -- oh, it's about the size of 19 my pen here -- was partly cut through on the right. 20 Both the common carotid arteries were cut, each of them, about halfway through. Not totally cut in two, 21 but major wounds allow for a tremendous amount of 22 blood loss. The general area would be about where I'm 23

indicating here across the front of my neck just above

24

25

the collar.

Q Were there any injuries that penetrated the lung?

A We had one that went into the left lung.

There is one of the stab wounds, down just a little.

There is one of the stab wounds, down just a little bit, which penetrated the left side of the chest between, I think, the first and second rib and actually went into the left lung, yes.

Q Doctor, when you say that this body had bruises and contusions on it, can you explain what bruises are and what contusions are and what abrasions are?

A Okay. Bruises and contusions are two words for exactly the same thing. Use either one that you like and you will be right. We all have had bruises, I'm sure. I've had my share anyhow.

And when they occur, we have a certain amount of pain. And then you look down a few minutes later and it's starting to look red. Maybe swells up, maybe not. That red color will persist for most of the day.

So anything that is red, has a red color to it, we call that a recent bruise that happened within a day of the time of death in this case, or within a day of the time that you look at it.

The next day or so it starts turning a

sort of ugly purple. We call that color intermediate. You cannot put a time frame on it because a minor little bruise that doesn't amount to anything may fade out and be gone in three or four or five days.

A major one, such as I've seen in motor vehicle accidents, may take months before it disappears. And it will maintain that ugly blue, purple color for that period of time. So that color we call intermediate.

When it finally starts to disappear, then you see the edge starting to fade out turning a kind of ugly color, yellow-green. Gradually that color moves in toward the center toward the purple and is wiped out and finally the whole thing disappears. If you see that fading border, you can call that an old one.

Again, we can't say how old in terms of the time of when the person got it to when you're looking at it. In this case, Miss Panos, these are were all very red bruises, all very recent.

Q And am I correct then in your definition then you either categorize the bruises into recent, intermediate and old bruises?

- A That's correct.
- Q In this case you would characterize all

of the bruises and abrasions or contusions as recent appearing injuries?

A Yes. Now, I haven't talked about abrasions yet. That's a little bit different situation here. We did have some abrasions. Abrasion is a scrape. If we bang an unprotected elbow or wrist or some other part of our body against a rough plaster wall hard enough, we're going to knock a little skin off, and we have an abrasion. It's a scraping injury.

It can be very superficial not amounting to anything. It can be pretty deep. It can sometimes take a whole thickness of the skin off as we often see in vehicular accidents.

In this case, we had a definite abrasion type of injury at the point of the chin. We had another one over here around the angle of the right jaw. And I think that we had one or two smaller ones up on the left forehead. They are associated with bruises, but they are a little bit different because in a bruise we don't see the skin being actually broken. If the skin is broken, then we have an abrasion.

Q When you say all of these injuries appear to be recent injuries, would it be fair to say that these injuries were caused within the same day of the

1 death of this person? Yes. 2 For the record, I have shown defense 3 counsel the following State's proposed exhibits. 4 5 I would like to show you, Doctor, first, State's Proposed Exhibit Number 41 for identification 6 7 purposes. And what is this a picture of? 8 This is a right profile view of the 9 lady's face, neck, upper chest, taken with -- for 10 11 example, if it was taken of me, the camera would be 12 over here shooting at me that way. And what we see is a lot of bruising of 13 the right ear. Bruises around the angle of the jaw. 14 There are some fainter bruises over the right cheek 15 bone, and some of the stab wounds of the neck and the 16 upper chest also are visible in this particular 17 picture. 18 And, Doctor, do you have an opinion as to 19 what could have caused these red and purple bruises 20 around the ear and neck area --21 Well --22 Α

don't see a whole lot of purple here. This is blunt

-- to me they are all pretty red.

-- and the face?

23

24

1	trauma injury. Something strikes the body or the body
2	is in motion and strikes something that's not moving,
3	you get the same result. You get an impact-type
4	injury.
5	Q Could this be consistent with a blow from
6	a fist?
7	A It certainly could be. I can't say that
8	it was. But, yes, it could be.
9	Q And this would be a fair and accurate
10	depiction of the way that the body identified as
11	Deborah Panos looked to you on September 1st of 1995?
12	A That is correct, yes.
13	MS. SILVER: Your Honor, I would move to
14	admit State's Proposed Exhibit Number 41 for
15	identification purposes.
16	MR. BROOKS: We object to the admission
17	of those exhibits. We will stipulate to the testimony
18	of Dr. Green, but we object to the photographs as
19	being ghastly and unduly prejudicial.
20	THE COURT: That objection is overruled.
21	Their probative value clearly outweighs the
22	prejudicial effect given the nature of the offense.
23	
24	(State's Exhibit 41 admitted into evidence.)

BY MS. SILVER:

Q Showing you next, Doctor, State's Proposed Exhibit number 42.

Can you tell us what this is a picture of.

A 42 is a more of a close-up of the right side of the face. Specifically, we're looking at that right ear and these bruises and abrasions around the angle of the jaw.

And in the picture you'll see an L-shaped ruler which belongs to the photographer, and it has marking scales on it. These are metric. These are scaled off in centimeters, and the scale on each side of the L is a five centimeter length which basically is two inches. So you can translate that into two inches without difficulty and get a good idea of the size of the injuries.

Now, below the ruler, again we have the right side of the neck and you can see the upper chest and several of these stab wounds of the neck and chest are visible.

- Q And this is also a fair and accurate depiction of how the body of Deborah Panos appeared to you on that date?
  - A Yes, it is although that is after she had

been cleaned up considerably.

1.4

Q And let me just ask you this, Doctor, because I'm going to have you actually address the jury with these.

You have looked at State's Proposed

Exhibits 39 -- keep them in order, 37, 38, 40, 45, 44,

43, 46, 47, and, excuse me, 48 -- you've seen these
all prior just prior to your arrival up here on the

stand today?

A Yes, I have, and I think that I've seen them before that too.

Q And these would all be autopsy photos taken of the body identified to you as Deborah Panos on September 1st of 1995?

A Yes. All of these were taken in the Clark County Morgue by the officer from the crime lab. I believe that was Mr. Peterson.

Q And they too would all be a fair and accurate depiction of how this body appeared to you on that date?

A Yes, they are very good.

MS. SILVER: At this time, your Honor, I would move to admit the exhibits that I have just mentioned. For purposes of identification, I would move for their receipt into evidence the exhibits that

1 I just named. MR. BROOKS: We object on the same basis 2 as to all of them. 3 THE COURT: You can have a continuing objection. They will be admitted. 6 (State's Exhibit 37, 38, 39, 40, 7 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48 admitted into evidence.) 8 9 BY MS. SILVER: 10 If I could, Dr. Green, could I stand up 11 Q here and it may be easier to show the jury as you're 12 13 talking about each one of the injuries. If you could stand up and show the photographs as we discuss the 14 injuries. 15 MS. SILVER: Your Honor, may I publish 16 17 these to the jury? THE COURT: Yes. 18 BY MS. SILVER: 19 20 At this time let me start with Exhibit 41. 21 If you could show that to the jury and 22 explain again what you're talking about by the 23 bruising and the stab wounds? 24 Okay. The bruising is largely up here 25

around the right here and right side of the jaw. You can see some discoloration of the area of the right cheek bone. I'll kind of move down line here so everybody gets a chance to see this a little better. But there really is the area of bruising that's significant here in this picture.

Again, down below on the neck and chest you can see some marks which actually are the stab wounds.

You folks in the back row can see it all right?

Q And showing you Exhibit Number 42.

Can you explain again for the record what this is a picture of and describe it to the jury as

well?

A Okay. 42 we're looking at the same part of the body. Right here, right side of the jaw, but a closer up and here is that L-shape ruler that I was talking about. And down below the marks of the stab wounds, but basically the ruler is in there to give you a reference as to the actual size of these injuries.

Q I'm showing you now Exhibit Number 37.

Can you describe what it is for the record a picture of, and then explain it to the jury?

<b>1</b> i	A Okay. 37 is a left profile view of the
2	lady's face taken from off to her left side. And here
3	we have some scraping, abrasion-type injuries in the
4	forehead. And we have a large area of bruising on the
5	left cheek. Some marks down below in the neck area
6	which, in fact, are some of that cluster of stab
7	wounds.
8	Q Dr. Green, on the forehead there you see
9	a very large injury. What is that, a very large
LO	A You're pointing to this one?
11	Q Yes, a very large, red injury.
.2	A This is an abrasion. This is a
LЗ	scrape-type injury. It's the largest one that you see
L <b>4</b>	on the forehead here is the one that she was referring
5	to.
۱6	Q What could that have been caused by?
.7	A This is something scraping over that
18	skin. Whether it's the skin of the fist, whether it's
.9	I have no idea what it was. But something is
20	scraping the skin surface there.
21	Q Okay. That was not and a knife wound
22	then?
23	A No, this is not a knife wound. We have
4	no knife wounds up here in the forehead.

Q Okay. I just wanted to make that clear

1 on the record. There is one picture where it is little 2 bit confusing because it looks like it might be one 3 that actually isn't. You said that when the pictures were 5 Q taken the body was cleaned up. What do you mean by 6 7 that, Doctor? Well, there was quite a bit of blood 8 9 scattered about various parts of the body especially on the neck and shoulders, some on the face. 10 And showing you what has been admitted as 11 Q State's Exhibit Number 38. 12 13 Can you describe what that is for the record and also identify it for the jury? 14 This is a look at the left side of the 15 neck, and I think I spoke a few minutes ago about the 16 17 stab wounds and I said there was one behind the right It's my mistake. It's behind the left ear. 18 And that picture is State's Proposed 19 20 Exhibit 38 --38. A 21 -- depicts that stab wound? 22 This is just nothing more than a knick in 23 Α Not a deep injury, not a major injury. the skin. 24

Just a little mark here in between the arms of the

| ruler.

Q Showing you what has been marked and admitted as State's Exhibit 39.

Can you explain for us what this is a picture of?

A This is a picture looking basically at the lady's forehead. Centered between the arms of the ruler we have that large scraping injury, and some scrapes up above and then we have a bruise occupying a good share of the middle of the forehead. Some other little very minor abrasions high up near the hairline. But basically this just gives you the whole left side of the forehead here.

Q And that big bruise on the forehead, that almost looks like it almost covers the forehead, what could that have been caused by?

A This again is blunt trauma injury. Something hit the forehead or the forehead hit something.

Q Okay. Showing you what has been marked and admitted as State's Exhibit Number 40.

Can you describe for the record and to the jury what this is?

A Number 40 is a photograph which is taken with the camera down, oh, probably lower chest level

Т	and shooting kind of upward toward the race, fourve
2	got to look at the neck and the chin area. And the
3	basic purpose of it, I believe, is to show this
4	scraping abrasion-type injury that I mentioned at the
5	point of the chin. It also shows several of the
6	stab-type puncture wounds of the neck and chest.
7	Q This injury to the chin, this large red
8	injury, that is not a stab wound then?
9	A No, this is not a stab wound. This is an
10	abrasion, scrape.
11	Q And, again, how would that have been
12	caused or could that have been caused?
13	A Well, something scraped across that skin
14	quite forcefully and typically took layers of it off.
15	Q Again, could that have been consistent
16	with a fist
17	A It could have been.
18	Q or blow?
19	A It's possible.
20	Q Showing you now what's been marked and
21	admitted as State's Exhibit 43.
22	Can you tell us what this is a picture
23	of?
24	A This is a picture of the right, I think,
25	the right upper arm. The right shoulder is in the

extreme border of the picture, and we have a bruise on 1 the arm. That is really what this picture is showing you, a little bruise back here. 3 But the large one here is a pretty good 5 sized. I don't think that we have one with a ruler in it, but figuring that she's an average sized lady 6 7 about five foot five or so you can get a pretty good idea of how big that thing was. 8 That bruise covered her -- almost her 9 entire arm from her shoulder to her elbow? 10 No, it's not that big. Make it half of Α 11 that. 12 Okay. Showing you what's been marked as 13 State's Exhibit Number 44. 14 What is this a photograph of? 15 This is her right forearm. There is an 16 abrasion there just below the elbow. Right about the 17 area that I'm pointing at below the elbow, and you can 18 see some orientation. Her head is up here toward the 19 top of the picture, out of focus, but this is just a 20 fairly superficial scrape. 21 Showing you what has been marked as 22 State's Exhibit Number 45. 23 What is this a photograph of? 24

45 primarily shows you the bruises to the

right hand and wrist that I think that I mentioned earlier. Right hand is lying across her chest here, and I think that they are fairly obviously and pretty red. Pretty good size bruise here on the back of the hand, one on the wrist. A smaller one on the other side of the wrist.

Q And you stated, Doctor, in testimony and even in your report, your autopsy report, that these could be defensive wounds?

A They could be, yes. These bruises I would be inclined to call defensive wounds. I think that they are quite legitimate. The scratch that I talked about is more iffy.

Q Showing you now what has been marked as State's Exhibit Number 46.

What is this a photograph of?

A This is a fairly close up. If you did not know where it was, you would have a hard time figuring it out but it happens that I do. This is a little stab wound just beside her umbilicus. The umbilicus is rather faint. The stab wound is the darker spot there. The camera is down pretty close.

Q Was that a deep stab wound, Doctor?

A It was deep, yes. It went through the peritoneal cavity, abdominal cavity, striking the

pelvic bone. Actually, it didn't do any real serious 1 damage. It managed to miss everything important. 2 That would not have been a lethal stab 3 wound? No, it would not. I would not have 5 considered that a potentially lethal injury. 6 Which ones would have, doctor? 7 We have at least three in the neck, which Я are definitely lethal. We have a couple of them 9 involving the upper airway which are capable of 10 causing death from hemorrhage and bleeding back down 11 into the lungs, but this is not a wound which should 12 have killed her. 13 Showing you what's been marked as State's 14 Proposed Exhibit 47. 15 What is this a photograph of? 16 Okay. 47 shows you the stab wound in the 17 right groinus right above the crease of the groin in 18 between the arms of the ruler there. That one 19 penetrated probably a couple inches basically into 20 fatty tissue. 21 Again, this one didn't hit any vital 22 structures, no major arteries or veins. 23 Was that a deep wound that one? 24 No, about two inches. 25

Finally, showing you what has been marked 1 Q 2 for identification purposes as State's Exhibit Number 48. 3 What is this a picture of? Primarily this is the picture of her left Α It shows some very minor scrapings, some 6 bruising, and on the outside of the kneecap there is an old scar which has nothing to do with what we're R talking about today? 9 Thank you, Doctor. If you could resume 10 0 11 your seat. Α Thank you. 12 Doctor, based on the autopsy that you 13 conducted did you have an opinion as to the cause of 14 15 death in this case? 16 Α Yes. 17 And what was that opinion? Death was the direct result of the 18 multiple stab wounds involving the neck and chest. 19 And, Doctor, did you have an opinion as 20 21 to the manner of death in this case? Yes, I do. 22 Α 23 0 What was that opinion? It's my opinion that the death in this 24 particular case was homicidal. 25

MS. SILVER: Court's indulgence.

BY MS. SILVER:

б

Я

1.2

Q Doctor, when you say that the manner of death in this case was a homicide, what do you mean by that?

A Well, from my particular purposes as a medical examiner, I think of the term homicide as meaning the act, the action by which one person takes the life of another. We do not get into the reasons, the causes, the planning or lack of it or the emotions or anything else. We leave that to our attorneys.

I simply am calling this a homicide because clearly she didn't do this herself.

Therefore, somebody did it to her, and we have a death resulting.

Q And, Doctor, generally in a type of stabbing case such as this, when you're conducting an autopsy, is it common for you to sometimes see stab wounds to the hands or the arms as defensive wounds?

A We see in the case of a knife attack sometimes stab wounds, sometimes cutting wounds. I've seen cases where the person actually grabbed the blade and got a nasty cut to the palm of the hand to try to keep from getting hurt worse. These are all defensive wounds. She did not have any cuts or stabs to her

hands or forearms.

Q And, Doctor, I believe earlier in your testimony you said approximately four of these stab wounds went through the neck and actually penetrated the bone of the spinal column?

A Yes, in the front. But you're pointing to the back. They didn't get that far.

Q Okay. Can you show us -- then I'm sorry. Maybe I misheard you. Can you tell us how far that was or explain that?

A The spinal column it's just about in the middle of the neck. If you feel your voice box and then go on back a little bit, that's a little uncomfortable, you run into something pretty solid. That's your spine. So it comes right down the middle here.

So in order for a knife to hit in the midline, or voice box here, to get back to the spinal column we are looking at something between an inch and a half and two inches.

Q What kind of force are we talking about in order to penetrate this type of bone?

A We have four of these that actually penetrated and it disrupted the outer surface of the bone. I don't know how many pounds of energy this

takes. It's a forceful injury certainly. It would depend in part on the structure of the knife.

If you have got a thin bladed, flexible little kitchen knife that bends easily or do we have something with a little more substance to it. I don't know what we have in this particular case. Regardless of what it was, it certainly takes some force to drive that knife point into the bone and actually disrupt it.

MS. SILVER: Court's indulgence.

## BY MS. SILVER;

б

- Q Doctor, I'm showing you what's been marked for identification purposes as State's Proposed Exhibit Number 21. And I would ask you what is that a picture of, or what does it appear to be a picture of?
- A Well, it is clearly a knife lying on a rug.
- Q And based on your examination of the body identified to you as Deborah Ann Panos, could this knife, that is depicted in State's Proposed Exhibit Number 21, could this knife have caused the injuries that you have seen here in your examination?
- A Yes, it could. This is a relatively narrow bladed knife. We don't have a ruler in here for reference. But it's a long slender blade with a

long, sharp point on it, wooden handle. 1 And assuming that the handle is of routine kitchen knife size, it would probably be 3 around four inches long and that would give us a blade 5 just slightly longer. Yes, it's possible for a knife of this 6 type to have been used to create these wounds. 8 Doctor, if I were to tell you that that 9 knife was approximately eight and a half inches would 10 you differ in your opinion? No, because that stills give you a four 11 12 inch handle and four and a half inch blade. 13 Okay. And, Doctor, for lack of a more scientific term, would it be your opinion or would it 14 be fair to say that this woman was beat up prior to 15 16 her death? Well, she certainly received a very 17 substantial number of blunt force injuries. 18 bruises, the scrapes of the face, neck and so on, 1.9 20 certainly could very well have been a result of being 21 beaten with fists or some other object. 22 MS. SILVER: Thank you. That would 23 conclude my direct examination. 24 THE COURT: Cross-examination.

## CROSS EXAMINATION

S	BROOK	MR	BY	2
	BROOM	MIK	ВІ	2

Q Dr. Green, I have just a couple questions for you.

With regards to the major injuries to the neck and chest, these were major injuries, correct?

- A Well, they are major enough to kill her.
- Q Lots of bleeding?

A There should have been a very substantial amount of bleeding, yes.

Q How long, if you can tell, once those injuries have been inflicted before she dies?

A Well, I can't tell you how long a time frame between this wound, Number 1, and Wound Number 13. But we have a knife wound coming in here and cutting. Say, our left common carotid artery, that's a big vessel, and it's partner on the right, are what supply blood to the whole head and brain.

If we cut one of those, in this case both of them, then our blood supply to the brain has been effectively stopped because blood supply depends on pressure which is generated by the beating of the heart.

We make a hole in a large vessel and blood pours out of that and there is no pressure

beyond that to speak of.

So given that point in time, the brain has about 14 seconds worth of reserve oxygen supply if no more comes to it. That isn't very much, but that's what we got. So she would be expected to lose consciousness in a matter of 12, 14, 15 seconds from the time either or both of those major arteries have been cut. She will never regain circulation to the brain because she can't. The blood supply has been totally disrupted.

At about four minutes from that event, the brain will begin to suffer irreversible cellular damage. If one could restore that blood supply, you probably could bring her back to life if you could do it almost instantly.

But given the situation like this, obviously you can't. And let's say by ten minutes post-injury, this brain will never recover no matter what you do. She's dead.

MR. BROOKS: Thank you, Doctor. No further questions.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

MS. SILVER: Just one.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

T 17	110	7 T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T
HV	MI S	SILVER

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Doctor, do you have an opinion as to which stab wound was inflicted first or any kind of order as to the stab wounds?

Α No, all we can say is that they look as though they are inflicted more or less at about the same time. In other words, they are pretty much contemporaneous, to use a nice long word for it, but which one came first there is no way in the world that I can tell you that.

And you don't know whether the stab wound to the abdomen was before the wound or one of the wounds to the neck?

No, I can't. There is very little bleeding involved in this one in the abdomen. As I say, it didn't hit anything major. Given some antibiotics, it ought to have healed up all by itself if that had been her only injury.

So they would not have. So if she was just stabbed here in the abdomen, she could have remained alive?

Most certainly. If that had been her only injury, yes.

> MS. SILVER: Thank you. I don't have any

MARCIA J. LEONARD, CCR NO. 204, RPR

\_Page: 992\_

22

23

24

	60
1	further questions.
2	MR. BROOKS: No further questions, your
3	Honor.
4	THE COURT: May this witness be
5	discharged?
6	MR. HARMON: Yes, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Thank you. Sir, you may step
8	down.
9	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
10	THE COURT: Call your next witness.
11	MR. HARMON: Dan Peterson.
12	THE CLERK: Do you solemnly swear the
13	testimony which you are about to give shall be the
14	truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, so
15	help you God?
16	THE WITNESS: I do.
17	
18	DANIEL PETERSON,
19	having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
20	
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. HARMON:
23	Q Will you state your name, please.
24	A My name is Daniel Peterson,
25	P-E-T-E-R-S-O-N.
1	

Mr. Peterson, what is your business or 1 O occupation? 2 3 Α I'm a crime scene analyst with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. 4 5 What is a crime scene analyst? 6 We respond to crime scenes where we document crime scenes through photographs. We search 7 8 the crime scenes and identify any items that may have 9 evidentiary value. We do a diagram of crime scenes. We collect, preserve the items that we've identified 10 11 as possible evidence. We process those items for 12 fingerprints and trace evidence such as hair, blood or other residues. 13 How long have you worked as crime scene 14 15 analyst with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department? 16 17 Α Three years. 18 I want to direct your attention to 19 September the 1st, 1995. On that date were you present at the Clark County Morgue and did you witness 20 21 an autopsy examination performed by Chief Medical 22 Examiner Dr. Sheldon Green upon a victim identified as 23 Deborah Ann Panos? 24 Α Yes. 25 Were you there throughout the autopsy

	02
1	examination?
2	A Yes, sir, I was.
3	Q Did you take photographs of the victim,
4	Miss Panos?
5	A Yes, I did.
6	MR. HARMON: May I approach the witness,
7	your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Yes.
9	BY MR. HARMON:
10	Q Analyst Peterson, I'm showing you
11	Exhibits 37 through 48.
12	Are those photographs taken by you of the
13	victim, Miss Panos?
14	A Yes, sir, they are.
15	Q Do they truly and accurately depict the
16	appearance and condition of the decedent as you
17	observed her on September the 1st, 1995?
18	A Yes, they do.
19	Q In connection with the autopsy and in
20	addition to the photography work, do you also impound
21	items of evidence which may be relevant to the
22	investigation?
23	A Yes, sir, I do.
24	Q Did you do that in this case regarding
25	the autopsy of Miss Panos conducted September the 1st,

	63
1	1995?
2	A Yes, sir, I did.
3	Q I'm showing you what the clerk has marked
4	as Proposed Exhibit 62.
5	Do you recognize that to be a copy of an
6	impound report that you prepared?
7	A Yes, sir, I do.
8	Q Analyst Peterson, does proposed Exhibit
9	62 reflect each item of potential evidence which you
10	recovered?
11	A Yes, sir, they do.
12	Q Were all of the items that you have
13	identified recovered from the body of Deborah Panos?
14	A Yes, they were.
15	Q Does it include the clothing that she was
16	wearing?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Does it also include any samples that
19	were recovered by the medical examiner from cavities
20	of the body?
21	A Yes, it does.
22	Q Did the evidence include a sexual assault
23	kit?
24	A Yes, sir.
25	Q Is Proposed Exhibit 62 a true and correct

	64
1	copy of your impound report?
2	A Yes, it is.
3	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, the State offers
4	proposed 62.
5	THE COURT: It will be admitted.
6	
7	(State's Exhibit 62 admitted into evidence.)
8	admitted into evidence.)
9	BY MR. HARMON:
10	Q At the request of the office of the
11	district attorney did you bring a certain item of
12	evidence to court with you this afternoon?
13	A Yes, sir, I did.
14	Q What have you brought to court?
15	A I brought the sexual assault kit that we
16	took at the morgue that day.
17	Q Will you produce that, please. Thank
18	you.
19	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, may we have this
20	item marked as the State's next proposed exhibit.
21	THE COURT: Yes.
22	
23	(State's Exhibit 67 marked for identification.)
24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
25	MR. HARMON: May I approach the witness

again, your Honor. 1 THE COURT: 2 Yes. BY MR. HARMON: 3 Analyst Peterson, I'm showing you what 4 Q the clerk has marked as Proposed Exhibit 67. 5 6 Is that the box that you have handed to me just a few moments ago, and is that the sexual 7 assault kit that you recovered on September the 1st, 9 1995, in connection with the autopsy performed upon Deborah Ann Panos? 10 11 Yes, sir, it is. Α 12 What is a sexual assault kit, sir? 13 Sexual assault kit is a sample of -- it's varied samples of biological evidence that we collect 14 15 such as pulled head hair, pulled pubic hair, combed 16 pubic hair; blood; swabbings from the oral, the 17 vaginal and rectal cavities, and we also put the victim's underwear in the box. 18 Are all of the items that you have just 19 20 referred to are they all included in the kit which was 21 part of the evidence you collected in connection with Proposed Exhibit 67? 22 Yes, sir. 23 A You mentioned that among other items it 24

involved blood from the victim?

1	A Yes, sir.
2	Q And also samples recovered from the oral
3	and vaginal areas?
4	A Yes, sir.
5	Q Did that include the rectal area as well?
6	A Yes, sir, it did.
7	Q Did you personally observe these areas
8	being processed and did you see the evidence recovered
9	by the medical examiner?
10	A Yes, sir, I did.
11	Q Did you then take possession of all the
12	evidence?
13	A Yes, sir, I did.
14	Q Was it placed into the kit that you have
15	before you?
16	A Yes, sir, it was.
17	Q Are there various containers inside the
18	box marked Proposed 67?
19	A Yes, sir. There is paper envelopes with
20	each sample marked on the outside of the envelope as
21	to what is in the envelope, and the blood are in glass
22	vials.
23	Q Do you follow the same procedure whenever
24	you are collecting a sexual assault evidence
25	collection kit?

		67
1		Yes, sir, I do.
2	Ç	Regarding Proposed Exhibit 67, is it in a
3	sealed o	condition now?
4	A	Yes, sir, it is.
5	Ç	When you first recovered it, did you take
6	it then	and book it into evidence at the police
7	departme	ent?
8	A	Yes, sir, I did.
9	Q	Did you sign the evidence as the booking
10	officer?	
11	A	Yes, I did.
12	Q	Do you see your signature still on
13	Proposed	l Exhibit 67?
14	А	Yes, sir.
15	Ç	You still see seals that you placed upon
16	them?	
17	A	Yes, sir.
18	Q	Do you see any evidence that the contents
19	of the s	exual assault kit have been analyzed after you
20	recovere	ed the evidence?
21	A	Yes, sir, I do.
22	Q	What evidence of that do you observe?
23	А	First, the name on the front of the
24	sexual a	ssault kit is Terry Cook.
25	Q	What name?

1	A Terry Cook.
2	Q Who is Terry Cook?
3	A And he is a criminalist at the Metro
4	police crime lab.
5	Q One of your colleagues at the police
6	department?
7	A Yes, sir. And he has placed blue
8	evidence seals on the box whereas I use red evidence
9	seals.
10	Q Do you have any way of knowing whether
11	contents of the sexual assault kit were also submitted
12	to a DNA laboratory for DNA analysis?
13	A I have no idea.
14	Q You were not involved in any procedure
15	along that line?
16	A No, sir, I wasn't.
17	Q If that occurred, would it have been the
18	criminalist, Mr. Cook, who was involved in sending the
19	evidence to the laboratory?
20	A Yes, sir.
21	Q Except for the additional markings and
22	seals of criminalist Terry Cook, is the sexual assault
23	kit, at least as you see it from the exterior of the
24	box, in substantially the same condition now
2 6	λ Vec air it is

	09
1	Q as it was on September the 1st, 1995?
2	A Yes, sir.
3	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, the State offers
4	Proposed Exhibit 67.
5	THE COURT: That will be the order. It
6	will be admitted.
7	MR. BROOKS: That is not being offered
8	right now as an exhibit into evidence?
9	MR. HARMON: Yes.
10	MR. BROOKS: Your Honor, for the record
11	since we stipulated to the fact that my client had sex
12	with this woman, we would object to the evidence as
13	being cumulative, prejudicial and unnecessary.
14	THE COURT: The objection is overruled.
15	Since that stipulation has been made,
16	there can be no possible prejudice from its admission
17	and the court so finds as a matter of law.
18	Go ahead.
19	
20	(State's Exhibit 67 admitted into evidence.)
21	admitted into evidence.)
22	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, that concludes
23	direct.
24	MR. BROOKS: No questions, your Honor.
25	THE COURT: May this witness be

		/ U
1	discharged?	
2	MR. HARMON: Yes, your Honor.	
3	THE COURT: Thank you. You maybe	
4	excused.	
5	MR. HARMON: Darren Heiner.	
6	THE CLERK: Do you solemnly swear the	
7	testimony which you are about to give shall be the	
8	truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, so	
9	help you God?	
10	THE WITNESS: I do.	
11		
12	DARREN HEINER,	
13	having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:	
14		
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
16	BY MR. HARMON:	
17	Q Will you state your name for the record?	
18	A Darren Heiner, H-E-I-N-E-R.	
19	Q Spell your first name, sir?	
20	A D-A-R-E-N.	
21	Q Is it Officer Daren Heiner?	
22	A Yes.	
23	Q Where are you employed, Officer Heiner?	
24	A Las Vegas Metro police.	
25	Q How long have you worked with that	

1	department?
2	A Ten years.
3	Q Were you employed with the Las Vegas
4	Metropolitan Police Department on August the 31st,
5	1995?
6	A Yes, I was.
7	Q Were you a patrol officer on that day?
8	A Yes, I was.
9	Q Did you have occasion during your shift
10	on that day to be approached by a citizens in the area
11	of Bonanza and Lamb boulevard?
12	A Yes I did.
13	Q About what time did that occur?
14	A It was approximately 3:30 in the
15	afternoon.
16	Q When you were approached, did you have a
17	conversation with the particular citizen?
18	A Yes. I was approached by a female who
19	told me her name was Lisa Duran. She stated that she
20	was concerned for the welfare of a woman that she
21	described as her roommate. She stated that she was
22	supposed to meet this roommate, Deborah, at her at
23	Deborah's trailer in order to pick up some items that
24	were inside the trailer.

