I	A	Yes.		,±,
2	Q	And you were shown a photo line-up?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3	A	Yes.		
4	Q	And you said you picked number 2 ou	t of that	photo
5	line-up?			
6	A	Yes.		
7	Q	Is that correct?		
8	A	That's correct.		•
9	Q	And that is, even though you had neve	er seen th	e face
10		bductor. Isn't that true?		
11	A	That's correct.		,
12	}		- h-a - 1	ومدي
13		And that you didn't know whether h	e nad a l	peard,
		he had a moustache. Isn't that true?	• .	
14	A	No, that's not true.		
15	Q	Did you know whether he had a beard?		: .
16	A	Yes, I did.		
17	Q	And did he have a beard?		
18	. A	No, he didn't.		
19	Q	Did he have a moustache?		٠:
20	A	That I'm not sure of.	·· .	٠.
21	Q	You're sure he didn't have a beard?		
22	A	Correct.		;-
23	Q	But you're not sure whether he had	a moustac	he or
24	not. Is	that correct?		• • • •
25	A	That's correct.		•
26	Q	And was it the eyes that made you	believe i	t was
اام			•	

1	number 2?				
2	A It wasn't just the eyes.				
3	Q Well, you didn't see anything else, did you?				
4	A I saw him for quite a bit during the time that he				
5	abducted me because when I was on the grass, I saw his face,				
6	too.				
7	Q Whenexcuse me?				
8	A I didn't see his whole face, I just saw him fromfrom				
9	above the cheeks up.				
10	Q That's when you were by the bushes and there was a				
11	bandanna on. Is that correct?				
12	A That's correct.				
13	MR. FADGEN: I don't think I have any further questions,				
14	Your Honor.				
15	THE COURT: Counsel?				
16	REDIRECT EXAMINATION				
17	BY MR. BLOXHAM:				
18	Q Mr. Fadgen has asked you about seeing the defendant's				
19	face. Did you catch glimpses of his face at various times				
20	during these hours you spent with him?				
21	A Yes, I did.				
22	Q How was that?				
23	A Because after a period of time, I could see quite a				
24	bit more because the bandanna started to rise. And I could see				
25	if I looked up.				
26	Q So, the tape, although it's in front of your eyes, you				
27	107				
811					

1 can see down and a little up, too? 2 Well, I had to raise my face quite a bit up to be able Α 3 to see. But, did you catch glimpses of the defendant and his Q 5 face during these periods of time? Not his total face, no. A There was a question asked concerning the interior of 8 the car. MR. BLOXHAM: May I approach the witness, Your Honor? 10 THE COURT: You may. 11 Showing you what appears to be a copy of a statement Q 12 dated November 15th, 1983, at 12:05 p.m. Is that correct? 13 That's correct. A 14 This is in the afternoon of November 15th, 1983? 0 15 A Yes. 16 Did you give a oral statement that was tape recorded Q 17 and then typed up? 18 Yes, I did. Α 19 Is this your signature on the bottom of this copy? Q 20 Yes, it is. A 21 In fact, it's on every page of the copy. Is that: Q 22 right? 23 Yes, it is. A 24 Looking at page 15, was the question asked of you, 25 "Did you notice anything--26 Your Honor, I think this is an improper. MR. FADGEN: 27 108 28

question. No refreshing recollection or anything else. MR. BLOXHAM: Your Honor, I don't think it's improper. Fadgen was asking questions concerning the color of the car and 3 I'm trying to establish that the day after this, the witness did describe the color of the car. THE COURT: The objection is overruled. Were you asked the question, "Did you notice anything 7 Q about the color of the inside of the car?" And did you answer 8 9 that? 10 Yes, I did. Α And can you tell us that answer that you gave at that 11 Q 12 time? I said, "Yes, faded and it was like a blue-gray color 13 A 14 and green. It was more blue than green." Now, is that the way you remember it now, more blue 15 Q 16 than green? 17 Α Yes. 18 How many line-ups did you view? Q 19 Two. Α 20 Were these photo line-ups? Q 21 Α Yes, they were. 22 And when was the first line-up? Q The first line-up was about a week, week and a half 23 Α 24 after. Was your abductor in that criminal line-up? 25 Q No, it was not -- he was not. 26 Α 27 109. 28

.AA 000132

1	Q	And when was the second line-up?
2	A	In December.
3	) Q	And did you prepare a statement on that date?
4	A	Yes, I did.
5	Q	And do you recall the date today?
6	A	It was December 11th.
7	 } Ω	And you've reviewed that statement, so that is the
8	correct	date?
9	A	Yes.
10	a	And in viewing that line-up, was your abductor in that
11	line-up?	
12	A	Yes, he was.
13	Q	And did you designate in that statement, which number
14	1.	n the picture line-up?
15	A	Yes, I did.
16	Q	Which number did you designate?
17	A	Number 2.
18	Ω	Now, you testified that someone came out of a garage.
19		see them come out of a garage?
20	A A	No, I did not.
21	0	And how is it your know somebody came out of a garage?
22	A	He told me.
23	Q	Did you hear any noises?
24	A	Yes, I did.
25	,	What did it sound like?
26	Q	A garage door opening.
20 27	A	u darade anor obenend.
20	-	110

1	A	A few days later.	•		
2	Ω	What doctor did you go to?	· .		
3	, A	Dr. McBeath.			
4	Q.	Is he here in town?			
5	A	Yes, he is.	٠.		
6	Q	Now, you've also explained that sometime in	November,		
7	1983, Detective McLaughlin had you get into a car. Is that				
8	correct?				
9	A	That's correct.			
10	Ω	Is that the only car he had you get into to	determine		
11	if it wa	as the same car?			
12	A	Yes.			
13	MR.	FADGEN: Your Honor, I'm going to object.	This has		
14	been all	covered on direct examination.			
15	MR.	BLOXHAM: I think he's right, Your Hono	r. 1'11		
16	withdraw	the question.			
17	}	Nothing further of this witness.	٠.		
18	MR.	FADGEN: Just a couple of questions, Your Hono	or.		
19		RECROSS EXAMINATION	•		
20	BY MR. FADGEN:				
21	Q	Angela, you say now that there came a time	that the		
22	tape cam	ne loose and you could see up?	· .		
23	A	The tape never came loose.			
24	Q	But there came a time where you could see up	. Is that		
25	what you	're telling us?			
26	A	That's correct.			
47			•		

testified concerning your ability to see under and up and you could see the sky and you could--you're in the back seat, though?

A That's correct.

Q And you could see, looking down underneath, you could see up into the front seat somewhat. Isn't that true?

A That's correct.

MR. BLOXHAM: Nothing further, Your Honor.

MR. FADGEN: Nothing further, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You're excused.

MR. BLOXHAM: Your Honor, may we approach the Bench?

THE COURT: You may.

(Whereupon a Bench conference was held, not recorded)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, it was anticipated that the examination would go probably right until 9:00 and it has not. However, we do have a matter which we must dispose of outside of your presence. So, we're going to proceed now to argue that matter and listen to argument outside of your presence. After that occurs, I will be starting my morning calendar at 9:00 and I should be through, probably, somewhere around 10:15 to 10:30. We will then be in--reconvene and then proceed on with additional witnesses. So, I'm going to recess the matter until 10:00.

During this recess, you're admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial, or read, watch, or listen to any

report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspaper, television, and radio, or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

We will be in recess until at least 10:00. I'm going to ask that during this recess that you go up to room 111 and remain there subject to call. But, if you want to go up there, say, at 9:30, if you have other things to do, that's fine, as long as you get there somewhere around 9:30 or so and then remain there until you are called back to Court.

We're in recess. You can leave the Courtroom at this time. You may leave the Courtroom because we have matters to take care of outside of your presence.

(The following proceedings were held outside the presence of the jury)

THE COURT: This is outside the presence of the jury. You may proceed, counsel.

MR. BLOXHAM: Your Honor, the State has filed, in fact, filed September 6th, 1988, a Trial Memorandum pertaining to the admissibility of other evidence—or evidence of other crimes. Basically, Your Honor, I believe the Court is familiar with the facts. I know Mr. Fadgen is. This happened November 14th, 1983. We asked permission and intend to call Audra Sharp to the stand. She would testify that on December 2nd, 1983, she was—

THE COURT: Hold on just a second here. Let's try to get some correlation of time in relationship to this event.

MR. BLOXHAM: Okay, Your Honor, eighteen days later, in Monrovia, California, Audra Sharp was abducted by the same defendant.

THE COURT: Eighteen days after this offense, okay.

MR. BLOXHAM: Eighteen days.

THE COURT: Alright.

MR. BLOXHAM: Your Honor, Audra Sharp was born June 16th, 1968. She was fifteen. Angela was born May 1st, 1968. She was fifteen. These girls were, what, forty-six days apart in age, almost identical in age. Both victims were heading to or from school. Angela was going to school, Audra is coming from school.

Both girls, as they're walking alone on the street, are confronted by a black male wearing a bandanna. Angela describes the bandanna as dark with stars. Audra describes the bandanna as dark with designs. The bandanna that we have had marked and identified by Angela as similar to the one being worn by the defendant was left on Audra. That's how we have it. It was left on her face when she was released. Probably the same bandanna, Your Honor.

In both cases, the defendant was wearing camouflage clothing.

THE COURT: When you say "Audry" are you saying--are you meaning Miss Sharp?

MR. BLOXHAM: Audra Sharp, A-u-d-r-a is her name, Audra Sharp.

Now, quite frankly, Your Honor, she has married since that time. We intend to use the name Audra Sharp, however, rather than her husband's given name. For reasons that we don't want the defendant to know what her name is at this point. If she, in fact, uses her husband's name. She's still known as Audra Sharp, too.

Both instances the defendant was wearing camouflage clothing. In fact, clothing found in his house was identified by Angela as similar to the camouflage pants he wore when he abducted her. The camouflage pants are probably the same ones he wore when he abducted Audra Sharp. Also a tee shirt, camouflage tee shirt was found at his house at that time. Audra Sharp identified the abductor as wearing a camouflage tee shirt.

Both times the defendant used a knife in his right hand. Both instances the defendant taped the eyes of the victim. Both instances, after taping the eyes of the victim, he placed the bandanna that he was wearing over the eyes on top of the tape.

Both instances he used the term "shut up" to both of them. In both instances when he first attempted to sexually assault these young girls, he told them to remove their clothing. He didn't start pulling them off and things like that. He told them to remove their clothing.

He committed the same sexual assaults as to both. By that, I mean sexual intercourse, fellatio, and sodomy. In both instances, the defendant stated that their vaginas were too tight, that he might have to loosen them up. The Court has heard the testimony of Angela. Audra was told he may have to cut her vagina with a knife to loosen her up.

He went through the wallets of both people before releasing them, the evidence will show.

Your Honor, under the circumstances; the State feels that the crimes are so close in the commission of the crimes that it shows a common plan, that it shows a common scheme. And these things the Supreme Court has said are admissible to establish that they were common schemes, common plans. If there's common factors between them. It's not like the Mayes case where the Supreme Court said every trick roll, almost, has somebody picking somebody up in a bar and going to a motel room and having sex and stealing their money. However, if you go further and show some unique factor about this particular defendant that would not be found, would probably not be found throughout, trick rolls, you can use other trick rolls to show a common scheme or plan. That's what we have here, Your Honor.

Additionally, identity. We offer this evidence for identity. Quite frankly, Audra Sharp noticed a lot more about the vehicle than Angela. Audra Sharp noticed the license plate. However, she described it as a California license plate. But she did give the license plate 005 AJV or B. I

believe she did get two of the letters turned around. But she had the 005 and she had fairly close to the correct letters. She noticed in the car that the steering wheel was broken. She noticed in the car that there was some dice hanging from the mirror. She described them, the color. She noticed in the car that it was a stick shift, four or five speed.

She noticed in the car that there were two other bracelets with the names Marie and Andre. I believe she even noticed the name "Andre" on one. She noticed other things about the vehicle. And she described all of these things to the police. And they found the defendant in just such a car.

Her identity, Your Honor, is just so, so good. That would help this jury in determining whether or not the defendant is the same defendant who abducted Angela. Not that they would convict him for abducting and raping and sexually assaulting Angela just because he did it to Audra. But, it would help them, for that limited purpose, of saying, "Is this the same person or not?" And it certainly would assist them in that.

Your Honor, I know that statute 48.035 requires a weighing the probative value and the prejudicial effect. Your Honor, the probative value is so high. This evidence of other crimes is just so helpful to this jury in deciding, "Is this the man that did it?"

I think we're going to boil down to the crimes occurred. I don't think even Mr. Fadgen would deny that these

crimes occurred. Now, the question is: did this defendant do it? And this would be extremely helpful in deciding this.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Counsel?

MR. FADGEN: Thank you, Your Honor. Of course, we object to the admission of that particular evidence on the basis one: that the prejudicial effect far outweighs any probative value in this case. What it would do is force this defendant, not only to defend this particular case, but to defend the case brought forth by the witness or witnesses in California. Certainly, there are reasons why courts admit this type of evidence. But, there is the weighing factor that the Court must take into consideration. I submit that it not only will compound the issues, and compound the defendant's ability to defend this particular action, but just the prejudicial effect of the introduction of that evidence far outweighs its probative value.

MR. BLOXHAM: Your Honor, if I could respond, briefly, to that. Mr. Fadgen says he would be forced to defend the California case. Well, the defendant plead guilty to all of those charges in California. That case is a given. I mean, this man did those crimes. There's no question that he's the one that did it. He used that car. I don't intend to bring that up. That would not be admissible. But, I do believe it would be totally proper to put Audra Sharp on the stand and have her testify as to what happened. And I do have a couple

of other witnesses from California relative to impounding the car, what they found in the car, and along those lines.

Additionally, we will be bringing in a witness from California who saw the defendant in the area where he abducted Audra Sharp and he saw the defendant wearing a bandanna over his face. He can't identify him. But, he saw the car and he saw that it was a Nevada license plate, which is the tie-in which explains how the Nevada authorities found the defendant ultimately.

So, not only do we have all of the things that I've mentioned before, but it would better explain to the jury how this case came together at the end.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Well, I think, first of all, it's-as counsel pointed out, it's a given that two crimes did happen. The crime with which we are faced with here today and the crime that the State has pointed out happened some eighteen days later, which by the defendant's own admission, he committed.

The law, of course, in the State of Nevada, is rather clear as set forth by our Legislature at 48.045 Sub 2 that evidence of other crimes, may, under certain circumstances, be admissible if they tend to show either motive, opportunity, intent, preparation, plan, knowledge, identity, or absence of mistake, or accident. The State, in this case, claims that the defendant committed, not only this offense, but the offense in California, which he has plead guilty to.

The evidence, and evidence of other offenses, of course, is admissible and the State urges that it's admissible in this case to prove motive, intent, common plan or scheme, or identity.

Defendant, on cross examination, made quite a to do about the identity issue. The cross examination dwelt rather extensively on the fact that the defendant could not be identified as being the perpetrator of this crime. We know that the defendant, from his own admissions in the State of California, or at least it's a fair and reasonable assumption, perpetrated the crime in that jurisdiction that occurred eighteen days later in a very, very similar and almost identical fashion to the one committed here in Nevada.

I was--when the State first made its Motion, I ruled that I would not grant the State's Motion until I heard the victim testify in order to give me an opportunity to hear what her statement was, or for me then to compare her statement to the evidence that would be and was available to be propounded in the case in California.

I can't recall a case where there have been more factors that have been almost identical. In all of the cases that I've seen in the State of Nevada over the years, going back to the Sefton case, which was a case I tried as a defense counsel. This case has points of comparisons numbering well over ten and more. The points of comparison are identical, or almost identical.

That being the case, then, it is very clear that it is an appropriate for the Court to consider the introduction of this evidence. We have two crimes that were committed in an almost identical fashion. One in which the defendant has admitted he committed. And the other which, by his plea, he denies he committed.

The issue, then, is: does this show a common plan, scheme, and does it assist, and would it assist the jury in attempting to identify the perpetrator? Does it further show motive and intent? To that question the answer must be "yes".

The next question, then, left unanswered is the issue of probative value. Is it probative? And if it is probative, does it outweigh the prejudicial effect? In this case, once again, we have the issue of identity and common plan and scheme and motive and intent. It has exceedingly high probative value.

And in the judgment of this Court, certainly outweighs the prejudicial effect. And for that reason, it's the order of the Court that testimony of the other offense, as outlined by the State in their oral motion, or oral statement today, and their written motion filed on September the 8th, that evidence may come before this jury for its probative value.

MR. BLOXHAM: Just one clarification. It was September 6th we filed it, Your Honor. Mr. Fadgen was aware of it before that date.

THE COURT: Alright. We will be in recess, then, in this

matter, subject to call. I would suggest, gentlemen, that you be down here at about 10:15. Could we hold the defendant here, rather than taking him back so we can get started right away as: soon as we finish the other calendar? COURT SERVICES OFFICER: Yes, Your Honor. We have the calendar waiting in the cage, Your Honor. THE COURT: Alright. We'll start just as soon as we get all of the other defendants up and start the motion calendar in about four or five minutes. We'll stand in recess. 10 (At the hour of 8:50 a.m., the Court recessed until 10:40 a.m.) 11 THE COURT: Counsel stipulate to the presence of the jury? 12 MR. BLOXHAM: State will so stipulate, Your Honor. 13 MR. FADGEN: Yes, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Alright. Ladies and gentlemen, we're now ready 15 to proceed. Call your next witness, sir. 16 MR. BLOXHAM: State would call Dr. Zumpft. 17 CHARLES W. ZUMPFT 18 (Was called as a witness, duly sworn, and testified as follows:) 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. BLOXHAM: 21 Doctor, please state your full name and spell your Q 22 last name for the Court. 23 Α Charles W. Zumpft, Z-u-m-p-f-t. 24 Dr. Zumpft, are you licensed to practice medicine in 25 the State of Nevada? 26 A Yes.

27

- A Yes, the routine, standard report.
- Q And have you, just this morning, received some copies that are legible, or they may not even be that legible. Are they?
  - A Yes, they're legible.
  - Q Okay. And are those in your handwriting?
  - A Most of this is in my handwriting, yes.
- Q Was that prepared on November 14th, 1983, by yourself, the portions--
  - A At the time of the examination, yes.
- Q Now, let me ask you what did you notice about Angela Kukal as you performed the physical exam?

A She was, as I said, nervous, but cooperative. She had areas on her body that were tender, especially the left posterior shoulder, the top of her head. The rest of the examination was fairly normal except for the pelvic area. The pelvic area, she had some old and fresh blood. She had swelling of the vulva, or the lips around the vagina. She had tears of the perinaeum, which is the area in the crotch area between the vagina and the rectum. She had tears of the hymen. And she had tenderness on the top of her head, the palpation.

- Q Did you notice anything else about the hymen? You had mentioned tears. Was there anything else of note?
- A No. My report indicates that she had tears, at five and seven o'clock and there was swelling, discoloration, of the

hymen. And the blood was present, as I had mentioned here.

Q How about the anus? Did you have anything of note on the anus?

A No, the patient was quite tender and I couldn't even finish a complete examination because of the tenderness and the swelling that she had at the rectal/vaginal area.

Q Now, when you say "complete an examination", does that include an internal examination of the vagina?

A Usually there is an internal examination that goes with it also. But this patient really couldn't tolerate that and we don't push on that because it was so uncomfortable for her.

Q Okay. So, in other words, you couldn't insert a finger and examine the vagina?

