around to the side of the bar and he checks the slide on the firearm to see if it's even loaded.

Mr. Gonzalez then goes back, waits by the door

Mr. Gonzalez then goes back, waits by the door of the disco, trying at this point to see where Mr. Pettigrew and Mr. Villagrana have gone.

Mr. Pettigrew and Mr. Villagrana in the meantime are walking through the casino. And you'll see it. They're shooting at people if they're wearing green. Mr. Villagrana and Mr. Pettigrew start to walk up what we call the "yellow brick road." That's the yellow tile walkway through the casino. As they're walking up this yellow brick road, we will see them come to the individual named Robert Wiggins.

You see, Mr. Wiggins had the misfortune to get caught up in a crowd that, again, Mr. Rudnick was part of, and this crowd was surging forward and surging backward depending on the gunfire and what was happening.

The crowd at one point surges forward;

Mr. Wiggins is either hit by someone or falls down, hits

the side of his jaw and literally is inert, immobile on

the floor. And you saw it yesterday on the video.

And we're going to show it to you again, and you'll have a chance to see a lot of it, so I'm not going to play it for you now.

What you will see is this: You will see

Mr. Pettigrew and Mr. Villagrana walking down the yellow
brick road. They're not walking like this, worried that
somebody's coming after them. They're simply walking
down.

What happens is they see the body of Mr. Wiggins laying on the ground. You can see on the video exactly what Mr. Gonzalez saw -- this is truly that case you get to observe what the defendant will tell you he observed -- and observed in this context: Shot, we know, two people, maybe others. Mr. Gonzalez of course wouldn't be in a position, as the evidence will show, to know all about the casino, whether there were other Vagos on the ground.

You will also see that Mr. Gonzalez, while in that disco, has a 15-second time window. 15 seconds.

Count it out. It's a long time. He's in the discotheque 15 seconds watching, watching Mr. Pettigrew, watching Mr. Villagrana. It looks like they're done; they're not going to hurt anybody.

They walk out. For 15 seconds get further and further away from Mr. Gonzalez. 15 seconds of time and distance, 15 seconds of difficulty, making some shot if you're an assassin. And you will contrast that to all of the opportunities that Mr. Gonzalez chose to shoot

Pettigrew that night where he could have simply walked up if he had a firearm and gone bang, bang, bang and disappeared. 15 seconds, 10 yards, 15 yards, further away, everything seems good.

3.

One problem. Mr. Wiggins. Mr. Wiggins.

Mr. Wiggins on the ground. When they walk up to where

Mr. Wiggins is located, you will hear "This will teach

you" -- pardon the expression -- "to fuck with the HAs,"

at which point in time you will see Mr. Pettigrew kick at

Mr. Wiggins's head. You will also see on the video

Mr. Villagrana kick at Mr. Wiggins's head.

You will then see, unlike the video that was stopped yesterday, that Mr. Pettigrew literally braces because Mr. Pettigrew has a prosthetic limb. So Mr. Pettigrew braces to give one final shot.
Mr. Pettigrew falls. That's what you're going to see on the video.

But what you won't see on the video, this is what's coming at the head of Mr. Wiggins (indicating). Mr. Wiggins, who lays on the ground, does nothing. Does not present a threat, does not vocalize his opinion, does not have a gun. Ernesto Gonzalez was put in a position, as the evidence will show, of making a decision: Do I do nothing and let that happen, knowing full well what

they've already done, or do I fire this gun?

1.2

And you will see from the evidence in this case -- no one's going to tell you differently -- he fired that gun. You're going to have a chance to hear from Mr. Wiggins. You will hear from Mr. Wiggins how, when he laid on the ground and saw them coming, he tried to play possum in the hopes they would leave him alone.

Mr. Wiggins will tell you he thought he heard a gunshot when they were standing near him, and his only thought was please kill me, don't wound me. I don't want to suffer.

You will see that we all are here in certain respects because of the actions of one man. That one man is Gary Rudnick.

Now, what happens to Mr. Rudnick? Mr. Rudnick, of course, managed to escape, to get away. But we all know in casinos there's security footage, and really putting it together ain't gonna be that hard.

Mr. Rudnick is then arrested in Southern

California. Mr. Rudnick also has several visits from officers, of which you will learn there are no reports, no transcripts, no audiotape, no videotape, and Mr. Rudnick is spoken to. You will learn that there were several other interviews. There was one on January 5th, 2012.

There was another on February 15th, 2012.

Interesting, you will learn before the

February 15th complete-and-truthful interview Mr. Rudnick,

by the police, was given a copy of the grand jury

transcript with all the other witness' testimony to

review. Prior to that truthful debrief of February 15th,

Mr. Rudnick was also allowed to see the video footage.

Prior to that truthful debrief he was also allowed to see

pictures and diagrams.

And you're going to have to ask yourself: What happened on the other debriefs, and why don't we have a recording of those, why don't we have a report, why don't we have notes?

And I think you might be interested to learn this: Mr. Rudnick, of course, was allowed to avoid very serious charges that carried a very serious consequence. All that he had to do was cooperate with the State and the detective by the name of Eric Bennett. You'll meet Mr. Bennett. He has an unusual fascination with the Vagos and has spent a great deal of time investigating them.

Mr. Bennett had meetings unrecorded, unnoted, unreported with Mr. Rudnick. And from those meetings the defense will present evidence to suggest a lie blossomed, because you will learn that if Mr. Rudnick would have accepted the responsibility and said, hey, it's on me,

nobody made me do it, in fact, my club members said get out of here -- one of them will tell you he was so angry with him, if he could have, he would have hit him in the head with a bat because of all the problems he was causing.

Mr. Rudnick, because of his position as vice president, unfortunately had the ability to cause others to stand off. That's the hierarchy. You don't attack a vice president. You don't.

Mr. Rudnick couldn't or wouldn't accept responsibility. And Mr. Rudnick then comes with the story of, okay, let me tell you what happened. You see we had our 8:00 o'clock meeting, but then there was a special meeting out in the hallway, and it's in that meeting in the hallway that all 20 people who were standing there agreed: We're going to kill Jeffrey Pettigrew. Then after that meeting you'll see that all of those people that supposedly okayed this hit on Jeffrey Pettigrew are downstairs with Mr. Pettigrew trying to maintain the peace.

So you're going to have to ask yourself whether there's some consistency issues involved there.

But, more importantly, Mr. Rudnick's story blossoms, and we will be told by virtue of what's called

his guilty plea memorandum there's no deals on sentencing. He's going to plead to a conspiracy to commit murder, but, hey, there are no deals. We're free to argue, says the State.

Mr. Rudnick, though, seems to have a different opinion of the deal. We were fortunate enough to be able to recover some of the telephone calls of Mr. Rudnick to his significant other, Crystal Cobar. Oh, there were a bunch.

I want to read you one of Mr. Rudnick's comments in the process of being questioned by Crystal Cobar. It's interesting that Mr. Rudnick doesn't even know what he's pleading to, but, more importantly, Crystal: "The Court, the newspaper article said you pled guilty to second degree murder, which I don't know why you would fucking plead to guilty to second degree murder and, uh, you're going to testify against them at trial."

Mr. Rudnick: "Yeah, but that is because that is the deal that he's giving me. You know what I'm saying?"

Crystal: "So you still are going to jail for second degree murder?"

"No. No, baby. No. No. The thing is I plead to that. That is a process that I have to go through.

And Rocco" -- public defender investigator -- "is going to

explain that to you, how that goes. They do that, then I do everything that Eric wants me to" -- Eric Bennett, the police officer he's met with -- "everything that he wants me to do and Karl and the judge will drop everything to probation. He already agreed on everything so -- because I don't go to sentencing until after the trial of that thing. You know what I'm saying? So it's hard to explain, so that's why you have to get ahold of Rocco."

Rudnick knows two things when he comes in here and testifies. His testimony, according to him, is tied in with the fact that he's going to get probation and go home. He says it.

It's interesting as well that he continually refers to the fact he's made a deal, and he wants them to live up to it. He wants out of jail now.

Rudnick: "No, I'm not waiting" -- he's just got the news he's got to sit in jail a bit longer -- "No, I'm not waiting. There's no way. There's no way I'm staying here until December, Crystal. There's no fucking way. He made a deal to us, and he's sitting there saying the house is safe, and Karl made a deal."

Part of that deal also included him getting out of jail so that he could, quote, go home. But when he's not getting released from jail, Mr. Rudnick decides:

Maybe it's time to stop the flow of information; maybe that's how I coerce the guys into living up to their end of the deal.

"Uh, yeah, I had the meeting on Thursday. It wasn't even a meeting, but we were going to have it Tuesday. They gave the runaround again, so Jennifer" -- his lawyer -- "is all pissed off, so she's like trying to work something else out. But they have that trial in April, from April 2nd to the 15th, so we'll see what happens. I don't know nothing until next week.

"But, listen, don't give nobody nothing. Don't give Rocco no numbers that he wants. Tell him Gary told me not to give no numbers out, because they want a couple of numbers out of my phonebook. Don't give no one no information until they tell me something. None of this shit that we're working on. I want to know something.

"So okay? Don't give them no phone numbers out of my book, out of my phone, and tell them that's what I was going to tell Rocco" -- the public defender investigator -- "today. I was going to be like, look it, I'm not talking to nobody. I'm not gonna give out no information. I'm not doing nothing until I'm told what's going on because I keep hearing it's in the works, it's in the works."

The phrase "bought-and-paid-for testimony" will come to mind in this case. The reliability of that sort of testimony will certainly be something that you have an opportunity to question.

That is why I said please listen to what they say, analyze it carefully, come to a conclusion in your own mind whether it makes sense. And, above all, honor your promise, your duty, to presume the defendant, Ernesto Gonzalez, innocent.

One of the questions in voir dire yesterday -and I forgot to do this in the beginning, and I should
have. First of all, thank you very much, because I know
it was a long, drawn-out process. I know it's personal.
I know it delves into areas sometimes that people don't
want to talk about. But it's also exceptionally
important, and it's important because we are trying as
lawyers, both the State and defense, to achieve a jury
that can regard a case fairly, with an open mind. And I
think we've done that.

So the consequence of the voir dire, of course, is exceptionally important, but in that consequence is the duty and the presumption of innocence and the burden --

MR. HALL: Objection, Your Honor. This is not what the evidence is going to show.

THE COURT: You're getting a little bit into argument. Sustained.

MR. HOUSTON: I'm sorry, Your Honor.

Back to the evidence --

Thank you, Your Honor. I'm sorry.

Back to the evidence in reference to what we are going to show.

We are in a situation at this point to where we will also have the opportunity to present you with witnesses. We will have the opportunity to present you with witnesses that were there, that know what happened, that don't require regarding a video and assuming, well, maybe they're saying that.

We're going to bring in people. You'll have a chance to hear them. You'll have a chance to talk to them. You will have a chance to learn that as early as the drive up, Mr. Rudnick was talking about how he wanted to create problems. You'll hear from the individual he spoke with. You'll hear the individual tell you that he told him knock it off, we're not here for that. You'll hear when they got here what happened.

Mr. Gonzalez will have a chance to tell you when he comes here and they're checking into the hotel, he sees Hells Angels. Been the same way for 15 years. No difference.

You will hear from individuals who were standing in that line. You will hear from Mr. Fearn. You will hear from others that will give you a bit more background on what happened that evening, how there was no super-secret meeting upstairs where it was decided to assassinate someone in front of hundreds of witnesses on videotape. You will hear the absurdity and you will hear of the absolute fallacy in that story.

And you will have a chance to ask yourself: Does Mr. Rudnick have an interest? The evidence will show he most certainly does. Did Mr. Rudnick build this case on the foundation of a lie? And you will have the answer once you evaluate that question with the evidence and listen to him.

When we conclude this process, we'll have the opportunity, of course, to speak with you again. I will have the opportunity to provide what's called a closing argument. That's where we try to tie it all up and present you with what we believe the evidence has shown.

I won't have the opportunity really to address you again, and I certainly want to thank you for your patience. I didn't really want to go through all the video again because I didn't think we were going to have

enough time, but, as we do, please remember: Believe what 1 2 you see, not what you're told. Thank you very much. 3 THE COURT: Counsel, are you ready to call your 4 5 first witness? MR. HALL: Yes, I am, Your Honor. Thank you. 6 7 8 NANCY OWENS, 9 called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiff, 10 having been first duly sworn. 11 was examined and testified as follows: 12 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. HALL: 15 Good morning. 16 Good morning. 17 Would you please state your name and spell your last name. 18 19 Nancy Owens, O-w-e-n-s. 20 What's your occupation, Ms. Owens? Q I'm a communications supervisor for the Sparks 21 22 Police Department. 23 Q And how long have you been so employed? For 29 years. 24 Α

1	Q And what does an operator do there for Sparks?
2	A Well, we answer 911 calls, we dispatch police,
3	fire, we start paramedics. We have a broad variety of
4	things we do.
5	Q All right. And are you familiar with the
6	recording that was made on or about September 23rd, 2011,
7	in response to the Nugget incident?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Okay. And you've listened to that tape?
10	A I have.
11	MR. HALL: And that's for the record, I would
12	indicate that that's Exhibit 142. It's been stipulated
13	into evidence. I'd like to publish that to the jury.
14	THE COURT: Any objection?
15	MR. HOUSTON: No, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Exhibit 142 is admitted and may be
17	published.
18	(Exhibit No. 142 admitted.)
19	(Audiotape played.)
20	MR. HALL: Thank you. I have no further
21	questions.
22	THE COURT: Cross?
23	MR. LYON: No questions, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. You may step down.

1	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
2	THE COURT: Call your next witness.
3	MR. HALL: Yes. We're getting him right now.
4	Thank you, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	
7	BILL PRICHARD,
8	called as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiff,
9	having been first duly sworn,
10	was examined and testified as follows:
11	
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. HALL:
14	Q Good morning, Mr. Prichard. Sir, what's your
15	occupation?
16	A Surveillance supervisor at John Ascuaga's Nugget.
17	Q And how long have you worked there?
18	A I've worked there since since 2004 or so.
19	Nine years.
20	Q Can you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury
21	about your training and experience with respect to
22	surveillance in casinos.
23	THE COURT: I'm going to stop you there.
24	I'm sorry, sir, we didn't hear your name. What's

1 your name? MR. HALL: Bill Prichard. Bill Prichard. 2 THE COURT: Thank you. You may proceed. 3 THE WITNESS: 4 Thank you. I started out at Harrah's in 1981 and worked my 5 way up and into surveillance, was recruited to several 6 other properties in town where I've built, redesigned, and 7 improved and grown with the video system, started 8 installing them and doing all that. So I've kind of grown up with all of those. 10 11 We went from catwalks to cameras basically through all these years, over 30 years. 12 BY MR. HALL: 13 Has the equipment developed over the years since 14 15 you started? 16 Oh, quite a bit. Yes. I'm sorry, did it initially start with the 17 0 VCR-type equipment or analog equipment? 18 We started out basically with a single 20-minute 19 20 Betamax camera, and we used to have to go out in the catwalks and film from there. And there's no time dates, 21 22 no nothing on it. We just made a video of whatever we 23 saw. We went from there to installed equipment, pretty 24

large installed equipment, permanently placed in the ceiling and that, a lot of fixed cameras, and then some good old PTZs, pan-tilt-zooms, that move around and we can tighten up shots and stuff like that.

And they weren't all recorded. We only recorded those at that time when we were actually watching or were interested in a particular activity. Otherwise they weren't recorded because we didn't have a lot of recording equipment. It was extremely expensive relative to what it was doing.

But eventually we got recorders, VCRs, multiplexors, all those types of equipment to get as many cameras recorded as possible, up to today where we pretty much have everything recorded if we have a camera for that shot. And so they all have either multiplexors, which puts multiple cameras on one recording, and then we're migrating up to the digital recording, which the only difference there is the type of recording that's being made. It's a digital recording instead of an analog recording, which is what VCRs are. The old TV sets are analog; the new TV sets are the digital. So we have the analog.

Q All right. So can you just give me a brief description of the equipment that you have at the Nugget

or that was in place at the Nugget at least on September 23rd of 2011.

A We have some -- most everything we have is recorded on a VCR. Some cameras are recorded dedicated on one VCR, one camera to one VCR. We have most of the cameras going to what's called a multiplexor, which is 16 cameras onto one multiplexor. So it's kind of like a time-lapsed recording. It's really not time lapsed, per se, but it records a little bit of each camera every second. And that's recorded. Each VCR -- or each multiplexor is recorded on one VCR, so we get 16 recordings on one VCR, is the -- is the economy of using that.

And then we have some areas, which are usually the cash areas, that we have some of the -- well, now they're called -- older models of some digital video recording, which is done on -- just a computer recording with whatever software that's on there. We have a couple of older things there.

So it's either on a VCR, there's a few cameras on the digital recording, as I say, mostly the cash-handling areas, and then the rest are all on multiplexors.

Q All right. Now, we have several different pieces of evidence that we can walk through. We have the

overview of the Nugget, which is the diagram. You're 1 2 familiar with the diagram? 3 Yes. Α And on that diagram is the location of various cameras that were used to capture some of the evidence in 5 6 this case, the fight? 7 Correct. Α All right. So we have that. We have a walk-through video, which you were involved in; is that 9 10 correct? 11 Α That's correct. 12 All right. And so we started outside the casino and walked through, and you assisted us in identifying the 13 14 location of the cameras as we walked from outside of the Oyster Bar doors, past the Oyster Bar, down through Trader 15 16 Dick's, and over to the Horseshoe Bar; do you remember 17 that? Yes. 18 Α 19 All right. Then of course we have overhead photos, and those first exhibits are -- have been marked 20 as Exhibit 1 for identification. 21 MR. HALL: Counsel, you're familiar with 22 Exhibit 1. 23

/////

24

Τ	DI MR. MALL.
2	Q So just to orient everybody with the area that
3	we're going to be talking about, I don't kпоw if we can
4	all right. I think it's a little easier for everybody to
5	see if we go to section by section. And I would indicate,
6	sir, that you can identify areas on the screen by touching
7	this screen. All right?
8	A Okay. Very good.
9	Q So you're familiar with Exhibit 1, which is
10	obviously an overhead photograph of the Nugget. And the
11	bottom, this area here, would be towards the north, faces
12	north
13	A This yes.
14	Q or opens towards the north?
15	A This area of the north end of the building,
16	Victorian Avenue side.
17	THE COURT: Counsel, you're stipulating to
18	Exhibit 1?
19	MR. HOUSTON: Yes, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: It's admitted.
21	(Exhibit No. 1 admitted.)
22	BY MR. HALL:
23	Q All right. And where would the Oyster Bar be
24	located?

- A Right at that entrance right here is the Oyster Bar entrance. The rest is all roof, but that's -- that's the first door on the west side.
- Right. So then if you walk through those doors and were going to proceed down to the Showroom, can you indicate which way you would walk so we have some bearings?
- A If you went in here, you're going to follow it around and go to the Showroom off towards the east there.
 - Q All right. Where's the Oyster Bar?
- A The Oyster Bar is right here if you walk in here.

 That's the Oyster Bar right there.
- All right. So then if we can just continue on and if you can orient us from this photograph.
- This is called the "Last Chance Joe" entrance with the statue there. It's the middle doors basically. Either one, if you're coming from the Oyster Bar here. The Showroom is over here, and as you walk up this way you go past The Steakhouse. And you go past up here and you'd end up somewhere right here where the Trader Dick's is. And then as you walk, you're actually going past up this way to where Trader Dick's and then the Rosie's and all that and then back towards the newer part of the club.

And then can you give us an indication as to where the elevators would be if we just continue south. So we went east -- we entered in from the north side, right, and then basically turned left going east, and now heading south towards Trader Dick's, Rosie's --

A That's all this area right in here. This is the east tower here. This building here is the east tower. So the east tower elevators are right over here, this building here. Come down through here, you end up going towards the lobby. You'll be walking through the lobby. This is the west tower, and there's west tower elevators over here.

That's all on the ground floor. So if you walk about one big circle going around the building.

Q All right. And then just over here to the east, on the east side of the building, we've got Victorian Plaza. Is that also known as 11th Street?

A Yeah. Actually, that's 11th Street. Victorian Plaza technically goes -- it starts across the street. So this is 11th Street. There's an 11th Street doorway here. There's also a doorway up at Victorian and 11th on that side.

This doorway here goes past the Horseshoe Bar and ties up with the main walkway, and then you can go back

this way over here to Trader Dick's and all that. 1 And then over to the left of the 11th Street on 2 our diagram, is this the parking lot? 3 That's the public parking building, yes. 4 Α All right. So then just to familiarize everybody 5 6 with the evidence, we've got another aerial photograph 7 from a different angle. This is south looking north. 8 THE COURT: What exhibit number is that? 9 MR. HALL: This is also Exhibit No. 1. 10 11 THE COURT: Okay. BY MR. HALL: 12 13 So Exhibit No. 1 consists of three photographs, 0 an overhead diagram, and then a list of cameras. 14 15 So I think it's difficult for everybody to see. 16 I'm going to zoom in, and we're going to do this in sections like we did before so that we can actually see 17 what we're talking about. 18 19 Do you recognize that diagram, sir? 20 Yes, I do. Α 21 All right. And what does that diagram show? Well, this particular section is right -- the bar 22 right here is bar 3, or the Broadway Bar, and this is The 23 Steakhouse area. 24

1	Ω Can you put a mark on what you're talking about.
2	A This is the or bar 3 right here.
3	Q Would that be the Broadway Bar or the Showroom
4	bar
5	A Broadway Bar. Some call it the Showroom, but I
6	think the official name is Broadway Bar.
7	Q Broadway Bar?
8	A We refer to it as Bar 3 reference
9	Q Okay.
10	A But The Steakhouse right here. If you walk past
11	up this way, this is the way to the Oyster Bar. And if
12	you go up this way, you go up towards Trader Dick's and
13	Q We're talking about this way. I'm going the
14	wrong way.
15	A Yeah. We just followed it up from here. We'd be
16	coming up this way. This is the Trader Dick's bar area.
17	This is the Noodle Hut area. This area right in here is a
18	back-of-the-house hallway behind the Noodle Hut.
19	And if you could move it yeah, there you go.
20	This is the Noodle Hut here. Trader Dick's is right here.
21	We were just down at this way. This is The Steakhouse
22	here. And this hallway is a back-of-the-house hallway
23	that leads to the kitchen area.
24	You can go off inside The Steakhouse here or

behind the Noodle Hut or go back out and around the Trader Dick's. And this is the walkway that heads past Trader Dick's. This is the Slot Section 4 here, and once again Trader Dick's and...

- Q Let's keep moving --
- A Headed in the same direction there, yeah.
- o -- east.

A Trader Dick's here. Back here is the Trader Dick's restaurant. This is the Trader Dick's bar. Also the fish tank, the big fish tank that we have at Trader Dick's bar. But this is the restaurant here, Trader Dick's restaurant.

This is a dance floor, and they do karaoke and just invited -- you know, small group or a single band, whatever, for entertainment and dancing there.

We would be walking this way. From where we were before, we were walking up this way. The restrooms by Rosie's -- we call it Rosie's because Rosie's is right next to it right over here.

So you have Trader Dick's, the dance floor, the restrooms, and then, if you moved that over, we'd have Rosie's right there. That's just following this area up.

This is -- when you see the 600, 626, 618, that tells you -- that's what we call Section 6. Over here is

the 400, 414, 436. That's referred to as Section 5. So as we walk up, we went from Section 3 to Section 4 to -- or Section 4 there is -- 400 is Section 4, so -- and then this is 600s when you see those on that map.

- Q All right. And, now, these rectangles here that have the numbers on them, 628 --
 - A Those are slot banks.

- Q Those are slot banks. And then this area that has the kind of the wall around it here?
- That's just considered the high limits slot area. That's where we have the hundred dollar machines and some \$25 machines and other, rather than just dollars and nickels and stuff like that. That's Section 4, but it's bounded. And that's the high limit area, is what we refer to it as.
 - Q And where did the fight occur?
- A Approximately right in -- approximately right in here is where it started, in this -- in this section right in here (indicating).
- Q Now, this exhibit has some camera numbers on it; is that correct?
 - A That's correct.
- Q All right. Can you talk a little bit about the numbers on this exhibit, and we'll start at one end and

kind of work our way down, if we could do that.

A Sure. Okay. I have these labeled here Camera 37 and 38. Those are fixed cameras, "fixed" meaning we can't move them around. They're fixed in one particular shot, one particular view. Most of the time they're covering a slot area or something that we needed to cover. So that's 38 and 37.

As we go up here, this Camera 46 is a pan-tilt-zoom that's on the walkway there. This Camera 261 right here is actually a cash register camera fixing on the Noodle Hut cash register area where you would be placing your order.

- Q Can I interrupt you for one second. Now, when you made reference to Camera 46 --
 - A 46.

Q -- is that Monitor 1?

A That's on Monitor 1 on what we produce. It's on its own recording normally. But if we -- we have the ability to the call up any camera we have on any one of 16 monitors that we have in the room. So any of these can appear on any of the monitors, whoever is operating the equipment at the time and whatever camera view they want to bring up.

Q Because there's essentially two views of the

beginning of the fight; is that correct?

A That's correct.

- Q All right. And so one is a view looking towards the south, and that would be consistent with Camera 46; is that accurate?
- A Yes, I believe that was 46 that was zoomed in on that.
 - Q We'll go through those cameras in a little bit.
- A That would be used to -- that can get that area.

 That area is just a few feet down this way.
 - Q All right.
- A These cameras here, B58 and B59 -- B stands for back of the house. It's how we number them. And that covers basically this hallway here. The other camera that's on this view right now are these at the Oyster Bar where it says Camera -- put the Oyster Bar, if you would -- move -- there. Okay. The cameras around the Oyster Bar, they're labeled as 211.
 - Q The Oyster Bar or Trader Dick's?
- A Trader Dick's. Sorry about that. These are the ones covering the -- primarily they're for the cash register and viewing of exchanging the drinks and cash at the bar. So we have Camera 211 -- 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, and 216, and they're -- I have the arrows drawn in

there to show which direction the camera view is really going. Those are not movable cameras. So they're just basically covering around the bar so we can see activity at and around the bar. Usually the patron is sitting at it, and just whatever the view gives us.

Back over this way, down here at the end of Section -- this Section 4 here and before Section 6 starts -- see, 603 is Section 6 -- there's Camera 45 right here. And that's a PTZ. That's a pan-tilt-zoom movable camera. And that, once again, just for demonstration, that would cover everything around -- PTZ goes all the way around -- wherever we want to use it and point it. So it covers a lot of area.

There's a Camera 7 right here, which is over by the pit. This is Pit 2, "pit" meaning the gaming pit. At that time we usually kept that pointed at the opening of Rosie's, just for traffic coming in and out of Rosie's and stuff like that. So even though the camera is down here -- it's a PTZ also, but we leave it honed or parked when not in use at the Rosie's entrance.

Down here in this corner -- we can barely see it -- it says Camera 3, and that's, again, a pit camera. It's over here for use in pit coverage when we need it. It's a PTZ, so it can cover all the way around,

360 degrees. We usually left this one pointed at the entrances to the -- these are Rosie's restrooms right here, men's and women's restroom. So it was covering -- when not in use, it's pointed that way so we know where to fix. So it's pointed there. 7 is pointed towards Rosie's.

3 .

One other camera that we have here is Camera 61, which is a PTZ. And we leave that pointed generally in an opposite direction over into -- across Section 6 and get some of the slot machines in this area. It's a PTZ, covers -- it can go around and cover a lot of areas.

So those are the primary cameras that were there. Two of them are pit, identified in 45, 61 and Camera 3.

- Q What is this area that I'm circling?
- A That's the Gaming Pit 2 table games. Pit 2.
- So that would be your poker, Pai Gow, 21, those types of -- not poker, but it would be 21 and Pai Gow?
- A 21, Pai Gow, it's got roulette here, crap game, and then Three Card Poker; anything played in the pit.
- Q So then if we kept traveling along the yellow brick road and past the bathrooms and past Rosie's?
- A This area right here, as you passed Rosie's, you go past Rosie's, this is a keno lounge for the gold keno.

 And, once again, we're still at Section 6, around in

Q

23

24

THE CLERK: Part of 1?

MR. HALL: May I have this marked as part of 1?

1	MR. HALL: Part of 1 would be fine.
2	THE CLERK: 1A?
3	MR. HALL: 1A.
4	(Exhibit No. 1A marked.)
5	BY MR. HALL:
6	Ω Sir, when you filled out your report, you gave a
7	complete list of the cameras and the camera areas that
8	they were recording; is that correct?
9	A Yes, I gave you a list of
10	Q All right. Let me show you what's been marked as
11	1A. You wrote out your statement; we typed it out. Does
12	that appear to be a true and accurate list of cameras and
13	the areas that they were recording?
14	A That appears to be the complete list that I
15	handed you, yes.
16	Q All right.
17	MR. HALL: Move for admission of 1A.
18	THE COURT: Any objection?
19	MR. LYON: No objection, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Admitted.
21	(Exhibit No. 1A admitted.)
22	BY MR. HALL:
23	Q Do you recall doing the walk-through video?
24	A Yes.

So since we just -- we videotaped what we just 1 kind of walked through, so I'd like to play that. 2 THE COURT: Is that an exhibit, Mr. Hall? 3 MR. HALL: Yes, it is. And that has been marked 4 I believe as Exhibit No. 152. Is that correct? 5 The thumb drive. 6 THE CLERK: It was 151. 7 THE COURT: Any objection? 8 MR. LYON: No objection, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Exhibit 151 is admitted. 10 (Exhibit No. 151 admitted.) 11 BY MR. HALL: 12 Sir, as we walk through this, if there's any time 13 you want me to stop or slow down --14 15 MR. HALL: I'd like permission to allow this 16 witness to narrate what we're watching and what we're 17 seeing on the video. And if you need me to pause it, I certainly can do that. 18 THE COURT: Any objection? 19 MR. HOUSTON: No objection. 20 THE COURT: You may do so. 21 22 THE WITNESS: Victorian Avenue looking towards 23 the front from across the street, looking towards the

front of the building. We're looking south at this time.

24

24

Just heading into the Oyster Bar doors.

Do you know where the Hells Angels had their

It was outside, as I recall. I don't know their exact -- just out front on the Victorian side.

That's the Oyster Bar we just passed on the This is called the Oyster Bar bar. That's just the outside bar, beverage bar, liquor, all that kind of

That's the Oyster Bar doors on the outside. And that was the -- just coming around.

That's pointed up at the ceiling up at Camera -that's a PTZ camera up there, PTZ 30.

Walking past the keno outstation that we were

The tiled walkway that we're walking down takes us all the way through and through the club, and you just follow the whole -- through the whole club.

This is Section 2 walking into Section 3 here, all the slot sections. So we're in Section 3, it would be

Taking a look back north, there's the Victorian Street door, second set.

Still in Section 3. All the way to the 1 2 Section 3 -- it would be all the way to the -- what you're looking at in those red lights above is the Showroom. 3 That's where you'd be headed continuing this way. 4 Turning to the left, those doors are the Last 5 Chance Joe doors, which are identified. It's the third 6 set of doors going to Victorian Street. 7 The bar we're headed up to right now, that's 8 Bar 3, or the Broadway Bar. 9 10 You're looking at -- straight ahead you're looking at the Showroom entrance. 11 That's another exit? 12 0 13 Yeah. Going all the way through those -- I 14 believe we turn right on this -- but going all the way 15 through, we end up going past the branding iron display and out to the 11th Street/Victorian doors. 16 17 So this is the Broadway Bar on the left? Q. This is the Broadway Bar, Bar 3, on the left. 18 19 And coming up on the right is The Steakhouse. 20 So we're four minutes into the video, just to 21 identify the time on the video. And that's just a show of the cook station at 22 Α 23 the --

Directly ahead, is that the high stakes?

24

Q

A Yeah, just off to the left. We veer to the right, but all that to the left is the high limit slot area.

To the right we're just passing the Noodle Hut, and the bar we see off to the right is Trader Dick's with the seating area for eating and drinking and whatever you get at Trader Dick's.

As we're passing the high limit area, off to the left where that -- this is an e-ticket redemption box.

And all those machines there are all in Section 6, which we saw that we had Camera 45 and 46 and 61 and that.

