1	Gilbert Teu Paliotta #40	244
2	By state Artson	
3	P.O. BOX 1989	MAY 3 0 2013
4	514 Novada 89301	TRACIE K LINDEMAN
5		THE COURT OF THE C
6	IN 1746 SUPREME COURT OF THE STIME	
7	OF HENDA	
8	* * * *	
9	GILBERT-LAND PALLOTTA ,)	Case No
10	Petitioner,	Suprema Court No. 63205
11	-vs-	MOTION TO EXTEND PRISON
12	THE STATE OF NOVAVA et al.,)	COPYWORK LIMIT
13	Respondents.	
14		
15	COMES NOW, Petitioner,	Gilbert Toy Pallotta, in pro se,
16	and submits his Motion to Extend Prison Copywork Limit, moving	
17	the Court to order the Nevada Department of Corrections ("NDOC")	
18	to extend his copywork limit for the narrow purposes of the	
19	instant habeas corpus proceedings.	
20	This motion is based upon NDOC Administrative Regulation	
21	("AR") 722; all papers and documents on file herein; and the	
22	following points and authorities.	
23	POINTS AND AUTHORITIES	
24	Petitioner is an indigent prisoner, as demonstrated by the	
25	Motion for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis on record herein.	
26	NDOC AR 722.12(4) allows Pet	titioner to accrue a \$100.00 debt
27	against his account towards	legal copywork which, once reached,
2-8	prohibits him from accumulating any further indebtedness for	
EC	CHIVED	
MAY 2	Y 2 8 2013	
TRACIE K. LINDEMAN CLERK OF SUFREME COURT DEPUTY CLERK		
And the state of t	And the state of t	13-15947

13-15947

such copywork. "Exceptions to this rule would be a court order received directly from the courts..." <u>Id</u>. The AR therefore gives this Court authority to issue an order allowing Petitioner to exceed his copywork limit.

Petitioner has reached or exceeded the \$100.00 limit of AR 722.12. As such, NDOC has prohibited him at this time from receiving any further legal copywork in the instant proceeding unless the Court issues an order allowing him to do so.

Petitioner's grounds have merit. As such, he is entitled to relief in the instant habeas proceedings; however, he requires copywork services in order to litigate his instant petition. In addition to his originals of all pleadings, motions and other documents in this case, he will need copies to serve upon Respondents per FRCP 5, LR 5-1 and LR 7-2. Additionally, he will require a copy of same for his own records. See e.g. Gluth v. Kangas 951 F.2d 1504, 1510 (9th Cir. 1991) (a reasonable amount of copywork for prisoners is found in that required to file, serve opponents and maintain copy for inmate's records).

Petitioner does not herein seek a blanket order for unlimited copywork, but seeks only a reasonable allowance of copywork for documents relevant to the instant proceeding, including, but not limited to, supplemented/amended pleadings, motions, responses, replies, notices, etc. <u>Id</u>.

As Petitioner's liberty is at the heart of these proceedings, he should be provided an extension of his copywork limitation in order to render him reasonably capable of fairly litigating this habeas action.

CONCLUSION For the reasons set forth above, the Court should direct the NDOC to extend Petitioner's copywork limitations towards the allowance of receiving copies of documents pertinent to the instant habeas proceedings.

Dated this ZZ day of May

P.O. BAX 989 By, Novada 89301

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

> Office of the Attorney General 100 H. Cosson Street Carson City, NV 89701-4711

Attorney For Respondents

Petitioner In Pro Se