A No, my notes indicate that this was just too tender and uncomfortable for the patient to allow that.

Q Now, you mentioned a rape kit, or a sexual assault kit. How is that done?

A The kit is made up ahead of time and when the patient presents himself, a nurse is assigned to that patient. And the nurse does certain items of collecting certain specimens like pubic hairs, or combed hairs, oral swabs, gets the patient ready for an examination. Then she keeps that kit with her until the doctor examines the patient. The doctor does certain things in regard to the examination and certain collections of evidence, like a collection of swabs, and then prepares this

report. And then when this report is finished, it's given back to the nurse and kept with all of the information together so that the nurse is responsible all the way through.

Q Did you do a vaginal swab of this patient?

A Yes, the swabs are very small, and so, I did swabs of both the rectum and the vagina and did that very carefully so it wasn't uncomfortable for the patient and could collect the specimens that they request for the exam.

Q Okay. So, you did, then, collect a vaginal swab and a rectal swab and then turned those over to the nurse to put in the sexual assault kit. Is that correct?

A Yes, that's correct.

Q Now, as part of the examination, do you inquire about the menstrual cycle?

A Yes.

Q And did you inquire in this particular case?

A I asked her when her last menstrual period was, the last normal menstrual period was. Those were the same, which she gave me the dates of about the 4th to the 11th of November.

Q So, she had just completed her menstrual cycle, being the 14th. Is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Does part of your examination include a question about prior coitus, in other words, prior sexual contact?

A Yes, that's one of the standard questions.

Q Did she respond to that question?

The information I had here was that Right. indicated that there had been none before. 3 Now, who was the nurse that was assisting you in the performance of this test? 5 A Miss Howard. Is that the lady that's sitting outside? Right. I haven't seen her for awhile. Α Alright. Thank you. 9 MR. BLOXHAM: Pass the witness, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Cross? 11 MR. FADGEN: No questions. 12 THE COURT: You may step down, Doctor. 13 THE WITNESS: Thank you. THE COURT: Call your next witness. 14 15 MR. BLOXHAM: The State would call Nurse Howard. 16 Your Honor, while the nurse is coming in, 17 approach the Clerk and have an item marked. 18 THE COURT: You may. 19 FRANKIE L. HOWARD 20 (Was called as a witness, duly sworn, and testified as follows:) 21 DIRECT EXAMINATION 22 BY MR. BLOXHAM: 23 Ma'am, please state your full name and spell both your 24 first and your last name for the Court. 25 Frankie L. Howard. 26 How do you spell Frankie?: 27

F-r-a-n-k-i-e. A And Howard? 2 A H-o-w-a-r-d. Mrs. Howard, directing your attention to November 14th, 1983, were you employed at the Southern Nevada Memorial Hospital? A Yes. What was your position then? 8 Q. LPN. And does that stand for Licensed-10 11 Licensed Practical Nurse. 12 Now, directing your attention again to that Q Okay. same date, November 14th, 1983, did you have occasion to come 13 in contact with an Angela Kukal? .14 15 Yes. And what were the circumstances under which you came 16 17 in contact with Angela Kukal? 18 Α A rape case. 19 And was a rape kit completed--20 A Yes. --with this particular individual? 21 22 Yes, I did it. Now, is that rape kit completed with the assistance of 23 24 a doctor? 25 Α Yes, Dr. Zumpft. 26 Is that the man who just left the Courtroom? 27 132 28

26

27

28

Yes.

THE COURT: They may be marked. Why don't you start with those that are already marked, sir?

Q Showing you what's been marked for identification purposes as State's proposed exhibit 7A. Was this in the kit when you were working the kit or is that something that was put in, perhaps, by the lab later?

A No, no. The kit is right when the swab--you have to put this right here--

THE COURT: You have to speak up.

- Q You need to speak right into the microphone.
- A Okay. When you swab with one thing, you have to put the swab on it, on the slides. And you swab for VD, whatever it is and you have to put everything together.
  - Q Okay. In other words--
  - A And then--
- Q --let me ask you a couple more questions. Showing you State's proposed exhibit 7B and State's proposed exhibit 7C, does your name or initials appear on that? It may or may not?
- A My name should be on there, but there was two of us together, Tammy and I. She drawed the blood. I'm there, doing all the swabbing. So, she could have put her name on them.
  - Q Okay.
  - A Initialed it, you know. Because we work together.
  - Q Okay. This says, "vaginal". Is that correct?
  - A Yes, un-huh.

State's proposed exhibit 7E2, and State's proposed exhibit 7E3. Can you identify these three envelopes? 3 This is the head hair. Head hair you have to A Okay. pull or cut. And this is the pubic hair. You have to either pull or cut. And this is the pubic hair, you comb it. have to comb it out. And this is what it is. Okay. Now, did you perform these-Q A Yes. --sample gathering activities on Angela Kukal Q 10 November 14th, 1983, and--11 Yes, I did. Α 12 Q --place them in the kit? 13 Α Yeah. 14 0 And so, what you do now, I assume, is that you comb 15 through the pubic hair--16 Α Um-hum. 17 --where it says "comb pubic hair and any loose hairs. 18 are recovered and placed in this envelope"? 19 That's right. A 20 Q And then sealed? 21 A Yes. 22 And the "pubic hair standard", is that where you cut! Q 23 or pull a pubic hair sample and put it in this envelope? 24 Α The pubic -- you cut right down through the vaginal 25 opening. 26 Okay. And it says "head hair" and that's States --27 138 28

24

25

26

27

28

BY MR. BLOXHAM:

Q Sir, please state your full name and spell your last name for the Court.

A Richard Forsberg, F like in Frank-o-r-s-b as in boy-e-r-g as in George.

Q Mr. Forsberg, directing your attention to November 14th, 1983, where did you live?

- A 5059 Reno Court.
- Q And is that located here in Clark County, Nevada?
- A Yes, it is.
- Q Directing your attention to that day, approximately 6:30 a.m., did you observe anything unusual?

A Yes, I did. I opened the garage door to go to work and as I did--

- Q Okay. Where did you work at that time?
- A At the postal service.
- Q And did you go to work about 6:30 every morning?
- A Yes.
- Q Alright. Please continue.

A As I opened the garage door, the dog started barking and I looked across the street and I seen something moving on the other side of some bushes. So, I walked across the street and as I came to the other side of the street, I saw a body laying on the ground and a man kneeling on the ground alongside the body.

Q Could you tell the race of the man kneeling over the

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A Yes, he was a black man.
- Q Please continue.
- A And so then I went back to the house, called the police, and after I called the police, I came back again and the body and the man had disappeared.
  - Q Was there anything in that area that you saw?
- A The book--there was a notebook and some school books laying in the driveway and in the grass on that--at that particular house.
  - Q Did you move these books or do--
- A No, I didn't.
  - Q -- anything with them before the police came?
- A No, I didn't touch anything.
  - Q Did you describe that individual for the police?
  - A You mean the black man?
- Q Um-hum.
- A No, I couldn't really identify him. All I could see was that he was a black man. He had a dark shirt on. I wasn't close enough to really identify him.
- MR. BLOXHAM: Your Honor, could we have the witness step down from the witness stand and approach the board over here?
- THE COURT: You may.
  - (witness to diagram)
  - Q Mr. Forsberg, if you can come over here. If we can ask the Bailiff to move that out and turn the light on for us.

27

26

Mr. Forsberg, if you could take this pointer, and also this purple marker, I'd ask you to look at State's exhibit 3 and does that kind of represent Reno Court?

- Yes, it does.
- Okay. Can you show us where your house is on State's
- Okay, my house is about right in this area right in here (witness pointing). There's another house here.
  - How many houses are in that little cul de sac?
  - There's four.

THE COURT: Why don't you put your initials on it, sir?

- It should be a little bit as far as the drawing.
- We're having you draw that with a different color pen, aren't we? Isn't that purple?
  - And the other coloring is black. Is that right?
- Could we have you put your initials on each house that you've drawn and then an "X" where you lived.
  - (witness drawing)
- Can you show us, now, with the pointer, where you walked that morning?
- Okay, so, I opened the garage door right here and the dog was barking so I walked -- I seen something moving over here. in the bushes over here in this house area right here (pointing), so, I walked across over here and looked in this

direction and that's when I seen the man and the body laying on the ground along side between the house and the bushes, right here (pointing).

And is that when you turned around and went back into your house and telephoned the police?

Yes, I went--I was standing in this area right here and I went back into the house and called the police and that's when I came back out. After I called the police, then I came back out and see that the body and person had disappeared.

Thank you. If you could retake the stand, sir. (witness returns to stand)

MR. BLOXHAM: Pass the witness, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Cross?

MR. FADGEN: One moment, Your Honor. No questions.

THE COURT: You're excused, sir.

Call your next witness.

MR. BLOXHAM: State would call Carol St. Pierre.

## CAROL LOUISE ST. PIERRE

(Was called as a witness, duly sworn, and testified as follows:) DIRECT EXAMINATION

- Please state your full name and spell your last name
  - My name is Carol Louise St. Pierre, S-t. P-i-e-r-r-e.
- And, Mrs. St. Pierre, I want to direct your attention. to November of 1983. Where did you reside?

- A At 5060 South Reno Court in Las Vegas.
- Q Now, did you know an Angela Kukal?
- A Yes, I did.
- Q I want you to remember back to around November 14th, 1983. I know that's a little difficult to go back and remember a date like that. But, do you remember something when Angela was abducted from your neighborhood?
  - A Well, basically, our dog was very upset.
  - Q Okay.
  - A I remember things like that. You mean of the day?
- Q Okay. Do you recall finding out after her abduction that she had been abducted?
  - A Yes.
- Q And, at that time, did you remember back to the morning of the abduction?
  - A Yes.
- Q Okay. Was this right after the abduction, like a day or two?
- A It was a couple days after and I remember prior to the abduction.
- Q Okay. So, after hearing about Angela's abduction, what, if anything, did you do?
- A At first, the first couple of days, nothing, and then I realized that I had seen an unfamiliar person in the neighborhood and I called Angela's father and told him what I had remembered. And he suggested that I contact the

26

27

28

neighborhood.

Yes, I did. A 2 And did you review that this morning--Q Yes. A --in fact, for the first time in five years or so? Q 5 A I have it with me. Q Okay. In your own handwriting, did you designate which picture you selected? Α Yes, I did. Q Which picture did you select? 10 It was number 2. A 11 Q And that was in that photographic line-up? 12 Um-hum. Α 13 THE COURT: Your answer is? "Yes" or "no"? 14 A Yes. 15 And that's the person you saw in the neighborhood on 16 two occasions in November of 1983, walking? 17 A Yes. 18 Just for curiosity, I'd like you to look at State's 19 exhibit 3 and ask you where your house is in relationship to 20 this drawing. 21 On the right-hand side of that 5070, where you go in, A 22 right there, yeah, go--I mean, on this side (pointing), on the 23 back side. 24 On this side? 0 25 Yeah, that side. 26 Okay. So, you're at 5060, right here? 27

this

I knew

1	MR. FADGEN: No further questions.		
2	REDIRECT EXAMINATION		
3	BY MR. BLOXHAM:		
4	Q What time of the day or night were these times you sa		
5	this individual? How about the first time and then the secon		
6	time?		
7	A The first time was in the late afternoon. I	work	
8	swing shift, so I was on my way to work. And the other	time	
9	when I pulled in the cul de sac it was, you know, around	like	
10	10:00 or 11:00 in the morning.		
13	Q So, it was daytime both times?		
12	A Right.	•	
13	Q Thank you.		
14	MR. BLOXHAM: Nothing further, Your Honor.		
15	MR. FADGEN: Nothing further.		
16	THE COURT: You're excused.		
17	Call your next witness.		
18	MR. BLOXHAM: State would call James Spencer.	•	
19	JAMES ERROL SPENCER		
20	(Was called as a witness, duly sworn, and testified as follo	ws:)	
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION		
22	BY MR. BLOXHAM:	·	
23	Q Sir, please state your full name and spell your l	ast	
24	name for the Court.		
25	A James Errol Spencer, S-p-e-n-c-e-r.		
26	Q Mr. Spencer, where do you reside?	,	

A As I come out, I got in my truck, which is parked on the wrong side of the street, where I'm facing—instead of being facing down the street in front of my house, I'm facing the opposite way, which would be towards Palma Vista. As I got in my truck, I seen a black man going south on Berman. And he turned and he walked down Palma Vista and as I made the turn, there was a white girl walking down Palma Vista also, ahead of him. She seemed to be—I didn't know what, exactly, was going on unless they was having a quarrel of some kind.

- Q What did she look like? I mean, what was she doing?
- A Well, she just appeared to be kind of in a hurry, but I don't know what she doing, exactly. I don't have no idea.
- Q Okay. Did you tell the police that she had her hands, her arm covering her face, she appeared upset and walking fast?

A Yes.

MR. FADGEN: Objection, leading.

THE COURT: Overruled.

- Q That's what you told the police at that time, sir. Is that right?
  - A Yes.
- Q And do you remember that's what you saw at that time, too?
  - A Yes.
- Q And how did you describe the black man's pace and what he was doing?

1	A Well, he seemed to be going rather briskly and kind of		
2	excited-like. But, I don't know what for.		
3	Q Was he going in the same direction as the white girl,		
4	or the opposite direction?		
5	A Well, at first he was going in the opposite direction		
6	and then he turned and went around the corner and went in the		
7	same direction.		
8	Q Did you see anything after that?		
9	A No, I proceeded on down and turned on Berman.		
10	Q Did you describe this black man for the police?		
11	A Yes, I did.		
12	Q How did you describe him?		
13	A I described him about 5'8", 155 pounds, somewhere		
[4	about the same that I was.		
15	Q Pardon me?		
16	A About the same that I am, about 5'7", 5'8", 155		
17	pounds.		
18	Q Thank you.		
19	MR. BLOXHAM: Pass the witness, Your Honor.		
20	THE COURT: Cross?		
21	MR. FADGEN: Thank you, Your Honor.		
22	CROSS EXAMINATION		
23	BY MR. FADGEN:		
24	Q Mr. Spencer, this is about 9:00 in the morning?		
25	A Yes.		
26	Q And who did you see first, the white girl or the black		
27			

1	MR. BLOXHAM: Nothing further,	Your Honor.	
2	2 MR. FADGEN: Nothing further,	Your Honor.	
3	THE COURT: You're excused.		
4	Call your next witness.		
5	5 MR. BLOXHAM: The State will co	all Nancy Kingsbury.	
6	6 NANCY KING	SBURY	
7	(Was called as a witness, duly sworn, and testified as follows:		
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION		
9	9 BY MR. BLOXHAM:		
10	10 Q Ma'am, please state your	full name and spell your last	
11	11 name for the Court.		
12	A Nancy Kingsbury, K-i-n-g-s	s-b-u-r-y.	
13	Q Mrs. Kingsbury, are you	employed by the Las Vegas	
14	14 Metropolitan Police Department?		
15	15 A Yes, sir.		
16	16 Q How long have you been so	employed?	
17	A Almost fourteen yearsfor	rteen years.	
18	18 Q In the department for four	teen years?	
19	19 A Yes, sir.		
20		osition?	
21	•	cialist in the criminalistics	
22			
23		signed to the criminalistics	
24			
- I	A Eight years.		
L	Q What are your duties a	s a criminalistics or an	
27	27		

identification specialist? What do your duties include? I respond to crime scenes for the purpose of taking 3 photography, collecting evidence, and processing for latent fingerprints. And what training have you received in performing Q these particular duties? When I first went into the crime lab, I had intensive on-the-job training. I've attended schools and seminars on 9 crime scene investigation. And we have training continuously 10 in the crime lab to update our skills. 11 Have you processed crime scenes in the past? Q 12 A · Yes, sir. 13 Would you say they were in the hundreds of times that Q 14 you've processed crime scenes? 15 Α Several. 16 Q Several hundreds? 17 A Several hundreds. 18 And you've testified in court before as Okay. 19 relates to your job, too. Right? 20 A Yes, sir. 21 I want to direct your attention to November 14th, 1983 22 in the morning hours. Were you on duty with Metro? 23 Α Yes, sir.

A Yes, sir.

27

28

24

25

26

of Reno Court here in Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada?

And did you have occasion to be dispatched to an area

Can you tell us what time you arrived at

Q

Q And what did you do at this location?

A Along the dirt road at that approximate location, there were five tissues that were photographed and recovered by myself.

Q Alright. And did you take some other general photos of the area?

A Yes, sir.

MR. BLOXHAM: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?

THE COURT: You may.

(Clerk marking exhibits)

MR. BLOXHAM: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?

THE COURT: You may.

Q Mrs. Kingsbury, showing you what's been marked for identification purposes as State's proposed exhibit 8, that appears to be an envelope. Is that correct?

A Yes.

Q Would you take the photographs that are located in State's proposed exhibit 8 out and would you look at those as far as the back, State's A, B, C, D, E, through G, just look at the backs quickly to see if they've each been marked as State's A--pardon me, 8A, through 8G, inclusive?

A Yes, they have.

Q Okay. Now, please turn them over and I'd ask you to start from the back, which is State's proposed exhibit 8A, and look at that, please, and all of the pictures in that sequence.

(witness examining photos)

containing papers that I had processed for latent fingerprints at the crime lab. Q And did you take that picture, too? Yes, I did. A 5 Q Does that fairly and accurately depict the book and 6 papers that you were processing on that same date? Yes, sir. Α 8 MR. BLOXHAM: Move to admit State's A through G, please, 9 Your Honor. 10 MR. FADGEN: No objection, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Alright. Same be received. showing you State's proposed exhibit 12 Now, 13 appears to be an envelope. Is that correct? 14 Yes. Α 15 Q Please take the photographs out and they should be 16 designated on the back. Please look at the back and they 17 should be designed 8--or pardon me, 9A through H. 18 (witness examining exhibits) 19 Do we have eight photographs designated 8--or, pardon 20 me, 9A through H? 21 Yes. 22 Now, please look at the front and please look at all 23 of the photographs. 24 (witness examining exhibits) 25 Now, do you know who took the photographs marked 9A 26 through 9H, inclusive? 27 167 28

AA 0.00190

Α Yes. And now, please, look at the front and please look at each picture. (witness examining exhibits) Having looked at State's proposed exhibits through I, can you identify those particular pictures? Λ Those are the photographs I took at the desert area. Would that have been November 15th, 1983, the Q Okay. next morning? 10 A Yes, sir. And do those photographs, State's proposed 10A through 11 12 10I fairly and accurately depict the desert area that you have testified you went to and recovered five different tissues? 13 A Yes, sir. 14 Your Honor, we'd move to admit State's 15 MR. BLOXHAM: 16 proposed exhibit 10 and 10A through I inclusive. 17 MR. FADGEN: No objection. THE COURT: Same be received. 18 19 Mrs. Kingsbury, showing you what's been marked for 20 identification purposes, as State's proposed exhibit 11, can 21 you identify that? 22 Yes, I can. Α And what is the basis of your identification? 23 Q 24 A That is my writing, my signature, and it also has my 25 seal on it. 26 And when did you first see the bag marked State's 27

proposed exhibit 11?

A When I placed the baggies containing the kleenex inside of it.

- Q Okay, would that have been November 14th, 1983?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q Please open State's proposed exhibit 11 without disturbing any seals. That may be hard, there's so many seals on it. But, if you could cut along the top where there are no seals, and please, for the record, tell us what you're doing and if you're removing items from State's proposed exhibit 11.

