

Electronically Filed  
Dec 21 2012 09:09 a.m.  
Tracie K. Lindeman  
Clerk of Supreme Court

1 NOTIC  
2 Lisa Myers  
3 9360 West Flamingo Road, No. 110-326  
4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89147  
5 (702) 401-4440  
6 Defendant/Counterclaimant/Appellant,  
7 in proper person

8  
9 DISTRICT COURT  
10 FAMILY DIVISION  
11 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

12 CALEB O. HASKINS, ) CASE NO.: 10-D-434493-D  
13 ) DEPT NO.: ~~TH~~  
14 Plaintiff, )  
15 )  
16 vs. )  
17 )  
18 LISA MYERS, )  
19 )  
20 Defendant, )  
21 LISA MYERS, )  
22 )  
23 Counterclaimant, )  
24 )  
25 vs. )  
26 )  
27 CALEB O. HASKINS, )  
28 )  
Counterdefendant )

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Notice is hereby given that LISA MYERS, Defendant/Counterclaimant/Appellant In Proper Person above-named, hereby appeals to the Supreme Court of Nevada from the November 14, 2012, Notice of Entry of Order.


Reference Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution, CAPTA, Violence Against Women Act, 18 U.S.C., 42 U.S.C., et. al. See also, Harrison, 780 F. 2d at 1428, whereby the following was held by Federal Circuit Courts, "that state officials may not take

1 retaliatory action against an individual designed...to punish him for having exercised his  
2 constitutional right to seek judicial relief..." (citing cases from the Eleventh, Seventh, Fifth, Third,  
3 and Tenth Circuits) 804 F. 2d 953. *Doolittle v. Doolittle*, 70 Nev. 163, 262 P.2d 955 (1953)  
4 relying upon *Gammill v. Federal Land Bank*, 129 F.2d 502, and *Haley v. Eureka County Bank*  
5 22 P. 1098 (Nev. 1889). *Stone v Powell*, 428 US 465, 483 n. 35, 96 Sct. 3037, 49 L. Ed. 2d  
6 1067 (1976), whereby the following was noted, "State courts, like federal courts, have a  
7 constitutional obligation to safeguard personal liberties and to uphold federal law." Also, see 28  
USCS Sec. 455, and *Marshall v Jerrico Inc.*, 446 US 238, 242, 100 S.Ct. 1610, 64 L. Ed. 2d  
182 (1980), "The neutrality requirement helps to guarantee that life, liberty, or property will not be  
taken on the basis of an erroneous or distorted conception of the facts or the law."

8 Defendant/Counterclaimant is appearing in proper person. See *Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S.  
9 519 (1972), *Hall v. Bellmon*, 935 F. 2d 1106 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir.) (1991), F.R.C.P. 8 and applicable  
10 SCR's. Also, please find attached herewith, the file-stamped Order To Proceed In Forma  
Pauperis, **Exhibit "1"**.

11 Ms. Myers reserves her right to supplement additional information for this Appeal should  
12 it become available or necessary.

13 Dated this 14<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2012.

14  
15 

16 LISA MYERS)

17 9360 West Flamingo Road, No. 110-326

18 Las Vegas, Nevada 89147

19 (702) 401-4440

20 Defendant/Counterclaimant/Appellant,

21 in Proper Person

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///


**EXHIBIT "I"**

1 file or issue any necessary writ, pleading or paper without charge.


2  
3 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Sheriff or other appropriate officer within this  
4 State shall make personal service of any necessary writ, pleading or paper without charge.

5 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that if LISA MYERS prevails in this action, the Court  
6 shall enter an Order pursuant to NRS 12.015 requiring the opposing party to pay into the court,  
7 within five (5) days, the costs which would have been incurred by the prevailing party, and  
8 those costs must then be paid as provided by law.  
9

10 Dated this 10 day of January, 2011.

11  
12   
13 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE


14 Respectfully Submitted By:

15   
16 LISA MYERS  
17 9360 West Flamingo Road, No. 110-326  
18 Las Vegas, Nevada 89147  
19 (702) 401-4440  
20 Defendant In Proper Person

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///



CLERK OF THE COURT

**NOTC**

Lisa Myers  
9360 West Flamingo Road, No. 110-326  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89147  
(702) 401-4440

**Defendant/Counterclaimant/Appellant,  
in proper person**

**DISTRICT COURT  
FAMILY DIVISION  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

CALEB O. HASKINS, ) CASE NO.: **10-D-434495-D**

) DEPT NO.: **CH**

Plaintiff, )

vs. )

LISA MYERS, )

Defendant. )

LISA MYERS, )

Counterclaimant, )

vs. )

CALEB O. HASKINS, )

Counterdefendant. )

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**

Notice is hereby given that LISA MYERS, Defendant/Counterclaimant/Appellant In Proper Person above-named, hereby appeals to the Supreme Court of Nevada from the November 14, 2012, Notice of Entry of Order.


Reference Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution, CAPTA, Violence Against Women Act, 18 U.S.C., 42 U.S.C., et. al. See also, Harrison, 780 F. 2d at 1428, whereby the following was held by Federal Circuit Courts, "that state officials may not take

1 retaliatory action against an individual designed...to punish him for having exercised his  
2 constitutional right to seek judicial relief..." (citing cases from the Eleventh, Seventh, Fifth, Third,  
3 and Tenth Circuits) 804 F. 2d 953. *Doolittle v. Doolittle*, 70 Nev. 163, 262 P.2d 955 (1953)  
4 relying upon *Gammill v. Federal Land Bank*, 129 F.2d 502, and *Haley v. Eureka County Bank*  
5 22 P. 1098 (Nev. 1889). *Stone v Powell*, 428 US 465, 483 n. 35, 96 Sct. 3037, 49 L. Ed. 2d  
6 1067 (1976), whereby the following was noted, "State courts, like federal courts, have a  
7 constitutional obligation to safeguard personal liberties and to uphold federal law." Also, see 28  
USCS Sec. 455, and *Marshall v Jerico Inc.*, 446 US 238, 242, 100 S.Ct. 1610, 64 L. Ed. 2d  
182 (1980), "The neutrality requirement helps to guarantee that life, liberty, or property will not be  
taken on the basis of an erroneous or distorted conception of the facts or the law."

8 Defendant/Counterclaimant is appearing in proper person, *See Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S.  
9 519 (1972), *Hall v. Bellmon*, 935 F. 2d 1106 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir.) (1991), F.R.C.P. 8 and applicable  
10 SCR's. Also, please find attached herewith, the file-stamped Order To Proceed In Forma  
Pauperis, **Exhibit "1"**.

11 Ms. Myers reserves her right to supplement additional information for this Appeal should  
12 it become available or necessary.

13 Dated this 14<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2012.

14  
15 

16 LISA MYERS

17 9360 West Flamingo Road, No. 110-326

18 Las Vegas, Nevada 89147

19 (702) 401-4440

20 **Defendant/Counterclaimant/Appellant,**  
21 **in Proper Person**

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

**EXHIBIT “1”**



1 file or issue any necessary writ, pleading or paper without charge.

2

3 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Sheriff or other appropriate officer within this

4 State shall make personal service of any necessary writ, pleading or paper without charge.

5

6 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that if LISA MYERS prevails in this action, the Court  
7 shall enter an Order pursuant to NRS 12.015 requiring the opposing party to pay into the court,  
8 within five (5) days, the costs which would have been incurred by the prevailing party, and  
9 those costs must then be paid as provided by law.

10

11 Dated this 10 day of January, 2011.

12


13

  
DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

14

15 Respectfully Submitted By:

16

  
LISA MYERS  
9360 West Flamingo Road, No. 110-326  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89147  
(702) 401-4440  
**Defendant In Proper Person**

17

18

19

20

21

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///


25

26

27

28

ASTA

  
CLERK OF THE COURT

**DISTRICT COURT  
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

CALEB OBADIAH HASKINS,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

LISA MYERS,

Defendant(s).

Case No: D-10-434495-D

Dept No: H

**CASE APPEAL STATEMENT**

1. Appellant(s): Lisa Myers
2. Judge: T. Arthur Ritchie, Jr.
3. Appellant(s): Lisa Myers

Counsel:

Lisa Myers.  
9360 W. Flamingo Road, No. 110-326  
Las Vegas, NV 89147

4. Respondent (s): Caleb Obadiah Haskins

Counsel:

Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
2011 Pinto Lane, Suite 100  
Las Vegas, NV 89106

5. Respondent's Attorney Licensed in Nevada: Yes
6. Appellant Represented by Appointed Counsel In District Court: No
7. Appellant Represented by Appointed Counsel On Appeal: N/A

1  
2 8. Appellant Granted Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis: Yes, Granted January 10, 2011

3 9. Date Commenced in District Court: August 20, 2010

4 10. Brief Description of the Nature of the Action: DOMESTIC - Marriage Dissolution

5 Type of Judgment or Order Being Appealed: Divorce Decree

6 11. Previous Appeal: Yes


7 Supreme Court Docket Number(s): 57825, 58306, 58581, 59626, 60690, 61046, 61664

8 12. Child Custody or Visitation: Custody

9 13. Possibility of Settlement: Unknown

10 Dated This 20 day of December 2012.

11 Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court

12  
13   
14 Teodora Jones, Deputy Clerk  
15 200 Lewis Ave  
16 PO Box 551601  
17 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-1601  
18 (702) 671-0512  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

# CASE SUMMARY

## CASE NO. D-10-434495-D

**Caleb Obadiah Haskins, Plaintiff.**  
**vs.**  
**Lisa Myers, Defendant.**

§  
§  
§  
§

Location: **Department H**  
 Judicial Officer: **Ritchie, T Arthur, Jr.**  
 Filed on: **08/20/2010**

### CASE INFORMATION

#### Related Cases

T-10-127808-T (Linked - 1J1F)  
 T-11-133627-T (Linked - 1J1F)

Case Type: **Divorce - Complaint**  
 Subtype: **Complaint Subject Minor(s)**

Case Status: **11/14/2012 Closed**

#### Statistical Closures

11/14/2012 Settled/Withdrawn With Judicial Conference or Hearing

Case Flags: **Order After Hearing Required**  
**Proper Person Documents Mailed**  
**Appealed to the Nevada Supreme Court**  
**GOAD Order Issued**  
*In Forma Pauperis Granted for Lisa Myers*

### DATE

### CASE ASSIGNMENT

#### Current Case Assignment

Case Number D-10-434495-D  
 Court Department H  
 Date Assigned 06/19/2012  
 Judicial Officer Ritchie, T Arthur, Jr.

### PARTY INFORMATION

#### Plaintiff

**Haskins, Caleb Obadiah**  
*4033 Gaster Ave*  
*North Las Vegas, NV 89081*

**Roberts, Amanda M, ESQ**  
*Retained*  
 702-474-7007(W)

#### Defendant

**Myers, Lisa**  
 \*\* Confidential Address \*\*

**Pro Se**


#### Subject Minor


**Haskins, Sydney Rose**


### DATE


### EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT


#### EVENTS


08/20/2010  Complaint for Divorce

08/24/2010  Child Support and Welfare Party Identification Sheet  
 Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa

08/24/2010  Child Support and Welfare Party Identification Sheet  
 Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah

08/27/2010  Affidavit of Resident Witness  
 Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah  
*Affidavit of Resident Witness*


















08/27/2010  Affidavit of Plaintiff  
 Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah  
*Affidavit of Plaintiff*

09/23/2010  Peremptory Challenge  
 Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah

# CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-10-434495-D

## *Peremptory Challenge*

09/28/2010	 Proof of Personal Service of Summons and Complaint Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah For: Defendant Myers, Lisa <i>Affidavit of Service</i>
09/29/2010	 Notice of Intent to take Default Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Notice of Intent to Take Default</i>
10/01/2010	 Notice of Department Reassignment
10/05/2010	 Answer and Counterclaim Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa <i>Answer and Counterclaim</i>
10/18/2010	 NRCP 16.2 Case Management Conference <i>NRCP 16.2 Case Management Conferences</i>
10/26/2010	 Motion Filed by: Attorney Rezaee, Preston P, ESQ For: Defendant Myers, Lisa <i>Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record</i>
12/01/2010	 Reply Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Reply to Counterclaim for Divorce</i>
12/06/2010	 Stipulation and Order Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Stipulation and Order to Continue Case Management Conference</i>
12/07/2010	 Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order</i>
12/23/2010	 Order Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa
01/03/2011	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa <i>Notice of Entry of Order</i>
01/06/2011	 Financial Disclosure Form Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Financial Disclosure Form</i>
01/06/2011	 Notice of Seminar Completion EDCR 5.07 Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Notice of Seminar Completion EDCR 5.07</i>
01/07/2011	 Affidavit in Support Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa <i>Affidavit In Support Of Motion For Leave To Proceed In Forma Pauperis</i>
01/10/2011	 Order to Proceed In Forma Pauperis Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa
01/10/2011	 Motion Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa For: Defendant Myers, Lisa <i>Emergency Motion for Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis</i>
01/10/2011	 Financial Disclosure Form


















**CASE SUMMARY**

**CASE NO. D-10-434495-D**

	Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa
01/11/2011	 NRCP 16.2 Case Management Conference Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Amended NRCP 16.2 Case Management Conference</i>
01/14/2011	 Ex Parte Application Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Ex Parte Application for an Order Shortening Time</i>
01/14/2011	 Motion Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Notice of Motion and Motion for Sole Legal Custody, Primary Physical Custody, and Independant Medical Evauation, and for Attorney Fees and Costs; Affidavit of Caleb Haskins</i>
01/14/2011	 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Family Court Motion/Opposition Fee Information Sheet</i>
01/19/2011	 Certificate of Service Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>U.S. Mail</i>
01/19/2011	 Order <i>for Supervised Exchange</i>
01/19/2011	 Order <i>Mutual Behavior Order</i>
01/19/2011	 Case Management Order Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa; Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah
01/28/2011	 Motion Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa <i>Motion to Recuse</i>
01/28/2011	 Request Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa <i>Request for Voluntary Recusal of Justice</i>
01/28/2011	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa <i>Notice of Entry of Order and Order To Proceed In Forma Pauperis</i>
01/28/2011	 Certificate of Mailing Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah For: Defendant Myers, Lisa <i>Pursuant to NRCP 16.2 - U.S. Mail</i>
02/23/2011	 Notice of Appeal Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa <i>Notice of Appeal</i>
02/23/2011	 Certificate of Mailing Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa For: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Certificate of Mailing</i>
02/28/2011	 Case Appeal Statement Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa <i>Case Appeal Statement</i>
03/10/2011	 Notice of Entry Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Notice of Entry of Minute Order</i>


















# CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-10-434495-D

03/11/2011	 Notice of Department Reassignment
03/17/2011	 Estimate of Transcript
03/30/2011	 Order Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Order After Hearing 1/19/11</i>
04/14/2011	 Order Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Order of Recusal</i>
04/25/2011	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Notice of Entry of Order</i>
04/25/2011	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Notice of Entry of Order</i>
04/27/2011	 Order Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Order 1/10/11</i>
04/28/2011	 Notice of Appeal Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa
05/04/2011	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Notice Of Entry Of Order</i>
05/04/2011	 Certificate of Mailing Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa For: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah
05/09/2011	 Case Appeal Statement Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa
05/24/2011	 Motion Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Notice of Motion and Motion for the COurt to Agree to Hear this Matter Pursuant to Huneycutt; Sole Legal Custody, Primary Physical Custody, and Independant Medical Evaluation, the Issuance of an Order to Show Cause Against Lisa; and for Attorney Fees and Costs; Affidavit of Caleb Haskins</i>
05/27/2011	 Ex Parte Application Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Ex Parte Appliction for Order Shortening Time</i>
05/27/2011	 Motion Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa For: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Emergency Motion for Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperis-Waiver Of Fees and Costs Of Transcripts</i>
05/27/2011	 Affidavit Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa <i>Emergency Affidavit in Support of Emergency Motion for Leave to Proceed</i>
06/01/2011	 Certificate of Service Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Certificate of Service</i>
06/02/2011	 Request Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa; Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>of Transcript</i>







# CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-10-434495-D

06/06/2011	 Order Shortening Time Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah
06/08/2011	 Financial Disclosure Form Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Plaintiff, Caleb Haskins, Financial Disclosure Form</i>
06/08/2011	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>-ost</i>
06/13/2011	 Affidavit of Service Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah Party 2: Defendant Myers, Lisa <i>In Person</i>
06/13/2011	 Notice of Appeal Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa
06/15/2011	 Brief Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa <i>on Merit Extend Temporary Protective Order and Opposition to Motion for Change</i>
06/15/2011	 Agreement Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa <i>Joint</i>
06/15/2011	 Order <i>for Supervised Exchange</i>
06/16/2011	 Case Appeal Statement Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah; Subject Minor Haskins, Sydney Rose
06/24/2011	 Application to Proceed in Forma Pauperis Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa <i>Motion For Leave To Proceed</i>
06/24/2011	 Application to Proceed in Forma Pauperis Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa
06/24/2011	 Affidavit in Support Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa <i>Of Emergency Application For Leave To Proceed In Forma Pauperis-Transcript/Video Services</i>
06/24/2011	 Certificate of Mailing Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa For: Attorney Roberts, Amanda M, ESQ; Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah
06/24/2011	 Certificate of Mailing Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa For: Attorney Roberts, Amanda M, ESQ; Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Brief On Merit To Extend Temporary Protective Order And Opposition To Motion for Change Of Custody, OSC, ETAL And Motion To Strike Opposition And Counter motion In The T-Case And Motion In The D-Case Due To Untimeliness, Defective Service And Lack Of Jurisdiction</i>
08/17/2011	NV Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/Judgment - Dismissed
08/17/2011	 NV Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/Judgment - Dismissed <i>Nevada Supreme Court Clerk's Certificate Judgment - Dismissed; Rehearing Denied</i>
10/12/2011	NV Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/Judgment - Dismissed
10/12/2011	 Clerk of the Courts Notice of Change of Hearing
11/03/2011	 Notice of Appeal

# CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-10-434495-D

	Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa
11/04/2011	 Notice of Entry of Order -tpdc
11/08/2011	 Case Appeal Statement Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa
11/14/2011	 Order Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Order After Hearing</i>
11/14/2011	 Certificate Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Huneycutt Certification to Nevada Supreme Court</i>
11/15/2011	 Certificate of Mailing Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa For: Attorney Roberts, Amanda M, ESQ
12/08/2011	 Notice of Entry Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Notice of Entry of Huneycutt Certification</i>
12/08/2011	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Notice of Entry of Order - Order After Hearing</i>
12/19/2011	 Notice of Appeal Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa
12/22/2011	 Case Appeal Statement Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa
01/16/2012	 Certificate of Mailing Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah For: Defendant Myers, Lisa
02/09/2012	 Certificate of Service Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Supplemental Certificate of Service</i>
03/16/2012	 NV Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/Judgment - Dismissed <i>Nevada Supreme Court Clerk's Certificate Judgment - Dismissed</i>
03/26/2012	 NV Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/Judgment - Dismissed <i>Nevada Supreme Court Clerk's Certificate Judgment - Dismissed; Rehearing Denied</i>
04/12/2012	 Notice of Appeal Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa
04/16/2012	 Certificate of Mailing Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa For: Attorney Roberts, Amanda M, ESQ
04/18/2012	 Case Appeal Statement Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa
05/15/2012	 NV Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/Judgment - Dismissed <i>Nevada Supreme Court Clerk's Certificate Judgment - Dismissed; Rehearing Denied</i>
05/16/2012	 Motion for Order Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Notice of Motion and Motion for (1.) The Issuance of an Order to Show Cause Against Lisa to Hold Her In Contempt of Court; (2.) for Sanctions; (3.) For a Change in Legal Custody; and (4.) For Attorney Fees and</i>

# CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-10-434495-D

*Costs. Affidavit of Caleb Haskins*

05/16/2012



Financial Disclosure Form

Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah  
*Plaintiff's Financial Disclosure Form*

05/16/2012



Order

Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah  
*Order Setting NRCP 16.2 Case Management Conference*

05/18/2012



Certificate of Mailing

Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah  
*Certificate of Mailing*

06/11/2012



Notice of Entry of Order

06/11/2012



Notice of Appeal

Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa

06/13/2012



Case Appeal Statement

Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa

06/18/2012



Certificate of Mailing

Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah  
For: Defendant Myers, Lisa

06/19/2012



Notice of Department Reassignment

06/28/2012



Order

Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah  
*Order After Hearing*

07/10/2012



Notice of Entry of Order

Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah  
*Notice of Entry of Order*

07/25/2012



Witness List

Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah  
*Plaintiff's List of Witnesses Pursuant to Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 16.2*

07/27/2012



Order Setting Evidentiary Hearing

Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah  
*Order Setting Evidentiary Hearing*

08/16/2012



Notice of Entry of Order

Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah  
*Notice of Entry of Order*

08/16/2012



Order

Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah  
*Order After Hearing*

08/22/2012



Order to Show Cause

Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah  
*Order to Show Cause*

08/22/2012



NV Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/Judgment - Dismissed

*Nevada Supreme Court Clerk's Certificate Judgment - Dismissed*

08/22/2012



Order to Show Cause

Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah  
*Order to Show Cause*

08/23/2012



Notice of Entry of Order

Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah

# CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-10-434495-D

## Notice of Entry of Order

08/30/2012	 NV Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/Judgment - Dismissed <i>Nevada Supreme Court Clerk's Certificate Judgment - Dismissed; Rehearing Denied</i>
09/05/2012	 Notice of Appeal Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa <i>Notice of Appeal</i>
09/05/2012	 Notice of Appeal Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa
09/05/2012	 Notice of Appeal Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa
09/06/2012	 Case Appeal Statement Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa <i>Case Appeal Statement</i>
09/06/2012	 Notice Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Notice of Discovery Dispute Conference</i>
09/10/2012	 Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information Sheet Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa <i>Family Court Motion Opposition Fee Information</i>
09/10/2012	 Ex Parte Application Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa <i>Ex Parte Application For An Order Shortening Time On Motion To Extend Discovery Deadline For Defendant/Counterclaimant</i>
09/10/2012	 Motion Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa <i>Motion to Extend Discovery Deadline For Defendant/Counterclaimant</i>
09/12/2012	 Motion Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Notice of Motion and Motion to Compel Discovery</i>
09/12/2012	 Ex Parte Application Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Ex Parte Application for an Order Shortening Time on Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Discovery.</i>
09/12/2012	 Order Shortening Time Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Order Shortening Time for Motion to Compel Discovery Before the Discovery Commissioner</i>
09/12/2012	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Notice of Entry of Order Shortening Time</i>
09/17/2012	 Supplement Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Supplement to Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Discovery</i>
09/17/2012	 Certificate of Service Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Certificate of Service</i>
09/17/2012	 Affidavit of Service Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Affidavit of Service</i>
09/17/2012	 Case Appeal Statement


# CASE SUMMARY


CASE NO. D-10-434495-D


	Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa <i>Case Appeal Statement</i>
09/19/2012	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Notice of Entry of Order</i>
09/19/2012	 Order Setting Evidentiary Hearing <i>Amended Order Setting Evidentiary Hearing</i>
09/19/2012	 Order <i>Order</i>
09/21/2012	 Notice Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Notice of Discovery Dispute Conference</i>
09/28/2012	 Ex Parte Application Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Ex Parte Application for an Order Shortening Time on Plaintiff's Motion to Compel</i>
09/28/2012	 Motion to Compel Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Motion to Compel</i>
10/03/2012	 Order Shortening Time Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Order Shortening Time for Motion to Compel Discovery Before Discovery Commissioner</i>
10/04/2012	 Notice of Entry of Order Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Notice of Entry of Order Shortening Time</i>
10/04/2012	 Affidavit of Service Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Affidavit of Service</i>
10/10/2012	 Subpoena Duces Tecum Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Notice of Trial Subpoena-Stevens Myers</i>
10/10/2012	 Subpoena Duces Tecum Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Notice of Trial Subpoena- John Paglini</i>
10/10/2012	 Subpoena Duces Tecum Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Notice of Trial Subpoena- Paul Gambini</i>
10/11/2012	 Pre-trial Memorandum Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Plaintiff's Pre-Trial Memorandum</i>
10/15/2012	 Financial Disclosure Form Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Financial Disclosure Form</i>
10/15/2012	 Certificate of Service Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Certificate of Service</i>
10/16/2012	 Exhibits Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah <i>Plaintiff's List of Exhibits</i>


# CASE SUMMARY


CASE NO. D-10-434495-D


10/17/2012  Affidavit of Service  
Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah  
*Affidavit of Service*

11/13/2012  Decree of Divorce  
Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah  
*Decree of Divorce*

11/14/2012  Notice of Entry of Decree  
Party: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah  
*Notice of Entry of Decree of Divorce*

11/26/2012  Certificate of Service  
Filed by: Plaintiff Haskins, Caleb Obadiah  
*Supplemental Certificate of Service*

12/17/2012  Notice  
Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa  
*Notice of Appeal*

12/18/2012  Notice  
Filed by: Defendant Myers, Lisa  
*Notice of Appeal (with attached Order IFP)*

## HEARINGS

11/22/2010  **Case Management Conference** (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B)

**11/22/2010, 01/10/2011, 01/19/2011**

Off Calendar; Case Management Conference  
Matter Continued; Case Management Conference  
Non Jury Trial; Case Management Conference  
Journal Entry Details:

*Parties sworn and testified. Behavior Order SIGNED IN OPEN COURT. Discussions by Parties and Counsel. COURT ORDERED the following: 1. Plaintiff is REFERRED to American Toxicology Institute (ATI) for drug testing today. Defendant shall pay for the testing. 2. SCOPES shall be run on both Parties. 3. Plaintiff shall have a Polygraph Test done at his cost. 4. Both Parties shall sign HIPPA releases forthwith. 5. Defendant shall provide a list of 3-4 Outsource Evaluators to Atty Roberts within two (2) weeks. 6. Defendant shall request Plaintiff's VA medical records. 7. Parties shall share JOINT LEGAL and JOINT PHYSICAL CUSTODY of the minor child, with exchanges every three (3) days beginning day with Plaintiff at 4:00 p.m. Exchanges shall be at the Family Court Marshall's Station during the week and Donna's House on Saturdays and Sundays. Parties will split the cost of Donna's House. 8. There is to be NO SMOKING around the minor child. 9. Parties shall communicate by e-mail on child issues only. 10. TEMPORARILY without prejudice, Plaintiff's CHILD SUPPORT is SET at \$621.00 per month, with 1/2 due on the 15th and last day of each month by direct deposit into Defendant's bank account. January's payment is due by the last day of January. 11. CHILD SUPPORT ARREARES are DEFERRED. 12. Defendant provides health insurance for the minor child, with proof of the child's portion, within two (2) weeks, Plaintiff shall pay 1/2 of that cost. 13. Court shall obtain the doctor's reports from the Gambini case D260907, of which Defendant is a party to. 14. Plaintiff's Motion scheduled for March 8, 2011 is VACATED. 15. Return Hearing, Calendar Call and Trial dates SET. Case Management Order SIGNED and FILED IN OPEN COURT. Atty Roberts shall prepare the Order from today's hearing, Defendant to sign as to form and content. 3-9-2011 10:00 AM RETURN: ATIPOLYGRAPH 4-20-2011 10:00 AM CALENDAR CALL 6-16-2011 9:30 AM NON-JURY TRIAL #1 ;*

Off Calendar; Case Management Conference  
Matter Continued; Case Management Conference  
Non Jury Trial; Case Management Conference  
Journal Entry Details:

*Atty Jason Stoffel, Bar #8898, present by telephone for Atty Amanda Roberts who was ill. Discussion by Parties and Counsel. COURT ORDERED the following: 1. Defendant shall file a Financial Disclosure Form and serve Atty Roberts forthwith. 2. Defendant's Order in Forma Pauperis is GRANTED and SIGNED IN OPEN COURT. This Court will submit matter to Presiding Judge due to unusual circumstances; to see if Defendant is permitted to file the Peremptory Challenge. ;*


Off Calendar; Case Management Conference  
Matter Continued; Case Management Conference  
Non Jury Trial; Case Management Conference  
Journal Entry Details:

*Prior to today's hearing, Counsel submitted a Stipulation and Order to Continue, therefore, COURT ORDERED,*

# CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-10-434495-D

*MATTER OFF CALENDAR.;*

01/10/2011	<b>CANCELED Motion for Withdrawal</b> (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B) <i>Vacated</i> <i>order to withdraw signed on 12/23/2010</i>
01/11/2011	<b>Minute Order</b> (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B) Minute Order - No Hearing Held; Journal Entry Details: <i>Judge Moss advised the parties and Dad's attorney this question would be submitted to the Presiding Judge. However, Judge Moss notes that after a closer review of the record and procedural history in this case, Mom's time frame to file a peremptory challenge already expired on November 5, 2010. Procedural Question: 1. Dad filed Complaint for Divorce on 8-20-10, assigned to Judge Potter. 2. Dad filed a TIMELY Peremptory Challenge on 9-23-10. 3. The Notice of Department reassignment from Judge Potter to Judge Moss was filed on 10-1-10. 4. Mom filed an Answer and Counterclaim on 10-5-10. 5. Mom's attorney, Preston Rezaee, withdrew on 12-23-10. 6. On 1-5-11, Mom prepared and executed a motion for in Forma Pauperis requesting her fees be waived. 7. Mom also wanted the Peremptory Challenge Fee waived for her. 8. Court finds the Peremptory Challenge fee is a Supreme Court fee and therefore lacks jurisdiction to waive such a fee. 9. Mom, however, asked if she still had time to file a Peremptory Challenge because she was trying to get her Peremptory Challenge fee waived. 10. Court finds that Mom asked her former attorney to file a Peremptory Challenge BEFORE her attorney withdrew from the case. 11. Mom's attorney never filed the Peremptory Challenge. 12. The Notice of Case Management Conference was sent out by the Court's JEA on October 18, 2010. 13. Service was completed after three mailing days on October 21, 2010. 14. Mom's attorney would have had 10 days from October 21, 2010 to file a timely Peremptory Challenge. 15. Court finds Mom's time period to file a Peremptory Challenge expired on November 5, 2010 pursuant to EDCR 1.14 (a). 16. Court further denies Mom's request for voluntary recusal because there is no basis to recuse. 17. in addition, pursuant to the Judicial Canons, a judge has a duty to sit and hear cases. 18. Court ORDERED the case shall remain in Department I and the date for the 16.2 CMC Conference shall be reset to January 19, 2011 at 9:00 a.m. ;</i>
03/08/2011	<b>CANCELED Motion</b> (10:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B) <i>Vacated - per Judge</i> <i>hearing 1-19-2011</i>
03/09/2011	<b>Return Hearing</b> (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B) <i>Return Hearing re: ATI/Polygraph Test (1 Hour)</i> <i>Off Calendar; Return Hearing re: ATI/Polygraph Test (1 Hour)</i> Journal Entry Details: <i>COURT ORDERED, matter OFF CALENDAR pending the Appeal to the Supreme Court. All Orders remain in effect.;</i>
03/10/2011	<b>Minute Order</b> (3:15 PM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B) Recused; Journal Entry Details: <i>MINUTE ORDER OF RECUSAL: On March 9, 2011, the undersigned Judge received an email that was posted by Plaintiff's counsel intended to serve as a legal question to the family law bar and requesting feedback. While Plaintiff's counsel may have inadvertently not realized that the undersigned Judge is on the List Serve (managed by the State Bar of Nevada) to receive emails and postings from the family bar, Plaintiff's counsel named Judge Moss in the email and discussed specific items that clearly identified the case to this Judge. Consequently, this appears to be an ex parte communication pursuant to the Judicial Code of Conduct mandating disqualification pursuant to Rule 2.11(A), "A judge shall disqualify himself or herself in any proceeding in which the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned[.]" In addition, while the email posting could have been procedural in nature and not ex parte, the undersigned Judge still believes that she can no longer be impartial in this case. Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the undersigned Judge recuses herself from Case Number D10-434495-D, and this case shall be randomly reassigned. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this Minute Order of Recusal shall be served on Plaintiff's counsel and Defendant In Proper Person. SO ORDERED. ;</i>
04/20/2011	<b>CANCELED Calendar Call</b> (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B) <i>Vacated - per Clerk</i> <i>Recusal</i>
05/02/2011	<b>CANCELED Return Hearing</b> (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Duckworth, Bryce C.) <i>Vacated - per Judge</i> <i>Appeal still pending</i>
05/02/2011	<b>CANCELED Case Management Conference</b> (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Duckworth, Bryce C.) <i>Vacated - per Judge</i> <i>Appeal still pending</i>
06/15/2011	 <b>Motion</b> (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Duckworth, Bryce C.) Events: 05/24/2011 Motion

# CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-10-434495-D

*Pltf's Motion for Court to Agree to Hear This Matter Pursuant to Huneycutt; Sole Legal Custody, Primary Physical Custody, an Independent Medical Evaluation, the Issuance of an Order to Show Cause Against Lisa, and for Atty's Fees and Costs; Affidavit of Caleb Haskins*

**06/28/2011 Reset by Court to 06/15/2011**

Matter Heard;

Journal Entry Details:

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR COURT TO AGREE TO HEAR THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO HUNEYCUTT; SOLE LEGAL CUSTODY, PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY, AN INDEPENDENT MEDICAL EVALUATION, THE ISSUANCE OF AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AGAINST LISA, AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS; AFFIDAVIT OF CALEB HASKINS. Matter heard simultaneously with case T-11-133627-T. Ms. Roberts requested a closed hearing. COURT SO ORDERED. Court reviewed the matters at issue and noted there are a number of appeals which have been filed. Discussion by parties and counsel. MATTER TRAILED so Defendant could review medical records. MATTER RECALLED. Defendant objected to the Court reviewing the medical records as she was not sure if the records were complete. In the event the matter is REMANDED from the Supreme Court, the COURT would be INCLINED to ORDER the following: 1. The Court is INCLINED to Certify the Plaintiff's pursuit for an independent medical evaluation based on the allegations which have been raised in the pleadings. The medical evaluation would be conducted by any name on the provider list. Defendant is to cooperate with any appointments to facilitate the evaluation with Plaintiff providing Defendant with fifteen (15) days advanced notice. Notice of appointments may be mailed to 10779 Silver Lace Lane, Las Vegas, NV 89135. 2. The Court is INCLINED to allow the parties to pursue Discovery as it relates to medical records of the minor child. 3. The request for an Order to Show Cause for missed VISITATION time is DENIED as there was a Temporary Protection Order (TPO) filed by Defendant on behalf of the minor child which would have prohibited any VISITATION. The TPO has been DISSOLVED and as of now there is nothing to interfere with Judge Moss' Orders and the VISITATION should go forward and there should be an EXCHANGE today at 4:00 PM. The Court shall entertain make-up time for missed VISITATION pre-TPO if the matter is remanded by the Supreme Court. 4. In the event the schedule as Ordered by Judge Moss is not followed, this Court is INCLINED to make significant temporary changes to CUSTODY which may include TEMPORARY SOLE PHYSICAL CUSTODY of the minor child. This is only triggered if there is a violation to the Orders. 5. In the event either party interferes with the other party's JOINT LEGAL CUSTODY rights, the Court is INCLINED to make a modification to SOLE LEGAL CUSTODY. This is only triggered if there is a violation to the Orders. Parties are to notify each other of any medical appointments by way of email which is to include the name of the physician, date, time and location of the appointment. 6. The Court is NOT INCLINED to make any changes to the TEMPORARY JOINT LEGAL and TEMPORARY JOINT PHYSICAL CUSTODY Orders. Each party is entitled to know where the minor child is living. 7. By 5:00 PM today Defendant is to notify the Plaintiff with her address by way of email and Plaintiff is to notify the Defendant of the day care where the child is being cared for by way of email. 8. Parties are free to contact Child Protective Services (CPS) if either party believes the minor child is at risk. In the event CPS believes CHILD EXCHANGES should not take place there needs to be documentation provided to verify the recommendation or the Court will expect EXCHANGES to occur. 9. The Court is INCLINED to issue an Order for CPS to produce any and all records regarding any services and/or investigations provided by CPS. Those records (if they exist) would be made available to both parties for in camera review. 10. The CHILD EXCHANGES shall continue to occur at Donna's House at 4:00 PM pursuant to the schedule. 11. Any future request for a TPO should be heard by this Court. Mr. Roberts is to prepare the Certification to the Supreme Court as to what this Court is Inclined to do.;


06/16/2011

**CANCELED Non-Jury Trial (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Moss, Cheryl B)**

*Vacated - per Clerk*

*Recusal*

06/19/2012

 **Motion for Order to Show Cause (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Duckworth, Bryce C.)**

Events: 05/16/2012 Motion for Order

*Pltf's Motion For Issuance Of An Order to Show Cause Against Lisa To Hold Her In Contempt, Sanctions, Change In Legal Custody, And For Attorney Fees*

Recused;

Journal Entry Details:

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ISSUANCE OF AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AGAINST LISA TO HOLD HER IN CONTEMPT, SANCTIONS, CHANGE IN LEGAL CUSTODY, AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES. Court noted the Defendant has filed a number of appeals in this matter including an appeal for the Case Management Conference. Defendant stated she filed a Federal action naming this Court and Ms. Roberts as Defendants. Ms. Roberts stated she has not been served with any complaint and would request the Court proceed with the matters before the Court. Ms. Roberts stated the Defendant is severely ill as set forth in four separate expert reports. Ms. Roberts requested attorney's fees as Plaintiff's fees have gone over \$20,000.00 due to Defendant's actions. COURT ORDERED, as follows: 1. Due to the Defendant filing a Federal action naming this Court as a Defendant, this Court shall RECUSE itself from this action. 2. This matter is to be randomly reassigned, however, the matter is not to be assigned to Judge Moss, Judge Elliot, Judge Sanchez or this Court.;

07/16/2012


**CANCELED Case Management Conference (11:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Duckworth, Bryce C.)**

*Vacated - per Judge*

# CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-10-434495-D

07/25/2012

 **Motion for Order to Show Cause** (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Ritchie, T Arthur, Jr.)


*Pltf's Motion For Issuance Of An Order to Show Cause Against Lisa To Hold Her In Contempt, Sanctions, Change In Legal Custody, And For Attorney Fees*

## MINUTES

Evidentiary Hearing;  
Journal Entry Details:

*Court noted this case was randomly reassigned to Department H on June 19, 2012 from Department Q on a recusal. Court reviewed the procedural posture of the case history. Court also noted the Appeals that have been filed by Defendant. From review of the file it appears all of the Appeals have been dismissed. Court explained to Defendant that the Court would require a Stay Order or a findings that the Court only has Jurisdiction on matters that are collateral to the appeal. The parties have a shared custodial arrangement and the current timeshare is three days on, three days off. Court heard argument from counsel and statements from Defendant. COURT STATED FINDINGS OF Jurisdiction over the subject matter. There is no pending appeal. There are matters that need to be resolved. COURT ORDERED, the timeshare will be modified from a three day, three day to a week one, week two schedule. Parties share Joint Legal and Joint Physical Custody. Plaintiff (Dad) shall have the child for week one from 4:00 p.m. Friday until 4:00 p.m. Monday. Defendant (Mom) shall have the child from 4:00 p.m. Monday until 4:00 p.m. Friday. Plaintiff (Dad) shall have the child for week two from 4:00 p.m. Friday until 4:00 p.m. Tuesday. Defendant Mom shall have the child from 4:00 PM Tuesday to 4:00 p.m. Friday. The parties will follow the rules of procedure and follow the Court's Orders. Court is setting Evidentiary Hearing to hear testimony and review exhibits on the issue of custody. The parties will exchange and file their witness list on or before August 3, 2012. All documentary proof needs to be exchanged on or before August 17, 2012. Discovery will continue until September 17, 2012. The physical and mental health of the parties is critical to this case and any reports from Psychiatrists, Medical Doctors, Psychologists may be made available. Any reports received are for the purpose of this case only and will not be disseminated or used for any other purpose. The Doctors who may have written reports were identified as Dr. Lenkeit, Dr. Paglini, Dr. Holland, Dr. Thomas Towle, Dr. Gregory Brown, Dr. William Sohr, and a Judy Jacobsen. If there are CPS records they will be made available to the parties of this case for the purpose of the Evidentiary Hearing. Attorney Roberts shall prepare Order from this hearing. 09/27/12 9:00 AM EVIDENTIARY HEARING - FULL DAY, Courtroom 10B, Regional Justice Center ;*

09/21/2012

 **Motion to Compel** (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Beecroft, Chris A, Jr.)


Events: 09/12/2012 Motion

*Pltf's Motion To Compel Discovery*

Granted;  
Journal Entry Details:

*Arguments by Ms. Roberts regarding service and Judge Duckworth's Order. Counsel requested a finding be made that proper service was effectuated. Following arguments; COURT FINDS; Proper Service to Defendant has been effectuated. There being no opposition filed, COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED; Plaintiff's Motion to Compel is GRANTED. All OBJECTIONS ARE WAIVED. ADMISSIONS 1-15 ARE DEEMED ADMITTED. Defendant shall PRODUCE all OUTSTANDING DISCOVERY by noon on 9/28/12. If Defendant fails to comply, her Answer shall be STRICKEN. Plaintiff is AWARDED \$2,228.50 in Attorney's Fees, \$214.75 for Deposition Costs and \$185.77 in Sanctions. Ms. Roberts shall submit the Report and Recommendation. ;*

10/05/2012

 **Motion to Compel** (1:30 PM) (Judicial Officer: Beecroft, Chris A, Jr.)

Events: 09/28/2012 Motion to Compel

*Pltf's Motion to Compel Discovery*

**11/02/2012 Reset by Court to 10/05/2012**

Granted;  
Journal Entry Details:

*COURT FINDS; Defendant was properly served. There being no objection pursuant to EDCR 2.20, COMMISSIONER RECOMMENDED; Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Discovery is GRANTED. ALL OBJECTIONS WAIVED. Plaintiff is AWARDED \$1,000.00 in Attorney's Fees. Ms. Roberts shall prepare the Report and Recommendation. ;*

10/09/2012

**CANCELED Motion** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ritchie, T Arthur, Jr.)

*Vacated - per Order*

*Def't's Motion To Extend Discovery Deadline For Defendant/Counterclaimant*

10/18/2012

**Evidentiary Hearing** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ritchie, T Arthur, Jr.)

Events: 07/27/2012 Order Setting Evidentiary Hearing

*FULL DAY*

**09/27/2012 Reset by Court to 10/18/2012**


Matter Continued; Decision

Journal Entry Details:

**CASE SUMMARY****CASE NO. D-10-434495-D**

Court reviewed case history and noted this matter is set for Evidentiary Hearing. Court heard Opening Statements from Plaintiff's Counsel. Defendant in her Opening Statement asked for a continuance, asked that Plaintiff's counsel disqualify herself and that Plaintiff's counsel testify. COURT ORDERED, regarding Defendant's Request to Continue this matter, and to Disqualify Plaintiff's counsel. Defendant failed to file a Motion regarding these two matters and there is no merit to the request, therefore, Request to Continue is Denied, as is request to Disqualify Plaintiff's counsel. As to the request the Court to consider having Plaintiff's counsel testify, the Court will rule on that at the appropriate time. Court heard sworn testimony from Dr. John Paglini, Charity Damesworth, Lisa Myers, Caleb Haskins and Paul Gambini. Exhibits offered. (see worksheet). Court made ruling on Defendant's request to call Plaintiff's counsel to testify and Denied the request. Court heard CLOSING ARGUMENT from counsel and from Defendant. COURT ORDERED, it will review the documentary proof. The matter will be continued to MONDAY, OCTOBER 22, 2012 at 10:00 AM. CONTINUED TO: 10/22/12 10:00 AM - DECISION - Courtroom 14A, Regional Justice Center ;

10/22/2012

 **Evidentiary Hearing** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Ritchie, T Arthur, Jr.)
**DECISION**

Decision Made;

Journal Entry Details:

Attorney Roberts' law clerk also present. Court stated that this matter was set over to make a record of the Findings and Conclusions to close this matter. The Court heard testimony on 10/18/12 from five (5) witnesses and reviewed the documentary proof that was admitted in this case. The Findings and Conclusions shall be included in the Judgment in this case and shall be final. COURT read out its Findings and Conclusions. COURT ORDERED, as follows: This Court has Jurisdiction over both Parties who have made general appearances in this case and because this is the home state of the subject child. Parties awarded JOINT LEGAL CUSTODY with Plaintiff designated as the PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODIAN. The legal custody definition stated in Exhibit #3 is accepted by the Court in the best interest of the child. Based on Defendant's earning capacity of \$3,000.00 per month at 18%, CHILD SUPPORT is \$540.00 per month. Defendant shall pay to Plaintiff \$300.00 per month commencing 11/15/12 and on the 15th day of each month thereafter. Child Support deviation was based on Defendant having to support others. There are no CONSTRUCTIVE ARREARS owed. Plaintiff shall pay to Defendant \$100.00 in October and this will end his obligation. Plaintiff provides HEALTH INSURANCE and shall continue to do so. Parties shall equally share non-covered expenses and shall abide by the 30/30 rule. Each Party shall keep all personal property currently in their possession. Community Property and Debt: There is a possible debt to an apartment complex which was the Parties' marital domicile at the time of separation. The Court will reserve Jurisdiction to divide this debt equally between the Parties either in the context of indemnity or contribution as it is fair and appropriate to divide this obligation. The Court determines would be a Community Debt equally between the Parties. Medical bills: Because of insufficient proof, the Court cannot Find that there are any specific Debts related to medical bills. If there were medical bills related to the children, that shall be shared between the Parties. Financial issues: The Court shall allow the filing of the 2010 tax year for the Defendant to take that deduction without any adjudication. Community Assets: For 2011 tax year, one-half (1/2) of that amount is \$1,500.00. Miscellaneous Judgment on this amount of \$1,500.00 in favor of Plaintiff against Defendant. Interest shall accrue at the legal rate until paid and may be collected by any lawful means. Plaintiff's custodial responsibility shall be from 4:00 p.m. Friday to 4:00 p.m. Wednesday each week. Defendant's custodial responsibility shall be from 4:00 p.m. Wednesday to 4:00 p.m. Friday. The only restriction will be as to time. This matter shall be closed with just the weekly timeshare. The Parties may Stipulate to a Holiday/Vacation schedule in the future or anything appropriate. The Recommendations and Orders from the Discovery process have been reviewed by the Court and the recommended miscellaneous Orders relating to the Discovery dispute shall survive and shall be incorporated into the Decree. Miscellaneous: Plaintiff vs. Defendant in the amount of \$2,629.01 representing fees, costs, and sanctions from the 9/21/12 Hearing and a Separate Judgment of \$1,000.00 from the 10/5/12 Hearing, those Judgments shall be SEPARATE and shall accrue interest at the legal rate and may be collected by any lawful means. A portion of the ATTORNEY'S FEES related to the litigation in this case are appropriately adjudicated against the Defendant. The adjudicated amount of \$7,500.00 shall accrue interest at the legal rate, may be paid by any lawful means or collected by lawful means and that also includes costs incurred. Plaintiff's counsel shall prepare the Findings and Conclusions. As soon as there is a Judgment that has been filed, there will be a Notice of Entry in which case all of the rights attached. If there is an appeal, counsel shall pursue that appeal and the Court shall track it to determine whether or not the appeal is dismissed or there is a remand. Otherwise, these Orders shall be followed. An absolute DECREE OF DIVORCE is GRANTED restoring the Parties to single, unmarried status. Case CLOSED upon entry of the Judgment. ;

Other  
☐ Dismissed - Want of Prosecution  
☐ Involuntary (Statutory) Dismissal  
☐ Default Judgment  
☐ Transferred  
☐ Disposed After Trial Start  
Settled/Withdrawn:  
☐ Without Judicial Conf/Hrg  
☒ With Judicial Conf/Hrg  
☐ By ADR  
Trial Dispositions:  
☐ Judgment Reached by Trial

1 **DECD**

Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.

2 State of Nevada Bar No. 9294

3 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

2011 Pinto Lane, Suite 100

4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89106


PH: (702) 474-7007

5 FAX: (702) 474-7477

EMAIL: attorneys@lvfamilylaw.com

6 Attorney for the Plaintiff, Caleb Haskins

Electronically Filed  
11/13/2012 09:55:33 AM



CLERK OF THE COURT

7  
8 **DISTRICT COURT**

9 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

10 CALEB HASKINS,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 LISA MYERS,

14 Defendant.

) Case No: D-10-434495-D

) Dept No: H

) **DECREE OF DIVORCE**

15 This cause coming on for an Evidentiary Hearing on the 18<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2012, and  
16 for a Decision on the 22<sup>nd</sup> day of October, 2012, before the above entitled Court; the Plaintiff,  
17 Caleb Haskins, being present and represented by and through his attorney of record, Amanda M.  
18 Roberts, Esq., of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group, and the Defendant, Lisa Myers, being  
19 present *in proper person*; and the Court having heard testimony, taken evidence and reviewed the  
20 papers and pleading herein,

21 NOW THEREFORE,

22 THE COURT HEREBY FINDS the Parties married on September 21, 2009.

23 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS pursuant to NRS § 125.181, the Plaintiff has resided in  
24 the State of Nevada for a period in excess of six (6) weeks prior to filing this action; therefore,  
25 this Court has complete jurisdiction as to the subject matter and personal jurisdiction.

26 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS there is one (1) minor child born the issue of this  
27 marriage, to wit: Sydney Rose Haskins, born on the 30<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2010.  
28

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff is the minor child's Father and the  
2 Defendant is the minor child's Mother.

3 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS there are no other children born the issue of this  
4 marriage or adopted by the Parties during the marriage.

5 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS The Defendant is not currently pregnant.

6 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS pursuant to *NRS* § 125A.085, Nevada is the "home  
7 state" of the minor child. The child has resided with the parents in the State of Nevada, County of  
8 Clark, in excess of six (6) months prior to the filing of this action.

9 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff and Defendant only lived together for a  
10 short time before separating and filing the divorce action.

11 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS a Complaint for Divorce was filed on August 20, 2010.

12 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS an Answer and Counterclaim was filed on October 5,  
13 2010.

14 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS both the Plaintiff and Defendant sought the divorce on  
15 no-fault grounds which were established by testimony.

16 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS an Affidavit of Resident Witness is on file with the  
17 Court.

18 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at the commencement of this action Plaintiff requested  
19 the Court confirm the Discovery Commissioner's Recommendation to strike Defendant's Answer  
20 and Counterclaim for her failure to comply with *NRCP* § 16.2 in accordance with *NRCP* § 37,  
21 which is denied because the Court finds the Nevada Supreme Court has indicated that custody  
22 matters should be decided on their merits. The Court further finds this matter is substantially  
23 similar to the *Lesley v. Lesley*, 113 Nev. 727, 941 P.2d 451 (1997), which supports the finding the  
24 Court should hear the matter on its merits.

25 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at the commencement of this action, Defendant  
26 requested a continuance and the Court denied the request finding that the request is without merit.

27 \\\

1 This matter has been for set for a matter of months and the Court made it clear that the matter  
2 would proceed even if a subsequent Appeal was filed or the Federal Lawsuit moved forward.

3 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the primary matter in this case is custody of the minor  
4 child.

5 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff is seeking joint legal custody with an Order  
6 that he is permitted to make all medical decisions for the minor child and primary physical  
7 custody with supervised visitation to the Defendant.

8 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Defendant continues to seek sole legal and sole  
9 physical custody of the minor child.

10 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS there were three (3) limited issues of finances: (1.) 2010  
11 and 2011 tax related issues; (2.) monies owed for child's alleged medical expenses; and (3.) the  
12 default on the lease.

13 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the limited financial issues allow for a final judgment in  
14 this matter.

15 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS there was testimony that there is a potential liability to a  
16 leasing company of \$1,800.00, but neither Party has paid the expense or is being pursued for the  
17 expenses.

18 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS, as for the community debt of the lease, there is no  
19 sufficient evidence presented to resolve this matter, but the Court shall reserve jurisdiction  
20 through indemnity or contribution for this debt because it is community in nature.

21 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS in 2010 and 2011, Defendant claimed head of  
22 household and claimed the minor child as a dependent for tax purposes.

23 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS Judge Moss issued an Order that the Parties were to use  
24 the most advantageous manner to resolve the filing of income tax returns.

25 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS there was testimony that in 2010 the Defendant did  
26 work, but she chose to claim head of household and take the minor child as a deduction on her  
27 2010 taxes, without any agreement between the Parties.  
28

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS in 2011, Defendant was unemployed and Plaintiff  
2 would have benefitted in the amount of \$3,000.00 if he was able to claim the minor child for the  
3 tax exemption.

4 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the \$3,000.00 would be community in nature; therefore,  
5 Defendant shall pay to the Plaintiff \$1,500.00 for his community share of the 2011 taxes which  
6 was not received because Defendant defied/violated Judge Moss' Order.

7 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Defendant alleged there were community medical  
8 bills for the minor child.

9 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Defendant noted the medical bills for the minor  
10 child in her Financial Disclosure Form filed January 10, 2011, and indicated the amount was to be  
11 determined, but has not provided documentation to establish the medical bill exist.

12 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS there is insufficient evidence to establish community  
13 medical bills exist for the minor child, but the Court will Order that if there are unreimbursed  
14 medical expenses for the minor child the Plaintiff and Defendant should share those expenses  
15 equally.

16 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Court shall reserve jurisdiction on the issue of  
17 undisclosed community debts.

18 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS pursuant to *NRS* § 125.465, married Parties have joint  
19 legal custody absent findings of the Court for a different legal custody arrangement.

20 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff proved material concerns regarding  
21 Defendant's medical treatment of the minor child during Defendant's custodial timeshare.

22 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff proved the Defendant failed to notify him  
23 of medical treatments for the minor child on at least three (3) occasions in violation of the Court's  
24 Order filed on November 14, 2011.

25 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff proved a pattern by the Defendant of  
26 violating the Court's Order filed on November 14, 2011, regarding medical treatment for the  
27 minor child.  
28

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Defendant's judgment, motives and decision  
2 making regarding medical treatment are questionable.

3 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS it is in the best interest of the minor child for the  
4 Plaintiff's proposed legal custody language in Exhibit "3" to be adopted as the Order of the Court  
5 with the Plaintiff making all medical decisions for the minor child.

6 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS pursuant to *NRS* § 125.480 (4), the Court is required to  
7 consider the factors set forth within the statute when deciding best interest and the analysis of  
8 physical custody.

9 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Parties could not agree regarding physical custody.

10 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Court must make findings to support the award of  
11 physical custody.

12 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the wishes of the child, if the child is of a sufficient age  
13 and capacity to state a preference, is not relevant in this matter because the child at issue is only  
14 two and a half (2 ½) years old.

15 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS there was no nomination made for someone other than a  
16 parent to be awarded custody; therefore, this factor is not relevant.

17 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff has established and proved he is the parent  
18 more likely to allow frequent associations and a continuing relationship with the non-custodial  
19 parent.

20 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff has proven and the Defendant's testimony  
21 shows that the Defendant does not value the relationship between the minor child and the  
22 Plaintiff.

23 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff has proven, the Defendant cannot be  
24 trusted to foster and encourage a relationship between the Plaintiff and minor child.

25 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff has proven the Defendant has been  
26 inappropriate at child exchanges.

27 \\\

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff has proven the Defendant's conduct and  
2 actions shows, consistently through the litigation, that she does not promote the relationship with  
3 the Plaintiff and the minor child because she believes the child is a significant risk in Plaintiff's  
4 care without any proof to support this allegation.

5 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff and Defendant are in a high conflict  
6 situation and there is no ability or willingness for them to co-parent.

7 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff is mentally and physical healthy. Although  
8 Plaintiff was involved in an IED explosion in the military and the Defendant raised the issues of  
9 mental fitness, Defendant has failed to provide evidence to support her arguments and  
10 Defendant's testimony alone does not rise to the level to establish this as a fact.

11 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff presented evidence in the form of  
12 testimony and the evaluation from Dr. Paglini that the Defendant is not mentally healthy, but the  
13 information is stale.

14 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff established the Defendant does not have  
15 visitation with one (1) of her children, Cameron Gambini, for over four (4) years, but she has had  
16 custody of a child, Logan.

17 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff and Defendant have had joint legal and  
18 physical custody of Sydney for nearly two (2) years.

19 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Defendant testified she attended law school and had  
20 just graduated in 2011, but she worked in 2010 and it does not appear physically possible for her  
21 attend law school since this time.

22 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Defendant appears delusional, as addressed by Dr.  
23 Paglini, regarding the issues of law school and it raises concerns for the Court regarding the  
24 Defendant's credibility and her fitness.

