## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ERNESTO MANUEL GONZALEZ, Appellant,

vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 64249

FILED

JAN 23 2015

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

BY DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Cause appearing, respondent's motion requesting a second extension of time to file the answering brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Respondent shall have until February 13, 2015, to file and serve the answering brief. No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the answering brief may result in the imposition of sanctions. *See* NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

/ Sar elesty, C.J.

cc: Richard F. Cornell
Attorney General/Carson City
Washoe County District Attorney

SUPREME COURT
OF
NEVADA

(O) 1947A