if he doesn't know the chief identifying symbol of the Vagos 1 club, I can make a proffer here it's a Loki, if he doesn't 2 know that, he doesn't have expertise to testify. 3 THE COURT: With regard to the testimony of an 4 5 expert, 50.275 requires special knowledge, skill, experience training, or education in matters within the scope of their 6 7 knowledge. I think the State has proved him to be an expert. 8 think all of your arguments go to the weight that may be 9 10 afforded to him. I also want to hear what his experience is which was 11 precluded by your objections which is part of the basis for me 12 making a determination as to his expertise, 13 So for purposes of today's hearing, I am going to 14 allow him to testify as an expert. I'm going to reserve 15 ruling on whether or not he can maintain his expertise after I 16 hear the full extent of his testimony because experience is 17 one -- his experiences are one of the bases of his being 18 accepted as an expert. 19 20 Mr. Hall, you may continue. MR. HALL: Thank you. 21 III22 111 23 III24

DIRECT EXAMINATION RESUMED

BY MR. HALL:

Q So I believe before there was an objection regarding your expertise, we were going to talk about your experience with the Vagos in particular, and you testified that there is a chapter of the Vagos in Lakeport; is that correct?

A In Lake County.

Q In Lake County?

A Correct.

Q All right. So can you tell us a little bit about your experience with the Vagos and that chapter in Lake County?

A Prior to 2004, Lake County was always considered under the grounds of the Hells Angels. It was commonly known. However, they did not have a chapter there. Sometime around 2004, the Vagos came into the territory and established a chapter there. From that point on, we start to see more activity by the Vagos, more were showing up, more full-patch members. We had one living in the city of Lakeport, a full-patch member. We started to take note of that individual. Things did not seem to be much of a problem for a while until 2011 came around and we started having issues between the two factions.

Q And can you tell what type of issues you had between Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

the two factions, one being the Hells Angels and the Vagos?

A Yes. As I began to talk earlier, while I was on patrol, a full-patch member of the Vagos was riding solo by himself, Main Street southbound just as I was northbound. At the same time, there were these 50-plus bikes parked at the Clearlake Club Bar, and there were numerous full-patch members of the Hells Angels mixed in along with Lake County OG Riders and another group which I was unable to identify standing in and outside the bar.

As the -- his name is Mike Diaz. Correction, his name is Mike Burns, the full-patch member. As he was riding by, he looked at the members of the Hells Angels. The Hells Angels began to say and chant things to him across the street. I heard them because I was in my patrol car with the windows down. I had fortunately driven by at the right moment, because I'm not sure what would have happened exactly right then had I not been there.

Due to concerns for violence and potential for violence that I already knew existed from other cases in 2010, I pulled to the side of the road, immediately radioed my dispatch as an officer safety issue of what just occurred hopefully notifying other units to be available. I was the only person patrolling at the time. So I pulled to the side of the road.

As I did so, I observed as Mike Burns continued southbound. At this time, most everybody from the bar proceeded to get on their motorcycles and leave town southbound. At the same time, Mike Burns had turned around and he had come back northbound past the bar again. This time he had pulled off the side of the road and got off his bike in front of what's called a Campos clothing store.

I turned around to follow the groups of motorcyclists that were leaving Lakeport. It was a little chaotic: Numerous bikes were leaving at the same time, a couple of individuals were halting traffic in the intersection so the motorcyclist could go through without stopping, a couple of them intertwined and passed a vehicle.

I followed them outbound, and just as I got toward the end of the city limits, radio-called dispatch alerted me there was a physical assault occurring between gang members in front of the Campos clothing store. I immediately responded back as well as other units from the county assisting.

I arrived and found the full-patch Vagos member Mike Burns staggering on the sidewalk. He was bleeding from the nose and had a cut lip. I asked him what happened. He failed in any way to cooperate with me at all, said nothing. I explained to him I believe he might have caused this by riding by in his Vagos patches, and he proceeded to tell me that this

is his area. "We live here and they don't." I took that to mean this is -- Lake County is Vagos territory meaning his area and that the Hells Angels -- this isn't their area. So I immediately recognized the territorial issue probably had a lot to do with why he passed by, especially wearing his colors.

At the same time, dispatch notified me that a witness had observed the assault and had followed one of the motorists to a gas station up on what's called Lakeport Boulevard. The witness chose not to provide a name, chose not to participate and assist in any way due to concerns and fear. The individual was contacted in the gas station. He was not flying any colors. However, he is an individual that I knew and that was standing outside the sidewalk associating with the Hells Angels members and the OG Riders members.

At that point, because we did not have any cooperation from the victim, we did not have a witness who was willing to provide anything. We photographed the individual, his name was Mike Diaz, and allowed him to leave, leave the area.

Q All right. And with respect to Mr. Burns, are you aware of any other confrontations between Mr. Burns, the Vagos and Hells Angels?

A Yes, I am.
Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

Q Can you explain that?

A Yeah, if I may. That event that I just discussed happened in April. In May, we got intelligence indicating there was going to be an officers meeting.

MR. FREY: I object. This is beyond the scope of the briefing. Clearly they are talking about new predicates. That's my understanding.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, beyond the briefing?

MR. FREY: Correct. This is --

THE COURT: This is something you don't know about?

MR. FREY: This is something entirely new. We've just exhausted the event that they have noticed up and briefed in the motion for an order of gang evidence. This is something entirely new.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to let the testimony proceed. I may give you a chance to cross-examine after you get more information on it.

THE WITNESS: We received intelligence information there was going to be an officers meeting in the city of Lakeport at the Clearlake Club Bar, the same bar where this incident had just gone down in April, the same bar in which the Hells Angels were in attendance.

Due to concerns, we were hearing that there was going to be in excess of a hundred. My town is very small; Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

population of 6,000, square miles of about 2.5. We had grave concern for a hundred-plus patch members of the Vagos organization coming in. I set up an immediate operation to try to get as many allied units to assist. Went about an hour and a half. I was able to gather six law enforcement individuals from various agencies' command posts, and we observed approximately 100 members of the Vagos from various chapters arrived into the city of Lakeport and had their meeting at the Clearlake Club Bar.

BY MR. HALL

Q All right. Were there any other problems with Mr. Burns?

A Yes.

Q And with respect to the Hells Angels?

A Yes. In June of that same of year, there was -just on the outskirts of the city limits of Lakeport, there's
a casino known as Konocti Vista Casino. This casino was
holding a tattoo convention. At that convention were factions
of the Hells Angels. They had banners, selling Hells Angels
logos, merchandise, and patch members were present.

Mike Burns and a hang-around for the Vagos club walked into the casino, they walked around the casino and into the banquet area where the Hells Angels were, and as they were leaving the foyer of the casino, both of them were violently Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

assaulted by four members of the fully-patched members of Sonoma County Chapter Hells Angels.

Mike Burns was left on the ground unresponsive, bleeding heavily, and again chose not to indicate anything had happened at all as a result of that incident.

Q Was that incident videotaped?

A That incident was on surveillance video at the casino.

MR. CHESNOFF: Your Honor, I just need to make a record that we had no notice of this particular incident, either.

THE COURT: Okay.

BY MR. HALL:

Q Was Mr. Burns in colors?

A Mr. Burns was wearing what's considered like a summer cut. It's a T-shirt with the same patches on the back, same lime green color, and he had a green -- lime green baseball cap on.

Q Okay. So would those be termed -- are you familiar with the term soft colors?

A Yes.

Q Would that be --

A Yes.

Q -- in your opinion be termed soft colors? Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534 A Yes.

Q So was that another incident that is the basis of your opinion that there is an ongoing rivalry between the Hells Angels and the Vagos?

A Yes, absolutely, combined with the information we had prior to 2011 with the other incidents that occurred throughout the State of California and other states.

Q All right. And then you had an opportunity to review the video of the Nugget beating and shooting; is that correct?

A Portions of it, yes.

Q All right. And so would it be your opinion that those crimes were committed in affiliation with, or at the direction of, or for the benefit of the Vagos or the Hells Angels to further assist the activities of the criminal gangs?

A Absolutely.

MR. FREY: Judge, I'm sorry. If I could just refer you back to his earlier portion of the direct testimony. I believe he himself limited his expertise to trends only. This goes beyond the scope of his expertise.

MR. CHESNOFF: Join, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. I thought what Mr. Hall offered was him as an expert with regard to the ongoing rivalry.

Is that what you offered? Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534 MR, HALL: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. So what was the question that you asked with regard to his opinion?

MR. HALL: My opinion was whether or not it was his opinion that the incident at the Nugget was a result of a rivalry, and that that was that the fight occurred at the direction of, in affiliation with and the specific intent to promote the activities of the two criminal gangs, the Vagos and the Hells Angels.

MR. CHESNOFF: For the record, Your Honor, we object to the State of Nevada having dual theories, one which is that there was a premeditated murder and then the second theory. And we think that it's incumbent from an ethical perspective for the State to choose its theory. And when it's convenient, it's premeditated, and when it's not, it's to have mutual gang activity.

MR. FREY: I join in that.

THE COURT: I'm not exactly sure. I think the objection was to the State having dual theories, correct?

MR. CHESNOFF: Yes, Your Honor. There's abundant law on parties presenting a theory of how something occurred or how something didn't occur, but you cannot present what I guess would be called duplications theories, ones that are inconsistent. If someone was planning to kill somebody and Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

they came there to kill them, premeditated. I don't understand, I haven't understood it since I saw it, how then you can argue that somehow somebody else was there to create some kind of benefit for themselves when they are the subject of the premeditated attack. But I made a record.

What I'm trying to say, Your Honor, is we are -- and I'm doing this for the record, Your Honor. We are taking what is a murder charge and making it into an either a federal RICO case, I mean, it's just -- we are constantly being prejudiced by the inability for the State to focus on what they really think happened here.

MR. FREY: I join that objection. But I led off with an objection, Your Honor, that I hope you would consider, which is he's now exceeding the scope of his own expertise and under Higg and related case law, it's impermissible testimony. This expert can, if he's qualified as an expert, and you have qualified him for purposes of this hearing, he can testify what's in subsection 7, but that doesn't also mean he can testify to subsection 1, that alternate issue. That's a jury question.

THE COURT: That's a whole nother issue.

MR. FREY: It is. But I think it pertains to only one point in subsection 7. It certainly does not pertain to subsection 1.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Hall?

MR. HALL: With respect to expertise, I don't think Higg is the applicable standard. I think the Court identified the applicable standard with respect to specialized knowledge that this witness has and testified to with respect to hands-on knowledge in addition to specialized training over the course of probably the last ten years or so. So I think he has the specialized knowledge to render an opinion with respect to rivalry. He's had an opportunity to review reports and evidence in this particular case which is totally consistent with his observations over the course of his training and experience with respect -- in addition to his hands-on personal observations.

So Higg isn't an applicable standard. Certainly he has an expert to offer expertise with regard to -- regarding Hells Angels.

With regard to the two theories objection, well, I think what counsel is referring to is the fact that Gary Rudnick has provided a statement saying that there was an authorized hit on Mr. Pettigrew. Unfortunately, we haven't had Mr. Rudnick on the stand and have him prove that.

Nevertheless, the theory of premeditated murder in addition to the challenge to fight theory and conspiracy theories that we have alleged in the Indictment are totally Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

consistent, especially based on the rivalry. As a matter of fact, premeditated murder is consistent with the rivalries that have been testified to by Lieutenant Ferguson. So they are not inconsistent. They are totally consistent. They are consistent with the rivalry and the objections should both be denied. Thank you.

THE COURT: With regard to the objection as to the two theories, it's overruled at this time.

With regard to the objection with regard to the

With regard to the objection with regard to the expert opinion, I am going to overrule the objection as it relates to the rivalry and the setting that example.

With regard to the ultimate issue in this case about whether or not an expert can testify as to subsection 1 as opposed to subsection 7, I'm going to allow you to brief that question because I think that is an ultimate question.

But for purposes of today's hearing, I want to hear the evidence and we'll have briefing as to how far the expert can go at trial.

MR. FREY: Very well.

THE COURT: So you can answer the question.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

BY MR. HALL:

- Q Do you remember what the question was?
- 24 A No.

1	Q The question was whether or not in your opinion the
2	fight at the Nugget, this instant case, was a
3	A Right.
4	Q was a result of a rivalry and whether or not that
5	fight was committed knowingly for the benefit of, at the
6	direction of, or in affiliation with the criminal gangs, the
7	Hells Angels and the Vagos, with specific intent to promote,
8	further, or assist the activities of those two gangs?
9	A Yes.
10	Q So your opinion, yes, it was a result of a rivalry?
1 1	A Yes.
12	Q And it was with specific intent to further promote
13	criminal gangs?
14	A Yes.
15	MR. HALL: Thank you. I have no further questions.
16	THE COURT: Counsel, Mr. Hall, there were objections
17	with regard to the review of a police report from the Mike
18	Burns Clearlake Club Bar incident. Has that been disclosed?
19	MR. HALL: No.
20	THE COURT: Do you have that information?
21	MR. STEGE: The bar, yes.
22	THE COURT: The information that the witness just
23	testified to with regard to the Clearlake Bar incident and

Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

Mike Burns in May.

1	MR. HALL: Yes.
2	THE COURT: You provided that?
3	MR. STEGE: Yes.
4	THE COURT: And can you note where?
5	MR. HALL: Yes, I can.
6	THE COURT: Did you find it, Counsel?
7	MR. FREY: I'm sorry?
8	THE COURT: Did you find it?
9	MR. FREY: I did not find anything regarding the
10	additional two incidents that the witness testified about.
11	MR. STEGE: He's looking at it right now.
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	MR. FREY: I'm looking at the alleged assault of
14	Mike Burns at the hand of 50 HAs. I think you testified to
15	three incidents. That was my recollection.
16	THE COURT: The first one was the 50. He drove by.
17	MR. HALL: Right.
18	THE COURT: They went through the town.
19	MR. HALL: So we have that one.
20	THE COURT: Outside of the store, Campos clothes
21	store?
22	THE WITNESS: Campos Casual Clothing Store.
23	THE COURT: Something like that.
24	The second one was the meeting. The officers Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534
	172

meeting. There wasn't any assault, but there was a discussion 1 about the officers meeting of a hundred people. 2 exactly sure what that was all about. 3 And third was the casino incident, right? 5 MR. FREY: I don't see it in my discovery, no. And the exhibit is Exhibit 6 for the record. 6 THE COURT: Exhibit 6 to the motion? 7 MR. FREY: Exhibit 6 to the State's motion. 8 MR. HALL: Your Honor, that is mentioned by Jorge 9 Gil-Blanco in Exhibit J, item number 7. 10 MR. FREY: In his PowerPoint? 11 MR. HALL: Item seven, his PowerPoint. 12 THE COURT: Do we have J? 13 THE COURT CLERK: He has that. 14 MR. HALL: It's right here, if I may approach. 15 THE COURT: Yes, 16 MR. HALL: I'm sure we can. 17 THE COURT: Okay. So the only -- that's -- is there 18 19 any other information that's been provided with regard to that incident? 20 MR. HALL: No. But we could attempt to get the 21 video and the police reports, have the lieutenant here, I'm 22

Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

We'd object and we move to exclude both

sure he would assist us in providing those.

MR. FREY:

23

24

of the additional two incidents.

THE COURT: Okay. The State is not in possession of those at this time, so I will give you leave to cross-examine once you get the evidence to look at that, the -- that this officer was testifying was the basis of his experience. So you can look at that, and I'll allow you to cross-examine. We've got a little time before the trial starts, so we can get a few more things done.

MR. FREY: I understand. My only concern, Your Honor, is that if it's part of his qualification to the extent it goes to experience that's one thing. If it's a separate independent predicate, a new fact, that's another thing. He didn't give notice. Your order was to plead for specificity. That's my ground for the motion.

MR. HALL: I'm a little confused. I thought the Court's ruling was there were no predicates when we had Dimel. We were a little unsure since we didn't have a ruling with respect to the clear and convincing portion of our gang enhancement evidence. So they are saying had to prove it by clear and convincing evidence. I said I don't, I thought it was res gestae part of gang enhancement, so on so forth. But to cover myself, I wanted to call the witnesses to lay the foundation in case they attacked Mr. Gil-Blanco's or other experts' personal knowledge of those events. So do you Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

understand what I'm --

THE COURT: I kind of understand what you're saying.

Clearly both sides in the briefings, it's clear that people

are not going down the same road.

MR. HALL: Right.

THE COURT: But my opinion is that if it's bad act evidence, clearly it has to be shown by clear and convincing evidence. If it's the basis for an expert's opinion, it does not. But I'm not sure it's going to come in anyway because we have lots of other issues. But if an expert testifies that one of the bases for his opinion is a specific instance, then the defense is entitled to the discovery of that.

MR, HALL: Okay.

THE COURT: Everything that expert is relying upon. It's not somehow otherwise privileged. They are entitled to see -- they are entitled to see that before they cross-examine the expert because that gives them information that they may want to use in cross-examining them and attacking their credibility and perhaps even ultimately asking the Court to rule that they are not even an expert ultimately.

But for purposes of today's hearing, we are hearing it. I'm ordering that the State give it to you. And I'm giving you, defense, an opportunity to cross-examine once you get it.

MR. FREY: For the record, our motion was to 1 2 exclude, but I understand the ruling. THE COURT: You may get it excluded ultimately, but 3 for purposes of today. 4 MR, CHESNOFF: This is exactly what happened the 5 last time we were here, your Honor, because we were not given 6 material because the State didn't understand that you couldn't 7 8 introduce the witness for the purpose that they wanted. But so far, that's only acting to our detriment. I would like to 9 ask this witness some questions, Your Honor, if I could. 10 11 THE COURT: Certainly. MR. CHESNOFF: Thanks. 12 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. CHESNOFF: 15 Q Sir, for the record, Mr. Diaz was not arrested as a 16 result of the incident with Mr. Burns, correct? 17 Α Correct. 18 And that the tattoo parlor when Mr. Burns got 19 involved in another incident, no one was arrested for that 20 either. correct? 21

Α

outside my city limits.

22

23

24

Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

Department, then all the specifics of that case, that was

That case was handled by the Lake County Sheriff's

Q As far as you know, you have no personal knowledge that anybody was arrested?

A Initially that day, no.

Q As -- are you aware are that in Nevada the Hells
Angels have never been found to be a criminal gang?

A Not aware.

Q So, then, how is it possible for you to conclude that what occurred at the casino was to further the activities of the criminal gang if the Hells Angels have never been determined by a court of law or a jury to be a criminal gang?

MR. HALL: I'm going to object, Your Honor. There is no requirement that the Hells Angels be determined to be an outlawed criminal gang in Nevada before he can render an opinion as to whether or not --

THE COURT: What is your objection?

MR. HALL: Vague. Irrelevant.

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain on relevance grounds. I don't see why --

MR. CHESNOFF: Your Honor, the witness is giving you his expert opinion and he is telling you that the purpose -the reason the fight occurred and the murder occurred was to
-- the rivalry between two criminal gangs. What kind of
expert can tell you it was a rivalry between two criminal
gangs if one of the gangs, alleged gangs, has never been found
Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

to be a gang? At some point in time, Your Honor --

THE COURT: This is argument. You'll have lots of time to argue.

MR. CHESNOFF: Then it's argument in furtherance of getting to ask questions, Your Honor. And to sustain that question as vague --

THE COURT: No, I didn't sustain it as vague.

MR. CHESNOFF: Well, what's --

MR. FREY: Relevance.

MR. CHESNOFF: It's not relevant for me to be able to cross-examine the expert on how he's concluding --

THE COURT: It's not relevant. And this is exactly what I'm not going to do any more. I'm tired of it. I will explain it to you. It's not relevant what he knows about whether or not the Hells Angels has ever been found to be a criminal gang. That's not relevant. It's argument. You can certainly argue that he doesn't know enough. But it is not relevant to ask him this question. I've sustained it. If I'm wrong, 30 miles down the road. But we have to stop the arguing with me. And you're just the one that's getting the end of it because everybody's been arguing.

BY MR. CHESNOFF:

Q In that case, what specific information forms the basis upon which you have opined that the incidents in Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

question at the casino in question are the result of an ongoing rivalry?

A Based on the history of what I was involved with, information that I received, albeit the state of California, for incidents that have occurred throughout California in 2010 which led me to have concern when the Vagos member passed through the town with Hells Angels present, exactly the outcome I expected was exactly the outcome that occurred. There's a territorial issue between the two factions and the County of Lake. Those territorial issues have resulted in intimidation, fear, and violence. Those were supported by cases I was involved in between the two organizations in cases that were proven through the casino in Lake County when Mike Burns, the patch-member Vagos, was violently assaulted by four members, patch members of the Hells Angels Sonoma County chapter.

Q So would it be fair to say that those three instances that you have testified to are the basis for you giving the opinion you gave in response to the District Attorney's question?

A Yes.

MR. CHESNOFF: Thank you. Nothing further.

23 / / / /

24 | ///

CROSS-EXAMINATION 1 BY MR. FREY: 2 Q A hang-around. 3 Α Uh-huh. They are not a patched member, correct? Q 5 Α Correct. 6 You mentioned a casino beating, Mike Burns being the Q 7 victim? 8 Α Uh-huh. 9 Q What year was that? 10 That was 2011. 11 Α What about the prior beating? What year was that? Q 12 13 Α That was 2011. 0 I'm confused. If a hang-around doesn't have a 14 patch, how could Mike Burns have been a hang-around in the 15 16 casino beating, but he was a patched member when he was beaten the prior incident? 17 I think you're confused. There were two Mike names Α 18 brought up. Mike Burns is the full-patch member of the Vagos, 19 which was a full-patch member at the time of the assault at 20 the Clearlake Club Bar, the first case I talked about. 21 O He assaulted the Clearlake Club Bar? 22 No, no. Mike Burns was the full-patch Vagos member 23 Α that rode by himself in front of the Clearlake Club Bar where 24 Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

the Hells Angels were present. He was later assaulted, after I followed the Hells Angels group out of town. That was the first case we talked about. That is Mike Burns who is a full-patch member of the Vagos. He is also the same victim, full-patch member, who was assaulted at the casino by four patch-member Hells Angels.

- Q Do you not recall that you referred to him as a hang-around?
 - A I did not. He was with a hang-around at the casino.
 - Q Okay. You didn't witness the beating of Mike Burns?
- l A No.

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

- Q The first incident?
- 13 A Correct.
- 14 Q You arrived later and saw injuries?
- 15 A Correct.
 - Q Mike Diaz. Was he a witness or was he a suspect?
 - A He was contacted based on a witness who observed the assault and followed him from the incident to the gas station on Lakeport Boulevard.
 - Q And he wasn't wearing any colors?
- 21 A No.
 - Q And obviously you didn't observe the beating of Mike Burns, so you couldn't have observed the assailant?
- A Correct.

 Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

1	Q So you have no personal knowledge whether or not
2	that beating occurred at the hands of a Hells Angel member?
3	A What I believed and my opinion
4	Q No, no. You don't have any personal knowledge,
5	firsthand experience of who beat Mike Burns?
6	A Correct.
7	Q And this case concluded with no charges being filed
8	against the only suspect in the case, Mike Diaz?
9	A Correct.
10	MR. FREY: No further questions.
1	THE COURT: Anything further?
12	
13	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. HALL:
15	Q Was Mike Diaz associated with the Hells Angels?
16	A Yes. Sonoma County Chapter,
17	Q Based on your training and experience, would there
18	be a way a Hells Angel could earn a patch, or become a
19	prospect, or become a full-patch member if they supported the
20	club in a violent nature such as beating up a Vagos who
21	disrespected them by driving by one of their meetings?
22	MR. CHESNOFF: Beyond the scope, Your Honor.
23	MR. FREY: And leading.
24	THE COURT: Okay. It was beyond your scope, but not
	Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

1 Mr. Gonzalez's attorney's scope. But it is leading and it's really compound. 2 MR. HALL: I can break it down. 3 THE COURT: So it's just really bad. 4 5 Sustained. BY MR. HALL: 6 7 Q Break it down. Do you know if Mike Diaz was associated with the Hells Angels? 8 Α Yes. Are you familiar are with how a prospect or somebody 10 Q who wanted to be a Hells Angel could promote their status in 11 the club? 12 Α Yes. 13 And how might they do that? Q 14 By committing a violent act such as an assault. Α 15 16 escalates them in the club because they committed such an act on the benefit of the gang. 17 Q And you knew who Mike Diaz was? 18 Α I did. 19 Do you know whether or not he had training or 20 experience in martial arts, or self-defense, or MMA? 21 Α Yes. 22 Objection. Relevance. We can't link the 23 MR. FREY: type of injuries to an MMA-training-type background, then what 24

1	are we doing?
2	THE COURT: Yeah. What are we doing? Sustained.
3	BY MR. HALL:
4	Q Did you draw any inferences from the evidence that
5	you had pursuant to the witness's identification and the
6	injuries and proximity of Mike Diaz to Mike Burns?
7	A Again, I formed the opinion, given the witness
8	account of observing the assault, and then following Mike Diaz
9	to the gas station. I formed the opinion that Mike Diaz
0	committed the assault.
11	No, that was not discussed with me by Mike Burns
12	because that is also common practice within the organization
13	of the Vagos: Do not give information to law enforcement.
4	It's a sign of weakness and shows disrespect.
5	MR. HALL: Thank you. I have no further questions.
16	
17	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. FREY:
9	Q How long have you been an officer?
20	A Again, 16 years for Lakeport. Two and a half prior
21	to that for the Lake County Sheriff's Department.
22	Q And you encounter probably how many cases in your
23	years of experience?
24	A Thousands. Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

1	Q All different types?
2	A Yes.
3	Q There are witnesses?
4	
5	Q Victims who just don't want to cooperate?
6	A Yes.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Anything further? Okay. I am
8	going to give you leave to cross-examine once the discovery is
9	provided. So, Mr. Hall, you have to keep this witness
10	available, not in Reno, but available. You have to stay in
11	touch.
12	THE WITNESS: Okay.
13	THE COURT: Thank you. Anything further for today?
14	Okay. You may step down.
15	MR. STEGE: Next witness is Matt Kirby.
16	MR. CHESNOFF: Your Honor, at some point in time is
17	the Court going to issue an order explaining what it is these
18	people are allowed to talk about and why?
19	THE COURT: I'm sure I will have to do that.
20	MR. CHESNOFF: Okay. Thank you.
21	THE COURT: We are going to have to take a short
22	recess.
23	Court's in recess.
24	(A break was taken.)
	Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

1 MATTHEW KIRBY, having been first duly sworn, was examined 2 and testified as follows: 3 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. STEGE: 6 7 Q Sir, will you state and spell your name? Matthew, M-A-T-T-H-E-W, Kirby, K-I-R-B-Y. Α 8 Q You're a police officer with the San Jose Police 9 10 Department: is that correct? Α That's correct. 11 How long have you been a police officer? Q 12 Α About four and a half years. 13 14 Q I'd like to direct your attention -- what part of the police department do you work in? 15 Α Patrol. 16 Q 17 Your entire time has been on patrol? Α Absolutely. 18 Directing your attention back to February 3rd of 19 Q 2010, were you on duty in a marked police vehicle at Campbell 20 Avenue and Fallbrook Road in San Jose, California? 21 22 Α Yes. What were you doing at that particular area? Q 23 THE COURT: Just for my -- to assist me, Counsel, 24 Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

would you explain which exhibit this relates to? MR. STEGE: This is the other bad act related to Mr. Gonzalez where he was found to have the Steyr, S-T-E-Y-R, pistol. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. BY MR. STEGE: Q What were you doing in that area? I just left a call for service and I went back into Α service and I was leaving that scene. And when you were leaving that scene, what did you 0 see?

A I heard a motorcycle that sounded like it was traveling at a high rate of speed, and it had passed in front of me as I was pulling out of the parking lot of a shopping center.

- Q And so what did you do next?
- A I got behind the motorcycle and I saw a moving violation and made a vehicle stop on the motorcycle.
 - Q What was the moving violation that you stopped?
 - A He failed to use his turn signal when turning.
- Q When he made a turn?
- 22 A Yes,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

Q When you stopped the motorcycle, who was on top -- who was riding the motorcycle?

- A It was Ernesto Gonzalez.
- Q Do you see Ernesto Gonzalez in the courtroom right ow?
 - A Yes, I do.
 - Q Can you identify what he's wearing and where he is?
- A He's seated wearing a green vest with glasses and red pants.
- MR. STEGE: May the record reflect the ID of Gonzalez?
 - THE COURT: Record will so reflect.

BY MR. STEGE:

- Q What happens when the motorcycle pulled over?
- A He immediately pulled over, and I approached the motorcycle. And I was accompanied by my fellow officer which was directly behind me when I initiated the vehicle stop. I approached on the left and my partner approached on the right, and he saw Mr. Gonzalez had a knife exposed on his vest. So we rendered the knife safe and discovered it was an illegal weapon. And then --
 - Q How was it illegal?
- A It was considered a switchblade. It was easily accessible, easily readily available with the flick of a wrist or the slight push of a thumb stud.
 - Q Under California law, that is an illegal weapon? Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

Α Yes. 1 Q What happened next? 2 Placed Mr. Gonzalez under arrest for the knife and Α 3 discovered while searching the motorcycle for the impound 4 process, discovered a set of brass knuckles and a loaded 5 firearm. 6 Q So you, again, do an inventory of the motorcycle 7 prior to it being towed; is that right? 8 Α Yes, yes. 9 Q And you discovered -- where do you discover the 10 metal knuckles? 11 It was in the -- I believe it was the right-rear Α 12 13 saddle bag. Did you discover anything else during your search of Q 14 the motorcycle, your inventory of the motorcycle? 15 Α Below the brass knuckles was a loaded pistol. 16 Q And how did you determine that it was loaded? 17 When the fellow officer went to open the chamber, 18 Α there was a live round in the chamber and then the magazine 19 was loaded. 20 Q And what was the caliber of the weapon? 21 Α I believe it was a nine-millimeter. 22 When you came into contact with Gonzalez, how was he Q 23

24

dressed?

