1 2 3 4 5	GAMAGE & GAMAGE William H. Gamage, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 009024 5580 South Fort Apache Street, Suite 110 Las Vegas, Nevada 89148 Telephone: (702) 386-9529 Facsimile: (702) 382-9529 Attorneys for Defendant	
6 7	1	ICT COURT UNTY, NEVADA
8		*****
9		
10	THE STATE OF NEVADA	) )
11	Plaintiff,	CASE NO.: 04C204957 DEPT. NO.: III
12	vs.	) )
13	RICKIE SLAUGHTER JR.	
14	Defendant.	) )
15		
16	,	TE OF SERVICE
17	I hereby certify that on the $\frac{6m}{}$ day of	November, 2012, I served a true and correct copy
18	of the above and foregoing <b>CASE APPEAL STATEMENT</b> via first-class mail, postage prepaid,	
19	addressed to the following:	
20	STEVEN B. WOLFSON	CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTRO
21	District Attorney's Office 200 E. Lewis Ave.	State Attorney General's Office 100 North Carson Street
22	Las Vegas, NV 89011	Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717
23	RICKIE SLUAGHTER JR.	
24	#85902 22010 Cold Creek Road	
25	Indian Springs, Nevada 89070	
26		Transin allin
27	Ē	mployee of GAMAGE & GAMAGE
28		

Page 1 of 1 Docket 61991 Document 2012-35392

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

## INDICATE FULL CAPTION:

Rickie Slaughter Jr., Appellant, vs. The State of Nevada, Respondent	CRIMINAL (Including appeals from	Electronically Filed Nov 08 2012 02:14 p.m.  Tacie M. Hindeman  L. Qlerkrof Supreme Court  m pretrial and post- other requests for post-	
GENERAL II	NFORMATION		
1. Judicial District Eighth County Clark  Judge Douglas W. Herndon District Ct Case No. 04C204957  2. If the defendant was given a sentence,  (a) what is the sentence?  See attached, Exhibit 1.			
(b) has the sentence been stayed pending appeal?  No.			
(c) was defendant admitted to bail pending appeal?  No.			
3. Was counsel in the district court appointed	or retained	<b>∟</b> ?	
4. Attorney filing this docketing statement:			
Attorney William H. Gamage Firm: Gamage & Gamage  Address: 5580 South Fort Apache Rd., Suite 1 Las Vegas, NV 89148	Telephone <u>(70</u>	02) 386-9529	
Client(s) Rickie Slaughter, Jr.			
5. Is appellate counsel appointed or retain	ned?		

If this is a joint statement by multiple appellants, add the names and addresses of other counsel on an additional sheet accompanied by a certification that they concur in the filing of this statement.

6. Attorney(s) representing respondent(	s):
Attorney Mark DiGiacomo	Telephone (702) 671-2833
Firm: Clark County District Attorney's Office	
Address: 200 E. Lewis Ave.	
Las Vegas, NV 89101	
Client(s) The State of Nevada	
Attorney Michelle Fleck	Telephone (702) 671-2702
Firm: Clark County District Attorney's Office	Telephone
Address: 200 E. Lewis Ave.	
Las Vegas, NV 89101	
Client(s) The State of Nevada	
(List additional counsel o	on separate sheet if necessary)
7. Nature of disposition below:	
☐ Judgment after bench trial ☐ Judgment after jury verdict ☐ Judgment upon guilty plea ☐ Grant of pretrial motion to dismiss ☐ Parole/Probation revocation ☐ Motion for new trial ☐ grant ☐ denial ☐ Motion to withdraw guilty plea ☐ grant ☐ denial	☐ Grant of pretrial habeas ☐ Grant of motion to suppress evidence ☐ Post-conviction habeas (NRS ch. 34) ☐ grant ☐ denial ☐ Other disposition (specify)

8. Does this appeal raise issues concerning any of the following:		
☐ death sentence☐ life sentence	☐ juvenile offender ☑ pretrial proceedings	
9. <b>Expedited appeals:</b> The court may decide matter. Are you in favor of proceeding in such		
Yes No		
of all appeals or original proceedings presently	s court. List the case name and docket number or previously pending before this court which s by co-defendants, appeal after post-conviction	
SLAUGHTER, JR. (RICKIE) VS. STATE, 48742 52385; SLAUGHTER, JR. (RICKIE) VS. STATE (STATE), 58220.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
11. Pending and prior proceedings in oth court of all pending and prior proceedings in thabeas corpus proceedings in state or federal defendants):	ther courts that are related to this appeal (e.g.,	
The State of Nevada vs. Rickie Slaughter Jr., 04 Department III.	4C204957 Eighth Judicial District Court,	
Habeas Corpus was denied by the district court Court. On January 24, 2007 the Nevada Supreremanded the matter to the district court for an ewas voluntary and informed. On remand, the displea was involuntarily entered, but ordered mod dated March 27, 2009, the Nevada Supreme Coinvoluntarily, and found the modifications to his	ect to a plea agreement. After Appellant's Writ of he appealed the denial to the Nevada Supreme me Court affirmed in part, revered in part, and evidentiary hearing on whether Appellant's plea strict court denied Appellant's claim that his guilty ification to his sentence. On appeal in a decision ourt ruled that Appellant did enter into his plea sentence as inappropriate. The Court granted lea. Appellant's jury trial was held from May 11, evicted on all counts on May 20, 2011 and ope of obtaining a new trial. All of Appellant's	

