IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JEMAR MATTHEWS,

Appellant,

Supreme Court No. 62241 Electronically Filed

Aug 14 2013 01:49 p.m.

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Tracie K. Lindeman APPELLANT'S APPENDIX of Supreme Court **VOLUME I**

Respondent,

APPELLANT'S INDEX VOLUME I

WILLIAM H. GAMAGE, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 9024 GAMAGE & GAMAGE 5580 South FT. Apache, Ste 110 Las Vegas, Nevada 89148 (702) 386-9529 (702) 382-9529 (Facsimile) Counsel for Appellant MATTHEWS

STEVEN WOLFSON

Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 671-2750 (702) 477-2957 (Facsimile)

Counsel for Respondent

CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO, ESQ.

ATTORNEY GENERAL 100 N. Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (775) 684-1100 Counsel for State of Nevada

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 12th day of August, 2013, a true and copy of above and foregoing APPELANTS APPENDIX VOL. I was served on all counsel via the Court's electronic filing system to all counsel of record listed below:

STEVEN WOLFSON

Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 671-2501 (702) 455-2294 Respondent

CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO, ESQ. ATTORNEY GENERAL 100 N. Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (775) 684-1100

JEMAR MATTHEWS

Inmate No. 1014654 Southern Desert State Prison PO Box 208 Indian Springs, Nevada 89070

/s/ William H. Gamage, Esq.

William H. Gamage, Esq.

INDEX OF APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

A. Transcript of Jury Trial, Day 1	Vol. 1 AA001-Vol. 2 AA0360
B. Transcript of Jury Trial, Day 2	Vol. 2 AA0361- Vol. 4 AA0725
C. Transcript of Jury Trial, Day 3	Vol. 4 AA0726- Vol. 5 AA1097
D. Transcript of Jury Trial, Day 4	Vol. 5 AA1097- Vol. 6 AA1419
E. Petition For Writ of Habeas Corpus	Vol. 6 AA1420- AA1430
F. Order for Petition of Writ of Habeas Corpus	Vol. 6 AA1431- AA1432
G. Supplemental Points And Authorities in Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	Vol. 6 AA1433-Vol. 7 AA1445
H. Amended Supplemental Points and Authorities in Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	Vol. 7 AA1446- AA1511
I. State's Response to Defendant's Supplemental Points and Authorities in Support of Petition for Habeas Corpus	Vol. 7 AA1512- AA1517
J. Reply to State's Response to Defendant's	Vol. 7 AA1518-AA1532

K. Recorder's Transcript of Proceedings: Hearing Vol. 7 AA1533- AA1571 on Writ of Habeas Corpus

in

Supplemental Points and Authorities

Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus

L. Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Vol. 7 AA1571- AA1578 Order on Writ of Habeas Corpus

FILED



DISTRICT COUNTY, NEVADA

* * * * * *

CLERK OF THE COURT

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

CASE NO. C-228460

Plaintiff

vs.

DEPT. NO. XVIII

PIERRE JOSHLIN
JEMAR D. MATTHEWS,

Transcript of Proceedings

Defendants

BEFORE THE HONORABLE DAVID BARKER, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

JURY TRIAL - DAY 1

MONDAY, MAY 7, 2007

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

LINDA Y. LEWIS

SAMUEL G. BATEMAN

Deputy District Attorneys

FOR DEFENDANT JOSHLIN:

PHILLIP SINGER, ESQ.

FOR DEFENDANT MATTHEWS:

DANIEL M. BUNIN, ESQ. DAY''' J. FIGLER, ESQ.

COURT RECORDER:

TRA SURIPTION BY:

RICHARD KANGAS District Court VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC

Littleton, CO 80120

(303) 798-0890

Proceedings recorded by audio-visual recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, MONDAY, MAY 7, 2007, 1:08 P.M. 1 (Prospective jurors not present) 2 THE COURT: State of Nevada, plaintiff, 3 versus Pierre Joshlin and Jamar Matthews. Present for 4 the record -- state your appearances for the record, 5 6 please. Linda Lewis for the State. MS. LEWIS: 7 MR. BATEMAN: Sam Bateman for the State. 8 Dave Figler and Dan Bunin for MR. FIGLER: 9 10 Mr. Matthews. Philip Singer for Mr. Joshlin. MR. SINGER: 11 THE COURT: Record should reflect further 12 that we're outside the presence of any jury, any jury 13 14 panel. I know when we had final calendar call on 15 Friday there were some issues that remain in terms of 16 witnesses on that supplemental witness list. Have those 17 issues been resolved? 18 MS. LEWIS: I believe so, Judge. 19 Ι contacted both the attorneys and told them exactly who 20 were the witnesses that we would call, and there were 21 about three or four names. 22 I gave them a list of all of our witnesses 23 from A to Z, basically, but off of that specific list 24 that there was an issue which I gave them the three or 25

four officers that we intended to call. 1 THE COURT: Is there anything we need to 2 address outside the presence of the jury prior to jury 3 4 selection as a consequence of that conversation, 5 Mr. Figler? MR. FIGLER: I don't believe so, Judge. Ι 6 prepared as I do in every single case what I call a 7 bench brief. 8 It just has some issues that may come up 9 10 during the course of the case just to give the Court and the prosecution a heads-up, but none of that would 11 impact the jury selection. 12 And I can give that in -- I'll file that in 13 open court, give that to them today. And if there's 14 anything that comes out of that, we could address, 15 Your Honor, tomorrow prior to the jury coming in. 16 THE COURT: And we had also an issue of the 17 Is that answers -- what's the situation there? 18 DNA. (Off-record colloquy) 19 MR. FIGLER: Again, Your Honor, we have an 20 objection to the introduction of any DNA evidence based 21 22 on notice requirements, statutory requirements. We haven't had any reports that are 23 submitted to us which link Mr. Matthews, and I believe 24 Mr. Joshlin neither to any specific items in this 25

particular case. And as such, we're ready to go forward 1 and don't anticipate the State attempting to introduce 2 3 anything. THE COURT: State. 4 Judge, it's my understanding MR. BATEMAN: 5 that over the course of a weekend we learned that we did 6 actually get the comparisons back between the buccal 7 swabs of the two codefendants and then whatever DNA was found and whatever it is that was originally tested. 9 That's my understanding that it -- what were the 10 results? 11 MS. LEWIS: (Indiscernible). 12 MR. BATEMAN: I think the results -- and as 13 I sit here right now, I don't know exactly what it is, 14 but I think that it tied one of them to the gloves and 15 one of them couldn't be excluded to the other. 16 So I know the Court's already made its 17 ruling, and none of that's coming in. If there is 18 reference to it, though, by the defense --19 20 THE COURT: Well, the concern that I had that I expressed on Wednesday and Friday was the 21 potential Brady implications if the information wasn't 22 What's the defense's position regarding that? provided. 23 MR. FIGLER: Well, I mean, if there's some 24 exculpatory evidence that exists, then it certainly is 25

```
for us to contemplate and they'd have a duty to turn it
1
          That's very different from the issue of
2
   admissibility based on statutory compliance.
3
               THE COURT: Well, I just don't want see
4
   whatever happens in this case -- frankly, I don't care
5
   what the verdict is, but whatever the verdict might be,
6
   I want to make sure all the issues on potential appeal
7
   are covered. So if there's a potential Brady issue, we
8
   need to make sure the record's clear now.
               MR. FIGLER: Well, so long as the State
10
   provides us -- I appreciate Mr. Bateman's
11
   representations to the Court.
12
               If there is a formalized document that sums
13
14
   up what Mr. Bateman made as an offer of proof to the
   Court, we would, of course, be entitled to that, but
15
16
   that doesn't change our position with regard to
   admissibility.
17
               THE COURT: All right. And as a consequence
18
   of that, are both sides prepared to proceed?
19
20
               MR. BATEMAN:
                              Absolutely.
               MR. FIGLER: Yes, Your Honor.
21
22
               THE COURT: All right.
               MR. SINGER: Yes, Your Honor.
23
               THE COURT: Then we need to get the panel.
24
   Oh, it appears that we're amending the information to
25
```

```
include on page 1 -- or at least there's a request to
1
   amend the information on page 1, line 21, to
2
   Pierre Joshlin and Jamar Matthews; is that correct?
3
               MR. BATEMAN: I believe that's just a typo,
4
   Judge, and that's why we're removing to include both.
5
   If you look at the next word, it's "defendants". It was
6
   just simply the name was left out.
7
8
               At no time, you know, was there any
   indication the State wasn't proceeding against both
9
10
   defendants. Their name is defendants in each of the
   counts so --
11
               MR. FIGLER: I'm sorry. On which line,
12
   Your Honor?
13
               MR. BATEMAN: It would be 21 --
14
               THE COURT: 21.
15
               MR. BATEMAN: -- on the first page.
16
                     (Off-record colloquy)
17
               THE COURT: -- of the information.
18
               MR. FIGLER: We'd submit it, Your Honor.
19
   We're on notice that we're --
20
               THE COURT: Both.
21
               MR. FIGLER: That's correct.
22
               THE COURT: All right. With that, then, the
23
   amendment will be approved and we'll --
24
               MR. BATEMAN: The only other issue, Judge,
25
```

1 is I think we just E-mailed your court clerk what is already kind of we started creating an exhibit list 2 because we're basically going to be bringing in a bunch 3 of firearm-related exhibits. They're in a multitude of 4 5 basically envelopes and packages. We're going to have to do A, Bs and Cs and contents and thing like that. 6 7 So we've already kind of sent the E-mail out so that it might be easier for your court clerk if and 8 when you choose to allow us to admit them or not that 9 she can track the evidence a little more easily, and I 10 11 wouldn't imagine the defense would have too much of a problem with that. 12 13 THE CLERK: I've not received it yet, sir. (Off-record colloquy) 14 15 MR. FIGLER: And I think --MR. BATEMAN: We're working on it. 16 17 MR. FIGLER: And to that end, Your Honor, I think that the parties can stipulate as to a lot of that 18 19 stuff to make it easier and more efficient for the court clerk so --20 21 THE COURT: All right. MR. FIGLER: -- we're available for that. 22 23 THE COURT: That's great. The only final thing that I wanted to bring up was, Mr. Figler, on 24 25 Friday you made an oral motion with respect to your

client's concern about me sitting as a judge based upon my prior relationship with the District Attorney's Office. I know you made a general statement.

Is there a specific area that you're more concerned with than another so the record can be clear on where your concern is, sir?

MR. FIGLER: Your Honor, just to sum up it was kind of a two part concern because I hadn't been in this situation before, and I'm certain that Your Honor hasn't been the situation before either.

THE COURT: I haven't.

MR. FIGLER: Whereupon, we had a judge who had ruled in the case on prior proceedings. And pursuant to the district court rules, it's our interpretation that absent exigent circumstances that the initial judge is supposed to stay with a particular case.

That said, I received notice on Friday prior to the calendar call in this particular case. Actually, I think it was even before then, yeah, Friday before the calendar call, that my case or this case, rather, was being reassigned to this honorable Court.

There was no -- and it was an E-mail, basically, or a sheet that went out to everyone in the bar. It wasn't personally addressed to me. It was

placed in my box on the third floor of the district court courthouse.

It gave no explanation as to why

Judge Halverson was no longer going to be on the case,

and I'm not at liberty to speculate, obviously, and I

had made inquiry and none was provided to me.

I made inquiry of this Court, and the Court obviously indicated that you had no knowledge of that either. That you woke up, probably, the same time that I did realizing that the case was now over here.

I had indicated initially that my primary concern was the speedy-trial rights of my client, and here we are ready to go to trial on Monday. So as such, I can't make a record with regard to any possible error or prejudice to my client because --

THE COURT: With regard to --

MR. FIGLER: With regard to that issue --

THE COURT: -- the transfer? All right.

MR. FIGLER: -- because I do know anything about it and no one has provided me information. I can only do what I'm told by the court clerk which is to appear in this court and go forward in this court which we did, and we announced ready at the calendar call.

With regard to the second part, there was an initial concern by my client when he discovered that the

judge assigned was previously and recently employed by the same entity that is prosecuting him in this particular case. To that end, I made motion -- for a motion for the Court to reveal any potential conflicts that this Court might have with regard to hearing the case.

Your Honor at that point graciously allowed me to make that motion and responded to the motion stating that you had a system in place with yourself and your staff to basically vent through every file to make sure that there was no conflict, that you did not have personal knowledge of any of the facts of this particular case, that you did not recall having any conversations with any of the State actors or for that matter, I would imagine, police officers who were involved in this particular matter.

We had indicated that the matter was dual tracked into two different tracks. One was Department 2, Justice of the Peace Smith. The other was Department 7, Justice of the Peace Bennett, and that it wound up in front of initially Judge Siatta then transferred to Judge Halverson, and then to this honorable Court.

So upon the representations of the Court that there was no conflict that existed, I felt that

apart from the other issues regarding transfer which 1 we're trying to preserve as much as we can, that 2 Your Honor had satisfied the request made of you by the 3 defendant and that we're ready to proceed. 4 Very good. THE COURT: 5 MR. FIGLER: Thank you, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: I think that fairly states 7 everything that was said on Friday and addresses my 8 9 concern. I just want the record to reflect that, 10 again, I had no idea that this transfer was happening on 11 your first point. And on the second point, I have no 12 memory or knowledge of this case whatsoever. 13 MR. BATEMAN: And, Judge, I think just for 14 the record as well, it wasn't singularly this case that 15 16 was --THE COURT: No. 17 MR. BATEMAN: -- transferred. 18 THE COURT: Of course not. 19 I mean, basically 20 MR. BATEMAN: Judge Halverson's entire criminal calendar was 21 transferred. 22 THE COURT: Every case was transferred. 23 right. Let's talk about scheduling. 24 I'd like to work hard and efficiently. 25

```
to that end, my intent is to work tomorrow. I'd like to
1
   start at -- because Mr. Singer gave me a look when I
2
   said 9:30 of pain --
3
               MR. SINGER: Yes.
4
               THE COURT: -- I'd like to start at 10:00,
5
   and I'd like to work hard. Lunch breaks are going to be
6
7
   short. We're going to push through it.
               My hope today is that we get a jury sworn,
8
   maybe into openings based upon the fact that we have
9
   eight and eight, and I just don't see us getting to
10
   openings, but one never knows.
11
                            Right. And that was our
12
               MR. FIGLER:
   position too, and we don't want to break up the openings
13
   if at all possible.
14
               THE COURT: Right.
                                    So hopefully we'll work
15
   tonight until we get a jury. Tomorrow we'll begin with
16
   the -- your openings, case in chief, and push forward.
17
   How long do you anticipate case in chief taking if we
18
   work -- if we start tomorrow at 10:00?
19
               MR. BATEMAN: And then what are we looking
20
   at on Wednesday, Your Honor?
21
               THE COURT: Wednesday's a big calendar.
22
23
   wouldn't mind starting say 10:30 --
               MR. BATEMAN:
                             That's fine.
24
25
               THE COURT: -- and taking a short lunch.
```

```
Maybe a half hour, 45 minutes for lunch and then pushing
1
   through the afternoon. Thursday I should have a short
2
   civil calendar. I'd like to start say at 10:00.
3
4
               MR. BATEMAN: I would hope maybe
   optimistically, Your Honor, to be done maybe by
5
   lunchtime Thursday. I don't think any of these
6
   witnesses are particularly long except for maybe some of
7
   the witnesses relating to evidence and to testing,
8
   but --
9
10
               THE COURT: Okay.
               MR. BATEMAN: -- I would say Thursday at the
11
   latest, I would hope.
12
               THE COURT: All right.
13
14
               MR. BATEMAN:
                             And we can't go past
   2:00 o'clock on Thursday, correct?
15
               THE COURT: I'd like to work until
16
   2:00 o'clock on Thursday. I'm supposed to be across the
17
   street for my proceeding on Thursday afternoon at 3:30,
18
   so I'd like to break 2:00.
19
20
               MR. BATEMAN: Do you plan on breaking at
   5:00 p.m. every evening? Is that kind of --
21
22
               THE COURT: Tonight if we go long, we go
          I'd like to get that jury in tonight.
23
               MR. SINGER: What about on the other days,
24
25
   Judge, as far as ending?
```

THE COURT: Well, 5:00 o'clock, 5:30, 1 wherever we are that seems to be a natural break. I'm 2 not going to stop in the middle of a witness. If you 3 haven't crossed a witness, I'd prefer to complete the 4 task that we're in the middle of before we break, so I'm 5 going to keep that loose. 6 If it's 5:30, it's 5:30. If it's 5:00 7 o'clock -- I'd rather -- you can go long. I'd rather 8 take that extra half hour. It's amazing how much work 9 you can get done in a half hour. Does that work for 10 everybody? 11 MR. FIGLER: It works for Mr. Matthews. 12 MS. LEWIS: Again, on Wednesday we're in 13 6:00. 14 THE COURT: Oh, that's the other thing. 15 Since we're homeless, we're using this courtroom today 16 and tomorrow. On Wednesday we move to District Court 6. 17 My belief was this morning that District 18 Court 6 was available to us just like this department 19 20 has been, but I guess it's not the case. So tomorrow, depending on the answers that 21 we get, we may not be able to start early on Wednesday 22 because Judge Bonaventure has his calendar, and then I 23 have my criminal calendar which means we'll start in the 24 25 afternoon. But that -- I know.

```
MR. BATEMAN: I mean, you plan -- on
1
   Wednesday you plan on starting at 1:00?
2
               THE COURT: Well, I'm going to -- if
3
   Judge Bonaventure has to do his calendar first, and then
4
   I have to do my calendar, and it's a 37-matter calendar,
5
   there's no way I can get done to start in the morning,
6
   but that question has not been answered yet.
7
               MR. FIGLER: And that's on the 15th floor?
8
               THE COURT: That's on the 15th floor.
9
10
   that --
               MR. SINGER:
                            (Indiscernible).
11
               THE COURT: On Thursday?
12
               MR. SINGER:
                           Yeah.
13
               THE COURT: Same situation.
                                             It's a smaller
14
   calendar so we might be able to get some -- I haven't
15
   seen the Thursday calendar yet, so we might be able to
16
   get some time in in the morning. I'm sorry. I wish I
17
   could be more definite than that, but being the --
18
               MR. FIGLER: You don't want to say it.
19
   Something about red-headed something or other?
20
               THE COURT:
                            That works.
21
               THE CLERK:
                           (Indiscernible).
22
               THE COURT:
                           12 matters.
23
                           (Indiscernible).
               THE CLERK:
24
               THE COURT:
                            So it's a busy calendar.
25
```

1	MR. FIGLER: We'll work it through, Judge.
2	THE COURT: That's all we can do.
3	MR. FIGLER: Danny?
4	THE COURT: He's getting the panel.
5	MR. FIGLER: I was wondering if he had the
6	jury sheets yet.
7	THE COURT: No. He'll walk it up with the
8	panel.
9	MR. BATEMAN: And we're going to go one by
10	one and qualify a certain amount and then go back
11	THE COURT: Yeah. I'm not doing I'm not
12	doing Arizona with this with eight and eight. I just
13	don't have enough room in the well, so we're just going
14	to walk them up. We'll qualify the full panel like we
15	always do, put 14 in the box.
16	MR. FIGLER: So you're going to do a general
17	inquiry of the entire panel. Is there anyone here who
18	et cetera, et cetera?
19	THE COURT: Yeah. I'll do the general
20	inquiry of the panel, then we'll put 14 in the box. The
21	last two will be the alternates, and I'll do the I'll
22	do general on those in the box, too, and then let you
23	have your opportunity to inquire.
24	MR. FIGLER: Great. Mr. Bunin and I are
25	going to alternate, if that's acceptable.

1	THE COURT: I'm just going to hand it to the
2	defense and
3	MR. FIGLER: Okay. Is there something
4	special that you're intending to do with regard to the
5	exercise peremptories or
6	THE COURT: I will do it in writing so you
7	don't have to announce them. We have a form that we've
8	prepared that's coming up with the panel. Other than
9	that, I'm not sure what you're referring to.
10	MR. FIGLER: Well, first of all, whether
11	it's writing or not. Also, whether or not since we have
12	codefendants how
13	THE COURT: Well, normally it's eight total
14	by statute, correct?
15	MR. FIGLER: That's correct, unless we make
16	a request for additional peremptories based on
17	codefendants.
18	Do you want to make an additional request
19	between both sides, yeah?
20	(Off-record colloquy)
21	THE COURT: 175.045 says each side shall
22	have eight peremptory challenges.
23	MR. BATEMAN: Each side.
24	THE COURT: Each side shall have yeah.
25	MR. FIGLER: At this time, Judge, we'd ask

that the discretion of the Court be exercised to issue 1 an additional two peremptory challenges each for each 2 defendant so a total of four peremptory challenges 3 extra, in other words, 12 total, so 6 each. 4 THE COURT: Have you ever honestly heard of 5 6 that? Oh, yeah. 7 MR. FIGLER: Yeah. In --THE COURT: Have you ever honestly heard of 8 9 that? 10 MR. BATEMAN: I've read the statute, and I don't see any discretion in the statute. 11 THE COURT: I read 175.045, I see 175.051. 12 I even looked at it before I came up here just because I 13 14 figured that the issue would come up, and it says the State and defendant shall exercise alternately. 15 16 they shall have a total of eight peremptory challenges per side. 17 MR. FIGLER: And I'll get the authority, but 18 it's my -- it's always been my understanding that we can 19 make the request. That it's certainly discretionary to 20 deny the request. 21 THE COURT: At this point, eight and eight. 22 Four and four on each side. I mean, you obviously can 23 24 confer, but I think the statute says --(Off-record colloquy) 25

THE COURT: I mean, it's obvious that you 1 2 guys are consulting with each other right now. developing strategy which is perfect. 3 MR. FIGLER: Right. Except that, you know, 4 our clients are very separate and we don't really want 5 to have the jury see us, you know, seem like we're on 6 somehow the same team when we're separate defendants. 7 THE COURT: I understand. 8 MR. FIGLER: That would have been the basis 9 10 for the request, but I appreciate it, Judge. THE COURT: We're going to seat --11 obviously, we're going to seat two alternates and 12 they'll be one peremptory challenge. 13 MR. FIGLER: And that will be jurors 13 and 14 14? 15 THE COURT: Correct. 16 Okay. And are you telling them 17 MR. FIGLER: 18 prior to --THE COURT: No. I don't think so. I like 19 20 them to pay attention --MR. FIGLER: Just in case. 21 22 THE COURT: -- just in case. I'm going to tell them there's going to be alternates, but I'm not 23 going to tell them who. 24 MR. FIGLER: With regard to the -- I'm 25

```
sorry, Judge, to make it complicated. It's just always
1
   with codefendants. With regard to the exercise of the
2
   -- what's always considered to be the ninth --
3
               THE COURT:
                            I'm going to give you two on the
4
   -- for them they each get a peremptory challenge --
5
               MR. SINGER: Thank you, Judge.
6
               THE COURT: -- for the alternate.
7
                              Well --
               MR. BATEMAN:
8
                             You read my mind.
9
               MR. FIGLER:
10
               THE COURT:
                            There you go. I got one extra.
               MR. BATEMAN:
                              To be used only on the
11
12
   alternate, Judge?
               THE COURT: Yeah, on the alternate.
13
                           (Indiscernible).
14
               THE CLERK:
               THE COURT:
                            They get one. They get two.
15
                            Oh, I see. Okay.
16
               THE CLERK:
               THE COURT:
                            And you exercise one and then
17
18
   Mr. Singer gets to exercise one.
                             Oh, the one issue, Judge, that
               MR. FIGLER:
19
   we didn't discuss between the parties, and I think it
20
   does come into play -- what's that?
21
22
               MR. BATEMAN:
                             Penalty phase?
                                    Penalty phase.
                                                     If we
               MR. FIGLER:
                             Yeah.
23
   don't stipulate to a penalty, then the line of inquiry
24
   to the venire panel would necessarily include their
25
```

```
ability to impose a life sentence on the defendant.
1
   Thereby, they'd be informed of what the possible
2
   sentence would be.
3
               Certainly, they'd be admonished to not
4
   consider sentence in the quilt phase determination, but
5
   they would have a right to know based on that. So can I
6
   have a moment to talk to Mr. Bateman and --
7
               THE COURT: Yes.
8
               MR. FIGLER: -- Ms. Lewis?
9
10
        (Off the record at 1:28 p.m. until 1:35 p.m.)
                (Prospective jurors not present)
11
               MR. FIGLER: -- come in. We don't know if
12
   it will or it won't. I just wanted to give the Court a
13
14
   heads-up what we're doing ahead of when we're doing it
   and kind of put the State on notice that we might
15
16
            So it's not a formal --
               MS. LEWIS: Do you have an extra --
17
18
               MR. BATEMAN: I got it.
               MR. FIGLER: -- motion as much as it's just
19
   for the efficiency of how the Court wants to administer
20
   those rulings when we make objection contemporaneous to
21
   the evidence coming in. I think it's fair to the State
22
   to kind of know ahead of time what we might object to
23
   based on what's kind of transpired.
24
               MR. BATEMAN:
                              Judge --
25
```

MR. FIGLER: None of it's formal motions. 1 Some of it might not even need to be made, but it's just 2 3 areas of concern. MR. BATEMAN: Two issues, two things I would 4 note. Number one, we have attempted with our witnesses 5 to pretrial them in such a way as to avoid any reference 6 to anything related to gangs. 7 In some sense, we may be leading some of 8 these witnesses to avoid any of those references. So 9 I'll put the Court and counsel on notice that based upon 10 our questions, we'll do our best to avoid those kinds of 11 references. 12 MR. FIGLER: And I acknowledged that in the 13 brief that they said -- that that representation was 14 15 made, and we have no doubt that that's true. MR. BATEMAN: My --16 (Off-record colloquy) 17 MR. BATEMAN: My other concern here is 18 reference -- I think Your Honor's already made a ruling 19 on DNA evidence. I don't understand. I'm not quite 20 sure what the defense's position is on the 21 gunshot-residue test. Are they seeking to exclude the 22 results? 23 Your Honor, on May 3rd, so that MR. FIGLER: 24 was just last week, we received a report involving trace 25

evidence and microscopic particles that were allegedly found on Mr. Matthews.

The defense was surprised in that we hadn't received anything else with regard to that testing even being done in its discovery. As such, first of all, it's scientific evidence, so I think we're appropriately allowed to make a challenge prior to its admission based on --

THE COURT: Daubert (phonetic).

MR. FIGLER: -- Daubert and Evanfries (phonetic). It still exists in Nevada and any other appropriate Nevada cases that it doesn't come in. Also, as Your Honor's aware, Daubert's still subject to a 48.035 analysis regarding the probative value against the prejudicial impact.

The State's report indicated that the particles found may indicate that he discharged a firearm, handled or discharged firearm or was in close proximity to a discharged firearm. It doesn't give any more specific information.

It will ultimately be if the gunshot-residue testing that was performed in this particular case meets all the admissibility standards such as the chain of custody, et cetera, and, additionally, has reliability and it makes it through the Daubert analysis, that we

would suggest that the possibility of discharging a 1 firearm in some unknown time would be overly prejudicial 2 given its probative value that these microbes were even 3 present suggesting that he discharged the murder weapon 4 in question when we don't know that, and that's not the 5 finding of the scientific expert. 6 THE COURT: State. 7 MR. BATEMAN: The expert was, in fact, 8 noticed. As the Court is aware, we oftentimes don't get 9 reports 21 days out. Those aren't -- there's no 10 requirement that reports be turned over 21 days out. 11 We simply have to notice our expert as to 12 who they are, what they intend to testify to and 13 generally a curriculum vitae. I believe that was done 14 15 in this case. We reference Mike Martinez. It's kind of 16 like the Torry Johnson (phonetic) equivalent of the 17 Bexar County Crime Lab. In this case, Crystina Vachon 18 would be testifying as to gunshot residue. 19 20 As to its admissibility, I would take issue with -- well, I'm a little -- I feel like I'm a little 21 bit at a disadvantage just receiving this here --22 THE COURT: I agree. 23 MR. BATEMAN: -- right before the jury's 24 25 coming in. I would be more than happy to provide the

I've addressed this issue in the last murder trial 1 that I tried in front of Judge Lohrer. 2 Daubert is actually not the test in Nevada. 3 There's cases that specifically say we have not adopted 4 the Daubert test. It's basically governed by the 5 statute, and I don't have it off the top of my head. 51 6 or 50, whatever it is. I can provide the case law to 7 Your Honor. 8 But, you know, gunshot residue has generally 9 10 been allowed to be testified to in the Eighth Judicial District since at least I've been here which isn't that 11 12. long. But I've seen it done multiple times. I've done it myself. 13 I think that it's good science and been held 14 to be that. That's been the case. I would suggest that 15 it goes to weight and not admissibility as long as we 16 lay the appropriate foundations, Judge. 17 THE COURT: Well, let's wait for the 18 foundation to be laid. 19 I think so, Judge. MR. FIGLER: 20 obviously, it's going to just be our position that 21 22 whatever analysis is done with regard to admissibility be done outside the presence of the jury. 23 24 THE COURT: Absolutely. Thank you, Judge. Again, just MR. FIGLER: 25

a heads-up.

