IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 1 2 No. 62835 BENNETT GRIMES, 3 **Electronically Filed** 4 Aug 19 2013 12:30 p.m. Appellant, Tracie K. Lindeman 5 Clerk of Supreme Court V. 6 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 7 Respondent. 8 9 APPELLANT'S APPENDIX- VOLUME II – PAGES 250-500 10 11 STEVE WOLFSON PHILIP J. KOHN Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3rd Floor Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street 12 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 13 CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO Attorney for Appellant Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538 14 15 16 Counsel for Respondent 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

26

27

28

PAGE NO. Amended Criminal Complaint filed 08/25/11 004-006 Court Exhibit #6 dated 10/12/121054 Court Exhibit #9 dated 10/12/121063 Defendant's Exhibit A dated 10/11/12......1082 Defendant's Exhibit D dated 10/12/12.......1085 Defendants Motion To Dismiss For Failure To Gather Evidence Defendants Motion In Limine To Preclude Introduction Of Temporary Protective Order At Trial Ex Parte Motion For Release Of Medical Records

1 1		
$\frac{1}{2}$	Information filed 09/14/11	009-011
2	Instructions To The Jury filed 10/15/12	176-210
3	Judgment Of Conviction filed 02/21/13	224-225
4	Justice Court Minutes through 08/25/11	007-008
5	List of Court's Exhibits 10/10/12 through 10/15/12	1049
6	List of Defendant's Exhibits 10/11/12 through 10/12/12	1081
7	Motion For Discovery filed 05/25/12	105-120
8	Motion For New Trial filed 10/22/12	213-216
	Motion To Continue Trial Date filed 02/27/12	100-102
10	Motion To Continue Trial Date filed 06/12/12	137-139
11	Notice of Exhibits: Trial by Jury-10/10/12 through 10/15/12 filed 10/16/12	1048
12 13	Notice Of Appeal filed 03/18/13	226-229
14	Notice Of Change Of Hearing filed 09/14/11	012-013
15	Notice Of Expert Witnesses filed 01/31/12	078-085
16	Notice Of Intent To Seek Punishment As A Habitual Criminal Filed 10/23/12	221-223
17	Notice Of Witnesses filed 01/31/12	075-077
18	Order filed 10/14/11	061-062
19	Order Releasing Medical Records filed 02/09/12	088-089
20	Petition For Writ Of Habeas Corpus filed 10/12/11	056-060
21	Proposed Defendant's Exhibit E	1086
22	Return To Writ Of Habeas Corpus filed 10/26/11	068-074
23	Second Amended Information filed 10/25/11	065-067
24	Second Supplemental Notice Of Expert Witnesses Filed 05/29/12	103-104
25	State's Exhibit #17 dated 10/12/12	1068
26	State's Exhibit #19 dated 10/11/12	1069
27	State's Exhibit #31 dated 10/11/12	1070
28		

1	State's Exhibit #32 dated 10/11/1210)71
2	State's Exhibit #33 dated 10/11/1210)72
3	State's Exhibit #34 dated 10/12/1210	
4	State's Exhibit #35 dated 10/12/12)74
5	State's Exhibit #36 dated 10/12/1210)75
6	State's Exhibit #37 dated 10/12/1210)76
7	State's Exhibit #38 dated 10/12/1210)77
8	State's Exhibit #68 dated 10/12/1210)78
9	State's Exhibit #79 (CD))87
10	State's Exhibit #80 (CD)10	88(
11	State's Exhibit #81 dated 10/12/12	080
12	States Opposition To Defendants Motion For A New Trial Filed 11/05/12	220
13 14	States Opposition To Defendants Motion To Dismiss For Failure To Gather Evidence filed 07/18/12	.45
ا ہے،		
	States Response To Defendants Motion For Discovery Filed 06/05/12	30
16	States Response To Defendants Motion For Discovery Filed 06/05/12	
15 16 17 18	Filed 06/05/12)99
16 17	Filed 06/05/12)99 .54
16 17 18 19 20 21	Filed 06/05/12	.54 .63
116 117 118 119 120 221 221	Filed 06/05/12	54 63 67
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22	Filed 06/05/12	54 63 67 75
16 17 18 19 20 221 222 23 224 1	Filed 06/05/12	63 67 75
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Filed 06/05/12 121-1 Supplemental Notice Of Expert Witnesses Filed 02/22/12 090-0 Supplemental Notice Of Expert Witnesses Filed 09/19/12 146-1 Supplemental Notice Of Expert Witnesses Filed 09/19/12 155-1 Supplemental Notice Of Witnesses Filed 10/04/12 166-1 Third Amended Information filed 10/10/12 173-1 Verdict filed 10/15/12 211-2	63 67 75
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Filed 06/05/12	63 67 75
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Filed 06/05/12	63 67 75

TRANSCRIPTS

2	
3	Jury Trial- Day 1 Date of Trial: 10/10/12 326-550
4	Jury Trial- Day 2 Date of Trial: 10/11/12 551-762
5	Jury Trial- Day 3 Date of Trial: 10/12/12763-968
7	Jury Trial- Day 4 Date of Trial: 1015/12 969-1010
8	
9	Transcript of Proceedings, Recorders Transcript of Hearing RE: Arraignment Date of Hrg: 09/20/11
10	
11 12	Transcript of Proceedings, Recorders Transcript RE Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 10/02/12
13	Transcript of Proceedings, Transcript of Proceedings, Description For New Trial
14	Date of Hrg: 11/06/12
15 16	Transcript of Proceedings, Recorders Transcript RE: Defendants Motion To Continue Trial Date Date of Hrg: 03/20/12
17 18	Transcript of Proceedings, Recorders Transcript RE: Defendants Motion To Dismiss For Failure To Gather Evidence Date of Hrg: 07/19/12
19	Transcript of Proceedings, Recorders Transcript RE: Defendants Motion To Dismiss For Failure To Gather Evidence
20	Date of Hrg: 07/31/12254 250
	Transcript of Proceedings, Recorders Transcript RE: Defendants Motion To Dismiss For Failure To Gather Evidence Date of Hrg: 08/14/12297-307
22	
2324	Transcript of Proceedings, Recorders Transcript RE: Defendants Petition For Writ Of Habeas Corpus Date of Hrg: 11/03/11
25	W
26	Recorders Transcript RE: Status Check: The Defendants Motion To Dismiss For Failure To Gather Evidence Date of Hrg: 08/23/12
27	
28	

1	Transcript of Proceedings,
2	Reporters Transcript of Preliminary Hrg Date of Hrg: 08/25/11
3	Transcript of Proceedings, Rough Draft Recorders Transcript of Calendar Call
4	Date of Hrg: 06/12/12285-291
5	Transcript of Proceedings, Rough Draft Recorders Transcript of Defendants Motion For Discovery
6	Rough Draft Recorders Transcript of Defendants Motion For Discovery Date of Hrg: 06/07/12
7	Transcript of Proceedings, Rough Draft Recorders Transcript of Defendants Motion To Dismiss For Failure To Gathe
8	Evidence Date of Hrg: 09/13/12
9	
10	Transcript of Proceedings, Rough Draft Recorders Transcript of Sentencing
11	Date of Hrg: 12/18/12
12	Transcript of Proceedings, Sentencing
13	Date of Hrg: 02/07/13
14	Transcript of Proceedings, Sentencing
15	Date of Hrg: 02/12/13
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	11

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

October 10, 2012

C-11-276163-1

State of Nevada

Bennett Grimes

October 10, 2012

10:30 AM

All Pending Motions

HEARD BY:

Leavitt, Michelle

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14D

COURT CLERK: Susan Jovanovich

RECORDER:

Kerry Esparza

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Botelho, Agnes M. Burns, J Patrick Grimes, Bennett Hillman, Ralph R. Hojjat, Nadia Public Defender State of Nevada

Attorney

Attorney Defendant Attorney Attorney Attorney Plaintiff

IOURNAL ENTRIES

- DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE INTRODUCTION OF TEMPORARY PROTECTIVE ORDER AT TRIAL...JURY TRIAL

OUTSIDE PRESENCE OF JURY: Ms. Botelho advised State has not filed an Opposition to Deft's Motion, further noting she spoke with Mr. Hillman during file review, and State is in agreement to not bring in underlined facts of the temporary restraining order (TPO). Ms. Hojjat argued in support of Motion, stating defense is not seeking to have the Jury hear about the TPO, as it is prejudicial. Following additional arguments, Court stated it is just an enhancement. Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Botelho advised Deft. and alleged victim were married, but separated at time of alleged incident. Further arguments. Ms. Hojjat argued there is a dispute on facts of what Deft's intension was, while at the apartment. COURT ORDERED, Motion DENIED. Both parties stipulated that the facts that led up to the TPO will not be allowed to be presented to the Jury.

SO NOTED.

PRINT DATE:

03/20/2013

Page 21 of 35

Minutes Date:

September 20, 2011

PROSPECTIVE JURY PANEL PRESENT: Introductory statements by Court and by Counsel. Clerk called roll. Prospective Jury Panel sworn. Voir Dire commenced.

Lunch recess.

OUTSIDE PRESENCE OF PROSPECTIVE JURY PANEL: Court admonished Deft. to stand when jury members appear in the Courtroom. Mr. Hillman requested Court to remind the Jury members that trial attorneys are not permitted to speak to the members of the jury venire, during trial, as one of the Jury members was ignored by defense counsel, during the lunch break, while waiting for the elevators. Mr. Hillman stated that nothing happened, and he just wanted to make the Court aware. SO NOTED. Court stated it will be sure to remind the Jury members.

PROSPECTIVE JURY PANEL PRESENT: Voir dire continues. CONFERENCE AT BENCH. During the conference, State made a Batson challenge. Further discussions at the Bench. JURY SELECTED. Court thanked and excused the remaining jury panel members. Court instructed the Jury. Clerk read the Third Amended Information. Further instructions were given by the Court.

OUTSIDE PRESENCE OF JURY: Court stated it amended the Third Amended Information, to reflect Steven B. Wolfson as the District Attorney, and not David Roger. Parties acknowledged and agreed. Court admonished Deft. to cooperate with directives from Court Services, and to not give Court Services staff members a hard time, due to not wanting to get up early in the mornings. Court stated it received notification from the jail, that Deft. complained about not wanting to get up early in the mornings for transport. Deft. acknowledged.

Evening recess. TRIAL CONTINUES.

CUSTODY

10/11/12 10:30 A.M. TRIAL BY JURY

PRINT DATE:

03/20/2013

Page 22 of 35

Minutes Date:

September 20, 2011

October 11, 2012 **COURT MINUTES** Felony/Gross Misdemeanor State of Nevada C-11-276163-1 **Bennett Grimes**

October 11, 2012

10:30 AM

Jury Trial

Leavitt, Michelle **HEARD BY:**

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14D

COURT CLERK: Susan Jovanovich

RECORDER:

Kerry Esparza

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Botelho, Agnes M. Burns, J Patrick Grimes, Bennett Hillman, Ralph R. Hojjat, Nadia Public Defender State of Nevada

JOURNAL ENTRIES

Attorney

Attorney

Defendant

Attorney

Attorney

Attorney

Plaintiff

- OUTSIDE PRESENCE OF JURY: Ms. Botelho placed a stipulation on the record, stating both parties agreed to not have bad acts presented in this case, without having a Petrocelli hearing, and no details of the TPO will be discussed during trial. SO NOTED.

JURY PRESENT: Opening statements by Ms. Botelho and Ms. Hojjat. Testimony and Exhibits presented (See Worksheets.).

OUTSIDE PRESENCE OF JURY: Colloquy regarding witness line up. Upon Court's inquiry, Mr. Hillman advised he will speak with Deft. further regarding the case, including his rights to testify, and this Court may canvass the Deft. tomorrow morning on those rights. SO NOTED. Ms. Botelho requested a Hernandez hearing. Following colloquy, Mr. Hillman provided stipulations made by parties regarding specific witnesses to not be called to testify, including the witness who took the DNA sample from Deft, through search warrant, as State will make representations to the Jury that a September 20, 2011 Page 23 of 35 Minutes Date:

PRINT DATE:

03/20/2013

C-11-276163-1

DNA sample was taken in this case. SO NOTED. Deft. concurred on the stipulations.

Evening recess. TRIAL CONTINUES.

CUSTODY

10/12/12 8:15 A.M. TRIAL BY JURY

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

October 12, 2012

C-11-276163-1

State of Nevada

Bennett Grimes

October 12, 2012

8:15 AM

Jury Trial

HEARD BY:

Leavitt, Michelle

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14D

COURT CLERK: Carol Foley

RECORDER: Kerry Esparza

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Botelho, Agnes M. Burns, J Patrick Grimes, Bennett Hillman, Ralph R. Hojjat, Nadia Public Defender State of Nevada

Attorney

Attorney Defendant Attorney Attorney Attorney

Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- JURY PRESENT: Testimony and exhibits presented (see worksheets).

OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY: Witness excused from courtroom. Defense counsel summarized objection at conference at the bench regarding testimony of crime scene analyst regarding nature of wounds and notice objection. Arguments by counsel. Court noted it believes the testimony already came in from doctor at UMC. Discussion regarding notice. Court stated its findings and ORDERED, objection sustained. Jury will be told to disregard last question about defensive wounds and any response from the witness.

JURY PRESENT: Court instructed the jury to disregard last question and any response. Further testimony and exhibits.

Lunch recess.

PRINT DATE:

03/20/2013

Page 25 of 35

Minutes Date:

September 20, 2011

C-11-276163-1

Court and counsel met in chambers to discuss jury instructions.

OUTSIDE THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY: Court informed Deft. of his right not to testify.

Mr. Hillman noted when Court and counsel were reviewing jury instructions and came across self-defense proposed instruction Court indicated there was no evidence shown of that. Court asked Mr. Hillman to put on the record theory of how Ms. Grimes received wounds. Mr. Hillman and Ms. Hojjat advised what the argument would be. Court stated its findings regarding state of the record and advised it is not going to give self-defense instruction.

Court and counsel met in chambers to continue discussing jury instructions.

Jury released for the weekend, to return Monday, 10/15 at 10:30 a.m.

OUTSIDE PRESENCE OF JURY: Jury instructions settled on the record.

CUSTODY

CONTINUED TO: 10/15/12 10:30 AM

October 15, 2012 **COURT MINUTES** Felony/Gross Misdemeanor State of Nevada C-11-276163-1 Bennett Grimes

October 15, 2012

10:30 AM

Jury Trial

HEARD BY: Leavitt, Michelle

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14D

COURT CLERK: Susan Jovanovich

RECORDER:

Kerry Esparza

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Attorney Botelho, Agnes M. Attorney Burns, J Patrick Defendant Grimes, Bennett Attorney Hillman, Ralph R. Attorney Hojjat, Nadia Attorney Public Defender Plaintiff State of Nevada

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- JURY PRESENT: State and defense rested. Instructions To The Jury FILED IN OPEN COURT. Court instructed Jury on the law. Closing arguments by Mr. Burns, Mr. Hillman, and by Ms. Botelho. Court Marshal and Matron sworn by Clerk, and took charge of Jury. Alternate identified, and instructed by Court. At the hour of 11:52 a.m., Jury retired to deliberate.

JURY DELIBERATING.

2:55 P.M.--JURY PRESENT: Court reconvened with all parties present from before. JURY RETURNED VERDICTS as follows: COUNT 1 - GUILTY OF ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON IN VIOLATION OF A TEMPORARY PROTECTIVE ORDER (F); COUNT 2 -GUILTY OF BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON IN VIOLATION OF A TEMPORARY PROTECTIVE ORDER (F); AND, COUNT 3 - GUILTY OF BATTERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON CONSTITUTING DOMESTIC VIOLENCE RESULTING IN SUBSTANTIAL September 20, 2011

PRINT DATE:

03/20/2013

Page 27 of 35

Minutes Date:

C-11-276163-1

BODILY HARM IN VIOLATION OF A TEMPORARY PROTECTIVE ORDER (F).

Jury polled at request of Mr. Hillman. Court thanked and excused the Jury from trial proceedings.

OUTSIDE PRESENCE OF JURY: COURT ORDERED, current bail setting REVOKED; Deft. REMANDED into CUSTODY WITHOUT BAIL. FURTHER, matter REFERRED to Division of Parole and Probation (P&P), and SET for Sentencing. Court advised parties Juror Foreperson submitted a note to the Court Marshal for the Court, during deliberations. Court stated it did not respond to the note, as the Jury needed to review their Instructions on finding the answer, during their deliberations. Parties acknowledged, and made no objections. Court read the note as follows: "Does criminal intent have to be established before entering the structure, or can intent change during the chain of events, for the charge of burglary?" Court Exhibit 13 MARKED AND ADMITTED. Court adjourned.

TRIAL ENDS.

CUSTODY

12/18/12 8:30 A.M. SENTENCING (JURY VERDICT)

PRINT DATE:

03/20/2013

Page 28 of 35

Minutes Date:

September 20, 2011

Felony/Gross Mis	demeanor COURT	MINUTES	N	ovember 06, 2012	
C-11-276163-1	State of Nevada		+ .		
	vs Bennett Grimes				

November 06, 2012

8:30 AM

Motion for New Trial

HEARD BY: Leavitt, Michelle

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14D

COURT CLERK: Susan Jovanovich

RECORDER:

Kerry Esparza

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

Cooper, Jonathan Grimes, Bennett Hillman, Ralph R. Public Defender State of Nevada

Attorney Defendant Attorney Attorney Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Matter submitted on the pleadings. COURT ORDERED, Motion DENIED. State to prepare the order.

CUSTODY

PRINT DATE:

03/20/2013

Page 29 of 35

Minutes Date:

September 20, 2011

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

December 18, 2012

C-11-276163-1

State of Nevada

Bennett Grimes

December 18, 2012

8:30 AM

Sentencing

HEARD BY:

Barker, David

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14D

COURT CLERK: Susan Jovanovich

RECORDER:

Kerry Esparza

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Botelho, Agnes M.

Diefenbach, Lauren R.

Grimes, Bennett Public Defender

State of Nevada

Trippiedi, Hagar

Deputy District Attorney

Deputy Public Defender

Defendant

Plaintiff

Deputy District Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

Ms. Botelho not present. Ms. Diefenbach requested a continuance, further noting this case is assigned to Mr. Hillman and Ms. Hojjat, who handled trial proceedings. Following colloquy, Court TRAILED and RECALLED matter for all parties to appear. Ms. Botelho now present. Statements by counsel. At request of State, COURT ORDERED, victim impact statements will be given today. COURT FURTHER ORDERED, Deft's sentencing will be continued at a later date, for Judge Leavitt to handle the proceedings, as she heard the entire trial, and she knows the factual basis of the case. Court NOTED, Judge Leavitt can review the victim impact statements on JAVS video, prior to sentencing Deft. Earl Newman provided sworn statements. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED.

CUSTODY

2/07/13 8:30 A.M. SENTENCING (JURY VERDICT)

PRINT DATE:

12/18/2012

Page 1 of 2

Minutes Date:

December 18, 2012

PRINT DATE: 12/18/2012

Page 2 of 2

Minutes Date:

December 18, 2012

February 07, 2013 COURT MINUTES Felony/Gross Misdemeanor State of Nevada C-11-276163-1 Bennett Grimes

February 07, 2013

8:30 AM

Sentencing

HEARD BY: Leavitt, Michelle

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14D

COURT CLERK: Susan Jovanovich

RECORDER:

Kerry Esparza

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Botelho, Agnes M. Burns, J. Patrick Grimes, Bennett Hojjat, Nadia Public Defender State of Nevada

Deputy District Attorney Deputy District Attorney

Defendant

Deputy Public Defender

Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

Ms. Hojjat advised the Pre Sentence Investigation (PSI) report indicates P&P is recommending that Deft. receive large habitual treatment, and the PSI is incorrect, as Deft. is not qualified for large habitual treatment. Ms. Botelho responded State is only seeking small habitual criminal treatment. Deft. provided a written statement to the Court, for review, and inquired if the proposition 36 halfway house program is available for him. Following colloquy between Court and Deft, Court stated it will not impose the program in this case. DEFT. GRIMES ADJUDGED GUILTY of COUNT 1 - ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON IN VIOLATION OF A TEMPORARY PROTECTIVE ORDER (F); COUNT 2 - BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON IN VIOLATION OF A TEMPORARY PROTECTIVE ORDER (F); and COUNT 3 -BATTERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON CONSTITUTING DOMESTIC VIOLENCE RESULTING IN SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM IN VIOLATION OF A TEMPORARY PROTECTIVE ORDER (F). Ms. Botelho argued as to imposition of sentence, and requested small habitual criminal treatment be imposed, for use of deadly weapon enhancement, on Counts 2 and 3. State's Exhibits presented (See Worksheets.). Ms. Hojjat made no objections to the Exhibits. Court February 07, 2013 Minutes Date: Page 1 of 2 02/07/2013 PRINT DATE:

C-11-276163-1

reviewed Deft's written statements. Ms. Hojjat objected to adjudication of Count 3, based on trial proceedings. Ms. Botelho argued in support of adjudication; and provided case law from Jackson vs. State, to the Court. Upon review, Court stated it needs more time to finish reviewing the supporting case law, before making a decision. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED. Court provided a copy of the case law to Ms. Hojjat. CASE RECALLED. Ms. Hojjat requested to a transcript from trial, to verify if a record was made on dismissal of Count 3, as State may have agreed to it. Court advised counsel to request a CD recording of the trial from the Recorder, and listen to the trial proceedings, to verify.