We're in that area, those PTZs.

We come across here, the dance floor that we identified. That Trader Dick's dance floor is off to the right, but now we're looking back across from that over to Section 6.

Camera 45 is somewhere right up in here, which was looking at that time. Once again, we're looking back at Trader Dick's, just circling around. The dance floor is all in here. You can see -- there we go. We can see the seating around the dance floor and the small dance floor there.

We're looking up towards Rosie's now. The bathrooms are right up here, and then Rosie's was the next

restaurant.

That's the Noodle Hut. We've gone back to the north end of the -- Trader Dick's. So there's the Noodle Hut. Here's a little camera that's watching the Noodle Hut cash register area right here up in the ceiling there.

The cameras for Noodle Hut bar, they're going to be hard to see with the lighting, but they're all up under the overhang.

Q The Trader Dick's bar?

A The Trader Dick's, yeah. They're all up under the canopy under there, all fixed shots, just to cover that area. That's where the wine cabinet is for Trader Dick's.

We're on the back side of Trader Dick's. The restaurant is over here, and the Trader Dick's bar is over here, and this is seating for the bar area.

As we walk straight ahead, we're walking and looking into where dance floor is here. This is the restaurant entrance, and we're looking back towards slot Section 6 that way.

That's the restaurant entrance to Trader Dick's restaurant.

This is the dance hall, dance hall, dance floor, whatever, with some seating of the Trader Dick's dance

1 area.

21.

We're looking through to the -- Section 6 and what's the -- we would be headed south here, and this is the south end of Section 6, and we're going to walk through there.

Okay. We'll walk right through there and -- where this post is, Camera 61, out there across the way over in Section 6, it's posted right up over there looking off.

45 would look from over there and look straight in.

Now we're looking at 9:14 in the video and we're looking from Trader Dick's into the approximate area where Pettigrew was shot?

A Yes.

Q So that would be about the view that the defendant would have had when he was shooting? The bullet casings were found in the -- on the carpet there?

A Yes, that would be a -- we were looking right at that spot where Pettigrew was -- was hit.

Q Then this would depict the way the defendant ran after he shot Pettigrew in the back?

A Yes, the back side of Trader Dick's bar, that seating area.

That opening right there where this guy is standing is -- is a crossway that goes into The Steakhouse, or you can turn left or right and you would be in that back hallway that I pointed out several times between -- behind the Noodle Hut.

Here's the hallway. You can turn left, go down, and you would end up in the old kitchen back there, the kitchen that was originally for the Farmhouse or that.

And those are the doors leading out into the casino area.

And, once again, now we're going into The Steakhouse. We passed just the short cooking area and then we're in the actual seating area for The Steakhouse.

Off to the right there, those are the aisleway exit. This is usually the customer entrance into The Steakhouse. It's not locked off or blocked off with doors or anything. We just have the rope and the sign there telling us when it's open and that.

Now we're out into the casino area. The bar, Bar 3, or Broadway Bar, is off to the left there as we walked out.

We're back at the view under the -- from the dance hall area walking back out to the aisleway.

And now we're headed south again.

This is the Section 6 and walking towards the pit

area.

And as you saw in the map, that's the roulette table that was depicted there. Camera 3 is over this way.

That was looking out towards the restrooms, so behind us.

- Q And that's table TC2. Can you see that?
- A The one you went into was 3 card 2; 3 card, poker 2.

Looking back towards the restrooms there. Rosie's.

We're looking and headed south again, looking this way.

The slot sections on both sides, that's all Section 6.

360 degrees and we're at the restrooms again. We just passed the dance floor, passed the gold keno area.

We're headed south. We come to the junction where Bar 6, which is the Horseshoe Bar coming up ~~ the seating you see is one side of the Horseshoe Bar.

- You have cameras in this area as well?
- A We have cameras in a couple areas around the bar here. Of course, off to the left here where the pit was, Pit 1, south of the bar was to this side. We have pit cameras that cover that.

Right about where we're standing right now

looking down, we have a camera -- it zooms all the way around. We have a camera behind us here, PTZ70.

Q So the PTZs are the movable ones?

A Pan, tilt, and zoom, yeah. 360 degrees movable. They have lens controls so we can zoom in and out.

This is where -- Camera 70 was just up in the ceiling there. It looks down towards the east tower elevators, and then behind us is the Bar 6. That's John's Club Bar or John's Club club, the club desk for the players card.

That's all Section 6 there.

Coming around to your right, we will come back to the Bar 6, Horseshoe Bar. We're going to see -- this is the second side which we've already seen. We walked up to that second side prior to this.

We're headed around towards Pit 1. On the right is the first side that we talked about, the first side of the -- of the Horseshoe Bar. And the doors you see there are 11th Street doors.

The elevators there that you see go to bingo. We call them the bingo elevators. They go to the third floor only or down to the -- stop at the second floor and third floor and down to the basement where the bingo parlor is.

Q Do you have any cameras upstairs in the

convention area?

1.7

A We have a few cameras up in the Rose Ballroom area.

- Q Did you search to see if you had any video of any of those meetings that the Vagos had that night?
- A Yes. But the Vagos were in the Pavillion area, from what I understand. That's why we didn't find any meetings at all up there. The Pavilion is over in the new west tower area, and we don't have that covered. So that's a -- there's no cameras been placed up there.
- Q So is your focus essentially in areas where there's gaming going on to protect the casino and the customers where typically your drinking and gambling is going on?
- A Correct Most of the money areas, uncounted money and counted monies and things that -- they ring up money, so that's our primary cameras. Other than that we fill it in as needed around as they built up the camera system.
- Q Now, who was in charge of the cameras at 11:30, between 10:00 and 11:30, 12:00 o'clock?
- A Depends on the day. But I have a staff that's on -- usually the graveyard shift is here by that time.
 - Q All right. And I was just referring to who was

1 working the cameras on the night in question, the 23rd. 2 Margaret was working them that night. 3 Q Okay. And did you get a call? I got a call about 11:30 at home. 4 Α 5 All right. And then did you come down? 0 I got dressed and came down. 6 7 0 All right. When you got dressed and came down, what was your -- what were you trying to do at that time? 8 9 Well, I came into the surveillance room where 10 others were already gathered and reviewed the video that she had already. This was about -- I got there about ten 11 till to 12:00 midnight. I got there pretty quick. 12 13 And so I reviewed what video she had of the incidents and we started from there. 14 15 All right. So did any police officers respond to the surveillance booth to assist you in looking at video? 16 17 Α There was a number of, if you want to -don't ask me, by name, everybody, but there were -- on and 18 19 off through the night there were police officers. I believe there was people from -- investigators from the 20 21 DA's office, a couple of DAs in and out, in and out. 22 think we had some FBI there at one time. But it was a 23 full room during the night.

We worked primarily with the -- an investigator

and a member of the Sparks P.D., and they were asking as many questions as I was finding answers to about different angles. And that's how we came up with all the camera angles and views, and we reviewed a lot more than those during that time.

Q All right. And so you made a recording or a compilation of the video that you thought depicted the crimes that we believe were committed?

A Correct. We have the ability there to take the video, play the video off of the videotapes through a -- it's actually what's called a -- it's a brand of TV video equipment on our computers, on just PCs. And we can transfer the videotaped video onto digital through that PC. We burn those into digital video, and those are the copies that were made and gave to law enforcement and everything like that, with the statements.

Q All right. So the police collected the tapes, the raw tapes, which have been a series of approximately 13 VHS tapes; is that right?

A I believe that's correct, yeah.

Q All right. But in the meantime, you made a compilation of the VHS tapes and put them on a digital format?

A Correct.

1.4

1	Q All right. And so that's what we're looking at
2	now is the digital?
3	A Right. That's
4	Q Copies
5	A Right.
6	Q of the tapes from the Nugget?
7	A Correct.
8	Q All right. And one of the areas that we looked
9	at so let me just go back for one second.
10	So I know it's nobody can see anything on that
11	computer, but that just for reference, on the computer
12	we've loaded the disc. It's a 48 or 16-gigabyte thumb
13	drive, and that thumb drive contains many of the videos
14	that were collected.
15	So as we walk through the diagram, we identified
16	several cameras. For example, Camera 3. And do you
17	remember what Camera 3 depicts?
18	A Camera 3 was primarily pointed at the restrooms,
19	the Rosie's restrooms. They watched traffic in and out of
20	the restrooms.
21	Q The next one would be Camera 5. What does Camera
22	5 depict?
23	A Camera 5 is over in Pit 2, such as Camera 3 was,
24	but it's the next camera over. It's a pan-and-tilt, and

that is one that was pointed towards a section of where
the fight spilled into over through Section 6 and was
looking towards where the fight initiated. It was pointed
towards that direction.
Q All right. Just another visual reference here.
So this is Exhibit No. 130, which is a similar diagram.
Do you see that, sir?
A Yes.
Q All right.
MR. LYON: Your Honor
THE COURT: He was just showing the witness.
MR. LYON: Okay. But if he's going to point to
something, we'd like to be able to see it.
THE COURT: So Exhibit 130 is admitted; is that
correct?
MR. LYON: It is, Your Honor.
(Exhibit No. 130 admitted.)
BY MR. HALL:
Q I think we can just use this as a reference for
right now. I just wanted to make sure you could identify
this as essentially the same
A That's our CAD drawing
Q schematic of the area that we've been using
for reference.

That's correct. 1 2 THE COURT: Can you pull it back a little bit, Mr. Hall, so -- because I can't see it either. Can you 3 put it over here in front of the clerk? 4 MR. HALL: Okay. It's just --5 THE COURT: Is it too small? 7 MR. HALL: Yeah. I thought it would be easier for the jury to see if it was a little closer. That's why 8 I had it in that area. 9 10 THE COURT: We'll see --11 MR. HOUSTON: Do you mind if we walk over? THE COURT: No problem. 12 13 Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, can you see it where it is now, or do you need it closer? Just tell me 14 15 if you need it closer. Okay. MR. HALL: Judge, the wheel came off the -- can 16 17 we just have a break so I can put the wheel back on? 18 THE COURT: Do you have to hold it up? We're on a tight schedule, Mr. Hall. 19 MR. HALL: That tight? 20 21 The bailiff will take care of it for THE COURT: 22 you. 23 Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, when you have a 24 chance, call your county commissioners and tell them about

the fact that we don't have music to override the 1 2 conversations at the bench and the wheels are literally 3 falling off some of our equipment. MR. HALL: The wheels are coming off. THE COURT: Thank you. 5 Okay. Counsel, you may proceed. 6 MR. HALL: All right. I'm just going to show him 7 where the cameras are. 8 BY MR. HALL: 9 10 All right. So using Exhibit 1, essentially we can overlay it like so. 11 12 So we just mentioned Camera 3, which would be down here in the 628 area; is that correct? 13 14 А No. It's over by the pit, over -- if you would like, I'll point them all out. I mean --15 Okay. Why don't you just come down and point 16 17 them all out. We can put our cameras on here. 18 Camera 3 would be right over in this area right here in the pit area, and it was pointed up this way to 19 20 the bathrooms. 21 Camera 7 is over down this way and, again, pointed over towards here. 22 23 Camera 5, which we mentioned, is right up in here 24 over in this section and was pointed straight down here

towards Trader Dick's.

This is the dance area here that we talked about.

46 is in the aisleway right about here and is generally looking up the aisleway this way.

These are all the cameras around Trader Dick's bar, fixed cameras. A fixed camera on the Noodle Hut.

- Q So 211 through 215 would be around --
- A Around this area.
- Q -- the fish tank?

A 261 is this view, which gets a little bit of this. This is the cashier area. And other than that, those were the primary cameras.

Camera 61 is over right about here, and it was facing this -- either this way or over this way. I'd have to look at what it was. But Camera 61 is a PTZ right about here, and it's looking back towards the pit. We saw that.

- O Then we have the Horseshoe Bar here?
- A Horseshoe Bar. We've seen this side from the pit and then this side. We also went around to this side.

Camera 70 is over here generally pointed at the elevators, which are right here. And 70 is a PTZ, so it gets circled all the way around. We have several that can do that right in that area.

And then the last part of the walk-through video, 1 2 we just walked back down past the Cabaret over to the 3 cashier's cage? I didn't see -- we didn't see that part on that. 4 I know we did that. We were up here, walked around down 5 this way and then came back up. In fact, you stopped the 6 video at that point. 7 I think I was talking and then distracted your That's why I mentioned that. 9 attention. That's the area -- we followed the 10 Okav. 11 camera -- that walk-through went all the way here, and we followed it down all through here. 12 The Steakhouse is right here, which we followed 13 through. We walked down the back side of the bar right 14 here through the dance area and followed it all the way up 15 and over here and looked at this area here. And then we 16 17 were going back towards the 11th Street doors, which is right over here. 18 All right. Why don't you go ahead and have a 19 20 seat. Thank you. So Camera 3. this indicates it's 23:22. 21. does each camera view have a --22 23 THE COURT: Excuse me. What?

24

MR. LYON: I apologize, Mr. Hall. Could you just

move your board back a little bit? I can't see the 1 2 witness. Thank you. 3 BY MR. HALL: 4 So Camera 3 looks towards the bathrooms; is that 5 correct? 6 That's correct. 7 All right. And we were talking about the time. 8 So the time indicated on MUX 4 is 23:22:45; is that right? 9 10 Α That's right. Now, is the camera on -- is the time synced up on 11 all the cameras? 12 Each recording, each VCR recording, has its 13 A own time/date generator. They're not tied into anything 14 15 that coordinates them. So we set them periodically to keep them as close as possible to each other. 16 The time/date there that's seen on this video is 17 from a VCR that's recording a multiplexor, the 18 19 Multiplex No. 4. So that's why that label is there. So it records 16 cameras at a time. 20 21 All right. So this is kind of jumpy video. 22 Obviously it's only taking a picture every couple seconds? 23 A multiplexor is usually -- the way these analog

multiplexors are set up, they take about -- they take

about 16 cameras in a second, and it's about -- and then it jumps from recording the first camera to the second camera to the third camera to the fourth camera, 16, and then starts over again. So they're not all independent of each other.

So that's what the jumpiness is. It's just like time-lapse almost because it's just playing back wherever Camera 3 is, wherever that spot is in Multiplexor 4.

Q And did you make a list of the time differences between the various cameras?

A Yes. I used a -- it's in my statement, but I used a particular -- I believe the monitor time which was the closest to real time or -- you know, that you call up on the telephone and get a real time. And I took a similar event with each of the recordings that I could tell from the recording off of that one, my main -- whatever the monitor was that I used as my time/date stamp, and I figured out an event from another view that I could see on the time/date and figured out how much drift there was in the time/dates, and I listed all of those.

I believe that each of those camera views that I turned over as evidence, and it's in my statement, but I believe -- I was really surprised it was less than a minute between any one of those cameras for the exact same

instance of an event. So there wasn't much time lag 1 between each -- each video. 2 So do you recall what you put in your report in 3 terms of the difference between the different video 4 5 recordings? I believe it was just -- less than one minute. 6 forget the exact seconds of each one. 7 Well, if I showed you a copy of your report, 9 would that refresh your recollection? Yes. 10 Α Is that a copy of your report, sir? 11 Yes, it is. 12 And does that -- is that the portion of your 13 report that reflects the time difference on the various 14 15 cameras? Yes, it does. And MUX 4 had a time/date stamp 16 approximately 15 seconds behind the baseline times, so it 17 was 15 seconds before the baseline. 18 19 And what was our baseline? 20 The Monitor 1 record time/date stamp, the recorder on that was the baseline for the event. 21 Ι 22 calculated all events happening from what was recorded on any of the Monitor 1 recordings. 23 0 All right. So you used Monitor 1 as your 24

baseline, and so then you calculated the difference between the other cameras using Monitor 1 as --

A Yes. So the event happened on Monitor 1, let's say 12 midnight, just for an example, and I found the same event by recording it on another -- from playing it back from whatever MUX or video recorder and said, well, that event happened 15 seconds earlier on this recording, but it's the same event. So that's how I came up with the time/date on each event and said they happened at this particular time.

- Q All right. So what's the difference between Monitor 1 and, again, the cameras on --
 - A MUX 4?

1.5

Q -- the fish tank?

Well, we've got MUX 4, so let's talk about MUX 4.

- A MUX 4 is what you have up here, and that was 15 seconds less. So if I said it happened at 000 hours, it would have been 15 seconds left, and it happened 15 seconds before the 000 hour.
- Q Right. Can you tell what happens by people's reactions or -- give you a good time?
- A Correct. Yeah. I find an event that I can say I've got it recorded here and he walked by that place at 000, play back the recorded either VCR or multiplexor and

look at that same event when he walked by the same spot 1 and say, oh, okay, the time was plus or minus --2 All right. 3 -- and gave you the listings for those. 4 Now, the 215 section or the 200s around the fish 5 tank --6 The fish tank. 7 Α -- what's the time difference from --There's none -- on the 215 between those cameras, 9 10 that's on a -- that's on a digital recorder. And that was -- let's see. 11 So all of those -- and each one of those 12 13 individuals just at the bar, 211, 212, and the four that 14 are around there that we talked about, they were on -they would each have the exact same timestamp because they 15 16 were on one DVR. 17 I'm just checking. The bar DVR has two timestamps on it. 18 19 Okay. On the DVR, it has two timestamps that we 20 ran across. One is called POS timestamp. We have a 21 software that we record over the -- it's an overlay on the 22 video that is connected to the register. So when the

registers register a time, they have their time, and then

we have the video time, which is at the bottom of the

23

video.

Once again, that software is not necessarily timed in. That's timed in to the registers, what thir ticket time is, and then our video time is on our video. So they end up both -- I timed both of those on that DVR, and the point of sale, POS, timestamp is two minutes behind the baseline time and the DVR is 35 seconds behind the baseline time.

- Q Let's take a look at that for an example.
- A Up at the top right you see the 11:24:07, 08. That is the POS timestamp from the cash register that that is tied into.

And this red down here is the DVR timestamp that's being generated on every recording of that DVR. There's no time lapse or anything like that.

So those are two different timestamps. If you notice right down here, the DVR timestamp is 9/23/11. It's 23:29:56, and this says that it's 11:24:41. So there's a difference in those two timestamps. The one we have control of is the DVR time. This timestamp, the POS, was two minutes behind the baseline time and this was about 35 seconds behind the baseline time.

- So the point of sale time is the one on the top?
- 24 A Uh-huh.

And then the one that you can adjust is the time 1 on the bottom? 2 А Yes. 3 And then what's the difference between that time 4 and Monitor 1? Did you use the one on the bottom to 5 compare to Monitor 1? 6 Well, I compared both of them. As I said, this 8 one here is two minutes behind and this one is only 9 35 seconds behind. 10 Okay. Q 11 So. . . Now, this is Camera 216? 12 Q 13 Α This is 216. All right. But on our -- I believe on our list 14 it's identified as 215. 15 16 Do you remember why you captured this portion of the video? 17 18 This portion of the video is on the back side of the bar, of Trader Dick's bar, and it's looking up 19 towards -- here is the entrance to the dance floor. 20 Ιf 21 you're walking -- you see these patrons leaving off to the 22 That's that aisleway between the restaurant and 23 the dance floor. If you look up here -- well, the detail might be 24

a little hard to tell, but up here, once those people move out of the way, you're looking into the dance floor with people in there and some posts and stuff. But all of this area is looking towards or into the dance floor area.

Q All right.

THE COURT: Is this a good time to stop maybe?

MR. HALL: Sure.

THE COURT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take our first break this morning.

During this break do not discuss the case among yourselves or with any other person. Do not form or express any opinion about the ultimate outcome of this matter. Do not make any independent investigation or inquiry into any of the facts and circumstances surrounding the case. Do not allow anyone to speak of the case to you or in any manner attempt to influence you with regard to it. Should any person make such an attempt, report it to the Court immediately. Do not listen to, view, or read any news media accounts or any other accounts regarding this case.

Go ahead and go into the jury room.

Court's in recess.

(A recess was taken.)

(The following proceedings were held in open court, outside the presence of the jury.)

1	THE COURT: Counsel, are we ready to proceed?
2	MR. LYON: Yes, Your Honor.
3	MR. STEGE: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Go ahead and bring the jury in.
5	(The following proceedings were
6	held in open court, in the presence of the jury.)
7	THE COURT: Counsel, will you stipulate to the
8	presence of the jury?
9	MR. HALL: Yes, Your Honor.
10	MR. LYON: Yes, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Thank you. Please proceed.
12	
13	CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. HALL:
15	Q Where we left off, we were looking at Camera 216
16	and we were briefly mentioning the time differences
17	between the different cameras. And you indicated that you
18	had documented that in your report; is that right?
19	A That's correct.
20	Q All right. And that's kind of an extensive list.
21	Is that true?
22	A True.
23	Q All right.
24	MR. HALL: Your Honor, I'd like to have this
	į

1	marked and admitted as State's next in order.
2	THE COURT: Do you want it like 1B?
3	MR. HALL: Yes, please.
4	THE CLERK: Exhibit 1B marked.
5	(Exhibit No. 1B marked.)
6	THE COURT: Mr. Lyon, any objection
7	MR. LYON: No objection.
8	THE COURT: to its admission? It's admitted.
9	(Exhibit No. 1B admitted.)
10	BY MR. HALL:
11	Q So we were looking at the west side of the fish
12	tank. So looking at Exhibit 130, we're looking at this
13	area right up here; is that correct?
14	A That's correct.
1 5	Q All right. So you were trying to identify
16	Gonzalez, right?
17	A That's correct.
18	Q You didn't know his name at that time. You were
1 9	trying to figure out who shot Mr. Pettigrew; is that
20	right?
21	A That's correct.
22	Q All right. And so you were able to capture
23	Mr. Gonzalez running back behind the fish tank in this
24	clip; is that right?

Just for reference, we're at 11:24:53. I'll just 1 back it up a little bit. 2 So Mr. Gonzalez is right here? 3 If you back it up one more time, I 4 Correct. think you see him coming through a little bit squarer, 5 more definitive. 6 So this is -- now, could you -- when you looked 7 8 at the video, is this when the shooting started? This was -- the shooting had already started. 9 10 mean, if you're talking from the fight, it had already been in progress, so... 11 Right. The Pettigrew punch --12 13 All that ---- the glasses being smashed --14 Q Yes. 15 Α -- all that stuff? 16 Q 17 So when the shooting starts, he's not defending anybody at that time? 18 He wasn't -- he wasn't in the area of where the 19 20 fight started and all that. He had retreated. 21 So when all the shooting is going on, he's not Q shooting? 22 23 Not out in the front area. This is... So then there's a camera that depicts the -- I 24 Q

guess it would be the north end of the fish tank; is that, 1 . 2 correct? Yes. Well, we have it on both sides, so 3 whichever side you want to put up. 4 . All right. So Camera 214 -- let me just hand you 5 That would be Exhibit 1. It has the camera the diagram. 6 7 references. 214 would be back in this area? 8 9 Α 214 -- yeah, it's -- yes, it's back in that area. 10 0 All right. And so this is where we see the defendant pull his gun and check his gun? 11 At that end of the bar. 12 13 All right. Let's go to 214. 14 So here we see Mr. Gonzalez. So he's retreated all the way to the north end of the -- so running from 15 where Trader Dick's is all the way to the north end of the 16 fish tank --17 Correct. 18 Α 19 -- the fish tank bar? 20 All right. Then we see him check his gun in this 21 area, monitor the situation? Yeah, if you start it up and just watch right at 22 the end here. 23

24

0

Now, if we compare this to the video on Monitor 1

1	and Camera 45, we see that the shooting's already
2	happened, right?
3	A We yeah, that's where it starts. We won't see
4	him in that particular part of the melee. We see him
5	there before, but not once once the it starts.
6	Q He goes back and gets his gun. So that's where
7	he's checking his gun right there?
8	A That's what it appears is right there is where
9	he
10	Ω So right there
11	A He's headed back up.
12	Q He's headed back up to do some shooting?
13	A He's headed south past the same bar area back.
14	Q Did I go too far? Here we go.
15	So then if we go back to 215, he goes running by
16	at 11:24:55?
17	A Uh-huh. He's at the other end of the bar right
18	now, which we can't see on this video.
19	So this is a Friday night, so we'd expect there
20	would be music playing on the dance floor and a lot of
21	people in the casino that night?
22	A Correct.
23	Here he comes back into the view.
24	Q Now he's got his gun out?

Yes. 1 Α You can see the gun in his left hand? Right. 3 Α So we see him walk back in a southerly direction 4 Q towards 5 The dance floor. 6 Α -- the dance floor at 11:25:46? 7 Uh-huh. 8 Α With gun in hand. 9 Q 10 Now, can you see -- if you're walking behind this 11 fish tank, can you see what's going on out in the casino 12 if you were on the west side of the fish tank where he is? 13 You can -- you cannot see -- you might be able to see through the fish tank, in a murky sort of way, some of 14 15 the area out there. When you're at the south end, you'd 16 have to almost look around the fish tank to be around and get to the east end of that to look around. 17 18 If you're in the corner, you don't really have a straight shot through the murky water, you know. 19 only possible thing on this side, you might be able to see 20 21 some activity through the fish tank. You know what I'm Through that -- from this end of the bar you can 22 saving? 23 see some people and activity if it's over there.

As it gets to the north -- as it gets to the

south side of the bar here, he's got an opening past the bar to look in that whole area in front of the bar in the aisleway. And as you look, you can look through the dance hall area, assuming no one's standing in your way or whatnot. But, yeah, you have a view of everything looking through the dance hall. It's not walled off or anything. It's all open.

- Q Well, there is a wall on the corner of the dance floor, right?
- A But if you're looking -- yeah, there's that one wall, but everything through just past that to the bathrooms, that's all wide open. As we saw when we did the walk-through, it was wide open.
- Q Right. So as you're walking south, you can walk into the dance floor, over to the left is a wall of bushes, and then on the east side right next to the walkway is the --
 - A Menu.

- Q -- menu. So there's a wall there that partially obstructs that view into that area?
 - A I'm just following with my finger what I see.

 And here he comes.
- Q And there he goes running out after he's shot Pettigrew, right?

A I believe so, because I observed flashes of light that were not flashing before he got there. You can see light flashes that would be either a light going off or it could be gunshots; either type of activity.

You can count while he's in that area. I know it's kind of dark there. I was following where he was going, that green line. Those flickers right there. And those stop and he comes running out.

Q Right there at 11:26:02 or so?

- A Or so, yeah. Right there. And here he comes back around.
 - Q Okay. Do you know how he got out of the casino?

A Not particularly. I speculate because -- more of an extraction of where he wasn't and because of some of the areas that he would have covered. I believe he -- I know he did not go past the Oyster Bar because we have full coverage. If he passed by there or crawled past there, whatnot, we would have seen that. So we know that he did not go past by the wine rack because we have that view, and he did not go down that way.

The only other way between those two places would have been in the hallway just past the Noodle Hut that crosses into The Steakhouse. We did not see him go down the long kitchen hallway. We did not find him in the back

kitchen or anything.

If he went into The Steakhouse, we missed him crossing that aisle only because it was on the multiplexor. And so in the timeframe between pictures, it's two steps across the hallway. We would not have seen him. And we wouldn't have seen him in The Steakhouse. We have no coverage in The Steakhouse per se. And that's a quick, easy way out of there.

Q All right. Now -- so going now to Camera 3, which is MUX 4 which is in front of that bathroom, that's when we can see what's going on before Pettigrew gets shot; is that right? This is a view of the aisle where Pettigrew goes down, correct?

A No. Pettigrew goes down in the aisle right next to us, I believe. I don't believe we have that --

- Q All right.
- A -- that one right there.
- Q Let's take a look at this and see what it shows.

 (Videotape played.)

20 BY MR. HALL:

- Q So your baseline time, does it show the actual fight break out at around 23:26?
- A To my recollection, that's about right, 23:25 something or -- right up to 25, 26, when you talk about

1 the punch being thrown and that --2 O - Yes. -- gunfire, you can observe from the patronage 3 here, they react when they, obviously, hear gunfire and 4 They're not strolling any longer. 5 6 So this is the first set of Hells Angels walking Q through? You can tell with the red hats and red attire? 7 Α Yes. 8 So that's at 23:24:50 or so? 9 10 Α Correct. And you can see a group of females to the lower 11 left-hand corner looking down towards Trader Dick's; is 12 that correct? 13 Correct. So they're gathering over there. 14 15 They're --And so they're kind of inspecting something. 16 17 They're face-to-face right now, approximately. Α The fight had started, so... 18 People scrambling, right? 19 20 Do we know if shots have been fired at this time yet? 21 I would assume some of the shots had been fired 22 23 by this time because now they're starting to duck. first they were just -- they were watching the fisticuffs, 24

a couple punches and things like that, and then they 1 started ducking. They don't duck on punches; they duck 2 when loud noises start happening. 3 MR. LYON: Your Honor, if counsel -- when he 4 keeps using the term "shooting" and "shots fired," there's 5 several individuals that shot. Maybe we could have a more 6 specific description of what he's referring to. 7 Yes. Try to be specific, if you can. THE COURT: 8 9 I'm not sure your question -- that question called for

specificity.

MR. HALL: Okay.

BY MR. HALL:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

All right. Now at 23:26:17 we can see one of the Hells Angels hangarounds or associates in the red there. And there's another one carrying the bags at 23:26:33. And then here come the Vagos after them.

Α There's a group of Vagos. As they turn around, you can see their vestments that indicate that they're Vagos.

So pretty much all of these guys are Vagos, right?

At least -- I might be off by one or two people back in there, but, yeah, there's a whole group of Vagos right in there, yes.

1	Q Chasing the Hells Angels?
2	A I assume that's who they're chasing. He's out of
3	the picture there now. But, yes, that's they're coming
4	in to help out is the way I would phrase it.
5	Q With Greg Fearn amongst them. Do you know who he
6	is?
7	A No, I do not know specifically.
8	Oh, okay.
9	MR. LYON: Your Honor, first of all, I think
10	that's a misidentification. That isn't Greg Fearn. And
11	counsel should not be
12	THE COURT: I'll sustain your objection.
13	Counsel, have the witness testify, please.
14	MR. HALL: Okay.
15	BY MR. HALL:
16	Q All right. Now, at 23:26:45 can you see an
17	individual sitting down in this area right there? I'll
18	back it up a little bit.
19	A Yeah, play it back there.
20	Right there.
21	Q I went the wrong way.
22	Now you see the guy
23	A Down this way, yes.
24	Q Right here.

1	A Uh-huh His head is bobbing around right now.
2	Q Okay. Let's just mark this spot, 23:26:55. All
3	right. So let's go to Monitor 1.
4	Okay. So this is the beginning of the fight,
5	correct?
6	A Correct.
7	Q All right.
8	A You just saw the punch.
9	Q Can you see the time on this? Let me play it a
10	little bit and we can
11	A 23:25:52 or 51 seconds.
12	Q Okay.
13	A 23 moving up to 23:26.
14	Q Okay. So we've got a Vago kicking a Hells Angel
15	down, we've got a couple of glasses smashed on some Hells
16	Angels' faces
17	A That's a gun being
18	Q a pistol whip there?
19	A Yeah. Another one. Same guy.
20	Q A little smack on Mr. Fearn
21	MR. LYON: Your Honor, again, counsel is
22	narrating.
23	THE COURT: Sustained.
24	THE WITNESS: We see there was this guy here

was -- had his gun and was facing that way (indicating), 1 threatened someone. 2 BY MR. HALL: 3 All right. So what's the time there? - 4 That's 23:26 -- play it one more time. 5 45 seconds or... 6 What time do we have the shooting here? 23:26 and 28 seconds. Α 8 So that's the shooting. All right. 9 Q That's this guy out -- right there (indicating). 10 Α Now if we go to Camera 45? 11 This is the man that we were following, and at 12 the time of my date review and that, this is the guy that 13 has now been -- has been afterwards identified to me as 14 Ernesto, but that's the one that we were backtracking and 15 looking for in the video because he appears to be the 16 shooter on the video for -- that we were just watching at 17 the Trader Dick's event, the back aisle there that we saw 18 just previous. 19 We're at now before the shooting, and he's 20 standing right there out in the front here, and he moves 21 22 back here now. 23 So he's still back there watching?