Did you get an address for the trailer

1	the citizen Lisa Duran was referring to?
2	A Yes, it was the Ballerina Mobile Home
3	Park at 839 North Lamb, Space Number 125.
4	Q Did you also learn from the citizen that
5	she had a concern for the welfare of her friend,
6	Deborah?
7	A Yes, I did.
8	Q Did she tell you anything about observing
9	the woman's vehicle?
10	A Yes. She stated that they were planning
11	on meeting at about 1:30 at the trailer in order to
12	pick up some items out of the trailer that were in
13	there that belonged to Lisa. She stated that as she
14	was pulling up to the trailer she noticed Deborah's
15	boyfriend, who she knew only as James, driving away in
16	Deborah's vehicle.
17	Q As a result of the entirety of the
18	information relayed to you by Lisa Duran, did you have
19	occasion to go with her and anyone else in her party
20	to the Ballerina Mobile Home Park, specifically to
21	Space 125, at 839 North Lamb Boulevard?
22	A Yes, myself and Officer Russ Lee
23	responded over there with Lisa and her brother, John.
24	Q Did you and Officer Lee arrive at
25	approximately the same time?

1	A Yes, we did.
2	Q Would you estimate the approximate time
3	of your arrival at the location of 839 North Lamb
4	Boulevard, Space Number 125?
5	A It would have to have been approximately
6	3:45 in the afternoon.
7	Q Is the location of that particular mobile
8	home in Las Vegas, Clark County, State of Nevada?
9	A Yes, it is.
10	Q Tell us what happened after you arrived?
11	A When we got to the trailer, myself and
12	Officer Lee began knocking on the door announcing
13	ourselves as police and calling out Deborah's name in
14	order to get a response from inside the trailer, and
15	we didn't get a response. We then continued to knock
16	on windows and doors announcing ourselves again as
17	police calling toward to Deborah with no response.
18	Q Did you knock on all of the exterior
19	doors?
20	A Exterior doors and most of the windows,
21	yes.
22	Q Did you attempt at any time to check the
23	exterior doors to see if they were open?
24	A Yes, we did. They were all locked and

secured.

1	Q You mentioned also you checked windows.
2	Were any of the windows broken?
3	A None of the windows were broken.
4	Q You didn't see any broken glass?
5	A No.
6	Q Did you see anything unusual regarding
7	any window?
8	A One of the windows on the west
9	northwest part of the trailer, the screen was removed
10	from that window and another window on the front,
11	which had been facing south, the window was partially
12	ajar. It was a window that slid from the bottom
13	towards the top, and it was partially ajar at the
14	bottom.
15	Q When you say partially ajar, about what
16	was the space?
17	A I would estimate at maybe one to two
18	inches.
19	Q As a result of the inability to get any
20	response from someone inside and because of the
21	observations regarding the two windows that you have
22	referred to, was further action taken?
23	A Yes, it was.
24	Q Tell us what that was?
25	A We determined that in order to check the

1 welfare of the people inside the trailer that we needed to make entry and check on the status of their 2 welfare. 3 4 When you say we, are you talking about yourself and Officer Russ Lee? 5 б Α Yes. Tell us what happened? 7 We went to the -- myself and Officer Lee 8 9 went to the window that was partially open that was 10 the front window facing south. Officer Lee began to 11 push the window up. And as it went up the tracks, it came loose from the window track and came out of the 12 actual window frame. He removed the window and put it 13 on the ground. 14 15 0 At that point, could you see inside the window? 16 We could see inside the bedroom in the 17 window. It was the window to a bedroom. We could see 18 inside the bedroom. 19 Now, I recall you have mentioned that 20 this slider portion of the window that ended up coming 21 22 out, you say it was set on the ground, originally it 23 had been ajar --24 Yes, it had. 25 Q -- an inch or two?

1	A Approximately.
2	Q Did it slide up or down or horizontally?
3	A It was a vertical up and down.
4	Q Was there a screen on the outside of that
5	particular window?
6	A The screen to that window was actually
7	inside the trailer. There was no screen on the
8	outside. It was actually inside the room inside the
9	trailer. It was not on the window.
10	Q So when the window came out, you could
11	see where the screen was?
12	A Correct.
13	Q Where in relationship to the window was
14	it on the inside of the trailer?
15	A Inside the trailer, inside the bedroom.
16	Q Right next to the window?
17	A It was in close proximity to the window.
18	I don't remember exactly where it was, but I remember
19	seeing it close to the window.
20	Q As a result of observing the window first
21	ajar and then finding the screen inside, did you form
22	any type of opinion about a possible point of entry?
23	A We felt that there may have been somebody
24	that entered the trailer at that point.
25	Q Through that particular window?

1	A Through that particular window.	
2	Q Did either you or Officer Lee then	30
3	into the mobile home?	
4	A Yes. Officer Lee, I assisted him t	rough
5	the window. And he was going to enter and go to	the
6	front door and open it so we could continue to ch	leck
7	the trailer together.	
8	Q Did he go in through the same window	you
9	have been describing?	
10	A Yes.	
11	Q Which was on the front?	
12	A Yes, he did.	
13	Q I'm showing you, Officer Heiner, Pro	posed
L <b>4</b>	Exhibits 1, 4 and 5. I believe that you had said	that
L5	one and four are outside photographs of a mobile	home.
L6	Do you recognize what is shown in th	em?
L7	A Yes, I do.	
L8	Q Is this 839 North Lamb Boulevard, Sp	ace
و ا	Number 125?	
20	A Yes, it is.	
21	Q There is a window which has been cir	cled
22	in Photograph Number 1?	
23	A Yes.	
4	Q Is that the photograph that is th	at
5	the window that you have been talking about	
- [	1	

	70
1	A Yes, it is.
2	Q where you said the slider portion of
3	the window was ajar?
4	A Correct.
5	Q Is that the same window that Officer Lee
6	entered?
7	A Yes, it is.
8	Q Is it also depicted in the other
9	photograph after the window had been taken out
10	A Yes.
11	Q in Proposed 4?
12	A Yes, it is.
13	Q I'm showing you now Proposed Exhibit
14	Number 5.
15	Does that appear to be the same window
16	only it is a photograph taken from the inside of the
17	mobile home?
18	A Yes, it does.
19	Q When you assisted Officer Lee in entering
20	the trailer, did you have any type of understanding
21	with him what he would do when he got inside?
22	A Yes, he was going to go to the front
23	door, unlock it, and open it so I could enter and
24	check the rest of the trailer with him.
25	Q Did you ever end up going inside the

1	trailer?
2	A No, I did not.
3	Q Why is that?
4	A As Officer Lee got to the front door, he
5	opened it, stepped outside, and stated that Deborah
6	appeared to be dead inside and it looked to be a
7	homicide.
8	Q Did he tell you where she was inside the
9	trailer?
10	A He stated that she was just inside the
11	front door.
12	Q Now, as a result of that information, did
13	that effect whether you would go into the residence?
14	A Yes, it would.
15	Q Why?
16	A I did not enter in order to not disturb
17	the crime scene if, in fact, it was a crime.
18	Q Once it became a possible crime scene,
19	did you have a concern about preserving the integrity
20	of the scene
21	A Yes, I did.
22	Q about maintaining the condition
23	exactly as it was when you arrived?
24	A Correct.
25	Q How long did you remain at the scene

1	after the body was discovered?
2	A We were there approximately three hours.
3	Q Was there a call sent out for back up?
4	A There was a call sent to dispatch for
5	medical personnel, detectives, and the identification
6	technicians to respond.
7	Q Did some medical personnel, a paramedic,
8	arrive who confirmed that the victim was deceased?
9	A Yes, the paramedic unit arrived. We had
10	one of the paramedics enter the trailer. He went in
11	and immediately came back out.
12	Q And was it confirmed by the paramedic
13	that she was dead?
14	A Yes.
15	Q With the exception of the paramedic, once
16	the body had been discovered and it was believed a
17	crime had occurred, was anyone else permitted to go in
18	except police personnel involved in carrying out the
19	homicide investigation?
20	A No.
21	Q You have mentioned that originally the
22	citizen, Lisa Duran, said that she saw the victim's
23	car being driven away by her boyfriend, James?
24	A Correct.
25	Q Did you determine as part of your

			81
1	investigative e	effort what James' full name was?	-
2	A Ye	es, we did.	
3	Q Wh	at was his last name?	
4	A Ch	appell. I'm not sure exactly the	
5	pronunciation.		
6	Q . C-	H-A-P-P-E-L-L?	
7	A Ye	es.	
8	Q Di	d you also determine the date of birth	
9	of James Chappe	11?	
10	A Ye	s, we did.	
11	Q Wh	at was the date of birth?	
12	A I	don't recall.	
13	Q Do	you have an officer's report?	
14	A I	don't have it with me, but	
15	MR	. HARMON: May we have the court's	
16	indulgence, your Honor.		
17	тн	E COURT: Yes.	
18	BY MR. HARMON:		
19	Q If	you refer to the officer's report,	
20	will that assis	t you in refreshing your memory	
21	A Ye	s.	
22	Q	regarding the information that you	
23	acquired as to	the date of birth of James Chappell?	
24	A Ye	s, it would.	
25	MR	. HARMON: May I approach the witness,	

1	your Honor.	
2	BY MR. HARMON:	
3	Q It's a four page document. Is that your	
4	officer's report, Officer Heiner?	
5	A Yes, it is.	
6	Q Will you refer to the report and then	
7	tell us if by looking at the report you're able to	
8	refresh your memory?	
9	A Yes, I am. On page three at the bottom I	
10	list James Chappell's birth date.	
11	Q Just his birth date?	
12	A Birthday as 12/27 of '69.	
13	Q December the 27th, 1969?	
14	A Yes.	
1.5	MR. HARMON: Thank you. That concludes	
16	direct, your Honor.	
17	THE COURT: Thank you. Cross.	
18	MR. BROOKS: Officer, I have several	
19	questions for you.	
20		
21	CROSS EXAMINATION	
22	BY MR. BROOKS:	
23	Q When you first encountered Lisa Duran,	
24	where were you?	
25	A We were at the Carpenter's Union Hall.	
ŀ		

1	It's in the area of Bonanza and Lamb.
2	Q Were you in a car by yourself or was
3	Officer Lee with you in your car?
4	A I was in a car by myself.
5	Q Did Officer Lee have his own car?
6	A Yes, he did.
7	Q When you were first encountered, were you
8	with Officer Lee?
9	A No, I was by myself. She approached me.
10	She gave me the information. I radioed for another
11	unit to help me at the trailer, and he arrived over
12	there with me.
13	Q Why did you radio for another unit to
14	help you?
15	A It's standard police procedure if you're
16	going to go to a suspicious circumstances-type call,
17	if you're going to check a residence, to have two
18	officers there.
19	Q So it was standard procedure?
20	A Correct.
21	Q And it had nothing at all to do with the
22	identity of any particular dangerous person involved
23	in this?
24	A We didn't know what we were going to at
25	the time.

1	Q When you arrived there at the trailer,
2	when did you talk to Lisa Duran and find out about the
3	name James Chappell?
4	A To me she only identified him as James.
5	Q How did you found out his last name?
6	A We checked with the park manager. We
7	checked with the day care where the children were
8	kept, and we also checked with our records, police
9	records.
10	Q And by that time, other police units were
11	already on the scene?
12	A Yes.
13	Q So no other police units ever came to the
14	scene because James Chappell was some dangerous
15	person, they came as a matter of routine?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Did Miss Duran tell you about a temporary
18	restraining order being out against Mr. Chappell or
19	against anyone else?
20	A She stated that she thought that there
21	was one on file, which we were able to find.
22	Q And did she also say that Deborah Panos
23	had testified against James Chappell the day before?
24	A Yes, she did.
25	MR. BROOKS: Thank you. Pass the

1	witness.	
2	MR. HARMON: Nothing further, Judge.	
3	THE COURT: All right. Thank you	
4	officer. You may step down.	
5	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the	
6	jury, is everybody comfortable? Let's take a seventh	
7	inning stretch while we call the next witness in. You	
8	can stand up.	
9	MR. HARMON: Russell Lee.	
10	THE CLERK: Do you solemnly swear the	
11	testimony which you are about to give shall be the	
12	truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, so	
13	help you God?	
14	THE WITNESS: I do.	
15		
16	RUSSELL LEE,	
17	having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:	
18		
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
20	BY MR. HARMON:	
21	Q State your name for the record?	
22	A Russell Lee.	
23	Q Will you spell your last name?	
24	A L-E-E.	
25	Q Is it Officer Russell Lee?	

	! 86
1	A Yes.
2	Q Officer Lee, where are you employed?
3	A Metropolitan Police Department.
4	Q How long have you worked with that
5	department?
6	A Almost ten years.
7	Q Were you employed with the Metropolitan
8	Police Department on August the 31st, 1995?
9	A Yes, I was.
10	Q On that day did you have occasion to go
11	basically simultaneously with Officer Darren Heiner to
12	the Ballerina Mobile Home Park specifically to the
13	trailer at Space 125, 839 North Lamb Boulevard?
14	A Yes, I did.
15	Q Did you arrive at about 3:45 p.m. that
16	afternoon?
17	A Yes.
18	Q What was your purpose in going there?
19	A I was on patrol and I heard Officer
20	Heiner state that he was contacted by some citizens
21	who had a domestic situation at that mobile home park.
22	He asked for another unit to go with him.
23	I was listening to my radio, and I was
24	near the area and I told him I would go with him. I
25	advised the dispatcher that I would go with him. And
1	

as we were driving down towards the mobile home park, 1 I just got in behind him and I followed him in. 2 3 Were you accompanied to the location by certain citizens who had reported a concern for an acquaintance? 5 Yes, we were led there by the citizens 6 7 that contacted Officer Heiner. When you arrived, did you eventually go 8 9 into the mobile home, Space 125? Yes, I did. 10 Α How did you get in? 11 There is a front. On the street side of 12 the mobile home is the front of the mobile home. 13 There is a window that was there. It was the kind 14 that you raise up. As it was partially up just a 15 16 little bit, I took my key to my patrol vehicle, raised it up where I could start pushing it up. 17 As I pushed it up, the window fell in and 18 I caught it, took it out and set it on the ground and 19 then Officer Heiner boosted me in through that window. 20 21 Q Did you have a definite interest at that point in getting inside the trailer? 22 23 Yes, from what we were told, there was a domestic situation going on for some period of time, 24

and the person who stopped Officer Heiner felt that

the person who lived at the mobile home would be in danger or was in danger from her ex-boyfriend. 2 Had you tried doors and checked other 3 windows in an effort to get in by some other means? 4 We tried all the doors and all the Α 5 windows, and there was a window in the back door that 6 is in the carport side, which is the back of the 7 mobile home, it was open a little bit. But it had a lock that kept it from going any higher than that. We 9 tried all the other windows, and that's the only one 10 that we could get in. 11 Were all the windows intact? Were any 12 13 windows broken? They were all intact. Nothing was 14 15 broken. So I take it from what you had started to 16 17 tell us you decided that this window which was ajar just a little bit was the best means of gaining 18 entrance? 19 Without breaking a window it was the 20 best. 21 Q And as you were pushing it up, it came 22 out? 23 It started to fall inside the trailer. 24 Α So you set it on the ground and then you 25

got help and went inside? 1 Yes. 2 As you were going inside, did you see a 3 screen? 4 5 Right below the window is like a small night stand. As I got boosted up toward the 6 7 window, the screen was kind of stuck. It looked like it was stuck between it and the bed, but what it was 8 actually was the nightstand was sitting on top of the 9 screen and the screen was bent up by the bed. 10 11 Q Did seeing the screen inside of the 12 window and also combining that with your observation 13 that the outside window, the slider portion had been slightly ajar, did that cause you to form any opinion 14 15 about a possible point of entry, assuming someone had gone inside? 16 17 It looked like that was the only other All the other windows that were there still had 18 19 screens on the outside also. The only one that any one could have gone in was that window, recently 20 21 anyway. Did you conclude that that was a possible 22 Q 23 point of entry?

Was that, in fact, the point in which you

24

went in?

Я

A That's the way that I went in, yes.

Q Except for having the window fall out into your hands and sitting it down, did you change the condition of either the outside or inside of Space 125 of 839 North Lamb Boulevard in any way that afternoon, August the 31st?

A The only other things that I did was open the bedroom door that went into the living room area, and then I opened and closed the front door of the mobile home.

Q After you got inside, tell us what you did?

A We were looking for someone who might be injured in the mobile home is what we understood. I entered the bedroom. I looked around in there. It was disheveled. The bed wasn't made or anything, and there was things laying around. I noticed off to my left-hand side was a large bathroom. I just checked in there real quick, made sure that no one was laying inside there.

I opened the bedroom door, opened the door into the living room. When I opened the door and walked into the living room, I saw a white female laying on the ground on her back near the front door.

	<u> </u>
1	Q How close did you get to her?
2	A I had to step over her to open the door.
3	Q How close would you estimate the white
4	female lying on the floor was to the front door?
5	A Not very far. When we opened the door,
б	the door bumped her legs.
7	Q How was she lying?
8	A Lying on her back. Her arms were kind of
9	spread out.
10	Q What do you mean kind of spread out?
11	A They weren't like straight out, but they
12	were out towards the side.
13	Q Basically, in the manner that you were
14	demonstrating?
15	A Yes. Yeah, out to her side.
16	Q Did you see evidence of injury to the
17	person?
18	A Yes. Her eyes were partially open. She
19	had blood in her hair. As I was stepping over her, I
20	looked at her body and she had like nylon pants on or
21	something like that, and it had like a
22	Q Some kind of stretch pants?
23	A Yes, it had a small tear and had what
24	looked like meat hanging out of the tear right here
25	like it was a stab wound, or I thought that it was a

1	bullet hole at that time.
2	Q Did you touch the victim at any time
3	A No.
4	Q while you were inside the trailer?
5	A No, I personally never touched her.
6	Q Did you observe her though in an effort
7	to determine if you could detect vital signs?
8	A Yes. I went outside and advised my
9	sergeant what I saw inside, and I told him I needed to
10	go back in and make sure that she was not breathing,
11	make sure that something wasn't going on. I went back
12	inside. Her shirt was kind of pulled up about to the
13	bottom of her rib cage, and I was looking in the area
14	for maybe a heartbeat or to see if she was breathing.
15	Q Did you detect any sign of life?
16	A No.
17	Q In your opinion, based upon what you saw,
18	was she deceased?
19	A Yes. Her eyes were a little bit cloudy.
20	They were partially open. They weren't moving, and
21	she wasn't breathing.
22	Q Did you know personally Deborah Ann
23	Panos?
24	A Pardon me?
25	Q Did you know her personally?
,	

1	A No.
2	Q Had you ever been to this location prior
3	to August the 31st, 1995?
4	A No.
5	Q Did you know her boyfriend or former
6	boyfriend James Chappell?
7	A No.
8	Q Except for the doors that you opened, and
9	of course you've already described the slider portion
10	of the window coming out when you gained entry, did
11	you change substantially anything else about the
12	condition of the crime scene?
13	A No. When I exited, I didn't touch
14	anything else in the trailer. And when I exited, I
15	didn't allow anyone else in.
16	Q Did you think it was a crime when you saw
17	where the victim was and observed what her condition
18	was?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Did you think that was a homicide?
21	A Yes, I advised my sergeant that I
22	believed that I had a homicide scene inside.
23	Q Is that Sergeant Yada?
24	A Yada.
25	Q Y-A-D-A?

1	A Yes, sir.
2	Q As a result of your conclusion, were
3	other officers in the department notified?
4	A Yes. The homicide detectives were
5	notified.
6	Q Did homicide detectives subsequently
7	arrive while you were still there at the location?
8	A Yes, they did.
9	Q Would that have been Detective Ramos and
10	Vaccaro?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Did crime scene analysts also respond
13	from the crime lab of the police department?
14	A Yes, sir.
15	Q When you were making your walk through of
16	the trailer, and either shortly before or at the time
17	you discovered the victim, did you see any type of
18	weapon in the area of the body?
19	A I didn't really think about that at the
20	time. But I had saw a knife laying somewhere around
21	her body. I can't tell you exactly where it was. I
22	saw it, but I didn't pay any attention to it. I just
23	wanted to get out of the trailer so I wouldn't
24	contaminate the crime scene.
25	Q Once you saw the body were you focused on

			95
1	anything else	e in the trailer?	,,
2	A	No.	
3	Q	Would it have been your role to have	
4	carried out	any further investigation regarding a	
5	possible hom	icide?	
6	A	No.	
7	Q	That would be left up to other police	
8	officers; is	that correct?	
9	A	Correct.	
10		MR. HARMON: That concludes direct, your	
11	Honor,		
12		THE COURT: Cross.	
13			
14		CROSS EXAMINATION	
15	BY MR. BROOKS	3:	
16	Q	Officer, when you arrived there was just	
17	yourself and	Officer Heiner with Lisa Duran and people	3
18	with her; is	that correct?	
19	A	Yes.	
20	Q	When you arrived there, you proceeded to	
21	look all arou	and the trailer?	
22	А	Yes.	
23	Q	This is the trailer at Space 125?	
24	А	Yes.	
25	Q	You eventually determined that the window	7

towards the road was the point of entry, correct? 1 I believe it was. And when I say the road, where you drove 3 your patrol car up? 4 5 Α Yes. Did you notice that there were windows on 6 7 the opposite end of the trailer? Yes. Α 8 And you also noticed that there were 9 trailers along the sides of the trailer? 10 Yes. Α 11 Would you say that the windows that were 12 on the opposite end of the trailer away from the road 13 were more concealed than the windows that were out 14 there on the street? 15 16 Α Yes. Would you say that the windows that were 17 on the side of the trailer were more concealed than 18 the windows right there on the street? 19 Yes. 20 Α 21 It would be fair to say that the window that was the point of entry was the least concealed of 22 all the windows in terms of viewing it from the road; 23 isn't that right? 24 A Yes. 25

1	Q As far as when you got there and calling
2	Officer Yada, was that done as a matter of course or
3	was there some special reason?
4	A We didn't call him. He just arrived.
5	Q He just arrived?
6	A He listened to his radio and he arrived
7	also.
8	Q Any situation involving possible domestic
9	violence or violence is generally a situation where
LO	you require a backup; is that right?
L1	A Yes.
L2	Q And you would generally have two officers
L 3	go?
L <b>4</b>	A Yes. When Officer Heiner asked for
L5	another unit, I was the one that volunteered to go
.6	with him.
.7	MR. BROOKS: Thank you. No further
.8	questions, your Honor.
. 9	MR. HARMON: Very briefly on redirect,
0	your Honor.
1	
2	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. HARMON:
4	Q Officer Lee, you have been asked a number
5	of questions about the proximity of the window which

1	you believed to be the point of entry to the road?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And it's true that was one of the windows
4	closest to the road?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Is it also true, however, that you tried
7	to get in through other windows and you couldn't?
8	A We tried all the windows and all the
9	doors. That's the only one that was ajar a little
10	bit. We had no way of gaining entry into it other
11	than breaking a window or breaking a door.
12	MR. HARMON: Thank you. That's all.
13	
14	RECROSS EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. BROOKS:
16	Q And, Officer, obviously you don't know
17	exactly why it was ajar, correct?
18	A No, I don't.
19	MR. BROOKS: No further questions.
20	THE COURT: May this witness be
21	discharged?
22	MR. HARMON: Yes, your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Thank you, Officer. You may
24	step down.
25	Call your next witness.

MR. HARMON: Michael Perkins. THE CLERK: Do you solemnly swear the testimony which you are about to give shall be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, so help you God? THE WITNESS: I do. THE COURT: We will take a brief recess right now. During the recess it's your duty not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subjected with the trial or read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on this trial or any person connected with this trial including, without limitation, newspapers, television or radio;

And you are not to form or express an opinion on any subject connected with this case until it's finally submitted to you.

This will be the last witness of the day. We're going to take about five minutes. Please remain on the upper deck of the courthouse. We'll be at ease while you depart the confines of the courtroom.

22

23

1

2

3

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

(Recess.)

24

1	MICHAEL PERKINS,
2	having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
3	
4	THE COURT: Counsel stipulate to the
5	presence of the jury.
6	MR. BROOKS: Yes, your Honor.
7	MR. HARMON: Yes.
8	THE COURT: May we proceed in the absence
9	of Miss Silver?
LO	MR. HARMON: She is taking care of
11	another matter. She'll join us in a few minutes.
L2	
L3	DIRECT EXAMINATION
L <b>4</b>	BY MR. HARMON:
L 5	Q Will you state your name for the record?
۱6	A My name is Michael Perkins.
١.7	Q Please spell your last name?
18	A P-E-R-K-I-N-S.
9 ا	Q What is your business or occupation?
20	A I'm employed by the Las Vegas
1	Metropolitan Police Department as a crime scene
22	analyst supervisor.
3	Q How long have you worked with the Las
4	Vegas Metropolitan Police Department out of the crime
5	lab?

1	A About five years and a month.
2	Q Analyst Perkins, on August the 31st,
3	1995, did you have occasion as a senior crime scene
4	analyst to respond to the Ballerina Mobile Home Park
5	at 839 North Lamb Boulevard, specifically Space 125?
6	A Yes, I did.
7	Q About what time on August the 31st did
8	you arrive at that location?
9	A I arrived at about seven minutes before
10	five o'clock in the evening.
11	Q What was your purpose for going to the
12	scene?
13	A I had been requested to respond there
14	reference a dead body that was found at that location.
15	Q Were you to be involved in carrying out
16	investigation on behalf of the crime lab?
17	A Yes, I was. That would involve
18	photography, fingerprinting, collection of evidence,
19	doing a diagram as well as making notes of the scene.
20	Q Were you assisted by any other crime
21	scene analyst from the police department?
22	A Yes, I was.
23	Q Who else?
24	A That was crime scene analyst Mark
25	Washington.
ľ	

Q Did you and Analyst Washington work 1 together in performing the investigation carried out 2 at the Ballerina Mobile Home Park? 3 Yes, we did. Α Now, you referred to photographs. Were 0 5 photographs taken both of the outside and interior of 6 7 the Space 125? Yes, they were. Α 8 MR. HARMON: May I have the court's 9 indulgence. May I approach the witness, Judge. 10 BY MR, HARMON: 11 Analyst Perkins, I'm going to show you 12 quite a long list of photographs that were marked as 13 State's Proposed Exhibits 1 through 36. 14 Will you go as quickly as you can through 15 the photographs. And after you have looked at all of 16 the pictures, will you tell us if you recognize what 17 is shown in the photographs that make up this series. 18 Yes, I do recognize these. 19 What's the basis of your recognition, 20 Analyst Perkins? 21 I recognize these photos as being taken Α 22 on August 31st because these were all photographs that 23 I took myself. 24

Do these photographs in the series,

25

Q

Proposed Exhibits 1 through 36, truly and accurately 1 depict the condition of both the outside and inside of 2 839 North Lamb Boulevard, Space 125 as you observed 3 that condition to be at the time of your arrival on August the 31st, 1995? Α Yes, they do. б All of the photographs are true and 7 accurate? R 9 Yes, they are. MR. HARMON: Your Honor, the State offers 10 Proposed Exhibits 1 through 36. 11 MR. BROOKS: The State is moving to admit 12 13 these, your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. 14 15 MR. BROOKS: Your Honor, I would object to Photograph Number 25 which is a particularly 16 17 gruesome photograph, and I think that it's highly prejudicial. We have the information from that 18 photograph and other photographs as well. 19 Objection is overruled. 20 THE COURT: The court has made its own review of all of the 21 post-mortem photographs and the photographs at the 22 crime scene and has concluded that all of the proposed 23

prejudicial effect by what they depict, and that they

exhibits have probative value that outweigh the

24

	<u> </u>
1	are not cumulative.
2	MR. HARMON: Thank you, your Honor.
3	
4	(State's Exhibits 1-36 admitted into evidence.)
5	admitted into evidence.)
6	MR. HARMON: As I continue with
7	examination of Analyst Perkins, your Honor, may we
8	publish the photographs 1 through 36 to the jury.
9	THE COURT: Yes.
10	MR. HARMON: And will the court instruct
11	the jurors as they view a photograph then to pass them
12	along successively.
13	THE COURT: Yes.
14	BY MR. HARMON:
15	Q Analyst Perkins, when you went into the
16	residence did you observe a victim?
17	A Yes, I did.
18	Q Were a number of photographs taken which
19	focus upon the victim?
20	A Yes, there were.
21	Q Did you also see a possible weapon
22	located in close proximity to the victim?
23	A Yes, I did.
24	Q What was the object that you observed?
25	A There was a serrated kitchen knife about

1	eight or eight and a half inches long, had about a
2	four inch wooden handle on it, about four and a half
3	inch blade. It was several feet to the east of the
4	victim's head, and it had a lot of blood or what
5	appeared to be blood and hair attached on the blade.
6	That was on the carpeting in the living room.
7	Q And how far away from the victim's body
8	was it approximately?
9	A Approximately four to five feet.
10	Q Was the kitchen knife measured?
11	A Yes, it was.
12	Q How long was it?
13	A I believe it was about eight and a half
14	inches.
15	Q Did that include the handle and the
16	blade?
17	A Yes, it did.
18	Q Was the width of the blade measured?
19	A I don't recall if we measured it. As I
20	recall, it was around five-eighths of an inch.
21	Q Was the knife processed for the presence
22	of latent prints?
23	A At the time that we booked it as
24	evidence, it was not processed at that point because
25	we wanted it to be submitted to the forensic lab first

to have the blood tests on it. Most of the processes 1 that we use for fingerprints will contaminate the 2 blood samples that are on there. 3 In connection with the victim, did you Q see evidence of injury? 5 Yes, I did. Α б 7 And particularly in the area of the head and neck substantial bleeding? 8 Yes, I did in other areas also. Α 9 And in other areas of the body? 10 0 Yes. A 11 Did you observe any blood-like substance 12 0 in other parts of the trailer? 13 There were areas of what appeared to be 14 blood dripped across the living room towards the 15

A There were areas of what appeared to be blood dripped across the living room towards the dining room area. That will be shown in the diagram probably. And there was -- there was what's called arterial spurting. It's a pattern on the side. There is a padded chair that's right next to the victim, and there are areas of blood splatter on the side of it that are consistent that would come out of a large vein or artery. And there are patterns of that on the side of that chair next to the victim.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Now, Analyst Perkins, the first several photographs in the series, 1 through 36, depicted a

portion of the outside of the trailer and focused on a window. Did you form any opinion regarding the point of entry of the assailant as a result of your investigation?

