IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

INDICATE FULL CAPTION:

HORIZONS AT SEVEN HILLS HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION, Appellant, v.	No. 63178 Electronically Filed Jun 03 2013 03:33 p.m. DOCKETING STATE OF Supreme Court
IKON HOLDINGS, LLC, Respondent.	

GENERAL INFORMATION

All appellants not in proper person must complete this docketing statement. NRAP 14(a). The purpose of the docketing statement is to assist the Supreme Court in screening jurisdiction, classifying cases for en banc, panel, or expedited treatment, compiling statistical information and identifying parties and their counsel.

WARNING

This statement must be completed fully, accurately and on time. NRAP 14(c). The Supreme Court may impose sanctions on counsel or appellant if it appears that the information provided is incomplete or inaccurate. *Id.* Failure to fill out the statement completely or to file it in a timely manner constitutes grounds for the imposition of sanctions, including a fine and/or dismissal of the appeal.

A complete list of the documents that must be attached appears as Question 26 on this docketing statement. Failure to attach all required documents will result in the delay of your appeal and may result in the imposition of sanctions.

This court has noted that when attorneys do not take seriously their obligations under NRAP 14 to complete the docketing statement properly and conscientiously, they waste the valuable judicial resources of this court, making the imposition of sanctions appropriate. *See KDI Sylvan Pools v. Workman*, 107 Nev. 340, 344, 810 P.2d 1217, 1220 (1991). Please use tab dividers to separate any attached documents.

1. Judicial District Eighth District	Department XIII
County Clark	Judge Mark Denton
District Ct. Case No. A-11-647850-B	
2. Attorney filing this docketing statemen	+•
Attorney Patrick J. Reilly	Telephone 702-669-4600
Firm Holland & Hart, LLP	
Address 9555 Hillwood Drive, Second Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89134	
Client(s) Appellant	
If this is a joint statement by multiple appellants, add the names of their clients on an additional sheet accomplising of this statement.	
3. Attorney(s) representing respondents(s	s):
Attorney James R. Adams	Telephone <u>702-838-7200</u>
Firm Adams Law Group, Ltd.	
Address 8010 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 260 Las Vegas, Nevada 89117	
Client(s) Respondent	
Attorney Puoy K. Premsrirut, Esq.	Telephone <u>702-384-5563</u>
Firm Puoy K. Premsrirut, Esq. Inc.	
Address 520 S. Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	
Client(s) Respondent	

(List additional counsel on separate sheet if necessary)

4. Nature of disposition below (check	all that apply):		
oxtimes Judgment after bench trial	☐ Dismissal:		
\square Judgment after jury verdict	☐ Lack of jurisdiction		
☐ Summary judgment	☐ Failure to state a claim		
☐ Default judgment	☐ Failure to prosecute		
\square Grant/Denial of NRCP 60(b) relief	☐ Other (specify):		
\square Grant/Denial of injunction	☐ Divorce Decree:		
\square Grant/Denial of declaratory relief	\square Original \square Modification		
☐ Review of agency determination	☐ Other disposition (specify):		
5. Does this appeal raise issues conce	erning any of the following?		
☐ Child Custody			
☐ Venue			
☐ Termination of parental rights			
	this court. List the case name and docket number sently or previously pending before this court which		

7. Pending and prior proceedings in other courts. List the case name, number and court of all pending and prior proceedings in other courts which are related to this appeal (e.g., bankruptcy, consolidated or bifurcated proceedings) and their dates of disposition:

N/A

8. Nature of the action. Briefly describe the nature of the action and the result below:

The district court action principally concerned the scope and amount of a residual "super-priority" lien created in favor of Appellant by both NRS Chapter 116 and underlying Covenants, Conditions & Restrictions ("CC&Rs") after foreclosure by a unit owner's first deed of trust holder. Appellant Horizons is a common interest community as defined by NRS 116.021. Respondent is a real estate investment company that purchases distressed residential properties at auction and quickly resells ("flips") them for a profit.

9. Issues on appeal. State concisely the principal issue(s) in this appeal (attach separate sheets as necessary):

The district court summarily adjudicated all damage claims against Ikon, which was not entitled to monetary recovery. As to the scope of the residual super-priority lien, the Court concluded that: (1) NRS 116.3116 strictly limited the Association's lien to "nine times monthly assessments" and no more; (3) the CC&Rs strictly limited Appellant's residual lien to "six times monthly assessments" and no more; and (4) the shorter "6 month" period contained in the CC&Rs prevailed over the "9 month" statutory lien period.

- 10. Pending proceedings in this court raising the same or similar issues. If you are aware of any proceedings presently pending before this court which raises the same or similar issues raised in this appeal, list the case name and docket numbers and identify the same or similar issue raised:
- 1. Nevada Ass'n Servs., Inc. v. District Ct., NSC Case No. 62748
- 2. Southern Highlands Community Ass'n v. District Ct., NSC Case No. 61940
- 3. Prem Deferred Trust v. District Ct., NSC Case No. 62587

A motion to consolidate has been filed.