CUSTODY

2/12/13 8:30 A.M. SENTENCING

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

COURT MINUTES

February 12, 2013

C-11-276163-1

State of Nevada

Bennett Grimes

February 12, 2013

8:30 AM

Sentencing

HEARD BY:

Leavitt, Michelle

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 14D

COURT CLERK: Susan Jovanovich

RECORDER:

Kerry Esparza

REPORTER:

PARTIES

PRESENT:

Botelho, Agnes M.

Burns, J. Patrick

Grimes, Bennett

Hillman, Ralph R. Public Defender

State of Nevada

Deputy District Attorney

Deputy District Attorney

Defendant

Deputy Public Defender

Plaintiff

IOURNAL ENTRIES

Colloquy regarding case law from the Jackson matter, and discussions made during settlement of jury instructions at time of trial, on Count 3 merging. Arguments by counsel regarding law from various cases, including Strickland and Morales. Mr. Burns further argued quantum of punishment has not changed. Following further colloquy, Court stated it needs to sentence Deft. today, prior to any additional claims on appeal being filed with the Nevada Supreme Court. DEFT. GRIMES ADJUDGED GUILTY of COUNT 1 - ATTEMPT MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON IN VIOLATION OF A TEMPORARY PROTECTIVE ORDER (F); COUNT 2 - BURGLARY WHILE IN POSSESSION OF A DEADLY WEAPON IN VIOLATION OF A TEMPORARY PROTECTIVE ORDER (F); and COUNT 3 - BATTERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON CONSTITUTING DOMESTIC VIOLENCE RESULTING IN SUBSTANTIAL BODILY HARM IN VIOLATION OF A TEMPORARY PROTECTIVE ORDER (F). Arguments by State. Statements by Deft. Arguments by counsel. COURT ORDERED, in addition to the \$25.00 Administrative Assessment fee, and \$150.00 DNA Analysis fee including testing to determine genetic markers, Deft. SENTENCED on COUNT 1 - to a MINIMUM of EIGHT (8) YEARS and a MAXIMUM of TWENTY (20) YEARS in the Nevada Minutes Date:

PRINT DATE:

02/12/2013

Page 1 of 2

February 12, 2013

C-11-276163-1

Department of Corrections (NDC), plus a CONSECUTIVE TERM of a MINIMUM of FIVE (5) YEARS and a MAXIMUM of FIFTEEN (15) YEARS in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC), for use of deadly weapon, and Court considered the factors outlined in NRS 193.165 Subsection 1; COUNT 2 - DEFT. SENTENCED UNDER THE SMALL HABITUAL CRIMINAL STATUTE to a MINIMUM of EIGHT (8) YEARS and a MAXIMUM of TWENTY (20) YEARS in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC), COUNT 2 to run CONCURRENT to COUNT 1; and COUNT 3 - DEFT. SENTENCED UNDER THE SMALL HABITUAL CRIMINAL STATUTE to a MINIMUM of EIGHT (8) YEARS and a MAXIMUM of TWENTY (20) YEARS in the Nevada Department of Corrections (NDC), COUNT 3 to run CONSECUTIVE to COUNT 2 and COUNT 1, with FIVE HUNDRED EIGHTY ONE (581) DAYS CREDIT FOR TIME SERVED. BOND, if any, EXONERATED.

NDC

PRINT DATE: 02/12/2013

Page 2 of 2

Minutes Date:

February 12, 2013

CLERK OF THE COURT

TRAN

2

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 ∦vs.

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

DEPT. XII

BENNETT GRIMES,

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JENNIFER TOGLIATTI, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 20, 2011

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING RE: ARRAIGNMENT

APPEARANCES:

For the State:

SHAWN MORGAN, ESQ., Deputy District Attorney

CASE NO. C-11-276163-1

(ARRAIGNMENT HELD IN DEPT. LLA)

For the Defendant:

R. ROGER HILLMAN, ESQ., Deputy Public Defender

RECORDED BY: KIARA SCHMIDT, COURT RECORDER

.1-

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 20, 2011

PROCEEDINGS

2

_

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: State versus Bennett Grimes, C276163-1.

MR. MORGAN: Good morning, Judge. Shawn Morgan for the State.

THE COURT: The record shall reflect the presence of the Defendant in

custody.

MR. HILLMAN: Roger Hillman, Public Defender's office for Mr. Grimes.

We're going to plead not guilty.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Grimes?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: You have a right to a trial within 60 days. Have you discussed this with your lawyer? It's called your speedy trial rights.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: And do you intend to waive or invoke your speedy trial rights?

THE DEFENDANT: Invoke.

THE COURT: Okay. The Defendant having invoked his speedy trial rights,

the matter will be set as close to the 60-day mark as the Court's schedule permits.

THE CLERK: Thank you. Your jury trial will be December the 13th at 1:30.

The calendar call, December the 6th at 8:30, in Department 12.

THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Grimes, you have previously been asked your full

true name? No? What's your full true name?

THE DEFENDANT: Bennett Goldeen [phonetic] Grimes.

THE COURT: And how old are you?

-2-

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT

Janquage?

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

THE DEFENDANT: I'm 32.

THE COURT: And how far did you go in school?

THE DEFENDANT: Completed the certified diploma. Received a diploma.

THE COURT: Okay. So do you read, write, and understand the English

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: You've been provided a copy of the Information that charges you in three counts with attempt murder with use of a deadly weapon in violation of a temporary protective order, burglary in violation of a temporary protective order, and battery with use of a deadly weapon constituting domestic violence resulting in substantial bodily harm in violation of a temporary protective order?

THE DEFENDANT: No, I haven't received that.

THE COURT: You remember your proceedings in Justice Court?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you remember those charges from Justice Court?

THE DEFENDANT: Actually they added more charges that day, that last day, so this would probably be new, the first time I would get the updated paperwork.

THE COURT: Okay. What were the charges in Justice Court?

THE DEFENDANT: They weren't -- they didn't include the TPOs.

THE COURT: I'm sorry -

MR. HILLMAN: Right. They added the enhancement.

THE COURT: Okay. But the attempt murder, the burglary, and the battery with use of a deadly weapon are charges that you recall?

THE DEFENDANT: Domestic constituting -- yeah, yeah -- with a knife -- yeah.

1	THE COURT: Okay. So you did read that?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, I read that.
3	THE COURT: Okay. State, in addition to the temporary protective order
4	language, and I assume the date of the date of the protective order, what else
5	changed in the language?
6	MR. MORGAN: Judge, I added after the hearing, I added that the burglary,
7	count two, was while in possession of a firearm and the correct spelling of the
8	victim's name.
9	THE COURT: And the correct spelling of the alleged victim's name?
10	MR. MORGAN: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Okay. So, sir, you understand basically what the changes are
12	They changed the spelling of the alleged victim's name and so do you understand
13	the charges against you?
14	THE DEFENDANT: No. He just said something about a firearm.
15	MR. HILLMAN: There is no firearm. It was a knife.
16	MR. MORGAN: Oh, excuse me. While
17	THE COURT: Deadly weapon.
18	MR. MORGAN: While in possession of a deadly weapon.
19	THE COURT: Okay. So do you understand the charges against you?
20	THE DEFENDANT: Um it's
21	THE COURT: You're going to have a seat and I'll recall the case. Read it all
22	so that you're very comfortable.
23	THE DEFENDANT: No, I was going to ask you just one quick question.
24	THE COURT: What's your one quick question?
25	THE DEFENDANT: It said possession of a knife, but I didn't I didn't enter

11·

MR. MORGAN: Thank you, Judge.

(Whereupon, the proceedings concluded.)

ATTEST: Pursuant to Rule 3C(d) of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, I certify that this is a rough draft transcript, expeditiously prepared, not proofread, corrected, or certified to be an accurate transcript.

Kiara Schmidt, Court Recorder/Transcriber

-6-

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT

Electronically Filed 04/24/2013 10:43:32 AM

CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN

2

3

4 5

6

8

9

VS.

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

CASE #: C276163-1

DEPT. XII

BENNETT GRIMES,

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Defendant.

Plaintiff,

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHELLE LEAVITT, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 3, 2011

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT RE: DEFENDANT'S PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

APPEARANCES:

For the State:

SHAWN A. MORGAN, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant:

BENJAMIN R. SAXE, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender

RECORDED BY: KERRY ESPARZA, COURT RECORDER

THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 3, 2011 AT 8:45 A.M.

_

THE COURT: State of Nevada versus Grimes.

MR. MORGAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Case C276163. Mr. Grimes is present. He's in custody.

Okay. Mr. Saxe do you have -- it appears this motion is moot 'cause the second amended Information -- I don't think you filed this motion, I think Mr. Hillman did but you're standing in for him.

MR. SAXE: Correct.

THE COURT: It appears to be moot because it appears it was a clerical error and the State has filed a second amended Information and it says deadly weapon, it does not say firearm.

MR. SAXE: Correct.

THE COURT: So, it's moot, and it's off calendar.

MR. MORGAN: Yes Judge. Shawn Morgan for the State. In speaking with Mr. Saxe, this is Mr. Hillman's case who's not going to be back from medical leave --

THE COURT: Oh, yeah.

MR. MORGAN: -- in December; so at this time, I would ask the trial date be vacated and I spoke to your --

THE COURT: He invoked.

MR. MORGAN: -- I spoke to your Clerk about a March 27th date.

MR. SAXE: Right, and he knows about the December situation. And I think that at this point Judge, a resetting in March, I think that Mr. Hillman and Mr. Morgan are going to get together hopefully, perhaps to negotiate it in the meantime.

THE COURT: Okay. You'll waive your right to be brought to trial within 60

days Mr. Grimes? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Okay, having waived that right, it will be reset for trial in March. THE COURT CLERK: Calendar Call March 20th at 8:30. Jury Trial March 27th at 1:30. MR. MORGAN: Thank you Judge. THE COURT: Thank you. [Proceedings concluded at 8:46 a.m.] ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings with the sound recording in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability. Court Recorder/Transcriber

Alun J. Lehum

RTRAN

CLERK OF THE COURT

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

vs.

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

CASE#: C276163-1

DEPT. XII

}

BENNETT GRIMES,

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Defendant.

Plaintiff,

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHELLE LEAVITT, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

TUESDAY, MARCH 20, 2012

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT RE:
DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL DATE

APPEARANCES:

For the State:

MARC M. SCHIFALACQUA, ESQ.

Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant:

LAUREN R. DIEFENBACH, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender

RECORDED BY: KERRY ESPARZA, COURT RECORDER

TUESDAY, MARCH 20, 2012 AT 9:14 A.M.

7

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: State of Nevada versus Bennett Grimes, C276163. Present, in custody. It's on for calendar call.

MR. IMLAY: That's Ms. Diefenbach, Your Honor.

MS. DIEFENBACH: Sorry.

THE COURT: Page 2, and it appears to be Mr. Hillman's case with the same issue.

MR. SCHIFALACQUA: This is the one you were going to continue.

MS. DIEFENBACH: Yes.

Your Honor, we -- Shawn Morgan, the District Attorney, was in earlier and we chose a date. I believe the State has no opposition --

MR: SCHIFALACQUA: That's right.

MS. DIEFENBACH: -- to our motion to continue.

THE COURT: So the motion to continue is granted. Has he invoked? No, he waived. He waived the 60 day rule. So the trial date will be vacated. Motion to continue is granted and will be reset.

MS. DIEFENBACH: And the new dates that we all chose, including your clerk of course, was June 12th for calendar call and June 19th for jury trial, if that's still fine with the Court?

THE COURT: That's fine.

MS. DIEFENBACH: Excellent. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

[Proceedings concluded at 9:15 a.m.]

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings with the sound recording in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

THÉRESA SANCHEZ
Court Recorder/Transcriber

RTRAN CLERK OF THE COURT 2 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 3 4 THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE NO. C276163 5 DEPT. NO. XII Plaintiff, 6 VS. 7 BENNETT GRIMES, 8 Defendant. 9 10 BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHELLE LEAVITT, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 11 THURSDAY, JUNE 7, 2012 12 13 ROUGH DRAFT RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF 14 DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR DISCOVERY 15 16 17 18 19 20 APPEARANCES: AGNES M. BOTELHO 21 For the State: Deputy District Attorney 22 R. ROGER HILLMAN For the Defendant: 23 NADIA HOJJAT Deputies Public Defender 24 RECORDED BY: KERRY ESPARZA, COURT RECORDER 25

Rough Draft - Page 1

MS. HOJJAT: Based on the State's response, we'll agree.

THE COURT: Okay. Number four, the State says they are not aware of any of this evidence; however, if they become of aware of it, they will provide it to you; and so that is granted as well.

MS. HOJJAT: Thank you.

THE COURT: Number five, the State, again, is not aware of any their witnesses that are informants; however, I'm assuming if the State does become aware of that, they'll provide it; is that correct?

MS. BOTELHO: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So that is granted. Number six, again, I would grant this normally. Again, the State says this doesn't exist. But to the extent that it exists, it's granted. Number seven, the State indicates that there is none known. This is a interesting request, I haven't seen this one before. But to the extent that it would exist, it is granted.

MS. HOJJAT: Thank you.

THE COURT: Number eight, the Court is going to grant this as to prior felonies or crimes that involved honesty, truthfulness, or untruthfulness; is there anything that you want to add?

MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I don't think you're entitled to the juvenile records because they're sealed.

MS. HOJJAT: Your Honor --

THE COURT: You're not entitled misdemeanors unless it goes to truthfulness or untruthfulness, but go ahead.

MS. HOJJAT: If I may, Your Honor, the reason we're requesting the full

N.C.I.C. record is pursuant to the *Brady* line, *Brady*, *Kyles*, *Giglio*, the information doesn't necessarily have to be admissible at trial for us to be entitled to it. For example, for the reason that if, for example, the alleged victim gets up there and says, I've never hit anybody before and she has a misdemeanor battery charge, then that does suddenly become admissible even though prior to trial misdemeanor battery would not be admissible and it's not a crime of honesty or dishonesty.

THE COURT: Not necessary -- not necessarily, you'd have to live with her answer. If she said, I've never hit anybody, and you said, Have you ever been convicted of a misdemeanor battery, and she said, No, you'd be stuck with the answer no. You wouldn't be able to impeach her with her criminal record because you can't bring in extrinsic evidence.

MS. HOJJAT: And, Your Honor, at that point we would argue that it goes to bias. And pursuant to *Lobato*, bias is always not a collateral matter, it is a matter that we can impeach on. But so we would, we're not asking for -- we're basically just asking for the State to run an N.C.I.C., which we don't have access to, and to provide us with the results of that. And we think we're entitled to under *Brady*, *Kyles*, *Giglio* and their progeny.

THE COURT: I'm going to grant it and order that the State turn over any prior felonies or crimes that go to truthfulness or untruthfulness only.

MS. BOTELHO: Yes, Your Honor, thank you.

THE COURT: The rest of it is denied. Number nine, the State indicates that there is none. However, if any -- I mean, there is none now, none are going to come to light later, correct?

MS. BOTELHO: I'm not aware of any that should be coming in, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Number 10 is granted to the extent that it exists. And of

22

23

24

25

course, number 11 you're entitled to all statements made by any of the witnesses in this case, so that's granted.

MS. HOJJAT: Thank you. And Your Honor, on that one --

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MS. HOJJAT: -- I'm sorry, specifically what you're requesting on number 11 is that it's come to our attention through the preliminary hearing that the victim, in the seconds before this incident occurred, was texting people, and we believe that goes to her state of mind, that those texts could reveal what she was thinking, what she was planning on doing, and we believe -- we request that the State provide us with those text messages.

MS. BOTELHO: And, Your Honor --

THE COURT: The victim was texting prior to --

MS. HOJJAT: In the seconds before this incident happened, she specifically said that she was sending out texts to people.

THE COURT: The victim?

MS. HOJJAT: Yes.

THE COURT: Who was the victim of -- who was the alleged victim of attempt murder?

MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And domestic violence and all that, her state of mind?

MS. HOJJAT: Your Honor, there is some question as to who the initial aggressor was in this case.

MS. BOTELHO: And Your Honor --

THE COURT: You mean, like, if she's texting, I'm going to get the defendant, that type of thing?

MS. HOJJAT: Possibly, we don't know what those texts say.

MS. BOTELHO: And, Your Honor, I'm appearing on behalf of Mr. Morgan who is the lead counsel on this particular case. I'm not aware of any subpoenas that we have issued for the victim's records, particularly the victim's text messages. If the defense finds it relevant and, you know, something they would like to present in their case in chief or something that they would like to have for impeachment or whatever else, whatever purpose they see fit, I would ask that the defense issue a subpoena and, you know, obtain that information.

MS. HOJJAT: And, Your Honor, it's our --

THE COURT: It's really hard to get because do you know that's been interpreted as a phone call? Text messages have been interpreted as phone calls. It's like intercepting a phone call. And I don't know how long text messages are kept. Are you suggesting that the State's supposed to somehow go to a carrier of the victim and get those text messages?

MS. HOJJAT: And, Your Honor, given that these could be inconsistent statements by the victim, it's our position that pursuant to *Brady, Kyles, Giglio*, we were -- the State should have done that immediately when it became known that --

THE COURT: The State --

MS. HOJJAT: — as soon as she mentioned that she was sending texts and that if they didn't and they're now lost, that would be a failure to collect.

MS. BOTELHO: Your Honor, if we had not subpoenaed them, that means we did not see any exculpatory or nature in the text messages.

THE COURT: Well, they're not -- they're not in your possession either.

MS. BOTELHO: And it's not in our possession, it's not something that we sought. If it's important to the defendant's case and the presentation of his case, we

would suggest that -- that they issue a subpoena. They would have to go through the same channels that the State would have to go to obtain that particular information.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HOJJAT: But, Your Honor, pursuant to federal law, that State has an obligation to seek out, it's not just to turn over inconsistent statements that are in their possession, they have an obligation to seek out this information. And when it's been revealed 14 days after the incident happened that this information existed, they had an obligation to seek it out. If it's their position that it's no longer available, then that was a failure to collect.

THE COURT: I don't know if that's their position or not.

MS. HOJJAT: If it is still available, they have -- if it's not their position, then they have an obligation to seek it out and provide it to us if it's inconsistent.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to deny the request to have the State somehow subpoena the text messages, but the defense is free to attempt to get them. Number 12, that is granted. Number 13, that's granted to the extent it's required by statute only. Number 14 --

MS. HOJJAT: And number 14, given the State's response, we would not provide that information to the defendant, it would just be for our own investigative purposes for trial.

THE COURT: Okay. I've had this issue before, they can give all updated information except as to the victim. And if you want to speak to the victim, and, I mean, I've had this come up numerous times, we can set a date, time, and place where the victim will become available if — is the victim he or she?

MS. BOTELHO: She.

RTRAN CLERK OF THE COURT 2 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 3 4 THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE NO. C276163 5 DEPT. NO. XII Plaintiff, 6 VS. 7 BENNETT GRIMES, 8 Defendant. 9 10 BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHELLE LEAVITT, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 11 TUESDAY, JUNE 12, 2012 12 13 ROUGH DRAFT RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF CALENDAR CALL 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 APPEARANCES: 21 SHAWN A. MORGAN For the State: **Deputy District Attorney** 22 23 R. ROGER HILLMAN For the Defendant: Deputy Public Defender 24 RECORDED BY: KERRY ESPARZA, COURT RECORDER 25

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, JUNE 12, 2012, 9:20 A.M.

THE COURT: C276163 He's present, he's in custody, it's on for calendar call. Okay, it's my understanding Mr. Hillman's going to ask for a continuance in open court.

MR. HILLMAN: I have a written motion. Thank you. And we sent a copy to your J.E.A. yesterday, Judge, and the State.

THE COURT: What's the State's position?

MR. MORGAN: Judge, the State would ask counsel to provide a specific and articulate reason for the need for the continuance. I think that just using --

THE COURT: More than his client wouldn't talk to him?

MR. MORGAN: Well, I — he said that that was repaired, and really the issue that I read was outstanding discovery issues that need to be finalized and minor investigation to be completed. I just think that using the buzz word that they would be ineffective isn't a basis, shouldn't be a basis for a continuance without some specific reason.

Obviously, the State's position is at some point the victim needs closure in this case, Judge. She was stabbed 17 times in the face and chest and every time she looks in the mirror, she thinks of the victim -- of the defendant. And not only that, but she has to have the fear of this case hanging over her head every single day and, you know, continuance after continuance, I never opposed Mr. Hillman's prior continuances, but at some point --

THE COURT: How many times has it been continued?

MR. MORGAN: Three or four, I believe.

THE COURT: Okay, then you're going to probably have to give me -- he's talking to you, right?

THE COURT: With you?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HILLMAN: With me -- in her --

THE COURT: Okay, and I'm telling you, if you can't get along with Mr. Hillman, I mean --

MR. HILLMAN: Judge, could I interrupt for one second?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. HILLMAN: And the problem that we have -- I came to understand some things about Mr. Grimes at that point in time. He just really doesn't understand what we're doing here. We finally got down to some very basic facts, he gave me some information --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HILLMAN: -- that we need to follow up on, that we have not followed up, we're not going to be able to follow up on before next week.

THE COURT: Tell me what they are.

MR. HILLMAN: It has to do with medical investigation regarding a medical condition he has that may or may not come into play in our defense. Those records are down in Riverside, California.

THE COURT: What would they be relevant to?

MR. HILLMAN: They'd be relevant to his physical health.

THE COURT: To intent?

MR. HILLMAN: And to a potential -- a potential self-defense scenario in this

particular case. I've talked with his --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HILLMAN: — subsequently, I've talked to his parents, if we cannot find the doctor that treated him, we may be able to find some other people who were aware that he was in a wheelchair for six months, that he is considered disabled, and that he was shot, which has an effect upon his mental bearing and an effect as to what happened in this particular case.

