IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 1 2 No. 62835 BENNETT GRIMES, 3 **Electronically Filed** 4 Appellant, Aug 19 2013 12:32 p.m. Tracie K. Lindeman 5 Clerk of Supreme Court ٧. 6 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 7 Respondent. 8 9 APPELLANT'S APPENDIX- VOLUME IV- PAGES 751-929 10 11 STEVE WOLFSON PHILIP J. KOHN Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3rd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 12 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 13 CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538 Attorney for Appellant 14 15 16 Counsel for Respondent 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

| 2 | Case No. 62835 | PAGE NO |
|---------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| 3 | Amended Criminal Complaint filed 08/25/11 | 004-006 |
| 4 | Amended Information filed 09/21/11 | |
| 5 | Court Exhibit #1 dated 10/10/12 | |
| 6 | Court Exhibit #2-3 dated 10/11/12 | 1051 |
| 7 | Court Exhibit #4 dated 10/11/12 | 1052 |
| 8 | Court Exhibit #5 dated 10/12/12 | 1053 |
| 9 | Court Exhibit #6 dated 10/12/12 | |
| 10 | Court Exhibit #7 dated 10/12/12 | 1055-1061 |
| 11 | Court Exhibit #8 dated 10/12/12 | 1062 |
| 12 | Court Exhibit #9 dated 10/12/12 | 1063 |
| 13 | Court Exhibit #10 dated 10/12/12 | 1064 |
| 14 | Court Exhibit #11 dated 10/12/12 | 1065 |
| 15 | Court Exhibit #12 dated 10/12/12 | 1066 |
| 16 | Court Exhibit #13-14 dated 10/15/12 | 1067 |
| 17 | Criminal Complaint filed 07/26/11 | 001-003 |
| 18 | Defendant's Exhibit A dated 10/11/12 | 1082 |
| 19 | Defendant's Exhibit B dated 10/11/12 | 1083 |
| 20 | Defendant's Exhibit C dated 10/11/12 | 1084 |
| 21 | Defendant's Exhibit D dated 10/12/12 | 1085 |
| 2223 | Defendants Motion To Dismiss For Failure To Gather Evidence Filed 06/05/12 | 131-136 |
| 24 | Defendants Notice Of Witnesses filed 10/02/12 | 164-165 |
| 25 | Defendants Motion In Limine To Preclude Introduction Of Temporary Profiled 10/02/12 | tective Order At Tria |
| 26 | District Court Minutes through 02/12/13 | 230-264 |
| 2728 | Ex Parte Motion For Release Of Medical Records Filed 02/09/12 | 086-087 |

| ایا | | |
|----------|--|---------|
| 1 | Information filed 09/14/11 | 009-011 |
| 2 | Instructions To The Jury filed 10/15/12 | 176-210 |
| 3 | Judgment Of Conviction filed 02/21/13 | 224-225 |
| 4 | Justice Court Minutes through 08/25/11 | 007-008 |
| 5 | List of Court's Exhibits 10/10/12 through 10/15/12 | 1049 |
| 6 | List of Defendant's Exhibits 10/11/12 through 10/12/12 | 1081 |
| 7 | Motion For Discovery filed 05/25/12 | 105-120 |
| 8 | Motion For New Trial filed 10/22/12 | 213-216 |
| 9 | Motion To Continue Trial Date filed 02/27/12 | 100-102 |
| 10 | Motion To Continue Trial Date filed 06/12/12 | 137-139 |
| 11 | Notice of Exhibits: Trial by Jury-10/10/12 through 10/15/12 filed 10/16/12 | |
| 12 | Notice Of Appeal filed 03/18/13 | 226-229 |
| 13 | Notice Of Change Of Hearing filed 09/14/11 | |
| 14 | Notice Of Expert Witnesses filed 01/31/12 | |
| 15 16 | Notice Of Intent To Seek Punishment As A Habitual Criminal Filed 10/23/12 | 221-223 |
| 17 | Notice Of Witnesses filed 01/31/12 | 075-077 |
| 18 | Order filed 10/14/11 | 061-062 |
| 19 | Order Releasing Medical Records filed 02/09/12 | 088-089 |
| 20 | Petition For Writ Of Habeas Corpus filed 10/12/11 | 056-060 |
| 21 | Proposed Defendant's Exhibit E | 1086 |
| 22 | Return To Writ Of Habeas Corpus filed 10/26/11 | |
| 23 | Second Amended Information filed 10/25/11 | |
| 24 | Second Supplemental Notice Of Expert Witnesses | 100 104 |
| 25 | Filed 05/29/12 | |
| 26 | State's Exhibit #17 dated 10/12/12 | |
| 27 | State's Exhibit #19 dated 10/11/12 | |
| 28 | State's Exhibit #31 dated 10/11/12 | 1070 |
| | | |

| 1 | State's Exhibit #32 dated 10/11/1210 |)71 |
|----------|---|-----|
| 2 | State's Exhibit #33 dated 10/11/1210 |)72 |
| 3 | State's Exhibit #34 dated 10/12/1210 |)73 |
| 4 | State's Exhibit #35 dated 10/12/1210 |)74 |
| 5 | State's Exhibit #36 dated 10/12/1210 |)75 |
| 6 | State's Exhibit #37 dated 10/12/1210 |)76 |
| 7 | State's Exhibit #38 dated 10/12/1210 |)77 |
| 8 | State's Exhibit #68 dated 10/12/1210 |)78 |
| 9 | State's Exhibit #79 (CD)10 |)87 |
| 10 | State's Exhibit #80 (CD) | 388 |
| l 1 | State's Exhibit #81 dated 10/12/12 | 080 |
| 12 | States Opposition To Defendants Motion For A New Trial Filed 11/05/12217-2 | 220 |
| l3 l4 | States Opposition To Defendants Motion To Dismiss For Failure To Gather Evidence filed 07/18/12 | 145 |
| 15 | States Response To Defendants Motion For Discovery Filed 06/05/12 | 130 |
| 16 17 | Supplemental Notice Of Expert Witnesses Filed 02/22/12 |)99 |
| 18 | Supplemental Notice Of Expert Witnesses Filed 09/19/12146-1 | 154 |
| 19 20 | Supplemental Notice Of Expert Witnesses Filed 09/19/12155-1 | 163 |
| 21 | Supplemental Notice Of Witnesses Filed 10/04/12166-1 | 167 |
| 22 | Third Amended Information filed 10/10/12173-1 | 175 |
| 23 | Verdict filed 10/15/12211-2 | 212 |
| 24 | Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 10/14/11 | 064 |
| 25 | <i> </i> | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |

TRANSCRIPTS

| 2 | Total Day 1 |
|----------|---|
| 3 | Jury Trial- Day 1 Date of Trial: 10/10/12 326-550 |
| 4 | Jury Trial- Day 2 Date of Trial: 10/11/12 551-762 |
| 5 | Jury Trial- Day 3 Date of Trial: 10/12/12763-968 |
| 7 | Jury Trial- Day 4 Date of Trial: 1015/12 969-1010 |
| 9 | Transprint of Progradings |
| 10 | Transcript of Proceedings, Recorders Transcript of Hearing RE: Arraignment Date of Hrg: 09/20/11 |
| 11 12 | Transcript of Proceedings, Recorders Transcript RE Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 10/02/12 |
| 13 | Transcript of Proceedings, Recorders Transcript RE: Defendants Motion For New Trial |
| 14 | Date of Hrg: 11/06/12 |
| 15 16 | Transcript of Proceedings, Recorders Transcript RE: Defendants Motion To Continue Trial Date Date of Hrg: 03/20/12 |
| 17 18 | Transcript of Proceedings, Recorders Transcript RE: Defendants Motion To Dismiss For Failure To Gather Evidence Date of Hrg: 07/19/12 |
| 19 | Transcript of Proceedings, Recorders Transcript RE: Defendants Motion To Dismiss For Failure To Gather Evidence |
| 20 | Date of Hrg: 07/31/12 |
| 21 22 | Transcript of Proceedings, Recorders Transcript RE: Defendants Motion To Dismiss For Failure To Gather Evidence Date of Hrg: 08/14/12 |
| 23 | Transcript of Proceedings, |
| 24 | Recorders Transcript RE: Defendants Petition For Writ Of Habeas Corpus Date of Hrg: 11/03/11 |
| 25 | Transcript of Proceedings, Recorders Transcript RE: Status Check: The Defendants Motion To Dismiss For Failure To |
| 26 | Gather Evidence Date of Hrg: 08/23/12308-312 |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |

| · I | |
|----------|--|
| 1 | Transcript of Proceedings, Reporters Transcript of Preliminary Hrg Date of Hrg: 08/25/11 |
| 2 | Date of Hrg: 08/25/11 |
| 3 4 | Transcript of Proceedings, Rough Draft Recorders Transcript of Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 06/12/12 |
| 5 | Transcript of Proceedings, |
| 6 | Rough Draft Recorders Transcript of Defendants Motion For Discovery Date of Hrg: 06/07/12 |
| 7 | Transcript of Proceedings, |
| 8 | Rough Draft Recorders Transcript of Defendants Motion To Dismiss For Failure To Gather Evidence |
| 9 | Date of Hrg: 09/13/12313-322 |
| 10 | Transcript of Proceedings, |
| 11 | Rough Draft Recorders Transcript of Sentencing Date of Hrg: 12/18/12 |
| 12 | Transcript of Proceedings, Sentencing |
| 13 | Date of Hrg: 02/07/13 |
| 14 | Transcript of Proceedings, |
| 15 | Sentencing Date of Hrg: 02/12/13 |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| į | |
| 26 27 | |
| | |
| 28 | |
| | .l |

| li li | |
|-------|---|
| 1 | ${\tt Q}$ Is it — is it safe to say that you were at some |
| 2 | point standing between them? |
| 3 | A Yes. |
| 4 | Q Okay. |
| 5 | MR. BURNS: Court's indulgence. |
| 6 | BY MR. BURNS: |
| 7 | Q Now when this is going on, why are you standing |
| 8 | between them? |
| 9 | A I was going to stand I stood there because I |
| 10 | didn't want him to hurt her. You know, just in case, you |
| 11 | know. |
| 12 | Q Okay. And is it safe to say the first time |
| 13 | you were — the first time you see this knife, is that when |
| 14 | he's stabbing her? |
| 15 | A Yes. |
| 16 | Q Okay. |
| 17 | MR. BURNS: Your Honor, I'll pass the witness. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Any recross? |
| 19 | MS. HOJJAT: Briefly, Your Honor. |
| 20 | RECROSS-EXAMINATION |
| 21 | BY MS. HOJJAT: |
| 22 | Q Ma'am, you've testified that you turned around |
| 23 | because you heard your daughter say, "Mom, he's stabbing me." |
| 24 | A Yes. |
| 25 | Q Right? That's what caused you to turn around? |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 202 |

| 1 | Q | Uh-huh. |
|----|----------------|---|
| 2 | A | So it's in the dry rack. Can I point to where |
| 3. | the dry rack w | vas? |
| 4 | Q | Absolutely. |
| 5 | A | Okay. |
| 6 | Q | Let me move in. |
| 7 | А | Like you see behind this like right behind |
| 8 | this? I'm so | rry. Right behind where this is knocked over? |
| 9 | Q | Uh-huh. |
| 10 | A | It was right there. |
| 11 | Q | Okay. |
| 12 | A | Right there. |
| 13 | Ω . | But you testified you did know where the drying |
| 14 | rack was. | |
| 15 | А | Oh, I'm sorry. |
| 16 | . О | Oh, no worries. |
| 17 | A | I do know where I do know where. |
| 18 | , Q | You do know where the drying rack is. Now if |
| 19 | | r out the screen again, please? I want to talk to |
| 20 | II ' | bit about this blue bag. You saw Bennett and |
| 21 | Aneka on the | ground in the general area in front of the |
| 22 | doorway. | |
| 23 | . A | Right. |
| 24 | Q | And you ran to them. |
| 25 | A | Yes. |
| | 11 | |

| 1 | Q | You didn't trip over this blue bag when you ran |
|----|---------------|---|
| 2 | over there. | |
| 3 | A | No. |
| 4 | Q | After all of this happened, there were a lot of |
| 5 | people in tha | at apartment, weren't there? |
| 6 | A | Yes. |
| 7 | Q | There were EMTs. |
| 8 | A | Yes. |
| 9 | Q | There were police officers. |
| 10 | A | Uh-huh. |
| 11 | Q | More than four police officers? |
| 12 | A | Yes. |
| 13 | Q | More than six police officers? |
| 14 | · A | I don't really remember, but it was I would |
| 15 | say three. | |
| 16 | . Õ | Three, at least |
| 17 | A | In the apartment. |
| 18 | Q | - at least three or four police officers, okay. |
| 19 | There were o | crime scene analysts. |
| 20 | А | Yes. |
| 21 | Q | There were people taking photographs. |
| 22 | A | Yes. |
| 23 | Q | There were people dusting for DNA. |
| 24 | A | Yes. |
| 25 | Q | There were a lot of |
| | | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 205 |

(Off-record bench conference.) 1 THE COURT: There being no objection, I'm going to 2 ask what's been marked as Court's Exhibit Number 4, the second 3. question. Mrs. Newman, do you know if Bennett closed and 4 locked the front door after he pushed his way in? 5 THE WITNESS: No, I don't. 6 THE COURT: You don't know? 7 THE WITNESS: Close -- closed the --8 THE COURT: That's okay. You don't know? 9 THE WITNESS: No. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Any follow-up from the State? 11 MR. BURNS: You don't know whether he locked the 12 Do you know that -- whether or not anyone could leave 13 while he was standing there? 14 THE WITNESS: No, couldn't leave. 15 MR. BURNS: Thank you. 16 MS. HOJJAT: But you did walk to the patio? 17 THE WITNESS: Pardon me? 18 MS. HOJJAT: You did walk to the patio? 19 THE WITNESS: To the patio door, yes. 20 MS. HOJJAT: Okay. No further questions, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Mrs. Newman, thank you very much for your 22 testimony here today. You may step down. 23 Okay. THE WITNESS: 24 THE COURT: And you are excused. At this time we are

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 207

25

22

23

2.4

25

going to conclude for the evening. During this recess you're admonished not to talk or converse amongst yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected to this trial or read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary of the trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information including, without limitation, newspapers, television, the Internet, radio or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

We will start tomorrow morning at 8:30. So again, you just come up to the 14th floor and Officer Serrano will be here to greet you. Thank you very much and we'll see you in the morning.

(Jury recessed at 4:58 p.m.)

THE COURT: Okay. The record will reflect that the jury has left for the day.

Can I have the lineup for your witnesses tomorrow?

MS. BOTELHO: Yes, Your Honor. Tomorrow we have two crime scene analysts, Tracy Brownlee and also Louise Renhart. We will also have Julie Marschner and also Melanie Robison.

THE COURT: Okay. And that's -- so one, two, three, four more witnesses?

MS. BOTELHO: Yes, Your Honor. But relatively, from the State's point of view, relatively brief.

THE COURT: Okay. So how many witnesses do you have?

| 1 | MR. HILLMAN: At this point in time we don't |
|----|---|
| 2 | anticipate calling any. |
| 3 | THE COURT: Okay. Have you talked to Mr. Grimes |
| 4 | about whether he's going to testify or not? |
| 5 | MR. HILLMAN: Right. And I will I will talk to |
| 6 | him some more in the morning. |
| 7 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 8 | MR. HILLMAN: If you want to canvass him in the |
| 9 | morning. |
| 10 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 11 | MR. HILLMAN: Because he has some other questions |
| 12 | about how the trial's proceeding. |
| 13 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 14 | MR. HILLMAN: So I'll try and come over here a little |
| 15 | bit early and hope they'll have him here early. |
| 16 | THE COURT: Can I have the defendant here at 8:15? |
| 17 | THE OFFICER: Yes, ma'am. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 19 | MS. BOTELHO: And, Your Honor, before the |
| 20 | THE COURT: So I'll make sure the courtroom's open. |
| 21 | We can be here at 8:15 and you can have the courtroom — |
| 22 | MR. HILLMAN: Great. |
| 23 | THE COURT: to converse with your client in |
| 24 | private. |
| 25 | MR. HILLMAN: That'll be great. |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT |

THE COURT: We won't be here.

2.1

MS. BOTELHO: Right. And, Your Honor, we talked during the break about a possible — just a short Hernandez Hearing regarding the TPO. It's kind of an admission at least as to the fact that there was a TPO and in fact, not sure if the Court's inclined to do that in an overabundance of caution since it is somewhat of a concession.

THE COURT: Okay. Are you asking for a Hernandez Hearing?

MS. HOJJAT: We're not, the State is.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, generally the defense asks for it because that means you want the State to leave and you want to make representations to the Court outside the presence of the State regarding the strategic reasons for doing so.

MR. HILLMAN: I — I think we can just make it a record right here —

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HILLMAN: — in that Mr. Grimes and I talked last week. We talked before that about certain stipulations regarding certain witnesses, including the person that gathered the DNA. We didn't feel it was necessary to bring that person in to testify about going over and getting DNA from Mr. Grimes. The State's agreed that we can present the fact that they did get a search warrant to get the DNA, but we don't need to bring that person in.

And also with respect to the TPO, that is something that's easily proven by the State and we didn't want to slow the trial down and it's really nothing that we could defend anyway. There was a TPO in effect, he's seen it, he was served, we have a copy of it. There — we didn't see any practical reason — or practicable way to keep it from being admitted. So rather than slow the trial down we agreed to stipulate to — to those things.

MS. BOTELHO: We just wanted a record, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. And that's your

understanding, Mr. Grimes?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. BOTELHO: Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Thank you.

(Court recessed for the evening at 5:02 p.m.)

ACKNOWLEDGMENT:

Pursuant to Rule 3C(d) of Nevada Rules of Appellate

Procedure, this is a rough draft transcript expeditiously prepared,
not proofread, corrected or certified to be an accurate transcript.

KIMBERLY LAWSON TRANSCRIBER

Electronically Filed 06/04/2013 03:13:58 PM

TRAN

Alun to Chum

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. C276163-1

DEPT NO. XII

VS.

BENNETT GRIMES,

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHELLE LEAVITT, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

JURY TRIAL - DAY 3

FRIDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2012

APPEARANCES:

For the State:

AGNES M. BOTELHO, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney PATRICK J. BURNS, ESQ.

Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant:

RALPH HILLMAN, ESQ.

Deputy Public Defender

NADIA HOJJAT, Esq.

Deputy Public Defender

RECORDED BY KERRY ESPARZA, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: KARR Reporting, Inc.