1

Α

night or that day, and the reason is because he has his report 1 in front of him and he's reading from his report while he's 2 testifying. 3 THE COURT: Well, you can talk to him about it. 4 Go ahead. 5 The spontaneous statement was, "I THE WITNESS: 6 ain't gonna lie. I carry that for protection because of my 7 lifestyle." 8 BY MR. STEGE: 9 10 Did you have any further discussion on that subject while you were in the police car going down to the detection 11 area? 12 13 Α No, I did not. Q Once you get down to the police, what do they call 14 15 it, the jail? It's a processing center where we fingerprint and 16 Α photograph them. 17 Q Once you got down there, did you conduct an 18 audiotaped interview with the Defendant, Gonzalez? 19 Α 20 Yes. Before we get there, let me ask you, did you take Q 21 some photographs of the clothing worn by Gonzalez? 22 Yes. Α 23

Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

24

And the weapon -- you described the other two

```
weapons?
1
          Α
               Yes.
2
               MR. STEGE: May I have these exhibits marked?
3
               THE COURT CLERK:
                                 Do you want them individual
    exhibits or one group?
5
               MR. STEGE: One group would be fine if it's okay
 6
    with the Court.
 7
               THE COURT CLERK: Exhibit K is a group of nine
8
9
    photographs.
               MR. STEGE: May I approach the witness?
10
11
               THE COURT: Do we want to make them K-1, 2, 3 and 4?
    Are you going to use them separately?
12
               MR. STEGE:
                          Yes.
13
               THE COURT: Okay, Give them back to her so she can
14
    put a number after each one.
15
               MR. STEGE: And I also have this other exhibit
16
    marked, I guess J, whatever the next in order is.
17
               THE COURT CLERK: Exhibits K-1 through 9 marked.
18
              (Exhibit K1-K9 marked for identification.)
19
               MR. STEGE: L would be the next one.
20
               THE COURT CLERK: Exhibit L marked.
21
                 (Exhibit L marked for identification.)
22
               MR. STEGE: Can I approach the witness with the
23
    Exhibit K series?
24
            Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534
```

1 THE COURT: You may. 2 BY MR. STEGE: Sir, do you recognize -- I'll ask you to review Q 3 What is depicted in Exhibit K-1 through 9? 4 THE COURT: Do counsel have a copy of that? 5 I believe these are not attached to the MR. DOGAN: 6 7 exhibits to Mr. Stege's motion for other act. MR. STEGE: They were provided more recently in 8 No. discovery through our electronic discovery within the last two 9 weeks. 10 THE COURT: Did you bring a copy for them? 11 MR. STEGE: But I know they got them. 12 No. 13 MR. DOGAN: Your Honor, this should have been provided by court order along with the prior bad acts motion, 14 It was not provided to the defense when Mr. Stege filed his 15 prior bad act motion and neither was an audio recording which 16 I believe Mr. Stege is going to be playing for the Court. 17 THE COURT: Do you have it now? 18 I received it when I returned. 19 MR. DOGAN: I had it on my desk when I returned from my vacation on Monday. 20 So I have it now, yes, Your Honor. 21 22 THE COURT: But you haven't heard it? Well, I've listened to parts of it. MR. DOGAN: 23 haven't listened to all of it. And there are videos on the CD 24

that do not open and do not play, and I have not had time to play the videos. But the State is -- they should have provided this to us when they filed the PBA motion.

THE COURT: Okay. Yes, they probably should have.

But do you -- are -- are you saying you want me to do

something about that?

MR. DOGAN: I would love for you to exclude this, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, I'm sure you would, but that would probably get me reversed very quickly because that's a tad overbroad of a remedy.

But the appropriate remedy would give you time to look at this evidence and review it before you have an opportunity to cross-examine. So you can identify it, but that's about as far as you can go today.

BY MR. STEGE:

- Q Do you recognize those?
- A Yes, I do.
- Q Are those pictures that were taken during the course of this investigation that you just described?
 - A Yes.
- Q And they are a fair and accurate depiction of the weapons I've talked about and the clothing worn by Gonzalez; is that right?

Α Yes. 1 MR. STEGE: I move to introduce these exhibits. THE COURT: Because they haven't had a chance to 3 review them in light of this witness, I'm going to not -- I 4 think you have established it pretty good. 5 MR. STEGE: Yes. 6 7 THE COURT: But I am going to give them a chance to look at these exhibits before they cross-examine on them and before I admit them. 9 MR. STEGE: 10 Okay. BY MR. STEGE: 11 Q And let's get back to this interview that you were 12 13 talking about. You sat down with Gonzalez in one of the 14 interview rooms at the booking center? Α Correct. 15 And you read him his rights pursuant to Miranda, 16 Q pursuant to a card issued to you by your police department? 17 Α Yes. 18 19 Q And Gonzalez agreed to speak to you? Yes. 20 Α And did you ask him further about this statement 21 that, "I carry that for my protection due to my lifestyle"? 22 Α Yes. 23 Isn't it true that he said he -- well, let's get to 24

9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

this question. Leading up to this, were you aware or had you received any briefings with respect to a Vagos versus Hell's Angel conflict or --

- Prior to the interview or during the interview?
- Q Prior to the interview in your police briefings, have you heard any information about this rivalry between the Vagos and the HAs?

Α Yes.

MR. DOGAN: Your Honor, I would object. beyond the scope of this witness's testimony. I believe that this witness is being offered as a lay witness and he's not being offered as an expert witness. This witness was only mentioned in the State's other act motion and not in their gang evidence motion, so it's beyond the scope.

THE COURT: And I'm not sure what the purpose of whether or not he's been briefed on this.

MR. STEGE: Well, actually, Judge, it didn't go to the truth of the matter asserted whether there was this conflict or not. Ultimately what happens is he's questioning about this rivalry, and the defendant himself acknowledged that he knew about this assault in Santa Cruz only in the days before he's caught carrying this weapon.

THE COURT: So what difference does it make if he knew about it?

MR. STEGE: It's the segue to get into why would he 1 2 ask Gonzalez about a rivalry with the Hells Angels. THE COURT: We are going to stop with regard to the 3 interview that you're asking questions about. Apparently this 4 is a statement that's on the interview tape. 5 MR. STEGE: Right. There's no video, by the way 6 7 which he's saying -- there's no video of it. There's two audio files. The first notice that counsel had was 9 THE COURT: after the holidays of this. Today is Tuesday. That means he 10 11 got it on Monday. I'm going let him listen to it and figure out why he had it before I let you put on any testimony with 12 13 regard to it. MR. STEGE: Well, can I have him identify it at 14 least so it might save some time and make an offer at least. 15 THE COURT: Without talking about the content. 16 MR. STEGE: 17 Right. THE COURT: Yes. 18 BY MR. STEGE: 19 You previously listened to the audio recording of 20 Q the statement that you were just talking about, right? 21 Α 22 Yes. 23 THE COURT: Of the thing you held up, Exhibit L.

Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

I'm about to approach him.

MR. STEGE:

24

THE COURT: Because I'm not letting you put in any 1 evidence of the content of the tape. 2 MR. STEGE: Right. 3 BY MR. STEGE: Do you recognize this CD I'm handing you labeled 5 Q proposed L? 6 Α Yes. 7 Q Is that the CD that I played for you and which you 8 recognized being a fair and accurate depiction of this 9 interview we've been talking about? 10 Α Yes. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Why don't you go ahead and hand 12 13 it back to the clerk. BY MR. STEGE: 14 Q Okay. Let's get back to these photographs which the 15 defense has now reviewed. 16 MR. STEGE: I move to introduce these exhibits. 17 THE COURT: Have you had a chance to review the 18 documents? Other than non-notice, do you have any objection 19 for purposes of today's hearing? 20 MR. DOGAN: For purposes of today's hearing, no 21 objection. 22 THE COURT: Exhibits K-1 through 9 are 23 Okay.

Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

admitted for purposes of today's hearing.

24

(Exhibits K1-K9 admitted into evidence.) 1 2 BY MR. STEGE: All right. K-1, please tell the Judge what we are Q 3 4 seeing here. But it's not --5 MR. STEGE: Judge, I'll just hand these back to the 6 7 clerk. Actually, can I publish them to Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes. 8 Subject to questions of the interview, MR. STEGE: 9 10 Judge, I would pass the witness. THE COURT: Did you want to identify any of these? 11 BY MR, STEGE: 12 What is K-9? Q K-9. 13 Α That is the magazine that was inside the pistol. 14 Q K-8. What's K-8? 15 Is the back of the motorcycle helmet he was wearing. 16 Α Why did you take a photograph of that? Q 17 Α Just because of the colors and more ties to the 18 19 colors associated with the Vagos. 0 K-7, what's that? 20 That's a picture of the pistol. 21 22 Q What's K-6? It's a picture of the bag of ammunition also found 23 on the bike. 24

Q So besides the loaded magazine in the weapon, there 1 was a bag of live ammunition? 2 Α Correct. 3 K-5. What's K-5? Q 5 Α That was the vest that Gonzalez was wearing. O What about K-4? 6 MR. HALL: Amos, it's working now. 7 8 THE WITNESS: That was the shirt that Gonzalez was wearing. 9 BY MR. STEGE: 10 Q K-4 is the shirt? 11 Α Yes. 12 Q What's K-3? 13 Α That's a front view of the vest that Gonzalez was 14 15 wearing. Q And what's in K-2? 16 That was a bottom rocker on the vest. 17 associated himself with the San Jose Chapter. 18 19 Q And what's in K-1? Α That's a collective shot of the weapons that was 20 found on his person or in the motorcycle bag. 21 MR. STEGE: Subject to the statement coming in, I 22 23 pass. THE COURT: Okay. 24 Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

1	Cross?	
2	MR. DOGAN: Thank you.	
3		
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
5	BY MR. DOGAN:	
6	Q I want to make it clear at the outset, you don't	
7	claim to be an expert, do you?	
8	A No.	
9	Q Did you take these photos?	
10	A Yes, I did.	
11	Q And these were the items that were located on my	
12	client's person, correct?	
13	A Correct.	
14	Q Okay. And this knife, you stated that this is a	
15	switchblade knife?	
16	A Correct.	
17	Q Is that the reason why my client was arrested?	
18	A Originally, yes.	
19	Q You were patrolling with another officer on this	
20	day, right?	
21	A No, I was in a patrol car by myself.	
22	Q And you heard what sounded like speeding?	
23	A A motorcycle speeding.	
24	Q Right. But you didn't see a motorcycle speeding? Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534	

1 Α I saw a motorcycle pass in front of me at a high rate of speed. 2 Q But it was your testimony during direct examination 3 that you heard a motorcycle speeding, correct? 4 Α Initially, yes, I heard before I saw. 5 Q And you stopped this motorcycle for loud pipes? 6 7 Α That was one of the reasons, And the other reason was for failure to use a turn 8 Q signal? 9 Α Correct. 10 Q And the motorcycle came to a stop, right after you 11 turned your red and blue lights on? 12 Α 13 Correct. Q Yeah. Did you offer testimony in this case? 14 Did this case go to trial? 15 Α No, it did not. 16 Why didn't it go to trial? Q 17 Α I do not know. 18 Because it was dismissed? 19 Q I didn't do any follow-up. I don't know. 20 Α Q Because the arrest was unlawful, right? 21 MR. STEGE: Objection. 22 THE COURT: Sustained. He said he didn't do any 23 follow-up. He doesn't know. 24

BY MR. DOGAN: 1 2 Q There was no conviction in this case, correct? Once again, I didn't follow up. I don't know. Α 3 You never follow up on any of your cases? Q 4 MR. STEGE: Objection. 5 Did you want to ask that argumentatively THE COURT: 6 as you just did or do you want to rephrase it? 7 MR. DOGAN: No, it's a serious question. 8 THE COURT: Then rephrase it, 9 BY MR. DOGAN: 10 11 Q You have a duty to write police reports, correct? Α Yes. 12 Q And those police reports are provided to the 13 prosecuting attorney, correct? 14 15 Yes. Q And those police reports are also provided to the 16 defense attorney, correct? 17 Yes. Α 18 And then when the prosecuting attorney gets a police 19 20 report, they determine whether or not they pursue charges, correct? 21 22 Α Yes. And in this -- in almost all cases, you keep in 23 Q touch with the prosecutor, correct? 24

Α No. 1 Q How about serious felony cases? You don't keep in 2 touch with the prosecutor? 3 Α No. 5 Q So you have no idea whether this case was dismissed or not, correct? 6 Α Yes. 7 Q And if I told you that this case was dismissed --8 MR. STEGE: Objection. He's not a witness. 9 10 THE COURT: I don't understand. What if you tell him that, where do you want to get? Are you going to ask him 11 -- what was the question going to be? 12 MR. DOGAN: If I can rephrase the question, Your 13 Honor. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 Sustained. 16 MR. DOGAN: Thank you. Court's indulgence. 17 BY MR. DOGAN: 18 Q You never spoke to the prosecutor in this case, 19 right? 20 21 Α No. 22 Q Okay. Do you know who the prosecutor was? No, I don't. 23 Α Q And that was not a switchblade knife, correct? 24 Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

That was a switchblade knife. Α 1 Q When Ernesto made that statement to you, "I ain't 2 going to lie, I carry it for my protection," did I say that 3 correctly? I don't know word for word. Can I refer to my 5 Α report? 6 Q Yes. 7 "I ain't going to lie, I carry that for my 8 Α protection because of my lifestyle." 9 Q Are you paraphrasing that statement? 10 No, I'm not. Α 11 That's an exact quote? 12 Q Α That's an exact quote. 13 Did you write that -- you wrote that down in your Q 14 police report, right? 15 Α 16 Yes. And you wrote that down later after the arrest, Q 17 correct? 18 19 Α Can I refer to my report? Q Yeah. 20 I wrote it initially, but I don't know if I wrote it 21 22 in the statement or not. Q Okay. Refer to your report. 23

Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

24

Are you asking if he said that twice or if I wrote

1	that twic	ee?
2	Q	No. I'm asking you if that was an exact quote from
3	him.	
4	Α	Yes.
5	Q	Okay. And when did you write that police report?
6	How long	after the stop of Ernesto did you write that police
7	report?	
8	Α	Within the next three or four hours.
9	Q	Okay. Did my client say anything else to you today
10	other tha	n what we are going to go into when he was taken to
11	the jail?	
12	Α	While I was en route to the jail?
13	Q	Yes.
14	Α	Or the processing center?
15	Q	Or did you was he in your patrol vehicle?
16	Α	Yes.
17	Q	Did he make any statements while he was in your
18	patrol ve	hicle?
19	Α	That was the statement he said in my patrol vehicle.
20	Q	And that's the only thing he told you the entire
21	time?	
22	Α	That's all, yes.
23	Q	Did you read him his rights in the patrol vehicle?
24	A Ca	No, I did not. aptions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534
		Paolis Oliminos of Tievada, Inc. (110) 170-3337

1	Q But he did have handcuffs on, right?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And he was under arrest?
4	A Yes.
5	MR. DOGAN: Court's indulgence,
6	Your Honor, I'll pass the witness.
7	THE COURT: Counsel?
8	
9	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. STEGE:
11	Q The statement he made in your car, was that in
12	response to any questioning by you?
13	A No.
14	Q Spontaneously blurted out?
15	A Just spontaneously said it.
16	Q They are questioning you about stuff you put in your
17	report, about something you put in your report. Remember that
18	line of questioning?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Did you put the statements made by the defendant on
21	that audio CD we've been talking about into your report?
22	A A brief synopsis of it.
23	MR. STEGE: Nothing further.
24	MR. DOGAN: Your Honor, the State has an obligation Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

to advise the Court that this case was dismissed against my 1 client, Mr. Gonzalez. The State has not advised or made any 2 mention that this matter was dismissed. This matter was 3 dismissed because it was an unlawful arrest and everything that this officer testified to was excluded, and therefore 5 that was the reason for the dismissal. 6 This officer is testifying that he doesn't know. 7 would -- I don't know what he knows. 8 9

THE COURT: Wait, wait, just a second.

Ι

MR. DOGAN: Yeah.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: Is this something you want to argue when the witness steps off the stand or -- you don't really have any more questions, right?

> MR. DOGAN: Right.

THE COURT: So then let's let this witness go.

MR. DOGAN: Except if we go to the audio recording.

THE COURT: Right. So we are going to let you step down today, but you have to come back tomorrow morning, and so the State will advise you of what time you have to be here.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: So go ahead and step down and don't leave the courthouse until you get a chance to talk to them.

> THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: 0kay. Thank you. Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534 Do we have any -- okay. The witness doesn't have any exhibits, right?

Okay. Now, Mr. Dogan?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Your Honor, when my client was stopped MR, DOGAN: on his motorcycle by that officer who just testified, the stop was unlawful, the arrest was unlawful. All the charges that were brought against my client were dismissed. A Motion to Suppress was filed by my client's attorney in California. And the State is required to advise the Court of this, and they have failed to advise the Court of this. Everything that he testified to is based upon unlawfully-seized evidence. does not meet the clear and convincing standard because it is simply not admissible. If it wasn't admissible in a court in California, it is certainly not admissible in a court in Nevada. And that's my objection. It is inadmissible. State should advise the Court that it was dismissed. I believe they have that duty. They have that obligation and they have yet to meet it.

THE COURT: What -- where is your proof that it was dismissed?

he was trying to come onto this case. So Mr. Souza is the one who advised us that the charges against my client were dismissed.

THE COURT: Do you have a record of the dismissal?

MR. DOGAN: Your Honor, we can provide the

documents. We don't have the documents with us. We don't

have a record with us today. The State probably has that in

the criminal history portion that they routinely gather

upon -- of defendants, and certainly they gathered the

criminal history of our client and that should show that the

charges were dismissed.

THE COURT: Do you have any authority for the argument that because the lawyer told you that the charges were dismissed that the Court cannot consider the evidence? Do you have any authority for that?

MR. DOGAN: Your Honor, at this point I don't have any authority, but if the Court -- if you want to order me to provide the documents to you, Your Honor, I will do so.

THE COURT: I'm not ordering you to provide anything to me. It's just that if you make a motion, you usually have to have some authority. So that's why I'm asking.

You have a pad of paper, Mr. Chesnoff. You can write it.

MR. CHESNOFF: Yeah, I know. I know. Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

1

THE COURT: Are you done?

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. DOGAN: One last thing. The State under Brady versus Maryland, that the Court should inquire of the State under Brady versus Maryland if they have any documents or information which would reveal to the Court that the charges against my client have been dismissed, and I would further supplement that information with my documents if I can gather them.

> THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Counsel?

I don't know why -- what happened with MR. STEGE: the case, Judge. We asked the officer the same questions. don't know what happened. We know he's not convicted of it. But aside from that, it's not really relevant.

THE COURT: Well, it kind of is relevant. Brady requires you to disclose evidence that is exculpatory.

> MR. STEGE: Right.

THE COURT: You are trying to put this evidence on and use it against the defendant. If the evidence is of a poor quality and not clear and convincing because of some action that was taken or something else, you have a duty and an obligation not only to inform the Court, but to inform Now, I don't know what your NCIC says. counsel.

> MR, STEGE: Right.

THE COURT: But if your NCIS says dismissed, you have withheld Brady material. Now I don't know if it says that because lots of time they don't. So that's what he's arguing now. So I don't know. I guess you need to take some time and look and see what kind of evidence you have, what information you have in your file with regard to this charge and whether or not you have an obligation to notify the Court and counsel. So --

Yes.

MR. DOGAN: Thank you, Your Honor. There's one last thing. I would renew my motion. We currently have a pending motion to compel the NCIC records not only of Mr. Gonzalez but also codefendant and all the case-in-chief witnesses that the State intends to call at the trial.

THE COURT: I think I ruled on that today.

So this -- unfortunately for where we are at now in this situation, it is incumbent upon the State to review the evidence that they have in their file and report to the Court because Brady requires the State affirmatively search that information out and provide it. The motion that was made did not supply any information to the Court that would support a release of the information held by the State. But if in fact the State has withheld Brady information in this instance, that may well be sufficient for the Court to order the report Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

to be released in a sealed format. 1 So we've got a little bit of a problem here, so I 2 think we better take a recess. You need to go look at your 3 evidence. Whatever you've got, you need to provide, at least 4 5 communicate with the defense so that there can be some discussion before tomorrow morning, okay. 6 MR. STEGE: 7 Okay. THE COURT: All right. It's 20 to 5:00. It's been 8 a long day. I think it's probably a good idea to recess now. 9 10 We'll be back on the record at 9:30 tomorrow morning. Yes. 11 MR. STEGE: We have one witness that's very, very 12 brief. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 MR. STEGE: If I do say so myself. 15 THE COURT: No controversy? 16 MR. STEGE: 17 No. MR. CHESNOFF: We'll think of something, Your Honor. 18 MR. STEGE: Well, since it's not Mr. Chesnoff, I'm 19 sure it will be very brief, 20 THE COURT: I don't know, I think you're all just a 21 little bit here. 22 23 Okay. If you can do it. MR. STEGE: We have Officer Webb. 24

1	THE COURT: Okay. We just don't want to do
2	overtime.
3	
4	JOHN WEBB,
5	having been first duly sworn, was examined
6	and testified as follows:
7	
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. STEGE:
10	Q Sir, please state and spell your name.
11	A J-O-H-N, Webb.
12	Q And you're employed with the San Francisco Police
13	Department; is that correct?
14	A That is right.
15	Q And what where do you work?
16	A I'm stationed at the San Francisco International
17	Airport.
18	Q I'd like to direct your attention back to
19	December 20th of 2010. Were you working at the airport on
20	that date?
21	A Yes, I was.
22	Q Were you called to the customs area in reference to
23	a person with some prohibited item?
24	A Yes. Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

- Q And where were you called to?
 A I was called to the A side customs level. They have a holding cell type of area.
 Q And once you got there, tell us about your
 - A Well, I was told by the customs agents that this gentleman was in line to have his items searched. They do a declaration, what they have in the package.
 - Q This is coming into San Francisco from overseas?
 - A Right. From any foreign country. This is where they have to come.
- MR. DOGAN: Your Honor, objection. Hearsay.
 - THE COURT: It is. We'll see where he's going with it, if you're offering it for the truth of the matter asserted or not.
 - MR, STEGE: Right.
- 17 BY MR. STEGE:

investigation.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

23

24

- Q You received information that someone in this process had a prohibited item?
- A Correct.
 - Q And what was the prohibited item?
- 22 A It was a magazine.
 - THE COURT: Wait, wait, wait. I'm sorry. The question that you had asked that was objected to was the Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

conversation from an officer, another officer told him?

MR. STEGE: Right.

THE COURT: I overruled the objection on the grounds that you weren't offering that conversation for the truth of the matter asserted.

MR. STEGE: That's true.

THE COURT: However, now you've gotten into a subject area by saying what was in the package, what were you

MR. STEGE: Right.

told was in the package.

THE COURT: That is different. That is hearsay.

You can't go there.

BY MR. STEGE:

Q What did you do with this information that someone had come through customs with a prohibited item?

A Well, we confiscate the prohibited item. We try to determine if the individual is the individual in possession of the item, if it's his, and then we positively identify the individual.

- Q Okay. So let's go through those three things. What was the prohibited item determined to be or identified as?
 - A It was a magazine containing 13 bullets.
- Q And you said -- who was the person determined to have it?

His name was Ernesto Gonzalez. Α 1 2 Q And how do you identify Ernesto Gonzalez as the person who had the item? 3 He had a passport. He had a driver's license. Α And 4 we asked him if he was Ernesto Gonzalez, and he said yes. 5 6 Q Did you ask him about this loaded magazine? Α Yes. He said he forgot that he had it in the bag. He said to carry bullets is legal in Nicaragua where he was 8 coming from. 9 0 So he admitted that he was coming from Nicaragua to 10 the airport? 11 Α Yeah. 12 Some photographs were taken in the case; is that Q 13 correct? 14 Α That's correct. 15 THE COURT CLERK: Exhibits M-1 through 4 marked. 16 17 (Exhibits M1-M4 marked for identification.) MR. STEGE: Judge, these have been in discovery for 18 some time. They've been shown to the defense, 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 May I approach the witness? MR. STEGE: 21 THE COURT: Yes. 22 BY MR. STEGE: 23 Handing you M-1 through 4, do you recognize the Q 24

items depicted in these exhibits? 1 Yeah, this is the individual Ernesto Gonzalez. 2 Α And what's contained in M-4? Q 3 This is the backpack he had the magazine in. Α Q That's M-1? 5 Α And these are both pictures of the magazine. 6 M-2 and M-3, did you yourself take these Q 7 photographs? 8 Α No. 9 Q Were you present when they were taken? 10 Α I'm not sure if I was in that room or not. 11 I don't know. 12 Q But you do recognize these being the items from the 13 case? 14 Correct. Α 15 Q And you recognize this photograph to be Ernesto 16 Manuel Gonzalez, the subject? 17 Α Yes, yes. 18 MR. STEGE: I'd like to introduce these exhibits. 19 THE COURT: Any objection for purposes of today's 20 hearing? 21 Court's indulgence. 22 MR. DOGAN: Your Honor, I make the same objection as I did the 23 This case was also dismissed as well and I, of last witness. 24 Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

course, don't have court documents to provide the Court that 1 2 the case was dismissed. All I have is the word of that attorney that we spoke to. And again, this would go to the 3 Brady violation. But in regard to the exhibits, if you still want me 5 to, for the purposes of this hearing, I would object, lack of 6 7 foundation and the other objection I made, Brady violation. I just don't think it's proper. 8 THE COURT: Do the photographs fairly and accurately 9 depict what you saw that day? 10 11 THE WITNESS: Yes, they do. THE COURT: Objection overruled. Admitted for 12 purposes of today's hearing. 13 (Exhibits M1-M4 admitted into evidence.) 14 BY MR. STEGE: 15 Q Do you see Ernesto Gonzalez in the courtroom right 16 17 now? Yes, he's the gentleman in the green sweater. Α 18 MR, STEGE: Ask the record reflect the ID of the 19 20 defendant. THE COURT: Record will so reflect. 21 22 BY MR. STEGE: 23 Do you know what happened to the prosecution in the case? 24

I didn't get any follow-up paperwork except the Α No. 1 first week we got something from the detective saying that 2 they were doing the follow-up, and then I never got a dispo on 3 it. 4 5 Q So you don't know if there was ultimately any prosecution in the case? 6 Α Never heard. 7 No. But you did arrest Gonzalez for having this 8 Q ammunition at the airport? 9 Α Correct. 10 Q These items at the airport. 11 And did you identify the caliber of the ammunition 12 in this magazine? 13 MR. DOGAN: Objection, Your Honor. He is referring 14 to a document. 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 17 MR. DOGAN: It's improper. THE COURT: All right. Does he need to refresh his 18 recollection? 19 THE WITNESS: It's my case. It's the written 20 report. It's the same stuff that he has. 21 22 THE COURT: Okay. So do you want to ask him a 23 question? THE WITNESS: Yeah. It's a nine-millimeter. 24

1 THE COURT: No, no, no, no. That's not the 2 question he gets to ask you. Go ahead. BY MR. STEGE: 3 Q Would it refresh your recollection to look at your report what the caliber of the ammunition was? 5 Α Yes, it would. 6 7 Q Would you do that, please. And then before you give 8 me your answer, look up and I'll get your answer. Α Yeah, there were nine-millimeter rounds. 9 10 MR. STEGE: Thank you, Nothing further. 11 12 THE COURT: Counsel? MR. DOGAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 13 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. DOGAN: 16 Q Did you confiscate the -- is it a magazine? 17 That's correct. I did not confiscate it. The 18 Α 19 customs agents confiscated it from him, the U.S. customs. But 20 they don't have powers of arrest in California, so they call us. 21 Q What kind of magazine was it, sir? 22 Please stop referring to the report you have in 23 24 front of you.

