## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA Electronically Filed

## DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN,

Appellant,

vs.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

**Docket No. 63703** 

Appeal from the Denial of a Post-Conviction Petition District Court, Clark County The Honorable Michelle Leavitt, District Judge District Court No. 85-C069269-1

## APPELLANT'S APPENDIX Volume 3

CAL J. POTTER III, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 001988 POTTER LAW OFFICES 1125 Shadow Lane Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Telephone (702) 385-1954 MICHAEL LAURENCE California Bar No. 121854 303 Second Street, Suite 400 South San Francisco, California 94107 Telephone: (415) 348-3800

Feb 19 2014 08:31 a.m.

Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk of Supreme Court

Attorneys for Appellant Dale Edward Flanagan

## *Flanagan v. State*, Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 63703 Index to Appellant's Appendix

Contents	Page
Volume 1: Guilt Trial (1985)	
Testimony of Angela Saldana, Reporter's Transcript of Preliminary Hearing Held on February 11, 1985	1
Testimony of Angela Saldana, Reporter's Transcript of Evidentiary Hearing Held on September 24, 1985	45
Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial Held on September 26, 1985	105
Volume 2: Guilt Trial (1985)	
Opening Statements, Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial Held on September 30, 1985	220
Testimony of Angela Saldana, Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial Held on October 2, 1985	239
Testimony of Angela Saldana, Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial Held on October 3, 1985	307
Prosecution Closing Arguments, Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial Held on October 10, 1985	356
Volume 3: First Penalty Retrial (1989) and Second Penalty Retrial (1995)	
Testimony of Angela Saldana, Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial Held on July 12, 1989	459
Motion for Disclosure to Information Regarding State Witness' Expectations of Benefits of Testimony, filed May 26, 1995	479
Answer in Opposition to Defendant Flanagan's Motion to Disclose Information re: State Witness' Expectations of Benefits of Testimony, filed June 5, 1995	487
Prosecution Opening Statement, Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial Held on June 16, 1995	490
Testimony of Angela Saldana, Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial Held on June 20, 1995	509
Prosecution Closing Arguments, Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial Held on June 22, 1995	545
Judgment of Conviction, filed July 11, 1995	569

Contents	Page
Volume 4: First State Habeas Corpus Proceedings	
Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, filed November 30, 1999	573
State's Response to Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post- Conviction), filed March 29, 2000	707
Volume 5: First State Habeas Corpus Proceedings	]
Petitioner's Reply in Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, filed May 17, 2000	753
Petitioner's Motion for Discovery, filed May 17, 2000	802
Petitioner's Motion for Evidentiary Hearing, filed May 17, 2000	841
Declaration of Angela Saldana Ficklin, Exhibits in Support of Petitioner's Supplemental Petition, Reply to State's Response to Supplemental Petition, and Petitioner's Motion for Evidentiary Hearing, filed May 18, 2000	859
Volume 6: First State Habeas Corpus Proceedings	
Declaration of John Lucas III, Exhibits in Support of Petitioner's Supplemental Petition, Reply to State's Response to Supplemental Petition, and Petitioner's Motion for Evidentiary Hearing, filed May 18, 2000	863
Declaration of Robert Peoples, Exhibits in Support of Petitioner's Supplemental Petition, Reply to State's Response to Supplemental Petition, and Petitioner's Motion for Evidentiary Hearing, filed May 18, 2000	871
Declaration of Debora L. Samples Smith, Exhibits in Support of Petitioner's Supplemental Petition, Reply to State's Response to Supplemental Petition, and Petitioner's Motion for Evidentiary Hearing, filed May 18, 2000	875
Declaration of Michelle Grey Thayer, Exhibits in Support of Petitioner's Supplemental Petition, Reply to State's Response to Supplemental Petition, and Petitioner's Motion for Evidentiary Hearing, filed May 18, 2000	878
Declaration of Wayne Eric Alan Wittig, Exhibits in Support of Petitioner's Supplemental Petition, Reply to State's Response to Supplemental Petition, and Petitioner's Motion for Evidentiary Hearing, filed May 18, 2000	882
Motions Hearing, Reporter's Transcript of Habeas Corpus Proceedings, August 16, 2000	897
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, filed August 9, 2002	938
Order of Affirmance, <i>Flanagan v. State</i> , Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 40232, filed February 22, 2008	972

Contents	Page
Volume 7: Second State Habeas Corpus Proceedings	
Petition For Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction), filed September 28, 2012	994
Volume 8: Second State Habeas Corpus Proceedings	
Exhibits in Support of Petition For Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction), filed September 28, 2012	1105
Volume 9: Second State Habeas Corpus Proceedings	
Exhibits in Support of Petition For Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction), filed September 28, 2012 (continued)	1158
State's Response and Motion to Dismiss Petition, filed January 16, 2013	1290
Opposition to Motion to Dismiss, filed March 26, 2013	1374
State's Reply to Opposition, filed April 18, 2013	1407
Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings Held on June 6, 2013	1412
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, filed June 28, 2013	1432

na1		506
1	Case No. C69269	IGINAL
2	Department Fourteen	FILED
3		050 0.0 1000
4	DISTRI	CT COURT SEP 0.8 1989
5	CLARK COU	NTY, NEVADA
6		DÉPLOTY
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	)
8	Plaintif	f, ) REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
9	vs.	) OF
10	DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN and RANDOLPH MOORE,	) JURY TRIAL
11	Defendan	) }
12		) )
13	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DON	ALD M. MOSLEY, DISTRICT JUDGE
14		July 12, 1989
15	incancoury,	Suri 12, 1909
16		
17	APPEARANCES :	
18	For the State:	Daniel M. Seaton, Esquire
19		Deputy District Attorney
20	For Defendant Flanagan:	Stephen J. Dahl, Esquire Deputy Public Defender
21	For Defendant Moore:	David M. Schieck, Esquire
22		
23		
24		
25	Reported by: Sharon J. Thield	man, CSR No. 72
· .		

675 1 Α No, I didn't. Could it be that you are trying to help Mr. Flanagan 2 Q 3 and Mr. Moore now at this juncture? No, everybody was drunk. 4 Α Or to help yourself so that you wouldn't have so 5 Q 6 much of a snitch jacket put on? No, sir. 7 Α MR. SEATON: Thank you. I have nother further. 8 9 THE COURT: Anything further? 10 MR. DAHL: Just one, Your Honor. 11 12 RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. DAHL: 13 14 Q Mr. Lucas, you have a parole hearing coming up in 15 January, don't you? 16 A Yes, I do. MR. DAHL: Thank you. No further questions. 17 MR. SCHIECK: No, Your Honor. 18 19 THE COURT: Very good then. Mr. Lucas, you are 20 excused. Thank you. Next witness. 21 MR. SEATON: Angela Saldana. 22 23 ANGELA SALDANA, was called as a witness on behalf of the State and, having 24 been first duly sworn, testified as follows: 25

	676
l	DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. SEATON:
3	Q Please state your name and spell your last name for
4	the court reporter?
5	A Angela Saldana, S-a-l-d-a-n-a.
6	Q Miss Saldana, how old are you?
7	A 24.
8	Q And in November of 1984, how old would you have
9	been?
10	A I think 19 or 20. I think it was 20.
11	Q Okay. Where do you currently reside?
12	A I live in an apartment complex.
13	Q I mean today where do you reside?
14	A Oh, Clark County jailhouse.
15	Q Back in November of 1984, did you know the
16	defendants Dale Flanagan and Randy Moore?
17	A Yes, I did.
18	Q Are they the people who are sitting at the table
19	before you now?
20	A Yes, sir.
21	Q Did you know Dale Flanagan's grandparents?
22	A No, I didn't.
23	Q Did you become familiar with the fact of their
24	deaths on November the 5th, 1984?
25	A Yes.

Γ

677 Where did you live on November the 5th, 1984? 1 Q I lived with my aunt and uncle. Α 2 On November the 6th, 1984 where did you live? 3 Q I was asked to move on the property of Dale A 4 Flanagan's grandparents' property. 5 0 Who asked you to do that? 6 Α Dale Flanagan. 7 0 And what was your relationship with Dale Flanagan at 8 that time? 9 A Boyfriend girlfriend. 10 Were you aware at that time that he had killed his 11 Q 12 grandparents? 13 Α At that time, no, I was not aware that he did. 14 Q How long had you known Dale Flanagan at that time? 15 Α At the moment that when I moved in? 16 Q Yes. 17 I had just met him prior, two weeks before. Α 18 Q And had he asked you to move into the trailer prior 19 to that time? 20 Α No. Prior to the November 5th or the 6th? 21 0 22 Α No. 23 When was the first time that he asked you to move Q into the trailer? 24 The night of the 5th, if I remember. 25 Α

678 Let me take you to November the 13th. Okay. Do you 1 0 remember a Detective Levos coming to the trailer? 2 Å Yes. 3 Were you still at the trailer at that time? 4 Q A Was I still living there? 5 Yes. Q 6 Yes. 7 A And you were living there with Dale; is that 8 Q 9 correct? 10 A Yes. No one else? 11 0 A No. 12 Did Detective Levos come there to talk to Dale? 13 Q Yes. 14 Α 15 And did they have a conversation? Q 16 A Yes. Was it out of your earshot? 17 Q 18 A Yes. 19 Had you been sent someplace? Q I was sent to the trailer. 20 Α 21 Q By? 22 A By Dale. By Dale. After they finished their conversation, 23 Q 24 did Dale come and talk to you about the content of that conversation that he had had with Detective Levos? 25

679 1 A Yes. Was Dale upset? 2 Q He was a little distraught. 3 Α What did he say to you? 4 Q He said that they had found something that wasn't 5 Α supposed to be there. 6 Q At the scene of the killing? 7 Yes. 8 Α What did he say that thing was? 9 Q A I asked him what it was and he said his knife. 10 11 Q Had you seen him with a knife before, prior to 12 November the 5th? 13 Α Yes. 14 Q Did he carry it on him? A Yes. 15 16 Q At a later time did he show you another knife? 17 A Yes. 18 0 Did it look similar to the knife that you had seen before? 19 A Yes, identical. 20 21 Q Do you recognize the knife in 91-A? 22 Α Yes. 23 Q And does that appear to look like those two knives? 24 Ά Yes. 25 And were they exactly identical one to the other? Q

680 A Almost exactly. 1 2 0 As far as you remember? Α Yes. 3 When -- how long after Detective Levos had his 0 4 conversation with Randy and Randy was upset and told you that 5 they found the knife ---6 MR. SCHIECK: I believe that is Dale. 7 MR. SEATON: Pardon. 8 MR. SCHIECK: You said Randy. 9 BY MR. SEATON: 10 Q Detective Levos, I think I said. Did I say Randy . 11 12 told you? How soon after Detective Levos talked to Dale and Dale told you that he was upset, how long after that did he 13 14 show you this other knife? Α I'm not sure. 15 16 In terms of days or weeks or what? Q 17 Α Around a week after. 18 Okay. Did he make any comments to you when he Q showed you this second knife? 19 A Yes. 20 21 Q What did he say? He said, "Look, I found my knife." 22 Α What did you say? 23 Q I said, "That doesn't look like your knife. 24 Α That one looks new." 25

681 Did it look like his knife, just that it wasn't old? 1 Q 2 Α Yes. Okay. And what did he say when you commented on 0 3 that it was a new knife? 4 He said, "Well, as long as no one else knows that. Α 5 Now the cops don't have anything on me." 6 The cops don't have anything on me. On December the 7 0 5th, did you have an occasion to have a conversation with 8 Dale regarding his grandparents? 9 10 Α Yes. 11 0 And where did that take place? 12 Α In his trailer. Q Was anyone else present? 13 14 Α No. What were you talking about in general before the 15 Q 16 grandparents came up? We were discussing an old boyfriend that I had seen 17 A 18 recently at the time. He was complaining about that? 19 0 Α 20 Yes. Tell us to the best of your recollection the 21 0 Okay. 22 words that Dale said as he started telling you about his 23 grandparents? 24 Α You mean how the conversation got started? Yeah. 25 0

682 We were talking about an ex-boyfriend that I had met 1 Α with and he had gotten upset. He said he didn't care what I 2 did, where I went. He was just tired of running from the 3 problem or tired of everything. 4 What else did he say? Q 5 He and Randy gotten into. I don't know what exactly Α 6 in between that point and this statement but he said, "How do 7 you like this? I did it. I killed my grandparents." 8 He said, "How do you like this? I did it. I killed 9 0 my grandparents"? 10 11 Α Yes. 12 0 Those were his words? 13 Α Those were his words. 14 0 Did that surprise you? 15 Α Yes and no. It surprised me that he brang up the 16 subject. It didn't surprise me that he stated it. 17 0 Were you aware at that time of whether or not Dale 18 believed that he was mentioned in his grandparents' will? 19 Α Would you ask that again. 20 Q Did you know that -- had Dale ever told you that he 21 was mentioned or he thought he believed he was in his 22 grandparents' will? 23 A Yes. 24 What did he say to you about that? Q He just stated that he had heard -- it was rumored 25 A

683 to him that he was in the will. He wasn't sure if all of it 1 was his or half of it was his. 2 And did he say what various things he would get out 3 Q of the will? 4 Α No, he didn't. 5 Did he ever comment on whether or not the house 6 Q would be his? 7 I don't remember. A 8 Was there anything else said about an insurance 9 Q policy? 10 A Yes. 11 12 What about the insurance policy? Q Α He had stated there was a \$200,000 insurance policy 13 14 for the grandchildren. And he was one of the beneficiaries? 15 0 16 Α Yes. 17 0 Were there other grandchildren? 18 A Yes. 19 0 How many? As far as I know, just his sister. I don't know. 20 Α It's been a long time. 21 So to the best of your recollection, the \$200,000 22 Q policy would have been split between Dale and his sister? 23 A 24 Yes. 25 After he told you that he had killed his 0

684 grandparents, did he go on to describe to you what had 1 happened on the night that he killed his grandparents? 2 A Yes. 3 What did he say to you in that regard? Let me see 0 4 if I can help you out. Did he say who had accompanied him? 5 Α Yes. 6 Give me the names, please? 0 7 John Ray Luckett, Randy Moore, Thomas Akers and Mike 8 Α Walsh. 9 Did he mention Roy McDowell? 10 Q I can't remember. I don't think he did. 11 Α 12 Q But those other four people plus himself? 13 Α Yes. Did he say where those people had been before they 14 Q 15 went to do the killing? 16 A Yes. Where? 17 Q Randy Moore's apartment. Α 18 And did he say whether or not they planned it over 19 Q 20 there at the apartment? Α Yes. 21 Did he tell you how they got to the grandparents' 22 Q house? 23 24 A Yes. 25 How did they do that? Q

685 Thomas Akers' El Camino. 1 Α Did they say what they were going to make this whole 2 0 killing look like? 3 In his words he stated he would make it look like a Α 4 5 robbery. 0 That other people had done? 6 He didn't say that. He just said that they would A 7 8 make it look like a robbery. 9 Q Did he say how they made entry into the house? 10 Α Yes. 11 Q How? 12 Α Through the right-hand side window of the house. Did he tell you what kind of weapons had been taken? 13 0 Α He only stated about the knife and the rifles. 14 15 And how many rifles were there? Q Two. 16 Α Did he say who had the rifles? 17 Q He said John Ray Luckett and Randy Moore. 18 Α 19 He didn't mention a .22 pistol to you? Q 20 Oh, yeah. He did, yeah. A 21 Okay. What did he say about that? Q A He just said he had a gun. He didn't say what kind 22 23 it was. He just said he had a handgun. Dale Flanagan told you he had a handgun on that 24 Q 25 night?

	686
1	A Yes.
2	Q Did he say whether or not he entered the house?
3	A Yes.
4	Q What did he tell you about that?
5	A He said that he gotten in through the window and
6	went to his grandmother's room immediately.
7	Q What did he do when he got into his grandmother's
8	room? Where was she?
9	A He said that she was sleeping in her bed.
10	Q What did he do with her?
11	A He said that he turned on the light and wrestled her
12	to the bed and put his hand over her jaw and then shot her in
13	the head once.
14	Q Did he say how many times?
15	A Once.
16	Q Did he say what happened regarding the grandfather,
17	Mr. Gordon?
18	A Yes.
19	Q What happened there?
20	A He stated that the grandfather was coming down the
21	stairs yelling and that Randy Moore and John Ray were
22	shooting at him as he was coming downstairs.
23	Q Did he say how many shots were fired by Mr. Randy
24	Moore and Johnny Ray Luckett?
25	A Yes.