25 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS there is no proof that Defendant has sought treatment,  
26 except for her testimony which the Court finds unreliable.

27 \\\

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS as for the physical needs of the child, she is two and a  
2 half (2 ½) years old and dependent upon her parents for care and supervision.

3 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS there is no evidence the child is not bonded with both  
4 parents.

5 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the child has three (3) half siblings and through each  
6 parent the minor child shall continue a relationship with these siblings.

7 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS as for domestic violence, parental abuse and abduction,  
8 there was no proof presented that these factors are relevant to the issues of custody in this matter.

9 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff lives with his girlfriend who assists in  
10 providing care for the minor child.

11 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Defendant lives with her fiancé, Logan and her  
12 fiancé's two (2) children.

13 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Defendant has not worked since 2010.

14 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Defendant's expenses are paid by her fiancé and her  
15 Father.

16 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Defendant refused to provide her physical address  
17 which created gaps in considering what was in the child's best interest, the Court explained to the  
18 Defendant that her refusal to provide the information was problematic and could weigh on the  
19 issue of physical custody.

20 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Defendant is financially unstable.

21 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS there is no finding regarding non-payment of child  
22 support for Cameron.

23 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS in balancing Sydney's best interest and the mitigating  
24 factor that the Defendant has custody of Logan, the Court cannot Order supervised visitation  
25 because it is not supported by the facts, but the Court will not tolerate Defendant's continued  
26 violations of the Court's Orders.

27 \\\

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff proved it is in the best interest of the minor  
2 child for the Plaintiff to be awarded primary physical custody and his timeshare shall be from  
3 4:00 p.m. Friday to 4:00 p.m. Wednesday.

4 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS there are concerns regarding Defendant's fitness as  
5 stated, but also the mitigating considerations were considered when establishing a visitation  
6 schedule for the Defendant.

7 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Defendant shall have visitation with the minor child  
8 from 4:00 p.m. Wednesday to 4:00 p.m. Friday.

9 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS there is not going to be a Court Ordered holiday/  
10 vacation schedule, but the Parties are free to stipulate to same.

11 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff proved through evidence that the  
12 Defendant is willfully unemployed, by proving the Defendant's earning capacity to be greater  
13 than \$0.00 per month.

14 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff provided the lowest income Defendant  
15 earned was \$3,000.00 per month, or \$36,000.00 annually, which is Defendant's earning capacity  
16 whereas 18% of said income equals a child support obligation in the amount of \$540.00 per  
17 month for one (1) child, but it is appropriate to allow a downward deviation.

18 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the child support obligation shall be deviated each  
19 month for Defendant's support of another child, Cameron is \$580.00 per month.

20 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the child support obligation shall be deviated each  
21 month for health insurance provided by the Plaintiff in the amount of \$238.00 per month, but the  
22 Court is concerned whether the health insurance covers both Sydney and his new child.

23 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS it is appropriate to deviate downward by \$240.00 per  
24 month; thus, Defendant's child support obligation shall be set at \$300.00 per month.

25 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS there are no child support arrears owed in this matter.

26 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff's child support obligation shall cease as of  
27 October 22, 2012.

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Defendant's child support obligation shall start on  
2 November 15, 2012.

3 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS it is in the best interest of the minor child for the  
4 Plaintiff to maintain medical insurance and for unreimbursed expenses to be split equally  
5 pursuant to the 30/30 rule.

6 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Discovery Commissioner's Report and  
7 Recommendations shall survive the Decree of Divorce and be incorporated therein.

8 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the recommendations of the Discovery Commissioner,  
9 from September 21, 2012 hearing, wherein the Plaintiff was awarded \$2,629.02 in attorney fees,  
10 costs and sanctions from the Defendant shall be confirmed and incorporated into the Decree of  
11 Divorce.

12 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the recommendations of the Discovery Commissioner,  
13 from October 5, 2012 hearing, wherein the Plaintiff was awarded \$1,000.00 in attorney fees, costs  
14 and sanctions from the Defendant shall be confirmed and incorporated into the Decree of  
15 Divorce.

16 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS this matter has spanned in excess of two (2) years.

17 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Defendant filed ten (10) Appeals in this matter.

18 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff has paid \$10,000.00 in attorney fees.

19 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff testified his outstanding balance in attorney  
20 fees was \$40,000.00.

21 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff incurred attorney fees as a direct  
22 consequence of the Defendant's behavior, claims and defenses.

23 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff is the prevailing Party on the issue of  
24 custody.

25 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Defendant is currently unemployed and supported  
26 by her family and fiancé.

27 \\\

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS an application of the *Brunzell* factors, it is appropriate  
2 to award attorney fees and costs to the Plaintiff.

3 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS it is appropriate to adjudicate a portion of the Plaintiff's  
4 attorney fees and costs to the Defendant and said amount is \$7,500.00.

5 NOW THEREFORE,

6 THE COURT HEREBY CONCLUDES AS A MATTER OF LAW pursuant to the  
7 holding in *Lesley v. Lesley*, 113 Nev. 727, 941 P.2d 451 (1997), this matter shall proceed on the  
8 merits.

9 THE COURT FURTHER CONCLUDES AS A MATTER OF LAW pursuant to the  
10 holding in *Rico v. Rodriguez*, 121 Nev. 695, 120 P.3d 812 (2005), has established that best  
11 interest is the constitutional standard for deciding placement.

12 THE COURT FURTHER CONCLUDES AS A MATTER OF LAW NRS § 125.480 (4)  
13 provides the Court with a statutory construct for evaluating best interest; it is a balancing test; and  
14 when there is not agreement the Court must weigh the factors to determine placement.

15 THE COURT FURTHER CONCLUDES AS A MATTER OF LAW NRS § 125B.070  
16 dictates the child support be set at eighteen percent (18%) of the non-custodial parents income  
17 and the Court's Order complies with same.

18 THE COURT FURTHER CONCLUDES AS A MATTER OF LAW the Court is  
19 permitted to consider deviating factors for child support pursuant to NRS § 125B.080 and here the  
20 Court applied relevant deviating factors when setting the appropriate amount of child support in  
21 this matter.

22 THE COURT FURTHER CONCLUDES AS A MATTER OF LAW the Court has  
23 applied the *Brunzell* factors in considering the award of attorney fees herein. Specifically,  
24 Counsel's quality of advocacy, character of the work completed in the matter; work performed  
25 based upon the billing statements; and the results obtained.

26 THE COURT FURTHER CONCLUDES AS A MATTER OF LAW the Plaintiff is the  
27 prevailing Party on the issue of custody and attorney fees are appropriate based upon NRS 18.010.  
28

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS an application of the *Brunzell* factors, it is appropriate  
2 to award attorney fees and costs to the Plaintiff.

3 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS it is appropriate to adjudicate a portion of the Plaintiff's  
4 attorney fees and costs to the Defendant and said amount is \$7,500.00.

5 NOW THEREFORE,

6 THE COURT HEREBY CONCLUDES AS A MATTER OF LAW pursuant to the  
7 holding in *Lesley v. Lesley*, 113 Nev. 727, 941 P.2d 451 (1997), this matter shall proceed on the  
8 merits.

9 THE COURT FURTHER CONCLUDES AS A MATTER OF LAW pursuant to the  
10 holding in *Rico v. Rodriguez*, 121 Nev. 695, 120 P.3d 812 (2005), has established that best  
11 interest is the constitutional standard for deciding placement.

12 THE COURT FURTHER CONCLUDES AS A MATTER OF LAW NRS § 125.480 (4)  
13 provides the Court with a statutory construct for evaluating best interest; it is a balancing test; and  
14 when there is not agreement the Court must weigh the factors to determine placement.

15 THE COURT FURTHER CONCLUDES AS A MATTER OF LAW NRS § 125B.070  
16 dictates the child support be set at eighteen percent (18%) of the non-custodial parents income  
17 and the Court's Order complies with same.

18 THE COURT FURTHER CONCLUDES AS A MATTER OF LAW the Court is  
19 permitted to consider deviating factors for child support pursuant to NRS § 125B.080 and here the  
20 Court applied relevant deviating factors when setting the appropriate amount of child support in  
21 this matter.

22 THE COURT FURTHER CONCLUDES AS A MATTER OF LAW the Court has  
23 applied the *Brunzell* factors in considering the award of attorney fees herein. Specifically,  
24 Counsel's quality of advocacy, character of the work completed in the matter; work performed  
25 based upon the billing statements; and the results obtained.

26 THE COURT FURTHER CONCLUDES AS A MATTER OF LAW the Plaintiff is the  
27 prevailing Party on the issue of custody and attorney fees are appropriate based upon NRS 18.010.  
28

1 NOW THEREFORE,

2 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED the bonds of matrimony  
3 hereto existing between Plaintiff and Defendant are dissolved and Plaintiff is granted an absolute  
4 Decree of Divorce and each of the Parties be restored to the status of a single, unmarried person.

5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED the Plaintiff's request for  
6 the Court to confirm Discovery Commissioner's Recommendation to strike Defendant's Answer  
7 and Counterclaim for her failure to comply with *NRCP* § 16.2 in accordance with *NRCP* § 37 is  
8 DENIED.

9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED the Parties shall be  
10 designated joint legal custodians with the Plaintiff, Caleb Haskins, designated as the parent who  
11 shall make all medical decisions for the minor child with joint legal custody being defined as  
12 follows:

- 13
- 14 a. Each parent is responsible for setting their own rules and  
15 punishments for their respective home, during their respective  
16 timeshares; however, the rules shall not violate any of the  
17 terms set forth herein below.
- 18 b. Each parent will consult and cooperate with the other in  
19 substantial questions relating to religious upbringing,  
20 education programs, and significant changes in social  
21 environment of the child.
- 22 c. Each parent will have access to medical and school records  
23 pertaining to their child.
- 24 d. In the case of emergency, Lisa is permitted to transport the  
25 minor child to the emergency room, but is not permitted to  
26 make any medical decisions. Lisa must contact Caleb on the  
27 way to the emergency room and inform him of the necessity  
28 of the emergency visit, thereafter, Caleb shall communicate  
with the emergency room doctors and make the medical  
decisions for the minor child.
- e. Each parent will provide the other parent, upon receipt, with  
any information concerning the well-being of the child,  
including, but not limited to, copies of report cards; school  
meeting notices; vacation schedules; class notices of activities  
involving the child; samples of school work; order forms for  
school pictures; all communications from health care  
providers and the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of  
all schools, health care providers, regular day care providers,  
and counselors.
- f. Each parent will advise the other parent of school, athletic,

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

religious, and social events in which the child participate, and each agrees to so notify the other parent within a reasonable time after first learning of the future occurrence of any such event as to allow the other parent to make arrangements to attend the event if he or she chooses to do so. Both parents may participate in all such activities with the child, including, but not limited to, such activities as open house, ceremonies, school carnivals, and any other events involving the child.

- g. Each parent will provide the other parent with the address and telephone number at which the minor child reside, and shall notify the other parent at least ten (10) days prior to any change of address and provide the telephone number.
- h. Each parent will provide the other parent with a travel itinerary, address where the child will reside and telephone numbers at which the child can be reached whenever the child will be away from the parent's home for a period of one (1) night or more.
- i. Each parent will encourage liberal communication between the child and the other parent. Each parent will be entitled to reasonable telephone communication with the child; and each parent agrees he or she will not unreasonably interfere with the child's right to privacy during such telephone conversation.
- j. Neither parent will interfere with the right of the child to transport her clothing and personal belongings freely between the parents respective homes.
- k. The parents agree to communicate directly with each other regarding the needs and well-being of the child, and each parent further agrees not to use the child to communicate with the other parent regarding parental issues. The parents agree to use self-control and to not verbally or physically abuse each other in the presence of the minor child.
- l. Neither parent will disparage the other in the presence of the child, nor will either parent make any comment of any kind that would demean the other parent in the eyes of the child. Additionally, each parent agrees to instruct their respective family and friends to make no disparaging remarks regarding the other parent in the presence of the child. The parents will take all action necessary to prevent such disparaging remarks from being made in the presence of the child, and will report to each other in the event such disparaging remarks are made.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED the Plaintiff, Caleb Haskins, is hereby designated the primary physical custodian of the minor child. Caleb's custodial timeshare shall be Friday at 4:00 p.m. until Wednesday at 4:00 p.m.; and the Defendant, Lisa Myers, is

\\  
\\

1 hereby awarded visitation with the minor child from Wednesday at 4:00 p.m. to Friday at 4:00  
2 p.m. Child exchanges shall continue to occur at the security station of the Family Courthouse.

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED the Defendant shall pay the  
4 Plaintiff child support, pursuant to NRS §125B.070 whereas support for one (1) minor child  
5 equals 18% of Defendant's income, commencing November 15, 2012 and each month thereafter,  
6 at a rate of \$300.00 per month which includes a downward deviation as set forth herein. Said  
7 child support obligation shall continue until said child reaches the age of eighteen, or if still in  
8 high school, until the age of nineteen, or otherwise become emancipated.

9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED there are no child support  
10 arrears as of October 22, 2012.

11 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED the Plaintiff's child support  
12 obligation ceases October 22, 2012.

13 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED the Plaintiff shall continue  
14 to provide medical insurance for the minor child.

15 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED any and all unreimbursed  
16 medical expenses shall be equally divided by the Parties pursuant to the 30/30 rule. The Parties  
17 shall use the child's insurance whenever possible. The 30/30 rule defined as follows:

18 Any unreimbursed medical, dental, optical, orthodontic, or other  
19 health related expense incurred for the benefit of the minor  
20 children is to be divided equally between the Parties. Either Party  
21 incurring an out of pocket medical expense for the children shall  
22 provide a copy of the paid invoice/receipt to the other party within  
23 thirty (30) days of incurring such expense. If not tendered within  
24 the thirty (30) day period, the Court may consider it a waiver of  
25 reimbursement. The other Party will then have thirty (30) days  
26 from receipt within which to dispute the expense in writing or  
27 reimburse the incurring Party for one-half of the out of pocket  
28 expense. If not disputed or paid within the thirty (30) day period,  
the Party may be subject to a finding of contempt and appropriate  
sanctions.

26 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED the Defendant shall be  
27 permitted to claim the minor child for tax purposes in the 2010 tax year.

