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A. That is a black semiautomatic handgun in the tank of one of the toilets.
Q. Okay. Now, Detective, once you were notified by Officer Moreno that the firearm had been located there, what did you do?
A. I notified the crime scene analyst, advised him of it. He came over and took pictures and recovered it.
Q. Okay. And would that be Dan Proietto?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And were you present when Dan Proietto retrieved that firearm?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you observe anyone besides Dan Proietto touch that firearm?
A. No.
Q. And at your direction, did Dan Proietto then lodge that into the evidence vault?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Now showing you State's Exhibit 100, do you recognize this?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Okay. And what is State's Exhibit 100?
A. It is a semiautomatic handgun. It -- it's a box that appears to contain a semiautomatic handgun, and on the side of it, it has my initials on one of the seals.
Q. Okay. And how do you know that this box contains a semiautomatic handgun?
A. Under the item description, it has an Imez pistol with a serial number, the caliber, the color, the grip.
Q. Okay. And based on the description, is it the same item that was located by Officer Moreno?
A. Yes.
Q. Are there other indicia of identification as well that tell you that that's the same firearm?

Does it bear an event number specific to this case?
A. It -- it has the event number for the case, yes.
Q. Now you said it bears your seals.

Let me ask you this: When it's first lodged in the evidence vault, that's done by Crime Scene Analyst Proietto; correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And is there a notation on the exhibit itself that that was done?
A. It -- it has his signature and his initials and $P$ number, yes.
Q. And does the -- the evidence label contain also a chain of custody for that item?
A. Yes, it does.
Q. Are there names following Dan Proietto's on that
item?
A. Yes.
Q. What's the first name following Dan Proietto?
A. I believe it's Alice Maceo.
Q. And who is Alice Maceo?
A. Alice Maceo worked in our fingerprint section of the 1 ab.
Q. And do you see her seals present on that box?
A. There's Dan's.

Yes.
Q. Okay. And who is the next person after Alice Maceo?
A. P number 8,002.
Q. And is that a forensic analyst in the firearms department?
A. I believe that's the firearms examiner that examined the firearm.
Q. Now, following the firearms examiner's notation on the chain of custody, what's the next name on there?
A. My name.
Q. Okay. And when was that item obtained by you?
A. This item I have dated it December 29th of 2010.
Q. Okay. And you actually retrieved that for -- from the evidence vault to open on that date; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And what was the purpose of doing that?
A. It was an evidence view in preparation of trial.
Q. Okay. And who was doing the evidence view?
A. It would have been the prosecuting attorney, the defense attorney, and then myself.
Q. Okay. And it was for this case; was it not?
A. Yes.
Q. And is it fair to say that you actually opened all the evidence in the valt to show to not only myself but defense attorneys involved in this case?
A. A11 the evidence that was requested to be viewed, yes.
Q. And this was one item particularly that was requested to be viewed?
A. Yes.
Q. And who made that request?
A. I would -- I would imagine it was the defense attorney that wanted to view what was inside this box.
Q. Okay. And you actually opened it at the vault?
A. Yes.
Q. And once you opened it at the vault, did you touch it in any way?
A. Um, I -- I can't recall whether I touched it. When we do an evidence view, we have our gloves on, and we try to open the package but not touch it.

Whether I did or not I couldn't tell you.
Q. But you wore gloves?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And what was the purpose of you wearing gloves?
A. We always wear gloves when we're handling evidence for contamination, some of the evidence may have blood on it.
Q. And did you allow anyone else to touch the item?
A. No. We don't -- we don't allow anyone to touch the item.
Q. Okay. Now, after allowing the parties involved in this case to view this item, did you then reseal it and lodge it back in the vault?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And do you see your seals on that box?
A. I do.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

Now, Detective, on the night of February 6th of 2006 , did you make any arrests?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Was this still an open case for several months?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. I want to direct your attention to Apri1 1 st of 2006. Did you interview a person -- were you present at the interview of a person by the name of Jonathan Harper?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. And who also was present?
A. Cliff Mogg.
Q. Did either you or Detective Mogg give Jonathan Harper any information regarding the case?
A. No.
Q. Did either you or Detective Mogg tell Mr. Harper what to say?
A. No.
Q. Okay. At the time you interviewed Jonathan Harper, did you have an identity of the shooter?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And where did you get that information from?
A. I don't recall, but in the statement his name was -his name had been discussed and also a photo lineup had been prepared, I believe.
Q. Okay. I'm sorry.

Prior to interviewing Jonathan Harper, did you have an identification --
A. No.
Q. Okay.
A. I'm sorry.
Q. So Jonathan Harper is the person that initially supplied you with the name?
A. And that would have been more of a monicker, I
believe.
Q. Okay. Now, I want to fast-forward to July 26 th of 2006. Were you present at an interview of Edshel Calvillo?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you give any information about the case to

Edshel Calvillo?
A. No.
Q. And who was present with you?
A. Detective Mogg.
Q. Did either you or Detective Mogg tell Edshel

Calvillo what to say?
A. No.
Q. At some point was an arrest made of a person by the name of Evaristo Garcia?
A. Yes.
Q. And did that happen here in the United States?
A. No.
Q. When is the first time you laid eyes on

Evaristo Garcia?
A. The first time was 0ctober 2008: I believe the 16 th or the 18th, and it was at McCarran International Airport.
Q. And what was the purpose of going to McCarran International Airport?
A. We were to meet with the federal authorities that were transporting him back to the United States from Mexico.
Q. And is that the first time you saw Evaristo Garcia?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you see Evaristo Garcia in the courtroom today?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you please point to him and describe something that he's wearing?
A. He's sitting behind the table with the b1ue long-s leeved shirt on.

MS. DEMONTE: Record reflect identification of the defendant?

THE COURT: It will. The record will so reflect.
MS. DEMONTE: May I approach the witness,
Your Honor?
THE COURT: Yes.
BY MS. DEMONTE:
Q. Once you met with Evaristo Garcia at McCarran Internation Airport, was he then booked into custody for this case?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Showing you State's .- State's Proposed Exhibit 111, is that a fair and accurate depiction of Evaristo Garcia when he was booked into the Clark County Detention Center for this case?
A. Yes, in October of 2008.

MS. DEMONTE: Move for admission of State's

Exhibit 111.
MR, FIGLER: May I do a quick voir dire about that photo, Your Honor?

THE COURT: You may.
MR. FIGLER: Thank you.

## VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY MR. FIGLER:
Q. Sir, did you take the photo you are holding in your hand?
A. I did not.
Q. Do you know what the lighting conditions were in the room where that photo was taken?
A. The lighting conditions?
Q. In that room, do you know what they are, can you testify with personal knowledge what -.
A. I was there when this picture was taken.
Q. You were there?
A. I was.
Q. Okay. Can you describe the lighting conditions?
A. They were brighter than in here. They're in the jail on the left-hand side where the processing counter is and the cameras, and that's where the booking pictures are taken.
Q. Okay. And did you take another photo of him two months later or three months later?
A. I did not, no.
Q. Okay. So this just reflects sometime in October of 2008; correct?
A. Yes.

MR. FIGLER: We'11 renew our objection.
THE COURT: No, or you have an objection?
MR. FIGLER: We do renew our objection.
THE COURT: All right. The objection is overruled.
It will be admitted.
(State's Exhibit 111
was admitted into evidence.)
MS. DEMONTE: Thank you.
Move to publish, Your Honor?
THE COURT: You may.
MS. DEMONTE: Court's indulgence.
(Sotto voce at this time.)
MS. DEMONTE: I'll pass the witness, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Cross-examination.
MR. FIGLER: Thanks.

CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. FIGLER:
Q. Yes or no, Sergeant; am I correct?
A. No.
Q. Detective?
A. Yes.
Q. Thank you.

You're able to recognize that guy is this guy;
correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, Detective, I just have a few questions about your investigation.

With regard to identifying ultimately Evaristo Garcia as a potential suspect in this case, you would say the first big break came with Jonathan Harper's Apri1 1 recorded statement to you; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And where was Jonathan Harper when he gave that statement?
A. In his apartment.
Q. In his apartment?
A. Yes.
(Sotto voce at this time.)
BY MR. FIGLER:
Q. And his mother was present at the time?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And you just walked in, turned on the tape recorder and just started asking questions right away, there was no preamble at all; correct?
A. I can't say that.
Q. Okay. And typically you've probably done, what, I mean we've been doing cases forever, you've probably done a couple of thousand interviews, if not 10,000 interviews, in your career, quite a bit; right?
A. Quite a few, I don't know the number.
Q. A lot though; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And it pretty much goes the same way every time. You go in, introduce yourself to the people, talk about what you're going to talk about, maybe feel them out a little bit, and then you say: I'm going to record you now, okay? And then you turn on the recorder; correct?
A. Not exactly like that, similar but not exactly.
Q. Okay. But there is some discussion that you have with the individual about the subject matter you're about to talk about; correct?
A. No, not necessarily.

We -- we try not to do a preinterview.
Q. Okay. So you're just going in there completely
blind?
A. We - - we go in, explain that we're going to record it, have their permission. And then we turn the recorder on, everybody that's present, the date and the time, and then we start to ask the questions about what information they had.
Q. So you just randomTy picked Jonathan Harper, showed
up at his house, turned on the tape recorder, about just started asking questions about this defense?
A. No. My partner, Detective Mogg, had learned that he may have information in reference to this case.
Q. Okay.
A. We were able to locate him, and we responded to gather what -- what information he had.
Q. And - and how did your - - if you know, how did your partner figure out to talk to Jonathan Harper?
A. He had received information.
Q. Do you know from whom?
A. Other detectives.
Q. Do you know where they got $\mathrm{i} t$ ?
A. I don't know.
Q. Okay. You also know that Jonathan Harper was a victim of a gunshot head to -- at that same time; correct?
A. I had learned that that day at the apartment.
Q. And that had to be on Metropolitan Police

Department's radar that the guy got admitted into a hospital with a gunshot wound to the head; correct?
A. Was it on Metro's radar?
Q. Yeah.
A. It could have been. I -- I'm not aware of it.

I wasn't aware of it at the time I - that we talked to him that he was on the radar.
Q. Okay. So when you walked in there, you had no idea that the police knew about a gunshot to his head; is that your testimony today?
A. Are you saying the police?
Q. Yes.
A. I'm telling you I didn't.
Q. Okay. Do you know if your partner did?
A. I believe my partner had information prior to, that he was -- had sustained a head injury, yes.
Q. Okay. Do you remember what time you started your interview with Jonathan Harper on Apri1 1st, 2006 ?
A. I can tell you it was in the afternoon, I believe.
Q. If I gave you a copy of his Voluntary Statement, do you think that would refresh your recollection as to when your interview started with Jonathan Harper?
A. Sure.
Q. Sure.

MR. FIGLER: May I approach, Your Honor?
THE COURT: You may.
BY MR. FIGLER:
Q. Detective, you can just review that. Make sure I'm giving you the right thing, that that's the Voluntary Statement of Jonathan Harper from that April date. Is it?
A. Yes, it appears to be.
Q. Okay. And now I want you to read that, see if it
refreshes your recollection as to when you began your interview with Jonathan Harper.
A. Yes, it does.
Q. Okay. And I'11 take it back from you.

To your recollection, when did you start your interview with Jonathan Harper?
A. $\quad 1522$ hours, which is $3: 22$ p.m.
Q. Okay. And then does it usually say at the end when it ends?
A. It usually does, yes.
Q. All right. Why don't you take a look and see if it says.
A. Okay. It does.
Q. Okay. And to your recollection then, Detective, when did the interview with Jonathan Harper on April 1st, 2006, end?
A. At 1545 hours, which would have been 3:45 p.m., and that would have been about 23 minutes later.
Q. Okay. And do you have knowledge that approximately an hour later, a detective went in there to talk to him about the gunshot wound to his head?
A. No, I do not.
Q. You have no knowledge of that at all?
A. I was not there when it happened. So what they talked about I don't know.
Q. Okay. So you're telling me that you had no idea that the gunshot wound was under investigation. You interviewed him, and you don't know if anyone interviewed him right after you in the same exact location?
A. I did not know that anybody came after us to interview him.

I was aware that he had been shot in the head.
And whether that was still under investigation or not, I don't know, I was not handling that.
Q. Okay. Do you know who Detective Ericcson, P Number 6218, is? Have you ever heard of that person?
A. I do not know who that is.
(Sotto voce at this time.)
BY MR. FIGLER:
Q. All right. So during the course of your investigation, things are important for evidentiary value, like DNA, is that true?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. So if there is a possibility to test for DNA, especially in 2006, Metro would do that; correct?
A. That's a broad statement.
Q. It is?
A. They .- depending on the case, depending on the facts, whether they send it out for DNA analysis, it depends where the item was located, if it was contaminated. There's
many variables to -- is DNA evidence important? Yes.
When you want to say as far as the analyzation of it, that's -- that's the next step is to what needs to be analyzed.
Q. Okay. So here we have a murder case; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So that is as high priority as cases get within Metropolitan Police Department; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you're assigned to the homicide division, you're Ken Hardy; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that is .- they don't just throw newbies on there, you work your way up to the homicide section; correct?
A. You test to get into the homicide section, yes.
Q. You have to pass tests to get on there; correct?
A. You have to pass an oral board, yes.
Q. Okay. And you were the sergeant at one point - - you were not?
A. I was not a sergeant.
Q. I keep thinking you were a sergeant.
A. Sorry.
Q. No problem. If it's up to me I'd promote you. I'm showing you the exhibit, which was Number 100.

MR. FIGLER: May I approach, Your Honor?
THE COURT: You may.
BY MR. FIGLER:
Q. And -- and you talked about that gun. You were aware of that gun's existence in this case; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And you knew that there was some red-brownish material on that gun; correct? You noted that in your report?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And sometimes red-brownish material turns out to be biological in your training and experience; correct?
A. Yes, it could be.
Q. Okay. And biological information is a good source for DNA; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So if the brown -- and you remember the brownish-reddish material was on the grip of that -- of that gun; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So if that DNA is tested, it could lead to a potential suspect in the case; correct?
A. Yes, it could.
Q. Okay. Now, the other end of the equation is you take people's DNA. It's something called a buccal or a buccal swab; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's something that looks like a Q-Tip that could be administered to someone's mouth to get their -- their genetic material; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And you did that for Evaristo Garcia; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And you did that for Manuel Lopez; is that correct?
A. I betieve so.
Q. Okay. He was another suspect in this case; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And Giovanny Garcia, that was another suspect in this case?
A. He was another person we had spoken to, yes.
Q. Okay. And you took his genetic material as well; correct?
A. Whether I did or not, I don't recall.
Q. In the course of your investigation, do you have any recollection that his genetic material was taken?
A. I -- I don't recall.
Q. Okay.
A. I -- I know that Mr. Garcia, Evaristo Garcia's was.
Q. Okay.
A. Because I physically impounded that.
Q. Now, sometimes you also take fingerprints from
people; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. There is a fellow you talked to named Edshel Calvillo, you testified on Direct; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ever take his fingerprints at all?
A. I don't recall.
Q. All right. How about his genetic material, did you ever take that?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Okay, A guy named Sal Garcia, did you ever interview him; do you remember?
A. Sal Garcia, I don't recall interviewing him. I'm not saying that I didn't, especially that night Detective Mogg was doing the interviews.
Q. But you did interview some people, you interviewed Jonathan Harper?
A. Correct.
Q. And you interviewed Edshe1 Calvillo; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Do you remember taking any genetic material or fingerprints from Sal Garcia?
A. I don't recall that.
Q. How about Fabian (phonetic) Calvillo, did you take any fingerprints or DNA, genetic material from him?
A. I don't recall that.
Q. So now you got this gun, and it was found near the scene -- just one more question.

Are you sure that it was Dan Proietto who took the gun out of the tank?
A. I'm very sure that Dan Proietto recovered the gun from the tank.
Q. Okay. It wouldn't have been -- did you know a CSA Speas?
A. Bill Speas?
Q. Yeah, Bill Speas.
A. Yes.
Q. Was he there?
A. I - I don't recall that. I recall Mr. Proietto impounding all the evidence from the scene.
Q. So you don't have personal knowledge one way or another if Bill Speas handled that weapon at any time?
A. I -- I don't.
Q. All right. So back to that weapon, it has obvious evidentiary value in this particular case to you; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So everybody wants to make sure that's handled correctly.

Back in 2006 how were you able to test the DNA
of the weapon that -- potential DNA on the weapon, what would do you? Would you do it yourself?
A. No.
Q. Would you send it out to a lab?
A. I would put a request in, and it would be up to the lab as to how they examined it.
Q. Okay. And did this gun go to the lab to ever be tested for DNA?
A. I don't recall that.
Q. You don't recall it?
A. I don't recall whether the -- whether it was - the DNA was recovered from the gun.

I don't recall if it had ever been sent out for DNA.
Q. Do you have any recollection about potential DNA testing in this case?
A. Today, no. I don't recall whether it was done or not.
Q. Oh, okay.

Was it ever a concern of yours that it wasn't
done?
A. No.
Q. No?

MR. FIGLER: May I approach, Your Honor?

THE COURT: You may.
(Sotto voce at this time.)
MR. FIGLER: You know what, Your Honor, I'm going to have this marked.

THE COURT: Sure.
(Defense Exhibit A was marked for identification.)

BY MR. FIGLER:
Q. Sir, how do you make DNA requests? Do you fill out any kind of paperwork or a form?
A. Yes, we do.
Q. Does this look Tike a form that you would use to request DNA?
A. Yes.
Q. And is that a form that you filled out?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Is it in substantially the same condition as it was when you filled out that form?
A. What -- what do you mean by that?
Q. Are those the words that you typed on it? Is that the request that you made? That sort of thing.
A. Yes, it appears to be.
Q. Does it appear to be altered in any way?
A. Well, there's three punches on the left side.
Q. Okay, I'11 give you that one.
A. It appears to be a copy of it. It's not the one I submitted.
Q. Okay. But it's the same content as the one you submitted; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And it is -- has your name on the top there,

K-H -- K. Hardy?
A. Yep.
Q. And it has your $P$ number somewhere on there, $I$ would imagine, somewhere, or at least it has your name?
A. It has my $P$ number on the evidence, yes.
Q. Okay. And in this document, isn't it true that you're worried -- let me rephrase that. I can't say whether you're worried or not.