687 How many? 1 Q Α He said seven or eight. 2 And did he say anything to you about difficulty with 0 3 Tom Akers' car afterwards? 4 I don't remember. Α 5 Okay. Did he tell you if they went back to the 6 Q 7 apartment? Yes. A 8 And did all of the gentlemen go back to Randy 9 0 Moore's apartment? 10 A Yes. 11 After you had moved into the trailer, prior to this 12 Q case breaking, did you and Dale ever go into the house? 13 14 A Yes. What was your purpose in going into the house? 15 Q Mostly to look for the will. 16 A And did you look throughout the house for the will? 17 Q 18 Α Yes. Did you ever find it? 19 Q No. 20 А Did you ever find an insurance policy? 21 0 22 A No. Did you ever find anything like that which would 23 Q have benefited Dale Flanagan? 24 A No. 25

688 1 MR. SEATON: Thank you. I have nothing further. THE COURT: Cross-examination. 2 MR. DAHL: Your Honor, could we approach the bench, 3 please? 4 THE COURT: Yes, you may. 5 (Discussion at the bench which was 6 not reported.) 7 8 CROSS-EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. DAHL: 10 11 Q Miss Saldana, we met a few days ago in the Clark 12 County jail, didn't we? Α Yes. 13 14 Q Do you recall the conversation that we had at that 15 time? 16 Α Yes. Do you recall telling me that during the month --17 Q 18 that you were with Dale approximately a month; is that right? 19 A Yes. 20 Q And do you recall telling me during that month you were with him that he went downhill in certain areas? 21 22 Α Yes. 23 Q He over the period of time he quit showering and shaving; is that right? 24 25 A Yes.

689 Quit eating regularly? 1 Q А Yes. 2 He wasn't doing laundry or dishes or anything like 3 Q 4 that? Yes. 5 Α Q He quit his job that he had? 6 Yes. A 7 This all occurred over the month before he made that 0 8 statement to you; is that right? 9 A Yes. 10 11 0 Now, when he made the statement to you and told you 12 about the involvement of these things, did you get the impression he was telling you to tell somebody to get it off 13 his chest, to get it out in the open? 14 A I wasn't sure really. I had -- I guess that he was 15 either telling me to get it off his chest or telling me to 16 hold his confidence. 17 Did he ask you not to tell anyone? 18 0 19 Α No. He didn't say, "Don't tell anyone but I did it" or 20 0 21 after it was done he didn't tell you not to tell anyone? 22 A No. 23 Q He didn't ask you to help him out at all? 24 A No. 25 0 Now, is one of the reasons that you weren't

690 surprised when Dale told you about this, that you weren't 1 surprised about what he was telling you, you already heard 2 essentially the same thing from Tom Akers? 3 Could you rephrase that, please. Α You said when you testified that when Dale told you Q 5 you were surprised that he brought it up but you weren't 6 surprised what he was telling you --7 It ---Α 8 Is one of the reasons you weren't surprised was 9 0 because you already heard a lot of what Dale was telling you 10 from Tom Akers? 11 Α Tom Akers didn't tell me anything other than it 12 No. 13 was not a good idea for me to stay. 14 Tom Akers didn't tell you about what happened? Q He told me after they had all been arrested. 15 Α He didn't tell you two weeks after the murder in the --16 Q murders inside Dale's trailer? 17 18 He didn't tell me that Dale did anything. I asked Α 19 if Dale had anything to do with it in any way, shape or form 20 and he says, "I can't say anything but I can tell you that it is not safe for you to stay." 21 22 You don't recall telling me in the jail that you Q 23 went out to cultivate a friendship with Tom Akers and even slept with him on a couple occasions so he would tell you 24 25 what happened?

	691
l	A Yes, I do recall telling you that.
2	Q After he told you about it, you went to stay with
3	Dale to try to get Dale to tell you what happened?
4	A No, I didn't tell you that.
5	Q You weren't with Dale to find out what happened?
6	A Yes, I was with Dale to find out what happened but
7	not the way that you made it sound.
8	Q I am sorry. You went to stay with Dale, though, to
9	try and get him to tell you what happened; isn't that right?
10	A I had already been with Dale. This was the
11	conversation with Tom Akers happened during the times I was
12	staying with Dale.
13	Q But the reason you were with Dale was to find out if
14	you could what had happened in connection with this incident;
15	isn't that right?
16	A Yes.
17	Q You were living with him in the trailer?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Did you receive any kind of payment from Secret
20	Witness for your testimony?
21	A Yes.
22	Q How much did you receive?
23	A Two thousand.
24	Q That was for testifying at the trial; is that right,
25	the original trial?

692 1 Α Yes. And why are you currently residing in the Clark 2 0 County detention center? 3 Α I was arrested for trafficking. 4 Trafficking as in drugs or tickets? 0 5 A Drugs. 6 MR. DAHL: Court's indulgence, please. 7 You mentioned the incident of going in and looking 8 Q for the will or insurance policies or anything like that 9 inside the Gordon's house, do you recall that? 10 Α Excuse me. 11 You testified about going into the Gordon's house 12 0 13 and helping to look for the will and the insurance policies 14 and things like that? A Yes. 15 16 Dale's mother was present while that was happening, Q 17 wasn't she? 18 Α Yes. 19 She come over and asked you two to go through the 0 20 house and look for things? 21 Α Yes. 22 MR. DAHL: Thank you. I have no further guestions. 23 THE COURT: Mr. Schieck. 24 MR. SCHIECK: No questions, Your Honor. 25 MR. SEATON: Just briefly, Judge.

	693
1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. SEATON:
3	Q The going downhill that you saw Dale experience,
4	that occurred after the killings?
5	A Yes.
6	MR. SEATON: Thank you. Nothing further.
7	THE COURT: Anything further? All right. You may
8	step down, ma'am. Thank you very much. Next witness.
9	MR. SEATON: Wayne Wittig.
10	
11	WAYNE WITTIG,
12	was called as a witness on behalf of the State and, having
13	been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
14	
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. SEATON:
17	Q Please state your name and spell your last for the
18	court reporter?
19	A Name's Wayne Wittig, last name W-i-t-t-i-g.
20	Q How old are you, Mr. Wittig?
21	A 22.
22	Q How old were you on November the 4th, 5th, 1984?
23	A 18.
24	Q Did you at that time know Dale Flanagan and Randy
25	Moore?

	FILED
MORGAN D. HARRIS PUBLIC DEFENDER Nevada Bar #1879 309 S. Third Street Las Vegas, NV 89155 (702) 455-4685 Attorney for Defendant	MAY 26 3 28 PH '95
DISTRI	CT COURT
CLARK COUR	NTY, NEVADA
THE STATE OF NEVADA, )	CASE NO. C69269
) Plaintiff, )	DEPT. NO. XI
vs. )	
DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN, ) RANDOLPH MOORE, )	DATE OF HEARING: 6/6/95
) Defendant. )	TIME OF HEARING: 9:00 A.M.
INFORMATION REGARD	DISCLOSURE TO DING STATE WITNESS! NEFITS OF TESTIMONY
	nt, DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN, by and
	T. WALL and REBECCA A. MOUNTS,
	eby moves this Court for an Order
	rcise due diligence in searching
	a the fellowing.
for and disclosing to the defen	se the following:
	se the following: d/or information indicating that
1. Any materials and	-
1. Any materials and any State witness has either rec	d/or information indicating that
<ol> <li>Any materials and any State witness has either rece that a person of concern to b</li> </ol>	d/or information indicating that eived, directly or indirectly, or
<ol> <li>Any materials and any State witness has either rece that a person of concern to b direction, any money or other</li> </ol>	d/or information indicating that eived, directly or indirectly, or him received at his request or
<ol> <li>Any materials and any State witness has either rece that a person of concern to b direction, any money or other</li> </ol>	d/or information indicating that eived, directly or indirectly, or him received at his request or er material consideration, any by promises with respect to future
1. Any materials and any State witness has either reco that a person of concern to h direction, any money or othe leniency or promises thereof, an consideration, leniency, inte	d/or information indicating that eived, directly or indirectly, or him received at his request or er material consideration, any by promises with respect to future

000479

limited to, formal or informal, direct or indirect immunity, 1 favorable treatment or recommendation or assistance with respect 2 to any pending or potential criminal, parole, probation, pardon, 3 clemency, civil, tax court, court of claims, administrative, or 4 other dispute with the government of the United States, or any 5 state or other authority (or with any other person's, criminal, 6 civil, or tax immunity grant), relief from forfeiture, payments 7 of money, reward or fees, witness fees, or the providing of food, 8 clothing, shelter, transportation, legal services or other 9 benefits, placement in a witness security program, or anything 10 else which arguably could reveal an interest, motive, or buys of 11 the witness in favor of the State or against the Defendant, or 12 act as an inducement to testify. 13

Any materials and/or information relating to any 14 2. statements, admissions, or confessions as to crimes not charged 15 which were made by any State witness to a state agent and which 16 relate to conduct which has not as yet been disposed of in the 17 criminal justice system by way of a sentence and which might 18 reasonably be construed to have been made in contemplation of 19 receiving some assistance from the prosecution relating to the 20 disposition thereof. 21

This Motion shall be deemed to include information regarding any witness to be called by the State at the penalty phase commencing on June 12, 1995, and shall be deemed to relate to any benefit or promise of such benefit which was delivered or promised to be delivered at any time from the original trial in this matter in 1985 up to an including the date of the penalty phase to be held before this Court in June of 1995.

ř	
1	This Motion is based upon the pleadings and papers on
2	file herein, the Points and Authorities set forth below, and any
3	argument of counsel at the time of hearing.
4	DATED this 26th day of May, 1995.
5	Respectfully submitted,
6	CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER
7	D. M. M.
8	By When Mall
9	Deputy Public Defender Nevada Bar #2805
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	



### POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

1	POINTS AND AUTHORITIES
2	A defendant is entitled to production of any actual,
3	anticipated or expected benefits which any prosecution witness
4	believes he/she will receive from the State for his/her
5	testimony.
6	The cross-examiner must be permitted to test the witness' motives, interests and
7	animus and the value of his evidence for accuracy.
8	<u>State v. Fitch</u> , 65 Nev. 668, 683 (1948).
9	Great latitude in cross-examination is allowed to test
10	a witness' motives, interests, animus, accuracy and veracity.
11	McMichael v. State, 94 Nev. 184, 191 (1978); See also, United
12	<u>States v. Shaffer</u> , 789 F.2d 682 (9th Cir. 1986); <u>Bagley v.</u>
13	Lumpkin, 798 F.2d 1297 (9th Cir. 1986).
14	Ever since <u>Giglio v. United States</u> , 405 U.S. 150, 31
15	L.Ed.2d 104, 92 S.Ct. 763 (1972), any information regarding the
16	receipt of immunity or favorable treatment, or any promises made
17	to enlist the cooperation of any prosecution witness must be
18	disclosed. This rule includes information which would show a
19	prosecution witness' motive for giving testimony against a
20	defendant, United States y. Sperling, 726 F.2d 69 (2nd Cir.
21	1984), as well as any remunerative relationship which a witness
22	may have with the prosecution. See United States v. Higgs, 713
23	F.2d 39 (3rd Cir. 1983); United States v. Montoya, 716 F.2d 1340
24	(10th Cir. 1983); United States v. Allain, 671 F.2d 248 (7th Cir.
25	1982).
26	In the case of <u>United States v. Waterman</u> , 732 F.2d 1527
27	(8th Cir. 1984), the United States Court of Appeals for the
28	Eighth Circuit held that the prosecution's agreement with its key



witness to recommend a reduction of sentence if the witness' cooperation led to further indictments violated the requirements of due process, and since testimony given pursuant to this agreement was critical to support the defendant's conviction, the defendant was entitled to have his sentence vacated and seek a new trial.

1

2

3

Δ

5

6

7

9

11

21

Giglio really mandates that the government disclose anything which may indicate that the witness expects to benefit 8 from his relationship with the prosecution. This is particularly true where the witness believes that there is a relationship 10 between the amount of benefit which will inure to the witness and how well he performs for the government. See United States v. 12 Daily, 589 F.Supp. 561 (D.Mass. 1984). The Nevada Supreme Court 13 has also recognized the inherent danger of such a situation. 14 Franklin v. State, 94 Nev. 220, 225 (1978). 15

Promises of leniency go directly to the weight of that 16 witness' testimony, Farmer v. State, 95 Nev. 849, 859 (1979), and 17 the defendant has a right to cross-examine a witness regarding 18 such possible bias. <u>Yates v. State</u>, 95 Nev. 446, 449 (1979); 19 20 Givens v. State, 99 Nev. 50 (1983).

#### CONCLUSION

It is respectfully submitted that in light of the 22 foregoing authority, this Motion should be granted in all 23 respects so as to afford the Defendant herein an opportunity to 24 receive a fair penalty hearing and to secure his rights under the 25 Fourth, Fifth, and Sixth Amendments to the Constitution of the 26 United States of America as applied to the states of the 27 28

Fourteenth Amer	dment and the Constitution of the State of Nevada
Art. 1 \$\$ 1, 8	and 20.
DATE	) this 26th day of May, 1995.
	Respectfully submitted,
	CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER
	D: A LINI
	By Nun Wall
	Deputy Public Defender Nevada Bar #2805
	Nevalla Bal #2005

1	NOTICE OF MOTION				
2	TO: CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY				
3	YOU WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Clark County				
4	Public Defender has set the foregoing MOTION FOR DISCLOSURE FOR				
5	INFORMATION REGARDING STATE WITNESS' EXPECTATIONS OF BENEFITS OF				
6	TESTIMONY for hearing on Tuesday, June 6, 1995, at 9 a.m., in				
7	Department XI of District Court.				
8	DATED this 26th day of May, 1995.				
9	CLARK COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER				
10	Dull M. OC				
11	By Will David T. Wall				
12	Dávid T. Wall Deputy Public Defender Nevada Bar #2805				
13					
14	Receipt of copy of the foregoing MOTION FOR DISCLOSURE FOR INFORMATION REGARDING STATE WITNESS' EXPECTATIONS OF BENEFITS				
15	FOR INFORMATION REGARDING STATE WITNESS' EXPECTATIONS OF BEAUFILIS OF TESTIMONY is acknowledged this $26^{2}$ day of $M_{\rm curr}$ ,				
16					
17	1995.				
18	CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY				
19					
20	By Pat Hoen				
21	Flanegan.dis				
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

. . .

1	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING		
2	I hereby certify that on the 26th day of May, 1995, I		
3	placed a true and correct copy of the foregoing MOTION FOR		
4	DISCLOSURE FOR INFORMATION REGARDING STATE WITNESS' EXPECTATIONS		
5	OF BENEFITS OF TESTIMONY in the United States mails, first class		
6	postage prepaid thereon by the Clark County Mail Room to:		
7	David Schieck, Esq.		
8	302 E. Carson Ave., #918 Las Vegas, NV 89101		
9	$\cap \cdot \cdot \cdot$		
10	An employee of the Clark County		
11	Public Defender's Office		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23 24			
25			
25			
27			
28			

•			
145	2 3	STEWART L. BELL DISTRICT ATTORNEY Nevada Bar #000477 200 S. Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 (702) 455-4711 Attorney for Plaintiff THE STATE OF NEVADA	JUN 5 1 04 PM 35 Jon 5 1 04 PM 35 Jonetta Documents CLERK
7 DISTRICT COURT		OURT	
	8	CLARK COUNTY,	NEVADA
	9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	CASE NO. C69269
	10	Plaintiff,	DEPT. NO. XI
	11	~VS-	DOCKET NO. S
	12	DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN, #0737065	
	13	#0737085 RANDOLPH MOORE, #0636661	
	14	*002000T	
	15	Defendants.	I
	16		
	17		
18 ANSWER IN OPPOSITION		ANSWER IN OPPOS	SITION TO
	19DEFENDANT DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN'S MOTION TO DISCLOSE20INFORMATION REGARDING STATE WITNESS' EXPECTATION		AN'S MOTION TO DISCLOSE
			WITNESS' EXPECTATION
21 OF BENEFITS OF TESTIMONY		TESTIMONY	
	22	Hearing Date: 6 Hearing Time: 9	6-6-95 9:00 a.m.
	23		
	24	COMES NOW the State of Nevada	through STEWART L. BELL, Clark
	25	County District Attorney, by and t	through Chief Deputy District
	26	Attorney, MELVYN T. HARMON, and o	pposes Defendant Dale Edward
	27	Flanagan's Motion to Disclose Inform	ation Regarding State Witness'
	28	Expectation of Benefits of Testimony	Y•
			11. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

η.