A I've opened the top of this evidence envelope and I am removing four plastic baggies, each containing tissues.

MR. BLOXHAM: Your Honor, may we have these four baggies marked State's proposed exhibits 11A, B, C, and D?

(Clerk marking exhibits)

Q Showing you what's been marked for identification purposes as State's proposed exhibits 11A, 11B, 11C, and this one is-excuse me for one moment. And 11D. Would you look at those four items marked State's proposed exhibits 11A through D.

(witness examining exhibits)

- A Yes.
- Q Are you able to identify those items marked State's proposed exhibits 11A through 11D, inclusive?
  - A Yes, I am.
  - Q And how is it you're able to identify those?

28

watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspaper, television, and radio, or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

We'll be in recess until 2:00 this afternoon. And we really are trying to adjust this air conditioning. It just keeps going up and down the curve as you've noticed.

So, we'll be in recess until 2:00.

(At the hour of 11:55 a.m., the Court recessed until 2:10 p.m.)

THE COURT: Counsel stipulate to the presence of the jury?

MR. FADGEN: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. BLOXHAM: The State will so stipulate, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Alright. Call your next witness.

MR. BLOXHAM: Your Honor, may I approach the Clerk and have some items marked, and at the same time, call Audra Sharp to the stand, please.

## AUDRA SHARP

(Was called as a witness, duly sworn, and testified as follows:)

DIRECT EXAMINATION

## BY MR. BLOXHAM:

Q Audra, we need you to speak up and speak into the microphone, please.

Audra, are you married at this time?

A Yes, I am.

How long have you been married? Q A Three months. What is your maiden name? Q Audra Sharp, A How do you spell your first and last names? Q Audra, A-u-d-r-a. Sharp, S-h-a-r-p. A And you've always used that name up until you've just 0 8 recently married. Is that correct? 9 Α Yes. 10 What is your date of birth, Audra? Q 11 June 16th, 1968. Α 12 I want to direct your attention to December 2nd, 1983. Q 13 How old were you at that time? 14 I was fifteen. Α 15 What city did you live in in 1983 in December? Q 16 A Monrovia, California. . 17 At that time, did you live with your family? Q 18 Yes, I did. A 19 Did you attend school? Q 20 A Yes. 21 What school did you attend? Q 22 Monrovia High. Α 23 And what grade were you in? Q 24 In tenth grade. Α 25 Now, I want to direct your attention, Audra, 26 December 2nd, 1983, at approximately 3:15 p.m. Where were you 27

27

28

ultimately?

- A The first time?
- Q Well, he put one piece of tape on your eyes and then later put--
  - A And then--
  - Q --more tape on?
  - A Yeah, he put one more piece on.
  - Q For a total of two pieces?
  - A Two pieces.
- Q Now, directing your attention to where you're in the tunnel and he's faced you against the wall and put one piece of tape over your eyes. Is that correct?
  - A Yes.
  - Q What happened next?
- A He had taken the bandanna off of his face and put it over my eyes.
- Q So, do you have tape on your eyes and then, also, the bandanna?
  - A Yes.
  - Q What happened next?
- A He had turned me around and lifted up my shirt and unsnapped my bra and was feeling my breast. And then he pulled me through the tunnel, which, on the side was a little grassy area and he told me to take off my clothes. And I didn't want to and he told me to do it or he was going to kill me. So, I took off my pants.

assaulted me there. And used the sodomy. And the told me to get up.

- Q Is this at the location you were ultimately released?
- A Yes.
- Q Please continue.

And then he told me to stay there, that he was going to let me go. And then he told me to stay there, that he was going to the car. He was going to go get my book bag. And he had started to walk away and he said, "I'm still watching you". And I stayed there. And he went and got my book bag and brought it back. And he told me to wait there for two minutes.

- Q Which way were you facing?
- A Towards the wall.
- Q Was he in front of you or behind you?
- A Behind me.
- Q What happened at that location then, as you were being released?

A Then, before he let me go, he pulled the bandanna off of my face and it was around my neck. And he took the tape off my eyes. And then he ran away. And I waited there for a few minutes and then I ran to a neighbor's house in that neighborhood. And I knocked on the door. And a lady answered and I told her that I was raped and she let me in the house and she called the police.

Q Now, the tape was then taken off of your eyes. Is that correct?

take and show you a bag which is marked State's proposed exhibit 14. Is that correct? Α Yes. Q Now, it appears like there's a number of items inside 5 of this bag. May we take the bottom of that, without disturbing any seal. And could we have you cut along the 6 bottom of this bag, please. (witness opening evidence envelope) . 9 Thank you. For the record, Audra, does there appear to be another bag inside of this bag? 10 11 Yes. 12 MR. BLOXHAM: Your Honor, there are a couple of bags inside 13 this bag. We would ask that this one which I'm holding up, be 14 marked as State's proposed 14A. (Clerk marking exhibits) 15 16 Audra, showing you State's proposed 14A, it appears 17 like the bottom has been cut out a little bit. Can we just 18 tear just like this. There appears to be one more bag inside 19 of that one. And a second bag inside of that one, too. 20 that correct? 21 Yes. 22 MR. BLOXHAM: May we have this marked 14A1A? 23 (Clerk marking exhibits) 24 Your Honor, we'd ask permission to staple MR. BLOXHAM: 25 these two shoes together and mark them as one item. 26 (Clerk marking exhibits)

27

0 Some sort of a tassel. Is that correct? A Yes. Some sort of leather bands. Is that correct? Yes. MR. BLOXHAM: Your Honor, may we have the dice marked 13A1, the tassel 13A2, the leather bands, 13A3, and 4 and then the little shoes and ribbon marked 13A5. THE COURT: Same may be marked. Now, Audra, showing you these particular items, can you identify any of them? 10 11 Α Yes. 12 Q And first of all, 13Al, the dice? 13 Yes. A 14 Q What do they appear to be? 15 Α Dice that were hanging from the rear view mirror of 16 his car. 17 0 The Chevette that you were abducted in--18 Α Yes. 19 Q -- in December of 1983. The tassel that we designate: 20 as 13A2, can you identify that? 21 Α Yes. 22 Q What does it appear to be? 23 The tassel that was hanging from his rear view mirror, Α 24 also. 25 And you reported all these things to the police within 26 a short time of being abducted. Is that correct? 27 194 28

-	^	165.	;
2	Q ·	Showing you 13A3 and 4, appears to be two leat	her
3	bands.	Is that correct?	
4	A	Yes.	
5	Q	And is there a name on this band?	
6	A	Yes.	•
7	Q	What does it say on 13A3?	٠.
8	A	Marie.	
9	Ω	What's it say on the inside?	•
10	A	Andre and Marie.	
11	Ω	And 13A4, is that a bandleather band also?	•
12	A	Yes.	
13	Q	Have you seen these two leather bands before?	•
14	A	Yes.	
15	Q	When was that?	
16	A	They were also in the car.	
17	Q	On December 2nd, 1983, when you were abducted by th	nat
18	individu	al?	
19	A	Yes.	•
20	Q	13A5, do you recognize these at all?	
21	A	No.	
22	Q	Audra, showing you State's proposed exhibit 15, do	es.
23	that look	k familiar to you at all?	
24	A	Yes.	
25	Q	Is this the photographic line-up that was shown to y	ou.
26	December	7th, 1983?	
27			· · ·
	j.	195	

1	A Yes.
2	Q And you've testified you picked number 2. Is that
3	correct?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Thank you.
6	MR. BLOXHAM: We'll pass the witness, Your Honor.
7	
Ť	CROSS EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. FADGEN:
9	Q Miss Sharp, this wasthis event happened late
10	afternoon of December. Is that correct?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And the date again was?
13	A December 2nd.
14	Q Alright. And someoneyou heard a noise, someone ran
15	up to you. Is that correct?
16	
	A Yes.
17	Q Did you get a look at that individual at that point?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And did that individual have a bandanna over his face?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And what did you observe at that time?
22	A That he had a bandanna over his eyesor over his
23	nose, covering the rest of his face and that he had camouflage
24	clothes on, the tee shirt and pants and the black shoes.
25	Q And then he turned to you and took you into the
26	tunnel. Is that correct?
27	
~"	

Alright. Now, did the tape cover your eyes? Q Not completely. Α Now, you said you could look around, you could see Q Is that correct? down. 5 Down. A And you were able to see down and identify that it was 6 Q a Chevette? Yes, it had an emblem on the inside of it. Α You don't remember anything about the knife as 9 understand, what kind it was or anything else? 10 11 No. 12 Now, how much time had passed before the second piece 13 of tape was put on? 14 Probably about an hour and a half to two hours. A 15 And could you see anything after that? Q 16 Α Yes. 17 You could still see? 0 18 Yes. 19 Do you know what kind of tape it was? 0 20 Black electrical tape. Α 21 It was black tape? Q 22 Yes. Α 23 Now, you said among things that you noticed were the Q 24 dice and the tassel. Is that correct? 25 Α Yes. And you also said you recognized a band, leather band? 26 Q 27 198 28

1	A Yes.
2	Q Is that correct, that said "Marie"?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Were you able to look inside?
5	A Yeah, they were sitting there and I could see inside.
6	Q And you could look inside and you saw the writing
7	inside. Is that correct?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Now, the pants, you said the pants he was wearing.
10	They were the same type of pants. Isn't that correct?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And the same thing with the shirt, the same type of
13	shirt?
14	A Yes.
15	Q You're not saying that those are the shirt and those
16	are the pants, are you?
17	A Those are the shirts that look exactly like what he
18	was wearing.
19	Q And you've seen quite a bit of those, haven't you?
20	A Yes.
21	MR. FADGEN: Court's indulgence for a moment.
22	No further questions.
23	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. BLOXHAM:
25	Q Mr. Fadgen asked thatwhether you'd seen quite a bit
26	of those, meaning the camouflage pants. Do you mean that
27	199
28	

you've seen other people wearing camouflage clothing? Yes. Have you seen those particular clothes since the abduction? Well, I've seen other people wear them. 5 Α But those particular clothes there, until we: 6 Q Okay. opened them in Court today, have you seen them--7 8 A No. 9 --since then? 10 A No. Have you seen those bracelets, for example, since you 11 12 were in that car December 2nd, 1983? 13 A No. Did you notice anything about the steering wheel, 14 15 Audra, in the car? The steering wheel where the horn is, it was broken, 16 Α 17 it was off. You told the police--did you tell the police that at 18 Q 19 the time? 20 A Yes. 21 Thank you. Q 22 MR. BLOXHAM: Nothing further, Your Honor. 23 MR. FADGEN: Nothing further, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: You're excused. 25 Call your next witness. 28 MR. BLOXHAM: State would call Officer Lozich. 27 200 28

while Officer Lozich is coming in, Your Honor, we have marked and shown to counsel three items. They appear to be a certified copy of a vehicle registration, a certified copy of a marriage license, and a certified copy of a marriage certificate. I believe they're designated in that order as State's proposed exhibit 16, 17, 18. The certified copy of the vehicle registration shows a vehicle with a license number 005 AJB, registered to a Marie Butler at 1916 Houston Street, here in Las Vegas, Nevada.

We would move to admit State's proposed exhibit 16.

MR. FADGEN: No objection.

THE COURT: Same be received.

MR. BLOXHAM: We show 17 and 18 to be the marriage license and marriage certificate for Andre Dupree Boston and Marie Butler. They were issued November 9th, 1983, here in Clark County, Nevada. It shows they were married the same day. Your Honor, we'd move to admit both.

MR. FADGEN: No objection.

THE COURT: Same will be received.

Come forward.

ANTHONY THOMAS LOZICH, JR.

(Was called as a witness, duly sworn, and testified as follows:)

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BLOXHAM:

O Sir, please state your full name and spell your last name for the Court.

Sign School. The broadcast further went on to state that a suspect vehicle involved was a two-door vehicle with bucket seats, had a vinyl cover over the seats, had a floor shift and a emergency brake in the center of the vehicle.

- Q Did you attempt to locate that vehicle, sir?
- A Yes, I was directed by Sergeant Coyne, as well as approximately four other officers to comb the area looking for that particular vehicle within about a one, I believe, two mile radius.
- Q Sir, at my request, either yesterday or today, did you go to the address 1916 Houston, here in Clark County, Nevada?
  - A Yes, I did.
  - Q Where is that located, sir
- A It's located at the--pretty much Charleston/Eastern area.
  - Q And how far from Eastern is 1916 Houston, if you know?
- A Yes, I do know. It's .02 of a mile, from 1916 Houston to Eastern Avenue.
- Q Did you then, at my request, drive from 1916 Houston to the Reno Court area?
  - A Yes, I did.
- Q Can you tell us how you went and what distances were involved?
  - A Okay. At the District Attorney's Office request, I-- MR. FADGEN: Your Honor, I'm going to object to this

1 testimony. I don't know the relevancy and materiality. MR. BLOXHAM: Your Honor, we've established--2 THE COURT: Approach the Bench. (Whereupon a Bench conference was held, not recorded) THE COURT: Objection is overruled. 5 MR. BLOXHAM: Thank you. 6 Please continue with your testimony, sir. My question 7 is: from 1916 Houston to the Reno Court area, the distances and the relationship of the streets. 9 10 I started out at 1916 Houston. "I pointed my vehicle east, toward Eastern. I travelled from 1916 Houston to 11 12 Eastern, which is .02 of a mile. I then turned south on 13 Eastern to Reno Avenue, which is 4.1 miles. I then went from Eastern, turning west on Reno Avenue, to Reno Court, which is 14 15 .03 of a mile. The total distance is 4.6 miles. 16 Thank you. 17 MR. BLOXHAM: Pass the witness, Your Honor. 18 MR. FADGEN: No questions. 19 THE COURT: You're excused. 20 Call your next witness. 21 MR. BLOXHAM: State would call Mr. Truszkowski. 22 THE COURT: That officer's name was? 23 MR. BLOXHAM: It's spelled T-R--oh, the last one, Your 24 Honor? 25 THE COURT: Yes. 26 The last one was Officer Lozich, which is MR. BLOXHAM: 27

ւլլ	sperred L-0-z-r-c-n.			
2		HAROLD CHARLES TRUSZKOWSKI		
3	(Was call	ed as a witness, duly sworn, and testified as follows:)		
4		DIRECT EXAMINATION		
5	BY MR. BI	: MAHXO		
6	Q	Sir, please state your full name and spell your last		
7	name for	the Court.		
8	A ·	Henry Charles Truszkowski, T-r-u-s-z-k-o-w-s-k-i.		
9	Q	Mr. Truszkowski, I'll probably pronounce your name		
0	wrong th	roughout this, but, sir, are you employed by the Las		
1	Vegas Me	tropolitan Police Department?		
2	A	Yes, I am.		
3	, Ω	How long have you been so employed?		
4	A	Almost twelve years.		
5	Q	And, sir, directing your attention to December 9th,		
6	1983, wh	at was your assignment at that time, sir?		
7	A	I was assigned to the criminalistics bureau as an		
8	identifi	cation specialist.		
9	Q	Okay. What are the duties of an identification		
0.	speciali	st for the criminalistics bureau?		
:1	A	At that time, my duties were to collect, document, and		
22	preserve	physical evidence.		
23	Q	Did you receive training in performing those tasks?		
4	A	Yes, I have.		
5	Q	What kind of training had you received, and do you		
26	receive	in that job?		
7		206		

1	Andre Dupree Boston?			
2	A In addition to photographs, I recovered hair samples			
3	and saliva samples.			
4	Q Did you also recover fingerprints?			
5	A Yes, I did.			
6	Q Sir, during the photography, did you have occasion to			
7	photograph Andree Dupree Boston in the nude?			
8	A Yes, I did.			
9	Q Sir, is Andre Dupree Boston a circumcised male or an			
0	uncircumcised male?			
1	A Uncircumcised, at that time.			
12	MR. BLOXHAM: Your Honor, while counsel is going through			
3	those pictures, may I look through some evidence real quick.			
4	(Clerk marking exhibits)			
5	Q Now, Mr. Truszkowski, showing you State's proposed			
16	exhibit 19, does that appear to be an envelope, sir?			
7	A Yes, it does.			
18	Q Please open the envelope and take out photographs			
19	which may be contained inside. I'd ask you: are there			
20	photographs inside?			
21	A Yes, there are.			
22	Q And would you look at just the back of the photograph			
23	and have they been designated at State's proposed exhibit 1A			
24	through 1F. Is that correct?			
25	A A through F, 19A through 19F.			
26	Q I'm sorry. 19A through 19F, inclusive. Is that			
27	208			
8	200			

#### correct? Α Correct. Sir, please look at the front of the photographs now, 3 Q and look at each one. Now, have you looked at all of them? Yes, I have. A Do you know who took those photographs, sir? Q 6 I took these photographs. Α And do those photographs fairly and accurately depict Andre Dupree Boston, as you photographed him December 9th, 9 1983, in the West Lake Juvenile Hall? 10 11 Yes, they do. MR. BLOXHAM: Your Honor, we would move to admit State's 12 proposed exhibits 19, 19A through F, inclusive. 13 MR. FADGEN: No objection, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Same be received. 15 Sir, showing you--showing you State's proposed exhibit 16 17 20. Can you identify that, sir? Yes, I can. 18 Α And what is the basis of your identification of that 19 particular bag marked State's proposed exhibit 20? 20 standard evidence envelope used by 21 This is a Metropolitan Police Department. It bears information regarding 22 this case in my handwriting. Also it bears my signature. 23 And the -- when did you first see the bag marked State's 24

A December 9th.

proposed exhibit 20?

25

26

27

28

And what did you do with the bag? After placing the items inside, I brought the bag 2 back to Las Vegas and placed it in the custody of our evidence 3 vault. Can we have you open State's proposed exhibit 20 5 Q without disturbing the seals. And, for the record, tell the 6 Court what you're doing. I wonder if I returned the scissors. Thank you. (Witness opening evidence envelope) While you're doing that, sir, may we ask the Clerk to 10 mark these items as State's proposed next in order and then 11 12 starting with A. Now, sir, for the record, you have cut open State's 13 proposed exhibit 20 without disturbing the seals. Is that 14 15 correct? That's correct. 16 Α And you have removed a plastic bag from State's 17 18 proposed exhibit 20. Is that true? 19 Yes, sir. A And inside State's proposed exhibit 20, inside the 20 Q 21 plastic bag there appear to be some envelopes. 22 correct? 23 Α Yes, sir. 24 Could you tell us if you recognize the envelopes 25 inside the plastic bag? 26 Yes, I do. A 27 210 28

28

Q And when you examined this particular vehicle, how did you examine it, sir? Please tell us how you examined the vehicle.

A Examined it by taking photographs of the vehicle, observing items in and on the vehicle and recovering those items which we thought pertinent in this matter.

Q And in as much as you took various photographs of that particular vehicle, did you later cause those photographs to be printed, or did someone cause them to be printed?

- A That's correct.
- Q And you've reviewed them?
- A Yes.

MR. BLOXHAM: Your Honor, for the record, we do have a number of photographs. It may take a short time to have those marked.

THE COURT: Alright, can we proceed on to some other line of questioning?

MR. BLOXHAM: We do have other items of evidence that we need to have marked after those.

Q Mr. Truszkowski--

MR. BLOXHAM: Well, Your Honor, we do have a whole lot of items to be marked at this point. I apologize for not having pre-marked ahead of time. But, I just didn't get it done.

THE COURT: Miss Clerk, is there any way you can expedite that?

THE CLERK: I'm almost through with these pictures, sir.