But you're definitely making a 1 ab request for DNA on the gun because it looks like you might have sent out the wrong item to be tested for DNA; isn't that correct?
A. I -- I don't recal1. I'd have to refresh my memory.
Q. In fact, in this document, sir, accidentally Package 11-A was sent out, which is the belt of the decedent, to test for DNA, when it was supposed to be the gun; isn't that correct?
A. Can you restate your question, please?
Q. It appears from that document, doesn't it,

Detective Hardy, that you're hoping that they actually tested DNA because it seems like somebody accidentally sent Package 11-A, which is the belt buckle, from the decedent?
A. No, that's not correct.
Q. Why don't you just read what it says?
A. Okay.

MR. FIGLER: Actually, can I move for its admission, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Is there any objection by the State?
And is it -- is it Defense $A$ ?
MR. FIGLER: That's correct.
THE COURT: State, do you have any objection to Defense A being admitted?

MS. PANDUKHT: You know, normally documents like this are not admitted into evidence, but I think he should be asked about it first, so I'd ask for more foundation to be 1aid.

MR. FIGLER: We11, I don't know what else to say --
THE COURT: There is no other foundation to be laid.
It's his request. You're saying foundation hasn't been laid?

Objection overruled.
MS. PANDUKHT: That's fine.
THE COURT: It will be admitted.
(Defense Exhibit A
was admitted into evidence.)
BY MR. FIGLER:
Q. Why don't you just read that into the record, sir.
A. Under the details part?
Q. Yes, please.
A. A request was already submitted on this case, and the DNA was sent out to LabCorp.

If there is an unknown DNA profile, can you please compare it to the above?

It is unclear from the LabCorp results where the swab we sent them came from.

It says rear of slide Item 11-A, which the only Item 11 I see is from a belt of the victim in the body bag and a bullet that was recovered at the scene.

Also back in 2006, our lab did not do DNA for possession of the firearm. Is that still available to do since it was processed for latents, or was it done back then?

If you have any questions, contact me at 828-3521.
Q. And that was your number back then?
A. Yes.
Q. Sir, you said you opened up the gun for the -- for the defense attorneys and put it right back.

Did you open up the clothes for --
A. I -- I don't recall.
Q. There's a couple of extra stickers on here.

MR. GOODMAN: Dayvid, Dayvid -
BY MR. FIGLER:
Q. Do you remember opening up this package at all?
A. I -- I would have to look at it and see if it has my initials on the seals.
Q. Could you inspect that, please? And what I'm showing you is State's Exhibit -- oh, golly.

THE CLERK: 100.
MR. FIGLER: What is it?
THE CLERK: 100 .
MR. FIGLER: 100. And I apologize to the court reporter for rustling this bag.

THE WITNESS: Yes, 100 is the gun.
THE COURT: I thought 100 was the gun.
MR. FIGLER: I thought so too.
THE CLERK: I'm sorry. Let me double-check.
Evidence -- yes, you're right.
This is 102.
MR. FIGLER: 102. Thank you.
THE WITNESS: Okay.
BY MR. FIGLER:
Q. Do you see that you opened this up?
A. I do.
Q. Okay. And is there another seal that's on there as we11 that appears to have been opened?
A. What do you mean, another seal?
Q. Well, when somebody opens up a package, we've already explained it to the jury, the person who opens it up, after they open it, they close it, and they put a seal with their initials on it; correct?
A. Correct. Yes.
Q. Okay. And so you opened up this package and put your initials on it; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you see anyone else who has done that?
A. Right here, this is -- these aren't my initials.
Q. Okay. Do you know whose those are?
A. No.
Q. Okay. That's fine. Thank you, Detective.

All right. So where we left it, in 2010 --
(Sotto voce at this time.)
BY MR. FIGLER:
Q. As late as October 17th, 2008, I'm sorry, so that's over two years after the -- the shooting itself; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You were still wondering if anyone did that DNA; correct?
A. Am I still wondering?
Q. No, were you back in 2008?
A. 2008, I was trying to get clarification as to the results.
Q. Gotcha.

Did you ever get that clarification, whether DNA
testing was even done?
A. I don't recall.
Q. That's what I'm looking for.

If it was opened up again to do DNA testing or to do swabbing, would that be indicated on that box?
A. If what was?
Q. If DNA testing was done off of that gun, if it was re-swabbed or anything like that?
A. They would have signed a chain of custody.
Q. Okay. And the last opening of this was with you with the defense attorneys; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you put it right back in; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You never sent this gun out to anyone to get DNA, did you?
A. Did I send it out to get DNA after 2010? No.
Q. At any time. At any time.
A. I -- from the -- from the request that you showed
Q. Right.
A. -- it was to compare all the DNA already recovered, 1ike now the buccal swab that we have from Mr. Garcia.
Q. Can you prove to me in any way or point me to any document that says that this gun was ever actually tested for DNA?
A. No.
Q. And in 2008 when you made that request, you were hoping to get some more information; correct?
A. We now had a sample from Mr. Garcia when he was brought back --
Q. Okay.
A. -- from Mexico.
Q. You never got an answer as to whether that DNA was done in the gun; correct?
A. On the gun, not that I recal1.
Q. Now --
(Sotto voce at this time.)
BY MR. FIGLER:
Q. Now, there was some testimony that Jonathan Harper was offered immunity. Do you know anything about that?
A. No.
Q. Okay. And you were with Detective Mogg when that interview was done with Jonathan Harper?
A. The one on April 1st, yes.
Q. Okay. Now, let me see how this goes.

When you guys are working a case together, do you typically share information with each other?
A. Typically, yes.
(Sotto voce at this time.)
BY MR. FIGLER:
Q. When you first met Evaristo Garcia in McCarran Airport, do you -- do you know that he waived extradition to come back to the United States?
A. I don't know.
Q. You don't know one way or another?
A. No.

MR. FIGLER: Okay, Court's indulgence.
BY MR. FIGLER:
Q. Generally speaking, Detective, if someone admits to handling a murder weapon, is that an important fact for your investigation?
A. It could be, yes.
Q. Okay. So if a person suggests to you -- or not suggests, but admits to you that they handled the murder weapon minutes or an hour before the actual shooting, is that something you're going to look into?
A. You would know that if there were any prints recovered that -- that -- that there's an explanation as to why
the prints were there then.
Q. Okay. But would that be a person of interest to you, someone said: Oh, yeah, I -- I had that gun an hour before?
A. It -- would it be of interest, yes.
Q. Okay. And during the course of your investigation, you learned that multiple parties handled that gun; isn't that true?
A. I know that the gun was hand1ed from one person to another.
Q. At least?
A. Yes, at least.
Q. Okay. Do you know that Edshel Calvillo handled that gun?
A. I don't recall.
Q. You don't recall. You're the one who did the interview with him; correct?
A. I believe I was there, yes.
Q. Okay. Let me ask you something about that. MR. FIGLER: May I approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: You may.

BY MR. FIGLER:
Q. I just want you to read this to yourself and see if it refreshes your recollection, Detective. You don't have to say what it is. It's Edshe1 Calvillo's interview. Does that
appear to be the transcript of that?
I want you to see that page because that's what
I want you to look at.
A. It appears to be maybe a partial of it, but --
Q. Okay. Do you want the rest? I'11 give you the whole thing. I just want to make it a little easier.

Here's the rest of that. Sorry, I didn't mean
to leave that out,
A. Okay. Yes.
Q. So if I could direct your attention to, I think it's page 14 -- nope.
A. 14 is what you --
Q. No, 16 is the one I circled there for you.

Could you just review that to yourself for a
second, then I'11 have a question to see if that refreshes your recollection.

Tell me when you're done.
A. Okay.
Q. Okay. Now do you recall that Edshel Calvillo admitted to you that he handled that gun that night?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So that refreshed your recollection?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you arrest Edshel Calvillo?
A. No.
Q. Did you take any DNA from Edshel Calvillo?
A. I don't recall that.
Q. Did you take his fingerprints?
A. I don't recall that either.
Q. Now, there was a car impounded in this particular case. Do you remember that?
A. I do.
Q. Do you remember it being an E1 Camino?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you involved in the processing of that at all?
A. The processing of the vehicle?
Q. Well, let me rephrase that.

You're a detective, I know you're not out there doing the sweeping of dust, but they give you results to that, don't they?
A. They take the photographs --
Q. Okay.
A. -- and depending on what we're looking for, then it's usually done in a report from the crime scene analyst that did it, and what -- what, if any, evidence was recovered.
Q. Got it.

And that's usually at your direction, if you find anything of evidentiary value in this El Camino, let us know; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And so you directed that this El Camino, which you felt was related to the incident, be processed by the CSAs; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Was there one piece of physical evidence in that E1 Camino 1 inked to Evaristo Garcia?
A. I don't recal1. I - $I$ don't recal 1 the results of that. It's seven years now, I don't recal1.
Q. If there was that would certain1y be an important factor based on your investigation; correct?
A. Sure.
Q. Sure.

And by physical evidence, I mean one piece of DNA, one fingerprint, any proof of him being in that car, you understood what I meant by physical evidence; correct?
A. I - yes.
Q. Okay. Did you process any other cars in this particular case, to your knowledge?
A. Not that I recal1.
Q. Did you process a car belonging to Sal Garcia in this case?
A. I don't recal 1.
Q. Did you process a car that Edshel Calvillo said he was in?
A. I don't recall that either.
Q. Okay. Oh, wait, Edshel Calvillo told you he never even went over to the school; isn't that correct?
A. I'd have to look at his statement.
Q. Okay. If he did say he had went over there, that would be of importance to you, that night?
A. There were a lot of people there. Does that mean he's the one, no.
Q. I mean I don't know. Do you know?
A. Do I know whether he was there or not?
Q. Yeah.
A. I don't recall whether he was there or not. I didn't talk to him at the school. I don't recall whether he was there that night.
Q. All right. You probably would have asked him though; right?
A. I would have asked him what?
Q. If he was at the school that night?
A. At what point of the investigation whether he was there or not, yes. And depending on who had told us what the other stories were as far as corroboration, yes.
Q. Okay. And just to refresh your recollection --

MR. FIGLER: May I approach?
THE COURT: You may.
MR. FIGLER: Thank you.
BY MR. FIGLER:
Q. The statement that I just showed you, that's the question part.
A. Okay.
Q. After reviewing that, do you recall asking: Were you there that night?
A. Okay. And I will say that Detective Mogg is the one who asked him that.
Q. Okay. Did you hear that question though?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And he reaffirmed in the negative; is that correct?
A. That's right.
Q. Did you do anything to corroborate or prove that he was telling you the truth on that one?
A. There -. there wasn't much to corroborate it or not other than eyewitness testimony,
Q. Okay. Let's talk about the toilet bowls for a second. The prosecutor showed you pictures of the toilet bow1s.

During the course of your investigation, did you learn that there had been a contractor who was dispatched to that house to do work on that house?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And you learned that that contractor came from Home Depot; isn't that correct?
A. I don't know whether I knew the name of the company and that one of the people had done work on that house.
Q. Okay. And isn't it true that someone of interest in this particular case was identified as being someone who had, prior to this night, been assigned to that house; isn't that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that wasn't Evaristo Garcia; was it?
A. No.
Q. Manuel Lopez; wasn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. So Manuel Lopez had previously worked at that house where that toilet bowl was; correct?
A. Yes.
(Sotto voce at this time.)
MR. FIGLER: Pass the witness.
THE COURT: Redirect?
MS. DEMONTE: Thank you.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. DEMONTE:
Q. I'll start with where we left off with Mr. Calvillo. Now, counsel showed you page 16 of Mr. Calvillo's statement and asked you if Edshel told you he handled the gun that night. Do you remember if that night was the actual
language used?
A. No, it wasn't that night. Nothing about the time specificness of it.
Q. About the handling of the gun?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. It was just -- the question was just: Had you ever handled it before?
A. Yes.
Q. A11 right.

MS. DEMONTE: Do you have $A$ ?
MR. FIGLER: I thought $I$ - -
MS. DEMONTE: It's right there.
(Sot to voce at this time.)
MS. DEMONTE: Okay. Move to pubTish?
THE COURT: You may.
BY MS. DEMONTE:
Q. Putting on the screen Defense Exhibit $A$, and I'm going to zoom in, and so based on this request, you had already sent DNA to LabCorp; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And would that be the reddish-brown stain?
A. Yes.
Q. And that was swabbed for DNA?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And you actually submitted a request to have that swab of that reddish-brown material sent out?
A. It would have had to be if it had gone to LabCorp.
Q. Okay. And why is that?
A. They wouldn't -- the lab would not just send it out on their own. The detective has to do the request to initiate the testing.
Q. Okay. And what was LabCorp?
A. LabCorp was a -- a company that we would send out our DNA analysis to be done at.
Q. Okay.
A. A vendor of Metro, say.
Q. Was -- back in 2006 was the capabilities of Las Vegas's Metro -- sorry, of Metro's DNA lab strained, for back of a letter word -- lack of a better word?

MR. FIGLER: Object, Your Honor.
That's an impossible question to answer based on the - - the wording of it, it lacks foundation. What does strange mean? What does --

MS. DEMONTE: I said strained but, okay.
MR. FIGLER: Oh, I'm sorry, strained. Anyway, I don't know what that means.

MS. DEMONTE: I'11 just ask a different question.
BY MS. DEMONTE:
Q. Was it common for things to be sent to LabCorp
instead of Metro during this time period?
A. Back then, yes.
Q. And do you know why that is?
A. Specifically I know of incidents where they were either backlogged or they were going through the certification process again, and everything had to be sent out.
Q. Okay. And you're talking about staffing issues?
A. The backlog?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Now, further down -- so -- and you said it's unclear from the LabCorp results where the swab came from. Do you remember what you meant by that?
A. The only thing --
Q. Just off the top of your head do you remember?
A. No, other than the results that come back to us, if we don't understand exactly where that DNA profile was retrieved from, we would ask for clarification.
Q. Okay. So would it refresh your recollection as to what you meant by that if I showed you the actual LabCorp results (indicating)?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.

MS. DEMONTE: May I approach the witness,
Your Honor?

THE COURT: You may.
THE WITNESS: Okay.
BY MS. DEMONTE:
Q. Okay. Does that refresh your recollection as to why you worded your request this way?
A. Yes.
Q. Was the report that LabCorp sent to you clear?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Now, showing you State's Proposed Exhibit 109, do you recognize that?
A. Yes. It appears to - it's an evidence package that contains a swab of this reddish stain from the rear of the slide with the event number referencing the homicide.
Q. Okay. And is there evidence on this package that that indeed was examined by LabCorp?
A. Yes.
Q. So you didn't send the wrong thing to LabCorp?

MR. FIGLER: Objection, Your Honor. He doesn't
know. He testified he doesn't know what he sent.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MS. DEMONTE:
Q. Okay. So you actually did send that that LabCorp?
A. This swab was sent to LabCorp to be analyzed, yes.
Q. So that did make it to LabCorp?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And that was analyzed by LabCorp?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Now, counsel was asking you about Item 11 being the belt. Do you see any tape on here from LabCorp?
A. No.
Q. So LabCorp did not open this package and examine anything?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. So in looking at LabCorp' report and in reading this, was the confusion based on the way the report was worded?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Now, you also said: Back in 2006 our lab did not do DNA for possession.

Do you know what that means?
A. Yes.
Q. What did you mean by that?
A. It meant that our lab, one of the analysis that is currently done, or was done when I was still working there, is that we could have a gun analyzed by DNA for possession, anybody that would have possessed a gun.

Back in 2006 our 1ab's rules were that they would not do it. We would have to then go to the fingerprint lab to try to prove the possession.
Q. Okay. So back in 2006 the method for proving
possession was fingerprints?
A. Yes.
Q. And was it solely fingerprints?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, your question is -- that follows, to your knowledge, is there something that could -u that happens to evidence once it's processed for latents?
A. It gets destroyed.
Q. Okay.
A. There has to -- they -- they normally will work in concert as to who's going to grab what -- if they swab -- if they swab the gun, it's going to destroy any fingerprint.
Q. Okay. And if they process for latents, do they use chemicals to do that?
A. Yes.
Q. And would that destroy any DNA?
A. It could. I don't recall, but I believe that they were -- every time we've had to do an analysis that way where we're asking for both, the latents works with the DNA to swab the appropriate areas together.
Q. Okay. All right. And so in a case where one had already been done, what's the -- in your experience, what's the 1ikelihood of being able to do the second?
A. We wouldn't request it.

MS. DEMONTE: Okay. I'11 pass the witness.

THE COURT: Any recross?
MR. FIGLER: Oh, yes.

## RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. FIGLER:
Q. So let me get this straight.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. In 2006 you know that if you latent examine an item first before you do the DNA, you're destroying potential evidence?
A. That is my understanding from the lab's directions, yes.
Q. Okay. And that's why, on $2 / 08 / 06$, you requested that the pistol --
A. I did not request it.
Q. Did your partner?
A. It says requested by Detective C. Mogg.
Q. That's your partner in this case; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Why don't you read that document, see if it refreshes your recollection that specifically the lab was asked to do DNA before latent print testing was done.
A. Okay.
Q. Does that refresh your recollection whether that request was made?
A. Yes, it does, but that doesn't -- that doesn't -that's the same date as the murder.
Q. So that doesn't mean it was done?
A. I'm telling you that they didn't -- they -- even though it was requested, they did not do the DNA process because they chose to go with the -- with the prints.
Q. Your buddy is not asking -- your partner rather is not asking them to do the DNA?
A. He asked for the DNA, but instead they went the print route because they didn't do DNA in 2006 for possession.
Q. Well, it's your testimony that if there's biological material on an item of evidentiary value in 2006 that Metro does not do DNA testing; is that your testimony?
A. Explain -- rephrase your questions, I guess, 'cause --
Q. If there are potential - - if there is potential biological evidence --
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. -- on a weapon, no matter what it is, are you telling me that the policy of Metro in 2006 was not to do DNA testing; is that your testimony?
A. For possession, yes.
Q. For possession.

To do any DNA testing?
A. No, I didn't say any DNA testing.

Clearty from my -- from my request, in 2006, Metro was not doing DNA testing for possession.
Q. I hear what you're saying now.
A. Okay.
Q. But if that reddish-brown material is blood, and it was the person who actually shot this guy's blood, you'd want to find that out, wouldn't you? Wouldn't you?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. You don't know if it's possession DNA or if it's something else, you've got a reddish-brown stain on that gun, don't you?
A. And they -- and they recovered the stain and had it analyzed, yes.
Q. You keep saying that, but I'm looking for proof of that. The prosecutor showed you -- that bag said: Is there any evidence that anything was swabbed out of here since the landmark? Did you see the landmark seal on there?
A. The LabCorp?
Q. The LabCorp seal.
A. On the bag of clothes?
Q. Right.
A. Yeah, there is not a LabCorp seal on there.
Q. Is this the gun (indicating)?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you see the LabCorp seal on there?
A. There wouldn't be.
Q. So if --
A. The swab was sent to LabCorp.
Q. Okay. So if something was swabbed from there by accident, there wouldn't be a LabCorp seal on there either, would there?
A. If there was something swabbed from that material in there (indicating)?
Q. If there was something swabbed from this bag (indicating) there would be no LabCorp sticker on there, would there?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. So that was a nonsensical question from the prosecution; right?