000487

1	This answer is based upon the entire record of these						
2	proceedings, the points and authorities attached hereto, and						
3	argument of counsel.						
4	DATED this day of June, 1995.						
5	Respectfully submitted,						
6	STEWART L. BELL DISTRICT ATTORNEY						
7	Nevada Bar #000477						
8	Q IN Sofe						
9	DAN M. SEATON						
10	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #002473						
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16	POINTS AND AUTHORITIES						
17	Dale Edward Flanagan, hereinafter "FLANAGAN", is scheduled to						
18							
	inducements, promises and payments to prospective State witnesses						
	at such hearing. In response, the State represents that, to its						
	knowledge, there have been no inducements, promises or payments						
22	made, or to be made, to any such prospective witnesses since the						
23	original trial in this matter in 1985.						
24	111						
25	111						
26							
27	///						
28	///						
	2						

.

-• •

1	CONCLUSION	
2	Based on the foregoing, the State respectfully submits that it	
3	has no knowledge of any inducements, promises or payments made to	
4	the star and shill for TINNANUS resentant	
5	hearing since the original trial in this matter in 1985.	
6	DATED this day of June, 1995.	
7	Respectfully submitted,	
8	STEWART L. BELL	
9	DISTRICT ATTORNEY Nevada Bar #000477	
10	AIRA	
11	BY DAN M. SEATON	
12	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #002473	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	death.ans\flanagan.dis\kjh 3	
11	death.ans\flanagan.dis\kjh 3	

Å			
		DISTRICT OFILED IN OPEN CO	DURT
	ORIGINA	CLARK COUNTIN 19 1995 19	CIERKS
		CLARK COUNDUN 1 9 1995 19	
	3 THE STATE OF NEVADA	By * Case No. CO	Deputy
•	Plaintiff,	* Case NO. Co	169269
:	5 vs.	* * Dept. No. 2	7.7
	6 DALE EDWARD FLANAG		
·	Defendants.	* * Docket "S"	
	8	* * * * * * * * *	<b></b>
	9		
1	RABLE ADDELIAR D. GUY, III, DISTR	LICT JUDGE	
1		JURY TRIAL PENALTY PHASE (DAY 4, VOLUME IV)	
1:		FRIDAY; JUNE 16, 1995	'
14	ADDEADANCES		
1	5 For the Plaintiffs:	DANIEL M. SEATON, H	
10	6	Deputies District A 200 S. Third St.	<b>-</b> ·
1	7 For Defendant Flana	Las Vegas, NV 89155	
14		Jan: DAVID T. WALL, ESQ. REBECCA A. MOUNTS, Deputy Public Defen	ESQ.
1	9	309 S. Third St., # Las Vegas, NV 89101	226
2	0 For Defendant Moore		
2'		WILLIAM "LEW" WOLFE 302 E. Carson, #918	RANDT, ESQ.
2	2	Las Vegas, NV 89101	
23	Recorded by:	ANITA SPRINGS-WALKE Reporter/Transcribe	r
24	4	Clark County Courth 200 E. Third St., D	ept, 11
2	5	Las Vegas, NV 89101	
	SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE		(CE)
	309 ARNOLD STREET	LAS VEGAS, NV 89106	(702) 386-0830
		-	

# 000490

ļ

u i Ar

1	Also appearing: (for Rusty Havens, witness) BELL, DAVIDSON & MYERS BY: MICHAEL D. DAVIDSON, ESQ. 601 E. Bridger Ave.
2	Las Vegas, NV 89101
3	Transcription by: SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. 309 Arnold St.
5	Las Vegas, NV 89106 (702) 386-0830
6	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript by electronic sound transcriber.
7	
8	* * * *
9 10	CONTENTS
11	
12	OPENING STATEMENTS: VOLUME/PAGE
13	By Mr. Seaton IV- 94 By Ms. Mounts IV-110
14	By Mr. Schleck IV-114
15	PLAINTIFFS' WITNESSES: VOLUME/PAGE
16	DANIEL M. CONNELL:
17	Direct Examination by Mr. Seaton IV-119
18 19	PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS: VOLUME/PAGE
20	1: Photo of front entrance, IV-122
21	Washburn house
22	14: Photo of west side of IV-122 Washburn house
23	15: Photo of west side window IV-122 Washburn house
24 25	18: Photo of west side window IV-122 Washburn house
	SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE
	309 ARNOLD STREET LAS VEGAS, NV 89106 (702) 386-0830

seda a conserva-

MR. WOLFBRANDT: Yes, your Honor. MR. SEATON: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Seaton. MR. SEATON: Thank you, Judge.

#### PLAINTIFF'S OPENING STATEMENT

6 Well, good morning. I know you're all thinking it's about
7 time we got stated. And it is. We are going to get started.
8 And as we have been suggesting to you throughout the jury
9 selection, we're here on a very important mission--something that
10 should be taken in all seriousness.

Two people have been murdered. There are others, but two people in this courtroom have been convicted as murders of those first two people.

What I'm going to tell you now is what happened at the jury trial, the testimony that came out of the jury trial--the testimony that you're going to hear today and probably some of next week (I would guess Monday) as far as the State's case is concerned.

And I'm going to try and explain to you what that last jury heard and what it was that caused them to be able to return the verdicts of guilty that you are now aware of exist against Mr. Flanagan and Mr. Moore. In doing that probably the first thing I should do for you is try to let you know who the you know who the parties are.

25

1

2

3

4

5

In my opening remarks, how many days ago, I mentioned

#### IV-94

#### SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

**309 ARNOLD STREET** 

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

various names of the individuals who were involved with this and 1 what their sentences were. I'm going to go back through that 2 again a little more slowly this time and with a little more detail, because that's some of the evidence that you'll be hearing. 5

Again, so no one forgets, gentleman in the red tie--Dale 6 Flanagan; the gentleman sitting immediately to his left in the 7 brown coat -- Randy Moore. They are the Defendants. They are the 8 convicted murderers. 9

They had four friends which are among the most important 10 names that I could tell you at this time. There was Johnny Ray 11 Luckett. There was Michael Walsh, Roy McDowell, and Tom Akers. 12 Of that group you're going to meet Tom Akers. He'll be taking 13 the stand probably on Monday--definitely on Monday--and he'll be 14 testifying. 15

He will tell you of his and others' roles were in this 16 scenario. And I'm going to be telling you now what he has 17 testified to in the past and what I expect him to testify to 18 before you all. 19

Those six young men, and they were all 18/19 years old at 20 The time, by the way, is November the 5th, 1984. the time. 21 Actually it started a couple of months before that. You're going 22 to learn that these individuals, these defendants, were typical 23 young men. They liked baseball; they like going to the lake; 24 they had moms and dads; they'd gone to school--done a lot of the 25

#### IV-95

#### SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

3

4

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

(702) 386-0830

things that all normal kids do.

You're also going to learn that there was a dark and deviant side to these young men--a side which the evidence is going to show is unimaginable to most of us. Many of the jurors, as they were being selected, expressed the wonderment of what happened in this case.

What happened in this case is that somewhere in September or 7 October of 1984, these people got together, and they started 8 discussing how to get rid of Dale Flanagan's grandparents. You 9 see, he was mentioned in the will (or at least so he thought). 10 He thought that there was going to be a \$200,000 insurance 11 policy. He thought that the house--and it was a nice house, 12 you'll see photographs of it--would ultimately come to him. He . 13 thought the R.V. trailer, which they were nice enough to let him 14 live in, would be his someday, and all of their personal 15 belongings. As the grandson he thought he would ultimately gain 16 from their demise. 17

Which perhaps brings us to Carl and Colleen, his
grandparents, 57 and 58 years old. He was an air traffic
controller ready for retirement, ready for the good life. They
lived at 5851 Washburn Road, way out in the Northwest part of
town. In those days it was really out in the toolies. It was
somewhere north of Tonopah and East of Jones is its general
location so that you might know.

25

1

And they were just living normal, everyday lives that people

### IV-96

#### SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

like them live. These people started talking about how to do this
 dastardly dead. And you're going to hear about a couple of
 meetings that were had where the planning was gone into. It was
 being decided who would do what.

And there was a very--if not stupid--sophisticated plan. It was one with detail to it. Everyone had their role to play out. And you'll learn that most of the roles were played out quite similarly to the way they were planned.

9 One of the problems from Dale Flanagan's point of view was 10 that he couldn't do this by himself. He needed help. He needed 11 someone to, of course, give him support. He needed wheels to get 12 out there (his car was inoperable), and he needed guns.

And so he called upon this amazing circle of friends to help
him. And amazingly they agreed. These young men agreed to go
kill someone else's grandparents.

They got together and decided that Tom Akers was going to drive his car. He had an El Camino that you'll hear about. And they would use that car to transport them out to the house One evening.

20 Michael Walsh was going to take a stick that they had that 21 had tape on the end of it, and he was going to use it to break in 22 a side window of the house so that they could gain entrance.

Ray McDowell was going to bring, you'll hear it referred to
as a toy, a .22 caliber pistol. And he was going to go into the
house after the shootings and after the window was broken and

#### IV-97

#### SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

(702) 386-0830

make it look like a burglary by ransacking portions of the house.
Johnny Ray Luckett was going to take a rifle that they had.
It was a sawed-off .22 caliber single-shot rifle. And he was
going to back up Randy Moore in the killing of Dale Flanagan's
grandfather, Carl Gordon. Randy Moore, to do the act that he was
contemplating doing, had a semi-automatic long-barreled .22
rifle. His job, as I said, was to kill the grandfather.

B Dale Flanagan, after the window was broken was going to
9 enter into it and go to wherever his grandmother was and kill
10 her. That's what they discussed.

And on November the 5th, 1984, unbelievable as it seems, they got into Tom Akers' car. Now, I need to tell you where they were. They were over on North 13th at Randy Moore's apartment.

And there was another person at that location at that time. His name is John Lucas. And you're going to hear from John Lucas. He will tell you what went on before and after all of this occurred. John got left out. He stayed at the house, locked the door behind everybody, went to sleep; and when they came back they woke him up, and he let them in.

When they got into Akers' car, they started driving out toward Washburn Road. It was 11:00 or 12:00 at night. The Gordon's were peacefully sleeping in their own beds, oblivious to what fate awaited them.

On the way out to the Washburn address they wanted -- I think it was Randy Moore wanted to make sure that the guns

## IV-98

#### SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

**309 ARNOLD STREET** 

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

worked, or at least the gun that he was handling, the .22 longbarreled semi-automatic rifle. They stopped and he went out into the desert and fired it, and it worked. Boy, did it work! They got back into the car. They went to the Washburn address. They parked the car.

8 You're going to be able to see some pictures and some 7 diagrams that fairly clearly establish where all of these things 8 occurred--where the car was parked, what it was in relationship 9 to the house, where the trailer was in relationship to 10 the house. Because Tom Akers' job, once he drove everybody 11 there, was to go to the trailer while the other five went to the 12 house.

His job was to go into the trailer and to get to some audio tapes--music, rock and roll. These young killers had the presence of mind to know that they wanted to listen to some music after they did whatever they were going to go do. And so he did that. He went to the house -- I'm sorry, to the trailer.

While he was at the trailer and everyone else had left and 18 headed over toward the house, he heard some things. He heard 19 window breaking. He heard a woman scream. He heard a couple of 20 three shots. He heard a man yelling. And he heard six or seven 21 other shots. He got scared to death. He ran back to the car. 22 He tried to start it. You'll learn that he was out of there. 23 One way of the other, whether they were with him or not, he was 24 gone. 25

#### IV-99

#### SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

While he was trying to start his car, it wouldn't start of all things. It wouldn't turn over. Johnny Ray Luckett came on the scene carrying the very short-barreled .22 rifle that he had been assigned to back up Randy Moore with. And he too seemed a little bit nervous. And together they tried to start the car. And they did.

And about the time they started the car, up came the other
four gentlemen. They came from the front of the house, 5851
Washburn. And they were all excited and agitated, and they got
in the car, and off they went.

On the way home, back to the North 13th apartment of Randy Moore, they stopped and decided to bury the cartridges that they had and the rifles out in the desert and the pistol. Well, some of the bullets and cartridges they did bury. For whatever reason they decided not to bury the rifles. And they decided that what they would do instead is get rid of them at some other location.

They got back into Tom Akers' El Camino, and they went home. They went back to Randy Moore's apartment; and John Lucas, as I said before, let them in. And he's going to tell you that they were really agitated. They were excited; they were talking; they were doing a lot of things. And they had things with them.

And they went to the kitchen table, and they all got around the kitchen table, and one of them had a women's wallet. And they went through that wallet, and it had identification and cards and things like wallets normally do. They burned that

#### IV-100

#### SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

LAS VEGAS. NV 89106

(702) 386-0830

stuff. And if I remember right, it had \$8 in it--\$8. They took
that \$8 and went to the store and bought some beer so they could
drink some beer while they were listening to their rock and roll
after they just brutally murdered two people.

John Lucas will tell you that they described what they had done. They talked about their various roles, and it was pretty much like they had planned. They went up to the window, and Randy Moore had Dale Flanagan's knife, and he tried to cut out -or he did cut out the screen that was over the window. Remember the knife, because we're going to come back to it; it's an interesting part of this case.

He cut the screen out, and they took the stick that they had with them, and it took several thumps, but they finally broke the window in.

15 Now, before we get into the house understand this -- that the way the Gordon's lived, it was a two-story house. 16 If you go into that window that we're talking about and you make an immediate 17 turn to your right, you're walking right into the bedroom of Mrs. 18 Flanagan. And just immediately before you walk into that 19 bedroom, to your left are stairs that go up stairs where Mr. 20 Flanagan was sleeping. They were both asleep when this was going 21 on. 22

23 So the window was broken was broken, and Dale indicated that 24 he went into the window. He turned right. He walked past the 25 stairway. He walked into his grandmother's bedroom, and she was

#### IV-101

#### SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

.

LAS\_VEGAS, NV 89106

(702) 386-0830

1 just awakening. She'd been sound asleep, getting up probably 2 from the noise and the commotion just some ten or fifteen feet 3 away from her.

And he told these guys is what he did was he jumped on the bed and he put his hand over her mouth, and he shot her. He shot his grandmother three times in the head. And he killed her.

Randy Moore in the meantime stayed outside, and he was
looking through the window and watching what was happening. The
lights come on. And he said the grandfather started to come down
the stairs, obviously. There's a lot of noise now.

And as he came down the stairs Randy Moore squeezed off seven rounds or more, because he hit the grandfather seven times. A bullet was fired from Johnny Ray Luckett's gun, as well. The grandfather, as you're going to see from the pictures, fell at the bottom of the stairs. His wife was already laying on the bed, deceased.

The testimony that you're going to hear, somebody's going to read Dr. Green's testimony to you from the last hearing. You're going to hear that Mr. Gordon would have had the capacity to crawl the short distance from the bottom of the stairs to where his body was ultimately found in the doorway of his wife, going toward his wife's room, into his wife's room

Randy Moore--this fellow right here in court, Randy Moore-said to one of these people (or to all of them probably), and I
want to you to listen to these words, because they are the words

#### IV-102

## SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

/707) 286.0910

1 that we are going to prove character with, not just the killing, 2 but the state of mind that a person has after that.

He said words to this effect. The grandfather was squirming on the floor, and so I went up to him and put another one in his head. Now, there wasn't a bullet in the grandfather's head, but that was his state of mind. That's what he said; that's what he believed happened. And we can only take his words at face value.

Roy McDowell in the meantime had gone into the living room
area where Dale Flanagan instructed him there would be a purse
found in the upper reaches of the closet. And he went in there,
and he found the purse. He took the wallet that I've told you
about from the purse. He pushed furniture around and moved
things around (maybe other people helped him; I'm not sure about
that), and then he made it look like a burglary.

And Johnny Ray Luckett had fired the other shot in backing
up Randy Moore in the killing of the grandfather. That's what
these individuals did. That's who they are.

The knife--now I told you I'd get back to the knife. The knife was dropped by the side window, left there by these foolish young people. And the police, when they came to investigate the scene, they found that knife. This knife was a knife that was fairly distinctive. It was bought a Cutlery World at the Meadows Mall. Dale Flanagan, by the way, worked at McDonald's in the Meadows Mall, very close to where Cutlery World is.

25

During the course of the investigation, there was a

#### IV-103

#### SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

LAS VEGAS. NV 89106

detective by the name of Burt Levos. Okay. Burt had occasion to go to the trailer where Dale Flanagan still lived after the killings. And he had a conversation with Dale, and he told him that they'd found a knife out there. And I don't recall the rest of the conversation, but the important part of it is that Dale became aware that they had this knife that he knew belonged to him and could be traced to him.

And so he got ahold of Tommy Akers. Tommy Akers, if you'll remember, is the guy with the El Camino who did the driving and got the tapes. He took Tommy Akers over to the Meadows Mall to Cutlery World and gave Tommy Akers \$35, and he had him go into the mall, into the Cutlery World, and purchase another identical knife. And he went back and kept that at his trailer.