## (Conference between Clerk and Court)

MR. BLOXHAM: May I have defense counsel start reviewing these as they're done?

THE COURT: Counsel, it isn't necessary for defense counsel to look at those before you show them to the witness. So, if you want to show them to the witness, we may be able to expedite this.

MR. BLOXHAM: Very well, Your Honor.

Q Sir, showing you what's been marked--showing you what's been--it appears to be an envelope. Is that correct?

And we're going to designate this as State's proposed exhibit

21 for purposes of this questioning.

A Yes.

Q Sir, I'd ask you to look at the back of these photographs and we appear to have 21A through 21Z and then beginning 21AA through 21NN. Is that correct?

A It appears that way.

Q Would you please look at the back and the photographs and determine if they--those are in sequence?

Sir, have you had an opportunity to examine those?

A Yes, I have.

Q Mr. Truszkowski, having looked at all of those photographs, do you know who took them?

A I took these photographs.

Q And were those taken on December 9th, 1983, at the Owl Garage?

27

2

3

5

9

10

11

12

13:

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Yes, they were. Α

And do those photographs fairly and accurately depict the vehicle which you examined and searched at that location on

Yes, they do.

Your Honor, at this time, we would move to-State's proposed exhibit 21, the envelope, photographs marked State's 21A through Z--

Now show them to counsel, please. Now show I believe the Clerk has a bunch of the other You may proceed with those.

Mr. Truszkowski, showing you what's been marked for identification purposes as State's proposed exhibit 23, can you identify that particular bag marked State's proposed exhibit

- And what is the basis of your identification?
- This is a standard evidence bag used by the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. It bears information regarding this case, all in my handwriting, as well as my signature.
  - When Gid you first see the bag marked 23?
  - December 9, 1983.
- And what were the circumstances under which you saw
  - I used it during my examination of the 1978 Chevette.
  - What were you doing with the Chevette when you used

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I was recovering items of evidence, namely vacuum sweepings from the floor of the vehicle.

How was that done? Did you take a vacuum and sweep Q the floor and then take that particular material and put it in a sack or a plastic bag or something?

It's a specialized vacuum cleaner that we use, using filter paper and a particular area of the vehicle was filtered and then those sweepings were placed into an individual bagbefore another area was vacuumed.

And the face of that shows items 3 through 9 Okay. Is that correct?

Yes, it does. Α

you took seven--about seven does that mean different sweepings, placed those bags inside State's proposed exhibit 23?

A That's correct.

Could we have you open State's proposed exhibit 23. without disturbing the seals and we'd ask you to do that away from the microphone because it causes problems with the record. And could we have you, for the record, tell us what you're doing?

(Witness opening evidence envelope)

I've cut the bag along the bottom so as not to disturb And from within I've removed several plastic bags. the seals. MR. BLOXHAM: May we have these plastic bags marked State's

initials and police personnel number. And these additional four bags, State's 23D through G, 2 do they also contain vacuum cleaner bags that you used in 3 searching the car on December 9th, 1983, in Monrovia, California? Two of the four have the actual filters within them, A two do not have the filters. Q Two are empty? They're not empty--excuse me. Two have filters that were used with the vacuum. Two bags do not have the actual 10 11 filters. Do you know which bags do not have filters? 12 Q 23F, 23G. 13 A Do you know what those bags contain? ũ 14 Those also contain sweepings without the use of the 15 Α 16 vacuum. And how is that done, sir? 17 Q Basically done with forceps. 18 A Did you do that kind of a search of this car with 19 Q 20 forceps instead of bags, also? Yes, I did. 21 Α And is that what you recovered, in other words, in 23F 22 and G you did recover certain things with forceps and placed 23 them in the evidence bag? 24 25 I did. And all of those items were then placed inside of 26 Q 27 218 28

AA 00024

I've removed one plastic bag which appears to contain tissue paper. May we have this marked 25A, the bag? 3 (Clerk marking exhibit) Showing you what's been marked as 25A identify the bag marked 25A? Yes, I can. Α How are you able to identify it? 8 It bears information regarding this case, including my 9 Α initials and police personnel number in my handwriting. 10 When did you first see the bag, 25A? 11 0 December 9, 1983. 12 A And did you place something inside of that bag? 13 Q Yes, I did. A 14 What did you put inside of it, sir? 15 Q Three wads of tissue. . 16 A Where did you find the three wads of tissue? 17 Q From the right rear floor area of the vehicle. 18 A And that's the vehicle down in Monrovia, California, 19 0 the blue Chevette? 20 That's correct. 21 A Showing you State's proposed exhibit 27, can 22 please review the bag marked 27 and can you identify it? 23 24 Yes, I can. A What's the basis of your identification? 25 Q It's a standard bag used by the Metropolitan Police 26 A 27 221 28

A It's a standard envelope used by the Metropolitan Police Department. It has information regarding this case, all in my handwriting, as well as my signature.

- Q And did you first see the bag on December 9th, 1983?
- A Yes, sir.

Б

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Q And did you place something inside of it at that time?
- A Yes, I did.
- Q Please open State's proposed exhibit 28 without disturbing the seal, please, and tell us what you're doing.

THE COURT: Counsel, in view of the hour, we're going to take a recess at this time.

Approach the Bench, please.

(Whereupon a Bench conference was held, not recorded)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, during this recess, you're admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial, or read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspaper, television, and radio, or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

We'll be in recess for fifteen minutes.

(At the hour of 3:35 p.m., the Court recessed until 4:00 p.m.)

THE COURT: Counsel stipulate to the presence of the jury? 1 2 MR. FADGEN: Yes, Your Honor. MR. BLOXHAM: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Come forward. Proceed. Sir, we were at State's proposed exhibit 28 when we recessed. Is that correct? A Yes, sir. And had you opened the bag marked State's proposed 8 exhibit 28? 9 A Yes, sir. 10 Please remove anything found within. And tell us what 11 you're doing, please. 12 I've removed two plastic bags, each of which contain 13 tape of some type. 14 MR. BLOXHAM: May we have these marked State's proposed 15 exhibits 28A and 28B? 16 (Clerk marking exhibits) 17 Showing you State's proposed exhibit 28A, 28B, can you 18 identify those two items, sir? 19 20 Yes, I can. Α Are those two items, 28A and 28B, the items you just 21 removed from State's proposed exhibit 28, the bag? 22 Yes, sir. 23 Α And can you identify 28A and 28B by any initials or: 24 25 markings? Yes, I can. 26 Α 27 226 28

And are those your initials or markings? Q 2 A Yes, they are. 3 Did you first see 28--the items contained within 28A and 28B on December 9th, 1983, down at the Owl Garage in Monrovia, California? That's correct. 7 Did you impound them at that time from the vehicle 8 that we've been questioning you about earlier? Yes, sir. Sir, showing you what's been marked for 10 Thank you. 11 identification purposes as State's proposed exhibit 29, can you 12 identify that, sir? Yes, I can. 13 And what is the basis of your identification? 14 It bears the tag and--bears an evidence tag which 15 16 itself bears information regarding this case, all in my The item itself, also bears my--my initials and 17 handwriting. 18 my police personnel number in my handwriting. 19 When did you first see the item which appears to be a 20 map of some sort? 21 December 9th, 1983. A 22 And is this at the Owl Garage? 23 A Yes. 24 Did you remove this map from the vehicle we 25 talking about, the '78 Chevette? 26 Yes, I did. 27 227 28

## 1 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 2 3 **Electronically Filed** 4 Sep 16 2013 10:53 a.m. Tracie K. Lindeman 5 Case No. 62931 Clerk of Supreme Court THE STATE OF NEVADA, 6 Appellant, 7 8 ANDRE BOSTON, 9 Respondent. 10 11 APPELLANT'S APPENDIX Volume 1 12 13 14 MARTIN HART, ESQ. Nevada Bar #005984 The Law Offices of Martin Hart, LLC 229 South Las Vegas Blvd., Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 380-4278 STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar # 001565 15 Regional Justice Center 200 Lewis Avenue, Suite 701 Post Office Box 552212 16 17 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 18 State of Nevada 19 CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO 20 Nevada Attorney General Nevada Bar #003926 21 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (775) 684-1265 22 23 24 25 26 Counsel for Appellant Counsel for Respondent 27 28

## **INDEX**

2	<u>Document</u>	Page No.
3	California Documents	937-947
4	Criminal Complaint, filed 07/26/88	4-12
5	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, filed 12/18/90	618-621
6	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, filed 04/22/11	763-770
7	Findings of Fact, Conclusion of Law and Order, filed 03/18/94	665-668
8	Information, filed 08/02/88	14-20
9	Judgment of Conviction, filed 11/01/88	446-450
10	Notice of Appeal, filed 11/01/88	442-445
11	Notice of Appeal, filed 01/11/91	622-623
12	Notice of Appeal, filed 07/25/94	669
13	Notice of Appeal, filed 04/19/11	771-777
14	Notice of Appeal, filed 04/03/13	935-936
15 16	Notice of Entry of Order (Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part I Writ of Habeas Corpus), filed 04/03/13	Petition for 929-934
17	Order, filed 07/07/88	1-3
18	Order Affirming in Part, Reversing In Part and Remanding, filed 02/03/1 Clerk's Certificate and Remittitur	2, with 778-786
19 20	Order Dismissing Appeal, filed 10/24/89, with Clerk's Certificate and Remittitur	451-454
21	Order Dismissing Appeal, filed 10/07/94, with Clerk's Certificate and Remittitur	670-672
22	Order of Remand, filed 09/30/91, with Clerk's Certificate and Remittitur	624-628
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	Petition for Post Conviction Relief Pursuant to NRS 177.315, filed 10/22/90	455-590
25	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction), filed 01/05/11	673-753
26	Recorder's Transcripts of 09/12/88; 09/13/88; 09/14/88; 09/15/88 (Jury Trial)	21-428
<ul><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>	Recorder's Transcripts of 12/14/90 (Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Forma Pauperis and Defendant's Pro Per Petition for Post Conviction Re	

fil	led 02/07/91	608-617
R	ecorder's Transcripts of 09/04/92 (Evidentiary Hearing), filed 10/14/92	629-661
R	ecorder's Transcripts of 10/14/93 (Judge's Decision), filed 10/14/93	662-664
R	ecorder's Transcripts of 03/04/13 (Argument), filed 04/09/13	904-928
R fi	esponse to Defendant's Petition for Post-Conviction Relief, led 11/23/90	591-607
St C	tate's Response and Motion to Dismiss Defendant's Petition for Writ of Horpus (Post-Conviction), filed 03/04/11	abeas 754-762
St fil	tate's Response to Defendant's Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction), led 01/23/13	889-903
Sı	upplement to Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction), filed 11/27/12	787-879
V	Yerdict (Count I), filed 09/15/88	429
V	erdict (Count II), filed 09/15/88	430
V	Terdict (Count III), filed 09/15/88	431
V	Terdict (Count IV), filed 09/15/88	432
V	Terdict (Count V), filed 09/15/88	433
V	Verdict (Count VI), filed 09/15/88	434
V	Terdict (Count VII), filed 09/15/88	435
V	Verdict (Count VIII), filed 09/15/88	436
V	Verdict (Count X), filed 09/15/88	437
V	Verdict (Count XI), filed 09/15/88	438
V	Verdict (Count XII), filed 09/15/88	439
V	Verdict (Count XIII), filed 09/15/88	440
V	Verdict (Count XIV), filed 09/15/88	441
W	Vrit of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction), filed 12/24/12	880-888

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** I HEREBY CERTIFY AND AFFIRM that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on September 16, 2013. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows: CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO Nevada Attorney General MARTIN HART, ESQ. Counsel for Respondent PARKER P. BROOKS Deputy District Attorney JONATHAN E. VANBOSKERCK Chief Deputy District Attorney /s/ j. garcia Employee, Clark County District Attorney's Office JEV/Parker Brooks/jg

3569-88F

CASE NO. J28884 DEPT. NO. XVI

#### JUVENILE DIVISION

IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK

In the Matter of: ANDRE DUPREE BOSTON, Date of Birth: July 17, 1967, A Minor, 20 Years of Age.

The above-entitled matter having come on for hearing in the above-entitled Court to determine whether the subject minor, Andre Dupree Boston, should be certified to be tried as an adult under the provisions of N.R.S. 62.080; and

The Court being duly advised that the subject minor, Andre Dupree Boston, of this Petition is 20 years of age, having been born on the 17th day of July, 1967; and

The Court being fully advised that the subject of these Petitions is charged with the Offenses of Burglary, a felony under N.R.S. 205.060; Lewdness with a Minor with Use of a Deadly Weapon, a felony under N.R.S. 201.230; 193.165; Assault with a Deadly Weapon, a felony under N.R.S. 200.471; 193.165; Battery with Intent to Commit Sexual Assault with Use of a Deadly

BRIDTOSTI, WES

JUL 28 1963

AA 000001

24

25

26

27

356468F

CASE NO. J28884

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Weapon, a felony under N.R.S. 200.400; 193.165; Kidnap First Degree with Use of Deadly Weapon, a felony under N.R.S. 200.310; 193.165; Sexual Assault with Use of a Deadly Weapon, a felony under N.R.S. 200.366; 193.165; Sexual Assault with a Deadly Weapon, a felony under N.R.S. 200.366; 193.165; Sexual Assault with Use of a Deadly Weapon, a felony under N.R.S. 200.366; 193.165; Sexual Assault with Use of a Deadly Weapon, a felony under N.R.S. 200.366; 193.165; Sexual Assault with Use of a Deadly Weapon, a felony under N.R.S. 200.366; 193.165; Sexual Assault with Use of a Deadly Weapon, a felony under N.R.S. 200.366; 193.165; Sexual Assault with Use of a Deadly Weapon, a felony under N.R.S. 200.366; 193.165; Robbery with a Deadly Weapon, a felony under N.R.S. 200.380; 193.165; Attempt to Dissuade Victim or Witness from Reporting a Crime with Use of a Deadly Weapon, a felony under N.R.S. 199.305; 208.070; 193.165; if committed by an adult; said Offenses having allegedly been committed on October 1, 1983; November 14, 1983;

The Court having heard testimony in Open Court and being fully advised in the premises;

NOW THEREFORE IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Andre Dupree Boston be and hereby is Certified to the Eighth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada in and for the County of Clark for proper criminal proceedings as an adult for the following reasons:

Nature and seriousness of the charged offense(s).

THE AS 1983

3564-88F

. CASE NO. J28884

The crimes attributed to the assailant Andre Dupree Boston, were of a heinous and premeditated nature. On October 1, 1983, the assailant, while in the nude, burglarized the home of Barbara Kukal, at 5010 South Reno Court, Las Vegas, Nevada. While in the home he threatened to kill with a knife twelve-year-old Kathleen Kukal while in the process of the molestation. The Assailant became alarmed over noises from the adjoining room. Kathleen was able to call out to her mother for help. When Mrs. Kukal tried to investigate the situation the assailant threatened Mrs. Kukal with a knife before making his escape.

November 14, 1983, an assailant kidnapped, sexually assaulted over an extended period of time, and threatened with bodily harm with a knife and through intimidation fourteen-year-old Angela Kukal. The assailant informed the victim that he was the one who had previously burglarized her home indicating the abduction and sexual assault was a thought out and planned incident. He forced the victim to repeatedly submit to oral copulation, sexual intercourse and sodomy. The victim's eyes were taped shut and she was driven around to different areas when the assailant would stop the car and sexually assault her.

There were witnesses prior to and after the aforementioned offense. The victim and witnesses were able to positively identify Andre Dupree Boston, through a photo line up.

AREA TO A THE SAME

CASE NO. J28884

2. Persistency and seriousness of past adjudications or admitted criminal offense(s). Please see Exhibit  $^{11}L^{11}$ .

On August 4, 1982, Andre Dupree Boston was charged with Runaway for which he was counseled and released.

On December 20, 1982, Andre Dupree Boston was charged with Runaway for which he was counseled and released.

On February 2, 1983, Andre Dupree Boston was charged with Runaway, which was dismissed.

On March 11, 1983, Andre Dupree Boston was charged with Trespassing and the charge was counseled and closed April 14, 1983.

Andre Dupree Boston initially denied the charge of Trespassing, but thereafter agreed to voluntarily enter therapy at Ingleside Psychiatric Hospital. Andre's mother initiated the placement because she discovered sex magazines and notations, by Andre, describing plans to abduct, hold for ransom, rape and rob others. A psychologist or psychiatrist described Andre as being a time bomb. When the family's insurance policy expired Andre was placed at Camerillo State Hospital.

On May 5, 1983, Andre was charged with Runaway for which he was counseled and released.

On December 2, 1983, Andre Dupree Boston abducted a fourteen-year-old girl, Andre Sharp and viciously sexually assaulted her with threatened bodily harm. On December 7, 1983,

WITCHESS TO

. CASE NO. J28884

Andre was arrested for the charge and on February 24, 1984, he was convicted of four Counts of Rape with Force and Violence, five Counts of Oral Copulation with Use of a Weapon consecutive with one Count of Sodomy.

Andre was institutionalized at California Youth Authorities until he was involved in an escape plan. He was then sent to Chino Prison in California then transported to Folsom Prison in California, and was currently serving time in Tehachapi Prison when he was extradited to Las Vegas for the purpose of Certification.

### 3. Subjective factors.

Andre Dupree Boston began running away from home when he was twelve years old. He comes from an affluent family. He resided with his natural mother and father, Rose and Elliot Boston. The family moved frequently and his father occasionally worked out of the country and his mother was the primary caretaker. Andre is the oldest of three children. Mrs. Boston gave Andre permission to marry Maria Butler, whom he met at Ingleside Psychiatric Hospital. Mrs. Boston signed for Andre to get married to Maria in Las Vegas, Nevada, in November of 1983. It should be noted that this was the time frame in which Angla Kukal allegedly was sexually assaulted by Andre.

Prior to the incident of arrest Mrs. Boston found items in Andre's closet such as ski masks, gloves and turtlenecks. Andre was tested while at California Youth Authorities and had an I.Q. of 103.

SELECTION AND ADDRESS.

to the custody of the

•	6					
1	CASE NO. J28884					
2	The Director of Juvenile Court Services of Clark County is					
3	charged with the execution of this Order.					
4	This matter is continued to the day of					
5	Jul, 1988, for the purpose of arraignment in					
6	Justice Court and Bail is set in the sum of \$280,000.00					
7	cash or #560,000 property.					
8	Andre Dupree Boston is hereby remanded to the custody of t					
9	Sheriff until such time as Bail is posted.					
10	Dated this day of July, 1988.					
11	JOHN S. MEGROARTY					
12	DISTRICT JUDGE - JUVENILE DIVISION					
13	DIGINIGI GODGE - GUVENILE DIVISION					
14	Receipt of copy of the foregoing Adult Certification Order					
15	is hereby acknowledged this day of					
16	1988, by the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department and the					
17	Clark County District Attorney's Office.					
18	1/1 Della December 328					
19	LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT DATE					
20	1: 9:70°					
21	DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE DATE					
22	製造を含めている。主義でき					
23	JUL A A ART					
24	CERTIFIED COPY					
25	The document or which this mathback is attoched is a full, true and courses copy of the original on					
26	file and of recordingly collect.					

28

## THIED COPY

JUL 0 7 1988 LOBETTA ROWMAN, County Place and Clerk of the Eighth Judicial District Court, in and for the

District Court

Ω

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

	IN T	HE	JUSTI	CE C	OURT	OF	LAS	VEGAS	TOWN	SHIP	7.4
						•					
IN	AND	FO	R THE	COUR	NTY C	)F C	LARK	, Stat!	E OF	NEVA	ADA

Plaintiff,

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

vs.