INDEX

WITNESSES FOR THE STATE:

LOUISE RENHARD Direct Examination By Mr. Burns 60 Cross-Examination By Ms. Hojjat 72 Redirect Examination By Mr. Burns 78 Recross Examination By Ms. Hojjat TRACY BROWNLEE 95 Direct Examination By Ms. Botelho MICHELLE TAVAREZ 107 Direct Examination By Ms. Botelho 113 Cross-Examination By Mr. Hillman JULIE MARSCHNER 117 Direct Examination By Mr. Burns Cross-Examination By Ms. Hojjat 138 Redirect Examination By Mr. Burns 144 144 Recross Examination By Ms. Hojjat Further Redirect Examination By Mr. Burns 148 MELANIE ROBISON Direct Examination By Ms. Botelho 151 160 Cross-Examination By Mr. Hillman

EXHIBITS

| STATE'S EXHIBITS ADMITTED: | PAGE |
|---------------------------------------|------|
| 13 and 14 | 10 |
| 27 and 28 | 17 |
| 30 | 18 |
| 20-22 | 20 |
| 34 and 35 | 22 |
| 36–38 | 32 |
| 8 and 9 | 34 |
| 77 | 75 |
| | 99 |
| 74 | 100 |
| 59-62 | 102 |
| 63-69 DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS ADMITTED: | PAGE |
| DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS ADMITTED. | 70 |

Q And is there some special — what kind of educational and professional training background do you have?

A Educational, I have an associates degree in police administration. Training, when we're hired we go through a technical academy specifically for crime scene analysts. It's nothing like the police academy, it's technical for that job; photography, evidence collection, fingerprint processing, chemical fingerprint processing, impounding of evidence. After the academy we do 10 to 12 weeks of field training where we ride with a senior CSA and then we start out doing notes in photography. And through that period we slowly pick up our skills until at the end of that period we're doing all the skills necessary to do property crimes.

At the end of two years we have a practical eight-hour test and they test our skills. And at that point we start doing minor persons crimes; robberies, battery, different types of persons crimes. At the end of two years as a two, we do competitive testing for senior, which is a combination of practical oral board and written test.

During the entire time and after we make senior, we continue to go to classes and training that involve our specific area of evidence collection, photography, fingerprint processing, crime scene reconstruction, shooting reconstruction and such. And I've done all that and also have

| Q Okay. Now, what when you respond to a crime | | |
|---|--|--|
| scene, what are some of your what are the most typical and | | |
| most, four or five most typical duties you have when you | | |
| respond to a crime scene? | | |
| A When we respond to the crime scene we get with | | |
| the detective or the officers on duty that are at the scene | | |

and we find out what happened. From there we will take notes of the scene, we'll do a walk through, take notes of the scene, do photography of the scene, collect any evidence and preserve any evidence. And if -- if it's called for, we'll do latent print processing.

And are there protocols in place for the first responding officers to ensure that when you get there the scene hasn't been disturbed?

Yes.

1

2

3

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When the scene is turned over to you for. processing, are you in control of that scene?

It's — it's officially my scene per Α department policies.

And if someone comes in to that scene and they start disturbing things or you're in fear that they're going to disturb something, what do you do?

I would normally -- normally I would, you know, ask them to leave. If that doesn't work I would ask one of the officers to assist in escorting them out.

17⁻

Q And in your training and experience, does that happen very often?

A No.

Q Okay. Now when you're collecting evidence, is there a procedure in place for you to — to preserve it, seal it and send it somewhere?

Would place it in packaging that's consistent with the type of evidence that it is and there's policies that determine what type of evidence goes in what type of packaging. I would place a label on the package, a seal on the package. The label would have my P number, the seal. I would write my initials and P number and the date and then I would take it to the evidence drop at the lab, log it in and then — and then drop it. It's a chute, or depending on the weight of it, there's a cage that my supervisor can come open the cage and place it in there and then he would also sign that he did that.

Q Now, you mention this P number. Is that a personnel number?

A Yes.

Q And what is your P number?

A 5-2-2-3.

Q So that number will appear on packages of evidence that you've collected and sealed?

| A | Correct. |
|---|----------|
| | |

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1.6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And when they go to that place, you drop them in the chute. Is that a secure location?

That is. The evidence vault has access to that location and they're the ones that would pick up any evidence from the bottom of the chute or the cage.

And if say a forensic scientist was requested to process something, is that where they would -- would someone go to get that for the forensic scientist?

Correct. And then they have to sign for it there to indicate that they picked it up. And when it went back after they're done, they would put their seal on the evidence and log it in and there's just a paper trail constantly. So every time it comes out somebody signs for it, every time it goes back in it's signed for.

Now, Ms. Renhard, I want to direct your attention to July 22nd, 2011. Were you directed to participate to process a crime scene located at 9325 West Desert Inn Road, Apartment Number 173?

Yes.

And when you were there, did you — what types of processing did you engage in?

I took some notes on the scene, I talked to the I believe I talked to two different officers at the officers. scene. I did photography, collected evidence.

| 1 | MR. BURNS: Your Honor, may I approach the witness? | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | THE COURT: You may. | |
| 3 | BY MR. BURNS: | |
| 4 | Q Ms. Renhard, I'm showing you what have been | |
| 5 | marked as State's proposed Exhibits 13 and 14. Can you look | |
| 6 | at those and tell me what what those are? | |
| 7 | A These are photographs of the walkway exiting the | |
| 8 | apartment. | |
| 9 | Q Okay. Well, did you take those photographs? | |
| 10 | A Yes. | |
| 11 | Q And these exhibits fairly and accurately reflect | |
| 12 | the photographs that you took? | |
| 13 | A Yes. | |
| 14 | MR. BURNS: Your Honor, at this time I move for the | |
| 15 | admission of State's proposed Exhibits 13 and 14? | |
| 16 | THE COURT: Any objection? | |
| 17 | MR. HILLMAN: No, ma'am. | |
| 18 | THE COURT: They're admitted. | |
| 19 | (State's Exhibit 13 and 14 admitted.) | |
| 20 | MR. BURNS: Your Honor, may I publish those exhibits? | |
| 21 | THE COURT: You may. | |
| 22 | BY MR. BURNS: | |
| 23 | Q Ms. Renhard, I'm showing you State's — what's | |
| 24 | been admitted as State's 13. What's that? | |
| 25 | A That's a walkway exiting the apartment. The | |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT | |

| 1 | apartment, being up towards the top center up here, and then | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | the walkway coming out from the apartment. | | | |
| 3 | Q And there's is there some apparent blood | | | |
| 4 | there? | | | |
| 5 | A Correct, these little spots along here. | | | |
| 6 | Q And did you end up swabbing those spots of | | | |
| 7 | blood? | | | |
| 8 | A Not all of them. I swabbed spots of blood up | | | |
| 9 | here right outside the door. | | | |
| 10 | Q Showing you what's been admitted as State's 14. | | | |
| 11 | What's that? | | | |
| 12 | A That — those are the spots outside the door and | | | |
| 13 | it was one of those that I took a swab from. | | | |
| 14 | Q Can I ask you one question? In your training | | | |
| 15 | and experience what you know about blood and injuries, does | | | |
| 16 | blood automatically come out of wound? Say, for instance, a | | | |
| 17 | non | | | |
| 18 | MS. HOJJAT: Objection | | | |
| 19 | Q — a non-arterial — | | | |
| 20 | MS. HOJJAT: Your Honor, this witness has not been | | | |
| 21 | certified as an expert in injuries and what causes bleeding | | | |
| 22 | and things like that. That's more appropriate for a medical | | | |
| 23 | expert. | | | |
| 24 | THE COURT: All right. So I think the objection's | | | |
| 25 | foundation. Let me hear the whole question first and then | | | |

| 1 | I'll if you still have your objection, I'll I'll rule on | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | it. Go ahead, Mr. Burns. I need to hear the whole thing. | | | |
| 3 | MR. BURNS: Okay. Thank you. | | | |
| 4 | BY MR. BURNS: | | | |
| 5 | Q When — when someone — in your training and | | | |
| 6 | experience in collecting evidence and photographing wounds and | | | |
| 7 · | what you've studied about blood and the preservation and | | | |
| 8 | collection of blood evidence, when someone's stabbed, does the | | | |
| 9 | blood come instantly out all over the place? | | | |
| 10 | A Not necessarily. | | | |
| 11 | THE COURT: Any objection? | | | |
| 12 | MS. HOJJAT: Foundation, Your Honor. | | | |
| 13 | THE COURT: Okay. Overruled. You may continue. | | | |
| 14 | BY MR. BURNS: | | | |
| 15 | Q I'm sorry. Your answer was? | | | |
| 16 | A Not necessarily. | | | |
| 17 | Q Okay. | | | |
| 18 | MR. BURNS: Your Honor, may I have continuing | | | |
| 19 | permission to publish those Exhibits | | | |
| 20 | THE COURT: Sure. | | | |
| 21 | MR. BURNS: that have been admitted? | | | |
| 22 | THE COURT: Sure. Okay. Well, you need to say | | | |
| 23 | because yesterday everybody kept trying to publish exhibits | | | |
| 24 | that weren't | | | |
| 25 | MR. BURNS: I have I have a list now and that | | | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |

| 1 | mistake will not be repeated today. | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | THE COURT: Okay, all right. Thank you. | | |
| 3 | BY MR. BURNS: | | |
| 4 | Q Okay. Ms. Renhard, I'm showing you what has | | |
| 5 | been admitted as State's 15. Did you take that picture? | | |
| 6 | A Yes. | | |
| 7 | Q Okay. And what does that depict? | | |
| .8 | A That's the front door of apartment 173. | | |
| 9 | Q Okay. Now, showing you what's been admitted as | | |
| 10 | State's 16. | | |
| 11 | A That's upside down. | | |
| 12 | Q Thank you. Okay. What is that? | | |
| 13 | A That's the leading edge of that same door. | | |
| 14 | Q And did you notice something about that door? | | |
| 15 | A There was a crack down the the leading edge | | |
| 16 | of the door. | | |
| 17 | Q Now, did you at some point you entered the | | |
| 18 | apartment? | | |
| 19 | A Yes. | | |
| 20 | Q And did you take kind of general pictures of the | | |
| 21 | area? | | |
| 22 | A Yes. | | |
| 23 | MR. BURNS: Your Honor, may I approach the witness? | | |
| 24 | THE COURT: You may. | | |
| 25 | BY MR. BURNS: | | |
| | ti de la companya de | | |

| shooting diagonally across that same living room. So this is the back of that couch that you could see the front of in the other one. | · | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| shooting diagonally across that same living room. So this is the back of that couch that you could see the front of in the other one. | standing about in this corner over here and took a picture | | | | |
| the back of that couch that you could see the front of in the other one. | this way. This is I'm standing off closer to the front door | | | | |
| other one. | shooting diagonally across that same living room. So this is | | | | |
| | the back of that couch that you could see the front of in the | | | | |
| Q Thank you. Showing you what's been marked as | other one. | | | | |
| | Q Thank you. Showing you what's been marked as | | | | |

what's been admitted as State's Exhibit 19. What does that photograph depict?

This is another angle. This is along Okay. Α behind the couch between the couch and the kitchen buffet counter looking towards the front door up here at the top.

Showing you what's been admitted as State's 26. Q. Did you take that picture?

I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. And which part is that?

And that's basically a wider view of the same one we just looked at. The front door a little bit more over to your right over there and then the opposite corner of the living room visible, the couch and the edge of the buffet counter there.

And is that the scene as -- is that what the scene looked like when you came there?

> Yes. Α

And were there officers there securing the premises?

| 1 | A When I got there there was nobody in the | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | apartment. The apartment had been cleared and the officers | | |
| 3 | that were securing the premises were on the exterior of the | | |
| 4 | apartment. | | |
| 5 | Q So no one was inside the apartment? | | |
| 6 | A No. | | |
| 7 | Q At the time you were there. About what time did | | |
| 8 | you respond, do you do you recall? | | |
| 9 | A 7:35. | | |
| 1Ò | Q Okay, 7:30 | | |
| 11 | A Or 7:36 — | | |
| 12 | Q Okay. | | |
| 13 | A in the evening. | | |
| 14 | Q All right. And it's safe to say on some crime | | |
| 15 | scenes you get there faster than others? | | |
| 16 | A Oh, yes. | | |
| 17 | Q And did you get there relatively quickly on this | | |
| 18 | one? | | |
| 19 | A I have no idea. | | |
| 20 | Q Okay. Compared to other ones? | | |
| 21 | A I | | |
| 22 | Q Okay. If you have no idea that's fine. | | |
| 23 | A Yeah. I don't know how far I was coming from | | |
| 24 | Q All right. | | |
| 25 | A to get there. | | |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 16 | | |

| 1 | Q Ms. Renhard, I'm showing you what have been | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | marked as State's proposed Exhibits 27 and 28. Did you take | | |
| 3 | those pictures? | | |
| 4 | A I did. | | |
| 5 | Q And are those fair and accurate printouts of the | | |
| 6 | pictures you took? | | |
| 7 | A Yes. | | |
| 8 | MR. BURNS: Your Honor, at this point I'd move for | | |
| 9 | the admission of State's proposed Exhibits 27 and 28. | | |
| 10 | MR. HILLMAN: No objection. | | |
| 11 | THE COURT: They're admitted. | | |
| 12 | (State's Exhibit 27 and 28 admitted.) | | |
| 13 | BY MR. BURNS: | | |
| 14 | Q Showing you what's been admitted as State's 27. | | |
| 15 | What does that picture depict? | | |
| 16 | A This is another picture in the — in the living | | |
| 17 | room. At this point I'm taking more intermediate shots of the | | |
| 18 | area that's predominantly the scene area. And it shows, the | | |
| 19 | front door would have been out here on the far right. This is | | |
| 20 | looking towards the back of a chaise lounge and the back of | | |
| 21 | that couch that we saw previously. | | |
| 22 | Q Okay. Thank you. And did you detect some | | |
| 23 | apparent blood on the chaise? | | |
| 24 | A Yes, I did. | | |
| 25 | Q Okay. Showing you what's been admitted as | | |

| 1 | Q | And is that is that where the knife was | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | found? | | |
| 3 | Α | Yes. | |
| 4 | Q | Okay. And is that a fair and accurate depiction | |
| 5 | of that knife? | | |
| 6 | A | Yes. | |
| 7 | Q | When you found it? | |
| 8 | А | When I found it my scale wasn't there, but I put | |
| 9 | the scale there for the purposes of the of this photograph. | | |
| 10 | Q | Okay. You put that scale down there to do what? | |
| 11 | A | To give anybody looking at it an idea of the | |
| 12 | size. | | |
| 13 | Q | And what does what does the picture reflect | |
| 14 | the size of that blade being? | | |
| 15 | А | As far as the blade — the picture looks like | |
| 16 | it's probably about a five five-inch blade. | | |
| 17 | Q | Thank you. Now, the the pool of blood that I | |
| 18 | showed you i | n that exhibit, I believe it was Exhibit 28, did | |
| 19 | you in fact swab that? | | |
| 20 | А | I swabbed the area next to it where where it | |
| 21 | was more of | a drip pattern. | |
| 22 | Q | Okay. And did you impound that swab? | |
| 23 | . А | I did. | |
| 24 | Q | And you sealed it, put your P number on it? | |
| 25 | А | I did, definitely. | |
| | | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 19 | |

25

along the bottom here.

| 1 | Q And State's 22, what does that depict? | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | A Okay. That's a zoomed out version of what you | |
| 3 | just saw looking, once again, from the door into the apartment | |
| 4 | with this little entryway tile here, closet on the on the | |
| 5 | right, and then looking back towards the buffet counter up | |
| 6 | here on your left and the couch whoops, ooh. What did I | |
| 7 | do? The couch over here on the on the right back here. | |
| 8 | Q Now, approximately do you know specifically | |
| 9 | how many areas you swabbed? Areas of blood in the apartment | |
| 10 | that you swabbed? | |
| 11 | A In the apartment? | |
| 12 | Q Yes. | |
| 13 | A Two. | |
| 14 | Q Okay. And how many separate swabs did you take? | |
| 15 | A Four. | |
| 16 | Q And you impounded those all into a package? | |
| 17 | A Yes. | |
| 18 | Q Okay. And do you recall what that impound | |
| 19 | package was labeled? | |
| 20 | A I'd have to look at my impound real quick. | |
| 21 | Q Okay. | |
| 22 | A I think it was four. | |
| 23 | Q Okay. | |
| 24 | A But I'm not positive. | |
| 25 | Q That's fine. I want to show you some additional | |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 21 | |

| 1 | exhibits. Now, you impounded the knife, correct? | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | A Correct. | |
| 3 | Q And you took numerous photographs of it, | |
| 4 | correct? | |
| 5 | A Correct. | |
| 6 | Q Ms. Renhard, I'm going to approach you with | |
| 7 | State's — State's proposed Exhibits 34 and 35. Did you take | |
| 8 | those pictures? | |
| 9 | A I did. | |
| 10 | Q And are those fair and accurate reproductions of | |
| 11 | the pictures you took? | |
| 12 | A Yes. | |
| 13 | Q Showing you | |
| 14 | MR. BURNS: Your Honor, at this time I'd move for the | |
| 15 | admission of State's proposed Exhibits 34 and 35. | |
| 16 | MR. HILLMAN: No objection. | |
| 17 | THE COURT: They're admitted. | |
| 18 | (State's Exhibit 34 and 35 admitted.) | |
| 19 | BY MR. BURNS: | |
| 20 | Q Ms. Renhard, I'm showing you number 34. What | |
| 21 | does this picture depict? | |
| 22 | A That's the blade of the knife that was seen in | |
| 23 | this in the scene pictures that was lying on the carpet | |
| 24 | that I had the scale next to it previously. It also is | |
| 25 | depicting it doesn't show well here, but it's also | |
| | II | |

| depicting — that's better. This little reddish hue here, |
|--|
| apparent blood on the on the knife in this this area |
| down here and you can see some up here. You also see that in |
| the apparent blood there's also these lines around in here. |
| And those those lines are fingerprint patterns. |
| Q And is that — so you notice the fingerprint, |
| partial bloody fingerprint there? |
| A Yes. |
| Q Okay. And do you — do you notice — in this |
| picture do you see any other fingerprints besides that one? |
| A In this picture, no. |
| Q Okay. And do you see something farther down the |
| blade towards the hilt? |
| A Yes, there's more apparent blood. |
| Q Showing you what's been admitted as State's 35. |
| And let me know — is that — here, I'll zoom out first. |
| A Actually, that's okay. |
| Q Is that fine? |
| A Yeah. |
| Q Okay. Did you take this picture? |
| A I did. |
| Q And that's a picture of the knife? |
| A Yes. The knife blade near the near the |
| u . |
| the handle. |
| |

do you note about the knife in this picture?

A This is the same as the other one. There's — there's some apparent blood in different points on here but you — and you can also see some ridge detail of fingerprints along through here and then down through here.

Q Now, and — and that's a different section of the knife then was State's Exhibit 34, correct?

A Correct.

