

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ROBERT HOLMES, III,
Appellant,
vs.
LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE
DEPARTMENT,
Respondent.

No. 62274

FILED

DEC 30 2015

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DIRECTING RESPONSE

This is a pro se appeal from a district court summary judgment in a forfeiture action. Based upon our review of the record on appeal and the pro se appeal statement, we conclude that a response is warranted. Accordingly, respondent shall have 30 days from the date of this order to file and serve a response, including points and authorities, to appellant's civil pro se appeal statement. Respondent shall specifically address appellant's arguments in light of *Ferguson v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department*, 131 Nev., Adv. Op. 94, ___ P.3d ___ (December 24, 2015). The response shall not exceed 15 pages plus the attorney's certificate required by NRAP 28.2. The response need not include the table of contents and table of cases, statutes, and other authority required by NRAP 28(b), and may cite to either the record on appeal or any appendix filed with the response.

It is so ORDERED.

, C.J.

cc: Robert Holmes, III
Clark County District Attorney