24

Yeah, he seems to be watching. He does not --

he's not participating in any of this melee and fighting 1 and punching or whatever events had taken place here. 2 3 He's backing himself up right here. So then he runs off, and that's when we see him 4 run off at about 23:26:30? 5 Correct. 6 Α So now he's back at the east end -- excuse me, 7 8 the north end of the --9 Now we're behind the bar, which we saw -- you Α 10 were showing just previously. 11 All right. 12 That was the melee -- that was the fight and the 13 melee up front where he was here and started backing his way behind the bar there while all the -- the main attack 14 15 with the guns and fist fights and everything else that you 16 saw there. All right. So now we're at 23:27 --17 0 18 And seven seconds. 19 And seven seconds. Now, does it appear to you as though they were 20 reacting to that incident that we just saw, that attack 21 22 that we just saw in front of the bathrooms? 23 In this view most of the fight and participants

have dispersed from this area here. There are more events

going on off this camera, off to that side, which is over 1 2 to the left as we're watching the video there. Well, they put their guns away. And then? 3 There's some more -- there's some more stuff 4 going on because all of a sudden these people took notice 5 of people over in this -- in front, between us, between 6 7 what we're looking -- and this camera view. So obviously there's some events and fighting or threatening or 8 9 whatever would cause them to all of a sudden take notice in that area. 10 11 Pettigrew was shot over -- since I don't have the video angle of what you're doing right now, it was shot 12 over to our left is where the attention would be. 13 Well, we actually can look at that on Monitor 1. 14 Oh, good. 15 Α 16 This is Mr. Ernesto that was going -- working his way back here, just to point out that's -- and this is 17 18 Pettigrew here, or that's who he's identified as. 19 20

So there's the shooting when -- at the beginning. So now the question is -- looks like Mr. Villagrana has put his gun away, right? Big guy there with the bandana?

MR. LYON: Counsel is continuing to narrate. would ask that he ask the witness these questions.

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.

1	BY MR. HALL:
2	Q All right. Now, at this area, can you see
3	they're responding to the ongoing melee in front of the
4	bathrooms?
5	A It's in the Section 6 across from the bathrooms.
6	Q Is that what we just watched from Camera 3?
7	A They were looking in that direction. That's
8	exactly the direction they were looking in.
9	Q That's where Mr. Fearn was, right in the middle
10	of that, correct?
11	A Well
12	Q That fellow on the ground, whoever that was?
13	A That fellow on the ground was in that section
14	right there.
15	Q All right.
16	A Right in about here (indicating).
17	Q And can you see one of the Hells Angels people
18	running northbound from the direction of Camera 3 and the
19	bathrooms?
20	A Over in that section, yes, there are people
21	running in that section there. They're running there's
22	the fighting going on over in that section in front of the
23	bathroom, which is in Section 6 across from the bathrooms.
24	And if you back it up, here goes Mr. Pettigrew

1	over into that area.
2	Q So at this point in time, this is we're at
3	can you see the time?
4	A That looks like 23:27 and 32 seconds. 27 and 32,
. 5	yeah.
6	Q Okay. So how would this match up with
7	Mr. Gonzalez heading towards the dance floor area?
8	A He would be if he's not right on the dance
9	floor, he's already made his way back up to the dance
10	floor, towards that area. He's not standing right in
11	there that 32 seconds.
12	Q So he's got his gun out and he's on his way?
13	A We saw that on the other video. And
14	Q So would this be about the area that we saw that
15	fellow sitting there? You can see his head, the fellow
16	with the glasses on?
17	A Okay. And
18	Q All right. So it looked like one kick by each
19	guy?
20	MR. LYON: Your Honor, counsel is narrating.
21	THE COURT: Counsel, no more leading questions,
22	no more narration.
23	MR. HALL: All right.
24	THE WITNESS: Let's play that back, if you would,

please. 1 BY MR. HALL: 2 3 So what happens there? Well, the last thing just before you stopped it, 4 I believe that was Mr. Pettigrew falling down, face down, 5 from the aisleway off to our left, if you will. That's 6 where -- and that's approximately the aisle looking this 7 8 way is where he would have -- that he was shot down right there. 9 So at 23:27:50? 10 Q If you back it up just a ways, just a 11 Α little bit, Mr. Pettigrew's got the -- you can see his top 12 13 and long sleeves and his jacket. 14 Q All right. So now if we look at Camera 3? There Mr. Pettigrew is --15 A 23:27:13? 16 0 He's got those distinctive white sleeves with the 17 Α 18 red on it. All right. And that's where -- is that all you 19 20 see of Mr. Pettigrew at that juncture of the video? Play it. I don't --21 Α 22 . Q Okay. There he is. And then he's not. That's it. 23 Α 24 All right. And then there was another angle. Q

1	Let's just go through a couple of these videos. This is a
2	video
3	A Camera 7.
4	Q of Camera 7. And what area does this depict?
5	A This depicts Rosie's, the opening of Rosie's
6	restaurant area. The bathrooms are just to our right.
7	This is a cross-view from the pit over the slot sections,
8	slot Section 6 there.
9	Right now, that's before the melee started,
10	before the fight started, just people milling around
11	and
12	Q 23:25:30, did you see any people dressed in red
13	walk by?
14	A There's some now, right now. Here comes some
15	more.
16	Q And who would you identify the people dressed in
17	red with?
18	A The red were in those red hats and were
19	Hells Angels members.
20	Now we have a couple people running towards it;
21	some people running away from it.
22	Now some people backing away.
23	Now running.
24	Now more people running to if you notice. a

lot of these people are dispersing into Rosie's, and they end up in the back hallways. We found them all over the place.

- Q Did you see anybody in red?
- A Yes, there's some in red. And a lot of those were Vagos going that way. But there were some Hells Angels dressed in their uniforms or whatever you want to call it, markings. Here's a couple.
 - Q Do you see anybody in red right there?
- A Yes. One just ran that way, ran to our right.
 Others...
- Q So when we were looking south on Monitor 1, is that the group of people that are seen kind of congregating down there by the bathrooms?
- A Well, there was a -- there were several groups congregating, I mean, from the bathrooms on up to the area that the punching started. And both those groups -- the Hells Angels went up this way (indicating).

There were some Vagos that went up that way (indicating), and then they returned, and it seemed to be dispersed by -- without knowing the exact firing order of things when guns were shot, when they run the most, you can figure that that's when there's a lot -- some shooting going on there. They were all dispersing that way. When

1	that quieted down, it looks like the crowd went back to
2	our right, and then they all dispersed.
. 3	Q Well, what I'm asking, do you remember when you
4	were looking at Monitor 1, you see what looks like the
5	Hells Angels shooting, right?
6	A Correct.
7	Q All right. And then it looks like they put their
8	guns away. Do you remember that?
9	A Yes. They were putting them in
10	Q You don't see any guns in their hands, correct?
11	A Yeah. They disappeared.
12	Q All right. They're standing there. And do you
13	remember we saw the one Hells Angel running north? Do you
14	recall that?
15	MR. LYON: Your Honor, counsel is narrating a
16	video and asking I mean, we're not seeing it on the
17	screen.
18	MR. HALL: I'm trying to orient him to the one
19	area of the video that I'm going to ask the question
20	about.
21	THE WITNESS: Play the video for me, then, and
22	I'll
23	THE COURT: Yeah, play the video again.
24	MR. HALL: Okay.

1	THE COURT: Thank you.
2	BY MR. HALL:
. 3	Q For the record, we're looking at Monitor 1.
4	A Okay. This is where the fight started.
5	Q So now on Monitor 1 the time is approximately
6	23:26:55?
7	A Correct. These are Hells Angels that you see
8	right here on that part of the screen right in front here
9	(indicating).
10	Q All right. Okay. Now, you remember when we were
11	looking at this portion of the video earlier today?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And the question was: Did it appear as though
14	the attention of the Hells Angels in the foreground is
15	attracted to the area in front of the bathrooms?
16	A There's a group of people here (indicating)
17	and yeah, they're going to be interested in that
18	these people here (indicating) will move up towards that
19	group there. That group dispersed to the off to the
20	left. These fellows are still watching them over that
21	over to the left.
22	And these (indicating), who are Hells Angels,
23	they're moving up up the walkway, up to the area where
24	we saw those other the group of people.

1	Ω The guy on the floor?
2	A The guy on the floor is in that area right there
3	(indicating). It's hard to detail them out.
4	Q We'll just refer to him as Wiggins.
5	A There's where Mr. Pettigrew just fell from being
6	shot at that particular moment up in that same area.
7	Q All right. In the meantime, did you get some
8	video of the Horseshoe Bar?
9	A Yeah, there's video of the Horseshoe Bar. That's
10	further up from that.
11	We're now looking that view that we're getting
12	right now is looking we would be looking down towards
13	the where the original melee started. We're south of
14	that, really, and that's where the Horseshoe Bar is off to
15	the right here.
16	Q So the time on this is 23:27
17	A 7:21.
18	Q So this would have been after
19	A Correct.
20	Q the initial fight in front of Trader Dick's,
21	correct?
22	A Correct.
23	That's looking through to the hotel east tower
24	lobby elevator area.

O East or west?

A That's looking -- that would be west, because east is over towards the bar there. You're looking away from the bar. And here's a group.

Another fight breaks out right here.

The ones that are being beaten up there -- this one has the red colors on him and appear to be the -- a Hells Angel. The other one, because he was being protected by the other one, I assume that he's a Hells Angel or knows the person. But there were two of them that were being attacked there.

Now the police are here, and they have control of all the -- so that's what -- this is -- the police got there and they took over the scene.

These people were laying down and told not to move by the police. They weren't -- didn't appear to be shot or anything. They were just cooperating with what the police commands were. As I said, they're already on the scene there, so...

So, sir, can you tell me which area this --

A This is Camera 5 dubbed off of MUX 2, and it's -the melee started over in this area here (indicating). So
I'm looking from -- we're looking east and looking
northwest kind of towards the -- where -- the original

fight.

This area right here, you see that sign. That's the signboard on the outside of Trader Dick's. The dance hall is behind that. This area here is open from the dance hall. But this is a wall here with that signboard with the menu board on it.

So there's an opening right here next to that signboard, and that lets you into -- we were actually looking down that way whenever the melee started that looks back into the Trader Dick's restaurant area and the -- the south end of the bar there, so -- just to orient where it is.

You can kind of see that walkway that's through there right where that -- where I just put the line.

The restrooms are right up over here. The restrooms are up over that way (indicating).

At 24:43 with the time adjustment, this is just before -- this is before the fight broke out.

You can see the ruckus has started over here (indicating), drawing everybody's interest.

Q So at 23:26:23 on this camera, what's going on?
Can you tell?

A Well, the fight's dispersing. There's some dispersement up over in here where you can see some more

Vagos and that gathering up here as this area clears out.

. 5

And in just a -- I was looking to see if I could see Mr. Pettigrew come up this way. He would have come up over here and walked past here since he was interested in the group assembling up in that area up to our -- top of the screen on the left.

- Q So from this view, where would the fight have been occurring initially?
- A The fight occurred -- the first punches were up here (indicating) behind those slot machines.

And this is kind of the open area there where they all backed into, and we saw them start to disperse in there, and we saw Pettigrew and a couple others over there that worked their way back up this way (indicating) to the left.

- Q And Pettigrew was wearing that distinctive red-and-white shirt?
- A Yeah, I don't know what -- if there's a style name, but it's like a long-sleeved T-shirt or, you know, heavier shirt that's long-sleeved, and he's got the red markings up on the sleeve and he's got his vest on.
 - Q Was there a little glitch on the video at that --
 - A Yeah. That's --
 - Q Is that a result of some old VHS tapes, or do you

1	know?
2	A A mix between the VHS tape and possibly the MUX
3	player, the actual MUX itself.
4	Q Just to go back a little bit, can you identify
5	Pettigrew in this section at 23:27?
6	A Let me look and see here.
7	Q Can you see what's going on right here?
8	A Here he comes right here (indicating).
9	Q All right. Can you tell who can you tell who
10	the people are in this area?
11	A I can't identify them with any particular
12	distinction, but
13	Q Right about now?
14	A Now there's a couple of Hells Angels coming
15	across it from left to right.
16	Q Can you identify those guys in the middle of the
17	picture?
18	A That's, I believe I think he's got the Hells
19	Angels rocker on his.
20	This is the glitch. It's just an old I
21	believe we passed where because of that glitch, we're
22	past where Pettigrew was up walking by there.
23	Q Do you recognize this fellow?
24	A Yes.

1	Q Who's that?
2	A That's Paul Ochs, the security director.
3	Q All right.
4	THE COURT: I'm going to stop you there for just
5	a minute and ask the ladies and gentlemen of the jury to
6	go ahead and stand up and stretch a little bit, get that
7	blood circulating a little bit.
8	Attorneys, you're free to stand, too, if you'd
9	like.
10	Okay. Thank you.
11	Mr. Hall, you may continue.
12	MR. HALL: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	BY MR. HALL:
Ĺ4	Q All right. We looked at Camera 7 was the
L5	camera that looked into Rosie's; is that correct?
16	A Correct.
L7	Q Camera 31?
18	A Camera 31 is looking towards the exit. It's
19	called the roof garden exit, Victorian and 11th Street.
20	Q Do you recall anything significant in that
21	portion of the video?
22	A We see people exiting. There are some Vagos that
23	exited at one portion.
24	Q Camera 32?

- A What time is that? That's 23 -- Q What area does this depict?
- A This is the Last Chance Joe's doors, the third set of doors over on Victorian, kind of the middle of the street. It would just be people exiting. At 23:21 they would be coming and going.
- Q All right. So that would've been this exit over here to the right side of Exhibit 130, right in the middle?
 - A Correct.

- Q Camera 36?
- A Camera 36 is looking north past the -- this is the sign, the menu sign, for The Steakhouse, and this is the entrance to The Steakhouse right here (indicating), so -- and this is Broadway Bar, or Bar 3, right over here. So this is the aisle leading up to go to Trader's and that. And they're heading this way. They're heading towards Trader's. And if they head this way they're heading towards Bar 3 or past Bar 3. It's north.
 - Q All right.
- A Here's the entrance to The Steakhouse. And because -- this was on a MUX, so it's kind of a time-lapsed-type view.
 - Q All right. Can you tell who's walking down the

yellow brick road at this time, 23:25:18?

A Well, even though it's grainy, that looks to be Pettigrew right there with his sleeves and a bag. If not, at least it's -- I believe he was about the only one with those sleeves. That's one of the things I noticed was -- in some of the other views.

But there's -- this looks like some -- well, a group of Hells Angels. They had -- now, red shirts come out kind of whitish-gray on black-and-white like here (indicating). So with him there, the red hats would be kind of whitish too. It's hard to tell the red from gray on the -- but that looks like the group of Hells Angels when they were walking up. They're carrying the ice chests and bags, a few things like that.

O Camera 37?

A Camera 37 is placed to the right as we look north of the 36 that we were watching just before. We were looking over this way. This camera is across from The Steakhouse. This is going towards the showroom. This is the showroom side of the building over here.

- Q Where would the cashier's cage be?
- A Cashier's cage would be behind us and to the right. This aisle leads to the cashier cage.

That's looking away from -- looking at the slot

section that's in front of The Steakhouse. The Steakhouse is behind us.

This area here is where the cashier, main cashier, starts. You can see that little white area. That's the counter, beginning of the counter. These are doors leading into the cage. So that's where it is. You're looking -- and now you're looking southeast. The Steakhouse is behind us.

This is the main aisle that we were just looking at. I said that we had some Hells Angels walking up.

These are the rockers for the Hells Angels on their vests.

Q Looking at 35?

A Camera 61 is the one that's over by the restrooms. And as I said before, it's looking towards -- it is pointed straight to the pit. It's in the slot section.

Behind us are the restrooms. They're off to the -- actually the restrooms are off over this way (indicating) if you want to get the exact. So we're looking -- we're looking east on this.

Rosie's is up over this way (indicating). Trader Dick's is over there (indicating) to our left there.

And Camera 5 was up over this way and looking off to our left, as I indicated, across the lower level. So

1 that one view is that way, kind of a corollary view, reverse view, of that particular aisle. 2 So this area would be right about in slot area 3 624, 620? 4 Yeah, right in there. 5 Because we see there's little dots here. 6 0 those be those poles? 7 Those are -- that's this pole right here 8 (indicating). And there's a --9 So there's a big pole that's the dot, this big 10 black dot, and then the little dot is the little -- the 11 smaller pole? 12 Yeah, there's two small poles. There's also a 13 Α pole out on the aisle side of that. 14 15 0 Okay. That's the little black dot. 16 Α So we're looking, for the record, in between --17 on diagram 130 in between slot bank 624 and 618? 18 Bank 618 is where those poles are. 19 20 pole here is actually right by that bank 617. And we can't see the other pole, but it's -- that's a Hells Angel 21 who pointed -- appeared to be pointing a gun up there and 22 shot. I don't know if there's any -- then when he was 23

getting back, it looked like the gun had jammed or

something. He was interested in the gun. I didn't see 1 any flash on it. But he was just aiming it. 2 So would this be the aisle that Pettigrew was 3 shot in? 4 I believe this is the -- if I'm not 5 mistaken, it's this aisle right here in front of 618. 6 7 Pettigrew would have been shot at the bottom of the --8 9 Way down here (indicating). He doesn't appear on Actually, here's the aisle right over here, because 10 these are fixed chairs in front of the machines. 11 And if you notice, we see only -- we're looking 12 13 at these two machines of 618 and then there's a gap and 14 then there's this bank of machines, which is the 617. there's about four more machines over in front of this --15 this way that we don't see. So that's -- that's how much 16 we're not looking at. 17 18 This camera is up. If this camera had been 19 pointed down it would have seen exactly that, but it's not pointing down. It's up and looking across the room. 20 Now, what's the time on this one? How does that 21 22 match up with Pettigrew being shot? Can you tell if he's

If you have that statement from MUX 3, we could

already been shot at this time?

23

look it up, if you want the precise. 1 Well, I'm just wondering if you can tell if 2 Pettigrew has been shot at 23:27:30 on this one. 3 This is on MUX 3. If you're getting at what the actual time was from the other view that we timed it from, 5 I don't know what the variance is. 6 Did I take that exhibit from you? 8 Yes, you did. 9 If we can look at the tape -- if we look at the 10 tape, can you tell? Okay. Are you going back to the --11 Monitor 1? 12 13 The 315? The Trader Dick's shot or Monitor 1? 14 Either one, I guess. 15 So at 23:27:30. And -- there. That was 27:47? 16 Yes. So then we don't see Pettigrew in this. 17 18 This is the same aisle, right? 19 Right. We're at -- we don't see him at all in 20 this shot. I mean, laying down there. So we're too --21 the camera doesn't --22 So if we go to --23 It's plus whatever the time variance is. So it would be fair to say that Pettigrew has 24 Q

already been shot at this point? 1 I would go with that. But he's hanging around 2 down here. I don't -- I'd have to see. Because all I 3 have -- I wasn't there at the particular time. 4 that's -- because it -- within 15. 20 seconds of time 5 variance, that would put him as having been shot by this 6 time. 7 Well, if we look at Monitor 1 --9 Α Uh-huh. 10 0 All right. So we have -- and then we're at 23:27:40? 11 And right there (indicating). 12 Α 13 Q So at 23:27:45 --14 45, right in there. Α 15 Right. Pettigrew gets shot, right? 0 16 Using the Monitor 1 timeframe. Α 17 And then we saw the Hells Angel with him, Cesar 0 18 Villagrana, in the frame; is that right? 19 That's correct. And that was on 61. And now that's him right 20 there (indicating), right? 21 22 Villagrana -- let me back up in the view here. 23 I mean, that aisle that we saw him pointing down, that would have been that aisle --24

Correct. 1 Α -- is that accurate? 2 That's correct. 3 Α Okay. And the times jibe? 4 Well, once again, if you want me to -- it's 5 within the plus or minus. 6 Okay. All right. Camera 82? 7 That's more west -- that's west of the area we 8 9 were just in. The valet area? 10 O This is the rotisserie, down here is Starbucks, 11 and if you head down this way is the hotel and the west 12 So the east tower elevators are out to our right, 13 14 out of view, which takes us over that way. That's just additional hours of the --1.5 Rotisserie? 16 Α Rotisserie. 17 So a couple hours of rotisserie video? 18 19 We were looking for who was leaving, if we could identify anybody, how many people were -- a lot of them 20 21 went into the back hallway through Rosie's. We were just trying to identify everybody that we could of who's 22 23 leaving, who's not, which direction they went. They could

get through the kitchen. So we have some of those shots.

This is the south end of the Trader Dick's bar, 1 this is the Trader Dick's restaurant, and off to the side 2 here is the dance area. You can see people coming out of 3 the dance area right there. 4 5 And going down this way is that back aisleway that we saw the running up and down. 6 All right. And a little discrepancy in the time 8 We've got 11:23:41 on the top and 23:29 on the 9 bottom? 10 Yeah. This is that one I told you we had the -this is within, what was it, 15 seconds, and this is 11 12 within two minutes of the Monitor 1 time. 13 Q Okay. This is off of the bar register DVR. 14 But this is coordinated at the same time of the 15 that showed the 1.5 16 aisleway. 17 There's Ernesto running down the back of the bar.

There's Ernesto running down the back of the bar. We should see him coming back up if this is the...

- Q So let's just document that. Using the clock at the top --
 - A Right, that's what we...
 - Q So --

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A 11:22 -- 24:52. 11:24:52. And that's lagging Monitor 1, if I recall from what I read off there, by two

1	minutes.
2	Q So at that point it looks like everybody's
3	cleared out, obviously?
4	A That's yeah, everybody seemed to the fight
5	has started.
6	This is Ernesto coming back now.
7	Q So the time now is 11:25
8	A 44, 45.
9	Q 44, 45?
10	A That's where he goes into the dance area.
11	And here he comes running out at 11:28:08
12	11:26:08. Sorry.
13	Q So Camera 213?
14	A 213, this is on the other side of the bar. This
15	is the aisle over up in the upper right-hand corner.
16	These are just seatings. This is the casino side of that
17	bar.
18	Q 214 we looked at earlier; is that correct?
19	A Correct.
20	Q This would be the north end of the bar?
21	A And that's the other view that we had of the bar,
22	of him, Ernesto, running down after and walking up the
23	other way first.
24	This is the Noodle Hut register shot. It's

1 looking up the aisle towards where the fight started. Trader Dick's bar is off to the right. And, of course, 2 the slots are off to the left there. 3 So we looked at this to see when he was leaving, 4 5 if he came by this area here (indicating) at all because you see I would have seen him -- we would have been able 6 to see the person going up or down or crossing there. 7 There's the Hells Angels carrying their bags up, 8 and a few other patrons. 9 10 This is before the fight broke out. All right. We're looking at 11:23:14 on the top? 11 12 That's the POS. That's the POS time. 13 here's 23:25. Down below is the DVR time. 14 Things have started. This right here is a sign. It's in our way or we'd have a nicer view of what's up 15 16 behind that sign a little bit further up the aisleway. 17 Then we have the B7 series? 0 That's the 11th Street exit. That's down by the 18 Horseshoe Bar. 19 20 All right. There are several of those --Q 21 Α Uh-huh. -- documenting the times? So this would be this 22 exit here at the bottom of 130? 23

24

Α

Correct.

1	Pretty much just employees walking over to the
2	smoking area.
3	Q And this camera is also it's also engaged with
4	Monitor 1 in terms of the time?
5	A Yes. I believe I've got that noted. Yes.
6	Q So we're looking at 23:29, it looks like?
7	A Uh-huh.
8	Q There's some action. All right. We can spend
9	some more time on that later.
10	And just more video from outside?
L1	A Uh-huh.
L2	Q B119?
L3	A B11.
Ĺ 4	Q Oh, B11? I'm sorry.
L5	A That's the bike rack out in the west end of the
L 6	building from the main west end entrance.
L7	Q All right.
L8	A That's a hallway leading up to Rosie's from
L9	well, a maze of hallways.
20	Q All right.
21	A That's the general area the hallway we were
22	just looking at, you'd have to go down this bakery
23	hallway.
24	This door here leads out to the compound. If you

go under here, you go into the Oyster Bar kitchen area pretty much, and that leads -- once you go in there, that leads from -- a hallway from Trader Dick's and from The Steakhouse, that one there.

Leading out into that hallway is an area that they could have left in. They would have had to go out that way to get outside. They would have been in the compound area, which was a gated area, and then tried to get out from there. So it was just a shot we were looking at to see if anybody dispersed through there.

Q All right.

1.6

A That's the kitchen that leads to the prior shot we just had, Camera 54.

Q All right. Just for the record, we were talking about 54. Now we're at 56, 58?

A 56 and -- that's the hallway that leads into The Steakhouse kitchen going to the left. That leads back over towards the Noodle Hut and Trader Dick's going off to the right.

That's a reverse view of the same shot.

- Q That was 59?
- A Uh-huh.
- Q We've got 67?
- A 67 is the hallway leading out to the west

1	entrance that goes out by the bike rack there. This is in
2	the west end of the building, once again, leading from the
3	main entrance.
4	Q We also have some you talked about rooms?
5	A These are them coming checking in from the
6	hotel.
7	You've got different ones marked. So we've got
8	the room at 16:04 to 2 o'clock?
9	A Okay. So that's a long dub of that.
-0	Q And then we've got
_1	A This is him arriving at the hotel room with
2	another friend, acquaintance, whoever. It's just him
L3	checking in the room and the time and then leaving the
L 4	room.
L5	Here he's coming out of the room. And he goes
L6	back in.
_7	Q And then we have a couple shots of the Oyster
-8	Bar; is that right?
_9	A Yeah. This is prior to them all meeting down
20	at this is around 10 o'clock, just after 10 o'clock.
21	Q About 10:12? A little bit after 10:00?
22	A Yes, I believe that's it.
23	And off to the side here, the Hells Angels are
24	meeting over in here. There's a lot of Vagos that arrived

over here, and then they -- several of them talked to Mr. Pettigrew over in here. And it's just the congregation of all of them mixing all of a sudden there that drew the interest.

1.

Camera shot 30, opposite -- we were looking from over here (indicating). Now we've got the camera shot, opposite view.

Here's Ernesto there (indicating).

This is the Oyster Bar doors that are off that way. This is the Oyster Bar restaurant. It's closed at this time. You see the doors there.

And this is the keno outsection.

This is the west end of the building.

This is Mr. Pettigrew right there (indicating).

He was talking to some. And there's some Vagos that go up

and talk to him. I don't know who's -- what they're

saying or anything, but -- that was all before the fight.

Q And them there's some additional footage? For example, Camera 215?

A Yeah. That's looking at the bar, the Trader Dick's bar, of course. We're looking down towards the --away from the dance floor. That goes into the -- that door there goes into The Steakhouse, if you will. They're all looking out towards the dance floor area right now.

If you look, that's the direction they're looking in.

23:17. So it's way before.

Now, this view, by the way, comes off MUX 15, not off of a DVR. If anybody goes off to the left here, that's by the Trader Dick's wine rack, and you end up in the kitchen -- well, you can get to the kitchen from that area too. So it gives us an idea, if someone turns right or left, which direction they went leaving that area.

Other than that, it's the north end of the -- the back side of the bar is what it's really looking at.

Q All right. So we should be able to see Mr. Gonzalez?

A Yes.

That's the view dubbed in to look at -- this is the wine rack for Trader Dick's. Walking up this way, you end up at Trader Dick's, that north end of the bar.

There's an entrance right here that goes into a little salad station/beverage station for the servers that you can get to the hallway that we've seen several times that could lead out to The Steakhouse or back to the kitchens there. So that's one little entrance for the servers. But if they run this way and run in to here, to the right, they would be in the Trader Dick's restaurant proper, the seating area.

1	Q This is a little extra
2	A Of the Oyster Bar prior to. Different timeframe,
3	but the same bar area.
4	Q Just a little later?
5	A Uh-huh. Correct.
6	Q Thank you. I have no further questions.
7	THE COURT: Will you turn the lights on, please.
8	Mr. Lyon, cross-examination?
9	MR. LYON: Thank you, Your Honor
.0	
1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. LYON:
.3	Q Good morning, Mr. Prichard.
4	A Good morning.
.5	Q So as I understand it, there was a video that
.6	captured everything on the evening of the September 23rd
.7	shooting, correct?
-8	A It captured everything they were aimed at. I
.9	mean, we weren't following any particular person or
20	persons. We were they were just following events,
21	SO
2	Q Okay. But it didn't record everything that was
:3	happening or were there cameras recording everything
2.4	that was happening on the casino floor that night?

1	A Yeah, every camera that we had.
2	Q In some respect?
3	And you were called in after the shooting,
4	correct?
5	A Correct.
6	Q So you weren't there that night?
7 .	A No.
8	Q And as far as what we see on the video, you don't
9	know necessarily what people were thinking in the video?
10	A Absolutely.
11	Q You don't know necessarily anything that's being
12	said amongst the individuals?
L3	A Correct.
L 4	Q You had testified a little bit about shots being
15	fired. That's all assumptions based on people's reactions
L6	within the video?
17	A Correct.
L 8	Q You don't know how many shots were fired that
L9	night, do you?
20	A Not
21	Q based on the video?
22	A No. Correct.
23	Q Now, as I understand it, Monitor 1 was kind of
24	your baseline video to start the timeframe sequencing?

1 A Just picking that up, yes.

Q Okay. And what caused you to use Monitor 1 as your baseline?

There's three work stations. She was at the station that was using Monitor 1, Monitor 2, Monitor 3. And the video that -- those monitors were probably on other things other than this area or that area. They could have had games on it or registers or anything else. So that -- but Monitor 1 through 16, any one of those are tied into the matrix that has the ability to put any of our cameras up -- views up on that.

So any of the -- all 16 of those monitors use the same timestamp. They were all coordinated out of the matrix that runs the whole -- that. So any video that we put up there is going to inherit that timestamp, which is pretty darn close to the official time.

- Q Okay. So that's -- these 16 cameras, they're the closest to the actual time?
 - A 16 monitors.
 - 0 16 monitors.
- A And anything recorded on there. We put 600 cameras, one at a time, up on those, so any of those would carry the same timestamp.

1	Q And this syncing that you did with the other
2	videos, that was all to Monitor 1, correct?
3	A Correct.
4	Q And that was based on events that you saw in
5	common between various videos?
6	A Yeah. I can test Monitor 1, put similar videos
7	up and see what time they vary from what Monitor 1 is at
8	that time. I could do that today; I could do that
9	tomorrow. But so any video I had that day I had to
0	afterwards, of course, I checked for that time
.1	differential.
2	Q And what event do you recall what events you
_3	used to help sync the timeframe?
. 4	A Not particularly.
.5	Q Was it one single event or multiple events?
. 6	A Multiple events, multiple times, and whatever I
-7	was dubbing the tapes from and that, those views.
-8	Q That's because some monitors wouldn't necessarily
9	capture an event that were picked up on other monitors.
20	True?
21	A Well, yeah. If they didn't have the same images,
22	I wouldn't know it. But those images that we saw there we
:3	dubbed off of, like, MUX 15, MUX 3, MUX 5. So those are
4	being recorded 24/7 and those time-dates have the drift.

So then I just have to find out -- I could take any shot on MUX 5, for example, and any of them are going to have the MUX 5 video timestamp on it generated actually from the VCR on that, and I could take that and say MUX 5 has a time drift of this amount.

Now, we adjust those and try to keep them tight. We don't do that every single day. There's different times we do that, but -- so I can take that. It doesn't matter if -- say camera 30 -- just making up one here -- camera 30 was recorded on MUX 5. I can take Camera 45 if it was on MUX 5 and time that view because it doesn't matter; it's MUX 5. They all have the same time view on it, timestamp.

So just match it up and say, okay, put a similar view on Monitor 1 and say, okay, let's see, MUX 5 had a -- you know, is two minutes faster than what the -- if I put it on Monitor 1.

- Q And some of the events that you used to help sync these was like when you see people reacting, what you perceived, to gunfire and things of that nature?
 - A True.