1.0

1.2

1.7

22.

Q Now, you notice ridge detail. Can you explain to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what ridge detail is?

hands and your fingers and the bottoms of your feet, we have what's called ridge detail and they're the little lines that make up our fingerprints and that's basically what it is. All the little lines that go in different directions and all their — there's furrows and then ridges. And that's what the fingerprint detail when — when I speak about that, I'm talking about seeing the furrows and ridges. The voids can — are often the — the furrows and the lines are often the ridges. Sometimes, depending if it's in substance, it can be just the opposite.

Q Okay. Now, did you — did you do everything?

Did you follow all the protocols to preserve those

fingerprints?

.A Yes.

| . 1 | MR. BURNS: If I could, Your Honor. |
|-----|---|
| 2 | THE COURT: Is it sealed? |
| 3 | MR. BURNS: It is, Your Honor. |
| 4 | THE COURT: Okay. Are you going to unseal it? |
| 5 | MR. BURNS: I'm going to have the |
| 6 | THE COURT: Oh, okay. |
| 7 | MR. BURNS: CSA unseal it. |
| 8 | THE COURT: Are you guys okay with that? Do you want |
| 9 | to watch it be unsealed? what how do you want to handle |
| 10 | it? |
| 11 | MR. HILLMAN: We can — we can walk up to it and |
| 12 | watch. |
| 13 | THE COURT: Okay, perfect. |
| 14 | MS. HOJJAT: Thank you. |
| 15 | BY MR. BURNS: |
| 16 | Q And for the record, I'm approaching now with |
| 17 | what's been marked as State's proposed Exhibit 81. And here's |
| 18 | some rubber gloves and a pair of scissors. Ms. Renhard, |
| 19 | would you |
| 20 | THE COURT: Now, could you just, Mr. Hillman, so the |
| 21 | jury can see? |
| 22 | MR. HILLMAN: Yes, sorry, Judge. |
| 23 | THE COURT: That's okay. |
| 24 | MR. HILLMAN: I just wanted to look at the seals. |
| 25 | BY MR. BURNS: |

| 11 | · |
|----|--|
| 1 | jurors and give them a good look at that exhibit? |
| 2 | THE COURT: Mr. Burns, do you want to mark the |
| 3 | contents? |
| 4 | MR. BURNS: I'm sorry |
| 5 | THE COURT: I mean, how's it not falling out? |
| 6 | MR. BURNS: It's |
| 7 | THE COURT: Is it glued in? |
| 8 | MR. BURNS: It's in there, it's latched in there. |
| 9 | THE COURT: Oh, okay. |
| 10 | MR. BURNS: By some plastic. And, Your Honor, I |
| 11 | would move for its admission at this point. |
| 12 | THE COURT: Any objection to 81? |
| 13 | MR. HILLMAN: Not as long as it's clear that I'm |
| 14 | sorry that 81 is box and contents |
| 15 | THE COURT: Right |
| 16 | MR. HILLMAN: which is the knife strapped to the |
| 17 | box. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Okay. So 81 will include the box and its |
| 19 | contents. We're not going to mark it separately since it |
| 20 | appears to be can I just see how it's in there? It's not |
| 21 | going to come out. That's fine. I'm okay with that. |
| 22 | BY MR. BURNS: |
| 23 | Q And you can just close that at this point. Ms. |
| 24 | Renhard — |
| 25 | THE COURT: Are you going to use it anymore, Mr. |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT |

| 1 | you're trying to preserve it for? | |
|-----|---|--|
| 2 | A Potential DNA analysis. | |
| 3 | Q And are there concerns about contamination, | |
| 4 | things like that? | |
| . 5 | A There are concerns. We do use personal | |
| 6 | protective equipment and clean surfaces when we work use | |
| 7 | impound and work on anything like this. | |
| 8 | Q Now, Ms. Renhard, in terms of fingerprints, are | |
| 9 | some fingerprints fingerprint impressions that are | |
| 10 | collected, are some of them more useful than others? | |
| 11 | A Yes. | |
| 12 | Q And how how do they differ in their uses for | |
| 13 | quality? | |
| 14 | A The basic — this is a — a really good example. | |
| 15 | It's definitely fingerprint impression here, but there's very | |
| 16 | little. There's insufficient number of detail | |
| 17 | MR. HILLMAN: Objection. Foundation as to this | |
| 18 | witness's qualification to make that judgment. | |
| 19 | THE COURT: I think she's going beyond your question | |
| 20 | as well. | |
| 21 | MR. BURNS: Okay. That's fine, Your Honor. | |
| 22 | THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. | |
| 23, | THE COURT: Objection sustained. Whose witness is | |
| 24 | this? | |
| 25 | MR. HILLMAN: I'm sorry. | |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 30 | |

| 1 | A They are. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q And Ms. Renhard, are these exhibits fair and |
| 3 | accurate reproductions of the photographs you took? |
| 4 | A Yes. |
| 5 | MR. BURNS: Your Honor, at this time I would move for |
| 6 | the admission of State's proposed Exhibits 36 through 38. |
| 7 | MR. HILLMAN: No objection. |
| 8 | THE COURT: They're admitted. |
| 9 | (State's Exhibit 36-38 admitted.) |
| 10 | BY MR. BURNS: |
| 11 | Q Now, Ms. Renhard, do you recall during the |
| 12 | during your processing of the scene observing a blue cooler? |
| 13 | A Yes. |
| 14 | Q Showing you what's been marked as 36, State's |
| 15 | 36. Did you in fact take photographs of that cooler? |
| 16 | A I did. |
| 17 | Q Okay. And what does 36 depict? |
| 18 | A This is a pocket on the exterior of the cooler |
| 19 | that's been opened showing some of the contents of it. |
| 20 | Q Okay. And did it have kind of an exterior |
| 21 | pocket on it? |
| 22 | A Correct. |
| 23 | Q Okay. So it wasn't perfectly cylindrical? |
| 24 | A No. |
| 25 | Q Now, showing you what's been marked as State's |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT |

| 1 | 37. What does t | that depict? |
|----|------------------|--|
| 2 | A Ti | nat's the inside and contents of the the |
| 3 | actual insulated | d portion of the cooler. |
| 4 | Q I' | m sorry. That Exhibit was actually admitted |
| 5 | previously. Do | you recall — did you — did you take the |
| 6 | things inside th | ne cooler out? |
| 7 | AI | did. |
| 8 | Q 01 | kay. And do you recall recovering any |
| 9 | paperwork? | |
| 10 | A Ti | nere was one piece of paper that I recall. |
| 11 | Q Si | nowing you what's been admitted as State's 38. |
| 12 | What does that | depict? |
| 13 | A TI | nat is that — the piece of paper that I |
| 14 | recall. | |
| 15 | Q Ai | nd what is the title on that piece of paper? |
| 16 | . A No | ew Associate Schedule. |
| 17 | Q A | nd is there a person's name on that paper? |
| 18 | A Y | es. |
| 19 | Q W | hat's the name? |
| 20 | A B | ennett G. Grimes. |
| 21 | Q I | s there also a date on that piece of paper? |
| 22 | A Y | es, 7/18/2011. |
| 23 | Q A | nd at the bottom is there a company identified |
| 24 | on the paper? | |
| 25 | A W | almart. |
| | 11 | |

| 1 | Q And did you impound the shoes and socks there? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A I did. |
| 3 | MR. BURNS: Your Honor, may I approach the witness? |
| 4 | THE COURT: You may. |
| 5 | BY MR. BURNS: |
| 6 | Q Showing you what has been marked as State's |
| 7 | proposed Exhibit 77. If you could just look through that and |
| 8 | let me know if you recognize any of the property in there? |
| 9 | A I recognize the property on the first two pages. |
| 10 | Q Okay. Thank you. And the property on the first |
| 11 | two pages, is that all stuff that you impounded? |
| 12 | A Yes. |
| 13 | Q Okay. Now, have you have you had the |
| 14 | occasion to photograph a lot of people with knife wounds? |
| 15 | A Yes. |
| 16 | Q Okay. And in fact have you photographed |
| 17 | self-inflicted knife wounds before? |
| 18 | A Yes. |
| 19 | Q Okay. And have you taken some courses and |
| 20 | training in the way to look at wounds? |
| 21 | A Yes. |
| 22 | Q Okay. And the ways to photograph them? |
| 23 | A Yes. |
| 24 | Q And have you ever encountered in your 16 years |
| 25 | as a crime scene analyst self-inflicted knife wounds to the |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 35 |

25

BY MR. BURNS:

A Yes.

23

24

25

Q Okay. And so someone for later purposes of analysis can look at it and --

| 1 | Q The courses that you just mentioned you took, | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | how long ago did you take them? | |
| 3 | A I've taken the — the courses I've taken, I've | |
| 4 | taken over the course of my entire career. With the most | |
| 5 | recent courses being within the last year. | |
| 6 | Q Within the last year. And how long would | |
| 7 | what was the duration of each course? | |
| 8 | A Some courses are one-week long, some courses are | |
| 9 | day-long courses, some courses are a matter of just hours. | |
| 10 | Q So fair to say the longest course would have | |
| 11 | been about a week? | |
| 12 | A Yes. | |
| 13 | Q Okay. And you are not a doctor. | |
| 14 | A I am not. | |
| 15 | Q You have not gone to | |
| 16 | THE COURT: You need to say a medical doctor | |
| 17 | BY MS. HOJJAT: | |
| 18 | Q You are not a medical doctor. | |
| 19 | A I am not. | |
| 20 | Q You have not gone to medical school. | |
| 21 | A I have not. | |
| 22 | Q You are not qualified to treat injuries like | |
| 23 | this. | |
| 24 | A Treat, no. | |
| 25 | Q You are not an accident reconstruction | |
| : | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 40 | |

| 1 | specialist. | |
|-----|---|--|
| 2 | A I am not an accident reconstruction specialist. | |
| 3 | Q You are not certified to give expert opinions or | |
| 4 | how injuries have come about. | |
| 5 | A Certified, no. | |
| 6 | MS. HOJJAT: Your Honor, at this time | |
| 7 | THE COURT: What did you mean by that? I mean, is | |
| 8 | she certified by some type of organization or I'm just not | |
| 9 | sure I understand that or by a court of law. | |
| LO | BY MS. HOJJAT: | |
| L1 | Q You have received no certification from anybody | |
| L2 | to give expert opinions as to how injuries come about. | |
| L3 | A No. | |
| L4 | MR. BURNS: Your Honor, at this point I think the | |
| l.5 | standard is pursuant to Hallmark versus Eldridge. | |
| 16 | THE COURT: Uh-huh, yeah. Anything else? | |
| 17 | MS. HOJJAT: Court's indulgence. No, Your Honor. | |
| 18 | THE COURT: Any further objection? | |
| 19 | MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor. | |
| 20 | THE COURT: Okay. Same, foundation? | |
| 21 | MS. HOJJAT: There's a little bit further if Your | |
| 22 | Honor would prefer for us to approach for me to make an offer | |
| 23 | of proof. | |
| 24 | THE COURT: If you want to, come on. | |
| 25 | (Bench conference transcribed as follows.) | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |

THE COURT: All four lawyers are present.

MS. HOJJAT: Your Honor, this is Nadia Hojjat.

THE COURT: I think she knows your voice by now.

MS. HOJJAT: Your Honor, the State provided us with an expert notice on this witness and the expert notice that we received is that she would testify —

THE COURT: Have you seen it?

MS. HOJJAT: Absolutely.

8.

THE COURT: I was going to look it up.

MS. HOJJAT: We did not receive any notice that she would be testifying as to the nature of these injuries or how they came about. Additionally, they provided us a supplemental expert notice in which they said somebody else was going to be testifying to these things. Now I'm at a loss as to whether they're planning on having her, basically putting two people on the stand to testify to the exact same thing.

THE COURT: Who's the other person?

MS. HOJJAT: Ms. Olson, I believe it is. It's the first page of that notice. And so at this point, I mean, they've told us that there's another person testifying to this information and we prepared cross—examination of the coroner. Now they're having this person here. I mean, I can only assume this is bolstering if the coroner's going to come testify to the identical information.

THE COURT: Dr. Olson is a she.

MS. HOJJAT: Oh, I'm sorry.

THE COURT: It's not your fault. That's a good objection.

MR. BURNS: Your Honor, here's the thing. They've been noticed that this type of evidence is going to come in. They've had the opportunity to voir dire this witness. So I don't know if they were planning on asking some specific impeachment of the coroner, calling the coroner. So I don't know what prejudice there is to them. They've already been put on notice that this type of evidence is coming in.

THE COURT: Yeah, but they prepare for certain witnesses and they prepare their cross—examination. And this witness, I'm not so sure it's like a foundation thing. I mean, it doesn't taken an Einstein to conclude that if the knife slips your finger can get cut. Doesn't take an Einstein to conclude if the evidence has come in. If you put your left arm up and someone's holding a knife at you, your arm's going to get cut. I'm not quite sure we need an expert to tell us that.

MR. BURNS: We'd be arguing that whether or not there was an expert testimony.

THE COURT: I mean, the issue is that you put them on notice that Dr. Olson was going to come in from the coroner's office.

THE COURT: Oh, okay. Does the jury need a break?

I'm sorry. Okay. At this time we'll take a recess. During this recess you're admonished not to talk or converse amongst yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial or read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information including, without limitation, newspapers, television, the Internet or radio or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

We'll take at least a 15-minute break. Thank you very much. We'll see you in a minute.

(Jury recessed at 9:30 a.m.)

(Outside the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: Okay. The record will reflect this hearing is taking place outside the presence of the jury panel. Okay. And the —— is it okay, do you want the witness to step down?

MS. HOJJAT: If she could step down and step outside, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you just mind stepping down and waiting outside? See, you know what? You should take a break anyways. We'll start probably around 9:45. You can leave your stuff here and no one will touch it. Okay.

The record will reflect now that the witness has

Hillman.

THE COURT: She is. Everyone's well known to him. MR. HILLMAN: I don't think I've ever had her in a

case, though.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: You're kidding me.

MR. HILLMAN: No.

THE COURT: Really?

If -- if I did it was --MR. HILLMAN:

2.4

THE COURT: Okay, I'm sorry. I just figured Mr. Hillman knows everybody.

MR. HILLMAN: If — if I did it was a long time ago. I don't recall, though.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HOJJAT: And, Your Honor to be clear, we are not challenging her certification as a crime scene analyst and that's why frankly when we didn't receive her CV for crime scene — when we were put on notice that she'd be testifying as a crime scene analyst to preservation of evidence, photographs that were taken, swabs that were taken, we had no problems. We anticipated making no objections to her as an expert and it didn't really concern us.

We received a separate expert witness notice that another individual, Dr. Olson, would be testify — either Dr. Olson or Dr. Holtroff [phonetic] would be testifying as to how these injuries came about, the nature, or whether they were defensive or offensive and we prepared a cross-examination for that doctor. And as Your Honor's aware, when cross-examining individuals on things like this, their education, their background, how much training and experience they have, is certainly an area that an attorney is going to look into very thoroughly to prepare it's cross-examination. We are caught

THE COURT: I agree.

MS. HOJJAT: — completely off guard to have her up here now when we haven't even received a CV and certainly when we had no anticipation of her testifying to this information because the expert witness notice did not mention that she'd be testifying to this information.

THE COURT: I have a copy of her expert witness notification. It does not appear as though she — the defense was put on notice that this particular witness would be testifying to these issues. Go ahead, Mr. Burns.

MR. BURNS: Your Honor, the notice indicates that she would be testifying as an expert in the area of identification, preservation of evidence.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. BURNS: They were obviously — it doesn't say specifically as to, you know, interpretation of wound evidence and things like that. But they have been put on notice that that type of evidence was coming. Ms. Renhard has been, as we've established, practicing for 16 years. They are clearly going to be familiar with her from prior cases. Additional —

THE COURT: Well, that — and that's — that's what I thought. But then I have Mr. Hillman tell me he's never had this CSA and I have an attorney that's new to the jurisdiction. And that's — that was my initial —

MR. BURNS: That's true, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- instinct because I've seen this CSA in

the courthouse multiple times.

MR. BURNS: And that's fine, Your Honor. Assuming — you know, and I — I accept all of that. But she's nevertheless — they've had the opportunity to take her on voir dire. Obviously, happened in front of the jury but it's still the same thing. They've been able to get an assessment of her qualifications, her experience. I elicited an extensive foundation. She testified that she's familiar with — she's taken courses in wound identification. I asked her if she's familiar with the physics of these types of things and — there's clearly a foundation laid under Hallmark versus Eldridge.

They've been put on notice that this type of evidence was coming. So I guess the prejudice that they would have to show at this point to have this notice issue be fatal is that there's some kind of — there's some kind of key impeachment they were expecting for the noticed witness, you know, or there's — there's something that on voir dire they discovered, you know, that they could have prepared more.

anymore. I think that the State's laid a proper foundation and I didn't really understand why I kept getting the objection, so I started to think maybe the notice was off.

And then I got that objection. I think the notice objection is probably a better objection. I mean, I don't know that her

qualifications have been impeached or — she's clearly qualified to render these opinions. It's just a notice issue.

So, I mean, the State — did you have some sort of special — you know what? Didn't the doctor from UMC testify to this? I know we've had testimony. The doctor from UMC testified these were defensive wounds.

MS. BOTELHO: Said they're defensive wounds, yes, Your Honor. Or --

THE COURT: She did. I — the doctor from UMC did. Nobody objected then.

MS. HOJJAT: Your Honor, and again, it comes down to the qualifications. We don't have a CV for this individual, this crime scene analyst. We weren't anticipating making an objection to her testifying as a crime scene analyst. We fully stipulate to her qualifications to testify in the areas of preserving a crime scene, photographing a crime scene, documenting —

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MS. HOJJAT: — a crime scene. But now all I know is that she's taken a couple of classes, the longest of which was one-week long. That's very different from medical school, Your Honor. When a doctor gets up there, a medical doctor gets up there and says I'm going to testify to the nature of these wounds, we're more likely to agree with that. But I don't have a CV —

THE COURT: I think I believe that the doctor already testified that these wounds on the arm were defensive in nature. But again, I know I said this at the bench, you do not need an expert witness to say — I mean, if you agree with the state of the evidence that's come in thus far and with the — Ms. Newman, Aneka Newman, on what she testified happened, then it doesn't take an expert to say if someone's wielding a knife at you and you throw your left arm up in front, that your arm's going to get sliced up. That is not rocket science, that is common sense. And you don't need an expert to tell you that.

2.

MS. HOJJAT: And, Your Honor, the distinction here is this witness seems to be testifying that looking at these wounds I can tell that's the situation that happened here. That's very different from, yes, if somebody throws their hand up and there's a knife coming at it, you're going to get sliced up. It's looking at these wounds and saying, I can tell how these wounds happened. She's not qualified. I don't even have a CV in order to properly be able to impeach her and go after her. I don't know where she took those classes. I don't know if that school was certified. I don't know anything about her education history because I don't have a CV and I wasn't put on any notice that she was going to be testifying to these things.