Enter a woman -- a girl at that time -- by the name of Angela 14 Saldana, Angela will testify before you. She had just become 15 the girlfriend of Dale Flanagan. And they had a nice 16 relationship going on. She didn't know -- she knew about the 17 killings, but she didn't know who had committed the killings. 18 But Dale was talking to her and telling her different things, and 19 one day he showed her this new knife that Tom Akers had bought 20 for him over at the mall, and he said something to the effect of, 21 "Look at this. The cops think they have my knife, but here it 22 is." 23

And she said, "But that looks brand new." And he said, "Well, I know that, but they don't know it, and they'll never

#### IV-104

#### SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

(702) 386-0830

1 know." I think this was a week after the killing, thereabouts; 2 and he was still putting on some sort of a cover-up.

The guns were finally disposed of. My memory's going to 3 fail me now. I think it was John Lucas and Randy Moore took the 4 guns, and they went out to an area of Lake Mead called the 5 Cliffs, very popular place with a lot of the kids. And they took 6 the guns, and they threw them off the cliffs out into the water. 7 And later John Lucas (if I'm right as to that's who it was, and 8 I'm pretty sure it was), he, in being interviewed by the police, 9 told them that the guns would be located out there. And they got 10 Park Service Ranger divers to go out. And, lo and behold, they 11 found the two .22 caliber rifles that had been used in this 12 13 killing.

We know that independent of what anybody said, because the first witness you're going to meet is an individual by the name of Dan Connell. And Dan is a criminalist, an I.D. specialist with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. He went to the scene out at the Gordon's house right as soon as they knew about the killing, and he collected evidence.

He created a scene diagram that you'll be seeing. He collected bullets and bullet casings, samples of blood, all sorts of things, the knife, anything he could find that was valuable to the solution of this case. And he took the bullets and the bullet casings and turned them over to an individual, who you will not meet, but you will hear about his testimony--a man by

## IV-105

#### SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

LAS VEGAS. NV 89106

the name of Richard Good; he also works in the Criminalist 1 Bureau. And he is a firearms examiner. And he has the capacity 2 to take bullets which have been fired and found either on the 3 floor or in somebody's body and match those up, or attempt to 4 match those up, to particular guns. And he also has the ability 5 to take the casing, which is the little part of the bullet that 6 had the gunpowder in it that's left over after the gun is fired, 7 and match those to particular guns. And he did that in this 8 particular case. 9

And his testimony was that insofar as the bullets he 10 recovered are concerned, they were consistent with the semi-11 automatic rifle that Randy Moore had been carrying and shooting. 12 He couldn't say for sure, because the bullet were too badly 13 deformed, and so he couldn't make a positive identification. He 14 was able to take the casings, however, and match the casings 15 16 directly to that gun. And his testimony was that there was no doubt that that gun had fired the casings. 17

|| (Pause)

18

19 I better see where I am. I'm going to forget something20 here.

21 (Pause)

Back to Angela Saldana. Angela, Dale's girlfriend at the time, was having a discussion with Dale on December the 5th, one month after the killings. And Dale at that time was upset with her, and they were discussing her prior relationships with other

#### IV-106

#### SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

(702) 386-0830

1 men. And he was upset. And he was obviously upset anyway
2 because of what he had done a month earlier.

And somewhere along the line he just blurted out words to the effect again, "Well, how do you like this? I did it. I killed my grandparents." And she later, of course, came in and testified to that in front of the last trial and will come here before you and testify to somewhat the same thing.

The arrests -- for whatever note you might take of this -- Dale 8 Flanagan was arrested on December the 9th, 1984. Luckett and 9 McDowell were arrested on December the 20th, 1984. Tommy Akers 10 on that same date, December the 20th; Walsh on the 22nd of 11 January, 1985; and Moore on the 23rd, the next day. And 12 interesting, about Randy Moore, he was arrested while in Mexico 13 14 on the run; he was headed south and trying to get away, but he was arrested and brought back to this jurisdiction. 15

16 The trial was had, as you've all heard, in 1985. These two
17 defendants -- let me back up.

18 Tommy Akers, you're going to learn -- the driver of the El 19 Camino--pled guilty to voluntary manslaughter. And he testified 20 for the State. He was put on probation. He served five years 21 probation, and he has now been taken off of probation. And 22 you'll see that he's pretty well straightened his life out. 23 Michael Walsh pled guilty to murder of the first degree, and 24 he received concurrent life sentences with the possibility of 25 parole, I think. We're going to have the judgements of

#### IV-107

#### SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

LAS VEGAS. NV 89106

(702) 386-0830

1 conviction, and you'll be able to see for yourselves exactly what 2 that was, but it was something in that ballpark.

These two gentlemen and Johnny Ray Luckett and Roy McDowell were taken to that trial in November of 1985 -- and it wasn't in November, sometime in 1985. They were all found guilty, and they were all found guilty of exactly the same thing.

They were all found guilty of three conspiracies: 7 а conspiracy to burglarize the house, a conspiracy to rob with the 8 use of a deadly weapon on the property of the Gordons, and a 9 conspiracy to murder the Gordons. They were also convicted of 10 the burglary, of the robbery with use of a deadly weapon. And 11 they were convicted, each of them, of the murder of Carl Gordon 12 13 and the murder of Colleen Gordon.

Roy McDowell received -- and I'm going to get this wrong again; I think he received lives without the possibility of parole, as did Johnny Ray Luckett, but Johnny Ray's were a little stiffer than Roy's. I think Johnny Ray got consecutive, and Roy got concurrent, but again we'll look at that when we get down to it.

20 And what we have left are these two individuals who now need 21 to be sentenced in 1995.

You've been hearing a lot about aggravating circumstances, and we've been withholding from what the particular aggravating circumstances are in this case, and we must do that out of necessity in the jury selection period. Now I'm going to tell

#### IV-108

#### SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

(702) 386-0830

you what the aggravating circumstances are which in the State's view, and if you so decide, aggravate this first-degree murder case to the extent that you will be able to view it as a death penalty case, and you will be able to deliberate as to that particular issue.

There are four. The first one was -- and I'm not going to read them as they are stated in the paperwork. You're going to get a formal reading of them in your instructions, but they are worded something like this.

The first one is that these two men, by murdering each of the grandparents, created a risk of great harm to someone else. Well, they killed Colleen Gordon and created a great risk of harm to Carl. And they killed Carl and created a great risk of harm to his wife.

Another aggravating circumstance that we have is that they committed the murders while during the course of a burglary. And they've already been convicted of the burglary.

18 The other, the third one, is that they committed the murders 19 during the course of a robbery. And they've already been 20 convicted of the robberies.

And the last is for the purpose of monetary gain. And as you're going to hear, Dale truly believed that he was going to gain monetarily from having done this--and not only monetarily, but with some other goods. And Randy believed that Dale was going to share this--not only with Randy, but

#### IV-109

#### SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

(702) 386-0830

1 with the other four codefendants.

That's the evidence. That's what this case is all about. You're now going to hear evidence a little bit today, some on Monday probably, maybe a little bit even on Tuesday, and then we'll argue to you.

Please, listen to it carefully. Listen to both sides.
Listen to whatever the Defense has to say about their case.
You're ultimately going to weigh it against the things that I
have said. And I'm sure once you've done all of this, you'll
come to the one and only appropriate decision that really, truly
fits not so much this case, but those two individuals right
there.

13

14

Thank you, very much.

## DEFENDANT FLANAGAN'S OPENING STATEMENT

MS. MOUNTS: Ladies and Gentlemen, a Clark County jury did in fact convict these two men in the murders of Carl and Colleen Gordon, occurring on or about November 5th, or 6th of 18 1984. That was nearly eleven years ago, when these boys were 19 teenagers. They are now men.

Quite frankly in that regard it is a little difficult to know exactly what to say at this point. The reason that I say that is that there is not a cold, emotionless, mental calculation that you can make and come up with an appropriate decision in this case. This is an emotional type of proceeding.

25

The jury's verdict of guilt as you've heard so many times is

#### IV-110

#### SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

J		<b>₩</b> ₩.₩::	
N			FILED IN OPEN COURT
	1		DISTRICT COURT 1995 19
-	2	original a	LARK COUNTY, NEW OPETTA BOWMAN CLERK
	3	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	By Deputy
	4	Plaintiff,	* Case No. C069269
	5	vs.	*
	6	DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN &	* Dept. No. XI *
	7	RANDOLPH MOORE,	*
	8	Defendants.	* Docket "S" *
	9	* * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * **
	10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE	ADDELIAR D. GUY, III, DISTRICT JUDGE
	11		TRIAL PENALTY PHASE
	12		(DAY 6, VOLUME VI)
	13		SDAY; JUNE 20, 1995
	14	APPEARANCES:	
	15 16	For the Plaintiffs:	DANIEL M. SEATON, ESQ. Deputies District Attorney 200 S. Third St. Las Vegas, NV 89155
	17	For Defendant Flanagan:	DAVID T. WALL, ESQ.
	18	· · · · · · · · ·	REBECCA A. MOUNTS, ESQ. Deputy Public Defenders
	19		309 S. Third St., #226 Las Vegas, NV 89101
	20	For Defendant Moore:	DAVID M. SCHIECK, ESQ.
	21		WILLIAM "LEW" WOLFBRANDT, ESQ. 302 E. Carson, #918
	22		Las Vegas, NV 89101
	23	Recorded by:	ANITA SPRINGS-WALKER Reporter/Transcriber
	24		Clark County Courthouse 200 E. Third St., Dept. 11
	25		Las Vegas, NV 89101
			-IWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC.
		309 ARNOLD STREET	LAS VEGAS, NV 89106 (702) 386-0830

4 - alline & tag

j,

		÷	
	•		
1	Transcription by: SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS 309 Arnold St.	, INC.	
	Las Vegas, NV 89106	~	-
2	(702) 386-0830		
	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording;		
4	transcript by electronic sound transcriber.		
5	* * * * *		
6	CONTENTS		
	PLAINTIFFS' WITNESSES:	VOLUME /	PAGE
7			
8	JOHN LUCAS: Voir Dire Examination by the Court	VI-	2
9	-		
	ANGELA MARIE SALDANA: Direct Examination by Mr. Seaton	VI-	3
10	Cross-Examination by Mr. Wall	VI-	
11	Redirect Examination by Mr. Seaton Recross-Examination by Mr. Wall	VI- VI-	
12	WAYNE WITTIG:		
13	Direct Examination by Mr. Seaton	VI-	
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Schieck	VI-	44
14	1989 COURT OFFICER: Previous testimony read into th		
15	Direct Examination read by Mr. Seaton Cross-Examination read by Ms. Mounts	VI- VI-	1
16	_		i i
17	DEFENDANTS' WITNESSES:	VOLUME/	PAGE
18	JANALEE HOFFMAN:		
	Direct Examination by Ms. Mounts Direct Examination by Mr. Schleck	VI- VI-	
19	Cross-Examination by Mr. Seaton	VI-:	
20	GARY HOFFMAN: Previous cestimony read into the reco	123	
21	Direct Examination by Ms. Mounts	VI-:	
22	Cross-Examination by Mr. Seaton Redirect Examination by Ms. Mounts	VI-: VI-:	
	-		
23	SHERMAN HATCHER: Direct Examination by Mr. Schleck	VI-:	
24	Direct Examination by Mr. Wall Cross-Examination by Mr. Seaton	VI-: VI-:	
25	Redirect Examination by Mr. Schleck	VI-	
	SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC.		
	FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE	190.01	6 0010
H	309 ARNOLD STREET LAS VRGAS, NV 80106	(702) 38	u-vosv 1

П		4
	DEFENDANTS' WITNESSES:	VOLUME/PAGE
1	BUD HLAVATY: Previous testimony read into the reco	
2	Direct Examination by Ms. Mounts	VI-123
3	Direct Examination by Mr. Schieck Cross-Examination by Ms. Seaton	VI-128 VI-129
4	Redirect Examination by Mr. Mounts	VI-135
	Redirect Examination by Mr. Schieck	VI-135
5	SHELLY JOHNSON:	VI-136
6	Direct Examination by Mr. Schleck Cross-Examination by Mr. Seaton	VI-143
7	DEAN M. HAYWOOD:	
8	Direct Examination by Ms. Mounts	VI-148
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Seaton Redirect Examination by Ms. Mounts	VI-158 IV-162
9		21 202
10	DEFENDANTS' EXHIBITS:	VOLUME/PAGE
11	M: "Rising Son" article by Flanagan	VI-106
12	N: "Rising Son" article by Flanagan	VI-106
13	P: Letter from Flanagan to Hoffman (11/10/92)	VI- 95
14	Q: Letter from Moore to Hoffman	VI-102
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		,
	SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC.	
	FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE	(707) 104 4014
1	309 ARNOLD STREET LAS VEGAS, NV 89106	(702) 386-0830

## TUESDAY; JUNE 20, 1995; 10:20 A.M.

1

2

3

4

ġ

10

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

24

25

THE BAILIFF: Department XI is again in session.

THE COURT: Please be seated. Continuation of case No.
C69269, <u>The State of Nevada vs. Dale Flanagan & Randolph Moore</u>.
Let the record reflect the presence of Defendants with Counsel,
District Attorney, other officers of the court.

Will the Clerk please call roll call of the jury?

(Clerk calls roll of jury; all present)

THE COURT: Will counsel stipulate as to the presence of the jury?

MR. SEATON: Yes, Judge.

MR. WALL: Yes, your Honor.

MR. SCHIECK: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning, jury.

THE JURY: Good morning, Judge.

18 MR. SEATON: John Lucas could be called now if you 19 want.

THE COURT: Bring in Mr. Lucas, please.

THE BAILIFF: John Lucas.

THE CLERK: Please remain standing, and raise your
 right hand.

JOHN LUCAS, PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, SWORN

THE CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated.

VI-1

SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

	•
1	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
2	BY THE COURT:
3	Q Mr. Lucas, yesterday you testified that you had been
-4	placed in PC. Was there any other reason for your being placed
5	in the PC other than this case?
6	A No, sir.
7	THE COURT: Counsel?
8	MR. SEATON: Judge, I believe Mr. Schieck or Mr.
9	Wolfbrandt was questioning Mr. Lucas at that time. I don't know
10	if they're finished.
11	MR. WOLFBRANDT: Judge, that was the only area we
12	wanted to go into.
13	THE COURT: All right.
14	MR. SEATON: And I have no redirect.
15	MS. MOUNTS: Nothing further, your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. You're excused.
17	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
18	THE COURT: Next witness, sir?
19	MR. SEATON: The State would call Angela Saldana.
20	THE CLERK: Please remain standing, and raise your
21	right hand.
22	ANGELA MARIE SALDANA, PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, SWORN
23	THE CLERK: Thank you, you may be seated.
24	
25	•••••
	TT O
	VI-2
	SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE
	309 ARNOLD STREET LAS VEGAS, NV 89106 (702) 386-0830

I

1	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
2	BY MR. SEATON:	
3	Q Would you please state your name and spell your last	
4	name for the court recorder?	
5	A Angela Marie Saldana-Ficklin, Saldana S-A-L-D-A-N-A.	
6	Q And what state do you currently live in, Ms. Saldana?	
7	A Utah.	
8	Q And your age today?	
9	A Thirty.	
10	Q And your age then in 1984 in November?	
11	A 19 or 20. I'm pretty sure it was 19.	
12	Q Do you know the Defendants in this case?	
13	A Yes.	
14	Q Dale Flanagan and Randy Moore?	
15	A Yes.	
16	Q Would you please point to them and identify each of	
17	them by name?	
18	A The fellow in the center table is Dale Flanagan, and	
19	the fellow on the end in the middle next to him is Randy Moore.	
20	Q And Randy Moore is to Dale Flanagan's left?	
21	A Yeah.	
22	MR. SEATON: May the record reflect the identification	
23	of the Defendants, Judge?	
24	THE COURT: Yes, it may.	
25	••••	
	<b>V</b> I-3	
	SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC.	
	FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE	
I	309 ARNOLD STREET LAS VEGAS, NV 89106 (702) 386-0830	1