CASE NO.

DOCKET NO. 88F

ANDREW DUPREE BOSTON, #920638,

Defendant.

CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

The Defendant above named, has committed the crimes of BURGLARY (Felony - NRS 205.060); LEWDNESS WITH A MINOR WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Felony - NRS 201.230, 193.165); ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON (Felony - NRS 200.471); BATTERY WITH INTENT TO COMMIT A CRIME WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Felony - NRS 200.400 193.165); FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Felony - NRS 200.310, 200.320, 193.165); SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Felony - NRS 200.364, 200.366, 193.165); ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Felony - NRS 200.380, 193.165); and ATTEMPT DISSUADE VICTIM OR WITNESS FROM REPORTING A CRIME WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Felony - NRS 199.305, 193.330, 193.165), in the manner following, to-wit: That the said Defendant, between October 1, 1983, and November 14, 1983, at and within the County of Clark, State of Nevada,

COUNT I - Burglary

did, on or about October 1, 1983, then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously enter, with intent to commit a felony, to-wit: Sexual Assault, that certain building occupied by BARBARA B. KUKAL and/or KATHLEEN MARIE KUKAL, located at 5010 South Reno Court, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada.

1995年1995年 1995



COUNT II - Lewdness With a Minor With use of a Deadly Weapon 2 3

10

11

12 13 14

16 17

19

18

21

20

22 23

24

25

27

26

28

did, on or about October 1, 1983 them and there wilfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act with the body of KATHLEEN MARIE KUKAL, a child under the age of fourteen years, by fondling her upper thigh hear her vagina, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said Defendant, or said child, said Defendant using a deadly weapon, to-wit: knife, during the commission of said crime. COUNT III - Assault With a Deadly Weapon.

did, on or about October 1, 1983, coupled with the present ability, wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously attempt to commit a violent injury, with use of a deadly weapon, upon the person of another, to-wit: BARBARA B. KUKAL, by brandishing a knife at, and threatening the said BARBARA B. KUKAL with said knife.

a Crime With use of a COUNT IV - Battery With Intent to Commit Deadly Weapon

did, on or about October 1, 1983, then and there wilfully, unlawfully and feloniously use force and violence upon the person of another, to-wit: KATHLEEN MARIE KUKAL, with intent to commit Sexual Assault, by holding a knife against the neck of the said KATHLEEN MARIE KUKAL, said knife touching her neck and by fondling her upper thigh near her vagina, Defendant using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knife, during the commission of said crime.

JUL 28 1363

COUNT V - First Degree Kidnapping With Use of a Deadly Weapon did, on or about November 14, 1983, wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously, and without authority of law, seize, confine, inveigle, entice, decoy, abduct, conceal, kidnap, or carry away ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, a human being, with the intent to hold or detain the said ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL against her will and without her consent, for the apurpose of committing sexual assault and robbery upon the said ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, said Defendant using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knife, during the commission of said crime.

COUNT VI - Sexual Assault With use of a Deadly Weapon

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

did, on or about November 14, 1983, then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: sexual intercourse, by inserting his penis in the vagina of the said ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, against her will. Defendant using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knife, during the commission of said crime.

COUNT VII - Sexual Assault With Use of a Deadly Weapon

did, on or about November 14, 1983, then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: fellatio, by inserting his penis in the mouth of the said ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, against her will, Defendant using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knife, during the commission of said crime.

26 COUNT VIII - Sexual Assault With Use of a Deadly Weapon

did, on or about November 14, 1983, then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ANGELA

THE KA PAR

NICOLE KUKAL, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: sexual intercourse, by inserting his penis in the vagina of the said ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, against her will, Defendant using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knife, during the commission of said crime.

COUNT IX - Sexual Assault With Use of a Deadly Weapon

. 9

did, on or about November 14, 1983, then and there wilfully unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: sexual intercourse, by inserting his penis in the vagina of the said ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, against her will, Defendant using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knife, during the commission of said crime.

COUNT X - Sexual Assault With Use of a Deadly Weapon

did, on or about November 14, 1983, then and there wilfully unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: anal intercourse, by inserting his penis in the anal opening of the said ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, against her will, Defendant using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knife, during the commission of said crime.

COUNT XI - Sexual Assault With Use of a Deadly Weapon

did, on or about November 14, 1983, then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: sexual intercourse, by inserting his penis in the vagina of the said ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, against her will, Defendant using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knife, during the commission of maid, will

crime.

3

10

11

12

151

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

COUNT XII - Sexual Assault With use of a Deadly Weapon

did, on or about November 14, 1983, then and there wilfully unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: sexual intercourse, by inserting his penis in the vagina of the said ANGELA NICOLE KURAL, against her will, Defendant using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knife, during the commission of said crime.

COUNT XIII - Robbery With Use of a Deadly Weapon

did, on or about November 14, 1983, then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously take personal property, to-wit: lawful money of the United States, from the person of ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, or in her presence, by means of force or violence, or fear of injury to, and without the consent and against the will of the said ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, said Defendant using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knife, during the commission of said crime.

COUNT XIV - Attempt Dissuade Victim or Witness from Reporting

did, on or about November 14, 1983, then and there wilfully unlawfully, and feloniously attempt to prevent or dissuade a victim of a crime, to-wit: ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, from reporting a crime, to-wit: S:xual Assault; Robbery and First Degree Kidnapping, to a Peace Officer, by threatening to kill and/or have killed her and/or her entire family if the police came after him, said Defendant using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knife, during the commission of said crime.

HH 28700

3544-88F

All of which is contrary to the form, force, and effect of Statutes in such cases made and provided and against the peace and dignity of the State of Nevada. Said Complainant makes this declaration subject to the penalty for perjury.

1/////

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

--

20

21

22

23

24

...

25

26

27

28

88F3564/sz LVMPD DR#83-72900;81854 Burg;LWM;AWDW;BWITCC; L Kidnap;Sex Asslt; Robbery;Att Dissuade Witness; Use Wpn Comm of Crime - F TK3

**数据"下口时","你** 

Ŗ.

JUL 28 1963

# Instice Gurt, Cas Begas Thunship

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,	
Plaintiff,	
—vs—	Case No. 3564-88F
ANDREW DUPREE BOSTON	
	COMMITMENT and
	ORDER TO APPEAR
Defendant.	
An Order having been made this day by me, that	
ANDREW DUPREE BOSTON	
WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON {7 ATTEMPT DISSUADE VICTIM OR WITH Committed in said Township and County, KNONKANOKEKE BETT IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Sheriff of the Count	TEEN CONTROL 10/1 & 11/14 , 19.83 .
that NE be admitted to bail in the sum of \$280,000/5!	560,000 Dollars, and be
	PER JUDGE MC GROAKTY
committed to the custody of the Sheriff of said County, until st	•
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that said Defendant	is/are commanded to appear in
Department #5 of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clar	k County Courthouse, Las Vegas, Nevada, at 9:00 A.M., on
the 11th day of AUGUST , 19 88 , for arrai	gnment and further proceedings on the within charge
DATED this 28th day of JULY 19	88_
	W.00. 5)

JUL 88 968

DISTRICT COURT

FILED

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

AUG 2 11 38 AH '88

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ANDRE DUPREE BOSTON,

Defendant.

DEPT. NO.

INFORMATION -

BURGLARY (Felony-NRS 205.060) LEWD-NESS WITH A MINOR WITH USE OF A DEAD-LY WEAPON (Felony-NRS 201.230, 193. 165) ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON (Felony-NRS 200.471) BATTERY WITH INTENT TO COMMIT A CRIME WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Felony-NRS 200.400, 193.165) FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Felony-NRS 200.310, 200.320, 193.165) SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Felony-NRS 200.364, 200.366, 193.165) ROBBERY WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Felony-NRS 200.380, 193.165) ATTEMPT DISSUADE VICTIM OR WITNESS FROM RE-PORTING A CRIME WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Felony-NRS 199.305, 193.330) 193.165)

STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF CLARK )

REX BELL, District Attorney within and for the County of. Clark, State of Nevada, in the name and by the authority of the State of Nevada, informs the Court:

That ANDRE DUPREE BOSTON the defendant above named, between October 1, 1983 and November 14, 1983, at and within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, contrary to the form, force and effect of statutes in such cases made and provided, and against the

18

17

15

16

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

peace and dignity of the State of Nevada,

### COUNT I - Burglary

2

did, on or about October 1, 1983, then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously enter, with intent to commit a felony, to-wit: Sexual Assault, that certain building occupied by BARBARA B. KUKAL and/or KATHLEEN MARIE KUKAL, located at 5010 South Reno Court, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada.

COUNT II - Lewdness With a Minor With Use of a Deadly Weapon

did, on or about October 1, 1983, then and there wilfully, lewdly, unlawfully, and feloniously commit a lewd or lascivious act with the body of KATHLEEN MARIE KUKAL, a child under the age of fourteen years, by fondling her upper thigh near her vagina, with the intent of arousing, appealing to, or gratifying the lust, passions, or sexual desires of said defendant, or said child, said defendant using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knife, during the commission of said crime.

### COUNT III - Assault With a Deadly Weapon

did, on or about October 1, 1983, coupled with the present ability, wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously attempt to commit a violent injury, with use of a deadly weapon, upon the person of another, to-wit: BARBARA B. KUKAL, by brandishing a knife at, and threatening the said BARBARA B. KUKAL with said knife.

COUNT IV - Battery With Intent to Commit a Crime With Use of a Deadly Weapon

did, on or about October 1, 1983, then and there wilfully, unlawfully and feloniously use force and violence upon the person of another, to-wit: KATHLEEN MARIE KUKAL, with intent to commit Sexual Assault, by holding a knife against the neck of the said

KATHLEEN MARIE KUKAL, said knife touching her neck and by fondling her upper thigh near her vagina, defendant using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knife, during the commission of said crime. COUNT V - First Degree Kidnapping With Use of a Deadly Weapon

did, on or about November 14, 1983, wilfully, unlawfully feloniously, and without authority of law, seize, confine, inveigle, entice, decoy, abduct, conceal, kidnap, or carry away ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, a human being, with the intent to hold or detain the said ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL against her will and without her consent, for the purpose of committing sexual assault and robbery upon the said ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, said defendant using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knife, during the commission of said crime.

COUNT VI - Sexual Assault With Use of a Deadly Weapon.

did, on or about November 14, 1983, then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: sexual intercourse, by inserting his penis in the vagina of the said ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, against her will, defendant using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knife, during the commission of said crime.

COUNT VII - Sexual Assault With Use of a Deadly Weapon

did, on or about November 14, 1983, then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: fellatio, by inserting his penis in the mouth of the said ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, against her will, defendant using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knife, during the commission of said crime.

COUNT VIII - Sexual Assault With Use of a Deadly Weapon

did, on or about November 14, 1983, then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: sexual intercourse, by inserting his penis in the vagina of the said ANELA NICOLE KUKAL, against her will, defendant using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knife, during the commission of said crime.

COUNT IX - Sexual Assault With Use of a Deadly Weapon

did, on or about November 14, 1983, then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: sexual intercourse, by inserting his penis in the vagina of the said ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, against her will, defendant using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knife, during the comission of said crime COUNT X - Sexual Assault With Use of a Deadly Weapon

did, on or about November 14, 1983, then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: anal intercourse, by inserting his penis in the anal opening of the said ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, against her will, defendant using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knife, during the commission of said crime.

COUNT XI - Sexual Assault With Use of a Deadly Weapon

did, on or about November 14, 1983, then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: sexual intercourse, by inserting his penis in the vagina of the

said ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, against her will, defendant using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knife, during the commission of said crime.

COUNT XII - Sexual Assault With Use of a Deadly Weapon

did, on or about November 14, 1983, then and there wilfully unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: sexual intercourse, by inserting his penis in the vagina of the said ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, against her will, defendant using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knife, during the commission of said crime.

COUNT XIII - Robbery With Use of a Deadly Weapon

did, on or about November 14, 1983, then and there wilfully unlawfully, and feloniously take personal property, to-wit: lawful money of the United States, from the person of ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, or in her presence, by means of force or violence, or fear of injury to, and without the consent and against the will of the said ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, said defendant using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knife, during the commission of said crime.

a Crime with Use of a Deadly Wispon did, on or about November 14, 1983, then and there wilfully unlawfully, and feloniously attempt to prevent or dissuade a

victim of a crime, to-wit: ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL, from reporting a crime, to-wit: Sexual Assault, Robbery and First Degree Kidnap-ping, to a Peace Officer, by threatening to kill and/or have

. . .

•

2 3 4 5 6 8 10 BECHTOL, D. LYMPD P#1807 11 BECKWITH, G. 12 LVMPD P#328 13 COOK, T. LVMPD P#2545 14 GOOD, R. 15 LVMPD P#806 16 GIVENS, LVMPD P#1575 17 HEFNER, KENNETH 18 LVMPD P#2185 19 KINGSBURY, N. -LVMPD P#1107 20 KUKAL, ANGELA -21 5010 S. Reno Las Vegas, Nv. 22 KUKAL, BARBARA ... 23 5010 S. Reno Las Vegas, Nv. 24 KUKAL, KATHLEEN -25 5010 S. Reno Las Vegas, Nv. 26

McLAUGHLIN, M.

LVMPD P#541

27

28

killed her and/or her entire family if the police came after him, said defendant using a deadly weapon, to-wit: a knife, during the commission of said crime.

> REX BELL DISTRICT ATTORNEY

> > Deputy District Attorney

The names of witnesses known to the District Attorney's

Office at the time of filing this Information are as follows:

SAMOLOVITCH, J. LVMPD P#81

TRUSZKOWSKI, H. LVMPD P#1424

BLAIR, B. LVMPD

CARPENTER, DET. Monrovia Police Dept. Monrovia, California

CONNELLY, FRANK Monrovia Police Dept. Monrovia, California

> COYNE, T. LVMPD

CRAWFORD, DET. STEVE San Luis Obispo Sheriff's Dept.

FALVEY, JEAN 1916 Houston Las Vegas, Nv.

- FORSBERG, RICHARD 5059 S. Reno Ct. Las Vegas, Nv.

HOOD, G. LVMPD

- HOWARD, NURSE 6\* University Medical Center 1800 W. Charleston Blvd. Las Vegas, Nv.

18

19

**2**0

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

JETT, R. LVMPD

LABOSSIERE, LARRY 1916 Houston Las Vegas, Nv.

LOZICH, T. LVMPD

MATVEY, J. LVMPD

MC GINESS, D. LVMPD P#2424

MC WILLIAMS, J. Monrovia Police Dept. Monrovia, California

PEARCE, C. LVMPD

POWELL, B. Monrovia Police Dept. Monrovia, California

PROCK, OFFICER Monrovia Police Dept. Monrovia, California

SHARP, AUDRA C/O Monrovia Police Dept. Monrovia, California

SKARPHO, DET. Westminister Police Dept. Westminister, California

SPENCER, JAMES -2963 Berman Las Vegas, Nv.

ST. PIERRE, CAROLE - 5060 S. Reno Ct. Las Vegas, Nv.

ST. PIERRE, LAUREL 5060 S. Reno Ct. Las Vegas, Nv.

WILLIAMS, LISA 5056 Turner #2 Las Vegas, Nv.

Lesta Thy Recognity of Landa (200 88

CZUMFT, DR.
University Medical Center
1800 W. Charleston Blvd.
Las Vegas, Nv.

CANNADY, WAYNE 240 W. Huntington Arcadia, California

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA HAR 17 4 47 PH 189 Another American Case No. C84650 STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff, Dept. No. V VS. Transcript of Proceedings ANDRE DUPREE BOSTON, 10 Defendant. 11 BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN F. MENDOZA, DISTRICT JUDGE 12 JURY TRIAL 13 MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 12, 1988 TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 1988 14 WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 14, 1988 THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 1988 15 16 APPEARANCES: 17 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: R. Bloxham, Deputy District 18 Attorney 19 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: J. Fadgèn, Esq 20 Shirlee Christofferson SPECIAL RECORDER: 21 Special Recorder/Transcriber 22 23 24 25 26 27

## INDEX

2	NAME	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
3	STATE'S WITNESSES				•
4	Kathy Kukal	14	26	38	39
- 1	Barbara Atkinson	43	54	<b></b> -	. <b></b>
5	Angela Kukal	60	99	107	113
-	i -			114.	
6	Charles W. Zumpft	125	<del></del> -	<b>→-</b>	
1	Frankie Howard	131			<del></del>
71	Richard Forsberg	139			
١.	Carol L. St. Pierre	143	149	154	
8	James H. Spencer	154	157	159	
	Nancy Kingsbury	160	₩++	- بيوسو	
9	Audra Sharp	175	196	199	
- 1	Anthony Lozich	201		•- <del></del>	
10	Harold Truszkowski	206	232	, e p.s.	<b></b> ·
~~	Richard G. Good, Sr.	235	241	241	~~
11	Terry L. Cook	242	285	291	295
	Terry D. Cook	276		296	
12	Wayne B. Cannady	296			, <b></b> -
	Mark Carpenter	306 ·	325	•	. ==
13	Steven Crawford	327			
	Michael McLaughlin	339	354	356	357
14	]			· .	

a

# EXHIBITS

- 11						
2		EXHIBI	T S		•	
-		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		•, ,•	
3			_			
- 2					:	
	DESCRIPT:	TON.			RECEIVE	D
4	DESCRIPT.	LON:				_
اء						
5	STATE	ı c				
أم	PIALD	<del></del>			•	
6	ļ <sub>1</sub>	Diagram			21	-
7	2	Diagram		· · · · · · · · ·	48	
- ' ]	3	Diagram	•	e erize z	63	
8	4	Evidence envelope		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	275	•
ျ	4A	Jeans	•		275	•
اہ	4B	Sweater	•		275	
9	4C	Bra	•		275	
40	4D	Panties			275	
10	4E	Sox			275	
44	5	Evidence envelope	٠.		359	٠.
11	5A	Evidence envelope	•		359	,
46	5B	Evidence envelope			359	٠.
12	5C	Bandanna			359	
+0	6	Evidence envelope			318,	359
13	3	Evidence envelope	•		318,	
	6A 6A1	Camouflage pants			318,	
14	<b>b</b>	Evidence envelope			318,	
	6B			• • •	318.	
15	6B1	Camouflage shirt	•		275	300
	7	Evidence envelope Plastic case with slides		•	275	
16	7A   7B	Vial			275	
407	7°C	Vial			275	
17	7D	Vial (blood)			275	
40	7E	Evidence envelope			275	
18	7E1	Head hair sample			275	
40	7E2	Pubic hair sample		••	275	
19		Combed pubic hair sample		• • •	275	
~~	7E3 7E4	Vial			275	•
20	F _			•	166	-
٠,	8 8A-8F	Evidence envelope Photographs		•	166	
21	8G	Photographs			167	
00	9	Evidence envelope		33	168	
22	9A-9H	Photographs			168	•
Gp.	10	Evidence envelope	•		169	
23	10A-10I	Photographs			169	
94	10A-101	Evidence envelope			275	,
24	11A-11D	Plastic baggies containing	tissu	28	275	
ge	11A-11D	Evidence envelope	~ ~ · · · · · · ·		275	•
25	12A-12E	Plastic baggies containing	tissue	≘ <b>s</b>	275	
90	15	Photo line-up			354	•
26	16	Vehicle registration	•		201	- •
27	1 -	- CHACAC TONITORS CONT.	•	•		
~/	1			•		

- <del>-</del> [			
2	EXHIBIT		RECEIVED
3	17	Marriage license	201
4	18 19	Marriage certificate Evidence envelope	201 209
- 1	19A-19F	Photographs	-209
5	20 20A	Evidence envelope	294 294
6	202	Hair samples Evidence envelope	229
- 1		Photographs	229
7	23 23A-23G	Evidence envelope Plastic bags containing vacuum sweepings	294 294
8	24	Evidence envelope	. 294
	24A	Tissue box	294
9	25 25A	Evidence envelope Plastic bag containing tissues	294 294
10	26	Evidence envelope	295
11	26A 27	Plastic bag containing tape Evidence envelope	295 295
**	27A	Plastic bag containing tape	295
12	27B	Plastic bag containing tape	295
13	28 28A~28B	Evidence envelope Plastic bag containing rolls of tape	295 295
	29	Car mat	294
14	30	Evidence envelope	359 359
15	30A-300 30Q-30S	Photographs Photographs	359
ł	31	Evidence envelope	345
16	31A 32	Card and tape Evidence envelope	345 294
17	33	Consent to search	313
10	34	Consent to search	313 313
18	35	Consent to search	. 313
19		· ·	
20	DEFEN	<u>SE</u>	
•		:	
21	A-C	Photographs	234
22			
23			•
24			
25			•
26		• •	
27			
99		•	

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 12, 1988

(AFTER VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY THE COURT AND COUNSEL,

TWELVE JURORS AND TWO ALTERNATE JURORS WERE SELECTED,

DULY SWORN, AND SEATED IN JURY BOX)

### OPENING STATEMENT

#### BY MR. BLOXHAM:

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, the jury selection process is completed. You're seated now as the jurors in this case with two alternate jurors. Judge Mendoza has explained to you the process, or the procedure that will now be followed. I'm given an opportunity to address you in an Opening Statement. Defense counsel is given an opportunity to address you and the evidence portion of the trial occurs.