(Off-record colloquy)

THE COURT: Did you guys make a decision on stipulating at the penalty phase?

MR. BATEMAN: Well, the position we would have, of course, Your Honor, is that we would waive penalty phase. I have no problem with Your Honor sentencing the defendant and making arguments to Your Honor.

I don't think it's the defense's position that they want to waive so long as life without is still on the table, so I'm not going to take it off. We're just going to waive and put it in Your Honor's hands, so that would be the State's position.

If the defense is not waiving, then it would also be the State's position that we don't reference -if we're going to reference penalty options in this case, it's life without, life with, and a term of years.

I would move that we don't discuss what the bottom end would be which would be 40 at this time, life with, life without, and term of years, so that we don't prejudice the jury at this point prior to hearing any arguments at penalty phase.

I don't want to prejudice them with the guilt phase, but what they're looking at if they return

a verdict of first-degree murder with use of a deadly 1 weapon. So that would be my position. 2 THE COURT: 3 Response. Well, your -- I'm sorry. MR. FIGLER: 4 ahead, Mr. Singer. 5 Judge, we would ask, first of MR. SINGER: 6 all, our first option is that we would ask Your Honor, 7 which has happened to me in the last two or three murder 8 cases -- I've never even got to the penalty phase. 9 judge gave us a commitment on his own accord that if 10 there is a quilty verdict, that the Court would on its 11 accord sua sponte give us life with. Judge --12 THE COURT: I don't even know the case. How 13 am I supposed -- I don't know. Assuming there's a 14 conviction, I don't know the history of your clients. 15 don't know anything about the case aside from the quick 16 brief and the prelim that I head. 17 How can I stipulate or how can I agree to a 18 life with if I don't know the facts? I mean, I'm not 19 20 saying that -- I'm going to look at it reasonably. I'm going to look at it fairly, but you're asking me to make 21 a decision that --22 MR. SINGER: I'm just letting you know how 23 other judges in this courthouse have handled it. 24 (Off-record colloquy) 25

MR. SINGER: -- (indiscernible) last two 1 murder trials (indiscernible) but, you know, that's not 2 -- you're not required to (indiscernible). I'm just 3 letting you know what we would request. 4 If that's going to be denied, it's our 5 position that we -- there's no benefit to the defense to 6 go -- to stipulate, so we would (indiscernible). 7 (Off-record colloguy) 8 THE COURT: Mr. Figler. 9 MR. FIGLER: Yeah. Obviously, Judge, it's 10 in the both parties best interest to figure out a way to 11 keep the information outside of the jury. But it's 12 always in the defense best interest to find out if, you 13 know, you have jurors who understand the magnitude and 14 are able to impose whatever sentence there are. 15 You know, there's three possible sentences. 16 One is 20 to 50 years with an equal consecutive 20 to 50 17 years, the term of years, the life with with a 18 consecutive life with, and life without with a 19 20 consecutive life without. I think the jurors are entitled to know the top for sure, and I think all 21 parties agree on that. 22 I don't see why the bottom is any different. 23 They have to be able to impose a 40-year sentence upon 24 defendants if they are going to contemplate that. 25

THE COURT: I think the appropriate question, the question that's always asked, is whether they can impose life without the possibility of parole and an equal and consecutive life without the possibility of parole, life with the possibility of parole with an equal and consecutive life with the possibility of parole, and a fixed term of years. Those are the questions that are asked. In terms of the bottom --

MR. FIGLER: I mean, with regard to the fixed term of years, they don't know what that means. It could mean to them 2 to 10. It could mean anything. But in reality, it's 40 to 100 years.

So I think we have a right to get into that with the jurors to make sure they do. Our offer of compromise to the State was that we wouldn't be asking for the bottom which is a term of years. They wouldn't be asking for the top, and we'd all compromise on the middle.

I reminded the State that in all likelihood if my client's found guilty of Count II, he would likely be found guilty of Counts I, III, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX, X, XI as well, and that that would add up to an additional approximately 70 years on the bottom for -
THE COURT: And that's not acceptable with

1	the State?
2	MR. FIGLER: And that's not acceptable to
3	the State.
4	MR. BATEMAN: We'll waive, Judge. I mean,
5	we'll waive and give it to Your Honor.
6	MR. FIGLER: It does extend the jury
7	selection. I just don't see the point of the State
8	really my client has no prior felony convictions
9	why they're not willing to do that, but that's their
10	option.
11	I think it would just make things go a lot
12	more smoothly and quicker if we were able to do that.
13	But if we can't came to an accord, we can't come to an
14	accord.
15	THE COURT: You want me to stipulate that
16	I'm going to give a life with?
17	MR. FIGLER: Well, I mean, that would solve
18	it, but I'm making that request of Your Honor. I
19	understand Your Honor's position.
20	I'm hoping that the State would hear my
21	argument and maybe confer that it's no loss to do them
22	to do life with with the consecutive life with.
23	THE COURT: Really, it's up to the parties.
24	MR. FIGLER: I appreciate that.
25	MR. BATEMAN: Your Honor, what's your
	1

position, if I might, on whether they can reference 40 1 2 at the bottom? 3 THE COURT: I think they should be allowed to reference 40 at the bottom. If they're talking about 4 5 life without the top, they should be able to reference 40 at the bottom. 6 (Off-record colloquy) 7 THE COURT: All right. 8 9 MR. FIGLER: I think the parties have 10 reached an accord, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. What's that accord 11 so the record is clear? 12 If the jury returns a verdict 13 MR. BATEMAN: of first-degree murder on Count II as to either the 14 15 defendants, the State will, and I believe the defense 16 will also stip that the sentence at that point or what we would request from Your Honor would be the life 17 sentence with parole eligibility beginning after 20 plus 18 19 an equal and consecutive. 20 If they are also convicted of the deadly 21 weapon enhancement, obviously, Your Honor has complete 22 discretion as to run any of the other counts. If any of the defendants are convicted of those other counts, 23 consecutive or concurrent, generally, I think that this 24 has to actually be in writing, so --25

```
1
                MR. FIGLER: Yes.
 2
                MR. BATEMAN: -- if everyone feels
   comfortable, we can forward today, and we'll put it in
 3
   writing.
 4
 5
                THE COURT: I'd like it in writing for
   tomorrow so we can file it as a Court document.
 6
 7
                MR. FIGLER: Thank you, Your Honor.
                                                      And
   that does need to be signed by the defendants as well as
 8
 9
   their counsel.
10
                THE COURT: Very good.
11
                MR. BATEMAN: We might be able to get this
12
   today as well so --
13
                THE COURT: Fine.
14
                MR. BATEMAN: -- we'll work on it.
15
                THE COURT: Do we have the panel in the
   hall?
16
17
                     (Off-record colloquy)
                THE COURT: All right. Let's bring them in.
18
19
   Jury's coming in.
                     (Off-record colloquy)
20
21
                  (Prospective jurors present)
                THE BAILIFF: Jury's present, please.
22
23
                      (Off-record colloquy)
                THE BAILIFF: Your Honor, (indiscernible)
24
25
   panel's present.
```

THE COURT: Thank you. 1 This is the time set for trial in Case 2 No. C228460, State of Nevada, plaintiff, versus 3 4 Pierre Joshlin and Jamar Matthews, defendants. The record will reflect presence of the 5 6 defendants, their counsel, counsel for the State, all officers of the Court. 7 Are all parties ready to proceed? 8 MR. BATEMAN: Yes, Your Honor. 9 10 MS. LEWIS: Yes, Your Honor. MR. FIGLER: Yes, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, my name is 12 David Barker. I'm a district judge, Department 18, and 13 I will be the presiding judge. I'd like to make a few 14 other introductions to you. 15 Seated right here in front of me is 16 Richard Kangas. He is the court recorder. 17 18 gentleman takes down everything that happens in this courtroom, and that's his job. 19 Seated next to me is Sharon Truwen 20 (phonetic). She is the court clerk. She maintains the 21 records, keeps the evidence, and makes sure everything 22 goes where it needs to go. 23 Behind you is Officer Dan Reichert. 24 the bailiff. He is the gentleman who showed you in. 25

is your main contact should be selected to be on this 1 jury with this court and during this process. If you 2 have questions, he's the man you go to see. 3 On behalf of the State, please introduce 4 yourself and make a brief statement as to the case, the 5 name of the State's witnesses that you anticipate 6 calling. 7 MR. BATEMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 8 Good afternoon now, ladies and gentlemen. 9 10 My name is Sam Bateman. I'm a deputy district attorney here in Clark County. With me is my co-counsel, 11 Linda Lewis, also a deputy district attorney. 12 We are bringing the charges of the State of 13 14 Nevada against two defendants sitting here today, Pierre Joshlin and Jamar Matthews. 15 State has alleged in an information that the 16 defendants have committed the following crimes: 17 Conspiracy to commit murder, murder with use of a deadly 18 weapon, three counts of attempt murder with use of a 19 deadly weapon, possession of short-barrel rifle, 20 conspiracy to commit robbery, two counts of robbery with 21 use of a deadly weapon, and two counts of assault with 22 We intend to present evidence use of a deadly weapon. 23 over the next few days with regards to these counts. 24 Just so you would note that these counts 25

allege from or these crimes allege from a number of 1 different scenes here in Las Vegas including 1271 Balzar 2 which is in the northwest or west Las Vegas. 3 would be -- that particular scene would relate to the 4 murder and attempt murder charges. 5 There's also another scene, 1284 Lawry, also 6 here in Las Vegas in the same vicinity. That scene 7 would relate to the charges of conspiracy to commit robbery, robbery with use of a deadly weapon. 9 Finally, the assault with a deadly weapon 10 counts relate facts occurring at or around Doolittle 11 Avenue and Lexington here in Las Vegas, Clark County. 12 Some of the witnesses that the State would 13 intend to call in this case, Myniece Cook; 14 Michel'le Tolefree; Maurice Hickman; Geishe Orduna; 15 Melvin Bolden; Bradley Cupp, an officer of the Las Vegas 16 Metropolitan Police Department; Officer Chad Baker; 17 Officer Brian Walter; Officer Mike Calarco; 18 Officer Kenneth Rios; Officer Todd Conn; Officer Dave 19 20 Patrucci; Officer Cord Overson; CSA Amy Nemcek, CSA Stephanie Smith; Marvis Walton, I believe also a CSA; 21 22 Jim Krylo from the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department's criminalistics lab; Crystina Vachon, a 23 criminalist out of Bexar County, Texas; Fred Boyd also a 24 25 -- he's a latent print examiner; Randy McPhail, also a

CSA; William Speas, a CSA, CSA Washington; Officer Gary 1 Dale, another CSA Renhard; homicide detectives including 2 Jimmy Vaccaro; Detective Wildemann; Rob Wilson, a 3 detective with homicide; Dean O'Kelley, a detective with 4 homicide; Alane Olson, Dr. Alane Olson, from the 5 Las Vegas -- excuse me, the Clark County Coroner's 6 Thank you. That is it in total. 7 THE COURT: On behalf of the defense, please 8 introduce yourselves, your clients, and identify 9 10 participating witnesses. Thank you, Your Honor. MR. FIGLER: 11 12 My name is David Figler. With me is my partner, Dan Bunin. We're private attorneys here in 13 Las Vegas, and we represent Jamar Matthews who sits here 14 accused of all these crimes. 15 The nature of the offense is such that 16 different things took place at different times, and it's 17 18 going to be the defense position that the witnesses that need to be called are really going to depend upon what 19 the prosecution presents as far as their version of the 20 evidence goes. 21 I can tell you that Mr. Matthews and 22 Mr. Joshlin were not apprehended together. That they're 23 separate cases, and you'll be instructed by the Court to 24

keep things separate.

25

This does involve issues of identification, 1 cross-racial identification, and issues involved in 2 somebody being present somewhere or not being present 3 somewhere. 4 And so depending on how the evidence shows 5 up, that will determine what witnesses that we decide 6 that we'll need to call, if any, at the time that it's 7 appropriate for us to do that. 8 THE COURT: Ms. Clerk, please call the roll 9 10 of the panel. I'm sorry. Thank you, Judge. MR. SINGER: 11 Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. 12 you for being here. 13 My name is Philip Singer. I'm also a 14 private attorney, and I'm pleased and honored to be here 15 and represent my client, Pierre Joshlin (indiscernible) 16 who sits before all of you innocent as charged. 17 Thank you for your anticipated attention and 18 focus on all of the case, and I, too, would like to tell 19 you that at this point in time our defense does not 20 anticipate calling any witnesses, but we leave that open 21 to see what the prosecution will show you or not. 22 Thank you. 23 THE COURT: Ms. Clerk, would you please call 24 the roll. 25

```
THE CLERK:
                            I will. I'm going to call the
1
   last three digits of your badge number, and if I
2
   mispronounce your name, please correct it as I'm
3
   calling.
4
               Badge No. 74, Marie Gatela. Answer yes,
5
   present.
6
7
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74:
                                            (Indiscernible).
8
               THE CLERK:
                           Thank you.
9
                75, Thomas Cicatello.
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99: Yes.
10
               THE CLERK:
                            Thank you.
11
                99, Joseph Famulare.
12
               No. 126, Aurora Pawlus-Baylon. Okay.
13
   Please speak louder, too, please.
14
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126:
                                            Present.
15
               THE CLERK:
                           Thank you.
16
                172, Matthew Patulski. I'm sorry.
17
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Present.
18
19
               THE CLERK: 492, Danielle Ocampo.
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: Present.
20
               THE CLERK:
                            509, Randell Wilson.
21
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509: Present.
22
               THE CLERK: 607, Jeffery Ashley.
23
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: Present.
24
25
               THE CLERK: 609, Gary Acosta.
```

1		PROSPECTIVE	JUROR NO. 609:	Present.
2		THE CLERK:	614: Jacky Sart	ci.
3		PROSPECTIVE	JUROR NO. 614:	Present.
4		THE CLERK:	617, Lee Porter.	
5		PROSPECTIVE	JUROR NO. 614:	Here.
6		THE CLERK:	Jennie Lee Porte	er.
7		PROSPECTIVE	JUROR NO. 614:	It's Jennie Lee
8	Porter.			
9		THE CLERK:	Thank you. 620,	Edna Bisquera.
10		PROSPECTIVE	JUROR NO. 620:	Present.
11		THE CLERK:	621, Jay C. Tate	2.
12		PROSPECTIVE	JUROR NO. 621:	Present.
13		THE CLERK:	625, Kevin Wiene	er.
14		PROSPECTIVE	JUROR NO. 625:	Wiener, present.
15		THE CLERK:	Wiener, thank yo	ou.
16		631, Warren	Bradshaw.	
17		PROSPECTIVE	JUROR NO. 631:	Present.
18		THE CLERK:	633, Michael Gre	een.
19		PROSPECTIVE	JUROR NO. 633:	Present.
20		THE CLERK:	634, Karen Cloud	1.
21		PROSPECTIVE	JUROR NO. 634:	Present.
22		THE CLERK:	636, Tanya Jimme	erson-Virgil.
23		PROSPECTIVE	JUROR NO. 636:	Present.
24		THE CLERK:	637, Jeanie Read	ly.
25		PROSPECTIVE	JUROR NO. 637:	Present.
- 1				

1		THE CLERK:	638 Todd Parriott.
2		PROSPECTIVE	JUROR NO. 638: Present.
3		THE CLERK:	639, Arthur Nadler.
4		PROSPECTIVE	JUROR NO. 639: Present.
5		THE CLERK:	645, Brian Abeyta.
6		PROSPECTIVE	JUROR NO. 645: Present.
7		THE CLERK:	647, Wendy Kahoaka-Wright.
8		PROSPECTIVE	JUROR NO. 647: Present.
9		THE CLERK:	650, Gary Aylesworth.
10		PROSPECTIVE	JUROR NO. 650: Present.
11		THE CLERK:	651, Robert Reyes.
12		PROSPECTIVE	JUROR NO. 651: Present.
13		THE CLERK:	658, Donald Felske.
14		PROSPECTIVE	JUROR NO. 658: Present.
15		THE CLERK:	660, Mario Espinoza.
16		PROSPECTIVE	JUROR NO. 660: Present.
17		THE CLERK:	661, Jose Lomeli.
18		PROSPECTIVE	JUROR NO. 661: Present.
19		THE CLERK:	661 (sic), Marissa Arroya (sic),
20	Arroyo.		
21		PROSPECTIVE	JUROR NO. 662: Present.
22		THE CLERK:	Thank you.
23		667, Veronio	ca Camara.
24		PROSPECTIVE	JUROR NO. 667: Present.
25		THE CLERK:	670, Joe Ross.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 670: Present.
2	THE CLERK: 671, Patricia Noon.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 671: Present.
4	THE CLERK: 672, Lydia Guerrero.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 672: Present.
6	THE CLERK: 673, Robert Jaquez.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 673: Present.
8	THE CLERK: 675, Mark Tabb.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 675: Present.
10	THE CLERK: 676, Ryan Brewer.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 676: Present.
12	THE CLERK: 687, Gary Randall.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 678: Here, present.
14	THE CLERK: 695, Maflor Pengson.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 695: Present.
16	THE CLERK: 698, Lena Amburn.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 698: Present.
18	THE CLERK: 703, Tammy Clark.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 703: Present.
20	THE CLERK: 704, Gay Friesz.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 704: Present.
22	THE CLERK: Is that correct spelling?
23	707, Mary Heatherly.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 707: Present.
25	THE CLERK: 719, Cathy Bowser.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 719: Present.	
THE CLERK: 720, John Diparvine.	
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 720: Present.	
THE CLERK: 728, Edwin Kennedy.	
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 728: Present.	
THE CLERK: 729, Debra Vogel.	
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 729: Present.	
THE CLERK: 737, Lynn Ward.	
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 737: Present.	
THE CLERK: 739: John D. Powell.	
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 739: Present.	
THE CLERK: 742, Weena Castro.	
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 742: Present.	
THE CLERK: 743, Sandra Williams.	
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 743: Present.	
THE CLERK: 749, Michael Scott.	
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 749: Present.	
THE CLERK: 762, Donya (sic) Robinson.	
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 762: Tanya.	
THE CLERK: Tanya Robinson. Thank you.	
767, Eve Tillack.	
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 767: It's Tillack.	
THE CLERK: Tillack. Thank you.	
THE CLERK: Tillack. Thank you. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 767: Present.	
	THE CLERK: 720, John Diparvine. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 720: Present. THE CLERK: 728, Edwin Kennedy. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 728: Present. THE CLERK: 729, Debra Vogel. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 729: Present. THE CLERK: 737, Lynn Ward. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 737: Present. THE CLERK: 739: John D. Powell. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 739: Present. THE CLERK: 742, Weena Castro. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 742: Present. THE CLERK: 743, Sandra Williams. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 743: Present. THE CLERK: 749, Michael Scott. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 749: Present. THE CLERK: 762, Donya (sic) Robinson. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 762: Tanya. THE CLERK: Tanya Robinson. Thank you. 767, Eve Tillack.

1	Pattiani.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 774: Present.
3	THE CLERK: 775, Lori Axler.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 775: Present.
5	THE CLERK: 776, Leisa M. Wagner.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: It's Leisa
7	Wagner.
8	THE CLERK: Leisa. Thank you.
9	777, Nicole Leonard.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 777: Present.
11	THE CLERK: 780, Vernon Stultz.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 780: It's Stultz.
13	Here, present.
14	THE CLERK: Stultz. Thank you.
15	783, Christine Crane.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 783: Present.
17	THE CLERK: 792, Joel Runkle.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 792: Present.
19	THE CLERK: Thank you.
20	THE COURT: Anyone whose name was not called
21	or who was called that is not present? Anybody here who
22	shouldn't be here? Because if your name wasn't called,
23	you shouldn't be here.
24	(No audible response)
25	THE COURT: All right. Ladies and

gentlemen, thank you for coming. Again, my name is

David Barker. I'm a district court judge, and I will be

presiding in this matter. I appreciate you taking the

time out of your day, out of your schedule to come here.

We're about to start jury selection. That process is done under oath. I'd ask you all to rise and raise your right hand.

(Prospective jurors sworn)

THE CLERK: You may be seated.

THE COURT: Unfortunately, during this process you will be asked a series of questions. These questions are not intended to intrude upon your personal life, but they're intended to help us understand and help the parties understand that you can be fair and impartial jurors.

Again, some of these will be personal, but, unfortunately, it's necessary so the Court and the attorneys can make an intelligent determination as to your capabilities to be, again, fair and impartial.

It is important for you to understand the significance of full and complete and honest answers to these questions. Should you fail to answer any of these questions truthfully or hide or withhold any information touching upon your qualifications, that fact may tend to contaminate your verdict and subject you to further

inquiry. 1 2 I'm going to conduct a general examination of all of you seated here with some general questions. 3 4 We're just going to use your names. We have very little information about you other than your names. After I do 5 this general inquiry, ask you a few questions, then I'm 6 going to allow the attorneys to inquire of you as well. 7 8 What I need you to do is as I ask these next series of questions, raise your hand if you have an 9 10 affirmative response, and you need to tell us about or respond to that question. 11 Has anyone here been convicted of a felony? 12 (No audible response) 13 THE COURT: And if, by the way, you do have 14 to raise your hand, identify yourself by your badge 15 number so we can keep track. 16 17 Is anyone here not a citizen of the United States? 18 (No audible response) 19 20 THE COURT: Is there anyone here with such sympathy, prejudice or bias relating to age, religion, 21 race, gender, national origin that they feel they could 22 not be fair and impartial and open-minded jurors? 23 (No audible response) 24 THE COURT: Are any of you acquainted with 25

1	the defendant?
2	(No audible response)
3	THE COURT: Are any of you acquainted with
4	the district attorneys?
5	(No audible response)
6	THE COURT: Are any of you acquainted with
7	any of those witnesses that were read by the State?
8	That's very important to check and make sure that you
9	don't know any of the witnesses. Anybody heard any of
10	the names?
11	(No audible response)
12	THE COURT: This case is expected to last
13	approximately to the end of the week. For anyone, and
14	careful now, anyone would that be a problem serving for
15	that period of time, until the end of the week? That's
16	always the one that gets us going.
17	Let's start here in front, and identify
18	yourself by your badge number.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: (Indiscernible)
20	614.
21	THE COURT: 614.
22	THE COURT RECORDER: Hold on for just a
23	second, Judge.
24	THE COURT: Okay. This is part of
25	THE COURT RECORDER: I have a hand-held mike

```
for you, but it needs to be pointed right at your mouth.
1
2
   If you hold it in your lap or wave it out in here, it
   doesn't work. It needs to be right up in front of your
3
4
   mouth, and if you just remember to wait for the mike
5
   before you start answering.
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614:
                                           My father's
6
   currently in the hospital, and I help take care of him
7
8
   so --
                THE COURT:
                            I want to say this right up
9
10
           I'm very limited by the law on what we can
   excuse you for. It has to be extremely pressing, and
11
   we're going to listen to each and every one of you.
12
                Is your father and the service you provide
13
14
   for your father such that no one else can do that for
   this week?
15
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: Well, we take
16
   turns because we all work.
17
               THE COURT: Okay.
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: So it's -- I
19
   don't know. I'd have to see.
20
21
               THE COURT:
                            Okay.
22
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614:
                                           (Indiscernible).
               THE COURT: All right. At this point I
23
24
   can't let you go on that, okay.
25
               Next.
```

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: 617.
2	THE COURT: 617.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: Jennie Lee
4	Porter. I don't have very good vehicle transportation.
5	My husband brought me today, and he does union
6	contracting and construction, so he's my vehicle's
7	not the best.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Would that impair your
9	ability to get here to Court every day? Is there some
10	arrangements that you can make? Maybe a taxi or
11	something that I might be able to work out with Jury
12	Services such that you could be able to serve on this
13	jury?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: I live on the
15	southwest side of town if you could figure out than
16	that, but no, guess not. I mean (indiscernible)
17	THE COURT: Okay. And your husband was able
18	to take you today and pick you up today?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: He had to get
20	off today to take me, yes.
21	THE COURT: All right. Let's see where we
22	go. All right?
23	Next.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: 126, Aurora
25	Pawlus.

1	THE COURT: Ms. Pawlus.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: Yes. I'm the
3	sole care giver for my granddaughter. I pick her up
4	from school every day. I live in Henderson. In order
5	to get here I took three buses, so it's going to be
6	difficult.
7	THE COURT: So you don't have your own form
8	of independent transportation
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: No.
10	THE COURT: as well?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: I don't drive
12	anymore (indiscernible).
13	THE COURT: Okay. How do you get your
14	granddaughter to school?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: My daughter
16	takes her on the way to work and then I pick her up.
17	THE COURT: I see. And you
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: I walk to school
19	to pick her up.
20	THE COURT: You walk to school together.
21	All right. Let's see where we go. All right.
22	Next.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: 492, Danielle
24	Ocampo. I have finals this week for school that I can't
25	miss. If I miss the finals, I don't pass the class.