There's also the issue of the -- the text messages that was brought up last week in the discovery motion, we'd still like to see if we can get those. In talking to our investigators, it's not going to be something that can be done in a couple of weeks. It generally takes, I believe they told me six weeks or so to get. And I understand that State's --

THE COURT: But you think this --

MR. HILLMAN: -- point of view, and I wouldn't -- and I understand the Court's point of view about continuances, we've had several continuances, part of that time when there was a continuance, I was out of the office and was unable to be in contact with Mr. Grimes.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. HILLMAN: And that's hindered our ability to get ready. But the bottom line is is we kind of had a meeting of the minds, finally, between Mr. Grimes and I, and I now understand why he has had the attitude that he's had. He's not disrespectful of me, he's just more frustrated than anything else. And he and I were — were like this for most of this case. We've worked through that now and we now — I now understand what I need to do to adequately prepare for this case. And there are a few things left to do.

THE COURT: Okay. MR. MORGAN: I think in --THE COURT: But it goes to a self-defense issue?

MR. HILLMAN: We don't know if we're --

THE COURT: Potentially?

MR. HILLMAN: -- going to present a self-defense issue or not, but it's something that we do need to prepare for.

MR. MORGAN: I mean, obviously, this isn't -- there's not going to be a penalty phase, so there's no mitigation evidence or --

MR. MORGAN: -- that Mr. Hillman described --

THE COURT: That's why I asked him specifically what's it relevant for and he told me for a potential self-defense. Because I agree, I mean, that stuff sounds like

MR. MORGAN: That's the State's position on that. As to the text messages, obviously, I mean, I'm sure this Court's well aware, I think the likelihood of having the substance of text messages going on a year ago is pretty much nonexistent, the likelihood of there being substance of text messages.

THE COURT: That's what I think, but --

MR. MORGAN: So I think that that's just a waste of time, personally. And the State would still object to the continuance.

MR. HILLMAN: And I had always hoped that we'd be able to eventually work this case out. But there's never been an offer made by the State either on this.

MR. MORGAN: And the State --

THE DEFENDANT: Correct.

23

24

25

suffer that.

Rough Draft - Page 6

mean I'm going to give you a new lawyer; do you understand that? You'll have to

Rough Draft - Page 7

Alun & Chum

RTRAN CLERK OF THE COURT 2 3 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 5 6 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 7 CASE #: C276163-1 Plaintiff, 8 DEPT. XII VS. 10 BENNETT GRIMES, 11 Defendant. 12 BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHELLE LEAVITT, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 13 14 THURSDAY, JULY 19, 2012 15 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT RE: 16 DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO GATHER EVIDENCE 17 18 APPEARANCES: 19 20 AGNES M. BOTELHO, ESQ. For the State: **Deputy District Attorney** 21 R. ROGER HILLMAN, ESQ. 22 For the Defendant: Deputy Public Defender 23 24 RECORDED BY: KERRY ESPARZA, COURT RECORDER 25

APPLIAZIONAL DERECT DE COLUMNIZACION CONTRA DE LA COLUMNIZACIONE DE LA C

THURSDAY, JULY 19, 2012 AT 8:47 A.M.

1	THORSDAT, 30LT 13, 2012 AT 3.17 J. M.M.
2	THE COURT: State versus Bennett Grimes, C276163.
3	MR. HILLMAN: This is date set for argument. He's present, in custody.
4	THE COURT: It's my understanding you want an opportunity to file a reply?
5	MR. HILLMAN: Yes
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	MR. HILLMAN: or at least review their opposition, which we received
8	yesterday.
9	THE COURT: Okay, a week?
10	MR. HILLMAN: Whatever the Court's calendar will bear. We would like it on
11	a Tuesday if possible.
12	THE COURT: You would like it on a Tuesday.
13	MR. HILLMAN: Yeah, Ms. Hojjat is going to argue this, has preliminary
14	hearings on Thursdays.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	THE COURT CLERK: July 31, 8:30.
17	MR. HILLMAN: Thank you.
18	[Proceedings concluded at 8:47 a.m.]
19	***
20 21	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings with the sound recording in the above-entitled case to the
22	host of my shility
23	Therese Sanckey
24	THÉRESA SANCHEZ Court Recorder/Transcriber
	11

CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN

2

3

5

6

7

8

9 |

٧S.

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

CASE #: C276163-1

DEPT. XII

UAGE #. 0210100 .

BENNETT GRIMES,

Plaintiff.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHELLE LEAVITT, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

TUESDAY, JULY 31, 2012

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT RE:
DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO GATHER EVIDENCE

APPEARANCES:

For the State:

SHAWN A. MORGAN, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant:

LAUREN R. DIEFENBACH, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender

RÉCORDED BY: KERRY ESPARZA, COURT RECORDER

1	TUESDAY, JULY 31, 2012 AT 5.007 MM	
2	THE COURT: State versus Bennett Grimes, C276163.	
3	MS. DIEFENBACH: Your Honor this is on for a motion and I believe	
4	THE COURT: He's present. He's in custody.	
5	MS. DIEFENBACH: that it was Mr. Hillman's case but his co-counsel,	
6	Nadia Hojjat, is supposed to be here to argue it and I don't see her yet. If we can	
7	trail that she should be here.	
8	THE COURT: Sure.	
9	(Matter trailed & recalled, 11:42 a.m.)	İ
10	THE COURT: State versus Bennett Grimes, C276163. He's present. He's ir	1
11	custody.	
12	MR. MORGAN: Good morning. Shawn Morgan for the State. In speaking	
13	with Ms. Hojjat, the defense would like to have Mr. Hillman here to argue the motion	۱
14	and both	
15	THE COURT: Right. I was already informed of that.	
16	MR. MORGAN: okay. Parties are requesting next Tuesday if that's all right	۱t
17	with the Court?	
18	THE COURT: Okay. It's not going to be able to be. What was the date, and	
19	7 th , right?	
20	MS. DIEFENBACH: Is August / " doable?	
21	THE COURT: [Conferring with Clerk] Whatever that day that I just said, the	!
22	9 th . August 9 th .	

24

25

MS. DIEFENBACH: It will have to be August 9th. All right.

MR. MORGAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. DIEFENBACH: Thank you.

[Proceedings concluded at 11:42 a.m.]

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings with the sound recording in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

Court Recorder/Transcriber

	Alun b. Comm
1	RTRAN CLERK OF THE COURT
2	DISTRICT COURT
3	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
4	
5	THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE NO. C276163
6	Plaintiff, DEPT. NO. XII
7	VS.
8	BENNETT GRIMES,
9	Defendant.
10	THE POLICE HANDON
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHELLE LEAVITT, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
12	TUESDAY, AUGUST 14, 2012
13	ROUGH DRAFT
14	RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO GATHER EVIDENCE
15	DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FALSING FOR STATES
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	APPEARANCES:
21	For the State: AGNES M. BOTELHO SHAWN A. MORGAN
22	Deputies District Attorney
23	NADIA HOJJAT
24	Denuties Public Defender
25	
۷.	RECORDED BT. REIKIN ESTABLES
	Describ Death Dags 1
	Rough Draft - Page 1

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, AUGUST 14, 2012, 9:50 A.M.

THE COURT: State of Nevada versus Bennett Grimes, C276163. He's present. He is in custody. It's on for defendant's motion to dismiss for failure to gather evidence.

MS. HOJJAT: Good morning, Your Honor, Nadia Hojjat, number 12401, on behalf of Mr. Grimes. And, Your Honor, if we may approach about --

MR. MORGAN: Various issues.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. MORGAN: Thank you.

[Bench conference as follows:]

MR. MORGAN: Hi, Judge, how you doing?

THE COURT: Good, thank you.

MR. MORGAN: So, Roger, I don't know if you heard, is having some medical issues.

MS. HOJJAT: Roger Hillman is scheduled, he's the first chair on this.

THE COURT: You'll tell me later, right?

MR. IMLAY: Right.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HOJJAT: He will not be back in the office until August 30th, the trial is set to go on August 28th right now. So the defense would have been ready to go, we were anticipating announcing ready at calendar call; however, these medical issues were unforeseen and we are going to be asking for this case to be pushed back to the next stack because Mr. Hillman will be out for a significant period of time and won't be able to prep in the weeks before the trial.

THE COURT: Does it need to be assigned to somebody else?

MR. MORGAN: I understand. I don't want to be insensitive.

THE COURT: And this was a stabbing, right?

MR. MORGAN: Yes.

MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. MORGAN: I don't want to be insensitive to Mr. Hillman, I just, I feel I have to speak on behalf of the victim and voice my concerns.

THE COURT: Okay. And the problem, well, I mean, what's the problem with this motion? I'm told that the knife is in evidence. If you want to test it, test it. So, I mean, I don't think it's the State's responsibility to test it for fingerprints for all this stuff that you think it should be tested for. So if you want to sit around and wait for it, you know, I think that's your -- your problem

MS. HOJJAT: And, Your Honor, our concern on this, I've spoken with people who understand the science a little bit better than I do, and I guess my understanding is that at this point it's too late to test it because fingerprints evaporate over time apparently. And it's been so long at this point that it was in the custody of the State, we were under the impression that it would be tested because DNA testing was done on the knife, that basically at this point a test wouldn't yield accurate results of whose fingerprints were on there.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, who are you relying upon? Experts? Or other people in your office?

MS. HOJJAT: Other people in the office, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. If you want to test it, I'll allow you to have, you know, I'm assuming it's bagged somewhere.

MR. MORGAN: Uh-huh.

MS. BOTELHO: Yes, it is.

THE COURT: If you want to test it, you can have it. I don't know if that's true or not. I don't know -- I don't want to rely upon what other people say in the office, but certainly --

MS. HOJJAT: Right.

THE COURT: -- if you want that knife and you want to test it for fingerprints, DNA, whatever it is because you think it goes to your self-defense, feel free. I'll allow you to have it.

MS. HOJJAT: Okay.

THE COURT: You just have to waive any chain-of-custody issue.

MS. HOJJAT: And, Your Honor, at this point I guess my --

THE COURT: Do you want it?

MS. HOJJAT: -- my request at this point, Your Honor, would be if we can continue the motions so I could actually speak with -- I can go back and contact an expert and find out whether it really is that the fingerprints at this point would have evaporated and it's not -- because I don't want to test it and then the State's going to come back and say --

THE COURT: I don't think and expert's going to say, I can't pull any prints off of that right now. They're going to want to look at it and see if they can because --

MR. IMLAY: We may be able to give a time period as far as the oils.

THE COURT: -- they need to look at -- they need to look at the type of knife.

They need to look at the type of surface.

MS. HOJJAT: Right.

THE COURT: There's a lot of things that go into --

MS. HOJJAT: Right.

THE COURT: -- whether a print --

MS. HOJJAT: And the --

THE COURT: -- even can be found on something.

MS. HOJJAT: -- the concern I have at this point, Your Honor, is that if we test it and the prints aren't found, there would be an element of burden shifting there because self-defense does still require the State to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that wasn't self-defense. And so --

THE COURT: Sure, it does. Absolutely.

MS. HOJJAT: -- it's our position it was their burden to test that knife, and they didn't do it. And for us to now test it would be taking on a rule of investigation that they should have done and then they're going to turn around and use that against --

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HOJJAT: -- us, all these months later.

THE COURT: That's fine. So you're telling me you don't want the knife tested? That's fine.

MS. HOJJAT: At this point I'd need to consult with Mr. Hillman to see what he wants. He is technically the first chair on this case. If we could potentially just push off this —

THE COURT: Because I'm not sure I agree with you that it's the State's responsibility to test it for fingerprints to somehow overcome your theory of self-defense. If the fact that they didn't test it for fingerprints, the jury says, oh, wow, we believe, you know, it's self-defense; therefore, not guilty, great. But --

MS. HOJJAT: Right.

THE COURT: -- I'm trying to tell you so that if you want to test it, I'm going to allow you to test it.

MS. HOJJAT: Okay.

MS. HOJJAT: Okay. In that case, a week is fine. 1 THE COURT: I mean, I know you could look at this and make this decision. 2 You could make this decision. I don't think you need Mr. Hillman to make this -- it's 3 basically a strategy decision. MS. HOJJAT: Yeah, and the problem, because Mr. Hillman is the first chair 5 and it's an attempt murder case, that's why I just didn't want to, for P.C.R. 6 7 purposes --MR. IMLAY: Right. 8 MS. HOJJAT: -- somebody outside the case making the decision, but 9 whatever Your Honor prefers. 10 MR. IMLAY: Yeah, we're okay with that, one week's fine. 11 THE COURT: One week, okay. 12 MS. BOTELHO: Thank you. 13 THE COURT: But I'll give you a new trial date now. 14 MR. MORGAN: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Okay? 16 MR. MORGAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 MS. HOJJAT: Thank you. 18 MS. BOTELHO: Thank you. 19 [End of bench conference] 20 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Grimes, based upon the representations made by 21 your lawyers, it appears as though Mr. Hillman's going to be out 'til after August 30th, 22 so I'm going to have to vacate your trial date. And I'll reset it at this -- as soon as

MS. BOTELHO: Yes, Your Honor.

possible on the next -- the next stack. Is October going to be okay?

23

24

25

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	THE COURT CLERK: Calendar call will be October 2 at 8:30; jury trial will be
3 3	October 9 th at 1:30.
4	MS. HOJJAT: Thank you, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Okay. And then we're going to continue this motion for one
6	week for the defense to let me know whether they would like the opportunity to test
7	that knife.
8	MR. IMLAY: Thank you, Your Honor.
9	MS. HOJJAT: Thank you, Your Honor.
10	MS. BOTELHO: Thank you, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT CLERK: August 23, 8:30.
12	MR. MORGAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	PROCEEDING CONCLUDED AT 9:59 A.M.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	3
19	ightarrow
2	II Navada Dulas of Annellate Procedule, i
2	1 ATTEST: Pursuant to Rule 3C(9) of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, I acknowledge that this is a rough draft transcript, expeditiously prepared, not
2	1)
2	Jan Richardon
2	SARA RICHARDSON Court Recorder/Transcriber
2	25

CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN

3

5

6

8

9

٧S.

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

BENNETT GRIMES,

Defendant.

CASE #: C276163-1

DEPT. XII

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHELLE LEAVITT, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

THURSDAY, AUGUST 23, 2012

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT RE: STATUS CHECK: THE DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO GATHER EVIDENCE

APPEARANCES:

For the State:

SHAWN A. MORGAN, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant:

LAUREN R. DIEFENBACH, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender

RECORDED BY: KERRY ESPARZA, COURT RECORDER

1	THURSDAY, AUGUST 23, 2012 AT 9:03 A.M.
2	
3	THE COURT: State versus Grimes, C276163.
4 ∥	THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: He's present. He's in custody.
6	MR. MORGAN: Thank you. Shawn Morgan for the State. Ms. Hojjat is the
7	person from the Public Defender's Office who wants to be here for the opposition.
8	saw her down in DC 17. I've got the calendar in Adair that starts at 9:30, so I have
9	to be there at 9:15. I'm just concerned. I don't know if the Court
10 10	THE COURT: You're late.
11	MR. MORGAN: would pass it for a week?
12	MS. DIEFENBACH: We were going to ask for September 10 th for when Mr.
13	Hillman came back as well.
14	THE COURT: That's fine.
15	MS. DIEFENBACH: Does the Court all right with the Court?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Excuse me, Your Honor, I don't know what happened in
17	the proceedings the last time I was here on the 14 th , is there anyway I can be
18	notified of that?
19	THE COURT: Mr. Hillman's what? You don't know what happened at the
20	proceedings?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, the last moment I was here, at the last
22	appearance.
23	THE COURT: Your attorney is your attorney is out.
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, but there was something that
25	THE COURT: Right, they had to tell me at the bench what was wrong with

your attorney.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, I thought that they --

THE COURT: He's out.

THE DEFENDANT: No, I thought they sent --

THE COURT: It's none of your business why he's out.

THE DEFENDANT: I thought they had sent it to the lab.

THE CORRECTIONS OFFICER: Quiet Grimes.

THE DEFENDANT: I'm talking to Your Honor.

THE CORRECTIONS OFFICER: You're done.

THE DEFENDANT: Excuse me?

THE COURT: Okay. What? Go ahead. What is it?

THE DEFENDANT: Oh, I thought I had sent out for lab results or something like that?

THE COURT: No. There's no talk about any lab results. There's a motion to dismiss for failure to gather evidence at this point.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

THE COURT: I mean that's just -- that's -- I mean I'm looking at the notes; there was a conference at the bench. Based on representations made by Counsel, I ordered the matter continued. Oh, I did say to determine whether or not the defense is seeking to have the alleged weapon tested? I probably did make some remarks 'cause I know that's in my head.

MS. DIEFENBACH: Okay.

THE COURT: That it -- the weapon is in custody and I know that's the basis of the motion. If you want to have the weapon tested, that might be an other issue you might want to bring before the Court.

25

MS. DIEFENBACH: And unfortunately, Your Honor, I am merely standing in just for procedural purposes --

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. DIEFENBACK: -- so I'm not really familiar at all with the case.

THE COURT: I'm not sure it's really a failure to gather evidence, than a failure to conduct every test known to mankind on the weapon.

MR. MORGAN: I think Ms. Hojjat wanted to discuss with Mr. Hillman the issues that Your Honor brought up at the bench, whether or not they were going to seek testing of the weapon.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. DIEFENBACH: And I'm not -- and I'm not certain, what we're doing with that, Your Honor I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS DIEFENBACH: But we are asking for it to be passed so that both attorneys can be present for that.

THE COURT: All right. But I think I probably did make some representation to the parties that if they wanted the weapon tested I would probably allow that.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay. Yeah, I was just wondering if it took place or not or if it came back with any results or anything?

THE COURT: No, they didn't -- the Defense hasn't even asked for it yet.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

THE COURT: You want September 4th, is that what you --

MS. DIEFENBACH: September 10th, please.

THE COURT: Oh, September 10th.

THE COURT CLERK: That's a Monday, can we do it Thursday?

THE COURT: That's a Monday. So yeah, uh-huh. MS. DIEFENBACH: Oh, I'm sorry.

THE COURT CLERK: September 13th Thursday.

MS. DIEFENBACH: That will be -- that'll be fine. Thank you so much.

MR. MORGAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

[Proceedings concluded at 9:05 A.M.]

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings with the sound recording in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

THÉRESA SANCHEZ
Court Recorder/Transcriber

Alun & Chum

CLERK OF THE COURT RTRAN 1 DISTRICT COURT 2 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 3 4 THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE NO. C276163 5 DEPT. NO. XII Plaintiff, 6 VS. 7 BENNETT GRIMES, 8 Defendant. 9 BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHELLE LEAVITT, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 10 11 THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2012 12 **ROUGH DRAFT** 13 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO GATHER EVIDENCE 14 15 16 17 18 19 APPEARANCES: AGNES M. BOTELHO 20 For the State: SHAWN A. MORGAN 21 Deputies District Attorney 22 NADIA HOJJAT For the Defendant: R. ROGER HILLMAN 23 Deputies Public Defender 24 RECORDED BY: KERRY ESPARZA, COURT RECORDER 25

THE COURT: Okay. I'm sorry.

MS. HOJJAT: No worries.

THE COURT: I just thought we could cut to the chase. So I apologize.

MS. HOJJAT: And I apologize, we just -- we'd like to make our record, this is an attempt murder case.

THE COURT: Sure.

MS. HOJJAT: At this point, a knife was impounded, the knife had visible fingerprints, visible blood on it. It's been sitting in impound for a year now.

Fingerprints, based on the -- basically the material, the oil, the density of the oil, they evaporate, a year later, the reason that the defense doesn't want it tested at this point is because the State had an obligation to test it one year ago when those fingerprints were fresh and could actually be lifted and could actually be compared.

At this point, first of all, it's burden-shifting for them to suggest that we have an obligation to test it; second --

THE COURT: I didn't say you had an obligation.

MS. HOJJAT: Yes.

THE COURT: So let me make that clear. I said I would allow it.

MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: If that's what the defense wanted.

MS. HOJJAT: And we weren't presenting --

THE COURT: So I'm not quite sure how it could be material if you don't even want to know what it says or what the results are.

MS. HOJJAT: Your Honor, the problem is, we'd like to know what it said a year ago. We don't want to know what it says today when fingerprints have evaporated and the evidence isn't, basically, in proper condition.

THE COURT: There's no evidence that those fingerprints have been

evaporated or all that stuff that you have just said.

MS HOJJAT: The problem is that fingerprints --

THE COURT: Or that the integrity of the prints have been --

MS. HOJJAT: -- do erode, they do evaporate.

THE COURT: -- compromised.

MS. HOJJAT: And I wasn't suggesting that Your Honor had suggested that you were burden-shifting, but that the State did suggest in their motion that we had an obligation to test that if we want it tested. And it's our position that that's not the case, the State does have a burden to rebut a self-defense argument beyond a reasonable doubt.

THE COURT: Yes, they do.

MS. HOJJAT: And so it is still their burden. When we've got a case when both individuals had injuries on them, both individuals were bleeding when the police arrived, there's a knife, there's blood on the knife, there's fingerprints on the knife. It's been sitting there for a year now.

THE COURT: One person had 20 stab wounds and the other one didn't.

MS. HOJJAT: And, Your Honor, we're actually rebutting that as well because it's my understanding there weren't 20 any wounds. I think --

THE COURT: Okay

MS. HOJJAT: -- the medical reports indicated maybe there were pokes and scratches totaling 17.