A Yes, I did.

Q What opinion did you form and why?

The opinion that I formed was based on the fact that we did an inspection all the way around the mobile home, and all of the windows and doors were intact and didn't appear to be any sign of forcible entry except for one of the windows of the master bedroom, which is on the south end of the trailer, and there are two windows that face the front. They are the two windows that face the street.

I believe that they are shown in State's Exhibit Number 1, and it's the window to the west.

There were several reasons why we determined that to be the point of entry. The window screen had been at some point forcibly bent and removed from that window, and at the point that I arrived it was sitting inside the bedroom just inside that window frame. The slider to the window had been removed and it was sitting outside the window and leaning up against the south end of the trailer.

There was also a partial footwear pattern 1 that -- where a shoe had come in contact with the 2 window screen that was on the screen sitting inside 3 that window. 4 So based on those factors and no 5 disturbance anywhere else, we felt that that was the 6 7 point of entry. There was also -- there was one other 8 There was a small amount of damage on the 9 latch for that window that looked like it had been 10 forcibly opened. 11 We had evidence already introduced during 12 13 the trial that there was another window where a screen was off --14 Yes. 15 -- and, in fact, was bent and was resting 16 on the grass outside the trailer. Did you attempt to 17 determine when and under what circumstances that 18 screen had been removed? 19 Yes, I did. 20 What did you do in connection with that 21 phase of the investigation? 22 We did two separate things. One, the 23 neighbor to the west of the victim's residence was out

in his yard, and I was in the process of processing

24

that screen and that window to see if we could get any prints off of it.

The window didn't show any signs of disturbance at all. We didn't get any prints off of it, as I recall. But he stated that that screen that was bent and removed from that window had been done about a week before by one of the people that lived there. The screen that I'm describing is on the northwest bedroom. It's on the farther northwest corner of the trailer and the window faces west.

And the screen had been taken out, and it was bent and it was sitting on the ground a few feet away from the window.

- Q So I take it for all of the reasons that you just described, it was your belief that the window circled in green on State's Exhibit 1 was the point of entry?
  - A Yes, it is.
- Q You have mentioned that sketches are also prepared of the crime scene?
  - A Yes, they are.
  - Q That was done in this case?
- A Yes, it was.

Q Have you in preparation to coming to court prepared an enlarged version of the sketch

	] 110
1	prepared at the crime scene in this case?
2	A Yes, I have.
3	Q Was it drawn to scale?
4	A It was drawn as close as possible to
5	scale, yes.
6	MR. HARMON: May we have the court's
7	indulgence, your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Yes.
9	BY MR. HARMON:
10	Q Analyst Perkins, I'm showing you State's
11	Proposed Exhibit 76. Will you able to recognize this?
12	A Yes, I am.
13	Q Is this the large diagram prepared by you
14	for presentation in court?
15	A Yes, it is.
16	Q Does it properly portray the floor plan
17	of Space 125 in the Ballerina Mobile Home Park?
18	A Yes, it does.
19	Q Does it accurately show the location of
20	the victim?
21	A Yes, it does.
22	Q And also certain areas of evidence which
23	was recovered?
24	A Yes, it does.
25	Q And does it indicate to scale?

1	A It indicates the approximate scale, yes.
2	Q And that was one half inch equals one
3	foot.
4	A Correct.
5	Q You've also indicated a time, 8/31/95,
6	August the 31st, 1995. And you indicate 1700 hours?
7	A Correct.
8	Q Is that military time?
9	A Yes, it is. That would be five in the
LO	evening.
L1	Q What does five in the evening reflect?
L 2	Is that the time of the offense or is that when you
1.3	arrived?
L 4	A I arrived about seven minutes before
L 5	that, and this is the time that Mark Washington the
16	other crime scene analyst who was assisting me
L 7	arrived. I use this time because that was the time he
18	constructed the initial crime scene sketch and that
L 9	was the time indicated on the sketch. So that's the
20	time that ended up here.
21	Q So the five o'clock p.m. is not to
22	reflect when the offense occurred?
23	A No, it is at the point that we begin our
24	investigation.
25	MR. HARMON: Thank you. Your Honor, the

ľ	112
1	State offers Proposed Exhibit 76.
2	MR. BROOKS: No objection.
3	THE COURT: It will be received in
4	evidence.
5	
6	(State's Exhibit 76 admitted into evidence.)
7	
8	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, may we move it a
9	little closer to the jury.
10	THE COURT: Yes.
11	MR. HARMON: And ask the witness to step
12	to the board.
13	THE COURT: Will counsel approach the
14	bench briefly.
15	
16	(At the bench discussion, off the record.)
17	
18	BY MR. HARMON:
19	Q Are all the jurors able to see the
20	diagram.
21	Analyst Perkins will you refer now to
22	exhibit
23	MR. BROOKS: 76.
24	BY MR. HARMON:
25	Q 76, and please orient the court and

the jury as to what is depicted on the diagram.

A As was mentioned earlier, this is a floor plan of a double wide trailer at 839 North Lamb, Space 125 in Balerina Mobile Home Park. The date and time that we were there is indicated in the scale.

What we have here, the top of the diagram faced north. The roadway in front of this trailer is down here at the bottom so the front of the trailer faces south.

The windows that we talked about earlier in the master bedroom are indicated down here at the bottom. The one here to the west is the one that we talked about as being the point of entry.

Overall, the trailer has three bedrooms.

The master bedroom that we were talking about, and then the two smaller bedrooms in the northwest corner.

This window here is where that other screen had been removed from that we were talking about earlier. There is a small hallway bath in between those two bathrooms and then the larger bathroom is off of the master.

The front entrance, or the living room entrance, is on the west side of the trailer. And that was close to where the victim was at. The only other entrance through a door to the trailer is

through the laundry room here. You have a washer and 1 2 That goes into a little walkway into the 3 kitchen and the dining room, and then there was a large family room in the northeast corner. 4 Both of the outside doors were locked? 5 б Α Yes, they were. And they were secured. They didn't show any signs of forcible entry at the 7 time. 8 9 Now, you highlighted certain evidence 10 that had potential value in the case? 11 Α Yes, I did. 12 Explain what you found? The first one is kind of obvious and is a 13 V on the legend indicating the victim. This is the 14 position that she was in. She was on her back near 15 the front entrance door. 16 17 The letter A here indicates the knife that I discussed, eight and a half inch kitchen knife, 18 and that was several feet north of the victim on the 19 20 carpeting. Letter B indicates some letters and some 21 other paperwork that was found in several areas of the 22 23 master bedroom. Some of it was on a like entertainment center on this north wall, and some of 24

it was scattered on the floor directly in front of the

1 entertainment center. 2 Are all of those depicted in the 3 photographs, Proposed Exhibits 1 through 36? 4 Α Yes, they should be. And then the letter X in the legend is 5 what appears to be one letter that had been torn up 6 7 into small pieces. And those pieces were scattered around the victim as well as one in the doorway, and then one outside on the front porch of the residence. 9 10 And some of those had what appeared to be blood on them, and some of them did not. 1.1 12 Now, in addition to the area in close 13 14

proximity to the victim where you've already described items of blood, in any other rooms of the trailer did you find the blood like substance?

> Α Yes, we did.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Where else?

In the master bedroom -- or I'm sorry. Α The bathroom, over in this area, the toilet had some blood on the side of the toilet seat. And there was also a small area on the side of the basin, the sink. And I'm trying to think if there was any anywhere else.

I think that was it as far as other areas. The rest of it was confined in this living

1 room area, in here. 2 I would like you to, to make sure it's clear, to write at the location of the window where 3 you concluded entry was made point of entry, POE. We 4 will just circle that area and then put your initials 5 outside of the circle. 6 7 Α Okay. And also write where the front door is 9 That's also been done in green. And you have initialed outside the circle? 10 Yes, I have. 11 Α 12 Thank you. You may return to the witness 13 chair. 14 Analyst Perkins, did you bring certain 15 items of evidence to court today? Yes, I did. 16 Α 17 What did you bring to court? 18 Α I brought the knife that we talked about 19 earlier that was in the living room carpet and several 20 pieces of what appeared to be that torn up letter that were in the living room and on the front porch, and 21 then some of the pieces of paper work out of the 22 master bedroom that were on the entertainment center 23 and on the floor.

That had writing on them?

24

25

Q

1	A Yes.
2	MR. HARMON: May I approach the witness,
3	Judge.
4	BY MR. HARMON:
5	Q Analyst Perkins, I'm showing you an
6	evidence bag that the clerk has marked as Proposed
7	Exhibit 68.
8	Are you able to identify it?
9	A Yes, I am.
10	Q Is this the bag into which the kitchen
11	knife was placed that was located on the floor near
12	the body and appeared to have adhering to the blade
13	the blood and hair?
14	A Yes, it is.
15	Q Will you open the bag, please, with the
16	scissors the clerk has furnished to us and then remove
17	the contents.
18	For the record, what have you removed?
19	A Inside the evidence bag is another piece
20	of brown craft paper that's folded with the initials
21	TC, I think, and the date 9/11 of '95 on it.
22	Q Would TC be consistent with criminalist
23	Terry Cook?
24	A I believe that it would, yes.
25	Q Does this paper appear to be something

1 which has been wrapped around the knife simply for 2 safety purposes? Yes, it does. Also on the paper are the 3 initials and personnel number of Mark Washington who 4 5 impounded the item, M4725W. б 0 This is Analyst Mark Washington who 7 worked with you at the scene in carrying out the investigation? 8 9 Α Yes, it is. 10 0 Have you located the knife? 11 Α Yes, I have. 12 Does that appear to be the knife which 13 you observed on the floor which had the blood like substance and hair on the blade? 14 15 Yes, it does. 16 Does it appear to be in substantially the 17 same condition now as it was on the date that you saw 18 it, August 31st, 1995? Other than the stains, the bluish stain 19 20 that you see on the handle that was used for 21 fingerprint processing, yes, it does. 22 Is it apparent from looking at the handle Q of the knife that it has been processed for latent 23 prints? 24 25 Α Yes, it is.

Q What is a latent print?

б

B

A A latent print is a pattern that's transferred when your hands come in contact with another surface under some circumstances. What you've got is you have a fine ridge detail on the inner surfaces of the palm and fingers that is unique to each individual.

These ridges are made up out of rows of pores and secrete a perspiration consisting of water and fatty acids and amino acids and salt and other trace elements.

When that surface comes in contact with something else, if the conditions are right, if there is just the right amount of moisture on your hands and if there is a surface smooth enough and clean enough, that pattern will be transferred to that other surface.

So in a case like this, the handle would have been processed in the lab after the blood was collected to see if somebody's ridge detailed latent detail would be recovered from that knife blade.

Q Analyst Perkins, if a knife is handled such as the knife under consideration now, does it mean, if it is handled, that an identifiable latent print will always be deposited on either the handle or

1	the blade?
2	A Not by any means. There is so many
3	variables like the conditions I mentioned earlier.
4	It's more often than not that you will not recover
5	prints from items.
6	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, may we have the
7	paper that was wrapped around the knife marked as
8	State's Proposed Exhibit 68-A, and the knife itself
9	marked as Proposed 68-A-1.
10	THE COURT: Yes.
11	MR. HARMON: I would move at this time
12	for the admission of 68, 68-A, and 68-A-1.
13	MR. BROOKS: No objection.
14	THE COURT: They will be admitted.
15	MR. HARMON: Thank you.
16	
17	(State's Exhibit 68, 68-A, and 68-A-1 admitted into evidence.)
18	do 11 1 admitted into cvidence.
19	THE COURT: Are you going to open all of
20	those and do it one at time? These are the letters.
21	You can open them all up at once.
22	MR. HARMON: I'm going to do this as
23	quickly as I can, Judge.
24	BY MR. HARMON:
25	Q I want to show you now what the clerk has

marked as Proposed Exhibit 69 through 75. So it would 1 be six separate containers. 2 Are these the containers into which the 3 various papers were placed which had writing upon 4 them? 5 6 Α Yes, they are. I think that you said that some of these 7 it appeared had been torn up and they were located in 8 9 close proximity to the body? Yes. 10 A 11 And others were papers upon which 12 appeared writing which you found in the master 13 bedroom --14 Α Correct. 15 -- as depicted in the diagram? Q 16 A Yes. 17 Using the scissors the clerk has 18 furnished, will you simply go through the Proposed 60, right now, through 75, cut them open. If you first 19 20 cut open each of the containers. Go through all of the containers and cut them open first. 21 22 Some of the containers have a

biohazardous seal on them. Does that indicate that

possibly some type of bodily fluid was found on the

23

24

25

evidence?

Yes, it does. Do you want the ones from 1 Α the bedroom opened up at this time also? 2 Yes. 3 Now, so that we understand what you're in 4 the process of doing regarding Proposed Exhibit 69 5 through 74, are those the papers of which appear to 6 have been torn up and were found in the living room in 7 fairly close proximity to the body? 8 9 Α Yes, they are. Will you examine as quickly as you can 10 the contents of each of the proposed exhibits, 69 11 12 through 74, and tell us if they do truly and accurately depict to the best of your memory the 13 pieces of paper that were located in the living room. 14 In State's Proposed Exhibit 69, these are 15 two pieces of what appear to be that letter that were 16 found on the front porch. 17 Those are on the front porch? 18 19 Α Yes. 20 MR. BROOKS: What were the numbers again, please. 21 MR. HARMON: That's 69. 22 MR. BROOKS: 69 is one number that was 23 found on the front porch? 24 25 THE WITNESS: It would be the two X's in

the doorway, and then outside on the front porch and 1 2 the dining room. 3 State's Proposed Exhibit 70 is Item 11, and this was just to the north of the victim's body on 4 the living room carpet. 5 6 State's Proposed Exhibit 71 is another piece, and this would have been just to the northeast 7 8 of the victim, a few feet away. State's Proposed Exhibit 72 is a larger 9 10 piece with what appears to be blood on it. We may be going to run out of space. Why 11 Q 12 don't you just return the contents to the envelopes 13 after you've identified them, 14 And this was about six to eight inches 15 away from the victim's head on the right side. 16 State's Proposed Exhibit 74, this is a large handwritten letter, and this would have been on 17 the floor in the master bedroom. It's indicated in 18 19 the diagram. It's the B that is farthest to the 20 southwest in the diagram. 21 Q That was what proposed exhibit number? That would be Proposed exhibit 74. 22 Α 23 Q So that also came from the master bedroom? 24 25 Yes, it did. The other items that I just

went over all of them were from the living room and 1 the front porch area. 2 Meaning 69 through 73? 3 Through 72, yes. And then 73, okay. 4 That's correct. Yes, 73 is the last of the pieces of 5 6 the torn up letter from the living room area. 74 is the one that we just talked about, 7 the handwritten letter. 8 And 75 is four different groups of both 9 handwritten letters and pictures. And then some 10 paperwork, another handwritten letter. And then a 11 rent receipt and some Sprint paging advertising that 12 were all in the master bedroom both on the floor and 13 on that entertainment center on the north wall. 14 15 Analyst Perkins, do the contents of all Q of these proposed exhibits, 69 through 75, appear to 16 17 be in substantially the same condition now as they were on the date that they were recovered by yourself 18 and Analyst Washington, August the 31st, 1995? 19 20 Yes, they do. MR. HARMON: Your Honor, the State offers 21 Proposed Exhibits 69 through 75 and contents. 22 MR. BROOKS: No objection. 23 THE COURT: They will be admitted. 24

25

1	(State's Exhibit 69 through 75 admitted into evidence.)
2	ddmitted into evidence.
3	
4	MR. HARMON: Thank you.
5	BY MR. HARMON:
6	Q One final area of inquiry.
7	I noticed in various of the photographs
8	taken there appeared to be some dark orange cones, and
9	also there were pieces of paper with numbers on them.
10	Were those placed there in connection
11	with the investigation?
12	A Yes, they were.
13	Q What is the purpose of the cones and the
14	numbering system?
15	A There is a couple purposes for that.
16	When using the cones when we're doing our
17	photography and we're farther back, like across the
18	room like you saw, and some of the small pieces of the
19	letter they tend to disappear in the carpeting. That
20	helps us in the photographs to show their exact
21	location a little bit better.
22	And the numbers, when we write our notes
23	and stuff at the scene that helps us keep track of
24	what items came from where.

MR. HARMON: Thank you. Your Honor, may

25

1.	we have the court's indulgence.
2	THE COURT: Yes.
3	BY MR. HARMON:
4	Q How long did you remain at the crime
5	scene working with Analyst Mark Washington?
6	A We were there until about ten minutes
7	until two the next morning. So it would have been
8	about eight hours plus or minus a couple minutes. And
9	then we had additional the next day we had a lot of
10	laboratory work to do processing and packaging
11	evidence. So it was a longer time than that by the
12	time that we were done.
13	Q Did you observe any telephones inside the
14	trailer?
15	A Yes, I did.
16	Q How many phones, do you remember?
17	A The only one that stands out in my mind
18	was in the middle of living room floor. It was a
19	white slim line phone, and the handset was off of the
20	cradle.
21	Q So that telephone was off the hook?
22	A Yes, it was.
23	Q And that's in the same room where the
24	body was found?
25	A Yes, it was. It was more towards the

1	northeast corner of the room.
2	MR. HARMON: Thank you. That concludes
3	direct, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Cross-examination.
5	
6	CROSS EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. BROOKS:
8	Q Mr. Perkins, where are the photographs
9	that you had awhile ago?
10	A I believe that the jury has them at this
11	point.
12	Q With the court's permission, I would like
13	to show several of them to you and ask you several
14	questions about them. I'm going to hand you a
15	photograph that's marked as State's Exhibit 11.
16	Could you describe where that photograph
17	is taken?
18	A State's Proposed Exhibit 11 is in the
19	master bedroom indicated on the diagram. It's the
20	bedroom at the bottom in the middle. This is showing
21	the east wall of the bedroom. Along that wall there
22	is a low dresser with a couple of mirrors attached to
23	it.
24	Q This is the room where most of the
25	letters were found on the floor, correct?

1	A Yes, it is.
2	Q Are the drawers in the chest of drawers
3	pulled out or are they intact inside the drawer?
4	A The drawers are to some degree they
5	are all pulled out in some different amounts.
6	Q Do you see anything that indicates the
7	contents of the drawers have been thrown on the floor?
8	A Whether they would have been thrown on
9	the floor or whether they would have already been
10	there, I wouldn't be able to guess.
11	Q When you mention that these were pulled
12	out, we are talking about like pulled out one or two
13	inches?
14	A They vary between one and three and four
15	inches, yes.
1.6	Q Are any of them completely wide open?
17	A No, they are not.
18	Q And none of them were totally pulled out
19	of the chest?
20	A That's correct.
21	Q I'm going to hand you Photograph Exhibit
22	10. This is a photograph of some of the letters.
23	These are the letters found on the floor
24	in that master bedroom?
25	A Yes, they are.

	123
1	Q I'm going to show you a photograph marked
2	Number 5. This is also in the master bedroom?
3	A Yes, it is.
4	Q There is a roll-top desk here in this
5	picture; is that correct?
6	A Yes, there is.
7	Q Is the roll top of the roll-top desk in
8 ,	place or was it bent open?
9	A In this particular picture, it's closed.
1,0	Q And is that the way that you found it?
11	A Yes, it is.
12	Q Are any of the drawers in the roll-top
13	desk open or are they all closed?
14	A They are all closed in this exhibit.
15	Q There is also a small chest between the
16	bed and roll-top desk; is that correct?
17	A Yes, it is.
18	Q And is it open or closed?
19	A It is closed at this point.
20	Q You would agree the room itself is messy,
21	isn't it?
22	A Yes, I would.
23	Q Would you agree that Photograph 11 shows
24	clothes stacked up which have either been washed or
25	set out for some purpose?

1	A Yes, I would.
2	Q Okay. Thank you.
3	Did the jury need these pictures again?
4	I'm sorry. I have one last question for
5	you, sir.
6	Looking at your diagram, and correct me
7	if I'm wrong, this is the trailer, and the road that
8	you would drive up to is down here on, I believe, a
9	south end?
10	A Yes, it is. And it goes in a east to
11	west direction.
12	Q So, in other words, this is the road
13	right here inside the trailer park?
14	A Yes, it is.
15	Q And the entrance way, the point of entry
16	that's marked here on the diagram, is close to the
17	road?
1.8	A Yes, it is. It's about ten to 12 feet
19	from the actual roadside.
20	Q And if you can recall, are the windows
21	visible from the road? There is some vegetation but
22	the view of the window is not obscured, is it?
23	A I don't recall it being obscured. There
24	is some lower vegetation there.
25	O It's desert vegetation?

1	A It's like fan palms, and I think some
2	cactus.
3	MR. BROOKS: Okay. Thank you no further
4	questions.
5	THE COURT: Redirect.
6	MR. HARMON: Just a few questions, your
7	Honor,
8	
9	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. HARMON;
11	Q Do you recall if there were any vehicles
12	in the driveway to Space 125?
13	A No, there were none in the driveway or
14	carport at the time that I arrived.
15	Q Now, you mentioned that it appeared a
16	number of drawers had been pulled out?
17	A I couldn't say that they had been pulled
18	out. They were out at the time that I got there, yes.
19	I wouldn't know whether they had been pulled out or
20	not pushed in.
21	Q They weren't completely closed?
22	A Correct, most of them weren't.
23	Q Did you form any opinion besides
24	concluding that perhaps in the master bedroom, for
25	example, that it wasn't a really tidy job of

1	housekeeping?
2	Did you form any opinion about whether
3	there may have been some type of ransacking by an
4	assailant?
5	A I didn't form any specific opinions. The
6	condition of the trailer, as indicated in the photos,
7	from one end to the other was pretty consistent all
8	the way through.
9	Q But you found apparently letters or
10	papers with writing on them strewn on the floor and
11	also on furniture in the master bedroom?
12	A Yes, I did.
13	Q And you referred to these torn up pieces
14	of paper with writing on them in the living room?
15	A Yes, I did.
16	Q Did you find any money inside the trailer
17	that you recall?
18	A I don't recall any amounts, significant
19	amounts.
20	MR. HARMON: Thank you. That's all, your
21	Honor.
22	
23	RECROSS EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. BROOKS:
25	Q And, Officer, you have no indication that

1	there ever was any money in that trailer, do you?
2	A No, I do not.
3	MR. BROOKS: Thank you. No further
4	questions.
5	THE COURT: May this witness be
6	discharged?
7	MR. HARMON: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Thank you. You may step
9	down.
10	Mr. Harmon, if you would be so kind,
11	we'll continue circulating them tomorrow if the jury
12	is not done with them.
13	Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take
14	our evening recess at this point.
15	During the recess, it is your duty not to
16	converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any
17	subject connected with this trial or read, watch or
18	listen to any report of or commentary on this trial or
19	any person connected with this trial by any medium of
20	information including, without limitation, newspapers,
21	television or radio;
22	And you are not to form or express an
23	opinion on any subject connected with this case until
24	it is finally submitted to you.
25	Good evening, ladies and gentlemen, we'll

1	be at ease while you depart the confines of the
2	courtroom.
3	We will begin at 9:30 in the morning.
4	We'll take care of the other matters
5	tomorrow.
6	MR. BROOKS: As to that particular
7	matter, I decided not to raise it.
8	
9	(Outside the presence of the jury.)
10	
11	THE COURT: Can we at this point declare
12	the recess?
13	MR. HARMON: Yes.
14	THE COURT: All right.
15	
16	* * * * *
17	ATTEST: Full, true and accurate transcript of
18	proceedings.
19	Maur Hay
20	Maller Jack
21	Marcia J. Leonard, RPR, CCR No. 204
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. BROOKS:
3	Q Mr. Pietryman, you have been here in
4	Las Vegas about a year?
5	A Less. They got me good.
6	Q And you came here directly from New
7	York?
8	A Yeah.
9	Q Is that New York City?
10	A Right.
11	Q And did you spend almost your entire
12	life there?
13	A All my life.
14	Q Eow do you like it so far?
15	A Well, we came here because I thought to
16	avoid crime, you know, and everything and it's a cheaper
17	place to live and it's a nice place. I like it. I own a
18	home.
19	Q One of our concerns here, obviously, is
20	the idea we have discussed this death penalty business so
21	much. Do you understand that we are not conceding first
22	degree murder in this case?
23	A Right.
24	Q You understand that?
25	A Right.

1	Q And you understand that you may never
2	get to a situation where you'd have to consider the death
3	penalty in this case?
4	A Right.
5	Q Any problem at all with the presumption
6	of innocence?
7	A No.
8	Q Any problem with applying it to the
9	facts of the case?
10	A No.
11	MR. BROOKS: Thank you.
12	We'll pass for cause, your Honor.
13	THE COURT: All right, thank you.
14	Ms. Ochoa, good morning again.
15	A Good morning.
16	THE COURT: Any reason you can think of why
17	you couldn't be fair in this case?
18	A No.
19	THE COURT: Can you wait until all the
20	evidence is in before coming to any conclusion about any
21	issue in the case?
22	A Yes.
23	THE COURT: Have you, any member of your
24	family or any close friends of yours been arrested?
25	A I have.

1	THE COURT: Tell us a little bit about
2	that.
3	A It's a very long story. It was on a
4	controlled substance, but all the charges were dropped. I
5	never even saw a lawyer, a judge, or anything.
6	THE COURT: Anything about that experience
7	that would effect your thinking in this case?
8	A No.
9	THE COURT: You can put that aside and not
10	consider anything that happened to you in regard to this
11	case?
12	A That's a long time ago.
13	THE COURT: Have you, any member of your
14	family or close friends of yours ever been the victim of a
15	crime?
16	A I have.
17	THE COURT: Tell us about that.
18	A My first husband physically and
19	mentally abused me.
20	THE COURT: Over what period of time?
21	A From I married when I was 18 and I
22	divorced when I was 24. So.
23	THE COURT: Now obviously this case involves
24	allegations of domestic violence between two people that
25	have been in a relationship. Will you be able to give both

1	the State of Nevada and this defendant a fair trial and put
2	aside, the extent that you can, the six years that you were
3	in this relationship yourself?
4	A Yeah, I don't even think about that any
5	more. It was a long time ago also.
6	THE COURT: So you can assess the facts of
7	this case on their own and come to a just and fair
8	verdict?
9	A Yes.
10	THE COURT: Ever been on a jury before?
11	A No.
12	THE COURT: Ever been involved in a court
13	proceeding as a witness or a party?
14	A No.
15	THE COURT: Other than the divorce
16	proceedings?
17	A Right.
18	THE COURT: Any concern about adverse public
19	opinion that might result from any verdict in the case?
20	A No.
21	THE COURT: Do you have any religious, moral
22	or conscientious objections to the imposition of the death
23	penalty?
24	A No.
25	THE COURT: Can you give fair consideration

1	to all four forms of penalty
2	A Yes.
3	THE COURT: in this case?
4	And if you were involved in this case in any
5	respect, would you feel comfortable with 12 jurors in your
6	frame of mind?
7	A I would want 12 jurors in my frame of
8	mind.
9	THE COURT: Very good.
10	The State of Nevada may inquire.
11	MR. HARMON: Thank you, your Honor.
12	
13	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. HARMON:
15	Q Good morning.
16	A Good morning.
17	Q Over what period of time were you in an
18	abusive relationship?
19	A Six years.
20	Q You mentioned in the questionnaire that
21	you are undergoing treatment for medical treatment?
22	A Right.
23	Q Do you think that's going to inhibit
24	your ability in any way to serve on a jury?
25	A The only thing that it would depend on
	PARSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT PREORTER

1	the times that we started because I could have a treatment
2	as early as 8 a.m. And it only takes about 10 minutes.
3	THE COURT: All right, the trial in this
4	case will, generally speaking, not start until after 9:00
5	in the morning.
6	A Yeah, then it would not be a problem.
7	THE COURT: Generally speaking, it won't
8	start until after 10:30.
9	A Right.
10	THE COURT: Given the morning calendars we
11	have had to have, but on a couple occasions I'm going to be
12	clearing my morning calendars so we can get started earlier
13	in the day, but not before 9:00.
14	A I can be here at the court by 9:00.
15	THE COURT: All right, very good.
16	MR. HARMON: Thank you, Judge.
17	Q Would you like to serve as a juror?
18	A Yes, sir.
19	Q In this case, if we do select you to
20	serve and if you are called upon to impose a punishment, is
21	there any doubt in your mind if you thought that these
22	circumstances called for the ultimate punishment of a death
23	sentence, that you would have the intestinal fortitude to
24	vote for it?
25	A If it was called upon.

1	Q Do you agree that race or gender or
2	prejudice of any kind is not a proper basis for a verdict?
3	A No, I don't believe in racism As I
4	stated, once you are born in this world, we are all
5	humans.
6	MR. HARMON: Thank you.
7	Pass the juror.
8	THE COURT: For the defense,
9	
10	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. BROOKS:
12	Q I'm sorry if I missed here, but how
13	long ago was this domestic problem you had?
14	A I'm 38. So it was 14 years ago when I
15	left.
16	Q And you feel this is pretty much put
17	behind you in your life?
18	A Most definitely.
19	Q Should we have any concerns about how
20	you will deal with evidence concerning domestic violence?
21	A No.
22	Q You can deal with it fairly?
23	A Very much so.
24	Q Can you evaluate the evidence and apply
25	the presumption of innocence standard?

1	A Yes, sir.
2	Q If you were James Chappell, would you
3	feel comfortable having you on the jury?
4	A I would want me on my jury.
5	MR. BROOKS: Thank you.
6	We will pass for cause.
7	THE COURT: Thank you.
8	Mr. Poulos?
9	A Yes.
10	THE COURT: Any reason you can think of why
11	you couldn't be fair in this case?
12	A No, none.
13	THE COURT: Can you wait until all the
14	evidence is in before coming to any conclusion about any
15	issue in this case?
16	A Yes, I can.
17	THE COURT: Ever been arrested or a member
18	of your family or friends ever have that experience?
19	A Some of my friends have been arrested,
20	yes.
21	THE COURT: Anything serious?
22	A DUI back in Michigan.
23	THE COURT: Is there anything about their
24	experiences in that regard that would effect your thinking
25	in this case?