Witnesses are going to testify. You're going to see diagrams of various areas. You're going to have photographs of various areas. You're going to have items of clothing. You're going to have items taken from a vehicle. You're going to have criminalistic's work where a vaginal swab was taken and an anal swab was taken and an oral swab. Blood work was done. There's a number of items that are going to be compared. We're going to have witnesses coming in here and explain what these all mean. You'll have these items of evidence. You'll also have the testimony from the witnesses from the stand.

The Opening Statement, as Judge Mendoza stated, is to give us an opportunity to explain to you, basically, what happened. You're going to get the evidence in bits and pieces.

28

Witnesses' schedules don't match up. For example, Dr. Zumpft is only available for a certain period. So, I'm going to have to break my line of witnesses at that point and put him on out of order. We have some detectives from California who are going to have to testify on Wednesday. That's the only time they're available. Things like that. So, by explaining this to you in an Opening Statement, the story, you'll be able to plug in, hopefully, the witnesses testimony where it goes properly.

After the evidence portion of the trial, Judge Mendoza explained that you will be instructed as to what the law is and then both parties will be given an opportunity to address you in Closing Argument. And then the case will be your's to decide and a decision to render.

The evidence in this case will show that on October 1st, 1983, the Kukal, that's K-u-k-a-1, family resided at 5010 Reno Court here in Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada. Gerald is the father. Barbara was the stepmother. Kathy was twelve Angela was fifteen years old. Early morning hours years old. of October 1st, 1983, Kathy was in her bedroom. She had her. She was awakened about 4:00 or, pardon me, about own bedroom. 4:30 in the morning and there was a black male intruder in her bedroom. The black male intruder came over, told her to lay back down. She'd sat up in the bed. He told her to lay back down. He put the blanket over her head. And the -- he pushed her down, he put the covers over her head and he went to her

1 dr 2 dr 3 hr 4 ar 5 po 6 kr 7 th 8 hr 9 mr 10 t

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

dresser. And she could hear her rummaging around in her dresser. She sat up again. He came back over to her. He put his hand on her thigh. She was wearing a nightgown and panties and he moved his hand up toward her vaginal area. At that point, the testimony will show, that she pulled away. He put a knife to her throat. She could feel the knife, she could see the knife. He touched her again in this general area. She heard a noise outside of her door. She was told by this black male intruder, "Shut up". He got away from the bed and went to the door. She could hear her stepmother outside and she said, "Barb", that's Kathy saying, "Barb".

Barbara was outside. She had gotten up. She had gone into the kitchen. She heard Kathy call her name. She went back over to Kathy's room, turned on the hall switch. And she said, "Kath?" And tried the door. This male intruder was on the other side of the door, holding the door. And kind of struggled at that door.

The door then was flung open by the male intruder and he had a knife in his hand. He brandished the knife. Barbara Kukal was accosted at that point, and she noticed that this person was completely nude. He ran past her, jumped up on the counter in the kitchen and went out the open window, out, and got away. They reported it to the police.

Six weeks later, approximately, November 14th, 1983, Angela, the fifteen-year old sister of Kathy, was leaving the house to go to school. She was a student at Valley High

4

. . . /.

School. She would walk from her home to the bus stop, catch the bus and go to school. It's a Monday morning. She's carrying her gym clothes in her gym bag. She has a purse. She has a book, geometry book, and some paper--papers, some materials.

She was walking down Reno Court, down by the St. Pierre's house, and as she was walking along, a black man came from the bushes. He was wearing a dark bandanna across his face with white stars on the bandanna. He had a knife in his right hand. He was wearing camouflage pants. He put the knife to Angela's throat and he told her to shut up. He took her to a side yard which is near the St. Pierre's house. And he took her on the side yard by the bushes. He ordered her to take her pants off or he—and he told her he would cut them off if she didn't. She pulled her pants down, including her panties. He touched her vaginal area. And then Angela heard a noise.

Unbeknownst to her, a neighbor, by the name of Richard Forsberg, had come out of his house to go to work. He worked at the post office. He usually left about 6:30 in the morning. He walked out of his house to open his garage and his dog ran across the street, barking. So, he kind of walked over there and he could see what's going on on the side yard where the defendant is attacking Angela.

Mr. Forsberg went back in the house and phoned the police. However, the defendant saw Mr. Forsberg so he had Angela pull her pants up and he put tape over her eyes, black

tape. He then removed her from the area, went around to another area called Newport Cove, and placed her in a car.

But, let's go back to Mr. Forsberg. Mr. Forsberg calls the police. The police respond to the area. Mr. Forsberg comes back out. The people are gone that he observed there. The police go over there. They find a geometry book and they find the paperwork that's been left there. You'll have photographs of this particular—these particular items. The police examine these items and discover they belong to Angela. They go to Angela's house at 5010 Reno Court and notify the parents.

The police then begin looking for Angela. They assume she's been abducted, which she has been. A number of units respond. They begin searching door-to-door. They seal off an area. They check vacant lots. They check vacant houses. They call in for the helicopter which is down. They call in the canine units. And they begin searching for Angela.

The defendant has taken Angela around to Newport

Cover. He has tape on her eyes and he places the bandanna from

his face over her eyes on top of the tape. He forces her into

the vehicle. And he drives from that area.

As he's driving from the area, he remarks to her, does she remember him breaking into her house, into her bedroom, about a month before? She says, "No". She tries to mislead him. She says, "That must have been our neighbor's house. They had a break-in." She doesn't want to give him the facts.

Well, he tells her she won't be when he's done with her. He drives her to a desert area where he forces her to undress and he sexually assaults her in this desert area. Referring to her vagina, he calls her pussy real tight and he might have to loosen it up. He sexually assaults her. She feels a tremendous amount of pain. She's in the back seat of this car. Her head in on the right side of the vehicle. Her feet are on the left side and, because of the pain, she pushes on the window on the left, causing, as she believes, the clasp to give way, and, perhaps, break the clasp of this left rear window. Angela is bleeding heavily.

The defendant, after he removes his penis from her vagina, takes tissue—now, she's blindfolded, but yet she can still see somewhat underneath this blindfold. She can see down. She doesn't see tissue, but she hears him drawing some tissue out of a box in the back seat on the right side. Because that's where her head is. He orders her to clean herself up, her vaginal area. She does, with the tissue. He forces her to commit oral sex on him, forces her to commit sexual intercourse again, and, ultimately drives from this particular area. She is in the back seat without clothing. As he drives from this area, he throws a coat for her to put on.

As he's driving, he asks her questions about her family. She lies. She says, "I have two brothers, ages one and five". "Where do they go to school? Where are they?"

Well, she lies. She says they're in a child care center called Captain Kid's. He threatens her family. He asks further questions about her family. Well, "They work at", she lies again, "casinos", whatever, a maid, a cook, at a casino. And he threatens that he can have these people killed with a phone call. He has friends who will do the killing for him.

He drives to another area. He stops again where he commits oral—or has her—forces her to commit oral sex on him, fellatio, sodomy, sexual intercourse, and, ultimately permits her to dress after these acts of sexual intercourse. There's threats throughout this time.

He drives to another area where he says he has to use the phone. She sits in the car. Whether or not he's just watching to see if she moves or not. He then comes back to the car, drives to another area. He goes through her purse. He makes comments. She can't see him going through her purse, but she can hear him. And the comments he's making such as, "Oh, these are neat pictures", because she has some pictures in her purse of one of her friend and she'd gotten her school pictures.

The money—she has money in her purse. He asks, "Well, how come you have so much money?" She had like \$25.00 or \$30.00, her lunch money for the month. That's gone after she is ultimately released.

He makes other comments about her class schedule so he knows where she is. He can come and get her, he threatens, at

any time. He tells her to brush her hair. She brushes her hair, and unintentionally, takes the hair out of the brush and puts it on the back floorboard, which is important because it's found later.

He ultimately takes her to an area where she is released. It's by Berman and Palma Vista over by Valley High School. As he's driving around, though, he says, "I can't take you back by your house because there's too many police cars there." Apparently, he drove by and saw some of the police units. "I can't take you over to the school because you'll go in and tell the security guard and they may see me". Things like that.

So, he takes her to another area and drops her off near Valley High School, tells her to walk forward, take the tape off. She does. She starts walking, takes the tape off and goes to a neighbor's house, not a neighbor, some people in the general area that she knew a couple of blocks away called the Suitors—the Suitors. There, they attempt to call her parents. They finally do get through because the police are on the phone. They finally got an emergency call through.

The police and her mom, stepmom, brought her-hurry over to the Suitors where the police then take Angela to the hospital, iniversity Medical Center, at that time, Southern Nevada Memorial Hospital, where a rape kit is done on her. And you'll hear what a rape kit is, a sexual assault kit where they take a vaginal swab, rectal swab, oral swab, blood, things like

that.

The vaginal swab, you'll hear from Terry Cook, from the crime lab. He'll tell you that that vaginal swab--it's like a Q-tip, they just do a swab, is very high in acid phosphatase, which is indicative of seminal fluid. You'll hear that the anal swab, the rectal swab, is very high in acid phosphatase, again, indicative of seminal fluid.

You'll hear that the oral swab is not high in anything, which is not surprising because the mouth cleanses itself so fast. Within thirty minutes, you're not going to find evidence of acid phosphatase because of the cleansing that goes on in the mouth.

The clothing of Angela is taken. That is impounded. You'll have that. Terry Cook analyses that and he says that the jeans in the crotch area is very high in acid phosphatase, again, indicative of seminal fluid and blood, ABO "A", which is the blood type of Angela. The panties, the same thing, high in Acid phosphatase, ABO "A".

The hairs--or, pardon me, the tape that is across her face is analyzed, there's four hairs on it. They are consistent with Angela's hair. Angela shows the police where she was released over on Berman and Palma Vista. the police find four tissues in the gutter and the curb there. They impound them.

This is Nancy Kingsbury of the criminalistics lab is called out by Mike McLaughlin. He's the detective that took Angela to the hospital, drives her back. Mr. McLaughlin has

her show him where Angela was released. They call in Nancy Kingsbury, who is the—her job is to go recover evidence. She picks up those four pink tissues from the area of Berman and Palma Vista. Mr. Cook analyzes those, finds nothing. A third one he finds high in acid phosphatase, again, indicative of seminal fluid. On the fourth one he finds high in acid phosphatase and a blood group, ABO "A", which is consistent with Angela. The defendant's blood is ABO "O".

б

Now, Angela, then, is blindfolded by Detective McLaughlin and asked to direct him in searching for the crime scene where she was sexually assaulted. She directs him to almost to the area where they ultimately find some more evidence.

They then, the next day, scour this area in the desert and find five more tissues, two yellow tissues, three pink tissues. The yellow tissues have nothing on them of evidentiary value. The three pink tissues, high in acid phosphatase, high in seminal fluid, with an "A" and "H" blood grouping on two of them.

Angela describes the car. Stick shift, blue/gray/green interior, more blue than green, bucket seats. She gives a full statement to Mr.McLaughlin, which is tape recorded, typed up and signed on November 15th, 1983.

Now, you will hear testimony that on December 6th, 1983, the defendant-by the way, you'll have a certified copy of a marriage license and a marriage certificate for the

defendant, Andre Boston. It was taken out here in Clark County, Nevada, on November 9th, 1983. He was sixteen years old. He was marrying a woman by the name of Marie Butler.

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Oddly enough, Marie Butler has a car registered to her at 1916 Houston here in Las Vegas, Nevada. The police, in checking on Marie Butler, discover that she has an address of 8711 Thorpe in Westminster, California.

The defendant is developed as the person who committed these crimes. And the police go to that location. They have developed information that he was here in Las Vegas in November. He was marrying a woman who had a car registered to 1916 Houston and that woman also had a home address in Westminster on Thorpe Avenue.

So, they go to Thorpe Avenue and there's the car. And In fact, there's the defendant, and there's Marie Butler. they're driving the car from that location when they're The police search 18--or pardon me. stopped. They search the Thorpe residence, 8711 Thorpe. They find camouflage pants. Additionally, a bandanna is recovered. They search the car. They find in the back seat of the car They search the car. registered to Marie Butler, a box--a kleenex box. They find three tissues wadded up. These tissues are analyzed by Terry He finds high in acid phosphatase, blood grouping, "A" Cook. and "H", just as the tissues from the release site and crime site.

The floor mat in the back of this car, which is

searched on December 9th, 1983, by Mr. McLaughlin and Hank Truszkowski from the crime lab. They go down there and physically search the car. The rear mat of the car has blood on it, ABO "A", which is consistent with Angela's, not with the defendant's, but with Angela's.

There is various rolls of tape in this car. They recover some tape and Richard Good, over in the crime lab, takes the tape from the car and then tape from Angela's head and puts them together. They're a match in width, thickness, texture, and color.

There's a number of hairs found in the rear of the car. As we mentioned, Angela combed her hair and left her hair there. Terry Cook examines these hairs which are recovered by Hank Truszkowski, and a number of them—a number of them are consistent with hairs from Angela's head.

Ladies and gentlemen, you'll hear a lot of witnesses testify. You'll hear, further, that a photo line-up is then put together with six particular people in the line up. The defendant is number 2. His picture is number 2. The photo line-up is shown to Barbara Kukal, the mother. She picks number 2 as the man that was in her house on October 1st, 1983. It's shown to Kathy Kukal. She identifies number 2 as the man who was in her house, in her bedroom, on October 1st. The picture is shown to Angela. Angela identifies number 2, the defendant, as the man who kidnapped her and raped her on November 14th, 1983.

The same photographic line-up is shown to a neighbor, Carol St. Pierre. Carol St. Pierre says--picks number 2 and says, "That man was in that neighborhood before Angela was abducted. I saw him in that neighborhood before Angela was abducted."

you'll hear from a number of witnesses, ladies and gentlemen. At the conclusion of this trial, if the State has met it's burden of proof beyond a reasonable doubt, we will be asking you to return the proper verdict. Thank you.

THE COURT: Counsel?

MR. FADGEN: Your Honor, I respectfully reserve my Opening Statement at this time.

THE COURT: Alright, you may, sir.

Call your first witness.

MR. BLOXHAM: Your Honor, the State would call Kathy Kukal.

KATHLEEN KUKAL

(Was called as a witness, duly sworn, and testified as follows:)

DIRECT EXAMINATION

### BY MR. BLOXHAM:

- Q Please state your name and spell your last name for the Court.
  - A Kathy Kukal, K-u-k-a-l.
  - Q And how old are you, Kathy, at this time?
  - A I'm seventeen.
  - Q And what's your date of birth?
- A January 20th, 1971.

14

23

24

25

26

Now, Kathy, I want to direct your attention to October 1st, 1983, a Saturday, where did you live? 2 5010 Reno Court. 3 And is that a house or an apartment? It's a house. 5 And is that located here in Las Vegas, Clark County, 6 7 Nevada? Α Yes, it is. And at that time, would that have made you twelve 9 10 years if we go back? 11 A Yes, I was twelve at that time. 12 Who else lived there at that time? My father, Gerald C. Kukal, my mother, Barbara Kukal. 13 Okay, now is that your stepmother or your mother? 14 Q **15** My stepmother. A Is she also known now by Atkinson as the last name? 16 Now she's Atkinson. She remarried. 17 Ά Please continue. Who else lived there? 18 Q 19 And my sister, Angela Kukal. A Now, I want to direct your attention to about 4:00 to 20 Q 5:00 a.m. on October 1st, 1983. Where were you at? 21 22 I was in my bedroom. A And did you share a bedroom, or did you sleep with 23 24 your own bedroom--in your own bedroom? No, at that time, it was my -- I mean, I was the only 25 26 one that had that bedroom. 27

my head and told me he would be gone soon.

- Q And how were you dressed at that time?
- A I was wearing a nightgown and panties.
- Q Were you wearing a bra?
- A No.
- Q What happened next, then? He placed--or he made you lay down. Is that correct?
  - A Yes.
  - Q What happened next?
- A I heard him going over my things on the dresser. And then, he came over and was kneeling by the side of my bed and placed his hand under the covers and moved his hand along my thigh.
- Q was this on your bare skin, or did you have a nightgown that covered--was this on the outside of your nightgown?
- A It was on the outside of my--I mean, on the inside of my nightgown, under my nightgown.
  - Q Can you, perhaps, stand down here and show us?
- MR. BLOXHAM: Your Honor, could we have the witness show us where he placed his hand?

THE COURT: Alright, you may.

- Q Could you stand down here in front of the jury, perhaps? And, on your own body, take your right hand, perhaps, and show us where he placed his hand and what he did with it.
  - A Right about here (witness standing in front of jury,

Um-hum. And--Α 2 You have to say "yes" or "no", Q Yes, I'm sorry. A And then he's at the door and the door is closed. that correct? 5 6 Α Yes. What happened then? 0 Barb pushed the door open and he pushed it back at 9 her. 10 And what, if anything, happened after this pushing 11 open and back? He opened the door. Well, he opened the door, ran 12 13 out, and--14 So, he left your side--Q 15 --showed a knife. Α 16 --is that correct? 0 17 Α Yes, he was--18 Q Did you see Barbara anywhere? I saw her in the hallway, the hallway light was on. 19 Α Did you seen that black male adult -- or that black male 20 21 intruder any more that morning? 22 Α No, I did not. And did there come a time when the police came in the 23 24 morning hours? 25 Yes. Did you describe this individual for the police? 26 27

AA 000045

28

around on it.

Q Did you keep the covers on your head while he was at the dresser?