MS. DEMONTE: Objection, argumentative.
THE COURT: Overruled.
BY MR. FIGLER:
Q. So that was -- that was an irrelevant question; correct?
A. That was a question. I -- I don't know whether it's irrelevant or not.
Q. Okay. And you could say right now with a hundred percent confidence that at some point that reddish-brown swab was taken from Package 10 there and sent to LabCorp, you could say that?
A. No, 'cause it's -- we're talking about Item 11.
Q. Yeah.
A. So whether it's Package 10, I -- I don't know what the package number is.

The question was the item number that it was
recovered from.
Q. Got it. Got it.
A. That's the question.
Q. Got it.

MR. FIGLER: Where's my LabCorp? Thank you.
May I approach again, Your Honor?
THE COURT: You may.
BY MR. FIGLER:
Q. Does that look like a LabCorp report?
A. Yes.
Q. From November 20th, 2006?
A. November 27 th .
Q. Where does it say 27 th ?
A. Right there, November 27th, 2006.
Q. Oh, that's when Metro entered it; correct?
A. Well, no, it's file stamped with LVMPD forensic lab, November 27th, 2006.
Q. So that's when your forensic lab got that LabCorp report; right?
A. I don't know. I can't answer that.
Q. Fair enough. But this looks like a document from 2006, November; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And it tells you that they tested one sealed envelope containing a swab listed as a swab of reddish stain from the rear of side Item 11-A; isn't that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So someone took a swab of Item 11-A, sent it out for testing, and it came back with no results to you; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. The belt buckle is 11 (indicating).

You admitted it in that report request saying that 11 is the belt buckle; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. So that's the swab we're talking about, a swab from a belt buckle.

No swab was ever taken from that gun to your knowledge today?
A. To my knowledge, that's correct.

MR. FIGLER: Thank you.
THE COURT: Are you done, Mr. Figier?
MR. FIGLER: Oh, yes.
THE COURT: Do the ladies and gentlemen of the Jury have any questions for this witness?
(Affirmative response from the jury pane1.)

THE COURT: We do.
I'11 see the attorneys at the bench. We will take our break as soon as we're done.

Did you write it down? Okay. Perfect, thank you.
(Bench conference outside the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: How many questions are there?
THE MARSHAL: Two so far.
THE COURT: Oh, just two, okay.
THE MARSHAL: Three.
THE COURT: This is from Keith Trombetta, Number 7.
MR. FIGLER: We can't hear.
MS. DEMONTE: Keith Trombetta, Number 7.
MR. FIGLER: DA, he said DA.
THE COURT: This is from -- oh --
MR. FIGLER: I don't know what that means. I don't have a problem with any of those questions.

THE COURT: Do you have any objection?
MS. PANDUKHT: I have another witness that would probably be able to better answer that, so.

THE COURT: I'm -- I think he can answer that.
MS. DEMONTE: Okay.
THE COURT: I'm --
MS. PANDUKHT: Okay,

THE COURT: I'm just going to allow it. They have no objection.

This is Juror Number 6.
MS. DEMONTE: No objection.
MR. FIGLER: That's fine, if he knows.
THE COURT: This is from Kristina Beber, Number 8.
MS, DEMONTE: No objection.
MR. FIGLER: No objection.
MS. PANDUKHT: I'm sorry, could I finish reading it?
I'm too slow.
No, Judge. That's a good question.
(Proceedings back inside the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: Detective, this is from Number 7, Keith Trombetta: Can DNA testing and prints be done on same item of different areas of that item, would both be possible and both be valid.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, could DNA testing?
THE COURT: Let me say it one more time.
THE WITNESS: Please.
THE COURT: Could DNA testing and prints be done on same item on different areas of that item; would both be possible and both be valid?

THE WITNESS: Yes, they could be.

THE COURT: Second question from Mr. Trombetta:
Could swab be taken from scene and no seal be added?
THE WITNESS: Could swab be taken from scene and no seal added?

THE COURT: Could swab be taken from scene and no seal be added?

THE WITNESS: There -- if the swab was taken from the scene itself, it would just be impounded -- like say there was a substance on a wall, they would swab it and they would impound it as a swab. And there would not be -- just the original seal would be applied to the evidence envelope.

If a package was opened, evidence package was opened and you had a DNA expert and a firearms expert, is it possible that they both took the swabs -- or I'm sorry, a latent print person and the DNA person, could they have removed what was requested and both of them -- I -- I don't know the answer to that.

But have they -- do they work at the same time to recover them away from the scene in a laboratory environment? Yes, they do.

So that could explain a seal not being added, I believe, if that's what the question referred to.

THE COURT: Juror Number 6, Angelica Numez: What number of item is the gun in your report?

THE WITNESS: I would have to look at the Property

Impound Report, which I don't have with me, as far as what that item number is.

THE COURT: Kristina Beber, Number 8: Would Item 11-A be the same as Item 11, and can there be more than one Item 11 contained in all of the evidence for this case?

THE WITNESS: Normally the -- the evidence marking procedure is that if this is Item 11, and they remove something from it, including even like a - if they were to test fire this item, it would be $A-B-C$ based on what was recovered from this item at a later time.

And, yes, it's very -- it's very normal to have different item numbers depending on the different scenes.

You could have an Item 11 from the scene and an Item 11 from autopsy, and an Item 11 from a car.

It's very common that those -- there could be multiple Item 11 numbers.

THE COURT: Is there anything further from the State?

MS. DEMONTE: Yes, Your Honor.

## FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. DEMONTE:
Q. With respect to their being multiple item numbers, to your knowledge, does the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department evidence vault have a different numbering system?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know what the -- what number the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department's evidence vault assigned to the reddish-brown swab?
A. No. That's a computer-generated number. I don't know what that is.
Q. Okay. Would it refresh your recollection if I showed you a Property Return Receipt from the evidence vault?
A. Yes.

MS. DEMONTE: May I approach, Your Honor?
THE COURT: You may.
THE WITNESS: There's a Property Return Receipt dated November 17th, 2006.

And the -- there's $A C$ numbers, Item 11-A is a swab of a reddish stain from rear of slide. Quantity is one.

Package 2, Item 10.
BY MS. DEMONTE:
Q. Okay. So $11-\mathrm{A}$ is what the vault gave that numbering system to?
A. Eleven --
Q. In the way you read this?
A. In the -- in the way I read this, 11-A is assigned to the Property Return Form. They're calling it Item 11-A.
Q. Okay. But it actually has a --

MR. FIGLER: I'm going to object, Your Honor,
argumentative and leading.
THE COURT: I didn't even hear all the question, so restate the question.

MS. DEMONTE: I'll just ask a different question. BY MS. DEMONTE:
Q. So handing you back State's Proposed Exhibit 109, does this bear a -- a package, an item number?
A. This package is the package you showed me prevjously from LabCorp' forensic 1ab.

There is no item number right here (indicating)
that would be assigned by the person that impounded it, but this number up here is $11-\mathrm{A}$, and this is the computer-generated from the vault sticker that's applied to it.

MS. DEMONTE: Thank you. Nothing further.
THE COURT: Any --
MR. FIGLER: Just one follow-up.
THE COURT: -- follow-up questions?
MR. FIGLER: Yeah.

FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. FIGLER:
Q. October 17th, 2008, (indicating), you're just not. sure where that reddish-brown stain came from; are you? You sent that request.
A. I'm going to say that the description was from the
rear of the slide, so my -- my -- my testimony would be it came from the back of the gun.
Q. Okay. But you didn't know that when you sent it out in '08, you're trying to figure out what's going on, why you don't have it?
A. No. I was trying to clarify the LabCorp' results. MR. FIGLER: I'11 pass.

THE COURT: AIl right. Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take a recess, ten minutes.

During this recess -- thank you very much, Detective, for your testimony. You can go ahead and step down.
(Whereupon, at this time the witness was excused.)

During this recess you are admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial;

Or read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial, or any person connected with this trial, by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspapers, television, radio or internet;

Or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

THE COURT: Ten minutes.
(Recess in proceedings.)
MR. FIGLER: Could we have a little record?
THE COURT: Let's take a break.
THE REPORTER: Yeah.
(Recess in proceedings.)
(The following proceedings were had in open
Court in the presence of the jury pane1:)

THE COURT: State of Nevada versus Evaristo Garcia, Case Number C6 -- sorry, C262966.

Let the record reflect we're in the presence of the jurors with the defendant here, Mr. Figler and Mr. Goodman. And also for the State, Ms. Pandukht and Ms. Demonte.

And we are on the State's case in chief.
Go ahead and call your next witness.
MS. PANDUKHT: The State calls Dinnah Angel Moses.

DINNAH ANGEL MOSES
called as a witness on behalf of the State,
having been first duly sworn,
was examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: I do.

THE CLERK: Please be seated.
State and spell your full name for the record,
please.
THE WITNESS: Dinnah, D-I-N-N-A-H; Ange1, A-N-G-E-L; Moses, M-O-S-E-S.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. PANDUKHT:
Q. What is your occupation?
A. I am employed by the -- by the Las Vegas

Metropolitan Police Department as a forensic scientist assigned to the firearms identification unit.
Q. How long have you been in that unit?
A. In the - - for Metro, I've been working in that unit for ten years now.
Q. And what is your official title?
A. Forensic scientist.
Q. Did you work in another jurisdiction in your field?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. What jurisdiction?
A. For the Tennessee Bureau of Investigation, I was a special agent forensic scientist.
Q. Now, in terms of being a forensic scientist, what particular work do you do?
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A. My specialty is actually examining firearms -- it's firearms identification.

And I predominantly look at ammunition components and determine if they have been fired from a particular firearm.
Q. Could you tell the jury about your education, training and experience that qualifies you to testify today?

MR. FIGLER: Your Honor, we would, at this time, stipulate to this witness's qualification to testify as an expert in ballistic analysis and forensic analysis of guns.

MS. PANDUKHT: It's basic --
THE COURT: If that's acceptable to the State.
MS. PANDUKHT: That would be fine because I understand we're up against a time limit, but it's actually firearms and toolmark identification.

MR. FIGLER: Oh, well, we'll stipulate it's a toolmark identification as well.

THE COURT: All right. All right. And you have no problem with that stipulation?

MS. PANDUKHT: No, that will be fine.
THE COURT: Okay. Then that will be the order. BY MS. PANDUKHT:
Q. Okay. So approximately -- I just want to ask one question about this, approximately how many times have you testified previously in your field?
A. Approximately, 95 times.
Q. Now, you were working in your field back in -- let's see, 2006?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. First I'd like you to describe to this jury if you can explain to them what toolmark identification is.
A. Toolmark identification is the examination of marks that are transferred from one item to another.

Any time two surfaces come into contact, one or both change. Depending on the type of materials that they are, they can actually leave marks from one item to another.

So for a firearm it's any time an ammunition component touches a particular part of a firearm, it can actually leave an imprint that's unique to that firearm and can be identified to that particular gun.
Q. So how do you perform a firearm and toolmark comparison?
A. We actually use a comparison microscope.

What this comparison microscope is, is a compound microscope that has two stages so that two objects can be looked simultaneously through a binocular eyepiece.

This allows me to look for microscopic marks. Those marks -- when those two items touch and transfer marks, I can look at the microscopic detail to see if there's enough quality and quantity to make a conclusion.
Q. Do you -- are you able, when you have a firearm, to determine if bullets were fired from that particular firearm?
A. Yes. Any time a -- a bullet or a cartridge case is fired in a gun, it leaves markings because it touches it. That's part of the nature of when things are fired.

And regardless if I have a gun or not, there's always markings on the bullet. Depending on how much there are, I can give some type of information about the bullet in the cartridge case and, if I have a firearm, determine if that's the particular firearm responsible to firing those components.
Q. Can you explain to the jury how a semiautomatic firearm works?
A. For a semiautomatic firearm, ammunition components or a cartridge is actually placed in a magazine, and a top portion called a slide is actually pulled back beyond the magazine so it picks up a round from there and places into what's called a chamber of the gun.

This is now ready to fire. With the pull of the trigger, there is mechanisms inside that will actually cause an internal reaction in the cartridge that then pushes the bullet outside -- or down the barrel of the gun and out the muzzle of the -- or the front of the gun, and uses part of that energy to pull the slide backward so that it extracts and ejects the cartridge case or the other portion of the -- of the ammunition
component, goes forward, picks up a new round and is ready to fire. Okay.
Q. Okay. And could you explain to the jury what a cartridge is?
A. If I may use the demonstration aid?

MR. FIGLER: Your Honor, we've already gone over this evidence what a cartridge is and what a bullet is, and we would stipulate to ail of this.

We'd even stipulate to the conclusions of this witness. I don't know why we need this again, it's redundant what a cartridge is.

THE COURT: Stipulation means both parties agree.
MR. FIGLER: Both sides.
THE COURT: So thank you for extending that, except for it's the State's case, and they're the ones that have the burden of proving it beyond a reasonable doubt.

So I'm going to leave it up to the State as to what evidence they want to put in.

And if you have any future stipulations, feel free to do it so that I can just advise the jury that it's been stipulated between the parties $X, Y$ and $Z$.

MR. FIGLER: Thank you, Your Honor.
MS. PANDUKHT: And I appreciate counsel's offer, but I would like to present .-

THE COURT: Right.

MS. PANDUKHT: -- this evidence to the jury on this
issue.
THE COURT: It's your burden of proof.
MR. FIGLER: And I appreciate --
THE REPORTER: One person at a time.
MR. FIGLER: And I appreciate --
THE COURT: I know you're doing that as a courtesy, but they obviously have the burden, so unless there's -- you know, it would be easier if you guys do a stipulation in the future, and that way we don't have to go through this.

MR. FIGLER: No, I appreciate it. You understand we already had evidence about what a cartridge is, what a bullet is and all that, so that's why I raised it, that's all. But I'11 sit down.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Figler.
MR. FIGLER: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Because I can remake my ruling again, too, but I won't.

MR. FIGLER: Sure.
THE COURT: Okay.
BY MS. PANDUKHT:
Q. Go ahead and show us.
A. Well, in this case, since it's been used, any time I want to make a clarification, I'll just look at the different components.

But essentially, real quickly, a cartridge is an unfired round that has -- it's a single unit that has four pieces: It's got a bullet seated at the mouth of a cartridge case that holds everything together, a primer at the back, which kind of starts the detonation and gunpowder inside.
Q. And then how do you determine the caliber of the cartridge?
A. A caliber is actually kind of a difficult thing with a cartridge because the caliber is part of the name and like -such as 9 -millimeter Luger or 9 -millimeter Makarov.

What that is to a person very familiar with
ammunition is it gives an overall characteristic between the diameter of the bullet, the design of the cartridge case, and measurements of the cartridge case so that it fits into particular firearms.

When you talk about 9-milimeter, it's actually talking about the approximate diameter of the bullet and the type of firearms that it can fit into.

But once again, a full name designates not just that diameter, the whole design of the cartridge itself.
Q. Now, what types of cartridges are put into a 9-millimeter firearm, and are there differences amongst some 9-millimeter firearms?
A. 9-millimeter firearms, there's actually a family of them, and they range for the semiautomatics, from .380 auto,

9-millimeter Makarov, 9-millimeter Luger, and then there's actually other ones that aren't as popular, but those are some of the more popular ones.
Q. So what is the difference between a 9-millimeter Luger and a 9-millimeter Makarov?
A. Well, actually, let's also talk about the .380 . Although it says . 380 , it's -- that's more of -- an Eng1ish -or a -- an -- an inches term, and 9-millimeter is in metric terms. Even though they are actually approximately the same measurement, okay, that's why one is called .380 because it's in the -- once again, in the English -- or inches, and then 9-millimeter is in the metric.

But a .380 is actually one of the -- between the three is a little bit smaller because the cartridge case length is 9 by 17, which means it's 17 millimeters from the bottom to the edge of the mouth.

For a 9-millimeter Makarov, it's 9 -- it's actually 18 inch -- or millimeters in height, and 9-millimeter Luger is 19 inches.

So they're slightly different, not by a whole lot because it's really one millimeter, but it's -- depending on the firearm, may not actually load one or the other, depending on how it's measured in the caliber.
Q. So how similar are the 9-milimeter -- I mean, I'm sorry, how similar is a 9 -millimeter Makarov to a .380 caliber
firearm?
A. It's actually more similar to the .380 than the 9-millimeter because the bullets tend to be a little bit shorter and stouter, so they look -- if you're looking at them side by side very close to one another, where the 9 -millimeter Luger tends to have larger bullets, so it sticks up a little bit more than the 9 -milimeter Makarov or .380 auto.
Q. Now, specifically with regard to the Makarov, how many cartridges does a 9-millimeter Makarov gun hold?
A. It actually depends on the size of the gun and what type of magazine that it's fitted with.
Q. Now, say a firearm was not recovered at a scene, would you still be able to make comparisons among cartridge cases or bullets?
A. If there is enough reproducibility, if I have enough sample quality and the markings are -- have enough characteristics to them, that $I$ can still make an identification that it was fired from a single firearm, it's just an unknown firearm.
Q. Now, are there some times when you cannot actually make a determination from reviewing and examining cartridge cases and bullets and test firing a gun?
A. That's correct.
Q. What could impact that?
A. Because of the way the gun fits and the tightness of
it, if it fits really well in there, it touches a lot more parts of the gun. And if the gun has a lot of characteristics to it, it will pick those up and allow me a lot of characteristics in microscopic detail to look at.

If the gun's not well made, and it's sloppily made and it has movement in there, then it may not touch it as well or in a consistent manner that I will be able to get consistent marks to look at.