MR. HILLMAN: And, Judge, if I could add something

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Wasn't attached.

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

52

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

I've defended 15 or 18 murder trials --

MR. HILLMAN: -- numerous violent crimes and I've never had a CSA come in and testify as to whether or not wounds were defensive or not.

THE COURT: Because when you have a homicide case the coroner comes in.

MR. HILLMAN: Or a doctor.

MS. HOJJAT: And in this case a coroner was noticed.

THE COURT: A coroner comes in every time. You don't bring in somebody extra. I've done a lot of murder cases, they've not brought in -- it's always the coroner, don't you think? Generally, because they're the ones that inspect the body.

> Right. It's --MR. HILLMAN:

THE COURT: They're the ones that have the most experience and they actually look at the body. But here's the thing, the doctor from UMC testified to it. So what's the State's response? I think the objection's well taken. defense wasn't put on notice and you have an attorney who's not familiar with the CSA and didn't have the -- this -- I looked up this notice, the Curriculum Vitae was not attached.

MR. BURNS: Right. And I believe she may have her CV with her today. You know, I think it's purely a notice issue and I think the --

THE COURT: It's a notice issue.

MR. BURNS: — the only — the only thing that they really have to hang their hat on is that they've somehow been disadvantaged for their cross—examination because they did not have a CV. They were already aware that this type of evidence was coming in, you know, not just from lay people, but that one expert would be testifying to it. So they — cross—examination has not commenced. If they want to talk to the witness further, if they — if she does in fact have her resume with her today they could examine that.

I'm not really sure what the prejudice is at this point, particularly because they've had the opportunity to voir dire, they've had — they'll have the opportunity if they want before the cross—examination to speak to her more. This type of evidence is already coming in through other witnesses, lay and expert. It's just not an issue — I mean, I understand the notice may be imperfect, but it's notice. It's still notice and they knew this kind of evidence was coming.

THE COURT: Well, the notice doesn't even say -- I have to read this notice as extraordinarily broad to --

MR. BURNS: Well, here's --

THE COURT: -- indicate -- I mean, look at the notice

and just for purposes of argument, look at the notice you did for Dr. Olson. She's expected to testify regarding the classification of wounds as offensive or defensive and that's clear and unequivocal. And look at the notice of the CSA. I mean, I think it's well taken that they think she's going to testify about photographing, documenting, collecting and that's it.

MR. BURNS: Your Honor makes a good point. We're only asking this witness if it's consistent in terms of preserving and identifying evidence. I was able to elicit from her that one of the things that she does study and one of her responsibilities is to take pictures that would portray particular characteristics of wounds. And so based on looking at the physics or the line of a wound, she'll take a particular type of photograph if, you know, she has an instinct that — or she believes it's consistent with some kind of defensive wound or something. I don't — I don't think that wholly cures the notice issue but, I mean, there's just really no prejudice.

THE COURT: Well, I don't know if there's any prejudice because I don't know — I mean, the defense can't really make a — a full proffer because they don't know what the prejudice would be. So at this time I'm going to sustain the objection. And I believe the testimony has already come in through the medical doctor from UMC who actually treated

and observed the victim when she came to the hospital. So we have a — let's take like five minutes and then we'll bring the jury back in.

MR. BURNS: Your Honor, one more thing.

THE COURT: Okay.

1.8

2.0

MR. BURNS: The objection has been sustained so I think at this point it would probably be appropriate — I don't know what the defense's view is, to instruct the jury to disregard Ms. Renhard's opinions about exhibits — the exhibit of the defendant's hand and then the exhibit of the victim's arm. The things I was referring to as — or asking her opinion that she testified about as a knife slippage on the hand of the exhibits.

THE COURT: Well, again, I'm going to say, I didn't think that was expert testimony. I mean, I think — I do not think that was expert testimony.

MR. BURNS: All right.

THE COURT: I said if someone — all you have to do is be in a kitchen and cut vegetables or do anything. Anyone that has common experiences knows that the knife can slip and cut your finger. I would be surprised if anyone's — that's not happened to someone. I would be more surprised if that had not happened.

MR. HILLMAN: I think we're good where we're at as long as we don't go any farther.

THE COURT: You haven't been in a kitchen cooking if that hasn't happened to you.

MS. HOJJAT: I think perhaps maybe just an instruction to disregard any testimony she gave as to her opinion of how these particular wounds were caused because she's not — there's a difference between could this happen this way and I think this wound happened this way and I think that's the area —

MR. BURNS: She testified to consistency is what --

THE COURT: Okay. So you want me to instruct the jury to disregard her testimony that she gave regarding —

MS. HOJJAT: Her opinion of how any injuries in this case may have been caused.

THE COURT: How many wounds --

MR. BURNS: And I think that — I mean, I don't — I think that might be a little bit broad. I don't know if the defense is concerned that the CSA's imprimatur is on that type of evidence now, that her qualifications have been attached to it. So —

THE COURT: Well, what do -- what do you want me to instruct the jury? I mean, you have to protect the record.

MR. BURNS: Well, you've sustained the objection.

THE COURT: So I have to clearly tell them to disregard the last question and any — I don't know if she gave an answer?

| l l | · |
|-----|---|
| 1 | THE COURT: I don't think that she did. So I can |
| 2 | tell the jury to disregard the last question and any response |
| 3 | that was given by the witness. |
| 4 | MR. BURNS: The one about the defensive wounds? |
| 5 | THE COURT: Uh-huh. |
| 6 | MS. HOJJAT: Yes. |
| 7 | MR. BURNS: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 8 | THE COURT: And then the State can just carry on and |
| 9 | they have to go right out of this area. |
| 10 | MS. BOTELHO: Perfect. |
| 11 | MS. HOJJAT: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 12 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 13 | (Court recessed at 9:45 a.m. until 10:08 a.m.) |
| 14 | (Outside the presence of the jury.) |
| 15 | THE COURT: You guys are ready? We can wait for Mr. |
| 16 | Burns. |
| 17 | MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor. |
| 18 | MR. HILLMAN: Where's Patrick? |
| 19 | MS. BOTELHO: With our witness. I'll get them both. |
| 20 | THE COURT: Did everyone get to have a break? |
| 21 | MS. HOJJAT: Yes. |
| 22 | THE COURT: And Mr. Grimes, you had a break? |
| 23 | THE DEFENDANT: Yes. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 25 | MS. HOJJAT: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT |

| 1 | MS. BOTELHO: Do you want her back on the stand, Your |
|-----|--|
| 2 | Honor? |
| 3 | THE COURT: Sure. |
| 4 | MS. BOTELHO: Just bring her back up? |
| 5 | THE COURT: Sure. |
| 6 | MS. BOTELHO: Just go ahead and take the same seat. |
| 7 | THE WITNESS: Okay. |
| 8 | (Jury reconvened at 10:09 a.m.) |
| 9 | THE COURT: Do the parties stipulate to the presence |
| 10 | of the jury panel? |
| 11 | MS. BOTELHO: Yes, Your Honor. |
| 12 | MR. HILLMAN: Yes, Judge. |
| .13 | THE COURT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, I have |
| 14 | sustained the objection made by the defense before we took a |
| 15 | break. So I ask you to disregard the last question and any |
| 16 | testimony that was given in response to that question. You |
| 17 | may continue. |
| 18 | MR. BURNS: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 19 | CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 20 | BY MR. BURNS: |
| 21 | Q Ms. Renhard, I want to go back to this the |
| 22 | blue cooler. Do you remember that? I've shown you do you |
| 23 | recall you examined it pretty closely? |
| 24 | A I don't recall examining it pretty closely. |
| 25 | Just — |
| | |

| 1 | Q Let me ask you this. Do do you think that |
|------|--|
| 2 | you examined it close enough that you would have noted any |
| 3 | apparent blood on it? |
| 4 | A Yes. |
| 5 | Q Okay. And did you after all the exhibits |
| 6 | we've shown, all the different angles you looked at the |
| 7 | cooler, did you ever see any blood on that blue cooler? |
| 8 | A I did not. |
| 9 | Q Now in your experience, have you had the |
| 10 | occasion to lift fingerprints from a knife blade? |
| 11 | A Yes. |
| 12 | Q Okay. And have you done that a number occasions |
| 13 | throughout your 16 years? |
| 14 | A Yes. |
| 15 | Q Okay. And for this for this knife, would you |
| 16 | have lifted fingerprints? |
| 17 | A No. |
| 18 | Q Okay. |
| 19 | MR. BURNS: Court's indulgence. Your Honor, I'll |
| 20 | pass the witness. |
| 21 | THE COURT: Cross-examination. |
| 22 | CROSS-EXAMINATION |
| 23 | BY MS. HOJJAT: |
| 24 | Q Good morning good sorry. Good morning. |
| 25 . | How are you doing today? |
| | INICHOPTETED DOLICH DDAFT |

Α Tired.

2

THE COURT: Sorry.

3

BY MS. HOJJAT:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, I'll try to finish up quickly, let you go home and sleep. Ms. Renhard, what is a phenyl -- and I'm pronouncing this wrong, phenolphthalein presumptive test for blood?

Phenolphthalein presumptive test for blood is Α It's a presumptive test for blood. It's a test just that. done to not confirm, but to possibly identify a substance as being blood. It's not a confirmatory test, but it just -- if you see a substance, you think it's blood, you can use a chemical, a phenolphthalein to test that substance to see if the -- it's possibly blood. It's very sensitive and fairly -and accurate for blood.

So basically, it's — if there's an area that might have blood, might not have blood, this test can tell you. It's sensitive to blood, it will tell you, yes, that's blood?

> Yes. Α

Now -- sorry, I want to find the correct exhibit.

MS. HOJJAT: Do you guys have the knife? Oh, thank Thank you very much. you.

BY MS. HOJJAT:

| 1 | Q I'm showing you what's been admitted as State's |
|------|--|
| 2 | Exhibit 34. You said that those are fingerprints, correct? |
| 3 | A Those are ridge detail of the either fingers |
| 4 | or palm. |
| 5 | Q Okay. But no fingerprints were lifted from this |
| 6 | knife blade? |
| 7 | A No. |
| 8 | Q And that was because there was also blood there. |
| 9 | A There was also blood there and lifting |
| 10 | lifting it would not have been the appropriate way to handle |
| 11 | it. |
| 12 | Q Okay. Now, you said that you took swabs of |
| 13 | blood from the concrete area outside the apartment. |
| 14 | A Yes. |
| 15 | Q You took swabs of blood from the living room |
| 16 | carpet east of the front door. |
| 17 | A Yes. |
| 18 | Q You took swabs from the tile in the entryway. |
| 19 | A Yes. |
| 20 | Q And you took swabs from the asphalt next to the |
| 21 | police vehicles. |
| 22 | A Yes. |
| 23 | Q But you did not take a swab of the blood on the |
| 24 . | knife. |
| 25 | A I collected the original surface in this case. |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT |

| , | Q Okay. You did not swab this area. |
|------------|--|
| 1 | |
| 2 | A No. |
| 3 | Q Okay. And had you swabbed it, this fingerprint |
| 4 | pattern might have been damaged, correct? |
| 5 | A That's correct. |
| 6 | Q And it would require a swab to remove that blood |
| 7 | from that area. |
| 8 | A Yes. |
| 9 | Q So is it fair to say that had you swabbed the |
| 10 | knife blade, you could not then go back afterward and try to |
| 11 | remove that fingerprint if you were inclined to remove the |
| 12 | fingerprint? |
| 13 | A Normally, in the instance when there's blood |
| 14 | like that, we would attempt to swab |
| 15 | Q Uh-huh. |
| 16 | \mathtt{A} in an adjacent area to the ridge detail. |
| 17 | Q Okay. |
| 18 | A And that's what I would have done. I never |
| 19 | would I would never try I shouldn't say never. I would |
| 20 | very unlikely that I would ever try a lift, a print that |
| 21 | appeared to be bloody. |
| 22 | Q Okay. |
| 23 | A Photographing would be the correct way to |
| 24 | document that print, not lift. |
| 25 | Q Okay. And maybe I wasn't very clear with my |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 63 |

| 1 | Q You did not observe what the EMTs might have |
|----|--|
| 2 | done inside of the apartment. |
| 3 | A I did not. |
| 4 | Q You did not observe what anybody who was inside |
| 5 | of that apartment prior to your arrival might have done. |
| 6 | A I did not. |
| 7 | Q And you talked about preserving a scene. It's |
| 8 | you and I'm sorry, do you work with a team? Is it multiple |
| 9 | people who go or is it just you? |
| 10 | A In the in this case it was just me. |
| 11 | Q Just you, all right. So it's your job to |
| 12 | preserve the scene. |
| 13 | A Correct. |
| 14 | Q It's your job to photograph it as you find it. |
| 15 | A Correct. |
| 16 | Q But as we discussed, you don't know what's being |
| 17 | done with the scene prior to your arrival. |
| 18 | A That's correct. |
| 19 | Q And you would hope that it's being preserved. |
| 20 | A That's correct. |
| 21 | Q But you can't assure this jury that it was |
| 22 | preserved. |
| 23 | A No. All I can assure them is that this is the |
| 24 | condition it was in when I got there. |
| 25 | Q When you got there. And when you arrived at the |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT |

| ll ll | |
|-------|--|
| 1 | A New Associate Schedule. |
| . 2 | Q And going to the bottom, corporation was? |
| 3 | A WalMart Stores. |
| 4 | Q And the date was? |
| 5 | A 7/18/2011. |
| 6 | Q So that would be four days prior to the date |
| 7 | that you responded to the scene. |
| 8 | A Correct. |
| 9 | MS. HOJJAT: Court's indulgence, Your Honor. |
| 10 | MR. HILLMAN: Judge, can I approach and get the easel |
| 11 | out, please? |
| 12 | THE COURT: Of course. |
| 13 | MR. HILLMAN: Thank you. |
| 14 | MS. HOJJAT: Your Honor, permission to approach with |
| 15 | what's been previously marked as Defense Exhibit |
| 16 | THE COURT: We up to D? |
| 17 | MS. HOJJAT: I think it's E maybe? |
| 18 | THE COURT: E? |
| 19 | MS. HOJJAT: I $-$ I might have gotten the wrong one, |
| 20 | sorry. |
| 21 | THE COURT: I think we're up to D as in |
| 22 | MR. HILLMAN: It is D. |
| 23 | MS. HOJJAT: Yes, D. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Sure. D. I think defense is going to |
| 25 | ask you step down in front of the jury in front of their |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT |

| 1 | exhibit. She can step down. Do you want her to step down? |
|-----|---|
| 2 | MS. HOJJAT: Yes, please, Your Honor. |
| 3 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 4 | MS. HOJJAT: |
| 5 | Q I'm showing you what's been marked for |
| 6 | identification purposes as Defense Exhibit D. This is a floor |
| 7 | plan of the apartment that you responded to on July 22nd, |
| . 8 | 2011. This is a fair and accurate depiction of the floor |
| 9 | plan? |
| 10 | A Yes, that looks correct to me. |
| 11 | MS. HOJJAT: Your Honor, at this time we would move |
| 12 | to admit Defense Exhibit D into evidence. |
| 13 | THE COURT: Any objection? |
| 14 | MR. BURNS: No, Your Honor. |
| 15 | THE COURT: It's admitted. |
| 16 | (Defendant's Exhibit D admitted.) |
| 17 | MS. HOJJAT: Permission to publish, Your Honor? |
| 18 | THE COURT: You may. |
| 19 | BY MS. HOJJAT: |
| 20 | Q Now I'm sorry. You collected blood swabs |
| 21 | from multiple places. |
| 22 | A Correct. |
| 23 | Q And you collected blood swabs from everywhere |
| 24 | that you saw blood, correct? |
| 25 | A Correct. |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 70 |

1.0

Q Can you please mark on this diagram where you collected blood swabs from?

A This is the — that dotted line. This side of the dotted line's going to indicate the tile entryway and so I collected blood from approximately here. And then this area here is where there was some pooling and some drops of blood. I collected some blood there. Out here, outside the door and then out — this went this direction and out there was the parking lot where the shoes were and I collected blood there. And other than that there was the original surface collected as far as the knife, the shoes, the socks.

Q Okay, now — I'm sorry, we ran out of different colored markers. Can I have you put your initials on the bottom of this one just so we can keep it straight. Thank you very much. Now, you did not collect any blood in the area next to the counter here.

A I did not.

Q You did not collect any blood further down towards the living room area next to the counter.

A No.

Q You did not collect any blood in the distance between the counter and the entryway.

A That's correct.