THE COURT: There were what? Say that again, what did you call it?

MS. HOJJAT: They were stab wounds, there were lacerations, pokes, there was -- they weren't stab wounds --

THE COURT: Pokes?

MS. HOJJAT: -- is our understanding of reading the medical report.

THE COURT: Okay. Lacerations --

MS. HOJJAT: Lacerations --

THE COURT: -- stab wounds are kind of the same thing to me, but go ahead.

MS. HOJJAT: -- lacerations less than three millimeters deep, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HOJJAT: And so at this point, we're presenting to Your Honor that either -- Your Honor has indicated that you will not be dismissing this case pursuant to our motion, but we would be asking for a jury instruction to the jury that they must presume that the fingerprints of the victim, Anika Grimes, would have been on that knife had it been tested.

THE COURT: Based on what? I mean, because the State is — the State does not have the obligation to test the blood, they have no obligation to test the prints. They have the burden of proof, okay, and you certainly can point that out at the time of trial, and the jury can consider that. But there is no rule that says they have to test every single piece of evidence and gather every single — they, I mean, in fact the case law is contrary, the police are not required to do all that.

MS. HOJJAT: And, Your Honor, certainly they're not required to test every single thing in every single area or that could possible exist within a case.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MS. HOJJAT: But when there's a weapon that is clearly the weapon that the State is going to be alleging was the instrument used in the case, when they put in their own impound report, Apparent blood and visible prints on the blade --

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MS. HOJJAT: -- then at that point they, it's our position they do have an

obligation. The case law certainly says that there's not necessarily an obligation to go out far and wide following every possible unlikely lead that exists, but when there's evidence sitting in their laps, yes, they do have an obligation to collect and preserve and test it. That's why *Daniel*'s motion exists, that's why *Daniel* case — *Daniel*'s case law exists because there is a minimum burden of testing.

THE COURT: But it was collected, it was preserved.

MS. HOJJAT: And when it's been sitting in impound for a year, Your Honor, fingerprints do evaporate. That's the science of fingerprints. They, depending on the oils in the person's hand, depending on the person, we have no way of knowing whether her fingerprints were on there a year ago or not. And that's the worst part, if we have it tested today, it's not simply that it might come back with one person's or not another person's, we have no way of knowing whether something is evaporated or not, there's just no way to test that. But we know that that does happen. We know that today a fingerprint might be here, it might be sitting in impound for a year, and a year later, that fingerprint will no longer be there.

And that's why it's -- there is no remedy for the defense at this point in testing that knife. We've been left with no remedy in this situation. And that's why we think that we are entitled to a jury instruction --

THE COURT: Because you believe that the fingerprints on the knife would have been the victim's?

MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The victim alleged in here?

MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And it's -- was this her house?

MS. HOJJAT: It was her house. However --

Rough Draft - Page 6

 THE COURT: And her kitchen?

MS. HOJJAT: -- she testified --

THE COURT: And her utensils?

MS. HOJJAT: -- Your Honor, she testified at preliminary hearing that the knife had just been washed and that she did not touch it and that she never held it. And so her fingerprints should not be on that knife. If she told the truth there, her fingerprints should not be on that knife. If her fingerprints were on that knife, that would be both impeachment evidence and evidence going to show that Mr. Grimes acted in self defense.

THE COURT: Anything from the State?

MS. BOTELHO: Your Honor, is there a particular point you'd like me to address? I set forth in our motion, first of all, the Court already pointed out the State, police are not under any obligation to test or gather every single piece of evidence. The defense is pointing out to the fact that the evidence or fingerprints may have evaporated, I would contest that. It's basically an assumption. They want to know that, you need to test it. We've — there have been times where we've been able to get fingerprints years and years and years later. I mean, there's just no factual basis for that particular claim. No one's looked at it.

And as far as the claim of burden-shifting, we're not shifting anything. It is the State's contention that the defense wants to know whether or not the victim's blood and fingerprints are on the knife to assert or proffer or support a claim of self-defense. If that is the case, they need to test the knife. They need to go forward and make the necessary arrangements and get that done. The State does not need it for our case in chief. I understand we have burden of proving, not only beyond a reasonable doubt the elements of this particular crime, but also to rebut

the self-defense claim. I get that. We don't think it's necessary for that.

Yes, it has been in impound for a year, which also gives credence to the fact that they've had a year, they wanted it tested, they could have done so. It is readily available, we could have made the necessary arrangements.

And with regard to the victim's fingerprints being on the knife, there are lots of other ways, I mean, this is pure argument for the jury, there are other ways that the victim's fingerprints could have been there. How about the fact that she was stabbed, poked, or given lacerations 20 different times? She could have grabbed the knife to defend herself from this really vicious and brutal attack. Arguments that can be made if they want this particular evidence to support self-defense, it's available.

MS. HOJJAT: And, Your Honor, to clarify, I — we don't want to know whether — what fingerprints are on the knife today, we want to know what fingerprints were on that knife a year ago. And there's no way to know what fingerprints were on that knife a year ago. And that evidence that is potentially, highly exculpatory is *Brady*. And that means they did have an obligation to collect it because exculpatory evidence, they do have obligation to collect and hand over to defense.

THE COURT: Okay. At this time the Court's going to deny the motion. State can prepare the order.

MS. BOTELHO: Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. HOJJAT: And, Your Honor, I'm sorry, one more thing, just for the record, the State did say that we had a year to test this knife, we were unaware that this knife was not being tested for fingerprints and DNA. We saw an impound sheet that said it's being sent to the lab for testing, and we assumed when that impound sheet

19.

says, Obvious, visible fingerprints and blood, that that testing was going to be done. We found out a week or two before this motion was filed. As soon as we got the results back, we saw that this testing hadn't been done, we filed the motion. So, it's an inaccurate statement to say that we've had all this time to test it. We were under the impression that testing was being done based on the impound sheet.

THE COURT: Okay. But they didn't test it the day they took it in, which is the day you wanted to know, right?

MS. HOJJAT: It's my understanding the testing was done pretty soon thereafter, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Which was the day you wanted -- you wanted to know the day that knife was taken into evidence whose prints were on it. And any -- any day after that, you say the prints would have evaporated.

MS. HOJJAT: Well, Your Honor, over time prints evaporate, and certainly after a year, yes, they -- they could very well likely have evaporated. However, it's our understanding the testing was done fairly soon thereafter. We didn't receive the results until just a month or two ago when this motion was filed. And so we were under the impression --

THE COURT: What testing did they do?

MS. HOJJAT: They did DNA skin cell testing, but not the test -- DNA of the blood, they avoided the blood deliberately.

THE COURT: What was the result?

MS. HOJJAT: I don't have the DNA results right now, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I thought you said you got the results?

MS. HOJJAT: I don't have them currently at this moment in court. But I do have the results.

1	THE COURT: You don't remember what they were?
2	MS. HOJJAT: I believe the DNA
3	THE COURT: Whose DNA?
4	MS. HOJJAT: results indicated that only Ms. Grimes's skin cells were on
5	the knife handle.
6	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
7	MS. HOJJAT: Which would support our contention that she was the only one
8	holding that knife because this is not her blood, this is her skin cells.
9	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Then we'll see you on October 2 nd .
10	MS. HOJJAT: Thank you.
11	MR. MORGAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
12	MS. BOTELHO: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Thank you.
14	PROCEEDING CONCLUDED AT 9:27 A.M.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	ATTEST: Pursuant to Rule 3C(9) of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, I acknowledge that this is a rough draft transcript, expeditiously prepared, not acknowledge that this is a rough draft transcript.
22	2 I proofread, corrected, or certified to be all documents that the same and the sa
2	In the American
2	CARA RICHARDSON
2	

Rough Draft - Page 10

Electronically Filed 04/26/2013 04:20:51 PM

Alun J. Chum

RTRAN CLERK OF THE COURT 2 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 5 6 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 7 CASE #: C276163-1 Plaintiff, 8 DEPT. XII 9 vs. 10 BENNETT GRIMES, 11 Defendant. 12 13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHELLE LEAVITT, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 14 TUESDAY, OCTOBER 2, 2012 15 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT RE: CALENDAR CALL 16 17 APPEARANCES: 18 AGNES M. BOTELHO, ESQ. For the State: 19 Deputy District Attorney 20 ELISSA LUZAICH, ESQ. **Deputy District Attorney** 21 22 R. ROGER HILLMAN, ESQ. For the Defendant: Deputy Public Defender 23 RECORDED BY: KERRY ESPARZA, COURT RECORDER 24 25

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 2, 2012 AT 8:57 A.M

1	TUESDAY, OCTOBER 2, 2012 AT 0.07 7 IIIII
2	
3	THE COURT: State versus Bennett Grimes, C276163. He's present. He's in
4	custody. It's on for calendar call.
5	MR. HILLMAN: Judge, I believe we are prepared for trial. I filed in open cou
6	this morning a motion in limine.
7	MS. LUZAICH: Morning Lisa Luzaich and Agnes Botelho for the State.
8	THE COURT: Okay. How long will you take?
9	MS. BOTELHO: I'm sorry Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: How long do you need?
11	MS. BOTELHO: It's about 12 to 14 witnesses, though not very long
12	witnesses, zero out of state, about
13	THE COURT: So two days, three days?
14	MS. BOTELHO: three to four.
15	MS. LUZAICH: Three to four.
16	MS. BOTELHO: Depending on the department, three to four.
17	THE COURT: Three to four days. Okay. When I'm done with my calendar
18	calls, I'll let you know when you can start.
19	MS. BOTELHO: Thank you.
20	THE COURT: So is this overflow eligible?
21	MS. BOTELHO: Technically it is, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Okay. And when do you want your motion heard?
23	MR. HILLMAN: We can hear it the morning of trial.

THE COURT: I guess you want it heard. Okay.

24

25

MR. HILLMAN: It's more of a housekeeping type thing than anything.

THE COURT: All right. Did you give a copy to the State?

MR. HILLMAN: Yes, I did.

THE COURT: Okay. Thanks.

[Proceedings concluded at 8:59 a.m.]

ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/video proceedings with the sound recording in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

THÉRESA SANCHEZ Court Recorder/Transcriber TRAN

Alun & Chum

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. C276163-1 DEPT NO. XII

vs.

BENNETT GRIMES,

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHELLE LEAVITT, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

JURY TRIAL - DAY 1

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 10, 2012

APPEARANCES:

For the State:

AGNES M. BOTELHO, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney PATRICK J. BURNS, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant:

RALPH HILLMAN, ESQ.
Deputy Public Defender
NADIA HOJJAT, Esq.

Deputy Public Defender

RECORDED BY KERRY ESPARZA, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: KARR Reporting, Inc.

	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 10, 2012, 10:55 A.M.
1	* * * * *
2	(Outside the presence of the prospective jury panel.)
3	THE COURT: Good morning. State of Missouri versus
4	Bennett Grimes, C276163. Mr. Bennett is — I'm sorry, Mr.
5	Bennett Grimes, 6276163. In . Both Sides ready to go? Grimes is present. He's in custody. Both sides ready to go?
6	Grimes is present. He's In Castody.
7	MS. BOTELHO: The State is ready, Your Honor.
8	MR. HILLMAN: Yes, Judge.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Did you all state your
10	appearances?
11	appearances. MS. BOTELHO: Not yet. Agnes Botelho for the State,
12	Your Honor.
13	MR. BURNS: Patrick Burns for the State.
14	MR. HILLMAN: Roger Hillman.
15	MS. HOJJAT: Nadya Hojjat for the Defendant.
16	THE COURT: Okay. The jury panel is here and ready
17	that I have lined up. Does anybody want to have anything
	outside the presence?
18	MC WOLLAT: Your Honor, we did have a motion in
19	
2	THE COURT: Yes, that's right. We do have to address
2	The language of don't know if we have to address that now.
2	2 that. You know, I don't 3 And did the State file an opposition?
2	And did the State Fire to Fire Fire
2	Hillman and I spoke in my office during the —
2	II.
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 2
	\

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 2.3

24

25

THE COURT: I seem to agree.

MS. BOTELHO: -- and we spoke about certain things; however there might have been a misunderstanding as to what Ms. Hojjat's position was. All we were asking with regard to the motion in limine, what Mr. Hillman and I spoke about, Your Honor, is the State is definitely in agreement that we do not need to bring out the acts or the facts of what led to the TPO; but the fact there was a temporary restraining order in effect at the time of this offense is certainly relevant. It's probative.

It's actually one of the charged elements in two of our offenses and the fact that he -- there was a TPO was certainly talked about in the 9-1-1 calls as the reason both the victim and her mother, you know, state to the police of wanting the police to come in and remove the defendant from the apartment.

Also the fact that there was a TPO in effect also goes towards -- it's relevant towards the burglary charge; burglary while in possession of a deadly weapon in violation of a TPO certainly goes towards his intent. He knew he wasn't supposed to be there. There was a TPO in effect certainly that told him he should not be anywhere near the victim or the apartment.

So yes, we agree we do not need to talk about the facts. If the jurors have questions about it, we certainly

can tell them that it's not appropriate to talk about the underlying facts of the TPO, just that it was in effect.

1.5

MS. HOJJAT: And, Your Honor, basically the defense's position is we will stipulate to the fact of the appeal for the fact that it is elements that have been charged. We understand the State does have a burden of proving beyond a reasonable doubt everything they're charging, and so we will stipulate to those elements to the fact of the TPO.

The reason we don't want the jury to hear about it is because it's our position that it — it's actually far more prejudicial than probative in the sense that as soon as the jurors hear there's a TPO, the first question in their mind is going to be, Well, what caused the TPO? What was going on? Was it violent behavior?

And this jurisdiction does allow jurors to ask questions. It's more than likely we're going to get a question. Obviously because there's been no bad acts motion here the question won't be answered and then the juror's going to be sitting there wondering why the question — why the question can't be answered. It just — it opens a Pandora's box.

We think that the fact that Mr. Grimes shouldn't have been there is very apparent from the fact that he shoved his way into the apartment, and we're not disputing the fact that he did shove his way into that apartment. The fact that

somebody wouldn't — somebody saying, "leave my apartment," this person shoved their way in, they're saying, "get out, get out," he's not getting out, it makes perfect sense to call 9-1-1.

The fact that there wasn't a TPO there doesn't matter. Nobody's going to be sitting there going, Well, why did you call 9-1-1? No. The facts are clear that he shoved his way into this apartment. He was told to leave repeatedly. He didn't leave. And so it's not particularly probative as to the motive of the victim calling 9-1-1, but it is highly prejudicial in what the jurors are going to be thinking about Mr. Grimes and what they're going to be speculating on when they should be listening to evidence.

THE COURT: And it's an enhancement.

MS. BOTELHO: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I mean, really because I — I reviewed all the statutes and it's just — it's clearly just an enhancement.

MS. BOTELHO: And one other thing, Your Honor --

THE COURT: [Inaudible] two enhancements in some of the charges.

MS. BOTELHO: We do. And another thing that I'd just like to point out factually is that the victim and the defendant were married at the time, and that could also come into play. I mean, they're married; but she's kicking him out

of an apartment. A lot of --

1.5

THE COURT: Were they married and living together or were they married and separated?

MS. BOTELHO: They were married, separated — separated because of the TPO and —

THE COURT: Well, not probably because of the TPO; probably because of the act that led to the TPO.

MS. BOTELHO: Well, separate because of the acts that led to the TPO, absolutely. I understand, Your Honor, it is an enhancement, but again it goes towards burglary, it explains the entire story. Everyone — the victim, the mother, the dad, they all make reference to the fact that there was a TPO during the 9-1-1 calls, that is why they were in kind of enhanced fear or an enhanced state of suspicion when the Defendant came into the home. He knew he was not supposed to be there and — the Court's indulgence.

And, Your Honor, Mr. Burns just pointed out the fact that, you know, with regard to the enhancement, the jury should get to hear the facts and circumstances that would prove the enhancement. And it is certainly — certainly probative and we can cure the, you know, the prejudicial — the overly prejudicial nature, of course, by not getting into the facts and just simply stipulating that there was one in effect; on that particular day he was not to be there near that home or near the victim.

THE COURT: Anything else?

1.0

22.

MS. HOJJAT: Judge, as I said, we're willing to stipulate to it so that the jury doesn't need to hear that there's a TPO. Simply whatever — if he's found guilty of something, whatever he's found guilty of, we are basically pleading him guilty to the area of the TPO, we're willing to do that.

THE COURT: If you stipulated to a TPO, the jury doesn't have to hear that, so I'm not quite sure -- a private, secret stipulation?

MS. HOJJAT: Yes, basically what we're asking for is for it to just be removed from the charging document, removed from the jury verdict. Simply it will be something — essentially because a violation of a TPO is and in itself a misdemeanor, and it's also an enhancement in this case on these charges. Basically we would plead him guilty to the enhancement of the TPO. The jury doesn't need to hear about it. Doesn't need to be in the charging document. Doesn't need to be on the jury verdict.

THE COURT: Do you know what that means plead him guilty, you know, plead him guilty to the deadly weapon enhancement too? I mean, I think this is more of an enhancement issue. I know you made the analogy to the ex-felon in possession of a firearm, I'm not quite sure it's the same thing. You know, I don't know if it's that.

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

9

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

It's not like a DUI Third where we don't want the jury to know the person has multiple DUIs, therefore they're here on a felony DUI. I mean, it's -- it's the facts. guess I'm trying to figure out how you think I can keep the facts from the jury? I mean, the State charged it like this, there was a TPO in place, the law allows for enhancement.

If you violate the TPO, just — it appears to me it's the same thing as a deadly weapon, it's a one to 20. It's the It's an enhancement. I mean, the gang same thing. enhancement, you have to have expert testimony on that, right? I don't know of any enhancement where we don't let the jury hear about it. They're going to hear about the deadly weapon. We let them hear about gangs. We let them hear about -here's the TPO.

MS. HOJJAT: And, Judge, it is our position that this is like the DUI Third. We believe that this is more closely analogous to that. I do believe I looked -- looked at the statute ---

If I do that, in a Post Conviction your THE COURT: client's going to raise, The judge should have let it go to the jury and let the jury make that determination.

MS. HOJJAT: Your Honor, it's my understanding --MS. BOTELHO: Absolutely, according to apprenda -apprendi [phonetic].

> THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MS. HOJJAT: It's my understanding that looking at the law, and perhaps I looked at this wrong, but it's my understanding that there's only one enhancement per charge that can actually be toward a greater sentence.

THE COURT: I totally agree with you.

MS. HOJJAT: And there's already more — there's already one enhancement on each of the charges that the TPO is attached to.

THE COURT: I agree with you. If he is convicted of attempt murder with use of a deadly weapon in violation of a temporary protective order, yes, one enhancement could apply. They're exactly the same. They're both one to 20. But, yeah, it's my belief it's my decision which enhancement to use at the time of sentencing if the jury found both enhancements.

MS. HOJJAT: And that's correct, that's true, Your Honor; however in this case given that there's a knife at issue, and nobody's disputing there's a knife at issue, we're disputing Mr. Bennett's or Mr. Grimes' intent and the facts that led to the injuries, but there's no dispute of a weapon. If he is found guilty of attempt murder or battery, the deadly weapon is going to be there. This enhancement is — he will be found guilty of the enhancement if he's found guilty of the attempt murder or the battery.

THE COURT: I know from previous motions you're going to contend that he has a complete defense to this, that he was

acting in self defense.

MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And that the victim was actually the perpetrator.

MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor, and that's precisely what we're contending, but if the jury doesn't believe us, essentially if the jury finds that the State has met their burden of proof beyond a reasonable doubt of the attempt murder or the battery, the deadly weapon is somewhat attached.

They can't find an attempt murder without the deadly weapon in this case because the only reason they're finding the attempt murder is that they found that he used the knife; that he was the one in possession of the knife and that he used the knife. And so basically the fact of the TPO is simply something there that is going to lead the jury to presume prior bad acts by Mr. Grimes.

THE COURT: Anything else?

MS. BOTELHO: I'll submit to the Court, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. At this time the motion is denied.

MS. HOJJAT: You Honor, in that case — I'm sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MS. HOJJAT: I apologize.

THE COURT: No, go ahead.

MS. HOJJAT: In that case, Your Honor, we would just

ask for what Ms. Botelho indicated the State was willing to agree to, which is not to allow the facts that led up to the

THE COURT: No, I agree.

MS. HOJJAT: Thank you.

THE COURT: I agree, I don't think that's relevant. Everyone ready? Okay. Does everyone have a jury list?

MS. BOTELHO: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

(In the presence of the prospective jury panel.)

THE COURT: Okay. No, no. Is he 22? Are you — is

THE MARSHAL: Yes, Judge,

THE COURT: Okay. Then he should be in the first seat. So it should be 22, 23, 24, 25, 26. Bear with us. There's a reason for this. It's just so all the attorneys and myself know which seat you're in. Right next to him. No, no. That's okay. Just stop and put them in order. 22, 23, 24, 25. Officer, are there only four seats there? There's one more. Please bear with us. There's one more seat. And 27 starts on the back row. Sorry you ended up by yourself over there.

THE MARSHAL: Judge, I apologize for the —

THE COURT: No, no, no problem. No problem. Do the parties stipulate to the presence of the jury venire?

1.0

MS. BOTELHO: Yes, Judge.

MR. HILLMAN: Yes, Judge.

MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen, and welcome to Department 12 of the Eighth Judicial District Court. You have been summoned here today to serve as jurors in a criminal case entitled State of Nevada versus Bennett Grimes, Case C276163. Before I do allow the attorneys to speak to you and give you a brief statement of the facts, I'm going to introduce some of the members of the staff and tell you what they do.