So it makes it very difficult if there is play in there because when the cartridge is actually detonated, what happens is the powder actually increases a little bit but not enough that it will -- may fit in the whole chamber, picking up less marks that I can look at.
Q. Now, I'd like to direct your attention to an event number that you examined evidence under, 060206-2820, on or about June 21st, 2006. Do you recall that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And what evidence did you examine?
A. For this case I examined some bullets and cartridge cases and also was submitted a firearm with some cartridges.
Q. Was that firearm impounded as well as the rest of the cartridges and bullets, was all of that evidence impounded by Crime Scene Analyst Daniel Proietto?
A. Yes, he -- yes, it was.
Q. And did his -- like a personal identification
number, is that 8180?
A. That's correct.
Q. And is that number documented in your report?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Now, I understand that defense counsel has now stipulated to the admission of State's Proposed Exhibit 100.

MR. FIGLER: This is last witness who touched it, so, yes, we would stipulate to its admission.

MS. PANDUKHT: Well, there's actually one more witness, the fingerprint expert, but she'11 be here tomorrow. I promise.

MR. FIGLER: That's fine.
THE COURT: I didn't see a seal on that other one.
MS. PANDUKHT: This is State's Proposed Exhibit 100.
THE COURT: Oh, wait, no, I did see -- Alice --
THE WITNESS: Maceo (phonetic).
MS. PANDUKHT: A1ice Maceo, yes.
THE COURT: It's up to you, I mean --
MR. FIGLER: If she wants to open it --
THE COURT: If you want to move to admit it right now, I'm just saying --

MR. FIGLER: Yeah, no objection based on the representations of counse1.

THE COURT: So you move to admit, right?
MS. PANDUKHT: Yes, I move to admit.

THE COURT: Okay. There will be no objection, it comes in now.
(State's Exhibit 100 was admitted into evidence.)

MS. PANDUKHT: Okay. Thank you.
BY MS. PANDUKHT:
Q. And I have a photograph as well that I would like to show you, and I'm going to come up --

MS. PANDUKHT: First, if I may approach?
THE COURT: You may.
BY MS, PANDUKHT:
Q. I have a series of photographs that I want to see if you recognize, and they are State's Proposed Exhibit 94-well, I've moved the order. Let's see here.

Okay, It looks like 90 to 95 . Could you look through those.
A. (Witness complies.)
Q. Do you recognize these photographs?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Who took them?
A. Actually, I did.
Q. Okay. And you took these photographs of what evidence?
A. The evidence that was actually associated to the Event Number 060206-2820.
Q. And what do they represent?
A. They represent four bullets and a photograph of the firearm that I examined as well as some close-ups up that firearm.

MS. PANDUKHT: At this time I move to admit State's Proposed Exhibits 90 through 95.

MR. FIGLER: No objection.
THE COURT: A11 right. 90 through 95 are now admitted into evidence.
(State's Exhibits 90 through 95, respectively, were admitted into evidence.)

MS. PANDUKHT: Now, move to publish, Your Honor?
THE COURT: You may.
MS. PANDUKHT: Thank you.
BY MS. PANDUKHT:
Q. State's Exhibit Number 94 is actually -- I'm going to go out of order here. What is this?
A. This is actually the firearm that $I$ examined in this case.
Q. And could you describe the complete name of this firearm?
A. This is actually an Imez, I-M-E-Z, Model PM/IJ70-18A.

THE REPORTER: And A?
THE WITNESS: A as in apple.

BY MS. PANDUKHT:
Q. What type of firearm is this, just to be clear for the record?
A. This is a semiautomatic $9-m i 11$ imeter Makarov pistol.
Q. Now, earlier you said there might be some differences in terms of how many cartridges, how many cartridges could this gun hold?
A. This cart -- this pistol can actually hold eight in the magazine and one in the chamber so that's a total or maximum capacity of nine cartridges.
Q. And I have a close-up in Number 95. Is this the information that identifies the gun?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And for the record, it is?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And there's also, I see, some initials on here (indicating). Do you recognize those?
A. The initials here are for -- for Alice Maceo, with the event number.

And you could just barely make it out, but this silver portion up here is iny initials on there.
Q. Did you test fire that gun?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Was it in normal operating condition?
A. Yes, it was.
Q. So it functioned properly?
A. Yes, it did.
Q. Okay. Now, after you analyzed that gun, did you analyst any other evidence?
A. I also looked at bullets and cartridge cases.
Q. First let me ask you about the cartridge cases. Were you able to examine those?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. What did you determine?
A. I determined that, of the six cartridge cases, they bared the similar general characteristics of the Imez pistol; however, there was not sufficient characteristics in details to identify that particular firearm as having fired this firearm.

Although there are general characteristics, what this means is that this gun cannot be excluded or specifically identified as having fired these -- the cartridge cases.
Q. Does that conclusion have anything to do with what you were talking about earlier in terms of the size of the cartridge fitting inside the chamber?
A. Yes. And it just did not pick a lot of marks up, so it was such insufficient detail that I just could not conclusively say that it had fired these component -- these cartridge cases.
Q. Did you come to any conclusions about the similarities though amongst the six cartridge cases?
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A. They were similar to one another as well as to the test fire cartridge cases that I obtained from the Imez pistol.
Q. And what were they labeled?
A. The -- the item numbers?
Q. No. What were the --
A. $0 h$, I'm sorry.
Q. Do cartridge cases have a label?
A. The -- the head stamp on them, which is usually placed by the ammunition manufacturer is Wolf 9-millimeter Makarov.
Q. Did all six cartridge cases have the Wolf 9-mil1imeter Makarov head stamp?
A. Yes, it did.
Q. Now, specifically with regard to this Makarov gun, could other types of 9 -milifimeter ammunition have been put into that gun?
A. Yes, it is. It's possible that a . 380 can be fired in there, but jt's definitely designed to shoot 9-milimeter Makarov.
Q. What about, say, a common gun that we see here in Las Vegas, 9-millimeter Luger, could 9-miliimeter Luger cartridges fit inside this Makarov?
A. Most likely not because of the larger dimension, it probably wouldn't fit in there; however, I did not check this particular gun.
Q. Now I'd like to ask, did you examine the bullets?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. How many bullets did you examine?
A. I looked at four bullets that were booked under this event number.
Q. And what were your conclusions with regard to the four bullets?
A. Of the four bullets, there were two of them, specifically Items 1 and 9 , that $I$ could identify back to this firearm, meaning that $I$ can say that this firearm actually fired these bullets.

Of the other two, 6 and 11, they actually were badly damaged, so $I$ was not able to make a conclusive identification.

Of the characteristics I could see, they were similar in characteristics, and so similarly to the cartridge cases, they cannot be identified specifically -- excuse me, specifically to this firearm, but they cannot be excluded as having been fired by this firearm.
Q. I'd like to show you what has been admitted as State's Exhibit Number 90. Did you use the same numbering system on this photograph as the package that was impounded?
A. Yes. The DAC were my initials at the time from my Package Number 1, and the dash one is the same as the item number from the package by Dan Proietto, so this is Item 1.
Q. So when you say Bullet Number 1, is the photograph here in this Exhibit 1-1, is that what we're talking about (indicating)?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. So again, could you explain to the jury what your results were with regard to this particular bullet in Photograph Number 90 ?
A. This bullet was identified as having been fired by the Imez pistol.
Q. And what was the condition of this bullet?
A. There was some secondary damage. So when the bullet actually goes through the barrel of the firearm, it picks up characteristics and marks from there that help me identify it back to there.

But once it leaves the barrel of the gun, anything else that it hits and impacts on can also leave marks on there.

As you can see, there is additional marks that are long from the nose of it, along the sides, that were picked up from whatever it impacted on, and it also deformed itself because it's kind of mushroomed, and you could see the jacket going beyond the base.

So there was secondary damage to this bullet;
however: I -- I was still able to look beyond that damage and see the characteristics that were left from the gun and
identify it back.
Q. Now showing you State's Exhibit Number 92, is this Item Number 9 ?
A. That's correct.
Q. So again, the photograph DAC1-9 corresponds to what you said was Bullet Number 9 ?
A. That's correct.
Q. Again, tell us your results with regard to this particular bullet.
A. This bullet I also identified as having been fired by the Imez pistol.
Q. And this along with Number 1?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, what about Number 91, could you describe the condition of this bullet and what you've labeled it as?
A. This one also had some secondary damage as depicted here along this edge (indicating). These were not made from the gun itself but from impact.

And as you can see, it's distorted and
flattened. So I could only -- my marks that I looked at was very limited, and I could not see beyond these marks to identify it back; however, the characteristics that I could see were consistent with the Imez pistol.
Q. What type of surface could cause these kinds of other damage?
A. This is a very ungiving surface, probably a concrete wall or something that's very rough as that would actually leave that much mark on a very flattened area.
Q. Or could it also be like the ground or asphalt, something hard?
A. That's correct.
Q. Now showing you State's Number 93, could you describe which bullet this is and, again, the condition of this bullet?
A. This is Item 11. This also picked up some secondary marks and was flattened by these marks here, these not from the gun.

This, I could not give a conclusive identification or determination on; however, the characteristics that I did see were similar to the Imez pistol.
Q. Were there any other conclusions that you came to that I have not already asked you about?
A. No, that was it.
Q. And are all of your conclusions within the reasonable bound of scientific certainty?
A. Yes, they are.
(Sotto voce at this time.)
MS. PANDUKHT: Pass the witness.
THE COURT: Cross-examination.
MR. FIGLER: Just a couple questions.

BY MR. FIGLER:
Q. Ma'am, that's the gun in this case; correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And you referred to a slide at some point; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, the slide is what the person who is about to shoot it pulls back before they discharge the weapon; correct?
A. Yes -u it needs to be pushed -- pulled back so that a cartridge can actually be placed in the chamber, that's correct.
Q. So that's part of the process, use the weapon, pull slide back?
A. It can be done at any point in time, but it has to be done prior to it.
Q. Prior to the firing?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A. But that action can be done a week or so prior to the gun actually being pulled.

The gun actually goes --
Q. There's no question before you right now.
A. Okay.
Q. Thanks.

Now, you identified these as one-six; correct?
A. It's Item 6 -- same as Item 6 from Dan Proietto, yes.
Q. Okay, So you match up with what Dan Proietto marked as the evidence gatherer; correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. That's so there's no confusion down the road; right?
A. That's correct.
Q. That's the policy; correct?
A. It -- it allows me to put a nice unique identifier on each of my items, yes.
Q. Okay. And all these match up with Dan Proietto --11-- one-one -- Proietto marks as 1 , you mark it as 1 ; Proietto marks it as 11, you mark it as 11 ; Proietto marks it as a 6 , you mark it as a 6 ; correct?
A. Yes, that's correct.

MR. FIGLER: Thank you, no further witnesses -- or sorry, no further questions for this witness.

THE COURT: Redirect.
MS. PANDUKHT: Based on that, nothing.
THE COURT: Any questions for this particular witness, ladies and gentlemen?
(Negative response from the jury pane1.)

THE COURT: All right. That's a negative response. Thank you so much for your testimony. You're excused.
(Whereupon, at this time the witness was excused.)

THE COURT: Is there anybody else out there?
MS. PANDUKHT: No, we sent them away.
THE COURT: That's okay.
MS. PANDUKHT: I apologize.
THE COURT: Fine. It's all right.
MS. PANDUKHT: I didn't think we could get one more done.

THE COURT: No, it's all right. I think we're good.
MS. PANDUKHT: Okay.
THE COURT: I'm sure the jury would like to take a break.

So, ladies and gentlemen, this will be our evening recess.

We'11 go nine to five tomorrow. I'll give you a better morning and afternoon break. I was trying to finish up with that one witness before breaking, so I'11 -- hopefully it will be more mid section next time.

During this recess you are admonished not to
talk or converse among yourselves or with anyone
else on any subject connected with this trial;
Or read, watch, or 1 isten to any report of or commentary on the trial, or any person connected with this trial, by any medium of information, including, without limitation, newspapers, television, radio or internet;

Or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

Have a great evening, and then we'11 see you at nine a.m. Thank you.

THE MARSHAL: A11 rise.
(The following proceedings were had in open
Court outside the presence of the jury panel:)

THE COURT: We're still on the record.
Okay. We're outside the presence of the jurors. We're on the record still.

Tomorrow's Friday. I'm assuming you all got notified, but I had a media request, which I signed off on. Why, I don't know. It's only for Friday so I just wanted to let you know.

MS. PANDUKHT: Only for Friday?
MR, FIGLER: They must be bored.

THE COURT: I don't really know.
MR. FIGLER: Where were the day with my fiery cross?
THE COURT: Yeah. So I just wanted to let you guys know. I mean I did sign off on it a day ago so that will happen tomorrow.

The only other thing I was going to mention is that -- oh, you were going to make a motion.

MR. FIGLER: Thank you.
THE COURT: Go ahead. Sorry.
MR. FIGLER: No problem, Your Honor.
And Your Honor allowed me to make this contemporaneous to the time, we haven't waived anything, we're just doing it for the Court's convenience.

Your Honor, based on the fact that the prosecution proceeded with all that information about gangs and gang activity, and then we had the hearing, and Your Honor made the rulings that she did, the defense needs at this point to make a motion for mistrial. And we'll just leave it at that.

THE COURT: What I'm going to do is I did want something in writing. I'm going to give you the weekend to have something in writing to put together the reasons that you had proceeded on a gang theory. I'm sure, from your discovery, you know, just list it out and --

MS. PANDUKHT: We requested the transcript,
Your Honor.

THE COURT: Of who?
MS. PANDUKHT: Of today's argument. It would be so helpful to get that transcript, but she wanted it ordered.

THE COURT: Oh, I can get it. I'll give them an order.

THE REPORTER: No problem, I can have it tomorrow.
MS. PANDUKHT: Oh, you can?
THE REPORTER: Yeah.
MS. PANDUKHT: Oh, thank you.
(Sotto voce at this time.)
MS. PANDUKHT: It's hard, our staff is gone now.
THE COURT: No problem. So we'11 --
MR. FIGLER: That will be pending?
THE COURT: Yeah.
The only -- another thing I noticed -- yeah, so it's going to be pending, I'11 rule on it on Monday, and that way I think there will be a better record of your proceeding anyway, where I had already found that it wasn't intentional.

MS. DEMONTE: Yeah.
THE COURT: Because you clearly have discovery that said he was a gang member, the defendant, and you said to me, on the record, that Jonathan Harper had previously testified that that defendant was in the gang of Puros Locos.

MS. PANDUKHT: That's what I believed.
THE COURT: So I'm going to -- you guys can go back
over and make sure that that's what your discovery said so that you can overcome a mistrial motion.

And, I mean, I -- I'm going to obviously deny it, but I don't want to formally do that until they put something in writing, because it gets helpful on the record because it's helpful not only to overcome a post-conviction issue, but if somebody was to second guess what Mr. Goodman and Mr. Figler did, which I get all day long having, you know, being Judge Mosley's predecessor in interest, I get a lot because --

MR. FIGLER: No, we appreciate you allowing us to make a full record.

There was one other motion that the defense needs to make.

THE COURT: Okay, go ahead,
MR, FIGLER: Based on the testimony of Detective Hardy, Your Honor, we're going to move to dismiss the charges for destruction of evidence. And I'll explain my theory.

Detective Hardy said, in no uncertain terms, that, in 2006, that Metro had full knowledge that doing latent prints before doing any DNA testing would destroy the evidence.

The evidence came out that Detective Hardy had no verification that any DNA testing had been done at any time on that gun.

> He testified to that straight up.

This last witness testified that the slide of a handle, where allegedly there was some brownish reddish material that could have been bio material would be a place where a shooter, or the person who is cocking the gun (indicating), would have left potential DNA evidence, which could have excluded my client or implicated someone else.

We also know that there were multiple parties that handled this weapon, yet their fingerprints don't show up.

Additionally, my client's fingerprints show up in very unusual spots on this -- on this gun.

His right ring finger, which would be his fourth finger down, shows up at the very top, above the grip on the -on the gun itself, and then there's some webbing that's near the -- the handle of the gun, which the State is going to use as being extraordinarily incriminating evidence, and yet we don't have the ability to test for DNA because it's been destroyed by the detective.

We don't have any of that information which would include or exclude and, therefore, because they knew that that would happen if they did latent prints first, they intentionally destroyed that evidence, so it couldn't be tested, and we move to dismiss.

THE COURT: Here's what I'm going to do, I'm going to make it real easy on this one, okay?

It's -- it's interesting what you're posing, okay,
kind of.
It's -- it becomes more interesting if he's convicted. If he's not convicted it's a nonissue. Okay?

MR. FIGLER: Of course.
THE COURT: What I would rather do with that, because it just sprung up today, would be to wait until if, in fact, he's found guilty of murder in the first degree, have formal motions on destruction of evidence. Because my concern would be that, if you're fighting, you could get a cut on your hand, which could be important as to putting blood evidence on the firearm.

And if that blood evidence was not properly collected, it does rise to a level of a question of whether or not, you know, at first blush, you may think, well, all you need is one or the other. But maybe not because we heard that it was a big meTee beforehand.

So it becomes much more relevant because of the fighting that occurred. And as I recal1, I heard the coroner say that the decedent also had cuts on his hands.

MR. FIGLER: Correct, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay? So now cuts on the hand become important as far as DNA transfer. Okay?

So I think it's a very interesting question of what's gone on. I gotta tell you, I couldn't figure out what was going on with Hardy in 11-A. Good luck to all of you with
the jury because it was just a lot.
MS. DEMONTE: I can explain jt.
THE COURT: Well, I'm going to let you all brief it. How about that?

MS. DEMONTE: Okay.
THE COURT: If he's actually convicted of it, then everybody can really sit down with it, because that's an that's a very -- this is an ID case, and there's a destruction of evidence, You know, I just don't want to shoot from the hip is what I'm saying.

MS. PANDUKHT: There has been no destruction of evidence.

THE COURT: Okay? I don't want to shoot from the hip.

MS. PANDUKHT: But there has been no destruction of evidence. There -- the evidence --

THE COURT: Maybe you are just not understanding.
MS. PANDUKHT: Well, yeah, it got confusing, but there was no destruction of evidence, Your Honor, and that -that swab turned out to be negative. So it wasn't blood. So --

MR. FIGLER: We don't know what the swab came from --

THE COURT: Well, are you having somebody from DNA say that it's negative?

MS. DEMONTE: That's what the LabCorp report that Mr. Figler kept putting in Mr. Hardy's face was.

THE COURT: You're right, but you're having somebody from the lab say it's --

MS. DEMONTE: We have to make a phone call that we need someone from LabCorp now.

THE COURT: Because I never heard you were putting on DNA evidence.

MS. DEMONTE: No, we weren't going to --
THE REPORTER: Whoa, whoa.
MS. DEMONTE: Sorry. It wasn't blood.
THE COURT: You may want to put on your evidence then, and I'll determine this later, because if the swab was not blood, and it's something else, and then they stili have an argument that it should have been swabbed first beforehand?