ł

000514

1	BY MR. SI	EATON:
2	Q	Did you, in September of 1984 I'm sorry, in November
3	of 1984 }	know the grandparents of Dale Flanagan?
4	A	No, I did not.
5	Q	Did you become aware of their death?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Their deaths? Okay. Did you know that they died on
8	November	the 5th, 1984?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Was there a time around then when you moved into the
11	trailer o	on their property?
12	A	Shortly after.
13	Q	How shortly after?
14	A	I think it was on the 6th.
15	Q	So it would be the day after their deaths?
16	A	I think so. Or he asked me to move in on the 6th
17	actually.	
18	Q	You say that "he" asked you. Who asked you to move in?
19	A	Dale Flanagan.
20	Q	Had you began a relationship with Dale Flanagan?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Describe that very briefly for us if you would?
23	A	It was simply boyfriend/girlfriend.
24	Q	How long had you known him prior to moving in on the
25	6th?	
		VI-4
		SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE
	309 ARNOLD ST	REET LAS VEGAS, NV 89106 (702) 386-0830

and the second states which the

.Ę

000515

	1	
1	A	I had only dated him twice two weeks prior.
2	Q	So had you only known him, in terms of acquaintance
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	for two weeks?
5	<b>A</b>	Yes.
6	Q	The two dates, he asked you to move in to the trailer
7	on the Go	ordons' property, and you did?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Where did you live prior to that?
10	A	At my aunt and uncle's in Las Vegas.
-11	Q	Let me ask you to be sure and keep your voice level up,
12	so that e	everybody can hear you. You have a tendency to
13	A	I'm losing my voice actually.
14	Q	Co you need a glass of water?
15	A	Yes, please.
16	Q	Let me do that for you. And, no, I can't do it for
17	everybody	velse. Sorry.
18	A	Thank you.
19	Q	Thanks. Are you better now?
20	А	Getting there.
21	Q	Okay. Tell us a little bit about the relationship with
22	Dale. Wa	as it a close one? Was it the beginnings of a close
23	relations	ship?
24	А	Not really. It was I mean, it was intimate and not
25	really.	It was just kind of it's kind of hard I was just
		VI~5
		SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE
	309 ARNOLD ST	TREET LAS VEGAS, NV 89106 (702) 386-0830

there really. 1 All right. Do you remember sometime around November 2 0 3 the 13th, about a week later, a Detective Levos from the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Homicide Division coming to 4 5 the trailer and speaking with Dale? 6 Α Yes. 7 Q Were you present at that conversation? 8 А No. 9 Were you there at the trailer? 0 10 Α Yes. 11 Where did Dale Flanagan and Detective Levos speak? Q 12 Α Outside of the trailer. 13 Q And you were inside the trailer? 14 Α Yes. I was asked to go in. 15 Q Who asked you? 16 А Dale Flanagan. 17 And you went in and didn't hear any of the Q 18 conversation? 19 Α No. 20 0 How long did the conversa... 21 THE COURT: Counsel? 22 MR. SEATON: I'm sorry. 23 THE COURT: Could you rephrase your question? I have a 24 negative question and a negative answer. I know what you're 25 saying, know what your question -- I know what you're talking to. **VI-6** SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE 309 ARNOLD STREET LAS VEGAS, NV 89106 (702) 386-0830

[	
1	MR. SEATON: I'm not sure if I remember which question
2	the Court's referring to. Just let me just back up a little bit,
3	and we'll see if we can cover it.
4	BY MR. SEATON:
5	Q You were in the trailer not listen were you
6	listening to the conversation?
7	A NO.
8	Q All right.
9	A I could not hear.
10	Q How long did the conversation last?
11	A Approximately 15 minutes.
12	Q And did there come a time after 15 minutes when
13	Detective Levos left?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Did Dale Flanagan come back into the trailer?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Did he seem to be upset?
18	A Very.
19	Q Describe just his emotional state in terms of how you
20	saw that he was upset?
21	A Very tense, very breathy, kind of puffy.
22	Q Did he say things to you?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Did he tell you the nature of the conversation that he
25	had had with Detective Levos?
·	
	SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE
	309 ARNOLD STREET LAS VEGAS, NV 89106 (702) 386-0830

1	A	Well, I had asked him what was wrong, and then he
2	seemed li	ke he didn't want to talk about it. And then he said,
3	well, the	y had found he said, excuse me. Dale said that the
4	Detective	said that they had found something on the property.
5	Q	"They"?
6	A	Excuse me.
7	Q	"They" meaning the Homicide detectives?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	All right.
10	A	That they had found something that he, that Dale
11	Flanagan,	thought should not have been there.
12	Q	Did he tell you what that thing was?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	What was it?
15	A	It was his knife.
16	Q	His knife?
17	A	Yeah.
18	Q	Were you familiar with that particular knife?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Did he say anything more about that particular
21	conversat	ion or just feelings about it?
22	A	Not really. Later on he did, I mean, in a different
23	situation	. But other than that he pretty much just stopped
24	talking a	bout it for that moment.
25	Q	All right. You say you had seen the knife before. I'm
		<b>VI-9</b>
		SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC.
	309 ARNOLD ST	FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE           REET         LAS VEGAS, NV 89106         (702) 386-0830
	·	

1	showing	you 91A. Does that look familiar to you at all?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	And does that appear to be the same knife that you had
4	earlier	seen Dale Flanagan with?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	And is this the knife then to which he was referring?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	One other thing, State's Exhibit 69 (and I don't think
9	I've sho	wn this to Counsel), does this photograph depict the
0	trailer	that you stayed with Dale Flanagan in and its proximity
11	to the G	ordons' house?
2	- A	Yes.
3	Q	The trailer is the object on the left of the picture as
4	we look	at it?
15	A	Yes.
6	Q	Thank you.
17		MR. SEATON: May I publish this for the jury, Judge?
6		THE COURT: Yes, you may.
19		MR. SEATON: Thank you.
20	BY MR. S	EATON:
21	Q	You mentioned something about Dale Flanagan at a later
22	time tal	king about the knife. Tell us, first of all, when that
23	conversa	tion took place?
24	A	It was about a week later, I think.
25	Q	Where were you?
		SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE
	309 ARNOLD	

1	A I was at the trailer.					
2	Q Was it just you and Dale?					
3	A Yes.					
4	Q And what did he do or say?					
5	A Well, he came in and showed me his knife and he goes,					
6	"Look, I found my knife."					
. 7	Q Wait a minute. You say he showed you his knife? Did					
8	he show you this knife?					
9	A I don't think it was that knife.					
10	Q Okay. Go ahead and explain.					
11	A He showed me his knife and he said, "Look, I found my					
12	knife." And I said, "That doesn't look like your knife; that on					
13	looks new." And he said, "Well, as long as nobody else knows					
14	that, they won't have anything on me."					
15	Q "They won't have anything on me"?					
16	A Yes.					
17	Q As long as nobody else knows that this is a different					
18	knife?					
19	A Yes.					
20	Q Sometime later at the beginning of December, around					
21	December the 5th, did you have a conversation with Dale that					
22	ultimately led into a conversation about his grandparents?					
23	A Yes.					
24	Q How did that conversation start out?					
25	A We were arguing about					
	VI-10					
	SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE					
	309 ARNOLD STREET LAS VEGAS, NV 89106 (702) 386-0830					

000521

MR. WALL: Your Honor, may I ask for a foundation as to 1 time and place to the best of the witness' recollection? 2 3 THE COURT: Yes, you may. MR. SEATON: Let's do that, and I apologize. 4 5 BY MR. SEATON: Where did this take place? 6 0 7 In the trailer, mid-afternoon. Α Can you remember was it end of November, first of 8 0 ġ December? 10 Α It was the day after my birthday. 11 And your birthday is? Q 12 Α December 4th. 13 So it was December 5th. Okay. Was there anyone else 0 14 present besides yourself and Dale? 15 Α No. 16 And you said you were arguing about something. What Q 17 was that? 18 Well, I had run into an old boyfriend, and he Α apparently either got jealous or angry about me speaking to him. 19 20 Did you tell Dale that you had seen this old boyfriend, 0 21 or did he see you with him? How did he find out? 22 I just ran into him while we were together. Α 23 You and Dale were together? 0 24 While Dale and I were together, I ran into him and ... Α 25 Did that trigger Dale's jealous sensations? Q VI-11 SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE (702) 386-0830 309 ARNOLD STREET LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

				· • .		
		-				
1	A	Yeah.		· .		
2	Q	He didn't.	••			· · ·
3	A	Yeah.			 	
4	Q	He didn't.	• •	•		
5	А	Yes.				
6	Q	Okay. I'm	sorry. He	e didn't l	ike your refer	ences to or
7	talking to an old boyfriend?					
8	A	No.				
9	Q	All right.	Where dia	i the conv	ersation go?	
10	First of all, before you get there, was he really angry?					
11	Was he really jealous? Was this extreme or just a casual					
12	conversation?					
13	A	It seemed	like it car	ne out of	the blue actua	lly, and it
14	wasn't real extreme, but it was intense. I mean, you could tell					
15	that he was perturbed by it.					
16	· Q	All right.	Did it go	o on for a	ny length of t	ime?
17	A	Not really	. It just	led in	it just kind	of led into
18	another conversation, you know, just					
19	Q	Tell us ab	out that of	ther conve	rsation that i	t led into?
20	A	Well, I ha	d stated th	nat ever s	ince his grand	parents
21	died, you	ı know, he's	been, you	know, not	showering, he	's been a
22	grouch, you know, he's I mean, I think by then he had quit his					
23	job. He was very indifferent to everything, but he was still					
24	very edgy. And I had mentioned that to him; and, you know, I					know, I
25	thought maybe I should leave or something and he just said, "I					said, "I
	VI-12					
		S	OUTHWEST 7	TRANSCRIPTS		
	309 ARNOLD S	TREET	LAS VI	EGAS, NV 89106		(702) 386-0830

in the to be a

-1

1	don't care what you do. I don't care where you go."					
2	Q Did he say anything about his grandparents?					
3	A Yes.					
4	Q What did he say?					
5	A Actually he said he was tired of running from his					
6	problems or any of the problems that he went around and gotten					
7	into. Please keep in mind this is like ten years ago. I'm					
8	trying to remember everything.					
9	Q I understand.					
10	A But because I said, "Ever since your grandparents died					
11	you've been, you know, grouchy or whatever," at that time he was					
12	he seemed extremely frustrated, and he snapped at me, and he					
13	said, "Well, how do you like this: I did it. I killed my					
14	grandparents."					
15	Q He said that to you?					
16	A Yes.					
17	Q Do you know whether or not Dale believed that he was in					
18	the grandparents' will?					
1 <del>9</del>	A Yes.					
20	Q Tell us what you know about that?					
21	A He just mentioned that it was rumored to him and he					
22	strongly believed that he was in the will and that half would go					
23	to him and half would go to his sister.					
24	Q Did he tell you what specifically was in the will that					
25	he might be the beneficiary of?					
	VI-13					
	SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE					
	309 ARNOLD STREET LAS VEGAS, NV 89106 (702) 386-0830					

ll

I think it was a \$200,000 insurance policy as well as Α 1 2 whatever money they had saved, I think. Did Dale ever describe to you the night of the murder? 3 0 4 Α Yes. 5 What did he tell you happened on that night? And first 0 6 of all, when did this conversation take place? 7 The same day. Α This was the 5th of December, day after your birthday 8 Q 9 conversation? 10 Α Yea. 11 0 Was it -- did it follow up? I mean, was it all part of 12 the same conversation? 13 Α Yes. 14 All right. Please tell us what he said to you about 0 15 what happened on the night of the murders? 16 Well, I asked him why did he -- because he stated that A 17 he did it, I asked him why did he let that happen, and he went on 18 to explain what happened. And he said that they were at Randy 19 Moore's apartment trying to figure out how to do it. 20 Did he tell you who the "they" were? Name the people 0 21 who were involved in the killing? 22 Yes. John Ray Luckett, Randy Moore, himself Dale Α 23 Flanagan, Mike Walsh, I think, and Tom Akers. 24 Okay. And you say he told you that they were at 0 25 Randy's apartment? VI-14 SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE (702) 386-0830 309 ARNOLD STREET LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

-	1					
1	A	Yes.				
2	Q	And were they planning it?				
3	A Yes.					
4	Q The killings at that place?					
5	5 A Yes.					
6	Q And what else did he tell you?					
7	A That they wanted to make it look like a robbery.					
8	Q Did he tell you who was going to drive out?					
9	A	Tom Akers.				
10	Q	Did he tell you anything about how they were going to				
11	get inside the house?					
12	A	Yes.				
13	Q	Or how they did get inside the house?				
14	A	Yes, this was after the fact, yeah.				
15	Q	What did he say about that?				
16	A	He just said that he had a stick and broke the window.				
17	Q	Did he tell you which window?				
18	A	The right-hand side window to the house.				
19	Q	Did he show you that window over at the house at				
20	anytime?					
21	А	Yes.				
22	Q	So you knew the exact window he was talking about?				
23	А	I did, but he was just showing me the window as in				
24	"This is what the robbers broke."					
25	Q	Okay.				
		VI-15				
	SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE					
	309 ARNOLD STREET LAS VEGAS, NV 89106 (7					

weeks to all the weeks

I mean, he didn't, you know, at the time he showed it 1 Α 2 to me... What the robbers broke or what he broke? 3 Q Well, at the time that he showed me the window, he 4 Α didn't tell me this yet. He just said, "Oh, look, they broke the 5 6 window." This was before he confessed to you that he did the 7 0 8 killings? ġ A Yes. He was trying to rub it off on somebody else, some 10 0 11 unidentified robbers? 12 Α Yeah. 13 Oh, okay. So going back to telling you now how he 0 14 actually committed the crime, did he tell you what weapons were 15 involved? 16 He just said that he had two shotguns, his knife, and a Α 17 pistol. 18 Did he say who had the pistol? Q 19 Α Himself. 20 Okay. Did he tell you who killed the grandmother? Q 21 A Yes. 22 Who did? 0 23 Α Himself, Dale Flanagan. 24 Q Did he say how he did that? 25 Α Yes. VI-16 SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE LAS VEGAS, NV 89106 (702) 386-0830 309 ARNOLD STREET

1	Q Tell us?		
2	A He said that he broke the window, and he crawled in.		
3	She was sleeping to the right of that window (there was a bedroom		
4	off to the right), he went into the room, turned on the light		
5	and		
6	Q He turned the light on?		
7	A Yes.		
8	Q All right.		
9	A Turned the light on, wrestled his grandmother to the		
10	bed, put his hand over her jaw and shot her once in the head.		
11	Q The words that you're telling us, are those Dale		
12	Flanagan's words?		
13	A These are Dale's words.		
14	Q He used the word "wrestle" his grandmother to the bed?	"	
15	A Yes.		
16	Q And "grabbed the jaw"?		
17	A Yes.		
18	Q And "shot her in the head"?		
19	A Yes.		
20	Q He had been jealous before, and I think you		
21	characterized it as somewhat emotional, but not overly so as you		
22	were discussing that part of the conversation. What were his		
23	emotions like when he was describing this act of turning on the		
24	light, and wrestling his grandmother to the bed, and holding his		
25	hand on her jaw, and shooting her in the head?		
	VI-17		

E.

SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

 $\|$ 

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

Basically in the same manner that I'm speaking to you 1 А 2 now. Don't take this as offensive, but would you agree that 3 0 that could be characterized as rather flat and cold? 4 5 Α I could hear a little bit of frustration in his voice, 6 but that's it. Did he say who carried the other rifles? 7 Q To the best of my memory John Ray Luckett and Randy 8 Α 9 Moore. 10 Did he say who killed the grandfather? Q 11 Α He said that John Ray Luckett and Randy Moore were both 12 shooting at the grandfather. There was a semi-automatic and a single shot .22 rifle 13 0 14 that was out there. Did he say who carried which one? 15 Ά I can't remember. 16 Do you know which one Randy had? Q 17 I really can't remember. I don't even know if he told Ά 18 me specifics like that. 19 0 Okay. Did he say how many times Randy and Luckett shot 20 the grandfather? 21 He said that he heard about seven or eight shots. Ά 22 Q Did they say whether or not -- did he say whether or 23 not they attempted to make it look like a burglary? 24 Α Yes. 25 Did he say who did that act? Q VI-18 . SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE (702) 386-0830 LAS VEGAS, NV 89106 309 ARNOLD STREET

He said that all three of them ran through the house. Α 1 They ran through the house and looking for, I think, the purse, 2 the grandmother's purse. 3 Did you ever go into that house ... 4 0 5 А Yes. ... after the 6th of November? 6 0 7 Α Yes. 8 Who did you go in with? Q 9 Α Dale Flanagan. 10 Was anybody else there? Q Occasionally there were, but for the most part no. 11 Α 12 0 You went in more than once? 13 Α Many times. 14 Was there a purpose in going into that house? Q 15 Yes, to look for the will. Α 16 To look for the will? Q 17 Α Yes. 18 Did you ever find the will? Q 19 Nope. Α 20 Was anything ever taken out of the house? Q 21 While he was with me, really no, nothing other than Α 22 food. 23 Were you aware through Dale Flanagan telling you that 0 24 anything had been taken out of the house? 25 Α At that time? No. VI-19 SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE (702) 386-0830 LAS VEGAS, NV 89106 309 ARNOLD STREET

Q At anytime?