11. Constitutional issues. If this appeal challenges the constitutionality of a statute, and the state, any state agency, or any officer or employee thereof is not a party to this appeal, have you notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130?
□ N/A
☐ Yes
⊠ No
If not, explain:
12. Other issues. Does this appeal involve any of the following issues?
☐ Reversal of well-settled Nevada precedent (identify the case(s))
☐ An issue arising under the United States and/or Nevada Constitutions
oxtimes A substantial issue of first impression
⊠ An issue of public policy
An issue where en banc consideration is necessary to maintain uniformity of this court's decisions
☐ A ballot question
If so, explain:
13. Trial. If this action proceeded to trial, how many days did the trial last?
Was it a bench or jury trial? Bench
14. Judicial Disqualification. Do you intend to file a motion to disqualify or have a justice recuse him/herself from participation in this appeal? If so, which Justice? No.

TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL

15. Date of entry of	written judgment or order appealed from May 6, 2013
If no written judg seeking appellate	gment or order was filed in the district court, explain the basis for e review:
16. Date written no	tice of entry of judgment or order was served May 6, 2013
Was service by:	
\square Delivery	
⊠ Mail/electronic	z/fax
17. If the time for fil (NRCP 50(b), 52(b),	ling the notice of appeal was tolled by a post-judgment motion or 59)
(a) Specify the t the date of fi	ype of motion, the date and method of service of the motion, and ling.
☐ NRCP 50(b)	Date of filing
☐ NRCP 52(b)	Date of filing
□ NRCP 59	Date of filing
	ursuant to NRCP 60 or motions for rehearing or reconsideration may toll the notice of appeal. See AA Primo Builders v. Washington, 126 Nev, 245
(b) Date of entr	ry of written order resolving tolling motion
(c) Date written	n notice of entry of order resolving tolling motion was served
Was service	by:
☐ Delivery	
☐ Mail	

18. Date notice of appea	l filed May 9, 2013
If more than one part	y has appealed from the judgment or order, list the date each iled and identify by name the party filing the notice of appeal:
19. Specify statute or rule.g., NRAP 4(a) or other	le governing the time limit for filing the notice of appeal,
NRAp 4(a)(1)	
\$	SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY
20. Specify the statute of the judgment or order a (a)	r other authority granting this court jurisdiction to review ppealed from:
\boxtimes NRAP 3A(b)(1)	□ NRS 38.205
☐ NRAP 3A(b)(2)	☐ NRS 233B.150
☐ NRAP 3A(b)(3)	□ NRS 703.376
☐ Other (specify)	
	ority provides a basis for appeal from the judgment or order: udgment in an action or proceeding commenced in the court in dered.

21. List all parties involved in the action or consolidated actions in the district court: (a) Parties:
Appellant, HORIZONS AT SEVEN HILLS HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION Respondent IKON HOLDINGS, LLC
Respondent IRON HOLDINGS, LLC
(b) If all parties in the district court are not parties to this appeal, explain in detail why those parties are not involved in this appeal, <i>e.g.</i> , formally dismissed, not served, or other:
N/A
22. Give a brief description (3 to 5 words) of each party's separate claims, counterclaims, cross-claims, or third-party claims and the date of formal disposition of each claim.
The district court summarily adjudicated all damage claims against Ikon, which was not entitled to monetary recovery. As to the scope of the residual super-priority lien, the Court concluded that: (1) NRS 116.3116 strictly limited the Association's lien to "nine times monthly assessments" and no more; (3) the CC&Rs strictly limited Appellant's residual lien to "six times monthly assessments" and no more; and (4) the
shorter "6 month" period contained in the CC&Rs prevailed over the "9 month" statutory lien period.
23. Did the judgment or order appealed from adjudicate ALL the claims alleged
pelow and the rights and liabilities of ALL the parties to the action or consolidated actions below?
$oxed{oxed}$ Yes
□ No
24. If you answered "No" to question 23, complete the following: (a) Specify the claims remaining pending below:

(b) Specify the parties remaining below:	
(c) Did the district court certify the judgment or order appealed from a pursuant to NRCP 54(b)?	s a final judgment.
☐ Yes	
□ No	
(d) Did the district court make an express determination, pursuant to there is no just reason for delay and an express direction for the entry	
☐ Yes	
□ No	
25. If you answered "No" to any part of question 24, explain the baappellate review (e.g., order is independently appealable under N	

26. Attach file-stamped copies of the following documents:

- The latest-filed complaint, counterclaims, cross-claims, and third-party claims
- Any tolling motion(s) and order(s) resolving tolling motion(s)
- Orders of NRCP 41(a) dismissals formally resolving each claim, counterclaims, crossclaims and/or third-party claims asserted in the action or consolidated action below, even if not at issue on appeal
- $\bullet \quad \text{Any other order challenged on appeal} \\$
- Notices of entry for each attached order

VERIFICATION

I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read this docketing statement, that the information provided in this docketing statement is true and complete to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, and that I have attached all required documents to this docketing statement.

HORIZONS AT SEVEN HILL	S HOME	Patrick J. Reilly	
Name of appellant		Name of counsel of record	
Jun 3, 2013 Date		Signature of counsel of record	
Clark County, Nevada			
State and county where signed	d		
	CERTIFICATE O	F SERVICE	
I certify that on the 3rd	day of June	, <u>2013</u> , I served a c	opy of this
completed docketing statemen	t upon all counsel of	f record:	
	lass mail with suffice all names and address and address are sheet with the last suite 260 and a last suite 260 an	cient postage prepaid to the follow resses cannot fit below, please list e addresses.)	
Dated this 3rd	day of June	, <u>2013</u> — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	2.4