- Q Did you do that by yourself? Did you have help with law enforcement?
 - ${ t A} = { t I}$ synced -- well, all the video that we gathered

was at the direction of law enforcement and the people 1 We looked at a lot of different views and stuff 2 3 like that. Once we had all of those views and that, then I 4 sat out and just delineated out the time differences. 5 I've done that hundreds of times in 30 years. 6 7 So no one from law enforcement was sitting with you to do that part of the synchronization? No. Α 10 And no one from the DA's office was there as 11 well? Not -- not for the actual synchronization. 12 13 That's done after I gathered it all. 14 But law enforcement did play a role in gathering Q 15 the evidence that evening? 16 What they wanted to see; what events they were 17 aware of or how they wanted to see, yes. 18 Okav. And, again, going back to my initial 19 question, some video wasn't necessarily preserved or 20 captured if law enforcement didn't think that it was --21 If it had no -- you saw several examples right there, like who's running out this door or things like 22 23 And we were interested in did they go this way or

that way down this hall, who left this way, trying to find

1	stuff like that. And there's a lot of videos we reviewed
2	which had no relevance on anybody or situation that we
3	were looking for.
4	Q Right. And it seems like you were primarily
5	focused on tracking Mr. Gonzalez?
6	A Mr. Gonzalez.
7	Q And you also identified some other individuals in
8	the video such as Mr. Pettigrew, Mr. Villagrana?
9	A Mr. Pettigrew, Mr. Villagrana. Those were in
10	the videos, those were the persons of interest that
11	interested law enforcement and what the events that
12	took place.
13	Q Were you asked at all to pull video or track the
14	movements of Gary Rudnick?
15	${\mathbb A}$ ${\mathbb I}$ wouldn't know the name so ${\mathbb I}$ couldn't tell you,
16	you know, specifically. If it was the one that we
17	created, then I wasn't aware of every name that everybody
18	was doing. We were just looking at the videos from the
19	fights and on.
20	Q And I'll show you some video here in a little bit
21	and see if you can identify Mr. Rudnick.
22	Now, the first video you showed us was this
23	walk-through video?

Uh-huh.

A

1	Q Were you the one that actually shot that video?
2	A No.
3	Q You were just there as a walk-through?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Now, it starts at the Oyster Bar? That's
6	correct?
7	A Correct.
8	Q And as I understand it, there's an entrance right
9	there to the outside of the casino at the Oyster Bar?
10	A Yeah. That's the one we walked through on that.
11	Q And so anybody goiπg that's in the Oyster Bar
12	could leave through that entrance?
13	A Correct.
14	Q Or they could walk down what's been classified as
15	the yellow brick road?
16	A There you go. Yes.
17	Q Okay.
18	THE COURT: This is probably a good time for us
19	to quit for our next recess.
20	During this break ladies and gentlemen of the
21	jury, we are going to be in recess for about 20 minutes,
22	and I want to remind you that you may not discuss the case
23	among yourselves or with any other person. Do not form or
24	express any opinion about the ultimate outcome of this

Do not allow anyone to speak of the case to you or in any manner attempt to influence you. If any person should attempt to do that, report it to me. Do not make any independent investigation or inquiry into any of the facts and circumstances regarding 5. this case, and do not listen to, view, or review any news media accounts regarding this case or any other kinds of accounts regarding this case. We'll see you back in the courtroom in 20 minutes. Court's in recess. (A recess was taken.) -o0o-

STATE OF NEVADA,.)
COUNTY OF WASHOE.)

I, BECKY VAN AUKEN, Certified Shorthand Reporter of the Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, in and for the County of Washoe, do hereby certify:

That I was present in Department No. 4 of the above-entitled Court and took stenotype notes of the proceedings entitled herein, and thereafter transcribed the same into typewriting as herein appears;

That the foregoing transcript is a full, true and correct transcription of my stenotype notes of said proceedings.

DATED: At Reno, Nevada, 07/31/2013.

BECKY VAN AUKEN, CCR NO. 418, RPR, RMR, CRR

```
1
 2
 3
 4
      IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
 5
                    IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE
 7
            THE HONORABLE CONNIE STEINHEIMER, DISTRICT JUDGE
                                 -000-
     STATE OF NEVADA,
                    Plaintiff, ) Case No. CR11-1718B
10
11
         vs.
     ERNESTO MANUEL GONZALEZ, ) Dept. No. 4
12
                     Defendant. )
13
14
15
                        TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
16
                        WEDNESDAY, JULY 24, 2013
17
                            AFTERNOON SESSION
18
                       TESTIMONY OF BILL PRICHARD
19
                           AND GEORGE MESSINA
20
21
                              RENO, NEVADA
22
23
     Reported By: MARCIA FERRELL, CCR No. 797
24
```

1	APPEARANCES:			
2				
3	For the Plaintiff:	AMOS R. STEGE		
4		KARL S. HALL		
5		DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS		
6		1 S. Sierra St., 4th Floor		
7		RENO, NEVADA 89520		
8				
9	For the Defendant Gonzalez:	DAVID R. HOUSTON		
10		ATTORNEY AT LAW		
11		432 Court St.		
12		RENO, NEVADA 89501		
13		KENNETH E. LYON III		
14	ATTORNEY AT LAW			
15	10389 Double R. Blvd.			
16		RENO, NEVADA 89521		
17	,			
18	WITNESS:	DIR. CROSS. REDIR. RECROSS		
19	BILL PRICHARD	4 39		
20	GEORGE MESSINA	50 73		
21				
22		·		
23				
24				

```
RENO, NEVADA, WEDNESDAY, JULY 24, 2013, 12:10 P.M.
 1
                                 --000--
 2
 3
                    (Jury absent.)
                THE COURT: Counsel, do you have anything for the
 4
     Court outside the presence of the jury?
 5
                MR. HALL: Yes, your Honor, Rocky Triplet indicated
 6
     he wanted to sit and watch. Apparently he's on the witness
 7
     list, but we don't plan on calling him, and Mr. Houston
 8
     indicated he doesn't have an objection to Rocky Triplet
 9
     sitting in.
10
                            Okay, then Mr. Triplet will be removed
                THE COURT:
11
     from the witness list and he may attend. Anything further?
12
                MR. HALL: No.
13
                THE COURT: Okay, please bring the jury in.
14
                    (Jury present.)
15
                THE COURT: Counsel, will you stipulate to the
16
17
     presence of the jury?
                MR. LYON: Yes, your Honor.
18
                THE COURT: Please be seated. Mr. Lyon, you may
19
     continue your inquiry.
20
                MR. LYON: Thank you, your Honor.
21
     //
22
     //
23
     11
24
```

CROSS-EXAMINATION

(Continued)

BY MR. LYON:

17:

- Q. Okay, Mr. Prichard, I think when we left off there was talking about the synchronization and the people that you were asked to identify in the video. I just had one follow-up with the synchronization issue. So in order for the bottom line is we cannot look at all of this video and go by the time stamps that are there, to understand the relationship between each video, correct?
- A. Well, if you're trying to pinpoint a timeline that has to jump between videos, in order to establish that timeline you're going to have to make those adjustments.

 Because I can't reedit the picture with the -- by altering the timeline.
- Q. Understand. And those adjustments, which I think is Exhibit 1B, that was on your chart that you --
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. That you went through. Okay. And then as far as the people that you pulled the video and tracking their movements through the casino, that was basically Mr. Gonzalez, Mr. Villagrana, Mr. Pettigrew.
- A. Yes, there were -- and I couldn't tell you the names, but there were other videos of different interest at

times, depending on which person I was talking to, and so we looked up this or looked for this or looked for that.

Q. Okay.

- A. I mean, to justify that, there were other arrests and people handcuffed and that, I don't know what all of the what the disposition of everybody that everybody else was interested in. It filtered down to these, in the end.
- Q. Okay, and you don't recall being requested to sort out the video to track, for example, Gary Rudnick? That name doesn't ring a bell to you?
- A. You know, the name kind of rings a bell, but I couldn't tell you why they were interested in him. Once again, there was different police officers or constabulary that they all had questions and interests, and I tried to serve each one as --
- Q. Okay, so if he does show up on video, that's how he shows up.
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. I want to walk through some of the video, and what I want to do is do it in somewhat chronological --
 - A. Sure.
- Q. -- time frame order, and with respect to

 Mr. Gonzalez. If I understand things correctly, the first
 time Mr. Gonzalez shows up in video would be at the Oyster

Bar, correct?

- A. Yes, and for these incidents. I mean, we have him on video checking into the hotel, so that's would be before.
 - Q. Okay, but we didn't see those videos.
- A. He popped it up there showing him going in and out of the hotel room, yeah. But that wasn't --
 - Q. Okay, I see what --
- A. That was arrival. So you're saying -- that's not the first time he was seen.
- Q. Okay, and I stand corrected. Because you would be right, the first time he shows up on video is after he checks in, going -- just going --
- A. Just going into the hotel, showing his arrival and even in the room, just -- nothing of that big of an interest, except he's there.
- Q. And I appreciate that. And so then the next time he would show up would be when he shows up in the video at the Oyster Bar?
- A. Yeah, pretty much the filming of this, the events started at the Oyster Bar, because all of a sudden there was a large gathering of the two groups there.
- Q. And I guess just to put it in chronological time frame, do you recall when the video was showing him checking

into the room? I recall it being around 7 o'clock, 7:15,
does that --

- A. I'll go with that, yeah, it was earlier in that night.
- Q. And so then we start -- we see the video of the Oyster Bar incident.
- A. And that actually that started after 10 o'clock, is where that all really started, so.
- Q. And is there are you able to identify the specific time that we're looking at here?
 - A. Yeah.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. The actual time?
- A. Yeah, unfortunately you've got the -- it's a tape.

 At 12:55, 22:12:55 is what I see on this right now.
 - O. So that's about --
- 16 A. 10 after 10:00.
- Q. 10 after 10:00, so maybe about three hours after he checked in.
 - A. I'll go with that, if that's the time of checking in.
 - Q. And can you identify Mr. Gonzalez in this video?
 - A. As I see him I can highlight it, yeah.
 - Q. While it's panning around, it seems like this video kind of starts all of a sudden. Is that because someone had

to actually start the record button on this?

1.3

- A. It was on monitor 1, the whole videotape of monitor 1 is on there from -- monitor 1 was videotaped from 4:30 in the afternoon until 12:30 at night. That's -- it's an eight hour tape. This is taken -- this is a digital copy of it in the playback, we started it at 12:10 or whatever, you know, 10:12, and that, for --
- Q. What was the reason for starting it at that particular time? Versus earlier?
- A. We went back actually, I know we reviewed some that was even a little bit earlier, but it was the the interest of the person that was gathering it, was more that all of a sudden, looking over in this area, that they had a larger gathering of Vagos, and some Hells Angels were mixed in all of a sudden, and it's just one of those let's start here.

As far as this particular one, they were starting to -- Mr. Pettigrew appears to be talking to some of the -- the Vagos. Couldn't tell you what they were talking about, they weren't throwing punches or anything at that time, they were just talking face-to-face, and whatever they were saying.

- Q. So that's what prompted the recording?
- A. We didn't know Mr. Pettigrew, I'll say, at this

time, either. It wasn't that, it just turns out to be that
particular guy. We didn't know him from anybody else.

- Q. And that actually I think -- and as I understand it, there's this view of the Oyster Bar, and then there's another view, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And what I want here is just go to that other -- so the one we were just at, for the record, is identified as Oyster Bar 1.
 - A. Correct, okay.
 - Q. This is Oyster Bar 2.
- A. Yeah.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

19

- Q. Just a different angle of the same events.
- A. Correct, yeah.
- Q. And can you identify Mr. Gonzalez in this video?
- A. Right here, with the glasses, the long sleeves. And he shows up in that, and he's right here right now.
 - Q. And this time frame again is about 10:12 in the --
 - A. 22:14 right now, is what that one is.
- Q. So about 10:12 in the evening.
- 21 A. Correct.
 - Q. And can you identify Mr. Pettigrew?
- A. Let's see. Where is Mr. Pettigrew? He's probably
 off to the left there a little bit. Yes, this is him talking

to the other --

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. So he's talking to some Vagos?
- A. Yes, that's a Vago, I believe. Yeah, if I see the back then I can verify for sure that it's a Vago. But yeah, he's got a couple of Vagos that he's talking to right here.
 - Q. Okay, so this is the video wherein Mr. Gonzalez --
 - A. First presence that I saw him in the group.
- Q. I'm just going to fast forward a little bit. Still see him there, correct?
- A. Right there, correct. He's in the same area, just there's people coming and going, they're greeting each other, doing their you know, a hug or handshakes or whatever their greeting method is.
 - Q. Just fast forward it. Do you still see him?
 - A. Right here. Here's Mr. Pettigrew.
 - Q. And this is -- looks like about 10:16?
- A. Correct. You notice primarily speaking the Vagos are all outside the bar, and there were the Hells Angels in there, and then a couple behind the sign, just nothing they weren't in any kind of defensive posture or anything, they were just all there.
 - Q. Can you identify that individual?
 - A. Not really from that view, I don't know.
 - Q. If I run the video will that help?

Yeah, let's give it a try. If that's Mr. Rudnick, 1 who you had asked about, I wouldn't have picked him out of a 2 lineup, so. By name and that. 3 So you don't know him as Mr. Rudnick; I would represent that is Mr. Rudnick. 5 Okay, yeah, that's correct, that would be correct. 6 Okay, and where is Mr. Gonzalez, we're now about 7 Q. 10:17. 8 He's right here. Talking with a -- Vago is that? 9 Let's fast forward a little bit, see him, now he 10 11 leaves the view of the camera, correct? Yeah, I would -- yeah, I don't see him right in 12 my --13 14 Q. I'll back it up. Α. Okay, yeah. Oh, no, here he is. He's right there. 15 Yeah, he walked off. 16 So that's about 10:17, 10:18 p.m.? 17 18 Α. Correct. Now, there's no other video that you're aware of or 19 that was captured showing Mr. Gonzalez's movements or 20 whereabouts on the casino floor prior to him appearing on 21 22 camera 45, and I'll get there in a minute. Is that your understanding? 23

24

He appears -- without going back over every single

one, first off, he wasn't followed around on the floor, we 1 had no inkling or no one was sorting him out to begin with. 3 I know he's over in the area by Trader Dick's. Yeah, let me jump to camera 45, because --Α. There you go. 5 This is where Mr. Gonzalez next appears on the 6 videos that you've talked --7 22:56, okay, this is coming up to 11. 8 that's not before, I was -- so yeah. So this is after the 9 video we had just viewed. 10 Q. Right, so he left the video at about 10:18 or so, 11 there's no other video showing his whereabouts on the casino 12 floor until he appears again here at 22:56:50, correct? 13 Α. That I know of at this time. 14 And again, you went through all the video with law 15 enforcement looking for Mr. Gonzalez, true? 16 He was one of the men of interest. Yeah, most of 17 18 that was also not really what was he doing all day long, it wasn't a question like that, if you know what I mean. 19 Q. But certainly if Mr. Gonzalez showed up on video, he 20 21 would have been captured, true? 22 Α. True.

23

24

Dick's?

So it shows him -- and this is in front of Trader

1	A. Correct.
2	Q. And just for the jury's edification, this is about
3	in this area?
4	A. Yes, right at the opening of Trader Dick's, at the
5	end of the bar, that yeah, right in there.
6	Q. Okay, and before, the prior video was down in the
7	Oyster Bar?
8	A. Correct.
9	Q. And this time frame is about a little bit before 11
LO	o'clock?
L 1.	A. Yes.
L2	Q. So about maybe 35, 40 minutes later?
L3	A. Okay, yeah, whatever we ended up there at.
L 4	Q. I'm going to fast forward through this. This video
L5	basically shows Mr. Gonzalez mulling in and about Trader
L 6	Dick's.
L 7	A. Correct.
18	Q. And there's parts where he's not even visible.
١9	A. Correct. This is the part where some of the they
20	had all started walking down to this area. Here's 23:08, so
21	why they picked this area, I have no idea. I mean, it's not
22	a
23	Q. You're just noticing Vagos
24	A. Yeah, that they came down

Gathering in front of the Trader Dick's? 1 Α. They vacated the Oyster Bar area, in fact, and that 2 3 was they're following up here. And you don't know why they vacated the Oyster Bar. Q. 4 No. 5 Α. Q. Can you identify Mr. Gonzalez in this video at all? 6 Α. Okay, I'm looking. 7 Ο. I'll fast forward a little bit. 8 Okay. There he is right there. Α. 9 10 Q. What do you see him doing there? He's talking to some people. Has a drink in his 11 Α. hand or a beer or something in his hand that he appears to be 12 drinking. I can clear that mark. 13 14 ο. And this is roughly 11:17? Α. 11:17. 15 So he's been there about 20 minutes or so? 16 Q. 17 Α. Sure. Can you identify him in a portion of this video? 18 Α. Here he is. Over on the side, here. Oh. 19 to a group. 20 This is just a little farther down, about 11:22 in 21

Is he at all in this video? I'll fast forward it.

the evening?

Α.

Correct.

22

23

The back of his head. I'm just judging from 1 sleeves, this could be him. Don't ask me for a back of the head identification, but -- yes, that's -- he's got the glasses on, that's him. What do you see him doing at this point? 5 He's talking to, for lack of other things, other Vagos, other members or -- and has a drink still in his hand, 7 it appears to be. Q. Now, as far as timing goes, this is just prior to the fight, correct? Correct. Now, here comes the Hells Angels walking down. See, they're walking in with -- and here's Pettigrew. What's Mr. -- what do you see Mr. Gonzalez doing 13 now? 15 Mr. Gonzalez is standing over here, watching the I -- now he's walking away, out of camera view. 16 we had 46 in there maybe we could see where he went to. he walks up -- now he's going over towards -- there's the fight. And he was walking over towards here. And he backs

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And what's he doing at this point? So backing away from the fight?

away from this fight area.

Backing away, appears to be watching the events. don't know if anything is -- what his interest or what

1 he's --

- Q. Can you identify him in this portion of the video?
- A. I know where he was. I think that's him right there, but you're talking about a dark shadow, so. And he walks forward. That was him, he's right there.
 - Q. Now, is he in this view right here?
- A. He's walked up to the bar, he's right there. Now he walked back over that way. This is still him right here. And he just walked into the -- he just walked into the bar area.
 - O. Behind the bar?
- A. Or the dance hall area, to the left there. I mean --
- Q. Now, at this point in time Mr. Villagrana is shooting?
 - A. Yes, that's right here. 23:26:29.
- Q. And so where is Mr. Gonzalez?
 - A. He appears to be back over in here. How far into the dance area I can't tell, but he's stepped that way, and there you go, that's all I can see right there. Now he just went down the back side of the bar. 23:26:35. If you want to back that up, you can see him -- he walks over to the bar right there, I can kind of -- he never went into the bar, I can still see him standing out there. Now he's in out of

view that I can tell. There he just went right across. 1 Now, it's at this point in time, is it not, that he 2 appears on the other videos --3 Yeah, the 215 I think is the first one, yes, and 214 4 after that. 5 So kind of want to mark this spot here on monitor 6 That's at 23:26:38. 7 45. It's right there, he's already down past that. 8 And so he would next show up where? 9 Go with 215. Okay, and go up -- what did you say, 10 23 -- what did you say, 23:28? Let's see where he shows up 11 here. 12 Before we -- just so I'm clear, which camera are we 13 Ο. looking at right here? He was right here, correct? 14 Α. Yes. 15 And then he's behind --16 Q. He's coming down that way where --17 -- the fish tank bar? Which camera are we looking 18 at right there? 19 This is 216, that's the bar camera from that. So. 20 Α. Which side of the bar, here? This side? 21 Q. Yeah, the back side, it's looking -- that's looking 22

So it's going to show him as he comes around the

Towards the dance area.

south.

Q.

23

1.	bar?	
2	Α.	Correct. On that board, on that board there, he's
3	coming d	own to the right.
4	Q.	Let me know when you see him.
5	A.	He's going to come down he's going to come into
6	the came	ra view over in this area here. Do you want me to
7	yell sto	p when I see him?
8	Q.	Yes. Do you want me to replay that?
9	А.	Yeah, replay that.
10	Q.	Can you identify him there?
11	Α.	Right there.
12	Q.	So what the timing of this, when we see him in
13	this vid	eo, what's the time frame in relation to the fight?
14	Has the	fight already occurred?
15	Α.	Yes, the fight's already occurred.
16	Q.	Has the shooting of Villagrana already from
17	Mr. Vill	agrana already occurred?
18	Α,	Yes, I believe so.
19	Q.	Has the shooting from Mr. Pettigrew already
20	occurred	1?
21	Α.	Mr. Pettigrew had taken out his gun by that time.
22	He took	that out and so.
23	Q.	And you've seen the video of somebody getting hit

with a gun, had that already occurred?

Yes, that was Mr. Pettigrew -- was that Pettigrew or 1 2 was that Villagrana? Anyway, yeah, pistol whipping. On the one angle we saw, we saw him go over towards 3 the dance hall for a bit. Uh-huh. Α. 5 Q. Was that before or after this angle? 6 That's before this -- before this one happened. Α. 7 So when we see him run across, this is the 8 continuation of that video, correct? 9 10 Yes, that's where he came from, yes. Q. Does he show up again in this portion of the video? 11 He should be coming back up after -- he goes down to 12 the end of the bar, and then comes back up. 13 14 What camera shows us the end of the bar? First off, do you want to see him coming back up 15 here, or do you want to see the end of the bar first? I 16 think that's 214. 17 Let me know when you see him come back. 18 Α. There he is. 19 Now, what's going on with respect to the fight at 20 this point in time? 21 I've got to look at it to tell exactly, I didn't --22 I don't have it in front of me, but --23 Q. Okay, let's -- what was the other camera angle that 24

we see him on? 1 2 Α. 214. 3 Q. Before we roll this one --Α. Okay, this is --4 Where is this on the bar, here? 5 Q. At the -- for you it's on the right-hand end of the 6 bar, and the camera is on the south side of the bar, bottom 7 of it, looking at an angle across. В So looking up that direction? Q. 9 10 Α. Correct. Q. And again, the fight is here, right? 11 Correct. And he's going to show up right in here. Α. 12 Here he is. 13 14 Q. What do you see him doing now? He's walking out this way, he walked out to the open 15 end of the bar. 16 So would that be consistent with walking out this 17 way? 18 Correct. Α. 19 What's he doing now? He walked back --Q. 20 21 He's hanging around that end of the bar, he just walked to look up that aisle. 22 And walked this way? Q. 23

Α.

24

Correct.

Now he's walking this way again? 1 If it's of any consequence, walking this way 2 he would have a view of up towards the other end to see what 3 was going on up there. 4 5 And then he walks back again this way. Correct. Now he's headed up that back aisle again 6 from which he came. 7 And do you have an idea of what's going on with the 8 fight at this time period? 9 No, I don't. 10 Α. We would have to go back and look at the time Q. 11 frames, do the syncing on your machines --12 Α. Right. 13 14 Ο. -- and put it all together. Correct. 15 Α. It's fair to say when we're looking at camera 45 and 16 we don't see him for a period of time, this is what's going 17 18 on? 19 Α. Yes. And then when he leaves this video --Q. 20 Go back to the 215 that we had. 21 Α.

He comes back and he comes into view that we saw

Yeah, we saw him walk up -- kind of walk back when

22

23

24

there?

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW APPA2014 03:01 p.m.

Electronically Filed
100/43/2014 03:01 p.m.
Tracie K. Lindeman
Clerk of Supreme Court

ERNESTO MANUEL GONZALEZ,

CASE NO. 64249

Appellant.

v.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX, VOLUME XI

APPEAL FROM JUDGMENT AFTER JURY TRIAL AND SENTENCING

Second Judicial District State of Nevada

THE HONORABLE CONNIE J. STEINHEIMER, PRESIDING

Richard F. Cornell, Esq. Attorney for Appellant 150 Ridge Street Second Floor Reno, NV 89501 775/329-1141 Washoe County District Attorney's Office Appellate Division <u>Attorney for Respondent</u> 1 Sierra St., 7th Floor Reno, NV 89501 775/337-5750

INDEX TO APPELLANT'S APPENDIX <u>ERNESTO MANUEL GONZALEZV. THE STATE OF NEVADA</u> No. 64249

NO	DESCRIPTION	DATE	PAGES
·	VOLUME I		
1	Indictment	11-09-11	1-10
2	Information Supplementing Indictment	1-30-13	11-21
3	Corrected Information Supplementing Indictment	3-02-12	22-32
4	Withdrawal of Information Supplementing Indictment	2-01-13	33-34
5	Second Information Supplementing Indictment	2-20-13	35-45
6	Third Information Supplementing Indictment	7-10-13	46-55
7	Fourth Information Supplementing Indictment	7-22-13	56-64
8	Grand Jury Transcript, Vol. I	10-25-11	65-107
9	Grand Jury Transcript, Vol. II	11-03-11	108-125
10	Grand Jury Transcript, Vol. III	11-09-11	126-250
	VOLUME II		
_	Cont.		251-401
11	Motion to Dismiss Indictment or in the Alternative Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	2-24-12	402-410
12	Opposition to Defendant Gonzalez Motion to Dismiss/Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus	3-05-12	411-417

13	Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss Indictment or in the Alternative Petition for Writ of <i>Habeas Corpus</i>	4-06-12	418-429
14 :	Motion to Partially Join In Co- Defendant Cesar Villagrana's Writ of Habeas Corpus and Motion to Compel	5-29-12	430-433
15	Motion to Join to Balance of Co- Defendant Cesar Villagrana's Petition for Writ of <i>Habeas Corpus</i>	6-11-12	434-436
16	Opposition to Defendant Gonzalez' Motion to Partially Join in Co-Defendant Cesar Villagrana's Writ of <i>Habeas</i> Corpus and Motion to Compel	6-14-12	437-440
17	Reply to Opposition to Defendant's Motion Partially Join in Co-Defendant Cesar Villagrana's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus and Motion to Compel	6-14-12	441-445
18	Supplemental Points and Authorities in Support of Motion to Dismiss Indictment or in the Alternative Petition for Writ of <i>Habeas Corpus</i> and Motion to Reconsider Based Upon Newly Discovered Evidence	9-13-12	446-463
19	Opposition to Supplemental Points and Authorities (etc.)	9-19-12	464-472
20	Reply to State's Opposition to Defendant's Supplemental Points and Authorities and Motion to Reconsider	9-24-12	473-482
	VOLUME III		
21_	Order	9-13-12	483-510
22	Second Motion to Dismiss	10-18-12	511-562
23	Opposition to Second Motion to Dismiss	10-12-12	563-567

24.	Reply to State's Opposition to Defendant's Second Motion to Dismiss	10-19-12	568-573
25	Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Request for Clarification or Supplemental Order and Denying Second Motion to Dismiss	10-30-12	574-586
26	Order Denying Petition, No. 62392	1-31-13	587-589
27	Order After October 29, 2012 Hearing	10-30-12	590-592
28	Motion to Bifurcate Enhancement Evidence	11-26-12	593-599
29	Opposition to Motion to Bifurcate Enhancement Evidence	12-06-12	600-607
30	Motion to Admit Evidence of Other Crimes, Wrongs, or Acts	11-26-12	608-649
31	Supplement to Motion for Order Admitting Gang Enhancement Evidence and Testimony	11-26-12	650-660
32	Addendum to Motion for Order Admitting Gang Enhancement	11-26-12	661-750
	VOLUME IV		
	(Cont.)		751-1000
	VOLUME V		
	(Cont.)		1001-1250
	VOLUME VI		
	(Cont.)		1251-1402
33	Opposition to Request for Disclosure of Proposed Gang Enhancement Evidence and Witnesses	10-19-12	1403-1423

-

	<u> </u>	
Opposition to State's Motion to Admit Evidence of Other Crimes, Wrongs or Acts, and Motion to Strike	12-11-12	1424-1436
Opposition to State's Motion for Order Admitting Gang Enhancement Evidence and Testimony	12-11-12	1437-1464
Opposition to State's Motion for Order Admitting Gang Enhancement Evidence and Testimony	12-18-12	1465-1431
Motion Requesting Leave of Court to Supplement Gang Enhancement Discovery	1-23-13	1472-1500
VOLUME VII		
(Cont.)		1501-1543
Opposition to State's Motion for Leave to Supplement Gang Enhancement Discovery (Lake County Incident)	1-28-13	1544-1548
Transcript of Proceedings Pre-Trial Motions	10-29-12	1547-1713
Transcript of Proceedings Evidentiary Hearing	1-08-13	1714-1750
VOLUME VIII		
(Cont.)		1751-1948
Transcript of Proceedings Evidentiary Hearing	1-09-13	1949-2000
VOLUME IX		
(Cont.)		2001-2214
Transcript of Proceedings Evidentiary Hearing	1-14-13	2215-2250
VOLUME X		
	Evidence of Other Crimes, Wrongs or Acts, and Motion to Strike Opposition to State's Motion for Order Admitting Gang Enhancement Evidence and Testimony Opposition to State's Motion for Order Admitting Gang Enhancement Evidence and Testimony Motion Requesting Leave of Court to Supplement Gang Enhancement Discovery VOLUME VII (Cont.) Opposition to State's Motion for Leave to Supplement Gang Enhancement Discovery (Lake County Incident) Transcript of Proceedings Pre-Trial Motions Transcript of Proceedings Evidentiary Hearing VOLUME VIII (Cont.) Transcript of Proceedings Evidentiary Hearing VOLUME IX (Cont.) Transcript of Proceedings Evidentiary Hearing	Evidence of Other Crimes, Wrongs or Acts, and Motion to Strike Opposition to State's Motion for Order Admitting Gang Enhancement Evidence and Testimony Opposition to State's Motion for Order Admitting Gang Enhancement Evidence and Testimony Motion Requesting Leave of Court to Supplement Gang Enhancement Discovery VOLUME VII (Cont.) Opposition to State's Motion for Leave to Supplement Gang Enhancement Discovery (Lake County Incident) Transcript of Proceedings Pre-Trial Motions Transcript of Proceedings Evidentiary Hearing VOLUME VIII (Cont.) Transcript of Proceedings Evidentiary Hearing VOLUME IX (Cont.) Transcript of Proceedings Evidentiary Hearing VOLUME IX (Cont.)

		-	
·	(Cont.)		2251-2429
43	Transcript of Proceedings Evidentiary Hearing	1-15-13	2430-2500
· ·	VOLUME XI		
	(Cont.)		2501-2553
44	Order Regarding the State's Motion for Order Admitting Gang Enhancement Testimony	5-20-13	2554-2561
45	Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part the State's Motion to Admit Evidence of Other Crimes, Wrongs or Acts	5-16-13	2562-2574
45A	Order Granting Motion to Join Select Legal Pleadings	4-29-13	2574A
46	Transcript of Proceedings Change of Plea (Villagrana)	7-22-13	2575-2592
47	Trial Transcript, Day Three Morning Session	7-24-13	2593-2726
48	Trial Transcript, Day Three Afternoon Session	7-24-13	2727-2747
,	VOLUME XII		
	(Cont.)		2748-2818
49	Trial Transcript, Day Four Morning Session	7-25-13	2819-3004
	VOLUME XIII		
50	Trial Transcript, Day Four Afternoon Session	7-25-13	3005-3099
51	Trial Transcript, Day Five Morning Session	7-20-13	3100-3250
	VOLUME XIV		
	<u> </u>	-	

	(Cont.)		3251-3261
52	Trial Transcript, Day Five Afternoon Session	7-29-13	3262-3366
53	Trial Transcript, Day Six, Morning Session	7-30-13	3367-3500
	VOLUME XV		
	(Cont.)		3501-3544
54	Trial Transcript, Day Six Afternoon Session	7-30-13	3545-3613
55	Trial Transcript, Day Six Examination of Donald Sandy	7-30-13	3614-3683
56	Trial Transcript, Day Seven Morning Session	7-31-13	3684-3750
	VOLUME XVI		·
	(Cont.)		3751-3842
57	Trial Transcript, Day Seven Afternoon Session	7-31-13	3843-4000
	VOLUME XVII		
-	(Cont.)		4001-4018
58	Trial Transcript, Day Eight Morning Session	8-01-13	4019-4200
59	Trial Transcript, Day Eight Afternoon Session	8-01-13	4201-4250
	VOLUME XVIII		
	(Cont.)		4251-4294
60	Trial Transcript, Day Nine Morning Session	8-02-13	4295-4444
61	Trial Transcript, Day Nine Afternoon Session	8-02-13	4445-4500
			

	VOLUME XIX		
	(Cont.)		4501-4589
62	Trial Transcript, Day Ten Morning Session	8-05-13	4590-4730
63	Trial Transcript, Day Ten Afternoon Session	8-05-13	4731-4750
,	VOLUME XX		
	(Cont.)		4751-4757
64	Trial Transcript, Day Eleven	8-06-13	4758-4811
65	Trial Transcript, Day Twelve	8-07-13	4812-4956
66	Jury Instructions		4957-5000
	VOLUME XXI		(
	(Cont.)		5001-5011
67	Refused Instructions, - Defendant A-E	8-06-13	5012-5017
68	Jury Question #2, No Response	8-07-13	5018-5021
69	Verdicts	8-07-13	5022-5036
70	Stipulation to Waive Separate Penalty Hearing	8-07-13	5037
71	Motion for a New Trial	8-14-13	5038-5141
72	Motion to Strike Redundant Convictions	8-13-13	5142-5145
73	Motion to Compel Election Between Multiplicitous Murder Counts	8-06-13	5146-5149
74	Opposition to Motion for New Trial	8-22-13	5150-5159
75	Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Strike	8-22-13	5160-5180
76	Reply to Opposition to Motion for New Trial	8-27-13	5181-5250

		·	
· .	VOLUME XXII		
	(Cont.)		5251-5489
77	Reply to Opposition to Motion to Strike Redundant Convictions	9-13-13	5490-5494
	VOLUME XXIII		
78	Transcript of Proceedings - Sentencing	10-03-13	5495-5571
79	Judgment	10-03-13	5572-5574
80	Corrected Judgment	10-04-13	5575-5577
81	Notice of Appeal to the Supreme Court	10-15-13	5578-5580

- Q And prior to that, you had or you also have SWAT experience; is that correct?
 - A Yes.
 - Q And tell us about that training.

