1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
2	
3	
4 5	Electronically Filed Oct 11 2013 09:36 a.m JAQUEZ DEJUAN BARBER Oct 2649 Tracie K. Lindeman
6	Appellant, Case No. 62649 Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk of Supreme Cour
7	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA, {
9	Respondent
10	MOTION TO HOLD BRIEFING IN ABEYANCE AND
11	MOTION TO EXTEND TIME First Request
12	COMES NOW the State of Nevada, by STEVEN B. WOLFSON, Clark
13 14	County District Attorney, through his Chief Deputy, JONATHAN
15	VANBOSKERCK, and moves this Court to hold the briefing schedule in abeyance
16 17	until it decides Respondent's Motion to Strike and for an enlargement of time of
18	thirty (30) days from the date of said ruling. This Motion is based on the following
19	memorandum and all papers and pleadings on file herein.
20	d.
21	Dated this 11 th day of October, 2013.
22	Respectfully submitted,
23 24	STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar # 001565
25	BY /s/ Jonathan E. VanBoskerck
26 27	JONATHAN E. VANBOSKERCK Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #006528 Office of the Clark County District Attorney

 $I:\ APPELLATE:\ WPDOCS:\ ECRETARY:\ MOTIONS:\ EXTEND:\ BARBER,\ JAQUEZ\ DEJUAN,\ 62649,\ MTN.\ TO\ HOLD\ BRIEFING\ IN\ ABEYANCE\ \&\ MTN.\ TO\ EXTEND\ TIME.DOC$

28

MEMORANDUM

I, JONATHAN E. VANBOSKERCK, am the supervising attorney in the above-captioned case. The State is requesting that the briefing in this matter be held in abeyance until this Court rules upon Respondent's Motion to Strike Portions of Appellant's Opening Brief for Lack of Jurisdiction and Motion to Hold Briefing in Abeyance (Motion to Strike), filed September 23, 2013. Additionally, Respondent requests a thirty (30) day extension of time after this Court rules upon the Motion to Strike in which to file its Answering Brief under NRAP 31.

Appellant has received multiple extensions in this matter. Appellant first requested an additional 21 days on April 1, 2013. (Appellant's Motion for Extension of Time to File Fast Track Statement, filed April 1, 2013). Appellant asked for a second extension of 65 days on April 22, 2013. (Appellant's Motion for Extension of Time to File Fast Track Statement, filed April 22, 2013). Appellant's third request asked for a 30 day extension. (Appellant's Motion for Extension of Time to File Fast Track Statement, filed June 26, 2013). Appellant's fourth request asked for 7 days. (Appellant's Motion for Extension of Time to File Fast Track Statement, filed July 26, 2013). Appellant's fifth request asked for an indeterminate extension until such time as this Court ruled on Appellant's request for full briefing. (Appellant's Motion for Extension of Time Pending the Court's Decision on Motion for Full Briefing, filed August 5, 2013). This Court granted

Appellant's request for full briefing and gave Appellant an additional 30 day extension to file a full brief. (Order Granting Motion, filed August 9, 2013). Appellant then requested two short additional extensions. (Appellant's Motion for One Day Extension of Time to File Opening Brief, filed September 9, 2013; Appellant's Motion for One Day Extension of Time to File Opening Brief, filed September 11, 2013).

This Court may extend the time to file an Answering Brief upon a showing of good cause. NRAP 31(b)(3). The State requests this Court to hold briefing in abeyance until this Court rules on the Motion to Strike and for 30 days beyond that date because the disposition of the Motion to Strike will impact the content of Respondent's Answering Brief. The Motion to Strike asked this Court to determine whether it has jurisdiction to address the first two issue of Appellant's Opening Brief. (Motion to Strike Portions of Appellant's Opening Brief for Lack of Jurisdiction and Motion to Hold Briefing in Abeyance, filed September 23, 2013). In order to file an answering brief Respondent needs to know whether those issues should be addressed. Additionally, the disposition of the Motion to Strike will impact the depth of analysis as to the remaining issues due to the requirements of NRAP 32. Finally, Respondent has been working on the remaining issues presented in Appellant's Opening Brief. A first draft of Respondent's Answering Brief has been penned that addresses all issues except those that are the subject of

the Motion to Strike. Respondent is working on a second draft as it relates to the issues not subject to the Motion to Strike. Respondent respectfully requests this Court hold the briefing schedule in abeyance until it rules on the Motion to Strike and for an extension of time of thirty (30) days beyond that date to file its Answering Brief. Dated this 11th day of October, 2013. Respectfully submitted, STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar # 001565 BY /s/Jonathan E. VanBoskerck JONATHAN E. VANBOSKERCK Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #006528 Office of the Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2750

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify and affirm that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on 11th day of October, 2013. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO Nevada Attorney General

SHARON G. DICKSON Deputy Public Defender

JONATHAN E. VANBOSKERCK Chief Deputy District Attorney

BY /s/j. garcia Employee, District Attorney's Office

JEV/Andrea Hoeven/jg