1	THE COURT: What days are your finals?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 429: Wednesday.
3	THE COURT: All on Wednesday?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 429: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Wednesday afternoon or Wednesday
6	morning?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 429: Wednesday
8	morning.
9	THE COURT: All Wednesday morning? How many
10	finals do you have?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 429: I have one
12	that's Wednesday morning. I took my other final today,
13	and then I have another one on Wednesday.
14	THE COURT: State.
15	MR. BATEMAN: Can I ask what time the final
16	is?
17	THE COURT: What time is your final?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 429: (Indiscernible).
19	THE COURT: Because, unfortunately, we might
20	be able to work around it.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4:29: That one's at
22	8:00 o'clock.
23	THE COURT: What time?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 429: 8:00 o'clock

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. -- in the morning.
1
2
                THE COURT: -- be done with it?
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 429: 8:00 to 9:30.
3
4
                THE COURT: I think we'll be fine, actually,
5
   depending on our schedule, so at this point I can't let
6
   you go.
                     Let's pass it down to the audience
7
               Next.
8
   here.
9
                Sir, your name and your badge number.
10
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 638: 638, Todd
   Parriott.
              I was scheduled to leave at -- Thursday at
11
   1:00 o'clock with my wife for a trip.
12
13
               THE COURT: For Hawaii?
14
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 638: No, just for a
15
   trip.
16
               THE COURT: For a trip?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 638:
17
18
               THE COURT: Okay. Thursday evening?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 638: Thursday at 1:00
19
20
   o'clock is our flight.
               THE COURT: We may be able to work that in
21
22
   the schedule.
23
               Next.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 698: 698.
24
   single parent, three children, so I just don't want to
25
```

1	be sequestered.
2	THE COURT: You're not going to be
3	sequestered.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 698: Okay. Thanks.
5	THE COURT: Next.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 695: 695.
7	THE COURT: 695. Yes, ma'am.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 695: Maflor Pengson.
9	I don't know how to drive. I'm taking care of
10	two-year-old granddaughter.
11	THE COURT: Okay. How did you get here
12	today?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 695: My husband. He
14	just drop me off.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 695: And he's working
17	in the construction.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Do you think we might be
19	able to do that if you're picked for this jury for a
20	couple more days?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 695: No.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Let me see where we go,
23	and we'll take it one step at a time. Appreciate your
24	honesty.
25	Next.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 671: No. 671. 1 2 name is Patricia Noon. I have a plane ticket for 12:30 a.m. Thursday night, Friday morning for a pre-planned 3 4 trip. THE COURT: We should be -- we'll note that, 5 and see if we -- if it impacts our schedule. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 695: Okay. 7 8 THE COURT: Thank you. Next. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: 776, Leisa 9 10 Wagner. I watch a two-and-a-half-year-old every day from 7:30 'til 6:00. I also have two kids to pick up 11 12 from school. THE COURT: Who's watching them now, ma'am? 13 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: Right now I have my sister, but she won't be able to the rest of the 15 16 week. THE COURT: Okay. We'll note that and see 17 18 where we go. Honestly, we're going to have 12 people -or, excuse me -- 14 people in a jury box. 19 20 So next. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 672: My name is 21 22 Lydia Guerrero, Badge 672. I don't know if this make a difference, but on Saturday morning I have plane ticket 23 to go to Washington just in case the case go longer than 24 this week, so I want to let you know in advance. 25

```
THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you very much
1
2
   for that.
3
               Next.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 729: Badge 729.
4
   Debra Vogel. I have plane ticket for 6:00 a.m. on
5
   Friday, and I have paperwork to prove it in my bag.
6
   Confirmation.
7
               THE COURT: All right. As we move along
8
   through this process, remind me of the fact that you
9
   have the ticket in your bag.
10
               Next.
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 670: 670, Joe Ross.
12
   I take prescription medications for health issues. I'm
13
   also a stay-at-home father that gives care to a
14
   two-year-old and a four-year-old and a wife with also
15
   special needs.
16
               THE COURT: What type of medications?
17
   it affect your ability to hear and understand the
18
19
   process?
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 670:
                                           No.
                                                 I'm under
20
   prescription medication Hydrocortisone, also Codeine,
21
   Prohazmine (phonetic) for congestive heart failure,
22
   Marphon (phonetic), high blood pressure, Lorazepam for
23
24
   stress.
25
               THE COURT: So you're taking a few
```

```
medications.
                 That affect your ability to understand
1
2
   this process, sir?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 670: No, it does not,
3
   but I'm not supposed to be driving while under these
4
   medications.
5
               THE COURT: Sure. How did you get here
6
7
   today?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 670:
                                           I drove, and I
8
9
   have not had my medications as of yet.
               THE COURT: So your health --
10
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 670: (Indiscernible).
11
               THE COURT: Are you telling me --
12
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 670: (Indiscernible).
14
               THE COURT: -- you're here and your health
   is in danger as a consequence of you serving as a juror?
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 670:
                                           If I start
16
   getting stressed out, yes. I'll start to vomit, have
17
   blood come out, then I'll go into a congestive heart
18
19
   failure.
               THE COURT: Let's -- State.
20
               MR. BATEMAN: I'm okay with excusing, sure.
21
               THE COURT: Defense.
22
23
               MR. FIGLER: Same on our side.
               THE COURT: Sir, thank you for coming and
24
25
   head back down to Jury Services. They may assign you to
```

a civil case. It may be longer. It may be shorter than 1 this case, but you need to return to Jury Services 2 for --3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 670: Okay. Thank 4 you, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 775: I don't know if 7 this matters -- oh, 775. I'm diabetic so I take insulin 8 every four to six hours, so sometimes I have to stop and 9 sometimes I have to break to take food, and so it's hard 10 11 for me to sit so --THE COURT: I understand completely. If 12 there's a situation if you're picked for this jury and 13 that you need a quick personal break, just anybody, 14 raise your hand, and we'll make it happen. 15 This is not testing your endurance. This is testing your patience. 16 17 Next. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 774: 775 --18 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 774: -- or, excuse 20 me, 774. I have strong moral objections against serving 21 on a criminal trial. 22 THE COURT: I'll tell you what, we will get 23 24 to that area specifically in a few minutes. If you could just hold that position. And if we put you on the 25

```
panel, then we'll take those up with more specifics at
1
2
   that point.
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 774: I have one more
3
4
   issue.
               THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
5
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 774: I'm working a
6
   one-woman office for a mobile dentist. I coordinate all
7
   activities. Today they're missing me, and there's no
8
   one else to cover.
                THE COURT: Okay. We'll make a note of
10
          Unfortunately, employment is not an area by which
11
12
   I'm permitted by law to let you -- to release you at
   this point.
13
14
               Next.
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 777: Nicole Leonard,
15
16
   badge 777.
               THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 777: I'm a single
18
   mother of a ten-year-old, and I don't have any family
19
   here, and I pick him up every day at 3:30. I don't have
20
   anybody to pick him up.
21
22
                THE COURT: Okay. So what are you going to
23
   do in an hour?
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 777:
                                            I gave him a key
24
   and told him to walk home, but I'm not really okay with
25
```

```
I had to do it because I had to come today --
1
   that.
2
                THE COURT:
                           Yes.
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 777: -- because I
3
4
   couldn't, you know, excuse myself, but I don't feel safe
5
   with a ten-year-old going home and being home for two
6
   hours by himself.
                THE COURT: I appreciate that.
7
8
   continue with the process and see where we go.
9
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 777: Okay.
10
   you.
                            Thank you.
                THE COURT:
                                        Next.
11
12
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 783: 783.
                                                   This
   week's a financial burden for me. I'm a single mother
13
14
   of three, and $40 just doesn't pay my bills.
                THE COURT: I completely understand.
15
   Unfortunately, that's one of the specific reasons we're
16
   not allowed to let you off. So we'll just have to see
17
18
   where the process goes. Thank you so much.
19
                Next.
20
                      (Off-record colloquy)
                THE BAILIFF: Your Honor, I need to approach
21
22
   with counsel.
                  (Off-record bench conference)
23
                THE COURT: Record should reflect that
24
   Juror No. 645, Brian Abeyta, has been excused from the
25
```

1	panel.
2	Has anyone on this panel ever been engaged
3	in law enforcement work or have a spouse or close
4	relative who's engaged in law enforcement work? This is
5	always another popular question.
6	Let's start over here.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 099: When you say law
8	enforcement, right now I
9	THE COURT: Okay. Hang on. I got to get
10	you the microphone just because Mr. Kangas needs to get
11	everything down. Yes. What's your badge number, sir.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 099: 099.
13	THE COURT: 099.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 099: I don't know if
15	this counts. Right now I'm watch commander for the
16	security patrol up where I live. We're not part of
17	Henderson Police, but we work in conjunction with them.
18	THE COURT: Would that affect your ability
19	to be fair and impartial in this case in any way, the
20	fact that you work for security?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 099: I don't think
22	so.
23	THE COURT: All right.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 099: Just so you
25	know.

```
THE COURT: That's what we're interested in,
1
2
   full disclosure.
                Next.
3
4
                Yes, sir.
5
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 775: 775.
                                                   I have a
6
   brother that works for the highway patrol in Georgia.
                THE COURT: Georgia Highway Patrol?
7
8
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 775: Yes.
9
                THE COURT: Does that relationship or would
10
   that relationship affect your ability to be fair and
   impartial in this case?
11
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 775:
12
                THE COURT:
                                  Directly behind you.
13
                            Next.
14
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 675: I believe it
15
   might be 75, Judge.
16
                THE COURT: Yes.
                                  That's makes sense.
17
   we had 774 over here.
18
                     (Off-record colloquy)
               THE COURT: Yes, sir.
19
                                       I need --
20
               THE COURT RECORDER:
                                     675.
21
               THE COURT: 675. Next, please.
22
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 667: Camara, 667.
               THE COURT: 66 --
23
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 667:
24
   brother-in-law that is Honolulu chief.
25
```

1	THE COURT: Honolulu chief of police?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 667: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Would that relationship with
4	your I'm sorry. He's your brother?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 667: My
6	brother-in-law.
7	THE COURT: Brother-in-law. Would that
8	relationship affect your ability to be fair here in this
9	case today?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 667: No, sir.
11	THE COURT: Thank you.
12	MR. BATEMAN: I apologize, again,
13	Your Honor. I didn't catch that number.
14	THE COURT: 667.
15	MR. BATEMAN: Thank you.
16	THE COURT: Next.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: 636. I have
18	THE COURT: 6
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: three uncles
20	that are police officers.
21	THE COURT: Would the fact that your uncles
22	are police officers affect your ability to be fair here?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: No.
24	THE COURT: Thank you for telling us.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: You're welcome.

1	THE COURT: Sir.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: I worked at
3	Southern Nevada Correctional facility for 19 months
4	THE COURT: Would
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: as a
6	corrections officer and as a therapeutic recreation
7	specialist.
8	THE COURT: How long ago did you do this
9	kind of work, sir?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: From '88 to '89.
11	THE COURT: So it's
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: I'm currently a
13	therapeutic recreational specialist for the State of
14	Nevada at a mental health facility.
15	THE COURT: All right. Would that
16	employment affect your ability to be fair and impartial
17	in this case?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: I don't believe
19	so.
20	THE COURT: Thank you. Next.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 658: 658. My father
22	was a police officer from about 1958 to 1968 and my
23	uncle was a state patrolman in the State of Michigan for
24	25 years.
25	THE COURT: Would that relationship or those

```
relationships affect your ability to be fair here today,
1
2
   sir?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 658: (Indiscernible)
3
   I don't think so.
4
               THE COURT: Next.
5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 743: 743.
                                                  My son is
6
   a corrections officer for the city.
7
               THE COURT: Would that relationship with
8
   your son affect your ability to be fair and impartial?
9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 743:
10
               THE COURT:
                            Next.
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: 776.
12
                                                  My nephew
   is a (indiscernible).
13
               THE COURT: Would the fact that your nephew
14
   is a Metro policeman affect your ability to be fair in
15
16
   the case?
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776:
                                            No --
17
               THE COURT: Do you talk to --
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: -- I don't think
19
20
   so.
                            Okay. Very good.
                                               Thank you.
               THE COURT:
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: 634.
                                                  My father
22
                                 My nephew is highway
   was a constable in Illinois.
23
24
   patrol in (indiscernible).
               THE COURT: Would that affect your ability
25
```

```
to be fair here?
1
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: I don't think
2
3
   so.
4
               THE COURT: Thank you.
5
               Next.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 783: 783. I used to
6
   work for dispatch at LVMPD.
7
8
               THE COURT: LVMPD dispatch. And when did
9
   you do that work?
10
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 783: 2000 to 2002.
               THE COURT: So would that employment with
11
   LVMPD affect your ability to be fair and impartial?
12
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 783: Yes.
13
               THE COURT: State.
14
               MR. BATEMAN: Submit it.
15
               THE COURT: Defense.
16
               MR. FIGLER: We'll submit it
17
    (indiscernible).
18
19
               THE CLERK: What is that number again,
20
   Your Honor?
               THE COURT: Is that 783?
21
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 783: Yes.
               THE COURT: We'll discharge you at this
23
   time, ma'am. Please return to Jury Services. They may
24
   find a civil case or a different case --
25
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 783: Okay.
1
               THE COURT: -- for you to be assigned to.
2
   Thank you.
3
               Take 783 off the list. Is that all of our
4
   -- yes, down front.
5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 792: My number is
6
         (Indiscernible).
7
   792.
               THE COURT: You don't think you'd be -- do
8
   you think those relationships would affect your ability
9
10
   to be fair here today?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 792:
                                            It depends on
11
   where it goes and how it (indiscernible). I have a
12
   (indiscernible) all the way (indiscernible).
13
14
               THE COURT: Challenge for cause.
               MR. BATEMAN:
                             Yes, Your Honor.
15
                             Yes, Your Honor.
16
               MR. FIGLER:
               THE COURT: You're excused. Please return
17
   to Jury Services. They'll find you a civil case.
18
               Anyone else?
19
                     (No audible response)
20
               THE COURT: Is there anyone here who will
21
   not be able to follow the instructions the Court gives
22
   you on the law even if those instructions differ from
23
   what you believe those instructions or what the law
24
   should be? It's important for you to follow the law.
25
```

As a follow-up to that question, I must ask. 1 The fact that this is a criminal case it is your 2 responsibility to be the trier of facts. I am the trier 3 of the law. I sit up here. Frankly, I don't care what 4 decision is made. I'm here to make sure it's done 5 fairly. 6 You as the jurors decide what the law is. 7 Is there anyone here who feels they cannot be a fair 8 finder of fact and follow the instructions on the law 9 10 that I will give you at the close of this trial? (No audible response) 11 THE COURT: Under our certain principles of 12 law in every criminal trial there are certain factors. 13 Mainly, that the defendant is presumed innocent until 14 the contrary is proved and the State must prove the 15 defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. 16 17 anybody have a problem with that concept? (No audible response) 18 THE COURT: Further, there's an -- what 19 you're going to hear is an information that has been 20 filed in this case that is simply a document. 21 mere accusation and it's not evidence of guilt. 22 anybody have a problem with that concept? 23 (No audible response) 24 THE COURT: Does anyone understand that 25

these are the basic precepts of American justice and 1 that it's important for you to understand and follow 2 3 them? (No audible response) 4 THE COURT: Does anyone know anything about 5 this case other than what has been stated here in court 6 7 today? (No audible response) 8 THE COURT: All right. We've already put 14 9 I'm going to focus my questions on you 10 in the jury box. 14 now for purposes of our continued process. 11 Ladies and gentlemen in the audience, please 12 listen to these questions because people will come and 13 go during this process. 14 We're going to start with the first juror. 15 Is that Marie Jasline (sic), Juror No. 74? Is that 16 17 right? Ma'am, you're sitting in the first chair 18 which means you're the first one that gets to answer the 19 questions, so I apologize ahead of time, but we've got 20 to ask. Could you tell me briefly how long have you 21 been here in Las Vegas? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: Four years, sir. 23 THE COURT: And what do you do for a living? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: I work at the 25

```
front desk.
1
               THE COURT: Where?
2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: Mandalay Bay.
3
               THE COURT: All right. Could you tell us
4
5
   are you married, children?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: Actually,
6
   divorced.
7
               THE COURT:
8
                            Okay.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: Now I have my two
9
10
   boys with me for like two months now.
               THE COURT: All right. Have you ever been a
11
12
   juror before?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74:
                                           No, sir.
13
14
               THE COURT: Has anyone closely associated to
   you, a family member or a friend, ever been a victim of
15
16
   crime?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74:
                                           No, sir.
17
18
               THE COURT: Has anyone closely associated to
19
   you, family member or friend, ever been accused of a
   crime?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74:
                                           No, sir.
21
22
               THE COURT: Would you have a tendency to
   give more or less weight, credence, to a witness simply
23
24
   because they're a police officer?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74:
                                           No, sir.
25
```

THE COURT: Can you wait in forming your 1 opinion as to the guilt and innocence of these 2 defendants until all the evidence has been heard and 3 you've heard all of the instructions? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: Yes, sir. 5 THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why 6 7 you couldn't be a completely fair and impartial juror? Can you be fair and impartial juror if you're selected? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: Yes, sir. 9 THE COURT: State. 10 MR. BATEMAN: Pass for cause, Judge. 11 THE COURT: Defense. 12 MR. FIGLER: Thank you, Your Honor. 13 Ms. Gatela, is that -- am I saying that 14 right? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: Yes, sir. 16 MR. FIGLER: Okay. Again, my name's 17 David Figler. I'm one of the two attorneys for 18 19 Jamar Matthews. There's another person sitting at the table. 20 21 His name is Pierre Joshlin, and he has an attorney named 22 Phil singer. 23 Now, as this case unfolds, you're going to be told that it's two separate things and some things 24 25 may be relevant to Mr. Matthews, other things may be

1	relevant to Mr. Joshlin. They happen to be in the same
2	courtroom, but they're not being tried as a pair. Do
3	you understand that?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: Yes.
5	MR. FIGLER: Okay. And do you believe that
6	you can keep that information separate, especially if
7	the Court instructs you that this is only about
8	Mr. Joshlin and this is only about Mr. Matthews? Do you
9	think you could keep those things separate in your mind?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74. I'm going to try.
11	MR. FIGLER: Okay. What makes you think
12	that you might not be able to?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: (Indiscernible).
14	I'm going to try to (indiscernible).
15	MR. FIGLER: Okay. Is there anything about
16	your background that would cause you trouble to look at
17	a case like this?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: No, it's not.
19	Just like it's my first time here, and just like the
20	complication of the situation, stuff like that.
21	MR. FIGLER: Okay. You heard that this is a
22	case where a young woman lost her life, and it's being
23	charged as a murder case. You understand that?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: Correct.
25	MR. FIGLER: Okay. Is there anything in

that the nature of it being a murder case that gives you 1 any sort of concern or something that you think you 2 should share with us in determining whether or not you 3 could be a fair juror in this case? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: Yes. 5 MR. FIGLER: Okay. And what would that be? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: It's the -- it's 7 8 the Justice Court who is the guilty party. 9 MR. FIGLER: Okay. And I don't really understand. Could you explain a little bit further? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: Yes. Who's the 11 quilty party? Who's in charge of the murder? 12 MR. FIGLER: Okay. And what about who would 13 be I'm guessing responsible for the murder issue? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: Responsible for 15 the murder --16 MR. FIGLER: Yes. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: -- correct. 18 MR. FIGLER: And what about that gives you 19 20 concern in this particular case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: Who's responsible 21 for that -- who's murdered and who is responsible for 22 that murder case. 23 Okay. Let me ask you just a MR. FIGLER: 24 25 couple of questions.

You understand in any criminal case, but 1 especially one that's as important as a murder case, 2 it's the prosecutors over there who have made an 3 accusation, and they have the burden of proving beyond a 4 reasonable doubt that someone is responsible for, in 5 this case, a murder. Do you understand that? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: Correct. 7 MR. FIGLER: Okay. And you agree with that. 8 9 That it should be their burden to prove beyond a reasonable doubt? Do you agree with that? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: Yes. 11 MR. FIGLER: Okay. And the judge informed 12 13 you that the basic tenant of American justice system is that my client, Jamar, as he sits over there is 14 completely innocent of all charges. 15 You haven't heard one piece of evidence and 16 he has that presumption of innocence. As you look at 17 him right now, can you look at him as an innocent person 18 19 of this case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: 20 MR. FIGLER: Okay. And why not? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: Because I haven't 22 23 heard anything yet. I haven't -- I need to see evidence of (indiscernible) some of his -- some of his 24 25 (indiscernible).

1	MR. FIGLER: Okay. So let me ask you this.
2	Do you understand (indiscernible) a person is presumed
3	to be innocent?
4	I mean, they walk into a courtroom
5	completely innocent unless the prosecutor proves beyond
6	a reasonable doubt. So if you've heard no evidence,
7	then you have to say that he's absolutely not guilty.
8	Do you understand that?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: Yes.
10	MR. FIGLER: So do you agree with that?
11	That right now as you look over at Jamar he is not
12	guilty of any offense?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: Yes.
14	MR. FIGLER: Okay. Is there anything about
15	looking over at Jamar that gives you any cause for
16	concern?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: Yes.
18	MR. FIGLER: Okay. And can you explain to
19	me what that is?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: If he's really
21	guilty or not or does he deserve to be penalized for
22	what you are accusing him.
23	MR. FIGLER: Okay. And so those thoughts
24	are in your mind right now of before we even start?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: Yes.

MR. FIGLER: Okay. And do you have a 1 feeling about him one way or another before we start 2 looking over at him? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: Yes. 4 MR. FIGLER: And what's that feeling? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: If he deserve to 6 be penalized or (indiscernible) or (indiscernible) need 7 to be, you know, like (indiscernible) for the stuff that 8 he (indiscernible). 9 MR. FIGLER: Okay. Now, you understand --10 you said something about how you want to hear both 11 sides. You understand only one side, the prosecution, 12 has the burden of proving it. 13 That Mr. Matthews doesn't have to prove a 14 darn thing, and you could still find him to be not 15 quilty if you feel that the prosecutors haven't proved 16 their case beyond a reasonable doubt. Do you understand 17 that? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: (Indiscernible). 19 MR. FIGLER: Okay. Would you hold it 20 against Mr. Matthews if for whatever reason he does not 21 testify on his own behalf? Would you say, wow, one 22 side -- Mr. Matthews didn't say one way or another, so I 23 can't find him not guilty? Is that your opinion? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: Say that again, 25

1	please.
2	MR. FIGLER: Would you vote possibly guilty
3	against him simply because he doesn't give his side of
4	the story if it turns out that way?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: No.
6	MR. FIGLER: Okay. So you would follow the
7	judge's instruction that whether he testifies or not you
8	cannot hold that against him? You could follow that
9	instruction?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: Yes.
11	MR. FIGLER: So if you hear nothing from
12	myself or Mr. Bunin with regard to our own witnesses,
13	you can still find him not guilty if the prosecution has
14	not proven beyond a reasonable doubt that he is guilty
15	of some or all the offenses, you can do that?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: Yes.
17	(Indiscernible) hear both sides, both sides.
18	MR. FIGLER: Okay. And my question to you
19	is if you only hear one side, what will happen?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: To have to wait.
21	I still have to wait.
22	MR. FIGLER: Okay. And you'll still hold
23	the prosecution to the burden of proving beyond a
24	reasonable doubt?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: Correct.

Okay. Now, obviously my client MR. FIGLER: 1 Is there anything about your 2 is a young person. attitudes -- do you have any attitudes about young 3 people and crime or young people in our community that 4 would affect your ability to listen to the evidence in 5 this case? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: No. 7 MR. FIGLER: Okay. So you have no 8 prejudices at all against a young person like my client? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: Definitely not, 10 11 none. MR. FIGLER: 12 Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: Not at all. 13 MR. FIGLER: Okay. Is there anything else 14 about this particular case or Mr. Matthews that gives 15 you any concern or that would impact your ability to be 16 a juror in this particular case? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: Not so far. 18 MR. FIGLER: Okay. If something does come 19 up, would you alert the judge or the bailiff? If you 20 hear some evidence or something impacts you if you're 21 selected as a juror, would you let us know that you are 22 not giving him the presumption of innocence anymore? 23 Would you let us know when that happens? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: Definitely. 25

MR. FIGLER: Okay. Thank you. 1 2 We'll pass for cause, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. 3 4 Mr. Singer. Thank you, Judge. 5 MR. SINGER: Good afternoon. Now, just a little bit of a 6 You were asked by Mr. Figler certain follow-up. 7 questions about hearing certain sides. Let's just call 8 them sides, the prosecution side versus the defense 9 10 side. Do you feel like you can fairly and 11 12 accurately and fairly listen to just the prosecution side even if the defense and Mr. Pierre Joshlin 13 specifically presents no witnesses and doesn't get up on 14 the stand at all? Can you still be -- would you still 15 be able to come back if you're picked as a juror and 16 find him not quilty if only the prosecution just doesn't 17 prove their case? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: (Indiscernible). 19 MR. SINGER: So basically what I'm asking 20 you is that if Pierre sits there silently, and he and I 21 22 together choose not to call even one single witness, can you still, if the prosecution fails to prove their case, 23 find him not quilty? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: I still need to 25

wait for how they will present their side and then how 1 you will prove your side too. I have to wait. 2 3 to listen to both sides. MR. SINGER: Okay. So after the 4 prosecution's done with their case, you're going to want 5 to hear Mr. Joshlin, Pierre, prove his side or at least 6 give you an explanation for his actions? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: Correct. 8 (Off-record colloquy) 9 Do you think that just because 10 MR. SINGER: a person is here before you today they must have done 11 something wrong just because they're here? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: No. 13 MR. SINGER: So you believe it's possible 14 that an innocent person who's completely innocent and 15 hasn't done anything wrong can be brought before a 16 17 court? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: Yes. 18 MR. SINGER: Thank you. 19 20 Judge, I'm going to exercise a challenge for 21 cause. THE COURT: (Indiscernible) traverse. 22 MS. LEWIS: Thank you, Judge. 23 Ma'am, do you understand that the way this 24 system of criminal justice works here is that it's up to 25

the State of Nevada, myself and Mr. Bateman, to present 1 all of the evidence to you? We're the ones who are 2 going to be doing all of the work. The defense doesn't 3 have to present anything. Do you understand that? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: Now that you're 5 6 telling me. MS. LEWIS: Okay. So what I want to explain 7 to you is that that's how it works in a criminal trial. 8 The State of Nevada is the one making the accusations, 9 10 so, therefore, the State of Nevada has the burden. That means Mr. Bateman and myself have to 11 12 prove to you everything that we're saying he's alleged with having done. All those charges that you heard, we 13 14 have to prove that to you. The defense doesn't have to do anything. 15 So we're going to present our case. 16 going to hear witnesses. People are going to testify. 17 18 You're going to see evidence. And at the end, we're going to ask you to 19 make a decision on whether or not you think State of 20 Nevada, myself and Mr. Bateman, have met our burden of 21 22 proving to you those charges that were read to you beyond a reasonable doubt. Do you understand that? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: 24 MS. LEWIS: Okay. So the defense doesn't 25

have to do anything. They can sit here. They don't have to call any witnesses.

They don't even have to ask any questions. I doubt that they would do that. I'm sure they're going to be asking questions and doing what we call cross-examination of the witnesses that we'll call, but they don't have to do anything. Do you understand that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: (Indiscernible).

MS. LEWIS: Okay. So now that you have that understanding, do you still think that you're going to want to hear from the defendants, that you want them to give you their side or their version because that's what you said earlier.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: I'm pretty much new on this what's going on right now.

MS. LEWIS: Right. I understand, and that's why I wanted to explain that to you. So now that I've explained that to you, will you be able to sit here and listen to all of the evidence and make a decision as to whether or not you believe State has met its burden without hearing from the defendants or the defense attorneys, even?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: I'll be more than happy to sit here, sit down and listen to what's going on and start from there.