A I've been on the Reno Police Department's SWAT team for approximately seven years. I've been through the Reno Police Department's SWAT school training. I've been through the FBI advanced SWAT school. And I've attended on-the-job training, as well as other outside agency training with regards to SWAT.

- Q And the various principles within the SWAT or the various roles that are covered by the SWAT team?
 - A Yes. I'm currently an operator in explosive breach.
- Q I'd like to direct your attention to this case.

 Were you asked to examine a computer that was impounded

 pursuant to a search warrant under Sparks PD number 11-8996?
 - A Yes, I was.
- Q And walk us through the process of how you began the examination of the computer.

A Basically, the evidence is brought to me and along with a search warrant or consent, whatever may be in the case. This was a search warrant. I check over the search warrant to make sure that I'm within the dates, the proper dates, and it's a proper search warrant for the device I'm searching.

And then I photograph the evidence prior to any disassembly, if it requires me to take the computer apart. I photograph it prior to that. And then I photograph the stages as I take it apart.

R

- 15

18.

Once I've taken a computer itself apart, I remove the hard drive and attach it to my computer forensic tower that I have that contains all my programs. The program that I use is FTK Imager. It's a forensic tool kit imager that creates an exact image of the hardware or the hard drive that I am imaging. It's a forensically sound image that I create, meaning that any time you turn on a computer or make any kind of access to the hard drive, there is a change made or there can be changes made to it. This program allows me to connect to the hard drive and make a duplicate of the hard drive without making any changes to it whatsoever.

I create the image of the hard drive. Then once the image is created and stored, I put the hard drive back in the computer. It's returned back to evidence. And then I take the image that's created and I plug it into another program. And I go through the image looking for any evidence that is requested by whoever is making the request for me to do the forensics.

Q In this case, a Sparks police detective asked you to look for some specific --

A Yes.

Q -- type of things.

And what were those?

A Anything related to Vagos.

Q And so, tell us about the volume of data that is on this image that you made from the hard drive?

A Without going back into the program itself and looking at that, it's an extremely large amount of data. In the beginning, when I first started out, because they were asking for images, I went to a gallery view, which shows me all the pictures. It shows me icons. It shows me any kind of image that is on that computer hard drive at the time. And I believe there was somewhere over a million images that I was

asked to start going through.

Q And so the process of creating a report for this

case, you had to go through and individually look at those

images?

A The way the program is set up, yes. I get a gallery view that could contain up to 25 small pictures on a computer screen on like a 21-inch monitor. And as I look through them, I have to click on each one of them to pull them up to get a full size or as close to a full-size picture. So, yes, I have to go through each individual picture one at a time.

You did that and created a report in this case; is

that correct?

A Yes, I did.

MR. STEGE: May I have the compact disk marked next in order?

THE COURT CLERK: Exhibit Q marked.

(Exhibit Q was marked for identification.)

BY MR. STEGE:

Q Tell us what is contained in your report.

A Basically in my report, there is a written document that outlines the forensic process that I went through in creating the image. And then in the report it also stores the information that I bookmark as I go through the examination of the hard drive.

Q And the bookmark contains information about what?

A The bookmarks, each one of the bookmarks contains information regarding the file path that the item was located in. It will show a creation and a modified and access date. It will show an actual thumbnail of the image that I was looking at or that I bookmarked at the time. And you can bookmark anything. You can bookmark an e-mail, a document, a picture, you can bookmark any of these things.

So in this case, I created videos or a video bookmark, a picture of interest bookmark or pictures of interest bookmark and images, I think. I'd have to look at it

again.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. STEGE: May I approach the witness?

THE COURT: You may.

BY MR. STEGE:

Q Prior to taking the stand today, you had an opportunity to review a copy of the report you created on disk; is that correct?

A Yes, I did.

Q And after viewing it, you recognize it to be a true and accurate depiction of your report?

A Yes.

Q And after doing so, you initialed it with your -- is that your badge number?

A Yeah. I place my initials, TC, and my badge number, 2776, on the disk.

Q And is that the disk marked as Exhibit Q?

A Yes, it is.

MR. STEGE: I move to introduce Q.

MR. DOGAN: Your Honor, I would object. And my objection is a two-fold objection. The first and most important one is that this is a direct violation of the Court's order filed on October 30th, 2012. This is the same situation that we were in last week. This CD is quite voluminous, it's quite large and it's quite significant.

This Court's order stated that the State must file a motion and allege with specificity what the allegation of the other act evidence is going to be. This Court filed an order, Your Honor, requiring the State to also attach those -- the exhibits contemporaneously with its motions. The State failed to do that.

I have a motion for Other Acts Evidence in this case with three exhibits attached to that motion. I came into this courtroom, Your Honor, prepared to argue all the exhibits that were attached to their motion and the allegations contained therein. I've been following this Court's order. The State has not been following this Court's order. The entirety of the CD should be excluded. It should not be admitted into evidence, because it is a direct violation of this Court's order.

The State may argue that this was previously given, provided to the defense. And I will tell this Court with all candid honesty, I have two colleagues in this courtroom, in here today. They may have reviewed it, but I've never seen it. The discovery we have is voluminous. It's close to 6,000 pages. The amount of DVD and CD audio recordings are quite hefty. They fill an entire box.

I haven't seen this CD. I came prepared to argue one thing, and one thing only. And that's what's contained

within the four corners of the State's Prior Bad Act Motion, not this, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, isn't the video showing

Mr. Gonzalez firing a pistol? Is that contained on this CD?

MR. STEGE: It is contained, as well as the photographs, which are mentioned in my motion, Judge.

THE COURT: And there's two that you talked about?

MR. STEGE: There's two I talked about there. I also supplemented the -- this motion with respect -- on January 14th. Filed a supplement to that. The Court's order itself, which --

THE COURT: What's the supplement?

MR. STEGE: -- they're arguing is page 3 or line 3 of page 2 says, "contemporaneously -- or prior, this disk was disclosed." I went back and looked on August 28th of 2012.

And in fact, I drew their attention to it in my pleadings that it was contained on the report on Mr. Clarke.

THE COURT: Okay. What did you supplement on the 14th? I don't have that in front of me.

MR. STEGE: I filed a -- it was filed January 14th, 2013. We filed a motion requesting leave of the Court to supplement discovery. Leave of Court to Supplement Other Act Evidence Discovery as to Defendant Gonzalez. It starts on page two. It attaches the curriculum vitae of Detective

Clarke. It mentions the photographs that I want to get into, the video.

THE COURT: Does it mention different ones or the same ones?

MR. STEGE: It mentions both, as a matter of fact. It draws the defense's attention to the -- he will testify that the photographs appear to depict a Glock pistol. I also acknowledge that we will seek to introduce the balance of the photographs as they relate to, in our case-in-chief to show the defendant's affiliation with the Vagos.

THE COURT: So you -- there's only three things on this video for purposes of the hearings today?

MR. STEGE: For purposes of bad act evidence, yes. What I have identified as bad act evidence, yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Now -- and you're not trying to use it for enhancement purposes, but you do intend to admit or try to admit this CD or parts of the CD beyond the bad act evidence to show his affiliation as a Vago?

MR. STEGE: Right.

THE COURT: Vagos.

That issue is not before me today nor is my order relating to that. So as this request is being made to admit this evidence it's only admitted for today's purposes and it only relates to the Vagos, Mr. Gonzalez in a Vagos T-shirt

holding a gun, the semi-automatic, the video of him firing the 2 pistol. MR. DOGAN: And therefore the balance of the CD 3 should not -- if it's just that, Your Honor, because what you just stated to me --5 That's what I understand it to be. THE COURT: 6 That's the -- that is what this hearing 7 MR. STEGE: 8 is about, the bad acts portion as the Court just stated. 9 THE COURT: Okay. So for that -- with that understanding I'm going to overrule your objection. The CD is 10 11 only being admitted for purposes of today's hearing and part of it so in fact what we are really doing is not requiring the 12 State to create a new document to present, you're just 13 presenting the evidence through the document you already have. 14 MR. STEGE: Right. 15 MR. SCHONFELD: And, Your Honor, it only is being 16 admitted as to Mr. Gonzalez. 17 MR. STEGE: That sucks, but yes. 18 MR. DOGAN: There's a second objection. 19 20 like to voir dire this witness on foundation. It will be

1

short.

THE COURT:

MR. DOGAN:

21

22

23

24

Thank you, Your Honor.

Okay. Go ahead.

1		VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. DO	GAN:
3	· a	Sir, when were these photos taken, the photos that
4	you exami	ned?
5	A	I don't I couldn't tell you the dates that they
6	were take	n unless I look back into the examination itself.
7	And then	I may not be able to tell you exactly.
8	Q	And when was the video taken?
9	A	I'd have to look.
0	Q	Okay. But right now you don't know when the photos
1	were take	n, correct?
2	. А	I can't tell you off the top of my head, no, sorry.
3	. Q	And you don't know when the video was taken,
4	correct?	
5	A	Not off the top of my head.
6	Q	And you were not personally present when they were
7	taken, co	rrect?
8	Α	No.
9	Q	And you don't know who took the videos, correct?
20	А	No.
21	Q	And you don't know who took the photographs,
22	correct?	
23	A	No.

MR. DOGAN: Your Honor, I move to exclude this

represent a fair and accurate representation of the events 2 they depict, both in content and tone. 3 THE COURT: Okay, Overruled. 4 MR. DOGAN: Lack of foundation. THE COURT: I'm going to overrule your objection. 6 7 think it goes to the weight. Go ahead. 8 MR. STEGE: And the exhibit is admitted? THE COURT: For purposes of today's hearing. 10 MR. STEGE: May I publish? 11 THE COURT: Those portions, yes. 12 (Exhibit Q was admitted into evidence.) 13 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION RESUMED 15 BY MR. STEGE: 16 Let's get here to the body of your report which is 17 Q labeled report.pdf; is that right? 18 Α Yes. 19 We are looking at page one of this report. 20 you testified earlier about the bookmarks that you created. 21 22 Do you see those here in the bookmark portion? A Yes, I do. 23 Ma'am, can I scoot over so I can see it a little 24

evidence because the -- this witness cannot state whether they

better?

MR. DOGAN: Your Honor, can I ask Mr. Stege to move a little bit so my client can see.

THE COURT: Can you back up and move a little to your left. No your left.

MR. STEGE: This is my left.

THE COURT: Stage left. Sorry.

BY MR. STEGE:

- Q So you talked about the bookmarks you created after going through the images. And those are listed here on page 1?
 - A Yes,
- Q Let us go, then, to page -- I apologize there may be some offensive images I'm not seeking to introduce at trial or in this hearing, but they are contained. So let's look specifically at this image right here that we are about to click on.

What information -- let me just click on it here first. For the record, I guess we should read the name of this file into the record. It's 40042DF12FBA84C8A9A23D7FABD5B 5715037B9V1; is that correct?

- A Yes.
- Q So this --
- 24 THE COURT: Can you rotate --

MR. STEGE: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Can you rotate the picture?

BY MR. STEGE:

- Q Yes, Your Honor. This is one of the photographs that was found on the computer; is that correct?
 - A Yes.
- Q Now, draw your attention to the man on the left, the firearm in his back-right pocket. What, if anything, can you tell us about that firearm?
 - A It's -- it appears to me to be a Glock handgun.
 - Q And what is the basis of that?

THE COURT: Okay. The audience doesn't have a right to stand up and walk around, so please don't do that.

A I'm sorry.

BY MR. STEGE:

- Q What is the basis for that statement?
- A My familiarity with Glock handguns. I carry a Glock handgun. My experiences through firing and handling over the past 22 years.
- Q What can you tell us this information above the thumbnail of the photograph? What is that information?
- A It's file contents, basically. It's the information created in the file. You'll see there's a created date, modified date, access date, path. The created date is not

necessarily the created date of the picture, that the picture was created. It's actually the created date, the date that file was created in this computer.

Q So the date it was put onto the computer?

A Could be put onto the computer, could be viewed on the computer, could be loaded on the computer, yes.

And the path name is a long name. Basically, if you were to break that into files, each one separated by a slash is a different file. And that's usually the tree of how you get to that.

Q So if you were on the computer, you would click on the folder Fujits?

A If you were in the computer, it's stored at the end of the first line, it says "user." It's stored under the user's section of the computer. The user's section of the computer being when you purchase a computer and you first set it up, there's a section that says Tyler Clarke PC. So you give it a specific name, and this user name was Radio Flier. And then the subfolder that is inside is library, subfolder of application support, and subfolder of that would be mobile sync backup. So to me that tells me it was some type of mobile device that was attached to this computer. And that's where the pictures come from.

Q In fact, just below it, there's the same image, but

with different information relating to that?

A It could be a different type of that picture. There are thumbnails, thumbnail versions of the picture. The computers store pictures in different caches so you can look at them at different times. Sometimes it's readily available to you and pops right up. Sometimes the computer has to go find the picture in an area. So there are multiple pictures of the same thing that will show up in a computer.

Q Let's move now to page -- is there a date associated with this second -- let's go to the one just above. We have the created date of March 16th, 2011, a modify date same time, and access date 5-29-2011?

A Uh-huh.

- Q Do you see that?
- A Yes.
- Q What is that information?

A The create date, modified date, and access date, it would be like I said before, the create date may be where it was put onto the computer or synced with the computer, loaded to the computer. That modified date is typically going to be the same. And then if it's -- you looked at or accessed the computer, then that's your access date.

Q With respect to the same image, but located just below, we have a created date of 7-1-2011, modified date,

Uh-huh. And that has to do with that additional Α file that ends after backup, I believe. It must be another file that picture was in, or moved to, or came out of. All right. Let's move now to page 83 of your This file here, this is another file that you bookmarked. And what are we seeing -- the person on the far left, what are we seeing in that person's hand? It appears to be the same Glock handgun. And your same basis for that? Based on my experience and the fact that I carry one myself, I would say that that is a Glock handgun. Let's go back to the report. What information do Q you have with respect to the created date, modified date, and access date? THE COURT: I'm going to stop you there. There appear to be two guns in that picture. THE WITNESS: Yes, THE COURT: Which one were you referring to?

2

3

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

same, as well as the access date 7-1-2011. Do you see that?

THE COURT: Okay.

on the left side.

THE WITNESS: That's holding the gun in what appears to be the right hand.

THE WITNESS: I was referring to the person depicted

THE COURT: Oƙay. Thank you. 1 BY MR. STEGE: 2 Q What information do we have about this particular 3 photograph or this particular version of the photograph? Its created date, modified date, and an access date. 5 Created and modified dates are the same. The access date, 6 again, it could be somebody viewed that picture at that time 7 on that date, or that picture was brought out to be sent 8 someplace, moved. There's quite a few different things that 9 could have happened on that access date. But the create date 10 and the modified date is 3-16-2011 at the time it's listed on 11 there. 12 13 Q And the access date here is May 29th, 2011? Α Yes. 14 You also bookmarked a video which you have under the 15 16 video section at page 696. Let's go to that. And that file was exported as file/M000530.mpg; is that correct? 17 Yes. Α 18 (A video was played.) 19 BY MR. STEGE: 20 Q Now, what did we just see here? 21 It's video taken of the gentleman's firing a 22 Α

handgun .

Q

23

24

Now, what, if anything, based on your training and

experience, can you see about the technique displayed by the shooter?

MR. DOGAN: Objection, Your Honor, Lack of foundation.

R

THE COURT: I don't know. I'm not sure what you mean by technique. Would you -- that question is vague as far as I'm concerned. Sustained.

MR. DOGAN: He's also not noticed for this purpose,
Your Honor, this is only regarding what was retrieved from the
hard drive.

MR. STEGE: As a matter of fact, Judge, the motion discusses this. It's one of the bases for the admission of this exhibit.

THE COURT: Go ahead and say what you said in your motion.

MR. STEGE: I reference it first at page 3 of my motion. I also discuss it in depth starting at page 6, line 20, and specifically page 7 beginning at line 7. "In the instant case, the video defendant between the defendant's firearm skills explains how he was able to shoot at Pettigrew at a distance of approximately 25 feet hitting with an unusual level of accuracy. Five of the seven shots hit Pettigrew and all five struck him in the torso." This goes on from there.

THE COURT: Your question still was vague for me.

BY MR. STEGE: 2 Q Were you able to see the technique or how the 3 subject operated the firearm? 4 MR. DOGAN: Your Honor, objection. It's the same 5 objection because this witness has not been noticed as an 6 expert in firearm shooting technique and shooting firearms. MR, STEGE: 8 We haven't had to file an expert witness list yet. 9 MR. DOGAN: And it's argument, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: I'm going to let you put on this 11 evidence as part of your offer of proof. I'm not going to 12 13 make a ruling whether or not it's admissible. I don't know if his answer is going to be admissible or not. 14 BY MR. STEGE: 15 Q Were you able to see the hand technique of the 16 shooter? 17 Yes. 18 Α And the stance of the shooter? Q 19 Α Yes. 20 And how the shooter switches from going from one 21 22 hand shooting to using two hands to fire the weapon? Α Yes, I could see that. 23 Q Have you ever fired a gun before, a pistol? 24

MR. STEGE:

1

Okay.

- .

- A Yes, I have many times.
- Q And you're involved in SWAT. Do you receive any training in firing a pistol?
 - A Yes, I am.
- Q Based on that experience and your years as a police officer, what can you tell us about the level of dexterity displayed by the shooter?
- A It appears to me watching that video that he's familiar with the operation of handguns and familiar with how to fire them accurately.
 - Q Does that --
- THE COURT: Does it show somewhere that he was accurate? Is there --
- THE WITNESS: Excuse me. I'm sorry. Fire them. To me, watching other operators on the SWAT team, watching other people within the police department fire, I've been an instructor at the range with building searches and other techniques and been around other officers that have fired weapons, he did not display, to me, fear of the gun or the inability to fire the gun. So I would say judging by how he does not flinch, he's not dipping with the weapon when he pulls the trigger, that if I could see the target, I would say that he's probably shooting accurately there.
 - THE COURT: But did you ever see the target?

THE COURT: I won't consider the last bit about the 2 target. 3 This is all speculation, Your Honor. MR. DOGAN: 4 THE COURT: Yes. Sustained. 5 BY MR. STEGE: 6 Is one of the things you do, you said you taught at Q 7 the range. Is one of the things you do watch people's 8 techniques for errors just as the ones you just described? 9 10 Yes, we do. And when you're able to correct some of the things 11 like flinching or dipping the gun down, are you able to see an 12 improvement in the result in accuracy? 13 Objection. Leading, compound, vague, MR. DOGAN: 14 lack of foundation. He's not an expert. 15 THE COURT: Right, You haven't offered him as an 16 expert. 17 MR. STEGE: Right, Well, I haven't been required to 18 disclose my experts in the Court's order. 19 THE COURT: Right. But you are required to prove up 20 your bad act evidence. If you want to use an expert to prove 21 that up, you better disclose them or use them. 22 23 MR. STEGE: Right. He has not been disclosed nor has he THE COURT: 24

No, I did not.

THE WITNESS:

1

been qualified as an expert. If that's part of the proof you need to put on, you haven't done it. So I'm going to sustain the objection.

BY MR. STEGE:

Q The subject in this video, does he appear to be the same subject in the previous photo?

A Yes.

MR. STEGE: Judge, I'd offer Mr. Clarke as an expert in the area of firearms training, technique.

MR. DOGAN: Your Honor, it's my understanding that when the State provided this CV to us he was only going to be offered to introduce this video and the photographs. Nothing more, nothing less. And now all of a sudden in a very bold and cavalier fashion, the State is trying to introduce this individual as an expert witness. He has no qualifications. He's stated no qualifications as being qualified as an expert in regards to firearm training, firearm shooting, firearm accuracy, nothing in regard to ballistics, period.

I would request that this request from the State be denied; that he's not qualified to offer this testimony and he's not -- he has not been noticed as such a witness.

THE COURT: Okay. He has not been noticed. The pleading, though, does say that the -- in the instant case, the video depicting the defendant's firearm skills explains

how he was able to shoot at Pettigrew at a distance, et cetera. That has to put you on notice that that's going to be the argument. They now want to bring in an expert to support that argument. You have not been given notice of that expert, but he's offering it now.

Do you want to voir dire him or do you just want to argue that he hasn't established his expertise?

MR. DOGAN: I would voir dire him, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Can we turn the lights back on while he's offering it.

Okay. Counsel.

MR. DOGAN: Your Honor, respectfully before I do go into my voir dire, because I wasn't noticed that he was going to be offering any testimony regarding expertise for firearms shooting, I'm kind of in an ambush here. I'm facing somewhat of a dilemma.

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

BY MR. DOGAN:

Q But sir, nowhere in your CV do you claim --

THE COURT: I'm sorry. Is the CV marked or that was probably the addendum?

MR. STEGE: Yes, Judge. It's Exhibit 1 to the --

THE COURT: Addendum?

MR. STEGE: Yes. 1 THE COURT: I'm sorry. The motion that was filed on 2 the 14th. 3 MR. STEGE: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 5 If I can have the Court's indulgence. MR, DOGAN: 6 Your Honor, may I proceed? 7 8 THE COURT: You may. MR. DOGAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 9 10 BY MR. DOGAN: Sir, nowhere in your CV do you have any training or Q 11 experience as being a firearms expert, correct? 12 Α 13 Correct. And you have no expertise regarding ballistics, Q 14 correct? 15 Α Correct. 16 And you have never been qualified in court as a Q 17 firearms expert, correct? 18 Α Correct. 19 Nor as a ballistics expert, correct? Q 20 Correct. 21 Α In the video you just watched, you don't even know Q 22 what my client is shooting at, correct? 23 Α Correct. 24

Q He can be shooting at a tree, correct? 1 I have no idea what he's shooting at. 2 А Okay. And he can be shooting at nothing, right? Q Could be. And, therefore, you don't know whether there's a 5 6 target, right? I have no idea if there is or not. 7 Α 8 Q And therefore you don't know the accuracy of any shots? 9 I do not. 10 Sir, the experience that you base your firearms, I 11 guess what the state is calling expertise is because you carry 12 a Glock, correct? 13 That, and I've been on the police department for Α 14 over 22 years, and I've been a member of the SWAT team for 15 seven years, and I'm familiar with shooting a handgun, rifle, 16 those types of weapons, yes. 17 So you base your expertise on shooting firearms, 18 Q correct? 19 Α Shooting firearms, yes. 20 And the photograph you saw, you stated to the Court 21 that it's a Glock, correct? 22

MR. STEGE: Judge, this goes beyond the scope of --

I offered him as an expert with respect to the video.

23

24

MR. DOGAN: I'm talking about the gun he saw and how 1 It goes to his expertise. he knows what it is. 2 THE COURT: I'm -- you asked that question about the 3 4 Glock, though, twice. This is the first time I'm asking him MR. DOGAN: 5 this question. 6 THE COURT: I'll let the guestion stand. 7 Your Honor, did you sustain the MR. DOGAN: 8 objection? 9 10 THE COURT: Overruled. MR. DOGAN: Overruled. Thank you, 11 BY MR. DOGAN: 12 Sir, you stated that the photograph depicts a Glock? Q 13 The photograph that I was shown depicts a Glock, Α 14 yeah. 15 Q Does the video depict a Glock as well? 16 I cannot tell. . Α 17 Q What kind of firearm does that other individual hold 18 in the photograph? 19 I'd have to look at it again. I have no idea. 20 THE COURT: I think that goes beyond his expertise. 21 You're voir diring him on whether or not he can be an expert 22 on -- I'm not exactly sure what you're -- would you repeat 23

what you want to qualify him as?

24

24

to be an expert on?

MR. STEGE: He's an expert in the handling of firearms. He's involved in SWAT. He has expertise --THE COURT: That's not -- an expert whose involved in SWAT, is not an expert. So what specifically? MR. STEGE: Firearms technique, shooting stance, trigger control, as it relates to the video. The safe handling of firearms, and training, and firearms -- or I should say firearms training. THE COURT: Okay. I don't really think there's an expert on trigger control. That seems very vague. If you're offering him as an expert on some of these, you said, safe --MR. STEGE: The safe handling of firearms, the basic marksmanship. THE COURT: An expert on safe handling of firearms, that's what you want to offer him as? Firearms technique, shooting technique. MR. STEGE: THE COURT: So we've got it down to firearms technique or shooting technique. Which one? MR. STEGE: Shooting technique, as it relates to the video. THE COURT: He can't be an expert on this one particular video. He's a shooting technique expert and then maybe you can talk about this or not. What is it you want him

MR. STEGE: What I just said. 1 THE COURT: Shooting technique? 2 3 MR. STEGE: Right. So your question, Counsel. THE COURT: 4 MR. DOGAN: I'm sorry, Your Honor? 5 THE COURT: You asked to voir dire on the admission 6 of him as an expert, and we've got him down to shooting 7 technique inequality expert. So you can ask any questions you 8 want on that. BY MR. DOGAN: 10 Q Sir, have you ever testified in court before 11 12 regarding shooting techniques? Α No. 13 Have you is ever heard of shooting -- a shooting Q 14 technique expert before? 15 16 Α No. Is today the first? Okay. Strike that. 17 18 MR. DOGAN: Your Honor, same objection. I don't know -- I'm scrambling right now. This is an ad hoc. Thev 19 are just adding things for this witness to testify to. 20 just don't appreciate being placed in this situation. I'm not 21 prepared. I don't believe that this -- he's never heard of a 22 shooting technique expert. He should not offer any testimony

23

24

regarding this, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. I don't know what a shooting 1 technique expert is, either. So I'm having a lot of problems 2 with that. 3 Sustained. MR. STEGE: May I rehabilitate the--5 THE COURT: You can ask more questions and see where 6 you want to go with this. 7 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION RESUMED BY MR. STEGE: 10 Q So how does someone get on the SWAT team? 11 I go through a testing phase, both physical and 12 mental and marksmanship. 13 Q And does part of your ongoing training on the SWAT 14 team involve ongoing marksmanship classes and testing? 15 Yes, and qualifications on a regular basis or at the 16 17 range, probably at least twice, if not sometimes three times a month. 18 And is that above what a regular police officer, 19 Q patrol officer has to go through to maintain their 20 marksmanship skills? 21 Α The standards that I go through are quite a bit 22 higher than a normal police officers, yes. 23

Q :

24

And you mentioned earlier that you have taught at a

range?

A I've taught during Simunitions. I taught weapons handling during Simunitions courses, FX, enforcement training. I've been present during our training and critique other operators and other officers.

- Q Have you been the person that qualifies owe people in shooting?
 - A No, I have not.
- Q What about -- you did the 40-hour SWAT training here with the Reno Police Department, right?
 - A I attempted that, yes.
- Q Was -- what portion of that was for the marksmanship, proper marksmanship, safe handling of firearms?
- A Probably 75 percent if not higher than that. I mean, when we are doing that type of training, we are always handling a firearm. We are always -- we are either doing live fire exercises or dry fire exercises with the firearms.
- Q What about when you went to the FBI advanced SWAT school for 40 hours?
- A Again, about the only time we didn't have a weapon in our hand was maybe during repelling.
- Q And so you see the advanced training in marksmanship, safe handling, and firearms, firearms techniques?

A Yes.

Q And you continue to -- well, you mentioned here in your resume that you were a police training officer. Is any of that in the field of firearms?

A Not specifically in the field of firearms, no.

Q What about this: You have 80 hours of instructing defensive tactics. Does that relate to firearms?

A That does not relate specifically to firearms, no.

Q Since you've been on the SWAT team, how many -- how often do you receive updated training in firearms, specific -- let's talk specifically about handling

A Most recently, probably within the last two months I went to about a 24-hour course, handgun, basic hand, combat handgun course.

Q And what subjects are covered in that?

A Movement and shooting, shooting on the move.

Multiple target engagement of the trigger control problem solving, trouble shooting, your own shooting techniques.

Q Are the basics of firearms technique covered or emphasized, reemphasized during any of this training we've been discussing?

A Yes.

Q Have you had the -- have you been at the range with new shooters?

Α Yes, I have. I have observed new shooters at the 1 2 range. Q And have you observed -- based on this training 3 you've had, the experience you've had, have you observed some of the common errors made by new shooters? 5 Yes, I have. Is that the basis for an opinion that you already Q 7 8 stated or stating you already made about the shooting in this video? 9 Α 10 Yes. I renew my offer of Detective Clarke as 11 MR. STEGE: 12 an expert. THE COURT: In? 13 MR. STEGE: As I described; firearms technique, 14 basic marksmanship, an expert in shooting technique. 15 THE COURT: Counsel? 16 Your Honor, I hunt. I've been in MR. DOGAN: 17 hunters safety. I've shot firearms. Many firearms. 18 19 lot of rounds. Just because somebody has shot a gun does not 20 make him or her an expert. If we were to qualify this individual as an expert today, we would be qualifying every 21 22 single law enforcement officer who takes the stand in a Washoe

23

24

County court as a shooting technique expert or shooting expert

by virtue of how far the State is stretching their argument in

this case.

Any person can shoot a firearm without -- not any person, most people, without flinching or what have you. His opinion is not relevant without seeing the target. In this case, we don't have a target. We don't even know whether there is a target. His opinion is not relevant simply because he has some expertise in SWAT and shooting a firearm. I don't believe this witness even knew today that he was going to be treated as an expert in shooting technique until all of a sudden he took the stand and the State started to try to qualify him as an expert.

There has been no notice regarding this expert. As you can see, I'm kind of stammering and at a loss at how to proceed in this argument because I didn't even know that he was going to be treated as an expert today. In fact, when the State gave us the CV, they said he was being provided for one reason. And that is for what Your Honor saw on the TV screen, which is the video and the photographs. That's it. He's never been qualified as an expert in the State of Nevada regarding shooting technique or firearms or ballistics or anything of that nature. And a he's a fact witness only, Your Honor.

I would respectfully request that this request by the State to qualify this individual as an expert in this case

be denied.

THE COURT: Okay. At this time I am going to deny the request. Not that I don't think you're very skilled. And I assume that the -- that you might want to qualify him as an expert on forensic information in the computer issues, which I think you have shown, if that's what you're offering. But the shooting technique, it is not appropriate at this time. You haven't shown that he has some unusual, unique experience to present to the trier of fact.

Everything you're asking him to testify to is obvious on the video. And it's argument. So I don't think it's going to assist the trier of fact. And I don't see him as being a uniquely qualified person. I think all police officers are qualified with handguns, but that doesn't mean that he can -- certainly can't say what you asked him to say.

It's way beyond anybody's expertise, and speculative.

So I am going to sustain the objection.

MR. STEGE: What is the argument for the argument?

THE COURT: It looks that way to me. This is an evidentiary hearing, not a question on me ruling on what's going to come in or not come in at the trial.