MS. PANDUKHT: It was.
MS. DEMONTE: It was.
MR. FIGLER: I mean, they keep saying it, but I haven't seen any proof of that.

THE COURT: Hold on, hold on.
Yeah, we're going to have more testimony. I think it's just premature.

MS. PANDUKHT: Yes.
THE COURT: And that's why I was going to wait anyway.

MS. PANDUKHT: Thank you, thank you.
THE COURT: Because I think it may be premature, they may be able to explain what happened better.

MS. DEMONTE: Yes.
THE COURT: So let's just continue this either way. It's a valid -- what you brought up is valid because what I was hearing on cross was there was some confusion as to whether it was swabbed first, whether the fingerprints went first, how it all went down. Maybe with more evidence we'11 be able to figure that out.

MR. FIGLER: Sure.
THE COURT: So let's just put that one over.
And do not let me forget if, in fact, a verdict comes out, then I'11 just have you brief it, and we'11 have -I'11 give you lots and lots of time, you can have all the trial transcripts and attach it, and I'll be able to make a better record.

MR. FIGLER: I appreciate that.
Only one other little thing, as Your Honor, I'm sure, is aware, under Sanborn and Sparks, it might have some impact on instruction, so the defense may offer some additional instructions based on how the record stands right now, but I appreciate what Your Honor is saying about --

THE COURT: I know what you're saying.
MR. FIGLER: Yeah, yeah, so I get where you're
going, and that's fine, but $I$ just wanted to throw that out there too.

THE COURT: Well, even with Sanborn it's got to be intentional or grossly negligent.

MR. FIGLER: Yeah. Yeah.
MS. PANDUKHT: It just didn't happen.
THE COURT: Let's see how it comes out.
So if you're saying that it was swabbed first .-
MS, PANDUKHT: It was swabbed for that stain, that reddish brown stain, it was swabbed.

THE COURT: So it was swabbed.
MS. PANDUKHT: Yes. And then they didn't swab the rest of the gun, they did the prints. It was confusing with Hardy because he really wasn't the proper witness to be asking all those questions to.

THE COURT: I understand.
MR. FIGLER: Except that --
MS. PANDUKHT: But he found that a little confusing --

MR. FIGLER: Except that Hardy was the one who resubmitted saying: If there's any DNA, I don't know.

And it looks like they might have accidentally taken it from the belt buckle. That's what our point was.

But this could have been a big oopsy by Metro, and now there's a problem. That's why Hardy's trying to clean it
up in 2008, two years after the swabbing was allegedly done.
THE COURT: Let's -- let's hear from that other expert tomorrow, and it may get cleared up. You need to clear it up.

MS. PANDUKHT: Yeah.
THE COURT: Because it's pretty confusing what went on with Hardy.

MS. PANDUKHT: I will.
THE COURT: And do you want all of you like can have, since Renee is not going to be here, not -- it's up to you, can -- can you get, you know, can you also get Hardy's testimony to them?

THE REPORTER: You bet. It won't be first think in the morning, but it will be tomorrow.

THE COURT: Yeah. You've got time. I'm just worried about instructions with Sanborn, if, in fact, Mr. Figler is somehow correct, and I'm -- it's not what you say, but you guys should know your case.

MS. PANDUKHT: We do. And we also know that the capability for DNA in 2006 were not touch DNA, like we have today. That didn't exist back then.

MR. FIGLER: I mean, as rebuttal, I could bring in every single transcript from every case in from 2006 beyond all the way back to 1998 where they're using DNA evidence to convict people.

I don't know that they had want to go down that path that DNA is not relevant before 2006.

MS. DEMONTE: I'm not saying DNA was relevant --
THE COURT: It's the touch.
MS. DEMONTE: -- what I'm saying is the touch.
MR. FIGLER: DNA is DNA is DNA.
THE COURT: But --
MR. FIGLER: It's blood --
THE REPORTER: One at a time, please.
THE COURT: If the protocol is not to do touch DNA like it is now, okay, then we all live with the protocol that was going on at that time.

MS. PANDUKHT: I would also like to raise the point that they gave us a report from their expert that said that Alice Maceo did everything correct 7 , and the swabs weren't taken from the grip of the gun.

So their own report from Jerry Dickerson (phonetic), their expert, said that swabs weren't taken from the grip of the gun, the sides that were textured, but she even said that swabs were taken and sent to LabCorp of the reddish brown stain. So every last stain that our witnesses would have testified to, Miss Dickerson agreed with, other than swabs weren't taken from the --

MR. GOODMAN: And she hasn't testified here, Your Honor.

MS. PANDUKHT: I know, but I'm just saying --
MR. GOODMAN: That's completely improper to suggest
a report from an expert that hasn't testified yet.
MS. PANDUKHT: I'm just saying --
MR. GOODMAN: It's not evidence in the record.
MS. PANDUKHT: I agree it's not evidence in the record, I wanted to bring it up to the Court only because of the allegation of destruction of evidence. I thought it was important for that limited purpose. That's all I was saying.

THE COURT: So you've got your expert tomorrow.
Do you have some DNA expert too?
MR. FIGLER: No, because there was never any DNA taken by our knowledge or DNA tested one way or another.

We know that they didn't take DNA from all the people who I mentioned.

THE COURT: Right.
MR. FIGLER: We know that didn't happen.
I don't have a report back of DNA that is distinctly traceable chain of custody right now as we sit based on the evidence that came out, that goes to that gun versus that belt buckle. I just don't have that yet.

Now, maybe they can clean it up and they're saying that they can, but I'm just sitting here with what I got and what the record has so far, that's why I'm making this motion. MS. PANDUKHT: They have the report from LabCorp and
that's the only report that --
MR. FIGLER: Yeah, the LabCorp report says no DNA on
11-A. 11-A is a belt buckle.
MS. PANDUKHT: You have the report.
THE COURT: I have never seen the report so that could be a little helpful.

MR. FIGLER: The report says there's no DNA on 11-A.
And 11-A, by all counts that we have so far, is a belt buckle that's in that bag.

MS. DEMONTE: That's not true.
THE COURT: I heard something else was 11-A too.
MS. DEMONTE: This is --
MR. FIGLER: 11.
MS. DEMONTE: No, no, no. Let me -- I'm going to say exactly what this is.

This is package -- I can't remember what Maria Thomas's pack number -- Package 1, Items 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9,10 and 11.

There are no As, Bs, Cs, Ds assigned to the victim's clothing.

Also in evidence is from Dan Proietto --
THE COURT: Where is the LabCorp result?
MS. PANDUKHT: Right here.
MS. DEMONTE: Which is Package 1, Items 1, 2, 3 --
THE COURT: Hold on. You know what, let me read the

LabCorp thing first.
MS. PANDUKHT: Okay.
THE COURT: And let me start looking at packages.
Hold on.
MR. GOODMAN: Is that Defense Exhibit A that you have, Your Honor?

THE COURT: This is LabCorp.
THE CLERK: It's right in front of her now.
THE COURT: I have 8 but I'm looking at LabCorp.
MR. FIGLER: Great.
THE COURT: LabCorp is what they actually tested.
MR. FIGLER: Right.
THE COURT: Well, it says Item 6 here. Item 6 is what the LabCorp talks about, it says: Reddish stain from rear slide of 11-A, Item 6, revealed negative results for presence of blood.

MR. FIGLER: Right.
THE COURT: Now, let me see what Item 6 is because that's that, and one sealed envelope containing a swab listed as a swab of reddish stain from the rear of slide, Item 11-A.

Wouldn't it be a slide that was sent to them?
MR. FIGLER: Yeah, you would think.
MS. DEMONTE: No, a swab.
MR. FIGLER: Swab.
MS. DEMONTE: Swab.

THE COURT: But I mean it's a slide -- swab of a slide.

MR. GOODMAN: It's a swab of a slide.
THE COURT: That's what it says. Swab of reddish stain from rear of slide.

MR. FIGLER: Right. But 11-A comes into the mix somewhere, and Hardy says, in '08, 11-A, that's the belt.

THE COURT: This is from B-BL. Who is BL?
MS. DEMONTE: That's Burke Henry (phonetic).
THE COURT: So Burke Henry is on here.
MS. DEMONTE: Yes.
THE COURT: And who is SW?
MS. DEMONTE: That's LabCorp that worked for Metro.
MR. FIGLER: I have no doubt that LabCorp tested what's in that envelope. I have a huge doubt where that came from so far based on the record.

THE COURT: I see what you're saying.
You are saying that this is what they tested, but you don't know where this (indicating) came from.

MR. FIGLER: Right.
MS. DEMONTE: ATice Maceo is going to testify.
THE COURT: All right. So Alice Maceo will say tomorrow that --

MS. PANDUKHT: She's the fingerprint expert, and she requested that.

THE COURT: Okay. But somebody took a swab from an item of evidence, so who took the swab from the gun?

MS. DEMONTE: Burke Henry at Alice Maceo's request. Alice Maceo --

THE COURT: Burke Henry needs to say he took a swab and put it into here then.

MS. DEMONTE: We11, Alice Maceo watched him do it.
THE COURT: Oh, okay, Alice watched him do it.
MR. FIGLER: Really?
MS. DEMONTE: Yes.
MS. PANDUKHT: I'11 ask her again but that's what I thought.

THE COURT: Well, here's the deal, where is Burke Henry?

MR. FIGLER: I want --
MS. DEMONTE: He's --
THE REPORTER: One person at a time, please.
THE COURT: Oh, my God. Here's the deal: They're still questioning where that came from, and there is a question because two items are 11-A.

So what $I$ am saying is it's $-\quad$ it's a mess, fix it, or you're going to get these motions, which makes it very, very complex that doesn't need to be complex. This is simple evidence here, people. Okay?

It shouldn't be where I'm figuring out your chain of
custody. All right?
So you've got to put somebody on the stand that said that they took the gun, Item -- which is my Exhibit 100, they took a little swab, they took the swab, they put it into that envelope.

If Henry Burke (sic) isn't around, if she was sitting there present and watched the whole thing and can testify under oath that she watched the whole thing, then that's fine, but she better -- I would think she has notes to that effect as well.

MS. PANDUKHT: Yeah, she does.
THE COURT: Okay. Well, Mr. Figler, if that's going to be the case tomorrow, if she takes the stand and says she watched Burke --

MR. FIGLER: We want Burke, but I get what you're saying.

MS. PANDUKHT: I'11 try and find out.
THE COURT: You don't need Burke, all right?
If she did it and she did the testing, and she was standing right there and she can say: I watched Burke swab it, we're both forensic scientists, and put it in there, then it will be a nonissue, a non-Sanborn issue, which I don't personally need either.

MR. FIGLER: Right, $I$ get it.
THE COURT: Okay? I agree, it's a weird issue,
but --
MR. FIGLER: You see where we're coming from at this point.

THE COURT: I do, and thanks for the heads-up because now I gotta sit and watch chain of custody like a hawk.

Okay. At least you gave me a heads-up, now I
know -- I know we're on the same page because, you know, I was just bobbing in and out of consciousness on Ken Hardy's -- with whatever you guys were doing here.

MR. FIGLER: Right.
THE COURT: Because I gotta tell you, I really wasn't following the whole -- and I know -- I mean I know this stuff, and I wasn't following it. So we got it with the jury.

MS. DEMONTE: It got really confusing,
THE COURT: That's what I'm saying. All right? So
I would clean it up big time tomorrow for that. Okay?
MS. DEMONTE: We're already going on it.
MS. PANDUKHT: On it.
THE COURT: Thanks. All right. We're off the record.
(Proceedings concluded.)

*     *         *             *                 *                     * 
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PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: We're on the record now. State of Nevada versus Evaristo Garcia. Case No. c262966.

Let the record reflect the defendant is present with his attorneys Mr. Figler and Mr. Goodman. And for the State, Ms. Pandukht and Ms. Demonte.

We have a matter outside the presence of the jury right now and who wanted to bring that to my attention?

MR. FIGLER: I'll start it, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Sure.
MR. FIGIER: If that's okay. When counsel arrived this morning, I noted a difference in the routine in that the juroas were going back towards chambers in the jury rom than being out in the hallway as they have since the very onset of the trial every day. So today is day five. Days one through four, they were out in the hallway.

Mr. Goodman was back there before it
happened, before they started being let back just as nommally is as we all are.

THE COURT: Sure.
MR. FIGLER: And the jury saw Mr. Goodman and had a - - what Mr. Goodman would describe as a negative visceral reaction to seeing him and literally jumping back and away from him saying -did they say something or was it fust --

MR. GOODMAN: That's defense.
MR. FIGLER: Oh, that's defense.
MS. PANDUKAT: Who said that? I didn't
hear.
MR, FIGLER: One of the jurors.
MR. GOODMAN: A couple of the jurors.
MS. PANDUKHT: To you?
THE COURT: Well, they've been admonished not to talk to you guys, too.

MR. EIGLER: I appreciate that. So Ross came out and he goes, I just had a weird experience with the jurors back there. They looked at me like I was the plague, like something weird was going on. Then the marshal --

THE COURT: It's just Ross. That's everybody's reaction.

MR. GOODMAN: For the record, that is not
everyone's reaction.
MR. FIGIER: Yeah.
THE COURT: I'm teasing.
MR. GOODMAN: That's the whole point,
Your Honor, is because most people are very gracious. During jury - - during a trial, jurors seem to like me for the most part.

This js the first time 1 've done a trial that I can remember where I had that type of overt reaction where they basically jumped up against the wall as $I$ was walking back.

So I think it is significant.
MR. FIGLER: That said, we then asked the marshal what the reason for the difference was. The marshal said it's not that big, they had something, but it didn't have anything to do with the trial but they did have some questions,

So they've been communicating with the marshal which is absolutely proper. In fact, that's the best way to go is for them to communicate to the marshal, but the marshal felt based on the communications made to him that it would be best to not have them out there anymore.

When we further inquired with both parties here of the marshal, he indicated that there
was trepldation on the part of the jury, that they're afraid of people in the audience, that they were afraid to be asking questions this entire time because Your fonor announces their names. Because their names are known that some of them said something about they were being followed or that they felt in a threatened position. So obviously whis has caused great concerns to both sides. We've been talking about it right before Yout Honot took the bench. It's clear that there's more than just a question or two. It seems as though the jurors are expressing some great concerns to the marshal.

So $I$ think $-m$ and $I$ think the state agxees with me that the best course of action to protect the record is initially to inquire upon the maxshal when he was first made aware of these concerns by the jurors, which jurors, if he can remember the concerns, what specific questions or comments were made and then what he said back.

Then $T$ think the appropriate step after that would be to make, if the Court deems it to be an issue that needs to be resolved based on the marshal's representations, that we do a canvass of the jury.

I think both sides agree that the best way to do that, to make the cleanest record, would be to do that individually. But I'll leave it to the court, how the court wants to run that, is the court even feels that that's necessary, but that's the position of the defense as consulted with the state.

MS. PANDUKHT: And $i f$ I may just add a tiny bit to that, Your Honor. I agree with everything Mr. Figler said.

And for the record, defense counsel has been courteous and I've not never seen them do anything inappropriate during the course of this trial.

Secondly, I wanted to let the court know, which I've already let the defense know, that Ms. Nyikos and I -- Ms. Demonte and I --- see, I do it, too, we instructed all of our witnesses, as well as the family members that have been watching, not to talk about the facts of the case outside and to behave themselves. Obviously not make any looks. I specifically told the victim's family not to make any movements, gestures or looks or they would be thrown out of the courtroom.
And I have had my victim advocate

Uoanna Rash actually sitting with the viotim's family throughout the entirety of this trial. And I'm sure qour Honor has seen her here in court. And, in fact, she's been sitting outside with them, So just before we even get started, I want to make a record of what the state has done to try and protect the record in this case. Thank you.

THE COURE: Mhank you. Okay. Well, I agree with everyone. My preliminaty thoughts on, just when - this is the first time they ve been back in the hallway, ft's very obvious that they can see judges, they can see attorneys. And of course, we encourage you guys to come back any time. I've always sald that if you want cosfee, water, whatever you need, my staff is always there for the attorneys to assist them.

So it's gonna be normal that when they go back there, it was just in my view since $I$ admonished them on two different occasions do not speak to the attorneys, that they might have been surpxised to see an attorney there and them saying it's the defense may have been a way of commenting to the rest of their m- the group, you know, to be quiet, whatever, the defense is here.

Now, it could be the other way that Mr. Ross thinks that, you know, they don't like him, I don't know, but I do know that they were admonished two times by the court. So --

MR. GOODMAN: And if I could juste add, Your flonor, for the record, I'm been with this jury passing them, you know, a number of times. Some of them acknowledge me that I'm passing them. I've never had this negative reaction.

And for the secord, it wasn't that they said defense. It was the tone of their voice and how they said it. So it wasn't like a surprise motion -- a surprise that, that they saw me. The tone of their voice coupled with the fact that they -- basically if the wall wasn't right behind them, I think that they would have tripped over themselves and fell - - and fell down. w think that that is a dramatic change from when $I$ walk passed them the other day.

I don't know what precipitated it, but then $I$ learned about this new development this morning. And so $I$ think coupled together it does raise a concern.

THE COURT: I appreciate your comments.
Well, the record is what the record is. And what

I'm gonna do from here on out, we're gonna ask the marshal some questions. And either sides are free to ask questions of him.

MS. PANDUKHT: Thank you.
MS. DEMONTE: Thank you.
THE COURT: What I would like to do is we had the Desai trial going on for about four months and the jury used the conference room as meeting spot.

I'm gonna have the marshal pick the
jurors up in the morning down in the jury commisstioner's office and have them escorted up here right back into the conference room. And they'll stay back in the hallway. So I' 'm just letting you guys know that they'll be back there from heme on out.

If you want to use the restrooms, you might want to go down the hall or you guys might want to use the public ones to stay away from the jury because I'm gonna be keeping them back here and they' 11 be using those restrooms here along with the break stuff.

MS. DEMONTE: Okay.
THE COURT: So that might alleviate any more concerns with what the marshal is going to


#### Abstract

discuss which obviously he talked with you abont and what he discussed with me and so now we'll put on the record what occurred.

And if marshal - - it's Marshal David Ellis who's with us. And go ahead, Marshal Ellis.

MARSHAL ELIIS: Yes. When I went out to see if the jurors were here, that*a when they expressed to me thetr safety concerns. And we went $-m$ when we took them to the back - - because $I$ asked Gail, I went back and talked to Gail about it because you wexen't here yet, and she said that she felt it would be okay for me to secure them back in the jury deliberation room. And I did that. But we didn't see any attorneys when we were going down the hallway.