1	А	Okay.
2	Q	Can you please flip it over.
3	A	Yeah, I'll flip it over.
4	Q	Sir, do you have an independent recollection of this
5	day?	
6	А	Vaguely.
7	Q	Do you remember what my client was wearing?
8	А	Not really, no.
9	Q	Do you remember what other officers were with you?
10	А	Yeah.
11	Q	Name those officers?
12	А	Moriwaki and Brothers.
13	Q	What agency do both of them work for?
14	Α	SFPD.
15	Q	That's the same agency you work for?
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	Are you currently retired?
18	А	No.
19	Q	Okay. What time did you get the call to go over
20	there?	
21	Α	I think it was around midnight,
22	Q	And ${f I}$ asked you, but you don't recall the exact
23	time.	Just say yes or no.
24	Α	No, not exact. Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

1	Q And you were contacted by customs agents?
2	A Yes, well customs agents contact our headquarter's
3	dispatch and dispatch dispatches us.
4	Q Do you have personal interaction with my client?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Did you handcuff him?
7	A A little bit.
8	I Your Honor, I don't if I can recall I was the
9	one who handcuffed him or not.
10	Q Was he read his rights?
11	A I'm not really sure.
12	Q Was he with anyone?
13	A No well, he had someone to pick up his bags
14	outside on the street and, yeah, we rendezvoused with them, so
15	to, you know, maintain his possession, you know, with his
16	family member, girlfriend I believe it was.
17	Q Did you confiscate the gun magazine personally?
18	A No. The Customs agents confiscated it, but then
19	they give it to us for our case, with evidence.
20	Q Did you ever review the gun magazine yourself?
21	A Did I review it?
22	Q Did you look at it?
23	A Yeah, I probably I think I probably brought it up
24	to the report writing room. Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

Q So you did look at it? 1 Α Yeah. Q Are you sure you looked at it? 3 Well, as far as I -- you know, we get a lot of these Α 4 5 cases, and I would say if it was my call, I took -- I take control of the evidence. 6 7 Q So you did look at the magazine? Α Yeah. 8 Personally? MR. STEGE: Asked and answered. 10 11 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. DOGAN: 12 Q Did you look at the bullets? 13 Yeah. I believe we took all the bullets out to check 14 Α and see what kind of bullets they were. Some were -- some 15 16 were hollow point, some were full metal case. Q Did you write that in your report? 17 Α I think so, yeah. 18 Q Would you like to refer to your report and tell me 19 where you --20 In the report I wrote that Schoenberg, the 21 Α Yeah. Customs agent --22 Q Don't read it. Read it to yourself. 23 I'm just doing that. Okay. I've got to look up, 24 Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

huh. 1 Yeah, he took them out. I guess he put them back 2 in, but he described them as a couple of different types of 3 bullets. Q So that's --5 Α Some were hollow points. 6 Q Sorry? 7 Α Some were hollow point and some were full metal 8 jacket. 9 MR. DOGAN: Your Honor, I object being hearsay in 10 that another individual told him what type or caliber the 11 bullets were. It's not based on personal knowledge, and I 12 move to strike that portion of his testimony. 13 THE COURT: You mean the response he just gave to 14 you? 15 MR. DOGAN: Yes. And lack of personal knowledge. 16 Let me --17 BY MR. DOGAN: 18 Q What kind of magazine was it to what kind of gun? 19 Α I believe it was a Glock. 20 Q And you know this because the Customs officer told 21 you, right? 22 23 Α Well, he gave it to me. I have to take it to make my report, So I have to take pictures, you know, take 24 Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

pictures of it and brought it up, put it into the evidence 1 locker. I took custody of it. 2 Q The photos that were introduced by the State, did 3 you take photos of it? 4 5 Α No, I did not. Q What photos did you take? 6 I didn't take any photos. I said we took photos. 7 Α was referring to the guy who had the camera. It's probably in 8 my report which officer took them or it's on the photos 9 themselves who they were taken by. 10 You were in the room when the photos were taken, 11 that's your testimony? 12 Α I believe so, yeah. 13 And who was the officer who took the photos? Q 14 I would say it was probably Brothers, Todd Brothers. Α 15 But you don't know for sure? 16 Q THE COURT: He said he had to look at his report. 17 Do you want him to look at his report? 18 BY MR. DOGAN: 19 Q Please look at your report. 20 Α Okay. These were taken by Officer Ron Hill. 21 Q This report was authored by you, correct? 22 Α Yes. 23

Did you author this report based on your own

Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

Q

24

personal knowledge or based on what the Customs officers told 1 2 you? 3 Α Well, everything the Customs officer told me came to fruition when I examined the item myself and I had -- we ran 4 him through NCIC, and, you know, we had a case on him. But 5 everything -- so it's both. It's both. It's what I learned 6 7 from the Customs agent and what I learned on my own at work and from the other officers. 8 MR. DOGAN: Court's indulgence. 9 THE COURT: Yes. 10 BY MR. DOGAN 11 Q This may have been asked and answered. You held 12 that magazine, right? In your hand? 13 Yes. And I placed it in my pocket and I went up to Α 14 the office with it. 15 Q Okay. And you observed that it belongs to a Glock 16 17 yourself? Α It belongs to Glock? I don't know what you mean by 18 that. 19 Q Well, a gun magazine belongs to a specific gun, 20 correct? You can't fit an HK magazine into a Glock gun, can 21

22

23

24

you?

Α

Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

that you could do two brand names, no.

Some are interchangeable, but no, I wouldn't think

Q And you observed yourself that this was a Glock magazine?

A You know, that's what I wrote in my report. That's what -- what it was, I'm sure. I don't think I would write something different, I don't think, than what it is.

Q But the Customs agent isn't the one who told you it's a Glock magazine, correct?

A You know, I don't recall that. If he described it with the brand name, because magazines, you know, I don't know I don't think -- they don't have it emblazoned on them.

Q Okay. Officer, what I'm trying to get at it is this, okay. When you wrote this police report, you were writing it with your own personal knowledge. Are you with me?

A Yeah.

Q Okay. The Glock magazine was in your hand, you look at it, you determined yourself that it was a Glock magazine, you determined yourself that the bullets are nine-millimeter bullets, all yourself, on your own, without anybody else telling you what it belonged to and what type of bullet they were; is that correct?

A I don't necessarily think that's absolutely positive, no. I probably -- there was other guys who were helping me with the report. Sometimes one guy will do the evidence while one guy writes the report and one guy puts the Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

stuff if the locker. There's multiple things that are being 1 done by multiple officers. I don't think I did everything on the bullets. I don't -- you know, I don't recall that. 3 Usually when you have a guy helping you, he does the evidence 4 and you do the report. 5 Q Okay. And those other officers that you're 6 referring to are? 7 Α Moriwaki and Todd Brothers, 8 0 Schoenberg? 9 Α Schoenberg is a Customs agent. 10 Moriwaki and Brothers. Do you know whether they 11 Q. wrote police reports in this case? 12 13 А No, they didn't write a police report. There's only one police report written. 14 Q But they did not write a police report? 15 16 Α They -- no. They -- they helped. They assisted.

A They -- no. They -- they helped. They assisted.

And I forget who did what that particular night.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q If you know, you don't know whether you were told it was a nine-millimeter or that you know it was a nine-millimeter, right?

A Well, the bullets have a little label engraved on the bottom of them that says nine-millimeter.

Q Officer, just going back to your testimony where others may have, you know, observed it themselves and reported Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

it to you, now the question is, I understand that bullets are engraved with the what type of bullet they are, but in this case, you don't know whether you were told that the bullets are nine-millimeter or whether you know based on your personal knowledge?

A I carried mine for 17 years, and I looked at it and

A I carried mine for 17 years, and I looked at it and you can tell what it is just by looking at it. So nobody had to tell me what it was. I could easily see if it was in my hand that's it's a nine-millimeter. When you have a magazine, part of it sticks out. You just have to go like that and you read it right there.

Q And the gun magazine, again, same question, you don't know whether you were told it was -- belonged to a Glock gun or whether you know based on your personal knowledge that it belongs to a Glock gun?

A I don't know of my personal knowledge --

MR. STEGE: Asked and answered. We've gone over this.

THE COURT: Sustained.

THE WITNESS: Not the Glock gun.

MR. DOGAN: Not the --

THE COURT: Wait, wait. You can't talk at the same time. When they make a motion, you have to stop talking, okay.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. 1 THE COURT: Now, he made a motion. It was asked and 2 answered. I thought we had been through the Glock, whether 3 it's nine-millimeter or not. 4 MR. DOGAN: I'm almost done, but I was first talking 5 about the bullets, and now I'm talking about the gun magazine. 6 So it's my final question. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Ask it. 8 MR. DOGAN: Thanks. 9 MR. STEGE: Is that the final question? 10 THE COURT: That's the final question. 11 12 MR. STEGE: Exception to the rule. THE COURT: Go for it. 13 MR. CHESNOFF: Sure was brief, Your Honor. 14 15 BY MR. DOGAN: You don't know whether that magazine belonged to a 16 Glock pistol or whether you know based on your own personal 17 18 knowledge that that magazine belonged to a Glock pistol, 19 correct? MR. STEGE: Objection. Compound question. 20 21 THE COURT: Sustained. But it is painful. MR. STEGE: Last question. 22 BY MR. DOGAN: 23 Q Somebody friggin told you that that magazine 24

belonged to a Glock gun? 1 MR. STEGE: Objection. Just --2 3 MR. DOGAN: I'll strike the friggin part. THE COURT: You didn't use that word, really? 4 MR. STEGE: Yes. 5 THE COURT: Okay. It really has been obviously a 6 very long day. Are you done? 7 That -- I apologize with all due respect 8 MR. DOGAN: 9 to the Court and counsel. But I'll rephrase it in a much more respectful way and my question to the witness would be, you 10 were told that that magazine belonged to a Glock pistol, 11 12 right? THE WITNESS: Yeah. I honestly don't remember if 13 somebody told me, but it's a very good possibility that 14 someone did because Moriwaki, probably, he's like a gun 15 expert. He probably told me there was a Glock. 16 THE COURT: Okav. 17 MR. DOGAN: Again, Your Honor, I'm sorry. 18 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Okay. Tomorrow 19 morning, 9:30, we will all be together again. But before 20 we're all together tomorrow morning at 9:30, I want to see all 21 the lawyers in chambers. 22 Thank you, sir. We'll see you back -- do we need 23 24 him back?

1	MR. STEGE: No. He's excused.
2	THE COURT: You're excused.
3	MR. CHESNOFF: What time would you like to see us?
4	THE COURT: Right now.
5	Court's in recess.
6	(Proceedings concluded.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

STATE OF NEVADA 1 SS. COUNTY OF WASHOE 2 3 I, SUSAN CULP, an Official Reporter of the 4 Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, in and 5 for the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, DO HEREBY CERTIFY: 6 7 That I am not a relative, employee or independent contractor of counsel to any of the parties, or a 8 relative, employee or independent contractor of the parties 9 involved in the proceeding, or a person financially interested 10 in the proceedings; 11 That I was present in Department No. 4 of the 12 above-entitled Court on Tuesday, January 8, 2013 and took 13 14 verbatim stenotype notes of the proceedings had upon the matter captioned within, and thereafter transcribed them into 15 typewriting as herein appears; 16 17 That the foregoing transcript, consisting of pages 1 through 235, is a full, true and correct transcription 18 of my stenotype notes of said proceedings. 19 DATED: At Reno, Nevada, this 20th day of 20 21 January, 2013. 22 /s/ Susan Culp 23 SUSAN CULP, CCR No. 343 24

Electronically 01-27-2013:07:13:06 PM Joey Orduna Hastings Clerk of the Courl Transaction # 3490900

Code No. 4185

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DIS	TRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
IN AND FOR	THE COUNTY OF WASHOE
THE HONORABLE CONNIE	J. STEINHEIMER, DISTRICT JUDGE
	-000-
STATE OF NEVADA,)
Plaintiff,) Case No. CR11-1718A) CR11-1718B
V S .))
CESAR VILLAGRANA, ERNESTO GONZALEZ,)
Defendant.)

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS EVIDENTIARY HEARING WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 9, 2013 RENO, NEVADA

Reported By: STEPHANI L. LODER, CCR No. 862

.949

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: KARL HALL

AMOS R. STEGE

Deputies District Attorney

Reno, Nevada

For Defendant Villagrana: RICHARD SCHONFELD

DAVID Z. CHESNOFF Chesnoff & Schonfeld Las Vegas, Nevada

For Defendant Gonzalez: BIRAY DOGAN

CHRIS FREY

Deputies Public Defender

Reno, Nevada

MAIZIE WHALEN PUSICH

Chief Deputy Public Defender

Reno, Nevada

INDEX	
PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES:	PAGE:
MATTHEW KIRBY REDIRECT EXAMINATION (RESUMED) BY MR. STEGE RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. DOGAN VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. CHESNOFF VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. STEGE FURTHER VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. CHESNOFF VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION (RESUMED) BY MR. CHESNOFF VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. DOGAN FURTHER VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. STEGE FURTHER VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. CHESNOFF FURTHER VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. CHESNOFF FURTHER VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. STEGE FURTHER VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. STEGE	23 27 33 36 51 59 61 62 65 66
VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. CHESNOFF VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. DOGAN FURTHER VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. HALL	83 85 92 99
FURTHER VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. DOGAN DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. STEGE LES SKELTON DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HALL CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. CHESNOFF CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. DOGAN REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HALL FURTHER DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. HALL RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. CHESNOFF RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. DOGAN	150 186 201 231 234 238 242
JEFFREY SIMPSON DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. STEGE	245

Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc.

(951

775-746-3534

EXHIBITS		
<u>NO.</u>	MARKED:	ADMITTED:
L		14
N-1.,	249	263
N - 2	249	263
N-3	249	263
N - 4	249	
N - 5	249	
N-6	249	263
N-7	249	263
N-8	249	
N - 9	249	
N-10	249	
N-11	249	
N-12	249	
N-13	249	
N - 14	249	
יט יער		

1	RENO, NEVADA, WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 9, 2013, 9:43 A.M.
2	-000-
3	
4	THE COURT: Please be seated. Good morning. Are
5	we ready to proceed?
6	MR. STEGE: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Go ahead.
8	MR. STEGE: I'd like to continue with Officer
9	Matt Kirby.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Sir, you're still under oath.
11	Please retake the stand.
12	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
13	MR. DOGAN: Your Honor, I know we went back to
14	chambers yesterday and your concern about the amount of
15	argument that's being made, I believe, but I need to
16	protect the record in regards to a violation of your order
17	which was filed on October 30th of last year.
18	And in your order, Judge Steinheimer, you stated
19	that the State must file a motion. The State must plead
20	with specificity any discovery the State seeks to
21	introduce in its case in chief related to NRS 193.168
22	and/or 48.045 must be disclosed contemporaneously with the
23	State's pleading or prior.
24	And in this case, Your Honor, we have followed

that in possession yesterday. And Mr. Stege did e-mail

that to me in a PDF format this morning, and he did indicate that that had been previously discovered to the defense. But again, the sheer amount of discovery dumps that we've been receiving, it makes it quite difficult for us to look for these reports.

It would be much better, much easier and more -in regards to our time, if the State followed your orders
so that we could have it in front of our face while we're
reviewing the motions and the pleadings that are being
filed by the State.

THE COURT: Okay.

1.

MR. STEGE: Ideally, yes, I wish we had all this stuff ages ago. But what we did have at the time of the filing of the other bad act motion is what we attached to the defense, and that previously been disclosed to them.

In the meantime, in the difficult process of finding the actual officer and making arrangements, we were able to find these additional reports, which we did. Once we got them, and which included -- the audio came a little bit after that -- we promptly disclosed those in discovery.

I don't really know what to make of, well,
there's too much discovery argument, but as soon as we -(Discussion off the record between

Mr. Hall and Mr. Stege.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. STEGE: Yeah. And specifically, this -- I think the report that he was just referring to, we received on December 26th, and that was immediately disclosed. The audio came shortly thereafter.

THE COURT: Okay. So what I think the concern is, is that you did the report -- you disclosed the report in a traditional discovery release of information that contained a lot of different things as opposed to also filing it with the Court, as was the order, as an addendum to your motion so everyone was on notice, including the Court, of what the evidence is that we're going to be seeing.

I think you noticed from yesterday, we did review what you'd filed and we do have an idea of what you put in the pleadings, but of course we had no idea about things that you had not put, nor did the defense so that is the tenor of the order.

MR. STEGE: Right.

THE COURT: And it really is for judicial economy. We're not talking about trying to make the State do something different than they normally would or increasing any burden on anyone. It is because the remedy is a continuance.

1 MR. STEGE: Right. THE COURT: That is the remedy for the defense 2 not getting this information timely or soon enough or in a 3 manner that they can deal with it. They're entitled to a 4 continuance so that they can review the material. 5 And the reason we're doing this in January and 6 not March is so we can try the case in March. 7 8 MR. STEGE: Right. 9 THE COURT: But I understand if you don't have it. 10 11 MR. STEGE: Right. The 500 pages, that was something they specifically had asked for, being the 12 wiretap orders with respect to the Operation Simple Green. 13 14 THE COURT: Okay. Well, yes. 15 MS. PUSICH: Your Honor, I apologize. 16 Mr. Bennett will be my witness, and he, I believe 17 is one of the primary people in respect to Operation Simple Green. It is true that at the conclusion of the 18 19 hearing in October, I asked the Court to provide the 20 information regarding the phone wiretaps. actually the wiretap information I think is 496 pages, 21 22 therefore pages of a separate report from Detective Patton. 23

1957

I received those this morning about 7:00.

think I've actually read most of them, but I don't want to represent that I would be in the position to effectively cross-examine on Mr. Gonzalez's behalf if the State starts questioning about those today. THE COURT: And you just got them? You didn't get them before today to send them over? MS. PUSICH: Your Honor, my staff advises they were scanned in by the DA's system on Saturday. I don't have any staff on Saturday. They started printing them out for me yesterday afternoon and finished this morning. THE COURT: And is that how this -- I'm not really familiar with how your discovery is now working. The State scans them into a separate program of some kind?

MR. STEGE: Right.

MS. PUSICH: There's a program, Your Honor, that our office has access to, and once a file is made available to us, we have a number. In this case it's 434444. We know it by heart because we see it all the time. And they send an e-mail that says additional discovery has been made available in this case, and then our staff prints it off.

So although it appears to have been scanned in on their side Saturday morning, no one in my office was aware of it until Monday.

1 MR. STEGE: Well, no one was working on Saturday besides the lawyers, so I think it actually happened 2 sooner, a day or two before that, but --3 THE COURT: But it didn't become available in 4 5 your system until Saturday? MR. STEGE: I don't know for sure when it became 6 7 available. 8 THE COURT: Okay. So when did you get the data? MR, STEGE: We got the data just -- let's see. 9 It was the Friday before Christmas, Judge. And prior to 10 disclosing it, we wanted to have a sit-down with the 11 12 witness to make sure that -- they stated they were under seal, and we wanted to make sure with the witness that --13 because of the nature of the case, that it was still 14 proper and okay to release the documents. 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 17 MR. STEGE: Which we did and then produced them. THE COURT: Okay. So we're going to have to have 18 Mr. Bennett obviously return to talk about this. We can't 19 20 expect the defense to be ready to cross-examine on the 21 discovery and the information that was provided, that much information just this week. 22 If you'd had it since December, I think they 23 should at least have the same amount of time to look at it 24

```
as you had before they're required to respond to the
 1
     witness. So we'll just find time. We'll find more time,
 2
     I guess.
 3
              MR. STEGE:
                          Okay.
 4
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
 5
              MS. PUSICH: Thank you, Your Honor.
 б
              THE COURT: You're welcome.
 7
              MR. HALL: So can I let Mr. Bennett go then if
 8
     we're not going to do him today? He's here. We're not
 9
     going to do him today; is that right?
10
              THE COURT: We can't do Ms. Pusich's cross. So
11
     whatever you want to do. Do you want to put the direct on
12
     and then delay for the cross, or do you want to wait?
13
              MR. HALL: Court's discretion. I'd just as soon
14
     do as much as we can while he's here. I got him here.
15
              THE COURT:
                          I'm here all day. I'm fine with you
16
17
     doing direct, but we just won't be able to do the cross.
     Okay?
18
              So Counsel, do you feel comfortable going forward
19
     with the cross this morning on the limited issue of the
20
     audio?
21
              MR. DOGAN: Yes, Your Honor.
22
23
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
              MR. DOGAN:
                          Thank you, Your Honor.
24
```

```
THE COURT:
                          All right. Did you have anything
 1
     else, Mr. Stege?
 2
 3
              MR. STEGE:
                           I move to introduce the audio.
              THE COURT:
                          I'm sorry, you move to introduce
 4
     Exhibit L?
 5
                          Exhibit L, the audio, the CD that he
              MR. STEGE:
 6
     previously identified as being the one we listened to,
 7
     being a fair and accurate depiction of the interview with
 8
     Gonzalez.
              THE COURT: Counsel?
10
              MR. DOGAN:
                          I'm sorry, I was speaking to
11
     co-counsel.
12
              THE COURT: He's just asked me to admit Exhibit L
13
14
     for purposes of today's hearing. That's the CD.
              MR. DOGAN: I would request that the proper
15
16
     foundation be laid in regards to the exhibit, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: He did. He -- well --
17
                         Yesterday.
              MR. FREY:
18
              THE COURT:
19
                          Yesterday.
              MR. DOGAN:
20
                          Okav.
              THE COURT: I know it was a long day.
21
22
              MR. DOGAN: It was a very long day, Your Honor.
23
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
                                 So I think he has identified
          He says he listened to it. He was familiar with it.
24
```

```
It was what it purported to be.
1
              MR. DOGAN: Your Honor, for the purposes of this
 2
     hearing, I have no objection, but we still have that Brady
 3
     issue regarding the dismissal of this case.
 4
              THE COURT:
                          Right. I understand that.
 5
              One of the things that we really need to focus on
 6
     today is this is the proffered evidentiary hearing. The
 7
     argument with regard to whether or not any of this is
 8
     admissible or could be admitted or was proper can come
 9
10
     later, but we've got to get evidence out and figure out
     what the State is trying to offer. So we'll see if we can
11
     get through that. Okay?
12
              I'm admitting it. L is admitted.
13
                   (Exhibit No. L admitted.)
14
              MR. STEGE: May I publish L?
15
              THE COURT: Yes.
16
              MR. STEGE: Or would the Court like to review it
17
     later in camera?
18
              THE COURT: No. Go ahead and publish it.
19
              MR. STEGE: Okay.
20
              MR. HALL: Your Honor, I'm going to go ahead and
21
     let Detective Bennett go. We've got other witnesses here.
22
23
     I don't want to bifurcate the hearing.
24
              THE COURT: Okay. That's fine.
```

1	MR. HALL: So we'll just set a another date to
2	bring him back.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	
5	MATTHEW KIRBY,
6	recalled as a witness by the State,
7	having been previously duly sworn, was examined
8	and testified further as follows:
9	
LO	
L1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION (RESUMED)
L2	BY MR. STEGE:
L3	Q Officer, at this point, you're in the room with
L4	Mr. Gonzalez and you turn your recorder on; is that right?
L5	A Correct.
L6	Q Then you read him his Miranda rights.
L7	A Yes.
L8	(Audio played.)
L9	BY MR. STEGE:
20	Q Officer, just so this gets transcribed into this
21	recording, you asked the defendant if his life's been
22	threatened.
23	A Yes.
24	Q Or he makes the statement that his life has been

```
threatened.
 1
              Yes.
 2
                   (Audio played.)
 3
     BY MR. STEGE:
 4
 5
              You then ask him if it's been specifically
     threatened by a rival gang, and what is -- sorry. What is
 6
7
     his answer?
              "Yes."
 8
          А
              And that's about two minutes and 40 seconds into
 9
     this.
10
                   (Audio played.)
1.1
     BY MR. STEGE:
12
              You asked him: Well, what specific rival
13
     motorcycle gang and what's -- and you asked: Was it the
14
     Hell's Angels?
15
16
              MR. DOGAN: Objection. Leading. Compound.
              THE COURT: Sustained.
17
              Let's just play the video. Let's publish it, and
18
     then if you have questions about it, you can ask them.
19
              MR. CHESNOFF: Your Honor, just for the record
20
21
     and future reference, there are Bruton issues raised by
     this for our client.
22
23
              THE COURT: There could be. Thank you.
              MR. CHESNOFF: Post duress statement of a
24
```

```
codefendant.
1
2
              THE COURT: Okay.
              MR. CHESNOFF: Thank you.
 3
              THE COURT: Let's just play it.
              MR. CHESNOFF: All right.
 5
                   (Audio played.)
 6
              MR. DOGAN: Your Honor, I'd object at this point.
7
     It's beyond --
8
              THE REPORTER: Your Honor, could I hear the
9
     objection again?
10
              THE COURT: Yes, would you repeat that.
11
              MR. DOGAN: It's beyond the scope of their
12
13
     pleading. It's just irrelevant material that's coming in.
              THE COURT: Did you want to present this, or were
14
     you just playing the whole thing --
15
              MR. STEGE: I'm just playing it for thoroughness.
16
17
     I understand that if admitted at trial, it may be limited
     to -- there wouldn't be a reason to talk about his record.
18
     I think that would be obvious.
19
20
              THE COURT: Okay. How much longer is there on
     there?
21
              MR. STEGE: About two minutes.
22
23
              THE COURT: Go ahead and finish.
              Overruled.
24
```

1	(Audio played.)
2	BY MR. STEGE:
3	Q So back and talk about some of the statements
4	that we just heard so we can make a record of this.
5	Among the things mentioned by the defendant was
6	that and in fact placed in your report were that the
7	defendant said he had the weapons so he could defend
8	himself in case he got attacked; is that right?
9	A Yes.
10	MR. DOGAN: Objection, Leading. Asked and
11	answered.
12	THE COURT: It is leading.
13	MR. STEGE: Okay.
14	THE COURT: Sustained.
15	BY MR. STEGE:
16	Q Did the defendant make any statements about the
17	reason he had the weapon?
18	A Yes,
19	Q And what were those?
20	A To carry them for protection.
21	Q Did he defendant make any statements about a
22	specific rivalry that he was aware of involving the Vagos?
23	A Yes.
24	MR. DOGAN: Objection. Leading, and also it's

```
not the best evidence.
 1
 2
              MR. CHESNOFF: I'm just going to renew my Bruton
 3
     issue.
              THE COURT: The Bruton issue we'll deal with once
 4
     this is over, and I assume you're going to want to file
 5
     something with regard to this.
 6
 7
              MR. CHESNOFF: If I have to. I mean, it's --
              THE COURT: We'll see. We'll see if it is even
 8
 9
     necessary.
10
              MR. CHESNOFF: Enough paperwork already. Thank
11
     you.
              THE COURT: So with regard to leading, it's
12
     sustained.
13
     BY MR. STEGE:
14
              What statements did the defendant make about a
1.5
     rivalry, if any?
16
              He knew about the altercation that happened in
17
     Santa Cruz.
18
              And what was the altercation? Or did you have
19
     prior knowledge of the altercation?
20
              Yes. There was members of the Vagos that were
21
     jumped by Hell's Angels.
22
          Q And when was that alleged incident in relation to
23
24
     this?
```

```
1
              About two weeks prior.
               MR. DOGAN: Objection.
                                        Relevance.
 2
               MR. STEGE: Goes to his knowledge of the rivalry.
 3
     The reason -- the entire reason he's carrying the gun is
 4
     because, whether true or not, he believes that it's
 5
     dangerous to be a Vago, hanging out --
 6
              THE COURT: Overruled.
 7
               MR. STEGE: -- in the area.
 8
     BY MR. STEGE:
 9
              When was the prior alleged incident in Santa
10
     Cruz?
11
12
          Α
              About two weeks prior.
              What other statements did the defendant make
13
          0
     reference to Hell's Angels?
14
15
              His life was threatened.
               Did you ask the defendant about why he was riding
16
     alone or anything about riding alone?
17
18
          Α
               I asked if he was carrying a weapon because he
     was riding alone.
19
              And what was his response?
20
21
              He couldn't answer that.
22
              Did the defendant mention anything about
23
     territory or anything related to the Vagos and Hell's
     Angels?
24
```

1	A Such as, where they are?
2	Q Where they are. Didn't he make a statement about
3	this being Vago territory or Hell's Angels territory or
4	MR. DOGAN: Objection.
5	BY MR. STEGE:
6	Q something about his charter?
7	MR. DOGAN: Objection. Leading.
8	THE COURT: Sustained.
9	BY MR. STEGE:
0	Q Were there any statements made by the defendant
. 1	about his charter, about the Vagos charter?
.2	A Can you rephrase the question?
.3	Q Well, was there a point at about three minutes
_4	and 30 seconds into this call maybe this would refresh
. 5	your recollection.
. 6	MR. CHESNOFF: Your Honor, the tape speaks for
.7	itself
-8	(Audio played.)
9	MR. DOGAN: Your Honor I'm sorry.
20	THE COURT: Okay. I'm not exactly sure what
21	you're trying to do. You keep saying to make a record.
22	The record is made with the exhibit being admitted.
23	So is there something, something additional to
24	the record that this witness has to add to the recording?

```
MR. STEGE: Well, here's the issue. If this gets
 1
     reviewed by anyone else, the -- it's going to say:
 2
 3
     Thereupon the recording was played. Right?
              THE COURT:
                          Right.
 4
              MR. STEGE: And it's not transcribed by the
 5
     reporter, so the statements that are made in it are either
 6
 7
     going to have to be stated by me orally in my arguments or
 8
     the officer can explain what is on the tape so that
     there's an accurate --
 9
              THE COURT: Well, you just identify the exhibit.
10
     If it's reviewed, the reviewing Court has the exhibit
11
     that's been admitted.
12
              MR. STEGE: Okay.
13
14
              THE COURT: And of course you can always have a
15
     transcript prepared.
16
              MR. STEGE: Okay. All right.
              THE COURT: So I don't -- I don't think it's
17
     necessary to kind of reiterate it.
18
              MR. HALL: Your Honor, may we just ask leave of
19
20
     the Court to supplement the record with a transcript of
21
     the recording?
22
              THE COURT: Yes.
23
              MR. HALL: Thank you.
24
              MR. STEGE: I'll pass the witness.
```

```
1
              THE COURT: Okay. Counsel?
                           Thank you, Your Honor.
              MR. DOGAN:
 2
 3
                          RECROSS-EXAMINATION
 4
     BY MR. DOGAN:
 5
              Did you speak to any other officer about your
 6
     testimony before coming into Court today?
 7
              Yes.
          Α
 8
              Where did you speak to this officer at?
              MR. STEGE: Objection. Scope.
10
11
              THE COURT: Overruled. It goes to his vice.
     ahead.
12
              MR. DOGAN: Your Honor, it also goes to the
13
     exclusionary rule.
14
15
              THE COURT: I figured that.
     BY MR. DOGAN:
16
              Where did you speak to this officer?
17
18
              There was multiple places I talked to officers
     about this case.
19
              When did you speak to the officer?
20
              Over a two-year period, I couldn't recall.
21
     There's numerous times.
22
              Did you speak to any officer about your testimony
23
     outside of court today?
24
```