MR. STEGE: Right,

THE COURT: Did you have anything else?

1		MR. STEGE: They haven't challenged his computer
2	expertise	e, but I offer him as an expert in computer forensics.
3		THE COURT: Okay. Any objection?
4		MR. DOGAN: Your Honor, as far as authenticating the
5	video and	í photographs, I have no objection.
6		THE COURT: Okay. I'll accept him, his expertise in
7	the forer	sic computer work that arose for the securing of
8	evidence	off of a computer.
9		MR. STEGE: I'll pass the witness.
10		
11		CROSS-EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. DO	OGAN:
13	Q	Sir, you stated that the photograph in the back
14	pocket de	epicts a Glock pistol?
15	Α	Yes.
16	. Q	And you're familiar that it's a Glock because you
17	yourself	carry a Glock, correct?
18	Α	Yes.
19	Q	Are you aware that Glock replicas are made?
20	Α	Not to my knowledge.
21	Q	So you don't know whether Glock replicas are made,
22.	right?	
23	Ą	Not to my knowledge.
24	Q	You don't know the caliber of that gun, correct?

1	A	No.
2	Q	And you stated you don't know the other firearm
3	that's dep	picted in that photograph, correct?
4 .	Α	I'd have to look at it again.
5	Q	You want to step down to look at that again?
6	Α ·	0kay. I
7		THE COURT: You may, while they are trying to queue
8	it up.	
9		MR. DOGAN: That's the video. I need the photo.
10		MR. STEGE: Go to page 139 or page 83.
11	BY MR. DOGAN:	
12	Q	Sir, there is the gun.
13		THE COURT: You're going to have to rotate it and
14	make it a	little bit bigger. And you can step down and look.
15	· .	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
16	BY MR. DOGAN:	
17	Q	Can you see that firearm, sir?
18	Α	Can you make it bigger for me? Down there on the
19	slider by	the zoom. Down on the right corner. If you slide
20	it in and	move it.
21	·	That picture, probably not.
22	Q	That's
23		MR. HALL: That's the wrong guy he showed you.
24		MR. FREY; You showed the right one.

1 MR, HALL: No, you didn't. MR. STEGE: You showed the guy in the middle. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Talk to yourselves or speak to me 3 on the record, because the court reporter can't differentiate 4 whether you're talking amongst yourselves or you're speaking 5 to the Court. MR. STEGE: I object to what he was showing in the 7 photograph or the person that he was previously directed to. 8 So it misstates the evidence. THE COURT: I'm sorry. What do you object to? 10 MR. STEGE: He's --11 THE COURT: Isn't this the picture you just --12 13 MR. STEGE: Right, but he directed the detective to the other gun held by the other guy. 14 15 THE COURT: That was the question. That was the 16 whole point of his question, to identify the other gun. He's already identified the one held by Mr. Gonzalez, The point of 17 the question was can the witness identify the other gun held 18 by the other gentleman in this picture. 19 MR. STEGE: I didn't hear him say the other gun. 20 said this --21 THE COURT: That's what they were asking about. 22

Okay. So for the record, I'm showing you a

BY MR. DOGAN:

Q.

23.

1	photograp	h depicting three individuals, right?
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	And for the record, I asked you the brand or type of
4	gun the p	erson furthest to the right is holding, right?
5	A	Yes. The person that has the silver wristwatch on,
6	this pers	on. (Indicating)
7	· Q	That's correct. And for the record, you stated you
8	don't kno	w what kind of gun that is, right?
9	A	I cannot tell what kind of a gun that is, no.
10		THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated.
11	BY MR. DO	GAN:
12	Q	Sir, is it when that person was shooting that
13	firearm,	do you know whether that individual has a CCW?
14	А	In the video?
15	Q	Yes.
16	Α .	I have no idea.
17	Q	And there is nothing unlawful about making a video
18	of a pers	on shooting a gun, correct?
19		MR. STEGE: Objection as to relevance.
20		THE COURT: It doesn't seem yes. We all know
21	that.	
22		MR. DOGAN: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor
23	BY MR. DOGAN:	
24	Q	Have you conducted any tests regarding you

•	
2	A I no. This is all I've done in this case is the
3	computer forensics.
4	Q And you don't know whether that gun was used
5	anywhere else, correct?
6	A I have no idea what that gun has been used for.
7	MR. DOGAN: Nothing further.
8	THE COURT: Anything else?
9	MR. STEGE: No, thank you.
10	THE COURT: May this witness be excused?
11	MR. STEGE: Yes, thank you.
12	THE COURT: You may step down. You are excused.
13	Would you retrieve the CD and return it to the
14	clerk. Thank you.
15	MR. DOGAN: Your Honor, that CD has not been
16	admitted, correct?
17	THE COURT: It was admitted for purposes of today's
18	hearing.
19	MR. DOGAN: Because there's a lot of stuff on that
20	CD. There should only be two things that should be admitted.
21	THE COURT: The CD was admitted for purposes of the
22	two pictures and the video.
23	MR. DOGAN: Are we going to ignore everything else?
24	THE COURT: Are you talking about we meaning me? Am

haven't conducted an autopsy in this case, right?

I going to sit there and look at it? No. 1 Nor would I consider anything else on that video for purposes of these 2 hearings. I guarantee you, I'm not going to look at anything 3 else on that. MR. DOGAN: Well, Your Honor, it's kind of hard not 5 to because you have to scroll to everything --6 7 THE COURT: That would presuppose I have to look at it again. I've seen it. 8 MR. DOGAN: All right. Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Are you satisfied with that? 10 MR. DOGAN: Yes, Your Honor. 11 Okay. Good. THE COURT: 12 Counsel? 13 MR. STEGE: I think that concludes the hearing for 14 today, Judge. 15 That's it for today? 16 THE COURT: MR. STEGE: We are back on the 29th. 17 MR. SCHONFELD: I spoke to Mr. Stege in reference to 18 our reply to the Motion to Suppress. It would be due today. 19 I believe the State has no opposition to me filing it 20 I would ask the Court grant that request. tomorrow, 21 22 THE COURT: Okay. And when was it supposed to be submitted? 23

MR. SCHONFELD:

24

I know the opposition was filed on

12[°]

January 5th. I believe with the weekend, the five days would be up to today. So we are just asking for an additional day.

MR. STEGE: They have given me an extension, so I have no problem with that.

THE COURT: Who are you going to call as a witness in the Motion to Suppress? And I'm not going to have a problem with that, except as it relates to witnesses and who they plan to call.

MR. SCHONFELD: We talked about January 29th. And our position is, Your Honor, that we are making a facial challenge to the affidavit in support of the search warrant, and therefore there is no need for an evidentiary hearing and it should be legal argument.

THE COURT: Okay. So in case there's an evidentiary hearing -- I haven't reviewed the motion, so I don't know the basis of any of it. But if there were to be an evidentiary hearing, who would you call as a witness?

MR. STEGE: Judge, we have Detective Simpson here on the 29th, who was the affiant on the search warrant. And I think I heard some of the Court staff indicating that at the telephone conference we said we would argue it on the 31st.

THE COURT: So it's only Officer Simpson?

MR. STEGE: Right.

THE COURT: So the basis of the Motion to Suppress

8

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

22

21

24

23

is the search warrant was not adequate or not appropriately secured?

MR. SCHONFELD: The basis, Your Honor, is that the affidavit didn't swear out probable cause with which to search Mr. Villagrana's residence based upon a traffic accident 30 miles away. There was no probable cause, no nexus, and no basis for the search.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SCHONFELD: In a nutshell. Obviously the motion is a lot more detailed.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. And so can you identify again -- I know we did in the telephone call, but I know things change -- who do you plan to have on the 29th as witnesses?

MR. STEGE: Officer Kirby, Eric Bennett, Les Skelton, Jeff Simpson. And that's what we discussed at the conference.

THE COURT: And no other witnesses? My concern is trying to get through that many witnesses. We are having a lot of trouble getting through some of these witnesses. So I am worried about the timing of only having the 29th scheduled. We do start at 8:30, but apparently I have an administrative hearing, e-filing committee meeting. It will take at least an hour and a half to two hours in the middle of the day, 12:00

you think you can get through that many? 2 3 MR. STEGE: The way it's been going, no. MR. SCHONFELD: But Kirby is subject to the codefendant's cross-examination. 5 THE COURT: Correct. 6 MR. SCHONFELD: Skelton has already also testified 7 on direct. 8 THE COURT: And then Bennett is all new. 9 MS. PUSICH: Your Honor, that will be the longest 10 witness of the ones we have left. 11 THE COURT: Is it going to be longer than we already 12 have? 13 MS. PUSICH: I don't know, Your Honor. He's mine 14 15 and I haven't been talking the last couple of days, so we have 16 to see. THE COURT: And then we have Mr. Simpson. 17 18 Mr. Simpson be testifying to anything other than the search warrant? 19 MR. STEGE: As you recall, he was on the stand. 20 sure we'll finish up with that. 21 22 THE COURT: Oh, is there a cross on that? I've supplemented -- I filed a MR. STEGE: 23

to 1:00, 1:30, so you won't have a shortened lunch hour.

1

24

supplement with regard to him.

THE COURT: With regard to Mr. Simpson?

MR. STEGE: Yes.

MR. SCHONFELD: When was that?

Your Honor, my recollection, and I could be completely off, is that we had also stated if we didn't finish with the testimony on the 29th that on the 31st we could have additional testimony before the argument. But again, I might just be making that one up in my mind. But I do think we did -- we discussed it.

MR. STEGE: The supplement was filed the 14th of January.

MR. SCHONFELD: That's why I haven't seen it.

THE COURT: Okay. So does that -- Mr. Schonfeld, do you have a better idea of what's going to happen with -- is it Skelton?

MR. SCHONFELD: Simpson.

THE COURT: Simpson.

MR. SCHONFELD: Well, Your Honor, the State's supplement is basically asking him to reverse your order denying the admission of certain exhibits at the last hearing. So I think it's completely inappropriate and not a supplement, so I don't know how the Court wants to address that. I don't think they can ask the Court to reconsider its ruling to exclude evidence at the end of the last hearing by filing a

supplement saying they want to introduce this evidence.

MR. STEGE: Because they said they hadn't had it, when in fact they had it for a long time when it was attached as an exhibit to Mr. Hall's motion.

MR. SCHONFELD: It wasn't attached. We did say we had it in discovery, but it was not attached. And the Court at that point after having addressed about four or five violations of this Court order said, "That's it, that's enough. I'm excluding it." You ruled on it. They want leave to file an appropriate motion to do that, it shouldn't just be a supplement. I'm asking you to reverse a decision you made a week ago. So we are prepared to cross-examine the witnesses that are going to be called on the 29th, and the only thing I was unclear on was whether or not we had discussed the potential of finishing up on the 31st in the event we don't conclude with witnesses on the 29th.

THE COURT: Well, I have the -- you all have my whole day after I'm finished with my stacked criminal calendar. So whatever needs to be done. I just know that we don't have time any other days except for what we've got scheduled.

MR. SCHONFELD: I think with those two days I think we should be able to finish the evidence and argument.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DOGAN: Your Honor, would I be asking too 1 much --2 THE COURT: If you ask if you're asking too much, 3 you probably are. 4 MR. DOGAN: Just hear me out, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Okay. All right. MR. DOGAN: If we were to close all evidence and all 7 notice of witnesses after today's hearing so that the State 8 doesn't all of a sudden give us new exhibits, additional 9 discovery, and notice up new witnesses before the hearing on 10 the 29th related to this hearing? 11 I tried, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Well, I don't think -- we've asked. 13 State has indicated that they have no other witnesses; is that 14 15 correct? MR. DOGAN: You never know with the way things are 16 17 going. 18 MR. HALL: Other witnesses that are going to be addressing what issues, Your Honor? 19 THE COURT: Gang enhancement evidence. 20 MR. HALL: We've disclosed the witnesses we want to 21 have, the experts that we want to have testify with respect to 22 gang enhancement evidence. Obviously, there are other 23

witnesses that are going to provide gang enhancement evidence

in terms of all of the evidence that was collected and provided to the defense during the course of this case. So there is, a, you know, other evidence that probably has crossover since we've got video of them wearing patches, they collect all types of evidence out of Mr. Gonzalez's house, all types of evidence from Mr. Villagrana's house. All that stuff is gang evidence, if you want to call it that, that was collected during the course of the case investigation.

So, you know, there was cuts, for example, that were collected at the Nugget. So all that evidence, we're going to use. So I hope you know or I hope they realize that the evidence is collected, and that's why we had that evidence viewing six months ago was to show all the evidence we collected. We plan on introducing that evidence during our case-in-chief. So I just want to make sure everybody is clear on that.

THE COURT: With regard to gang enhancement evidence, we are evidence, when we talk about gang enhancement evidence, we are talking NRS 193.168, what is admissible to prove up a gang enhancement which is an additional penalty and calls for certain conclusions. The evidence that's allowed for that purpose has been the subject of these hearings, and experts' testimony and what they rely upon has been the subject of this evidentiary hearing.

The Court has an absolute obligation to allow the 1 State to notice their experts and what their experts are going 2 to testify to and allow the defense an opportunity to traverse 3 that. And I'm not going to do it in the middle of the trial. So we've been trying to get this processed through since 5 I think we are at the point where you have finally October. 6 7 identified everyone you want to call in that regard. making any rulings about the admissibility of evidence in the 8 case-in-chief. It does not relate to bad act evidence or the enhancement, and the enhancement is allowing you to bring in 10 hearsay and matters that don't have anything to do with the 11 12 particular case before us and that's why it's requiring special and unique hearings and findings by this Court, as 13 does bad act evidence or other act evidence. And those things 14 15 must be done -- if you attempt to put something into the case-in-chief that has not been through that process that is 16 other act evidence or bad act evidence and it's for that 17 18 purpose, it's going to be excluded. If there's a basis for it, it's relevant and not in violation of any evidentiary 19 rules, then I'm sure the defense is prepared to address those 20 issues.

21

22

23

24

So that's where we are at as far as I know. We are not -- these hearings aren't about presupposing all the evidence that's going to come in the case. So I did exclude

it from the expert's testimony. I understand that you believe 1 another expert maybe has previously identified it. That's 2 3 what you were arguing, Mr. Hall. Maybe that expert will be allowed to use the exhibits. But that doesn't mean the expert 4 on the stand can use them. And I ruled on that. 5 MR. SCHONFELD: The Court sustained our objection as 6 it related to that expert as well? 7 THE COURT: I did. 8 So anything further for today. MR. SCHONFELD: Nothing, thank you. 10 MS. PUSICH: No, thank you, Your Honor. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. MR. STEGE: I just have a question. Then you 13 sustained the objection as far as Jorge Gil-Blanco. 14 THE COURT: No, it was Mr. Simpson that was on the 15 stand. 16 MR. SCHONFELD: Also, they attempted to introduce 17 that evidence with Mr. Gil-Blanco. We objected. 18 sustained the objection. 19 MR. STEGE: That was the basis of their -- excuse me 20 21 for interrupting. It has not been admitted in evidence MR. SCHONFELD: 22 at this hearing. You sustained an objection and specifically 23

excluded it as a result of the issues raised at the last

hearing at the end of the day.

4.

MR. HALL: No. That's not true. The reason it excluded is because there's a suppression motion. So you're withholding admission of all of the photographs of all of the gang evidence at Villagrana's house, and that was Exhibit H that we had talked about when we kind of talked -- got this ball rolling. I'll show you what it is, just so you know what we're talking about. Exhibit D.

THE COURT: Okay. I thought H was admitted.

MR. HALL: Yeah. D was not. And there was an objection to that based upon the fact that they had filed a Motion to Suppress. But this was the exhibit --

THE COURT: An objection when when Mr. Gil-Blanco was on the stand or when Mr. Simpson was on the stand?

 $$\operatorname{MR}$$, HALL: I think originally when Gil-Blanco was on the stand. Because that's when I--

THE COURT: That's in October.

MR. HALL: Because you looked at all this evidence, and: Wow, you can't talk about that because you filed a Motion to Suppress. So I just want to make sure we are clear on what's going on here.

MR. SCHONFELD: Your Honor, that's not my recollection. And I'm sure the Court has the transcript. It was not admitted into evidence with Mr. Gil-Blanco. He did

not testify about it. When the State attempted to introduce 1 it with Mr. Simpson, the Court excluded the evidence. 2 Expressly excluded the evidence. And Mr. Hall suggested to 3 4 the Court, "voila," in the middle of the testimony, "this was already admitted into evidence," interrupting the witness who 5 wasn't even his witness. That's when it was presented to the 6 Court. The clerk of the Court advised the Court that it had 7 not been admitted in evidence. The Court said, "This is 8 Enough." And that was the end of the day. excluded. THE COURT: And I didn't know that. I just have to 10 go back and review the Gil-Blanco situation. I don't remember 11 that. I don't know why. It was October 29th. There was a lot 12 of objections in between. I just don't have a recollection 13 right now about what happened in October. 14 So I'll go back and review that and we'll keep on 15 16 dealing with all of this when I see you back on the 29th at 8:30 in the morning. 17 Court is in recess. 18 19 (Proceedings concluded.)

20

21

22

23

24

STATE OF NEVADA 1 SS. COUNTY OF WASHOE 2 3 I, SUSAN CULP, an Official Reporter of the Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, in and 5 for the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, DO HEREBY CERTIFY: That I am not a relative, employee or 7 independent contractor of counsel to any of the parties, or a 8 relative, employee or independent contractor of the parties involved in the proceeding, or a person financially interested 10 in the proceedings; 11 That I was present in Department No. 4 of the 12 above-entitled Court on Tuesday, January 15, 2013 and took 13 verbatim stenotype notes of the proceedings had upon the 14 matter captioned within, and thereafter transcribed them into 15 16 typewriting as herein appears; That the foregoing transcript, consisting of 17 18 pages 1 through 123, is a full, true and correct transcription of my stenotype notes of said proceedings. 19 DATED: At Reno, Nevada, this 28th day of 20 January, 2013. 21 22 /s/ Susan Culp 23 SUSAN CULP, CCR No. 343

FILED

Electronically 05-20-2013:04:50:48 PM Joey Orduna Hastings Clerk of the Court Transaction #3737049

3370

2

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

Y9.

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff.

Case No. CR11-1718A/B

CESAR VILLAGRANA, and ERNESTO MANUEL GONZALEZ,

Defendants.

Dept. No. 4

ORDER REGARDING THE STATE'S MOTION FOR ORDER ADMITTING GANG ENHANCEMENT EVIDENCE AND TESTIMONY

On November 26, 2012, the State filed Motion for Order Admitting Gang Enhancement Evidence and Testimony ("Gang Enhancement Motion") in both Villagrana's and Gonzalez's case numbers. Thereafter, supplements and addendums were filed associated with the State's Motion. Villagrana and Gonzalez opposed the respective Motion associated with each of them. The Motion was fully briefed by the parties. On January 11, 2013, the State filed Motion Requesting Leave of Court to Supplement Gang Enhancement Discovery. On January 14, 2013, the State filed Motion Requesting Leave of Court to Supplement Discovery as to Defendant Villagrana, as well as Motion Requesting Leave of Court to Supplement Other Act Evidence Discovery as to Defendant Gonzalez. On January 13, 2013, the State filed Supplement in Support of State's Motion for Order Admitting Gang Enhancement Evidence and Testimony. On January 17, 2013, Villagrana filed Defendant Villagrana's Response to State's Motion to

1 Supplement Discovery as to Defendant Villagrana, as well as Defendant Villagrana's Response to State's Motion Requesting Leave of Court to Supplement Gang Enhancement Discovery. On 2 January 18, 2013, Villagrana filed Defendant Villagrana's Opposition/ Objection to State's 3 Supplement in Support of State's Motion for Order Admitting Gang Enhancement Evidence and 5 Testimony. Supplement Gang Enhancement Discovery (Lake County Incident). On January 23, 2013, 6 7 Villagrana filed Defendant Villagrana's Opposition/ Objection to State's Motion Requesting 8 Leave to Supplement Gang Enhancement Evidence (Lake County Incident). On January 28, 9 2013, Gonzalez filed Opposition to State's Motion for Leave to Supplement Gang Enhancement Discovery (Lake County Incident). The Court heard evidence and oral argument on the State's 10 11 Other Act Motion and Gang Enhancement Motion, among other things, on January 8, 9, 11, 14, 12 15, 29, and 31. At the Conclusion of the hearing on January 31, 2013, the Court took the State's 13 Other Act Motion and Gang Enhancement Motion, as well as the associated Motions to

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Supplement, under submission.

Initially, the Court must address the State's requests to supplement and the oppositions thereto. On October 30, 2012, the Court entered Order After October 29, 2012 Hearing ("October 30, 2012 Order"). In the October 30, 2012 Order, the Court set forth a briefing schedule for the parties related to gang enhancement and other act evidence. The Court Ordered the State to plead with specificity the evidence it wanted to introduce in its case in chief related to gang enhancement and other act evidence and disclose any discovery related to such evidence contemporaneously or prior to November 26, 2012. Villagrana and Gonzalez oppose the State's various motions to supplement on the general basis that the State has violated the Court's October 30, 2012 Order through its supplemental disclosures. The Court agrees with Villagrana and Gonzalez that the State has not completely complied with the Court's October 30, 2012 Order; however, the Court finds exclusion of the evidence or testimony associated with the

On January 23, 2013, the State filed Motion Requesting Leave of Court to

Gonzalez filed a motion to join Villagrana's Response to State's Motion Requesting Leave of Court to Supplement Gang Enhancement Discovery. The Court granted Gonzalez's Motion to Join by Order on April 29, 2013.

3

4 5

6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

supplemental disclosures is not the proper remedy under the current circumstances of the case. Here, the trial has been continued and Villagrana and Gonzalez were given an opportunity to review the evidence associated with the supplemental disclosures before their counsel had to cross-examine the witnesses associated with the evidence. Under such circumstances, the Court finds Villagrana and Gonzalez were not prejudiced by the late disclosures. Thus, the Court finds such evidence and witnesses shall not be excluded or stricken from the record.

The Court begins by addressing the question raised by the parties regarding whether gang enhancement evidence is subject to NRS 48.045(2) and Petrocelli or whether it can be categorically classified as res geste evidence. The Court initially finds there cannot be a bright line rule regarding evidence that could be considered gang enhancement evidence. As the Court has observed through the hearings on this issue, the characterization of such evidence may depend on how it is presented, what is elicited, and its relationship to the other evidence presented. With that in mind, the Court finds gang enhancement evidence, of the type discussed in NRS 193.168, is not per se character evidence subject to NRS 48.045(2) and Petrocelli scrutiny. NRS 193.168 addresses the type of evidence that is admissible to prove the enhancement. See NRS 193.168(7). NRS 193.168 does not require a Petrocelli analysis for evidence admitted under subsection 7. The Nevada Supreme Court has not addressed the instant question. As the Court recently recognized in its Order regarding the State's Motion to Admit Other Crimes, Wrongs, and Acts, there is a dichotomy in Nevada law regarding how to characterize gang affiliation evidence, as either character or non-character evidence. See Lay v. State, 110 Nev. 1189, 1195-96 (1994); Tinch v. State, 113 Nev. 1170, 1176 (1997); Butler v. State, 120 Nev. 879, 888-89 (2004); but see Flores v. State, 116 Nev. 659, 663 (2000); Somee v. State, 124 Nev. 434, 446 (2008). The most recent case, Somee, is the only case listed above where the defendant was charged with the Nevada gang enhancement. 124 Nev. at 441. In Somee, the Court indicated gang affiliation evidence was not character evidence; instead, the Court found the evidence relevant to prove the charged crime. Id. at 446.

When considering Somee and NRS 193.168 together in relation to the instant case, the

Court finds gang enhancement evidence admitted under NRS 193.168(7) will not necessarily trigger NRS 48.045(2). As the Court has indicated during the hearings in January related to the instant Motion, if the State seeks to admit specific prior conduct by Villagrana or Gonzalez under the theory that it is gang enhancement evidence under NRS 193.168(7), such a request would be denied unless the evidence satisfies NRS 48.045(2) and Petrocelli. If the State seeks to admit specific prior conduct of Villagrana or Gonzalez, the evidence would be subject to a Petrocelli analysis, even if the State attempts to use an expert to discuss the prior conduct. Allowing the State to use an expert in such a way would improperly circumvent NRS 48.045(2) and Petrocelli. However, as contemplated by NRS 193.168(7), general testimony regarding rivalries, customs, terminology, etc. associated with the Hells Angels or Vagos are appropriate areas for expert testimony. See NRS 193.168(7). Thus, the testimony admissible under NRS 193.168(7) will not be subject to the scrutiny of Petrocelli, unless the State raises specific instances of prior conduct of Villagrana or Gonzalez.

The Court next considers the State's assertion that gang enhancement evidence is by its very nature res geste evidence. NRS 48.035(3) provides, in relevant part, "evidence of another act or crime which is so closely related to an act in controversy or a crime charged that an ordinary witness cannot describe the act in controversy or the crime charged without referring to the other act or crime shall not be excluded...." The res geste doctrine must be construed narrowly. Bellon v. State, 121 Nev. 426, 444 (2005). In the instant case, the Court cannot categorically find that evidence admissible under the gang enhancement statute, NRS 193.168, is res geste evidence. Evidence of prior criminal conduct of members of the Hells Angels or Vagos, for example, is not necessary to describe the incident at the Nugget. Information regarding the alleged rivalry between the Hells Angels and the Vagos may provide more context to the Nugget incident and help explain the motive of individuals involved; however, a discussion of such evidence is not necessary to explain the events that actually occurred at the Nugget. In other words, a witness will be able to describe the actions taken by Villagrana and Gonzalez at the Nugget without having to refer to prior incidents between the Vagos and Hells

9

[1

13

12

15

14

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

Angels. It is possible that some of the evidence encompassed under NRS 193.168 is res geste evidence in the instant case. However, the Court cannot per se find evidence related to the gang enhancement is res geste evidence.

The Court next considers who is permitted to testify to gang enhancement evidence under NRS 193.168(7). NRS 193.168(7) specifically indicates that expert testimony is admissible to show particular conduct, status, and customs indicative of a criminal gang. See NRS 193.168(7). Lay witness testimony is not specifically included within NRS 193.168. Because NRS 193.168 specifically provides expert witness testimony is admissible, and because the statute does not specifically provide for lay witness testimony on matters identified in the statute, the Court finds lay witness testimony is not permitted as to the particular conduct, status, and customs of the Vagos and Hells Angels. See NRS 193.168(7).

The Court next considers a related issue raised by the parties regarding who can qualify as an expert witness under NRS 193.168. Villagrana and Gonzalez generally argue police officers cannot qualify as experts under NRS 193.168. Villagrana and Gonzalez have also argued that the proposed experts in the instant case do not offer the type of testimony that would assist the trier of fact in this case. In order to testify as an expert witness under NRS 50,275, the witness must satisfy three requirements: (1) he or she must be qualified in an area of scientific, technical, or specialized knowledge; (2) his or her specialized knowledge must assist the trier of fact to understand the evidence or determine a fact in issue; and (3) his or her testimony must be limited in scope to maters within his or her specialized knowledge. Hallmark v. Eldridge, 124 Nev. 492, 498 (2008); NRS 50,275. While Villagrana and Gonzalez argue individuals like Jorge Gil-Blanco and police officers cannot assist the trier of fact as experts with respect to motorcycle clubs/ gangs, or more specifically the Hells Angels or the Vagos, the Court finds otherwise. The Ninth Circuit has recognized that a law enforcement officer with years of experience working with gangs, who knew their "colors", signs, and activities could testify as an expert. See U.S. v. Hankey, 203 F.3d 1160, 1167-70 (9th Cir. 2000). In cases where a gang enhancement, similar to NRS 193.168, has been charged, California Courts have also permitted police officers to testify

as gang experts where the foundation for their opinions were based on personal observations of and discussions with gang members, as well as information obtained from other officers and department files. See e.g. People v. Olguin, 31 Cal.App.4th 1355, 1370-71 (C.O.A. 4th Dist. 1994). The Court finds the Ninth Circuit and California cases persuasive regarding law enforcement officer testimony as experts in cases involving alleged criminal gangs and Nevada's gang enhancement statute. Thus, the Court finds law enforcement officers can qualify as experts in the instant case in order to provide testimony under NRS 193,168(7), so long as they provide sufficient foundation for their opinions. See In re Alexander L., 149 Cal.App.4th 605, 612 (C.O.A. 4th Dist. 2007) (finding a gang expert's testimony lacked adequate foundation when he simply stated that the gang had been involved in certain crimes, but no specifics were elicited as to the circumstances of those crimes, or where, when, or how the alleged expert had obtained the information). The Court does not intend to indicate that only law enforcement officers can qualify as experts in the instant case. The parties focused their arguments related to expert qualifications on police officer testimony generally. Each proposed expert will be assessed by the Court in light of Hallmark and the discussion above prior to providing opinion testimony in the instant case.

The Court next considers the Confrontation Clause issue raised by Villagrana and Gonzalez regarding hearsay statements relied on by gang enhancement experts to form a basis for their opinions. During the January hearings in this case, Villagrana and Gonzalez repeatedly argued that the introduction of statements of what other Hells Angel or Vagos members have told Jorge Gil-Blanco, and other proposed experts, violate their Sixth Amendment rights under Crawford v. Washington, 541 U.S. 36 (2004). The Court disagrees. As appellate courts in California have recognized, "Crawford does not undermine the established rule that experts can testify to their opinions on relevant matters, and relate the information and sources upon which they rely in forming those opinions... because an expert is subject to cross-examination about his or her opinions... and the materials on which the expert bases his or her opinion are not elicited for the truth of their contents; they are examined to asses the weight of the expert's opinion."

1

People v. Ramirez, 153 Cal.App.4th 1422, 1427 (C.O.A. 2nd Dist. 2007) (quoting People v. Thomas, 130 Cal.App.4th 1202, 1210 (C.O.A. 4th Dist. 2005). The Crawford Court also recognized that the rule announced in the case does not apply to the use of testimonial statements for purposes other than establishing the truth of the matter asserted, 541 U.S. at 59. Thus, the Court finds the Confrontation Clause and the Crawford rule are not generally implicated by gang enhancement expert testimony.

With respect to the specific incidents identified by the State in its Motion and during the January hearings in relation to gang enhancement evidence, the Court generally finds that the State's experts, to form the basis of their opinions, can rely on such evidence. However, the State will not be permitted to put forth the substantive evidence of each incident, such as, eyewitness testimony regarding what occurred during the Laughlin incident, Costa Mesa incident, etc. As discussed above, the State's gang enhancement expert(s) can rely on reports, discussions with members of the Vagos or Hells Angels, etc. to support opinions on admissible matters under NRS 193.168(7). The State need not prove up each incident relied on by its proposed expert(s) to support their opinions elicited under NRS 193.168(7), nor will the Court permit the presentation of such evidence. The Court finds the evidence offered in this case to support the charged gang enhancement must be limited to the type of evidence contemplated in NRS 193.168(7).