And when I instructed them we were going to the deliberation room, we didn't see anybody in the room. He - - attorney Goodman was over $I$ guess by the sink area. And when they were going in, that's when $I$ heaxd one of the jurors say he's a defiense attorney, And I didn't get the underlying tone thet attomney Goodman did. I, I, I perceived it as thet they were warning the other juxors this is one of the defense attorneys. So, you know, not to make any contact.

And then $I$ told them just wait,
he'll come out of the room because it looked like he was on his cell phone. I didn't know he was in the room. So he came out and we secured all the jurors in the room.

But their main concerns were for their names being mentioned in court.

THE COURT: Which by the way I'm not gonna say their names anymore. I'll make sure it's on the question, but I'll just say Juror No. 7.

MS. PANDUKHT: Okay.
THE COURT: And I'll make sure their names, I won't mention their names anymore.

MARSHAL ELIIS: Yeah, it was specifically about five jurors that had concerns. The other jurors were just nodding their heads.

THE COURT: They were all together when they were telling you this?

MARSHAL ELIIS: Yes. Right out here. (Indicating.) And there were no witnesses outside When we were discussing this. $I$ think one witness came up as we were going back to the deliberation room. So what we discussed, there was no parties to this case out there. Just the jurors. As a matter of fact, a couple of jurors weren't even there.

And they were also concerned about some of the people that they've seen in the gallery like hanging around them outside the courtroom and looking at them and giving them looks.
one of the jurors said that $-m$ I think it was her nephew. His name - THE COURT: Who's nephew? MARSHAL EULIS: One of the jurors. THE COURT: Okay. MARSHAL ELIIS: Has a nephet named -it"s a nephew or son named Giovanny. And she was talking personal because she said that they've been instructed only to talk about personal mateters. They haven't - - have not been discussing the case. So when they're discussing personal matters, a couple of the Hispanic people that were out there - - I think they were on this side of the room. (Tndicatıng,)

THE COURT: So possibly defense people. MARSHAL ELLIS: Right, Were kind of looking and trying to listen in to their conversation. So they were concerned about that. So those were the main issues.

THE COURT: Well - MS. PANDUKHT: Your Honor, can I say
one --

THE COURT: For the record, there have been a lot of people in the courtroom. Who they belong to, we don't really know.

MR. FTGLER; Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Except for I would say that I have seen who belonged to the State's like family is with Joanna Rash and they've been sitting on the State's side of the room.

Who's on the defendant's side, I don't know. When I say defendant's side, I'm talking about from the defense table back. I don't know who they are. They could be defendant's family, they may not be defendant's family. Sometimes we've just had spectators in here,

MR. FIGLER: Right. Sometimes no one's been here at all.

THE COURT: Correct.
MR. FIGLER: And I have seen Ms. Rash here quite often, but she's not here the whole time.

THE COURT: She's not here the whole time.

MR. FIGLER; And they are a very large crowd on what we'll call the state's side, just that side of the courtroom. Sonetimes in numbers of
eight or nine people. So we don't know.
MS. PANDUKHT: I just wanted to add --
THE COURT: Ms. Rash hasn't been here the entire time, so that's true, but she has been here most of the time.

MS. PANDUKHT: I just wanted to add one more thing. That the witnesses yesterday afternoon and this morning are all police personnel, not lay witnesses. I just wanted to add that for the record.

THE COURT: Well, I did notice, you know, he mentioned that they quit asking questions because their names are being called.

MS. PANDUKHT: Oh.
THE COURT: And they have stopped asking questions. Where they were asking more questions before. So it is a concern if they feel for their safety I need to address it with them.

MS. PANDUKHT: I agree.
THE COURT: I Will - -
MR. FIGLER: And especially --
THE COURT: I will ask them one by one or I can ask them -- lill just - - you know, since some of the jurors were not there as he just said, they told him, perhaps 1 should do it by one by one.

MS. PANDUKHT: I would like that, your Honor. T agree with Mr. Figler to do it one-on-one.

THE COURT: Okay.
MS. PANDUKHT: That would be our request.
WHE COturt: Let's just do that.
MR. FIGLER: And Just a couple more
thinge to the record. Number one, we noticed, and it was very strange, that they were asking sort of the innocuous witnesses questions, but when it came to like Edshel who had a lot of testimony, they just wouldn't ask a question of him. And they didn't ask a question of Jonathan Harper.

THE COORT: Well, we can speculate to whatever.

MR. FIGLER: Well, they didn't ask mone and we just were really -- we were wondering why they were asking questions of like a Metro officer that had really nothing to do with much and then weren't asking any questions to key witnesses who were up thexe for a really long time with a lot of different information coming out and some conflicting stuff. So that was a concern. Number two, the marshal also indicated that someone said sonething about a fear of being followed to us.

MARSHAL ELIIS: No, it was one of the jurors. Yeah, a female juror.

THE COURT: Felt like she was being
followed?
MARSEAL ELLIS: While she was waiting on her father to piok her up.

MR. FIGLER: And, and the other concern for the defense is are they talking to each other about this fear.

Now, I understand that they say that they're not gonna talk about the trial per say, but if this fear is starting to be spoken about, what impact it has on people, I don't know.

And then finally, I'm curious as to why whoever the individual who just happened to be talking about her nephew, who she happened to say was Giovanny, why that name came up. It seems fairly random.

> Maybe it is random as opposed to saying well, that's really interesting, there's a guy named Giovanny in this case, I have a nephew named Giovanny and just start talking about it, which implies that they're --

THE COURT: Well, I don't want you to start grilling a bunch of jurors.

MR. FIGLER: And I don't want to. THE COURT: I don't want them to be on the defense because then we're really gonna get a mistrial, okay. So really watch what you guys say to both sides. You know, you don't want to pat people in like defense of themselves.

MR. FIGLER: No, no. And I, I've
wanna - - I actualy have a mindset that we leave the vast majority of any - -

MR. GOODMAN: Yeah.
MR. FIGLER: -- questions to the court. MS. PANDUKHT: I think it should be the judge.

MR. FIGIER: That the paxties, unless there's absolutely some necessary follow up wouldn't do that, that it would just be Your Honor doing it. So I don't --

MS. PANDUKBT: I agree.
MR. FIGLER: -- we have that concern.
But you understand where we're going with this. And that is --

THE COURT: I understand.
MR. FIGLER: If they haven't been participating in the process because of some fear, because of all the gang information that's come in
so far, which is kind of petered ont mow for the State, but was kind of heavy in the beginning, has prejudiced my client that they have him already as a gang member or afraid of him or afraid what's gonna mappen because of their perceptions or what's happening inside or outside.

And then the last point that I'll
make is I understand that the State has tried to keep, you know, and instructed their watnesses to not be close to the jurors and vice versa, but we've really seen witnesses all other the place. I mean - -

THE COURT: I agree.
MR, FIGLER: Yesterday Jonathan Harper was right there $\quad$ lhe jurors were - - when Mr. Goodman and I went out and the $s t a t e$ went out, I mean, the jurors were like two arm lengths away from where Mr. Harper was. They were wight there*

And so actualiy Mr. Goodman asked me do you think that the, the jurors are seeing the prosecutors interact with Mr. Harper. And I'm ink, weli, how can they miss it, they're right there.

I don't know how that's playing. You know, there was some interesting stuff going on, but it was like right out there.

And I know that's the - - - they talked about this when they were building the RJC that this problem would be solved. And they built the RJC and it's the same problem we have in the old courtroom.

THE COORT: Right. It's the same problem that occurs in every single trial throughout this courthouse.

MR. ETGLER: But we don't - - -
THE COURT: It is what it is.
MR. FIGLER: And we don't usually get the - - -

THE COURT: Here's the problem: If it goes over into where it's a problem, okay. Right now -- I mean, you know the logistics of the courthouse and how people talk to their witnesses and who's floating out there possibly intimidating a juror, you know, I need to just find out.

But I mean, whether - if he gets convicted, the supreme court who were most of them district court judges here or in the other courthouse, they get it. So they'll look at this record.

And unless there's some bad play or Intimidation or problem, you know, it is what it is. We all get how it, how trials go.

> I'm gonna try to alleviate any
further problems by putting these measures into place.

MR. RIGLER: Right.
THE COURT: But all we can do is ask them. So let's just start with Juror No. 1. So it doesn't look funny, we'll just go through 1 through 14.

MR. FIGLER: Thank you, judge.
MS. PANDUKET: Thank you.
THE COURT: Okay. Let's start bringing them in one by one. Hi.

UUROR GRIFFIS: Hi.
HHE COURT: Come on in, Go ahead and have a seat there. Just go ahead and sit in the first chair. It's fine.

JUROR GRIEFIS: Over here?
THE COURT: Yeah. The only two people in the courtroom besides the attorneys and the parties are my externs. And they're law clexks from -students. So there's nobody out for the record in the audience as we're doing this.

You're Juror No. 1. And can you state your name because $I$ don't have my list in

```
front of me. Oh, here it is. You're Lisa Griffis,
right?
    WUROR GRTEFTS: Correct.
    THE COURT: So we just have some
questions because of some information. The
questions are -- well, let me just say this: From
now on I think what we're gonna do is I'm gonna have
my marshal pick everybody up at the jury
commissioner's office.
    JUROR GRIFFIS: OkaY.
    THE COURT: And you guys will just go
back there into the conference room and you'll stay
there in and out of breaks. There's restrooms and
everything else for you, okay?
    JUROR GRIEETS: OkEy.
    THE COURT: So having said that, there
was some information related to my marshal and we
were just gonna make some inquiries.
    Were you at any time ever
intimidated by anybody outside of the courtroom or
inside of the courtroom? Have you been intimidated
by anybody?
    JUROR GRIFFIS: Not at all, but I've
heard from other jurors that, you know, when we're
talking out there and there's -- and we're laughing,
```

```
it's -- I think they were concerned that the other
people involved with the trial were -- because we're
talking about anything but the trial.
    THE COURT: Right.
    JUROR GRIEEIS: To fust try to get our
minds of:E of it. So I think they were thinking that
maybe we're laughing at, at them. Or I don't know.
But I never got threatened by anyone or anything.
    THE COURT: DO you know the jurors or
their numbers who felt worried about this?
    JUROR GRIFFIS: I just heard it from
Erika. She mentioned that to me that -- but ahe
didn't mention names.
    THE COURT: OKay.
    JUROR GRTFFIS: But I don't know her
number.
    WHE COURT: So Erika was worried. Okay.
So anyway, you haven't felt intimidated or
threatened by anybody in or outside the courtroom?
    JUROR GRITFIS: NOt at all.
    THE COURT: Okay, thank you so much. So
do either sices have any guestions?
    MS. PANDUKHT: No, Your Honor.
    THE COURT: Okay, great. Thank you so
much.
```

MS. GRTFETS: Okay.
THE COURT: We'll bring in Juror No. 2.
(Whereupon, the juror exited the
courtroom.)
THE COURT: OKay. Outside the presence.
Did you have a question?
MR. FTGLER: Yeah, I don't think --
because she said she wasn't threatened or intimidated in any way. She seemed very forthright about that so.

THE COURT: Right.
MR. FIGLER: But $I$ think maybe some kind of question is if - - just try to find out if they - they think there is any kind of prejudice or any kind of fear or fear to participate in the process or --

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. FIGLER: -- any prejudice against either, either side or any perceptions that are causing them grave concern that's on their mind.

THE COURT: I stopped with her because she clearly did not.

MR. FIGEER: No, that's why I didn't m
MS. PANDUKHT: And I don't want to create a problem.

```
                            MR, FIGLER: I don't want to create
either.
    THE COURT: Right.
                            MR, FIGIER: That's why = jumped up
and ---
    THE COURT: I didn't want to start like a
Whole new jury selection process, can you be fair
and impartial.
                            MR. FIGLER: Right.
                            THE COURE: I just didn't want to go
there.
                            MR. FIGLER: But* you get what T'm saying.
So if we get --
    THE COURT: I do. I'lJ go further if T
feel like I need to I guess.
    MR. ETGLER: Eerfect.
    THE COURT: I really felt like she was
being forthright as you just - -
    MR. FTGLER: Right,
    THE COURT: Ne're now in the presence of
Iuror 2, Mr. Bhatnagar. Good momning.
                            JUROR BHATNAGAR: GOOg momning.
                    MHE COURT: Go ahead and just have a seat
right there. It's fane. We want to let you know
that with the jury what we're gonna start doing is I
```

have my marshal pick you guys up in the morning and just - - over at jury commission and just bring you guys up bere. So from now on you guys will probably be back here in our conference rooms.

Having said that, have you felt any kind of intimidation from anybody inside or outside the courtroom in these proceedings?

JUROR BHATNAGAR: When $I$ walk out the door, I mean, people do kind of tend -- I tend to not look at people when I walk out the door, but I do notice like certain stares and stuff.

THE COURT: OKay.
JUROR BHATNAGAR: so that's kind of -and then -- well, since you brought it up, I was - I wasn't gonna mention anything and it could have been I was hearing things, but it happened -- this happened on Wednescay when we were, when we were being dismissed. I was -- when $I$ was walking out, like I was out the door, I could have sworn I heard somebody say --

THE COURI: I can't hear you. JUROR BHATNAGAR: Oh.

THE COURT: I could have sworn i heard somebody say --

JUROR BHATNAGAR: I thought I heard
somebody say idiot. And I was like --
THE COURT: Like idiot to you?
JUROR BHATNAGAR: Welı, I Just -- it was just -- it was just kind of a coincidence. Like I was the last guy by the door and I just like heard it. And I heard it, I heard it enough where I can hear it. And it wasn't like he wasn't talking to any of you. So unless he was talking to himself, I know I was protected. I just heard it just as soon as I'm walking out the door. And 1 was all like I don't know if there's some people in the back. It happened like somewhere over there by the door.

THE COURT: So somebody more on - - here's the defense table, here's the state's table. There's been people throughout this trial coming in and sitting and listening. Which side of the courtroom would you say? More on the state's side you're saying? This side over here, right?

JUROR BHATNAGAR: Right. It was like somewhere by the door. And I was just getting out the door and $I$ heard somebody say idiot. Like I guess $I$ really didn't expect that $I$ was gonna hear that. Maybe it wasn't directed at me, but it was just --

THE COURT: It was weird?

JUROR BHATNAGAR: Yeah, it was weird. I mean, I know people don't like us. So I mean, THE COURT: Have you been in fear at all for your safety?

JUROR BHATNAGAR: No, no.
THE COURT: Okay. Azl right, is there any -- is there any questions by either side? MR. FIGLER: Your Fonor, I think the juror just said "I know people don't like us." If you can follow up on that.

THE COURT: You said you think people don't like you, the jury?

JUROR BHATNAGAR: Oh, no. I mean like perception because we're the ones making the decision.

So I can understand how the people who are listening or watchang or are involved in the family of the suspect or even on the plaintiff that, that they may say hey, this guy's, this guy's gonna make a decision. I mean, I wasn't … I mean, it's all body language. So of course, you know, I can understand how they might see me as oh, he's a juror or, you know.

THE COURT: So it's more your perception do you think?

JUROR BHATNAGAR: T think it was more
just the perception of the -- because of the, how big the case is and how important it is, you know. When people look at us, especially like witnesses, I can understand it's not gonna be a warm greeting. It's gonna be ilke oh, you know, he's a -especially if it's on the defendant's side, I mean, you know, they, they, you know, they're noti gonna appreciate, they're not gonna give us like a warm greeting. It's gonna be more like a cold. So I understand their, their point of view. So but I'm not, I'm not in fear. It's just, it's just a process so.

THE COURT: Okay. Mell, I'm gonna start having the marshal bring you -- I mean, there has been larger crowds of people. Not knowing which side they're on, I mean, there's been large groups of people sitting and watching in here.

So to alleviate any kind of concern from the juroms, we'll just start having the marshal walk you guys up. You won't even be around any of the audience at all.

JUROR BHATNAGAR: Okay, That's cool. THE COURT: All right. Have you heard other jurors, and specifically what jurors have you

```
heard, regarding has anybody said to you they're
afraid or concemed for their safety"? And if so,
what jurors were they?
    JUROR BHATNAGAR: I haven't heard anybody
say that.
    THE COURT: All right. Is there any
Hollow up by either side or would like me to ask
anymore questions?
    MS. PANDUKHT: No.
    THE COURT: Defense?
    MR. EHGLER: Just about the
participation. That one question we talked about.
Participation of the process questions.
    THE COURT: Is there anything about the
process now that would prevent you from
participating as a juror in this? Anything that's
gone on?
    JUROR BHATNAGAR: NO, no, I'm fine.
    THE COURT: Okay. Thank you so much,
Anything further?
    MR, FIGLER: None.
    THE COURT; Okay. Thank you very much,
sir.
    (Whereupon, the juror exited the
    courtroom.)
```

MR. FIGLER: Your Honor, Mr. Goodman's assistant's at the door to get some documents. Can he get them right now?

THE COURT: Yeah, he can get the documents, but $I$ prefer nobody else being in here during this process.

THE COURT: One second, sir. We're just waiting for Mr. Goodman to be brought in. And these axe my two law clerks, the two individuals sitting in the audience.

MR. FJGLER: It looks like Mr. Goodman's preventing other people from trying to come in, too.

THE COURT: I'll have the marshal do
that.
MR. GOODMAN: I didn't know you were waiting for me, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: I'd prefer to have you here, too.

MR. GOODMAN: I'm sorry.
THE COURT: My marshal will keep out whoever else. This is Mr. Michael Arcana, No. 3. Sir, we are just taking each one of you one by one and we just want to let you know that from here on out my marshal's gonna piok you guys up at the jury commissioner's office and we're gonna
bring you guys back to the conference room. So you guys will be back here in the conference room. You'll take breaks in the conference room. Whatever you need, we'll accommodate you back here behind chambers. Having said that, is there anything that you have a concern about for your safety? Gas anybody intimidated you inside or outside the courtroom or do you feel like you were intimidated at all?

JUROR ARCANA: NO, Your Honor.
WHE COURT: All right. So you're not concerned about anything or participating in the process?

UUROR ARCANA: Not really.
THE COURT: Okay. And have you heard anybody else, and if so, who have you heard being worried about their fear or safety?

JUROR ARCANA: Some of the other jurors are a little anxious about taking off these tags as fast as they can, but.