I...

А

1

2

8

9

13

16

Q At sometime after the deaths of the grandparents?
A I mean, I wasn't -- okay. He had told me that the
house had been robbed. At that particular moment he was, as you
know -- while we were walking through the first time, he had told
me that the house was robbed. That's all I knew at that time.

Q I should have been more specific with my question.

A Yeah.

10 Q I was referring to whether or not Dale told you that he 11 Dale had ever taken anything out of the house after the 12 grandparents passed, death?

A Just the purse, I think, really.

14 Q He did tell you about the purse being taken back to 15 Randy Moore's apartment?

A Yeah.

Q Was it a sort of an eerie feeling walking through that
house with the killer?

A Actually, the air of the house, as far as that goes,
was more eerie at that time. Being alone with Dale in the
trailer sometimes was very eerie...

22

23

24

Q That concludes...

A ... because I knew I was by myself.

Q Oh, I'm sorry.

25

MR. SEATON: That concludes direct examination, Judge.

# VI-20

# SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

	•	
1	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
2	BY MR. WALL:	
з	Q Ms. Saldana, you were 19 years old at the time you	
4	moved in with Dale?	
5	A Yes.	
6	Q And you said that he asked you to move in on November	
7	5th, 1984?	
8	A Yes.	
9	Q And that you actually moved in on November 6th?	
10	A Yes.	
11	Q Do you recall that the house and the property was rope	∋đ
12	off by the police after they discovered the crime on the morning	3
13	of November 6th?	
14	A The discovery was made on the 6th?	
15	Q Yes.	
16	A Well, then this is ten years old, and you'll have to	
17	forgive me.	
18	Q Well, ten years	
19	A If I'm wrong, then I'm wrong.	
20	Q Ten years ago you also testified that you moved in on	
21	November 5th or November 6th. Could you be mistaken?	
22	A I could be mistaken maybe by a few days or something.	
23	Q Did you move in by yourself, or did a friend of yours	
24	name Kim move in with you into the trailer?	
25	A I don't remember a Kim.	
	VI-21	
	SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. PEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE	
	309 ARNOLD STREET LAS VEGAS, NV 89106 (702) 386-08	10

Acres 1 ି

ł

000532

• -

Do you recall any friends of yours moving into the 1 Q trailer, into Dale's trailer, at some point while you were also 2 3 living there? Not while I was living there. There was someone living 4 Α 5 there before I got there. No friend of yours? Is that your testimony? 6 0 7 I'm saying that to my knowledge someone I knew lived Α 8 there before I moved there. Did you have a chance to review transcripts of prior 9 0 10 testimony before coming in today? 11 Α Yes. 12 You've testified in ... Q I don't have all of my testimony, but I had most of it. 13 Α 14 I understand. You've testified a number of times at Q 15 various hearings and the trial in 1985. Is that right? 16 Α Yes. 17 Mr. Seaton characterized for you your recitation of 0 18 what Dale told you as flat and cold. You also testified that 19 there was a definite change in him after November 6th, something 20 about him not showering? 21 Α Yes. 22 Can you explain what change you saw in him? 0 23 He just walked around kind of indifferent, but still Α 24 very edgy. Not shaving, not showering for a couple of days at a 25 time, not cleaning, not being mobile really at all other than VI-22 SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE (702) 386-0830 LAS VEGAS, NV 89106 309 ARNOLD STREET

1 going to the house.

2 Q And you described him, I think, as, did you say "edgy" 3 as well?

A Yes.

4

13

14

15

18

Q As though something had affected him around November
6 6th that changed his outlook. Would that be a fair
7 characterization?

8 A I would have to say so. It was a buildup it seemed 9 like.

Q You testified that Dale was with you when you went into the Gordons' house to look for the will. Now, there were a number of other people with you at that time. Is that right?

A The first time?

Q Yes.

A The first time, yes.

16QDale's mother was there, other friends of hers, other17relatives were going through the house that day. Is that right?

A Yes.

19 Q The change in Dale essentially culminated in the 20 conversation that you had with him in December where he told you 21 what happened. And is that the same time he said to you, "I 22 don't care what you do"?

23 || A Yes.

Q That was in response to your having met with your old boyfriend and that which made him jealous?

#### VI-23

# SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

I think that's just what triggered the conversation to 1 Α 2 go up. You made it sound like he was sort of unreasonable for 3 Q 4 being jealous? 5 Α It was not like him at all. Have you received any benefit for testifying in this 6 0 case? 7 8 Yes. Α Back in 1985 you received \$2,000 for your testimony? 9 Q 10 Α Yes. 11 And you didn't receive it until after you got done 0 12 testifying. Is that right? 13 Α Yes. 14 Q And do you remember testifying in 1989? 15 Α Yes. 16 You were in... Q 17 Α I think it was... 18 ... custody of the Clark County Jail at that time. 0 Is 19 that right? 20 Α Yes. 21 0 Do you remember what the charge was? 22 Α Drug Trafficking. 23 Did you have an attorney? Q 24 Yes. Α 25 Did your attorney explain to you what the possible Q VI-24 SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE (702) 386-0830 309 ARNOLD STREET LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

penalties were for drug trafficking at that time? 1 2 Yes. Α Did he tell you that it was mandatory prison time if 3 Q you were convicted of trafficking, that there is no probation? 4 5 Yes, but I was not promised to be relieved of that ... А 6 Just follow my questions. Q 7 ... because of this trial. Α Just follow my questions. He told you that you could 8 0 9 what, from three to 20 years in the Nevada State Prison? do, 10 Α Yes. 11 And be subject to a fine of up to 25 or \$50,000? 0 12 Α Yes. 13 And it was while you were in custody on that charge Q 14 that you testified in 1989. Is that correct? 15 Α Not by choice. Yes. 16 Are you answering my question yes? Q 17 Ά Yes. 18 And within six months after your testimony, that charge 0 19 of trafficking in drugs was reduced to Trespassing. 20 Α Yes. 21 Is that right? Q 22 Α Yes. 23 0 And you got a \$200 fine? 24 Α Yes. 25 Q And you didn't go to prison? VI-25 SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE (702) 386-0830 309 ARNOLD STREET LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

	•	
1	A	No.
2	Q	And you weren't taken to trial in that case?
3	A	No.
4	Q	Have you had a chance to talk to Thomas Akers?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	When did you?
7	A	Many times. I've seen
8	Q	Let me qualify that. In the last three days?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Was he out in the hall at the same time you were
11	yesterday	?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Other than this week, have you talked to him within the
14	last ševe	ral years?
15	A	Shortly after the trial shortly after the arrest.
16	Q	Okay.
17	A	That was it.
18	Q	Now, you don't remember me from any of these other
19	proceedin	gs. Is that right?
20	A	No, I don't think so.
21	Q	I wasn't there, so okay.
22	I've had a chance to review your testimony from the other	
23	times, and I want to make sure I get this straight. You moved in	
24	with Dale Flanagan somewhere in the beginning of November of	
25	1984, rig	ht?
		SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE
	309 ARNOLD ST	IREET LAS VEGAS, NV 89106 (702) 386-0830

10

· · ·

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	And you were living there with him involved in a
3	relations	
-4	Å	Yes.
5	Q	And within two weeks after you moved in let me ask
6	you this.	When you moved in with Dale, did you know Thomas
7	Akers?	
8	A	No.
9	Q	Within two weeks after you moved in with Dale, you
10	started h	aving sexual relations with Thomas Akers. Is that
11	right?	
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	And you knew he was a friend of Dale's?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Within a few weeks of that, you had conversations with
16	Thomas Ak	ers about getting married to Thomas Akers. Do you
17	recall th	at?
18	A	In the trailer, yes.
19	Q	Okay. And you were still living with Dale when you
20	were talk	ing to Thomas Akers about marrying him.
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Is that right? Is that right?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	When you testified a few months after that, Dale
25	Flanagan	had been arrested, right?
		VI-27
		SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE
	309 ARNOLD ST	REET LAS VEGAS, NV 89106 (702) 386-0830

1.0

Yes. 1 Δ And you testified to Dale Flanagan's involvement and 2 0 specifically said that Thomas Akers seemed to be a victim of 3 circumstance? 4 5 Α Yes. And after Thomas Akers got probation, after he made a 6 0 deal, he took a job with your uncle. 7 8 Α Yes. Is that right? Did you talk to Dale at all during 9 Q November or December about the fact that you were sleeping with 10 11 Tom Akers? 12 Α No. Did you talk to Dale at all about the fact that you and 13 Q Thomas Akers were discussing marriage in late 1984? 14 15 Α No. 16 But did he have a reason to be jealous when you saw 0 your old boyfriend? 17 18 Α No. Because you weren't having any relations with your old 19 Q 20 boyfriend? 21 Α No. 22 You were having them with Thomas Akers? Q 23 Α Yes. 24 Thank you. Pass the witness, your Honor. MR. WALL: 25 MR. SCHIECK: No questions, your Honor. VI-28 SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE (702) 386-0830 309 ARNOLD STREET LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

1	MR. SEATON: Very briefly.
2	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. SEATON:
·	Q You were asked by Mr. Wall about benefits that you may
5	have received as a result of testifying. Let's see if we can
6	straighten this out.
7	A Uh-huh.
8	Q You got \$2,000 back in 19' well, I don't know when
. 9	you got it, but it was around 1985.
10	A Uh-huh.
11	Q Was it not?
12	A Yes.
13	Q That was as a result of testifying, what, at both the
14	preliminary hearing and the trial?
15	A Yes.
16	Q That money came from Secret Witness, didn't it?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Whose job it is to pay people for information that they
19	obtain about criminal cases?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And that's what you had done?
22	A Yes, but I didn't know about it until after I had made
23	the statement.
24	Q Now, how did you learn about the Secret Witness money?
25	A Apolice officer.
•	VI-29
	SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE
	309 ARNOLD STREET LAS VEGAS. NV 89106 (702) 386-0830

諸語

1	Q	Was it one of the detectives?	
2	А	It was actually, yeah.	
3	Q	Levos or	
4	A	I think it was Levos.	
5	Q	Okay. So that's where that \$2,000 came from?	
6	A	Yes.	
7	Q	And the jury convicted Dale Flanagan, Randy Moore, Roy	
8	McDowell and Johnny Ray Luckett of the murders of Dale's		
9	grandpare	nts in 1985 after your testimony. Is that correct?	
10	A	Yes.	
11	Q	Then we move forward to 1989, and you testified at	
12	another hearing.		
13	A	Yes.	
14	Q	And that's when you were in jail on the trafficking	
15	charges?		
16	A	Yes.	
17	Q	Now, you've known me since 1984. Have you not?	
18	A	Yes.	
19	Q	At the beginning of the case?	
20	A	Yes.	
21	Q	I've always been on this case?	
22	A	Yes.	
23	Q	I've always been the lead Prosecutor, the decision	
24	maker on the case. Is that correct?		
25	А	Yes.	
		<b>VI-</b> 30	
		SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE	
	309 ARNOLD ST	IREET LAS VEGAS. NV 89106 (702) 386-0830	

and same and the second solution

 a side of the second of the second secon second sec

In 1989 when it came time for you to testify in a case .1 0 where there were already convictions, did I cut any sort of a 2 deal with you regarding your trafficking charge? 3 No, not even a little bit. One wasn't asked of you. Α 4 Was nothing done on your behalf by law enforcement, 5 Q including Metro or the DA's Office to give you some sort of 6 benefit for the testimony you were giving? 7 8 Α No. Did you give exactly the same testimony that you had 9 Q given in 1985? 10 11 Ά Yes. 12 At both the preliminary hearing and the trial? 0 13 Α Yes. 14 And when the charges were finally ultimately deposed Q of, did I have anything to do with the disposition of those 15 16 charges? 17 Α No. 18 0 Did... 19 Judge, I'm going to object as to the MR. WALL: 20 question asking her personal knowledge of whether Mr. Seaton had 21 anything to do with it. 22 MR. SEATON: Well... 23 MR. WALL: It's all within the same office. She may 24 not have any information. 25 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. Can you VI-31 SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE (702) 386-0830 309 ARNOLD STREET LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

1	rephrase your question, Counsel, please?		
2	MR. SEATON: I'll rephrase the question.		
3	BY MR. SEATON:		
4	Q Do you know whether or not anyone in our office, in the		
5	District Attorney's Office, plea bargained your case, the		
6	trafficking case, as a result of whatever you had done on behalf		
7	of this case against Dale Flanagan and Randy Moore?		
8	A To the best of my knowledge I don't think anyone had		
9	any hand in getting me out. I didn't I simply was not in the		
10	premises or on the premises. I don't do drugs, so it was proven		
11	that I did not do it. They just couldn't like let it go, that's		
12	all.		
13	MR. SEATON: That concludes redirect.		
14	RECROSS - EXAMINATION		
15	BY MR. WALL:		
16	Q Ms. Saldana, that case that you had it was a		
17	trafficking case, that came into court by way of what we call a		
18	grand jury. Is that right?		
19	A Yes.		
20	Q Now, do you know what a grand jury is?		
21	A I don't think I've ever really appeared in front of		
22	one.		
23	Q Okay.		
24	A But if I have, I wouldn't know.		
25	Q The grand jury heard your case, and it ended up in		
	VI-32		
	SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC.		
	FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE		
ļ	309 ARNOLD STREET I.AS VEGAS. NV 89106 (702) 386-0830		

District Court, and that's where you first appeared. 1 Α Yes. 2 3 0 Okay. MR. WALL: Thank you. 4 MR. SCHIECK: Nothing, your Honor. 5 MR. SEATON: Nothing, Judge. 6 THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am, you are excused. 7 8 THE WITNESS: Thank you. THE COURT: Call your next witness, sir? 9 I call Wayne Wittig. MR. SEATON: 10 11 THE CLERK: Please remain standing, and raise your 12 right hand. WAYNE WITTIG, PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, SWORN 13 14 Thank you, you may be seated. THE CLERK: 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. SEATON: 17 Please state your name and spell your last for the 0 18 court recorder? 19 Wayne Wittig, W-I-T-T-I-G. Α 20 Q Do you know the Defendants in this action, Dale 21 Flanagan and Randy Moore? 22 Yes, I do. Α 23 When did you first get to know them? Q 24 When I was in high school. Α 25 Q Can you give us a year approximately? VI-33 SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE (702) 386-0830 LAS VEGAS NV ROLOS 309 ARNOLD STREET

11		and the second
	the set of	and general sector of the sect
	· ·	•
1		CT COURT
2	ORIGINAL CLARK COU	NTY, NEVADA 1995
3		LORETTA BOWMAN, CLERK
4	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	* Case Nov 2968269 Deputy
5	Plaintiff,	* * · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
6		* Dept. No. XI
7	DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN & RANDOLPH MOÒRE,	*
8	Defendants.	* Docket "S"
9	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * **
10	ד זפרתא שזפגפרארט פעש פרטיפוב	AR D. GUY, III, DISTRICT JUDGE
11		- PENALTY PHASE
12		OLUME VIII)
13	THURSDAY; J	UNE 22, 1995
14	APPEARANCES :	
15	For the Plaintiffs:	DANIEL M. SEATON, ESQ. Deputies District Attorney
16		200 S. Third St. Las Vegas, NV 89155
17	For Defendant Flanagan:	DAVID T. WALL, ESQ.
18		REBECCA A. MOUNTS, ESQ. Deputy Public Defenders
19		309 S. Third St., #226 Las Vegas, NV 89101
20	For Defendant Moore:	DAVID M. SCHIECK, ESQ.
21		WILLIAM "LEW" WOLFBRANDT, ESQ. 302 E. Carson, #918
22		Las Vegas, NV 89101
23	Recorded by:	ANITA SPRINGS-WALKER Reporter/Transcriber
24		Clark County Courthouse 200 E. Third St., Dept. 11
25		Las Vegas, NV 89101
		RANSCRIPTS, INC.
ļ	309 ARNOLD STREET LAS VEC	AS. NV 89106 (702) 386-0830

N

۰.		
		**
1	Transcription by: 309 Arnold St. Las Vegas, NV 8910	
2	(702) 386-0830	
3	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript by electronic sound transcriber.	;
5	* * * * *	
6	<u>CONTENTS</u>	
7	MOTIONS:	VOLUME/PAGE
8	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO REOPEN CASE IN CHIEF:	
	Argument by Mr. Seaton Argument by Mr. Wall	VIII- 2 VIII- 5
9	Argument by Mr. Schieck	VIII- 10 VIII- 11
10	Argument by Mr. Seaton Court's ruling: Denied	VIII- 16
11	DEFENDANTS' MOTION RE JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 7:	
12	Argument by Mr. Wolfbrandt Argument by Mr. Wall	VIII- 30 VIII- 34
13	Argument by Mr. Seaton Court's ruling: Denied	VIII- 36 VIII- 40
14	Court's furing: Denied	VIII IO
15	DEFENDANTS' WITNESSES:	VOLUME/PAGE
16	RANDOLPH MOORE: Unsworn Statement	VIII- 19 VIII- 23
17	DALE FLANAGAN: Unsworn Statement	V±11- 23
18	•	
19	<u>SETTLEMENT OF JURY INSTRUCTIONS</u> : By the Court & Counsel	VOLUME/PAGE VIII- 27
20		
20	READING OF JURY INSTRUCTIONS:	VOLUME/PAGE
22	By the Court	VIII- 45
23	CLOSING ARGUMENTS:	VOLUME/PAGE
24	By Mr. Seaton By Mr. Schieck	VIII- 46 VIII- 65
25	By Mr. Wall By Mr. Seaton	VIII- 77 VIII- 97
20		
	SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC.	
	FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE	
	309 ARNOLD STREET LAS VEGAS, NV 89106	(702) 386-0830

1000

and the strategy of the same strategy with the second second second second second second second second second s

a based as faith that a contraction to the formation of a

,是不是是一些是是有一些是不是有些不能是不能是是一些的情况,就是是是这些是不是不是不是一些的事实。"这些是一个是一个人,也是不是也能能能

back to the jury room, and you'll select a foreperson, and then we'll feed you. 2

Mr. Seaton?