```
MS. LEWIS: Okay. So even if the defendants
1
   don't testify, you'll still be able to sit and be fair
2
   and listen to all of the evidence and make a decision at
3
   the end?
4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 74: Yes, I will.
5
               MS. LEWIS: Okay.
                                   Thank you.
6
               THE COURT: Challenge for cause is noted.
7
               Let's move onto Mr. -- is it Cicatello?
8
9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: Cicatello.
               THE COURT: Cicatello. Mr. Cicatello, tell
10
   us a little bit about yourself.
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: Well, I think you
12
   were asking my marital status. I'm divorced with two
13
              I've been in Las Vegas for about 22 years,
14
   children.
   and I work for a large bank in -- well, I work in the
15
   management department.
16
               THE COURT: Have you ever had any prior jury
17
   experience, sir?
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: I've never sat on
19
20
   a jury.
            No.
21
               THE COURT: Any close family member or
   friends been victim of a crime?
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75:
23
               THE COURT: Any close family member or
24
25
   friends been accused of a crime?
```

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: No.
2	THE COURT: Do you give the weight of a
3	police officer greater would you give the testimony
4	of a police officer greater weight simply because
5	they're a police officer?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: No, sir.
7	THE COURT: Can you wait in forming your
8	opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendants
9	until all the evidence and instructions are given to
10	you?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: Yes.
12	THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why
13	you couldn't be a fair and impartial juror?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: No, I do not.
15	THE COURT: State.
16	MR. BATEMAN: Sir, you said you were in
17	management at your bank. Can you tell me a little bit
18	about what your job duties are.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: I manage we
20	have a consumer loan center here in Las Vegas for the
21	west coast, and I supervise 12 underwriters.
22	MR. BATEMAN: Okay. About how many people
23	do you supervise?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: 12.
25	MR. BATEMAN: 12. Okay. As a manager you

1	have to make decisions about I assume, generally, your
2	division and the employees that are employed underneath
3	you; is that correct?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: Yes. That would
5	be correct.
6	MR. BATEMAN: How long have you been in a
7	management capacity with your current employer?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: With my current
9	employer about three years.
10	MR. BATEMAN: Okay. What did you do before
11	that?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: I've been in
13	banking for most of the 20 years I've been here. I was
14	an underwriter for a number of years. Before that I
15	worked in the branch on the retail side, so pretty much
16	I've always been in lending.
17	MR. BATEMAN: Were you actively trying to
18	get into a management position where you were kind of in
19	charge of other employees and had to make decisions
20	about those types of things?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: Oh, it was just
22	progression just based on my work ethic and the things
23	I've done.
24	MR. BATEMAN: On a regular basis you have to
25	make these kinds of decisions, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: Sure. 1 MR. BATEMAN: And sometimes difficult 2 decisions you have to make? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: Yeah. I quess 4 you could say that. 5 MR. BATEMAN: And with regard to dealing 6 7 with any of your employees or any sort of employee-type 8 decisions, when you make those types of decisions, do you try to gather evidence so that you can be best 9 equipped or most educated about the decisions you have 10 to make? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: Sure. Of course 12 (indiscernible). 13 Okay. In this case, MR. BATEMAN: 14 ultimately, at the end of trial if you're a potential 15 juror the jury has to go back in the deliberation room 16 and choose a foreperson. Would you be comfortable being 17 the foreperson in this case? 18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: Sure. I could do 20 that. MR. BATEMAN: The foreperson is, ultimately, 21 the individual that signs their name on the bottom of a 22 verdict form. Do you think you -- if the verdict in 23 this case was quilty of murder with regard to both of 24 25 these defendants, do you think you could sign your name

```
to the bottom of the verdict form?
1
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: Sure.
2
                             Now, you said you had -- have
3
               MR. BATEMAN:
   you ever had any negative contact with any sort of law
4
   enforcement officers whether or not someone's been
5
   accused of a crime or anything like that?
6
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: No, I have not.
7
               MR. BATEMAN: Okay. Sir, do you own any
8
9
   firearms?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: I have a shotgun.
10
11
   Yes.
               MR. BATEMAN: Okay. Are you familiar with
12
   firearms at all?
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: Yes.
14
15
               MR. BATEMAN:
                             Okay. And can I just
   generally ask what your experience is with firearms?
16
   There's going to be testimony in this case with regard
17
   to firearms and things like that --
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: Right, and I
19
20
   understand.
               MR. BATEMAN: -- I'd like to engage how much
21
22
   you already know.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75:
                                          Well, I'm not a
23
   real big user of firearms.
                                I have -- my
24
   ex-father-in-law he likes to go out and shoot at times
25
```

you know, in designated areas out in the desert. 1 2 gone with him on occasion just shooting, you know, target shooting. 3 4 I -- years ago when I lived in New York 5 State I tried hunting for a couple of years, but that's about it. 6 You heard a little bit MR. BATEMAN: Okay. 7 8 of -- a few questions of the previous juror about the State and its burden to prove the case. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: Yes. 10 MR. BATEMAN: Do you have any problem at the 11 end of this trial if the State hasn't proved its case 12 beyond a reasonable doubt with finding these two 13 particular defendants not guilty? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: Not at all. 15 16 MR. BATEMAN: If at the end of the trial you believe that State has proved its case beyond a 17 reasonable doubt, do you have any problem returning a 18 verdict of quilty? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: Not at all. 20 MR. BATEMAN: I'll pass it for cause, Judge. 21 22 THE COURT: Defense. Mr. Bunin. Mr. Cicatello; is that right? MR. BUNIN: 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: That's correct. 24 (Indiscernible) me saying it MR. BUNIN: 25

```
right. You just answered the question that if the State
1
   doesn't prove the case beyond a reasonable doubt that
2
   you could find the defendants not guilty in this case.
3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: That is correct.
4
               MR. BUNIN: And if the prosecution if you
5
   believe does prove it beyond a reasonable doubt, you
6
   said that you could find them quilty; is that right?
7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: That's correct as
8
   well.
9
               MR. BUNIN: You know, eventually, you're
10
   going to get an instruction from the Judge on what
11
   reasonable doubt means, and you're going to be asked to
12
   follow that instruction. But, you know, having not seen
13
   it yet, have you thought about, you know, what
14
15
   reasonable doubt means?
               I know everybody knows.
                                        You know, they
16
   watch TV and they've heard to prove beyond a reasonable
17
   doubt. You have your own thoughts on what that may
18
19
   mean?
                              Judge --
20
               MR. BATEMAN:
               THE COURT: Well --
21
               MR. BATEMAN: -- the local rules state
22
   specifically in voir dire that we can't get into
23
   ultimately what the instructions are going to be at the
24
25
   end.
```

I would ask that the jury's entitled to hear 1 the instructions from the Court and are required, 2 3 obviously, to follow those instructions. And I think Your Honor's asked some 4 questions about whether all of these individuals can 5 follow the law as the Court provides it, so I would 6 object to the line of questioning as to what their idea 7 of reasonable doubt is. 8 MR. BUNIN: And I'm not going to ask him to 9 10 do anything other than follow the instruction. I just want to know, you know, see if he has maybe some 11 preconceived notion as to what may be (indiscernible). 12 THE COURT: All right. With that 13 understanding, Mr. Bunin, continue. 14 15 MR. BUNIN: Thank you, Your Honor. Have you thought to you at least -- and, 16 obviously, we're going to ask that you follow the 17 instructions, the instructions that the judge gives if 18 19 you get on this jury. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: Right. But have you thought about what 21 MR. BUNIN: reasonable doubt means? What would it mean to you? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: It would mean 23 they'd have to have an overabundance of evidence proven 24 that these --25

MR. BUNIN: Overabundance? Do you think --1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: Well --2 MR. BUNIN: -- it should be -- based on what 3 you said, do you think reasonable doubt should be a 4 5 difficult standard to reach or do you think it should be an easy standard to reach for --6 7 THE COURT: Mr. Bunin, could you approach? 8 Parties approach. (Off-record bench conference) 9 MR. BUNIN: Thank you. I do appreciate your 10 11 answer. You know, I'm going to touch on a few topics 12 13 I think we touched on by Mr. Figler when he spoke to the I'm sure you were listening. And you're 14 last person. going to hear some of this stuff over and over again so 15 we apologize to everybody in the room, but there are 16 17 certain topics we want to go over with you. You understand Mr. Figler was talking about 18 19 with the first potential juror that the defense doesn't have to put on any case whatsoever if they choose not 20 21 Do you understand that? to. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: Uh-huh. 22 23 MR. BUNIN: So your sole duty as a juror will be to determine whether or not the prosecutors have 24 25 proven their case beyond a reasonable doubt.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: That's correct. 1 MR. BUNIN: If the State chooses -- after 2 hearing the prosecutor's case if the defense chooses not 3 to put on any case at all will that bother you? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: Not at all. 5 MR. BUNIN: And, you know, would have all 6 7 talked -- I know everybody's heard also about a Fifth Amendment right not to testify, and you understand it's 8 possible in this case that Mr. Matthews may choose not 9 to testify or he may choose to testify. But if he 10 chooses not to testify, is that something that would 11 bother you as a potential juror? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: Not at all. 13 MR. BUNIN: Could you go back in the jury 14 room, you know, and strip aside -- you'd be instructed 15 again, but strip aside the possibility of thinking, gee, 16 I wish I would have heard directly from Mr. Matthews. 17 Can you set that aside and not wonder why maybe it 18 19 didn't happen? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: Yes. I could do 20 21 that. MR. BUNIN: The prosecutor was asking you 22 23 questions about, you know, do you think you possibly have the skills or maybe even the desire to be a foreman 24 25 of a jury. A lot of it has to do with your own

personality, whether or not that's something you'd be 1 comfortable with, and I know you're in management. 2 Do you think you have the personality to --3 suppose you were instructed that you cannot consider 4 that say Mr. Matthews chose not to testify, and then you 5 hear another person in the back considering it. 6 have the personality you think to remind them that they 7 cannot take that into consideration? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: Sure. I could do 10 that. MR. BUNIN: Do you think you have the 11 personality to even maybe report him if somebody's not 12 doing what they're instructed -- the judge has 13 instructed? Could you possibly report to the extent 14 15 that you're allowed? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: 16 Sure. MR. BUNIN: Would you feel comfortable with 17 18 something like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: Sure. 19 20 MR. BUNIN: I'm going to pass for cause, 21 Judge. THE COURT: Thank you. 22 Record should reflect that Juror No. 621, 23 Mr. Tate, has stepped away from the jury box. 24 25 parties wish to remain and wait for Mr. Tate?

MS. LEWIS: No, Judge. I think he went to 1 the bathroom, probably, so I don't see a problem --2 3 MR. FIGLER: (Indiscernible), Your Honor. THE COURT: Well, I want an objection or do 4 you object to the --5 No objection, Your Honor. MR. FIGLER: 6 MR. BATEMAN: No objection, Judge. 7 THE COURT: Thank you. Proceed. 8 Have you ever been affected by 9 MR. SINGER: 10 crime at all yourself since you've lived in Las Vegas? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: No, I have not. 11 12 MR. SINGER: Do you feel like because just for simple fact that someone is brought to court by an 13 14 information or an accusation they must be guilty of 15 something? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: 16 No. So you believe in the concept 17 MR. SINGER: of being falsely accused. It's possible. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: Sure. 19 20 MR. SINGER: Now, since there's two different young men, Pierre and Jamar, that are accused, 21 22 there's a possibility that the evidence possibly could convince you beyond a reasonable doubt that one of them 23 is quilty and not the other one. Would you be able to 24 render a split verdict where you would find one guilty 25

but consider the evidence towards the other one which 1 may be not guilty? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: Sure. I could do 3 that. 4 MR. SINGER: So you wouldn't have to 5 consider them or in your mind you're not going to 6 consider them as a package, a team, cohorts or anything 7 like that? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: 9 10 MR. SINGER: Do you feel like just because somebody comes up and takes the stand and has a uniform 11 and a badge that their word is any better than anybody 12 else's, any of ours, mine, yours or any of us without a 13 uniform? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 75: No, I do not. 15 16 MR. SINGER: Pass for cause, Judge. THE COURT: Thank you. 17 Is it Mr. Famulare? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99: Famulare. 19 THE COURT: Famulare. Tell us a little bit 20 about yourself. How long you've been in Las Vegas, what 21 22 do you do, your background, training, experience, marital status. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99: I've been living 24 in Nevada for a little over four years. I'm married. 25

```
I'm retired.
                 I worked for IBM.
                                    Used to repair
1
   computers and help design them, et cetera, et cetera.
2
   What else?
3
               THE COURT: Did we talk about you're
4
   married, children?
5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99: Yes, I'm married.
6
7
               THE COURT:
                            Okay.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99:
                                           I have
8
9
   stepchildren.
               THE COURT: All right. Do they live with
10
11
   you?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99:
                                           No.
12
               THE COURT: Are they adults?
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99: They're all
14
15
   grown.
           Yep.
               THE COURT:
                          All grown. Do you have any
16
   prior jury experience?
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99: Yes, I do.
18
19
               THE COURT: Without telling us the verdicts,
   could you tell us, first of all, whether they were civil
20
21
   or criminal?
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99:
                                          Both.
22
23
               THE COURT: How many civil? How many
24
   criminal?
25
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99:
                                           I can't remember
```

More criminal than civil. exactly. 1 THE COURT: How many --2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99: I'd say 3 half-a-dozen cases. At least four criminal, two civil. 4 5 THE COURT: Where? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99: New Mexico. 6 THE COURT: And, again, without telling us 7 8 what the verdicts were, were you able to reach verdicts on all of them? 9 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99: One jury was hung, not because of me. You know, you find the young 11 people, real young people are not good on the jury. 12 THE COURT: Of the jury experience you've 13 had, one of them you could not reach a verdict? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99: Correct. 15 THE COURT: All right. Is there anything 16 about that experience that might affect your ability to 17 be fair and impartial here today? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99: No. 19 20 THE COURT: All right. Do you have any close family members or friends that have been victims 21 22 of crime? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99: No. 23 Do you have any close family THE COURT: 24 members or friends who have been accused of crime? 25

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99: No.
2	THE COURT: Would you give any more or less
3	weight to a police officer, police officer's testimony,
4	simply because they're a police officer?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Why?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99: I have I
8	worked with the police department, and I just have a lot
9	of faith in them. I know there's always a bad apple
10	here and there. But, in general, if a police officer
11	says something, I'm more inclined to believe him over
12	somebody else who would say the opposite.
13	THE COURT: You understand a police officer
14	is just a witness like anyone else?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99: True.
16	THE COURT: All right. Can you hold for me
17	your opinion as to guilt or innocence until all of the
18	information is in and all the instructions have been
19	given to you?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99: Yes, I can.
21	THE COURT: Do you know of any other reason
22	you cannot be completely fair and impartial?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99: No.
24	THE COURT: State.
25	MS. LEWIS: You said that you were retired

```
What did you do for IBM?
   from IBM.
1
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99:
                                           I started out
2
   repairing data-processing equipment and computers.
3
   I helped engineering -- designing computers.
4
   worked with headquarters as a liaison between the
5
   engineers that design and headquarters.
6
               MS. LEWIS: Okay. And unless my notes are
7
   wrong, I thought you had answered to an earlier question
8
   that you worked security. Did I get that wrong?
9
10
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99: Yes.
               MS. LEWIS: Got it wrong (indiscernible).
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99: I'm a watch
12
13
   commander right now.
                         Yes.
14
               MS. LEWIS: Okay. So currently even though
   you're retired, you work in security?
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99: Volunteer.
16
               MS. LEWIS: Okay. For where?
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99: Up where I live.
18
   We have a security for us that rides around 24/7. I
19
   used to drive. Now I'm a watch commander.
20
21
               MS. LEWIS: Okay.
                                  I got you.
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99: I have a
   dispatcher under me and --
23
24
               MS. LEWIS:
                          Okay.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99:
                                           -- drivers.
25
```

MS. LEWIS: Thank you, Mr. Famulare. 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99: Um-h'm. Boy, you 2 3 said that perfect. MS. LEWIS: Pass for cause. 4 THE COURT: Mr. Figler. 5 MR. FIGLER: Mr. Famulare, okay. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99: Great. 7 MR. FIGLER: Ouick studies. I have some 8 questions, obviously, about your comments about the 9 10 police. It's going to be no surprise to anybody 11 pretty quick that as far as the case against 12 Mr. Matthews that things come down to the testimony of 13 two police officers and what they were able to perceive 14 15 or not perceive, okay? Now, understanding that those police 16 officers may have testimony, may not, that contradict 17 with lay witnesses, just people who aren't affiliated 18 with law enforcement. 19 20 It's my understanding of your position because of your respect for the police, your connection 21 with the police, and rising all the way up to the level 22 of watch commander of your own area that you would give 23 deference to the police in that type of situation. 24 that about right? 25

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99: It's pretty true. 2 Yes. Okay. And it is your MR. FIGLER: 3 understanding or it's your position -- and again, ladies 4 5 and gentlemen, there's no wrong answers. We just need people to be truthful about their feelings because 6 7 that's important, and this might not be the type of case that would be best suited for you. 8 You've obviously been on a lot of different 9 cases, but police testimony is going to be the key 10 11 thing, and they're going to be grilled pretty hard by myself and Mr. Bunin. I can't speak for the other 12 person. We're not connected. 13 But given that you'll see that that type of 14 15 testimony is going to be given and that the defense attorneys are going to go after it pretty hard, would 16 17 you have a sort of affinity or feel like maybe the police are being treated unfairly if we're questioning 18 19 their every move and everything that they see, that sort of thing? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99: No. I would 21 assume they're used to being abused in different ways 22 23 so, yeah, I'm sure they're used to it. MR. FIGLER: Okay. So you picked the word 24 25 "abuse", so that to me suggests that you have a little

```
bit of sympathy for those police officers.
1
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99: Probably not a
2
   good word, okay?
3
4
               MR. FIGLER: Okay. But that's your true
5
   feeling.
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99. (Indiscernible).
6
               MR. FIGLER: Okay. And you would give that
7
8
   extra credit to the police officers because you have
   that type and level of respect, and that's going to be
9
10
   unshakable, pretty much?
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99:
11
                                           I'd say so.
12
   Yeah.
               MR. FIGLER: We'll make a cause challenge,
13
14
   Your Honor.
                THE COURT: All right. Challenge for cause
15
16
   is granted.
                Thank you, sir, for your honest answers.
17
18
   You're excused from this panel.
19
               We'll call the next name.
20
                Please report back to the Jury Commissioner
   for possible assignment to a different case.
21
22
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 99:
                                           Thank you.
                THE COURT: Thank you.
23
24
                THE CLERK: Next will be No. 631,
   Warren Bradshaw, please.
25
```

```
1
                THE COURT: Mr. Bradshaw, if you could
2
   approach and take seat No. 3.
3
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: Thank you, sir.
                THE COURT: Mr. Bradshaw, good afternoon.
4
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: Good afternoon,
5
   Judge.
6
7
                THE COURT: How long have you been in
   Las Vegas?
8
9
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: Since May of
    188.
10
                THE COURT: And tell me a little bit about
11
   your background, employment, marital status.
12
13
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: All right.
   married. I'm a veteran. After I got out of the Navy, I
14
   worked at the Colorado State Hospital has a licensed
15
   psychiatric technician working with criminally-insane
16
17
   adult males.
               When I moved to Las Vegas, I got a job with
18
19
   the prison system first working as a correction officer
   for a brief period of time. But before I got
20
21
   postcertified, I was -- got an opportunity to become a
   therapeutic recreational specialist because of my
22
23
   college background, and I worked with them for 19 months
   in the prison system at Southern Nevada Correctional
24
25
   facility.
```

And since that period I've worked with kids. 1 Right now I work at Desert Willow Treatment Center in 2 charge of the therapeutic recreation department at that 3 facility. 4 THE COURT: Have you ever served as a juror, 5 sir? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: Yes, I have. 7 THE COURT: How many times? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: Once. 9 10 THE COURT: Without telling us the verdict in that case, were you able to reach a verdict? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: 12 Yes. THE COURT: Was there anything about that 13 14 experience that might affect your ability to sit as a fair and impartial juror in this case? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: 16 THE COURT: Do you have any close family 17 member or friends who have been accused of a crime? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Tell us about that. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: I have a nephew 21 22 who's in Colorado who's currently serving. I think he got 60 years for false imprisonment. 23 THE COURT: Is anything about that 24 experience that might affect your ability to be fair and 25

1	impartial here?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: No.
3	THE COURT: Has any family member or friend
4	ever been victim of a crime?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: I have.
6	THE COURT: Of what type of crime, sir?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: I had my car
8	stolen three years ago.
9	THE COURT: Is there anything about that
10	experience that might affect your ability to be fair
11	here today?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: I hope not.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Would you have a tendency
14	to give more or less credence to a police officer's
15	testimony simply because they are a police officer?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: No, I would not.
17	THE COURT: Can you wait in forming your
18	opinion as to guilt or innocence of these two men after
19	the evidence, all the evidence, has been heard and all
20	the instructions have been given?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: I can certainly
22	try.
23	THE COURT: Do you have any reason that
24	these parties, these attorneys, would like to know that
25	might affect or any fact that might affect your ability

to be fair and impartial in this case? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: Only that I 2 worked in Colorado and here in Nevada with people who 3 have been convicted of the crimes that these gentlemen 4 5 are charged with. THE COURT: And so do you believe that would 6 7 affect your ability to be fair here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: I would hope 8 9 not. 10 THE COURT: All right. Little bit better 11 than a hope. Can you be fair and impartial? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: Yeah. I think I 12 13 could be fair and impartial. THE COURT: State. 14 MR. BATEMAN: Sir, you first said you were 15 16 in the military; is that correct? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: MR. BATEMAN: Okay. And what specifically? 18 19 The Navy I think is what you referred to? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: Navy. 20 21 Yes. What years of service? 22 MR. BATEMAN: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: I served active 23 duty from '77 to '80, active reserve from '80 to I think 24 25 '84 or '85, somewhere. I really don't remember, but the

1 reserves. 2 MR. BATEMAN: When you finally decided to go 3 to work with the correctional facility, what made you make that decision? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: When I worked in 5 Colorado at the State Hospital I had to obtain my degree 6 in recreation, but there was a lot of people that were 8 obtaining the degree in recreation and there wasn't that many jobs. 9 10 So I came to Nevada and took a job as a correctional officer which I said didn't last long 11 12 because there was an opening for a therapeutic recreational specialist at the mental health unit at 13 14 Southern Nevada Correctional facility, and I obtained 15 that position. MR. BATEMAN: Can you tell me. 16 I don't 17 What does a therapeutic recreational specialist know. 18 do? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: Well, we -- we 19 20 work in a -- right now at the prison there's a lot of different jobs. 21 22 MR. BATEMAN: Tell me what you do at the 23 prison. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: At the prison I 24 was in charge of providing basically physical fitness 25

1	activities and other activities for the inmates that
2	were locked in the mental health unit at Southern Nevada
3	Correctional Center which is I took them to the gym
4	because they weren't allowed with the general
5	population we had to go to the gym separately, taught
6	them proper ways to lift weights, team sports, such as
7	that nature.
8	MR. BATEMAN: Those particular job duties,
9	were those different than what you expected to have been
10	doing when you got your degree
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: No.
12	MR. BATEMAN: in some other environment?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: No.
14	MR. BATEMAN: Okay. Were you happy kind of
15	with those particular job responsibilities in the
16	prison?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: Yes.
18	MR. BATEMAN: Okay. And you said also you
19	were working for the at the was it a facility for
20	the criminally insane? Was that postconviction?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: Yes. These men
22	are all convicted not guilty by reason of insanity and
23	sentenced to one day to life in the Colorado State
24	Hospital.
25	MR. BATEMAN: Okay. So they had a whole

different -- okay. 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: It was a whole 2 different dynamic. It was more therapeutic environment 3 than correctional. 4 MR. BATEMAN: 5 Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: But they were 6 criminals. 7 MR. BATEMAN: I think you said that you were 8 on a jury before, and you returned a verdict, but I 9 don't want you to tell me what the verdict was. Can I 10 ask whether it was criminal or civil. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: Criminal. 12 MR. BATEMAN: Okay. And where was that and 13 14 when was that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: Well, I think it 15 was 2000 and I'd like to say '3 or it might have been 16 17 '2, and it was a federal case. MR. BATEMAN: Okay. So that was here in 18 19 town? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: Yes. 20 MR. BATEMAN: Okay. And now, you said you 21 22 had -- well, before I jump forward, your job duties and your employment you've had in working with prisoners and 23 people convicted of crimes I think you kind of said 24 that, you know, you hoped you could be fair. 25

What are some of your concerns that you had 1 2 with regard to your background and how it might affect your ability to sit as a juror in this case? 3 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: Only that the 5 matter of, you know, similar ways people behave, you 6 know. Basically, it wouldn't be more than -- I would see more similarity if this case had to do with sex 7 8 offenders because I have an extensive history of working in Colorado and here with sex offenders. 9 10 MR. BATEMAN: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: Now, I don't see 11 no similarities between people accused of murder. I 12 wouldn't see any similarities. 13 MR. BATEMAN: So you've heard the charge in 14 15 this case. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: Yes. 17 MR. BATEMAN: Okay. That it has nothing to do with any sort of a sexual offense, but you think, 18 otherwise, that you can be fair and maybe put some of 19 20 those experiences aside and listen to the evidence in this case? 21 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: Yes. And you, like all of the 23 MR. BATEMAN: jurors, kind of come to jury service with your own 24 personal experiences, and, obviously, your mind set is 25

1	shaped by those experiences.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: Yes.
3	MR. BATEMAN: Okay. Based upon the
4	experiences you had and the work you've had, is there
5	any reason why myself or Ms. Lewis, the State, should be
6	concerned with having you on this jury?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: I don't see any
8	reason.
9	MR. BATEMAN: Okay. You said your nephew
10	currently was serving a sentence.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: Yes.
12	MR. BATEMAN: And you said you believe the
13	sentence to be 60 years?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: Yes.
15	MR. BATEMAN: Okay. In this case, you're
16	not going to be called on to make any decisions about
17	sentencing or punishment. Is that fair? You understand
18	that?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: Yes.
20	MR. BATEMAN: So the sentence that maybe
21	your nephew had do you see that and any feelings you
22	might have about that coming into play here in this
23	courtroom?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: No.
25	MR. BATEMAN: Okay. Do you feel like your

1	nephew was treated fairly by the system that he was
2	involved with?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: No. I don't
4	think he was treated fairly.
5	MR. BATEMAN: Okay. And, again, was this in
6	Colorado?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: Yes.
8	MR. BATEMAN: Okay. Without getting into
9	the specifics, do you feel like you would hold that
10	against myself or Ms. Lewis presenting the case
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: No.
12	MR. BATEMAN: Okay. You also said that you
13	were the victim of a stolen vehicle; is that correct.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: Yes.
15	MR. BATEMAN: Okay. And did the police come
16	out and you make a report about your vehicle?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: No, they did
18	not.
19	MR. BATEMAN: Did you call for them to come
20	out?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: I called it in.
22	Yes.
23	MR. BATEMAN: Okay. So you never made a
24	report?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: I made a report,
- 1	

but it wasn't -- nobody came to the scene or did 1 anything like that. 2 MR. BATEMAN: Okay. You're going to hear a 3 number of police officers get up and testify here in 4 court today, and they're going to be Las Vegas 5 Metropolitan Police Department officers. Is that who 6 you called with regard to your case? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: Yes, I did. 8 9 MR. BATEMAN: Okay. Based upon that experience, and obviously something that you weren't 10 particularly satisfied with, do you think that you would 11 be able to set that aside and just listen to the 12 13 testimony of the officers in this case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: Of course. 14 MR. BATEMAN: Okay. We'll pass for cause, 15 Judge. 16 THE COURT: Defense. 17 Thank you. How are you? MR. BUNIN: 18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: Happy about being here? 20 MR. BUNIN: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: 21 22 particularly, but I'm here. 23 MR. BUNIN: I'd have been disturbed if you 24 said yes. 25 Is there anything about your experience -- I

1	know you were only a corrections officer for one year.
2	That was back in 19 (indiscernible)
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: No. I was only
4	a corrections officer about for one month.
5	MR. BUNIN: Oh, one month. I'm sorry. That
6	was back in the 1980s?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: That was in '88,
8	and then I got promoted to the therapeutic recreational
9	position.
10	MR. BUNIN: Okay. How long did you have
11	that position?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: In the prison?
13	MR. BUNIN: Yes.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: For 19 months.
15	MR. BUNIN: 19 months. And then from there
16	what was your next position?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: I work
18	currently I work at Desert Willow Treatment Center with
19	adolescent kids doing the same job.
20	MR. BUNIN: For how long now have you been
21	working there?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: Since '89.
23	MR. BUNIN: '89. Okay. Is there anything
24	about your experience working in the prison that might
25	give you some bias one way or the other, towards the

prosecution side or towards maybe Mr. Matthew's side, of 1 2 the case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: I don't think 3 4 so. 5 MR. BUNIN: Did you ever have to have an occasion to testify in a case because of your job? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: No. MR. BUNIN: You were never a witness to 8 anything where somebody tried to call you into court? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: No. 10 MR. BUNIN: Okay. Would you have been 11 comfortable if you had had to do that? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: I don't think 13 I'd have had a problem with it. 14 MR. BUNIN: I know briefly you just said 15 that you had your car stolen here. Was there anything 16 about that experience that might bias you one way or the 17 other? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: Well, not in 19 20 this case. MR. BUNIN: Okay. Were you upset about, 21 maybe, how the investigation was handled when your car 22 was stolen? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: There was no 24 25 investigation.

