

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ANTHONY CASTANEDA,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 64515

FILED

FEB 18 2014

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY R. Malina
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR FULL BRIEFING

Appellant's counsel has filed a motion for the full briefing of this appeal or, alternatively, for an extension of time to file the fast track statement. Counsel argues that full briefing is warranted due to the complexity of the case and counsel's inability to brief the issues within the applicable page limit. Counsel identifies nine issues that will be raised on appeal. Cause appearing, the motion is granted. NRAP 3C(k)(2)(B)(ii).


Counsel for the parties shall fully brief the issues as provided in NRAP 28, 28.2, 30, 31, and 32. Appellant shall have 90 days from the date of this order to file and serve the opening brief and appendix.¹ Thereafter, briefing shall proceed in accordance with the schedule set forth in NRAP 31(a)(1).² We caution the parties that failure to comply

¹Counsel need not file certified transcripts in this appeal as ordinarily required by NRAP 9. But should either counsel object to the sufficiency of any rough draft transcripts or cite to transcripts not previously prepared, counsel shall file and serve a request for the necessary transcripts pursuant to NRAP 9(a). See NRAP 3C(k)(3).

²Appellant's alternative motion for an extension of time to file the fast track statement is denied as moot.

with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions. See NRAP 28(j), 28.2(b), 30(g), and 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

 , C.J.

cc: Clark County Public Defender
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney