1 2 3 4 5 6		CT COURT
7	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	
8	******	
9	) THE STATE OF NEVADA	
10	)	CASE NO.: 04C204957
11	Plaintiff, )	DEPT. NO.: III
12	VS. ) RICKIE SLAUGHTER JR. )	
13	)	
14	Defendant. )	
15		
16	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
17	I hereby certify that on the $\underline{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathcal{M}}$ day of November, 2012, I served a true and correct copy	
18	of the above and foregoing <b>CASE APPEAL STATEMENT</b> via first-class mail, postage prepaid,	
19	addressed to the following:	
20	STEVEN B. WOLFSON	CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTRO
21	District Attorney's Office 200 E. Lewis Ave.	State Attorney General's Office 100 North Carson Street
22	Las Vegas, NV 89011	Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717
23	RICKIE SLUAGHTER JR.	
24	#85902 22010 Cold Creek Road	
25	Indian Springs, Nevada 89070	
26		Jrantin allin
27	Ē	mployee of GAMAGE & GAMAGE
28		
	Pag	ge 1 of 1 Docket 61991 Document 2012-35392

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

#### **INDICATE FULL CAPTION:**

Rickie Slaughter Jr.,

Appellant,

VS.

The State of Nevada,

Respondent

## No. 61991 Electronically Filed Nov 08 2012 02:14 p.m. DOCKETING **Stacie: MENI** CRIMINAL **Clerkeot IS**upreme Court

(Including appeals from pretrial and postconviction rulings and other requests for postconviction relief)

## **GENERAL INFORMATION**

- 1. Judicial District
   Eighth
   County\_Clark

   Judge
   Douglas W. Herndon
   District Ct Case No. 04C204957
- 2. If the defendant was given a sentence,
  - (a) what is the sentence?

See attached, Exhibit 1.

- (b) has the sentence been stayed pending appeal? No.
- (c) was defendant admitted to bail pending appeal? No.
- 3. Was counsel in the district court appointed  $\checkmark$  or retained  $\sim$ ?

### 4. Attorney filing this docketing statement:

Attorney William H. Gamage	Telephone (702) 386-9529
Firm: Gamage & Gamage	-
Address: 5580 South Fort Apache Rd., Suite 110 Las Vegas, NV 89148	
Client(s) Rickie Slaughter, Jr.	
5. Is appellate counsel appointed <u></u> or retained <u></u>	<u>?</u> ?

If this is a joint statement by multiple appellants, add the names and addresses of other counsel on an additional sheet accompanied by a certification that they concur in the filing of this statement.

#### 6. Attorney(s) representing respondent(s):

Attorney <u>Mark DiGiacomo</u> Firm: Clark County District Attorney's Office	Telephone (702) 671-2833
Address: 200 E. Lewis Ave. Las Vegas, NV 89101	
Client(s) The State of Nevada	
Attorney <u>Michelle Fleck</u> Firm: Clark County District Attorney's Office	Telephone (702) 671-2702
Address: 200 E. Lewis Ave. Las Vegas, NV 89101	
Client(s) The State of Nevada	
(List additional counsel o	n separate sheet if necessary)
7. Nature of disposition below:	
<ul> <li>☐ Judgment after bench trial</li> <li>☑ Judgment after jury verdict</li> <li>☐ Judgment upon guilty plea</li> </ul>	□ Grant of pretrial habeas □ Grant of motion to suppress evidence □ Post-conviction habeas (NRS ch. 34)

- □ Grant of pretrial motion to dismiss
- $\Box$  Parole/Probation revocation
- $\blacksquare$  Motion for new trial
- 🗆 grant 🛛 denial
- $\Box$  Motion to withdraw guilty plea
  - □ grant □ denial

- 🗆 grant 🛛 denial
- $\Box$  Other disposition (specify)

## 8. Does this appeal raise issues concerning any of the following:

$\Box$ death sentence	
□ life sentence	

□ juvenile offender ☑ pretrial proceedings

9. **Expedited appeals:** The court may decide to expedite the appellate process in this matter. Are you in favor of proceeding in such manner?



10. **Pending and prior proceedings in this court.** List the case name and docket number of all appeals or original proceedings presently or previously pending before this court which are related to this appeal (e.g, separate appeals by co-defendants, appeal after post-conviction proceedings):

SLAUGHTER, JR. (RICKIE) VS. STATE, 48742; SLAUGHTER, JR. (RICKIE) VS. STATE, 52385; SLAUGHTER, JR. (RICKIE) VS. STATE, 54296; SLAUGHTER (RICKIE) VS. DIST. CT. (STATE), 58220.

11. **Pending and prior proceedings in other courts.** List the case name, number and court of all pending and prior proceedings in other courts that are related to this appeal (e.g., habeas corpus proceedings in state or federal court, bifurcated proceedings against co-defendants):

The State of Nevada vs. Rickie Slaughter Jr., 04C204957 Eighth Judicial District Court, Department III.