1

3

# CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. SEATON

MR. SEATON: On November the 5th, 1984, Carl and 5 Colleen Gordon were in their house. They had a right to expect 6 privacy. And it wasn't their house, this was their castle, their 7 sanctuary, their home. These two men invaded that precious 8 space. 9

That night the Gordons' sleep was shattered and their bodies 10 were riddled by the bullets of night-stalking terrorists, and we 11 are here today to decide what to do about that. 12

There are two questions that jurors must ask of themselves 13 at this particular juncture, and it's with regard to the death 14 penalty. The first is am I legally allowed to impose the death 15 penalty? And the second is do I want to if I feel its an 16 appropriate penalty in this particular situation? 17

In deciding as to whether or not you are legally entitled, 18 we have to go through the instructions, a few of them that the 19 Judge just read. And I'm going to go through with you in this 20 particular area Instructions 6, 7 and 8. 21

Let me read a little and paraphrase a little, and 22 essentially this won't take long. It's sort of the same thing 23 that we've talked about as we went through the jury selection 24 process. And it starts of with your duty. "It shall be your 25

#### VIII-46

### SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

309 ARNOLD STREET

(702) 386-0830

duty," this is Instruction 6.

1

2

3

5

21

22

23

24

25

"It shall be your duty to determine whether an aggravating circumstance or circumstances exist, and whether, " number two, "a mitigating circumstance or circumstances exist,"

and based upon these findings whether a defendant should be
sentenced to life imprisonment or death. And as we mentioned,
you weigh the mitigation against the aggravation. And only if
the aggravation outweighs the mitigation, then are you allowed to
return a verdict of death if you so choose.

Nowhere in these instructions, nowhere will any of the 11 parties tell you that you must return a verdict of death. Under 12 any circumstances, if you desire not to, you have that capacity. 13 But in the event you find that the mitigation outweighs the 14 aggravation -- I'm sorry, the other way around. If you find that 15 the aggravation outweighs the mitigation, then you are entitled 16 to consider it and you are entitled to return to this courtroom 17 with that verdict. 18

19 Instruction 7 tells you what the aggravating circumstances20 are. Let me go through them.

"1. The murders of Carl and Colleen Gordon were committed by the Defendants, who knowingly created a great risk of death to more than one person by means of a weapon, device or course of action which would normally be hazardous to the lives of more than one person."

#### VIII-47

### SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

**309 ARNOLD STREET** 

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

(702) 386-0830

They have been convicted of both of those murders, and clearly for one to take place, the other one had to take place. And if that doesn't define a great risk of harm to another person, then I don't know what does. And I really can't say too much more about that, other than this instruction contemplates does the killing of one person put somebody else at risk? And obviously it did.

> "2. The murders of Carl and Colleen Gordon were committed while the Defendants were engaged in the commission of or an attempt to commit, or flight after committing, or attempting to commit a robbery."

Plain and simple that a robbery occurred while this crime 12 was going on. Not only do the facts that you've heard tell you 13 that -- they went in, they got the purse, they took it, it had 14 money in it, it had identification in it--that is a robbery, but 15 the Defendants were convicted of that robbery. One of the 16 exhibits that we just admitted in this morning, very clearly says 17 that both Dale Flanagan and Randy Moore were convicted of the 18 crime of robbery. 19

> "3. The murders of Carl and Colleen Gordon were committed while the Defendants were engaged in the commission of or an attempt to commit or flight after committing, or attempting to commit a burglary."
> Was there a burglary committed during the course of the

robbery? Again, they were convicted of it. And when you break a

# VIII-48

# SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

8

9

10

11

20

21

22

23

24

25

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

window out of a place that you're not -- of anyplace, whether you're supposed to be in it or not, and you go through that window with the intent to commit a felony, you've committed a burglary. And forget that legal analysis, they were convicted of burglary, and so that one is equally clear.

> "4. The murders of Carl and Colleen Gordon were committed by the Defendants, for themselves or others,

to receive money or any other thing of monetary value."
This is different from the robbery. It has nothing to do
with the \$2 bill and any other money that they might have
obtained through the robbery. This has to do with Dale
Flanagan's--and the others, including Randy Moore--expectation of
being in the will, of getting a part of the \$200,000 life
insurance policy. If it existed.

It doesn't even matter if it existed. What is important is 15 what was in their minds. Did they think they were doing this in 16 order, under No. 4 here, to get monetary value. And clearly it 17 wasn't only the insurance policy, but if he was in the will he 18 was expecting the house, the trailer and all the personal 19 property, and anything that would come through the estate 20 would go to Dale, and I think it was stated perhaps maybe his 21 sister too. 22

Ladies and gentlemen, there is a standard of beyond a reasonable doubt that the State must meet for you to accept as true these particular aggravating circumstances. That reasonable

#### VIII-49

# SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

6

7

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

(702) 386-0830



ALC: NO. CONTRACTOR

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

doubt, you've heard the definition read of it. In this case, in these four cases, there is absolutely no doubt that these are true and factual.

Those are to be weighed now. On one hand, we're going to put them over on this side of the scale, against whatever the mitigating circumstances are. And Instruction No. 8 speaks to the mitigating circumstances in this particular case.

> "Murder of the first degree may be mitigated by any of the following circumstances, even though the mitigating circumstance is not sufficient to constitute a defense or reduce the degree of the crime: (1) the defendant

has no significant history of prior criminal activity." Well, the Defendants -- you haven't had a big long rap sheet brought out in front of you, there's no sign that they've spent a great deal of their young lives in juvenile detention center or jail or anyplace like that. And I'm not going to suggest to you they did, because there is no evidence to that fact.

But they did use alcohol, they did use drugs. Dale Flanagan's own doctor indicated that he used -- he admitted to using LSD and marijuana. Some of the witnesses indicated that that sort of thing went on. They had no compunction at all about carrying weapons--pistols, rifles, knives. That didn't seem to bother them too much.

I remember the testimony of Wayne Wittig. Randy Moore seemed to have no difficulty pointing a shotgun at him or firing

# VIII-50

#### SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

(702) 386-0830

shots from a following car. These individuals seemed to have no difficulty threatening other people and their families with the loss of their lives should they tell anybody about what had gone on. Are those significant history of prior criminal activity? I leave that to your judgement.

> "2. The murder was committed while the defendant was under the experience of extreme mental or emotional disturbance."

Well, I'm not sure -- we may have to come back and revisit 9 this area because I have yet to hear the Defense argue their 10 position on this case. But let me guess from the testimony that 11 I heard. Family members suggested that Dale had a bad family 12 life, that there were beatings, that sister was sexually 13 assaulted, although we don't know. No one ever said Dale knew 14 that that was going on at the time. Things were bad enough that 15 he had to run away. But all those things happened some four 16 years earlier. I doubt that that means the murder was committed 17 while the Defendant was under the influence of extreme mental 18 disturbance. 19

The only other thing that I can think about is the doctor's testimony, Dr. Etcoff. But in his testimony, it really had to do with he was angry. That was the problem that Dale Flanagan seemed to have. There were no psychoses; there were no this, that and the other thing. He seemed to be fine in virtually all respects. He was just an angry young man.

#### VIII-51

# SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

**309 ARNOLD STREET** 

6

7

8

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

There has been no one to come into this courtroom and say 1 the murder was committed while the Defendant was under the 2 influence of extreme mental or emotional disturbance. Remember, 3 he chose to go out there. It was his doing. They'd thought 4 about it for a long and considerable period of time. There 5 wasn't a devil perched up on his shoulder saying, "Don't do it, 6 get out of here, and be under the influence of something else." 7 It was just Dale Flanagan, and it was just Randy Moore, and they 8 were leading these other kids into doing these dastardly acts. 9 "3. The youth of the defendant at the time of the crime." 10

Is 19 young? From my perspective, you bet. Is it young from the perspective of this instruction? Does this instruction say to you or suggest to you, "Well, just think about a numerical age and let's decide if that's youth"? Or do we maybe want to go behind the instruction and see what it really would intend, which is this I think?

MR. SCHIECK: I'm going to object, your Honor, to Mr.
Seaton using the term "I" continually in his argument.

19THE COURT: Sustain the objection. The jury is20admonished to disregard the singular use of the word "I."

21 MR. SEATON: The instruction suggests to you that the 22 proper way to view it is this: A person is young who is 16, 17, 23 18 years old, living at home, still under the influence of their 24 parents, going to school, not being independent, having to do 25 what other people say, not having the ability to determine their

# VIII-52

# SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

(702) 386-0830

own lives to the extent that we all like to.

1

When you move out, when you get an apartment of your own and 2 you're able to choose your friends and come and go as you please, 3 and you have a job and you could quit and select another job if 4 you wanted, you have the ability to go buy knives and collect 5 guns, and you have the ability without any parental control to 6 get drugs and to get alcohol and do what you will with them, is 7 that youth? Is that the youth that this mitigating circumstance 8 takes into consideration? 9

And then any other mitigating circumstances. Who knows what the other mitigating circumstances may be. They'll stand up and tell you. It may be, for example, all of the certificates, and there's a lot of them, that were put in for things that one or more of the Defendants did years and years ago. Let's say that that's a mitigating circumstance.

If you're looking for certificates with meaning, with 16 weight, are you going to chose student librarian? This certifies 17 that Randy Moore served credibly as a member of the library of 18 Is that what carries a great deal of weight or any the school. 19 of these other certificates? Or how about verdict? How about 20 that certificate? We, the jury, in the above-entitled case find 21 the Defendants, Dale Flanagan and Randy Moore, guilty of killing 22 their grandparents, and burglarizing and robbing and conspiring. 23

And so once -- and I already got into it, once you find whatever mitigating circumstances you wish to, and there may be

# VIII-53

# SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

some, you weigh those, as I just suggested in that limited situation. And you weigh whatever you find in terms of mitigation on behalf of these two young men, against this crime and its aggravation, against the fact that a young man would have the audacity to think that he's mentioned in a will, and he wants it, and he's going to get it no matter how.

How does that mitigate against youth, for example? How does that mitigate against some mental disturbance? In fact, doesn't it even tell you there isn't a -- or maybe I'm wrong. Maybe it does tell you there is a mental disturbance. Who among us in this society could think correctly and do what these two people did?

13 I'm going to suggest to you that there are four aggravating 14 circumstances. And if there is any, it is relatively few in 15 number, the mitigating circumstances. And you don't consider 16 number, remember, you consider weight. And the weight of the 17 mitigation, whatever it is that's found, is innocuous, is 18 meaningless, should carry no weight whatsoever in this courtroom 19 of justice.

If you agree with that -- let me backup. If you don't agree with that, if you say "Mr. Seaton, you're wrong, there is more mitigation than aggravation here," then what I'm going to say from here on out doesn't even count because you will not be allowed to consider the death penalty. But assuming that you do agree that the aggravation is there and that it outweighs the

#### VIII-54

### SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

mitigation, your next question is: Do you want to issue a death penalty in this particular case?

And you consider a few things. You consider the reasons for the death penalty, the character of the Defendants, the nature of their crimes, and fairness and equity. And I'm going to get to each one of these areas and discuss them with you in just a little bit. And the first one was the reasons for the death penalty.

And one fair reason -- or, remember, we talked a little bit 9 about this as we were doing the jury selection process, and many 10 different people have many different reasons for saying that the 11 death penalty is okay. And that's all right. That's something 12 you don't all have to agree upon. You just in your own mind have 13 to be comfortable with the concept of the death penalty. One 14 reason is to punish. The death penalty could be viewed as 15 society's expression of outrage. Society is angry at someone for 16 having misbehaved in a horribly atrocious manner. 17

Let's back away for just a moment. As parents, we 18 discipline our children. We punish our children for doing 19 something bad. And the worse it is, the worse the punishment. 20 Our teachers discipline our children, and the same theory 21 applies. And our juries discipline the defendants. That's the 22 way of the system. And it gets harsher as we go up the line. 23 It's okay to punish. It's okay to say to someone that you 24 have done something wrong. You have created an act and now you 25

#### VIII-55

### SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

1

2

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

(702) 386-0830

are going to have to pay its consequences. No one needs to feel guilty about that.

The other side of that coin is if you don't punish 3 appropriately, you essentially sew the seeds of anarchy. And 4 anarchy is a society without laws. It's people taking their own 5 law into their own hands. It's something we don't want. Our 6 society as a whole and individually should have a conscience. 7 These men are moral amputees; they have no conscience. Think of 8 what they did. 9

Another reason to impose death penalties is one of
deterrence by -- I've heard several people on the jury,
I don't know if they still remain or not, but I can remember
people saying they didn't think it was much in the way of
deterrence. Well, let's see if that thought can be challenged
just a little bit.

Clearly there are no empirical studies that show us that 16 when the death penalty is in vogue that fewer murders occur, or 17 when it's out of vogue more murders occur. Nobody knows. 18 Society is probably in no better position to determine whether or 19 not the death penalty actually deters other murders than was Dr. 20 Etcoff able to predict with any sense of assuredness that these 21 two individuals would not be dangerous in prison at some later 22 date. It just can't be done. And so you have to use your common 23 horse sense to decide what the probabilities are, what the 24 possibilities are. 25

#### VIII-56

### SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

1

2

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

And I would suggest to you that there are people at 1 McDonald's Restaurant, at horse ranches, in our high schools who 2 will see this in other cases. They will see what happens. And 3 there may be one among them who decides not to carry a gun along 4 with him on the burglary, who decides not to shoot his wife or 5 her husband because they are angry at what happened, because they 6 recognize that juries have spoken and said what the consequences 7 of those acts may be. Could it be that one person could be saved 8 by the death penalty? No one knows the answer to that. 9

Another thing that I said that you would take into 10 consideration in deciding this case is the character of the 11 Defendants. Who were they? Who are they? What sort of people 12 is it who you are giving some sort of a sentence to? And I went 13 through that to some degree before about the willingness to carry 14 knives and guns, and the drugs and alcohol, and Wayne Wittig. 15 But there are some other things that should come in that would 16 cause you to have pause about what sort of characters these 17 people have. 18

For example, they were 19 years of age. The testimony suggests that they were the leaders of what was going on. These were the primary motivators. They were the ones always who led the discussion. And they brought four other kids into this with them. I don't justify for a moment, nobody can justify, the verdicts don't justify for a moment what those other young people did. But would they have done it but for these two individuals?

#### VIII-57

### SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

Tom Akers as an example. Tom Akers came in here and sat on 1 that stand and looked you all in the eye, and he admitted that he 2 had gone out and been a part of this pack of people who killed 3 two human beings. He wasn't proud of it, but he did it. He was 4 a member of that group just as sure as we're sitting here. Не 5 seems to have done all right. He got five years probation. He 6 successfully completed that probation. He got honorable 7 discharge for it. He's been trying to go to college, and he's 8 been trying, and apparently, I've heard nothing to the contrary, 9 succeeding in turning his life around. And yet he was drug into 10 this thing by these other people. 11

How about their ability to premeditate? It's a different kind of premeditation in this case. It isn't a decision to do something, think about it and do it that quickly; it's a plan. You'll see by the verdicts that they were convicted of conspiracy, and it is truly there. It was a dark, deviant conspiracy that these people entered into.

One of them said, "I know how to get some money. All we have to do is kill my grandmother and my grandfather. I'm in the will. I can share that money with you." Think about that thought for a moment. Think about the capacity of a human being just to think that thought. It's remarkable.