1 Yes. Α Who was that officer? 2 I don't recall his name. 3 What does he look like? 0 4 Like, gray hair, glasses, and a suit. 5 Α Is he in the courtroom right now? 6 Q. 7 Yes. А And where is he seated? 8 Q He's seated in the front row. А 9 THE COURT: Are you talking about the DA's 10 investigator? Is that who you're calling an officer? 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, yes. 12 BY MR. DOGAN: 13 14 How about yesterday? Well, knowing my knowledge now that they're not 15 officers, then no, I haven't spoke to an officer. 16 17 0 Anyone? DAs. 18 Α Have you spoken to any witness, officer or 19 non-officer, about your testimony here today? 20 MR. STEGE: Objection. Vague. How does he know 21 22 who a witness is? 23 THE COURT: Well, it may be vague, but he may be able to answer it. I don't know. Overruled. 24

```
1
               Can you answer that question?
               THE WITNESS: Are you asking if I've talked to
 2
 3
     other officers that are here to testify?
     BY MR. DOGAN:
 4
                    Anyone. Have you spoke to anyone regarding
 5
               No.
     your testimony here today?
 6
               THE COURT: Since when?
 7
     BY MR. DOGAN:
 8
               Have you spoken to anyone today about your
10
     testimony?
11
          Д
               Other than the investigator who is present in
12
13
     Court today.
          Α
               No.
14
15
               Nobody else, correct?
          0
16
          Α
               No.
               How about yesterday?
17
          Q
               Yes.
18
          Α
               Who did you speak to?
19
          Q
20
               Other officers that are here.
          Α
               Do you know their names?
21
          Q
22
               Not off the top of my head, no.
          Α
               Do you know what they look like?
23
          Q
24
               Generally, yes.
          Α
```

```
What do they look like?
 1
          Q.
               White males.
               How many?
 3
          Q
               Three, four.
 4
          Α
               Are they witnesses in this case?
 5
          Q
               Yes.
 6
          Α
               And you spoke about your testimony, correct?
 7
          0
               I'm confused.
 8
          Α
               You spoke about this case?
 9
               I spoke -- I don't understand the question.
10
11
     Spoke how?
               You previously stated that you spoke about this
12
     case to other witnesses.
13
               That I was here to testify, yes.
          Α
14
               And you discussed your testimony, correct?
15
          Q
               Not what I said, no.
16
          Α
               You discussed the facts of this case, correct?
17
          0
               Yes.
18
          Α
               Did you discuss their testimony?
19
          0
               I don't recall.
20
          Α
               You don't recall? This occurred yesterday.
21
          Q
               Correct.
22
          Α
               And you forgot?
23
          Q
24
               MR. STEGE: Argumentative.
```

```
THE COURT: Overruled.
 1
               THE WITNESS: I don't know any specific details.
 2
 3
     no.
               MR. DOGAN: Your Honor, at this point, I move to
 4
     strike the witness for violation of the exclusionary rule.
 5
               THE COURT: Okay.
 6
               MR. CHESNOFF: Your Honor, may I ask questions,
 7
     Your Honor?
 8
               THE COURT: Yes, you certainly can, and then I'll
 9
     let the State ask some questions.
10
               MR. CHESNOFF: Thank you.
11
12
                         VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
13
     BY MR. CHESNOFF:
14
               Officer, how long have you been a policeman?
15
          Q
               Four and a half years.
16
17
              How many times have you testified?
          Q
              Three.
18
          Α
              Three times in four and a half years?
19
          Q
              Yes.
20
          A
21
              Are you -- what kind of -- felony cases?
          Q
22
              What kind of felony?
              Felony cases? No. Were they felonies?
23
          0
               One was a misdemeanor.
24
```

1	Q Okay. So yesterday you were here and you were
2	with fellow officers, correct?
3	A Correct.
4	Q And of course, in the course of visiting with
5	fellow officers, you discussed the fact that you were here
6	to testify about this particular defendant, correct?
7	A Correct.
8	Q And you did you identify this defendant to the
9	other officers as who you were going to be testifying
10	about?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Did you describe the fact that you had been
13	involved in a traffic stop?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Did you tell the other officers that the traffic
16	stop involved the seizure of a weapon?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Did you mention to the officers the fact that you
19	had done something really unique in getting a member of a
20	motorcycle club to consent to an interview?
21	A We were just exchanging info as far as our
22	experience with the different gangs.
23	Q Right. So you told them that, in this case, you
24	had actually been fortunate enough to get a Mirandized

defendant to give you a statement, correct? 1 Yes. 2 And you told them that the Mirandized statement 3 included admissions by this particular defendant that he 4 believed that it was a rivalry between various motorcycle 5 6 gangs. 7 That I did not, no. Okay. But they were interested in the fact that 8 you had actually interviewed him, correct? 9 10 Α No. That's what you talked about, though, right? 11 Q 12 Α No. Well, you just told us you talked to 'em about 13 14 it, didn't you? I talked to 'em about the items that I had 15 seized. 16 Okay. And you also told 'em that you had 17 Q. interviewed him. You just told us that, right? 18 If I said that, that's not what I meant. 19 20 Well, were you telling then or are you telling the truth now, Officer? 21 Ά Now. 22 Okay. So you never discussed the fact that you 23 24 had interviewed him, even though about a minute ago, you

1	told Her Honor that you did discuss it?
2	A I never discussed that I had interviewed him with
3	any other officer, no.
4	Q That was a misstatement?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Were you not hearing me when I asked the
7	question?
8	A I must have misread the or misheard the
9	question. Sorry.
10	Q What was the name of the officers that you talked
11	to?
12	A I don't remember.
13	Q What departments?
14	A Kern County. Phoenix. Another Arizona
15 .	department, I believe.
16	Q Okay. You were aware of course that as a witness
17	in this case, you aren't supposed to discuss your
18	testimony with other people that are witnesses, correct?
19	A I didn't discuss what I
20	Q Answer the question, sir. You are
21	MR. STEGE: Judge Judge, he's trying to answer
22	the question.
23	MR. CHESNOFF: No, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Okay. I don't think he was being

```
responsive, so I am going to overrule your objection,
1
 2
     but --
              MR. CHESNOFF: Okay, I'm sorry --
 3
              THE COURT: -- raising your voice isn't
 4
     appropriate with the witness.
 5
              MR. CHESNOFF: You're correct, Your Honor. I
 б
 7
     apologize.
     BY MR. CHESNOFF:
 8
              Officer, you are aware, as a witness in a
 9
     criminal case, that you are not supposed to discuss your
10
     testimony with other witnesses in the case, correct?
11
              Yes. Can I add to that, though?
12
13
              You answered my question, sir.
              MR. STEGE: Can he answer the question?
14
     BY MR. CHESNOFF:
15
              When you talked to --
16
17
              THE COURT: He can when you're -- when you --
     BY MR. CHESNOFF:
18
              When you talked to these officers, were you all
19
     together?
20
              Yes.
21
          Α
22
              So the other officers were talking back to you,
23
     correct?
              Yes.
24
```

```
And they were exchanging information with you,
1
     correct?
 2
          А
              Yes.
 3
              And they were telling you about their
 4
     experiences, correct?
 5
              Yes.
 6
              And they were telling you about their experiences
7
     either with members of the Vagos or members of the Hell's
 8
     Angels, correct?
 9
              Yes.
10
          Α
              And that was here in the courthouse?
71
          0
12
          A
              Yes.
               THE WITNESS: Your Honor, could I add something?
13
               THE COURT: The State's attorney is going to ask
14
     you some questions.
15
               THE WITNESS: Okay.
16
17
               THE COURT: And then I may ask you some
     questions.
18
               THE WITNESS: Okay.
19
     BY MR. CHESNOFF:
20
               Did you have lunch with the fellow officers?
21
          0
22
          Α
               No.
               Did you do any socializing since you had to stay
23
     over last night with the other officers?
24
```

Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. 775-746-3534

1	A No.
2	Q Were any of the DAs present when you were having
3	these conversations?
4	A I don't remember, no.
5	Q Well, one's a pretty tall guy and one's got a
6	white beard. Either one of those fellows present when you
7	were talking to these witnesses?
8	A I don't remember. They were going in and out of
9	the courtroom.
10	Q Did anybody tell you you need to stop talking
11	about your involvement in this with the witnesses at any
12	point in time?
13	A No.
14	Q Did any of the other officers say: You know
15	what, we better not talk to each other about this stuff?
16	A No.
17	MR. CHESNOFF: I have nothing further, Your
18	Honor.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Stege?
20	
21	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. STEGE:
23	Q Did you discuss the substance of your testimony
24	with these other officers?

1	A No, I did not.
2	Q You asked twice if there was something you could
3	add to the answers.
4	A Yes.
5	Q What was it that you wanted to add to your
6	answers?
7	A Well, I just wanted to add that we exchanged
8	information to either gather intel for further experience.
9	Q Were any of those officers that you were talking
LO	to from San Jose Police Department?
11	A No.
12	Q Were any of those officers involved in the stop
Ĺ3	that we've been talking about?
L4	A No.
L5	Q In fact, what you have testified to is you
L6	exchanged basic information about your case.
.7	A Correct.
.8	Q That you found what you found on the defendant.
.9	A Yes.
0.0	Q But that was after you had testified yesterday in
21	the case, right?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And after you talked about the stop, including
24	identifying the photographs and the audiotape that we've

1	played today.
2	A Correct.
3	Q Has your testimony did talking to these
4	officers change your testimony in any way in the brief
5	testimony you have given today since
6	A No.
7	Q you previously testified?
8	MR. CHESNOFF: Your Honor
9	BY MR. STEGE:
L 0	Q Did you talk to the attorney with the long hair
11	over there, seated next to
12	MR. CHESNOFF: That's me.
13	THE COURT: Oh. I was looking. I didn't think
14	anybody had that long
L5	MR. CHESNOFF: I actually got it cut recently,
L6	Your Honor.
L7	THE COURT: You don't have a ponytail, do you?
L8	THE WITNESS: Prior to coming in today?
L9	BY MR. STEGE:
20	Q Prior to being cross-examined by him today.
21	A No.
22	MR. CHESNOFF: Your Honor, may I follow up?
23	THE COURT: Yes.
24	///

1	FURTHER VOLK DIRE EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. CHESNOFF:
3	Q You just acknowledged that you talked to these
4	other officers after your testimony yesterday, correct?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Do you remember Her Honor telling you yesterday
7	that you needed to stay around because you were still a
8	witness in the case?
9	A Yes.
10	Q So at the time you talked to these officers, it
11	had been after the judge had reminded you that you were
12	still a witness in this case, correct?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Okay. And the thing that you could pass
15	intelligence on about as it applies to motorcycle clubs
16	with other officers, one of the things related to the very
17	reason you were here, the stop involving our codefendant;
18	is that right?
19	A Yes.
20	Q So it's clear that's one of the most important
21	pieces of intelligence that you possess to help and aid
22	your fellow officers, correct?
23	A Yes.
24	MR. CHESNOFF: Nothing further, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Anyone have anything else? 1 2 Any other questions? Not from this --MR. DOGAN: 3 THE COURT: Sir, would you tell me what you were told about your responsibilities as a witness from the 5 district attorney. 6 7 THE WITNESS: I wasn't told anything about my responsibilities. I just knew my responsibilities, being 8 law enforcement, you know, not to discuss anything about 9 the testimony or anything like that. 10 But as far as sharing intel on, you know, if it 11 could help benefit officer safety, things like that, 12 because of the uprising numbers of attacks on law 13 enforcement, that's what I was talking about. Nothing 14 about what I had testified about yesterday. 15 16 THE COURT: So the district attorney's office, when they said, okay, you're going to testify in this 17 case, or when you came yesterday morning and they said, 18 well, sit out or sit wherever you were sitting, if you 19 were over in their office or out in the hall, they didn't 20 give you any instructions as to what it meant, that there 21 had been a rule of execution invoked in this case? 22

THE COURT: Any investigator didn't?

THE WITNESS: No.

23

```
THE WITNESS: No, I was just -- just assumed.
 1
     I'm guessing.
 2
              THE COURT: Okay. So as an officer, you knew you
 3
     really weren't supposed to talk about stuff too much.
 4
              THE WITNESS: Correct.
 5
              THE COURT: But you thought it was okay to talk
 6
     about intel.
 7
              THE WITNESS: Correct.
 8
              THE COURT: You thought the gentleman in the
 9
     courtroom with the orange lanyard was an officer; is that
10
     correct?
11
              THE WITNESS: Correct.
12
              THE COURT: And I told you, I guess, that he was
13
     a district attorney's investigator. Was he present when
14
     you were talking to the other officers?
15
              THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I don't remember
16
17
     because there was, you know, multiple bodies going in and
     out of the courtroom.
18
              THE COURT: Right. So this was over a period of
19
     time, these conversations took place?
20
              THE WITNESS: A short period of time, yes.
21
22
              THE COURT: Were you outside in the hallway?
     that where you were waiting?
23
              THE WITNESS: Yes.
24
```

```
THE COURT: How long did you have to wait out
1
     there?
 2
              THE WITNESS: About an hour.
 3
              THE COURT: Before you came in?
 4
              THE WITNESS: Before I came in, yes.
 5
              THE COURT: Were there other people waiting to
 6
     testify?
7
              THE WITNESS: Yes.
8
              THE COURT: Were they here this morning, too, the
 9
     other people?
10
              THE WITNESS: I believe one of them was.
11
              THE COURT: Okay. Which -- what did he look
12
13
     like?
              THE WITNESS: Kind of a taller gentleman with
14
     blonde hair.
15
              THE COURT: About how old?
16
              THE WITNESS: 30s.
17
              THE COURT: All right. And you didn't know where
18
     he was from?
19
              THE WITNESS: No.
20
              THE COURT: All right. Do my questions raise any
21
     issues for anyone that wants to ask any more questions?
22
23
              MR. STEGE:
                          No.
              THE COURT: Okay. Before we go on, we're going
24
```

```
to have to have another hearing. We love these hearings,
1
     so I'm going to ask you to step down and go outside.
 2
              THE WITNESS:
                            Okav.
 3
              THE COURT: The rule of execution in this state
 4
 5
     means that you cannot talk about your testimony with
     anyone but the lawyers and their staff, and no one else
 6
     can be present when you're talking about your testimony
 7
     and the information that you are testifying to.
 8
              You can't even talk about cross-examination.
 9
     in other words, somebody says, they said X, Y, or Z to me,
10
11
     or I think the defense is going for this, you can't talk
     about that with anyone else but the lawyers, their staff,
12
     and only if there's no one else present.
13
14
              THE WITNESS: Even if it's to share or gather
     intel?
15
              THE COURT:
                          Right.
16
              THE WITNESS: Okay.
17
              THE COURT: You can't do that. I understand that
18
     you did, but you didn't under -- you did do that, right?
19
              THE WITNESS: Correct.
20
              THE COURT: But you didn't know you weren't
21
22
     supposed to.
              THE WITNESS: Correct.
23
              THE COURT: Thank you. Would you step outside
24
```

```
for a few minutes.
1
              THE WITNESS: Yes. ma'am.
 2
              THE COURT: Thank you very much.
 3
                   (Witness exits the courtroom.)
 4
 5
              THE COURT: I guess my first question is:
     have any other witnesses outside sitting around?
 6
              And my first question would be: Does the State
 7
     agree that you did not notify the witnesses of their
 8
     responsibilities based on the rule of exclusion? Or did
 9
     this witness just not understand he was being told?
10
11
     mean, is he telling the truth, nobody told him?
              MR. STEGE: I don't think anyone has told him,
12
     Judge.
13
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. I think we should
14
     take a short recess. There is a case in Nevada on this,
15
     partially on this issue, and I want to read that case.
16
     It's been a long time since I had this kind of an issue
17
18
     come up to this extent.
              And I know the request is to exclude the
19
     witness's testimony. I'm not positive that's the right
20
     remedy, but I'm going to look it up.
21
              MR. CHESNOFF: Your Honor, do you remember the
22
     name of the case?
23
              MR. DOGAN: Can we get a copy?
24
```

THE COURT: I have to look it up. It was --1 2 Scott Freeman was the defense attorney. (Discussion off the record between 3 the clerk and the Court.) 5 THE COURT: Romo. Susan Romo. It's a civil That's the only one I know about. I'm going to 6 7 have to look and see if there's anything else, but it's the one I know about. 8 MR. CHESNOFF: Okay. And we'll do some checking ourselves, Your Honor. Thank you. 10 MS. PUSICH: And, Your Honor, during the break, 11 since we may have a hallway full of people who don't 12 13 realize they're not supposed to be talking, could they be 14 specifically instructed no more? 15 THE COURT: Well, yes. The only issue, the only concern I have is we don't have a record on what anybody 16 17 else thinks, knows, believes is appropriate. 18 If I order the State to notify them, you 19 certainly will have more difficulty making more of a 20 record if you want to make more of a record. MR. CHESNOFF: I think we should leave it at the 21 22 status quo and that we're going to need to inquire which 23 officers shared information, because I think that we're

going to find that we want to know how many officers have

1990

been tainted, Your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: And, you know, I just want to take a short recess so you can figure out what you're supposed to I'm sure you're on the phone right now to your appellate division, and that's fine. You all can do that.

We'll take a 15-minute recess.

(Recess taken 10:30 a.m. to 11:03 a.m.)

THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated.

We've all taken a little recess and we've been able to look, I assume, at the case law, what there is of the rule of exclusion issues.

And at this point, I'd like to ask -- I know the defense of Mr. Gonzalez has requested exclusion of this particular witness. I don't know how much further and I don't know what Mr. Chesnoff's request is at this point. So why don't we start there.

MR. CHESNOFF: Well, Your Honor, I think that we probably need to have a hearing with the other witnesses and find out -- and some of them probably are the Arizona and other places that may not still be here, but I think that -- I've read not only the case the Court cited but also some 9th Circuit law, and I think there needs to be a hearing so that the record is complete.

And then I think that there are various remedies,

including, you know, striking the witness, use of it on cross-examination at some point in time. I think there's a third one, which I asked permission --

THE COURT: I know.

MR. CHESNOFF: And also I believe it's kind of a burden shifting here, but there's a presumption at least under the 9th Circuit law of prejudice because the way the courts analyze it, it's very hard to get into the heads of the witnesses and know how it ultimately affects them, so there's a presumption of prejudice.

And it's for the State at the evidentiary hearing to establish the lack of prejudice, but there's one case that provided us with some possible -- hold the witness in contempt -- and I'm not suggesting that, Your Honor, because I truly believe that the officer is incredibly naïve or inexperienced.

Obviously it's -- the second option is that you cross-examine him concerning the violation, and the third is you exclude the witness, which obviously would be the sanction that we would be most interested in, but I think we have to hear from the other witnesses.

MR. DOGAN: Your Honor, I would join in that request.

THE COURT: Okay. So the officer that was on the

stand most recently, I think that we talked with him and 1 inquired of him as to what he did, what he talked about, 2 but we did not proceed with the portion of the hearing 3 that's contemplated under the case law as to whether or not he was influenced or what he heard from other people, 5 and then your argument that he was somehow influenced by б 7 that. So I think we have to -- we should start with the witness that we've already had, find out the other side of 9 the coin, and then move into the other witnesses. 10 11

Does the State have another proposal?

12

13

. 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. HALL: Well, Your Honor, first of all, I think this has been blown out of proportion significantly.

First of all, you know, all these witnesses have information regarding other act evidence that they were involved in, investigations. They really don't have anything to do with the substantive offense.

When the rule of exclusion was invoked, there wasn't even any witnesses in the courtroom. I was advised by my investigator that they did tell the witnesses that were out there that the rule of exclusion had been invoked.

And I really am at a loss to figure out how the witnesses that are going to testify, based upon the

information that we have set forth in our motion and the 1 information that they're going to provide regarding expert 2 testimony regarding whether or not the Vagos and Hell's 3 Angels are -- how is that going to be influenced? I mean, I really don't understand it at all. I 5 mean, if they had tried to coordinate their testimony, 6 that would be one thing. But they're all from different 7 parts of the country testifying about different facts and 8 circumstances that they are familiar with. So I really think we're wasting a lot of time on 10 11 an issue that is really not there. So -- we can bring them all in -- and I don't 12 13 14 15

know even know what the Court, other than saying that the rule of exclusion was invoked. I don't know that there was any other direction by the Court ordering us or informing the witnesses what the extent of the rule of exclusion was, that you can't talk to witnesses, you can't share information about what you're doing over there at this point in time. None of that,

THE COURT: Okay. I just have a couple of questions, Mr. Hall.

MR. HALL: Okay.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: One is, the defense has requested a hearing pursuant to what they perceive to be a violation

of the rule of exclusion. 1 MR. HALL: 2 Okav. THE COURT: Is it your position that the State 3 can ignore that request because you think it's a waste of 4 5 time? No. I don't have --MR. HALL: 6 THE COURT: Okay. So we have --7 MR. HALL: -- a problem with the hearing. 8 THE COURT: -- to do it. Okav. 9 MR. HALL: Yeah. 10 THE COURT: And the second question I have for 11 you is, do you believe it's the Court's responsibility to 12 notify the witnesses of the rule of exclusion, or is it 13 14 the parties' -- the attorneys' responsibility who is calling the witness? 15 MR. HALL: Well, I want to know what the record 16 is so that I know what my responsibility is. 17 18 Certainly, I think when we told seasoned officers -- or at least I'm informed that my investigators 19 who are seasoned investigators informed the witnesses that 20 the rule of exclusion had been invoked, that they would 21 know not to discuss, you know, the case or their 22 23 testimony. You know, but of course the rule of exclusion, 24

the whole purpose of the rule of exclusion is to prevent 1 witnesses from sitting in the courtroom, listening to the 2 testimony of a witness, and then sharing that with a 3 witness outside the courtroom. I don't know that we have 4 5 anv evidence of that. THE COURT: The purpose is that. 6 MR. HALL: Right. 7 But it is also not to disclose to THE COURT: 8 witnesses before they testify what the theory of the 9 defense is, what cross-examination is happening, so that 10 11 they can prepare for cross-examination and also create a record that is consistent with other witnesses. 12 13 MR. HALL: It precludes other witnesses from talking to other witnesses, right? 14THE COURT: Yes. 15 MR, HALL: Right. 16 THE COURT: It is 50.155. 17 18 MR. HALL: Right. THE COURT: And it is not the Court's practice to 19 take the responsibility to notify every witness that is 20 going to be called in a case of what the rule of exclusion 2.1

22

23

24

is. We would have had to have all those witnesses present

at the same time before the case ever started, and you'd

have to bring every witness in and then I could notify

them. I'd be glad to do that. 1 I think it would be a huge expense and, really, 2 not appropriate for the Court to spend that time advising 3 all the witnesses. 4 MR. HALL: I understand. I just want to know 5 what was said at the beginning of the hearing regarding 6 7 the rule of exclusion. THE COURT: The defense lawyers requested that 8 the rule of exclusion be invoked, and I granted it. 9 MR. HALL: That's it. 10 THE COURT: That's it. 11 MR. HALL: Okay. 12 MR. CHESNOFF: Your Honor, before the break, we 13 were told that the DAs didn't think they had told the 14 witnesses, but now we've been told that the witnesses were 15 instructed by somebody. 16 One, we should identify that person; but two, I 17 kind of take back what I said about the issue of contempt 18 19 because if these witnesses were warned that they shouldn't talk to each other and they did, that's -- that's a --20 magnifies the problem. 21 THE COURT: It is a different issue. 22 MR. CHESNOFF: Yes. 23 THE COURT: So let's bring our first -- everyone

is in agreement we have to have a hearing, so we'll bring our first witness back. I will allow you to inquire as to what he may have heard from other people, and we'll establish that, and maybe even more description of who he was talking to. And then we can also bring the State's investigator in, if he's the person who notified the

witnesses, and have him testify. We can do that, but I'd like to get the young officer who was on the stand, get him completely resolved first, and then we can continue to make a record.

We only had at the hearing before Mr. Stege's comment that he did not believe anyone had told the witnesses the rule of exclusion, and then Mr. Hall turned and looked at the investigator and asked, "Did anybody tell them?" And the investigator in the courtroom shook his head no. But that could be changed with the testimony.

Okay. Sir, please come forward. Go ahead and retake the stand. You're still under oath.

I think we're going to let Mr. Chesnoff start with the witness to make the record.

MR. CHESNOFF: Thank you, Your Honor.

///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	MATTHEW KIRBY,
2	recalled as a witness by the State,
3	having been previously duly sworn, was examined
4	and testified further as follows:
5	
6	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. CHESNOFF:
8	Q Officer, you described having had discussions
9	with other officers regarding intelligence matters; is
10	that right?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Okay. And how many officers, in total, did you
13	speak to?
14	A One in general. There was probably two other
15	present.
16	Q Earlier I think you said three or four. Do you
17	remember if that may be more accurate?
18	A Yeah.
19	Q Okay. And did you have an opportunity to talk to
20	a law enforcement gentlemen by the name of Jorge
21	Gil-Blanco?
22	A No.
23	Q Did you meet him?
24	A I know of him. I didn't see him here, no.

1 Okay. Can you describe the first person you Do you remember where he was from? 2 I don't remember where he was from, no. 3 Α Which cases did you talk about with that person? Q 4 Just mine. 5 Α Okay. So you shared with him your traffic stop. 6 Yes. 7 А And the ensuing activity that followed from that 8 traffic stop. 9 10 Only the items that I had seized. Okay. And what did he tell you about his --11 since you were exchanging intelligence, that was your part 12 of the exchange. What was his part of the exchange? 13 It was -- we were just basically talking about, 14 you know, what current events and stuff that have been 15 going on with the Hell's Angels and the Vagos. And that's 16 about it. It wasn't much. 17 Well, if the purpose was to gather intelligence 18 or to learn intelligence, you were trying to learn what 19 20 you could, right? That wasn't the sole purpose of our conversation. 21 A It was just casual conversation and exchanging information 22 at the same time, but --23

24

Okay. So in the course of the conversation, you

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW 13 2014 02:59 p.m.