In front of me is Kerry. Kerry is a court recorder in Department 12. She takes down everything that is being said in the courtroom at all times. At some point she'll be called upon by myself to prepare a written transcript, which means she will listen to the audio and she will transcribe verbatim everything that is being said.

So if you're called upon to address the Court or the lawyers, I ask that you please state your name and the badge number that's been provided to you by the Jury Commissioner before responding so we have an accurate and clear record of who is speaking at all times. To my right is Susan. Susan's the clerk of the court.

In Department 12 it's her job to keep the official record. She takes the official minutes. She takes charge of

the evidence that will be introduced at the time of trial. You know, during trial and during jury selection you will probably see Susan doing other things because she also has to do other work while we're here in trial as well.

1.2

2.4

You've already met the court marshal in Department 12. He takes charge of the security. He's also the person that takes charge of the jury panel. Throughout this process of selecting the jury and after we do have a jury selected, myself, the attorneys, the parties, the staff in Department 12, with the exception of the officer, we're not permitted to have any communication with you whatsoever outside of the courtroom.

So if there's anything that you wish to address to the Court or the lawyers, you do it inside the courtroom on the record with everyone present. The court marshal is the only one that's permitted to have any communication with you outside of the courtroom. The court marshal is the person that will come — just like he brought you in today, he'll be the person when we take recesses that comes and gets you and brings you back in when we're about to begin court again.

All right. At this time I'm going to allow the State of Nevada to introduce themselves. They're going to give you a brief statement of the facts that they believe will come out at the time of trial. They're also going to read their witness list. I ask you to pay close attention to the names

of the witnesses who will be called to testify in this matter because at some point I will ask you if you're familiar with any of the witnesses who will be called upon to testify. Go ahead.

1.4

MS. BOTELHO: Thank you, Your Honor. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen, potential jurors. My name is Agnes Botelho. I am a Deputy District Attorney. I, along with my co-counsel, Patrick Burns, are the prosecutors in this particular case. In this case the State is alleging that the Defendant, Bennett Grimes, on January — excuse me — July 22, 2011, entered the home or the apartment of his wife, Anika Grimes, unlawfully and in the home he stabbed her multiple times.

To prove our case, the State intends to call the following witnesses, the following are officers with the Las Vegas Metropolitan police Bobby Hoffman, Sam Diaz, Lori Crickett, Rodney Hodson, Christopher Embree, Michael Perkins, Steven Thaxton, Louise Renhardt, Kenny Delzer, Michael Brewer, Daniel Tomaino, Michelle Tavarez, Kellie Gauthier, Bradley Gallup, Julie Marschner, Christopher Boddie, Justin Zinger, Celina Cruz, Jeffrey Lang, Tracy Brownlee.

And the following are witnesses that are not officers: Anika Grimes, Stephanie Newman, Earl Newman, Doctor Wasden, Doctor Beverly Hughes, Doctor Deborah Kuhls, Doctor Rachel Weber, Mark Zucaro, and Melanie Robinson. Thank you.

Thank you. THE COURT:

2

1

MS. BOTELHO: Thank you, Your Honor.

3

THE COURT: Mr. Hillman.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HILLMAN: Thank you, Judge. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Roger Hillman, I'm an attorney. This is Nadya Hojjat, she's co-counsel in this case. This is our client, Bennett Grimes. Witnesses that we may call are: Julie Marschner, Khris -- and I can't read his last name --Soonthornsawad, and Joclyn Fornero. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. At this time the clerk is going to call the roll of the panel of prospective jurors. When your name is called, if you will please say "present" or "here."

(Clerk called the roll of prospective jury panel.)

THE COURT: Anyone whose name was not called by the clerk of the court? Let the record reflect no response from the panel. If you all will please stand and raise your hands so the clerk can administer the oath.

(Clerk administered the oath.)

Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, we're THE COURT: about to commence what is called voir dire examination. term "voir dire" means to tell the truth. During this process you'll be asked questions bearing upon your ability to sit as fair and impartial jurors. To accomplish this result, various questions will be asked of you by myself or counsel for the parties.

On occasion some of these questions will seem somewhat personal. While we do not wish to unnecessarily pry into your personal lives, the questions are necessary so that counsel and the Court can make an intelligent determination as to your capabilities to serve fairly and impartially.

I want you to know that myself and the attorneys and all other persons involved in this case are concerned with having this matter tried by jurors who are completely open-minded, neutral, objective, and unbiased in their thinking. [Inaudible] discretion is best in neutral [inaudible] examination of jurors.

As I stated previously, I will personally conduct the voir dire, but I will give the attorneys the opportunity to participate in the questioning. It is important that you know the significance of full, complete, and honest answers to all the questions we're about to ask you.

I caution you not to try to hide or withhold anything which might indicate bias or prejudice of any sort by any of you. Should you fail to answer truthfully or if you hide or withhold anything touching upon your qualifications, that fact may tend to contaminate your verdict and subject you to further inquiry even after discharged as jurors.

Your decision should be based upon all of the evidence during the trial, not based upon preconceived prejudice or bias. I will conduct a general voir dire

examination of all of you while you're seated in the audience. After those general questions, the clerk will call names that I instruct her to using the order provided to us by the Jury Commissioner to fill the jury box.

At some point during the process of selecting a jury the attorneys for both sides have the right to ask that a particular person not serve as a juror. These requests are called challenges. There are two types of challenges: Challenges for cause and peremptory challenges.

Challenge for cause means that a juror has been excused because his or her answers to some of the voir dire questions indicate that he or she would have a difficult time in giving a fair and impartial hearing to the case. I will ask the attorneys to pass or waive prospective jurors for a cause challenge when they are done questioning the prospective juror.

A peremptory challenge means that a juror can be excused from duty without counsel having to give a reason for the excusal. Please do not be offended should you be excused by either of the challenge procedures. They are simply a part of the procedure designed to protect the rights of the parties under our system of government.

If you wish to respond to a question individually and in the affirmative, please raise your hand, state your name, and provide us with the badge number that was given to you by

the Jury Commissioner.

Is there anyone on the panel who has ever been convicted of a felony? The record will reflect no response from the panel.

Is there anyone who is not a United State citizen? The record will reflect no response from the panel.

Is there anyone who is not a resident of Clark County, Nevada? The record will reflect no response from the panel.

Is there anyone who has such a sympathy, prejudice, or bias relating to age, religion, race, gender or national origin, that you feel would affect your ability to be an open-minded, fair, and impartial juror? Go ahead, sir. You can stand up, that would be helpful. Thank you very much. Your name and badge number?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: Antonio Jackson.

THE COURT: You have to speak up a little bit, Mr. Jackson.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: Antonio Jackson.

THE COURT: Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: Badge number 040. My reason --

THE COURT: Yeah, I asked you a question and you rose
-- I asked a question of the panel and you raised your hand.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: Right. My reason I

wouldn't put another black male in jail for something I truly 1 believe he didn't do so. 2 THE COURT: Well, would that apply to anyone 3 regardless of their race? You wouldn't want to put anyone in 4 jail for something they didn't do? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: [Inaudible.] 6 Is that a yes? THE COURT: 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: 8 THE COURT: Okay. Don't worry. I'm not going to ask 9 anybody to put anybody else in jail. Does that make you feel 10 better? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: 12 THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any prejudice or bias 13 that you want to discuss with me? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: Well, my bias is like I 15 said as far as what this case represents as far as another 16 black man getting accused of doing something, I don't 17 [inaudible]. 18 I'm sorry. THE COURT: 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: I don't think he did it 20 21 SO --THE COURT: Who? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: I wouldn't be able to --23 I wouldn't be able to give my -- I wouldn't be able to give my 24 -- I'd be biased. 25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: 1 THE COURT: Okay. So it doesn't matter? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: 3 THE COURT: What -- okay, so it's really not a race 4 thing? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: 6 THE COURT: It's, you know, you don't think you can 7 be fair and impartial unless you actually witnessed an 8 incident? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: Correct. 10 THE COURT: Okay. And you don't know Mr. Grimes? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: No. 12 THE COURT: Okay. And if you were a witness to the 13 crime, do you think we'd let you sit on the jury panel? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: Probably not. 15 THE COURT: Okay. But you believe you'd have to be a 16 witness to a crime in order to be a fair and impartial juror? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 040: Exactly. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. You can have a seat. 19 Okay. Anyone else that wishes to respond to that question? 20 The record will reflect no further response from the panel. 21 Are there any of you who are acquainted with the 22 Defendant in this matter, Mr. Grimes? Anyone that knows Mr. 23 Bennett Grimes? The record will reflect no response from the 24 25 panel.

Are there any of you who know his attorneys? The record will reflect no response from the panel.

Are there any of you who are acquainted with the Deputy District Attorneys who are assigned to prosecute this matter? Go ahead. Your name and badge number?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Janet Robertson, 008. I work for the District Attorney's Office.

THE COURT: Okay. What do you do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Legal secretary.

THE COURT: For who?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 008: Tommy Panda.

THE COURT: Okay. You can have a seat. Anyone else? The record will reflect no response from the panel.

The District Attorney's Office employs many deputies and other personnel. Is there anyone who has such a close relationship with either the District Attorney, Mr. Steve Wolfson, his deputies, or other members of his staff that you feel might affect your ability to serve as a fair and impartial juror in this particular case? The record will reflect no response from the panel.

Are there any of you on the panel who are acquainted with any of the witnesses whose names were previously mentioned by the attorneys? Anyone who is familiar with any of the witnesses who will be called to testify? The record will reflect no response from the panel.

looks like we put you in the right place. Please feel free to 1 stand up. If you have to move around, please feel free to do 2 that. Just don't walk out without telling me, okay? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: No, I'm not going to. 4 I'm just going to stay. 5 THE COURT: All right. I'm just making sure. 6 You just need to move around, right? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Just stand. 8 THE COURT: Feel free. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 052: Thank you. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Is there anyone who feels that 11 serving for that amount of time would be such an undue burden 12 on you that it would make it impossible for you to be here? 13 I'm just going to start on the front row. Go ahead, sir. 14 Your name and badge number. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Chad Hanson, 008. I'm an 16 orthopedic surgeon and there's no one else to cover my 17 practice. 18 THE COURT: Okay. I'm sorry. It's Mr. Hanson, your 19 badge number is 0013. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Sorry. 21 THE COURT: All right. You're an orthopedic surgeon? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 013: Yes. 23 THE COURT: All right. And no one to cover your 24 practice? 25

1	quite a challenge getting my courtroom covered on Tuesdays
2	should it go there.
3	THE COURT: Do you work in the courthouse?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Not this one. Next
5	Tuesday I will be in Goodsprings.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Go ahead, sir.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Ruben Placido, 80017.
8	I'm the only one to take my daughter to school and picking her
9	up from school.
10	THE COURT: How old is she?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: She's 15, and we don't
12	have any school bus in our area available.
13	THE COURT: Okay. So she's a sophomore in high
14	school?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes, ma'am.
16	THE COURT: Okay. So you could take her to school;
17	that wouldn't be a problem because we don't start before 7:00.
18	She starts at 7:00 a.m.?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: 7:00 a.m.
20	THE COURT: Okay. And they get out around
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: 1:30.
22	THE COURT: 1:25.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: 1:25.
24	THE COURT: Okay. And you pick her up everyday?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you work full time?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: My wife's the only one that's working.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: I quit the job just because once she start with school, you know, she go to Northwest Career, that school, and it's too far from our -- from our place and we don't have --

THE COURT: Okay. So she goes to one of the I guess — do they call them — is it magnet still?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Magnet school.

THE COURT: Magnet high schools. I thought if your child went to a magnet high school they had to provide bus transportation?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: We don't have any school bus available in our area.

THE COURT: Again I thought if your child went to a magnet school, the school district provided transportation; is that not true?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: I don't think so.

THE COURT: Have you ever checked?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Well, we talk to them about it and they said there's no available for our — because of our distance.

THE COURT: So she can't like hop on a Centennial bus

1	and get dropped off at Northwest? You know what I'm talking
2	about? Because Centennial High School is in that area. I
3	mean, there's lots of schools there. There's a high school, a
4	middle school, and then technical school.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes, ma'am, it's too far
6	from our place.
7	THE COURT: What side of town do you live on?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: I live on Pecos, around
9	Pecos and Oxinger.
10	THE COURT: Who's going to pick her up today?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Me.
12	THE COURT: Okay. You're not going to be excused by
13	1:30.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Okay, ma'am.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have someone to do it
16	today?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: I think my wife work in
18	the she's an OR nurse and she works from 8:30 to 7:30.
19	THE COURT: Okay. 8:30 a.m.?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes, ma'am.
21	THE COURT: To 7:30 p.m.? What are her days off?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Seven o'clock, yes.
23	THE COURT: What are her days off?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Sometimes it's days off
25	are Tuesday.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: — in the gaming industry, and I have meetings that are set up. I think we have a very busy schedule with clients that are visiting from out of town. And while it wouldn't be unduly burdensome for me —

THE COURT: Just a minute. We got to get the cellphones off. If you have a cellphone, just put it on silent or turn it off because it interferes with —

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I borrowed this from my son.

I don't know how to turn it off.

THE MARSHAL: I'll try. I did tell the jurors to shut off their cellphones, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. You used your son's. Okay. That's okay. Maybe we can figure out how to --

THE MARSHAL: I'll try to shut it off.

COURT RECORDER: What was your badge number, sir?

THE COURT: Kerry, that's okay. I don't need his badge number. Mr. Sanford, so you're a CEO of a financial service company for the gaming industry?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Correct.

THE COURT: Okay. What does that mean?

provide various forms of payment solutions to casino operators around the world, and while it's not unduly burdensome for me to attend, I do have prior meetings that were established with

people that are traveling in that may now be burdensome for them if they have to change tickets and the cost to do so as well as any hotel arrangements that they may have made.

THE COURT: Okay. Could you rearrange the meetings? We're not here at night.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: No. I understand, but these meetings occur in the day. They were already established and some of these are day trips established and it would really — might be burdensome for them given, you know, last-minute change notices for tickets or hotel accommodations, so I just wanted you to take that into consideration.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: So not so much for me, but for others.

THE COURT: Okay. I — and I just — for my own edification, you've had this jury summons for quite some time?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Understood.

THE COURT: Right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: It's been a couple -- maybe a few weeks, yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: And I'm sorry, Your Honor, I tried to plan for that but — and therefore I pushed meetings more to Thursday evening and Friday, but if it

extends beyond that then into the following week, I didn't anticipate that may be required.

THE COURT: Why does everyone think they're going to come here and be excused?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: I didn't think that.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: I thought that perhaps it would be a day or two days [inaudible] and it wouldn't be an issue.

THE COURT: This is a pretty short trial in terms of the kind of trials I do. The next one I'm going to do is going to be really long, it's going to be about a month.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Fair enough.

THE COURT: You want to come for that one? I'm just kidding.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: If I get enough advanced notice I'll make sure.

THE COURT: But two to three -- I really was just kidding.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: I know.

THE COURT: But two to three days is a very short time period for trials — I mean for serving for that amount of time. Doesn't sound like it's going to be a burden for you, but it may be a burden for other people that are relying upon you?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Correct.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have other people that work
3	for you?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: I do.
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: But they come to see me,
7	I'm the CEO of a company, it's a start-up company in a
8	start-up scenario. CEO is sort of instrumentally involved in
9	the organization.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Sanford. Thank you
11	very much for being here. Anyone else on the second row? Go
12	ahead, ma'am.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: Vijaya Rajagopal, and the
14	Badge No. is 080028.
15	THE COURT: Thank you.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: This, you know, death in
17	the family last week
18	THE COURT: I'm sorry.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: And they have some
20	[inaudible] some services in California so I was hoping that I
21	could attend for Saturday.
22	THE COURT: In California?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: And my [inaudible] in
24	India, she passed away last week.
25	THE COURT: If you need to go to a funeral on
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

_	Saturday, we be not in session on sacaras,
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: No, but I have to fly on
3	Friday.
4	THE COURT: What time?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: I have not booked the
6	ticket because I knew that I had to come here.
7	THE COURT: Could you book your ticket for after 5:00
8	o'clock?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: Yeah, I could.
10	THE COURT: Okay. But the services are on Saturday?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: Yeah, Saturday and the
12	following week they have funeral services planned. It's like
.13	10th day through 13th day, that's what they're doing, you
14	know, the religious ceremonies.
15	THE COURT: Okay. So the 10th day to the 13th day
16	you need?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: Yeah, yeah.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 028: So that's — that's
20	Monday — that's Saturday, Sunday, Monday.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, ma'am. Anyone else
22	over there? Go ahead, sir.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: My name is Adam Rovit, it
24	is Badge 080030. I'm a physician and
25	THE COURT: What, do we have two doctors?
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Yes, at least I guess.
THE COURT: Yeah, at least.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: I'm a sub-specialist of
one of only two in the city.
THE COURT: What do you do?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: I'm a pediatric
ophthalmologist.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: I served on a jury trial,
criminal, three years ago, and it was a significant burden for
my practice and my patients.
THE COURT: Okay. How long was that trial?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Three days.
THE COURT: All right. And it's so obviously you
were able to do that, but it was a burden?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: It was a significant
burden in terms of canceling, rescheduling. You know, I felt
at the time it was part of my civic duty and I served.
THE COURT: You feel like you got called back too
soon, is that what I'm sensing?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: I think so. I mean, I
don't know what the schedule is. I was in another city and I
was never called in 15 years and I got called here twice in
three years.
THE COURT: You must be doing something right. That

is -- that is pretty quick.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 030: Right.

THE COURT: But you can get a jury summons I think every 18 months. They can't call you within 18 months, okay? Thank you. Thank you very much for being here. Anyone else? Go ahead, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: Hi. Peter Pryor, 0037.

THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Pryor.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: This gentleman here, I have a back problem, nerves and spinal damage from an injury, and I have to walk over a quarter of a mile to get to a bus to get here. The bus to get to here is a certain time. If I miss the bus, the Court will probably be mad at me for showing up late.

THE COURT: Okay. Is it a problem getting to the bus?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: It's over a quarter-mile walk and when my back's not feeling right, it takes me a lot longer to get there.

THE COURT: Okay. But you were able to plan accordingly today?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: I left my house before 8:00, and I got to the jury selection room at 9:28.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: So it took me over an

Τ	hour and a hair
2	THE COURT: All right.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: to go about seven
4	blocks.
5	THE COURT: Okay. So you're within seven miles of
6	the courthouse?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: I think it's [inaudible].
8	I'm on Charleston and between Charleston and Sahara on
9	South Sandhill.
1Ò	THE COURT: Charleston and Sahara makes no sense.
11	They both run
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: They both [inaudible],
13	I'm on South Sandhill, right in the middle of both of them.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Well, would you be
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: [Inaudible.]
16	THE COURT: Would you be able to do it if you were
17	asked to be here?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: Well, like I say, if it's
19	bad weather or if I miss the bus and the thing starts the
20	trial starts at 8:00 or 9:00 in the morning and I'm 15 or 20
21	minutes late, then I'll
22	THE COURT: We would all be waiting for you.
23 .	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: then I'll probably be
24	cooked.
25	THE COURT: You won't be in trouble, but we'd all be
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

waiting for you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. So you would be able to plan accordingly?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: I'd try to get here, but like I said, if I miss it, I don't want to hold everybody up.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, you would.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: I don't want to be in trouble for being late.

THE COURT: You won't be in trouble. Why do you think you're going to be in trouble? Okay. Thank you very much for being here, Mr. Pryor. Anyone else over there? Third row? Anyone else that wishes to respond to the Court? Okay. The record will reflect no further response from the panel.

Are there any of you who believe that for any other reason you would be unable to serve as a juror in this particular case? The record will reflect no response from the panel.

Is there anyone on the panel who's ever been engaged in law enforcement work or have a spouse or close relative who's ever been engaged in law enforcement work? Okay. We'll start over here.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Nick Schettino, 080005. My niece is a DA in New York.

1	THE COURT: Okay. How long has she been a DA in New
2	York?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Five or six years.
4	THE COURT: How often do you talk to her?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Actually she's coming in
6	this weekend to see me. I talk to her, you know, every couple
7	of weeks.
8	THE COURT: You ever talk to her about what she does
9	for a living?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes.
11	THE COURT: What do you talk about?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Just about when the case
13	is over, she just, you know, mentions them. I saw her in the
14	paper a couple of times. She had some big cases.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Anything about her employment that
16	would affect your ability to be fair and impartial?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No.
18	THE COURT: Okay. You understand that if you were
19	selected to be a juror on this panel you would not be
20	permitted to discuss this case with her or anyone else? You
21	would only be permitted to speak it with speak about this
22	case with your fellow jurors and only after you have been
23	released to deliberate upon your verdict?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes.
25	THE COURT: So you wouldn't be able to call up your
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	niece, or if she was here, talk to her at all about this case,
2	do you understand that?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Would you comply with that order?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir. Anyone else? Go
7	ahead, sir.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: My name is Gordon Brooke,
9	Badge No. 080011. My brother and my ex-brother-in-law were
10	law enforcement officers.
11	THE COURT: Where?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: Elko, Nevada for my
13	brother-in-law, and Tucson, Arizona for my brother.
14	THE COURT: Anything about their employment that
15	would affect your ability to be fair and impartial?
16.	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: I don't think so, I just
17	want to let you know.
18	THE COURT: All right. You're the only one who can
19	tell me whether it would affect your ability
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: I think I can do it, but
21	they're both officers and we've talked about difference cases
22	and, you know, they're patrol officers and what they have to
23	deal with.
24	THE COURT: Okay.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: Stuff like that on day to
	· ·

1	day, we talk about many times.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: Okay.
4	THE COURT: That's fine. Anything about that that
5	would affect your ability to be a fair and impartial juror in
6	this particular case?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: I don't think so, no.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir. Anyone else? Go
9	ahead, ma'am.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Karen Augspurger, 080021.
11	My brother-in-law was District Attorney of Lincoln County and
12	now he works in Henderson as an attorney.
13	THE COURT: Anything about his employment that would
14	affect your ability to be fair and impartial?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: I don't think so.
16	THE COURT: Okay. You're the only one who can tell
17	me.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No.
19	THE COURT: All right. You understand you won't be
20	able to discuss this case with him?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yes.
22	THE COURT: And you understand you won't be able to
23	call him up and ask him any questions?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yes.
25	THE COURT: Okay. And you'll comply with that order?
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

42 -

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Anyone else on this
3	first row? Second row? Okay. You're up.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: I'm up, huh? Vicky
5	Woodside, 080036. My son's a corrections officer.
6	THE COURT: Who does he work for?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: High Desert.
8	THE COURT: Anything about so he works for the
9	State of Nevada?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Yeah.
11	THE COURT: All right. Anything about his employment
12	that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: No.
14	THE COURT: Okay. You know you wouldn't be able to
15	discuss the case with him?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Right.
17	THE COURT: Does he live here in Las Vegas?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Yeah.
19	THE COURT: So he commutes?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Yeah, they drive back and
21	forth everyday.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Anything about his employment that
23	would affect your ability to be fair and impartial?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: No.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Anyone else on the
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 43

second row? Third row? Anyone else that wishes to address the Court on that? The record will reflect no response from the panel.

