IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ERNESTO MANUEL GONZALEZ, Appellant,

vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 64249

FILED

APR 1 0 2015

TRACIE-K, LINDEMAN
CLERICOE SUPPREME COURT
BY
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Cause appearing, appellant's motion requesting a second extension of time to file the reply brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Appellant shall have until May 13, 2015, to file and serve the reply brief. No further extensions of time shall be permitted absent demonstration of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to file a timely reply brief may be treated as a waiver of the right to file a reply brief. NRAP 28(c).

It is so ORDERED.

1 Sandesty, C.J.

cc: Richard F. Cornell
Attorney General/Carson City
Washoe County District Attorney

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

15-10852