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED the Defendant shall owe to  
2 the Plaintiff the sum of \$1,500.00 as and for the difference in the community amount Plaintiff  
3 would have saved if he had been permitted to claim the minor child as a deduction in the 2011 tax  
4 year. Said amount is reduced to judgment and collectable by any and all legal means. Said  
5 amount shall accrue interest until paid in full at the legal rate.

6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED each Party is awarded the  
7 personal property currently in their possession.

8 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED neither Party shall pay or  
9 receive alimony.

10 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as for the community debt  
11 of the lease, should the property management company come after either party for the default of  
12 the lease, the Court reserves jurisdiction on this matter through indemnity or contribution.

13 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED the Court reserves  
14 jurisdiction over community debts not disclosed herein.

15 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED the attorney fees and costs  
16 recommended by the Discovery Commissioner, from the hearing on September 21, 2012,  
17 awarded to the Plaintiff from the Defendant shall be confirmed as an Order of the Court in the  
18 amount of \$2,629.00. Said amount is reduced to judgment and collectable by any and all legal  
19 means. Said amount shall accrue interest until paid in full at the legal rate.

20 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED the attorney fees and costs  
21 recommended by the Discovery Commissioner, from the hearing on October 5, 2012, awarded to  
22 the Plaintiff from the Defendant shall be confirmed as an Order of the Court, in the amount of  
23 \$1,000.00. Said amount is reduced to judgment and collectable by any and all legal means. Said  
24 amount shall accrue interest until paid in full at the legal rate.

25 \ \ \

26 \ \ \

27 \ \ \

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED the Plaintiff is awarded  
2 attorney fees and costs in the amount of \$7,500.00 from the Defendant. Said amount is reduced  
3 to judgment and collectable by any and all legal means. Said amount shall accrue interest until  
4 paid in full at the legal rate.

5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that this case shall be  
6 closed upon Entry and Notice of this Order.

7  
8 **STATUTORY NOTICES**

9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to *NRS*  
10 §125.510(6), the Parties are hereby put on notice of the following:

11 PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF ORDER: THE ABDUCTION, CONCEALMENT OR  
12 DETENTION OF A CHILD IN VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS PUNISHABLE AS A  
13 CATEGORY "D" FELONY AS PROVIDED IN *NRS* §193.130. *NRS* §200.359 provides that  
14 every person having a limited right of custody to a child or any parent having no right of custody  
15 to the child who willfully detains, conceals or removes the child from a parent, guardian or other  
16 person having lawful custody or a right of visitation of the child in violation of an order of this  
17 court, or removes the child from the jurisdiction of the court without the consent of either the  
18 court or all persons who have the right to custody or visitation is subject to being punished for a  
19 category "D" felony as provided in *NRS* §193.130.

21 The State of Nevada, United States of America, is the habitual residence of the minor  
22 children of the Parties hereto. The Parties are also put on notice that the terms of the Hague  
23 Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14<sup>th</sup> Session of the Hague Conference on Private  
24 International Law apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains a child in a foreign country.

25  
26 \\\

27 \\\

1 The Parties are also put on notice of the following provisions in *NRS* §125.510(8):

2 If a parent of the child lives in a foreign country or has significant commitments in a  
3 foreign country:

4 (a) The Parties may agree, and the court shall include in the  
5 order for custody of the child, that the United States is the country  
6 of habitual residence of the child for the purposes of applying the  
7 terms of the Hague Convention as set forth in subsection 7.

8 (b) Upon motion of one of the Parties, the court may order the  
9 parent to post a bond if the court determines that the parent poses  
10 an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or concealing the child  
11 outside of the country of habitual residence. The bond must in an  
12 amount determined by the court and may be used only to pay for  
13 the cost of locating the child and returning him to his habitual  
14 residence if the child is wrongfully removed from or concealed  
15 outside the country of habitual residence. The fact that a parent  
16 has significant commitments in a foreign country does not create a  
17 presumption that the parent poses an imminent risk of wrongfully  
18 removing or concealing the child.

19 The Parties are also put on notice of the following provision of *NRS* §125C.200:

20 If custody has been established and the custodial parent or a parent  
21 having joint custody intends to move his residence to a place  
22 outside of this state and to take the child with him, he must, as  
23 soon as possible and before the planned move, attempt to obtain  
24 the written consent of the other parent to move the child from the  
25 state. If the non-custodial parent or other parent having joint  
26 custody refuses to give that consent, the parent planning the move  
27 shall, before he leaves the state with the child, petition the court for  
28 permission to move the child. The failure of a parent to comply  
with the provisions of this section may be considered as a factor if  
a change of custody is requested by the non-custodial parent or  
other parent having joint custody.

The Parties are further put on notice that they are subject to the provisions of *NRS* §31A  
and 125.450 regarding the collection of delinquent child support payments.

The Parties are further put on notice that either Party may request a review of child  
support pursuant to *NRS* §125B.145.

The Parties shall submit the information required in *NRS* §125B.055, *NRS* §125.130 and  
*NRS* §125.230 on a separate form to the Court and the Welfare Division of the Department of  
Human Resources within ten (10) days from the date the Decree in this matter is filed. Such

1 information shall be maintained by the Clerk in a confidential manner and shall not become part  
2 of the public record. The Parties shall update the information filed with the Court and the  
3 Welfare Division of the Department of Human Resources within ten (10) days should any of that  
4 information become inaccurate.

5  
6 IT IS SO ORDERED this 7 day of Nov, 2012.

7  
8   
9 District Court Judge  
T ART RITCHIE, JR. 

10 Respectfully submitted this 2nd day of  
11 day of Nov., 2012.

12 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY**  
13 **LAW GROUP**

14 By: Amanda M. Roberts  
15 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
16 State Bar of Nevada No. 9294  
17 2011 Pinto Lane, Suite 100  
18 Las Vegas, Nevada 89106  
19 PH: (702) 474-7007  
20 FAX: (702) 474-7477  
21 EMAIL: attorneys@lvfamilylaw.com  
22 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

  
CLERK OF THE COURT

1 NED  
Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
2 State of Nevada Bar No. 9294  
**ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**  
3 2011 Pinto Lane, Suite 100  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89106  
4 PH: (702) 474-7007  
5 FAX: (702) 474-7477  
EMAIL: attorneys@lvfamilylaw.com  
6 Attorney for the Plaintiff, Caleb Haskins


7  
8 **DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

10 CALEB HASKINS,  
11 Plaintiff,  
12 v.  
13 LISA MYERS,  
14 Defendant.  
15

) Case No: D-10-434495-D  
) Dept No: H  
)  
) **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF**  
) **DECREE OF DIVORCE**  
)  
) Date of Hearing: October 18, 2012 and  
October 22, 2012

16 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that a Decree of Divorce was entered on the 13th day of  
17 November, 2012, a copy of which is attached hereto and by reference fully incorporated herein.  
18 Dated this 14<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2012.

19 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

20 By:  #8898 For  
21 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
22 State Bar of Nevada No. 9294  
23 2011 Pinto Lane, Suite 100  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89106  
24 PH: (702) 474-7007  
FAX: (702) 474-7477  
25 Email: attorneys@lvfamilylaw.com  
Attorney for the Plaintiff, Caleb Haskins

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE


I hereby certify that I am an employee of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group, and on the 14 day of November, 2012, I placed a true and correct copy of the Notice of Entry of Decree (with Decree of Divorce attached thereto), in the United States Mail at Las Vegas, Nevada, with postage prepaid, and addressed as follows:

Lisa Myers-Haskins  
9360 W. Flamingo Rd #110-326  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89147  
Defendant in Proper Person

Lisa Myers-Haskins  
10779 Silver Lace Lane  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89135  
Defendant in Proper Person

District Attorney  
Child Support Enforcement  
1900 East Flamingo Road, Suite 100  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119  
Reference Case No. R0000314428 (UPI-143343200AJ)

Caleb Haskins  
1817 Pacific Terrace Dr.  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89128

By:   
An Employee of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group

☐ Other  
☐ Dismissed - Want of Prosecution  
☐ Involuntary (Statutory) Dismissal  
☐ Default Judgment  
☐ Transferred  
☐ Settled/Withdrawn:  
☐ Without Judicial Conf/Hrg  
☒ With Judicial Conf/Hrg  
☐ By ADR  
☐ Trial Dispositions:  
☐ Disposed After Trial Start  
☐ Judgment Reached by Trial

1 **DECD**  
 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
 2 State of Nevada Bar No. 9294  
**ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**  
 3 2011 Pinto Lane, Suite 100  
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89106  
 4 PH: (702) 474-7007  
 5 FAX: (702) 474-7477  
 EMAIL: attorneys@lvfamilylaw.com  
 6 Attorney for the Plaintiff, Caleb Haskins

Electronically Filed  
 11/13/2012 09:55:33 AM

  
 CLERK OF THE COURT

7  
 8 **DISTRICT COURT**  
 9 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

10 CALEB HASKINS,	)	Case No: D-10-434495-D
	)	Dept No: H
11 Plaintiff,	)	
12 v.	)	<b>DECREE OF DIVORCE</b>
13 LISA MYERS,	)	
14 Defendant.	)	

15 This cause coming on for an Evidentiary Hearing on the 18<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2012, and  
 16 for a Decision on the 22<sup>nd</sup> day of October, 2012, before the above entitled Court; the Plaintiff,  
 17 Caleb Haskins, being present and represented by and through his attorney of record, Amanda M.  
 18 Roberts, Esq., of Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group, and the Defendant, Lisa Myers, being  
 19 present *in proper person*; and the Court having heard testimony, taken evidence and reviewed the  
 20 papers and pleading herein,

21 NOW THEREFORE,

22 THE COURT HEREBY FINDS the Parties married on September 21, 2009.

23 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS pursuant to *NRS* § 125.181, the Plaintiff has resided in  
 24 the State of Nevada for a period in excess of six (6) weeks prior to filing this action; therefore,  
 25 this Court has complete jurisdiction as to the subject matter and personal jurisdiction.

26 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS there is one (1) minor child born the issue of this  
 27 marriage, to wit: Sydney Rose Haskins, born on the 30<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2010.  
 28

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff is the minor child's Father and the  
2 Defendant is the minor child's Mother.

3 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS there are no other children born the issue of this  
4 marriage or adopted by the Parties during the marriage.

5 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS The Defendant is not currently pregnant.

6 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS pursuant to *NRS* § 125A.085, Nevada is the "home  
7 state" of the minor child. The child has resided with the parents in the State of Nevada, County of  
8 Clark, in excess of six (6) months prior to the filing of this action.

9 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff and Defendant only lived together for a  
10 short time before separating and filing the divorce action.

11 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS a Complaint for Divorce was filed on August 20, 2010.

12 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS an Answer and Counterclaim was filed on October 5,  
13 2010.

14 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS both the Plaintiff and Defendant sought the divorce on  
15 no-fault grounds which were established by testimony.

16 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS an Affidavit of Resident Witness is on file with the  
17 Court.

18 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at the commencement of this action Plaintiff requested  
19 the Court confirm the Discovery Commissioner's Recommendation to strike Defendant's Answer  
20 and Counterclaim for her failure to comply with *NRCP* § 16.2 in accordance with *NRCP* § 37,  
21 which is denied because the Court finds the Nevada Supreme Court has indicated that custody  
22 matters should be decided on their merits. The Court further finds this matter is substantially  
23 similar to the *Lesley v. Lesley*, 113 Nev. 727, 941 P.2d 451 (1997), which supports the finding the  
24 Court should hear the matter on its merits.

25 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS at the commencement of this action, Defendant  
26 requested a continuance and the Court denied the request finding that the request is without merit.

27 \\\

1 This matter has been for set for a matter of months and the Court made it clear that the matter  
2 would proceed even if a subsequent Appeal was filed or the Federal Lawsuit moved forward.

3 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the primary matter in this case is custody of the minor  
4 child.

5 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff is seeking joint legal custody with an Order  
6 that he is permitted to make all medical decisions for the minor child and primary physical  
7 custody with supervised visitation to the Defendant.

8 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Defendant continues to seek sole legal and sole  
9 physical custody of the minor child.

10 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS there were three (3) limited issues of finances: (1.) 2010  
11 and 2011 tax related issues; (2.) monies owed for child's alleged medical expenses; and (3.) the  
12 default on the lease.

13 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the limited financial issues allow for a final judgment in  
14 this matter.

15 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS there was testimony that there is a potential liability to a  
16 leasing company of \$1,800.00, but neither Party has paid the expense or is being pursued for the  
17 expenses.

18 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS, as for the community debt of the lease, there is no  
19 sufficient evidence presented to resolve this matter, but the Court shall reserve jurisdiction  
20 through indemnity or contribution for this debt because it is community in nature.

21 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS in 2010 and 2011, Defendant claimed head of  
22 household and claimed the minor child as a dependent for tax purposes.

23 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS Judge Moss issued an Order that the Parties were to use  
24 the most advantageous manner to resolve the filing of income tax returns.

25 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS there was testimony that in 2010 the Defendant did  
26 work, but she chose to claim head of household and take the minor child as a deduction on her  
27 2010 taxes, without any agreement between the Parties.  
28

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS in 2011, Defendant was unemployed and Plaintiff  
2 would have benefitted in the amount of \$3,000.00 if he was able to claim the minor child for the  
3 tax exemption.

4 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the \$3,000.00 would be community in nature; therefore,  
5 Defendant shall pay to the Plaintiff \$1,500.00 for his community share of the 2011 taxes which  
6 was not received because Defendant defied/violated Judge Moss' Order.

7 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Defendant alleged there were community medical  
8 bills for the minor child.

9 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Defendant noted the medical bills for the minor  
10 child in her Financial Disclosure Form filed January 10, 2011, and indicated the amount was to be  
11 determined, but has not provided documentation to establish the medical bill exist.

12 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS there is insufficient evidence to establish community  
13 medical bills exist for the minor child, but the Court will Order that if there are unreimbursed  
14 medical expenses for the minor child the Plaintiff and Defendant should share those expenses  
15 equally.

16 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Court shall reserve jurisdiction on the issue of  
17 undisclosed community debts.

18 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS pursuant to *NRS* § 125.465, married Parties have joint  
19 legal custody absent findings of the Court for a different legal custody arrangement.

20 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff proved material concerns regarding  
21 Defendant's medical treatment of the minor child during Defendant's custodial timeshare.