Conviction was filed on October 22, 2012.

13.	Issues on appeal.	State concisely the principal issue(s) in this appeal:
1.	Denial of Motion to P	reclude Suggestive Identification;

- 2. Denial of Motion to Dismiss or Suppress identification because of the State's failure to produce or preserve exculpatory identification information;
- 3. Denial of Motion to preclude the statement of Tiffany Johns (Holly);
- 4. Denial of Motion to Exclude 7-11 Surveillance Video:
- 5. Prosecutorial Misconduct in failing to produce or preserve exculpatory evidence relating to identification by a number of the witnesses;
- 6. Prosecutorial Misconduct in making improper statements during closing arguments;
- 7. Brady challenge to the prosecution's failing to produce or preserve exculpatory evidence relating to identification by a number of the witnesses;
- 8. Denial of Motion to Disqualify Judge Herndon;
- 9. Batson challenge that peremptory challenges during jury selection resulted in the exclusion of a cognizable group.;
- 10. Cumulative Error of aggregated preserved and non-preserved errors that may be, individually, harmless is sufficient to establish plain error;
- 11. The Mansford Standard for regulating suggestive identification should be modified;
- 12. Actual Innocence- No reasonable juror could have conicted Appellant with the evidence in this case;
- 13. As Appellant's Counsel has yet to receive all of the materials from this case at this time, Appellant reserves the right to supplement these issues prior to his opening brief.

14. <b>Constitutional issues.</b> If the State is not a party and if this appeal challenges the constitutionality of a statute or municipal ordinance, have you notified the clerk of this court
and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130?
N/A Yes No II

15. **Issues of first-impression or of public interest.** Does this appeal present a substantial legal issue of first-impression in this jurisdiction or one affecting an important public interest?

First-impression: Yes_	_ No _	<b>✓</b>
Public interest: Yes	No _	

16. <b>Length of trial.</b> If this action proceeded to tr court, how many days did the trial or evidentiary l	· ·
8 days	
17. <b>Oral argument.</b> Would you object to submiss oral argument?	ion of this appeal for disposition without
Yes No No	
TIMELINESS OF NOT	CE OF APPEAL
18. Date district court announced decision, sentend	ce or order appealed from
19. Date of entry of written judgment or order app	
<ul><li>(a) If no written judgment or order was filed in the seeking appellate review:</li><li>N/A.</li></ul>	the district court, explain the basis for
20. If this appeal is from an order granting or deny indicate the date written notice of entry of judgme	
(a) Was service by delivery or by mail	<u>]</u> .
21. If the time for filing the notice of appeal was to	lled by a post judgment motion,
(a) Specify the type of motion, and the date of	filing of the motion:
Arrest judgment N/A	Date filed
New trial	Date filed
(newly discovered evidence)	Data filed
New trial (other grounds)	Date filed
(b) Date of entry of written order resolving motion	

22. Date notice of appeal filed October 24,	2012
	e limit for filing the notice of appeal, e.g., NRAP
SUBSTANTIVE	APPEALABILITY
24. Specify statute, rule or other authority th	nat grants this court jurisdiction to review from:
NRS 177.015(1)(b) DC denied new trial.  NRS 177.015(1)(c)  NRS 177.015(2)  NRS 177.015(3) JOC entered 10/22/2012  NRS 177.055	NRS 34.560 NRS 34.575(1) NRS 34.575(2) Other (specify)
VERIF	TICATION
I certify that the information provided in this the best of my knowledge, information and be	s docketing statement is true and complete to elief.
Rickie Slaughter Jr.	William H. Gamage
Name of appellant	Name of counsel of record
November , 2012	
Date	Signature of counsel of record
CERTIFICAT	TE OF SERVICE
I certify that on the day of Nov, 2012 statement upon all counsel of record:	, I served a copy of this completed docketing
□ by personally serving it upon him/her; or	
☑ by mailing it by first class mail with suffic address(es):	ient postage prepaid to the following
Dated this day of November	, <u>20</u>
	Signature