And this conspiracy went on for, we know, a month and a half to two months, where different groups of people were talking about doing the same thing. But two members of that group were

#### VIII-58

### SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

(702) 386-0830

always constant--Dale Flanagan and Randolph Moore. And I say to
you that their character is told to us in clear and crystal terms
when you think that they had the capacity to think those things.
And worse yet, they had the capacity to carry them out.

We've all said things. We've all said "I wish you were 5 dead, " "I'd like to kill him," I'd like to do this that and the 6 other thing that are bad. But they're off-the-top-of-the-head 7 angry remarks -- never acted upon by all of decent humanity. These 8 two, these two, had it within themselves the special 9 characteristic to be able to implement this plan of theirs. That 10 again tells you reams about their character. 11

And the last thing in terms of their character is what I had 12 mentioned once before, that they were willing to threaten the 13 other members of the gang and their group, I mean and their 14 families if they should talk to anybody. "If you say anything to 15 anyone about this, I'll kill you and your family." And Randy and 16 Dale are brothers and they will do anything, excuse me, to 17 protect one another. Those items that we've talked about are the 18 character of Dale Flanagan and Randy Moore, the character that 19 you should take into consideration when you decide what the 20 penalty in this case should be. 21

Another thing that I mentioned to you earlier that you should think about is the nature of the crime. How did it happen? What was it all about? How serious was it? Let's take them one at a time. Randy Moore. And I'm not going to go into

#### VIII-59

#### SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

LAS VEGAS. NV 89106

(702) 386-0830

all of the things that happened before and after, just the shootings.

Carl Gordon is upstairs sleeping, expecting to wake up in the morning and do whatever his plans held for that particular day. And he evidently heard some sort of a noise, probably one of which was his wife screaming and the bullets firing. And so he came down the stairs to see what was going on.

Little did he know, that kneeling outside of the broken window was a man who you've been in court with for two weeks who was carrying that long rifle that we've looked at, semiautomatic .22, pointed in that direction in an ambush, just waiting for that moment, knowing that the grandfather, Carl Gordon, was going to come down the stairs. And think about that. That's what was going through his mind at that moment.

Bad enough, but he points that gun in the direction of the grandfather and he fires off numerous rounds--seven, eight, nine--I can't remember now how many it was, a lot of rounds, and many of them hitting Mr. Gordon. That's the character of Randy Moore in terms of the nature of this crime.

But even worse yet, how about the testimony that we've heard from, it was at least Johnny Ray Luckett, through the court official's testimony that we heard read? What did he say Randy Moore said to him when he asked him, "What was that last final shot that I heard?" There were all these shots, long silence, one last shot. Randy Moore's words, and folks, if anything

# VIII-60

# SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

should execute Randy Moore, it is these words, "Well, the grandfather was squirming on the ground, and so I shot him once again in the head." How cold. What an imperfect human being it would take to say something like that and then have done it. And could it be the graze wound that we saw that went on the back and up by the head of Mr. Gordon was that very shot?

How about Dale Flanagan? What was the nature of the crime 7 as far as he was concerned? Relationships have to be considered 8 first. Randy Moore -- Dale Flanagan's mother was the daughter 9 of Colleen Gordon. Colleen Gordon's blood ran through his mom 10 and thus through Dale. She was his blood grandmother. She and 11 Carl Gordon provided a place for Dale Flanagan to live--the 12 trailer that you've seen in the photographs, out by the house. 13 "Here Dale, here's a place to live. Let us help you. While 14 you're working at McDonald's, low wages, we'll give you a place 15 to live." 16

He then took Roy McDowell's .22 pistol and carried it along with him as they drove out there, knowing what he was going to do cause he couldn't get anybody else to do it. He wanted somebody else to do this deed. That may be the one redeeming quality that has been shown of Dale Flanagan in this trial. He didn't want to kill his own grandmother, he wanted somebody else to.

And I forgot one thing. He thought in his mind that his grandparents loved him enough that they would put him in their will. That's what had to be in his mind because he truly

#### VIII-61

#### SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

believed that he was in the will. And so he took Roy McDowell's 1 .22 pistol. As soon as that window was broken, he went in, 2 turned to his right, went into her room, and one of the witnesses 3 said he turned on the light just as she was coming up off of the 4 bed. And think of this: his own grandmother was coming up off 5 of the bed, and he took his hand and put it on her jaw, and he 6 shoved her back down in the bed and he held her there while he 7 took that .22 pistol, and he shot her three times in the head. 8

9 With all of this in mind, I want you to know who these 10 people are. This is the -- these are the pictures of the 11 grandparents, where they lay after being shot and brutally 12 murdered by these two men who you sit in judgement of today. 13 There's only one reason that I have to stand here and show you 14 these ungodly pictures, and it's because of those people right 15 over there.

I apologize for showing you these pictures, but they make a 16 This is the shots to the head of the very cogent point. 17 grandmother. The reason I'm showing them to you is it ties in 18 with the story that we've heard about Dale Flanagan. He had his 19 hand over that jaw, pressing her down on the bed, when these 20 shots were fired into her head. And she was looking at him as he 21 put three bullets in her head and sealed a scream on her lips and 22 hurdled her through eternity. That was the last thing that the 23 grandmother saw, and it was Dale Flanagan, her own blood 24 grandson, who she did so much for, doing this to her. 25

### VIII-62

#### SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

(702) 386-0830

Another reason that you may want to consider in whether or not to impose the death penalty is -- it's equity, it's fairness, balance, do the right thing. There were four other codefendants in this case, and they've all been sentenced differently. And I want to suggest to you how that went and how it applied to each one.

After all, when we sentence people in the criminal justice system, we do want to be fair, and we want a balance, and we want the punishment to fit the crime. And it's not always just the crime, but in this kind of a case it's the degree of involvement in the crime. And that is equally important.

And so we start off with Tom Akers. And Tom Akers had the 12 sole responsibility of driving the car out with everybody in it 13 and getting the tapes. And he did that. He never went to the 14 house, he never handled the guns, he never had any intent to do 15 any of that stuff, at least from what we've heard here. And, 16 yes, the State made a deal with Tom Akers, and we lightened up on 17 his punishment so that he would come in and there would be 18 someone to testify to a jury as to what these young men did. 19

And it looks like it turned out. He seems to be all right now. But he got voluntary manslaughter, five years in the Nevada State Prison, suspended with five years probation. He's now completed that probation, and that's Tom Akers.

Michael Walsh, he went up to the house and he broke the window and helped get everybody in. He pled guilty and he got

### VIII-63

#### SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

(702) 386-0830

two consecutive lives in prison with the possibility of parole.
And that meant that he got 20 years parole all told, 20 years
before he was eligible.

Roy McDowell, he's the one who brought the .22, the very gun 4 that Dale Flanagan used to kill his grandmother, and he's also 5 the one who went in and made it look like a burglary and a 6 robbery, and he took the purse. He was a part of the original 7 trial, as you heard a little bit earlier. He was sentenced to 8 four consecutive life with the possibility of paroles. So 9 remember, Walsh got two consecutive life with the possibility of 10 parole, and McDowell got four lives with the possibility of 11 parole. 12

Johnny Ray Luckett had the sawed-off .22 rifle, and it was 13 testified to, as you heard in that last trial, that he was the 14 one who fired one shot at the grandfather. And no one knows if 15 he hit him or not. The jury with Johnny Ray Luckett gave him 16 four consecutive lives in prison without the possibility of 17 They escalated up a little higher, because he had a gun parole. 18 and he was willing to shoot it at another human being. And so he 19 deserved far more. 20

That leaves us with the leader of the pack, the leaders of the pack. You have heard me suggest that the evidence shows there are many reasons to impose the death penalty in this case. One of them, just one of them, is balance and fairness and equity. If these other Defendants were sentenced in a stairstep

#### VIII-64

### SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

(702) 386-0830

fashion according to their involvement in the crime. The last 1 one, Johnny Ray Luckett, who fired the shot at the grandfather, 2 got lives without the possibility of parole, four consecutive. 3 Just in terms of fairness these two deserve the death penalty. 4 They did far more than what any of these other four did. The 5 other four's roles pale in comparison to what these two gentlemen 6 created on that particular night. 7

Ladies and gentlemen, you have it within your conscience and
your power to punish appropriately in this case. You can send a
message out to other people, "Don't do this sort of thing. Don't
be that bad because what awaits you is the death penalty. Please
stop." You have the ability to do that. Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Before starting on the next argument, let's
kind of stand in place and stretch out a little bit.

Who's going to go next? Okay. Ms. Mounts?

MS. MOUNTS: Your Honor, it'll be Mr. Schieck.

THE COURT: Oh, Mr. Schieck. All right. Mr. Schieck? CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. SCHIECK

MR. SCHIECK: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. This is the only opportunity that I will have to speak with you this afternoon, because the State has the burden of proof during this penalty hearing, and the State gets two arguments, which means after I've finished and Mr. Wall has finished, the State gets the last word in the case. Before I start, I do want to thank you for your attention throughout these proceedings and for

#### VIII-65

# SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

15

16

17

18

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

(Pause)

1

2

REBUTTAL CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. SEATON

MR. SEATON: They say they have found Jesus. There's 3 something about life in jail that brings out spiritual impulses 4 in people like Flanagan and Moore. And whether they have really 5 adopted the virtues of Christianity really doesn't matter. The 6 fact is they're timing is lousy. They should have adopted those 7 virtues before they decided to go out and kill for fun and 8 profit. 9

Now, maybe they have really found God. And if they did,
let's be glad for them, and I mean that in all seriousness, for
they will meet their Maker when they die for they may go to
heaven. But that's between them and God. They still have
society to deal with.

I didn't hear once "I'm sorry." I didn't hear once an ounce of remorse for the Gordons. Oh, I heard the family has suffered a lot, friends have suffered a lot--that sort of thing. No remorse from these good Christians, no cheers from these good Christians.

20

There are consequences yet to be repaid.

I wish I could have memorized this. I couldn't. I have read this, and I want to share it with you, because it really applies: "The modern society that does not use the death penalty does not send a message of reverence for life but a message of moral confusion."

#### VIII-97

#### SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

When we don't use the death penalty, we tell the murderers that no matter what, they may do to innocent people--women, children and old people--their most treasured possession, their lives, are secure. We guarantee it in advance, just as a nation that declares it will not go to war finds itself at the mercy of its warlike regimes.

So a society that will not put the worst of its animals --I'm sorry -- most of its criminals to death, will find itself at the mercy of criminals who have no qualms about putting innocent...

THE COURT: Mr. Seaton, excuse me, sir. The jury will disregard the terminology of "animals." I know the District Attorney apologized for saying it; the jury will completely disregard that.

MR. SEATON: Thank you, Judge.

I'm going to leave you with one last thought. Our human capacity for good and compassion make the death penalty a terribly tragic thing. But, ladies and gentlemen, our human capacity for evil and depraved behavior make it absolutely necessary.

THE COURT: Will the Clerk swear in the officer to take charge of the jury?

(Clerk swears Bailiff)

15

23

24 THE COURT: Will counsel stipulate as to the retention 25 of the alternates?

# VIII-98

### SOUTHWEST TRANSCRIPTS, INC. FEDERALLY APPROVED TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE

309 ARNOLD STREET

LAS VEGAS, NV 89106

· · · ·		
244		а
1		And and the second s
2	Nevada Bar #001799 200 S. Third Street	1 1 1995
3	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155	DARBETTER ALGERERARIA COMPANY
4	Attorney for Plaintiff	Two Hard
5	THE STATE OF NEVADA By	
б		
7	DISTRICT (	COURT
8	CLARK COUNTY	NEVADA
9	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	CASE NO. C69269
10	Plaintiff,	) DEPT. NO. XI
11	~VS-	) DOCKET NO. S
12	DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN,	
13	Defendant.	) ) )
14		<b>)</b>
15	JUDGMENT OF CC	DNVICTION
16	WHEREAS, on the 25th day of Fe	ebruary, 1985, Defendant, DALE
17	EDWARD FLANAGAN, entered a plea of	Not Guilty to the crimes of
18	COUNT VI - MURDER WITH USE OF A	DEADLY WEAPON, NRS §200.010,
19	§200.030, §193.165; and COUNT VII	- MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY
20	WEAPON, NRS §200.010, §200.030, §19	3.165; and
21	WHEREAS, the Defendant DALE EDW	MARD FLANAGAN, was tried before
22	a Jury and the Defendant was found	guilty of the crimes of
23	COUNT VI - MURDER WITH USE OF A	DEADLY WEAPON, NRS §200.010,
24	§200.030, §193.165; and COUNT VII	- MURDER WITH USE OF A DEADLY
25	WEAPON, NRS §200.010, §200.030, §	193.165, in violation of NRS
26	§200.010, §200.030, §193.165, and th	ne Jury verdict was returned on
27	or about the 11th day of October, 198	85. Thereafter, the same trial
28	jury, deliberating in the penalty p	hase of said trial, in



27

28



accordance with the provisions of NRS §175.552 and §175.554, found
 that there were four (4) aggravating circumstances in connection
 with the commission of said crime.

That on or about the 17th day of October, 1985, the Jury
unanimously found, beyond a reasonable doubt, that the aggravating
circumstances found outweighed any mitigating circumstances, and
determined that the Defendant's punishment should be death in the
Nevada State Prison located at or near Carson City, State of
Nevada.

10 WHEREAS, thereafter, on the 19th day of December, 1985, DALE
11 EDWARD FLANAGAN filed an appeal with the Supreme Court of the State
12 of Nevada; and

WHEREAS, on the 18th day of May, 1988, the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada affirmed DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN'S conviction for First Degree Murder, but reversed the Jury's imposition of the Death Penalty; and

WHEREAS, the Defendant, DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN, was tried before
a Jury in the penalty phase of the trial on the 10th day of July,
19 1989, and in accordance with the provisions of NRS §175.552 and
§175.554, found that there were four aggravating circumstances in
connection with the commission of said crimes, to-wit:

The murders of Colleen and Carl Gordon were committed by
 Defendants who knowingly created a great risk of death to
 more than one person by means of a weapon, device, or
 course of action which would normally be hazardous to the
 lives of more than one person.

2. The murders of Colleen and Carl Gordon were committed while the Defendants were engaged in the commission of or

an attempt to commit or flight after committing or attempting to commit any Burglary.

3. The murders of Colleen and Carl Gordon were committed while the Defendants were engaged in the commission of or an attempt to commit or flight after committing or attempting to commit any Robbery.

1

2

з

4

5

6

7

8

9

4. The murders of Colleen and Carl Gordon were committed by the Defendants for the purpose of receiving money or any other thing of monetary value.

10 That on or about the 14th day of July, 1989, the Jury 11 unanimously found, beyond a reasonable doubt, that the aggravating 12 circumstances found outweighed any mitigating circumstances, and 13 determined that the Defendant's punishment should be death in the 14 Nevada State Prison located at or near Carson City, State of 15 Nevada.

16 WHEREAS, on the 10th day of February, 1993, the Supreme Court
17 of the State of Nevada reversed and remanded the Jury's imposition
18 of the Death Penalty against DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN; and

WHEREAS, the Defendant, DALE EDWARD FLANAGAN, was tried before a Jury in the penalty phase of the trial on the 12th day of June, 1995, and in accordance with the provisions of NRS §175.552 and §175.554, found that there were four aggravating circumstances in connection with the commission of said crimes, to-wit:

The murders of Colleen and Carl Gordon were committed by
 Defendants who knowingly created a great risk of death to
 more than one person by means of a weapon, device, or
 course of action which would normally be hazardous to the
 lives of more than one person.





2. The murders of Colleen and Carl Gordon were committed while the Defendants were engaged in the commission of or an attempt to commit or flight after committing or attempting to commit any Robbery.

3. The murders of Colleen and Carl Gordon were committed while the Defendants were engaged in the commission of or an attempt to commit or flight after committing or attempting to commit any Burglary.

9 4. The murders of Colleen and Carl Gordon were committed by
10 the Defendants for the purpose of receiving money or any
11 other thing of monetary value.

12 That on or about the 23rd day of June, 1995, the Jury 13 unanimously found, beyond a reasonable doubt, that the aggravating 14 circumstances found outweighed any mitigating circumstances, and 15 determined that the Defendant's punishment should be death in the 16 Nevada State Prison located at or near Carson City, State of 17 Nevada.

18 THEREFORE, the Clerk of the above-entitled Court is hereby 19 directed to enter this Judgment of Conviction as part of the record 20 in the above entitled matter.

DATED this // day of July, 1995, in the City of Las Vegas,
County of Clark, State of Nevada.

Adulla M. M. DISTRICT JUDGE

4

25 26

23

24

27

28

pce

1

2

3

4

5

б

7