22 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff proved the Defendant failed to notify him  
23 of medical treatments for the minor child on at least three (3) occasions in violation of the Court's  
24 Order filed on November 14, 2011.

25 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff proved a pattern by the Defendant of  
26 violating the Court's Order filed on November 14, 2011, regarding medical treatment for the  
27 minor child.

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Defendant's judgment, motives and decision  
2 making regarding medical treatment are questionable.

3 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS it is in the best interest of the minor child for the  
4 Plaintiff's proposed legal custody language in Exhibit "3" to be adopted as the Order of the Court  
5 with the Plaintiff making all medical decisions for the minor child.

6 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS pursuant to *NRS* § 125.480 (4), the Court is required to  
7 consider the factors set forth within the statute when deciding best interest and the analysis of  
8 physical custody.

9 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Parties could not agree regarding physical custody.

10 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Court must make findings to support the award of  
11 physical custody.

12 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the wishes of the child, if the child is of a sufficient age  
13 and capacity to state a preference, is not relevant in this matter because the child at issue is only  
14 two and a half (2 ½) years old.

15 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS there was no nomination made for someone other than a  
16 parent to be awarded custody; therefore, this factor is not relevant.

17 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff has established and proved he is the parent  
18 more likely to allow frequent associations and a continuing relationship with the non-custodial  
19 parent.

20 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff has proven and the Defendant's testimony  
21 shows that the Defendant does not value the relationship between the minor child and the  
22 Plaintiff.

23 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff has proven, the Defendant cannot be  
24 trusted to foster and encourage a relationship between the Plaintiff and minor child.

25 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff has proven the Defendant has been  
26 inappropriate at child exchanges.

27 \\\

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff has proven the Defendant's conduct and  
2 actions shows, consistently through the litigation, that she does not promote the relationship with  
3 the Plaintiff and the minor child because she believes the child is a significant risk in Plaintiff's  
4 care without any proof to support this allegation.

5 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff and Defendant are in a high conflict  
6 situation and there is no ability or willingness for them to co-parent.

7 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff is mentally and physical healthy. Although  
8 Plaintiff was involved in an IED explosion in the military and the Defendant raised the issues of  
9 mental fitness, Defendant has failed to provide evidence to support her arguments and  
10 Defendant's testimony alone does not rise to the level to establish this as a fact.

11 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff presented evidence in the form of  
12 testimony and the evaluation from Dr. Paglini that the Defendant is not mentally healthy, but the  
13 information is stale.

14 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff established the Defendant does not have  
15 visitation with one (1) of her children, Cameron Gambini, for over four (4) years, but she has had  
16 custody of a child, Logan.

17 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff and Defendant have had joint legal and  
18 physical custody of Sydney for nearly two (2) years.

19 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Defendant testified she attended law school and had  
20 just graduated in 2011, but she worked in 2010 and it does not appear physically possible for her  
21 attend law school since this time.

22 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Defendant appears delusional, as addressed by Dr.  
23 Paglini, regarding the issues of law school and it raises concerns for the Court regarding the  
24 Defendant's credibility and her fitness.

25 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS there is no proof that Defendant has sought treatment,  
26 except for her testimony which the Court finds unreliable.

27 \\\

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS as for the physical needs of the child, she is two and a  
2 half (2 ½) years old and dependent upon her parents for care and supervision.

3 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS there is no evidence the child is not bonded with both  
4 parents.

5 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the child has three (3) half siblings and through each  
6 parent the minor child shall continue a relationship with these siblings.

7 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS as for domestic violence, parental abuse and abduction,  
8 there was no proof presented that these factors are relevant to the issues of custody in this matter.

9 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff lives with his girlfriend who assists in  
10 providing care for the minor child.

11 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Defendant lives with her fiancé, Logan and her  
12 fiancé's two (2) children.

13 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Defendant has not worked since 2010.

14 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Defendant's expenses are paid by her fiancé and her  
15 Father.

16 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Defendant refused to provide her physical address  
17 which created gaps in considering what was in the child's best interest, the Court explained to the  
18 Defendant that her refusal to provide the information was problematic and could weigh on the  
19 issue of physical custody.

20 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Defendant is financially unstable.

21 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS there is no finding regarding non-payment of child  
22 support for Cameron.

23 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS in balancing Sydney's best interest and the mitigating  
24 factor that the Defendant has custody of Logan, the Court cannot Order supervised visitation  
25 because it is not supported by the facts, but the Court will not tolerate Defendant's continued  
26 violations of the Court's Orders.

27 \\\

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff proved it is in the best interest of the minor  
2 child for the Plaintiff to be awarded primary physical custody and his timeshare shall be from  
3 4:00 p.m. Friday to 4:00 p.m. Wednesday.

4 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS there are concerns regarding Defendant's fitness as  
5 stated, but also the mitigating considerations were considered when establishing a visitation  
6 schedule for the Defendant.

7 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Defendant shall have visitation with the minor child  
8 from 4:00 p.m. Wednesday to 4:00 p.m. Friday.

9 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS there is not going to be a Court Ordered holiday/  
10 vacation schedule, but the Parties are free to stipulate to same.

11 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff proved through evidence that the  
12 Defendant is willfully unemployed, by proving the Defendant's earning capacity to be greater  
13 than \$0.00 per month.

14 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff provided the lowest income Defendant  
15 earned was \$3,000.00 per month, or \$36,000.00 annually, which is Defendant's earning capacity  
16 whereas 18% of said income equals a child support obligation in the amount of \$540.00 per  
17 month for one (1) child, but it is appropriate to allow a downward deviation.

18 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the child support obligation shall be deviated each  
19 month for Defendant's support of another child, Cameron is \$580.00 per month.

20 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the child support obligation shall be deviated each  
21 month for health insurance provided by the Plaintiff in the amount of \$238.00 per month, but the  
22 Court is concerned whether the health insurance covers both Sydney and his new child.

23 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS it is appropriate to deviate downward by \$240.00 per  
24 month; thus, Defendant's child support obligation shall be set at \$300.00 per month.

25 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS there are no child support arrears owed in this matter.

26 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff's child support obligation shall cease as of  
27 October 22, 2012.

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Defendant's child support obligation shall start on  
2 November 15, 2012.

3 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS it is in the best interest of the minor child for the  
4 Plaintiff to maintain medical insurance and for unreimbursed expenses to be split equally  
5 pursuant to the 30/30 rule.

6 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Discovery Commissioner's Report and  
7 Recommendations shall survive the Decree of Divorce and be incorporated therein.

8 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the recommendations of the Discovery Commissioner,  
9 from September 21, 2012 hearing, wherein the Plaintiff was awarded \$2,629.02 in attorney fees,  
10 costs and sanctions from the Defendant shall be confirmed and incorporated into the Decree of  
11 Divorce.

12 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the recommendations of the Discovery Commissioner,  
13 from October 5, 2012 hearing, wherein the Plaintiff was awarded \$1,000.00 in attorney fees, costs  
14 and sanctions from the Defendant shall be confirmed and incorporated into the Decree of  
15 Divorce.

16 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS this matter has spanned in excess of two (2) years.

17 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Defendant filed ten (10) Appeals in this matter.

18 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff has paid \$10,000.00 in attorney fees.

19 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff testified his outstanding balance in attorney  
20 fees was \$40,000.00.

21 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff incurred attorney fees as a direct  
22 consequence of the Defendant's behavior, claims and defenses.

23 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Plaintiff is the prevailing Party on the issue of  
24 custody.

25 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS the Defendant is currently unemployed and supported  
26 by her family and fiancé.

27 \\\

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS an application of the *Brunzell* factors, it is appropriate  
2 to award attorney fees and costs to the Plaintiff.

3 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS it is appropriate to adjudicate a portion of the Plaintiff's  
4 attorney fees and costs to the Defendant and said amount is \$7,500.00.

5 NOW THEREFORE,

6 THE COURT HEREBY CONCLUDES AS A MATTER OF LAW pursuant to the  
7 holding in *Lesley v. Lesley*, 113 Nev. 727, 941 P.2d 451 (1997), this matter shall proceed on the  
8 merits.

9 THE COURT FURTHER CONCLUDES AS A MATTER OF LAW pursuant to the  
10 holding in *Rico v. Rodriguez*, 121 Nev. 695, 120 P.3d 812 (2005), has established that best  
11 interest is the constitutional standard for deciding placement.

12 THE COURT FURTHER CONCLUDES AS A MATTER OF LAW NRS § 125.480 (4)  
13 provides the Court with a statutory construct for evaluating best interest; it is a balancing test; and  
14 when there is not agreement the Court must weigh the factors to determine placement.

15 THE COURT FURTHER CONCLUDES AS A MATTER OF LAW NRS § 125B.070  
16 dictates the child support be set at eighteen percent (18%) of the non-custodial parents income  
17 and the Court's Order complies with same.

18 THE COURT FURTHER CONCLUDES AS A MATTER OF LAW the Court is  
19 permitted to consider deviating factors for child support pursuant to NRS § 125B.080 and here the  
20 Court applied relevant deviating factors when setting the appropriate amount of child support in  
21 this matter.

22 THE COURT FURTHER CONCLUDES AS A MATTER OF LAW the Court has  
23 applied the *Brunzell* factors in considering the award of attorney fees herein. Specifically,  
24 Counsel's quality of advocacy, character of the work completed in the matter; work performed  
25 based upon the billing statements; and the results obtained.

26 THE COURT FURTHER CONCLUDES AS A MATTER OF LAW the Plaintiff is the  
27 prevailing Party on the issue of custody and attorney fees are appropriate based upon NRS 18.010.  
28

1 NOW THEREFORE,

2 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED the bonds of matrimony  
3 hereto existing between Plaintiff and Defendant are dissolved and Plaintiff is granted an absolute  
4 Decree of Divorce and each of the Parties be restored to the status of a single, unmarried person.

5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED the Plaintiff's request for  
6 the Court to confirm Discovery Commissioner's Recommendation to strike Defendant's Answer  
7 and Counterclaim for her failure to comply with *NRCP* § 16.2 in accordance with *NRCP* § 37 is  
8 DENIED.

9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED the Parties shall be  
10 designated joint legal custodians with the Plaintiff, Caleb Haskins, designated as the parent who  
11 shall make all medical decisions for the minor child with joint legal custody being defined as  
12 follows:

- 13
- 14 a. Each parent is responsible for setting their own rules and  
15 punishments for their respective home, during their respective  
16 timeshares; however, the rules shall not violate any of the  
17 terms set forth herein below.
- 18 b. Each parent will consult and cooperate with the other in  
19 substantial questions relating to religious upbringing,  
20 education programs, and significant changes in social  
21 environment of the child.
- 22 c. Each parent will have access to medical and school records  
23 pertaining to their child.
- 24 d. In the case of emergency, Lisa is permitted to transport the  
25 minor child to the emergency room, but is not permitted to  
26 make any medical decisions. Lisa must contact Caleb on the  
27 way to the emergency room and inform him of the necessity  
28 of the emergency visit, thereafter, Caleb shall communicate  
with the emergency room doctors and make the medical  
decisions for the minor child.
- e. Each parent will provide the other parent, upon receipt, with  
any information concerning the well-being of the child,  
including, but not limited to, copies of report cards; school  
meeting notices; vacation schedules; class notices of activities  
involving the child; samples of school work; order forms for  
school pictures; all communications from health care  
providers and the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of  
all schools, health care providers, regular day care providers,  
and counselors.
- f. Each parent will advise the other parent of school, athletic,

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

- religious, and social events in which the child participate, and each agrees to so notify the other parent within a reasonable time after first learning of the future occurrence of any such event as to allow the other parent to make arrangements to attend the event if he or she chooses to do so. Both parents may participate in all such activities with the child, including, but not limited to, such activities as open house, ceremonies, school carnivals, and any other events involving the child.
- g. Each parent will provide the other parent with the address and telephone number at which the minor child reside, and shall notify the other parent at least ten (10) days prior to any change of address and provide the telephone number.
  - h. Each parent will provide the other parent with a travel itinerary, address where the child will reside and telephone numbers at which the child can be reached whenever the child will be away from the parent's home for a period of one (1) night or more.
  - i. Each parent will encourage liberal communication between the child and the other parent. Each parent will be entitled to reasonable telephone communication with the child; and each parent agrees he or she will not unreasonably interfere with the child's right to privacy during such telephone conversation.
  - j. Neither parent will interfere with the right of the child to transport her clothing and personal belongings freely between the parents respective homes.
  - k. The parents agree to communicate directly with each other regarding the needs and well-being of the child, and each parent further agrees not to use the child to communicate with the other parent regarding parental issues. The parents agree to use self-control and to not verbally or physically abuse each other in the presence of the minor child.
  - l. Neither parent will disparage the other in the presence of the child, nor will either parent make any comment of any kind that would demean the other parent in the eyes of the child. Additionally, each parent agrees to instruct their respective family and friends to make no disparaging remarks regarding the other parent in the presence of the child. The parents will take all action necessary to prevent such disparaging remarks from being made in the presence of the child, and will report to each other in the event such disparaging remarks are made.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED the Plaintiff, Caleb Haskins, is hereby designated the primary physical custodian of the minor child. Caleb's custodial timeshare shall be Friday at 4:00 p.m. until Wednesday at 4:00 p.m.; and the Defendant, Lisa Myers, is

\\  
\\

1 hereby awarded visitation with the minor child from Wednesday at 4:00 p.m. to Friday at 4:00  
2 p.m. Child exchanges shall continue to occur at the security station of the Family Courthouse.

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED the Defendant shall pay the  
4 Plaintiff child support, pursuant to NRS §125B.070 whereas support for one (1) minor child  
5 equals 18% of Defendant's income, commencing November 15, 2012 and each month thereafter,  
6 at a rate of \$300.00 per month which includes a downward deviation as set forth herein. Said  
7 child support obligation shall continue until said child reaches the age of eighteen, or if still in  
8 high school, until the age of nineteen, or otherwise become emancipated.

9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED there are no child support  
10 arrears as of October 22, 2012.

11 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED the Plaintiff's child support  
12 obligation ceases October 22, 2012.

13 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED the Plaintiff shall continue  
14 to provide medical insurance for the minor child.