Q In front of the laundry room here on the -- closer to the counter edge, there was no blood that you found?

| 1 | A There was none that I noted. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q Okay, thank you. You can be seated. And now, |
| 3 | ma'am, you did say that you are able to latent print |
| 4 | processing, correct? |
| 5 | A Yes. |
| 6 | Q Okay. You just didn't do any on the knife in |
| 7 | this case. |
| 8 | A I did not. |
| 9 | Q Okay. And you were testifying about |
| 10 | fingerprints earlier and you were testing about testifying |
| 11 | about oil secretions. |
| 12 | A Correct. |
| 13 | Q And I believe you said the only thing required |
| 14 | to leave a fingerprint is that somebody touched it; is that |
| 15 | correct? |
| 16 | A Well, that's the one thing that is absolutely |
| 17 | required, is that the item be touched. |
| 18 | Q Thank you. |
| 19 | MS. HOJJAT: I'll pass the witness, Your Honor. |
| 20 | THE COURT: Any redirect? |
| 21 | MR. BURNS: Yes, Your Honor. |
| 22 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 23 | BY MR. BURNS: |
| 24 | Q Ms. Renhard, safe to say that some surfaces are |
| 25 | better at retaining fingerprint impressions than others? |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT |

| 1 | A Correct. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q Now, how would you describe the texture of the |
| 3 | knife handle? |
| 4 | A The knife handle was one of those slightly |
| 5 | rough, you know, plastic like composite blade or handle. |
| 6 | Q And in your training and experience, is that one |
| 7 | of the better surfaces for retaining — for fingerprint |
| 8 | impressions being left? |
| 9 | A Actually, it's one of the worst. |
| 10 | Q That would be one of the worst. Did when you |
| 11 | observed it, did you see anything you saw what you what |
| 12 | you know are partial blood bloody fingerprints on the |
| 13 | blade. Do you see anything similar on the handle? |
| 14 | A I did not. |
| 15 | $_{ m Q}$ Now, the — the bloody fingerprint on the knife |
| 16 | blade, could that fingerprint have been left based on blood |
| 17 | being on the finger and then touching the knife? |
| 18 | A It could have. |
| 19 | Q And could it also have been caused by blood |
| 20 | being on the knife and then the knife being touched? |
| 21 | A Yes, it could have. |
| 22 | MR. BURNS: Court's indulgence. |
| 23 | BY MR. BURNS: |
| 24 | Q Ms. Renhard, I'm going to show you let me |
| 25 | just I'm going to show you what's been admitted as State's |
| | |

| 1 | A Yes. |
|----|--|
| ż | Q Okay. |
| 3 | A Well, this this one somebody's made looks |
| 4 | like I'm not sure if this is a mark on the photograph or a |
| 5 | mark on the actual blade there. |
| 6 | Q Does it appear that someone's done some kind of |
| 7 | they made some notations |
| 8 | A Right. |
| 9 | Q and diagrams on it? |
| 10 | A And the same here. It looks like there's labels |
| 11 | that are — I'm not sure if it's — this actually looks like |
| 12 | they're labels on the item that I didn't put on there. |
| 13 | Q Okay. And there are four pages to this exhibit? |
| 14 | A Yes. |
| 15 | MR. BURNS: Your Honor, at this time I'd like to have |
| 16 | admitted or publish for the jury the first two pages of this |
| 17 | exhibit. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Any objection? |
| 19 | MR. HILLMAN: We expect that the State's going to be |
| 20 | able to tie up any foundation problems with this, so at this |
| 21 | time we have no objection. |
| 22 | MR. BURNS: Thank you, Mr. Hillman. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Okay. Exhibit 77 is admitted into |
| 24 | evidence and you may publish. |
| 25 | (State's Exhibit 77 admitted.) |

| - 11 | · |
|------|--|
| 1 | A Yes. |
| 2 | Q which side |
| . 3 | A Yes. |
| 4 | Q it was on? |
| 5 | A Yes, it is on the opposite side. |
| 6 | Q Okay. Now, Ms. Renhard, if you could be so |
| 7. | kind, just let me move this. If you could be so kind as to |
| 8 | just to step down. |
| 9 | MR. BURNS: Can I borrow your marker? |
| 10 | MR. HILLMAN: Sure. Do you want to use a different |
| 11 | color to differentiate your marks? |
| 12 | BY MR. BURNS: |
| 13 | Q Ms. Renhard, please indicate where the blue |
| 14 | cooler was. |
| 15 | A I think it was I think it was in this |
| 16 | vicinity right here. |
| 17 | Q You may resume your seat at the witness stand. |
| 18 | MR. HILLMAN: Can the record reflect a blue mark was |
| 19 | made on the Exhibit, Judge? |
| 20 | MR. BURNS: And, Your Honor, for the record that's |
| 21 | Exhibit D of the defense. |
| 22 | THE COURT: Okay, thank you. |
| 23 | MR. BURNS: Your Honor, I will pass the witness. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Any recross? |
| 25 | MS. HOJJAT: Briefly, Your Honor. |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT |

| 1 | RECROSS-EXAMINATION |
|------|--|
| 2 | BY MS, HOJJAT: |
| 3 | Q I'm sorry, ma'am. Bear with me while I find the |
| . 4 | right pictures. |
| 5 | MR. HILLMAN: Judge, can I move the easel? |
| 6 | THE COURT: You may. |
| 7 | MS. HOJJAT: Oh, thank you. |
| 8 | MR. HILLMAN: Thank you. |
| 9 | BY MS. HOJJAT: |
| 10 | Q So, Ms. Renhard, you testified that this |
| 11 | fingerprint was not lifted in order to preserve the ability to |
| 12 | collect the DNA of that blood, correct? |
| 13 | A Correct. Well, it wouldn't have been lifted |
| 14 | anyways, it would have been photographed. |
| 15 | Q Okay. |
| 16 · | A It wasn't enhanced or it wasn't enhanced. It |
| 17 | would not be a fingerprint that would have been lifted. This |
| 18 | is an as-if as-is photograph. |
| 19 | Q Okay. To the best of your knowledge this |
| 20 | fingerprint was not enhanced. |
| 21 | A I did not enhance it. |
| 22 | Q To the best of your knowledge this fingerprint |
| 23 | was never sent for any sort of fingerprint comparison. |
| 24 | A I don't know if it was or not. |
| 25 | Q Okay. You don't know that it was? |

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

I don't that it was.

Okay. You testified on redirect -

I'm sorry, Your Honor. I'm sorry to MR. BURNS: interrupt you, Ms. Hojjat. May we approach?

> THE COURT: Sure.

(Bench conference transcribed as follows.)

MR. BURNS: Your Honor, here's the thing. With this line of questioning, the analysis, if they're going to -- this is going to be an issue, I think they've sort of opened the door to this already that there was not a fingerprint analysis. They're really inviting a response that their brief is here in the courtroom, that it's been available to them, that they could have conducted their own independent analysis consistent with the U.S. Supreme Court's Griffin decision [indiscernible] decision that there would not be a calling on the defendant's failure to testify.

MS. HOJJAT: And it's not a failure to testify, Your Honor. It's burden shifting. We have no obligation to present evidence in the case. They have an obligation, it's their burden beyond a reasonable doubt to present the evidence.

THE COURT: But they don't have any requirement to present certain kind of evidence. And apparently, you're going to harp on this jury that there was some sort of fingerprint there and they didn't lift it and identify it.

that right?

MS. HOJJAT: Your Honor, they don't have --

THE COURT: Sounds like it to me.

MS. HOJJAT: Your Honor, the distinction here is they don't have a burden to present a certain type of evidence. But we certainly have the ability and it goes to the burden to say that they failed to meet their burden because they failed to take certain steps. And that [indiscernible]

THE COURT: I think they can argue that they -- I think they can get into the fact that the print wasn't -- I don't know. I mean, she's testified it couldn't be lifted, that that would always be inappropriate --

MS. HOJJAT: And that's fine --

THE COURT: — but that it could have magnified. I don't even know if she's even testified that it could have actually been identified.

MS. HOJJAT: And that's fine. If they want to recross on that, that's certainly appropriate. But to start commenting that we have a burden to do any sort of presentation of evidence or to analyze anything is burden shifting and they can't do that.

THE COURT: I'm not going to let them do that. Don't panic.

MR. BURNS: Well, I mean, if they're going to open that door I think we're entitled to at least — that it's

available. I have a wealth of persuasive jury experience on this [indiscernible] defense talks about failure to conduct particular types of forensic analysis, DNA, fingerprint in particular, that they invite that [indiscernible] response and it's a fair response. It's not [indiscernible] because they don't need to open that door.

MS. BOTELHO: And there was a motion to dismiss for failure to gather [indiscernible].

MS. HOJJAT: Your Honor, during the time that we did the motion to dismiss, Your Honor said I'm not granting this motion to dismiss, but you can argue it to the jury. I'm now attempting to argue it to the jury and they're attempting to [indiscernible]. I've opened the door to them saying that I have a burden.

THE COURT: I also said many times I would happily discharge this evidence to the defense so they can test it if need be, like in every other case. And the defense declined that offer.

MS. HOJJAT: Because it's our position that we don't have the complication to present evidence to the jury. It's their obligation. And in fact, at this point it's been — Your Honor, case law's going to be presented. I'm going to ask for a recess so that I can do research to present contrary case law.

THE COURT: What are you actually asking me to do?

MR. BURNS: I'm just asking you to caution them if they're going to go down this line of questioning they might be opening the door to [indiscernible]. We reserve the right to —

THE COURT: To an argument or questioning?

MR. BURNS: To [indiscernible] it out with argument.

MS. BOTELHO: We just don't want false impression that we failed to [indiscernible] include case law [indiscernible] to present [indiscernible].

THE COURT: The evidence is available to both sides.

Am I missing something?

MS. HOJJAT: The point is, Judge, our agreement is the evidence is available to both sides, but only one side has the burden of proving things beyond a reasonable doubt.

THE COURT: We all agree on that.

MS. HOJJAT: And for us to be banned from commenting on [indiscernible] for the burden --

MR. BURNS: But they can't create this false idea that it was only available to us. That's unfair --

MS. HOJJAT: We're not saying it was only available to them, but we are saying it was available to them and they chose not to [indiscernible].

THE COURT: Okay. I think [indiscernible] where the State's going now. You're worried that the defense is representing in front of the jury that the evidence was only

22.

available to the State, that it was only available to you and you were derelict in your duties in not doing this.

MR. BURNS: We were handcuffed from doing — from finding out —

THE COURT: I got it. Okay. I don't think you've done that yet.

MS. HOJJAT: We will not say that we did not have access to that. We will not imply that.

THE COURT: Okay. In every single case there's always — sometimes it's the only thing the defense can argue that they — I don't know if I've had a case where the defense hasn't argued the State should have done something that they didn't do. And then the State argues we don't have to do every single test known to mankind.

MS. HOJJAT: We have no problem with them arguing --

THE COURT: I mean --

MR. BURNS: State of the law is not this as Ms. Hojjat's describing it.

THE COURT: It is not.

MR. BURNS: Most courts find that with the defense comments, makes this kind of [indiscernible] particular type of testing being done, that invites a response from the State as to whether or not they had an opportunity to do so.

THE COURT: The state of the record is, not only did they have an opportunity to do so, I signed an order releasing

the evidence to them and allowing them to do it.

MS. BOTELHO: But the jury doesn't --

that. And also, in all fairness, I have never invited that. I'll sign an order [indiscernible] chain of custody and the defense stood up and said no, we don't want to do it. That was really bizarre to me. But you have your own tactical strategy. You know the case better than me. I mean, that's me making that from afar. I don't know what your strategy is. You know your case better than me so I assume you have a logical reason for doing that. Usually, everybody wants to test everything, but that's okay. I like that you didn't want to test everything.

MS. HOJJAT: Sorry, Judge, just to clarify what I can and cannot say and ask. We will, the defense will not be making any sort of suggestion that we didn't have access [indiscernible]. However, we believe that we are allowed to argue they didn't test the knife. And the response they're allowed to make at that point we don't have an obligation to test every single thing. But I don't believe they're allowed to say they should have tested it themselves. Because I do believe at that point we enter the realm of burden shifting.

MR. BURNS: It's a double standard and they're not entitled to affirmatively create this double standard in this insinuation to the jury. That being, they're insinuating that

they didn't have the opportunity. The only answer to determining those prints were there was the State testing.

THE COURT: There didn't appear to be an objection. It sounds like the State is putting you on notice that they intend to go down this road. You're on notice. Do whatever you think you have to do to defend your client.

MS. HOJJAT: I'm asking the Court at this point for guidance on what — if we make the argument of they should have tested the knife [indiscernible] access to the knife [indiscernible] whether Your Honor is going to allow them to then turn around and say well, they had access, they should have tested it. I'm asking for guidance in terms of what Your Honor's — because I don't want to open that door if Your Honor's going to allow that in. But that was a line of — I do believe that I'm entitled to point out —

THE COURT: In this case the record is very, very clear that not only was it available to you, I repeatedly told you that you had it and you had the all ability to test [indiscernible] experts.

MS. HOJJAT: Your Honor, the record is clear on that. However, it's our position that we don't have the burden to test.

THE COURT: I'll be happy to give you a copy of what was just presented to me by the State and you can review it.

I'll have someone copy it. I think the State's just telling

you what they intend to do.

MS. HOJJAT: Okay. Can I inquire at this point, can we — the door has not been opened, correct? They cannot turn around and make that response at this point.

MR. BURNS: It was opened in opening statement, but

THE COURT: It was opened in opening statement. It was opened like a year ago. His fingerprint wasn't tested. I don't even --

MS. HOJJAT: — turn around and say we had access to the knife and we could have tested the knife. I do believe it's improper burden shifting. I'm wondering if they're planning [indiscernible], based on the questioning that we've done at this point.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. HOJJAT: So I'll stop questioning at this point if Your Honor will say that if we don't ask anymore questions they're not allowed to make that argument.

THE COURT: I'm not going to make any [indiscernible] right now, there's nothing pending in front of me.

MR. BURNS: Just make our choice, you know, what we think is good for [indiscernible] --

THE COURT: Okay. And then the defense needs to do what they think is appropriate. You've got, I mean, Mr. Hillman has got like ten times the experience of all of us put

together. That was meant with all due respect.

MR. HILLMAN: Thank you.

MS. HOJJAT: Yes, Your Honor. What I'm trying to inquire from the Court at this point, because I can stop this line of questioning right now, if the door has not been opened

THE COURT: I'm not going to tell you how to present your case because clearly, you had a strategy in doing what you did. When I told you you could test it and you didn't test it, okay, you had a strategy. So I don't know what that is and I'm not going to ask you what it is. But I'm not going to interfere with the defense's strategy and the road you chose to go down and the tactics you chose to defend your client. You need to do what you think is right for your client. I'll give you a copy of this.

MR. HILLMAN: I think Patrick just said that [indiscernible] position.

THE COURT: His position is he wants to [indiscernible] the door already. He thinks that you [indiscernible] you've put an impression in front of the jury panel that the State was the only one who had access and no one had the ability to test this knife and you didn't have the ability to do it and somehow they were derelict in their duties.

MS. HOJJAT: I guess then we'd ask the Court for a

the worst things to collect fingerprints off of. 1 I'm sorry? 2 Α MR. BURNS: I'm sorry. I'm not sure if that reflects 3 her testimony. 4 THE COURT: Maybe you just ask her, I think she wants 5 to get back to the handle of the knife; is that fair? 6 MS. HOJJAT: Yes. 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 BY MS. HOJJAT: 9 Let's go back to the knife handle. 10 Okay. 11 Α You were asked I believe on redirect, whether 12 different substances have different levels of basically 13 absorbing fingerprints or having fingerprints left on them. 14 Correct. 15 Correct. And you were asked specifically about 16 the knife handle at one point. 17 Correct. Α 18 And you said that the knife handle would not 19 hold fingerprints very well. 20 This particular type of composite material is, 21 Α in my experience, is not very good. 22 Okay. You said it was one of the worst. 23 Q Yes. 24 Α What about carpet? Okay. 25 Q UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

| 1 | A Oh, no. I'm sorry, carpet is |
|----|--|
| 2 | THE COURT: For what? For what? |
| 3 | A For fingerprints? |
| 4 | BY MS. HOJJAT: |
| 5 | Q For purposes of collecting fingerprints. |
| 6 | A For the most part, cloth is not a good surface |
| 7 | to collect a regular fingerprint. |
| 8 | Q Okay. |
| 9 | A Any kind of textured surface, cloth surface, for |
| 10 | collecting some cloth surfaces, for collecting, you know, |
| 11 | fingerprint that has a substance on it like blood or chocolate |
| 12 | or something like that, some very smooth tight-knit surfaces |
| 13 | can retain those. However, carpet, especially like this type |
| 14 | of carpet, is I've never heard of it actually ever being |
| 15 | done. |
| 16 | Q Okay. So fair to say carpet would be the worst? |
| 17 | A Yeah. |
| 18 | Q Fair to say cloth would be very bad as well. |
| 19 | A Cloth would be poor. |
| 20 | Q Cloth would be worse than say this knife handle? |
| 21 | A Depends on the cloth. |
| 22 | Q Okay. |
| 23 | A As far as like regular fingerprints, there |
| 24 | without a substance on it, they're probably very similar. |
| 25 | Q Okay. Can't collect fingerprints off of human |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 90 |

25

periods.

| - 11 | | | |
|------|---|--|--|
| 1 | Q Uh—huh. | | |
| 2. | A But there are things that that that can | | |
| 3 | ruin them. | | |
| 4 | Q Okay. | | |
| 5 | A A fingerprint here today, somebody else they | | |
| 6 | would smear and and it would be gone. | | |
| 7 | Q Okay. | | |
| 8 | A So it can be easily removed. They're mainly | | |
| 9 | made up of moisture, sweat | | |
| _0 | Q Uh—huh. | | |
| L1 | A — and that dries. | | |
| l2 | Q Okay. | | |
| L3 | A And so they could, you know, fade that way. | | |
| 14 | There are different chemicals and and means in which to | | |
| 15 | enhance or develop different components of a fingerprint. Say | | |
| 16 | the oils or sweats, the amino acids. So there's different | | |
| 17 | chemicals that can be used to — and possibly bring up | | |
| 18 | fingerprints that aren't visible other than your standard | | |
| 19 | black powder that you see on TV. | | |
| 20 | Q Okay. So going back to you mentioned | | |
| 21 | evaporation. You said that it's — fingerprints are moisture. | | |
| 22 | A Yes. | | |
| 23 | Q And so over time they evaporate. | | |
| 24 | A Yes. | | |
| 25 | Q So, again, if I put my finger here and a | | |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 92 | | |

| 1 | fingerprint is left, as time goes by this fingerprint is | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | slowly drying up. | |
| 3 | A Yes. | |
| 4 | Q It's slowly evaporating. | |
| 5 | A Correct. | |
| 6 | Q The more time passes, the less likely that | |
| 7 | fingerprint is still going to be there. | |
| 8 | A Less likely the moisture in the fingerprint is | |
| 9 | going to still be there. However, if you've, you know, | |
| 10 | touched your hair and have some oil on it | |
| 11 | Q Okay. | |
| 12 | A the oil doesn't dry as quickly. Amino acids | |
| 13 | in your sweat | |
| 14 | Q Uh—huh. | |
| 15 | A might still be there. Salts in your sweat | |
| 16 | Q Uh—huh. | |
| 17 | A might still be there. | |
| 18 | Q Right. | |
| 19 | A And so using chemical enhancement, those might | |
| 20 | be able to bring out a fingerprint. | |
| 21 | Q But it's less likely than if we were to test | |
| 22 | right now, that spot today | |
| 23 | A Yeah. Right now I could take some powder and | |
| 24 | probably bring it right up. | |
| 25 | Q Okay. | |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 93 | |

| 1 | A But later on that would become more and more | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | difficult. | |
| 3 | Q So five months from now it would be more | |
| 4 | difficult today? | |
| 5 | A Yes. | |
| 6 | Q And a year from now would be more difficult than | |
| 7 | five months from now. | |
| 8 | A Especially since we assume this place is cleaned | |
| 9 | constantly. | |
| 10 | Q Right. | |
| 11 | A I mean there's no dust, not like my house. | |
| 12 | MS. HOJJAT: I'll pass the witness, Your Honor. | |
| 13 | THE COURT: Anything else from this witness? | |
| 14 | MR. BURNS: No, Your Honor. | |
| 15 | THE COURT: Thank you very much for your testimony | |
| 16 | here today. You may step down and you're excused from your | |
| 17 | subpoena. State can call their next witness. | |
| 18 | MS. BOTELHO: Your Honor, the State calls Tracy | |
| 19 | Brownlee. | |
| 20 | TRACY BROWNLEE, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN | |
| 21 | THE CLERK: Please be seated and speak your name and | |
| 22 | spell it for the record. | |
| 23 | THE WITNESS: Tracy Brownlee, T-r-a-c-y, | |
| 24 | B-r-o-w-n-1-e-e. | |
| 25 | THE CLERK: Thank you. | |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 94 | |

A Yes.