```
1
               MR. BUNIN: Despite that, would that change
   your opinion of any of the witnesses in this particular
2
    (indiscernible) --
3
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631:
                                            No.
4
5
               MR. BUNIN: -- when they testify? And you
   know police officers are going to testify here.
6
7
   you be able to give their opinions or their statements
   the same weight as anybody else as witnesses that are
8
9
   not police officers?
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: I believe so.
10
               MR. BUNIN: You wouldn't hold it against
11
   them that they're police officers?
12
13
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631:
                                            No.
14
               MR. BUNIN: And you wouldn't say their
   testimony is more credible because they have to be
15
16
   police officers?
17
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631:
18
               MR. BUNIN: Okay. I don't have any
19
   follow-up.
               I'll pass for cause.
20
                Thank you.
                THE COURT:
                            Thank you.
21
               Ms. -- is it Pawlus?
22
23
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126:
                                            Judge, yes.
                           Judge, Mr. Singer.
24
                THE CLERK:
25
                THE COURT:
                            You know what? I'm sorry,
```

```
Mr. Singer.
1
2
               MR. SINGER: I know. That's okay.
3
    (Indiscernible).
               THE COURT: You just jump up.
4
               MR. SINGER: You heard the reference to
5
   certain locations when the prosecution was giving you
6
   their opening greetings. Do you remember that?
7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: Yes.
8
               MR. SINGER: Are you familiar at all with
9
10
   any of those locations?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: Maybe.
                                                    I didn't
11
12
   really pay that much attention, but if it's Vegas, I
   probably know -- could figure out where they're at.
13
14
               MR. SINGER: Okay. But nothing struck you
   -- nothing rung a bell specifically as well --
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631:
16
                                           Well, the
   mention of Doolittle, but I -- I'm a basketball ref. I
17
18
   referee games at Doolittle rec center, but I don't -- I
   think that was different. I think they're talking about
19
   the street Doolittle.
20
                             Okay.
21
               MR. SINGER:
                                    Well, the street
22
   Doolittle and the rec center, in that area, are you
   familiar with that area?
23
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: Yes, I am.
               MR. SINGER: Other than being a basketball
25
```

referee do you -- do you have any other cause or 1 2 occasion to be in that neighborhood? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: I used to have 3 two uncles that lived down there when I first moved 4 5 here, but they have both passed away. I have no family members that live down there anymore. 6 MR. SINGER: And you yourself don't live in 7 8 that neighborhood? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 631: No, I don't. 10 MR. SINGER: I'll pass for cause, Judge. THE COURT: Thank you. 11 Ma'am --12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: Yes. 13 THE COURT: -- you've already had your hand 14 15 on the mike once. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: (Indiscernible). 16 THE COURT: And it is Pawlus? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: Pawlus. 18 THE COURT: Pawlus. Is that how you like to 19 20 be addressed, ma'am? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: Aurora Pawlus. 21 22 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Pawlus, tell us a little bit about yourself. How long have you been in 23 Las Vegas? What do you do for a living? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: I've been here 25

```
I'm a food server. I've been a food server
1
   for 19 years. And I'm (indiscernible) daughter
2
   (indiscernible) and granddaughter (indiscernible).
3
               THE COURT: All right. And what does your
4
   daughter do?
5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: She works for a
6
7
   real estate company.
               THE COURT: All right. Have you ever served
8
   as a juror before?
9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: No, I have not.
10
               THE COURT: And has anyone close family
11
   member or friend ever been a victim of a crime?
12.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126:
                                            Myself.
13
14
   held up at gunpoint on the corner of (indiscernible) and
15
    (indiscernible).
               THE COURT: Is there anything about that
16
   experience that might affect your ability to be fair
17
   here today?
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: I was held up by
19
   two young black men, so it might have a little bit of
20
    (indiscernible).
21
22
               THE COURT: Are you telling me that based
   upon that life experience that you couldn't be fair and
23
24
   impartial here?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: I'm not sure I
25
```

```
1
   could be.
2
               THE COURT: Okay.
3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 126: (Indiscernible).
               THE COURT: It's important that you be sure.
4
5
   If you're not sure, that's understandable.
               Challenge for cause?
6
7
               MR. BATEMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
8
               MR. FIGLER: Yes, Your Honor.
9
               THE COURT: Okay. Thank you for your
10
   honesty. I'll excuse you at this time, ask you to
11
   return to Jury Services. They'll find a civil case for
12
   you.
13
               Call the next name.
14
               THE CLERK: Number 633, Michael Green
15
   please.
16
               THE COURT: Sir, tell me a little bit about
17
   yourself.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: My name is
18
   Michael Green. I have moved to the USA in 1998, and
19
   I've -- I'm Las Vegas since 2003.
20
21
               I work for Harrah's Entertainment and work
   as a programmer analyst. My work is basically I'm
22
23
   responsible for creating programs for some applications.
24
               THE COURT: Are you married?
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Yes, I am
```

```
married. I have two kids, two daughters, five years old
 1
 2
    and two years old.
 3
                THE COURT: All right. What is your country
   of origin?
 4
 5
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Russia.
 6
                THE COURT: Are you comfortable -- you
 7
   appear to be very comfortable in English.
 8
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: That's the only,
 9
   probably, concern of mine because of the certain terms
10
   related to -- to this system. That's the only concern I
   have so far.
11
12
                THE COURT: All right. Have you been doing
13
   okay so far?
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Yes.
14
                                                   Okay so
15
   far. Yep.
16
                THE COURT: All right. You understand that
   if there's a term that you don't understand, you can
17
18
   raise your hand --
19
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Yes.
20
                THE COURT: Have you ever served as a juror
   before?
21
22
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633:
                                            Nope.
23
                THE COURT:
                            Has any close family member or
24
   friend been a victim of a crime?
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: No.
25
```

1	THE COURT: Has any close family member or
2	friend ever been accused of a crime?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: No.
4	THE COURT: Would you tend to give a police
5	officer greater or lesser weight, credibility, simply
6	because they are a police officer? Their testimony?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: 30 minutes ago I
8	would answer yes, but as I've heard some of other
9	participants and I understood I was wrong, so right now
0	I would say no.
1	THE COURT: Do you understand why a police
12	officer's just a witness?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Yes. I
14	understand.
15	THE COURT: All right. Would you and can
16	you wait in forming your opinion as to guilt or
17	innocence of these men until all of the evidence is
8	heard and all the instructions have been give you?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Yep.
20	THE COURT: Is there anything that might
21	affect your ability to be completely fair and impartial
22	as a juror if you're selected in this case?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: I don't think
24	so.
25	THE COURT: State.

```
1
               MR. BATEMAN:
                             Just briefly. Sir, you said
   you came in the states in 1998?
2
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Yes.
                                                   That's
3
   correct.
4
5
               MR. BATEMAN: I'm sorry. Can I ask how old
   you are?
6
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Yes.
7
                                                   I'm 35
8
   years old.
9
               MR. BATEMAN: Okay. So did you live the
   entirety of your life prior to 1998 in Russia?
10
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633:
11
                                           Yes.
               MR. BATEMAN:
12
                             Okay.
13
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Actually, it was
   Ukraine, but I would prefer to say Russia because
14
   Ukraine is a smaller country and not like a lot of
15
16
   people here knows --
17
               MR. BATEMAN:
                              Okay.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: -- knows this
18
19
   country so --
               MR. BATEMAN: My geography --
20
21
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: It's easier to
22
   say Russia.
23
               MR. BATEMAN:
                              Okay.
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: It's Russia.
24
25
   Everybody knows Russia.
```

```
Okay. Can I ask where -- you
1
               MR. BATEMAN:
2
   said you were a programmer.
                                 What does that entail?
3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: I'm sorry.
   What --
4
               MR. BATEMAN: What does your job duties
5
   entail?
6
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Well, actually
7
   I'm to be more detailed, I work with RPG 400 language,
8
   and I program casino applications to help for repairing
9
   or any screen requests that I get is my work.
10
   it's --
11
               MR. BATEMAN: It's with regard to machines,
12
13
   gambling machines or --
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: It's not in
14
15
   regarding -- no, no.
               MR. BATEMAN:
                             Okay. Computers?
16
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Yes.
                                                  It's
18
   computers.
19
               MR. BATEMAN: Okay. And did you receive
   education with regard to what you're currently doing or
20
21
   training with regard to what you're --
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Yes, I did.
22
               MR. BATEMAN: -- currently doing? Where did
23
24
   you get that?
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Back in Ukraine.
```

1	MR. BATEMAN: Okay. Can I ask what kind of
2	education you have, what kind of educational background?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Yes. It's BSA.
4	MR. BATEMAN: I'm sorry?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: It's a
6	university, five years of university.
7	MR. BATEMAN: Bachelors or a
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Bachelor degree.
9	MR. BATEMAN: The equivalent here?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Yeah, equivalent
11	of exactly. Okay.
12	MR. BATEMAN: I'm just asking this because I
13	don't know personally much about the justice system or
14	the criminal justice system that might be in place in
15	your part of the world
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: (Indiscernible).
17	MR. BATEMAN: where you grew up. Can you
18	tell me a little bit about that criminal justice system.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: It's just simply
20	to say it real simple way it's corrupted.
21	MR. BATEMAN: Okay.
22	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Indiscernible) more
23	simple than that.
24	MR. BATEMAN: Since you've come to the
25	United States or have you learned about the criminal

justice system that we have here in the United States? 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Just a little. I -- one of my programs that I really like to see on TV 3 is -- is a program about judge, different judges, 4 actually. 5 6 MR. BATEMAN: Is it fair to say that your 7 understanding of the criminal justice here in the United 8 States is in large part framed from watching television 9 shows? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Yes. 10 11 MR. BATEMAN: Okay. Can I ask some of the 12 television shows that you've been watching? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Judge Judy. 14 MR. BATEMAN: We have Judge Barker. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: But I don't 16 believe that she is a good judge. 17 MR. BATEMAN: Okay. Well --18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: She is awesome on TV, but as a judge she doesn't have respect for 19 20 people. 21 MR. BATEMAN: Do you watch any other court type shows? 22 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Other judges and some movies, but basically from TV. 24 That's correct 25 answer. This is how I got educated --

1	MR. BATEMAN: Okay.
	-
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: as I said, a
3	little bit educated.
4	MR. BATEMAN: Is it fair to say that some of
5	the shows you've watched are or at least purport to be
6	real life or similar to what would happen in real life
7	as opposed to some shows that might be purely, you know,
8	fictional and made up? Does that make sense?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Yes. That's
10	yeah, it does.
11	MR. BATEMAN: And you watch a little of
12	both?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Yes.
14	MR. BATEMAN: Okay.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Just yes.
16	MR. BATEMAN: Based upon what you watch on
17	television alone, do you expect to see the same type of
18	thing here in this courtroom or do you have any
19	preconceived notions about how things are going to
20	happen in this courtroom just based upon what you've
21	seen on television?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: I would say 50
23	percent of what I've seen on television, yes. But, of
24	course, not not that excited, and I think it's going
25	to be

1	MR. BATEMAN: Boring?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Not boring.
3	It's not the word I was looking for, but it's not going
4	to be the same way
5	MR. BATEMAN: Okay.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: as I've seen
7	on TV.
8	MR. BATEMAN: So this would be your first
9	experience with a jury trial here
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: That's correct.
11	MR. BATEMAN: is that okay. So you
12	can kind of keep an open mind about things?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: First experience
14	in my life.
15	MR. BATEMAN: Okay. Great. And based
16	you ever see the show CSI?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: I may.
18	MR. BATEMAN: Okay. Since you haven't
19	watched it, I'll ask another juror. I'll save you the
20	questions.
21	Judge, I'll pass for cause.
22	MR. FIGLER: Thank you, Your Honor.
23	Mr. Green, are you excited, nervous
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633. Nervous.
25	MR. FIGLER: neutral? Nervous?

,	DDOGDEGETIVE TUDOD NO. 622. Von
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Yes.
2	MR. FIGLER: Okay. Well, it's neither my
3	intent nor my partner, Mr. Bunin
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: I understand.
5	MR. FIGLER: or I imagine the
6	prosecution, too, in any way make you feel
7	uncomfortable. It's just we have to ask these
8	questions. That's part of the system. You understand
9	that?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Sure. I do.
11	MR. FIGLER: So you won't hold that against
12	us or them?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Definitely not.
14	MR. FIGLER: Okay. Excellent. First I want
15	to talk to you about something that you said that, you
16	know, here in America a lot of us don't take the time to
17	learn the difference between Russia, Ukraine, Georgia,
18	et cetera. So you just say Russia because it's more
19	convenient.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: It's easier to
21	say. Yeah. It 's more convenient. Yes.
22	MR. FIGLER: Okay. Now, you would agree
23	with me that
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: I don't consider
25	this a lie because Ukraine was a part of Russia at the

time when I was born and raised for 25 years. 1 2 MR. FIGLER: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: So it was USSR 3 which is a short name for -- for the name. Right now 4 5 it's just called Russia, but, unfortunately, in 1998 it 6 was just broken up, so -- or fortunately. And right now all the countries are separated. 7 8 MR. FIGLER: And I appreciate that, and wasn't trying to catch you up on a lie. You're not my 9 10 witness. You're a potential juror. So what I did want to ask you, though, is 11 just about that experience because every one comes into 12 this room with very different experiences than other 13 people. 14 That's the beauty of the American system is 15 that you get people from different backgrounds, 16 different life experiences, and then you bring them 17 together and they bring to the table if you will their 18 own special things that are very different from who's 19 next to you. You understand that? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Yes. I 21 22 understood it --MR. FIGLER: 23 Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: -- today. 24 MR. FIGLER: And a jury is actually another 25

word for 12 individual "jurors" or 14, in this case, 1 individual jurors. Everyone's different. Everyone's 2 Everyone has their own ideas and you agree 3 independent. that that's a good system? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Yes. I agree that's a good system, and I'm not kind of a person who 6 7 would stand up and explain my opinion. But let's say if the other 13 judges would 8 9 have their opinions that, yes, it's guilty, and I have my own opinions it's not guilty, I would still keep to 10 my own opinion and that -- but maybe I would not be able 11 12 to explain it clearly to the others because of my 13 language abilities, but I would not change my opinion about that. 14 MR. FIGLER: And you would do your best to 15 express it the best that you can --16 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: I will do the best I can, of course. 18 Now, here's another question 19 MR. FIGLER: 20 about going with your background being from Ukraine. 21 You pretty much can pick up the accent of a fellow 22 Ukrainian more so than maybe I would be able to 23 distinguish between someone from Ukraine or Russia, et 24 cetera, correct? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633:

Okay. I guess that was the MR. FIGLER: 1 Here comes the follow-up question. 2 easy question. 3 you would agree, then, that people who are outside that knowledge of hearing that all the time would have more 4 of a difficulty in distinguishing between accents of 5 different parts of USSR, correct? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: That's correct. 7 MR. FIGLER: Okay. And would you agree that 8 the same is true with identification of people based on 9 10 any number of factors? The race that they belong to or a group that they belong to, what part of the country, 11 12 et cetera, would you agree that that's true across the board for everyone? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Yes, I do. 14 15 MR. FIGLER: Now, you've been in Okay. 16 Las Vegas for sometime now. How do you like living here 17 in Las Vegas? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Before Las Vegas 18 I did not -- I didn't mention that I -- since 1998 I was 19 living in Chicago, so it's -- it has its pluses and 20 minuses. 21 22 MR. FIGLER: Okay. Very different city, Las Vegas from Chicago? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: 24 Yeah. 25 Obviously, it's different, and it's not that I came from

```
Siberia which is most known place from Russia.
1
                MR. FIGLER: Most known cold place in
2
3
   Russia.
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Most known place
4
   in Russia, so I would say it depends on the time of the
5
6
   year.
                MR. FIGLER:
7
                             Okay.
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: My answer would
8
   depend on the time of the year.
9
10
               MR. FIGLER: Did you live in Chicago proper
   city or live in suburb?
11
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: No. In Chicago.
12.
                             Okay. North side of the town
                MR. FIGLER:
13
14
   or --
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: It was up north.
15
16
   It was up town.
                   I'm sorry.
                             Up town?
17
               MR. FIGLER:
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Yes.
18
                             Okay. And your experiences in
19
               MR. FIGLER:
   Las Vegas, are they generally positive, negative,
20
   neutral? How do you view our city as a whole?
21
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Related to what?
22
                             Well, just compared to Chicago
23
               MR. FIGLER:
                        Is it worse? How is it different?
24
         Is it better?
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: To be honest,
25
```

```
1
   people seem to be more open-minded and --
               MR. FIGLER: Here in Las Vegas?
2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Here in
3
   Las Vegas.
4
5
               MR. FIGLER:
                             Okay.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Comparing to
6
7
   Chicago was. But they are less honest. This makes
   sense --
8
9
               MR. FIGLER:
                             Okay.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: -- what I'm
10
   saying?
11
                             Sure. I mean, and there's no
12
               MR. FIGLER:
13
   wrong answer. It's how you believe.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: I mean -- yeah.
14
   If it's about people, if it's about environment, again,
15
   as I answered before it depends on the time of the year.
16
   So if it's winter, anything accept summer, it's totally
17
   great here.
18
19
               MR. FIGLER: Okay.
                                    Summer gets a little
20
   hot.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Yeah. What I
21
   don't like here in Las Vegas that everything is -- has
22
23
   walls and gated communities.
                                 This is something that's
   not like that in Chicago.
24
25
               MR. FIGLER: Okay. Let me ask you about
```

some earlier comment that you made. When you first 1 walked into the room, you felt that police maybe should 2 be viewed more reliable. But in listening to other 3 people talk, you basically changed that opinion? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Yes, I did. 5 MR. FIGLER: And why do you think that you 6 7 -- what changed? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Before I was 8 thinking that anyone can say I do, and it's not 9 10 necessarily the case. And I believe that police officer would be more honest, but I think I was wrong. 11 12 I changed my opinion based on what I've That police officer's just another person. 13 14 also has its own personality and its own life so he can also lie or say someone is (indiscernible) --15 16 MR. FIGLER: Or they can just get things wrong and have an honest belief. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: You're right. 18 19 Exactly. MR. FIGLER: 20 Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Just another 21 22 person. Have you had any interaction 23 MR. FIGLER: 24 with young people here in Las Vegas that are like my client, young --25

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: With this young
1
   people or with --
2
                                  Not -- you already said
3
               MR. FIGLER:
                            No.
   that you didn't know him.
4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633:
                                            Right.
5
                             I'm not saying that you did.
               MR. FIGLER:
6
   Just saying just young people in general in here in
7
               Have you interacted with young people?
8
   Las Vegas.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Not much. No.
9
10
   No.
               MR. FIGLER: All right. Thank you very much
11
12
   for your time.
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 633: Thank you.
13
               MR. FIGLER: I'll pass for cause,
14
15
   Your Honor.
               THE COURT:
                            Thank you.
16
               MR. SINGER: Pass for cause, Judge.
17
               THE COURT: Thank you. We're going to take
18
   our afternoon recess at this point.
19
               Ladies and gentlemen, I'm about to read to
20
   you a phrase that you're going to hear if you're
21
   selected for this jury every time because the law
22
   requires that I read it to you, so here it goes for the
23
24
   first time.
                It is your duty not to converse among
25
```

yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected 1 with this trial. 2 Further, you may not read, watch or listen 3 to any report of or commentary on the trial from any 4 medium of information including, without limitation, 5 newspapers, television, radio. 6 You are not to form or express any opinion 7 on any subject connected with this case until it is 8 9 finally submitted to you. Please don't talk to each other about what 10 you've heard so far. Talk about the weather. Talk 11 about the parking. Talk about the elevators. Just 12 don't talk about the case. 13 We'll be in recess for ten minutes. Ten 14 15 minutes straight so we can continue this process. Thank you. 16 THE BAILIFF: All right. Stay on the floor 17 up here here, folks. Do not go downstairs. You don't 18 19 have enough time. 20 (Off-record colloquy) THE CLERK: Ms. Lewis, thank you. I got it. 21 THE BAILIFF: If you turn your phones on, 22 make sure you turn them back off. \$250 American dollars 23 if they go off in court. 24 (Off-record colloquy) 25

```
THE BAILIFF: Do not come back in the
1
   courtroom, folks. Wait 'til I come and get you.
2
         (Court recessed at 3:27 p.m. until 3:39 p.m.)
3
                     (Off-record colloquy)
4
                  (Prospective jurors present)
5
                           Thank you. Parties stipulate to
6
               THE COURT:
   the presence of all members of the jury that were
7
8
   impaneled --
9
               MS. LEWIS: So stipulate.
               THE COURT: -- in the jury box?
10
               MR. BATEMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
11
12
               MR. FIGLER: Yes, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: All right. I believe we're
13
   moving onto Mr. -- is it Patulski?
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Patulski.
15
               THE COURT: Patulski. Mr. Patulski, tell us
16
   how long you've been here in Las Vegas.
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: I've been in
18
   Las Vegas for five years now, moved here in 2001 from up
19
   state New York.
20
               THE COURT: And employment?
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Work for a
22
   company called Fiberdyne Labs in which we manufacture
23
24
   fiberoptic networking products.
               THE COURT: And your background, training,
25
```

1	education?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Associate degree
3	from a community school back in Utica, New York, and 64
4	credits towards a bachelor's degree.
5	THE COURT: Tell us are you married?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Single, no
7	children.
8	THE COURT: Have you any prior jury
9	experience?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: No, sir.
11	THE COURT: Have you had any close family
12	members or friends that have been victimized by crimes?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: No, sir.
14	THE COURT: Have you had any close family
15	members or friends who have been accused of a crime?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: No, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Would you give the tendency or
18	the weight of a police officer greater or lesser
19	would you give a police officer greater or lesser
20	credibility as a consequence of the fact they're simply
21	a police officer?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: No, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Can you wait in forming your
24	opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the defendants
25	until all of the evidence is in and the instructions

have been given you if you're selected as a juror? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Yes, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why 3 you couldn't be a completely fair and impartial juror? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: No, sir. 5 THE COURT: State. 6 MR. BATEMAN: Pass for cause. 7 THE COURT: Defense. 8 How are you? 9 MR. BUNIN: 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Good. How are 11 you? 12 MR. BUNIN: Good. Now, we've talked about a lot of different legal contact with a lot of the 13 14 different people that we've spoken to so far. I'll just go over one or two with you and see how you 15 16 feel about them --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Sure. 17 MR. BUNIN: -- okay? One of them is -- and 18 I think I talked about this with somebody earlier --19 20 that the judge is going to instruct that a person accused of a crime, Jamar Matthews, does not have to 21 testify if he doesn't want to. Do you understand that? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Yes. 23 MR. BUNIN: And has a constitutional right 24 not to testify if he chooses not too testify. 25

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Correct.
1
               MR. BUNIN: Can you think of some reasons
2
   maybe why an innocent person would choose not to testify
3
   in a trial? I know, it's sticking you on the spot in
4
   front --
5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: No. That's
6
7
   fine.
                           -- of 70 people.
               MR. BUNIN:
                                              I apologize.
8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Why an innocent
9
   person would not (indiscernible) --
10
               MR. BUNIN: Why wouldn't an innocent maybe
11
   not want to get on the stand in a trial.
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Maybe
13
14
   nervousness.
               MR. BUNIN: Maybe they don't express
15
   themselves very well --
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Correct.
17
               MR. BUNIN: -- in front of a lot of people
18
   in the courtroom?
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Um-h'm. A lot
20
21
   of pressure.
               MR. BUNIN: (Indiscernible) also
22
   cross-examined by --
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Correct.
24
               MR. BUNIN: -- very good prosecutors.
                                                       You
25
```

```
never know. Are those legitimate reasons to --
1
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Yeah.
2
               MR. BUNIN: Would you hold that against
3
4
   Jamar if he chose not --
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172:
5
               MR. BUNIN: -- to testify?
6
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172:
                                            No.
7
               MR. BUNIN: Okay. Could you go back in the
8
   jury room if he didn't testify and set aside any
9
10
   feelings or any wonder why possibly Jamar chose not to
   testify --
11
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172:
                                            Sure.
12
               MR. BUNIN: -- at this trial?
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Yes.
14
               MR. BUNIN: Okay. Wouldn't make it more
15
   likely that you might find a verdict one way or the
16
   other depending on whether or not Jamar testified?
17
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172:
18
               MR. BUNIN: Okay. We've also talked a lot
19
20
   about -- I'm going to hit the same subject again because
   it's one that different people had different opinions
21
        And the judge just asked you about a police
22
             Do you think a police officer can make a
   officer.
23
   mistake in this job?
24
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172:
                                            Yeah.
25
```

MR. BUNIN: Will it bother you to see say 1 myself or maybe Mr. Fig cross-examine a police officer 2 and possibly point out some mistakes that may have been 3 made? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: 5 Yes. MR. BUNIN: It will bother you? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: No. It won't 7 bother me, but I know the severity of the case, so it's 8 pretty important that -- to pay attention to details. 9 MR. BUNIN: Okay. And you think it's fair 10 for Mr. Figler or myself to maybe cross-examine about 11 the details of --12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Sure. 13 MR. BUNIN: -- of the investigation? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Um-h'm. 15 MR. BUNIN: And can you consider the 16 possibility that even though a police officer may be 17 testifying, that he may have certain perceptions that 18 turn out not to be true? Is that something that's 19 20 possible? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Yes. 21 22 MR. BUNIN: You know, if say two different people testified, say one is a police officer and one's 23 not a police officer, and they both give a description 24 but the descriptions are different, would you give one 25

of those person's testimony more weight because he's a 1 police officer? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: No. 3 MR. BUNIN: You know, at that point, then I 4 quess you have to judge credibility; is that right? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Correct. 6 MR. BUNIN: You know, are you comfortable 7 trying to do that, to possibly judge a person's 8 credibility when they're on the stand? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: I like to just 10 listen to the information, the details of it and just 11 kind of digest it and regurgitate it back to myself. 12. MR. BUNIN: Yeah? And see if what they're 13 14 saying --Right. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: MR. BUNIN: -- makes the most sense? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Correct. 17 I mean, could you look at maybe MR. BUNIN: 18 certain statements that are said in the context of all 19 20 the testimony you hear and determine whether or not what they're saying may tend to be accurate or may tend not 21 to be accurate? Is that a kind of a way to judge 22 credibility in a case like this? Are you comfortable 23 doing that --24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Sure. 25

```
MR. BUNIN: -- when you're deciding, you
1
   know, very important issues --
2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Um-h'm.
3
               MR. BUNIN: -- for both the prosecution and
4
   for Jamar?
5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Correct.
6
               MR. BUNIN: His rights are at stake, and
7
   you're comfortable sitting there in judgment in a
8
   situation like that?
9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Yes.
10
               MR. BUNIN: Okay. I have no more questions.
11
   Pass for cause.
12
                            Thank you.
               THE COURT:
13
               MR. SINGER: Pass for cause.
14
               THE COURT: Thank you.
15
16
               Ms. Ocampo.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: Hello.
17
               THE COURT: Hi. Tell us how long have you
18
19
   been here in Las Vegas?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: I was born and
20
   raised here in Las Vegas. I'm currently working at the
21
22
   DMV.
               THE COURT: All right.
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: (Indiscernible).
24
25
   I'm single. No children.
```

:	
1	THE COURT: Any prior jury experience?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: Any what?
3	THE COURT: Have you ever been on a jury
4	before?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: No.
6	THE COURT: Any close family member or
7	friends been a victim of crime?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: No.
9	THE COURT: Any close family member or
10	friends been accused of a crime?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: Yes. A really
12	long time ago I had an ex-boyfriend who got charged with
13	a drive-by.
14	THE COURT: Did that experience or would
15	that experience affect your ability to be fair and
16	impartial in this case?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: No.
18	THE COURT: Would you have a tendency to
19	give more or less weight to a police officer's testimony
20	simply because they're a police officer?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: What was that
22	again? I'm sorry.
23	THE COURT: Would you give a police officer
24	more credibility if they're on the stand
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 49: Oh, no, no.