15 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED any and all unreimbursed  
16 medical expenses shall be equally divided by the Parties pursuant to the 30/30 rule. The Parties  
17 shall use the child's insurance whenever possible. The 30/30 rule defined as follows:

18 Any unreimbursed medical, dental, optical, orthodontic, or other  
19 health related expense incurred for the benefit of the minor  
20 children is to be divided equally between the Parties. Either Party  
21 incurring an out of pocket medical expense for the children shall  
22 provide a copy of the paid invoice/receipt to the other party within  
23 thirty (30) days of incurring such expense. If not tendered within  
24 the thirty (30) day period, the Court may consider it a waiver of  
25 reimbursement. The other Party will then have thirty (30) days  
26 from receipt within which to dispute the expense in writing or  
27 reimburse the incurring Party for one-half of the out of pocket  
28 expense. If not disputed or paid within the thirty (30) day period,  
the Party may be subject to a finding of contempt and appropriate  
sanctions.

26 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED the Defendant shall be  
27 permitted to claim the minor child for tax purposes in the 2010 tax year.

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED the Defendant shall owe to  
2 the Plaintiff the sum of \$1,500.00 as and for the difference in the community amount Plaintiff  
3 would have saved if he had been permitted to claim the minor child as a deduction in the 2011 tax  
4 year. Said amount is reduced to judgment and collectable by any and all legal means. Said  
5 amount shall accrue interest until paid in full at the legal rate.

6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED each Party is awarded the  
7 personal property currently in their possession.

8 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED neither Party shall pay or  
9 receive alimony.

10 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as for the community debt  
11 of the lease, should the property management company come after either party for the default of  
12 the lease, the Court reserves jurisdiction on this matter through indemnity or contribution.

13 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED the Court reserves  
14 jurisdiction over community debts not disclosed herein.

15 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED the attorney fees and costs  
16 recommended by the Discovery Commissioner, from the hearing on September 21, 2012,  
17 awarded to the Plaintiff from the Defendant shall be confirmed as an Order of the Court in the  
18 amount of \$2,629.00. Said amount is reduced to judgment and collectable by any and all legal  
19 means. Said amount shall accrue interest until paid in full at the legal rate.

20 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED the attorney fees and costs  
21 recommended by the Discovery Commissioner, from the hearing on October 5, 2012, awarded to  
22 the Plaintiff from the Defendant shall be confirmed as an Order of the Court, in the amount of  
23 \$1,000.00. Said amount is reduced to judgment and collectable by any and all legal means. Said  
24 amount shall accrue interest until paid in full at the legal rate.

25 \\\

26 \\\

27 \\\

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED the Plaintiff is awarded  
2 attorney fees and costs in the amount of \$7,500.00 from the Defendant. Said amount is reduced  
3 to judgment and collectable by any and all legal means. Said amount shall accrue interest until  
4 paid in full at the legal rate.

5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that this case shall be  
6 closed upon Entry and Notice of this Order.

7  
8 **STATUTORY NOTICES**

9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to *NRS*  
10 §125.510(6), the Parties are hereby put on notice of the following:

11 PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF ORDER: THE ABDUCTION, CONCEALMENT OR  
12 DETENTION OF A CHILD IN VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS PUNISHABLE AS A  
13 CATEGORY "D" FELONY AS PROVIDED IN *NRS* §193.130. *NRS* §200.359 provides that  
14 every person having a limited right of custody to a child or any parent having no right of custody  
15 to the child who willfully detains, conceals or removes the child from a parent, guardian or other  
16 person having lawful custody or a right of visitation of the child in violation of an order of this  
17 court, or removes the child from the jurisdiction of the court without the consent of either the  
18 court or all persons who have the right to custody or visitation is subject to being punished for a  
19 category "D" felony as provided in *NRS* §193.130.

21 The State of Nevada, United States of America, is the habitual residence of the minor  
22 children of the Parties hereto. The Parties are also put on notice that the terms of the Hague  
23 Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14<sup>th</sup> Session of the Hague Conference on Private  
24 International Law apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains a child in a foreign country.  
25

26 \\\

27 \\\

1 The Parties are also put on notice of the following provisions in *NRS* §125.510(8):

2 If a parent of the child lives in a foreign country or has significant commitments in a  
3 foreign country:

4 (a) The Parties may agree, and the court shall include in the  
5 order for custody of the child, that the United States is the country  
6 of habitual residence of the child for the purposes of applying the  
7 terms of the Hague Convention as set forth in subsection 7.

8 (b) Upon motion of one of the Parties, the court may order the  
9 parent to post a bond if the court determines that the parent poses  
10 an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or concealing the child  
11 outside of the country of habitual residence. The bond must in an  
12 amount determined by the court and may be used only to pay for  
13 the cost of locating the child and returning him to his habitual  
14 residence if the child is wrongfully removed from or concealed  
15 outside the country of habitual residence. The fact that a parent  
16 has significant commitments in a foreign country does not create a  
17 presumption that the parent poses an imminent risk of wrongfully  
18 removing or concealing the child.

19 The Parties are also put on notice of the following provision of *NRS* §125C.200:

20 If custody has been established and the custodial parent or a parent  
21 having joint custody intends to move his residence to a place  
22 outside of this state and to take the child with him, he must, as  
23 soon as possible and before the planned move, attempt to obtain  
24 the written consent of the other parent to move the child from the  
25 state. If the non-custodial parent or other parent having joint  
26 custody refuses to give that consent, the parent planning the move  
27 shall, before he leaves the state with the child, petition the court for  
28 permission to move the child. The failure of a parent to comply  
with the provisions of this section may be considered as a factor if  
a change of custody is requested by the non-custodial parent or  
other parent having joint custody.


The Parties are further put on notice that they are subject to the provisions of *NRS* §31A  
and 125.450 regarding the collection of delinquent child support payments.

The Parties are further put on notice that either Party may request a review of child  
support pursuant to *NRS* §125B.145.

The Parties shall submit the information required in *NRS* §125B.055, *NRS* §125.130 and  
*NRS* §125.230 on a separate form to the Court and the Welfare Division of the Department of  
Human Resources within ten (10) days from the date the Decree in this matter is filed. Such

1 information shall be maintained by the Clerk in a confidential manner and shall not become part  
2 of the public record. The Parties shall update the information filed with the Court and the  
3 Welfare Division of the Department of Human Resources within ten (10) days should any of that  
4 information become inaccurate.

5  
6 IT IS SO ORDERED this 7 day of Nov, 2012.

7  
8   
9 District Court Judge  
T ART RITCHIE, JR. TK/4

10 Respectfully submitted this 2nd day of  
11 day of Nov., 2012.

12 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY  
LAW GROUP**

13 By: Amanda M. Roberts  
14 Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
15 State Bar of Nevada No. 9294  
2011 Pinto Lane, Suite 100  
16 Las Vegas, Nevada 89106  
17 PH: (702) 474-7007  
FAX: (702) 474-7477  
18 EMAIL: attorneys@lvfamilylaw.com  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

## Exhibit List

Case: D-10-434495-D Party: Sort Order: Status Case Caleb Obadiah Haskins, Plaintiff.  
vs. Lisa Myers, Defendant.

Exhibit ID	On Behalf Of	Status/Date	Return/Destroy Date	Type and Description	Exhibit Flag	Source	In Custody Of	Location
1	Plaintiff	Admitted 10/18/2012	Destroy 11/26/2014	Document Report & Recommendation		Roberts, Amanda M, ESQ		
Comment: see complete list in events tab								

1 EXH

Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.

2 State of Nevada Bar No. 9294

3 **ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

2011 Pinto Lane, Suite 100

4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89106

PH: (702) 474-7007

5 FAX: (702) 474-7477

EMAIL: attorneys@lvfamilylaw.com

6 Attorney for the Plaintiff, Caleb Haskins

7 **DISTRICT COURT**

8 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

10 CALEB HASKINS,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 LISA MYERS,

14 Defendant.

) Case No: D-10-434495-D

) Dept No: H

) **PLAINTIFF'S TRIAL EXHIBITS**

EXHIBIT	DOCUMENT TITLE	OFFERED	ADMITTED
1.	Report and Recommendation from the hearing on September 21, 2012		
2.	Report and Recommendation from the hearing on October 5, 2012		
3.	Plaintiff's proposed joint legal custody language		
4.	Order After Hearing from January 19, 2011	✓	10-18-12
5.	Order After Hearing from June 15, 2011	✓	10-18-12
6.	Order After Hearing from June 19, 2012		
7.	Medical records for the minor child from Dr. Bernstein (Bates Stamp Nos. PLTF00293 through PLTF00320, and PLTF06769 through PLTF06775)		10-18-12
8.	Handwritten note from Deputy Greg Bryant dated May 13, 2011 (Bates Stamp No. PLTF00321)		
9.	Restraining Order hearing transcripts between Charity Damesworth v. Lisa Myers (Bates Stamp Nos. PLTF00344 through PLTF00359)		10-18-12
10.	Campbell Law School records (Bates Stamp Nos. PLTF05997 through PLTF05998)	Obj	10-18-12

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

		Offered	Admitted
11.	Custody evaluation report from Dr. Paglini in <i>Gambini v. Myers-Gambini</i> , Case No. D296907 (Bates Stamp Nos. PLTF06558 through PLTF06600)	obj	10/18/12
12.	Order After Hearing from August 30, 2011, in <i>Gambini v. Myers-Gambini</i> , Case No. D296907 (Bates Stamp Nos. PLTF00018 through PLTF00020)		
13.	Order After Evidentiary Hearing from August 15, 2003 and December 1, 2003, in <i>Gambini v. Myers-Gambini</i> , Case No. D296907 (Bates Stamp Nos. PLTF00056 through PLTF00061)		
14.	Order After Evidentiary Hearing from May 1, 2011, in <i>Gambini v. Myers-Gambini</i> , Case No. D296907 (Bates Stamp Nos. PLTF00070 through PLTF00072)		
15.	Order After Hearing from February 11, 2008, in <i>Gambini v. Myers-Gambini</i> , Case No. D296907 (Bates Stamp Nos. 00078 through 00079)		
16.	Decision filed August 8, 2008, in <i>Gambini v. Myers-Gambini</i> , Case No. D296907 (Bates Stamp Nos. PLTF00082 through PLTF00086)	✓	10/18/12
17.	Order After Hearing from September 3, 2009, in <i>Gambini v. Myers-Gambini</i> , Case No. D296907 (Bates Stamp Nos. PLTF00088 through PLTF00089)	✓	10/18/12
18.	Correspondence from Dr. Denton dated July 10, 2001, in <i>Gambini v. Myers-Gambini</i> , Case No. D296907 (Bates Stamp No. PLTF00037)		
19.	Deposition of Lisa Myers-Gambini, in <i>Gambini v. Myers-Gambini</i> , Case No. D296907 (Bates Stamp Nos. PLTF04743 through PLTF04775)		
20.	Various photographs of Caleb and Sydney with family and friends (Bates Stamp Nos. PLTF06002, PLTF06007, PLTF06014, and PLTF06043)	obj	10/18/12
21.	CD of videos taken during Caleb's custodial time with the minor child (Bates Stamp Nos. PLTF06047 through PLTF06061)		
22.	Correspondence from Steven Myers dated June 6, 2011 (Bates Stamp Nos. PLTF05992 and PLTF05993)		
23.	Correspondence from Stephanie Warren dated September 12, 102 (Bates Stamp No. PLTF06549)		
24.	Article titled "Reverend Makes Sixth Trip to Russia" from Saturday, July 11, 1998 (Bates Stamp No. PLTF06548)		

25.	Correspondence from Department of Veteran Affairs dated February 11, 2011 (Bates Stamp No. PLTF05990)	obj	/
26.	Memo from FortuNet, Inc., regarding health insurance coverage (Bates Stamp Nos. 00376 through 00378)		
27.	Correspondence from FortuNet, Inc., regarding health insurance coverage dated August 8, 2012 (Bates Stamp No. PLTF05996)		
28.	Employment records from Douglas R. Johnson and Associates (Bates Stamp Nos. PLTF 00380, 00381, 00388, 00478, 00479, 00541, 00544 through 00546, and PLTF01698 through PLTF01706)	obj	10/18/12
29.	Lease Agreement for Oakwood Management Company (Bates Stamp Nos. PLTF00360 through 00375)		
30.	Collection Notification (Bates Stamp No. PLTF06530)		
31.	Defendant's Financial Disclosure Form Filed January 10, 2011		
32.	Plaintiff's Financial Disclosure Form Filed October 15, 2012		
33.	Listing of United States Law Schools from www.findlaw.com		
34.	2010 Tax Return with notes (Bates Stamp Nos. PLTF06062 through 06073)		
35.	2011 Tax Return		
36.	Custody evaluation report from Dr. Lenkeit in <i>Gambini v. Myers-Gambini</i> , Case No. D296907 (Bates Stamp Nos. PLTF06776 through 06789)		
37.	Plaintiff's billing statements from Roberts Stoffel Family Law Group through September of 2012 (Bates Stamp Nos. PLTF06072 through 06760)		

Respectfully submitted this 16<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2012.

**ROBERTS STOFFEL FAMILY LAW GROUP**

By: Amanda M Roberts

Amanda M. Roberts, Esq.  
Nevada Bar No. 9294  
2011 Pinto Lane, Suite 100  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89106  
PH: (702) 474-7007  
FAX: (702) 474-7477  
EMAIL: attorneys@lvfamilylaw.com  
Attorney for Plaintiff, Caleb Haskins

# Certification of Copy

State of Nevada }  
County of Clark } SS:

I, Steven D. Grierson, the Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct copy of the hereinafter stated original document(s):

NOTICE OF APPEAL; NOTICE OF APPEAL; CASE APPEAL STATEMENT;  
DISTRICT COURT DOCKET ENTRIES; CONFIDENTIAL CIVIL COVER SHEET; DECREE OF  
DIVORCE; NOTICE OF ENTRY OF DECREE OF DIVORCE; DISTRICT COURT MINUTES;  
EXHIBITS LIST

CALEB OBADIAH HASKINS,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

LISA MYERS,

Defendant(s).


Case No: D434495

Dept No: H

now on file and of record in this office.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto  
Set my hand and Affixed the seal of the  
Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada  
This 20 day of December 2012.

Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court

  
Teodora Jones, Deputy Clerk