Based on the foregoing, good cause appearing, and in the interest of justice, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this <u>20</u> day of <u>May</u>, 2013

Connie J. Stunbainer

27

25

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	CASE NO. CR11-1718A/B		
3	I certify that I am an employee of the SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT of the		
4	STATE OF NEVADA, COUNTY OF WASHOE; that on the 2D day of May, 2013, I filed the		
5	ORDER REGARDING THE STATE'S MOTION FOR ORDER ADMITTING GANG		
6	ENHANCEMENT EVIDENCE AND TESTIMONY with the Clerk of the Court.		
7	I further certify that I transmitted a true and correct copy of the foregoing document by		
8	the method(s) noted below:		
9			
10	Personal delivery to the following: [NONE] I electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court, using the ECF which sends immediate notice of the electronic filing to the following registered e-filers for their reviews of the december of the ECF reviews and the ECF reviews are the electronic filing to the following registered e-filers for their reviews are the electronic filing to the following registered e-filers for their reviews are the electronic filing to the following registered e-filers for their reviews are the electronic filing to the following registered e-filers for their reviews are the electronic filing to the following registered e-filers for their reviews are the electronic filing to the following registered e-filers for their reviews are the electronic filing to the following registered e-filers for their reviews are the electronic filing to the following registered e-filers for the electronic filing to the following registered e-filers for the electronic filing to the following registered e-filers for the electronic filing to the following registered e-filers for the electronic filing to the following registered e-filers for the electronic filing to the following registered e-filers for the electronic filing to the following registered e-filers for the electronic files are the electr		
11			
12	of the document in the ECF system:		
13	DAVID CHESNOFF, ESQ. for CESAR VILLAGRANA		
	DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION		
14	RICHARD SCHONFELD, ESQ. for CESAR VILLAGRANA		
15	KARL HALL, ESQ. for STATE OF NEVADA		
16	AMOS STEGE, ESQ. for STATE OF NEVADA		
10	KENNETH LYON III, ESQ. for ERNESTO GONZALEZ		
17	DAVID HOUSTON, ESQ. for ERNESTO GONZALEZ		
18 .	Deposited in the Washoe County mailing system in a sealed envelope for postage		
19	Deposited in the Washoe County mailing system in a sealed envelope for peand mailing with the United States Postal Service in Reno, Nevada: [NONE]		
20	Placing a true copy thereof in a sealed envelope for service via:		
21	Reno/Carson Messenger Service – [NONE]		
22	Federal Express or other overnight delivery service [NONE]		
23	DATED this <u>7D</u> day of May, 2013.		
24	audle ake		
25	() ()		

FILED

Electronically
05-16-2013:04:27:22 PM
Joey Orduna Hastings
Clerk of the Court
Transaction # 3731787

Z

IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

CESAR VILLAGRANA, and ERNESTO MANUEL GONZALEZ,

Defendants.

Case No. CR11-1718A/B

Dept. No. 4

ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART THE STATE'S MOTION TO ADMIT EVIDENCE OF OTHER CRIMES, WRONGS OR ACTS

On November 26, 2012, the State of Nevada (hereinafter, "the State") filed Motion to Admit Evidence of Other Crimes, Wrongs or Acts ("Other Act Motion") in both Defendant Cesar Villagrana's (hereinafter, "Villagrana") and Defendant Ernesto Manuel Gonzalez's (hereinafter, "Gonzalez") case numbers. Villagrana and Gonzalez opposed the respective Motion associated with each of them. The Motion was fully briefed by the parties. On January 14, 2013, the State filed Motion Requesting Leave of Court to Supplement Other Act Evidence Discovery as to

In Gonzalez's Opposition, filed on December 11, 2012, he includes a "Motion to Strike". Gonzalez argues all reference to gang evidence in the State's Motion should be stricken as non-responsive because the Motion was supposed to address NRS 48.045(2) evidence. Gonzalez also requests that his criminal history be stricken because the State has refused to provide his criminal history. Initially, the Court finds that it is improper to raise a new Motion within an opposition. For that reason the Court could deny Gonzalez's Motion. Additionally, the Court finds the requested relief is inappropriate in the instant case because the gang enhancement is charged and the related evidence is intertwined with the incidents the State has identified in its Motion. The Court ordered the State to file such a Motion related to the gang enhancement and any prior bad acts. It is evident from the Motions filed by the State that it took caution to include material that may not be considered gang enhancement or bad act evidence by the Court. Additionally, the Court finds the criminal history issue to be most given the recent file comparison between Gonzalez and the State

Defendant Gonzalez.² The Court heard evidence and oral argument on the State's Other Act Motion and Gang Enhancement Motion, among other things, on January 8, 9, 11, 14, 15, 29, and 31. At the Conclusion of the hearing on January 31, 2013, the Court took the State's Other Act Motion, as well as the associated Motion to Supplement, under submission.

9.

NRS 48.045(2) prohibits the use of other crimes, wrongs or acts to prove the character of a person in order to show that he acted in conformity therewith; however, such evidence "may... be admissible for other purposes, such as proof of motive, opportunity, intent, preparation, plan, knowledge, identity, or absence of mistake or accident." NRS 48.045(2). To be deemed admissible under NRS 48.045(2), "the trial court must determine, outside the presence of the jury, that: (1) the incident is relevant to the crime charged; (2) the act is proven by clear and convincing evidence; and (3) the probative value of the evidence is not substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice." Fields v. State, 125 Nev. 785, 713 (2009) (citing Tinch v. State, 113 Nev. 1170, 1176 (1997)).

The Court notes there is a dichotomy in Nevada law regarding whether gang affiliation evidence should be analyzed under Petrocelli or is non-character evidence that does not require a Petrocelli analysis. See Lay v. State, 110 Nev. 1189, 1195-96 (1994); Tinch v. State, 113 Nev. 1170, 1176 (1997); Butler v. State, 120 Nev. 879, 888-89 (2004); but see Flores v. State, 116 Nev. 659, 663 (2000); Somee v. State, 124 Nev. 434, 446 (2008). The most recent case, Somee is the only case listed above where the defendant was charged with the Nevada gang enhancement. 124 Nev. at 441. In Somee, the defendant objected to the admission of evidence related to his prior contact with police officers as impermissible character evidence. Id. at 440-41. The district court found the information was relevant to prove the charged gang enhancement after the State clarified that it only wanted to introduce the defendant's admissions that he was a member of the Horney Boyz gang and that officers had previously found him in

Gonzalez orally opposed the State's Motion. Gonzalez argued the State's evidence should be excluded because the State violated the Court's October Order regarding disclosure. The Court finds any prejudice to Gonzalez as a result of the untimely disclosure by the State of evidence and witnesses related to proposed NRS 48.045(2) evidence against Gonzalez was cured when the Court allowed Gonzalez counsel additional time to review the discovery prior to conducting cross-examination of Detective Tyler Clarke.

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23:

24

25

26

27

possession of weapons. Id. The Supreme Court found that the district court did not make an error under <u>Petrocelli</u> and reasoned that the evidence was not admitted to prove a trait of character; instead, the evidence was relevant to prove a charged crime. <u>Id.</u> at 446. Because Villagrana and Gonzalez have been charged with the gang enhancement, the Court finds the <u>Somee</u> case instructive in conducting its analysis of the State's Motion.

I. The State's Motion Regarding Other Acts of Villagrana

The State seeks to admit other act evidence against Villagrana relating to two incidents, which the State entitles "the Pink Poodle" and "Cartying a Concealed Weapon". With respect to the Pink Poodle, the State relies on an incident that occurred on June 15, 2012, in which officers responded to a disturbance call at a strip club in San Jose, California, called the Pink Poodle. When the police arrived, they made contact with Villagrana and four other individuals, who were wearing material indicating they were members of the Hells Angels. Four of the people, including Villagrana, had been previously identified as Hells Angels. One of the individuals, Angelo Wilkins, was wearing a "president" patch on his vest over the San Jose patch. There were five motorcycles associated with the men parked at the club, including a motorcycle known to belong to Steve Tausan, who was killed at Jeffrey Pettigrew's funeral. The motorcycle was registered to Villagrana. The five men were cooperative and no arrests were made. The State argues the Pink Poodle incident is admissible to show Villagrana's connection and membership in the Hells Angels. The State asserts the evidence also is relevant to show that the gang continues even after its leader was killed. Villagrana continues to be in good graces with the gang, and Villagrana is a key figure in the Hells Angels. Further, the State asserts the act is proven by clear and convincing evidence and the probative value of the evidence is not substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice.

With respect to the Carrying a Concealed Weapon incident, the State relies on a March 17, 2011 traffic accident involving Villagrana in Santa Cruz, California. After the accident, a bystander found an unregistered 40 caliber semi-automatic pistol in the debris from the accident. Forensic testing indicated Villagrana's DNA was on the pistol. At the time of the accident,

Villagrana was wearing Hells Angels clothing, including a leather motorcycle vest. Subsequently, officers obtained a search warrant for Villagrana's residence. During execution of the search warrant, officers recovered Hells Angel clothing, jewelry, rosters, posters and paperwork, as well as ATF reports and transcripts related to the casino shootout in Laughlin in 2002. The State argues Villagrana's possession of a firearm, while wearing Hells Angel clothing, is relevant to show his level of commitment to the Hells Angels. The State asserts the evidence is also relevant to show the violent artwork, clothing, and culture of intimidation associated with the Hells Angels is a reality. Additionally, the State argues Villagrana's possession of the concealed firearm goes to the intent element of the carrying a concealed weapon charge in the instant case because it disproves any claim that the pistol he was carrying was concealed inadvertently. Further, the State asserts the act is proven by clear and convincing evidence and the probative value of the evidence is not substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice.

Villagrana opposes the admission of any evidence related to the Pink Poodle or Carrying a Concealed Weapon. Villagrana argues the evidence is not relevant, its admission would be more prejudicial than probative, and it has not been proven by clear and convincing evidence. Additionally, Villagrana argues the evidence should not be admitted because it would cause delay, waste of time, and confusion among members of the jury.

The Court finds the evidence related to Villagrana's affiliation with the Hells Angels, including, that he had been previously identified as a Hells Angel member and was wearing Hells Angels clothing during the Pink Poodle incident and Carrying a Concealed Weapon incident, is gang affiliation evidence that is directly relevant to the charged gang enhancement. See NRS 193.168. The Court finds such evidence of Villagrana's affiliation with the Hells Angels is admissible non-character evidence under Nevada law. See Somee, 124 Nev. at 446. While the Court finds such evidence is admissible, the Court does not find the evidence is per se admissible in the instant case. The admission of affiliation evidence in the instant case against Villagrana will be subject to other appropriate evidentiary objections.

Additionally, it is unclear to the Court whether the State intends to introduce the factual circumstances surrounding the Pink Poodle incident and the Carrying a Concealed Weapon incident, or whether the State presented the evidence related to the factual circumstances out of an abundance of caution. Because it is unclear, the Court will analyze each incident discussed above under NRS 48.045(2).

The Court will first consider the Pink Poodle incident. The Court is cognizant of Villagrana's argument that there is no bad act, or simple act, associated with the Pink Poodle that qualifies under NRS 48.045(2); however, in Nevada gang affiliation has been interpreted as an act for the purposes of NRS 48.045(2). See Butler, 120 Nev. at 889, n. 9. Initially, the Court finds, after reviewing the evidence presented by the State related to this incident, the Pink Poodle incident has been proven with clear and convincing evidence. The Court next turns to whether it is relevant and to a consideration of its probative value.

The Court finds the majority of the factual information related to the Pink Poodle is relevant to the charged crimes, particularly, the gang enhancement. The Court finds the information regarding Villagrana riding Steve Tausan's motorcycle and the motorcycle being registered in Villagrana's name is of little, if any, relevance to the crimes charged in the instant case and would be overly prejudicial if admitted against Villagrana. The other information related to the Pink Poodle is relevant and highly probative. In order to support a finding by the jury that the Hells Angels are a "criminal gang" for sentencing enhancement purposes, the State must put forth evidence demonstrating, among other things, that the organization will continue operation even if individual members enter or leave the organization. See 193.168(8). The State must also demonstrate that the Hells Angels has a common name or identifying symbol and has particular conduct, status, and customs indicative of it. See id. The Court finds the fact that Villagrana was with other members of the Hells Angels, including, an individual who appeared to be the successor President of the San Jose chapter after Jeffery Pettigrew's death, is directly relevant to proving the Hells Angels is a criminal gang within the meaning of the statute, since it relates to the group continuing after a leader of the group was no longer a part of the group. See

id The information about the men wearing Hells Angels clothing and having Hells Angels indicia on their motorcycles is relevant to proving the Hells Angels have a common name or identifying symbol and may also show Hells Angels have particular conduct or customs indicative of the group. See id. In addition, the gang affiliation evidence could be relevant to proving motive. See NRS 48.045(2); Butler, 120 Nev. at 889. The Court finds the probative value of the Pink Poodle incident, with the exception of the information related to Steve Tausan's motorcycle, is not substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice. Any prejudice can be addressed through a limiting instruction. Therefore, the Court finds the Pink Poodle incident, with the exception of any information related to Steve Tausan or his motorcycle, is generally admissible in the upcoming trial, unless another applicable objection applies.

The Court next considers the Carrying a Concealed Weapon incident. After reviewing the evidence and testimony related to the Carrying a Concealed Weapon incident, the Court finds there has been clear and convincing evidence that the incident occurred. Thus, the Court next turns to whether the Carrying a Concealed Weapon incident is relevant and to a consideration of its probative value. The Court disagrees with the State's assertion that the circumstances of the incident show lack of mistake, intent, or necessity in the instant case. In Nevada, carrying a concealed weapon is a general intent crime, in which a person is not permitted to carry a pistol or other deadly weapon upon his or her person. See NRS 202.350(1)(d)(3). In the incident in question, the gun was not on Villagrana's actual person, it was found in debris of the accident. Before the accident, it was believed to be contained in a pouch on Villagrana's motorcycle, not on his person. While the Court does not agree with the State's arguments concerning lack of mistake, intent, or necessity, the Court finds the fact that Villagrana has previously been found in possession of a loaded firearm and identified himself with the Hells Angels, through his clothing and the stickers on his motorcycle, is relevant to the gang enhancement. See Somee, 124 Nev. at 441, NRS 193 168. The evidence related to Villagrana's association with the Hells Angels is also relevant to motive in the instant ease. The Court finds the circumstances of the incident, specifically, the motorcycle accident, would not cause Villagrana unfair prejudice. The potential

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

prejudice from the incident stems from Villagrana's possession of a firearm and his affiliation with the Hells Angels. The Court finds information regarding Villagrana's prior possession of a firearm and his affiliation with the Hells Angels would be admissible under the holding in Somee, even if the circumstances of incident was not presented. See Somee, 124 Nev. at 440-41. The Court finds the probative value of the incident is not substantially outweighed by a danger of unfair prejudice. The potential prejudice of the evidence can be addressed with a limiting instruction. Therefore, the Court finds the Carrying a Concealed Weapon incident is generally admissible in the upcoming trial, unless another applicable objection applies.

The Court next considers the information gained in the search of Villagrana's home. The evidence obtained was previously subject to a Motion to Suppress, which the Court has denied. [See Order Denying Mtn. to Suppress, filed April 9, 2013]. Thus, the Court can consider the admissibility of such evidence. In the State's Motion, it specifically identifies the following evidence: 26 re-writeable cd-r's containing ATF reports and information related to the 2002 Laughlin case, Hells Angels paraphernalia (including, clothing, jewelry, rosters, and corporate paperwork), a letter from Tom Schmidt, Hells Angel "Filthy Few San Jose" wood framed banner, and a framed poster from 2010 Hells Angels Monterey Toy Run. The Court finds such evidence is relevant to show Villagrana's membership and involvement in the Hells Angels. The information is also relevant to proving certain aspects of the gang enhancement, such as, formality, common conduct, common name or identifying symbol, common terminology, etc. See NRS 193.168. Because the information is relevant to the charged gang enhancement, the Court finds the information is not character evidence. See Somee, 124 Nev. at 446. The Court finds any potential for impermissible inferences can be addressed with a limiting instruction to the jury. Because it is not clear to the Court how the State intends to use the evidence or how it will attempt to introduce such evidence, the Court is only conditionally finding that the material is admissible and relevant. The introduction of such evidence will be subject to other appropriate objections at trial.

///

1

7

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

II. The State's Motion Regarding Other Acts of Gonzalez

The State seeks to admit other act evidence under NRS 48.045 against Gonzalez related to four incidents, which the State entitles "Airport Case", "Steyr Pistol Case", "Posing with Glock February 22, 2011", and "May 25, 2007". The Airport Case relates to an incident at San Francisco Airport, where police found a 9 mm Glock magazine in Gonzalez's luggage during a customs search. The magazine was loaded with 13 live rounds. The charges against Gonzalez related to that incident were ultimately dismissed. The State argues the possession of the Glock magazine shows Gonzalez's possession of a gun of the same kind and type used in the instant case and is relevant to prove identity as the shooter. The State argues the incident also shows Gonzalez is a member of the Vagos and is not simply a casual member. The State asserts the act is proven by clear and convincing evidence and the probative value of the evidence is not substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice.

The Steyr Pistol Case relates to a February 13, 2010 police stop of Gonzalez, while he was riding his motorcycle in San Jose, California for failing to use a turn signal and having an amplified exhaust. Gonzalez was wearing Vagos related clothing, including a full patch, and had an exposed switchblade knife on the outside of his jacket. Gonzalez was arrested for the knife violation. A search of Gonzalez's motorcycle revealed a 9mm Steyr Mannlicher semiautomatic pistol. As Gonzalez was being transported to jail, he made the following statement, "I ain't gonna lie, I carry that for my protection 'cause of my lifestyle." The semiautomatic weapon was later determined to be stolen. Gonzalez was convicted of traffic offenses related to the incident. The State argues Gonzalez's possession of a loaded pistol while dressed in Vagos attire is probative of his commitment to the Vagos, that he is not a casual member, and knowledge and access to firearms. The State also argues it goes to elements of the gang enhancement, such as, that the Vagos regularly commit felonies and is evidence of rivalry because he carried it for protection. The State asserts the act is proven by clear and convincing evidence and the probative value of the evidence is not substantially ontweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice.

The Posing with Glock February 22, 2011, and May 25, 2007, acts related to information

9:

discovered as a result of a search warrant. When Gonzalez was arrested related to the instant ease he had a laptop computer in his possession. Officers discovered two pictures and a video. The State identifies the pictures as the Posing with Glock February 22, 2011 incident and the video as the May 25, 2007 incident. The pictures show Gonzalez posing with two other men. who are all wearing Vagos related t-shirts. The men are hugging each other in the photos. In one photo, the men are facing the camera. In the photo Gonzalez and another individual are holding pistols. In the other photo, the men have their backs to the camera and Gonzalez bas a pistol visible in his back pocket. The State argues the photographs show actual possession of a Glock pistol, which is possibly the one used at the Nugget. The State argues the pictures are highly probative because they are months before the Nugget incident and puts a Glock pistol in Gonzalez's possession. The State asserts the incident is proven by clear and convincing evidence and the probative value of the evidence is not substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice. The video, or May 25, 2007 incident, is of Gonzalez firing a black pistol. Gonzalez fires 13 rounds, while he alternates between a one-handed and two-handed grip. The State argues the video shows opportunity to commit crime and demonstrates his firearm skills. The State argues the video explains how Gonzalez was able to shoot at Pettigrew from 25 feet away with unusual accuracy. The State asserts the video is proven by clear and convincing evidence and the probative value of the evidence is not substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice.

Gonzalez argues the prior acts must be excluded because they are not relevant to the instant case and they are more prejudicial than probative. Gonzalez argues identity is not an issue in the instant case and none of the incidents demonstrate opportunity. Gonzalez asserts the Airport Case is not relevant because the forensic analysis in the instant case indicates that a .40 caliber semiautomatic Glock pistol was used to shoot Jeffrey Pettigrew, not a 9 mm. Gonzalez argues possession of the gun magazine and the Steyr pistol do not demonstrate gang membership. Gonzalez asserts his alleged statement is vague and highly prejudicial because the State is intending to use it to reference prior criminal lifestyle or gang evidence. Additionally,

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

6 d 7 e 8 C 9 S 10 n

3

Gonzalez argues the State fails to identify why the pictures should be admitted under NRS 48.045(2). Gonzalez also argues the video is not relevant to show accuracy because the target is not viewable. Further, Gonzalez argues the acts are not proven by clear and convincing evidence.

Similar to Villagrana, the Court finds the evidence related to Gonzalez's affiliation with the Vagos, including, wearing Vagos clothing is directly relevant to the charged gang enhancement in the instant case. See NRS 193.168. The Court finds such evidence of Gonzalez's affiliation with the Vagos is admissible non-character evidence under Nevada law.

See Somee, 124 Nev. at 446. While the Court finds such evidence is admissible, the Court does not find the evidence is per se admissible in the instant case. The admission of affiliation evidence in the instant case against Gonzalez will be subject to other appropriate evidentiary objections.

Additionally, it is unclear to the Court whether the State intends to introduce the factual circumstances surrounding the four incidents at trial, or whether the State presented the evidence related to the factual circumstances out of an abundance of caution. Because it is unclear, the Court will analyze each incident discussed above under NRS 48,045(2).

The Court will first consider the Airport Case. After reviewing the evidence and testimony related to the incident, the Court finds the incident was not proven by clear and convincing evidence. In addition, the Court disagrees with the State's arguments that the incident is evidence of identity in the instant case, is some evidence that Gonzalez is the shooter, or is evidence that Gonzalez is not a casual member of the gang. The weapon used at the Nugget in relation to Jeffrey Pettigrew's death is believed to be a 40 caliber semiautomatic Glock pistol, not a 9 mm; thus, the Court finds Gonzalez possession of a 9 mm Glock magazine does not have a significant connection to the incident at the Nugget. In addition, there is no information that when Gonzalez was found with the magazine he was wearing Vagos material or otherwise identified himself as a Vagos member. Therefore, the Court finds the incident is not admissible.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Court next considers the Steyr Pistol Case. After reviewing the evidence and related testimony, the Court finds there has been clear and convincing evidence that the incident occurred. Thus, the Court next considers whether the Steyr Pistol Case is relevant and if the probative value of the incident is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice. The Court finds the fact that Gonzalez was previously found in possession of weapons, both a firearm and a switchblade knife, while identifying hunself with the Vagos, through his clothing, is relevant to the charged gang enhancement in the instant case. See Somee, 124 Nev. at 441; NRS 193.168. In addition, the Court finds Gonzalez's association with the Vagos is also relevant to prove motive in the instant case. Because the Court finds the factual circumstances of the incident are not particularly relevant to the instant case, the Court finds the State's presentation of evidence related to the Stevy Pistol Case should be limited to affiliation evidence and that Gonzalez was found in the possession of a firearm and a switchblade knife. With respect to Gonzalez's statement—"I ain't gonna lie, I carry that for my protection 'cause of my lifestyle"—the Court finds it is relevant to the instant case in relation to evidence regarding rivalry and Gonzalez's theory of defense. The Court finds the probative value of the statement is not substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice. Indeed, as mentioned above, the statement could be interpreted in a manner that supports Gonzalez's theory of self-defense. Therefore, the Court finds the Steyr Pistol Case—specifically, the affiliation evidence and that Gonzalez was found in possession of two weapons—and Gonzalez's related statement are generally admissible in the instant case, unless other applicable objections apply.

The Court next considers the Posing With a Glock photos and video. After reviewing the evidence and testimony related to the photos and video, the Court finds there has been clear and convincing evidence of the acts depicted. Thus, the Court must next address whether the photos and video are relevant and whether their probative value is substantially outweighed by a danger of unfair prejudice. The Court will begin by addressing the February 2011 Photographs. The Court finds the photos—depicting Gonzalez and other individuals visibly displaying firearms

The Court notes Gonzalez has previously moved to suppress other statements he has made; however, Gonzalez has not filed a Motion to Suppress the statement made in relation to the Steyr Pistol Case.

while wearing Vagos attire—are relevant to motive and the gang enhancement in the instant case. See Somee, 124 Nev. at 441, NRS 193.168. The Court finds the probative value of the photographs is not substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice. Prejudice to Gonzalez can be minimized through a limiting instruction. Therefore, the Court finds the photographs are generally admissible in the upcoming trial, unless another applicable objection applies.

With respect to the video of Gonzalez firing a semiautomatic weapon, the Court finds there is some relevance to the incident at the Nugget. The video does demonstrate a familiarity with the firearm he is shooting and is evidence that Gonzalez has previously shot a firearm. However, the Court does not agree with the State's assertion that the video demonstrates that Gonzalez has particular skill with firearms or an unusual level of accuracy. The video only shows Gonzalez shooting. The target is not visible. There is no way to access Gonzalez's accuracy. Nor is it clear that the firearm in the video was of the same type used at the Nugget. The Court finds the video has little probative value in the instant case and has the potential to significantly prejudice Gonzalez. Because the video is of little probative value and there is a substantial danger of unfair prejudice from the video, the Court finds the May 25, 2007 video is not admissible in the upcoming trial.

Based on the foregoing, good cause appearing, and in the interest of justice,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the State's Motion to Admit Evidence of Other Crimes, Wrongs or Acts is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part consistent with this Order.

DATED this lig_day of Moy 2013

Connie (Senheimeb district judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

O.O.	r certify th					with the		
by the metho								
Perso	nal deliver	y to the	followin	g: [NON	51			
X lelect an immedia their review	ronically i e notice c of the doc	of the el	ectronic	filing to	the folk	using the swing re	e ECF w gistered	hich so e-filer
Karl Hall, Esc Chief Deputy		orney						
Amos Stege, Deputy Distri								
David Chesn Richard Scho Attorneys at I	nfeld, Ésq.							
David Housto Kenneth Lyon Attorneys at I	ı Esq.							
Depos postage and [NONE]	ited in th I mailing	e Wash with th	oe Cour ie Unite	ity mailii d States	ng syste Postal	m in a s Service	ealed er in Rend	ivelopi o, Nev
DATE	o this Ila	day of	Mai	.	201	3		
				0				
		IN	m A	$\sim 1 \mathrm{GR}$. 'A			

FILED

Electronically 04-29-2013:10:30:03 AM Joev Orduna Hastings Clerk of the Court Transaction # 3690465

3060

2

1

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

VS.

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27 28 IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Plaintiff.

Case No. CR11-1718B

ERNESTO MANUEL GONZALEZ,

Defendant.

Dept. No. 4

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO JOIN SELECT LEGAL PLEADINGS

On February 4, 2013, Ernesto Manuel Gonzalez (hereinafter, "Gonzalez") filed Mr. Gonzalez's Motion to Join Select Legal Pleading. On February 15, 2013, the State of Nevada (hereinafter, "the State") filed Opposition to Gonzalez's Motion to join Select Legal Pleadings. On February 21, 2013, Gonzalez filed Reply to Opposition to Gonzalez's Motion to Join Select Legal Pleading. Thereafter, the matter was submitted and is now before the Court for review.

Gonzalez seeks to join the following Motions filed by his Co-Defendant Cesar Villagrana (hereinafter, "Villagrana"), to the extent they offer equally applicable relief to Gonzalez and the Vagos: (1) Defendant Villagrana's Response to State's Motion Requesting Leave of Court to Supplement Gang Enhancement Discovery (Jan. 17, 2013); (2) Defendant Villagrana's Motion in Limine to Preclude Reference to the Hells Angels Motorcycle Club as a Gang (Jan. 29, 2013); (3) Defendant Villagrana's Motion for Order Regarding Normal Security Proceedings During Trial (Jan. 30, 2013); (4) Defendant Villagrana's Motion in Limine to Allow Hells Angels

The Court heard oral argument on other Motions in Limine on March 12, 2013; however, no argument was presented on the instant Motion. The Court simply took the matter under submission following argument on all the Motions in Limine.

Connie J. Stunnsimes
DISTRICT JUDGE

2 2574B

21 22

__

23

24

25

26

27

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

`	CENTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	CASE NO. CR11-01718B
3	I certify that I am an employee of the SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT of the
4	STATE OF NEVADA, COUNTY OF WASHOE; that on the 29 day of April, 2013, I filed the
5	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO JOIN SELECT LEGAL PLEADINGS with the Clerk of
6	the Court.
7	I further certify that I transmitted a true and correct copy of the foregoing document by the
8	method(s) noted below:
9	Personal delivery to the following: [NONE]
10	resonal derivery to the following. [IVO122]
	I electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court, using the ECF which sends an
11	immediate notice of the electronic filing to the following registered e-filers for their review of the document in the ECF system:
12	AMOS STEGE, ESQ. for STATE OF NEVADA
13	KARL HALL, ESQ. for STATE OF NEVADA
14	DIV. OF PAROLE & PROBATION
15	KENNETH LYON III, ESQ. for ERNESTO GONZALEZ
16	DAVID HOUSTON, ESQ. for ERNESTO GONZALEZ
17	Deposited in the Washoe County mailing system in a sealed envelope for postage and
18	mailing with the United States Postal Service in Reno, Nevada:
19	David Z. Chesnoff, Esq.
	Richard A. Schonfeld, Esq.
20	Chesnoff & Schonfeld 520 S. Fourth St., 2 nd Flr.
21	Las Vegas, NV 89101
22	Placing a true copy thereof in a sealed envelope for service via:
23	Reno/Carson Messenger Service – [NONE]
24	Federal Express or other overnight delivery service [NONE]
25	DATED this 29 day of April, 2013
26	Middle /h
27	July 1 Ju
28	

08-06-2013:07:58:16 PM Joey Orduna Hastings Clerk of the Court

Transaction # 3905048 1 2 3 4 IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 5 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE 6 7 THE HONORABLE CONNIE STEINHEIMER, DISTRICT JUDGE 8 -000-9 STATE OF NEVADA,) Plaintiff,) Case No. CR11-1718A 10 11 vs.) CR11-1718B 12 CESAR VILLAGRANA and ERNESTO MANUEL GONZALEZ,) Dept. No. 4 13 Defendants.) 14 15 16 17 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS CHANGE OF PLEA 18 MONDAY, JULY 22, 2013 19 20 RENO, NEVADA 21 22 23 24 Reported By: MARCIA FERRELL, CCR No. 797

1	APPEA	RANCES:
2	For the Plaintiff:	AMOS R. STEGE
3		KARL S. HALL
4		DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS
5		1 S. Sierra St., 4th Floor
6		RENO, NEVADA 89520
7	For the Defendant Villagrana:	
8		RICHARD A. SCHONFELD
9	•	DAVID CHESNOFF
10		CHESNOFF & SCHONFELD
11		ATTORNEYS AT LAW
12		520 S. Fourth St.
13		LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101
14	For the Defendant Gonzalez:	DAVID R. HOUSTON
15		ATTORNEY AT LAW
16		432 Court St.
17		RENO, NEVADA 89501
18		KENNETH E. LYON III
19		ATTORNEY AT LAW
20		10389 Double R. Blvd.
21		RENO, NEVADA 89521
22		
23		·
24		

RENO, NEVADA, MONDAY, JULY 22, 2013, 9:00 A.M. 1 --000--2 THE COURT: Thank you, please be seated. Okay, this is the time set for the case of CR11-1718A and B. Mr. Hall? 5 MR. HALL: Your Honor, the State has had an 6 opportunity to negotiate the case with Mr. Villagrana and his 7 counsel, and I understand that he will be changing his plea 8 today to guilty to two counts, challenge to fight with use of 10 a deadly weapon, and battery with a deadly weapon, and they are alleged in the fourth information supplementing 11 12 indictment under CR11-1718A. THE COURT: And you filed that with the clerk? 13 MR. HALL: Yes. 14 THE COURT: Okay, go ahead. 15 MR. HALL: And the negotiations are that -- the 16 negotation of the defendant's plea, that we will recommend a 17 sentence of 4 to 10 on count two, and a minimum sentence of 18 12 years on count two, to run consecutively --1.9 MR. CHESNOFF: 12 months. 20 21 MR. HALL: Excuse me, did I say 12 years? months. 12 months on count two, to run consecutively to 22 count one. 23 THE COURT: A minimum of --24

Excuse me, I have it backwards. Count MR. HALL: 1 one is one to five, and count two is four to 10. 2 MR. CHESHNOFF: But the recommendation, your Honor, 3 is a minimum of 12, maximum of 30 months, and the other one is minimum of four years, maximum of 10 years. It's a 5 conditional plea, your Honor, requiring that the Court accept б Otherwise, Mr. Villagrana would have the sentence. 7 8 permission to withdraw his plea. THE COURT: Okay. And is that a complete statement 9 of the negotiations between the two parties? 10 MR. HALL: Yes. 11 12 MR. CHESHNOFF: No additional charges, your Honor, and the balance of the charges will be dismissed. 13 THE COURT: Okay, and --14 MR. CHESHNOFF: In the third indictment. They'll 1.5 all be --16 Okay, so all the parties agree that the 17 THE COURT: fourth information supplementing indictment, which contains 18 19 two counts, will be the only charges pending against Mr. Villagrana, and he is pleading to both those counts. 20 21 MR. CHESHNOFF: That's correct, your Honor. murder count is no longer there. 22 THE COURT: Okay. And if he were to withdraw his 23 plea in the future, the fourth information supplementing 24

1	indictment would be dismissed, and it would proceed on the
2	third information.
3	MR. CHESHNOFF: That's correct, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: And do you have a signed guilty plea
5	memorandum?
6	MR. CHESHNOFF: Yes we do, your Honor. I think we
7	gave it to your clerk.
8	THE COURT: Okay, then I have a document here, and
9	I just wanted to make sure it was the original document.
10	MR. CHESHNOFF: That's correct, your Honor, and it
11	contains the full description of the negotiations, your
12	Honor.
13	THE COURT: Okay. And now we'll go forward with
14	the arraignment on the fourth information supplementing
15	indictment. Mr. Villagrana, do you understand what's going
16	on here today?
17	DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: Yes, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Do you have any questions about what's
19	
	happening?
20	happening? DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: No, your Honor.
2 1	DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: No, your Honor.
220 21 222 23	DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Are you comfortable with the

information supplementing indictment? 1 2 DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: Yes. Do you understand that if you go THE COURT: 3 forward and enter a guilty plea to this information 4 supplementing indictment, there will be no jury trial? 5 DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: Yes, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: For you, anyway. 7 DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: 8 Yeah. THE COURT: And do you understand that we will move g, forward with the case without any other pretrial decisions. 10 11 Any decisions I took under submission, I will not be ruling on as it relates to you in this case, and any decisions I've 12 reached previously will still be the law of the case. 13 14 DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Do you understand that you have a right 15 16 to plead not guilty, have a trial by jury, be confronted by 17 the witnesses against you, bring witnesses here on your own behalf, and testify or not testify at that jury trial? 18 DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: Yes. 19 20 THE COURT: Do you understand you have a right against self-incrimination; you may assert that right by 21 refusing to testify, and the State must prove you guilty 22 23 beyond a reasonable doubt?