```
THE COURT: -- than any other witness simply
1
2
   because they're a police --
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492:
3
                                            No.
                THE COURT: -- officer? Can you wait in
4
   forming your opinion on the facts of this case until
5
   you've heard all the information including all the
6
   instructions?
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492:
                                           Yes.
8
9
                THE COURT:
                            Do you know of any reason why
   you couldn't be a completely fair and impartial juror
10
   here today?
11
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492:
                                           No.
12
                THE COURT:
                            State.
13
               MR. BATEMAN: Pass for cause, Judge.
14
                THE COURT: Defense.
15
                             Thank you, Your Honor.
                MR. FIGLER:
16
               Ms. Ocampo, you had indicated that you have
17
   some final coming up on Wednesday?
18
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492:
                                            Um-h'm.
19
20
               MR. FIGLER: And I presume you have to study
   for that final?
21
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: Yes.
22
               MR. FIGLER:
23
                             Okay.
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: This is my study
24
   time right now.
25
```

```
MR. FIGLER: Okay. It's not criminal
1
   justice because we can help you with that one.
2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: It's actually
3
   for forensics is what I want to get into.
4
               MR. FIGLER:
5
                            Forensics?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: Yes.
6
               MR. FIGLER: And what was that class all
7
   about?
8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: Forensics?
9
               MR. FIGLER: Yeah.
10
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: It's like CSI
11
   stuff, but I'm still doing my associates. I haven't
12
13
   went up doing the program at UNLV yet so --
               MR. FIGLER: Okay, so --
14
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: -- I don't know
16
    (indiscernible).
17
               MR. FIGLER: So in your course studies you
   -- and I'm sorry to interrupt you. In your course
18
19
   studies you studied about the different evidence
   gathering that people like we see on TV do? That's
20
21
   pretty much what your training is --
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: Yeah. Well --
22
               MR. FIGLER: -- or what you hope to be is?
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: -- eventually,
24
25
   yes.
         Um-h'm.
```

MR. FIGLER: Okay. And how much scientific 1 training have you had so far? I don't know where you 2 are in your --3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: None. 4 MR. FIGLER: None? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: None yet. 6 7 Um-h'm. 8 MR. FIGLER: What kind of classes are you 9 taking right now? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: I'm still in my 10 associates. 11 MR. FIGLER: And so what kind of classes? 12 13 Is it just basics or --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: Just, yeah, 14 basic core classes. 15 MR. FIGLER: Like English and math and that 16 sort of stuff? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: Um-h'm. 18 19 MR. FIGLER: Okay. We need to make sure 20 that you could stay focused on the subject because 21 there's going to be a lot of different witnesses and some are going to be in your area. 22 Do you think that you could put aside the 23 fact that you have a final pending in order to focus on 24 25 the witnesses because we, obviously, want you to hear

everything because Jamar over there absolutely deserves 1 your attention because this is a very important case and 2 there's a lot on the line here. You understand that? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: Yes, I do. 4 5 MR. FIGLER: Okay. Do you think you could put aside the course work and the fact that you have an 6 upcoming final, and the fact that your study time is 7 getting cut into or not? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: No. That's 9 I mean, I'll be fine with that. 10 With regard to your MR. FIGLER: Okay. 11 ex-boyfriend's case, was he your boyfriend at the time 12 or he was your ex-boyfriend? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: Yes. 14 He was my boyfriend at the time. 15 Without getting too much Okay. 16 MR. FIGLER: into details of what that was about, did he wind up 17 going to trial or not go to trial? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: He went to 19 20 trial. Okay. And do you believe that MR. FIGLER: 21 22 he was fairly treated by the system? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: No. 23 Okay. Whatever opinions you 24 MR. FIGLER: have about that, do you think that you could set that 25

aside and view this case in a different -- because it's 1 a different case, you understand that? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: Yes. I do 3 understand. 4 MR. FIGLER: Okay. And you think you can 5 put that aside? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: Yes. MR. FIGLER: And do you think that you can 8 listen to the question -- or, sorry, the instructions 9 that the judge here will give you and follow the law on 10 11 that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: Yes. 12 MR. FIGLER: Okay. Is there any other 13 response to any of the questions that some of the other 14 15 potential jurors have been asked so far that you have opinion about? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: I -- I didn't 17 know how a criminal case was processed until she had 18 said that they had to present all their evidence first. 19 20 I didn't know that that's how it worked, but, I mean, you guys informed me of that. 21 22 MR. FIGLER: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: 23 But, no. Is there anything about that 24 MR. FIGLER: 25 that changes your ability to serve as a juror here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: 1 MR. FIGLER: Do you agree with that? That 2 the prosecution, just because they accuse somebody, 3 4 should be responsible for having to prove each and every element beyond a reasonable doubt? Do you believe in 5 that? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: Yes. 7 MR. FIGLER: Okay. And you understand we're 8 going to ask lots and lots of questions. But at the end 9 10 of what they call their case, we may think, wow, they haven't reached that burden, and so then we'd leave it 11 12 to you as the individual judge. You understand that's how it works? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: Yes. 14 MR. FIGLER: Okay. And you're not going to 15 16 hold that against Jamar if we decide to make that decision? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: No. 18 MR. FIGLER: And you understand that's the 19 decision that he makes with counsel, and that we're the 20 ones who are trained in the law and understand how 21 22 things work? You understand that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: Yes. Um-h'm. 23 24 MR. FIGLER: Okay. Do you have an opinion about prosecutors or defense attorneys one way or the 25

```
other?
1
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492:
2
               MR. FIGLER: Okay. I'm sure some other
3
4
   people do so --
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: No. I have
5
6
   no --
               MR. FIGLER: -- (indiscernible).
7
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: I have no
8
   experience in the law or being a juror to form any type
9
10
   of an opinion on anything --
               MR. FIGLER: Okay.
11
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: -- what you guys
12
13
   do.
14
               MR. FIGLER:
                            All right. And do you have any
   doubt in your mind that as he sits there right now he's
15
   an innocent person because you have not heard any
16
   evidence at all?
17
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492:
18
                                           Yes.
               MR. FIGLER: And you agree with that --
19
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 492: Yes.
20
                                                  Um-h'm.
               MR. FIGLER: -- principle?
                                            Thank you.
21
22
                I'll pass for cause.
               MR. SINGER: Pass for cause.
23
               THE COURT:
                            Thank you.
24
25
               Mr. Wilson, tell us how long have you been
```

```
in Las Vegas, sir?
1
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509: Got to -- I got
2
   to Vegas in '98. Air Force brought me here, and I've
3
   been here working at Cox Communications since '99.
4
   Divorced, one child, single dad. That's about it.
5
                THE COURT: Prior jury experience?
6
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509:
                                           No.
7
                THE COURT: Any close family member or
8
   friend been accused of a crime?
9
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509: Yes.
10
               THE COURT: Tell us about that.
11
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509: I have a first
12
   cousin accused of a murder in Jersey.
13
                THE COURT: Do you believe that was -- would
14
   affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this
15
16
   case?
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509:
17
               THE COURT: Have you had any close family
18
   members or friends that have been victims of crime?
19
20
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509:
                            Do you believe that you would
                THE COURT:
21
   give more or less weight to the testimony of a police
22
   officer simply because they are a police officer?
23
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509:
24
                THE COURT: Can you hold off in forming your
25
```

```
opinion as to the guilt or innocence of these defendants
1
   until all of the evidence and instructions is given to
2
   you?
3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509: Yes.
4
               THE COURT: Do you believe that -- is there
5
   any fact or any information that might affect your
6
   ability to be completely fair and impartial if you're
7
   selected for this jury?
8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509:
9
               THE COURT: State.
10
               MR. BATEMAN: Just briefly. How long were
11
12
   you in the Air Force, sir?
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509: Ten years.
               MR. BATEMAN: Okay. What kind of things did
14
15
   you do in the Air Force?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509: Ground radar
16
17
   maintenance.
               MR. BATEMAN: Generally, maintenance work
18
19
   type --
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509: Electrical.
20
21
               MR. BATEMAN: Okay. And what made you leave
   the Air Force?
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509:
                                           Higher tenure.
23
24
   Didn't make rank.
                     Had to get out.
25
               MR. BATEMAN: Okay. And you said you moved
```

```
to Las Vegas when?
1
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509: '98.
2
3
               MR. BATEMAN: '98. Where were you living
   before '98?
4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509: I was in Korea.
5
               MR. BATEMAN: Oh, really? Okay.
6
   real question I have is you talked a little bit about
7
   your -- it was a first cousin and that the allegations
8
   were made in Jersey. Is it a close cousin?
9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509: Yes.
10
11
               MR. BATEMAN: Okay. Did you talk about --
   with him about -- and I don't want to get into, you
12
   know, all the details, but did you kind of talk a lot
13
   with him about what he was accused of?
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509:
15
                                           Yes.
               MR. BATEMAN: Okay. Was that accusation
16
   ever or did it ever get to the point where your cousin
17
   was actually prosecuted for the --
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509:
19
                                           Yes.
20
               MR. BATEMAN: -- allegations? And did he go
   to trial?
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509: Yes.
22
23
               MR. BATEMAN:
                             Okay. Did you have -- you
   weren't a witness or anybody called in that trial, were
24
   you?
25
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509: Well, I didn't
1
   know what he did, and he came from Jersey and stayed out
2
3
   here in Vegas with me, so -- but I didn't know what he
   had done, and they apprehended him from my apartment
4
   here so --
5
                              So I guess you --
6
               MR. BATEMAN:
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509: -- I got
7
   questioned a lot because of that.
8
               MR. BATEMAN: You didn't testify at the
9
10
   trial or --
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509:
                                            No.
11
               MR. BATEMAN: Did you sit through the trial
12
   or anything like that?
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509: No. It was in
14
15
   New Jersey. I was here.
               MR. BATEMAN: You had any communications
16
   with your cousin since all that?
17
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509: No. But I get
18
   -- through family.
19
20
               MR. BATEMAN: Okay. Do you think based upon
   whatever knowledge you might have had about the
21
   situation that your cousin was treated fairly or
22
   unfairly?
23
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509: It was fair.
24
               MR. BATEMAN:
25
                              Fair?
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509: It was fair. 1 MR. BATEMAN: Anything about that situation 2 that I should be concerned about, you know, as 3 representing the State (indiscernible) Ms. Lewis about 4 you being a juror in this case with a murder charge? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509: No. 6 MR. BATEMAN: Nothing? You think you can be 7 8 fair? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509: Yes. MR. BATEMAN: Okay. I'll pass for cause, 10 Judge. 11 THE COURT: (Indiscernible). 12 MR. BUNIN: Thank you. (Indiscernible) 13 Mr. Wilson. I guess I would ask the same question. Is 14 there anything that Jamar Matthews should be concerned 15 about based on your experience through your cousin's 16 17 case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509: 18 19 MR. BUNIN: Okay. You said that you were 20 questioned here about that? And I don't want to get into details of it, and I'm sorry to have to ask 21 22 personal questions in front of everybody, but you understand we have to. We're trying to look for a jury 23 that can be most fair. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509: Um-h'm. 25

MR. BUNIN: Is there anything about the 1 2 experience here being questioned that might give you some sort of bias one way or the other? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509: 4 No. No. MR. BUNIN: Was it a difficult situation 5 6 being questioned? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509: Yeah. 7 MR. BUNIN: Yeah. And you just tried to 8 answer the questions the best you could? 9 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509: Exactly. MR. BUNIN: Okay. Did that leave you --11 have you formed any opinions of attorneys? And I know 12 everybody has (indiscernible) or another but against 13 maybe prosecutors or people that defend people that are 14 accused of crimes. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509: 16 No. MR. BUNIN: No opinions at all that you 17 18 think would affect your ability whatsoever to be a juror in this case? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509: 20 No. MR. BUNIN: You know, you've heard us talk 21 22 about a lot different areas, and I promise I'll stop asking the same questions over and over again at some 23 24 point very soon. But have you heard any topics that you'd 25

```
like to talk about or maybe where you disagreed with
1
   some of what was said here in court maybe about the
2
   Fifth Amendment or police testimony or other types of
3
   testimony?
4
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509:
                                            No.
5
               MR. BUNIN: Nothing at all? Are you
6
   comfortable being a juror if you have to be a juror in
7
8
   this case?
9
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509:
                                            I'm all right.
               MR. BUNIN: Yeah? Okay.
10
                                            $40 is good.
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 509:
11
               MR. SINGER: 40 bucks and I'd pass for
12
13
   cause.
               Thank you, Judge.
14
               THE COURT: Did you pass for cause?
15
               MR. BUNIN: Pass for cause.
16
               THE COURT: We're moving down to Mr. Ashley.
17
               Mr. Ashley, tell us about yourself.
18
                                                      How
   long have you been in Las Vegas?
19
20
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: Moved to Vegas
21
   in June of 1974.
                THE COURT: And employment?
22
23
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607:
                                            Employment,
   currently I work for Perini Building Company at City
24
25
   Center.
```

THE COURT: And what do you do for them? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: I'm what's 2 called -- they've established a position. Normally, in 3 the other businesses that I've been in I've been an 4 architect. Normally, they'll put architects and 5 builders. 6 7 So they put a title there of project design coordinator. I'm the liaison between the design which 8 is not done on the project and the building which is 9 still commencing as you can see it coming up out of the 10 11 ground. THE COURT: All right. Marital status? 12 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: I'm currently Been divorced. I've got three adult children. 14 married. 15 THE COURT: Prior jury experience. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: Zero. 16 17 THE COURT: Do you know anyone or close family member or a friend who's ever been accused of a 18 19 crime? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: Yeah, my son. 20 21 THE COURT: And is that experience or has that experience -- strike that. Would that experience 22 23 affect your ability to be fair and impartial here? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: 24 25 THE COURT: And what about a victim of

1 crime? Have you or a close family member or friend ever been a victim of crime? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: I've been a 3 victim. I was carjacked once. 4 5 THE COURT: And is there anything about that experience that might affect your ability to be fair 6 7 here today? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: 8 No. 9 THE COURT: Would you tend to give a police officer more or less credibility weight in their 10 testimony simply because they are a police officer? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: I would like to 12 13 answer it that they should be under oath and they should be telling the truth, but I wouldn't take it as anything 14 15 more than another person being on the stand. THE COURT: Can you wait in forming your 16 17 opinion -- wait to form your opinion as to the guilt or innocence of these individuals until after -- all the 18 19 evidence and instructions have been given to you? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: I would do my 20 best at that. Yes. 21 THE COURT: Very good. Do you know of any 22 23 reason why you couldn't be a completely fair and 24 impartial juror? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: The one thing

that I would like to say is that I suffer from sleep 1 apnea and Restless Leg Syndrome, RLS. And as that 2 effects me as an individual, I get very restless. 3 If I -- if I've had a bad couple nights of 4 sleeps, for example, I have a hard time. I'm very 5 fidgety, and I'm -- it affects my memory and, you know, 6 it helps -- it doesn't allow me to process stuff that 7 comes at me as well. I don't know when it affects me, 8 9 but it does affect me. THE COURT: All right. 10 State. MR. BATEMAN: From what I gathered about 11 your occupation, they're building City Center without 12 13 actually having any plans. Is that what you're getting 14 at? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: Well, they have 15 plans, but in this -- if you've been in Vegas very long 16 and you've seen how fast they build, they build with 17 very minimal plans. 18 19 MR. BATEMAN: Build first, plan later? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: No comment. 20 21 Your son, you said he was MR. BATEMAN: accused of a crime? 22 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: Was that here in Las Vegas? MR. BATEMAN: 24 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: Yes.

1	MR. BATEMAN: How long ago?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: Probably five
3	years ago.
4	MR. BATEMAN: Was he prosecuted?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: No. I believe
6	the charges were dropped.
7	MR. BATEMAN: Okay. Was it the Office of
8	the District Attorney that prosecuted it? Was it a
9	different prosecuting agency? Do you know?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: It was the City
11	of Henderson. (Indiscernible) know the details. It
12	never went to the court, so it had to have been dropped.
13	MR. BATEMAN: You weren't a witness or
14	anything in that case?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: No.
16	MR. BATEMAN: Anything about that case that
17	would cause me or should cause myself and Ms. Lewis to
18	be concerned about having you as a juror in this case?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: No.
20	MR. BATEMAN: Okay. You said you were
21	carjacked. When did at that occur?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: Must have been
23	when my kids were about five, about 18 years ago.
24	MR. BATEMAN: Okay. And where were you when
25	that took place?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: Henderson.
2	MR. BATEMAN: Henderson? Okay. So that was
3	quite a long time ago.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: Yes.
5	MR. BATEMAN: Were the individuals that
6	committed the crime against you ever apprehended?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: No.
8	MR. BATEMAN: Okay. Did you make a police
9	report and all those kinds of things?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: Absolutely.
11	MR. BATEMAN: All right.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: And they did
13	come.
14	MR. BATEMAN: I'm sorry?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: And they did
16	come.
17	MR. BATEMAN. The Henderson okay.
18	Anything about the fact that those individuals weren't
19	located or they didn't develop suspects that could be
20	prosecuted that cause again, would cause the State to
21	be concerned with having you as a witness?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: No. I no.
23	Other than they found the car in the same area where the
24	crimes in that general vicinity. The car was the car
25	was damaged immensely, but, you know, it wouldn't affect

1 me in anything. MR. BATEMAN: Okay. When you say carjacked, 2 are you implying that there were weapons used? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: No. Carjacked 4 in the terminology that I would be using was the car was 5 set idle. Somebody went in the vehicle and stole the 6 7 vehicle. Okay. Lastly, your sleep MR. BATEMAN: 8 9 apnea, when were you diagnosed with that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: Couple years 10 11 ago. Okay. So you go through that MR. BATEMAN: 12 13 whole process of going in and having all the wires hooked up and everything? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: 15 MR. BATEMAN: Okay. You said that 16 17 occasionally you kind of lose some ability to, I don't know --18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: Process. 19 MR. BATEMAN: -- process? And your memory 20 21 sometimes is not what you'd like it to be? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: Right. 22 23 Go ahead. I quess my only question is MR. BATEMAN: 24 25 how often do you find yourself suffering from those

```
symptoms? Once a week? Once a month?
1
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: It could -- it
2
            It could be daily. It could -- it could be --
3
   it could be, you know, every other week, you know.
4
   not that there's any rhyme or reason to any of it.
5
               It could be that I haven't slept well at
6
   night time, and I'm good for the morning, and then in
7
   the afternoon I get fidgety. And as I get fidgety, I
   get -- it's just hard for me to, like the sponge, grab
9
   the information and process it, remove it and, you know,
10
   process more information.
11
               MR. BATEMAN: Is there any medication that
12
   you can take for that --
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: I'm --
14
15
               MR. BATEMAN:
                             -- particular --
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: -- currently
16
   trying different medications.
17
               MR. BATEMAN: Okay.
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: Some of them I
19
20
   didn't like at all.
               MR. BATEMAN: Have you had any problem with
21
   what's been going on here this afternoon?
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: Other than I'm
23
   fidgety, yeah.
24
               MR. BATEMAN: And I'm sure during the course
25
```

```
1
   of the trial, if you were a juror, the judge would have
   no problem with maybe -- maybe you standing up or maybe
2
   standing to the side just to maybe move a little bit and
3
   get the blood flowing.
4
5
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: That does help,
6
   yes.
7
               MR. BATEMAN:
                              Okay.
                                     Do you have some
   concerns about being a juror in this case based upon
8
9
   your medical treatment?
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: I'm ready to
10
11
   retire on $40.
                MR. BATEMAN: I'll pass for the cause,
12
13
   Judge.
               MR. FIGLER: And they're talking about
14
   raising it. It sounds like you're almost happy with the
15
   40 bucks.
16
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: You have no
17
18
   idea.
19
               MR. FIGLER: Let me ask you about the
   incident when you were exposed to the (indiscernible).
20
21
   Were you an actual witness of the event that occurred or
   you just came out here and the car was gone?
22
23
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607:
                                           No.
                                                  I was a
             I was very close to the vehicle.
24
   witness.
25
                MR. FIGLER:
                             So you saw the individuals who
```

1	did this?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: No.
2	
3	MR. FIGLER: You didn't see it?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607. It was in it
5	was 5:30 in December as in it was the car was a pitch
6	black car with black tinted windows. You couldn't see
7	anything.
8	MR. FIGLER: Okay. But it's not like you
9	were asked to get out of the vehicle by someone who was
10	standing there?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: No. He didn't
12	ask me to get out of the vehicle, but he did ask my sons
13	to get out of the vehicle.
14	MR. FIGLER: Oh, so your sons got so see who
15	these people were?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: Yeah. They were
17	five and three at the time.
18	MR. FIGLER: Oh, that's not a really great
19	witness.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: No.
21	MR. FIGLER: Okay. Thank God they were out
22	of the car. Has there ever been another occasion when
23	you were a witness to a crime or criminal activity?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: No.
25	MR. FIGLER: Now, you've lived in Las Vegas

a long time, about the same time I've been here. Have 1 you noticed a changed in Las Vegas with regard to crime? 2 Has it gone up, down, in your opinion, or about the 3 same? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: It's a wave like 5 I think anything else. It comes and goes. I think in 6 the overall big picture, I think it's in the better 7 8 percentages now. Okay. And as you look over 9 MR. FIGLER: there at Jamar, do you have an opinion about him one way 10 or the other or --11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: No. 12 MR. FIGLER: -- have you formed an opinion? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: 14 15 MR. FIGLER: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: He's innocent 16 until proven guilty. 17 MR. FIGLER: Okay. Sometimes we'll say 18 unless proven guilty, too. Is that the same thing? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: (Indiscernible). 20 MR. FIGLER: Exactly. Let me ask you this 21 Have you ever had interactions with attorneys 22 question. before? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: Sure. 24 MR. FIGLER: 25 Okay.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: I've been
2	divorced twice.
3	MR. FIGLER: Don't know if I want to ask the
4	next question, but I think I have to. As far as
5	criminal defense attorneys how would you rate your
6	opinion of them going from like say one would be very
7	negative ten would be very positive. Where do you view
8	criminal defense attorneys?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: Do I have to
10	have an opinion?
11	MR. FIGLER: You sound like an opinionated
12	person, and just because you don't want to give it all
13	the time
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: No. I don't
15	have an opinion. I treat everybody equally. I belong
16	to a 12-step program. Been a member for many years, and
17	I give everybody the benefit of the doubt.
18	MR. FIGLER: All right. Can't ask for more.
19	Pass for cause. Thank you.
20	MR. SINGER: Are your three adult children
21	employed currently?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: One of them, my
23	daughter, is currently at UNLV. My oldest son is
24	employed, and my youngest son is not.
25	MR. SINGER: And vour oldest son, what does

```
he do for a living?
1
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: He works for an
2
   electrical engineering company.
3
               MR. SINGER: And how old is he?
4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607:
                                            23.
5
               MR. SINGER: And have you personally -- I'm
6
7
   assuming -- I'll just direct this towards the Henderson
   Police Department. Have you had any contact personally
8
9
   with them?
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: In what regards?
10
               MR. SINGER:
                             Any.
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607:
                                            No.
12
               MR. SINGER: No interaction at all?
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607:
14
               MR. SINGER: Never been questioned?
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: Well, sure, I've
16
   been pulled over by the -- you know, for something that,
17
   you know, might have been a citation or something or
18
19
   another, but --
20
               MR. SINGER:
                             Okay.
21
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: -- and I know
   the lead -- the head guy in the S.W.A.T. division out
22
23
   there, but, and he -- just because I lived next door to
   him for a few months.
24
25
               MR. SINGER:
                             Okay.
```

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: Have any
2	interaction? No.
3	MR. SINGER: When you lived next door to the
4	chief of Henderson or ranking official, did you discuss
5	cases with him? Did you have a cordial relationship
6	with him as a neighbor?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: As a neighbor?
8	MR. SINGER: Yeah.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: As a neighbor.
10	MR. SINGER: Yes.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: That's my
12	answer.
13	MR. SINGER: Okay. I have nothing further.
14	Pass for cause.
15	Thank you.
16	THE COURT: Thank you. Mr
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 607: (Indiscernible).
18	MR. SINGER: (Indiscernible) I hear you.
19	THE COURT: Mr. Acosta, tell us about how
20	long have you been in Las Vegas?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 609: Well, I came
22	here in August of 2001.
23	THE COURT: And tell us about your
24	employment?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 609: I own a

landscaping maintenance company, and I'm not married, no
kids.
THE COURT: Any prior jury experience?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 609: No.
THE COURT: Any close family member or
friend been a victim of a crime?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 609: No.
THE COURT: Any close family member or
friend been accused of a crime?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 609: No.
THE COURT: Would you give the weight of a
police officer any greater or lesser the testimony of
any police officer any greater or lesser weight simply
because they are a police officer?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 609: No, I would not.
THE COURT: Can you wait in forming your
opinion as to the guilt or innocence of these men until
all the evidence and instructions are given to you if
you're selected as a juror?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 609: Yes, I can.
THE COURT: Is there any reason why you
couldn't be a completely fair and impartial juror?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 609: None whatsoever.
THE COURT: State.
MR. BATEMAN: For all the questions that

```
have been asked, anything you would have wanted to
1
   comment on?
2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 609:
                                           No.
3
                                                 I got
   everything.
4
5
               MR. BATEMAN: Any negative police
   interaction that you may have had?
6
7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 609:
                                           No.
8
               MR. BATEMAN: Where did you live before you
   came here to Las Vegas?
9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 609: Texas.
10
               MR. BATEMAN:
                            Okay. You own any firearms?
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 609: I do not.
12
               MR. BATEMAN: Okay. Anything that myself or
13
   Ms. Lewis should be concerned about having you as a
14
15
   juror?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 609:
                                           No.
16
                             Okay. Pass for cause.
17
               MR. BATEMAN:
               THE COURT: Mr. Bunin.
18
19
               MR. BUNIN: Thank you. I don't know.
20
   think I probably only have a few questions for you, too.
   Do you have any -- have you formed any opinions about
21
22
   attorneys that might be relevant today?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 609: None yet.
23
               MR. BUNIN: It's not possible that none of
24
25
   you have an opinion about attorneys. All right.
```

1	Nothing at all?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 609: Nothing yet.
3	MR. BUNIN: All right. Nothing about a
4	person who chose to be a prosecutor or a person that
5	chooses to defend people accused of crimes? Would that
6	bother you?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 609: Definitely not.
8	MR. BUNIN: Okay. You understand as Jamar
9	sits there today and you look at him, do you see him as
10	innocent?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 609: Yes, I do.
12	MR. BUNIN: As you sit there now without
13	hearing any evidence?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 609: (Indiscernible)
15	any evidence.
16	MR. BUNIN: Would you be comfortable I
17	know we've talked about this topic a little bit before,
18	but not in awhile, but would you be comfortable let's
19	talk about your personality a little bit.
20	You know, we call you a jury, but you're 12
21	individual jurors. You're each your own person. You
22	understand?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 609: (Indiscernible).
24	MR. BUNIN: All right. If you hear all the
25	testimony and you form an opinion and other people in

the jury room (indiscernible) form a different opinion, 1 2 certainly I assume you'd be open-minded. Would you be able to talk about your different positions? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 609: Sure. 4 If at some point that person was 5 MR. BUNIN: unable to convince you to change your mind and you were 6 unable to convince them, would you still be comfortable? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 609: I would knowing 8 that we dwelled into it and we talked about it that we 9 10 can come to an agreement, then I would be comfortable with that. But if we didn't talk about it, you know, we 11 just came -- we were just, you know, so forced in our 12 opinion, then I would have a problem with that. 13 MR. BUNIN: Do you feel like that as a jury 14 you have to come to an agreement between all of you? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 609: No. Not that 16 you have to, but it's important that you talk about all 17 the evidence in the case and try to reach an agreement. 18 If you can't, then you can't. 19 20 MR. BUNIN: Different people have different opinions about (indiscernible)? 21 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 609: Oh, sure. Sure. MR. BUNIN: And so long as I guess everybody 23 listens to each other, then it's okay? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 609: Sure. 25

Suppose in the end everybody MR. BUNIN: 1 does listen to each other, but you just can't form an 2 opinion. Are you the type of person -- do you have the 3 personality to stick to your opinion if they can't 4 convince you that you were wrong? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 609: Yes. 6 (Indiscernible). 7 MR. BUNIN: Even if it was -- you know, I 8 used to ask the question I called the Friday question. 9 Suppose it's Friday afternoon (indiscernible) be here 10 that late in the week. But suppose it's Friday at 4:00 11 and nobody wants to come back on Monday and you know it, 12. and pretty much everybody has a different opinion than 13 the one you have, but you're just not convinced talking 14 to them that they're right. Could you maybe come back 15 on Monday? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 609: Since this is a 17 murder, I think I would have to. 18 MR. BUNIN: Okay. Are you comfortable being 19 in possibly confrontational situations like that where 20 you maybe have to -- having pretty big disagreements 21 with people over a very important issue? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 609: That's not what 23 I'm looking forward to, but if it comes to that, I'm 24 comfortable with it. 25

1	MR. BUNIN: Do you think you can do it?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 609: Yes.
3	MR. BUNIN: Okay. I'll pass for cause,
4	Judge.
5	THE COURT: Thank you.
6	MR. SINGER: Pass for cause.
7	THE COURT: Thank you.
8	Ms. Sarti, I note that your father's in the
9	hospital. Is that situation going to affect your
10	ability to sit as a juror here today?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: I don't well,
12	we went through a big my dad had a cardiac arrest
13	about three weeks ago, so my mind is obviously over
14	there more than here.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Are you going to be able
16	to set that aside and listen to the evidence fairly here
17	today or is it going to weigh on you to the point where
18	that you are going to be distracted from the evidence?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: It's kind of a
20	day-by-day being with him. You know, he's getting
21	better, so I think I'd be okay unless (indiscernible)
22	THE COURT: Very good. How long have you
23	been here in Las Vegas?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: 13 years.
25	THE COURT: And what do you do for a living?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: I'm a purchasing
2	agent for Richmond American Homes.
3	THE COURT: And are you married?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: Engaged.
5	THE COURT: And have you ever served as a
6	juror before?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: No.
8	THE COURT: Any negative contacts with law
9	enforcement?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: No.
11	THE COURT: Any close family member or
12	friends that have been accused of a crime?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: My half-brother
14	went to jail for domestic violence.
15	THE COURT: Would that situation affect your
16	ability to be fair and impartial here?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: No. I'm not
18	that close to him so
19	THE COURT: Close family member or friends
20	ever been a victim of crime?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: No.
22	THE COURT: Can you or would you give a
23	police officer more or less weight in their testimony
24	simply because they are a police officer?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: No.