Flectronically Filed Nov 13 2014 02:59 p.m. Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk of Supreme Court

ERNESTO MANUEL GONZALEZ,

CASE NO. 64249

Appellant.

v.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX, VOLUME VIII

APPEAL FROM JUDGMENT AFTER JURY TRIAL AND SENTENCING

Second Judicial District State of Nevada

THE HONORABLE CONNIE J. STEINHEIMER, PRESIDING

Richard F. Cornell, Esq. <u>Attorney for Appellant</u>
150 Ridge Street
Second Floor
Reno, NV 89501
775/329-1141 Washoe County District Attorney's Office Appellate Division <u>Attorney for Respondent</u> 1 Sierra St., 7th Floor Reno, NV 89501 775/337-5750

INDEX TO APPELLANT'S APPENDIX <u>ERNESTO MANUEL GONZALEZV. THE STATE OF NEVADA</u> No. 64249

NO	DESCRIPTION	DATE	PAGES
	VOLUME I		
1	Indictment	11-09-11	1-10
2	Information Supplementing Indictment	1-30-13	11-21
3	Corrected Information Supplementing Indictment	3-02-12	22-32
4	Withdrawal of Information Supplementing Indictment	2-01-13	33-34
5	Second Information Supplementing Indictment	2-20-13	35-45
6	Third Information Supplementing Indictment	7-10-13	46-55
7	Fourth Information Supplementing Indictment	7-22-13	56-64
8	Grand Jury Transcript, Vol. I	10-25-11	65-107
9	Grand Jury Transcript, Vol. II	11-03-11	108-125
10	Grand Jury Transcript, Vol. III	11-09-11	126-250
	VOLUME II		
	Cont.		251-401
11	Motion to Dismiss Indictment or in the Alternative Petition for Writ of <i>Habeas Corpus</i>	2-24-12	402-410
12	Opposition to Defendant Gonzalez Motion to Dismiss/Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	3-05-12	411-417

	•		
13	Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss Indictment or in the Alternative Petition for Writ of <i>Habeas Corpus</i>	4-06-12	418-429
14	Motion to Partially Join In Co- Defendant Cesar Villagrana's Writ of Habeas Corpus and Motion to Compel	5-29-12	430-433
15	Motion to Join to Balance of Co- Defendant Cesar Villagrana's Petition for Writ of <i>Habeas Corpus</i>	6-11-12	434-436
16	Opposition to Defendant Gonzalez' Motion to Partially Join in Co-Defendant Cesar Villagrana's Writ of <i>Habeas</i> Corpus and Motion to Compel	6-14-12	437-440
17	Reply to Opposition to Defendant's Motion Partially Join in Co-Defendant Cesar Villagrana's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus and Motion to Compel	6-14-12	441-445
18	Supplemental Points and Authorities in Support of Motion to Dismiss Indictment or in the Alternative Petition for Writ of <i>Habeas Corpus</i> and Motion to Reconsider Based Upon Newly Discovered Evidence	9-13-12	446-463
19	Opposition to Supplemental Points and Authorities (etc.)	9-19-12	464-472
20	Reply to State's Opposition to Defendant's Supplemental Points and Authorities and Motion to Reconsider	9-24-12	473-482
	VOLUME III		
21	Order	9-13-12	483-510
22	Second Motion to Dismiss	10-18-12	511-562
23	Opposition to Second Motion to Dismiss	10-12-12	563-567

24	Reply to State's Opposition to Defendant's Second Motion to Dismiss	10-19-12	568-573
25	Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Request for Clarification or Supplemental Order and Denying Second Motion to Dismiss	10-30-12	574-586
26	Order Denying Petition, No. 62392	1-31-13	587-589
27	Order After October 29, 2012 Hearing	10-30-12	590-592
28	Motion to Bifurcate Enhancement Evidence	11-26-12	593-599
29	Opposition to Motion to Bifurcate Enhancement Evidence	12-06-12	600-607
30	Motion to Admit Evidence of Other Crimes, Wrongs, or Acts	11-26-12	608-649
31	Supplement to Motion for Order Admitting Gang Enhancement Evidence and Testimony	11-26-12	650-660
32	Addendum to Motion for Order Admitting Gang Enhancement	11-26-12	661-750
	VOLUME IV		
	(Cont.)		751-1000
	VOLUME V		
	(Cont.)		1001-1250
	VOLUME VI		
	(Cont.)		1251-1402
33	Opposition to Request for Disclosure of Proposed Gang Enhancement Evidence and Witnesses	10-19-12	1403-1423

34	Opposition to State's Motion to Admit Evidence of Other Crimes, Wrongs or Acts, and Motion to Strike	12-11-12	1424-1436
35	Opposition to State's Motion for Order Admitting Gang Enhancement Evidence and Testimony	12-11-12	1437-1464
36	Opposition to State's Motion for Order Admitting Gang Enhancement Evidence and Testimony	12-18-12	1465-1431
37	Motion Requesting Leave of Court to Supplement Gang Enhancement Discovery	1-23-13	1472-1500
	VOLUME VII		
	(Cont.)		1501-1543
38	Opposition to State's Motion for Leave to Supplement Gang Enhancement Discovery (Lake County Incident)	1-28-13	1544-1548
39	Transcript of Proceedings Pre-Trial Motions	10-29-12	1547-1713
40	Transcript of Proceedings Evidentiary Hearing	1-08-13	1714-1750
	VOLUME VIII		
	(Cont.)		1751-1948
41	Transcript of Proceedings Evidentiary Hearing	1-09-13	1949-2000
	VOLUME IX		
	(Cont.)		2001-2214
42	Transcript of Proceedings Evidentiary Hearing	1-14-13	2215-2250

	(Cont.)		2251-2429
43	Transcript of Proceedings Evidentiary Hearing	1-15-13	2430-2500
	VOLUME XI		
	(Cont.)		2501-2553
44	Order Regarding the State's Motion for Order Admitting Gang Enhancement Testimony	5-20-13	2554-2561
45	Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part the State's Motion to Admit Evidence of Other Crimes, Wrongs or Acts	5-16-13	2562-2574
45A	Order Granting Motion to Join Select Legal Pleadings	4-29-13	2574A
46	Transcript of Proceedings Change of Plea (Villagrana)	7 - 22-13	2575-2592
47	Trial Transcript, Day Three Morning Session	7-24-13	2593-2726
48	Trial Transcript, Day Three Afternoon Session	7-24-13	2727-2747
	VOLUME XII		
	(Cont.)		2748-2818
49	Trial Transcript, Day Four Morning Session	7-25-13	2819-3004
	VOLUME XIII		
50	Trial Transcript, Day Four Afternoon Session	7-25-13	3005-3099
51	Trial Transcript, Day Five Morning Session	7-20-13	3100-3250
	VOLUME XIV		

	(Cont.)		3251-3261
52	Trial Transcript, Day Five Afternoon Session	7-29-13	3262-3366
53	Trial Transcript, Day Six, Morning Session	7-30-13	3367-3500
	VOLUME XV		
	(Cont.)		3501-3544
54	Trial Transcript, Day Six Afternoon Session	7-30-13	3545-3613
55	Trial Transcript, Day Six Examination of Donald Sandy	7-30-13	3614-3683
56	Trial Transcript, Day Seven Morning Session	7-31-13	3684-3750
	VOLUME XVI	 -	
	(Cont.)		3751-3842
57	Trial Transcript, Day Seven Afternoon Session	7-31-13	3843-4000
	VOLUME XVII		
	(Cont.)		4001-4018
58	Trial Transcript, Day Eight Morning Session	8-01-13	4019-4200
59	Trial Transcript, Day Eight Afternoon Session	8-01-13	4201-4250
	VOLUME XVIII		
	(Cont.)		4251-4294
60	Trial Transcript, Day Nine Morning Session	8-02-13	4295-4444
61	Trial Transcript, Day Nine Afternoon Session	8-02-13	4445-4500

.

.

	VOLUME XIX		
	(Cont.)		4501-4589
62	Trial Transcript, Day Ten Morning Session	8-05-13	4590-4730
63	Trial Transcript, Day Ten Afternoon Session	8-05-13	4731-4750
	VOLUME XX		
	(Cont.)		4751-4757
64	Trial Transcript, Day Eleven	8-06-13	4758-4811
65	Trial Transcript, Day Twelve	8-07-13	4812-4956
66	Jury Instructions		4957-5000
	VOLUME XXI		
	(Cont.)		5001-5011
67	Refused Instructions, - Defendant A-E	8-06-13	5012-5017
68	Jury Question #2, No Response	8-07-13	5018-5021
69	Verdicts	8-07-13	5022-5036
70	Stipulation to Waive Separate Penalty Hearing	8-07-13	5037
71	Motion for a New Trial	8-14-13	5038-5141
72	Motion to Strike Redundant Convictions	8-13-13	5142-5145
73	Motion to Compel Election Between Multiplicitous Murder Counts	8-06-13	5146-5149
74	Opposition to Motion for New Trial	8-22-13	5150-5159
75	Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Strike	8-22-13	5160-5180
76	Reply to Opposition to Motion for New Trial	8-27-13	5181-5250

	VOLUME XXII		
	(Cont.)		5251-5489
77	Reply to Opposition to Motion to Strike Redundant Convictions	9-13-13	5490-5494
	VOLUME XXIII		
78	Transcript of Proceedings - Sentencing	10-03-13	5495-5571
79	Judgment	10-03-13	5572-5574
80	Corrected Judgment	10-04-13	5575-5577
81	Notice of Appeal to the Supreme Court	10-15-13	5578-5580

*

- A I'm not aware of that.
- Q In this case, with respect to Mr. Gonzalez, were you given any investigative assignments or are you a background resource?
 - A Background resource.
- Q You would agree that not everything that a person who claims to be a member of the Vagos club does is in furtherance of the club, correct?
 - A Correct.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

23

- Q And you would agree that not everything that a person who claims to be a member of the Vagos club does is itself illegal, correct?
- A Correct.
 - Q In fact, membership is not illegal?
- A Correct.
- Q It's protected by the 1st Amendment among other things?
- 18 A Correct.
 - Q Before this case, have you been called on to render an expert opinion with respect to the Vagos Motorcycle Club in the State of Nevada?
- 22 A No.
 - Q During your original testimony back at the end of October, you indicated that you were asked to evaluate three Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

altercations that you believe were primarily between the Vagos and the Hells Angels, and you discussed Laughlin, the wedding chapel, and one other that wasn't specified. Are those, in fact, the altercations that you base your opinion on with respect to the Vagos here today?

A I'm sorry, which ones?

Q Okay. Laughlin, the Las Vegas Wedding Chapel, and one that wasn't actually named in your earlier testimony. You said there were three.

A No. Those -- those -- the first two you stated have nothing to do with the Vagos.

Q Okay.

- A So --
- Q So with respect to the Vagos, those incidents don't form the basis of your opinion here today?
- A Of the Vagos, no.
 - Q You indicated that during the course of your law enforcement employment that approximately eight years were devoted to investigation with respect to the Hells Angels, correct?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Approximately how much, if any, were devoted to investigation of the Vagos?
 - A During that time period, very -- very little during Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

that eight-year period.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

- Q During any other period, how much in terms of months or years of your career has been devoted to investigation as a member of law enforcement into the Vagos club?
 - A I'd say from 2001 on.
 - Q Until the present?
 - A To the present.
- Q And in some of the background information we've been provided with respect to training and education, some of your training and education is listed as consulting, correct?
- 11 A Correct.
 - Q And that's when you talk to people to get information or perhaps provide it to them, correct?
 - A When I say consulting, it's mostly that they call me to assist in either background investigation on the outlaw motorcycle gangs or respective members, things like that.
 - Q Okay. And you also listed some experience through what is characterized as observations, correct?
 - A Yes.
 - Q When you're watching people?
- 21 A Yes.
 - Q Did you also include references to contemporary fiction, such as television shows?
- A No.
 Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

1 I'm sorry, did you say that I use that -- that I use TV as --2 Q Are they listed among your references or background 3 and experience? 4 As having appeared on like Gangland or something 5 like that? Is that what you mean? 6 So you're listing that you appeared on them, not 7 Q that you used them as resources? 8 Α Correct. 9 What sorts of published documentation might you rely Q 10 on, or do you not rely on any? 11 It depends on what publication is out there from Α 12 13 other law enforcement agencies, reports, books that have been written maybe involving outlaw motorcycle gangs. 14 Can you give me some examples? For example, books Q 15 16 that you might rely on or refer to? Α Not only the book, not on the Vagos -- no, that was 17 on the Mongols. 18 Nothing I can think of on the Vagos specifically. 19 How about clubs in general? What types of books 20 would you rely on or consider authoritative? 21 Written by ex-members, people that have lived the 22 life. And again, take everything with a grain of salt, 23 everything that is said in there, too. 24

Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

Q Anything academic?

- A I'm not aware of any academic books that were out there. If they were out there, I would consider those, yes.

 But I'm not aware of any that have been published specifically by the Vagos.
- Q Are you aware of any that have been published regarding what you're characterizing as outlaw motorcycle gangs other than the Vagos?
 - A I'm not sure I follow.
- Q You said that you're not aware of any books published specifically with respect to the Vagos that you would consider academic authority. I'm asking, are you aware of any that relate to other groups or clubs that you would consider academic authority?
- A I can't think of any off the top of my head as far as academic that was written by a professor. I mean, there's some studies I read as far as from some that claim to be not necessarily members of Vagos, but other groups, smaller groups, that have -- that are professors now that teach and are published, like a thesis, things like that.
- Q Are you familiar with a book called *The Mammoth Book* of *Bikers*?
 - A No. I'm not familiar with that one.
 - Q So you have not read that? Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

A No.

Α

Q When you testified at the end of October, you described sort of a hierarchy that you were familiar with existing within the Hells Angels organizations, correct?

A Yes.

Q And that you believe that something similar was in existence with respect to other clubs that you might be less familiar with, correct?

A Yes.

Q Isn't it true that the clubs themselves are not one-size-fits-all, that many of them have different view points, different perspectives, they certainly have different officers, that sort of thing, correct?

A Are you talking about the entire organization as opposed to chapters? I'm not sure I follow the question.

Q Well, let's start there. In fact, the organizational structure for the Hells Angels is not the same as the organizational structure for the Vagos, correct?

A Correct.

Q And that's true on a national level?

A And international.

Q Okay. And also the individual chapters or charters may be different from one another in different clubs?

The structure is pretty much the same.

Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

How do you know? Q 1 Α From talking to members, former members. 2 Of which? Q 3 Of both Vagos and Hells Angels. Α 4 With respect to the Vagos, when was the most recent 5 time that you spoke to someone who claimed to be a member, a 6 former member? 7 Probably a couple of weeks ago. 8 Α And prior to September 2011, when was the most Q recent time you spoke to someone who was a member or former 10 member? 11 Prior to September 2011? Is that what you said? Α 12 Q Correct. 13 If not -- if not that same year, probably a couple 14 of weeks before that, within the year. 15 As an investigator and consultant, is it your common 16 17 practice to memorialize your interviews in writing? Α No, most of the time I'm not there since it's not my 18 I'm just there as an observer where I get to ask some 19 questions maybe. But I don't do the memorialization. 20 Would it be your expectations that the other Q 21 investigators involved would be keeping track of who they 22 spoke to and when and where? 23 I would imagine, yes. 24 Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

Q Is that something that you would have access to review and make sure that it got handled correctly or memorialized accurately?

A Yes, I would like to hopefully be able to see the end, the notes that were taken.

- Q In preparing your testimony here today, were you given an opportunity to review the notes of any of the investigations that form the basis of your opinions?
 - A From this case, yes.
- Q But you don't base your entire opinion of the Vagos club on the allegations in this case, do you?
 - A No.
- Q You actually report that you have prior experience in investigating the club, correct?
 - A Yes.
- Q And with respect to your former investigations, were you given the opportunity to review your notes from earlier cases or earlier interviews?
- A I don't recall. I know I read some of the other cases. As far as the notes and debriefings themselves, no.
- Q In your experience, you said that you spoke to someone as recently as a couple of weeks ago and you believe that there was also conversation with members or former members shortly before September 2011, correct?

Α Yes. 1 2 Q Who did you speak to? That was an individual that had infiltrated the Α 3 Vagos as a member. He's actually another source. 4 Let me stop you for a minute. Where did the person 5 infiltrate? 6 He was a member. I can't remember which specific Α 7 charter, but he was in Southern California. 8 Approximately when? Q I don't recall the exact year, but it's within the 10 Α last two to three years. 11 And that's not someone that you're going to 12 Q identify? 13 Α I don't have his full name. I just -- I just 14 know -- I have a number to call him and talk to him. 15 Would you if you could? Q 16 17 Α I'm sorry? Would you tell us here if you did? Q 18 In this particular case, yes, because he's actually Α 19 coming out with a book that he's coming out with. It's not 20 like it's a secret source. 21 Q What about the others that form the basis of your 22 23 opinion?

Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

Α

24

The others?

Q You've talked to more than one person, correct? 1 As far as the Vagos? А Q Right. 3 Yes. And I would give up his name, except I don't Α 4 have -- I can't remember his name. I don't have his real full 5 name. I was given an opportunity to speak to him at one of 6 our international outlaw motorcycle club conferences that he 7 came in as a speaker, and he was another individual that 8 worked as an agent for the police and became a Vagos member. 9 Q If you need to reach him again, how would you do 10 that? 11 The one I could reach no problem by giving him a 12 phone call. The other one I would have to go through 13 another -- to the -- in order who the handler is. 14 Q But you're not refusing to disclose? 15 16 Α No. MS. PUSICH: Then, Your Honor, I would ask when we 17 have a break if he could get the information to contact them 18 19 to us, we would appreciate that. THE COURT: Okay. 20 MS. PUSICH: Thank you. 21 BY MS. PUSICH: 22 Sir, comparatively, your experience in investigating Q 23 the Vagos and your experience in investigating the Hells 24

Angels is not equal, correct? 1 Α Correct. You spent a great deal more time looking into the Q 3 Hells Angels than you have into the Vagos? 5 Α Correct. Q In your earlier testimony, you were providing 6 information regarding alleged gang rivalries and you 7 8 described, I believe, three incidents relating to Merced, California, correct? 9 I can't recall specifically. You'd have to refresh 10 my memory. 11 12 Q With respect to Merced, California, the investigations involved no Vagos, correct? 13 Α I don't believe so, no. I believe that was between 14 Mongols and Hells Angels. 15 During your earlier testimony, you were asked by the 16 Q State regarding alleged rivalries during the Laughlin incident 17 at the River Run; do you recall that? 18 19 Α Not specifically, but I'm sure some of those questions came up. 20 Are you familiar with that incident? Q 21 With the Laughlin River Run, the 2002 shootout? 22 Α Correct. 23 Q

Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

Α

24

Yes.

Q And isn't it true that the primary combatants in 1 2 that appear to be both Hells Angels and Mongols? Α That's correct. 3 Q Not Vagos? Α Correct. 5 You also testified in participating in something Q 6 called Operation Simple Green, correct? 7 8 Α That's correct. Which was in part the execution of several search 9 Q warrants at certain locations to target people allegedly with 10 11 Vagos, correct? I don't think it was just targeting certain areas, Α 12 it was actually, I believe, encompassing wire taps on members 13 of Vagos and their activities, and again, the final takedown. 14 Q That occurred primarily or exclusively in the state 15 of California? 16 No, it took part in other states also. I can't Α 17 recall what other states, but I know it was outside of 18 California also. 19 Ð Was Nevada included? 20 I can't remember, Α 21 And approximately when did that occur? 22 Q I believe the takedown occurred in October of 2011. 23 Α Other than your conversations with people who are 24 Q Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

either members or claim to be former members of Vagos, do you base your opinion with respect to the club allegedly being a gang on any studies?

A Depends what you mean by studies. The research that

- A Depends what you mean by studies. The research that I've done involving the cases where they've been convicted of especially legal gang enhancements in the state of California.
 - Q So you're starting at the conclusion?
 - A I'm not sure I follow you.

- Q If you narrow your focus to situations in which the conclusion has already been reached, wouldn't you agree that your sample pool is too small?
 - A It depends on what we are looking at.
- Q Well, for example, I'm going to ask you to think about a hypothetical situation. If you responded to a series of automobile accidents, and you found that in those accidents, everyone who had been involved in driving had been under the influence, would it then be appropriate to conclude that every time there's an automobile accident, the driver must have been drunk?
- A Involving all those vehicles and everybody was found to be --
- Q No. In the world in general. If your focus was, "I'm going to respond to those accidents," and in those accidents, the circumstance happen to be that the driver is Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

drunk, would it be accurate to then extrapolate your finding and say, "Therefore, in all automobile accidents, the driver must have been drunk"?

A No.

Q So if you focus too narrowly on the conclusion, you may not reach an accurate result?

A Again, depends on what we are looking at. If you ask me about outlaw motorcycle club gangs, you talk about the history, you talk about specifically the Vagos, you talk about the type of crimes that members have been involved in, then no other conclusion, at least in my opinion, could be reached as far as one the primary activities of gangs.

Q Okay. But what you just said is that your research is based on going to the cases in which a conviction has been obtained with an enhancement. But that ignores all the cases in which there was no enhancement alleged or no enhancement found.

A I read other reports where no gang enhancement was reached, also.

Q So if your research is focusing just on, "Here is a conviction with this enhancement," your focus is too narrow, correct?

A If that's all I based it on, yes. But that's not all I base it on.

Q Okay. And you said you have had conversations with 1 two people that you will let us know who they were or how to 2 contact them, correct? 3 Α Correct. And would you agree that two is a very small number 5 Q of the people who are associated with or members of the Vagos 6 Motorcycle Club? 7 That's all I have to base it on, then yes. Q Okav. Who else? 9 Oh, I forgot Agent Kozlowski, Darrin Kozlowski who 10 also infiltrated the Vagos as a prospect. 11 Q Let me stop you for a moment. How long ago and 12 13 where? Α That was in southern California. That was -- I 14 couldn't tell you years. It was either late '90s, early -- I 15 think close, yeah. I couldn't tell you exactly when it was. 16 Q So at least ten years ago? 17 Oh, over ten years ago. Α 18 19 Q So now we have three? Α Uh-huh. 20 Still very small compared to the combined total 21

number of people who may be members, correct?

Correct, absolutely,

22

23

24

Α

You said that you were not relying on any academic,

published-type information, correct? $A \qquad \hbox{That I'm aware of in that regard, yes.}$

Q So other than your three interviewees or the information from the infiltrating agent and two, and your review of conviction-type documents or participation in cases that result in convictions, what other resources do you rely on in reaching your conclusions?

A In speaking with investigators that have investigated the Vagos outlaw motorcycle gang.

Q And the investigators are relying on, in part, interviews with other witnesses, correct?

A With witnesses, suspects, just investigating the crime itself.

Q But not people that you personally interviewed in those cases?

A Not people that I personally interviewed, no.

Q In preparing your testimony for this case, have you relied on any records or other documents that have not been provided to us?

A I don't know what's been provided to you, so I couldn't say that.

Q You have presented information at law enforcement trainings, correct?

A Correct.
Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

- Q Have you ever presented information that was subject to questioning or attack, for lack of better discussions?
 - A I don't think I understand your question.
- Q Have any of your conclusions or publications been subject to a review to discuss your methodology?
 - A No. I don't have any publications.
- Q Okay. But you do have information that's been provided to others in training settings, correct?
 - A That's correct.
- Q And none of that has been subject to academic verification or attack?
- A Well, it depends on what you mean by academic. Is there a professor that has reviewed my work? I'm not sure I understand what you mean.
- Q That would be a good start. Has there been a professor that's reviewed your work?
 - A No.
- Q Outside the context of other people in law enforcement, have there been people who reviewed your work?
- A Not necessarily reviewed my work, but I just recently attended a two-day symposium for experts on specifically Hells Angels experts in Canada where an academic or professor from one of the universities in Nova Scotia was in attendance, and based on the conversations I had with him Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

and based on the conversation that we all had with him, by the time he left the course --

MR. SCHONFELD: Your Honor, I'm going to object based on hearsay. I know the Court denied our motion to sever, but this is spilling over to our client and not questions I would ask or would ask --

THE COURT: Okay. I'm -- this is an expert.

Hearsay evidence he relies upon is admissible; therefore, I'm going to overrule your objection.

Ms. Pusich, I think the question was asked.
Were you through with your answer?

THE WITNESS: Almost, Just that the professor that was there, an expert on organized crime who originally stated that the Hells Angels were not -- he didn't consider them an organized crime group. By the end of this seminar, he was convinced otherwise.

BY MS. PUSICH:

Q What qualifications did you have to have to attend?

A To be an investigator, specifically Hells Angels, to be provided expert testimony, be providing expert testimony specifically on Hells Angels. This included all the experts across Canada and myself from the U.S.

Q Was there any sort of a test or anything that you were required to go through to be allowed to attend?

Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

1	А	No.
2		MS. PUSICH: Court's indulgence, Your Honor.
3		Thank you, Your Honor.
4	BY MS, PUSICH:	
5	Q	Sir, with respect to the sources that you said you
6	have inte	rviewed, have any of those been specifically with
7	respect to your anticipated testimony in this case?	
8	А	Was it specifically
9	Q	Related to this case?
10	A	No.
11	Q	So you've spoken to no one as the basis of your
12	opinion here that was specifically tied to this case?	
13	А	Correct.
14	Q	You indicated that one of the people that you would
15	provide contact information regarding had achieved the	
16	prospect level in the Vagos, correct?	
17	А	That was ATF Agent Darrin Kozlowski.
18	Q	So Agent Kozlowski would have had access to the
19	information of a club prospect, correct?	
20	А	Actually, would he know what it would take to become
21	a prospect?	
22	Q	Right.
23	Α	Yeah. He became a prospect, so I would assume he
24	would know what it would take.	

Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

0 But prospects themselves are limited in their access 1 2 to the organization, correct? Α Correct. 3 So he doesn't have a tremendous amount of Q 4 information with respect to a higher or deeper level of 5 involvement in the club, correct? 6 7 Α As of that time. Now I don't know what information he's gained since then as far as the Vagos. I couldn't tell 8 you that. 9 Q But as a result of his infiltrate to the level of 10 being a prospect, that would be the extent of his knowledge at 11 that time, correct? 12 I couldn't tell you that. You would have to ask 13 Α 14 him. Q But you spoke to him in preparation for your 15 testimony here? 16 Α No, I did not. 17 Q I'm sorry. You spoke to him as the basis of part of 18 19 the opinion you're expressing here? I spoke to him, yeah. I was going to use that as 20 the basis for my opinion as to what type of activities they 21 22 are involved in. Are there any confidential sources that form the Q 23 basis of your opinion here today that you decline to disclose

Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

1 with respect to the Vagos? With respect to the Vagos, no. Q So we are talking about the three that you've 3 discussed, correct? 4 Α Correct. 5 MS. PUSICH: Thank you, Your Honor. 6 7 MR. SCHONFELD: Your Honor, I just want to know the name of this professor in Canada, if I can find that out. 8 THE WITNESS: I can get you the name. I don't have 9 it off the top of my head. 10 MR. SCHONFELD: If you can give it to Mr. Hall and 11 Mr. Stege, they can provide it to me. Thank you. 12 13 THE COURT: Redirect? 14 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. HALL: 16 Q Mr. Gil-Blanco you have rendered an opinion that the 17 Hells Angels are an outlaw motorcycle gang; is that correct? 18 19 Α I believe I did. All right. And you testified that during the course Q 20 of your career, you've had the opportunity to review -- well, 21 what have you had the opportunity to review which has allowed 22 you to render or enabled you to render an opinion regarding 23 the Hells Angels being an outlaw motorcycle gang? 24

A Reviewing west coast office minutes, east coast office minutes, reports of investigations involving Hells Angels, speaking with investigators that have investigated or actively investigating or have investigated Hells Angels' activities, my investigations of the Hells Angels while assigned as a police officer for the City of San Jose, reviewing affidavits from other investigators, and speaking to and debriefing former members.

Q All right. How many cases involving the Hells Angels criminal cases have you been involved in?

MR. SCHONFELD: Your Honor, this is asked and

THE COURT: I hope not.

answered. We are going to be here for three days.

MR. SCHONFELD: I can read the portion the transcript where he answered this question. He testified 29 times.

THE COURT: Okay. Why don't you read it. Go ahead, because I don't have a transcript in front of me.

Do you agree he testified to that? All right.

MR. HALL: Sounds good to me.

BY MR. HALL:

- Q How many criminal cases involving the Hells Angels have you reviewed?
 - A Over a hundred.

 Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

б

 Q How many times have the Hells Angels been deemed an outlaw criminal gang or a member of the Hells Angels have been found guilty of acting in furtherance at the direction of or in association with the Hells Angels as an outlaw motorcycle gang? In other words, the gang enhancement, how many times has that been proven in California?

A Three cases that I'm aware of: The Kings County case where several members were found guilty of the gang enhancement, the recent one in Riverside County, the president of the Orange County charter was found guilty by a jury of being an active participant in a criminal street gang along with criminal threats, and also in San Diego County, a member was found guilty of assault with a deadly weapon with a gang enhancement.

Q Were you privy to the guilty plea memorandum issued in the federal cases regarding the convictions out of Laughlin?

A I've seen them. I'm not totally involved in the wording on it.

Q Do those threats predicate or the defendants admit they were members of an outlaw criminal gang?

A I don't know, I don't know.

Q You indicate that you had talked to a number of Hells Angels; is that right?

A Yes.

Q Now, there were -- let's call them witnesses 6 through 10. Were they percipient witnesses to the case that we're trying right now?

A No.

Q Okay. Did they have any information regarding this case?

A Not that I'm aware of.

Q The shooting at the Nugget, any information -- did you derive any information from any of those witnesses about this case?

A No.

Q Now, one of the Hells Angels that you spoke to was Mr. Pettigrew; is that right?

A I spoke to Mr. Pettigrew, but not debriefed him, no.

Q So you talked to him, right?

A Yes.

Q And did you talk to him about any Hells Angels business?

A I tried to, but he wouldn't talk about it.

Q Okay. And he's -- he was the president of the San Jose chapter, right?

A Not when I spoke to him. When I spoke to him he was a member.

Q Is he a member of Hells Angels? 1 Α Yes. 2 Q Is he associated with the defendant, Mr. Villagrana? 3 Α Yes. So is it your opinion Mr. Villagrana is a member of the Hells Angels? 6 Α Yes. 7 And were you aware of any criminal activity that Q 8 9 Mr. Pettigrew was involved in? Α Yes. 10 All right. What kind of criminal activity was he Q 11 12 involved in? MR. SCHONFELD: Objection. Beyond the scope. 13 MR. HALL: He talked about criminal activity. 14 wants to say he's not involved in criminal activity. That's 15 what we are talking about. 16 THE COURT: No. I'll sustain the objection. 17 It's 18 beyond the scope of cross. MR, HALL: Okay, 19 BY MR, HALL: 20 21 Now, with respect to the Hells Angels and criminal activity, you were a police officer for a number of years; is 22 that right? 23

Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

Yes, sir,

Α

All right. Now everybody who is involved in 1 Q criminal activity, do they kind of advertise the fact that 2 they are involved in criminal activity? 3 Α No. 4 All right. Now a lot of people that are involved in 5 Q crimes have jobs; is that right? 6 7 Α Yes. All right. And people who embezzle for example, 8 Q they wouldn't tell anybody. Aren't most crimes secret by 9 nature? 10 Α Yes. 11 Q All right. And one of the ways that you would 12 13 conceal criminal activity is by having a job; is that right? 14 Α That could be one way, yes. And based on your training and experience and the 15 information that you've gathered over your years, would it be 16 fair to say that the Hells Angels have tried to or gotten 17 smarter in trying to conceal any criminal activity that they 18 19 may be involved in? MR. SCHONFELD: Your Honor, I'm going to object. 20 Beyond the scope. Lack of foundation. Speculation. 21 22 THE COURT: And leading. MR. SCHONFELD: And leading. 23

I'm going to sustain certainly on the

Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

THE COURT:

leading grounds. You're leading the witnesses. 1 MR. HALL: All right. 2 BY MR. HALL: 3 In your opinion, have the Hells Angels become more sophisticated with respect to concealing any criminal activity 5 that they may be involved in? 6 MR. SCHONFELD: Still leading, Your Honor. Requires 7 a yes or no. 8 THE COURT: Sustained. 9 BY MR. HALL: 10 Q Can you render an opinion regarding Hells Angels and 11 12 criminal activity and whether they wanted to conceal their 13 criminal activity? Α Yes. 14 Q All right. And what is your opinion? 15 That they are involved in criminal activity. Α 16 All right. Now to become a member of Hells Angels, Q 17 do you know whether or not that member has to have some value 18 19 to the gang? MR. SCHONFELD: Your Honor, it's still leading. 20 THE COURT: You are leading questions. Sustained. 21 It requires a yes or no answer. 22 BY MR. HALL: 23 What is required to become a member of the Hells Q 24 Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

Angels by virtue of a person's value to the gang?

