1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Respondent's only substantive reference to the Motion to Consolidate was that it should be granted, if the Appeal were not dismissed.

- Respondent's April 8, 2014 request for the dismissal of Case No. 3. 63804 was based upon a procedural deficiency that no longer existed at the time of the request, i.e., a failure to pay a filing fee that had, in fact, been paid on March 19,
- The previous failure to pay the filing fee in response to this Court's order that the Amended Notice of Appeal be redocketed as a new Notice of Appeal was an oversight, a fact evidenced by Counsel's mistaken assertion regarding payment (and a request that a refund be ordered) in Appellant's Motion to Transfer Amended Notice of Appeal to Case No. 59691.
- This Court was aware of the failure to pay and the request for refund, 5. as these matters were discussed in this Court's order denying the Motion to Transfer and Ordering Payment of the Filing Fee, issued on March 12, 2014.
  - This Court accepted the payment of the filing fee on March 19, 2014. 6.
- 7. In light of this Court's order relating to the issue of the nonpayment of the fee, and the failure of Respondent to file his purported response in both of the cases wherein the Motion to Consolidate was filed, Respondent's filing appears actually to have been either a belated request for rehearing of a this Court's order, or a motion for affirmative relief.
- 8. Respondent's fugitive motion for rehearing /to dismiss the appeal should be denied, as there was no prejudice to any party resulting from the belated payment, save that Appellant has been forced to respond to Respondent's request for dismissal.

25 26

Accordingly, Appellant respectfully requests that this Court deny the Respondent's request to dismiss Case No. 63804.

28

27

	1
	2
	3
	4
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
Andro	12
uite 400 r 169 )	13
rrkway, S vada 89 2-3773 3002 (fax	14
3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 400 North Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 (702) 792-3773 (702) 792-9002 (fax)	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25

Respectfully submitted this 17th day of April 2014.

Hunter

## **GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP**

/s/ Tami D. Cowden
Tami D. Cowden, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 8994
Mark E. Ferrario, Esq.
Nevada Bar No 1625
3773 Howard Hughes Parkway
Ste. 400 N
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
Attorneys for Appellant Richard

26

27

28

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

	1	
	2	This is to certify that on April 17, 2014, a true and correct copy of the foregoing
	3	Opposition to Respondent's Misnamed Motion to Dismiss Appeal was served by
(70Z) 79Z-900Z (faX)	4	United States Mail, first class, on counsel of record for all parties to the action below in this
	5	matter, as follows:
	6	
	7	Albert G. Marquis, Esq. Tye S. Hanseen, Esq.
	8	10001 Park Run Drive
	9	Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Attorneys for Respondent William Gang
	10	/s/ S. Renee Hoban
	11	An employee of GREENBERG TRAURIG
	12	
	13	1
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	1