Yes.

DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA:

THE COURT: Are you aware that you'll be giving up all of these rights if you plead guilty today?

DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand there will be no

5 jury?

DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: Yes.

THE COURT: I'm going to ask the clerk to read the fourth information supplementing indictment to you, and then I will ask you if you understand it.

THE CLERK: Count one, challenge to fight with the use of a deadly weapon, a violation of NRS 200.450(1)(b), NRS 193.165, NRS 199.480, 195.020, a felony in the manner following, to wit: That the said defendant Cesar Villagrana did on or about the 23rd day of September A.D. 2011 while within John Ascuaga's Nugget at Sparks Township, Washoe County, Nevada, did cause, give or send a challenge to fight to one or more members of the Vagos and/or did accept a challenge to fight from one or more members of the Vagos and/or have agency in accepting a challenge to fight from one or more members of the Vagos, and the resulting fight involving the use of a deadly weapon, to wit, a firearm.

The defendant above named is responsible under one or more of the following principals of criminal liability, to wit, number one: By the defendant directly committing acts

constituting the offense and/or by the defendant having the intent to commit challenge to fight or to accept a challenge to fight with a deadly weapon, conspiring with others to commit the offense of challenge to fight with a deadly weapon or to accept such a challenge to fight, whereby each co-conspirator is vicariously liable for the acts of the other coconspirators, when acts are done in furtherance of the conspiracy; and/or, number three, by the defendant having the intent to commit the crime of challenge to fight with a deadly weapon and aiding and abetting each other, either directly or indirectly, whether present or not. The defendant committed the above stated conduct by shooting Diego Garcia, a member of the Vagos, with a firearm in the leg, as alleged in count two.

Count two. Battery with a deadly weapon, a violation of NRS 200.4812(e)(1), a felony in the manner following, to wit: That the said defendant, Cesar Villagrana, on or about the 23rd day of September A.D. 2011 at Sparks Township within the County of Washoe, State of Nevada, did wilfully and unlawfully use force and violence upon the person of Diego Garcia at John Ascuaga's Nugget, 1100 Nugget Avenue, in the City of Sparks, Washoe County, Nevada, with a deadly weapon, to wit, a firearm, by shooting Diego Garcia, a member of the Vagos, in the leg.

1	THE COURT: Is there anything about those two
2	counts you do not understand?
3	DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: No, your Honor.
4	THE COURT: With regard to count one, did you
5	commit the charge as it's described in the information?
6	DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: Yes, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: And as to count two, did you do what it
8	says you did in the charge as is described in the
9	information?
10	DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: Yes, your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Have your attorneys told you a possible
12	maximum penalty for these offenses?
13	DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Can you tell me what that is? For
15	count one?
16	DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: Count one is one to five and
17	count two is two to 10.
18	THE COURT: Okay, the possible maximum penalty for
19	count one is two to 10 years in the Nevada State Prison.
20	Even though there's a negotiation that the State and your
21	attorney are stipulating to one to five. Is that wrong?
22	MR. CHESHNOFF: That's wrong, your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Call your attention, counsel, to
24	page four of the guilty plea memorandum.

MR. CHESHNOFF: Which page? 1 THE COURT: Four, top of the page, line one. 2 MR. CHESHNOFF: I don't think that's accurate, your 3 Honor. 4 THE COURT: Okay. MR. CHESHNOFF: We all must have missed the number. 6 MR. SCHONFELD: My understanding is the challenge 7 8 to fight with a weapon not resulting in death is a five year maximum, your Honor. 9 10 MR. STEGE: That is correct, your Honor, I believe we have the counts transposed in that particular draft. 11 12 Count two is the two to 10, the battery with a deadly weapon is a two to 10. We've made an error in calling count two, 13 14 one to six. It should be count one, one to five. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Stege, will you approach, 16 please. 17 MR. STEGE: Yes. THE COURT: Ask that you collect the guilty plea 18 19 memorandum, make the corrections to it, initial those changes, by defense counsel as well as Mr. Villagrana and the 20 21 State, and then return it to the Court. MR. CHESNOFF: Your Honor, would you like 22 Mr. Villagrana to initial as well? 23 THE COURT: Yes, I would, thank you. 24

1	MR. CHESNOFF: Some kind of lawyer joke, here. How
2	many lawyers does it take to
3	THE COURT: All right. Now, Mr. Villagrana, let's
4	go ahead and look at the guilty plea memorandum, and I can
5	start by asking you, did you read this document?
6	DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: Yes, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: And did you understand it?
8	DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Okay. And did you sign the document
10	earlier today?
11	DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: Yesterday.
12	THE COURT: Yesterday.
13	DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Now, with regard to some changes
15	that have been made to the document on page four, do you see
16	those handwritten changes?
17	DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: Yes.
18	THE COURT: And they show count two, a penalty of
19	from two to 10 years?
20	DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: Yes.
21	THE COURT: And count one, a penalty from one to
22	five years?
23	DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: One to five, yes.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Now, I see some initials on

these changes, are your initials -- did you initial these 1 changes? 2 DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: My CV, yes. 3 THE COURT: You're CV? DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: In black ink. 5 THE COURT: So you initialed the change as to count two on line one, and then you initialed for both of the 7 changes with regard to line four and five, you initialed it 8 once, is that right? DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: I initialed one on each, 10 11 yes. THE COURT: 12 Okay. MR. CHESHNOFF: Your Honor, just before you finish. 13 14 That means, your Honor, that the references in paragraph seven have to be changed, too. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Do you mind if we take just a 16 short recess? I hand you back this guilty plea memorandum, 17 and you all kind of get it all cleaned up and then we'll be 18 back on the record in a few minutes. 19 MR. CHESHNOFF: You got it, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Stege, here's your document, 21 we'll be in recess. 22 (Recess.) 23 THE COURT: Okay, counsel, you've had an 24

opportunity to review the guilty plea memorandum, are we 1 ready to proceed? 2 MR. CHESHNOFF: Yes, thank you, your Honor. MR. STEGE: Yes, your Honor. 4 Okay. So Mr. Villagrana, we were THE COURT: 5 discussing your plea before we took the recess, and I was 6 7 asking you if you understood the possible potential penalties. The maximum potential penalties in these charges. 8 And can you tell me what those are now? 9 DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: Count two is two to 10, and 1.0 count one is one to five. 11 THE COURT: Okay, and do you understand that's two 12 to 10 years in prison, and a fine up to \$10,000? 13 DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: Yes, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Do you also understand that the count 15 one is one to five years in prison and a fine up to \$5,000? 16 DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: Yes, your Honor. 17 18 THE COURT: Now, do you understand that the plea 19 negotiations in this case have -- what those plea negotiations were? You understand all the discussion about 20 the plea negotiations? 21 DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: 22 Yes. THE COURT: Do you have any questions about them? 23 DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: No, your Honor. 24

1	THE COURT: Do you understand although the plea
2	negotiations are silent in this regard, restitution could be
3	part of your penalty?
4	DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: Yes, I do understand that.
5	THE COURT: Has anyone made any threats to get you
6	to enter this plea?
7	DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: No. No, your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Has anyone told you you would be
9	guaranteed any particular result if you pled guilty?
10	DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: Only if you were to accept
11	it, your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Do you understand that if I'm
13	not if I do not accept it, you would withdraw your plea?
14	DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: Yes, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: However, you understand that the
16	ultimate decision will be up to me and no one else?
17	DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: Yes, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Has anyone made any statements to get
19	you to enter this plea that you haven't told me about?
20	DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: No, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: In light of all my questions and your
22	answers, do you still wish to go forward?
23	DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: Yes, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Are you a veteran in the United States

1	Armed Forces?				
2	DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: No, your Honor.				
3	THE COURT: Are you entering this plea of your own				
4	free will?				
5	DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: Yes, my own free will.				
6	THE COURT: Now, with regard to paragraph seven in				
7	the guilty plea memorandum, there were additional changes				
8	made to that paragraph while we were in recess. Did you see				
9	those changes?				
10	DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: Yes.				
11	THE COURT: And did you initial those changes as				
12	well?				
13	DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: Yes, your Honor.				
14	THE COURT: And do you wish this guilty plea				
15	memorandum, as it has been changed, with the handwriting and				
16	your initials, to be controlling in this matter?				
17	DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: Yes, your Honor.				
18	THE COURT: Okay. With all of these things in				
19	mind, do you still wish your plea to stand?				
20	DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: Yes.				
21	THE COURT: And how do you plead to count one?				
22	DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: Guilty, your Honor.				
23	THE COURT: How do you plead to count two?				
24	DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: Guilty, your Honor.				

THE COURT: Court finds that your pleas are 1 voluntary, that you fully understand the nature of the 2 offenses charged and the consequences of your plea. 3 Therefore, I will accept your pleas of guilt and will set a 4 date for sentencing. 5 MR. CHESHNOFF: Thank you, your Honor. 6 DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: Thank you, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: How long do you think this sentencing 8 will take? 9 Probably an hour. Well, actually it MR. HALL: 10 11 should be pretty quick. THE COURT: Okay, we're looking at September 4th at 12 4:00 p.m. Counsel, can you make those times? 13 MR. STEGE: Yes, your Honor. 14 MR. CHESHNOFF: We'll be here, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Villagrana, the court clerk 16 17 has a packet of information for you that gives you directions on what you have to do with the division of parole and 18 probation. You'll have to meet with them, they will be 19 20 makinging a recommendation to me about sentencing, and your attorneys stay in touch with them during the course of this 21 22 process. 23 Do you understand that you have to return to court on the date and time we've given you? 24

1	DEFENDANT VILLAGRANA: Yes, your Honor.				
2	THE COURT: Anything further with regard to				
3	Mr. Villagrana?				
4	MR. SIEGE: No, your Honor.				
5	MR. CHESHNOFF: His present conditions of release				
6	will remain in effect then, your Honor?				
7	THE COURT: They will remain in effect.				
8	MR. CHESHNOFF: Thank you.				
9	THE COURT: Okay.				
10	MR. SCHONFELD: Thank you, your Honor.				
11	THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Houston counsel, you can				
12	be seated for just a minute. Mr. Houston, I think there are				
13	a few more a little bit more information with regard to				
14	the jury questionnaires.				
15	MR. HOUSTON: Yes, your Honor.				
16	THE COURT: That the clerk is preparing for you.				
17	So we should go through that before we bring the jury up and				
18	proceed with the rest of the case.				
19	MR. HOUSTON: Thank you, your Honor.				
20	THE COURT: Okay, so we'll see you in chambers in a				
21	few minutes. Court is in recess.				
22	(Proceedings concluded.)				
23	000				
24					

1	STATE OF NEVADA,)
2)
3	COUNTY OF LYON.)
4	
5	
6	I, MARCIA L. FERRELL, Certified Court Reporter of the
7	Second Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, in and
8	for the County of Washoe, do hereby certify:
9	That I was present in Department No. 4 of the
0	above-entitled Court and took stenotype notes of the
1	proceedings entitled herein, and thereafter transcribed the
2	same into typewriting as herein appears;
.3	That the foregoing transcript is a full, true and
. 4	correct transcription of my stenotype notes of said
.5	proceedings.
.6	Dated at Fernley, Nevada, this 6th day of August, 2013.
.7	
.8	
.9	/s/ Marcia L. Ferrell
0.0	Marcia L. Ferrell, CSR #797
21	
22	
:3	
:4	

1	Code No. 4185
. 2	
3	
4	
5	IN THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
6	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE
7	THE HONORABLE CONNIE J. STEINHEIMER, DISTRICT JUDGE
8	-000-
9 .	STATE OF NEVADA,
10	Plaintiff,) Case No. CR11-1718B
11	vs.)) Dept. No. 4
12	ERNESTO MANUEL GONZALEZ,
13	Defendant.)
14	
15	
L 6	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
17	JURY TRIAL
18	MORNING SESSION
19	WEDNESDAY, JULY 24, 2013
20	RENO, NEVADA
21	COPY -
22	
23	
24	Reported By: BECKY VAN AUKEN, CCR No. 418

1				APPEARANCES:
3	For	the	Plaintiff:	KARL SCHLEIGH HALL Deputy District Attorney Washoe County
4				AMOS STEGE
5				Deputy District Attorney Washoe County
6				washoe county
7	For	+ h ^	Defendant:	KENNETH E. LYON III
8	101	LITE	verendant.	Attorney at Law 10389 Double R. Blvd.
9				Reno, Nevada 89521 - and -
10				DAVID R. HOUSTON Attorney at Law
L1				432 Court Street Reno, Nevada 89501
12				Namo, Namada Ossoz
L3				
4				
15				
L6				
L7				
L8				
L9				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24			•	

1				
2		<u>I N</u> D	E X	
3				
4	witnesses:	DIRECT	CROSS	
5	NANCY OWENS	34		
6	BILL PRICHARD	36	125	
7				
8	EXHIBITS:		MARKED:	<u>ADMITTED</u> :
9	142			35
10	1			41
11	1A		53	53
12	151			54
13	130			67
14	1B		81	81
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

1	RENO, NEVADA, WEDNESDAY, JULY 24, 2013, 7:30 A.M.			
2	-000-			
3				
4				
5				
6	THE COURT: Are we ready, counsel?			
7	MR. HOUSTON: Yes, Your Honor.			
8	MR. HALL: State's ready.			
9	THE COURT: Is the jury all here?			
10	THE BAILIFF: Yes, they are, Your Honor.			
11	THE COURT: Please bring the jury in.			
12	We expect the lawyers to stand for jury, but the			
13	audience does not have to. You may be seated. We'd			
14	rather have less confusion.			
15	Counsel, will you stipulate to the presence of			
16	the jury?			
17	MR. STEGE: Yes, Your Honor.			
18	MR. HOUSTON: Yes, Your Honor.			
19	THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated.			
20	Good morning, ladies and gentlemen of the jury.			
21	Bright and early here together. As I told you yesterday			
22	before you left, you would now hear the opening statements			
23	of the defense. So we will now proceed with that process.			
24	MR. HOUSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.			

THE COURT: You're welcome, Mr. Houston.

. 8

MR. HOUSTON: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. I'm David Houston. I had the chance to meet you en masse. As you know, I am the attorney, along with Mr. Lyon, representing Ernesto Gonzalez, and I wanted to start the opening statement just with some information about why we do an opening and what we hope to accomplish, because I think, if I do that, you'll get a much better understanding of where I'm going and why I'm doing what I'm doing.

We do an opening statement in large because when we have witnesses that testify, witnesses each know a portion of what happened. So, of course, one witness can't come in with what we like to call the entire picture. As a consequence, if I alert you in advance to what we expect the evidence to prove -- and I want to put a proviso with that: Everything I'm going to tell you is what we expect the evidence to show.

And I say that because, as a lawyer, I don't provide you with evidence. Evidence comes in primarily in one way. It will come in from people who will raise their right hand, get on the witness stand, and they'll swear to tell you the truth.

When they do that, I'm going to ask you to listen

to what they say, to analyze what they say very carefully based not only upon what each of us has told you in this opening statement but based upon what you see as well. Because this is one of those cases where we are fortunate. We have security video footage, and we have quite a bit of it. And you saw some of it yesterday, and I'll discuss some of that with you today as well. But this is truly a case of believe what you see, not what you're told.

Once we have that opportunity to have the witnesses presenting these bits and pieces of the picture, one thing is going to become apparent. And this, as some cases may be, is not what we call a "who done it." In other words, the defense is not coming to you saying, well, the prosecutor can't prove the fact that Ernesto Gonzalez fired a gun at Jeffrey Pettigrew. That's not the defense in this case.

As you may have been able to pick up through the time spent in voir dire and that special little questionnaire you filled out, the question in this case is going to be whether when Ernesto Gonzalez fired a gun at Jeffrey Pettigrew, he did so in order to prevent an individual by the name of Robert Wiggins -- and you'll see Mr. Wiggins up here on the board -- whether or not he actually did that for the purpose of preventing

Mr. Wiggins, this gentleman here, from sustaining serious injury or death.

1.0

So very simple. This is not a complex case. And it is not a case that's going to involve a great deal more than viewing the video, understanding what the facts of the case may be, and then in turn applying the law the Court will give you at the conclusion of this case to those facts.

I would also like to start off a bit by advising you a little about who Ernesto Gonzalez is. Ernesto Gonzalez, as he sits in court today, is a 55-year-old man. He's the father of two. He came from the country of Nicaragua at a very young age, achieved his citizenship, and joined the Navy.

MR. HALL: I'm going to object, Your Honor. This is not evidence.

MR. HOUSTON: Your Honor, it's what we expect the evidence to show.

THE COURT: If you have a witness who is going to testify to it.

MR. HOUSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.

When, again -- thank you for Mr. Hall. He's again reminded you that what I'm telling you I'm required to show you. Of course, that is my obligation.

Once Mr. Gonzalez gets out of the Navy, he gets a job. He works that job for a period of time until he's able to buy the business. He decides he wants to relocate his family to the state of Hawaii and actually branch out.

. 6

He goes to the State of Hawaii and opens his business, a second branch, there. He meets individuals in the state of Hawaii that also share a passion for motorcycles and riding motorcycles, and he joins the Vagos. He finds them to be the type of folks that he actually enjoyed being with, the barbecues, social camaraderie, and the things that you can imagine come from fraternal organizations. You'll also hear testimony in this case that this is a group that includes doctors, lawyers, accountants. And, of course, as in every group, you will have certain individuals that perhaps are not the type of people you would definitely like as your best friends.

But one thing will become clear throughout this case. This is the State of Nevada vs. Ernesto Gonzalez. You're going to hear talk of other people, you're going to hear talk of groups, you're going to hear talk of what other people may have done, and you're going to have a chance to weigh that against the facts as to whether or not Ernesto Gonzalez was truly involved in what the

prosecution will insist was some sort of plan, whether there was a plan, and in fact whether or not Ernesto Gonzalez was even involved.

. 3

Ladies and gentlemen, you saw a great deal of video yesterday, and I'd like to show you some of that video because I think the video truly is a situation where one picture does speak a thousand words. And if it will save me the opportunity of having to say the thousand words, then I think it's a benefit to both of us.

I want to show you the video as it concerns the collection of individuals, I believe we called it, at the Oyster Bar early on in the evening.

There's a reason this is important. And the reason it's important is because, as this case progresses, you will learn that this entire episode is a result of the efforts of one man. That one man is the name of Gary Rudnick. And the State is going to call Mr. Rudnick to testify for you.

Now, you had a chance yesterday to see

Mr. Rudnick on the film. He's also called Jabbers. He's
this individual right here.

You will learn through the testimony that Mr. Rudnick for whatever reason bore a great deal of animosity towards the Hells Angels.

What we do know -- and we know this from the video yesterday and from the discussions of the prosecutor -- is that Mr. Rudnick, the individual who continually attempted to aggravate Mr. Pettigrew, was doing it on his own. Not only was he doing it on his own, but, as you learned yesterday, a good many Vagos were in there with Mr. Rudnick exchanging handshakes, exchanging drinks, laughing with one another, until Mr. Rudnick decided he was going to make a point.

The individual right here, you will come to meet him on the witness stand as well. This individual played the role of the peacemaker because of Mr. Rudnick's activity.

What happened, the evidence will show, is

Mr. Rudnick proceeded into the Oyster Bar where he met

Mr. Pettigrew. Did not know him in advance. Here's

Mr. Rudnick. And I know it's kind of hard sometimes to

distinguish the folks, but Mr. Rudnick is right here.

And you will see that Mr. Rudnick goes in and meets Mr. Pettigrew. Mr. Pettigrew, as he does with virtually everyone he's meeting, pats them on the back. Mr. Rudnick felt this is a great sign of disrespect to me. I want an apology. And Mr. Rudnick continued to confront Mr. Pettigrew about the fact that Mr. Pettigrew had

somehow dishonored him. And, of course, if you can see, Mr. Rudnick again pats others on the back but felt because he touched my cut, my jacket, my patch, that constituted the type of disrespect that now warranted a personal apology.

2.

You'll learn from the evidence in this case that, quite frankly, that was an excuse. You'll learn from the evidence in this case how Mr. Rudnick spoke to others about the fact that he wanted to get, quote, another souvenir. Mr. Rudnick had prided himself on having a Hells Angels baseball cap nailed up in his garage that he was able to take from some other Hells Angel in the past. And you will learn each time that he brought that up to a member that he spoke with, that member will tell you we told him to knock it off, we're not here for that, everybody just wants to have a good time.

You will hear the evidence that the San Jose Vagos and the San Jose Hells Angels never had a problem with one another. They coexisted peacefully. Remember, Mr. Rudnick was from a charter that we call the Vagos of Los Angeles, and he occupied the vice presidency of that charter.

You'll see Mr. Cancelli here -- or, excuse me, the individual here who has actually soothed things over

repeatedly, and he soothed them over repeatedly because of Mr. Rudnick's continued action with Mr. Pettigrew continuing to start trouble time and time again.

You're going to hear from the evidence in this case how that individual soothed it over as many times as he could, and finally a telephone call is made upstairs where they're involved in other meetings concerning club business. The national leaders are asked to come down and to speak to Mr. Pettigrew because they understand the problems that Mr. Rudnick have created.

So now we have the Vagos who were on the floor attempting to keep things calm and attempting to offset what Mr. Rudnick is doing. Then we have the national leaders coming down, again, to meet with Mr. Pettigrew to assure him there is no problem, we're all here to get along, let's take the tension out of the air.

You're going to see the video where in fact the national leaders come downstairs. They have an opportunity to meet with Mr. Pettigrew. And you'll understand these people have known each other for years. We're not talking younger men; we're talking men in their late forties and early fifties that have managed to coexist peacefully together for quite some time.

Now, that's not to say that throughout the course

of this trial you're not going to hear information of isolated instances where there have been problems, but you will come to understand those were problems between individuals, not problems between groups or clubs or organizations.

1.1

You will understand out of the vast many Hells
Angels and the numbers of Vagos throughout the country,
the amount of incidents that you will hear of are truly
infinitesimal. And it doesn't represent, as you will come
to learn, any philosophy, organized hostility, or rivalry
between these groups.

Now, interestingly, the night of September 23rd this occurs. The story that you will hear, as I understand Mr. Rudnick's version, there was an 8:00 o'clock meeting upstairs. It was not -- excuse me, it was not a meeting for officers only; it was a general organizational meeting to discuss what are we going to do, what's the social itinerary, where are the people going to be. There were also other meetings to occur after that for different reasons involving different people, prospects. Those are people who want to join the club, things of that nature.

However, Mr. Rudnick will tell you after the original 8:00 o'clock meeting the San Jose charter in

their totality meets with the national leaders, not in the room, not anywhere secure, but outside in a hallway.

The San Jose group, which included Mr. Gonzalez, according to Mr. Rudnick, meets with an individual who's referred to as Pastor Palafox and an individual also known as Albert Perez. They're the leaders, according to Mr. Rudnick. Mr. Rudnick claims that the San Jose charter was up in arms and they wanted something done. Again, Mr. Rudnick, of course, is from Los Angeles.

Regardless, according to Mr. Rudnick, they claimed they want something done, they're disrespecting us, they're treating us like, quote, bitches. As a consequence, something has to be done so that we can garner our respect. How dare they be in our hotel.

You're also going to learn that the Hells Angels have been staying in that hotel for upwards of 15 years. The Vagos had stayed in that hotel. Every Street Vibrations event they mixed together and there were no problems. The difference in this Street Vibrations event, as you will come to see from the evidence, was Gary Rudnick and Gary Rudnick's attitude and Gary Rudnick's desire.

So when the evidence will demonstrate to you this was the actions of one man, not a concerted action or plan

of others, you will have an opportunity to then review the law as it concerns what you do in that situation.

When Mr. Rudnick says there's this meeting, he claims that Mr. Gonzalez steps out of nowhere and says, "I will be the one to do the shooting." Mr. Rudnick will tell you that Mr. Gonzalez did so because he was personally offended and affronted the San Jose Hells Angels were stopping his expansion, meaning Mr. Gonzalez's desire to open other charters in San Jose and Santa Cruz.

And I want you to consider something very important when it comes to that. You're also going to hear about an investigation that was going on in the state of California as it concerned the Vagos. They called it Operation Simple Green. During the course of Operation Simple Green, they monitored over 100,000 telephone calls over a period of a year. During the course of monitoring those phone calls, you're going to learn that Mr. Gonzalez's name was mentioned three times. Three times. Out of over 100,000.

Do you know what the mention was about? The evidence will tell you the mention was about Mr. Gonzalez's efforts to start a Vagos charter in his homeland of Nicaragua where he could get patches in Spanish, where he could get literature published in

Spanish.

It will also show you that, of the 100,000 phone calls, Mr. Gonzalez was actually on three of them personally. Of those three telephone calls, again, all that was discussed was his desire to start his charter, how he could do it. Because, you have to remember, to start the charter, you have to get the permission of the folks here in reference to the ability to go ahead and do it, Pastor Palafox and Albert Perez.

Not surprisingly, according to the defense in this case, there's not one mention by Mr. Gonzalez to anyone about, hey, I'm having a lot of trouble with these San Jose Hells Angels and it's time we did something about it. And the reason, you will discover, is because it was complete fabrication.

Mr. Rudnick will tell you that Mr. Gonzalez at this point, without even knowing Jeffrey Pettigrew, decides he's going to step forward and he is going to commit a crime on videotape in front of hundreds of witnesses in the Nugget downstairs.

And you'll have a chance to evaluate whether or not that sort of plan or idea makes even the slightest bit of sense. But if you still have a question, you'll have a chance to watch Mr. Gonzalez on the video. If

Mr. Gonzalez is this supposed assassin, watch where he is. Watch where he actually stands. Watch what he does. And you will see, if he had that desire, Mr. Gonzalez most certainly could have stood next to Mr. Rudnick when Mr. Rudnick stops Mr. Pettigrew as Mr. Pettigrew walks by. But he doesn't.

You will see on the video, and you saw it yesterday and we'll point it out when we have a chance to do examination, Mr. Gonzalez is at the tail end of a group of Vagos. He's at the tail end of the group of Vagos not talking to anyone. When you do see him talk to anyone, he is shaking hands and smiling.

You will see that Mr. Gonzalez, when he stands at the tail end of everybody, looks over and notices, notices that Mr. Rudnick has stopped Mr. Pettigrew in his literal tracks. You will see how the Vagos were told to get off the path, to let the guys through, to let the HAs walk by.

When Mr. Gonzalez sees there's something coming up, he looks like this on the video. He sees it happening, he turns and walks away. He turns and walks away, as you will learn, because at the age of 55 he really doesn't think it appropriate that he be involved in any kind of problem.

What he did know was that Mr. Rudnick had ranted

and raved all night long. He wanted a problem. He got it. He wanted the problem so badly that, despite the fact everyone had calmed it down, everyone had appeased the situation, everyone had agreed to take the tension out of the room that Rudnick caused, he wasn't going to let it go.

You'll see him lean over to a fellow called Diego Garcia, Boo Boo. Diego Garcia is told something by Mr. Rudnick that causes Diego Garcia to put on his gloves and stand there like this.

Watch the rest of the folks in the line that the State has told you represent a concentrated effort to create problems. One of them, not a San Jose charter member, is a fellow by the name of Greg Fearn. And Mr. Fearn, you will learn, is this individual here.

Mr. Fearn is standing in the group socializing. He's got his children with him. And, clearly, you will have a chance to ask yourselves, if Mr. Fearn was aware there was about to be some sort of a war, would he keep his girls there?

You'll have a chance to watch what happens to Mr. Fearn once this problem Mr. Rudnick creates gets started, and it gets started because of this: Are we okay? Are we okay?

Mr. Pettigrew, for whatever reason, had about had enough of Mr. Rudnick. Words are exchanged.

Mr. Pettigrew punches Mr. Rudnick in the head, and chaos breaks out.

You will come to understand that Mr. Rudnick not only created the tension earlier but Mr. Rudnick now got what he wanted. He wanted a dispute, he wanted the problem, because he, Mr. Rudnick, felt disrespected. He, as you will learn, was a drunk, out of control, that nobody could seem to muzzle that night.

The consequence of his actions are this: Two Vagos were shot, several others were hurt, one on video was pistol whipped, and Mr. Pettigrew lost his life.

We view the video -- and you'll have a chance to analyze this -- almost as two separate incidents. When Mr. Rudnick is punched in the head, Mr. Rudnick of course adopts a "fight at a later date" routine and takes off running.

Mr. Rudnick starts it or, as we have heard the phrase, kicks it off. Mr. Rudnick then disappears back into the crowd and allows somebody else like Mr. Fearn, who didn't know anything about it, to get pistol whipped and one of the other guys to get shot in the stomach.

You'll see that Mr. Rudnick disappears, but

Mr. Rudnick's not done. He then goes up by the bathroom, and Mr. Rudnick is integral in an attack on another Hells Angel. But it's not over there. Mr. Rudnick leaves that group. And you'll see a very good video demonstration of who Mr. Rudnick is when he will tell you by way of his prior interview, oh, hey, I just got pushed into that fight. You're going to have a chance to see the video where Mr. Rudnick rushes into the fight and when somebody's down on the ground, starts trying to kick them.

But he does have one point, one major point that's important for you to look at in that section of video. Mr. Rudnick is seen trying to rip the jacket off a Hells Angel who's on the ground. And why is that important? Because -- I want you to remember back to what I said a while ago -- Mr. Rudnick was coming up here to get another souvenir. That was going to be his other souvenir. Unfortunately somebody beat him to it. And as soon as Mr. Rudnick's not able to get this jacket, you'll see him again disappear off the frame.

The police then come in at that point, and they put everybody on the ground except for Mr. Rudnick, because Mr. Rudnick, as he has been known to do throughout this evening, disappears to avoid the responsibility for what he has created.

What happens at that point again in some ways is captured on video, and we're going to call it the second incident. You'll see Mr. Gonzalez, once the fight starts and Mr. Gonzalez has distanced himself, literally walking backwards. He's standing on the wood floor with the bar on one side and the wall to the disco on the other, and he's watching. You'll see Mr. Villagrana approach him and do something like that (indicating). Mr. Gonzalez does not make a move. He does not produce a firearm and start to shoot.

Understand this is after Mr. Pettigrew and Mr. Villagrana have already produced weapons and they are shooting people. Mr. Gonzalez, the supposed assassin who forgot his regular glasses and had to wear his sunglasses, doesn't pull a firearm. He backs away from Mr. Villagrana. He continues to watch what is happening. He is seeing people shot, he is seeing people get hurt, he is seeing people get pistol whipped, and he runs away. You'll see.

Mr. Gonzalez will tell you that he kept hearing "They're killing them, they're killing them," and he could hear the gunfire.

Mr. Gonzalez stepped into the disco.

Mr. Gonzalez retrieved a firearm. Mr. Gonzalez runs