A It can be anything. Depending on what the individual has to bring to the table such as involvement in drug dealing. Does he have a distribution network? Does he have access to drugs? Does he have access to firearms, explosives? What kind of training, background does he have such as, excuse me, military training is very -- is looked at also. Especially, we are getting a lot more people back from the war in Afghanistan and Iraq that are -- have military training. We see more individuals being recruited with military backgrounds into the Hells Angels.

- Q All right. Well, if you had -- if a person was an attorney and they could defend Hells Angels, would that be a value to the club?
 - A That could be a value to the club, yes.
- Q If a person had access to DMV records so they could track people down, would that be a value to the club in your opinion?
- MR. SCHONFELD: Your Honor, this is still leading. Objection. Leading.
- THE COURT: It is. I'm not sure what the relevance of the DMV record, even if we get there, how that refutes any of the cross-examination, and that's what your purpose is now, right? On redirect?

 MR. HALL: Right. Well, on cross-examination, is everybody -- every Hells Angel involved in a crime? And my point here is that, you know, as long as you have some value to the club, you don't necessarily have to be involved in trafficking drugs, or beating people up, or murdering people. There's other value that a Hells Angel member can have to the club which isn't necessarily -- so I'm trying to develop that line of question. That's where I'm going.

THE COURT: Okay. And I guess there's some point to the DMV issue? You're not just speculating out there? This witness has something to say about that or not? I mean, we know of attorneys that are members of Hells Angels, so I understood he made the comment about professionals. Okay.

MR. HALL: It's more of a hypothetical question, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Then ask it as a hypothetical.

BY MR. HALL:

Q Hypothetically speaking with respect to potential members of the Hells Angels having value to the gang, if they could, for example, access DMV records, or police records, or monitor police communications, or access ATF records for example, would that be a benefit to the club?

A Yes, it would be.

MR. SCHONFELD: Your Honor, I'm going to -- Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

MR. HALL: With respect to --

MR. SCHONFELD: If I may make a record.

3

I object to the hypotheticals as to what potential members could access such as ATF. I think it's improper.

4 THE COURT: I might sustain the objection if the 5

6

jury were here. But it's not going to inflame me. We are

7

really talking about now whether or not this witness is

credible, is able to testify. And so we may deal with things

9

differently with a jury.

10

MR. SCHONFELD: Okay.

11

THE COURT: So overruled.

12

BY MR. HALL:

13

14

Q So are you aware of other experts who have testified with respect to the Hells Angels being an outlaw motorcycle

15

gang?

16

Yes. Α

17

18

Q All right. And are you aware of what information other experts would rely upon to render an opinion as to

19

whether or not the Hells Angels are an outlaw motorcycle gang?

20

Α Yes.

21 22

And what information would other experts who have testified that the Hells Angels are an outlaw motorcycle gang

23

rely on?

24

Same information I relied on to make an opinion or Α Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

- give an opinion. All the investigations involving outlaw
 motorcycle gangs, specifically Hells Angels, the review of
 reports, interviews with prior members or current members,
 infiltrations that have been conducted on outlaw motorcycle
 gangs, speaking to the individuals that have infiltrated those
 organizations.
 - Q So is there any treatise, learned treatise, or any kind of a book which outlines what you have to consider in order to define the Hells Angels or the Vagos as an outlaw motorcycle gang?
 - A Not that I'm aware of, no.
 - Q Now, Sandy Barger has written a book. Are you familiar with Sandy Barger?
- 14 A Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

- Q Who is he?
- A He is what I would call the Godfather of the Hells

 Angels, He's the one that brought them together and made them what they are today.
- 19 Q Have you read his book?
 - A Yes, I have,
- Q Are there other Hells Angels that have written books?
- A Yes.
- Q Have you read their books?

 Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	And did they assist you in forming an opinion
3	whether o	r not the Hells Angels are an outlaw motorcycle gang?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	Sandy Barger committed a number of crimes; is that
6	right?	
7		MR. SCHONFELD: Your Honor, I'm going to object.
8	Beyond the scope.	
9		THE COURT: Overruled.
10		THE WITNESS: Yes, he did.
11	BY MR. HALL:	
12	Q	Went to prison for drug trafficking as well as
13	conspiring to bomb a rival gang member; is that right?	
14	Α	The Outlaws in Janesville, Ohio, yes.
15	Q	Now, speaking of rival gangs, are you familiar with
16	the rivalry between the Hells Angels and the Vagos?	
17	Α	Yes, I am.
18	Q	All right. And have you reviewed any case files
19	with resp	ect to the rivalry which exist between the two outlaw
20	motorcycle gangs?	
21	Α	Yes.
22	Q	Are you familiar with the case involving the brawl
23	at the sw	ap meet down in southern California in Costa Mesa in

Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

2001?

Α

Yes, Yes, I am.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

Q All right. And was that -- can you tell me what you know about that?

MR. SCHONFELD: Your Honor, I'm going to object. Beyond the scope. The incidents that I asked this witness about on cross-examination were the ones that were raised on direct. I don't believe this has been in any portion of his testimony up until this point of time, so it would be beyond the scope of the cross. And I know the Court made it abundantly clear that when the State rested on their direct, that this would not be an opportunity to broaden the scope of that.

MS. PUSICH: Your Honor, we join in that objection.

I did say I wanted to end his direct and THE COURT: I didn't want to recess in October and come back in January and hear a whole bunch more of new incidents and new support for his testimony.

What is the basis of raising this particular issue now?

MR. HALL: Well, Your Honor, the basis of raising this now is all of this information is contained in my motion, and all that discovery has been provided to the defense. And on cross-examination, the defense asked him, you know, they tried to impugn his integrity and ability to testify regarding Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

his opinion and limit his opinion to what they brought forth
on cross-examination. But his opinion isn't limited to the
information they brought up on cross-examination.

With respect to their motion to limit my ability to rehabilitate my witness, I would ask the Court for a little leeway. If you recall, the information that Jorge Gil-Blanco provided, had been provided to the defense well before that motion. He testified before the grand jury. They had their PowerPoint. They had the benefit of almost all of the discovery that he is relying on. And in addition, the reason that we are going forward --

THE COURT: I just don't remember, was this Costa

Mesa brawl of 2001 discussed by the witness in his -- in his
testimony at the grand jury?

MR. HALL: No.

THE COURT: Was it discussed in anything prior to the supplementations that happened recently?

MR. HALL: What do you mean discussed?

THE COURT: I mean, did you raise it in a motion?
When did you discuss it first?

MR. HALL: In my motion.

THE COURT: Filed? I mean, I have a motion to supplement and the addendum.

MR. HALL: I think it was filed after the 29th of Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

October.

THE COURT: Of October.

And the purpose of this is to indicate there is other information that your witness relied upon in making his opinion?

MR. HALL: Right.

THE COURT: And you disclosed that to the defense at least sometime before today?

MR. HALL: Yes.

THE COURT: I did say I didn't want to go over a lot of extra, but this does go to rehabilitate him with regard to the foundation of opinion which was the cross-examination of -- tenor of the cross-examination by both Mr. Villagrana and Mr. Gonzalez that he did not have sufficient information to render an opinion.

MR. SCHONFELD: Your Honor, just so the record is clear, this is in the form of an opinion really to the State of Nevada for not having provided us with discovery prior to the October 29th hearing. The Court advised it would not require us to perform our cross-examination without being adequately prepared and having adequate notice.

THE COURT: Let me hear what he says. I do remember that. So I'm not completely immune to your concerns.

MR. HALL: Well, it's interesting, Your Honor. I Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

was penalized because I tried to consider getting this 1 evidence before the Court and expediting this matter so that 2 we could get this thing going so it didn't drag on into this 3 4 year. So as opposed to continuing it out, and if you recall, I was hit with a number of motions just weeks before which 5 hindered my ability to respond or to file my motion. 6 acting in good faith and giving them all the information 7 before we get to trial, and I'm the one that's getting 8 penalized. So I'm really at a loss trying to figure out why 9 I'm being penalized when I'm the one doing all the work in 10 trying to move this along. 11 THE COURT: I don't think the Court sanctioned you. 12

THE COURT: I don't think the Court sanctioned you. Were you sanctioned, Mr. Hall?

MR. HALL: There was mention of penalty by the defense.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: That's what the defense called it.

MR. HALL: I just say if there is a limitation of it, I would ask for some consideration based upon my good faith.

THE COURT: As I said, I'll let you inquire and we'll see how far I'm going to let this go and how it relates to their cross-examination.

MR. HALL: Well, and I would also indicate now that I'm looking at my exhibit that was admitted at the grand jury, Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

and I can just probably rephrase my question. 1 Counsel, you're familiar with the Exhibit J. 2 correct? All right. 3 THE COURT: So let the record reflect you're 4 approaching the witness with Exhibit J from the grand jury? 5 MR. HALL: Yes. Would you like me to ask your 6 7 permission? THE COURT: No. I want to make sure we know what's 8 shown him. 9 BY MR. HALL: 10 Q I'm showing you what's been marked for 11 identification Exhibit J, it's the last couple pages. It's 12 entitled HA versus Vagos violent incidents. 13 Sir, would you please review that document? 14 Α Yes. 15 All right. Now are there a number of violent Q 16 incidences between the Hells Angels and Vagos that we've 17 documented in that exhibit? 18 Α Yes, sír. 19 Q Would you walk us through those, please? 20 The first one was the Orange County incident that 21 you're referring to which I did include in the PowerPoint. 22



It's the Hells Angels, and Mongols, and Vagos involved in a

Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

fight at swap meet. Several injuries resulting using gas

23

tanks, shock absorbers, and handlebars at the swap meet.

- Q Let's stop right there. Now that criminal activity would include crimes that would be punishable as a felony; is that right?
 - A Yes. Assault with a Deadly Weapon.
- Q Assault with a Deadly Weapon, Assault with a Deadly Weapon, that type of thing?
 - A Yes, sir.

- Q All right. What's your next crime?
- A Next one was Bullhead City, Arizona. That's the Hells Angels and Desert Road Riders assaulted a -- actually the Hells Angels assaulted a Vago at a bar in Bullhead City. That was June 19th of 2009.
 - Q All right. And what's the next one?
- A Santa Cruz, California. It's where the Hells Angels assaulted Vagos over them establishing a new chapter in Santa Cruz. That was January 27th, 2010.
 - Q All right. Next one?
- A Oildale, California. Hells Angel stabs, kills a Vagos prospect, stabs a full-patch Vago. That was May 30th, 2010.
- Number five. Yavapai County, Arizona. Hells Angels ambush Vagos as they drive by the clubhouse. 50 shots exchanged. Four injured on both sides. Five Hells Angels Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

charged as primary aggressors. That was August 21st, 2010.

Number six, Lake County, California. Sonoma Hells Angels associate saw some piece Vagos member in Lakeport, California, April 16th, 2011.

And number 7, Lake County, California, Sonoma Hells Angels members assault and beat a Vagos member in the Konocti Vista Casino. That was June 4th, 2011.

- Q Now, were those incidences documented by police reports?
 - A Yes, they were.
- Q All right. Did they involve crimes that could be punished as felonies?
 - A Yes.

- Q And they were committed by Hells Angels?
- A Yes.
- 16 | Q All right. And --

MR. SCHONFELD: Your Honor, I'm just going to make a record that we established on cross-examination that a number of the bases upon which this witness forms his opinion, the question was could these be charged as felony conduct. For example, the Yavapai County where the exhibit says 50 shots exchanged, four injured, five Hells Angels charged as primary aggressors. That was a case that was dismissed.

THE COURT: So what is the record you're making? Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

cumulative and irrelevant to this point, Your Honor. going to talk about cases where people are acquitted or dismissed. THE COURT: I'm going to overrule your objection. It's not irrelevant for an expert to consider the conduct irrespective of what a jury result is. The jury result goes to the weight of that information and what maybe an expert should consider, but it does not go to its exclusion. going to overrule your objection if that's the basis. MR. HALL: Thank you. And to clarify the record, it wasn't dismissed by a jury. It was dismissed pursuant to a discovery issue. THE COURT: Whatever. MR. HALL: Whatever. MR. CHESNOFF: I know this is Mr. Schonfeld's witness, but this is on a legal point, Your Honor. THE COURT: No. One person. MR. CHESNOFF: I'm going to share it with him. THE COURT: Sure. MR. SCHONFELD: Your Honor, the distinction is that you as the judge presiding over this hearing will make the ultimate decision about whether or not this witness can

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. SCHONFELD: It's inaccurate testimony.

It's

If he's

testify as an expert. As a result of that, the Court needs to

- know when there are cases that are dismissed or they're 1 2 acquittals, that they were in fact acquittals or dismissals so the Court can give it whatever weight is appropriate in making 3 the determination as to whether or not this witness has an 4 appropriate basis with which he would be admitted as an expert 5 and render the opinions he's attempting to render. 6
 - THE COURT: Absolutely, It goes to the weight of the expert's opinion and whether or not he is an expert, but it doesn't go to specifically its exclusion.

BY MR. HALL: 10

7

8

9

11

16

17

18

19

20

- Q David La Brava?
- Α Yes. 12
- Who is he? Q 13
- .14 Α He is a full-patch member of the Hells Angels, the Oakland charter. 15
 - Q All right. And does he have some legitimate business?
 - He was a consultant originally and also an actor for Α the show.
 - Q Sons of Anarchy?
- Α Sons of Anarchy. Thank you. 21
- Q Has he been involved in criminal activity? 22
- 23 Α Yes.
 - And what type of criminal activity has he been Q Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

involved in?

B

A He was arrested during one of the USA runs. I can't recall what state, what city it was in. I can get the information, but he was arrested for a sexual assault and possession of controlled substances.

Q Now, with respect to, you know, there was a question that a lot of Hells Angels members have legitimate jobs and family; is that right?

A Yes, sir.

Q All right. Does Mr. Villagrana have a family or a job?

A I don't know what his status is exactly at this point, sir.

Q Now, you were asked regarding the Vagos, you were given a hypothetical, so I would like to give you a hypothetical. If you had a situation given the information that you have in Exhibit J regarding the prior incidences of violence between the Vagos and the Hells Angels, would that indicate to you that there is a rivalry?

A Yes.

Q All right. And has the rivalry been documented through Operation Simple Green for example?

A Yes.

Q And has it been documented through other courses? Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

A Yes.

Q All right. And you testified earlier that there's -- you have information that incidences of violence as communicated throughout the country with respect to the Vagos and the Hells Angels; is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q All right. So now this particular case where you have Vagos having a national meeting at the Nugget and Hells Angels being at that location, would that be viewed based upon your opinion as a showing of disrespect for the Vagos?

MR. SCHONFELD: Your Honor, I object. It's leading.

MS. PUSICH: And beyond the scope, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. It is.

Did you have him testify as to his opinion before with regard to the Vagos -- we have -- I have heard testimony, I don't remember where, about the national meeting and the Hells Angels being there and who considered the Nugget theirs. Was it in this hearing that you heard this testimony previously?

MR. HALL: Yes, I believe so.

MS. PUSICH: And, Your Honor, we would simply ask that the Court review the transcript after the hearing because we don't believe it was.

THE COURT: Okay. It was a different hearing. Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

MS. PUSICH: Correct.

THE COURT: It's kind of hard at this point to separate all the information and where the source is. The objection is beyond the cross. I don't know exactly if you're eliciting a new opinion now. Are you going for a new opinion?

MR. HALL: No. I wouldn't say it's a new opinion.

This is at the directive of in conjunction with in affiliation with outlaw criminal gang regarding this fight. That's what I was going for.

THE COURT: Go ahead and reask the opinion and you can ask the basis for that opinion.

BY MR. HALL:

Q Okay. Do you have an opinion that the fight that occurred at the Nugget, including the murder of Jeffrey Pettigrew and the shooting of Leonard Ramirez and Diego Garcia allegedly by Villagrana and Mr. Gonzalez, was that at the direction of or in affiliation with or --

MS. PUSICH: Your Honor, first I object that it's compound. But beyond that, Your Honor, I think the characterization is inappropriate. Ultimately a jury will decide whether or not there was a murder here, there's a homicide.

THE COURT: Okay. You can talk about the death.

BY MR. HALL:

Q With the specific intent to assist the activities of 1 the respective outlaw criminal gangs? 2 Α Yes. 3 And what's the basis of that opinion? 4 Α Based on the rivalry that I've seen, observed, the 5 6 information that I have from various reports, and also including the debriefing of one of the individuals involved in 7 this case, Jabbers, that yes, it was done as a gang --9 MS. PUSICH: Objection with respect to testimony from Mr. Rudnick coming into this hearing. 10 11 MR. HALL: Your Honor, we've admitted it as an 12 exhibit in this hearing, THE COURT: I'm not exactly sure what the basis of 13 the objection is. If he's an expert and he's relied on 14 testimony. 15 MS. PUSICH: Your Honor, we don't know if he's an 16 17 expert yet. THE COURT: Right. But for purposes of today's 18 hearing, he should be allowed to testify as though he were so 19 20 that I can make a decision. He has enough information to 21 support an opinion, so I'm going to overrule your objection. You said -- you were saying --22 BY MR. HALL: 23

1795

The basis of your opinion regarding these crimes

Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

Q

24

1 2

being committed with the specific intent to further or assist the activities of the respective Hells Angels and Vagos?

A Based on the incidence of violence that I delineated earlier that I put in my PowerPoint at the grand jury. Based on the reports that I reviewed, based on the conversation I've had with former members of the Vagos, and the -- again, I reviewed the statements made by Jabbers on his debriefing on this particular case which goes into the cases that I also testified in like the Santa Cruz case, things like that, in which I think play a big part in it.

Q All right. So how would the prior incidences of violence between the Hells Angels and Vagos be related to this case?

A It's a build-up of continuing and build-up of rivalry over territory. And then also in the -- in reading the debriefing of Mr. -- of Jabbers, Mr. Rudnick, when he states in there as far as the -- one of the reasons that he was --

MS. PUSICH: Your Honor, excuse me. I apologize. But I think that the State may be mistaken. My recollection is that during the last hearing, they moved for the admission of the transcript of Mr. Rudnick. We objected and you sustained our objection and did not admit it because we don't have the opportunity, we have not had, to cross-examine him.

He was questioned specifically in the context of anticipating his testimony in this case, being negotiated on the basis of that. That's not the same as an expert relying on outside sources or independent investigations. I think it implicates our confrontation rights, and I think the State is incorrect that it's already been admitted as an exhibit.

MR. HALL: Well, it was continued so that they could have a chance to review whatever they needed to review and cross-examine him. So now I'm moving for the admission of it. He's relied on it. And if they wanted to cross-examine him on it, they could have.

THE COURT: Okay. It was not admitted previously, but I don't think I ruled on it. I don't think I denied the admission. I think I continued it for the opportunity for you to review. You do have it now, right?

MS. PUSICH: We have had it for some time. The issue is whether or not it should be coming in through this witness. We contend it should not.

THE COURT: For purposes of today's hearing and purposes of this witness's testimony, I'm not going to object to it coming in for purposes of -- basis of his relying upon it.

Now, whether or not we are going to have a different ruling, evidentiary ruling at a trial before a jury is very Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

significant. The issue of the confrontation clause is 1 2 important, and we do need to explore that in its entirety with regard to this particular report, and I know there's been 3 allegations raised as to all of them. 4 MR. SCHONFELD: Your Honor, we would join the 5 objections raised. 6 7 THE COURT: 0kay. I understand. But for purposes of today's hearing, it is certainly admissible to show what 8 he's relying and basing his opinion on. 9 So I is admitted. 10 MS, PUSICH: And, Your Honor, for purposes of this 11 hearing only, correct? 12 THE COURT: Correct. Everything is marked Alpha. 13 14 That means nothing admitted has been admitted for purposes of 15 the trial. 16 MS. PUSICH: Thank you. MR. HALL: Did you finish your answer? 17 18 THE WITNESS: I think I did. MR. HALL: All right. Thank you. I have no further 19 questions. 20 21 THE COURT: Yes? MR. SCHONFELD: Usually when I say it will be brief 22 I really am brief, so this will be brief. 23

Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

THE COURT: Okay.

24

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

RΥ	MR	SCHONFELD	`
	CIR.	JUNUINE ELL	

- Q Mr. Gil-Blanco, it is not your testimony that in order to become a Hells Angel you have to have access to guns, is it?
- A That is not my testimony, no.
- Q It is not in your testimony in order to become a member of Hells Angels you have to have a military background, is it?
- 10 A No.
 - Q It is not your testimony in order to become a member of the Hells Angels you have to have access to illegal drugs, is it?
- 14 A No.
 - Q It is not your testimony that in order to become a member of the Hells Angels you have to have some form of professional licensing background is it?
- 18 A No.
 - Q It is not your testimony that in order to become a member of the Hells Angels, you have to have access to the department of motor vehicle records, is it?
 - l A No.
 - Q In fact, members are admitted to the Hells Angels

 Motorcycle Club as a result of their love for motorcycles,

 Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

correct?

- A I disagree with that saying just in itself, no.
- Q Members are admitted because they like a brotherhood, they like to drink beer, they like to hang out, and they like to drive motorcycles, correct?
- A I think some members get into it for that originally, but things change.
 - Q And just for that, correct?
 - A Some members, yes.
 - MR. SCHONFELD: Nothing further, Your Honor.

BY MS. PUSICH:

Q Sir, with respect to the Vagos, the same answers to the same questions would apply, correct?

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

- 16 A Exactly.
 - Q You described -- discussed an exhibit that came out of the grand jury that documented seven incidents of prior contact between the Hells Angels and the Vagos, correct?
 - A Yes. ma'am.
 - Q And in each of the seven that I've described here today, I've described them as the Hells Angels instigating or causing the incident and the Vagos essentially being on the receiving end?

that. 2 Q Well, you said with respect to Orange County, the 3 4 Hells Angels and the Mongols attacked the Vagos. With respect to Bullhead City, the Hells Angels 5 attacked the Vagos. 6 With respect to Santa Cruz, the Hells Angels 7 attacked the Vagos. 8 With respect to Oildale, the Hells Angels attacked the Vagos and killed one and another was injured. 10 With Yavapai, which the counsel has indicated was 11 dismissed, the allegation was that the Hells Angels ambushed 12 the Vagos. 13 With Lake County, that the attack was against the 14 Vagos. 15 And with the casino incident in Lake County, that 16 the attack was against the Vagos, correct? 17 Except for the first one. You said the Mongols and 18 Hells Angels. Did not affect the Vagos. The Mongols were 19 present at this incident, but it was primarily between the 20 21 Vagos and Hells Angels. As to who initiated, I couldn't tell 22 you. But when you first testified, it was characterized a Q 23

little bit differently, correct?

1

24

Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

I don't know if I classify it specifically like

Α I didn't intend it to be characterized as that. 1 0 And that forms the basis for your concluding that 2 there's rivalry? 3 That's one of the things, those incidents, yeah, Α 4 absolutely. 5 6 MS. PUSICH: Thank you. THE COURT: Anything further for this witness? 7 Okay. You may step down. 8 9 THE WITNESS: Thank you, ma'am. THE COURT: You're welcome. 10 11 I think this is a good time to rest for the lunch hour. We'll be in recess until 1:30 and we'll continue on at 12 that time. 13 MR. CHESNOFF: Your Honor, can you tell us what you 14 anticipate we are going to do this afternoon so that we can be 15 prepared to do it? 16 17 THE COURT: We have some issues with regard to the gang enhancement evidence that's going to be presented, so I 18 think we are going to deal with that. We have it scheduled 19 for today and tomorrow. 20 MR. CHESNOFF: I understand. I don't believe that 21 involves evidence. I think it's just argument. 22

23

24

THE COURT: Well it certainly does with regard to

the Petrocelli issues, and you all have argued that most of

this is Petrocelli. So yeah, that involves witnesses. 1 better have some evidence. I can't rule on it without the 2 witness. 3 MR. HALL: I think I'll go ahead and call witnesses. 4 MR. FREY: Are we going to argue on the 5 admissibility of Mr. Gil-Blanco's testimony? 6 THE COURT: Sometime. 7 MR. FREY: Not after lunch? 8 THE COURT: Probably not right after lunch. 9 like to get all the evidence out and see where we are. 10 MR. CHESNOFF: That helped me. 11 THE COURT: All right. Great. Thank you. 12 Court is in recess. 13 (A lunch recess was taken.) 14 THE COURT: Thank you. Be seated, 15 MR. CHESNOFF: Your Honor, before we took a break, I 16 17 asked the Court a question about where we were headed, and the Court said that we were going to commence a Petrocelli 18 evidentiary portion of the proceedings, but here is where I'm 19 confused, Your Honor. As the Court knows, Petrocelli and --20 applies to prior bad acts. And before prior bad acts can be 21 22 admitted, the State has to show by plain, clear, and convincing evidence that the defendant committed the offense. 23

And that goes back as far as the Tucker case which is 82

Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

24

Nevada 107.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

What I'm trying to figure out, Your Honor, is the only two events that involve my client in any of the pleadings that we've read are the incident where he was in a traffic accident and a gun was found. And the second one where he was involved in a fight on a street. On the street fight, no one was arrested, no one was charged, no one was prosecuted. And on the second one, there was no connection at all with any club activity save and except for the fact I think my client may have been wearing a leather jacket with the insignia of the Hells Angel on it. The rest of the events that we've seen in the motion relate to some of the underlying material that the proposed expert has relied upon. So, I don't understand for what evidentiary purpose these witnesses are being called that relate to a gamut of events over a 12-year period involving multiple jurisdictions in which my client was not present by lay witnesses who are not being offered as experts, or in some instances are. But we don't understand how it relates to this particular offense that occurred in Reno, Nevada. So that's why I was trying to figure out what we were doing, Your Honor, because I don't understand why we are hearing from all of these people, especially since, if it's not prior bad act and it's only being used for the gang enhancement, there's no need to address any of it if either, Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

one, we're not convicted; or two, at least at a minimum at the time of a bifurcation as we requested.

So with that said, Your Honor, I just don't know why these people are testifying, and I would object to them testifying in support of anything that the State has presented. Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Before I hear from the State, let me make -- perhaps in my desire to move forward with as much evidentiary information as we could get, I should have told you that I've reached a decision on the bifurcation motion, and I'm going to deny it. So the bifurcation motion is going to be denied. I haven't written the order yet, but I can tell you today that I reviewed the arguments presented by the defendant in the motions to bifurcate, and I do intend to deny it. And that can be a very brief written decision that will explain my analysis.

But that being said, we have two issues. One is bad act evidence for either defendant that the State may want to present. The other is the defendants are charged with an enhancement that requires proof of the gang activity and that it is a gang. And the statute is very clear as to what's required under 193.168. That enhancement has to be proven to the trier of fact, the jury, and a decision will have to be reached by them. Now, the question you've raised is whether

or not all these instances can come in by lay witnesses or experts. I think the statute is very clear that expert testimony is meant to show particular conduct, status and customs indicative of criminal gangs and including but not limited to, and then there's a laundry list which is what the State is trying to present.

Now, if the State is presenting lay witnesses to present this information, you certainly can object. I'll probably hear whatever their presentation is and then I'll rule on whether or not the evidence and the witnesses is admissible to prove the gang enhancement.

But we have two issues: Whether or not the evidence is gang related for the enhancement proof, or whether or not it is bad act evidence.

With regard to your client, I agree, it seems clear that the Pink Poodle incident and the Santa Cruz affray are the two issues that they want to raise as gang enhancement evidence which is also bad act evidence with regard to your client, and that I would need to make a determination on those two.

With regard to Mr. Gonzalez, there are four issues that are going to have to be resolved through a Petrocelli analysis at a minimum. Now, I know you all have argued that all of the enhancement evidence is bad act evidence, that all Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

it needs is that analysis. I'm not sure I'm going to agree with that and the State has certainly objected, but I have identified at least four for Mr. Gonzalez and at least two for Mr. Villagrana.

We need to get the evidence out, hear the witnesses they are going to present. Obviously lay witnesses can talk about bad act evidence if it's being presented for bad act evidence, but they can't for the gang enhancement purposes.

So we've got two things going on. My point is let me hear what the State wants to do. I don't know any more than you do about exactly what they plan to present and who they plan to present, and whether or not they are planning on identifying more experts than they have. If some of these people they want to call in as experts, ultimately, and that's part of what they are presenting today, I don't know.

MR. CHESNOFF: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: That's kind of where I'm at. So I thought we could move forward, and I would like to hear at the very least we have to hear the testimony with regard to those six incidents or so.

And then beyond that, depending on how the State wants to present the evidence of the gang enhancement. Okay.

MR. HALL: May I proceed?

THE COURT: Okay.

1		MR, STEGE: State calls Rob Dimel.	
2		THE COURT: Could you repeat the last name for me.	
3		MR. STEGE: Rob Dimel, D-I-M-E-L.	
4			
5		ROBERT DIMEL,	
6	having been first duly sworn, was examined		
7	and testified as follows:		
8			
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION		
10	BY MR. STEGE:		
11	Q	Sir, would you state and spell your name?	
12	Α	Yes. It's Robert Dimel. D-I-M-E-L.	
13	Q	I was mispronouncing it. Sorry about that.	
14		How are you employed?	
15	Α	I'm a police officer with the city of Costa Mesa.	
16	Q	How long have you been with the Costa Mesa Police	
17	Department?		
18	Α	About 17 years.	
19	Q	What is your current assignment?	
20	Α	A patrol officer.	
21	Q	Let me direct your attention back to October of	
22	2001. What was your assignment at that time?		
23	А	I was assigned to the gang unit.	
24	Q Ca	And at that point, how much time had you spent with options Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534	

the gang unit? 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Α Approximately four to five years.
- Would you orient the Court, I guess, where in Q California is Costa Mesa generally?
 - Α It's in Orange County.
- Q And what sort of training did you have in gang-investigation stuff at the point of October 26th?