1	A Not at all.
2	THE COURT: So you can put all that aside.
3	Whatever happened with the police happened with them and
4	you can evaluate the testimony of the witnesses in this
5	case independently
6	A Yes.
7	THE COURT: of that experience?
8	A Yes.
9	THE COURT: Ever been the victim of a crime?
10	A Yes, I have.
11	THE COURT: And also say members of your
12	family or friends, anyone in your circle have that kind of
13	experience?
14	A Yes, armed robbery and breaking and
15	entering.
16	
10	THE COURT: Was that you?
17	THE COURT: Was that you?  A Myself, both cases.
17	A Myself, both cases.
17 18	A Myself, both cases.  THE COURT: Tell us about that.
17 18 19	A Myself, both cases.  THE COURT: Tell us about that.  A Well, I was in a fast-food restaurant
17 18 19 20	A Myself, both cases.  THE COURT: Tell us about that.  A Well, I was in a fast-food restaurant and we were robbed by two masked men with guns.
17 18 19 20 21	A Myself, both cases.  THE COURT: Tell us about that.  A Well, I was in a fast-food restaurant and we were robbed by two masked men with guns.  THE COURT: How long ago was that?
17 18 19 20 21	A Myself, both cases.  THE COURT: Tell us about that.  A Well, I was in a fast-food restaurant and we were robbed by two masked men with guns.  THE COURT: How long ago was that?  A Twenty years.

1	THE COURT: Anything about those experiences
2	that you'll carry into the jury room?
3	A None at all.
4	THE COURT: You can put those aside and
5	evaluate this indication on its facts?
6	A That's correct.
7	THE COURT: Ever been on a jury before?
8	A No.
9	THE COURT: Ever been a party or participant
10	in a court proceeding?
11	A A witness in a traffic violation.
12	THE COURT: Anything about that that would
13	effect your thinking?
14	A Not at all.
15	THE COURT: Any concern about adverse public
16	opinion that might result from a verdict in the case?
17	A No.
18	THE COURT: Any objections from a moral,
19	religious or conscience standpoint to the death penalty?
20	A No.
21	THE COURT: Will you be able to give fair
22	consideration to all four forms of punishment?
23	A Yes, sir, I can.
24	THE COURT: And if you were involved in this
25	case in any way, would you be comfortable with 12 jurors

1	just like yourself sitting on this case?
2	A Yes, I would.
3	THE COURT: State of Nevada may inquire.
4	MR. HARMON: Thank you, Judge.
5	
6	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. HARMON:
8	Q Good morning, sir.
9	A Good morning.
10	Q You've lived in Clark County for about
11	two years?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Where did you move from, sir?
14	A Detroit, Michigan.
15	Q How long did you live in Detroit?
16	A All my life.
17	Q What prompted you to move to southern
18	Nevada?
19	A I retired.
20	Q Are you satisfied so far with the
21	decision you made?
22	A I'm going back to Michigan. My house
23	is for sale now.
24	Q Are you going to be here long enough to
25	serve for two or three weeks?

1	A The house has been for sale for nine
2	months.
3	Q Mr. Poulos, are you a person who
4	believes that individuals who commit serious violent crimes
5	should be held personally responsible?
6	A Yes, I do.
7	Q Do you think that the use of drugs or
8	alcohol is an excuse for violent crimes?
9	A No, I don't.
10	Q If it was left solely up to you, would
11	we have capital punishment in this state?
12	A No, we wouldn't.
13	Q Why do you say that, sir?
14	A I don't believe it's a deterrent to
15	crime.
16	Q So you would describe yourself then
17	philosophically as being against the death penalty?
18	A I would be against it, but I could
19	impose it if that's the law of the land.
20	Q Against it, but you can impose it?
21	A Yes.
22	Q You, in fact, indicated in the
23	questionnaire that if you thought such a punishment was
24	appropriate, that you could personally come to the
25	courtroom and vote for it?

1	A Yes, I could.
2	Q You understand that the jury has a duty
3	to follow the Court's Instructions at all phases of the
4	trial?
5	A Yes, I do.
6	MR. HARMON: Thank you.
7	Pass for cause, your Honor.
8	MR. BROOKS: We will pass for cause, Judge.
9	THE COURT: All right, thank you.
10	Ms. Sheehan, any reason you can think of why
11	you couldn't be fair in this case?
12	A No.
13	THE COURT: Can you wait until all the
14	evidence is in before coming to any conclusion about any
15	issue in this case?
16	A Yes.
17	THE COURT: Anyone in your family, you or
18	friends of yours ever been arrested?
19	A My ex-husband.
20	THE COURT: Tell us a little bit about
21	that.
22	A DUI.
23	TER COURT: Anything about the way that
24	matter was handled that would effect your thinking in this
25	case?

1	A No.
2	THE COURT: Anything about the fact of that
3	event in his life that would effect your thinking in this
4	case?
5	A No.
6	THE COURT: Have you ever been the victim of
7	a crime?
8	A No.
9	THE COURT: Anyone in your family or friends
10	ever have that experience?
11	A No.
12	THE COURT: Ever been on a jury before?
13	A Yes.
14	THE COURT: All right, how many times?
15	A Once.
16	THE COURT: Was it a criminal or civil?
17	A Civil.
18	THE COURT: And so you were given some
19	instructions in that case, but it had a different burden of
20	proof in it. Do you remember?
21	A Right.
22	THE COURT: So if it wasn't a fraud case,
23	then it was an ordinary case, it would have been proof to
24	the preponderance of the evidence?
25	A Yes.

1	Q Do you recall that?
2	A It's a long time ago.
3	THE COURT: But you understand this is a
4	different burden of proof and you can draw that distinction
5	and follow the burden of proof in this case?
6	A Yes.
7	THE COURT: Anything about your jury
8	experience on that occasion that might cause you any
9	problems in being fair in this case?
10	A No.
11	THE COURT: You didn't develop any notions
12	about the jury system at that point that might cause either
13	of the parties in this case a concern about your case?
14	A No.
15	THE COURT: Any concern about adverse public
16	opinion?
17	A No.
18	THE COURT: Ever been involved in a court
19	proceeding of any kind as a witness or a party?
20	A Once by accident.
21	THE COURT: All right.
22	A We had a person call me as a witness
23	and I didn't witness anything, so I was dismissed.
24	THE COURT: Anything about that process that
25	would effect your thinking?

1	A No.
2	THE COURT: Do you have any moral,
3	religious, or conscientious objections to the death
4	penalty?
5	A No.
6	THE COURT: And if you are called to serve
7	on this jury and you are called to deliberate on the
8	question of punishment, which again would only occur if the
9	defendant is convicted of first degree murder, would you be
10	able to give fair consideration to all four statutory forms
11	of punishment?
12	A Yes.
13	THE COURT: You could, in a proper case,
14	impose the death penalty?
15	A Yes.
16	THE COURT: In a proper case, impose either
17	life with or life without the possibility of parole?
18	A Yes.
19	THE COURT: And in a proper case, if you
20	felt it was justified, 50 years with the possibility of
21	parole?
22	A Yes.
23	THE COURT: And if you were involved in this
24	case, would you feel comfortable with 12 jurors just like
25	you?

1	A Yes.
2	THE COURT: With your sense of fairness and
3	judgment?
4	A Yes.
5	THE COURT: All right, State of Nevada may
6	inquire.
7	MS. SILVER: Thank you.
8	
9	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
10	BY MS. SILVER:
11	Q I wanted to ask you about your
12	statement in the questionnaire where you have a defendant's
13	background should be considered in deciding whether or not
14	the death penalty is an appropriate punishment and you
15	have, "No. No life is perfect. It's up to the it's up
16	to each individual to make his or her own way."
17	What did you mean by that?
18	A Well, everybody has a choice on what
19	they want to do and it's up to them to make the choice for
20	themselves. If they want to change it, they can change
21	it.
22	Q Do you think maybe sometimes people use
23	their background as an excuse for the choice that they
24	intentionally make?
25	A Yes.

1	Q Is that kind of what you meant by
2	this?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And you've seen this before because of
5	what you do in your employment or just, in general, what
6	you've seen in society?
7	A I think it has a little to do with
8	both.
9	Q The fact that you are a records clerk
10	with North Las Vegas Police Office or Police Department?
11	A Uh-huh.
12	Q You can obviously do you recognize
13	any of the officers' names in this case?
14	A No, that's Metro's area.
15	Q Are you going to have any problem being
16	fair and impartial to both sides, both the State and the
17	defense, if picked as a juror?
18	A No.
19	Q You heard one of the jurors come in
20	today and, after a great deal of deliberations, apparently
21	come forward and basically change their mind and said, you
22	know what, I can't impose the death penalty under any
23	circumstance and they were excused.
24	I noticed that you too have thought about it
25	and sat through these proceedings and apparently it's your

1	belief now that you can, in fact, consider all of the
2	ranges of punishment?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Because I noted here that, at first,
5	just writing it down, you thought, well, I really can't
6	consider life with the possibility of parole, but now after
7	sitting and listening to what everyone has had to say, as
8	well as time, you believe that you are in a position that
9	you could consider all of the punishments?
10	A Yes.
11	MS. SILVER: Thank you.
12	We would pass for cause.
13	THE COURT: For the defense.
14	MR. EWING: Thank you, your Honor.
15	
16	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. EWING:
18	Q Ma'am, do you have a problem with
19	placing the burden on the State to prove their case beyond
20	a reasonable doubt?
21	A No.
22	Q Do you feel like you understand the
23	presumption of innocence?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Do you feel Like you are someone who

1	can assess the credibility of witnesses?
2	A I tend to believe everybody, so.
3	Q If someone gets on the witness stand
4	and states a fact or states something that they purport to
5	be a fact and nothing is offered to refute that fact, would
6	you tend to believe that fact?
7	A Yes.
8	Q You, a few moments ago, were discussing
9	people's life history. For example, drug and alcohol abuse
10	being an excuse for activities or actions. Do you
11	understand the difference between an excuse and an
12	explanation?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Do you understand the difference
15	between an excuse and a consideration?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Is it possible that things like alcohol
18	and drug abuse, although never an excuse, might be
19	something to be considered in a case such as this?
20	A No.
21	Q Do you think that it might be something
22	to strike that. Let me move on.
23	In the jury questionnaire, you indicated
24	that if a conviction for first degree murder was reached

1	Is that still your position?
2	A No, because I have heard too many I
3	have heard it explained. Before I didn't understand what
4	all of them meant.
5	Q And now you are in a position where you
6	will consider all options?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Would you say that you are someone who
9	would lean more towards a harsher sentence, such as the
10	death penalty, or life without the possibility of parole?
11	A If it's proven, yes.
12	Q If it's simply proven that's it's
13	murder in the first degree, do you think that would you
14	lean towards either life without the possibility of parole
15	or the death sentence?
16	A It depends what evidence there is.
17	Q So you realize the importance of facts
18	and circumstances of the case in reaching that conclusion?
19	A Yes.
20	MR. EWING: We would pass for cause.
21	THE COURT: All right, thank you.
22	Mr. Fitzgerald, good morning.
23	A Good morning.
24	THE COURT: Any reason you can think of why
25	you couldn't be fair to both sides?

1	A No.
2	THE COURT: Can you wait until all the
3	evidence is in before coming to any conclusion about any
4	issue in this case?
5	A Yes.
6	THE COURT: Have you, any member of your
7	family or any close friends of yours ever been arrested?
8	A I had a DUI about a dozen years ago.
9	THE COURT: Anything about that experience
10	that would effect your thinking here?
11	A No.
12	THE COURT: Have you, any member of your
13	family or close friends of yours ever been the victim of a
14	crime?
15	A No.
16	THE COURT: Ever been on a jury before?
17	A No.
18	THE COURT: Concerned about adverse public
19	opinion as a result of a verdict in this case?
20	A No.
21	THE COURT: Ever been involved in a court
22	proceeding as a witness or a party?
23	A Yeah.
24	THE COURT: Tell me about that.
25	A I got in a car accident once and I had
	PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1	to go to court about it.
2	THE COURT: Was that on a traffic ticket or
3	was it
4	A No, it was ~~
5	THE COURT: Personal injury case?
6	A It was a civil thing.
7	THE COURT: And you were able to go through
8	that process and there's nothing about it that would effect
9	your thinking here?
10	A Nope.
11	THE COURT: Any conscientious, religious or
12	moral objections to the imposition of the death penalty?
13	A No.
14	THE COURT: Can you give fair consideration
15	to all four forms of punishment if you are caused to
16	deliberate on that issue?
17	A Yes.
18	THE COURT: And if you were involved in this
19	case, whether you are a member of the defendant's family or
20	the defendant or you were a member of the victim's family
21	or a witness, anything like that, would you feel
22	comfortable with 12 jurors with your sense of fairness
23	sitting on the jury?
24	A Yeah.
25	THE COURT: State of Nevada may inquire.

1	MR. HARMON: Thank you.
2	
3	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. HARMON:
5	Q Good morning, sir.
6	If it was solely up to you, would we have
7	capital punishment in this state?
8	A I guess so, yeah.
9	Q You guess so?
10	A Yeah.
11	Q Why are you indicating that if you
12	could be solely responsible for that, that we would have it
13	on the etatutes?
14	A Well, I don't really have a better
15	plan. So, you know, the way that's laid out right now is
16	fine by me.
17	Q How do you feel about the opportunity
18	of serving as a juror on this type of case?
19	A How do I feel about it?
20	Q Yeah.
21	A I think it's going to be a long, slow
22	thing to have to do right now, you know. If I have to do
23	it, then I'll do it.
24	Q Well, in fact, as I remember, you
25	indicated that you had a lot of work to do and you were

1	very short on time?
2	A Yeah.
3	Q If you were selected, are you
4	convinced, Mr. Fitzgerald, that you can devote your full
5	attention to these proceedings while the Court is in
6	session?
7	A Yeah, yeah. My problem with any of it
8	right now is just scheduling. If I knew I was doing this
9	for three weeks, that would be great, then I'd know what I
10	was going to be doing. I have to keep making plans.
11	Q You are going to know reasonably soon.
12	A Each day my schedule is off and stuff.
13	Q We should have the jury today. So you
14	are going to know.
15	We occasionally encounter persons who just
16	don't like to make decisions and they particularly have a
17	difficult problem in passing judgment on other human
18	beings.
19	A I don't think I have that problem.
20	Q Excuse me?
21	A I don't think I have that problem.
22	Q Okay, good.
23	So you think, if called upon to decide, as a
24	juror, guilt or innocence, you could do that?
25	A Oh, yeah.

1	Q And if it happened to be murder of the
2	first degree and you had to impose a punishment, as you've
3	told the Court, you could consider the full range of
4	punishment for murder of the first degree?
5	A Correct.
6	Q And that includes a death sentence if
7	you thought that was proper?
8	A Correct.
9	MR. HARMON: Thank you.
10	Pass for cause.
11	THE COURT: For the defense.
12	MR. BROOKS: We'll pass for cause, Judge.
13	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
14	Ms. Hill, good morning.
15	A Good morning.
16	THE COURT: Any reason you can think of why
17	you couldn't be fair to both sides in this case?
18	A No.
19	THE COURT: Can you wait until all the
20	evidence is in before coming to any conclusion about any
21	issue in this case?
22	A Yes.
23	THE COURT: Have you, any member of your
24	family or any close friends of yours ever been arrested?
25	A My husband, before I knew him, before

1	we were married.
2	THE COURT: And what was the charge?
3	A Assault, fighting. He was kind of like
4	a punk when he was younger.
5	THE COURT: Has he grown out of that?
6	A Yeah, I hope so.
7	THE COURT: Anything about your knowledge of
8	that event in his life that would effect your thinking
9	here?
10	A No.
11	THE COURT: Have you, any member of your
12	family or any close friends of yours ever been the victim
13	of a crime?
14	A No.
15	THE COURT: Have you ever been on a jury
16	before?
17	A No.
18	THE COURT: Any concern about adverse public
19	opinion?
20	A No.
21	THE COURT: Ever been a party or participant
22	in a lawsuit?
23	A Lawsuit, no.
24	THE COURT: Or in a court proceeding?
25	A Witness.

1	THE COURT: Somebody else's case?
2	A Uh-huh.
3	THE COURT: Anything about that experience
4	that would effect your thinking here?
5	A No.
6	THE COURT: Any moral, conscientious or
7	religious objections to the death penalty?
8	A No.
9	THE COURT: If you were called upon to
10	deliberate on the issue of punishment, which again is only
11	going to occur in the event the defendant is to be
12	convicted of first degree murder, would you be able to give
13	fair consideration to all four statutory forms of
14	punishment?
15	A Yes.
16	THE COURT: If you were involved in this
17	case yourself, would you feel comfortable with 12 jurors
18	just like you?
19	A Yes.
20	THE COURT: The State may inquire.
21	MS. SILVER: Thank you.
22	• • •
23	• • •
24	• • •
25	• • •

1	VOIR DIRE BXAMINATION
2	BY MS. SILVER:
3	Q I see here in your questionnaire that
4	you are a 911 dispatcher?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Would it make a difference to you in
7	this case if you were to learn that the victim in this case
8	was a 911 dispatcher?
9	A No.
10	Q Would you have a problem maybe hearing
11	this case because you would put yourself in her position?
12	A No.
13	Q So you could put your experiences as a
14	911 dispatcher aside and keep an independent and open mind
15	in this case?
16	A You have to do that with every call you
17	take. Separate yourself from every call.
18	Q Do you feel that you should be you
19	should know better, as a 911 dispatcher, and get out of an
20	abusive relationship?
21	A I think a relationship is different.
22	Every person is different. Just because you hold a certain
23	job doesn't make you necessarily different from anyone else
24	in a different job, you know.
25	Q Do you think there is any occupation
	PAMSY K. SMYWH. OFFICTAL COURT REPORTER

1	for a woman that would set her apart or make her immune
2	from a perpetrator of domestic violence?
3	A No.
4	Q So any woman could be a potential
5	target in a relationship?
6	A Uh-huh.
7	Q You have to say yes or no for the
8	record.
9	A Yes.
10	Q As a 911 dispatcher, do you get calls
11	to the same location over and over again for domestic
12	violence?
13	A Yeah. Yes.
14	MR. BROOKS: I'm going to object. This is
15	going beyond the scope of voir dire.
16	MS. SILVER: I was just going to ask her how
17	she feels about that.
18	MR. BROOKS: I'm going to object. She is
19	testifying as an expert on 911 operators.
20	THE COURT: Sustained.
21	Q (BY MS. SILVER) You also state here
22	that you are a very impartial person and, as a 911
23	operator, you've learned that there can be two sides to a
24	story?
25	A Right.

1	Q Certainly in this case, we are going to
2	present facts, as the State, and you are going to obviously
3	hear from the defense attorneys as well. Have you ever
4	been in a position let me ask you this. Do you have
5	children?
6	A No.
7	Q Have you ever been in a position at
8	work where you have been a supervisor and had to make a
9	decision as to one side or which side to believe?
10	A Nothing to this extent, though.
11	Q Certainly, but in your day-to-day life?
12	A Oh, yes.
13	Q There can be times when
14	A Yes.
15	Q perhaps, for example, if you have
16	children?
17	A Uh-huh.
18	Q And you didn't see something that
19	happened, but you have to make a decision based on what
20	maybe each child tells you as to who is right and who is
21	wrong.
22	A Yes.
23	Q And in your everyday life, I use
24	children as an example, that's an easy one, but in your
25	life as a 911 operator or just even outside of work, are

1	you sometimes called upon to make a decision after
2	listening to both sides?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Are you the type of person that can
5	make that decision in looking at what's reasonable to you?
6	A I think I am.
7	Q And using your common sense?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Do you have any difficulty in making a
10	decision?
11	A No.
12	Q And, certainly, I'm not claiming that
13	any decision you make in this case would be easy.
14	Certainly it's a very serious matter. Are you willing to
15	take on that responsibility?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Can you consider all four forms of
18	punishment if this case was to go to a penalty phase and
19	the defendant is convicted of first degree murder?
20	A Yes.
21	MS. SILVER: Thank you.
22	I would pass this juror for cause.
23	THE COURT: All right, thank you.
24	For the defense.
25	

1	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. EWING:
3	Q Ms. Hill, do you recall filling out the
4	questionnaire?
5	A Yes.
6	Q You have been sitting here for quite
7	awhile. Have any of your opinions changed while you have
8	been sitting here versus what you wrote in the
9	questionnaire?
10	A I think some of the questions, I think,
11	especially on anything from the past, considerations of
12	I didn't know if you can do that in a trial or not, so.
13	Q Do you think that's important to
14	consider?
15	A Yeah, I think you should consider it.
16	Q And, obviously, the question dealing
17	with the statement the death penalty is appropriate in all
18	murders, you specifically mention, "It's only not
19	appropriate for self-defense?"
20	A Yes.
21	Q How is your opinion about that now?
22	A Well, if it's in self-defense, you are
23	not going to be up for first degree murder, right?
24	Q That's correct.
25	A So.

1	Q Do you feel like you could be a fair
2	juror?
3	A Yes.
4	Q What do you see your role as a juror to
5	be?
6	A To listen to both sides and come to the
7	decision on who is right and who is wrong on guilt or
8	innocence.
9	Q Do you have to wait until all the
10	evidence is heard?
11	A Yes.
12	Q So you are not going to make any
13	decisions when the State finishes their case, you are going
14	to wait and listen to all the evidence?
15	A Yes.
16	Q If you were in James' position, would
17	you like someone like you to be on the jury?
18	A Yes.
19	MR. EWING: Pass for cause.
20	THE COURT: All right, thank you.
21	We managed to clear this portion a little
22	faster than we had estimated. So, ladies and gentlemen,
23	what I'm going to do at this time is call a recess and ask
24	that you return to the courthouse at 2:00 this afternoon to
25	be ready for the final stages of jury selection and you

1	will not be asked to come back up here right away, but be
2	down in the jury holding area so we can summon you when we
3	complete the process of qualifying the last five
4	prospective jurors for cause.
5	Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, during the
6	recess it is your duty not to converse among yourselves or
7	with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial
8	or to read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary
9	on this trial or any person connected with this trial by
10	any medium of information, including, without limitation,
11	newspapers, television, or radio, and you are not to form
12	or express an opinion on any subject connected with this
13	case until it is finally submitted to you.
14	Also, please, as I understand it during jury
15	orientation, do not make any analysis or investigation on
16	this matter on your own. Do you visit the alleged scene of
17	any of the events that have been made mention of in this
18	case.
19	We will see you at 2:00. We will be at ease
20	while you depart the confines of the courtroom.
21	For those of you who have schedule issues,
22	there will be no proceedings tomorrow.
23	
24	(At this time the jury left the courtroom.)
25	

1	THE COURT: All right, how many do we have
2	in the second pool?
3	(Off the record discussion not reported.)
4	THE COURT: I was just sitting there
5	thinking that, perhaps, with five to go, we don't need to
6	call all 90 all 70 of the prospective jurors back. Do
7	you think 30 prospective jurors is sufficient?
8	MR. HARMON: Of course, yes.
9	THE COURT: Maybe what we will do is call
10	the first 35 and excuse the other 35.
11	MR. HARMON: That's fine, your Honor.
12	THE COURT: All right. The other thing
13	is
14	(Off the record discussion not reported.)
15	MR. HARMON: Did the Court just make a
16	comment about scheduling tomorrow?
17	THE COURT: Yes, there is going to be no
18	proceedings tomorrow, as you know. Remember when we
19	started this, I indicated to you
20	MR. HARMON: I thought that was the 16th and
21	not tomorrow.
22	THE COURT: Let's go off the record. We
23	don't need to burden the record with this discussion.
24	We're in recess at this point.

25

1		(Off the record at 11:20 a.m.)
2		
3		* * * * *
4		
5	ATTEST:	FULL, TRUE AND ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS.
6		0 18.
7		Taty homes
8		PATSY K. SMITH, C.C.R. #190
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

FILED DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADART 9 8 35 AM '96 3 THE STATE OF NEVADA 5 PLAINTIFF, 6 CASE NO. C131341 VS. DEPT. NO VII DOCKET "P" 7 JAMES MONTELL CHAPPELL, 8 DEFENDANT. 9 10 11 12 13 JURY LIST 9. BRUCE TODD LARSEN 14 2. DENISE WRIGHT PARR 11. MARK GREGORY MASSAR 15 3. KENNETH EDWARD GRITIS 12. DANNA TERRY YATES 16 4. JERRY WAYNE EWELL 13. GLENN EUGENE FITTRO 17 5. CHERYL LYNN WELLS 15. KENNETH ROY FITZGERALD 18 7. JIM BLAKE TRIPP 8. KELLYANNE BENTLEY TAYLOR 16. WENDY LYNN HILL 19 ALTERNATES: 1. CELESTINA CECILIA LUCIDO 20 6. DAVID JOHN MESNARD 21 10. MICHAEL JOSEPH SWARTZ 22 23 14. LOIS J. OCHOA 24 25 26 27 28

lieu,

FILED IN OPEN COURT 1 **S&O** OCT 1 0 1996 19 STEWART L. BELL DISTRICT ATTORNEY Nevada Bar #000477 3 200 S. Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 455-4711 Attorney for Plaintiff 5 DISTRICT COURT 6 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 7 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA. 9 Plaintiff, 10 Case No. C131341 -VS-Dept. No. VΠ JAMES MONTELL CHAPPELL. 11 Docket #1212860 12 13 Defendant(s). 14 15 16 STIPULATION TO CERTAIN FACTS 17 COMES NOW, the Defendant, by and through his attorneys, HOWARD S. BROOKS, ESQ., 18 and WILLARD N. EWING, ESQ., and the State of Nevada, through STEWART L. BELL, Clark 19 County District Attorney, by and through MELVYN T. HARMON, Chief Deputy District Attorney, and 20 ABBI SILVER, Deputy District Attorney, do hereby Stipulate to certain facts relevant to the litigation of this criminal case. 21 22 1. That James Chappell on August 31, 1995, entered the trailer rented to Deborah Panos 23 through a window; 24 2. That James Chappell engaged in sexual intercourse with Deborah Panos on August 31, 25 1995, and 26 3. That James Chappell caused the death of Deborah Panos by stabbing her with a kitchen 27 knife and the act was not an accident. 28 111

CE31

Page: 844\_\_\_\_

1	4. That James Chappell was jealous	s of Deborah Panos because he believed she was giving
2	attention to or receiving attentio	
3	DATED this 10th day of October, 199	96.
4 5	STEWART L. BELL DISTRICT ATTORNEY	MORGAN D. HARRIS PUBLIC DEFENDER
6	By Millyw ) : Damen	By Some & Som
7	MELVYN T. HARMON	HOWARD S. BROOKS
8	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #000362	Deputy Public Defender Nevada Bar #003374
9		
10		
11	STEWART L. BELL DISTRICT ATTORNEY	MORGAN D. HARRIS PUBLIC DEFENDER
12		I OBDIC DEFENDER
13	By Abbi Duner	By ZIA
14	ABBI SILVER	WILLARD N. EWING Deputy Public Defender
15	Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #003813	Nevada Bar #003942
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

i:\mvu\orders\chappell.s&o\kjh

1	DISTRICT COURT			
2	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA FILED IN OPEN COURT			
3	ORIGINAL * * * * * * * * * * * LORETTA BOWMAN, CLERK			
4	THE STATE OF NEVADA, BY IN THE STATE OF NEVADA,			
5	Plaintiff, ) CASE NO. C131341			
6	Vs ) DEPT. NO. VII			
7	JAMES MONTELL CHAPPELL, ) DOCKET P			
8	Defendant.			
9	Detendant. ,			
10				
11				
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE:			
13	A. WILLIAM MAUPIN DISTRICT JUDGE			
14	THURSDAY, OCTOBER 10, 1996, 10:20 A.M.			
15				
16	VOLUME III - MORNING SESSION			
17				
18	APPEARANCES:  FOR THE STATE:  MELVYN T. HARMON &			
19	FOR THE STATE: MELVYN T. HARMON & ABBI SILVER Deputies District Attorney			
20	peburies practice weretural			
21	FOR THE DEFENDANT: HOWARD S. BROOKS & WILLARD N. EWING			
22	Deputies Public Defender			
23				
24				
25	REPORTED BY: PATSY K. SMITH, C.C.R. #190			



7	<u>TMDRX</u>	
2		PAGE
3		
4	STATE'S WITNESSES	
5		
6		
7	LISA DURAN	
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HARMON	53
9		
LO		
L <b>1</b>		
L2		
L3		
L <b>4</b>		
<b>L</b> 5		
L6		
۱7		
18		
L9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Page: 84'	7		

1	THURSDAY, OCTOBER 10, 1996, 10:20 A.M.
2	THE COURT: C131341, State of Nevada versus
3	James Montell Chappell.
4	The record will reflect the presence of the
5	defendant represented by his counsel, State of Nevada
6	represented by the Deputy District Attorneys.
7	We are outside the presence of the jury. Is
8	there a matter the parties would like to bring up?
9	MR. BROOKS: Judge, the defense would like
10	to make a record on one particular issue here before we
11	start trial. We are on the issue now where the Court made
12	a ruling to certain evidence and we want to continue our
13	objection to it. However
14	THE COURT: Do you want a continuing
15	objection?
16	MR. BROOKS: I suspect we will need to
17	object during the trial.
18	THE COURT: Why?
19	MR. BROOKS: If we still feel the foundation
20	is not proper.
21	THE COURT: Well, for those reasons, but
22	your objections are protected.
23	MR. BROOKS: Thank you, Judge.
24	Also, Judge, we want the record to reflect
25	that, as a matter of trial tactics, we will now be taking a

\_\_\_\_\_ Page: 848\_\_\_\_\_\_

1 course that does not necessarily challenge the actual facts 2 the State will be introducing. We do contest their 3 admissibility. However, during the course of the trial, we 4 5 will not be necessarily contesting some of these actual facts and, as a matter of course, we would like the record 6 to reflect this does not necessarily mean we concur in 7 8 their admission, but rather, as a matter of trial tactics, 9 we are not challenging them. 10 THE COURT: But at this point I'm not 11 certain I know what you are talking about. MR. BROOKS: What I'm say saying, Judge, we 12 do contest and oppose the admission of prior bad acts 13 14 evidence. 15 THE COURT: Right. 16 MR. BROOKS: However, during the course of this trial, we are not going to contest that these acts 17 18 actually occurred. 19 THE COURT: Well, you filed a stipulation to 20 the fact that many of these occurred. 21 MR. BROOKS: No, we have filed a stipulation concerning the events on August 31st. As far as the actual 22 23 prior bad acts occurring --24 THE COURT: Oh, I see.