- A Yes.
- Q Did there come a time when you took them down?
- A We--I took them off when he came back around here and placed his hand on my thigh. I took them off and sat up.
- Q Now, did you--were you able to look at that individual at these times?
  - A Yes.
- Q Is there any light that comes through your back yard?
  I assume this is your back yard where the window is. Or is it a front yard?
- A Right about--this is the back yard, right here. And right back here, right behind the back yard is a church which has light in the parking lot and that makes our back yard very light.
- Q And then, to continue with the questioning, the individual--when you heard a noise, where did the individual go?
  - A Right here (pointing).
  - Q Is that where the door pushing occurred?
  - A Yes.
- Q Thank you. If you'd take the witness stand, please (witness returns to stand).

Now, I want to address, or direct your attention,

didn't you?

- A Yes.
- Q Which picture did you pick?
- A The second one.
- Q Kathy, looking around the Courtroom today, do you see the same individual that was in your room on October 1st, 1983?
  - A Yes, I do.
- Q Could you tell us where he's seated and what he's wearing, please?
- A He's sitting right over there (witness pointing) and wearing a blue shirt.
  - Q Is he wearing glasses?
  - A No, he is not.
- MR. BLOXHAM: May the record reflect the witness has identified the defendant as the intruder in her bedroom on October 1st, 1983, Your Honor?
  - THE COURT: The record may so show.
  - Q Is this the same person that displayed the knife?
  - A Yes, it is.
- Q Were you able to see the knife, or feel the knife, or how do you know there was a knife there?
- A I got the sight of it, not a very good one, and I felt the metal on my neck.
- Q Did you give anyone permission to enter your home on that day?
  - A No, I did not.

26

1	Q	Did you g	ive the	defendant	permission	to touch	ı your
2	body on	that day?					
3	Ą	No.			٠.		
4	Ω	Do you kno	w the de	fendant?	:		
5	A	Not at all	•				
6	MR.	BLOXHAM: P	ass the	witness, Y	our Honor.		
7	THE	COURT: Cro	ss?				
8	MR.	FADGEN: Th	ank you,	Your Hono	r.		
9			CROS	S EXAMINAT	ION		
10	BY MR. F	ADGEN:					
11	· Q	Now, Kathy	, this	incident h	appened abo	ut 4:00 i	n the
12	morning.	Is that c	orrect?				
13	A	Yes, it is	•				
14	2	And it hap	pened in	1983. Is	that correc	st?	. ` .
15	. A	Yes.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
16	Q	And there	was no	there we	re no ligh	ts on in	your
17	bedroom,	were there	, at 4:0	0?			
18	A	At 4:00 in	the mor	ning?			
19	Q	In the mor	ning.				
20	A	No.				•	
21	Ω	And when	ou firs	t saw this	person in	your room	ı, you
22	say that	he was at	the door	way. Is t	hat correct?	,	
23	A	Yes.	•	•		• •	
24		And the do	or was c	losed, was	it not?		
25	A	When I fir					
26	Q	Yes.	-			• •	- 14.0 - 14.0
27							
-			26	5	• •		

28

What's

About here (pointing). 2 Oh, okay. And that's just below the hip. Is that Q 3 correct? No, my hip is way up here (pointing). Α Okay. You can take the stand. 5 (witness returns to stand) And he put in under your nightie. Is that correct? A It was under my nightgown, yes. 8 Okay. Was your nightgown already up, or was--did he 9 10 pull it up or ---He didn't pull it up. 11 A Did he reach under or was it already up? Do you know? 12 Q 13 A It was--it had a slip on the leg, so, it might have--14 it was relatively easy for him to put his hand--15 Okay. You felt the hand about here (pointing) then--16 A little lower. Α A little lower than that? 17 Q 18 Yes. A 19 Tell me when to stop. Q 20 Right there. A 21 Q Right there. And then he went what way, straight 22 across? 23 Α No. 24 He went up this way (pointing)? Q 25 Α Yes. 26 He never touched your vagina, did he? Q 27

AA 000053

26

27

28

And, again, you didn't tell the police that?

when you first saw him, you could tell that he had a bare

## chest? I didn't write it in my statement, but I think I told A them that I had the impression that he could be. Alright, when is the next time that you saw this Q 5 person? Kneeling beside my bed. A Alright. The next time? Q Again, kneeling beside my bed. Α Alright. The next time? Q By the doorway with the hall light on. 10 Α When you said that Barb was trying to open the door, 11 12 and he was trying to keep it closed. 13 The next time I saw him was when he turned around and A 14 told me to shut up. Okay. And where--where was this person at the time he 15 16 told you to shut up when he turned? 17 He was at the doorway. Α He was here (pointing)? 18 Q 19 A Yes. 20 And you still couldn't tell whether he was naked? 21 that--

A I was only looking at the top half of him.

Q And then when the pushing of the door was taking place, you couldn't tell whether he was naked. Isn't that true?

A Yes.

27

22

23

24

25

26

AA 000056

AA 000057

## shaven? A Q

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

181

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- A I remember saying that.
- Q Okay. You remember--
- A I remember you asking me if he was clean shaven.
- Q Now, do you remember me asking--
- A And I said--
- Q --you--I'm sorry, that's page 26.
- A I remember you asking me if he was clean shaven.
- Q Do you remember me asking you, "Did he have a beard, or did he have a moustache?" And you saying, "No, he was clean shaven"?
  - A Yes.
- Q Okay. Well, as you look back now, was that person clean shaven, or did he have a moustache, or did he have a beard?
  - A He had a moustache.
- Q And you previously testified at the Preliminary Hearing that the person was clean shaven and that was on July 26th, 1988. Is that right?
  - A Yes.
- Q Now, if I understand your testimony, you never saw a knife. Is that correct?
- A I think I did see the knife vaguely, but not--I knew it was a knife and I saw it very vaguely.
- Q Well, why don't you tell me, and I know it's been quite a while ago, but, at what point in time did you see what

27

you thought might be a knife?

I knew it was a knife by the way it felt,

- Well, I'm asking you--
- And I saw it as he brought it to my neck.
- Alright. And what kind of knife was it? Was it a table knife?
  - It was -- it was most likely a kitchen knife that had--
- Now, I'm not asking--I'm not asking "most likely". What--what did it appear to be?
- It appeared to be a sort of small hunting knife that had ridges along the blade.
  - Okay. Now--
- The handle appeared to be that of a -- similar to that of a hunting knife.
  - You could see the handle?
  - I could see the wood of it.
- Okay. Now, at this point, the intruder is kneeling down by your bed. Is that correct?
  - Yes.
- And this is before he puts his hand under the covers. Is that correct?
  - A Yes.

23

24

**2**5

26

27

- And was he kneeling by your head, was he kneeling by your hips, was he kneeling by your feet at this point?
  - By my hips.

Q

By your hips. Okay. And which hand held the knife?

Q Thank you.

MR. BLOXHAM: Nothing further, Your Honor.

## RECROSS EXAMINATION

## BY MR. FADGEN:

Q Could you tell me what kind of knife was missing, Kathy?

A I don't know the brand.

Q No, I mean, was it a butter knife, a table knife, a steak knife?

A It was a cutting knife, the type that you would use to cut anything that you would need.

Q Like a steak knife would be?

A No, not exactly, I don't think. Well, along that lines, but more in the -- you could use it for, like vegetables and that sort, to cut through.

MR. FADGEN: No further questions.

MR. BLOXHAM: No redirect, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You're excused.

Your next witness was--

MR. BLOXHAM: The State would call Barbara Kukal, also known as Atkinson.

THE COURT: Counsel, we're going to take a brief recess at this time.

During this recess, you're admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial, or read, watch, or listen to any

39

27

23

24

25

w: o: u:

report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspaper, television, and radio, or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

We'll be in recess for fifteen minutes. Al, would you keep them separate from around this particular area, either take them to 111 or one of the other areas. We'll stand in recess.

(At the hour of 3:30 p.m., the Court recessed until 3:40 p.m.)

(The following proceedings were held outside

the presence of the jury)

THE COURT: The juror's name is--

THE CLERK: Kimberly Ann Brown.

THE COURT: Kimberly Ann Brown, I have your note that says, "Please excuse me. I need to leave and pick up my son and take him to school with me. I go to school from 3:00 p.m. until 10:00 and mother needs her car so that I can go to work at 4:30." Can you tell me why in God's name you didn't tell us that when we were going through all the process of selecting you as a juror in this case?

JUROR BROWN: I didn't think of it at the time. I--it completely slipped my mind. I didn't know what the proceedings were as a juror because I've never done this before. I thought that it was going to be until about maybe 2:00 or 3:00 o'clock and then we would get to leave. I didn't really know. And I

tried to say something earlier, but, apparently, I didn't get a chance.

THE COURT: Well, let me just tell you: your ignorance is massive to think that you would be leaving a courtroom at 2:00 in the afternoon. Most offices always remain open from at least 9:00 until 5:00 in the afternoon. This is totally irresponsible. I should hold you on this panel and have you sit through it because this is a very important case. The problem is that you would probably be so upset that you probably wouldn't be worth anything to us or to anyone else. But, I just want to tell you something. You are totally irresponsible. I don't know why I shouldn't fine you or do something else to try to get your attention because it's obvious to me that you just don't seem to care—

JUROR BROWN: I do, Your Honor.

THE COURT: --about what you do. I don't think so.

Because anyone who would requite this from a court and not say,

"Judge, I may have a problem", at least anticipate it.

JUROR BROWN: I tried.

THE COURT: You didn't try at all.

Counsel, what's your feeling on this matter?

MR. BLOXHAM: Your Honor, the State would ask that she be excused. As the Court mentioned, she's not going to pay attention to the procedure, the evidence, or anything else and weigh the matter accordingly, I don't think.

MR. FADGEN: I have no problem, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You're excused, but before you leave this Courtroom, you're going to go upstairs and you're going to be put back on jury duty three months from now and you will serve. Do you understand that? You be prepared to come back to this Courthouse to serve a full jury.

JUROR BROWN: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Alright. Take her back upstairs, Al, or have her go back to room 111 and then she may be released.

The alternate--that's all--the alternate in this case will be--

THE CLERK: William James Johnson.

THE COURT: William James Johnson will be placed in her stead.

Why don't you bring the jury in and have her wait outside the Courtroom and then take her on up.

You want to get your next witness in the Courtroom so we can be ready to proceed?

(The following proceedings were held in the presence of the jury)

THE COURT: Mr. Johnson, would you assume the seat, the sixth seat up there, please?

For the record, ladies and gentlemen, Juror Number 6 has been released from jury duty. And Mr. Johnson has assumed that position.

Alright. Call your witness, sir.

MR. BLOXHAM: The State would call Barbara Atkinson.

_ } }			
1	THE COURT: For the record, gentlemen, do you stipulate to		
2	the presence of the jury?		
3	MR. BLOXHAM: The State would so stipulate.		
4	MR. FADGEN: Yes, Your Honor.		
5	THE COURT: Just come forward. Remain standing.		
6	BARBARA B. ATKINSON		
7	(Was called as a witness, duly sworn, and testified as follows:)		
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION		
9	BY MR. BLOXHAM:		
10	Q Please state your full name and spell your last name		
11	for the Court.		
12			
13			
14			
15	A Yes, I have.		
16	Q Were you married to Gerald Kukal?		
17	A Yes, I was.		
18	Q And you're no longer married to Gerald Kukal?		
19	A No, sir.		
20	Q Ma'am, directing your attention to October 1st, 1983,		
21	did you reside at 5010 Reno Court?		
22	A I did.		
23	Q Is that located here in Clark County, Nevada?		
24	A Yes, it is.		
25	Q Who else resided in that home on that day?		
26	A At that time, it was my husband, Gerald, and two		
	12 134 Cital Campy to man my resource, Caracter and Con-		

- Q And were those stepdaughters to you?
- A Yes.

- Q Directing your attention to the early morning hours of October 1st, 1983, did there come a time when you left your bedroom and went to the kitchen?
  - A Yes, there was.
  - Q Approximately what time was that?
  - A Approximately 4:45 in the morning.
  - Q And as you did this, did anything unusual occur?
- A Yes, I had gone to the kitchen to have a cigarette at the kitchen counter. The next thing I know, I heard my daughter, Kathy, call to me with my name. She called, "Barb", sounding very frightened.
  - Q What, if anything, did you do when you heard this?
- A I went to her doorway. I flipped on the hall light and went to her doorway and called, "Kathy" and tried to open the door. But, it didn't open. It ran into something. And I thought she and I had gotten to the door at the same time. Like she had gotten out of bed to come to the door. I called, "Kathy". No answer. Tried the door again. It didn't--I couldn't get it anywhere. So, I stood there for a second. The next thing I know, the door is flung open. A black male comes out, flashes a knife at my face, runs across the small family room and hops up on the kitchen counter in front of the window and crouches there.

28

through the window and after checking the area you discovered these things?

- A (nods head)
- Q You have to say "yes" or "no" or "maybe" or --
- A Yes, I'm sorry.
- Q Okay. Did you find any doors to the house open?
- A Yes. The --
- Q Which doors?
- A The door into the garage from inside the house was open and the door from the garage to the outside was open. This is not the big overhead door, it's two regular doors.
- Q Now, I assume it was dark outside if it was 4:45 in the morning. Is that correct?
- A Well, yes, it was evening. But, the location of that property we always had light in the back yard.
  - Q And where was the light in the back yard from?
- A It was from the LDS church that was catty-cornered to that lot that the house sat on and there was open desert directly behind the house. So, the lights from the church and parking lot always lighted the north side of the house, which is where the kitchen and all these things are.
- MR. BLOXHAM: Your Honor, may I approach the witness with a diagram?

THE COURT: You may.

Q Showing you State's proposed exhibit 2, can you identify what this is, Barbara?

Yes, this is the floor plan of the house.

A

24

25

26

27

28

had found my daughter's book on the sidewalk.

Q Okay. Did you spend the next couple of hours at the

- A Yes, in fact, the police set up a command post.
- Q Were there a lot of police cars around the house?
- A Quite a few. Probably fourteen or fifteen. And in the area.
- Q Did there come a time when you saw Angela that morning?
  - A Yes, there was.
  - Q And where was that that you saw Angela?
- A It was at the home of one of her very good friends. They had called--Angela had gone there when she had escaped or been dropped off. And we were called and we went up there to see Angela.
  - Q Did you see Angela at this location that you went to?
  - A Yes, I did.
- Q And can you describe Angela's condition when you saw her?
- A She was shocked. She was disturbed. She was upset. She was messed up, I mean, hair and clothing. She was extremely scared. And she was crying.
- Q Did there come a time when you went from that location to the hospital?
- A Yes, Angela and I rode in the car with the detectives to the hospital.

AA 000076

Is that

But, when you went out to have the cigarette, you did: 2 not flick the light on at that point? No. Now, maybe you can help me here with the Okay. diagram. Where is the LDS church on this? From the northeast corner -- the top of that is north. A The top here is north? The northeast corner of the house, the back Right. yard, that meets the corner of the LDS church, like catty-9 It's not right behind it, it's angled. 10 It's catty-cornered. And northeast. Let me--it would 11 0 12 be up here, right (pointing)? 13 A Over further because the yard extended for-It would be over here, right (pointing)? 14 15 Α Yes. 16 Q Okay. Now, as you heard your name called, you came 17 over here and turned on the light. Correct? 18 Yes. Α 19 The hall light? Q 20 Α Yes. 21 And you tried to open the door? Q 22 Right. Α Do you know what your husband was doing at that point 23 Q 24 in time? 25 He had gone to bed. Α 26 Oh, he wasn't -- he did not come out in the hall at any 27 55 28

AA 000078

And would you tell me again what those items were? Q There was a metal drain stopper. 2 A That's one of those--Q Metal, the thing--A Things you put in the sink when you want to fill it? 5 Q 6 A To stop water. Okay. But, it was metal, right? Q Yes. And there was a container of rubber bands. What kind of container? 9 Q 10 It was heavy plastic. A How big was the container, just a circular-11 Q Four inch diameter. 12 Α 13 And what else? Q A soap dish, a container of hand lotion. 14 A How big a container of hand lotion, sixteen ounces? 15 Q 16 Yeah, right. Α 17 Plastic? Q That one was plastic. 18 A 19 Anything else? Q 20 Dixie cups. Α 21 Dixie cups--Q 22 A stack of them. A 23 Anything else? Q Well, there was also a knife missing, but we didn't 24 A 25 realize it at the time. 26 What kind of knife was missing? Q

27

1	A	A Cutco knife that has about a five-inch blade,	
2	serrated	on one side.	
3	Q	It's like a steak knife, is it?	
4	A	It's pointed. It's more like a slicing knife.	
5	MR.	FADGEN: I have no further questions, Your Honor.	
6	MR.	BLOXHAM: No redirect, Your Honor.	
7	тне (	COURT: You may step down.	
8		Call your next witness.	
9	MR.	BLOXHAM: The State would call Angela Kukal.	
10		ANGELA NICOLE KUKAL	
11	(Was call	ed as a witness, duly sworn, and testified as follows:)	
12		DIRECT EXAMINATION	
13	BY MR. BLOXHAM:		
14	Ω	Please state your full name and spell both your first	
15	and last	name for the Court.	
16	A	My name is Angela Nicole Kukal, A-n-g-e-l-a K-u-k-a-l.	
17	Q	Angela, what is your date of birth?	
18	A	5-1-68.	
19	Q	And how old are you at this time?	
20	A	Twenty years old.	
21	Q	Do you attend school?	
22	A	Yes, I do.	
23	Q	Where do you go to school?	
24	A	I go to the University of Nevada, Las Vegas.	
25	Ω	And what year are you in?	
26	A	I'm a sophomore.	
27			

I haven't checked it. But, I'm sure that if MR. FADGEN: that's the representation, I have no problem with that. 2 THE COURT: Alright. You may proceed, sir. 3 Thank you. MR. BLOXHAM: Angela, directing your attention now, to November 5 14th, 1983, did you also reside at 5010 Reno Court? 6 7 A Yes, I did. Were you attending school in November of 1983? 8 Q 9 Α Yes, I was. 10 What school did you attend? Q I went to Valley High School. 11 Α 12 What year were you in school at Valley? Q Sophomore. 13 A How did you get to school during this time period? 14 Q 15 I rode the bus. I want to direct your attention to November 14th, 16 1983, what time did you usually go to school during that time 17 18 period? 19 I usually went at 6:20 in the morning. A On that particular day, did you leave the house at 20 Q 6:20? 21 I was a little later. I was five to 22 Α No, I did not. 23 ten minutes late. So, would that have put it about 6:25, 6:30 in the 24 25 morning? 26 A Yes, it would. 27

1	time?	
2	A	Yes, I did.
3	Ω	Andand
4	A	It's black with little stars on it.
5	Q	Did you describe the color of the stars?
6	A.	It was whitewhite.
7	Q	The stars were white. Approximately how tall was this
8	individu	al?
9	A	Approximately 5'8".
0	Ω	Did he have anything in his hands?
1	A	Yes, he did. He had a knife in his right hand.
2	Ω	Can you describe the knife any more thoroughly?
3	. А	It was like a butcher knife.
•	,	
4	Q	Did you end up spending the next couple of hours in
	Q	
4	Q	Did you end up spending the next couple of hours in
4	Q the pres	Did you end up spending the next couple of hours in sence of this individual?
4 5 6	Q the pres A	Did you end up spending the next couple of hours in sence of this individual?  Yes, I did.
4 5 6 7	Q the pres A Q	Did you end up spending the next couple of hours in sence of this individual?  Yes, I did.
4 5 6 7 8	Q the pres A Q today?	Did you end up spending the next couple of hours in sence of this individual?  Yes, I did.  Do you see that same individual in the Courtroom
4 5 6 7 8	Q the pres A Q today?	Did you end up spending the next couple of hours in sence of this individual?  Yes, I did.  Do you see that same individual in the Courtroom  Yes, I do.  Please tell us where he's seated and what he's
4 5 6 7 8 9	Q the pres A Q today? A	Did you end up spending the next couple of hours in sence of this individual?  Yes, I did.  Do you see that same individual in the Courtroom  Yes, I do.  Please tell us where he's seated and what he's
4 5 6 7 8 9	Q the pres A Q today? A Q wearing.	Did you end up spending the next couple of hours in sence of this individual?  Yes, I did.  Do you see that same individual in the Courtroom  Yes, I do.  Please tell us where he's seated and what he's
4 5 6 7 8 9 20	Q the pres A Q today? A Q wearing.	Did you end up spending the next couple of hours in sence of this individual?  Yes, I did.  Do you see that same individual in the Courtroom  Yes, I do.  Please tell us where he's seated and what he's  He's wearing a blue shirt, sitting on the right-hand
4 5 6 7 8 9 9 1 1 2 2	Q the pres A Q today? A Q wearing. A side of	Did you end up spending the next couple of hours in sence of this individual?  Yes, I did.  Do you see that same individual in the Courtroom  Yes, I do.  Please tell us where he's seated and what he's  He's wearing a blue shirt, sitting on the right-hand the Courtroom.