MR. FREY: I object. If they are not offering the witness as an expert, I don't think we need to get into training and qualification. I believe he's a fact witness. That's how they noticed him up in the pleading.

THE COURT: I don't know.

MR. FREY: Well, I hope you would know and I hope I would know.

THE COURT: They can notice an expert. They are still within the time frame to notice an expert.

MR. FREY: I understand. But with respect to everyone else they purported to be an expert, they've given us a CV and they identified them as such. They have not identified Mr. Dimel as an expert.

THE COURT: Your objection is premature at this point. I'm going to hear what he has to say. I don't know if they are going to try to identify him as an expert.

> MR. CHESNOFF: Your Honor, I would like to know most Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

respectfully so I know how to cross him, whether as a lay 1 2 person or an expert. THE COURT: I think we'll find out, Let's keep 3 I'll let you renew the objection. 4 going. MR. CHESNOFF: Okav. 5 Thanks. BY MR. STEGE: 6 Q Tell us about your training in gang investigation, 7 training and experience leading up to October of 2001. 8 At that point, I had gone to the basic gang investigators' course put on by the LA County Sheriff's 10 Department and various in-service trainings through the Orange 11 County Gang Investigators Association. 12 Q Have you had a focus within gang investigations with 13 14 your agencies?

A I did.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q And what types of gangs did you look at?

A My focus primarily was with the Hispanic street gangs.

Q Did you have at the time or leading up to the event we are here to talk about, did you have much experience in outlaw motorcycle gangs, Hells Angels, Mongols, Vagos, anything like that?

A Very little.

Q But had you had exposure to any of those groups? Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

Α Yes. 1 2 Q Can you tell us briefly about that? Just through training, some of the training that was Α 3 put on, there was various classes on that. 4 So you were sort of aware of what outlaw motorcycle 5 gangs were, but not a lot of experience in Orange County or 6 7 Costa Mesa? Α Correct. 8 Q Did you have, leading up to October of 2001, any --9 10 did you yourself investigate any crimes against either of those two groups I just mentioned? 11 Α No. 12 Q How about outlaw motorcycle gangs up to that point? 13 14 Α No. Q So you focused on the Hispanic street gangs? 15 Α Yes. 16 THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Stege, that kind of gives 17 18 us some background on Mr. Dimel. MR. STEGE: Yes. 19 THE COURT: What is the purpose of this testimony? 20 MR. STEGE: This -- we had testimony by Jorge 21 22 Gil-Blanco about the Costa Mesa swap meet or the Orange County incident in 2001. It's the large fight between Vagos, Hells 23 Angels, and Mongols. And all the pleading papers, they denied 24 Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

that it either happened or it has any significance, except 1 2 this witness was present when it happened. THE COURT: Okay. But --3 MR. STEGE: And can explain the gang aspects to it. 4 THE COURT: What is the evidentiary purpose for this 5 6 testimony? 7 MR. STEGE: To prove up the gang enhancement. goes to the gang enhancement. It supports Jorge Gil-Blanco's 8 9 testimony. THE COURT: How do you do that? 10 MR. STEGE: How --11 12 THE COURT: How do you present this evidence to prove up the gang enhancement? 13 14 MR. STEGE: By having him say, "I was there. is what I saw happening. I saw the Vagos and Hells Angels 15 have this gigantic brawl in the middle of a motorcycle swap 16 17 meet." THE COURT: Okay. So you're trying to show a 18 specific instance --19 MR. STEGE: Right. 20 THE COURT: -- of an act, a custom of some sort --21 MR. STEGE: Right. 22 23 THE COURT: -- through a lay witness. In addition to. MR. HALL: 24 Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

MR. STEGE: Right. In addition to the felonies that they commit during the course of this. It also explains the rivalry. This is a first in the four that the expert identified, events leading up to this ongoing rivalry, violent rivalry between the two gangs.

THE COURT: Okay. So you want to present evidence that this witness has personal knowledge of a crime that was committed?

MR. STEGE: Crime, yeah. He himself witnessed felonies being committed by the groups.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. CHESNOFF: Your Honor, this is what I -- having read the reports, this is what I anticipated and I object to his testimony as a lay witness for purposes of providing, one, corroboration for an expert, that's improper; and two, he cannot testify about the felonies that he witnessed that are not other crimes evidenced by my client. My client was not present.

MR. STEGE: We have to prove the gang enhancement, that's one of the things they do is commit felonies.

MR. CHESNOFF: A lay witness, as the Court observed, cannot testify for the gang enhancement purposes.

MR. HALL: Why can't he?

THE COURT: Mr. Hall, this really isn't a Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

free-for-all dialogue here.

MR. FREY: Your Honor, I agree. Given your ruling five minutes earlier that lay witness testimony is inappropriate with respect to the gang enhancement. Given the fact it's obvious they are offering Mr. Dimel as a lay witness, I move to strike his testimony to the extent it goes to the gang enhancement, Your Honor.

To make my position clear with respect to Mr. Gonzalez, we do believe and insist the gang evidence needs to be analyzed as prior bad act in essence. I know it presents something as a sticky issue for Your Honor. I don't know where we are going to go on that particular issue. But I would request any PBAs be subject to Petrocelli scrutiny, particularly the Tinch factors, et cetera, because I believe it is prior bad act evidence simply enshrined in the statute that it is a penalty statute.

THE COURT: Of course, the Nevada Supreme Court has ruled differently. And I know it is not a holding of the case but it certainly is very strong dicta that they do not believe that it is all gang enhancement evidence, it -- prior bad act evidence, and that's Justice Hardesty who is still there.

MR. FREY: He's still there. I think you're referencing Selmi, I think frankly that isn't the Court holding of that case.

But for purposes of my objection, I echo what Mr. Chesnoff said and I don't think the lay witness can testify to the gang enhancement.

MR. CHESNOFF: Based on your ruling you're not bifurcating, they are trying to call this witness at trial that's why it's improper at this juncture based on what we previewed and what we found out since we've been here, Your Honor. It's going get to the point, Your Honor, we are going to lose sight of the crime that is charged and we are going to be trying some historical crusade here.

THE COURT: Well, the gang enhancement certainly does change the tenor of the case. It does allow for a lot more information to be presented to the jury because the jury has that obligation to make that determination. The question is, what is reliable and admissible evidence to prove the gang enhancement? And that's my question for the State, is how is this reliable information that can be utilized to prove the gang enhancement in light of the statute which specifically says expert testimony can be used --

MR. STEGE: Right.

THE COURT: -- to bring in these things, but it does not make any indication that specific instances can be brought in by lay witnesses.

MR. STEGE: Well, we just had cross-examination for Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

this entire morning on specific instances.

THE COURT: Because he was an expert.

MR. STEGE: Right.

THE COURT: I mean, there's a difference between an expert and a lay witness.

MR. STEGE: Right. But from the beginning they've said, "Well, this guy has no idea what he's talking about. That Gil-Blanco has no idea what he's talking about. He wasn't investigating. He wasn't an uncover person there. He has no idea. He's taken these things entirely out of context."

Here is a person who gives the Court and the jury ultimately context then it also -- context of what happened. They can't have it both ways, because if the Court recalls, we had -- the reason we filed this motion was because the defense invited it. They wanted us to know, "Hey, tell us what your gang evidence is." Now we presented it, they say Gil-Blanco has no idea what he's talking about and neither do all the other people there who witnessed it and experienced gang evidence and can give us the context of what it meant, some of these customs, culture, felonies that they routinely commit, the reasons they commit them, all these things that are called for in the statute.

MR. CHESNOFF: Your Honor, I feel like -- Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

MR. HALL: We are not done yet.

MR. STEGE: Court's brief indulgence. If I can have a minute?

THE COURT: Just sit down for a minute.

MR. STEGE: All right. So I mentioned the question of prior felonies committed by the gangs. That is within the gang statute. Two, he's not giving an opinion, but really what he's doing is providing a foundation for Gil-Blanco's expert opinion in the case as they relate to both of these gangs.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FREY: With respect to foundation, Judge, I think they have to demonstrate that Jorge Gil-Blanco actually relied upon the work product of this gentleman before they can lay a foundation.

THE COURT: Well, we gave testimony about that this morning.

MR. FREY: About the same event, certainly.

THE COURT: The police reports he said he reviewed.

MR. FREY: That's my position, Your Honor. I think
Mr. Chesnoff, perhaps, had an objection he wanted to complete.
My objection still stands. I don't believe the lay witness
can testify to the gang enhancement and in particular
everything that was enumerated by Mr. Stege all of which
Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

correlates to subsection 7A through G.

THE COURT: Of 193.168 correct.

MR. FREY: 193.168 subsection A through G.

MR. CHESNOFF: Your Honor, I feel I'm in Alice in Wonderland. Of course we were trying object to their proposed witness. That's our job. You were going to rule on whether he is, he isn't, how much he can testify to. We accept that. But that doesn't prevent us from pointing out clearly that the law in Nevada is you cannot have a lay witness testify about the things they want this person to testify to for purposes of the gang enhancement at a trial. And what is frustrating, Your Honor, is we are objecting, we are doing it properly, we are briefing it, we are bringing it up here, and we are like an Oxford at the -- you know, having a debate.

I'm asking you to rule, Your Honor, it's totally improper, it's not acceptable, and it may be frustrating to the State, but that's not the standard. So I ask you, Your Honor to -- that's what I was trying do before we started because I knew this is what was going to happen. Now we've seen it. They've had their chance, and I object, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Did you have anything else?

MR. STEGE: Where are they pulling this that a lay person cannot testify to the gang statute? The statute says --

THE COURT: Wait a minute. Wait. Wait. Wait.

MR. STEGE: Section 7 says experts may testify.

THE COURT: Wait a minute. Either this evidence is admissible by an expert pursuant to the statute, or it's bad act evidence and it's a mini-trial within the trial as all bad act evidence. Otherwise the confrontation clause is really thrown out the window.

So, either it is as one side over here believes, bad act evidence, and you have to prove it and they can confront it and they can address it. Or it's gang enhancement evidence which allows you to bring an expert in to talk about things that normally you can't bring in. The reason the statute says that is because without the statute you couldn't bring it in. But it specifically says you can use an expert to testify to these things to prove your gang enhancement. But that doesn't mean you can bring a police officer in and put on essentially bad act evidence, a crime that was committed by people who are not in the courtroom, and do it under the gang enhancement if the person is not an expert.

Now, the reason I allowed you to continue to go is you have not reached your deadline for designating experts.

MR. STEGE: Right.

THE COURT: And I don't know how you all are preparing your case, nor do I think the defense, and none of Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

us are here to judge how you prepare it. So I didn't know what you were going to try to do. If this person is an 2 expert, he could testify to what you want him to testify to. 3 If he's not an expert, he's not going to testify to what you 4 want him to testify to. Now, I don't know if perhaps in a rebuttal case after the cross-examination of an expert, I'm not sure, I haven't thought about that about where that may go, but I do know in the case-in-chief. So do you want to -is there some way he's an expert? MR. HALL: May I inquire, Your Honor? 10 MR. CHESNOFF: Your Honor, I was prohibited -- I'm 12 not going to get tagged. MR. STEGE: This is on the general issue of this entire trial, so I ask -- or this entire two days of hearing, 14 so I ask that Mr. Hall be able to be heard on this issue. THE COURT: No. We don't tag team it. Otherwise

1

5

6

7

8

9

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I'm going to hear from three lawyers for Mr. Gonzalez and two lawyers for Mr. Villagrana and two of you. You take a subject and you do it. You're certainly welcome to talk to Mr. Hall and discuss it further if there's some other basis. giving you the opportunity to provide that basis.

MR. STEGE: Already-held Condido says we have to talk about prior felonies committed by the gang. This is a person who witnessed a prior felony committed by the Hells Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

Angels and the Vagos. Now, they've also said in the moving papers that's part of the subject of this -- the argument we are having is whether we can prove that by clear and convincing evidence or prove it by some quantum of evidence. Unless they are stipulating to that particular issue, because already-held Condido says we need to talk more about not just yes, they commit felonies. Unless they are stipulating to what this witness would testify to, what the facts were that these felonies were committed, then we are entitled to put it before the Court in order to support the gang enhancement and support it as a foundation to Gil-Blanco's testimony.

THE COURT: Are you saying you're adopting their argument that this is bad act evidence and has to be proven?

MR. STEGE: No, no. But I think that was a big subject of the moving papers on the gang enhancement --

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. STEGE: -- in terms of what quantum of evidence this Court needs or that needs to be presented to be able to go forward with the gang enhancement. And that was the question for the Court, I think, at the end of the last hearing was, well, what's the difference? Where is this line between bad act evidence which is, you know, we have a lot of case law on, and the gang enhancement, which there is some natural sort of overlap or some relationships.

THE COURT: Okay. It's going to be my ruling that bad act evidence relates to individual acts of the individual people who are on -- charged with a crime here. So those acts that are part of the gang enhancement that you're trying to do that involve these particular players, that's bad act evidence. It has to be proven by clear and convincing evidence to get it in. And it has to be relevant, et cetera. The relevance of issues like prior crimes that have been committed by a particular motorcycle club or gang, that is relevant under the enhancement. It can come in through an expert.

So I'm sustaining your objection with regard to this witness testifying to these issues today.

So I'm not -- I certainly did not exclude your expert from testifying to it, to the specifics of what he -- what his opinion was based upon and nor would I.

MR. STEGE: So what's the recourse, then, like as they did today said, "Well, you have no idea what you're talking about because you were only in San Jose, you were never in Orange County. You have no idea. You're misreading." What happened there?

THE COURT: As with all experts, your recourse is to be sure they are prepared to talk about what they relied upon.

They can testify as to what they base their opinion on.

MR. STEGE: And what are we to do with this Orihula 1 2 Candido pronouncement that says you can't say, "Yes, I'm aware they have committed prior felonies"? 3 MR. CHESNOFF: What is this, Your Honor? When I get 4 a few questions that are troubling me, can I ask you? 5 THE COURT: It is a little uncomfortable for me at 6 7 this point. Thank you. MR. STEGE: Well, that supports the entire -- the 8 reasoning for calling this person to support this prior 9 incident or support the -- this culture, this rivalry that 10 started with -- this arc starts with this Mr. Dimel, what he 11 witnessed all the way up until what happened in this case. 12 THE COURT: It's in the police report. 13 14 MR. STEGE: Right. THE COURT: Your expert said he relied on it. 15 16 I'll sustain the objection. I don't know if he has anything else you want him to 17 18 testify to. BY MR. STEGE: 19 Q In your opinion, was the events you witnessed at the 20 Costa Mesa swap meet gang related? 21 MR. CHESNOFF: Objection. 22 Objection, Your Honor. Not qualified to MR. FREY: 23

Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

opine.

24

THE COURT: Do you want to try to establish him? 1 Sustained. 2 BY MR. STEGE: 3 At the time we are talking here about the -- this 4 melee that happened at the Costa Mesa swap meet, right, which 5 you were a witness? 6 Α Yes. 7 At the time, did you have much gang -- outlaw Q 8 motorcycle gang experience or training or really know what to 9 look for? 10 MR, FREY: Asked and answered, Your Honor, 11 12 Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. He's trying to establish his 13 qualification. 14 THE WITNESS: At the time, not much. 15 BY MR. STEGE: 16 Q After the fact, once you witnessed these events and 17 18 got involved in the subsequent investigation, did you come to learn more about these two groups? 19 Yes. Α 20 Q . And tell us about that. What did you learn about 21 them, for example? 22 Again, through training through the Orange County 23 Gang Investigators Association. 24 Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

What did you learn, for instance, about the Vagos. 1 Q Did you learn about the symbolism of the --2 MR. FREY: Objection. Leading, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Sustained, 4 MR. STEGE: Foundational. Well --5 THE COURT: It was leading. 6 MR. STEGE: Okay. 7 MR. FREY: Thank you. 8 BY MR. STEGE: 9 What did you learn about the Vagos gang? Q 10 Specifically? That's kind of nebulous. I'm not 11 sure what the --12 Did you learn anything about their customs, their Q 13 manner of dress, their structure, their hierarchy, their 14 15 culture? Α Their manner of dress and general identification, 16 17 yes. Q What about the -- you mentioned you had some 18 experience with Hells Angels. Did you learn more through the 19 course of the investigation about the Hells Angels? 20 Α Yes. 21 As they related to the same things, dress, culture, Q 22 hierarchy or structure, things like that? 23 24 Α Yes. Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

1	Q Tell us about the Hells Angels manner of dress		
2	and		
3	MR. FREY: Objection.		
4	MR. CHESNOFF: Lack of foundation, Your Honor.		
5	MR. FREY: There's no qualifications in the record		
6	yet.		
7	THE COURT: Okay.		
8	MR. FREY: Thank you.		
9	THE COURT: You stated you learned something. You		
10	haven't said what you learned, how you learned it.		
11	THE WITNESS: Again, Your Honor, it was all through		
12	training. I would say that outlaw motorcycle gangs were not		
13	my area of expertise. It's been a long time since I've done		
14	gang investigations, but I can probably answer specific		
15	questions if you have them.		
16	MR. CHESNOFF: Your Honor, I move to end this.		
17	MR. FREY: We join.		
18	THE COURT: Let's take a recess. You can talk to		
19	your witness and see where you're going with it.		
20	Court is in recess.		
21	THE BAILIFF: All rise.		
22	MR. CHESNOFF: Your Honor, may I		
23	THE COURT: No. We are in recess.		
24	(A break was taken.)		
!	Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534		

THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. 1 Counsel? 2 3 MR. STEGE: Thank you. BY MR. STEGE: 4 Let's go back a little bit. When you were working, Q 5 6 let's talk in the gang unit, was there a working definition or what qualified as a gang in California when you were working 7 8 in the gang unit? Α Yes. MR. CHESNOFF: Your Honor, I don't want to interrupt 10 There was something I wanted to put on the record, but I 11 can wait until later. 12 THE COURT: I'm sorry, I forgot. 13 MR. CHESNOFF: As long as it's noted I would have 14 done it now. 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 17 MR. CHESNOFF: Thanks. BY MR. STEGE: 18 Q What was the definition of a gang in California when 19 20 you were working in the gang unit? Α Three or more persons with a common sign, symbol, or 21 name gathered together for the purpose of committing specific 22 crimes. 23 And those specific crimes in California under 24

California law are enumerated; is that right?

A Correct.

Q And what's the -- can you give me some examples of those crimes?

A Assault, Assault With a Deadly Weapon, Homicide, specific threats.

Q As it relates to this case, the Costa Mesa melee at the Costa Mesa swap meet involving the Hells Angels, Vagos, and the Mongols, did you see any of those groups exhibiting that behavior, common sign or symbol, gathered together to commit this one -- enumerated crimes?

A Yes.

Q Describe that as it relates to the Vagos.

A As it relates to the Vagos, the -- many of the members that came in were wearing their cuts or displaying the Vagos logo, the patch. And also they typically, based on my training and experience, identified with the color green.

Those that weren't wearing a cut had green items on to identify them as being with the group.

Q And what about the -- well, what was the sort of the enumerated crime that you observed?

MR. CHESNOFF: Objection, Your Honor. In order to get into that, he has to be qualified as an expert. He can't talk -- you can't bootstrap it by talking about the thing that Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

he's trying to talk about until he's qualified as an expert. 1 You gave them a break to try to do that even though he said 2 he's not an expert already on the record, and clearly so. 3 I object to continuing with questions about events 4 that occurred that my client was not present at, Your Honor. 5 MR. FREY: Same objection. 6 MR. STEGE: I'm trying to establish his expertise 7 here, Judge. 8 THE COURT: Sustained. 9 As well, Judge, I would make this MR. STEGE: 10

THE COURT: I know. But that was an expert.

argument. I previously made the argument that Candido says we

MR. STEGE: Right. And I have to show a foundation for the gang enhancement --

THE COURT: Yes.

have to show the prior felonies committed.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. STEGE: -- as it relates to Jorge Gil-Blanco. I would ask that I be able to present this evidence in the event that -- so we can proceed, if necessary, to the Supreme Court on this issue so that we can basically make an offer of proof or make a record on this issue of what we would have presented.

THE COURT: Well, you can make an offer of proof.

You don't have to -- we don't have to have an evidentiary

Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

- 1 hearing over their objection to make an offer of proof. If
- 2 you -- I think you've got plenty now. You've got a police
- 3 report. You've got testimony that he's clearly not an expert.
- 4 | I gave you a break, you couldn't prove him up as an expert.
- 5 You want to put it on anyway. You've got plenty to go to the
- 6 | Supreme Court, And if the Supreme Court tells us I have to
- 7 | let him testify whether he's an expert or not on gang
- 8 enhancement, I think you're fine. You've got plenty to go to
- 9 | the Supreme Court on. And I have no problem you doing that,
- 10 Mr. Stege. I mean, that's --
- MR. STEGE: Okay. Can I ask a question about the
- 12 | felonies that he saw committed in his presence?
- MR. CHESNOFF: Objection, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: For purposes of today's hearing, we need
- to move our hearing along and our trial along. So you've got
- 16 that, I've got the police reports. They are a part of your
- 17 pleadings. It's clear what this person is testifying to.
- 18 It's in the -- it's one of your exhibits. You can identify
- which exhibit to your pleading the police report is and ${f I}$
- 20 think I've got everything you need.
- MR. STEGE: Okay. May I have the police report that
- 22 | I have here marked?
- THE COURT: Is that different than the one you
- 24 attached?

```
1
               MR. STEGE: I'm not sure if it's the -- if we put
    all the reports -- I think we might have just put the
2
    essential ones, but I ask that they all be marked as a
3
    proposed exhibit.
 4
               THE COURT: Which exhibit does that relate to?
5
               MR. STEGE: That would be Mr. Hall's motion, so I'm
 6
7
    not --
               THE COURT:
                           Okav. I'm sorry.
8
               Mr. Hall?
               MR. HALL:
                          Exhibit 1.
10
                           Exhibit 1 to your motion or the
               THE COURT:
11
    addendum? No.
                     It would be the motion.
12
               Okay, You can mark it next in order.
13
               Do you have copies for the defense?
14
               MR. HALL: They already have copies.
15
16
               MR. STEGE:
                           They have copies.
                           It's the same? Then you don't have to
               THE COURT:
17
               It's Exhibit 1 to the motion which was filed
    mark it.
18
19
    November 26, 2012.
20
               MR. STEGE:
                           Okay.
               Nothing further of the witness.
21
               THE COURT: Okay. Anything, Counsel?
22
               MR. CHESNOFF: No. thank you, Your Honor.
23
                          Nothing further.
               MR. FREY:
24
            Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534
```

1	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. You may step down.	
2	THE WITNESS: Thanks, Your Honor.	
3	MR. STEGE: Court's indulgence. State calls officer	
4	Barry Barner.	
5		
6	BARRY ALAN BARNER,	
7	having been first duly sworn, was examined	
8	and testified as follows:	
9		
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
11	BY MR. STEGE:	
12	Q Sir, please state and spell your name.	
13	A Barry Alan Barner. B-A-R-Y, A-L-A-N, B-A-R-N-E-R.	
14	Q Back in June, specifically June 25th, 2011, were you	
15	a police officer with the San Jose Police Department?	
16	A Yes, I was.	
17	Q At that time, how much time had you with the police	
18	department?	
19	A With the city of San Jose, approximately 14 years.	
20	Q Are you currently still employed by the San Jose	
21	Police Department?	
22	A No. I retired in November of this year. I'm sorry,	
23	last year.	
24	Q 2012?	
'	Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534	

- A Yes.
- Q On June 25th, 2011, were you working an event in San Jose that's called Dancing on the Avenue?
 - A Yes, I was.
 - Q What is Dancing on the Avenue?
- A It's basically a street festival where they close down the street on Lincoln Avenue in San Jose and they have bands, boots, they serve alcohol, arts and crafts, that type.
- Q And what were you doing down at the Dancing on the Avenue?
- A I was working a traffic control detail on Lincoln Avenue at Willow Avenue.
- Q And as you're doing that, approximately 2015 hours, did something happen that brings you here today?
- A Yes, at approximately 2045 hours, 8:45 p.m., the officer who I was working with, somebody advised him there was a large fight going on close to our location. He put it out over the radio that there was a fight. I saw him running over, so I joined him in going over toward the fight.
 - Q When you ran over there, what did you see?
- A I saw approximately five individuals on the ground fighting, hitting, punching.
 - Q Did you see any weapons involved in the fight?
 - A Not in anybody's hands, but I saw that some of the Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

where the longer fixed-blade knives where they were stuck inside the sheaths. And there were other types that appeared to be like what I would describe as a buck knife, a folding, locking-blade knife, and that was in some of the sheaths.

- Q And a sheath would be on the belt?
- A On the belts, correct.

Q What happened next?

A I utilized my pepper spray. First of all, I told them to stop fighting, to disperse, and nobody followed that order. So the other officer and I started pepper spraying all the involved parties.

Q Up to this point, could you tell who was involved, like who was fighting who within this?

A I saw one subject who was at the bottom of the pile, and it seemed like the rest of them were going after him, throwing punches. But mostly I just saw a lot of punches being thrown in general directions.

Q What happened once you pepper sprayed the group after they refused to stop fighting?

23

24

Α

Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

Yeah, based on my training and experience, yes.

What about the other folks, the other four who had

run off?

A They were not wearing what I would call biker attire.

- Q Were any of them wearing any gang attire like Vagos colors or any other motorcycle gang colors?
 - A No. They were not.
 - Q Or any street gang colors?
 - A No.
 - Q Describe your interaction with Villagrana.
- A First thing we did is he had some friends that were standing by and they asked if they could put water in his eyes to get the pepper spray out, so we let them come over try to clear the pepper spray out of his face, kind of get him cleaned up. I asked him if he wanted to give a statement as far as what was going on, and he refused to give a statement saying he could take care of himself.
 - Q What else? What happened next?
- A At that point, some other people who were standing around the area made the statement that Mr. Villagrana was jumped and he was the victim in this. So what I did is we took the handcuffs off of him after we identified them and then I just -- he was released at the scene. And I took the report and submitted it to our district attorney's office to review for a charge of fighting in public.

MR. STEGE: Pass the witness. 1 THE COURT: Cross? 2 MR. CHESNOFF: Thank you, Your Honor, Can I --3 THE COURT: Yes, you can stay there. 4 MR. CHESNOFF: Thank you. 5 6 CROSS-EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. CHESNOFF: 8 Q Sir, you described Mr. Villagrana as the victim, correct? Just now when you testified? 10 Α What I said is some other people at the scene made 11 the statement. 12 Q About three questions ago, you said that 13 Mr. Villagrana was the victim, correct? And it was before you 14 told about the other people identified him as a person who had 15 been jumped; is that right? 16 17 I don't recall saying he was a victim. I have him listed in my report as a suspect. He was involved in a fight. 18 Q So you're telling her honor that within the last two 19 minutes, you didn't tell her that he was the victim, correct? 20 Other people at the scene referred to him as being 21 jumped and being the victim. 22 Okay. He was on the bottom of the pile, correct? 23 Q Correct. 24 Α Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

Q There were five other people on top of him punching 1 2 him, correct? Four, I believe. Α Yes. 3 Q The other people ran away, correct? 5 Α Yes. Q Even though you asked them to stay, correct? 6 Α I asked them all to stay, yes. 7 8 Q Right, And the only person who stayed was the fellow that was on the bottom being punched by five guys, and 9 you don't want to describe him as the victim? 10 He was fighting in public which is a violation of 11 Α the law in California and listed in my report. He's listed as 12 13 a suspect, as all of them were. Let me ask you a question, Officer. Five guys 14 Q jumped you and you fought back. Would you want to get charged 15 16 with fighting in public? Α I --17 Q If you got jumped? 18 Α No. 19 I didn't have any independent witnesses. 20 I'm not asking you that. I'm asking you if you got 21 jumped and fought back, would you want to be charged with 22 public fighting?

Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

I would hope that I would not.