THE COURT: Okay.
JUROR ARCANA: I don't share that anxiety,

THE COURT: Who -- do you recall which
ones or who?
JUROR ARCANA: Juror with the short hair. THE COURT: Where about does she sit? JUROR ARCANA: Right here. (Indicating.)

She would be Juror No. 5.
THE COURT: No. 5. So Jackie Wiese, she's kind of like an older lady with blondish hair? JUROR ARCANA: She's very nice, but she gets very nervous about having the tags on and she orders us to take them off as soon as we leave here. THE COURT: All right.

JUROR ARCANA: And we are respecteful to her and we do that for her.

THE COURT: Okay. Is there any further questions from the - -

MR. FIGLER: (Negative nod of the head.)
TEE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much, Mr. Arcana.

JUROR ARCANA: No problem.
Whereupon, the juror exited the
courtroom.)
MR. FIGLER: She orders them.
THE COURT: She's a nurse. She gives orders.

MR, FIGLER: We tried to challenge her
for cause. Just joking.
THE COURT: All right. Good morning, Mas. Olson.

JUROR OLSON: GOOd morning.
THE COURT: These are my two law clerks out here in the audience. And just to let you know, from here on out we're basically gonna have you guys meet in the morning at the jury commission station and my marshal's gonna escott you guys up here. And from here on out, we're just gonna keep you guys in the conference room where there's bathroom breaks. And anyway, you'll feel nice and comfortable out here.

Having said that, has there been anyone or anything that you've been intimidated inside or outside the courtroom that you feel is a concern that you want to let us know about?

JUROR OLSON: NO.
THE COURT: OKay. So you feel confident
that you are -- you know, you still are participating in the process and you're not - nobody's trying to intimidate you at all?

JUROR OLSON: No. Whey have not.
THE COURT: Have you heard from any or the jurors, and if so, which jurors have you heard
voice a concern about their safety?
JUROR OLSON: Not really. I think the -before the trial actually got started, when it was so unknown that maybe everybody had some concerns, but once the trial began. I don't feel that anybody's been concerned about their safety or.

THE COURT: Okay. Any further guestions from either side?

MS. PANDUKHT: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: No. Thank you so much.
JUROR OLSON: YOU welcome.
THE COURT: Okay. Bring in the next
juror, No. 5.
(Whereupon, the juror exited the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Good morning, Ms. Wiese.
JUROR WIESE: Good morning.
THE COURT: This is just my two law clerks in the audience. And we just want to let you know from here on out with the jury we're gonna have you guys meet in the morning at the jury commission office.
My marshal's gonna escort you all up here and you all can basically -- we're gonna put you in the conference room from here on out. And
you'll have bathrooms back there and anything that you need we can take care of back here.

Having said that, is there anything
that you've been worried about or concerned for your safety? Has anybody intimidated you inside or outside of the courtroom?

JUROR WIESE: NO.
THE COURT: Okay, Have you been concerned at all about, you know, your safety? JUROR WIESE: Yes.

THE COURT: And why is that?
JUROR WIESE: It just uncomeortable. You're in close proximity outside in those hallways. There's not really many places to go or leave, you know, when you just have 10 minutes,

THE COURT: Right.
JUROR WIESE: And of course you're not allowed to talk about the case, you don't talk about it. Bo if you're talking about other things and you're latuhing, it's kind of they may feel it's disrespectful that we re laughing about, you knowr something like, you know, serious as this and it's really not true.

THE COURT; So it's been --
JUROR WIESE: Misinterpret something,

THE COURT: And it's been uncomfortable having the people who have been sitting here in trial in the audience out there with you as you're waiting to come back in the courtroom?

JUROR WIESE: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'm gonna alleviate that because now we lll make sure that you guys are in the conference room during the breaks. And again, we can get you guys any kind of sodas, whatever you guys need, we can get you.

JUROR WIESE: Okay, thank you.
WHE COURT: Is that gonna-- will that alleviate any concerns that you might have, again, with the escorting back and forth with my marshal down to the jury commission room?

JUROR WIESE: Yes, that'a be fine. Thank you.

THE COURT: And you feel confident that you'll just continue to participate as you have been?

JUROR WTESE: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Does either side have any questions?

MS , PANDUKHT: No, Your Honor.

MR. FIGLER: Well, actually, can we all
go -- because we were gonna talk about that one thing. May we come up?

THE COURT: Sure.
(Whereupon, the following proceedings were had in open court outside the
presence of the jury panel.)
MR. FIGLER: The purpose for me in raising this issue was to ask whether or not there's a negative, a negative perception with any of the lawyers in the courtroom.

THE COURT: On, okay. Are you sure you want me to?

Ms. PANDUKHT; May $I$ have a suggestion?
THE COURT: on second thought, hold on. That's opening a can of worms, okay, because every time I go back after a jury verdict, they've got something to say about the lawyers, okay, and that's got nothing to do maybe with the evidence in the case. They may like the way, you know, something you just did yesterday, they may not like something you do. That doesn't mean they can't be fair and impartial in a case. I think that's opening a can, opening a can of worms.
There's nothing that I need to get
into based on what she just said about lawyers because I'm just -... I'm not making that record. So I'm gonna, I'm gonna refrain from doing that. Go ahead.

MR. FtGLER: Okay. Maybe more a general question, given the court's position, which would be something like is there anything that has been in or out of the courtroom that has caused you any bias or prejudice against either party.

MS. PANDUKHT: No.
THE COURT: No, I'm not getting into
that. I'm not getting into that.
MR. FIGLER: DO you think that - -
THE COURT: I'm not getting into it.
MR. FIGLER: How about do you think --
THE COURT: These people have -- so far nobody's said anything that I've got a concern for a mistrial, okay.

MR, FHGLER: Except that this gal is ordering other people to take a badge off.

THE COURT: So what. That doesn't mean she doesn't like you or you or if she does. She Just may not like you and she's got every right.

MR. ETGLER: Unless it affects her

```
ability to be a fair and impartial juror.
```

MS. PANDUKHT: No, she's not.
THE COURT: So respectfully you can make your objection. I'm not going into that. That's just opening up a can of worms.

MR. FIGLER: We just want to make sure everyone's fair and impartial.

MS. PANDUKHT: If she doesn't like me personally, $I$ don't want to know that.

THE COURT: There isn't one time I go back to the jury room afterwards where they've got something to say about the lawyers. And that's all of them, okay. They"ve got something to say about everybody. And that doesn't mean that they have a wrong verdict or they were not fair and impartial. People just like to pick apart attorneys. And $I$ think that if $I$ go into
that --
MR. FIGLer: If a juror can hear everything that's being aaid here, is this defeating the purpose?

THE COURT: The juror can't hear everything that's being said.

MR. Goodman: Judge, it's pretty loud.
THE COURT; Well, then stand back unless you have something relevant to ask on prejudice.

MR. GOODMAN: No, we appreciate the judge's ruling.
(Whereupon, the bench conference ended.)
THE COURT: Thank you very much.
(Whereupon, the juror exited the
courtroom.)
THE COURT: And we'll take Juror No. 6. By the way, there's whiteout noise throughout this courtroom. When we're having a bench conference, all you hear is static through this courtroom. Good morning, Ms. Angelica Numez-Morarrez. These are just my two law clerks in here. And $I$ just want - - we' re bringing each juror in. We're gonna let you guys know that from here on out -- you can have a seat. From here on out, we're gonna get the jury at jury commissioner's office. My marshal's gonna escort you guys up, and you guys will hang out during the breaks and stuff in the conference room, and then he'll escort you back at the end of the night.

What we want to make sure when we're bringing each juror in here is that you haven't felt intimidated at all by anything that's gone on inside the courtroom or outside the courtroom.

So my question is has anybody or
anything intimidated you inside or outside the
courtroom?

JUROR NUMEZ-MORARREZ: No. I couldn't say in particular, but $I$ do feel stares when were in the lobby. So we, we told marshal.

THE COURT: Okay, Ne11, that should be alleviated with all of you coming back.

JUROR NUMEZ-MORARREZ: OKay.
THE COURT: It's close guarters out there and I think it's a lot easiex because where is a latger audience to just bring you guys back instead of, you know, some cases nobody's in the audience. So it's a lot easiex just to bring you guys back. Would that alleviate your concerns?

JUROR NUMEZ-MORARRER; It wOULd at that point. Thank you.

THE COURE : Okay. And that you* 1 l
continue in your participation in this process, there's no hesitation?

JUROR NUMEZ-MORARREZ: Not at all, not on my part.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything furthex from etther side?

MR. FIGLER: Any other jurors.
THE COURT: Oh. Have there been other
jurors that you've heard concerned for their safety or worried?

JUROR NUMEZ-MORARREZ: Not at all. THE COURT: Okay, Thank you so much. Anything further?

MR. FIGLER: (Negative nod of the head.) MS. PANDUKHT: No.

THE COURT: Okay, thank you.
JUROR NUMEZ-MORARREZ: Thank you, Your
Honor.
(Whereupon, the juror exited the courtroom,)

THE COURT: Hi. Good morning, Mr. Trombetta. These are just my two law clerks in the audience.

What we're gonna start doing from here on out because of the close quarters out front is we tre gonne have my marshal pick the jury up from jury selection, jury services.

We're gonna have you come back here into the conference room area so that you guys will be taking your breaks in the conference area. We'll get you whatever you need as far as refreshments, and there's bathrooms back there, to alleviate any kind of interaction with -- there's been a very
large audience in this case.
In any event, we wanted to ask each
one of you have you been intimidated or concerned
for your safety in any way?
I guess I'll ask you first: Have
you been intimidated by anybody inside or outside
the courtroom or have you felt intimidated or in
fear for your safety?

JUROR TROMBETTA: NO.
THE COURT: And do you feel like if we bring you guys back that would be a better situation for you guys?

JUROR TROMBETTA: That I would like because it is uncomfortable out there.

THE COURT: Yeah.
JUROR TROMBETIA: But yes, I would appreciate that.

THE COURT: Okay. And have you heard any other jurors, and specifically if you have, which jurors, relate any concerns or fears that they've had?

JUROR GROMBETTA: Just briefly. And that Was just earlier when we were initially talking about seeing if we can go somewhere else.

THE COURT: OKay.

JUROR TROMBETTA: Just two jurors stated that they did get some funny looks or constantly being stared at.

One other was talking about well,
waiting in front of the courthouse, waiting for a ride that she saw one person walk back and forth several times.

THE COURT: Who was that one? Which juror? Do you know? You can point to a chair if you can't remember their name.

JUROR TROMBETTA: I'm not sure. I'm not sure which chair. Either front row. You haven't seen her yet. "'m trying to remember her name. We were trying to talk about names the other day. Possibly Erika.

THE COURT: Okay.
JUROR TROMBETTA: Erika. I could be wrong.

THE COURT: Okay. And do you recall the ones that said that they felt like they were being stared at or looked at?

JUROR TROMBETTA: Well -- hum. No, she was just - - we were just talking about it here. You haven't spoken to her yet either.

THE COURT: Okay.

UUROR TROMBETTA: I'm sorry. I can't think of her aame.

THE COURT: We've got -- I'll tell you who I haven't talked to yet. I haven't talked to Kristina Beber.

JUROR TROMBETTA: I believe it is her. THE COURT: She's Juror No. 8 down here.

JUROR TROMBETTA: I believe so. Again, she was just talking about the fact that she was talking her fostex children's pictures and one of the names is a name that's been mentioned in the courtroom.

THE COURI: Is that Giovanny?
JUROR TROMBETTA: Yes.
THE COURT: OKay.
JUROR TROMBETPA: So but it was her foster child's name. And so when she was talking to another juroz about her foster child, other people that weren't part of the jury weze looking at her strangely.

THE COURT: Like the audience, somebody from outside?

JUROR TROMBETTA: Yes.
THE COURT: And that's while you guys were waiting to come in here?

JUROR TROMBETTA: Well, that"s when she just brought it up.

THE COURT: Okay.
JUROR TROMBETTA: We were --
THE COURT: Okay. And that's all you've

```
heard or --
```

JUROR TROMBETTA: Correct.
THE COURT: - - or mentioned?
JUROR TROMBETTA: COrrect.
THE COURT: All right, So you're gonna continue in participating in this process, you've got no fears?

JUROR TROMBETTA: No, not at this time,
THE COURT: All right. Anything further from anyone?

MS. PANDUKHT: No, Your Honor.
MR, EIGDER: The juror indicated that by moving it back he would either be less concerned or -- can you just follow up on that just a little bit? Something like that, there was less concern for him or he would feel more comfortable, something like that.

THE COURT: You'd be more comfortable being back here I'm assuming because of all the audience?

JUROR TROMBETTA: YES.
THE COURT: Okay.
JUROR TROMBETTA: Again, it's
uncomfortable being out there. You don't know who is out there. They're all connected in some way with the trial being here I would assume. So but you just feel uncomfortable with everyone else walking by knowing that you'xe here and especially with the type of trial it is. So yes, I would feel more comfortable in the back.

THE COURT: All right, Thank you so much.

JUROR TROMBETTA: You're welcome. Thank you.
(Whereupon, the juror exited the courtroom.)

THE COURT: I don't have my jury selection notes, Was Rristina Beber the one with the fostet kids?

MR, FIGLER: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. ETGLER: You know, judge, I think the big elephant --

THE COURT: We're outside the presence
right now --

MR. FIGLER: Right.
mHE COURT: -- as he's talking. Go
ahead.
MR. FIGLER: Definitely. I think the big elephant in the room is, is the implied because this is a gang case we're afraid or because …

THE COURT: Well, I disagree with you. I think whenever you have a big audience of people, and they could be the victim's family or the defendant's family, it's uncomfortable because they're ultimately the finder of fact.

And we've had a very large audience. Sometimes you think it could be the victim's family or friends, it could be the defendant's family and friends, and it's an uncomfortabie position for them to be outside, even when they're talking, that they're getting looked at by, you know, if they laugh about something, they feel like that the other, you know, the group thinks that they're being distespectful because of course it's sexious for the defendant.

Okay. We're back on the record and we're in the presence of Ms. Beber. Okay. Well, Just to let you know, we just have my law clerks in here.

And so from here on what we ${ }^{1}$ re gonna do is my marshal's gonna pick up the jury from jury services in the moxning and escort you guys up.

And we're gonna put you guys in the conference room from here on out. You'll have bathroom breaks. If you need something, we can get you refweshments, whatever.

Because, you know, it's been a very
large andience here in the courtroom and there's been some mention that you would more comfortable back there than out there with the audience.

UVROR BEBER: Yes.

THE COURT: And some jurors have brought up something about you're having a foster named Giovanny?

JUROR BEBER: I have a foster son that's named Giovanny. And Erika and $T$ were going through pictuxes on my phone and I'm like, oh here's my kids. And it just didn't - - that's Giovanny to me and this is something tofally removed. And so 1 was showing her a picture.
And there were two family members
that were across the hall and I just remember them glancing up. And they looked - - you know what $t$ mean? They were just like - - (indicating.) And so

I Just went back to talking to her.
And it wasn't until the next morning
I got up and $I$ was in the bathroom getting ready and the little boy was doing something and I turned. And when $I$ said his name, I was like oh, that could come across as, you know, something different to them.

THE COURT: Right. Like maybe you were talking about the trial or something and they gave you a look?

JUROR BEBER: (POSitive nod of the head.) THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about that or any other instance that you felt intimidated or in fear of your safety either inside or outside this courtrom? Has anything happened to make you concerned?

JUROR BEBER: $T$ 's just very - - te's very uncomfortable out there. It's hard when our names are read out loud as --

THE COURT: I'm not gonna do that anymore. I'Il ask you to put your badge number, but I won't say your names anymore.

JUROR BEBER: It's okay because you never pronounce my name correctly anyway. THE COURT: I know, I never - -

UUROR BEBER: It's Bebew like the dumb little singing kid.

THE COURT: I know, but I never say his name right eithex.

JUROR BEBER: Well, then that's fair. THE COURT: Beber, Biber (phonetic). I don't know.

JUROR BEBER: So it's very -- especially when we're all lined up at the front and they're all just, you know, they re sitting and they're just staring at you like this, (indicating), it is very uncomfortable.

We're trying very hard not to make eye contact or not to, you know. And there's times that they're sitting, you know, on benches right next to us whispering to one another, having conversations, and you're kind of like trying so hard $-\cdots$. how do you try not to hear. I mean, that's just hard.

THE COURT: Well, we're gonna alleviate that. Do you think that you'll feel much more comfortable --

JUROR BEBER: Oh, yeah, absolutely.
THE COURT: And none of this - all of your concerns will be alleviated --

JUROR BEBER: Absolutely.
THE COURT; -- based on that?
JUROR BEBER: Absolutely. I feel much better that way.

THE COURT: And have you heard any other jurors wortied about or concexns for their safety or fear?

JUROR BEBER: Yeah. I know that Erika that sits next to me, $I$ started - - she doesn't have a car and so $I$ started picking her up in the mornings and dropping her off at her house at night. She doesn't live that far from me. Because she would have to call her family when she left at night and she's standing outside in front of the courthouse. And so it was uncomfortable. She had an incident one evening where she didn't know exactiy who it was, she just knew it was one of the people that was actually inside the courtroom sitting and he had walked back and forth, you know, probably waiting for his car as well, but she's young and she's standing on the street oorner by herself and it just made her uncomfortable.
So I started -- it's easier for me to pick her up and drop her off. She's not waiting
out there.
THE COURT: Okay. Any other people that you've heard any other concerns with?

JUROR BEBER: Not that $i$ can think of.
TGE COURT: All right. Does either side have anymore questions for this particular juror?

MS. PANDUKHT: No, Your Honor.
MR. FTGLER: No, Your fonor.
THE COURT: Thank you so much.
MS. BEBER: Are we allowed to say we're really glad she's back?

