IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ERNESTO MANUEL GONZALEZ, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 64249

FILED

JUN 0 6 2014

ORDER GRANTING MOTION IN PART

Appellant's counsel has filed a motion for a 120-day extension of time to file the opening brief and appendix. In support of the motion, counsel explains that he recently obtained appellant's file from his former counsel and the record in this case consists of more than 5,800 pages. Counsel also notes that appellant was convicted of first degree murder and sentenced to a life term. While these circumstances warrant an extension of time, we are not convinced that they warrant a 120-day extension. Accordingly, we grant the motion in part.

Appellant shall have until October 6, 2014, to file and serve the opening brief and appendix. Given the length of this extension, no further extensions shall be permitted absent extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the opening brief and appendix may result in the imposition of sanctions.

ಬ ಕೇಶ

It is so ORDERED.

C.J.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA cc: Richard F. Cornell Attorney General/Carson City Washoe County District Attorney

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

a fille a serie

1

(0) 1947A 🛛 🏵

ماند مربعات