12. Nature of action. Briefly describe the nature of the action and the result below:

This criminal action was originally resolved subject to a plea agreement. After Appellant's Writ of Habeas Corpus was denied by the district court, he appealed the denial to the Nevada Supreme Court. On January 24, 2007 the Nevada Supreme Court affirmed in part, revered in part, and remanded the matter to the district court for an evidentiary hearing on whether Appellant's plea was voluntary and informed. On remand, the district court denied Appellant's claim that his guilty plea was involuntarily entered, but ordered modification to his sentence. On appeal in a decision dated March 27, 2009, the Nevada Supreme Court ruled that Appellant did enter into his plea involuntarily, and found the modifications to his sentence as inappropriate. The Court granted Appellant an opportunity to withdraw his guilty plea. Appellant's jury trial was held from May 11, 2011 through May 20, 2011. Appellant was convicted on all counts on May 20, 2011 and subsequently filed a number of motions in the hope of obtaining a new trial. All of Appellant's motions were denied, and sentencing occurred on October 16, 2012. The Judgment of Conviction was filed on October 22, 2012.

13. **Issues on appeal.** State concisely the principal issue(s) in this appeal:

1. Denial of Motion to Preclude Suggestive Identification;

2. Denial of Motion to Dismiss or Suppress identification because of the State's failure to produce or preserve exculpatory identification information;

3. Denial of Motion to preclude the statement of Tiffany Johns (Holly);

4. Denial of Motion to Exclude 7-11 Surveillance Video;

5. Prosecutorial Misconduct in failing to produce or preserve exculpatory evidence relating to identification by a number of the witnesses;

6. Prosecutorial Misconduct in making improper statements during closing arguments;

7. Brady challenge to the prosecution's failing to produce or preserve exculpatory evidence relating to identification by a number of the witnesses;

8. Denial of Motion to Disgualify Judge Herndon;

9. Batson challenge that peremptory challenges during jury selection resulted in the exclusion of a cognizable group.;

10. Cumulative Error of aggregated preserved and non-preserved errors that may be, individually, harmless is sufficient to establish plain error;

11. The Mansford Standard for regulating suggestive identification should be modified;

12. Actual Innocence- No reasonable juror could have conicted Appellant with the evidence in this case:

13. As Appellant's Counsel has yet to receive all of the materials from this case at this time,

Appellant reserves the right to supplement these issues prior to his opening brief.

14. **Constitutional issues.** If the State is not a party and if this appeal challenges the constitutionality of a statute or municipal ordinance, have you notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130?

N/A Yes No No

15. Issues of first-impression or of public interest. Does this appeal present a substantial legal issue of first-impression in this jurisdiction or one affecting an important public interest?

First-impression: Yes No No Public interest: Yes No

16. Length of trial. If this action proceeded to trial or evidentiary hearing in the district court, how many days did the trial or evidentiary hearing last?

17. **Oral argument.** Would you object to submission of this appeal for disposition without oral argument?

Yes	$\checkmark$	No	

# TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL

18. Date district court announced decision, sentence or order appealed from \_\_\_\_\_October 16, 2012

19. Date of entry of written judgment or order appeal from October 22, 2012

 (a) If no written judgment or order was filed in the district court, explain the basis for seeking appellate review: N/A.

20. If this appeal is from an order granting or denying a petition for a writ of habeas corpus, indicate the date written notice of entry of judgment or order was served by the district court

(a) Was service by delivery \_\_\_\_\_ or by mail \_\_\_\_\_.

21. If the time for filing the notice of appeal was tolled by a post judgment motion,

(a) Specify the type of motion, and the date of filing of the motion:

Arrest judgment <u>N/A</u>	Date filed
New trial	Date filed
(newly discovered evidence)	
New trial	Date filed
(other grounds)	

(b) Date of entry of written order resolving motion \_\_\_\_\_

22. Date notice of appeal filed October 24, 2012

23. Specify statute or rule governing the time limit for filing the notice of appeal, e.g., NRAP 4(b), NRS 34.560, NRS 34.575, NRS 177.015(2), or otherNRAP 4(b)

## SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY

24. Specify statute, rule or other authority that grants this court jurisdiction to review from:

NRS 177.015(1)(b) DC denied new trial.	NRS 34.560
NRS 177.015(1)(c)	NRS 34.575(1)
NRS 177.015(2)	NRS 34.575(2)
NRS 177.015(3) JOC entered 10/22/2012	Other (specify)
NRS 177.055	

### VERIFICATION

I certify that the information provided in this docketing statement is true and complete to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Rickie Slaughter Jr.

William H. Gamage

Name of appellant

Name of counsel of record

November, 2012

Date

Signature of counsel of record

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of  $\underline{Nov}$ , 20\_\_\_\_\_, I served a copy of this completed docketing statement upon all counsel of record:

 $\Box$  by personally serving it upon him/her; or

 $\boxdot$  by mailing it by first class mail with sufficient postage prepaid to the following address(es):

Dated this \_\_\_\_\_ day of November \_\_\_\_, 2012.

Signature