1.6

2.4

Is there anyone on this panel who believes they may not be able to follow all the instructions of the Court on the law even if the instructions differ from your personal conceptions of what the law ought to be? Anyone who believes they cannot follow the law as given to you by myself? The record will reflect no response from the panel.

As a follow-up to the previous question, in any criminal trial the members of the jury sitting collectively are the judges of the question of fact. As the Judge in this case, I'm the judge of the questions of law. It's my responsibility to be sure that I give instructions on the law that apply to this particular case.

It would be a violation of a juror's duty if he or she tried to render a judgment based upon what he or she believed the law to be if that differed from my instructions. With that in mind, is there anyone who feels they cannot be fact finders and follow my instructions on the applicable law in this case? The record will reflect no response from the panel.

Under our system there are certain principles of law that apply in every criminal trial. They are that the information filed in this case is a mere accusation and is not

evidence of guilt. That as Mr. Bennett Grimes sits here today he is presumed innocent and therefore the State must prove all of the allegations in their charging document by proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

1.1

Is there anyone who does not understand or believe in these basic precepts of American justice? The record will reflect no response from the panel.

Does anybody know anything about this case other than what's been stated in the courtroom here today? The record will reflect no response.

[Inaudible] panel, at this time, ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to have the attorneys meet me out in the hallway. We'll just converse for a couple of minutes and then we'll be right back in here. So Court is still in session. The officer will remain with you and we'll be right back.

(Pause in proceedings.)

THE COURT: We locked him out. Sorry about that.

Sorry. Sorry, Mr. Hillman. Do the parties stipulate to the presence of the jury panel?

MR. HILLMAN: Yes, ma'am.

MS. BOTELHO: Yes, Your Honor.

MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. There being no objection, the clerk's going to call the first 23 names provided to us by the Jury Commissioner. When your name is called, please take your

1	seat in the jury box. The court marshal will help you figure
2	out where you need to sit.
3	(Clerk announced the 23 names for the panel.)
4	THE COURT: Okay. The people that are still
5	remaining on the first row, if you'll please stand up and just
6	stand in the back and as soon as we seat the first 23 we'll
7	let you know where you can have a seat. You can go sit back
8	there on a back row, that's perfect. Thank you.
9	(Clerk continues to announce names for the panel.)
10	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Mark is it Whelchel?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Yes.
12	THE COURT: Good morning. How long have you lived in
13	Clark County?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Thirty years.
15	THE COURT: Your employment, training, education
16	background?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Licensed marriage and
18	family therapist and [inaudible] master's degree.
19	THE COURT: What's your master's degree in?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Marriage and family
21	therapy.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Married.
24	THE COURT: Is your wife employed?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Yes.

1	THE COURT: What does your wife do for a living?
·2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: She's a Clark County
3	school teacher.
4	THE COURT: What does she teach?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Second grade.
6	THE COURT: Okay. How long has she done that?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Twelve years.
8	THE COURT: Do you have any children?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Yes.
10	THE COURT: How many?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Four.
12	THE COURT: Are any of them old enough to be
13	employed?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Yes.
15	THE COURT: All of them?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: All of them are old
17	enough, yes.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Will you start at the top and you
19	tell us what they do for a living?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: My oldest is 30, he's a
21	worship pastor. My next oldest is 24, he's a worship pastor
22	also.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: My next is 21, he is a
25	waiter.

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: And my next is 18, and
3	she's a college student.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Well, she doesn't have to be
5	employed?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: That's right.
7	THE COURT: She's a full-time student?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Any reason why you could not be a
10	completely fair and impartial juror
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: No.
12	THE COURT: if you were selected to serve on this
13	panel?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: No.
15	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Thank you very much for
16	being here. Mr. Nick Schettino, how long have you lived in
17	Clark County?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Ten years.
19	THE COURT: Your employment, training, education
20	background?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Retired.
22	THE COURT: How long have you been retired?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Ten dollars years.
24	THE COURT: What did you do before you retired?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: I was an associate
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 48

1	director	at a brokerage firm.
2		THE COURT: Your education background?
3	:	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Two years of college.
4		THE COURT: What did you study?
5		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Excuse me?
6	,	THE COURT: What did you study in those two years of
7	college?	
8		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Business.
9		THE COURT: Business. Your marital status?
10		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Married.
11		THE COURT: Is your wife employed?
12		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No, she's retired.
13		THE COURT: She's not employed?
14		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No.
15		THE COURT: Is she retired as well?
16		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes.
17	1	THE COURT: Okay. What did she do before she
18	retired?	
19		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Housewife.
20		THE COURT: Okay. So she's retired from being a
21	housewif	e?
22		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: She is.
23		THE COURT: Sort of. Okay. Do you have children?
24		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes, three.
25		THE COURT: Three children. Are any of them old
		UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	enough to be employed?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Can you tell us what each does for a
4	living?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Excuse me?
6	THE COURT: Can you tell us what they do for a
7	living?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: My oldest works in a
9	hospital.
10	THE COURT: Doing what?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Just maintenance.
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: Second one's a plumber.
14	And the third one's unemployed at the moment.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Any reason why you could not be a
16	completely fair and impartial juror —
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No.
18	THE COURT: if you were selected to serve on this
19	panel?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 005: No.
21	THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Phillip Jennings, good
22	morning. How long have you lived in Clark County?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Twenty-seven years.
24	THE COURT: Your employment, training, education
25	background?
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: I'm a union cement mason
2	and I'm a high school graduate.
3	THE COURT: How long have you been a mason?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Since I got out of the
5	Marine Corp in '80, '82.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Long time?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes.
8	THE COURT: All right. And you served in the
9	military?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes.
11	THE COURT: When did you serve in the military?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: When?
13	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: I went in 1979 to 1982.
15	THE COURT: And did you say you were in the Marines?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes.
17.	THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Single.
19	THE COURT: Do you have any children?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: No.
21	THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you could
22	not be a completely fair and impartial juror if you were
23	selected to sit on this panel?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: No.
25	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Thank you for being
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 51

1	here. Ms. Pod, how long have you lived in Clark County?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: Two and a half years.
3	THE COURT: Where did you move from?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: Thailand.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Your education background?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: I I work
7	THE COURT: Your education?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: Oh, I from Thailand I
9	went to high school.
10	THE COURT: Okay. And what do you do for a living?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: [Inaudible.]
12	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: Housewife.
14	THE COURT: Housewife?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have children?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: Yes, twenty.
18	THE COURT: I'm sorry. How many children do you
19	have?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: Three.
21	THE COURT: Three children?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: Uh-huh.
23	THE COURT: Are they old enough to work?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: Yes, my I have two
25	sons and one daughter.
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 52

1	THE COURT: Okay. What do they do for a living?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: My son, he work in Dell
3	Company.
4	THE COURT: A what company?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: A Dell Computer.
6	THE COURT: I'm sorry. Oh, everybody can hear except
7	me. Okay. All right. And the other child?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: He still go to school.
9	THE COURT: And the daughter?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: Daughter still go to
11	school.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Any reason why you could not be a
13	completely fair and impartial juror if you were selected to
14	serve?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: My husband, he not get
16	along very well. He's sick.
17	THE COURT: Your husband's sick?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: Yes. He's 77 years old.
19	THE COURT: That's not that old.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: But he cannot get around
21	very good.
22	THE COURT: All right. Is he disabled?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: Just little bit, not that
24	much, you know.
25	THE COURT: Okay. If you're here, how is that going
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 53

1	to affect him?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: Nobody watching when I
3	not there, you know.
4	THE COURT: Does he need someone to watch him?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: Sometimes. Sometimes he
6	goes to the bathroom, you know, he cannot get up. Some little
7	things, you know.
8	THE COURT: Okay. If you're required to be here,
9	will you be able to make arrangements
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: Sure.
11	THE COURT: to cover that?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: Yes.
13	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Any reason why
14	you could not be a completely fair and impartial juror if
15	you're selected to serve?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 007: No.
17	THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Cruz, how long have you
18	lived in Clark County?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: Four years.
20	THE COURT: Your education background?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: High school graduate, and
22	security officer at the Bellagio.
23	THE COURT: How long have you done that?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: Almost one year.
25	THE COURT: Your marital status?
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 54

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: Single.
2	THE COURT: Do you have children?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: Yes.
4	THE COURT: How many children do you have?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: One.
6	THE COURT: Is that child old enough to be employed?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: No.
8	THE COURT: So small?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: Yeah.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Any reason why you could not be a
11	completely fair and impartial juror if selected to serve on
.12	this panel?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 009: No.
14	THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Brooke, how long have you
15	lived in Clark County?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: Forty-six years.
1.7	THE COURT: Your education background?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: High school graduate.
19	THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: I run a meat department
21	for a local grocery chain.
22	THE COURT: Your marital status?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: Single.
24	THE COURT: Do you have any children?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: Two.
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 55

][
1	THE COURT: Okay. Are your children old enough to be
2	employed?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: Yes.
4	THE COURT: What do they do for a living?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: My daughter is 27 is CEO
6	of her household and my son is
7	THE COURT: Is a what?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: She's a housewife.
9	THE COURT: Okay.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: There's a lot to do
11	there.
12	THE COURT: Yes, there is.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: And she is a my son
14	who is 25 is a convenience store clerk.
15	THE COURT: Any reason why you could not be a
16	completely fair and impartial juror if selected to serve?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 011: No.
18	THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you for being here.
19	Ms. Janice David, how long have you lived in Clark County?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Forty-three years.
21	THE COURT: Your education background?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: High school graduate and
23	
24	THE COURT: What did you study in college?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Court reporting slash
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 56

1	business administration.
2	THE COURT: Okay. You're a court reporter?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Yes, I am.
4	THE COURT: Okay. And you said you work in the
5	Goodsprings Court?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Yes, I'm a freelancer. I
7	work with an agency and I also cover Justice Court for
8	Goodsprings and Boulder City.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Married.
11	THE COURT: Your husband employed?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Yes.
13	THE COURT: What does your husband do for a living?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: He sells cars.
15	THE COURT: Do you have any children?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Yes.
17	THE COURT: How many?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Three.
19	THE COURT: Are any of them old enough to be
20	employed?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Can you tell us what they do for a
23	living?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: My older son is a
25	security guard at the D, Las Vegas. And my other one my
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	other sons are 10 and 7.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Any reason why you could not be a
3	completely fair and impartial juror if you were selected to
4	serve on this panel?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 016: No.
6	THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you for being here.
7	Okay. Mr. Placido, good morning. How long have you lived in
8	Clark County?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Almost 8 years.
10	THE COURT: Your education background?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: High school graduate.
12	THE COURT: Okay. And you're not employed right now?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Not employed right now.
14	THE COURT: Okay. And you're married and your wife's
15	an O.R. nurse, correct?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes, ma'am.
17	THE COURT: And you have children, correct?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: One.
19	THE COURT: One child. And she's a high school
20	student, right?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes, ma'am.
22	THE COURT: Any reason why you could not be a
23	completely fair and impartial juror if you were selected to
24	serve on this panel?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: No.

1	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Ms. Michala Reinholtz,
2	how long have you lived in Clark County?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Two and a half years.
4	THE COURT: Where did you move from?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Salt Lake City, Utah.
6	THE COURT: Your education background?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: I have an associate's
8	degree in medical assisting and currently a college student
9	again to go back for nursing.
10	THE COURT: So you're in a nursing program?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: I'm not in it yet. I'm
12	doing the pre-reqs.
13	THE COURT: Okay. So you're enrolled full-time in
14	school?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Uh-huh.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Do you work?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Not currently, no.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Married.
20	THE COURT: Is your husband employed?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: He is not employed right
22	now.
23	THE COURT: Do you have children?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Step-daughter who's in
25	high school.

1	1	THE COURT: Your employment, training, education
2	background	1?
3	· I	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: I'm a retired school
4	teacher.	I have a master's degree.
5	-	THE COURT: I'm sorry. You have a master's?
6		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Master's plus 32 hours.
7		THE COURT: What's your master's in?
8		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Secondary education.
9		THE COURT: What did you teach when you were a
10	teacher?	
11		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Home economics.
12		THE COURT: Okay. Middle school or high school?
13		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: High school.
14		THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status?
15		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Married.
16		THE COURT: Is your husband employed?
17		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No, he's retired.
18		THE COURT: What's he retired from doing?
19		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: General contractor.
20		THE COURT: Okay. Have any children?
21		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yes, two boys.
22		THE COURT: Are they old enough to be employed?
23		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yes.
24		THE COURT: Can you tell us what they do for a
25	living?	
		UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT
	1 ·	61

·			
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: The oldest one is a heavy		
2	equipment operator, but he's unemployed right now. And the		
3	younger one has a mold and asbestos testing business.		
4	THE COURT: Any reason why you could not be a		
5	completely fair and impartial juror if selected to serve on		
6	our panel?		
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No.		
8	THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Jason Morgan, how long		
9	have you lived in Clark County?		
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: Almost five years.		
11	THE COURT: Your employment, training, education		
12	background?		
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: I am an assistant		
14	superintendent on a golf course; bachelor of science degree in		
15	horticulture.		
16	THE COURT: I'm sorry. In what?		
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: Horticulture.		
18	THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status?		
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: Married.		
20	THE COURT: Is your wife employed?		
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: Yes, she's a sales		
22	representative for Oro Roofing.		
23	THE COURT: Do you have any children?		
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: No.		
25	THE COURT: Any reason why you could not be a		
	The state of the s		

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: High school graduate.
2	THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: I'm an administrator for
4	medical records.
5	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Administrator for medical
7	records retrieval company.
8	THE COURT: What's medical records retrieval?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: We are custodian of
10	records
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: for orthopedic
13	centers.
14	THE COURT: Your marital status?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Single.
16	THE COURT: Do you have any children?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Yes, one boy.
18	THE COURT: One child. Is he old enough to be
19	employed?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: No, he's three.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Any reason why you could not be a
22	completely fair and impartial juror if you were selected to
23	serve?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: No.
25	THE COURT: Thank you. Brandon Keenum. Do you mind
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 64

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Thirty-seven years. 1 THE COURT: Thirty-seven years. Your education 2 3 background? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: [Inaudible] in 4 counseling. 5 THE COURT: What do you do for a living? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Right now I'm retired. Ι 7 have taken on special projects at a high-risk high school. 8 THE COURT: You're retired, but you've taken on a 9 project? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Uh-huh. 11 THE COURT: At a high school that has high-risk 12 children? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Uh-huh. 14 THE COURT: Are those all yeses? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Yes, they are. 16 Okay. Thank you. Remember Kerry --THE COURT: 17 because if you say uh-huh, that's what's going to come up on 18 my transcript, okay? And so this project, what does it 19 require you to do? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: We just work with getting 21 kids who are extremely short with credits in order to try to 22 help them graduate. This particular school has a lot of 23 opportunities for them to be placed in different situations so 2.4 that they are more likely to graduate. 25

THE COURT: Can you just give me an example? Like what? So you go into this high school that has high-risk kids and these are kids that probably wouldn't graduate but for your help?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Well, there are traditional -- well, this is a traditional high school.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: But they don't have as many programs in place to assist kids. This particular school has gotten grants from different organizations to help pay for the kids to finish their education.

THE COURT: Okay. So do you get paid?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Do I get paid?

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And so what do you — I mean, are there classes? Tutors? Proficiency help? What do you do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: There's classes. There's tutoring. There are situations where we send them to an option of online schools so that they can work at their own pace if they are credit deficient so that they can move more quickly through the course work and have an opportunity to graduate versus staying at the traditional, you know, one-period through six-period day and not being able to catch up.

THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status?

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Most recently I'm
3	unemployed.
4	THE COURT: Your marital status?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Married.
6	THE COURT: Is your wife employed?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: No, she's been a
8	housewife since we moved here 14 years ago.
9	THE COURT: Do you have children?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Yes, one daughter.
11	THE COURT: Is she old enough to be employed?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Yes.
13	THE COURT: What does she do?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: She's currently
15	unemployed as well.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Any reason why you could not be a
17	completely fair and impartial juror if you were selected to
18	serve?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Your Honor, I've been
20	1
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: I'd like to think I can
23	1
2	THE COURT: Okay.
2	l l
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 71

though, my daughter was in an abusive relationship with the father of our granddaughter. In fact, [inaudible] now. And I — I think I can put that out of my mind but the similarity of the situations.

THE COURT: That you just -- so your daughter was in a situation --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: She's never been seriously injured.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: But the father of our granddaughter she lived with at the time was abusing her verbally and physically.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Never anything that had gone to court or anything like that. Police were called several times and they got security [inaudible] in a hotel and pretty much cut off relation with him for the past several years.

THE COURT: All right. So how long has it been since this has gone on?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Probably about five years, but it was kind of a hot button with me.

THE COURT: Okay. I understand, you're a father. Is there anything — I mean, you understand that has nothing to do with this case?

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Right. I understand 2 that.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: It's just I — I — I knew this fellow I thought pretty well.

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Kind of a guy who [inaudible] shirt off his back, great guy. More I found out about him, I just couldn't believe it. Made me really cynical.

THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about your personal issues that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: I'd like to think not.

I'm in human resources, obviously I have to mediate a lot of things and try and be fair and impartial in those situations and I think I've done a good job of it.

THE COURT: Okay. Well again, everybody that comes to this courtroom, comes to this courtroom with lots of life experiences, okay? I don't expect you to leave them at the door; but, well, I guess I kind of do expect you to leave them at the door. I mean, can you leave that experience at the door, listen to the evidence that you hear in this case and judge this case solely upon what you see and hear in the courtroom and the instructions on the law and nothing else?

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: I'm just worried about how subconsciously it might affect my train of thought.

THE COURT: All right. Well, that's what I'm worried about too. Is it going to do that? Is it going to impede your ability to look at the evidence objectively —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: I don't know, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- and weigh it fair and impartially?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: I can't honestly say, no,

) ∥it won't.

THE COURT: Okay. So you think what's happened to your daughter could -- do you believe that what's happened to your daughter should affect Mr. Bennett Grimes?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Not at all.

THE COURT: Neither do I, because would that be fair? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Are you a juror that's going to allow that to affect your judgment?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 029: Again I would hope not. I believe that I can keep them separate.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm not — I'm not hopeful that your questions are good enough right now. I mean, you have to be able to say you can or you can't, okay? Someone that's kind of on the fence, generally that's not really a yes; and both of these parties, they're entitled to fair and impartial

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: No.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Single.
4	THE COURT: Do you have children?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: No.
6	THE COURT: Any reason why you could not be a
7	completely fair and impartial juror if you were selected to
8	serve on this panel?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: No, but I was
10	THE COURT: What's "no but"? Go ahead.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: I just want to let you
12	know I recently had a traumatic experience.
13	THE COURT: I'm sorry. Do you feel comfortable
14	sharing it with everybody or
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: Sure.
16	THE COURT: Okay, I just want to make sure.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: About four months ago my
18	best friend was murdered in a domestic violence situation back
19	in St. Louis.
20	THE COURT: I'm sorry. Okay. It was your best
21	friend. In the relationship, who was your best friend?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: My friend, Deandre.
23	THE COURT: Is that was that the woman or the man?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: The guy.
25	THE COURT: The guy?
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 78

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: There should be a bus,
2	yeah.
3	THE COURT: Okay. That's what I thought, right?
4	Okay. And [inaudible] stays at one mile, if you're beyond one
5	mile is that
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: [Inaudible] one mile.
7	THE COURT: Is that still the rule?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: [Inaudible.]
9	THE COURT: Okay. How long have you been a bus
10	driver?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Twenty-three years.
12	THE COURT: School district?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Do you like it?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: It's all right.
16	THE COURT: All right. I won't ask you anymore
17	questions. Your marital status?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Married.
19	THE COURT: Is your husband employed?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Yes. Transportation
21	supervisor.
22	
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: School district.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any children?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Yes, two.
`	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 82

1	THE COURT: Are they old enough to be employed?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Yes.
3	THE COURT: What do they do for a living?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: My son's a corrections
5	officer and my daughter is a cashier at a Home Depot in Utah.
6	THE COURT: Any reason why you could not be a
7	completely fair and impartial juror if you were selected to
8	serve on this panel?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: No.
10	THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you for being here.
11	Mr. Peter Pryor, how long have you lived in Clark County?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: Seventeen years.
13	THE COURT: Your education background?
: 14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: High school graduate,
15	retired firefighter.
16	THE COURT: Retired firefighter. For what
17	jurisdiction?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: I was in New York state,
19	it was in [inaudible], city of Water [inaudible].
20	THE COURT: So you've been retired for 17 years or
21	more? Okay. Your marital status?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: Married.
23	THE COURT: Is your wife employed?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 037: She works for Loomis.
25	THE COURT: For?
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 83

H	and the control of th
1	serve on this panel?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: No.
3	THE COURT: Thank you.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 038: You're welcome.
5	THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Brian Kuntz. How long
6	have you lived in Clark County?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Almost eight years.
8	THE COURT: Your education background?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Three years of college.
10	THE COURT: What did you study?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Computer information
12	systems.
13	THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: I'm currently unemployed.
15	THE COURT: Your marital status?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: Single.
17	THE COURT: Do you have children?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: No, ma'am.
19	THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you could
20	not be a completely fair and impartial juror if you were
21	selected to serve on this panel?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 041: No.
23	THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you very much for being
24	here All right. At this time, ladies and gentlemen, it's
25	During this recess
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 88
	II

you're admonished not to talk or converse amongst yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial or read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information, including without limitation newspapers, television, the Internet or radio, or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

You're further admonished you may not communicate with anyone, including your fellow jurors about this case on your cellphone, through email, Blackberry, iPhone, text messaging, through Twitter, any blog or website, through any Internet chat room or by way of any other social networking website including but not limited to Facebook, MySpace, LinkedIn and YouTube.