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

25

Page: 849 \_\_\_\_\_

MR. BROOKS: What we're saying here --

1	THE COURT: As a matter of trial tactics,
2	while you are contesting their admissibility, you will not
3	necessarily be contesting whether they occurred or not?
4	MR. BROOKS: Correct, and we want the record
5	to reflect this because we don't want some reviewing court
6	in the future saying we waived everything by not contesting
7	whether or not they actually happened.
8	THE COURT: All right, very well.
9	Let's bring in the jury.
LO	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, one other matter
11	before we do that and it's related to the last presentation
L2	of counsel.
13	They offered to stipulate on the record
L <b>4</b>	earlier and we accepted the stipulation. We prepared a
<b>L</b> 5	formal stipulation which has been signed by all the
L6	attorneys.
۱7	THE COURT: Would you like to have it filed
L <b>8</b>	in open court
L <b>9</b>	MR. HARMON: We would like it filed at this
20	time.
21	THE COURT: and make it a part of the
22	record at this time.
23	All right, this stipulation to certain facts
24	will be made part of this record at this time and can be
25	relied upon at any time during the trial by the parties.

\_\_\_\_\_\_ Page: 850\_\_\_\_\_

1	MR. BROOKS: Thank you, Judge.
2	THE COURT: Shall we bring in the jury?
3	MR. HARMON: Yes.
4	THE COURT: All right.
5	
6	(At this time the jury entered the
7	courtroom.)
8	
9	THE COURT: Realizing that there is no such
10	thing as first class leg space in coach in the jury box,
11	how many of you would like to see if you can trade with a
12	member in the back row?
13	You, sir? It's not one of those speak now
14	or forever hold your peace, but if you are uncomfortable
15	the way you are seated
16	A VOICE: Just trying to see if it works.
17	THE COURT: You are all right for the time
18	being?
19	A VOICE: Yes.
20	THE COURT: If it becomes a serious problem,
21	we will work something out, but people with longer legs
22	often have a problem in this jury box.
23	Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.
24	THE JURY: (In Unison) Good morning.
25	THE COURT: At this time I'm going to read

1 to you the pretrial Jury Instructions. Again, I would like to extemporize, but these points have to be specifically 2 made and, therefore, I'll read to you these carefully 3 4 prepared Jury Instructions. 5 Ladies and gentlemen, you are admonished that no juror may declare to a fellow juror any fact 6 relating to this case of his or her own knowledge and if 7 any juror discovers during the trial or after the jury has 8 retired, that he, she or any other juror has personal 9 10 knowledge of any fact in controversy in this case, he or 11 she shall disclose such situation to me in the absence of 12 the other jurors. 13 This means that if you learn during the 14 course of this trial that you were acquainted with the 15 facts of this case or the witnesses and you have not previously told me of this relationship, you must then 16 declare that fact to me. You would communicate to the 17 Court through one of the bailiffs. 18 19 Now, ladies and gentlemen, it does occur, 20 from time to time, that a witness will take the stand and a member of the jury will recognize the face, even though 21 they didn't recognize the name. Usually, if you didn't 22 remember the name, it's simply an acquaintanceship and it 23 24 doesn't have an effect, but if you do see someone you 25 recognize, tell the bailiff and we will work it out. It's

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1	usually not a problem.
2	During this trial, the Court, Court
3	personnel, and members of the parties, other than the
4	bailiff, may not speak to you. They are bound by ethics
5	and the law not to talk to you. To do so might contaminat
6	your verdict.
7	You are admonished additionally that you ar
8	not to visit the scene of any of the acts or occurrences
9	made mention of during this trial unless specifically
10	directed to do so by the Court.
11	Ladies and gentlemen, what I now say is
12	intended to serve as an introduction to the trial of this
13	case. It is not a substitute for the detailed instruction
14	on the law which I will give you at the close of the case
15	and before you retire to consider your verdict.
16	This is a criminal case commenced by the
17	State of Nevada, which I may refer to sometimes as the
18	State, against James Montell Chappell. The case is based
19	on an Information, which is the charging document. The
20	clerk will now read the Information to the ladies and
21	gentlemen of the jury and state the plea of the defendant
22	to that Information.
23	
24	(At this time, the clerk read the
25	Information, the list of witnesses, and

\_\_\_\_\_\_Page: 853

1	the defendant's pleas to the jury.)
2	
3	THE COURT: This case is based upon the
4	Information, which has been read to you by the clerk. You
5	should distinctly understand that the Information is simply
6	a charge and that it's not, in any sense, evidence of the
7	allegations it contains.
8	The defendant has pled not guilty to the
9	Information. The State, therefore, has the burden of
10	proving each of the essential elements of the Information
11	beyond a reasonable doubt. The purpose of this trial is to
12	determine whether the State will meet that burden. It is
13	your primary responsibility, as jurors, to find and
14	determine the facts.
15	Under our system of criminal procedure, you
16	are the sole judge of the facts. You are to determine the
17	facts from the testimony you hear and the other evidence,
18	including exhibits introduced in court. It is up to you to
L9	determine the inferences which you feel may be properly
20	drawn from the evidence.
21	The parties may sometimes present objections
22	to some of the testimony or other evidence. At times, I
:3	may sustain such objections or direct that you disregard
24	certain testimony or exhibits. You must not consider any
25	evidence to which an objection has been sustained or which

-----Page: 854

1 I have instructed you to disregard. It is the duty of a lawyer to object to evidence which he or she believes may 2 3 not properly be offered and you should not be prejudiced in any way against the attorney who makes objections on behalf 4 5 of the party he or she represents. I may also find it necessary to admonish the 6 attorneys and if I do, you should not show prejudice 7 against or towards a lawyer or his or her client because I 8 9 have found it necessary to enter an admonishment. 10 If you cannot hear a witness, please raise 11 your hand as an indication. If you wish, you may take notes to help you 12 13 remember what the witnesses said. If you do take notes, please keep them to yourself until you and your fellow 14 jurors go to the jury room and decide the case. Do not let 15 16 note-taking distract you so you do not hear the other 17 answers by the witnesses. You should rely upon your own 18 memory of what was said and not be overly influenced by the 19 notes of other jurors. This case will proceed in the following 20 order. First, the State may make an opening statement 21 22 outlining its case. The defendant may also make an opening 23 statement. This is usually done after the State's opening statement, although, with permission of the Court, the 24 25 defendant can reserve his opening statement until the

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1	conclusion of the State's case. Neither party is required
2	to make an opening statement.
3	Open statements are like a road map. They
4	serve as an introduction to the evidence which the party
5	making the statement intends to prove. Then the State will
6	introduce evidence. This initial presentation is called
7	the State's case in chief. At the conclusion of the
8	State's evidence, the defendant has the right to introduce
9	evidence. However, the defendant is not obligated to
10	present any evidence or to prove his innocence.
11	The law never imposes on a defendant in a
12	criminal case the burden of calling any witnesses or
13	introducing any evidence. If the defendant puts on a case,
14	this is called the defense's case in chief. At the close
15	of the defendant's case, the State may introduce rebuttal
16	evidence.
17	At the conclusion of all the evidence, I
18	will instruct you on the law. You must not be concerned
19	with the wisdom of any rule of law stated in these
20	Instructions or in the Instructions which I will read to
21	you after the evidence regardless of any opinion you may
22	have as to what the law ought to be. It would be a
23	violation of your oath to base a verdiot upon any other
24	view of the law than that given you by me.
25	After the Instructions on the law are read

1 to you, each party has the opportunity to argue orally in 2 support of his or her case. This is called closing argument. What is said in closing argument is not 3 4 evidence. The arguments are designed to summarize and interpret the evidence for you. Since the State has the 5 6 burden of proving the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, the State has the right to open and close the final 7 arguments. 8 After the attorneys have presented their arguments, you will retire to select a foreperson, 10 deliberate, and arrive at your verdict. 11 Faithful performance by you of your duties 12 is vital to the administration of justice. It is your duty 13 to determine the facts and to determine them from the 14 evidence and the reasonable inferences arising from such 15 16 evidence and in so doing, you must not indulge in guesswork or speculation. 17 The evidence which you are to consider 18 consists of the testimony of witnesses and the exhibits 19 admitted in evidence. The term witness means anyone who 20 21 testifies in person or by deposition including the parties. The admission of evidence in court is governed by 22 rules of law. From time to time, it may be the duty of the 23 attorneys to make objections and my duty, as Judge, to rule 24 25 on those objections and whether you can consider certain

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1	evidence. You must not concern yourself with the
2	objections or the Court's reasons for those rulings. You
3	must not consider testimony or exhibits to which an
4	objection was sustained or which has been ordered
5	stricken.
6	Further, you must not consider anything
7	which you may have seen or heard when the Court is not in
8	session, even if what you see or hear is done or said by
9	one of the parties or by one of the witnesses.
10	There are two kinds of evidence, direct and
11	circumstantial. Direct evidence is testimony by a witness
12	about what that witness personally saw or heard or did.
13	Circumstantial evidence is testimony or exhibits which are
14	proof of a particular fact from which, if proven, you can
15	infer the existence of a second fact. You may consider
16	both direct and circumstantial evidence in deciding this
17	case. The law permits you to give equal weight to both,
18	but it is for you to decide how much weight to give any
19	evidence.
20	Ladies and gentlemen, at some point during
21	the trial you may hear us refer to a concept known as
22	competence. We may refer to a witness as competent or
23	incompetent to say something. When we are referring to a
24	witness' competence or incompetence, we are not commenting
25	upon their mental stage or whether they are mentally ill or

1	mentally infirm. Competence ass part of our doctrine of
2	our rules of evidence that forms the bedrock of all the
3	other rules of evidence.
4	Competence simply means or equates to the
5	eligibility of a witness to take the stand. There are four
6	elements of a competent witness. First, the witness must
7	be able to understand the oath, taken the oath; must have
8	perceived something relevant to the case; three, must have
9	a memory of that perception, and, four, must be able to
LO	communicate that memory of the perception while under
11	oath.
l2	The oath in past times had a religious
L3	significance. It no longer does. It is simply an
4	affirmation by the witness that the witness simply
15	understands the importance of the proceedings and of the
l <b>6</b>	necessity of testifying truthfully in the courtroom.
L <b>7</b>	So, again, competence is not a negative
18	comment about the witness or the witness' character or the
<b>.</b> 9	witness' mental state. It is simply a concept that has to
20	do with whether the witness is eligible to testify. Again,
21	the oath, perception of something relevant, memory of that
22	perception, and the ability to communicate it.
23	I thought you might want to know about that
24	because when it goes on, it might be a little more
) F.	interesting for you to follow the trial if you understand

\_\_\_\_Page: 859

-	chac notion and, also, you won't have any misperceptions
2	from discussions that will be had in your presence.
3	Opening statements and closing arguments of
4	the attorneys are intended to help you in understanding the
5	evidence and applying the law, but they are not evidence.
6	You are not to concern yourself in any way
7	with the sentence that the defendant might receive if you
8	should find the defendant guilty during this phase of the
9	trial. Your function is solely to determine, during this
10	phase, whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty of the
11	charges in the Information. If and only if you find the
12	defendant guilty of first degree murder, then you would
13	move onto that issue, as we discussed in jury selection.
14	The sentence on any other charge would be up to the Court
15	to decide if a guilty verdict on any other charge is
16	rendered.
17	You must not be influenced in any degree by
18	any personal feeling of sympathy for or prejudice against
19	any party to this suit, for each party is entitled to the
20	same fair and impartial consideration.
21	No statement, ruling, remark or facial
22	expression which I may make during the course of the trial
23	is intended to indicate my opinion as to what the facts
24	are. You are to determine the facts. In this
25	determination, you alone must decide upon the believability

----Page: 860

1	of the evidence and its weight and its value.
2	In considering the weight and value of the
3	testimony of any witness, you may take into consideration
4	the appearance, attitude, and behavior of the witness, the
5	interest of the witness in the outcome of this suit, the
6	relation of the witness to any parties to this suit, the
7	inclination of the witness to speak truthfully or not, the
8	probability or improbability of the witness' statements,
9	and all other facts and circumstances in evidence. Thus,
10	you may give the testimony of any witness just such weight
11	and value as you may believe the testimony of such witness
12	is entitled to receive.
13	The Court may, during the trial, take notes
14	of the witnesses' testimony. You are not to make any
15	inference from that action. I'm required to prepare for
16	oral arguments of counsel during the trial and for that
17	reason, I may take extensive notes.
18	Again, let me remind you that until this
19	case is submitted to you, do not talk to each other about
20	it or with anyone who has anything to do with it until the
21	end of the case, when you go to the jury room to decide on
22	your verdict.
23	Do not talk with anyone else about this case
24	or with anyone who has anything to do with it until the
25	trial has ended and you have been discharged as jurors.

-----Page: 861

1	Anyone else includes members of your family
2	and your friends. You may tell them that you are a juror
3	in a criminal case, but don't tell them anything else about
4	it until after you have been discharged by the Court.
5	Do not let anyone talk to you about the case
6	or with anyone who has anything to do with it. If someone
7	should try to talk to you, please report it to me
8	immediately by contacting the bailiff. Do not read any
9	news stories or articles or listen to any radio or
10	television reports about the case or with anyone who has
11	anything to do with it. Do not do any research or make any
12	investigation about the case on your own. Do not make up
13	your mind about what the verdict should be until after you
14	have gone to the jury room to decide the case and you and
15	your fellow jurors have discussed the evidence. It is
16	important that you keep an open mind.
17	At the end of the trial, you will be able to
18	make your decision based upon what you recall of the
19	evidence. You will not have a written transcript to
20	consult and it is difficult and time consuming for the
21	reporter to read back lengthy testimony. I urge you to pay
22	close attention to the testimony as it is given.
23	Ladies and gentlemen, at this time we will
24	commence with the opening statement by the State of
25	Nevada.

<del>....Page</del>÷₋ 862

1	Ms. Silver.
2	MS. SILVER: Thank you.
3	THE COURT: If you wish the podium, it will
4	be brought forward.
5	MS. SILVER: No, I don't need it. Thank
6	you.
7	THE COURT: Again, ladies and gentlemen,
8	before this commences, you are welcomed to again bring
9	coffee or Coca Cola into the courtroom and you have water,
10	if you need it, and if at any time you need a break, just
11	raise your hand.
12	All right.
13	MS. SILVER: May it please the Court and
14	counsel and members of the jury, good morning, ladies and
15	gentlemen. As the Judge has just told you, opening
16	statements, they are like a table of contents or a road
17	map. Sometimes evidence can be presented at trial in bits
18	and pieces. Sometimes we have to take witnesses out of
19	chronological order or what you'd call chronological order
20	and that's because in real life, unlike TV, there may be
21	instances where there could be witness scheduling conflicts
22	or, in this case, out of state witnesses. For whatever
23	reason, sometimes beyond the control of the State, we have
24	to take a witness out of what you might deem a
25	chronological order to the events. So, for that reason, we

-----Page: 863

1	are able at this time to give you opening statements so
2	that you are going to understand the big picture and you
3	are going to understand each witness, as they testify, and
4	you'll know what to look for as far as their testimony and
5	how it fits into that overall picture.
6	Now, as the Judge has just told you, opening
7	statements or comments or arguments to the jury, it's not
8	evidence in this case. The only evidence that you are to
9	consider will come to you by way of testimony by the
10	witnesses or exhibits which you will take back during your
11	deliberations.
12	This case is about Deborah Panos. This case
13	is about a young 26 year old woman, a mother of three very
14	small children at the time of her untimely demise. This
15	case is about one woman's attempts through the police,
16	through a restraining order, her continual attempts to try
17	and separate herself, to distance herself from this
18	defendant and his violence and his violence toward her.
19	This case will answer the question to all of you, why
20	victims in domestic violence stay with a person who is
21	continually violent to them.
22	MR. BROOKS: I'm going to object to
23	society's adaptations here, Judge.
24	THE COURT: Overruled.
25	MA ATTUMBER OF THE STATE OF THE
	MS. SILVER: You will answer that question

Page: 864 \_\_\_\_\_\_

1 because for Deborah Panos, the decision to leave, the 2 decision to say I'm not going to take your violence, that 3 decision was a deadly decision. That was a decision that 4 cost Deborah Panos her life. It was a decision, it was her 5 last decision. It's a decision that made this defendant 6 beat Deborah Panos all over her body, all over her face, 7 and when that wasn't good enough, he retrieved a knife with an eight and a half inch blade and he took that knife and 8 9 he plunged it into her throat and into her chest and he 10 stabbed every last breath out of Deborah Panos. He sliced her jugular vein, both of her carotid arteries. This is a 11 12 case where, when the defendant was released from jail for 13 domestic violence for a battery which he committed on Deborah, he murdered her within two hours of his release. 14 The evidence will show you, ladies and 15 gentlemen, in this case Deborah met this defendant when she 16 17 was approximately 16 years old. She was in high school 18 when they began to date and by the time she was approximately 18, she became pregnant with the defendant's 19 child. You will learn that this defendant never married 20 Deborah Panos and, in fact, he later fathered two other 21 22 children that belonged to Deborah. They began dating in Lansing, Michigan and, at some point, Deborah moved to 23 Tucson, Arizona to be with her mother there and, of course, 24 the defendant followed. 25

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

-Page: 865 ---

1	While living in Tucson, Deborah Panos worked
2	and one of the places she worked was the Tucson Police
3	Department. She was a 911 operator for the Tucson Police
4	Department. She always worked. She some times worked two
5	jobs, you see, because the defendant, you will learn, never
6	worked. Excuse me, one time he did work as a dishwasher
7	for about a week.
8	MR. BROOKS: I'm going to object to the
9	characterizations, your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Sustained.
11	The jury is to disregard that portion of the
12	opening argument. Also, counsel, your opening statement is
L3	bordering on argument and I would request that you confine
L4	your remarks to an opening statement.
L5	MS. SILVER: Thank you.
16	The evidence will show, ladies and
L7	gentlemen, that they lived together in Tucson in a trailer
L <b>8</b>	and that by February 23rd of 1994, Deborah Panos contacted
L9	the Tucson Police Department, contacted some off duty
20	officer who eventually dispatched a on duty Tucson police
21	officer. That officer is Officer Earnst and when Officer
22	Earnst talked to Deborah, she learned that Deborah had just
23	fled the residence or the trailer and, again, this was back
24	in February of 1994. She had fled with the children, the
25	three children and, as she told Officer Earnst this, she

1

was crying and she was upset and she explained that how, 1 2 when she came home from work that day, that she had found that the defendant had sold the children's furniture and 3 4 when she began to cry, the defendant threw her down on the ground and when she further cried and tried to get up from 5 6 the ground, this defendant kicked her continually about her body and so, at some point, Deborah Panos was able to make 7 8 her way out of that trailer with her children to a nearby 9 grocery store, which she knew, from the police department, employed an officer that apparently was a uniformed officer 10 11 that would work there at the store, who eventually 12 contacted Officer Earnst. Now Officer Earnst recognized 13 that she was very upset and she told her, you know, "Here's 14 my beeper number. If you are embarrassed to call the 15 police, here, take my beeper number," and she took that 16 number. Officer Barnst and a partner by the name of 17 Officer Vernon went to the location at the trailer and 18 19 there they eventually found the defendant sitting and 20 watching TV and they arrested him for a battery, domestic 21 violence, and she will describe his attitude as quite cocky. 22 23 Now, during this time period of February 24 1994 to about September of 1994, when she eventually moved 25 to Las Vegas, during about that six or seven month time

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

Page: 867\_\_\_\_\_

period, you'll learn from another witness by the name of 1 Dina Freeman that she received a couple of very scary phone 2 calls from Deborah. You see, Dina Freeman was a co-worker 3 at the police department. She was a dispatcher and 4 5 apparently there is some differences. Deborah would 6 receive the 911 call and ultimately give it to the 7 dispatcher with the police department who would then contact the police, but, in any event, they became friends 8 9 through work and because Dina was also a mother of one child, they began to do many things outside of work as well 10 11 and they became very good friends. One phone call in 12 particular, Dina recalls that Deborah called her up 13 hysterically crying and said that the defendant had just 14 jumped on her and she could hear the defendant screaming in 15 the background, he didn't care what she did. If she ever F'd around on him, he would kill her ass. 16 17 There was another phone call that Dina 18 recalls and that was approximately August of 1994, about a month before she moved here to Las Vegas, and in that phone 19 call, Deborah called upset again, crying, and in that phone 20 21 call she could hear the defendant in the background saying, 22 "Give me money or give me the car. I know you are F'inq around on me. You are not going over to Dina's house for 23 nothing. I'm going to do an OJ Simpson on you," or on your 24

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

Page: 868

ass, something to that effect.

25

1	And, finally, Dina recalls one other
2	instance in November of 1994, by the time she moved here to
3	Las Vegas, where again she called her up crying, Deborah
4	did, and she could hear the defendant in the background
5	stating he wanted money or he wanted her car and that he
6	was going to do an OJ on her.
7	Deborah moved here with the three children
8	in or approximately September of 1994. She resided in a
9	trailer and you'll learn that that address is 839 North
10	Nellis, space number 125. It's the Ballerina Mobile Home
11	Park here in Las Vegas and she brought the three children.
12	The defendant again followed her. She also had a vehicle.
13	It was a four door Toyota Corolla that she had and, again,
14	she found work quite quickly at the General or G.E.,
15	some kind of place where she did accounting and bookkeeping
16	type of work, collections type of work.
17	She also placed the children into Angel
18	Angel's Day Care Center and you'll learn that, at least at
19	the time of Deborah's death, that there was the oldest
20	child, James Panos, that child was about seven, Anthony
21	Panos, about five years of age, and Chantell Panos, about
22	three years of age. They all had Deborah's name with the
23	last name of Panos. None of them had the last name of
24	Chappell. And significantly enough, Deborah made sure at
25	the Angel Day Care Center that she was the only one who

Page: 869 \_\_\_\_\_

1

1 could have those children released to her. The defendant was not on that list. 2 3 MR. BROOKS: I'm going to object to this 4 argument as irrelevant. THE COURT: Well, maybe it will be or won't 5 I don't know. Overruled. 6 be. 7 MS. SILVER: Thank you. And she made sure of that. 8 Around December, just before Christmas, of 9 1994, you'll learn from a witness by the name of Lisa Duran 10 about another incident. Lisa Duran was a co-worker at G.E. 11 with Deborah and Deborah made a lot of friends. 12 friends where she worked, even here in Las Vegas, and 13 you'll learn that eventually later on, Lisa at some point 14 15 even lived with her, but for the time being, in December of 1994, Lisa observed an incident where, while at work, she 16 looked out the window of the business and saw the defendant 17 and Deborah in Deborah's vehicle together and she could see 18 the defendant screaming and yelling at Deborah and Deborah 19 20 was just crying and upset and looking down and at some point, this defendant -- she watched this defendant slap 21 her with an open hand across her face and, thereafter, 22 Deborah Panos got out of the vehicle and went into work and 23 nothing was ever said about this incident. 24

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

By January, January 9th of 1995, the Las

Page:...870

25

1 Vegas Metropolitan Police Department police was dispatched 2 to Ballerina Mobile Home Park to Deborah's trailer, but by the time Metro got there, the ambulance was already there 3 and by the time Officer Giersdorf went to investigate a 4 domestic battery call, he was met by paramedics and after 5 6 receiving information from the paramedics, he went over to 7 look at the victim and there he saw Deborah Panos on a 8 stretcher in the Mercy ambulance getting ready to be taken 9 to the UMC Trauma Center for a broken nose and when he 10 looked at her, she had blood covering her entire face. 11 had blood in her hair. She had blood throughout her ears. Her nose had been busted open and was bleeding profusely 12 and she had lacerations on her nose and she had lacerations 13 on her forehead and, as she lied there on that stretcher, 14 Officer Giersdorf asked her, "What happened," and she had 15 16 trouble telling him because, you see, she couldn't breathe out of her nose because of the blood and she was gurgling 17 on her own blood as she told him that the defendant got 18 19 angry at her and that the defendant punched her in the face and so Officer Giersdorf went to the trailer and found this 20 21 defendant, James Chappell, sitting in a recliner watching television and when Officer Giersdorf asked him, "What's 22 your side of the story," the defendant nonchalantly told 23 him, yeah, he had hit her with a cup in the face; he threw 24 25 a cup at her face, plastic cup. The defendant was arrested

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1

again for battery, domestic violence, and taken to jail. 1 2 Deborah, of course, was taken to the UMC 3 Trauma Center where Dr. McCourt will tell you that he 4 observed pretty much the same as the officers and for some reason, because perhaps it's UMC, there's not much they can 5 do for a broken nose. They have to go to a cosmetic 6 7 doctor. It's nothing he can take care of as an emergency room doctor. It's very limited and so when he asked her 8 9 what happened so he could treat her, she told him that she 10 had been punched several times by this defendant and he had 11 beaten her in the past, but never this badly and so the doctor stitched up her nose and he stitched up her forehead 12 13 and the blood was cleaned from her face and she was 14 bandaged. 15 Deborah Panos that evening made a decision to call what's called TADC. It's called Temporary 16 Assistance for Domestic Crisis. It is a battered woman's 17 18 shelter. It assists women like Deborah in an emergency 19 basis and gives them assistance and she contacted that shelter and made contact with a woman by the name of Tonya 20 21 Hobson, who is a social worker there, and she caused to be 22 prepared an order. It's called a temporary protective order against domestic violence; a restraining order, if 23 24 you will, and that restraining order was prepared with the information given by Deborah and, in fact, a District Court 25

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

Page: 872-

1 judge signed that order preventing the defendant from 2 coming around Deborah at the Ballerina Mobile Home Court. 3 preventing the defendant from going to her work at G.E., preventing the defendant from going to Angel Day Care where 4 the children were. He was to stay away from her. He was 5 6 supposed to stay away from her. 7 By June 1st of 1995, within a couple months. 8 the police again were dispatched to the Ballerina Mobile Home Court and this would be the last time that they were 9 dispatched and they were dispatched on a 911 call from 10 11 Deborah's roommate Clair, a woman who had begun to live 12 with her during this time period, and she was a friend of 13 hers from Tucson, Arizona. In any event, Officer Williams arrived at 14 the location and he found Deborah very upset, crying, and 15 16 Deborah told him that the defendant had become angry, that 17 the defendant had thrown her down onto the bed, that he had 18 gotten on top of her, put his body over hers, put his knees on her elbows, on her arms as she laid back in the bed, and 19 20 at some point he took out a knife and he was threatening her with this knife and apparently, for some reason, her 21 22 roommate Clair knocked on the door. The police came for some reason. The defendant was apprehended and he was 23 again arrested for battery, domestic violence, and he was 24 25 taken to jail.

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

Page: 873\_\_\_\_

1	There's a time period between June of 1995
2	and August 31st of 1995 and you are going to see some
3	letters that were written by the defendant from jail and,
4	you see, those letters were found scattered all over
5	Deborah's residence when she was found murdered on August
6	31st of 1995 and in those letters that were recovered from
7	the police, you will see you will read what the
8	defendant wrote to her and some of the letters began how
9	much he loved her and missed her and the children and how
10	sorry he was that the violence had become what it was and
11	he promised he wouldn't be violent with her.
12	Promises, promises, promises and you'll be
13	able to see those letters and some of these letters
14	continue onto where he starts calling her a slut and are
15	you easy, Debbie, and what other and these are his words
16	not mine, "What other niggers are you with, are you taking
17	it from," in a sexual connotation. Very, very degrading to
18	this woman, the mother of his three children, and you will
19	see those letters and you will see the anger.
20	During this time period as well and one
21	other thing you will see in the letters is the defendant is
22	mad because she's not going to jail to visit him. Why
23	aren't you coming to jail to visit me? Why aren't you
24	accepting my calls from jail? Why? Why are you avoiding
25	me? Why are you being distant?