23

24

Α

Q Okay. Did you ever wind up testifying against 1 Mr. Villagrana in court? 2 Α No. 3 No charges were ever brought against him, correct? Q Α Not that I'm aware of, 5 A good citizen when asked by a policeman to remain Q 6 at the scene remains, correct? 7 Α Well, he -- we. 8 Q Is that right? 9 Α He was handcuffed. He didn't have that choice. 10 11 Q Well, he stayed. You said it in your report, didn't you? 12 He was the last one that didn't get up to run and we Α 13 were able to stop him. 14 Q Detective, do you think you're here to hurt 15 Mr. Villagrana or tell her honor the story? 16 Α Tell the story. 17 Q So do you remember writing a report about this? 18 Α I do. 19 Q Remember reading the report? 20 Α I do. 21 Remember writing in the report that Mr. Villagrana Q 22 remained and everyone else took off? 23 I don't remember my exact words. Α 24 Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

Do you have it in front of you? 1 Q 2 Α I do. Why don't you read it? O. 3 THE COURT: Mr. Chesnoff, just be very careful. 4 the witness finish. 5 MR. CHESNOFF: Okay, Your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: The court reporter can only take one person at a time. 8 THE WITNESS: May I read it? 9 BY MR. CHESNOFF: 10 Q Sure you can read it. 11 Okay, "All of the suspects fled on foot and 12 Α remained unidentified except for Suspect 1, Villagrana. 13 Suspect 1, Villagrana, was handcuffed and detained." 14 Q Right. So he stayed. As a result of staying, you 15 16 were able to put handcuffs on him and detain him, correct? MR. STEGE: Objection. Asked and answered. I think 17 18 it's --THE COURT: Sustained. 19 MR. STEGE: -- clear. 20 21 BY MR. CHESNOFF: Sir, did you have to tackle Mr. Villagrana to put 22 Q the handcuffs on? 23 MR. STEGE: Asked and answered. He didn't tackle 24 Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

1 him. MR. CHESNOFF: I never asked -- Your Honor, a little 2 I mean, I'm actually asking real questions. 3 leeway. THE COURT: Let's try not to be too sarcastic. 4 MR. CHESNOFF: I'll try, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: I deal with that at home with my 6 7 husband. I don't need to deal with it here, okay. The first objection was sustained. 8 The second objection, there weren't any discussions 9 about tackling or not, so I'm not going to sustain that. 10 So you can answer the question. 11 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat it? 12 BY MR. CHESNOFF: 13 14 Q Did you have to tackle him in order to put the handcuffs on him? 15 He was already on the ground, so we grabbed him 16 while he was on the ground and handcuffed him. 17 Q But he did not take off from the scene, 18 19 correct? Α He had a lot of pepper spray in his face and he had 20 a hard time breathing and he was going nowhere. 21 Q The other people had pepper spray as well, correct? 22 They did. But they were able to get up and run. 23 Α And at some point in time, you took the handcuffs Q 24

1 off, correct? Α Correct. Because you no longer view Mr. Villagrana as a Q 3 threat, correct? Because he was released pending a review of the DA, Α 5 yes. 6 Q Plus, people had told you that he got jumped, 7 correct: 8 That definitely helped in my decision-making of just 9 Α submitting it to the district attorney's office. 10 11 Q And to removing the handcuffs, correct? Α Yes. 12 Q And you also permitted friends of his to help him 13 clean his eyes, correct? 14 Α Correct. 15 Q And you did not view his friends as a threat to you 16 or other people, correct? 17 By that time, there were numerous officers at the 18 Α scene, so I did not deem them a threat to us. 19 Okay. And when you submitted to the district 20 Q attorney the report that you just read, is that what you 21 submitted? 22 23 Α Yes.

Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

And based on that, a decision was made not to

Q

24

1	prosecute Mr. Villagrana, correct?
2	A I never heard any further, so I don't know.
3	Q Nobody ever called you in to interview you or ask
4	you any questions?
5	A That's correct.
6	Q And so it's clear, the people that ran away were not
7	members of a motorcycle club called the Vagos, correct?
8	A They did not appear to be.
9	Q And you're familiar with what Vagos wear? For
10	example, green clothing, rockers with California or Vagos on
11	it, nothing like that, correct?
12	A They did not have that.
13	MR. CHESNOFF: Nothing further. Thank you, Your
14	Honor.
15	THE COURT: Mr. Stege?
16	
17	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. STEGE:
19	Q Sir, you were asked a hypothetical about, well, if
20	you were in this situation, would you want to be charged with
21	fighting in public. Do you remember that question?
22	A I remember that question.
23	Q What about if you were jumped by four guys while you
24	were out doing whatever you were doing? Would you want to Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

give a statement as to what happened? 1 2 Α Absolutely. I would want prosecution against people. 3 Would you want to cooperate with the police officers Q and say, "Hey, you know, this is what was happening. 5 minding my own business, these four guys jumped me," stuff 6 like that? 7 Α Absolutely. 8 Would you have ever said, "No, don't worry about it, 9 Q I can take care of myself"? 10 11 Α I wouldn't say that. And how did you take that statement, "No, I can take Q 12 care of myself. I can take care of myself"? 13 MR. CHESNOFF: Objection, Your Honor, as to how he 14 took the statement. 15 THE COURT: What's the relevance of his 16 interpretation of that? 17 MR, STEGE: It goes to the gang aspect of 18 Mr. Villagrano's statement. It goes to the gang culture of 19 20 not cooperating with police. THE COURT: I think it calls for speculation. 21 Sustained. 22 23 MR. STEGE: Nothing further. 0kay. THE COURT: 24

•	TIK. TREE. Good for the recent, real heart, this re-
2	testimony that corresponds to Exhibit 6 in the State's motion.
3	It has absolutely nothing to do with Mr. Gonzalez, nor to do
4	with the Vagos, so I don't have any questions for the witness.
5	I would note I believe the State is offering this witness as a
6	fact witness, so my objection is the same as the previous
7	objection. I don't believe the testimony is relevant to the
8	gang enhancement as to the fact witness.
9	THE COURT: I think I described it earlier as prior
10	bad act evidence because it relates to a specific defendant
11	who's on trial here.
12	MR. FREY: I must have been confused by the
13	captioning of the motion. It was under gang enhancement.
14	THE COURT: I know where it is.
15	Anything else?
16	Thank you, sir. You may step down.
17	MR. HALL: Sir, would you step forward and be sworn.
18	(The witness was sworn.)
19	THE COURT: You may proceed.
20	
21	JASON FERGUSON,
22	having been first duly sworn, was examined
23	and testified as follows:
24	

DIRECT EXAMINATION

- 1				
-	RV	MD	ΗΔΙΙ	

- Q Thank you, sir. Would you state your name and spell your last name?
 - A Jason Ferguson. F-E-R-G-U-S-O-N.
- Q What is your current occupation, sir?
- A I am currently a lieutenant for the Lakeport Police
 Department, City of Lakeport, County of Lake.
 - Q Where is that located?
- A From here, it's about four hours west on Highway 20. We border Sonoma and Napa County.
 - Q Okay. And you're currently a lieutenant?
- 13 A Correct.
 - Q And can you give us a history of your background with respect to law enforcement training, experience?
 - A Yes, I've been employed with the city of Lakeport for nearly 16 years. Prior to that, I worked for the Lake County Sheriff's Department in the corrections division for approximately two and a half years.
 - During my time in 2002, I began a -- working for the Lake County Narcotics Task Force, Bureau of Narcotics Enforcement Regional Task Force. During that time, I attended -- between the years 2002 and 2006, I attended five California Narcotics Officers Association conferences of which Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

1 I attended blocks of training in outlaw motorcycle gangs as well as criminal street gang activity. 2 I've also attended to -- in that same time frame between 2002 and 2006, I attended two international outlaw 4 motorcycle gang conferences where they specifically covered criminal trends and activities of outlaw motorcycle gangs. Q Are you familiar with the Hells Angels gang? Α I am. Q How are you familiar with that gang? Α I am familiar with that gang because there are 10 several individuals who do not reside but are actively around Lake County. They currently have an OG Riders chapter in Lake County where the Hells Angels are frequently seen as well as a 14 lot of training that I received covering the Hells Angels Motorcycle Club. THE COURT: I'm sorry, what kind of chapter? OG Riders. THE WITNESS: THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. BY MR. HALL: Q Are you familiar with the Vagos? Yes. Α

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q

Α

Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

individuals who ride locally within Lakeport and the County of

And how are you familiar with the Vagos?

I'm familiar with the Vagos, again, from several

Lake. And there is a Lake County chapter of the Vagos. 1 All right. Have you had any contact with any of the Q 2 members of the Hells Angels or the Vagos during the course of 3 your employment as a police officer? 4 Yes. On the Vagos. All right. And can you describe that contact? Q 6 7 Α In April of 2011, I was patrolling the streets 8 of Lakeport in a marked patrol unit. I was travelling northbound on Main Street in my town and I observed 9 approximately 50-plus motorcycles parked alongside both sides 10 of Main Street in front of an establishment called the 11 12 Clearlake Club Bar. As I was patrolling --MR. CHESNOFF: Your Honor, at this time I object. 13 He hasn't been qualified as an expert. Mr. Villagrana was not 14 present at this particular scene, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Overruled. This goes to bad act 16 evidence. 17 MR. FREY: Same objection. 18 MR. CHESNOFF: This is --19 THE COURT: Overruled, Bad act. 20 MR. CHESNOFF: Yeah, but he wasn't there. 21 THE COURT: It's bad act. 22 MR. CHESNOFF: Well, you can't introduce at his 23 trial. 24

THE COURT: We'll talk about that later. Overruled 1 your objection. 2 MR. CHESNOFF: I'm just making a record, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Later. Proceed. 5 MR. CHESNOFF: Is this being admitted as to 6 7 Mr. Villagrana potentially, your Honor? 8 THE COURT: The evidence is being admitted. determine the purpose for it. Your objection is overruled at 9 this point. 10 MR. CHESNOFF: Okay. I just don't understand why 11 the Court is frustrated with me. 12 13 THE COURT: I'm just frustrated. MR. CHESNOFF: I understand. But most respectfully, 14 Your Honor --15 THE COURT: You're arguing with me, I guess that's 16 why I'm frustrated. Usually when a judge rules on it, you say 17 thank you and sit down. 18 19 MR. CHESNOFF: I thought you already ruled the other way, that's why I think it's confusing, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: No, I don't think it's confusing. 21 You can answer the question. 22 THE WITNESS: I also noted along with the 50 23 motorcycles along both sides of the Main Street several 24

individuals, Hells Angels, wearing full-patch colors as well as some OG Riders and another group which I was not familiar with, but had similar colors, that was mixed in with females standing in and outside the bar entrance area.

As I continued northbound -
THE COURT: I'm going to stop you.

You're right. Mr. Gonzalez -- Mr. Gonzalez wasn't present. I had it in the wrong category. So this is enhancement evidence.

MR. CHESNOFF: I --

THE COURT: Sorry. You're right. You're right.

So the question is whether or not he's an expert.

Did you want to put any more evidence on with regard to him being an expert?

MR. HALL: Sure, I can do that.

THE COURT: Okay.

BY MR. HALL:

Q Are you familiar with the colors or cuts that the Hells Angels wear?

A Yes.

Q All right. And can you describe their customs with respect to colors, clothing, and paraphernalia?

A Yes. For full-patch members of the Hells Angels, it is a three-piece patch. The top rocker, what they call a Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

rocker is the top portion, will say Hells Angels in red and white colors.

The center patch is a death head which is a skull with wings. It's considered a death head.

And on the bottom is another rocker, the third part of the patch, which actually establishes the State for which the Hells Angels chapter is, associated with the colors red and white.

There's typically a patch with the numbers 81. 81 is associated, eight with the 8th the letter of the alphabet, H, for Hells. The number one associated with the letter A for Angels. That will be common that you see that on there.

Again, colors are red and white predominantly.

Other patches you'll see are AFFA, Angel Forever, Forever Angel, similar patches like that.

Also, you'll see side rockers that will indicate what chapter the individual is from, for instance, Oakland chapter. You see a side rocker for Oakland.

- Q All right. Are you familiar with gang trends including rivalries, alliances, customs, and habits?
 - A Yes.

- Q And can you explain that, please?
- A In this particular case, the Hells Angels, I'm familiar with the rivalry they currently have going with the Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

Vagos Motorcycle Club. This has been established from prior cases that I have not been involved in but have been familiar with that occurred before any incidents happened in the city of Lakeport. I was familiar with crimes against both individuals where violent assaults occurred in different states as well as in the state of California in different counties.

Q All right. And specifically what violent incidents were you aware of that concerned you with respect to this particular incident?

A I had knowledge through intelligence that there were stabbings, shootings that had occurred in various counties between the two organizations. What concerned me about this particular day in Lakeport was a full-patch member of the Vagos traveling on his motorcycle past the bar where these full-patch members of the Hells Angels and the other clubs who aline themselves with the Hells Angels were present.

Q Now, we talked a little bit about the customs, practices, current trends with respect to the Hells Angels. Do you have information, similar information regarding the Vagos outlaw motorcycle gang?

- A Yes.
- Q Can you explain that to her honor, please?
- A Would you like me to start with like the patches? Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

Q Yes, please.

A Okay. The Vagos Motorcycle Club predominantly is green in color. On the center patch they have a red comic-type character, I guess would be the best definition.

MR. CHESNOFF: Your Honor, I'm going to object. To qualify someone as an expert is not to ask him questions about clothing that is familiar to everybody. If he's an expert, Your Honor, it requires education. I mean the things that were done, I might not agree that the first witness was an expert, but at least he provided information to Your Honor regarding training, et cetera. My --

THE COURT: What is your objection?

MR. CHESNOFF: Lack of foundation, Your Honor, for continuing the testimony with him as an expert, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. At this point, I see this as foundational to prove up that he is an expert; therefore, I'm going to overrule your objection.

You may continue.

THE WITNESS: Again, I'll reiterate, the Vagos motorcycle organization is commonly seen with green colors, like a lime green color. There is a red -- I consider it like a character on the back, it's the Vago, that is a one-piece patch with the word Vagos at the top.

They have a bottom rocker which also represents the Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

state in which the organization is from. In this case, it would be California.

They are also associated with a side rocker for the chapter from which they come from, and in this case particular case, this member had a side rocker indicating Lake County.

BY MR. HALL:

- Q All right. And you testified that you have had a number of classes with respect to outlaw gangs; is that correct?
 - A Yes.
- Q In Lakeport, is that an area specifically that Hells
 Angels and Vagos frequent on a regular basis?
- 13 A Yes.
 - Q Are there other outlaw motorcycle gangs or motorcycle clubs that frequent that area?
 - A Yes.
 - Q All right. And during the course of your tenure as a police officer, have you had opportunities to observe the various clubs on a number of occasions?
 - A Yes.
 - Q You share information with other police agencies and other experts; for example, Jorge Gil-Blanco or other California police officers regarding current trends of outlaw motorcycle training, specifically the Vagos and Hells Angels?

 Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

1

Α Yes.

What types of -- go ahead. Q

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

If I may back up. During those similar conferences Α that I discussed earlier, Jorge Gil-Blanco was the instructor for the outlaw motorcycle gang conference. I have been to other courses where Jorge Gil-Blanco was the instructor. The International Outlaw Motorcycle Gang Conference have a lot of different instructors who are well versed in this field of expertise and discuss their current trends.

As for the intelligence gathering, I regularly receive intelligence from one of our officers in our police department who is an expert in the field of outlaw motorcycle gangs, on trends that are occurring, crimes in different cases that have occurred throughout the state. So I'm very much aware of those.

Have you had any contacts with any of the Hells Q Angels or Vagos?

Α Yes on the Vagos.

All right. And were you able to obtain any Q information regarding inner workings of the Vagos or rivalries that are currently the rivalry between the Vagos and the Hells Angels?

They didn't -- they were reluctant to provide Α They don't talk about that information. information. Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

Now, is that something that outlaw motorcycle --1 well, specifically members of the Hells Angels and Vagos, is 2 that part of their custom and habit, not cooperate with law 3 4 enforcement? Α Yes. 6 Q It's also their custom and habit not to provide any information regarding crimes that they may be involved in or 7 fights that they may be involved in? 8 MR. FREY: Judge, I object. That's a leading 9 question. 10 MR. CHESNOFF: Objection. 11 THE COURT: Sustained. 12 13 MR. FREY: Thank you. BY MR. HALL: 14 Q Well, can you tell me about the customs and habits 15 of the Hells Angels and the Vagos that you're aware of with 16 respect to cooperation or noncooperation with law enforcement? 17 MR. CHESNOFF: Your Honor, I object. This is not a 18 foundational question. We haven't qualified him yet as an 19 This begins him telling us about that which he has 20 21 not been established as an expert in, Your Honor. 22 MR. FREY: I agree. It's putting the cart before

Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

Okay.

THE COURT:

23

24

the horse.

Do you want to offer him as an

expert, Mr. Hall? Are you ready? 1 MR. HALL: Yes, I'll offer him as an expert. 2 THE COURT: Objection? 3 MR. CHESNOFF: Yes. Take him on voir dire. Your 4 Honor? 5 THE COURT: As to his expert qualification, yes. 6 7 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. CHESNOFF: 9 10 These courses that you went to, sir, you went to learn not teach, correct? 11 Correct. 12 And of course since that time, have you ever taught 13 Q large groups of law enforcement officers about outlaw 14 motorcycle gangs? 15 Α 16 No. Is there someone else in your department who is 0 17 designated as the expert on outlaw motorcycle gangs? 18 There is another individual who has, yes, testified 19 Α as an expert in my agency. 20 Okay. But you haven't, correct? Q 21 Α Not as it relates to motorcycle gangs, but other 22 gangs, yes. 23 So you've never been qualified as an expert in any 24 Q Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

1	court of law anywhere in the United States to testify as to		
2	outlaw mo	torcycle gangs, correct?	
3	A	None, yes.	
4	Q	You've never infiltrated a motorcycle gang, have	
5	you, as a	n undercover agent?	
6	Α	No.	
7	Q	You never debriefed any member of a motorcycle gang,	
8	specifically the Hells Angels, correct?		
9	А	Correct.	
10	Q	You've never published any articles in any	
11	publication whatsoever on motorcycle gangs; is that correct?		
12	А	Correct.	
13	Q	I've already asked you if you lecture. Do you have	
14	any advan	ced degrees in criminal organizations from any	
15	universit	y?	
16	А	None.	
17	Q	From any junior college?	
18	Α	No.	
19	Q	From anywhere?	
20	Α	No.	
21	Q	Would it be fair to tell her honor that your	
22	knowledge of motorcycle gangs is as a result of your		
23	investigation of criminal acts that have been committed by		
24		o are members of motorcycle gangs? aptions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534	

Α I believe it reaches beyond that, given my training. 1 Q Going to a couple of classes is that what you mean? 2 Not a couple. Α 3 Q Okay. How many? 4 Α Several. 5 Well --Q 6 7 Α Again, to reiterate, from 2002 to 2006, I attended California Narcotics Officer Association conferences which 8 provided extensive training in outlaw motorcycle gangs. 9 10 two conferences, I believe they were three days at the International Outlaw Motorcycle Gang Conference which 11 specifically and exclusively deals with outlaw motorcycle 12 13 gangs, their activity, their cases that were being currently 14 investigated, and the actions and trends of outlaw motorcycle gangs at the time. 15 You don't purport to be an expert on the Hells 16 Angels Motorcycle Club, do you? 17 18 Α I purport to know more than the average person on the Hells Angels Motorcycle Club. 19 0 Would you call yourself an expert? 20 I would say I have expertise in that specific area. Α 21 22 Q Sir, it's a specific question. Α Yes. 23 You would consider yourself an expert? Q 24

MR. HALL: I think it's asked and answered. 1 2 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. CHESNOFF: 3 So as an expert, would you please describe this 81 4 patch that you say exists on jackets worn by the Hells Angels? 5 THE COURT: That's beyond the scope. 6 MR. CHESNOFF: Your Honor --7 THE COURT: It's beyond the scope. 8 MR. CHESNOFF: Why, Your Honor? I don't want to do 9 what they are doing. 10 11 THE COURT: Yeah, yeah, okay. MR. HALL: What are we doing? 12 MR. CHESNOFF: First of all, there's no objection. 13 THE COURT: I saw them stand up. I presupposed it. 14 Do you not object? 15 MR. HALL: I object, yes. I was in the process of 16 objecting. But it's beyond the scope. 17 MR. CHESNOFF: He claims he's an expert and I make 18 an offer of proof now, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MR. CHESNOFF: The gentleman has testified that on a 21 Hells Angel member there is an insignia of 81. 22 That is a complete fabrication, Your Honor. It does not exist on a 23 member. So I would like to ask him, since he just told you 24

he's an expert, to describe what he's talking about. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. I'm sustaining Mr. Hall's objection. I think that's beyond taking someone on voir dire 3 to determine whether or not they are an expert. Certainly 4 goes to weight to be given to his testimony perhaps. 5 BY MR. CHESNOFF: 6 Q How many members of the Hells Angels exist in the 7 United States? 8 I don't have that answer. Α 9 How many charters are there? Q 10 11 Α I don't have that answer. How many charters are there in Denmark? Q 12 Α I don't have that answer. 13 How about Germany? Q 14 I don't have that answer. Α 15 Q Can you name ten members? 16 Α Not currently. 17 Is there a national president of the Hells Angels? Q 18 Yes. Α 19 Who is it? 20 Q It was at one time Sonny Barger. I do not know who 21 the national president is currently. 22 23 Q So your testimony is to her honor as an expert in the hierarchy of the Hells Angels, there is a national 24

```
president.
                 Is that your testimony?
 1
               There has been a national president.
          Α
 2
          Q
               Is there presently a national president?
 3
               I believe so.
          Α
          Q
               Who is that?
 5
               I don't know his name.
          Α
 6
          Q
               Who is the national vice president?
 7
               Don't know his name.
          Α
               National treasurer?
          Q
 9
               Don't know his name.
          Α
10
11
          Q
               National sergeant-at-arms?
               Don't know his name.
          Α
12
               Do you know the sergeant-at-arms of the Oakland
          Q
13
    chapter?
14
          Α
               No.
15
          Q
               Do you know the sergeant-at-arms of the Mesa chapter
16
     in Arizona?
17
          Α
               No.
18
               Can you name a president of the chapter besides the
          Q
19
    one in the town you live in?
20
               There is not one in the town I live in.
          Α
21
               Can you name a president of a chapter?
          Q
22
               I believe there is of the Ventura chapter, George.
          Α
23
    You have to forgive me on his last name.
24
            Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534
```

1	Q You're sure George is still in the club?
2	A I believe so.
3	Q Let me ask you this. If you're wrong about George
4	being in the club, would you consider yourself much of an
5	expert?
6	A Again, when I think of an expert, I think about the
7	trends that the motorcycle club does. I may not know every
8	single individual and every chapter and every president of
9	every chapter. I may not know that. I know more than the
10	average person, which I believe makes me an expert in the
11	gang.
12	Q I watch Dr. Oz, but I don't propose to be
13	MR. HALL: Objection. Argumentative.
4	THE COURT: Sustained.
5	MR. CHESNOFF: Nothing further.
16	
17	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. FREY:
9	Q If I asked you all the same questions Mr. Chesnoff
20	asked you about the HAs with respect to the Vagos, for
21	example, can you name ten members? Do you know the head of
22	the chapter in Germany? Would I get the responses?
23	A As far as the outside countries, yes.
24	Q How about naming ten members nationally?
l	Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

I could probably name ten members just from my own 1 Α chapter. 2 Your own chapter locally? Q 3 Α Lake County chapter. 4 0 Give it a shot. 5 We have the one-time president was James Fernley. 6 7 Then the second vice president was -- James Holly was the Gregory Fern, Mike Burns, Roger Wheeler, James president. 8 Oiler, James Fitzgerald, Steven Cutright. Those are the ones 9 that I currently know of my agency right now. 10 Do you know if they are current members or former 11 members? 12 13 Α Some of them are former members, yes. Q Can you name who the national treasurer is? 14 Α No. 15 Q How about the national sergeant-at-arms? 16 Α No. 17 Q How about any of the international officers? 18 19 Α No. Q With respect to your training, that really consists 20 of attendance at conferences; is that right? 21 22 Α Yeah. And as you've just previously testified, you have Q 23 been a student at those conferences in essence? 24

1	A	Correct.
2	Q	Never a presenter?
3	А	No.
4	Q	So you've been in essence a student, never a
5	teacher?	
6	А	Correct.
7	Q	And you're purporting to teach the Court today about
8	outlaw mo	otorcycle gangs?
9	А	As it relates to the trends, not necessarily all the
10	members o	or individuals across the country.
11	Q	But this is a position that's new to you because
12	you've ne	ever been in an instructor-type position?
13	А	Correct.
14	Q	You've never been qualified as an expert?
15	Α	Not in outlaw motorcycle gangs.
16	Q	In any jurisdiction?
17	Α	In any jurisdiction.
18	Q	Never published an article, correct?
19	Α	Correct.
20	Q	Never obtained any sort of advanced degree as you
21	already a	answered, correct?
22	Α	Correct.
23	Q	No training in social sciences?
24		MR. HALL: I object. He's asking the same aptions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

questions. 1 MR. FREY: I'm going beyond it, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: You have to let him finish talking 3 4 before you interrupt. And I understand your objection, but he does have 5 the right to make -- ask the same questions as 6 7 Mr. Villagrana's counsel because he is separate. So you can continue. 8 MR. FREY: Thank you, Judge. I'm going to go a bit 9 beyond what they talk about. 10 BY MR. FREY: 11 Q No training, advanced training in social sciences? 12 Α Correct. 13 No advanced training in anthropology? Q 14 Α No, 15 Psychology? Q 16 Α No. 17 Q Sociology? 18 Α No. 19 Do you have a high school diploma? Q 20 21 Α Yes. What's your education beyond that? 22 Q I have some college. Α 23 How many credits? Q 24 Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

Α 60. 1 Q How many credits do you need to obtain a degree? I believe associate's is right at 60. Α 3 You don't have an associate's yet? Q Α No. 5 When you attended these conferences and the Q 6 presenter is Jorge Gil-Blanco, then you were in essence 7 relying on the information that he provides? 8 It's -- yes, I use his information. 9 Α And are you familiar with the sources of his Q 10 11 information? Α No. 12 Q So you just believe in what you're told? 13 He is an instructor, so certainly whatever he Α 14 teaches and whatever he trains, certainly I would use that 15 16 information to my advantage. Q You're believing what you're told, to use that to 17 your advantage? 18 Α And a lot of that information is corroborated. 19 Q And you don't know by whom? 20 Well, if your -- let me give you another for 21 Α instance. Some of the --22 Do you know by whom the information that Mr. --23 Q MR. HALL: Your Honor, he was trying an answer the 24 Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

question. I ask he be allowed to answer the question about any corroboration of Jorge Gil-Blanco.

THE COURT: Sustained.

You can answer the question.

THE WITNESS: In some of the cases where he talks about information and he's instructing that, I also get the intelligence on the case. That's what I mean by corroboration. So he's instructing us on that, telling us about that, it's also corroborated that that actually existed. So that's information that I take from that. So, yes, when he instructs. I do use that information.

BY MR. FREY:

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q And that's information you incorporated to form the opinion that may or may not be elicited today by the State?

I definitely take into consideration things he has Α instructed on.

Q But that's the information that you've used, right, to formulate your opinion that you are -- you're going to render today?

And also my own experience of dealing with the outlaw motorcycle gangs in my own city, cases I've been involved in.

But you're going to use the information provided to Q you at the conferences?

MR. FREY: No. There was no answer. THE COURT: It's argumentative. Sustained. 3 MR. FREY: I'm asking him a simple question: 4 you going to use the information provided to you at the 5 conference as a basis of your opinion? 6 THE COURT: Objection sustained. 7 8 MR. FREY: Thank you. BY MR. FREY: 9 Q Do members of the Vagos refer to their patch as a 10 comic book character? 11 Α 12 No. 13 That's how you just referred to it? Α I believe I said it -- it looks somewhat of a 14 comic-type character on there. 15 MR. FREY: I don't have any -- no further questions, 16 17 Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Traverse on him being an expert. 18 Do you want to -- do you want to enumerate? I think it might 19 help, Mr. Hall, if you want to enumerate what you want to 20 offer him as an expert in. 21 MR. HALL: Yes, Your Honor, I would like to offer 22 him as an expert on the issue of rivalries that exist between 23 the Hells Angels and the Vagos based upon not only his 24 Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

Asked and answered.

MR. HALL:

1

training and experience, not only on the training that he's had, specifically going to the different conferences and such, but also his hands-on experience which we were aware we were getting into in terms of his involvement with the Hells Angels and Vagos during the course of his employment as lieutenant for the Lakeport Police Department. So he's going to specifically address that issue, then render an opinion with respect to the rivalry existing between the two gangs.

THE COURT: Okay. Counsel?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR, CHESNOFF: Your Honor, he doesn't qualify as an He has no formal training, no degree. expert. He does not know specifics, contradicted the other expert that the State called who testified specifically that the Hells Angels are a one-man-one-vote with no national president, page 55 of the prior transcript that we received, Your Honor. He does -- has never interviewed, never debriefed. He doesn't qualify as an expert. He's gone to some classes. The classes are over six years old. There's no current information. He's never testified as an expert. He's never lectured as an expert. He's never written as an expert. And most respectfully, Your Honor, what the State is attempting to do is to present him as an expert in order to get in information that they can only get in through an expert, no other way, and he's not an expert, Your Honor. He certainly doesn't compare in terms of Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534

the presentations that was made to somebody who spent his entire law enforcement career even studying, interviewing, reading, lecturing.

So with that said, Your Honor, I just don't believe that we need to go further because he's not an expert.

MR. FREY: Same objection with respect to Mr. Gonzalez. I think all the points Mr. Chesnoff just made apply equally to us.

I would note, Your Honor, that I think it's pretty plain here that, you know, the intent here is to circumvent the ruling, really, because if you look at the way that Mr. --

What was your name, sir?

THE WITNESS: Ferguson.

MR. FREY: The way he's noticed up is as a fact witness, not an expert. They didn't attach a CV. So we all attempted here to cross-examine the expert without the forewarning and notice that really should be accorded to us frankly by your written order and under the statute that contemplates disclosure 21 days before a hearing like this. I know this isn't trial, so he's noticed up as fact witness now, they try to convert him as an expert only so they could funnel him with the predicate that would be excluded by your ruling. I think circumvention, that shouldn't be tolerated. I move to exclude him. He doesn't have the qualifications. Certainly Captions Unlimited of Nevada, Inc. (775) 746-3534