We're going to be in recess until 1:45. After lunch, you'll come back up to the fourteenth floor in which the officer will meet you and he'll bring you back in. Before I excuse you, can I just speak to the officer for one minute?

(Pause in proceedings.)

THE COURT: Okay. At this time, ladies and gentlemen, you're excused. We'll see you at 1:45. Thank you.

(Court recessed at 12:32 p.m. until 1:54 p.m.)

(Outside the presence of the prospective jury panel.)

THE COURT: This hearing is taking place outside the

presence of the jury panel. Mr. Bennett Grimes is present. Generally, especially when a jury comes in, I like people to stand up. It's just, you know, out of respect, okay? But thank you, you can have a seat. All right. Because you don't want the jury to think you're not being respectful, okay? Do you have something that has to be done before I bring the panel back in?

MR. HILLMAN: Just briefly.

THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Hillman.

MR. HILLMAN: When Ms. Hojjat and I left the courtroom for the lunchroom break, we tried to wait to make sure that the elevator well was clear of jurors. We came around the corner and saw Janice David.

THE COURT: What badge number?

MR. HILLMAN: She is Badge No. 16 in Chair No. 7.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HILLMAN: And that's all --

THE COURT: The court reporter?

MR. HILLMAN: Yes. That's all --

THE COURT: The court reporter who knows better?

MR. HILLMAN: Well, it wasn't her fault. She was just waiting for the elevator. We walked around the corner, there she was, we turned around and went — and backtracked. So we're wondering if the Court would consider telling them that if we run into them on the street or anywhere else, we

1	can't say anything to them and we're not being rude. That's
2	all.
3	THE COURT: Okay. So nothing happened? You just saw
4	her.
5	MR. HILLMAN: Nothing happened. We just want you to
6	add that extra instruction.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	MR. HILLMAN: Okay.
9	THE COURT: I usually do.
10	MR. HILLMAN: Right, you usually do, but I don't
11	remember hearing it this morning.
12	THE COURT: I don't remember either, Mr. Hillman.
13	MR. HILLMAN: And I didn't want to wait until the end
14	because I would forget by then.
15	THE COURT: Okay. But she didn't say anything to
16	you?
17	MR. HILLMAN: No, nothing was said.
18	THE COURT: Okay. But you think she might be worried
19	because you turned around and ran?
20	MS. HOJJAT: It just it appeared
21	MR. HILLMAN: It was
22	
23	turned right back around and walked back out again.
24	THE COURT: Okay. No problem.
25	MR. HILLMAN: It was a little awkward, that's all.
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 91
	II .

1.7

21.

THE COURT: Okay. No problem. I'll be happy to do it. Before we went to lunch the only person that I allowed to leave was Janet Robertson, 0008, but everyone else should still be here with us. So we'll bring them in. I just have a few questions to ask the panel as a whole, and then after that I'm going to turn the panel over to the State.

MS. BOTELHO: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I have just a few more questions. You can bring them in.

(In the presence of the prospective jury panel.)

THE COURT: Sir, I know you have issues with your back. You can sit wherever it is that's most comfortable for you, okay? Okay. If you want to come over here where there's less people so you don't — you can sit on the second row right there if you want to. Or you can sit in that chair, it's up to you. All right.

Do the parties stipulate to the presence of the jury panel as a whole?

MS. BOTELHO: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. HILLMAN: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. At this time my — I have a few questions left for the panel of 23 only. So if you are in the first 23 these questions pertain to you and if you'd like to respond in the affirmative, again please raise your hand, state your name and your badge number before responding to my

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: I'm not sure either.
2	THE COURT: It was the other courthouse?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Were you — it was a criminal
5	case?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Were you selected to be the foreperson?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: No.
9	THE COURT: Without telling us what your verdict was,
10	was the jury able to reach a verdict?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Yes.
12	THE COURT: Anything about that experience that would
13	affect your ability to be a fair and impartial juror in this
14	case?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: No.
16	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Anyone else that's ever
16 17	served as a juror before? Go ahead.
	served as a juror before? Go ahead. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Karen Augspurger, 080021.
17	served as a juror before? Go ahead. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Karen Augspurger, 080021. THE COURT: Have you ever served as a juror?
17 18	served as a juror before? Go ahead. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Karen Augspurger, 080021.
17 18 19	served as a juror before? Go ahead. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Karen Augspurger, 080021. THE COURT: Have you ever served as a juror?
17 18 19 20	served as a juror before? Go ahead. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Karen Augspurger, 080021. THE COURT: Have you ever served as a juror? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yes. THE COURT: How many times? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Two.
17 18 19 20 21	served as a juror before? Go ahead. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Karen Augspurger, 080021. THE COURT: Have you ever served as a juror? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yes. THE COURT: How many times? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Two. THE COURT: Civil or criminal?
17 18 19 20 21 22	served as a juror before? Go ahead. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Karen Augspurger, 080021. THE COURT: Have you ever served as a juror? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yes. THE COURT: How many times? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Two. THE COURT: Civil or criminal?
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	served as a juror before? Go ahead. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Karen Augspurger, 080021. THE COURT: Have you ever served as a juror? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yes. THE COURT: How many times? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Two. THE COURT: Civil or criminal? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Two civil.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Uh-huh.
2	THE COURT: Is that a yes?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Okay. In either of those cases were you
5	selected to be the foreperson?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No.
7	THE COURT: Was the jury able to reach a verdict?
8	Don't tell us what the verdict was; but in both cases was the
9	jury able to reach a verdict?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Anything about those experiences that
12	would affect your ability to be a fair and impartial juror in
13	this particular case?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No.
15	THE COURT: Thank you. Anyone else that's ever
16	served as a juror before? Go ahead, ma'am.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Vicky Woodside, 080036.
18	THE COURT: How many times?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Once.
20	THE COURT: Civil or criminal?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Criminal.
22	THE COURT: And that was here in Clark County?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Yeah. Yes.
24	THE COURT: Were you selected to be the foreperson?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: No.
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 95

1	THE COURT: Without tell us what your verdict was,
2	were you able to reach a verdict?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Anything about that experience that would
5	affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this
6	particular case?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 036: No.
8	THE COURT: Thank you. Anyone else that's ever
9	served as a juror before? Go ahead, ma'am.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: 080027.
11	THE COURT: Your name?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Karen Hopkins.
13	THE COURT: Go ahead, Ms. Hopkins. How many times?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Once.
15	THE COURT: Civil or criminal?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Civil.
17	THE COURT: That was here in Clark County?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Were you selected to be the foreperson?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: No.
21	THE COURT: Without telling me what your verdict was,
22	were you able to reach a verdict?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: No.
24	THE COURT: No?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: No.
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 96

THE COURT: So what happened?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: The judge just dismissed us and said there wasn't enough information to make a decision.

THE COURT: Okay. Were you ever excused to deliberate your verdict?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: [Inaudible.]

THE COURT: Okay. So at some point in the trial the judge says, "you're discharged, you can go home." Is that a yes?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: At the end, yes.

THE COURT: All right. And you did not have to deliberate?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: Right.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything about that that would affect your ability to be a fair and impartial juror in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 027: No.

THE COURT: Thank you. Anyone else? The record will reflect no further response from the jury panel.

Have you or anyone close to you, such as a family member or friend, ever been the victim of a crime? Have you or anyone close to you, such as a family member, friend, ever been the victim of a crime? And other than what potential jurors have already informed the Court. Go ahead, ma'am.

li li	· ·
1	Your name and badge number?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Llendy Hernandez, Badge
3	No. 080024. My dad was murdered in 2006.
4	THE COURT: I'm sorry.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: My dad was murdered in
6	'06.
7	THE COURT: 2006?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Was that here in Clark County?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: No. Moreno Valley,
11	California.
12	THE COURT: Okay. I'm sorry. I'm sorry I have to
13	ask you these questions. Did they catch
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: [Inaudible.]
15	THE COURT: All right. Is it still unsolved?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: The DA said it was out of
17	their hands and couldn't do anything about it until the State
18	least know anything and it's still a mystery to my
1	family still.
2	THE COURT: Okay. I don't know what that means, "the
2	DA said it's out of our hands."
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: I don't know either. I
2	was 18. I wasn't sure of the verbiage. [Inaudible.]
,	THE COURT: All right. But they never arrested
;	anybody?
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT
	98

1	them going in there, like what was the motive? Like why him?
2	We I'm left with like no answers, so I I don't know.
3	THE COURT: Okay. I'm sorry.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: It's okay.
5	THE COURT: Have you ever spoken to the police
6	directly?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: I don't even know who to
8 -	contact over there. I mean this is like six years ago outside
9	of where we live in Orange (sic) County. This is all in a
10	different county and [inaudible] so I wasn't even sure of the
11	resources that I could use there, who to contact or anything.
12	THE COURT: So who was like the person that would
13	speak for the family to the police?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Our attorney.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever asked the attorney
16	who is it, who's the detective, who's a homicide detective,
17	who can I contact?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: They were in contact with
19	my mom. I really didn't
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: get into it. I was
22	just [inaudible]. Kind of hurts too much to go back.
23	THE COURT: Okay. So they were in contact with your
24	mother? Is that a yes?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Yes.
	II

r	THE COURT: All right. All right. But you're not
2	really sure what investigation was done?
. 3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Right, I don't.
4	THE COURT: You don't know what leads they have?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: No.
6	THE COURT: But somebody told you that whoever it
7	was, they fled the country?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: I'm not sure the country,
9	they just said they couldn't find the person, that they're
10	still out there.
11	THE COURT: So they — they have a suspect, they've
12	ID'd somebody? A person?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: That's what my attorney
14	said, yeah, that they know who did it but they don't know
15	where he's at.
16	THE COURT: Okay. So they know who did it or they
17	have a suspect?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Right.
19	THE COURT: And they just haven't been able to
20	apprehend that suspect?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Correct.
22	THE COURT: Okay. So I'm assuming you're hopeful
23	that at some point they will be able to apprehend him and
24	prosecute him?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. How do they know how they come to the conclusion that this is who did it, we just can't find him?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: I don't know. I didn't want to ask too many questions, to be honest.

THE COURT: I'm sorry.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: I don't know. I didn't want to ask too many questions. Honestly, like it felt like kind of like the less I knew the better. I just didn't want to go back to that day; so I don't feel comfortable about this. I really don't. Sorry.

THE COURT: I'm sorry?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: I don't feel comfortable talking about this.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm sorry. But you brought it up to me.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Right, because --

THE COURT: So that's — I'm just trying to — would you feel more comfortable coming up here at the bench? Okay. Is there anything about — so you're okay talking about it with everybody else? Because you can talk about it like that or we can come up to the bench and it will just be me and the lawyers. Would that make you feel better? No. Okay. And again, I'm sorry that you have to talk about it. I'm really sorry. Is there anything about that particular incident that

1	impartial in this
1	would affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this
2	case?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Then you're going to have to come
5	up here, all right?
6	(Bench conference transcribed as follows.)
7	THE COURT: I'm sorry, Ms. Hernandez.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: It's okay.
9	THE COURT: Hopefully you're more comfortable if
	is duat up here. Let the record reflect Ms. Hernandez 15
10	the hench, 0024, as well as all lour lawyers.
11	Time asked you repeatedly if you could be I-
12	Now you said, Yes. Now you said you child
13	hampened to your father might affect you liefe. How
14	PROCEDUCTIVE JUROR NO. 024: I'm just rearry bross
15	about the situation and I'm just upset and also in opening I
16	about the situation and
1	was THE COURT: Okay. Let's not go onto anything else
1	8 THE COURT: Oray. Post.
1	yet. Okay. You're bitter and upset.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Right. O PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Right.
2	THE COURT: Okay. And that's completely
2	understandable, okay? And that was in another jurisdiction,
	right?
	23 right: 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Benita [phonetic]. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Benita [phonetic].
	24 THE COURT: [Inaudible], California, there was
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 106
	100

- 1	
1	THE COURT: You didn't have to testify?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: No.
3	THE COURT: What, did they enter a guilty plea?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: I have no idea.
5	THE COURT: But they're locked up where and for how
6	long?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: In San Diego. I don't
8	know how long.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Did you follow the case at all?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: No, I didn't want to. I
	had to go ==
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: I had to go see a
13	psychologist because I was like traumatized from the incident.
14	I get anxiety attacks here and there and I just don't feel
15	comfortable.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Anything else you want to tell us?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: No. That's it.
18	THE COURT: Okay. So you were a victim of crime and
19	also your father was a victim. You think that's going to
20	
21	impede your ability PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Oh, yeah.
22	TYPE COURT, Okay, I have to finish asking my
23	harayga Kerry is taking down everything. What
24	this morning and lunch?
25	-
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 111
	NI ·

prospective juror no. 024: I was trying to like get over it and move on and not let it hold me back from my life, but it's hard, you know. Just I can't let it — my dad's situation, I can't let it past me. My situation, okay, I'll grow up from it one day, but not now. I'm not ready to — I just don't want my judgment and my feelings and whatnot to get in the way of a man's case; I just don't feel like that's right.

THE COURT: Okay. Neither is one that happened to you. So do you think that because what happened to you you're going to convict him regardless of the evidence?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: I don't -- I don't know.
I just --

THE COURT: Okay. You're the only one that can tell us.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: I don't -- I'm just angry.

THE COURT: And you have every right to be, okay?

But if you're picked as a juror and you listen to both sides

put on their case and after you've heard all of the evidence

if you believe the State failed to carry their burden of proof

by proving beyond a reasonable doubt, would you have any

problem entering a not guilty plea?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Honestly, I don't know. I just don't think that I'm suitable for this one, at least.

5 6

1.6

The whole criminal thing with my dad and what happened to me, I'm just -- I don't want to do this.

THE COURT: Could you answer my question? If you sit in this trial, you're picked as a juror, and you believe the State hasn't met their burden of proof, they didn't prove their case by proof beyond a reasonable doubt, would you have any problem entering a not guilty plea — I'm sorry, not guilty verdict? I'm sorry. Have any problem returning a not guilty verdict?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Yeah, like I'm saying, he didn't do it and they're going to have him free.

THE COURT: Okay. Listen to my question. You sat through the case, you've heard all of the evidence. You go back to deliberate and you believe the State did not meet their burden of proof, they didn't prove their case, okay? Would you have any problem returning a not guilty verdict?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Vice versa. If you sat through the case and the State put on — both sides put on their case and you heard the evidence and the State did meet their burden of proof by proof beyond a reasonable doubt, could you return a guilty verdict?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: What do you mean? My head is somewhere else right now. I'm just kind of shocked and embarrassed, to be honest.

If you're selected to serve as a juror. THE COURT: 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Right. 2 THE COURT: And after you've heard all of the 3 evidence and after being instructed on the law you believe the 4 State has met their burden of proof by proof beyond a 5 reasonable doubt, would you have any problem returning a 6 quilty verdict? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: 8 THE COURT: And you already told me if they don't 9 meet their burden of proof, you won't have any problem 10 returning a not guilty verdict, correct? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Right. I just --12 THE COURT: Do we have to worry about whether you're 13 going to take out on either side what happened to you? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: I feel like I will; like 15 I feel like I would want to put someone because what happened 16 to me and my dad. Like I know it's weird [inaudible], I don't 17 -- it's just I don't feel like -- I don't know, I just --18 THE COURT: You feel like you want to put someone in 19 jail regardless of who it is? 2.0 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: No, I just feel - I 21 don't know. [Inaudible] am I going to have everything 22 23 [inaudible]. THE COURT: Are you on any medication for anxiety? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: No. I was supposed to go 25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: But nobody cares to look into it, you know.

THE COURT: How do you know? You haven't even talked to anybody that's handling the case; how do you know?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Well, wouldn't they contact us to have our information? Like wouldn't they let our attorneys know? I mean —

THE COURT: I suggest you find out who [inaudible] your father's case and you call him yourself; that would be my suggestion.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: I don't know where to start from.

THE COURT: You're an adult. You can do it. Call your attorney. You're obviously not an attorney. Find out who's been — who was assigned your father's case and do it yourself. They'll talk to you. they'll talk to you and tell them you want updates. Call them every other month if you want to and find out for yourself instead, you know, taking what other people tell you. That's what I would do. It might make you feel better too. You're allowed to do that, okay?

Maybe you can satisfy yourself. It's up to you. There's no statute of limitation on murder. If they catch the person 20 years from now they can try them, did you know that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Huh-huh.

THE COURT: Okay. So hopefully your family will get

justice for what happened. Okay. All of that aside, you've had some pretty significant things that happened to you. You're a young person, okay? Is that going to interfere and impede your ability to be a fair and impartial to Mr. Grimes?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: You're asking me a question that I don't know until I get, you know, until I go through it. Like I don't want to answer the wrong way or tell you, No, I'm going to be perfectly fine, when I know I'm not.

THE COURT: Okay. Because you're allowed to be upset about what happened to you and happened to your father.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Right.

THE COURT: I can't tell you, Don't be upset about that, okay? You're allowed to be upset about that. The only thing that would be inappropriate is if you say, You know what, these bad things happened to me, they didn't catch the person that did this to my father, so I'm going to stick it to somebody else.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Well, no, I'm not going to do that. I'm not crazy. You know, I don't want --

THE COURT: Okay. It sounds like you're -- you're not necessarily fond of the judicial system.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Well, you asked the question if anybody had seen or been murdered, I answered — THE COURT: I didn't say that. I said "been a victim"

THE COURT: I didn't say that, I said been a victim of a crime."

MS. BOTELHO: I'm wondering if [inaudible] problem [inaudible] with this case, it sounds like you're the victim of a serious violent crime, the gun incident, [inaudible], and [inaudible] your father's kind of a violent-type case. Do you think that the nature of this case that you would [inaudible] presenting evidence, this is a — the crimes that are charged in this case involve violence, are you going to be okay listening to the facts like that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: It depends how gruesome there are, honestly. You know, it's very emotional for me. It's just kind of — it's just a lot.

MS. BOTELHO: Do you think that while we're presenting the case and the defense [inaudible] cross-examining witnesses and things like that, and we had to show you pictures because they're — it's going to be part of the evidence and stuff you would have to look at, and deliberate, do you think — do you have or do you think it would take you back to the two incidents that you have been a part of, have been the victim of, and then will that —

THE COURT: Well, what's your question? Are you going to be able to look at the evidence, even though some of the evidence might not be pleasant? It might be gruesome.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: I mean, that's what [inaudible] medical records of people in car accidents. And this is like [inaudible] hurts me [inaudible].

2

3

4

5

6

25

1	THE COURT: Will you state your name so Kerry can
2	just get used to your voice?
3	MS. HOJJAT: Oh, sure. This is Nadya Hojjat. So you
4	were obviously the victim of a violent crime, you said there
5	was a gun pointed at your head?
6	THE COURT: Is that a yes?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Thank you.
9	MS. HOJJAT: That's a [inaudible] incident for you?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Yes.
11	MS. HOJJAT: [Inaudible] violence circumstances as
12	well that was happening?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Yes.
14	MS. HOJJAT: [Inaudible] screaming?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: [Inaudible] just me by
16	myself.
17	MS. HOJJAT: Just by yourself?
18	THE COURT: You were in the RadioShack all by
19	yourself?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: By myself. It was in the
21	morning so they [inaudible].
22	MS. HOJJAT: Okay. Was he yelling at you?
2:	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: He asked me to shut up
2	and I was telling him ** [inaudible].
2	No HOLLAT. All right. So he's yelling at you, he's
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 124

member's future.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1.6

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HOJJAT: Okay. So you're concerned that maybe your emotions will end up dictating Mr. Grimes' future?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Well, like I told the Judge, I don't know until I'm there, but I will try to put my emotions aside and be a fair person and, you know, look at this case, not my own cases, whatnot.

