MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ. 1 Nevada Bar No.: 1641 2 mbohn@bohnlawfirm.com vada Bar No.: 6558 3 jarlitz@bohnlawfirm.com Electronically Filed 4 Aug 08 2014 10:5 MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESO., LTD. a.m. 376 East Warm Springs Road, Ste. 140 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 (702) 642-3113/ (702) 642-9766 FAX 5 Tracie K. Lindemah Clerk of Supreme Court 6 Attorney for defendant/appellant 7 8 SUPREME COURT 9 STATE OF NEVADA 10 SHADOW WOOD HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION, INC., and GOGO WAY TRUST, 11 No. 63180 12 Appellants, 13 VS. 14 NEW YORK COMMUNITY BANK, 15 Respondent. 16 17 18 19 MOTION TO ALLOW ORAL ARGUMENT 20 Defendant/appellant Gogo Way Trust, by and through its attorney, Michael F. 21 Bohn, Esq., moves this court to schedule the above-captioned appeal for oral 22 argument. This motion is based upon the points and authorities contained herein. 23 **POINTS AND AUTHORITIES** 24 **Statement of Facts** 25 Plaintiff/respondent, New York Community Bank, filed its complaint in the 26 district court on April 18, 2012 seeking quiet title and declaratory relief that plaintiff 27 NYCB remained the owner of the real property commonly known as 2923 Gogo Way 28 #109, Las Vegas, Nevada following an HOA foreclosure sale held by Shadow Wood

Homeowners' Association pursuant to NRS Chapter 116. At the public auction held on February 22, 2012, Gogo Way Trust was the high bidder and paid the bid amount of \$11,018.39 in cash for the property. Gogo Way Trust filed an answer and counterclaim seeking declaratory relief and quiet title determining that title to the property was vested in Gogo Way Trust.

The district court granted plaintiff's motion for summary judgment on April 10, 2013 and rescinded the foreclosure deed in favor of Gogo Way Trust. Defendants filed their notice of appeal on May 7, 2013, and briefing was completed on February 18, 2014.

On August 1, 2014, this Court entered its order submitting this case for decision without oral argument pursuant to NRAP 34(f).

Argument

The appeal involves substantial issues of first impression relating to bona fide purchasers at an HOA foreclosure sale and the effect of an attempted cure payment rejected prior to the sale.

Although this appeal in part involves the super priority lien rights held by the HOA that survived the deed of trust foreclosure sale at which plaintiff purchased the real property, this case is unlike the multitude of appeals now pending before this Court relating to HOA foreclosure sales under NRS Chapter 116. This case has much broader relevance to all cases involving an HOA foreclosure sale because at the time of the HOA sale in this case, the plaintiff lender was positioned as the owner of the real property and not as the beneficiary of a first deed of trust competing for priority with the HOA's lien rights under NRS 116.3116.

The unique posture of the present appeal provides this Court with the opportunity to both establish the rights of a bona fide purchaser at an HOA sale held pursuant to NRS Chapter 116 and define the impact of NRS 116.31166 on a property owner that subsequently claims that an HOA sale was not conducted in good faith and that the property was sold for a "commercially unreasonable price."

The present appeal also presents this Court with the opportunity to define the

effect of an attempted cure payment that has been rejected by the HOA even though the property owner claims that the amount tendered exceeds the amount owed to the HOA at that time. Although a number of California decisions have ruled on the effect that an attempted cure payment has upon a foreclosure sale under a deed of trust, this Court has not yet determined the effect of a debtor's attempt to tender a cure payment that is rejected by the foreclosing HOA as insufficient.

It is respectfully submitted that due to the significance of the issues presented in the instant case, this Court should reconsider its order of August 1, 2014 and schedule the above-captioned appeal for oral argument as provided by NRAP 34.

DATED this 5th day of August 2014.

LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ., LTD.

By: /Michael F. Bohn, Esq. / MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ. 376 E. Warm Springs Rd., Ste. 140 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 Attorney for appellant Gogo Way Trust

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

	I	n accordance w	ith N.R.A.P.	25, I here	eby certify t	hat I ar	n an emp	oloyee
of 7	The Law	Offices of Mic	hael F. Boh	n, Esq. L	LC., and the	nat on	the 9th c	lay of
August 2014, a copy of the foregoing MOTION TO ALLOW ORAL ARGUMENT								
was	served	electronically	through the	Court's	electronic	filing	system	to the
following individual::								
D	17 . 1	Г			A TT 11	г		

Ryan Kerbow, Esq. ALESSI & KOENIG, LLC 9500 West Flamingo Road, Ste. 205 Las Vegas, NV 89147 Gregg A. Hubley, Esq. Anthony R. Sassi, Esq. PITE DUNCAN, LLP 520 South Fourth Street, Suite 360 Las Vegas, NV 89101

/s/ Esther Maciel-Thompson/ An Employee of the LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ., LTD.