\_\_\_\_Page: 874

1	During this time period, the defendant did
2	make phone calls to Deborah and, as I've stated before,
3	there was a period there in which Lisa Duran either lived
4	with her or the defendant called Lisa Duran's residence
5	where she was staying in an attempt to try and find Deborah
6	and in some of the phone calls that he made and he made
7	probably about five or seven, which were either left on her
8	machine, Lisa's machine, or where he actually talked with
9	her. On one of the phone calls, the defendant called and
10	asked where Deborah was. He had called the residence,
11	Deborah's residence, and Lisa said, "Well, I'm watching the
12	kids. She went to the store," and he began yelling at her
13	and he said, "I want to know what other nigger is she
14	laying underneath? You tell Debbie when I get out, I'm
15	going to make sure she doesn't have any friends. When I
16	get out, she won't be able to go out any more. I'll make
17	sure of that."
18	He also called yet another time and again
19	wanting to know where Deborah was, again threatening until
20	he said, "If I can't have her, no one will."
21	On August 31st of 1995, Deborah Panos woke
22	up and took her three children, very small children, to day
23	care at approximately 7:35 in the morning just like she did
24	most everyday on her way to work and little did she know
25	that that would be the last day that she would ever see her

\_\_Page: 875

children and she dropped her children off at the day care 1 and she went to G.E. where she saw her friend Lisa Duran 2 3 and it was agreed between them that they should meet over at Deborah's trailer at about 1:30 because Lisa needed to 4 5 retrieve a couple other items that she had left over there when she was living there with her and that was agreed 6 upon, about 1:30 we'll meet. 7 In any event, Deborah apparently had gotten 8 off work at some point. At approximately 10:45 that 9 morning, you will learn that the defendant was released 10 11 from jail and within an hour and about 15 minutes, at about 12:15, a supervisor by the name of Sherry Smith at the 12 Angel Day Care received a telephone call from Deborah 13 crying, extremely upset, pleading for help several times, 14 and, as she was crying, she was also saying to someone else 15 16 in the background, "I don't have any money," and she could hear that male voice in the background yelling and carrying 17 on, but she couldn't make out what that person was saying, 18 but she could hear Deborah trying to appease that person 19 and trying to calm him down and, at some point, she 20 21 whispered in the phone, "Call me back in five minutes," and she gave the phone number. 22 Now Sherry thought this was strange. She 23 usually didn't get phone calls from Deborah and what was 24 25 very strange about the phone call is Deborah was calling

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

her and asking her what time did she bring in the children 1 and, of course, she looked on the books and she said, 2 "Well, 7:35," and Deborah said, "Well, what time do I have 3 to pick them up by," and that's when Sherry Smith said 4 "Well, can you get away? Can you get down here without 5 him -- without him coming with you," and she was already 6 aware because, obviously, the defendant's name was not on 7 that list. She tried to ask her, " Can you get away from 8 him, " and Deborah said, "No," and again she asked to call 9 back to check on her. 10 Within five minutes or so, Sherry did call 11 back, but when Deborah answered, she sounded fine and 12 Deborah said she was fine and that was the end of it and 13 that was the last time anyone spoke with Deborah Panos. 14 At about 1:30, Lisa Duran was driving up 15 into the Ballerina Mobile Home Park to Deborah's trailer 16 and at that time she saw Deborah's car leaving the complex 17 passing her right by and she saw a black male driving it 18 and it didn't dawn on her until just a little while later 19 that it was the defendant, but she saw a black male driving 20 out, she saw a bicycle, the trunk opened with the bicycle 21 in the back. She just assumed it was a friend, you see, 22 because she and Deborah both didn't know that the defendant 23 had been released. So she didn't immediately think it was 24 the defendant. 25

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1	In any event, after passing Deborah's
2	vehicle, she went to the mobile home and she knocked on the
3	door. There was no answer. She could hear the television
4	set and the air conditioner and she thought Lisa
5	thought, well, that's strange because she had lived with
6	Deborah, that's odd because Deborah wouldn't leave the air
7	conditioner on if she wasn't home because she's a single
8	mother out there with three children. She's not going to
9	waste the air conditioner. She is a little thrifty like
10	that and so she was concerned, but she decided to go over
11	to a friend's, a co-worker of theirs, home and once she
12	made contact with the co-worker and received some
13	information, Lisa became panicked. She became very
14	concerned because now she believed that the defendant was
15	out of jail and she became very fearful for Deborah, so
16	fearful that she went to the nearest convenience store to
17	use a phone to warn Debbie for her safety, that the
18	defendant had been released and she tried to make that
19	phone call and left a message on a voice mail.
20	She then went back to the trailer and
21	knocked, tried to knock again. Again, no answer. She did
22	notice, however, that one of the windows appeared to look
23	like it had been broken into. It was moved. At that
24	point, she went to the Angel Day Care Center to see if
25	Deborah had picked up her children, had the defendant been

\_\_\_\_\_ Page: 878

1 there to try to pick up the children. She learned that the 2 children were fine, but she learned about that phone call that was made and she became more concerned and more 3 4 fearful and so before she went back over again, she wanted to get a family member. She picked up a family member, her 5 brother, and they went back over to the trailer. Again, no 6 7 one answered. 8 At that point they decided to find or call the police and on their way to call the police, they 9 happened to see a unit right there, patrol officer who was 10 just finishing up some kind of vehicle stop, Officer Himer, 11 12 and they approached Officer Himer and Lisa told him of this 13 problem and her concern for her friend's welfare and knowing now what the danger involved with this defendant, 14 he requested backup units and, in fact, an Officer Lee 15 joined him as a backup unit with Sergeant Yada soon 16 17 following behind. Both Officer Lee and Officer Himer arrived 18 at the Ballerina Mobile Home about the same time with Lisa 19 and they too saw the window from the master bedroom which 20 appeared to have been moved about a fourth of an inch. 21 22 was ajar. They also tried to go to the rear door where the laundry room was and they noticed that there was another 23 window that was out of place and Officer Lee even tried or 24 Officer Himer or Lee, they both tried to get their arm, 25

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

. Page: 879

1 even Lisa Duran, down into the door to unlock the door, but they couldn't. 2 So they went back around to the front of the 3 4 trailer and that's where they were at some point able to 5 hoist Officer Lee up into the trailer itself and by doing 6 this, apparently the window had come off the track completely at this point and Officer Himer sat that down 7 В next to the trailer. Officer Lee made entry and significantly noticed that the screen to that window was 9 10 jammed down in between the nightstand and the bed and it 11 was crunched up a little and Officer Lee made entry, walked into that master bedroom, and, ultimately, walked into the 12 living room and there he made the gruesome discovery of 13 Deborah's body lying there in a pool of blood. Her face, 14 her chest, and neck area completely covered in blood and 15 she appeared to be lifeless. He opened the front door she 16 was very close to and he notified both Sergeant Yada, who 17 had arrived at the scene, Lisa Duran, and Officer Himer of 18 19 this unfortunate discovery. At that point, homicide detectives were 20 notified. The homicide detectives in this case are 21 Homicide Detective James Vaccaro and Phillip Ramos and 22 crime scene analysts were also called to the scene. Those 23 crime scene analysts are Crime Scene Analyst Washington and 24

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

Crime Scene Analyst Perkins and what crime scene analysts

Page: 880

25

1	are, they are the individuals that go to the crime scene,
2	take the pictures, try to obtain latent fingerprints, they
3	impound evidence from the scene that could be important in
4	a case, may or may not be important in a case, and, of
5	course, the detectives assist them in that investigation.
6	Significantly what all of them found, at
7	least those four people that I've described, because, of
8	course, the crime scenes are secured, was that this window
9	was, in fact, the point of entry, that they had determined
10	that this screen was scrunched in between that table and
11	the bed and that was significant to them.
12	Additionally, they found that there was no
13	money in the home, that her vehicle had been taken, and the
14	entire house looked like it had been ransacked. Papers
15	were strewn everywhere like as if someone had gone through
16	them, all over including these letters of the defendant's,
17	and also her personal papers and they were scattered
18	throughout the residence. And, of course, significantly
19	they saw Deborah Panos' body lying there and they could
20	tell that the wounds were from a knife and the reason why
21	is the knife was laying just outside of her body, bloodied
22	and with her hair intertwined in it.
23	As this was going on at the crime scene,
24	ladies and gentlemen, this defendant was seen later that
25	day, as the police were taking care of the crime scene,

\_\_\_\_\_Page: 881 \_\_\_\_

going to 507 Lamb Boulevard. It's called the Vera Johnson 1 2 Lamb Housing Projects and it's also across the street from 3 a Lucky's that's there as well and he was seen by two individuals by the name of Deborah Turner and Ladonna 4 They saw the defendant drive up in Deborah's 5 Jackson. 6 vehicle, the Toyota, and a whole group gathered around They were all friends who he hung out with on a daily him. 7 8 basis and, you know, they were asking him, "How you doing," that type of thing. 9 Eventually, this defendant allowed Deborah 10 11 Turner to borrow this vehicle and which ultimately she 12 picked up Ladonna Jackson and they joy rided in that 13 vehicle and the defendant was sure to tell Deborah Turner that, "When you return the vehicle, make sure to park it 14 behind the complex. Don't leave it out on the street, put 15 it behind the complex on the grass," where it could not be 16 17 seen from passers by and they did that in the early morning hours. They parked the car. 18 The next day, ladies and gentlemen, 19 September 1st of 1995, they saw the defendant again that 20 morning somewhere around 11:00 or so and they all met 21 22 outside, talking again, and they watched the defendant walk over to the Lucky's across street. You will learn that 23 this defendant was seen by a person by the name of Lawrence 24 Martinez at the Lucky's Market and he was seen the next day 25

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

Page: 882-

1	taking two liquor bottles, a can of beer, and four candy
2	bars and he was seen by Lawrence Martinez, who was a theft
3	prevention security officer for the Lucky's
4	THE COURT: Counsel approach the bench.
5	(Off the record discussion not reported.)
6	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the
7	jury, you are hereby admonished to disregard the evidence
8	of this event that took place the next day at the Lucky's.
9	The Court has at this point there will be some issues
10	regarding that evidence and the discussion of it was
11	inadvertent.
12	MS. SILVER: Yes.
13	THE COURT: And the defendant's objection is
14	noted, but you are instructed to disregard it because at
15	this point it's not been established as evidence in the
16	case.
17	Does that satisfy the parties at this
18	point?
19	MS. SILVER: Yes, your Honor.
20	MR. HARMON: Yes.
21	MR. BROOKS: Your Honor, the defense does
22	object to the comments by counsel.
23	THE COURT: All right.
24	MS. SILVER: Eventually, an officer by the
25	name of Paul Osuch arrived at the Lucky's and after making

1	contact with this defendant some time later, called Metro
2	homicide, as he believed that he had the suspect to the
3	homicide at the Ballerina Mobile Home Court in custody.
4	James Vaccaro and Phillip Ramos responded to
5	that location and there at that location, at the Lucky's,
6	they requested Crime Scene Analyst Monte Spoor to come to
7	that location to photograph some injuries to the
8	defendant's fingers and his hands and what you'll learn is
9	that the defendant had cuts on his fingers and that that's
10	not uncommon in a case where there is a stabbing because
11	sometimes, when a knife penetrates bone, the hand can slip
12	onto the blade and these injuries were documented by the
13	police and you'll see those photographs as well.
14	Turned over to Detective Vaccaro was some
15	keys and these keys were to Deborah Panos' vehicle.
16	Detective Vaccaro went out around the neighborhood to try
17	and locate that vehicle and eventually came into contact
18	with Ladonna Jackson and Deborah Turner, who at some point
19	told the detectives that the vehicle was hidden behind the
20	building and, of course, again James Vaccaro located the
21	vehicle and requested Crime Scene Analyst Washington, who
22	had been at the crime scene the day before, to go to that
23	location and that vehicle was impounded for further
24	investigation.
25	Additionally and significant, that same day

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_Page: 884 \_\_

September 1st of 1995, an autopsy was done on the body of 1 Deborah Panos and it was done by our chief medical examiner 2 here in the county, Dr. Sheldon Green, who's probably done 3 over 12,000 autopsies and he has been qualified as an 4 5 expert in forensic pathology. He conducted the autopsy in the presence of Detective Vaccaro and another crime scene 6 analyst by the name of Peterson. And there Dr. Green, 7 after his examination, concluded that there were a total of 8 13 stab wounds to Deborah Panos' body, most of them --9 about 11 of them were in the neck and chest, upper chest 10 region, and that her jugular vein had been cut and her 11 carotid arteries on both sides had been cut. They are, in 12 fact, lethal wounds. 13 Additionally, there was another stab wound 14 to Deborah's abdomen, as well as an area right above her 15 pubic bone right above her vagina. 16 Significantly again, Dr. Green noticed that 17 Deborah's -- almost her entire face and around her ears 18 were bruised and had abrasions all over them. You can see 19 gashes in her forehead in the pictures and, additionally, 20 her arms and hands were completely bruised and he concluded 21 them to be defensive wounds and there were other areas of 22 bruising and abrasions. He concluded that those bruises 23 were made on the same day of her death, recent bruises, and 24 25 as you will recall, Lisa had seen her that morning and she

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

\_ Page: 885 ----

wasn't in that condition. 1 The manner of death was homicide and the 2 cause of death was multiple stab wounds. A sexual assault 3 kit was also performed at this autopsy. We sometimes call 4 them rape kits as well. If she was alive, she would have 5 gone to UMC and the same type of rape kit would have been 6 done, but in this case the coroner, with the assistance of 7 the crime scene analyst, performed this task. It's 8 basically where they take, obviously, the blood of the 9 victim, they comb the pubic hairs for any foreign debris, 10 and they also swab her vagina and that kit is sent off, as 11 well as all the other evidence collected in this case. 12 It was sent to the Las Vegas Metropolitan 13 Police Department Crime Lab where initial examinations were 14 These examinations were done on a -- by a senior 15 done. criminalist by the name of Terry Cook. He is, basically, 16 up until a couple months ago, he was the only serologist 17 pretty much that did most the rapes and murders here in Las 18 Vegas or actually was the criminalist on those types of 19 cases for about the last 15 years at Metro and he will tell 20 you that he did preliminary examinations so that he could 21 then forward those results and those items for DNA 22 analysis. In fact, you will learn that we should have DNA 23 analysis available within a couple months here, but in the 24 time being, those had to be sent out. 25

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1	His examinations, these preliminary
2	examinations concluded that the knife excuse me the
3	blood on the knife that was found by the body of Deborah
4	Panos was consistent, using the A, B, O regular types of
5	examinations that you are probably more familiar with, A,
6	B, O blood typing, that that was consistent with Deborah
7	Panos' blood. That blood found on a sink in the home was
8	also consistent with Deborah Panos' blood and that some
9	blood that was swabbed off of the trunk area or tail end of
10	the vehicle where it was found at the housing projects,
11	that blood appeared also to be blood and, finally, that the
12	semen that was found in Deborah Panos, that there was, in
13	fact, semen found in her dead lifeless body, that that was
14	consistent with this defendant's.
15	That evidence was sent to Cellmark
16	Diagnostic Laboratories. It's one of the foremost
17	laboratories here in the country and it's used routinely by
18	many, many police departments all over the United States.
19	It's very highly accredited. A molecular biologist by the
20	name of Paula Yates did the analysis, DNA analysis on those
21	items and I will not get that specific, ladies and
22	gentlemen, at this point, but you will learn some about
23	DNA, but you will learn that from her analysis and she
24	did two types of testing. One is called PCR testing in
25	which DNA is magnified and from there they can do

\_\_\_\_\_Page: 887

comparisons and another type which is called RFLP or actual 1 genetic fingerprinting. Just like a fingerprint, you can 2 3 leave your "fingerprint" with other items of your body, bodily tissue, blood, semen, saliva, and it's a genetic 4 5 fingerprinting. These tests were used and the results of the 6 tests were that using the PCR testing, not the genetic 7 fingerprint, but the PCR testing, that the knife handle, 8 the blood found on that knife handle was consistent with 9 10 the victim's blood and that the frequency of that blood occurring in this world in the population was one and 11 17,000 and there was also trace -- there was some kind of 12 detection of the defendant's blood as well on the knife 13 handle. 14 As far as the kitchen or, excuse me, the 15 bathroom sink blood, the blood that had been swabbed, that 16 too was consistent with the victim's blood and the blood 17 that was swabbed from the car, that was primarily the 18 defendant's blood. Using what is called RFLP or the 19 20 genetic fingerprinting, she concluded that from the blood of the knife itself, that was, in fact, consistent with the 21. victim's blood and the frequency of that occurring in 22 anyone else was one and 76 million and after testing the 23 semen in this case, she found that it was consistent with 24 25 the defendant's blood type and that the frequency of this

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

\_Page: 888 \_

1	occurring was one and 14 billion.
2	Ladies and gentlemen, at the conclusion of
3	this trial, the State is going to ask you to bring back a
4	verdict which reflects all of the evidence presented in
5	this case and that is to find this defendant guilty of
6	burglary, of robbery with use of a deadly weapon, and a
7	first degree murder with use of a deadly weapon for what he
8	did to Deborah Panos on August 31st of 1995.
9	Thank you.
10	THE COURT: Thank you.
11	Mr. Brooks, are you going to do an opening
12	statement?
13	MR. BROOKS: Yes, Judge.
14	THE COURT: Any indication of how long it's
15	going to be?
16	MR. BROOKS: I think I can do it, Judge,
17	probably in 15 minutes.
18	THE COURT: All right. You may make your
19	opening statement at this time.
20	MR. BROOKS: Thank you.
21	May it please the Court, counsel, members of
22	the Court's staff, and ladies and gentlemen of the jury, we
23	have just heard Ms. Silver provide an overview of what she
24	believes the evidence in this case will show. She says the

\_\_\_\_\_\_Page: 889

25 evidence supports burglary, murder with use of a deadly

weapon, and robbery with use of a deadly weapon. 1 More specifically, they are saying that my 2 client, James Chappell, killed this woman Deborah Panos, 3 that he entered that trailer with the intent to either 4 steal or kill or rape and that he took her car and 5 ransacked the house. 6 7 Ladies and gentlemen, we see the evidence quite differently and I believe that the evidence will show 8 that things are quite different than what you've just 9 heard, but before I summarize the evidence, let me say 10 The evidence in this case will show absolutely, 11 totally, and completely that my client, James Chappell, 12 takes full responsibility for the death of Deborah Panos. 13 It's not even an issue in this case. He killed the woman, 14 it was not an accident. We have stipulated to that to this 15 Court. My client will take the stand and he will tell you 16 that because, ladies and gentlemen, that is not what this 17 trial is about. This trial is not about what caused the 18 death or who caused the death of Deborah Panos. 19 evidence will show he did it and we take full 20 responsibility for it. 21 What this case is about is what exact crime 22 occurred when he killed her. Now you have just heard one 23 version of the facts and I submit to you these facts tell a 24

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

very different story when viewed in a different way.

-₽aqe: 890

25

Here's what the evidence will really show. 1 They say a burglary of the trailer. Well, 2 3 ladies and gentlemen, he did enter her trailer. He was in jail for three months, three months. He lived in that 4 5 trailer when he was not in the jail. He had lived in this trailer for quite a long time. If he had a home in Las 6 Vegas, the evidence will show that trailer was his home. 7 When he got out of jail, he went home. 8 went home to his trailer, the trailer he shared with 9 10 Deborah Panos. The State will say, well, it was in her name, which it was. The State may say, well, she paid for 11 it. Very true, but that was his home and that's certainly 12 what he thought when he got out of jail. 13 Did he enter the trailer through the 14 window? You bet he did. He got out of jail, he went to 15 16 the trailer, he didn't have a key, he didn't know she was at home. He entered the trailer through the window. Which 17 window he enters is extremely important in this case 18 because it is evidence of what was going on in his mind. 19 20 This trailer is a four sided trailer. There are three sides to that trailer that would offer some protection for 21 a person committing a crime to enter. He enters the 22 trailer through the one window that is most opened, that is 23 most exposed, and that's because he was entering his home. 24

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

He went inside his home, ladies and gentlemen. He didn't

Page: 891

25

1	have the intent to commit any crime when he entered his
2	home and that's why there was no burglary in this case.
3	Did he commit murder in the first degree as
4	alleged by Ms. Silver? Well, here's what happened when
5	they got inside. James Chappell, when he was removing the
6	window, was met by Deborah Panos. She helped him inside
7	the trailer. She was glad to see him. They did talk
8	briefly and then after awhile they had sex. They have not
9	seen each other in three months. They have sex. It was
10	consensual sex. They had sex hundreds, perhaps thousands
11	of times before during the last 10 years. They had three
12	children together. This relationship went back a long
13	way. Ms. Silver says that, well, my client followed her
14	here and there and she would run off
15	MS. SILVER: I'm going to object, your
16	Honor, as to argument.
17	MR. BROOKS: I'm sorry?
18	MS. SILVER: I'm going to object as
19	argument.
20	THE COURT: Sustained.
21	MS. SILVER: Thank you.
22	MR. BROOKS: The evidence will show, ladies
23	and gentlemen, that in fact my client did come to Las Vegas
24	with Deborah Panos and the evidence will also show that
25	Deborah Panos brought my client to Las Vegas. He didn't

\_\_\_\_\_Page: 892

follow her, as suggested by some. 1 While they were having sex, ladies and 2 gentlemen, James, who was a very jealous man and we will 3 stipulate to that. He had been jealous for years. 4 sensed something very different about Deborah Panos. The 5 evidence will show that while they were having sex, he 6 stopped and became angry with her and accused her of seeing 7 other men. She denied it. His jealousy was fueled in part 8 by the fact that while he had been in jail, he would call 9 her, she would take his calls, and they would talk, but 10 sometimes when he would call, she would -- he would find 11 himself talking to other men who were there in the trailer 12 with his children and his girlfriend and he didn't know 13 these men. He was jealous and he wanted to know who these 14 people were. He did become angry with her. She did become 15 scared. He got up and went to the bathroom and she went in 16 the other room and called the day care center and this is 17 when she called the day care center and told them or asked 18 them about coming and picking up the kids and they had 19 20 their conversation. Apparently, a few minutes later, this 21 evidence will show the woman called back and everything had 22 calm down. In fact, it did calm down. James wanted to get 23 a meal and Deborah offered to try to take them out to a 24 place to get something to eat, but Deborah was not sure if 25

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1	she had the money to do that. So he decided to go pick up
2	the kids at the day care center. James left the trailer
3	and walked out to the car with Deborah. They went out to
4	the car and James got into the car and in the car he
5	started the engine. She said, "You can drive." He was
6	driving. They start driving away from the trailer and
7	James wants to find his Michael Jackson tape which was in
8	the car and he opens up the console and finds a letter from
9	another man. He stops the car, he reads the letter. The
10	letter is from someone else, not him. It implies sexual
11	things and James just goes into a complete fit of rage. He
12	stopped the car, he grabbed her, he took her back inside
13	the trailer. He doesn't remember everything he did, but we
14	know that he stabbed her over and over again. He stabbed
15	her very hard. The coroner will testify these were very,
16	very deep wounds. She died within 15 seconds of some of
17	the major wounds occurring and James cannot describe to you
18	what exactly he did. He can't remember to this day. He
19	does know he did it. He went into a fit of jealous rage
20	and he killed the woman he loved.
21	Now was that first degree murder? It's a
22	crime. He takes responsibility for it, but we submit that
23	evidence does not support first degree murder.
24	What about the robbery. The State says that
25	the trailer was ransacked. You'll see the pictures and you

are not going to find the pictures support that at all. 2 The trailer was not ransacked. The trailer had some messy areas, which is not surprising considering the fact you had 3 a woman living there with three kids. There were clothes 4 stacked in one place either waiting to be washed or had 5 6 already been washed. 7 James himself took, during the first argument, took his letters he had sent her from jail and 8 asked her, "Do these mean anything to you," and he threw 9 them at her and they are spread over the ground, but that's 10 not ransacking and, ladies and gentlemen, quite frankly, 11 there is no evidence of ransacking in this case and you 12 13 will see that when you look at the photographs. Did he rob her? Well, he did take the car. 14 He took the keys to the car and he left. He didn't know 15 what to do. He just killed a person. Well, the evidence 16 in this case, ladies and gentlemen, tells this very tragic 17 and sad story, but the real question is why it happened and 18 the evidence in this case will show that this was a 19 horribly, unhappy, and tragic relationship that lasted 10 20 years. You would hear that James beat Deborah before in 21 22 Tucson and in Las Vegas. You will hear also that they loved each other. They had three children together. They 23 had been together a long, long time. When Deborah moved to 24 Las Vegas, she's the one who brought James along. She paid 25

1

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1	for him to come to Las Vegas. When Deborah was in Tucson,
2	the evidence will show James left her twice and both times
3	Deborah convinced him to return.
4	The sad facts of this case and the sad
5	evidence in this case suggest their relationship was like
6	container containing air and gasoline. It was something
7	that was waiting to blow. Somebody needed to diffuse that
8	situation and James didn't do it and she didn't do it. All
9	that situation needed was a match, a spark, something to
10	make it blow and I submit to you that the evidence will
11	show that when he found that jealous letter or the letter
12	that got his jealousy going in the car, that was the match
13	because he will tell you all he could see, when he read
14	that letter, all he could see were images of her making
15	love with other men.
16	My client is fully responsible for this
17	crime. Absolutely, but this case is about degree of
L8	responsibility. We are not asking for a break, we're
L9	asking for justice and the evidence supports a voluntary
20	manslaughter with use of a deadly weapon conviction and a
21	grand larceny auto.
22	Thank you.
23	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Brooks.
24	Ladies and gentlemen, we will take our noon
25	recess at this time. I would remind you it is your duty

\_\_\_\_\_\_Page: 896

1	not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any			
2	subject connected with this trial or to read, watch, or			
3	listen to any report of or commentary on this trial or any			
4	person connected with this trial by any medium of			
5	information, including, without limitation, newspapers,			
6	television, or radio, and you are not to form or express an			
7	opinion on any subject connected with this case until it is			
8	finally submitted to you.			
9	We will be reconvening at 1:30. Please be			
10	in the courthouse at 1:25. We will be at ease while you			
11	depart the confines of the courthouse.			
12	Anything further?			
13	MR. BROOKS: Judge, we would like to make a			
14	brief motion, please.			
15				
16	(At this time, the jury left the courtroom.)			
17				
18	THE COURT: Mr. Brooks, briefly.			
19	MR. BROOKS: Judge, during the opening			
20	statement, Ms. Silver argued facts or stated facts to the			
21	jury which had not been admitted into evidence in which the			
22	Court has not made a ruling on. Because of that, we would			
23	ask for a mistrial in the case.			
24	THE COURT: Motion is denied.			
25	MR. BROOKS: Thank you.			

Page: 897

1	
2	(Off the record at 11:52 a.m. and back on
3	the record at 1:42 p.m.)
4	
5	THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and
6	gentlemen.
7	Counsel stipulate to the presence of the
8	jury?
9	MR. HARMON: Yes, your Honor.
10	MR. BROOKS: Defense will, your Honor.
11	THE COURT: The State of Nevada may put on
12	its case in chief. Call your first witness.
13	MR. HARMON: Thank you, Judge. Lisa Duran.
14	
15	LISA DURAN,
16	having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole
17	truth and nothing but the truth, testified and said as
18	follows:
19	
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. HARMON:
22	Q Will you state your name, please?
23	A Lisa Duran.
24	Q Please spell your names for the
25	record.

Page: 898

1	А	D-U-R I'm sorry. Lisa, L-I-S-A.
2	Duran, D-U-R-A-N.	
3	Q	Are you married?
4	А	No, sir.
5	Q	Ms. Duran, how old are you?
6	A	I'm 28.
7	Q	How old did you say?
8	A	Twenty eight.
9	Q	Do you live in the Las Vegas area?
10	A	Yes, sir.
11	Q	How long have you lived in this
12	community?	
13	A	Two years.
14	Q	Where did you move from?
15	A	San Diego, California.
16	Q	Are you employed?
17	A	Yes, sir.
18	Q	Where do you work?
19	A	G.E. Capital.
20	Q	Is that a Las Vegas business?
21	A	Yes, sir.
22	Q	What type of business is it?
23	A	Financial collections.
24	Q	How long have you been employed at G.E.
25	Capital?	

\_\_\_\_\_Page: 899

(

1		A	Two years next month.
2		Q	Did you know Deborah Ann Panos?
3		A	Yes, sir.
4		Q	How is it that you came to know her?
5		A	We met at work.
6		Q	At G.E. Capital?
7		A	Yes, sir.
8		Q	In addition to becoming to being
9	co-workers, did	Уол	become friends?
10		A	Yes, sir.
11	1	Q	Did you become good friends?
12		A	Yes, sir.
13		Q	Was Deborah Panos married?
14		A	No, sir.
15		Q	Did she have children?
16		A	Yes, sir.
17	!	Q	How many children?
18	1	A	Three.
19	•	Q	What were the names and ages of the
20	children?		
21	ż	A	JP, Anthony, and Chantell, three, five,
22	and seven.		
23	•	Q	You said JP?
24	ı	A	James Panos, yes.
25	(	Q	And Anthony Panos?

----- Page: 900 ------

1	A		Yes, sir.
2	Ω	<u> </u>	And the last child is a girl?
3	·		Chantell.
4	Q	ł	Will you spell Chantell?
5	A	•	C-H-A-N-T-E-L-L.
6	Q	<b>)</b>	You said the children were age seven,
7	five, and three?		
8	A	•	Yes, sir.
9	Q		Do you know how old your former
10	co-worker and fr	ieno	d Deborah Panos was?
11	A		She was 26.
12	Q		In addition to working with her and
13	being her friend	, di	id you live, for a short period of time,
14	with Ms. Panos?		
15	A		Yes, sir, I did.
16	Q		Where was that at?
17	A		839 East Lamb, space 125.
18	Q		You said east. Could it have been
19	North Lamb?		
20	A		It might have been. It was a long
21	time		
22	Q		But it was on Lamb Boulevard?
23	A		Yes, sir.
24	Q		839 Lamb?
25	A		Yes, sir.

Page: 901 \_\_\_\_\_

1	Q You said what space?
2	A 125.
3	Q Was that location in Las Vegas, Clark
4	County, State of Nevada?
5	A Yes, sir.
6	Q Have you at any time had contact with
7	the defendant in this action, James Chappell?
8	A Yes, sir.
9	Q Do you know Mr. Chappell?
10	A Yes, sir.
11	Q How is it that you know him?
12	A From Debbie. She introduced him to
13	me.
14	Q To your knowledge, did Mr. Chappell and
15	Debbie Panos have a relationship?
16	A Yes, sir.
17	Q What was the relationship?
18	A From what I understood from Debbie, it
19	was ex-girlfriend and ex-boyfriend.
20	Q Do you see in court today the
21	individual that you knew as a former boyfriend of Deborah
22	Ann Panos?
23	A Yes, sir, I do.
24	Q Would you point to him and describe
25	some article of clothing he's wearing in court?

\_\_\_\_\_\_Page: 902

1	A He's sitting right there in the middle
2	of the two gentlemen. He has on a gray coat and glasses.
3	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, may the record show
4	that the witness has described and identified the
5	defendant, Mr. Chappell?
6	THE COURT: Yes.
7	MR. HARMON: Thank you.
8	Q Ms. Duran, was there an occasion
9	shortly before Christmas, 1994, when you saw some type of
10	disturbance in the area of the place where you work, G.E.
11	Capital, which involved Deborah Panos and the defendant,
12	Mr. Chappell?
13	A Yes, sir, I did.
14	Q When do you remember the incident
15	occurring?
16	A It was late afternoon and I was getting
17	ready to leave for work and I was standing between the two
18	doorways that went into our office.
19	Q Are you able to give us any frame of
20	reference regarding the date or approximate date?
21	A No, sir.
22	Q Was it close to a holiday?
23	A Yes, sir. It was in the month of
24	December.
25	Q Do you remember when it was in relation
	PATSY K. SMITH. OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

\_\_\_\_\_Page: 903

1	to the Christmas holiday?
2	A About two weeks, maybe three.
3	Q About two to three weeks, what, before
4	Christmas?
5	A Before Christmas, yes, sir.
6	Q You said it was in the late afternoon?
7	A Yes, sir.
8	Q I think you indicated it was in front
9	of the business?
10	A Yes, sir.
11	Q Where were you at the time you saw what
12	was happening?
13	A As I said before, I was standing
14	there were two doorways. There was one door and then there
15	was like a little hallway and then there was another door
16	that led into the building and I was standing in between
17	both of them and I was getting ready to go home.
18	Q What did you see at that time?
19	A At that time, I saw I looked out
20	into the parking lot and I noticed that Debbie and James
21	were sitting in an automobile and it looked as though she
22	was crying and there was an argument and then next thing I
23	knew, he had slapped her and she got out of the car and
24	came into work.
25	Q Could you see where it was he slapped
	PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

\_\_\_\_\_Page: 904 ....