MS. HOJJAT: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay. Because it's strange because you're telling all of us you can be fair and impartial, you can listen to the evidence, and you can follow the instructions of law. If -- if you had a family member being [inaudible], isn't that the type of jury you would want? Yes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024:

THE COURT: Okay. And let me ask you, if that was your family member, would you want a juror like yourself, and you say, "no," so --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Well, no, because ---THE COURT: So explain to us why you would say "no." PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Because I wouldn't know what their train of thought is at that moment and like if they're going to -- if they're going to like use their past to -- to move this -- to [inaudible] on this man's future, I don't [inaudible].

THE COURT: Right, but are you -- are you the person

THE COURT:

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: No. I wouldn't want to. THE COURT: Okay. You understand, none of us, neither side, nobody wants you to judge this case based upon PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Right. THE COURT: You get that, right? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Right. THE COURT: Okay. Nobody wants you to put someone in jail regardless of what the evidence is because something bad happened to your family, you get that, right? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Right. THE COURT: Okay. So explain to us why your answer is different when the attorneys ask you, If you had a family member sitting at that table you wouldn't want a juror like yourself? What kind of juror would you want? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Someone that hasn't gone through any [inaudible] so they have a better, like clear THE COURT: You got to be at least 18 years old to sit on a jury. I mean, do you think there's anybody in the world that reaches 18 without any life experience? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Well, no, I know everyone

1.8

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Some people deal with it differently and don't let it, you know, haunt them and [inaudible] I guess. I mean, with my — with what happened to

me, with whatever happened to my dad, I'm just -

THE COURT: So you want someone on the jury that's had no life experience, because that would be impossible?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: I understand.

THE COURT: You understand that? Okay. So really we're all just looking for a panel of jurors that can be fair and impartial, listen to the evidence, weigh it appropriately, and follow the instructions on the law; do you understand that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Yes.

THE COURT: Are you a person that can do that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. We're not telling you forget about what happened to you. And no one's going to tell you, You're not allowed to think about what happened to you during this trial, because I can't control your thoughts. Nobody can. What we're asking you to do is set it aside and not let it affect your ability to consider and weigh the evidence in this case and render a decision accordingly; do you understand that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Yes.

THE COURT: Can you do that? I mean, we just want to

hear yes or no. If you think you can, do it. Just tell me. We're asking you questions different ways and I'm getting completely different answers.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: I just don't -
THE COURT: And I have to be the one to determine whether you can be on the panel or not.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: I just think it's going to be a lot of emotional stress on me.

okay, because quite frankly, any case should give you stress. If you're sitting as a juror, I don't care what case it is, you should be under stress. That's called doing your job. That's appropriate, all right? But I don't want to comprise your health, and that's why I asked you, Am I going to compromise your health if you're required to be here? I don't want to do that. I mean, I don't want to do that, okay? So I'll ask you that again. Am I going to compromise your wellbeing and your health if you're required to be here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Well, I don't know. I had a doctor's appointment today at 2:30. I am canceling it. Like I said, I'm having shortness of breath and my heart's beating fast, and I feel like I'm going to have an anxiety attack. I don't — I don't —

THE COURT: I mean -- I don't know, things like that don't necessarily bother me or think you can't be on the jury

1	[inaudible] permission [inaudible].
2	THE COURT: Okay. Do you feel sick?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: No, I just feel my heart
4	beat [inaudible] went up. I sorry.
5	THE COURT: I'm sorry.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: [Inaudible.]
7	THE COURT: Okay. Just let me know when you're ready
8	to continue.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: I mean [inaudible] needed
10	to [inaudible].
11	THE COURT: Well, clearly we need people to do it,
12	that's why we're here, okay? But again, I'm going to state it
13	and I don't know how much more I can say. I don't want you
14	I don't want to compromise your health. I don't want to send
15	you to the hospital. I don't want to make you sick.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Right, [inaudible].
17	THE COURT: It's not going to happen?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: No.
19	THE COURT: Okay. You're healthy enough to sit on
20	this panel?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Okay. You've had some horrific things
23	happen to you in your life and nobody's discounting that, do
·24	you understand that?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Yes.
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 133

THE COURT: And I feel terrible I didn't ask you about it, but I have to ask you about it. Is that going to --1 is your past going to interfere with your ability to give 3 these parties here a fair and impartial trial? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: No. THE COURT: Okay. Will you be able to listen to the 5 6 evidence that's presented by both sides? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Yes. THE COURT: Will you follow the instructions on the 8 9 law as given to you by the Court? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: THE COURT: And you understand we're not going to 11 give you any instruction that says, Consider your past and how 12 it's affected you in determining what decision to render in 13 14 this case; you understand that, right? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Yes. THE COURT: I mean, I'll give you exactly the 16 I'll tell you you can only use your common sense 17 opposite. and the evidence that's presented to you and the instructions 18 19 on the law; do you understand that? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: THE COURT: Do you understand this is serious? 21 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Yes. THE COURT: You understand that both sides and 23 myself, we're just interested in getting a jury, you know, 2.4 25 UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 134

bit?

25

1	THE COURT: No?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: No.
3	THE COURT: It wasn't \$300, was it?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: It was \$311, yeah.
5	THE COURT: You settled for \$311?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: A month, for like the
7	next [inaudible].
8	THE COURT: Okay. Did the company declare
9	bankruptcy?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: They had no insurance, so
11	he's paying out of pocket, all the trustees, all that.
12	THE COURT: Okay. So kind of like you can't squeeze
13	blood out of a turnip, is that the term?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Something like that.
15	THE COURT: Because I don't want you is that kind
16	of what your family decided? Okay. There's no
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: But we had no options.
18	THE COURT: Yeah. You had no options.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: That was it.
20	THE COURT: Heck with that. That stinks, huh?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Uh-huh.
22	THE COURT: Okay. You're not going to hold that
23	against any parties here, right?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: No.
25	THE COURT: Okay.
·	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 139

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

MS. HOJJAT: So let me make this my final question. Just taking into account the victim [inaudible] that your family has had, the violence that you've personally encountered, the distrust you have of the justice system, and the fear that you said you have of African Americans when you're alone like that ---

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: A year ago [inaudible].

That's like ten compound questions. THE COURT: Why don't you ask her, Considering everything that Okay. you've disclosed to us up here?

MS. HOJJAT: Considering everything that you've disclosed to us up here, do you think you could be a fair and impartial juror in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024:

THE COURT: All right. Okay. I'm going to let you go. Again I want to thank you for answering our questions. And again I won't permit them to ask any questions about this unless we ask to approach the bench. If they have to ask anything else, we won't do it in front of the other jurors, okay? And you don't have to tell any of the other jurors what we spoke about up here, okay?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 024: Okay.

THE COURT: And you can tell the court marshal if anyone tries to discuss with you your private matters, he can let me know [inaudible] court marshal, okay?

·	a laterfore
1	THE COURT: Anything about that that would interfere
2	with your ability to be fair and impartial in this case:
.3	PROCEDICATIVE JUROR NO. 020: No.
4	THE COURT: Anyone else? Go ahead, ma'am. Your name
5	and badge number?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Michala Reinholtz,
7	080018. About 10 years ago when I was in Salt Lake City our
8	house was broken into.
9	house was broken interfere THE COURT: Anything about that that would interfere
10	with your ability to be fair and impartial?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: No.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Did you call the police?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Was it your home?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Okay. The home that you share with your
17	and child?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: It was my boylliche as
19	the time.
21	THE COURT: Okay. But it was your home?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yes.
	THE COURT: And you called the police?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: Yes.
	THE COURT: Did they come?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 018: They did.
. •	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 142

- 11	·
1	THE COURT: Okay. So probably you could conclude the
2 a	larm and the dogs scared them away?
· 1	PROCEETIVE JUROR NO. 022: Yean, Year.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Anything about that that would
4	Interfere with your ability to be fair and impartial?
1	DROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 022: No.
6	Okay. Anyone else? Go ahead, Sir.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Had a home break-in too.
8	THE COURT: Your name and badge number?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Kirk Sanford, 0026.
10	THE COURT: Go ahead.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: I had my home in Utah
12	broken into about two years ago.
13	broken into about two year THE COURT: Anything about that that would interfere
14	with your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?
15	with your ability to be add- PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: No.
16	PROSPECTIVE Concerns THE COURT: Okay. Did you call the police?
17	THE COURT: ORDY. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Did the police respond?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Was it investigated?
21	THE COURT: Was It III
22	PROSPECTIVE GONOR NO. fingerprints and they know who it is but he's still on the
23	fingerprints and they know who is
24	still on the loose [inaudible].
2	
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT
	11

1.45

_	THE COURT: Okay. What happened as a result of those
1	accusations? Were charges brought against you?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: It was just I spent two
3	months in jail in L.A. County because — and more than a month
4	
5	in Everett, Washington jail. THE COURT: Okay. You spent jail you spent time
6	l1
7	in jail in L.A. and in Washington? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Yes, ma'am, because I was
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017. 1007
9	Okav.
10	THE COURT: That doesn't make sense. Okay.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: I was on vacation from,
12	you know, the Philippines at that time.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: And when I came back
15	THE COURT Okav. So when you came back in the
. 16	-i-mort ?
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: and they picked me up
18	l-mont
1	THE COURT: In Washington?
-	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: They said I had no, in
2	
	1 L.A. THE COURT: Okay. You were coming through L.A?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: They told me that I'm a
2	
. :	fugitive. THE COURT: Okay. Was there a warrant for your
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 146
	!!

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: As I said, you know, half an hour after that they got two cops and, you know, telling me to get out that property, and then — and then — and then I went back to Indiana, and then when I took a vacation, when I came back I got handcuffed and they told me that I was a fugitive and I have a, you know, a case in Washington, in Everett, Washington.

THE COURT: Okay. So was the charge violation of a temporary restraining order or was it a home burglary? What were the charges?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Said I'm burglarizing my house, what they indicated on the --

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So you don't think you were treated fairly?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: Well --

THE COURT: Although you said you had went back in violation of the restraining order.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: I don't know, but, you know, my wife told me to get out of here and I don't have any house or home and that — in Everett, Washington, so I went back to Indiana where I came from.

THE COURT: Okay. Why did the restraining order get — what was the — how come your wife asked for a restraining order? What was the background in getting the restraining order?

.

fair and impartial to the parties here today?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 017: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir. Anyone else? The record will reflect no further response from the panel.

Is there anyone on the panel who would have a tendency to give more weight or credence or less weight or credence to the testimony of a police officer simply because the witness is a police officer? Okay. The record will reflect no response from the panel.

Is there anyone on the panel who believes they cannot wait in forming their opinion as to what the appropriate result should be in this case until you have heard all of the evidence and after you have been instructed on the law by the Court? Anyone who believes they cannot do that? Okay. The record will reflect no further response from the panel.

At this time I'm going to turn the panel over to the State of Nevada. Both sides have an opportunity to question the panel. We just have one [inaudible] goes pretty quickly because neither side is permitted to ask you any questions that I have already asked you. State of Nevada can address the panel?

MS. BOTELHO: Thank you, Your Honor. May I use the

THE COURT: Of course, of course.

MS. BOTELHO: Thank you.

MS. BOTELHO: Good afternoon, everyone. [Inaudible] all raise your hand too quickly for this first question, but who's excited to be here?

THE COURT: Oh, come on.

MS. BOTELHO: Who actually wants to serve on this jury? Who's excited to do that? No one is raising their hand. So what are some of the reasons why, besides all the, you know, the reasons that we've already discussed with Judge Leavitt, what are some of the reasons why you're kind of hesitant to serve on a jury?

THE COURT: You know what? I'm — you know what? Excuse me. I don't know if I want to hear all this again.

MS. BOTELHO: Okay.

THE COURT: I think I've already asked everybody all the reasons. But, sir, did you want to address the Court?

Your name and badge number?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: It's Phillip Jennings, 0006.

THE COURT: Okay. What did you want to tell me?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: The company I work for,

they don't make up my pay difference and I'm a construction

worker, and I'm currently working now but, you know, I might

be working this week and not working the next week the way the

construction is now and I just can't afford not to make money

when I have an opportunity to make money.

. 11	
1	THE COURT: I understand that and it's probably
2	everybody in the courtroom would probably be able to say that
3	too. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Oh, I understand that
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROK NO. 000.
5	too. THE COURT: So I just want to make sure, if you're
6 7	to be here for the next couple of days, are you going
8	to be able to pay your bills or are you going to be on the
9	streets? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: I'll be able to pay them
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 000. 1 22
11	but. THE COURT: Okay. Just be tight?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Excuse me?
13	THE COURT: It would be tight?
14	
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes.
1	THE COURT: But you can be here?
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROK NO. 300
1	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
1	MS. BOTELHO: And I'll move on, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Thanks.
2	MS. BOTELHO: Judge Leavitt already asked everyone on
	a shother or not you or anyone close to you has even
	thad of a crime. I want to focus a little bis man
	Have you or anyone crose so
	on something a literal range of the victim of domestic violence? And I see Mr. you ever been the victim of domestic violence? And I see Mr.
	ZO POUCI DENET

Whelchel, Juror No. 0001.

therapist, not somebody close to me, but one of the things in my work, I'm on like a panel that serves people who have been victims of violent crime and just in general in marriage [inaudible] therapy who are often working with people who — where there's domestic violence, so, you know, 10 to 20 sessions with somebody who has — has been a victim. So not necessarily again that it's a personal relation or close but.

MS. BOTELHO: So you're familiar with at least through your work victims of violent crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Yes.

MS. BOTELHO: In your work, sir, do you also deal with those that have been charged with domestic violence or kind of on the opposite end of the spectrum?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: Very rarely. I have, but not as often.

MS. BOTELHO: Okay. Now does your contact or through your work — my understanding is you're a marriage counselor. Would your experience with domestic violence victims through your work, would that affect your ability to sit in on this particular type of case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 001: No.

MS. BOTELHO: Okay. So you could set aside your experience with other domestic violence victims and not use

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Uh-huh.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Is that a yes?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Augspurger?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Because again, I questioned the panel at
7	length. Is there something about your past that's going to,
8.	interfere with your ability to be fair and impartial?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Because it kind of sounded like
11	you just told the District Attorney that there was. So so
12	what happened? You said something with your first husband?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Uh-huh.
14	THE COURT: Okay. I'm sorry. What happened?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: He was an alcoholic.
. 16	THE COURT: Okay.
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: And he would come home
18	and be angry and hit me and it wasn't to the point where I
1	ever had to be hospitalized or anything like that, but it was
2	o very scary.
2	THE COURT: It was not appropriate at all?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yeah.
2	THE COURT: Okay. You got divorced, right?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yes.
:	THE COURT: Did you ever call the police?
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 157

1		
, 	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No.	
1	COURT: Okay. Why not?	
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: I honestly can't answer	
1	that. I don't know why.	
4	That's okay.	
5	TIROR NO. 021: I was embarrassed.	
6	THE COURT: That's perfectly yeah, okay. So you	
7	lived with it until you got divorced?	
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Uh-huh.	
9	THE COURT: Is that a yes?	
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yes, it is.	
11	\ 1	
12	THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: And it wasn't all the	
13	PROSPECTIVE JURON NO. 323	
14		
1.5	THE COURT: Okay.	
. 16	THE COURT: ORDER THE COURT: ORDER ORDER	
1	7 four times.	١
	7 four times. THE COURT: Okay. Did you have were children	
	involved? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yes, one [inaudible], my	
	ldogt SOD	
	New Long ago was It:	
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: 1970	
	THE COURT: Is there anything about your experious	
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 158	

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Oh, no.

THE COURT: And since I'm on a jury now I'm going to stick it to this person?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No, I wouldn't do that.

everybody has life experiences. We're just looking for people that can set those aside and not consider them as a part of your deliberations as a juror because you understand it would be very inappropriate to go back in the jury deliberation room and say, I don't need to deliberate because I've made up my mind because of what happened to me, and so this person is going to have to pay because of what happened to me; do you understand that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yes.

THE COURT: Or vice versa. The State wouldn't want you to do that either, Well, I'm going to rule in favor of them because of what happened to me for whatever reason; do you understand that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Are you a person that would do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: No.

THE COURT: Okay. In your deliberations will you set aside what happened to you in your personal life and judge this case based solely on the evidence and the law?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 021: Yes, I will.

- 11	
1	jurisdiction because you've been here quite a while?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Do you remember what courthouse you went
4	to? Do you know if you went to did you go to City Hall?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: I think so, yes. That's
6	the one by the bus station there, used to be the bus station.
7	THE COURT: Uh-huh. The old City Hall?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Okay. The new City Hall, am I pointing
10	in the right direction? Right? Okay. The new City Hall is
11	really pretty and it's right over here
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yeah, it was in
13	THE COURT: But
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: it was in '92 or so,
15	so it was the old one.
16	OKAY. And you went over there and you
17	misdemeanor?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 006: Yeah.
19	THE COURT: All right. Anything about that that
20	would interfere with your ability to be fair and impartial?
2:	PROCEETIVE JUROR NO. 006: No, I just answered
2:	because they asked.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Who was who made the
	4 accusations against you? Who was the other person that it was
	alleged —

any of you had a positive or negative contact with law enforcement? I see no hands.

How many of you or do any of you watch crime investigation shows like CSI?

THE COURT: Are there any of you who don't watch them?

MS. BOTELHO: That might be the better question.

THE COURT: There's a few of you that don't watch them. Maybe one, two, three, four, five, six.

MS. BOTELHO: Don't watch, Okay.

THE COURT: Maybe five or six that don't watch them.

MS. BOTELHO: We seem to have a few on both sides, some watchers and non-watchers. To those of you who watch, I take it that you realize that those are TV shows? Crime is not necessarily solved in 45 minutes with state of the art, you know, satellite — satellites zoom in and, you know, 5,000 percent to find a fabric or that hair follicle on carpet and that that solves the case? It doesn't work like that in real life, we all agree on that?

There are other shows, though, not like CSI but they're more like reality crime shows, like the First 48 or Real Interrogations, anybody watch those kinds of shows?

Okay. I saw some hands go up.

To those of you who watch those shows, do you also realize that those shows kind of portray different types of

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: No.

MS. BOTELHO: Okay. Are both of these lawyers in your family in Arizona?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: One is in San Francisco.

MS. BOTELHO: Okay. And you said the one in Arizona may practice for — may work for the State, but you're not sure what?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Yeah. I think she may be a prosecutor or something for the county or the state or something like that.

MS. BOTELHO: Do you speak to that family member regularly?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Not that often.

MS. BOTELHO: And you ever discuss her cases?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Well, one time I was in Arizona, one time a few years ago I was a witness to a [inaudible] and at Christmas dinner mentioned it, she was like, well, that might be an issue for me, so she disclosed it to whoever she was working with.

MS. BOTELHO: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: I haven't seen her in a couple years so.

MS. BOTELHO: Okay. What about the brother-in-law, do you speak to him about his work as an attorney on his cases?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 020: Not really, but it's
2	corporate stuff.
3	MS. BOTELHO: Okay.
4	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: What was the question?
5	MS. BOTELHO: Do you have any close friends or
6	relatives that are attorneys? Yes. Mr. Sanford?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Yes.
8	MS. BOTELHO: Juror No. 0026.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Yes.
10	MS. BOTELHO: Who do you know, sir?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Specific names or —
12	MS. BOTELHO: How are they related to you?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Personal friendships and
14	business; business-related generally.
15	MS. BOTELHO: Is that here in Las Vegas?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Yes, it is.
17	MS. BOTELHO: Do you talk to them about do any of
18	them practice criminal law?
19	THE COURT: Do you have any close friends
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: No, I don't believe they
23	L
2:	THE COURT: Mr
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: They they but they
2	run practices here [inaudible].
2	THE COURT: Mr. Sanford, do you have close friends
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 170

1	
1 tl	nat are attorneys?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Okay. How many?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Probably half a dozen?
5	THE COURT: So six?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Yeah.
7	THE COURT: That you socialize on a consistent basis?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Okay. What are their names?
10	THE COURT: ONLY! PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Greg Garman [phonetic],
11	Gordon and Silver.
12	THE COURT: Greg?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: Garman.
14	THE COURT: Garman. Okay. What did you say? Did
15	you say Gordon and Silver?
16	PROCEDUCETIVE JUROR NO. 026: Gordon and Daniel
17	THE COURT: That's the name of a law firm. Which
18	one? Gordon or Silver do you know?
19	one? Gordon or Silver do 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: It's both, it's the name
20	of the law firm.
21	MP HILLMAN: I think he said that's who had
22	N .
23	THE COURT: That's where he works. Okay.
2	The corry Okay.
2	DROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 026: [Inaudiple.]
	UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT
	171

11	
1	killed by a third party, and I think you said something to the
2	effect that nothing happened to that first party because it
3	was allegedly self defense or something like that.
4	Sir, do you harbor any I don't know how to phrase
5	this — ill feelings towards maybe the police or the District
6	Attorney's Office for not looking at the facts and
7	circumstances of your friend's death?
	THE COURT: Okay. Well, you're assuming they didn't.
8	MS. BOTELHO: I thought I heard him say earlier that
9	he didn't know anything about it.
10	THE COURT: I never asked him if the D.A's Office was
1112	involved.
13	MS. BOTELHO: Okay. I can ask that, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
15	No. POTELHO: Did the D.A's Office or the police do
16	for ag investigating or following up on the case?
17	TIROR NO. 043: It was kind of like an
18	, that but I believe otherwise happened.
19	MS. BOTELHO: Okay. So do you harbor kind of some
20	J don't know bitterness or ill feelings towards the police
2:	when or either the District Attorney's Office for
2	that third sharped or things like that against that third
2	
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 043: No.
	MS. BOTELHO: And so that experience, of course, you