Q Okay. And would documenting injuries and evidence present on individuals include — be included in your job description as well?

A Yes.

Q As well as photographing evidence that may be left on clothing and things like that?

A Yes.

Q Okay. At some point were you -- well, apparently you were involved and employed with Metro on July 22nd, 2011 as a crime scene analyst; is that right?

A Yes.

Q And, Ms. Brownlee, did you respond to UMC at approximately — the University Medical Center at approximately 8:19 p.m. regarding an investigation concerning Bennett and Aneka Grimes?

A Yes, I did.

Q Okay. And when you arrived at UMC, did you make contact with Aneka Grimes and photograph her injuries?

A Yes, I did.

MS. BOTELHO: Permission to approach, Your Honor. Some of these have already been admitted as State's exhibits, however, some have not. I would like to just approach the witness with State's Exhibits 39 through 58.

| 1 | THE COURT: You may. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MS. BOTELHO: Thank you. |
| 3 | BY MS. BOTELHO: |
| 4 | Q Ms. Brownlee, can you just please take a look at |
| 5 | these exhibits and look up at me when you're finished. |
| ŀ | A Okay. |
| 6 | Q Thank you. Do you recognize these photographs? |
| 7 | |
| 8 | to the same of Anoka Crimes and |
| 9 | i · |
| 10 | her injuries that were present on her body on July 22nd, 2011 |
| 11 | when you made contact with her at the University Medical |
| 12 | Center? |
| 13 | A Yes. |
| 14 | Q Jury's already seen some of this, they'll have |
| 15 | it later so we're not going to go through those. |
| 16 | MS. BOTELHO: Permission to approach with what's |
| 17 | already been admitted as State's Exhibits 70 through 73 and |
| 18 | 74, which has not been admitted. |
| 19 | THE COURT: You may. |
| 20 | MS. BOTELHO: Thank you. |
| 21 | THE CLERK: I don't show 72 either. |
| 22 | THE COURT: 72 or 74 have not been admitted. |
| 23 | MS. BOTELHO: 72 and 74? |
| 24 | MR. HILLMAN: Correct. |
| 25 | MS. BOTELHO: Okay. Well, I'm sorry, Your Honor. |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 97 |

| 1 | THE COURT: Any objection to 74? | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | MR. HILLMAN: No objection. | |
| 3 | THE COURT: It's admitted. | |
| 4 | (State's Exhibit 74 admitted.) | |
| 5 | MS. BOTELHO: Thank you. | |
| 6 | BY MS. BOTELHO: | |
| 7 | Q Pursuant to this investigation concerning Aneka | |
| 8 | and Bennett Grimes, Ms. Brownlee, did you also photograph | |
| 9 | items of clothing from both Bennett Grimes and Aneka Grimes? | |
| 10 | A Yes, I did. | |
| 11 | Q Thank you. | |
| 12 | MS. BOTELHO: Permission to approach with what has | |
| 13 | not been admitted into evidence yet, Your Honor, State's | |
| 14 | Exhibit's 59, 60, 61 and 62. | |
| 15 | BY MS. BOTELHO: | |
| 16 | Q Ms. Brownlee, do you recognize these | |
| 17 | photographs? | |
| 18 | A Yes, I do. | |
| 19 | Q What do you recognize them to be? | |
| 20 | A Clothing that I had taken from Bennett Grimes. | |
| 21 | Q Does this fairly and accurately show the | |
| 22 | condition and also the state of Mr. Grimes' clothing when you | |
| 23 | photographed them on July 22nd, 2011? | |
| 24 | A Yes. | |
| 25 | MS. BOTELHO: Permission or excuse me, Your Honor. | |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 99 | |

| 1 | I move to admit State's Exhibit's 59 through 62. | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | MR. HILLMAN: No objection. | |
| 3 | THE COURT: They're admitted. | |
| 4 | (State's Exhibit 59-62 admitted.) | |
| 5 | MS. BOTELHO: Thank you. Permission to publish? | |
| 6 | THE COURT: You may. | |
| 7 | BY MS. BOTELHO: | |
| 8 | Q State's Exhibit number 59. Ms. Brownlee, what | |
| 9 | is this? | |
| 10 | A It's a pair of pants. | |
| 11 | Q Okay. And were these the pair of pants you had | |
| 12 | taken from Mr. Bennett Grimes? | |
| 13 | A Yes, they are. | |
| 14 | Q And I note that you have some rulers kind of | |
| 15 | throughout. What is the purpose of having these rulers? | |
| 16 | A For documentation purposes if anybody needs to | |
| 17 | do further further analysis on the pants. | |
| 18 | Q Okay. And, of course, the photograph is pretty | |
| 19 | apparent there's blood apparent blood on this particular | |
| 20 | piece of clothing; is that right? | |
| 21 | A Yes. | |
| 22 | Q And you photographed that. State's Exhibit | |
| 23 | Number 60. Is this just the back portion of the same pair of | |
| 24 | pants? | |
| 25 | A Yes, it is. | |

| 1 | Q Also to document any and all types of evidence | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | that may be on this particular piece of clothing? | |
| 3 | A Yes. | |
| 4 | Q State's Exhibit 61, what is this? | |
| 5 | A That is a shirt that was taken from Bennett | |
| 6 | Grimes. | |
| 7 | Q And that was on July 22nd, 2011? | |
| 8 | A Yes, it was. | |
| 9 | Q Okay. State's Exhibit 62. Is this the same | |
| 10 | shirt taken from Bennett Grimes but the backside? | |
| 11 | A Yes, it is | |
| 12 | Q And it fairly shows the the condition of his | |
| 13 | shirt at that time? | |
| 14 | A Yes. | |
| 15 | MS. BOTELHO: Permission to approach with what has | |
| 16 | not been admitted yet, Your Honor, State's Exhibits 63 through | |
| 17 | 69. | |
| 18 | THE COURT: You may. | |
| 19 | MS. BOTELHO: Thank you. | |
| 20 | BY MS. BOTELHO: | |
| 21 | Q Ms. Brownlee, could you please take a look at | |
| 22 | these exhibits and look up at me when you're done? | |
| 23 | A Okay. | |
| 24 | Q Thank you. Do you recognize what's shown in | |
| 25 | these photos? | |

| 1 | A Yes, I do. | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | Q What do you recognize them to be? | |
| 3 | A Those are the clothing I took from Aneka Grimes | |
| 4 | patient belonging bags at UMC. | |
| 5 | Q Okay. Do they fairly and accurately show the | |
| 6 | condition of the clothing you took from Aneka on that | |
| 7 | particular night? | |
| 8 | A Yes, they do. | |
| 9 | MS. BOTELHO: Permission to admit State's Exhibits 63 | |
| 10 | to 69, Your Honor. | |
| 11 | MR. HILLMAN: No objection. | |
| 12 | THE COURT: Admitted. | |
| 13 | (State's Exhibit 63-69 admitted.) | |
| 14 | MS. BOTELHO: Permission to publish? | |
| 15 | THE COURT: You may. | |
| 16 | MS. BOTELHO: Thank you. | |
| 17 | BY MS. BOTELHO: | |
| 18 | Q State's Exhibit Number 63. Ms. Brownlee, what | |
| 19 | is this a picture of? | |
| 20 | A Is the jumper and underwear of Aneka Grimes. | |
| 21 | Q When you received this particular item of | |
| 22 | clothing, do you see the it looks as though it was cut | |
| 23 | right here? | |
| 24 | A Yes. | |
| 25 | Q Was it in that condition when you received it? | |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 102 | |

| 1 | A Yes. | |
|------------|---|--|
| 2 | Q Is that common | |
| 3 | A Yes, it is. | |
| 4 | Q okay. And why is that? | |
| 5 | A It's medical intervention. | |
| 6 | Q Thank you. State's Exhibit Number 64. Is this | |
| 7 | the backside of that same shirt that was taken from Aneka | |
| 8 | Grimes that night? | |
| 9 | A Yes, it is. | |
| 10 | Q State's Exhibit Number 65, oops. Okay. Is this | |
| 11 | the same shirt that we've been talking about? | |
| L2 | A Yes, it is. | |
| L3 | Q Now, I notice that there are three, it looks | |
| L 4 | like sticky notes with pink sticky notes with arrows on | |
| L5 | them. What does that signify? | |
| 16 | A Yes. Those are any defects I find in the | |
| L7 | clothing. | |
| 18 | Q And what do you mean by defects? | |
| L9 | A Any basically when there's medical | |
| 20 | intervention and then there's other items that can happen to | |
| 21 | clothing that is not natural, it doesn't come with the | |
| 22 | clothing, it's not medical intervention. So that's basically | |
| 23 | what I'm documenting are defects that should not have been in | |
| 24 | the clothing. | |
| 25 | Q Defects like holes or things like that? | |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 103 | |

| 1 | exhibit? | |
|-----|---|--|
| 2 | A Yes, I can. | |
| 3 | Q Okay. State's Exhibit Number 68. These | |
| 4 | close-ups of I believe the same ones that were pointed out in | |
| 5 | State's Exhibit Number 65; is that right? | |
| 6 | A Yes, it is. | |
| 7 | Q So you have the two stickies here and then you | |
| 8 | have the two corresponding sticky notes there; is that right? | |
| 9 | A Yes. | |
| 10 | Q And upon zooming in, are you able to see the | |
| 11 | defects now on the screen? | |
| 12 | A Yes. | |
| 13 | Q Thank you. And in case I didn't point it out | |
| 14 | for the record, that was State's Exhibit 68. | |
| 15 | Ms. Brownlee, did you also take any sort of swabs on | |
| 16 | that particular night? | |
| 17 | A Yes, I did. | |
| 18 | Q And were the swabs for apparent blood? | |
| .19 | A Yes, they were. | |
| 20 | Q And where were the swabs taken from? | |
| -21 | A From the hands and foot of Bennett Grimes. | |
| 22 | Q Okay. And is it fair to say there were three | |
| 23 | or excuse me, six different swabs taken total? | |
| 24 | A Yes, two from each area. | |
| 25 | Q Okay. One area being the right hand of Bennett | |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT | |

| 1 | Grimes? | |
|----|---|------------------|
| 2 | A Yes. | |
| 3 | Q Another area being the left hand | of Bennett |
| 4 | Grimes? | |
| 5 | A Yes. | |
| 6 | Q And the third area being the left | foot of |
| 7 | Bennett Grimes? | |
| 8 | A Yes. | |
| 9 | Q Thank you. | |
| 10 | MS. BOTELHO: Court's indulgence. I h | ave no further |
| 11 | questions, Your Honor. | |
| 12 | THE COURT: Any cross-examination? | |
| 13 | MR. HILLMAN: No questions, Judge. | |
| 14 | THE COURT: Thank you very much for yo | ur testimony |
| 15 | here today. You may step down, you're excused | from your |
| 16 | subpoena. | |
| 17 | THE WITNESS: Thank you. | |
| 18 | THE COURT: You may call your next wit | ness. |
| 19 | MS. BOTELHO: Your Honor, the State re | ecalls Detective |
| 20 | Michelle Tavarez. | *. |
| 21 | MICHELLE TAVAREZ, STATE'S WITNESS, S | SWORN |
| 22 | THE CLERK: Please be seated. And sta | ate your name |
| 23 | and spell it for the record. | |
| 24 | THE WITNESS: My name is Michelle Tava | arez, |
| 25 | M-i-c-h-e-l-l-e, T-a-v-a-r-e-z. | |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 106 | |

| 1 | MS. BOTELHO: May I, Your Honor? |
|----|--|
| 2 | THE COURT: You may. |
| 3 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 4 | BY MS. BOTELHO: |
| 5 | Q Officer Tavarez, you testified yesterday as |
| 6 | right is that right? |
| 7 | A Yes, ma'am. |
| 8 | Q Okay. And you're just being recalled by the |
| 9 | State right now. All right. Officer Tavarez, do you recall |
| 10 | when you responded to 9325 West Desert Inn, Apartment Number |
| 11 | 173, seeing a blue bag located between a couch and also the |
| 12 | bar area of that apartment? |
| 13 | A That day I don't specifically remember the bag |
| 14 | being there, but it's in the photos and I recall it from the |
| 15 | pictures that I've seen since the case has happened. |
| 16 | Q Okay. So that — looking at the photos |
| 17 | refreshed your memory |
| 18 | A Yes, ma'am. |
| 19 | Q is that right? Okay. I'm going to show you, |
| 20 | with the Court's permission, State's Exhibit Number 26, |
| 21 | MS. BOTELHO: It's already admitted according to my |
| 22 | records, Your Honor. May I publish? |
| 23 | THE COURT: You may. |
| 24 | MS. BOTELHO: Thank you. |
| 25 | BY MS. BOTELHO: |

| 1 | Q Is that the cooler right there or the blue bag |
|----|--|
| 2 | that had — that you now remember? |
| 3. | A Yes, ma'am. |
| 4 | Q Okay. When your testimony yesterday was that |
| 5 | you also came into this apartment through the balcony; is that |
| 6 | right? |
| 7 | A Correct. |
| 8 | Q And you stated that at least that particular |
| 9 | day, July 22nd, 2011, you don't remember that particular blue |
| 10 | bag? |
| 11 | A That's correct. |
| 12 | Q So you don't remember you making contact with |
| 13 | it, kicking it, moving this particular bag? |
| 14 | A Correct. I would remember if I had moved the |
| 15 | bag. |
| 16 | Q Okay. And you don't remember where it was at |
| 17 | that time and whether you made any kind of contact with it? |
| 18 | A That's correct. I I know I would remember if |
| 19 | I specifically made contact with the bag. |
| 20 | Q Okay. When you entered the apartment, though, |
| 21 | and your testimony yesterday was that the defendant and |
| 22 | Officers Gallup and Hoffman were kind of towards the front of |
| 23 | this door. |
| 24 | A Yes, ma'am. |
| 25 | Q Do you remember whether or not there was a |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 108 |

A There was no cooler or anything near the officers or the defendant when I came in. After I had come in I know that if I had seen them move it, I would have remembered them moving it or trying to get it out of their way.

Q And by the time you came into the particular scene, what you recalled seeing was Officers Gallup and also Hoffman having the defendant down on the ground; is that right?

A Yes, ma'am. Upon initial entry they were all kind of leaned up against the door. As I was in there, they then kind of moved and worked their way towards the ground and they were on top of the defendant.

Q Okay. So it's still a very fluid scene?

A Yes.

Q And they were still trying to apprehend the — or actually take him into custody?

A Yes, ma'am.

Q Thank you. Now after the defendant's taken into custody, you've already checked on Aneka who is now in the care of her mother, as you testified earlier, yesterday, what is it that happens to a scene like this, Officer, once you've completely cleared it?

A Because it was so volatile and unfolding in

2

3

24

25

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 110

I stayed with the victim and her mother until paramedics got

Yes, ma'am. I went back into the apartment and

| 1 | with Aneka and her mother, paramedics came, they took her out. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Was the apartment then sealed awaiting a crime scene analyst? |
| 3 | A Yeah. We don't actually put a seal on it |
| 4 | Q Uh—huh. |
| 5 | \mathtt{A} — but the door was closed and then I sat with |
| 6 | my sergeant and Aneka's mother on the stairs, which you can't |
| 7 | see in this, but the stairs that lead up to the neighbor's |
| 8 | apartments. We sat right there until the crime scene analyst |
| 9 | arrived. |
| 10 | Q So to the best of your knowledge and to to |
| 11 | the degree that you can be certain, no one else entered the |
| 12 | apartment after Aneka and her mother and paramedics and |
| 13 | yourself left the apartment? |
| 14 | A That's correct. |
| 15 | Q Okay. And |
| 16 | MS. BOTELHO: Court's indulgence. I have no further |
| 17 | questions, Your Honor. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Cross-examination? |
| 19 | MR. HILLMAN: Few questions, Judge. Thank you. |
| 20 | CROSS-EXAMINATION |
| 21 | BY MR. HILLMAN: |
| 22 | Q Good morning. |
| 23 | A Good morning, sir. |
| 24 | Q I believe it was your testimony that you didn't |
| 25 | remember the blue bag until you saw the photos later on; is |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 113 |

| 1 | that correct? |
|------|--|
| 2 | A That is correct. |
| 3 | Q So you don't remember stepping over it when you |
| 4 | ran into the apartment? |
| 5 | A That's correct. |
| 6 | Q And actually, when you came into the apartment |
| 7 | there was a struggle over by that front door; is that correct? |
| 8 | A That is correct. |
| 9 | Q And it involved Mr. and Mrs. Grimes; is that |
| 10 | correct? |
| 11 | A No, that's not correct, sir. By the time I |
| 12 | entered the apartment the victim was already on the floor with |
| 13 | her mother. |
| 14 | Q Okay. |
| 15 | A So, no, it was the officers and Mr. Grimes. |
| 16 | Q So if there was any struggle between Mr. and |
| 17 | Mrs. Grimes, that had ended before you entered the apartment? |
| 18 | A Correct. |
| 19 | Q Okay. I'm sorry, I didn't mean to |
| 20 | mischaracterize your testimony. |
| 21 | A That's okay. |
| 22 | Q Mr. and Mrs. Grimes were there, Mrs. Newman was |
| 23 | there; is that correct? |
| 24 | A Yes, sir. |
| 25 . | Q And the two officers were also in there; is that |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 114 |

| 1 | correct? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A Yes, sir. |
| 3 | Q And they were all pretty much in that entry |
| 4 | area? |
| 5 | A Yes, sir. |
| 6 | Q Now, when the paramedics arrived, was Aneka |
| 7 | Grimes still in the apartment? |
| 8 | A Yes, sir. |
| 9 | Q And did the paramedics bring a gurney in, do you |
| 10 | recall? |
| L1 | A I don't recall if they did, but I don't think |
| L2 | they would have been able to get the gurney through the door. |
| L3 | They typically, at apartment complexes, will leave the gurney |
| 14 | outside the door. |
| 15 | Q Okay. And carry the patient out? |
| 16 | A Typically, yes, because the gurney is so bulky |
| 17 | and and we were right next to that front door. She was |
| 18 | laying across the door having to block it. |
| 19 | Q Okay. And they were probably in a hurry to get |
| 20 | her treatment. |
| 21 | A Yes, sir. |
| 22 | Q And it's also your testimony that the knife was |
| 23 | not touched; is that correct? |
| 24 | A That's correct, sir. |
| 25 | Q And none of the men touched the knife |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 115 |

| 1 | A To my |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q — the male officers? |
| 3 | A to my recollection I do not recall an officer |
| 4 | touching the knife. |
| 5 | Q Okay. My last question is, if you remember, do |
| 6 | you remember how long you waited for the crime scene analyst |
| 7 | to show up? |
| 8 | A I don't know how long it took, sir, I'm sorry. |
| 9 | Q Okay. |
| LO | MR. HILLMAN: Thank you, Judge. No further |
| 11 | questions. |
| 12 | THE COURT: Any redirect? |
| 13 | MS. BOTELHO: No, Your Honor. Thank you very much. |
| 14 | THE COURT: Detective, thank you very much for your |
| 15 | testimony and coming back today. You may step down, you are |
| 16 | excused. |
| 17 | THE WITNESS: Thank you. |
| 18 | THE COURT: You can call your next witness. |
| 19 | MR. BURNS: Your Honor, the State calls Julie |
| 20 | Marschner. |
| 21 | JULIE MARSCHNER, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN |
| 22 | THE CLERK: Please be seated and state your name and |
| 23 | spell it for the record. |
| 24 | THE WITNESS: My name is Julie Marschner. First name |
| 25 | J-u-l-i-e, last name M-a-r-s-c-h-n-e-r. |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 116 |

MR. BURNS: Your Honor, may I proceed? 1 THE COURT: You may. 2 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BURNS: 4 Good afternoon, Ms. Marschner. 5 Α Hi. 6 How are you currently employed? 7 0 I'm a forensic scientist with the Las Vegas 8 Metropolitan Police Department Forensic Laboratory and I'm 9 assigned to the biology and DNA detail. 10 How long have you been doing that? 11 Over seven years. 12 Okay. And have you always been in the 0 13 biological DNA unit? 14 15 Α Yes. Okay. And what kind of formal training do you 16 have regarding DNA analysis? 17 I have a bachelor's degree in biological 18 Α sciences from Cal Poly in San Luis Obispo, California. And a 19 master's degree in forensic science from Virginia Commonwealth 20 University in Richmond, Virginia. 21 During my graduate program, all of my laboratory 22 training, including an internship, was done at the Virginia 23 Department of Forensic Science, which is a state crime lab in 24 Richmond, Virginia. After finishing graduate school I was 25

25

hired on with Metro's forensic lab where I underwent over six months of additional training where I observed other analysts' work cases, worked practice cases of my own before being signed off to work cases on my own.