1	her?
2	A It was in the face.
3	Q Do you remember what part of the face?
4	A Just one of one of her cheeks.
5	Q You say one of her cheeks. You
6	indicated on your head the left side, but are you saying
7	you don't know if it was the left or right side?
8	A It might have been the left side. It
9	was so long ago.
10	Q You have described it as a slap. Was
11	Deborah hit with the hand opened?
12	A Yes, sir.
13	Q Could you, by gesture from the witness
14	stand, indicate what it was that you saw happen at the time
15	this slap occurred?
16	A Just that he looked at her and he had
17	his hand like this and it was across the face.
18	Q Were they sitting next to each other
19	inside the car at the time?
20	A Yes, yes, sir.
21	Q When you have referred to he, are you
22	talking about the defendant, Mr. Chappell, who hit Deborah
23	Panos?
24	A Yes, sir.
25	Q Did she ever talk to you about the
	·

\_\_\_\_\_Page: 905 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1	incident?	
2	A	She did.
3	Q	At that time, without going into what
4	she said, did you lo	earn any more details about what the
5	circumstances were?	
6	A	No, sir.
7	Q	Do you know if there was any type of
8	formal report made	by Deborah Panos to the police in
9	connection with the	incident you have just described?
10	A	No, sir.
11	Q	There was not?
12	A	No, sir.
13	Q	Was there an occasion, also somewhere
14	around Christmas or	perhaps even somewhat after Christmas
15	of 1994, that you be	scame aware Deborah Panos suffered an
16	injury to her nose?	
17	A	Yes, sir.
18	Q	What happened to her nose?
19	A	It was broken.
20	Q	Did you see it bandaged?
21	A	Yes, sir.
22	Q	When did you see it bandaged?
23	A	The day after it happened, she came
24	into work.	
25	Q	Did you determine at that time whether

\_\_\_\_Page: 906

1	she had suffered the	e broken nose accidentally?
2	A	No, sir.
3	Q	You did or didn't?
4	A	I did not.
5	Q	Now, you've mentioned that there was a
6	time when you lived	with Ms. Panos?
7	A	Yes, sir.
8	Q	And that was at 839 Lamb, space 125?
9	A	Yes, sir.
10	Q	Can you tell us approximately what time
11	frame that was?	
12	A	It was about a week.
13	Q	Had you intended to stay longer with
14	her?	
15	A	Yes, I did.
16	Q	Do you remember when it was that you
17	first met the defend	dant, Mr. Chappell?
18	A	It was Memorial Day Weekend.
19	Q	Of 1995?
20	A	Yes, sir.
21	Q	Do you remember where you were when you
22	met him?	
23	A	At Deborah's home.
24	Q	Where was she living at that time?
25	A	At 839 Lamb, number 125.

\_\_\_\_\_\_Page: 907 \_\_\_\_\_\_

1.		Q	Did you exchange any words with the
2	defendant that	Memor	cial Day Weekend when you met him?
3		A	No, sir.
4		Ω	Did you hear him say anything?
5		A	Yes, sir.
6		Q	Who was he speaking to when you heard
7	him talk?		
8		A	To Deborah.
9		Q	Do you remember how his voice sounded?
10		A	Yes, sir.
11		Q	After the Memorial Day Weekend, did you
12	talk with the	defend	dant a number of times on the
13	telephone?		
14		A	Yes, sir, I did.
15		Q	Over what approximate time span?
16		A	Two months.
17		Q	During an approximately two month
18	period, after	the M	emorial Day Weekend, 1995, approximately
19	how many times	did :	you speak over the telephone with the
20	defendant?		
21		A	About seven.
22		Q	Did you know who you were talking to?
23		A	Yes, sir.
24		Q	How did you know who it was?
25		A	I recognized the voice every time.

1	Q Is there any doubt in your mind that
2	you were speaking with the defendant, Mr. Chappell?
3	A No, sir.
4	Q How did it happen that you talked with
5	him on about seven occasions?
6	A Some of the occasions occurred when he
7	would call the trailer and ask to speak with her. There
8	were a couple of occasions when he called my apartment.
9	Q When you say some of the times he would
10	call the trailer and ask to speak with her, are you talking
11	about the trailer with Deborah lived?
12	A Yes, sir.
13	Q 839 Lamb Boulevard?
14	A Yes, sir.
15	Q But you said he would also call an
16	apartment where you were living?
17	A Yes, sir.
18	Q When he would call you at your
19	apartment, was he looking for someone?
20	A He was looking for Deborah.
21	Q How many times, during the two month
22	span, did you actually talk with the defendant over the
23	telephone?
24	A Five times.
25	Q Five times?

\_\_\_\_\_Page: 909

1	A U	Jh-huh.
2	Q I	Oid he leave messages on other
3	occasions?	
4	A Y	les, sir.
5	Q C	On how many occasions?
6	A T	Pwice.
7	Q	Ouring the five conversations over the
8	telephone where you a	actually talked to Mr. Chappell, did he
9	ever say anything of	a nature threatening to Deborah
10	Panos?	
11	A Y	Wes, sir.
12	Q A	Are you able to identify which
13	particular telephone	conversations it was?
14	A T	Phere was one particular phone
15	conversation. I was	alone with the children at the trailer
16	one night and he had	called.
17	Q P	About what time at night was the call?
18	A P	Before 8:00.
19	Q r	Oo you know at the time of this call
20	whether the defendant	was in custody?
21	A Y	les, sir.
22	Q F	le was in custody?
23	A Y	čes, sir.
24	Q P	and you say it was somewhere around
25	8:00 in the evening?	

Page: 910

1	A Before 8:00, yes, sir.
2	Q What do you remember about that
3	particular conversation?
4	A The conversation, he asked where
5	Deborah was and I told him that she had gone out and he
6	asked where his children were and I told him that his
7	children were with me and that's when he made the comment
8	to me that he wanted to know what other nigger she was
9	laying up underneath and I told him that that was none of
10	my business to tell him and he said, "Well, what kind of
11	friend are you," and I said, "The kind of friend who minds
12	her own business," and he told me, "Well, you tell Debbie
13	that I called and that when I get out of here, she's not
14	going to have any friends, she's not going to have any
15	life, and that includes you."
16	Q If you were to give a very best
17	estimate regarding the date of that telephone conversation,
18	what would you tell us?
19	A It was about a month before she died.
20	Q So you are saying, perhaps, some time
21	in late July?
22	A Uh-huh.
23	Q 1995?
24	A Yes, sir.
25	Q Were there other telephone

\_\_\_\_\_Page: 911

1	conversations that you had with the defendant during the
2	approximately two month time frame after Memorial Day
3	Weekend, 1995 where other threatening words were used by
4	Mr. Chappell?
5	A Yes, sir.
6	Q Tell us what you remember.
7	A That he would sit there and talk about
8	how he was upset that she hadn't been answering his phone
9	calls and she hadn't been writing him and he was upset that
10	she hadn't brought the children to see him.
11	Q To see him in jail?
12	A Yes, sir.
13	Q Or to write him while he was in
14	custody?
15	A Yes, sir.
16	Q What else did he say?
17	A He just told me that he was angry and
18	that when he got out, she wasn't going to have any friends
19	and that if he couldn't have her, nobody could.
20	Q What was your reaction when the
21	defendant would say this?
22	A I would just get angry and I would tell
23	him, "I'm not going to talk to you any more," and I would
24	hang up.
25	Q When Mr. Chappell would complain during
	PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

\_\_\_\_\_Page: 912

1	the conversations about her not visiting him, not writing
2	him letters, was it simply on one occasion or various times
3	that he was angry with her?
4	A It was various times that he was
5	angry.
6	Q I want to direct your attention now to
7	August the 31st, 1995. On that date, to your knowledge,
8	was the defendant, Mr. Chappell, still in jail?
9	A Yes, sir.
10	Q Did you go to work that morning, August
11	the 31st?
12	A Yes, sir.
13	MR. BROOKS: I'm going to object for lack of
14	foundation as to her knowledge of him being in jail.
15	MR. HARMON: I said her knowledge, your
16	Honor. I'm really trying to get simply her state of mind.
17	THE COURT: The objection is overruled in
18	any case.
19	Q (BY MR. HARMON) You were still working
20	at G.E. Capital?
21	A Yes, sir.
22	Q Do you remember what time you went to
23	work?
24	A It was about 8:00 in the morning.
25	Q Did you see your friend and co-worker

\_\_\_\_ Page: 913

1	Deborah Panos at work?	
2	A Ye	s, sir. She came in about an hour
3	after I did.	
4	Q So	perhaps 9:00 in the morning?
5	A Is	when I saw her, yeah.
6	Q Но	w long did you stay at work that
7	day?	
8	A Fr	om 8 to 1.
9	Q Wa	s that a regular shift for you?
10	A No	, sir.
11	Q Но	w long did Deborah Panos stay at G.E.
12	Capital?	
13	A Ab	out an hour.
14	Ła g	d you know why she had only stayed
15	for about an hour?	
16	A Sh	e was making up some time.
17	Q Sh	e was doing what?
18	A Sh	e was making up time.
19	Q So	she came in for one hour?
20	A Uh	-huh.
21	THE REP	ORTER: Is that yes?
22	THE WIT	NRSS: Yes.
23	Q (B	Y MR. HARMON) Based upon what you
24	knew concerning the re	lationship of Deborah Panos and James
25	Chappell as of August	the 31st, 1995, did you know whether

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

Page: 914

Deborah wanted to continue the relationship with Mr. 1 Chappell at that time? 2 3 MR. BROOKS: Object, lack of foundation, 4 hearsay. THE COURT: Overruled. 5 THE WITNESS: Could you please repeat the 6 question. I'm sorry. 7 (BY MR. HARMON) Yes. 8 Based upon what you knew about the 9 10 relationship with the defendant, Mr. Chappell, and Deborah Panos, as of August the 31st, 1995, did she want to 11 continue the relationship? 12 A No, she did not. 13 Was she going to continue to live at 0 14 839 Lamb Boulevard, space 125? 15 A No, sir. 16 Did you know when she intended to 17 Q 18 move? She was in the process of gathering up 19 Α 20 her things and clearing out rooms when I had moved in the week before. 21 So when you moved in with her, it was 0 22 the week prior to August the 31st? 23 That she had started cleaning out rooms 24 Α and things, yes. 25

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

**Page**÷ 915

1	Q	Now did her intention to move have
2	anything to do with	the fact that you didn't stay longer
3	than a week with her	:?
4	A	No, sir.
5	Ω	Did you know where she intended to
6	move?	
7	A	Yes, sir.
8	Q	Where?
9	A	There was a man that she was seeing at
10	the time and he want	ted her and her children to move in with
11	him.	
12	Q	What is the name of the man?
13	A	His name is JR.
14	Q	Did you know his last name?
15	A	No.
16	Q	Had you ever met JR?
17	A	Yes, sir.
18	Q	So you've indicated that on August the
19	31st, Deborah Panos	left work at about 9 in the morning?
20	A	Yes, sir.
21	Q	Now, I think you said she arrived at 9?
22	A	She arrived at 9 and stayed for an
23	hour.	
24	Q	So she left about 10 in the morning.
25	Did	you know where she intended to go when

\_\_\_\_Page:-916

1	she left work?
2	A Yes, sir.
3	Q Where?
4	A She intended to go home and clean house
5	for a little while and wait until I got there.
6	Q Did you have an understanding that
7	later in the day you would meet Deborah Panos at space 125
8	839 Lamb Boulevard?
9	A Yes, sir.
10	Q You told us already that you got off
11	about 1:00 in the afternoon?
12	A Yes, sir.
13	Q Did you go to the Lamb Boulevard
14	residence at that time?
15	A No, sir.
16	Q Where did you go?
17	A I went to my mother's home.
18	Q How long did you stay there?
19	A Fifteen minutes.
20	Q Did there come a time when you went
21	over to keep your appointment with Deborah Panos?
22	A Yes, sir.
23	Q Tell us what type of area she lived
24	in?
25	A She lived in the northeast corner of

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_Page: 917

1	Las Vegas in a trai	iler park.
2	Q	Do you remember the name of the trailer
3	park?	
4	A	Ballerina Sunrise.
5	Q	As you proceeded through the trailer
6	park to Deborah's r	residence, did you see something which
7	attracted your atte	ention?
8	A	Yes, sir, I did.
9	Q	Tell us what you observed.
10	A	As I was pulling into the trailer park,
11	I was pulling in go	oing westbound and I noticed her car
12	coming out eastbour	nd.
13	Q	Are you sure it was her car you saw
14	coming out?	
15	A	Yes, sir. I recognized it right away
16	because she didn't	have any license plates on her car.
17	Q	Have you seen the car a number of times
18	before?	
19	A	Yes, sir, I had.
20	Q	Had some member of your family done
21	repairs on Deborah'	s car?
22	A	Yes, sir.
23	Q	Who had worked on her car?
24	A	That was my brother, John.
25	Q	John Duran?

\_\_\_\_\_Page:\_918

1	A	Yes, sir.
2	Q	Were you present when your brother
3	worked on Deborah's	car?
4	A	Yes, sir.
5	Q	When you saw her car, did you notice
6	who was driving, who	was inside the car?
7	A	I didn't recognize the person at first,
8	no.	
9	Q	Was it Debbie Panos?
10	A	No, sir, it was not.
11	Q	Describe the person you saw inside the
12	car?	
13	A	It was a tall black man driving her
14	car.	
15	Q	Did you initially recognize who was
16	driving the car?	
17	A	No, I did not.
18	Q	Did you think, at the time you were
19	headed over to Debbi	e's place to meet with her, that the
20	defendant, Mr. Chapp	oell, was still in jail?
21	A	I thought he still was, yes, at that
22	time.	
23	Q	Did you know at that time he had been
24	released?	
25	A	No, sir, I did not.

\_\_\_Page: 919

ı	Q How fast was her car proceeding when,
2	in your vehicle, you past the car being driven by the black
3	man?
4	A It was less than five miles an hour
5	because there are very large speed bumps on both sides
6	going in and out of the trailer park. So you can't go very
7	fast.
8	Q Did you get a decent look at the person
9	who was driving Debbie's car?
10	A Yes, sir, I did.
11	Q Did you notice anything about the back
12	of the vehicle?
13	A I noticed that the trunk was opened in
14	the back and hanging out from the back there was a
15	bicycle.
16	Q Was there anyone else that you noticed
17	inside the car besides the black man, who was the driver?
18	A No, sir.
19	Q What did you do after you past
20	Deborah's car being driven by the man you have described?
21	A After I past Deborah's car going out, I
22	then drove into the trailer park, parked in front of her
23	trailer, and went to the front door and knocked.
24	Q You say you went to the front door and
25	knocked?

\_\_\_\_\_\_Page: 920

1	P.	Yes, sir.
2	Q	Did anyone respond?
3	A	No, sir.
4	Q	What happened then?
5	A	I kept knocking and there was still no
6	answer. So I thoug	ht maybe she had gone over to Mike's
7	house.	
8	Q	Who is Mike?
9	A	Mike is another friend from work.
10	Q	What is Mike's last name?
11	A	Pollard.
12	Q	Please spell Pollard.
13	A	P-O-L-L-A-R-D.
14	Q	You said Mike Pollard was another
15	friend from work.	You are talking about G.E. Capital?
16	A	Yes, yes, sir.
17	Q	Since you thought perhaps Deborah had
18	gone to see Mike, d	lid you go to Mike's place?
19	A	Yes, sir, I did.
20	Q	Directly from 839 Lamb Boulevard?
21	A	Yes, sir.
22	Q	What happened when you got to Mike
23	Pollard's residence	97
24	A	When I had gotten to Mike's, I walked
25	into his house and	I asked him if he knew where Deborah was

(

1	and he said, "She le	eft here already. She's gone," and I
2	said, "Okay. How lo	ong ago did she leave," and he said, "I
3	don't remember what	time it was," and then he made the
4	comment to me, "By	the way, did you know that James was
5	out," and	
6	Q	Up to that moment, did you know that
7	James was	
8	A	No, sir, I did not.
9	Q	out of jail?
10	A	I did not, no.
11	Q	At that point did your mind flashback
12	to any events you ha	ad witnessed before that?
13	A	Yes, sir.
14	Q	What did it flashback to?
15	A	It flashed back to the driveway, me
16	driving in and the	car driving out, and the person's face.
17	Q	When you understood that Mr. Chappell
18	was out of custody,	did you then know who the driver was?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Of Debbie's car?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Who was it?
23	A	It was James.
24	Q	James Chappell?
25	A	Yes.

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

Page: 922

1	Q The defendant who is here in the
2	courtroom this afternoon?
3	A Yes.
4	Q As you thought back about that, were
5	you sure that he was the driver of the car?
6	A Yes, sir.
7	Q What did you do after your conversation
8	with Mike Pollard?
9	A I then left and went to a convenience
10	store around the corner from Mike's and I attempted to call
11	Debbie at home because Mike didn't have a phone and all I
12	got was her voice mail and I told her that I was looking
13	for her and that I was worried about her.
14	Q Were you worried about her?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Why?
17	A Cause once Mike told me that James was
18	out, I was afraid that he had done something to her.
19	Q After you tried to call her and left a
20	message on her voice mail, what did you do?
21	A I then went back to the trailer and I
22	kept banging on the front door and then I went around to
23	the back door and that was locked too and there was no way
24	for me to get into the house. So I went around to the
25	front where her bedroom was and that's when I noticed that

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_Page: 923

1	there was something wrong with her bedroom window.
2	Q What do you mean something was wrong
3	with the bedroom window?
4	A The windows in her trailer went up and
5	down instead of side to side and when I looked at the
6	bedroom window, I noticed that the bottom window was off
7	the track.
8	Q Did that add to the concern you had for
9	your friend?
10	A Yes, sir.
11	Q What did you do then?
12	A I then went to the children's day care
13	a block away from her trailer and asked if her children
14	were still there.
15	Q Did you determine whether the children
16	were still at the day care center?
17	A Yes, sir, I did.
18	Q Were they?
19	A Yes, they were.
20	Q Did you have a conversation with any
21	person who worked at the day care center?
22	A Yes, sir, I did.
23	Q Do you remember who you talked to?
24	A I don't remember.
25	Q After you had gone to the day care

\_\_\_\_\_\_Page: 924

1	center, what happened?
2	A After I had left the day care, I was
3	scared. So I went and I drove back to my mother's and I
4	got my mother and my sister or my brother and we drove
5	back to the trailer and on the way back, I found a police
6	officer and I told him that I was afraid to go back because
7	I was afraid that something had happened to her and that's
8	when he followed me.
9	Q Did you relay to the police officer,
10	whom you approached, the reasons for your concern about
11	your friend?
12	A Yes, sir, I did.
13	Q Ms. Duran, thinking back to the
14	afternoon where you saw the defendant driving away from the
15	area of Deborah Panos' trailer, can you estimate about what
16	time that was on August the 31st, 1995?
17	A It was about 1:30, a quarter to 2 in
18	the afternoon.
19	Q Approximately how much later was it
20	that you approached the police officer and explained to him
21	your concerns?
22	A It was almost 4:00 that afternoon.
23	Q Did the police officer accompany you to
24	839 North Lamb?
25	A Yes, he did.

\_\_\_\_Page:\_925

1	Q Tell us what happened when you arrived
2	there with law enforcement?
3	A When we arrived there with the police
4	officer, he had called for backup and once backup got
5	there, I showed one of the police officers the window and
6	at that time he took the window out of the track and he sat
7	it down up against the trailer and he went inside.
8	Q Did there come a time when the officer
9	who had gone inside came out?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Did you learn something then?
12	A Yes.
13	Q What did you learn?
14	A That he had found Debbie lying next to
15	the door on the floor and I remembered that I was standing
16	near the porch and he tried to shut the door so that we
17	couldn't see anything and he told us not to go in there.
18	Q Before the officer shut the door, were
19	you able to see any part of your friend Debbie Panos?
20	A Yes.
21	Q What part of her body?
22	A I saw her feet.
23	Q Could you recognize, from what part of
24	her body you saw, that it was her?
25	A Yes.

1	Q On this particular date, August the
2	31st, 1995, was anyone else living at the trailer home at
3	839 Lamb Boulevard, space 125, to your knowledge, besides
4	Deborah Panos and her three children?
5	A No.
6	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, may I have the
7	Court's indulgence?
8	THE COURT: Yes.
9	(Off the record discussion not reported.)
10	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, may I approach the
11	witness?
12	THE COURT: Yes.
13	Q (BY MR. HARMON) Ms. Duran, I'm showing
14	you a photograph marked as Proposed Exhibit 66. Do you
15	know who is portrayed in that photograph?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Is that your former co-worker and
18	friend, Deborah Ann Panos?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Do you have any personal knowledge of
21	when this photograph was taken?
22	A I believe it's her senior picture.
23	Q As a senior, what then, high school?
24	A Yes, sir.
25	Q So this is not a recent picture; is

\_\_\_\_\_Page: 927

1	that correct?
2	A Yes, sir.
3	Q I'm showing you now State's Proposed
4	Exhibit 1 and 4. Do you recognize the mobile home which is
5	depicted in Proposed Exhibit 1 and 4?
6	A Yes, sir.
7	Q Whose trailer was this?
8	A This was Debbie's.
9	Q Debbie Panos?
10	A Yes, sir.
11	Q Is this the residence you have been
12	referring to as 839 Lamb Boulevard, space 125?
13	A Yes, sir.
14	Q Now, you said that when you first
15	arrived, you noticed that there was one of the windows
16	which seemed to have the sliding portion off a runner?
17	A It was the second time that I had been
18	back to the trailer that I noticed the window.
19	Q Is that a window which was depicted in
20	the photograph you are looking at?
21	A Yes, sir.
22	Q Will you circle that particular window
23	in green, please.
24	A (Witness complying.)
25	Q Put your initials outside the circle.

1	A (Witness complying.)
2	Q Actually, you have drawn a large circle
3	around the window; is that correct?
4	A Yes, sir.
5	Q And a smaller circle around some other
6	object. What is that?
7	A It's the other part of the larger
8	window that I noticed was off the track.
9	Q Did you see how the window, which you
10	first had seen was off the track, ended up on the ground?
11	A Yes, sir, I did. I watched the police
12	officer as he took it off the track and sat it down next to
13	the house.
14	Q Have you placed these two circles and
15	your initials on State's Proposed Exhibit 1?
16	A Yes, sir.
17	Q Now, is Proposed Exhibit 4 simply
18	another picture of which focuses on the window which you've
19	already circled in Proposed Exhibit 1?
20	A Yes, sir.
21	Q I'm showing you now Proposed Exhibits
22	56 through 60. I want you to concentrate on the automobile
23	which is shown parked alongside a building. After you've
24	looked at all the photographs, will you tell us if you
25	recognize the car.

\_\_<del>Page:</del>\_929

1	A I recognize it.	
2	Q Whose car is this, which is shown in	
3	Proposed Exhibits 56 through 60?	
4	A It's Debbie Panos' car.	
5	Q Are you sure this is her car?	
6	A Yes, sir.	
7	Q It's a Toyota vehicle?	
8	A Yes, sir.	
9	Q What color was her car?	
10	A Silver and black.	
11	Q I think you mentioned that it didn't	
12	have a license plate on it?	
13	A Yes, sir.	
14	Q Yes, sir, it did not have?	
15	A It had no license plates. None in	the
16	front and none in the back.	
17	Q Is the car, which is shown in these	
18	photographs, Proposed Exhibits 56 through 60, the same	
19	vehicle you saw the defendant, Mr. Chappell, driving	
20	between 1:30 and 1:45 p.m. on August the 31st, 1995?	
21	A Yes, sir, it is.	
22	Q Are you sure of that?	
23	A Yes, sir.	
24	Q Now you mentioned that Debbie had th	ree
25	children?	

1	A	Yes, sir.
2	Q	James, Anthony, and Chantell?
3	A	Yes, sir.
4	Q	Do you know if all four members of the
5	family had social s	ecurity cards?
6	A	Yes, sir, I do.
7	Q	Did you know where Deborah kept the
8	social security car	ds?
9	A	She had a black folder. It was like a
10	day organizer that	she always carried around with her. She
11	didn't have a purse	
12	Q	Did she show you the black folder on
13	occasion?	
14	A	Yes, sir.
15	Q	Had you had an opportunity to look
16	inside the black fo	lder?
17	A	Yes, sir, I did.
18	Q	Is that how you know where the social
19	security cards were	kept?
20	A	Yes, sir.
21	Q	Is that where they were always kept, to
22	your knowledge?	
23	A	Yes, sir.
24	Q	Did she keep the black folder in any
25	particular place?	

1	A She kept it where she could see it at
2	all times.
3	Q And you say she would carry it with her
4	instead of a purse?
5	A Yes, sir.
6	Q I'm showing you what the clerk has
7	marked as Proposed Exhibit 64. It's a two page document.
8	Do you recognize what this is a copy of?
9	A Yes, sir, I do.
10	Q What is it a copy of?
11	A Their social security cards.
12	Q Social security cards of Deborah Panos
13	and her three children?
14	A Yes, sir.
15	Q Are these the cards you were referring
16	to which you said were kept by her in the black folder?
17	A Yes, sir.
18	Q During the period of time that you knew
19	Debbie Panos prior to her death, did she work throughout
20	that time interval at G.E. Capital?
21	A Yes, sir.
22	Q Did she work on a regular basis?
23	A Yes, sir.
24	Q To your knowledge, was her former
25	boyfriend, the defendant, Mr. Chappell, employed, gainfully

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1	employed?
2	A Not prior
3	MR. BROOKS: Objection, irrelevant.
4	THE COURT: Overruled.
5	THE WITNESS: No, sir, not prior to that,
6	no.
7	Q (BY MR. HARMON) Not prior to what?
8	A When I had first met Debbie at G.E. and
9	we were getting to know each other, she said that she had
10	just moved in.
11	MR. BROOKS: Objection, hearsay.
12	THE COURT: That's sustained.
13	
14	(At this time, another court reporter took
15	over the proceedings.)
16	
17	(Off the record at 2:30 p.m.)
18	
19	* * * * *
20	
21	ATTEST: FULL, TRUE AND ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS.
22	Q. U.S.
23	PATSY K. SMITH, C.C.R. #190
24	···· <b>,</b> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
25	

PATSY K. SMITH, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

-----Page: 933 ----

v<sup>t</sup>

## DISTRICT COURT 1 COUNTY OF CLARK, STATE OF NEVADA FILED IN OPEN COURT 3 LORETTA BOWMAN, GLERK 4 5 THE STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff, 6 7 Case No. C131341 vs. JAMES MONTELL CHAPPELL, 8 Defendant. 9 10 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT 11 OF JULY TRIAL, VOLUME III, AFTERNOON SESSION 12 BEFORE THE HONORABLE A. WILLIAM MAUPIN, DISTRICT JUDGE 13 OCTOBER 10, 1996 2:30 P.M. 14 APPEARANCES: 15 For the Plaintiff: MELVYN T. HARMON, ESQ Deputy District Attorney 16 17 & 18 ABBI SILVER, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney 19 For the Defendant: HOWARD S. BROOKS, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender 20 21 & 22 WILLARD N. EWING, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender 23 REPORTED BY: Marcia Leonard, RPR, CCR No. 204 24 25

1	INDEX		2
-			
2	State's Witnesses: LISA DURAN	Page	
3	Direct examination (CONT.) by Mr. Harmon:	4	
4	Cross examination by Mr. Brooks: Redirect examination by Mr. Harmon:	10 21	
5	GILES SHELDON GREEN, M.D. Direct examination by Ms. Silver:	29	
6	Cross examination by Mr. Brooks:	57	
7	Redirect examination by Ms. Silver	59	
	DANIEL PETERSON		
8	Direct examination by Mr. Harmon:	60	
9	DARREN HEINER		
10	Direct examination by Mr. Harmon: Cross examination by Mr. Brooks:	70 82	
	Closs Craminacion by Mr. Blooms.	02	
11	RUSSELL LEE		
12	Direct examination by Mr. Harmon: Cross examination by Mr. Brooks:	85 95	
12	Redirect examination by Mr. Harmon:	97	
13	Recross examination by Mr. Brooks:	98	
14	MICHAEL PERKINS		
15	Direct examination by Mr. Harmon: Cross examination by Mr. Brooks:	100 127	
10	Redirect examination by Mr. Harmon:	131	
16	Recross examination by Mr. Brooks:	132	
17			
18			
19			
20		•	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

	( -	( ` `		
				3
1		EXHIBITS		
	STATE'S	MARKED	ADMITTED	
2	1-36 41	<del></del>	104 40	
3	37-40		43	
,	43-48	<b></b>	43	
4	62	~	64	
	67	64	69	
5	68, 68-A, 68-A-1		120	
_	69-75		125	
6	76		112	
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15	5			
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

25

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA, OCTOBER 10, 1996, 2:30 P.M. 1 2 3 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONTINUED) 5 BY MR. HARMON: 6 The time interval I'm asking you about is 7 8 not before she came to Las Vegas, not before she 9 worked at GE Capital. 10 To your knowledge from the time that you met while she was working at GE Capital as a co-worker 11 with you up until she was killed, to your knowledge 12 13 was the defendant, James Chappell, employed? No, he was not. 14 Α 15 You've already described a number of 16 telephone conversations that you had with Mr. Chappell? 17 18 Yes. 19 Is there any doubt in your mind that you 20 were talking to James Chappell? 21 Α No, sir. 22 He's the person who uttered threatening 23 remarks concerning Deborah Panos? 24 Α Yes, sir. 25 Was he jealous of her?

	5
1	A Yes, sir.
2	Q Was she afraid of the defendant, Mr.
3	Chappell?
4	A Yes.
5	Q How do you know that?
6	A She told me.
7	Q On one occasion or various times?
8	A On various occasions.
9	Q To your knowledge, was she still afraid
10	of him on August the 31st, 1995?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Did she know he was out of custody the
13	morning that you saw her at work August the 31st,
14	1995?
15	MR. BROOKS: Objection, calls for
16	speculation.
17	THE COURT: Overruled.
18	THE WITNESS: No, she did not.
19	BY MR. HARMON:
20	Q You've mentioned that she was in the
21	process of moving?
22	A Yes, sir.
23	Q Was it her intention to be moved from 839
24	North Lamb Boulevard, Space Number 125, before the
25	defendant was released from jail?

1	A Yes.
2	Q Why did she want to be away from that
3	residence before he was released?
4	A Because she didn't want to be with him
5	anymore, and she just wanted out.
6	MR. HARMON: May we have the court's
7	indulgence, your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Yes.
9	BY MR. HARMON:
10	Q Miss Duran, did you know approximately
11	how long Deborah Panos and Mr. Chappell had had a
12	relationship together?
13	A Almost ten years.
14	Q Almost ten years?
15	A Yes, sir.
16	Q When you saw Debbie for about an hour at
17	GE Credit the morning of August the 31st, did you see
18	any sign of injury to her face or head or any part of
19	her body?
20	A No, sir.
21	Q Did she seem to be in a normal frame of
22	mind?
23	A Yes, sir.
24	Q After the crime was discovered, was there
25	ever a time when you had an opportunity to go into the

trailer? 1 2 Α Yes. 3 Did you know what type of housekeeper O 4 your friend was? 5 It was very hard for her to keep up 6 sometimes because she had three small children. You've already told us that they were 7 0 rather tender years, seven, five and three? 8 9 Α Yes. 10 Did you observe anything unusual when you 11 had a chance to go into the trailer after the crime 12 had been committed? 13 Α Just I -- it just looked like somebody had been through it. 14 What do you mean that it looked like 15 16 someone had been through it? 17 Someone had been through it looking for 18 something. There were papers and things all over her house. 19 20 Would it be typical for Deborah even with Q three small children in the house to leave papers 21 22 scattered around the trailer? 23 Α No, sir. 24 To your knowledge did she have kitchen 25 knives in the trailer?