Can you wait in forming your THE COURT: 1 opinion in this case if you're selected as a juror until 2 after you've heard all of the evidence and heard all of 3 the instructions? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: Yes. 5 THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why 6 you couldn't be a completely fair and impartial juror? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: No. 8 THE COURT: State. 9 MR. BATEMAN: Pass for cause, Judge. 10 THE COURT: Defense. 11 MR. FIGLER: Thank you. 12 Ms. Sarti? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: Yes. 14 MR. FIGLER: There's going to be no dispute 15 in this case that a very young woman lost her life 16 because of a bullet, okay? And you understand it's a 17 real thing. 18 It's a real dead body, and in all 19 likelihood, the prosecution's going to show you pictures 20 Is there anything about that idea that gives 21 of that. you cause or concern to be in this case? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: I don't think 23 24 so. MR. FIGLER: Okay. You know, we have -- and 25

I meant to ask others, and of course, obviously, if it 1 comes up, you can say it. But sometimes it could be a 2 little bit brutal and we ask people, and you'll be 3 instructed to put aside your sympathies that a young 4 woman perished at somebody's hand, and you understand 5 that you'll be asked to do that and you think you can do 6 that? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: Yes. 8 Okay. Now, conversely, another 9 MR. FIGLER: young man is sitting here, and he's just a citizen 10 accused of doing this, and you understand that there's a 11 lot on the line for him as well. And does anything 12 about that cause you any concern to be a juror in this 13 14 particular case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: No. 15 MR. FIGLER: Okay. Now, I had asked -- I 16 think it was Mr. Green -- before about the ability to 17 perceive differences on different factors like ethnicity 18 or race or country of origin, et cetera. Would you 19 agree that it's more likely for people of the same group 20 to be able to identify people within that group than 21 22 people outside the group? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: No. I don't 23 think it matters. 24 Okay. So are you saying that MR. FIGLER: 25

1	despite the fact that there's a familiarity that people
2	would have of certain characteristics, that someone from
3	outside that group would be just as good as someone
4	inside that group?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: Yeah. I don't
6	understand, really, what you're getting at.
7	MR. FIGLER: Okay. Have you ever heard the
8	expression "racial profiling" or anything like that?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: Well, I'm not
10	racist or anything like that.
11	MR. FIGLER: (Indiscernible). Trust me, I'm
12	not accusing you of that.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: Oh, I don't
14	think it matters whether you know, where you come
15	from or anything like that.
16	MR. FIGLER: Okay. So you would disagree
17	that sometimes it would be harder for a person of one
18	color to be able to distinguish between other people and
19	the characteristics of a different race?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: No.
21	MR. FIGLER: So you disagree with that
22	comment?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: Yeah. I
24	disagree.
25	MR. FIGLER: Okay. What sort of things do

```
you think would be important in identification or issues
1
   of identification?
2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: What I think is
3
   important?
4
               MR. FIGLER: What would be important factors
5
   to make sure that someone has a good identification of
6
   someone versus not?
7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: You mean as far
8
   as like the way they look or I don't -- you mean
9
10
   their --
                             I'm just asking you --
               MR. FIGLER:
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: -- personality
12
13
   or --
               MR. FIGLER: Let's assume if you were a
14
15
   witness to an event --
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: Um-h'm.
16
               MR. FIGLER: -- what would be the factors
17
   that would make your observations more credible or not?
18
   What things would you look for for a witness testifying
19
   from the stand?
20
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: Well, obviously,
21
   you'd listen to what they're saying, their answers, and
22
   I don't know. I don't think I would -- sometimes people
23
   go off of like their body language or, you know, some
24
   people go off of what they feel towards that person or,
25
```

you know, the feeling you get, like your gut feeling or 1 something, but I think more important is how they answer 2 their questions and whether they're telling the truth or 3 not. 4 Okay. Would you agree that the MR. FIGLER: 5 amount of time someone saw someone would be an important 6 factor to determine whether or not they have a credible 7 identification of someone? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: Yeah. 9 MR. FIGLER: Okay. And would you agree that 10 the specificity that they give in the identification 11 would be an important factor with regard to credibility? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: Yes. 13 MR. FIGLER: Okay. And the proximity, the 14 closeness or the further away, that someone would be a 15 factor to evaluate credibility? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: Yes, depending 17 on how good you see. 18 MR. FIGLER: Okay. And then your vision, 19 20 too. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: Right. 21 your vision. 22 MR. FIGLER: And whether it's unobstructed 23 or not, correct? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: 25

```
MR. FIGLER:
                            Okay. And whether or not
1
   you're moving or you're stationary, correct?
2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614:
                                            Right.
3
               MR. FIGLER: Okay. And all those would be
4
   important factors for credibility?
5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: Yes.
6
                            And you agree with that?
               MR. FIGLER:
7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: Yes.
8
               MR. FIGLER:
                            Okay. I'll pass for cause.
9
               MR. SINGER: Just a quick follow-up. You
10
   know that since there's going to be testimony and
11
   evidence for sure that somebody perished, somebody died
12
   because of a bullet, do you feel -- overall, do you feel
13
   like you're a sympathetic person? Do you feel a
14
   stronger sympathy than usual? Do people characterize
15
   you as a sympathetic person?
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: Probably a
17
   little bit. Not, you know -- I think everybody's a
18
   little bit sympathetic.
19
                             Right. Some more than others.
               MR. SINGER:
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: Yeah.
21
               MR. SINGER: I'm just asking about you
22
   specifically.
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: Yeah, a little
24
   bit.
25
```

MR. SINGER: And if the judge asked you or 1 2 told you that the law says you have to leave all your sympathies outside the door, kind of like a surgeon 3 4 leaves all their sympathies outside the operating 5 room --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: Um-h'm. 6 MR. SINGER: -- whether it's a child or 7 whatever, you just have to leave them outside, could you 8 9 do that? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: Yes. MR. SINGER: And in this case, you're going 11 to hear about a young person that died. Are you going 12 to have an overwhelming feeling that you're going to 13 want to attribute blame to somebody and Pierre's sitting 14 here, so you might want to attribute blame to him? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 614: No. 16 Thank you. Pass for cause. 17 MR. SINGER: THE COURT: Thank you. 18 Ms. Porter. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: Hello. 20 THE COURT: Hello. You have some special 21 circumstance I recall as we began this process, but my 22 note is unclear as to what that was. I thought it 23 24 was --Transportation. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: 25

1	THE COURT: I thought it was transportation.
2	Are we going to be able to resolve those transportation
3	issues to get you here so you can participate if you are
4	selected as a member of this jury? Good. How long have
5	you been here in Las Vegas?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: Been in Vegas
7	since June of '01.
8	THE COURT: And what do you do for a living?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: I'm a bartender.
10	THE COURT: And how long have you been doing
11	that kind of work?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: For about four
13	years now.
14	THE COURT: And your marital status.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: Married.
16	THE COURT: Kids?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: No.
18	THE COURT: Have you ever served as a juror
19	before?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: No.
21	THE COURT: Any close family member or
22	friends been the victim of a crime?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: No.
24	THE COURT: Or yourself, for that matter?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: No.

1	THE COURT: Any close family member or
2	friends or you been accused of a crime?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: No.
4	THE COURT: Would you tend to give a police
5	officer more or less credibility in their testimony
6	simply because they are a police officer?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: No.
8	THE COURT: Can you wait and form your
9	opinion if you're selected as a juror in this case until
10	after you've heard all of the evidence and received all
11	the instructions from me?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Is there any reason why you
14	couldn't be a completely fair and impartial juror in
15	this case?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: No.
17	THE COURT: State.
18	MR. BATEMAN: Pass for cause.
19	THE COURT: Defense.
20	MR. BUNIN: Thank you, Your Honor.
21	Hello, Mrs. Porter. Now, do you watch law
22	or crime TV shows?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: I think
24	everybody does, but, yes.
25	MR. BUNIN: Like CSI

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: Yes.
1
               MR. BUNIN: -- those type of shows?
                                                    Do you
2
   like those shows?
3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: They're
4
   interesting. It passes time where there's really
5
   nothing else on TV.
6
               MR. BUNIN: What do you like about them
7
   besides it just kills time?
8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: Just kills the
9
10
   time.
               MR. BUNIN:
                           That's pretty much it?
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: Yeah.
12
               MR. BUNIN: Nothing in particular?
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: No.
14
               MR. BUNIN: You don't envision yourself
15
   maybe on one side or the other --
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: No. It's
17
18
   just --
               MR. BUNIN: -- or thinking about it?
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: -- all TV.
                                                        It's
20
   all whatever they pull and want to do with it, so, no.
21
               MR. BUNIN: Okay. You know, you've heard a
22
   lot of different topics that we've talked about, so I'll
23
   try to narrow it down and not repeat myself too much.
24
               Is there anything we've discussed that you
25
```

would maybe like to follow up on? You comfortable with all the different concepts we talked about, how it's the prosecutor's sole burden to prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt? Are you comfortable with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: Yes.

MR. BUNIN: And would you be comfortable if the State put on their entire case, and maybe you didn't believe after putting on their case they've proved it beyond a reasonable doubt and the defense chose to put on no evidence whatsoever, would you be comfortable at that point then finding Jamar not guilty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: I'd rather hear his side, too, even if they prove their point. I would rather hear his side even if he's guilty or not guilty.

MR. BUNIN: And do you think -- I mean, and certainly you'll be instructed that you can't think that way when you're on a jury. You can't take that into consideration.

But we're not here to do anything other than get really honest answers which I know are difficult to some of these questions, and there's no right answer. Like Mr. Figler said earlier, there's no wrong answer to any question we ask you. Just whatever the honest truth is is the right answer.

Do you think it's possible that despite the

instructions back in the jury room, if the prosecutors 1 have put their entire case on and the defense chose not 2 to put a case on whatsoever, that you might hold that 3 against Jamar in some subtle way? You might be upset 4 that they chose not to put any evidence on. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: No. 6 MR. BUNIN: You think that -- and I know you 7 just said that you would have liked to have heard from 8 9 them. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: I was always 10 been told if you're guilty or not guilty always tell 11 your story even if, you know, if it's going to hurt you 12 or not. At least people get to hear your side --13 MR. BUNIN: Yeah. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: -- so that would 15 be my reason why I would want to hear Jamal's (sic) 16 MR. BUNIN: And if Jamar, you know --17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: Jamar, I'm 18 19 sorry. That's okay. So then if Jamar 20 MR. BUNIN: spoke to his attorneys and we decided not to put any 21 evidence on whatsoever, then in the jury room you might 22 hold that against Jamar. You might say, well, that's 23 kind of a negative against him because he decided not to 24 get on the stand and talk about his side of the story. 25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: Not if they 1 proved themselves good enough, then I wouldn't have to 2 worry about his side of the story. 3 MR. BUNIN: If they prove their case? 4 Suppose they don't, and suppose it's a pretty close call 5 and you think, well, this is close, but I got this 6 instruction on what reasonable doubt is from the judge, 7 and I read it, and I don't think that the State -- that 8 the prosecutors have met that burden as to what 9 10 reasonable doubt is? And now you're sitting there, they rest 11 their case, and you're waiting for Jamar to get up on 12 the stand, and one of us stands up and says defense 13 That's going to bother you? 14 rests. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: I won't lose 15 sleep over it, but it won't -- no, it won't bother me. 16 MR. BUNIN: When I say bother you, I mean 17 18 bother you to the extent that it might affect your ability to decide whether or not the prosecutors have 19 20 proved their case. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: Yeah, it would. 21 22 MR. BUNIN: And, you know, and to that extent it would affect your ability to follow the 23 instruction about not taking into consideration whether 24 or not Jamar chose to testify? 25

I would challenge for cause, Your Honor. 1 THE COURT: Traverse. 2 MS. LEWIS: Ms. Porter, you heard me give 3 the explanation earlier about how the criminal justice 4 system works, and you're aware of how our system works, 5 right? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: Yes. 7 MS. LEWIS: Okay. And, you know, I don't 8 think anybody's asking you to leave your common sense 9 outside at the door. Certainly that's why we're asking 10 everybody all of these difficult questions because we 11 just want to pick the 12 people that -- or 14 people, 12 however many we're picking today, who can be fair and 13 impartial to both sides. Do you think you can do that? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: Yes. 15 MS. LEWIS: Okay. So even though you say 16 that your belief is even whether you're right or wrong 17 you still should say your peace and say your story, 18 that's your personal view. And even though that's your 19 belief, will you be able to follow the Court's 20 instructions on the law and follow the law in this case 21 and evaluate the facts of this case? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: 23 MS. LEWIS: So if one of those instructions 24 is as I explained earlier that the defense doesn't have 25

to do anything, they don't have to even ask a single 1 question of any witness, and, certainly, the defendants 2 don't have to testify in this case, will you be able to 3 still follow that instruction, despite what your belief 4 5 is? And your preference may be that they would 6 testify, but would you be able to follow the 7 instructions that are given to you and make a 8 determination as to whether the State has met its 9 10 burden? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: Yes. 11 MS. LEWIS: I think that the question that 12 Mr. Bunin was asking you about is if it were a close 13 call one way or the other, are you going to use that 14 against the defendant? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: No. 16 MS. LEWIS: Okay. So if the State has met 17 its burden, you will find the defendants guilty? You'll 18 be able to do that? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: Yes. 20 MS. LEWIS: And if the State has not met its 21 burden, you'll be able to find them not guilty? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: 23 MS. LEWIS: And even though that's your 24 belief about wanting people to tell their story or say 25

their peace, you won't hold that against the --1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: No. 2 MS. LEWIS: -- defendants in this case? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: No. 4 MS. LEWIS: Okay. Thank you, Judge. Pass 5 for cause. 6 THE COURT: Motion --7 MR. BUNIN: May I follow up a little bit 8 more, Your Honor? 9 THE COURT: Absolutely. 10 I appreciate that. MR. BUNIN: 11 And, you know, I'm sorry, because I'm not 12 trying to pick on you at all. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: Oh, no. That's 14 fine. 15 What we're trying to get are the MR. BUNIN: 16 most honest answers, and sometimes you're asked 17 questions, and you kind of know what the people asking 18 the question want you to say, and it's very natural to 19 answer the question. 20 But I think it's very difficult for 21 everybody as potentially a juror to step back and really 22 think about whether or not your own personal beliefs --23 everybody comes in here with, you know, personal beliefs 24 you may have had your entire life, whether these 25

personal beliefs might affect your ability to be a juror on certain types of cases.

And, you know, I asked you a few moments ago if it's a very close call and, you know, you were always taught that guilty or innocent you should tell your story. I said if it's a very close call and Jamar, you know, decides not to tell his story, although you wouldn't lose sleep over it, it might affect you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: The instructions are given to me. I'll go off the instructions.

MR. BUNIN: That's right. And everybody is supposed to follow the instructions. You understand that?

But do you think it's possible that despite the instruction that you may -- your personal beliefs might actually affect your ability as you sit and think about it to follow that instruction perfectly? And again, there's not a right answer. There's not a wrong answer at all.

What we want everybody to do is really sit back and think and say, okay, I know the law. I know that instruction. I understand what it is.

But as I really sit and look at it, is Jamar or maybe for some people is the prosecution going to get a fair trial? Can I really follow this instruction

based on my beliefs coming in? 1 So if we go through this entire trial and 2 the prosecutors put their case on and in your mind it's 3 a very close call, you're not sure whether they met 4 their burden and Jamar chooses not to testify, are you 5 certain you can set that aside? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: Yes. 7 MR. BUNIN: Okay. Can you tell me what 8 makes you so certain of that because, obviously, it's --9 this is something you kind of -- you're thinking it 10 through as we're talking about it and kind of giving 11 some different answers about different scenarios. 12 makes you so certain that you can set aside your 13 personal beliefs based on the instruction? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: I don't know if 15 he's guilty or not guilty, so right now he's not guilty 16 until it comes down to hearing what the prosecution is 17 going to give. Then we go back in the room, think about 18 it, go off there, things might change. But I -- I -- it 19 won't -- no, if he doesn't testify, then that's fine. 20 It's not --21 MR. BUNIN: You don't think there's any 22 chance that could affect you? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: 24 MR. BUNIN: Okay. Even though, you know, 25

your entire life you believe that you're supposed to 1 tell your story. You don't think that's something that 2 would bother you if he chooses not to, and I don't know 3 that he -- I don't know whether he is or not, but we 4 just kind of need to make sure we have a jury that's 5 going to be okay with it if he chooses not to. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: No. If he 7 chooses not to, that's fine. 8 MR. BUNIN: Okay. Or if the defense in 9 general chooses not to put any evidence whatsoever, 10 maybe we don't believe the State has met their burden 11 and we just say that's it, we're fine, we rest, go back 12 13 there, we don't think they've proven their case, would you go back there concerned that maybe there are 14 questions unanswered that could have been answered by 15 Jamar or the defense? 16 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: I guess it comes down (indiscernible) questions get brought up, then 18 19 that's -- I mean, you'll have that question (indiscernible) that should have been asked or it should 20 have been asked or he should have spoke on part of this, 21 22 but, no. MR. BUNIN: Have you ever been -- not of a 23 crime -- but, you know, have you ever been accused of 24 25 doing anything you didn't do before by anybody?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: No.
2	MR. BUNIN: Never have? You can't think of
3	anyone that said, I don't know, you did X, and you had
4	to defend yourself?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: (Indiscernible).
6	MR. BUNIN: How would you, if somebody said
7	something? Do you think you would
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: Prove it.
9	MR. BUNIN: You would have to prove it?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: Um-h'm.
11	MR. BUNIN: And do you think that's how it
12	should be?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: Yes.
14	MR. BUNIN: And, you know, I'm not trying
15	not to go in circles, I promise, but in the courtroom
16	that's not how it is. In fact, a person accused of a
17	crime doesn't have to prove anything. You understand
18	that?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: Um-h'm.
20	MR. BUNIN: And you're comfortable with that
21	concept?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: Yeah.
23	MR. BUNIN: Is if the defense chooses to try
24	not to prove anything, you can sit back right now and
25	think there is no chance I'm going to make that any sort

```
of negative towards the defense because they made a
1
   decision not to prove anything?
2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617:
3
                                            Exactly.
               MR. BUNIN:
                          Okay.
                                   Thank you.
4
               THE COURT: Challenge for cause is noted and
5
   overruled.
6
               Mr. Singer.
7
               MR. SINGER: Pass for cause, Judge.
8
               THE COURT:
                           Thank you.
9
               Ms. Edna -- pronounce your last name for me.
10
   Please.
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: Bisquera.
12
   Bisquera, Your Honor.
13
               THE COURT: Bisquera. Ms. Bisquera, you've
14
   heard the questions we've been asking. How long have
15
16
   you been in Las Vegas?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: I've been here
17
18
   for three years.
               THE COURT: And where did you come from?
19
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: Originally, I'm
   from the Philippines, and I came to -- went to
21
   Los Angeles in 1977.
22
               THE COURT: And what do you --
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: And I'm --
24
               THE COURT: I'm sorry.
25
```

1	
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: Yeah. I'm
2	married. I don't have no children, but and I'm a
3	registered nurse and working at (indiscernible), and
4	I've been a nurse for 28 years.
5	THE COURT: Children?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: No.
7	THE COURT: Do you have any prior jury
8	experience?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: No.
10	THE COURT: Have you ever, you, a close
11	member or friend ever been accused of a crime?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: No.
13	THE COURT: You, close family member or a
14	friend ever been a victim of crime?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: Well, my four
16	tires on my car was stolen from my house. They left my
17	car standing on bricks.
18	THE COURT: How long ago was that?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: That was like
20	ten years ago.
21	THE COURT: Is there anything about that
22	experience that might affect your ability to be fair
23	here?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: No.
25	THE COURT: All right. Would you give the

```
weight -- the testimony of a police officer greater or
1
   lesser credibility simply because they are a police
2
3
   officer?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620:
4
               THE COURT: Can you wait in forming your
5
   opinion as to the guilt or innocence of these men until
6
   after all the evidence and instructions is given to you
7
   if you're selected as a member of this jury?
8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: Yes.
9
               THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why
10
   you couldn't be a completely fair and impartial juror?
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: No.
12
               THE COURT:
                            State.
13
               MR. BATEMAN: I just have a quick question
14
             I was asking Mr. Green about some of the
15
   television shows. I saw you shake your head about CSI.
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: Yes.
                                                  I love
17
   watching -- I love watching those kind of shows.
18
               MR. BATEMAN: Okay. Why do you like those
19
   shows?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: Because I -- I
21
   like to watch how -- how the law -- how the law -- the
22
   justice system is and how it works, and I like to see
23
   how they collect evidence. I -- I'm fascinated.
24
   been reading Perry Mason books before so --
25
```

1	MR. BATEMAN: You watch any other shows
2	other than CSI?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: I watch Cold
4	Case Files.
5	MR. BATEMAN: Based upon watching CSI and
6	how they get like a fingerprint off of the, you know,
7	head of a needle and all that kind of stuff, do you
8	expect to see that here in the courtroom?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: No.
10	MR. BATEMAN: Okay.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: Because
12	because they try to make it more exciting on television,
13	so I'm aware of that.
14	MR. BATEMAN: Okay. So you think it might
15	be a little bit exaggerated?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: It is always
17	because even when I watch you know, if you watch ER,
18	they exaggerate things there, too, so I know.
19	MR. BATEMAN: Okay. And that's a good
20	analogy. You work in do you work in emergency rooms?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: In the intensive
22	care unit.
23	MR. BATEMAN: Okay. So sometimes when you
24	watch
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: They exaggerated

1	things, yes.
2	MR. BATEMAN: Sometimes it's not even close?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: Yes.
4	MR. BATEMAN: Okay. Pass for cause, Judge.
5	THE COURT: Next.
6	MR. FIGLER: Ms. Bisquera?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: Yes.
8	MR. FIGLER: Okay. And again, how long
9	education did you have to get your current position?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: I'm a bachelor
11	of bachelor of nursing.
12	MR. FIGLER: Okay.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: Bachelor of
14	science in nursing.
15	MR. FIGLER: And so what's your official
16	designation? Are you a
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: I'm a staff
18	nurse, intensive care unit.
19	MR. FIGLER: Staff nurse.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: Yes.
21	MR. FIGLER: Okay. I just want to ask you a
22	few questions. You grew up in the Philippines?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: Yes.
24	MR. FIGLER: So you consider yourself a
25	Filipino person?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: Well, I 1 assimilated here, and I'm an American. 2 MR. FIGLER: Okay. Would you agree with 3 this general proposition -- and again, we don't ask 4 these questions to embarrass someone. But having grown 5 up in the Philippines, I think it might be an 6 appropriate question. 7 Would you agree, just generally speaking, 8 that people from the Philippines would have different 9 10 physical characteristics than people who live like say in Brazil or someone who lives in France or something 11 12 like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: I can recognize 13 14 that. MR. FIGLER: Okay. But you would agree that 15 16 they have different physical characteristics. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: They do. 17 18 MR. FIGLER: Okay. And would you also agree with the proposition that there are very subtle 19 20 differences in physical appearance that might be more apparent to you in describing a Filipino person than, 21 22 perhaps, describing someone from Brazil, possibly? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: Possibly, yes. 23 MR. FIGLER: Okay. And no one's saying that 24 It's just we're all different. We all 25 a racist thing.