TGE COURT: They like you, JoAnn.
JUROR BEBER: We appreciate you a lot more today.
(Whercupon, the juror exited the
courtroom.)
THE COURI: Ms. Villanueva, good morning, Just to let you know, these are my law clerks in the courtroom, Nobody else is in here but the parties. And what we're doing is letting all of you know that we are - - from here on out, we're gonna have you meet in the jury commissioner's services room. My marshai's gonna bring you up here to the conference room and that's where you guys are gonna pretty much hang out for the rest of the

```
trial, so that you don't necessarily have to be out
there with the audience, okay.
                                So we'll have restrooms for you. If
we need any refreshments, we'll have that for you.
                                And you, you just made what appears
to be like a sigh of relief?
    JUROR VILLANUEVA: Yes.
    THE COURT; So would that make you more
comfortable?
    JUROR VILLANUEVA: Yes.
    THE COURT: Okay.
    JUROR VILIANUEVA: A lot more
comfortable.
    THE COURI; And we've learned that you've
had some uncomfortable experiences.
            Can you share those with us?
    JUROR VILLANUEVA: Yes. Well, apparently
the family -- I don't know if it's the family that
sits here. (Indicating.)
    THE COURT; Well, let me ask you: What
side of the room are they sitting on? So where the
State's desk is and the State's people. okay.
    JUROR VILIANUEVA: Yeah. Well, we go
outside, we can't talk about this so we're just
laughing at something else and they're just staring.
```

```
                                    And one of the jurors has a son
named Giovanny. So ste was showing me a picture of
the baby. And as soon as they heard Giovanny, they
were just (indicating) --
    THE COURT: They were listening.
    JUROR VILLANUEVA; Listening, yeah.
    THE COURT: They, they -- let the record
reflect she moved in like as to listen.
    JUROR VILIANUEVA: Yes. And it's kind
Of --
    THE COURT: Or eavesdrop.
    JUROR VILLANUEVA: Yeah. And the first
day we walked out of the court -- I don't have a car
so I was waiting for my dad. I was outside in the
front and all of a sudden one of the guys from this
side. (Indicating.)
    THE COURT: Again, the State's -- more on
the State's side of the room.
JUROR VILLANUEVA: Yeah. One of them, he just walked past me once and then again and then again. And \(I\) was waiting for my dad and \(I\) thought oh, I've got to go home.
que court: That scared you?
UUROR VILLANOEVA: He didn't say anything or do anything at all. He just passed by.
```

THE COURT: Did that scare you?
JUROR VILIANUEVA: Yeah. I was like I've
got to go home.
THE COURT: But he didn't say anything - JUROR VILILANUEVA: NO, no.

THE COURT: -- or do anything?
UUROR VILLANUEVA: Nothing at all. No.
THE COURT: Just your perception?
JUROR VTLLANUEVA: Just the way they look
at you. What's, that's it.
THE COURT: And that makes you feel
uncomfortable?
UUROR VILIANUEVA: But now $z$ have a ride, they take me home.

THE COURT: So between the rides back and forth, so you don't have to stand outside ....

JUROR VILLANUEVA: Yeah, I - -
THE COURT: - - the courtroom?
JUROR VILLANUEVA: NO, I don't.
PHE COURT: And now you'll be back in the jury back in the conference room. Do you think that will alleviate any concerns that you may have for your safety?

JUROR VILIANUEVA: Yes. A lot.
THE COURT: Okay. And you feel like you
can participate in this process much more confident now?

JUROR VILIANUEVA: Yes.
THE COURT: Is there any concerns you want to share with us right now besides that, now that I've told you we're gonna be putting you back there in the conference room?

JUROR VILIANUEVA: No, I think
everybody's okay now. Oh, yeah, and the names.
THE COURT; Oh, yeah, we won't say the
names anymore.
JUROR VILIANUEVA: Okay. Yeah, thank you.

THE COURT: We're not gonna say the names anymore. I'm just gonna say Juror No. 7 is asking a question. Just write your names on it, but $I$ won't say your names anymore.

UUROR VILLANUEVA: Okay. Yeah, that's why I kind of hold back on like asking questions. THE COURT: Okay.

JUROR VILIANUEVA: Because I don't like the whole mentioning the names, yeah.

THE COURT: okay. We will make sure only to say numbers.

JUROR VILLANUEVA: Okay.

```
    TEE COURT: And if we say only numbers,
you won't have any reservations, if you have a
guestion, you'll ask it?
    JUROR VTLLANUEVA: Yes.
    THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything
further from either side?
    MR. FIGLER: NO, Your Honor.
    MS. PANDUKHT: I Just have one quick
guestion.
    THE GOURT: SNIE.
    MS. PANDUKHT; The incident outside in
front of the RJC, was that on Monday when we were
picking a jury?
    JUROR VILIANUEVA: Yes.
    MS. PANDUKHT: Okay, thank you.
    JUROR VTLTANUEVA: No, no, no. That was
Tuesday because this is when the family was in hexe.
    MS. PANDUKHT: So Tuesday.
    UUROR VTLLANUEVA: TuesdaY, yeah.
    MS. PANDUKHT; Thank you,
    THE COURT: Anything further from evthey
side? Okay, thank you so much.
    Whereupon, the juror exited the
    courtroom.)
    THE COURT: Hi, Good morning, sir.
```

These are my two law clerks. what we're gonna do from now on is have you all meet in jury services. My marshal will escort you out here.

We're gonaa put you back in the conference room. We're gonna try to alleviate any ancomfortable feelings because $I$ know it's been a large group up in the audience.

Also, even though you write your name on the questions, we're just gonna say in open court your number, not your names.

Having said that, do you think that will alleviate any fears or concerns you might have had --

JUROR CATELIO: Yeah.
THE COURT: -- in the trial?
JUROR CATELIO: Yeah, I definitely think
so.
THE COURT: Okay. Has there been anything that you felt has intimidated ox has anybody harassed you outside the courtroom that we need to know about or any concerns that you've had?

JUROR CATELLO: No. I just - I made sure myself that $I$ don't make eye contact with anybody. 50 no.

THE COURT: Okay. So youlli be able to

```
participate in this process with more -- more
comfortable?
    JUROR CATELIO: Absolutely, yeah.
    THE COURT: Okay.
    JUROR CATELLO: YUP.
    THE COURT: Is there anything that you
    want to ask, either side?
    MR. FIGLER: No, Your Honor.
    MS. PANDUKPT: No, Your Honor.
    THE COURT: Thank you so much, six.
Thank you,
(Whereupon, the juror exited the
    courtroom.)
    THE COURT; We're outside the presence.
    It just appears one after another just the
    uncomfortableness with the audience. They just
    don't want to be in the same area because it's
    uncomfortable.
    MR. FIGLER: I mean, in concern to the
    defense, Your Honor, is why the uncomfortableness.
        Do they think someone's gonna hurt them or iff they
        rule a certain way it's gonna -- I know we can't get
        that deep.
    THE COURT: I understand that. I'm not
        gonna go into it.
```

MR, FIGLER: No, I get that, but you understand that's where we're like --

THE COURT: Sure. We're making a record, I'm doing what you want. We're taking an hour and a half in the morning and we'll do it.

All right, sir, Good morning.
These are my two law clerks in the courtroom. And basically just to let you guys know, all the jurors, we're gonna bring all of you in the morning, you'll meet in jury commission.

And we're gonna bring you guys up here into the conference room. And that way you guys can use the restrooms up here behind the conference room, get you refreshments, and you won't be necessarily out there with what's been the audience here during the trial, to make you guys feel more comfortable.

Has there been anybody -- and we're also, I'm not gonna call out your names in the courtroom. Just write your names on the question, but I'll just say Juror No. 7 or something like that.

Is there anything that you want to share with us that you have felt intimidated or harassed in any way by anyone inside or outside the
courtroom?

JUROR MCCALLUM: No, ma'am.
THE COURT: Do you think that by doing this, putting you guys back there in the conference room, it will make you feel more comfortable in this process and more willing to participate?

JUROR MCCALIUM: I basically go with the flow. I'm good.

THE COURT: okay. So you have no -- you really didn't have much concerns anyway?

JUROR MCCALLUM: Oh, no.
THE COURT: Anything further from either side?

MS. PANDUKHT: Nothing.
MR. FIGLER: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay, thanks. All right.
Thank you.
(Whereupon, the juror exited the
courtroom.)
MS. FANDUKHT: Are you gonna ask the

```
alternates as well, judge?
```

THE COURT: I'm sorry?
MS. PANDUKHT: Are you gonna ask the

```
alternates as well?
```

THE COURT: Yes. For fear if we ever

```
need en alternate, we might as well get it out with
making a record or anything.
    MS. PANDUKHT: Thank you.
    MR. FLGLER: Home stretch, though.
    THE COURT: I'm just about there, right?
I'm on 11. No, wait. I'm on 12.
    MR. FTGLER: Yeah.
    MS. PANDUKHT: I thought we were on 11,
but I --
    THE COURT: I'm had on 12. Yeah,
Elizabeth Uhrle. What I'm gonna do is tell them all
to take a really big bethroom break and then go into
a twomhour session, okay?
    MS. DEMONTE: Okay.
    THE COURT: HN, MS. Uhrle. TMese are my
two law clerks in the audience and nobody else is
here.
                    What I'm gonna do from here on out
is I'm gonna make sure you all meet - - go ahead and
have a seat. You're all gonna meet in jury services
from here on out and my marshal's gonna escort you
up tnto the conference room in the back.
                                You can see use the restrooms,
they'11 be refreshments and that should alleviate
you, the juxy, having to mingle with what's the
```

```
audience in the gallery of what's going on, you
know, during trial.
    Do you think that will alleviate any
concerns that you may have with any
uncomfortableness with that large group of audience?
    JUROR UHRLE:NO,
    THE COURT: It won't alleviate or you
feel more comfortable?
    JUROR UERLE: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes, I
would feel comfortable.
    THE COURT: Okay. Has theme been
anything that you felt inside ox outside the
courtroom that anybody intimidated you or harassed
you at al1?
    JUROR UERLE: NO.
    THE COURT: And I won't be saying yout
names anymore. I'll just say your badge number.
Just keep writing your names on it.
    JUROR UBRTE: Okay,
    THE COURT: Do you think that you'11 be
participating in the process even more if I do that
or you'l.l. feel comforitable?
    JUROR UHRLE: I feel fine either way, It
doesn't matter to me.
    THE COURT: Okay. Anything further from
```
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either side?

MS. PANDUKHE: No, Your Honor.
MR. FIGUER: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thanks so much.
(Whereupon, the juror exited the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Good morning, ma'am. These are my two law clerks. And what we're gonna start doing is having all the jurors meet in the morning in the jury room, fury commissioners room.

My marshal's then gonna escort you up here and we're gonna keep you guys back here from here on out in the conference room.

ALTERNATE JUROR MORASCO: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: There's -- you can have refreshments, there's restrooms back there. We're just gonna keep you apart from the general audience that's been out there lately because of the close quarters so to speak.

ALTERNATE JUROR MORASCO: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: Do you think that will
alleviate or make it more comfortable for you as a juror?

ALTERNATE JUROR MORASCQ: Yes,
THE COURT: Okay. And has anybody -- oh,

```
we're also gonna make sure that if you have
questions I Just say you're No. 13. We won't say
your names anymore.
                                Having said that, has anybody inside
or outside the courtroom intimidated you or harassed
you in any way?
    ALTERNATE JUROR MORASCO: NO.
    THE COURT: Okay, So you think you'll
feel more comfortable once that's done?
    ALTERNATE JUROR MORASCO: Yes.
    THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything
further then from either side?
    MS. PANDOKHT: No, Your Honor.
    MR. FIGLER: No, Your Honor.
    MS. DEMONTE: No, Your Honor.
    THE CJERK: Her badge number.
    THE COURT: Her badge number?
    THE CHERE: We're gonna use badge
    numbers.
    THE COURT: I did just say that to her.
Okay, Thank you so much.
            (Whereupon, the juror exited the
            courtroom.)
            THE CLERK; Oh wait. Can you tell the
rest of them to start going to the bathroom?
```

Because when I bring them in, we're gonna be like two hours. Okay. Let everybody start going thanks.

I guess you guys will use the public
one. Sorry. or if you want to go all the way around and use the other one, you can do that, too, at the end of the hallway. There's another set.

I Just thought you probably
shouldn't come right back here anymore. Ithe attorneys should stay away from chambers. But Judge Barker and Judge Villani are really nice. So if you wanted to go back there and get coffee, you're more than welcome.

MS. FANDUKHT: okay, thank you.
TEE COURT: Good morning, Ms. Gomez.
ALTERNATE JUROR GOMEZ: GOod morning.
THE COURI: These two individuals are my law clerks here. They're my law clerks. What we're gonna start doing is we're gonna have all the jurors meet in jury commissions each morning and then my marshal's gonna escort everybody back here to the conference room.

You can have refreshments and thexe's restrooms back here, but we're gonna keep you separated from the audience. There's been large groups of people sitting in the audience.

And so do you think that that would make you feel more comfortable during this process?

ALTERNATE JUROR GOMEZ: YES.

THE COURT: ALso, instead of celling out your name's during guestions, we'11 just ay your numbers. So No, 14, you know - -

ALTERNATE JUROR GOMEZ: Okay.
THE COURT: $-\boldsymbol{m}$ on the recomd so that in court your name's won't be said.

Do you think that will make you feel
more comfortable as well?

ATTERNATE JUROR GOMEZ: Yes.
'HE COURT: Has anybody ot anything
Intimated or harassed you inside or outside the courtroom that you fear for your safety at all that we need to know?

ALTERNATE JUROR GOMEZ: NO, no.

THE COURE: All right. So you'll feel

```
more comfortable during this process?
```

AITERNATE JUROR GOMEZ: Yes.

THE COURT: Is there anything further
from either side?

MS. PANDUKHT: No, Your Honor.

MS. DEMONTE: No, Your Honor.

MR, ETGLER: No, Your Honox.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you so
much. We're getting ready to go back on the record. So take a restroom break before we come back, okay?

ALTERNATE JUROR GOMEZ: Okay, thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you. We're gonna go off the record for five minutes. Everybody take a little break and then we'll come back on and start our day. Thanks.
(Whereupon, a recess was had.)
THE COURT: All right. PLease be seated. State of Nevada verbus Evaristo Garcia. Case No. 0262966.

Let the record reflect we're in the presence of the jurors, the defendant's present, Mr. Figler, Mr. Goodman's present. Ms. Pandukht and Ms. Demonte is present.

We're now still on the state's
case-in-chief. State, go ahead and call your next witness.

MS. DEMONTE: The State calls Scott Hendricks.

THE COURT: Scote Bindrup?
MS, DEMONTE: Hendricks.
THE COURT: okay.
(Whereupon, T. Scott Hendricks was duly

```
    sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth,
            and nothing but the wruth.)
            HHE CLERK: Please be seated. State and
spell your full name for the record, please.
                            THE WITNESS: T. Scott Fendricks. First
initial T, S-cmomt-t, H-e-n-d-r-i-c-k-s.
    THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead and proceed.
    MS. DEMONTE: Thank you.
                DIRECT EXAMINATION
EY MS. DEMONTE:
    Q. Sir, how are you employed?
    A. I'm a special agent with the FBI.
    Q. And what is your job assigmment as a
special agent?
    A. I'm currently assigned to a violent
crimes task force.
Q. Back in 2006, did you have a different assigmment?
A. No, I did not.
Q. Okay. Sorry. The violent crimes task force, is there a subgroup of that?
A. Yes, there is Commonly known as a criminal apprehension team, It's a violent crime fugitive task force.
Q. Okay, And what is the job role of the
```

```
criminal apprehenaion team?
    A. Our job is to looate and apprehend
persons wanted for violent felonies.
    Q. And you've mentioned this is a task
force. What do you mean by that?
    A. It's composed of officers and detectives
and agents from the FBI, Las Vegas Metropolitan
Police Department and Henderson Police Department.
    Q. Okay. Now, directing your attention to
2006, roughly June 21st of 2006, did you have a
partner you were working with at the time?
    A. Yes, I did.
    Q, And who was that person?
    A. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department
Dctective Steve Devore.
    Q. And is that spelled D-emvmomr-e?
    A. Yes,it is.
    Q. And is that how it commonly works is it's
one FBI agent and one metro officer?
    A. Often times, yes.
    Q. Now, directing your attention
specifically to June of 2006, were you contacted by
Someone in the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police
Department with regard to a person by the name of
Evaristo Garcia?
```

```
    A. Yes.
    Q. And who contacted you?
    A. Detective Cliff Mogg.
    Q. And were you provided with any
documentations to help you, to assist you with your
investigation?
    A. An arrest warrant for Evaristo Garcia.
    Q. And to your knowledge when was that
issued?
    A. June of that year.
    Q. June of that year?
    A. I believe so.
    Q. Okay. Now, once you have the arrest
warrant for someone in hand, what do you do next?
    A. We then conduct investigation to locate
and hopefully apprehend.
    Q. Okay. And in this particular case, did
you conduct an investigation here in the United
States?
    A. Yes, we did.
    Q. And without going into anything anyone
said, what did you personally do on this case in --
here in the United States?
    A. Conducted database checks, conducted
interviews, logical fugitive investigation.
```

Q. Okay. Now, based on what your investigation was giving you, did you then focus your investigation elsewhere?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. And where did you focus your
investigation to?
A. To Mexico.
Q. Okay. Now, in order to conduct youx investigation, is there something on the federal court level that you yourself have to do as an FBI agent?
A. Yes. Yes, we do.
Q. And in this particular case, w want to direct your attention to october of 2006 .

What did you do within the federal
courts?
A. Once we determined that somebody has fled the jurisdiction, we then obtain an arrest warrant --

MR. EIGLER: I'm gonna object, Your
Honor, to the characterization of "fled the jurisdiction."

> If there is a different
jurisdiction, then that's --
THE COURT: Overruled.

MS DEMONGE: Okay.
THE WTTNESS: We then obtain a federal
arrest warrant for unlawnul finght to avoid prosecution.

MR. EMGLER: And, again, I'm gonna make a continuing objection to using the word fled or flight because the facts have not been established.

THE COURT: Overruled.
BY MS. DEMONTE:
Q. In this particular case, did you actually get a warrant issued by the United States District Court?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. And what date? Do you recall what date that was?
A. October of that same year october 8thr I think.
Q. Okay. Would it is refresh your secollection if $I$ showed you the warrant?
A. Yes, it would.
Q. In the interest of time, actually would it refresh your recollection if $I$ showed you your affidavit for a subsequent warrant that you recedved?
A. That's fine.
Q. okay.
A. On the 10th.
Q. October 10th?
A. October 10th, yes.
Q. So you received the federal -- and is
that commonly referred to you as UFAP, U-F-A-P?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And what does that stand for?
A. Unlawful flight to avoid prosecution.

MR. FIGLER: And, again, we'll object,
Your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.
BY MS. DEMONTE:
Q. And you obtained that warrant on october 10th of 2006?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. Now, after you obtained that warrant, did You then do some more investigation into how to determine where exactiy Euaristo Garcia was?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. And what type of investigation did you do?
A. We obtained a pen register.
Q. Okay. Now, what is a pen register?
A. A pen register is a ".". we obtain a court
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