- And have you tested forensic evidence in a variety of different cases?
 - Yes, I have.
- Okay. And in a variety of different types of
- Okay. Now, are you familiar with -- have you testified in the Eighth Judicial District Court as a DNA
 - Yes, I have.
 - Okay. About how many times have you done that?
 - Over 40 times.
- Can you give us a brief description of what DNA

DNA, it's an acronym. It stands for deoxyribonucleic acid and it's the genetic material that's found in the cells of all living organisms. Now in humans, our DNA is organized into chromosomes and most of our cells have 46 chromosomes or 23 pairs, because we inherit half from our mom and half from our dad. And it's the unique combination of these two that make us different from one

another. Now between everyone in this room, over 99 percent of our DNA is the same because we all need the same basic genetic information to give us eyes to see, ears to hear, 10 fingers, 10 toes. It's less than 1 percent that we look at in forensic DNA analysis to be able to tell two individuals from one another. And the only time we can't do that is when they're identical siblings because identical siblings have identical DNA.

Q Now is -- DNA is sometimes deposited in -- on surfaces?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And — in — in your work, your job is to analyze that, to take DNA that's been found somewhere and to analyze it?

from crime scenes and attempt to generate — or attempt to locate areas that might have DNA. It could be something obvious, like a bloodstain or a knife — on a knife or maybe a semen stain on a bed sheet. But it could also be where someone maybe just handled an item in their hand. And so I'm going to be looking for areas on the object that may have skin cells that transferred from the person's hand. And so I'm just going to take a swabbing of that area. And so then I can generate a DNA profile from that and compare it to a DNA profile from a known individual to see if they match up or

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ms. Marschner, are some sources of DNA from the Q. body better for analysis than others?

Blood, semen, saliva, any type of body fluid is going to be a good source of DNA. It's when you're talking about touch DNA and you're just looking for a few cells that have come off of the person's hand is when sometimes you aren't going to be able to generate a DNA profile.

Q And what happens when DNA from blood and DNA from touch intermix?

So when you have touch DNA mixed with a body fluid that is a good source of DNA, oftentimes you're only going to detect that body fluid DNA because there's going to be so much more of it when it's mixed in with the touch DNA that you aren't going to be able to detect the touch DNA.

And Ms. Marschner, can the surface of an object touched affect its -- its -- how it's constituted, texture and everything, can that affect whether or not DNA is deposited?

The rougher a surface is the more likely there's going to be friction that's going to remove more cells from the skin. And so you're probably going to have more cells deposited on there than a smooth object that could easily be wiped off or maybe not create any friction with the skin.

2

24

25

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 123

package that was sealed -- that was impounded under number

| 1 | A Yes. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q — were you responsible for making that? |
| 3 | A Yes. These are copies of pictures or pages that |
| 4 | I have in my case file to document the items that I looked at |
| 5 | and I provided them to you. |
| 6 | Q Okay. Thank you. |
| 7 | MR. BURNS: Your Honor, permission to publish State's |
| 8 | 77? |
| 9 | THE COURT: You may. |
| 10 | BY MR. BURNS: |
| 11 | Q Now, Ms. Marschner, let's talk about that first |
| 12 | package. The item JM1-A, which one is that? |
| 13 | A That's the pair of shoes that are pictured in |
| 14 | the top picture. |
| 15 | Q Okay. And when you — when you have something |
| 16 | you search for blood first? |
| 17 | A Yes. |
| 18 | Q And do you do that because it's a rich source of |
| 19 | DNA? |
| 20 | A Yes. |
| 21 | Q And when you see some blood, what do you do with |
| 22 | it? |
| 23 | A So first, I'm going to test the stain for the |
| 24 | possible presence of blood doing a chemical test. And then if |
| 25 | that's positive, then I'll swab the stain and take that swab |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 125 |

Q

25

| 1 | report as JM1-B. Now, did you did you locate some blood on |
|----|--|
| 2 | that object? |
| 3 | A Yes. I located a stain on the front shin area |
| 4 | of one of the tubes of the athletic socks. |
| 5 | Q And then you did a comparative analysis to the |
| 6 | buccal swabs? |
| 7 | A Yes. |
| 8 | Q And what was your result? |
| 9 | A The DNA profile again was consistent with |
| 10 | Bennett Grimes. |
| 11 | Q So this blood on the sock consistent with |
| 12 | Bennett Grimes? |
| 13 | A Yes. |
| 14 | Q Did you also receive a laboratory package |
| 15 | labeled an impound package labeled 5223-3? |
| 16 | A Yes. |
| 17 | Q And what was in that package? |
| 18 | A This was a kitchen knife that had a black |
| 19 | handle. |
| 20 | Q Did you locate some blood on the knife? |
| 21 | A Yes. In the bottom picture you can see an arrow |
| 22 | pointing to close to the tip of the blade on the left side |
| 23 | of the knife that I identified a bloodstain. |
| 24 | Q Did you leave some marks there |
| 25 | A Yes, I |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 127 |

2

25

see that DNA profile from one — one person in hundred times the world's population, assuming that they don't have an identical sibling.

Q Okay. So I can safely write the initials Aneka Grimes here?

A Yes.

 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}$ Now, you — did you — did you end up swabbing any other area of this knife?

A Yes. I also swabbed the handle of the knife.

Q And were you able to develop a profile — well, first of all, let me ask you. Did you locate any blood on the knife?

A There was staining on the handle of the knife. And so when I swabbed the handle where I'm trying to figure out who may have been holding the knife, I was trying to avoid those obvious stains. However, after swabbing the handle, I tested the swab itself and it was positive for blood. So even though I tried to avoid blood, obviously, I picked up some on that swab. So the DNA profile that I got was a mixture and the major DNA profile was consistent with Aneka Grimes. And I calculated a statistic again, the estimated frequency of that major DNA profile, again, is rarer than one in 700 billion.

Q And when you say a mixture, what else does that mean?

A It means that there's DNA from more than one

individual. So on something like a bloodstain where you're only getting DNA from one person, you're only going to see a — a pair of numbers at the 15 different locations. When you have a mixture you're going to see more than two numbers because there's more numbers being attributed by additional contributors. So in that mixture I can tell that most of the DNA is from Aneka Grimes, but there's also minor contributors present in that sample.

Q But as to those minor contributors, were you able to exclude the defendant as being one of the minor contributors?

A Yes, I was.

Q Okay. So you know that that's not -- he's not one of the contributors to that mix?

A He was excluded, yes.

Q Now, is it based on your training and experience, is it possible to touch something and leave no DNA?

A You could leave DNA, but it might not be enough for us to be able to detect it with the type of analysis that we do in the laboratory.

Q Ms. Marschner, did you receive a package, an impound package labeled 5223-4?

A Yes.

Q And what was in that package?

| 1. | A These were swabs of blood that were collected |
|-----|--|
| 2 | from in and around the residence. |
| 3 | Q How many swabs were in there? |
| 4 | A Four. |
| 5 | Q And did you give them each their individual |
| 6 | designation? |
| 7 | A Yes. |
| 8 - | Q Okay. And do you go A, B, C, D? |
| 9 | A Yes. |
| 10 | Q Okay. And as to the A swab, what did you — |
| 11 | what did you determine? |
| 12 | A So this is a swab that was collected outside the |
| 13 | front door on the concrete. I identified blood on this swab |
| 14 | and the DNA profile was consistent with Aneka Grimes. And |
| 15 | again, the estimated frequency of that DNA profile is rarer |
| 16 | than one in 700 billion. |
| 17 | Q And the swabs aren't part of your photographic |
| 18 | part of your report |
| 19 | A No, no, I don't |
| 20 | Q correct? |
| 21 | A — photograph swabs. |
| 22 | Q Okay. Thank you. Now, as to the B swab, can |
| 23 | you describe where that was from and what your result was? |
| 24 | A So this was labeled as being from the living |
| 25 | room carpet from a drip pattern that was on it. I identified |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 131 |

| L |] | |
|----------------------|---|--|
| 2 | | |
| 1 2 3 4 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 7 8 9 | | |
| 9 | | |
| .0 | | |
| . 1 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 14 15 16 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | , | |
| 18 | } | |
| 19 |) | |
| 20 |) | |
| 2: | 1 | |
| 2 | 2 | |
| 2 | 3 | |
| 2 | 4 | |
| 2 | 5 | |

| blood on the swab and then the DNA profile again was | |
|--|----|
| consistent with Aneka Grimes and the estimated frequency | of |
| the profile is rarer than one in 700 billion. | |

And as to the C swab? Q.

This was a swab that was collected from the Α entry tile floor. Again, I identified blood and again it was consistent with Aneka Grimes and an estimated frequency was rarer than one in 700 billion.

Okay. And the D swab?

This was a swab that was collected on the asphalt near the shoes and the socks. I identified blood on this swab and this DNA profile was consistent with Bennett Grimes and the estimated frequency of this profile was rarer than one in 700 billion.

- Ms. Marschner, did you receive an impound package labeled 9975-1?
 - Yes, I did. Ά
 - And did -- what did that contain?
- This was a pair of tan cargo pants and then also Α a white tank top.
- Putting what's been admitted as State's Exhibit 0 77 back on the overhead, do you recognize what's depicted?
- So the upper part of this picture shows Yeah. Α the pair of tan cargo pants that I examined.
 - And I see two arrows. Are those different areas

| 1 | Q And as to JM-4A2 I can write A Aneka Grimes: |
|----|---|
| 2 | A Yes. |
| 3 | Q Now, let's talk about the white tank top. Did |
| 4 | you just describe your findings there. |
| 5 | A So I tested a stain that was on the lower back |
| 6 | area. It tested positive for blood and this DNA profile was |
| 7 | consistent with Bennett Grimes. |
| 8 | Q Now |
| 9 | MR. HILLMAN: Did we want to mark that spot since |
| LO | we've marked everything else? |
| 11 | MR. BURNS: I'm sorry. Did I — didn't I mark that? |
| 12 | MR. HILLMAN: I don't believe so. |
| 13 | MR. BURNS: On the tank top I believe I marked it. |
| 14 | MR. HILLMAN: Oh, I'm sorry, I didn't see that. I |
| 15 | apologize, Mr. Burns. |
| 16 | MR. BURNS: No problem. |
| 17 | THE COURT: It's okay. |
| 18 | BY MR. BURNS: |
| 19 | Q Now, Ms. Marschner, did you receive an impound |
| 20 | package labeled 9975-2? |
| 21 | A Yes. |
| 22 | Q And what was in that package? |
| 23 | A These were swabs that were collected from hands |
| 24 | and one of the feet of Bennett Grimes. |
| 25 | Q And again, did you designate them with |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 134 |

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes, A, B, C. Α

And as to the A swab, what were your findings?

This was a -- a pair of swabs that was collected from the right hand of Bennett Grimes. I tested it for blood and it was positive. And the DNA profile was consistent with Bennett Grimes and there was indication of additional DNA there, but I couldn't make any conclusions as to who it belonged to.

So you couldn't conclude whether or not Aneka Grimes --

> No. Α

Now, as to the B swab, what were your findings?

These were swabs that were collected from the Α left hand of Bennett Grimes. Again, same as the right, they tested positive for blood and the DNA profile was consistent with Bennett Grimes. There was indication of additional DNA, but I couldn't determine who it belonged to.

And as to the C swab?

These were swabs that were collected from the left foot of Bennett Grimes. Tested it for blood and it was positive and the DNA profile was consistent with Bennett Grimes.

Did you also receive an impound package labeled 9975-3?

by and they follow guidelines that are set forth by the FBI and other agencies that oversee forensic laboratories just to maintain a quality program so that our results are reliable.

Q And your work, is it reviewed by somebody other than yourself?

A Yes. After I complete all of my analysis and write up a report, it's reviewed by two different people in my laboratory. The first one is a technical review and this is done by another qualified DNA analyst. They're going to look at all of my notes in my report, make sure that I followed all of those procedures and they're also going to look at any electronic data that I generated during my analysis. After they've completed their review, then an administrative review is done. This is done by another member of the DNA laboratory and they're going to focus more on my report just to make sure that everything that I did within my case file is reflected in the report.

Q Ms. Marschner, is it required for those two reviewers to agree on the results of your report —

A Yes.

Q -- in order for you to issue that report?

A Yes.

Q Okay. They have to be agreed on every result, correct?

A Yes.

| 1 | A Yes. |
|-----|---|
| 2 | Q You found blood on the back of those shoes. |
| 3 | A Yes. |
| 4 | Q And that blood was Bennett Grimes' blood. |
| 5 | A Yes, it was. |
| 6 | Q Showing you what's been labeled JM-1B1. |
| 7 | A Okay. |
| 8 | Q That is a sock. |
| 9 | A Yes. |
| 10 | Q You found blood on that sock. |
| 11 | A Yes. |
| 12 | Q And that blood is Bennett Grimes' blood. |
| 13 | A Yes. |
| 14 | Q Now I want to talk to you a little bit about |
| 15 | what you described as touch DNA and fluid DNA. |
| 16 | A Okay. |
| 17 | Q So touch DNA is if I touch that spot, my DNA |
| 18 | could be left there. |
| 19 | A It could be, but I wouldn't necessarily be able |
| 20 | to detect it. |
| 21 | Q Okay. And you said that there are different |
| 22 | elements that go into whether you would be able whether I |
| .23 | left enough DNA there for you to detect it, correct? |
| 24 | A Correct. |
| 25 | Q These things include the texture of the object. |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 140 |

| 1 | that knife handle. |
|-----|---|
| 2 | A Yes. |
| 3 | Q You tried to avoid the apparent blood on the |
| 4 | knife handle. |
| 5 | A Yes. |
| 6 | Q You were trying to pick up skin cells. |
| 7 | A Yes. |
| 8 | Q You were trying to determine who had held this |
| 9 | knife. |
| 10 | A Yes. |
| 11 | Q You did not find any of Bennett Grimes' skin |
| 12 | cells on that knife handle. |
| 13 | A He was excluded as a contributor to the mixture |
| .14 | that I obtained from my swabbing of that handle. |
| 15 | Q Okay. So the answer to my question is, yes, you |
| 16 | did not find any of Bennett Grimes' skin cells, you did not |
| 17 | find any on that handle. |
| 18 | A None that I could make a conclusion about, no. |
| 19 | Q Okay. You actually found a mixture of DNA on |
| 20 | that knife handle, didn't you? |
| 21 | A Yes. |
| 22 | Q So it wasn't just Aneka Grimes' DNA. |
| 23 | |
| 24 | Q There was another male's DNA on that knife |
| 25 | handle. |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 142 |

| 1 | A Yes. |
|----------|--|
| 2 | Q So you were talking about touch DNA and fluid |
| 3 | DNA and how fluid DNA can possibly overshadow touch DNA. |
| 4 | A Yes. |
| 5 | Q But you found two DNAs on this knife handle. |
| 6 | A Yeah. I can't say what kind of DNA is from that |
| 7 | other individual. |
| 8 | Q Okay. You didn't find anybody else's blood |
| 9 | anywhere else on this knife, did you? |
| . 10 | A I only found Aneka Grimes on the blade of the |
| 11 | knife. |
| 12 | Q Okay. And the second DNA that you found on that |
| 13 | knife handle was male. |
| 14 | A Yes. |
| 15 | Q I'm just curious, were you sent buccal swabs |
| 16 | from any of the officers in this case? |
| 17 | A No, I wasn't. |
| 18 | Q So you weren't able to compare the DNA of the |
| 19 | minor contributor to that knife handle with any of the |
| 20 | officers' DNA? |
| 21 | A No. |
| 22 | MS. HOJJAT: I'll pass the witness, Your Honor. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Any redirect? |
| 24 | MR. BURNS: Briefly, Your Honor. |
| 25 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 143 |

| 1 | BY MR. BURNS: |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q I want to clarify something real quick. On the |
| 3 | so I don't I don't know if the correct exhibit was being |
| 4 | shown to you, but we did establish during direct that this was |
| 5 | Bennett Grimes' blood on the back of that shoe |
| 6 | A Yes. |
| 7 | Q correct? |
| 8 | A Yes. |
| 9 | Q Okay. And and as to as to the knife |
| 10 | handle, did did you find Aneka Grimes' DNA there? |
| 11 | A Yeah. She was the major contributor to the DNA |
| 12 | mixture I got from the handle. |
| 13 | Q Okay. And is it your testimony that touch DNA |
| 14 | can be overwhelmed by blood? |
| 15 | A Yes. |
| 16 | Q Okay. And there was — safe to say there was a |
| 17 | lot of blood on that knife? |
| 18 | A On the handle there was, yes. And then the |
| 19 | stains on the blade. |
| 20 | MR. BURNS: Nothing further, Your Honor. |
| 21 | THE COURT: Any recross? |
| 22 | MS. HOJJAT: Briefly, Your Honor. |
| 23 | RECROSS-EXAMINATION |
| 24 | BY MS. HOJJAT: |
| 25 | Q Ms. Marschner, how much DNA material actually |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 144 |

| 1 | A Yes. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q Another male's DNA. |
| 3 | A Yes. |
| 4 | Q Another male who is not Bennett Grimes. |
| 5 | A Correct. |
| 6 | Q And you also cannot tell this jury that based on |
| 7 | your DNA findings, Bennett Grimes ever touched this knife. |
| 8 | A I can only say that his DNA isn't in the mixture |
| 9 | I obtained. Whether he touched it long before then |
| 10 | Q Okay. |
| 11 | A — and it's being overwhelmed by the blood |
| 12 | that's there, I can't determine. |
| 13 | Q Okay. But based on your findings, you cannot |
| 14 | say that he ever touched that knife. That's not a statement |
| 15 | you can make. |
| 16 | A I'm saying that I didn't detect his DNA. So |
| 17 | whether he ever touched it and I'm not detecting it |
| 18 | Q Okay. |
| 19 | A I can't say that. |
| 20 | Q Well, if I were to touch this surface here and |
| 21 | you were to detect my DNA there and there weren't blood |
| 22 | fluids, then you could say that you had found my touch DNA on |
| 23 | this surface, correct? |
| 24 | A Yes. |
| 25 | Q But you did not find any touch DNA from Bennett |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 146 |

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1.6

17

18.