AA 000090

AA 000091

28.

About two weeks earlier, a month earlier. A What did you say when he asked you that? 2 Q I said no, he hadn't. 3 A Were you lying about him breaking into your house? Q Yes, I was. Α What else did you say? Q I told him that the next door neighbor's had been Α broken into--8 And was that a lie? 9 Q 10 Yes, it was. A To your knowledge. You don't know whether they were 11 Q or not? 12 13 Α Not to my knowledge, they weren't broken in. Anything else occur as you were being driven now with 14 15 you in the car like this? 16 He asked me if I was a virgin or not. 17 What did you say? Q I said yes, I was a virgin. 18 Α Did he make any comments about that? 19 Q Yes, he asked me how I could still be a virgin at 20 Α 21 fifteen. 22 What did you tell him? Q 23 I told him that I just never had wanted to do. 24 something like that. Did he make any comments about whether you'd be a 25 26 virgin after he was done with you? 27

AA 000097

Yes, he did. Did there come a time when he removed his penis from your vagina?

2

10

11

13

14

15

16

18

22

23

- Yes, he did. Α
- 0 And what was your condition at that point?
- I was bleeding quite a bit. Α
- From the vaginal area? 0
- Yes. Α
- What, if anything, happened as you're bleeding, then, Q from the vaginal area?
- A He pulled some kleenex from the floor of the car and 12 had me clean up.
  - Could you tell where the kleenex was on the floor?
  - Yes, it was next to my head.
  - And you're on the right side rear seat and it was next to your head?
- 17 Α Yes.
  - Was it on the floor or on the seat?
- 19 A On the floor.
- 20 How was it that you could it was there? Could you see Q it? 21
  - I could not. No, Α
  - Hy could you tell it was there?
- 24 I could hear it.
  - Q You mean as it's being removed from the box?
- 26 A Yes.
- 27

Did you clean yourself up with this kleenex? Q Α Yes. And did you wipe your vaginal area with the kleenex? 0 A Yes. 5 What did you do with the kleenex? Q I put it on the floor of the car. A What happened next, Angela, after you cleaned yourself off? 8 He had me clean him up because he said that he wouldn't want me to taste my own blood. 10 Q٠ And what happened next? 11 He forced my head down to his penis. 12 13 Did he force your mouth on his penis? 14 Yes, he did. Do you know what circumsized means, at this point in 15 your life, do you know what that means? 16 17 Yes, I do. Had you ever seen a circumsized or uncircumsized penis 18 Q 19 when you were fifteen, before this incident? 20 No. I had not. Α Did you pay any attention to his penis, whether it was 21 22 circumsized or not? 23 No, I did not. 24 After this act of fellatio, or oral sex, did you 25 leave that area? Yes, we did. There was a person outside and he told; 26 27

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Q A person told you you had to leave?

A No--no, he told me that we had to go.

Q Did he say why?

A He said that there was a person outside and he might see us.

Q Did you take that to mean somebody was driving by or walking by or something?

A Somebody was walking by.

Q Did--where did you go, having left that area? First of all, what did you do? Were you sitting up?

A No, I was laying down.

Q And he--did he get in the front seat and drive off, then?

A Yes, he did.

Q And what did you do, did you remain in the back seat or get in the front seat?

A Yes, I did. I remained in the back seat.

Q Were you clothed or unclothed?

A I was unclothed.

Q Please continue and tell us what happened next.

A I was cold because it was early morning. It was pretty cold outside. And I told him that. And he threw me back my jacket to put over me.

Q But, you're still naked underneath your jacket?

A Yes, I was. He drove--

As you're driving now, what happened? 1 Q He talked to me about my family. He asked me where my 2 A 3 parents worked and if I had any brothers and sisters. What did you tell him? Q I told him that my parents worked at hotels and that I 5 Α had two little brothers. One was five and one was one and they 6 went to school at Captain Kidd's. Was that a lie? Q 9 Α Yes, it was. Did he threaten you or your family during this ordeal? 10 Q 11 Α Yes. 12 Q What did he say? He said that he would kill my family if I was lying to 13 A 14 him. Did he ask you questions concerning your house or 15 16 anything in your neighborhood? Yes, he asked me what kind of cars my parents had and 17 18 what my house looked like. 19 Did you tell him? Q Yes, I told him that my parents had a yellow Nova and 20 Α 21 a black Jeep and that we lived on the corner. 22 Q Did your parents have those? 23 A No, they do not. Did anyone in the neighborhood have those? 24 Q Yes, my next door neighbor did. 25 Α 26 Were you describing your next door neighbor's house. Q 27

and cars to him, then? Yes, I was. A Did there come a time when the car stopped again? 0 Yes. A And do you know where this was? Q It was in a residential area. Α How is it that you know that? Q Because it was very quiet and it was on a paved road. 8 A 9 In other words, as you're driving along, you didn't Q 10 feel any bumpy roads. Is that right? 11 A No. 12 What happened in this location? Q He took his clothes off again and he climbed back 13 into the back seat. 14 Are you still laying down in the back seat? 15 Q Yes, I was. 16 A Tell us what happened as he climbs in the back seat 17 18 again. 19 He put his penis into my vagina again. A 20 And, again, did you consent to that? Q 21 No, I did not. A 22 What happened next after he engaged Q 23 intercourse again? 24 He asked me if I'd ever had sex in my ass. And I said 25 no, I had not. So, he had me turn over and he put his penis

26

27

28

into my rectum.

- Q Did he say anything about doing this?
- A After it was over, he did. After it was over, he told me that now I was an experienced woman.
- Q After this act of sodomy, what, if anything, occurred next in this location?
  - A Afterwards, he put his penis into my vagina again.
  - Q Did he turn you back over?
  - A No, this was from the back side.
- Q After this next act of sexual intercourse, then, were you allowed to dress, or did you remain unclothed?
- A He climbed into the back--into the front seat again and then he threw my clothes back and told me to get dressed.
  - Q Did you get dressed?
  - A Yes, I did.

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Q What happened next?
- A He started talking about what he should do with me at this time.
  - Q What did he say?
- A He said that he had driven by my house and that there were police cars out front. He'd driven by my school and there was a security guard out there. So, he couldn't drop me off at either place. He didn't know where to drop me off. He thought maybe he should drop me off in the desert someplace or keep me in a little house just to keep. And I just kept telling him that I didn't want to die and I didn't care where he dropped me off.

A Yes, he did.

2

3

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- Q And what happened when he stopped the car?
- A He stopped the car after I had gotten in the front seat and got out.
  - Q Okay. Did you say you got out or he got out?
  - A No, he got out.
  - Q How long was he gone from the car, if you can tell?
  - A Just a minute or two.
  - Q Then what happened after he came back to the car?
  - A He got back inside and started driving again, saying--
  - Now, is the tape on your eyes this whole time?
  - A The tape and the bandanna were still on my eyes.
- Q He got back in the car and drove again. Please continue.
- A And he said he still didn't know what to do with me.

  So, he drove around for a little bit and stopped the car.
  - Q And what happened then?
- A He had me crawl into the back seat again and he asked me if I could brush my hair without a mirror.
  - Q And did he provide a brush or--
- A And I said yes, I could. And he was going through my purse and he found a brush.
  - Q Did you see him going through your purse?
  - A No, I did not.
  - Q How did you know he went through your purse?
  - A Because I could hear him saying some of the things-

27

that I had inside.

- Q Did he provide you with a brush, then, is what you're--
  - A Yes, he handed me my brush back.
    - Q Did you brush your hair?
    - A Yes, I did.
    - Q What did you do as you brushed your hair?
- A After I was done brushing my hair, a lot of my hair come out, so I took it from the brush and put it on the floor of the car.
  - Q Did you do that intentionally?
  - A No, I did not.
  - Q Just kind of a cleaning of the brush that happened?
  - A Yes, it was unconscious.
- Q As he was going through your purse, commenting on items, could you tell us what he said, please?
- A He started to look through my wallet and he saw my school picture and he told me it was a very nice picture. And he saw a picture of my friend. And then he saw my class schedule.
  - Q What did he say about your class schedule?
- A He said that he would write my class schedule down so whenever he wanted to find me, he could.
  - Q Did he say anything about money in your purse?
- A Yes, he asked me how come I had so much money in my purse.

27

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

I told him that I got my lunch money once a month and that I had just gotten it.

And did you testify earlier that you had \$25.00 to

5

\$30.00 in your purse?

Yes, I did.

Was that money still in your purse--I assume you--when you were released, you had your purse?

8

Yes, I did. Α

10

Was that money in your purse after you were released?

11

No, it was not.

12

Did you give him permission to take that money from Q your purse?

13

No. I did not.

14 15

What happened next as you--you combed your hair and he goes through your purse. What happened next?

16

17

18

He got out of the car and went over to the passenger side and opened it and lifted the seat. And I was still putting on my shoes and he tied them for me and he pulled me out of the car and told me to walk and he said after -- to walk ten steps after he released me and to take the tape off my

19

20

21

eyes. Had the blindfold been How about the blindfold?

22 23

He had taken that already, as we left.

25

24

removed?

Did you, in fact, take ten steps forward or so and

26 27

take the tape off? Yes, I did. 2 A And did you look back? Q. No, I did not. A What happened to the tape that was on your eyes? Q I had put it inside my purse. A After putting it inside your purse, did you turn it over to Detective Mike McLaughlin? 8 Yes, I did. Α 9 Where were you when you were released, Angela? Q 10 I was down the street from Valley High School. A 11 Where did you go? 12 Q I walked down the street, and as I walked, I saw the 13 Α school and I have a friend who lives nearby and I didn't want 14 to return to the school, so I went to her house. 15 What is the friend's last name? Q 16 Suttor. 17 Α How is it that you know these people? 18 Q I go to church with them. 19 Α What happened when you went to the Suttor's home? 20 Q I knocked on the door and she opened it and I told 21 Α 22 her --

daughter?

A The mother. She--

When you say

Q What happened?

27

26

23

24

25

Q

28

"she",

was this the mother or the

And could you also remember it because --MR. FADGEN: I'm going to--3 Yes. Α MR. FADGEN: -- I'm going to--Had you seen the area with the tape off? Q No, just from what I could see from underneath my 6 A 7 nose. After you were released, Angela, you removed the tape 8 from your eyes, didn't you? 9 10 Yes, I did. So, you could see that area after you removed the tape 11 12 from your eyes. Is that correct? 13 Yes, I could. A 14 Is this the same area? 15 Yes, it was. Did Detective McLaughlin attempt to find the desert 16 area where the sexual assault had -- the first sexual assault or 17 18 second or third had occurred? 19 Yes, he did. A 20 And how did he do that? 0 He blindfolded me and had me give him directions. 21 A And was that by driving and you telling him, "Turn, 22 23 turn, turn"? 24 Yes. like that. Did you meet with Detective 25 Kind of 26 McLaughlin the next day? 27 89 28

AA 000112

Yes, he did. A Was it the same car? Q 3 No, it was not. A And that was in November of 1983? 0 5 Yes, it was. you a photographic line-up Did he also show November of 1983? Yes, he did. And was the individual who abducted you in that line-9 10 up? 11 A No, he was not. 12 Now, I want to direct your attention to December 11th, Q 13 Did you meet with Detective McLaughlin? 1983. A Yes, I did. 14 And where did you meet with Detective McLaughlin on 15 December 11th, 1983? 16 17 A At my house. 18 Was this in the morning or afternoon? Q 19 The afternoon. Λ 20 Q Who was present? 21 My father, my mother, and my sister. A 22 That's Kathy and Barbara? Q 23 Α Correct. 24 Q What happened at this time, when you 25 Detective McLaughlin? 26 He showed us another photo line-up. 27

Were you able to identify anyone in this photo lineup, December 11th, 1983? 2 Yes, I was. A 3 Did you complete a statement at that time in your own Q handwriting, recording which picture you picked out? 5 A Yes, I did. Which picture did you pick out, number-wise? Q Number 2. A And was that the individual that abducted you on Q November 14th, 1983? 10 Yes, it was. 11 Α 12 Q Did you have any hesitation in identifying? No, I did not. .13 A When you saw the picture, you knew it was him? 14 Yes. 15 A And that's a picture of the defendant. Is that 16 17 correct? A That's correct. 18 Angela, did you go with the defendant voluntarily on 19 Q 20 November 14th, 1983? 21 No, I did not. A Did you consent to any of the acts of sexual 22 Q 23 intercourse? No, I did not. 24 25 Did you consent to the defendant taking the money 26 from your purse? 27 28

AA 000115

A No, I did not.

Q And you've testified the defendant threatened your family and yourself if you assisted the police in finding him. Is that correct?

MR. FADGEN: Your Honor, I'm going to object to this line of questioning. It's leading, suggestive, asked and answered.

THE COURT: Overruled.

A Yes.

Q And this was because of the knife that he'd displayed and used against you throughout. Is that correct?

A That's correct.

MR. BLOXHAM: Your Honor, may I approach the witness with a pair of scissors?

Q Angela, showing you what's been marked for identification purposes, as State's proposed exhibit 4.

MR. BLOXHAM: Your Honor, for the record, we have a sealed evidence bag.

Q I would ask you, Angela, to cut this bag open on the side of the bag. There are not seals there.

MR. BLOXHAM: And for the record, Your Honor, we are cutting the bag, Angela is cutting the bag without disturbing any seals.

(witness cutting open evidence bag)

MR. BLOXHAM: Your Honor, may we have the contents marked by the Clerk as State's proposed exhibits 4A, 4B, 4C, 4D, and 4E.

THE COURT: It may be marked.

why don't you proceed and start questioning her as she's marking the exhibits.

MR. BLOXHAM: Thank you, Your Honor.

- Q Angela, showing you what's been marked for identification purposes, State's proposed exhibit 4A. Would you look at that pair of pants and see if they look familiar at all?
  - A Yes, they do.
- Q Do they appear to be the pants you were wearing on November 14th, 1983?
  - A Yes, they are.
  - Q Have you seen them since that day?
- A No, I have not.
  - Q Showing you State's proposed exhibit 4B, I show you what looks like a pink sweater. Is that right?
    - A That's correct.
    - Q Can you identify that?
    - A Yes, I can.
      - Q And how is it you can identify that?
      - A It's got my initials in the corner.
    - Q Is that what you were wearing on October 14th, 1983?
    - A Yes, I was.
      - Q You haven't seen that since that day, either?
  - A No, I have not.
    - Q Showing you State's proposed exhibits 4C. Can you

26 27

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A Yes, that's correct.
- Q And inside of it, it appears to have yet another bag.
- MR. BLOXHAM: May we have this marked 5B? May we designate this bag 5B.
- Q And from 5B we'll remove what appears to be a bandanna. Is that correct? Does that appear like a bandanna?
  - · A Yes, that's correct.
- Q Looking at this bandanna, does it look familiar at all to you?
  - A Yes, it does.
  - Q And what is it about it that looks familiar?
  - A The little stars on it.
- Q Does this appear to be similiar to the bandanna that was worn by your abductor on November 14th, 1983?
  - A Yes, it does.
- MR. BLOXHAM: Your Honor, for the record, we'll refer to the bandanna as 5C. And then we'll put all of those items back together and may be deposited with the Court Clerk.
- Q Angela, showing you the bag which been marked, premarked, as State's proposed exhibit 6, can you see where it's marked that way?
  - A Yes.
- Q We'd like to have you open this bag also by cutting along the bottom without disturbing any seals, right along here, on the record, please.

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

(witness cutting open evidence bag) And for the record, Your Honor, State's MR. BLOXHAM: proposed exhibit 6 is in a sealed condition, also. 3 Removing from State's exhibit 6, appears to be two 5 Is that correct? That's correct. Α MR. BLOXHAM: May we have one bag marked 6A and the second one 6B? Angela, showing you what's been marked as State's 9 0 proposed exhibit 6A, do you see where it's marked that way? 10 11 A Yes, I do. This appears to be a sealed bag also. 12 O 13 correct? 14 Α Yes. Could I have you cut open the bottom without 15 Q 16 disturbing any seals? 17 (witness cutting open evidence bag) And the item that we'll remove from this, we'll 18 Angela, it appears to be a pair of 19 designate as 6A1. camouflage pants. Do those look familiar to you at all? 20 21 Yes, they do. A Are they consistent with the type of pants worn by the 22 Q defendant when he abducted you on November 14th, 1983? 23 24 Yes, they do. Α

They look like the same ones?

25

26

27

28

Q

A

Yes.

Is that

Q Thank you.

MR. BLOXHAM: Pass the witness, Your Honor.

THE COURT: In view of the hour, counsel, and the indication of length of the cross examination, we're going to be in recess in this matter until 8:00 tomorrow morning.

MR. FADGEN: Until what time, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Eight o'clock.

During this recess, you're admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial, or read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspaper, television, and radio, or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

We'll be in recess until 8:00 tomorrow morning.

(At the hour of 5:15 p.m., the Court recessed until 8:10 a.m. the following day)

	<b>N</b>
1	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 1988
2	THE COURT: Counsel stipulate to the presence of the jury?
3	MR. BLOXHAM: The State would so stipulate, Your Honor.
4	MR. FADGEN: Yes, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Would you come forward, please.
6	Cross?
7	MR. FADGEN: Thank you, Your Honor.
8	CROSS EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. FADGEN:
10	Q Angela, let me call your attention to November 14th,
11	1983. You said you were on the way to school. Is that
12	correct?
13	A That's correct.
14	Q And at 5070 someone jumped out at you. Is that
15	correct?
16	A Yes, that's correct.
17	Q Now, was that in front of you, or did they jump out
18	and grab you from behind?
19	A That's in front of me.
20	Q Alright. And this person brandished a knife. Is that
21	correct?
22	A That's correct.
<b>2</b> 3	Q Alright. What type of shirt did he have on?
24	A I'm not quite sure. It was a dark shirt.
25	Q And did this person have a bandanna on at that time?
26	A Yes, he did.
27	99
28	

AA 000123