```
are in the melting pot --
1
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: Yes.
2
               MR. FIGLER: -- of the world. Okay.
                                                      So
3
   that's not a judgment. That's just a truism.
4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620:
                                           Yes.
5
               MR. FIGLER: And you would agree with that?
6
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620:
                                           Yes.
7
                            Okay. Now, there was a
8
               MR. FIGLER:
9
   question about the TV shows, and we like to know just
   because sometimes people do expect things to be a
10
   certain way because of television, and you understand
11
   that there's different kinds of evidence in any given
12
   case?
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: Yes.
14
               MR. FIGLER: And some is stronger than other
15
   evidence?
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620:
17
               MR. FIGLER: Okay. What kind of evidence do
18
19
   you think would be the most influential to you?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620:
                                            DNA.
20
               MR. FIGLER: DNA evidence?
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: Um-h'm.
22
23
               MR. FIGLER: Okay. What other kind of
   evidence you think would be influential to you?
24
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: Fingerprints.
```

```
MR. FIGLER:
                            Fingerprints? Okay.
                                                   Anything
1
   else?
2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: Those are the
3
   most reliable --
4
5
               MR. FIGLER:
                             To you?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: -- that I can
6
   think of, yes.
7
                                                Now, what
               MR. FIGLER:
                            Okay. All right.
8
   other TV shows? Do you ever watch the Court TV shows?
9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: Occasionally.
10
                            Sometimes you get caught up in
               MR. FIGLER:
11
12
   a trial that's going on?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: Well, not really
13
   because I didn't have cable before so --
14
15
               MR. FIGLER: Oh, okay. That's a good thing,
              You can get caught up in that. Did you ever
16
   watch any trials that came out of Las Vegas or anything
17
   like that?
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620:
                                           No, but I
19
   watched the OJ Simpson.
20
               MR. FIGLER: You did watch OJ Simpson?
21
          Without getting into OJ Simpson, you understand
22
   that in a criminal case, especially one of this
23
   importance and consequence, that it's very important for
24
   you to scrutinize every single witness that goes up
25
```

there. You understand that? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: Yes, I 2 understand that. 3 MR. FIGLER: Okay. Now, there's some 4 witnesses that the prosecution said they intend on 5 calling. We anticipate that, so we have questions for 6 some of them and we may decide to, you know, question 7 their views on certain things, and it's up to you as an 8 9 individual person to judge that. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: Yes. 10 MR. FIGLER: And are you comfortable doing 11 that? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: Yes. 13 MR. FIGLER: Okay. Now, my colleague over 14 there, Mr. Bunin, always asks the Friday question. 15 always ask the smoker question. 16 And I don't know if you're a smoker or not. 17 I heard somebody was a smoker, but the question is this. 18 19 Do you know anyone else that sits in this room as a 20 juror here today? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: 21 22 MR. FIGLER: Okay. And would you agree with 23 me that if somebody was sitting where Jamar is that you wouldn't want to see a bunch of people who know each 24 other and who are friends sitting in judgment? Would 25

```
you agree with that?
1
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: Yes.
2
3
               MR. FIGLER:
                             Okay. So you would agree that
   it's very important for people to not have the
4
   appearance of friendships or camaraderie or something
5
   like that, especially in such an important matter.
6
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620:
7
                                           Yes.
               MR. FIGLER: You would agree with that?
8
9
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620:
                                           Yes.
               MR. FIGLER: Now, understanding that there's
10
   only one place out there where people smoke or maybe go
11
   to grab a drink or something like that, would you agree
12
   that it's probably not fair to form strong friendships
13
   or bonding? Even though it's natural, we're all human,
14
15
   and you seem like a very social person --
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620:
                                           I agree.
16
17
               MR. FIGLER: -- very nice, but you
   understand that --
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620:
                                           Yes.
19
20
               MR. FIGLER: -- that would be very important
   to maintain --
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620:
22
                                           Yes.
               MR. FIGLER: -- your individuality?
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620:
                                            Right.
24
               MR. FIGLER:
                             Okay. And that's only fair to
25
```

```
They don't want to see a group of friends
   both sides.
1
2
   up here.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: Of course.
3
               MR. FIGLER: They want to see individuals
4
   who bring what they bring.
5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: Right.
6
                             You agree with that?
7
               MR. FIGLER:
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620:
                                            I agree.
8
                             Okay. The last question.
               MR. FIGLER:
9
10
   you're selected as a juror, there may be a time when
   you're back discussing with the other individual jurors
11
   something, and someone says something that you think
12
   might be off base or not following the law.
13
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: Um-h'm.
14
               MR. FIGLER: Would you have any problem
15
   informing the Court through the bailiff, Danny, over
16
   there, that you had a concern about somebody not
17
   following through with what's --
18
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620:
                                            No.
19
               MR. FIGLER: -- proper in the case?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620:
                                            No.
21
               MR. FIGLER: You'd have no problem at all?
22
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620:
                                            No.
23
                             Okay. Call that the tattletale
               MR. FIGLER:
24
   rule, but it's okay to tattle in a case like this.
25
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: Yes.
1
               MR. FIGLER: You understand that?
2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 620: Yes.
3
               MR. FIGLER: Okay. Thank you.
4
               We'll pass for cause.
5
               MR. SINGER: Pass for cause.
6
               THE COURT: Thank you.
7
               Mr. Tate, tell us about yourself. How long
8
   have you been here in Las Vegas?
9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 621: I've lived in
10
   Las Vegas for 22 years.
11
12
               THE COURT: And --
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 621: Well, 25 years
13
14
   off and on.
               THE COURT: What do you do for a living,
15
16
   sir?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 621: I'm a bartender.
17
               THE COURT: And marital status?
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 621: Divorced, single
19
20
   parent.
               THE COURT: And any jury -- prior jury
21
22
   experience, sir?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 621:
                                            No.
23
24
               THE COURT:
                          Anyone -- close member of your
   family or friend or yourself been a victim of crime?
25
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 621:
                                            No.
1
                THE COURT: Any close family member, friend
2
   or yourself been accused of a crime?
3
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 621:
                                            No.
4
                THE COURT: Would you give the weight of
5
   police officers' testimony any higher or greater degree
6
   of weight as a consequence of simply because the fact --
7
   I don't know why I'm having problems with this
8
   question --
9
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 621:
                                            No.
10
               THE COURT: -- police officers -- give
11
   police officers any greater credibility simply because
12
   they're police officers?
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 621:
                                            No.
14
               THE COURT: All right. Can you hold off in
15
   forming an opinion as to the facts of this case or the
16
   quilt and innocence of this case until you've heard all
17
   of the evidence and received all the instructions from
18
19
   me?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 621: (Indiscernible).
20
21
               THE COURT:
                            Is there any reason you know of
22
   where you couldn't be that would make you an unfair
   juror? That you couldn't be completely fair and
23
   impartial?
24
25
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 621:
                                            No.
```

1	THE COURT: State.
2	MR. BATEMAN: Pass for cause, Judge.
3	THE COURT: Defense.
4	(Off-record colloquy)
5	MR. BUNIN: Sorry. Still deciding if we've
6	hit all of our topics, and you'll be happy to hear we've
7	probably hit almost everything, but how long have you
8	been a bartender?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 621: About ten years.
10	MR. BUNIN: Bartender here in Las Vegas?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 621: Yes.
12	MR. BUNIN: Where do you bartend?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 621: At Terrible's
14	Casino.
15	MR. BUNIN: On Paradise and
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 621: On Paradise
17	MR. BUNIN: (indiscernible).
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 621: right across
19	from (indiscernible).
20	MR. BUNIN: Okay. You ever been a witness
21	to a crime there?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 621: (Indiscernible).
23	MR. BUNIN: (Indiscernible).
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 621: No.
25	MR. BUNIN: Back when it was the

Continental, maybe, but not --1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 621: Oh, well, you 2 know, they get in arguments, people fight at the bar, 3 stuff like that. 4 MR. BUNIN: Small things? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 621: Small, little 6 stuff like that. 7 MR. BUNIN: You ever been called to testify? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 621: No. 9 MR. BUNIN: You ever been like questioned, 10 maybe, just during an investigation by the police or --11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 621: 12 No. MR. BUNIN: -- anything like that? 13 14 Now, have you thought about ways you can judge people's credibility when they're on the stand 15 16 testifying? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 621: Ways that I can 17 18 judge people's credibility? MR. BUNIN: As you're sitting there in a 19 jury, you're going to have to listen to every witness on 20 the stand. They're going to have to answer questions 21 22 under oath. But just because they're under oath doesn't 23 necessarily mean their perceptions are accurate or 24 doesn't necessarily mean they're telling the truth. 25

1	know, do you feel comfortable trying to judge a person's
2	credibility on the stand?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 621: Yeah.
4	MR. BUNIN: And what types of things could
5	you look for when judging credibility?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 621: Judging I'd
7	judge the evidence. I mean, credibility, you know,
8	anybody can come say anything, but it's more so evidence
9	speaks for itself.
10	MR. BUNIN: So, in other words, you listen
11	to what a person said, but you'd also pay attention to
12	everything else, the entirety of the case and decide if
13	what they said makes sense.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 621: Right.
15	MR. BUNIN: Do you think it's possible that
16	a police officer could make a mistake?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 621: Of course.
18	MR. BUNIN: And maybe he could say something
19	on the stand that turns out may not be accurate based on
20	the entirety of the case?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 621: It could happen.
22	It's happened before.
23	MR. BUNIN: You think it's possible?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 621: I guess. Yeah.
25	MR. BUNIN: I would pass for cause, Judge.

1	Thank you.
2	MR. SINGER: Pass for cause, Judge.
3	THE COURT: Thank you.
4	Mr is it Wiener?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 625: Wiener.
6	THE COURT: Mr. Wiener, tell me about
7	yourself. How long have you lived in Las Vegas?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 625: Four years and I
9	work at a casino.
10	THE COURT: Where did you come from?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 625: Boston,
12	originally.
13	THE COURT: What do you do at your casino?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 625: I'm a dealer.
15	THE COURT: And are you married?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 625: Single.
17	THE COURT: And have you had any prior jury
18	experience?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 625: No.
20	THE COURT: Have you or any close family
21	member or friend been accused of a crime?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 625: No.
23	THE COURT: You or close family member or
24	friend been a victim of crime?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 625: Yes, I have,

```
I was beaten up and robbed by two black men
   actually.
1
2
   just two years ago.
               THE COURT: Would that experience affect
3
   your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?
4
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 625: Yes.
5
               THE COURT: State.
6
                             Submit.
               MR. BATEMAN:
7
               THE COURT: Sir, we're going to let you go.
8
   Appreciate your honesty. We'll call the next --
9
                                             They'll
10
   actually, report back to Jury Services.
   probably have you come back, probably serve on a civil
11
   case or a case not so closely associated.
12
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 625: (Indiscernible).
13
               THE COURT: And we'll call the next roll.
14
15
               THE CLERK:
                            Okay.
                                   That would be No. 634,
   please, Karen Cloud.
16
                            634.
               THE COURT:
17
               THE CLERK: I have you going to seat 14.
18
                      (Off-record colloquy)
19
20
               THE COURT: Ms. Cloud, I bet you thought you
   missed it, huh?
21
                   But --
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: I didn't know
22
23
   what happened.
               THE COURT: -- we're not even halfway there
24
25
   yet.
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: I know.
1
2
               THE COURT: How long have you been here in
   Las Vegas, Ms. Cloud?
3
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: How long have I
4
   lived here?
5
               THE COURT: Yes.
6
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: I moved here in
7
   '95.
8
               THE COURT: And what do you do for a living?
9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: I'm a food
10
   server.
11
               THE COURT: And where do you work?
12
13
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: A casino, hotel.
               THE COURT: Okay.
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634:
15
                                            Rio.
               THE COURT: Rio Casino Hotel? How about are
16
17
   you married?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634:
18
19
               THE COURT: Any children?
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: I have three
20
21
   grown children and four grandchildren from ages 18 to
   6 months old.
22
23
               THE COURT: All right. Any prior jury
24
   experience?
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634:
```

```
THE COURT: Any close family member or
1
   friends or yourself been a victim of crime?
2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634:
3
                            Tell us about that.
               THE COURT:
4
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: I was a victim
5
   of a crime, abused, beat up by a male.
                                            I'm nervous.
6
7
               THE COURT: It's all right. It's okay.
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: It was a white
8
9
   male.
               THE COURT: Is there anything about that
10
   experience -- well, first of all, how long ago was that?
11
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: That was like in
12
   the '70s, '80s.
13
                THE COURT: So --
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634:
                                           In the '70s.
15
               THE COURT: All right. Is there anything --
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634:
                                            The '70s were
17
   real bad for me (indiscernible).
18
               THE COURT: Anything about that experience
19
20
   that might affect your ability to do your job here as a
   juror?
21
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634:
                                           No.
22
               THE COURT: All right. Have you ever been
23
   accused of a crime?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634:
                                            No.
25
```

1	THE COURT: Any close family member or
2	friend ever been accused of a crime?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: Yes. Well, can
4	I go back to the been a victim of a crime?
5	THE COURT: Yes.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: My children's
7	father, he wasn't a victim of a crime, but he was
8	murdered.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Is there how long ago
10	was that?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: That was 30
12	it will be 30 years in August.
13	THE COURT: And is there anything about that
14	experience that might affect your ability to be fair and
15	impartial in this case?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: I don't think
17	so.
18	THE COURT: All right. Can you hold your
19	opinions as to guilt or innocence until after you've
20	heard all of the evidence and received all the
21	instructions from me?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Do you believe that a police
24	officer deserves greater credibility simply because they
25	are a police officer when they're testifying?

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: No.
                                                 They're
1
   just a human being.
2
                                           Do you know of
3
               THE COURT:
                           There you go.
   any reason you couldn't be a completely fair and
4
   impartial juror?
5
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: I think I'm
6
   fair.
7
               THE COURT: And can you be fair here?
8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: I'm fair.
9
               THE COURT: State.
10
               MR. BATEMAN: Obviously, the charge in this
11
   case is murder. You said your husband was murdered?
12
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: But when I was
13
   -- yeah, my husband was murdered.
14
                                       Yes.
15
               MR. BATEMAN:
                             Okay. And were you --
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634:
                                            There's
16
   something else I should say because I was married, but
17
   divorced to a man that murdered him.
18
               MR. BATEMAN:
19
                              Okay.
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: Burglary and
20
   murdered.
21
               MR. BATEMAN:
22
                             So --
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634:
                                           He was charged.
23
                             -- he was charged with -- when
24
               MR. BATEMAN:
   you say murder, I quess the question is do you have
25
```

personal knowledge that he was murdered? Was the person 1 2 who murdered --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: My husband? 3 MR. BATEMAN: Correct. Was the person that 4 murdered your husband convicted? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: He killed 6 7 himself in the whole thing, so I was being held --8 MR. BATEMAN: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: -- before --9 right afterwards. 10 MR. BATEMAN: Okay. And this was how long 11 12 ago, 30 years? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: Um-h'm. 13 MR. BATEMAN: Okay. He was your husband at 14 the time? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: My husband, yes, 16 he was my husband. 17 MR. BATEMAN: And he's the father of your 18 19 three children? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: (Indiscernible). 20 MR. BATEMAN: Were you a witness at all with 21 22 regard to that case? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634. No. They --His friend, it was a co-worker, they mentioned my name. 24 25 but a friend of the family. They were construction

workers. 1 2 My husband (indiscernible), and it was a friend of the family that killed him, so in the police 3 report it says -- it mentions my name and some things 4 that that gentleman stayed at our house (indiscernible) 5 our family. 6 7 MR. BATEMAN: Okay. But you weren't around 8 when the murder took place? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: Oh, no. in another town --10 11 MR. BATEMAN: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: -- at the time. 12 13 MR. BATEMAN: And the police questioned you about it? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: 15 No. In fact, I didn't know until the next day. I just thought he 16 didn't show up. 17 MR. BATEMAN: And when I say --18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: (Indiscernible) 20 never came home. MR. BATEMAN: When I say questioned, I don't 21 22 mean like they think you did it. They asked you 23 questions just generally if you have any information that might be relevant? 24 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: No. They

already had the man arrested. 1 MR. BATEMAN: Okay. Did it take a long time 2 to kind of get over that? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: Yeah. I didn't 4 5 -- you never get over it. I mean, you never -- you 6 never get over it. I mean --MR. BATEMAN: Was this the same 7 individual --8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: I see him 9 10 growing up through my children and grandchildren. MR. BATEMAN: Is this the same individual 11 that committed -- I think you suggested that there might 12 have been some domestic violence back in the '70s. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: No. 14 Okay. Not the same --15 MR. BATEMAN: 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: (Indiscernible). No, he was (indiscernible). 17 MR. BATEMAN: Okay. Okay. Between the 18 violence that you may have suffered from someone else or 19 20 this murder that occurred quite a ways back when, is there any reason that the State, myself or Ms. Lewis, 21 22 you know, representing the State, should be concerned with having you as a potential juror in this case? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: Just that I was 24 married but divorced to a gentleman that committed 25

murder and burglary. 1 2 MR. BATEMAN: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: You understand 3 what I'm saying? I mean, I --4 MR. BATEMAN: I do. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634 -- I was only 6 married to him for three months. 7 8 MR. BATEMAN: Were you at all involved or 9 around when any sort of police investigation was going 10 on? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: They came to my 11 I don't know (indiscernible). Did they come --12 home. if they were going to have me (indiscernible). 13 MR. BATEMAN: A witness? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: As a witness, 15 State witness, because I wasn't married to him anymore. 16 So I quess if you're married to somebody, you don't have 17 to testify against them, so they asked me some questions 18 19 in my own home. 20 MR. BATEMAN: Okay. Anything --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: I was living 21 22 with my parents at the time. Anything about any of this 23 MR. BATEMAN: that gives you or is going to become in the back of your 24 25 mind while you're listening to testimony or any evidence

in this case? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: You know, I -- I 2 think I seen the gentlemen on the news. I mean, I work 3 swing shift, and I only listen to the news for --4 sometimes, and I -- I think I seen them on the news and 5 then at first I thought he worked at the Rio. 6 (Indiscernible). My favorite -- but I don't 7 watch CSI very often. My favorite show is Amazing Race. 8 MR. BATEMAN: Not CSI? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: (Indiscernible). 10 MR. BATEMAN: 11 Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: But I watch -- I 12 watch (indiscernible). 13 14 MR. BATEMAN: Do you have any independent recollection of what it is you saw on television? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 634: Just that --16 MR. FIGLER: Well, Your Honor, I think that 17 would be appropriate bench. 18 THE COURT: Let's approach. 19 (Off-record bench conference) 20 THE COURT: All right. Stipulation for 21 cause having been entered, Ms. Cloud, you're thanked by 22 the Court. We're going to excuse you, ask you to head 23 back to the jury deliberation -- or Jury Services area, 24 and they'll find you a different case or maybe even 25

```
process you out, who knows. Thank you, again, very
1
2
   much.
               We'll call the next name on the roll,
3
4
   please.
5
                THE CLERK: No. 636, Tanya Jimerson-Virgil,
6
   please.
                      (Off-record colloquy)
7
8
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636:
               THE COURT: Is it Ms. Jimerson?
9
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636:
10
                THE COURT: Or how would you like me to
11
   address you?
12
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: It doesn't
13
   matter.
14
15
               THE COURT: Ms. Jimerson?
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636:
                                            That's fine.
16
17
               THE COURT: All right. Tell me a little bit
18
   about yourself.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: I'm married.
19
20
   I've been married for five years. No children. Going
   to school right now to get my degree in RN, nursing, and
21
22
   I work at a doctor's office.
               THE COURT: All right. Just checking my
23
   conflicts list here. Any prior jury experience?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: No.
25
```

1	THE COURT: Any close member of your family,
2	friend, yourself been a victim of a crime?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Tell us about that.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: Just our house
6	was broken into what I was younger. I probably was in
7	middle school, and my mom was when she went to go
8	into the house, it was a young man pointing a gun in her
9	face when she opened the door.
10	THE COURT: All right. Anything about that
11	experience that might affect your ability to be fair
12	here today?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: No.
14	THE COURT: Any close family member or
15	friend been accused of a crime?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Tell us about that.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: My brother was
19	convicted of a murder.
20	THE COURT: All right. Is that experience
21	going to affect your ability to be fair and impartial
22	here?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: No.
24	THE COURT: How long ago was that?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: It's going to be

eleven years. 1 Would you give the 2 THE COURT: All right. weight of a police officer any greater or less credence 3 as a consequence of the fact that they're just a police 4 officer? 5 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: They're just a 7 person just like me. 8 THE COURT: All right. Can you wait in forming your opinion as to the guilt or innocence of 9 these defendants until after all of the evidence is 10 heard and all the instructions are given to you? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: 12 Yes. THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why 13 you couldn't be a completely fair and impartial juror? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: 15 THE COURT: State. 16 MR. BATEMAN: Just two areas. One, you said 17 -- were you home when the burglary took place? 18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: No. I came --20 MR. BATEMAN: Okay. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: -- home from 22 school. 23 MR. BATEMAN: So mom just kind of told --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: My mom. 24 It was 25 just my mom, yeah.

1	MR. BATEMAN: Okay. Do you know anything
2	about that case? About whether anybody was
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: No one was
4	caught. I don't think it was anything done.
5	MR. BATEMAN: Okay.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: I was young. I
7	was in middle school so I really didn't know much about
8	(indiscernible) so
9	MR. BATEMAN: Nothing about that incident
10	that would affect your ability to be a juror here
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: No.
12	MR. BATEMAN: you think? Okay. Your
13	brother was convicted of murder. Where was your brother
14	convicted of murder?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: Las Vegas,
16	Nevada.
17	MR. BATEMAN: Okay. About how long ago?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: Eleven years.
19	MR. BATEMAN: Okay. I'm guessing that it
20	was my office, myself and Ms. Lewis' office that
21	probably prosecuted your brother; is that right?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: I have no idea.
23	Back then I was only like 14 or 13 years old so
24	MR. BATEMAN: Did know anything and I'm
25	not asking you to tell me, but did you know anything

about the facts, the underlying facts, of the case that 1 2 involved your brother? Just did you know? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: Yes. 3 (indiscernible). 4 MR. BATEMAN: You weren't a witness in that 5 6 case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: 7 No. Everything you knew might have 8 MR. BATEMAN: 9 come from other people? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: Yes, and just 10 11 from my TV or whatever. We really weren't brought up to 12 be put in a position where -- I mean, we were told to understand, you know, he was going away, he did this, 13 14 this is what he was accused of, but it wasn't like we were brought in front of all these people and they were 15 16 like, you know, he did this. It wasn't nothing like 17 that. 18 MR. BATEMAN: Okay. Did you have occasion back when you were young to interact with police 19 officers with regard to your brother's case at all? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: No. 21 22 MR. BATEMAN: Okay. Do you feel -- and I know you were only 14 at the time, but based upon what 23 you talked to probably with your other family members, 24 do you feel your brother was treated fairly or unfairly? 25

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: Honesty, I don't
 1
   know because at the time I didn't -- I really didn't
2
   understand it. I just knew it happened, and he was
3
   going away.
4
               MR. BATEMAN: Okay. Do you know whether
5
   there was a trial or whether --
6
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: Yes.
7
                                                  There
8
   was --
9
               MR. BATEMAN:
                              Okay.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: -- and he was
10
   convicted.
11
               MR. BATEMAN: Okay. Any reason that -- I
12
   mean, obviously, we're dealing with a murder charge
13
   here. Any reason the State should be concerned with
14
   having you as a juror on this case?
15
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: No.
                                                 I didn't
16
   know much about it, so it's not like I can
17
    (indiscernible) back in any way or another on either
18
   side. I mean, I was only 14, so I really didn't know
19
20
   much about it.
21
               MR. BATEMAN: Do you still keep in contact
22
   with your brother at all?
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: I haven't talked
23
24
   to him (indiscernible).
25
               MR. BATEMAN: Okay. I'll pass for cause,
```

```
Judge.
1
               THE COURT: Defense.
2
               MR. FIGLER: Thank you, Your Honor.
3
               Ms. Jimerson --
4
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: Yes.
5
               MR. FIGLER: -- let me ask you the converse
6
7
   question about is there anything in your past or your
   experiences that should give Mr. Matthews cause for
8
9
   concern with regard to your sitting as a juror in this
   case?
10
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636:
                                           No.
11
               MR. FIGLER: Okay. Have you ever been a
12
13
   witness to a crime?
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: Yes, like a hit
14
   and run or something like that, but not anything
15
16
   major --
               MR. FIGLER:
                             Okay.
17
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636:
18
19
    (indiscernible).
                MR. FIGLER: Were you ever called to
20
21
   testify?
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: No.
22
23
                MR. FIGLER: Okay. And with regard to the
   issue of serving as a juror, you understand that if
24
25
   you're selected to be one of the jurors here that you
```

```
may have to go back and discuss different things with
1
2
   the other jurors? You comfortable doing that?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636:
3
               MR. FIGLER: Are you a person who speaks her
4
   mind --
5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: Yes.
6
               MR. FIGLER: -- and so if you think
7
8
   something differently than someone else --
9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: I'm going to
10
   say --
               MR. FIGLER: -- or you have your own
11
12
   opinion --
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: -- it.
13
               MR. FIGLER: -- you would do that?
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636:
                                           Yeah.
15
               MR. FIGLER: Okay. And as a juror there
16
   might come a time when things are happening in the case
17
   that to you might appear to be not following the law, in
18
   other words, what I said about just letting the Court
19
20
   know.
          Do you have any problem doing something like
   that?
21
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: I don't have a
22
   problem letting anybody know anything.
                                            I state my
23
   opinion. I don't care what nobody says.
                                              It doesn't
24
25
   matter who you are. I don't care who you are.
```

going to say what I have to say no matter if you like it 1 2 or not. Now, again, when you're MR. FIGLER: Okay. 3 4 back there, someone may come up and say a very young 5 woman, somebody about your age --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: Um-h'm. 6 MR. FIGLER: -- is dead, and that's the 7 bottom line here. We can't get over it, and we have to 8 punish somebody for this person's death and these are 9 the people sitting there. Would you jump up immediately 10 and explain how that's not the law here? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: Yeah. I mean, 12 you can't say that they did it if you don't know, if you 13 weren't there. 14 15 MR. FIGLER: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: You know, that 16 17 -- you just can't. 18 MR. FIGLER: You're going to listen to all the evidence --19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: I'm going to 20 listen to everything. I'm going to listen to what they 21 22 have to say, and if I don't like it, I'll let them know, you know, I don't think they're guilty or if I think 23 they're guilty, I'll tell you. 24 I'm not going to care what no one has to 25

```
If he says they're innocent, okay, that's your
1
   opinion, but what I think and my opinion is my opinion.
2
   I don't care what nobody says.
3
4
               MR. FIGLER: I will withdraw my question and
5
   ask you if you have the ability to express your
6
   opinions.
              I know (indiscernible).
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636:
                                            I'm sorry.
7
8
               MR. FIGLER: No. That's good.
                                                I appreciate
   that.
9
10
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636:
                                            Sorry.
               MR. FIGLER: No, no.
                                      That's absolutely
11
   perfect.
12
               MR. SINGER: You stated earlier that you
13
   have three uncles who are police officers?
14
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: Uh-huh.
15
               MR. SINGER:
                             Where?
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636:
17
               MR. SINGER:
                            In Metro?
18
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: I have one in
19
20
   corrections, one that was in the military and
    (indiscernible), and there's one in Henderson.
21
22
               MR. SINGER: And do you ever have cause to
   talk to them in the last couple months about their
23
24
   cases?
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636:
                                            No.
25
```

1	MR. SINGER: Never?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: No.
3	MR. SINGER: Okay. Thank you.
4	Pass for cause.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 636: You're welcome.
6	MR. SINGER: Pass for cause, Judge.
7	THE COURT: Thank you. Seeing there are no
8	further challenges for cause, we will begin a process
9	known as peremptory challenges.
10	Ladies and gentlemen, peremptory challenges
11	are basically the attorney's decisions to ask that
12	certain members of the panel be removed. They don't
13	need to state a reason for it. It's simply they're
14	feeling. They go it's a tactical decision. There's
15	lots of reasons.
16	Please don't take offense to that process if
17	you're named. It's not personal. It's about picking a
18	jury.
19	State, exercise your first peremptory
20	challenge.
21	MR. BATEMAN: Can we have the Court's
22	<pre>indulgence just one moment?</pre>
23	THE COURT: Yes.
24	(Off-record colloquy)
25	MR. BATEMAN: State would waive its first,

```
Judge.
1
                THE COURT: State waives first.
2
                Defense.
3
                MR. FIGLER: The defense would thank and
4
5
   excuse.
                      (Off-record colloquy)
6
                MR. SINGER: Judge, can we have a side bar?
7
                THE COURT: Yes.
8
                MR. SINGER:
                             Thank you.
9
10
                THE COURT: Approach.
                 (Off-record bench conference)
11
                THE COURT: All right. We'll be at ease for
12
   a few minutes, ladies and gentlemen.
13
          (Off the record at 4:59 p.m. until 5:02 p.m.)
14
                  (Prospective jurors present)
15
                THE COURT: Record should reflect the return
16
   of all counsel. It's time for defense first peremptory
17
   challenge.
18
                MR. FIGLER: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
   defense would thank and excuse juror number -- let's see
20
   if I can get the actual badge number -- Court's
21
   indulgence -- juror No. 617, sitting in seat 11,
22
   Ms. Porter who seems excited.
23
                THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Porter.
24
                We'll call the next name on the roll.
25
```

1	Ms. Porter, you're directed to report back
2	to Jury Services, please.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 617: No problem.
4	Have a great one, you guys.
5	THE CLERK: And that would be 637,
6	Jeanie Ready, please.
7	THE COURT: Ms. Ready, you're going to get a
8	microphone here in second. You ready?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 637: Ready?
10	THE COURT: Ready. Tell us a little bit
11	about yourself. How long have you been here in
12	Las Vegas?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 637: I've been here
14	six years this month, long years.
15	THE COURT: Long years. What have you been
16	doing during your six long years here in Las Vegas?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 637: Well I've
18	right now I work for the schools, but prior to that my
19	mother was very ill, and I've taken care of her for many
20	years.
21	THE COURT: All right. And what do you do
22	for the schools?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 637: I'm in
24	management training for food service.
25	THE COURT: All right. And how about

```
marriage? Children?
1
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 637: No, not married,
2
   and I don't have any children. I have a Bassett hound.
3
               THE COURT: Okay. Prior jury experience?
4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 637: Pardon?
5
               THE COURT: Prior jury experience?
6
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 637:
7
                                           No.
8
               THE COURT: Have you ever -- have you or a
   close family member or friend ever been accused of a
9
   crime?
10
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 637:
                                           Yes.
11
               THE COURT: Tell us about that.
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 637: Well, I've --
13
   twice I've had cars stolen.
14
                                  So you want to talk about
15
               THE COURT: Okay.
   you've been a victim of a crime?
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 637: Yes.
17
               THE COURT: Okay. Twice car stolen.
18
                                                      Tell
   us a little bit about that.
19
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 637: Nobody was ever
21
   caught.
               THE COURT: Anything about those --
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 637: They were
23
   reported.
24
               THE COURT: Anything about those experiences
25
```

that might affect your ability to be fair here? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 637: 2 THE COURT: Now, what about being accused of 3 4 a crime, close family member or friend? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 637: 5 Somebody used 6 our name to buy a car that happened to live in Las Vegas with the same name which is really unusual, and so we've 7 had to put up with collectors coming to the door --8 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 637: -- trying to collect a car that we didn't have. 11 12 THE COURT: All right. Anything about that experience that might affect your ability to be fair 13 14 here today? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 637: (Indiscernible). 15 16 THE COURT: Would you give a police officer greater or lesser weight in their testimony simply 17 18 because they're a police officer? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 637: 19 20 THE COURT: Is or can you hold or hold in forming your opinion in this case until you've heard all 21 22 of the evidence and received all the instructions from me? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 637: (Indiscernible). 24 THE COURT: Can you think of any reason why 25