19

20

21

22

23

THE COURT: Okay.

FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION

3 BY MR. BURNS:

Q Ms. Marschner, based on your training as a scientist, what's a more conclusive way of determining if someone actually held an object, testing that object later to see if there's DNA on it or actually observing them holding that object?

A I mean, as far as the testing goes, it's going to depend on the history of the item. How many people held it before, how many people held it after, what other body fluids that could be on there. I can't say anything about eyewitness testimony.

Q Okay, thank you.

MS. HOJJAT: No further questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you very much. Okay, we have one question if you'll just hang on for just one moment. Jury question will be marked as Court's Exhibit next in line, Number 5.

(Bench conference transcribed as follows.)

THE COURT: She can't even answer this. There's no foundation, she wasn't at the scene.

MR. BURNS: [indiscernible] foundation [indiscernible] already answered that question.

THE COURT: [indiscernible] want every single thing

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 148

| 1 | answered [indiscernible]. We all agree we're not going to ask |
|----------------|--|
| 2 | it, right? |
| _. 3 | MR. BURNS: Right, not ask it. |
| 4 | THE COURT: Any objection? |
| 5 | MR. BURNS: Well, she's an expert on the way that DNA |
| 6 | is deposited on surfaces. If someone's hand was held to that |
| 7 | white tee shirt by a handcuff when the hand is bleeding, would |
| 8 | that be a situation where DNA would be deposited. |
| 9 | THE COURT: Do we agree that that is nothing maybe |
| 10 | that's what the juror's thinking [indiscernible] |
| 11 | MR. BURNS: Let's not mention the handcuff. Just say |
| 12 | if someone's hand was bleeding [indiscernible] |
| 13 | THE COURT: [indiscernible] |
| 14 | (End of bench conference.) |
| 15 | THE COURT: At this time the Court is not going to |
| 16 | ask that question. Is there anything else? Okay. At this |
| 17 | time we are going to thank you very much. Thank you. |
| 18 | MS. BOTELHO: Your Honor, can we approach very |
| 19 | quickly on the scheduling? |
| 20 | THE COURT: We're going to take a recess. |
| 21 | MS. BOTELHO: Okay. |
| 22 | (Bench conference transcribed as follows.) |
| 23 | THE COURT: Who's your witness? |
| 24 | MS. BOTELHO: The EMT. [indiscernible] |
| | |
| 25 | THE COURT: You guys tell me five minutes and then |

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Whatever the Court --

1.0

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the witness is on the stand for five hours.

MS. HOJJAT: Yeah, that's the thing. I was going to say we don't have cross, but I don't know what she's going to say so we might have a cross.

MR. HILLMAN: You're the boss.

THE COURT: I will be happy to go and take a bathroom break and come back. What do you want to do?

MS. HOJJAT: We have no preference, Your Honor.

MR. HILLMAN: I think if we have any cross it won't be very long.

THE COURT: Okay. We're going to have to take a break. Okay?

(End of bench conference.)

THE COURT: All right. At this time we're going to take a short recess. During this recess you're admonished not to talk or converse amongst yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial, read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected to this trial by any medium of information including, without limitation, newspapers, television, the Internet or radio or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

We'll start again in about ten minutes. We have one

| 1 | more witness from the State. Thank you. I'm sorry, I was |
|----|--|
| 2 | talking too fast, ten minutes. Clearly, I want to get out of |
| 3 | here. Ten minutes. Thank you. |
| 4 | (Court recessed at 12:00 p.m. until 12:11 p.m.) |
| 5 | THE COURT: Do the parties stipulate to the presence |
| 6 | of the jury panel? |
| 7 | MR. HILLMAN: Yes, Your Honor. |
| 8 | MR. BURNS: Yes, Your Honor. |
| 9 | THE COURT: Okay. State can call their next witness. |
| 10 | MS. BOTELHO: The State calls Melanie Robison. |
| 11 | MELANIE ROBISON, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN |
| 12 | THE CLERK: Please be seated and state your name and |
| 13 | spell it for the record. |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: My name is Melanie Robison, |
| 15 | M-e-l-a-n-i-e, R-o-b-i-s-o-n. |
| 16 | MS. BOTELHO: May I? |
| 17 | THE COURT: You may. |
| 18 | MS. BOTELHO: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 19 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 20 | BY MS. BOTELHO: |
| 21 | Q Hello, Ms. Robison. |
| 22 | A Hi. |
| 23 | Q How are you employed, ma'am? |
| 24 | A I am a paramedic with the American Medical |
| 25 | Response and I'm an EMS instructor. |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 151 |

| | in the second of |
|----|--|
| 1 | Q at approximately |
| 2 | THE COURT: Is that a yes? |
| 3 | A Yes. |
| 4 | MS. BOTELHO: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 5 | BY MS. BOTELHO: |
| 6 | Q And do you recall arriving on scene at 7:27 |
| 7 | p.m.? |
| 8 | A Can I refer to my chart? |
| 9 | THE COURT: Do you have any personal knowledge of |
| 10 | this incident at all? |
| 11 | THE WITNESS: You know, I've been racking my brain |
| 12 | since I got the subpoena and maybe some vague recollections. |
| 13 | But mostly, I'm going off of my charting. |
| 14 | MS. BOTELHO: A chart that was put together by her, |
| 15 | Your Honor, at the time of the incident. And I can go |
| 16 | THE COURT: I understand. But did you call her here |
| 17 | to read her chart? |
| 18 | MS. BOTELHO: No, Your Honor. She can certainly I |
| 19 | would ask the Court to allow her to refresh her memory of that |
| 20 | incident using her chart. |
| 21 | THE COURT: On every question? |
| 22 | MS. BOTELHO: What's that, Your Honor? |
| 23 | THE COURT: On every question? |
| 24 | MS. BOTELHO: We're almost getting to the |
| 25 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 155 |

| 1 | MS. BOTELHO: — meat of it, Your Honor. | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | THE COURT: Do you have your report with you? | | |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: I do. | | |
| 4 | THE COURT: Would that help refresh your | | |
| 5 | recollection? | | |
| 6 | THE WITNESS: Yeah. I never memorize times, so I | | |
| 7 | have to look at my chart. When you're referring to what time | | |
| 8 | I arrived and what time I transported and everything, that's | | |
| 9 | something I would have to refer to. | | |
| 10 | THE COURT: Okay. | | |
| 11 | BY MS. BOTELHO: | | |
| 12 | Q What time did you arrive on scene? Can you | | |
| 13 | please | | |
| 14 | MR. HILLMAN: Judge, before you get there, may I look | | |
| 15 | at her chart to see if it's the same thing I have been | | |
| 16 | provided with? | | |
| 17 | THE COURT: Sure can. Both sides can look at her | | |
| 18 | chart. You've seen her chart, I assume? | | |
| 19 | MS. BOTELHO: I have. | | |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: I might have the extra pages with the | | |
| 21 | times on it. | | |
| 22 | MR. HILLMAN: This is a little different than what | | |
| 23 | THE WITNESS: Yeah. The first two pages are just | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | MR. HILLMAN: The first two pages are different, but | | |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT | | |

| 11 | | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 1 | they're just no objection. | | |
| 2 | THE COURT: You can go ahead and look at your chart | | |
| 3 | and you can answer the District Attorney's question. | | |
| 4 | A Your question was? | | |
| 5 | BY MS. BOTELHO: | | |
| 6 | Q What time did you arrive on scene? | | |
| 7 | A It looks like I arrived at 7:27. | | |
| 8 | Q Okay. Did a person by the name of Bennett | | |
| 9 | Grimes present to you? | | |
| 10 | A Yes. Metro had the patient in custody. | | |
| 11 | Q Listen to my question. Okay? | | |
| 12 | A I'm sorry. | | |
| 13 | Q That's okay. So Bennett Grimes presented to you | | |
| 14 | at that time? | | |
| 15 | A Yes. | | |
| 16 | Q Or someone you later identified at Bennett | | |
| 17 | Grimes; is that right? | | |
| 18 | A Yes. | | |
| 19 | Q Okay. And he presented to you with some type of | | |
| 20 | injury; is that right? | | |
| 21 | A Yes. | | |
| 22 | Q Okay. Do you recall what type of injury he | | |
| 23 | presented to you with? | | |
| 24 | A Again, he had a laceration to his right hand on | | |
| 25 | one of his finger. | | |
| | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 157 | | |

| 1 | Q That's okay if that will refresh your memory. | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | A I did select in the drop-down menu an | | |
| 3 | intentional self-inflicted stabbing. And then in the comment | | |
| 4 | section where I can not yet? | | |
| 5 | Q No. That's basically all that I was asking. | | |
| 6 | A Okay. | | |
| 7 | Q So the mechanism of injury is intentional | | |
| 8 | self-stabbing after he related to you that he cut himself? | | |
| 9 | A Yes. | | |
| 10 | MS. BOTELHO: I have no further questions. | | |
| 11 | THE COURT: Any cross-examination? | | |
| 12 | MR. HILLMAN: Just a few questions, Judge. | | |
| 13 | CROSS-EXAMINATION | | |
| 14 | BY MR. HILLMAN: | | |
| 15 | Q Good afternoon. | | |
| 16 | A Hi. | | |
| 17 | Q You arrive on the scene with the intent to | | |
| 18 | provide medical treatment; is that correct? | | |
| 19 | A Yes, I do. | | |
| 20 | Q And when you provide medical treatment, you try | | |
| 21 | to get the best information that you can about what the | | |
| 22 | problem is? | | |
| 23 | A Yes, I do. | | |
| 24 | Q And did you and you also talk to people other | | |
| 25 | than the patient to see what happened? | | |
| | INCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT | | |

| 1 | A Yes. | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Q If t | hey're available. | | |
| 3 | A If t | hey're available. | | |
| 4 | Q And | when you arrived there were police officers | | |
| 5 | present; is that correct? | | | |
| 6 | A Ther | re were. | | |
| 7 | .Q Do y | ou recall if you spoke with them? | | |
| 8 | A Yes. | | | |
| 9 | THE COURT: Did you speak with them? | | | |
| 10 | THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. | | | |
| 11 | BY MR. HILLMAN: | | | |
| 12 | Q I'm | looking at page one of three I only have | | |
| 13 | two pages, of a Clark AMR Nevada pre-hospital care report. I | | | |
| 14 | think that's deeper into your — than page one and two; is | | | |
| 15 | that correct? | | | |
| 16 | . A Tha | t's correct. | | |
| 17 | 11 | you, under narrative, top line states that | | |
| 18 | he had an obvious | he had an obvious laceration to the right ring finger; is that | | |
| 19 | correct? | | | |
| 20 | 1 | t's correct. | | |
| 21 | Q Tha | it's what you put down on this report at that | | |
| 22 | time; is that correct? | | | |
| 23 | A As | part of my report, yes. | | |
| 24 | MR. HILI | MAN: No further questions. | | |
| 25 | THE COURT: Any redirect? | | | |
| | | UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT | | |

MS. BOTELHO: Not at this time, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you very much for your testimony here today and thank you for your patience in being here. You may step down and you're excused from your subpoena.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. Now, we're going to break for lunch. During this recess you're admonished not to talk or converse amongst yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial or read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information including, without limitation, newspapers, television, the Internet or radio, or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

We will start again at 2:00 p.m. Thank you very much and you're excused for lunch.

(Jury recessed at 12:23 p.m.)

THE COURT: So 1:15 for us. Okay. Thank you.

(Court recessed at 12:24 p.m. until 2:55 p.m.)

(Outside the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: May the record reflect that this hearing is taking place outside the presence of the jury panel. Mr. Grimes is present with his attorney, Mr. Hillman. Mr. Burns is present on behalf of the State of Nevada.

14

15

16.

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24 25

Mr. Grimes, you understand that you have heard all of the evidence that will be presented against you by the State of Nevada? Do you understand that?

> THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: The State -- have you -- did you rest your case? I can't remember.

MR. BURNS: Your Honor, we did not rest, but that's our intention.

THE COURT: All right. That's what I believed. State has indicated to me that they have presented all of their evidence and that when the jury comes back in, I'm just going to look at the State and say do you have any other evidence and they're going to say they rest their case.

So you've heard all of the evidence that will be presented against you. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And you understand that under the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution of the State of Nevada you cannot be compelled to testify in this Do you understand that? case.

> THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. You may, at your own request, give up this right and take the witness stand and testify. If you do, you'll be subject to cross-examination by the Deputy District Attorney and anything that you may say, be it on

direct or cross-examination, will be the subject of fair comment when the Deputy District Attorney states to the jury in his or her final argument. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

 21°

THE COURT: Okay. If you choose not to testify, the Court will not permit the Deputy District Attorney to make any comments to the jury because you have not testified. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: In other words, you know, they can't testify on your right to remain silent. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Okay. If you elect not to testify, I will instruct the jury, but only if your attorneys specifically request that I instruct the jury as follows. The law does not require — I'm sorry. The law does not compel a defendant in a criminal case to take the stand and testify and no presumption may be raised and no inference of any kind may be drawn from the failure of the defendant to testify. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Okay. And the attorneys have presented an instruction of that kind to the Court, but I will only give it if you don't testify and if your attorneys request that I

gave it. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1.8

THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any questions so far?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: And you're further advised that if you take the stand and testify and you have a felony conviction and more than ten years has not elapsed from the date that you've been convicted or discharged from prison, parole or probation, whichever is later, and your attorneys have not sought to preclude that from coming before the jury, I will allow the District Attorney in the presence of the jury to ask you the following questions. Have you been convicted of a felony? What was it? When did it happen? However, I will not allow them to go into any details. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Okay. Your attorneys have not sought to preclude any prior felonies from coming in. And it's my understanding that you do have prior — does he have two prior felonies within the ten years?

MS. HOJJAT: He's had the JOCs.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, the State will present whatever evidence they have, but you know what you have. From what I understand, the State believes that you have two prior felony convictions out of the State of California. I haven't

seen them yet. But I can also tell you that your attorneys have not objected or filed a motion to prevent them from coming in, which generally means they know that they're within that ten-year period. But obviously, your attorneys will have an opportunity to review that.

So if you take the stand and testify, I'd allow the District Attorney to ask if you've been convicted of a felony. You'd have to answer truthfully. You can answer however you want, but you'd be subject to that type of questioning. They'd be able to ask what was the felony and when did it happen. However, they cannot ask you any details unless you open up that door. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.

1.7

THE COURT: Okay. And it's my understanding that Mr. Hillman came in here when we were doing jury instructions and you had an opportunity to discuss with him whether you should take the stand or exercise your right to remain silent. Is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And you've had an opportunity to discuss with him whether you should do that or not; is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And has he answered all of your questions?

MR. HILLMAN: There's one thing that we've talked

about before that I didn't talk to him about toady. If I 1 could have just a minute? 2 THE COURT: You bet. When were those felony 3 convictions? 4 MS. BOTELHO: One was from 2000. The other was from 5 2004, Your Honor. The one from 2000, however, he was given I 6 believe three years probation. And actually, sentenced -7 three years probation, so that would take us into 2013. 8 within ten years of the actual expiration of probation. 9 THE COURT: When did he expire from probation in 10 2001? 11 I'd have to look. MS. BOTELHO: 12 THE COURT: Sounds like it's within the ten years, 13 but I just want to make sure. Because what if he got released 14 from probation early? Can't just add the three years, right? 15 MS. BOTELHO: He was revoked in May 21, 2002. 16 THE COURT: Okay. So when was he released from 17 prison? May of 2002. Okay. We're beyond the ten years right 18 19 now. MS. BOTELHO: And I'm sorry. He had a revocation 20 proceeding on May 21, 2002. His probation was reinstated, 21 probation was extended to expire on June 20, 2004. 22 THE COURT: Okay. When did it expire? 23 MS. BOTELHO: 2007. 24 THE COURT: So it's within the ten years. 25

| 1 | IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA | | |
|-----|--|--|--|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | BENNETT GRIMES) N | No. 62835 | |
| 4 | Appellant, | | |
| 5 | vs.) | | |
| 6 | THE STATE OF NEVADA, | | |
| 7 | Respondent. | | |
| 8 |) | | |
| 9 | <u>APPELLANT'S APPENDIX – VO</u> | | |
| 10 | | STEVE WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3 rd Floor | |
| 11 | Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 | 200 Lewis Avenue, 3 rd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 | |
| 12 | Attorney for Appellant | CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO | |
| 13 | | Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City Nevada 89701-4717 | |
| 14 | | Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538 | |
| 15 | | Counsel for Respondent | |
| 16 | <u>CERTIFICATE O</u> | F SERVICE | |
| 17 | I hereby certify that this documen | t was filed electronically with the Nevada | |
| 18 | Supreme Court on the Atlantage of All | , 2013. Electronic Service of the | |
| 19 | foregoing document shall be made in accordance | with the Master Service List as follows: | |
| 20 | CATTERINE CONTEST OF | DAVID WESTBROOK HOWARD S. BROOKS | |
| 21 | SILVENS. OWENS | | |
| 22 | I further certify that I served a copy | y of this document by mailing a true and | |
| 23 | correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to: | | |
| 24 | BENNETT GRIMES NDOC No. 1098810 | | |
| 25. | c/o HIGH DESERT STATE PRISON | | |
| 26 | P. O . BOX 650 INDIAN SPRINGS, NV 89070 | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | BY | rk County Public Defender's Office | |