1	A Yes, she did.
2	Q Would that have included steak knives?
3	A Yes, sir.
4	Q Did she just leave them lying around or
5	would she have stored any knife that she had in a
6	certain place?
7	A She kept the knives in the kitchen
8	drawer.
9	Q Was that a drawer in the kitchen?
10	A Yes, sir, between the stove and the
11	refrigerator.
12	Q Did you know from your relationship with
13	her that she would have been concerned about the
14	children having ready access to sharp instruments?
15	A Yes, sir.
16	Q Would she have been concerned?
17	A Yes, sir.
18	MR. HARMON: Your Honor, may I have the
19	court's indulgence again.
20	THE COURT: Yes.
21	MR. HARMON: May I again approach the
22	witness, your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Yes.
24	BY MR. HARMON:
25	Q Miss Duran, I'm showing you Proposed

**CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD.** 520 SOUTH 4<sup>TH</sup> STREET! SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101
Tel. 702.384-5563 | Fax. 702.974-0623

28

Docket 61967 Document 2013-34661

1 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA 2 JAMES CHAPPELL, CASE NO. 61967 3 Appellant, 4 VS. 5 THE STATE OF NEVADA 6 Respondent. 7 8 **APPENDIX** 9 **PAGE NO** 10 **VOLUME PLEADING** 11 ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND WAIVER 11 (FILED 9/26/2003) 2622-2622 520 SOUTH 4<sup>TH</sup> STREET | SECOND FLOOR 12 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 AFFIDAVITS IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR 11 CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 13 WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (FILED 3/7/2003) 2672-2682 14 AFFIDAVITS IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR 11 WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS 15 (FILED 3/10/2003) 2683-2692 16 AMENDED JURY LIST TEL. 17 (10/23/1996)2062-2062 18 10 AMENDED ORDER APPOINTING COUNSEL 2359-2359 (FILED 11/29/1999) 19 ANSWER TO MOTION TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE 20 BY THE STATE OF ANY AND ALL INFORMATION (FILED 9/11/1996) 306-308 21 12 APPLICATION AND ORDER FOR DEFENDANT 22 **CHAPPELL** (FILED 1/25/2007) 2901-2903 23 CASE APPEAL STATEMENT 24 (FILED 1/23/1997) 2202-2204 25 11 CASE APPEAL STATEMENT 2754-2756 (FILED 6/18/2004) 26 11 CASE APPEAL STATEMENT 27 (FILED 6/24/2004) 2759-2760 CASE APPEAL STATEMENT 28 20 (FILED 10/22/2012) 4517-4519 11 CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

(FILED 7/23/2004) 2780-2781 1 12 CERTIFICATE OF MAILING 2879-2880 (FILED 9/21/2006) 2 CRIMINAL BINDOVER 3 (FILED 10/10/1995) 001-037 4 20 **COURT MINUTES** 4644-4706 5 10 DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO PERMIT PETITION 6 (FILED 10/19/1999) 2324-2326 7 10 DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS 8 (FILED 10/19/1999) 2328-2332 9 9 DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR STAT OF EXECUTION (FILED 12/27/1996) 2175-2177 10 DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE REGARDING DETAILS 11 OF DEFENDANT'S RELEASE (FILED 10/4/1996) 328-335 520 SOUTH 4<sup>TH</sup> STREET | SECOND FLOOR 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 12 DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE REGARDING EVENTS CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 13 RELATED TO DEFENDANT'S ARREST FOR SHOPLIFTING ON SEPTEMBER 1, 1995 14 336-341 (FILED 10/4/1996) 15 DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO COMPEL PETROCELLI HEARING REGARDING ALLEGATIONS 16 (FILED 9/10/1996) 297-302 TEL. 17 5 DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS ALL CHARGES BASED ON STATE'S VIOLATION 18 (FILED 10/11/1996) 1070-1081 19 DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO STRIKE ALLEGATIONS OF CERTAIN AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES 20 (FILED 7/30/1996) 250-262 21 DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO STRIKE STATE'S NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK DEATH PENALTY 22 (FILED 7/23/1996) 236-249 23 DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO VACATE JUNE 3, 1996, TRIAL DATE AND CONTINUE TRIAL UNTIL SEPTEMBER 24 (FILED 4/23/1996) 210-215 25 DEFENDANT'S OFFER TO STIPULATE TO CERTAIN **FACTS** 26 (FILED 9/10/1996 303-305 27 DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO STATE'S MOTION TO ADMIT EVIDENCE OF OTHER CRIMES, WRONGS OR 28 **BAD ACTS** (FILED 9/10/1996) 287-296

12 DISTRICT COURT JURY LIST 1 (FILED 3/13/2007) 3046-3046 2 20 **DOCKETING STATEMENT** (FILED 10/30/2012) 4520-4526 3 ENTRY OF MINUTE ORDER 4 (FILED 1/3/1997) 2199-2199 5 16 ENTRY OF MINUTE ORDER (FILED 5/10/2007) 3860-3860 6 EX PARTE APPLICATION AND ORDER TO PREPARE 12 7 **TRANSCRIPTS** (FILED 1/23/2007) 2898-2900 8 EX PARTE APPLICATION AND ORDER TO PRODUCE 11 9 DEFENDANT'S INSTITUTIONAL FILE (FILED 8/24/2007) 2798-2800 10 EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR TRANSCRIPT 11 (FILED 9/27/1996) 323-325 520 SOUTH 4TH STREET | SECOND FLOOR 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 12 11 EX PARTE APPLICATION TO UNSEAL PSI CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. (FILED 11/18/2002) 2629-2631 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 13 11 EX PARTE MOTION FOR AN ORDER TO PRODUCE 14 DEFENDANT'S INSTITUTIONAL FILE (FILED 4/8/2004) 2740-2743 15 EX PARTE MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF 10 16 INVESTIGATOR AND FOR EXCESS FEES (FILED 9/18/2002) 2550-2552 TEL. 17 EX PARTE MOTION FOR CHANGE OF INVESTIGATOR, 11 18 EX PARTE MOTION FOR FEES IN EXCESS OF STATUTORY LIMIT. AND EX PARTE MOTION FOR CONTRACT VISITS 19 (FILED 10/15/2002) 2623-2626 20 10 EX PARTE MOTION FOR INTERIM PAYMENT OF EXCESS ATTORNEY'S FEES 21 (FILED 7/13/2000) 2374-2381 22 10 EX PARTE MOTION FOR INTERIM PAYMENT OF EXCESS ATTORNEY'S FEES 23 (FILED 5/17/2001) 2385-2398 EX PARTE MOTION FOR INTERIM PAYMENT OF EXCESS 10 24 ATTORNEY'S FEES (4/11/2002)2405-2415 25 EX PARTE MOTION FOR INTERIM PAYMENT OF EXCESS 10 26 ATTORNEY'S FEES (FILED 7/8/2002) 2521-2539 27 11 EX PARTE MOTION FOR INTERIM PAYMENT OF EXCESS 28 ATTORNEY'S FEES (FILED 12/11/2002) 2633-2649

11 EX PARTE MOTION FOR INTERIM PAYMENT OF EXCESS 1 ATTORNEY'S FEES (FILED 2/3/2003) 2655-2670 2 EX PARTE MOTION FOR INTERIM PAYMENT OF EXCESS 11 3 ATTORNEY'S FEES (FILED 1/27/2004) 2728-2738 4 10 EX PARTE MOTION FOR ORDER TO TRANSPORT 5 **PETITIONER** (FILED 7/30/2002) 2541-2542 6 EX PARTE MOTION FOR PAYMENT OF FINAL 11 7 ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS (FILED 7/6/2004) 2763-2772 8 EX PARTE ORDER GRANTING CHANGE OF 11 9 INVESTIGATOR, FEES IN EXCESS OF STATUTORY LIMIT. AND CONTACT VISIT 10 (FILED 10/17/2002) 2627-2628 11 11 EX PARTE ORDER TO PRODUCE INSTITUTIONAL FILE (FILED 4/12/2004) 2744-2744 520 SOUTH 4<sup>TH</sup> STREET | SECOND FLOOR 12 TEL. 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. 10 EX PARTE ORDER TO TRANSPORT PETITIONER LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 13 (FILED 7/31/2002) 2543-2543 14 11 EX PARTE ORDER TO UNSEAL PSI 2632-2632 (FILED 12/3/2002) 15 FINDINGS OF FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, 11 16 AND ORDER (FILED 6/3/2004) 2745-2748 17 20 FINDINGS OF FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, 18 AND ORDER (FILED 11/20/2012) 4527-4537 19 INFORMATION 20 038-043 (FILED 10/11/1995) 21 INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JURY 1701-1746 (FILED 10/16/1996) 22 INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JURY 23 (FILED 10/24/1996) 2134-2164 24 15 INSTRUCTIONS TO THE JURY (FILED 3/21/2007) 3742-3764 25 JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION 26 (FILED 12/31/1996) 2190-2192 27 16 JUDGMENT OF CONVICTION (FILED 5/10/2007) 3854-3855 28 **JURY LIST** (FILED 10/9/1996) 843-843

1 MEDIA REQUEST (FILED 1/3/1996) 206-206 MEDIA REOUEST 3 (FILED 10/11/1996) 1068-1068 4 MOTION AND NOTICE OF MOTION TO ENDORSE NAMES ON INFORMATION 5 (FILED 7/9/1996) 230-233 6 MOTION AND NOTICE OF MOTION TO ENDORSE NAMES ON INFORMATION 7 (FILED 8/22/1996) 276-280 8 MOTION AND NOTICE OF MOTION TO ENDORSE NAMES ON INFORMATION 9 (FILED 10/14/1996) 1347-1350 10 12 MOTION IN LIMINE TO LIMIT PENALTY HEARING EVIDENCE TO AVOID VIOLATION OF THE EIGHTH 11 **AMENDMENT** (FILED 9/20/2006) 2831-2837 520 SOUTH 4<sup>TH</sup> STREET | SECOND FLOOR 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 12 CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD, MOTION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO OBTAIN 20 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 13 A SEXUAL ASSAULT EXPERT (FILED 2/15/2012) 4556-4561 14 MOTION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO OBTAIN AN 20 15 INVESTIGATOR AND FOR PAYMENT FEES (FILED 2/15/2012) 4550-4555 16 20 MOTION FOR AUTHORIZATION TO OBTAIN TEL. 17 EXPERT SERVICES AND FOR PAYMENT FEES (FILED 2/15/2012) 4485-4490 18 MOTION TO ALLOW JURY QUESTIONNAIRE 12 19 (FILED 9/20/2006) 2838-2842 20 12 MOTION TO BIFURCATE PENALTY PHASE (FILED 9/20/2006) 2843-2848 21 MOTION TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE BY THE STATE 22 OF ANY AND ALL INFORMATION RELATING TO AGGRAVATING OR MITIGATING FACTORS 23 (FILED 7/31/1996) 263-270 24 MOTION TO COMPEL EXAMINATION OF DEFENDANT BY OPTOMETRIST AND OBTAIN EYE GLASSES IF 25 **NECESSARY** (FILED 8/19/1996) 271-275 26 12 MOTION TO DISMISS STAT'S NOTICE OF INTENT TO 27 SEEK DEATH PENALTY (FILED 9/20/2006) 2849-2878 28

MOTION TO REMAND FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE 12 1 CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S DEATH **REVIEW COMMITTEE** 2 (FILED 9/20/2006) 2817-2825 3 MOTION TO STRIKE SEXUAL ASSAULT AGGRAVATOR 12 4 OF THE STATE'S NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK THE **DEATH PENALTY** 5 (FILED 9/20/2006) 2801-2816 6 10 NEVADA SUPREME COURT CLERK'S CERTIFICATE JUDGEMENT -AFFIRMED 7 (FILED 11/4/1999) 2338-2353 8 11 NEVADA SUPREME COURT CLERK'S CERTIFICATE JUDGEMENT-AFFIRMED 9 (FILED 5/5/2006) 2782-2797 10 NOTICE OF APPEAL (FIELD 1/17/1997) 2200-2201 11 NOTICE OF APPEAL 11 520 SOUTH 4<sup>TH</sup> STREET | SECOND FLOOR 12 TEL. 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 (FILED 6/18/2004) 2757-2758 CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 13 20 NOTICE OF APPEAL (FILED 10/22/2012) 4515-4516 14 NOTICE OF COMPLIANCE WITH SUPREME COURT 15 **RULE 250** (FILED 3/17/1997) 2205-2206 16 11 NOTICE OF CROSS-APPEAL 17 (FILED 6/24/2004) 2761-2762 18 12 NOTICE OF DEFENDANT'S EXPERT WITNESS (FIELD 2/15/2007) 2927-2977 19 NOTICE OF DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES 12 20 (FIELD 3/1/2007) 3043-3045 21 20 NOTICE OF DEFICIENCY (FILED 10/23/2012) 4430-4430 22 NOTICE OF DECISION AND ORDER 11 23 (FILED 6/10/2004) 2749-2753 24 20 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF FINDINGS OF FACT CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER 25 (FLED 11/20/2012) 4538-4549 26 12 NOTICE OF EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF AGGRAVATING **CIRCUMSTANCES** 27 (FILED 2/23/2007) 3032-3038 28 12 NOTICE OF EXPERT WITNESSES 2978-3011 (FILED 2/16/2007)

NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK DEATH PENALTY 1 (11/8/1995)044-046 2 12 NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR DISCOVERY OF POTENTIAL PENALTY HEARING EVIDENCE 3 (FILED 9/20/2006) 2826-2830 4 NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO ADMIT EVIDENCE OF OTHER CRIMES, WRONGS OR BAD ACTS 5 (FILED 5/9/1996) 217-226 6 10 NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO APPOINT COUNSEL FOR CAPITAL MURDER DEFENDANT TO HELP 7 (FILED 11/2/1999) 2334-2337 8 10 NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO PLACE ON **CALENDAR** 9 (FILED 4/17/2001) 2383-2384 10 NOTICE OF MOTION AND SUPPLEMENTAL MOTION TO ADMIT EVIDENCE OF OTHER CRIMES, WRONG OR 11 **BAD ACTS** (FILED 8/29/1996) 281-283 520 SOUTH 4<sup>TH</sup> STREET | SECOND FLOOR 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 12 CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. NOTICE OF WITNESSES 12 13 (FILED 2/28/2007) 3039-3042 14 OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR STRIKE ALLEGATIONS OF CERTAIN AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES 15 (FILED 9/11/1996) 309-320 16 **ORDER** (FILED 9/25/1996) 321-322 TEL. 17 **ORDER** 18 (FILED 9/27/1996) 326-327 19 12 ORDER (FILED 1/29/2007) 2904-2905 20 15 **ORDER** 21 (FILED 3/20/2007) 3628-3629 22 10 ORDER APPOINTING COUNSEL (FILED 11/16/1999) 2357-2357 23 ORDER APPOINTING INVESTIGATOR AND 10 24 **GRANTING EXCESS FEES** (FILED 9/24/2002) 2553-2553 25 ORDER FOR PRODUCTION OF INMATE 16 26 (FILED 3/29/2007) 3831-3832 27 ORDER FOR STAY OF EXECUTION (FILED 12/30/1996) 2178-2178 28 ORDER FOR TRANSCRIPT (FILED 10/7/1996) 354-354

ORDER FOR TRANSCRIPT 10 1 (FILED 11/19/1999) 2358-2358 2 11 ORDER GRANTING FINAL PAYMENT OF ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS 3 (FILED 7/12/2004) 2773-2773 4 10 ORDER GRANTING INTERIM PAYMENT OF EXCESS ATTORNEY'S FEES 5 (FILED 7/24/2000) 2382-2382 6 10 ORDER GRANTING INTERIM PAYMENT OF EXCESS ATTORNEY'S FEES 7 2399-2399 (FILED 6/7/2001) 8 10 ORDER GRANTING INTERIM PAYMENT OF EXCESS ATTORNEY'S FEES 9 (FILED 4/12/2002) 2416-2416 10 10 ORDER GRANTING INTERIM PAYMENT OF EXCESS ATTORNEY'S FEES 11 (FILED 7/10/2002) 2540-2540 520 SOUTH 4TH STREET | SECOND FLOOR 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 12 11 ORDER GRANTING INTERIM PAYMENT OF EXCESS CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. ATTORNEY'S FEES LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 13 (FILED 12/12/2002) 2650-2650 14 11 ORDER GRANTING INTERIM PAYMENT OF EXCESS ATTORNEY'S FEES 15 (FILED 1/28/2004) 2739-2739 16 ORDER GRANTING PERMISSION OF MEDIA ENTRY (FILED 1/3/1996) 207-207 TEL. 17 ORDER GRANTING PERMISSION OF MEDIA ENTRY 18 (FILED 10/11/1996) 1069-1069 19 ORDER OF EXECUTION (FILED 13/31/1996) 2198-2198 20 ORDER OF EXECUTION 16 21 (FILED 5/10/2007) 3856-3856 22 10 ORDER RE: PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (FILED 10/20/1999) 2333-2333 23 ORDER TO ENDORSE NAMES ON INFORMATION 24 (FILED 7/15/1996) 234-235 25 ORDER TO ENDORSE NAMES ON INFORMATION (FILED 9/4/1996) 284-286 26 ORDER TO ENDORSE NAMES ON INFORMATION 27 (FILED 10/14/1996) 1345-1346 28 16 ORDER TO STAY EXECUTION (5/14/2007)3861-3861

	1	1	ORDER TO TRANSPORT (FILED 4/26/1996)	216-216
	2	9	PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (FILED 10/19/1999)	2258-2316
	4	10	PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	2217 2222
	5		(FILED 10/19/1999)	2317-2322
	6	10	PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS (FILED 10/19/1999)	2323-2323
	7	10	PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS	
	8		MOTION TO PERMIT PETITION TO CONTAIN LEGAL CITATIONS (FILED 10/19/1999)	2327-2327
	10	11	POST EVIDENTIARY HEARING BRIEF	
	11		(FILED 7/14/2003)	2693-2725
<b>D.</b> • Floor • 1-0623	12	18	PRE-SENTENCE INVESTIGATION REPORT NOT FILED	
M, LT ECOND 89101 02.97	13		(CONFIDENTIAL)	
TOPHER R. ORAM, LT 14th Street   Second Vegas, Nevada 89101 84-5563   Fax. 702.97	14	16	PROPOSED JURY VERDICTS NOT FILED	
CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. SOUTH 4 <sup>TH</sup> STREET! SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101702.384-5563   FAx. 702.974-0623	15 16	20	RECEIPT FOR DOCUMENTS (FILED 10/24/2012)	4429-4429
CHI 520 SOU LA TEL. 702	17	20	RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT RE: EVIDENTIARY HEARING: ARGUMENT	
	18		MONDAY, OCTOBER 19, 2012 (FILED 10/29/2012)	4417-4428
	19	20	RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT RE: STATUS CHECK	
	20		WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 29, 2012 (FILED 1/15/2013)	4413-4428
	21	20	REPLY TO STATE'S RESPONSES TO SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF	
	22		(FILED 7/30/2012)	4491-4514
	23			
	24	1	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 3, 1995 PRELIMINARY HEARING (FILED 11/14/1995)	047-205
	25	1	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MAY 1, 1996	
	26		TRIAL SETTING (FILED 5/9/1996)	227-229
	27	2	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 7. 1996	,,
	28		VOLUME 1- MORNING SESSION (FILED 10/8/1996)	355-433

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 7, 1996 2-3 1 **VOLUME 1- AFTERNOON SESSION** (FILED 10/8/1996) 434-617 2 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 8, 1996 3-4 3 **VOLUME 2- MORNING SESSION** (FILED 10/9/1996) 717-842 4 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 8, 1996 5 **VOLUME 2-AFTERNOON SESSION** (FILED 10/9/1996) 618-716 6 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 10, 1996 7 **VOLUME 3-MORNING SESSION** (FILED 10/11/1996) 846-933 8 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 10, 1996 9 **VOLUME 3- AFTERNOON SESSION** (FILED 10/11/1996) 934-1067 10 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 11, 1996 11 **VOLUME 4- MORNING SESSION** (FILED 10/14/1996) 1082-1191 520 SOUTH 4<sup>TH</sup> STREET | SECOND FLOOR 12 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 11, 1996 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 13 **VOLUME 4- AFTERNOON SESSION** (FILED 10/14/1996) 1192-1344 14 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 14, 1996 15 **VOLUME 5- MORNING SESSION** (FILED 10/15/1996) 1472-1529 16 6 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 14, 1996 **VOLUME 5- AFTERNOON SESSION** TEL. 17 (FILED 10/15/1996) 1351-1471 18 6-7 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 15,1996 VOLUME 6 19 (FILED 10/16/1996) 1530-1700 20 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 16,1996 VOLUME 7 21 (FILED 10/17/1996) 1750-1756 22 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 21, 1996 PENALTY PHASE VOLUME 1- MORNING SESSION 23 (FILED 10/22/1996) 1757-1827 24 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 21, 1996 PENALTY PHASE VOLUME 1- AFTERNOON SESSION 25 (FILED 10/22/1996) 1828-1952 26 8 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 22, 1996 PENALTY PHASE VOLUME 2 27 (FILED 10/23/1996) 1953-2061 28 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 23, 1996 PENALTY PHASE VOLUME 3 (FILED 10/24/1996) 2063-2122

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF OCTOBER 24, 1996 1 PENALTY PHASE VOLUME 4 (FILED 10/24/1996) 2123-2133 2 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF DECEMBER 11, 1996 3 2172-2174 (FILED 12/12/1996) 4 Q REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF DECEMBER 30,1996 (FILED 12/31/1996) 2179-2189 5 10 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF NOVEMBER 8, 1999 6 STATE'S MOTIONS (FILED 1/13/2000) 2363-2365 7 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF NOVEMBER 15,1999 10 8 (FILED 11/16/1999) 2354-2356 9 10 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF DECEMBER 15, 1999 (FILED 12/16/1999) 2360-2362 10 10 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JANUARY 19, 2000 11 STATUS CHECK (FILED 2/29/2000) 2366-2370 520 SOUTH 4TH STREET | SECOND FLOOR 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 12 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JUNE 27, 2000 10 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 13 (FILED 6/28/2000) 2371-2373 14 11 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF NOVEMBER 6, 2000 **HEARING: WRIT** 15 (FILED 12/23/2002) 2651-2654 16 10 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JUNE 12, 2001 (FILED 6/13/2001) 2400-2402 TEL. 17 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JULY 26, 2001 10 18 STATUS CHECK ON BRIEFING SCHEDULE (FILED 8/28/2001) 2403-2404 19 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JULY 25, 2002 10 20 HEARING: WRIT (FILED 8/19/2002) 2544-2549 21 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF SEPTEMBER 13, 2002 11 22 2554-2621 (FILED 9/24/2002) 23 11 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF APRIL 2, 2004 DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF 24 **HABEAS CORPUS** (FILED 7/23/2004) 2774-2779 25 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JULY 17, 2006 12 26 STATE'S REQUEST PER SUPREME COURT REMITTITUR (FILED 2/13/2007) 2924-2926 27 12 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JULY 25, 2006 28 (FILED 2/9/2007) 2912-2914

CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD.

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OG OCTOBER 3, 2006 12 1 **HEARING ON MOTIONS** (FILED 2/9/2007) 2918-2920 2 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF NOVEMBER 2, 2006 12 3 HEARING ON DEFENDANT'S MOTIONS (FILED 2/9/2007) 2921-2923 4 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF NOVEMBER 16, 2006 12 5 RE: HEARING ON DEFENDANT'S MOTIONS (FILED 2/9/2007) 2915-2917 6 12 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JANUARY 11, 2007 7 PRE-PENALTY PHASE MOTIONS (FILED 2/20/2007) 3012-3031 8 16 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF JANUARY 11 9 PRE-PENALTY MOTIONS (FILED 4/9/2007) 3833-3853 10 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MARCH 14, 2007 13 11 MORNING SESSION (FILED 3/15/2007) 3047-3166 520 SOUTH 4TH STREET | SECOND FLOOR 12 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MARCH 14, 2007 13 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 13 AFTERNOON SESSION (FILED 3/15/2007) 3167-3222 14 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MARCH 15, 2007 14 15 MORNING SESSION (FILED 3/16/2007) 3268-3404 16 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MACH 15, 2007 13 TEL. 17 AFTERNOON SESSION (FILED 3/16/2007) 3223-3267 18 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MARCH 16, 2007 14-15 19 MORNING SESSION 3450-3627 (FILED 3/19/2007) 20 14 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MARCH 16, 2007 21 AFTERNOON SESSION (3/19/2007)3405-3449 22 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MARCH 19, 2007 15 23 PENALTY HEARING 3630-3736 (FILED 3/20/2007) 24 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MARCH 20, 2007 16 25 PENALTY HEARING 3765-3818 (FILED 3/21/2007) 26 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MARCH 21, 2007 16 27 PENALTY HEARING VERDICT (FILED 3/22/2007) 3819-3830 28

REQUEST FOR PREPARATION OF TRANSCRIPT 12 1 OF PROCEEDINGS (FILED 2/6/2007) 2906-2911 2 REOUEST FOR PREPARATION OF TRANSCRIPT 16 3 **OF PROCEEDINGS** (FILED 5/17/2007) 3862-3866 4 SPECIAL VERDICT 5 (FILED 10/24/1996) 2168-2169 6 SPECIAL VERDICT (FILED 10/24/1996) 2170-2171 7 SPECIAL VERDICT 15 8 (FILED 3/21/2007) 3737-3737 9 15 SPECIAL VERDICT (FILED 3/21/2007) 3738-3738 10 SPECIAL VERDICT 15 11 (FILED 3/21/2007) 3739-3740 520 SOUTH 4TH STREET | SECOND FLOOR 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 12 12 STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR DISCOVERY OF POTENTIAL PENALTY HEARING LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 13 **EVIDENCE** (FILED 9/29/2006) 2888-2889 14 STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION 12 15 IN LIMINE TO LIMIT PENALTY HEARING EVIDENCE TO AVOID VIOLATION 16 (FILED 9/29/2006) 2895-2897 TEL. 17 12 STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO ALLOW JURY QUESTIONNAIRE 18 (FILED 9/29/2006) 2886-2887 19 STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION 12 TO BIFURCATE PENALTY PHASE 20 (FILED 9/26/2006) 2893-2894 21 12 STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS STATE'S NOTICE OF INTENT TO SEEK 22 DEATH PENALTY (FILED 9/29/2006) 2881-2883 23 STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION 12 24 TO REMAND FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S DEATH REVIEW 25 **COMMITTEE** (FILED 9/29/2006) 2884-2885 26 STATE'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION 12 27 TO STRIKE SEXUAL ASSAULT AGGRAVATOR (FILED 9/29/2006) 2890-2892 28 20 STATE'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR LEAVE TO CONDUCT DISCOVERY

CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD,

4479-4485 (FILED 5/16/2012) 1 STATE'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR AUTHORIZATION 20 2 TO OBTAIN EXPERT SERVICES AND PAYMENT OF FEES (FILED 5/16/2012) 4468-4473 3 STATE'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR AUTHORIZATION 20 4 TO OBTAIN SEXUAL ASSAULT EXPERT AND PAYMENT OF FEES, AND OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR INVESTIGATOR 5 AND PAYMENT FEES (FILED 5/16/2012) 4474-4478 6 20 STATE'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR 7 WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS AND DEFENDANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF 8 (FILED 5/16/2012) 4431-4467 9 10 STATE'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS 10 (FILED 6/19/2002) 2481-2520 11 STIPULATION AND ORDER (FILED 5/27/1997) 2207-2257 520 SOUTH 4<sup>TH</sup> STREET | SECOND FLOOR 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623 12 STIPULATION AND ORDER EXTENDING TIME 11 13 (FILED 9/2/2003) 2726-2727 14 STIPULATION REGARDING BRIEFING SCHEDULE (FILED 3/27/1996) 208-209 15 STIPULATION TO CERTAIN FACTS 16 (FILED 10/10/1996) 844-845 TEL. 17 2 SUMMARY OF JUROR OUESTIONNAIRE DEVELOPMENTS (FILED 10/4/1996) 342-353 18 SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S 20 19 WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS (FILED 2/15/2012) 4562-4643 20 SUPPLEMENTAL INSTRUCTION 21 2165-2166 (FILED 10/24/1996) 22 10 SUPPLEMENTAL PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS **CORPUS** 23 (FILED 4/30/2002) 2417-2480 24 VERDICT (FILED 10/24/1996) 2167-2167 25 VERDICT 15 26 (FILED 3/21/2007) 3741-3741 27 VERDICT-COUNT I (FILED 10/16/1996) 1747-1747 28 VERDICT- COUNT II (FILED 10/16/1996) 1748-1748

CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD,

	1	7	VERDICT - COUNT III (FILED 10/16/1996)	1749-1749
	2	9	WARRANT OF EXECUTION (FILED 12/31/1996)	2193-2197
	4	16	WARRANT OF EXECUTION (FILED 5/10/2007)	3857-3859
	5			
	6			
	7			
	8			
	9			
	10			
	11			
CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. SOUTH 4 <sup>TH</sup> STREET! SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 702.384-5563   FAx. 702.974-0623	12			
CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. SOUTH 4 <sup>TH</sup> STREET   SECOND FLOOI LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 . 702.384-5563   FAX. 702.974-0623	13			
REET!	14			
<b>ГОРНЕК</b> [4 <sup>тн</sup> ST /EGAS, 84-5563	15			
CHRIST SOUTH LAS V	16			
520 Si	17			
	18			
	19			
	20			
	21			
	<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>			
	24			
	25			
	26			
	27			
	28			
	20			

## CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, LTD. 520 SOUTH 4<sup>TH</sup> STREET | SECOND FLOOR LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 TEL. 702.384-5563 | FAX. 702.974-0623

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify and affirm that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on this 18<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2013. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

CATHERINE CORTEZ-MASTO
 Nevada Attorney General
 STEVE OWENS
 Chief Deputy District Attorney
 CHRISTOPHER R. ORAM, ESQ.

BY:

/s/ Jessie Vargas
An Employee of Christopher R. Oram, Esq.